ReportCard

## 2018-19 School Report Card for Mount Pleasant High School



Mount Pleasant High School is a 1-Star school because of: Achievement and Growth. A 1-Star rating indicates the lowest performance in terms of achievement and growth or graduation.

Identified for Comprehensive Support and Improvement in 2018-19 due to Achievement and Growth.

## School Grade Span

(2018-19 School Year)

## 09-12

Rhode Island Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Angélica Infante-Green, Commissioner

The Rhode Island Report Card is a central platform for data and information about school, district, and state education performance in Rhode Island. Report Cards are required under the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA), the federal education law, and Rhode Island's approach has been praised nationally for its transparency, accessibility, and holistic approach to school accountability. Within this platform, students, parents, community members and all Rhode Islanders can learn more about how schools are performing on a wide range of metrics, including state assessments, student and teacher chronic absence, and participation in advanced coursework, as well as survey data on school climate and culture.

## Number of Students

(On October 1, 2018)
921

## Number of Educators

(On October 1, 2018)
90

## Student Demographics

Race/Ethnicity



Economically Disadvantaged


## Educator Demographics



Educator Type


Note: Totals by educator race and ethnicity may be lower than educator type totals because an educator may be in more than one educator type assignment.

## Mount Pleasant High School earned a 1-Star rating.

The star rating for Mount Pleasant High School was driven most by performance on Achievement and Growth.
This school was identified for Comprehensive Support and Improvement for 2019-20 due to Achievement \& Growth.

A school's star rating is the lowest row where points are circled. If a column is missing a circled point score this means there was not enough information to score that indicator, such as having too few students for that indicator.

| Star Rating | Achievement ELA and Math (Max. 8 Points) | Growth = ELA and Math (Max. 6 Points) | English <br> Language <br> Proficiency <br> (Max. 4 Points) | Graduation Rate (Max. 5 Points) | Diploma Plus (Max. 6 Points) | Exceeds <br> Expectations, Absenteeism, \& Suspension (Max. 15 Points) | \# of Low- <br> Performing <br> Subgroups |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\star \star \star \star \star$ | 6-8 points <br> (3-4 per subject) | 4-6 points (2-3 per subject) | 3-4 points | $4-5$ points | 5-6 points | 12-15 points** | None |
| $\star \star \star \star$ | 5-6 points (2-4 per subject) |  | 2 points |  | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \text { points (2+ per } \\ & \text { indicator) } \end{aligned}$ | 10-11 points** | 1 subgroup |
| $\star \star \star$ | 7-11 total | points* |  | 3 points | 3-4 points | 7-9 points** | More than 1 subgroup |
| $\star \star$ | 5-6 total | points* | 1 point | 2 points | 2 points | 5-6 points** |  |
| * | 2 points | 2 points |  | 1 point |  |  |  |

Once the school's star rating is calculated, the number of low performing subgroups (right-most column) is counted. A low performing subgroup, or subgroup identified for Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI) is a subgroup that would earn one star if it were its own school. A subgroup identified for Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI) would be identified for Comprehensive Support and Improvement if it were its own school

Subgroup Identifications (TSI, ATSI, or both): 7

| Group | TSI Reason | ATSI Reason |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Black or African American | Achievement \& Growth | Achievement \& Growth |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged | Achievement \& Growth | Achievement \& Growth |  |
| English Learners | Achievement \& Growth, Graduation | Graduation and Achievement \& Growth |  |
|  |  |  |  |

[^0] 5-6 points, 2 star level: $3-4$ points; 2 indicators available: 5 star level: $5-6$ points, 4 star level: 4 points; 3 star level: 3 points, 2 star level: 1 point

## Mount Pleasant High's participation rate for ELA accountability is 94\%. <br> 10\% of Mount Pleasant High students are proficient in ELA. <br> Their ELA proficiency index, which includes partial points for level 2, is 16 out of 100.

ELA Achievement Points: 1 out of 4
School points are based on All Students performance shown in chart on right.
Four points matches RI's long-term goal of 75\% proficiency.

| Achievement Points | Academic Proficiency Index |
| :---: | :---: |
| 4 Points | $>=75 \%$ Proficient (no index) |
| 3 Points | $>=68$ (index) |
| 2 Points | $>=40$ AND $<68$ (index) |
| 1 Point | $<40$ (index) |

How is the proficiency index calculated? Hover here for information.

Results by Subgroup


Filled bars above show proficiency index. Lines within bars show \% proficient Dotted and dashed lines in chart correspond to cut points in table on left.

Students who were not enrolled from Oct. 1 through the end of the testing window and students who moved to the US in the past year are not included. *Blank rows indicate too few students to report.
**Subgroups with 10-19 students are reported, but not included in accountability determinations.

## Mount Pleasant High's participation rate for math accountability is 94\%. <br> 2\% of Mount Pleasant High students are proficient in math. <br> Their math proficiency index, which includes partial points for level 2 , is 10 out of 100.

Math Achievement Points: 1 out of 4
School points are based on All Students performance shown in chart on right.
Four points matches RI's long-term goal of 75\% proficiency.

| Achievement Points | Academic Proficiency Index |
| :---: | :---: |
| 4 Points | $>=75 \%$ Proficient (no index) |
| 3 Points | $>=68$ (index) |
| 2 Points | $>=40$ AND $<68$ (index) |
| 1 Point | $<40$ (index) |

How is the proficiency index calculated?
Hover here for information


Filled bars above show proficiency index. Lines within bars show \% proficient. Dotted and dashed lines in chart correspond to cut points in table on left.

[^1]
## Mount Pleasant High ELA Growth

Progress on the ELA state assessment compared to similarly performing peers. Measure combines 2018 and 2019 data.

## 54\% of Mount Pleasant High students showed low growth on state assessments, 30\% typical growth, and $16 \%$ high growth. The school's ELA growth index is 0.61 out of 2 .

ELA Growth Points: 1 out of 3
School points are based on All Students performance shown
in chart on right.

| Growth Points | Growth Index |
| :---: | :---: |
| 3 Points | $>=1.10$ |
| 2 Points | $>=0.85$ AND $<1.10$ |
|  | 1 Point |

How is the growth index calculated?
Hover here for information.

Results by Subgroup


Dotted lines in chart correspond to cut points in table on left.

Students who were not enrolled from Oct. 1 through the end of the testing window and students who moved to the US in the past year are not included. *Blank rows indicate too few students to report.
**Subgroups with 10-19 students are reported, but not included in accountability determinations.

Progress on the math state assessment compared to similarly performing peers. Measure combines 2018 and 2019 data.
48\% of Mount Pleasant High students showed low growth, 31\% showed typical growth, and 21\% showed high growth. The school's math growth index is 0.73 out of 2 .
Math Growth Points: 1 out of 3
School points are based on All Students performance shown
in chart on right.

| Growth Points | Growth Index |
| :---: | :---: |
| 3 Points | $>=1.10$ |
| 2 Points | $>=0.85$ AND $<1.10$ |
| 1 Point | $<0.85$ |

How is the growth index calculated? Hover here for information.

Results by Subgroup


Dotted lines in chart correspond to cut points in table on left.

[^2]
## 28\% of Mount Pleasant High English learners met their target for English proficiency. On average, students made 53\% of the growth necessary to reach proficiency on target.

ELP Points: 1 out of 4
School points are based on All Students performance shown in chart on right. Four points matches RI's long-term goal of $75 \%$ meeting annual target.

| Middle \& High School Cuts |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| ELP Points | ELP Index |
| 4 Points | $>=75 \%$ Met Target (no index) |
| 3 Points | $>=80$ (index) |
| 2 Points | $>=60$ AND $<80$ (index) |
| 1 Point | $<60$ (index) |

How is this calculated?

Individual targets are based on students' initial level of proficiency. All students have the same goal of proficiency in English, but the level at which a student entered determines how many years they have to reach that goal.

Next, the portion of progress made compared to the target is calculated for each student.

Finally, this is capped at 0 (no negative scores) and 1.1 (110\% of the target), averaged across all students in a school, and multiplied by 100 to get the school's ELP index.

Results by Subgroup


Filled bars above show ELP index. Lines within bars show \% met target. Dotted and dashed lines in chart correspond to cut points in table on left.
**Subgroups with 10-19 students are reported, but not included in accountability determinations.

## Mount Pleasant High School Graduation Rate

Graduation data are on a one-year lag; these are from 2018 reporting

## Mount Pleasant High's 4-year or "base" graduation rate is 69\%. <br> Their 4-, 5-, and 6-year combined or "composite" graduation rate is 69\%.

Graduation Points: 2 out of 5
School points are based on All Students performance shown in chart on right.
Five points matches RI's long-term goal of 95\% graduating within 4 years.

|  | Standard Cuts |
| :---: | :---: |
| Points | Graduation Rate |
| 5 Points | $>=95 \% 4$-Year Grad Rate |
| 4 Points | $>=90 \%$ Composite Rate |
| 3 Points | $>=80 \%$ AND $<90 \%$ Composite Rate |
| 2 Points | $<80 \%$ Composite Rate |
| 1 Point | $<=2 / 3$ 4-Year Grad Rate |

Results by Subgroup


Filled bars above show Composite Rate. Lines within bars show Base Rate. Dotted and dashed lines in chart correspond to cut points in table on left. To reach 2 or 5 points, the base rate must cross the labelled dashed lines.
To reach 3 or 4 points, the composite rate must cross the labelled dotted lines.

[^3]
## 6\% of 2018 Mount Pleasant High graduates demonstrated high school proficiency in both ELA and mathematics before graduation.

Commissioner's Seal Points: 1 out of 3
School points are based on All Students performance shown in chart on right.

| Commissioner's Seal <br> Points | \% Earned Commissioner's <br> Seal |
| :---: | :---: |
| 3 Points | $>=75 \%$ |
| 2 Points | $<75 \%$ AND $>=40 \%$ |
| 1 Point | $<=40 \%$ |

Results by Subgroup

| All Students | 6\% |  | $\vdots$ |  | ! |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | * |  |  |  | " |  |
| Asian | * |  |  |  |  |  |
| Black or African American | 5\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hispanic | 6\% |  | " |  |  |  |
| Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 立 } \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & - \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Two or More Races | * |  | ! |  | ! |  |
| White |  | 14\% ** | : |  |  |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 7\% |  | $\vdots$ |  | : |  |
| English Learners | 1\% |  | \# |  |  |  |
| Students with Disabilities | 0\% |  | : |  | " |  |
|  | 0\% | 20\% | 40\% | 60\% | 80\% | 100\% |

Dotted lines in bar chart correspond to cut points in table on left.
*Blank rows indicate too few students to report.
**Subgroups with 10-19 students are reported, but not included in accountability determinations.

## Mount Pleasant High's Postsecondary Success Index is 25. <br> 5\% of 2018 graduates earned AP credits, 20\% earned dual or concurrent college credits, and 0\% earned approved CTE credentials.

Postsecondary Success Points: 1 out of 3
School points are based on All Students performance shown in chart on right.

| Postsecondary <br> Success Points | Postsecondary Success <br> Index |
| :---: | :---: |
| 3 Points | $>=75$ |
| 2 Points | $<75$ AND $>=40$ |
| 1 Point | $<=40$ |

The Postsecondary Success Index awards 1 point for each student with 1 AP credit, college course, or industry-approved CTE credential, 1.1 points for 2 credentials, and 1.2 points for 3 or more credentials. These points are summed and then divided by the total number of 2018 graduates

Results by Subgroup


Dotted lines in bar chart correspond to cut points in table on left.

[^4]Mount Pleasant High School Quality and Student Success: Student Absenteeism
Student Chronic Absenteeism is defined as missing $10 \%$ of days or more. That's 18 days or more over a full school year.

## 49.5\% of Mount Pleasant High students are chronically absent.

Student Absenteeism Points: 1 out of 3
School points are based on All Students performance shown in chart on right.

| High School Cuts |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Student <br> Absenteeism <br> Points | \% Chronically Absent |
| 3 Points | $<10.0$ |
| 2 Points | $>=10.0$ AND $<20.0$ |
| 1 Point | $>=20.0$ |

Results by Subgroup


Dotted lines in bar chart correspond to cut points in table above.

Includes grades K-12. Schools and subgroups with fewer than 20 students have up to two additional years of data added. *Blank rows indicate too few students to report.
**Subgroups with 10-19 students over 3 years are reported, but not included in accountability determinations.

Mount Pleasant High School Quality and Student Success: Teacher Absenteeism
Teacher Chronic Absenteeism is defined as missing 10\% of days or more. That's 18 days or more over a full school year.

## 3.1\% of Mount Pleasant High teachers are chronically absent.

Teacher Absenteeism Points: 3 out of 3
School points are based on All Students performance shown in chart on right.

| Teacher <br> Absenteeism <br> Points | \% Chronically Absent |
| :---: | :---: |
| 3 Points | $<5.0$ |
| 2 Points | $>=5.0$ AND $<10.0$ |
| 1 Point | $>=10.0$ |

Results by Subgroup of Students Taught


Dotted lines in bar chart correspond to cut points in table on left.

[^5]
## In the 2018-19 school year, Mount Pleasant High used out-of-school suspension 10.6 times per 100 students.

Suspension Points: 1 out of 3
School points are based on All Students performance shown in chart on right.

| Suspension Points | Out-of-School Suspensions <br> per 100 Students |
| :---: | :---: |
| 3 Points | $<5.0$ |
| 2 Points | $>=5.0$ AND $<10.0$ |
| 1 Point | $>=10.0$ |

Results by Subgroup


Dotted lines in bar chart correspond to cut points in table on left.

Schools and subgroups with fewer than 20 students have up to two additional years of data added.
*Blank rows indicate too few students to report.
**Schools and subgroups with 10-19 students are reported, but not included in accountability determinations.

Mount Pleasant High School Quality and Student Success: Exceeds Expectations
The percent of students who score at the top level (Level 4) on the state assessments in 2017-18 and 2018-19 combined

## 0.0\% of Mount Pleasant High students exceeded expectations on the state assessment in ELA, and $0.0 \%$ exceeded expectations in Math.

ELA Exceeds Points: 1 out of 3

| Exceeds Points | \% Exceeds Expectations |
| :---: | :---: |
| 3 Points | $>=10.0$ |
| 2 Points | $>=2.0$ AND $<10.0$ |
| 1 Point | $<2.0$ |

ELA Results by Subgroup

| All Students | 0.0\% : |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| American Indian or Alaska.. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Asian | 0.0\% *** |  |  |  | ! |
| Black or African American | 0.0\% : |  |  |  | , |
| Hispanic | 0.0\% : n |  |  |  | N |
| Native Hawaiian or Other .. | : |  |  |  | - ¢ |
| Two or More Races | $\text { * } \quad \vdots$ |  |  |  | m |
| White | 0.0\% ** |  |  |  |  |
| Economically Disadvanta.. | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |
| English Learners | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |
| Students with Disabilities | 0.0\% : |  |  |  |  |
|  | $0.0 \quad 2.0$ | 4.0 | 6.0 | 8.0 | 10.0 |
|  | \% Exceeds Expectations, ELA |  |  |  |  |

Math Exceeds Points: 1 out of 3

| Exceeds Points | \% Exceeds Expectations |
| :---: | :---: |
| 3 Points | $>=10.0$ |
| 2 Points | $>=2.0$ AND $<10.0$ |
| 1 Point | $<2.0$ |

Math Results by Subgroup

| All Students | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| American Indian or Alaska.. | * |  |  |  |  |
| Asian | 0.0\%**: |  |  |  | : |
| Black or African American | 0.0\% |  |  |  | , |
| Hispanic | 0.0\% : un |  |  |  | : |
| Native Hawaiian or Other .. |  |  |  |  | :\% |
| Two or More Races | $\text { \#1 } \quad: \stackrel{n}{\sim}$ |  |  |  | m |
| White | 0.0\% **: |  |  |  |  |
| Economically Disadvanta.. | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |
| English Learners | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |
| Students with Disabilities | 0.0\% |  |  |  | : |
|  | 0.02 .0 | 4.0 | 6.0 | 8.0 | 10.0 |
|  | \% Exceeds Expectations, Math |  |  |  |  |

School points are based on All Students performance shown in bar charts. Dotted lines in bar charts correspond to cut points in tables at top. Students who were not enrolled from Oct. 1 through the end of the testing window and students who moved to the US in the past year are not included. *Blank rows indicate too few students to report.
**Subgroups with 10-19 students are reported, but not included in accountability determinations.

## 2018-19 State Assessment Data for Mount Pleasant High School

The state assessments include RICAS (grades 3-8), SAT (grade 11), NGSA (grades 5, 8, 11), and DLM Alternate Assessments (grades 3-8, 11).

| Performance Level Legend |
| :--- |
| 1 (Not Meeting Expectations) 2 (Partially Meeting Expectat.. |

empty cells indicate less than 10 students
empty cells indicate less than 10 students

Math Performance in State Assessments
Subgroup: Grade
$\qquad$
11

78.03\%
19.73\%
empty cells indicate less than 10 students

Math Participation in State Assessments Subgroup: Grade
empty cells indicate less than 10 students

Science Performance in State Assessments Subgroup: Grade

Science Participation in State Assessments Subgroup: Grade

Students Assessed with a DLM Alternate Assessment By Grade and Subject


Number of recently arrived English language learners exempted from the reading/language arts assessments

English language proficiency of English language learners

### 98.98\%

empty cells indicate less than 10 students

Data Source: Rhode Island State Assessments include RICAS, NGSA, DLM Alternate Assessment and SAT. English language proficiency of English language learners is assessed with ACCESS or Alternate ACCESS

Civil Rights Data Collection (CRDC) for 2015-16

Mount Pleasant High School Enrollment Indicators
(Not Charter School)
( $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ means indicator is not applicable to school)

|  | Student count | Percent of school enrollment |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Preschool enrollment | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Student enrollment in at least one Advance Placement <br> course | 63 | $6.8 \%$ |

Mount Pleasant High School Suspension Indicators
(Not Charter School)

|  | Student count |
| :--- | :---: |
| Students who received one or more in-school suspensions | 0 |
| Students who received only one out-of-school suspension | 55 |
| Students who received more than one out-of-school suspension | 65 |
| Students who received an expulsion with educational services | 0 |
| Students who received an expulsion without educational services | 0 |
| Students who received an expulsion under zero tolerance policies | 58 |
| Students who were referred to a law enforcement agency or official | 19 |
| Students who received a school-related arrest | 471 |
| Students who were chronically absent | 0 |
| Students reported as harassed or bullied on the basis of disability | 0 |

Select CRDC Indicator for Demographic Data
Preschool enrollment

Mount Pleasant High School:Preschool enrollment demographics
(Not Charter School)
( $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ means indicator is not applicable to school)

|  |  | Female | Male |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All students | Student count | n/a | n/a |
|  | American Indian/Alaska Native | n/a | n/a |
|  | Asian | n/a | n/a |
|  | Black | n/a | n/a |
|  | Hispanic | n/a | n/a |
|  | Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander | n/a | n/a |
|  | Two or More Races | n/a | n/a |
|  | White | n/a | n/a |
|  | Children with Disabilities | n/a | n/a |
|  | English Language Learners | n/a | n/a |

[^6] differ slightly from state-provided reports.


Gray vertical line indicates the district value..
Data: A teacher or building administrator may be counted in more than one category
Data Source: 2018-19 Educator Quality: Rhode Island Department of Education, Office of Educator Excellence \& Certification Services. This dataset includes personnel assignment data as reported through the personnel data collection.

| 2017-18 Per Pupil Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  | Total Per Pupil LEA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Per Pupil Expenditures for Mount Pleasant High School |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mount Pleasant High School: \$17,929 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Providence: \$18,277 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$0 | \$10,000 | \$15,000 | \$20,000 | \$25,000 | \$30,000 | \$35,000 |
| Per Pupil Expenditures for The State |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$17,327 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$0 | \$10,000 | \$15,000 | \$20,000 | \$25,000 | \$30,000 | \$35,000 |
| Per Pupil Expenditures for Mount Pleasant High School |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$17,370 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$0 | \$10,000 | \$15,000 | \$20,000 | \$25,000 | \$30,000 | \$35,000 |
| Per Pupil Expenditures for Providence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$17,069 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$0 | \$10,000 | \$15,000 | \$20,000 | \$25,000 | \$30,000 | \$35,000 |
| Per Pupil Expenditures for the State |  |  |  |  | Fund CategoryFederal |  |
| \$16,551 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$0 | \$10,000 | \$15,000 | \$20,000 | \$25,000 | \$30,000 | \$35,000 |

Per Pupil Expenditures by School Level for Providence
Elementary: $\$ 14,547$
Middle: $\$ 13,941$
High: $\$ 14,519$

| $\$ 0$ | $\$ 5,000$ | $\$ 10,000$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |

Mount Pleasant High School 4 Year Rates for the 2017-18 Graduates
Select Rate:
4 Year Rates



Student Performance for 2019 by Group


Student Performance for 2019 by Ethinicity


Student Participation for 2019


NAEP is the largest nationally representative and continuing assessment of what America's students know and can do in various subject areas. Data displayed are for National Public schools. Graphs with no data indicate that NAEP reporting requirements were not met for that subgroup and therefore data are not displayed.

Mount Pleasant High School Rates of Postsecondary Enrollment Within 1 Academic Year for 2017-18 Graduates


Enrollment by Degree Type


| Student Subgroups |  |  | RI Public | - RI Private | Out Of State | Not Enrolled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Race/Ethnicity |  |  | English Language Learners |  |  |  |
| American Indian or Alaska Native |  |  | ELL | 35.1\% | 62. |  |
| Asian | - | 25.0\% | NOT ELL | 41.6\% |  |  |
| Black or African American | 42.1\% | 50.0\% | Students With Disabilities |  |  |  |
| Hispanic | 38.0\% | 59.9\% |  |  |  |  |
| Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific \|s|.. | 100.0\% |  | SWD | 28.6\% | 71.4\% |  |
| Two or More Races | 37.5\% | 62.5\% |  |  |  |  |
| White | 28.6\% | 64.3\% | NOT SWD | 41.9\% |  |  |


| Gender |  |  | Economically Disadvantaged |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | 43.7\% | 52.9\% | ECD | 39.0\% | 57.5\% |
| Male | 35.7\% | 60.9\% | NOT ECD | 50.0\% | 50.0\% |

Data: Postsecondary enrollment rates include all students who graduated and then enrolled in a program of postsecondary education within 1 year of graduation. Data Source(s): Graduates: Rhode Island Department of Education, Postsecondary Enrollment: National Student Clearinghouse


[^0]:    *Schools missing growth have alternate cut points for levels 2 and 3 under achievement and growth. Those are: 3 star level: 4 points, 2 star level: 3 points.
    **Schools missing one or more 'School Quality and Student Success' indicator, have adjusted cuts for the second to last column. Those are: 4 indicators available: 5 star evel: 10-12 points, 4 star level: 8-9 points, 3 star level: 6-7 points, 2 star level: $4-5$ points; 3 indicators available: 5 star level: $8-9$ points, 4 star level: 7 points, 3 star level:

[^1]:    Students who were not enrolled from Oct. 1 through the end of the testing window and students who moved to the US in the past year are not included. *Blank rows indicate too few students to report.
    **Subgroups with 10-19 students are reported, but not included in accountability determinations.

[^2]:    Students who were not enrolled from Oct. 1 through the end of the testing window and students who moved to the US in the past year are not included *Blank rows indicate too few students to report.
    **Subgroups with 10-19 students are reported, but not included in accountability determinations.

[^3]:    Graduation data are based on 2017-18 and earlier. Schools and subgroups with fewer than 20 students have up to two additional years of data added. *Blank rows indicate too few students to report.
    **Subgroups with 10-19 students are reported, but not included in accountability determinations.

[^4]:    *Blank rows indicate too few students to report
    **Subgroups with 10-19 students are reported, but not included in accountability determinations.

[^5]:    Long-term pre-approved absences and absences for professional development are not counted. Teacher counts are weighted by length of assignment and full time status. For subgroups, teacher counts are also weighted by percent of time spent with that subgroup.
    *Blank rows indicate too few teachers or students to report.
    **Schools and subgroups with 10-19 teachers or students are reported, but not included in accountability determinations.

[^6]:    The Civil Rights Data Collection (CRDC) is a publically available federal data collection obtained directly from local education agencies (https://ocrdata.ed.gov/). Data may

