## Astronomy 540: Structure & Dynamics of Galaxies

Look at http://ircamera .as.arizona.edu/Astr\_540 for announcements and updates.

August 21: Galaxy Classification, The Milky Way as a Galaxy, Aug 23: Components of Galaxies: disks, bulges, interstellar medium, kinematic properties Aug 28: Disk Dynamics: disk formation, disk heating, spiral arm formation, dark matter Aug 30: Disk & Spiral arms, cont'd

Marcia Rieke Rm 262 621-2731



NGC2731

Romeel Davé Rm 314 621-4681



NGC4681

## Why Begin with Classification?

- The Hubble system forms the basic vocabulary of the subject.
- The Hubble sequence of galaxy types reflects an underlying physical and evolutionary sequence.
  - provides an overview of integrated properties
  - reproducing the variation in these properties along the Hubble sequence is a major (unsolved) challenge for galaxy formation/evolution theory
  - One of JWST's four themes is to unravel the evolutionary causes of the Hubble sequence

## Galaxy Classification Depends on How You Observe



Centaurus A in Mid-Infrared Light



#### Elliptical galaxies

- smooth structure, elliptical light distribution
- relatively little evidence of gas, dust
- subtypes defined by projected flattening

EO - E7 where n = 10(a-b)/a



#### SO (lenticular) galaxies

- introduced in 1936 revision of system
- disk and bulge but no spiral structure



#### Spiral galaxies

- flattened disk + central bulge (usually)
- two major subclasses: normal and barred
- subtypes Sa, Sb, Sc distinguished by 3 criteria
  - bulge/disk luminosity ratio
    - B/D ranges from >1 (Sa) to <0.2 (Sc)
  - spiral arm pitch angle
    - ranges from 1-7° (Sa) to 10-35° (Sc)
  - "resolution" of disk into knots, HII regions, stars
- these three criteria are not necessarily consistent!
- each reflects an underlying physical variable
  - B/D ratio ---> spheroid/disk mass fractions
  - pitch angle ---> rotation curve of disk, mass concentration
  - resolution ---> star formation rate





ESO PR Photo 07a/00 (22 February 2000)

© European Southern Observatory

DOUBLE Providence of the New York (1999).

Spiral Galaxy NGC 4945 (MPG/ESO 2.2-m + WFI)

O factories for here there

#### Irregular galaxies

- little or no spatial symmetry
- two major subtypes
  - Irr I: highly resolved (e.g., Magellanic Clouds)
  - Irr II: smooth but chaotic, disturbed (e.g., M82)



### Unclassifiable galaxies?

~2% of galaxies cannot be classified as E, S, Irr
predominantly disturbed or interacting systems





NGC 4038/9 = "Antennae"

### **Connection between Evolution and Morphology**



- Bars are a transient structure and spirals may alternate between barred and not
- Some spiral mergers appear to result in elliptical galaxies
- Spirals have much higher angular momentum than ellipticals which suggests differences at the formation epoch (but look at what happens in some mergers)

## Other Classification Systems

#### Revised Hubble system

de Vaucouleurs 1958, Handbuch der Phys, 53, 275 de Vaucouleurs 1964, Reference Catalog of Bright Galaxies (RC1)

- goal: retain basic system, add more information

- mixed types: E/SO, Sab, Sbc, etc
- intermediate barred:
- extended types:
- inner rings:
- outer rings:
- Magellanic spirals, irregulars: Sm, Im
- t-type numerical scale: E0 -- S0 -- Sa -- Sb -- Sc -- Im

-5 -- -1 --- 1 --- 3 --- 5 --- 9

SA, SAB, SB

Sd, Sm, Sdm

S(r), S(s)

(R) S









## Other Classification Systems

- Luminosity classification (DDO system)
  - van den Bergh 1960, ApJ, 131, 215
  - goal: use morphology to subdivide galaxies by absolute <u>luminosity</u> and mass
  - basic criterion is spiral arm "development" (arm length, continuity, relative width)
  - secondary criterion surface brightness (dwarfs)
  - roman numeral designation after Hubble type (indicates luminosity class)
    - ScI, I-II, II, II-III, III, III-IV, IV
    - Sb I, I-II, II, II-III
    - Ir IV-V, V

- mean  $M_B$  ranges from -21 (I) to -16 (V)





## Other Classification Systems

#### Revised DDO (RDDO) system

- van den Bergh 1976, ApJ, 206, 883
- premise: the evolutionary axis along the "tuning fork" is actually a two-parameter sequence:
  - bulge/disk ratio:
     a --> b --> c
  - disk resolution, star formation rate: SO --> A --> S

 van den Bergh hypothesizes that all disk galaxies are formed as normal spirals, but gradually consume gas (or lose gas) and evolve to anemic and eventually SO galaxies, while retaining roughly constant bulge/disk ratio



## Quantitative Classification

#### Motivation

- automated classification is needed for very large imaging or spectroscopic surveys (e.g., Sloan Digital Sky Survey = SDSS)
- can obtain objective measures, that are less susceptible to systematic or subjective effects
- the current morphological sequence may not be representative of galaxies at earlier cosmic epochs
- since many physical and spectral properties of galaxies correlate with type, a physical classification system can be created
- parametric classifications provide information on the dimensionality of the galaxy parameter space

Example 1: Quantitative image classification

- Abraham et al. 1994, ApJ, 432, 75 Abraham et al. 1996, MNRAS, 279, L49
- simple 2-parameter system
  - concentration index C --> ratio of fluxes in two isophotal regions
  - asymmetry index A --> flip image, subtract from initial image, measure fraction of residual flux

Hubble Deep Field (21 < I < 25)



log C



 Example 2: Quantitative spectral classification Kennicutt 1992, ApJ5, 79, 255 Zaritsky, Zabludoff, Willick 1995, AJ, 110, 1602

#### - galaxy spectra correlate strongly with Hubble type

- Morgan & Mayall (1957) developed a morphological classification system (Yerkes system) based on galaxy spectra (PASP, 69, 291)
- principal component analysis shows that most of the variation is due to 2 parameters (eigenfunctions)

change in absorption spectrum: A stars vs K stars

- change in emission line strength VS continuum + absorption
- fit each galaxy spectrum to these eigenspectra (maximum likelihood), measure spectral indices
- classifications are independent of morphology, but one can correlate spectral vs morphological types



Kennicutt 1992, ApJS, 79, 255



 Example 3: <u>morphology/spectral classification</u> Koopman & Kenney 1998, ApJ, 497, L75 (Virgo SO-Scd galaxies)

- question: Does galaxy cluster environment (Virgo) affect spiral galaxy evolution?
- hybrid system
  - define emission-line spectral index (scales as SFR per unit red luminosity)
  - correlate with concentration index, to remove subjectivity of morphological types
- result: Virgo cluster contains galaxy population not found elsewhere

 $F_{R24} = R$  flux within  $\mu_R = 24$ , C=fraction within 0.3 of  $r_{24}$ 



## Parametric Classification

- Basic Idea: Hubble type correlates with several integrated properties of galaxies (e.g., bulge fraction, gas fraction, color, star formation rate, angular momentum...
- Goal: define a physical classification in an n-space of galaxy properties
- Use correlations between these parameters to analyze the number of independent variables that define galaxy properties

#### Example: principal component analysis (PCA)

Djorgovski 1992, in Cosmology and Large Scale Structure of the Universe, ASP Conf Ser, 24, 19

- for a series of measured galaxy properties, calculate the correlation coefficient for each pair of parameters
- construct a correlation matrix of these coefficients
- diagonalize the correlation matrix and solve for its eigenvalues and eigenfunctions
  - each eigenfunction is a linear combination of galaxy properties, representing an independent degree of variability (or noise)
  - the corresponding eigenvalues represent the fraction of observed variation of galaxy properties in each degree of freedom

# when applied to elliptical galaxies:

- most (~80%) of the variance is in a single parameter (mass/luminosity/ radius/velocity dispersion/color/line strength)
- virtually all of the remaining variance is in a second parameter (ellipticity, rotation...) --> <u>the</u> <u>fundamental plane</u>

#### when applied to spiral galaxies:

two parameters needed (Hubble type, luminosity/mass)

#### Djorgovski 1992





### Summary of Trends in Physical Properties w/ Morphological Type

Angular Momentum:

Interstellar Medium: (star formation rate follows same pattern) Stars:

Metallicity:

Low in ellipticals High in spirals Zero to v. low in ellipitcals High in spirals v. high in some Irrs Only old in ellipticals Mixture of old & young in spirals High in ellipticals Mixture in spirals v. low in some Irrs

#### Galaxy Classification at other Wavelengths

Systems at other wavelengths typically describe some characteristic of a galaxy's non-stellar activity. Another example is Seyfert 1s and 2s. Example:

Radio galaxies are divided into two classes.Fanaroff-Riley I (FRI)Fanaroff-Riley IIRadio surface brightness declines<br/>center from to edge. Core and jetsOuter edges of rad<br/>More luminous that<br/>are most prominent.

Fanaroff-Riley II (FRII) Outer edges of radio lobes are brightest. More luminous than FRIs.





#### Many Different Types of Data Available for the Milky Way

#### Nucleus of the Milky Way

K(2.2µm) from 90-in



Milky Way Polarization from Mathewson & Ford (1970).



### Looking at a Galaxy from the Inside



stellar populations and their motions detailed compositions as a function of location within the galaxy

types of gas and dust (even a few samples have been collected!)

But being inside has disadvantages:

some interstellar material restricts our view

difficult to discern overall patterns (only realized that the Milky Way has a bar a dozen years ago!)

We must also keep in mind that the Milky Way is a sample of one – there are many other types of galaxies.



I WE MUSIC BE RIGHT IN THE MIDDLE OF THIS

FOR BECAUSE I CAN SEE EQUALLY FAR IN EVERY

DIRECTION

kpc = kiloparsec = 1000 pc

## Structure of the Milky Way

 Table 1.
 The Galaxy: Some Vital Statistics

Solar Orbit	$V_0/R_0 = 29 \pm 1 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ kpc}^{-1}$ $V_0 = 220 \pm 10 \ (R_0/8 \text{ kpc}) \text{ km s}^{-1}$ $R_0 = 8.0^{+0.5}_{-1.0} \text{ kpc}$
Mass	$ \begin{array}{l} M \ ({<}10 \ \rm kpc) = (1.0 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{11} \ M_{\odot} \\ M \ ({<}100 \ \rm kpc) = (7 \pm 2.5) \times 10^{11} \ M_{\odot} \end{array} $
Luminosity (bol)	$ \begin{array}{l} {\rm L} = 3 \ _{-1}^{+2} \times 10^{10} \ {\rm L}_{\odot} \\ M_V \sim -20.5 \pm 1.0 \qquad M_H \sim -23 \pm 1 \end{array} $
Stellar Mass	$M_* \sim (4\pm2)\times 10^{10}~M_\odot$
Interstellar Mass	$\begin{array}{l} M_{ISM} \sim (7\pm3) \times 10^9 \ M_\odot \\ M_{ISM}/M_* \simeq 0.2 \end{array}$
Total Visible Mass	${ m M}_{vis}/M_{tot}\sim 0.5~~(R\leq 10~{ m kpc})\ \sim 0.07~~(R\leq 100~{ m kpc})$
Star Formation Rate	$\begin{split} SFR &= 2 \pm 1 \ \mathrm{M_{\odot} \ yr^{-1}} \\ SFR / < SFR >_{past} \sim 0.7 \pm 0.3 \\ \mathrm{M}_{ISM} / SFR \sim 3.5 \ \mathrm{Gyr} \end{split}$
Revised Hubble Type	SB(r)bc pec

#### Measuring the MW's Spiral Structure



I=Galactic longitude V=rotational velocity R = distance from Galactic Center  $V_{Sun}$ =Sun's velocity around the Galactic Center R<sub>Sun</sub>=Sun's distance from center d=distance to HI cloud  $V_r$ =radial velocity of cloud

- Delineating a galaxy's spiral pattern requires using young stars or interstellar material. First measurements used HI
- Scheme requires a model for the rotation of the Milky Way's disk – made easier by the nearly flat rotation curve for the MW

Measure radial velocity and derive a distance

(km/sec) 008

Fich et al. 1989

$$V_{\rm r} = V \cos \alpha - V_{\rm sun} \sin 1$$
$$\frac{\sin(90 + \alpha)}{R_{\rm Sun}} = \frac{\sin 1}{R}$$

$$\cos \alpha = \frac{R_{Sun}}{R} \sin l$$

SO

$$V_{\rm r} = V \frac{R_{\rm Sun}}{R} \sin l - V_{\rm Sun} \sin l$$

$$= R_{sun} \sin l \cdot (\frac{V}{R} - \frac{V_{sun}}{R_{sun}})$$

 $R^{2} = R_{sun}^{2} + d^{2} - 2R_{sun}d\cos l$ 

Because of the flat rotation —— curve, know V and V<sub>Sun</sub> =220 km/sec easily!

Can solve for R or d.

10

R (kpc)

15

20



#### Oort, Kerr, and Westerhout 1958

The Galactic System as a Spiral Nebula



 Best constraints on other shape parameters come from modeling of near-IR all-sky data (DIRBE instrument on COBE )



Freudenreich 1998, ApJ, 492, 495

#### Method

- measure projected light distribution at 2 5  $\mu$ m
- correct for dust extinction and emission
- deproject to 3D
  - fit multi-parameter model, minimize residuals with data
  - use physical, dynamical constraints to remove model degeneracies
  - best measurements for Milky Way, from star counts

#### Results

- strong evidence for a bar (+ bulge, disk)
  - bulge/bar light fraction ~ 20% (+-10%)
  - bar radius 3-5 kpc
  - bar axis 15-40° from line of sight to Galactic center
  - shape of bar/bulge strongly triaxial
  - strong molecular/HII ring in disk may coincide with end of bar
- Sun is ~15 pc above Galactic midplane
- DIRBE confirms presence of thick disk (primary evidence from star counts )
- spiral arms detected, with pitch angle ~10-15°







# These models use ~40(!) free parameters.



#### Disk: vertical structure

- best measurements for Milky Way, from star counts
- counts near Galactic plane well fitted with exponential function  $\rho(z) = \rho_0 e^{-z/z0}$
- scale height  $z_0$  is a strong function of stellar type and age, reflecting dynamical heating of stars
  - $z_0 \sim 100$  pc for youngest stars
    - ~ 400 pc for old disk population
- counts at high z-height show a significant excess, attributed to a second "thick disk"
   DENSITY LAW FOR K DWARFS

Kuijken & Gilmore 1989, MNRAS, 239, 605

good fit to double exponential  $\rho(z) = \rho_0 e^{-z/z^0} + \rho_1 e^{-z/z^1}$ 

> $\rho_0 = 0.96$  z0 = 250 pc  $\rho_1 = 0.04$  z0 = 1000 pc

