# AP statistics Chapter 4

Ch3 Describing Relationships					Ch4 Designing Studies				
10		11	B Day	12	A Day	13	B Day	14	A Day
Colum	bus Dav	Chant	or 2 Tost	4.1 Samp	ling and Surve	ys		4.1 (Day 2	)
No	School	Chapter 3 Test Page 22			Page 229 (1, 3, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 13, 15, 19)			Page 231 30, 31, 33	(23, 25, 27, , 35, 37-42)
Ch4 Designing Studies									
17	B Day	18	A Day	19	B Day	20	A Day	21	B Day
4.1 (Day 2) 4.2 Experiments					4.3 Using	Studies Wisel	У		
Page 231 (23, 25, 27, 30, 31, 33, 35, 37-42)    Page 260 (47, 48, 51, 55, 69, 71, 73)		5, 57, 59, 63	1, 63, 67, 68,	Page 262	(75, 77, 79, 81	l, 83, 85, 87	-94, 101)		
				Ch4 Desig	gning Studies				
24	A Day	25	B Day	26	A Day	27	B Day	28	A Day
Ch. 4 Review/Catch-up Day FRAPPY! and extra quizzes HW: Chapter Review Exercises (page 278) Chapter 4 Practice Test (page 279)				Chapte	r 4 Test				

## 4.1 Sampling and Surveys

	1 0	1	
Voca	abulary		Learning Objectives
	population	stratified random	IDENTIFY the population and sample in a statistical study.
	census	sample	DIDENTIFY voluntary response samples and convenience samples. Explain how these sampling methods can
	sample	strata	lead to bias.
	convenience sample	cluster sample	DESCRIBE how to obtain a random sample using slips of paper, technology, or a table of random digits.
	bias	clusters	DISTINGUISH a simple random sample from a stratified random sample or cluster sample. Give the
	voluntary response	undercoverage	advantages and disadvantages of each sampling method.
	sample	nonresponse	EXPLAIN how undercoverage, nonresponse, question wording, and other aspects of a sample survey can
	random sampling		lead to bias.
	SRS		
1			

## 4.2 Experiments

Voca	abulary		Learning Objectives
	observational study	control group	DISTINGUISH BETWEEN an observational study and an experiment.
	experiment	placebo effect	EXPLAIN the concept of confounding and how it limits the ability to make cause-and-effect conclusions.
	confounding	double-blind	IDENTIFY the experimental units, explanatory and response variables, and treatments.
	treatment	statistically	EXPLAIN the purpose of comparison, random assignment, control, and replication in an experiment.
	experimental units	significant	DESCROBE a completely randomized design for an experiment, including how to randomly assign
	subjects	block	treatments using slips of paper, technology, or a table of random digits.
	factors	randomized block	DESCRIBE the placebo effect and the purpose of blinding in an experiment.
	levels	design	INTERPRET the meaning of statistically significant in the context of an experiment.
	random assignment	matched pairs design	<b>EXPLAIN</b> the purpose of blocking in an experiment. Describe a ransomized block design or a matched pairs
	completely		design for an experiment.
	randomized design		

## 4.3 Using Studies Wisely

	_	-	
Voca	bulary		Learning Objectives
	inference about a	institutional review	DESCRIBE the scope of inference that is appropriate in a statistical study.
	population	board	EVALUATE whether a statistical study has been carried out in an ethical manner.
	inference about	informed consent	
	cause and effect	confidential	
	lack of realism		

# **Ch4 Notes – Designing Studies**

## 4.1 Sampling and Surveys

- Review Learning Objectives on p209
- Vocabulary: population, census, sample
- > What's the difference between a population and a sample? What is a census?

#### The Idea of a Sample Survey (p210-211)

#### How to Sample Badly (p211-213)

- > Vocabulary: convenience sample, bias, voluntary response sample
- What's the problem with convenience samples?
- > What is bias?
- > What's a voluntary response sample? Is this a good method for obtaining a sample?
- Check Your Understanding (p213)

**Alternate Example**: To estimate the proportion of families that oppose budget cuts to the athletic department, the principal surveys families as they enter the football stadium on Friday night. Explain how this plan will result in bias and how the bias will affect the estimated proportion.

#### HW #4.1A: page 229 (1, 3, 6, 7, 9, 10)

#### How to Sample Well: Simple Random Sampling (p213-218)

- > Vocabulary: random sampling, simple random sample (SRS)
- What's a simple random sample (SRS)? How can you choose a SRS?
- What's the difference between sampling with replacement and sampling without replacement? How should you account for this difference when using a table of random digits or other random number generator?
- > Choosing an SRS with Technology (p215-216)
- Choosing an SRS using Table D (p216)

#### Alternate Example: Mall Hours

The management company of a local mall plans to survey a random sample of 3 stores to determine the hours they would like to stay open during the holiday season. Use Table D at line 101 to select an SRS of size 3 stores.

- Aeropostale All American Burger Arby's Barnes & Noble Carter's for Kids Destination Tan Famous Footwear
- Forever 21 GameStop Gymboree Haggar Just Sports Mrs. Fields Nike Factory Store
- Old Navy Pac Sun Panda Express Payless Shoes Star Jewelers Vitamin World Zales Diamond Store

#### Activity: Sampling from The Federalist Papers (p218)

*The Federalist Papers* are a series of 85 essays supporting the ratification of the U.S. Constitution. At the time they were published, the identity of the authors was a secret known to just a few people. Over time, however, the authors were identified as Alexander Hamilton, James Madison, and John Jay. The authorship of 73 of the essays is fairly certain, leaving 12 in dispute. However, thanks in some part to statistical analysis<sup>1</sup>, most scholars now believe that the 12 disputed essays were written by Madison alone or in collaboration with Hamilton<sup>2</sup>.

There are several ways to use statistics to help determine the authorship of a disputed text. One example is to estimate the average word length in a disputed text and compare it to the average word lengths of works where the authorship is not in dispute.

**Directions:** The following passage is the opening paragraph of *Federalist Paper #51<sup>3</sup>*, one of the disputed essays. The theme of this essay is the separation of powers between the three branches of government. Choose 5 words from this passage, count the number of letters in each of the words you selected and find the average word length. Share your estimate with the class and create a class dotplot.

To what expedient, then, shall we finally resort, for maintaining in practice the necessary partition of power among the several departments, as laid down in the Constitution? The only answer that can be given is, that as all these exterior provisions are found to be inadequate, the defect must be supplied, by so contriving the interior structure of the government as that its several constituent parts may, by their mutual relations, be the means of keeping each other in their proper places. Without presuming to undertake a full development of this important idea, I will hazard a few general observations, which may perhaps place it in a clearer light, and enable us to form a more correct judgment of the principles and structure of the government planned by the convention.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Frederick Mosteller and David L. Wallace. *Inference and Disputed Authorship: The Federalist*. Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1964.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> <u>http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Federalist\_papers</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> http://www.constitution.org/fed/federa51.htm

**Directions:** Use a table of random digits or a random number generator to select a simple random sample (SRS) of 5 words from the opening passage to the *Federalist Paper #51*. Once you have chosen the words, count the number of letters in each of the words you selected and find the average word length. Share your estimate with the class and create a class dotplot. How does this dotplot compare to the first one? Can you think of any reasons why they might be different?

Number	Word	Number	Word	Number	Word
1	То	44	То	87	А
2	What	45	Ве	88	Full
3	Expedient	46	Inadequate	89	Development
4	Then	47	The	90	Of
5	Shall	48	Defect	91	This
6	We	49	Must	92	Important
7	Finally	50	Ве	93	Idea
8	Resort	51	Supplied	94	
9	For	52	Ву	95	Will
10	Maintaining	53	So	96	Hazard
11	In	54	Contriving	97	А
12	Practice	55	The	98	Few
13	The	56	Interior	99	General
14	Necessary	57	Structure	100	Observations
15	Partition	58	Of	101	Which
16	Of	59	The	102	May
17	Power	60	Government	103	Perhaps
18	Among	61	As	104	Place
19	The	62	That	105	lt
20	Several	63	lts	106	In
21	Departments	64	Several	107	А
22	As	65	Constituent	108	Clearer
23	Laid	66	Parts	109	Light
24	Down	67	May	110	And
25	In	68	Ву	111	Enable
26	The	69	Their	112	Us
27	Constitution	70	Mutual	113	То
28	The	71	Relations	114	Form
29	Only	72	Ве	115	А
30	Answer	73	The	116	More
31	That	74	Means	117	Correct
32	Can	75	Of	118	Judgment
33	Ве	76	Keeping	119	Of
34	Given	77	Each	120	The
35	ls	78	Other	121	Principles
36	That	79	In	122	And
37	As	80	Their	123	Structure
38	All	81	Proper	124	Of
39	These	82	Places	125	The
40	Exterior	83	Without	126	Government
41	Provisions	84	Presuming	127	Planned
42	Are	85	То	128	Ву
43	Found	86	Undertake	129	The
				130	Convention

Statistics was also used to identify JK Rowling as the author of The Cuckoo's Calling. <u>http://phenomena.nationalgeographic.com/2013/07/19/how-forensic-linguistics-outed-j-k-rowling-not-to-mention-james-madison-barack-obama-and-the-rest-of-us/</u>

#### Other Random Sampling Methods (p219-223)

#### > Vocabulary: stratified random sample, strata, cluster sample, clusters

**Example:** Suppose we wanted to estimate the yield of our corn field. The field is square and divided into 16 equally sized plots (4 rows x 4 columns). A river runs along the eastern edge of the field. We want to take a sample of 4 plots.

Using a random number generator, pick a simple random sample (SRS) of 4 plots. Place an X in the 4 plots that you choose.

1	2	3	4		
5	6	7	8		
9	10	11	12		river
13	14	15	16		
				•	

Now, randomly choose one plot from each horizontal row. This is called a stratified random sample.

1	2	3	4	
1	2	3	4	
1	2	3	4	river
1	2	3	4	

Finally, randomly choose one plot from each vertical column. This is also a stratified random sample.

2 2 2 2 3 3 3 3 ri	
3 3 3 3 ri	
	ver
4 4 4 4	

Which method do you think will work the best? Explain.

Now, it's time for the harvest! The numbers below are the yield for each of the 16 plots. For each of your three samples above, calculate the average yield.

4	29	94	150
7	31	98	153
6	27	92	148
5	32	97	147

#### Graphing the results:

Simple Random Sample:



What is a stratified random sample? How is it different than a simple random sample?

When is it beneficial to use a stratified random sample? What is the benefit? How do you choose a variable to stratify by?

What is a cluster sample? Why do we use a cluster sample? How is it different than a stratified sample? Are there any drawbacks?

#### Alternate Example: A Good Read

A school librarian wants to know the average number of pages in all the books in the library. The library has 20,000 books, arranged by type (fiction, biography, history, and so on) in shelves that hold about 50 books each.

(a) Explain how to select a simple random sample of 500 books

(b) Explain how to select a stratified random sample of 500 books. Explain your choice of strata and one reason why this method might be chosen.

(c) Explain how to select a cluster sample of 500 books. Explain your choice of cluster and one reason why this method might be chosen.

(d) Discuss a potential drawback with each of the methods described above.

Check Your Understanding (p223)

#### Inference for Sampling (p223–225)

- What is inference?
- > What is a margin of error?

What is the benefit of increasing the sample size?

#### Sample Surveys: What Can Go Wrong? (p225-228)

- Vocabulary: undercoverage, nonresponse
- What is a sampling frame?
- > What is undercoverage and what problems might undercoverage cause?
- What is nonresponse and what problems might nonresponse cause? How is it different than voluntary response?
- > What is response bias and what problems might response bias cause?

Article from Nate Silver: http://fivethirtyeight.com/features/is-the-polling-industry-in-stasis-or-in-crisis/

# 4.2- Experiments

Review Learning Objectives (p234)

#### **Observational Study versus Experiment (p235-237)**

Vocabulary: observational study, experiment, confounding

Example: ADHD Linked to Lead and Mom's Smoking, by Karen Barrow (February 1, 2007)

A mother's smoking during pregnancy and exposure to lead significantly increases her child's risk for developing attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), say researchers. In fact, as many as one third of cases of ADHD in children are linked to exposure to tobacco smoke and lead before birth, giving moms yet another reason to quit smoking during pregnancy.

For the study, researchers from Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center surveyed over 4,700 children between the ages of 4 and 15 and their parents. Over 4 percent of the children included had ADHD. The researchers found that those children whose mother smoked during pregnancy were over twice as likely to develop ADHD than a child whose mother had not smoked.

Based on this study, should we conclude that smoking during pregnancy *causes* an increase in the likelihood that a child develops ADHD? Explain.

Explain the concept of confounding in the context of this study.

Is there any way to prove that smoking causes ADHD?

- Read 234–236 Read word-for-word
- > What are some differences between an observational study and an experiment?
- > What's the difference between an explanatory variable and a response variable?
- Page 237: Check Your Understanding

#### The Language of Experiments (p237-239)

#### > Vocabulary: treatment, experimental units, subjects

**Example:** Suppose we wanted to design an experiment to see if caffeine affects pulse rate.

Here is an initial plan:

- measure initial pulse rate
- give each student some caffeine
- wait for a specified time
- measure final pulse rate
- compare final and initial rates

What are some problems with this plan? What other variables are most likely to be sources of variability in pulse rates?

There are several steps we should take to solve these problems.

1. The first step is to include a \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ that does not receive caffeine so we have something to compare to. Otherwise, any pulse-raising (or lowering) event that occurs during the experiment would be confounded with the caffeine. For example, an amazing stats lecture during the waiting period would certainly raise pulse rates, making it hard to know how much of the pulse increase was due to the caffeine.

Briefly define the following terms in terms of the caffeine experiment:

- Treatment
- Experimental units
- Subjects
- Factor
- Level

Watch video on How to Buy Happiness for an example of a multi-factor experiment.

#### How to Experiment Badly/Well/Completely Randomized Designs/Experiments: What Can Go Wrong (p239-249)

> Vocabulary: random assignment, completely randomized design, double-blind

Principles of Experimental Design (p242)

The caffeine experiment, continued...

2. The second step is to make sure that the two groups (caffeine and non-caffeine) are as similar as possible and are treated in exactly the same way, with the exception of the treatments. To make this happen, we use randomization, replication, and control.

How do we randomize? What is a completely randomized design?

2b. \_\_\_\_\_\_ means ensuring that there are an adequate number of units in each treatment group so that the two groups are as equivalent as possible. Then, differences in the effects of the treatments can be distinguished from chance differences between the groups.

Note: Replication can also refer to repeating the experiment with different subjects. This can help us feel more confident applying the results of our experiment to a \_\_\_\_\_\_\_.

2c. \_\_\_\_\_ means holding other variables constant for each member of both treatment groups. This prevents these other variables from becoming confounded with caffeine and from adding additional variability to the distribution of the response variable.

- Prevents confounding: For example, sugar is an important variable to consider because it may affect pulse rates. If one treatment group was given regular Coke (which has sugar) and the other treatment group was given caffeine free Diet Coke (which has no sugar), then sugar and caffeine would be confounded. If there was a difference in the average change in pulse rates of the two groups after receiving the treatments, we wouldn't know which variable caused the change, and to what extent. To prevent sugar from becoming confounded with caffeine, we need to make sure that members of both treatment groups get the same amount of sugar.
- Reduces variability: For example, the amount of soda consumed is important to consider because it may affect pulse rates. If we let subjects in both groups drink any amount of soda they want, the changes in pulse rates will be more variable than if we made sure each subject drank the same amount of soda. This will make it harder to identify the effect of the caffeine (i.e., our study will have less power). For example, the first set of dotplots show the results of a well-done experiment. The second set of dotplots show the results of an experiment where students were allowed to drink as much (or as little) soda as they pleased. The additional variability in pulse rate changes makes the evidence for caffeine less convincing.



- > Will weight will be a confounding variable in this experiment?
- It is also important that all subjects in both groups are \_\_\_\_\_\_ so that the expectations are the same for the subjects in both groups. Otherwise, members of the caffeine group might suffer from the \_\_\_\_\_\_.
  If the people measuring the response are also blind, the experiment is
- Note: Not all experiments have a control group or use a placebo as long as there is comparison. For example, if you are testing a new drug, it is usually compared to the currently used drug, not a placebo. Also, you can do an experiment to compare four brands of paint without using a placebo.
- SUMMARY: With randomization, replication, and control, each treatment group should be nearly identical, and the effects of other variables should be about the same in each group. Now, if changes in the explanatory variable are associated with changes in the response variable, we can attribute the changes to the explanatory variable or the chance variation in the random assignment.
- > Read 239–249 How do the concepts in this section relate to the caffeine experiment?

**Alternate Example:** *Multitasking* Researchers in Canada performed an experiment with university students to examine the effects of in-class laptop use on student learning. All participants in the study were asked to attend a university style lecture and take notes with their laptops. Half of the participants were assigned to complete other non-lecture related online tasks during the lecture. These tasks were meant to imitate typical student Web browsing during classes. The remaining students simply took notes with their laptops. To assign the treatments, the researchers printed 40 papers with instructions (20 with multitasking and 20 without), shuffled them, and handed them out at random to students in the classroom. At the end of the lecture, all participants took a comprehension test to measure how much they learned from it. The results: students who were assigned to multitask. Explain how each of the principles of experimental design was used in this study.

- Check Your Understanding p247
- Check Your Understanding p249

HW #4.2B: page 260 (57, 59, 61, 63, 67, 69, 71)

#### Inference for Experiments (p249-251)

- Vocabulary: statistically significant
- Read 249

The results of an experiment are called \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ if they are unlikely to occur by random chance. That is, if it is unlikely that the results are due to the possible imbalances created the random assignment.

For example, if caffeine really has no effect on pulse rates, then the average change in pulse rate of the two groups should be exactly the same. However, because the results will vary depending on which subjects are assigned to which group, the average change in the two groups will probably differ slightly. Thus, whenever we do an experiment and find a difference between two groups, we need to determine if this difference could be attributed to the chance variation in random assignment or because there really is a difference in effect of the treatments.

How can we determine if the results of our experiment are statistically significant?

Blocking (p251-257)

- > Vocabulary: block, randomized block design
- **Read 251–255** (do alternate example below first)

#### Alternate Example: SAT schools

Many students enroll in prep courses to improve their SAT scores. Twenty students who have taken the SAT once volunteered to participate in an experiment comparing online and classroom prep courses.

1. Describe how we can use a completely randomized design to compare online and classroom SAT prep courses.

2. Among the 20 volunteers, 10 of are in Precalculus, 6 are in Algebra 2, and 4 are in Geometry. What problem does this cause?

How can we address this problem?

3. Here are the results of the experiment, using math level as a blocking variable. Make dotplots to compare the improvements of the students in the online course and the improvements of students in the classroom course. Based on the dotplots, does there appear to be convincing evidence that the online course is better?

Class	Treatment	Improvement
Р	Online	100
Р	Online	100
Р	Online	90
Р	Online	90
Р	Online	100
Р	Classroom	70
Р	Classroom	70
Р	Classroom	80
Р	Classroom	80
Р	Classroom	80
А	Online	50
А	Online	60
А	Online	40
А	Classroom	30
А	Classroom	40
А	Classroom	20
G	Online	30
G	Online	30
G	Classroom	0
G	Classroom	20

4. The dotplots in #3 ignored the fact that we blocked by math level. Here is the dotplot again, using different symbols for students in each math level.



Notice that within each math level, the online students clearly did better. We couldn't see this difference when we ignored the blocks. The average improvement for students in Precalculus was  $\overline{x}_P = 86$ , the average improvement for students in Algebra 2 was  $\overline{x}_A = 40$ , and the average improvement for students in Geometry was  $\overline{x}_G = 20$ . How can we use this information to account for the variability created by differences in class level?

Class	Treatment	Improvement	
Р	Online	100	
Р	Online	100	
Р	Online	90	
Р	Online	90	
Р	Online	100	
Р	Classroom	70	
Р	Classroom	70	
Р	Classroom	80	
Р	Classroom	80	
Р	Classroom	80	
А	Online	50	
А	Online	60	
А	Online	40	
А	Classroom	30	
А	Classroom	40	
А	Classroom	20	
G	Online	30	
G	Online	30	
G	Classroom	0	
G	Classroom	20	

Blocking in experiments is similar to stratification in sampling.

- Blocking accounts for a source of variability, just like stratifying. This means that blocking is a good way to increase your chances of finding convincing evidence.
- Blocks should be chosen like strata: the units within the block should be similar, but different than the units in the other blocks. You should only block when you expect that the blocking variable is associated with the response variable.
- Blocks, like strata, are not formed at random!

What are some variables that we can block for in the caffeine experiment? In general, how can we determine which variables might be best for blocking?

#### Alternate Example: Microwave Popcorn

A popcorn lover wants to know if it is better to use the "popcorn button" on her microwave oven or use the amount of time recommended on the bag of popcorn. To measure how well each method works, she will count the number of unpopped kernels remaining after popping. She goes to the store and buys 10 bags each of 4 different varieties of microwave popcorn (movie butter, light butter, natural, and kettle corn), for a total of 40 bags.

Explain why a randomized block design might be preferable to a completely randomized design for this experiment.

Outline a randomized block design for this experiment.

What is a matched pairs design? Could we use a matched pairs design for the caffeine experiment?

# 4.3 Using Studies Wisely

### Scope of Inference (Read p266-268)

The <u>scope of inference</u> refers to the type of inferences (conclusions) that can be drawn from a study. The types of inferences we can make (inferences about the population and inferences about cause-and-effect) are determined by two factors in the design of the study:

		Were individuals randomly assigned to groups?			
		Yes	No		
Were individuals	Yes	Inferences about the population: Inferences about cause and effect:	Inferences about the population: Inferences about cause and effect: (Some observational studies)		
selected from a population?	No	Inferences about the population: Inferences about cause and effect: (Most experiments)	Inferences about the population: Inferences about cause and effect: (Some observational studies)		

**Alternate Example**: *Silence is golden?* Many students insist that they study better when listening to music. A teacher doubts this claim and suspects that listening to music actually hurts academic performance. Here are four possible study designs to address this question at your school. In each case, the response variable will be the students' GPA at the end of the semester.

- 1. Get all the students in your AP Statistics class to participate in a study. Ask them whether or not they study with music on and divide them into two groups based on their answer to this question.
- 2. Select a random sample of students from your school to participate in a study. Ask them whether or not they study with music on and divide them into two groups based on their answer to this question.
- 3. Get all the students in your AP Statistics class to participate in a study. Randomly assign half of the students to listen to music while studying for the entire semester and have the remaining half abstain from listening to music while studying.
- 4. Select a random sample of students from your school to participate in a study. Randomly assign half of the students to listen to music while studying for the entire semester and have the remaining half abstain from listening to music while studying.

For each design, suppose that the mean GPA for students who listen to music while studying was significantly lower than the mean GPA of students who didn't listen to music while studying. What can we conclude for each design?

The Challenges of Establishing Causation (p268-270)

Data Ethics (p270-271)