

2019 Active Transportation Program Workshop (Cycle 4)



LEGEND

0	CI	200	1 ()ff	C	reet
	u	d55	ľ	ш	1)	reet

Schools

Class II Bike Lane

Parks Class III Bike Route Water Bodies

Retail/Shopping

A Park & Ride

Public Restrooms

O Bike Shops

Elk Grove Cyclery	1	(D-3)	
Sports Chalet	2	(D-2)	
Sports Authority	3	(C-2)	

Points of Interest

RECREATION

Elk Grove Bike Park	1	(D-4)
Wackford Community Center	2	(C-2)
Skate Park	3	(C-2)
Jerry Fox Swim Center	4	(D-3)
Del Meyer Park Trailhead & Staging Area		(D-2)
Bartholomew Sports Complex	6	(C-4)
Valley Hi Country Club	7	(B-3)
Emerald Lakes Golf Course	8	(E-4)

NATURE

Elk Grove Rain Garden Plaza	9	(D-2)
Longleaf Wildlife Viewing Area	10	(C-2)
Stone Lake National Wildlife Preserve	11	(A-4)
Community Garden	12	(D-3)
Camden Lake Greenbelt	13	(D-2)
Rose Garden Park	14	(C-3)
Lichtenberger Park Rose Garden	15	(C-2)

COMMUNITY CENTER

& Aquatic Complex	16	(C-2)
Laguna Town Hall	17	(A-2)
Elk Grove Senior Center	18	(D-2)
Elk Grove Teen Center	19	(D-3)

EDUCATIONAL

Elk Grove Library	20	(D-3)
Franklin Community Library	21	(B-3)
Elk Grove Hotel and Stage Shop Museum	22	(D-3)

PUBLIC SERVICES

OJ FOSE OTTICE (Williamson Dr.)	23	(0.0)
US Post Office (Bruceville Rd.)	24	(C-2)
Dignity Health Medical Campus	25	(C-3)
Sutter Medical Plaza	26	(C-2)
Kaiser Medical Office	27	(D-4)
Kaiser Medical Office	28	(C-2)
UCD Medical Group	29	(C-2)

GOVERNMENT FACILITY


City Hall / Elk Grove Police	30	(D-2)
Sacramento County Sheriff	31	(E-2)
CSD Parks & Recreation	32	(D-3)
Special Waste Collection Center	33	(E-4)















2019 ATP – Cycle 4 Workshop Schedule

Date	Location	Focus
November 3, 2017	Chico	Guidelines
November 9, 2017	Los Angeles	Guidelines
November 16, 2017	Oakland	Guidelines, Applications
November 29, 2017	Fresno	Applications
December 11, 2017	San Bernardino	Applications
January 9, 2018	Monterey	Applications
Week of January 22, 2018	Sacramento	Guidelines and Applications Wrap-up Scoring and Evaluation



Goals for Today:

Final Review of 2019 ATP Guidelines

- Final Review of Applications
- Discuss Evaluation Process

Discuss Scoring Rubrics



Final Draft Guidelines Review

Proposed New Schedule (pg. 2)

Draft ATP Guidelines presented to Commission	March 21, 2018*
Commission hearing and adoption of ATP Guidelines	May 16, 2018*
Commission adopts ATP Fund Estimate	May 16, 2018*
Call for projects	May 16, 2018
Large MPOs submit optional guidelines to Commission	May 31, 2018
Project applications to Caltrans (postmark date)	July 31, 2018
Commission approves or rejects MPO guidelines	August15, 2018*
Staff recommendation for statewide and small urban and rural portions of the program posted	December 31, 2018
Commission adopts statewide and small urban and rural portions of the program	January, 2019**
Projects not programmed distributed to large MPOs based on location	January, 2019
Deadline for MPO Draft project programming recommendations to the Commission	February 15, 2019
Deadline for MPO Final project programming recommendations to the Commission	April 1, 2019
Commission adopts MPO selected projects	June 2019**



Final Draft Guidelines Review

- Eligible Applicants Caltrans (pg. 5)
- State Only Funds Designation (pg. 6)
- Transformative Projects Language (pg. 6)
- Committed/Uncommitted Funds (pg. 14)
- Anything Else Last Call



2019 ATP – Cycle 4 Workshop Schedule

Date	Location	Focus
November 3, 2017	Chico	Guidelines
November 9, 2017	Los Angeles	Guidelines
November 16, 2017	Oakland	Guidelines, Applications
November 29, 2017	Fresno	Applications
December 11, 2017	San Bernardino	Applications
January 9, 2018	Monterey	Applications
Week of January 22, 2018	Sacramento	Guidelines and Applications Wrap-up Scoring and Evaluation

Do we need one more?



Draft Applications

Comments:

Teresa McWilliam

Teresa.McWilliam@dot.ca.gov



Evaluation Process

- Evaluator Teams of Two
 - One from the North and One from the South
 - Team Members have Different Backgrounds
- Evaluators Sign Conflict of Interest Statement
- Teams Evaluate Applications not in their Geographic Area
- Evaluators Attend Mandatory Training



Evaluation Process

- Evaluator Teams Discuss Applications and Reach Consensus on each Application's Final Score
- Commission Staff Resolves Team Conflicts
- Commission Staff Evaluates Every
 Application to Provide Baseline Score
- Outlier Scores and Oddities are Addressed by Commission Staff



Scoring Rubrics