Accountability in Early Childhood Education

NCSL 2007 Annual Meeting

Dr. Sharon Lynn Kagan, Columbia University & Yale University Dr. Thomas Schultz The Pew Charitable Trusts

Overview

- Part I: Background
- Part II: Key Challenges
- Part III: Proposed System Design
- Part IV: Action Steps

Part I:

Background

Origins

- Conceived by The Pew Charitable Trusts as part of their Advancing Quality Pre-K For All initiative.
- Additional funding from the Foundation for Child Development and the Joyce Foundation.
- Task Force convened in fall, 2005, report release fall, 2007.
- Presentation reflects progress-to-date.

Impetus

- Increased attention to early learning
- New state leadership efforts:
 - Systems of early childhood services
 - Funding specific programs
 - Oversight and improvement of local agencies
 - Building P-21 and PK-3 continuum
- Accountability movement
- New interest in child and program data

Task Force Members

- Dr. Sharon Lynn Kagan, Chair
- Dr. Eugene Garcia, Vice-Chair
 - Dr. W. Steven Barnett
 - Ms. Barbara Bowman
 - Dr. Mary Beth Bruder
 - Dr. Lindy Buch
 - Dr. Maryann Santos de Barona
 - Ms. Harriet Dichter

- Mr. Mark Friedman
- Dr. Jacqueline Jones
- Dr. Joan Lombardi
- Dr. Samuel Meisels
- Ms. Marsha Moore
- Dr. Robert Pianta
- Dr. Donald Rock

Part II:

Key Challenges

Four Challenges

- 1. Structural Challenges
- 2. Conceptual Challenges
- 3. Technical Challenges
- 4. Resource Challenges

Challenges: Structural

• Fragmented non-system of programs for preschool-aged children

• Disjointed early childhood and public education policies

Multiple Standards and Assessments

	Child Care	Head Start	State PreK	Special Education
Program Quality Standards	State Licensing Standards (50 states) Quality Rating Systems (QRS) (13 states + 29 pilots)	Program Performance Standards	State Program Standards (39 states)	IDEA regulations State program standards
Assessing Program Quality	Licensing Visits QRS Assessments (13 + 29)	Assessments		State Program Monitoring
Standards for Children's Learning	Early Learning Guidelines (49 states)	Head Start Child Outcomes Framework	Early Learning Guidelines (49 states)	3 functional goals
Child Assessments	No current requirementsNational ReportingPreK Assessments (13 states)SystemKg. Assessments (17 states)		States report % of children in 5 categories on 3 goals	
Research/Evaluations	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
	↓		↓	↓

Kindergarten to Grade 3 Standards, Assessments, Data

Challenges: Structural

- Costs, burdens, confusion of multiple standards, assessments, and reports
- Multiple new initiatives all at once
- Pre-K K-3 disconnects:
 - Pre-K assessments are *not* transferred to schools.
 - Standards, assessments, curricula are *not* aligned.

Challenges: Conceptual

- Reconciling early childhood's focus on developing curriculum based on the child, not on standards
- Reconciling formal and informal approaches to assessment
- Discerning the wise and appropriate uses of data, so as not to track, label, punish or retain children

Challenges: Technical

- Need appropriate assessment tools and methods to report on:
 - Progress/status of young children in all domains of learning and development
 - Young ELLs and children with disabilities
 - Program quality in diverse local agencies

Challenges: Resources

- Limitations and inequities in funding for:
 - Programs
 - Infrastructure
- Risk that accountability efforts ignore and exacerbate inequities in resources
- Doing accountability and assessment right is costly; doing it wrong is deadly.

Part III:

Proposed System Design



Framing Beliefs

- Accountability is here to stay.
- Programs should be held to performance standards that are documented and verified.
- Assessments should inform policy decisions and be tied to program enhancement efforts.
- Current approaches to accountability and assessment must be reformed.

State Accountability & Improvement System Design

Infrastructure						
		Program Rating & Improvement	-		Data Management & Reporting	
	↓ ↓ ↓			▼		
Assessment/Program Improvement Options						
OPTION	I CHILD POPULATION	II PROGRAM POPULATION	III STATE PROGRAM EVALUATION	IV LOCAL AGENCY QUALITY	LOCAL AGENCY QUALITY AND OUTCOMES*	
CORE QUESTION	How well are all children progressing in learning and development?	What is the quality of all early childhood programs?	What is the quality and how well are children progressing in specific state programs?	What is the quality in local agencies?	What is the quality and how well are children progressing in local agencies?	
HOW DATA IS USED	 Oversight of state investments/initiative s Planning new investments/initiative s Baseline information for K-12 education planning 	 Oversight of state investments/initiatives Planning new investments/initiatives Baseline information for K-12 education planning 	 Program-wide improvement efforts Refining standards/policies Appropriations decisions 	 Technical assistance to individual agencies. Awarding incentives and recognition to local agencies for program improvements Decisions on funding local agencies 	Technical assistance to individual agencies. Awarding incentives and public recognition to local agencies for program improvements - Decisions on funding local agencies	
Pre-K-Grade 3 Alignment and Linkages						

*Task Force members have differing views on the desirability and feasibility of this option.

System Design: Infrastructure

System Infrastructure

Early Learning & Program Quality	Program Rating & Improvement	Professional Development	Data Management & Reporting
Standards			

- Early Learning & Program Quality Standards
 - Alignment between:
 - Standards, assessment systems, and curricula
 - Standards *between* ages and grades
 - State *and* federal program structures and funding streams
 - Child and program standards

- Program Rating & Improvement
 - Assesses and reports on the quality of all forms of early education programs
 - Provides technical assistance and professional development to improve quality
 - May provide public recognition/incentives to reward higher levels of quality

- Professional Development System
 - Links informal training with formal education, provides career pathways, links education and compensation.
 - Supports training on assessment administration, analysis and use.

- Data Management & Reporting
 - All-in-one place data on:
 - Children
 - Programs
 - Workforce
 - Unified system of child identification numbers
 - Provides for quality assurance of data and assessments

Assessment Options

- States vary in:
 - What they want to know
 - How they plan to use data
 - Available resources
- States may implement one or any combination of options
- Report includes cautions/safeguards for each option

System Design: Assessment/Program Improvement Options

Assessment/Program Improvement Options					
CORE	Ι	II	III	IV	*
QUESTION	How well are	What is the	What is the	What is the	What is the
	all young	quality of all	quality and	quality in	quality &
	children	early	how are	local	how are
	progressing in	education	children	agencies?	children
	learning and	programs?	progressing		progressing
	development?		in specific		in local
			state		agencies?
			programs?		
			1 8		

*Task Force members have differing views on the desirability and feasibility of this option.

Options I and II: Statewide Data on All Children & Programs

- How data is used:
 - -Planning interagency investments/initiatives
 - -Legislative oversight
 - -Baseline information for public education

Option I

- How well are all young children progressing in learning and development?
 - Data on learning status/progress for representative sample of all young children in a state
 - -Demographic data

MD Kindergarten Readiness Assessment

- Kindergarten teachers administer modified Work Sampling System assessment to all kindergarten children in November.
- Report statewide and school district trends in overall "readiness" in specific domains for subgroups of children.
- Data used to target new state investments and in school district planning.

Option II

- What is the quality of services in all early childhood programs?
 - Quality in all forms of early education services
 - -Early childhood workforce
 - -Levels of investment/program resources

PA Quality Rating System

- PA Keystone STARS documents and improves program quality through standards, professional development, incentives, and public recognition.
- 4,300 local agencies serving 153,000 children participate.
- ECERS-R assessment tool administered in 1/3 sample of classrooms as part of 4-tiered system of quality recognition.
- State invests \$46 million to support STARS including \$22 million in incentives to providers.

Option III: Data on Specific State Programs

- What is the quality and how well are children progressing in specific programs?
 - -How data is used:
 - Program-wide improvement efforts
 - Refining standards/policies
 - Appropriations decisions

Michigan School Readiness Program

- Longitudinal evaluation of program quality and children's learning through grade 4 using comparison group of similar children.
- 5-state program evaluation using a regression discontinuity design and different child assessment tools.
- Positive results helped sustain program funding in era of budget reductions statewide.

Options IV and V: Data From Local Agency Assessments

- How data is used:
 - Technical assistance to individual providers
 - -Awarding incentives and public recognition
 - -Funding decisions by state agencies

Options IV and V

- Option IV: What is the quality of services in local provider agencies?
- Option V: How is the quality and how well are children progressing in local provider agencies?
 - Task Force members had varied views on merits and feasibility Option V.

Option IV: NJ Quality Assessments

- NJ administers ECERS-R and 2 state-developed tools assessing quality of teaching in literacy and mathematics in samples of 300 classrooms/year.
- Local agencies conduct self-assessments of tools based on state program quality standards. State validates self-assessments in 1/3 of agencies each year.
- Results are used for provider-specific program improvement and evaluating contracts with Head Start and child care providers.

Option V: NM Pre-K Program

- NM visits all local agencies twice per year to monitor and offer assistance on program quality standards.
- Teachers use state-developed observational assessment tool for instructional purposes; agencies report data to state 3 times per year.
- State aggregates results to report to legislature.
- Local agency results are used for program improvement but are not reported to the public.

System Design: Pre-K – Grade 3 Alignment and Linkages

Pre-K-Grade 3 Alignment and Linkages

Pre-K – Grade 3 Integration

- Align standards, assessments, and reporting on:
 - Children's progress
 - Quality of teaching/learning opportunities
- "Vertical" teams of teachers/managers to:
 - Review assessment information
 - Enrich learning experiences and teaching strategies
- Joint professional development

Part IV:

Action Steps

Action Steps: Legislatures

 Provide adequate funding for programs and infrastructure to support ongoing assessments and program improvements

Action Steps: State Agencies

- Develop a strategic plan for early childhood accountability and program improvement system
- Create a robust, positive, and rigorous culture for early childhood accountability efforts
- Enable local Pre-K 3 partnerships

Action Steps: Federal Government

• "Harmonize" information systems

- Fund research and development for better assessment tools
- Conduct ongoing longitudinal research on children and programs

Action Steps: Local Agencies

- Create opportunities for teachers and managers to review assessments and enhance children's learning opportunities
- Initiate dialogue with local school districts

The Benefits

- For Children: Enhanced learning opportunities and improved outcomes
- For Legislators: Better data to guide state policies and investments
- For Teachers/Directors: Targeted and wellresourced professional development and program improvement efforts
- For the Early Childhood Profession: Enhanced public awareness and credibility