



AIR FORCE DOCTRINE PUBLICATION (AFDP) 3-36 AIR MOBILITY OPERATIONS

GENERAL PLANNING CONSIDERATIONS

Last Updated: 28 June 2019

The US must be prepared to confront and defeat a peer/near peer competitor as well as be prepared in any scale contingency to meet our national security objectives. This section focuses on the general planning considerations of the political environment, physical environment, and threat environment that will ensure air mobility success in any contingency. Air mobility operational planners should take these considerations into account when developing <u>air tasking orders</u> (ATO), <u>airspace control orders</u> (ACO), special instructions (SPINS), <u>rules of engagement</u> (ROE), <u>rules for the use of force</u>, and other applicable <u>operation plans</u> (OPLANs) and contingency plans (CONPLANs) according to a commander's intent and objectives.

Political Environment

As with any military operation, regional politics can have a significant effect on air mobility operations. With a majority of air mobility operations occurring over foreign territories, the decisions made by US political leaders and those of our allies may affect the options available to the air mobility planners. The following are some of the more significant factors.

Partner Nation Support

Support from the countries involved in air mobility efforts is essential, since deployed air operations rely on host nation support to grant access and to promote a smaller footprint. Legal advisors should be consulted to determine what agreements and treaties exist and whether there is a status-of-forces agreement (SOFA) in place. The geographic combatant commander's (GCC's) logistics directorate (J-4) and commander, Air Force forces (COMAFFOR)/A4 should be consulted for acquisition and cross-servicing agreements and mutual logistics support agreements that may exist. SOFAs normally include status of personnel, as well as operating rights and responsibilities and may include waivers of landing fees, duties, taxes, or personnel entry requirements. Failure to adequately ascertain host nation support and provide for any required augmentation can result in significant roadblocks to mission accomplishment.

The ability to obtain diplomatic clearance for both overflight and landing is crucial. Past

conflicts have demonstrated that challenges obtaining diplomatic clearances have farreaching impacts on every air mobility effort. The importance of partner nation support cannot be underestimated. This support is also crucial with regard to petroleum, oils, and lubricants availability due to high consumption rates.

Access

A successful air mobility operation depends on access to networks of facilities and usable destinations, which include airfields and drop zones. Access to theater airspace and airfields throughout the world presents a major limiting factor to air mobility operations especially in a peer/near peer contested environment. In underdeveloped regions of the world, aircraft often use austere airfields. These may be limited in one or more of the following ways: runway condition and size, taxiway systems, ramp space, fuel (resupply, storage, quality, and handling capabilities), security, materials handling equipment, marshalling and storage capability, aircraft servicing, maintenance, navigation aids, weather observing sensors, and communications. Additional limitations based on access that should be considered include routing restrictions, flow control, terminal instrument procedure restrictions, host base support, and other airfields' infrastructure.

Communications

Air mobility missions should be flexible and responsive to the users' requirements. Because of their extended loiter capacity, airborne tankers and airlifters can be redirected if their primary mission is changed or canceled. To accomplish this, air operations center (AOC) elements should maintain flight following of air mobility aircraft to be able to contact them. This should normally be accomplished by the air mobility division (AMD) in the theater or the 618th Air Operations Center (AOC) (Tanker Airlift Control Center) if outside the theater. In addition, in a contested communications environment, operational requirements and communication capabilities may dictate that another airborne or ground control element relay a new tasking. Bolstered communications security (COMSEC) procedures and mandated low emissions control (EMCON) environments may make it difficult for control elements to maintain contact with airborne assets. Retasking procedures and frequencies should be thoroughly explained in the SPINS or the ACO to ensure coordination between aircrews and command personnel. Regardless of the command relationships, aircrew must adhere to the theater operational procedures that are normally found in the SPINS. Planners should consider the challenges air mobility operations will face in a peer contested environment and have appropriate branch plans to consider broken lines of communications or rapidly changing basing considerations.

Base Operating Support

Except in the case of self-sustaining short-term contingency response forces, base operating support (BOS) for deployed forces enhancing the Global Air Mobility Support System should be provided by the GCC's Service component responsible for the

airfield or by the host nation when no component has a presence. When insufficient host base BOS exists, deploying air mobility support forces normally are augmented by the appropriate BOS unit type codes drawn from across the command or the Air Force as a whole.

Airspace Control

The use of air mobility in any theater should be integrated into the airspace control plan and any civilian or international airway control system. Air mobility planners should coordinate with the <u>airspace control authority's</u> staff, and obtain diplomatic clearances to ensure airlift and air refueling activities comply with all routes and procedures through any area they may transit. The nature and intensity of the air operation may require the establishment of specific air traffic corridors and air refueling tracks.

Diplomatic Clearances

Diplomatic clearances include aircraft overflight and landing rights, communications connection approval, personnel visas, and other entry requirements. Customs, immigration, and quarantine requirements (or waiver thereof) can also be critical. Time-phased force and deployment data (TPFDD) flow cannot occur without appropriate clearances obtained in advance. Diplomatic clearances impact footprint, throughput, force protection (FP), and ultimately, operational success, and should be acquired prior to execution of a TPFDD or deployment order.

Legal Issues (Principles of International Law)

Global air mobility operations are governed by international and domestic laws (US and partner nation). International law governs the rights, privileges, and immunities of aircraft. Privileges and immunities differ depending on the status of the aircraft (i.e., "state" or "civil"). Military aircraft are state aircraft. Aircrews and those planning and managing missions outside the continental US should consult the <u>Department of Defense Foreign Clearance Guide</u> for current, country-specific information. In addition, aircrew and mission planners / managers should be aware of and abide by the applicable ROE.

Medical

The global reach laydown (GRL) team includes medical capabilities designed to reduce the impact of disease and non-battle injuries on mission accomplishment in contingency operations and provide limited medical support. The GRL team and associated equipment package deploys with the contingency response group or contingency response element and assesses health risks associated with environmental and occupational health hazards for deployed personnel, in support of establishing a potential main operating base in a forward deployed location.

Multimodal Ports and Hubs

Planners should be aware of multimodal ports when designing airlift plans. Ninety percent of intertheater cargo goes by sea, often with intratheater airlift as the final segment. Multimodal hubs act as a force multiplier, enabling maximum efficiency for high demand airlift assets and provide resiliency of logistics through alternate delivery channels.

Physical Environment

The physical environment will have a considerable impact on air mobility operations. The following are significant factors planners should consider.

Geography

Some areas of the world are isolated geographically, and therefore air mobility remains the best source of supply. Operations in such areas naturally increase the demand for airlift. Multimodal options in these areas are often reduced.

Climatology and Weather

Weather effects on air mobility operations present ongoing challenges. Incorporating the impact of climate and weather effects on air mobility operations should be part of planning for all activities. For example, weather greatly reduced airlift for Bosnia in 1996 and tanker capability in Kosovo in 1999, and extreme heat limited airlift execution in Kuwait during Operation IRAQI FREEDOM. For additional information, see AFDP 3-59, *Weather Operations*.

Threat Environment

Mobility air forces (MAF) operate in a wide variety of threat environments across the spectrum of conflict, performing a variety of missions. Air mobility operations can be flown in threat environments that include conventional military forces, insurgents, and terrorists. Adversary capabilities can range from basic small arms to later-generation man-portable air defense systems or even radar-guided surface-to-air missiles and anti-aircraft artillery. While conducting operations in a peer/near peer contested environment, air mobility planners should understand air mobility operations depend upon friendly forces to suppress enemy air defenses, provide escort, and provide threat warning support. However, during contingency or peacetime operations, friendly FP and intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance (ISR) support may be limited. Planning factors for chemical, biological, radiological, or nuclear threat environment operations should include operational and clearance decontamination levels for aircrew and aircraft. MAF planners should consider applicable elements within the electromagnetic spectrum and cyberspace domain to ensure maximum visibility and response options during operational planning and execution.

ISR

ISR support is required to effectively and accurately describe the battlespace and threat environment, and their impact on air mobility operations.

Threat Working Group

The AMC and theater threat working groups (TWGs) are the air mobility focal point for coordinated global and theater risk analysis and for developing recommendations to mitigate identified threats and vulnerabilities. The TWGs conduct annual reviews of the threats to air mobility operations that establishes baseline risks, sets risk assessment production requirements, and determines minimal FP recommendations. In addition, the TWGs monitor for changes to the threat environment; conduct risk analysis to support new operations, missions, and requirements; and review daily OCONUS missions for new or emerging FP concerns. Assessments and recommendations are then shared amongst the TWGs.

Threat Mitigation

Timely and accurate intelligence reduces vulnerabilities and is essential to air mobility mission planning. Intelligence personnel provide information about enemy composition, vulnerabilities, capabilities, intentions, and probable courses of action for air movement operations. Employing proper EMCON, operations security, and COMSEC procedures helps to ensure that the information environment of any military operation is kept secure. Mitigation recommendations cover a variety of options and can include the requirement for defensive systems and aircraft armor to mitigate the surface-to-air fire threat, restrictions on remaining overnight, requirements to carry Phoenix Raven or Fly Away Security Team (FAST) personnel to protect the crew and aircraft while on the ground, or restrictions on allowing contract and Civil Reserve Air Fleet commercial flight operations.