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## 1. INTRODUCTION

In order for the Aerosol Polarimetry Sensor (APS) data to be of use in scientific algorithms that derive geophysical parameters it needs to be calibrated. The APS calibration comprises a combination of pre-launch and on-orbit calibration and characterization procedures, including both radiometry and polarimetry. This Algorithm Theoretic Basis Document (ATBD) describes the planned operational calibration of APS data.

It is a working document in the sense that depending on the nature, scope and performance of the APS sensor the actual algorithms that are implemented may be adjusted compared with those presented in this version of the ATBD. Nonetheless, the system model and approach presented here are complete and any adaptation of the calibration algorithms would be the result of the failure of the APS sensor to meet its performance requirements and a consequent need to include error terms, that are currently expected to be negligible, in the calibration process.

### 1.1. Overview

APS calibration has two components to it: (i) pre-launch characterization and calibration and (ii) on-orbit calibration.

For APS polarimetry, a critical requirement pre-launch is to characterize the polarization angle orientation of the analyzers to ensure polarimetric accuracy and knowledge of polarimetric azimuth, as well as geometric alignments to ensure instantaneous field of view (IFOV) matching. The absolute spectral response of each APS band must also be measured in order to be able to relate operational measurements, with a solar spectral distribution, to the standard ground-based radiometric calibration using a NIST traceable integrating sphere source that is the basis for the pre-launch radiometric calibration. Polarization precision is primarily dependent on signal to noise ratio (SNR) which will also be measured and characterized prior to launch and which will be tracked on orbit.

To ensure that the polarization characteristics of the APS can be tracked on orbit and that the required high polarimetric accuracy is achieved for the range of actual operating conditions, both unpolarized and polarized references using "earthshine" are incorporated within the APS design so as to provide known polarization inputs over the dynamic range and with spectral content similar to the scenes of interest. The offsets and gains that define the APS radiometric scale are determined from onboard dark and solar references respectively, in conjunction with lunar calibration. The solar reference provides a definition of the reflectance scale at the time that its protective cover is deployed. It will subsequently degrade due to UV curing of the Spectralon reflector, and the long term radiometric stability will therefore be tracked using lunar calibrations at the same phase of the moon each lunar cycle.

### 1.2. Purpose

This Algorithm Theoretic Basis Document (ATBD) describes the processes required to transform raw (level 0) data into calibrated (level 1) data. Specifically this document defines the calibration coefficients required for APS and their sources and how they are used.

### 1.3. Scope

This document describes the operational calibration of APS data. Planned measurements prelaunch that provide calibration coefficients are identified but the tests used for their derivation are only described at a high level. The calibrators that are used as the source of calibration coefficients that are generated on-orbit are discussed in more detail and the algorithms used for the generation of those calibration coefficients are described. The planned numerical method for converting APS digital numbers into calibrated (polarized) radiances and reflectances is also described here.

Section 1 describes the purpose and scope of this document and provides an overview of the calibration approach for APS. Section 2 introduces the equations used to convert digital numbers (DN) from the APS into calibrated (polarized) radiances and reflectances and defines the calibration coefficients that are required in order to do this. Section 3 describes the sources for the various calibration coefficients and, for the coefficients that are derived from the on-orbit dark, unpolarized and polarized references, the planned operational method for their derivation. Section 4 describes the operational use of the solar reference and lunar calibration. Section 5 provides references.

### 1.4. Documents

The reference document for the requirements that the APS calibration algorithm must meet is the NASA Glory Science and Mission Requirements Document (SMRD). Should there be a discrepancy between the requirements stated in this document and the SMRD, the SMRD shall take precedence.

The information contained in this document is also dependent on the:
APS Calibration and Characterization Plan
APS Sensor Command and Telemetry Handbook
NASA Glory Flight Operations Handbook

### 1.5. Requirements

Those requirements from the SMRD (Rev-B) that the APS calibration process must meet are replicated here for ease of reference
1.5.1 The radiometric accuracy and precision of the APS shall be as described in Table 1.5-1. Polarization accuracy is considered to be the absolute accuracy of the determination of the normalized Stokes parameters $q$ and $u$ for each telescope, with a functional variation with measured value as defined in Figure 1.5-1. The relative spectral accuracy is considered to be the accuracy with which the ratio of the radiances in spectrally adjacent bands can be determined. The plane of reference for $q$ and $u$ polarization
measurements is the meridional plane defined by the APS scanner. APS radiometric calibration should be traceable to NIST standards. The function e $(P)$ is used to specify polarimetric accuracy as a function of polarization value. $e(P)$ is defined to be: $e(P)=0.002$ when $P<0.2$ and $e(P)=0.002+0.00375(P-0.2)$ when $P>0.2$. The function $S(D N)$ is the intensity expressed in units of digital number after any correction for dark count and non-linearity. Refer to Section 9 for a definition of the $S(D N)$ parameter.
1.5.2 Radiometric calibration and the accuracy of the APS sensor as determined on-orbit shall be traceable to a reflectance-based scale, since the accuracy requirements for the APS sensor apply particularly to knowledge of the reflectance of the observed earth scenes.
1.5.3 The reflectance based scale shall be established by the APS sensor during the initial deployment. The reflectance based scale will be maintained using lunar calibration for the remainder of the mission.
1.5.4 The APS radiometric accuracy over the entire spectral range shall be stable to within $0.3 \%$ per year over the period of performance of three years.
1.5.5 The APS polarimetric accuracy over the entire spectral range shall be stable to within $0.1 \%$ per year over the period of performance of three years.

|  |  | Radiometric (Reflectance-based) |  | Polarization |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Band | $\lambda$ <br> $(\mathbf{n m})$ | Absolute <br> Accuracy <br> $(1 \sigma)$ | Relative <br> Spectral <br> Accuracy <br> $(1 \sigma)$ | Precision <br> $(1 \sigma)$ | Accuracy <br> $(1 \sigma)$ | Precision <br> $(1 \sigma)$ |
| P1 | 412 | $0.05+2 / \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{DN})$ | $0.013+4 / \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{DN})$ | 0.008 | $\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{P})+2 / \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{DN})$ | 0.003 |
| P2 | 443 | $0.05+2 / \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{DN})$ | $0.013+4 / \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{DN})$ | 0.008 | $\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{P})+2 / \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{DN})$ | 0.003 |
| P3 | 555 | $0.05+2 / \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{DN})$ | $0.013+4 / \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{DN})$ | 0.008 | $\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{P})+2 / \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{DN})$ | 0.003 |
| P4 | 672 | $0.05+2 / \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{DN})$ | $0.013+4 / \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{DN})$ | 0.008 | $\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{P})+2 / \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{DN})$ | 0.003 |
| P5 | 865 | $0.05+2 / \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{DN})$ | $0.013+4 / \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{DN})$ | 0.008 | $\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{P})+2 / \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{DN})$ | 0.003 |
| P6 | 910 | $0.05+2 / \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{DN})$ | $0.013+4 / \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{DN})$ | 0.01 | $\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{P})+2 / \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{DN})$ | 0.0075 |
| P7 | 1378 | $0.08+2 / \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{DN})$ | $0.02+4 / \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{DN})$ | 0.008 | $\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{P})+2 / \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{DN})$ | 0.005 |
| P8 | 1610 | $0.05+2 / \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{DN})$ | $0.02+4 / \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{DN})$ | 0.008 | $\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{P})+2 / \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{DN})$ | 0.003 |
| P9 | 2250 | $0.05+2 / \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{DN})$ | $0.02+4 / \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{DN})$ | 0.008 | $\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{P})+2 / \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{DN})$ | 0.003 |

Table 1.5-1 APS Radiometric and Polarimetric Accuracy and Precision


Figure 1.5-1 Functional variation of polarimetric accuracy with the measured value of $q$ and u

## 2. CALIBRATION - THEORETICAL BASIS

The calibration of APS data requires coefficients that are generated as part of the sensor characterization and calibration pre-launch and also coefficients that are generated as the result of on-orbit observations of calibrators. This section introduces the calibration equation for APS data and defines the required calibration coefficients and their source.

### 2.1. APS Data

Here we describe the APS data and then introduce calibration equations that are required for measurements of this type. The Aerosol Polarimetry Sensor (APS) makes four measurements to analyze the linear polarization state of the incident radiation in each spectral band at each view angle (sector). This measurement approach uses identical paired optical assemblies that are designated as "telescopes" for brevity. One telescope (labeled 1) in each pair makes
simultaneous measurements of the linearly-polarized intensity at azimuths of $0^{\circ}$ and $90^{\circ}$ with respect to the APS meridional plane of the scan, while the other telescope (labeled 2) simultaneously measures equivalent intensities at $45^{\circ}$ and $135^{\circ}$. In reality the orientation of the Wollaston prisms will not be perfect and so the actual angle at which the polarizations are measured will have some small offset $\varepsilon$ from the meridional plane, though the orthogonality will be almost perfect since it is determined by the crystalline structure of the Wollaston elements. The raw measurements are designated as R1L, R1R, R2L and R2R, respectively. The APS design provides measurements in nine spectral bands and thus a sector data record consists of nine sets of these four measurements, i.e., from 36 signal channels. During the DC-restoration period each of the 36 signal channels are DC-restored simultaneously to dark reference levels while the scanner views into a dark cavity. Following DC-restoration of the channels, measurement data are collected and stored while sequentially viewing: the dark reference cavity for pre-scene (post DC-restoration) dark reference samples designated as D1L, D1R, D2L and D2R; the unpolarized reference; the scene over an angular swath of $112^{\circ}\left(-50^{\circ}\right.$ to $+62^{\circ}$ about nadir); the polarized reference; and the dark reference cavity for post-scene (pre-DC restoration for the next scan) dark reference samples designated as P1L, P1R, P2L and P2R.

The data in the four channels can therefore be modeled as:

$$
\begin{gather*}
I\left(0+\varepsilon_{1}\right)=C 0 \times(R 1 L-D 1 L m)  \tag{1a}\\
I\left(90+\varepsilon_{1}\right)=C 0 \times K 1 \times(R 1 R-D 1 R m)  \tag{1b}\\
I\left(45+\varepsilon_{2}\right)=C 0 \times C 12 \times(R 2 L-D 2 L m)  \tag{1c}\\
I\left(135+\varepsilon_{2}\right)=C 0 \times C 12 \times K 2 \times(R 2 R-D 2 R m) \tag{1d}
\end{gather*}
$$

where the $D X Y m$ terms are the mean dark counts for each channel. The reason for using four radiometric gain coefficients in this form will become clear as we discuss further the calibration approach and method.

### 2.1.1.APS System Model

In order to determine how the measurements in the four channels relate to the input Stokes vector it is necessary to model the primary sources of imperfection in a polarimeter of the APS type. The APS uses a pair of crossed mirrors to scan the field of view, the light then enters a refractive telescope before being analyzed by a Wollaston prism. In modeling the APS system we are concerned by the potential for the scan mirrors to introduce instrumental polarization, the fact that the lenses can introduce retardance (caused by stress) and imperfections in the Wollaston prisms. In fact the Wollaston prism performance is expected to be excellent and not a significant factor in degrading system performance, but the effects of depolarization and cross-talk can be subsumed in degraded polarizer performance for the sake of simplicity. The following Mueller matrices are therefore needed.

The primary source of instrumental polarization is expected to be mismatches between the APS mirrors. The Mueller matrix for a pair of crossed mirrors is

$$
\mathbf{M}_{m}=R\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\cosh (\eta) & \sinh (\eta) & 0 & 0  \tag{2}\\
\sinh (\eta) & -\cosh (\eta) & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -\cos (\Delta) & -\sin (\Delta) \\
0 & 0 & -\sin (\Delta) & \cos (\Delta)
\end{array}\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& R=\sqrt{R_{p} R_{p}{ }^{\prime} R_{s} R_{s}{ }^{\prime}} \\
& \eta=\frac{1}{2}\left[\ln \left(\frac{R_{s}^{\prime}}{R_{s}}\right)-\ln \left(\frac{R_{p}{ }^{\prime}}{R_{p}}\right)\right]  \tag{3}\\
& \Delta=\left(\varepsilon_{p}-\varepsilon_{s}\right)-\left(\varepsilon_{p}{ }^{\prime}-\varepsilon_{s}^{\prime}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{s, p}=\left|r_{s, p}\right|^{2}  \tag{4}\\
& \varepsilon_{s, p}=\arg \left(r_{s, p}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

with $r_{s, p}$ being the complex reflectance for the s and p orientations of the electric field at the first mirror and the reflectance at the second mirror is defined by the same parameters but with a prime to indicate the potentially different properties at the second mirror. For Al mirrors at 630 nm illuminated at $45^{\circ}$ the magnitude of $\varepsilon_{p}-\varepsilon_{s}$ is around $10^{\circ}$. It is apparent that, apart from the inversion of Q and U by the double reflection, the magnitude of off-diagonal elements in the Muller matrix of the mirror system is determined by how well the mirrors are matched in the magnitude and phase of their reflections. For a linear retarder the Mueller matrix is

$$
\mathbf{M}_{r}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0  \tag{5}\\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \cos \delta & -\sin \delta \\
0 & 0 & \sin \delta & \cos \delta
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\delta$ is the phase shift between two linear polarization components and a polarizer can be modeled as

$$
\mathbf{M}_{p}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
p_{x}^{2}+p_{y}^{2} & p_{x}^{2}-p_{y}^{2} & 0 & 0  \tag{6}\\
p_{x}^{2}-p_{y}^{2} & p_{x}^{2}+p_{y}^{2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 2 p_{x} p_{y} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 2 p_{x} p_{y}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $p_{x}$ and $p_{y}$ are the field transmission factors for the x and y components of the electric field. This matrix can be rewritten in a more useful form as

$$
\mathbf{M}_{p}=\frac{p_{x}^{2}+p_{y}^{2}}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & \frac{e-1}{e+1} & 0 & 0  \tag{7}\\
\frac{e-1}{e+1} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{2 \sqrt{e}}{e+1} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{2 \sqrt{e}}{e+1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $e$ is the extinction ratio (of $x$ and $y$ intensities). In order to model the effects of the mirrors, lens retardance and the analysis of the Stokes vector by the Wollaston prism it is necessary to express the Mueller matrices for these elements in arbitrary frames of reference. Rotations of elements described by Mueller matrices are given by the expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{M}^{\prime}=\mathbf{R}(-\alpha) \mathbf{M} \mathbf{R}(\alpha) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha$ is the rotation angle and the rotation matrix R is given by

$$
\mathbf{R}(\alpha)=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0  \tag{9}\\
0 & \cos 2 \alpha & \sin 2 \alpha & 0 \\
0 & -\sin 2 \alpha & \cos 2 \alpha & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

The Mueller matrix for the crossed mirrors in an arbitrary frame is given by the expression

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathbf{M}_{m}=R \cosh (\eta)\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & \cos (2 \alpha) \tanh (\eta) & \sin (2 \alpha) \tanh (\eta) & 0 \\
\cos (2 \alpha) \tanh (\eta) & -1+\sin ^{2}(2 \alpha) \xi & -\sin (2 \alpha) \cos (2 \alpha) \xi & \sin (2 \alpha) \beta \\
\sin (2 \alpha) \tanh (\eta) & -\sin (2 \alpha) \cos (2 \alpha) \xi & -1+\cos ^{2}(2 \alpha) \xi & -\cos (2 \alpha) \beta \\
0 & \sin (2 \alpha) \beta & -\cos (2 \alpha) \beta & 1-\xi
\end{array}\right)  \tag{10}\\
\text { where } \\
\xi=\frac{\cosh (\eta)-\cos (\Delta)}{\cosh (\eta)}  \tag{11}\\
\text { and } \\
\beta=\frac{\sin (\Delta)}{\cosh (\eta)} . \tag{12}
\end{gather*}
$$

The Mueller matrix for a retarder in an arbitrary orientation is

$$
\mathbf{M}_{r}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0  \tag{13}\\
0 & \cos ^{2}(2 \alpha)+\sin ^{2}(2 \alpha) \cos (\delta) & \sin (2 \alpha) \cos (2 \alpha)[1-\cos (\delta)] & \sin (2 \alpha) \sin (\delta) \\
0 & \sin (2 \alpha) \cos (2 \alpha)[1-\cos (\delta)] & \sin ^{2}(2 \alpha)+\cos ^{2}(2 \alpha) \cos (\delta) & -\cos (2 \alpha) \sin (\delta) \\
0 & -\sin (2 \alpha) \sin (\delta) & \cos (2 \alpha) \sin (\delta) & \cos (\delta)
\end{array}\right)
$$

and for a polarizer in an arbitrary orientation the expression is

$$
\mathbf{M}_{p}=\frac{p_{x}^{2}+p_{y}^{2}}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & \cos (2 \alpha) \frac{e-1}{e+1} & \sin (2 \alpha) \frac{e-1}{e+1} & 0  \tag{14}\\
\cos (2 \alpha) \frac{e-1}{e+1} & \cos ^{2}(2 \alpha)+\sin ^{2}(2 \alpha) \frac{2 \sqrt{e}}{e+1} & \sin (2 \alpha) \cos (2 \alpha)\left(1-\frac{2 \sqrt{e}}{e+1}\right) & 0 \\
\sin (2 \alpha) \frac{e-1}{e+1} & \sin (2 \alpha) \cos (2 \alpha)\left(1-\frac{2 \sqrt{e}}{e+1}\right) & \sin ^{2}(2 \alpha)+\cos ^{2}(2 \alpha) \frac{2 \sqrt{e}}{e+1} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{2 \sqrt{e}}{e+1}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The mirror mismatching terms $\eta$ and $\Delta$, together with the retardance $\delta$ are expected to be sufficiently small that those terms that are quadratically small can be neglected. If we make this approximation and combine the Mueller matrices for the crossed mirrors with that for the retarder, which represents a model of the effects of stress birefringence on the lenses, we find that the Stokes vector at the output of the lenses is

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
I^{\prime}  \tag{15}\\
Q^{\prime} \\
U^{\prime} \\
V^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
I+\tanh (\eta)\left[\cos \left(2 \alpha_{m}\right) Q+\sin \left(2 \alpha_{m}\right) U\right] \\
\cos \left(2 \alpha_{m}\right) \tanh (\eta) I-Q+E_{q 1}+E_{q 2} \\
\sin \left(2 \alpha_{m}\right) \tanh (\eta) I-U+E_{u 1}+E_{u 2} \\
V^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where the error terms are given by the expressions

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{q 1}=\frac{\sin (\Delta) \sin (\delta)}{\cosh (\eta)} \sin \left(2_{\alpha r}\left[Q \sin \left(2 \alpha_{m}\right)-U \cos \left(2 \alpha_{m}\right)\right]\right. \\
& E_{q 2}=\left[\sin \left(2 \alpha_{m}\right) \frac{\sin (\Delta)}{\cosh (\eta)}+\sin (\delta) \sin \left(2 \alpha_{r}\right)\right] V \\
& E_{u 1}=\frac{\sin (\Delta) \sin (\delta)}{\cosh (\eta)} \sin \left(2_{\alpha r}\left[U \cos \left(2 \alpha_{m}\right)-Q \sin \left(2 \alpha_{m}\right)\right]\right.  \tag{16}\\
& E_{u 2}=\left[-\cos \left(2 \alpha_{m}\right) \frac{\sin (\Delta)}{\cosh (\eta)}-\sin (\delta) \cos \left(2 \alpha_{r}\right)\right] V
\end{align*}
$$

The terms $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{q} 1}$ and $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{u} 1}$ can be neglected for a sensor such as APS because they are quadratically small in the retardance and mirror matching errors. The terms $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{q} 2}$ and $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{u} 2}$ although linear in mirror matching and retardance errors are quadratically small compared with the other terms
since V is at least two orders of magnitude smaller than Q and U for naturally illuminated scenes on the Earth. The model for the Stokes vector that arrives at the Wollaston prisms is therefore

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
I^{\prime}  \tag{17}\\
Q^{\prime} \\
U^{\prime} \\
V^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
I+q_{\text {inst }} Q+u_{\text {inst }} U \\
q_{\text {inst }} I-Q \\
u_{\text {inst }} I-U \\
V^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where we have made the identification $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{inst}}=\tanh (\eta) \cos \left(2 \alpha_{\mathrm{m}}\right)$ and $\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{inst}}=\tanh (\eta) \sin \left(2 \alpha_{\mathrm{m}}\right)$. The measurements in the four channels are therefore related to the incident Stokes vectors as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
& I\left(0+\varepsilon_{1}\right)=\frac{I+q_{\text {inst }} Q+u_{\text {inst }} U+\frac{e_{1}-1}{e_{1}+1}\left\{\cos \left(2 \varepsilon_{1}\right)\left[q_{\text {inst }} I-Q\right]+\sin \left(2 \varepsilon_{1}\right)\left[u_{\text {inst }} I-U\right]\right\}}{2}  \tag{18a}\\
& I\left(90+\varepsilon_{1}\right)=\frac{I+q_{\text {inst }} Q+u_{\text {inst }} U-\frac{e_{1}-1}{e_{1}+1}\left\{\cos \left(2 \varepsilon_{1}\right)\left[q_{\text {inst }} I-Q\right]+\sin \left(2 \varepsilon_{1}\right)\left[u_{\text {inst }} I-U\right]\right\}}{2}  \tag{18b}\\
& I\left(45+\varepsilon_{2}\right)=\frac{I+q_{\text {inst }} Q+u_{\text {inst }} U+\frac{e_{2}-1}{e_{2}+1}\left\{-\sin \left(2 \varepsilon_{2}\right)\left[q_{\text {inst }} I-Q\right]+\cos \left(2 \varepsilon_{2}\right)\left[u_{\text {inst }} I-U\right]\right\}}{2}  \tag{18c}\\
& I\left(135+\varepsilon_{2}\right)=\frac{I+q_{\text {inst }} Q+u_{\text {inst }} U-\frac{e_{2}-1}{e_{2}+1}\left\{-\sin \left(2 \varepsilon_{2}\right)\left[q_{\text {inst }} I-Q\right]+\cos \left(2 \varepsilon_{2}\right)\left[u_{\text {inst }} I-U\right]\right\}}{2} \tag{18d}
\end{align*}
$$

where the extinction ratios of the two Wollaston prisms ( $\mathrm{e}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{e}_{2}$ ) can be different. Let us now form sums and differences of these four quantities viz.,

$$
\begin{gather*}
I\left(0+\varepsilon_{1}\right)+I\left(90+\varepsilon_{1}\right)=I\left\{1+p_{\text {inst }} p \cos \left[2\left(\chi_{\text {inst }}-\chi\right)\right]\right\}  \tag{19a}\\
I\left(0+\varepsilon_{1}\right)-I\left(90+\varepsilon_{1}\right)=\frac{e_{1}-1}{e_{1}+1} I\left\{\tilde{q}_{\text {inst }}-\cos \left(2 \varepsilon_{1}\right) q-\sin \left(2 \varepsilon_{1}\right) u\right\}  \tag{19b}\\
I\left(45+\varepsilon_{2}\right)+I\left(135+\varepsilon_{2}\right)=I\left\{1+p_{\text {inst }} p \cos \left[2\left(\chi_{\text {inst }}-\chi\right)\right]\right\}  \tag{19c}\\
I\left(45+\varepsilon_{2}\right)-I\left(135+\varepsilon_{2}\right)=\frac{e_{2}-1}{e_{2}+1} I\left\{\tilde{u}_{\text {inst }}+\sin \left(2 \varepsilon_{2}\right) q-\cos \left(2 \varepsilon_{2}\right) u\right\} \tag{19d}
\end{gather*}
$$

where the instrumental polarizations are now defined to be in the plane of the Wollaston prisms rather than the ideal orientation

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{q}_{\text {inst }}=\cos \left(2 \varepsilon_{1}\right) q_{\text {inst }}+\sin \left(2 \varepsilon_{1}\right) u_{\text {inst }}  \tag{20}\\
& \tilde{u}_{\text {inst }}=\cos \left(2 \varepsilon_{2}\right) u_{\text {inst }}-\sin \left(2 \varepsilon_{2}\right) q_{\text {inst }}
\end{align*}
$$

and we define $q=Q / I, u=U / I, p=\left(Q^{2}+U^{2}\right)^{0.5} / I$, $\left.\mathrm{p}_{\text {inst }}=\left(q_{\text {inst }}{ }^{2}+u_{\text {inst }}\right)^{2}\right)^{0.5}, \tan \left(2 \chi_{\text {inst }}\right)=u_{\text {inst }} / q_{\text {inst }}$ and $\tan (2 \chi)=u / q$. A method for accurately estimating I, $q$ and $u$ in the presence of instrumental polarization can be derived by examining the following non-linear equations,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{I\left(0+\varepsilon_{1}\right)-I\left(90+\varepsilon_{1}\right)}{I\left(0+\varepsilon_{1}\right)+I\left(90+\varepsilon_{1}\right)} \times \alpha_{q} \times \xi(p)-\tilde{q}_{\text {inst }} \approx\left\{-\cos \left(2 \varepsilon_{1}\right) q-\sin \left(2 \varepsilon_{1}\right) u\right\} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{I\left(45+\varepsilon_{2}\right)-I\left(135+\varepsilon_{2}\right)}{I\left(45+\varepsilon_{2}\right)+I\left(135+\varepsilon_{2}\right)} \times \alpha_{u} \times \xi(p)-\tilde{u}_{\text {inst }} \approx\left\{\sin \left(2 \varepsilon_{2}\right) q-\cos \left(2 \varepsilon_{2}\right) u\right\} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the scale factors $\alpha_{q}$ and $\alpha_{u}$ are defined to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{q}=\frac{e_{1}+1}{e_{1}-1} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{u}=\frac{e_{2}+1}{e_{2}-1} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the polarization dependent factor x is given by the expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi(p)=\left\{1+p_{\text {inst }} p \cos \left[2\left(\chi_{\text {inst }}-\chi\right)\right]\right\} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

These equations can be solved iteratively in the following way. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i}=\frac{I\left(0+\varepsilon_{1}\right)-I\left(90+\varepsilon_{1}\right)}{I\left(0+\varepsilon_{1}\right)+I\left(90+\varepsilon_{1}\right)} \times \alpha_{q} \times \xi\left(p_{i}\right)-\tilde{q}_{\text {inst }} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{i}=\frac{I\left(45+\varepsilon_{2}\right)-I\left(135+\varepsilon_{2}\right)}{I\left(45+\varepsilon_{2}\right)+I\left(135+\varepsilon_{2}\right)} \times \alpha_{u} \times \xi\left(p_{i}\right)-\tilde{u}_{\text {inst }} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\left.\left[\begin{array}{l}
q_{i}  \tag{28}\\
u_{i}
\end{array}\right]=\frac{-1}{\cos \left[2\left(\varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{2}\right]\right.}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \left(2 \varepsilon_{2}\right) & -\sin \left(2 \varepsilon_{1}\right) \\
\sin \left(2 \varepsilon_{2}\right) & \cos \left(2 \varepsilon_{1}\right)
\end{array}\right] \begin{array}{l}
x_{i} \\
y_{i}
\end{array}\right]
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
& \xi\left(p_{0}\right)=1  \tag{29}\\
& \xi\left(p_{i}\right)=\left\{1+p_{\text {inst }} p_{i-1} \cos \left[2\left(\chi_{\text {inst }}-\chi_{i-1}\right)\right]\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

For the required instrumental polarization level of less than $1 \%$ convergence to an accuracy of less than $0.1 \%$ will occur in two iterations. The form of calibration outlined above has been used on data taken with the Research Scanning Polarimeter (RSP) with excellent results ( $<0.1 \%$, Cairns et al. 1999). After testing the as built Aerosol Polarimetry Sensor it has become clear that the instrumental polarization is so low in all bands except the 410 nm band that the correction is negligible ( $\ll 0.1 \%$ ). In the 410 nm band the instrumental polarization is still low enough $(<0.5 \%)$ that it can be corrected without iteration, but it depends on view angle and there is instrumental polarization present in the viewing direction that provides the relative gain coefficients. The relative gain coefficients in the 410 nm band therefore need the correction to the apparent gain coefficient applied as indicated in Eq. (E) of Section 4.1. The tabulated values of the instrumental polarization as a function of viewing angle are given in Appendix A.

In practice, because the relative phase of $q$ and $u$ (i.e. the difference between $\varepsilon_{1}$, or $\varepsilon_{2}$ ) is better known than the absolute values of either $\varepsilon_{1}$, or $\varepsilon_{2}$ equation (28) is re-written in the form

$$
\left\lfloor\begin{array}{l}
q_{i}  \tag{30}\\
u_{i}
\end{array}\right\rfloor=\left\lfloor\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \left(2 \Delta_{\varepsilon}\right) & -\sin \left(2 \Delta_{\varepsilon}\right) \\
\sin \left(2 \Delta_{\varepsilon}\right) & \cos \left(2 \Delta_{\varepsilon}\right)
\end{array}\right\rfloor \frac{1}{\cos \left(4 \delta_{\varepsilon}\right)}\left\lfloor\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \left(2 \delta_{\varepsilon}\right) & -\sin \left(2 \delta_{\varepsilon}\right) \\
-\sin \left(2 \delta_{\varepsilon}\right) & \cos \left(2 \delta_{\varepsilon}\right)
\end{array} x_{i} y_{i}\right\rfloor
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varepsilon_{1}=\Delta_{\varepsilon}+\delta_{\varepsilon}  \tag{31}\\
& \varepsilon_{2}=\Delta_{\varepsilon}-\delta_{\varepsilon}
\end{align*}
$$

and the factor of negative one has been subsumed into the absolute orientation rotation. This formally separates the correction for the Wollaston prisms being incorrectly aligned with respect to one another from the correction for the absolute orientation of the Wollaston prisms. Since Fourier analysis of a rotated ultra-high efficiency wire grid polarizer is used to determine the relative phase of $q$ and $u$, while the absolute orientation of polarization is determined using a Suprasil 300 plate oriented to Brewster's angle this separation also make practical sense. The relative orientation of the Wollaston prisms, $2 \delta_{\varepsilon}$, is determined for primary and redundant electronics, for the thermal/vacuum hot, cold and acceptance conditions and also as a function of view angle. These calibration coefficients are tabulated in Appendix A.

The values for the absolute orientation correction, $2 \Delta_{\varepsilon}$, are only determined for the primary side electronics since they provide an absolute reference against which to correct the orientation of the wire-grid polarizer used to determine the relative orientation of the Wollaston prisms and are also given in Appendix A. In addition to a polarization orientation rotation that is determined for the nadir view the polarization azimuth is also rotated in a fixed, repeatable manner by the
rotation of the APS scanner. This rotation also needs to be corrected for and this corrected rotation is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \Delta_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}=2\left[\Delta_{\varepsilon}+(115 \text {-isector }) 2 \pi / 768\right] \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where 115 is the Earth viewing sector index (starting at 0 ) of the nominal nadir view for which $\Delta_{\varepsilon}$ is determined and the factor $2 \pi / 768$ indicates that the APS scan is broken into 768 elements separated by 8.18 mrad .

### 2.1.2.APS Calibration Coefficients

We can now enumerate the APS calibration coefficients and identify their sources. The table below provides this information.

| Coefficient | Source | Accuracy |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| K1, K2 | Unpolarized reference on <br> orbit. (Section 3) | $<0.1 \%$ |
| $\alpha_{\mathrm{q}}\left(\mathrm{p}_{0}\right), \alpha_{\mathrm{u}}\left(\mathrm{p}_{0}\right)$ | Polarized reference on orbit. <br> (Section 3) | $<0.1 \%$ |
| C 12 | Comparison of measurements <br> from telescope pairs on orbit. <br> (Section 4) | $<0.1 \%$ |
| C 0 | Solar reference and lunar <br> calibration. (Section 4) | $<5 \%$ |
| $q_{\text {inst }}, u_{\text {inst }}$ | Pre-launch characterization. <br> (APS Calibration and <br> Characterization Plan) | $<0.1 \%$ |
| $\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}$ | Pre-launch characterization. <br> (APS Calibration and <br> Characterization Plan) | $<0.05^{\circ}$ |

Table 2.1.2-1. Enumeration and identification of sources for APS calibration coefficients.

### 2.1.3.APS Calibration Implementation

The actual calculations that are performed on the APS data in order to obtain calibrated values of $I, Q, U, p$ and $\chi$ are given in this section.

1. Calculate mean dark reference value from the sixteen dark reference values available after the DC restore operation.
a) Input: APS raw data.
b) Output: Mean dark reference values, generally designated as DXYm where X can take the value 1 or 2 and $Y$ can take the value $L$ or $R$. The Pre_DXY values are from the pre-DC restore observations of the dark reference and the Post_DXY values are from the post-DC restore observations of the dark reference.
c) Method:

$$
\begin{align*}
& D X Y m=\frac{i=p r e_{-} l o}{\left(p r e_{-} h i-p r e_{-} l o+1\right)+\left(p o s t_{-} h i-p o s t_{-} l o+1\right)} \tag{A}
\end{align*}
$$

2. Calculate dark corrected intermediate results SXY.
a) Input: APS raw data and average dark counts
b) Output: Dark corrected digital numbers
c) Method:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S X Y=R X Y-D X Y m \tag{B}
\end{equation*}
$$

3. Calculate intermediate results, $\Sigma \mathrm{X}$ and $\delta \mathrm{X}$.
a) Input: APS raw data, K1, K2 calibration coefficients.
b) Output: Intermediate results that are used in the determination of $I, q$ and $u$ and also in the evaluation of the calibration coefficients C12 and C0.
c) Method:

$$
\begin{align*}
\Sigma 1 & =S 1 L+K 1 . S 1 R  \tag{C}\\
\Sigma 2 & =S 2 L+K 2 . S 2 R  \tag{D}\\
\delta 1 & =\frac{S 1 L-K 1 . S 1 R}{\Sigma 1}  \tag{E}\\
\delta 2 & =\frac{S 2 L-K 2 . R 2 R}{\Sigma 2} \tag{F}
\end{align*}
$$

4. Calculate $q, u, p$ and $\chi$ and $\xi(p)$. Correct for misalignment of Wollastons, instrumental polarization and instrumental depolarization.
a) Input: $\delta 1, \delta 2, \alpha_{q}, \alpha_{u}, q_{\text {inst }}$ and $u_{\text {inst }}$ calibration coefficients.
b) Output: $q, u, p$ and $\chi$ together with $\xi(\mathrm{p})$ that is required for the calculation of $I$.
c) Method: Equations 21 and 22, 26 and 27 can be rewritten in terms of $\delta 1$ and $\delta 2$ and are independent of the absolute radiometric calibration coefficient C 0 , or the inter-telescope radiometric calibration coefficient C12. The iterative solution is then implemented as follows:
i) Initial value for $\xi(p)$ is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi\left(p_{0}\right)=1 \tag{G}
\end{equation*}
$$

ii) Iteration starts with index 0 and loops over the following equations. The iteration is terminated when $\left|\ln \left[\xi\left(p_{\mathrm{i}}\right) / \xi\left(p_{\mathrm{i}-1}\right)\right]\right|<0.001$. Thus if the instrumental polarization is less than $0.1 \%$ there will be no iteration, just a first order correction.

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
x_{i}=\delta 1 \times \alpha_{q} \times \xi\left(p_{i}\right)-\tilde{q}_{\text {inst }} \\
y_{i}=\delta 2 \times \alpha_{u} \times \xi\left(p_{i}\right)-\tilde{u}_{\text {inst }} \\
\left\lfloor\begin{array}{c}
q_{i} \\
u_{i}
\end{array} \left\lvert\,=\left\lfloor\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \left(2 \Delta_{\varepsilon}\right) & -\sin \left(2 \Delta_{\varepsilon}\right) \\
\sin \left(2 \Delta_{\varepsilon}\right) & \cos \left(2 \Delta_{\varepsilon}\right)
\end{array}\left|\frac{1}{\cos \left(4 \delta_{\varepsilon}\right)}\right| \begin{array}{cc}
\cos \left(2 \delta_{\varepsilon}\right) & -\sin \left(2 \delta_{\varepsilon}\right) \\
-\sin \left(2 \delta_{\varepsilon}\right) & \cos \left(2 \delta_{\varepsilon}\right)
\end{array} x_{i}\right.\right.\right.  \tag{J}\\
y_{i}
\end{array}\right\rfloor, ~
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
p_{i}=\sqrt{q_{i}^{2}+u_{i}^{2}} \\
\tan \left(2 \chi_{i}\right)=u_{i} / q_{i}  \tag{K}\\
\xi\left(p_{i}\right)=\left\{1+p_{\text {inst }} p_{i} \cos \left[2\left(\chi_{i n s t}-\chi_{i}\right)\right]\right\} \tag{L}
\end{gather*}
$$

5. Calculate $I$. Correct for instrumental polarization.
a) Input: $\Sigma \mathrm{X}$ and the $\mathrm{C} 0, \mathrm{C} 12$, and $\xi(p)$ calibration coefficients.
b) Output: I calculated separately for each telescope.
c) Method: If we rewrite equations 19a and 19c in terms of the intermediate results we already calculated we find that in order to determine the intensity we need to perform the following calculations:

$$
\begin{gather*}
I=\frac{I\left(0+\varepsilon_{1}\right)+I\left(90+\varepsilon_{1}\right)}{\xi(p)}=\frac{C 0 \times \Sigma 1}{\xi(p)}  \tag{M}\\
I=\frac{I\left(45+\varepsilon_{2}\right)+I\left(135+\varepsilon_{2}\right)}{\xi(p)}=\frac{C 0 \times C 12 \times \Sigma 2}{\xi(p)} \tag{N}
\end{gather*}
$$

d) Additional Calculation: Since in the retrieval of aerosol and cloud properties we are primarily interested in the reflectance we will also calculate the normalized radiance $i$, which is a closely related quantity, which we will define to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
i=\frac{I \pi}{F_{0}} . \tag{O}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{0}$ is the APS band integrated solar irradiance derived from ground-based spectral calibration measurements and a solar spectral irradiance data set [Lean 2002]. The values of F0 used in generating the normalized radiance are given in Appendix A.

## 3. RADIOMETRIC CALIBRATION

In this section we describe how the radiometric calibration coefficients that are generated on orbit are calculated and used in the calibration process.

### 3.1. Introduction

The on-orbit radiometric calibration of the APS is required to meet the science requirements for retrieving aerosols properties over land and ocean. The APS will be radiometrically calibrated using a solar reference that consists of a spaceflight grade Spectralon plaque that has its bidirectional reflectance distribution function calibrated prior to launch and that is protected by a one-time deployable cover. The cover of the solar reference will be opened shortly after the APS performs a lunar calibration and the first view of the solar reference will serve as the defining reflectance standard for the mission. Once the cover has opened the Spectralon plaque will tend to degrade and its reflectance will decrease in an unpredictable manner. Lunar calibration will then be used to maintain the APS radiometric scale.

### 3.2. Discussion

Experience with diffusers on previous satellite instruments has led to the theory that diffuser degradation on orbit is caused by the coating of the panel with photolyzed organic materials that are outgassed from the spacecraft. This is consistent with the observation that the degradation is
systematically larger with decreasing wavelength. This accumulation of organic materials is expected to be temporally smooth with the diffuser slowly degrading over its lifetime. This type of secular change is shown in Figure 3.2-1 below that was provided by Raytheon SBRS.

Although this type of degradation means that a diffuse target cannot be used as an absolute reflectance scale after more than a relatively short period on orbit it can nonetheless be used to evaluate radiometric stability over the shorter term. This type of evaluation is essential if calibrations using lunar views, or vicarious calibrations are to be put in the appropriate context. Even the MODIS spectralon diffuser shown in Figure 1 shows fluctuations on short time scales of less than $1 \%$ and the behavior shown in Figure 3.2-2 by the SeaWiFs diffuser panel is more typical of what is generally found to occur to diffuser reflectors in the on orbit environment. Of particular relevance to the use of diffuse reflectance standards is the need to adequately characterize their bidirectional reflectance distribution function (BRDF), so that variations in solar view angle are not erroneously inferred to be diffuser variations. It is also essential to characterize the BRDF if the diffuse reflector is to be used to establish the initial on orbit radiometric scale.


Figure 3.2-1 Evaluation of MODIS spectralon diffuser provided by Raytheon SBRS.

One means for tracking radiometric stability once a sensor is on orbit is to view the moon. Although using a diffuse reflector to establish the calibration scale at "first light" is essential for a sensor with the spatial resolution of APS, once the scale is established the stability of the sensor can be tracked using these lunar views. The moon is photometrically stable at a level of roughly $10^{-9}$ per year and now has been observed for more than 5 years by the The RObotic Lunar Observatory (ROLO) Project. This project has obtained approximately 85,000 lunar
images and more than $10^{6}$ star images in 32 bands ( 23 VNIR, 9 SWIR). This library of images provides substantial coverage in the 3-parameter phase+libration space, however, large libration gaps exist for any specific phase. Nonetheless, provided APS views the moon at similar points in its phase over the duration of the mission, these views will be sufficient in themselves to check the stability of the sensor.

In Table 3.2-1 we show the current APS radiometric calibration requirements together with the locations of spectral bands that are available in the ROLO data base. It is clear from the excellent coincidence of APS and ROLO spectral bands that these spectral differences will not be a significant issue in determining the relative spectral accuracy (RSA) of the APS bands if the ROLO data base is being used. Alternatively the spectral variation of the diffuser can be tracked by APS lunar measurements at a fixed lunar phase, which would also allow the RSA to be determined independent of the ROLO data base. Thus, lunar views can be used to determine RSA provided adequate bore sighting of all telescopes is achieved.


Figure 3.2-2. Stability of SeaWiFs diffuser.

|  |  | Radiometric (Reflectance-based) |  | ROLO |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Band | $\lambda$ <br> $(\mathbf{n m})$ | Absolute <br> Accuracy <br> $(1) \sigma)$ | Relative <br> Spectral <br> Accuracy <br> $(1 \sigma)$ | Precision <br> $(1 \sigma)$ | Center <br> $(\mathbf{n m})$ | Width (nm) |
| P1 | 412 | $5 \%$ or 1 DN <br> whichever is larger | $<1 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ | 412.7 | 12.5 |
| P2 | 443 | $5 \%$ or 1 DN <br> whichever is larger | $<1 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ | 441.8 | 9.6 |
| P3 | 555 | $5 \%$ or 1 DN <br> whichever is larger | $<1 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ | 554.9 | 18.1 |
| P4 | 672 | $5 \%$ or 1 DN <br> whichever is larger | $<1 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ | 666.7 | 8.3 |
| P5 | 865 | $5 \%$ or 1 DN <br> whichever is larger | $<1 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ | 867.7 | 13.9 |
| P6 | 910 | $5 \%$ or 1 DN <br> whichever is larger | $<1 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | 934.6 | 17.6 |
| P7 | 1378 | $8 \%$ or 1 DN <br> whichever is larger | $<1 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ | $1246.5 /$ <br> 1542.7 | $23.3 / 48.6$ |
| P8 | 1610 | $5 \%$ or 1 DN <br> whichever is larger | $<1 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ | 1637.8 | 23.4 |
| P9 | 2250 | $5 \%$ or 1 DN <br> whichever is larger | $<1 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ | 2256.3 | 48.2 |

Table 2. Radiometric performance specified in the APS SMRD together with the ROLO bands that are closest to the APS bands.

### 3.3. Alternative Methods for Radiometric Calibration of APS

### 3.3.1.Reflectance Based

|  | Atmosphere | Reflector | Transmission | DN for site |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lunar | N/A | 1.0 (Note 1) | N/A | 2.0 |
| OBC | N/A | 2.5 (Note 2) | N/A | 1.0 |
| Vicarious | 3.8 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 1.0 |

Table 3.3.1-1 Estimation of magnitudes of uncertainty for different calibration approaches in \%.
Notes:

1. No established absolute irradiance scale for lunar observations currently exists. $0.96 \%$ is maximum uncertainty in BRDF model of moon. NIST and USGS are currently pursuing this.
2. This value is only valid at "first light" before reflector degrades.

Lunar views, a solar reference and vicarious calibrations all use forms of reflectance based calibration. It is just that in the case of solar reference and lunar views the atmosphere is not a problem. However the absolute reflectance of the target is a problem for lunar and solar reference views while for vicarious calibrations this uncertainty can be minimized.

The use of vicarious calibration for the APS sensor is not trivial because of the large sensor footprint. This will require the use of an aircraft making low altitude measurements to adequately characterize a sufficiently large area of the surface in order to apply this approach. This method can be complemented by high altitude measurements that would allow a direct radiance based calibration as discussed below. The overall accuracy of a vicarious calibration is typically 5\% under good conditions. The primary method for reducing this uncertainty would be to make ground based sky radiometry and polarimetry measurements to reduce the uncertainties in size distribution and refractive index of aerosols. These measurements would reduce the overall uncertainty of a vicarious calibration to $3.3 \%$. Nonetheless some form of diffuse reflectance calibrator is essential to determine sensor stability over shorter periods and establish an initial calibration scale once on orbit

### 3.3.2.Radiance Based

Radiance based calibration has the potential to be the most accurate form of calibration and is the preferred radiance standard at NIST. There are two types of radiance based calibration that will be available to APS. The first is cross-calibration with other sensors such as MODIS or VIIRS and the second is through the use of field experiments where a well calibrated radiometer is flown at high altitude to obtain data at the same time as an APS overpass.

The accuracy of the first type of radiance based calibration is determined by the accuracy of the sensor which is being used as the source for cross-calibration and by the relative viewing geometry and degree of simultaneity of acquisitions by the two sensors. These have been summarized as follows by Slater et al. (1996):

1) Same geometric instantaneous field of view (GIFOV) and spectral bands and simultaneous acquisition.
2) Similar spectral bands and imaging the same scene simultaneously, but with different GIFOVs.
3) Same GIFOVs but different viewing geometry with near simultaneity (i.e. a few minutes similar to ASTER and MISR).
4) Similar spectral bands and IFOVs but not simultaneous.

These four cases are estimated to have transfer uncertainties of 1, 2, 5 and $7-9 \%$ one sigma respectively. The case of APS would probably correspond to a variation on case 3) with different IFOV and viewing geometry but simultaneous acquisition. Given that APS measures the BRDF the estimated uncertainty for transfer in this case would be $2.5 \%$ ( $2 \%$ for difference in GIFOV and $1.5 \%$ for BRDF effects). Thus if the radiometric accuracy of MODIS is $3 \%$ then the accuracy of the radiometric scale transferred to APS would be expected to be $4 \%$. Similarly if the radiometric accuracy of VIIRS is $2 \%$ then the accuracy of the radiometric scale transferred to APS would be expected to be $3.2 \%$.

The accuracy of the second type of radiance based calibration is determined by the accuracy of the high altitude radiometer and the quality of the measurements made by the radiometer at high altitude (stability, pointing, spatial sampling, simultaneity). These two components of the error budget are on the order of $2.5 \%$ and $1.5 \%$ respectively yielding a $3 \%$ radiometric accuracy for this form of calibration when it is performed correctly.

### 3.4. Summary of Radiometric Calibration Approach

The planned approach for APS calibration in order to meet the SMRD radiometric calibration requirements is to have a one-time deployable diffuse reflectance based calibrator that will establish the on orbit reflectance scale at the beginning of the mission. The reflectance calibrator must have its BRF and BRDF adequately characterized so that the absolute calibration will meet requirements and so that the calibrator can be effectively used over the course of the entire mission. This means the BRDF must be characterized over an angular range consistent with all the view geometries that are expected for the mission.

The absolute and relative spectral accuracy of the APS will be maintained by using lunar views to track the degradation of the reflectance calibrator, and/or by using lunar views and the ROLO data base. In practice it is expected that both methods will be used. The moon is intrinsically stable and the current stability of the ROLO measurements over a period of 5 years from a ground-based telescope is better than $0.5 \%$.

Radiance-based calibration using comparisons with observations from a high altitude aircraft will be used to verify the APS radiometric/reflectance scale.

### 3.5. Solar Reference Calibration

The APS calibration approach requires looking at the solar calibrator within a few minutes of opening the door. We assume that the bidirectional reflectance distribution function (BRDF) of the Spectralon will not have changed since the ground-based calibration and characterization. It is therefore important that the Spectralon is carefully treated and not allowed to be illuminated by UV, or contaminated during integration and test. The observed values of the intermediate functions introduced in equation (B) and (C) are related to the required calibration coefficients as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C 0=\frac{\mu_{\text {AOI }} R_{S p e c}\left(\theta_{i}, \theta_{v}, \Delta \phi\right) F_{0}}{\Sigma 1(\text { SolCal }) \pi D_{S E}^{2}} \tag{O}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
C 0 \times C 12=\frac{\mu_{\text {AOI }} R_{\text {Spec }}\left(\theta_{i}, \theta_{v}, \Delta \phi\right) F_{0}}{\Sigma 2(\text { SolCal }) \pi D_{S E}^{2}} \tag{P}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{0}$ is the APS band integrated solar irradiance, $D_{S E}$ is the Sun-Earth distance and $\mu_{\mathrm{AOI}}$ is the cosine of the angle of incidence of the solar beam on the Spectralon plaque. This defines the initial APS radiometric scale and lunar calibration will be made within a few orbits in order to provide an estimate of the effective disk reflectance of the moon.

### 3.6. Lunar Calibration

### 3.6.1.Lunar Calibration Maneuver

The Glory satellite will be flown in a sun-synchronous orbit with a nominal ascending node at 13:30 AM mean local time. A planned satellite maneuver which reorients the APS FOV to look at the moon after the satellite has passed the northern terminator of its orbit is outlined below:

1. At a lunar phase angle of $24 \pm 3^{\circ}$ roughly 3 days before full moon, the spacecraft will roll to a target $1.0^{\circ}$ away from the moon. This will take 250 seconds.
2. The ACS will then be commanded to perform a slew to a target $2.0^{\circ}$ away on the other side of the moon at a rate of $0.0133 \mathrm{deg} / \mathrm{sec}$. This will take 150 seconds.
3. The ACS will then be commanded to return to the original target $2.0^{\circ}$ away on the other side of the moon at a rate of $0.0133 \mathrm{deg} / \mathrm{sec}$. This will take 150 seconds.
4. The ACS will then be commanded to perform a slew to a target $1.5^{\circ}$ away on the other side of the moon at a rate of $0.0133 \mathrm{deg} / \mathrm{sec}$. This will take 150 seconds.
5. The ACS will then be commanded to perform a slew to a target $1.5^{\circ}$ away on the other side of the moon at a rate of $0.0133 \mathrm{deg} / \mathrm{sec}$. This will take 150 seconds.
6. When lunar sampling is complete, the spacecraft will roll back to its original nadirpointing orientation. This will take 250 seconds.

The moon is approximately $0.5^{\circ}$ in diameter when viewed from Earth orbit. The GIFOV of the APS is $8 \mathrm{mrad}\left(0.46^{\circ}\right)$ in diameter. The zig-zag maneuver described above will provide four sets of lunar radiance measurements that are oversampled in the roll direction with one hundred (100) measurements of the moon in each data set. This oversampling is necessary because of the marginal APS FOV filling provided by the moon.

A zig-zag maneuver ensures that the entire lunar image is captured during the scan. As the spacecraft "scans" the moon by rolling and the APS scans along-track, the moon is very slowly moved through the APS FOV in both the along-track and cross-track directions and this will ensure that there are multiple views with the moon centered in the APS FOV. In order to calculate an integrated lunar radiance we use a weighted average of the scans and sectors that provide the most uniform spatial integration across the moon. The weightings, $c_{k}$, are calculated based on the actual scan geometry projected onto the moon and the structure and spacing of the apodized APS IFOVs and provide the flattest field that is consistent with non-negative weights (i.e. the $c_{k}$ are determined by a non-negative least squares estimate. The over-sampling is therefore corrected in this case by the use of the weights to create a uniform integrator. The integrated lunar radiance is then given by the expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{I}_{k}=\sum_{i=1,20 ; j=1,100} I_{k}(j, i) \tag{Q}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i$ denotes an index over sectors centered on peak lunar radiance, $j$ denotes an index over the scans from start of the slow lunar roll until its end and $k$ is an index over APS bands.

### 3.6.2.Lunar Calibration Correction Factors

Lunar calibration and stability tracking of the APS measurements assumes that the moon's brightness is constant. In order to ensure consistency, correction, or normalization, factors must be applied to the measurements of the moon that are collected. These factors include:

- Sun-moon distance
- Instrument-moon distance
- Lunar phase angle
- Libration

Each of these factors is discussed in the following sections.

### 3.6.2.1. Sun, Moon, Instrument Distances

Since neither the orbits of the Earth nor that of the moon are perfectly circular, the distances between the sun, Earth, and moon change over time. The amount of solar flux intercepted by the moon varies inversely with the square of the lunar distance from the sun, so the shorter the distance, the brighter the moon will appear. Similarly, the brightness of the moon observed by the APS will vary with the inverse square of its distance from the moon if the moon underfills the APS effective FOV which it does, by design, for the integrated lunar radiance. Care must therefore be taken to correct the integrated lunar radiance for the sun-moon distance and the moon-viewer distance. The equation used to do this is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{I}_{k}^{\prime}=\bar{I}_{k}\left(\frac{D_{S M}}{1 A U}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{D_{M V}}{384,400 \mathrm{~km}}\right)^{2} \tag{R}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D_{S M}$ is the sun-moon distance ( AU ) and $D_{M V}$ is the moon-viewer distance (in km) and $384,400 \mathrm{~km}$ is the mean radius of the orbit of the moon around the Earth.

### 3.6.2.2. Oversampling Correction

The oversampling correction is a key aspect of using the moon to track stability. The IFOV of the APS instrument is not square like the one of the SeaWiFs instrument, nor is it as small as that of the SeaWiFs instrument. It is therefore necessary to analyze the oversampling correction to what we really want, which is the integrated lunar radiance, carefully. The estimated integrated radiance is related to the Fourier transform of the lunar radiance distribution $I(\eta, v)$ by the expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{I}_{k}^{\prime}=\int g(\eta, v) I(\eta, v) \frac{\sin \left(\pi v \Delta_{x} N_{x}\right)}{\sin \left(\pi v \Delta_{x}\right)} \frac{\sin \left(\pi \eta \Delta_{y} N_{y}\right)}{\sin \left(\pi \eta \Delta_{y}\right)} d v d \eta \tag{S}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g(\eta, v)$ is the Fourier transform of the apodized aperture function, $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{x}}$ is the number of samples in the roll direction, $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{y}}$ is the number of samples in the scan direction, $\Delta_{\mathrm{x}}$ is the angular spacing of scans in the roll direction, $\Delta_{y}$ is the angular spacing of samples in the scan direction. If the $\sin (\mathrm{Nx}) / \sin (\mathrm{x})$ functions are effectively acting as delta functions and the sample spacing is
fine enough that the aperture function band limits the integral in (S) which is achieved if $\Delta_{M} \gg$ $\Delta_{\mathrm{X}} \gg \Delta_{\mathrm{M}} / \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{x}}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{y}} \gg 1$ then this expression takes on the more familiar form

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(0,0)=\bar{I}_{k}{ }^{\prime \prime}=\bar{I}_{k}^{\prime} \frac{\Delta_{x} \Delta_{y}}{\Omega_{A P S}} \tag{T}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we identify the Fourier transform of the lunar radiance distribution at zero frequency as the integrated lunar radiance that we are interested in and $\Omega_{\text {APs }}$ is the FOV of the apodized aperture. This approach assumes that the spacecraft rotation rate is well known during the maneuver, and would have to be slightly modified if the rate must also be estimated by using the phase of the FFT of the lunar radiance field to estimate the rates. Expression (T) reduces to equation (4) of Barnes et al. [2003] for the case of SeaWiFs.

### 3.6.2.3. Lunar Phase Angle and Libration Effects

The lunar phase angle is a significant factor, because the moon's brightness varies greatly depending on its phase. In order to be consistent, the calibration maneuver used for SeaWiFS, which uses lunar calibration, was consistently performed when the moon was approximately $7^{\circ}$ from full. Initial calculations suggest that Glory will need to have a larger phase angle, up to three days from full (more than $30^{\circ}$ ), in order to avoid occulting the star-tracker(s). The opposition effect creates the only other significant restriction in what particular phase angle to choose. As Figure 3 shows, the rate of change in brightness of the lunar disk rapidly increases as the phase angle decreases below about $5^{\circ}$ due to the opposition effect. This effect means that if there is even a very small change in phase angle from month to month, the change in brightness will be very significant and the correction factor to account for this variation will need to be very precise. At greater phase angles, the overall lunar irradiance decreases, but small variations in phase angle are less problematic. The smaller the phase angle, the brighter the moon will appear from the APS, but as long as the brightness is constant, the magnitude is not a crucial factor.

In modeling the effects of lunar phase angle and libration we will use the model for the effective disk reflectance of the moon developed by the USGS [Kieffer and Stone 2004, Stone, Kieffer, and Becker, 2003; Kieffer and Anderson 1998]. The effective disk reflectance is defined to be [Barnes et al. 2003]

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{k}=\frac{\pi \bar{I}_{k}^{\prime \prime}}{F_{0, k}} \times \frac{\Omega_{A P S}}{\Omega_{M}}=\frac{\pi \bar{I}_{k}^{\prime}}{F_{0, k}} \times \frac{\Delta_{x} \Delta_{y}}{\Omega_{M}} \\
& A_{k}=\frac{\pi \bar{I}_{k}}{F_{0, k}} \times \frac{\Delta_{x} \Delta_{y}}{\Omega_{M}} \times\left(\frac{D_{S M}}{1 A U}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{D_{M V}}{384,400 k m}\right)^{2} \tag{U}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathrm{F}_{0, \mathrm{k}}$ is the solar irradiance at $1 \mathrm{AU}, \Omega_{\mathrm{M}}$ is the solid angle of the moon at $384,400 \mathrm{~km}$ ( $6.4236 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{sr}$ ) and $\Omega_{\text {APS }}$ is the solid angle of the APS ( $5 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{sr}$ ). The effective disk reflectance can then be tied directly to the initial solar calibration observations and the reflectance of the Spectralon plaque by substituting in the quantity $C 0 \times \Sigma X$ for $I$ in the
expression for the integrated lunar radiance and using equation (O) for the calibration coefficient viz.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{k}^{A P S}=R_{\text {Spec }, k}\left(\theta_{i}, \theta_{v}, \Delta \phi\right) \times \frac{\sum_{i, j} \Sigma 1_{k}(i, j)}{\Sigma 1_{k}(\text { SolCal })} \times \mu_{A O I} \times \frac{\Delta_{x} \Delta_{y}}{\Omega_{M}} \times\left(\frac{D_{S M}}{D_{S E}}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{D_{M V}}{384,400 \mathrm{~km}}\right)^{2} \tag{V}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is our initial estimate of the effective lunar reflectance and it is this that is used in all future lunar calibrations as the reflectance standard. Corrections to this lunar reflectance for phase angle and libration come from scaling the effective disk reflectance model to be consistent with the initial APS observations. The model for the effective disk reflectance is given by [Kieffer and Stone 2005]

$$
\begin{align*}
& \ln A_{k}^{U S G S}(g, \Phi, \theta, \phi)=\sum_{i=0}^{3} a_{i k} g^{i}+\sum_{j=0}^{3} a_{j k} \Phi^{2 j-1}+c_{1} \theta+c_{2} \phi+c_{3} \Phi \phi+  \tag{W}\\
&\left.d_{1 k} e^{-g / p_{1}}+d_{2} k e^{-g / p_{2}}+d_{3 k} \cos \left[\left(g-p_{3}\right) / p_{4}\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

where g is the absolute phase angle (in deg), $\theta$ and $\phi$ are the selenographic latitude and longitude of the observer (in deg), and $\Phi$ is the selenographic longitude of the sun (in deg). Since the illuminated fraction of the moon is a function of the phase angle, disk-equivalent reflectances for the USGS model of a partially illuminated moon are incorporated into the phase dependent term. The fractional illumination of the moon is also included in the model of Helfenstein and Veverka [1987].

The predicted effective disk reflectance for a lunar calibration characterized by the celestial geometry $\left(g_{i}, \theta_{i}, \phi_{i}, \Phi_{i}\right)$ can then be tied to the effective disk reflectance observed by the APS sensor immediately after its solar calibration, with celestial geometry ( $g_{0}, \theta_{0}, \phi_{0}, \Phi_{0}$ ), by the expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{k}=A_{k}^{A P S} \frac{A_{k}^{U S G S}\left(g_{i}, \Phi_{i}, \theta_{i}, \phi_{i}\right)}{A_{k}^{U S G S}\left(g_{0}, \Phi_{0}, \theta_{0}, \phi_{0}\right)} \tag{X}
\end{equation*}
$$

which means that the expressions for the radiometric calibration coefficients based on lunar calibration are

$$
C 0=\frac{A_{k}^{A P S} \times \frac{A_{k}^{U S G S}\left(g_{i}, \Phi_{i}, \theta_{i}, \phi_{i}\right)}{A_{k}^{U S G S}} \times \frac{F_{0, k}}{\left.\pi \sum_{0}, \Phi_{0}, \theta_{0}, \phi_{0}\right)}(i, j)}{\frac{\Delta_{x} \Delta_{y}}{\Omega_{M}} \times\left(\frac{D_{S M}}{1 A U}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{D_{M V}}{384,400 k m}\right)^{2}}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
C 0 \times C 12=\frac{A_{k}^{A P S} \times \frac{A_{k}^{U S G S}\left(g_{i}, \Phi_{i}, \theta_{i}, \phi_{i}\right)}{A_{k}^{U S G S}\left(g_{0}, \Phi_{0}, \theta_{0}, \phi_{0}\right)} \times \frac{F_{0, k}}{\pi \sum_{i, j} \Sigma 2_{k}(i, j)}}{\frac{\Delta_{x} \Delta_{y}}{\Omega_{M}} \times\left(\frac{D_{S M}}{1 A U}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{D_{M V}}{384,400 k m}\right)^{2}} . \tag{Z}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 3.6.2.3-1. Lunar irradiance as a function of phase angle. (Courtesy of ROLO Project.)

## 4. POLARIMETRIC CALIBRATION

In this section we describe how the polarimetric calibration coefficients that are generated on orbit are calculated and used in the calibration process.

### 4.1. Unpolarized Reference Calibration

The unpolarized reference provides a source of essentially zero polarization to the APS telescopes by scrambling the polarization of the input scene. The maximum allowed magnitude of the polarized input can be predicted based on the scene data since the mirror used to relay the earth scene through the unpolarized reference is designed such that the unpolarized reference scenes come from the nadir direction. Since the scrambler provides a minimum polarization suppression of 100 , scenes over thick clouds where the polarization is low ( $<10 \%$ ), and the scene is bright, will provide input polarizations to the APS telescopes of less than $0.1 \%$. A sample of unpolarized reference data taken over relatively thin clouds near Monterey is shown in Figure 4.

The observations of the unpolarized reference can be modeled as

$$
\begin{equation*}
C 0 \times(R 1 L-D 1 L m)=\frac{I\left(1+\alpha_{q} \tilde{q}_{i n s t}\right)}{2} \tag{A}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
C 0 . K 1(R 1 R-D 1 R m)=\frac{I\left(1-\alpha_{q} \tilde{q}_{\text {inst }}\right)}{2}  \tag{B}\\
C 0 . C 12 \times(R 2 L-D 2 L m)=\frac{I\left(1+\alpha_{u} \tilde{u}_{\text {inst }}\right)}{2}  \tag{C}\\
C 0 . C 12 . K 2(R 2 R-D 2 R m)=\frac{I\left(1-\alpha_{u} \tilde{u}_{\text {inst }}\right)}{2} \tag{D}
\end{gather*}
$$

where the m suffix to the RXY measurements indicates an average over the valid sectors that view the unpolarized reference and the other constants and calibration coefficients have been defined in the previous sections. Although the coefficients $\alpha_{q}$ and $\alpha_{u}$ are also calibration coefficients, any errors in their definition, based on the previous calibration, will have a very small effect (of order $10^{-8}$, based on errors in instrumental polarization being stable at the $0.05 \%$ level and orbit-to-orbit variations in the $\alpha$ coefficients being less than $0.01 \%$ ). Their values are therefore assumed to be given by the previous calibration.


Figure 4.1-1. Single shot discrepancies between the ground-based calibration with input polarizations less than $0.01 \%$ and the unpolarized reference implemented for the RSP instrument. The cloud optical depth was typically around ten and this figure therefore represents a conservative expectation of how well the un-polarized reference will work, even at the single shot level, over cloud decks.

We now construct calibration expressions for the K1 and K2 coefficients viz.,

$$
\begin{align*}
& K 1=\frac{\left(1-\alpha_{q} \tilde{q}_{\text {inst }}\right)}{\left(1+\alpha_{q} \tilde{q}_{\text {inst }}\right)} \frac{(R 1 L m-D 1 L m)}{(R 1 R m-D 1 R m)}  \tag{E}\\
& K 2=\frac{\left(1-\alpha_{u} \tilde{u}_{\text {inst }}\right)}{\left(1+\alpha_{u} \tilde{u}_{\text {inst }}\right)} \frac{(R 2 L m-D 2 L m)}{(R 2 R m-D 2 R m)} . \tag{F}
\end{align*}
$$

These coefficients can and will be calculated for each scan of data, but it is expected that the update of the K1 and K2 coefficients will only occur on an orbit-by-orbit time scale since the typical variation of these coefficients for an aircraft instrument of similar design is less than $0.1 \%$ over the course of a year of sporadic aircraft operations.

### 4.2. Polarized Reference Calibration

The polarized reference provides a highly polarized input to the APS sensor with a well-defined azimuth. The relationship of the APS observations of the polarized reference to the $\alpha$ coefficients can be modeled as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{q} \approx \frac{\left\{-\cos \left(2 \varepsilon_{1}\right) q_{c a l}-\sin \left(2 \varepsilon_{1}\right) u_{c a l}\right\}+\tilde{q}_{\text {inst }}}{\delta 1 m \times \xi\left(p_{c a l}\right)} \tag{G}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{u} \approx \frac{\left\{\sin \left(2 \varepsilon_{2}\right) q_{c a l}-\cos \left(2 \varepsilon_{2}\right) u_{c a l}\right\}+\tilde{u}_{\text {inst }}}{\delta 2 m \times \xi\left(p_{c a l}\right)} \tag{H}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the various parameters except $q_{\text {cal }}$ and $u_{\text {cal }}$ have been defined above. $q_{\text {cal }}$ and $u_{\text {cal }}$ are the normalized Stokes parameters of the polarized reference in the absolute reference frame of the APS measurements. Since the expected polarization from the calibration reference in the reference frame of the Wollaston prisms is easily derived (cf. equation 20 in section 2 ) a more useful operational definition of the expression for the calibration coefficients is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{q} \approx \frac{\tilde{q}_{c a l}+\tilde{q}_{i n s t}}{\delta 1 m \times \xi\left(p_{c a l}\right)} \tag{I}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{u} \approx \frac{u_{\text {cal }}+\tilde{u}_{\text {inst }}}{\delta 2 m \times \xi\left(p_{c a l}\right)} \tag{J}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the notation for the calibration coefficients follows from equation (20) of section 2.
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## A. STATIC AND INITIAL CALIBRATION COEFFICIENTS

Polarimetric

| Wollaston Prisms |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 410 | 443 | 555 | 670 | 865 | 910 | 1378 | 1610 | 2250 |
| Absolute Orientation (' | 44.933 | 44.627 | 44.881 | 44.622 | 44.861 | 44.626 | 44.729 | 44.721 | 44.728 |
| Relative Orientation ( ${ }^{\circ}$ | 0.2046 | -0.447 | 0.1902 | -0.419 | 0.1788 | -0.416 | 0.1766 | 0.1764 | 0.1767 |
|  | Polarimetric Scale Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reciprocal of aq | 0.9857 | 0.9796 | 0.9962 | 0.9965 | 0.9980 | 0.9984 | 0.9936 | 0.9957 | 0.9939 |
| Reciprocal of au | 0.9857 | 0.9786 | 0.9973 | 0.9965 | 0.9992 | 0.9993 | 0.9940 | 0.9964 | 0.9948 |
|  | Relative Gain Factors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| K1 | 1.0041 | 0.9823 | 1.0115 | 1.0187 | 0.9900 | 0.9910 | 1.0104 | 1.0098 | 0.9907 |
| K2 | 0.9929 | 0.9793 | 1.0134 | 0.9917 | 0.9953 | 0.9967 | 1.0054 | 1.0258 | 1.0050 |

Only the 410 nm band needs to be corrected for instrumental polarization and this correction depends on viewing direction.

|  | Instrumental Polarization |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Angle/Calibrator | PRA | 50.2 | 36.1 | 23.9 | 22.5 | 12.2 | 0 | -12.2 | -22.5 | -23.9 | -36.1 | -47.8 | -62.3 URA |
| Earth View Sector | 3,4 | 8 | 38 | 64 | 67 | 89 | 115 | 141 | 163 | 166 | 192 | 217 | 248 |
| q_inst | 0.0006 | 0.0025 | 0.0022 | 0.0018 | 0.0018 | 0.0013 | 0.0008 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0003 | 0.0008 | 0.0018 |
|  | 0.0031 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| u_inst | 0.0003 | -0.0001 | -0.0002 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | -0.0002 | -0.0002 | -0.0008 | -0.0012 | -0.0018 |

## Radiometric

Calibration Coefficients Units are W/m2/um/sr/DN
Noise Model is of the form Noise=sqrt(floor + shot*intensity_in_DN) and is in units of DN.

|  | 410 | 443 | 555 | 670 | 865 | 910 | 1378 | 1610 | 2250 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C0 | 0.0053 | 0.0059 | 0.0056 | 0.0053 | 0.0034 | 0.0028 | 0.0007 | 0.0006 | 0.0002 |
| C0_Redundant | 0.0053 | 0.0059 | 0.0056 | 0.0053 | 0.0034 | 0.0028 | 0.0007 | 0.0006 | 0.0002 |
| ARA1 | 0.0285 | 0.0277 | 0.0269 | 0.0257 | 0.0261 | 0.0254 | 0.0590 | 0.0469 | 0.0477 |
| RSA1 | 0.0150 | 0.0150 | 0.0150 | 0.0150 | 0.0150 | 0.0151 | 0.0181 | 0.0159 | 0.0154 |
| DN1@Lmax | 51465.4 | 50302.9 | 52159.5 | 50837.6 | 51628.2 | 51103.4 | 51146.8 | 51799.4 | 50727.2 |
| Headroom1 | 0.2267 | 0.2551 | 0.2104 | 0.2419 | 0.2229 | 0.2354 | 0.2344 | 0.2188 | 0.2446 |
| SNR1@Ltyp | 926.8 | 748.0 | 811.5 | 575.4 | 522.2 | 423.4 | 219.3 | 609.0 | 397.8 |
| Floor1 | 42.2990 | 41.0747 | 16.2897 | 13.5077 | 8.6873 | 20.5268 | 10.2917 | 7.0373 | 12.3837 |
| Shot1 | 0.0049 | 0.0049 | 0.0031 | 0.0028 | 0.0026 | 0.0042 | 0.0233 | 0.0033 | 0.0052 |
| Tintx1.2 | 1.2040 | 1.2036 | 1.2036 | 1.2034 | 1.2031 | 1.2027 | 1.2041 | 1.2031 | 1.2033 |
| Tintx0.8 | 0.7957 | 0.7961 | 0.7963 | 0.7963 | 0.7967 | 0.7969 | 0.7998 | 0.7971 | 0.7969 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cal2 | 0.0053 | 0.0058 | 0.0057 | 0.0054 | 0.0034 | 0.0028 | 0.0007 | 0.0006 | 0.0002 |
| Cal2_Red | 0.0053 | 0.0058 | 0.0057 | 0.0054 | 0.0034 | 0.0028 | 0.0007 | 0.0006 | 0.0002 |
| ARA2 | 0.0285 | 0.0276 | 0.0269 | 0.0256 | 0.0259 | 0.0254 | 0.0588 | 0.0470 | 0.0476 |
| RSA2 | 0.0150 | 0.0150 | 0.0150 | 0.0150 | 0.0150 | 0.0151 | 0.0181 | 0.0159 | 0.0154 |
| DN2@Lmax | 51049.2 | 51175.0 | 51647.1 | 50336.4 | 50517.1 | 51256.4 | 48491.4 | 52844.6 | 50755.1 |
| Headroom2 | 0.2367 | 0.2337 | 0.2224 | 0.2543 | 0.2498 | 0.2317 | 0.3020 | 0.1947 | 0.2439 |
| SNR2@Ltyp | 923.3 | 768.3 | 795.7 | 569.8 | 510.6 | 424.8 | 216.9 | 611.7 | 401.1 |
| Floor2 | 41.0197 | 41.1242 | 16.9029 | 13.5337 | 8.7036 | 20.7027 | 15.2806 | 7.0491 | 14.0111 |
| Shot2 | 0.0049 | 0.0046 | 0.0032 | 0.0029 | 0.0027 | 0.0042 | 0.0220 | 0.0034 | 0.0050 |
| Tintx1.2 | 1.2038 | 1.2038 | 1.2035 | 1.2035 | 1.2030 | 1.2027 | 1.2041 | 1.2029 | 1.2032 |
| Tintx0.8 | 0.7958 | 0.7958 | 0.7964 | 0.7962 | 0.7968 | 0.7968 | 0.7999 | 0.7973 | 0.7970 |
| C12 | 1.0082 | 0.9830 | 1.0099 | 1.0100 | 1.0220 | 0.9970 | 1.0548 | 0.9802 | 0.9995 |
| C12_Redundant | 1.0082 | 0.9830 | 1.0098 | 1.0099 | 1.0220 | 0.9970 | 1.0562 | 0.9805 | 0.9993 |

## B. APS Spectral Characterization Summary

Table B-1. APS spectral response integrated against solar spectrum, summary of results. The columns correspond to the solar irradiance at the top of the atmosphere (F0), the spectral locations of the upper and lower points at which the response has $1 \%$ of its peak value ( FW 1 Pl and FW 1 Ph ), the spectral locations of the upper and lower points at which the response has $50 \%$ of its peak value (FWHMl and FWHMh) and the full width at which the responses is at $50 \%$ of its maximum value.

| F0 | FW1P (lo) | FWHM (lo) | Center | FWHM (hi) | FW1P (hi) | FWHM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{W} / \mathrm{m} 2 / \mu \mathrm{m}$ | nm | nm | nm | nm | nm | nm |
| 1732.8 | 396.737 | 403.775 | 413.273 | 422.232 | 430.507 | 18.4574 |
| 1899.39 | 426.54 | 433.571 | 443.586 | 452.55 | 459.438 | 18.9783 |
| 1842.84 | 539.918 | 545.293 | 555.235 | 565.314 | 572.08 | 20.0212 |
| 1505.83 | 658.116 | 663.664 | 673.873 | 684.64 | 692.234 | 20.9751 |
| 960.464 | 829.103 | 845.52 | 865.747 | 886.033 | 901.653 | 40.5122 |
| 895.586 | 886.924 | 901.361 | 910.626 | 920.476 | 929.956 | 19.1151 |
| 354.553 | 1347.71 | 1356.44 | 1375.51 | 1392.67 | 1405.5 | 36.2277 |
| 245.697 | 1551.52 | 1577 | 1603.31 | 1629.46 | 1651.7 | 52.4507 |
| 73.3686 | 2193.39 | 2220.46 | 2260.39 | 2299.68 | 2327.78 | 79.2183 |

Table B-1

## C. Absolute Orientation of APS Views with respect to the nominal nadir point

| Scene Type | Behavior | Relative to scan start |  | Relative to nadir |  | Relative to nadir |  | Number of samples |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Time at start | Time at end | Time at start | Time at end | Angle start | Angle end |  |  |
| Dark Reference | DC Restore | 0 | 9 | -336 | -327 | -157.5 | 153.28125 |  | 10 |
| Dark Reference | Sampling | 10 | 25 | -326 | -311 | -152.8125 | 145.78125 |  | 16 |
| Polarized Reference | Sampling | 125 | 131 | -211 | -205 | -98.90625 | -96.09375 |  | 7 |
| Earth | Sampling | 221 | 475 | -115 | 139 | -53.90625 | 65.15625 |  | 255 |
| Unpolarized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reference | Sampling | 513 | 523 | 177 | 187 | 82.96875 | 87.65625 |  | 11 |
| Solar Reference | Sampling | 618 | 634 | 282 | 298 | 132.1875 | 139.6875 |  | 17 |
| Dark Reference | Sampling | 743 | 758 | 407 | 422 | 190.78125 | 197.8125 |  | 16 |
| Dark Reference | DC Restore | 759 |  |  |  |  |  | Indefinite (Resync) |  |

## D. SIS Radiance Levels used during APS testing



## E. Solar Reference Assembly Geometrical Details and Spectralon BRDF <br> Spacecraft coordinate system

This coordinate system is the one that is fixed relative to the orientation of the APS spacecraft hardware. In this coordinate system the solar vector changes with orbital motion and the solar diffuser surface normal vector remains fixed. The +z axis is nominally in the nadir direction of the APS optical system. The +x direction is parallel to the velocity vector of the spacecraft. The +y direction is orthogonal to both as defined by the right-hand rule. The Scan Mirror Assembly rotates the APS detector field-of-view in a scan plane that is parallel with the spacecraft x-z plane.

## Unit vector coordinates at the center of the SRA diffuser surface



Figure E1. Solar diffuser panel surface and telescope optical axis vectors

The following diagram show the solar diffuser as housed in the Solar Reference Assembly. The origin of a Cartesian coordinate axis is placed at the center of the diffuser so as to define the spacecraft coordinate system at that location.

Three vectors, A B and C, are shown that are related to the orientation of the solar diffuser surface. These are defined as:
A. The diffuser surface normal vector.
B. The vector representing the optical axis of the Polarimeter Module telescopes/Scan Mirror Assembly when the system is aligned with the center of the solar diffuser. This vector was the original design center of the APS and is retained here for consistency with Raytheon documentation.
C. The vector representing the orientation of the major axis of the diffuser surface in the spacecraft coordinate system. Note that it is slightly offset from the line resulting from the intersection of the plane of the diffuser surface with the Scan Mirror Assembly (SMA) scan plane so it is not quite parallel to the x-z plane of the spacecraft coordinate system. The vector, as shown, is pointing in the direction the optical axis of the APS telescopes would move across the surface of the diffuser as the San Mirror Assembly rotates.

Table E-1. Summary of orientations of the APS view of the solar reference assembly (SRA) diffuser, the solar view of the diffuser and the available unvignetted range of the solar reference assembly. NB: The SRA samples in this table are zero based such that the 17 samples are indexed 0 to 16 . For the unvignetted SRA calculations of SMA angle relative to nadir 6 milliradians of margin was included to account for 4 milliradians of drag smear (integration time) and the 8 milliradian IFOV. The unvignetted SMA range based on the mechanical design is provided for information as the last line in this table.

|  | Vector A | Vector B | Vector C | Unvignetted SRA |  | Solar (Nominal) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SRA Sample Dist from Nadir |  |  |  | 10 | 11 |  |
|  |  |  |  | 292 | 293 |  |
| x | -0.121587 | 0.692409 | 0.992581 | 0.683592302 | 0.677598305 | -0.915803 |
| y | -0.155269 | 0 | -0.019065 | 0 | 0 | -0.047995 |
| Z | 0.980361 | 0.721505 | 0.120082 | 0.729864073 | 0.735432211 | 0.398749 |
| SMA Angle( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) |  | 136.1788762 |  | 136.5312253 | 137.6875247 |  |
| AOI/AOV |  | 51.45365743 |  | 50.77152674 | 50.31235352 | 59.84985591 |


| Unvignetted Range | $136.156 \quad 137.844$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

Table E-1

## Spectralon Bidirectionl Reflectance Distribution Function (BRDF) characterization

The measurements of the Spectralon ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ witness samples were made at NASA Goddard Space Flight Center. Measurements of direct hemispherical reflectance were made at Labsphere. The BRDF measurements do not cover the full spectral range of the APS and the Labsphere DHR measurements are therefore needed to provide a scaling of the measured BRDF at shorter wavelengths to those needed at longer wavelengths.

Two types of measurements were therefore made at GSFC. One set of measurements had an angle of incidence of $8^{\circ}$ and view angles every $7.5^{\circ}$ from $0^{\circ}$ to $82.5^{\circ}$ at azimuths of $0^{\circ}, 90^{\circ}$ and $180^{\circ}$. These measurements were integrated to get an $8^{\circ}$ illumination DHR that is comparable to that provided by Labsphere. The azimuthally averaged BRDF measurements from GSFC are given in Table E-2. Note the BRDF of an ideal Lambertian surface is $1 / \pi$.

Table E-2. Azimuthally averaged BRDF values with illumination at $8^{\circ}$.

| View <br> Angle |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Wavelength (nm) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 325 | 457 | 488 | 514 | 633 | 670 | 780 | 835 |
| 7.5 | 0.3360 | 0.3418 | 0.3420 | 0.3415 | 0.3420 | 0.3423 | 0.3433 | 0.3455 |
| 15 | 0.3340 | 0.3400 | 0.3400 | 0.3397 | 0.3400 | 0.3400 | 0.3407 | 0.3427 |
| 22.5 | 0.3310 | 0.3357 | 0.3363 | 0.3353 | 0.3360 | 0.3360 | 0.3367 | 0.3383 |
| 30 | 0.3277 | 0.3323 | 0.3327 | 0.3317 | 0.3330 | 0.3327 | 0.3330 | 0.3350 |
| 37.5 | 0.3240 | 0.3287 | 0.3290 | 0.3283 | 0.3290 | 0.3290 | 0.3293 | 0.3307 |
| 45 | 0.3197 | 0.3243 | 0.3250 | 0.3240 | 0.3247 | 0.3243 | 0.3247 | 0.3257 |
| 52.5 | 0.3150 | 0.3193 | 0.3193 | 0.3193 | 0.3187 | 0.3183 | 0.3187 | 0.3203 |
| 60 | 0.3080 | 0.3127 | 0.3127 | 0.3120 | 0.3117 | 0.3120 | 0.3127 | 0.3127 |
| 67.5 | 0.2997 | 0.3030 | 0.3033 | 0.3033 | 0.3033 | 0.3030 | 0.3033 | 0.3040 |
| 75 | 0.2867 | 0.2910 | 0.2910 | 0.2903 | 0.2897 | 0.2900 | 0.2907 | 0.2910 |
| 82.5 | 0.2663 | 0.2693 | 0.2693 | 0.2677 | 0.2673 | 0.2673 | 0.2673 | 0.2677 |

Table E-2
The comparison of Labsphere and GSFC measurements of DHR with $8^{\circ}$ illumination is given in Table E-3. The GSFC azimuthally averaged BRDF values were integrated over view angle using interpolation to Gaussian quadrature points. Ten, twenty and forty quadrature points were used no apparent difference in the integrated DHR. Note that although a BRDF can have values greater than $1 / \pi$ the DHR determines the total flux reflected by an object and is therefore necessarily less than unity. This comparison suggests that the BRDF measured at GSFC is $2 \pm 0.25 \%$ too bright.

|  | GSFC | Labsphere | Flight <br> Sample | Ratio |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Wavelength <br> $(\mathrm{nm})$ | DHR $\left(8^{\circ}\right)$ | DHR $\left(8^{\circ}\right)$ | Variability | GSFC/Labsphere |
| 325 | 0.992 | 0.968 | $0.64 \%$ | 1.024 |
| 457 | 1.006 | 0.987 | $0.23 \%$ | 1.019 |


| 488 | 1.007 | 0.988 | $0.17 \%$ | 1.019 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 514 | 1.005 | 0.988 | $0.15 \%$ | 1.017 |
| 633 | 1.005 | 0.988 | $0.06 \%$ | 1.018 |
| 670 | 1.005 | 0.988 | $0.06 \%$ | 1.018 |
| 780 | 1.007 | 0.987 | $0.10 \%$ | 1.020 |
| 835 | 1.010 | 0.987 | $0.06 \%$ | 1.023 |

Table E-3. DHR with $8^{\circ}$ illumination angle.
The BRDF for the relevant flight geometry made use of the reciprocity between illumination and viewing directions in order to simplify the measurement process. The angle of view of the SRA is always $50.5^{\circ} \pm 0.25^{\circ}$. Since it is easier experimentally to move the detector than the source, BRDF measurements were made with a fixed angle of incidence of $50^{\circ}$ and viewing angles varying from $55^{\circ}$ to $65^{\circ}$ over an azimuth range of $0^{\circ}$ to $10^{\circ}$ (i.e. we interchanged the geometries of source and detector). In Table E4 we show the average and standard deviation over wavelength of the BRDF when multiplied by $\pi$ and divided by the GSFC DHR at $8^{\circ}$. It can be seen that over the spectral range of 400 to 850 nm variation from the mean in the BRDF is typically $0.4 \%$ or less. We therefore use this generic BRDF, scaled by the Labsphere measurements of the DHR at $8^{\circ}$ illumination of the flight unit witness samples, to generate the reflectances needed in the use of the SRA.

Table E-4. Spectrally averaged values of $\pi \operatorname{BRDF}(\lambda) / \operatorname{DHR}\left(\lambda 1,8^{\circ}\right)$ for the reciprocal of the APS SRA observational viewing geometry.

| $\mathrm{AOI}^{\circ}$ | Relative Solar Azimuth ( $\Delta \phi^{9}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 180 |  | 177.5 |  | 175 |  | 172.5 |  | 170 |  |
|  | Mean | Std | Mean | Std | Mean | Std | Mean | Std | Mean | Std |
| 55 | 1.1814 | 0.3\% | 1.1822 | 0.3\% | 1.1796 | 0.4\% | 1.1769 | 0.4\% | 1.1729 | 0.4\% |
| 57.5 | 1.1898 | 0.4\% | 1.1903 | 0.4\% | 1.1894 | 0.4\% | 1.1876 | 0.3\% | 1.1849 | 0.5\% |
| 60 | 1.1979 | 0.4\% | 1.1983 | 0.4\% | 1.1996 | 0.4\% | 1.1965 | 0.4\% | 1.1952 | 0.4\% |
| 62.5 | 1.2068 | 0.4\% | 1.2081 | 0.4\% | 1.2086 | 0.4\% | 1.2072 | 0.5\% | 1.2054 | 0.5\% |
| 65 | 1.2161 | 0.4\% | 1.2170 | 0.4\% | 1.2184 | 0.4\% | 1.2179 | 0.4\% | 1.2166 | 0.4\% |

Table E-4

Operationally this means that the reflectance required for solar calibration is determined by interpolating, within the set of values provided within this table (Table E-4), to the solar illumination and viewing geometry for the current APS scan. That value is then multiplied by the appropriately solar spectrally weighted Labsphere DHR values for the APS bands that are given in Table E-5.

Table E-5. APS $8^{\circ}$ illumination DHR values calculated from Labsphere measurements of the APS flight unit witness pieces.

Table E-5

| Band | Center (nm) | DHR@8 | Std Dev |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 413.3 | 0.9866 | $0.24 \%$ |
| 2 | 443.6 | 0.9864 | $0.22 \%$ |
| 3 | 555.2 | 0.9881 | $0.11 \%$ |
| 4 | 673.9 | 0.9877 | $0.10 \%$ |
| 5 | 865.7 | 0.9877 | $0.09 \%$ |
| 6 | 910.6 | 0.9876 | $0.12 \%$ |
| 7 | 1375.5 | 0.9835 | $0.07 \%$ |
| 8 | 1603.3 | 0.9837 | $0.08 \%$ |
| 9 | 2260.4 | 0.9563 | $0.36 \%$ |

In order to calculate the orientation of the view and illumination vectors with respect to the SRA Spectralon reflector it is helpful to supplement the vectors A and C introduced above with their cross-product and define a unit vector system in the frame of the Spectralon diffuser. In this frame $\mathbf{z}^{\prime}$ is the normal to the Spectralon, $\mathbf{x}^{\prime}$ is the direction of the orientation of the major axis of the diffuser surface and $\mathbf{y}$ ' is the orientation of the minor axis of the diffuser surface. The formulae for obtaining the azimuth $\left(\phi_{s}\right)$ and zenith $\left(\theta_{s}\right)$ of the sun relative to the Spectralon ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ diffuse reflector using the solar vector (s) in the spacecraft co-ordinate system from the APS ACS packet are

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\cos \theta_{S}=\mathbf{s} . \mathbf{z}^{\prime} \Rightarrow \theta_{S}=\arccos \left(\mathbf{s} . \mathbf{z}^{\prime}\right) \\
\sin \theta_{S} \cos \phi_{S}=\mathbf{s} . \mathbf{y}^{\prime}  \tag{B1}\\
\sin \theta_{S} \sin \phi_{S}=\mathbf{s} . \mathbf{x}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right\} \Rightarrow \phi_{S}=\arctan \left(\mathbf{s} . \mathbf{x}^{\prime} / \mathbf{s} . \mathbf{y}^{\prime}\right) .
$$

The supplementary co-ordinate system of the diffuser is defined with respect to the spacecraft co-ordinate system in Table E-6.

Table E-6. Co-ordinate system for the normal to the Spectralon (z') and the vectors that define the plane of the Spectralon surface ( $x^{\prime}$ and $y^{\prime}$ ) expressed in the spacecraft co-ordinate system so that the formulae in Eq. B1 can be computed.

|  | $z^{\prime}$ |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $x$ | -0.121587 | 0.992581 | $y^{\prime}$ |
| $x$ | -0.155269 | -0.019065 | $0.9876885-05$ |
| $z$ | 0.980361 | 0.120082 | 0.156435115 |

Table E-6
The same formulae apply to determining the azimuth ( $\phi_{\mathrm{v}}$ ) and zenith $\left(\theta_{\mathrm{v}}\right)$ of the view incidence vector $\mathbf{v}$ relative to the Spectralon. The view incidence vector is dependent on the sector of data that is being used and can be determined for each of the seventeen sectors associated with observations of the SRA using the expressions

$$
\begin{align*}
& v_{x}=\sin (2 \pi((282+\text { sra_index }) / 768)) \\
& v_{y}=0  \tag{B2}\\
& v_{z}=\cos (2 \pi(0.5-(282+\text { sra_index }) / 768))
\end{align*}
$$

where sra_index is zero based (running from 0 to 16 for the 17 available sectors). As noted above, the only sectors for which unvignetted data are expected are sectors 10 and 11. Given the slow variation of BRDF with view the GSFC measured geometry with an AOV (illumination zenith) of $50^{\circ}$ is used for all calibrations even though the actual AOV is either $50.8^{\circ}$, OR $50.3^{\circ}$. Based on the variation of BRDF with angle this assumption may introduce a bias of $0.2 \%$ into the solar calibration. The azimuth of the view incidence vector changes even less with sra_index and so for the expected calibration sectors for APS a view azimuth of $81.5 \pm 0.1^{\circ}$ is used. The solar azimuth can therefore be calculated relative to this value and the relative azimuth which is needed to get the correct reflectance value in Table E-4 is $\Delta \phi=81.5-\phi_{s}$.

For reference Table E-7 provides a set of sample solar vectors from the Glory mission simulation during the solar calibration maneuver together with the Spectralon illumination zenith and relative (to $81.5^{\circ}$ ) solar illumination azimuth calculated using Eq. B-1.

Table E-7. Example of calculating required AOI and relative azimuth of solar and view directions from solar vector in spacecraft co-ordinate system that is reported in APS ACS packet. Note that the AOI of the sun on the Spectralon is less than $65^{\circ}$ with valid spacecraft azimuth $3 \pm 3^{\circ}$ for 80 seconds during the solar calibration maneuver. At the end of this set of ACS data the spacecraft is in the center of the target box and it is therefore expected that valid solar calibration data would be obtained for 160 seconds.

| t (secs) | 835774893 | 835774903 | 835774913 | 835774923 | 835774933 | 835774943 | 835774953 | 835774963 | 835774973 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| x | -0.94974 | -0.946535 | -0.943213 | -0.939833 | -0.936324 | -0.932772 | -0.929078 | -0.925281 | -0.921397 |
| y | -0.053346 | -0.054185 | -0.055038 | -0.055986 | -0.056895 | -0.057672 | -0.05872 | -0.059643 | -0.060682 |
| z | 0.308461 | 0.318018 | 0.327598 | 0.337015 | 0.346497 | 0.355822 | 0.365193 | 0.374563 | 0.383856 |
| x $^{\prime}$ | 0.426162 | 0.435272 | 0.444392 | 0.453361 | 0.462371 | 0.471202 | 0.480102 | 0.488970 | 0.497769 |
| $\mathrm{y}^{\prime}$ | -0.904636 | -0.900291 | -0.895827 | -0.891324 | -0.886685 | -0.882024 | -0.877213 | -0.872301 | -0.867310 |
| z' $^{\prime}$ | -0.004478 | -0.003812 | -0.003156 | -0.002619 | -0.002033 | -0.001341 | -0.000910 | -0.000356 | 0.000072 |
| $\theta$ | 64.775754 | 64.197394 | 63.615526 | 63.040490 | 62.459790 | 61.887674 | 61.307924 | 60.727108 | 60.147467 |
| $\phi$ | 89.716362 | 89.757411 | 89.798178 | 89.831675 | 89.868639 | 89.912864 | 89.940538 | 89.976614 | -89.995265 |
| $\phi$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| corrected | -90.283638 | -90.242589 | -90.201822 | -90.168325 | -90.131361 | -90.087136 | -90.059462 | -90.023386 | -89.995265 |
| $\Delta \phi$ | 171.783638 | 171.742589 | 171.701822 | 171.668325 | 171.631361 | 171.587136 | 171.559462 | 171.523386 | 171.495265 |
| S/C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.261605 |
| Azimuth | 3.057952 | 3.106093 | 3.155039 | 3.209440 | 3.306197 | 3.366345 | 3.419321 | 3.478960 |  |

The correction to $\phi$ is included to emphasize that a two argument (e.g. atan2) inverse tangent should be used otherwise the quadrant that the inverse tangent lies in must be identified explicitly and used to correct the standard arctangent call.

## F. Calibration of a telescope with a channel missing

In the event that one a pair of channels in a telescope becomes inoperable it will be necessary to modify the calibration method applied to the remaining good channel. The following approach assumes that the same band in the other telescope is still functioning normally. Simplifying the equations in the body of this document for the purposes of defining the calibrations approach for a single channel we find that:

$$
\begin{gather*}
C 0 . S 1 L=\frac{I+q_{\text {inst }} Q+u_{\text {inst }} U+\frac{1}{\alpha_{q}}\left[q_{\text {inst }} I-Q\right]}{2}  \tag{F1a}\\
C 0 . K 1 . S 1 R=\frac{I+q_{\text {inst }} Q+u_{\text {inst }} U-\frac{1}{\alpha_{q}}\left[q_{\text {inst }} I-Q\right]}{2}  \tag{F1b}\\
C 0 . C 12 . S 2 L=\frac{I+q_{\text {inst }} Q+u_{\text {inst }} U+\frac{1}{\alpha_{u}}\left[u_{\text {inst }} I-U\right]}{2}  \tag{F1c}\\
C 0 . C 12 . K 2 . S 2 R=\frac{I+q_{\text {inst }} Q+u_{\text {inst }} U-\frac{1}{\alpha_{u}}\left[u_{\text {inst }} I-U\right]}{2} \tag{F1d}
\end{gather*}
$$

We will define an additional calibration coefficient CX which applies to any "oddball" channels since these channels cannot be calibrated in the normal manner. This nomenclature is acceptable since there can only be one "oddball" per band. Let us now examine what is observed when looking through the unpolarized reference assembly.

$$
\begin{align*}
& C X . S 1 L=\frac{I\left(1+\frac{q_{\text {inst }}}{\alpha_{q}}\right)}{2}  \tag{F2a}\\
& C X . S 1 R=\frac{I\left(1-\frac{q_{\text {inst }}}{\alpha_{q}}\right)}{2}  \tag{F2b}\\
& C X . S 2 L=\frac{I\left(1+\frac{u_{\text {inst }}}{\alpha_{u}}\right)}{2} \tag{F2c}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
C X . S 2 R=\frac{I\left(1-\frac{u_{\text {inst }}}{\alpha_{u}}\right)}{2} \tag{F2d}
\end{equation*}
$$

The intensity through the URA is determined from the telescope that has both channels for this band (i.e. if Telescope 1 has a missing channel then $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ is used and if Telescope 2 has a missing channel then $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ is used). The formulae for determining CX for an "oddball" channel are as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& C X .=\frac{I_{2}\left(1+\frac{q_{\text {inst }}}{\alpha_{q}}\right)}{2 S 1 L}  \tag{F3a}\\
& C X=\frac{I_{2}\left(1-\frac{q_{i n s t}}{\alpha_{q}}\right)}{2 . S 1 R}  \tag{F3b}\\
& C X=\frac{I_{1}\left(1+\frac{u_{\text {inst }}}{\alpha_{u}}\right)}{2 . S 2 L}  \tag{F3c}\\
& C X=\frac{I_{1}\left(1-\frac{u_{\text {inst }}}{\alpha_{u}}\right)}{2 . S 2 R} \tag{F3d}
\end{align*}
$$

The "oddball" channels are polarimetrically calibrated using views through the PRA, for which the observations are modeled as,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha_{q}=\frac{\left(q_{\text {inst }}-q_{c a l}\right)}{\left(\frac{2 \cdot C X \cdot S 1 L}{I_{2}}-1\right)}  \tag{F4a}\\
& \alpha_{q}=\frac{\left(q_{\text {inst }}-q_{c a l}\right)}{\left(\frac{2 \cdot C X \cdot S 1 R}{I_{2}}-1\right)}  \tag{F4b}\\
& \alpha_{u}=\frac{\left(u_{\text {inst }}-u_{\text {cal }}\right)}{\left(\frac{2 \cdot C X \cdot S 2 L}{I_{1}}-1\right)} \tag{F4c}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{u}=\frac{\left(u_{\text {inst }}-u_{\text {cal }}\right)}{\left(\frac{2 \cdot C X \cdot S 2 R}{I_{1}}-1\right)} \tag{F4d}
\end{equation*}
$$

where terms of the form $p_{\text {inst }} \cdot p_{\text {cal }} \cdot \cos \left(\chi_{\text {inst }}-\chi_{\text {cal }}\right)$ are neglected since they are small compared with the other uncertainties in this calibration method. The other uncertainties are related to small differences in the intensities that can be observed in different telescopes as a result of slightly different boresight alignment, or transmission differences of the mirrors and/or windows. The definition of aq and aq for these "oddball" channels is slightly different from normal, depending on the channel, but this difference (sign change) is only used to compensate for sign changes in the calculation of $q$ and $u$ in the next step.

The final quantity that is required from the "oddball" channel calibration is $q$, or $u$ depending on which telescope is suffering from a lost channel.

$$
\begin{align*}
& q=q_{\text {inst }}-\alpha_{q}\left(\frac{2 \cdot C X \cdot S 1 L}{I_{2}}-1\right)  \tag{F5a}\\
& q=q_{\text {inst }}-\alpha_{q}\left(\frac{2 \cdot C X \cdot S 1 R}{I_{2}}-1\right)  \tag{F5b}\\
& u=u_{\text {inst }}-\alpha_{u}\left(\frac{2 \cdot C X \cdot S 2 L}{I_{1}}-1\right)  \tag{F5c}\\
& u=u_{\text {inst }}-\alpha_{u}\left(\frac{2 \cdot C X \cdot S 2 R}{I_{1}}-1\right) \tag{F5d}
\end{align*}
$$

In fact throughout this analysis it is not necessary to use $I_{1}$, or $I_{2}$ since all quantities are ratios. Instead S1L+K1*S1R and S2L+K2S2R can be used instead as long as the usage is consistent.

