NASA TECHNICAL NOTE



NASA TN D-5930

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

Approved for public releaser Distribution United

19960610 093

NASA TN D-5930

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED &

AN EXPERIMENTAL STUDY OF A CARBON-PHENOLIC ABLATION MATERIAL

by Kenneth Sutton Langley Research Center Hampton, Va. 23365

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION . WASHINGTON, D. C. . SEPTEMBER 1

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE PLASTICS TECHNICAL EVALUATION CENTER PICATINNY ARSENAL, DOVER, N. J.



1. Report No.	2. Government Accession No.		3. Recipient's Catalog	No.
NASA TN D-5930			5. Report Date	
	NY OF A CARDON DUEN		September	1970
AN EXPERIMENTAL STU ABLATION MATERIAL	DY OF A CARBON-PHEN		6. Performing Organiz	ation Code
7. Author(s) Kenneth Sutton			8. Performing Organiza L-6455	ation Report No.
			0. Work Unit No.	
9. Performing Organization Name and Addres	s		124-07-18-	06
NASA Langley Research C	enter		1. Contract or Grant	No.
Hampton, Va. 23365				
			I3. Type of Report an	d Period Covered
2. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address			Technical l	Note
National Aeronautics and S	Space Administration		4. Sponsoring Agency	Code
Washington, D.C. 20546				
15. Supplementary Notes				······
· · ·				
-				
supersonic streams of air pressures from 0.07 to 11	atmospheres (1 atmospheres to 2007)	en mixtures a re equals 101	s were conducte t model stagnati .325 kN/m ²) an	d in on d stagna-
supersonic streams of air pressures from 0.07 to 11 tion enthalpies from 1100 removal of the material di nitrogen mixtures at press mass fraction in the stream	atmospheres (1 atmospheres (1 atmospheres (1 atmospheres (1 atmospheres to 11000 Btu/lbm (2.55 to d not occur in the nitroge sures as low as 2.4 atmos m. The experimental res	28. The test en mixtures a pre equals 101 0 25.50 MJ/kg n tests but dio pheres dependent sults were com	s were conducte t model stagnati .325 kN/m^2) an). Mechanical of l occur in air ar ling upon the ox npared with pre-	d in on d stagna- char nd air- ygen dictions
supersonic streams of air pressures from 0.07 to 11 tion enthalpies from 1100 removal of the material di nitrogen mixtures at press mass fraction in the stream from an ablation computer	atmospheres (1 atmospheres (1 atmospheres to 11000 Btu/lbm (2.55 to d not occur in the nitrogesures as low as 2.4 atmosm. The experimental resures as how as 2.4 atmost program.	28. The test en mixtures a re equals 101 0 25.50 MJ/kg n tests but did pheres dependent sults were com	s were conducte t model stagnati .325 kN/m^2) an 0. Mechanical of l occur in air ar ling upon the ox npared with pres	d in on d stagna- char nd air- ygen dictions
supersonic streams of air pressures from 0.07 to 11 tion enthalpies from 1100 removal of the material di nitrogen mixtures at press mass fraction in the stread from an ablation computer	at designated Narmeo 4 , nitrogen, and air-nitrog atmospheres (1 atmosphe to 11000 Btu/lbm (2.55 to d not occur in the nitroge sures as low as 2.4 atmos m. The experimental res program.	28. The test en mixtures a ere equals 101 o 25.50 MJ/kg n tests but dio pheres dependent sults were com	s were conducte t model stagnati .325 kN/m^2) an). Mechanical of l occur in air ar ling upon the ox npared with pre-	d in on d stagna- char nd air- ygen dictions
supersonic streams of air pressures from 0.07 to 11 tion enthalpies from 1100 removal of the material di nitrogen mixtures at press mass fraction in the streat from an ablation computer	atmospheres (1 atmospheres (1 atmospheres to 11000 Btu/lbm (2.55 to d not occur in the nitrogesures as low as 2.4 atmosm. The experimental response program.	28. The test en mixtures a re equals 101 o 25.50 MJ/kg n tests but did pheres depend sults were con	s were conducte t model stagnati .325 kN/m^2) an 0. Mechanical of l occur in air ar ling upon the ox npared with pre-	d in on d stagna- char nd air- ygen dictions
supersonic streams of air pressures from 0.07 to 11 tion enthalpies from 1100 removal of the material di nitrogen mixtures at press mass fraction in the strea from an ablation computer	atmospheres (1 atmospheres (1 atmospheres (1 atmospheres to 11000 Btu/lbm (2.55 to d not occur in the nitroge sures as low as 2.4 atmos m. The experimental response program.	28. The test en mixtures a ere equals 101 o 25.50 MJ/kg n tests but did pheres depend sults were com	s were conducte t model stagnati .325 kN/m^2) an). Mechanical of d occur in air ar ling upon the ox npared with pres	d in on d stagna- char nd air- ygen dictions
supersonic streams of air pressures from 0.07 to 11 tion enthalpies from 1100 removal of the material di nitrogen mixtures at press mass fraction in the streat from an ablation computer	an designated Narmeo 4 , nitrogen, and air-nitrogen atmospheres (1 atmosphe to 11000 Btu/lbm (2.55 to d not occur in the nitrogen sures as low as 2.4 atmos m. The experimental res program.	28. The test en mixtures a ere equals 101 o 25.50 MJ/kg n tests but dio pheres dependent sults were com	s were conducte t model stagnati .325 kN/m^2) an 0. Mechanical of l occur in air ar ling upon the ox apared with pre-	d in on d stagna- char nd air- ygen dictions
supersonic streams of air pressures from 0.07 to 11 tion enthalpies from 1100 removal of the material di nitrogen mixtures at press mass fraction in the strea from an ablation computer	atmospheres (1 atmospheres (1 atmospheres (1 atmospheres to 11000 Btu/lbm (2.55 to d not occur in the nitrogesures as low as 2.4 atmosm. The experimental responsemental re	28. The test en mixtures a re equals 101 o 25.50 MJ/kg n tests but did pheres depend sults were com	s were conducte t model stagnati .325 kN/m^2) an 0. Mechanical of d occur in air ar ling upon the ox npared with pres	d in on d stagna- char nd air- ygen dictions
supersonic streams of air pressures from 0.07 to 11 tion enthalpies from 1100 removal of the material di nitrogen mixtures at press mass fraction in the streat from an ablation computer	at designated Narmeo 4 , nitrogen, and air-nitrog atmospheres (1 atmosphe to 11000 Btu/lbm (2.55 to d not occur in the nitroge sures as low as 2.4 atmos m. The experimental res program.	28. The test en mixtures a are equals 101 o 25.50 MJ/kg n tests but dio pheres depend sults were com	s were conducte t model stagnati .325 kN/m ²) an). Mechanical of d occur in air ar ling upon the ox npared with pres	d in on d stagna- char nd air- ygen dictions
supersonic streams of air pressures from 0.07 to 11 tion enthalpies from 1100 r removal of the material di nitrogen mixtures at press mass fraction in the stread from an ablation computer	an designated Narmeo 4 , nitrogen, and air-nitroge atmospheres (1 atmosphe to 11000 Btu/lbm (2.55 to d not occur in the nitroge sures as low as 2.4 atmos m. The experimental res program.	28. The test en mixtures a ere equals 101 o 25.50 MJ/kg n tests but dio pheres depend sults were com	s were conducte t model stagnati .325 kN/m^2) an 0. Mechanical of l occur in air ar ling upon the ox npared with pre-	d in on d stagna- char nd air- ygen dictions
supersonic streams of air, pressures from 0.07 to 11 tion enthalpies from 1100 removal of the material di nitrogen mixtures at press mass fraction in the streat from an ablation computer	at designated Narmeo 4d , nitrogen, and air-nitrog atmospheres (1 atmosphe to 11000 Btu/lbm (2.55 to d not occur in the nitroge sures as low as 2.4 atmos m. The experimental res program.	28. The test en mixtures a ere equals 101 o 25.50 MJ/kg n tests but did pheres depend sults were com	s were conducte t model stagnati $.325 \text{ kN/m}^2$) an 0. Mechanical of d occur in air ar ling upon the ox npared with pres	d in on d stagna- char nd air- ygen dictions
supersonic streams of air pressures from 0.07 to 11 tion enthalpies from 1100 removal of the material di nitrogen mixtures at press mass fraction in the streat from an ablation computer	at designated Narmeo 4d , nitrogen, and air-nitrog atmospheres (1 atmosphe to 11000 Btu/lbm (2.55 to d not occur in the nitroge sures as low as 2.4 atmos m. The experimental res program.	28. The test en mixtures a are equals 101 o 25.50 MJ/kg n tests but did pheres depend sults were com	s were conducte t model stagnati .325 kN/m ²) an 0. Mechanical of d occur in air ar ling upon the ox npared with pres	d in on d stagna- char nd air- ygen dictions
supersonic streams of air, pressures from 0.07 to 11 tion enthalpies from 1100 r removal of the material di nitrogen mixtures at press mass fraction in the streat from an ablation computer	18. Dis	28. The test en mixtures a re equals 101 o 25.50 MJ/kg n tests but dio pheres dependent sults were com	s were conducte t model stagnati .325 kN/m ²) an 0. Mechanical of l occur in air ar ling upon the ox npared with pre-	d in on d stagna- char nd air- ygen dictions
supersonic streams of air, pressures from 0.07 to 11 tion enthalpies from 1100 removal of the material di nitrogen mixtures at press mass fraction in the streat from an ablation computer	18. Dis	28. The test en mixtures a re equals 101 o 25.50 MJ/kg n tests but did pheres depend sults were com	s were conducte t model stagnati .325 kN/m ²) an 0. Mechanical of d occur in air ar ling upon the ox npared with pre-	d in on d stagna- char nd air- ygen dictions
 supersonic streams of air, pressures from 0.07 to 11 tion enthalpies from 1100 r removal of the material di nitrogen mixtures at press mass fraction in the streat from an ablation computer 17. Key Words (Suggested by Author(s)) Ablation Carbon-phenolic material Heat shield 	18. Dis	28. The test en mixtures a re equals 101 0 25.50 MJ/kg n tests but did pheres depend sults were con	s were conducte t model stagnati .325 kN/m ²) an). Mechanical of d occur in air ar ling upon the ox pared with pre-	d in on d stagna- char nd air- ygen dictions
 supersonic streams of air, pressures from 0.07 to 11 tion enthalpies from 1100 r removal of the material di nitrogen mixtures at press mass fraction in the streat from an ablation computer 17. Key Words (Suggested by Author(s)) Ablation Carbon-phenolic material Heat shield 	18. Dis	28. The test en mixtures a are equals 101 o 25.50 MJ/kg n tests but dio pheres dependent sults were com	s were conducte t model stagnati .325 kN/m ²) an 0. Mechanical of l occur in air ar ling upon the ox npared with pre-	d in on d stagna- char nd air- ygen dictions
 supersonic streams of air, pressures from 0.07 to 11 tion enthalpies from 1100 r removal of the material di nitrogen mixtures at press mass fraction in the streat from an ablation computer 17. Key Words (Suggested by Author(s)) Ablation Carbon-phenolic material Heat shield 19. Security Classif. (of this report) 	20. Security Classif. (of this part	28. The test en mixtures a ere equals 101 o 25.50 MJ/kg n tests but did pheres depend sults were com tribution Statement Unclassified	s were conducte t model stagnati .325 kN/m ²) an 0. Mechanical of d occur in air ar ling upon the ox npared with pre- - Unlimited 21. No. of Pages	d in on d stagna- char nd air- ygen dictions 22. Price*

*For sale by the Clearinghouse for Federal Scientific and Technical Information Springfield, Virginia 22151

AN EXPERIMENTAL STUDY OF A CARBON-PHENOLIC

ABLATION MATERIAL

By Kenneth Sutton Langley Research Center

SUMMARY

An experimental ground-test program was conducted to evaluate the ablative characteristics of a carbon-phenolic heat-shield material designated Narmco 4028. The experimental results were compared with predictions from an ablation computer program. Tests were also conducted to evaluate the effects of hole patterns in the material and the effects of injecting water into the flow field through holes in the material. These latter tests were in support of a flight project called project RAM (radio attenuation measurements). The test facilities used in the investigation were the Langley 11-inch ceramicheated tunnel and the Langley 20-inch hypersonic arc-heated tunnel.

In the present tests, mechanical char removal of the material occurred for tests in air at model stagnation pressure above 2.4 atmospheres, but did not occur in nitrogen for pressures up to 11 atmospheres (1 atmosphere equals 101.325 kN/m^2). The mechanical char removal did not remove the entire char layer. An expansion of the material which can offset chemical removal also occurred, and there was an effect of fiber orientation. The experimental data showed that holes in the material can survive without enlargement and maintain their integrity. Water injected into the flow field through holes in the material had no significant effects on the behavior of the material and the holes remained free of any restrictions to the water flow during the tests.

The computer program used in the study was successful in predicting gross trends in material behavior. However, there was scatter in the comparisons between experimental and computer results which is attributed to phenomena, such as mechanical char removal, material expansion, and material degradation during cooldown, which could not be accounted for in the computer program.

INTRODUCTION

An experimental ground-test study was undertaken to evaluate the ablative characteristics of a carbon-phenolic heat-shield material. The material studied is designated Narmco 4028, a composite of 50 percent by weight of carbon fibers and 50-percent phenolic resin. The purpose of the present study was twofold. First, the Langley Research Center has a continuing program of ground-test studies to investigate various types of ablators for possible use as heat shields for reentry flight application. Also, the experimental results are used to evaluate the ability of analytical computer programs to predict the ablative response of various materials. For this objective, models of Narmco 4028 material were tested in ground facilities over a range of aerodynamic conditions to obtain experimental results of char recession, thermal degradation of virgin material, char retention, back-surface temperature rise, surface temperature, fiber orientation effects, and observation of possible peculiarities of the material. The experimental results were compared with analytical computer predictions.

Second, the Narmco 4028 material is used as the heat shield at the nose region for some of the reentry flight vehicles in the project RAM (radio attenuation measurement) series at the Langley Research Center. Project RAM is investigating the blackout phenomena of radio communications encountered during atmospheric reentry and makes extensive use of flight vehicles to obtain experimental data. (See refs. 1, 2, and 3.) The requirements of the flight experiment imposed a unique feature for this heat shield. Water is injected through patterns of holes in the Narmco 4028 material into the flow field during the flight experiment. The results from the present study were part of the flight verification of the Narmco 4028 material for the RAM series. In addition to the necessity of knowing the general ablative behavior of Narmco 4028, tests were conducted to study the effects of holes in the material and the effects of water injection on the ablative behavior of the material. A full-scale replica of the RAM heat shield was tested in a rocket-engine exhaust as additional flight verification and the results of that test have previously been published in reference 4.

The test facilities used in the present study were the Langley 11-inch ceramicheated tunnel and the Langley 20-inch hypersonic arc-heated tunnel. The range of stagnation enthalpy was 1100 to 11 000 Btu/lbm (2.55 to 25.50 MJ/kg) and the range of model stagnation pressure was from 0.07 to 11 atmospheres. Stagnation heating rates were obtained from 130 to 1600 Btu/ft²-sec (1.48 to 18.20 MW/m²). These ranges are for each parameter and are not inclusive of the other parameters.

SYMBOLS

The units used for the physical quantities defined in this paper are given both in the U.S. Customary Units and in the International System of Units (SI). (See ref. 5.)

H_s stagnation enthalpy, Btu/lbm (MJ/kg)

K₀ mass fraction of oxygen in test stream

length of test model, in. (cm)

$$M_0$$
 total cold-wall oxygen mass flux, $\frac{\dot{q}_s K_0 t}{H_s}$, lbm/ft^2 (kg/m²)

p_s stagnation-point pressure, atm

 \dot{q}_s stagnation-point cold-wall heating rate, Btu/ft²-sec (MW/m²)

 T_s approximate equilibrium stagnation-point surface temperature, ^{O}R (K)

t time, sec (s)

w flow rate of injected water, lbm/sec (kg/s)

 x_c char thickness, in. (cm)

Primed symbols refer to computer results.

TEST FACILITIES

The test facilities used in the present investigation were the Langley 11-inch ceramic-heated tunnel and the Langley 20-inch hypersonic arc-heated tunnel. In figure 1, the approximate test conditions for a 1-inch-diameter (2.54-cm) hemispherical model are shown. Tests using air, nitrogen, and air-nitrogen mixtures as the test environment were conducted. The test conditions for the individual tests are given in tables I to IV.

The Langley 11-inch ceramic-heated tunnel was used for the test at higher pressures (6 to 11 atmospheres) although the facility has a low enthalpy capability. In this facility the test gas is heated by flowing through a pebble-bed heat exchanger before expanding through the nozzle. A free-jet Mach 2 nozzle with a 1.33-inch-diameter (3.38-cm) exit was used for the tests. The description and operating conditions of this facility with the Mach 2 nozzle is given in reference 6.

A wider range of test conditions and higher enthalpies could be obtained in the Langley 20-inch hypersonic arc-heated tunnel. The maximum model stagnation pressure in this facility is 3 atmospheres. This facility uses a rotating, radial, dc electric arc to heat the test gas. Three separate nozzles with exit diameters of 2.0, 3.3, and 6.6 inches (5.08, 8.38, and 16.76 cm) were used for this study. A description of this facility is given in reference 7.

MATERIAL AND MODEL DESCRIPTION

Narmco 4028 is a composite material of 50 percent by weight of phenolic resin and 50 percent of 1/4-inch (0.63-cm) carbon fibers. The nominal density of the virgin material is 87 lbm/ft³ (1392 kg/m³). An elemental chemical analysis for the nondegraded material is given in table V. As part of the present study, steady-state measurements of the thermal properties of the nondegraded and charred material were performed under contract. These results are given in reference 8.

The molding and curing of the commercially supplied molding compound were performed by the Langley Research Center. The size of the molded billets was approximately 12 inches (30.48 cm) in diameter and 4 inches (10.16 cm) thick. The carbon fibers will have a preferred orientation depending on method of molding. This preferred orientation has been noted in reference 9 for similar carbon and graphite composite materials. In the present billets the length of the fibers were alined perpendicular to the direction of the applied pressure during the molding operation. This fiber alinement is illustrated by the sketch in figure 2.

Several model designs were used in the present investigation. Most of the models were machined from the molded billets described. The models shown in figure 3 were used to study the general behavior of the material and its char. For each nose shape, models were made so that the carbon fibers were alined both perpendicular and parallel to the direction of the free-stream flow during the tests.

The effect of fiber orientation was further investigated by the use of the model design shown in figure 4. The test specimen of Narmco 4028 was bonded to a shell made of mild steel. (See fig. 4(a).) Models of this design were made, with orientation of the fibers in the test specimen being perpendicular, parallel, and shingled with respect to the flow of the test stream. (See fig. 4(b).) A special mold and molding technique was used to obtain the shingled orientation of fibers.

The hemispherical models with perpendicular-fiber orientation shown in figure 3(a) were used to investigate the effect of holes in the material. Holes were drilled in the models in three patterns as shown by the photographs in figure 5. The holes in the 1-hole pattern and the 4-hole pattern were 0.06 inch (0.15 cm) in diameter; whereas, the holes in the 13-hole pattern were 0.03 inch (0.08 cm) in diameter. The depth of the holes in all three patterns was approximately 0.6 inch (1.5 cm).

The model design shown in figure 6 was used for the tests of the effects of water injection. The test specimen had shingled-fiber orientation (fig. 4(b)) and was bonded to a mild steel holder with passages for the injection of water. Holes with diameters of 0.046 inch (0.117 cm) were located at the stagnation point and at 60° and 81° from the

stagnation point. As shown in figure 6(a), only the stagnation-point holes were connected to the water passage for the models used to study stagnation-point injection. For side injection, both the 60° and 81° holes were connected to the water passage. (See fig. 6(b).)

The model design shown in figure 7 was used in the measurement of back-surface temperature rise for the material. The test specimens (fig. 7(a)) were machined from the molded billets with both perpendicular- and parallel-fiber orientation. As shown in the assembly drawing (fig. 7(b)), a calorimetric plate of 1/64-inch-thick (0.04-cm) copper with three 30-gage chromel-alumel thermocouples is bonded to the back surface of the test specimen. The nose assembly is bonded to a cylindrical steel holder protected with a phenolic-cork composite. At the more severe test conditions, the cylindrical sidewalls were further protected by wrapping with fiber-glass tape. Reference 10 used this model design for similar tests.

TEST PROCEDURE AND INSTRUMENTATION

The test procedure was basically the same for all models in each of the two facilities. The test environment would be set by standard facility procedure; after the equilibrium stream condition was obtained, the model would be inserted into the test stream for the particular exposure time. At the end of exposure time the model would be retracted from the stream. For the tests in the ceramic-heated tunnel, a stream of argon was sprayed over the model to quench flaming of the model after retraction from the test stream.

The length of the test specimen was measured before and after the test. The specimens were sectioned after testing for further study; the studies included measurement of the depth of degradation of the material (that is char thickness).

The response of the model thermocouples was recorded on an oscillograph. Surface temperature of the model was measured with a photographic pyrometer. This type of instrument is described in reference 11; however, a more advanced photographic pyrometer than those described in reference 11 was used in the present tests and the temperature range of this type of instrument has been extended to 7000° R (3900 K). Motion-picture cameras with speeds up to 400 frames per second were used to record the behavior of the models during a test. The models could also be visually observed during a test.

The stagnation enthalpies and stagnation pressures for the tests in the ceramicheated tunnel were taken from the results of reference 6. The heating rates were calculated by using these parameters and the heating-rate equations of reference 12. The oxygen mass fractions were measured with a calibrated choked orifice system used to mix the air and nitrogen. For the tests in the hypersonic arc-heated tunnel, the heating rates and stagnation pressures were measured with thin-wall calorimeters and pressure probes respectively. These parameters were then used to calculate the stagnation enthalpies by the heating-rate equations of reference 12. The oxygen mass fractions were calculated from a known volumetric mixing of air and nitrogen.

For the water-injection tests, an instrumentation console was used which incorporated all the instruments necessary to control and record the water injection rates properly. The source of the water supply was a container filled with water and pressurized by air.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of the individual tests are given in tables I to IV. In these tables are listed the stagnation-point length change, the char thickness, and the approximate equilibrium, stagnation point, surface temperature of the models for each test condition. For the model length change, a negative sign (-) refers to a recession of the model and a positive sign (+) refers to an expansion of the model. The char thicknesses are only given for those cases where the thermal degradation of the virgin material could be attributed to one-dimensional heat conduction.

Mechanical Char Removal

Mechanical char removal of the material was observed to occur at certain test conditions for air and air-nitrogen mixtures but not in nitrogen as noted in the result tables. This mechanical char removal is defined as pieces of char being removed from the char surface. For the tests in which mechanical char removal occurred, pieces of char would be observed leaving the surface of the model and the models did not retain a smooth char surface. The observation of mechanical char removal was made visually both during the tests and from the motion-picture films of the tests. The mechanical char removal of some representative tests is shown in figure 8 by photographs taken from the motion-picture films.

The regime of mechanical char removal is shown by the data in figure 9 and photographs in figure 10. These data are for the model designs shown in figure 3 with perpendicular-fiber orientation. Mechanical char removal did not occur in nitrogen over the entire test range nor in air and air-nitrogen mixtures at stagnation pressures below 2 atmospheres. At stagnation pressures greater than 6 atmospheres, mechanical char removal occurred whenever oxygen was present in the test stream. For air environments ($K_0 = 0.23$), mechanical char removal occurred at stagnation pressures as low as 2.4 atmospheres.

The mechanical char removal for the material is a surface phenomenon and the entire char layer is not removed. Photographs of sectioned models are shown in

figure 11. As can be seen from the photographs, there is a thick char layer present even though severe mechanical char removal had occurred.

The cause of the mechanical char removal was not determined in the present tests. Char removal by aerodynamic shear is one possible mechanism. However, tests in nitrogen at stagnation pressures as high as 11 atmospheres and aerodynamic shears of 62 lbf/ft^2 (2.97 kN/m²) did not show any mechanical char removal. Mechanical char removal did occur at these test conditions in air and in air-nitrogen mixtures. Therefore, aerodynamic shear by itself is not considered the cause of the removal. In reference 13 is presented a theory for multidimensional gas flow through permeable char layers and this theory shows that an inflow of gas from the boundary layer into the char layer is possible. The inflow of a gas containing oxygen could oxidize and weaken the interior structure of the char to such an extent that mechanical char removal by aerodynamic shear is then possible. The present tests had the favorable conditions of small models, high pressures, and thick char layers for gas inflow as presented in reference 13. This concept of a weakening of the char due to gas inflow is only suggested as a possible mechanism and was not proven in present tests. However, the presence of oxygen has a definite influence on the initiation of the char removal.

Recession-Rate Data

Good recession-rate data for chemical removal of the char were not obtained in the present tests. At the higher pressure conditions the mechanical char removal was superimposed on the chemical removal. Also, over the entire range of test conditions, there was a measurable expansion of the material which offset recession. In many of the tests, the length of the model was greater after the test than before the test. This expansion of the material occurred for all model designs. An attempt to correlate the expansion with various parameters was unsuccessful. Because of this mechanical removal and material expansion, a good experimental comparison could not be made with chemical-removal theories for the char even though the model surface temperatures were in the range usually associated with diffusion-controlled oxidation and sublimation of the char.

Fiber Orientation

The direction of the orientation of the carbon fibers with respect to the test stream flow has an effect on the ablative behavior of the material. In figure 12 are shown photographs of representative models after testing with fiber orientation perpendicular and parallel to the free-stream flow. Crevices are formed in the char layer at the nose region of the models with parallel-fiber orientation. This effect was not noted for any of the perpendicular-fiber models. Also, the recessions of the models with parallel-fiber orientation were always greater than those of the perpendicular-fiber models for comparable test environments. In figure 13 is shown the comparison of stagnation-point length change between parallel and perpendicular fibers at comparable test conditions.

The model design shown in figure 4 was used to study further the effect of fiber orientation. In figure 14 representative models with the three different fiber orientations are shown. Again, crevices are formed at the nose region of the models with parallelfiber orientation. No crevices were formed for the models with perpendicular- or shingled-fiber orientation. Also, the perpendicular- and shingled-fiber models have the same general response to an environment. There was no apparent mechanical char removal along the sidewalls of the models in any of the tests, regardless of the type of fiber orientation.

The crevices formed in the char layer for the parallel-fiber orientation do not extend into the nondegraded material. Even the most severe crevices did not extend past the pyrolysis interface. Also, the pyrolysis interfaces for these models have the same contour as the general contour of the exterior surface of the model.

Hole Patterns

The effect of holes in the material was studied at both high- and low-pressure conditions. No enlargements of the holes occurred in any of the tests as illustrated by the photographs in figure 15 for the highest pressure test condition and for severe mechanical char removal. The present experimental results indicate that holes can survive and maintain their integrity in the Narmco 4028 material.

At test conditions where models without holes did not have any mechanical char removal, the models with hole patterns also did not indicate any mechanical char removal. In the test regime for mechanical char removal, there is an effect of hole pattern on the stagnation recession of the models. In figure 16 the stagnation-point recession is shown for models with hole patterns tested at the highest pressure condition. At the longer test times there is greater recession for the models with hole patterns of 4 and 13 holes. The holes for the 4-hole model were located at the region of maximum shear.

Water Injection

The effect of water injection on the behavior of the Narmco 4028 material was investigated at both a high-pressure and a low-pressure test condition. In these tests the water was injected into the flow field either from an orifice at the stagnation point of the model (stagnation-point injection) or from two orifices at 60° and 81° from the stagnation point of the model (sidewall injection). The initiation of water injection was only after the model had reached a high surface temperature. The water was then

injected in pulses of 0.2 second on and 0.3 second off for the duration of the test. During the RAM flight experiments the water will also be injected in pulses. The flow rates of the injected water for each test are given in table III. Photographs of representative models during the test and after testing are shown in figures 17, 18, and 19. The stagnation-point surface temperatures were 4100° R (2278 K) for the models tested at the high-pressure condition and 5300° R (2944 K) for the low-pressure condition. Therefore, the models had a high surface temperature for any possible reaction with the water. For stagnation-point injection, the stagnation region of the model was cooled to a much lower temperature during the injection pulse, but the temperature was regained between the water pulses.

The basic behavior of the material for the water-injection tests was approximately the same as that for the tests without injection at comparable test conditions. The water injection neither increased nor decreased the effects of mechanical char removal. The stagnation-point length changes of the water-injection models were comparable with those obtained for the models without injection. Also, the holes in the material remained free of any restrictions to the water flow during the tests and the holes were clear after the tests.

Crack Formation

Another feature observed in the present tests was the formation of cracks in the virgin material for the model design shown in figure 3. The cracks developed only in the models with perpendicular-fiber orientation. Examples of these cracks are shown in figure 11. The cracks did not always extend to the exterior surface of the models. The models constructed with thinner material (figs. 4, 6, and 7) did not show any cracks.

Model Flaming

As previously noted in the section "Test Procedure and Instrumentation," a stream of argon was sprayed over the models to quench flaming of the model after retraction from the test stream for the tests in the ceramic-heated tunnel. Preliminary tests showed severe flaming due to combustion of pyrolysis gases (from continued degradation of the virgin material) with the atmospheric environment. A photograph taken from motion-picture film of a preliminary test is shown in figure 20 and illustrates the degree of flaming that would continue from 3 to 5 minutes after model retraction from the stream. The spraying with argon stopped this flaming during the actual test program.

Comparison with Computer Predictions

A study was made of the comparison between the experimental results and the predicted results from an ablation computer program. A description of the computer

program is given in reference 14. The computer predictions were only made for the stagnation region of the models. The results from the computer predictions for a particular test model are given in tables I, II, and IV. Computer predictions were not made for the models with parallel-fiber orientations because of the formation of the crevices. For the model design used to measure back-surface temperature rise (see fig. 7), the parallel-fiber specimens split during testing. Neither the change in nose shape of the model nor material expansion was taken into account in the computer predictions.

The thermal properties used for the computer predictions as presented in this report are given in table VI. A discussion of the sources of the properties is given in the appendix. Other combinations of thermal properties were studied; however, the present properties were better or as good as any of the various combinations.

In the computer predictions, the computations were continued until cooldown and the aerodynamic inputs were removed after the models were retracted from the stream. Effects of quenching the models with argon for the tests in the ceramic-heated tunnel were not taken into account in the computer predictions. The computer results showed that significant thermal degradation of the virgin material could occur after model retraction from the stream during the cooldown period. This continued degradation was up to 0.10 inch (0.25 cm) for the model design of figure 3 and the virgin material was always completely degraded for the model design of figure 7. The differences between the stagnation-point char thicknesses at the end of model exposure time and the end of the cooldown period are shown in figure 21.

Some typical comparisons between the experimental results and the computer predictions are shown in figures 22, 23, and 24 for the stagnation point. Although some of the results show good comparison, there is no consistency in the comparisons. In figure 25 the stagnation-point length changes of the models from the experimental and computer results are plotted as functions of total cold-wall, oxygen mass flux. As shown in figure 25(a), the length changes from the computer predictions can be adequately described with a linear least-square curve over the range of total oxygen flux. The experimental and calculated results show the same gross trend (that is Δl increasing with M_0) but the computer results overpredicted model recession at low values of M_0 (where many models showed a length increase due to swelling) and, in several instances, significantly underpredicted recession when mechanical char failure occurred. The comparisons of stagnation-point char thicknesses between the experimental data and the computer predictions are shown in figure 26. The experimental char thicknesses were always greater than the computer predictions for end of model exposure time (fig. 26(a)) but had a better comparison for end of the cooldown period (fig. 26(b)). In figure 27 is shown the comparison between the experimental data and the computer predictions for the model stagnation-point surface temperature. There is a fair agreement, the experimental temperatures being slightly higher.

Some of the experimental results could be adequately described by the ablation computer program. However, over the range of experimental results, the computer program could not adequately describe the behavior of the material. This lack of agreement is attributed to the behavior of the material during mechanical char removal, material expansion, and continued degradation during cooldown which could not be accounted for in the present analysis. Because some tests were adequately predicted by the ablation program but not the entire test series, the present study has indicated that computer predictions illustrating material behavior and defining thermal properties which are based on comparisons with a few experimental tests should be viewed with caution.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

An experimental ground-test study was conducted to evaluate the ablative characteristics of a carbon-phenolic heat-shield material designated Narmco 4028. The experimental results were compared with predictions from an ablation computer program. In addition to the study of the general ablative behavior of the material, tests were also conducted, in support of project RAM, to evaluate the effects of hole patterns in the material and the effects of injecting water through holes in the material into the flow field.

In the present tests, mechanical char removal did occur at certain test conditions depending on the mass fraction of oxygen in the stream and the stagnation pressure. For tests in nitrogen at model stagnation pressures up to 11 atmospheres (limit of the tests), the mechanical char removal did not occur. The mechanical char removal did occur for tests in air at pressures above 2.4 atmospheres and air-nitrogen mixtures above 6 atmospheres. This mechanical char removal occurred at the surface of the char and did not remove the entire char layer.

The study showed that expansion of the material occurs during testing which tends to offset the recession due to chemical removal. There is an effect of fiber orientation on the material's behavior. The models with parallel-fiber orientation formed crevices during testing and had greater recession than the models with perpendicular-fiber orientation.

The experimental data showed that holes can survive without enlargement and maintain their integrity in the material. Water injection had no significant effects on the behavior of the material in these specific tests and the holes remained free of any restrictions to the water flow. The computer program used in the present study was successful in predicting gross trends in material behavior and for several isolated tests it gave good predictions for detailed material response. Over the broad range of experimental conditions, however, comparisons between experimental and computer results showed considerable scatter. This scatter is attributed to phenomena, such as mechanical char removal, material expansion, and material degradation during cooldown, which was not accounted for in the computer program.

Langley Research Center,

National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Hampton, Va., July 6, 1970.

APPENDIX

SOURCES OF THE THERMAL PROPERTIES USED IN THE COMPUTER PREDICTIONS

The specific heats for the virgin material and the char were taken from reference 8. The thermal conductivities of the virgin material and the char depends upon the direction of the heat flow with respect to fiber orientation as shown by the data of reference 8. The selected thermal conductivities are based on the data of reference 8 for heat flow perpendicular to the fiber length (across fiber) which corresponds to the direction of heat flow at the model's stagnation region for perpendicular-fiber and shingledfiber orientation of the present study. The thermal conductivity of the virgin material is taken directly from reference 8 and the thermal conductivity for the char is one-half the values given in reference 8.

The density of the virgin material was measured in the present study. There is a disagreement between measurements of the char density from reference 8 and the present study. Reference 8 gives measured char densities of 64 lbm/ft³ (1025 kg/m³) for char formed in a furnace and 74 lbm/ft³ (1185 kg/m³) for chars formed in a plasma jet. In the present study, a density of 74 lbm/ft³ (1185 kg/m³) was measured for chars formed in a furnace and densities from 57 to 68 lbm/ft³ (913 to 1089 kg/m³) for chars from several test models. Therefore, a density of 62 lbm/ft³ (993 kg/m³) was selected for the present study.

The heat of pyrolysis was determined from measured differential thermal analysis data. The rate constants for the thermal degradation of the virgin material was determined from measured thermal gravimetric analysis data.

The emissivity of the char was taken from the data of reference 8. The heat of combustion of the char was selected as a 10 to 20 percent increase over the value of the heat of formation of carbon monoxide being formed from graphite and oxygen. The value of the heat of sublimation of the char was selected as an average value for the sublimation of graphite. The char surface kinetics were taken from reference 15 for the "slow" kinetics of graphite.

The specific heats of the pyrolysis gas were determined from chemical equilibrium calculations based upon the elemental analysis of Narmco 4028 and the char density. This type of calculation does not account for carbon deposition. The specific heats used in the computer predictions are average values for the pressure range of the experimental program.

REFERENCES

- 1. Huber, Paul W.; and Sims, Theo E.: The Entry Communications Problem. Astronaut. Aeronaut., vol. 2, no. 10, Oct. 1964, pp. 30-40.
- Sims, Theo E.: Reentry Communications Research at Langley Research Center.
 1965 IEEE International Conv. Record, vol. 13, pt. 4, 1965, pp. 99-104.
- 3. Akey, Norman D.; and Cross, Aubrey E.: Radio Blackout Alleviation and Plasma Diagnostic Results From a 25 000 Foot Per Second Blunt-Body Reentry. NASA TN D-5615, 1970.
- Sutton, Kenneth; Zoby, Ernest V.; and Butler, David H.: An Evaluation Test of a Full-Scale Replica of the RAM-CA Flight Heat Shield in a Rocket-Engine Exhaust. NASA TM X-1841, 1969.
- 5. Mechtly, E. A.: The International System of Units Physical Constants and Conversion Factors. NASA SP-7012, 1964.
- 6. Sutton, Kenneth: Description and Operating Parameters of a Mach 2 Nozzle System for the Langley 11-Inch Ceramic-Heated Tunnel. NASA TN D-4750, 1968.
- 7. Midden, Raymond E.; and Cocke, Bennie W., Jr.: Description and Initial Calibration of the Langley 20-Inch Hypersonic Arc-Heated Tunnel. NASA TN D-4653, 1968.
- Engelke, W. T.; Pyron, C. M., Jr.; and Pears, C. D.: Thermal and Mechanical Properties of a Nondegraded and Thermally Degraded Phenolic-Carbon Composite. NASA CR-896, 1967.
- 9. Starks, D. F.: Ablative Plastic Chars Containing Carbon and Graphite Reinforcements. AFML-TR-64-337, U.S. Air Force, Apr. 1965.
- McLain, Allen G.; Sutton, Kenneth; and Walberg, Gerald D.: Experimental and Theoretical Investigation of the Ablative Performance of Five Phenolic-Nylon-Based Materials. NASA TN D-4374, 1968.
- Exton, Reginald J.: Theory and Operation of a Variable Exposure Photographic Pyrometer Over the Temperature Range 1800^o to 3600^oF (1255^o to 2255^o K). NASA TN D-2660, 1965.
- 12. Fay, J.A.; and Riddell, F. R.: Theory of Stagnation Point Heat Transfer in Dissociated Air. J. Aeronaut. Sci., vol. 25, no. 2, Feb. 1958, pp. 73-85, 121.
- 13. Bush, Harold G.; and Dow, Marvin B.: Multidimensional Gas Flow Through Permeable Char Layers and Its Effects on Ablation. NASA TR R-296, 1969.

- Wells, P. B.: A Method for Predicting the Thermal Response of Charring Ablation Materials. Doc. No. D2-23256, Boeing Co., 1964.
- 15. Scala, Sinclaire M.: The Ablation of Graphite in Dissociated Air. Part I: Theory. R62SD72, Missile and Space Div., Gen. Elec., Co., Sept. 1962.

TABLE I.- TEST CONDITIONS AND RESULTS FOR THE MODELS USED IN THE

STUDY OF THE GENERAL BEHAVIOR OF THE MATERIAL

[Model design shown in fig. 3; primed values are computer values]

																				_	_			_	_	_
	оK	3090	3370	1990	3455	3430	3455	3455	3305	3035	2395	2870	2450	2580	2560	2085	2255	1840	1870	1780	2100	;	2065	2100	2100	198(
- s F	Ч,	5560	6060	3580	6220	6170	6220	6220	5950	5460	4310	5170	4330	4640	4610	3750	40.60	3310	3370	3210	3780		3720	3780	3780	3560
	cm	0.850	.818	.508	,843	,596	.758	.844	.749	.736	.434	.483	.569	561	.361	.394	.323	.477	.579	.620	.378	-	.336	.378	.422	.574
, x (f)	in.	0.335 (.322	.200	.332	.235	.298	.332	.295	.290	.171	.190	.224	.221	.142	.155	.127	.188	.228	.244	.149	-	.132	.149	.166	.226
	сm	0.645 (576	.409	.523	.386	.462	.524	.569	.554	.315	.338	.442	.434	.262	.297	.277	.399	.475	.514	.280	ł	.259	.277	.320	.470
a c	in.	0.254	.227	.161	.206	.152	.182	.206	.224	.218	.124	.133	.174	.171	.103	.117	.109	.157	.187	.202	.110		.102	.109	.126	.185
	cm	-0.132	332	167	467	127	292	467	129	068	508	662	114	167	782	259	444	043	056	000.	190		124	188	251	660'-
,1 0	in.	-0.052	131	066	184	050	115	184	051	028	200	261	045	066	308	102	175	017	022	.000	075		049	074	099	039
Computer		Yes	Yes	$\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{es}}$	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes																
Mechanical char	removal	No	No	Ño	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes						
	Ую	3090	3370	1870	3700	3760	3760	3760		3035		2480	2540	2595		2460	2655	2090	2090	1950	2255	2270	1	2200	2323	2145.
$\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{S}}$	ЯO	5560	6060	3360	6660	6760	6760	6760		5460		4460	4560	4660		4430	4780	3760	3760	3510	4060	4080	-	3960	4180	3860
0	cB	0.71	.71	61				!		}	.66	}			.51	.46	.51	.43	.61	.58	.35	.76	i	ł	1	
×	ij.	0.28	.28	.24	1			1			.26		1		.20	.18	.20	.17	.24	.23	.14	.30				
	B	+ 0.086	157	015	290	+.046	089	284	+.041	079	079	-1.138	+.063	+.094	404	135	348	+.025	+.018	+.056	+.056	102	+.045	035	+.025	+.12'
14	'n	+0.034	062	006	114	+.018	035	112	+.016	031	031	448	+.025	+.037	159	053	137	+.010	+ .007	+.022	+.022	040	+.017	014	+.010	+.050
ŗ.	Sec	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0	10.0	20.0	30.0	20.0	20.0	30.0	29.3	20.0	20.0	30.0	20.3	20.0	20.5	29.7	29.9	15.0	15.0	10.0	15.0	20.0	30.0
Fiber	orientation	Perpendicular	Perpendicular	Perpendicular	Perpendicular	Perpendicular	Perpendicular	Perpendicular	Perpendicular	Perpendicular	Perpendicular	Perpendicular	Perpendicular	Perpendicular	Perpendicular	Perpendicular	Perpendicular	Perpendicular	Perpendicular	Perpendicular	Perpendicular	Parallel	Perpendicular	Perpendicular	Perpendicular	Perpendicular
Nose	snape	Hemisphere I	Hemisphere	Hemisphere 1	Hemisphere	Hemisphere	Hemisphere	Hemisphere	Hemisphere	Blunt	Hemisphere	Hemisphere	Blunt	Hemisphere	Hemisphere	Blunt	Blunt	Blunt	Blunt	Blunt	Hemisphere	Hemisphere	Hemisphere	Hemisphere	Hemisphere	Hemisphere
	MW/m ²	7.72	14.19	1.48	18.16	18.16	18.16	18.16	12.37	7.72	3.72	7.95	4.52	6.47	5.62	3.43	3.45	3.45	3.45	3.45	5.11	5.11	5.11	5.11	5.11	5.18
d.	Btu/ft2-sec	680	1250	130	1600	1600	1600	1600	1090	680	328	700	398	570	495	302	304	304	304	304	450	450	450	450	450	456
w	MJ/kg	25.52	25.05	3.59	25.52	25.52	25.52	25.52	12.76	11.60	3.48	5.43	4.41	4.41	3.48	2.55	2.55	2.55	2.55	2.55	2.55	2.55	2.55	2.55	2.55	2.55
H	3tu/lbm	11 000	10 800	1 550	11 000	11 000	11 000	11 000	5 500	5 000	1 500	2 340	1 900	1 900	1 500	1 100	1 100	1 100	1 100	1 100	1 100	1 100	1 100	1 100	1 100	1 100
Х	<u>」一一</u> >	0.23	.23	.23	.23	.23	.23	.23	80.	80.	.23	.23	.08	80.	.23	.13	.23	.02	.02	0	.08	.08	.08	.08	.08	.02
Ps, Ps,	atili	0.07	.31	43	.60	.60	.60	.60	1.08	1.08	1.38	2.40	2.50	2.50	2.91	5.88	5.97	5.97	5.97	5.97	6.05	6.05	6.05	6.05	6.05	6.13

^aChar thickness at end of model exposure time. ^bChar thickness at end of cooldown period.

TABLE I.- TEST CONDITIONS AND RESULTS FOR THE MODELS USED IN THE

STUDY OF THE GENERAL BEHAVIOR OF THE MATERIAL ~ Concluded

at B.	2	щ	ß	ġs		Nose	Fiber	t, 202	10		xc		Ts	Mechanical char	Computer	<u>م</u> ا		- ve		- 2(q)		- s H	
	8	Btu/lbm	n MJ/kg	Btu/ft2-sec	MW/m^2	Silape	orientation	ເ ວອຣ	in.	cm i	n. cı	m ^O R	оK	removal	prediction	in.	сщ	in.	B C B	in	E	H.	¥
6.13	0.02	1100	2.55	456	5.18	Hemisphere	Parallel	30.0 -	0-068 -0	.173 -		411	0 2285	Yes	No								;
6.24	.12	1100	2.55	465	5.28	Hemisphere	Perpendicular	15.2	- 600	.023 0.	22 0.1	56 430	0 2390	Yes	Yes	-0.112 -	0.284 0	0.096 0	0.244 0	0.135 0	343 39	950 21	90
6.34	.23	1100	2.55	314	3.56	Blunt	Perpendicular	20.1	171	.434	24 .t	51		Yes	Yes	181	460	060.	.229	.126	320 4(90 22	20
7.90	0	1100	2.55	348	3.95	Blunt	Perpendicular	25.2	+.041	.104	19	48 333	0 1850	No	No							i 	
8.62	.08	1100	2.55	540	6.13	Hemisphere	Perpendicular	15.2	036	.091	18	46 418	0 2320	Yes	Yes	088	224	.108	.274	.147	374 38	360 2:	40
8.62	.08	1100	2.55	540	6.13	Hemisphere	Parallel	15.1	- 061 -	.246	25 .(63 418	0 2320	Yes	No						 		;
10.00	0	1100	2.55	392	4.45	Blunt	Perpendicular	39.4	+.018 +.	-046		372	0 2070	No	Yes	000	000	.234	.595	.287	729 38	80 2.	55
10.41	.13	1100	2.55	400	4.54	Blunt	Perpendicular	20.1	- 241 -	.612	18	46 416	0 2310	Yes	Yes	137	348	.104	.264	.141	358 39	30 2:	80
10.60	0	1100	2.55	404	4.59	Blunt	Perpendicular	20.2	+.018 +.	.046	21 .:	53 358	0 1990	No	Yes	000.	000.	.170	.432	.222	564 3:	350 18	60
10.72	.23	1100	2.55	592	6.72	Hemisphere .	Perpendicular	20.2	518 -1.	.316	16	41 473	0 2630	Yes	Yes	348	885	.075	.190	.083 -	4:	380 2/	30
10.78	.13	1100	2.55	397	4.51	Blunt	Parallel	20.0	361 -	.920	12	30 455	0 2530	Yes	No								:
10.78	.02	1100	2.55	606	6.88	Hemisphere	Perpendicular	30.7	018	.046	 	378	0 2100	Yes	Yes	054	137	.183	.465	.234	595 30	370 20	40
10.78	0	1100	2.55	606	6.88	Hemisphere	Perpendicular	30.7	+ 290.+	.170	+	378	0 2100	No	Yes	000	000.	.223	.566	.254	645 3	560 1	80
10.78	0	1100	2.55	606	6.88	Hemisphere	Parallel	30.4	+ 600.+	.023	+	381	0 2115	No	No	1							ļ
10.91	60.	822	1.91	426	4.84	Hemisphere	Perpendicular	15.7	190 -	.483 .	13	33 384	0 2135	Yes	Yes	113	287	780.	.221	.115	292 3:	380 18	80
10.91	60.	822	1.91	426	4.84	Hemisphere	Parallel	15.2	220	. 559 .	12	30 376	0 2090	Yes	No	1 						i	
10.93	.02	1100	2.55	411	4.66	Blunt	Perpendicular	20.4	+.012 +	.030	21	53 396	0 2200	Yes	Yes	024	061	.156	.396	.198	503 39	950 2:	95
11.00	10	1100	2.55	610	6.92	Hemisphere	Perpendicular	10.0	083	.211 -	+	381	0 2115	Yes	Yes	083	- 211	.079	.200	.119	302 39	950 2	95
11.00	-10	1100	2.55	610	6.92	Hemisphere	Perpendicular	15.0	129	.328 -	+	436	0 2420	Yes	Yes	125	318	.086	.218	.124	.315 41	000	20
11.00	.10	1100	2.55	610	6.92	Hemisphere	Perpendicular	20.0	239 -	- 909.	+	416	0 2310	Yes	Yes	168	427	160.	.231	.128	.325 4(050 23	50
11.26	60.	1100	2.55	620	7.04	Hemisphere	Perpendicular	15.0	- 114 -	.290	18	46 429	0 2380	Yes	Yes	117	297	960.	.244	.134	340 3	950 2	90
11.26	60.	1100	2.55	620	7.04	Hemisphere	Parallel	15.0	225 -	. 571	18	46 431	0 2395	Yes	No		1		-			i 	;
	3Chow	thistroc	of ond	of model survey	nit on no	ç]

⁴Char thickness at end of model exposure time. ^bChar thickness at end of cooldown period.

TABLE II.- TEST CONDITIONS AND RESULTS FOR THE MODELS USED TO

STUDY THE EFFECTS OF FIBER ORIENTATION

[Model design shown in fig. 4]

21

$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$					-		-	-		~	~		~	~	1
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	- 02	Мо		3330	3260		2630	2620		2080	2080		2220	2220	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	F	оR	1	6000	5860		4740	4710		3750	3750		4000	4000	•
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		cm		0.386	.348		.487	.460		.368	.343		.290	.310	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$, xc [†]	in.		0.152 0	.137	1	.192	.181		.145	.135		.114	.122	-
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		cm	1	0.359 0	.226	1.	.322	.308		.264	.249		.201	.208	-
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	x _c ' (a	in.	-	0.102 0	.089	-	.127	.121		.104	.098		079.	.082	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		cm		0.046 0	033		204	180		203	188		295	318	-
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$,1 0			- 018	013		382	170		080	074		116	125	-
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		- E		-0.0		1	ï	7	.	·	ï	ļ	1	1	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Computer	predictio	No	Yes	Yes										
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Mechanical	removal	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	s a	Мо	1	3310	3260	2700	2700	2590	.	2220		2420	2545	2370	1
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	L	Ъ		5960	5860	4860	4860	4660		4000		4360	4580	4260	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		cm	0.66	.51	.41	1				.63	.56			ł	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	×	in.	0.26	.20	.16	-	ł	ł	1	.25	.22	;		1	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	ı	cm	-0.102	+.081	015	132	086	107		091	107			470	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	4	'n.	-0.040	+.032	006	052	039	042		036	042			185	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	t, sec		9.3	4.5	3.6	12.5	16.2	14.3	14.3	16.5	15.4	12.5	14.2	15.2	
Ps, atrin atrin Ko Hs qs 0.60 0.23 11 000 25.52 1600 18.16 0.60 0.23 11 000 25.52 1600 18.16 0.60 .23 11 000 25.52 1600 18.16 .60 .23 11 000 25.52 1600 18.16 .60 .23 11 000 25.52 1600 18.16 .60 .23 11 000 25.52 1600 18.16 .60 .23 11 000 25.55 1600 6.81 2.50 .12 1950 4.53 600 6.81 2.50 .12 1950 4.53 600 6.81 6.05 .08 1100 2.55 450 5.11 11.00 .0 2.55 450 5.11 11.00 .0 2.55 450 5.11 10.00 .10 2.55 450 5.11 10.0 <td>Fiber orientation</td> <td></td> <td>Parallel</td> <td>Perpendicular</td> <td>Shingled</td> <td>Parallel</td> <td>Perpendicular</td> <td>Shingled</td> <td>Parallel</td> <td>Perpendicular</td> <td>Shingled</td> <td>Parallel</td> <td>Perpendicular</td> <td>Shingled</td> <td>me.</td>	Fiber orientation		Parallel	Perpendicular	Shingled	me.									
Ps, atrin Ko Hs qs Btu/ibm MJ/kg Btu/it/2-sec 0.660 0.23 11 000 25.52 1600 .60 .23 11 000 25.52 1600 .60 .23 11 000 25.52 1600 .60 .23 11 000 25.52 1600 .60 .23 11 000 25.52 1600 2.50 .12 1950 4.53 600 2.50 .12 1950 4.53 600 2.50 .12 1950 4.53 600 2.55 .08 1100 2.55 450 6.05 .08 1100 2.55 450 6.05 .08 1100 2.55 450 11.00 .10 1100 2.55 450 11.00 .10 1100 2.55 450 11.00 .10 1100 2.55 450 11.00 <t< td=""><td></td><td>MW/m^2</td><td>18.16</td><td>18.16</td><td>18.16</td><td>6.81</td><td>6.81</td><td>6.81</td><td>5.11</td><td>5.11</td><td>5.11</td><td>6.93</td><td>6.93</td><td>6.93</td><td>posure ti</td></t<>		MW/m^2	18.16	18.16	18.16	6.81	6.81	6.81	5.11	5.11	5.11	6.93	6.93	6.93	posure ti
Ps, atrix Ko Hs 0.660 0.23 11000 25.52 0.60 0.23 11000 25.52 0.60 .23 11000 25.52 0.60 .23 11000 25.52 .60 .23 11000 25.52 25.50 .12 1950 4.53 2.50 .12 1950 4.53 2.50 .12 1950 4.53 2.50 .12 1950 2.55 11000 2.55 11000 2.55 6.05 .08 1100 2.55 11000 10 1000 2.55 11000 .01 1100 2.55 11000 .01 1100 2.55 11000 .01 1100 2.55 11000 .01 1100 2.55 11000 10 1000 2.55 11000 10 1000 2.55	ds.	Btu/ft2-sec	1600	1600	1600	600	600	600	450	450	450	610	610	610	1 of model ex
PS, atm Ko H ₆ 0.60 0.23 11 000 0.60 2.33 11 000 .60 .23 11 000 .60 .23 11 000 .60 .23 11 000 .60 .23 11 000 .60 .23 11 000 2.50 .12 1 950 2.50 .12 1 950 2.50 .12 1 950 2.50 .12 1 950 2.50 .12 1 950 2.50 .12 1 950 2.50 .12 1 950 11.00 .10 1 100 6.05 .08 1 100 11.00 .10 1 100 11.00 .10 1 100 11.00 .10 1 100 11.00 .10 1 100		MJ/kg	25.52	25.52	25.52	4.53	4.53	4.53	2.55	2.55	2.55	2.55	2.55	2.55	is at end
Ps, Ko atm, Ko	Η	Btu/Ibm	11 000	11 000	11 000	1 950	1 950	1 950	1 100	1 100	1 100	1 100	1 100	1 100	thicknes
P. 4 P. 4 P. 60 60 2.50 2.50 2.55 6.05 6.05 6.05 6.05 6.05 6.05 11.00 11.00 11.00	м		0.23	.23	.23	.12	.12	.12	.08	.08	.08	.10	.10	.10	*Char
	Ps	aun	09.0	.60	.60	2.50	2.50	2.50	6.05	6.05	6.05	11.00	11.00	11.00	

^bChar thickness at end of cooldown period.

i,

4

/

TABLE III.- TEST CONDITIONS AND RESULTS FOR THE MODELS USED TO STUDY THE EFFECTS OF WATER INJECTION

[Model design shown in fig. 6]

	kg/s	0.011	.016	.010	.036	.027	.061
۰M	lbm/sec	0.024	.035	.023	.080	.059	.135
Mechanical char	removal	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
_w	оК	2945	2945	2255	2475	2255	2425
H	oR	5300	5300	4060	4460	4060	4360
5	cm	0.25	.53	}	-	.20	.30
×	in.	0.10	.21		1	.08	.12
	cB	+ 0.046	+.074	216	330	206	356
10	in.	+0.018	+.029	085	130	081	140
t,	sec	5.0	5.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
Injection	position	Stagnation	Sidewall	Stagnation	Sidewall	Stagnation	Sidewall
	MW/m ²	18.16	18.16	6.93	6.93	6.93	6.93
q _s	Btu/ft2-sec	1600	1600	610	610	610	610
	MJ/kg	25.52	25.52	2.55	2.55	2.55	2.55
ΗĘ	Btu/lbm	11 000	11 000	1 100	1 100	1 100	1 100
ĸ	P	0.23	.23	.10	.10	.10	.10
Ps	atm	0.60	.60	11.00	11.00	11.00	11.00

TABLE IV.- TEST CONDITIONS AND RESULTS FOR THE MODELS USED IN THE

MEASUREMENT OF BACKSURFACE TEMPERATURE RISE

[Model design shown in fig. 7]

- 10	Уо	208(2600	1	254(315(ļ	
	оR	3740	4680	-	4570	5670			
	cm	1.270	1.270		1.270	1.270			
ਿੱਚ	in.	0.500	.500		.500	.500	!		
	cm	0.653	797.		.772	.687	}		
x, (a	in.	0.257	.314		.304	.269			
Ξ.	cm	-0.094	089		081	125			
4	in.	-0.037	035		032	049			
Computer prediction	4	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No		
Note				Split			Split		
ູທ	οK	2200	2680	2700	3005	3363	3105		
E .	Ъ	3960	4820	4860	5410	6060	5590	-	
မှု	cm	1.04	1.24	1.27	1.27	1.17	1.24		
×	i.	0.41	.49	.50	.50	.46	.49		
	cm	+ 0.018	038	+.005	008	094	028		
41	in.	+ 0.007	015	+.002	003	037	011		
t, sec	L	62.2	61.0	42.0	57.5	35.5	28.2		
Fiber orientation		Perpendicular	Perpendicular	Parallel	Perpendicular	Perpendicular	Parallel	me.	
	MW/m^2	1.45	3.24	3.52	3.06	7.05	7.44	sposure ti	n period.
ųs,	Btu/ft ² -sec	128	285	310	270	621	655	of model ex	l of cooldowr
s	MJ/kg	11.60	26.68	29.00	24.82	26.68	28.07	is at end	is at end
H	Btu/lbm	5 000	11 500	12 500	10 700	11 500	12 100	thicknes	thickne
Ko .		0.23	.23	.23	.23	.23	.23	Char	bChar
Ps, atm		0.07	.07	.07	.07	.32	.32		-

TABLE V.- ELEMENTAL ANALYSIS OF NARMCO 4028

[Percentages by weight]

Carbon	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	83.63
Oxygen	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•		•	10.79
Hydrogen	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	3.44
Nitrogen .	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	0.38
Ash	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	0.56
Total					•	•							•								•			•	•	98.80

TABLE VI.- THERMAL PROPERTIES USED IN COMPUTER PREDICTIONS

(a) Virgin material

	87 lbm/ft ³	(1392 kg/m ³)
Density	Btu/lbm- ⁰ R	kJ/kg-K
Specific heat:	0.238	0.99
460° R (256 K)	0.292	1.22
560° R (311 K)	0.317	1.33
660° R (367 K)	0.332	1.39
760° R (422 K)	0.346	1.45
860° R (477 K)	0.360	1.51
960 ⁰ R (533 K)	0.374	1.56
1060° R (589 K)	0.388	1.62
1160 [°] R (644 K)	0.402	1.68
1260 ⁰ R (700 K)	0.430	1.80
1460 ⁰ R (811 K)	Btu/ft_sec_0R	W/m-K
Thermal conductivity:	0.90×10^{-4}	0.561
460 ⁰ R (256 K)	1.02×10^{-4}	0.636
560° R (311 K)	1 11 × 10 ⁻⁴	0.693
660° R (367 K)	1.11×10^{-4}	0.742
760° R (422 K)	1.10×10^{-4}	0.774
860° R (477 K)	1.24×10^{-4}	0.774
960° R (533 K)	1.19×10^{-4}	0.742
1060° R (589 K)	1.12×10^{-4}	0.698
1160° R (644 K)	1.12×10^{-4}	0.630
1260 ⁰ R (700 K)	0.77×10^{-4}	0.479
1460 ^o R (811 K)		(0.405 MT /1
Heat of pyrolysis	. 200 Btu/Ibm	(0.465 MJ/Kg)
Bete constants for thermal degradation:	. 9	(a16) - (3 a)
First frequency factor	1/ft ³ -sec (4.70 ×	(IU-0 kg/mo-s)
First activation energy $\dots \dots \dots$	calories/mole ($(1014 \text{ m}/\text{m}^3 \text{ c})$
Second frequency factor $\dots \dots \dots$	1/It-sec (4.96>	$(10-1 \text{ kg/m}^{-5})$
Second activation energy $\dots \dots \dots$	catories/mole (0.205 mb/mole/

Density		62 lbm/ft	(1184 kg/m ³)
Specific he	at:	Btu/lbm- ⁰ R	kJ/kg-K
500 ⁰ R	(278 K)	0.240	1.00
1000 ⁰ R	(556 K)	0.330	1.38
1460 ⁰ R	(811 K)	0.385	1.61
1960 ⁰ R	(1089 K)	0.445	1.86
2460 ⁰ R	(1366 K)	0.480	2.01
2960 ⁰ R	(1645 K)	0.495	2.06
3460 ⁰ R	(1923 K)	0.505	2.11
3960 ⁰ R	(2200 K)	0.515	2.15
4460 ⁰ R	(2478 K)	0.520	2.17
4960 ⁰ R	(2756 K)	0.525	2.19
5460 ⁰ R	(3030 K)	0.530	2.21
5960 ⁰ R	(3311 K)	0.535	2.24
6460 ⁰ R	(3590 K)	0.540	2.26
6960 ⁰ R	(3867 K)	0.545	2.28
Thermal co	nductivity:	Btu/ft-sec-9R	W/m-K
500 ⁰ R	(278 K)	0.13×10^{-3}	0.810
1000 ⁰ R	(556 K)	0.14×10^{-3}	0.872
1460 ⁰ R	(811 К)	0.15×10^{-3}	0.935
1960 ⁰ R	(1089 K)	0.16×10^{-3}	0.977
2460° R	(1366 K)	0.18×10^{-3}	1.128
2960 ⁰ R	(1645 K)	0.19×10^{-3}	1.189
3210 ⁰ R	(1782 K)	0.21×10^{-3}	1.314
3460 ⁰ R	(1923 K)	0.24×10^{-3}	1.502
3960 ⁰ R	(2200 K)	0.33×10^{-3}	2.065
4460 ⁰ R	(2478 K)	0.43×10^{-3}	2.790
4710 ⁰ R	(2617 K)	. 0.48 × 10-3	3.002
4960 ⁰ R	(2756 K)	0.56×10^{-3}	3.502
5460 ⁰ R	(3030 K)	0.78×10^{-3}	4.880
5960 ⁰ R	(3311 K)	$1.02 imes 10^{-3}$	6.390
6400 ⁰ R	(3555 K)	1.18×10^{-3}	7.380
6800 ⁰ R	(3778 К)	$1.49 imes 10^{-3}$	9.325
Char surfac	e emissivity		0.7
Char heat o	combustion	5100 Btu/lbm	(11.82 MJ/kg)
Char heat o	sublimation	9000 Btu/lbm	(20.88 MJ/kg)
Char surfac	e kinetics:		
Frequenc	y factor	$(21.8 \times 10^4 \text{ kg})$	$m^{2}-s-atm^{1/2}$
Activation	energy	3 kcal/mole (0.	177 MJ/mole)
Reaction	rder		0.5

TABLE VI.- THERMAL PROPERTIES USED IN COMPUTER PREDICTIONS - Continued

(b) Charred material

Specific heat	Btu/lbm- ^o R	kJ/kg-K
	0.75	3.14
300° R (2/8 K)	1.00	4.18
1000 ⁰ R (556 K)	1 50	6.28
1460° R (817 K)	1.00	9.36
1960 [°] R (1089 K)	2.00	0.30
2460° R (1366 K)	1.00	4.18
29600 R (1645 K)	1.00	4.18
	1.00	4.18
2200 R (1220 K)	1.00	4.18
3960° R (2200 K)	1 75	7.32
4460° R (2478 K)	2 50	10.47
4960°R (2756 K)	2.50	10.11
5460° R (3030 K)	4.50	18.85
5960° R (3311 K)	7.50	31.40
64600 P (3590 K)	9.50	39.75
		41.84
6960° R (3867 K)		

TABLE VI.- THERMAL PROPERTIES USED IN COMPUTER PREDICTIONS - Concluded

(c) Pyrolysis gas







Figure 2.- Sketch of fiber orientation in molded billets.



(b) Blunt nose.

Ś

Figure 3.- Model design used for the study of the general behavior of the material.



(a) Model construction.



(b) Fiber orientation in test specimen.

Figure 4.- Model design used to study the effect of fiber orientation.





No holes





4 holes



13 holes

L-70-4707

ŧ

Figure 5.- Photographs of the top view of the models used in the study of the effect of holes in the material. The holes were drilled in the hemispherical models shown in figure 3(a) with perpendicular-fiber orientation.



Section A-A'

(a) Stagnation-point injection.



Section A-A'

(b) Side injection.





(a) Shape of material test specimen.



•

ŧ

(b) Material specimen and thermocouple assembly.

Figure 7.- Model design used in the evaluation of the thermal properties.



Figure 8.- Photographs showing mechanical char removal from the models during testing. Model design as shown in figure 3 with perpendicular-fiber orientation.



Figure 9.- Test environments at which mechanical char removal occurred. Fiber orientation in the material was perpendicular to the free-stream flow.

ps = 11.26 atm.; Ko = .09 ds = 620 Btu/ft2-sec (7.04 MM/m2) Hs = 1100 Btu/1bm (2.55 MJ/kg) t = 15.0 seconds ps = 2.50 atm.; K₀ = .08 ds = 570 Btu/ft2-sec 11-70-4709 (6.47 MW/m2) Hs = 1900 Btu/lbm (4.41 MJ/kg) t = 20.0 seconds = .23 (a) Hemispherical-nose models. ps = .60 atm.; ko = .23 ds = 1600 Btu/ft2-sec (18.20 MM/m2) Hs = 11,000 Btu/lbm (25.50 MJ/kg) t = 30.0 seconds = .08 ps = 6.05 atm.; Ko = qs = 450 Btu/ft2-sec (5.11 MM/m2) Hs = 1100 Btu/1bm (2.55 MJ/kg) t = 20.0 seconds

Ps = :07 atm:; Ko = :23 ds = 680 Btw/ft2-sec ds = 680 Btw/ft2-sec ds = 11,000 Btw/lbm Hs = 11,000 Btw/lbm t = 30.0 seconds t = 30.0 seconds fs = 495 Btw/ft2-sec fs = 2:91 atm.; Ko = :23 ds = 495 Btw/ft2-sec fs = 2:91 atm.; Ko = :23 ds = 1500 Btw/lbm t = :30.0 seconds Figure 10.- Photographs of representative models showing the regime of mechanical char removal. Fiber orientation in the material was perpendicular to the free-stream flow.

= .13 = .]3 L-70-4710 ps = 10.41 atm.; Ko = qs = 400 Btu/ft2-sec (4.50 MM/m2) Hs = 1100 Btu/1bm (2.55 MJ/kg) t = 20.1 seconds = .02 =.02 ps = 5.97 atm.; Ko =. qs = 304 Btu/ft2-sec (3.42 MM/m2) Hs = 1100 Btu/1bm (2.55 MJ/kg) t = 29.7 seconds ps = 10.93 atm.; Ko = qs = 411 Btu/ft2-sec (4.63 m/m²) Hs = 1100 Btu/1bm (2.55 MJ/kg) t = 20.4 seconds (b) Blunt-nose models. ps = 10.60 atm.; ko = 0 qs = 404 Btu/ft2-sec (4.55 MM/m2) Hs = 1100 Btu/lbm t = 20.2 seconds t = 20.2 seconds 0 = s = 5.97 atm.; Ko = 1
s = 304 Btu/ft2-sec
(3.42 Mu/m2)
ls = 1100 Btu/lbm
(2.55 Mu/kg)
: = 29.9 seconds ds ds Чs ÷ ps = 2.50 atm.; Ko = .08 ds = 398 Btu/ft2-sec (4.48 MW/m2) Hs = 1908 Btu/1bm (1.41 MJ/kg) t = 20.0 seconds = .23 ps = 5.97 atm.; Ko = qs = 304 Btu/ft2-sec (3.42 MM/m2) Hs = 1100 Btu/1bm (2.55 MJ/kg) t = 20.0 seconds

Figure 10.- Concluded.



- (a) Hemispherical-nose model. $p_s = 11.26 \text{ atm}; K_0 = 0.09;$ $\dot{q}_s = 620 \text{ Btu/ft}^2 \text{-sec}$
 - (7.04 MW/m²); H_s = 1100 Btu/lbm (2.55 MJ/kg); t = 15.0 seconds.

- L-70-4711 (b) Blunt-nose model. $p_s = 5.88 \text{ atm}; K_0 = 0.13;$ $\dot{q}_s = 302 \text{ Btu/ft}^2\text{-sec}$ $(3.42 \text{ MW/m}^2);$ $H_s = 1100 \text{ Btu/lbm}$ (2.55 MJ/kg);t = 20.3 seconds.
- Figure 11.- Photographs of sectioned models showing the char thickness for models which experienced mechanical char removal.





Figure 13.- The stagnation-point length change comparison for models with parallel- and perpendicular-fiber orientation tested at comparable conditions. The abscissa coor-dinate is the total cold-wall oxygen mass flux.



(b) $p_s = 2.50 \text{ atm}; K_o = 0.12; \dot{q}_s = 600 \text{ Btu/ft}^2 \text{-sec } (6.81 \text{ MW/m}^2);$ $H_s = 1950 \text{ Btu/lbm } (4.53 \text{ MJ/kg}).$

Figure 14.- Photographs of representative models (after testing) showing the effect of three different fiber orientations on the behavior of the material. Models are of the design shown in figure 4.



Figure 15.- Photographs of models (after testing) showing the effect of hole patterns in the material at the highest pressure test condition. $p_s = 11 \text{ atm}$; $K_o = 0.10$; $\dot{q}_s = 610 \text{ Btu/ft}^2$ -sec (6.93 MM/m²); $H_s = 1100 \text{ Btu/lbm} (2.55 \text{ MJ/kg})$; t = 20.0 seconds.



 $\dot{q}_{s} = 610 \text{ Btu/ft2-sec } (6.95 \text{ MW/m}^2); H_{s} = 1100 \text{ Btu/lbm} (2.55 \text{ MJ/kg}).$ Figure 16.- The stagnation-point recession for the models with hole patterns at the highest pressure test condition. $p_{s} = 11 \text{ atm}; K_{o} = 0.10;$



Between water pulses



During a water pulse w=.059 lbm/sec (.027 kg/s)

(a) Stagnation-point injection.



Between water pulses



During a water pulse ŵ=.135 1bm/sec (.061 kg/s)

L-70-4715

(b) Side-wall injection.

Figure 17.- Photographs of the water-injection models during a test at the high-pressure test condition. $p_s = 11 \text{ atm}; K_o = 0.10;$ $\dot{q}_s = 610 \text{ Btu/ft}^2\text{-sec} (6.95 \text{ MW/m}^2); H_s = 1100 \text{ Btu/lbm} (2.55 \text{ MJ/kg}).$



Between water pulses



During a water pulse ŵ=.024 lbm/sec (.011 kg/s)

(a) Stagnation-point injection.



Between water pulses



During a water pulse w=.035 lbm/sec (.016 kg/s)

(b) Sidewall injection.

(b) Sidewall injection.

L-70-4716

Figure 18.- Photographs of the water-injection models during a test at the low-pressure test condition. $p_s = 0.60 \text{ atm}$; $K_o = 0.232$; $\dot{q}_s = 1600 \text{ Btu/ft}^2$ -sec (18.2 MW/m²); $H_s = 11 000 \text{ Btu/lbm} (25.5 \text{ MJ/kg})$.





L-70-4718 Figure 20.- Photograph showing flaming of the model after retraction from stream in the ll-inch ceramicheated tunnel.



Char thickness at end of model exposure time

Figure 21.- The comparison from computer predictions of the stagnation-point char thicknesses at the end of model exposure time and at the end of the cooldown period.









(a) Results from computer predictions.



(b) Results from experimental data.

Figure 25.- Comparison between the experimental results and the computer predictions of model stagnation-point length change as a function of total cold-wall oxygen mass flux. The linear leastsquare curve is based on the results from the computer predictions.



the stagnation-point char thicknesses.



Figure 27.- The comparison between the experimental data and the computer predictions for the model stagnation-point surface temperature.

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20546

OFFICIAL BUSINESS

FIRST CLASS MAIL



POSTAGE AND FEES PAID NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

03U 001 43 50 3DS 7C240 00942 PICATINNY ARSENAL PLASTICS TECHNICAL EVALUATION CENTER DOVER, NEW JERSEY 07801

ATT SMUPA-VP3

POSTMASTER: If Undeliverable (Section 158 Postal Manual) Do Not Return

"The aeronautical and space activities of the United States shall be conducted so as to contribute . . . to the expansion of human knowledge of phenomena in the atmosphere and space. The Administration shall provide for the widest practicable and appropriate dissemination of information concerning its activities and the results thereof."

--- NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ACT OF 1958

3401

NASA SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL PUBLICATIONS

TECHNICAL REPORTS: Scientific and technical information considered important, complete, and a lasting contribution to existing knowledge.

TECHNICAL NOTES: Information less broad in scope but nevertheless of importance as a contribution to existing knowledge.

TECHNICAL MEMORANDUMS: Information receiving limited distribution because of preliminary data, security classification, or other reasons.

CONTRACTOR REPORTS: Scientific and technical information generated under a NASA contract or grant and considered an important contribution to existing knowledge. TECHNICAL TRANSLATIONS: Information published in a foreign language considered to merit NASA distribution in English.

SPECIAL PUBLICATIONS: Information derived from or of value to NASA activities. Publications include conference proceedings, monographs, data compilations, handbooks, sourcebooks, and special bibliographies.

TECHNOLOGY UTILIZATION

PUBLICATIONS: Information on technology used by NASA that may be of particular interest in commercial and other non-aerospace applications. Publications include Tech Briefs, Technology Utilization Reports and Notes, and Technology Surveys.

Details on the availability of these publications may be obtained from:

SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION DIVISION NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION Washington, D.C. 20546