1	JEFFREY H. WOOD	KEKER, VAN NEST & PETERS, LLP
2	Acting Assistant Attorney General JOSEPH T. MATHEWS (Colo. Bar No. 42865)	JEFFREY R. CHANIN - # 103649 jchanin@keker.com
3	Trial Attorney, U.S. Department of Justice	DAVID W. RIZK - # 284376 drizk@keker.com
4	Environment and Natural Resources Division Natural Resources Section	633 Battery Street San Francisco, CA 94111-1809
5	P.O. Box 7611 Ben Franklin Station	Telephone: 415 391 5400 Facsimile: 415 397 7188
6	Washington, DC 20044-7611	
	Telephone: (202) 305-0432 Facsimile: (202) 305-0506	ADVOCATES FOR THE WEST LAURENCE ("LAIRD") J. LUCAS - #124854
7	Email: joseph.mathews@usdoj.gov	<u>llucas@advocateswest.org</u> ELIZABETH H. POTTER – (pro hac vice OR
8	DDIAN I STDETCH (Col. Dor No. 162072)	Bar No. 105482)
9	BRIAN J. STRETCH (Cal. Bar No. 163973) United States Attorney	epotter@advocateswest.org P.O. Box 1612
10	SARA WINSLOW (DC Bar No. 457643) Chief, Civil Division	Boise, ID 83701 Telephone: 208 342 7024
11	MICHAEL T. PYLE (Cal. Bar No. 172954)	Facsimile: 208 342 8286
12	Assistant United States Attorney 150 Almaden Boulevard, Suite 900	Attorneys for Plaintiffs
13	San Jose, California 95113	RESOURCE RENEWAL INSTITUTE, CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY,
	Telephone: (408) 535-5087 Facsimile: (408) 535-5081	and WESTERN WATERSHEDS PROJECT
14	Email: michael.t.pyle@usdoj.gov	[ADDITIONAL COUNSEL LISTED ON
15		NEXT PAGE]
16		
17	Attorneys for Federal Defendants	
18	UNITED STATES 1	DISTRICT COURT
19	NORTHERN DISTRI OAKLAND	
20		
	RESOURCE RENEWAL INSTITUTE, CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL	Case No. 4:16-cv-00688-SBA (KAW)
21	DIVERSITY, and WESTERN WATERSHEDS PROJECT,	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND [PROPOSED]
22	Plaintiffs,	ORDER
23	, ,	
24	V.	
25	NATIONAL PARK SERVICE, a federal agency, and CICELY MULDOON, in her	
26	official capacity as Superintendent of Point Reyes National Seashore,	
27		
	Defendants.	
28		

1	ADDITIONAL COUNSEL:	
2		
3	Peter Obstler Brown George Ross LLP	BRIAN E. WASHINGTON, SBN 146807 COUNTY COUNSEL
4	101 California Street (Suite 1225) San Francisco CA 94111	Office of the County Counsel, County of Marin
5	Office: (415) 391-7100 Cell: (415) 307-5458	3501 Civic Center Drive, Room 275 San Rafael, CA 94903
6	Fax: (415) 391-7198 Email: pobstler@bgrfirm.com	Tel.: (415) 473-6117 Fax: (415) 473-3796
7	Attorneys for Rossotti Rancher Intervenors	bwashington@marincounty.org Attorney for County Intervenor
8	JULIE EVANS ROSSOTTI, DAVID EVANS, DAN and DOLORES EVANS,	COUNTY OF MARIN
9	ROBERT McCLURE, TIM, TOM, and MIKE KEHOE, NICHOLA, ERNIE, and	
10	ERNEST SPALETTA, JR., BETTY NUNES, WILLIAM and NICOLETTE NIMAN,	
11	LINDA and JARROD MENDOZA, JOLYNN and ROBERT MCCLELLAND,	
12	and ROBERT GIACOMINI	
13	CAROLINE LOBDELL (Ore. Bar No. 021236) Pro Hac Vice	
14	SCOTT W. HORNGREN (Ore. Bar No. 88060) Pro Hac Vice	
15	Western Resources Legal Center 9220 SW Barbur Blvd., Suite 327	
16	Portland, Oregon 97219 Telephone: (503) 768-8500	
17	Facsimile: (503) 222-3255 Email: clobdell@wrlegal.org	
18	shorngren@wrlegal.org	
19	REMY MOOSE MANLEY, LLP HOWARD F. WILKINS III (SBN 203083)	
20	555 Capitol Mall, Suite 800 Sacramento, CA 95814	
21	Telephone: (916) 443-2745 Facsimile: (916) 443-9017	
22	Email: cwilkins@rmmenvirolaw.com	
23	Attorneys for Defendant-Intervenors KEVIN and NANCY LUNNY; RICHARD	
24	and JACKIE GROSSI; TED and RHEA MCISAAC; GINO LUCCHESI, JR., and CLAYTON LUCCHESI; MIKE and MORGANI	
25	CLAYTON LUCCHESI; MIKE and MORGAN GIAMONNA; RICHARD GALLAGHER;	
26	RALPH GIACOMINI, JR., and LUKE GIACOMINI; FRED and GINNY ROGERS;	
27	LOUIS and WYATT ZANARDI; and PAULETTE PERCY	
28		
	·	

RESOURCE RENEWAL INSTITUTE, CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY, and
WESTERN WATERSHEDS PROJECT (collectively, "Plaintiffs"), NATIONAL PARK SERVICE
and CICELY MULDOON IN HER OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS SUPERINTENDENT OF POINT
REYES NATIONAL SEASHORE ("Defendants"), Defendant-Intervenors JULIE EVANS
ROSSOTTI, DAVID EVANS, DAN and DOLORES EVANS, ROBERT McCLURE, TIM, TOM, and
MIKE KEHOE, NICHOLA, ERNIE, and ERNEST SPALETTA, JR., BETTY NUNES, WILLIAM
and NICOLETTE NIMAN, LINDA and JARROD MENDOZA, JOLYNN and ROBERT
MCCLELLAND, and ROBERT GIACOMINI (the "Rossotti Ranchers"), KEVIN and NANCY
LUNNY, RICHARD and JACKIE GROSSI, TED and RHEA MCISAAC, GINO LUCCHESI, JR. and
CLAYTON LUCCHESI, MIKE and MORGAN GIAMONNA, RICHARD GALLAGHER, RALPH
GIACOMINI, JR., and LUKE GIACOMINI, FRED and GINNY ROGERS, and PAULETTE PERCY
("the Lunny Ranchers"), the POINT REYES SEASHORE RANCHERS ASSOCIATION (the
"PRSRA"), and the COUNTY OF MARIN (collectively, "the Parties"), through undersigned counsel
enter into the following Stipulated Settlement Agreement for the purpose of resolving the above-
captioned litigation without further judicial proceedings and for no other purpose.

- A. WHEREAS, Plaintiffs filed a lawsuit against Defendants on February 10, 2016, (ECF No. 1) alleging that Defendants have unreasonably delayed a timely revision to the 1980 General Management Plan ("GMP") for Point Reyes National Seashore ("Point Reyes") and violated the National Environmental Policy Act ("NEPA"), the National Park Service ("NPS") Organic Act, and the Point Reyes National Seashore Enabling Act, by authorizing year-round livestock ranching and associated residential activities without preparing an environmental analysis of ranching's impacts on Point Reyes;
- B. WHEREAS, the Court denied the Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Claims on July 15, 2016, but granted Defendants' alternative Motion for a More Definite Statement (ECF No. 49);
- C. WHEREAS, Plaintiffs filed their First Amended Complaint on August 5, 2016 (ECF No. 78);

- D. WHEREAS, Plaintiffs filed a Motion for Preliminary Injunction seeking to enjoin Defendants from proceeding with further work on a Comprehensive Ranch Management Plan or the issuance of any new long-term leases, permits, or authorizations for ranching exceeding one-year in duration until Plaintiffs' claims that a GMP should be completed first could be adjudicated (ECF No. 86), which Defendants opposed (ECF No. 106);
- E. WHEREAS, the Court entered an Order on July 22, 2016, referring the above-captioned action for a mandatory settlement conference (the "Settlement Conference") (ECF No. 55) with the Honorable Magistrate-Judge Donna M. Ryu (ECF No. 67) on September 20, 2016 (ECF No. 72);
- F. WHEREAS, the Rossotti Ranchers and the County of Marin reached agreement with Plaintiffs on terms of limited intervention (ECF Nos. 63, 73);
- G. WHEREAS, the Lunny Ranchers did not reach agreement with Plaintiffs on terms of intervention and moved the Court to intervene as of right on July 22, 2016 (ECF No. 56);
- H. WHEREAS, the Court granted in part and denied in part the Lunny Ranchers' motion to intervene on September 2, 2016 (ECF No. 96), and the Lunny Ranchers filed a protective notice of appeal on October 31, 2016 (ECF 117);
- I. WHEREAS, the Parties and representatives for the Lunny Ranchers, the Rossotti Ranchers, and the County of Marin participated in a Settlement Conference on September 20, 2016, with Judge Ryu and agreed to deadlines regarding next steps (ECF No. 112);
- J. WHEREAS, the Parties (as defined below), with the assistance of Judge Ryu, have reached an agreement to settle and resolve this litigation according to the terms and conditions set forth below;
- K. WHEREAS, the Parties agree that settlement of this case in the manner described below is in the public interest and their own interest, is in keeping with the statutes governing stewardship of the Point Reyes National Seashore, and provides a comprehensive planning solution for future management of the lands at Point Reyes and at the north district of the Golden Gate National Recreation Area that are currently leased for ranching and ancillary activities.
 - L. WHEREAS, the Parties understand that the General Management Plan Amendment and

2

3

4

10

12 13

14 15

17

16

18 19

20 21

22 23

24

25 26

27 28 J.

Environmental Impact Statement and associated Record of Decision as defined herein should provide sufficient direction to NPS to allow it to determine whether to issue leases for continued ranching operations. Nothing in this Agreement shall be construed as pre-decisional or as pre-determinative of the outcome of the planning process, or the future extent or existence of ranching, or the length of any future ranching lease, if any, within Point Reyes National Seashore or the north district of the Golden Gate National Recreation Area.

DEFINITIONS

- Α. "Agreement" means this Stipulated Settlement Agreement.
- B. "Drakes Beach herd" means those tule elk currently inhabiting or grazing on E Ranch, D Ranch, C Ranch, B Ranch, A Ranch, and all areas directly adjacent to those ranches.
 - C. "EIS" means environmental impact statement pursuant to NEPA (defined below).
 - D. "GMP" means the Point Reyes National Seashore General Management Plan of 1980.
- E. "GMP Amendment" means the partial amendment to Point Reyes National Seashore's General Management Plan of 1980 described in Paragraph 1 below.
- F. "Interim Lease" means an extension of an existing lease or permit for a term not to exceed the Interim Period, or a short-term lease not to exceed the remainder of the Interim Period issued after the expiration of a Reservation of Use and Occupancy or a Life Estate, that authorizes beef or dairy ranching operations within Point Reyes National Seashore or the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area.
- G. "Interim Period" means the period spanning from the date of entry of an Order by the Court approving this Agreement until one year after the due date for issuing the Record of Decision, for a total not to exceed five years.
- "NEPA" means the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, 42 U.S.C. § 4321 et Η. seq.
 - "NPS" means the National Park Service. I.
- "Parties" means the parties to this agreement, including Plaintiffs, Defendants, the Rossotti Ranchers, the Lunny Ranchers, the PRSRA, the County of Marin, and any other "Rancher" as defined herein who becomes a signatory to this Agreement.

13

14 15

16

17 18

19 20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

- "Point Reyes" or "Seashore" mean Point Reyes National Seashore. K.
- "Rancher" or "Ranchers" means any person who is currently listed on a permit, lease, L. or other authorization, including a Reservation of Use and Occupancy or a life estate, who is authorized to operate a beef or dairy ranch within Point Reyes National Seashore or the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area, or any person who receives an Interim Lease under this Agreement.

NOW THEREFORE, in the interests of the public and the Parties, as well as judicial economy, the Parties herby stipulate and agree to the following terms in settlement of any and all claims in the above-captioned litigation:

AGREEMENT

Federal Defendants' Planning Commitments A.

- 1. In lieu of the Comprehensive Ranch Management Plan that NPS has been preparing, NPS shall prepare a GMP Amendment and an EIS that, at a minimum, addresses the lands currently leased for ranching in Point Reyes and in the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area. In addition to the no action alternative, the GMP Amendment and EIS shall give full consideration to, and disclose the impacts of, at least the following action alternatives that will not be conditioned or dependent on ranchers' discretionary termination of their leases, permits, or ranching operations: a no ranching alternative, a reduced ranching alternative, and a no-dairy ranching alternative. The GMP Amendment and EIS action alternatives will include both programmatic and detailed components addressing alternative strategies for future management of the lands that are currently leased for ranching, including the issues of ranch and elk management, except that the no ranching alternative need not address ranch management. In preparing the GMP Amendment and the EIS, NPS will follow all applicable laws and policies, including, but not limited to, 54 U.S.C. § 100101(a), and will also address the four statutory elements of 54 U.S.C. § 100502 unless inapplicable. The GMP Amendment and EIS may be combined in a single document ("GMP Amendment/EIS").
- 2. This Agreement does not preclude NPS from exercising its lawful discretion to consider, and expressly preserves, NPS's right to examine other potential action alternatives. This

Agreement also does not preclude NPS from exercising its lawful discretion to consider, as part of any action alternative, except for the no ranching alternative, management strategies or actions that include agricultural diversification; increased operational flexibility; the promotion of sustainable operational practices; and succession planning, among others.

3. NPS shall issue a Record of Decision in accordance with 40 C.F.R. § 1505.2 and 43 C.F.R. § 46.450 for the GMP Amendment/EIS within four years from the date of approval of this Agreement by the Court. Until NPS issues the Record of Decision for the GMP Amendment/EIS, it shall cease further work on and shall not release for public review the Comprehensive Ranch Management Plan or any other similarly comprehensive ranching plan of general applicability.

B. Actions During the Interim Period

- 4. During the Interim Period, NPS may issue Interim Leases, and may do so immediately after this Agreement becomes effective as defined herein. The NPS may also issue Interim Leases to ranchers or their family members if a Reservation of Use and Occupancy or a life estate has expired, or expires, during the Interim Period. NPS shall not issue any Interim Lease or ranching lease or permit to ranches operating within the Seashore and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area for a term that exceeds the Interim Period until the Record of Decision is issued. With the exception of the Interim Leases provided for herein, during the Interim Period NPS shall not issue any ranching leases or permits within Point Reyes National Seashore or the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area that have a term of greater than one year. Provided, that NPS may agree with the current holder of a Reservation of Use and Occupancy or life estate that a family member can continue operations for the remainder of the Interim Period under a lease or permit in the event of the death of the holder of a Reservation of Use and Occupancy or life estate.
- 5. The Interim Leases shall allow existing ranching operations to continue under current terms and conditions but shall not allow for expanded or new uses (e.g., additional or new types of livestock or crops, or other commercial operations like bed and breakfasts or processing facilities). Ranchers shall comply with the terms and conditions of their leases, permits, or authorizations, which NPS has the sole power to enforce. Other than the expiration date of a lease, permit, or authorization that was extended by an Interim Lease, nothing in this Agreement shall be

11 12

13

14

16

15

17 18

19

20

21

23

22

24 25

27

26

28

deemed to alter, amend, cancel, or modify any of the terms and conditions of the current leases, permits, or authorizations.

- 6. Ranchers currently holding leases for terms that extend beyond the Interim Period shall be able to retain those leases, provided that NPS may not extend the term of such leases until after the issuance of the Record of Decision under this Agreement.
- 7. NPS shall not issue or extend any Interim Lease or ranching lease or permit that authorizes ranching within the Seashore to any entities, operations, or persons who are not listed on the current leases or permits prior to the issuance of the Record of Decision, except that NPS may, in its discretion, approve assignment of Interim Leases granted during the Interim Period, or grant an Interim Lease to, family members of ranchers, provided that those family members become a Party to this Agreement by signing a counterpart signature page. Any new signature page adding one or more Parties to the Agreement need not be filed with the Court but the new Party must distribute the signature page to all Parties.
- 8. Nothing in this Agreement shall be deemed to alter, abridge, amend, or affect any time period, terms, rights, obligations, or conditions provided for under any existing Right of Reservation of Use and Occupancy or life estate retained by any Rancher operating within the Seashore or the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area.
- 9. Nothing in this Agreement shall be construed to alter NPS's authority to issue or extend leases or permits after issuance of the Record of Decision, as permitted by applicable law.
- 10. Prior to the issuance of the Record of Decision, NPS will preserve and manage tule elk at the Seashore under its lawful authority, and shall endeavor to use non-lethal management techniques to manage the population of the Drakes Beach herd.

C. **Effective Date and Termination Date**

11. This Agreement shall take effect immediately upon entry of an Order by the Court approving this Agreement in its entirety and without any change. If for any reason the District Court does not enter an Order approving this Agreement in its entirety and without any change, the obligations set forth in this Agreement shall become null and void. If the Court does not approve this Agreement in its entirety and without any change, then the Parties will meet and confer as soon as

2:7

possible to discuss a potential resolution of the Court's concerns; if they cannot reach agreement on their own within three weeks' time (or longer upon agreement of the Parties), the Parties agree to jointly request the assistance of Judge Ryu or, if she is unavailable, the assistance of another court-appointed mediator. This Agreement shall terminate one year after the issuance of the Record of Decision as provided for herein.

D. Dismissal of Claims; Release and Reservation of Rights

- 12. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(2), the Second and Third Claims of Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint are dismissed with prejudice by the Court effective immediately upon entry of an Order by the Court approving this Agreement. Such dismissal shall not be deemed res judicata or otherwise be deemed to preclude or bar such claims as to other leases or authorizations not listed in Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint, except that Plaintiffs shall not assert claims against other ranching leases or authorizations that are currently in effect and held by any Rancher within the north district of the Golden Gate National Recreation Area who signs this Agreement, and nothing herein shall be deemed to preclude claims by Plaintiffs for breach of this Agreement as it relates to the Interim Leases.
- Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint is dismissed without prejudice by the Court effective immediately upon entry of an Order by the Court approving this Agreement. Following the date for issuance of the Record of Decision for the GMP Amendment/EIS as provided in this Agreement, Plaintiffs may reinstate their First Claim, provided that, in the event Plaintiffs seek to reinstate their First Claim, Plaintiffs waive, relinquish, and shall not assert (1) any arguments or claims that the GMP Amendment/EIS is illegal because it is only a partial amendment to the GMP, and (2) any arguments or claims that NPS has acted illegally by, prior to fully revising the entire GMP, issuing the GMP Amendment/EIS to address the future management of lands currently leased for ranching, the management of ranching operations, and tule elk management on lands currently leased for ranching. Nothing in this Agreement shall otherwise be deemed to alter, abridge, amend, or affect the scope of Plaintiffs' First Claim in their First Amended Complaint, which only challenges NPS's alleged failure to revise its GMP.

14. Nothing in this Agreement constitutes a waiver of any defense to claims brought by Plaintiffs or that might be brought by Plaintiffs; Defendants, the Rossotti Ranchers, the Lunny Ranchers, the PRSRA and the County of Marin specifically reserve the right to raise all applicable defenses to any claim Plaintiffs may bring or reinstate.

15. The Lunny Ranchers agree to dismiss their Ninth Circuit Appeal No. 16-17027 upon the Court's approval of this Agreement.

E. Parties to the Agreement

16. This Agreement is not intended to confer, and shall not be interpreted in a manner so as to confer, substantive or procedural rights or benefits on persons or entities that are not Parties as defined under this Agreement, or to create intended or expected third party status on any such non-Party.

F. Dispute Resolution, Enforceability, and Modification

- 17. The Court shall retain jurisdiction for the sole purpose of resolving disputes that may arise concerning the performance or alleged non-performance of this Agreement. The Court's jurisdiction shall terminate one year after the issuance of the Record of Decision, unless the Parties have notified the Court of a dispute regarding compliance with this Agreement and that dispute remains pending before this Court, in which case the Court's jurisdiction shall continue until the dispute is finally resolved in accordance with the dispute resolution provisions set forth herein. See Kokkonen v. Guardian Life Ins. Co. of Am., 511 U.S. 375 (1994).
- 18. In the event of a dispute arising out of the performance or alleged non-performance of this Agreement, or a proposed modification of the Agreement as provided in Paragraph 20, or any other dispute related to this Agreement while it is in effect, the Parties agree to notify all other Parties when a dispute or concern arises and request an opportunity promptly to discuss the disputed issues or concerns. All Parties shall first make a good faith, concerted effort to resolve the dispute or concern through direct negotiations within five business days, or thereafter with the assistance of Judge Ryu or another court-appointed magistrate judge. If the Parties are unable to resolve the dispute within 45 days of the receipt of the notice of the dispute or concern or such longer

time to which they agree, any party may seek relief in court. The Parties' remedy for any breach of this Agreement by the Defendants or any other Party shall be limited to a motion for declaratory or injunctive relief to enforce the terms of this Agreement, except that Plaintiffs may seek an award of attorneys' fees and costs from Defendants as provided under existing law related to such a motion. In the event of a breach of any court order granting a motion against Defendants or any other Party to enforce the terms of this Agreement, any Party may seek enforcement of such court order, but may not seek criminal or monetary sanctions from Defendants as a remedy for a breach of such a court order, except that Plaintiffs may seek an award of attorneys' fees and costs against Defendants as provided under existing law related to such an enforcement action. If Plaintiffs initiate a proceeding to enforce this Agreement and Defendants still fail to comply with any resulting Court order requiring Defendants' compliance with this Agreement, Plaintiffs may elect to move the court to declare this Agreement null and void and, if the Court grants that motion, Plaintiffs may reinstate without limitation all Claims in their Amended Complaint and bring any other claims that they may have.

- injunctive or declaratory relief from the Court to avoid immediate, irreparable harm and maintain the status quo pending such resolution efforts, but before seeking such relief from the Court must first notify all other Parties of the disputed issue or concern and request an opportunity promptly to discuss the disputed issues or concerns. Before seeking interim injunctive or declaratory relief from the Court, all Parties shall first make a good faith, concerted effort to resolve the dispute or concern through direct negotiations within five business days. A motion seeking interim relief from the Court pursuant to this Paragraph shall not seek to hold any Party in contempt of court. If the Court grants a request for interim injunctive relief, then NPS may seek relief from any deadlines under this Agreement commensurate with the length of any such injunction through mutual agreement with the Parties, or pursuant to the process outlined in Paragraphs 17 and 18.
- 20. The Parties reserve the right to modify this Agreement upon mutually agreeable terms to address circumstances not presently anticipated, including any force majeure event as described in Paragraph 22. Any modification of this Agreement shall be made in writing and approved by the Parties. Court approval of any such written modification shall not be required.

G. Fees and Costs

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

21. Defendants and Intervenors agree to bear their own fees and costs associated with the above-captioned ligation. Plaintiffs reserve the right to seek an award against NPS for reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred in connection with this lawsuit, except that Plaintiffs and Keker & Van Nest LLP waive any right to fees incurred, charged, or otherwise assessed by Keker & Van Nest LLP to the date of entry of an Order by the Court approving this Agreement. In the event that Plaintiffs seek fees and costs against NPS, they shall not seek fees for any work done by any current or former employee of the Keker & Van Nest firm, including, but not limited to, any attorney. paralegal, legal assistant, or other support staff, except that Plaintiffs do not waive their right to seek a fees and costs award for work done on this case by Laird Lucas in his capacity as Executive Director of Advocates for the West. The Plaintiffs and NPS shall attempt promptly to reach agreement as to Plaintiffs' entitlement to any other fees and costs recovery and the appropriate amount of such recovery. If Plaintiffs and NPS are unable to reach agreement, they agree to seek the assistance of Judge Ryu or another court-appointed magistrate judge. If an agreement cannot be reached after goodfaith efforts and mediation, Plaintiffs may then file a motion with the Court for such recovery. By entering this Agreement, NPS preserves, and does not waive, any arguments, claims, defenses, or right to contest entitlement to or the amount of fees or costs claimed by Plaintiffs.

H. Force Majeure Event

that prevents NPS from fulfilling any obligation required by this Agreement despite the exercise of due diligence. Such events may include natural disasters, war, strikes, court order, or legal prohibitions. The term "due diligence" as used herein means, to the extent reasonably possible, taking steps to prevent the force majeure event from occurring, or to minimize the extent of the force majeure event's interference with NPS's performance of any affected obligations under this Agreement.

Except as provided by Paragraph 23 of the Agreement, NPS shall not take actions directly or indirectly to encourage, cause, or instigate a force majeure event. If a force majeure event materially delays or prevents Defendants from complying with the Planning Commitments set forth in Section A of this Agreement, Plaintiffs may institute the process outlined in Paragraphs 17, 18 and 19, and, if direct

15.16.

negotiation and mediation is unsuccessful, move the Court to void this Agreement and reinstate their claims, provided however that the Defendants' delay in performance, or non-performance, of an obligation required by this Agreement caused by a force majeure event is not a failure to comply with the terms of this Agreement so long as NPS complies with the following in the event of a force majeure event:

- a. NPS shall notify all Parties in writing within ten days of the onset of the claimed force majeure event, and to the extent then known by NPS, of the nature and expected duration of such event, its actual or anticipated interference with NPS's performance of any affected obligations under this Agreement, and a description of the steps taken or proposed to be taken to prevent or minimize the force majeure event's interference with NPS's performance of any affected obligations under this Agreement;
- b. NPS shall provide periodic written notification to all Parties of NPS's efforts to address and resolve a force majeure event; and
- c. If any Party disputes NPS's claim of a force majeure event, or the adequacy of NPS's efforts to address and resolve such event, all Parties shall comply with the Dispute Resolution Process specified in Paragraphs 17, 18, and 19.

I. Authority, Execution, and General Terms of Agreement

- 23. Nothing in this Agreement is intended or shall be construed to affect or limit the authority or obligation of the NPS to fulfill its constitutional, statutory, and regulatory responsibilities or comply with any judicial decision. Nothing in this Agreement shall be deemed to limit the authority of the executive branch of the United States to make recommendations to Congress on any particular proposed legislation. Nothing in this Agreement is intended or shall be construed to deprive any public official of the authority to revise, amend, or promulgate regulations.
- 24. Except as specifically provided for herein, all Ranchers who desire Interim

 Leases shall become Parties to this Agreement by signing it and, upon execution, each Party shall have
 the right to enforce the provisions of this Agreement as provided for herein. The County of Marin
 shall become a Party to this Agreement and, upon execution by authorized County officials, the

 County of Marin shall have the right to enforce its provisions as provided for herein.

25.

- following material consideration on behalf of each Party:

 (a) Plaintiffs' dismissal of their claims, and their agreement to the GMI
- (a) Plaintiffs' dismissal of their claims, and their agreement to the GMP Amendment/EIS process described herein and issuance (and assignment) of any Interim Leases, as described herein;

The Parties acknowledge and agree that the terms of this Agreement ensure the

- (b) Defendants' commitment to prepare and issue the GMP Amendment/EIS described herein, and issue any Interim Leases (including assignments of such leases to family members) which may be appropriate;
- (c) The Ranchers' and the PRSRA's agreement to the GMP Amendment/EIS process described herein, and commitment to uphold the terms of this Agreement.

The Parties acknowledge and agree this Agreement benefits the public and the Parties by prescribing a comprehensive public planning process that, through the investment of substantial public funds and personnel resources, will address issues and concerns that are important to the public and the Parties. The Parties further acknowledge and agree that this Agreement significantly benefits the Ranchers by resolving uncertainty stemming from this litigation, their current one-year authorizations, and their inability to assign those authorizations to family members. For these reasons, the Parties acknowledge and agree that the durability and successful implementation of this Agreement is in their mutual interest, as well as that of the public. The Parties therefore enter into this Agreement in good faith and commit to an ongoing obligation to comply with its terms and safeguard its integrity. Thus, the Ranchers, County of Marin, the PRSRA, and Plaintiffs agree not take any action that would nullify or void this Agreement or interfere with NPS's timely fulfillment of its obligations set forth herein.

26. Nothing in this Agreement shall be deemed to limit any Party from petitioning or making recommendations to Congress on any particular proposed legislation governing Point Reyes, the north District of the GGNRA, or legislation of broader applicability involving public lands, the environment, or natural resources, except as provided and enumerated below. Nothing in this Agreement is intended or shall be construed to deprive any Party from petitioning any administrative agency to revise, amend, or promulgate regulations governing Point Reyes, the north District of the GGNRA, or other public lands, except as provided and enumerated below. The Ranchers, County of Marin, PRSRA, and Plaintiffs agree that they will not, prior to approval of the Record of Decision,

27

28

exercise their rights to petition to: (1) amend the Interim Leases as defined by the Settlement Agreement; (2) change the existing acreage for dairying and ranching under the Interim Leases; (3) change the existing uses allowed under the Interim Leases, or (4) change during the Interim Period the terms agreed to in Paragraph 4 herein. The Ranchers, the County of Marin, the PRSRA, and Plaintiffs agree that they will not, prior to approval of the Record of Decision, exercise their rights to petition to preclude NPS from timely preparing and completing a GMP Amendment/EIS that, at a minimum, addresses the lands currently leased for ranching in Point Reyes and in the north district of the Golden Gate National Recreation area.

27. Defendants have represented to the Plaintiffs that funds are currently available through the NPS budget for Fiscal Year 2017 for planning and compliance work in support of the GMP Amendment/EIS and Record of Decision as described herein, provided however that nothing in this Agreement shall be interpreted as, or shall constitute, a commitment or requirement that NPS obligate or pay funds, or take any other actions in contravention of the Anti-Deficiency Act, 31 U.S.C. § 1341 or any other applicable law. To the extent that the expenditure or advance of any money or the performance of any obligation of the United States under this Agreement requires a further appropriation of funds by Congress, the expenditure, advance, or performance shall be contingent upon the appropriation of funds by Congress that are available for this purpose and the apportionment of such funds by the Office of Management and Budget. No breach of this Agreement shall result and no liability shall accrue to the United States in the event that further funds are not appropriated or apportioned. In the event NPS does not receive appropriated funds sufficient to meet its obligations under this Agreement, NPS shall notify all Parties as provided for herein within fourteen days of determining it has insufficient funds. Upon NPS's notification, the Parties agree to discuss the impact of any lack of funds and make a good faith, concerted effort to resolve concerns regarding any potential impact on this Agreement through direct negotiations. If the Parties are unable to reach an agreement on a mutually acceptable path forward within 21 days of the receipt of the notice under this paragraph, or such shorter time to which they agree upon, then the Parties agree to jointly request the assistance of Judge Ryu or another court-appointed magistrate judge. If, after good-faith efforts, mediation is unsuccessful, then any Party may elect to move the Court to declare this Agreement null

12

13 14

15 16

17 18

19 20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

and void. If the Court grants that motion, Plaintiffs may reinstate without limitation all Claims in their Amended Complaint and bring any other claims that they may have. Any Party signing this Agreement may file a motion to intervene.

- 28. The Parties execute this Agreement solely for the purpose of compromising and settling this litigation and nothing herein shall be admitted as a precedent in any proceeding except any proceeding related to the enforcement of this Agreement. This Agreement is not, and shall not be construed as, an admission against interest or of wrongdoing or liability, by any of the Parties with respect to any fact or issue involved in any pending or future litigation.
- 29. This Agreement was negotiated between the Parties in good faith and was jointly drafted by the Parties. Accordingly, the Parties hereby agree that any and all rules of construction to the effect that ambiguity is construed against the drafting party shall be inapplicable in any dispute concerning the terms, meaning, or interpretation of this Agreement.
- 30. This Agreement represents the entirety of the Parties' commitments with regard to settlement. There are no representations, agreements, or understandings relating to this settlement other than those expressly contained in this Agreement.
- 31. The paragraph headings in this Agreement are for the convenience of the Parties and the Court and are not intended to be given any substantive effect in interpreting this Agreement.
- 32. This Agreement may be executed in counterparts. All executed counterparts shall constitute one Agreement, and each counterpart shall be deemed an original.
- 33. All negotiations leading up to this Agreement, and any further negotiations related to this Agreement, are and will remain confidential, even after this expiration of this Agreement, subject to the terms and conditions of the Stipulated Confidentiality Order entered by the Court on September 19, 2016 (ECF No. 109).
- 34. Each Party certifies that each person listed below signing for that Party is fully authorized to agree to the terms and conditions of this Agreement and to legally bind such Parties to it. This Agreement shall apply to and be binding upon each of the Parties including, but not limited to, their officers, directors, employees, and successors-in-interest. The County of Marin represents that

1	the Marin County Board of Supervisors has reviewed and approved this Settlement Agreement prior to		
2	its execution. PRSRA represents that its members have reviewed and approved this Settlement		
3	Agreement prior to its execution.		
4	J.	Notice	
5		35. For any provision of this Settlement Agreement that requires notice to be	
	provided to a		
6		ny Party, notice shall be made to counsel for the Party by hard copy and electronic mail	
7	at the following	ng addresses (with the exception of PRSRA, which shall be given notice directly rather	
8	than through	counsel as set forth below):	
9		For Federal Defendants National Park Service and Cicely Muldoon in her official	
10		capacity as Superintendent of Point Reyes National Seashore:	
11		JOSEPH T. MATHEWS	
		Trial Attorney, U.S. Department of Justice	
12		Environment and Natural Resources Division Natural Resources Section	
13		P.O. Box 7611	
1 4		Ben Franklin Station	
14		Washington, DC 20044-7611	
15		Telephone: (202) 305-0432	
		Facsimile: (202) 305-0506	
16		Email: joseph.mathews@usdoj.gov	
17		MICHAEL T. PYLE	
18		Assistant United States Attorney	
		150 Almaden Boulevard, Suite 900	
19		San Jose, California 95113	
20		Telephone: (408) 535-5087	
20		Facsimile: (408) 535-5081	
21		Email: michael.t.pyle@usdoj.gov	
22	For Plaintiffs Resource Renewal Institute, Center for Biological Diversity, and Western Watersheds Project:		
23			
24		JEFFREY R. CHANIN jchanin@keker.com	
		DAVID W. RIZK drizk@keker.com	
25		KEKER, VAN NEST & PETERS, LLP 633 Battery Street	
26		San Francisco, CA 94111-1809	
27	Telephone: 415 391 5400		
		Facsimile: 415 397 7188	
28		LAURENCE ("LAIRD") J. LUCAS	
		16	

Case 4:16-cv-00688-SBA Document 143 Filed 07/14/17 Page 18 of 43

1	llucas@advocateswest.org
2	ELIZABETH H. POTTER epotter@advocateswest.org
3	ADVOCATES FOR THE WEST P.O. Box 1612, Boise, ID 83701
4	Telephone: 208 342 7024 Facsimile: 208 342 8286
5	For JULIE EVANS ROSSOTTI, DAVID EVANS, DAN and DOLORES EVANS, ROBERT MCCLURE, TIM, TOM, and MIKE KEHOE, NICHOLA,
	ERNIE, and ERNEST SPALETTA, JR., BETTY NUNES, WILLIAM and NICOLETTE NIMAN, LINDA and JARROD MENDOZA, JOLYNN and
7	ROBERT MCCLELLAND, and ROBERT GIACOMINI:
8	Peter Obstler
9	Brown George Ross LLP 101 California Street (Suite 1225)
10	San Francisco CA 94111 Office: (415) 391-7100
11	Fax: (415) 391-7198
12	Email: pobstler@bgrfirm.com
13	HARRIS SHERMAN harris.sherman@apks.com
14	ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER LLP 370 Seventeenth Street, Suite 4400
	Denver, CO 80202-1370 Tel: 303.863.2347
15	Fax: 303.832.0428
16	For KEVIN and NANCY LUNNY; RICHARD and JACKIE GROSSI; TED and
17	RHEA MCISAAC; GINO LUCCHESI, JR., and CLAYTON LUCCHESI; MIKE and MORGAN GIAMONNA; RICHARD GALLAGHER; RALPH
18	GIACOMINI, JR., and LUKE GIACOMINI; FRED and GINNY ROGERS; LOUIS and WYATT ZANARDI; and PAULETTE PERCY:
19	, '
20	CAROLINE LOBDELL SCOTT W. HORNGREN
	Western Resources Legal Center 9220 SW Barbur Blvd., Suite 327
21	Portland, Oregon 97219
22	Telephone: (503) 768-8500 Facsimile: (503) 222-3255
23	Email: clobdell@wrlegal.org shorngren@wrlegal.org
24	For the POINT REYES SEASHORE RANCHERS ASSOCIATION:
25	
26	15020 Sir Francis Drake Blvd. Inverness, CA 94937
27	For COUNTY OF MARIN:
28	BRIAN E. WASHINGTON, SBN 146807 COUNTY COUNSEL
	17
	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND [PROPOSED] ORDER

1 2 3	Office of the County Counsel, County of Marin 3501 Civic Center Drive, Room 275 San Rafael, CA 94903 Tel.: (415) 473-6117 Fax: (415) 473-3796 bwashington@marincounty.org		
5	Upon written notice to the other Parties, any Party may designate a successor contact person		
6	for any matter relating to this Agreement.		
7	WHEREFORE, after reviewing the terms and conditions of this Agreement, the Parties hereby		
8	consent and agree to the terms and conditions of this Agreement.		
9	Dated: Much 3, 2017 By: Debouch of Moskow S RESOURCE RENEWAL INSTITUTE		
1			
2	Dated: By:		
3	Dated:By:CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY		
4			
5	Dated: By:		
6	Dated: By:WESTERN WATERSHEDS PROJECT		
7			
88 99 00 11 22	Dated: By: LAURA E. JOSS, REGIONAL DIRECTOR, PACIFIC WEST REGION, NATIONAL PARK SERVICE for Defendants NATIONAL PARK SERVICE AND CICELY MULDOON, in her official capacity as SUPERINTENDENT OF POINT REYES NATIONAL SEASHORE		
4 5 6	Dated: By:COUNTY OF MARIN		
7 8	Dated: By:		
	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND [PROPOSED] ORDER Case No. 4:16-cv-00688-SBA (KAW)		

Case 4:16-cv-00688-SBA Document 143 Filed 07/14/17 Page 19 of 43

Case 4:16-cv-00688-SBA Document 143 Filed 07/14/17 Page 20 of 43

BRIAN E. WASHINGTON, SBN 146807 COUNTY COUNSEL Office of the County Counsel, County of Marin		
	3501 Civic Center Dri	ve, Room 275
San Rafael, CA 94903 Tel.: (415) 473-6117 Fax: (415) 473-3796		
	bwashington@marine	
Upon writte	en notice to the other Parties	s, any Party may designate a successor contact person
	ting to this Agreement.	s, any rarry may designate a successor contact person
		ms and conditions of this Agreement, the Parties hereby
	to the terms and conditions	
Dated:		
Dated.		By: RESOURCE RENEWAL INSTITUTE
Dated: 3/4/	17	
Dated.		CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY
D I		
Dated:		By: WESTERN WATERSHEDS PROJECT
Datad		D
Dated:		By: LAURA E. JOSS, REGIONAL DIRECTOR,
		PACIFIC WEST REGION, NATIONAL PARK SERVICE for Defendants
		NATIONAL PARK SERVICE AND CICELY MULDOON, in her official
		capacity as SUPERINTENDENT OF POINT REYES NATIONAL SEASHORE
Dated:		By:
0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000		By: COUNTY OF MARIN
Dated:		By:
		18 AGREEMENT AND [PROPOSED] ORDER

STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND [PROPOSED] ORDER Case No. 4:16-cv-00688-SBA (KAW)

1 Office of the County Counsel, County of Marin 3501 Civic Center Drive, Room 275 2 San Rafael, CA 94903 Tel.: (415) 473-6117 3 (415) 473-3796 Fax: bwashington@marincounty.org 4 Upon written notice to the other Parties, any Party may designate a successor contact person 5 for any matter relating to this Agreement. 6 WHEREFORE, after reviewing the terms and conditions of this Agreement, the Parties hereby 7 consent and agree to the terms and conditions of this Agreement. 8 9 Dated: RESOURCE RENEWAL INSTITUTE 10 11 12 Dated: CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY 13 14 Dated: Mary 6 2017 15 WESTERN WATERSHEDS PROJECT 16 17 Dated: 18 By: LAURA E. JOSS, REGIONAL DIRECTOR, 19 PACIFIC WEST REGION, NATIONAL PARK SERVICE for Defendants 20 NATIONAL PARK SERVICE AND CICELY MULDOON, in her official 21 capacity as SUPERINTENDENT OF POINT REYES NATIONAL SEASHORE 22 23 24 Dated: _____ COUNTY OF MARIN 25 26 27 Dated: JULIE EVANS ROSSOTTI 28 18 STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND [PROPOSED] ORDER

Case No. 4:16-cv-00688-SBA (KAW)

1	Office of the County Counsel, County of Marin 3501 Civic Center Drive, Room 275		
2	San Rafael, CA 94903 Tel.: (415) 473-6117		
3	Fax: (415) 473-3796 bwashington@marincoun	ity.org	
4		D 1 2	
5	Upon written notice to the other Parties, any Party may designate a successor contact person		
6	for any matter relating to this Agreement.		
7		and conditions of this Agreement, the Parties hereby	
8	consent and agree to the terms and conditions of t	his Agreement.	
9	Dated:	By: RESOURCE RENEWAL INSTITUTE	
11			
	Details	D	
12	Dated:	By: CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY	
14			
15	Dated:	By: WESTERN WATERSHEDS PROJECT	
16		WESTERN WATERSHEDS PROJECT	
17		***	
18 19 20	Dated: 6/28/17	By: LAURA E. JOSS, REGIONAL DIRECTOR, PACIFIC WEST REGION, NATIONAL PARK SERVICE for Defendants NATIONAL PARK SERVICE AND	
21		CICELY MULDOON, in her official capacity as SUPERINTENDENT OF POINT REYES NATIONAL SEASHORE	
22			
23		,	
24	Dated:	D	
25	Dated:	By: COUNTY OF MARIN	
26			
27 28	Dated:	By: JULIE EVANS ROSSOTTI	
		18	
. 30	CTIDLU ATED CETTI CMENT AC	DEEMENT AND IDDODOSEDI ODDED	

1	Office of the County Counsel, County of Marin		
2	3501 Civic Center Drive, Room 275 San Rafael, CA 94903		
3	Tel.: (415) 473-6117 Fax: (415) 473-3796		
4	bwashington@marincounty.org		
5	Upon written notice to the other Parties, any Party may designate a successor contact person		
6	for any matter relating to this Agreement.		
7	WHEREFORE, after reviewing the terms and conditions of this Agreement, the Parties hereby		
8	consent and agree to the terms and conditions of this Agreement.		
9	Dated: By:		
10	Dated: By: RESOURCE RENEWAL INSTITUTE		
11			
12	Dated: By:		
13	Dated: By: CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY		
14			
15	Dated: By:		
16	Dated: By: WESTERN WATERSHEDS PROJECT		
17			
18	Dated:		
19	LAURA E. JOSS, REGIONAL DIRECTOR, PACIFIC WEST REGION, NATIONAL PARK SERVICE for Defendants		
20	PARK SERVICE for Defendants NATIONAL PARK SERVICE AND		
21	CICELY MULDOON, in her official capacity as SUPERINTENDENT OF POINT REYES NATIONAL SEASHORE		
22	POINT REYES NATIONAL SEASHORE		
23			
24	To 15		
25	Dated: 7,5.77 By: WM (MM) COUNTY OF MARIN		
26			
27			
28	Dated: 2-27-17 By: July Evans Possotti		
-	18		
	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND [PROPOSED] ORDER Case No. 4:16-ev-00688-SBA (KAW)		



Case 4:16-cv-00688-SBA Document 143 Filed 07/14/17 Page 24 of 43

1	Office of the County Counsel, County of Marin 3501 Civic Center Drive, Room 275 San Rafael, CA 94903		
2			
3	Tel.: (415) 473-6117 Fax: (415) 473-3796		
4	bwashington@marincounty.org		
5	Upon written notice to the other Parties, any Party may designate a successor contact person		
6	for any matter relating to this Agreement.		
7	WHEREFORE, after reviewing the terms and conditions of this Agreement, the Parties hereby		
8	consent and agree to the terms and conditions of this Agreement.		
9	Dated:By:		
11			
12	Dated:By:CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY		
14 15 16	Dated: By:		
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Dated: By: LAURA E. JOSS, REGIONAL DIRECTOR, PACIFIC WEST REGION, NATIONAL PARK SERVICE for Defendants NATIONAL PARK SERVICE AND CICELY MULDOON, in her official capacity as SUPERINTENDENT OF POINT REYES NATIONAL SEASHORE		
24 25 26	Dated: By: COUNTY OF MARIN		
27 28	Dated: 2-27-17 By: Julie Evans Possotti JULIE EVANS ROSSOTTI		

STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND [PROPOSED] ORDER Case No. 4:16-cv-00688-SBA (KAW)

Case 4:16-cv-00688-SBA Document 143 Filed 07/14/17 Page 25 of 43

1 2 3 4	Dated: 3-2-17	By: DAVID EVANS
.5 6.	Dated:	By:DAN EVANS
8 9	Dated:	By:
10 11 12	Dated: 3-2-17	By: ROBERT MCCLURE
13 14 15	Dated:	By:TIM KEHOE
16 17 18 19	Dated:	By:TOM KEHOE
20 21 22	Dated:	By: MIKE KEHOE
23 24 25	Dated:	By:NICHOLA SPALETTA
26 27 28	Dated:	By: ERNIE SPALETTA
	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AG Case No. 4:16-	19 GREEMENT AND [PROPOSED] ORDER -cv-00688-SBA (KAW)

Case 4:16-cv-00688-SBA Document 143 Filed 07/14/17 Page 26 of 43

1 2 3	Dated: 3-2-17	By: DAVID EVANS
4 5 6 7	Dated:	By: DAN EVANS
8 9 10	Dated:	By: DOLORES EVANS
11 12 13	Dated:	By: ROBERT MCCLURE
14 15 16	Dated:	By:
17 18 19	Dated:	By: TOM KEHOE
20 21 22	Dated:	By: MIKE KEHOE
23 24 25	Dated: 3.2.17	By: NICHOLA SPALETTA
26 27 28	Dated: 3 -2 -17	By: ERNIE SPALETTA
l		10

Case 4:16-cv-00688-SBA Document 143 Filed 07/14/17 Page 27 of 43

Dated:	By: DAVID EVANS
Dated:	By: DAN EVANS
Dated:	By: DOLORES EVANS
Dated:	By:ROBERT MCCLURE
Dated: 3/28/17	By: TIM KEHOE
Dated: 2/28/2017	By: JOMAS A Vilor TOM KEHOB
Dated: 2/28/2017	By: Mite Whee MIKE KEHOE
Dated:	By: NICHOLA SPALETTA
Dated:	By: ERNIE SPÄLETTA
CTIDLY ATED CETTS IN 455.	19 T AGREEMENT AND [PROPOSED] ORDER

Case 4:16-cv-00688-SBA Document 143 Filed 07/14/17 Page 28 of 43

Dated:	By: DAVID EVANS
Dated: 2-27-17	By: Small Every DAN EVANS
Dated: 2-27-17	By: Low Evans DOLORES EVANS
Dated:	By: ROBERT MCCLURE
Dated:	By: TIM KEHOE
Dated:	By: TOM KEHOE
Dated:	By: MIKE KEHOE
Dated:	By: NICHOLA SPALETTA
Dated:	By: ERNIE SPALETTA
STIPULATED SETTL	19 EMENT AGREEMENT AND [PROPOSED] ORDER se No. 4:16-cv-00688-SBA (KAW)

Dated: 3-2-17 Dated: 3-2-17 By: WILLIAM NIMAN Dated: Dated: By: _____NICOLETTE NIMAN Dated: LINDA MENDOZA Dated: 3-2-17 Dated: By: ROBERT MCCLELLAND Dated: ROBERT GIACOMINI

STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND [PROPOSED] ORDER Case No. 4:16-cv-00688-SBA (KAW)

Case 4:16-cv-00688-SBA Document 143 Filed 07/14/17 Page 31 of 43

Dated:	By: ERNEST SPALETTA, JR.
Dated:	Ву:
	By: BETTY NUNES
Dated:	By: WILLIAM NIMAN
	WILLIAM NIMAN
Dated:	By: NICOLETTE NIMAN
	NICOLETTE NIMAN
,	
Dated:	By: LINDA MENDOZA
	LINDA MENDOZA
F.	
Dated:	By: JARROD MENDOZA
	VIII (DOE)
Dated:	By: JOLYNN MCCLELLAND
D 1	
Dated:	By: ROBERT MCCLELLAND
	Α
Dated: 2-28-17	By: Release Alice
Dancu, 2 20 11	ROBERT GIACOMINI
Dated:	By: KEVIN LUNNY
	20

Case 4:16-cv-00688-SBA Document 143 Filed 07/14/17 Page 32 of 43

1	Dated:	By: ERNEST SPALETTA, JR.
2 3		
4	Dated:	Bv.
5		By: BETTY NUNES
6		
7	Dated:	By:
8		By: WILLIAM NIMAN
9		
10	Dated:	By:
11		NICOLETTE NIMAN
12		
13	Dated: 3-2-2017	By: Sinda mendoza LINDA MENDOZA
14		LINDA MENDOZA
15		
16	Dated:	By: JARROD MENDOZA
17		
18	Dated: 30217	By: Alma Mullle
19 20	Dated:	By: John McClelland
21		•
22	Dated: 3/02/17	By: 164 11/1/10
23		ROBERT MCCLELLAND
24		
25	Dated:	By: ROBERT GIACOMINI
26		ROBERT GIACOMINI
27	Details	D
28	Dated:	By: KEVIN LUNNY
		20

STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND [PROPOSED] ORDER Case No. 4:16-cv-00688-SBA (KAW)

80	Case 4:16-cv-00688-SBA L	ocument 143 Filed 07/14/17 Page 33 of 43
1 2	2/2/2017	A. CC.
3	Dated: 3/2/2017	By: Adney Sunny NANCY LUNNY
5		
6	Dated: 3-2-2617	By: Ochon Massi RICHARD GROSSI
7		
8 9	Dated: 3-2-2017	By: Jackie GROSSI
10		
11	Dated: 2/2/17	By: AUM
12	~/~//	actition of Levi McIsaac to the leaves,
13 14		
15	Dated:	By: RHEA MCISAAC
16		
17	Dated:	D
18	Dated:	By:
19		
20	Dated:	By: CLAYTON LUCCHESI
22		CLATION ECCHESI
23	Dated: 3-2-17	
24	Dated:	By: MIKE GIAMONNA
25		ÿ.
26	Dated:	By: MORGAN GIAMONNA
27		
28		21
- 1		

1		7 20
3	Dated: 3/2-/2-017	By: Acres burny
4		
5	Dated: 3-2-2617)	By: O Cychon Massi RICHARD GROSSI
7		1
8	Dated: 3-2-2017	By: Jackie GROSSI
10		
11	2/1/2	By: del gr
12	Dated: 2/2//7	red McIsaac to the leaves,
13 14 15	Dated: 7.6-17	By: Man M SMALL RHEA MCISAAC * conditioned upon the addition of Levi malsage to the
17	Dated:	By: GINO LUCCHESI, JR.
20		
21	Dated:	By: CLAYTON LUCCHESI
22		
23	Dated: 3-2-17	By: MIKE GIAMONNA
25		
26 27	Dated:	By: MORGAN GIAMONNA
28		
		21
	STIPULATED SETTLEM Case	MENT AGREEMENT AND [PROPOSED] ORDER No. 4:16-cv-00688-SBA (KAW)

Case 4:16-cv-00688-SBA Document 143 Filed 07/14/17 Page 35 of 43

1		ž.
2	Dated	D
3	Dated:	By: NANCY LUNNY
4		
5	Dated:	By:
6		By: RICHARD GROSSI
7	6	
8	Dated:	By: JACKIE GROSSI
9 10		JACKIE GROSSI
11		
12	Dated:	By: TED MCISAAC
13		TED MCISTATE
14		
15	Dated:	By: RHEA MCISAAC
16		
17	Dotad	The second secon
18	Dated:	By: GINO LUCCHESI, JR.
19		
20	Dated:	Ву:
21		CLAYTON LUCCHESI
22		
23	Dated:	By:
24		MIKE GIAMONNA
25	Dated: 3-8-7017	By: Molant Common
26 27	Dated:	MORGAN GIAMONNA
28		
		21

Case 4:16-cv-00688-SBA Document 143 Filed 07/14/17 Page 36 of 43

1		
2	Datade	D _{vv}
3	Dated:	By: NANCY LUNNY
4		
5	Dated:	Rv.
6	Dated.	By:RICHARD GROSSI
7		
8	Dated:	B _V ∙
9		By:
10		
11	Dated:	By:
12		By: TED MCISAAC
13		
14	Dated:	By:RHEA MCISAAC
15		RHEA MCISAAC
16		\mathcal{L} \mathcal{L} \mathcal{L} \mathcal{L}
17 18	Dated: 3-2 -2017	By: Jus Luk fr GINO LUCCHESI, JR.
18		GINO LUCCHESI, JR.
20		
21	Dated: 3-2-2017	By: CLAYTON LUCCHESI
22		- "
23		
24	Dated:	By: MIKE GIAMONNA
25		
26	Dated:	By: MORGAN GIAMONNA
27		MUKUAN GIAMUNNA
28		
		21

1 2	Dated:	By: Richard Skeeraghes RICHARD GALLAGHER
3	Datada	D
5	Dated:	By: RALPH GIACOMINI, JR.
6 7 8	Dated: 3/2/2017	By: Zuzuluke GIACOMMINI
9 10 11	Dated: 3-2-3017	By: Face Progers
12 13 14	Dated: 3-2-2017	By: Juny Rogers
15 16 17 18	Dated: $\frac{3/2/17}{3102117}$	By: Land the Lengy PAULETTE PERCY Wohn 2 Athullul Secretary
19 20 21	Dated: $3/2/2017$	By: President President ASSOCIATION
22		KEKER, VAN NEST & PETERS, LLP
24 25 26	Dated:	By: JEFFREY R. CHANIN DAVID RIZK
27 28		

1 Dated: RICHARD GALLAGHER 2 3 Dated: 3/9/17 4 5 6 Dated: By: LUKE GIACOMMINI 7 8 9 By: FRED ROGERS Dated: _____ 10 11 12 Dated: By: GINNY ROGERS 13 14 15 Dated: _____ 16 PAULETTE PERCY 17 18 Dated: 19 POINT REYES SEASHORE RANCHERS 20 ASSOCIATION 21 22 23 KEKER, VAN NEST & PETERS, LLP 24 Dated: By: JEFFREY R. CHANIN 25 DAVID RIZK 26 27 28 STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND [PROPOSED] ORDER Case No. 4:16-cv-00688-SBA (KAW)

Dated:	By: RICHARD GALLAGHER
Dated:	By:RALPH GIACOMINI, JR.
Dated:	By:LUKE GIACOMMINI
Dated:	By: FRED ROGERS
Dated:	By: GINNY ROGERS
Dated:	By: PAULETTE PERCY
Dated:	By: POINT REYES SEASHORE RANCHER ASSOCIATION
	KEKER, VAN NEST & PETERS, LLP
Dated: June 29, 2017	By: /s/ Jeffrey R. Chanin
	JEFFREY R. CHANIN DAVID RIZK

	ADVOCATES FOR THE WEST
Dated: June 28, 2017	By: /s/ Elizabeth H. Potter
	LAURENCE ("LAIRD") J. LUCAS ELIZABETH H. POTTER (pro hac vice OR Bar No. 105482) P.O. Box 1612 Boise, ID 83701 Telephone: 208 342 7024 Facsimile: 208 342 8286
	RESOURCE RENEWAL INSTITUTE, CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY, and WESTERN WATERSHEDS PROJECT
	UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
	JEFFREY H. WOOD Acting Assistant Attorney General Environment & Natural Resources Division
Dated:July 12, 2017	By: JOSEPH T. MATHEWS Trial Attorney (Colo. Bar No. 42865) Environment & Natural Resources Division
	United States Department of Justice P.O. Box 7611 Ben Franklin Station Washington, DC 20044-7611 Telephone: (202) 305-0432 Facsimile: (202) 305-0506 Email: joseph.mathews@usdoj.gov
	BRIAN J. STRETCH United States Attorney
	MICHAEL T. PYLE (Cal. Bar No. 172954
	Assistant United States Attorney 150 Almaden Boulevard, Suite 900 San Jose, California 95113
	Telephone: (408) 535-5087 Facsimile: (408) 535-5081 Email: michael.t.pyle@usdoj.gov
	Attorneys for Defendants
	NATIONAL PARK SERVICE, and CICELY MULDOON IN HER OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS SUPERINTENDENT OF
	POINT REYES NATIONAL SEASHORE
	23

	_
1	
2	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
3	Dated: 3-2-17 By:
4	Dated: By: PETER OBSTLER
5	peter.obstler@apks.com ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER LLP
6	Three Embarcadero Center, 10th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-4024
7	Tel: 415.471.3100 Fax: 415.471.3400
8	
9	Attorneys for JULIE EVANS ROSSOTTI, DAVID EVANS, DAN and DOLORES EVANS, ROBERT McCLURE, TIM, TOM, and MIKE KEHOE, NICHOLA, ERNIE, and ERNEST
10	SPALETTA, JR., BETTY NUNES, WILLIAM and NICOLETTE NIMAN, LINDA and JARROD MENDOZA, JOLYNN and ROBERT MCCLELLAND, and ROBERT
11	GIACOMINI:
12	Dated: 3-2-17
13	REMY MOOSE MANLEY, LLP
14	HOWARD F. WILKINS III (SBN 203083) 555 Capitol Mall, Suite 800
15	Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 443-2745
16	Facsimile: (916) 443-9017 Email: cwilkins@rmmenvirolaw.com
17	CAROLINE LOBDELL (Ore. Bar #021236),
18	Pro Hac Vice SCOTT HORNGREN (Ore. Bar #880604), Pro Hac Vice
19	Western Resources Legal Center 9220 SW Barbur Blvd., Suite 327
20	Portland, OR 97219 Telephone: (503) 768-8500
21	Facsimile: (503) 222-3255
22	Email: <u>clobdell@wrlegal.org</u> <u>shorngren@wrlegal.org</u>
23	
24	Attorneys for KEVIN and NANCY LUNNY; RICHARD and JACKIE GROSSI; TED and RHEA MCISAAC; GINO LUCCHESI, JR., CLAYTON LUCCHESI; MIKE and MORGAN
25	GIAMONNA; RICHARD GALLAGHER; RALPH GIACOMINI, JR. and LUKE GIACOMINI; FRED and GINNY ROGERS; LOUIS and WYATT ZANARDI; and
26	PAULETTE PERCY.
27	
28	

Case 4:16-cv-00688-SBA Document 143 Filed 07/14/17 Page 41 of 43

Case 4:16-cv-00688-SBA Document 143 Filed 07/14/17 Page 42 of 43 Dated: 2/22/2017 1 2 BRIAN E. WASHINGTON, SBN 146807 **COUNTY COUNSEL** 3 Office of the County Counsel, County of Marin 3501 Civic Center Drive, Room 275 4 San Rafael, CA 94903 (415) 473-6117 Tel.: 5 (415) 473-3796 Fax: bwashington@marincounty.org 6 7 CERTIFICATION OF CONCURRENCE FROM OTHER PARTIES 8 I, Elizabeth H. Zultoski, am the ECF user whose ID and password are being used to file this 9 Stipulation. In compliance with N.D. Cal. Civ. L.R. 5-1(i)(3), I hereby attest that each of the 10 signatories has concurred in the filing of this document and has authorized the use of his or her 11 electronic signature. 12 13 , 2017 Dated: /s/ DRAFT 14 Elizabeth H. Zultoski 15 16 17 18 19 KKOKOKOKO ORDER PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. July 14 , 2017. 20 21 22 THE HONORABLE SAUNDRA BROWN ARMSTRONG UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 23 24 25 26 27

28

1	Dated: By:
2	BRIAN E. WASHINGTON, SBN 146807
3	COUNTY COUNSEL Office of the County Counsel, County of Marin
4	3501 Civic Center Drive, Room 275 San Rafael, CA 94903
5	Tel.: (415) 473-6117 Fax: (415) 473-3796
6	<u>bwashington@marincounty.org</u>
7	CERTIFICATION OF CONCURRENCE FROM OTHER PARTIES
8	I, Joseph T. Mathews, am the ECF user whose ID and password are being used to file this
9	Stipulation. In compliance with N.D. Cal. Civ. L.R. 5-1(i)(3), I hereby attest that each of the
10	signatories has concurred in the filing of this document and has authorized the use of his or her
11	electronic signature.
12	
13	
14	Dated: July 12, 2017 /s/ Joseph T. Mathews Joseph T. Mathews
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	[PROPOSED] ORDER
20	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED, 2017.
21	
22	THE HONORABLE SAUNDRA BROWN ARMSTRONG
23	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	25
	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND [PROPOSED] ORDER Case No. 4:16-cv-00688-SBA (KAW)

Case 4:16-cv-00688-SBA Document 143 Filed 07/14/17 Page 43 of 43