2018 Park City AP Update

Appendiceal GCC and LAMN Navigating the Alphabet Soup in the Appendix

Sanjay Kakar, MD University of California, San Francisco

Appendiceal tumors

- Low grade appendiceal mucinous neoplasm
- Peritoneal spread, chemotherapy
- But not called 'adenocarcinoma'
- **Goblet cell carcinoid**
- Not a neuroendocrine tumor
- Staged and treated like adenocarcinoma
- But called 'carcinoid'

Outline

- Appendiceal LAMN
- Peritoneal involvement by mucinous neoplasms
- Goblet cell carcinoid

 Terminology
 Grading and staging
 Important elements for reporting

LAMN

WHO 2010: Low grade carcinoma

- Low grade
- 'Pushing invasion'

LAMN vs. adenoma

| LAMN | Appendiceal adenoma |
|--|-----------------------------|
| Low grade cytologic atypia | Low grade cytologic atypia |
| At minimum, muscularis mucosa is obliterated | Muscularis mucosa is intact |
| Can extend through the wall | Confined to lumen |

Appendiceal adenoma: intact muscularis mucosa



LAMN: Pushing invasion, obliteration of m mucosa



LAMN vs adenocarcinoma

| LAMN | Mucinous adenocarcinoma |
|--|--|
| Low grade | High grade |
| Pushing invasion -No desmoplasia or destructive invasion | Destructive invasion -Complex growth pattern -Angulated infiltrative glands or single cells -Desmoplasia -Tumor cells floating in mucin |

WHO 2010 Davison, Mod Pathol 2014 Carr, AJSP 2016

Complex growth pattern



Complex growth pattern



Angulated infiltrative glands, desmoplasia



Tumor cells in extracellular mucin



Few floating cells common in LAMN



Few floating cells common in LAMN



Implications of diagnosis

| | LAMN | Mucinous adenocarcinoma |
|--------------------------|----------------------|---|
| LN metastasis | Rare | Common |
| Hematogenous spread | Rare | Can occur |
| Peritoneal metastasis | Common | Common |
| Treatment | Follow-up imaging | -Rt hemicolectomy -Systemic chemo if needed |

Grade

- By definition, LAMN is low grade
- Focal or diffuse high grade changes in tumors which architecturally resemble LAMN

-No destructive invasion or desmoplasia

High grade appendiceal mucinous neoplasm (HAMN)

- HAMN is not part of WHO 2010
 classification
- Included: AJCC 8th edition

CAP protocol (2018 version)

Carr, AJSP 2016: Peritoneal Surface Oncology Group International (PSOGI)

HAMN: rare tumor

- Architecture like LAMN, no destructive invasion or desmoplasia
- Focal or diffuse high grade cytologic atypia

High grade features: cribriform growth pattern



HAMN: high grade features, no destructive invasion



LAMN: staging

- WHO 2010: Low grade carcinoma
- AJCC and CAP:

LAMN should be staged

LAMN: staging challenges

- Erroneous interpretation as mucinous adenocarcinoma
- T category is difficult to apply Depth of cellular or acellular mucin

LAMN: depth of invasion and recurrence

| Study | Confined to MP | Acellular mucin beyond MP | Cellular LAMN beyond MP |
|----------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Umetsu/Kakar 2016 | 0/21 | 0/5 | 4/7 |
| Higa 1973 | | 0/7 | 4/7 |
| Misdraji 2003 | 0/27 | * | 20/31 |
| Pai 2009 | 0/16 | 1/14 | 21/27 |
| Yantiss 2009 | - | 1/44** | 2/10 |
| Total | 0/64 | 2/70 (3%) | 51/82 (62%) |

LAMN staging: AJCC 8th edition

| Category | Change/update |
|------------|--|
| Tis (LAMN) | LAMN extending into muscularis propria, but not beyond it |
| T1, T2 | Not applicable to LAMN |
| Т3 | Cellular LAMN into subserosa ?Acellular mucin into subserosa |
| T4a | Involvement of serosal surface Cellular LAMN or acellular mucin |

LAMN: Acellular mucin on serosal surface



LAMN: Acellular mucin as T4a

- Based on limited data
- Risk of overtreatment
- Pathology report:

"Acellular mucin on serosal surface has a very low risk of recurrence, and categorization of this finding as T4a is based on limited data."

LAMN

Elements in pathology reporting

- Submit the entire appendix
- Extent of disease: both cellular and acellular mucin (T category)
- Margin assessment
- Absence of high risk features:
 No high grade cytology or complex growth
 No destructive invasion or desmoplasia



Do not use obsolete terms

- Mucocele
- Mucinous cystadenoma

HAMN

Elements in pathology reporting

- Extent of high grade changes
- Use mucinous adenocarcinoma staging scheme

-Outcome may be similar to mucinous AC?

AJCC, 8th Edition Misdraji, AJSP 2003

Peritoneal involvement

- Terminology
- Grading
- Treatment

Pseudomyxoma peritonei

- Mucinous ascites
- Omental cake
- Mucin accumulation in peritoneum due to involvement by mucinous neoplasm

Peritoneal involvement Pseudomyxoma peritonei

| Low grade | High grade |
|---|---|
| LAMN with peritoneal involvement, or Mucinous adenocarcinoma, low grade with peritoneal involvement | Mucinous adenocarcinoma, high grade with peritoneal involvement |
| Mucinous carcinoma peritonei, | Mucinous carcinoma peritonei, |
| Iow grade | high grade |
| Disseminated peritoneal | Peritoneal mucinous |
| adenomucinosis (DPAM) | adenocarcinoma (PMAC) |

Peritoneal involvement

Low grade

LAMN with peritoneal involvement

Mucinous adenocarcinoma, low grade with peritoneal involvement

Mucinous carcinoma peritonei, low grade

Disseminated peritoneal adenomucinosis (DPAM)

Appendix shows LAMN

- LAMN with peritoneal involvement
- Include synonyms in a comment

Appendix: no LAMN or not known

- Mucinous carcinoma peritonei, low grade
- Mucinous adenocarcinoma, low grade

Peritoneal involvement

High grade

Mucinous adenocarcinoma, high grade with peritoneal involvement

Mucinous carcinoma peritonei, high grade

Peritoneal mucinous adenocarcinoma (PMAC)

Primary sites

- Appendix
- Colorectum
- Ovary
- Pancreas

Grading of peritoneal disease

WHO 2010

2-tier scheme -Low grade -High grade

Criteria -Cytologic atypia -Architecture



High grade

-Complex growth
-Stratification
-Loss of polarity
-Prominent nucleoli
-Frequent mitoses
-Signet ring cells
Grading of peritoneal disease

| WHO 2010 | AJCC 7 th edition/CAP |
|--|--|
| 2-tier scheme -Low grade -High grade | 3-tier scheme -Well-differentiated (G1) -Moderately differentiated (G2) -Poorly differentiated (G3) |
| Criteria -Cytologic atypia -Architecture | No defined criteria -Extent of gland formation not applicable to mucinous tumors |

| Study | # of cases | Grading scheme | 5-year survival |
|-------------------|---------------|---|--------------------|
| Ronnett (2001) | 109 | DPAM PMCA-I/D PMCA | 75% 50% 14% |
| Smeenk (2007) | 103 | DPAM PMCA-I PMCA | 75% 42% 0% |
| Guo (2012) | 92 | DPAM PMCA-I/D PMCA | 80% 67% 50% |
| Shetty (2013) | 211 | PMP1 PMP2 PMP3 | 86% 63% 32% |
| Davison (2014) | 151 | G1 G2 G3 | 91% 61% 23% |
| NCDB database | 3105 | Well differentiated Moderately differentiated Poorly differentiated | 57% 32% 11% |

Gestalt grading scheme

- Looks good: G1
- Looks bad: G3
- All others: G2

AJCC 8th edition/CAP (modified Davison scheme)

- G1 -Low grade cytologic atypia (similar to LAMN)
 -Includes acellular mucin
 -Cellularity <20%
 -No destructive invasion of implants
 G2 -Mix of low and high grade cytologic atypia, or
 - diffuse high grade cytologic atypia
 - -Architectural complexity
 - -Destructive invasion of implants
 - -Cellularity >20%
- G3 -Signet ring cells infiltrating the stroma-Poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma component

Davison, Mod Pathol 2014

AJCC 8th edition/CAP (modified Davison scheme)

Grading parameters

- Cytoarchitectural atypia
- Cellularity
- Invasive implants
- Signet ring cells

Davison, Mod Pathol 2014

Invasive implants

- Mucinous tumors on visceral organs like liver, colon etc. not sufficient
- Destructive invasion and desmoplasia

LAMN: Noninvasive ovarian implant



LAMN: Noninvasive ovarian implant



LAMN: Invasive implant



Davison, Mod Pathol 2014

Peritoneum: signet ring cell carcinoma



Pseudo-signet ring cells



Signet ring cells in grading

- >10% cutoff has been suggested for G3 designation (not specified in AJCC)
- Disregard cells in mucin resembling signet ring cells
- Consider only if infiltrating signet ring cells in stroma

Sirintrapun, Hum Pathol 2014 Davison, Mod Pathol 2014

Challenges in grading

- Invasive implants
- Signet ring cells
- Small or borderline G2 component
- Discrepant grading in appendix and peritoneum

Challenges in grading

Small or borderline G2 component

- Significance unclear
- Descriptive report stating that there is a minor G2 component

Challenges in grading

- Discrepant grade in appendix and peritoneum
- Uncommon
- Higher grade peritoneal disease generally drives prognosis

AJCC 8th: M categories

| Category | Definition |
|---------------------|---|
| M1a | Acellular mucin with disseminated peritoneal involvement |
| M1b | Peritoneal mucinous depositis containing tumor cells |
| M1c | Metastasis to sites other than peritoneum |
| | |
| Stage | Definition |
| Stage IVa | Definition Any T or N, M1a (acellular mucin) Any T or N, M1b (G1) |
| Stage IVa IVb | Definition Any T or N, M1a (acellular mucin) Any T or N, M1b (G1) Any T or N, M1b (G2, G3) |

Grade: impact on treatment

Stage IVa M1a: acellular mucin M1b : G1 tumors

Stage IVb M1b: G2, G3 tumors

Role of surgery and HIPEC controversial

Combined peritoneal surgery (tumor debulking) with HIPEC (hyperthermic intraperitoneal chemotherapy)

Systemic chemotherapy not useful

Systemic chemotherapy

HIPEC: Hot chemotherapy leads to hot debate

Debate at ASCO meeting

- 'Heating drugs makes them more effective'
- 'Precious little data that heated chemotherapy does anything'

LAMN Tis with peritoneal disease

- LAMN confined to muscularis propria (Tis) but with peritoneal disease
- TisN0M1: does not make sense
- Explanations:

Not entirely submitted

Defect has 'sealed'

Suggestion: pTxN0M1

Peritoneal involvement: summary

- Use appropriate terminology
- Include synonymous terms in report
- Use 3-tier grading scheme (AJCC 8th edition)
- Uncommon situations

Grade discrepancy: appendix and peritoneum Minor component of higher grade

Goblet cell carcinoid

- Terminology
- Grading and staging
- Important elements for reporting

Terminology

- Pure GCC
- GCC with adenocarcinoma
- GCC with well-differentiated neuroendocrine tumor

Goblet cell carcinoid

- Primarily in appendix
- Rare reports: colon, ampulla
 Unique features
- Recapitulates the crypts (crypt cell adenocarcinoma)
- Dual features

Exocrine: goblet cells, mucin Endocrine: NET-like areas, IHC, EM

Pure goblet cell carcinoid



Pure goblet cell carcinoid



- Crypt-like clusters of 'goblet cells'
- No large irregular clusters or sheets
- Cytologic atypia mild
- Mitoses rare
- No desmoplasia or destructive invasion

GCC: single filing in muscularis propria



GCC: small tubules with minimal atypia



GCC: perineural and vascular invasion



GCC: extracellular mucin pools



GCC with adenocarcinoma

Variety of terms

- Adenocarcinoma ex GCC (Tang scheme)
- Mixed GCC-adenocarcinoma
- Crypt cell adenocarcinoma

GCC with adenocarcinoma

- Type A: Pure GCC
- Adenocarcinoma ex GCC, type B

-Loss of cohesive groups

- -Large irregular clusters
- -More cytologic atypia
- Adencoarcinoma ex GCC, type C
 - Poorly differentiated
 - -Diffuse dingle cells or sheets of signet ring cells

Tang, AJSP 2008

GCC with AC: irregular clusters (type B)



GCC with well-diff AC (type B)





GCC with poorly-diff adenocarcinoma (type C)



Terminology

- Goblet cell carcinoid
- Mixed GCC-adenocarcinoma

 Proportion of adenocarcinoma
 25%, 25-50%, >50%
 Subtype and differentiation

Taggart, Arch Path Lab Med 2013 Wen/Kakar, Hum Pathol 2017

Clinical impact

Pure GCC vs. mixed GCC-AC

- GCC-adenocarcinoma have worse outcome, treatment largely similar
- Rt. hemicolectomy

?GCC limited to submucosa

- Adjuvant chemotherapy especially if LN+ or peritoneal spread
- Possible prophylactic oophrectomy
Mixed GCC-adenocarcinoma

- WHO 2010 recommended term 'mixed adenoneuroendocrine carcinoma' should not be used
- Can be misinterpreted as neuroendocrine carcinoma (NEC)
- Platinum-based chemotherapy used in NEC, but not in GCC

Common errors

| Incorrect interpretation | Number |
|---|---------|
| NET staging scheme should be used for GCC | 41% |
| Ki-67 necessary for grading | 43% |
| Oncologists interpreted mixed GCC-AC as poorly differentiated NEC | 2 cases |

Wen/Kakar, Hum Pathol (in press)

Goblet cell carcinoid

- GCC: pattern of spread like an adenocarcinoma
- Genetic changes

No KRAS mutation

p53, APC mutation rare

Mutations in chromatin remodeling genes

Wen/Kakar, USCAP 2017

Ki67, typically <20%, not necessary for diagnosis



Terminology

- Next WHO (if I were to write it)
- Goblet cell carcinoma (GCC)
- Grading scheme
 - Grade 1: Pure GCC
 - Grade 2: GCC with atypia or areas with well to moderately differentiated adenocarcinoma
 - Grade 3: GCC with signet ring cell carcinoma or poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma

48/F with history of colon adenocarcinoma in polyp Oophrectomy for tumor







GCC: summary

- Use appropriate terminology
- Comment
 - -State that this is not a NET or NEC
 - -Include commonly used synonyms
- Do not grade based on mitoses/Ki-67 index
- Staging scheme for adenocarcinoma, not NET
- Do not use the adenoneuroendocrine carcinoma