

# Appendix L: Comparison of Data Sources



# APPENDIX L. COMPARISON OF EIS DATA SOURCES

PSE continued to refine the design of the proposed project from when the Phase 2 Draft EIS was published (in May 2017) and as the analysis was being conducted for the Final EIS. In particular, PSE submitted two permit applications to the Cities of Bellevue and Newcastle for the initial phase of project construction; the permit applications included more detailed, site-specific information for portions of the project alignment (namely, the Bellevue South Segment, the Richards Creek substation site, that portion of the Bellevue Central Segment that contains the Lakeside substation, and the Newcastle Segment). The permit applications include refined, site-specific information for project components such as proposed pole types and locations, as well as vegetation survey and clearing data. The Partner Cities decided that the analysis in the Final EIS should reflect the most up-to-date data and information, which because of the permit applications differs in level of detail from segment to segment.

Appendix L was prepared to assist the reader and reviewer understand the relationship between the data sources used in the Phase 2 Draft EIS and the Final EIS documents. It summarizes and compares the source material and results, organized by element of the environment and project segment. Because the data sources used for the Redmond, Bellevue North, Bellevue Central (excluding the Lakeside substation), and Renton Segments are substantively the same as those used for the Phase 2 Draft EIS, the material in Appendix L focuses on the segments associated with the permit applications. It focuses on the following project components and analyses: pole location data, critical areas data, construction access data, and tree clearing data.



Phase 2 Draft EIS			Final EIS (Permit Application)		
Richards Creek Substation					
Data	a Source(s)	Data Source(s)			
• The Watershed Company, 2016 and accompanying GIS data.		<ul> <li>The Watershed Company, 2017 and accompanying Gidata.</li> <li>Energize Eastside Vegetation Management Plan, Augu 30, 2017.</li> </ul>			
Pole Data	Tree Data		Pole Data	Tree Data	
N/A	No trees were inventoried or identified for removal adjacent to the Lakeside substation.	N/A		Near the Lakeside substation, approximately 43 trees would be removed.	
<b>Notes:</b> Tree removal next to the L than was evident in the Phase 2 L	akeside substation results in the Ric Draft EIS.	hards Cr	eek substation being more	e noticeable from the northeast	



energize EASTSIDE

Scenic Views & Aesthetic Environment

Phase	2 Draft EIS	Final EIS (Pe	Final EIS (Permit Application)					
Bellevue Central Segment								
Data	Source(s)	Data	Source(s)					
Land Planner/Environmental S Reema Shakra, ESA, regardin Eastside Project. August 16, 2	attachment) from Bradley Strauch, S Scientist, PSE, to Claire Hoffman and g information and data for the Energ 2016. 16 and accompanying GIS data.	Project Manager, PSE, to Reema Shakra and Mark Johnson,						
Pole Data	Pole Data Tree Data		Tree Data					
95'-115' tall double-circuit steel monopoles	No trees were inventoried or identified for removal adjacent to the Lakeside substation.	94'-113' tall double-circuit steel monopoles.	Near the Lakeside substation, approximately 43 trees would be removed, including trees near Chestnut Hill Academy.					
Notes: The Bellevue Central Segment is not in the permit application, except for the area near the Lakeside substation. Pole types have not								

Notes: The Bellevue Central Segment is not in the permit application, except for the area near the Lakeside substation. Pole types have not changed substantively. An additional 43 trees would be removed adjacent to the Lakeside substation.



energize EASTSIDE

EIS

Scenic Views & the Aesthetic Environment

Phase	2 Draft EIS	Final EIS (Pe	rmit Application)					
Bellevue South Segment								
Data	Source(s)	Data Source(s)						
Land Planner/Environmental S Reema Shakra, ESA, regarding Eastside Project. August 16, 2	attachment) from Bradley Strauch, Si scientist, PSE, to Claire Hoffman and g information and data for the Energi 016. 6 and accompanying GIS data.	d Project Manager, PSE, to Reema Shakra and Mark						
Pole Data	Tree Data	Pole Data	Tree Data					
Remove 2 existing 60-foot H- Frame poles. Replace with 1-2 85-100' tall monopoles at each location (total 2-4). Typical pole height 65' to 100'.	Approximately 20 trees would be removed near the Coal Creek to SE 60 <sup>th</sup> Street segment of the Lower Coal Creek Trail.	Pole types, heights, and sizes are more detailed than in the Phase 2 Draft EIS but generally the same as described for Phase 2. General pole types, heights, and locations in permit application are the same as the information	Approximately 20 trees would be removed north of where the Lower Coal Creek Trail crosses the transmission corridor.					

provided by PSE in August 2017.





Ph	ase 2 Draft EIS	Final EIS (Permit Application)		
Newcastle Segment (No Co				
D	ata Source(s)	Data Source(s)		
Land Planner/Environmenta Reema Shakra, ESA, regard Eastside Project. August 16	th attachment) from Bradley Strauch, S al Scientist, PSE, to Claire Hoffman and ding information and data for the Energ 5, 2016. 2016 and accompanying GIS data.	data.		
Pole Data	Tree Data	Pole Data Tree Data		
100' tall single circuit steel monopoles.	301 trees removed.	General pole types, heights, and locations were the same based on updated information provided by PSE in August 2017.		

**Notes:** Discussion of tree removal is qualitative in the Phase 2 Draft EIS and Final EIS and is based on a spatial analysis of tree removal within the corridor. Reduction in tree removal did not result in new findings for the Final EIS.



Scenic Views and the Aesthetic Environment

# Phase 2 Draft EIS

# **Final EIS (Permit Application)**

#### **Richards Creek Substation**

Data	a Source(s)		Data Source(s)			
Bellevue Critica Prepared for Pu	Company. 2016. City I Areas Delineation Ro Iget Sound Energy – de Project. May 2016	<ul> <li>Peport. Report: Puget S</li> <li>The Watershed Stream Delinea Submitted to N</li> <li>The Watershed Sound Energy -</li> <li>PSE. 2017. Em</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The Watershed Company. May 2016. City of Bellevue. Critical Areas Delineation Report: Puget Sound Energy –Energize Eastside Project. Prepared for PSE.</li> <li>The Watershed Company. 2017. Richards Creek Substation Property, Wetland and Stream Delineation Report. The Watershed Company Reference Number: 111103.6. Submitted to Molly Reed, PSE. June 22, 2017.</li> <li>The Watershed Company. August 2017. City of Bellevue Critical Areas Report: Puget Sound Energy – Energize Eastside Project South Bellevue Segment. Prepared for PSE.</li> <li>PSE. 2017. Emails from Bradley Strauch, Energize Eastside Project Manager, PSE, to Reema Shakra and Mark Johnson, ESA. December 1, 2017.</li> </ul>			
Pole Data	Wetlands and Streams Data	Construction Access Data	Pole Data	Wetlands and Streams Data	Construction Access Data	
Two poles would be in wetland JB01 or its buffer.	StreamsEast Creek, Stream C, Stream JB01, unnamed tribs. of Richards Creek. Wetlands—BC, FG, JB01.	Used general assumptions since preliminary access road data provided did not indicate whether wetlands would be filled or not. Assumed all new roads in wetlands, streams, and buffers were temporary, and would be restored per Bellevue Code requirements.	Ten poles would be in Wetland A or its buffer, and approx. six poles would be in Wetland H or its buffer.	More specific information on stream and wetland impacts. Streams A & C. Wetlands: New wetland naming system. Wetland A (named BC in Draft EIS), Wetland B, Wetland C, Wetland B, Wetland C, Wetland D (named FG in Draft EIS), Wetland H (named JB01 in Draft EIS).	Temporary access roads would be constructed in Wetlands A and H, and stringing sites would be constructed in Wetland A.	

**Notes:** Information in the Richards Creek delineation report and in the permit application identified two wetlands not shown in the Phase 2 Draft EIS, provides greater detail on wetland locations and impacts, and renames some wetlands. Information in the Richards Creek delineation report and permit application also provides greater detail on stream classifications and impacts.

Phase 2 Draft EIS		Final EIS (Permit Application)					
Bellevue Central Segment							
Data Source(s)			Data Source(s)				
<ul> <li>The Watershed Company. 2016. City of Bellevue Critical Areas Delineation Report. Prepared for Puget Sound Energy – Energize Eastside Project. May 2016.</li> </ul>			<ul> <li>The Watershed Company. 2016. City of Bellevue Critical Areas Delineation Report. Prepared for Puget Sound Energy – Energize Eastside Project. May 2016. (No new information was provided for this segment.)</li> <li>PSE. 2017. Emails from Bradley Strauch, Energize Eastside Project Manager, PSE, to Reema Shakra and Mark Johnson, ESA. December 1, 2017.</li> </ul>				
Wetlands and Streams Data	Construction Access Data	Pole Data	Wetlands and Streams Data	Construction Access Data			
Kelsey Creek, East Creek and various tribs to Kelsey, East, and Richards creeks. 23 Category II and IV wetlands.	Used general assumptions based on narrative; preliminary access road data provided did not indicate whether wetlands would be filled or pot	Poles in wetlands would be reduced to 0; no poles in streams or stream buffers.	No change to wetlands or streams.	Used general assumptions since no data provided, except as noted for Richards Creek above.			
	mpany. 2016. City of Be Prepared for Puget So ay 2016. Wetlands and Streams Data Kelsey Creek, East Creek and various tribs to Kelsey, East, and Richards creeks. 23 Category II and IV	Data Source(s)npany. 2016. City of Bellevue Critical Areas Prepared for Puget Sound Energy – Energize ay 2016.Wetlands and Streams DataConstruction Access DataKelsey Creek, East Creek and various tribs to Kelsey, East, and Richards creeks. 23 Category II and IV wetlands.Used general assumptions based on narrative; preliminary access road data provided did not indicate whether wetlands would be filled or	gmentData Source(s)npany. 2016. City of Bellevue Critical Areas Prepared for Puget Sound Energy – Energize ay 2016.• The Watershed O Delineation Repo Energize Eastsic provided for this • PSE. 2017. Ema Project Manager ESA. DecemberWetlands and Streams DataConstruction Access DataPole DataKelsey Creek, East Creek and various tribs to Kelsey, East, and Richards creeks. 23 Category II and IV wetlands.Used general asumptions based on narrative; preliminary access road data provided did not indicate whether wetlandsPoles in wetlands would be reduced to 0; no poles in streams or stream buffers.	Data Source(s)       Data Source(s)         npany. 2016. City of Bellevue Critical Areas Prepared for Puget Sound Energy – Energize ay 2016. <ul> <li>The Watershed Company. 2016. City of Delineation Report. Prepared for Puget Secure for Puget S</li></ul>			

**Notes**: Bellevue Central Segment is not in the permit application, except for the area near the Lakeside substation. The permit application did not show any change in wetland and stream impacts in that area.



	Phase 2 Draft EIS		Final EIS (Permit Application)				
Bellevue South Segment							
	Data Source(s)			Data Source(s)	)		
	Company. 2016. City of B ort. Prepared for Puget So . May 2016.		• The Watershed Company. 2016. City of Bellevue Critical Areas Delineation Report: Puget Sound Energy Energize Eastside Project. May 2016.				
			<ul> <li>The Watershed Company. 2017. City of Bellevue Critical Areas Report: Puget Sound Energy – Energize Eastside Project South Bellevue Segment. August 2017.</li> </ul>				
			<ul> <li>PSE. 2017. Emails from Bradley Strauch, Energize Eastside Project Manager, PSE, to Reema Shakra and Mark Johnson, ESA. December 1, 2017.</li> </ul>				
Pole Data	Wetlands and Streams Data	Construction Access Data	Pole Data	Wetlands and Streams Data	Construction Access Data		
Poles in wetland buffers would decrease from 7 to 3.	3 unnamed tributaries of East Creek, Sunset, and Coal creeks.	Used general assumptions based on narrative; preliminary access	Poles in wetland buffers would decrease from 7 to 1.	7 tributaries, different naming system in permit data.	No access roads in wetlands, streams, or buffers.		
14 Category II and IV wetlands. vwetlands. vwetlands. vwetlands vwetlands vould be filled or not.			13 Category III and IV wetlands.				
Notes: Stream and wetland information was revised for the Final FIS based on additional detail in the permit application. Information in the							

**Notes**: Stream and wetland information was revised for the Final EIS based on additional detail in the permit application. Information in the permit application was used for access road locations.



Phase 2 Draft EIS			F	Final EIS (Permit App	blication)	
Newcastle Segme	nt (No Code Variance	-)				
	Data Source(s)			Data Source(s)		
The Watershed Company. 2016. City of Newcastle Critical Areas Delineation Report. Prepared for Puget Sound Energy – Energize Eastside Project. May 2016.			<ul> <li>The Watershed Company. 2016. City of Newcastle Critical Areas Delineation Report. Prepared for Puget Sound Energy – Energize Eastside Project. May 2016.</li> <li>PSE. 2017. Emails from Bradley Strauch, Energize Eastside Project Manager, PSE, to Reema Shakra and Mark Johnson, ESA. December 1, 2017.</li> </ul>			
Pole Data	Wetlands and Streams Data	Construction Access Data	Pole Data	Wetlands and Streams Data	Construction Access Data	
No poles in critical areas or buffers.	2 unnamed streams and May Creek. One Category IV and one Category III wetland.	Used general assumptions based on narrative; preliminary access road data provided did not indicate whether wetlands would be filled or not.	No poles would be placed in streams or stream buffers. Number of poles in wetland buffers would be reduced.	3 unnamed streams (one with headwaters in Bellevue was added), May Creek. Two Category III wetlands.	No access roads in wetlands, streams, or buffers.	

**Notes**: In the Newcastle permit application, the wetlands were reclassified using revised Newcastle Critical Areas regulations. Information in the permit application was used for access road locations.

# Final EIS (Permit Application)

#### **Richards Creek Substation**

Data Source(s)	Data Source(s)
• The Watershed Company. 2016a. Tree Inventory: Energize Eastside Project. Includes the following separate reports: City of Bellevue Tree Inventory Report; King County Tree Inventory Report; City of Newcastle Tree Inventory Report; City of Redmond Tree Inventory Report; Segment O Tree Inventory Report; Segment P Tree Inventory Report; and Bypass Routes 1 and 2 Tree Inventory and Analysis Report. Prepared for Puget Sound Energy, Bellevue, WA. Prepared by the Watershed Company, Kirkland, WA. May and July 2016.	<ul> <li>The Watershed Company, 2017 and accompanying GIS data.</li> <li>The Watershed Company. 2017. City of Bellevue Critical Areas Report: Puget Sound Energy – Energize Eastside Project South Bellevue Segment. August 2017.</li> </ul>
• The Watershed Company. 2016b. Tree Inventory: Energize Eastside Project. Includes the following separate reports: City of Bellevue Critical Areas Delineation Report; King County Critical Areas Delineation Report; City of Newcastle Critical Areas Delineation Report; City of Redmond Critical Areas Delineation Report; City of Renton Critical Areas Delineation Report. Prepared for Puget Sound Energy, Bellevue, WA. Prepared by the Watershed Company, Kirkland, WA. May and July 2016.	
<ul> <li>The Watershed Company. 2016c. GIS Dataset labeled as twc_ee_veg_impact_results_20160914. September 14, 2016.</li> </ul>	
Wetland/Stre	Construction Wetland/Stream

Tree Data	Construction Access	Wetland/Stre am Habitat	Tree Data	Construction Access	Wetland/Stream Habitat
173 removed (109 significant, 0 CA, 29 CA buffers).	Used general assumptions since preliminary access road data provided did not indicate whether existing habitat would be impacted. Assumed all new roads were temporary, and would be restored per Bellevue Code requirements.		178 removed (108 significant, 23 trees in critical areas, 76 tress in critical area buffers)	No additional information added.	2.8 acres of habitat would be removed. More specific information on impacts from realigning Stream C. (see Water section)

**Notes:** Tree removal numbers in the Phase 2 Draft EIS column reflect corrected numbers (see Chapter 3, *Errata,* of the Final EIS). Therefore, they are slightly different than what was presented in the Phase 2 Draft EIS.



**Plants and Animals** 

Phase 2 Draft EIS	Final EIS (Permit Application)						
Bellevue Central Segment							
Data Source(s)	Data Source(s)						
• The Watershed Company. 2016a. Tree Inventory: Energize Eastside Project. Includes the following separate reports: City of Bellevue Tree Inventory Report; King County Tree Inventory Report; City of Newcastle Tree Inventory Report; City of Redmond Tree Inventory Report; Segment O Tree Inventory Report; Segment P Tree Inventory Report; and Bypass Routes 1 and 2 Tree Inventory and Analysis Report. Prepared for Puget Sound Energy, Bellevue, WA. Prepared by the Watershed Company, Kirkland, WA. May and July 2016.	<ul> <li>The Watershed Company, 2017 and accompanying GIS data.</li> </ul>						
• The Watershed Company. 2016b. Tree Inventory: Energize Eastside Project. Includes the following separate reports: City of Bellevue Critical Areas Delineation Report; King County Critical Areas Delineation Report; City of Newcastle Critical Areas Delineation Report; City of Redmond Critical Areas Delineation Report; City of Renton Critical Areas Delineation Report. Prepared for Puget Sound Energy, Bellevue, WA. Prepared by the Watershed Company, Kirkland, WA. May and July 2016.							
<ul> <li>The Watershed Company. 2016c. GIS Dataset labeled as twc_ee_veg_impact_results_20160914. September 14, 2016.</li> </ul>							

Tree Data	Construction Access	Wetland/Stream Habitat	Tree Data	Construction Access	Wetland/Stream Habitat
599 removed (232 significant, 50 CA, 152 CA buffer).	Used general assumptions based on narrative; preliminary access road data provided did not indicate whether wetland habitat would be filled or not.	No impacts to terrestrial species are expected and stream habitat would not be substantially affected.	642 removed (234 significant, 68 CA, 172 CA buffer).	No additional information added.	No additional information added.

**Notes**: Tree removal numbers changed primarily due to an increase in tree removal near the Lakeside substation. Additional trees would be removed from critical areas and critical area buffers. Tree removal numbers in the Phase 2 Draft EIS column reflect corrected numbers (see Chapter 3, *Errata,* of the Final EIS). Therefore, they are slightly different than what was presented in the Phase 2 Draft EIS.



**Plants and Animals** 

# Final EIS (Permit Application)

# **Bellevue South Segment**

	Data Source(s)	Data Source(s)
•	The Watershed Company. 2016a. Tree Inventory: Energize Eastside Project. Includes the following separate reports: City of Bellevue Tree Inventory Report; King County Tree Inventory Report; City of Newcastle Tree Inventory Report; City of Redmond Tree Inventory Report; Segment O Tree Inventory Report; Segment P Tree Inventory Report; and Bypass Routes 1 and 2 Tree Inventory and Analysis Report. Prepared for Puget Sound Energy, Bellevue, WA. Prepared by the Watershed Company, Kirkland, WA. May and July 2016.	<ul> <li>Energize Eastside Vegetation Management Plan, August 30, 2017.</li> </ul>
•	The Watershed Company. 2016b. Tree Inventory: Energize Eastside Project. Includes the following separate reports: City of Bellevue Critical Areas Delineation Report; King County Critical Areas Delineation Report; City of Newcastle Critical Areas Delineation Report; City of Redmond Critical Areas Delineation Report; City of Renton Critical Areas Delineation Report. Prepared for Puget Sound Energy, Bellevue, WA. Prepared by the Watershed Company, Kirkland, WA. May and July 2016. The Watershed Company. 2016c. GIS Dataset labeled as twc_ee_veg_impact_results_20160914. September 14, 2016.	

Tree Data	Construction Access	Wetland/Stream Habitat	Tree Data	Construction Access	Wetland/Stream Habitat
1,032 removed (449 significant, 4 in CA, 76 in CA buffers).	Used general assumptions based on narrative; preliminary access road data provided did not indicate whether wetlands would be filled or not.	No impacts to terrestrial species are expected and stream habitat would not be substantially affected.	1,030 trees removed (442 significant, 3 in CA, 69 in CA buffers)	No changes.	No changes in this chapter.

**Notes**: Tree removal numbers in the Phase 2 Draft EIS column reflect corrected numbers (see Chapter 3, *Errata,* of the Final EIS). Therefore, they are slightly different than what was presented in the Phase 2 Draft EIS.



Phase 2 Draft EIS	Final EIS (Permit Application)			
Newcastle Segment (No Code Variance)				
Data Source(s)	Data Source(s)			
<ul> <li>The Watershed Company. 2016a. Tree Inventory: Energize Eastside Project. Includes the following separate reports: City of Bellevue Tree Inventory Report; King County Tree Inventory Report; City of Newcastle Tree</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The Watershed Company, 2017 and accompanying GIS data.</li> <li>Newcostle CLIP No Variance (C. 2). Significant Tree.</li> </ul>			
Inventory Report; City of Redmond Tree Inventory Report; Segment O Tree Inventory Report; Segment P Tree Inventory Report; and Bypass Routes 1 and 2 Tree Inventory and Analysis Report. Prepared for Puget Sound Energy, Bellevue,	<ul> <li>Newcastle CUP No Variance (C-2), Significant Tree Inventory.</li> <li>The Watershed Company. 2017. City of Newcastle</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>WA. Prepared by the Watershed Company, Kirkland, WA. May and July 2016.</li> <li>The Watershed Company. 2016b. Tree Inventory: Energize Eastside Project. Includes the following separate reports: City of Bellevue Critical Areas Delineation Report; King County Critical Areas Delineation Report; City of</li> </ul>	Critical Areas Report: Puget Sound Energy – Energize Eastside Project South Bellevue Segment. November 2017.			
Newcastle Critical Areas Delineation Report; City of Redmond Critical Areas Delineation Report; City of Renton Critical Areas Delineation Report. Prepared for Puget Sound Energy, Bellevue, WA. Prepared by the Watershed Company, Kirkland, WA. May and July 2016.	• The Watershed Company. November 8, 2017. Addendum to the Newcastle Critical Areas Report for the Puget Sound Energy Energize Eastside Project.			
The Watershed Company 2016c, GIS Dataset labeled as				

• The Watershed Company. 2016c. GIS Dataset labeled as twc\_ee\_veg\_impact\_results\_20160914. September 14, 2016.

Wetland/Stream Wetland/Stream Construction Construction **Tree Data Tree Data** Habitat Access Habitat Access No impacts to 301 removed (33 Used general 244 removed (30 No changes No changes. terrestrial species significant, two in assumptions based significant, 0 in CA, are expected and CA, 57 in CA buffer). on narrative; 21 in CA buffer) stream habitat preliminary access would not be road data provided substantially did not indicate affected. whether wetlands would be filled or not.

**Notes**: Tree removal numbers in the Phase 2 Draft EIS column reflect corrected numbers (see Chapter 3, *Errata,* of the Final EIS). Therefore, they are slightly different than what was presented in the Phase 2 Draft EIS.



Phase 2 Draft EIS	Final EIS (Permit Application)
Richards Creek Substation	
Data Source(s)	Data Source(s)
• The Watershed Company, 2016. GIS Dataset labeled as twc_ee_veg_impact_results_20160914. September 14, 2016.	<ul> <li>Energize Eastside Vegetation Management Plan, August 30, 2017.</li> </ul>
	• The Watershed Company, 2017 and accompanying GIS data.
GHG Emissions	GHG Emissions
• 173 trees for removal.	• 178 trees for removal.
Notes: Tree removal numbers only increased slightly.	
Bellevue Central Segment	
Data Source(s)	Data Source(s)
• The Watershed Company, 2016. GIS Dataset labeled as twc_ee_veg_impact_results_20160914. September 14, 2016.	<ul> <li>Energize Eastside Vegetation Management Plan, August 30, 2017.</li> </ul>
	• The Watershed Company, 2017 and accompanying GIS data.
GHG Emissions	GHG Emissions
599 trees for removal	642 trees for removal
Notes: Tree removal numbers increased somewhat.	



Phase 2 Draft EIS	Final EIS (Permit Application)		
Bellevue South Segment			
Data Source(s)	Data Source(s)		
<ul> <li>The Watershed Company. 2016. Tree Inventory Excel Database titled Willow, Oak, Richards, Bypass_EIS Segments w VIA</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Energize Eastside Vegetation Management Plan, August 30, 2017.</li> </ul>		
Result. Dated September 9, 2016.	• The Watershed Company, 2017 and accompanying GIS data.		
GHG Emissions	GHG Emissions		
• 1,032 trees for removal.	• 1,030 trees for removal.		
Notes: Tree removal numbers only decreased slightly.			
Newcastle Segment (No Code Variance)			
Data Source(s)	Data Source(s)		
<ul> <li>The Watershed Company, 2016. GIS Dataset labeled as twc ee veg impact results 20160914. September 14, 2016.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Energize Eastside Vegetation Management Plan, August 30, 2017.</li> </ul>		
	• The Watershed Company, 2017 and accompanying GIS data.		
GHG Emissions	GHG Emissions		
301 trees for removal.	244 trees for removal.		
Notes: Tree removal numbers decreased somewhat.			





	Phase 2 Draft EIS		Final EIS (Permit Application)	
	Richards Creek Substation			
	Data Source(s)		Data Source(s)	
Recreation	The Watershed Company. 2016c. GIS Dataset labeled as twc_ee_veg_impact_results_20160914. September 14, 2016.		<ul> <li>Energize Eastside Vegetation Management Plan, August 30, 2017.</li> <li>The Watershed Company, 2017 and accompanying GIS data.</li> </ul>	
	Pole Data	Tree Data	Pole Data	Tree Data
	Chestnut Hill Academy: No poles existing or proposed on the school site.	Trees would be removed on the adjacent substation site, but they would not be visible from the school.	Chestnut Hill Academy: No poles existing or proposed on the school site.	Trees would be removed on the adjacent Lakeside and Richards Creek substations that may be visible from the school.



# Phase 2 Draft EIS

# Final EIS (Permit Application)

# **Bellevue South Segment**

-			
Data Source	(s)	Data Source(s)	
The Watershed Company. 2016c. GIS Dataset labeled as twc_ee_veg_impact_results_20160914. September 14, 2016.		Energize Eastside Vegetation Management Plan, August 30, 2017. The Watershed Company, 2017 and accompanying GIS data.	
Pole Data	Tree Data	Pole Data	Tree Data
Summary: 32 poles would be removed and replaced with 17 poles in recreation areas (number in Coal Creek Natural Area not specified). Tyee Middle School: 2 60-foot H-frames replaced with a 100-monopole. Somerset North Slope Open Space: No existing poles, one 85-foot pole placed within park and 1 to the adjacent parcel (2 total). Somerset Recreation Club: 2 new taller poles in place of existing 2. Forest Hill Neighborhood Park & Open Space: 2 60-foot H-frame poles replaced with 2 85-foot tall monopoles. Coal Creek Natural Area: existing pairs of 60- foot H-frames would be replaced with 100- foot monopoles or 2 85-foot tall poles (number not specified). Newport Hills Mini Park: 2 sets of 3 poles would be replaced with 2 85-foot tall poles.	Summary: 77-87 trees removed in specific recreation areas. Tyee Middle School: 17 removed. Somerset North Slope Open Space: One tree removed. Somerset Recreation Club: 5- 10 removed. Forest Hill Neighborhood Park & Open Space: 14 trees removed. Coal Creek Natural Area: 35 trees removed. Newport Hills Mini Park: 5-10 trees removed.	<ul> <li>Summary: 32 poles would be removed and replaced with 16 poles in recreation areas.</li> <li>Tyee Middle School: The more northern 60-foot H-frame replaced with a 100-foot monopole, the other replaced with 2 110-foot monopoles.</li> <li>Somerset North Slope Open Space: No poles existing, and none would be placed within or adjacent to.</li> <li>Somerset Recreation Club: 2 90-foot poles in place of existing 2.</li> <li>Forest Hill Neighborhood Park &amp; Open Space: 2 60-foot H-frame poles replaced with 2 90-foot tall monopoles.</li> <li>Coal Creek Natural Area: Ten e pairs of 60-foot H-frames would be replaced with 100-foot monopoles or 2 110-foot tall poles (20 poles would be replaced with 7 poles total).</li> <li>Newport Hills Mini Park: 2 sets of 3 poles would be replaced with 2 110-foot tall poles.</li> </ul>	Summary: 60-75 trees for removal in specific recreation areas. Tyee Middle School: 12 trees for removal. Somerset North Slope Open Space: One tree for removal. Somerset Recreation Club: 4-8 trees for removal. Forest Hill Neighborhood Park & Open Space: 13 trees for removal. Coal Creek Natural Area: 25 – 30 trees for removal. Newport Hills Mini Park: 5-10 trees for removal.

**Notes**: Limited changes to pole numbers, pole heights, and tree removal numbers.



Recreation

Phase 2 Draft EIS		Final EIS (Permit Application)		
Newcastle Segment (No Code V	/ariance)			
Data Source(s)		Data Source(s)		
<ul> <li>The Watershed Company. 2016c. GIS Dataset labeled as twc_ee_veg_impact_results_20160914. September 14, 2016.</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Energize Eastside Vegetation Management Plan, August 30, 2017.</li> <li>The Watershed Company, 2017 and accompanying GIS data.</li> </ul>		
Pole Data	Tree Data	Pole Data	Tree Data	
Summary: There would be approximately half the number of poles. Waterline, Cross Town, China Creek (proposed), and Olympus Trails: Existing pairs of 60-foot H- frames would be replaced with 2 85-foot monopoles (number not specified). May Creek Natural Area: Two pairs of 60-foot H-frames replaced with 2 85-foot monopoles.	Summary: Approximately 280 trees for removal. Waterline, Cross Town, China Creek (proposed), and Olympus Trails: Approximately 185 trees for removal. May Creek Natural Area: 94 trees for removal.	Summary: There would be approximately half the number of poles. Waterline, Cross Town, China Creek (proposed), and Olympus Trails: Existing pairs of 60-foot H-frames would be replaced with 2 95-foot monopoles (number not specified). May Creek Natural Area: The two pairs of 60-foot H-frames would be replaced with 2 95- foot monopoles.	Summary: Approximately 215 trees for removal. Waterline, Cross Town, China Creek (proposed), and Olympus Trails: Approximately 170 trees for removal. May Creek Natural Area: Approximately 45 trees for removal.	

Notes: Fewer trees would be removed in the May Creek Natural Area.



Recreation

Phase 2 Draft EIS	Final EIS (Permit Application)
Richards Creek Substation	
Data Source(s)	Data Source(s)
• The Watershed Company. 2016c. GIS Dataset labeled as twc_ee_veg_impact_results_20160914. September 14, 2016.	<ul><li>Energize Eastside Vegetation Management Plan, August 30, 2017.</li><li>The Watershed Company, 2017 and accompanying GIS data.</li></ul>
Trees Removed	Trees Removed
173	178
Bellevue Central Segment	
Data Source(s)	Data Source(s)
• The Watershed Company. 2016c. GIS Dataset labeled as twc_ee_veg_impact_results_20160914. September 14, 2016.	<ul><li>Energize Eastside Vegetation Management Plan, August 30, 2017.</li><li>The Watershed Company, 2017 and accompanying GIS data.</li></ul>
Trees Removed	Trees Removed
599	642



Phase 2 Draft EIS	Final EIS (Permit Application)
Bellevue South Segment	
Data Source(s)	Data Source(s)
• The Watershed Company. 2016c. GIS Dataset labeled as twc_ee_veg_impact_results_20160914. September 14, 2016.	<ul><li>Energize Eastside Vegetation Management Plan, August 30, 2017.</li><li>The Watershed Company, 2017 and accompanying GIS data.</li></ul>
Trees Removed	Trees Removed
1,032	1,030
Newcastle Segment (No Code Variance)	
Data Source(s)	Data Source(s)
• The Watershed Company. 2016c. GIS Dataset labeled as twc_ee_veg_impact_results_20160914. September 14, 2016.	<ul><li>Energize Eastside Vegetation Management Plan, August 30, 2017.</li><li>The Watershed Company, 2017 and accompanying GIS data.</li></ul>
Trees Removed	Trees Removed
301	244



