

Arista CloudVision WiFi vs Juniper Networks-Mist Cloud Competitive Performance Assessment



February 2021 DR210125G

Contents

1.0 Executive Summary	3
2.0 Test Summary	5
3.0 Introduction	6
3.1 Arista CloudVision WiFi (CV WiFi)	6
3.2 Mist Cloud	8
4.0 How We Did It	9
5.0 Client Connectivity Issues	11
5.1 DNS Server Invalid Address	11
5.2 DHCP Server Unresponsive	16
5.3 Incorrect PSK (Password)	21
5.4 RADIUS Server Unresponsive	24
6.0 Client / Application / Network Performance Issues	27
6.1 Poor Coverage / Low RSSI	27
6.2 High Channel Congestion / High Retry Rate	29
6.3 Poor Application Performance	32
About Miercom	34
Use of This Report	34

1.0 Executive Summary

Cloud-based networks are always striving for optimized efficiency, provisioning, monitoring and troubleshooting for more cohesive network management. Having a self-driven network allows it to perform automatic root cause analysis and offer remediation for found issues. To accomplish this, vendors began building their platforms using Artificial Intelligence / Machine Learning (AI/ML) implementations. This approach allows for faster, more cost-effective resolution to common, and unique, connectivity and performance issues in WiFi networks.

Arista CloudVision WiFi (CV WiFi) is a software-driven cloud platform built on a programmable, resilient and self-healing structure. It allows for a stateful network view and class-based automation across cloud networks to reduce the need for custom internal development. As a turnkey solution, Arista CV WiFi performs network-wide optimization, orchestration and automation. Its AI/ML, real-time telemetry offers predictive and enhanced root cause analysis for remediation.

Arista engaged Miercom to independently assess the CV WiFi solution in a realistic environment to verify its claimed functionality of root causes analysis, automatic packet capture, and automatic remediation recommendations in comparison to a reputable competitor – the Juniper Networks Mist Cloud platform. We observed the following key findings:

Key Findings

- **AI/ML Auto Root Cause Analysis.** Arista consistently managed to correctly identify root causes for common connectivity and performance issues. Mist failed in multiple tests to correctly identify root causes for the same issues.
- **AI/ML Remediation Recommendations.** Arista Inference Engine managed to offer reasonable remediation recommendations for all performance issues introduced. Mist's Marvis Actions failed, in all test scenarios, to offer automatic remediation recommendations.
- **Application Performance/Awareness/Assurance.** Arista's Network Assurance approach considers L1-L7 and network services (e.g., DNS, DHCP). Mist's Network Assurance mainly focuses on L1-L2 and network services without meaningful attention to application performance. This major architectural limitation will not be remedied until Mist implements a DPI on their APs, train application flow models and other means to accomplish performance optimization.
- Workflows. Arista's troubleshooting workflows are efficient and intuitive, requiring fewer clicks to get to root cause and remediation recommendations. Mist has a straightforward interface with quick Insights, but details are not as upfront and are delayed. The Marvis Actions interface was not helpful; it does not register issues that were tested and identified in other parts of the platform. In fact, the only issue identified by Marvis Actions was that APs were offline. The Mist SLE Dashboard requires manual tuning, provides cryptic fault messaging and, at times, incorrect or missing automatic root cause analysis.

• **ML Algorithms.** Arista deploys ML algorithms, such as Support Vector Machines (ML) for the Application Quality of Experience Dashboard and Decision Tree (ML) for the Inference Engine. This comprehensive ML approach proved to be more effective at determining root cause and offering remediation recommendations for issues than those deployed by Mist.

Based on our findings, we found the Arista CloudVision WiFi platform to be proficient in supporting and troubleshooting WiFi network connectivity and performance. We proudly award the Arista CloudVision WiFi solution the *Miercom Performance Verified* certification.

Robert Smithers CEO, Miercom



2.0 Test Summary

Test	Ari	sta	Mist		
	(Connectivity Issues			
	Auto Root Cause Analysis	Auto Packet Capture	Auto Root Cause Analysis	Auto Packet Capture	
DNS Server Incorrect Address	PASS	PASS	Limited ^{1,2}	FAIL	
DHCP Server Unresponsive	PASS	PASS	Limited ²	FAIL	
Incorrect Password (PSK)	PASS	PASS Limited ^{2,3}		FAIL	
RADIUS Server Unresponsive	PASS	PASS	Limited ²	FAIL	
	F	Performance Issues			
	Auto Root Cause Analysis	Auto Remediation Recommendation	Auto Root Cause Analysis	Auto Remediation Recommendation	
Poor Coverage/Low RSSI PASS		PASS	Limited ²	FAIL	
Channel Congestion/High Retry Rate	PASS	PASS	Limited ^{2, 4}	FAIL	
Poor Application Performance	PASS	PASS	Not Supported	Not Supported	

Summary of Arista CloudVision vs Juniper Networks-Mist Cloud Connectivity and Performance

¹ No indication of DNS issue in SLE dashboard.

² Marvis Actions did not identify the issue.

³ Did not specify the root cause as incorrect PSK. Lists cause as "WPA 4way handshake timeout(15)".

⁴ Incorrectly listed Client Count as the main cause of High Channel Capacity when, in fact, the cause was due to Client Usage for a single client.

3.0 Introduction

Artificial Intelligence (AI) systems use automated algorithms that imitate human intelligence and do not require pre-programming (e.g. Siri). Machine Learning (ML) is a sub-field of AI that focuses on refining the algorithm based on historical data for enhanced predictability (e.g. Google Search).

Testing analyzed and compared the detection and remediation capabilities of Arista CloudVision and Mist Cloud – solutions that perform based on AI and ML advancements.

We evaluated Arista and Mist platforms for the following:

- Client Connectivity Issues
 - DNS Server Invalid Address
 - o DHCP Server Unresponsive
 - Incorrect PSK (Password)
 - RADIUS Server Unresponsive
- Client / Network / Application Performance Issues
 - Poor coverage / Low RSSI
 - High Channel Congestion / High Retry Rate
 - Poor Application Performance

3.1 Arista CloudVision WiFi (CV WiFi)

CloudVision WiFi (CV WiFi) is an Arista cloud-native approach to enterprise-level wireless networks. Its simplified AP management allows for centralized policy and provisioning functionality at the network edge. By separating management and control planes and having a flexible data plane for AP traffic redirection, Arista provides a robust WiFi network with high availability – making it a seamless and scalable network for up to thousands of APs.





Cognitive Management Plane

The Cognitive Management Plane simplifies configuration and remediation and delivers robust telemetry using Al and ML techniques. The resulting root cause analysis and proactive troubleshooting reduces cost and time to resolve WiFi issues. This plane runs on Arista's NetDB – a state-based and cloud-hosted database that runs in real-time across the network for both wired and wireless devices for cognitive analytic data collection. These capabilities can be enhanced with third-party integrations with applications like OpenConfig and Arista's REST API framework.

Process	Description
Network Baselining	Baselines network behavior and automatically detects and highlights algorithms.
Root Cause Analysis Engine	Automatically detects and classifies WiFi clients' connection failures real-time.
Single Client Inferencing	Identifies clients facing poor QoE, based on RF, network and application cause analysis for specific clients.
Automatic Packet Capture	Proactively captures packet traces to help diagnose problems; traces failures or symptoms to simplify troubleshooting later.
Client Emulation and Network Profiling	Takes advantage of the multi-function radio; is present in most Arista WiFi to run a wide variety of tests and proactively identify problems before users do.

Wireless Intrusion Prevention

CV WiFi uses a multi-function radio for a dedicated Wireless Intrusion Prevention System (WIPS) sensor for detecting and blocking threats in real-time, based on behavioral-based detection for zero-day protection against exploits, tools and their signatures.



Secure Client Access

Clients have flexible access into enterprise WiFi networks using CV WiFi's integration with leading identity management solutions (e.g. Aruba ClearPass, ForeScout NAC, Cisco ISE). Arista's Guest Manager provide multiple ways for enterprise guess access.

Client Journey

The Client Journey dashboard shows a timeline view of events to see which issues affect a particular client for a given time. This contextual view helps administrators quickly find the root of a wireless problem and take steps to mitigate.

3.2 Mist Cloud

Using microservices cloud architecture, Mist Cloud provides a scalable and flexible wired and wireless solution for mission-critical operations involving connectivity, security and performance. Its subscription services include: Wi-Fi Assurance, Wired Assurance, WAN Assurance, AI-Driven Virtual Assistant, Premium Analytics, User Engagement, and Asset Vulnerability.

For troubleshooting, Mist offers its inline AI engine to adapt in real-time to user, device and application behavior and changes for predictable and reliable Wi-Fi. This monitoring tool sends alerts when service levels degrade and offers remediation for proactive mitigation.

Service Level Expectations (SLE)

Mist's SLE dashboard presents results from its Predictive Analytics and Correlation Engine (PACE) – a patentpending, machine learning technology that performs and correlates dynamic wireless event collection for root cause detection. The SLE dashboard gives an insightful look at each mobile user's RF packets from the cloud, displaying issues and aiding in troubleshooting.

SLE Thresholds

Thresholds can be set for functions that affect performance (e.g. time to connect, coverage, capacity), when exceeded or fall short, helps the administrator determine how this affects the wireless network and its devices.

Dynamic PCAP (dPCAP)

Mist automatically detects and captures network anomalies in real-time packet captures to help remediate issues while saving time and cost of manual involvement.

For more information, visit: <u>https://www.mist.com/learning-wlan/</u>

4.0 How We Did It

Using a realistic network environment, we tested the Arista CloudVision and Mist Cloud platforms. Miercom independently assesses security and performance products for their claimed functionality and compares solutions to determine strengths and unique features.

Test Bed Overview



The test bed consisted of IEEE 802.11ax clients (10 Samsung S10e, 10 OnePlus8, 1 OnePlus7, 1 MacBook Pro, 1 Windows Client) and an 802.11ac client (1 MacBook Air), the Arista C-230 and Mist AP43 access points. Traffic is generated through an Arista 720XP-24ZY4 switch via an Ixia IxChariot server. Spectrum analysis was performed using a Wi-Spy / Chanalyzer spectrum analyzer. All settings used were set the default (no tagging).

Solution	Version
Arista CloudVision	9.0.0-54
Mist Cloud	0.8.21202

Test Tools

The following tools are a representative list of software tools and exploits we used to carry out our analysis.

Ixia IxChariot (v. 7.30 SP4)

ÍXÍA A Keysight Business

Wi-Spy

Simulates real-world applications for predicting device and system performance under practical load conditions. It has been used to accurately access the performance characteristics of any application running on wired and wireless networks.

Scans and displays all activity in the 2.4-GHz or 5-GHz spectrum to identify interference, find the ideal channel, and analyze signal quality.

Licensing	
Arista	Only a single cloud license per AP is required to enable all available features in the Arista WiFi solution: <i>Cognitive Management Subscription</i> .
Mist	 Multiple licenses are required per AP to enable all available features in the Mist solution. The system used for this test project had all available WiFi licenses installed: Asset Visibility Premium Analytics vBLE Engagement
	Virtual Network AssistantWiFi Management and Assurance

5.0 Client Connectivity Issues

5.1 DNS Server Invalid Address

Using 1 AP and 1 Client, we locally configured an incorrect DNS server address on a client (e.g. MacBook) and attempted to connect it to the test SSID/radio. After several minutes, of the client connecting, we use the tested solution's interface to determine if the issue was automatically detected, analyzed and captured.

Arista: PASS

About 5 minutes post-event, the Arista Connectivity interface (Dashboard > Network > Connectivity) displayed the "Client Journey", where we could view/click on a client experiencing any DNS issues.



One client had a DNS issue and when clicked showed the "Client Connection Logs".

We view the Client Connection Logs to see the DNS server address used by the client.

Connectivity > Client Journey N	etwork 💌	
Cients	Name Usor Name MAC Address IP-v4 Address	
R No pe	arformance issues found.	
Client Connection Logs	• 🗄	All SSIDs ▼ 2.4 & 5 OHz ▼ 1 week ▼ ピ
Jan 15, 2021 8:40:35 AM 🕚	DNS Failure IPv4 No reply was received from the DNS server View Madast Trace DNS Server IPv4:	30 BSSD Pr AP Name Li SSD 100 Channel *7 Location
Jan 13, 2021 2:21:50 PM 🌰	DNS Failure IPv4 No reply was received from the DNS server : View Padaist Trace DNS Server IPv4; :	30 H6/24 OD 2210 BSSD Per-C220 C22F AP Name LAB WLAN POCT SSD 157 Oftermel */LAB Performance Location

We saw there were two instances of DNS Failure IPv4 associated with this client. We clicked on "View Packet Trace" for further investigation.



Arista Packets showed frame view of packets. We saw the client sending DNS packets (Tx) but not receiving them (Rx). When clicking on an event, we saw the DNS query.

After resolving the DNS misconfiguration, we saw that there was no longer any failure displayed in the Client Journey.

Client Event Logs 🔻			You are screen sharing Client MAC A
Jan 15, 2021 8:59:11 AM 🏾 🗨	Successfully Conne AAA Latency: Average Latencies (IPv4): DF Average Latencies (IPv6): DF DHCP Server IPv4: 10 DNS Server IPv4: 75	acted ICP: 30ms, DNS: 20ms ICP:, DNS:	
	Jan 15, 2021 8:59:11 AM	Network The client successfully received a response for its IPv4 DNS query.	
	Jan 15, 2021 8:59:11 AM	Network The client started using IP 10/	
	Jan 15, 2021 8:59:09 AM	Network Client has received IP address 10.	

The client was shown to successfully connect after the DNS misconfiguration was fixed.

Mist: Limited

Using the SLE dashboard, we viewed "Successful Connects" to observe any issues. After 5 minutes postconnect, we did not see any indication of a DNS issue or other connectivity issues.

Aonitor Wireless	Wired Insights	site • Today •	
Users System changes			퓩
Success Rate Values			⊒ Setting
Time to Connect 🐵	100%	1000 Association Internet Services Authoritation DHCP	-% -% -%
Successful Connects 🛛	100% success	1000 Australiation 2019 Dec P	-% -% -%
Coverage 🛞	100% success	1078 Agreentity Uplink 579 Develoting 28 Weak Signal	-% -% -%
Roaming @	success	Slow OKC Rearrs Failed To Fast Rearrs Slow 11 Firearrs Slow Standard Rearr	5
Throughput 🐵	100% success	100% Device Capability 50% Capacity Capacity	-% -% -%
Capacity 🕲	100% success	1000 Non WFi Interference Som WFI Interference On Client Usage	-% -% -% -%
AP Uptime 💿	95% success	100% Site Down AP Reboat Strike Org	0% 100% 0%

No events were observed under the Successful Connects view for wireless monitoring in the SLE dashboard.

We asked Marvis, "any clients having trouble connecting?" to find potential connectivity issues, and we saw 1 out of 20 clients had a connection problem.

any clients having troub	le connecting?		
STATUSOE Clients W	ITH Site " DURING "Today"		
, shireson cicito in	initiate boland rouby		
ow would you rate my re	ponse? 101010101 TELL ME MORE		
1 out of 20 clients hav	e connection problems		
Wants cooled by constation	n to Guerrandi d'Comment and blance at the site		
	n to successful connect problems at the site 🛛 🖤		
Cherris ranked by correlatio	Consideration of the Parkins		
Correlated with Success	Correlated with Failure		
Correlated with Success	Correlated with Failure	D. Mildium	gree of Correlation
Correlated with Success	Correlated with Failure	D. MERIUM	gree of Correlation
Correlated with Success	Correlated with Failure	DA MILCIUM	ogree of Correlation
Correlated with Success Coc Galaxy-S10e Galaxy-S10e	Correlated with Failure	D. Medium	ogree of Correlation cc: Service Levels
Correlated with Success Correlated with Success Galaxy-S10e Galaxy-S10e OnePlus85G	Correlated with Fahre	MECKUM CA	cc Service Levels Insights
Correlated with Success CC Galaxy-S10e Galaxy-S10e Galaxy-S10e Galaxy-S10e	Correlated with Falure Weak	мени	cc Service Levels Insights Troubleshoot
CC Correlated with Success CC Correlated with Success Galaxy-S10e Galaxy-S10e Galaxy-S10e Galaxy-S10e Galaxy-S10e Galaxy-S10e Galaxy-S10e Galaxy-S10e Galaxy-S10e	Conduted with Falue	мењи	egree of Correlation cc: Service Levels Insights Troubleshoot
Correlated with Success Correlated with Success Galaxy-S10e Galaxy-S10e OnePlus85G Galaxy-S10e OnePlus85G Galaxy-S10e	Constated with Falue	A MERIM	egree of Correlation cc: Service Levels Insights Troubleshoot
Correlated with Success Correlated with Success Galaxy-510e Galaxy-510e OnePusB5G Galaxy-510e Galaxy-510e Galaxy-510e Galaxy-510e Galaxy-510e Galaxy-510e	Conduted with Fallow	мени Д	gree of Correlation cc Service Levels Insights Troubleshoot
Correlated with Success Correlated with Success Correlated with Success Concepts of the Concepts Concepts of the Concepts of the Concepts Concepts of the Concepts of the Concepts of the Concepts of the Concepts Concepts of the Concepts of	Conducted with Fakure	менам	cc Service Levels Insights Troubleshoot
Correlated with Success Correlated with Success Calaxy-510e Galaxy-510e Galaxy-510e Galaxy-510e Galaxy-510e Galaxy-510e Galaxy-510e Galaxy-510e Galaxy-510e	Conducted with Fallow	иска С	cc Service Levels Insights Troubleshoot

By clicking on the failed client and selecting "Insights", we were directed to Client Events.

Monitor	Wireless Wired Insig	client Intel Corpor	rate 💌 12:00 am, Jan	14 — 8:40 am, Jan 14 ╺			
	Intel	Corporate					
12:00 AM Jan 14 - 08	8:40 AM Jan 14	2:00 am	(drag an	area of interest to Zoom In) 4:00 am	6.00 am		8:00 an
Total bytes Ot Mises 2 Mis More 1 Mis							Data Rate
		3-30 am - 3-3	0 am Jan 14: Bites: no data 0	00 Mbos			
		5.20 am - 5.3	o am, jan 14. bytes. no data, o	.oo mups			
Client Even	1 ts 45 Total 3 G	ood 0 Neutral 42 Bad					11
DNS Failure	d4	08:21:03.827 AM, Jan 14					
DNS Failure	d4	08:21:03.827 AM, Jan 14	AP	d4:	Client IP Address	10	
DNS Failure	d4	08:21:03.827 AM, Jan 14	VLAN	1	DNS Servers	5.	
DNS Failure	d4	08:21:03.827 AM, Jan 14	Description	Failing DNS query from	Count	1	
DNS Failure	d4:	08:21:03.679 AM, Jan 14		10. to 5. for "client.wns.windows.com" on vlan 1, id			
DNS Failure	d4:	08:21:03.632 AM, Jan 14	Throttle End Time	08:21:03 AM. Jan 14			
DNS Failure	d4:	08:21:03.213 AM, Jan 14	THOUSE LINE THIS	Core rido rang jan rid			
DNS Failure	d4:2	08:21:03.019 AM, Jan 14					
DNS Failure	d4:	08:21:02.806 AM, Jan 14					
	44.5	00.01.00.007.004.00.00					

Under Insights, we saw a list of Client Events where there were 42 instances of DNS failure with the AP used. The error was labeled "Failing DNS query", but there was no packet capture available for this anomaly.

Mist dPCAP failed to performed packet captures for this connectivity issue. According to their documentation, Mist dPCAP is enabled by default, and it should automatically capture network anomalies such as DNS, DHCP, and PSK issues. However, this was not the case.

For more documentation on Mist dPCAP, visit: <u>https://www.mist.com/wireless-packet-captures-troubleshooting-else-fails/</u>

Even when using the Marvis Actions interface, we did not see any automatic root cause analysis or recommended actions given on how to resolve this issue.



The only issues Marvis Actions showed were that the AP was locally offline earlier from an unrelated reboot event. This proves the updates were working, but that Mist simply was not registering the DNS Failure event from the troubleshooting perspective.

After 25 minutes, there was still no indication of a DNS issue or any other connectivity event in the SLE dashboard or Marvis Actions interface.

5.2 DHCP Server Unresponsive

For this test, we use 1 AP and 1 Client. We disconnected/disabled the DHCP server from the test SSID/radio and attempted to connect the client to the test SSID/radio. After several minutes, of the client connecting, we use the tested solution's interface to determine if the issue was automatically detected, analyzed and captured.

Arista: PASS

After 5 minutes, the Arista Connectivity dashboard registered "DHCP Failure for IPv4". We clicked on the client for its details page and view its Client Connection Logs.

Connectivity > Client Journey Network		
Clients Name Clients		
Low data rate - Average data rate of the	a client is below the 20 Mbps Data Rate threshold.	
Client Connection Logs 👻 📋		All SSIDs ▼ 2.4 & 5 GHz ▼ 1 work ▼ 2
Jan 15, 2021 9:14:05 AM	address from the DHOP server.	30 BSSD P AP Reme SSD 100 Chennel */t= Location
Jan 15, 2021 8 42:40 AM	d , 045: 10ms , DNS: ~	20 8530 F AP Name SSD 100 Channel '/L Location

In the Client Connections Logs, we observed that the client did not receive an IPv4 address from the DHCP server. An auto packet capture was generated, which when clicking on its link, brought us to a packet trace in Arista Packets for further analysis.

As with the DNS misconfiguration in <u>Section 5.1</u>, we saw successful connection in the Arista Connectivity dashboard once the issue was resolved.



In the Frame View of Arista Packets we saw the client sending DHCP packets (Tx) but not receiving them (Rx).

Mist: Limited

After several minutes post-event, we did not observe any indication of a DHCP issue or other connectivity issue in the SLE dashboard. This is relatively expected, as the algorithm for event detection results in issues not being displayed in real-time. This is also true for Arista and other leading vendors. We navigated to Client Insights for any details.

12:00 AM Jan 14 - 09			rdae an	area of interest to Zoom in			-	
Total bytes	are ren jun 14	2.00 am	4.00 am		6.00 am		8.50 an	Data Rate
Client Event	ts 48 Total 4	Good 0 Neutral 44 Bad	5:00 am - 5:1	l am, Jan 14: Bytes: no data, 0.	00 Mbps			E
DHCP Timed Out	d4:	09:47:15.951 AM, Jan 14	SSID			Protocol	802.11ac	
DHCP Timed Out	d4	09:46:25.951 AM, Jan 14	Number of Streams	2		VLAN	1	
DNS Failure	d4:	08:21:04.920 AM, Jan 14	Subnet	10.		Band	5 GHz	
DNS Failure	d4:	08:21:04.705 AM, Jan 14	Failure Count	5		Gateways	10	
DNS Failure	d4	08:21:04.034 AM, Jan 14	Transaction ID			Canabilities	80Mbz/40Mbz	
DNS Failure	d4.	08:21:03.844 AM, Jan 14	Thirdectorrib			cupatinees	0044129404412	
DNS Failure	d4::	08:21:03.828 AM, Jan 14	Description	Failing DHCP DISCOVER from cc		Channel		
DNS Failure	d4:	08:21:03.828 AM, Jan 14		vian with Xid				
DNS Failure	d4::	08:21:03.827 AM, Jan 14						

In Client Events, we observed 2 instances of "DHCP Timed Out" described as "Failing DHCP DISCOVER". Like the DNS Failure issue, there was no automatic packet capture available as advertised.

MARVIS		Natural Language Query Language 0 Actions
A any clients having trouble connecting?		
X STATUSOF Clients WITH Site " DURING "Today"		
How would you rate my response? ກໍດີກໍດີດີດີດີ TELL ME MORE		
1 out of 21 clients have connection problems		
Clients ranked by correlation to Successful Connect problems at the site	D	
	Degree of Correlation	
WEAK	MEDIUM	STRONG
da OrnFutSio Galary 5100 Galary 5100 Gal	Service Levels Invigites Traubleintox	

By selecting "Troubleshoot" for the AP with the DHCP issue, we were brought to the Marvis Troubleshooting interface for more details.

TROUBLESHOO	- TC	DURING	Today*					
TROOBLESHOU		DOMING	looay					
w would you rate m	ny response?		TELL ME MORE					
- Assoc/Auth		NS !	AP	RF				
1. AP Uptime Client was connected	connected to "d4 to "d4:	4:	most of the t	ime. The AP was disconnected fo	r a total of 8 hours over the past 10 hours due to u	nreachability. It restarted 2 times primarily due to powe	r cycle. The AP is currently online. Currently the client is	INVESTIGAT
2. Successful The client	Connects failed to connect	95% of the tim	e due to DNS fa	ilures with DNS server 5	cause of other reasons. This problem is client-spec	fic. Most failures across the site occurred on the L	client. The client is currently online.	CLOSE
O Service Li	evels							
Classifier:	S							
O Correlatio	n							3
Updates								
O Events								
Total 48	Good 4	Neutral 0	Bad 44					
EVENT TI	MELINE Gos	id 4 😐 Neutra	/ 0 🔵 Bad 44					
40								
20								
0 12:00 am			<u></u> 2	:00 am	4.00.am	6:00 am	8:00 am	_
EVENT LI	ST							
DHCP TI	med Out	09:47;15.951	DHCP Timed	Out				
DHCP TI	med Out	09:46:25:951	Timestamp	09:47:15.951 AM, jan 14				
Authoria	zation & Assoc	09:45:38.816	AP	d4				
DNS Suc	cess	08:21/14.879	Address					
DNS Fail	lure	08:21:04.920	BSSID	d4:				
DNS Fail	lure	08:21:04.705	SSID	- Com				
DNS Fail	lure	08:21:04.034	Protocol Number of	802.11ac				
ONS Fail	lure	08-21-03-844	Streams					

Under Marvis Troubleshooting, we saw 2 service level problems affecting the client. This was listed as AP Uptime and Successful Connects. This allows you to Investigate for further details. We selected Successful Connects and observed an event list for the DHCP Timed Out events.



Under Marvis Actions, there was no DHCP Failure event recorded.



We followed up with this event after 30 minutes to see if it was detected in the SLE dashboard.

After 30 minutes, and the Mist SLE dashboard registered the DHCP issue. Successful Connects reduced from 100% to 95%. We clicked on DHCP to investigate the root cause analysis.

ПСР							site SSA1 👻 Tod
oot Cause analysi	Select a metric to a	alyze	* * 1				
rvice Level Metrics		Classifiers		Sub-Classifiers			
me to Connect	100%	- Authorization	50%	Stuck	0%		
ccessful Con	95%	Association	0%)	Nack	0%		
werage	100%	DHCP	50%	Unresponsive	100%		
aming				Hammanaha			
roughput	100%			Upresponsive contributed to	failed OHC21006 of the time		
pacity	1039			The total value for (Interso	nsive was 1 attempts		
Uptime	87%			The total failed for an experi	and has i detempts.		
neline @ @	Click or drag to 20	im in					Stuck Nack Unreso
neline 🛛 🖓 G ilures Attemp	Click or drag to zo	in in					Stuck Nack Unresp
eeline Anomalies meline @ G ilures Attemp	Click or drag to zo	m in					🖬 Stuck 🔳 Nack 🔳 Unresp
eline Anomalies meline @ @ Ilures Accomp	Click or drag to zo	min					Stuck Nack Unresp
meline Anomalias imeline @ ⊖ allures Actemp	Click or drag to zo	an In					🖩 Stuck 🖩 Nack 🖩 Unresp

Mist's Root Cause Analysis for the DHCP event showed that a server was unresponsive and failed to this DHCP event for 1 client connection attempt.

5.3 Incorrect PSK (Password)

Using 1 AP and 1 to 4 different clients, we attempted to connect a client to the test SSID/radio with an incorrect Pre-Shared Key (PSK), or password. Clients used were a OnePlus7, MacBook Air, S10e and OnePlus8. After several minutes, of the client connection attempts, we use the tested solution's interface to determine if the issue was automatically detected, analyzed and captured.

Arista: PASS

After 5 minutes, we saw an Authentication Failure for 1 client in the Client Journey dashboard. We used only 1 client for Arista (i.e. OnePlus7) as Arista's Client Journey was able to immediately identify the PSK issue.



The Client Connection Logs showed that the wrong passphrase was used by the OnePlus7 device. We saw an auto packet capture was generated for this event, and its link brought us to the packet trace in Arista Packets (or gave the option to download to a local host) for further analysis.



Arista Packets showed us a Frame View of Packets where the EAPOL 4-Way Handshake does not make it to the third part of the sequence. This pattern indicated an incorrect PSK.

After using a correct PSK, we saw the client failure was	Client Event Logs 🔻	
resolved.		
	Jan 16, 2021 9:33:52 AM 🌰	Successfully Connected AAA Latency: Average Latencies (IPv4): DHCP: 235ms, DNS: 20ms Average Latencies (IPv4): DHCP:, DNS: DHCP Server (IPv4: 70 DNS Server (IPv4: 70

Mist: Limited

Using the SLE dashboard, we observed whether the percentage of "Successful Connects" had reduced as a result of this incorrect PSK issue but saw no indication of a detected event related to the PSK issue or any other connectivity problem.

We then asked the Marvis search bar about potential connectivity issues. Initially, by searching for "any clients having trouble connecting?" was saw a list of failure correlations, but there were no clients related to the incorrect PSK issue. This was when we tried connecting with bad PSKs from additional clients. After more time, we eventually observed a new client registering a connectivity issue.

Monitor	ireless Wired Insig	client 1a:	▼ 12:00 am,	Jan 14 — 11:00 am, Jan 14 🔻			
] Anor	nymous					
12:00 AM Jan 14 - 11:	00 AM Jan 14		(drag at	n area of interest to Zoom In)			
Total bytes	2	00 em	4.00 am	6:00 am	0.00 am		1600 en Data Rate
12:20 am - 12:30 am,	Jan 14: Bytes: no data, ts 18 Total 0 G	0.00 Mbps ood 9 Neutral 9 Bad				0	
Authorization Failure	d4.	10:15:28.742 AM, Jan 14	AP	d4:	Last Association	4.0 sec ago	
Authorization	d4	10:15:15.919 AM, Jan 14	Reason	2	BSSID	d4:	
Failure			RSSI	-52 dBm	SSID		
Authorization Failure	d4.	10:15:02.138 AM, Jan 14	Protocol	802.11ac	Number of Streams	2	
Authorization Failure	d4	10:01:37.754 AM, Jan 14	Band	5 GHz	Capabilities	80Mhz/40Mhz	
Authorization Failure	d4	10:01:23.672 AM, Jan 14	Description	Reason code 2 "Previous authentication no longer valid" WPA 4way	Channel		
Authorization Failure	d4:.	10:01:08.908 AM, Jan 14		nandshake timeout(15).			

The error was listed as an "Authentication Failure", identifying the issue as a "WPA 4way handshake timeout(15)" instead of a more precise PSK failure.

There was no automatic packet capture provided for this event for further investigation.



In the Marvis Actions interface, we saw no registered event related to the PSK Failure.

There was no automatic root cause analysis provided by Marvis for this PSK failure issue.

5.4 RADIUS Server Unresponsive

Using 1 AP and 1 client, we misconfigured a RADIUS server using a bad IP address for the test SSID/radio and attempted to connect a client (e.g. smartphone) to the test SSID/radio. After several minutes, of the client connecting, we use the tested solution's interface to determine if the issue was automatically detected, analyzed and captured.

Arista: PASS

After 5 minutes, we observed an Authentication Failure in the Client Journey dashboard. When clicking on the client experiencing failure, we saw in the Client Connection Logs that this was a RADIUS Server Not Responding. We could also view the auto packet capture that was generated.





In the Frame View of Arista Packets, we saw the client and AP exchange "IDENTITY" requests and responses, but we never observed a response from the RADIUS server.

Mist: Limited

Several minutes after the event, we used the SLE dashboard to see if the RADIUS server was detected as being unresponsive but did not observe a related event.

By using the Marvis search, we asked if there were any client connectivity issues. We saw there were 2 bad instances that occurred regarding "Authorization Failure".



The error associated with the RADIUS server event was described as an authorization failure (timeout) where the "RADIUS server failed to respond to request".

There was no automatic packet capture provided for this event.



In Marvis Actions, there was no Authentication Failure event listed, as it was in the Client Insights interface. And therefore no offered automatic root cause analysis or remediation.

After about 10 minutes post-event, this issue was successfully observed in the SLE dashboard. This proves the interface does eventually display an event, but there is a delay.



When looking at the root cause analysis for this event, we saw the Authorization failure was listed as contributing to 1 of the failed client connection attempts.

6.0 Client / Application / Network Performance Issues

6.1 Poor Coverage / Low RSSI

Using one AP and 1 to 3 clients, we moved clients to the edge of the cell coverage (e.g. -70dBm to -80dBm) to test the SSID/radio.

Arista: PASS

Before moving the clients, the RSSI for the MacBook Air and OnePlus7 devices were -48 dBm and -52 dBm, respectively. Once we move the clients to the edge the cell coverage, this dropped to -80 dBm and -76 dBm, respectively. The goal was to be -65 dBm or better.

We saw that 2 clients were found to have Low RSSI, with signal strength below the threshold of -70 dBm – a manually set threshold.

Connectivity Performance	Applications	WIPS					
Client Health Total Affected: 2							
Lc	ow RSSI						
	2						
High	h Retry %						
	0						

Performance - Client Health > Low	50 × 2859 ×	arch for MAC/ IP Address/ User Name/	Recommendations Poor Coverage Al access points at this location saw the client with loss them the -70 dBm RSSI threshold.
	Name Use Name		 In Radio Settlings, set Transmit Power Selection to Ruto' at location <i>(Jocations/LAB Performa if you are using dual-radio nocess points,</i> make sure Background Scurning Is enabled in Device Settlings.
Clients			Consider adding more access points at the location */LAB Performance Testin to mitigate the coverage holes.
The c	slient is facing Low RSSI because of the following reasons: Por Coverage - Al access pains at the location saw the clerit with less than the -70 dBm RSSI threshold. 🕐		
Client Connection Logs	▼ E		
Jan 18, 2021 1:11:38 PM 🌰	Successfully Connected AAL Laterion - Average Laterials (PMC) OHOP 20ms, DNS-20ms Average Laterials (PMC) OHOP - DNS - DHCP Haver (PL-4): DMS Server IV-6: 72		
Jan 15, 2021 8:59:37 AM	Successfully Connected AAL Lating: - Average Latarcias (Pv4) OHCH- 20m SN3_20ms Average Latarcias (Pv4) OHCH- 10NS - DHCP Sarver Fv4 10		

We saw the Inference Engine suggested the root cause for the client's Low RSSI operation was Poor Coverage. By clicking on the lightbulb icon beside it, we saw a panel of remediation recommendations offered by the Inference Engine. One recommendation was to move more APs to the location to mitigate coverage holes. The other recommendation was to enable automatic AP Tx power, which was disabled at the time of testing.

Mist: LIMITED

We observed no coverage related issues in the SLE dashboard at first, but eventually saw there was only 21 percent success due mostly to a weak signal.



Both the MacBook Air and OnePlus7 Pro devices showed poor coverage when using the Marvis search feature. When using Marvis Actions, we saw no indication of this weak signal error. No recommended remediation was provided.

6.2 High Channel Congestion / High Retry Rate

Using 1 AP and 3 Clients, we sent unlimited TCP traffic generated by Ixia IxChariot to the clients connected to the test SSID/radio.

Arista: PASS

For the 3 clients on Channel 100, we saw high utilization in the Wi-Spy spectrum analyzer. In IxChariot, we saw an average throughput of 163 Mbps, with relatively even distribution of bandwidth among clients.

Connectivity Performance Applications WIPS									
Client Health Total Affected: 2									
Low RSSI	Low Data Rate								
0	1								
High Retry %	Sticky Clients								
1	0								



In the Client Health dashboard, we observed 1 client experiencing a High Retry rate. When clicking on this client, we saw details for this client (e.g. associated AP, SSID, 802.11ax capability, 5-GHz frequency band and

location). While the client was successfully connected, we saw the message: "High retry due to high contention or low SNR hindered the performance of the client."

By clicking on the lightbulb icon, we were able to see how the Inference Engine offers to remediate this congestion issue.

Performance > Client Health	High Reny S 💌 📎	Recommendations <u>High retry rate</u> high retry rate due to high contention or low SNR hindered the performance of the client.
Gares	Norme Ownitation With Random	In Radio Settings, set: Operating Channel and Travent Rover Selection to Nutri and enable Opmanic Channel Selection at location. //Location/ALR Berforma// you are using dual and as access ports, make sure Bickground Scanning is enabled in Device Settings.
(P) .	High netry rate - High retry rate due to high contention or low SNR handlened the performance of the client. 🕐	
Client Connection Log	s ▼ [E	
Jan 18, 2021 9:06:41 AM 🍵	Successfully Connected AAL standay - Average Leteroise IP+0 DHCP+ 20H6 - DH26 - DH26 Server IP+0 10HCP+ _ DH26 - DH26 Server IP+0 12 DH26 Server IP+0 12	
Jan 17, 2021 8:45:55 PM	Successfully Connected AAL Lastrop Amongo Lancouse (PH-0) FICP 2pms, DHE 30ms Amongo Lancouse (PH-0) FICP 2pms, DHE 30ms Bit CP Same PH-1 3	

The Inference Engine shows the high retry rate was a result of high contention or low SNR and suggests setting the Operating Channel and Transmit Power Selection to 'Auto' and enabling Dynamic Channel Selection at the location. Additionally, if using dual APs, the Background Scanning feature should be enabled in the device settings.

The Remediation Recommendation offered was to consider enabling Auto Channel, Auto AP Tx Power, and Dynamic Channel Selection. It is important to note that the Inference Engine knew that these features were disabled. Had these features been enabled, the Inference Engine would not have made this remediation recommendation.

Mist: LIMITED

With congestion, Mist displayed indications of capacity issues. We had set a threshold for the customizable service levels – similar to Arista's Automatic Baselining, but this required manual tuning.



For the 3 clients, we saw an average of 109 Mbps throughput. However, 2 of 3 clients received less than 10 percent of the data rate of the first client. This showed that bandwidth was very unbalanced.

We saw high bandwidth utilization on Channel 100, where the 3 clients were connected, when using the Wi-Spy Spectrum Analyzer.

When looking at Capacity in the SLE dashboard, we saw a drop to 96 percent. This indicated issues that could be investigated with the Root Cause Analysis interface, where we saw a list of affected clients.

We found that "Client Count contributed to failed Capacity 94% of the time", which is a misdiagnosis as it was High Client Usage rather than Client Count that was the cause of the high channel capacity.

vice Level Metrics				Classifiers		
me to Connect		98%		Client Count	94%	
uccessful Conn		95%		Client Usage	6%	
overage		99%		WiFi Interference	0%	
barning		96		Non WiFi Interfe	0%	
nroughput		100%	1			
apacity		95%	J			
Untime						
atistics Timelin	e Distrib	95%	ed Items			
atistics Timelin Affected ite	e Distrib ms Sp 2	95% oution Affecte pecific Items that	ed Items	meet the service level goal Overall		Failure Rate
atistics Timelin Affected ite Users Access points	e Distrib ms Sf 2 1	95% oution Affecte pecific Items that Name OnePlus85G	ed Items	meet the service level goal Overall Impact 0.00%		Failure Rate 0%
atistics Timelin Affected ite Users Access points Applications	e Distrib ms sp 2 1 1 1	95% Doution Affecte pecific Items that Name OnePlus85G OnePlus85G	ed Items	meet the service level goal Overall Impact 0.00% 100.00%		Failure Rate 0% 5%



When using the Marvis search feature, and asked "any capacity issues?", we saw that 9 percent of users were below the service level goal set earlier, as a result of Capacity Client Usage issues. The two clients affected were two OnePlus8 devices.

However, the Marvis Actions dashboard did not show any instance of capacity related issues. We did not observe any remediation recommendations when troubleshooting.

6.3 Poor Application Performance

This test used 1 AP and 10 clients. The following steps were performed:

- 1. Configure the test SSID/radio to a static channel (i.e. no auto channel).
- 2. Connect 10 clients to the test SSID/radio.
- 3. Start a Zoom video call between 4 clients connected to the test SSID/radio.
- 4. Move 4 of the Zoom clients to the edge of the cell coverage (e.g. -70 dBm to -80 dBm).
- 5. Use IxChariot to send a mix of voice, video and data traffic (all AC_BE) to remaining 6 clients.

Arista: PASS

We saw a list of 1,976 applications used under WiFi > Application Visibility. Under Application Health, we observed 5 clients experiencing poor application performance with Zoom.

Connectivity Performance Applications WIPS				
Application Health Total Affected Clients - 5				Applications
Zoom © Application Experience	Skype (S) Application Experience	Hangouts (C) Application Experience	Microsoft Teams	GoToMeeting
0 5 5 Affected Clients	0 0 0 Affected Clients	0 0 O Affected Clients	0 0 O Affected Clients	O O O Affected Clients

We clicked the red "5" to see the list of affected clients with details regarding this issue.

	3	itatus	Namo	User Name	MAC Address	No. of Sessions	Application Usage Time	Application Experience Potential Cause	Uplink Bitrato	Downlink Bitrate	Uplink Bitrate Jitter	Downlink Bitrate Jitter	Uplink Data Rate
		٠				٣	٣	•	•	•	•	Ŧ	•
: C		?	OnePlus85G		96	1	9 min 0 sec	Wired/Wireless	646.00 Kbps	377.00 Kbps	131.77 Kbps	167.84 Kbps	81.00 Kbps
1	1	•	OnePlus85G		72	1	8 min 30 st	% poor application experience	296.00 Kbps	351.00 Kbps	86.31 Kbps	214.99 Kbps	103.00 Kbps
: [1	•	Galaxy-S10e		D4	2	6 min 30 sec	Wired/Wireless	284.00 Kbps	299.00 Kbps	130.02 Kbps	194,79 Kbps	130.00 Kbps
÷ [1	÷	OnePlus7Pro		84	1	4 min O sec		81.00 Kbps	238.00 Kbps	32.10 Kbps	146.25 Kbps	159.00 Kipp
: [1	•	Galaxy-S10e		FA	2	5 min 30 sec	Wired/Wireless	355.00 Klops	333.00 Kbps	151,68 Kbps	248.72 Kbps	152.00 Kbps

By hovering over the Application Experience bar, we observed 33 percent poor application experience for one of the OnePlus8 clients.

			Recommendations
Apps Danhbaird > Application Health (Affected Clients) 2007 •			Poor Coverage All access points at this location saw the client with less than the -70 dBm RSSI threshold.
Oters Name Deshutilition OverNumBODL OverNumBODL Deshutilition		 In Radio Settings, set Transmit Power Selection to 'Auto' at location //Locations/LAB Performa If you are using dual-table access points, make sure Background Scanning is enabled in Device Settings. 	
		Consider adding more access points at the location */LAB Performance Testin to mitigate the coverage holes.	
The client is facing Low data rate and Low RSSI because of the following reasons: Por Coverage At access points at this location saw the client with liss than the 30 dtm RSI threshold.			
Client Connection Logs 👻 📋			
Jan 18, 2021 9:06:41 AM 🍵	Successfully Connected AALLatery Average Latercies (IP4), DICP, Mers, DMS-Toms Average Latercies (IP4), DICP, STAS DICE Server (IP4), TOC D		
Jan 17, 2021 8:45:55 PM 🔵	Successfully Connected AL Latery Averga Laterate Public DICP, 2945, DRS fore Averga Laterate RPublic DICP, 1066- DICP Sover Public		

Root causes analysis of one of the affected clients showed the Low Data Rate and Low RSSI was because of poor coverage. The lightbulb icon shows the remediation suggestions offered by the Inference Engine on the right panel. Such recommendations include Background Scanning enablement and adding more APs to the location for better coverage.

Mist: FAIL

This feature is not supported.

About Miercom

Miercom has published hundreds of network product analyses in leading trade periodicals and other publications. Miercom's reputation as the leading, independent product test center is undisputed.

Private test services available from Miercom include competitive product analyses, as well as individual product evaluations. Miercom features comprehensive certification and test programs including: Certified Interoperable[™], Certified Reliable[™], Certified Secure[™] and Certified Green[™]. Products may also be evaluated under the Performance Verified[™] program, the industry's most thorough and trusted assessment for product usability and performance.

Use of This Report

Every effort was made to ensure the accuracy of the data contained in this report, but errors and/or oversights can occur. The information documented in this report may also rely on various test tools, the accuracy of which is beyond our control. Furthermore, the document relies on certain representations by the vendors that were reasonably verified by Miercom but beyond our control to verify to 100 percent certainty.

This document is provided "as is," by Miercom and gives no warranty, representation or undertaking, whether express or implied, and accepts no legal responsibility, whether direct or indirect, for the accuracy, completeness, usefulness or suitability of any information contained in this report.

All trademarks used in the document are owned by their respective owners. You agree not to use any trademark in or as the whole or part of your own trademarks in connection with any activities, products or services which are not ours, or in a manner which may be confusing, misleading or deceptive or in a manner that disparages us or our information, projects or developments.

By downloading, circulating or using this report this report in any way you agree to Miercom's Terms of Use. For full disclosure of Miercom's terms, visit: <u>https://miercom.com/tou</u>.

© 2021 Miercom. All Rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, photocopied, stored on a retrieval system, or transmitted without the express written consent of the authors. Please email reviews@miercom.com for additional information.