Grandmaster Repertoire

Mihail Marin **The Pirc Defence**

Tired of bad positions? Try the main lines!



Grandmaster Repertoire

The Pirc Defence

By

Mihail Marin

To Mariya And to my Kumas, of course



Quality Chess www.qualitychess.co.uk First edition 2017 by Quality Chess UK Ltd

Copyright © 2017 Mihail Marin

THE PIRC DEFENCE

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, electrostatic, magnetic tape, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior permission of the publisher.

> Paperback ISBN 978-1-78483-040-3 Hardcover ISBN 978-1-78483-041-0

All sales or enquiries should be directed to Quality Chess UK Ltd, Central Chambers, Suite 247, 11 Bothwell Street Glasgow G2 6LY, United Kingdom Phone +44 141 204 2073 e-mail: info@qualitychess.co.uk website: www.qualitychess.co.uk

Distributed in North America by National Book Network

Distributed in Rest of the World by Quality Chess UK Ltd through Sunrise Handicrafts, ul. Poligonowa 35A, 20-817 Lublin, Poland

Typeset by Jacob Aagaard Proofreading by Andrew Greet Edited by Ian Kingston and Colin McNab Cover design by www.adamsondesign.com Cover photo by www.capture365.com Printed in Estonia by Tallinna Raamatutrükikoja LLC

Contents

Key to symbols used & Bibliography Preface Introduction		4 5 7
	Classical System	
1	Various 7th Moves	14
2	7.a4	43
	4. ②f 3	
3	5.h3	74
4	5th Move Alternatives	99
	Austrian Attack	
5	5th & 6th Move Alternatives	109
6	6.dxc5	133
7	6.兾b5†	171
	4.ĝe3	
8	5.②f3	200
9	5.h3	215
10	5.營d2	235
	Various 4th Moves	
11	Fianchetto System	269
12	4. <u>\$g</u> 5	292
13	4.g.f4	324
14	Minor Lines	339
	Rare 2nd & 3rd Moves	
15	3.f3	358
16	3.ዿ <u>ੈ</u> d3	377
17	Anti-Pirc Systems	389
	Variation Index	400

Key to symbols used

- **±** White is slightly better
- **F** Black is slightly better
- **±** White is better
- ➡ Black is better
- +- White has a decisive advantage
- -+ Black has a decisive advantage
- = equality
- with compensation
- \rightleftharpoons with counterplay
- ∞ unclear
- ? a weak move
- **??** a blunder
- ! a good move
- **!!** an excellent move
- **!?** a move worth considering
- ?! a move of doubtful value
- # mate

Bibliography

Aagaard & Shaw (editors): Experts on the Anti-Sicilian, Quality Chess 2011Greet: Beating Unusual Chess Defences: 1 e4, Everyman Chess 2011Hillarp Persson: The Modern Tiger, Quality Chess 2014Kaufman: The Kaufman Repertoire for Black & White, New in Chess 2012Khalifman: Opening for White According to Anand, Volume 4, Chess Stars 2005Kornev: A Practical Black Repertoire with <a>f6, g6, d6, Volume 1, Chess Stars 2016Kotronias: Grandmaster Repertoire 6A – Beating the Anti-Sicilians, Quality Chess 2012Marin: Grandmaster Repertoire 3-5 – The English Opening, Volumes 1-3, Quality Chess 2009-10Moskalenko: The Perfect Pirc-Modern, New In Chess 2013Palliser, McNab & Vigus: Dangerous Weapons: The Pirc and Modern, Everyman Chess 2009Shaw: Playing 1.e4 – Caro-Kann, 1...e5 & Minor Lines, Quality Chess 2016Summerscale & Johnsen: A Killer Chess Opening Repertoire, Gambit 2010Vigus: Chess Developments: The Pirc, Everyman Chess 2012

Preface

My love story with the Pirc Defence started a long time ago, while still a teenager. For reasons soon to be revealed, though, it remained at a platonic level for many years.

In 1983 my father gave me Guerman Samoilovich Fridshtein's *Zaschita Pirtsa-Ufimtseva*, which captivated me immediately, possibly due to its pleasant green cover. I studied it carefully and even filled a 48-page maths notebook with my analysis. When everything was done I showed my father (a second category player) what I considered my most spectacular finding in one of the main lines. He was delighted, as everything started with a knight sacrifice on b2, but as we went along the main line of the analysis I suddenly realized that I had not counted the pieces in the final position very well: Black was still a piece down!

I was so disappointed and ashamed (I did not say a single word to my father about it) that I immediately gave up the plan of playing the Pirc. But for many years my father was convinced I was playing "Guerman" (this is how we had baptized the opening, according to the author's name), when in fact I had switched to the Sicilian Paulsen.

The second important moment in this story occurred in the autumn of 1996. For two years I had been playing the Sicilian Dragon almost exclusively, but then Kasparov spoiled it all by using this opening (and one of my novelties in the game he won) during the match with Anand. It immediately became clear that the Dragon was going to become fashionable, ceasing to be *my* opening and forcing me to keep pace with the latest theoretical developments, which has never been my favourite hobby.

I had little more than a month to prepare for the Romanian Championship and definitely needed a new opening against 1.e4, as this was the main move of most of my opponents. In the meantime I had been fooling around with the Caro-Kann a bit, but that was obviously not *my* opening. I asked my friend and trainer IM Vali Stoica for advice and a few hours later he came up with the following idea: "Bob, you are skilled in pawn play. Why not try the Pirc?"

I became so addicted to this idea (after all, the Dragon constellation was still there, pawns from the d-file to the h-file) that at the championship I started all my games with 1...d6, even in the two games when my opponents played 1.d4 and 1.25f3. And then nearly a whole decade followed in which I played the Pirc almost exclusively. I temporarily gave up the Pirc after a painful loss to Fressinet in 2004 (see page 221), but three years later I reclaimed the moral right to play it at least occasionally after using it to defeat the same opponent in the last round of a blitz supertournament. Nowadays my repertoire is quite ample, but if I feel too lazy or tired to prepare I just choose the Pirc: the opening I can play just by reflex.

Based on my accumulated experience over the years, I will now give a general description of this opening.

The Pirc Defence

The Pirc is mainly a positional weapon, but the strategic tension that gradually accumulates often leads to critical moments when tactical or dynamic decisions are necessary. In other words, it is an opening that suits players with a balanced (or complete) style.

Over the years I have developed the useful habit of constantly analysing my own games, looking for improvements – mainly in the cases when I was in danger, but not only then. The Pirc is flexible enough to allow me to vary a little from one game to the next, thus avoiding my opponents' specific preparation.

After a few years I had accumulated some slight doubts regarding certain lines I had played. It was with great joy that I received Nunn and McNab's *The Ultimate Pirc* as a present from my friend Ari Ziegler, and I immediately started looking for their recommendations in the positions that were bugging me. Much to my surprise, in all six cases I found my own games in the main lines – precisely the games in which I had felt unsure at certain moments!

This was very flattering, of course. It meant that my knowledge, understanding and games were good enough to build a book upon, but at the same time I understood that I had to do the new analytical work myself in order to keep the opening in good shape; hard and rewarding work at the same time.

The repertoire examined in this book is the fruit of many years of refining my analysis, but I advise the reader to follow my own method of continuous improvement. I believe that the verbal comments to all the critical lines will serve as a guideline.

Dieter Nisipeanu, a natural enemy of the Pirc, once confessed to me his personal view. This opening gives White a false impression of safety, increasing the risk of becoming careless or overoptimistic in the middlegame. Indeed, Black is cramped in the first phase of the game, but his strategic and dynamic resources are greater than one might think at a brief glance. But the reverse of the medal is that Black should believe in his position and his chances of turning the tables at White's first inaccuracy.

A few years ago I published a pair of ChessBase DVDs containing a Pirc repertoire for Black. For this book I had two main reasons for analysing different lines against White's main systems. First of all, I thought that this was the correct approach anyway. Secondly, and more importantly, I also wanted to deepen and widen my own knowledge of my favourite opening.

The general structure of the book contains the positional lines with 4.1f3 (Chapters 1 to 4), the aggressive lines with 4.f4 and 4.2e3 (Chapters 5 to 10), and assorted less topical systems (Chapters 11 to 17). Before launching into these chapters I have placed a strategic introduction, explaining in some detail the most typical structures of the Pirc.

As a final piece of advice, I would ask you to be good to my old love, as she will surely repay you well!

Mihail Marin Bucharest, September 2017

Introduction

In the repertoire examined in this book there is a whole group of systems, most of them positional, featuring one of the most typical Pirc structures:



Before examining each system concretely, it is useful to become familiar with the most typical ideas for both sides.

Black's most natural way to challenge White in the centre is with ...e7-e5, with multiple strategic implications.

For White it would be optimal to maintain the tension in the centre for as long as possible, as exchanging on e5 yields Black control over the c5-square, clearing the a3-f8 diagonal for a possible bishop regrouping with ... &f8. The consequences of opening the d-file depend greatly on each side's development.

One of Black's main ideas is precisely to force White to release the tension. He can do that with two basic methods. The traditional one is to increase the pressure on e4 with ... \Beta e8.



10....**¤e8**

Threatening ...exd4 followed by Xxe4.

11.dxe5

The most typical and best reaction, although it fails to bother Black.

11.&d3 not only exposes the bishop to ...Oc5xd3, but also weakens White's latent control over g4 and d4. 11...exd4 12.Oxd4 Oc5 and now 13.f3 Bb6 or 13. \blacksquare fe1 Og4 leaves White hanging in both cases.

White seldom blocks the centre, as this would transpose to an unfavourable version of the King's Indian with the c-pawn blocked by the knight and thus unable to sustain the positional attack. For example: 11.d5 cxd5 12.exd5 (Playing against the d6-pawn with 12.¹/₂xd5 ¹/₂xd5 13.¹/₂xd5 is ineffective due to 13...¹/₂c5, hitting a4 and e4 and planning ...¹/₂e6) 12...a6 Followed by ...¹/₂h5, with a threatening kingside majority.

11...dxe5



12.₩d6

The only consistent move, trying to increase the pressure along the d-file.

Black overprotects the knight, preparing his counterplay with ... ②c5, possibly after ... 逸b4.

The other way to question White's stability in the centre is based on ... gq4. This plan is far less common than ... Ee8, but under favourable circumstances it can work out well.



Hastings 1990



13....皇g4 14.h3 皇xf3 15.皇xf3 包e6 16.包e2

For the time being White has managed to defend d4, but the following move would have forced him to take a decision at once:

16...h6!N

See Chapter 2, variation A1 on page 46.

Apart from the aforementioned invasion along the d-file, White's main plan after dxe5 is based on a4-a5, more or less paralysing Black's queenside. If Black does not find an antidote he will be doomed to passivity for the rest of the game.

Browne – Mednis

El Paso 1973



14.¤fd1 ¤e8?

Due to the hanging a7-pawn it looks as if Black cannot fight for the open file. But had he realized the long-term danger, he might have found the only active possibility:

14...\$e6!N 15.\$f1

15.프xd8† 프xd8 16.এxe6 ②xe6 17.এxa7 프d2 18.프c1 ②d4 19.এxd4 exd4 offers Black enough counterplay.



15....覍f6!

Planning to meet \(\begin{aligned} xd8\)[†] with ...\(\u00e0 xd8\)[†] followed by ...\(\u00e0 b\)[†] b6, neutralizing the queenside pressure completely.

15...b6 does not solve the problems due to 16.\Exd8\#\Exd8 17.a5.

Even with a loss of a tempo this is the best plan. 17... 2e7 18. 2a4, followed by 2c5, is not entirely satisfactory.

18.¤a1 ¤b8

Preparing ... b6 to ease the pressure. 19.b4

19. يaa7 邕a8= retrieves the pawn.

19...b6 20.axb6 axb6 21.\arranglea7 b5=

Black has stabilized the position and the control over the a-file is not likely to offer White much.

15.a5!

The dream situation for White. The only way to free the a8-rook from defending the a7-pawn is ...a6, but this would chronically weaken the queenside.

15...De6

15.... 逸e6 allows 16. 逸xe6 公xe6 17. 罩d7±.

16. 2a4 2f8 17.c3 2e7 18.b4 2g7 19. Za2 Zd8 20. Zxd8 2xd8 21. Zd2±



For practical purposes White is a whole rook up in the centre and on the kingside.

Sometimes White can even create hidden tactical threats with the pawn on a5 and the bishop on e3.

Barbero – Mohr

San Bernardino 1989



The picture is familiar, with the main difference that there are queens on the board.

13...@e6?

This is not the only game in which Black blundered this way, but it is the highest-rated example.

13....逸e6 avoids the combination, but does not completely solve Black's problems, as after 14.營a3 b5?! (a premature freeing attempt) 15.axb6 axb6 16.營xa8 鼍xa8 17.鼍xa8, followed by 邕fa1, White's rooks are stronger than the queen.



14.**ĝb6**!

Simply winning an exchange.

14...axb6 15.axb6 營xb6 16.營xa8 營xb2 17.營a3

Black's compensation proved insufficient for a draw.

Black's main achievement after the exchange on e5 is increased freedom of action. Optimally, he should install one of his knights on f4 or d4. He can achieve the former with either ...2h5-f4 or ...2c5-e6-f4. Neither is achievable with the centre under tension, as for instance ...2h5 invites d4-d5 while ...2f4 would in many cases lose a pawn to 2xf4, since the c7-queen is obstructed by the d6-pawn. In the following game, Black obtained an excellent knight on f4 but then failed to capitalize on it.

Jakobsen – Thorvaldsson

Helsinki 1972



15.... ②xe2†?

Unnecessarily exchanging the active knight.

16. 2 xe2 ≅e8 17. 2g3±

With pressure on the e5-pawn and kingside attacking chances.

Instead, 15... gxh3!N as recommended in

Chapter 2, variation A1 on page 45, would have more or less forced a draw.

But while the effectiveness of bringing a knight to f4 depends on such tactical possibilities,⁽²⁾d4 would immediately neutralize White's pressure along the g1-a7 diagonal, putting him under serious pressure at the same time.



Zhang Ziji – Ding Liren

The knight is unstable on f4, so Black prepares to transfer it to d4:

13....皇e6 14.皇xe6 ②xe6 15.罝d1 ②d7 16.罾d2 罝fd8 17.a5 ②dc5



The second knight makes use of the consequences of the exchange in the centre.

18.凹e2 包d4 19.凹c4 包ce6

With great play for Black; see variation D4 of Chapter 2 on page 72.

However, forcing dxe5 is not Black's only constructive plan in this structure. There are ways of dealing with the central tension in the long run.



This is an important tabiya of Chapter 11. With the knight on e2 and the bishop on g2, the aforementioned plans are not available, but due to the reduced pressure in the centre Black has achieved comfortable development.

14...≝c7 15.f4 ≅ad8

An important point is that 16.dxe5 dxe5 attacks the queen, not offering White the tempo needed for f4-f5, while 16.f5 exd4 would hand Black the e5-square.

16.g4 邕fe8 17.创g3

After completing his development, Black is ready for concrete action already:

17...exf4 18.gxf4 c5

See line B222 of Chapter 11 on page 287.

As the reader must have noticed, in all these examples White has played a2-a4. This is because, if permitted, Black's most promising plan is queenside expansion based on ...b7-b5.



Radovici – Marin

This is the maximum Black could dream of reaching from the opening. He has completely neutralized White's initial space advantage and has comfortable development.

17.営d2?!

Underestimating the dynamic nuances of the position.

Now is a good moment to speak about the double-edged character of a2-a4 in this structure. Sooner or later White will be forced to take a decision, but the point is that after 17.axb5 Black does not necessarily have to maintain symmetry with 17...axb5 but can play 17...cxb5!, clearing the bishop's diagonal and the c-file.



White does not get much by occupying the d5-square: 18.20d5 &xd5 19.exd5 The c2-pawn is entirely edible, but more typically 19...20d6 offers Black excellent stability and the more flexible structure.

Safest was 17. 2d2 c5 18.axb5 cxb4 19. 2a2, when White maintains approximate equality in a complicated position.



17...**c**5!

Inducing favourable structural changes.

18.axb5

Worse is 18.bxc5 b4! followed by ... 2xc5, leaving White with an awful queenside structure.

18...cxb4 19.2d5 &xd5 20.exd5 a5 21.d6 ≌b6 22.≝xb6 2xb6∓



The structure is rather unusual, but Black's advantage is obvious as he has the better structure and two active knights. The main threat is ... De4-c3, with complete domination.

Queenside expansion can be effective even if Black releases the tension on the way.



De Firmian – Foygel

This position is examined in Chapter 1, variation C on page 25. Black's next move immediately endangers the e4-pawn:

15...c5!

Forcing White to sacrifice on b5, with insufficient compensation.

The examples examined above cover the most typical (and frequent) Pirc structures, but they cannot exhaust this subject, of course. They are intended as a quick guide, helping the overthe-board player to find his orientation more easily, and I will explain the deeper nuances at the appropriate places throughout the book.



Classical System



Various 7th Moves

Variation Index

1.e4 d6 2.d4 2f6 3.2c3 g6 4.2f3 \$g7 5.\$e2 0-0 6.0-0

6...c6

A) 7.e5	16
B) 7. <u>\$</u> f4	19
C) 7. 2g5	23
D) 7. He1 创bd7	27
D1) 8.ģf1	27
D2) 8.奠f4 鬯a5!	31
D21) 9.營d2	32
D22) 9.42d2	33
D3) 8.e5	35
E) 7.h3	39

D1) note to 11.f3



D22) note to 11. gf3



D3) note to 11.h3





As against many other openings in which Black refrains from an early occupation of the centre with pawns (for instance several Sicilian systems and the King's Indian), the set-up based on 273, 222 and 0-0 is named the 'Classical System'. White does not claim a refutation of Black's hypermodern play, but relies on the fact that natural development should offer him chances for a minimal, yet stable, middlegame advantage.

Black is under no immediate pressure, but needs to complete his development and prepare his counterplay in the centre, most typically with ...e7-e5. Over the next few moves he should constantly be aware of White's potential threats of e4-e5 and, less frequently, d4-d5.

It is worth mentioning that mastering this variation with Black is equivalent to understanding the Pirc in general, as the strategic ideas typical of the Classical System are useful in most other positional set-ups.

Black has a relatively wide choice between viable variations. I have selected for our repertoire:



This has not only been the most popular answer over many decades, but is also the one corresponding best to the classical spirit of the opening.

The last move is useful in many ways. What first comes to mind is the potential threat ...b7-b5. Black should not hurry with it, since in most cases e4-e5 would leave him underdeveloped and with a vulnerable queenside. But if White does not take measures against it, it will not be long before the pawn can advance safely, gaining queenside space and preparing ...\$b7, while indirectly attacking e4.

Another important idea behind 6...c6 is to clear the a5-d8 diagonal for the queen. On his way to prepare ...e7-e5 (and inhibit e4-e5) Black frequently needs ... Wc7 (and sometimes ... Wa5), while if the white bishop develops actively to, say, g5, then ... Wb6 could cause some problems defending d4 and b2.

In this chapter we will examine the early break A) 7.e5, the developing moves B) 7.黛f4, C) 7.黛g5 and D) 7.邕e1, and finally the prophylactic pawn move E) 7.h3.

The main line, 7.a4, is the subject of the next chapter.

I should say a few words about move orders, as transpositions are frequent. When examining lines B, C and D, I will not consider an early a2-a4 or h2-h3, as these transpose to lines

6...c6

examined in either line E or the next chapter.

I will add a few words about my approach when building up the repertoire. Over the years when the Pirc was my exclusive weapon, I almost invariably answered all these moves with 7...bd7, even though I knew that in some lines 7...c7 enjoys the reputation of being a worthy alternative. When making my choice I let myself be guided by the classical principle that the queen should be among the last pieces to develop.

But in recent years I have discovered the virtues of 7...¹⁶c7 in the lines where White does not focus on preparing e4-e5 (line E and the next chapter). If Black manages to carry out ...e7-e5, it is in many cases useful to retain the possibility of ...gq4, which sometimes induces White to spend a tempo on h2-h3.

7.儳e3

You may wonder why this most natural developing move is not on the list above. The reason is that it allows:



7...b5! 8.e5

The standard reaction to an early queenside expansion, which in most cases favours White.

Passive play with 8.a3 allows Black to build up a flexible and active position with 8... Dbd7 9. Dd2 C7 followed by ... e5.

8...Øg4

This is the point. The bishop does not stand well on e3 in connection with an early e4-e5.

9.敻f4

A forced loss of time.



9...b4N 10.De4

Or 10.2a4 dxe5 11.dxe5 11.dxe5 a5, with a double attack.

10...dxe5 11.创xe5 创xe5 12.毫xe5 毫xe5 13.dxe5 曾d5 14.鬯xd5 cxd5 15.创c5 创d7 16.创xd7 毫xd7 17.罩fd1 e6



A) 7.e5

A relatively rare continuation, failing to cause Black major problems.

7...dxe5 8. 2xe5

White is insufficiently well developed to make the ambitious pawn recapture work properly:

8.dxe5 ₩xd1

In view of White's later e5-e6, it is useful to exchange queens, ending White's attacking chances.

9.\angle xd1 ②g4 10.\gf4 ②d7 11.e6

Obviously the only move, since White could not defend his pawn.

11...fxe6 12.gg3



12...@ge5

My favourite among many playable moves. Black prepares to transfer a knight to f7, covering such important squares as e5 and g5, and getting ready to jump to d6 later. 13.∞2xe5

If 13. ②d4 ②b6 White has to take a possible … ②c4 into account.

13...②xe5 14.②e4 ④f7 15.c3 e5

Followed by ... \$£f5, with entirely adequate play.



8....ĝf5

The most active continuation. Before offering the exchange of the e5-knight with …②bd7, Black develops his bishop, preparing later simplifications with …②e4.

8...②bd7 is a less flexible move order. After 9.ዿf4 ②xe5 10.ዿxe5, as played in Balashov – Azmaiparashvili, Lvov 1990, Black should try 10...ዿf5, although instead of replying 11.\extsf{e}1, transposing to the note to White's 9th move below, White can try 11.ዿf3, preventing②e4.



This position was reached by transposition in Jicman – Drljevic, Belgrade 2003. Even though Black has no immediate way of breaking in the centre, Pirc players need not be afraid of this position; Black can continue with 11...h5N followed by ... h6, with normal play.



9.創3

Controlling the e4-square.

9.\$f4 @bd7 10.\extstyle=1 @xe5 11.\$xe5

This was played in Alvarez Ibarra – Azmaiparashvili, Euskadi 1991. Aside from the plan with ...h5 and ... h6 mentioned above, Black can equalize at once with:



11....@e4N 12.@xe4

Or if 12.違xg7 ڭxc3 13.bxc3 堂xg7= Black's better structure compensates for White's space advantage.

12...\$xe5 13.dxe5 \$xe4=

The position has a marked drawish tendency.

9.g4N

This aggressive lunge is premature due to White's incomplete development:

9...ĝe6 10.f4

Otherwise it is hard to justify the previous move.

10...Øbd7



11.f5

11.&f3 @xe5 forces 12.fxe5, since otherwise the g4-pawn would be hanging after the queen exchange. 12...@d5= Exchanges on d5 would be followed by ...f6 with complete equality, while if 13.@e4?! f6 14.@c5 $\&c8\mp$ White's position would be hanging.

11....違d5 12.遑e3 gxf5 13.gxf5 垫h8

Followed by ... We8 and ... Eg8, with a stable position and counterplay along the g-file.



9....②bd7 10.遑f4 ②b6

The knight stands well on b6, preparing either ...②fd5 or ...②fd7, possibly followed by ...②c4.

The last move is slightly more ambitious than 10... (2) xe5 11. (2) xe5, transposing to the note to Black's 8th move above.

11.營d2 创fd7 12.创g4

Exchanging knights would help Black's development:

12.⁴xd7 ¹/²xd7 13.²ad1 ²/²ad8 14.¹/¹/²c1

Avoiding the unpleasant pin along the d-file. 14. $\underline{\mathbb{W}}e2$, with the same idea, runs into 14... $\underline{\mathbb{W}}e6$ renewing the attack on d4, and if 15. $\underline{\mathbb{Q}}e3$ $\underline{\mathbb{W}}c4\mp$ with a strong initiative.

14...<u>ĝ</u>g4∓

Exchanging the only black piece which

was not fulfilling any useful job, and keeping strong pressure on the centre.



12....ĝxg4! 13.ĝxg4 e5∓

Black had the initiative in Sutovsky – Beim, Rishon LeZion 1994.



B) 7. 創4

By increasing his control over the e5-square, White strengthens the force of the thematic central break. However, if Black takes adequate measures then this plan may prove doubleedged, as the bishop is not stable. Typically, it will need to move again after ...e7-e5.

7...④**bd**7

Placing the queen under pressure from the bishop right away is a bit too provocative: 7...Bc7 8.e5 Ah5 9. $\textcircled{g}g5 \pm$ Black experienced certain problems with his coordination in Zvjaginsev – V. Onischuk, St Petersburg 2011.

8.₩d2

A rare and under-examined alternative deserves a mention:





8...dxe5!

8...2h5 looks like the natural refutation of White's hurried break. It seems that White simply loses a pawn, but the surprising 9.d2!!N avoids the queen exchange after general exchanges on e5, thus allowing White to regain the pawn with some initiative: 9...dxe5 10.dxe5 2xe5 11.2xe5 2xe5 12.3xe5 gxb5 13.3xe5

9.dxe5 🖄g4 10.e6 fxe6

This position is similar to one examined in the note to White's 8th move in line A, with the difference that the queens are still on the board. This offers White some additional possibilities, but Black can hold his own by using the aforementioned plan of transferring a knight to f7.

11.@g5!

Without queens this would be impossible, but now the bishop is indirectly defended in view of either axe6 or axg4. If 11.ag3 ade5 then Black has fewer problems.

11...∅de5 12.₩xd8

Once again the bishop retreat offers Black breathing space: 12. 違g3 心h6 13. 公ce4 公f5 with active play.

12... Ixd8 13. Iad1 If8 14. g3

In Klosterfrau – WieNie, Internet (blitz) 2007, Black's best defence would have been:



14...约h6!N

Planning hf7.

15.儳xe5

The only active try, making the c4-square available for the other bishop.

15...ĝxe5 16.ĝc4



16...b5!

Black needs to force events before White increases the pressure with 邕fe1. The space gained by the last move will be useful later. 17.逸b3

Slightly more consistent than 17.奠xe6† 彙xe6 18.剑xe6 單f6 19.剑c5 罩d6. The strong bishop and queenside counterplay compensate for the minor structural defect. 17...a5 18.罝fe1 剑g4

A well-timed counterattack.

19.🖾f3

After 19.h3 🖄 xf2 20.\Exe5 🖄 xd1 21.\2 xd1 Ef5 22.\Exf5 gxf5 the endgame offers chances for both sides.

Pawns are equal and the mutual weaknesses counterbalance each other.

23.¤b1 🖄 f6!

Planning ... 🖄 d5.

24.\mathbb{\mathbb{Z}xb5 \mathbb{\mathbb{Z}d1\dot 25.\mathbb{Z}e1 \mathbb{\mathbb{Z}xe1 \dot 26.\mathbb{\mathbb{D}xe1 \dot \dot 2e4} With a probable draw.



8...⊮c7

Now that Black has better control of the e5-square, this move is possible and at the same time necessary.

It is quite a pity that the tactics work out well for White after:

8...b5 9.e5

The passive 9.a3 offered Black great counterplay after 9...\$b7 10.\arranglead1 c5 in Rogers – Smyslov, Groningen 1989.

9...dxe5 10.dxe5 2h5 11.\$h6 2xe5!

It may seem as if Black has tricked his opponent, but the forced line has not yet ended.

12.豐xd8 邕xd8 13.奠xg7 ④xf3† 14.奠xf3 查xg7 15.奠xc6 罩b8 16.奠xb5±

In Rogers - Azmaiparashvili, Groningen 1989, Black failed to prove adequate compensation for the pawn.



9.e5

This is the last and relatively best moment at which White can break in the centre.

9.a4 e5 transposes to variation A1 of the next chapter.

9...②h5 10.exd6

In a few other similar lines, a typical alternative to exd6 is \$f4-g5. Small details induce radical changes in Black's best defensive line. Here is the first episode of this series: 10.違g5 dxe5 11.違xe7 罩e8

The apparently promising

11...exd4? 12. \$xf8 dxc3 runs into the intermediate move 13.\$d6!±.

12.d5!

The only challenging move.

12.@h4? exd4 13.@xd4₩f4_+ wins material.

12. $gg5 e4\overline{\mp}$ offers Black the initiative at no cost.



12...④b6!N

This move was not considered in Dangerous Weapons: The Pirc and Modern. Black clears the queen's and bishop's paths to the kingside. At a later stage, after d5-d6 and 1/2 f4, the knights could cooperate to occupy the d5-square, thus turning the white d-pawn into a weakness.

If 12... ⁽²⁾ f4 13.d6, as played in a few games, the queen's prospects are less clear.

13.d6 \dd d7 14.\alphafe1

Preventing \$\$ f4 with 14.g3 is too slow: 17. 2xd5 cxd5 18. 2b4 Ze6 19.c4 2xd6= with simplifications and a probable draw.



14.... £14 15. £f1 f6

The position is complex and offers chances undermining the d6-pawn, …约d5 (either knight) interfering on the d-file, and ... Wg4, causing White some kingside discomfort.

10...exd6



White has lost a big part of his space advantage and the structure has become almost symmetrical. In addition, his bishop is attacked. He needs to act energetically in order to avoid immediate equality.

11.@e4

The only challenging move. Other continuations offer Black comfortable play:

11.逾h6 d5 12.逾xg7 was agreed drawn here in Alekseev – Svidler, Sochi 2015. Play could continue 12...迎xg7 13.置fe1 ②b6 (or 13...②f6=) with complete equality.



11...Ødf6

The start of a short tactical sequence resulting in mass simplifications.

12.<u>\$</u>xd6

12.⁽²⁾xd6? drops a piece to 12...⁽²⁾xf4 13.⁽²⁾xf4 ⁽²⁾h5−+.

12.... 🛛 xe4 13. 🕸 xc7 🖓 xd2 14. 🖓 xd2 🕸 xd4



15.c3

White's only chance to maintain the tension is to exchange on h5:

15. يkh5N gxh5 16.c3 单b6

This move order offers White a choice between transposing to our main line with 17.\$xb6 or keeping the bishop with:

17.<u>ĝ</u>f4

However, White's hopes of exploiting the absence of the enemy bishop from g7 are not justified, as the bishop pair is strong enough to compensate for the kingside weaknesses. 17... \area 18.\area fe1

18.⁽¹)c4 띨e2 offers Black counterplay since 19.^{[2}ae1? allows 19...._\$xf2†!.

18...\Zxe1\ 19.\Zxe1 \ 2e6=

White's queenside is also 'imperfect'. Black will soon transfer his bishop to e7 or f6 (via d8) with at least equal chances.

15...ģb6 16.ģxb6 axb6 17.ģxh5 gxh5 18.a3 ⊠d8



For those reluctant to play with doubled pawns, the ultimate course of the game may prove to them that Black has little to fear. The centre is open and the bishop has greater mobility than the knight.

19.莒fd1 \$\overline{15} 20.\$\overline{11}f1 \$\overline{16}f2 23.\$\overline{16}f2 23.\$\overline{16}f2 02.\$\overline{16}f5 26.\$\overline{16}f4 \$\overline{16}f6 26.\$\overline{16}f4 \$\overline{16}f6 26.\$\overline{16}f4 \$\overline{16}f6 26.\$\overline{16}f6 \$\overline{16}f6 \$\overlin



28...b5!N=

This is better than 28... \mathbb{Z} d5, as played in Solak – T.L. Petrosian, Golden Sands 2014, when White could have posed some problems with 29.b3 \pounds a6 30.c4, leaving the bishop passive on a6.

8 7 6 5 4 3 ģ 2 1 b e h а с d g

C) 7. 臭g5

This does not put immediate pressure on Black, but avoids exposing the bishop to the knight's attacks, as both 7.\$e3 and 7.\$f4 do. It means that Black should choose his next move carefully in order to avoid problems after e4-e5.

7...₩c7!

Thinking abstractly, this may be chosen simply to avoid the pressure along the h4-d8 diagonal, but it also is the best move for very concrete reasons.

7...Øbd7

This allows:

8.e5 dxe5 9.dxe5 🖄g4 10.e6 fxe6

As mentioned above, the bishop is not hanging. Slightly later we will see that it is actually very useful on g5.

11. 2d4N 2de5 12. 2xg4 2xg4



13. 🖄 xc6! bxc6

The point is that after 13...^(b)xd1 14.^(c)xe7[†] ^(c)df7 15.^(c)axd1[±] the knight is defended. And if 15...h6 White is just in time to save his hanging pieces with 16.^(c)xx8[±].

14.[₩]xg4±

8.₩d2

This allows Black to start his queenside counterplay.

8.e5 is ineffective: 8...dxe5 9. 2xe5 (9.dxe5 2g4 wins the e5-pawn) 9... 2bd7= This can be compared with other lines where White plays an early e4-e5.

8.a4 is best, transposing to variation B of the next chapter.



8...b5

Black can afford this ambitious move mainly because White has not increased the pressure in the centre with his last two moves.

9.a3

The complications arising after the central advance are not dangerous for Black: 9.e5 dxe5



10.dxe5

After the careless 10.2xe5? Black wins a piece: 10...b4 11.2a4 2e4 For once, the bishop is hanging on g5, too. 12.2e3 2xg5 13.2xg5 f6-+

10....②g4 11.瀺f4

White is forced to lose a tempo and look for compensation after general exchanges on e5. 11...4)xe5

12.②xe5 \$\$xe5 13.\$\$xe5 ¥}xe5



14.¤fe1N

Slightly more consistent than 14. (Åxb5, absolving Black of all developing problems: 14...cxb5 15. (Åf3 (Åc6 16. (Åxc6 \exists b8 17.c3)) (Åc6 18. (\exists f1)) (Åc5 19. (Åf3)) (f4 In Pham Xuan Dat – Tran Minh Thang, Ho Chi Minh City 2012, Black should have proceeded with his queenside attack with

20...b4N∓.

14...增f6 15.臭f3

If 15. @e4 Black can safely take the second pawn with 15... 幽xb2, since the queen keeps defending the long diagonal.

15...ģf5 16.₩e3

Once again, retrieving the pawn on b5 speeds up Black's development: 16.②xb5 ②a6 17.③a3 Eab8 18.②c4 ②b4= with counterplay.

```
16...∅d7 17.₩xe7 ¤ac8=
```

Black does not have the slightest problem.



White renews the threat of e4-e5 based on the X-ray action along the e-file.

Knowing White's plan in the main line (meeting ...e5 with d4-d5), 10.²²ad1 looks logical.



This has been played in a few games, but Black can improve on them with 10...a5N, taking full advantage of the rook's absence from a1 by renewing the threat of ...b4.

10.\arrowfd1 avoids this problem, but does not force Black to embark on concrete actions in the centre. He can play 10...\begin{minipage}{l} b7= followed by ...a5 and/or ...e5 soon.

10.⊮́f4

This looks aggressive, but does not promise attacking chances.

10...e5 11.\"h4

11.dxe5 dxe5 12.營h4 avoids the counterplay in the centre, but clears the b8-h2 diagonal, allowing 12...心h5 followed by ...心f4, when several white pieces are misplaced.

11...exd4

Not the only move, but quite a good one, taking advantage of White's imperfect coordination.

12. 2xd4 Ie8 13. Ifd1 a6

Preparingc5.



15.\d2

15. ②b3 does not reduce the force of 15...c5; for instance 16. ③d2 \arrowde e6!?∓ followed by ...\arrowde area with strong pressure on the e4-pawn. 15...c5 16. ③dxb5!?

Otherwise 16...b4 would win the e4-pawn. 16...axb5 17.\2xb5 \2b6 18.\2xd6 \2xb2

19.¤ad1

In De Firmian – Foygel, Seattle 2003, Black prematurely agreed a draw, instead of continuing:

19...ዿc6N 20.∅xe8 \xe8∓

Black's well-coordinated minor pieces are strong, while White has many vulnerable pawns.



10...e5

Parrying White's only threat and proceeding with the global plan of expansion.

The careless 10...a5 offers White some initiative after: 11.e5 dxe5 12.dxe5 公xe5 13.公xe5 鬯xe5 14.奠f3 鬯c7 15.公xb5圭

11.d5!?

The only challenging move. Abstractly this justifies &g5, as in some cases the exchange on f6 would increase White's control of the light squares, but with the reserve knight on d7 it fails to yield the desired effect.

Quiet continuations do not bother Black, for instance: 11. I adl a5N 12. b4 2b7 13. dxe5 dxe5 14. I d6 X xd6 15. I xd6 axb4 16. axb4 I a3 17. D1 I a2= Black has a perfect regrouping and chances for counterplay.

11...cxd5 12.②xb5

The only consistent continuation, as 12.exd5 $a6\overline{\mp}$ offers Black a strategically pleasant position.

12**...**₩b8



13.**Åxf**6

White does not avoid the bishop exchange with:

13.exd5 🖗 e4

It will be up to Black to decide whether or not to take on g5.



14.≌b4

Trying to defend the b-file and keep Black busy with the defence of the d6-pawn.

Both 14. 26 f5 and 14. 26 \$\overline{2} xg5 15. \$\overline{2} xg5 a6 16. \$\overline{2} c3 h6 17. \$\overline{2} f3 f5 allow Black to set his majority in motion. Soon the g7-bishop will exert strong pressure on White's

queenside, making the extra pawn irrelevant.

14...②xg5 15.②xg5 公c5 16.②c3 逢f5 17.豐xb8 This looks like a concession, but White clears the b5-square for the knight in order to renew the threat to d6.

17.≝ac1 e4∓ leaves the g5-knight misplaced. 17...≝axb8 18.ऄb5 ≌b6 19.b4 ऄa4 20.c4



White has managed to connect his pawns, but they have left many weak squares behind in the process, allowing Black's minor pieces to 'dance' at their leisure.

20...h6 21.g4

Trying to fight back, for if 21. ⁽²⁾h3 e4 White is poorly coordinated.

Another possible intermediate move is 21. 2d1 2b2 22. 2e4 2xc4 23. 2xa7 Ia8 24. C6 2xc4 25. Ixe4 Ixa3, when the c6-knight and the b4-pawn at most compensate for Black's strong bishop and mobile majority.

21...ዿੈd7 22. එe4 ዿੈxb5 23.cxb5 f5 24.gxf5 gxf5 25. එg3 e4 26. \alpha ac1 bc3

Black will regain the pawn soon with great play.

13...²xf6 14.exd5

In Galego – McNab, Cappelle-la-Grande 1993, Black had many ways of proving his compensation for the pawn, the most natural being:

14....²e4N 15.[™]e3 f5

Followed by ... \$d7 and ... \$\mathbb{Z}c8.

D) 7.邕e1



We are familiar with this apparently modest developing move from line C. White strengthens the threat of e4-e5, but this time Black is not prepared for ...e7-e5 yet.

7...④bd7

7...@c7 prematurely commits the queen, exposing it to the enemy bishop after 8.e5 dxe5 9.@xe5 followed by @f4.

After 7...⁽²⁾bd7 White can try the regrouping **D1**) **8.**⁽²⁾**f1**, the developing **D2**) **8.**⁽²⁾**f4** and the resolute **D3**) **8.e5**.

D1) 8.覍f1



White allows Black's planned ...e5, hoping that his flexible regrouping will allow him to keep his space advantage intact.

8...e5 9.a4

Parrying ...b5. This does not transpose to variation C of the next chapter since Black has played ... bd7 instead of ... c7.

If 9.逸e3, as in Hultin – Setterqvist, Sweden 2000, Black can immediately obtain counterplay with: 9...公g4N 10.逸g5 營b6 The weakening of the f2-square tells, as the d4-pawn is pinned. 11.h3 exd4 12.公a4 營a5 13.hxg4 營xa4 14.逸e7 莒e8 15.逸xd6 谷b6 16.g5 逸g4= With perfect development and already a space advantage for Black.

9.dxe5 dxe5

This avoids Black's counterplay from the main line but, due to the time wasted on regrouping, White is insufficiently well developed to claim an advantage after the opening of the d-file. For instance:



10.a4

The typical 10.Bd6 is also ineffective after 10... $\Huge{E}e8$. White would need to move his dark-squared bishop in order to meet the planned ... $\Huge{L}f8$ with Bd2, but one tempo is not enough to find a good square for the bishop's development. 11. $\Huge{L}g5$ h6 more or less forces the bishop to retreat passively to

h4, while after 11.ģe3 ģg4 12.ģg5 ∰b6 Black's counterplay is obvious.

10...⊮c7

Black threatens ... 20c5, possibly followed by ... 20c3, possibly followed by ... 20c3 with a strong initiative. White can prevent this, though not for long, with:

11.ĝe3

This position was reached in Holub – Mrnka, Czech Republic 2003. Black should have insisted on his main idea with:

11...②g4N 12.違g5 ②c5

With at least equal play.



In line C of the next chapter, one of Black's main ideas is to delay ... ②bd7 in order to maintain the possibility of ... 黛g4. This does not apply here anymore, but for dynamic purposes with the centre under tension ... ②bd7 is more useful than ... 營c7, allowing Black to start immediate counterplay.

9...exd4!

Black should not delay his counterplay, as preparing it with 9... Ee8 runs into 10.dxe5 dxe5 11. c4, causing Black some slight problems on f7. He would either have to weaken his kingside with ... h6 or else return the rook to f8, thus compensating for White's bishop repeatedly moving around. True, the position would remain entirely viable for Black, but the main move is a simpler way to solve all the problems.

10.[©]xd4



10...②c5

Again the most accurate move order, for if 10.... Ee8 White can take measures against ...心c5 with 11.心b3, as in Tregubov – Eismont, Kobanya 1992.



The last move not only plans to increase the pressure on the e4-pawn with ... Ξ e8, but also creates the threat of completely freeing Black's position with ...d6-d5.

11.f3

White accepts the inevitable.

11.b4?

This runs into unfavourable tactical complications.



11...[©]g4!N

Once again the weakness of the f2-square causes White problems, especially in combination with the vulnerability of the long diagonal. This move is stronger than 11... (2)e6, as played in Nolte – Murshed, Subic Bay 2009, when White could have maintained approximate equality with 12. (2)xe6N &xe6 13.&e3=.

12.<u></u>£e3

This seems like a sad move for White to play, but there is nothing better.

If 12.bxc5? Wh4 Black wins on the spot.

12.h3 allows 12...②xf2 13.空xf2 營f6† 14.②f3 營xc3-+ when Black has an extra pawn and the initiative.

After 12.f3 Black is ready to retreat with his c5-knight as the long diagonal is clear: $12... \textcircled{0} e6 13. \textcircled{0} ce2 \textcircled{0} xd4 14. \textcircled{0} xd4 c5\mp$

12...②xe3 13.\\xe3 \@e6∓

The strong g7-bishop is obviously the telling factor.

11.<u>\$g</u>5

This temporarily prevents ...d5, but leaves a series of dark squares vulnerable. In Aranovitch – Henze, Switzerland 2001, the simplest and strongest would have been:



11.... 凹b6N 12. 三b1 三e8 13.a5

Before defending the e4-pawn, White needs to drive the queen away from the a7-g1 diagonal.

Instead, 13.f3 De6 14.ge3 allows 14...Dg4 15.fxg4 gxd4, with excellent chances for Black.

13... \boxtimes c7 14.f3 \boxtimes e6 15. \boxtimes xe6 &xe6 16.b4 d5= Black has at least equalized, since 17.&xf6? &xf6 18.exd5 runs into 18...&xc3 \mp .

11.<u></u>£f4

This is safer than 11.225, but does not cross Black's plan. In Kaminski – Sznapik, Lubniewice 1993, Black for no good reason refrained from:



11...d5N 12.e5

The only way of keeping some tension. 12...釣d7

Planning\deltae8 followed byf6 and/or\deltae6.

13.b4

The structural modifications arising after this do not favour White.

13...②e6 14.②xe6 fxe6 15.違g3 a5 16.b5 鬯e7 Black has comfortable play.



11...d5!N

Black should not delay this break, as playing in slow motion allows White to consolidate his space advantage: 11...a5 12.&e3 \existseles (12...d5? already fails to 13.&xc6 bxc6 14.&xc5±) 13.&f2± Pioch – Kunze, Berlin 1994.

12.e5 De8

The difference with respect to the final variation from the note to White's 11th move above is that White can immediately consolidate his e5-pawn with f3-f4, so there is no reason to submit it to piece pressure. With its last move, the knight is heading for c7, helping its colleague to occupy the blockading e6-square.

13.f4 🖄 c7 14. ĝe3 🖄 5e6=

Black will play ... f6 soon, with good chances for counterplay.

D2) 8.覍f4



This familiar plan is best answered with a slightly atypical move:

8....₩a5!

Black threatens ...e5 without placing the queen in the bishop's line of fire. In line B above, where White played Bd2 instead of Ξ e1, developing the queen to a5 would have run into 9. Dd5!.

White mainly chooses between **D21**) 9.營d2 and **D22**) 9.公d2.

With the queen on a5 the central break is harmless:

9.e5 创h5 10.違g5 dxe5 11.違xe7 罩e8



White does not have the resource 12.d5 that he had in line B.

- 12. এd6 exd4 13. 公xd4 公hf6 14. 公b3 營d8 The structure is symmetrical and the d6-bishop is not stable enough to allow White to retain his dominance. In contrast, the g7-bishop is likely to gain in strength.
- 15.蠢f3 心b6 16.罩xe8† 營xe8 17.心a5 心fd5 Completely neutralizing White's initiative and demonstrating that some of his pieces are hanging.

18.^公xd5 cxd5



19.∰e1

I also considered 19.c3 \$f5, planning ...\$e4, when Black has a lot of activity.

19.... 創行 20. ¹¹ xe8 [†] ¹² xe8 21.c3 ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹



22.④xb7N

The careless 22. \$\mathbb{L}a3? allows 22... \$\delta xc3! since 23.bxc3 \$\mathbb{L}xc3 regains the piece with interest.

Nogueiras – Azmaiparashvili, Madrid 1988, continued with 23.心xb7?! when 23...心b5 gave Black pleasant play, but 23...心b1!N-+, completely paralysing White, would have won on the spot.

22...⁽²⁾xb2=

With plain equality.

D21) 9.₩d2



The delayed queen's development allows Black to use the tension along the e1-a5 diagonal in his favour.

9...e5 10.覍h6

The only consistent move.

10.違e3 just wastes a tempo: 10...罝e8N 11.dxe5 dxe5 with comfortable play.

The evaluation is the same if White releases the tension before exchanging bishops: 10.dxe5 dxe5 11. 逸h6 邕e8 12.a4 ②c5 (threatening 黛g4 followed by 邕ad8 and ②e6-d4) 13.h3 逸e6=

10...exd4 11. 2xd4

The untested intermediate move 11.違xg7N allows a promising exchange sacrifice: 11...dxc3 12.營h6 cxb2 (avoiding the trap 12...心g4? 13.違xc3!±) 13.違xf8 创xf8 14. $\mathbb{Z}ab1$ $\mathbb{Q}xe4$ = Black has two pawns for the exchange and a compact structure.



11....⁽²⁾xe4!

This small tactical trick provokes favourable simplifications.

12. ②xe4 鬯xd2 13. 皇xd2



13...d5!

A strong intermediate move, attacking the second knight instead of capturing its colleague.

13...ዿੈxd4 14.∅xd6 ዿੈxb2 15.≅ab1 allows White to hope for a tiny edge.

14.**逸b**4

After 14. 2xc6 bxc6 15. 2c3 2c5 White was only hoping to maintain equality in Christensen – Jensen, corr. 1989. Black can continue with ... 2f5 and ... a5.

14....莒d8 15.②xc6

15.心b5?, hoping for 15...cxb5 16.心c3=, allows a promising exchange sacrifice: 15...dxe4 16.心c7 岂b8 17.逸e7 岂f8 White's knight faces serious problems returning into play. 18.岂ad1 逸e5 19.逸xf8 峦xf8 20.逸g4 f5 21.心e6† 查e7 22.心g5 心f6! 23.逸e2 逸xb2干 Black had two pawns for the exchange and a strong dark-squared bishop in A. Sokolov – Van der Wiel, Biel 1985.

However, 18...\$xb2!N would have been even stronger, gaining a full tempo over the game continuation.

15...bxc6 16.2c3 a5

Black had at least equal play in Kamsky – Piket, Dortmund 1992.



D22) 9. 2 d2

This anticipates Black's immediate threat ofe5, which would run into 2c4 followed by the occupation of the d6-square. But for other purposes the knight does not stand well on d2, and Black is flexible enough to change plans.

9....[₩]c7!

Since e4-e5 is not a threat any more, the queen stands well on c7. The last move creates two simultaneous threats: ...e5 and ...b5.

10.d5

The only way to parry both threats, but not really fitting in with the previous move since the knight belongs closer to the d4-square.

White has unsuccessfully tried several other moves. If allowed, Black will play ...b5, since e4-e5 is not a threat yet and he can start the fight in the centre a little later. For instance:

10.違g3 b5 11.a3 e5 12.d5 a6 13.dxc6 鬯xc6 14.创f1



In Wedberg – Danielsen, Munkebo 1998, the most convincing regrouping would have been 14...②b6N 15.②e3 \$e6=, with harmonious development and chances for queenside counterplay.

10.②c4 leads to structural modifications freeing Black's position: 10...b5 11.e5 bxc4 12.exf6 ②xf6N 13.逸xc4 罩b8=

10.a4

Since ...b5 offers Black such easy play, this is the only logical alternative to the main move. Black needs to switch to the central plan:



10...e5 11.dxe5

11.&e3?! runs into the thematic 11...&de3, for instance: 12.&xg4 exd4 13.&xd7 &xd714.&c4N (14.&f4 dxc3 15.&c4 cxb2 16. $\existsb1$ &e5 was excellent for Black in L.B. Hansen – Wojtkiewicz, Philadelphia 2006) 14...dxe3 15. $\exists xe3$ d5 16.exd5 cxd5 Since 17.&xd5 &e6 wins an exchange, White's best chance to stay close to equality is 17.&xd5&xc4 18. $\&e7\dagger$ &b18 19.&xd7 – but even here, after 19...&xc2 20.&xb7 $\exists ab8\mp$ Black's play is preferable since 21.&xa7? &xb222. $\exists d1$ &d4!–+ wins the exchange, based on the back-rank weakness.

11...dxe5 12.ĝe3

This loss of time compensates for the tempo wasted by the black queen.

12.&g3 is worse, since the bishop is far from the important squares: 12...&c5 13.b4 &e614.&c4 Ξ d8 15.&c1 &f4 16.&f1 $\&e6\mp$ with a strong initiative for Black in Wedberg – Cu. Hansen, Stockholm 1996.

12...¤d8

Preventing the intrusion on d6 after ∅c4. 13.≝c1 ዿf8

Preparing ... 2c5.

13...වf8 followed by ...වe6 or ...වg4 is also good.

14.¤d1 @c5 15.f3 &e6 16.@c4 a5=

Black had regrouped perfectly and enjoyed almost absolute stability on the dark squares in Pigusov – Azmaiparashvili, USSR 1986.



10...Øb6!

Black immediately attacks the d5-pawn, aiming among other things at preventing the manoeuvre $2d^{2}-f^{3}-d^{4}$.

11.創3

Trying to keep things under control in the centre.

11. 2b3 exposes the knight to attack by the a-pawn without threatening 2d4, since the d5-pawn would be hanging. And if he prepares the centralizing move with 2f3, then ...2c4 would cause trouble.



11... 2d7 12. \Bar{1} b1 a5 13.h3 a4 14. \Dar{1}c5 2 e8∓ White was terribly fragile in Braga – Christiansen, Germany 1990.

11. 13/3 cxd5! 12.exd5 was played in Koch – Long, email 2000, and here Black can improve with:



12...@c5!N In order to save the central pawn, White needs to give up the bishop pair. 13.&e3@a5 14.&xb6 @xb6 15.&a4 @a5 16.c4 White has managed to consolidate his centre, but he is poorly coordinated and the g7-bishop is superb. One possible way of fighting for the initiative is: 16...e6 17.dxe6 $\&xe6\mp$



11...②fd7

Immediately underlining the main drawback of White's last move: the vulnerability of the light-squared bishop.

11...e5!? is 'only' good enough to equalize: 12.dxe6 &xe6 13.a4 a5 14.&e2 In Brodsky – Korotylev, St Petersburg 1993, Black should have prepared the central break with 14...僧d8N 15.创f3 d5=.



12.a4 a5 13.違e3 包e5 14.遑e2 f5 Black had strong counterplay in Nunn –

Pfleger, Germany 1987.

D3) 8.e5



This is the most ambitious continuation, involving a positional pawn sacrifice.

8...dxe5 9.dxe5

Inserting the knight exchange only frees Black's play: 9.②xe5 ③xe5 10.dxe5 營xd1 11.鼍xd1 ②d7 12.f4 (without queens the pawn sacrifice is completely harmless: 12.e6 fxe6
13.逸c4 ②f6=) 12...f6 Freeing the bishop and turning f2-f4 into a weakening move. 13.exf6 ②xf6 14.逸e3 違g4 15.逸d4 ½-½ Solak – Tseshkovsky, Herceg Novi 2005.

9....2g4 10.e6 fxe6



White doubtless has compensation for the pawn, but choosing the best plan and move order is not simple.

11.h3

Before undertaking any concrete action, White forces his opponent to make up his mind with respect to the knight.

The premature 11.0g5? allows 11...0xf2, when White fails to trap the knight: 12.2d2 2b6 13.2e3



13...心h3†! The knight is taboo due to d4, so ...心xg5 is inevitable, leaving Black with two extra pawns.

11.ĝc4

This allows Black to gain time to regroup:

11...②de5 12.營xd8 罩xd8 13.②xe5 ②xe5 14.象b3 ②f7

We are already familiar with this regrouping.



15.��f4

15.違xe6?? is impossible due to 15...違xe6 16.罝xe6 違xc3 17.bxc3 罝d1† mating.

15....&f8

Getting away from the potential pin in order to prepare ...e6-e5.

16.奠xe6 奠xe6 17.Ξxe6 Ξd4 18.Ξe4 Ξad8 19.垫f1 b5

Black had some initiative in Bailet – Tkachiev, Belfort 2012.

11.@e4

This centralizing move is not without venom. 11...¹¹/¹⁶/₁

Black uses the available tempo to attack f2.

The typical situation to be avoided arises after: 11...0df6 12.Wxd8 \blacksquare xd8 13.0c5 b6 14.0d3 \ddagger White has a perfect regrouping, with an additional black weakness on c6 and a still-wandering knight on g4, Spasov – Dearing, Calvia (ol) 2004.

12.h3



In Cooper – Yrjola, Novi Sad (ol) 1990, Black should have forced the exchange of the central knight with:

12.... df6!N 13. xf6†

13.hxg4 ²众xe4 14.罝f1 e5 offers Black a strong initiative.

13...@xf6 14.\bar{2}b1

Preparing the bishop's development.

If 14.彙c4 Black has an adequate antidote to the pressure on e6 in 14...②d5 15.彙b3 a5 16.a4 幽c7. Black has a stable position, as c2-c4 would leave the dark squares weakened after ...③f4.

14...∕2d5 15.ዿg5 ₩c7=

Here too, Black has a stable position. In the absence of pressure along the a2-g8 diagonal, Black could even consider6-e5 followed by £1f4.



Embarking on the familiar path.

12.@xe5

12.②g5 ②f6=, planning …②d5 and …②f7, is also entirely safe for Black. 13.f4?! This only drives the knight where it would go anyway, unnecessarily weakening White's structure. 13...②f7 14.鬯xd8 ②xd8 15.g3 ②d5∓ White had little if any compensation for the pawn in Zaitsev – Postny, Moscow 2002.

12...②xe5 13.營xd8 罩xd8 14.②e4

With this specific piece placement the knight seems to enjoy stability in the centre, but this will not last.

14.&g5 is easily parried with 14...&df8 followed by ...&f7. The net result is that White has wasted a tempo on helping the king evacuate the a2-g8 diagonal, thus preparing ...e6-e5 under improved circumstances.

14.覍f4 幻f7



15.瀺d3

White strengthens his control over the e-file. 15.逾g3 leaves the d4-square undefended, allowing 15...Ξd4 16.ⓓd1 e5∓ with active play in Okhotnik – Tkachiev, France 1996. The natural 15.Ξad1 Ξxd1 16.Ξxd1 allows: 16...ዿxc3! 17.bxc3 e5



This is the optimal scenario for Black. Before clearing the diagonal for his bishop on c8 he exchanges his potentially bad bishop, spoiling White's structure on the way. 18. 逸e3 逸e6 19.a4 创d6∓ Black had an extra pawn and the better structure in Rozentalis – Azmaiparashvili, Lvov 1990. The bishop pair only offers chances to hold a draw. 15...e5 16. 逸g3 逸f5 17. ②e4 ②d6∓

White did not have enough compensation

for the pawn in Potkin – Art. Minasian, Batumi 2002.

14...④f7 15.c3



White has consolidated his position but is not yet fully mobilized. This gives Black the time to complete his development in more than one way.

15...b6

Black prepares the bishop's development without changing the kingside configuration.

15...⁽²⁾d6 16.⁽²⁾g5 e5 is also good, as White's initiative on the light squares is temporary: 17.⁽²⁾d1





16.a4 c5 17.2g5

The only way of fighting against ... \$b7, clearing the e-file and the long diagonal.

17...抱xg5 18.臭xg5 空f7 19.鼻f3 罩b8 20.臭f4 e5 21.臭xe5 臭xe5 22.罩xe5

Torre – Cheparinov, Istanbul (ol) 2012, continued with 22...a5, which seems a bit too slow, even though Black achieved a draw anyway. More active is:

22...홈d2N 23.b4 cxb4 24.cxb4 힕d7 25.프ae1 e6

The vulnerability of the white queenside compensates for the isolated pawn on e6.



E) 7.h3

This is the second most frequent continuation and the only one which is almost as popular as 7.a4, which is examined in the next chapter.

The purpose of h2-h3 is not to prepare &e3, as in most cases White can comfortably meet an early ...&g4 with &g5, leaving the knight looking strange. By controlling the g4-square White mainly strengthens the threat of e4-e5, in the hope of forcing the knight back to e8.

7**…[₩]c**7

7...[©]bd7

This has been my usual choice over the years. However, even though my results were more than satisfactory, I have spotted a few problems:

8.a4

Black intends to meet 8.e5 with a coherent regrouping: 8...2e8 9.2f4 dxe5 10.dxe5 2c7 11.Ee1 2e6 12.2g3 I have had this position several times, but even though the blockading knight looks beautiful, I got a bit fed up with Black's lack of space.

8...≝c7 9.ĝe3



This position could also arise via the 7.a4 move order, which is characteristic of the next chapter. The reasons why I now prefer 7...⁽²⁾C7 over 7...⁽²⁾bd7, as explained here, also apply there.

9...b6

This is what I used to play almost exclusively. 9...e5 is more solid, but Black experiences some micro-problems: 10.dxe5 dxe5 11.a5 罩d8 Preventing White's activity along the d-file. 12.營b1 ②f8 13.營a2 Unfortunately, the most desirable move, 13...②e6, loses the exchange: 14.逸b6 axb6 15.axb6, when Black is forced to play 15...營xb6 since 15...Ξxa2 16.bxc7 is even worse.



10. 🖄 d2

This is the critical move, preparing kingside expansion. Natural moves allow Black to carry out his plan unhindered: 10.營d2 皇b7 11.邕fe1 e5 followed by ...a6 and ...b5.

10...\$b7 11.f4 a6 12.e5 De8 13.Dce4 c5 14.c3 \$h6

Apparently White cannot hold his centre any more, but there is a strong resource which puts Black in a passive position.



15.₩e1!N

15.exd6 ②xd6 16. ③xd6 exd6∓ offered Black excellent play due to his better development and the weakness of the e4-square in Braga – Marin, Andorra 2000.

15...@g7

The point is that the planned 15...dxe5 16.dxe5 ⁽²⁾/₍₂₎xe5 runs into 17.⁽²⁾/₍₂₎h4+−.

16.g4±

White has consolidated his space advantage, depriving Black of any chance for counterplay.



8.覍f4

This only looks similar to line B. The insertion of h2-h3 offers Black additional ideas.

8.a4 e5 will most likely transpose to the next chapter. For example, 9. Ee1 is variation C2, while 9. e3 is variation D2.

Neutral moves allow ...e7-e5, for instance: 8.&e3 e5 9.\dd2

This is too modest to pose problems, but other moves do not have independent value. For instance, 9.a4 and 9.dxe5 dxe5 10.a4 transpose, respectively, to variations D2 and D4 of the next chapter.

9...b5 10.a3 exd4N 11.②xd4 遑b7

Black will follow a familiar plan: ...∅bd7, ...a6, ...≌fe8 and – when everything is ready for it – ...c6-c5.

8.e5 dxe5 9.@xe5

9.dxe5 allows Black to gain time for his development: 9...邑d8 10.逸d3 创d5 11.②xd5 cxd5 12.逸f4 公c6 13.邑e1 營b6 14.營c1 公d4 15.②xd4 營xd4= with approximate equality in a somewhat dull position, Volokitin – Grigoryan, Jerusalem 2015.

White has some hopes for a kingside attack, but Black's plan turns the tables:



13...f6 14. 2g4 g5 15. 2h2 f5!

The h2-bishop will inevitably stay out of play for a long time.

16.De3

16.②e5 does not change anything essential: 16...f4 17.②f3 h6 18.盒d3 盒f5 19.盒xf5 罩xf5 20.罩fe1 營c7 21.罩e2 營d7 22.罩ae1 罩af8 Both sides are perfectly regrouped, but for practical purposes Black is a piece up.



16...f4! 17.^公xd5 營d8 18.奠c4

18. ĝf3 ĝe6 19. Db4 Dxb4 20. cxb4 ĝd5 does not offer White any reason for joy either.

18...එa5 19.එe3† එxc4 20.එxc4 b5 21.එa3 e5

With a strong initiative for Black in Dorfman – Zaichik, Moscow 1983.

8.... **②bd**7



9.e5

The only consistent move, since 9.營d2 e5 followed by ...b5 and ...違b7 offers Black optimal play.

9...🖄h5

The most principled reaction, as after 9...dxe5 10. 2xe5 the queen would soon have to lose time.

Curiously, this typical move is a novelty.

10.exd6 exd6 11. 違h2 约hf6

This does not pose Black any problems. True, the pin along the h2-b8 diagonal looks a bit annoying, but after Black solves this problem the bishop will be somewhat passive on h2.



12. 🖄 d2

Threatening 🖄 c4.

12.a4 was played in Pinkas – Mista, Polanica Zdroj 2004, and is best answered with 12...a5N= followed by ...②b6.

12...¤e8

Preparing to defend the pawn with either黛f8 or罩e6 followed by 边b6.

However, 12...心b6N is also possible, for instance 13.心de4 心xe4 14.心xe4 單d8 15.c3 凹e7 16.逸d3 f5 17.心d2 逸e6=, with comfortable development.

13. \$f3 \$\varDeb b6 14. \$\varDece4 \$\varDece

In Borik – Mohrlok, Germany 1977, the best way to defend d6 would have been: 15...②c4N 16.b3 f5=



10...dxe5 11.^gxe7 exd4!?

There is nothing really wrong with the familiar 11... Ξ e8 12.d5 b6, but the main move is more active.

12. 桌xf8 ②xf8 13. ②xd4 ②f4

White is a clear exchange up, but Black has strong pressure in the centre and on the kingside. The h3-pawn is an obvious target for sacrifices.

14. 倉子

After 14.273 $\pounds f5$ $15.\Xi e1$ Bb6 16.C1 $\pounds h6$ 17.Bb1 $\pounds xh3$ White should strive for approximate equality with $18.\pounds f1$, yielding Black a pawn for the exchange and an active position. Instead, 18.gxh3?! Dxh3† 19.Dh1Dxf2† $20.\pounds g2$ Dg4∓ leaves White very passive and with his king exposed to the combined attack of Black's whole army. White's extra rook is completely useless on a1.

After the text move, we see a familiar motif.



14.... 違xh3! 15. 创de2

Once again, White should ignore the bishop. If 15.gxh3? $2xh3^{\dagger}$ 16.2g2 $2f4^{\dagger}$ 17.2g13d8-+ Black regains the d4-knight with two pawns for the exchange and a continuing attack.

The situation has calmed down, but Black's position remains excellent as all his minor pieces are stable and active.

Conclusion

Despite the apparent simplicity of White's play, the Classical System can lead to a wide range of positions.

The lines based on a quick e4-e5 are slightly irritating, as they take Black out of the usual Pirc patterns. Objectively, there is no great danger, but Black needs to know what he is doing for a short sequence of moves. Small details may change the nature of Black's best reaction – compare for instance variations B and D, where Black reacts quite differently to White's &f4.

When White aims for straightforward development, Black will respond with ...e7-e5. We have already seen some examples of this, and will see many more in the next chapter.



Classical System



7**.**a4

Variation Index

1.e4 d6 2.d4 2f6 3.2c3 g6 4.2f3 \$g7 5.\$e2 0-0 6.0-0 c6 7.a4

7 ≌c7	
A) 8.奠f4 ②bd7	44
A1) 9.營d2	44
A2) 9.e5	47
B) 8.奠g5 e5 9.鬯d2 ②bd7	49
B1) 10. Zad1	49
B2) 10.邕fe1	51
C) 8.¤e1 e5	54
C1) 9.dxe5	55
C2) 9.h3	58
D) 8.奠e3 e5	60
D1) 9.匀d2	61
D2) 9.h3 exd4	62
D21) 10.②xd4	63
D22) 10. 黛xd 4	65
D3) 9.邕e1	68
D4) 9.dxe5	70

A1) note to 10.gh6



C2) note to 11.g3



D22) after 15.₩d2



1.e4 d6 2.d4 친f6 3.친c3 g6 4.친f3 \$g7 5.\$e2 0-0 6.0-0 c6 7.a4



This is not only the most popular continuation, but also the most principled one. Preventing ...b7-b5 once and forever is more useful than 7.h3, as in many lines White will try to save that tempo.

7**...⊮c**7

I have explained the reasons why I prefer this move in the introduction to line E of the previous chapter.

We will examine A) 8.[§]£f4, B) 8.[§]£g5, C) 8.[□]Ee1 and D) 8.[§]£e3.

8.h3 e5 will most likely transpose to variations C2 or D2, after 9.\extsf{2}e1 or 9.\extsf{2}e3 respectively.

After 8.e5 dxe5 9.2xe5 (9.dxe5 exposes the central pawn to 9... Ξ d8 10.2d3 2g4 11.2f4 2d7 \mp) 9...2bd7 White should transpose to variation A2 with 10.2f4, since the overambitious 10.f4 weakens his centre without offering realistic attacking chances: 10...2d5 11.2xd5 (White loses stability after 11.2e4 c5) 11...cxd5 12.2e3 2f6 13.g4 b6= followed by ...2b7 and ...2e4.

A) 8.ĝf4

The reader is already familiar with this plan. Things will not get boring, though, since the small change in the picture greatly influences the subsequent play.

8...④bd7



White has a choice between the developing **A1**) **9.**[™]**d2** and the resolute **A2**) **9.e5**.

A1) 9. 2d2



This is similar to variation D21 from the previous chapter, with the difference that White has already prevented ...b5. In the short term a2-a4 is more useful than h2-h3,

but Black has a way of making use of the g4-square.

9...e5 10. 皇h6

10.dxe5

This clears the c5-square for the knight too early.

10...dxe5 11.違h6 迄c5 12.違xg7 並xg7 13.營e3 ②e6



Black has completed the first part of his regrouping properly, threatening ...Dg4 and/or ...Df4.

14.h3

There is no simple antidote to the knight jumps.

14.罩fd1 clears the f1-square for the bishop but leaves the f2-pawn vulnerable: 14...公g4 15.鬯c1 鬯b6∓

14.a5 prevents ...鬯b6 but leaves the d4-square unattended: 14...公g4 15.鬯c1 公d4=

Finally, if $14.\mathbb{Z}ad1$ $\bigcirc 14$ $15.\mathbb{Q}c4$ $\mathbb{Q}e6$ $16.\mathbb{Q}xe6$ $\bigcirc xe6=$ Black is by no means worse in this almost symmetrical position, as his queen's knight was better placed than White's in Notter – Turski, Stuttgart 2003.

14...④f4 15.骂fd1

In Jakobsen – Thorvaldsson, Helsinki 1972, Black could have started a sacrificial attack already:



15....違xh3!N 16.gxh3 ②xh3† 17.岱g2 ②f4† White should agree to a draw by perpetual check with 18.岱g1= because the alternative is bad:

18.�1?

This takes the f1-square away from the rook, leading to severe consequences.

18...④g4 19.鬯c5

White's queen desperately tries to prevent ... 增b6.

19...@e6 20.₩b4 a5 21.₩b3 @c5 22.₩a3 ₩b6∓

In view of the threat of ... ⁽²⁾b3 Black will soon have a rook and two pawns for two minor pieces, and a continuing initiative.



White prevents the stabilizinga5, increasing his space advantage. Apparently

the

he has managed to avoid spending the tempo h2-h3, but Black's next move reopens the discussion around the g4-square.

Planning ... \$g4 followed by ... De6, with pressure on the dark squares.

13.\[a]fd1

13.h3

This allows Black to start his counterplay in the centre.

13...exd4 14.\#xd4 \@e6 15.\#e3



Preventing 4 f4 and defending

e4-pawn in anticipation of ... 2c5.

15...d5 16.e5

If 16.exd5 cxd5 Black's pieces are well placed for the isolani structure, while the white queen is exposed.

16... 创d7 17. 邕fe1 f6 18. exf6† 创xf6 19. 奠f1 <u> </u>
曵
d7

With comfortable equality in Barlov – Lau, Palma de Mallorca 1989.

13.d5

This makes some sense because Black's last move has taken the knight far from the d5-square, but Black can keep his play fluent with:

14.a6 cxd5 15. 2xd5 2xd5 16.exd5 b6 was balanced in Salzmann - De Smet, email 2004.

14.... 違xf3 15. 違xf3 cxd5 16. ④xd5

The critical continuation, aiming to keep control over the d5-square.

16.exd5 offers Black a kingside initiative after 16....a6 followed by 66d7 andf5.

Black plans ... De6-d4, so White does not have anything better than forcing a draw by repetition.

19.\ab1 \u00f8a3 20.\ablaa1=



13... \$g4 14.h3 \$xf3 15.\$xf3 \$e6 16.\$e2

The only way to keep the control over the d4-square.

16...h6!N

A strong multipurpose move. The immediate threat is …勿g5.

The natural 16... Zad8 is also sound but fails to yield immediate counterplay: 17.鬯e3 a6 18.c3 h6 The same idea as in the main line. 19.h4 We7 1/2-1/2 Gallagher - Wolff, Hastings 1990. There is no obvious way White can break Black's fortress, but play remains slightly one-sided.

The second, less obvious, idea of ...h6 is revealed by the following variation: 16...exd4 17. 2xd4 2c5 18. 2f5 †! With the g5-square defended White does not have this trick, so he will simply lose the e4-pawn.



17.h4 exd4 18. 2xd4 18.a6 b5 does not change anything.

18....∕⊇c5∓

Black wins the e4-pawn.



A2) 9.e5

9...dxe5

The alternative is:

9....②h5 10.違g5 dxe5 11.違xe7

The complications arising from this are less clear than in similar situations examined in lines B and E of the previous chapter. Still, in practice Black has scored reasonably well, so I will present some lines to enable the reader to form his own opinion.



11...¤e8!

One important difference with respect to Line E of the previous chapter is that after 11...exd4 12.&xf8 @xf8 13.@xd4 @f414. $\&f3\pm$ Black lacks the target on h3.

12.d5 🗄 xe7

12...0b6 is a familiar theme from the notes to line B of the previous chapter, but it is hardly viable with the white pawn on a4.

13.d6 ₩d8 14.dxe7 ₩xe7

Black had reasonable, but possibly not full, compensation in Levitina – Ioseliani, Shanghai 1992, and Rozentalis – Beliavsky, Minsk 1983. For daring players this is an interesting variation, but objectively the main line is safer.

10.@xe5

10.dxe5 h5 wins the e5-pawn.

10....包xe5 11. 黛xe5

The critical move, forcing Black to waste a tempo with his queen.

11.dxe5N

Black has two possible ways of meeting this. 11...²d5

This leads to drawish simplifications.

If Black has higher ambitions he could try the regrouping 11...心e8 12.營d4 盒f5 13.罩ac1 營a5 14.g4 罩d8 15.營e3 盒e6 16.b4 營b6 17.心e4 心c7 18.c4 盒c8, followed by ...心e6, with complex play.

12.∅xd5 cxd5 13.∰xd5 ≅d8 14.∰b3 ĝe6= Black will soon regain the pawn on c2 or e5.



In line E of the previous chapter we avoid the similar position where h2-h3 has been played instead of a2-a4, but here the queen finds the necessary stability on a5 and b4, putting some pressure on d4 and b2.

11....鬯a5! 12.臭c4

Preventing ... \$e6.

Evacuating the d-file, as 14.²fe1 c5 offers Black counterplay.



In Rozentalis – N. Popov, Daugavpils 1983, Black's simplest route to equality would have been:

After 16.奠xe6 ዿxd4 17.營e2 fxe6 18.營xe6† 空h8= Black's strong bishop compensates for the weakness on e7.

16...\$xg4=

12....🖄 g4

Black exchanges the active bishop, weakening the d4-pawn.

13.皇xg7 空xg7 14.h3 乞f6 15.鬯e2



15...¤e8!N

Black not only defends e7, but also threatens ...e5.

This is slightly more accurate than 15...增b4 16.奠b3 奠d7 17.鬯e5 鬯d6 18.邕fe1 邕fe8 19.a5 with just a symbolic advantage for White in Rozentalis – Tkachiev, Heraklio 2007.



16.¤ad1

16. Ξ fe1 Шb4= causes White queenside discomfort as the d4-pawn is hanging.

16...e5 17.d5 營b4 18.違b3 違d7=

With balanced play offering chances for both sides.



B) 8. 違g5

This is intended to carry more poison than the twin variation C of the previous chapter, as explained in the next comment.

8...e5 9.\d2 4bd7

Black needs to deviate from the initially intended move order based on delaying the knight's development, as the bishop's presence on g5 reduces the effectiveness of the familiar plans. For instance:

9...exd4 10. 2xd4 Ze8



White can ignore the threat to the e4-

pawn with 11.違c4N. With the e-file clear Black should not take the pawn: 11...②xe4?! 12.②xe4 舀xe4 13.舀fe1± White has a huge lead in development.

I was also not completely happy with: 9....\[2e8 10.d5!?



Usually the advance of the d-pawn is harmless, but here 10...cxd5 runs into 11.흹xf6 followed by 12.②xd5.

10... 创bd7 11. 奠c4 cxd5

11....²b6 12.²b3 cxd5 13.²xf6 ²xf6 14.a5 does not improve matters for Black with respect to the fight for the d5-square.

12.힃xd5±

White maintains piece control over the d5-square.

However, since White does not control the g1-a7 diagonal as in the lines with &e3, Black does not need to worry about the problems mentioned in the note on 7...&bd7 in line E of the previous chapter (see page 39).

White needs to develop his rooks. He can do that with **B1**) **10. Zad1** and **B2**) **10. Zfe1**.

B1) 10.\ad1

This looks like a strong centralizing move, but it does little for the defence of the e4-pawn. Besides, it gives up the positional idea a4-a5, making the a-pawn look like a slight weakness.

10....\deltae8 11.dxe5

11.d5 is not effective, as with the f6-knight defended by its colleague Black can safely play: 11...cxd5N 12.心xd5 (12.逸xf6 心xf6 13.心xd5 心xd5 14.咝xd5 逸e6 15.咝xd6 咝xc2∓ leaves the queenside vulnerable) 12...心xd5 13.咝xd5 心c5! Taking full advantage of the bishop's absence from e3. 14.逸e3 逸e6 15.咝xd6 咝xd6 16.\Exd6 心xe4 17.\Edd1 f5= With active play.

11...dxe5



Black intends to play ... Dc5-e6, reaching the desired regrouping and gaining a tempo by attacking the bishop.

12.₩d6

Trying to give meaning to White's 10th move.

If 12.營e3, as in Kengis – Cuijpers, Germany 1992, Black can obtain counterplay with: 12...h6N 13.逸h4 (only not 13.逸xh6? ②g4–+) 13...②h5 14.逸c4 ②f4∓ White's dark-squared bishop is more of a spectator and Black can continue regrouping with ...②f8-e6.

12.ĝc4

This does not cross Black's intentions in any way.



12...②c5 13.鬯e3 ②e6 14.違h4 ②h5 15.②g5 ②hf4 16.③xe6 違xe6 17.違xe6 ②xe6 18.②e2 鬯b6 19.鬯xb6 axb6 20.b3

In Zhelnin – Bogdanovski, Katowice 1991, Black gradually misplayed this promising position and lost. Now was a good moment to get a slight queenside initiative with:

20...b5N 21.axb5 筥a2 22.bxc6 bxc6 23.営d2 鼻h6 24.④c1

White plays the only moves to keep him in the game.

24...ዿੈxd2 25.ઐxa2 🖾a8 26.ઐc1 ઐd4∓

Black threatens 27... &xc1 28. $\exists xc1$ &e2 \ddagger and will soon regain the pawn, retaining active queenside play.

12...^wxd6 13.^zxd6

White has prevented ... (2) c5 – but not for long, as we will see.



13...ĝf8!

Driving the rook away, based on 14.\arref{xf6} \overline{e7}, winning an exchange.

14.\add1

Sadly the rook needs to return to its previous location, as there are no other good squares along the d-file.

14. \mathbb{Z} d2 \mathbb{Q} b4 $\overline{\mp}$ creates an unpleasant pin, endangering the e4-pawn at the same time.

14.\angled also exposes the rook: 14...h6 15.\angleh4?! g5 16.\angleg3 \anglec5 White cannot defend the e4-pawn since 17.\anglee3 \angleg4∓ traps the rook.

15. $\pm xf6$ is better, though exchanging the bishop is an obvious positional concession.

A typical way of preparing ... 2c5.

15.⁄ d2

In Neelotpal – Koshy, Nagpur 1999, the most consistent continuation would have been:

15...@c5N



16.f4

This may have been what put Koshy off continuing with the main plan.

After 16.f3 De6 17.ĝe3 Dd4 18.ĝd3 ĝe6= Black has excellent play.

16...exf4 17.\Imeskf4 创fd7 18.Imeskf4 f6

Black also retains adequate compensation for the pawn with 18... 265 19.2 24 20.2 19.2 20.2 19.2 19.2 19.2 10.2

19.鼻xf6† ②xf6 20.鼍xf6 鼻e6

Black threatens ... 2d6-e5 with annoying domination, so White should hurry to dismantle the strong minor piece set-up.

But not 21.b4? $\textcircled{0}d7\mp$, attacking the rook and the b4-pawn.

Black will regain the pawn soon, with a probable draw.



B2) 10.邕fe1

White takes measures against Black's planned Ee8 in an attempt to keep the centre under control without releasing the tension.

10....¤e8 11.\$c4

The most active continuation, not only

putting light pressure on f7 but also strengthening control over the d5-square.

11.覍f1

This offers Black a choice.



11...b6N

The most flexible continuation, but the same plan as in the main line is viable, too: 11...exd4 12. 2xd4 2c5 13.f3 2e6 14.2e3 2xd4 15.2xd4 2e6 16.2ad1 2ad8=Black had completed his development harmoniously and did not face any problems in Winants – Atabayev, Baku (ol) 2016.

Reducing the potential of Black's counterplay, for 13.\u00e9c4 exd4 14.\u00f2\xd4 a6, followed by ...b5 and ...c5, endangers the e4-pawn.

13...dxe5 14.ዿc4 a6=

Followed by ... b5 with a flexible position.



11...exd4N

Starting the attack against the e4-pawn. In practice Black has only tried 11...②b6 and 11...⑤f8.

One of the ideas behind White's last move is to meet 11...b6 with 12.d5, when the bishop participates in the fight for the d5-square.

12.②xd4 ②c5 13.f3 凹b6



Setting up strong pressure on d4 and b2.

14. <u>\$</u>e3

14.¤ad1

This weakens the queenside defence.



14...🖄 fd7

An important step in preparing queenside counterplay.

If 14...營b4 15.b3 a6?, in similar fashion to the main line, White has 16.e5!± dxe5? 17.逸xf6 逸xf6 18.心e4+- winning material. There is no refutation of 14...營xb2, but over the board I would prefer to keep the threat in reserve.

15.奠e3 ②e5 16.奠a2

16.違b3 營b4 leaves White stuck. 16...營xb2 17.営b1 營a3 18.違b3



18...d5

The safest. Black clears the a3-f8 diagonal for the queen's retreat.

19.exd5 ②xb3 20.\Labbe 20

Black has at least equal play.



14...[₩]b4 15.b3

15.彙f1 allows Black to free his position immediately: 15...d5 16.exd5 公xd5= and if 17.公xd5?! 營xd2 18.彙xd2 罩xe1 19.罩xe1 يxd4† then Black wins a pawn on either a4 or b2.

15.<u></u>åa2

This is somewhat passive and is best answered with:



15...a5

Planning ... ②fd7-b6, increasing the pressure on the a4-pawn.

16. 2 de2 Id8

After the knight's retreat Black plans ... \$e6. 17. 17. 164 2008 18. 2011

18. 2d3 2xd3 19. cxd3 2c7 20. d4 2e6= is also comfortable for Black. White's centre is not too threatening, while Black has the b4-square and a perfect regrouping.

18...€)c7=

Followed by ... \$e6 or ... De6 soon.



Black has achieved an active and stable position in the spirit of the Fianchetto King's Indian with ...e5 and ...exd4. But he should not rest on his laurels, as White's space advantage might tell in the long run.

15...a6

The best way to obtain counterplay. 15...d5

This thematic break is also playable, but Black does best to keep it in reserve.



16.exd5 cxd5

The forced sequence initiated by 16...\2012 favours White: 17.\2012xd5 \Exe3 18.\Exe3 \2012xd4 19.\Ed1 \2012xe3\pm 20.\Begin xe3\pm White retains a slight initiative, since 20...cxd5? 21.\2012xd5 puts the king in deadly trouble.

17.ĝf1 a6

Black's position is entirely viable, as the theoretical weakness of the isolani is compensated by White's queenside weaknesses.



16.¤ad1

Preventing ...b5 would allow Black to carry out the aforementioned break in an improved form: 16.a5 d5 17.exd5 cxd5 18.2f1 2d7 The a1-rook is tied to the defence of the a5-pawn.

16...④fd7

Black clears the long diagonal in order to threaten ...b5 without allowing Dxc6. This offers him entirely adequate counterplay.



The similarity of this move to line D of the previous chapter is only visual as White will not get to play either \$\greacelefted{2}f4\$ or e4-e5. His main idea is to overprotect the e4-pawn with \$\greacelefted{2}f1\$, thus reducing Black's chances for counterplay based on ...\betae8 and ...\ext{ext}4.

8...e5

White has a choice between **C1**) **9.dxe5** and **C2**) **9.h3**.

Here are some minor alternatives:

9.d5 is not too dangerous. True, White should not be worse, but in the following game he made several questionable moves: 9...cxd5 10.exd5 创bd7 11.创b5 赠b8 12.c4 a6 13.创c3 a5 14.b3 创c5 15.逸a3 b6 16.b4 axb4 17.逸xb4

C) 8.\extbf{e}e1

ģd7 18.ģxc5 bxc5 19.≅b1 ∰d8∓ Malisauskas – Neverovsky, Katowice 1993.

9. $gf1 \equiv e8$ 10.h3 White cannot avoid this move any more. (If 10.g3 gg4, and now that the white bishop has more or less defined its intentions with the move of the g-pawn, the exchange on f3 favours Black.) 10...bd7 This is likely to transpose to variation C2.

9.a5

This looks like a useful half-waiting move, waiting for Black to play ... Dbd7 so that White can forget about ... gg4. And yet this move allows Black to speed up his queenside counterplay.

9....🖄 bd7

This is the correct move order, as 9....\[\equiverset 8?! defines the rook's intentions too early. 10.dxe5! dxe5 11.\[2]c4 There are some slight problems on f7 already. 11...\[2]d8 Too much moving around with the same piece while the queenside is undeveloped. 12.\[2]e2 \[2]h5 13.g3 \[2]a6 14.\[2]e3 h6 Preventing \[2]g5. 15.\[2]h4\[2]h4\[2] Suddenly it was White who was creating kingside threats in Zakharov – Zakharevich, Smolensk 1991.



10.dxe5

10.a6?! b5 only facilitated Black's queenside expansion while turning the a6-pawn into a target in Kravchenko – Domogaev, Rasskazovo 2015. 10.違f1 罩e8 11.h3 transposes to the note to White's 10th move in variation C2 on page 58.

10...dxe5 11.&c4N

The omission of h2-h3 makes itself felt after: 11.&e3 &g4 Obtaining the c5-square for its colleague. 12.&g5 &c5 13.&d2 &e614.&h4 In Goldstern – Boersma, Hilversum 1986, Black had managed to send the enemy bishop on a unfavourable path, and now was a good time to return to the standard regrouping with 14...&f6N=.



11...�c5 12.₩e2 �h5

Black has excellent counterplay.

C1) 9.dxe5 dxe5 10.崑c4

The early exchange in the centre makes sense only in connection with this active move.



Black plans the familiar knight transfer to e6. There is not much new with respect to similar positions we have examined earlier, but here are a few typical examples:

11.b4

White prevents the knight manoeuvre mechanically. Other moves offer Black simpler play. For instance:

11.違e3 ran into the familiar 11...心g4 12.違d2 ②c5, continuing the main plan unhindered in Schulzke – Gerigk, Germany 1997.

11.a5 心c5 12.h3 凹d8 13.凹e2

In Sieiro Gonzalez – Zaichik, Camaguey 1987, Black should have continued the main regrouping plan:



13....@e6N 14.@a4

Anticipating the threat of ... 🖄 d4.

14...b5 15.ģxe6 ģxe6 16.∅c5 ģc4 17.∰e3 ⊘d7=

After exchanging the active knight Black does not have any problems.

11. 臭g5 公c5 12. 凹c1 臭e6 13. 臭f1 a5

Black stabilizes his knight, planning a long regrouping.

The simpler 13... $g_{4}?N$ 14. d_{2} $d_{7}=$ followed by ... d_{e6} would also have been quite good.

14.1e3 b6 15.h3 @e8

A typical manoeuvre, heading for d6. 16.彙h6 彙xh6 17.豐xh6 f6 18.豐e3 创d6 19.罩ad1 罩ad8 20.罩d2 罩d7 21.罩ed1 罩fd8 22.b3 空g7 23.g3 创f7章



The structure is almost perfectly symmetrical, but Black's minor pieces enjoyed superior mobility in Hernandez Onna – Karner, Tallinn 1975.



11...Ôh5

The strategically desirable 11...a5 offers White the initiative after 12.ga3, creating problems for Black in defending the f7-square after 2g5.

12. ĝe3

So far we have followed Vukcevich – Smyslov, Hastings 1976.



12...④f4N

Black has no reason to refrain from this active move.

13.a5

White has built up the typical queenside pressure. In order to free himself, Black needs to connect the rooks and play ...b5.

13...②f6

Clearing the bishop's path.

14.h3

The only way to cross Black's plans.

If 14.營b1 違g4 15.创d2 b5 16.axb6 axb6= Black equalizes completely.

14...¤d8

Planning a surprising tactical operation, justified by the considerable time wasted by White on pawn moves.

14...ģe6 allows 15.ģxf4 exf4 16.ģxe6 fxe6 17.e5 with the initiative.

14...06h5 frees the c3-knight from the task of defending e4 and could be met with 15.2f1 2e6 16.0a4 \ddagger , maintaining the queenside domination.





Later the queen will be exposed on this square.

However, 15.營b1 has other inconvenient problem: 15...②6d5 16.②xd5 cxd5 17.違b3 h6 In the main line this would run into exd5 followed by 黛xh6, but with the queen on b1 the position is absolutely safe for Black.



15...句6d5!

Taking advantage of White's imperfect coordination.

16.⁽²⁾xd5

16.exd5 cxd5 regains the piece, retaining active play in the centre.

16...cxd5 17.遑b3 dxe4 18.②g5 莒f8 19.遑c5

The critical move.

19.^公xe4 poses no problems after 19.... 違f5.



A strong intermediate move. The point is that after 20.彙xf8? 彙xg5 the threat of ... ①xh3† winning the queen does not allow White to save his bishop.

20.h4 鼻f5 21.鼻xf8 罩xf8

One pawn and a massive kingside space advantage offer Black excellent compensation for the exchange.



C2) 9.h3

We have already seen, in some of the notes on page 55, that White cannot manage without this move for too long in these positions. That said, it is still a bit premature at this stage.

9...④bd7

Since ... \$2g4 is not an option any more, Black has no reason to delay the knight's development.

1**0.**覍f1

White cannot prevent Black's queenside expansion with:

10.a5 邕e8 11.曵f1



11...¤b8!

This is possible only because by spending several tempos to consolidate the e4-pawn, White has not yet played &e3.

12.<u>\$</u>e3 exd4

When releasing the tension Black might have wished to avoid: 12...b5 13.axb6 axb6 14.d5!? For instance, 14...\$b7 15.\$d2 b5 16.b4 \equiv ec8 17.\$\vec{B}a3\$, when the central tension, with the b5-pawn vulnerable, may favour White.

13.²/_{xd4} b5 14.axb6 axb6 15.b4

Preventing ... b5-b4 in advance.

15...b5 16.邕a7 邕b7 17.৺a1 邕xa7 18.৺xa7 奠b7

With great play for Black in Priborsky – Fridman, Liverpool 2008.



10...b6

A key element in Black's play. The slow expansion with ...\$b7, ...a6 and ...b5 is practically impossible to stop.

11.g3

One of the games I had as a model when I started playing the Pirc went:

11.違e3 違b7 12.dxe5 dxe5 13.增d3 a6 14.增c4 White is delaying but not really preventing ...b5.



14...트ac8 15.트ad1 b5 16.增b3 三fe8 17.创g5 三e7 18.g3 h6 19.创f3

In Illescas – Spraggett, Terrassa 1990, the most flexible and objectively strongest continuation would have been:

19...¤ee8N

Planning either ... \$\$f8 followed by ... \$\$c5, or ... \$\$f8-e6. 19... 違f8N followed by ... 罩ee8 would also be fine, even though it abandons the plan with ... ②f8-e6.

And here is another game where Spraggett has Black:

11.奠g5 h6 12.奠e3 罩e8 13.dxe5 dxe5 14.營d2 垫h7 15.罩ad1 奠f8 16.營c1 心c5 17.心d2



17... 逸b7!N

This is the right move order, since after 17...a6 18.b4, as played in Krylov – Spraggett, Moscow (ol) 1994, Black had to return with his knight to d7, because 18... De6 19.b5 would have offered White some initiative on the light squares.

18.b4 🖄 e6=

Black is doing fine. An important point is that, since the light squares are better defended than in the note above, Black can meet 19.b5 with 19....\additionadd followed by ...\additionadd context with excellent play on the dark squares.

11...a6 12.奠g2 奠b7 13.奠e3 邕fe8=

In Hj. Gretarsson – Illescas, Warsaw 2013, both sides had almost completed their regrouping. Black has achieved everything he could dream of, but I am a bit sceptical about White's play. In principle he has reached a position characteristic of the 4.g3 system, but having lost two tempos!

D) 8. ge3



This is the most logical, popular and strongest continuation. 7.a4 mainly makes sense to prepare the bishop's natural development without fearing ...b7-b5. Control over the g1-a7 diagonal could be telling if White manages to play a4-a5 and dxe5 under favourable circumstances, making it difficult for Black to complete his queenside development due to the hanging a7-pawn and the potential pin along the a-file.

8...e5



White has tried a wide range of moves, the main options being D1) 9.创d2, D2) 9.h3, D3) 9.置e1 and D4) 9.dxe5.

9.a5

This extremely rare option should be answered in a similar way to a4-a5 in variation D4:



9.	•	. <u>\$g</u> 4	
		<u> </u>	

Now 10.dxe5 dxe5 transposes directly into the D4 line. Otherwise:

10.₩d2N exd4 11.ዿxd4

Immediately exchanging the light-squared bishops solves Black's space problems: 11. 2xd4 2xe2 12. dxe2 Ee8 13. dg3 Dbd7 followed by ... de5 and ...b5.

11...心bd7 12.h3 总xf3 13.总xf3 b5 With the usual queenside counterplay.

9.d5

Even though it has been played in just one game, this deserves a mention.



9...cxd5!N

This is the best moment to start the fight for the d5-square, forcing White to declare his intentions. After 9...②g4 10.ዿg5 f6 11.ዿh4 Black had problems on the light squares in Kuipers – Basrak, Rimavska Sobota 1992. The capture on d5 is out of question with the knight far from f6; ...c5 allows the manoeuvre ②d2-c4; while maintaining the tension is problematic because of the weakness on d6.

10.exd5

White's minor pieces are not on the best squares to contribute to maintaining piece control over d5: 10.⁽¹⁾/₂xd5 ⁽¹⁾/₂xd5 ⁽¹⁾/₂xd5 ⁽¹⁾/₂xd5 ⁽¹⁾/₂xd5 ⁽¹⁾/₂xd5 ⁽¹⁾/₂xd6 ⁽¹⁾/₂xd6 ⁽¹⁾/₂xd6 ⁽¹⁾/₂xe4 15.⁽¹⁾/₂dd1 ⁽¹⁾/₂e6 16.⁽¹⁾/₂d3 ⁽¹⁾/₂d5 17.c4 ⁽¹⁾/₂c6= with an interesting queenless middlegame and approximately equal chances.

10...a6

Preparing ... ⁽²⁾bd7 by preventing ⁽²⁾b5.

11. 🖄 d2

White cannot hold the queenside blockade after 11.a5 创bd7 12.创d2 b5 13.axb6 ②xb6 14.罝a5 逸b7 15.逸xb6 鬯xb6 16.创c4 營c7=, with a potentially dangerous kingside majority and chances to set up pressure along the c- and b-files.

11... 2bd7 12. 2c4 b6



13.¤a3

A good square for the rook, allowing it to keep an eye on both wings.

13.f4 is premature due to 13...②g4! 14.違xg4 營xc4, with excellent play.

13...\Zb8 14.h3

Preparing f2-f4.

14....@e8 15.f4 f5

The position is complex and Black's chances are not worse.

D1) 9. 2d2

The knight is heading for c4, with the secret dream of invading the d6-square. But weakening control over d4 allows Black to equalize with a basic trick:

A far from obvious move, aiming to exploit Black's delay in development. However, the structural concession involved is also an important factor.

10.ĝxg4 ĝxg4 11.^mxg4 exd4 offered Black great play in a simpler position in Gurgenidze – Chiburdanidze, Tbilisi 1991.

10...②xe3 11.fxe3



I had this position with Black in a rapid game over the Internet in 2016 against Aleksandra Dimitrijevic. Not knowing how to deal with the pressure on the light squares and the relative weakness of the d6-pawn, I soon played ...c6-c5 and obtained a somewhat worse position.

11.... _ 創h6!N

For some reason I failed to notice this simple move, attacking the Achilles' heel in White's position.

I refrained from 11...⁽²⁾d7 due to 12.dxc6 bxc6 13.⁽²⁾c4, but once again Black saves the day by attacking e3 with 13...⁽²⁾h6. While this is entirely viable, the move order suggested in the main line is more flexible.

12.Ôc4

If 12. Ξ f3 &g4 13. Ξ g3 &xe2 14. \boxtimes xe2, Black can exploit the lack of pressure along the d-file with 14...&d7= completing development with comfortable play.

12...Øa6

Since \$\$\overline{2}\$xa6 is no longer possible, the knight heads for the most active square.

13.營d2 ②b4 14.邕ad1 邕b8



15.Åh1

If 15.dxc6 bxc6, then 16.⁽公xd6 \(\extrm{Z}d8\)\Frac{+}{-} creates a troublesome pin, while 16.⁽劉xd6 ⁽劉xd6 17.[[]Xxd6 ⁽公xc2 regains the pawn while taking over the initiative.

15...b5

The standard way to get counterplay, even if the structure is somewhat unusual here.

16.@a3 bxa4=

Black has excellent prospects along the open queenside files.





White prevents both \dots \$\mathbb{L}g4\$ and \dots \$\mathbb{D}g4\$, inviting Black to transpose to the troublesome line mentioned in the introduction to line E of the previous chapter.

9...exd4

Giving up the centre in the search for immediate counterplay is justified by the time spent by White on pawn moves.

Preparing ...exd4 with 9....\extstyle=8 defines the rook's intentions too soon, allowing 10.dxe5 dxe5 11.a5!? without causing White problems along the d-file.



White has a choice between **D21**) **10.** At **xd4** and **D22**) **10.** At **xd4**.

D21) 10. 2xd4

This leaves the e3-bishop exposed in many lines after Black's obvious answer:

10....¤e8



11..d3

Practice has shown that this exposes the bishop, not so much to ... 0 bd7-c5xd3, which would strengthen the white centre in a way, but rather to ...c5-c4 (after some preparation of course). On top of that, Black has an immediate way of equalizing.

11.f3

As well as weakening the g3-square, this allows: 11...d5



12.₩d2N

The only reasonable move.

12.彙d3? unsurprisingly allows a typical combination: 12...彙xh3!∓ 13.gxh3? White should have just lived with having lost a pawn. 13...避g3† 14.堂h1 避xh3† 15.堂g1 豐g3† 16.堂h1 罩e5 17.堂f5 The only defence. 17...gxf5 18.彙d4 罩e6-+ Black had a material advantage and a continuing attack in Gislason – Waagmeester, corr. 1997.

12...⊮e7

Before clearing the f-file with ...dxe4 Black brings the queen closer to the kingside, thus avoiding unnecessary complications.

13.ዿg5 dxe4 14.fxe4 🖄 bd7=

With pleasant play due to the control of the e5-square.

11.<u></u>ĝf3

11...@bd7 12.\extsf{e1}

White has no time to prepare the bishop's retreat with 12.g3? because of $12...\textcircled{2}c5\mp$, winning the e4-pawn.

12....@e5 13.ĝe2



13...b6

Black starts queenside counterplay, exploiting the fact that not much is happening in the centre. But if he is content with a draw he can more or less force a repetition with 13... 2 ed7, when White has a choice between 14. e5 or 14. c5 15. 14. 1314. 13 c5 15. 13 c5 15. c5 15. 13 c5 15. c5 15.

In the last line, avoiding the repetition with the weakening 17.f3? once again allows the central break in optimal form: 17...d5! Black has excellent chances, since 18.exd5? loses material to 18... Exe3 19. Exe3 曾f4-+. 14.f4 ②ed7 15. 算行 象b7 16.a5



This is White's only serious attempt to prevent the slow queenside expansion with ...a6 and ...b5.

16...a6!?

Slightly spoiling the queenside's structural integrity for the sake of piece activity.

16...b5? loses to 17.a6 鼻c8 18.约xc6.

However, 16... (2)c5 is a worthy alternative. A likely continuation is 17.axb6 axb6 18. (2)xa8 (2)xa8 19. (2)f2 d5, inevitably followed by the occupation of the e4-square, with adequate counterplay.

17.axb6 ②xb6 18.奠f2 c5 19. ②b3 ③c4 20. 罩a2 ②d7=

Black's strong pressure against the e4-pawn and the whole of the queenside compensated for his slightly weakened structure in Novikov – Eingorn, Kharkov 1985.



11...d5!?N

This novelty may not be necessary, but it is certainly an interesting idea, clearing the queen's path to g3.

The unanimous choice has been:

11...Øbd7

This is also good and has yielded excellent results.

12.@b3

12.f4 weakens the centre without creating any threats. 12...a6 Controlling the b5square, to give ...c5-c4 the contours of a real threat. 13. 0 de2 In Wright – Botterill, Hastings 1970, Black could have blown the enemy centre apart with: 13...0 xe4!N 14. 0 xe4 d5 \mp

12...b6 13.營d2 違b7 14.邕ad1 邕ad8

The most natural move, but 14...c5N 15.f3 d5 also equalizes.

15.覍f4 a6 16.邕fe1 b5

With excellent counterplay in Asgarov – Azaladze, Nakhchivan 2012.

12.exd5 &xh3!

This simple attack, with just a handful of pieces and with incomplete development, is possible only because of White's poor coordination and his hanging e3-bishop.

13.₩d2

The lesser evil.

13.gxh3?! allows more than just a perpetual: 13...罝xe3 14.fxe3 幽g3† 15.空h1 幽xh3† 16.堂g1 幽xe3† 17.堂g2 幽xd4∓ Black has more than enough compensation for the exchange.

Things also look shaky for White after 13.dxc6 ②g4 14.②f3 ②xe3 15.fxe3 逸e6 16.cxb7 鬯xb7. Black's bishop pair and White's numerous weaknesses at least compensate for the pawn.

13....ĝg4 14.dxc6 🖄 xc6=



With comfortable development for Black.

D22) 10. gxd4



This allows White to defend the e4-pawn without weakening the kingside or allowing

quick counterplay in the centre. But in the long run his minor pieces will be slightly misplaced, as the d4-square is designed for the knight while the f-pawn should be free to advance.

10....莒e8 11. 约d2

The most consistent move. White clears the path for the f-pawn in order to build up a kingside space advantage. But this is not without drawbacks either, as the queen becomes passive and the d4-bishop is exposed.

Indirect defences of the pawn tend to lead to plain equality:

11.¤e1



11...Øbd7

12.<u></u>£f1

After the knight's development the threat to the e4-pawn was real.

12.&c4 looks like a more active way of defending the pawn, but after 12...&c4 e5 the bishop is exposed: 13.&xe5 (White is more or less forced to make the structure

symmetrical, as 13.\2b3 is answered by 13...\2e6=) 13...dxe5 14.\2e3



White's position looks a little more active, but Black has easy play. In the long run, his possibilities for manoeuvring are better, since White has some problems finding a role for his knight. 14...a5 Since a4-a5 with the intention of freezing Black's queenside is a permanent threat, this is the safest way to equality. 15. #f3&e6 16.&xe6 $<math>\exists xe6$ Black is prepared to start the fight for the d-file with ... $\exists d8$ and ... $\exists ed6$. 17.&b1 An interesting Karpovian regrouping, but Black can do something similar. 17...&d718.&d2 &f8= Black was perfectly regrouped and ready to start his counterplay with ...b5 in Bartel – Skoberne, Warsaw 2013.



12...b6

12...0e5 is less effective since White is not force to exchange knights, but can play 13.0h2N followed by f2-f4 and later 0g4 or g2-g4.

13.₩d2 ĝb7 14.¤ad1



14....\ad8!

The most accurate move, completing development and preparing 15... (26, 16.) with the idea 16. (26, 16.) h2 c5.

15.f4

It is not easy for White to make a constructive move. For instance, 15. (2) h2 c5 16. (2) xf6 (2) xf6 (3) is pleasant for Black. The vulnerability of the e4-pawn and the general weaknesses on the dark squares are more relevant than the weakness on d5.



With perfect coordination and equal chances in Vestergard – Marquez Abreu, email 2009.

Once again the activation of the light-squared bishop is premature:

11.ĝc4 ĝe6 12.ĝxe6

12.e5 gxc4 13.exf6 gf8 only spoils White's structure.

12...¤xe6



Black has easy play, his plan consisting of …心bd7 and …置ae8, with strong pressure on e4. In the following game White overestimated his kingside chances:

13.公g5 邕e7 14.f4 ②bd7 15.營d3 邕ae8 16.邕ae1

White has seriously weakened his centre and in Goganov – Bodnaruk, St Petersburg 2016, Black should have started his counterplay with:

16...d5!N 17.e5 🖄 h5 18.g3

Defending the f4-pawn in view of the threat of ...h6.

18...f6 19.exf6 ዿxf6∓

Black has the better structure, the e4-square is weak and the c3-knight is passive.

11.... abd7 12. Ee1 b6

In the absence of immediate threats in the centre, Black starts his slow queenside plan.



13.\Canal

The most active move, putting Black's only weakness, the d6-pawn, under pressure.

13.f4

This has the familiar drawback of chronically weakening the e4-square.

13... 違b7 14. 違f3 莒ad8 15. 違f2 迄c5 16.g3 d5 After Black has completed his development, this break is logical and strong. 17.exd5

Otherwise: 17.e5 Def 18.2xe4 Dxe4 19.Ddxe4 dxe4 20.2e2 e3! The first step to clearing the long diagonal. 21.2xe3 c5 22.Del 2e67 The annoying battery leaves White struggling despite his extra pawn. Black will break with ...f6 soon, clearing the path for his second bishop.

17...@xd5



18. $\hat{g}xd5$

White keeps his knight for blockading purposes.

If 18.创xd5 罩xe1† 19.鬯xe1 cxd5, as well as ...创e4, Black threatens ...d4 or simply ...逸xb2, with an obvious advantage.

Threatening the deadly ...d4 followed by $\dots \overset{\text{wd}}{=} d5$.

21.∕Dd4 De4∓

Black held a strong initiative in Blaskowski – Lobron, Germany 1976, though he could also have safely taken the h3-pawn.

13.f3 違b7 14.创f1

This is more passive than the regrouping in the main line.

14....筥ad8 15.營d2 ②c5 16.奠c4 ②e6

An important move. Before playing ...d5 Black forces the bishop to abandon the future blockading square.

17.ģf2 d5 18.exd5 cxd5 19.ģd3 Ѽh5 20.Ѽb5 凹b8 21.c3 Ѽhf4∓ Black was well regrouped for the isolani, controlling the d4-square and keeping annoying kingside pressure in Koopman – Van Wijgerden, Wijk aan Zee 1984.

13...¤e6!

A simple yet safe way to defend the pawn.

Once again the central pawn is not edible: 13...心xe4? 14.心xe4 罩xe4 15.盒xg7 垫xg7 16.營xd6 營xd6 17.心xd6 罩d4 18.罩ad1 罩xd1 19.罩xd1 心f6 20.盒c4±, with a persisting initiative in the endgame.

14.f3 鼻b7 15.凹d2

In Short – Van Wijgerden, Amsterdam 1982, now was a good moment to carry out the long-awaited central break:



15...d5!N 16.exd5 cxd5 17.包e3

The intermediate 17.心b5 does not change much: 17...營b8 The queen only temporarily blocks the a8-rook. 18.心e3 營f4 In view of the threat of ... 魚h6, White needs to free himself from the pin. 19.心f1 營xd2 20.心xd2 罩ae8 Black's activity at least compensates for the theoretical weakness of the isolani, especially since White will not be able to install a knight on d4.

17... Zae8 18. 臭b5

The only way to restrict Black's increasing activity.

If 18.奠f1 增f4 (threatening ...奠h6) 19.②e2 增g5 20.舀a3 ②e5 Black has an almost unbearable initiative.

18..... 追h6 19. 凹f2 凹f4 20. 皇xf6 邕xe3∓

Black has broken the blockade and retains active play, while White's kingside is weak.



D3) 9.邕e1

White tries to keep the tension by making a more useful move than h2-h3.

9...exd4

The same approach as in variation D2 above.

9....@g4

However, this also deserves attention.

10.違g5N

The critical move.

10.ዿc4 ②xe3 11.\\xx8 ④d7∓ looked most unappealing for White in Zingaylo – Arutyunova, Lvov 2007.

10...exd4 11.∅xd4 ₩b6

This must have been what White feared in the aforementioned game, as Black sets up unpleasant pressure on b2 and along the g1-a7 diagonal, but things are not entirely clear.



12. 違xg4 違xd4 13. 違e3 違xe3 14. Ixe3

Black needs to neutralize White's kingside initiative in order to take advantage of his small queenside achievements.

14....ĝxg4 15.₩xg4 ∅a6=

Objectively, Black's queenside pressure should compensate for White's attacking chances, but subjectively I would be afraid of exposing my king.



10.**&xd**4

10.20xd4 Ξ e8 leaves White with the familiar problems defending the e4-pawn. The only difference to variation D21 is that after 11.2d3 Black no longer has a combination with ...2xh3, though 11...20g4, eliminating a valuable enemy bishop, is a fine consolation.

The most restrictive move order. Dynamically Ee1 is more useful than h2-h3, so Black should pay attention to his queenside development.

If 10..., 這e8 he should expect 11. 逸c4 逸e6 (11... ②bd7 12. ②g5 also offers White some initiative) 12.e5 逸xc4 13.exf6 罩xe1† 14. 鬯xe1, taking control over the e-file, even though things are not clear after 14... 逸f8.



11.🖄 d2

The start of the same plan as that of Short in variation D22.

11.a5N

Aiming to freeze the enemy queenside. Black should react with:

11....¤e8 12.@d2



12...④f8

Planning ... De6 followed by ...d5.

13.②c4 罩d8

Defending the pawn and renewing the threat.

14.@e3 \e8

Obviously an invitation to a draw by repetition, as apart from ... 2xe4 Black threatens ... 2e6, exchanging the bishop. 15. 2c4

The only way to keep the game going. 15...ĝe6

After developing the bishop, Black will play ...b5 soon, solving all his problems.



11....莒e8 12.包c4 包e5!?N



17...d5!N 18.exd5 cxd5 19.@e3 (19.@d2

fails to put pressure on d5, thus allowing 19...Dh5= followed by ...Dg7 [or ...Df4] and ...De6) 19...gc5=

13.De3

Alternatively: 13.a5 &e6 14.&e3 &h6Forcing White to weaken his kingside if he wants to play f2-f4. 15.g3 b5 16.b4 $\Xi ad8$ 17.f4 &g7 Gaining a tempo for regrouping based on the potential pin along the d-file. $18.\ensuremath{\mathbb{W}}c1$ &eg4=

13... ge6 14.f4 gh6 15.f5

15.fxe5 dxe5 16. &c5 $\&d7\mp$ leaves White's pieces hanging and the dark squares weak.

15...gxf5 16.exf5 &d7=

Black will play ...d5 followed by ...增d6 and ... 空h8 soon, with counterplay in an interesting position.

D4) 9.dxe5 dxe5



White avoids Black's counterplay in the centre, switching to the most typical structure for the Classical System.

10.a5

A familiar move, aimed at making Black's queenside development problematic. White has tried several other moves:

10.[©]d2

With the knight already on d7, this would most likely enable the intrusion to d6, but with the d-file clear Black can avoid that.



10....\daga{11.h3

A necessary prophylactic move, preparing the queen's evacuation from the d-file.

a) If 11.營c1 ②g4 we see one more benefit from the chosen move order: 12.違xg4 違xg4



After exchanging a minor piece, Black solves all the problems deriving from his slight lack of space. And by developing the bishop he also gets one step closer to meeting the next move with the freeing plan based on ...b6. 13.a5 ⁽²⁾d7 14.⁽²⁾b3 ⁽²⁾f8 15.⁽²⁾a4 In Williams – Evans, Haifa (ol) 1976, the most consistent move would have been 15...b6N, restricting the enemy knight, with at least equal play. b) Or if 11.⁽²⁾e1 ⁽²⁾g4 12.⁽²⁾gc5, as in Romanov

– Kurnosov, St Petersburg 2009, the simplest

would have been 12...b6N 13.2a3 2bf6. The bishop stands badly on a3 and Black could even consider ...c5 followed by ...2bc6-d4. The weakness on d5 would be compensated by the fact that Black would practically be playing with an extra piece, at least for a while.



11... විbd7 12. 11 වේ 18 Planning ... ව් 6-d4.

13.f4

The only attempt to cross Black's plans. In Sax – Poutiainen, Teesside 1974, Black could have strengthened his control of the dark squares with:

13... 逸h6N 14. 營h4 逸xf4 15. 逸xf4 exf4 16. Ξad1 ⑳e8 17. Ξxf4 逸e6= Followed by ... ㉒d7-e5.

10.h3

This immediately offers Black a target for counterplay.


10....2h5 11. gc4

Less active is: 11.\extsf{1} 12.\u00e9f4 12.\u00e9f4 13.\u00e9f4 13.\u00e9f4 13.\u00e9f4 14.\u00e9f4 14.\u00e9d7 14.\u00e9d7 14.\u00e9d7 14.\u00e9d7 14.\u00e9d7 15.\u00e9d6 Black has achieved the optimal regrouping while White is still struggling to find a plan. 16.\u00e9h6 \u00e9b6 17.\u00e9xg7 \u00e9xg7 18.\u00e9g2 \u00e9c5= Black had pleasant play on the dark squares in Kostic – Skoberne, Boeblingen 2015.

11...∅f4 12.₩b1

Black has more than one good continuation. 12...[@]e7

Bringing the queen closer to the kingside and taking b4 under observation.

The simple 12...a5 is also good: 13.^ma2 2a6 14.^mad1 In Ftacnik – Izoria, Las Vegas 2006, Black could have equalized comfortably with 14...\$e6N=.



13.₩c1

Baratosi – Khalifman, Plovdiv 2012, continued with 13. Zd1 2d7, somewhat delaying the rest of the queenside development. 13...a5N= or 13... ge6N would have been better, with similar play to the other games included here.

13.... 逸e6 14. 逸xe6 公xe6 15. 骂d1 곕d7

Black has completed development and White should settle for equality with: 16.a5N=

Instead, 16.[™]d2? exposed the queen, offering Black the initiative after 16...[™]fd8 17.a5 [©]dc5 18.[™]e2 [©]d4∓ in Zhang Ziji – Ding Liren, China 2015.



10...ĝg4

A thematic move in the 7... 2c7 line. Black not only increases his control over the central dark squares, but also prepares to free his queenside from pressure with ... 2bd7 and ... b5.

11.**逸c**4

Aiming for an active placement of the queen and bishop.

If 11.h3 &xf3 12.&xf3 the bishop is not doing anything special on f3. 12...&bd7 13. \Boxtimes d3 In Harandi – Ludgate, Haifa (ol) 1976, Black should have proceeded with his main plan with 13...b5N=.



A familiar multi-purpose regrouping before developing the knight.

13.h3 違xf3 14.營xf3 约bd7

The queenside expansion is imminent and White needs to find new horizons for his knight.

15.🖄b1

White anticipates a black knight transfer to d4 by preparing c2-c3. However, this plan is too slow to offer anything special.

If 15.[©]a4 ^{II}db8 Black manages to play ...b5 anyway.

15...b5 16.axb6 axb6 17.\(\mathbf{Z}xa8 \)\(\mathbf{Z}xa8 \) \(\mathbf{Z}xa8 \) \(\mathbf{Z}xa8 \) 18.c3 b5 19.\(\mathbf{L}d3 \)

Black's position is comfortable, but it is interesting to follow one of the most creative top players in action:



19...≝f8

Preparing the bishop exchange with ... h6.

20.\$g5 h6 21.\$c1 h5

Renewing the threat.

22.��d2

22.違g5 would not prevent Black's plan due to 22...心h7 23.違e3 逸h6=.



26...c5!?

The position is basically equal, but with his last move Black even gains a slight initiative. After the liquidation of the queenside Black obtains a symbolic advantage, though in Harikrishna – Ivanchuk, Ningbo 2011, it did not result in more than a draw.

Conclusion

In the main lines with 7.a4, White aims to limit Black's queenside options rather than force through the e4-e5 advance. The most consistent lines are those aiming for development and restricting Black's possibilities, particularly variations C and D. However, Black is able to neutralize the initial pressure and obtain good chances for counterplay with an accurate move order. The main idea is to play ...e7-e5, force the exchange on e5 and then regroup optimally, bringing his knight(s) close to the f4- and d4-squares and solving the problem of the a7-pawn.



4.②f3



5.h3

Variation Index

1.e4 d6 2.d4 幻f6 3.幻c3 g6 4.幻f3 皇g7 5.h3

5...0-0

76
79
83
83
86
86
88
91
94
96

A222) after 19.₩xe2

Ï

 $\langle \rangle$

Å

e f g h

¥88

Ż

٩

¢

Å

<u>ģ</u>



8 1

d e f

13...d5!?N

8

7

6

5

4 Å

3

2

1 置

а

b с

A21) note to 11...b6



D) after 13. 2a3



1.e4 d6 2.d4

 % f6 3.
 % c3 g6 4.
 % f3 \$\\$ g7 5.h3 0-0



The most obvious idea of White's last move is to prevent ...&g4. This is important if play transposes to the Classical System with &e2 within the next few moves (for instance 6.&e3 c6 7.&e2 or 7.a4 followed by 8.&e2). For players intending to meet the Classical Variation with 6...&g4 or systems other than 6...c6 this could be a problem, but fortunately this is not the case for us.

However, delaying the f1-bishop's development has another more subtle idea. If Black plays in the spirit of the lines from Chapters 1 and 2, based on ...e5, White could exchange on e5 followed by &f1-c4, developing the bishop on the most active diagonal without wasting time. This would ensure a lasting initiative based on Black's slight delay in development.

Another way of developing the bishop is \$\u00e9d3, allowing the quick knight transfer \$\u00e9c3-e2-g3, reaching a regrouping typical of closed variations in the Ruy Lopez. For this plan preventing ...\$\u00e9g4 is essential, since \$\u00e4xf3 usually destabilizes White.

Finally, 5.h3 ensures some stability for the dark-squared bishop on e3 (and, in some cases, f4 or g5) by preventing ...2g4. The absence of this potential threat is also relevant if White plays e4-e5 at an early stage.

However, rushing in with the central advance leads nowhere:

6.e5 dxe5 7.∅xe5

7.dxe5 ∰xd1† 8.☆xd1 \(\Box\) d2 \(\Delta\)d5 gave Black comfortable play in Gavala – Majsik, Bratislava 1997.



7...∅d5!?

Played in the spirit of Alekhine's Defence. 8.\u00e9c4

If 8. 公xd5 營xd5 the queen's activity is annoying. The immediate threat is ...c5, which cannot be parried easily, for instance: 9.c4 營e4† 10. 奠e3 c5 White is underdeveloped and his position is hanging. 8...公xc3 9.bxc3 公d7

Followed byc5 soon, with no worries for Black at all.

Of course, spending a tempo on a pawn move so early causes White some delay in development but, as we will see, Black has to react accurately in order to take advantage of this detail.

We will start by investigating A) **6.2e3**, which is by far the main move. In the corresponding section I will present both sides' main ideas and the types of structure Black should avoid or strive for, respectively.

The minor alternatives **B**) 6.&g5 and **C**) 6.&f4 are only partly similar, as Black can

(and in the latter case should) meet these with different plans.

Finally, **D**) **6.2c4** is not recommended, as it allows Black to disrupt the white centre at once.

A) 6. ĝe 3

The most natural move, strengthening control of the d4-square and preparing to set up the queen-and-bishop battery along the c1-h6 diagonal. I recommend choosing the same set-up as against the Classical System with:

6...c6



The start of a familiar plan, involving fighting for space with ...e7-e5 and ...b7-b5. However, Black has to choose the move order with care in order to avoid the position opening up in White's favour.

Before looking at the specific variations, I would like to give a selection of typical positions that can arise in this line. Here is a classic example of how things can go wrong for Black:

Nunn – Gelfand





The move order was slightly different than in our main line, but the main thing is that Black has carried out both thematic moves at an early stage. His highest priority should be consolidating the squares taken under control with 9...2b7, in order to answer 10.a4 with 10...a6.

This allows White to gain control over the c4-square.

10.a4! b4 11.②e2 a5 12.c3 c5 13.cxb4 cxb4 14.0–0 0–0 15.莒fd1 횙b7 16.횙b5 營b8 17.②g3



8

White is perfectly regrouped and exerts pressure along the open files. Many of Black's minor pieces are either passive or hanging.

This does not make a2-a4 a universal reaction to ...b7-b5. In the absence of central tension, this could well turn against White as in the next fragment:



Al. Toth – Vujadinovic

Sombor 2009



Now White must play for a long time with two immobile pieces: his b1-bishop and a1rook. On top of that, the a-pawn is doomed in the long run. This is a typical situation in which engines evaluate the position as equal, but in fact White would be lucky to survive.

The thematice7-e5 can prove premature even in the absence of a previousb7-b5:

Svidler – Art. Minasian

Yerevan 1996

10.a4?!

The idea of transferring the knight to g3 is right, but White should have done it without inserting a2-a4 and ...b5-b4.

10...b4 11. 2 c5 12.c3?!

Aiming to keep the centre's integrity, but objectively wrong in view of Black's plan.

White should have allowed Black to get a comfortable version of the Sicilian Dragon with 12.23.

12...c4 13. 2b1 b3 14. 2g3 e5

8 7 6 5 4 ES 3 Å Å 2 1 b d f h a с e g

10.₩d6!

A paralysing move, forcing Black to waste time getting rid of the intruder.

10....**¤e8**

Or 10....⁽²)e8 11.⁽²⁾a3 ⁽²⁾C7 12.⁽²⁾d1 ⁽²⁾e6 13.⁽²⁾c4 with strong pressure, Kudrin – Bologan, New York 1993.

As in the line above, the bishop develops on the best square without losing time with $\frac{1}{2}$ f1-e2-c4.



White has achieved an active regrouping and a considerable lead in development, with chances to start an attack against f7.

If Black plays ...e7-e5 before ...b7-b5 (giving him no time for ...\$b7 in order to meet a2-a4 with ...a7-a6) he should make sure he can recapture on e5 with pieces:

Rizouk – Peralta

Roquetas de Mar 2009



11.dxe5 신xe5 12.신xe5 프xe5 13.프ad1 逸b7 14.힕f4 프e6

The permanent threat of ...b5-b4 combined with the vulnerability of the e4-pawn immobilizes the white bishop on d3. Black could double rooks on the e-file and look for a way to set his queenside pawns in motion, thus opening the long diagonal for the lightsquared bishop. All these factors offer him perfect compensation for his backward d6-pawn.

The optimal situation for Black is to keep his pawns on b5 and e5 no matter how White tries to open the position. I illustrated this situation in Chapters 1 and 2, so I will not labour this point.

We return to the position after 1.e4 d6 2.d4 約f6 3.約c3 g6 4.約f3 鼻g7 5.h3 0-0 6.鼻e3 c6.

White has two main moves: **A1**) 7.營d2 and **A2**) 7.a4. If 7.奠e2 營c7 play most likely transposes to the Classical System.

A1) 7.\dd2



As shown in the note below, White does not have to prevent ... b5 yet. However, committing the queen so early deprives White of the idea #d1-d6, as in Svidler – Minasian above.

7...④**bd**7

It is too soon to advance on the queenside: 7...b5

This is premature because Black will need too many tempos to prepare ...e5 under favourable circumstances, allowing White to regroup properly.

8.ዿd3 ∅bd7 9.0–0 ₩c7

Preventing e4-e5.

After 9... \$\$ 7 10.e5 b4 11.exf6 bxc3 12.fxe7± White wins a pawn. The similar line would not have worked one move earlier, since ...cxd2 would be check.

9...e5 10.dxe5 dxe5 11.a4 b4 12. \triangle e2 a5 13.c3 c5 14.cxb4 cxb4 transposes to Nunn – Gelfand, Munich 1991, as examined in the introduction.



10.@e2!

Transposing to some kind of Ruy Lopez Breyer, with the important difference that White does not have to block the centre with d4-d5, depriving Black of the plan ...c5-c4 followed by ...²C5.

10...c5 11.c3 e5 12.2g3 c4?!

Black has a solid but somewhat passive position. However, the last move only makes things worse.

Better is 12...a6, even though 13.a4 leaves White's position preferable.

13.奠c2 罩e8 14.a4 bxa4 15.奠xa4 exd4 16.④xd4

Black's opening play resulted in a complete fiasco in Kamsky – Mamedyarov, Sofia 2007.



8.ĝd3

Heading for the same plan as in the note above.

8.a4 transposes to variation A21, while 8.e5 leads to early simplification and equality: 8...dxe5 9.dxe5 创d5 10.创xd5 cxd5 11.鬯xd5 创xe5=

8...e5!



Setting up a possible pawn exchange in the centre provides the only way to challenge the plan based on \$\overline{d}d3\$. The black knight could jump to e5 or c5, attacking the d3-bishop and/ or the f3-knight.

9.0-0

In the absence of a queenside target, 9.dxe5 only frees Black's position: 9...⁽²⁾xe5 10.⁽²⁾xe5 (10.⁽²⁾e2 loses too much time and offers Black strong counterplay: 10...b5! and the e4-pawn experienced some trouble in Mekhitarian – Shoker, Sao Paulo 2011.) 10...dxe5 Followed by ...⁽²⁾e7 and ...⁽²⁾e6.

After the sharp 9.0–0–0 b5 Black's attacking prospects are more realistic than White's.

9.&h6 Ξ e8 and now 10.0–0 transposes to the note to White's 10th move below, while 10.&xg7 &xg7 11.0–0–0 b5 offered Black a strong initiative in H. Smith – Mitrovic, Toronto 2002.

9....¤e8!



After overprotecting the e5-pawn Black is ready to execute his second positional threat: ...b7-b5. White has tried a wide range of moves, but none of them endangers Black.

10.a4

Preventing ...b5, but neglecting the central tension.

10.dxe5

This simplistic approach fails to challenge Black.

10...@xe5

In principle 10...dxe5 is playable, too, but it offers White chances to retain some symbolic pressure: 11.a4 267 12.2c4 2c513.2g5 266 has occurred in a few games, with normal play for Black.

11.@xe5 dxe5 12.a4 \$e6 13.a5 \$d7

This position was reached in Hossain – Rahman, Dhaka 2013. Black will play ...b6 soon, solving all his problems.

10.\ad1

This centralizing move removes the potential pressure along the a-file, thus giving up the fight against:

10...b5

10...exd4 is also playable but less thematic: 11. 2xd4 2c5 12.f3 2xd3 13.cxd3 d5= 11...dxe5

There is nothing wrong with 11...②xe5N 12.③xe5 dxe5, but the game move is perfectly playable since 12.a4 can be answered with 12...a6.

12.De2

Aiming to regroup while it is possible.

After, for example, 12.[□]fe1 [™]e7 13.[§]h6 [™]C5 14.a3 [§]b7= the pressure against e4 prevents [™]C3-e2.

12....[™]e7 13.[©]g3 \$b7



Black has completed his development and maintained the integrity of his structure. The position is about equal, but in the long run it could turn to Black's favour after the typical manoeuvres (2)c5, (2)fd7 and (2)e6.

14.c4?!

An overambitious move, weakening the d4-square without reason.

Gurevich recommends 14.b4!?N a5 15.a3=, more or less stabilizing the queenside.

Black can neutralize the active 14. Wa5 with 14... Wd8.

14...b4!

Keeping the d3-bishop passive.

15.a3 c5!?

Hoping to provoke White to clear the c5-square with axb4.

The more natural 15...a5 may just transpose. 16.≝c2 a5 In the presence of mutual weaknesses on d4 and d5, Black's position is preferable. He can transfer his d7-knight to d4 via either c6 or e6, whereas the d5-square is miles away for the white knights.

17.≌a1 ⓓf8 18.≌a2 c7 19.≌fa1 ⓓe6 20.ⓓd2 ⓓd4 21.ዿxd4 exd4∓

With a space advantage and the bishop pair for Black in Wolff – M. Gurevich, Groningen 1993.

10.¤fe1

This is a smarter way to keep the tension than 10. ad1, but Black can proceed with the queenside expansion anyway:

10...b5 11.dxe5 🖄 xe5!

Only not 11...dxe5 12.a4 b4 13.€de2± Leko – Bologan Beijing (blitz) 2012, following the model of Nunn – Gelfand.

12.②xe5 罩xe5 13.罩ad1 違b7 14.遑f4 罩e6



Facing the threat of increasing pressure on e4, White played:

15.e5

But this led to simplifications and plain equality after:

15...dxe5 16.□xe5 □xe5 17.ዿxe5 凹e7 18.ዿf4 □e8

Followed by a draw agreement nine moves later in Rizouk – Peralta, Roquetas de Mar 2009.

10.힃h6

Finally, this attacking try weakens White's control over the d4-square, allowing:

10....鬯b6 11.違xg7 空xg7

Suddenly White has problems maintaining the central tension, while the b2-pawn may be edible in some lines.



12.@a4

If 12. Ξ fe1 exd4 13. $\textcircledad4 Шc7$ 14. $\textcircledad4 b5$ 15. $\textcircledad5 c3$ a6, preparing ...c5 and keeping the bishop on c8 for defensive purposes. The point is that after 16. $\textcircledad5 Black$ can defend with 16... $\textcircledad5 b17. \textcircledad5 f5^{\dagger} \textcircledad5 b18.exf5 d5$, with excellent play.

After 12.dxe5 Dxe5 the threats of ... $\textcircled{D}xf3^{\dagger}$ and ...Wxb2 force 13.Dxe5 dxe5, with great play on the dark squares and natural development with ...Be6 and ...Ead8.

12....營c7 13.営fe1 b5 14.公c3 a6

Black had comfortable play in Gormally – McNab, England 2011.



10...d5!

The logical reaction to the last move. By preventing queenside counterplay, White has been unable to increase the pressure in the centre.

11.dxe5

Once more the rook proves useful on e8 after 11.exd5? e4, winning a piece in Moskovtsev – Sagnayev, Kustanay 2011.

11...②xe4 12.黛xe4

By keeping his queen's knight White tries to gain control over the dark squares.

12.②xe4 poses no problems at all: 12...dxe4 13.鼻g5 凹c7 14.鼻xe4 ②xe5=

12...dxe4 13. \$g5

If this position was reached with 10. Zad1 instead of 10.a4, then 13. Axe4 would more or less win, but in the absence of the pin along the d-file Black at least equalizes with 13... Xxe5.

13....鬯a5 14.包xe4 鬯xd2 15.包fxd2 罩xe5 16.罩fe1



Black has the bishop pair, but is slightly underdeveloped. In De Vreugt – Hartoch, Bussum 2008, he should have played:

16....Be6N

Removing the rook from the exposed square and controlling f6 and d6.

Black has restricted the activity of White's minor pieces, and is entitled to hope that his bishops will tell in the long run.

A2) 7.a4

Preventing ...b5 radically and preparing to gain even more space with a4-a5.

7...₩c7



White can choose between **A21**) **8.[™]d2** and **A22**) **8.a5**.

Other moves are less consistent:

8.e5 dxe5 9.dxe5 (9.⁴/₂xe5 <u>\$e6</u> 10.<u>\$e2</u> ⁴/₂bd7= leads to a familiar scenario from Chapter 1) 9...^{III}d8 10.^{III}c1 ⁴/₂d5 11.⁴/₂xd5 cxd5∓ After ...⁴/₂c6 White will face problems defending the central pawn.

8.&c4 allows the typical 8...@xe4 9.@xe4 d5 10.&d3 dxe4 11.&xe4 @d7 12.0–0 e5 with comfortable play.

A21) 8.營d2 約bd7 9.黛d3



This set-up is similar to that examined in line A1.

9...e5

Black needs to react in a similar way too, since focusing on gaining queenside space with 9...b6 10.0–0 a6, planning ...\$b7 and ...b5, runs into 11.\$e2N followed by \$g3.

10.0-0

10.dxe5

This should be answered with the familiar: 10... Dxe5 11. ge2

The only way to fight for an advantage.



11...¤d8N

In Zhu Chen – T. Nguyen, Macau 2007, Black failed to equalize with 11...d5.

Preparing the central break looks more to the point.

12.<u></u> __________5

12.0-0 d5 equalizes comfortably.

12.逸f4 prevents ...d5 but does not hinder Black's development: 12...逸e6 13.创d4 逸c4 14.0–0 逸xe2 15.巒xe2 罩e8 The white bishop blocks the f-pawn, thus assuring the e5-knight of at least temporary stability and affording Black the necessary time to start his counterplay.

12...¤e8

This is not a waste of time, since in certain lines White's dark-squared bishop and king are exposed. 12...ge6 is a less favourable version of the line above, since White can play f2-f4 more quickly. Additionally, 13. 2f4 is somewhat annoying.



13.0-0-0

Increasing the pressure on the backward pawn, but exposing the king on a weakened wing.

13.0–0 创ed7 starts the typical counterplay against the e4-pawn.

The departure of the bishop from e3 makes 13.≅d1?! dubious: 13...\2xf3†! 14.\2xf3 \2xe4 15.\2xe4 \2f5 16.f3 d5∓

13...⁽²⁾xf3 14.≜xf3 ≜e6 15.^{\®}xd6

The principled continuation, trying to justify the previous moves.

15.h4 h5 maintains the status quo, while 15.\2h6\2ad8 makes ...d5 inevitable.

15...⊮a5

Black gains a tempo due to the bishop's exposure and is ready to start his counterplay with ... Zad8 and/or ... 2d7. All his minor pieces will be optimally placed (which does not apply to the f3-bishop and the c3-knight), while the a4-pawn is a target.

10....¤e8



11.¤fe1

As usual, White's main hope for retaining his space advantage is concentrating his forces in the centre.

11.dxe5 ②xe5 12.彙e2 allows the typical 12...②ed7.The tactics work out well for Black after 13.彙f4 ②c5! 14.彙xd6 ②fxe4 15.彙xc7 ③xd2 16.③xd2 奠xc3 17.bxc3 罩xe2, with no problems at all for Black in Shabalov – Firman, Denver 2003.

Preparing ዿc4 with 11.a5 runs into the thematic 11...d5N=.

11...b6

When given a choice during my years as a Pirc player, I usually preferred to keep the tension by switching to the queenside plan in similar situations. Confronted with Black's pawn hedgehog, White does not have obvious ways of increasing the pressure.

The point behind White's last move becomes obvious after 11...d5? 12.exd5 e4? 13. 鼻f4! followed by ②xe4.

11...exd4

This is objectively just as good as the main move.

12.[©]xd4

In Benjamin – Pader, New York 2005, White played 12.奠xd4, preparing to meet 12...心c5 with the crushing 13.e5. Black should have answered with 12...b6N 13.罩ad1 奠b7, followed by ...a6,罩ad8 and ...心e5.

12....@c5 13.f3

13. h6 a5 offered Black perfect stability on the dark squares in Andersson – McNab, corr. 1994.



13...d5!?N

Precise, but not the only possible continuation. Black is under no immediate pressure yet and can stay in manoeuvring mode with 13...\2012xd3 14.cxd3 \2012d7, planning ...a5 followed by ...\2012c5.

14.exd5 🖄 xd3 15.cxd3

15. ¹/₂xd3 weakens the second-rank defence, allowing 15... ²/₂xh3 with the idea 16.gxh3

響g3† 17.查f1 響xh3†. White should accept the perpetual, as 18.空e2? 罩ad8 offers Black crushing pressure along the central files.

15...@xd5 16.@xd5 cxd5=

White's relative weaknesses on h3, a4 and d3 prevent him from making the most of the central blockade.

12.dxe5

Trying to make use of the slight lead in development.

12. \$\$c4 exposes the bishop. 12...exd4 13. \$\$xd4 White is preparing to meet 13...\$\$c5 with 14.e5!. Instead, Black should play 13...\$\$\$e5, as in O'Brien – Tortosa, email 2012, followed by either ...\$\$b7 or ...\$\$e6, with comfortable play.

12. Zad1 does not bring anything positive, since the d-file is blocked by White's own bishop. Black can continue his plan with 12... 2b7 followed by ... a6 and ... b5, possibly with ... Zad8 inserted in order to avoid danger along the d-file.

12...²xe5 13.²e2 ²b7



14.\ad1

Premature central activity would rebound: 14.创d4 a6 15.f4? ②ed7 16.奠f3 c5, winning the e4-pawn.

14...②xf3† 15.皇xf3 筥e6 16.皇f4 皇f8

Black plans\approx ae8 anda6. Due to the pressure on the e4-pawn, White cannot activate his f3-bishop easily. The most constructive way of doing it is not too threatening:

17.g3N

17.≝e3 ≝ae8 was comfortable for Black in Warzecha – Hempel, email 2012.

17....Bae8 18. g2 a6=



Black's position only looks cramped. In fact, he has the better chances of carrying out a fluent plan involving ...b5 and ...2d7-e5.

A22) 8.a5

The most principled continuation. Before making any commitment with his pieces, White prevents queenside expansion starting with ...b6 and encourages Black to focus on the central plan based on ...e5.

8.... 2bd7

White can play A221) 9.[™]d2 or A222) 9.[®]e2.

A221) 9.₩d2



This move is fully in the spirit of the 5.h3/6.違e3 system. White continues to wait for Black's commitment before developing his light-squared bishop.

9...¤b8

This move is very dear to me, as I played it in my first game after turning the Pirc into my main weapon. Since White has delayed his kingside development waiting for the central break, Black switches to the queenside plan.

9...e5 is also quite okay. Then 10.dxe5 ($\Delta xe5$ (10...dxe5?! allows White to execute his main idea with 11. (c4) 11. (e2 transposes to the note on 11.) (d2 in line A222 below.

10. ĝe2

White keeps the d-file clear, reckoning with the fact that in the long run Black cannot do without ...e5 anyway.

10.&d3 b5 11.axb6 axb6 12.0–0 (12.&d2) was played in Koch – Nyvlt, email 2010, and now 12...e5N 13.c3 \equiv e8 14.&d2g3 d5 would offer Black active play due to the white king's presence in the centre.) 12...&d2b7N This is most accurate because now the familiar plan of 13.&d2 does not work on account of 13...c5. The pressure on the e4-pawn and the threat of ...c4 prevent White from keeping his centre intact. In these lines we can feel the consequences of the time spent by White on preparing against ...e5.

10...b5 11.axb6 axb6



12.0-0

White cannot take advantage of the alignment of the queen and rook along the h2-b8 diagonal with 12.e5 dxe5 13.dxe5. True, the e5-pawn is taboo, but 13...②d5 14.②xd5 cxd5 15.營xd5 ②xe5 16.③xe5 氯xe5∓ offered Black the initiative in Novikov – Tal, Tbilisi 1988.

12...b5 13.b4

White needs to block the b5-pawn.

13.e5 b4 14. (14.exf6 bxc3 15.) (14.exf6 bxc3 15.) (14.exf6 bxc3 15.) (15.exf3 (15.exf5)) (14.exf6) (15.exf5) (15.exf5) (15.exf5) (15.exf5) (16.exf5) (16.e



13....創b7!

I reckon that during my aforementioned game I was still worried about e4-e5, which made me play the premature:

13...e5 14.d5!N

Causing Black some problems with the b5and d6-pawns.

The game went 14.dxe5 dxe5 15.\(\mathbf{E}\)fd1 \(\overline{ab7}\) 16.\(\overline{ab6}\)h6 \(\mathbf{E}\)a8 and due to the unfavourable placement of the c3-knight, I soon reached a promising ending in Nevednichy – Marin, Herculane 1996.

14.... 違b7 15. \Land fd1 \Land fc8 16. \Land a3 象f8

Black is in no immediate danger as he has defended both weaknesses, but his position is now a bit passive. True, play remains doubleedged, since White also has some problems along the c-file and could be left with a weakness on d5.

The improvement on my game keeps the threat of ...e5 alive while also preparing to fight for the a-file with $\dots \Xi a 8$.

14.e5N

White does not get much with this break, but other moves do not challenge Black either.

Anticipatinge7-e5 with 14.\mathbb{Ifd1} can be answered with 14...\mathbb{E}a8, avoiding problems along the h2-b8 diagonal and leaving Black time to prepare the pawn advance at his leisure (for instance with ... 邕fc8).

14...dxe5 15.dxe5 包xe5 16.包xe5 鬯xe5 17.鼻f4



17....@e4!

I am sure I did not notice this resource during the game. It becomes apparent that it is not only Black's pieces that are hanging.

18.**£xe**5

18.⁽¹⁾xe4 simplifies the position without regaining the pawn: 18...¹¹xe4 19.⁽¹⁾xb8 ⁽¹⁾xa1 20.²¹xa1 ²¹xb8 21.⁽¹⁾gf3 White has reasonable compensation for the pawn, based on the temporary passivity of the b7-bishop, but no more.

18... 纪xd2 19.皇xg7

19. $\hat{\mathbb{R}}xb8 \cong xb8$ with a double attack on c3 and f1.

19.... 如xg7 20. 邕fd1 邕fd8

Once again, White's compensation should be just enough to maintain equality.

A222) 9.奠e2



After completing his queenside progress, White returns to the Classical set-up. This does not lead to a transposition to Chapters 1 or 2, though, due to the specific move order recommended there, based on delaying the b8-knight's development.

The text move looks less consequent than 9. [™]d2, as it defines White's intentions regarding his bishop while there are still half-waiting moves available, but we will see that things are not entirely straightforward.

9...e5

Unfortunately, the same plan as recommended in line A221 above, 9... \Bb8, does not work so well here: 10.0–0 b5 11.axb6 axb6 12.d5! \Dc5 13. \Dd4 White will gain control over the b5-square, due to the fact that, unlike in the previous line, the white queen is not hanging after a knight capture on e4.

If Black recaptures with 11...心xe5 then the rook puts less pressure on White than after ... 選d8 recommended below.

10.dxe5

10.0-0 exd4 11.彙xd4 leads to a position similar to that from line D22 in Chapter 2, with the insertion of a4-a5 and ...心bd7. From a development point of view, Black's move is more useful, of course. 11...罝e8 12.心d2 心f8 13.罝e1 In Khalifman – Mohr, Triberg 1991, Black could have taken over the initiative with: 13...心e6N 14.彙e3 d5苹

10....②xe5!

The continuation of Kobese – Marin, Baku (ol) 2016, confirmed what I already knew – after 10...dxe5 White can afford to 'lose a tempo' with 11.2c4, causing Black problems with his development.



11.0-0

If 11.^md2 Ξ d8 12. &g5 &e6 13.0-0 &c4= Black has little to complain about. He can soon play ... b5, with complete equality.

11.Ød2

This attempt to avoid the knight exchange is passive, allowing Black to start his central counterplay:

11....¤e8 12.0–0 d5 13.exd5

The part played by the rook on e8 is revealed after 13.f4? 2xe4! and Black is doing well after 14.fxe5 2xc3 15.bxc3 2xe5-+ or 14.2xe4 – either knight – 14... $2d7\mp$.

13... 2xd5 14. 2xd5 cxd5

White cannot enjoy playing against the isolani as he still needs to spend time safely blockading on d4.

15.奠d4

Or 15.∅f3 ⁽²)c4 16.<u>g</u>xc4 dxc4 17.<u>g</u>d4 ⁽¹⁾∰f4!?=.

15...Øg4

15.... 逾f5 is also good: 16.c3 创d3 17. 盒xd3 盒xd3 18. 罩e1 罩xe1 † 19. 鬯xe1 盒xd4 20.cxd4 罩c8= The control over the c-file compensates for the potentially bad bishop.

Black had little to fear in Aitbayev – Shanava, Tromso (ol) 2014, as he is perfectly well regrouped and the a5-pawn is also a source of worries for White.



11....\arranged design 11....\arranged design desig

Due to the considerable amount of time wasted by White on early pawn moves, he now faces slight problems regarding the queen's safety.

The Kaufman Repertoire for Black & White only considers 11... ②xf3† and 11... 트e8 here.

12.**≌c**1

The most consistent.

12.罝e1 创xf3† 13.嵬xf3 逸e6 followed by ...b5 is just equal.

12.營d2 d5 gave Black enough counterplay in Borst – Shields, email 2005.

12.... xf3† 13. \$xf3 \$e6 14. \$g5

Neutral moves such as 14.\deltad1 allow 14...b5 15.axb6 axb6=.

14...**Ze**8

Avoiding 14...b5? 15.axb6 axb6 16.¹⁰/₁₆/₄ with a unpleasant pin.

15.\d1

White has made the most of his cards, but Black has maintained his flexibility, as proven by the next move:



15...④d7!

Preparing an indirect defence of the d6-pawn.

16.凹d2 ②e5 17.皇e2

17. 營xd6? 營xd6 18. 営xd6 公c4干 retrieves the pawn while retaining the better structure and the initiative.

17...ĝc4

By exchanging the bishops, Black solves all his space problems.

18.¤a4 ≜xe2 19.₩xe2

The other recapture keeps the pressure on d6 but weakens the defence of e4 and exposes the b2-pawn:

19. ②xe2 ②d7! 20. 鼻f4

20.營xd6? 營xd6 21.Ξxd6 ②c5-+ followed by ... ③xe4 wins material.

20...b5

Using the exposed placement of the a4-rook. 21.ዿxd6

21.axb6 Dxb6 22.Qxd6 Wd7 leaves White terribly hanging, for instance: 23. \blacksquare b4 \blacksquare ad8 24.Qf4 We7 25.Qd6 We6 26.Df4 $\textcircled{W}c8\mp$ White is in trouble meeting threats such as ...c5 followed by ...Dc4, or ...Qe5.

21....^wb7 22.^mb4 c5 23.^mb3 ^mxe4=



19....Ξe6!?N

Preparing ... Zae8 followed by ... b5.

The hurried 19...b5?! led to problems after 20.axb6 axb6 21. Ξ xa8 Ξ xa8 22.f4 \bigtriangleup d7 23.&e7! &f8 24.&xf8 \bigotimes xf8 25. \textcircled d2 Ξ d8 26.f5!? \pm in Larsen – Kavalek, Linares 1981.

20.<u>\$</u>e3

The only way of trying to cross Black's intentions.



21...a6

Without light-squared bishops, this self-blocking move does not harm Black strategically as he will soon get active play in the centre.

21...莒ae8 22.違xa7 違xc3 23.bxc3 d5 24.幽d2 创f6 is also possible, but to my taste riskier.

22.₩d2 \ae8



Black is fully mobilized and the threats ...f5 and ...d5 become annoying.

23. 皇d4 皇xd4† 24. 營xd4 ②f6 25. 邕b4 d5!

This pawn sacrifice is justified by the weakness of White's second rank.





Optically this looks like the most aggressive bishop development, since it reinforces the threat of e4-e5, something quite relevant if Black reacts with 6...c6. But in the short term this move does not add anything dynamically, allowing Black to counterattack in the centre:

6...c5

The threat of ...cxd4 followed by ...e5 forces White to release the tension at once.

7.dxc5

7.e5?! is ineffective due to 7...⁽²⁾h5N followed by ...cxd4, dissolving White's centre.

The tempos wasted on h2-h3 and \$\$f4 prevent White from staying in control after switching to the Schmid Benoni with: 7.d5



7...b5!

A typical pseudo-pawn sacrifice. 8.\$xb5

8. 2xb5 2xe4 leaves the b5-knight struggling for a good path: 9. 2d3 a6 10. 2a3 2xb2 11. 2xe4 2a5† 12. 2d2 2xa3 White has no obvious compensation for the pawn.

8...①xe4 9.①xe4 營a5† 10.②c3 氯xc3† 11.bxc3 營xb5 12.②d2 c4

White's worries about the d5-pawn prevented him from taking advantage of the missing dark-squared bishop in Tempone – Giaccio, Mar del Plata 1992.

7...₩a5

The typical way to regain the pawn, leading to a comfortable Sicilian Dragon since the f3-knight blocks the path of the f-pawn, making White's position somewhat rigid.

8.🖄d2

Trying to fix the aforementioned problem, but wasting time.

It is obvious that pawn-grabbing is way too dangerous: 8.cxd6? 公xe4 9.dxe7?! 骂e8干 Black will regain the pawns soon, maintaining a strong initiative.

After 8.2d3 $extsf{wxc5}$ 9.0–0 $ilde{0}c6$ 10. $extsf{ze1}$ $ilde{2}e6$ 11.a3 $extsf{zac8}$, with the possible plan of ... $ilde{0}d7$ -e5, Black had comfortable play in Shirazi – D. Gurevich, Estes Park 1986.

8...增xc5 9.臭d3



9.... 2bd7!?

Since White is prepared to harass the queen with his f4-bishop and d2-knight, it makes sense to keep open the path to c7.

However, 9...公c6 is entirely playable, too: 10.公b3 營b6 11.逸e3 營d8 12.0-0 逸e6 13.f4 空c8



There are two small differences with respect to a classical Dragon tabiya: White has made the extra move h2-h3 (not necessarily a gain; it can sometimes be a weakness) and the bishop is on d3 instead of e2. The latter implies better support for the e4-pawn, but also exposes the bishop to attack by ...Db4 (or after f4-f5, ...Dd7, to ...De5). The position is complex, but the chances are even.

10.ዿe3 ≌c7 11.f4 b6

Black chooses a flexible, hybrid Najdorf/ Dragon set-up, aimed at putting strong pressure on e4.



12.Db3

In view of the threat of ... (2)c5, keeping the knight on d2 seems to make more sense: 12.0–0

However, this also fails to impress. 12...ዿb7



13.₩e2

The seemingly more active 13.2673 (2c5) 14.f5 runs into 14...e6, opening the position in Black's favour due to the exposed white queen.

13...Øc5 14.f5

In the long run White cannot do without this move and at the moment he does not

have many useful moves left. For instance, if 14. Zae1 then 14... Zac8.

14...⁽²⁾xd3 15.cxd3 d5 Black has excellent play.

12.... 違b7 13. 營e2 邕ac8



14.0-0-0?

Too ambitious. 14.0–0 more or less transposes to the above note.

14...②c5 15.皇d2 ②xd3† 16.鬯xd3

The strategically desirable 16.cxd3 allows 16...b5 17.空b1 ②h5, clearing the long diagonal with gain of time. 18.營f2 b4. The c3-knight is lost since its retreat allows mate in two with ...營c2†.



16....②xe4!

A simple but elegant combination.

White resigned in Pandurevic – Bosiocic, Mali Losinj 2016. There is no satisfactory way of defending c2.

18.c4 皇xc4 19.凹c2 皇xb3 20.凹xc7 罩xc7†

With decisive material gains.



C) 6.\$g5

Despite its visually aggressive character, this allows Black a wider choice than the previous alternatives. The bishop does not threaten much and could be exposed in certain lines, while failing to contribute to consolidating the d4-pawn.

I was surprised to discover that the next exotic and very rare move, reminiscent of the Grünfeld Defence, offers Black excellent play.

6...d5!?

In fact, there is nothing wrong with playing in the spirit of line A with 6...c67. 2017 8.a4 2010 9.a5 2018.

I have some doubts though, with respect to 6...c5. True, 7.e5 cxd4 8.exf6 allows the typical Dragon trick 8...exf6!, but after the simple 7.d5

Black may face problems finding counterplay, since his compensation after the radical 7...b5 8.奠xf6 奠xf6 9.奠xb5 is insufficient; for instance, 9...營b6 10.罝b1! secured the bishop and the b2-pawn in Kovacs – Banas, Stary Smokovec 1972.

7.<u>\$</u>xf6

The critical move, accepting the offered pawn.

General exchanges turn \$c1-g5 into a waste of time by freeing Black from the pressure along the h4-d8 diagonal:

7.exd5 ⁽∕)xd5 ⁽/₈.⁽∕)xd5 ⁽/₂xd5



Black threatens ...c5 and White has no way to take advantage of the seemingly exposed position of the queen.

9.c4 ≝a5† 10.ĝd2

Or if 10.營d2 the Grünfeld character of the position persists: 10...營xd2† 11.查xd2 公c6 12.d5 單d8 13.罩e1 f6 14.彙h4 g5 15.彙g3 e5 Black has cut the g3-bishop out of play, and is ready to undermine White's centre with ...c6.

10...₩b6 11.ዿc3 c5

With his king still in the centre, White already faces major discomfort.

Maintaining the tension does not slow down Black's counterplay: 7.\$d3 c5



8.dxc5

Under the new circumstances, pawngrabbing looks risky: 8.\$xf6 exf6 9.exd5 f5 (planning ...\$b6) 10.dxc5 \$xc3\$ 11.bxc3 \$\vert xd5 Black will retrieve the pawn, maintaining the better structure.

8...dxe4 9. 🖄 xe4 🖄 xe4 10. 🛓 xe4 🖄 xb2

The neutral 10...¹⁰/₄c7 is good enough for comfortable equality.

11.0–0 ₩c7 12.¤b1 ĝg7=

White's better development compensated for the structural defects, but no more in Crawley – McNab, Barnsdale 1989.

With the bishop on e3 the most principled continuation would be 7.e5, but here after 7... De4 the bishop is hanging, forcing White to either waste a tempo or make some other sort of concession.



8.②xe4 (or 8.遑f4 c5 with counterplay) 8...dxe4 9.②h2 Sadly the g5-square is not available.

9....c5 Being underdeveloped, White cannot maintain the integrity of his centre.

7...exf6 8.exd5

8.0xd5 allows Black to regain the pawn with an advantage: 8...f5 9.0c3 fxe4 \mp for if 10.0xe4? Ξ e8 Black wins a piece.



8...∕∆d7

Preparing to regain the pawn with ... 266.

9.<u>\$</u>e2

9.瀺c4N

This is more consistent, but also riskier. Keeping the extra pawn involves losing time and giving up the right to castle.

9....邕e8† 10.堂f1 a6 11.a4

Parrying the threat of ...b5, followed by $...\textcircled{0}{b6}$ and $...\textcircled{2}{b7}$, but weakening the queenside dark squares.



11...c5!?

An original way to open files.

12.dxc6

12.dxc5 Dxc5 13.g3 f5 14.Pg2 Wb6, followed by ... Ld7 and ... De4, offers Black great play on the dark squares.

12...bxc6 13.g3 \B8 14.\B1



14...c5 15.dxc5

Or if 15.d5 ⁽²⁾b6 16.⁽²⁾a2 ⁽²⁾b7 (threatening ...c4) 17.a5 ⁽²⁾c8, followed by ...⁽²⁾d6, ...f6-f5 and not least ...⁽²⁾xa5.

15...⊕xc5 16.₩xd8

Alternatively 16.堂g2 鬯c7 17.②d5 鬯c6 18.b3 f5 and White's position is precarious. 16...Ξxd8 17.堂g2 鼻b7

Black has strong pressure on both wings.

9...②b6 10.0−0 ②xd5 11.③xd5 ₩xd5



The structure resembles a mirrored exchange Ruy Lopez. Black's bishop pair compensates for White's central majority, while the doubled pawns are not necessarily a weakness. Moreover, the minor weakness induced by h2-h3 could add force to a massive kingside pawn attack.

12.c3

A solid approach.

12.c4 would gain space but weaken the long diagonal: 12...營d8 13.營b3 b6 14.亘ad1 違b7 15.d5 f5, with comfortable play on the dark squares.

12...b6 13.公d2 舀b8 14.營b3 營g5 15.公f3 營f4=

The position was balanced in Findlay – Taulbut, Ayr 1978.

D) 6.奠c4



This seemingly active move offers Black a simple way to disrupt White's centre.

6.... 2xe4! 7. \$xf7†

Giving away the bishop is too high a price to pay for weakening the king's residence, but 7. 2 xe4 d5 8. 2 d3 dxe4 9. 2 xe4 c5 offers Black excellent counterplay.

7...邕xf7 8. ②xe4 d5



9.\Car

Trying to inhibit the thematic ...e7-e5 by putting pressure on d5.

Black took over the initiative quickly after 9.23326610.2635, with a strong bishop pair and a central majority in Emhemed – Hillarp Persson, Calvia (ol) 2004.

9...�c6 10.0−0 ₩d6



11.②b5

Abdicating from the initial plan by releasing the pressure on d5.

11.¤e1

This looks more consistent, but essentially White is still struggling.

11...b6!?

Planning ...ዿb7, followed by\afaf8 ande5.

11...a6 followed by ...\$d7 appears logical but allows the equalizing 12.\$e5!? \$\Delta xe5 13.dxe5 \$\Delta xe5 14.\$\Delta xd5 \$\Delta e6\$, when Black's bishop pair and activity are balanced out by the minor structural defect.



12.@e5!?

Otherwise White would soon be suffocated. 12...②xe5 13.dxe5 ዿxe5



14.[₩]xd5

14. ②xd5? allows a crushing attack with 14... 逸h2† 15. 空h1 逸b7-+.

With a one-sided ending due to White's chronic weaknesses.

11....[@]d7 12.c3 a6 13.[@]a3

In Kholmov – Rukavina, Dubna 1973, Black's simplest continuation would have been:



13...e5N 14.dxe5 ②xe5 15.②xe5 \$\$xe5 16.②c2 ₩d6 17.\$\$h6 \$\$d7₹

Black has two strong bishops and a mobile central majority.

Conclusion

From the lines examined in this section, only A is really important. For players having 6...\$g4 or moves other than 6...c6 in their repertoire against the Classical System, the main line examined in this chapter may cause some problems. But since 6...c6 was our choice in Chapters 1 and 2, the 5.h3 line transposes to the same type of position, with the difference (favouring Black) that White has committed his h-pawn a bit earlier than he might wish. Just compare with Chapter 2, in which White does his best to omit h2-h3 and Black needs to use accurate move orders to provoke it!



4.②f3



5th Move Alternatives

Variation Index

1.e4 d6 2.d4 2f6 3.2c3 g6 4.2f3

4 ĝg7	
A) 5.ģg5	100
B) 5.g3	101
C) 5. Âc4 0-0	103
C1) 6.遑b3	104
C2) 6.0–0	105
C3) 6.營e2	107
C2) 6.0−0 C3) 6.₩e2	10 10

B) note to 9.0–0



C2) note to 7.ge3



C3) note to 13.h3



1.e4 d6 2.d4 2f6 3.2c3 g6 4.2f3

This is played in many systems, so the choice about which lines to examine in this chapter is partly arbitrary. I will explain my criteria in each individual case.

We will examine A) **5.**<u>\$</u>**g5**, B) **5.g3** and C) **5.**<u>\$</u>**c4**.

5. ge3 c6 transposes to Chapter 8.

5. £f4 c6 transposes to Chapter 13.

I have preferred to examine the respective positions with 2673 in the separate 4.263 and 4.2674 sections because they retain the general spirit of those systems, and it makes no sense to spread the lines across separate sections.



I chose not to examine this position in Chapter 12 because inserting $2f_3$ does not correspond to the aggressive spirit of $4.2g_5$.

5...0–0 6.₩d2

There is no other reasonable way of avoiding a transposition to other systems.

7.2h6 transposes to variation C of Chapter 8 on page 210.

7.0–0–0 should be met by 7...b5 when the following line looks logical to me: 8.e5 dxe5 9.dxe5 營xd2† 10.鼍xd2 b4! 11.exf6N (11.ⓓa4?! ⓓe4 12.௦d4 ㉒xg5 13.㉒xg5 黛xe5 14.鼍xb4 ௦d8 was great for Black in Benavente Gomez – Penades Ordaz, email 2005.) 11...bxc3 12.bxc3 exf6 13.黛e3 ㉒d7= Black has comfortable play.



^{7....} bd7 8.0-0-0 b5 9.e5

The typical reaction to Black's queenside attack.

9.☆b1 was played in Roos – Kostbar, Mendig 1998, but after 9...b4N 10.⇔e2 ≅b8 Black's initiative develops unhindered.

9...dxe5 10. 2xe5

10.dxe5 runs into: 10...心g4 11.e6 心de5 12.心xe5 心xe5 13.exf7† 心xf7 14.兔e4!? Aiming to gain time for retreating the attacked bishop. 14...營b6 15.兔e3 營a6 16.登b1 兔e6 Black is perfectly regrouped and, despite his structural defect, his chances for an attack against the king are more realistic than White's.



11**.**ĝf4

In Lanchotti – Patino, Sao Caetano do Sul 1999, the simplest would have been:

11...②xe5N 12.黛xe5

12.dxe5 0h5 costs White the bishop pair, due to the hanging e5-pawn.

12.... 213. 空b1 b4 14. 包e2 皇e6

Black has a slight initiative, compensating for White's space advantage.

B) 5.g3 0-0 6.ĝg2

8 7 6 5 Å 4 3 2 1 f b с d h а e g

I am examining this set-up separately from the fianchetto system because play takes on a completely different character after Black's next move.

6...<u>\$g</u>4

With the knight on f3, Black needs some effort to carry out ...e7-e5, and the last move is perfectly suited for this purpose.

In the Classical System, the line with 6...\$g4 is considered just as good as our repertoire choice of 6...c6 (Chapters 1 and 2). But here there are two differences making Black's play more comfortable – White has spent a tempo on g2-g3 and the knight is in a real pin now.



7.h3

Ignoring the bishop so as to develop more quickly offers Black easier counterplay than in the similar Classical System lines:

7.0–0 ⓓc6 8.ዿe3 e5 9.d5 ⓓe7 10.₩d2 b5



With the bishop on e2 Black needs to play ... dd/dt to prepare this move.

11.②e1 এd7 12.f3 鬯b8 13.Ξd1 a5 14.Ξf2 Ξd8

Preparingc6.

15.ģg5 ≌e8!? 16.g4 ∰b7 17.h3 b4 18.⁴2 ĝb5 19.⁴g3 ∰b6 20.ĝe3 ∰a6 21.h4 ≌ad8 22.ĝh3 c6∓



Black was perfectly mobilized in Garcia Cano – Marin, Badalona 1997, and his central counterplay will develop more effectively than White's kingside attack.

7....違xf3 8.違xf3 包c6

Putting pressure on the weakened d4-square.



9.0-0

9.d5 De5 10.22 c6 offers Black a couple of extra tempos compared to the similar Classical line (6...2g4 7.2e3 Dc6 8.d5 2xf3 9.2xf3 De5). Moreover, the c4-square is weak. 11.0–0



In Purtov – Saveljev, Tomsk 2001, Black could have obtained good counterplay with 11....¹⁰c7N 12.¹²e1 ¹²Eac8 followed by ...¹²fe8 (securing the e7-pawn in anticipation of a later exchange on d5), ...a6, ...cxd5 and ...b5.

Defending the d4-square with 9.ዿe3 did not prevent the knight's intrusion to that square after 9...e5 10.d5 ⁽²⁾/₂d4 in Reti – Yates, Semmering 1926, since 11.ዿxd4? exd4 12.⁽²⁾/₂xd4 ⁽²⁾/₂xe4!∓ would take full advantage of the king's remaining in the centre, mainly the result of wasting a tempo on g2-g3.

9...e5

Everything is now prepared for this central break.

10.d5

The principled answer, even though with the d4-square undefended it offers Black easy play.

The symmetrical structure resulting after 10.dxe5 dxe5 does not pose Black any problems either. 11.彙e3 创d4 12.彙g2 c6 13.创e2 创e6 14.豐xd8 晋fxd8 15.晋fd1 彙f8 16.f3 奠c5 Black's position was even the more pleasant in Pachow – Belov, Katowice 1993.

10.... 🖄 d4 11. 🎗 g2 c6

The most logical continuation, fighting against White's space advantage while the central knight is still stable.

12.dxc6 bxc6

Planning ...d5.

13.De2 De6 14.Dc3

A clear sign that White is not really hoping for an advantage. Black could just repeat moves, of course, but he can aim for more.

14....\Bb8 15.b3

Or 15. 2 d 2 c 7 16.b3 E f d 8 17. 2 b 2 d 5 \mp with the initiative in the centre in Perez Perez – Smyslov, Dortmund 1961.

15....凹a5 16.皇b2 宮fd8 17.②a4!?N

17.0e2, as played in Kholmov – Lutikov, Moscow 1972, really takes too much time. Black could have taken over the initiative with: 17...d5N 18.0xe5 dxe4 19.0c3 (One amusing point is that 19. $\textcircled{0}xb8 \equiv xd1$ 20. Ξ fxd1 0b5-+wins one of the minor pieces. In the main line this resource is not available.) 19...0f5 20.0c1 $\textcircled{0}g5\mp$



17...h5 18.h4

White cannot afford to allow ...h4, chronically weakening the kingside dark squares. But now Black can make use of the g4-square.

18...d5 19. 奠xe5 dxe4 20. 奠c3

After 20.ዿxb8 \arrow xd1 21.\arrow axd1 \arrow g4\arrow the kingside is suddenly in danger.

20...增f5 21.凹e2 名d4 22.臭xd4 罩xd4



23.\ad1

Black's position is more pleasant, but this probably keeps White close to equality.

C) 5. gc4



I will examine this line separately from line D of Chapter 3 and line A of Chapter 14, since Black's main plan involves ... g4, the same as in line B above.

5...0-0



White has a choice between C1) 6. 𝔅b3, C2) 6.0−0 and C3) 6. 𝑑e2.

C1) 6. \$b3

An ultra-cautious move, wasting an important tempo to prevent ...②xe4.

6....ĝg4

A logical reaction. Unlike in the Classical System with 6... gg4, the predictable exchange on f3 will force White to weaken control over the d4-square with mxf3.

7.h3

Other moves do not have independent value. For instance: 7.\$e3 \$\overline{2}c6 8.h3 (8.0-0?! e5 puts) White under great pressure) 8...\$xf3 9.\$\$xf3 transposes to the main line.

7....違xf3 8.鬯xf3 包c6



9.<u>\$</u>e3

This developing move is the most consistent.

9.d5 ⁽∆d4 10.⁽∰d1 c5 11.dxc6 ⁽∆xc6 12.0–0 ⁽∆d7= gives Black a comfortable version of the Sicilian Dragon.

If 9.262 e5 10.d5 26d4 11.24d4 exd4 12.0-026d7= the d4-pawn is not easy to attack, whilethe b3-bishop is passive. Black's knight will bestable on c5, while the counterplay with ...f5(possibly after forcing f2-f3 by increasing thepressure on the e4-pawn) is promising.



9...e5 10.dxe5

10.d5 ⁽¹⁾/₂d4 11.⁽¹⁾/₂d1 has occurred in a few games, and now 11...⁽²⁾/₂h5N is good for Black. The bishop is not doing much on b3.

10...dxe5



11.🖄 d5

This is harmless, but there is no challenging alternative.

The other way of preparing c2-c3 is more passive: 11.②e2 營d6 12.0–0 罩ad8 13.黛g5 In Vasiuhin – Murey, USSR 1967, the simplest would have been 13...h6N 14.黛h4 罩d7, with excellent control of the d-file.

11...②d4 12.②xf6† 盒xf6 13.鬯g4 空g7 14.c3 ②xb3 15.axb3 鬯d3 16.鬯e2 鬯xe2† 17.空xe2 a6=

A draw was agreed soon in Spassky – Kotov, Sochi 1967.

C2) 6.0-0 \$g4

White is slightly better developed than in the previous line, but the c4-bishop is exposed, as we will see.

7.遑e3



9.@e2

9. Ed1 allows Black to simplify with 9...e5 10.dxe5 ②xe5 11. @e2 ③xc4 12. @xc4 Ee8, with comfortable play in Lizak – D. Popovic, Budapest 2017. White will experience some problems with the e4-pawn and will have to rearrange his rooks on the d- and e-files. 9...e5



10.d5

White's imperfect coordination leaves his centre hanging after: 10.c3 exd4 11.cxd4 ¹⁰/₂e7 12.¹/₂d3 ¹²/₁fe8 13.¹/₂g5 The only way to avoid losing a pawn. 13...h6 14.¹/₂xf6 ¹⁰/₂xf6 15.¹⁰/₂xf6 ¹/₂xf6 16.¹²/₂ac1 Black had comfortable play after 16...¹²/₂e7 in Kuebler – Graf, Germany 2017, but the simplest way to obvious equality is 16...¹²/₂b4N 17.¹/₂b1 c5=, based on the fact that the b2-pawn is hanging.

10...Øe7



11.₩d3

Trying to regain coordination.

The aggressive 11.g4 weakens the dark squares. In Mangini – Cuellar Gacharna, Mar del Plata 1957, Black could have taken advantage of this with 11...心d7N 12.心g3 (preventing ...f5) 12...心c8 13.堂g2 心cb6 14.逸e2 營h4= followed by ...逸h6. Black has stabilized both wings, as his knights slow down the standard queenside pawn attack considerably.



11...句d7N

12. 違e3 f5 13.f3 f4 14. 違f2 g5 15. 凹b3 凹c8

White's queenside attack has not even started, while Black's kingside initiative will soon take on threatening contours. With the pawn on h2 instead of h3, the absence of the light-squared bishops would have left Black short of attacking ideas; but as it is, the kingside weaknesses offer him comfortable targets.



7...②c6 8.h3 違xf3 9.營xf3 e5 10.dxe5

10.d5 0d4 11.Wd1 c6 is comfortable for Black.



10....②xe5!

Deviating from the normal course, as after 10...dxe5 11. Zad1 White retained the initiative in Botta – Habibi, Samnaun 2008.

11.\"e2 @xe4!?

More or less forcing a draw.

Black can also play in slow mode by contenting himself with exchanging the active bishop: 11...心xc4 12.營xc4 罩e8 13.罩ad1 a6 14.a4 罩e6 15.f3 c6 16.營b3 營c7 17.營b6 營e7 Black had counterplay in the centre in Vallejo Pons – Gashimov, Beijing (rapid) 2011.

12.^②xe4 ^③xc4 13.[₩]xc4

13.違g5 does not change much: 13...f6 14.এxf6 逸xf6 15.鬯xc4† d5 16.②xf6† 罩xf6 17.鬯d4 鬯d6=

15.豐xb7 營b8 16.營xe4 營xb2= is also fully equal.

15...b6 16.罩ad1 營e8 17.營xe8 営fxe8 18.b3=

With a symmetrical structure and complete equality in Bykova – Gaprindashvili, Moscow (1) 1962.

C3) 6.₩e2



The most aggressive move, preparing e4-e5.

6...<u>\$g4</u> 7.e5

There is nothing better, as otherwise an exchange on f3 would involve White losing a whole tempo.

7...dxe5

It is important to remove White's control over the e5-square, as after 7...⁽²⁾fd7 8.e6 White has some initiative.

8.dxe5 创fd7



9.e6

Delaying this break only plays into Black's hands, as after 9. 盧f4 ②c6 10.e6 ③d4 the knight emerges into play forcefully while the bishop is exposed on f4: 11.exf7† 查h8 12.營d1 ②b6 13. 盧e2 罩xf7 14. ②xd4 Otherwise White will get doubled pawns on f2 and f3. 14...罝xf4∓ Black is better developed and the g7-bishop is powerful, more than compensating for the slight structural defect.



9...@e5!

This resource would not be available without the previous exchange on e5. The c4-bishop is under attack and White also faces problems on f3.

10.exf7† 杏h8 11.臭d5 幻bc6 12.臭e3 e6


13.h3

13.2xc6 bxc6 weakens White's defence of the f3-square: 14.h3 2xf3† 15.gxf3 2h516.2e4 3xf7[∓] The f3-pawn was weak and White had problems finding a safe place for her king in B. Jaracz – M. Gurevich, Forni di Sopra 2011.

13.奠e4

This was tried in Roth – Steinbacher, Bavaria 2005.



13....\$xf3!?N

13...②xf3†!?N 14.@xf3 @xc3† 15.bxc3 鬥f6 is also good, as the threat to c3 means White cannot avoid being saddled with doubled pawns on the kingside too.

14. \$xf3 @d4 15. \$xd4 \mathbf{W}xd4 16.0-0 \mathbf{W}b6

Black's pressure on the dark squares compensates for the slightly weakened kingside.

8 7 6 ģ 5 () ģ 4 E ES Å 3 Å දී 2 1 f b с d e h а g

13...<u>\$</u>xf3

Black can also play according to the other pattern mentioned above: 13...\2xf3†N 14.\2xf3\2xc3†15.bxc3\2f6=

14.臭xf3 幻d4 15.臭xd4 鬯xd4 16.0-0

In Franzen – Lechtynsky, Sumperk 1984, Black should have defended his b7-pawn with:

16...**₩b6N**=

The evaluation is similar to the line above, with Black's dark-square pressure providing compensation for his slight structural weakness.

Conclusion

As mentioned at the start of this chapter, some of White's 5th move alternatives may transpose elsewhere in the book; for example, 5.\$e3 c6 and 5.\$f4 c6 are covered in Chapters 8 and 13 respectively. The remaining lines which are covered in this chapter do not pose Black any problems. A feature of the chapter is that I have recommended an early ...\$g4 in several of the lines, and examining the positions arising after this move is certainly useful for a wider understanding of the opening.



Austrian Attack



5th & 6th Move Alternatives

Variation Index

1.e4 d6 2.d4 2f6 3.2c3 g6 4.f4

111
115
116
119
122
122
125
126
127
130





C1) after 9.ॾੈe4



D1) after 14.20e4



1.e4 d6 2.d4 幻f6 3.幻c3 g6 4.f4 皇g7



From the first moment I became interested in the Pirc Defence, I have always thought that the Austrian Attack is the most challenging system. White builds up a massive pawn centre, creating the positional threat of e4-e5, which in many cases leaves Black with a cramped position and offers White chances for a direct attack against the king.

Black's thematic break in the centre with ...e7-e5 is more problematic than in other systems, so he frequently resorts to ...c7-c5. After the centre stabilizes with d4-d5, White can consider the consistent attack based on $\frac{16}{2}$ e1-h4, f4-f5, $\frac{1}{2}$ h6 and $\frac{16}{2}$ g5.

The only drawback of this system is that it slightly delays White's development, allowing Black to fight against the centre by dynamic means. White received a serious warning about the dangers awaiting him if he treats the centre superficially in one of the first recorded games with the Pirc Defence:

5.②f3 0-0 6.흹d3 ②bd7 7.e5 ②e8 8.0-0 c5

White has not sustained his initial aggression with h2-h4, or e5-e6 followed by h2-h4, and Black has managed to undermine the centre very effectively. The next two moves are obvious concessions, giving up the shaky centre without a fight and activating the black knights.



9.exd6 2xd6 10.dxc5 2xc5

With great play for Black in Weiss – Paulsen, Nuremberg 1883.

Over the years I have systematically refrained from embarking on theoretical discussions in what is objectively the main line, 5...c5. My wish to avoid forced lines was backed up by my excellent results in the lines 5...0-0.6.2d3a6 followed by ...c5, and 6.2e3 b6, but over time I became aware that refraining from 5...c5 is equivalent to giving up hope of taking advantage of White's delayed development.

When writing this book I finally took the bull by its horns and made the early central break our repertoire line.

Returning to the position after 4...&g7, White has a choice between the comparatively rare A) **5.e5**, B) **5.a3** and C) **5.**&d3, and the absolute main line D) **5.**&f3, which is introduced towards the end of this chapter before being examined further in the next two chapters.

Now and at later branching points I have covered the minor and unpopular lines in lesser detail, reserving space, time and energy for the critical variations.

A) 5.e5

Due to White's completely undeveloped kingside, this is premature. After the insertion of 5. 1630-0 the early central break is far more consistent, for two main reasons -2613 is useful in offering White attacking chances after h2-h4, and the black king may become a target. But under the current circumstances Black has little to fear.

5.... 创fd7

The most flexible answer, preparing to undermine the centre with ...c5.

6.🖾f3

White does not achieve anything positive with:

6.h4 c5 7.h5 cxd4 8.h6

Hoping to force ... £f8.

8.[™]xd4 loses a pawn without any compensation: 8...dxe5 9.[™]f2 ⁽²⁾C6 10.hxg6 hxg6 11.[™]xh8[†] ⁽²⁾xh8[∓] Black had an extra pawn and normal development in Tredup – Oetzel, Krumpa 1972.



8...ĝxe5!

The best solution to the global tension. Black eliminates an important pawn, avoiding the opening of the h-file by hxg7 at the same time.

9.fxe5 dxc3 10.exd6

In Napoli – V. Moskalenko, Barcelona 2012, Black played 10... ∰a5, retaining an

advantage, but I would opt for the more flexible developing move:

10...∜c6N∓

 $10...0-0N\mp$ is also strong. With all his pieces on the first rank, White has no chances to exploit the weakness of the g7-square, so Black can safely enjoy his material advantage.



6...c5

Attacking the enemy pawn chain at its base, as recommended by Nimzowitsch.

7.exd6

White tries to stabilize the position and prove that the knight's retreat was a mere loss of time, since under the changed circumstances it will have to return to f6. But after the e5-pawn vanishes, the weakness of the e4-square becomes a telling factor. White has two main alternatives: a) 7.e6 and b) 7.dxc5.





This gives up the vulnerable pawn to weaken Black's structure in the king's neighbourhood, but at the same time it clears the diagonal for the g7-bishop.

7...fxe6 8.ĝe3

A new try by a top-level grandmaster to bring some fresh life to the position.

The old line went 8.2g5 2f6 9.dxc5 2c6, with comfortable development. Black's more numerous pawn islands are compensated for by White's slight delay in development and the weaknesses left behind by his advancing pawns. A classical game between two Soviet grandmasters continued: 10.堂c4 Forcing Black to release the tension and weaken the e5-square. 10...d5 11. \$b5 d4 12. \$xc6 + bxc6 13. De2 Wa5† 14. Wd2 Wxc5 15. Dxd4 Wd5= Black's structure looks even more shattered than before, but the queen's activity, the bishop pair and the possibility of obtaining activity in the centre with either ...e5 orc5 offered Black entirely adequate play in Vasiukov - Tseshkovsky, Leningrad 1974.



In Giri – Ivanchuk, Leon 2013, Black could have safely retained his extra pawn with: 13...b6N 14.逸c4 筥f8 15.g3 e5∓

b) 7.dxc5

This is best met with the asymmetric:

7...dxe5

Fighting against White's main trump, his space advantage in the centre.

8.fxe5

8...0–0 9.e6

The pawn was doomed anyway, so White uses it to provoke some positional damage.

9...fxe6 10.奠c4 むxc5 11.뺄e2 むc6 12.奠e3 뺄b6 13.奠b3

Shielding the b2-pawn and threatening ∅a4. 13...≝a5 14.0–0



14...Øxb3

14...b6N, preparing ...\$a6, is a worthwhile alternative. After 15.4b5 \$xb2 followed by ...\$g7, White has compensation for the pawns but no real threats.

15.axb3 ≌h5!N

In the absence of White's king's bishop, the queen belongs on the light squares. On h5 it is not only safe, but also restricts White's attacking possibilities. The passive 15... @c7, played in Bronstein – Tringov, Reykjavik 1974, could have caused Black some trouble in finding a safe square for the queen after 16. b5N.

16.De4

The only way to harass Her Majesty. 16....創d7 17.创g3 幽g4=



Once again, White's compensation for the pawn due to his better structure is something that Black can perfectly well live with. One important aspect is that $18.\mathbb{Z}a4$ fails to bother the queen, since after 18... (204) there is no time for c2-c3 as the rook is hanging. And if $19.\mathbb{Z}xa7 \cong xa7 20.$ ($204 \times xa7 \approx 1000$) as a lot of activity.

7**...0–0**



8.<u>\$</u>e3

White does better to develop, as pawngrabbing offers Black the initiative: 8.dxe7? ∰xe7† 9.ዿe2 cxd4∓

Black is better developed and his d-pawn

ensures him a space advantage. Eliminating it turns a bad position into a worse one by opening the d-file for the enemy rook:

10.¹/₂xd4? ¹/₂b6 11.¹/₂cb5 ¹/₂d8 12.c3 a6 13.¹/₂a3 ¹/₂c6 14.¹/₂ac2 ¹/₂f1 5.¹/₂f1

15.0−0 &xc2 16.[™]xc2 &xd4[†] wins the bishop on e2.

15.... يxc2 16. 營xc2 公xd4 17.cxd4 邕ac8-+

Black had a decisive initiative along the open files in Rakic – Petronic, Cetinje 1993.

8...exd6

Returning the game to a normal course of development.

9.₩d2 🖄c6 10.0-0-0

This is not only the fastest way of getting the king away from the centre, but also the relatively best way of defending b2. The plan of castling short is slow, allowing Black to obtain a strong initiative with ...增b6 followed by ..., 268 and ... 公f6.

10...₩a5

Not only putting pressure on the a2-pawn, but also defending the c5-pawn in order to allow ...②f6.



11.a3

This does not create problems either.

11....②f6 12.奠c4

Or if 12.心b5 營xd2† 13.鼍xd2 心e4N 14.鼍d1 違f5 with comfortable play. 12...違g4

Increasing the pressure on the d4-pawn and more or less forcing White to open the d-file.



13.dxc5

13.d5 followed by ...a6 and ...b5 will soon endanger not only the white king, but also the d5-pawn.

13...dxc5

Black has active play and it is already White who needs to be careful, but in Schaefer – Schlemermeyer, Germany 1993, he was not: 14.2912

However, Black missed his chance to start a dangerous attack:



14...b5!N 15.\$xb5

15.②xb5 莒ab8 is no better, for if 16.堂d2 Black has 16...②e4-+ winning material. 15.... ④e4 16. ②xe4 鬯xb5 17.c3 ②a5 Followed by ... 違f5, with nasty threats.



11....包f6 12.h3

Preventing ... \$g4.

12....莒e8 13.皇c4N

Only in part an active move. White prepares to defend his king with \$b3.

Under the present circumstances, the familiar manoeuvre 13.0b5?, as played in A. Toma – Sfarlog, Predeal 2006, should have led to disaster after 13...0b4!N 14.a3 0e4 15.0e1 a $6\mp$, when many white pieces, including the king, are vulnerable.

13....ĝf5 14.ĝb3

14.g4 can be met in many ways, but the simplest is 14...cxd4 15. 2xd4 2e4 16. 2xe4 2xe4 17. Eh2 d5, with a comfortable version of the isolani due to Black's control over the e4-square.

14...c4!

The fastest way of achieving counterplay. At the cost of one pawn Black clears the c-file and gains a tempo for advancing his b-pawn.

14...b5 would lose the c5-pawn with unclear consequences.

15.<u>\$</u>xc4



15...b5! 16.ĝb3

Taking the second pawn clears the b-file and allows Black to gain even more tempos for his attack.

16.奠xb5 创b4 17.奠xe8 档xe8 18.邕c1 创e4 wins the queen.

16. 2xb5 2b4 17. 2xd6

17.\mathbb{Z}c1 \mathbb{Z}ac8 leaves White hopelessly hanging.

17...②e4 18.奠xf7†

The brave bishop will perish soon, but 18. (1)xe4 (2)xe4 (19. Ec1 Eac8 20. 2)b3 Exc2 offers Black a decisive attack.

White has four pawns for the piece, but Black's pressure persists.



16...b4 17.②e2 包e4 18.凹c1 凹b5

Planninga5 or 2a5, with obvious compensation for the pawn.

B) 5.a3



Knowing that the main drawback of the Austrian Attack is the delay in White's development, this move looks completely illogical. Can White really afford to waste another tempo just like that?

However, things are a bit more complicated. Black's only way to make the position dynamic at an early stage is to break withc5, but then a2-a3 proves really useful by supporting b2-b4. This is a good illustration of the not-soobvious truth that pawn moves can contribute to overall development.

5...0-0 6. 4f3 c5!?

During the long years when I had 6...②a6 in my repertoire against the main line, I was ready to react with 6...②a6, when 7.黛d3 c5 8.d5 黛g4 9.0–0 transposes to my systems. But here, too, a2-a3 is useful, preventing ...②b4 and thus allowing a quick queen transfer to the kingside starting with 營e1. Or if 8...②c7 preparing ...b7-b5, the a-pawn would slow down Black's queenside attack. I believe Black's position is viable anyway, but for this book I preferred to analyse a variation that suits the spirit of the main lines more faithfully.

7.dxc5 ≌a5 8.b4

The only way to give meaning to 6.a3. Otherwise White would be practically a tempo down with respect to the normal lines.

8...₩c7

Black pins the c5-pawn but, as we shall see, regaining the pawn will require a certain accuracy. White is underdeveloped, but Black's queen, having already spent two tempos, is likely to be exposed, so play may be expected to become rather sharp.

White can choose between **B1**) **9.e5** and **B2**) **9.2d2**.

9.奠b2

This simplifies Black's task.

9...dxc5 10.e5

In Veys – Solozhenkin, Vaujany 2013, Black should not have refrained from:



10....\dag{8!N 11.exf6!?

The only way to keep some life in the position.

11.營c1 allows Black to solve his development problems with natural moves: 11...公d5 12.公xd5 舀xd5 13.營e3 象f5=

11...罩xd1† 12.罩xd1 exf6 13.勾d5 幽d7 14.勾xf6†

Otherwise Black would step away either with his king $(... \mathring{B}h8)$ or his queen $(... \textcircled{B}e8\dagger$ or

...^wa4), leaving White with just reasonable compensation for the queen.

14...ģxf6 15.≅xd7 ⁽²⁾xd7 16.ģxf6 ⁽²⁾xf6 17.bxc5 ģe6=

Black will retrieve the pawn soon, with perfect equality.

B1) 9.e5

This counter-break secures White's queenside space advantage, but gives up the centre.

9...dxe5



10.fxe5

The knight jump to b5 needs investigating at practically every step:

10.∕2b5 ₩d7!N

Threatening to deprive White of the right to castle.

10...增c6?! 11.创xe5 鬯e4† 12.鬯e2 鬯xe2† 13.逸xe2 ②c6 14.逸b2 ③d5 15.g3± allowed White to consolidate his extra pawn in Trent – Romanov, Chalkidiki 2003.

White has a choice now:

- a) 11.②xe5 營xd1† 12.营xd1 ②c6 13.遑b2 13.②xc6? bxc6 14.②d4 ②g4-+ wins material.
- 13... 🖄 d5 14.g3 a6 15. 🖄 c3 🖄 e3†



16.\$c1

16.堂d2 fights against the intruding knight, but exposes the king, allowing Black to gain a tempo for developing his rook: 16...公xf1† 17.置hxf1 ②xe5 18.fxe5 盒h3 19.置fe1 罩fd8† Black holds the initiative due to White's poor coordination.

Black will retrieve the pawn soon, and his initiative compensates for White's bishop pair.



14.Øc7

16...②cxe5 17.②xe5 ②xe5 18.③xe7† 峦h8

Threatening fe8 with fatal consequences due to the X-ray pressure along the e-file. 19. 皇全 約分 20. 皇太子 象b2

19.奧e2 创f3† 20.奧xf3 奧xb2

Because of the renewed threat of ..., Efe8, Black regains the pawn with approximate equality in a still complicated position.



10...ጃd8

As in a previous line, it is useful to control the d-file before choosing a square for the knight.

10...②g4 11.②d5 營d7 12.遑f4 ②c6 13.遑b5± was not entirely satisfactory for Black in Slingerland – De Wit, Haarlem 2011.



11.覍d3 创h5

The knight will be temporarily passive, but it prevents \$\overline{4}f4\$ and the knight would otherwise find itself on an unfavourable path.

For instance: 11...2g4 12.2b5 md7 13.me2a6 14.h3 axb5 (14...2h6 15.2bd4± leaves Black too passive) 15.hxg4 2c6 16.2b4± Although the position is not entirely clear, Black's compensation for the pawn is not obvious to me.



12.⁄Db5

Now is the best moment for the knight jump.

12.0-0 🖄 сб

This renders 创b5 ineffective in view of 曾b8.

13.ĝb2 ĝg4

Black still has to be careful: 13...心xe5? 14. ②b5! 營b8 15. 奠xe5 奠xe5 16. ③xe5 營xe5 17. 營f3 奠e6 18. 罩ae1+- sees White gain an overwhelming initiative.

14.e6

White willingly gives away the doomed pawn in order to reduce Black's activity.

14.... 違xe6 15. ②b5 營f4 16. 違xg7 ②xg7= Black has little to complain about.

12...[₩]d7

The queen is forced to block the bishop's development, but Black will soon gain a

tempo with ...a6, helping him to regain his coordination.

13.0–0 ⁽²)c6 14.⁽¹⁾e1

The best way to defend the pawn, since 14.@b2 a6 15.@c3 @f47 allows the activation of the knight from the edge.

The familiar 14.e6 does not work due to 14...鬯xe6, and 15.心c7 is ineffective since the a1-rook is also hanging.

14.ĝd2

This demands some accuracy.



14....ĝxe5!

This paradoxical move, giving away the fianchettoed bishop, is the only way to equalize completely. The alternatives are inferior:

14...a6? 15.e6! fxe6 16.∅c3± 14...∅xe5 15.∅xe5 ೩xe5 16.∰f3±



15.¤b1

The point is that 15.[™] xe5 [™] keaves White's bishops hanging.

15...ĝf6 16.₩e1 b6!?=

The best way to continue developing and to neutralize White's space advantage on the queenside.

17.cxb6 axb6

With complex play and mutual chances.



14...a6!

Black needs to make the d4-square available for the queen in order to retrieve the pawn.

15. 친c3 친xe5 16. 친xe5 쌜d4† 17. 한h1 쌜xe5=



Chances were even in Genzling – Solodovnichenko, Nancy 2014, though play could turn in either's side favour due to the highly asymmetric structure.

B2) 9.臭d2



This looks less natural than 9.2b2, mentioned on page 116, but it has the merit of shielding the queen along the d-file.

9...dxc5 10.e5 \d8!N

Nevertheless, Black reacts in the same way as after 9.202, even though this already involves a piece sacrifice. This is not the only moment when Black needs to take radical measures.

Normal moves fail to equalize:

10...心h5 11.心d5 營d7 12.逸e3 莒d8 13.c4 心c6 In Sedina – Gaponenko, Plovdiv 2014, White should have continued her development with 14.逸e2N±, making the h5-knight look miserable.

10...cxb4 is a less auspicious version of the piece sacrifice: 11.②b5 營b6 12.exf6 盒xf6 13.axb4 盒xa1 14.營xa1± Ivanchuk – Gardner, Edmonton 2015.



11.exf6

11. C1 add is comfortable for Black.

Or if 11.b5, reducing the tension, Black's most flexible knight jump is 11...'公g4!? preparing to recycle it with ...公h6 and to break in the centre with ...f6.

11...<u>\$</u>xf6

White is a piece up, but finds himself under a double pin, while his king is still in the centre.



12.De4

Other moves lead to a similar material balance and approximately equal chances.

12.豐c1 cxb4 13.创b5 豐c6 14.axb4 盒xa1 15.豐xa1 盒g4 16.豐c3 盒xf3 17.gxf3 a6 18.创a3 b5



19.h4

The fastest way to activate the rook.

19....鬯xc3 20.奠xc3 ②c6 21.h5 ②d4

The material balance is about even and White's bishop pair is compensated for by Black's activity.

22.hxg6 fxg6 23.並f2 国ac8 24.奠a1 ④xc2 25.奠h3 国c6 26.④xc2 国d2† 27.並g3 国dxc2 28.奠d4 国c1=

After the rook exchange, White has no way to play for a win.

12.De5 Dc6

Immediately fighting against White's most active piece.

13.^公xc6 bxc6

Avoiding 13... "xc6 14. "f3!.



14.₩c1

Trying to keep the material advantage intact. If 14.创e4 ≜xa1 15.營xa1 cxb4 16.axb4 皇f5 17.创g3, Black can start his attack with: 17... 罩xd2 18. 公xf5 gxf5 19. 空xd2 營xf4† 20. 空d1 營g4† 21. 奠e2 罩d8† 22. 空e1 營xb4† 23.c3 營f4 Three pawns and the continuing attack compensate for the missing piece.

14.營f3 allows a similar tactical operation: 14...cxb4 15.axb4 邕xd2 16.堂xd2 營d6† 17.壺c1 皇g4 18.營e3 營xb4 19.邕a4 營xc3 20.營xc3 皇xc3 21.邕c4 皇a5 22.邕xc6 皇b6 Black has excellent compensation for the exchange due to better development, the strong dark-squared bishop and the vulnerable white king.



14...cxb4 15.axb4 c5 16.b5 逸b7 17.罩a3 White has managed to free himself from the queenside pins but now starts to experience similar problems on the other wing.

Trying to keep the centre closed.

18...\$h4† 19.g3 \$xh1 20.gxh4 gxf5

With material equality and highly unclear play.

12.... এxa1 13. 鬯xa1 cxb4 14. 息d3

14.axb4 幽xc2 causes White some stability problems.

14...b3!

Undermining the newly developed bishop.

15.h4

As in a previous line, this is the most effective way to get counterplay.

The main alternative is:

15.De5 f6 16.Df3

Or if 16.ģc4† ∲g7 17.ģxb3 ģf5!?∓ White's position is hanging.

16...bxc2 17.營a2† 啓g7 18.奠xc2 鬯b6



Preventing the king from castling, at least until Black makes progress with his development. A possible continuation is: 19.②eg5 fxg5 20.②xg5 營f6 21.②e4 營b6 With a draw by repetition.



Both sides have progressed a lot in carrying out their plans, but now it is time for White to force a draw, since he is already an exchange down.

18.\a2† &f8 19.\b2=

C) 5. \$d3



This slightly unnatural move order, developing the bishop earlier than the knight, has become popular at high levels recently. By overprotecting e4, White inhibits 5...c5, when after 6.dxc5 Black does not have anything better than 6...dxc5, offering White an advantage in the centre.

Black could of course play 5...0-0, but after 6.0f3 play would transpose to the so-called closed variations, which are not part of our repertoire.

5...Øc6

It is natural to start by attacking the undefended central pawn.

The other way of doing it is 5...e5, but recent top-level practice has shown that Black experiences some problems after: 6.dxe5 dxe5 7.26f3 exf4 (after 7...26c6 8.fxe5 26g4 9.26g5 10.12e2 followed by 0–0–0, Black's queen was awfully placed in Xie Jianjun – Tong Yuanming, Beijing 1997) 8.2xf4 0–0 9.12d2 26c6 10.0–0–0 \pm Several games, including Karjakin – Wang Hao, Beijing 2013, have shown that White has attacking chances.

Now White can play the resolute **C1**) **6.e5** or the developing **C2**) **6.**约f3.

6.d5 ⁽²⁾b4 followed by ...c6 offers Black comfortable play.

C1) 6.e5



To orient ourselves in the present variation, it is useful to compare it to the more popular 5.26f3 0-0 6.2d3 266 7.e5 line. For the early fight in the centre, 20g1-f3 is more useful than ...0-0, which makes the line examined in this section easier to handle with Black than the aforementioned set-up. In some cases Black may consider castling long.

6...dxe5 7.fxe5

The most consistent move, keeping White's centre mobile.

After 7.dxe5 ∅d5 8.∅xd5 ₩xd5 9.∅f3 ዿg4 10.0–0 ∅b4 Black has easy play.

7... 包d5 8. 包f3



8...\$g4

Black should not lower the rhythm of his attack against d4.

9.<u>\$</u>e4

A sensible alternative is:

9.∕∆xd5 [™]xd5 10.c3

Now Black can utilize the fact that he has not yet castled with:



10...0-0-0!N

Threatening ... 🖄 xe5.

I was unable to find full equality after 10...f6, as was played in Ferraroni – Krause, corr. 1989.

It is useful to know that 10...0-0?! transposes to a well-known line of the 5.26f3 0-0 6.2d3 2c6 7.e5 variation, where White has amassed a huge score after 11.26e2.

Now 11...②xe5? loses material after 12.ዿe4. 12.gxf3

12.營xf3? loses a pawn to 12...營xf3 13.gxf3 ②xe5干.

12...[©]xd4!

Black has to make something happen before White completes his development.

13.cxd4 [₩]xd4 14.ዿc4 ዿxe5

Black has three pawns for a bishop and an active position, while White is underdeveloped and his king is vulnerable. If we take into account that the potential endgame after all pieces are exchanged (with the exception of the pawns and the lightsquared bishop) will be a draw, we can infer that Black's position is sound.

15.ĝxf7!?

This is the most principled move, but of course risky.



19.<u>ĝ</u>xd4

This is the only possible move order, since 19. $\pm b3$? $\pm xe3^{\dagger} 20$. $\underline{\mathbb{W}}xe3 \ \underline{\mathbb{G}}d2^{\dagger}! 21$. $\underline{\mathbb{C}}e1$ (21. $\underline{\mathbb{W}}xd2$? $\underline{\mathbb{W}}xf3^{\dagger} 22$. $\underline{\mathbb{C}}g1 \ \underline{\mathbb{W}}g4^{\dagger} 23$. $\underline{\mathbb{W}}g2$ $\underline{\mathbb{W}}d4^{\dagger}$ mates on the next move) 21... $\underline{\mathbb{W}}g2$ offers Black a strong attack.

19...¤xf7=

With such active major pieces, Black will not have problems forcing a draw by perpetual.

Let us now return to 9. 2e4, which was played in Hauge – K. Lie, Fagernes 2016.



9...@xc3!N 10.bxc3 2a5

The resulting structure is interesting, and bears a certain resemblance to the Grünfeld Defence. Despite White's massive centre, Black's chances, based on the breaks ...f6 and ...c5, are not worse.

11.0-0

11.**\$a**3

This exposes the bishop too soon.

11...0−0 12.\[™]e2

In the event of 12.0–0 0c4, the threat of ...0e3 forces the bishop to return.



12...c5!

This well-timed pawn sacrifice leaves White's queenside hanging.

13.奠xc5 邕c8

Threatening ... gxe5.

14.�b4 ∅c6 15.₩d2

Defending d4, for if 15.ዿa3? ₩a5-+.

15.... 違xf3 16. 違xf3 ②xb4 17. cxb4 鬯b6

Due to his lagging development, White cannot keep his extra pawn.



18.c3 \[fd8]

White does best to give up any hopes for an advantage with 19.0–0 ዿxe5, since 19.營b2 營c7 20.\\[C]1 \]xd4∓ makes things worse.



11...0-0 12.h3

Aiming for a central expansion.

The attacking plan based on 12.¹⁰/₂e1 runs into 12...f5, with adequate counterplay.

White's central position looks impressive but, as so often in the Grünfeld Defence, his pawns are vulnerable.

14...b6 15.c4 c5 16.營c3 f5 17.臭d3 e6

Black has excellent counterplay.



C2) 6.创f3

So far this has been White's choice at grandmaster level.

6...<u>\$g</u>4

Once again, Black does well to delay castling so as to speed up the counterattack against White's centre.

7**.e**5

White can no longer maintain his structural integrity.

7.彙e3 allows the thematic central break under favourable circumstances, since Black has achieved maximum pressure on d4: 7...e5 8.fxe5 dxe5 9.d5 创d4 10.彙e2 奧xf3 11.彙xf3 0-0 12.營d3 c6 13.dxc6 bxc6 14.0-0 營a5 With active play for Black in Karjakin – Stocek, Jurmala 2015.

7.d5 创d4 8.奠e3

This gives Black a comfortable choice:



8...Ød7

Alternatively: 8...c5 9.dxc6 黛xf3 10.gxf3 ②xc6 11.營d2 In Huschenbeth – Donchenko, Germany 2016, 11...d5N would have offered Black excellent play against White's weaknesses.

9.0–0 c6 10.∰d2 ∅xf3† 11.gxf3 ≜h3 12.≡fe1 ∅f6 13.dxc6 bxc6

With complex play and approximately equal chances in Rogers – Nijboer, Netherlands 1993.



7...ĝxf3!

A well-timed exchange, forcing White to spoil his structure.

8.gxf3

The other recapture cannot be recommended: 8.≝xf3 ∕∆xd4 Black is already better, for instance:

9.₩xb7

White cannot make use of the apparently hanging knights with 9. $\underline{\mathbb{B}}e3$? dxe5 10.fxe5 due to 10... $\underline{\mathbb{C}}g4$ -+.

9...dxe5 10.fxe5 🖄 d7

The e5-pawn is doomed and Black is better developed. White's attempt to fight for the initiative is likely to make things worse:

11.②d5 0–0 12.增xc7 ②xe5 13.②xe7† 空h8 14.豐xd8 罩axd8 15.0–0 罩d7 16.盒g5 ②xd3 17.cxd3 f6

Black won material in A. Kiss – Hever, Budapest 1981.



This is the Old Master's way of treating the position, apparently better than the modern version.

After 9...0-0 10.營d2 White retained some vague chances of consolidating his space advantage in Karjakin – Ding Liren, Heixiazi 2015.

10.h4

Parrying ... Wh4†.

10...0-0 11.營d2 dxe5 12.dxe5 创b4 13.0-0-0 创xd3† 14.cxd3 f6 15.exf6 罩xf6 16.空b1 罩f5∓



Black had the better structure and comfortable play on the light squares in Fedorowicz – Smyslov, New York 1989.

D) 5.创f3

This is by far the most popular option, and it is logical that it is the main move, as it continues developing naturally.

5...c5



Against most other systems Black cannot break up the enemy centre so soon, but this is possible (and recommended) here, due to the time spent by White in building up his massive centre.

In this chapter we look at the unpopular **D1**) **6.d5** and **D2**) **6.§**e2.

The classical 6.dxc5 is the subject of the next chapter, and then the topical 6.2b5† is covered in Chapter 7.

6.e5 2 fd7 transposes to line A at the start of this chapter.

D1) 6.d5

This version of the Schmid Benoni with the pawn on f4 is not too challenging. Black is not forced to transpose to my old repertoire line with 6... (2)a6, but can break in the centre with ...e6, keeping open all the options for the knight depending on White's answer.

The most consistent move, consolidating the e4-pawn.

7.奠e2

This keeps the d-file open, anticipating ... e6, but allows the active:

7...b5!



8.e5

8.ዿxb5 @xe4 9.@xe4 ₩a5† 10.@c3 ዿxc3† 11.bxc3 ₩xb5∓ leaves White with a chronic weakness on d5.

8...dxe5 9.fxe5 🖄g4 10.\u00e2xb5

10.\$f4 fails to maintain the integrity of the centre after: 10...b4 11.\$e4 \$d77



10...a6!

A strong intermediate move, forcing White to define his intentions with respect to the bishop.

10...②xe5 11.③xe5 \$\$xe5 12.e2 offered White the initiative in Artigas Bellapart – Gerona Maura, Valencia 1995.

11.��a4N

A few games have gone 11.違c4, and now Black's best is 11...心d7!N 12.e6 ②de5, with a serious initiative for the pawn. Moves such as ...習b6 and ...c4 may be expected soon. 11.違e2 is more passive, depriving White of

the possibility Be2 and allowing 11...Oxe5 as, for instance, in Van Brummelen – Ootes, Utrecht 2015.



11...Ôxe5 12.Ôxe5 \$\$xe5 13.0-0 The point is that 13.∰e2 \$\$xc3† 14.bxc3

13...Ød7

13...\$d7 and 13...\$a5 are also playable, but the developing text move is the most principled.

14.<u>ĝ</u>h6



14...¤b8!

The best way of preventing White from gaining any kingside initiative.

Parrying both ... $\Xi xb2$ and the kingside attack with ... $\mathring{B}h6$.

17...¤b4

Followed by ... **B**b8 and ... **E**h4 with great compensation for the exchange. The bishops are superb and the white king is vulnerable.



7...e6

As mentioned above, it is useful to break in the centre before defining the knight's intentions – and before the white pawns become dangerous, of course.

8.dxe6

The only way of keeping some dynamism.

8.0-0

The exchanges on d5 will make the position static, with comfortable play for Black.



8...exd5 9. 2xd5

9.exd5 \triangle a6 leads to an improved version of 'my' systems, as Black does not have to fear e4-e5 anymore. 10.f5 \triangle b4 and White cannot sustain his attack along the b1-h7 diagonal.

9....¹2xd5 10.exd5 ¹2d7 11.f5 ¹2f6 12.fxg6 hxg6 13.c4



13...b5!

Black has stabilized the kingside and opens the queenside in his favour.

14.cxb5 營b6 15.違c4 a6 16.bxa6 違xa6 17.違xa6 邕xa6

With a perfect version of the Benko Gambit, since the white queenside pawns and d-pawn are weak, Zaragatski – Naiditsch, Germany 1997.

8...fxe6

The most principled answer, keeping control of the centre even if it delays the bishop's development.

8...\$xe6 unnecessarily exposes the bishop to f4-f5, with reasonable attacking chances for White.

9.0-0 🖄 c6 10. 🖄 h1

A few games have featured:

10.2g5

Black should continue developing and regrouping.

10....覚d7N



11.₩e1

11.f5 is neutralized by 11...鬯e7, soon followed by ...②e5.

Or if 11.²e1 Black can try to exploit the rook's departure from the f-file with 11...²h5 12.g3 ²d4 13.²e3 a6 14.a4 ²e8, followed by ...²c6 and ...b5.

11...Øb4

After exchanging the important bishop Black does not need to fear a kingside attack any more. On top of this, White must also reckon with the possibility of ...c4.



10...a6

Hoping either to weaken the b4-square or to gain queenside space.

The untested 10...¹e7N followed by ...<u>2</u>d7 is also entirely possible.

11.e5

The only challenging move, since 11.a4 d5 12.e5 徵g4 13.h3 徵h6 followed by …论b4 offers Black comfortable play.

11...dxe5 12.fxe5 包g4 13.皇g5 鬯c7 14.包e4

So far we have followed Gligoric – Kottnauer, Hastings 1968.



14...<u>\$</u>d7!N

As on so many occasions, developing is the best way to deal with early threats. In the game Black immediately captured on e5, but $\exists xf8 \dagger$ a couple of moves later offered White attacking chances. By connecting rooks, Black avoids this problem.

15.②f6†

This is what Kottnauer might have feared.

15. Dxc5 Dcxe5 attacks the c5-knight and threatens ... Dxf3, when h2 would be hanging.

15.₩e1 @gxe5 16.₩g3 \araleac8

By defending the queen, Black unpins the e5-knight and has no worries.

17.覍f4

The only way to apply any pressure.



17...¤xf4!

Freeing Black completely of any worries of an attack and yielding him two wonderful bishops.

18.₩xf4 @xd3 19.₩xc7 \xc7 20.cxd3 @b4∓

Black has more than enough compensation for the exchange.

15...\$xf6 16.exf6



16...Ød4!

Suddenly the h2-square is in danger.

17.營d2 ②xf3 18.gxf3 ②xf6

White's bishop pair offers him some compensation for the pawn, but with his king also vulnerable along the h1-a8 diagonal, he cannot be better at all.





White intends to transpose to the classical Dragon, which is not really a frightening system. However, we do not need to study Dragon theory, since for this set-up f2-f4 is premature, allowing Black to achieve excellent play by setting up pressure on the centre earlier than usual in the main Dragon lines.

6...cxd4 7. 2xd4 2c6 8. ge3

8.2b3 avoids the lines indicated below, but after 8...0–0 9.0–0 Black has 9...b5! with great play. The difference is that in the usual lines he has to prepare the advance of the b-pawn with ...a6. After 10.2xb5?! Black dismantled the white centre with 10... $b6^{\dagger}$ 11.b12xe4! in Castaneda – D. Gurevich, Chicago 1994.

8...0-0



9.₩d2

9.0–0 [₩]b6

This causes White problems on d4. The immediate threat is ... Dxe4.

10.₩d3

10.2f5? Wxb2-+ leaves the white knights hanging.

10...②g4 11.違xg4

After 11.62d5 &xd4! 12.62xb6 $\&xe3^{\dagger}$ 13.22h1 $\&xb6^{\mp}$ the three minor pieces enjoying reasonable stability are slightly stronger than the queen, as confirmed by practice and certified by engines.

11...ĝxd4 12.ĝxd4 \vee xd4† 13.\vee xd4 \vee xd4 14.ĝd1

This has long been known to be level, but possibly the most convincing way to equality is:



With a probable draw as both sides have weaknesses, Radulski – Azarov, Bad Wiessee 2010.

9.@b3

Since I was very young I have known that this move order allows:

9...e5!

Obtaining the e5-square for the knight.



10.fxe5

Or 10.0–0 exf4 11.&xf4 Ξ e8 12. $\$ xd6 $\$ b6† 13.&h1 &xe4 14.&xe4 Ξ xe4 15.&f3 Ξ e6 16. $\$ d2 Ξ e8 17.c3 &e6, with comfortable play for Black in Tiviakov – Bruzon, Bogota 2011.

10...②xe5 11.0-0 ②fg4

The neutral 11... \$\\$e6N is also quite all right. 12.\$d4 \$\\$h6 13.\$d5 \$\\$e6 14.\$xg4 \$\\$xg4 15.②f6† ②xf6 16.奠xf6 鬯b6† 17.空h1 罩ae8 18.②d4 奠g7 19.奠xg7 亞xg7

With equal chances in Tiviakov – Van Kampen, Netherlands 2011.



9....²xd4

Preparing immediate counterplay in the centre.

10.\u00e9xd4 e5 11.\u00f2e3

White does not get much with 11.fxe5 either: 11...dxe5 12.ģe3 (12.ģxe5? is simply bad: 12...[™]xd2† 13.☆xd2 ②xe4† 14.③xe4 ĝxe5∓ Black had retrieved the pawn and gained the bishop pair, while the white king was vulnerable in Kazonas – Jankauskas, Platelia 2000.) 12...ĝe6 With normal play for Black in Fritsche – Dutra Neto, email 2009.

11...exf4 12. gd4N

The only try to retain control of the position.

12. $\hat{\mathbb{Q}}$ xf4 $\mathbb{Z}e8\mp$ has left White with problems in the centre in several games.

12...d5!

The simplest – Black eliminates the weakness of the d-pawn, activating his position.

In order to keep the d5-pawn White needs to exchange on f6, but this offers Black excellent play on the dark squares and at least equal chances.

Conclusion

Even though other systems may have been more popular in recent years, I cannot avoid considering the Austrian Attack the main challenge against the Pirc. The lines covered in this chapter, however, are not the most critical, although it is important to know how to react to 5.a3 and 5.2d3 to avoid being lured into lines outside our repertoire.

While 5...c5 is a logical reaction to 5. (2) f3, it can lead to a wide range of interesting positions. The less common 6.d5 and 6. (2) lead to Schmid Benoni and Dragon positions, but with f2-f4 played somewhat prematurely, resulting in good versions for Black.





Austrian Attack



6.dxc5

Variation Index

1.e4 d6 2.d4 2 f6 3.2 c3 g6 4.f4 \$g7 5.2 f3 c5 6.dxc5

6...₩a5

A) 7. ^w d3	134
B) 7. ^w d4 0–0	139
B1) 8.cxd6	139
B2) 8. gd2	141
C) 7.ዿੈd3 ₩xc5 8.₩e2 0–0 9.ዿੈe3 ₩a5 10.0–0 ዿੈg4	147
C1) 11.罩ad1 勾c6 12.奠c4 勾h5	148
C11) 13.邕d5	149
C12) 13.鬯d3N	152
C13) 13.奠b3	153
C2) 11.凹e1	157
C3) 11.h3 &xf3 12.\#xf3 \c6	162
C31) 13.营h1	163
C32) 13.a3	167



B2) note to 10.₩b5



C2) after 17.cxd3



1.e4 d6 2.d4 ⁽²⁾f6 3.⁽²⁾c3 g6 4.f4 ⁽²⁾g7 5.⁽²⁾f3 c5 6.dxc5

This apparently simple move used to be the main line and remains one of White's best ways of fighting for a middlegame advantage.

6...₩a5

The typical answer. Black intends to recapture on c5 with his queen, thus transposing to a Sicilian Dragon structure. White can choose between: A) 7.營d3, B) 7.營d4 and the classical main line C) 7.ᅌud3.

7.cxd6? 🖄 xe4 is simply bad for White.

7.2d2 introduces a plan based on castling long, which exposes White's king more than Black's: 7...2dx s.2d3 0–0 9.2dz c6 10.0–0–0 2db4 11.2db1 2dc6 12.a3 2dxd313.cxd3 In Sidorov – Khantuev, Angarsk 2015, Black could have developed his initiative with 13...b5!N[‡].



A) 7.\d3

Apparently a clumsy move, since this square is mainly designed for the bishop, but White has a concrete idea in mind. I am surprised that this variation has been so rare, because in grandmaster practice Black has not demonstrated convincing ways to equality.

7...₩xc5

One important point is that 7... ②xe4? loses a piece: 8. 營b5† ②c6 9. 營xa5 盒xc3† 10. 營xc3!+-

8.ዿe3 ₩a5 9.₩b5†

This is the point behind 7. dd3. Even though in principle the queen exchange removes the danger of a kingside attack, White hopes that his slight lead in development will allow him either to break in the centre with e4-e5 or to gain kingside space with h2-h3, g2-g4-g5 and so on.

9...₩xb5



The other recapture is not dangerous: 10.剑xb5

The threat of $\textcircled{}{}^{\diamond}$ c7† can be parried easily and the knight incursion only weakens the e4-pawn. As we will see, taking on a7 does not bring anything positive.

10....2a6 11.ĝd3 0–0 12.ĝxa7

If 12.②xa7 違d7 the knight does not have an easy way to return to play, as the e4-pawn is hanging. The immediate threat is ...②c5, and consolidating the e4-pawn with 13.②d2 offers Black too much play: 13...②g4 14.逸b6 ②c5 (even stronger than the simple 14...逸xb2) 15.宫b1 違d4-+ White's pieces are hanging badly.



In Vargas Arteaga – Escobar Medina, Cartagena 2016, Black's best was:



13... এc6N 14. 创d2 创b4 15. 空e2

Or 15.0–0 ⁽²⁾xd3 16.cxd3 ⁽²⁾xb5 17.axb5 ⁽²⁾xe4! 18.⁽²⁾xe4 ⁽²⁾xa7∓, regaining the pawn with a positional advantage in the ending. 15...⁽²⁾h5

Attacking f4 and b2.

16.ĝe3 ĝxb2 17.≌ab1 ∅xd3 18.cxd3 ĝg7∓

White will soon lose the a4-pawn, but his compact structure and space advantage allow him to hope for a draw.



10...Øc6

10.... 創存 11. 象xd7 † 创bxd7 12.0-0-0 leaves Black vulnerable to the central plan based on 舀he1 and e4-e5, since his d7-knight will be hanging if he castles short. He also needs

to waste a tempo witha7-a6 if he wants to develop his queen's rook.

11.0-0-0

The knight advance is premature: 11. 2d5 2xd5 12.exd5 a6 13. 2xc6†

13. 2a4 b5 14.dxc6 bxa4 is pleasant for Black since the c6-pawn is more vulnerable than the a4-pawn, Stejskal – Melich, Czech Republic 1997.

13...bxc6 14.dxc6



14...ĝf5!?N

Slightly more accurate than 14...\$xb2, opening the b-file for the white rooks at a moment when Black is not fully developed: 15.\mathbb{1} \$\\$c3\ftartheta 16.\$\prodectsf2 \$\\$e6 In Galiev - Gayduk, Uljanovsk 2008, White could have retained some initiative with 17.\$\\$d4N followed by \$\mathbb{E}b7\$ soon.

15.ĝd4

Or 15.∕∆d4 ĝe4 followed by ...≌c8. 15...f6!



It is best to keep the bishop pair, as later Black can expand his centre with ...e5. The extra pawn on c6 is as good as lost, for example:

16.c7 壺d7 17.遑b6 a5! Followed by …堂c6.

Another premature central action would be:

11.e5 dxe5 12.fxe5

12. 2×5 is parried with 12... 2×5 g4!= attacking the bishop on e3, and thus not giving White the time for 2×6 .

12...②g4 13.奠xc6† bxc6 14.奠f4

Black has the bishop pair and no worse a structure, but the question is whether he can return his knight to play via a decent path. The best solution is:

14....\u00e4b8 15.b3

If 15.h3 Black has 15...g5 16.⁽²⁾xg5 ⁽²⁾xe5∓ with strong queenside pressure.

15...≌b4 16.g3 f6∓

After weakening White's position on both long diagonals, Black opens the centre in his favour.



11...<u>\$g</u>4

As we will see in variation C, the main line, this is a thematic move, reducing White's control in the centre. In this concrete position it also threatens to spoil White's structure. 11...0-0

This natural developing move runs into: 12.h3!

Preventing ... \$g4 and preparing g2-g4.

If 12.²he1, as in Mueller – Thal, Stralsund 1975, Black could return to the right track with 12...²g4.

12.... 違e6 13.g4 舀fc8 14.f5±

With a considerable space advantage for White.

11...a6

With incomplete development, this is premature.

12.<u>\$xc6</u>†!

Much better than 12.\2d3, when in Radulov – Spassky, Amsterdam 1973, Black could have obtained active play with: 12...b5N 13.\2he1 \2b7=

12...bxc6



13.e5!

This pawn break is justified by the time wasted by Black on ...a6. Otherwise ...论d7 or ...逸g4 would retain excellent play.

13...dxe5 14.@xe5

Causing Black problems with his c6-pawn.

14.fxe5 is also interesting: 14...2d7 15.e6N (15.gf4 2c5 allowed a perfect blockade on e6 in S. Shaw – Kilichenko, email 2012) 15...fxe6 16.a4 White has excellent compensation for the pawn due to Black's numerous weaknesses and his potentially bad bishop on c8.

14...Øg4



15.覍b6!

A strong intermediate move, threatening \[\[\]d8 mate.

15...\$f5 16.\$\overline{xc6}\$xc3 17.bxc3\$\$&e4 18.\$\overline{a5}\$t5

In Nyberg – Mastronardi, email 2011, White should have played:

19.≌d2N ∲f7 20.c4≛

White is perfectly regrouped for advancing his c-pawn, while Black's counterplay is not obvious.



12.筥hf1!?N

Aiming to keep the structural integrity.

 The only game in which 11...\$\$g4 was played

 continued with: 12.\$\$\dots\$\$d5 \$\$\dots\$\$d5 13.exd5 \$\$\$\$\$kf3 14.gxf3 a6 15.\$\$\$\$e2 \$\$\$b8 16.h4 \$\$\$\$\$d7 17.h5

0–0–0 18.c3 2h6 Followed by ... 6f6 with equality in Van Leeuwen – Hasselmeyer, email 2011.

The centralizing 12. 当he1 does not work out too well either: 12...心h5 (threatening both ... 黛xc3 and ... 黛xf3 followed by ... 黛h6) 13. ②d5 黛xf3 14.gxf3 0–0–0 Followed by ... e6 and ... 黛h6 soon, as 15. 黛xc6 bxc6 16. ②xe7†? loses the knight to 16... 登d7–+.



12...a6

Now is a good moment to clarify things regarding the b5-bishop.

12...0-0 13.h3 &xf3 14. $\exists xf3 \pm$ keeps Black under some pressure.

13. <u>\$</u>e2

Hoping to recapture on f3 with the bishop.

The familiar operation 13.黛xc6† bxc6 14.e5 dxe5 15.fxe5 does not work so well any more, as after 15...心d7 the e5-pawn is vulnerable and e5-e6 does not spoil Black's structure.

After $13.\&d3 \equiv c8 14.h3 \&xf3 15. \equiv xf3$ @d7 Black threatens ... &xc3 with good play against the doubled pawns. In the absence of queens, White's attacking chances, based on his bishops, are lower than in similar lines in variation C. 16.2d5 e6 17.2b6 2xb618.2xb6 2d4 19.2xd4 20.2f2 2c6Black has simplified the position, solving his space problems. Next he will play ...2e7 with a comfortable position.



13...<u>\$</u>e6!

Not an easy move to find. Black anticipates h2-h3, against which he is ready to play h5, putting pressure on the weakened squares. Besides, ... g4 is an issue now.

After 13...0–0?! 14.h3 &xf3 15.&xf3 followed by g2-g4, White has an active position. One important point is that 15... d7 allows 16.e5!.



14.h3

If 14. $\pm b1$ the most flexible response is 14...0-0, keeping all options open.

14.@g5

Black's best reply is to return with the bishop: 14...ĝg4!

The bishop exchange creates some weaknesses in White's camp, solving Black's problems of coordination at the same time.

15.¤fe1

15.\$xg4 \$\overline{2}xg4 16.\$g1 \$\overline{2}xc3 17.bxc3 0=0\$ is pleasant for Black.

15. ⁽²⁾f3 is just a repetition.

15...0-0=



14.... 2h5 15.f5!?

Trying to take advantage of the somewhat exposed black minor pieces.

15. $45 \equiv c8 = is$ safe for Black.

15...gxf5 16.exf5 \$xf5

The position is complicated, with chances for both sides. The main thing is that 17.g4? fails to win a piece due to 17... 23g3 18.gxf5 2xc3∓.

B) 7.₩d4



This early queen centralization was recommended in *Beating Unusual Chess Defences: 1.e4* by Greet, and there was a period when it caused Black some problems. White defends the e4-pawn and hopes to force Black to play ...dxc5 (with or without a prior queen exchange on c5), which would offer White an advantage in the centre.

7**...0–0**

The most effective move, threatening not only ... ②c6 without fearing the pin by 遑b5, but also ... ②xe4.

The natural 7...公c6 allows 8.逸b5, not only continuing with development, but also cutting the queen's communication with the c5-square. Here is a recent example: 8...0–0 9.營a4 營d8 10.逸xc6 bxc6 11.cxd6 exd6 12.逸e3 營e7 13.0–0–0 逸b7 14.罝he1± With perfect centralization and an extra pawn for White in Bok – Tjiam, Belgium 2015.

White can choose between **B1**) **8.cxd6** and **B2**) **8.2^ad2**.

B1) 8.cxd6

This leads to massive simplifications and a probable draw.

Black has won an exchange but, except for the queen, all his pieces are on the back rank. Moreover, his king is vulnerable. All these factors make the fight interesting and, as we will see, balanced.

12.dxe7 筥e8 13.奠c4

The most accurate move order.

13.<u>\$</u>a3

This prematurely exposes the bishop. 13...②c6 14.鼻c4 營c3 15.②g5

In Berthelot – Martinez, Nantes 2006, Black should have bravely taken the bishop:



15....鬯xa3!N 16.違xf7† 峦h8!

Paradoxically, the king is safer in the corner. The point is that the enemy queen does not have any immediate checks along the long diagonal.

If 16...pg7?, aimed at giving the king more freedom, then after 17.gxe8 Black cannot play the desirable 17...gf5? due to 18.mc4+- threatening mf7†.

17.ĝxe8 ĝf5∓

This is possible now, since 18.[™]c4? [□]xe8

19.營行 arrives one tempo too late due to, for instance, 19...鬯xe7-+.



Black has to play a few accurate moves now.

13...[₩]f6

14.**&a**3

Now that the queen has departed from enemy territory, activating this bishop is well timed.



14...<u>ĝ</u>e6!

This strong move, not mentioned in *Beating Unusual Chess Defences: 1.e4*, was introduced by Kateryna Lagno in 2012.

Black has to once again delay the knight's development: 14...②c6? 15.③g5± offered White a strong attack in Pilavov – Zimmerman, Sochi 2006, and several other games.

15.<u>\$</u>xe6

15.營xb7 leads to the same type of ending: 15...创d7 16.奠b3 奠xb3 17.axb3 鬯b6† 18.營xb6 axb6 White's compensation was only enough for a draw in Smeets – Timman, Leiden 2015.

15...^wxe6 16.^wxe6 fxe6



The e7-pawn restricts Black's activity but is not too threatening; besides, the bishop will soon experience some discomfort along the a3-f8 diagonal. Chances are about equal.

17.🖄 g5

More accurate than: 17. ②e5?! ②c6 18. ③xc6 bxc6 19. 逸d6 c5 20. 墨b1 墨ac8 21. 墨b5 查f7 22. c3 White is struggling slightly as she can only try to maintain the fortress and cannot make progress, A. Muzychuk – Lagno, Kazan 2012.

17...Ôc6



18.¤d1

18.2e4 leads to complete equality: 18...2xe7 19.2f6† 2f7 20.2xe8 2xe821.2e1 2c8 22.2xe6 2xc2† 23.2f1 2c724.2e2 2f7 25.2xe7† 2xe7† 2c.<math>2xe7 2xe7Visually Black has the better chances due to his queenside majority, but White was able to hold in G. Mohr – Kilgus, Austria 2014.

18....莒ac8 19.莒d7 约b8



20.邕d2

20.鼍xb7 leads to a dynamically balanced position after: 20...邕xc2† 21.堂f1 邕xa2 22.흹d6 ②c6 23.邕c7 ②d4 Black can soon force a draw by checking along the a-file.

20...එc6 21.ଅd7 ව්b8 22.ଅd2 ව්c6 23.ଅd7 ව්b8

Drawn by repetition in Jovanovic – Medak, Bol 2015.

8 7 6 5 4 3 Å Å 2 1 f b с d h a e g

B2) 8.覍d2

White rids himself of the pin and threatens to harass the black queen with a knight jump, most likely to d5 or b5.

8....²c6 9.[™]c4

The most popular answer. Other moves leave the queen either passive or exposed.

9.191 is way too passive: 9...2b4N 10.12c1 2xe4!? 11.2xe4 2xb2 12.cxd6 2f5 Black has a strong initiative for the sacrificed material.

9.營f2, as in Ninov – Peev, Ulcinj 1997, can be met by 9...公g4N, and now 10.營h4 營xc5 leads to a comfortable version of the Dragon, while 10.營g1 transposes to the following line.

9.₩e3

This runs straight into:

9...[©]g4 10.[™]g1

In Kormos – Bognar, Hungary 2000, Black now could have allowed the structure White was initially aiming for:



10....[@]xc5N 11.[®]xc5 dxc5

Black's play is justified since he has a lead in development already, due to the time wasted by the white queen.

12.h3

Developing moves expose the light-squared bishop: 12.\u00e9d3 \u00f4b4 13.h3 c4= or 12.\u00c9b5 \u00e9d4.

12...\$xc3!

The simplest way to ensure space for the g4-knight.

13.奠xc3

13.bxc3 keeps the e3-square under control but spoils the structure without really troubling the knight: 13...②f6 14.e5 ②e4= 13...②e3 14.鼍c1 ②xf1 15.空xf1 b6=

Followed by ... \$\$b7, ... \$\$ad8 and, for safety reasons, ... f6.



9...ĝe6 10.₩b5

10. 🖄 d5

The active option is parried by the calm: 10... @d8

Black's healthy development and the hanging white pieces offer adequate compensation for the pawn.

Those who enjoy playing an exchange down may consider: 10...曾xc5 11.曾xc5 dxc5 12.②c7 ②xe4 13.③xa8 莒xa8 Black has reasonable compensation in view of the numerous available squares in the centre and his lead in development.



11.¤d1

Trying to maintain the tension.

If 11.cxd6 營xd6, Black's threat of regaining the pawn forces White to try: 12.②xf6† 逾xf6 13.e5 (13.營b5 ②d4 offers Black too much play) 15...逾xc4 14.exd6 逾xf1 15.三xf1 逾xb2 16.三b1 逾f6 17.dxe7 三fb8 Black already has the more pleasant play, due to his better structure.

11...¤c8!?

A strong developing move, creating threats along the c-file, such as ... (2) b8-d7 regaining the pawn, or even ...dxc5, when recapturing may be dangerous.

12.奠c3

Releasing the tension would already imply sacrificing the queen: 12.cxd6 2xd5 13.exd5 2d4 14.dxe6 Relatively best, as otherwise ...2xc2† would be killing. 14....\(\mathbf{Zxc4}\) 15.exf7† $\exists xf7$ 16.&xc4 $\bigtriangleup xf3†$ 17.gxf3 $\textcircled xd6$ 18.&xf7† $\bigstar xf7\mp$ Strictly speaking, White has a material advantage, but most of his pawns are hanging and the queen is stronger than the uncoordinated rooks, especially with such an exposed king.



So far we have followed Maatman – Go, Hoogeveen 2011. Black's most effective continuation is:

12...@b8!N

Black inevitably regains the pawn, for instance:

13.b4

13...ĝxd5 14.exd5 ∅bd7 15.c6 ∅b6∓.

Black has excellent play against White's numerous weaknesses.

10...⊮c7



11.**\$d3**

White was tempted by 11. 25 in Simmelink – Irmer, email 2011, but it weakens White's control in the centre. Black does best to reply 11... 2d7N 12. 2xe6 fxe6 13.cxd6 exd6, followed by ... 2c5 and ... 2d4, with a strong initiative for the pawn.

11.cxd6 exd6 opens the e- and c-files for Black. White may continue with a) 12.0–0–0, b) 12.公d5 or c) 12.逸d3.

a) 12.0–0–0 offers Black a strong initiative by simple means: 12...a6 13.營e2 邕fe8∓ Followed by ...b5-b4 and possibly ...皇f5.

b) 12.🖾d5

This premature activity plays into Black's hands.

White cannot afford to defend the pawn with 16.c4? due to 16.... \$\$ f5-+, followed by ...\$ e4 or ...\$ g4.

16...④g4 17.罩hf1



In Farkas – Anistratov, Austria 2015, Black's simplest route to an advantage was:

17...②xd5N 18.營xd5 \(\mathbf{Z}xe2\) []

Material equality has been restored, and Black has overwhelming activity.

c) Instead of forcing matters White should consolidate his central pawn with:
12.鼻d3



12...h6!?

Threatening to put the queen in lethal danger witha6.

13.ĝe3N

13.创d5 氯xd5 14.exd5 單fe8† gave Black more than enough compensation for the pawn in Crapulli – Cvak, email 2012.

The text move is the most natural way of parrying the threat, but the bishop is exposed now.

13.... 当fe8 14.0-0 ②g4 15. 象f2 ③xf2 16. 当xf2 a6 After the bishop exchange, chasing the queen becomes an issue again, but White has a way out.

17.④d5 逸xd5 18.營xd5 逸xb2 19.巴b1 逸g7=

11.0-0-0N

This untested option may be the most challenging continuation.



11....¤ac8!?

11...a6 12.營b6 may cause Black problems regaining the pawn.

11...d5 12.e5 d4 13. De2 also looks problematic.

12.&b1

The safest continuation.

After 12.cxd6 exd6 White is not sufficiently developed to attack: 13.f5 a6 14.營a4 gxf5 15.exf5 氯xf5 16.彙g5 心e4! A nice trick. 17.心d5 (17.心xe4 b5 followed by ...黛xe4 gives Black a decisive attack) 17...營d7 18.心b6 營e6 19.彙c4 營g6 By now it has become obvious that White's premature attack has rebounded and that the initiative is all Black's.



12...dxc5

This is the most promising way to maintain the initiative.

13.₩xc5 b6 14.₩a3

Paradoxically, this passive square is, for the time being, the safest.

14.@e3 allows 14...@g4 15.@e1 @b4 16.a3 &xc3 17.&xc3 @a2 with the initiative. The point is that White cannot defend his bishop with 18. Ξ d3? due to 18...@c4-+ followed by ... $@xc3\dagger$ and ...@a2.

14.12b5 offers Black a tempo for free after 14...a6! followed by ...b5, since 15.12xa6? allows 15...2xe4 16.2xe4 2b4-+, with a winning attack.

If we think only of the queen, 14. 幽g1 is the safest choice, but this leaves the queenside vulnerable: 14... 创b4 Threatening ... 奠xa2†.

15.罡c1 ②xe4 With the queen on g1, this is certainly not the only good move, but it is definitely the most straightforward. 16.②xe4 ②xa2 17.逸d3 ③xc1 (for the more ambitious, 17...避d7 followed soon by ...避a4 may be an even more tempting option) 18.營xc1 罩fd8∓ Material is about equal and Black maintains his attacking chances.



14...Øa5

Clearing the c-file and thus threatening Dxe4.

15.\2d3 2c4 16.\2xc4 \2xc4 17.\2e3 b5

Black's simple attacking plan at least compensates for the pawn.



11...d5!

With the white queen isolated on the queenside, breaking in the centre is natural and strong.

12.2g5!?N

This is the most challenging move, even though it does not promise an advantage.

White does not get much by playing safely either: 12.0–0N dxe4 13.②xe4 罩fd8 14.罩ad1 ②xe4 15.黛xe4 ②d4 16.③xd4 黛xd4† 17.查h1 營xc5=

12.e5?!

The only move tested in practice, but it should offer Black the better chances.



12.... d d7 13. ge3

White needs to defend the c5-pawn in view of the threat of ...a6.

13.0–0?! a6 14.@a4 @xc5 regains the pawn with the initiative.

13.Ѽa4 misplaces the knight, offering Black good play in many ways, for example: 13...違g4 14.0–0–0 f6 15.exf6 ②xf6 Followed soon by ...違xf3 and ... ②d4.



13...ĝh6!N∓

After this accurate move, White cannot keep his centre intact.

The game went: 13...f6?! Black unnecessarily exchanges the e5-pawn, which should instead have become a target. 14.exf6 &xf6 15.0–0 &f7 16.&f2 a6 17.Bb3 e6 18. Ξ ae1 \pm White had stabilized his position, retaining the better chances in Shabalov – Felecan, Las Vegas 2014.

14.@e2

Defending the bishop with 14. $\pm e2$ allows 14...d4 15. $\pm xd4 \pm xf4$, with a decisive attack against the poorly defended king, as the white queen is a mere spectator.

14...∕∂dxe5∓

Black has regained the pawn, keeping the centre firmly in his hands.

12...dxe4 13. 🖄 xe6

The principled continuation.

Both 13.②cxe4 ዿd5 and 13.③gxe4 ③xe4 14.ዿxe4 \Zfd8∓ offer Black the initiative in the centre.

13...fxe6 14. 2xe4 2xe4 15. 2xe4



15...**¤xf**4!

Making use of Black's better development and the white king's delay in leaving the centre.

16.\u00e9xf4 \u00e9xf4 17.\u00f2f3

There is no time to eliminate the knight with 17.ዿxc6 due to 17...ዿxb2!?∓, for if 18.∰xb2? then 18...∰e3† 19.☆f1 bxc6-+ followed by ...≌f8† will deciside the outcome.



Black has excellent compensation for the exchange. There is more than one good move, but I suggest:

17...a6

Forcing the queen to make up her mind.

18.₩b3

18. 增xb7? leaves the king undefended, allowing 18... 增e3† 19. 奠e2 單f8 winning.

18.營e2 gives away an important pawn, after which Black's compensation also has a positional basis: 18...違xb2 19.營xe6† 查g7 20.罩d1 營b4† 21.查f1 營xc5=

18...**₩e5**†

Taking advantage of the fact that ^{the}e2 is no longer available.

19.杏f1 뽑f8

Black has excellent attacking chances, ensuring approximate equality despite the material disadvantage.



This is the classical approach. White does not try to refute Black's opening by means of long forced lines; instead, he keeps developing normally, relying on his chances of building up a positional kingside attack. As we will see later, the queen is not optimally placed on e2 and White will need to relocate it, but there is no other way of preparing &e3 followed by castling. In return, Black will also have to waste a tempo with his queen.

8...0-0 9. ge3 Wa5 10.0-0

10.h3

Preventing ... 2g4 is a rare alternative, but it requires some attention:

10...🖄h5

Black wastes no time in attacking the weakened g3-square.

11.�12

This was played in a famous game by a then young prodigy.

11.營d2 counts as a loss of time and is not challenging: 11...逸xc3 12.bxc3 包g3 13.罩g1 f5 Black had fine play on light squares, and White still needed time to get his king to safety in Larramendy – Blot, Montigny-le-Bretttonneux 2003. A direct attack with 14.逸c4† e6 15.包g5 fails to 15...包xe4.



Black has to make a choice between a) 11...e5 and b) 11...ዿxc3:

a) 11...e5 12.f5 🖄 f4 13.\#d2

This is better than 13.彙xf4 exf4 14.闡d2, as played in J. Polgar – Hennigan, London 1988, when Black missed the tactical chance: 14...彙xf5!N 15.exf5 鬯b6† 16.堂e2 邕e8† 17.堂f1 鬯xb2 Black regains the piece with excellent play.

13...⁽²⁾xd3† 14.⁽¹⁾xd3 gxf5 15.exf5 e4 16.⁽²⁾xe4N

16.營xe4 盒xf5 17.營xb7 创d7 was highly unclear in Boll – Koskinen, corr. 1986.

16...ĝxf5 17.ĝd4 ≅e8

Engines consider this position completely equal, but I am not especially thrilled as Black's structure is shattered.

b) I recommend first exchanging a pair of minor pieces with: 11...\$xc3 12.bxc3 e5!N (12...\$xc3 13.g4 has proved too dangerous for Black in a few games) 13.f5 Black no longer has a 'bad' bishop and can continue with 13...b6 followed by ...\$b7, with increasing pressure against e4. White's pawn attack based on g2-g4 can always be met with ...\$bf4.

10...<u>\$g</u>4

An important move in Black's general strategy. The f3-knight not only threatens to become an attacking piece after 🕮e1-h4 and f4-f5, but also controls the important

e5- and d4-squares. Since Black intends to play C6, it is useful to pin and later exchange this knight's rival.

White's main continuations are C1) 11. ad1, C2) 11. et and C3) 11. h3.



C1) 11.\ad1

According to general development rules, this is the most logical continuation. Before defining his plans, White activates his last piece. This line was famously played in the 17th game of the Spassky – Fischer, 1972 World Championship match in Reykjavik, and was subsequently submitted to thorough analysis. But in later games White started looking for other paths.

11....②c6 12.鼻c4

Another logical move, clearing the path for the newly developed rook and activating the bishop at the same time.

12.營e1 was played in Grigoryan – Yilmazyerli, Jerusalem 2015, but with the rook already on d1, Black does not need to hurry with the exchange on f3. Instead, he could continue developing with: 12...罩ac8N 13.空h1 (The careless 13.罝d2?! runs into 13...盒xf3, since the desirable 14.罝xf3?! allows 14...ᅌg4∓, exchanging the important bishop.) 13...ᅌd7 Black regroups in the spirit of line C3 below.

12...④h5

Confronted with a new situation (he had never played the Pirc before and 11. adl was a novelty), Fischer hit on the right track. Due to White's strong centralization, Black cannot develop his counterplay by simple means. The idea of the text move is to threaten ... xc3, not only winning a pawn, but also questioning White's stability in the centre. True, one needs a bit of courage when deciding to give up the fianchettoed bishop, but analysis proves Fischer's intuition right.

The other way of attacking c3 is less effective: 12...心d7?! 13.h3! (with the knight on h5 this loses an exchange to 13...心g3) 13....黛xf3 14.鼍xf3 黛xc3 15.bxc3 If now 15....營xc3?! White can reply 16.e5 with a strong initiative, based on the hanging d7-knight.



We will examine C11) 13.些d5 C12) 13.營d3N and C13) 13.遑b3.

Other moves which defend c3 are weaker:

13.營d2? allows the simple tactic: 13...公xf4 14.奠xf4 營c5† 15.空h1 營xc4∓ Black had won a pawn in Bordonada – Uddenfeldt, Nice (ol) 1974.

13.罩d3?! 增b4 14.違b3 違xc3 cost White the e4-pawn in Meetze – Mrkvicka, email 2000.

C11) 13.\arranged d5



After the 1972 game, theoreticians intensively examined this active rook incursion to g5. White concentrates all his forces around the black king, but analysis proves that Black's position is solid enough to repel such a resolute attack.

13....[™]c7 14.[□]g5

A much later game continued with the cunning:

14.奠b3



14...@a5N

The safest way of neutralizing White's pressure.

White's last move invited 14...&e6?, hoping to exchange the light-squared bishops, but this proved a major mistake after 15.\mathbb{Z}xh5! gxh5 16. \$\overline\$xe6 fxe6 17. \$\overline\$g5\pm with a strong attack in Los – Jeremic, Bela Crkva 1983. For adventurous players, 14... \$\overline\$xc3 15.bxc3 \$\overline\$f6 is worth considering: 16.\$\overline\$g5 The only way of indirectly avoiding the loss of the e4-pawn, but the rook may get into some danger now. 16...e6 Preparing ...h6 without allowing \$\overline\$xg6\overline\$. Black has the better structure and is well regrouped, but I would instinctively fear White's bishop pair. Engines do not have such inhibitions and consider the position as completely equal.



15.¤d3

Defending the c3-knight.

15...@xb3 16.axb3 b6!?



14...ĝxf3!

The most promising move.

14...0f6 15.2b3 a6?!, as played in Sikora Lerch – Rukavina, Decin 1977, would have allowed White to start a consistent attack with 16.f5N \pm . However, Black can improve earlier by means of 15...e6N 16.f5 Ξ ae8, with double-edged play.

Krogius analysed 14... dd4 15. dd3 darf3† 16.gxf3 &e6 17. &xe6 fxe6 18.f5 with an initiative for White. This may not be entirely clear, but in any case the main move involves less risk and offers more chances for Black to take over the initiative.

15.gxf3

15.鼍xf3 创d4 16.黛xd4 黛xd4† 17.空h1 allows an elegant combination: 17...①xf4! 18.鼍xf4 黛xc3 19.bxc3 d5 A superb double attack. 20.e5 dxc4 21.鼍xc4 (I would add that White's attack fails after 21.鼍h4 鼍fd8 22.營h5 啓f8!干) 21...營b6干 Black held a considerable advantage in Janjic – Stipkovic, corr. 1983, due to White's weaknesses.



15...∕⊇d4 16.₩d3

16.2xd4 2xf4 (or 16...2xd4† followed by 17...2xf4) wins material due to the hanging bishop on c4 and rook on g5.

After the text move, White seems to have consolidated, but Black's answer does not allow him time to develop his initiative with 🖄 d5 and f4-f5.

16...**Bac8**



17.**b**3!N

The only way of staying in the game, even though White is walking a tightrope due to his exposed rook and bishop.

Neishtadt analysed the following tactical sequence: 17.&b3? 2xb3 18.cxb3 $\&xc3\mp$ Exchanges on c3 would yield Black an ending with an extra pawn, but 19. Ξ c1? only makes things worse after 19...2xf4!, forcing White to clear the g1-b6 diagonal. 20.&xf4 $@b6\dagger$ 21.&e3



21...\$d4!-+ The final touch, winning a piece. Some time later, Delisle – Glauser, corr. 1989, followed Neishtadt's analysis all this way, ending soon in a win for Black.

Another line given by Neishtadt goes: 17.&d5&de6 18.&xe6 (18. $\exists xh5$ gxh5 19.f5 &dc520.Wd2 &h8 does not offer White enough attacking chances to compensate for the exchange) 18...fxe6 19.f5 Forced, since the f4-pawn is hanging. 19...&xc3 20.fxg6 hxg6 21.bxc3 Wxc3 22. $\exists xg6\dagger$ &f7 23. $\exists g5$ Now 23... $\exists g8\mp$ is even stronger than Neishtadt's 23... $\&f6\mp$. Pawns are equal, but White's structure is completely ruined.



17....🖄 c6

Preparing to harass the bishop with ... 2a5, although this must be done with some care.

18.**&h**1

White's only attacking chance is to double rooks along the g-file.

18. $\bigcirc d5 \ @d8\mp$ only drives the queen to a stable square. Black can continue withe6 and ... $\oslash a5$.

18...₩d8

Still preparing ...2a5, because 18...2a5? 19.2d5 ds 20.<math>2xe7; wins White a pawn.

19.邕fg1 空h8 20.创e2

Evacuating the knight from the dangerous c-file.



20...a6

Black prepares his attack against the c4-bishop patiently.

20...心a5 21.逸d5 e6 22.罩xh5 gxh5 23.罩xg7 垫xg7 24.逸d4† 堂g8 25.鬯e3 offers White enough compensation for the sacrificed exchange.

21.a4 e6

Everything is ready for ... 2a5 now. White has nothing better than switching to the d-file.

22.\angled1 \overline@e7∓



Black is better coordinated and has the superior structure. His main plan is ... \approx fd8 preparing ... d5, based on White's hanging pieces. The d6-pawn is taboo:

23. 增xd6? 筥fd8 24. 增xe7 邕xd1†

Black wins a rook.

C12) 13.\dd3N



Even though never tried in practice, this deserves some attention. White safely defends c3, and taking advantage of the queen's exposure requires some imagination from Black.

13...[©]b4

This makes sense only in connection with the next move.

The engines are enthusiastic about the positions with doubled c-pawns. Here are two illustrative lines evaluated as equal, though I would be reluctant to try them out in practice:

13...Eac8 14.奠b3 奠xc3 15.bxc3 包d8 16.c4 包e6 17.f5 包c5 In both lines Black's knights are stable, but I would advise against underestimating the bishops' force and White's attacking potential.

14.₩d2



14...②xf4!

The consequences of this simple tactical blow are far harder to evaluate than it might seem.

15.h3!

Forcing the bishop to declare his intentions.

15.奠xf4 simply loses a pawn to 15...增c5†干.

15...ĝe6

15... 黛xf3 16. 苎xf3± leaves Black hanging, for if 16... ②e6 17. ②d5 the queen sacrifice does not work, as the e3-bishop is defended.

15... \$d7 and 15... \$c8 are more passive,

allowing 16.奠b3 包e6 17.包d5 包xd5 18.鬯xa5 包xe3 19.e5± when Black has problems building up a fortress.

16.⁄2d5

Despite the engines' slight scepticism, I do not see any danger for Black after 16.黛xe6 ②xe6 17.②d5 ③xd5 18.營xa5 ③xe3 19.c3 ②c5=, with perfect stability and enough material compensation for the queen in a still double-edged position.

16.... 皇xd5 17.exd5 凹ac8

An interesting position in which both sides' pieces are hanging. Black will inevitably get three pawns for a piece, with approximate equality.



18.\$b3

The only alternative is: 18.a3 罩xc4 19.axb4 響xd5 20.響xd5 ②xd5 ②1.罩xd5 黛xb2 22.黛xa7 罩a8 23.彙e3 罩xb4 24.罩b1 罩a2 Followed by ...f6 and ...堂f7, when Black will already be thinking of ways to advance his pawns.

18...④fxd5 19.鼻xd5 罩xc2 20.營e1 營b5

Attacking the central bishop and threatening ... Ze2.

21.a4 ₩e2 22.\arrowsf2!?

The best way to restrict Black's activity.

22...增xe1† 23.包xe1 莒xf2 24.空xf2 包xd5 25.莒xd5 a6 26.鼻d4 f6!?

Until Black manages to activate his pieces with ... Ec8-c4 and ... \$f7, it is better to avoid the bishop exchange.





With an approximately equal ending. A possible plan is ... \$\$f8 followed by ...e6 and ...d5 or, if the knight is far from d5, even ...e5, ... \$\$e6 and ...d5.

C13) 13. 遑b3



This was Spassky's choice, offering White some attacking chances for the pawn.

13...ĝxc3 14.bxc3 ₩xc3 15.f5

If 15.h3 ②g3 White needs to exchange queens in order to avoid the loss of an exchange. This leaves him without any compensation for the pawn: 16.營e1 拿好3 17.gxf3 營xe1 18.疍fxe1 罩fc8 19.f5 In Nun – Sapi, Hradec Kralove 1978, Black should have prevented f5-f6 with 19...②h5!N∓ followed by ...②a5, exchanging one bishop and completely eliminating White's attacking chances.



15...�f6

Fischer chose the most restrictive move order. Black returns the knight to its most stable square, attacking e4, while keeping the d4-square under control with the other knight.

16.h3 gxf3 17.\straightstraigh

The most constructive move.

17.gxf3?! obstructs the f-file and weakens the whole kingside: 17...gxf5!? 18. 創h6 创h5 19. 奠xf8 堂xf8



Black has two pawns for the exchange and White's dark squares are weak. The situation persists after: 20.exf5 创g3 21.圈e1 圈c5† 22.骂f2 创d4 23.萤g2 创gxf5干

17.¤xf3

This has the drawback of leaving the e4-pawn undefended, although taking it requires some accuracy from Black.



17...a5

But not 17... (2) xe4? 18.fxg6 hxg6 19. (2) f2 We5 20. Ee3 and White wins the knight.

18.a4 🖄 e5 19.¤f4

If 19.邕ff1 White can take the second pawn with 19...心xe4.

19...g5 20.邕ff1 h6

With an extra pawn and perfect stability,

since 21.h4? runs into: 21...∅eg4∓ 22.ዿੈd4? [™]g3-+



17...Ða5

Now is a good moment to hit the b3-bishop, since 2d4 entails exchanging queens.

17... 0e5 is less accurate due to 18. 0f4 followed by 2d4.

18.\d3

White unpins the bishop, but the rook is exposed on d3 to a possible knight's return to c6 and e5, while the back rank is weakened slightly.

After 18.fxg6 hxg6 19.罩d3 幽c7 20.彙d5, as in Dunne – Grosky, corr. 1986, the safest is 20...②c6N followed by ...②e5 and ...③xd5.

18...增c7 19.臭h6

Spassky decides to create immediate threats.

If 19.彙d4 ⁽²⁾xb3 20.cxb3 ⁽²⁾ac8, Black is ready with his counterplay along the c-file. For instance, 21.營f4 allows 21...營c2, when regaining the pawn with 22.奠xf6!? exf6 23.鼍xd6 leads only to complete equality.

19...②xb3 20.cxb3

The most natural move, taking the c-pawn out of the queen's range.

20.&xf8 allows the intermediate 20...&c5, when 21.&h6 &xd3 22.cxd3 d5 weakens White's structure and keeps the extra pawn.

20.axb3N

This recapture also requires examining.



20...⊮xc2

Black can also consider 20... Efc8 21.c4 b5 with counterplay.

21.\$xf8 \$xf8

Keeping the a7- and e7-pawns defended in view of the enemy rook's intrusion to the seventh rank.

22.\[23.\]%xe4 23.\]%xe4 \[24.\]2c7 b5=

Black has two pawns for the exchange and a compact structure, compensating for the enemy rook's activity.

20...≝c5† 21.₫h1



21...筥fc8!N

This was recommended by Timman in his comments to the original game.

Fischer sacrificed the exchange with: 21...一營e5 22.奠xf8 巠xf8 23.巠e3 巠c8

Black relies on his stability on the dark squares.



24.⊮f4!N

This is a significant improvement over the game, which went 24.fxg6 hxg6 25. $\overset{\text{m}}{=}$ f4 $\overset{\text{m}}{=}$ xf4 26. $\overset{\text{m}}{=}$ xf4 $\overset{\text{m}}{=}$ d7 with a reliable fortress for Black, and a draw shortly after the adjournment in Spassky – Fischer, Reykjavik (17) 1972.

24...[₩]xf4 25.[□]xf4



25...g5

Trying to take advantage of White's move order.

The difference with respect to the game is

that if 25...心d7 26.骂f2 骂c1† 27. 空h2 爸e5, then White has 28.f6, partly spoiling Black's stability.

26.¤ff3 ¤c5 27.¤c3 ¤e5 28.¤c7 ¤xe4 29.¤xb7 a5 30.¤a7 ¤e5 31.¤c3±

White's activity and queenside majority offer him winning chances.

Another possible way of improving over the game is 21...莒fe8N, for instance: 22.違g5 營e5 23.違xf6 營xf6 24.fxg6 fxg6 25.營xf6 exf6 26.鼍xd6 鼍xe4 27.鼍d7 鼍f8 28.鼍xb7 鼍f7= With a probable draw.

22.<u></u> 集g5

White does best to exchange the strong knight, even though this leads only to equality.

Timman analysed 22.fxg6 hxg6 23.\approx d5 \u00e9c3, starting counterplay before White creates concrete threats: 24.\u00e9f2 (better than 24.\u00e9f4 \u00e9b4! pinning the e4-pawn, and if 25.\u00e2f5 \u00e9d4∓ planning ...\u00e3c2) 24...\u00e3c5∓ Black has neutralized White's attacking attempts.



22....曾e5 23.皇xf6 鬯xf6 24.fxg6 鬯xf3 25.gxh7† 登xh7 26.鼍fxf3 f6 27.e5!? The only active try.

The strong central pawn compensates for White's slightly more active rooks.



C2) 11.₩e1

This is the start of a resolute attacking plan. By unpinning the knight, White more or less forces Black's answer:

11...**\$xf**3

Otherwise the bishop would be misplaced after $\partial d2$.

12.\\\xxf3

White has provoked the exchange without playing h2-h3, keeping the h3-square available for the rook in order to continue with Ξ h3 and Wh4. This is, however, a committal plan, and if Black manages to defend, White's major pieces might remain misplaced. Compared to the main line, covered under line C3, where White recaptures on f3 with his queen, he has less control in the centre.

12....②c6 13.垫h1

White clears the g1-square and evacuates the king from the slightly vulnerable diagonal in order to proceed with Ξ h3 without fearing ...Dg4.

13.¤d1 ¤ad8N

This is Black's best answer, completing development and preparing the central break ...d5.

13...公g4?! embarks on a risky adventure: 14.奠c1 幽c5† 15.堂h1 夐d4 16.创d5 This eventually yielded White a win in Grigoryan – Yilmazyerli, Jerusalem 2015, but 16.罝d2!N would have been even stronger.



14.¤b1

This is the only way of fighting against Black's plan, by preparing b2-b4, but it means abandoning the aggressive set-up.

If 14. &d2 Black can safely take the pawn with 14... Bb6 $\ddagger 15.$ Bh1 Bxb2.

14. 2h3 runs into 14... 创g4, leaving White vulnerable on the dark squares.

14. 中11 allows Black to demonstrate his main plan: 14...d5 15.e5 d4 16.exf6 exf6 17. 奠d2 White needs to keep his bishop in order to avoid problems on the dark squares. 17...dxc3 18. 逸xc3 營xa2 Black has an extra pawn and a solid position.



14...Øb4!

Reverting to a plan we will see again in the lines below.

If 14...d5 15.e5 d4 16.exf6, the generally desirable 16...exf6?! runs into 17.b4!±, for instance: 17...鬯h5 18.b5 创b8 19.逾f2 dxc3 20.鬯xc3± White has a lot of activity.

15.兾d2

White should not forget about Black's initial plan. 15.☆h1 allows: 15...d5 16.e5 d4∓



15...e6

Taking measures against f4-f5.

16.�h1

16.f5 exf5 17.exf5 d5 offers Black active play in the centre.

16....Ife8 17.Ih3 🖄 xd3

Preparing the queenside attack with ...b5. 18.cxd3 b5 19.a3 曾b6 20.曾h4 h5 21.皇e3 曾b7

Black has regrouped perfectly and intends to continue his queenside attack with ...a5 and ...b4.

22.f5 2g4 23.fxg6 fxg6 24.ģg1 ģf6=

Black has repelled the attack and maintained his positional harmony.

13...**¤ac**8

A useful move anticipating the opening of the c-file with ...⁽²⁾b4 and ...⁽²⁾xd3.

If 13...心d7, planning ...心c5 as in the next section, White's attack starting with 14. 当h3 is dangerous.

14.¤h3

Preventing ...约b4 wastes time and slightly weakens the queenside:

14.a3

Black can already open the centre: 14...d5



15.e5

The critical answer.

15. 公xd5 leads to simplifications and a likely draw: 15...公xd5 16. 濟xa5 公xa5 17. exd5 逸xb2 18. 三b1 逸xa3 19. 逸xa7 逸c5 20. 三b5 This was agreed drawn in Baklan – Chernin, Panormo 2001, in view of 20... 逸xa7 21. 三xa5 逸c5=.

15...d4 16.exf6 \$\$xf6

16...exf6 runs into the familiar 17.b4±. 17.②e4 dxe3 18.②xf6† exf6



Now is a good moment to speak about this type of position, which is liable to arise in the current lines. White has a queenside majority, but Black's doubled pawns ensure perfect safety for the king, After ...f6-f5, the f4-pawn will need protecting with g2-g3, which slightly weakens the white king. At the same time the knight is very versatile. It may make use of the weaknesses created by the advancing queenside majority, or it could be transferred to e4. The superiority of the bishop over the knight is not so clear either. The bishop's only stable square is d3, but its activity is restricted there.

19.\arapsilon xe3

More natural than 19.營xe3, which offers Black an additional tempo: 19..., 当fe8 20.營f2 f5 21. 岂e3 岂xe3 22.營xe3 營d5 23.岂d1 So far we have followed Gaponenko – A. Hamdouchi, Baile Tusnad 2005, which ended in a draw after a long fight. Black's most active continuation would be 23...營a2N 24.營c1 ②a5, when White already needs to be careful.



19...階b6 20.罩b1 f5 21.c3 创d8

Preparing to transfer the knight to e6.

Another possible regrouping is 21...②a5 22.營e2 ②b3 23.邕e1 ②c5, planning ...邕cd8. As in the line below, the sacrifice 24.彙xf5 is not dangerous: 24...gxf5 25.鼍g3† 垫h8 26.營e5† f6 27.營e7 鼍g8 28.營xh7†! The only saving resource. 28...塗xh7 29.鼍e7† 垫h6 30.鼍h3† White delivers perpetual check.

22.₩e2N

22.逸xf5? was eventually crowned by success after: 22...gxf5 23.罩g3† 查h8 24.營e7 罩g8? 25.營e5† f6 26.罩xg8† 查xg8 27.營e8† Black resigned in De Firmian – Chernin, Moscow 1990, in view of 28.營d7† winning the rook. However, the earlier 24...營h6N 25.營e5† f6 would have simply maintained Black's material advantage.

22...④e6 23.g3 邕ce8 24.邕e5 ②g7

Black has neutralized White's pressure and will soon take over control of the e-file, with the more pleasant play.



14...[©]b4

The familiar counterattacking plan.

15.凹h4 h5 16.邕f1 公xd3 17.cxd3

So far we have followed Ahn – Verduyn, Belgium 2005.



17...b5!N

The clearest way to obtain counterplay, taking advantage of the fact that the kingside is secure.

18.f5

The most consistent continuation of the attack.

18.a3 does not really stop Black's counterplay: 18...b4 19.axb4 ¹⁰/₂xb4 20.¹/₂c1 a5 Followed by the further advance of the a-pawn.

18.₩g5

This pins and temporarily wins the b5-pawn, but leaves the h3-rook misplaced.



18...增b4 19.增xb5

The apparently solid 19.&c1, defending b2, is likely to cause White back-rank problems after 19... $\textcircled0g4\mp$, threatening ...&f6. Now 20. $\textcircled0d5$? &xc3 nets Black a piece, while 20. $\textcircled0d5$? reveals the back rank issue: 20... $\blacksquarexc1$! 21. $\blacksquarexc1$ $\textcircled0f2\dagger$ 22. $\textcircled0d5$ $\textcircled0xh3\dagger$ 23.gxh3 $\textcircled0d2$, with winning counterplay.

19...遵xb5 20.包xb5 罩c2 21.奠d4 罩fc8!? 22.包xa7 罩b8=

After the inevitable bishop exchange with 2g4 or d7 Black will regain both pawns, as the h3-rook will need some time to return to the game.

18.g4

This does not work out well.



18... 2xg4 19. 2d5 2xe3 20. Exe3

Inserting the intermediate $20.20 \times 7^{\dagger}$ $1.20 \times$

20...營d2 21.f5 兾e5

Black plans either ... \argiccleft conterplay.

18...b4 19.De2



Both sides are quite advanced with carrying out their mutual plans, and the position is about equal. Black has many reasonable moves, including pawn-grabbing with 19... "xa2 or 19... "ac2 followed by ... "axb2, but possibly the most restrictive is: **19...②g4 20.營xe7** Alternatively: 20.②f4 鼻f6 21.營e1 鼻xb2



22.@xh5

White needs to hurry with his attack, as Black has easy progress on the queenside and the first rank is likely to become weak.

22...gxh5 23.罩xh5 ⁽²⁾xe3 24.罩g5† 鼻g7 25.營xe3

25. $extsf{W}$ g3? $extsf{W}$ e5 keeps an extra piece since, with his queen attacked, White is not in time to interfere on the long diagonal with f5-f6.

25...⊮xa2

Planning ... 營b2, defending the bishop. 26. 国g4



26...f6

Avoiding the trap: 26...增b2? 27.f6! exf6 28.營h6 f5 29.exf5+-

Unfortunately for White, the rook lift 29.\approx f4?? is impossible due to the weak back rank, and if 29.h3?? \approx f7 (certainly not the only move) Black defends against the immediate threats and wins. Therefore White must settle for perpetual check.



20...≌c7 21.≌h4

21.營xd6 鱼e5 almost traps the queen, forcing 22.營d5 營xd5 23.exd5 邕c2 with better prospects in the ending, despite the temporary material disadvantage.

21...增xa2 22.fxg6 fxg6 23.Ξxf8† 总xf8 24.豐g5 包e5 25.d4

With his queenside destroyed and his back rank weak, White should hurry to force matters.

25. $\mathbb{Z}xh5$ $\mathbb{W}xb2$ leaves White without a good continuation.

25...ዿe7 26.₩h6

26. \boxtimes g3 \bigtriangleup g4 wins for Black, due to White's awful coordination.

26...<u></u>\$f8

With a draw by repetition.

C3) 11.h3 皇xf3 12.增xf3 包c6



This is the most popular continuation. White keeps his coordination intact, hoping to generate an attack with a more consistent, albeit slower, character than in the previous section. The most popular moves now are C31) 13. Δ h1 and C32) 13.a3.

Here is a brief survey of the minor alternatives:

13.②e2 is the start of a suicidal plan: 13...곕d7 14.c3??



Hoping to neutralize Black's queenside pressure, but losing a pawn. 14.... def! 15.fxe5 axe5

Remarkably, many players, including grandmasters, have fallen into this trap, the most notable example being a game between two prominent figures of the past, Hübner – Korchnoi, Skelleftea 1989.

13.f5

This looks illogical, as it weakens the e5-square. But since advancing the f-pawn is part of White's long-term plan, it should be considered at earlier stages too.

13...∅e5 14.₩f2

14.營g3 prevents the central break recommended below but frees the queen's rook from the task of defending the a7-pawn: 14...罝ac8 15.罝ae1 公c4 16.黛xc4 罝xc4 Black has good counterplay.

14...d5!

Black should hurry to dismantle the enemy centre before the attack starts taking shape (for instance after \$g5).

14...b5 was played in Van Baarle – Klauner, West Berlin 1980, but this cuts off the queen's communication with the centre. The best way of proving that the last move mainly created weaknesses would be 15.a3N, when both 15...心c4 16.b4 and 15...b4 16.axb4 營xb4 17.舀a2 a5 18.☱fa1 cause queenside problems for Black.



15.\ae1N

It is essential to overprotect the e3-bishop in order to avoid all kinds of tactical tricks.

For instance: 15.g4? 🖄 fxg4 16.hxg4 🖄 xg4 17.\@e1 🖄 xe3 18.\@xe3 d4-+

15. h1? does not avoid the trick either:

15...Ofg4 16.hxg4 Oxg4 17.We1 (hoping for 17...Oxe3 18.Oxd5! with chances to maintain equality, but Black can do better) 17...d4 \mp with preferable play due to the excellent control of the dark squares.

15.堂g5, as played in Helmert – Neumann, Germany 1996, is best met by 15...dxe4N, when both 16.②xe4 邕ad8 and 16.堂xe4 邕ad8 offer Black good play in the centre.



15...e6!

Continuing to undermine the enemy pawn chain.

15...dxe4 16.[§]/₂xe4 is likely to offer White some light pressure.

15...②fg4? does not work anymore: 16.hxg4 ②xg4 17.營h4 ②xe3 18.ॾxe3 d4 19.ॾh3+-, keeping the extra piece.

16.fxe6 fxe6

Black has active play, partly based on the enemy queen's exposure.

C31) 13. 🖄 h1

White prepares to put some pressure on the enemy queen with \$\mathbb{L}d2\$, avoiding the loss of a pawn after ...\$\mathbb{W}b6\$.

13...Ød7

Since there is no danger of an attack along the h-file as in line C2, this is the most effective way of preparing the exchange of the d3-bishop. Keeping the queen's knight is useful because it controls d4 and defends e7. On top of this, the last move also creates the potential threat of ...\$xc3, familiar to us from the game Spassky – Fischer (line C13).



14.**逸d2**

This is the consistent follow-up to White's previous move.

14.¤ae1

This developing move allows:

14...ዿੈxc3 15.bxc3 ₩xc3

With the black queen defending the long diagonal, White's attacking prospects are not too worrying.



16.f5

Or if 16.h4 罩ac8 (planning …创b4 or …创d4) 17.h5 创f6 18.hxg6 hxg6, the h-file opens rather in Black's favour.

16...@ce5

Followed by ...f6 with an extra pawn and a solid position.

14.¤ab1

White indirectly defends c3 by putting X-ray pressure on b7.



14....@c5 15.f5

15.盒d2 allows Black to set up the fortress seen so often in the lines below: 15...곕xd3 16.cxd3 e6 17.營f2 f5= Wege – J. Schmidt, Doernigheim 1994.

15....違xc3 16.bxc3 鬯xc3 17.鬯f2

Regaining the pawn with 17.&xc5 dxc5 18. Ξ b3 offers Black control over the dark squares, while White's initiative is temporary: 18...Be5 19. Ξ xb7 Ξ ab8 20. Ξ d7 Ξ bd8 21. Ξ xd8 Oxd8 22.&c4 Ob7 23.fxg6 hxg6 24.&xf7† Og7 25.Bb3 Od6 26.&c4 Ξ xf1† 27.&xf1 Bxe4 With a comfortable ending for Black, due to the exposed white king.



Followed by Despite the missing exchange, Black has excellent play, as the position is static and White does not have an active plan.

14.Ød1

This aims to evacuate the minor pieces from the attacked squares, but the plan is too contorted.

14...Øc5



15.<u>\$</u>e2N

15.彙xc5 is an obvious concession: 15...灣xc5 16.c3 b5 17.a3 a5 18.②e3 In A. Petrov – Gubanov, St Petersburg 1996, Black should have restricted the enemy knight with 18...e6N, since 19.f5?! runs into 19...②e5 20.營e2 b4∓, with a queenside initiative and great control over the dark squares.

15....äad8 16.a4

This is White's best attempt to maintain queenside stability.

16.a3?! runs into the annoying 16...營a4!, attacking c2 and e4.

16.c3?! أab3 17.凹b1 營xa2 is also inferior for White.

16...⊮b4

White has nothing better than 17.\$xc5 ^wxc5, with comfortable play for Black.



15.¤ad1

The most natural, but by no means the only move.

15.a3 🖄 xd3 16.\mathbf{W} xd3

16.cxd3 營b6 17.鬥ab1 transposes to variation C32.

16...₩a6

This solves all Black's problems by challenging White's control of the light squares.

17.₩g3

17. ∰xa6 bxa6∓ followed by ... \approx labs, ... \approx lcs and ... \approx d4 offers Black too much queenside play. Or if 17.g4 \approx xd3 18.cxd3 \approx lcs a Black has comfortable play, as the kingside attack is not dangerous in the absence of queens.



17...f5

Black was not worse at all in Unzicker – Abramovic, Moscow 1982.

The following attacking plan gets nowhere for White:

15.營g3 公xd3 16.cxd3 f5 17.邕f2

Due to the queen's activity along the fifth rank, the thematic attack based on 17.h4 rather favours Black: 17...心d4 18.h5 fxe4 19.hxg6 營h5† 20.營h2 營xh2† 21.أ來h2 e3 22.gxh7† 遼xh7 23.違xe3 公c2∓ Black wins an exchange.

17.exf5 is best answered with 17...^wxf5 18.^zae1 d5^{\mp}, with better coordination and a small space advantage for Black.



17...e6 18.exf5 exf5=

Black had no problems at all in Chandler – Torre, Manila 1977.

15.奠c4 凹ac8

The bishop on c4 may become exposed after $\dots 2a4$.

16.¤ad1

If 16.a3, as in Henao – Garcia Martinez, Bogota 1991, 16... (2) a4N is even more effective than in the main line, as the queenside is weaker.

16....🖗 a4 17. 🖗 xa4 🖞 xa4 18. ĝb3 🖞 a6 N

18...曾b5 19.曾f2 公d4= was also fine for Black in Van der Ploeg – Conterno, email 2011.

19.c3 🖄 a5

Black has excellent queenside counterplay.

15....²xd3 16.cxd3 e6

This is the usual way of preparing ... f5.

The rare 16...f5 deserves attention. I assume that players with Black wish to avoid: 17.公d5 (17.a3 e6 transposes to the main line) However, Black can safely take the pawn. 17...營xa2 18.盒c3 營b3 There is no obvious compensation for White.



17.a3

17.f5N

This remains untested so far, possibly because of the obvious:

17...exf5 18.exf5

18. 2d5 runs into 18...fxe4! =.

18...Ôd4

Apparently White loses the f-pawn, but things are not that simple.

19.Ôd5

19. Wxb7? ⁽¹/₂xf5 20. Wf3 프ab8 yields Black the initiative.

19...增xd2 20.\\\xd2 \@xf3 21.\\\xd3

Black still needs some accuracy in order to avoid micro-problems.

21...¤fe8

Preparing to attack the perfectly placed knight with $\dots \mathbb{Z}e5$.

Planning to exchange White's active rook with ... $\exists c5$.

26.b4 ĝe3!

Followed by ... \$b6-d8 with equality.



17...f5

Finally blocking White's mobile pawn chain.

18.g4

Exchanging the bishops with 18.包e2!? 響b5 19.皇c3 皇xc3 20.bxc3 骂f7= causes no problems, as M. Gurevich points out.

18....¹⁸b6 19.gxf5 exf5 20.b4



20....@e7!?N

I believe defending the d5-square is more accurate than 20... 创d4, although the latter move eventually yielded Black a win in Tischbierek – M. Gurevich, Ostend 1991.

21.h4

White's only active plan.

21....≅ac8 22.≅c1 ₩d4



23.h5 gxh5!

Followed by …堂h8, …違f6 and …置g8, with strong counterplay.



C32) 13.a3

This apparently modest move is even more popular than 13. Bh1. White indirectly defends the b2-pawn by creating a net for the black queen, but the character of the position and its evaluation do not change. We have seen that play can transpose here from line C32.

13... 包d7 14. 皇d2 凹b6† 15. 空h1 包c5

15...\#xb2? 16.\Efb1 &xc3 17.\Exb2 &xb2 18.\Eb1 &g7 19.\Exb7± does not offer Black compensation for the queen.



16.¤ab1

16.b4 does not induce any change in Black's general plan: 16...②xd3 17.cxd3 ②d4 18.營d1 In Renner – Rudolf, Germany 1996, the simplest way to equality was 18...f5N=.

16.@d5

This rare option deserves a closer look, even if it has never been tried by strong players.



16...⊮d8

This is safer than: 16... 🖞 xb2 17. 🖾 ab1N 🖞 xa3

18.f5 \approx ae8 Black's extra pawns compensate for White's strong kingside pressure, but in practice defending this position may prove unpleasant.

This occurred in Heinel – Leichter, Bergisch Gladbach 1996. Black could have obtained a safe position with:

19...增f6N 20.b4 公xd3 21.cxd3 罩ac8 22.f5 增d4

Followed by ...2e5 and possibly ... $\nexistsc3$. The attack based on f5-f6† is not dangerous, as Black can defend the g7-square easily with ...2h8 and, if needed, ... $\nexistsf8$.



16....²xd3 17.cxd3

Black answers 17.^wxd3 with the familiar 17...^wa6=, which we saw in an analogous position on page 165.

17...f5 18.g4

The most consistent continuation. White plans to increase his space advantage systematically. Premature activity does not promise much:

18.句d5 營b3 19.盒c3 e6 20.盒xg7 空xg7 21.句c3 d5 yielded comfortable equality in Balashov – Rustemov, Moscow 1995.

Against 18.exf5, the most active answer is the

rare 18..., Exf5!, preparing to double rooks on the f-file: 19. ④d5N (19.b4 ④d4 20. 營e3 邕e8 21. 邕bc1 營a6 was fine for Black in Corbat – Schweer, email 2006) 19....營b3 20. ⑤e3 邕f7 21. 逸c3 邕af8 22. 營e4 e5= Black has nothing to complain about.





19.gxf5

If White is to strive for an advantage, he needs to maintain his centre.

19.exf5 is harmless: 19...exf5 20.④d5 營b3 21.奠c3 Ξae8=

19...exf5

19...gxf5 20.²g1 unnecessarily exposed the king in Fierz – Perissinotto, Mendrisio 1999.

20. 2d5 2d8 21. 皇c3 包e7

I find this to be the most principled continuation, immediately taking measures against the active knight.

However, if Black wishes avoiding the ensuing complications, he can also complete his development with 21...留d7N followed by置ae8. For instance: 22.逸xg7 營xg7 23.b4 罩ae8 24.b5 公d4 25.營e3 b6 26.a4 公e6= Followed by either ...公c7 or ...公c5.



22.e5

Trying to make use of White's slight lead in development.

Allowing the structure to be spoiled for the sake of illusory activity along the e-file is not advisable:

22.骂be1?! ②xd5 23.exd5 骂c8 24.d4?!

This is hard to understand, as the c3-bishop remains passive and the d4-pawn is weak. But even after the more logical 24.奠xg7 垫xg7 25.邕e6 營b6∓ Black's position is preferable.

24...⊮b6



25.¤e6

White probably noticed too late that defending the pawn would cause him to lose control over the open file: 25.營f2 営fe8 26.冕e6 營b3 Attacking both d5 and e6. 27.豐f3 罩xe6 28.dxe6 營xe6 29.營xb7 營e4† 30.營xe4 fxe4∓ Black has a typical goodbishop-versus-bad-bishop ending.

25...ዿੈxd4 26.₩g2 ዿੈxc3 27.bxc3 ⇔h8∓

Black had an extra pawn and the better structure in Oleksienko – Kryvoruchko, Lvov 2004.

22...dxe5 23. 2xe7 † 營xe7 24. 皇b4

The unambitious 24.fxe5 allows Black to blockade the pawns with 24...当ad8 25.d4 幽行, with complete equality.

24...₩d7

Slightly more active than 24.... #f7, although that also looks fine: 25. \$\\$xf8 \Exf8 26.fxe5 \$\\$xe5 27. \Effe1 \$\\$f6 28. \Effe1 \Exf8 The position was balanced in Glek – Chernin, Neu Isenburg 1991.

25.皇xf8 \Sxf8 26.fxe5 皇xe5

A pawn and the better structure offer Black entirely adequate compensation for the exchange.



27.宮fe1 臭d4 28.宫bc1 f4

An ambitious approach – Black thinks of invading the e3-square.

29.¤c4



So far we have followed M. Pavlov – Kryvoruchko, Alushta 2005. Black could have gradually improved his position with:

29...b5N 30.\arrowc2 a5

Black has at least equal chances.

Conclusion

Lines A) 7.^{\square}d3 and B) 7.^{\square}d4 are a bit speculative and objectively not dangerous, but concretely they require precise play from Black. The reverse of the medal is that if Black handles the early phase well, he is entitled to count on pleasant counterplay.

The classical line C3 is strategically sound, but does not put immediate pressure on Black, allowing him to build up his counterplay at his leisure with ... add7-c5xd3.



Austrian Attack



6.<u>\$</u>b5†

Variation Index

1.e4 d6 2.d4 2f6 3.2c3 g6 4.f4 \$g7 5.2f3 c5 6.\$b5†

offield a	
A) 7.	172
A1) 8.e5	173
A2) 8.d5	176
B) 7.e5 🖄 g4	181
B1) 8.h3	182
B2) 8.奠xd7† 營xd7	183
B21) 9.h3	184
B22) 9.d5	186
B3) 8.e6 fxe6! 9.包g5	188
B31) 12.c3	193
B32) 12.營d2	197





A2) after 11. 🖄 d1



B31) note to 15. 4b1



1.e4 d6 2.d4 2f6 3.2c3 g6 4.f4 \$\\$g7 5.2f3 c5 6.\$b5†

This is the modern approach, trying to challenge Black in forced play in most of the lines.

Due to White's threatening centre, it would make no sense to develop the knight straight into the pin. By offering the bishop exchange, Black tries not only to reduce White's attacking potential, but also to weaken the light squares in the enemy camp, which may become relevant if the central pawns advance.

White has a choice between **A**) **7.<u><u>â</u>xd**7[†] and **B**) **7.e5**.</u>



A) 7. \$xd7†

White immediately confronts Black with an important choice.

7...②bxd7

I find this way of capturing the most logical, as it is a developing move.

However, there are at least two reasons why over the years 7... (2) fxd7 has been by far the more popular. One of them is that after 8.d5 Black can play the thematic 8...b5 at once. The point is that the bishop's diagonal is open and Black can regain the pawn after 9.公xb5 幽a5† 10.公c3 逸xc3†.

Personally I would be worried about 9.營e2 b4 10.②d1 0–0 11.0–0 followed by ②f2 and f4-f5, when one might feel that the knight belongs on f6.

The second reason will be explained below in line A1.



White's main continuations are A1) 8.e5 and A2) 8.d5.

8.0-0

This offers Black a comfortable version of the Sicilian Dragon.

8...0-0

It is better to delay the exchange on d4, as White can meet 8...cxd4 with 9.∰xd4, threatening e4-e5 before Black is quite ready for it.

9.e5

The only independent attempt to bring some life into the position.

9.d5 transposes to variation A2.

9. \$e3? runs into 9... 2g4.

Or 9. h1 cxd4 and now:

a) 10.¹xd4 ^{II}c8 followed by ...a6 or ...¹b6 is comfortable for Black.

 ...,臣c8 and ...心c5 will endanger the e4-pawn and leave White with weaknesses on the light squares in general.



9.... h5 10. 集e3

10.g4 allows a tactical operation typical for variation A1: 10...0xf4 11.0xf4 cxd4 12.0e4 dxe5 \mp Black has more than enough compensation for the piece, as his pawns are threatening.

10...cxd4 11.\"xd4 dxe5 12.fxe5 \"xe5!

A simple combination solving all Black's problems.

13.②xe5 圈c7 14.②d5 圈xe5 15.圈xe5 盒xe5 16.③xe7† 查g7 17.④f5†!? gxf5 18.罩xf5 盒xb2=

Black had comfortably equalized in Nazarov – Tseshkovsky, Tashkent 2008.





In the comments to his game with Black against Savon in the 1973 Soviet Championship, featuring 7... (2) fxd7, Korchnoi explains that he knew perfectly well that the principled move was 7... (2) bxd7, but at that time analysts had not discovered the solution to this move of White's.

8.... 8h5!

As shown below, winning the knight costs White too dear. And not all knights on the edge are misplaced, Dr Tarrasch, as our hero puts pressure on f4!

9.exd6

White cannot keep his centre intact.

9.g4?!

The main point is that this allows: 9....



10.瀺xf4

It makes no sense to reject the sacrifice, as after 10.exd6 $\textcircled{0}e6N\mp$ Black is perfectly regrouped and better developed.

10...cxd4 11.@e4

After 11. $rac{1}{2}$ xd4 dxe5 12. $rac{1}{2}$ xe5 $rac{1}{2}$ xe5 $rac{1}{2}$ xe5 $rac{1}{2}$ xe5 $rac{1}{2}$ Black emerged from the complications with an extra pawn in Van Zwol – Richard, email 2000. The point is that the g7-bishop exerts an X-ray action after 13. $rac{1}{2}$ xe5 $rac{1}{2}$ xe4.

Or if 11.e6 fxe6 12.⁽²)xd4 ≜xd4 13.⁽⁴⁾xd4 e5 14.≜xe5 ⁽²⁾xe5 15.0–0–0 ⁽⁴⁾b6, White still needs to prove that he has compensation for the pawn.

11...dxe5

Black has three mobile pawns for the piece and his chances are preferable – in fact, he has scored 100% in the games in my database.



12.**ģg**3 ₩b6∓

Defending the e6-square in advance in order to prepare ... f5.

9.奠e3

This is a better attempt to keep the tension, but Black still responds with:

9...²xf4! 10.²xf4 cxd4



11.e6!

The only way to maintain approximate equality.

11.②e4 dxe5 12.黛g5 鬯b6 13.0-0 f5 14.②g3 e4 15.④h4 was played in Shomoev – Mamedyarov, Jurmala 2015. Black's best was 15...e6!N, consolidating the f5-pawn and leaving White with two misplaced knights and no real chances for attack. For instance: 16.2h5 &e5 17.26f4 &f6 18.2xf62xf6-+ followed by ...0-0-0 and ...g5.

11...fxe6 12.必xd4N

12. De2 e5 13. g5 Dc5∓ was unpleasant for White in Coyne – Conterno, email 2007.

12... $\hat{\underline{x}}$ xd4 13. $\underline{\mathbb{W}}$ xd4 e5 14. $\hat{\underline{x}}$ xe5 $\underline{\mathbb{A}}$ xe5 15.0–0–0 We met a similar position in the previous line, but here the pawn on g2 instead of g4. This helps White because the pawn and the f3-square are not weak.



15...₩a5

Another benefit for White of not having the pawn on g4 is that he could meet 15...營b6 with 16.營h4, causing Black some problems with evacuating his king from the centre.

16.@d5

If 16.⁽²⁾/₂h4 \triangle c6, increasing the pressure on e7 with 17.⁽²⁾/₂d5 loses the a2-pawn under worse circumstances than in the main line, since the queen does not protect b2.

16...鬯xa2 17.②c7† 杏d7 18.②xa8 罩xa8

Black has two pawns for the exchange and White's king is no safer than its rival. A possible continuation is:

19.

三he1 公c6 20.

響g7 營a1† 21.

堂d2 營a5† 22. c3 營g5† 23.

☆c2 營f5† 24.

☆c1 h5=

Black has defended all his weaknesses and has a stable position.



9...0-0!

At this stage, development is more important than pawns.

9...exd6?! 10.[™]e2[†], as in Kristensen – Kassing, corr. 1990, causes Black some trouble.

10.0-0

10...exd6

Black regains the pawn. Even though his structure looks vulnerable and his knights appear somewhat chaotically placed, White's weaknesses on the light squares, as well as his problems finding an active and stable square for the bishop, level the balance.

11. <u>\$</u>e3

The bishop does not stand especially well on this square, but clearing its path to g5 costs a tempo that allows Black to organize his counterplay. For instance:

11.dxc5 dxc5

Black decides to maintain the integrity of his structure.

11...②xc5N is also possible, controlling the e4-square.

12.f5



In Sax – Ehlvest, Haninge 1990, the simplest way to equality was:

15...\$f6!?N=

White experiences stability problems in the centre.



13.@ce2

White's compensation for the pawns is insufficient after: 13.鼻e3 罩ae8 14.勾d5 營xb2 15.罩b1 營xa2 16.勾b5 勾df6∓

13....\area 14.c3 \area e4

Naturally occupying the weak square and increasing the pressure on d4.

15.\"b3 \"xb3 16.axb3 a6



Black threatens\area ae8, with an obvious initiative. White's attempt to activate his pieces will leave him in a worse ending.

17.¹26f4 ¹2xf4 18.¹2xf4 ¹2c5 19.¹Ead1 ¹2xd4[†] 20.¹Exd4 ¹Exd4 21.cxd4 ¹2xb3 22.fxg6 hxg6 23.¹Ed1

In Bareev – Ubilava Moscow 1989, Black should have played:

23...d5!N∓

Black keeps his extra pawn in an ending where the knight is likely to be stronger than the bishop.



11....莒e8 12.營d2 创hf6

The knight returns to its best square, controlling e4 and threatening ... $\textcircled{}{}$ g4.

13.호h1 친b6 14.b3 친bd5 15.친xd5 친xd5 16.皇g1 친f6

The knight once again takes control over e4.

17.宫ae1 凹d7 18.dxc5 dxc5



19.₩xd7

Or 19.ዿxc5 ∰xd2 20.\\xetaxe8† \\xetaxe8 21.\\\\\xd2 \\xetaxc8 22.\\\\\xetaxa7 \\\xetaxc2=, with a probable draw.

19....²xd7 20.²xe8† ²Xe8 21.²d1 ²Ee7 22.²<u>g</u>f2 b6=

A draw was agreed in Carlsen – Mamedyarov, Moscow 2007.

A2) 8.d5



This transposition to the Schmid Benoni structure is more consistent than in line D1 of Chapter 5, since Black cannot break with ...e6 so soon.

8...0-0

With the king in the centre, it is still dangerous to play the thematic 8...b5, due to 9.e5 dxe5 10.fxe5 2 g4 11.e6 with a strong initiative for White. But Black does not need to hurry, since White can only prevent ...b5 by making some important commitments.



9.0-0

White has two important alternatives, both trying to prevent ...b5.

9.a4 ₩a5

Black creates the double threat of ... \triangle xe4 and ... b5.



10.曵d2

The passive 10.创d2 allows Black to start a somewhat slower plan: 10...创e8 11.鬯f3 Defending c3. 11...f5 12.exf5 罩xf5 13.0-0

2c7 Black has a fluent plan based on ... Ξ af8 and ...2b6, possibly combined with ...2xc3, with excellent counterplay against White's weaknesses on d5 and f4.

10...⊮a6



11.₩e2

The other way of covering the f1-a6 diagonal, 11.②b5, allows Black to sacrifice an exchange under favourable circumstances: 11...④xe4! Eliminating an important pawn and weakening the whole central structure. 12.④c7 幽b6 13.⑤xa8 邕xa8 14.邕b1 c4∓ White's king still needs some time to get to safety and the d5-pawn is chronically weak. 11...蠻xe2† 12.xe2



12....@e8!N

The start of a flexible regrouping, preparing both the thematic breaks, ...b5 and ...e6.

The premature 12...e6 13.dxe6 fxe6, played in Gharamian – Shoker, Metz 2014, could have caused some problems after: 14.2g5N Ξ fe8 15. $\textcircled{2}b5\pm$

With the regrouping Black has carried out, 15...e6 16.dxe6 fxe6 is also possible.

16.axb6 ⁽²⁾2xb6 17.b3 f5∓

White experiences some trouble with the d5-pawn.

9.₩e2

This requires more accuracy from Black, who must try to prove that placing the queen on the e-file has made it vulnerable:



9....¤e8!

In practice Black has mainly tried 9...e6 10.dxe6 fxe6 $11.0-0\pm$, with a less-than-perfect version of this structure, since the queen's knight belongs on c6.

The text move is not mentioned by Khalifman in *Opening for White According to Anand, Volume 4*. Black plans ...e6, causing immediate problems along the e-file, so White is practically forced to prevent this break with:

10.f5N

This move forms part of White's global plan, but he does not usually play it at such an early stage.

After 10.0–0 e6 11.dxe6 \[2xe6, White tried 12.f5!? gxf5 13.2]g5 in Dudyev – Lohmann,

email 2007, but 13... \[Ee7N 14.\[Exf5 \]b6 followed by ... \[Eae8, would have left White's centre under serious pressure.

10...gxf5!

Weakening the d5-pawn.

11.exf5

With the current piece placement, the thematic 11.¹/₂h4? is simply bad due to 11...e6!, threatening ...¹/₂xd5 or ...¹/₂xe4, since the h4-knight is hanging.

Or if 11.0–0 fxe4 12.²/h4 e6 13.dxe6 \arrow \arrow ke6 14.²/2f5 \$\overline{2}h8!?∓ White's kingside pressure does not compensate for Black's extra central pawns.



11...c4

Black needs to hurry with the assault on d5 before White gets realistic kingside attacking chances.

11...Db6?! is ineffective, since after 12.Dg5±, planning Bf3, the d5-pawn is taboo: 12...Dbxd5?? 13.Dxd5 Dxd5 14.Bh5 with a disaster for Black on the kingside.

12.0-0 b5 13. 🖄 xb5

Otherwise the d5-pawn will become a real weakness.

13....\b6† 14.\bd4 \approx bd4 \approx ab8!?

A subtle move, increasing the pressure on b2, a detail which will become relevant later. 14...習c5 is also playable: 15.皇e3 營xd5 16.莒ad1 營b7 17.b3 莒ac8 Black has lots of squares available in the centre.



15.&h1

One important point is that 15.^Wxc4 runsinto <math>15...^Oe5!, when the only way to avoidthe loss of a knight is <math>16.^{Wa4</sub> $^{<math>\text{O}}xd5$. With the white queen far away from the kingside there is no attack available, and on the whole Black's position is comfortable.}</sup></sup>

After 15.c3 營c5 16.奠e3 營xd5 17.罩ad1 營b7= Black gains an important tempo by attacking b2, revealing one of the merits of …罩ab8.

15...增c5 16.包c6 罩b7 17.b4 增xd5 18.包xe7† 查f8 19.包xd5 罩xe2 20.包xf6 包xf6

With a complicated fight and approximately equal chances.



9...b5!

There is no longer any restriction on playing this thematic move.

10.₩e2

Preparing the standard regrouping with ^②d1-f2.

With the kings castled, the central break is not dangerous:

10.e5 dxe5 11.fxe5 🖄 g4 12.e6

12. Dxb5 doesn't cause Black any problems: 12...Ddxe5 13. Dxe5 Dxe5 ½–½ Melamed – Hoffmann, Germany 2013.

12...fxe6 13.dxe6 @de5 14.\u00e9xd8

White needs to exchange queens even though this helps Black's development, for if 14.⁴公xb5? 營b6, threatening ...c4[†], Black wins.

14...Eaxd8 15.②xb5 a6 16.②c3

In Gubaydulin – Tseshkovsky, Tashkent 2008, Black's best would have been:



16....\deltad6N

Threatening to spoil White's structure with …②xf3†, followed soon by …三xe6.

17.②g5 邕xf1† 18.堂xf1 ②xh2† 19.堂e2 ②hg4章

With active play for Black.

10...b4 11. 2d1

Black has carried out his queenside plan successfully, but making further progress is not easy. None of the plans tried in practice has convinced me of its viability so I would like to suggest a new move, though the idea is familiar to us:


11....¤e8!N

After weakening the defence of the central pawns, it is just natural to prepare ...e6 under optimal circumstances. Confronted with dangers along the e-file, White needs to carry out one of the thematic breaks at once.

12.f5

The alternative is:

12.e5 ^bxd5 13.e6 ^bf8 14.exf7† ^bxf7 15.^bg5† ^bg8



16.De6

Exchanging the g7-bishop.

The attack based on 16.f5 is not that dangerous: 16...gxf5 17.鼍xf5 e6 18.鼍f1 创f6, followed by ...鬯e7 and ...d5.

16...鬯d7 17.②xg7 垫xg7

White does not have a simple way of taking advantage of the weakness of the long

diagonal, since Black has many pawns on dark squares restricting the enemy bishop. 18.a3

Trying to undermine the pawn chain.

Black has at least equal chances after 18.b3 0e6 19.2b2† 0d4, when even after losing a pawn on d4 he would maintain the more flexible structure.

18...a5 19.曾f3 鬯e6 20.b3 创d7 21.逸b2† ②7f6

Followed by ... \$\$\dotsymbol{'2}g8 with an extra pawn and a solid position.



12...gxf5!

Another familiar move – Black undermines the d5-pawn before White consolidates it with c2-c4.

13.**②h**4

The only way to build up an attack.

13...fxe4 14.创f5 h8

Planning ... Ξ g8 with counterplay along the g-file.

14....ĝf8 may also work, but it looks a bit passive.

15.^公xg7

After 15.创f2 骂g8 16.创xe4 创xe4 17.赠xe4 象f6, White does not have much to show for the pawn.

15....堂xg7 16.②f2 堂h8 17.②xe4 ②xe4 18.鬯xe4 f6∓

Black has neutralized the pressure completely and can think of converting his extra pawn.



B) 7.e5

This has traditionally been considered the most challenging continuation. Play takes on a forcing character, with chances for both sides.

7...Øg4

White's main continuations are: **B1**) **8.h3**, **B2**) **8.[§]**xd7[†] and the absolutely critical **B3**) **8.e6**.

8.@g5?!

This premature lunge deserves a brief mention.



8...cxd4!

This is the most effective reply, even though it is rare.

9.e6!?

The only way to maintain the tension.

In a couple of games, White played the insipid: 9.盒xd7†?! 營xd7 10.e6 fxe6 11.營xg4 dxc3干

9...dxc3 10.違xd7†

10.²xf7?? is bad for many reasons, for instance 10...cxb2 11.逸xb2 鬯a5†-+ or simply 10...鬯b6-+.



Certainly not 10...¹/₂xd7?? 11.exf7† ¹/₂f8 12.¹/₂e6†, winning the queen.

11.b4N

White should avoid: 11. 公xf7? 鬯b6 12. 鬯xg4 cxb2-+

11.b3 f5 12.h3 occurred in Koepcke – Schumacher, email 2003, and now Black should have played 12...⁽²⁾f6N, with similar play to the lines below.

11...f5

Both sides have calmed things down on their vulnerable wings, but Black keeps an extra pawn and the more active position. The dangers surrounding the king are illusory. 12.¹⁰/₁₇3

It hardly needs saying that $12.2f^{7}$ is ineffective due to 12...¹⁰/₂b6-+, threatening both mate in one and ... $2xd^{7}$.

Or if 12.h3 创f6 13.创f7 鬯b6 14.创xh8 创e4 15.创xg6† hxg6 16.豐f3 创xd7 17.exd7 $\mathbb{E}d8\mp$ Black has fantastic compensation for the exchange.



12...[™]c7

Engines consider $12...42 \times d7$ 13.exd7@xd7 14.@d5 entirely safe for Black, but as a human it makes no sense to clear the e6-square so soon.

13.h3 🖄f6

After controlling the d5-square, Black now threatens ... Dbxd7.

14.ĝa4 ∅c6∓

Black has an extra pawn and a positional advantage due to the central weaknesses on d4 and e4, and his far-advanced pawn restricting White's pieces.



B1) 8.h3

White immediately starts the fight against the g4-knight.

8...cxd4

Curiously, the sequence 8...&xb5 9.@xb5 $@a5\dagger$ 10.@c3 cxd4 11.@xd4 @c6 12.@e4 @h6 transposes to the line which I mention (but don't recommend) in the note to 11...@b6below.

11.₩e4



11**...≌b6**!

This has rarely been seen in high-level games.

Long ago, the main discussion at grandmaster level continued 11...營a5†?! 12.②c3 ②h6, but White does well after: 13.g4 0–0–0 14.違d2 dxe5 15.fxe5 ③xe5 16.③xe5 罩xd2 17.查xd2 逸xe5 18.罩ad1 f5 19.gxf5 ④xf5 20.查c1± Black had insufficient compensation for the exchange, as his king was vulnerable and his structure imperfect in Ljubojevic – Benko, Skopje (ol) 1972. 12.hxg4 [™]xb5 13.exd6 (13.[°]ad2?! dxe5 14.[°]ac3 0–0–0 offered Black excellent play in Delaney – P. Brown, Novi Sad [ol] 1990) Now in Guljas – Bockinac, corr. 1983, Black should have played 13...0–0N with at least equal chances, since 14.dxe7? [™]fe8∓ endangers the white king.

12...a6 13.@a3

After 13.exd6, as played in Franzoi – Arias Rodriguez, corr. 1996, I recommend: 13...axb5N 14.d7† \$\delta\$xd7 15.hxg4 b4 16.\$\delta\$d3† \$\delta\$c8= The black rooks' disconnection is not relevant, since the queen's rook is active along the a-file and can join its colleague on the d-file via a5-d5.

13...心h6 14.心c4 凹d8 15.exd6

15.0-0 b5 16.42e3 dxe5 17.5xe5 0-0 did not offer White any reason for joy in Driessen – Tellier, email 2011, as his central pawn had become vulnerable.



15...④f5 16.d7†

This forces simplification to a roughly equal ending. Pawn-grabbing is dangerous, as is almost always the case in similar situations: 16.dxe7 位cxe7 17.營f2 營d5 18.包e3

In Plonczak – Panocki, Leba 2006 Black should have continued with:

18...②xe3N 19.৺xe3 0-0-0 20.0-0 ②f5

Black has overwhelming central activity, offering more than enough compensation for the pawn. For instance:

This explains why it was correct for Black to castle long – he needs the f8-square for his bishop.

22.☆h2 ዿc5 23.e1 \Zetahe8 24.c3 ☆b8∓ With a strong initiative for Black.



16...增xd7 17.②b6 營d8N

17.... dcd4 18. dxd4 dxd4 19. dxd7 dxe2 20. db6 dg3 21. dxa8 dxh1= was totally level in Romualdi – Soh, email 2013.

18.包xa8 包g3 19.營d2 包xh1 20.營xd8† 包xd8=

Both knights will return to their camps, as 21.g4?!, trying to trap the h1-knight, can only cause problems for White after 21...h5!, either opening the h-file for the attack or gaining the f5-square for the knight.

B2) 8. gxd7†

Even though this appears to prematurely release the tension, it tends to lead to long forced lines. However, unlike in variation B3, there is no danger around the black king.

8...₩xd7

An important point behind White's last move is that the generally desirable 8... 3xd7? loses to: 9.e6 fxe6 10. 3g5+-



We have a new branching point: **B21**) **9.h3** or **B22**) **9.d5**.

9.dxc5

The comparatively rare option is harmless. 9...dxe5 10.營xd7† 公xd7 11.h3 e4!

Preventing White from gaining space with fxe5 after the knight retreat.

12.②xe4 ②gf6 13.②xf6† 奠xf6

Black regains the pawn with comfortable play. For instance:



19.邕c7 does not achieve much after 19...心e6 20.邕c6 0–0 followed by ...邕fc8. 19....\arrowsenset 20.\arrowsenset af \arrowsenset c7=

B21) 9.h3

A slightly paradoxical move, allowing Black to gain time for his queenside development. White's main idea is that the g4-knight will soon have to retreat to h6, with unclear prospects for finding an active and stable square. We can also notice a certain similarity with line B1 above.

9...cxd4 10.營xd4 包c6 11.營d3

11.₩e4

This looks more active, but has a hidden drawback.

11....2h6 12.&e3

The attempt to keep the enemy knight on h6 with 12.g4 fails after: 12...0-0-0 13.&e3 dxe5 14.fxe5 f5! Black freed his knight from the edge and took over the initiative in Berkley – Benatar, email 2001.



An important moment. White might want to leave the bishop on e3 in order to complete his development as soon as possible, but with the queen on e4 he needs to parry $\dots \textcircled{2}g3$.



13.奠f2 dxe5 14.fxe5 公xe5!

A small tactical trick, winning a pawn. 15.∅xe5 ₩e6

The knight cannot retreat due to ... $\&xc3^{\dagger}$, winning the queen. However, White maintains adequate compensation for the pawn.

16.0-0-0

16. $a4^{\dagger}$ deprives the king of the right to castle, but after 16... bf8 the king will sooner or later go to g7: 17.0–0–0 axe518.g4N (18. a+1 f6 19.g4 ad6 20. ad4af7 21. ad5 ac8 22. axa7 axd5 23. axe5ac6 24. ac3 e5 25. h4 bg7 was fine for Black in Shpakovsky – Koegler, email 2011) 18... ad6 19. ad4 b5!? It is best to return the pawn for the sake of completing development before White's central pressure becomes too strong. If 20. ad4 ac8 followed by ...ac4, Black has obvious counterplay, while after 20. axb5 axb5 21. axd422. axd4 h5 Black is not worse at all, since his king is slightly safer than White's.

16....皇xe5 17.罝he1 皇xc3 18.豐xb7 營c8 19.豐xc8† 罝xc8 20.bxc3 罝c7 21.g4 包g7 22.皇g3



So far Black had played well in Shirov – Zaragatski, Germany 2015, but for no good reason he now abandoned the c-file with 22...,Eb7?!.

Any move along the c-file would maintain approximate equality, for instance: 22...\\Zc6N= Black's better structure compensates for White's slight initiative.



11...心h6 12.皇e3 0-0!?N

In practice the main line has been:

12...②f5 13.0-0-0!

This is possible now, since there is no fork with ... $2g_3$ available.

13...0-0-0 14.g4 2xe3 15. 2xe3 2c7

In Kosteniuk – Lagno, Beijing 2013, and several email games, White has started double-edged complications with 16.42g5, but I am more concerned by:

16.e6!?N 臭xc3 17.鬯xc3 f6 18.垫b1

White retains an annoying space advantage, even though objectively Black may have no problems.

My suggested novelty is more accurate than 12...0-0-0, since after a subsequent ... We6, 2g5, the queen needs the c8-square.

13.0-0-0

After 13.g4 ∰e6 14.Ôg5 ∰c8 15.exd6 ⊘b4 16.∰d2 ≌d8∓ Black has a strong initiative.

13.... @e6 14. 2b1 Zad8=

White can no longer keep his space advantage, as 15. @e4?! runs into the familiar 15...@f5 \mp .

B22) 9.d5



This leads to a protracted positional struggle with surprisingly long forced variations, with only a few deviations for White along the way. The whole variation has been known for many years to be drawish. However, a certain amount of accuracy is needed from both sides.

9...dxe5 10.h3 e4

A familiar resource.

11.hxg4

Far less challenging is: 11.②xe4 ②f6



12. 2xf6† \$xf6 13.0-0 0-0 14. \$e3

The consolidating 14.c4 allows the undermining 14...e6. For instance: 15.0e5 0d6 16.0b3 0d7=, as played more than once.

Inserting 14.265 36 d6 before 15.c4 does not change the evaluation: 15...2 d7 16.2 g4 2 d4† 17.2 e3 f5! Black exchanges the bishop for the knight in order to weaken the enemy king's position. 18.2 xd4 fxg4 19.2 gxh3 20.g3 e6= The position was balanced in Eraschenkov – Tseshkovsky, Belorechensk 2007. White may seem to have a very strong bishop, but setting up the battery along the long diagonal is not likely, while White's king is no less exposed than Black's.

14...ĝxb2

Leading to mass simplifications and an inevitable draw.

Many games played in the late 1990s confirmed the evaluation of this ending as drawn.

11...exf3 12.營xf3 包a6 13.皇d2

Developing the bishop in such a way as to prevent Black from spoiling the queenside structure with $\dots \hat{\mathbb{Q}}xc3$.

13...0-0-0 14.0-0-0 2c7 15.gel f5

Starting the fight for the light squares.

16.gxf5 ₩xf5



186

Otherwise Black would play ...h5 with a perfect blockade, turning the white bishop into a bad one.

17...增f7 18.桌g3

18.f5 h5 19.gg3 transposes.

18...h5! 19.f5!

The fight for and against the blockade continues. White prepares to set up a battery along the h2-b8 diagonal, forcing the knight to return to a6 soon. But this will cost White a pawn, of course.

19...hxg4 20.₩f4 2a6



21.🖄b5

21.fxg6

This has only been tried in a single over-theboard game.

25. $\underline{\mathbb{W}}$ xc3 allows Black to activate his queen with 25... $\underline{\mathbb{W}}$ e4, endangering the d5-pawn at the same time: 26. $\underline{\mathbb{W}}$ g7 The only way to try to cause some trouble, but Black has an adequate answer. 26... $\underline{\mathbb{W}}$ f3 27. $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}$ g1 $\underline{\mathbb{W}}$ f1[†] 28. $\underline{\mathbb{Q}}$ e1 $\underline{\mathbb{W}}$ xg1 29. $\underline{\mathbb{W}}$ xf8[†] $\underline{\mathbb{C}}$ d7 White is forced to deliver perpetual check staring with 30. $\underline{\mathbb{W}}$ f5[†].



25....\deltad8!N

26.\extstyle="border: 22em; font-weight: bold;">26.\extstyle=texts

Even though Black's position looks passive, White cannot make progress due to his immobile structure. One possible attempt to gain space is:

28.a4

However, this allows Black to force a draw with:

28...公c7 29.豐xc5 豐b6† 30.豐xb6 axb6 31.c4 e6 32.單f7 單d7 33.單f4 exd5 34.罩xg4 dxc4 35.罩xc4 空d8 36.罩b4 包d5=



21... \Ski xd5 22. \Ski xh8 † \$kh8

Despite the relatively long forced sequence, there is still tension in this position.

23.\arrowvertxd5

23.fxg6?! was a novelty in a game last year, but it is hardly inspired: 23...營xf4† 24.彙xf4 邕xd1† 25.亞xd1 違g7 Black has two passed pawns and the g6-pawn is doomed. 26.c3 空d7 27.違g3 查e6 28.②xa7 c4 29.②c8 ②c5∓ Black had a promising endgame in Gaponenko – Bodnaruk, Mamaia 2016.

A strong prophylactic move, freeing the black queen from having to parry the potential threat of 1968 mate.

26.[₩]xh8



26...[₩]g2!N

This is the right way to attack the bishop and threaten to harass the enemy king.

In Gharamian – Carlier, France 2006, Black overlooked that 26... @f3? allows 27. @h3! parrying all the threats and keeping the extra piece.

27.營e5 營f1† 28.空d2 營xb5 29.營xf5†

Being a pawn down, White has nothing more than a perpetual.

23... 響xd5 24.fxg6 響h1† 25. 营d2 響g2† 26. 堂e3

So far we have followed Palac – Skoberne, Rijeka 2007.



26...��d8!N

Avoiding the capture on g4 with check.

27.營f8†

27... 杏d7 28. 鬯f5† 杏d8=

White has to content himself with a draw by perpetual check.





The most aggressive move, which for long years caused Black problems in finding the right defence.

8...fxe6!

For a long time theory evaluated this as losing on the spot, but Seirawan's novelty, mentioned below and apparently inspired by Chernin, changed the course of theory.

The old main line went 8... &xb59.exf7 $\ddagger d7$. However, practice has shown that despite White's numerous weaknesses in the centre, Black is struggling with his king in the centre, and he still needs to regain the f7-pawn.

As ambitious players may consider it a drawback that White can force a draw after the text move, I offer some details of the following alternative, though I stop short of recommending it:

8....ĝxb5 9.exf7† \$f8

Black hopes that his king will find safety on the kingside.

10.②xb5 營a5† 11.②c3 cxd4 12.③xd4 垫xf7 12...③c6 gives White more options, for example: 13.④e6† 垫xf7 14.④xg7 ④f6 15.④e6 垫xe6 16.0–0 垫f7 17.彙e3 營f5 18.h3 h5 19.營e2 罩ac8 20.罩ad1± Even though the king is safer than on d7, White kept long-term pressure in Kozlitin – Kornev, Tula 2014.



13.0-0

In Nazhmeddinov – Anarkulov, Tashkent 2011, Black could have tried: 18...h5!?N 19.f5 gxf5 20.gxf5 營c4 In this complex position, neither side's king is entirely safe. 13...營c5 14.營xg4

A solid alternative for White is: 14.②ce2 拿xd4† 15.②xd4 ②c6 16.c3 ③xd4 17.cxd4 響f5 18.響b3† d5 19.罩e1 營d7 The position was roughly balanced in Cornel – Launhardt, email 2013.

14...ĝxd4† 15.�h1 ĝxc3!

Black seizes the chance to damage White's structure.

16.bxc3 ≌f5

Offering the exchange of queens on this square is an important resource for Black in this line.



17.₩e2

White has also tried: 17.曾f3 包d7 18.彙e3 (18.豐xb7 單hb8 19.豐f3 包f6 20.彙e3 transposes) 18...包f6 19.豐xb7 單hb8 20.豐f3 豐xc2 21.彙d4 營e4 22.營h3 營f5 23.營h4 h5 Chances were balanced in Bjornsson – Thorarinsson, Reykjavik 2015.

17... 创d7 18. 罩b1 b6 19. 奠e3 罩he8 20. 罩b5 创c5 21.a4 堂g8

Black had achieved equality in Karjakin – Mamedyarov, Nice (rapid) 2008.

9.2g5 \$xb5 10.2xe6

This keeps the fight alive until deep in the ending.

10.₩xg4

White increases the pressure on e6, but allows the consolidating: $10... \pounds c4!$



11.b3

It is advisable to start the fight against this bishop at once.

After 11.Ѽxh7? 岱d7 12.Ѽg5 cxd4 13.Ѽf7 營a5, Black had a decisive counterattack and absolute safety for his own king in Kosanovic – Popchev, Stara Pazova 1988.

The simplistic 11.62×66 2.87×66 has been played in a handful of games, but it just loses a pawn: $12... \times d4N \mp$

This occurred in Nunn – Benjamin, Thessaloniki (ol) 1988, and several subsequent games. Black has two extra pawns and an impressive centre, but still needs to find a way to bring his king to safety. The simplest way of fighting against the annoying knight is:



15...②a6N 16.邕hf1 ②c7 17.f5

The only way to retain compensation for the pawns.

17...心xe6 18.fxe6 幽c7 19.罝f7 0-0-0 20.違g5 罩de8 21.鬯h4

White has achieved maximum activity, but Black is ready to counterattack.

21...₩a5



22.\arapsilonxd4!

Otherwise the bishop would become a lethal attacking piece in combination with the queen.

22...cxd4 23.ዿxe7

White has compensation for the material, but no more than that.

23...d3

Forcing a transposition to an approximately equal ending.

Black could also consider evacuating the king from the dangerous area with 23... \$\Delta b8!?.



Black keeps a small material advantage, but in all probability the endgame should be drawn due to the hyper-mobile queen.

10.②xb5

This leads to simpler play with approximate equality.



10...,鬯a5† 11.c3 鬯xb5 12.②xe6 12.鬯xg4 cxd4 13.②xe6 鬯c4 14.②xg7† 杏f7 is just a transposition.

12....¹C4 13.¹xg7[†]

The alternative is: 13.營xg4 cxd4 14.f5 黛f6 15.fxg6 罩g8 16.g7 公c6 17.公c7† 並d8=



The games Shirov – Khalifman, Groningen 1990, and Watson – Shirov(!), Gausdal 1991, ended in draws by perpetual check, and rightly so. If 18. 2xa8? 2e5-+ Black's attack is decisive.

Black does not hurry to take the knight, as

White cannot save it anyway. Black's move is obviously useful as it destroys White's centre completely.

15.Øf5



15...₩e6†

The simplest way to regain the knight.

The main alternative is 15...h5, gaining an important tempo for the global blockade on the light squares, but offering White additional possibilities: 16. $\underline{\mathbb{W}}$ g5 $\underline{\mathbb{W}}$ e6 \dagger 17. $\underline{\mathbb{O}}$ e3 dxe3 and now:



a) In Smeets – Nyback, Germany 2005, White hurried to display activity with 18. 18... 2d7 equalized.

b) Instead, the flexible 18.0-0 might be stronger. 18...e2 Otherwise the threat of f4-f5 could soon become dangerous. (White can meet 18...公c6 with 19.鬯b5) 19.岂e1 營c4 Preventing 營b5 before developing the knight. 20.營g3 公c6 21.營f3 In Udvari – Dard, email 2007, Black's safest option was: 21...Ehf8N 22.Exe2 \$\Deltag8\$ The position is similar to the main line, but Black will need some time to transfer his queen to f7 or f5 in order to keep the light squares firmly in his hands.

16.@e3 dxe3



17.營f3

17.豐xe6† is less ambitious: 17.... 空xe6 18.奧xe3 包c6 Even though Black has not taken the opportunity to play the useful ...h7-h5 with gain of time, his position is absolutely safe without queens on the board. 19.0–0 置hf8 20.c4 查d7 21.g3 b6 22.置fd1 罩ac8 23.堂g2 罩f6 Black has completed all his consolidating moves and, in Dorer – Daus, email 2013, the players agreed to a draw.

17...Øc6

The knight is excellent for defensive purposes. It enjoys absolute stability and is ready to use the weaknesses created by any reckless advance of White's queenside pawns.



18.0–0 凹hf8 19.奠xe3 空g8 20.b3

Necessary in order to develop the rook, but Black gets a target now.

20...a5 21.Ξae1 a4 22.奠c1 增f7 23.c4 axb3 24.axb3 Ξa2=

The active rook restricts White's active possibilities, while Black's structure is rocksolid. Dolmatov – Chernin, Moscow 1990, ended in a draw ten moves later.



10...ĝxd4!!

This is the hidden point behind 8...fxe6. Black threatens to deliver perpetual check with ...\$f2†, ...\$e3† and so on.

11.@xb5

The only reasonable way of fighting on.

In the stem game with this line, White was caught by surprise and accepted the draw with $11.0 \times d8$ $12^{+} 12.0 \times d2$ $13.0 \times 13.0 \times 1988$. Since then, there have been plenty of other games that ended the same way.

Other moves, occasionally played in games between lower-rated players, are worse. For instance:

11.^幽xg4 盒d7 12.f5 盒xe6 13.fxe6 位c6 14.^四f1 was played in Erwich – Termeulen, Leiden 2011, and now simplest is: $14...\&xc3N^{\dagger}$ 15.bxc3 $\textcircled{B}a5\mp$ Black will continue with ...0-0-0, with an extra pawn and the better structure.

Or if 11. 2xd4 2d7, Black has an extra pawn and a positional advantage.



11...₩a5†!

Black is not immediately threatening to take the knight, of course, as this would allow the fork with 2c7, but the last move prepares the checks with the bishop, since the white king will not be able to move to f1 or e2 due to ... 2c2 due to

White can play **B31**) **12.c3** or **B32**) **12.^Wd2**.

12. Dc3? removed the threat on c7, allowing 12...Df2-+ in Kessler – Lorenz, Troisdorf 2008.

B31) 12.c3

White clears the c2-square for his king, but the checks will not end there.

12....皇f2† 13.营d2 皇e3† 14.营c2 鬯a4† 15.营b1

The safest.

This allows a quick queen switch to the kingside:

15...⊮e4†



16.&b2

After 16.營d3 營xg2† 17.盒d2, as in L. Van Foreest – Go, Groningen 2012, Black does not have to exchange everything on d2, thereby freeing White from the pin, but can do better by starting the queenside play that is typical for the whole line: 17... 空d7N 18.②ec7 a6 19.②xa8 axb5 One important point is that after 20.營xb5†?! ②c6 21.營xb7†? 營e6, the threats of ... ②d4† and ... ③b4†, winning the queen, do not allow White time to defend the bishop.

16...[₩]xg2† 17.Фa3

This position has arisen in several games, but in none of them has Black chosen the most forceful way of reaching at least equality:



17...②f2!N 18.②xd6†! The only chance.

15.b3

18....∲d7!

Not fearing any ghosts, as the discovered checks are not dangerous due to ... 🖄 xd1.

18...exd6? 19.[™]xd6 offers White a decisive attack.

19.≝e2 ĝxc1†!

19.... $\overset{\text{m}}{=}$ xh1 turns the game into something similar to Anderssen's Immortal Game: 20. $\overset{\text{m}}{=}$ xe3 $\overset{\text{m}}{=}$ xa1 21. $\overset{\text{m}}{=}$ xc5 \dagger $\overset{\text{m}}{=}$ xd6 22. $\overset{\text{m}}{=}$ c4 Black's position looks dangerous, but in reality White does not have more than a perpetual. A good way of forcing events is 22... $\overset{\text{m}}{=}$ d1!, threatening ... $\overset{\text{m}}{=}$ b2 \dagger with a decisive counterattack. 23. $\overset{\text{m}}{=}$ e6 \dagger $\overset{\text{m}}{=}$ c7 24. $\overset{\text{m}}{=}$ xe7 \dagger $\overset{\text{m}}{=}$ c8= Nevertheless, the whole line still looks scary. The main move is not only safer but also a stronger choice objectively, leaving White with the task of proving his compensation for the material.

20.\axc1 exd6 21.f5

Defending the own knight before attacking its rival on f2.

If 21. 当hf1? 營e4! Black keeps the extra piece. 21... ②c6 22. 当hf1 gxf5 23. ②f4



23...[₩]g8!

A better regrouping than 23...^me4, when 24.^mxf2 would leave the black queen vulnerable.

White has nothing better than taking on f5, with hopes of holding the ending a pawn down due to his strong knight.



Black has a choice regarding how to exchange queens. An important aspect is that the threat of 2c7† persists, and Black needs to find the best way of proving compensation for the exchange.

15...[™]e4†

This is the most promising continuation, fighting for more than just a draw.

The alternative is:

15...¹¹/¹¹/¹¹/¹¹/¹¹/¹¹/₁ 18.¹¹/₁ 18.¹¹/₁ ¹¹/₁ ¹¹/₁

The same operation as in the main line is inappropriate here: 18...a6 19.2xa8axb5 20.2b6† 21.2d5± The knight has reached a decent square and Black's compensation for the exchange was only partial in Panarin – Abelian, Krasnodar 2002.

19. Dxa8 Ixa8

Black's centre and the awkward position of the white knight are known to offer enough compensation.

20.��d2

20.c4?! clears the c3-square for the knight, but makes the d4-square available for the black knight and – even more importantly – offers Black a crucial tempo for his initiative: 20...,\Begin{aligned} 8 21.g3 g5! Either winning a pawn or clearing the e5-square for the knights and the f-file for the rook. 22.fxg5?! Dec5 23.b3 De3 Now 24.Eg1 or 24.Eh1 are both met by 24...Ef2 followed by ...Dd3† with a mating attack. 24.Ed2 is no better after 24...Ef1† 25.Db2 D5xc4†! and Black will soon have a decisive material advantage.

20...If8



21.h3N

If 21.g3, as in Ortega Ruiz – Agbabishvili, Gibraltar 2013, Black can take over the initiative with the familiar $21...g5!N\overline{\mp}$.

Black also does well after: $21.\Xif1?!$ @xh222. $\Xih1$ @g4 23. $\Xixh7$ $\Xixf4\mp$ It is interesting to compare this with the variation given in the note to 19...a6 in the main line, in which the white rooks successfully defend the kingside pawns.

21...ඕf6 22.₫e3 h5!?

Threatening ...h4 followed by ...⁽²⁾h5-g3-f5, with a permanent blockade.

23.g3 h4 24.g4 a6 25.@a3 e6

Threatening ... 2d5.

26.¤f1

26.c4? weakens the central squares, offering Black the initiative after 26...d5.

26...卻d5† 27.魯e4

Due to the weakness on f4, Black can already force a draw by repetition:

27...�f6† 28.∲d3 �d5=

16.營c2 營xc2† 17.营xc2 皇xc1 18.营xc1

The king moves out of the knight's range.

The queen's rook is needed on a1 in order to support a2-a4, as shown by: 18.^{\square}axc1?! $^{<math>\square}$ d7



19.¤he1

White has nothing better than this.

19. Dec7? a6 20. Dxa8 axb5 21.a4 bxa4 22. Db6† \oplus c6 and now 23. Dxa4 b5 traps the knight, so White would have to play 23. C4 \mp , when two pawns for the exchange and a wonderful structure offer Black the advantage.

19...Øc6

White only had some vague compensation for the pawn in A. Lengyel – Resika, Budapest 2001.

18.凹hxc1N

This has not yet been tested. Its main drawback is that, at the right moment, Black will get a second pawn with $\dots \textcircled{D}e3^{\dagger}$.



Threatening to trap the enemy knight with $\dots 2a6$.

23.b4!? @e3†

Now is a good moment to switch to the kingside, since 23... ②a6?! runs into 24. 罩b1, threatening b4-b5†.

24. dd 3 🖄 xg2

With two pawns for the exchange and the more compact structure, Black has no problems at all.



With the current placement of the white rooks, the method examined in the line with 15...營xd1 above does not work out well: 19...谷c6 20.公xa8 莒xa8 21.堂d2 莒f8 22.莒af1± White has managed to defend the f-file without losing the h2-pawn.

20.②xa8 axb5

White has two possible ways to save his knight.

21.c4

This seems the safest solution, even though, from a strategic viewpoint, exchanging a central pawn for a wing pawn is unfavourable.

If 21.a4 bxa4 22.罩xa4 峦c6 Black has the unpleasant threat of ...⑤a6. 23.罩a7 (Rather a sad move to play, but 23.罩e1 ⑤a6干

simply won material for Black in Sadvakasov – Molner, Philadelphia 2008.) 23...c4! Preventing White's counterplay with b2-b4, and keeping all the trumps. 24. Ξ e1 e5 \mp In Bauer – Chabanon, Nantes 1993, Black was a knight up in the main battle area since White's knight and queen's rook were far away from the action.

The knight has returned to freedom, but the structure greatly favours Black, leaving little doubt about his compensation.



23...b5

23...¤f8N 24.g3 ⁽²⁾d7, followed by ...d5 and ...e5, also comes into consideration.

24.创d2 邕f8 25.g3



25...e5!?N

Just one of several good moves.

In Moiseev – Simonenko, Tashkent 1988, Black unnecessarily sacrificed a pawn with 25...g5?! 26.fxg5 \aresults f2. Presumably he missed or underestimated 27.a4!, which resulted in the activation of White's rook.

25... 空c7 followed by ... 包c6 is sound enough, and if 26.a4 b4.

Finally, 25... Da6 26.a4 Db4 is also quite reliable.

26.fxe5 ②xe5 27.空c2 罩f2

Confronted with the prospect of the second black knight joining the attack, White does not have anything better than perpetually offering the exchange of rooks with:

28.\Baf1 Bg2 29.Bfg1 Bf2=



B32) 12.₩d2

Apparently the most logical move, since after the forced queen exchange White makes progress with his development. But this does not solve his main problem: connecting the rooks.

12...[@]xd2†13.[§]xd2

Unlike a similar variation in line B31, the knight has an escape on c3.



18...e5!

Black is better developed, so he does well to open the position.

19.h3

Also after 19.fxe5 逸xe5 20.h3 約f6 21.約c3 約bd7 22.鼍f1 d5 Black holds the initiative. 19...約f2 20.鼍e1 exf4 21.c3 逸e3† 22.堂e2

黛xc1 23.\Zaxc1 ④e4

Threatening ... b5.

24.c4 ⁽²)d7 25.⁽¹/₂f3 ⁽²)d2[†] 26.⁽¹/₂xf4 ⁽²)e5[∓]

Black won a second pawn for the exchange and later the game in Nguyen – Kriebel, Novy Bor 2016.



13...堂d7 14.包ec7 a6 15.包xa8 axb5 16.a4 bxa4 17.包b6† 堂c6 18.包xa4 包d7

18...b5?! only helps White to regroup with his knight: 19.②c3 b4 20.②d1 ②d7 21.h3 创h6 22.g4± Kovchan – Lorenzo de la Riva, Barcelona 2013.

19.Dc3

This has proved dangerous in practice, with Black not finding the most convincing continuation.

A correspondence game went:

19.h3 ④e3 20.奠xe3 奠xe3 21.閏f1 單f8 22.g3 d5

This central action is justified by White's lack of coordination.

23. 2 c3 gd4 24. Ia2

Avoiding the doubled pawns does not offer White much, either.

For instance, after $24.\mathring{\Phi}d2$ c4 25. Ξ ae1 $\mathring{2}c5$ 26.g4 b5 Black has a space advantage, is perfectly regrouped and defends all the important pawns safely.

And if 24.罩a3 创b6 25.创d1 创c4 26.罩a2 罩f6, followed by ...罩e6†, Black has some initiative.



24...ĝxc3† 25.bxc3 c4

With such a chronic weakness on c3, White cannot even dream of winning.

26.莒f3 心c5 27.查f2 心e4† 28.查g2 e6 29.莒a4 心c5 30.莒a2

1/2–1/2 Makovsky – Schramm, email 2012.



19...e5!N

Black should use the exposed position of the white king at once.

The slower 19... 创b6 leaves White on top for a long time, and even the draw agreement might have been premature in the following game: 20. 创d1 创c4 21.c3 逸g7 22. 逸c1 h5 23.0–0 h4 24. 鼍e1 逸f6 25.h3 创h6 26. 创f2 创f5 27. 创g4 鼍f8 28. 创xf6 鼍xf6 29.b3 创b6 30.c4 创d7 31. 逸b2 鼍f8 32. 逸c3 ½–½ Radjabov – Ivanchuk, Monte Carlo (rapid) 2007.

20.h3

Aiming to drive the knight further away from the e5-square.

20...<u>\$</u>xc3!

An important intermediate move.

21.hxg4

21.奠xc3 allows the following knight incursion: 21... 包e3 22. 堂d2 包xg2 23.fxe5 dxe5 24. 邑hf1 Black has two pawns for the exchange, though the rook's invasion along the f-file looks annoying. Still, there is a way of keeping things under control. 24... 创h4 25. 邑f7 创f5 26. 邑d1 创d6 27. 邑e7 创f5 28.¤f7 心d6= White has to accept a draw by repetition since 29.¤ff1? ¤e8∓ followed by ...心f5, ...心b6 and ...e4 is good for Black.

21... gxd2† 22. dxd2 exf4



Black has two pawns for the exchange and the perfect e5-square for his knight. The following line does not change anything:

23.g5 邕f8 24.邕xh7 邕f5 25.邕f1 邕xg5 26.邕xf4 邕xg2† 27.堂d1 b5!?=



Conclusion

6.2657 is the most aggressive option, but it has a major strategic drawback: after the inevitable bishop exchange, White's light squares will be weak, creating the risk that his position becomes overextended.

One possible problem for ambitious players is that White can force a draw in line B3, though when playing against an inferior opponent, one could always deviate with the suboptimal 8... &xb5 9.exf7 † rianglefthinfthis fthis fthis

For many years I feared that the forced lines in this chapter would be hard to work out and keep up to date, but now I see their inner logic, which makes their handling easier for tournament players.





5.包f3

Variation Index

1.e4 d6 2.d4 2f6 3.2c3 g6 4. 2e3 c6 5. 2f3

5 2g7 6. 避d2 0–0	
A) 7.0–0–0 b5	203
A1) 8.e5	203
A2) 8.ĝd3	206
B) 7.	207
B1) 8.	207
B2) 8.0–0	208
C) 7.	210





A1) after 9. 2xe5



A2) after 11.fxe3



1.e4 d6 2.d4 2f6 3.2c3 g6 4. ge3



This fashionable system corresponds to a general tendency in hypermodern openings, including, among others, the English Attack in the Najdorf and Scheveningen Sicilians, and various &e3 set-ups against the King's Indian. White's main idea is 5. #d2 followed by castling queenside, leading to mutual attacks on opposite wings.

In the Pirc Defence, this set-up has an even clearer target than in the aforementioned lines, since 2e3-h6 will weaken the black king's defence, making the attack based on f2-f3 and h2-h4-h5 as dangerous as in the Sicilian Dragon.

At the same time, 4.2e3 is flexible enough to allow switching to positional schemes, so Black should choose his antidote to the main plan carefully.

For years my main choice has been 4...c6. Delaying the bishop's development is logical, as it inhibits &h6, when ...&f8xh6 would gain a tempo over the lines with an early ...&g7. Moreover, there are many situations where the bishop proves useful on the a3-f8 diagonal.

For reasons explained on page 221 (line B of Chapter 9), I temporarily abandoned 4...c6 but, while working on this book, I managed to regain full confidence in it.

Before moving on, I will briefly explain the main problems with Black's most significant alternative:

4...ĝg7 5.₩d2 c6

I have successfully experimented with the double-edged 5...0–0 6.0–0–0 (White has to remain flexible, for if 6.gh6 e5 or 6.f3 e5, Black obtains counterplay in the centre) 6...c6 7.f3 b5. However, I now find 4...c6 more flexible and complex.

6.<u>\$</u>h6

This is the most challenging line, to which I have been unable to find an antidote.

6...≜xh6 7.\"xh6



White does not have concrete threats yet, but Black's king is stuck in the centre. On the other hand, the queen's departure from the centre offers Black a free hand on the queenside and, as we know, the bishop exchange mainly favours Black strategically. Apart from the natural queenside expansion, Black could consider a kingside blockade on the dark squares, based on\grammag8 andg5, causing the enemy queen some discomfort.

7...₩a5 8.ዿd3 c5

This is Black's main source of counterplay but, even during the games I played with this line, I had my doubts as to whether Black can really equalize after wasting two tempos with ...\$f8-g7xh6 and ...c7-c6-c5.

9.创f3!

The most logical move, in the true Sicilian spirit. White uses every opportunity to develop.

For a long time I thought the main problem would be 9.d5 0bd7 10.0f3, but recently Jacob Aagaard assured me that Black was doing okay after: 10...c4 11.0xc4 2c5 12.0d3 (or 12.0b5) 12...2xf2† 13.0xf2 0g4† Indeed, White may retain some tiny edge, but the position is full of life, offering chances for both sides.

9...cxd4

Black is forced to release the tension, helping White to centralize the knight, since 9...&g4? 10.e5!N offers White a decisive attack, while after 9...&c6 10.d5 &d4 11. $\&d2\pm$ Black has no real counterplay.

10. 2xd4 2c6

10...Bb6 does not make much of a difference: 11.0–0–0 Cc6 12. $\textcircled{g}b5\pm$



The most popular move has been 11.2b3, when 11...9b6 offers Black a viable position, but I could not find a satisfactory continuation after the rarer:

White will continue with \media he1, with perfect centralization.

Having mentioned these possibilities, the time has come to switch back to 4...c6.

1.e4 d6 2.d4 2f6 3.2c3 g6 4. e3 c6



In this chapter we will examine 5.2673, followed by 5.h3 in the next chapter, and finally 5.2622 in Chapter 10.

5.②f3

This is a deviation from the initial plan, as White needs his pawn on f3 to make the attack dangerous. Play can transpose to Chapter 3 if White plays h2-h3 in the near future, but he can adopt several independent set-ups.

6.h3 0–0 is a direct transposition to variation A of Chapter 3.

6.a4 and 6.2e2 will most likely transpose to the Classical System, as covered in Chapters 1 and 2.

Without h2-h3, the central break does not work too well:

6.e5 ②g4N 7.奠f4 dxe5 8.dxe5

8.②xe5 ②xe5 9.逸xe5 逸xe5 10.dxe5 鬯b6, followed by ...逸e6, ...②d7 and eitherΞd8 or ...0–0–0, offers Black comfortable development.

8....[©]d7 9.e6

After 9.&c4 @gxe5 10.@xe5 &xe5 White is unable to regain the pawn on f7.



9...⊮b6‼

There is nothing wrong with 9...fxe6, but the main move is much stronger, proving White's unprepared action in the centre to be premature.

10.exd7†

10.exf7 \dagger Df8 leads to similar play, but with the difference that the two sides have the same number of pieces.

10....覚xd7干

Black threatens ... <a>Wxf2 mate, as well as ... <a>Wxb2, regaining the piece and retaining two extra pawns.

11.₩c1

The only way of parrying both threats.

11....^{\varepsilon}xf2[†] 12.^{\vert}d1 0-0-0-+

Black's attack is decisive.

6...0-0

Since &e3-h6 is less effective when White has played an early Df3, Black can and should castle, leaving the choice between his two main plans, ...e5 and ...b5, for later. We will examine A) 7.0–0–0, B) 7.2d3 and C) 7.2h6.

A) 7.0–0–0

White increases the pressure on the d-file, discouraging ... e5. However, his king is slightly exposed, perfectly justifying Black's reaction:

7...b5



We have a further split: **A1**) **8.e5** or **A2**) **8.2d3**.

A1) 8.e5

White tries to exploit the slight delay in Black's development caused by his previous pawn move.

8...dxe5

Black cannot afford to play: 8...b4



9.exf6N

This weakens Black's kingside defence.

9. De2?! Dd5 was fine for Black in Heyne – Wierum, Verden 2009.

9...bxc3 10.\mathsf{w}xc3 \mathsf{\mathsf{k}}xf6 11.h4

With his remaining knight far from the kingside, Black is in some danger.

11...ĝg4

11...h5 12. g5 is also pleasant for White.

practically all White's pieces are targeting Black's poorly defended king.

9.②xe5

The most consistent move, hoping to delay Black's development due to the hanging c6-pawn.

9.dxe5

This leads to balanced play.

- 9...增xd2† 10.鼍xd2 ②g4 11.逾f4 ②d7 12.鼍e2 12.e6 fxe6 13.逾g5 ②gf6 offers White no more than reasonable compensation for the pawn.
- 12...\$a6 13.2d1

After 13.b4, Black opens the long diagonal with 13...f6.

13...④c5 14.h3 ④e6 15.奠d2 ④h6 16.g4 罩ad8 17.奠a5 罩d7 18.罩e3 奠b7=

Black's overall activity compensates for the temporarily misplaced h6-knight. After making some more progress with ...a6, ...c5 and ...²/₂)f4, he will recycle his problem knight, starting with ...f6.

The position after the text move has occurred in a few games, most recently Nandhidhaa – Rajesh, Chennai 2012. The fastest way to get counterplay is:



9...b4!N 10.De2 2d5 11.2h6 2d7! As we shall see, the c6-pawn is poisoned.

12. 2xd7

Or if $12.2 \times 6 = 666$ $13.2 \times 7 = 72$ $14.2 \times 6 = 6$ $15.2 \times 6 = 6$ $15.2 \times 6 = 6$ no improvement) $15...2 \times 6 = 16.4 \times 6 = 26$ White's underdeveloped army cannot defend the king properly.

12...**&xd**7



13.2g3

13.ዿxg7 is a small concession offering Black comfortable equality: 13... 空xg7 14. 包g3 鼻e6 15.鼻c4 鬯c7 16.h4 鬯f4=

13...②c3!

Black needs to carry out his attack with great energy. The text move takes advantage of the fact that the h6-bishop is hanging.

The more natural 13...¹/¹/₂a5 14.¹/₂c4 ¹/₂e6 15.¹/₂xg7 ¹/₂xg7 16.¹/₂he1 confronts Black with the danger of a positional exchange sacrifice on e6.

14.bxc3

There is no time for the intermediate capture on g7:

14.奠xg7?! ②xa2† 15.空b1 鬯a5

The knight threatens to return to c3 with even greater effect.

16.<u>\$</u>c4

16.d5 covers the c3-square, but 16....\arrange ab8! renews the threat.



16...心c3†! 17.bxc3 bxc3 18.凹c1 罩ab8† 19.逸b3 罩b6

Threatening ... \area a6.

There is no defence against ... \mathbb{Zxb3 or ... \mathbb{Za6.



15.₩g5

Amazingly, the queen has not a single good square along the c1-h6 diagonal.

15.營e3 runs into: 15...增b6 16.罩d3 罩fb8 17.罩xc3 逸xd4干

Or if 15.營f4 營a5 16.罩d3 e5 17.dxe5 এxe5 18.營e4 罩fd8 followed by ...罩ab8, the white king is surrounded by danger on all sides.

15...增b6 16.罩d3 罩fb8 17.罩xc3 增b4



With ... \$xd4 to follow soon. For instance:

18.包e2 臭xd4 19.包xd4 鬯xc3 20.鬯e5 f6 21.鬯e3 鬯b2† 22.堂d2 罩b4 23.包b3 鼻f5

14...bxc3

Black has a continuing attack for a minimal material investment.



A2) 8.臭d3

This looks consistent, but obstructing the d-file allows Black to exploit White's hidden vulnerability along the c1-h6 diagonal. By omitting h2-h3, White has exposed himself to 2g4, after which Black can continue to harass the bishop with 6 and 5, since the bishop needs to prevent ... 2h6. However, this plan only works with a precise move order.

8...b4!

8...²/₂g4?! is premature: 9.²/₂g5 b4 (9...f6 10.²/₂f4 e5 11.dxe5 dxe5? allows 12.²/₂c4†!) In Hautier – Nanu, Metz 2002, White should have played: 10.²/₂a4N ¹⁰/₂a5 11.b3 f6 12.²/₂f4 e5 13.dxe5 dxe5 14.²/₂e3[±] Black's initiative has subsided, but the weakness of the light squares remains.

9.De2

9.∅a4 exposes the knight to 9...₩a5 10.b3 ∅bd7, followed by ...∅b6.

9. ⁽¹⁾b1 is too passive to be good. Black successfully switched to the slow mode with 9...a5 in Katranov – Baeten, Maastricht 2014.



9...∕⊇g4!

Now is the best moment to jump with the knight.

If 9...^wa5?! 10.2b1 2g4 11.2g5 2xf2 12.2xe7 2xh1 13.2xh1 2e6 14.b3 \pm , White threatens 2xd6 with overwhelming positional compensation for the exchange. 14...2c7?! loses a pawn with insufficient compensation due to Black's lagging development: 15.2xf8 2xf8 16.2xb4 \pm

10.¹⁰xb4 ⁽²⁾xe3 11.fxe3

The powerful g7-bishop and White's shattered structure offer Black long-term compensation for the pawn. In Babujian – Mahjoob, Mashhad 2010, he should have switched to slow, developing, mode with:



11...包d7N 12.空b1 鬯c7 13.鬯d2 c5 14.c4 宮b8 15.包c3 包b6

Attacking the c4-pawn and planning to undermine the d4-pawn withgg4. The combined pressure on b2 offers Black excellent play for the missing pawn.



B) 7. 創3

White plays in the spirit of some of the lines covered in Chapter 3, but omits h2-h3, hoping to gain a tempo for centralizing the rooks.

7...④bd7

The main continuations are **B1**) 8. **急h6** and **B2**) 8.0–0.

Since …创bd7 is more useful than …b5, switching to 8.0–0–0 allows the familiar 8...创g4 without reservations: 9.逸g5 f6 10.逸f4 e5 11.dxe5 dxe5 12.逸c4† 密h8 13.逸e6 exf4 14.逸xg4 f5 15.exf5 gxf5 16.逸h5 營f6



Black has excellent play on the dark squares.

B1) 8. gh6

As mentioned above, ⁽²⁾f3 has greatly reduced White's attacking chances, so the bishop exchange mainly helps Black strategically after:

8...e5 9.0-0-0

9.dxe5 avoids future problems caused by ...exd4, but prematurely clears space for Black's pieces: 9...dxe5 10.0–0–0 b5 11.h4 এxh6 12.營xh6 创g4 13.營d2 In Eissing – Sparwel, Germany 2016, 13...公c5N followed by 皇e6 would have given Black comfortable play.

9...b5



1**0.** 創成 7

Omitting this exchange at an early stage may result in delaying it indefinitely. For instance: 10.h4 exd4N 11. 2xd4 b4 12. 2a4

12.②ce2 is similar: 12...違xh6 13.鬯xh6 ②g4 14.鬯f4 ②de5 Black threatens to win material with ...c6-c5-c4.

12.奠xg7? bxc3 13.鬯h6 匈g4 lands White in trouble.

12....違xh6 13.營xh6 ②e5

Planning ... 2d7 and ... c5, when Black's initiative develops quickly.

The stabilizing 11...h5= is also good.

12. 🖄 xd4 b4 13. 🖄 ce2

In Wakefield – Enin, email 2007, Black should have continued to advance his queenside pawns:

13...c5N 14.创f3 鬯c7 15.b3 h5 16.创g3 创e5 17.创xe5 dxe5 18.ዴc4 a5



Black will playa4 soon, with adequate counterplay.

B2) 8.0-0



White hopes to gain a tempo compared to

the similar line from Chapter 3, but omitting h2-h3 allows Black to force matters in the centre.

8....²g4!

Even though White does not face the same dangers along the c1-h6 diagonal that he did in line A2, driving the bishop away from the diagonal will be a strategic success.

8...e5 9. Zad1, followed by Zfe1, offers White chances of keeping the advantage in the centre.

9.**\$g**5

There are some differences compared to the main line if the bishop chooses the other square:

9.鼻f4 e5 10.dxe5 dxe5 11.鼻g5

This is the only way to give play an independent character.

The alternative is: 11.&g3 Hzc7 12.a4 a5 This is justified by the bishop's absence from e3, as the knight will be stable on c5 and there will be no pressure on the b6-square. Play is similar to that after 9. \text{\&g5}.





12.<u></u>¢c4†

It is correct to make haste with this check, as after 12.@h4 @c5 13.@c4 Black has 13...@e6 14.@xe6 @xe6, controlling d4 and f4.

12.... h8 13. 集h4 凹e7

The h4-bishop will be passive for a while, and the weakness created by ...f6 is balanced by Black having the f7-square available for his king's knight.





15.Del

Preparing to recycle the bishop, but weakening control of the centre.

15...②c5 16.f3 ②f7 17.遑f2 遑h6

17...②g5 followed by ...②ge6 is also good. 18.鬯e2 兔e6 19.b4 兔xc4 20.鬯xc4

Black can resort to some tactical tricks:



20...⊮e6!?

The normal 20... 包e6 is also absolutely fine for Black.

21.₩e2

21.營xc5 b6 unexpectedly traps the queen! 21...互fd8

Black has active play.



9...h6

9....c5 is premature due to 10.2 d5.

White has yet to try the other retreat: 10.\$f4N e5 11.dxe5 dxe5 12.\$g3 \$C7 13.a4 a5 The picture is familiar to us already. Play is balanced, for example: 14.\$c4 \$Dgf6=

10...必gf6N

Regrouping in this manner is not a waste of time, since the bishop stands worse on h4 than on e3.

The equally valid 10...c5 has been played in a couple of games, transposing to a comfortable Dragon or Schmid Benoni.

11.e5!?

This is the only way to cross Black's intentions.

A neutral move such as 11. Zad1 would be answered by 11...e5, with full equality.

Black's initiative compensates for the damage to his structure.

C) 7. ĝh6



This only looks similar to variation B1. The small differences produce a change in the global scenario.

7...b5!

The reasons why this is the best way to react are not at all obvious.

As we will see later in the main line, the omission of h2-h3 makes ... \$2g4, planning ... \$2xf3, the best antidote to the dark-squared bishop exchange. However, is premature to play it now:

7... 違g4?! 8. 違xg7 空xg7 9. ②g5!

It is worth remembering this concept, as it can occur in a number of Pirc lines.



9...h6

This can be met by a strong intermediate move.

However, 9...e5 10.h3 \$\overline{2}c8 11.0-0-0 also offers White some initiative.

11.@xf7 \xf7 \xf7 12.g4

White had a strong initiative in Fleck – Cuijpers, Germany 2012.

We will see in the main line below how the insertion of ...b5 and \u00e9d3 influences the evaluation of this plan.

7... bdd?! This attempt to transpose to variation B1 allows: 8.\$xg7 \$xg7 9.e5! dxe5 10.dxe5 \$g4 Judged superficially, this looks absolutely fine for Black. However, a deeper look reveals a problem. I considered three options:



a) 11. \underline{W} f4? is simply bad because of 11... \underline{W} b6 \mp with a double attack on b2 and f2, since 12. \underline{W} xg4 \underline{W} xb2-+ regains the piece after grabbing an important pawn.

b) 11.e6 @de5! Suddenly it becomes obvious that White's pawn lunge was premature. 12.@xe5 @xe5 13.營e2 (13.營xd8? 罩xd8 14.exf7 ______\$f5〒 hands the initiative to Black) 13...營d4 14.鼍d1 營f4 15.exf7 ____\$f5 Black has enough counterplay. c) Unfortunately for Black, the accurate $11.0-0-0!\pm$ takes full advantage of the fact that White has not played &d3 yet. The d7-knight is pinned and White will play e5-e6 next, with a strong initiative on the dark squares.



8.巢d3

The most common and natural way to defend the e4-pawn.

8. Åxg7 Åxg7 9. Åd3 Åg4 transposes to the note to White's 9th move in the main line.

8.e5

This advance does not promise much.

10.dxe5 Wxd2† followed by ...Dg4 is fine for Black.

10...⊮d6

A good square for the queen – Black defends the c6-pawn and prepares to develop the knight without restricting Her Majesty's activity.

11.힕e2 心bd7 12.f4 心b6 13.힕f3 b4 14.친e4 14.친e2 힕a6 also offers Black active play.

14....🖄 xe4 15. 🕱 xe4

With his king still uncastled, this early central activity is not very effective.



15...f6!?

Not the only good move, but the most forcing one.

16.[©]xc6

Other moves are simply bad. Both 16.⁽²⁾d3? ⁽¹⁾/₂xd4∓ and 16.⁽²⁾f3 ⁽²⁾/₂c4∓ lose a pawn, since 17.⁽¹⁾/₂c1? ⁽¹⁾/₂e6−+ costs White his bishop.

16...₩e6 17.₩e2 \$b7

White cannot keep the extra pawn, since his pieces are hanging.

18.d5

After 18.&f3 @d6 19.&e5 fxe5 20.&xb7 $\blacksquareab8$ 21.dxe5 @d4 22.@e4 $\blacksquarexf4$ 23.@xd4 $\blacksquarexd4$ 24.&f3 $\blacksquarec8$, Black regains the pawn with active play. For instance: 25. $\blacksquarec1$ @c426.b3 $@xe5\mp$

18...⁽²)xd5 19.⁽²/₂xd5 ⁽²⁾/₂xd5 ⁽²⁾/₂xb4 ⁽²⁾/₂xg2

Black had an edge in Vorotnikov – Bakhtadze, Moscow 1999. The bishop is stronger than the knight and Black's majority is likely to become threatening earlier than White's.

8.a3

This slows down the queenside counterplay, but precludes the possibility of safely castling queenside.

8...a5 9.ĝd3

9. 2e2 2b7 puts the e4-pawn in danger.

The same idea as in the main line, with the only difference that the players have inserted a2-a3 and ...a7-a5.



14...f6

This neutralizes White's kingside attacking chances and equalizes comfortably, but the cold-blooded 14...②a6 15.h4 ②ac5 may be even better: 16.h5 ②xd3† 17.cxd3 f6 18.營e3 g5 Black has secured his king and retains the better structure.

15.exf6† exf6 16.營g3 營e7† 17.查f1 包c5 18.邕e1 營d7 19.邕d1 營f7 20.查g2 包bd7=

White's structural defects compensated for the weakness of the seventh rank in Stripunsky – Bologan, Azov 1996.



8...<u>\$g</u>4!

Now is a good moment for this thematic move.

9.h4

An aggressive move, hoping to prove the attack more relevant than the kingside weaknesses.

One important point is that the aforementioned antidote to ...\$g4 is no longer available: 9.\$xg7 \$\product\$xg7



10.2g5

10.e5 does not produce major changes compared to the game Stripunsky – Bologan quoted above. For instance: 10...dxe5 11.dxe5 创fd7 12.營e3 In Adams – Shirov, Dos Hermanas 1995, Black could have avoided any problems with 12....愈xf3N 13.gxf3 營b6=, more or less forcing the queen exchange and retaining the more flexible structure.

11. Wxf3 keeps White's structure intact, but wastes too much time: 11...e5 12.dxe5 dxe5 13.0-0-0 We7 Black has excellent play on the dark squares, and White's kingside attacking chances are illusory. 14.g4 2bd7 15.h4 2c5 16.h5 In Motta - Mascarenhas, Rio de Janeiro 1980, Black unnecessarily feared the opening of the h-file and played 16...g5?, making the f5-square available for the white knight. Instead, he should have just improved his position with, say, 16...a5N. In the worst case he could meet hxg6 with ...fxg6, turning the f2-pawn into a weakness, though ...hxg6 followed by ... Zh8 is often an option too.

10...b4!

This is the key move that Black lacks if he plays ... 2g4 one move earlier. The knight has to retreat into the bishop's line of fire, allowing Black to exchange his unstable piece.

11.De2

11.Ѽa4 was elegantly refuted by 11...h6 12.h3 ዿd7 13.Ѽf3 c5∓ in Duppel – Kiefhaber, Schwaebisch Gmuend 2000.

11. (2)b1 is awfully passive, making a big difference if play continues in similar way to that after 7... (2)g4: 11...h6 12.h3 (2)h5 13. (2)xf7 (2)xf7 (14.g4 (2)bd7) With such poor development, White cannot make use of the kingside tension to generate an attack.

11...h6 12. ⁽²⁾f3 a5, as played in Squires – Bonoldi, email 2002, is also possible, of course.

12.₩xe2

12. £xe2 h6 wins the e4-pawn.

12...e5

Stabilizing Black's position and equalizing completely.

13.dxe5 dxe5



14.0-0-0

14...④bd7

Black had comfortable play in Ansell – Chatalbashev, Hilversum 2008.



9....ĝxf3 10.gxf3 e5

This is the set-up Black was aiming for. The exchange on f3 and White's planned dark-squared-bishop exchange create a chronic weakness on f4. White's attacking chances at most compensate for the strategic problems, despite the engines' initial optimism.

11.dxe5

Delaying this exchange does not make much of a difference:

11.0-0-0N 🖄h5

11...exd4? unblocks the f-pawn. After 12. De2 the only consistent move is 12...c5, but this fails to block the h-pawn, allowing 13.h5± with a virulent attack.



12.⁽²)e2 [™]f6 13.[‡]xg7

I also checked 13.②g3 違xh6 14.豐xh6 營f4† 15.豐xf4 ②xf4= when Black reaches a comfortable ending.

13... 空xg7 14. 空g3 包f4 15.h5 a6

A necessary preparation for developing the knight, as 15...心d7 runs into 16.dxe5 dxe5 17.逸xb5.

After 15...a6 Black is ready to meet the same operation with ...axb5, attacking a2. Besides, Black gets the possibility of strengthening the kingside defence with a well-timedΞa7.



16.¤dg1

Defending the a2-pawn with 16. $Brianglethinspace{0.5}b1$ is too slow as it allows 16...c5, inevitably followed by developing the knight to either c6 or, if the white d-pawn advances, d7.

16...&h8

We shall see a similar picture in the main line. White has no obvious way to strengthen his attack, for example:

17.hxg6 fxg6

White has no real attack and his weaknesses along the f-file may cause him a lot of trouble in a future endgame.

11...dxe5



12.0-0-0 卻h5 13.卻e2 營f6=

Black has little to fear and his play is easier. Here is an illustration of how things can go wrong for White with natural play:

14.罝dg1 包d7 15.黛xg7 垫xg7 16.包g3 包f4 17.h5 包c5 18.hxg6 fxg6 19.包f5†?!

This only wastes time.

It was better to exchange the active knight with 19.②h5† ②xh5 20.鼍xh5, even though Black's position remains preferable after: 20...空h8苹

19.... h8 20. 프h6 프ad8 21. 프gh1 프f7-+

White was facing imminent disaster on d3 in Marcovici – Van Baarle, Luxembourg 1989.

Conclusion

Due to its character being both aggressive and flexible at the same time, 4.2e3 is one of White's most troubling systems. With the flexible 5.2f3, White plays in the style of Chapter 3, and may transpose there if he plays h2-h3 in the near future. In this chapter, we concentrated on line where White tries to manage without h2-h3. However, we saw that in various lines Black can effectively use the availability of the g4-square with either2g4 or ...2g4, although I would stress that the accurate timing of these moves is important.







5.h3

Variation Index

1.e4 d6 2.d4 2f6 3.2c3 g6 4.ge3 c6 5.h3

5②bd7	
A) 6.g4 b5!	217
A1) 7.a3	217
A2) 7. [‡] g2	220
B) 6.f4 e5	221
B1) 7.凹d2	221
B2) 7.dxe5	222
B3) 7. ¹⁰ f3	226
B4) 7. 2 f3	230





B2) after 13.\Lhf1



B3) note to 8.0-0-0


8 7 6 5 4 Å 3 2 1 h с d h а e g

Visually, this looks similar to the set-up examined in Chapter 3. However, with the bishop on e3 instead of the knight on f3, it is usually a way of preparing f2-f4 or, more rarely, g2-g4.

5.f4

Rushing in like this (almost never played) exposes the bishop to ...②g4. The simplest way of taking advantage of it is:

5...⊮b6

White faces problems defending b2 and d4.



6.¤b1

This natural move does not turn out well. 6. Bc1 $\textcircled{D}g4\mp$ forced the exchange of the e3-bishop and left Black with great control of the dark squares in Kalod – R. Kaufman, Pardubice 2007.

White's best try may be to sacrifice the b-pawn for uncertain compensation: 6.營d2 營xb2 (6...②g4 is a safe alternative) 7.骂b1 營a3 8.②f3 ②bd7 9.ॾd3 ፪g7 10.e5N ②g4 11.፪g1 This position was reached in R. Schmidt – Khruschiov, Internet (blitz) 2003, and here I recommend 11...dxe5N 12.dxe5 ②c5 with an edge for Black.

6... ②g4 7. 違c1 違g7



8.②f3

8.h3 &xd4! attacks both white knights. 8.e5 dxe5 9.fxe5 fails to 9...\$xe5.

8...e5!

Taking full advantage of the lead in development gained over the last few moves. 9.fxe5

9.h3 allows the intermediate move: 9...exd4! 10.∅a4 ∰a5† 11.c3 ∅e3–+

9. 20a4 does not solve White's problems either: 9... 2018 a5† 10.c3 exf4!N (10..b5 gave Black a quick win in Ludwig – Klein, Binz 1995, but 11.fxe5!N might have offered White decent compensation for the knight) 11.b4 2018 d8 12.2 xf4 0−0 Black will continue with ...f5 or ... 2018 e8, leaving White poorly coordinated and with his king exposed in the centre.

9...dxe5 10.@a4 \c7 11.h3 \deltaf6 12.@xe5

1.e4 d6 2.d4 회f6 3.회c3 g6 4.皇e3 c6 5.h3



12...Øbd7!

This elegant continuation is strongest, even though there is nothing wrong with simply retrieving the pawn with 12...②xe4.

13.ĝf4

13.②xd7? runs straight into trouble: 13...豐g3† 14.堂e2 ②xe4 15.②dc5 豐f2† 16.堂d3 豐xd4† 17.堂e2 夐g4† 18.hxg4 豐f2† 19.堂d3 0-0-0† White loses his queen.

13...�h5∓

Followed by ... 2xe5, with an obvious strategic advantage to Black.

5...④bd7

The most flexible move. Black would hardly have any other way to develop his knight in the future, but in some lines below the bishop will prove useful on the a3-f8 diagonal.



We will examine A) 6.g4 and B) 6.f4.

A) 6.g4

White sometimes uses this extravagant plan, known as the Archbishop Attack, against various black move orders. It is intended partly as a massive pawn attack and partly as a way of disturbing the f6-knight.

6...b5!

Since there is not much space available on the kingside, Black should conquer territories on the opposite wing. Another point is that a subsequent ... 2d7-b6 will make the d7-square available for the other knight. We will consider A1) 7.a3 and A2) 7.2g2.

7.g5 b4 8.2ce2 bf5 9.2g2 bf5 transposes to the note on 9.g5 in variation A2.

A1) 7.a3

This move slows down Black's pawn attack at the cost of a valuable tempo.

7...Øb6



8.巢d3!?N

I checked three other moves. Firstly, the prophylactic 8.b3, played in Tasev – Sorgic, Obrenovac 2010, is best met with 8...2b7N 9.2g2 a5, followed soon by ...b5-b4.

With such poor development, White does not achieve much with:

8.d5 ĝb7 9.ĝd4

This delays White's development even more, but it's the only way to win the b5-pawn, as otherwise the e4-pawn will be hanging.

9....\$g7 10.dxc6 \$xc6 11.\$xb5 \$xb5 12.4xb5



As well as threatening 13...^{Bable} as 14.2c3 2able, retrieving the pawn with a great position, this also threatens the simple ...e5, since the d6-pawn is now defended.

13.b4

Such moves parry the immediate threats, but do not contribute much to White's positional wellbeing.

13...e5 14.違e3 公xe3 15.fxe3 0-0



16.@c3

Pawn-grabbing has disastrous consequences: 16.②xd6? 鬯b6 17.②c4 鬯c6-+; or 16.鬯xd6? ②xe4 17.鬯xd8 罩fxd8 18.c4 罩ac8 19.c5 a6 20. d6 axd6 21.cxd6 \xd6-+

The text is a better attempt to keep White's position together, but it is already too late. 16...d5!



Clearing the long diagonal for the bishop. 17.exd5 e4 18.2ge2 2xd5

The simplest, but playing for a long-term initiative with 18...心d7, threatening both鬯h4† and罝c8, is also strong.

19.₩xd5 ₩h4† 20.✿f1 ዿxc3 21.ᡚxc3 ₩f6† 22.✿g1 ₩xc3 23.₩d4 ₩xc2∓

The material balance is even, but White's problems are obvious.

8.f4



This immediate attempt to gain space allows Black counterplay with:

8...@a4! 9.@xa4 bxa4

Far from being weak, the a4-pawn contributes to Black's global control of the light squares.

10.ĝg2 Ib8 11.Ib1



11...d5!

The second step towards stability on the light squares.

12.e5 De4 13.De2

13.營d3 f5 14.exf6 exf6 White wins a pawn but chronically weakens himself: 15.毫xe4 dxe4 16.營xe4† 查f7 Threatening ...毫xa3. 17.營d3 營d5 18.営h2 h5干 With a strong initiative.

The premature 13. 逸xe4 allows Black to block the kingside and then develop his queenside play: 13...dxe4 14. 色e2 h5 15.g5 f5 16. 仓c3 習a5 17.0–0 e6 18. 營d2 (threatening ②xe4) 18...營a6 Followed by ..., 當h7-b7.



13...f5 14.ĝf3

14.এxe4 helps Black to improve his lightsquared bishop: 14...fxe4 15.公c3 h5 16.g5 習a5 17.習d2 鼻f5 18.堂f2 e6∓

14...)營a5† 15.堂f1 e6 Followed by ... 逸a6 and ... 逸e7.

8....違g7 9.f4 違b7 10.包f3

White's position looks impressive, but Black can prove that the pawns' advance has weakened too many squares. Here is an illustrative line:



10...a5 11.0–0 0–0 12.[™]e1 Heading for h4.

12...b4 13.🖄d1

13.axb4 axb4 14.鼍xa8 營xa8 activates Black's queen, setting up pressure along the long diagonal: 15.创d1 c5! Black does not need to retreat either knight to d7 to prepare this break. 16.dxc5 公c8!? The knight will inevitably reach d6, targeting the chronic weakness on e4. 17.營xb4 公xe4 Black has a strong initiative for the pawn.



13...增c7 14.axb4 axb4 15.Ξxa8 Ξxa8 16.豐xb4 ②a4

Followed by ... c5, dismantling White's centre.

A2) 7. 桌g2 b4 8. 包ce2

In Koepke – Donchenko, Nuremberg 2009, Black should have increased the pressure on the white centre:



8...... 逸b7N

Creating a virtual pin along the long diagonal and preparing to meet a2-a3 with ...a5.

9.2g3

Overprotecting the e4-pawn, and planning either g4-g5 or continuing with development. 9.g5 独h5 10.冀f3

Chasing the knight leaves White vulnerable on the light squares.

10...c5 11.d5 違g7 12.違xh5 gxh5



13.@g3

White is not sufficiently developed to afford such a passive move as: 13. 臣b1 0-0 14. ②g3 e6 15.dxe6 Otherwise after ...exd5 the d5pawn would be doomed. 15... ②e5 16.exf7 罩xf7 Black has a huge lead in development. 13..._____xb2 14. 臣b1 ______c3† 15. ______ 16. xd2 a5 17. 트a1 ④e5干

If necessary, Black can safely castle queenside, while White's king does not have any safe location to dream of. Strategically, Black is clearly better.

9.f4

This central expansion does not prevent Black's counterplay either.

9...c5 10.d5 gg7 11.c4

Trying to stabilize the queenside, because 11.2g3 2b6 offers Black comfortable play. 11...2b6 12.b3 e6 13.2g3 exd5 14.exd5



White has retained his space advantage and restricted Black's queenside minor pieces. However, his position remains overextended and his development poor, while Black can easily recycle his bishop and knight with ... (2011) control of the state of the

14...h5 15.\arappacterialset

After 15.g5 👑e7 16.\$f2 h4 17.\$f1 \$e4\$ Black wins an exchange, for if 18.\$xe4 [@]xe4, both rooks are hanging.

15....[™]e7 16.[☆]f2 0–0–0∓

Followed by ... \delta de8 with the initiative.



9...h5 10.g5 h4 11.²3e2 ²∆h5 12.f4 c5 13.d5 ²g7∓

Due to the tempos wasted by the white knight, Black has a dream version of a Schmid Benoni.



B) 6.f4

This is the most frequent plan, requiring high accuracy from Black in order to avoid being crushed by the central pawns.

6...e5

I had played 6...b5 many times until I faced 7.a3! e5 8.创f3 營e7 9.fxe5 dxe5 10.d5 in Fressinet – Marin, Andorra 2004, which I lost quickly. Many hours of analysis have revealed a paradox: White can control Black's

counterplay with two apparently modest pawn moves, h2-h3 and a2-a3!

Black's problems in the above game were caused by the weakness of the b5-pawn, so he should keep ...b5 in reserve and start with the central plan.

White has four main options: **B1**) 7.營d2, **B2**) 7.dxe5, **B3**) 7.營f3 and **B4**) 7.包f3.

B1) 7.營d2



This was played in Killar – Necada, Czech Republic 2001, but it is not the best way of completing development. The queen is exposed on d2, while failing to cover an important sector.

7...②h5!N

Taking full advantage of the weakness induced by h2-h3.

8.fxe5

White can try to maintain his kingside stability with:

8.@ge2

However, dangers appear on the other wing. 8... Db6! 9.b3

After 9.&f2 exf4 10.&xf4 &h6 11.g3 f5Black has the initiative.

9...exf4 10.¹/₂xf4 ¹/₂g3 11.²/₂g1



11...d5!

Clearing the diagonal for the dark-squared bishop.

Apparently White has managed to consolidate, but Black has not exhausted his resources yet.

13...�c4! 14.bxc4 ₩a5∓

8.... 2g3 9. g5

Striving for counterplay, as 9.\nother h2 \Delta\xf1 10.\nother \xf1 dxe5 offers Black excellent play.



The centre is more important than the undeveloped rook.

11.[₩]xg3 exd4

Black regains the knight and obtains the e5-square for his pieces.



12.ĝd2 dxc3 13.\vert xc3

13.2xc3 2b4 only helps Black's blockading plan.

13...增xc3 14.皇xc3 罩g8 15.0-0-0 包c5

Followed by ... <u>\$e6</u> and ... <u>\$g7</u>, with comfortable play.

B2) 7.dxe5

Releasing the tension so soon is justified only if followed by concrete action.

7...dxe5



8.fxe5

Depriving Black of the right to castle.

White is not ready to start a slow kingside attack with 8.f5. Black has several promising continuations, but the simplest is $8...\&c5\mp$, playing for a blockade on the dark squares and solving the problem of the potentially bad bishop. White cannot avoid the exchange, because 9.&g5? @b6 attacks g1 and b2 simultaneously.

8.... 2xe5 9. Wxd8 † 4xd8

In the absence of queens, the king is relatively safe in the centre. True, His Majesty slightly disturbs the global coordination, but Black has the e5-square to compensate for this problem. We frequently see such situations in all sorts of variations of the Modern Defence, and in a wider context the situation is similar to the Berlin Defence of the Ruy Lopez.



10.0-0-0†

White could play against the blockade with: 10.ዿg5N ዿe7

Black removes the pin and plans either Afd7 or Afd7. The bishop exchange would help Black to control the e5-square and also offer his king stability on e7, thus solving all the problems of coordination.



14.ĝf4†

The only way to cross Black's plans, but it frees the knight from the pin.

14....[‡]c8=

Black can combine 2d8 with 2h5/d7 followed byf5, possibly turning White's centre into a weakness.

10....췬fd7 11.췬f3 杏e7

The king uses the enemy pawn as an umbrella, feeling relatively safe in the centre.



12.<u>\$</u>e2

After 12. ②xe5 White fails to achieve dynamic compensation for his weaker structure: 12...④xe5 13. 逸c5† (13. 逸d4 逸h6† 14. 堂b1 f6 15. 逸c5† 堂f7, followed by ... 逸e6, is also comfortable for Black) 13... 堂e6 14. 逸d4

Black can choose either 14...f6 or 14...gd6, with perfect stability.



12... g7 13. Shf1

After 13. Dxe5 Dxe5 14. 2c5† the e6-square is no longer safe, but Black has 14... De8 followed by ... e6, ... d7 and ... e5.

13...h5!?N

The primary aim of this move is to exchange the bishops with ... h, but we will see that in some lines controlling the g4-square also helps Black.

If 13....\exebox{Ee8} 14.\exebox{2}xe5 \exebox{2}xe5 15.\exebox{2}c4 f6, as in Nedev – Bogdanovski, Star Dojran 1991, White could retain some pressure with 16.g4N.



14.&b1

Opposing the bishops on the long diagonal favours Black after:

The point is that White needs to waste a tempo in order to avoid the exchange.

17.奠e3



White intends ②e2-d4. Note that …②b6 would allow a catastrophic check on c5. 17... 增格!

Planning …堂g7 followed by …②b6, reaching a perfect regrouping.

18.gh6† \$e7 19.2e2

The only constructive move if White does not want to agree to a draw by repetition.

19...Øb6

Taking advantage of the bishop's absence from e3.

20.ģb3 ģe6 21.9f4 ģxf4† 22.ģxf4 ģxb3 23.axb3 ¤ad8=

Black has nothing to fear.

14...②xf3

A good moment to release the tension, as Black does not have any constructive moves.

For instance, 14..., Ee8? 15. ()xe5 \$\overline{xe5}\$ 16. \$\overline{xc4}\$ f6 17. \$\overline{\current}ee2\$ gives White the initiative.

15.gxf3

15.鬥xf3 猶e5, followed by …黛e6, is comfortable for Black.

15...句b6 16.h4 鼻e6 17.鼻c5† 魯e8

White has strengthened his centre, but the h4-pawn is weak now.



18.f4

Overprotecting the g4-square wastes an important tempo:

18.\[g1 \]d8 19.\[d3

The only way of maintaining some tension.

19...心d7 20.違e3 違f6 21.違g5 垫e7 22.f4 違xg5 23.罩xg5

After 23.hxg5 h4 $\overline{\mp}$ the passed h-pawn is threatening.



Black has solved his problems completely, for example:

24.≜xg4?! hxg4 25.¤xg4 �16∓

Followed by\nother states and Black's position is already more pleasant.

18...ĝxc3

An important intermediate move.

18... 2g4 19. 2xg4 hxg4 20.e5 offers White the initiative, although the position remains double-edged due to the threatening g-pawn.

19.bxc3 🚊g4

Demonstrating the second idea behind the somewhat mysterious 13...h5!?N. After the bishop exchange, the light squares in White's territory will be vulnerable.



20.ጃf2 ጃd8 21.ጃd4

Trying to keep the tension and hoping to improve the structure.

The other way to keep the tension is:

21.¤d3 f5

Stabilizing Black's grip on the light squares. 22.e5 &xe2



23.\approxxd8†

A forced concession.

The careless 23.\arrow 22.\arrow 23.\arrow 23.\arrow 23.\arrow 23.\arrow 23.\arrow 23.\arrow 24.\arrow 23.\arrow 24.\arrow 24.\arrow 24.\arrow 24.\arrow 24.\arrow 24.\arrow 25.\arrow 24.\arrow 24.\arrow 24.\arrow 25.\arrow 25.

23...∲xd8 24.\area @d5

Black has a promising ending with a good knight against a bad bishop.



21...<u>\$</u>xe2

With this particular regrouping, attacking the centre with 21...f5 is less effective due to: $22.\&d3^{\pm}$

22.\area \area xd4 23.cxd4 \area c4\area

White has strengthened his centre, but his bishop is clearly weaker than the knight. Black plans ... 空d7 followed by,置e8,b6,a5 and, after pushing the bishop away from the a3-f8 diagonal, ... Dd6, achieving a perfect blockade on the light squares.

24.<u>\$</u>xa7

This is likely to cause additional trouble, as the bishop will be imprisoned.

24...b6! 25.c3 空d7 26.空c2 空c7

White would have to look for a way to sacrifice the bishop for two pawns, with only partial compensation.

B3) 7.營f3



This aggressive move bears the seal of the great attacking player, Mamedyarov. The queen defends the slightly weakened kingside and is ready to support the attack, but it deprives the knight of its most natural way of developing.

7...b5

As explained below, now is a good moment to advance the b-pawn.

8.0-0-0

Stopping the b5-pawn with a2-a3 is not as effective as on the previous move:

8.a3 ≜g7N

In practice, 8... \$b7 and 8... \$e7 have been tried, but I consider it more important to prepare castling. Moreover, the queen may be deployed more actively on the queenside.



9.dxe5

One important point is that, unlike in my game with Fressinet, the central break 9.fxe5 dxe5 10.d5 causes White strategic problems after: 10...cxd5! The queen defends c7, preventing the intermediate 2xb5. Meanwhile Black is ready for castling, and the central pawn will not have the white queen's support. 11.exd5 a6 \mp Black will play ...2b7 with active development and the better structure.

With a2-a3 having been played, 9.0-0-0 would expose the king to an attack starting with 9...¹⁰/₂₀a5.

9...dxe5 10.f5 ₩a5

Threatening b4.



11.b4



14...gxf5!

Black uses his lead in development to clear up matters on the kingside.

15.[₩]xf5

15.gxf5 freezes the kingside, offering Black a free hand to regroup: 15... \area 3 16. \area ge2 \u00e9f8 Black may continue with ... \area g8, and is not worse at all.

15.exf5 hands over the initiative in the centre: 15...e4! 16.②xe4 0–0 With great attacking chances against the uncastled king. 15...②c5 16.赠f3 ②e6

Black has regrouped perfectly, taking the vital f4- and d4-squares under control.

8.黛d3

This neutral move allows Black to demonstrate his standard plan.



8...b4 9.🖄b1

If 9. Dce2N, Black needs to delay ... exd4, as this could be answered by Dxd4, but the

character of the position does not change much after 9.... 逸b7.

9...exd4 10.\$xd4

In Van der Lende – Houben, Bussum 2011, Black should have played:

10...ĝb7!N∓

This prepares to meet a2-a3 with ...a5, while creating the potential threat of ...c5 at the same time.

Mamedyarov chose:

8.dxe5 dxe5 9.0–0–0

This frees Black from worries regarding d4-d5.



9....₩e7 10.g4

The other way of starting the attack, 10.f5, runs into a familiar defence: 10...gxf5 11.營xf5 心b6 12.營f3 莒g8 13.g4 兔e6 14.g5 心fd7 15.h4 b4 16.心ce2 心c4 17.黛f2 a5 Despite his kingside weaknesses, Black's attacking chances are more realistic. In case of emergency, he can evacuate the king from the centre with ...0–0–0.

10...b4 11.@a4N

11.Db1?! is too passive to be good. After 11...exf4 12.gxf4 in Mamedyarov – Shoker, Ningbo 2011, Black had no reason to refrain from the developing 12...gg7!N, planning ...De5 followed by castling, with great play on the dark squares. The point is that 13.gd6 runs into 13...gh6†, forcing the bishop back: 14.gf4 De5 15.We3 gxf4 16.Wxf4 0–0 \mp



11...exf4 12.\mathbf{m}xf4

Trying to combine the pressure on c5 and e5.

After 12.&xf4 De5 13.@e3 &g7 14.Df3 Dfd7, followed by castling, Black is perfectly stable.

12.... 違g7 13. 違d3 0-0 14. 创f3 罩e8 15. 空b1

Black has completed the first part of his development, but now needs to take a radical queenside decision in order to clear the path for his bishop.



15...④b6! 16.奠c5

Relatively best, for if 16. 25 2 fd5 17.exd5 2 xd5-+ Black wins material, while after 16. xb6 axb6 17. xb6 & e6-+ Black's attack along the a-file is decisive.

16... 幽b7 17. ②xb6 axb6 18. 逸xb4 逸e6 19.a3 White has won a pawn, but Black has completed his development harmoniously and has queenside attacking chances.



19...Ød7

Threateningc5 followed by ...b5-b4. 20.2g5 2c5 21.2xe6 2xe6 22.2dd2

Ensuring the bishop can retreat to c3.

22...c5 23.奠c3 奠xc3 24.鬯xc3 b5 25.岂hf1 c4 26.奠e2 匈g5=

Black will regain the pawn, with a probable draw.



8...b4!

Black does not have time for half measures, as 8... 27 runs into the familiar 9.fxe5 dxe5 10.d5! b4 (If 10...cxd5 White has a choice between 11. 295, threatening 20xd5, or simply 11. 20xb5. The black queen is misplaced, as in my game with Fressinet) 11.d6! This is the second difference compared to the line with 8.a3 above: the central pawn is supported by the rook.



9.🖾a4N

Once again, jumping to a4 is the most consistent move.

9.②ce2 營e7 10.dxe5 dxe5 11.f5 leads to a familiar situation: 11...gxf5 12.exf5 逸b7 13.g4 In Wagener – Andersen, Szeged 1994, Black's strongest continuation was 13...0–0–0N, followed by either ...c5 or ...e4, with the initiative.



9...exf4!

Black concedes the centre in a such a way as to keep the d-file closed, in order to start playing against the a4-knight.

10.臭xf4 凹a5 11.e5

The critical continuation.

11.b3 逸e7 12.逸h6 心b6 offers Black good play, based on 13.逸g7 幽g5†! 14.堂b1 骂g8 15.h4 幽h5, avoiding the loss of a knight and retaining a normal position.

11...Ød5

11...dxe5? 12.^wxc6 is too dangerous.

12.exd6 鼻g7 13.罩e1† 杏f8 14.凹e2 幻7f6

White's initiative has ended and he needs to find a way to save his hanging pieces.



15.d7!

Forcing the bishop to abandon control of the a6-square.

15....皇xd7 16.鬯a6 鬯xa6 17.皇d6† 空g8 18.皇xa6



18....@e3!?

It is Black's turn to counterattack.

19.Dc5

19.罩xe3? loses the rook to 19... 創h6 20. 空d2 囚d5.

19.奠xb4 ⁽²⁾xg2 20.罝e2 ⁽²⁾f4, followed by …②6d5, leaves the d4-pawn vulnerable and White's minor pieces poorly coordinated.

19....ĝc8 20.g4 h5

Clearing the h7-square for the king.

21.g5 🖄 fd5=

With complicated and fairly balanced play.

B4) 7.约f3

The most principled continuation, developing naturally and increasing the pressure in the centre.

7**...**₩e7



8. 象d3

With the pawn on b7, Fressinet's idea is less dangerous, though still interesting: 8.fxe5 dxe5 9.d5N

9.dxe5 is pointless, offering Black perfect stability: 9...①xe5 10.營d4 ②fd7 11.0–0–0

gg7 12.2d2 0–0 Black had an edge in

Berend – Gulbas, France 2006. 9...∕∆c5!

Before exchanging pawns, it is useful to force White to defend the e4-pawn.



Black only needs a few moves (....岂ac8, ...心e8-d6) to reach a perfect position, so White should undertake something concrete.

14.d6!? 뺨xd6 15.친de4 心cxe4 16.Ξxd6 心xd6=

Black has enough material compensation for the queen and stable squares for his minor pieces. A possible regrouping is ...\$c6 and ...\$c6 and

8...違g7



9.₩d2

The most aggressive plan.

Castling kingside puts less pressure on Black: 9.0–0 0–0 10.營d2 b5 11.a3 急b7 12.營f2 exd4 13.②xd4 In Zeman – Necada, Czech Republic 2003, 13...a6N∓ would have left White vulnerable to ...c5.

9...0-0N

This natural move has not been played in practice, but it makes sense to remove the king from the centre before launching the b-pawn.

10.0-0-0 b5

The pressure on e4 combined with the threat of ... b4 forces White to make some concession.



11.fxe5

The most consistent continuation.

Omitting the exchange before centralizing the rook allows Black to carry out a familiar plan: 11.\Zhe1 exd4 12.\&xd4 b4 13.\Db1 c5 14.\&f2 \&b7∓ Black has pressure on e4 and may soon attack b2.

11.a3 a5 fails to stop the b-pawn, resulting only in a queenside weakening.

11...dxe5 12.\Belahe1

White's development looks impressive, but his position has a hidden defect: his king is less safe than Black's. But in order to use this detail to keep the balance, Black needs to play with a certain accuracy.



12...a6

For subtle reasons, this is the best way to prepare for the opening of the centre. Black threatens ...exd4 followed by ...c5, without committing any of his pieces. As we shall see in the lines below, this is important if White exchanges on e5.

12.... 2015 looks flexible, but after 13.dxe5 公xe5 14. 公xe5 營xe5 15. 24 營e6 16.e5 公d5 17. 公xd5 營xd5 18. 公b1, the bishop would belong on e6, blocking the e-pawn and attacking a2.

12...**¤d**8

Hoping to take advantage of the rook's opposition to the enemy queen.

13.dxe5

13. \$\dots xb5!? is also interesting, based on the pin along the d-file: 13...cxb5 14.dxe5 \$\dots b7 15.exf6 \$\dots xf6 16. \$\dots d4 Black may not have entirely adequate compensation for the pawn, as White is perfectly coordinated.



15.臭f4 ₩e6

In this position, the generally desirable 15... @e7? unfortunately runs into 16.e5 followed by 17. 2g5, so Black is forced to place his queen on a less natural square.

16.&b1

White anticipates ...b4, but Black can now play:

16...₩e7

The position is playable for Black. To understand why the text move is now possible, see the note on 13. 2b1 just below; the only difference here is that Black's pawn is still on a7.



13.₩f2

Threatening 14.dxe5 🖄 xe5 15. gc5.

With the bishop on c8, general exchanges on e5 are not worrying: 13.dxe5 公xe5 14.②xe5 營xe5 15.違f4 營e6 16.e5 创d5 17.③xd5 營xd5 18.空b1 違e6=

13.&b1

For hidden tactical reasons, this allows: 13... \Zd8!



14.dxe5

If White keeps making neutral moves such as 14.g4, Black can develop his bishop with 14...\$b7.

14...②xe5 15.②xe5 鬯xe5 16.鼻f4 鬯e7 17.e5 ②d5 18.③xd5

The point is that after 18.&g5 Black has $18...\textcircled{0}xc3\dagger!$ 19.bxc3 1a3 20.&xd8 &e6 with a winning attack: 21.1g5 Parrying the mate in two and defending the bishop. $21...\&xa2\dagger$ $22.\poundsa1$ $\&d5\dagger$ $23.\poundsb1$



be correct, though, to claim that this is an essential difference, as 23... 逾f8, followed by ... 罩b8, would also win.) 24.h4 罩b7-+ Followed by 25...b4 or 25... 逸a2† 26. 空a1 b4.

18...Ixd5 19.營e3 違e6

Black has comfortable play.

13.g4

After a neutral move such as this, Black has no reason to delaying opening the centre. 13...exd4 14.\u00e2xd4 c5 15.\u00e2\d5



15...[₩]e6

16.2g5

16. ②c7? 鬯xa2 wins for Black.

With the queen on e6 Black can answer 16. (2) xf6† with 16... (2) xf6, as there is no fork with e4-e5.

16...營d6 17.②xf6† ②xf6

Black has at least equal play.

13...exd4 14.\u00e9xd4 c5 15.\u00f9e3

Black can also hold his own in the sharp position arising after: 15.²\d5 ⁴\xd5 16.²xg7 ⁴xg7 17.exd5 ¹⁰d6 18.²\d2 Fighting against the blockade. 18...c4 19.²e4 ²b7 20.²f3 ²\d6 (20...三fe8 21.⁴\de4 ¹⁰b6 is also good) 21.²de4 ¹⁰xe4 22.²xe4 ²¹ae8 23.¹⁰d4† ⁴⁰g8 With no worries for Black.

15...c4

White is about to lose the initiative, so he should react before Black completes his development.



16.臭xc4!? bxc4 17.e5 凹b8!

Striving for counterplay.

Holding on to the material advantage with 17... ②e8?! 18. ③d5 營e6 19. 營h4 offers White a strong attack.

19. 逸a7 逸e6 20. 逸xb8 邕xb8 is similar.

19...增b7 20.b3 皇f5 21.包d4 包e4 22.包xe4 兔xe4 23.兔xf8 兔xf8



Two strong bishops and the vulnerable white king yield Black full compensation for the exchange.

Conclusion

The modern variation with 5.h3 and 6.f4 was the focus of this chapter, aiming to reach an improved version of the Austrian Attack. It leads to vibrant play and chances for both sides. Black should be doing fine if he knows the basic rule of not exposing his b-pawn too soon with ...b7-b5.





5.₩d2

Variation Index

1.e4 d6 2.d4 ₺f6 3.₺c3 g6 4.ዿe3 c6 5.ëd2

236
239
240
242
244
246
249
251
253
258
261
263
267

A) note to 8.exf6



B3) note to 9.4h3







1.e4 d6 2.d4 创f6 3.创c3 g6 4.奠e3 c6 5.鬯d2 创bd7

In this section we will examine only White's attacking continuations, as 6.创f3 逸g7 usually transposes to other lines. For instance:

7.\$d3 0-0 transposes to variation B of Chapter 8.

7.h3 0–0 transposes to variation A1 of Chapter 3.

7.bh6 does not have independent value either: 7...0-0 8.0-0-0 2 a 5 9.b1 transposes to variation A of Chapter 13.

Or if 7.0–0–0 0–0 8.e5, Black achieves adequate play with: 8...⁽²⁾g4 9.exd6 exd6 10.[§]g5 [™]c7 11.[§]d3 b5=

We will examine A) 6.0–0–0 and B) 6.f3.



A) 6.0-0-0 b5

This usually transposes to variation B2 after 7.f3, but play can take an independent course if White tries to make use of his pressure along the d-file.

7.e5 7.⊈d3 This obstructs the d-file, allowing Black to play in the centre.

7...e5

After the game, my opponent suggested 7...b4 8.②ce2 ②g4!?N, leading to interesting play after: 9.營xb4 ②xe3 10.fxe3 盒h6 11.營d2 0–0 Black will continue with ...營c7,岂b8 and ...c5, with a lasting queenside initiative. White's attacking prospects are less clear and he has to worry permanently about his e3-pawn.



8.h3 gg7 9.f4?!

Trying to transpose to variation B4 of Chapter 9, but with this move order Black can manage without ... @e7.

After 9. ⁽²⁾f3 0–0 10.dxe5 the players abruptly agreed a draw in Kovchan – V. Onischuk, Kharkov 2011. However, continuing with 10...dxe5 would have left White short of constructive plans, while Black has good attacking chances.



9...exd4!

The typical way to prepare the queenside attack, taking advantage of the fact that 2xd4 is not available.

10.奠xd4 b4 11.④ce2 c5 12.奠e3 鬯a5 13.空b1 0-0 14.④f3 ④b6

There is little White can do against Black's simple attacking moves.

15.@c1

The attempt to play for space with 15.c4? is refuted tactically by 15...②xe4! 16.\$xe4 ②xc4 17.\$C1 \$e6, with a decisive attack.

15...c4 16.ģxb6 ∰xb6 17.ģxc4 ②xe4 18.∰e1 ②f2∓

Black won the exchange with a continuing attack in Guerra Bastida – Marin, Andorra 2003.



7**...b**4!

A forced pawn sacrifice, but quite a promising one.

This leaves White with a crushing space advantage. Black cannot use the pin along the c1-h6 diagonal to free himself, for instance:

10...⊮c7

10.... dxe5? loses a piece to 11.h3.

11.&b1N

11. 7f3?! f6 12.h3?! 2gxe5 allowed Black

strong counterplay in Maros – Klein, Pardubice 1996.

11...b4 12.@a4 c5

Taking the d4-square under control. If 12...②gxe5 13.ዿxe5 ②xe5 14. 2d4, White pins and wins the knight.

13.h3 ⓓgxe5 14.ዿxe5 ⓓxe5 15.d5! Revealing the drawback of 12...c5.

15...心c6 16.違b5 違b7 17.心xc5± White has overwhelming pressure.

8.exf6

There is no way back for White:

8.句ce2 句d5

The black knight turns into a dangerous attacking piece. Beside the positional threat of ... xe3, Black can consider ... c3 after a few preparatory moves.



9.exd6?!

After 9.26f3 @a5 10.26b1 @b8 11.26a1 @g7, White cannot hold his centre any more. For instance: 12.@h6 @c3 13.bxc3 bxc3 14.@xc3 @xc3† 15.26xc3 @xh6 16.exd6 exd6 17.@ac3† 16.26xc3 @ac4 d5 Black has active play and the safer king.

Against 9.2 f4N, Black should be willing to sacrifice a pawn for the sake of rapid development: 9...2 xe3 10.2 xe3 2 h6! 11.exd6 2 f6 12.dxe7 2 xe7 13.2 b1 In view of the threat of ...2 d5, this is the only way of keeping the extra pawn. 13...2 xe3 14.fxe3 2 g4 15.2 gh3 0-0 16.2 e1 2 b7



9...④7f6!N

Black should not expose the e-file while his development is incomplete. After 9...exd6?! 10.②f4 White had the initiative in Sankalp – Havenga, Chalkidiki 2005.

10.dxe7

Otherwise Black could play ... Wxd6, with a promising position.

10...ĝxe7 11.Øf4

This leads to disaster, but it is difficult to suggest a good alternative.

11...@c3!

The long-awaited blow.

12.bxc3

12.ዿc4 ₩a5 does not change much.

12....₩a5-+

With the exception of the king's rook, all Black's pieces are participating in the attack. This is one more case where the king's bishop is useful on its initial diagonal.

8...bxc3 9.營xc3 包xf6 10.營xc6† 急d7 11.營a6 鼻g7

Black has a clear attacking plan along the open queenside files, involving practically all his pieces. At the same time, his king will not experience any problems after castling.



Transferring the bishop to b3 is the best defensive plan.

12.空b1 0-0 13.④f3 罩b8 14.奠d3

At a later stage, the bishop will be exposed here, but for the time being it is useful in preventing ...2e4 or ...2f5.

Preventing ...0g4 with 14.h3 wastes a valuable tempo: 14...0g7 15.0d3 0d5 16.0d2 $\textcircled{0}c3\dagger$ 17.0xc3 0xc3 18.b3 $\varXi{0}fc8$ Black threatens ...0c6xf3 followed by ...0xd4.

14....[™]c7 15.c4 [™]fc8 16.[™]a1 e5 17.[°]ge2 [™]g4∓ Black has a dangerous initiative.

12...0-0 13.包e2 凹c7 14.臭b3 舀fc8 15.包c3



15....筥ab8!N

Consistently following the main plan.

The careless 15...公g4? 16.公d5 鬯d8 17.兔g5± turned the tables in L. Rojas – Castro Rojas, Buenos Aires 2005.

16.¤he1 ¤b6 17.₩a5

17.^we2 a5 is also promising for Black.

17...d5 18.햪b1

18.②xd5? exposes the king too much: 18...②xd5 19.營xd5 盒e6 20.營c5 營b7 21.營g5 (or 21.營a5 盒xb3 22.axb3 罩a6 23.營g5 營xb3 and Black wins) 21...盒xb3 22.axb3 罩xb3 Black will play ...罩xb2 next, winning.

18...e6!



Black intends a long regrouping with\$f8,\$e8-d6 and\$b7. White faces a protracted, joyless defence.

B) 6.f3

The classical way of treating the \$\overline{2}e3 set-up. In fact, the most common move order in the past started with 4.f3, radically preventing an early ...\$\overline{2}g4.

When I first started to play the Pirc on a regular basis, I intuitively felt that this would be

one of the most pleasant variations for Black. The system is similar to the King's Indian Sämisch Attack, but White's space advantage is more limited than in the King's Indian. The absence of immediate pawn contact allows both sides to display their best strategic skills in the long run. However, after having played numerous games against this line (most of them quite successful), I understood that White is entitled to have a similarly pleasant feeling – after all, he can combine strategic elements with attacking ideas, without the necessity of embarking on long, forced (and super-analysed) variations.

Practice has also taught me that it is essential for Black to correlate the order in which certain 'typical' moves are played with White's concrete play. More than once, I have failed to find the correct answer over the board somewhere in the move range 8-10, which is indicative of the complexity of the position in general.

6...b5



Now, more than ever, it makes sense to delay the king's bishop's development.

Both sides have plenty of plans at their disposal. White can consider breaking in the centre with e4-e5 or d4-d5, consolidating the centre with pieces, or launching a kingside attack with h2-h4 and g2-g4. In some cases he can anticipate Black's queenside attack with a2-a4, meeting the natural ...b4 by retreating the knight and then playing c2-c3. Black's thematic pawn moves are ...b4, ...c5, ...e5 or, less typically, ...d5. Many times, either ...a5 or ...a6 is an important complement to the main plan.

We will examine **B1**) **7.ûh6?!**, **B2**) **7.0–0–0**, **B3**) **7.a4**, **B4**) **7.ûd3**, **B5**) **7.d5**, **B6**) **7.h4** and finally the main line **B7**) **7.g4**.



B1) 7. \$h6?!

This rare move loses a tempo compared to similar lines in which Black plays ... g_7 .

7...b4 8.∕∆d1

The most flexible answer.

In the absence of central tension created by ...e5, the c5-square is not an issue, making 8.②a4 somewhat pointless: 8...兔xh6 9.營xh6 ②b6 10.③xb6 營xb6 11.0–0–0 a5 12.壹b1 兔e6 Black is better developed and his attack, based on ...a4 followed by either ...a3 or ...b3, has clear shape. If necessary, he can evacuate the king from the centre by castling queenside, but for the time being there is no danger around. 8.②ce2 places the knight too far from the c4-square: 8...違xh6 9.營xh6 營a5 10.②h3N (10.營d2 0–0 11.②f4 莒b8 was also good for Black in Rosser – Dempster, email 2011) 10...c5 11.d5 এa6 12.②f2 莒c8 13.②g3 c4∓ Black has seized an annoying queenside space advantage.

8...<u>\$</u>xh6

If White has no real chances for an attack, it is always good to force the queen to leave the centre.

9.\"xh6 \"b6



10.₩d2

We can see that White has only lost time.

10.②e2 does not solve the problem of the d4-pawn because of 10.... 象a6, which more or less forces the queen's retreat anyway.

10...c5 11.d5

The most consistent move, taking space and trying to keep the position blocked in order to gain time to complete his development.

11.c3 bxc3 12.bxc3 cxd4 13.cxd4 e5 offers Black excellent play on the dark squares.

Or 11.@e2 a5 12.@e3 &a6 with active play for Black.



Form this position, London – Molner, Parsippany 2006, continued 11...a5, with reasonable play. Personally, I would be keen to challenge White's space advantage as soon as possible.

11...e6!?N

Another way to implement the same idea is 11...0-0!?N 12.친e3 친e5, followed by ...e6.

12.dxe6

White is not interested in being landed with a weak pawn on d5, of course.

12...fxe6 13.2e3

Taking measures against ...d5. 13.a3 0–0 14.axb4 cxb4 15. De3 would be met by 15...De5, followed by ...a5-a4.



13...d5!

Black's superior development entitles him to follow his plan, even at the cost of a pawn.

14.exd5 exd5 15.创xd5 创xd5 16.增xd5 息b7 17.增g5

It is essential to prevent Black from castling queenside as 17.^{\oplus}d2 0–0–0 18.0–0–0 \triangle f6 offers him a strong initiative along the central files: 19.^{\oplus}e1 \exists xd1^{\dagger} 20.^{\oplus}xd1 \exists d8 21.^{\oplus}e1 ^{\oplus}a5 22.^{\pm}c4 (White cannot send his queen on an active mission with 22.^{\oplus}e6^{\dagger} \triangle c7 23.^{\oplus}xf6, because this would leave the king completely undefended: 23...b3! Black threatens ...^{\oplus}e1 mate, as well as ...bxa2 winning.) 22...^{\pm}d5 23.^{\pm}xd5 \triangle xd5 24.^{\pm}b1 \triangle e3 \mp Black wins the queen on the next move.

17....[@]e6† 18.^{\$}f2 0-0



Black is far ahead with his development, and threatens to complete his mobilization with\approx ae8 and ...\approx be5. White's main hopes are connected with the black king's somewhat exposed position.

19.Ee1 Wxa2 20.Ee7 Ef7 21.Exf7 Wxf7

Black has regained the pawn and has at least an equal game. In the endgame, his queenside majority, with the a-pawn as a dangerous candidate for promotion, may be telling.

B2) 7.0–0–0



This may look as if it is committing the king too early, but Black's attack does not develop quickly enough to put the white king in immediate danger. Black needs to make some progress with his development and take measures against the central breaks, as White's pressure along the d-file could become threatening.

7...b4 8. 2 ce2

Since the c5-square is not an issue, 8.2a4 only helps Black to speed up his attack: 8...營a5 9.b3 创b6 10.a3 创xa4 11.axb4 營c7 12.bxa4 a5 13.b5 In Baars – Menten, email 2005, 13...cxb5N 14.奠xb5† 逸d7 would have given Black good attacking chances, as pointed out by Chernin.

8...a5



9.g4

With his development incomplete, White should refrain from premature aggressiveness in the centre based on:

9.e5?

White's main opening idea is to keep the e4-pawn well defended, but now he is rushing forward with it, without any support from the pieces.

9....🖄 d5 10.exd6 e6!

Keeping the e-file closed.

11.h4 �7b6 12.�g3 f5!∓

Black had a safe central blockade in Golubev – Chernin, Eupen 1995, and White's minor pieces were restricted. Black could soon continue the queenside attack at his leisure.

9.\$b1 🖄b6 10.🖾c1

This regrouping is too slow to be dangerous. Besides, the knight is rather ineffective as a defending piece, since it fails to protect the most vulnerable spot in the position – the b2-square.

10....≌c7 11.h4 h5 12.∕∆h3



12...\$g7

Black should not give his bishop away with 12...\$xh3 13.\[xh3 \$\mathbb{L}g7 14.\]\$d3 [[b8 15.\]"e2. In Matikozian – Casella, Burbank 2004, this had two negative consequences. First of all, Black's thematic ...c5 would weaken the light squares, allowing \$\mathbb{L}b5\$; And secondly, if Black castles then g2-g4 followed by \$\overline{g}g5\$ will question his entire kingside stability.

13.@g5

The knight stands nicely here, but it takes an important square away from the bishop.

13...0–0 14.營f2 舀b8 15.寬d3 创a4 16.创e2 c5 Black had a strong initiative in Pinol Fulgoni

– Raeber, Switzerland 2003.

9....2b6 10.2g3

The most natural way to prevent C4. White threatens g4-g5 followed by h2-h4-h5, with the initiative along the h-file.

Blocking the f-pawn with $10.\cancel{0}f4$ makes little sense: 10...h5 11.g5 $\cancel{0}fd7$ $12.\cancel{0}g2$ d5 The same plan as in the main line. $13.\cancel{2}d3$ e6 14.f4 In Wei Chenpeng – Hess, Chalkidiki 2003, Black should have played 14...dxe4N 15.\u00e2xe4 $\cancel{0}d5$ 16. $\cancel{0}f3$ a4, with a stable kingside and a promising queenside initiative.

10...h5!

Radically crossing White's plans.



11.g5

Once again the central break 11.e5? is not justified positionally: 11...②fd5 12.gxh5 ③xe3 13.xe3 急h6 14.f4 ◎d5∓ Black regains the pawn, with the initiative on the dark squares. White does not have time to unblock the kingside after: 11.gxh5 Dxh5 12.Dxh5 $\nexistsxh5$ 13.h4 gg7 14.gd3 (a necessary waste of time, since the desirable 14.De2 allows 14... $\textcircled{D}c4\mp$) 14...c5 15.dxc5 dxc5 16. $\textcircled{g}b5\dagger$ $\textcircled{g}d7\mp$ Black has the more compact structure and a threatening queenside space advantage.

11...④fd7 12.h4

More or less forced, in order to ensure the stability of the knight on g3.

12...d5!?

For a 100% Pirc player, this may not be an easy move to find, but Chernin is also a French specialist. By switching from a dark-squared to a light-squared strategy, he aims to block the kingside position, in order to retain his chances on the other wing intact.

13.\$d3

13.f4!?

As pointed out by Chernin, this was the only chance to keep the kingside open. However, the ensuing tactics hold just as much danger for White as for Black, as we will see.



13...dxe4 14.f5N

If 14.②xe4, as in Baratosi – L. Marin, Arad 2016, then Black should play: 14...②d5!N 15.②f3 逸g7 Black's king will be entirely safe after castling, while dark clouds will gather over his rival soon.

14...gxf5 15. 2xf5 2d5 16. 2g3



17...[©]7f6!

Based on a small tactical trick and threatening ...②g4, this is even stronger than Chernin's 16...③7b6 17.④xe4 &f5 18.④g3 &g6=.

17.敻f4

After 17.gxf6? Âxe3 Black wins, as the knight is taboo due to ... Lh6.

17.堂b1? loses the bishop to 17...必g4 18.흹f4 e3.

17...a4

Black has a dangerous initiative.

13...e6!

Black is rock-solid on the kingside and takes full advantage of not having moved his king's bishop, which will be quite active on its original diagonal.



14.空b1 鬯c7 15.②1e2 皇a6 16.②f4 ②c4 17.鬯e2 ②xe3 18.鬯xe3 皇xd3 19.cxd3!?

Controlling the e4- and c4-squares and opening the c-file, but this does not cause Black any inconvenience.

19...ĝd6 20.∅ge2 ∅b6∓

Black has the more flexible pawn structure and the safer king position. These elements more than compensated for White's space advantage in Tolnai – Chernin, Austria 1994.

B3) 7.a4

Even though ...b4 is part of Black's global plan, some may think that provoking it at such an early stage could bring White some benefit. And yet the last move not only weakens the queenside slightly, but also wastes an important tempo.

7...b4 8.∕∆d1 a5



9.🖄h3

A golden rule of the Benoni Sämisch says that a premature ... Dbd7 should always be answered with Dh3-f2, as it offers White harmonious development with minimal time expenditure, yielding him an opening advantage. But in the more flexible King's Indian and the Pirc, where Black has a choice between several ways of attacking the centre, this way of developing the knight is not always effective.

If White intends to develop his knight to e2, he needs to obstruct the d-file with 9.&d3, after which $9...e5 \ 10.\&e2 \&g7$ offers Black easy play.

9.c3

This does not contribute to White's development, allowing Black to ignore the threat to the b4-pawn and react in the centre.



9...e5!N 10.cxb4

10.dxe5 dxe5 defends the b4-pawn, showing that delaying the bishop's development was useful.

Otherwise Black has no problems at all. 12...d5 13.鬯c3 舀b8 14.鬯xc6 盒b4† 15.②c3 0-0

Black has a huge lead in development, outweighing the missing pawns.

9... \$g7 10. 3hf2 0-0 11. \$e2 e5 12.c3

With no knight controlling d4, White was facing the threat of ...exd4 followed by ...c5, and therefore he needs to consolidate his central pawn.



12...bxc3

This is safe enough but, if it can be justified dynamically, I tend to avoid releasing the queenside tension so easily. From this point of view, Black can consider the more ambitious: 12...exd4!?N



13.逸xd4

After 13.cxd4 c5 (planning ...cxd4 followed by ...②c5 with queenside pressure) 14.d5 ②b6, Black has a comfortable Benoni set-up as White's knights are far from the c4-square. 13....罩e8

Controlling the e5-square in order to play ...d5 without fearing e4-e5.

14.cxb4

14.0–0 d5 15.exd5 🖄 xd5 offers Black superior central activity. 14...axb4



15.0-0

15. $\underline{\mathbb{G}} \times b4$ runs into: 15... $\underline{\mathbb{G}} \times c4!$ 16. $\underline{\mathbb{G}} \times g7$ (16. $\underline{\mathbb{G}} \times c5\mp$) 16... c5 17. $\underline{\mathbb{G}} a3$ $\underline{\mathbb{G}} \times f2$ 18. $\underline{\mathbb{G}} \times f2$ $\underline{\mathbb{G}} \times g7\mp$ Black's structure is better, while the white king's situation is aggravated by the weakness of the dark squares.

15...c5 16.ĝe3 d5 17.exd5 \argue e5∓

Black will regain the pawn soon, with an active position.

13.bxc3

Later, another Georgiev deviated against the same opponent with 13.^②xc3, but without success: 13....臣b8 14.[□]d1 exd4 15.^②xd4 營e7 16.0–0 ^③c5



17.奠e3 (17.奠xc5 dxc5 18.f4 奠e6 leaves White's queenside vulnerable) 17...d5 Playing for safe equality. (Black could also consider 17...心e8 followed by ...奠e6.) 18.exd5 cxd5 19.奠b5 單d8 20.心e2 心b3 21.營d3 營b4= Kr. Georgiev – Torre, Saint John 1988.

13....莒e8 14.0-0 d5

The position is almost symmetrical, but there is plenty of play left, as both sides need to find good squares for one minor piece each – the d1-knight and the c8-bishop.



15.dxe5 \area xe5!N

Better than 15...⁽²⁾xe5 16.f4, with a slight initiative for White in Ki. Georgiev – Torre, Leningrad 1987.

16.臭d4 筥e8

16...≝e6!?=, as suggested by Georgiev, is also good.

17.exd5 🖄 xd5=

With no problems at all for Black.



This solid move removes the pressure along the d-file, allowing Black to prepare his pawn breaks at his leisure.

7... 違b7 8. 包ge2 a6

Preparingc5.



9.🖾d1

A cautious move, planning to meetc5 with c2-c3. But the knight retreat makese5 stronger.

9. 2g3 does not offer the knight any active possibilities:



9...h5!? Not only creating the possibility of ...h4 but also preventing &h6. (9...c5N is also good.) 10.a3 &g7 11. \mathbb{Z} d1 $extsf{m}$ c7 12.0–0 c5 13.dxc5 &dxc5 Black had an excellent version of the Sicilian Dragon in Braylovsky – Ibragimov, New York 2002.

9.g4

White intends g4-g5 followed by 2g3, but does not force Black to deviate from his plans:

9...c5N 10.g5 🖄 h5



11.@g3

11.dxc5 is an obvious concession, activating Black's knight: 11...心xc5 12.心g3 心xg3 13.hxg3 逸g7 14.0-0-0 幽a5 Black's queenside activity compensates for the weakness of the h7-pawn. 15.逸xc5 逸xc3 This is safest. 16.bxc3 dxc5=

11...cxd4 12.\u00e9xd4 e5!

Preparing the next move. 13. ge3



13....[©]f4 14.[‡]xf4 exf4 15.^[™]xf4 h6

Black has excellent play on the dark squares, compensating for the missing pawn. 16.h4

16.gxh6 is met by 16... $\textcircled{0}c5\mp$, defending the d6-pawn and preparing ...2xh6.

16...hxg5 17.hxg5 \(\mathbf{Z}\) xh1 \(\mathbf{2}\) e7 Preventing 0–0–0.

19.회f2 De5 20.벨e3 오xg5 21.f4 Dxd3† 22.Dxd3



22..... 違f6

Threatening to spoil the queenside structure with ...&xc3. It is better to keep the check from h4 in reserve, as after 22... $\&h4\dagger$ 23.&d2 the king overprotects c3, clearing the path for the rook at the same time.

23.e5 dxe5 24.@xe5

After 24.fxe5 ዿg5 25.</bd>

After 24.fxe5 ዿg5 25.
After 26.F

are in the centre, but White's is obviously more exposed.

24....∲f8 25.≌d1 ₩e8

Black has two strong bishops and a safe king. An important point is that the knight check fails:

26.ⓓd7†? 혐g7 27.xe8 ¤xe8† 28.혐f1 ዿxc3 29.bxc3 ¤h8∓

9.a4

This prevents the plannedc5, but causes some problems in connection with White's plan of castling queenside.

9....違g7

9...b4? 10. $2d1\pm$ turns ...a6 into a mere loss of time.

10.��h6

White plays for the attack, but the exchange of the dark-squared bishops favours Black from a strategic point of view. 10...0–0 11.h4 e5 12.違xg7 並xg7 13.h5 營e7 14.hxg6 fxg6 15.營h6† 空g8



16.dxe5

Avoiding 16.0–0–0 exd4 17. 2×10^{-10} xd4 c5 followed by ... c4, winning a piece.

16...dxe5 17.0−0−0 🖄c5 18.₩g5 \area ae8

Black has regrouped perfectly and is ready to take over the initiative.

19.axb5?

This adds to the king's existing problems.

19...axb5 20.2g3 2e6 21.2h4 2f4 22.2f1 Za8 Black had a winning counterattack in Mithrakanth – Marin, Calcutta 1997.



9...e5 10.c3 ģg7 11.2f2 0-0 12.0-0 d5 13.a4 \Ze8

Both sides have equal claims over the centre. The position was complicated but basically equal in Hecht – Forintos, Siegen (ol) 1970.

B5) 7.d5



This slightly premature attempt at exploiting the relative weakness of the b5-pawn is the third most popular continuation, but a rare guest in grandmaster games. White is not well enough developed to take over the initiative by such simple means.

7...cxd5 8.创xd5

The most consistent move.

8.exd5?! leads to a strategically pleasant position for Black: 8...b4 9.∅e4 ዿੈg7 10.∅xf6† ዿੈxf6∓



And now 11. <a>Wxb4?!! <a>Bb8, followed by ... <a>Exb2, would make matters even worse for White.

If 8. (1) xb5 a6 9. (1) c3 dxe4, White has nothing to compensate for his damaged central structure.

8.ĝxb5 is the start of another unsuccessful adventure: 8...dxe4 9.ĝc6 ≌b8 10.ĝxa7 ≅xb2 11.∂a4



8...a6



9.a4

The best attempt to develop some initiative.

9.營c3?! only apparently pins the knight: 9...^②xd5! 10.exd5 (but not 10.營xh8? ^②xe3-+, with a material advantage and attacking chances) 10...0f6 11.a4 b4 12.Wd2 (12.Wxb4 0xd5 13.Wd4 0xe3-+ is hopeless for White) 12...Wa5 13.2c4 2b7 14. \blacksquare d1 2g7 15.0e2 Wxa4 White had no compensation for the lost pawn in Durao – Marin, Benasque 1997.

9...bxa4

Slightly spoiling the queenside structure, but opening the b-file for counterplay and forcing the enemy rook onto an exposed square.



10.[©]xf6†

Refraining from this exchange offers Black additional possibilities for counterplay: 10.豆xa4 逸g7 11.逸c4 0-0 12.②e2



12...Øxd5!

The other knight remaining on d7 will enable ... 创b6 or ... 创c5. 13.象xd5 White fails to maintain his space advantage after 13.exd5 2b6 14. $\Xi b4$ 2xc4 15. $\Xi xc4$ e67, opening the position for the strong bishops.

13...¤b8

Suddenly White experiences serious queenside problems, mainly due to his delay in development.



14.¤b4

14.b4 weakens the c4-square chronically: 14...0b6 15. \blacksquare a5 0c7 16.0–0 In Metz – Huisl, Doernigheim 1974, Black should have exploited his better structure with 16...0xd5!N 17.exd5 0c4 \mp .

14.c3 weakens the d3-square, allowing a small trick in one line: 14...e6 15. $\hat{a}a2$ $\hat{a}c5$



The point is that 16.\arrowside{a}3 loses to 16...\arrowside{a}xb2!, so White has to play 16.\arrowside{b}4 when 16...\arrowside{a}a8\arrowside{a}, followed by ...a5, endangers the white rook. 14...\arrowside{a}xb4 15.\arrowxide{b}xb4 \arrowside{c}7 16.c3 a5 17.\arrowc4 \arrowside{c}c5 Threatening ...\arrowside{a}a6.

```
18.<u>$</u>xc5
```

18...dxc5



Structurally, White's position looks great, but his king is in the centre and the d5-bishop is exposed.

White has managed to keep the blockade on the c4-square, but he cannot save his b-pawn:

22...≌b8 23.b3 ≌b6∓

Grove – K. Schmidt, Helsingor 2015.

White seems to be getting active, but Black's central counterplay arrives just in time.



14...e6! 15.0–0 d5 16.exd5 公xd5 17.皇xd5 皇xd5 18.公xd5 營xd5 19.營xd5 exd5 20.皇d4 骂ab8

1/2–1/2 Anjuhin – Nouro, Finland 2006.

B6) 7.h4



This flexible move creates two main positional threats: g2-g4 and 2h6. Its main drawback is that Black can parry them with one stroke.

7...h5!

Half measures do not help.

If 7.... 違g7 8. 違h6 違xh6 9. 增xh6 White gains a useful tempo (h2-h4) over variation B1.

7...⁰b6 8.g4 reaches a position which we avoid in variation B7.

8.创h3

The knight is heading for the inviting g5-square. If Black reacts accurately, it will fail to create serious threats but, as revealed below, there are hidden dangers along the way.

8...ĝg7!

Since the kingside is safe now, Black should castle as soon as possible, leaving queenside play for later and avoiding the dangers connected with e4-e5.
I am not ashamed to show how I learned at my own expense that delaying castling is an unfortunate idea:

8....¹⁰c7 9.¹2g5 ²2b6? 9...¹2g7 is better. 10.¹2d3 ¹2b7 11.0–0–0 ¹2g7



12.e5 dxe5?!

12...b4 is somewhat better, but Black would still face problems after 13.创e2. 13.dxe5 營xe5 14.象f4 營c5



As pointed out by Aagaard, in Brunello – Marin, Edinburgh (blitz) 2007, White could have won with:

The bishops' infiltration on the back rank is impressive.

9.包g5 0-0 10.臭d3

Played in the spirit of my blitz game above.

10.0–0–0 avoids the main line plan based on ...e5, but offers Black a clear queenside target: 10...心b6 11.違d3 營c7 12.罩dg1 White prepares g2-g4 slowly. In Kr. Georgiev – Grigorov, Bulgaria 1984, Black should have started his attack with 12...b4N 13.心e2 c5, with at least equal chances.

Castling short after advancing the h-pawn is not very consistent: 10.奠e2 營c7 11.0-0 奠b7 12.②d1 e5 13.②f2 a6 14.骂fd1 罩ad8 15.a4 d5 Black had equalized comfortably in Galego – McNab, Manila (ol) 1992.

10...e5!

Since White has no pressure on the d-file at all, this is the best way of preventing any tactical problems based on e4-e5.

11.dxe5

If 11.0–0–0, Black can start his typical counterplay with: 11...exd4 12.&xd4 b4 13.&e2 c5 14.&f2 &e5=



11.... 2xe5!N

Stronger than 11...dxe5? as played in Kocwin – I. Belov, Katowice 1993, when White can stabilize the queenside in his favour with 12.a4!N±. Compare this with the similar situation that we avoided in Chapter 3 (see Nunn – Gelfand on page 76).

12.<u>ĝ</u>e2

Wisely clearing the d-file before Black's attack gets started, since 12.0–0–0?! b4 13.②a4 幽a5 14.b3 心fd7, followed by ...心b6, offers Black a strong initiative.



12....@e8!

Black can afford such a seemingly passive move only because White has wasted time with his bishop.

The important point is that after 12...^{@e7} 13.0-0-0 Ξ d8, the pin of the d6-pawn restricts Black's counterplay. For instance, after 14.^{\oplus}b1 Oc4 15.^{\oplus}xc4 bxc4 16.^{\oplus}d4 Ξ b8 17.^{\oplus}a1, the generally desirable 17...c5? drops a pawn to 18.^{\oplus}xc5!+-.

After the text move, White can no longer prevent Black's counterplay, and the knight will return to f6 later, after Black has made considerable queenside progress.

13.0-0-0

The most principled continuation, but also a risky one.

13.0–0 allows: 13...f6 14.创h3 違xh3! The effect of the rook being missing from h1. 15.gxh3 f5 Black has good counterplay.

Black has excellent play after: 13.a4 b4 14.创d1 曾a5 15.0–0 d5!? 16.exd5 创d6! Recycling the knight with a strong initiative. 17.dxc6 罩d8 18.c7 響xc7 19.響xb4 響xc2 White's extra pawn is not too relevant while his pieces are badly placed.



13...b4 14.2b1

14. ②a4 creates the usual problems with the knight: 14...營a5 15.b3 ②d7 16.堂b1 ②b6 17. ②b2 盒c3 Followed by ...d5, with the initiative.

14....[™]a5 15.a3 c5

Black has excellent attacking chances.





This is by far the most popular line and surely the critical one with this Sämisch Attack move order. White starts a massive pawn attack aimed at gaining space and causing Black coordination problems. He will decide later where to castle, how to develop his king's knight and whether or not to include a2-a4, which illustrates this line's maximum flexibility.

7....違g7!

Now is a good moment to continue kingside development.

During my initial long years as a Pirc player, I mainly relied on the widely approved:

7...[©]b6 8.h4 h5 9.g5 [©]fd7

Abstractly, Black's idea is perfectly logical, as both his knights are developed naturally.



10.d5!

However, this takes full advantage of the bishop not being on g7, as Black cannot solve the queenside problems by the usual means, for instance:

10...@e5

10...b4 11.dxc6 bxc3 12.鬯xc3 ④e5 13.黛d4 夐g7 transposes.

13...鬯c7 14.a4!, as in Tuomainen -V. Onischuk, Stockholm 2016, is similar.



14.a4!!

A fantastic move, not only creating the potential threat of a4-a5 but also, more importantly, depriving the knight of the a4-square.

After 14.f4 ②a4 15.營a3 營a5† 16.b4 營c7 17.營xa4 ②d3†! 18.兔xd3 兔xd4, the strong Pirc bishop offers Black enough counterplay. 14...0–0



15.f4!

The most accurate move order.

If 15.a5 2bd7 16.f4? 2c5 17.fxe5 2xe4 18. 2e3 dxe5, then Black is much better. In this line, White can improve with 16.cxd7 2xd7, but then the need to prevent ...2c8 forces 17.2a6, when 17...2c8 is liable to lead to a repetition of moves.

15....鬯c7 16.fxe5 dxe5 17.違e3

Black's lead in development does not provide full compensation for the far advanced (and extra) c6-pawn, for instance: 18.②f3 罩fd8 19.②d2 罩d6 20.a5 ②d5!? 21.exd5 黛xd5 22.罩g1 罩xc6 was unclear in Sabadell i Ximenes – Garcia Rodriguez, email 2010.

18...එc8 19.එf3 ව්d6 20.ව්d2 Followed by b2-b4.

After 7... (2)b6 8.h4, I also examined the occasionally played 8...h6. Even though my long 'negotiations' with the engines regarding its evaluation ended successfully, I did not manage to convince myself that I would be glad to play this with Black after the untested but logical 9.h5N.



After both 9...g5 and 9...gxh5 10.gxh5, I felt that something was definitely missing from the opening's usual beauty.



8.h4

The consistent continuation of the attack.

8. ĝh6 ĝxh6 9. ₩xh6

This gains a tempo compared to line B1, but the merits of g2-g4 are unclear. In this kind of position, White usually plays h2-h4-h5 without involving his g-pawn, while the weakening of the f4-square plays into Black's hands.



9...⊮a5 10.h4N

10.營d2 does not pose any problems: 10...違b7 11.h4 h6 12.心h3 b4 13.心d1 c5 Black had taken the initiative in Delbaere – M. Gurevich, Brussels 1995.

10...b4 11.🖄d1

After 11. 0 ce2 c5 12.d5 0 e5 13.h5 Ξ g8! 14.hxg6 hxg6 \mp , Black plans a perfect blockade with ...g5, and if 15.g5?! 0 h5–+ then White's queen can return to play only by means of an insufficient exchange sacrifice with Ξ xh5.



11...e5!

With most of his pieces on the back rank, White cannot maintain stability in the centre.

12.dxe5

12.d2 d5∓ blows White's centre apart. 12...৺xe5



13.₩g5

The consistent 13.h5? runs into 13...g5–+, threatening to trap the queen with ...2g8, and if 14.g7 g8 15.h6, then Black wins with either 15...2xe4 16.fxe4 hxe4† 17.2e2 h1 or simply 15...d5.

13...增g3† 14.④f2 h5 15.gxh5 ④xh5∓

Black has stopped the attack, while retaining the better structure.

8.g5

This pawn thrust is an important alternative. 8...釣h5



9.②ge2

White hopes to provoke a knight exchange on g3, yielding him lasting pressure against the backward h7-pawn.

Using the other knight for this purpose leaves the kingside underdeveloped. After 9.20 ce2 there are two possibilities:

a) If Black uses the same plan as in the main line with 9...e5 10.23 g³/2f4, as in Wallace – Lindgren, Stockholm 2015, White can play $11.0-0-0N\pm$, for if $11...\pm xg5$ then 12.2h3!.

b) Instead, Black should focus on the weakened queenside: 9...⁽²⁾b6 10.⁽²⁾g3 ⁽²⁾xg3 11.hxg3 ⁽²⁾d7 12.⁽²⁾h4 f5 13.⁽²⁾h2 ⁽²⁾c7 14.⁽²⁾xh7 0-0-0 15.0-0-0 White's extra pawn is not too relevant for the moment, as he cannot create a passer. Black can start his attack with 15...fxe4N (15...⁽²⁾b8 was a bit too slow in Atlas – Nijboer, Fuegen 2006) 16.fxe4 c5, for if 17.dxc5 then 17...⁽²⁾a4 18.c3 b4 and White is in trouble.



9...b4 10.2d1 e5 11.2g3

If 11.②f2, as in Arbakov – Karasev, Leningrad 1971, Black gets strong counterplay on the dark squares with: 11...exd4N 12.②xd4 c5 13.③b5 0–0 14.c3 ②e5 15.彙e2 營b6 16.營xd6 bxc3 An interesting tactical moment. 17.bxc3 (17.營xb6 cxb2! and Black wins) 17...③xf3†! Black regains an important pawn. If 18.彙xf3 there is nothing wrong with 18...營xb5∓, but even stronger is 18... \$\overline{2}xc3^{+}\$, overloading the knight and winning.

Against 11.d5, as in S. Bojkovic – Zakharov, Vrnjacka Banja 1963, 11...c5N is the simplest. Black plans ...0–0 followed by ...f6, while 12.²Dg3 ²Df4 13.²xf4 exf4 14.¹Wxf4 0–0∓ offers Black the usual overwhelming Sämisch King's Indian compensation due to his strong bishop.



11...∅f4 12.₩xb4

Clearing the path for the monster on g7 is dangerous: 12.奠xf4 exf4 13.營xf4 奠xd4 14.營xd6 奠e5 15.營d2 ②c5 16.營xd8† 垫xd8 The e5-bishop dominates the position and several white pawns are weak. The immediate threat is奠f4, regaining the pawn. 17.奠c4 奠e6 18.奠xe6 ②xe6 19.④e2 垫c7 Black threatens ...h6, soon regaining the h2-pawn. 20.a3!? a5 21.axb4 axb4 22.鼍xa8 鼍xa8 23.h4 鼍a1 Black has at least enough play for the pawn.



In E. Sveshnikov – V. Sveshnikov (!), Bratto 2013, the best way to defend the d6-pawn was:

12...d5!N

Taking full advantage of Black's superior mobilization.

13.h4

Defending the g5-pawn.

13...\"c7 14.\"d2 \@e6∓

White's position is hanging and it is hard to see how he will bring his king to safety.

8...h5 9.g5

9.gxh5?! is pointless, as after 9.... \$\Delta xh5 White has no obvious way of unblocking the kingside.

9...🖄h7



For many years I have lived with the impression that retreating with the knight to h7 leaves Black with insufficient counterattacking potential, even though lifelong Pirc specialist Predrag Nikolic played like this under slightly different circumstances. Recently, I discovered that Colin McNab has frequently played this concrete variation.

Freezing the kingside is a major achievement for Black, as White's main way to make progress on this wing is by sacrificing a piece on h5, a plan which is not easy to carry out and has double-edged consequences. In order to ensure the knight's successful recycling via f8-e6 Black needs to adopt Chernin's plan from variation B2, based on ...d6-d5. Sometimes the knight may replace its colleague on d7, and in a few cases it can support a counterattack based on ...f6.

In practice White has tried four main continuations: B71) 10.②h3, B72) 10.②ge2, B73) 10.f4 and B74) 10.a4.



B71) 10. 创h3

As we know, this is a typical way to develop the knight in the Sämisch schemes, but in this line it is somewhat slow, allowing Black to castle before carrying out his global regrouping.

10...0-0

The following game is a perfect illustration of Black's possible problems if active queenside operations are started with his king's knight out of play: 10...a6 11.2f2 ≤ 7 12.2e2 0–0 13.f4 b4 14.2a4 c5 15.0–0–0 cxd4 16.2xd4 e5 17.fxe5 ≤ 18.2 b6 $\equiv 58$ 19.2d5± White had achieved complete domination in Ciocaltea – D. Gurevich, Beersheba 1982.

11.<u>\$</u>e2N

Preparing kingside castling looks sensible.

After 11.0–0–0 ⁽²⁾b6 12.⁽²⁾f4 b4 13.⁽²⁾b1 a5, Black's attack was progressing smoothly in V. Ivanov – A. Larsen, email 2008.

11...②b6



12.②f2

The most natural move.

12.Ôf4

Taking measures against Black's plannedd5, but exposing the knight toe5.



12...[™]c7 13.b3

Preparing 🖄 d3.

13.0–0 e5 forces the knight to retreat to a passive position: 14.②g2 罩e8 15.f4 b4 16.②d1 exd4 17.逸xd4 逸xd4† 18.營xd4 c5 19.營d3 逸b7 20.逸f3 ②f8 21.f5 Preventing ...③e6 but weakening the e5-square. 21...c4 22.營d4 營c5 Black plans ... 创fd7-e5, with at least equal chances.

13...e5 14.dxe5 dxe5 15.@d3 \dag{d8=

Black will soon achieve an optimal regrouping with 16768-e6, targeting the important f4- and d4-squares.

With the knight on h3, advancing the f-pawn is not too effective: 12.f4 d5



Threatening to win a pawn or simply get control over the light squares with ...b4 and ...dxe4.

13.e5

White is not well enough prepared for 13.f5 b4 14.②d1 dxe4 15.fxg6 fxg6 16.②f4 黛f5 17.營xb4 e6∓, followed by ...,當f7 and ...,②f8, or possibly②d5. Black has a solid position, while White faces problems defending his centre and finding a safe location for his king.

13...�c4 14.₩c1

After 14.奠xc4 bxc4 15.创f2 奠f5, Black can continue with ...鬯c8, ...邕d8, and ...②f8, and then either ...②e6 or ...②d7 followed by ...e6 and ...逸f8. Black has perfect stability on the light squares, with prospects of also undermining the dark squares with ...c5.

14...¤e8

Since White's central pawns are blocked on dark squares, it makes no sense to exchange the e3-bishop unless this guarantees the undermining of the centre with ...c5 and maybe ...f6. The text move clears the knight's path to e6.

15.②f2

The knight is not easy to expel from c4, for if 15.ዿf2 ∅f8 16.b3? then 16...∰a5+– exploits the hanging knight on c3.

15...වf8 16.ව්d3

The only way to make use of this knight. $16.\&d3 c5 \mp$ is excellent for Black.



17.鼻f2

If 17.20c5, Black has a pleasant choice between the simple 17...20c6 and winning a pawn with 17...20c3 18.20cm 2cc2, although the latter may offer White some compensation along the c-file.

17...De6

Black has comfortable play.



12...a5!?

Since White's plan develops slowly, Black can afford to spend time on such prophylactic moves, too. The main idea is that after a later ...b4 the b-pawn is defended, allowing Black to react immediately in the centre.

13.0-0 d5 14.\ae1

The most natural way of keeping the tension.

14.exd5 b4 15. 2×4 2×45 offers Black easy play, while if 14. $d3? \in 5 \mp$ White's centre is hanging.

14...b4 15.∕2cd1 ₩c7



16.e5

The safest way of preventing $... \stackrel{\text{\tiny deg}}{=} g3^{\dagger}$, though it doesn't threaten Black at all.

16.違f4 runs into 16...e5 17.違g3 dxe4 18.fxe4 營d8!, unpinning the e5-pawn and putting White's centre in trouble.

The consistency of Black's global plan is revealed after the neutral 16. $\stackrel{\circ}{=}$ g2 dxe4 17.fxe4 $\stackrel{\circ}{=}$ d8 18.c3 $\stackrel{\circ}{=}$ f8, followed by ... $\stackrel{\circ}{=}$ e6 with unbearable pressure on d4.



16...**¤d**8!

Better than 16...^{Ξ}e8, since it supports a central break with ...c5.

17.f4 ②c4 18.營c1 ②f8 19.c3 鼻f5



Black has a promising position. Here are a few illustrative lines:

20.cxb4

20.b3 2a3 threatens ... 2c2.

20.... De6 21. £xc4

21.b3 \bigtriangleup xe3! A justified exchange, since the pawn on d4 has lost its natural support from the c-pawn. 22. xe3 axb4 White faces problems with his d4- and a2-pawns.

21...dxc4 22.₩xc4 axb4∓

Black has more than enough compensation for the pawn.



B72) 10. ②ge2

This seems a less natural way to develop the knight, as it stands in the bishop's way, but it has more poison than night be expected. The hidden plan is to meet ...d5 with e4-e5, followed by placing the knights on g3 and f4 and playing 2×15 . This can prove dangerous if Black castles hurriedly, but he has a better move order.

10....🖄 b6 11.b3

White's main plan includes \$\u00e9g2, so he needs to defend the c4-square.

11.创f4 0–0 12.奠e2 transposes to the note on 12.创f4 in variation B71 above.

11.②g3 d5 12.違d3 dxe4

The text ensures White cannot maintain the integrity of his centre.

Black can also play more speculatively with 12...0-0, with the permanent threat of ... 2c4, trading the knight for one of the enemy bishops.

13.fxe4



13...ĝxd4

This works out well tactically, though 13...違g4 (preventing 0–0–0) is also playable, when White's problems in the centre persist. 14.違xb5 違xe3 15.違xc6† 違d7 16.違xd7† 營xd7 17.營xe3 公c4

Black will regain the pawn on b2 with at least equality, since defending the pawn lands White in trouble:

18.\"c1?! 0–0 19.b3 @e5 20.\"f4 f6∓

Black has more than enough play for the pawn.



11...d5!N

The following line illustrates White's hidden idea: 11...a5 12.皇g2 d5 (12...a4 was played in Radulov – Milev, Sofia 1963, but it is too slow) 13.0–0 0–0 14.②g3 營c7 15.e5 e6 16.②ce2 罩d8 17.②f4 White will play ②fxh5 with a dangerous attack.

12.gg2 2f8 13.0-0 2e6

Due to his somewhat inflexible development, White will soon need to take a decision in the centre.



14.a4

This requires the highest accuracy from Black.

14. I aims to prepare 如g3 by overprotecting the d4-pawn, but it leaves the c3-knight short of good squares: 14...b4 15. 创日 a5=

The attack starting with 14.f4 is not frightening: 14...b4 15.¹/₀d1 dxe4 16.¹/₂xe4 ¹/₀d5 17.f5 gxf5 18.²/₂xf5



18...②f8! The knight improves its position with gain of time. 19.單f2 公g6 Black has regrouped perfectly.

Blocking the centre is not dangerous before Black has castled:

14.e5 a5



15.@g3

15.f4 takes the f4-square away from the knights and is easily parried with 15...②c7. 15...逸f8 16.a4 b4 17.②ce2 ②g7 18.②f4



18...e6!

The bishop has to content itself with the f1-a6 diagonal, because after 18...公f5 19.②xf5 氯xf5 20.e6! fxe6 21.彙h3 Black would have serious problems defending the e6- and g6-pawns.

The knight has finally reached a dream square.



20.違f2 0–0 21.b4 違d7 22.公c3 a6 Black plans to stabilize with ...違c6 or ...e6, followed by ...鬯b8-b7 and ...Ξfd8. 23.②xd5?!

This runs into some trouble:

Simpler than 23...ዿb5 24.f4, when White obtains compensation for the exchange. 24.₺b6 ⓓxd4∓

Black has eliminated an important pawn, retaining a strong centralized position. The a8-rook is taboo due to ... (2)xf3[†], winning the queen.

14...b4 15. 2a2 a5 16.e5



The safest plan, clearing the g7-square for the knight, though 16...0–0 is also possible: 17.c3 bxc3 18. (18.) (18.) (20

17.c3 bxc3 18. 凹xc3 崑d7!

Once again, Black needs to overprotecting the e6-square, because 18...\$b7 19.\$h3 \$297 20.e6! offers White too much play.

19. 2ac1 包g7 20. 2d3 e6 21. 莒fc1 皇e7

B73) 10.f4

White prepares to develop the knight in the most active way, but weakens the e4-square earlier than in the previous lines, adding force to the thematic break ...d6-d5.

10...🖾b6



11.2f3 0-0

Although ... 20c4 forms part of Black's plan, forcing \$\overline{2}xc4\$ and thus weakening the light squares (including e4), Black should wait until White develops his bishop in order to gain a tempo.

12.臭d3

White can prevent the knight jump with: 12.b3

However, advancing pawns on both wings uses up a considerable amount of time. 12...d5



13.<u>\$g</u>2

After the weakening of the a1-h8 diagonal, 13.&d3 causes White problems in the centre: 13...b4 14.&d2 &g4 15.0–0 c5 Black has strong counterplay. If 16.dxc5?! &xa1 17.cxb6 axb6 18. $\Xi xa1$ &xf3 19.exd5 $\textcircled{W}xd5\mp$, Black is not only an exchange up, but is also likely to be first to create threats along one of the long diagonals, despite the absence of the g7-bishop.

13...b4 14.@e2 dxe4 15.@e5

In Swan – McNab, Hawick 1995, Black should have played:



15....覍f5!N

Continuing with development and defending the pawn.

16.2g3 ₩c7 17.2xe4

If 17.^{$\text{@}</sup>xb4 <math>2$ d5 18.^{$\text{@}</sup>d2 f6 19.2$ xf5 gxf5 \mp then White loses stability, since 20.^{$\text{@}</sup>2$ g6 leaves the knight caged after 20...^{$\text{@}</sup>Efd8.$ </sup></sup></sup></sup>

17...\$xe4 18.2xe4 2d5=

Black enjoys perfect stability and can regroup with the familiar ... \mathbb{Z} fd8 and ... \mathbb{Q} f8.

12.... 2c4 13. 臭xc4 bxc4 14.0-0



Preparing 18 f8-e6.

There is no need to rush in with 14...d5, since this allows White some central activity: 15.②e5 dxe4 16.③xe4 營d5 17.②c3 營e6 In Norwood – McNab, Eastbourne 1990, White could have obtained attacking chances with 18.f5!N gxf5 19.②e2.

15.₩e2

Going straight for the c4-pawn seems like a critical try, so I have taken it as my main line.

White has several other plausible moves; I have analysed a) 15. Ξ f2, b) 15. Δ e2, c) 15.b3 and d) 15.f5.

a) Mechanically concentrating forces on the kingside does not produce the desired effect: 15.\Ef2 \Delta f8 16.f5 gxf5 17.\Eaf1 \Delta g6 and Black has regrouped perfectly.

b) 15. 2° b) 15. 2° b) 16. Ξ f2 b) f8 17. 2° g3 d5 18.f5 dxe4 19.fxg6 b) xg6 20. 2° xe4 Ξ d7 is likewise a fine regrouping for Black, giving him much the safer king.

c) 15.b3

This pursues the aim of winning the c4-pawn, since 15...cxb3 16.axb3 would improve White's structure. Just as in the main line, however, Black can ignore the threat.

15.... 16.bxc4 \$\mathbb{L}_a6

Black regains the pawn, obtaining a wonderful diagonal for his bishop. Stubbornly defending c4 would only cause White trouble, for instance:



17. 圏d3 d5 18.exd5 cxd5 19. ②xd5 三c8 Black wins at least an exchange. 20. ②d2 三xc4 21. ③xc4 鬯xd5 22. ④b6 This last trick is fated to fail. 22....鬯b7 23. ②c4 鬯c8

The knight is lost.

d) 15.f5

White is not well enough prepared for this attacking thrust.



15...gxf5!

Obtaining the g6-square for a minor piece is more relevant than the slight kingside weakening.

16.🖄h2

The most consistent move order, since 16.營e2 weakens the defence of the d4-pawn: 16...營b6 17.畳ab1 f4 18.彙xf4 e5 19.g6!? Weakening the a2-g8 diagonal in order to ensure the subsequent retrieval of the pawn on d4. 19...fxg6 20.彙e3 exd4 21.②xd4 營c7! (avoiding 21...彙xd4? 22.營xc4†) With an extra pawn, two bishops and the safer king, Black is winning, since 22.營xc4† runs into 22...d5 followed by ...營g3†.

If 16.exf5 \$\overline{2}xf5\$, Black will continue with ...\$\overline{2}g6\$, strengthening his kingside and completing his development.



Helping the knight join the attack with 16...fxe4 17.¹/₂xe4 would make little sense. 17.¹⁰/₂e2¹/₂f8 18.¹⁰/₂xh5¹/₂g6

White's attack is stuck and Black has improved his coordination. He could continue with ...c5, meeting dxc5 with ... 2b7, starting a counterattack along the weakened diagonal.



15...④f8!

Reckoning that when White takes the offered pawn, it will leave the white king exposed.

16.^mxc4 ^md7

Not the only good move, but the most straightforward one. Black defends the c6-pawn, threatening not only the obvious ... 24, but also ... a5 followed by ... 2a.

17.₩e2

After 17.∲f2 a5 18.\Imesg1 \$\overline{2}a6 19.\Imesga 4 \Imesga 20.\$\Imesga 3 \Imesga b4, Black's pressure across the whole board provides ample compensation for the pawn.

17...¤b8!

As revealed later, inserting this move and White's answer greatly helps Black.

18.¤ab1

18.b3 c5, followed by either ... \$b7 or ... be6, causes White additional trouble.

18...[™]g4†



19.**&f**2

Hoping to expel the queen with $\exists g_1$.

19.₩g2

This natural move meets an aggressive response:

19...f5!



20.gxf6

After 20.e5 @e6, Black regains the pawn, for instance: 21.堂h2 ④xf4 22.營xg4 fxg4 23.黛xf4 gxf3 24.鼍xf3 黛f5= White cannot save the c2-pawn, because 25.鼍f2? 骂b4 shatters the centre. The intermediate 17...罝b8! is fully justified in this line.

20...exf6 21.邕fe1 d5 22.e5 遑f5 23.邕e2 ④e6

Black has more than enough compensation for the pawn.



19...②e6 20.營d2 20.骂g1 allows 20...④xf4∓.

20...\$xd4!

Black's perfect coordination and the exposed enemy king make such tactical blows possible.

21. 🖄 xd4

After 21. $\hat{2}xd4$ $\hat{1}xf4$, Black threatens ... $\hat{2}a6$ and ...c5 to tighten the net around the king: 22. Ξ h1 c5 23. Ξ bg1 $\hat{1}h3$ † 24. Ξ xh3 $\hat{1}xh3$ 25. $\hat{2}e3$ Ξ xb2 \mp This is the second time that the rook has proved useful on the b-file. The material balance is about even, but White's structure is weak and his king exposed.

21...營xh4†



22.Åg1

White has to accept a draw by perpetual.

22. $\frac{1}{2}$ g2 $\frac{1}{2}$ xd4 23. $\frac{1}{2}$ xd4 $\frac{1}{2}$ h3† 24. $\frac{1}{2}$ g1 $\frac{1}{2}$ g3† 25. $\frac{1}{2}$ h1 $\frac{1}{2}$ xf1 26. $\frac{1}{2}$ xf1 $\frac{1}{2}$ xb2 $\frac{1}{2}$ leads to a familiar situation.

22...⊮g3†

Draw.

B74) 10.a4

In many lines Black willingly plays ...b5-b4, so provoking it at such an early stage, when Black has not yet defined his intentions in the centre, is not the best use of a tempo.

10...b4 11.2d1 a5



12.c3

The logical sequel to the previous operation.

12.ĝd3

After this neutral move, Black can modify his plan:

12...e5!?N

Even though he uses a different pawn than usual to undermine the centre, Black's main

plan remains recycling his knight with ... 🖄 f8-e6.

12...d5 13.e5 Db6 is not so good when White can defend the c4-square: 14.b3 Df815.c3 bxc3 16.Wxc3 Qd7 17. $\textcircled{Q}e2\pm$ gave White an edge in Ciocaltea – Vujakovic, Zagreb 1979.

13.De2 exd4 14.Dxd4

14.氯xd4 does not force the immediate bishop exchange, as after 14...②e5 the knight enjoys some stability in the centre: 15.營e3 0-0 16.②f2 筥e8 17.0-0-0 公f8 Black has decent play.

14...ĝb7 15.[©]f2 0–0 16.0–0 [©]c5 17.ĝc4 ^{II}e8 18.c3 bxc3 19.bxc3 [©]f8

Followed by ... Defe and possibly ...d5, with no worries for Black at all.



12...bxc3!N

Even though this looks like a concession, the exchange of pawns weakens the c4-square, allowing Black to carry out his main plan under favourable circumstances.

The apparently more consistent 12... Ξ b8 actually wastes a tempo: 13.&d3 e5 14.f4 bxc3 15.bxc3 exd4 16.&xd4 0–0 17.0f3 0c5 18.&xc5 dxc5 In David – McNab, Debrecen 1992, White should have played 19.e5N \pm , restricting the g7-bishop and planning the invasion of the f6-square.

13.bxc3

 13.2×3 Zb8 offers Black play along the b-file.



13...d5!

Preparing the knight jump to b6 then c4.

14.e5

14. এd3 can be met by 14...e5, solving all the opening problems.

14... 원hf8 15. 효d3 원b6 16. 원e2 원c4 17. 효xc4 dxc4 18. 원g3 원e6=

Black has a comfortable version of the familiar structure.

Conclusion

The Sämisch Attack, combining 2e3 with f2-f3, leads to a heavy strategic battle with many possible tactical twists. Black's main task is keeping his knight play fluent, an issue best illustrated by the approach I recommend in line B7, where the knight retreats to the h7-square, but may later re-emerge with 18-68-e6.



Various 4th Moves



Fianchetto System

Variation Index

1.e4 d6 2.d4 🖄 f6 3. 🖄 c3 g6 4.g3 \$g7 5. \$g2 0-0 6. \$ge2

6...e5

onney	
A) 7.0–0 c6 8.a4 a5	271
A1) 9.ģg5N	271
A2) 9.b3	272
B) 7.h3 c6	274
B1) 8.0–0	274
B2) 8.a4 a5 9.0−0 ∅a6 10.ዿe3 ∅b4 11.∰d2 ዿe6	279
B21) 12.f4	281
B22) 12.莒ad1	283
B221) 15.公c1	284
B222) 15.f4	286
B223) 15.g4	290





B21) after 21.<u></u>\$d4



B222) note to 19.e5!?



1.e4 d6 2.d4 2f6 3.2c3 g6 4.g3

This solid variation involves a similar approach to that of the Classical System. White does not put immediate pressure on the centre, but hopes that natural development will offer him a long-term edge.

4..... 違g7 5. 違g2 0-0 6. 包ge2

The seemingly more active 6. (2) f3, which transposes to variation B of Chapter 4, has the drawback of allowing a pin to be created by 6... (2) g4. The text move avoids this problem but creates a new one: Black can start fighting for central space unhindered.

6...e5



Plans based on ...c5, starting with 6...2a6 or 6...2bd7, have enjoyed temporary bursts of popularity, but I find the immediate break in the centre the most logical.

During my long years as a Pirc player, I have always met the fianchetto system with the plan examined in this chapter. Black has several alternatives along the way, but I do not see any reason to deviate from my favourite plan. Black will play 7...c6, threatening to expand with ...b5. After the logical a2-a4, Black has a fluent developing plan: ...a5,④a6-b4,黛e6-c4,營c7 and罩ad8.

In order to get a better understanding of the way I have organized the analytical material, it is important to know that the following main tabiya is examined in line B2, and all its subsequent branches.



Even though Black does not threaten to break in the centre before completing his development, White cannot fully enjoy his space advantage, since his e2-knight is passive, restricting the mobility of the major pieces at the same time. Activating the knight is essential but also time-consuming, usually allowing Black to obtain counterplay in one way or another.

All the lines I examine in the first part of the chapter are early White attempts to gain some benefit from omitting h2-h3 or a2-a4. While a small delay in playing the former usually leads to a transposition, refraining from the latter generally offers Black excellent play after ...b5.

Returning to the position after 6...e5, since Black is not yet threatening a queenside expansion, White has a choice between A) 7.0–0 and B) 7.h3.

A) 7.0–0

White keeps developing without paying attention to the g4-square. Since Black's set-up suggested in variation B is flexible and consists of a long series of moves, I do not see any reason to deviate from it. I believe that White will have to play h2-h3 at some later point anyway, thereby transposing to the main lines.

7...**c**6

The attempt to punish the omission of h2-h3 with 7...②c6 leads to a slight problem: 8.dxe5 (White is forced to release the tension since 8.違e3 runs into 8...②g4) 8...dxe5 9.違g5 White has chances to invade the d5-square.

8.a4

If $8.\&e3 \bigtriangleup g4 9.\&c1$ (obviously forced), Black has a choice between $9... \bigtriangleup f6$ with a repetition, and 9...b5 with a possible transposition to line B1.

8.ģg5 is slightly trickier: 8...h6 9.ģe3 2g4 10.ģc1 b5 11.h3



11...exd4! (With his pawn on h7 Black could simply retreat his knight, but 11...心f6 12.a3 逸b7 13.逸e3 心bd7 14.鬯d2 空h7 15.罝ad1 yields White an important developing tempo.) 12.心xd4 心e5 Black has promising queenside play.



Since 9.&e3 runs into 9...&d3g4, and 9.h3 transposes to line B2, we will examine only A1) 9.&g5N and A2) 9.b3.

A1) 9. 臭g5N

This natural move has not yet been tried in this particular position.

9....🖾 a6

Black does best to ignore the pin.

After White has prevented Black's queenside expansion, chasing the bishop away is less advisable: 9...h6 10.彙e3 包g4 11.彙c1 包a6 12.h3 exd4 (12...包f6 13.彙e3 transposes to a line we avoid in line B2, since it will later yield White a tempo after 營d2) 13.包xd4 包e5 14.b3 包b4 15.彙e3 White will continue with 營d2, 鼍ad1, 鼍fe1 and finally f2-f4. Black has no immediate worries, but his chances for counterplay are uncertain.

10.習d2 2b4 11.骂ad1 習b6!?

For once it is wise to change the customary move order.

 16.f6 急h8 17.莒f4 心e5 (threatening ...心bd3) 18.營f2 White's attacking chances are not totally clear, but it is annoying to play with the king's bishop immobilized on h8.

12.h3

Finally White has to advance his rook's pawn.

If 12.f4 ②g4 or 12.骂fe1 ②g4, White has problems defending d4 and c2.

12...ĝe6 13.¤fe1 ĝc4

We have transposed to the 10.&g5 line in the notes to variation B2; see page 280.

A2) 9.b3

This looks like a flexible set-up, but the bishop will have little to do on either b2 or a3.

9....@a6



10.曵b2

White has also tried the apparently more active:

10.**\$a**3

This is likely to lead to a loss of time later, since Black can obstruct the bishop with 约b4.

A comfortable and active square for the queen, with the bishop far from e3.

13.ĝb2 ĝe6

14. 🖄 d 1

A typical manoeuvre, aimed at giving some life to the b2-bishop.

14.f4? runs into 14... 2×2 15. 2×2 exd4, regaining the piece in view of the threat of ...d3†, and keeping two extra pawns after ...dxc3 and ... $2 \times b3$.

14.Dh1 \approx ad8 15.f4 is strongly met by: 15...Dg4! 16.h3 (16.Dd1 f5∓ and 16.f5 Bh6∓ are also good for Black) 16...Dxc2! 17.Mxc2 De3 18.Md2 exd4 19.Dd1 Dxf120. $\fbox{Z}xf1$ c5 After the inevitable capture of the b3-pawn, Black will have a rook and three pawns for two minor pieces, while the b2-bishop is very passive.

14....Bad8 15.De3



15...[©]g4!

Leaving White with problems defending c2 and d4.

16.h3

16.②xg4 盒xg4 does not solve White's problems.

16...∕⊇xe3 17.fxe3 d5∓

Black had achieved harmonious development and the initiative in the centre in Timman – Donner, Amsterdam 1973.

10....¤e8



11.₩d2N

More flexible than:

11.¤e1 🖄b4 12.@a2

White hurries to exchange the active knight, rather landing in familiar problems with 12.^{$mathed{M}$}d2 2g4.

12...exd4 13.②xb4 axb4 14.②xd4 鬯b6 15.h3 皇d7

Defending the b5-square in order to threatenc5 followed by ... (\$\overline{2}c6\$, with strong pressure on the e4-pawn.

16.₩d3

Black had achieved a favourable queenside position in Melcher – Lorscheid, Germany 1995. The most active continuation would have been:



16...d5N 17.exd5

17.e5 e4 18.f4 f6 \mp dissolves White's centre.

17...�xd5 18.ዿxd5 cxd5∓

White cannot benefit from blockading the isolani, since both his wings are weak and the knight is pinned.

13.\Imes fe1 \u00e9b6 14.h3 \u20e4ad8 followed by ...d5 leads to a familiar pattern.

13...[₩]b6 14.h3

Preparing a gradual gain of space with g3-g4.

14.f5 tends to hand the centre to Black: 14... 单d7 15. 空h1 罩ad8 16.h3 gxf5 17.exf5 exd4 18. 公xd4 d5 The central majority will start advancing before White can launch his attack with g3-g4-g5.

14...exd4 15. 公xd4 邕ad8 16. 空h2



Preparing the following knight manoeuvre.

17.邕fe1 创d7

Threatening 18...違xd4 19.營xd4 營xd4 20.罩xd4 ⁽²⁾xc2.

18.邕e2 ②c5

Threatening ... 2 cd3.

19.句f3 凹c7 20.宫de1 b6



Followed by ... 2 a6, with the continuing harassment of the white pieces offering Black adequate counterplay.

B) 7.h3

This is the most popular move. We know that from the perspective of our main plan it makes little difference if White takes the g4-square under control now or slightly later, but the idea is to prepare to answer 7... (2)c6 with 8. (2)e3.

7...**c**6



White faces another important choice: **B1**) **8.0–0** and **B2**) **8.a4**.

B1) 8.0–0

As in line A, White hurries with his development without paying attention to prophylactic pawn moves. The difference is that here Black can take advantage of this carelessness at once:

8...b5

We know from Chapter 1 that reaching this set-up spares Black any opening problems, ensuring him a flexible position with good queenside counterplay.



9.a3

It appears that White must waste a tempo on a pawn move anyway.

9.儳e3

Ignoring the possibility of ...b4 allows Black to start his counterplay with:

9...exd4!

The correct move order!

If 9...b4 10.dxe5! dxe5 11.2a4, White controls the c5-square.

10.②xd4N

After 10.\$xd4 b4 11.\$a4 \$\equiv e8 12.f3 c5 Black was already better in Vidarsson – He. Gretarsson, Reykjavik 2004,

10...b4 11.@a4 \e8

The pressure against the central pawn is annoying.



12.c3

Hoping for 12...bxc3 13. Dxc3, consolidating the centre.

If 12.f3?! d5 White's centre is vulnerable. 12...②xe4 13.cxb4 ②xg3!

Keeping the extra pawn.

Releasing the central tension offers Black free development:

9.dxe5 dxe5 10.₩xd8 ¤xd8 11.ዿe3 ዿe6

This is a logical reaction to the premature exchange in the centre, since Black no longer needs to fear d4-d5.



12.f4

The manoeuvre initiated by 12.心c1 is too slow: 12...心bd7 13.心d3 a5 Black has ideal development and good pawn play. The text looks active, but does not solve the problem of the e2-knight. In A. Szabo – Dolana, Zalakaros 2016, Black could have continued with:

12...b4N 13.②a4 奠c4

Starting the fight for the c5-square – a subsequent $\dots \overset{\circ}{\underline{a}} b5$ will question the stability of the a4-knight.

14.¤ae1 🖄bd7



15.f5

Invading the c5-square with 15.心c5 helps Black to clear paths for most of his pieces: 15...心xc5 16.逸xc5 exf4 17.gxf4 心h5 Attacking the b2-pawn and threatening ...逸xe2 followed by ...心g3, or simply ...罩d2. 15...逸b5 16.b3 逸f8 17.g4 心e8

Freeing the d7-knight from the defence of

the f6-knight helps to prepare ... \$c5.

18.②b2 臭c5

After the bishop exchange, White's attack is not too effective, while Black's control over the dark squares offers him excellent prospects in any endgame.

9....ĝb7 10.ĝg5

White tries to add force to d4-d5, or else provoke ...h6.

10.儳e3

This neutral move gives Black a free hand in his development.

10...∕Dbd7 11.₩d2

If 11.dxe5 dxe5 12.公c1, as in Weiss – Kotz, Austria 2011, then 12...鬯e7N 13.公d3 a5 14.b4 邕fd8 offers Black harmonious development.



Black has tried a variety of options here, but I favour the direct:

11...exd4N 12.2xd4 \[e8

Planning ...a5 followed by ...b4 and ...c5 undermining the e4-pawn, or simply ... 2b6 or ... \textcircled{2}e5, heading for the c4-square.

Even after the black bishop's commitment to b7, releasing the tension does not promise much: 10.dxe5 dxe5 11.營xd8 罩xd8 12.奠e3 创bd7 13.罩ad1



13...ĝf8

The standard regrouping. Since there is not much to be done on the long diagonal, the bishop prepares the favourable exchange with ...\$c5, supporting ...b4 along the way. 14.Øc1

14.f4 is most simply met with 14... 堂g7, overprotecting the knight in in case the f-file is opened: 15.f5 a5 16.g4 h6 Since h3-h4 is not easy to prepare, this slows down White's positional attack considerably. 17. ②c1 奠c5 Black has excellent play on the dark squares. 14...a5



15.g4?!

This only weakens the dark squares. The threat of g4-g5 is illusory, since Black intends to relocate his knight anyway.

15.②d3N is more logical, but Black does not face any problems after 15...b4 16.axb4 axb4 17.②b1 逸a6, possibly followed by②e8-c7-e6.

15...b4 16.axb4 axb4 17.∅3e2 ዿa6 18.f3 ∅c5 19.b3 ∅e6 20.螳f2 ₡d7∓

With active play and the better structure for Black in Mieles Palau – Marin, Calvia (ol) 2004.



10...②bd7 11.營d2

Advancing in the centre is overambitious: 11.d5 cxd5 12. Dxd5 &xd5 13.exd5



This highly asymmetrical position offers Black clear plans on both wings. Sooner or later, the black majority on the kingside will start to advance, but for the moment it is important to prevent White carrying out active queenside operations based on a3-a4 or &c3-a2-b4-c6.

13...句b6 14.句c3 營d7 15.營e2 a6 16.罩ad1 罩ac8



17.¤d3

White must be wary of exchange sacrifices on c3.

17.0a2 allows the tactical blow: 17...Bf518.2xf6 $\textcircled{E}xc2\mp$.

If 17.\2xf6 \2xf6 18.\2a2 a5, Black has perfect control on both wings.

17.a4 invites 17... Exc3 18.bxc3 ②xa4, with full compensation for the exchange.

17...¤fe8



Certainly not the only good move.

One textbook illustration of what Black should avoid is: 11... Ee8 12. Ead1 268?! (Any neutral developing move, including 12...a5, would do, but taking the knight far from the d5-square gives White an advantage.)



13.d5! cxd5 14.②xd5 違xd5 15.違xf6 營xf6 16.營xd5[±] With a perfect position for White due to his control of the light squares in Gufeld – I. Belov, Moscow 1992.

Black could start concrete play already:

11...exd4N 12.②xd4 a5 13.置fe1 置e8 14.置ad1 營b6 (only not 14...營c7? 15.②dxb5 cxb5 16.③xb5 營b6 17.③xd6, giving White three pawns for the piece and a strong initiative) 15.逸e3 b4 16.③a4 營c7 Black has comfortable play.

Equally good is 11...a5N, as preparation for the opening of the centre.



1**2.**黛h6

The bishop exchange is double-edged; White aims for attacking chances, but Black obtains good counterplay on the dark squares.

However, Black also has great play after 12.\addle adl adl adl.

12...a5 13.[§]xg7 ⁴xg7 14.g4

Preparing an attacking plan based on 2g3 and 1e3.

The superficially active 14.f4 does not do much for the e2-knight: 14...b4 15. 2d1 & a6

14...b4 15.🖄d1 c5

After the bishop exchange, this is the most logical plan, increasing Black's control of the dark squares.

This is also logical, as White must at least try to restrict the enemy bishop.

16.dxe5 dxe5 17.创g3 当fd8 offers Black free play.

16...... 建a6 17. 包e3 h5

Preparing this pawn break with 17... Eh8 also comes into consideration, with a possible transposition to the game continuation.



18.²g3!?



18.g5 just leaves White with weaknesses on the dark squares: 18...公h7 19.h4 f6 20.gxf6† 置xf6, followed by ...置af8 and ...營d8.

Although it is ugly strategically, 18.gxh5 deserves to be mentioned.



16.d5

18.... 2xe2! (not 18... 公xh5? 19. 公f5†!) 19. hxg6!? White wins a pawn due to the threat of 公f5†, but the strategic concessions are more important. 19...fxg6 20. 營xe2 公h5 Black has full compensation in view of his perfect control of the dark squares.

18...띰h8

Protecting not only the h5-pawn, but also the h6-square, thus parrying both of White's threats.

19.g5?!

A dubious sacrifice.

Better is 19. Ξ fe1, although Black gets excellent play with: 19...h4 20. \bigcirc gf1 Hoping to regroup with \bigcirc h2-f3, to control the g5-square. 20...&xf1 21. Ξ xf1 \bigcirc h7 \mp Black will continue with ...Bd8 and the occupation of the g5-square.

19...④h7



20.h4

It is already too late to maintain material equality: 20.鬯fe1 h4 (but not 20...心xg5? 21.②ef5† and White wins) 21.②gf1 (21.②ef5† gxf5 22.②xf5† 查g8 does not offer White realistic attacking chances) 21...營d8 Black wins the g5-pawn.

20.... 違xf1 21. \Larger xf1 \Larger hf8∓

Black went on to win in Kirov – Vogt, Leipzig 1980.





The conclusion from the previous section was clear: White does best to spend a tempo restraining Black's queenside play.

8...a5

Black stabilizes the queenside and prepares to transfer the knight to the excellent b4-square.

The plan based on gradual queenside expansion involves losing a tempo compared to line B1. Things are not entirely clear, but the following line illustrates Black's possible problems:

8...b6 9.0–0 \$b7 10.\$e3 \$bd7 11.\$d2 a6 12.\$ad1 \$c7\$

One important point is that after 12...b5? 13.dxe5 dxe5 14.g4, the threat of g4-g5 leaves the d7-knight hanging. White's extra tempo for \additional additional and the set of t

In Planas Torrea – Malo Guillen, Spain 2012, White should have played:

13.dxe5N dxe5 14.₩d6

White has some initiative.

9.0-0

Targeting the b6-square at once with 9.逸e3 does not prevent Black's manoeuvre: 9... ②a6 10.dxe5 dxe5 11.營xd8 罩xd8 12.逸b6 罩e8 13.逸xa5



13...论d7 14.论d1 The threat of trapping the bishop with ...b6 forces White to leave the a4-pawn undefended. 14...论ac5 15.逸b4 笆xa4 Black had achieved comfortable equality in Garcia Cano – L. Marin, Cullera 2002.

9...Ða6



10. <u>\$</u>e3

This is by far the most common move.

10. 集g5

As usual, this demands some accuracy of us. 10...

As in some previous lines with \$\\$g5, Black refrains from ...h6 and continues in the style of the main line, to which play may later transpose.



15.✿h2

Preparing f2-f4.

The immediate 15.f4? is premature due to 15... (2) h57, when no fewer than three white pawns are vulnerable, on g3, d4 and c2.

After the better 15. $\&e3 \\ @c7$, play has simply transposed to the position after 14 moves in variation B22.

15....\ad8 16.f4 h6!

Underlining the exposed placement of the bishop.

17.**ĝh**4

If 17.&xf6?! &xf6 18.fxe5? &g5, the only way to save the queen is 19.@f4, losing the knight to 19...dxe5.

17...¤fe8∓

White's position is overextended and he has to watch out for 18...\$xe2 19.\$xe2 exf4, targeting the e4-pawn.

10...∕⊇b4 11.₩d2

White is not well enough prepared for: 11.g4 h5!

11...\$e6 12.d5 more or less justified White's 11th move in L. Vajda – Kaabi, Hungary 1996.

12.g5

Keeping the tension with 12.f3 does not favour White. In Mateuta – Jovanovic, Patras 1999, Black should have played: 12...exd4N 13.2xd4 (or 13.2xd4 d5 14.e5 ¹⁰/₂e7 and Black wins the e5-pawn) 13...2h6 White faces obvious problems in completing his development.

12...🖄h7



13.dxe5

13.營d2 loses a pawn to 13...exd4 14.②xd4 違xd4 15.違xd4 ②xg5. The bishop pair does not offer adequate compensation, since the kingside weaknesses also offer Black tactical ideas – for instance, 16.堂h2? 違xh3! resulted in a quick win for Black in Popchev – Ivanisevic, Belgrade 2003.

13...dxe5 14.營c1 鼻e6 15.b3 f6 16.h4 fxg5 17.hxg5 邕f7 18.剑a2 剑xa2 19.邕xa2 營c7



White had no active plan in Bosch – Marin,

Sitges 2000, and the only open question is how Black will exploit the kingside weaknesses.

11...<u></u>e6



Planning ... (2, 4. White has a choice between **B21**) **12.f4** and **B22**) **12.Zad1**.

Defending the c4-square neglects White's development, allowing an immediate central break:

12.b3 d5 13.exd5

If 13.dxe5 ⁽¹⁾/₍₂₎xe4 14.⁽²⁾/₍₂₎xe4 dxe4, White has no obvious way to take on e4, due to the hanging h3- and c2-pawns, while the white e5-pawn is weak. White tried 15.⁽²⁾/₍₂₎d4 in Stupak – Gelashvili, Khanty-Mansiysk (ol) 2010, but 15...⁽²⁾/₍₂₎d7N 16.⁽²⁾/₍₂₎xe6 ⁽²⁾/₍₂₎xe6 ⁽²⁾/₍₂

13....②fxd5 14.②xd5 \$\u00e9xd5

With at least equality for Black in L. Vajda – Marin, Bucharest 1997, and several later games.

B21) 12.f4

The impact of this ambitious move on the centre is smaller than White might wish.

Immediate attacking attempts get nowhere: 13.f5 weakens the e5-square after 13...exd4,

while 13.dxe5 dxe5 gives White no time to continue his kingside advance with 14.f5 due to the hanging c2-pawn.

The more consistent 13. Ξ ad1 will transpose to lines below. After 13...Bc7 14.b3 Ba6, we have reached the note to White's 14th move in variation B22, when the further 15. Ξ fe1 leads into variation B222.

13.b3

This offers Black a pleasant choice:



13...\$xe2!N

This effectively proves that White's last move was premature.

When facing this position I reacted with 13...\$a6 14.\approx f2 exd4 15.\$xd4 d5, with excellent play in Bellon – Marin, Cullera 2002, in view of: 16.e5 ⁽¹⁾/₂d7 Threatening to win a piece with ...c5. 17.\$e3 ⁽²⁾/₂e8 Black will continue with ...f6, and White's pieces are obviously misplaced.

14.@xe2

14.[™]xe2 [™]h5!∓ leaves White's pawns hanging.

14....¤e8 15.c3

15...exd4 16.<u>\$</u>xd4

The only way to keep the e4-pawn.

16...∕Da6 17.₩c2 ₩e7

With his knight badly placed, White has to make a concession in order to avoid losing the e4-pawn.



18.<u>\$</u>xf6

If 18.e5 dxe5 19.&xe5 @h5 20.&xg7 @xg7 \mp , followed by ... \blacksquare ad8, Black has the initiative in the centre.

18...ĝxf6 19.≌ae1 @c5 20.@c1 ₩e6∓

Due to the hanging b3-pawn, White is forced to keep his knight on the unappealing c1-square, while the f6-bishop is a potential danger because of the weaknesses of the dark squares.



13...exd4 14.②xd4

The natural recapture, aiming to inhibit ...d5 in view of e4-e5-e6.

14. 逸xd4 makes less sense: 14...d5 15.exd5 ②bxd5 16.b3 ②xc3 17. 營xc3 逸d5 Black had the more pleasant play due to White's numerous weaknesses in a symmetrical structure in Van Hengel – Nijboer, Vlissingen 2005.

14....莒e8 15.b3 皇a6 16.莒d1

16.罡e1 takes measures against the pressure along the e-file, but fails to maintain control: 16...營c7 17.空h2 罩ad8 18.g4 d5 19.e5 ②e4 20.②xe4 dxe4 Threatening ...c5. 21.營c1 ②d5 Black threatens (among other things) ...g5, and 22.奠xe4 does not work in view of 22...②xe3 23.營xe3 奠xe5! 24.fxe5 營xe5†, regaining the d4-knight with an extra pawn.

16....[₩]e7



17.②db5!?

A radical attempt to solve the problem of piece congestion.

17.g4 allows: 17...心xe4 (the strengthening 17...莒ad8 is also fine) 18.心xe4 d5 19.心xc6 The only way to avoid losing a pawn. 19...bxc6 20.逸c5 營c7 Black has excellent play.

17...cxb5 18.axb5 舀ac8 19.e5?!

This thematic break does not work out well against Black's best play.

White should have tried: 19.bxa6N 鼍xc3! 20.營xc3 ②xe4 I can understand that White wanted to avoid this, but there is a way for him to survive. 21.營e1 ②c3 22.奠d2 ③xd1 23.營xd1 The simplest option is 23...bxa6, eliminating the potentially dangerous pawn. In view of Black's weaknesses, White has compensation for the material deficit, but no more.

19...dxe5 20.fxe5 營xe5 21.臭d4

In Harutjunyan – Arutinian, Gyumri 2009, Black failed to find the best line:



21...[™]xg3!N 22.bxa6

22. \Box xf6 may well be what Black feared, but it is unsound; 22... 兔xb5! 23. \Box f3 (23. \Dox xb5 \Box xc2 and Black wins) 23... 兔xd4† 24. \Box xd4 \Box energies from the complications with two extra pawns.

22...ጃcd8∓

Black has a strong initiative.

B22) 12.\ad1



The strongest move, aiming to complete development before undertaking anything active.

12...ĝc4

When White has centralized his rook, the central break is less convincing: 12...d5 13.exd5 ②fxd5 14.③xd5 氢xd5 15.c3 氢xg2 16.۞xg2 ③d5 17.dxe5 氢xe5 18.氢d4 氢xd4 19.③xd4 White had the slightly more active play in Franzoni – Strikovic, Yerevan (ol) 1996.

13.b3 **\$a6** 14.\arrowsfe1

14.f4 is somewhat sounder than on move 12, but it is still ineffective: 14...曾c7 15.dxe5N (15.骂fe1 transposes to variation B222) 15...dxe5 16.f5 罩ad8 17.鬯c1 罩xd1 18.罩xd1 罩d8 19.罩xd8† 營xd8 20.g4 違f8 21.包g3 包d7 22.營d2 營c7= Black intends ...違c5 next.

14...[₩]c7



With his army fully mobilized, White can try three main plans: B221) 15. ②c1, B222) 15.f4 and B223) 15.g4.

B221) 15. 2c1

Obviously this is only a temporary location for the problem knight. On the next step, White can choose between activating it with 20d3 or using it to control d3 in order to expel Black's active knight with 201 and c2-c3.

15....莒ad8 16.约b1

16.心d3 is too simplistic to bother Black: 16.... 象d3 17.cxd3 ②g4! A typical trick. 18.愈g5 (after 18.hxg4 exd4, Black regains the piece with great play on the dark squares) 18...f6 19.hxg4 fxg5 20.d5



In Christiansen – Marin, Internet (rapid) 2002, the most logical continuation was 20...營b6N, increasing control over the dark squares, with preferable play for Black.



After the text move, Black's knight seems to be in sudden danger.

16...d5!

The logical reaction to the double knight retreat.

16...exd4 17.\$xd4

Inserting this exchange before breaking in the centre allows slightly unfavourable complications.

17...d5



18. £xf6!N

18.exd5?! cxd5 19.c3?! De4 offered Black the initiative in Gorovets – Demchenko, Moscow 2012.

18.... 違xf6 19.e5 違xe5 20.c3 違d6 21. 增b2!

21.cxb4?! &xb4 yields Black a minimal material advantage and an active position. 21...&e5 22.f4 &d6

After 22...違g7 23.營d2, White wins the knight under better circumstances than in the main line.



23. h2!

If 23.cxb4 盒xb4, the e1-rook does not have any favourable squares for escaping from the bishops' domination. After the more or less forced 24.②d2 鬯b6† 25.查h2 鬯f2, Black gets some dominance, compensating for the material deficit.

White can continue with \hat{a} f1, when Black may have problems proving entirely satisfactory compensation for the piece.

17.c3 exd4



1**8.**ĝf4

The only way to avoid a worse position. 18.ዿxd4 @xe4 19.\bar{B}b2

19.²/₂xe4 dxe4 leaves the d4-bishop pinned.

19...\$xd4 20.\strackstra

Black retains a small material advantage and a dominating position after: 21.邕dxe4 dxe4 22.cxb4 cxb4 23.逸xe4 邕fe8∓

21...cxd4 22.b5 ዿxb5 23.axb5 ⊮b6∓

The rook and two pawns are stronger than two minor pieces, especially considering White's poor coordination.

18...dxc3 19.巢xc7 cxd2 20.罩xd2

The threat of \$2xa5 attacking the b4-knight looks annoying, but with such perfect mobilization Black has more than one way to cope with it.



20....創6

20...b6, with similar play to the main line, is also reasonable.



Black's pawns are weak and the a6-bishop is likely to become vulnerable after ⁽²⁾xb4, but Black has just enough dynamism to keep the balance. 27...e3 28.fxe3 h6 29.⁽²⁾xb4 ke3; 30.h1 f1 31.⁽²⁾xf1 Exf1; Black delivers perpetual check.

21.f4 b6

An entirely sound exchange sacrifice, based on the weakness of the dark squares in White's territory.

22.<u>\$</u>xd8

22.\vert xb6 does not offer much either: 22...\vert b8 23.\vert xa5 \vert xe4 24.\vert xe4 \vert fe8 Once again, this pin offers Black comfortable play.

22....IXxd8 23.exd5 cxd5 24. 2c3 鼻f8



With great piece play for Black, who eventually won in Stupak – Valles, Beirut 2015.

B222) 15.f4



Before clearing the g3-square for the knight, White increases the tension in the centre, but this is likely to backfire.

15....**Zad8**

The major-piece opposition along the d-file means that White does not have time for the generally desirable dxe5 followed by f4-f5.

17.f5 is premature due to 17...d5!∓.

After the text move, White threatens g4-g5 followed by f4-f5, with a certain amount of pressure.



17...exf4

This is the simplest reaction to White's massive advance, which has weakened several dark squares, including d4, makingc5 a logical plan.

17...②xg4!? leads to a series of captures: 18.hxg4 exd4 19.皇xd4 皇xd4† 20.響xd4 ②xc2 21.響f2 ③xe1 22.骂xe1 響e7



An unclear position has arisen, with rook and two pawns against two minor pieces. Personally, I would be slightly afraid of a kingside attack and worried by the absence of obvious active plans for Black.

18.**\$xf4** c5



19.e5!?

The only way to fight for the initiative.

19.dxc5

This leaves the c3-knight vulnerable. 19...≝xc5† 20.ĝe3

The only way to avoid ... 2xg4.

20.邕e3? g5 traps the f4-bishop. 20...營c7 21.흹d4



In Cuartas – Gagunashvili, Istanbul (ol) 2012, Black should have increased his
The passive retreat 22. Dec offers Black excellent play on the dark squares: 22...Dd7 23. $g_{xg7} \oplus xg7 \mp$

22. 中1 does not solve White's problems either: 22...心xg4 23. 创45 營d8! The best square for the queen, as we will see. 24. 象双7 垫xg7 Black wins a pawn, since 25. hxg4 營h4† makes things even worse for White.



White seems to have stabilized his position somewhat, but this is deceptive: 22...②xc2! 23.營xc2 ②d5!!

The double pin along the e- and c-files causes

White material losses.



19...dxe5 20.\arrow xe5

20.ዿxe5? loses material to 20...Exe5 21.Exe5 cxd4-+.

20....Ixe5 21.臭xe5 凹b6



22.⊮̃f4N

The most challenging move, threatening \$\u00e9c7.

22.¹⁰/₂ applies less pressure, allowing Black to choose between 22...cxd4 and 22...c4!?, clearing space for the queenside minor pieces.

22.d5

Creating a passed pawn is a principled choice, but it offers Black a valuable tempo to start his counterplay.

23.ģf4 is met by 23...c4†, followed by ...cxb3 and ...²d3.

23...[™]xf6 24.[⊕]ce4

In L. Vajda – Marin, Bucharest 1997, Black's best would have been:



24...≌d4†N 25.혚h1

Simplifying would only cause White trouble: 25.營xd4 এxd4† 26.鼍xd4 cxd4 27.②f6† 垫f8 28.②xe8 峦xe8 29.逸e4 峦d7∓ Black wins the d5-pawn soon. It is interesting to notice that the a6-bishop dominates the knight, preventing ②e2xd4.

25...Øxd5



26.@xc5

26.[™]e1 or 26.[™]c1 both hope for 26...[™]e5? 27.[™]xd5, but they are both refuted by 26...[™]e3!∓.

26...≝xc5 27.≜xd5 b6

Followed by ... 2c8 with preferable play for Black, due to his two bishops and the weakened white kingside.

22...cxd4!?

The most principled reaction, entailing a queen sacrifice.

Parrying \$\overline{2}c7 is likely to hand over the initiative on the dark squares, even though the consequences are not entirely clear. For instance:

22...心e8 23.逾xg7 查xg7 (23...心xg7 24.心ge4 leaves the f6-square vulnerable) 24.營e5† 營f6 25.心ge4 營xe5 26.dxe5 罩xd1† 27.心xd1 心xc2 28.心xc5 With a more pleasant ending for White. 22...心fd5 may be less scary than it looks: 23.心xd5 心xd5 24.兔xd5 罩xd5 25.兔xg7 垫xg7 26.c4 罩xd4 27.罩xd4 cxd4 28.心e4 d3† 29.凹f2 凹xb3 Due to the far advanced d-pawn and the vulnerable white king, White does not seem to have more than a perpetual.



23.兾c7

23.黛xd4 is inoffensive: 23...鬯e6 24.g5 创fd5 offers Black great counterplay.

23...dxc3†24.흹xb6 \(\mathbf{Zxd1} \) 25.啓h2

Black's material disadvantage is minimal and his activity fully compensates for it.



25...④d7 26.臭xa5 包xc2 27.鬯c7

White needs to hurry to neutralize the c3-pawn.

27....違e5 28.凹c8† 创f8 29.皇xc3 皇d6 30.皇f6 包e3



Due to his active pieces, Black can count on obtaining at least a draw by perpetual.

B223) 15.g4



This is a more restrained version of the previous line. White aims for a kingside expansion without loosening his position with f2-f4, but the reduced pressure against the e5-pawn enables Black to equalize by breaking open the centre.

15... ad8 16. 2g3

Threatening g4-g5.

16.g5, as played in Solak – Firman, Albena 2014, is premature due to 16...心h5N, with comfortable play for Black.



16...d5!

Black should not delay concrete action, since after 16....\[2]fe8 17.g5! \[2]d7 18.d5\[2] White's space advantage became annoying in Van der Weide – Nijboer, Rotterdam 1998.

17.dxe5

17.exd5 tends to lead to simplifications: 17...②fxd5 18.②xd5 ③xd5 19.彙h6 彙xh6 20.營xh6 ②c3 21.②e4N (White forced a draw with 21.罝d2 exd4 22.④f5 gxf5 23.營g5† in Legahn – Pugh, email 1999) 21...③xe4 22.罝xe4 罝xd4 23.罝dxd4 exd4 24.罝xd4 罝e8 With comfortable equality.



17...②xe4!

18.² cxe4 dxe4 19.¹⁰ c1

Even after retreating to this passive square the queen is not safe from being harassed, as Black always has ... $2a^{2}a^{2}$.



19....ĝxe5 20. 2 xe4

White does not have enough resources to start a successful attack with: 20.\2010 h6 2\a2 21.\2010 e3 \Zxd1 22.\Zxd1 2\ac2 c3 23.\Ze1 \Ze8 24.\2010 xe4 2\5.\2010 xe4 \Ze6 Followed by ...b6 and ...\2010 g7, with approximate equality and a probable draw.

20....🖄 a2



21.**₩a**3

White must already be careful, for if 21.營b1 ②c3 22.②xc3 奠xc3 23.巠xd8 巠xd8 24.巠d1 奠e2 25.巠xd8† 營xd8 26.奠f1 奠f3∓ Black's position would be more active.

21...∕2b4 22.₩c1

There is no better way to defend the c2-pawn.

22.\arrowclimits too passive: 22...f5 23.gxf5 gxf5 24.\Dg5 \arrowfee8\arrow

22... 2a2 23. 2a3 2b4 24. 2c1

Drawn by repetition in Spasov – Marin, Pale 1997.

Conclusion

I find the Fianchetto System to be one of the most pleasant for Black – the fluency of his play is simply charming. But of course he needs to know how to react when the positional tension has reached its peak, especially in the main lines covered in variation B2.



Various 4th Moves



4.<u>\$g</u>5

Variation Index

1.e4 d6 2.d4 创f6 3.创c3 g6 4.巢g5

4c6	
A) 5. ¹⁰⁰ d2 ² / ₂ bd7 6.f4 d5!	294
A1) 7.e5	297
A2) 7.exd5N	299
B) 5.f4	300
B1) 9.0–0–0	305
B2) 9.0–0	306
B3) 9.e5	314
B4) 9.f5	318





B) note to 6.[™]d2



B4) after 11.0-0



1.e4 d6 2.d4 2f6 3.2c3 g6 4. g5



I have always considered this to be White's most aggressive set-up. While retaining the main idea behind 4. 鱼e3, namely 幽d2 and 逸h6, White also exerts annoying pressure on the h4-d8 diagonal, adding force to the thematic e4-e5 break.

On the other hand, the bishop is more exposed on g5 than on e3, and Black can consider chasing it with ...h6, ...g5 and ...@h5. Another important detail is that Black may be able to gain a tempo by answering e4-e5 with ...b5-b4 attacking the c3-knight, based on being able to answer exf6 with ...exf6, with an attack on the g5-bishop. Moreover, the d4-pawn is slightly vulnerable after either ...c6-c5 or ...@b6.

The best way to keep Black's play consistent with his reaction to 4. ge3 is:

4...c6

Previously, I have usually (if not always) focused on:

4...... 糞g7

However, lately I have become less sure about it. The critical variation is:

5.₩d2

In order to avoid 5...c6 6.2h6, transposing to a line I would rather avoid (as explained

on page 201), Black needs to start chasing the bishop.

5...h6 6.\Landsh4 g5

Otherwise White would play f2-f4 soon, and Black will be deprived of the intermediate ...exf6 mentioned above.

7.違g3 创h5 8.0-0-0



8...Øc6

I gave up 8...公d7 because of: 9.e5 dxe5 10.dxe5 e6 11.營e2! Facing this novelty convinced me that Black has problems in this line. 11...公xg3 12.hxg3 營e7 13.f4± Black was suffering in Motylev – Marin, Bucharest 2001.

9.②ge2 違d7 10.營e3

The critical move, preparing to meet ... 2xg3 with 2xg3. This was played in, for instance, Leko – Topalov, Frankfurt 1999. I had in my repertoire Chernin's recommendation:

10...e5 11.dxe5 🖄 xe5

However, things are not easy for Black after: 12.&xe5N &xe5 13.g3 g4 14. Df4

White has a considerable lead in development.

White has a choice between A) **5.¹⁰⁷ d2** and B) **5.f4**. Other moves are less dangerous or have no independent value.

5.e5?!

This is obviously premature.

5...dxe5 6.dxe5 營xd1† 7.罩xd1 ②g4 8.f4

This gains space but weakens the e3-square and leaves the g5-bishop misplaced.

8.21f3 is preferable, though only slightly, and 8...2g7 transposes to the line just below.



8....@e3

8...f6N is a worthy alternative: 9.exf6 exf6 10.&h4 &h6 11.&g3 $@e3\mp$ White's early expansion has left him with just weaknesses on the light squares. After the forced exchange of the f1-bishop, this will be a relevant detail.

9.罩d2 違f5 10.違d3 違xd3 11.cxd3 幻d7

Black had excellent play on the light squares in Khvedelidze – Gagunashvili, Tbilisi 2001.

The central break once again proves premature:

6.e5?!

6.[™]d2 is more sensible, when 6...0–0 transposes to variation A of Chapter 4 on page 100.

6...dxe5 7.dxe5 [™]/₂xd1[†] 8.[™]/₂xd1 [™]/₂g4 9.[°]/₂f4 [™]/₂d7 10.e6

The pawn was doomed anyway, so White's best chance is to spoil Black's structure.

10...fxe6

This structure arises in many lines of the positional systems, but here White has wasted a tempo with his queen's bishop and will inevitably lose another one after ...0-0 or ...%b6-d5.



11.\$c4

11.0g5 0b6 followed by ... 0d5 does not make a big difference.

11...②b6 12.奠b3 ②d5 13.奠d2

After 13. (2)xd5? exd5 Black was a clear pawn up in Barreira – Muehlberger, Internet (blitz) 2003.

In Jowett – Dearing, Birmingham 2005, Black should have played:

13...@e5N 14.@xe5 &xe5

The pressure along the long diagonal prevents White from installing his knight on e4. Black's slight difficulty in developing his light-squared bishop hardly provides compensation for White's missing pawn.

A) 5.₩d2



White prepares \$\overline{2}h6\$, thus inhibiting an early ...\$\overline{2}g7\$ and at the same time maintaining

the possibility of later switching to an f2-f4 plan. As revealed in the next note, the text move also prepares an improved version of e4-e5 compared to those examined above.

5...④bd7

This is rather unusual and is not mentioned in *Playing 1.e4 – Caro-Kann, 1...e5 & Minor Lines*, but I find it to be the most accurate.

The vast majority of games continue with: 5...b5



Now 6.^dd3 ^dg7 7.f4 is most popular, transposing to line B.

However, after long hours of analysis I have failed to find an antidote to:

6.e5!

Here are some critical points of my analysis, just in case readers wish to try their luck in finding an improvement.

6...b4

The typical reaction.

a) White's main idea is to meet 6...dxe5
7.dxe5 [™]/₂xd2[†] with 8.^Å/₂xd2, followed by f2-f4, gaining space without weakening the e3-square or cutting off the bishop's retreat.
b) 6...h6 is interesting, but apparently not good enough after 7.^Å/₂f4 ^Å/₂h5 8.^Å/₂e3 dxe5
9.dxe5 [™]/₂xd2[†] 10.^Å/₂xd2 and now:

b1) 10... \$27 11.f4 g5 12.g3 was unpleasant for Black in Kononenko – Rasik, Pardubice 2012.

b2) 10... add7N is an attempt to improve.

If Black had time to regroup with $\dots \textcircled{D}$ g7-e6 and $\dots \textcircled{D}$ b6, he would be fine, but the resolute 11.g4 Dg7 12.Qg2 unexpectedly wins a pawn.

7.exf6 bxc3 8.營xc3 exf6 9.奠f4!

Only this move, taking the d6-pawn under observation, can cause Black problems.

In Fuller – Pein, London 1979, White should have played:

10.0–0–0!N 0–0 11.₩g3

11.h4 is premature, since after 11...h5 12. 🖄 g3 Black has 12... 🏂 g4!, aiming to defend the b8-knight with gain of time in order to play ...d5. The only way to prevent that is 13.f3, but this weakens the dark squares and cuts the queen off from the queenside. 13... 2 e6 14. 2 xd6 2 d7 Black will soon have dangerous threats against the enemy king. Now and in similar situations it is dangerous to grab the exchange, since this would turn Black's dark-squared bishop into a strong attacking piece after ... 2 xf8.

11...ĝe6



12.h4!

Now is a good moment to weaken Black's kingside.

After 12.ዿxd6 ዿxa2 13.b3 a5, Black obtains strong counterplay.

12...h5 13.\u00e2xd6 \u00e2xa2 14.b3 a5 15.\u00c2c4

Black will face problems defending the g6-pawn properly.



6.f4

Anticipating things a little, this is intended as a tricky move order. In line B Black delays²bd7 in order to answer ²bf3 with<u>\$g</u>4, which is impossible now.

The aggressive 6.0-0-0 is best met with: 6...^wa5 Eliminating the potential pin along the d-file. (If 6...b5? 7.e5! b4 8.exf6 bxc3 9.^wxc3 2xf6, White obtains a large advantage with $10.\Xi$ e1 gg7 11.^wxc6† gd7. As we know from variation A of Chapter 10, Black has enough compensation for one pawn in this structure, but here he loses a second one to 12.^wxd6.) 7.f4 b5 8.e5 b4 9.exf6 bxc3 10.^wxc3 ^wxc3 11.bxc3 ²xf6 Black has comfortable play.

For a player familiar with the Sämisch Attack examined in Chapter 10, 6.f3 b5 offers Black comfortable play. The point is that the g5-bishop blocks the advance of the g-pawn, while also depriving the king's knight of this square, adding force to ...h5 after either g2-g4 followed by h2-h4, or simply h2-h4 on its own.

6.②f3

Since Black has delayed his bishop's development, this does not necessarily transpose to the lines covered in variation A of Chapter 4.



6...h6!

Black's main problem is that 6...&g7 runs into 7.e5 dxe5 8.dxe5 $\bigtriangleup g4$ 9.e6, with a dangerous initiative for the pawn. However, in the absence of the plan based on $\bigtriangleup ge2$, Black can now chase the bishop.

7.覍f4 g5 8.覍g3

8.&e3 does not prevent the bishop's exchange: 8...&g4 9.0–0–0 &g7 10.&b1&a5 11.h4 &xe3 12.&xe3 g4 13.&d2 b5 Black has strong counterplay in which the g7-bishop's dominance on the dark squares will play an important part.

8...②h5 9.0−0−0 ₩a5 10.ዿc4 g4 11.②e2!? An interesting way of consolidating the

d4-pawn. The queen exchange is inevitable if White moves his f3-knight, due to ... 幽g5. 11... 幽xd2† 12. 公xd2 皇g7 13.c3 公xg3 14. 公xg3 公f6



After parrying both 26 f5 and 26 h5, Black has little to fear in this queenless middlegame.

He will expand on the kingside with ...h5 and ...@h6, and his king will either stay in the centre or castle queenside. Additionally, ...b5 or ...d5 will always be possible.

6...d5!

The only way to take advantage of White's move order and cross his aforementioned plan.

In this virtually unexplored position, I consider the critical options to be **A1**) 7.e5 and **A2**) 7.exd5N.

7.dd3 offers Black a comfortable version of a Caro-Kann structure in which the f4-pawn is more of a weakness than a contribution to an attack: 7...dxe4 8.dxe4 dxe4 9.dxe4 dg710.df3 df6 11.dg3 0–0 12.0–0 df6= Black is planning to attack the centre with ...c5.

In the main lines we will see that White's bishop often ends up looking odd on h4, so it makes sense to consider exchanging it before advancing the e-pawn: 7.象xf6 徵xf6 8.e5



8...Ôh5!

If 8...心e4 9.心xe4 dxe4 10.營e3, Black will have problems activating his bishops, and after 10...f5 11.exf6 exf6 12.營xe4† 查f7 13.奠c4† 查g7 his compensation does not look quite sufficient.

With the text, Black plans ... 2g7, ... h5,

...e6 and ...c5, or if White castles queenside, ...a5-a4 and ...b5-b4. In the absence of his dark-squared bishop, White will face problems maintaining his stability. Here is an illustrative line:

9.g3 ②g7 10.0–0–0 h5 11.h3 b5 12.②f3 a5 13.罩g1 e6 14.g4 a4 15.②e2 b4 16.查b1 鬯b6 17.②c1 c5



Black is safe on the kingside, while his queenside counterplay is rather effective.





In the Austrian Attack, with his bishop on c1 or e3, Black would happily block the centre, but here this is comfortably answered by:

7...@e4!

The g5-bishop will be exposed to ...f6.

8.②xe4 dxe4

Shortly after writing what I expected to be the final version of this book, I reached this position in a game.



9.De2

My investigations had focused on: 9.≝e3N

The e4-pawn hinders White's normal development, so he tries to eliminate it as soon as possible. However, this wastes time with almost all White's pieces on their initial squares.



9...f6 10.�_h4

It appears that White cannot take the pawn under favourable circumstances: 10.exf6?! exf6 11.@xe4† @f7 12.@h4 @b4† 13.@f2@b6 Followed by ... Ξ e8, with a strong initiative. 10.... 约b6 11.exf6

Here too, taking the pawn does not offer White any advantage: 11.¹⁰/₂xe4 \$f5 12.¹⁰/₂e3 White defends the d4-pawn directly, while the threat of exf6 defends the c2-pawn indirectly. 12...²⁰d5 Black will continue with ...fxe5 and ...\$h6, with a considerable lead in development which at least compensates for the missing pawn.

11...exf6 12.鬯xe4† 杏f7 13.0-0-0 鼻f5

Black's better coordination and free development, combined with the weaknesses created by f2-f4 and White's lack of harmony, offer him pleasant compensation for the pawn.

9...f6 10.\u00e2h4 \u00e2h6 11.\u00e2\c3 fxe5 12.dxe5



12...e3!N

This improvement on my play is Black's best way of keeping his counterplay alive.

12....\fereinftenderightenderi

Leaving the king in the centre with queens on the board was overoptimistic of me, although it came close to paying off.

13.g3 ₩c7 14.₩e2?

Too ambitious. White wants to win a pawn, but he in turn is neglecting development. White can improve with 14.②xe4!N ②xe5 15.黛g2 黛f5 16.0–0 ②c4 17.鬯e2± with a clear positional advantage.



14.... xe5! 15.fxe5 \[f3!

Suddenly the white king is vulnerable. 16. $\overset{@}{=}$ c4

16.创d1 鬯xe5 17.黛g2 黛g4 is just crushing. 16...鬯b6 17.创xe4



In Landa – Marin, Porto Mannu 2017, I played 17...gg4, blundered a few moves later and was mated.

18.₩c5

During the game Landa was hoping that this would keep him in the game, but he had overlooked an important detail:

18....邕e3† 19. 空f2 邕xe4!-+

The white queen is pinned, preventing the intended mate on e7.

13.[₩]xe3

Or 13.營d4 營b6 14.0-0-0 營xd4 15.Ξxd4 ②f8 16.g3 ②e6 17.Ξd3 g5 with mutual chances.



13...增b6 14.增xb6 axb6 15.g3

15. $\&g3 \ \Xi f8 \ 16. @e2$ keeps the extra pawn but leaves White awfully passive after 16...@c5.

15.... 2 xe5 16.fxe5 g5 17. 皇xg5 皇xg5

Black's active bishops and the weakness of the e5-pawn offer him entirely adequate compensation for the pawn.

A2) 7.exd5N 🖄 xd5

A familiar idea – once this knight moves away from the f6-square, the bishop on g5 is left out of play.

8. 2xd5 cxd5 9. 巢d3



9...f6

Preparing the most active development of the f8-bishop.

10.ĝh4 ĝh6 11.2e2

Overprotecting the potentially weak f4-pawn.

11.@f3 0-0 12.0-0

This natural sequence is met effectively by a slightly different plan.



12.... b6 13. Zael

13.b3 offers Black the time to organize an attack against the f4-pawn: 13... ¹⁰/₂c7 14.²/₂g3 e5 Black has a strong initiative.

13...②c4 14.ዿxc4 dxc4=

The position is strategically unbalanced, but Black can be satisfied. The c8-bishop will reign over the light squares, inhibiting the consolidating &f2 followed by g2-g3.

11...0-0 12.0-0



12...e5

12...⁽²⁾b6 is adequately met by 13.b3, since the f4-pawn is already well defended.

13.dxe5 2xe5 14.2d4 &d7 15.&e2

Preparing b2-b3.

If 15. Ξ ae1 \cong c7 16.b3 \bigtriangleup xd3 17.cxd3 Ξ fe8, White cannot enjoy his blockade as the f4-pawn is a permanent source of worries.

15...心c4 16.≗xc4 dxc4 17.凹ae1 b5



With balanced play, offering chances for both sides.

B) 5.f4



As can be inferred from line A, if White intends to play f2-f4, he should do it at once. His centre looks impressive, but now more than ever the fight becomes double-edged, since the bishop can no longer retreat to e3, thus leaving the d4-pawn vulnerable.

5...**≜g**7 6.₩d2

White should choose his move order carefully.

6.e5

White is not suitably prepared for this advance, due to the inadequate defence of the squares weakened by it. Black has more than one good response:



6...②g4!N

This is the most ambitious answer but, after the weakening of the e4-square, the simple 6... 2d5 also works. 7. 2xd5 cxd5 8. 2d22c6 9.0–0–0 (9. 2f3 f6 10.exf6 exf6 11. 2h40–0 12.0–0–0 is just a transposition) In Shirazi – Jolivel, Metz 2001, Black should have played: 9...0–0N 10. 2f3 f6 After the release of the tension, Black will inevitably install a piece on the e4-square. 11.exf6 exf6 12. 2h4 2f5 13. 2d3 2d7 14. 2he1 2ae815. 2xf5 2d5 16. 2f2 2e4 17.g3 2f68 Black has comfortable play on the light squares.

7.營e2 dxe5 8.dxe5 h6 9.遑h4 g5

Black has dangerous counterplay.

6.②f3

The may look more natural than the main line, but it offers Black a good version of the familiar central break.



6...d5! 7. ĝxf6

7.e5 De4 reveals the drawbacks of White's early knight development, as after 8.Dxe4 dxe4 the knight would have to return to g1, with a strong initiative for Black after ...f6 and ...c5. In Yakovich – Zozulia, Isle of Man 2005, White preferred 8.Dd3, when the simplest for Black is: 8...Dxg5N 9.fxg5 (after 9.Dxg5?! Bb6 Black is attacking both the b2- and d4-pawns) 9...0–0 10.Bd2 c5 11.dxc5 De4 With a perfect version of the Advance Caro-Kann for Black.



7...exf6!N

The best answer, since 7...\$xf6 8.e5 offered White a solid space advantage in Efimov – Tseshkovsky, Yerevan 1977.

8.exd5

Maintaining the tension reaches some sort of mirrored Exchange Ruy Lopez where f2-f4 counts as an important weakness: 8.黛d3 dxe4 9.②xe4 0–0 10.c3 f5∓ Followed by ...罩e8†, ...②d7-f6 and ...黛e6-d5, possibly with ...c5 in between. The bishop pair and control over the e4-square offer Black excellent play.



8...0-0!

Black should not fear the loss of a pawn, since that will just speed up his development. If 8...cxd5? 9.營e2†, Black has to give up the right to castle, since 9.... 全6 runs into 10.f5. 9.dxc6

Black also has excellent play after 9.^md2 Ξ e8 \dagger 10.&e2 cxd5 11.0–0 and now 11...0c6 12. Ξ ae1 &f5 \mp , followed by ...&e4 and ...f5. Another possible plan is 11...&e6 followed by ...a6, avoiding the pin with &b5, and then ...0d7, ...f5 and ...0f6-e4.

9...Øxc6



10.ĝe2

White has no good way of keeping his extra pawn. For instance: $10.d5 \exists e8^{\dagger} 11.\&e2 \bigtriangleup e7$ With threats such as ... $\bigtriangleup f5-e3$ and ... Шb6. 12.&b5 &d7 13.&xd7 Шxd7 14.0-0 Щad8 $15.Шd2 f5 16. _ad1 \&xc3 17. _xc3 \bigstar xd5$ $18. Шb3 Шc6 19.g3 \bigtriangleup f6\mp$ Black's control over the e4-square and the weakened white kingside are more relevant than White's queenside majority.

10...\$g4



11.d5

After 11.0–0 &xf3 12.&xf3 ₩xd4† 13.₩xd4 ^(Δ)xd4[∓], the b7-pawn is taboo due to ... ^(Ξ)ab8 followed by ... ^(Ξ)xb2, and meanwhile the c2-pawn is hanging. White should probably just let the pawn go, since 14.&e4 f5 15.&d3 wastes too much time. Black can play 15... ^(Δ)e6[∓] followed by ... ^(Ξ)ac8, ... ^(Ξ)fd8 and ... ^(Δ)c5, with ... ^(Δ)xc3 a constant possibility. 11... ^(Δ)xf3 ^(Δ)a5[∓]



White has problems meeting Black's plan based on ...f5, ...'公c4, ...'留b6 and罩ac8, with a strong initiative on the dark squares.



6...b5 7.遑d3

By far the most popular way of parrying the threat of ...b4 followed by ...⁽²⁾xe4.

7.e5

This runs into the typical:

7...b4 8.exf6 exf6

Black will regain the piece with excellent play.



9.��h4

One important point is that 9.^{med}e3[†]N ^{med}e7 10.^{ned}e4 is ineffective due to 10...^{ned}f8, with the double threat of ...fxg5 and ...d5, regaining the piece.

9...bxc3 10.₩xc3 ₩e7†

10...0–0N also gives Black an edge.

11.핲d2?!

The king will not be safe on the queenside. 11...0–0 12.莒e1 鬯b7 13.堂c1 夐e6 14.夐c4 逸xc4 15.鬯xc4 ②d7 16.②f3 莒ab8∓

Black had a strong queenside attack in Istratescu – Firman, Albena 2015.

7.a3

Even though not very popular, this deserves a brief mention. White slows down Black's queenside play, since preparing ...a5-a4 followed by ...b4 would take too much time, given the possible dangers in the centre. However, White is more or less giving up the plan of castling queenside. This leaves him needing to prepare to castle kingside, but Black can use this time to organize his pressure against the centre.



7...0-0 8.ĝd3

8...₩b6

When Black has played ..., b5, this is a comfortable square for the queen.

9.创f3N

9.e5 트e8 10.②ge2 was played in Winants – Ootes, Netherlands 2011, and now 10...②d5N 11.③xd5 cxd5 would have given Black comfortable equality.

9....ĝg4



10.e5

10.f5 indirectly defends the d4-pawn, since 10... 逸xf3 11.gxf3 營xd4? 12. 逸e3 營e5 13.f4 traps the queen. However, Black can do better with 11... ②bd7, planning ...a5, ...b4 and ...c5, when White will have a hard time finding a safe place for his king.

10...句d5 11.윈xd5 cxd5



We are familiar with this structure already. In view of the threat of ...f6, Black has no problems at all. One important issue is

that pawn-grabbing offers Black excellent counterplay:

12.奠xe7 罩e8 13.奠h4 ²心c6 14.c3 奠xf3 15.gxf3 奠h6

Black regains the pawn with great play.



7...0-0 8.②f3

The time has come to develop the knight.

8.a3 transposes to the note on 7.a3 just above.

8.0-0-0

This allows Black to start counterplay: 8...b4 9.②ce2 a5 10.②f3 d5!



11.<u>\$</u>xf6

11.e5 ②e4 12.營e3 was played in Reichmann - J. Schmidt, Germany 2010, and now 12...a4!?N 13.盒xe4 dxe4 14.營xe4 b3, followed by ...黛f5 and ...f6, would have yielded more than enough play for the pawn.

11...exf6!

A familiar reaction.

12.f5

White could also try: 12.exd5 cxd5N 13.f5 White needs to prevent ...f5 at any cost. 13...⁽²⁾c6 14.h4 b3!? (the fastest way of starting the attack, though a neutral move such as 14...⁽²⁾e8 is also good) 15.axb3 ⁽²⁾b4 Black intends ...⁽²⁾xd3 followed by ...a4, with a dangerous initiative.



In Cigan – P. Schmidt, Austria 2009, Black could have obtained excellent play with:

12...dxe4N 13.ዿxe4 \(\mathbf{E}\)e8 14.ዿd3

If 14.0g3, Black has the promising positional exchange sacrifice 14... $\exists xe4 \ 15.\textcircled{0}xe4 \ \&xf5 \ 16.\textcircled{0}c5 \ \textcircled{0}d5 \ 17.b3 \ \textcircled{0}a6\mp$, with two strong bishops and queenside threats.

14....ĝxf5!? 15.ĝxf5



15...⊮d5!

The point behind Black's previous move. Of course, 15...gxf5 would be horrible.

16.臭d3 鬯xa2 17.鬯f4 创d7

Black threatens to develop his attack with b6 anda4, so the king should evacuate the danger area immediately.

18.∲d2 a4 19.≌a1 ⊮d5∓

White's extra piece does not make itself felt, while his king is insecure in the presence of opposite-coloured bishops. Black has two extra pawns and fluent play, including ...f5, ...a4, ...c5 and ...⁽²⁾b6.



8....<u>\$g</u>4

This is one of the most important tabiyas of the whole 4.\$g5 system, and definitely the most important with the move order I am recommending for Black.

White has a choice between getting castled with either **B1**) **9.0–0–0** or **B2**) **9.0–0**, or else starting an attack in the centre with **B3**) **9.e5** or **B4**) **9.f5**.

B1) 9.0-0-0

This generally plays into Black's hands, as the king is not necessarily safe on the queenside, while Black's next move is useful anyway.

9.... bd7 10.f5

10.堂b1 was too slow to be challenging in Sun Fanghui – Wang Xiaohui, China 2013, and 10...鬯b6N 11.心e2 c5 would have given Black an obvious initiative. 10.e5 runs into the thematic 10...b4 11.②e2 ②d5, planning ...f6 with unclear play. Pawngrabbing is dangerous: 12.exd6?! f6 13.dxe7 營xe7 14.彙h4 ②e3∓ Black was better in Stratil – Szajna, Brno 1984, as he was at least regaining the pawn with ...②xg2.

10.h3 spends a tempo to force an exchange that does not necessarily strengthen the centre: 10...ĝxf3 11.gxf3 2h5 Attacking the d4-pawn and threatening ...f6 followed by ... \$h6.



12. Dealing with both threats, but neglecting the queenside. In Palit – Chatalbashev, Leiden 2015, the fastest way to obtain counterplay was: 12...≅b8N 13.f5 c5= Black's natural queenside attack offers him equal chances.



Now is a good moment for Black to start his queenside counterplay.

10...b4 11.②e2 幽a5 12.�b1 c5

Black had at least equal chances in M. Ippolito – Uhoda, Paris 2000. One important point is that White cannot proceed with his kingside attack:

13.ዿh6? c4! 14.ዿxc4 🖄 xe4∓

Black wins at least a pawn.



B2) 9.0-0

This looks less aggressive than castling queenside but, despite White's lack of ostentation, his attack based on e4-e5 or f4-f5 should not be underestimated. One important aspect is that by overprotecting the f3-knight he does not have to fear structural defects after ... gxf3.

The only drawback of this plan is exposing the d4-pawn to a pin, and Black should take advantage of this at once.

9...₩b6 10.2e2

The most popular and consistent way of dealing with the pressure on the d4-pawn.

Amusingly, 10.¹⁰/₂? does not really defend the pawn. 10...2xf3 11.¹⁰/₂xf3 (the point is that 11.gxf3? allows 11...¹⁰/₂xe4!) 11...¹⁰/₂xd4[†] 12.¹⁰/₂h1 ¹⁰/₂bd7[‡] White had little more than symbolic compensation for the pawn in Feygin – Cuijpers, Germany 1999. The untested 10.^{max} &xf3 11.gxf3 meets a slightly different refutation – 11...&xe4? does not work anymore due to 12.^{max} &xe4, but 11...&g4! \mp , winning 'only' the d4-pawn, is good enough.

Ignoring the threat to the d-pawn does not pay off:

10.e5? \$xf3 11.exf6



11....鬯xd4†!N

This is the right move order, since if 11...exf6 12.奠h4±, as in Lamm – Hoi, Reykjavik 1990, White is ready to meet ...營xd4† with 違f2.

12.空h1 exf6 13.奠h4

Black has won two pawns, but needs some accuracy due to his lagging development and exposed bishop and queen. The best way to settle things is:

13...b4!

Offering to return one pawn.



14.¤xf3

If the knight jumps into the bishop's range on e2, the exchange would help Black speed up his development, while if 14.②a4 逸e4, White does not have any compensation at all. 14...bxc3 15.bxc3 營b6

This delays the g7-bishop's activation, but provides the knight with the excellent e5-square after:

16...∲d7∓

10.f5 is a sounder pawn sacrifice, as White can meet ... At with \$\\$e3, but it is hardly troubling. After 10... \$\\$xf3 we should consider both recaptures:



a) 11.\approx xf3?!

This allows Black to capture the pawn without misplacing his queen.

The simplest way to neutralize any shadow of a White attack.

12...增b4, as played in Ramiro Ovejero – Martin Alvarez, San Sebastian 2010, unnecessarily misplaces the queen.

The daring might also try 12...@e5!?N, leaving White with no obvious way of harassing the queen, because 13. $\&f4 @c5^{\dagger}$ 14.&e3 &h6! is an even better version of the main line. After 15.&xc5 &xd2∓ White needs to waste a tempo retreating with his bishop.

13.違xd4 違xd2∓

Black will complete his development with ... Dbd7, and is simply a pawn up.

b) 11.gxf3!N

This is an improvement, as after the pawn grab it forces the queen to go to b4. 11... \boxtimes xd4 \ddagger 12. &e3



Both 12....^we5? and 12....^kh6? run into 13.f4, so Black needs to play:

12...增b4 13.a4 bxa4 14.Ξxa4 增b7 15.Ξfa1 创bd7=

The best White can hope for is to regain the pawn, but even then Black's structure would be more flexible, compensating for White's space advantage and bishop pair.



10...c5

In a certain sense, this is a deviation from

the logical course of the game, as Black starts to undermine the centre with his queenside underdeveloped. However, the threats along the g1-a7 diagonal are likely to yield an essential tempo for completing his development.

I failed to find entirely satisfactory play after the most popular and seemingly logical continuation:

10...Øbd7

The main problem is that after breaking withc5, Black will not be able to increase the pressure with \bigcirc c6.



11.c3

I find this best, even though for some reason 11. 峦h1 is the most common continuation. 11...d5

11...c5 has been played in a few games, but with 12.f5N White continues his attack unhindered.

12.e5 ∅e4 13.₩e3



13...[©]xg5N

13...f6? 14.\u00e9h4 \u00e9f5 15.h3, followed by g2-g4, was excellent for White in Kosashvili – Beim, Rishon LeZion 1994.

14.@xg5

Better than 14.fxg5 f6, when 15.e6?! runs into 15... $\textcircled{0}e5\mp$.

14...c5

The careless 14...f6?! allows 15.⁽¹⁾/₂xh7 ⁽¹⁾/₂xh7 16.e6 ⁽¹⁾/₂b8 17.f5, with an overwhelming attack.

15.h3 奠xe2 16.奠xe2 b4 17.h4±



Due to the passive d7-knight, White has no worries in the centre and can continue the positional attack at his leisure.



11.e5

Trying to take advantage of White's lead in development.

With the given move order, White failed to consolidate his centre after 11.c3 cxd4 12.cxd4 20c6 15.2c2 e5 in Frolyanov – Byambaa, Khanty-Mansiysk (blitz) 2013.

11...d5!

This has twice been Peralta's choice when facing this line, although he has also twice deviated with:

11...¤e8?!

The critical option for White is:

12.exf6N exf6

Black's idea is the same as in the main line, controlling the e4-square so that the d3-bishop can be trapped. The abstract concept is deep, as is customary for Peralta – Black parries a concrete threat with a mysterious developing move. The problem is that he weakens the f7-square and exposes the rook, giving White a dangerous attacking possibility:

13.f5! c4



14.fxg6!

This intermediate move is possible only because the rook is vulnerable to a pawn fork. If now 14...cxd3 15.gxf7† riangle xf7 16.ilde xd3, Black cannot win a piece with 16...fxg5 due to 17.ilde xg5† (or 17.ilde xh7), with a decisive attack.

14...hxg6 15.\u00e2xg6! fxg6 16.\u00a2h6±

Black is behind in development and his king is vulnerable.

12.2g3

This is the most interesting continuation. Apparently White is playing solidly, clearing the e2-square for the bishop, but this forces Black to provoke complications in order to avoid being pushed back by the enemy pawns.

The familiar combination 12.exf6 exf6 13.f5 does not work under the current circumstances.



13...c4 \mp 14.fxg6? cxd3 15.gxf7 \dagger \triangle h8! \mp The rook is not hanging, so the king can move away to safety.

12.c3 De4

This offers Black an improved version of the line given after 10... 创bd7 above.

13.¹e3 cxd4 14.cxd4 ¹/₂xg5 15.fxg5

Also after 15.⁽²⁾xg5, Black can develop his knight actively: 15...⁽²⁾c6 16.⁽²⁾f3 f6 17.h3 ⁽²⁾xf3 18.^{II}xf3 ⁽²⁾Ah6 Black has annoying pressure against the white centre.

15...@c6 16.h3

A draw was agreed here in De la Riva – Peralta, Andorra 2006.

A subsequent game continued:

16...違xf3 17.罩xf3 罩ae8 18.營f2 ^必b4 19.違b1 f6=

The position was level in Anreiter – Pyrich, email 2010.



12....c4 13. ge2 De4 14. xe4

14.@e3? leaves the g5-bishop misplaced after: 14...@xg3 15.hxg3 f6 16.@h4 @c617.c3 h6 \mp Black can choose the best moment to win the bishop with ...g5.

14...dxe4 15. £xe7!

Due to the threat of ...f6, White has no choice.

15 ... exf3 16 . & xf3 & xf3 17 . & xf8



Black has a choice regarding which bishop to keep. Both alternatives seem reasonable.

17.... 逸e4N

Since White's central pawns are on dark squares, it makes sense to keep the light-

squared bishop, but the alternative looks viable too:

17....拿太移 18.罩太f3 心c6 19.c3 罩d8 20.彎f2N 20.峦h1 心e7 21.罩e1 鬯e6 22.彎f2 罩d7 23.罩g1 h5 24.h3 f5 25.exf6 鬯xf6 was balanced in T. Nguyen – Tan Zhongyi, Baku (ol) 2016.

The knight will safely block the centre, but Black still needs to find a solution to the kingside pawn storm.



21.g4

White cannot do without this, but the drawback of this resolute plan is the weakening of the f4-square.

21...Ød5

Or 21...f5 22.exf6 ₩xf6 23.\Ze1 公d5 when Black seems to hold his own.

22.f5 g5!?

Ensuring the f4-square for the knight.

18.\$xg7 \$xg7

Black still needs some time to transfer his knight to d5, which, combined with ... \$£f5 and ... h5, would leave him in control of the game. White needs to hurry to unblock the position.

19.¤ae1

19.g4

This allows Black to regroup with gain of time, without really threatening f4-f5.

19.... ac6 20.c3 De7 21. Zae1

After 21.ºfe1 營c6 22.營e3 âd3 23.f5 gxf5 24.營g5† 營g6∓, Black is doing well, because 25.營xe7? 營xg4† would give him a winning attack.

21....鬯c6 22.罩f2



22....\#g8!!

This not only inhibits f4-f5, but also prepares 18 f8 followed by ...h5, and suddenly it could be the white king who is in danger. If attacked, the bishop will retreat to d5 in order to keep an eye on the enemy king.

19....創5

Trying to prevent or at least delay g2-g4 followed by f4-f5.

Black cannot keep control over both of the important diagonals: 19...鬯c6 20.鬯e2 এf5 is met by 21.g4 followed by f4-f5.



20.g4!?

The critical test of the whole variation.

Slow play allows Black to complete his development and maintain stability: 20.h3 h5 21. h2



21...Øa6!

The insertion of h2-h3 and ...h5 means that the plan given below (against 20. \pm h1) does not work here: 21... \pm c6 22.d5 \pm d4 23. \pm xd4 \pm xd4 24.c3 \pm c2 25. \pm e2 \pm d3 26. \pm ff2 \pm xe2 27. \pm xe2 \pm a1 28. \pm g3 b4 29.cxb4 \pm b8 30.d6 \pm f8 31. \pm h4! This is the difference! The king infiltrates through the weakened kingside.

22.d5 🖄 c5

Black's position is quite stable and the central pawns are not dangerous. 營d4 can always be met with ... ②a4 or ... ②d7, and slowly advancing the queenside pawns. White is best advised to refrain from headstrong kingside action:

23.營e2 a5 24.g4? hxg4 25.hxg4 罩h8† 26.空g3 違e4 27.d6 營d8 28.g5 營d7

Black has decisive threats.

20.Åh1

This induces some changes in how Black should react.



20...Øc6!

This plan works out well now, but Black cannot play in a similar way as above: 20... $\textcircled{\}a6$? 21.g4! $\textcircled{\}xg4$ 22.f5 $\textcircled{\}xf5$ 23. $\blacksquare xf5$ gxf5 24. $\textcircled{\}g2\dagger$ White wins an exchange. With the king on g1 this tactical operation would not work, since ... $\textcircled{\}g6$ would pin the white queen.

21.d5 @d4 22.@xd4 @xd4 23.c3 @c2



If the rook moves along the back rank, 24...②e3 will win the d5-pawn, so White's next move is the best chance to keep some tension.

24.¤e2 \$d3 25.¤ff2 \$xe2 26.¤xe2 \$a1

Black has a material advantage, but the knight cannot get out easily.

27.查g1 b4 28.cxb4 罩b8 29.查f2 罩xb4 30.查e3 罩a4 31.d6 查f8

White's compensation for the small material disadvantage should suffice only for a draw.

20...ĝxg4



21.₩g2

21.... 2c6 22.f5

Trying to make use of all the available resources.

In the event of 22. $\$ xg4 $\$ xd4 23. $\$ h1 $\$ xc2 White manages to keep the extra exchange with a series of only moves, but Black gets enough positional compensation: 24. $\$ e2 $\$ e3 25. $\$ f3 $\$ xf1 26. $\$ xa8 $\$ e3 27. $\$ e4 $\$ f5 The knight is absolutely stable and the white king is exposed. On top of that, the queenside majority could become threatening. The only thing Black needs to avoid is an exchange of queens.



28.e6 The only constructive plan, opening the kingside. 28...fxe6 29.@xe6 @b7† 30.\$g1 \$\$h6= The knight provides perfect defence for the king and can quickly join the queen to start a counterattack.

22...,營xd4† 23.营h1 罩d8

Evacuating the long diagonal and thus unpinning the knight.



Other moves do not change the evaluation:

24.h3 \$\overline{24.h3}\$ \$\overline{25.15}\$ \$\overline{36.55}\$ C5 Defending the knight and keeping the e5-pawn under observation. Despite his seemingly active position, White is somehow stuck. The rooks have to defend the e5-pawn and the queen needs to pin the g-pawn. Under such circumstances, regrouping to increase the pressure is impossible.



26.營g5 查g8 27.罩ef1 營d5† 28.查h2 罩d7 29.c3 營e6 We have reached a so-called positional draw, where neither player can make progress.

Black is safe after the thematic break 24.e6, too: 24...fxe6 25.f6† 當f7 26.營xc6 營d5† 27.營xd5 鼍xd5= Followed by ...違f5 and ...堂xf6, with two pawns for the exchange and perfect coordination.



The vulnerability of his own king forces White to give a perpetual.

B3) 9.e5

A few decades ago, this was the plan that persuaded me to avoid this move order with Black. However, recently I discovered that the reason the plan appeared so strong was Black's inaccurate reaction in high-level games.



9...ĝxf3!

The old main line went:

9...b4 10.@e2 &xf3 11.gxf3 @d5

At first sight, Black's play looks logical, as he occupies a central square with his knight. However, in the line I recommend, White will have to play De2 anyway, so ...b5-b4 is just a loss of time when Black's development is incomplete. Moreover, the black knight would stand better on h5, blocking the advance of the h-pawn. The specific problem for Black occurs after:



12.f5!

This has been played only once. 12...dxe5 Kulish – Turova, Kolontaevo 1997, continued with 13.h4, but it looks even stronger to play:

13.fxg6N hxg6 14.h4 exd4 15.h5

White's attack is quite advanced, while Black still needs to complete his development.

10.gxf3 约h5 11.0-0-0 f6

The typical counterplay in the centre. With this particular structure, driving back the enemy bishop leaves the f4-pawn vulnerable.



12.exf6

The simplest way to repel the imaginative but unsound attack 12.f5? is: 12...fxg5 13. $\underline{\mathbb{W}}$ xg5 e6 14.f6 $\underline{\mathbb{O}}$ d7 15. $\underline{\mathbb{O}}$ e4 $\underline{\mathbb{O}}$ dxf6 16.exf6 $\underline{\mathbb{Q}}$ xf6∓ Black has an extra pawn and the superior structure.

Delaying the exchange of pawns allows Black additional options:

12.�h4N �h6 13.4e2

Unlike in the main line, White cannot ignore the threat with 13. $\pm b1$ due to 13... fxe5 \mp .

13...Ød7 14.exd6

After 14.exf6 ⁽²⁾/₍₂₎dxf6 15.⁽²⁾/₍₂₎g5 ⁽²⁾/₍₂₎xg5 16.fxg5 ⁽²⁾/₍₂₎d5, Black has perfect development and the more compact structure.



14...Øb6!

A necessary yet sound pawn sacrifice.

14...exd6 15. \pm b1 leads Black astray from the set-ups recommended in the main line. The d4-d5 break is in the air and 15...d5 16. \pm c3 would unpin the f4-pawn with gain of time, thus threatening f4-f5.

15.dxe7 營xe7 16.营b1 囚d5 17.遑g3 a5=

White's extra pawn is as good as doomed, and Black has ideal development.

12...exf6 13.gh4 gh6



14.&b1!?

An interesting pawn sacrifice, which Black is not forced to accept.

The 'normal' move is: 14.∕2e2 ₩d7 An original move, associated with several ideas. Black unpins his f6-pawn and consolidates the b5-pawn in view of the permanent threat of d4-d5. In some lines the queen may go to h3, causing White some stability problems.

Playing as the main line with 14...d5 is perfectly okay, and may well transpose to lines considered below.

15.&b1



15...a5!N

The best way to use the queen's placement. Black prepares to transfer the knight to d5, starting with ... (2)a6, without fearing d4-d5. If 15...f5?!, as played in Gonzalez Perez – Karlsson, Badalona 2010, White could get a stable positional advantage with: 16. (2)g5N (2) xg5 17.fxg5 (2)a6 18.c4 (2)c7 19.cxb5 cxb5 20. (2)g3 (2)xg3 21.hxg3±

16.¤hg1

16. 2g3 is an interesting way of forcing matters: 16... 2xf4 17. 2h5!? (17. 2e2h3!) 17...gxh5 18. g3 2xd3 19. xd3g7 20. gxf721. gxd3 2a6 22. gxd6gfd8 23. g3 2b4 Followed by ... d5, with a decent position for Black.

16....@a6 17.d5

Depriving the black knight of the d5-square will soon present it with the c5-square. But other continuations are not challenging either: 17.豐xa5 公c5 18.豐c3 公xd3 19.豐xd3 宮fe8 Black is perfectly coordinated and has attacking chances along the a-file. The missing pawn is not that important, as the f4-pawn is chronically weak. 20.f5? does not work due to 20... Be 321. 21.

17...c5 18.包g3 包xg3 19.hxg3 c4 20.彙f1 包c5 21.g4 f5 22.彙h3 fxg4 23.彙xg4 凹f7 24.彙g5 彙xg5 25.fxg5 b4

Black has at least equal play, as his queenside attack looks slightly more dangerous than White's on the kingside.



14...d5N

With development incomplete, I feel it is wisest to stabilize the position.

14...0d7 15.0e2 was slightly uncomfortable for Black in Sandor – Z. Szabo, Budapest 1994, as White has ideas of d4-d5, as well as 1c3 followed by f4-f5.

14... 2xf4? is simply bad due to 15. 2e2.

14... 逸xf4 looks playable, but in principle it is better to retain the possibility of ... ②xf4, as the bishop is unstable on the f4-square. A possible continuation is 15. 幽g2 幽d7 16.d5 逸e5 17.dxc6 ②xc6 18. ②d5, with good compensation for White.

15.\[2]hg1

Maintaining the policy of sacrificing the f4-pawn.

15.De2

This makes sense too, as in some cases White can try to unblock the kingside with 23.

15....¹¹d6 16.²hg1 f5 17.⁴g3 ⁴g7

If 17... xf4?! 18. e1, the threats of 2e7 and 2xh5 force Black to exchange his bishop with 18... xg3 19.hxg3, when White's bishop pair and lead in development give him the advantage.

18.違g5 違xg5 19.fxg5 创d7



White has improved his structure and gained some space, but his minor pieces are not too active. Black's coordination is perfect, as one knight will go to b6 and the other safely defends the kingside. If White opens the h-file the black king will be safe on f7, while the f5-pawn is secured against positional piece sacrifices. In the long run, the knight could go to e6, exerting pressure on f4 and d4.

20.h4 🖄b6 21.f4

21.b3 a5 offers additional attacking ideas.

21....句c4 22.奠xc4

Ignoring the knight cannot offer an advantage: 22.營f2 筥fe8 23.h5 Black can now continue his slow attack with ...a5-a4, or more or less force a draw with 23...②xb2 24. 空xb2 營b4† 25. 空c1 營a3† 26. 空d2 營a5† 27.c3 b4=.

22...bxc4

15...[₩]e8

Overprotecting the g6-pawn, because 15...²∆d7? 16.²±xg6 hxg6 17.²±xg6† ²±g7 18.¹¹¹g2 followed by ¹¹/₂g4 wins for White.

16.\de1

16.&f5 is harmless as Black has with ...2g7available to prevent the intrusion on the e6-square: 16...2f7 17. Ξ de1 2g7 18.2h32d7 19.2e2 f5= Followed by the familiar regrouping with ...2b6 and ...2e6.

16....[™]f7 17.[√]2e2

Hoping to pose some queenside problems with Bb4 or Ba5.



17...a5!

18.₩c3

The only consistent continuation.

18...b4 19.凹c5 凹c7

The queen experiences some danger on c5, but White has just enough resources to maintain equality.

20.2g3 2xg3 21.f5!

A great idea, but Black has a fine reply.



21....2a6! 22.\e7

But not 22.\$xa6? \$\Dxf5!, when both of White's bishops are hanging.

22... ②xf5 23. 違xf5 違g7 24. 凹e6†

24.彙e6† is ineffective, as after 24...增h8 25.營xc7 ②xc7 the bishop is not stable: 26.彙d7 罩a6 Followed by ...⑤b5, ...f5 and ...a4-a3.

24....筥f7 25.臭g3



25...₩d8

The careless 25.... 118 b6? runs into 26.h4, and if 26...gxf5 27. 逸d6, the threats of 国家7节 and 曾e8节 offer White a decisive attack.

26.ஜੈd3 ₩b6

After eliminating the danger the queen returns to its normal path, defending c6 and attacking d4.

27.h4 ₩xd4

27...f5 is also good: 28.彙e5 位c7 29.奠xc7 響xc7 30.h5 查f8! Unpinning the rook and preparing the next move. 31.hxg6 筥f6 32.營e5 The only way to prevent ...hxg6. 32...營xe5 33.dxe5 邕xg6= With a probable draw due to the opposite-coloured bishops.

28.₩xc6 f5!

The only move, but rather a good one. Due to the threatened mate on b2, White has nothing better than delivering a perpetual with:

29.營xa8† 筥f8 30.營xf8† 亞xf8 31.皇d6† 查g8 32.邕e8† 查f7 33.邕e7† 查g8=

B4) 9.f5



This aggressive move, aiming at weakening the king's defence with 2h6 and a well-timed fxg6, seems to be the latest trend for White in this variation. The main drawback of this plan is that his dark squares may become weak, especially with ...2xf3 being a constant possibility.

9...b4 10.De2

This is unanimously played. White transfers the knight closer to the kingside, hoping to strengthen his attack. Even though they have not been tried in practice, the alternatives are worth investigating.

10.心a4N practically gives up hope of a massive kingside attack, but intends to cause Black some problems playing the thematicc5 break. 10...心bd7



Black prepares the pawn break, ignoring the hanging pawn. White has to choose between a) 11.⁽²⁾/₂xb4?! and b) 11.0-0.

a) 11.₩xb4?!

This is rather risky. 11...c5 12.dxc5 dxc5



13.@xc5

After 13.∰a3 c4 14.ጷxc4 ②xe4 15.ጷxe7 ∰c7 16.ጷxf8 ≅xf8, the uncastled king and the poorly coordinated pieces leave White vulnerable to Black's initiative, despite the big material advantage. Here is an illustrative line: 17.皇d3 勾g3! 18.hxg3 幽xg3†-+ There is no safe shelter for the white king. 13...罩b8 14.響a3



14...Øxe4!

Once again, White's chaotic piece placement allows tactical blows.

If 18.ዿxd8 ¤b1†, Black wins the h1-rook. 18...f6

Black has an extra exchange and the initiative against the insecure white king.

b) Instead of grabbing the pawn, 11.0–0 is better, with approximate equality: 11...c5 12.dxc5 dxc5 13.罝ad1 營c7 14.b3 凶b6 15.黛f4 營c8=

10.Ôd1

This spends too much time regrouping. 10... Dbd7 11. De3 &xf3 12.gxf3 c5



13.c3

Pawn-grabbing is even worse than above: 13.dxc5 ②xc5 14.xb4?! 🗄b8 15.a3 Hoping in vain to keep the extra pawn. 15...③fxe4! This trick works even better than above since the e7-pawn is not hanging. 16.흹xe4 흹xb2-+

13...bxc3 14.bxc3 e6!

Questioning White's space advantage and clearing the path to the weakness on f4.

15.fxe6 fxe6

Threatening (2) xe4!, thus highlighting White's lack of communication between the wings with the knight on e3.

16.ᡚc2 c7 17.0−0 ᢓh5

With great counterplay against White's centre.



10...②bd7

The most logical move, continuing with development before breaking in the centre.

10...d5?!

This premature break was played in a relatively recent grandmaster game, but it could have led to problems.

11.h3

The best way to maintain the integrity of the centre.

11...dxe4

I might be tempted to try something chaotic,

such as 11...\$xf3N 12.gxf3 c5 13.exd5 bd7, based on White's imperfect structure and his difficulties in finding a safe place for his king.

12.hxg4 exd3



13.cxd3‼N

This paradoxical move, completely spoiling the pawn structure, keeps the queen on the attacking track.

13.違xf6?! offers Black easy play: 13...exf6 14.增xd3 罩e8 15.查f2 创d7 16.罩h3 營e7 Black's position was already more comfortable in Vallejo Pons – Spraggett, Gibraltar 2014.

13...Øbd7

13...2xg4 14.Bf4 speeds up the queen's transfer to the h-file.

14.fxg6 fxg6 15.\u00echh6±

White has a strong attack.

11.0-0

There is no point in deviating from the initial plan for the sake of one pawn:

11.₩xb4 c5N

11... 三b8 also gave Black decent play in Kling – Suedel, corr. 1986.

12.₩d2

Relatively best.

12.dxc5? is way too risky, as usual: 12...罝b8 13.營a3 ②xc5∓ White has no defence against ...②fxe4.

12. a3 places the queen on a uncomfortable

square, leaving the dark squares vulnerable at the same time: 12...cxd4 13.@exd4 \begin{bmatrix} b6 14.\overline{exd4} \overline{axd4} 15.gxf3 \overline{ayd4} 16.fxg4 \overline{axd4} 17.\overline{axd4} b6 17.\overline{axd4} b6 17.\overline{axd4} b18.0-0-0 \overline{ayd4} 19.\overline{ayd4} b1 19.\overline{ayd4} b18.0-0-0 \overline{ayd4} b19.\overline{ayd4} b19.\ov



12...c4!

Eliminating the e4-pawn.

13.違xf6 ⁽¹⁾xf6 14.違xc4 ⁽¹⁾xe4 15.營e3 d5 16.fxg6

White is forced to release the tension since the f5-pawn was hanging, but this clears the path for the black e-pawn.

16...hxg6 17.違b3

After 17.ĝd3 [™]b6∓, Black threatens both …[™]xb2 and …e5.

17...a5 18.c3

Strategically, the most flexible reaction to Black's queenside attack.

If 18.a4 e6 followed by ... Bb8-b4, White's bishop and his whole queenside would be in a delicate situation.



18...e5!

Taking full advantage of the king still being in the centre.

19.0-0

Relatively best. Taking the pawn leads to trouble:

19.②xe5 逸xe2 20.堂xe2 Avoiding the nasty ...營h4†. 20...逸xe5 21.dxe5 a4 22.逸c2 鬯c7丰 Black has a dangerous combined attack along the e- and b-files.

19.dxe5 a4 20.&c2 &xf3 21.Wxf3 The best way of dealing with the check on h4. 21...Wb6 22. $\Xi b1$ $\&xe5\mp$ Once again, the white king is stuck in the centre.

19...a4 20.\congc2 a3!

The pressure on the dark squares at least compensates for the missing pawn.

11.⊮̃f4N

Of White's untried moves, this is the most consistent, requiring a certain accuracy from Black on the way to obtaining counterplay.



11.... 違xf3 12. 營xf3 c5 13.c3 營b6 14. 違e3

Defending the g1-a7 diagonal, because 14.0–0 cxd4 15.cxd4 d5 would deprive White of the desirable e4-e5 due to ... Oxe5. Instead, 16.exd5 Oe5 17.Bg3 Oxd3 18.Bxd3 Oxd5 \mp gives Black a pleasant blockade on d5.

14.營h3 looks constructive, but wastes too much time. Black has several good continuations but my favourite is 14... 罩ae8!,

completing development and preparing to break in the centre: 15.0–0 cxd4 16.cxd4 e5 17.fxe6 IIxe6 18.奠e3 凹b7 19.句g3 创d5 Black has great counterplay.

14....¤ac8

A generally useful move, waiting for White to castle.

15.0-0

15. \mathbb{Z} d1 avoids the problem from the main line, but leaves the queenside vulnerable to 15... \mathbb{W} a5.

15...cxd4 16.cxd4



16...Øg4!

A nice trick, exchanging a minor piece and turning the white centre into a target.

17.[₩]xg4 🖉e5

Followed by ... 2xd3.



Now is a good moment to shake White's stability in the centre.

11...c5 is also playable, but less critical: 12.c3! bxc3?! Opening the b-file for no good reason. (12...營b6N looks better, as the queen is safe on b6 and Black can open the b-file only after ...置ab8 in order to proceed with ...營b2.) 13.bxc3 營a5 14.營f4 White had a kingside initiative in Browne – Hort, Madrid 1973.



12.exd5

Hoping that pawn-grabbing will compensate for the strategic problems in the centre.

12.營f4 dxe4 13.黛xe4 ②xe4 14.營xg4 ②df6 is pleasant for Black.

12.e5

This leaves White's centre vulnerable after: 12...⁽²⁾e4 13.⁽²⁾xe4 dxe4 14.⁽²⁾h4 Defending the f5-pawn.



14...∕වxe5! 15.dxe5 ₩xd2 16.ዿxd2 ዿxe2∓

Black's extra pawn may be temporary, but he has the two bishops while the h4-knight is miserably placed.

17.罩f2 違a6 18.f6 exf6 19.exf6

If ... 2 h8 were forced, the bishop might never get out, but Black has a strong intermediate move:

19....≌fe8! 20.fxg7 e3∓

Black will soon be a pawn up in the ending.

Inserting the exchange on f6 before advancing the e-pawn does not essentially change anything: 12.ዿxf6 公xf6 13.e5 公e4 14.ዿxe4 dxe4 15.公h4 ዿxe5∓

Conclusion

Among the aggressive systems, 4.2g5 is the one which exposes White to the highest degree to Black's counterplay based on the simultaneous weakening of the b2- and d4-pawns, as well as the bishop's exposure to ...f6 or ...exf6. These factors apply if White plays f2-f4, as otherwise play may transpose to more peaceful systems covered in other chapters.

It is important for Black to know the precise moment at which to play moves such as ...b5, ...b4, ...c5, ...gxf3 or even ...d5. There are plenty of such examples in lines B2 and B4.

12...cxd5 13.fxg6 hxg6 14.\mathscr{m}xb4



14....莒b8 15.營a3 急xf3 16.莒xf3 16.gxf3 e5 is similar.

16...e5

8

The g1-a7 diagonal is vulnerable and the b2-pawn is hanging in many lines. Black has great compensation for the pawn.


Various 4th Moves



333

4.<u>ĝ</u>f4

Variation Index

1.e4 d6 2.d4 🖄 f6 3.🗟 c3 g6 4. ĝf4

	4c6 5.營d2	
1		331

A) 8.空b B) 8.e5

note to 6.创f3



note to 7.0-0-0



A) note to 11.e5



1.e4 d6 2.d4 ②f6 3.②c3 g6 4.皇f4

This relatively rare move bears a close connection with both 4.&e3 and 4.&g5. One of White's main plans is @d2 followed by &h6, transposing to the aforementioned systems, so Black should choose his early move order carefully. Additionally, the bishop is well placed on f4 for preparing an early break with e4-e5, as it controls the e5-square and, no less importantly, it is not exposed to ...@g4, nor ...h6 followed by ...g5. True, in case of emergency, Black can attack the bishop with ...@h5, but if this does not bring any specific benefit, the knight may well end up in a passive situation.

I must confess that over the years this has been one of the most troublesome variations for me. I have only faced it on rare occasions, so I did not have the motivation to analyse it thoroughly, relying on the fact that I could improvise over the board. In practice, this policy worked out well, but it could hardly satisfy the purpose of a repertoire book, so I eventually came up with a coherent antidote, which I will present below.

It is worth mentioning that this variation sometimes arises via an unusual move order: 1.d4 (2) f6 2. (2) f4 An increasingly popular move, even at high levels. 2..., g6 3. (2) c3 d6 4.e4.

4...c6

The same plan as against 4.&e3 and 4.&e5 - Black delays the development of his bishop in anticipation of $\mathbb{W}d2$.

At one point, I considered the following system:

4...ዿ̀g7 5.₩d2 �c6

Thinking logically, the bishop on f4 invites this knight development. The d4-pawn is relatively weak and Black can answer d4-d5 with the intermediate ...e5. 6.0-0-0 0-0

I was surprised to find that this position has become a frequent guest in top-level blitz games in recent years.



7.②f3

7.\$h6 e5 8.d5 ⁽²⁾d4 should cause Black no worries.

7...違g4 8.營e3 卻h5 9.違g5N

This is more challenging than 9. 魚h6 魚xh6 10. 營xh6 魚xf3 11.gxf3 e5 12.dxe5 心xe5 13. 魚e2 營h4, with a promising blockade on the dark squares in Grischuk – Nepomniachtchi, Beijing (blitz) 2014.



9...\$xf3 10.gxf3 f6 11.\$h4

Or 11. 鱼h6 e5, with chances to take advantage of White's spoiled structure. 11... 鬯d7

Planninge5.

12.d5 包e5 13.違e2 f5 14.f4 違h6 15.違xh5 fxe4 16.包e2 凹f5 17.違xe7 띨f7 18.違g5 違xg5 19.fxg5 gxh5 20.公g3 쌤xf2 21.쌤xe4 띨f4 22.띨df1 쌤xf1† 23.띨xf1 띨xe4 24.公xe4 핲g7=

Black's king is active and his kingside pawns are no weaker than White's.

Everything has been fine so far, but two things worry me in this line. First of all, 6.265 partly takes the steam out of Black's plan of taking the control of the dark squares, but even more concerning is 7.f3. White prepares his Sämisch attack slowly, while Black does not have a way to activate his queen, sincec6 is impossible. Although the results have favoured Black, I do not feel keen to play this position.



5.₩d2

The most flexible move, creating the potential threat of 2h6 and keeping e4-e5 and 0–0–0 in reserve.

White does not get much by hurrying with the central break:

5.e5 dxe5 6.dxe5 2d5 7.2xd5

If 7.逸c4, Black should not fall into the trap 7...②xc3 8.逸xf7!†, but can instead play 7...逸e6 with equal chances.

7...cxd5 8.₩d2 @c6

This is one of the exceptions when the bishop does not stand well on the f4-square after e4-e5. True, it defends the central pawn, but it prevents its consolidation with f2-f4 and cannot take part in the fight for the d4-square.



11.②g5 does not bring White much either: 11...逢f5 12.營xd5 營xd5 13.鼍xd5 逢xc2= Black regains the pawn with comfortable play.

11.逾b5 aims to increase White's control over the d4-square, but is not too consistent, since 逸xc6 would strengthen Black's centre and clear the b-file for his counterattack: 11...濟b6 12.心d4 逸d7 13.營e3 0–0 14.h4 舀ac8 15.空b1 舀fd8= White cannot avoid mass simplifications since 16.逸xc6 bxc6 17.h5 c5 18.心f3 d4 would offer Black the initiative.

11...\$xh6 12.\#xh6 \#a5=

Preparing to castle queenside.



13.¤d3?!

An unnatural move, spoiling White's coordination.

13.a3N 0–0–0 would have kept the position level.

13...d4∓ 14.[©]xd4?

Entering a nasty pin.

14...0-0-0



15.₩e3

The point is that 15.2xc6? 11 leads to mate.

In Jaunooby – Polaczek, England 2016, Black's most convincing continuation would have been:

15...②xd4N 16.罩xd4 營xa2 17.營c3† 查b8 18.b3 罩xd4 19.營xd4 罩c8 20.營b2 盒xb3-+

Black reaches a won ending.

The other way to carry out an early central break is: 5.②f3 違g7



6.e5

6.∰d2 ∅bd7 transposes to the main line. 6...dxe5

6...Dh5 also works, for instance 7.ee3 dxe5 8.Dxe5 Dd7, and now relatively best is 9.Df3. However, Black will in turn lose time retreating the h5-knight. The main continuation is somewhat more flexible.

7.②xe5

7.dxe5 $rac{1}{8}$ xd1 + 8. \equiv xd1 has been played a few times, but White would face problems with his e5-pawn after: 8... $\frac{1}{2}$ h5N 9. $\frac{1}{2}$ e3 $\frac{1}{2}$ g4 \mp

7...0-0 8.奠c4

8.奠e2 创bd7 9.0–0 transposes to the note on 8...创bd7 in variation A of Chapter 1 on page 17.

8...@bd7 9.0-0



9...④h5

This is the simplest way to relieve White's light pressure.

10.②xd7 營xd7!?

Preparing to develop the bishop on the long diagonal.

11.奠e3

In Kuehn – Galdunts, Bad Wiessee 2013, Black's simplest route to full equality was:

11...②f6N 12.h3

Parrying ... 2g4.

12...b5 13.ģb3 a5 14.a3 \arrowd d8 15.\arrowd e6=

With his minor pieces poorly placed, White cannot enjoy his slight space advantage.

5...②bd7 6.②f3

After Black has increased his control over the e5-square, White is not ready for:

6.e5 ∅h5 7.e6?!

A typical blitz move, which does not offer White too much compensation for the pawn.

However, Black keeps comfortable play after: 7.exd6 Dxf4 8.Wxf4 exd6 9.0–0–0 Wf6 10. \blacksquare e1† Dd8 In the absence of White's dark-squared bishop, the king will be safe on the c7-square. 11.Wd2 d5 12.Df3 Dc7=

7...fxe6 8. ģe3 ģg7 9.0-0-0

In Grischuk – V. Onischuk, Almaty (blitz) 2016, Black should have played:

9...e5N

This leads to a position with an entirely sound extra pawn for Black.

6.0-0-0

This requires some accuracy from Black. It is still too early for 6...&g7 due to 7.&h6, so he has to find the best way to start the queenside counterattack.



6...⊮a5!N

6...b5?! runs into a unexpected problem, based on the bishop's presence on the f4-square: 7.e5 b4N (7...②h5?! 8.exd6 ②xf4 9.營xf4 gave White a clear advantage in R. Dumitrescu – Vladut, Amara 2007) 8.exf6 bxc3 9.營xc3 ②xf6 We are familiar with this type of situation from variation A of Chapter 12 (see the note on 6.0-0-0b5? on page 296). Immediately grabbing the c6-pawn would offer Black ample compensation, but $10.\Xi e1!$ is hard to meet, for if 10...&g7? $11.\mathbb{math$ms$x6$† \&d7 12.\mathbb{mathmsx6$}$,$ White wins a second pawn.

7.e5

The critical test.

7.⁽²⁾b1 e5 8.⁽²⁾e3 b5 leads to active play for Black.

If 7.Df3, Black has a choice between 7...gg7, transposing to the main line, and 7...b5, starting counterplay at once.

Switching to the Sämisch set-up with the bishop on f4 is not too threatening either: 7.f3 b5 8.垫b1 b4 9.堂ce2 皇a6 10.堂c1 彙xf1 11.鼍xf1 e5 12.夐e3 c5 13.dxc5 dxc5 Black is planning ...c4 and, if necessary, ...0-0-0.

7...dxe5 8.dxe5 🖄h5 9.e6

This more or less forced pawn sacrifice offers White compensation, but not more.

9...fxe6 10.ዿੈĥ6 ∅df6 11.控b1 e5 12.ዿ̂c4 ዿੈd7 13.∅f3 0–0–0=



6...<u>\$g</u>7!

From Chapter 8 we are familiar with the fact that after 2g1-f3 the threat of 2gh6 is less strong, so Black can (and in this position should) develop his bishop.

6...₩a5

This looks a natural way to prepare ...e5, and I have tried it in a game. However, I was surprised to find out to my cost that White's central break works out well, based on small tactical details.



7.e5! dxe5 8.dxe5 @g4

If 8...心h5 9.違e3 ②g7, as in Gavrilov – Tseshkovsky, St Petersburg 2001, White could retain a strong initiative with 10.違c4N ②b6 11.違b3 ②e6 12.h4.

9.@e4!?

The thematic 9.e6N is also promising as Black is underdeveloped: 9...fxe6 10.h3 $2 gf 6 11.2 c4 d d 5 12.0-0 \pm$

9...^{\langle}xd2† 10.^lexd2 <u>\$g7</u> 11.^lc4 b5 12.h3 ^lxf2 13.^lxf2 bxc4 14.<u>\$xc4</u> ^lb6 15.<u>\$</u>b3±

White's position is more pleasant, and my attempt at harassing the b3-bishop simply lost a pawn.



15...c5?! 16.ĝe3! c4 17.ĝxb6 cxb3 18.axb3±

This was Hamdouchi – Marin, Sitges 1999, but since I eventually managed to achieve a draw, this game did not yet give me the motivation to search for the best move order, and I continued experimenting...



7.0-0-0

7. ge2 0-0 8.0-0 transposes to variation B of Chapter 1.

7.e5

Though rarely played, this is interesting and requires radical measures from Black.

7...∕⊇h5!?

An interesting pawn sacrifice.

If 7...dxe5 8.dxe5 ⁽²⁾h5, then:

b) However, White has the strong 9.e6! fxe6 10.\u00esh6. With queens on the board, the weaknesses on the dark squares endanger the black king, while after 10...\u00fc2e5 11.\u00eckad8 \u00eckad8 12.0-0-0\u00e7 \u00eccd7 13.\u00eckag7 \u00eccd2xg7 14.\u00ecdd3, White will set up an annoying blockade on the e5-square. Black would prefer his king's knight to be on f7, but on g7 it just stands badly. Players with defensive skills may not be concerned about playing this position, but the text move is more ambitious. 8.exd6

In Bolhari – Martins, Lisbon 2014, Black should have played:



8...②xf4!N 9.鬯xf4 ②f6

9....b6 is also worth investigating.

10.dxe7 ₩xe7†

Black has the bishop pair and free development, and due to the misplaced c3-knight, the d4-pawn is vulnerable. This leaves White unstable on the dark squares, offering Black long-term compensation for the pawn.

11.奠e2

The queen is exposed on the e-file after: 11. $\underline{\mathbb{W}}e3$ $\underline{\mathbb{Q}}e6$ 12. $\underline{\mathbb{Q}}d3$ 0–0 13.0–0 It would make little sense to castle queenside, since after a well-timed ...c5 the white king would have to endure the g7-bishop's pressure. 13... $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}fe8$ 14.a3 Preventing ... $\underline{\mathbb{W}}b4$. 14... $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}ad8$ 15. $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}fe1$ $\underline{\mathbb{W}}c7$ 16. $\underline{\mathbb{W}}d2$ $\underline{\mathbb{Q}}g4$ 17. $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}xe8$ † $\underline{\mathbb{Q}}xe8$ = Black will regain the pawn soon.

The same assessment applies to 11.營e5 臭e6=.



11...0-0 12.0-0

12.0–0–0 is met by 12... 逸e6 13. 空b1 筥fe8 14. 宫he1 營d8!, followed by the queen's transfer to the queenside.

This looks unnatural, but after 13.\armaac1 \u00e9b4 Black soon regains the pawn.

13....¤ad8 14.h3 c5

Just one of the playable moves. Black clears the diagonal for his bishop, setting up strong pressure against the enemy queenside.

15.dxc5 [™]xc5

Black has obvious compensation for the pawn.

7...₩a5N

This queen move is a novelty here, although play may transpose into games reached via other move orders.

Once again, Black should refrain from starting the pawn storm with 7...b5 due to 8.e5N b4 $9.262 \ d5 10.266$, with attacking chances.



After this unusually long introduction, we finally have a split between the neutral A) 8.2b1 and the resolute B) 8.e5.

8. 2013 releases the latent pressure along the d-file, allowing 8...e5 with equality, as in Stocek – Medic, Pula 2002.

A) 8.Åb1



This slow approach does not pose concrete problems for Black.

8...0-0 9. \$h6

The only constructive plan. Play has now transposed to a rare variation which sometimes arises from the 4.2e3 move order. However, this position was not examined in Chapter 8, since my recommended move order there did not include an early ... 2a5.

9...b5 10. \$xg7

It is always a question as to whether White can delay the exchange of bishops until he plays h4-h5. Since White does not control the g4-square with his f-pawn (as in the Sämisch set-up), Black can take advantage of a delayed exchange: 10.2d3N e5 11.h4 2xh6! 12.12mxh62d3N e5 11.h4 2xh6! 12.12mxh62d3N e5 11.h4 2xh6! 12.12mxh62d3N e5 11.h4 2xh6! 12.12mxh62d3M e3 13.12mxh6 Black has consolidated his kingside and cleared the diagonal for his bishop. Later he could also consider ...h5. White's attempt to proceed with his attack by sacrificial means is not effective: 14.h5 公xh5 15.覃xh5 gxh5 16.垔h1 f6 17.垔xh5 鬯c7 White does not have enough resources to endanger the black king.

10....\$xg7



11.e5

The only way to question Black's stability on the dark squares.

11. \$d3 e5 12.h3

12.h4 was not dangerous after 12...h5 in Myrvold – Hermansson, Gausdal 1993.



12...b4

Black could already consider releasing the tension with: 12...exd4 13. 2xd4 b4 14. 2ce2 c5 15. 2b3 (or 15. 2f3 2b6 with counterplay) 15... 2b6 Black intends ... 2e5, followed by eitherc4 ora5-a4, with good play.

13.@e2 c5

Strengthening the control over the dark squares. The weakening of the c4-square is not relevant with the white knights far from it.

14.dxe5 创xe5 15.创xe5 dxe5 16.এc4 逸a6 17.逸xa6 鬯xa6 18.创g3



19....\ae8!?N

Preparing to defend the sixth rank. White's rooks have no squares on which to infiltrate, so the d-file is not that important now.

18..., 臣fd8?! could have led to problems in Zhornik – Kryvoruchko, Lvov 2006, after 19. 幽g5N attacking the e5-pawn as well as threatening knight checks.

Black has parried the immediate threats and is starting to create his own.

11...dxe5 12.dxe5 2g4 13.e6

There is no way back, as 13.營d4 b4 drops the pawn anyway and 14.e6†?? would only make things worse by helping Black to develop: 14...公df6 15.公e4 盒xe6-+

13.... 创df6 14.h3

White needs to kick the enemy knight away even though this speeds up Black's regrouping, because 14.exf?? loses material to 14...b4 15. De2 Dxf2. 14...Øh6



15. ⁽¹⁾d4

Trying to delay Black's regrouping.

The attempt to harass the knights with 15.g4?! fails to a series of intermediate moves: 15...b4 16.②e2 逸xe6 17.②c1 逸d5 18.逸g2 ②hg8∓ White has little to show for the missing pawn.

15...b4 16.②ce2 c5 17.②b3 鬯b6 18.②f4 簋xe6 19.②xe6†



19...[™]xe6N

More natural and simpler than 19...fxe6, as played in Monin – Ignatiev, St Petersburg 1998, although that also secured approximate equality.

20. ②xc5 凹b6 21. ②d3 罩fd8

Black has comfortable play and his attacking chances are at least as realistic as White's.



B) 8.e5

Play now takes on an independent character. Even though this position has never been played in a game, this resolute action in the centre requires examination.

8...dxe5 9.dxe5 2g4 10.\argue e1

Indirectly defending the apparently doomed pawn.

The thematic 10.e6 fxe6 does not work so well here, as the long diagonal is open for the g7-bishop, which may threaten to take on c3 at a suitable moment. Moreover, the g4-knight not only prevents h6 and keeps f2 under pressure, but is also ready to embark on a favourable rerouting, for instance via e5-f7-d6 or f6-d5.

10...0-0

Taking the pawn either way is risky:

10...②dxe5 11.營e2 f6 12.h3 營b4 13.彙d2 ②h6 14.②e4 營b6 15.②eg5 0–0 16.③xe5 fxe5 17.營e4 White intends 逸c4†, with more than enough compensation for the pawn.

10...②gxe5 11.營e3 f6 12.h4 offers White excellent attacking chances.

11.₩e2

The only way to defend the pawn, because if 11. Udd Ξ d8 the queen is vulnerable.



Apparently Black is in trouble, as after h2-h3 the knight will end up on an unfavourable path. And yet the tempos spent by White on Ξ e1 and Ξ e2, together with the lack of coordination caused by this regrouping, enables Black to fight for the initiative by resorting to material sacrifices.

11...b5

Played as if nothing was happening on the kingside.

12.h3

12.a3 b4 13.axb4 ¹⁰/₂xb4 speeds up Black's attack, as the f4-bishop is hanging.



12...b4 13.🖄b1

Forced, since 13.2e4 simply loses the most important pawn to 13...2gxe5.

13...[©]h6 14.[™]d2

14.g4 0b6 is likely to transpose after 15.0d2, since if White does not play this, Black would attack in the same way but without being a piece down.

14.... 15.g4

White has trapped the errant knight, but his coordination is chaotic and the queen's knight is immobile. As the analysis below demonstrates, Black's attack, carried out with natural moves, offers him enough compensation.



15...卻b6! 16.gxf5

This offers the bishop an excellent attacking square, but White cannot delay the capture for too long.

One of White's problems is that trying to catch up in development may only make things worse, for instance:

16.ዿੈd3 ≅d8 17.gxf5 ዿੈxf5 18.∰e2 After 18.a3 c5∓ Black threatens ...c4.



18....\angle xd3!

The f5-bishop is stronger than a rook. 19.cxd3 \Zd8 20.\Zd1 \Wxa2-+

White has no adequate defence against ...c5-c4, possibly combined with a4.

16.a3 c5 17. ge2?

White should transpose to the main line with 17.gxf5 muxf5.

The text move allows our bishop to become extremely effective along the long diagonal:

17...\$b7 18.gxf5

18.鼍d1 allows, among other things, the amusing 18...②d6 19.exd6 黛xf3-+, because 20.黛xf3 ②c4 attacks the queen and threatens黛xb2 mate!

18....Ξad8 19.營e3 创d5 20.營e4 幻c3

White can parry ... 2 a2 mate only by giving up his queen.

21.₩c4 ĝd5-+

16...ĝxf5 17.a3

Sooner or later this will be necessary, since Black has the simple threat ofc5 followed by ... ^Wxa2.

17...c5 18.営d1

18....¤ab8

Adding more wood to the fire. Black's main plan is ... a4 followed by ... but White should also be concerned about ...bxa3 if his queen moves away.



19.₩e1

Preparing ዿd3. I examined a whole host of alternatives:

19.e6? wins an exchange but clears the long diagonal, turning the bishop on g7 into an attacking monster: 19...fxe6 20.&xb8 $\Xi xb8$ \mp Black has powerful threats, such as ...Ba4 followed by ...&h6 to set up mate on c2, or simply ...Da4.

19.覍h6?

This leaves White's position hanging. 19...≝bd8



20.集d3



21...cxd3!?

21...\$\dot{xg7} 22.\$\dot{yxb4} \$\dot{yxb4} 23.axb4 cxd3 'only' regains the piece with a huge advantage.

22.奠xf8 dxc2

The threat of ... cxb1=^{\square} mate forces White to give his queen away.

23.營xc2 罩c8 24.營xc8 ②xc8 25.奠h6 奠e4-+

White's material advantage is only temporary, as many of his pieces are hanging. Black wins at least a knight, keeping a decisive attack.

19.ĝg5!?

This is an interesting attempt at defending the d-file with \$\mathbb{L}xe7\$ followed by \$\mathbb{L}d6.



23.axb4

Worse is: 23.bxa4 bxa3 24. \underline{B} xa3 c4! Inserting the pawn into the attack and clearing the fifth rank in order to increase the impact of ... \underline{b} b2†.

23...\²xb4 24.²d7

Due to the threat of ...2b2[†], White needs to clear the d1-square for his king. As the rook soon retreats, the text move may look like a waste of time, but 24.245 2b2[†] 25.2^hd1 would allow Black to gain an important tempo for the attack with 25...2b6, preparing ...2a2.

24...c4!?

A familiar pattern.

25.\d5 \d8!

The queen is taboo due to ...\$b2† mate. 26.\$xc4

Or 26.罩xd8† 響xd8 27.奠d2 cxb3 28.cxb3 曾d4 29.奠xb4 曾b2† 30.空d1 曾xb1† 31.空e2 曾c2† with perpetual check.

26.... ĝb2† 27. 堂d1 罩xd5† 28. ĝxd5 罩d4† 29. ĝd2 罩xd2† 30. ②xd2 ②c3† 31. 堂e1 營a1† 32.②b1 e6 33.奠c4 鬯xb1† 34.营d2 鬯a2



Black has perfect dominance of the dark squares and if he could bring his queen back into play he would have chances to take over. The best White can do is:

35.ዿxe6 fxe6 36.₩c6!=

Black cannot avoid the perpetual check.

It is useful to investigate a half-waiting move such as:

19.¤g1

Preparing h3-h4-h5 without fearing ... \$294 or ... \$294. This best reveals to us Black's general attacking plan.



19...Ða4

20.븿c4

There is no time for: 20.h4? 当fd8! 21.營e1 □xd1† 22.營xd1 bxa3 White is defenceless, for instance, 23.bxa3 罩xb1† 24.空xb1 心c3† winning the queen.

20...ĝe6 21.ĝxe6

This leaves the kingside minor pieces vulnerable, but White would not manage to consolidate his queenside with: 21.違b3 營a6! Unpinning the b4-pawn. 22.違xa4 bxa3 23.②xa3 營xa4 24.c3 違f5 Threatening ...營xa3 or, if the white queen moves away, simply ...營b3-a2.

21...fxe6 22.2g5

Unpinning the bishop and trying to create some kingside threats.

22...Øc3

Threatening ... 2a2 mate.

23.@xc3

Other moves are even worse:

After 23.bxc3 bxa3 White cannot take the a-pawn, so it will promote.

23.罝de1 创a2† 24.堂d1 罝bd8 and Black wins the queen.

23...bxc3 24.罾xc3 罾xc3 25.bxc3 罩xf4 26.心xe6 盒h6 27.心xf4 盒xf4† 28.罩d2 罩d8 29.罩gd1



The simplest win, avoiding the necessity of calculating the pawn ending (which is won anyway), is:

29...c4–+

White will soon lose a rook as a result of zugzwang.



19...②a4 20.臭d3

I also considered 20.愈c4?! 愈e6! 21.愈b3 鬯a6 22.axb4 愈xb3 23.cxb3 cxb4 24.鼍d4 鬯c6† 25.堂d2 鬯xf3 26.bxa4 邕fd8 and Black has a winning attack.

20...<u>ĝ</u>e6!

Controlling the a2-square in order to threaten ... Dxb2.

20...②xb2? is premature: 21.亞xb2 bxa3† 22.空a1 鬯b6 23.鬯c3 With the bishop on e6, Black would mate with ...鬯b2†.



21.b3 🖄 c3 22.axb4

22.أaxc3? loses quickly to 22...bxc3 23.堂b1 違xb3.

22...cxb4 23.营d2 约xd1 24.营xd1

Black has regained the sacrificed material. A rook and a pawn are roughly equivalent to two knights, but Black should continue playing energetically in order to prevent White from consolidating in what has become a predominantly static position.



24....莒fd8 25.创bd2 罩bc8 26.壹e2 罩xc2!? 27.흹xc2 凹a6† 28.壹e3 凹b6† 29.壹e2 凹a6† 30.壹d1 凹a1†

With a draw by perpetual check.

Conclusion

Even though this line is not popular, it requires careful play in the early phase of the opening. Black must constantly take account of the possibility of e4-e5, and sometimes he needs to sacrifice material in order to neutralize White's initiative. Moreover, the correct timing of the thematic ...b7-b5 advance is crucial. However, if he reacts well to the early central pressure, Black is likely to obtain great counterplay.



Various 4th Moves



Minor Lines

Variation Index

1.e4 d6 2.d4 2f6 3.2c3

340
343
345
345
346
350
352
353
354
356



A) note to 17.2e5N

B) after 7. Dce2



C43) note to 8.ge3



1.e4 d6 2.d4 2f6 3.2c3 g6

In this chapter, we will examine a few continuations on move 4, which require some accuracy from Black despite being unpopular. We have A) 4.2c4, B) 4.h3 and C) 4.2c2.

A) 4.奠c4

This can easily transpose to line D of Chapter 3, or line C of Chapter 4, if White plays **2**f3 soon. However, he can treat this line more aggressively.

4...ዿੈg7 5.₩e2

This is the only important deviation from the lines mentioned above. White parries the potential threat of ...心xe4 and prepares the resolute advance of the e-pawn.



5...Dc6

The most active reply, attacking the weakened d4-pawn immediately.

6.e5

This leads to interesting complications.

6.ge3 runs into 6...2g4, gaining the bishop pair.

6.@f3

Even though this does not transpose to the

other lines mentioned above, it allows the usual counterplay:

6....ģg4 7.ģe3 0−0 8.0−0−0 e5 9.dxe5 ⁽²⁾xe5 10.ģb3

White has kept his active bishop and some space advantage, but the pin on the knight causes some trouble.



10....創h5!?

In view of the likely ... (2)xf3, the bishop stands well on the h5-square, partly paralysing White. The voluntary retreat anticipates h2-h3, something White cannot manage without for too long.

11.舀hg1 c6 12.h3 约xf3 13.gxf3

In J. Sanchez – Dzhumaev, Creon 2006, Black's strongest move would have been: 13...≌e8N∓

Black prevents e4-e5, keeping many plans and small threats in reserve, for instance\addle xe4,\addle d7-e5, ...d5, or ...b5 followed by ...a5.



6...Øxd4

This has traditionally been considered risky, and in practice it has not scored too well, but the whole line examined in this section has rarely been played at grandmaster level. In fact, it is the simplest way of solving Black's problems.

6...Øg4

This provocative move is interesting, but it requires some effort to get adequate counterplay, for instance:

7.奠b5

Reducing Black's pressure on the centre. True, White wastes some time with his bishop, but Black's king's knight will also be jumping around a lot.

7.e6 ⁽¹⁾xd4 8.exf7† ⁽¹⁾位f8 9.¹¹/¹⁰d1 ⁽¹⁾点f5 is good for Black.

7...0-0 8.\$xc6 bxc6 9.h3

This is the correct move order, as 9.△f3 allows: 9...c5 10.dxc5 △xe5∓

9.... h6 10. h6 3

In order to break White's grip on the centre, Black can sacrifice a pawn with ...c5, but is is far from clear whether this will equalize completely.



7.exf6

This positional queen sacrifice is obviously forced.

White has three minor pieces for a queen and two pawns, meaning that Black has a material advantage. However, Black is underdeveloped, so a certain degree of accuracy is needed.

11.... **集e**6

The most logical move, reducing the piece pressure at the cost of spoiling the pawn structure slightly.

12.^{\$}xe6

Refraining from the exchange makes little sense, as if 12.&d3 Black can insist with $12...\&f5\mp$.

12...fxe6



13.f4

Preventing bothe5 andg5.

After 13. Ξ he1, Black can gain some activity at the cost of a pawn: 13...g5 14. \Box d4 e5 15. \Box f3 Ξ c8 16. &xg5 c6 17. \Box e4 In Canamas Soler – Sabadell i Ximenes, email 2008, Black should have continued his development with 17... Ξ e6N \mp . White is still material down with virtually no counterplay, and can only hope to put up some resistance against the threatening central pawn mass.



13...c5

Restricting the e2-knight and preparing the queen's development.

14.≅he1 [@]b6

The hyper-ambitious 14...d5?! was played in Loschi – Bolignano, Italy 1991, but it could have resulted in trouble after 15.f5N gxf5 16.创f4, with a strong initiative.

15.🖄g1

The best way of activating the knight.

After 15.0g3 d5 16.2g5 $\Xi g7$ $17.\Xi e5$ 0–0–0 18.\Xi de1 Ξ d6, as in Castiglione – Fiacan, Slovakia 2013, the knight is more passive than in the main line.

15...d5 16.2f3 0-0-0



17.@e5N

The knight not only blockades the doubled pawns, but also inhibits the advance of the queenside majority.

17. 26g5 was played in Stoll – Blodig, Pang 1977, now Black should have exploited this favourable moment to grasp the initiative with: 17...d4!N 18. 2ce4 (18. 2ce4 (18

17...**₩a6**

Things are safe and stable in the centre, but Black has some chances on the queenside. The immediate threat is ...d4, winning the pawn on a2.

18.a3

18.登b1 brings the king closer to a dangerous area. Black can follow the same plan as in the main line below, or could bring in new forces with 18...岂d6!?, possibly followed by ...b5-b4 and then doubling the major pieces on the a-file.

18...d4 19.2 e4 c4 20. 皇g5 莒ge8



It is unclear yet whether Black will manage to create decisive threats, as White is quite stable in the centre. But in principle only Black can hope to make progress, for instance with, 如b8 and, 它的 White it would be safest to look for equality, for instance with:

Forcing a repetition.

B) 4.h3



Played at such an early stage, this has independent value only if White plays g2-g4 within the next few moves.

4...c6

This flexible move is my usual recommendation against aggressive set-ups.

5.g4

5.2e3 transposes to Chapter 9, while 5.2f3 2g7 6.2e3 0–0 leads to variation A of Chapter 3.

5.a4

This move order may also be used as an attempt to transpose to an improved version of the Fianchetto System by playing g2-g4 without loss of time. However, the early kingside weakening offers Black additional ideas.



8...exd4!

Playing in the spirit of the line recommended against the Fianchetto System would simply grant White an extra tempo: 8...a5 9.0-0 (a) a6 10. (a) b4 11. (b) d2 A similar position, but with White's pawn still on g3, arises in variation B2 of Chapter 11.

9.@xd4 d5!

Due to the weakening of the white kingside, Black can safely take on an isolani.

10.exd5

10.e5 0e4 11.0xe4 dxe4 12.2xe4 2xe5 \mp leaves White exposed in the centre and on the kingside.

10....²xd⁵ 11.²xd⁵ cxd⁵ 12.0–0 ²c⁶



13.De2

13.c3 🖄 xd4 14.cxd4 ဋe6= leads to dull equality.

One important point is that with the pawn on g3, White could consolidate his control of the d4-square with 13. 2e3, but the way things stand, Black can counterattack with 13...h5! 14.gxh5 營h4. For instance: 15.②xc6 bxc6 16.hxg6 盒xh3 17.營f3 盒e6 18.gxf7† 莒xf7 19.營g3 營xg3 20.fxg3 盒xb2 With mass simplifications and a probable draw.

13...d4



14.¤a3

Also after the more natural 14.264 $\Xi e8$ 15.242 247 16.234 $\Xi e5=$ Black does not have any particular problems.

14...ĝe6 15.∅f4 ĝc4 16.≅e1 ₩d6

16... Ξ e8 17.b3 &a6= is a valid alternative, in the spirit of our repertoire against the main line of the Fianchetto System.

17.b3 ĝe6

17... 逸a6?! 18.c4 leaves the bishop misplaced. 18.c4 罩ad8 19. ②xe6 fxe6 20. 罩a2 罩fe8=



The mutual weaknesses – White's on the dark squares and Black's on the light ones –

cancelled each other out in Bolacky – Karlik, Czech Republic 2007.



5...b5 6.\$g2 b4 7.\$ce2

I also analysed the untested:

7.@a4N

Black should prepare his queenside counterplay before White's space advantage becomes threatening.

7... \$b7 8.a3 a5 9. \$\vee\$e 2 \$\vee\$bd7 10.axb4
Trying to undermine Black's queenside.
Proceeding with the kingside regrouping with 10. \$\vee\$g3 runs into 10...h5 11.g5 h4, a familiar issue in line A of Chapter 9.
10...axb4 11.\$\vee\$d2 c5



12.d5

The principled answer. After 12.dxc5 dxc5 Black's minor pieces are more active than White's.

12...e6 13. 違f4 exd5 14.exd5 舀a6 15.0-0 違g7=

Black has a comfortable version of the Schmid Benoni, as the d5-pawn is vulnerable and the a4-knight passive.



7...a5!N

Consolidating the b4-pawn is essential.

If 7...&g7, as in Rodriguez Vila – Leitao, Santos 2006, and a couple of other games, White should undermine Black's queenside with 8.a3!N \pm .

8.2g3

8.a3 \$\overline{a}a6\$ defends the b4-pawn, activating the bishop at the same time.

8...h5 9.g5 ⁽²⁾fd7 10.h4 e5

Black is flexible and solid. White's attempt to gain space is easily parried:

11.f4 c5! 12.dxe5 dxe5 13.f5 包c6 14.包f3 包b6 15.鬯xd8† 空xd8 16.皇e3 空c7=

White's kingside space advantage looks impressive, but Black's queenside play is no less effective.

C) 4.ĝe2 ĝg7

White's fourth move will lose its independent character, transposing to other chapters, if he plays $2 g_1$ -f3 within the next few moves, but it is usually connected with early kingside activity, based on control over the d1-h5 diagonal. We will examine C1) 5.e5, C2) 5. g_4 -and C4) 5.h4.





This early central break is not completely without poison.

5...④fd7

The most flexible answer.

As I had occasion to experience myself, 5...dxe56.dxe5營xd1†7.彙xd1 justifies White's idea, as the queen exchange has not deprived him of the right to castle: 7...②fd7 8.f4 f6 9.exf6 exf6 10.彙e2 ②b6 11.彙e3 0–0 12.④f3 ②c6 13.0–0–0 In this almost symmetrical position, White retains some initiative, which eventually resulted in a win in Murey – Marin, Ramat Aviv 2000.

6.exd6

White cannot maintain the tension under favourable circumstances, as 6.f4 c5 leads to

a version of the Austrian Attack where 2e2 is not really useful for the fight in the centre.

6...cxd6

We have reached a sort of Alekhine Defence hybrid where the queen's knight stands in the way of the c2-pawn, thus preventing White from applying pressure in the centre.



7.②f3

The aggressive 7.彙e3 ②f6 8.g4 runs into the familiar: 8...h5 9.gxh5 (9.g5 ②g4 is good for Black, as White cannot avoid ...④xe3. For instance: 10.彙c1 e5 11.h3 exd4干) 9...④xh5 10.營d2 d5 11.0–0–0 ②c6 12.彙f3 ②f6 13.②ge2 彙g4 14.彙g2 彙h3 15.彙xh3 莒xh3 16.②f4 莒h7 Black stood well in Shapiro – Zaichik, Parsipanny 2002. He has the better structure and can soon castle queenside to bring his king to safety.

7...a6

Preparing queenside counterplay.

7...0–0 8.0–0 266 9.h3 d5?!, as in Murey – Keene, Beersheba 1978, is less accurate as it transposes to some sort of Exchange Caro-Kann with an extra tempo for White. However, 9...a6 would transpose to the main line.

8.0-0 2f6 9.h3 0-0 10.a3

Preventing the queenside expansion with 10.a4 weakens the b4-square, allowing 10.... ac6. For instance: 11.d5 ab4 12.a5 b5 13.axb6 \$b7 The d5-pawn experiences some problems.

10...b5



Black was planning ...\$b7, followed by ...\$bd7, with comfortable development in Vrban – Tahirov, Hastings 2006.

C2) 5. 遑e3



This bears some similarity with the 5.\2e2/6.\2e3 King's Indian system, popularized in recent years by Riazantsev. Before launching his kingside pawn attack White makes a useful

developing move, waiting for Black to commit himself.

5...c6

For similar reasons as in the 4.2e3 systems, Black should not hurry to castle, preparing queenside counterplay instead.

6.h4

White has a few other aggressive attempts:

6.f4

In this Austrian Attack set-up, the white king's bishop is on a slightly unnatural square.

6...0−0 7.�f3

7.e5 🖄d5 8.Åxd5 cxd5 9.c3 🖄c6 10.Åf3 Åf5 was equal in Slobodjan – Markowski, Germany 2009.

7...b5

Aiming to take advantage of the e4-pawn being less well defended than is usual in the Austrian Attack.



8.a3

After 8.e5 ②g4 9.ģg1 f6 10.h3 ③h6 11.ģh2 dxe5 12.fxe5 ③f5, White's centre was under pressure in Kunz – Brodbeck, Loewenstein 1997.

8...∕∑bd7 9.0–0 ∑b6 10.h3 a5 11.∰e1

Supporting the e-pawn with 11.&d3N= is sensible, but is hardly an attempt for an advantage.



The position was close to equal in Iskov – Poulsen, Copenhagen 1977, but I would be happy with Black's better structure.

6.g4

One of the reasons why delaying castling is a good idea is that this can be answered with: 6...h5!



7.g5

The most ambitious continuation, because 7.gxh5 公xh5 8.營d2 b5 9.0–0–0 公d7 offers Black kingside stability and queenside counterplay.

7...€)g4

Trying to trap this knight will fail tactically. 8.ģf4?

White is best advised to play 8. $\exists d2N$, even though it can simply be met by 8... $\exists xe3$, with comfortable play for Black.

8.[≜]xg4 hxg4[∓] favours Black strategically.



13.... IXh3!! A temporary queen sacrifice, winning material. 14. 公xb6 IXh1† 15. 空g2 IXd1 16. 違xd1 axb6 17. 空xg3 IIa4-+ With three extra pawns in the ending.

8...^wb6 9.h3 ^wxb2



10.違d2 Ѽxf2 11.峦xf2 違xd4† 12.峦g2 違xc3 13.舀b1 營xb1 14.營xb1 違xd2-+

A rook, bishop and three pawns were obviously stronger than the queen in Meis – Hendriks, Dieren 2002.

6.₩d2

Due to the tempo spent on \$\$e2, the plan based on this move is slower and less

dangerous than in the 4.&e3 lines. 6...&bd7

As usual in such situations, we choose the most flexible move.



7.g4

This is one of the main ideas of 4.2e2, but here it does not work out well.

White has tried a variety of alternatives:

a) 7.h4 b5 8.a3 h5 offered Black kingside stability and good queenside prospects in Cappello – Kiffmeyer, Groningen 1970.

b) 7.0–0–0 b5 is like a rather weird Sämisch set-up, with the e2-bishop far from its best square as it obstructs the g1-knight without bringing anything positive. For example: $8.e5 b4 9.exf6 bxc3 10.rac{10}{2}xc3 \frac{1}{2}xf6 11.rac{10}{2}xc6^{\dagger}$ $ad7 12.rac{10}{2}a6 0-0$ The position is similar to one examined in variation A of Chapter 10 (see page 238), and the observation remains that the bishop on e2 is not especially useful. Black has excellent attacking chances along the open queenside files.

c) 7. 逾h6 逾xh6 8. 彎xh6 is ineffective after 8... 彎a5. Now 9. 逾d3 would simply lose a tempo compared to the line mentioned at the start of Chapter 8 on page 201, and 9. 彎e3 would allow Black to castle. 9.0–0–0?! is even worse, as after 9...b5 the e4- and a2-pawns are under threat, and 10.a3 b4 11.axb4 彎a1† 12. 应d2 彎xb2 yields Black the initiative. One trick is that 13. 罩b1? runs into 13... 心xe4†!-+. d) Finally, after 7.f4 b5 8.a3 心b6 White has to allow ...心c4, causing a weakening of the light squares after 逸xc4, since 9.b3 a5 10.愈f3 心g4 would be excellent for Black. 7...b5 8.g5 b4 9.gxf6 bxc3 10.營xc3 心xf6

Black has a comfortable position, and any pawn-grabbing from White will land him in trouble:



11.e5

11.豐xc6† এd7 12.豐a6 公xe4 immediately retrieves the pawn with an obvious advantage.

11....[©]g4 12.[‡]xg4

12.[₩]xc6† [≜]d7 13.[₩]c3 dxe5∓ also regains the pawn.

12...ĝxg4 13.∰xc6† ĝd7 14.∰e4 dxe5 15.dxe5 0–0∓

White's extra pawn does not compensate for the weakness of the light squares and Black's lead in development.



6...h5

Stabilizing the kingside and creating the potential threat of ... 0g4. The latter underlines a drawback of combining the plan of h2-h4 with 2e3.

7.②h3

7.f3 once again offers Black a comfortable version of the Sämisch Attack: 7...b5 8.營d2 ②bd7=

After 7.26f3 2g4 8.2g5 2b6, Black is attacking the b2-pawn, and threatening ...e5, based on the vulnerability of the f2-square.

7...∕⊇g4

A familiar pattern.

8. £xg4

8...hxg4 9.2g1

9.∅f4 lost a pawn to 9...g5 in Held – Muelli, Zürich 1989.



9.... b6 10. ge2

Or $10.\Xi b1 \bigtriangleup d7 11. \boxdot ge2 \ @c7=, followed by ...e5.$

10...增xb2 11.舀b1 增a3 12.g3 增a5 13.0-0 创d7 14.舀b3 凹c7 15.创f4 e5 White did not have compensation for the pawn in Meyer – Fridman, Berlin 1996.



C3) 5.g4

White intends to gain huge amounts of space with g4-g5 and h2-h4-h5. However, the potentially weak g4-pawn allows Black to break in the centre.

5...d5

I find this relatively rare move far more effective than the main line of 5...c5, when White can maintain the positional tension with 6.d5.

6.e5

The most principled reaction.

6.f3 dxe4 7.fxe4 (7.g5 exf3 wins Black a pawn, in view of 8.ģb5† ②fd7) 7...c5 8.g5 (8.dxc5 營xd1† 9.ĝxd1 ②xg4∓ regains the pawn while keeping the better structure) 8...③fd7 Black has good play on the dark squares.

6.exd5 \triangle xd5 \mp leads to a weird version of the Scandinavian Defence, where White cannot easily justify g2-g4.

6...🕗e4

As we will see in several lines, this daring knight jump works out well precisely because of the weaknesses created by the early g2-g4. 7**.f**4

7. ②xe4 dxe4 leaves White with his centre hanging and facing difficulties developing his knight: 8. ②e3 c5 9.dxc5 (9.c3 is best met by: 9... ③c6N 10.h4 Preparing ④h3 without fearing ...h5. 10... 營a5∓ Black has the initiative.) 9... 營xd1† 10. Ξxd1 ⓓc6 11.h3 ⓓxe5∓ Black had regained the pawn, retaining better development and the superior structure in Zapolskis – Maze, London 2016.

7.₩d3

This fails to relieve the central tension.



7...c5!

7... Dxc3 8.bxc3 strengthened White's centre, leaving Black with problems activating the g7-bishop in Ponater – Topp, Hamburg 1999.

8.dxc5 🖄xc3 9.bxc3

9.∰xc3 ②c6 10.f4 f6 11.exf6 ĝxf6 12.∰d3 ĝh4† 13.∲f1 e5∓ offers Black too much initiative for the pawn.

9....ĝxe5∓

White did not have anything to show for his shattered structure in Poulsen – K. Schulz, Wunsiedel 2014.

7.🖾b1

White retracts the knight in the vain hope of trapping the e4-knight.

7...e6 8.h4

Preventing …營h4, but weakening the g3-square entails spending another tempo before attacking the knight with f2-f3.

8...c5 9.c3 0–0!?N

Not the only good move, as Black has various ways of sacrificing material for the initiative. 10.≅h3 cxd4 11.cxd4 f6 12.f3 ≌a5†



13.b4

The only way to stay in the game, as 13. $\pm f1$ simply loses a pawn to 13... fxe5.

13...鬯xb4† 14.空f1 fxe5 15.遑a3 鬯xd4 16.鬯xd4 exd4 17.遑xf8 空xf8 18.fxe4

Otherwise Black's next move would be even stronger.

18...d3 19.Ôc3 dxe2† 20.Ôgxe2 Ôc6∓

Black has two pawns for the exchange, and a strong bishop pair.



The only way to maintain some tension.

9...e6 10.c4

If 10.d6 $\textcircled{B}h4\dagger$ 11.Df1 g5 12.2e3 0–0, White will have problems keeping his centre intact due to the threats of ...f6 and ...Dc6 or ...2d7-c6.



In Smeets – Mamedyarov, Internet (blitz) 2007, Black should have attacked the enemy centre at once:

10...f6N 11.②h3 fxe5 12.0-0 0-0 13.皇e3 b6 14.dxe6

After 14.fxe5 \(\ext{Ixf1}\) 15.\$\overline{xxf1} \$\overline{xxe5}\$ 16.dxe6 \$\overline{\text{W}xd1}\$ 17.\(\ext{Ixd1}\) \$\overline{\text{\alpha}c6}\$ 18.\$\overline{\text{\alpha}g5}\$ h6 19.\$\overline{\text{g2}g2}\$ hxg5 20.\$\overline{xxe6}\$ 21.\$\overline{xxe6}\$ \(\ext{Ef8}=\), the ending is likely to be a draw.



14....鬯xd1 15.吕axd1 ②c6 16.f5 ②d4

With complex play and approximately equal chances.



C4) 5.h4

Traditionally, this is the main idea of 4.2e2. White threatens h4-h5, not only creating some attacking chances but also gaining space.

5...c5

The most principled reaction.

The same method as in line C3 does not work out well here: 5...d5? 6.e5 De4 7.Dxe4 dxe4 8.h5 gave White the initiative in White – De Magalhaes, email 2008.

Blocking the kingside is less effective than in line C2, as White's queen's bishop is not exposed to ...2g4. After 5...h5 6.2f3 we have a type of Classical System, with mutual weakening of the g5- and g4-squares. The position is viable for Black, but I believe that the text move is more convincing.

6.dxc5

The main alternative is:

6.d5 0-0

Other moves have been tried here, but I find castling the most flexible.

7.h5

This allows the typical queenside counterplay, but preventing it requires spending another tempo on a pawn move, allowing the opening of the centre with ...e6. For example: 7.a4 e6 8.h5 exd5 9.exd5 邕e8 10.hxg6 In Brandics – C. Horvath, Hungary 1991, the safest would have been 10...fxg6N 11.②f3 ②a6, followed by ...②b4 with excellent counterplay.

7.g4 should also be answered by the central break: 7...e6 8.g5 (2089, 10.20) f3 (2027) Black prepares ...exd5 by taking measures against the white knight recapturing. 11.dxe6 (2026, 12.2) f4 (2026) Black will develop with (2026) next, with excellent play.

7...b5!

A typical pseudo-sacrifice when White delays his kingside development.

8.hxg6 fxg6 9.e5

The most ambitious answer, as 9.ዿxb5 ∆xe4 10.∆xe4 凹a5† 11.公c3 ዿxc3† 12.bxc3 凹xb5∓ left White with a weak centre in R. Mueller – Andersen, Germany 2005.

9...dxe5 10.ĝxb5

In Ramirez – Kacheishvili, Wheeling 2012, Black should have continued developing:



10...心bd7N 11.心f3 e4 12.心g5 心e5 13.逾f4 Developing is the best idea for White, too. Pawn-grabbing leads to trouble: 13.心cxe4? 心xe4 14.心xe4 幽a5† 15.心c3 心g4∓ Attacking the f2-pawn and threatening to

win a piece with\$xc3†.



13...④h5 14.鼻xe5

14.奠e3 runs into the familiar 14...邕b8. 14...奠xe5 15.剑gxe4

The daring 15.⁽¹⁾/₂xh7 leads only to a draw after 15...⁽²⁾/₂xc3[†] 16.bxc3 ⁽¹⁾/₂xh7 17.^[2]/₂xh5. However, Black can instead try to keep things complicated with: 15...^[2]/₁f4 Threatening ...⁽²⁾/₂g4. 16.g3 ⁽²⁾/₂g4 17.⁽²⁾/₂e2 ⁽²⁾/₂xg3 18.fxg3 ^[2]/₁f3 19.⁽²⁾/₂xf3 ⁽²⁾/₂xf3 20.^[2]/₂c1 ^[2]/₂d6 21.^[2]/₂h3 ⁽²⁾/₂xg3[†] 22.⁽²⁾/₂f1 ⁽²⁾/₂g4 The game is likely to end in a draw by perpetual anyway, but there are some dangers for White along the road.

15...¤b8

Black has strong activity as compensation for the pawn.

6...₩a5

White's three main possibilities, which all involve removing the threat of …心xe4, are C41) 7.營d3, C42) 7.堂d2 and C43) 7.堂f1.

C41) 7.₩d3

White overprotects the c3-knight in anticipation of Black's later ... (2)xh5, but the queen is exposed on the d3-square.

7...增xc5 8.臭e3 凹a5 9.h5



9....🖄 xh 5

The thematic response, clearing the bishop's diagonal in order to spoil White's structure.

Ignoring the attack could lead to problems after 9...0–0 10.hxg6. Both 10..hxg6 11.營d2 followed by 急h6, and 10...fxg6 11.營c4† 空h8 12.②f3 put the black king in danger.

10.gxh5 gxc3† 11.bxc3

11.營xc3 gives up White's attacking dreams, conceding Black a pleasant ending: 11...營xc3† 12.bxc3 gxh5 13.鼍xh5 公c6 14.f4 In Reprintsev – V. Onischuk, Lutsk 2016, strongest would have been: 14...盒g4N 15.鼍h6 Otherwise Black would continue with ...h5. 15...f5∓ Black has great play on the light squares.

11...gxh5 12.@e2 \Bg8 13.@f4 @c6∓

Black has the better structure and an extra pawn, which White cannot regain easily. For instance:

14.\Langle 14.\Langle 2.15.\Langle d4

15.營d2 restricts the king, running into: 15...莒xg2! 16.罝xe5 罝g1† 17.空e2 Sadly the only move, exposing the king to 17...營a6†!-+ followed by ...Ξxa1.



15....\array xg2!-+

Black was winning in Vujic – Pantovic, Obrenovac 2004. The rook is taboo due to ... Df3† and 16. Exe5 runs into 16...dxe5 attacking the queen.



C42) 7. gd2

This looks solid, as it eliminates the danger of ... \$\overline{xc3}\$. However, the bishop stands in the way of the queen and if it later moves away with \$\overline{e3}\$, the king will again be exposed along the e1-a5 diagonal.

7...[™]xc5 8.h5 0–0!

Due to White's congested position, developing is best.

9.hxg6

This forces Black to spoil his pawn structure, but also opens the f-file for his counterattack.

The main drawback of White's 7th move is visible after: 9.⁽²⁾h3 d5! By opening the centre Black forestalls any kingside dangers. 10.exd5 (or 10.hxg6 hxg6 11.exd5 ⁽²⁾众d5 12.⁽²⁾众d5 ⁽²⁾文d5 13.⁽²⁾f4 ⁽²⁾d6=) 10...⁽²⁾众d5 11.⁽²⁾众d5 ⁽²⁾ xd5 12.⁽²⁾f4 ⁽²⁾d6 Black had comfortable equality in Repp – Oppenrieder, corr. 1989.

If 9. \$\overline{2}e3 \$\overline{2}b4\$, White experiences problems with his e4- and b2-pawns.



9...fxg6!

9...hxg6 10.[™]c1 offers White attacking chances.

10.**\$e**3

After 10. ⁽¹⁾After 11. ⁽²⁾After 10. ⁽²⁾After 11. ⁽²⁾After 11. ⁽²⁾After 11. ⁽²⁾After 12. ⁽²⁾After 13. ⁽²⁾After

10...⊮b4

The familiar reaction.





Relatively best.

11. 2 sets up the battery along the c1-h6 diagonal at once, but it takes the d2-square away from the king. Black replies 11... 2g4 and now:

a) 12.0–0–0 奠xc3 13.bxc3 營a3† forces: 14.空b1 奠e6 15.c4 ②xe3 16.fxe3 ②d7-+ Black will continue with ...②b6 or ...②e5, and罩ac8, when his attack is faster.

b) 12.2xg4 2xg4 is a better try, but White is still left with the king in the centre while having to worry about ...2xg2.



11...Øg4

Even though things are less clear after this knight incursion than in the previous line, I just prefer this in principle to pawn-grabbing.

11..., 響xb2 seems objectively fine for Black, but it is irritating to hand the initiative to White: 12. 單b1 響a3 13. ②f3 ③c6 14. ③g5 ②e5 15. 營d2 h6 16.f4 ②eg4 17. 罩b3 響a5 18. 氯c4† 垫h8 White may well have no more than a perpetual with ③f7†, either now or shortly.

12.0-0-0 🖄 xe3

12...&xc3 13.bxc3 $@a3^{\dagger}$ does not work so well now due to 14. $\&d2^{\pm}$. White's king is safe and he can already think of his attack starting with $@c4^{\dagger}$.

13. ^四xe3 包c6 14. 包f3 皇g4



Black is well developed and his bishop pair compensates for the slightly spoiled structure. White can try to mess things up with:

15.e5!?

Obstructing the g7-bishop in order to prepare 2d5, while also preparing to pin the other bishop with 2h4.

15....Bae8

Just completing development.

16.**@d**5

16.≅h4 [™]f4 is absolutely fine for Black.

16...⊮c5

With unclear play and chances for both sides.

C43) 7.替f1

The most popular and principled move. Since White has started such an early attack, it is unlikely that he will get castled, and the king is relatively safe on the f1-square.

7...[₩]xc5



8.**皇e**3

White does not achieve much by refraining from this move.

I also checked:

8.h5 2xh5 9.2d5

9.黛xh5 is similar to the main line: 9....黛xc3 10.bxc3 gxh5 11.營d4 營b5†!?N If White blocks the check with 12.c4 then 12....營e5 offers the queen exchange under better circumstances. If instead 12.②e2 f6 followed by ...②c6, Black has the better structure and normal development, even if the extra pawn will be lost along the way.

9...②f6 10.b4 ≌c6 11.a4



11...[₩]d7!N

There is no need for the spectacular 11...②xd5?! 12.奠b5 ②c3 13.黛xc6† ②xc6, without full compensation for the queen in Bach – Hodgson, Bad Woerishofen 1993. 12.奠h6

12.²a3 h5 consolidates the kingside. 12...__象xh6 13.²xh6 ②xd5 14.exd5 營f5

White does not have enough compensation for the pawn.

8....₩a5





It's now or never!

After 9. 2h3 2c6 10. 2f4 h5, Black had a comfortable version of the Dragon in Hoffterheide – M. Mueller, Germany 2009.

A familiar theme.

11.bxc3 gxh5 12.\arraysexh5

12.心e2 defends the c3-pawn but delays taking on h5, allowing Black the time to proceed with his development. 12...心c6 13.心f4 In Colom Sienes – Malo Guillen, Spain 2011, Black should have played: 13...罩g8!N Removing the rook from the long diagonal before playing ...心e5. 14.罩xh5 心e5 15.罩xh7 營xc3∓ Pawns are equal, but Black has the better structure and the more harmonious regrouping. His king also has better prospects of reaching safety by castling queenside.



12...[@]xc3 13.[@]e2

After 13.[™]d4 [™]xd4 14.[®]xd4, as in Marrero Lopez – Linares Napoles, Cali 2009, the simple 14...f6N∓ would have offered Black the better ending. The extra pawn is not easy to retrieve and Black's structure is better in any case.

13...增c4 14.f3 包c6 15.空f2 鼻e6 16.罩b1 b6∓



White did not have compensation for the pawn in Lhagvasuren – Azmaiparashvili, Moscow 1986.

Conclusion

The minor lines examined in this chapter are characterized by early White aggressiveness at the cost of neglecting the natural course of development. This tends to offer Black counterplay earlier than he would expect when playing the Pirc.

The most consistent of the options is variation B, aiming for a solid kingside expansion, but its slowness offers Black the time to start his own pawn play.







3.f3

Variation Index

1.e4 d6 2.d4 2f6 3.f3

3	5
A) 4.dxc5	359
B) 4.c3	365
C) 4. 2 e2	368
D) 4.d5	371

A) note to 5.2C3



A) note to 8. 2 ge2



D) note to 7.gd3



1.e4 d6 2.d4 2f6 3.f3



The obvious purpose of this move is to keep the path free for the c-pawn in order to transpose to King's Indian Sämisch set-ups. Logically, this should appeal only to players who have this system in their repertoire with White. The appeal of White's 3rd move might even be restricted further, since play may also transpose to the Benoni, the Sicilian or even the French!

3...c5

I have always considered this to be the most principled answer, but I must admit that this is partly subjective, as over the years I have had excellent results in the f2-f3 Benoni – see line D. If White refrains from d4-d5, his king's knight usually faces problems finding a favourable route due to the early advance of the f-pawn. Another negative effect of f2-f3 is that in some lines the king will be stuck in the centre with the black queen on c5.

For players with the King's Indian in their repertoire, 3...g6 is the most natural choice.

Or if the French Defence complements the Pirc in your repertoire, 3...d5 4.e5 ⁽²⁾/₂fd7 is quite possible.



True, Black has wasted a tempo with his d-pawn, but White more or less has to do the same with his f-pawn in order to ensure a decent development for his knight: 5.f4 e6 6.1f3 (6.2d2 c5 7.c3 2c6 8.2df3 transposes to the Tarrasch Variation, though it is worth mentioning that from the French move order, 2d3 is more topical than f2-f4) 6...c5 7.2c3 Reaching one of the most fashionable French Steinitz lines.

Sincec5 is useful in the French with a blocked centre, our choice of 3rd move does not rule out a transposition to a French structure, but in my recommended move order Black holds ...d6-d5 in reserve until White has committed his king's knight.

After 3...c5 White has a choice between A) 4.dxc5, B) 4.c3, C) 4.2e2 and the most principled D) 4.d5.

A) 4.dxc5

White forces a transposition to a Sicilian structure, thus avoiding once and for all the danger of landing in a weird version of the French after $2e^2$ and ...d5. The text move is in the spirit of Chapter 6. The difference is that, due to f2-f3, the only reasonable square for the king's knight is d4, implying that White will play a version of the English Attack a tempo down after $2e^2$ -d4.
4...₩a5†

The typical Pirc reaction. For such a minor line, the number of White replies tried out in practice is surprisingly high.



5.②c3

The most natural and doubtlessly best continuation, as in the Sicilian the knight belongs on c3. White has several ways to keep the c-pawn's path clear, with the intention of transposing to a Hedgehog or Maroczy structure, but these involve important concessions regarding overall harmony.

5. \triangle f2 avoids committing any piece, but the king does not stand well in the centre: 5... \bigotimes xc5† 6.&e3 \bigotimes c7 7. \triangle c3 a6 8.g4 e6 9.g5 \triangle fd7 10.f4 b5 11.a3 &b7 12. \triangle f3 \triangle c5 13.&d3 \triangle bd7



As well as the usual queenside play, Black could soon consider questioning the white king's safety with ...d5 or ...f5 in Svistunov – Fedorov, Moscow 1996.

5.c3 clearly does not fit in White's main plan – both his knights are struggling now: 5...鬯xc5 6.②a3 创bd7 7.逸c4 a6 8.逸b3



8...b6!? Not the only plan, of course, but quite a solid one. Black avoids any later problems connected with a2-a4. 9.營e2 急b7 10.違e3 營c6= The position was balanced in Wexler – Pelikan, Chacabuco 1965.

5.②d2

This is more natural than 5.c3, but has the same drawback – the knight will not control the d5- and b5-squares.

5...鬯xc5 6.②b3 鬯c7



White's development is far inferior to normal

Sicilian lines, making Black's play easy. Here is just a typical example:

7.c4 e6 8.ĝe3

In Stertenbrink – Odendahl, Germany 1988, Black could have played the typical Hedgehog break earlier than usual in this structure:



8...d5!N 9.cxd5 exd5 10.exd5

I also considered: 10.ºC1 公c6 11.exd5 營e5 12.dxc6 Otherwise Black regains the pawn, retaining some initiative. 12...營xe3† 13.營e2 急b4† 14.堂d1 公d5 15.cxb7 營xe2† Amusingly, piece captures allow ...公e3 mate, while after 16.堂xe2 龛xb7 Black has huge compensation due to his better development and White's weaknesses on the dark squares.



10......逸b4†

The consequences of centralizing the queen are less clear than above: 10...鬯e5 11.堂f2 ②xd5 12.এd4 White has some initiative. 11. 🗄 f2 0–0

Black has excellent play, while White's prospects of keeping the extra pawn and completing development satisfactorily are slim.

5.₩d2

This awkward move, blocking the c1-bishop, is one of the less inspired experiments of the 10th World Champion.

5...₩xc5



6.c4

6.營c3 is not dangerous, as in the Sicilian Black can only dream of exchanging queens so early: 6...心bd7 7.兔e3 營xc3† 8.心xc3 a6 9.g4 h6 Play proceeds along English Attack patterns, but the absence of queens relieves Black of any dangers. 10.h4 e6 11.0–0–0 b5 12.心h3 兔b7 13.a3 罩c8 14.g5 心h5 15.罩g1 心e5 16.兔e2 Drazic – Strikovic, Elgoibar 2016, continued 16...g6 when 17.gxh6N would have created unnecessary (though tolerable) problems with the d6-pawn. Simpler is 16...hxg5N 17.hxg5 g6=.

6...e6 7. 2c3 鼻e7 8.b3

White's global plan to restore his harmony is logical but time-consuming. Furthermore, he does not have an obvious way of arranging to castle kingside.

8...0–0 9.2b2 a6 10.2ge2 2c6 11.2f4 2d7 In the meantime, Black has completed his development and the thematic Hedgehog break of ...b5 becomes an issue.



12.奠e2 罩fd8 13.罩c1 營a7

Parrying the threat of 心cd5 while keeping the g1-a7 diagonal under control. 14.於f1

Another extravagant move.

However, the more natural 14.g4 does not spare White from problems: 14...b5 15.g5 20e8 16.h4 20e5 Black has the initiative.



In Spassky – Tseshkovsky, Moscow 1999, Black could have started his queenside play at once:

14...b5N 15.cxb5 axb5 16.奠xb5 d5

With the king in the centre, the opening of the centre is quite dangerous.

17.exd5 ⁶2b4 18.奠xd7 ^四xd7干

Black has many attacking ideas, such as ...e5 followed by②fxd5,③c5, or the simple③fxd5.

Because the e3-square is undefended, making &e3 unavailable, White has to make an unnatural developing move.

6.₩d3

This prepares \$\overline{2}e3\$, but in the long run the queen will be exposed on this square, entailing a loss of time.

6.違b5† only helps Black's development and leaves the c4-square vulnerable, without bringing anything positive: 6...違d7 7.營d3 a6 8.違xd7† ②bxd7 9.違e3 營c6 10.違d4 e6 11.②ge2 違e7 12.0–0 0–0 13.莒ad1 ②e5 14.營d2 ☱ac8 15.b3 b5∓ Black had active play in Shchukin – Vorotnikov, St Petersburg 1997.

6.2f4 transposes to a variation of the Trompowsky with White having lost a tempo (1.d4 2f6 2.2g5 2e4 3.2f4 c5 4.f3 2f65.dxc5 2f45 6.2f4 c5 4.f3 2f65.dxc5 2f45 6.2f4 c5 7.e4 d6 reaches this position, but with White to move). White aims at inhibiting the Scheveningen set-up based on ...e6, but Black is flexible enough to switch to the Dragon: 6...g6 7.2f42 2g7 8.0–0–0 0–0 9.2e3 2f45 10.2f4 2c6 11.2g2 2e5 12.2g53



In Anand – Scholz, Frankfurt (simul) 1994, Black should have played 12... &e6!N followed by the invasion of the c4-square, with active play.

5...[₩]xc5



6...a6 7.ዿe3 ₩a5

In the absence of a knight on d4 with the permanent threat of 2b3, the queen stands well on this square, inhibiting queenside castling in view of ...b5-b4.

8.2 ge2

White does best to develop.

8.0–0–0, as played in a couple of games, wastes too much time.



8...⁽²⁾bd7!?N 9.g4 h6 10.h4 b5 11.⁽²⁾b1 e6 Black's activity is likely to take concrete contours earlier than White's, based on ...b4,⁽²⁾e5 and ...d5.

The pawn storm with 8.g4 公c6 9.g5 公d7 10.f4 is also premature with incomplete development. 10...b5 11.營d2 e6 12.違d3 違b7 13.创f3 创c5 14.0-0 In Kierzek – Balashov, Acqui Terme 2015, the simplest way to achieve active counterplay was:



14...b4N 15.色e2 公xd3 16.cxd3 d5 Black will inevitably activate his b7-bishop after ...dxe4, since 17.e5?! allows the thematic pawn sacrifice 17...d4∓ followed soon by ...營d5, with annoying pressure along the long diagonal.

8....²bd7



9.创d4N

This is the most logical move.

The prophylactic 9.62 spends a tempo prematurely: 9...e6 10.62 d4 b5 11.g4 h6 12.h4 In Khenkin – Strikovic, Andorra 2007, Black could have started active operations in the centre with: $12...b4N \ 13.62ce2 \ d5\mp$

9...e6 10.g4 h6

Play continues along the same paths as in the game quoted just above.



11**.h**4

Once again, castling speeds up Black's queenside play: 11.0–0–0 b5 12.a3 Åb7 13.h4 d5 Black has at least equal play.

11...b5 12.\armsg1

It seems that, due to the threat of g4-g5, White has chances to take over the initiative, but the exposed position of the white queen will offer Black an important tempo.



12...d5! 13.g5

13.exd5 $\triangle e5$ 14. \Boxtimes b4 15. \Dotstimes d1 (more or less forced, since 15. \Dotstimes ce2? \Dotstimes ce4 \Dotstimes and 15. \Dotstimes d2 exd5 16. \Dotstimes d3 \Dotstimes d4 \Boxtimes d4 \Boxtimes d4 \Boxtimes d5 18. \Boxtimes d5 exd5 19.0–0–0 $\&e6\mp$ favour Black) 15... \Boxtimes d5 Black is rather active and White needs to spend a tempo parrying the threat of ... \Boxtimes d4 followed by ... \Dotstimes d5 followed by ... \Dotstimes followed by ...followed by ...followed by

13...hxg5 14.hxg5



14...dxe4!

An important intermediate move, clearing the d5-square for the attacked knight.

15.₩d2

Or: 15.fxe4 2c5 16.^md2 The only way to indirectly defend the e4-pawn. 16...b4 17.gxf6 bxc3 18.bxc3 gxf6 With obvious strategic problems for White.

15...�d5 16.�b3 ₩b4!

Keeping the d5-square indirectly defended.

17.a3 凹d6 18. ②xe4 凹c7 19. 皇f2 凹f4

19...,当h2 is a good alternative. Either way, Black has comfortable play.

B) 4.c3



This apparently solid move, assuring White of an imposing centre, has the important drawback of restricting the queen's knight in a similar way that f2-f3 does to its colleague.

4...e6

The most flexible move, keeping the possibility of transposing to a French with ...d5 if White commits one of his knights.

Once again a transposition to the Sämisch King's Indian is possible: 4...cxd4 5.cxd4 g6 6.2c3 g7 7.ge3 0–0 8.gd3 e5 Since keeping the tension with 9.2ge2 allowed 9...exd4 10.2xd4 2c6 11.0–0 d5 with full equality in Schuck – Hermesmann, Hamburg 1992, White's best is 9.d5, reaching a genuine King's Indian tabiya.

5. 倉d3

White may hope to reach a favourable structure and prevent the enemy king from getting castled with:

5.dxc5

However these hopes will be in vain due to: 5...d5!

This early reaction in the centre involves a pawn sacrifice and highlights White's delay in development.



6.e5

Transposing to a rather unfavourable version of the French.

Pawn-grabbing is dangerous: 6.exd5 黛xc5 7.dxe6 營e7 Black will soon have a strong initiative along the central files, while the white king has no easy escape from the centre. 6...心fd7 7.f4 黛xc5

Black has regained the pawn, maintaining his lead in development.

8.�f3 f6 9.�d3 �c6∓



White is struggling to keep his space advantage and his control of the dark squares, yielding Black the initiative in Shibut – Khachiyan, Minneapolis 2005.

Developing the c1-bishop leaves the queenside vulnerable:

- 5.奠e3 凹b6!? 6.凹d2
 - 6.2d2 is a commitment that justifies: 6...d5 7.e5 2fd7 Compared to the usual French

lines, White does not have comfortable ways of defending the b2-pawn.

6...Øc6



7.dxc5

The structure resulting from this exchange generally favours White, but here his delay in development causes some problems.

Other moves once again allow a favourable transposition to the French, for instance: 7.친h3 d5 8.e5 was played in Gallagher – Lanchava, Isle of Man 2004, and now I recommend 8...친g8!?N= followed by ...cxd4, ...친ge7-f5 and ...h5.

7....dxc5 8.公a3 逸e7 9.0-0-0 0-0 10.營f2 e5!? This might seem to help White, since it weakens the d5-square, but Black is in time to control all the important squares.



11.堂b1 ②a5 12.②h3 鬯c6 13.鬯g3N Trying to create some threats to distract Black's attention from the vital squares.

13. 2c4 2xc4 14. 2xc4 b5 offered Black a strong initiative in Vallejo Pons – Peralta, Albox (rapid) 2005.

13...≌c7 14.ᡚc4

14.②b5 營b8 leaves the knight passive on the b5-square. Black threatens ...a6 followed by ...b5, and after the relatively best 15.c4 ②c6, the mutual control of the d4- and d5-squares maintains approximate equality. 14...②xc4 15.彙xc4 a6 16.彙g5

This is essential in order to install the other bishop on d5.





Followed by the massive advance of the queenside pawns, with reasonable counterplay.



5...包c6 6.包e2 鼻e7

Black maintains his flexibility, reckoning that after castling the threat ...cxd4, cxd4 ⁽²⁾b4

could cause White some problems with his bishop.

6...d5 transposes to an unusual – yet viable for White – version of the French: 7.e5 2d78.f4 266 9.2c2 The bishop rarely lands on the c2-square in this structure, but Black has no obvious way to take advantage of this detail.

7.鼻e3 0-0 8.包d2

Clearing the path for the bishop's retreat, because 8.0–0 cxd4 does not allow White to keep his space advantage under favourable circumstances: 9.cxd4 (9.2xd4 e5 10.22 d5 gave Black the initiative in Cepon – Mikac, Skofja Loka 1998) 9...2b4 10.265 22d7 11.2xd7 22xd7 12.2bc3 d5= Black is striving for a French structure after having exchanged his potentially bad bishop, gaining some time along the way. The position offered equal chances in Sriram – Sahu, Calcutta 1997.



This position has been reached a few times, and Black has only chosen slow developing moves. While this is an entirely reasonable policy, it makes sense to start the fight against White's centre by concrete means.

8...\"b6N 9.\"b3

9.\"Bb1 cuts the bishop's retreat off, allowing the familiar: 9...cxd4 10.cxd4 (10.\"Attack d5∓ gives Black the initiative in the centre. With the bishop hanging on e3, discovered attacks are not dangerous, for instance: 11.265?! $\&c5\mp$)



10...⁽²⁾b4 11.⁽²⁾c4 d5∓ With unpleasant problems for White.

Stabilizing the position with 9. 24 27 10.dxc5 dxc5 11.a4 does not bring White much either, mainly because the c4-knight does not enjoy the support of his colleague. For example: 11...b6 12.0–0 Ed8 13. 22 2a6 White's minor pieces are passive and the d3-bishop is already exposed to the threat of ...Exd3. Black has at least equal play.



9....≝c7!?

Threatening 10...d5, with the idea 11.e5 c4!.

10.凹c2 臭d7 11.0-0 呂fc8

Due to the unfavourable placement of the white queen, Black has enough queenside counterplay to compensate for White's space advantage.



C) 4. 2e2

White hopes to transpose to the Sicilian, even though the early f2-f3 does not form part of the traditional main lines.

4...e6

Keeping the game within Pirc territory, with the permanent possibility of later transposing to a favourable French, as in line B.

4...cxd4 5. 2xd4 reaches a position that has recently become extremely popular via the Sicilian move order, famously featuring in the final tiebreak game in the 2016 Carlsen – Karjakin world championship match.

5.<u>\$</u>e3

If 5.dxc5, Black can play in the style of line A with 5... $@a5^{+}$, but even better is the familiar 5...d5N, when White has nothing better than 6.@e3 transposing to the main line.

5.c4

White cannot keep his space advantage in this way, due to the immediate break:

5...d5 6.cxd5 exd5 7.e5 🖄 fd7



8.f4

If 8.dxc5, as in Bunta – Dobre, Calimanesti 2014, Black could get an overwhelming lead in development with 8....皇xc5N 9.鬯xd5 0–0.

8...@c6 9.@bc3

This allows a typical, yet not very obvious, tactical blow.

However, consolidating the d4-pawn with 9.彙e3 exposes the bishop: 9...④b6 Black threatens ...⑤c4 and clears the path for the c8-bishop. For instance: 10.b3 彙g4 11.營d2 f6 White cannot keep his centre intact any more, and 12.dxc5 fxe5! 13.cxb6? runs into 13...d4, with ...彙b4 looming.

9...cxd4 10.Ôxd4



10.... 幻dxe5!

A simple trick based on 11.fxe5 ₩h4†. 11.Ôdb5 11. 🖾 xc6 🖾 xc6 12. 🖄 xd5 🎍 e6 gave Black a lead in development and turned the advance of the white f-pawn into a weakness in Lodhi – Hodgson, Dhaka 1993.

The active 11.&b5 was played in Seirawan – Adams, Bermuda (7) 1999, but it should fail to equalize: 11...a6N 12.&a4 b5 13.&b3 &c5 14.&e3 &xd4 15.&xd4 &g4 16.@d2 &c4 17.&xc4 dxc4 \mp White can retrieve the pawn on g7, but his weaknesses on the light squares are more relevant than Black's on the dark ones.

11...a6 12.fxe5 axb5 13.\#xd5

In Sengupta – Sowray, Hastings 2015, the simplest way to maintain the initiative would have been:



13...[₩]b6N 14.[₩]xb5 [₩]d4[∓]

White has little to compensate for his exposed king.

5. Dbc3



White persists in tempting Black to transpose to a Sicilian, but this commits the second knight, justifying the thematic:

5...d5 6.e5 2fd7 7.f4 2c6 8. ge3 f6

After this well-timed break, White starts to lose his stability. His knights should be either on c3 and f3, or on e2 and d2.



9.exf6 [™]xf6 10.g3N

The pseudo-active 10.265 2008 11.c3, played in Hoose – Lueckerath, Wuppertal 1994, could have resulted in queenside problems for White after 11...260N $\overline{+}$.

White loses ground in the centre, for instance:

12.�ce2 e5∓

Black holds the initiative.



369



Even though White controls the c5-square better than in the line just above, this central break is still effective.

6.dxc5

Or 6.e5 2fd7 7.f4 2c6 and now 8.2bc3 transposes to the note on 5.2bc3 above, while 8.c3 2b6 leaves White facing the usual queenside problems.

6...∕⊡bd7

The players are not too interested in resolving the pawn tensions at this stage of the game – development is more important.

7.②bc3

7.exd5 ⁽²⁾xd5 8.彙d4 ⁽²⁾xc5 safely regains the pawn with equal play, as 9.奠xg7? ⁽²⁾b6 would put the white king in lethal danger. The immediate threat is 10...彙f2† 11.堂d2 ⁽²⁾e3 mate, while after 10.彙d4 ⁽²⁾e3 11.彙xe3 ⁽²⁾/₍₂₎xe3, followed by ...彙f2†, ...0–0 and ...三d8, Black would have a winning attack.



7...dxe4 8.b4 a5N

This is simpler than the ultra-sharp 8...b6!? 9.c6 \$\overline{xb4}\$ 10.cxd7\$\overline{x}d7\$. At the cost of a piece, Black had obtained freer development and the possibility of taking his king to safety, while the white king remained under attack in Galkin – Kramnik, Moscow 2011.

9.a3 exf3 10.gxf3



The tempting 10...axb4 11.axb4 Ξ xa1 12. Ξ xa1 b6 is slightly inferior: 13. Ξ a7! (This unconventional way of defending the c5-pawn is more or less forced, since 13.c6? \Box e5∓ wins a pawn and keeps excellent attacking chances.) 13...bxc5 14.bxc5 &e7 15.&g2 0–0 16.0–0 e5= Black's more compact structure compensates for White's queenside activity.

11.ĝg2 0–0 12.0–0 ②e5∓



As in the note above, Black has the better structure, but things are slightly safer on the queenside.

D) 4.d5



This is the most principled move, in the spirit of the Sämisch set-up.

4...e6 5.c4

The most consistent continuation.

Giving up the centre in the hope of using the relative weakness of the d6-pawn is not effective: 5.dxe6 &xe6 6.c4 Oc6 7.Oc3 g6 After getting castled, Black will prepare the invasion of the d4-square with ...Od7 and/or ...Ob6.

5.Dc3

This results in a structure typical of the Schmid Benoni, but f2-f3 does not fit well into this set-up. Instead of consolidating White's space advantage it only causes White problems in developing normally. Besides, in the long run White will not be able to prevent ...b5.

- 5...exd5 6.exd5 \$e7 7.\$ge2 \$a6 8.g4 Trying to give meaning to f2-f3.
- 8....@c7 9.@g3

With the black king not yet castled, 9.g5 is premature due to 9... 10.h4 h6, with dangerous counterplay.

9...0-0 10.a4



10....¤e8N

Black needs to take measures against White's main idea of g4-g5.

After 10...b6 11.g5 ⁽²⁾d7 12.f4 ⁽²⁾∈8 13.⁽⁴⁾f2, White obtained some light pressure in Soffer – Gavrikov, Berlin 1990.

Another possible improvement is 10...心fe8N 11.黛d3 黛f6 12.0–0 a6, keeping the white kingside pawns under control and preparing queenside counterplay.



11.<u>\$</u>e2

White needs to defend the e-file.

11.g5? just loses the pawn after 11...∅d7∓. 11...∅d7 12.0–0 a6 13.∅f5 ≌b8 14.a5 b5 15.axb6 処xb6 16.ሢxe7† ∰xe7

Due to the threats of ...\$b7 or simply ...\$bxd5, White has to look for a way to maintain material equality.

With a probable draw.

5...exd5 6.cxd5

Capturing with the e-pawn casts doubts over the merits of f2-f3: 6.exd5 &e7 7.&d3 0–0 8.@c3 @a6 9.@ge2 In Lanzani – Cardon, Sas van Gent 1982, Black's simplest regrouping was 9....@d7N 10.b3 @e5 11.&c2 f5, followed by ...&f6, ...&d7 and@c7, stabilizing the kingside and keeping chances for active queenside play.

6...ĝe7!?

As mentioned at the start of the chapter, I find transposing to a genuine Benoni with 6...g6 more than satisfactory. However, this would mean examining a heavily analysed tabiya of a different opening, so for this book I am suggesting a different path.

The merits of the text move are not only subjective. Black speeds up his development, which is a logical reaction to White's 'slow mode' implied by f2-f3. Later, the bishop may get onto the long diagonal with ... \$ f6, without the usual kingside weakening entailed by ...g6. Moreover, the bishop can occasionally venture to h4, causing White some problems with coordination.



White experiences the usual Sämisch microproblems with his development. The main issue is finding a good square for his king's knight, but this also involves taking a decision

regarding the king's bishop. Since he has not played 2b1-c3 yet, White can try to reach a flexible set-up with 2e2-c3, followed by either 2d2, or a2-a4 and 2a3. But this is rather time-consuming, allowing Black to speed up his counterplay based on the tempo saved by ...2e7.

7.**흹d3**

This is the fastest way of developing, but in the long run the bishop will be exposed to ...^{\triangle}e5, or ...^{\bigotimes}e7 and ...c4.

Trying to solve the problem of the king's knight offers Black time to prepare ...f5. For instance: 7.[™]2e2 0–0 8.[™]2e2 [™]2e8 9.[®]2e2 f5



10.exf5

Maintaining the tension is dangerous, as it allows Black to carry out the plan of a safe blockade on the dark squares: 10.0–0 \$\overline{6}f6 11.\$\overline{0}d2 f4 12.\$\overline{0}c4 \$\overline{0}d7\$ Black will continue with ...\$\overline{0}e5\$ and later ...g5, with a dangerous kingside initiative. White's queenside play is far less clear.

10...ĝxf5 11.0–0 2d7 12.ĝe3 2c7

Black has carried out a subtle knight regrouping. The last move not only enables the plan based on ...b5, but also puts pressure on the d5-pawn, with the plan of $\dots \& f6-e5$ and $\dots \& f6$.

13.②d2 鼻f6 14.②de4

In Omar – Solak, Baku 2013, Black should have kept his bishop with:



14...ĝe5!N 15.₩d2

15.f4 forces the exchange of the bishop, but weakening the light squares is too high a price to pay: 15...&xc3 16.&xc3 $@f6\mp$ Black has a harmonious position and plans to complete his development with ...@d7and ...@ae8. The over-ambitious 17.g4? runs into 17...@e8, for example: 18.&f2@xg4 19.&xg4 @g6 20.h3 h5 Black wins a pawn and the d5-pawn is likely to become chronically weak, while the white king is in danger.

15...Ôf6

Black has an active, harmonious set-up with at least equal chances.

The alternative developing plan for the knights is:

7.2c3 0-0 8.2ge2

If allowed, White will play 2g3, 2g2, 0–0 and later either f3-f4 or 2h1-f2. Black is just in time to prevent these plans.



8.... @h5!

Suddenly White does not find it easy to continue his kingside development.

9.g4!?

A principled but double-edged move.

The more restrained 9.g3N, aiming to complete his development comfortably, allows Black to combine play on both wings with 9...论a6 10.堂g2 论c7 11.a4 f5 12.exf5 逸xf5 and now:

a) Black's minor pieces are safe, as 13.g4? 逸h4† 14.空d2 幽g5† wins.

b) White needs to continue his development instead: 13.0–0 ②f6 14.g4 盒d7 15.②g3 h6 Preventing g4-g5 and allowing a later invasion on the dark squares with ...②h7 and ... 黛h4. 16.彙e3 b5= Black has adequate counterplay.

9... \hat{g} h4† 10. \hat{g} d2 \hat{v} f6 11. \hat{v} g3 \hat{v} a6 12. \hat{v} c2



White has solved his coordination problems, with one exception – his king is still wandering. In Gelfand – Kramnik, Sochi (blitz) 2014, Black unnecessarily sacrificed a pawn with 12...b5 and eventually lost. He could have prepared the queenside attack slowly, as White still needs quite a few tempos to complete his development.

12...罩b8N 13.奠f4

The only way to put some pressure on Black, creating the threat of e4-e5.

13...De8

Preparing to block the d-pawn with d6 if White opens the centre.

14.罝c1 أَكَا ac7 15.a4 a6 16.a5 b5 17.axb6 ແb6 18.호b1 또b6

Followed by ... \$ f6 and possibly ... \$ b5, with an obvious initiative.



7**...0–0**

Once again, White has a significant choice regarding his king's knight.

8.De2

The alternative is: 8.42c3 42fd7



9.@ge2

Clearing the f3-square for the knight is time-consuming: 9.f4N $\&h4\dagger$ Forcing the weakening of the light squares on the kingside. 10.g3 &f6 11.@f3 c4? Slow plans based on ...@a6 may also work, but this radical move is the most effective. 12.&xc4 Otherwise Black will soon build up a massive queenside attack with ...b5. 12... $\mathbb{E}e8$ 13.0–0 Due to the pin along the e-file, White had no chance of keeping his extra pawn anyway. 13...&xc3 In the Benoni, Black would be reluctant to carry out this exchange since it would weaken his king, but with the pawn on g7, things are safe. 14.bxc3 $\mathbb{E}xe4$ 15.&d3 $\mathbb{E}e8$ 16.c4 White has maintained his space advantage and has the bishop pair, but his numerous weaknesses prevent him from claiming an advantage. 16...&f6 17.&b2 &g4 18. $\mathbb{E}d2$ &bd7= With comfortable equality.

9.....2e5 10.<u>\$</u>c2

In Hoffman – Ruben, Internet (blitz) 2004, Black's most effective way to obtain counterplay would have been:



10...\$h4†N 11.2g3

11.g3? allows a promising piece sacrifice: 11...2xf3† 12.2f2 2e5 13.gxh4 2fxh4† 14.2g3 2g4 15.2e2 2f3. Black has two pawns for the piece and active possibilities on both wings. With his king vulnerable, White does not find it easy to coordinate properly. Black's overall plan includes ...2a6-b4, ...2ae8 and at the right moment ...f5, clearing the pieces' path to the enemy king.

Black has harmonious development on both wings.

8....²bd7 9.0-0

Krisztian Szabo considers 9.2 ec3 26510.2 e2 more flexible, but this costs a tempo, allowing the standard plan of undermining the centre with: 10... 268 11.f4 266 12.0–0 f5=

9....\Bb8

Black could also speed up his queenside play with: 9...2e5 10.2c2 (10.2bc3 2xd311.2xd3 2d7, followed by ...2f6, offers Black a comfortable Benoni set-up) 10...b5 11.f42ed7!? Returning here with the knight might look like a waste of time, but the knight should remain close to the c4-square so that plans with a2-a4 will not succeed in blockading the queenside. 12.2g3 2b6 Black has decent play.



10.a4 a6 11.Dec3

An important link in the most coherent plan, aiming for global harmony with a2-a4 and 2a3.

If 11. (2) bc3, the aforementioned idea is even more effective, as White's queenside is slightly weak: 11...(2) e5 12. (2) c2 b5 13.axb5 axb5 14.f4 (2) g6!= With the c4-square safely defended, the knight does not have to retreat to its previous location, as in the similar line above.

11....包e5 12.皇e2

White is still a few tempos away from completing his development, so Black has the time to switch to kingside play.

12....De8

Preparing the familiar ... f5.

13.f4 🖄 g6



14.**Da**3

White can take measures against the ...f5 break, but they are not likely to be effective for very long. For example:

14.奠c4 b6

Ensuring queenside structural flexibility before preparing for ...f5.

The idea behind White's previous move is to meet 14...f5?! with 15.e5.

15.₩e2

Trying to keep both wings under control, but with incomplete development this is hard to achieve.



15...ປີc7! 16.ຮໍe3 ອໍ້h8 17.ປີd2 f5 18.exf5 ຮໍxf5=

Black has adequate counterplay, as pawngrabbing would involve a considerable loss of time:

19.ĝxa6?! ĝf6 20.ĝc4 \Ze8∓

Black will soon retrieve the pawn withDe7 andQxc3, leaving White with just weaknesses.



14...f5 15.exf5 \$xf5

Black's plan has been crowned with success, but it is interesting to follow the next regrouping phase: Black had full equality in Giri – Caruana, Elancourt 2013. He not only plans to increase the pressure on the d5-pawn with ...Df6 and possibly ...b5, but is also ready to meet Dxf5 with ...Dxf5, with radical activation of the knight.

Conclusion

3.f3 is a sound move, potentially causing some move-order problems to players who do not have the King's Indian or the Benoni in their repertoire against 1.d4.

However, from all the possible answers to 3...c5, only 4.d5, covered in line D, maintains White's flexibility. The alternatives are likely to cause problems with the fluency of White's development. While transposing to a f2-f3 Benoni is a good option for Black, the pseudo-Benoni lines examined above are entirely viable, offering good prospects for unbalanced middlegame play with chances for both sides.











3.ĝd3

Variation Index

1.e4 d6 2.d4 约f6 3.皇d3

3e5	
A) 4. 2e2	378
B) 4.c3 d5! 5.dxe5 🖄 xe4 6. 🖄 f3 🖗 c6 7. 🖄 bd2 🖗 c5	379
B1) 8. ĝc2	383
B2) 8. ĝb1	385

A) note to 7.42c3



B) note to 7. bd2



B2) note to 11.cxd4



1.e4 d6 2.d4 🖄 f6 3. gd3

White intends to maintain maximal central flexibility, aiming to transpose to a solid Modern set-up after 3...g6 4. (b) f $3 \pm g7 5.0-0 0-0 6.c3$, when Black does not find it easy obtaining counterplay.

Compared with 3.f3, the long-term idea behind the system examined here is more harmonious, as it does not prevent natural kingside development. However, in the short term the bishop's presence in front of the queen offers Black the possibility of breaking in the centre sooner than one would expect in the Pirc.

3...e5



From the point of view of development, A) 4. De2 is logical, but B) 4.c3 is the main line and the most coherent strategic idea.

4.创f3 can be met by 4...exd4 5.创xd4, transposing directly to variation A.

4.d5

For King's Indian or Old Indian players, this is not threatening at all, as White rarely develops the bishop to d3 so early in these openings.



4...g6 and 4...ĝe7 are entirely playable, but if Black wishes to give play an independent character he can try:

4....c6 5.c4 b5 6.dxc6

After 6.cxb5 cxd5 7.exd5 逸b7, Black soon regains the d5-pawn. For instance: 8.逸c4 鬯c7 9.逸b3 逸xd5!= Based on the hanging bishop on c1.

6...bxc4 7.ዿxc4 ∅xc6 8.∅c3 ዿe7 9.∅f3N 9.∅ge2 0–0 10.0–0 ዿe6= was also fine for Black in Geissert – Boehnisch, Burg 1965. 9...0–0 10.0–0 ĝe6=

The structure resembles the Najdorf variation

of the Sicilian. White has little chance of establishing firm control over the d5-square, while Black has enough counterplay along the open queenside files.

A) 4.2 e2

This allows Black to transpose to a comfortable Pirc/Philidor structure with the white bishop sub-optimally placed on d3.

4...exd4 5.∅xd4 g6 6.0–0 **\$**g7 7.∅c3

Playing for space with 7.c4 consumes a tempo and weakens the d4-square: 7...0–0 8.2c3 2c6 9.2xc6 bxc6 10.2g5 h6 11.2h4 Eb8 12.2e2 g5 13.2g3 2g4 14.Eac1 2e5 15.b3 In Kiselev – Morozevich, Moscow 1992, Black probably refrained from the active 15...h5!N because he feared 16.f4, but after 16...h4! Black would have the initiative. More solid is: 16.f3 h4 17.\$f2 h3 18.g4 Preventing ...g4. 18...c5 Black has great play on the dark squares all over the board.



7...它c6 8.包xc6 bxc6 9.皇g5

This allows the typical kingside expansion.

With the king's bishop on d3 rather than e2, the more solid 9.&e3 runs into 9...&g4.

9.h3 0–0 10.&e3 was too slow in Sepulveda – G. Lopez, Matinhos 1994, and Black should have immediately put her centre in motion with 10...d5=.

9...h6 10.ậh4 g5 11.ậg3 🖄 g4



Once again, the bishop's absence from the e2-square allows this consolidating manoeuvre. With the dark squares firmly under control, Black has little to fear, even though his king is still in the centre.

12.h3 De5 13.De2

13.f4 weakens the kingside without offering attacking chances: 13...gxf4 14.এxf4 營h4 15.營e2 逸e6= Black will be even able to choose between castling kingside or starting an attack with 這g8 and 愈f6.

13...[©]xd3 14.^Wxd3

In Lautier – Kramnik, Biel 1993, Black grabbed the b2-pawn, leading to an unclear situation, but a safer option is:

14...0-0N

Planning ... f5 and keeping the threat to the b2-pawn in reserve. The position is at least equal for Black, for example:

15.f4 g4

Black maintains his stability on the kingside.



B) 4.c3

4...d5!

This early break is possible precisely because of the moves that define White's plan – the bishop obstructs the queen and c2-c3 is partly a loss of time.

4...g6 5.췬f3 친c6 would most likely transpose to the aforementioned Modern line.

5.dxe5

The only critical option.

5.exd5 ^wxd5 and 5.<u>\$g5</u> dxe4 6.<u>\$xe4</u> <u>\$e7</u> are both pleasant for Black.

5...②xe4



6.②f3

Pawn-grabbing is likely to put White in danger:

6.ዿੈxe4 dxe4 7.₩a4†

7.xd8<math>xd8= is level.

7... 違d7 8. 營xe4 違c6 9. 營g4 h5 10. 營h3



With the exception of the queen, all of White's pieces are on the back rank. The e5-pawn is vulnerable and the light squares (particularly d3 and g2) are weak.

10...⊮d7!

Aiming to exchange the main defender of the white kingside.

11.e6

The only move to keep White in the game.

After 11. $\underline{\text{W}}$ xd7 \dagger $\underline{\text{A}}$ xd7 \mp Black regained the pawn with a positional advantage in I. Popov – Stojanov, Sofia 2005.

If 11.f3 then Black's strongest reply is $11...\&d5N\mp$, threatening ...&e6 followed by ...&c6 and ...0-0-0, with a powerful initiative. White is more or less forced to exchange queens, with a similar evaluation as above.

11....¹⁰xe6† 12.¹⁰xe6† fxe6 13.¹⁰f3N

13.f3?! ∅d7 14.ĝe3 ∅e5∓ gave Black the initiative in Richterova – Hajek, Czech Republic 2014.

13....2d7 14. ge3 h4=

Despite his slightly damaged structure, Black is by no means worse, as his bishops are so strong.

6...Øc6

The structure has become typical of the Open Spanish, with some differences which we will highlight later when both sides' plans have acquired a more concrete shape.

7.**②bd2**

The most active move, immediately putting pressure on Black's active knight.

7.0-0

White aims to develop the queen's bishop actively before playing ⁽²⁾bd2.

7....違g4 8.違f4 違e7 9.h3 違h5 10.違e2 0-0 11.心bd2 心c5 12.心b3 心e6 13.違g3 鬯d7 14.鬯d2 罩ad8 15.罩ad1

In A. Sokolov – Van der Sterren, Thessaloniki (ol) 1984, both sides had developed their forces harmoniously. Black's position is perfectly stable but, since White's space advantage persists, Black needs to find an active plan.



15...a5!N

Preparing queenside expansion.

16.a4 ₩c8 17.₩e3 b6

A multipurpose move, preparing not only ...\$c5 followed by ...bxc5, but also getting ready to meet 2d4 with ...2cxd4 followed by ...c5. As White does not have an obvious plan of action, the position is balanced. For instance:

Black can ignore the threat to d5-pawn, as taking it would lose an exchange to ... \$22.

7.h3

Preventing ... 2g4 in this way uses an important tempo, allowing Black to take a lead in development.

7...... 全c5 8.0-0



8...ĝf5!

An important move, preventing 心bd2 in view of …心xf2!.

9.₩c2

In Escalante Ramirez – Gong, Khanty-Mansiysk 2016, Black should have sustained his slight initiative with:

9...≝e7N 10.ዿf4 0–0

There are several promising continuations, including 10...f6, or 10...\[2]g8 followed by ...g5-g4, but the text move is safest.

11.②bd2 ②xd2 12.鬯xd2 鼻e4



13.b4

This is White's only way to save the e5-pawn. I also considered 13.營e2 黛xf3 14.營xf3 ②xe5 15.黛xh7† 岱xh7 16.營h5† 岱g8 17.黛xe5 罩ae8 18.罩ae1 營d7= and Black has no problems at all.

13...\$b6 14.b5 @d8 15.\$xe4 dxe4 16.@g5

The e4-pawn seems to be in danger, but it is not so simple to get at it. Besides, White must permanently watch for the latent threat of ...e3.

16....@e6! 17.@xe6

17. 2xe4? 2 mh4 18.g3 2 mk3∓ regains the pawn, with excellent attacking chances.

17... Wxe6 18. ^四fe1 ¹ ¹ ¹ f5 19. ^四ad1 h5

Due to the need to defend the bishop and the f2-pawn, White cannot easily organize the siege of the e4-pawn.



20.<u></u> 集g3 a6

Opening a new front. 21.a4 axb5 22.axb5 \arrow a3 Black has at least equal play.

7...∕⊇c5

The start of a coherent regrouping, based on ... 逸g4 and ... ②e6. Now that White has a choice, it is the right moment to talk about the similarities with, and differences from, the Open Spanish.

We will take as a reference the starting point of line B1 below: 8.奠c2 奠g4 9.0–0



Due to Korchnoi's efforts, in his matches with Karpov and later in the 1980s, the following Spanish line became very topical, and it has not lost its relevance in modern times: 1.e4 e5 2.²f3 ⁽²⁾c6 3.²gb5 a6 4.²ga4 ⁽²⁾f6 5.0-0 ⁽²⁾xe4 6.d4 b5 7.²gb3 d5 8.dxe5 ⁽²⁾ge6 9.c3 ⁽²⁾c5 10.²gc2 ⁽²⁾gg4 11.²Ee1



In our Pirc line, Black regains the tempo spent on twice pushing his d-pawn by moving the queen's bishop directly to g4. The main difference is that in the Pirc, his queenside pawns are on their initial squares. Superficially, this is a drawback, since in the Ruy Lopez these pawns guarantee Black some queenside space advantage, but on the other hand ...b5 entails a serious weakening. White can typically cause problems with a2-a4, while after 2d4, ...2d4, cxd4, the c5- and c6-squares are weak. Moreover, in some lines based on ...d4, the knight on c6 is hanging after \$e4.

Concretely, the most important additional option for Black in the Pirc is queenside castling, thus neutralizing White's typical kingside attacking plans based on his strong Spanish bishop.

Returning to our splitting point, White has a choice between **B1**) **8**. **§***c***2** and **B2**) **8**. **§***b***1**.

8. \$\b5 involves a loss of time and justifies Black's spending a tempo on provoking the exchange of the bishop with: 8...a6 9. \$\b2xc6^+ bxc6 10.0-0 In Yegiazarian – Hamdouchi, Ubeda 1999, the simplest way to equalize was 10...\$\Deltae6N, followed by ...\$\b2e7, ...0-0, ...\$\b2b7 and, somewhere along the way, ...c5. The blockading knight on e6 insures Black against any dynamic dangers. 8. $2e^2$ takes measures against ... $2g^4$, but it is quite passive. In P. Popovic – Chernin, Moscow (ol) 1994, Black reacted with 8...d4 and gradually equalized, but there are other ways of retaining promising play. My favourite is the natural 8... $2e^7N$ 9.0–0 0–0 10. $2b^3 2g^4$ 11. $E^1 2e^6$, with similar play as in Sokolov – Van der Sterren, mentioned in the note on 7.0–0 above. If 12. $2bd^4 2cxd^4$ 13.cxd4 c5, Black has excellent counterplay.



B1) 8.臭c2

This natural move may lead to an almost exact transposition to the Ruy Lopez, with the only difference being the queenside pawn placement.

8... g4 9.0-0 De6!?

Most games have continued with 9... 2e7 10. Ze1, reaching the aforementioned transposition. However, I would prefer to take full advantage of the absence of queenside weaknesses by focusing on castling on that side.

10.¤e1



10...₩d7N

This is typical of the Ruy Lopez, where its main idea is to consolidate the d5-pawn with ... \approx d8, strengthening the threat of ... d4 at the same time. In the Pirc, I recommend it as a way of preparing queenside castling, thereby placing the rook on d8 anyway and transferring the king into safety.

11.幻f1

Anticipating queenside castling with 11.b4 is parried by 11...a6, leaving White exposed to ...d4, when the b4-pawn would be hanging.

A typical move, aiming at weakening Black's control over the d4- and c5-squares. The latter is less threatening than in the Ruy Lopez, since here the c5-square is less weak. 13...\$e7



14.₩d3

14.创bd4 helps Black to speed up his counterplay: 14...创cxd4 15.cxd4 g5 16.奠e3 單dg8 Black has perfect stability in the centre and excellent attacking chances.

14... 空b8 15. 奠d2 奠g6 16. 罩ad1

With the black king on the g8-square, 16.g4 would be the most consistent strategic plan, but as things stand it exposes the white king too much: 16...\deltadf8 Controlling the f-file before breaking with ...h5. 17.\ddl g2 h5 18.\dols xg6 fxg6 19.\ddl xg6 hxg4 20.\ddl xg4



20....\\$f5!!∓ Black has excellent play on the light squares, since 21.\\$xf5?


```
16....違xf5 17.營xf5 g6 18.營d3 g5
```

18...f6 is also good.

19.≌f5 h5

Black has excellent attacking chances.



11...0-0-0 12.h3 遑h5 13.b4

Since the knight does not block the b-pawn, White can start an attack at once, but Black is well prepared for it.

13...d4

As usual, a flank attack is best answered in the centre.

14.b5 包a5 15.鼻f5

I also checked 15. 2g3 \$\overline{2}g3 \$\\verline{2}g3 \$\\verl



17...0d4! 18.cxd4 dxc2 19. \blacksquare d2 0c4 20. \blacksquare d2 1xd4∓ Black has excellent control of the centre and play on the dark squares.



15...dxc3 16.營a4 b6 17.違g5 遑e7 18.違xe7 營xe7 19.创d4 空b8=

The position is complicated, and one important aspect is that the a5-knight safely defends the king. The direct attacking attempt does not work:

20.2c6†? 2xc6 21.bxc6 \$g6-+

The c6-pawn is weak, and Ba6 can always be met with ...Cc5.



B2) 8. 息b1

White keeps the c2-square and the d1-a4 diagonal clear for the queen. If Black hurries to castle kingside, $\frac{100}{2000}$ could cause some problems. However, the bishop is passive, allowing Black to carry out the central break under favourable circumstances.

8....ĝg4

8....g6 9.0–0 &g7, as played in a handful of games, is also good. In the Ruy Lopez, this plan is generally risky, as White can sacrifice a pawn with &d4, and if ...&xe5 then f4-f5, attacking the e6-bishop. But here the bishop is safe on c8, so White does not have anything better than embarking on a positional fight with: 10.&b3 In Smagin – V. Ivanov, Moscow 1995, Black's best response would have been 10...&b6N 11. Ξ e1 0–0 12.h4 h5 13.&d3 a5 14.a4 b6, with mutual chances.

9.0-0 d4!

Not the most frequent move, but the simplest route to equality.

The same plan as examined in line B1 does not work out so well here: $9...\textcircled{2}e6 \ 10.\textcircled{b3}?$ This is the difference – Black will not find it easy to continue with the plan of castling queenside. If $10...\textcircled{2}c5 \ 11.\textcircled{b5} \ a6 \ 12.\textcircled{e2}$, White has effectively won a tempo for developing the queen.

White has several ways of meeting the central break, most of them typical of the Ruy Lopez, too.



11.cxd4

The neutral 11.¹⁰/₂e2, as played in Itkis – Erzhanov, Eforie Nord 2014, is best answered with the centralizing: 11...¹⁰/₂d5!N 12.cxd4 (12.¹⁰/₂b3 d3 13.¹⁰/₂e3 &xf3 14.¹⁰/₂xf3 ¹⁰/₂xf3 15.gxf3 0–0–0 \mp offers Black the initiative) 12...¹⁰/₂xd4 13.¹⁰/₂e3 0–0–0 Black's better development and control over the d-file compensates for White's space advantage.

11.@e4N

This allows Black to weaken White's queenside structure.

11...dxc3 12.bxc3 \vee xd1 13.\vee xd1



13...ĝg6

The best way to fight for the light squares. After 13...\$xf3 14.gxf3±, Black cannot easily block the kingside pawns.

14.②xc5 逸xc5 15.逸xg6 hxg6 16.罩d5 逸b6 Black is doing fine strategically, but White can try to keep the enemy king in the centre.

17.£a3 \dagadd 18.c4



18....\arappa xd5!

Being well coordinated, Black can afford this apparent strengthening of White's centre. In fact, the pawns are slightly vulnerable.

19.cxd5 🖄d4

Threatening both …②xf3†, winning the h3-pawn, and the fork …③c2.

20.②xd4 違xd4 21.邕e1

The most consistent move.

If 21.\Bb1, the simplest is 21...\Bb5=.

22...fxe6 23.dxe6 ዿf6∓ The e6-pawn is more of a weakness than a strength.



21...¤h4

Threatening ... 2b6 followed by ... 2a4. 21... 2h5?! is ineffective due to 22. 2e4!±, keeping the centre intact.

22.g3!

It is essential to prevent the rook's transfer to the queenside.

22...≌xh3 23.∲g2 ≌h5 24.≌e4 ĝa1

White can force a draw by perpetually attacking the bishop, starting with: 25.\mathbb{Z}e1=

Alternatively, the ambitious 25.f4 allows 25...g5, when White has reasonable compensation for the pawn, but no more.

11...**②xd**4



12.g4

12.b4 prematurely weakens the queenside: 12...2ce6 13.2e4 c6 Now 14.2a4? a5 \mp led to obvious strategic problems for White in Bulmaga – Jianu, Medias (rapid) 2016. Instead, 14.a3 is an improvement, but Black has excellent play after 14...a5 anyway.

12...<u>\$g</u>6

In Kuporosov – Roemer, Seefeld 1999, the players agreed a draw. The position is objectively equal, but play remains interesting.

13.@e4!?

The best try.

If 13. 2xd4 ¹⁰/₂xd4 14. ¹/₂f3 ¹⁰/₂c4, Black is quite active.

13... ĝxe4 14. ĝxe4 🖄 xe4



15. 🖄 xd4

15. @a4† @d7 16. @xd7† &xd7 17. &xd4does not cause Black problems after 17... @e8=followed by ... h5, as White is not sufficiently well developed.

15....皇c5 16.皇e3 皇xd4 17.營a4†

Apparently, this wastes a tempo, but White will later try to make use of the weakening caused by Black's reply.



17...c6

18.₩xd4

Due to the kingside weaknesses, keeping the queens on the board with 18. 2xd4 can only be dangerous for White. If nothing else, Black can play: 18... 创d2 19. 置fd1 创f3† 20. 空f1 營d5 21. 盒c3 创h2† 22. 空g1 创f3† With a draw by perpetual check.

18...^幽xd4 19. <u></u>象xd4 0-0-0



20.兾xa7

This is the idea behind the earlier Wa4[†].

With the pawn still on c7, this would lose the bishop to ...b6.

20.\fifd1 h5= causes Black no problems at all.

20...h5

Black is better coordinated and starts kingside counterplay, yielding him complete equality.

Black should also be doing okay after 20... $21.\Xi$ fd1 $21.\Xi$ fd1 $21.\Xi$ fd1 $21.\Xi$ fd1 $21.\Xi$ fd1 $21.\Xi$ fd1 $22.\pm 22$ $22.\pm 23.\pm 24$ f6, but it is better not to offer White the theoretical advantage of bishop versus knight in the endgame.



21. 🖄 g2

This is the most natural way to consolidate, though objectively it is no more effective than the alternatives:

21.\arrowsfd1 hxg4 22.hxg4 (22.\arrowsfd3 xd8\arrowsfd3 \arrowsfd3 xd8 23.hxg4 \arrowsfd2 offers Black pressure on both wings) 22...\arrowsfd2 d8 Black regains the pawn, keeping an active position, because 23.f4? \arrowsfd3 h3 (threatening ...\arrowsfd3 g3\arrowsfd3) 24.g5 \arrowsfd4 eh8 causes trouble for White.

In the event of 21. \exists fe1 Dg5 22.Pg2 hxg4 23.hxg4 \exists h4 24.Pg3 \exists h3†, the only way for

White to avoid a repetition is a little risky: 25.堂f4 仙e6† 26.堂e4 邕d2 Black holds the initiative.



21...hxg4 22.hxg4 営h4 23.営fd1

23.f3 allows 23... \exists d2† 24.&f2, when Black can choose to simplify to a drawn ending with: 24...0xf2 25. \exists xf2 \exists xf2† 26.0xf2 \exists h2† 27.0e3 \exists xb2=

23....\arraysequence xg4† 24.\arraysequence f3 f5=

There is still some play in the position, but a draw seems likely.

Conclusion

This is only of only a few parts of the book where Black completely deviates from normal Pirc patterns. While I may be a little subjective as an experienced Open Spanish player, there is no doubt that the early reaction in the centre is the best way to meet White's delaying his knight's development.

The main fresh idea in the variations I examined is Black's possibility of castling long, either before opening the centre, as in line B1, or after it, as in line B2. Generally speaking, Black achieves counterplay more easily than in the Spanish, and in a more active way than in the normal Pirc lines.







Anti-Pirc Systems

Variation Index

1.e4	
1d6	
A) 2. 2c3	390
B) 2.f4	392
C) 2.c4	395
D) 2.奠c4 g6 3.包f3 奠g7	397
D1) 4.d4	397
D2) 4.0–0	398

B) note to 8. gc4



D1) after 7.c3



D2) after 6.奠b3



1.e4 d6



Apart from the opening's general character, another important factor that induced me to add the Pirc to my repertoire was that White has virtually no possibility of steering me away from my favourite schemes. Against the Sicilian there are numerous lines, such as 2.c3, 3.\overline{b}5(†) or 4.\overline{w}xd4, that lead to completely different play than in the main systems. We have a similar situation after 1...e5, when Black has to travel a long way before reaching his preferred line, for instance the Breyer or Zaitsev systems.

In the Pirc, White has no way to prevent his opponent from implementing his intended fianchetto set-up, but by refraining from 2.d4 he can try to play in the spirit of the Closed Sicilian. This is not really unpleasant for Black, as the Closed Sicilian (or reversed English) schemes do not put pressure on him, so I see no reason to refrain from ...c5 in the near future.

The Closed Sicilian has been examined in several Quality Chess books. *Experts on the Anti-Sicilian*, edited by Jacob Aagaard and John Shaw, and *Grandmaster Repertoire 6A – Beating the Anti-Sicilians* by Vassilios Kotronias, have a Closed Sicilian repertoire for Black, while *The Modern Tiger* by Tiger Hillarp Persson, gives lines for Black after 1.e4 g6 2. (2) c3 \$\mathcal{e}g7\$, answering both 3.f4 and 3.g3 with 3...c5.

For all these reasons, I will restrict myself to offering just a few guidelines to White's main alternatives on move 2. We have: A) 2.②c3, B) 2.f4, C) 2.c4 and D) 2.堂c4.





This is the classical Closed Sicilian approach. White consolidates the e4-pawn and prepares to develop the kingside in a way that offers chances for kingside pressure in the middlegame.

2...g6 3.f4

Actually, the genuine Closed Sicilian is characterized by fianchettoing the bishop: 3.g3 \$g7 4.\$g2 c5

Frankly speaking, if Black only wants simple equality he can play 4...e5, soon reaching a completely symmetrical position.

5.d3 2c6 6.ĝe3

White hopes for 6...e6 and 7...必ge7, when 營d2 followed by 逸h6 and h4-h5 would offer him attacking chances.

6. @ge2 is met most simply by 6...e5.

6.f4 e6 7.创f3 transposes to the note on 5.d3 in the main line below.



6...e5

The safest move, in the spirit of Botvinnik's triangle set-up in the English Opening – please refer to my *Grandmaster Repertoire* trilogy on that opening.

7.\"d2 @ge7 8.@ge2 @d4

Practice has shown that Black has little to fear.



5.儳c4

White commits to the Grand Prix Attack.

5.d3 心c6 6.g3 transposes to the main line of the Closed Sicilian. I recommend 6...e6 7.奠g2 必ge7 8.0–0 0–0 9.奠e3 b6, even though 9...心d4 10.晋b1 晋b8 11.心e2 心xf3† 12.奠xf3 b6, followed by ...黛b7 and later ...f5, is also comfortable for Black. 5.奧b5†

This is comfortably met with: 5...ĝd7



6.a4

6.盒xd7† 營xd7 only helps Black's development, increasing his control of the light squares: 7.0–0 公c6 8.d3 f5!? (Not the only move, of course, as 8...e6 followed by ...公ge7 is entirely safe too, but the most ambitious one.) 9.營e2 公h6 Black had excellent stability on both the light and dark squares in Kindermann – Cvitan, Germany 1999.

6... 2c6 7.0-0 2f6 8.d3 0-0 9. 2d2 2d4



10.h3

Slightly overambitious.

Safer is 10.\u00e9xd7 \u00f6\u00e7xd7 11.\u00f6\u00e7xd4 cxd4 12.\u00f5\u00e9\u00e9c8, even though Black has pleasant play along the c-file.

10...එxb5 11.axb5 ඕe8 12.f5 ඕc7

White may have some compensation for the pawn in view of his attacking chances, but in Forster – Kasparov, Zürich 2001, he failed to prove that it was enough.

5....②c6 6.0−0 e6 7.d3 ②ge7



8.₩e1

8...0-0

This is a completely safe position for Black. I will present a few more moves of a game by one of the greatest Sicilian specialists of all time.

9.ዿb3 2a5 10.ዿe3 b6 11.ዿf2 ዿb7 12.ዿh4 2xb3 13.axb3 營d7 14.營g3 f5 15.≅ae1 2c6 16.exf5 gxf5∓

Black had great play on the light squares in Tiviakov – Kasparov, Wijk aan Zee 2001.

B) 2.f4



Even though this can transpose to line A, it is a slightly trickier move order.

2...g6 3.d4

Transposing to a slightly overambitious system against the Modern.

3.②f3 黛g7 4.d3 c5

This may transpose to a pseudo-Closed Sicilian, as White can later play c2-c3 instead of 2c3.

5.g3 ②c6 6.彙g2



6...e6

This is my favourite, as it offers Black maximum flexibility, although 6...e5 is just as good objectively.

7.0-0 ②ge7 8.c3 0-0 9.違e3 b6 10.d4

This central advance would be less effective if White developed his queen's knight before playing it, as Black could then consider ...cxd4 without having to worry about clearing the c3-square.

If 10.2a3 &a6 $11.\Xi e1$, Black can even consider the ambitious 11...b5, harassing the knight.

10...ĝa6 11.¤e1 ₩c7

Black retains all his options for breaking in the centre with ...cxd4, ...f5 or ...d5, while things are less clear for White, despite his massive centre. For instance, dxc5 would typically be answered by ...bxc5, increasing Black's control of the centre.

12.d5 exd5 13.exd5 🖄 a5

Black had a comfortable version of the Benoni in Fransson – Carlsson, Norrkoeping 2011, as all his minor pieces were active.

3...≜g7



4.创f3

White is unable to deter ...c5 with: 4.c3 创f6 5.臭d3

This is an important commitment, because if Black manages to play ...c5 and ...cxd4, the thematic ... <u>\$g</u>4 will be stronger.

However, White is insufficiently well developed to make 5.e5 effective after 5...dxe5, and now:

a) 6.dxe5 營xd1† 7.堂xd1 곕d5 followed by ...f6 handed Black the initiative in Prochazka – Horak, Czech Republic 2000.

b) After 6.fxe5 0d5, the only way to avoid transposing to the main line is 7.c4 0b6, reaching an Alekhine Four Pawns Attack with an extra tempo for Black.

5...0-0 6.②f3



6...c5!

A principled move. If taking this pawn were to force ...dxc5 in reply, White would get a strategically favourable position, but Black actually intends to sacrifice a pawn.

7.dxc5

7.0–0 causes White problems with maintaining control over the d4-square: 7...cxd4 8.cxd4 2c6 9.2c3 2g4 10.2e3 e5 11.fxe5 dxe5 12.d5 2d4 13.2d2 In this position, I recommend 13...2e8N, followed by ...f5 and ...2d6, with a safe blockade and kingside counterplay.

7...④bd7!

The complement to Black's previous move – after the opening of the e-file, the e4-pawn will be chronically weak.

8.cxd6 exd6 9.0−0 ©c5 10.\arguee1

10.營c2 is no better: 10..., Ee8 11. 创bd2 鱼d7 12. 创d4 罩c8 13. 岱h1 鬯e7 Black had a strong initiative in Hodgson – Norwood, Plymouth 1989.

10...⊮b6 11.@d4 ≌e8

Black will regain the e4-pawn with an edge, since attempts to defend it lead to trouble, for example:

12.\@c2?! ②g4 13.h3 ዿxd4† 14.cxd4 \@b4∓



4...c5

The typical Austrian Attack reaction.

5.c3

If 5.d5 0 f6, White has nothing better than transposing to a sideline of the Austrian Attack with 6.0 c3 – see variation D1 of Chapter 5 on page 127.

5.dxc5 $@a5\dagger 6.2d2$ @xc5 7.2c3@f6transposes to a passive version of the 6.dxc5 Austrian Attack – see the note on 7.2d2 on page 134.

5...④f6 6.e5

6. 3 d3 cxd4 7.cxd4 0–0 8.0–0 transposes to the position reached after 7.0–0 cxd4 8.cxd4 in the note to White's 4th move above.

6. bd2 is too passive to cause problems:

6...cxd4 7.cxd4 0–0 8.2d3 2c6 9.0–0 White is behind in development and things are not likely to improve soon. Black has many good moves, but I will just mention:



9...a5!?N Black anticipates ∅b3 as an answer to ...∅g4 and prepares to gain space with ...a4.

6.臭b5†

This only helps Black's development. 6...≗d7 7.≗xd7† ₩xd7



8.e5

After the bishop exchange, White is not able to keep his centre intact: 8. 2 cxd4 9. cxd4 d5 10.e5 2 e4 11. bd2 f5 12. exf6 2 xf6= Black has good play on the light squares.

8...dxe5 9.dxe5

The other recapture does not trouble Black: 9.fxe5 包d5 10.dxc5 Otherwise ...cxd4 will leave White with weaknesses on the light squares. 10... 包c6 11.鬯e2 鬯g4∓ Black will retrieve the pawn while keeping the better structure.

9...썔xd1† 10.호xd1 친d5 11.호c2 친c6= Black will play ...f6 soon, with active play.

6...dxe5 7.fxe5 ∅d5

Due to the early commitment of the white c-pawn, Black has excellent play in the centre.



8.<u>\$</u>c4

8.c4 is a loss of time, handing the initiative to Black: 8... 创b4 9.d5 单f5 10. 创a3 创d7 11. 单f4 鬯b8 White was losing the e5-pawn in Estevez Morales – Adorjan, Graz 1972, because 12. 鬯e2? would allow the crushing 12... 创d3†.

8.dxc5 0-0 9.奠c4 奠e6



10.Da3

White has played 10.0–0?! in several games, but Black has yet to choose the strongest response: 10...公xc3!N 11.營xd8 罩xd8 12.黛xe6 ④e2† 13.堂f2 ④xc1 14.黛xf7† 岱xf7 15.塁xc1 ②c6∓ Black regains the pawn, keeping a strong bishop, as 16.罩e1 runs into 16...⑤b4, threatening both ...③c2 and ...⑥d3†. Then 17.罩e2 is no solution due to 17...罩d1∓.

10...�c6 11.₩e2 ₩b8∓

Black regained the pawn, keeping the better structure and active play in Tylevich – Chase, Boxborough 1999.

8...<u>\$</u>e6

Threatening ... 🖄 xc3.

9.覍b3N

White was tempted by 9.⁶Qg5?! in Gaurang – Campbell, London 1993, but Black's lead in development means that he can permit the doubling of his pawns: 9...cxd4 10.cxd4 ⁶∆c6 11.⁶∆xe6 fxe6 12.0–0 [™]B6∓ White's centre is under massive pressure.

9...cxd4 10.cxd4 ₩a5† 11.ዿd2 ₩a6

Black has excellent play.





White may be aiming to transpose to the King's Indian.
2....c5 3.\2c3

Heading for a Triangle set-up in the Closed English.

3.¹/₂f3 ¹/₂g4, followed by ...¹/₂c6 and ...g6, offers Black excellent control of the d4-square.

3.d4 cxd4 4.₩xd4

This does not make as much sense after: 4...\blocklebc6

With the f1-a6 diagonal obstructed by the c-pawn, there is no 255 available. Play transposes to some sort of Maroczy with White a few tempos down.



5.₩e3

Playing in the spirit of the following Sicilian line: 1.e4 c5 2.2f3 d6 3.d4 cxd4 4. a6 5.c4 ac6 6. ac8 The difference is that Black has saved time by not playing ...a6.

5.Md1 is more passive: 5...g6 6.Ld3 Lg77.De2 Df6 8.Dbc3 0–0 9.0–0 a6 Black has excellent chances of carrying out ...b5 after ...Ld7 and ...De5.

5...g6 6.친f3 친f6 7.친c3 違g7 8.違e2 0-0 9.0-0 친g4 10.凹d2 친ge5

Black will soon take control over the d4-square, with excellent play.

3...包c6 4.g3 g6 5.違g2 違g7 6.包ge2



6...e6!?

Just one of several good moves.

6...⁽²⁾f6 is viable, but would transpose straight into a line I examined from White's point of view in *Grandmaster Repertoire 4*.

If Black is content with simple equality he can maintain the symmetry almost forever: 6...e5 7.0–0 ②ge7 8.d3 0–0 9.a3 a6 10.巴b1 巴b8 11.b4 cxb4 12.axb4 b5 13.cxb5 axb5=

7.0-0 包ge7 8.d3 0-0 9.兔e3 包d4 10.営b1 包ec6 11.a3 b6 12.b4 兔b7 13.鬯d2 鬯d7



Followed by ... \area and ... f5, with flexible play.

D) 2.奠c4

This does not necessarily transpose to the Grand Prix Attack, as White can keep the pawn on f2 and develop with 2f3 and 2c3, in the spirit of the English Four Knights 4... \$2c5 system.

2....g6 3. 2f3

After 3.d4 &g7, White may play 4.0c3 0f6, transposing to variation A of Chapter 14, or 4.0f3 leading to variation D1 below.

3... 違g7

White can enter a line of the Modern Defence with **D1**) **4.d4**, or continue in Anti-Pirc style with **D2**) **4.0–0**.

D1) 4.d4 2f6 5.2e2

After 5. 2bd2 0–0, Black threatens ... 2xe4, more or less forcing 6. 2b3 c5 7. dxc5 dxc5 8.0–0 2c6= with slightly unnatural White development. The bishop is exposed to ... 2a5, and from the b3-square it fails to keep the enemy queenside pawns under observation.



5...0-0 6.0-0

In *Playing 1.e4 – Caro-Kann, 1...e5 & Minor Lines*, Shaw recommends the less common: 6.e5 친e8 7.친bd2!? 7.h3 is more popular, but then 7...c5 is okay for Black. For example, 8.c3 0c7 9.dxc5 d5 and now:

a) 10.\$b3 2d7 11.0–0 2xc5 12.\$d1 b6 13.\$f4 \$b7 was unclear in Tesic – Ruggieri, corr. 2016.

b) 10.\u00e9d3 was played in Negi – Hillarp Persson, Malmo 2007, and in *The Modern Tiger*, Hillarp Persson recommends 10...\u00e9d7!N as being fine for Black, because 11.b4 a5 12.0–0 f6 13.exf6 \u00e9xf6 14.\u00e9b2 e5 15.\u00e9c2 b6 would give Black great compensation for the pawn.

7...c5 8.dxc5



8.... Cc6!?N 9.exd6 exd6 10.0-0

Shaw points out that 10.cxd6?! Axd6 gives Black the initiative after, for example, 11.0–0 Ee8 12. Axc4 Axc4 & g4.

10...dxc5 11.@e4

Shaw claims that White keeps a microscopic advantage with this move, but I think Black can achieve full equality with:

11...b6 12.ģf4± would be slightly uncomfortable for Black.

12.@xc5

12.c3 ዿxe4 13.₩xe4 @d6 14.₩d3 @xc4 15.₩xc4 b6= is totally level.

12...Ød6

Black will target White's uncoordinated minor pieces, and aim for pressure against the undeveloped queenside.



13.曵b3

After 13. 逸d3 逸g4, it is not clear how White should break the annoying pin, for example: 14. 鬯e3 宫c8 15. 鬯f4 逸xf3 16. 鬯xf3 创d4 17. 鬯d5 b6 18. 创a6 创xc2∓ Black has regained the pawn, with good play.

13.罩d1 is well met by: 13...罩e8 14.彎f1 營c7 Black's pressure along the c-file offers good compensation for the pawn.

- 13... Ie8 14. Id1 違g4 15.h3
 - 15.盒d5 營f6 16.h3 盒xf3 17.營xf3 (17.盒xf3 ②d4 is similar) 17...營xf3 18.盒xf3 ②d4 gives Black enough play for the pawn.
- 15....違xf3 16.營xf3 勾d4 17.營d5 營c7 18.勾d3



18...@xc2!?

The d6-knight is indirectly defended, as White's d3-knight will also be hanging. 19.\$xc2 @xc2 20.\$f4

20.營xd6 罩ad8 21.營b4 營xd3= is equal, though White should take care not to fall for: 22.營xb7?? 營xf1†! 23.全xf1 骂d1# 20...⊮c4!?

The simplest route to equality.

21.豐xd6 罩ed8 22.豐e7 豐xd3 23.豐xb7 營d4= Black regains the b2-pawn, with a level position.

This seems to me like the natural square for the knight, though 7...心c6 has been the usual choice.

8. 2 bd2 e5



Black has achieved a comfortable position and threatens to start his counterplay with ...exd4 followed by\[2]e8.

9.h3 巢xf3 10.^公xf3

10.¹⁰/₂₀xf3 exd4 11.cxd4 c5 leaves White underdeveloped and with his centre vulnerable.

10...exd4 11.cxd4 d5 12.exd5 🖄b6=

Black obtains a comfortable position playing against the isolani.

D2) 4.0-0 🖄 f6 5. 🖄 c3 0-0

Black hurries to create the positional threat $\dots \& g4$.

5...c5 aims for the aforementioned English line with reversed colours, but it also offers White the opportunity to transpose to a positional Dragon line with 6.d4 cxd4 7. 2xd4. Black is doing fine here, but allowing this line means stepping well away from the Pirc repertoire.

6.黛b3

There is no time for 6.h3 due to the familiar 6... (2) xe4 7. (2) xe4 d5, with a likely transposition to comfortable (2) c4 Pirc lines.



9.De2

9.營e3 创d7 10.创e2 创a5 does not change much.

9....🖄 a5 10. ĝa4

Allowing the exchange of the bishop would free Black of any worries.

10...c5 11.d3 a6 12.c3 d5 13.e5

Otherwise Black would continue the queenside expansion with ... b5 and so on.

13...約d7 14.d4 cxd4 15.cxd4 約b6 16.遑d1 f6



Black has better development and a strategically comfortable position.

Conclusion

The Anti-Pirc systems do not succeed in taking us away from the usual development plan, with play on the dark squares and the g7-bishop as our main hero.

Building up a massive centre with e2-e4, f2-f4, d2-d4 and c2-c3, as in the main line of variation B, allows Black active counterplay.

I find the Closed Sicilian approach (g2-g3, with or without a knight on c3) the most consistent, as featured in the notes to lines A and B, but these are the kind of positions that I find pleasant playing with either colour.

Variation Index

Chapter 1 1.e4 d6 2.d4 2f6 3.2c3 g6 4.2f3 2g7 5.2e2 0-0 6.0-0 c6

A) 7.e5 *16* B) 7.奠f4 *19* C) 7.奠g5 *23* D) 7.鼍e1 心bd7 *27* D1) 8.奠f1 *27* D2) 8.奠f4 營a5! *31* D21) 9.營d2 *32* D22) 9.心d2 *33* D3) 8.e5 *35* E) 7.h3 *39*

A) 8.\$f4 3bd7 44 A1) 9.₩d2 44 A2) 9.e5 47 B1) 10.\ad1 49 B2) 10.\argitten 51 C) 8.\extbf{C}e1 e5 54 C1) 9.dxe5 55 C2) 9.h3 58 D) 8. 奠e3 e5 60 D1) 9. 2 d2 61 D2) 9.h3 exd4 62 D21) 10. 2xd4 63 D22) 10. gxd4 65 D3) 9.\extstel 68 D4) 9.dxe5 70

Chapter 3 1.e4 d6 2.d4 ව්f6 3.ව්c3 g6 4.ව්f3 දුg7 5.h3 0-0

A) 6.彙e3 c6 76 A1) 7.營d2 79 A2) 7.a4 營c7 83 A21) 8.營d2 83 A22) 8.a5 色bd7 86 A221) 9.營d2 86 A222) 9.彙e2 88 B) 6.奠f4 91 C) 6.彙g5 94

D) 6.\$c4 96

Chapter 4

1.e4 d6 2.d4 2f6 3.2c3 g6 4.2f3 gg7

A) 5.違g5 100 B) 5.g3 101 C) 5.違c4 0-0 103 C1) 6.違b3 104 C2) 6.0-0 105 C3) 6.營e2 107

Chapter 5

1.e4 d6 2.d4 2f6 3.2c3 g6 4.f4 \$g7

A) 5.e5 *111* B) 5.a3 0–0 6.心f3 c5!? 7.dxc5 營a5 8.b4 營c7 *115* B1) 9.e5 *116* B2) 9.逸d2 *119* C) 5.逸d3 心c6 *122* C1) 6.e5 *122* C2) 6.心f3 *125* D) 5.心f3 c5 *126* D1) 6.d5 *127* D2) 6.逸e2 *130*

```
A) 7.營d3 134

B) 7.營d4 0-0 139

B1) 8.cxd6 139

B2) 8.彙d2 141

C) 7.彙d3 營xc5 8.營e2 0-0 9.彙e3 營a5 10.0-0 奠g4 147

C1) 11.트ad1 公c6 12.彙c4 公h5 148

C11) 13.트d5 149

C12) 13.營d3N 152

C13) 13.彙b3 153

C2) 11.營e1 157

C3) 11.h3 羹xf3 12.營xf3 公c6 162

C31) 13.塗h1 163

C32) 13.a3 167
```

```
Chapter 7
1.e4 d6 2.d4 බ්f6 3.බ්c3 g6 4.f4  දුg7 5.ඞ්f3 c5 6.දදb5† ද්‍ර් d7
```

```
A) 7.彙xd7† 心bxd7 172
A1) 8.e5 173
A2) 8.d5 176
B) 7.e5 公g4 181
B1) 8.h3 182
B2) 8.彙xd7† 營xd7 183
B21) 9.h3 184
B22) 9.d5 186
B3) 8.e6 fxe6! 9.公g5 彙xb5 10.公xe6 彙xd4!! 11.公xb5 營a5†! 188
B31) 12.c3 193
B32) 12.營d2 197
```

Chapter 8 1.e4 d6 2.d4 회f6 3.친c3 g6 4.핥e3 c6 5.친f3 핥g7 6.쌜d2 0-0

```
A) 7.0-0-0 b5 203
A1) 8.e5 203
A2) 8.$d3 206
B) 7.$d3 ₺bd7 207
B1) 8.$h6 207
B2) 8.0-0 208
C) 7.$h6 210
```

Chapter 9 1.e4 d6 2.d4 ව්f6 3.ව්c3 g6 4.ĝe3 c6 5.h3 ව්bd7

```
A) 6.g4 b5! 217
A1) 7.a3 217
A2) 7.逸g2 220
B) 6.f4 e5 221
B1) 7.營d2 221
B2) 7.dxe5 222
B3) 7.營f3 226
B4) 7.公f3 230
```

Chapter 10

1.e4 d6 2.d4 创f6 3.创c3 g6 4. Le3 c6 5. ld2 创bd7

A) 6.0-0-0 236 B) 6.f3 b5 239 B1) 7.彙h6?! 240 B2) 7.0-0-0 242 B3) 7.a4 244 B4) 7.彙d3 246 B5) 7.d5 249 B6) 7.h4 251 B7) 7.g4 彙g7! 8.h4 h5 9.g5 心h7 253 B71) 10.心h3 258 B72) 10.心ge2 261 B73) 10.f4 263 B74) 10.a4 267

Chapter 11

1.e4 d6 2.d4 2f6 3.2c3 g6 4.g3 \$g7 5.\$g2 0-0 6.2ge2 e5

```
A) 7.0-0 c6 8.a4 a5 271
A1) 9.奠g5N 271
A2) 9.b3 272
B) 7.h3 c6 274
B2) 8.a4 a5 9.0-0 公a6 10.奠e3 公b4 11.營d2 奠e6 279
B21) 12.f4 281
B22) 12.鼍ad1 奠c4 13.b3 奠a6 14.鼍fe1 營c7 283
B221) 15.公c1 284
B222) 15.f4 286
B223) 15.g4 290
```

Chapter 12 1.e4 d6 2.d4 බ්f6 3.ඕc3 g6 4.ॾੈg5 c6

Chapter 13

1.e4 d6 2.d4 创f6 3.包c3 g6 4.違f4 c6 5.營d2 创bd7 6.包f3 違g7! 7.0-0-0 營a5

A) 8.∲b1 *331* B) 8.e5 *333*

Chapter 14 1.e4 d6 2.d4 විf6 3.ව්c3 g6

A) 4.彙c4 340 B) 4.h3 343 C) 4.彙e2 彙g7 345 C1) 5.e5 345 C2) 5.彙e3 346 C3) 5.g4 350 C4) 5.h4 c5 6.dxc5 營a5 352 C41) 7.營d3 353 C42) 7.彙d2 354 C43) 7.彙f1 356

Chapter 15 1.e4 d6 2.d4 🖄 f6 3.f3 c5

A) 4.dxc5 359 B) 4.c3 365 C) 4.2 e2 368 D) 4.d5 371

Chapter 16 1.e4 d6 2.d4 ⁽²⁾f6 3.⁽²⁾gd3 e5

A) 4. De2 378 B) 4.c3 d5! 5.dxe5 Dxe4 6. Df3 Dc6 7. Dbd2 Dc5 379 B1) 8. Lc2 383 B2) 8. Lb1 385

Chapter 17 1.e4 d6

A) 2. 2 c3 390 B) 2.f4 392 C) 2.c4 395 D) 2. 2 c4 g6 3. 2 f3 2 g7 397 D1) 4.d4 397 D2) 4.0-0 398 3. 2 d2

1.e4 d6 2.d4 2f6 3.2d2



As hard as I tried to cover all White's minor lines when writing *The Pirc Defence*, this somehow escaped my attention. The move is quite rare and I have faced it just once, but my opponent's play was so unambitious that my only reason for discontent was the final result – a draw.

However, 3.42 d2 is not a bad move, as Black can do no better than transposing to a line of the Modern Defence (for example, 1.e4 g6 2.d4 gg7 3.42 f3 d6 4.c3). Tiger Hillarp Persson covers this in *The Modern Tiger* and is more or less justified in calling it the "Lazy Variation", but it is of course right that I should give my own interpretation of these positions.

I should start by saying that by developing the queen's knight so soon, White deprives himself of the active \$\overline{2}g5\$, which is typically played in the most ambitious version of the "Lazy" Modern.

It is also worth mentioning that this line can be reached via the move order 1.d4 6f6 2. f3 g6 3. bd2 g7 4.e4 and so on.

3...e5 4.c3 g6 5. 2gf3

My aforementioned game went:

5. 違d3 違g7 6. ②e2 ②bd7 7.0-0 0-0 8. 奠c2

8.f4 exd4 9.cxd4 c5 10.d5 was played in Ynojosa – Constantinou, Torquay 2009, and now most convincing is: 10...c4!N 11.心xc4 心c5 12.心c3 罩e8=

8...b6 9.2f3 Lb7 10.2g3 Ie8 11.Ie1



11...c6!?

I planned to develop in the spirit of the Breyer Variation of the Ruy Lopez, a plan I suggest in the main line too.

11....exd4 12.cxd4 c5 13.d5 2g4= and 11...d5= are also satisfactory for Black.

12.ĝe3 exd4!?

With the bishop on e3, the immediate counterattack in the centre seemed more appealing. 13.cxd4 c5 14.dxc5

14.d5 🖄 g4 is also fine for Black.

14...④xc5 15.ዿੈd4 \Zc8∓



Black had unpleasant pressure on the centre in Godena - Marin, Reggio Emilia 2008.

5...②bd7

Once again in the spirit of the Breyer Variation. Tiger mainly investigates the plan based on ...论c6.

6.ĝd3 ĝg7 7.0-0 0-0



8.\extsf{e1}

Taking measures against Black's potential threat of ...d5.

8.a4 is a waste of time, leaving the e4-pawn insufficiently defended: 8...exd4 9.cxd4 c5! 10.d5 Otherwise ...cxd4 followed by ...②c5 would offer Black a promising initiative. 10...③g4 11.③c4 ②de5 12.急f4 ③xc4 13.逸xc4 This position was reached in Jacobson – Currie, Washington 2015, and now 13...Ξe8N would force White to sacrifice a pawn in unclear circumstances after, for instance, 14.豐c2 營f6.

The exchange on e5 is almost always inoffensive: 8.dxe5 创xe5 9.创xe5 dxe5 10.创c4 创h5 11.g3 黛h3 12.罝e1 幽f6 13.幽e2



13...\ad8?!

Clearing the f8-square for the bishop is the highest priority, so 13... Efd8!N is more accurate: 14.f3 &f8 15. c2 dg7 16. e3 de6=

```
14.f3 	Efe8 15.	extbf{e}8?
```

15.ዿc2!? ዿf8 16.2e3 might give White chances for a tiny edge.

15... \Zxd3 16.\Wxd3 \Wxf3 17.\Wc2?

17.₩e2 ₩xe4∓

17.≅e2 ⁽²)f4 18.gxf4 exf4 19.⁽²)d2 ⁽²⁾g4† 20.⁽⁴⁾h1 fxe3⁼

17...b5 18.2a5 2xg3

0-1 Rukavina - G. Mohr, Pula 2000.

8...b6

With the rook on e1, the plan mentioned above does not work out so well: 8...exd4?! 9.cxd4 c5 10.e5! dxe5 11.dxe5 创g4 12.创c4 b5 13.逸g5 營c7 14.逸e4 White held the initiative in Knezevic – Planinec, Belgrade 1978.

9.a4

The standard reaction.

9.¹/₂f1 ¹/₂b7 10.¹/₂g3 ¹/₂e8 11.d5 c6 12.c4 ¹/₂c5 13.¹/₂f1 a5 14.b3 b5!?= led to a comfortable version of the Breyer for Black in Miles – Bologan, Wijk aan Zee 1996.

If compared with the similar line in the next note, 9.42c4 2b7N 10.d5 is not dangerous. The fact is that a2-a4 is necessary anyway, while Black can manage without the weakeninga6 move: 10....2e7 11.b4 \Sac8 12.a4 c6 13.dxc6 \Sac6 Zxc6 ₹



9....覍b7!

Black continues to develop, ignoring the queenside threat.

Previously in situations like this I automatically reacted with 9...a6 and now:

a) Black's choice looks logical if White plays a neutral plan:

10.b3



12...c6

Black can also play the immediate: 12...d5N 13.dxe5 🖄xe5 14.🖄xe5 dxe4 15.🖄xe4 🖾xe5 16.c4 \area xe4 17.\u00e2xe4 \u00e2xe4 18.\u00e2xe4 \u00e2xe4 \u00e2 xe3 10.\u00e2 xe7 \u00e2 xe7 20.\u00e2xe4 \u00e2ff f6 21.\u00e2d1 \u00e2d1 \u00e2d8=

13.≌ad1 ₩c7 14.ĝf1 d5

14...Zad8= is also level.

15.c4 ⁽¹/₂)xe4 16.cxd5 ⁽¹/₂)xd2 17.Ξxd2 exd4 18.Ξxe8† Ξxe8 19.dxc6 ⁽¹/₂)xc6 ⁽¹⁾/₂xc6 ⁽¹⁾/

¹/₂–¹/₂ Jianu – Marin, Bucharest (rapid) 2017.

25...**¤**b8

25... 罩e6 26. 罩xe6 fxe6 27. 莺e2 垫f6 28. 垫d3 垫e5 29. 垫c4 垫d6 30. 垫d4 e5† 31. 垫e4 垫e6= 26. 垫e2 垫f8 27. 罩c6 垫e7 28. 罩c7† 垫e6=

Black intends ... \data d8-d6.

b) But recently I discovered that White can prepare d4-d5 with:

10...exd4 11.cxd4 d5 12.exd5 公xd5 13.違g5 公7f6 was played in Popilski – Norwood, Andorra 2011, and now 14.公ce5N 逸b7 15.岂c1± would offer White some pressure, even though I would not be too worried as Black due to the stability of the blockade on the d5-square. 11.d5±



White held the advantage in Mainka – Bezold, Senden 1998. The problem for Black is that with his bishop on b7 he will need some time to prepare ...f5, while if he tries switching to ...c6, White would have &c2, b2-b4 and &b3. White's main plan remains b2-b4 and a4-a5, and after Black's ...b5, continuing with &e3 and c3-c4. I failed to find an entirely satisfactory move order for Black.

10.a5

10.②c4 allows Black to equalize comfortably: 10...exd4 11.cxd4 ②xe4 12.鼍xe4 (12.彙xe4 彙xe4 13.鼍xe4 d5=) 12...d5 13.鼍e1 dxc4 14.彙xc4 彙xf3 15.營xf3 彙xd4 16.鼍d1? (16.彙f4 營f6=) 16...②e5 17.營d5? (17.營e2? ③xc4 18.營xc4 彙xf2†-+; 17.營f4 c5干) 17...彙xf2† 18.查xf2 營f6† 19.壹g1 墨ad8-+ Matthews – Oates, London (Canada) 1994.



10....呂b8!?N

Neutralizing the threat of a5-a6 and preparing to go on with the normal Breyer plan.

10...a6 has been played in a couple of games and it may be viable, but I prefer to avoid weakening my structure: 11.axb6 (11.d5 Chudinovskih – Shabanov, Moscow 2002, can be met by 11... c6 2) 11...cxb6 12.d5 營c7 13.心b3 心h5 Black was close to equality in Kishnev – Schmaltz, Recklinghausen 1996.

11.axb6

11. ②c4 b5! causes White problems since the a5-square is not available: 12.dxe5 (12. ②cd2 a6∓) 12...bxc4 13.exf6 ③xf6 14. ĝxc4 ĝxe4≠

11.d5 c6 12.dxc6 (12.axb6 axb6 13.c4 b5! 14.dxc6 ⓓc5 15.ဋc2 ဋxc6 16.b4 ⓓe6∓) 12...ဋxc6 13.b4 c7 is at least equal for Black.

11. 11. 11. 12 f1 Ee8 12. 12 g3 d5 results in equality.

11...axb6 12.邕a7

12. 2c4 exd4 13.cxd4 2xe4! leads to familiar complications, rather favouring Black.



12...₩c8

12...

I a8 unnecessarily allows the exchange sacrifice: 13.

I xb7!?

凹c8 14.

I xb6 cxb6 15.

公c4

凹c7 16.

公a3±

After the recommended queen move, Black solves his problems by simple means.

13.b4

13.2f1 Ia8 14.Ixa8 Wxa8 15.2g3 Ie8 16.d5 (16.Wc2 d5=) 16...c6 17.c4 Ib8 18.&e3 b5=

15. \$b2 d5= is also equal.

15....c6 16.c4 凹b8 17. 总b2 b5=

Black has comfortable equality.

4. ge3 and 4. gf4 transpositions

1.e4 d6 2.d4 2f6 3.2c3 g6

No matter how determined I was to catch all the possible transpositions in the 4.\$e3, 4.\$f4 and 4.\$g5 lines, it seems that I overlooked one of them. This update is aimed at solving the problem.

4.<u>\$</u>e3



7.\2019h6 This move, which transposes to our main line below, was not mentioned on page 329 of Chapter 13.

4...c6 5.₩d2

The position below is actually mentioned on pages 210-211 of Chapter 8 in the line: 5.Df3 &g7 6.Md2 0-0 7.@h6 &bd7 (I assessed this move as "?!" on page 210, where I recommended 7...b5 as being okay for Black.) 8.@xg7 &xg7 9.e5 dxe5 10.dxe5 &g4 11.0-0-0



7...0-0 8. <u></u>全xg7

On page 236 of Chapter 10, I failed to give this move, only mentioning 8.0-0-0.



8...\$xg7 9.e5 dxe5 10.dxe5 2g4 11.0-0-0

My original conclusion about this position on pages 210-211 was that White had a clear advantage in view of the threat of e5-e6 and his better development. After having my attention drawn to the possible transpositions, I had a closer look at the position and found it entirely viable for Black.

11....¹¹b6 12.e6



12.... 创df6!N

12...fxe6 was played in all three games in my database, but Black does not need the extra pawn, which blocks the development of the c8-bishop – piece activity is more important.

13.exf7

13.h3 leads to a forced tactical sequence: 13...公xf2 14.公a4 鬯c7 15.鬯xf2 鬯f4† 16.空b1 鬯xa4 17.exf7 逸e6 18.a3 逸xf7 For some reason the engines are optimistic from White's point of view, but after, say 19.逸d3 逸d5 20.岂he1 岂ae8, Black is a pawn up and is not facing any concrete threats.

13...[™]xf2

13...a5, clearing the a7-square for the queen in order to prepare ...2xf2 without fearing 2a4, is interesting, but I failed to find complete equality after: $14. \Xi g1 \textcircled{2}xf2 15. \Xi e1 \textcircled{2}g4 16. \textcircled{2}d4!$ Apparently the only challenging move. $16... \textcircled{2}xd4 17. \textcircled{2}xd4 \pm$

14.**<u>\$</u>c**4

White needs to defend the far-advanced pawn.

14...b5 15.奠b3 營xd2† 16.邕xd2



16...a6!

The most consistent move, preparing to harass the bishop withc5.

17.¤e1

Another approach is: 17.h3 @h6 18.@g5 c5 19.&e6 @h5 20.&xc8 $\equiv fxc8$ 21.g4 @d4 22.@e2 @xe2† 23. $\equiv xe2$ h6 24.@e6† @xf7 25.g5 hxg5 26.@xg5† @g8 27. $\equiv xe7$ $\equiv e8$ = If necessary, Black can use the 8th rank to perpetually chase the rook.

17....c5 18.奠e6 巢xe6 19.鼍xe6 垫xf7



20.②g5†

White needs to play energetically in order to try and maintain the initiative.

20.\de2 \de2 g8 21.\de2 xe7 b4 22.\de2 a4 \de2 d5= is active enough for Black.

20.... 2 g8 21.h3

21.\areaxe7 \areafe8= is equal.

21... 创h6 22.g4 创f7 23. 创ge4 创xe4 24. 创xe4 创d6 25. 创xc5 莒f1† 26. 莒d1 莒xd1† 27. 垫xd1 垫f7 28. 莒e3

White seems to keep the more active ending, but Black should solve all his problems with a few accurate moves.

28...a5 29.邕f3† 空g8 30.c3

Black is solid but needs to activate his rook somehow.



30...h5!?=

This inevitably clears space for Black's pieces and weakens the enemy kingside.

31.gxh5

31. De6 hxg4 32.hxg4 b4 ≠ or 31.g5 Df5=.

31...gxh5 32.∅e6 b4‼₹

Black has sufficient counterplay.