2D Geometric Transformations

COMP 770 Fall 2011

A little quick math background

- Notation for sets, functions, mappings
- Linear transformations
- Matrices
 - Matrix-vector multiplication
 - Matrix-matrix multiplication
- Geometry of curves in 2D
 - Implicit representation
 - Explicit representation

Implicit representations

- Equation to tell whether we are on the curve $\{{\bf v}\,|\,f({\bf v})=0\}$
- Example: line (orthogonal to **u**, distance k from **0**) $\{\mathbf{v} | \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{u} + k = 0\}$
- Example: circle (center **p**, radius *r*) $\{\mathbf{v} | (\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{p}) \cdot (\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{p}) + r^2 = 0\}$
- Always define boundary of region

 (if f is continuous)

Explicit representations

- Also called parametric
- Equation to map domain into plane $\{f(t) \, | \, t \in D\}$
- Example: line (containing **p**, parallel to **u**) $\{\mathbf{p} + t\mathbf{u} \,|\, t \in \mathbb{R}\}$
- Example: circle (center **b**, radius *r*) $\{\mathbf{p} + r[\cos t \ \sin t]^T \mid t \in [0, 2\pi)\}$
- Like tracing out the path of a particle over time
- Variable t is the "parameter"

Transforming geometry

- Move a subset of the plane using a mapping from the plane to itself
 C = (T(-z) | -z < C)
 - $S \to \{T(\mathbf{v}) \,|\, \mathbf{v} \in S\}$
- Parametric representation: $\{f(t) \mid t \in D\} \rightarrow \{T(f(t)) \mid t \in D\}$
- Implicit representation:

$$\{\mathbf{v} \mid f(\mathbf{v}) = 0\} \to \{T(\mathbf{v}) \mid f(\mathbf{v}) = 0\}$$
$$= \{\mathbf{v} \mid f(T^{-1}(\mathbf{v})) = 0\}$$

Translation

- Simplest transformation: $T(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{u}$
- Inverse: $T^{-1}(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{v} \mathbf{u}$
- Example of transforming circle

Linear transformations

• One way to define a transformation is by matrix multiplication:


$$T(\mathbf{v}) = M\mathbf{v}$$


- Such transformations are linear, which is to say: $T(a\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{v})=aT(\mathbf{u})+T(\mathbf{v})$


(and in fact all linear transformations can be written this way)

Geometry of 2D linear trans.


- 2x2 matrices have simple geometric interpretations
 - uniform scale
 - non-uniform scale
 - rotation
 - shear
 - reflection
- Reading off the matrix







- Reflection
 - can consider it a special case of nonuniform scale



• Shear $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & a \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x + ay \\ y \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0.5 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

Composing transformations

- Want to move an object, then move it some more – $\mathbf{p} \to T(\mathbf{p}) \to S(T(\mathbf{p})) = (S \circ T)(\mathbf{p})$
- We need to represent S o T ("S compose T")

 and would like to use the same representation as for S and T
- Translation easy

$$T(\mathbf{p}) = \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{u}_T; S(\mathbf{p}) = \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{u}_S$$
$$(S \circ T)(\mathbf{p}) = \mathbf{p} + (\mathbf{u}_T + \mathbf{u}_S)$$

- Translation by \mathbf{u}_T then by \mathbf{u}_S is translation by $\mathbf{u}_T + \mathbf{u}_S$
 - commutative!

Composing transformations

• Linear transformations also straightforward

$$T(\mathbf{p}) = M_T \mathbf{p}; S(\mathbf{p}) = M_S \mathbf{p}$$
$$(S \circ T)(\mathbf{p}) = M_S M_T \mathbf{p}$$

- Transforming first by M_T then by M_S is the same as transforming by $M_S M_T$
 - only sometimes commutative
 - e.g. rotations & uniform scales
 - e.g. non-uniform scales w/o rotation
 - Note $M_S M_T$, or S o T, is T first, then S

Combining linear with translation

- Need to use both in single framework
- Can represent arbitrary seq. as $T(\mathbf{p}) = M\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{u}$

$$- T(\mathbf{p}) = M_T \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{u}_T$$

-
$$S(\mathbf{p}) = M_S \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{u}_S$$

- $(S \circ T)(\mathbf{p}) = M_S(M_T \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{u}_T) + \mathbf{u}_S$
 $= (M_S M_T) \mathbf{p} + (M_S \mathbf{u}_T + \mathbf{u}_S)$
- e.g. $S(T(0)) = S(\mathbf{u}_T)$

• Transforming by M_T and \mathbf{u}_T , then by M_S and \mathbf{u}_S , is the same as transforming by $M_S M_T$ and $\mathbf{u}_S + M_S \mathbf{u}_T$ – This will work but is a little awkward

Homogeneous coordinates

- A trick for representing the foregoing more elegantly
- Extra component *w* for vectors, extra row/column for matrices
 - for affine, can always keep w = I
- Represent linear transformations with dummy extra row and column

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b & 0 \\ c & d & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} ax+by \\ cx+dy \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Homogeneous coordinates

• Represent translation using the extra column

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & t \\ 0 & 1 & s \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x+t \\ y+s \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Homogeneous coordinates


• Composition just works, by 3x3 matrix multiplication

$$\begin{bmatrix} M_S & \mathbf{u}_S \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} M_T & \mathbf{u}_T \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{p} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} (M_S M_T) \mathbf{p} + (M_S \mathbf{u}_T + \mathbf{u}_S) \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$


- This is exactly the same as carrying around *w* and *u* but cleaner
 - and generalizes in useful ways as we'll see later

Affine transformations


- The set of transformations we have been looking at is known as the "affine" transformations
 - straight lines preserved; parallel lines preserved
 - ratios of lengths along lines preserved (midpoints preserved)





• Translation



• Uniform scale










• Nonuniform scale


$$\begin{bmatrix} s_x & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & s_y & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1.5 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.8 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$







Rotation







- Reflection
 - can consider it a special case of nonuniform scale






• Shear








General affine transformations


- The previous slides showed "canonical" examples of the types of affine transformations
- Generally, transformations contain elements of multiple types
 - often define them as products of canonical transforms
 - sometimes work with their properties more directly

Composite affine transformations

• In general **not** commutative: order matters!




rotate, then translate




translate, then rotate

Composite affine transformations

• Another example






scale, then rotate

rotate, then scale

Rigid motions

- A transform made up of only translation and rotation is a rigid motion or a rigid body transformation
- The linear part is an orthonormal matrix




- Inverse of orthonormal matrix is transpose
 - so inverse of rigid motion is easy:

$$R^{-1}R = \begin{bmatrix} Q^T & -Q^T\mathbf{u} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Q & \mathbf{u} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Composing to change axes


- Want to rotate about a particular point
 could work out formulas directly...
- Know how to rotate about the origin
 - so translate that point to the origin



 $M = T^{-1}RT$

Composing to change axes

- Want to scale along a particular axis and point
- Know how to scale along the y axis at the origin
 so translate to the origin and rotate to align axes



 $M = T^{-1}R^{-1}SRT$

Transforming points and vectors

- Recall distinction points vs. vectors
 - vectors are just offsets (differences between points)
 - points have a location
 - represented by vector offset from a fixed origin
- Points and vectors transform differently
 - points respond to translation; vectors do not

$$\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{q}$$

$$T(\mathbf{x}) = M\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{t}$$

$$T(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{q}) = M\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{t} - (M\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{t})$$

$$= M(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{q}) + (\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{t}) = M\mathbf{v}$$

Transforming points and vectors

Homogeneous coords. let us exclude translation
 just put 0 rather than 1 in the last place


$$\begin{bmatrix} M & \mathbf{t} \\ \mathbf{0}^T & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{p} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} M\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{t} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} M & \mathbf{t} \\ \mathbf{0}^T & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} M\mathbf{v} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

- and note that subtracting two points cancels the extra coordinate, resulting in a vector!
- Preview: projective transformations
 - what's really going on with this last coordinate?
 - think of R^2 embedded in R^3 : all affine xfs. preserve z=1 plane
 - could have other transforms; project back to z=1


More math background

- Coordinate systems
 - Expressing vectors with respect to bases
 - Linear transformations as changes of basis


• Six degrees of freedom




- Coordinate frame: point plus basis
- Interpretation: transformation changes representation of point from one basis to another
- "Frame to canonical" matrix has frame in columns
 - takes points represented in frame
 - represents them in canonical basis
 - e.g. [0 0], [1 0], [0 1]
- Seems backward but bears thinking about



- A new way to "read off" the matrix
 - e.g. shear from earlier
 - can look at picture, see effect on basis vectors, write down matrix



- Also an easy way to construct transforms
 - e. g. scale by 2 across direction (1,2)



- When we move an object to the origin to apply a transformation, we are really changing coordinates
 - the transformation is easy to express in object's frame
 - so define it there and transform it

$$T_e = F T_F F^{-1}$$

- $-T_e$ is the transformation expressed wrt. $\{e_1, e_2\}$
- $-T_F$ is the transformation expressed in natural frame
- F is the frame-to-canonical matrix [u v p]
- This is a similarity transformation

Coordinate frame summary

- Frame = point plus basis
- Frame matrix (frame-to-canonical) is

$$F = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u} & \mathbf{v} & \mathbf{p} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

- Move points to and from frame by multiplying with F $p_e = F p_F \quad p_F = F^{-1} p_e$
- Move transformations using similarity transforms

$$T_e = FT_F F^{-1} \quad T_F = F^{-1}T_e F$$