

## Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome (ARDS)

**Special Guest: Andrea Sikora Newsome, PharmD, BCPS, BCCCP**

### **What is the history of ARDS and why are we talking about this today?**

- Microcosm of all of critical care
  - Relatively common, high mortality, hard to define and study
  - Faced everyday with how to treat these patients
- Approximately 10% of ICU patients develop ARDS
  - 25% of mechanically ventilated patients develop ARDS
  - Severe ARDS has a 50% mortality rate
- First described by Ashbaugh and colleagues in 1967 in a 12 patient case series
  - Describe a rapid development of respiratory distress with acute onset of tachypnea, hypoxia, and loss of lung compliance
  - First described the use of positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP), which was NOT the standard of care at that time
- American-European Consensus Conference (AECC) created the first ARDS definition in 1994
  - Severe hypoxemia including  $\text{PaO}_2/\text{FiO}_2$  ratio less than 200 mm Hg
  - Bilateral infiltrates on chest x-ray
  - No evidence of cardiogenic pulmonary edema

### **What is the current definition to diagnose ARDS currently?**

- Criticisms of previous definition:
  - High rate of inter-observer error when reading a chest x-ray
  - Hypoxia criteria ( $\text{PaO}_2/\text{FiO}_2$ ) can be altered by the ventilator settings
  - Low specificity for ARDS
- Berlin Definition:
  - Onset within 7 days
  - Bilateral opacities consistent with pulmonary edema
  - Hypoxia including  $\text{PaO}_2/\text{FiO}_2 \leq 300$  mm Hg with  $\text{PEEP} \geq 5$  cm  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ 
    - Stratified mild, moderate, and severe ARDS based on  $\text{PaO}_2/\text{FO}_2$  ratio

**What are criticisms of the Berlin definition of ARDS?**

- Kigali modification
  - Other parts of the world may not have access to a ventilator, how do we define ARDS there?
- Emphasis on having no cardiac source for pulmonary edema
  - Heart failure patients can also have ARDS, how can we evaluate and diagnose ARDS in those patients?
- Presence of diffuse alveolar damage (DAD)
  - Examining 712 autopsy results of patients with ARDS based on Berlin definition
  - Only 45% had DAD which is supposedly the hallmark pathophysiology of ARDS

**Have we identified any common causes or risk factors for ARDS?**

- Risk factors:
  - Pneumonia
  - Non-pulmonary sepsis
  - Aspiration
  - Trauma
  - Age
  - Non-white race
  - Genetic
- Even though we know age is a risk factor, it isn't associated with excess mortality
- We know there is a genetic component (just not 100% sure what it is) because not everyone with pneumonia develops ARDS

**What is the typical time course of ARDS with respect to its pathophysiology?**

- Acute, exudative phase
  - Occurs over the first 7 days of ARDS
  - Characterized by diffuse alveolar injury and capillary endothelial injury
  - Neutrophils adhere to the pulmonary capillaries and boring those little holes through the basement membrane
    - Those holes then allow large plasma proteins to cross into the interstitial fluid

- This disrupts Starling's Equilibrium causing diffuse pulmonary edema and reduced gas exchange and lung compliance
- Neutrophils and T cells migrate into the inflamed lung amplifying the damage
  - The underlying cause for this altered behavior isn't fully known
- Alveolar epithelium cells
  - Type 1
    - Gas exchange surface of the lung
    - Look similar to flat plates
      - Take the brunt of the damage
  - Type 2
    - Produce surfactant and are the progenitor cells for lung lineages
      - Not as much damage as Type 1 cells
- Proliferative Phase
  - Repair process
  - Essential for recovery
    - Reabsorption of the fluid
- Fibrotic Phase or "Recovery" Phase
  - Associated with prolonged mechanical ventilation
- Don't have a good understanding of when these phases take place clinically

## **What are ARDS treatment goals?**

- Three principles of critical care
  - Treat the underlying cause
  - Provide supportive therapy
  - Do not harm the patient in the process of the first two
- Treatment is largely focused on supportive care with ARDS

## **Overview (or pod-torial) of mechanical ventilation for ARDS**

- Goal is to oxygenate without harming the patient
- Try to avoid:
  - Volutrauma – excess volume that can harm the alveoli
  - Barotrauma – excess pressure that can harm the alveoli
  - Oxygen toxicity – free radical formation

- ARDSNet low tidal volume study
  - 6 cc/kg (IBW) v. 12 cc/kg standard tidal volume
  - Trial ended early due to ~10% reduction in mortality with low tidal volume ventilation strategy
- Ventilator mode
  - Three things that define a mode: trigger, target, and cycle
    - Trigger – what initiates the breath
    - Target – what the goal of the breath is
    - Cycle – what terminates the breath to go to exhalation
      - Answer is either time, pressure, or volume
  - Pressure regulated volume control (PRVC) – main ventilator mode in many ICUs
    - Allows pressure to be constant while also targeting a specific volume
    - “Breath to breath” mode
  - Volume control – target a specific tidal volume
    - The ARDS neuromuscular blockade studies all used volume control ventilation
  - Pressure control
    - This is referring to what is being controlled or targeted for that mode
- Advanced ventilator modes
  - Airway pressure release ventilation (APRV)
    - Inverse ratio
      - Only spend one second exhaling and the rest of the time inhaling
      - Historically, clinicians thought patients needed more sedation because it’s an unnatural mode of ventilation
        - Spontaneous ventilation on APRV helps lung recruitment, so deep sedation or paralysis may negate the potential benefit
  - High frequency oscillatory ventilation (HFOV)
  - Proportional assist ventilation
- General terms
  - FiO<sub>2</sub> – Fraction of inspired oxygen
    - 21% is room air FiO<sub>2</sub>

- $FiO_2 > 60\%$  likely leads to oxygen toxicity
- TV – Tidal volume
  - How big is the breath you inhale
- PEEP – Positive end-expiratory pressure
  - Pressure that remains in your lungs after you exhale

### **How does prone positioning help improve ARDS outcomes?**

- Lungs are bigger in the back than the front
- Laying on your back (supine positioning) can impede gas exchange through gravity and migration of substances within the lungs
- Prone positioning improves V/Q (ventilation/perfusion) mismatch
  - PROSEVA study reduced mortality by  $>50\%$  with prone positioning (16% v. 32.8%)
- Challenges with implementing prone positioning for ARDS:
  - Logistics to actually flip a patient from supine to prone
    - Or \$\$\$ to purchase the specialized bed that rotates (RotoProne)
  - Prone positioning for a minimum of 16 hours out of the 24-hour day
  - Can lead to changes with sedation, nutrition, line placement, etc.
    - Think about these as challenges rather than barriers due to the large mortality reduction from prone positioning

### **What is the current role of neuromuscular blockers for ARDS?**

- Historically, we based recommendations from the ACURASYS trial
  - 48-hour infusion of cisatracurium while patients received volume control ventilation
    - Initial bolus and 37.5 mg/hr cisatracurium IV continuous infusion
    - No train-of-four monitoring
    - $PaO_2/FiO_2 < 150$  mm Hg
  - Cisatracurium reduced the 90-day adjusted mortality compared to placebo
    - No difference seen with crude mortality
- Based on the ACURASYS trial results, use of neuromuscular blocking agents increased in ARDS
- ROSE trial did their best to replicate the ACURASYS trial
  - Compared neuromuscular blockade and deep sedation to light sedation with neuromuscular blockade

- No difference in mortality (study was stopped early due to futility)
- Limitations:
  - Excluded patients who previously received a neuromuscular blocker IV continuous infusion
    - These are the sickest patients who may have benefited the most
  - Enrolled patients within 8 hours (compared to 16 hours in ACURASYS trial)
    - Modern phenotype called “rapidly improving ARDS” and those patients may be different than our classic ARDS patients
      - Possibly could have confounded the results
- Now the question is should we be using neuromuscular blockade or not?
  - Likely shouldn't be using as a standard of care for everyone
  - Limit to severe ARDS as there are patients who may benefit

## **Should you paralyze, switch to prone positioning, or do both for severe ARDS?**

- Going back to the landmark studies, most patients were receiving both
- Goal should be to optimize care by using both
  - May need to alter based on resources at your institution

## **How do you apply the findings of the FACTT study to ARDS patients at your institution?**

- Andrea does not follow the FACTT protocol to a T
- The moment the patient is hemodynamically stable and perfusing effectively, should shift focus to diuresis
  - No protocol in place, but try to aggressively diurese the moment we can
  - Look to minimize “hidden fluids”

## **Why is the use of corticosteroids in ARDS so controversial?**

- Controversial because 1 investigator team (Meduri et al) have produced most of the positive studies that have not been replicated
  - Initial study by Meduri et al showed early corticosteroids reduced mortality, time on the ventilator, and time in the ICU
  - A repeat study in NEJM found no improvement in mortality

- This same study demonstrated increased mortality if corticosteroids are started  $\geq 14$  days from the onset of ARDS
- Still have the question of when is early v. late ARDS
  - The concern is that starting corticosteroids for ARDS at any point may be doing more harm than good (since we don't fully understand the ARDS time course)

## **What drugs have been studied and ultimately failed for the treatment of ARDS?**

- A lot have been studied, but notable ones include:
  - Corticosteroids
  - Albuterol
  - Statins
    - Interesting literature looking at specific ARDS phenotypes
    - When re-evaluating statin studies, mortality benefit found in the more inflammatory phenotype
      - Hypothesis-generating for how we should be evaluating ARDS as a whole
  - Prostaglandins
  - Surfactant
    - Curious if some of these studies failed due to study design and power calculation
    - If we could remove the “rapidly improving” ARDS phenotype patients, some of these medications (e.g. statins) may have some benefit

## **What is the role of ECMO for severe ARDS?**

- CESAR study was first to look at ECMO for ARDS
  - Did not show that ECMO was superior to conventional mechanical ventilation
  - Lots of criticisms of the CESAR study:
    - Almost 25% of patients transferred to an ECMO center didn't receive ECMO
    - Additionally, a wide variety of ventilation strategies were used
- EOLIA study was released in 2018 and there was no difference in 60-day mortality comparing ECMO to conventional mechanical ventilation

- Post-publication Bayesian analysis did show a mortality benefit to ECMO from the EOLIA study
- Bayesian analysis – statistical methodology using prior probability distributions
  - Use prior data to decide what is the percent chance of a benefit
- EOLIA control group received the highest standard of care
  - Likely can rest easy that if you are doing everything else correctly (e.g. prone positioning, low tidal volume, etc) you may not need ECMO
    - But if you aren't or can't do those things, ECMO may help
- Highest benefit for ECMO may be seen in the young critically ill patient with few comorbidities
  - ECMO may simply be harder to implement than other critical care interventions

### **What are the biggest upcoming research areas/topics for ARDS?**

- Identify a disease modifying drug
- Evaluating response to therapy based on ARDS phenotypes and endotypes
  - Use biomarkers to help differentiate types of ARDS

### **What is the role of the Pharmacist when treating patients with ARDS?**

- High quality critical care management
- More aggressive fluid stewardship
- Calculate ventilator settings to double-check
- Understand ventilator modes
- Be a medication expert