

Abstract

Six Sigma is regarded as a well-structured methodology for improving the quality of processes and products. The prime objective of Six Sigma is reducing defects by reducing variability in the system. Six Sigma and Design of Experiments (DOE) are used to verify the cause-and-effect relationships between the parameters critical to quality (CTQs) and the critical few factors that drive the process under study.

Objectives of the present work are three folds; the first is to integrate Six Sigma methodology with Design of Experiments as a model for quality improvement. The second is to explore this developed model for the improvement of the quality of plastic injection molding process. This work is applied at the international Engineering union company (IEUC). The Third objective is to explore the Mold Flow simulation software for the simulation and improvement of the plastic injection process.

During this work the Six Sigma DMAIC methodology is applied with many tools for the improvement process. Project charter and SIPOC tools are applied in the define phase with Voice of Customer (VOC) and critical to quality (CTQs). During the measure phase the Sigma level is computed and Pareto principle is applied to find the priority for improvement. During the analyze phase ways to reduce defects were identified .During the Improve phase the DOE is introduced to find the required experiments, factors and its levels that control the injection molding parameters.

Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) method is used for identifying the significant factors affecting the plastic molding process. One of the main defects in the plastic injection molding process is incomplete filling. For this type of defect the significant factors are injection pressure, melting temperature, injection speed, packing pressure.

Mold Flow simulation software used for analysis and simulation of injection molding process. Many runs has performed to find the best parameters for minimizing the defect percentage. Volumetric shrinkage is one of the important type of defects of the injection molding process. Analysis of this type of defect is performed using Mold Flow simulation software integrated with Taguchi method and ANOVA. The Mold Flow simulation showed that the significant factors affecting quality of the product are the melting temperature and mold temperature.

Acknowledgement

I would like to thank Allah for giving us capability and opportunity to do this work.

The deepest appreciation goes to my supervisors **Dr. Mohamed Fahmy Ali , Dr. Ahmed Mohib and Dr. Hazem Ali Attia** The support and guidance I receive from them helps me to me to accomplish this work.

It is most important to thank my Small family, My Husband(**Eng. Hamzawy Moaaz**) and My daughter (**Haneen**) and Her grand Mother

It is also most important to thank my Big family Parents (Dad&Mam), Brother and Sisters (**Mrs. Mervat Soliman ,My uncle Gooda Saber,Mr. Azmy Soliman and his wife, Mr. Ramadan Soliman and his wife, Mr. Salama and his wife , Mr. Ahmed and his wife, Mr. Mohammed Soliman, Mrs.Olfat, Mr. Mohsen Mohammed**)and my best friend **Eng.NeamatGamal** for helping me to finish this work . Thank you.

I express my sincere thanks to all staff of industrial Engineering department Fayoum university Especially **Dr. Ahmed Shaban** for helping me to publish my first research.

Thanks also givingto all staff of International Engineering Union Company in the Six of October City especially **Eng Mahmoud Fawzy** for their great efforts to accomplish this work.

Content

Subject	Pag. No
Chapter ١: Introduction & Literature Review	١
١.١ Introduction	١
١.٢ Thesis Organization	٢
١.٣ Literature Review	٣
١.٣.١ Six Sigma Methodology	٣
١.٣.٢ Six Sigma success factors	٦
١.٣.٣ Six Sigma project selection	٧
١.٣.٤ Six Sigma applications	٨
١.٣.٥ Design of Experiments (DOE) & Injection molding	١٣
١.٤ Research objectives	١٤
Chapter ٢: Six Sigma Methodologies & DOE	١٥
٢.١ Six Sigma Methodology	١٥
٢.١.٢ Six Sigma Approach	١٦
٢.١.٣ DMAIC	١٧
٢.١.٤ Six Sigma Team Structure	٢٠
٢.٢ Design of Experiments (DOE)	٢٠
٢.٢.١ Guidelines for Designing Experiments	٢١
٢.٢.٢ The Taguchi Method	٢٣
٢.٣ Plastic Injection Molding Process	٢٥
٢.٣.١ Egyptian Plastics Industry	٢٥
٢.٣.٢ Methods of Manufacturing Plastics	٢٦
٢.٣.٣ Injection Molding Process	٢٦
٢.٣.٣.١ Injection Machine	٢٨

۲.۳.۳.۲ Injection Molds	۳۰
۲.۳.۳.۳ Plastic Material	۳۲
۲.۳.۴ The quality of Plastic injection molded parts and Common Defects	۳۳
۲.۳.۴.۱. Molding flash	۳۴
۲.۳.۴.۲. Sink mark and void problems	۳۵
۲.۳.۴.۳ Molding high volumetric shrinkage	۳۷
۲.۳.۴.۴ Molding Unbalanced flow	۳۷
۲.۳.۴.۵ Molding crack	۳۸
۲.۳.۴.۶ Molding delamination	۳۹
۲.۳.۵.۷ Warpage problems	۳۹
۲.۳.۵.۸ Molding short shot problems	۴۱
Chapter ۳: Application of Six Sigma	۴۳
Methodology to a Typical Plastics Injection Plant (Case Study)	
۳.۱ Introduction	۴۳
۳.۱.۱ Study site	۴۳
۳.۲ Six Sigma Model	۴۴
۳.۳ DMAIC Process	۴۶
۳.۳.۱ Define Phase	۴۶
۳.۳.۲ Measure Phase	۵۰
۳.۳.۳ Analyze Phase	۵۴
۳.۳.۴ Improve Phase	۶۰
۳.۳.۵. Control phase	۶۶
۳.۴ Experimental Results	۶۷
۳.۴.۱ Experimental work	۶۹
۳.۴.۱.۱ The main factors and their levels	۶۹
۳.۴.۱.۲ Signal to noise ratio analysis and results	۷۰

۳, ۴.۱.۳ ANOVA results of incomplete filling defect	۷۴
۳.۵. Improvement Results	۸۱
Chapter ۴: Analysis of Injection molding Defects	۸۳
Using Moldflow Simulation	
۴.۱ Moldflow validation	۸۴
۴.۲ Simulation and DOE approach	۸۶
۴.۳ Injection molding	۸۷
۴.۳.۱ Defect analysis	۸۸
۴.۴ Experimental work on volumetric shrinkage (container ۲,۲۵ Ltr)	۸۹
۴.۴.۱ The experimental results using Mold flow simulation	۹۱
۴.۴.۲ ANOVA for mean volumetric shrinkage	۹۵
۴.۴.۳ ANOVA with interactions for STD for Volumetric shrinkage	۹۸
۴.۴.۴ Changing the thickness of container to ۲ mm	۹۹
۴.۵ Final Results	۱۰۷
Chapter ۵ Conclusion & Future Work	۱۰۸
۵.۲ Conclusion	۱۰۹
۵.۳. Future work and Limitations	۱۱۰
References	۱۱۱

List of Tables

Table	Pag. No
Table ٢.١ Yield Conversion Table	١٩
Table ٣.١ Project Charter	٤٧
Table ٣.٢ VOC and CTQ'S	٤٩
Table ٣.٣ Process SIPOC	٥٠
Table ٣.٤ The total defects in the last six months in ٢٠١٤	٥٢
Table ٣.٥ Different container products and its amount in the last six month	٥٢
Table ٣.٦ Ltr container defects in Kg and ٢,٢٥ Ltr container defects in the last six months in ٢٠١٤	٥٣
Table ٣.٧ Quantity of defective in container ٢,٢٥ and ١ Ltr	٥٦
Table ٣.٨ Amount of defects on the two machines	٦٠
Table ٣.٩ Moplen EP٥٤^U resin technical data sheet	٦٣
Table ٣.١٠ The L١٦ (٣ ^٤) array	٦٦
Table ٣.١١ Parameters and their levels	٧١
Table ٣.١٢ Summary of results of tests and S/N values for inverted label defect	٧٢
Table ٣.١٣ Response table for means for incomplete filling defect	٧٧
Table ٣.١٤ Response table for Signal to noise ratios smaller is better for incomplete filling defect	٧٤
Table ٣.١٥ Degree of freedom	٧٧
Table. ٣.١٦ ANOVA table for incomplete filling defect	٧٨
Table ٣.١٧ : ANOVA table for STD of incomplete filling	٨٠
Table ٣.١٨ : ANOVA for S/N of incomplete filling	٨١
Table ٣.١٩ The factor that affect the Incomplete filling defect	٨١
Table ٣.٢٠ Defected quantity before and after the improvement	٨٣
Table ٤.١ Parameters and their levels	٩٠
Table ٤.٢ Summary of results of tests and S/N values	٩٢
Table ٤.٣ Response table for signal to noise ratios smaller is better for volumetric shrinkage	٩٤

Table ٤.٤ Response table for means for volumetric Shrinkage	٩٥
Table ٤.٥ ANOVA table for volumetric shrinkage	٩٧
Table ٤.٦ ANOVA table for STD of volumetric shrinkage	٩٩
Table ٤.٧ Summary of results of tests and S/N values	١٠٠
Table ٤.٨ ANOVA table for volumetric shrinkage	١٠٥
Table ٤.٩ Defected quantity before and after improvement	١٠٧

List of Figures

Figure	Pag. No
Fig. 2.1 A schematic representation for Injection molding cycle	27
Fig. 2.2 General layout for Injection-molding machine	28
Fig. 2.3 A schematic representation for the injection system mechanism	29
Fig. 2.4 Solid Model of Mould Die and Exploded View	31
Fig. 2.5 The target quality	34
Fig. 2.6 Flash defect shape in plastic molding injection	34
Fig. 2.7 Sink marks defect shape in plastic molding injection	36
Fig. 2.8: Warpage defect shape in plastic molding injection	40
Fig. 2.9 Incomplete filling defect shapes in plastic molding injection	41
Fig. 3.1 Proposed Six Sigma implementation model	45
Fig. 3.2 Quantity of defects for the working products	53
Fig. 3.3 Pareto Diagram of defects type in container 2,20 Ltr	57
Fig. 3.4 Pareto Diagram of defect types in container 1 Ltr	57
Fig. 3.5 Different shapes of the inverted	58
Fig. 3.6 Cause and effect diagram for the causes of defects	59
Fig. 3.7 label length exceeds the ordinary length	59
Fig. 3.8 The standard Label design	62
Fig. 3.9 The first new label design	62
Fig. 3.10 The second new Label design	62
Fig. 3.11 Main effects plot for Mean incomplete filling defect	73
Fig. 3.12 Main effects plot for SN ratio for incomplete filling defect	73
Fig. 3.13 Plot of residuals for Incomplete filling	75
Fig. 3.14 Normal probability plot of residuals from incomplete filling experiments	76
Fig. 3.15 Pareto chart of ANOVA results for the significant factors affecting on mean incomplete filling	79
Fig. 3.16 Defective quantity Manner	83
Fig. 4.1 the results of solving example Pujari .et al. (2010)	85
Fig. 4.2 Flow chart for the simulation optimization steps applied in	87

this study

Fig. 4.3 Filling pattern of the molten plastic flow for container 2,20 (a) 22% filling and (b) 69% filling stage.	89
Fig. 4.4 a, b, c Screen shout for three result	90, 91
Fig. 4.5 Main effects plot for volumetric shrinkage	93
Fig. 4.6 Main effects plot for SN ratio for volumetric shrinkage	94
Fig. 4.7 Plot of residuals for volumetric shrinkage	96
Fig. 4.8 Normal probability plot of residuals from the volumetric shrinkage experiments	96
Fig. 4.9 Pareto chart for ANOVA results for the significant factors affecting on mean incomplete filling	98
Fig. 4.10 a, b, c Screen shout for three result	101, 102
Fig. 4.11 Main effects plot for volumetric shrinkage at thickness 3 mm	102
Fig. 4.12 Main effects plot for SN ratio for volumetric shrinkage at thickness 3 mm	103
Fig. 4.13 Normal probability plot of residuals from the volumetric shrinkage experiments at thickness 3 mm	104
Fig. 4.14 Air trap problems in container 2,20 at thickness 3 mm	106
Fig. 4.15 Air trap problems in container 2,20 at thickness 3 mm	107

List of Abbreviations

DOE: Design of Experiments

DMAIC: Define, Measure, Analyze, Improve, Control

DFSS: Design for Six Sigma

CTQs: Criticals to Quality

VOC: Voice of Customer

SIPOC: Supplier, Input, Process, Output, Customer

ANOVA: Analysis of Variance

DPMO: Defect per Million Opportunities

S/N: Signal to Noise Ratio

SS: Statistical Sum

MS: Mean Square

STD: Standard Deviation