

AD-A101 582

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION MOFFET--ETC F/6 12/1  
REDUCTION OF THE TWO-DIMENSIONAL STATIONARY NAVIER-STOKES PROBL--ETC(U)  
JUN 81 R E GABRIELSEN

UNCLASSIFIED

NASA-A-8494

USAAVRADCOM-TR-81-A-10

NL

OP 1  
8-15 82



END  
DATE  
FILMED  
8-811  
DTIC

CAG 211 205

**LEVEL 4**

(P)

AD A101582

(9) [Handwritten notes]

# Reduction of the Two-Dimensional Stationary Navier-Stokes Problem to a Sequence of Fredholm Integral Equations of the Second Kind.

(11) Ralph E. Gabrielsen

1

(18) USA AAVRADCOM, NASA  
(19) TR-81-A-10,  
TM-81272

DTIC  
JUL 17 1981

DTIC FILE COPY

June 1981

(14) NASA-A-8474

**DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A**  
Approved for public release;  
Distribution Unlimited

**NASA**  
National Aeronautics and  
Space Administration

United States Army  
Aviation Research  
and Development  
Command



388 090 81 7 15 056

---

# Reduction of the Two-Dimensional Stationary Navier-Stokes Problem to a Sequence of Fredholm Integral Equations of the Second Kind

---

Ralph E. Gabrielsen, Aeromechanics Laboratory  
AVRADCOM Research and Technology Laboratories  
Ames Research Center, Moffett Field, California

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A  
Approved for public release  
Distribution unlimited

**NASA**

National Aeronautics and  
Space Administration

Ames Research Center  
Moffett Field California 94035

United States Army  
Aviation Research and  
Development Command  
St Louis, Missouri 63166



## NOMENCLATURE

$C^n(S)$   $\eta$  times continuously differentiable on  $S$

$p$  pressure

$U$  component velocity in  $x$ -direction

$v$  component velocity in  $y$ -direction

$\Delta[ ]$   $\partial^2[ ]/\partial x^2 + \partial^2[ ]/\partial y^2$

$\Delta\Delta[ ]$   $\partial^4[ ]/\partial x^2 + 2 \frac{\partial^2 \partial^2[ ]}{\partial x^2 \partial y^2} + \partial^4[ ]/\partial y^4$

$\partial S$  boundary of domain  $S$

$[ ]_\alpha$   $\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} [ ]$

$\Rightarrow$  implies

Requested for	
ERIC Full	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
ERIC Sub	<input type="checkbox"/>
Microform	<input type="checkbox"/>
Distribution	<input type="checkbox"/>
By _____	
Distribution/ _____	
Availability Codes _____	
Serial and/or _____	
Part of Special _____	
<b>A</b>	

REDUCTION OF THE TWO-DIMENSIONAL STATIONARY NAVIER-STOKES  
PROBLEM TO A SEQUENCE OF FREDHOLM INTEGRAL  
EQUATIONS OF THE SECOND KIND

Ralph E. Gabrielsen

Ames Research Center  
and  
Aeromechanics Laboratory  
AVRADCOM Research and Technology Laboratories

SUMMARY

For more than the last 20 years there has been a concerted effort to solve the stationary Navier-Stokes equations; however, this has only been successful for a few special cases of primarily academic interest. An alternative approach has been to solve the equations numerically, and then compare the results with experiment. On occasion, such comparisons are in good agreement. However, such results are of dubious value since one has no a-priori way of knowing the relevance of such results until they are explicitly compared against experiment. Therefore, it would seem reasonable to conclude that the present approaches to solving the Navier-Stokes equations are of limited value. Accordingly, it is the purpose of this paper to show that there does, indeed, exist an equivalent representation of the problem that has significant potential in solving such problems. This is due to the fact that this equivalent representation of the problem consists of a sequence of Fredholm Integral Equations of the second kind, and the solving of this type of problem is very well developed. In addition, for the problem in this form, there is an excellent chance to also determine explicit error estimates, since one would now be dealing with bounded linear operators, rather than unbounded.

INTRODUCTION

Ideally, one would like to obtain a numerical solution to the Stationary Navier-Stokes problem that is within some prescribed degree of accuracy of the true solution. Unfortunately, it is not possible to accomplish this objective by just applying some existing numerical technique directly to the Stationary Navier-Stokes equations, since error analysis for nonlinear equations is, for all practical purposes, nonexistent. However, since it is possible to replace the Stationary Navier-Stokes problem by an equivalent sequence of linear partial differential equations (ref. 1), providing some rather general conditions can be met, it is at least theoretically possible to obtain error estimates due to the vast wealth of knowledge known about linear equations. However, even though attaining such error estimates is within the realm of possibility, one should not be misled into thinking that such a task is a small undertaking, for this is most certainly not the case. However, the

chances of someone accomplishing this task are significantly greater if the basic sequential problem is transformed into an equivalent form that is more suitable to error analysis. Accordingly, in this paper it will be proven that the said sequential problem can be transformed into a form that has significantly greater changes of yielding explicit error estimates.

### GENERAL DEVELOPMENT

The Navier-Stokes equations are given by

$$\left. \begin{aligned} uu_x + vu_y + p_x - \nu \Delta u + f_1(x,y) &= 0 \\ uv_x + vv_y + p_y - \nu \Delta v + f_2(x,y) &= 0 \end{aligned} \right\} \text{ in } S \quad (1)$$

with boundary conditions

$$u(\partial S) = -b_2(\partial S), \quad v(\partial S) = b_1,$$

where  $S$  is a two dimensional Green's domain with surface  $\partial S$ ,

$$f_1(x,y) \in C^1(S), \quad \text{and} \quad f_2(x,y) \in C^1(S),$$

or equivalently,

$$\nu \Delta \Delta \psi + \psi_y \Delta \psi_x - \psi_x \Delta \psi_y + f_{1y} - f_{2x} = 0 \quad \text{in } S \quad (2)$$

$$\psi_x(\partial S) = b_1, \quad \psi_y(\partial S) = b_2$$

Let

$$\nu \Delta \Delta \psi + \psi_y \Delta \psi_x - \psi_x \Delta \psi_y + f_{1y} - f_{2x}$$

be denoted by  $P(\psi)$ . Hence (2) can be expressed by

$$P(\psi) = 0, \quad \psi_x(\partial S) = b_1, \quad \psi_y(\partial S) = b_2$$

where  $P$  can be interpreted as a mapping from  $C^4(S)$  into  $C^0(S)$ . As demonstrated in reference 1, solving (2) is equivalent to solving the sequence of equations

$$\left. \begin{aligned} P(\psi_n) + P'(\psi_n)(\psi_{n+1} - \psi_n) &= 0 \\ \frac{\partial \psi_n}{\partial x} \Big|_{\partial S} = b_1, \quad \frac{\partial \psi_n}{\partial y} \Big|_{\partial S} = b_2 &\quad \text{for } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (3)$$

providing certain conditions are satisfied (ref. 1), (i.e., primarily that  $\psi_0$ , the initial guess is reasonably good), for further insight into the significant latitude on  $\psi_0$ , the reader should consult reference 2.

$$P(\psi_0) = v\Delta\Delta[\ ] + \psi_{0y} \Delta[\ ]_x + \Delta\psi_{0x} [\ ]_y - \Delta\psi_{0y} [\ ]_x - \psi_{0x} \Delta[\ ]_y$$

Equivalent to equation (3) is the problem

$$P(\psi_n) + P'(\psi_n)\tilde{\psi}_n = 0, \quad \frac{\partial\tilde{\psi}_n}{\partial x}\Big|_{\partial S} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial\tilde{\psi}_n}{\partial y}\Big|_{\partial S} = 0, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad (4)$$

by letting

$$\tilde{\psi}_n = \psi_{n+1} - \psi_n$$

Now consider (4) under a slight change in boundary conditions, in particular:

$$P(\psi_m) + P'(\psi_m)\tilde{\psi}_m = 0, \quad \tilde{\psi}_m\Big|_{\partial S} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial\tilde{\psi}_m}{\partial n}\Big|_{\partial S} = 0, \quad m = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad (5)$$

If  $\tilde{\psi}_m^*$  is a solution to (5), it directly follows that  $\tilde{\psi}_m^*$  is also a solution of (4), and vice versa (i.e., equation (4)  $\Rightarrow \tilde{\psi}_m\Big|_{\partial S} = \text{constant}$ , without loss of generality assume constant = 0). Therefore, it is sufficient to focus our attention on equation (5), which in detail is given by

$$v\Delta\Delta\tilde{\psi}_m + \psi_{my} \Delta\tilde{\psi}_{mx} + \Delta\psi_{mx} \tilde{\psi}_{my} - \Delta\psi_{my} \tilde{\psi}_{mx} - \psi_{mx} \Delta\tilde{\psi}_{my} + P(\psi_m) = 0$$

With

$$\tilde{\psi}_m(\partial S) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial\tilde{\psi}_m}{\partial n}(\partial S) = 0, \quad m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Hence,

$$-\tilde{\psi}_m = \frac{1}{v} \int_S G(\psi_{my} \Delta\tilde{\psi}_{mx} + \Delta\psi_{mx} \tilde{\psi}_{my} - \Delta\psi_{my} \tilde{\psi}_{mx} - \psi_{mx} \Delta\tilde{\psi}_{my}) ds + \frac{1}{v} \int_S PG ds \quad (6)$$

where  $G$  is the Green's function of the biharmonic Equation [3]

$$\Delta\Delta\phi = 0 \text{ in } S, \text{ with } \phi(\partial S) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial n}(\partial S) = 0$$

Lemma:

$$(6) \Rightarrow (5)$$

Proof.  $\square$

For convenience and clarity, equation (6) will be expressed in the form:

$$\tilde{\psi} = A(\psi_m)\tilde{\psi} + f(\psi_m) \quad (6)$$

where

$$A(\psi_m)[ ] = \frac{1}{v} \int_S G(\psi_{m_y} \Delta[ ]_x + \Delta\psi_{m_x} [ ]_y - \Delta\psi_{m_y} [ ]_x - \psi_{m_x} \Delta[ ]_y) ds$$

and

$$f(\psi_m) = \frac{1}{v} \int_S P(\psi_m)G ds$$

**Lemma:** If the hypothesis of theorem 1 of reference 1 is satisfied, then there exists a solution  $\tilde{\psi}_m^*$  of equation (6) for all  $m$  and

$$\psi^* = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=0}^n \tilde{\psi}_i^* - \psi_0$$

where  $\psi^*$  is the unique of equation (2).

**Theorem:** Under the condition of the above lemma,  $\tilde{\psi}_m^*$  is a solution to the Fredholm Integral Equation of the second kind

$$\tilde{\psi}_m^*(x', y') + \int_S \tilde{\psi}_m^*(x, y) K_m(x', y', x, y) dx dy = f(\psi_m)(x', y') \quad (7)$$

where

$$K_m(x', y', x, y) = -\Delta G_y(x', y', x, y) \psi_{m_x}(x, y) + \Delta G_x \psi_{m_y} + 2 \left[ G_{xy} (-\psi_{m_{xx}} + \psi_{m_{yy}}) \right] \\ + \psi_{m_{xy}} (G_{xx} - G_{yy})$$

**Proof:** Since  $\tilde{\psi}_m^*$  will be a solution of (6) under the hypothesis, it is sufficient to show that a solution to (6) is also a solution of (7). For clarity, denote (6) in the form

$$\psi^* - A(\psi_0)\psi^* = f(\psi_0)$$

or equivalently,

$$\psi^* - \frac{1}{v} \int_S G(\psi_{0_y} \Delta\psi_x^* + \Delta\psi_{0_x} \psi_y^* - \Delta\psi_{0_y} \psi_x^* - \psi_{0_x} \Delta\psi_y^*) ds = f(\psi_0)$$



By Green's Lemma

$$\begin{aligned}
 -\int_S G \psi_{0y} \Delta \psi_x^* dx dy &= \int_S \Delta(G \psi_{0y}) \psi_x^* dx dy \\
 &= \int_S \Delta(G \psi_{0y}) \psi_x^* ds - [\Delta(G \psi_{0y})] \psi_x^* \Big|_{\partial S} \\
 &= \int_S \Delta(G \psi_{0y}) \psi_x^* ds \\
 -\int_S G \Delta \psi_{0x} \psi_y^* ds &= +\int_S (G \Delta \psi_{0x}) \psi_y^* ds \\
 +\int_S G \Delta \psi_{0y} \psi_x^* ds &= -\int_S (G \Delta \psi_{0y}) \psi_x^* ds \\
 +\int_S G \psi_{0x} \Delta \psi_y^* ds &= -\int_S \Delta(G \psi_{0x}) \psi_y^* ds
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore (6) reduces to

$$\psi^* + \frac{1}{v} \int_S \left\{ [\Delta(G \psi_{0y})] \psi_x^* + G(\Delta \psi_{0x}) \psi_y^* - \Delta(G \psi_{0x}) \psi_y^* - (G \Delta \psi_{0y}) \psi_x^* \right\} ds = f(\psi_0)$$

$$\therefore \psi^* + \frac{1}{v} \int_S \psi^* \left[ \Delta(G \psi_{0y}) \Big|_x + (G \Delta \psi_{0x}) \Big|_y - \Delta(G \psi_{0x}) \Big|_y - (G \Delta \psi_{0y}) \Big|_x \right] ds = f(\psi_0)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left[ \Delta(G \psi_{0y}) \Big|_x + (G \Delta \psi_{0x}) \Big|_y - \Delta(G \psi_{0x}) \Big|_y - (G \Delta \psi_{0y}) \Big|_x \right] \\
 &= \Delta(G_x \psi_{0y} + G \psi_{0xy}) + G_y \Delta \psi_{0x} + G \Delta \psi_{0xy} - \Delta(G_y \psi_{0x} + G \psi_{0xy}) - G_x \Delta \psi_{0y} - G \Delta \psi_{0xy} \\
 &= \Delta(G_x \psi_{0y} - G_y \psi_{0x}) + (G_y \Delta \psi_{0x} - G_x \Delta \psi_{0y}) \\
 \Delta(G_x \psi_{0y}) &= (G_x \psi_{0y})_{xx} + (G_x \psi_{0y})_{yy} \\
 &= (G_{xx} \psi_{0y} + G_x \psi_{0y})_x + (G_{xy} \psi_{0y} + G_x \psi_{0yy})_y \\
 &= G_{xxx} \psi_{0y} + G_x \psi_{0yyy} + G_x \psi_{0xxy} + G_{xyy} \psi_{0y} + 2(G_{xx} \psi_{0xy} + G_{xy} \psi_{0yy})
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
-\Delta(G_y \psi_{0_x}) &= -(G_y \psi_{0_x})_{xx} - (G_y \psi_{0_x})_{yy} \\
&= (-G_{xy} \psi_{0_x} - G_y \psi_{0_{xx}})_x + (-G_{yy} \psi_{0_x} - G_y \psi_{0_{xy}})_y \\
&= -G_{xxy} \psi_{0_x} - G_y \psi_{0_{xyy}} - 2G_{xy} \psi_{0_{xx}} - G_y \psi_{0_{xxx}} - G_{yyy} \psi_{0_x} - 2G_{yy} \psi_{0_{xy}} \\
\Delta(G_x \psi_{0_y} - G_y \psi_{0_x}) &= 2G_{xy}(\psi_{0_{yy}} - \psi_{0_{xx}}) + 2\psi_{0_{xy}}(G_{xx} - G_{yy}) + G_x(\psi_{0_y}) - G_y \Delta \psi_{0_x} \\
&\quad + \psi_{0_y} \Delta G_x - \psi_{0_x} \Delta G_y \\
\therefore \Delta(G_x \psi_{0_y} - G_y \psi_{0_x}) &+ (G_y \Delta \psi_{0_x} - G_x \Delta \psi_{0_y}) \\
&= 2[G_{xy}(\psi_{0_{yy}} - \psi_{0_{xx}}) + \psi_{0_{xy}}(G_{xx} - G_{yy})] + \psi_{0_y} \Delta G_x - \psi_{0_x} \Delta G_y
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, (6) reduces to

$$\psi^* + \frac{1}{v} \int_S \psi^* \left[ \psi_{0_y} \Delta G_x - \psi_{0_x} \Delta G_y + 2(G_{xy}(\psi_{0_{yy}} - \psi_{0_{xx}}) + \psi_{0_{xy}}(G_{xx} - G_{yy})) \right] ds = f(\psi_0)$$

Hence, (6)  $\Rightarrow$  (7).

#### CONCLUSION

Therefore, under the conditions as cited, the sequence of solutions generated by (7) converge to the solution  $\psi^*$  of (1) in the following sense:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \tilde{\psi}_i^* = \psi_n - \psi_0$$

$$\sum_0^{\infty} \tilde{\psi}_i^* = \psi^* - \psi_0$$

Therefore,

$$\psi^* = \psi_0 + \sum_0^{\infty} \tilde{\psi}_i^*$$

Hence the original problem, equation (1), which falls within the framework of nonlinear operator theory, an area that little is known, has been replaced by equation (5), which falls within the framework of bounded linear operator theory, an area for which there exists a vast wealth of information. Of course, it could be argued that it is theoretically possible that the sequential representation of the solution converges so slowly that the results are of questionable value. However, from all indications this will not be a problem, for as pointed out in reference 1, there are parameters at one's disposal in the method that can be adjusted to speed up the rate of convergence; in fact it was demonstrated in reference 2 that by judicious selection of the variable parameters available they were able to get numerically adequate convergence with just a few iterations.

## REFERENCES

1. Gabrielsen, R. E.; and Karel, S.: A Family of Approximate Solutions and Explicit Estimates for the Nonlinear Stationary Navier-Stokes Problem. NASA TM X-62,497, January 1975.
2. Davis, Joseph E.; Gabrielsen, Ralph E.; and Mehta, Unmeel B.: A Solution to the Navier-Stokes Equations Based Upon the Newton Kantorovich Method. NASA TM-78,437.

1 Report No NASA TM-81272 USAAVRADCOM TR 81-A-10		2 Government Accession No AD-A101582		3 Recipient's Catalog No	
4 Title and Subtitle REDUCTION OF THE TWO-DIMENSIONAL STATIONARY NAVIER-STOKES PROBLEM TO A SEQUENCE OF FREDHOLM INTEGRAL EQUATIONS OF THE SECOND KIND				5 Report Date May 1981	
				6 Performing Organization Code	
7 Author(s) Ralph E. Gabrielsen				8 Performing Organization Report No A-8494	
9 Performing Organization Name and Address Ames Research Center, NASA, and Aeromechanics Laboratory, AVRADCOM Research and Technology Laboratories, Moffett Field, Calif. 94035				10 Work Unit No 505-06-11	
				11 Contract or Grant No	
12 Sponsoring Agency Name and Address National Aeronautics and Space Administration Washington, D.C. 20546				13 Type of Report and Period Covered Technical Memorandum	
				14 Sponsoring Agency Code	
15 Supplementary Notes					
16 Abstract  For more than the last 20 years there has been a concerted effort to solve the stationary Navier-Stokes equations; however, this has only been successful for a few special cases of primary academic interest. An alternative approach has been to solve the equations numerically, and then compare the results with experiment. On occasion, such comparisons are in good agreement. However, such results are of dubious value since one has no a-priori way of knowing the relevance of such results until they are explicitly compared against experiment. Therefore, it would seem reasonable to conclude that the present approaches to solving the Navier-Stokes equations are of limited value. Accordingly, it is the purpose of this paper to show that there does, indeed, exist an equivalent representation of the problem that has significant potential in solving such problems. This is due to the fact that this equivalent representation of the problem consists of a sequence of Fredholm Integral Equations of the second kind, and the solving of this type of problem is very well developed. In addition, for the problem in this form, there is an excellent chance to also determine explicit error estimates, since one would now be dealing with bounded linear operators, rather than unbounded.					
17 Key Words (Suggested by Author(s)) Navier-Stokes Integral equations			18 Distribution Statement Unlimited  STAR Category - 34		
19 Security Classif (of this report) Unclassified		20 Security Classif (of this page) Unclassified		21 No. of Pages 10	22 Price* \$5.00