Did you know that the historical crucifixion event with the Living Word is subtly (allegorized) and very cleverly repeated with the Written Word and we are not cognizant of it?










Eation, roda

New od's the ible New Bible The glish sion



ect, The Cotton Patch Version, The Dead Sea Scrolls Bible, etc. etc. etc.

"Seek ye out of the book of the LORD, and read" (Isaiah 34:16)

### After reading this book, you will be able to answer the following questions

- Was King James a homosexual? (The king who authorized the translation of the King James Version Bible.) Some persons claim he was, but the evidence proves he was not.
- Did you know that there are only two sources from which came all of our Bible versions available today? Did you know modern day scholars are erroneously trying to add a third source?
- Did you know that the King James Version came from one of the sources and all of the modern versions came from the other source?
- Did you know that the Jehovah's Witness Bible (The New World Translation) and the modern versions are all using the same Hebrew and Greek source?
- Did you know that the Jehovah's Witness Bible (The New World Translation) was the first Bible in 1961 to change the word, "servant" to "slave?" (The word servant is used throughout the KJV.) After this change, modern versions begin changing "servant" to "slave."
- Do you think the authors of modern versions will soon change the reading at the end of John 1:1 to "a god" instead of "God?"
- Did you know that "Lucifer" at Is. 14:12 in modern versions was replaced with "the morning star?" But who is the morning star at Rev. 22:16 in the KJV? The Hebrew word for "star" is nowhere found in the original Hebrew text in this verse.
- Did you know that 99% of all the evidence (manuscripts) available today

in museums around the world agrees with the KJV reading?

- Are there thousands of changes between the 1611 edition of the KJV Bible and the KJV Bible we have today?
- Why did the modern versions changed "IN' to "ON" when referring to the way the mark of the beast will be applied to the forehead or the right hand?
- Do the Apocryphal books belong with the 66 books of the Bible?
- Can we trust the <u>FOOTNOTES</u> in our Bibles to support scriptural verses?
- Do modern-day Bible scholars believe in the doctrine of preservation?
- Why do you think we are <u>NOW</u> discovering that the meaning of the Hebrew and Greek words in our modern-day Hebrew and Greek dictionaries are very different to the word choices used in the KJV Bible?
- Which Bible is the easiest book to read?
- Are the archaic words found in the King James Bible outdated or dead?
- Is there any scientific proof of the existence of a perfect Bible?
- Who is Gail Riplinger? The author who wrote "New Age Bible Version," "In Awe of Thy Word" and the seriously revealing book called "Hazardous Materials." When these books were published, "Wor[I]d War Three" began among the Bible scholarship elites.

### All correspondence can be sent to: Info@Bibleversiontruth.com Written by: P.K. Hanna (E0319)



### DID YOU KNOW THAT THE HISTORICAL CRUCIFIXION EVENT WITH THE LIVING WORD IS SUBTLY (ALLEGORIZED) AND VERY CLEVERLY REPEATED WITH THE WRITTEN WORD AND WE ARE NOT COGNIZANT OF IT?

Are you guilty before God, like His "chosen people" two thousand years ago in Jerusalem (representing Christians today), of (mentally and intellectually) crucifying the Written Word (the Bible) like they did the Living Word (Jesus Christ)? You really need to find out! Think of what is at stake here: <u>REWARDS</u>! Read, please read!

Just like how the living Word was arrested, "despised and rejected of men," <u>accused by false witnesses</u>, condemned and crucified; <u>Bible scholars</u> (like the chief priests and elders of the people representing preachers and teachers today) are intellectually performing the same ungodly act to the Written Word (they despised and reject it today).

Like the crowd, who were influenced by the religious leaders, lobbied for Barabbas (a murderer representing watered-down modern-day Bible version assassins) as the replacement for "The Living Word," these crooked religious leaders in Jesus' day representing leaders of our modern Bible version crowd, lobbied for Westcott and Hort Greek text (two false witnesses) as the replacement for "The Written Word;" thus, sending "The Written Word" on the way to "Golgotha" to be "crucified."

What we have seen thus far is the procession to "Golgotha," and "the nailing to the cross." We will soon see the manifestation of Amos 8:11, (the vast religious population will abandon God's Word, "**until he be taken out of the way**") or the final crucifixion "hours" of The Written Word; (II Thessalonians 2:7-12) afterwards, that Wicked One shall be revealed.

The Written Word (John 1:1) just like the Living Word is on the same blood-trail of "crucifixion" by God's "<u>chosen people</u>." (Isaiah 53:2-5)

Like an annoying telephone, ringing in middle of the night or a loud and frantic midnight knocking on the front door, RC Trench's book (Read Chapter Six) invoked and summoned an illegal and vicious group of men to gather in "take judgment to counsel together, against the LORD, and against his anointed" (The Written Word) saying, "let us break their bands asunder, and cast away their cords from us." (Psalm 2:3) The men met "in the middle of the night," in their "closed door secret chambers" (swearing to secrecy

and not divulging anything to the non-membership public), until the iudament was unanimously announced by all participating members (including Frederick Scrivener): Guilty! Guilty! Immediately, before any outside KJB "defense debate" interference, the sentencing was quickly read and carried out: bruise and break the Written Word's bands; get rid of its cords from among us and crucify it; then let us replace it with liars (false witnesses) and а murderer (Barabbas).

## Notes to you the reader

- Browse the entire book, quickly scanning all the pages (pictures, topic headings and subheadings) for a complete overview of the volume and type of information provided. This author tried covering all relevant topics and issues relating to modern Bible version and compared them with the Authorized King James Version (also listed as the King James Bible or The Authorized Version and abbreviated as KJV, KJB or AV). To reduce the number of pages required in this book, general information is given. The reader is therefore encouraged to get the details from the reference materials listed. The numbers [1] through [12] found as you read, are books and videos to find references and related information. Please note that these books have lots of additional references. The title heading, "Some Books & Videos To Examine" list their names.
- It may appear in some places as you read this book that this author believes the ENGLISH King James Version (KJV) is the ONLY preserve text that survived for centuries. To correct this perception and as is clearly reported in Chapter One ("The Untold History of your English Bible"), this author believes that God's Word can be found intact and without error in many other languages of the world (French, Italian, Spanish, German, etc.). However, throughout this book, as is mentioned on the front cover, our focus will be on the English translation. It is the text that will be examined and magnified as you read. Thus, the KJV is the Bible God used to carry His Word to English speaking nations.
- Also, as you read this book, you will notice **repeated paragraphs** and sentences that are seen in several other sections. The reason for this is to establish each section to be independent of each other; that is, if you the reader were to copy and separate them for discussions or debates. They are also repeated to somewhat "hammer" the point, (like what is many times seen in God's Word) for serious emphasis that needs the reader's attention.
- Every so often, there will be new sections and extensions to this book along with chapters and topic headings. You the reader are encouraged to return from time to time to examine these new and improved materials. They will primarily be introduced to build a stronger foundation, supporting the KJV text; hence, refuting scholars who hate and despised this text with a passion and will do anything in their power to see its demise. The code in the bracket below will indicate when fresh and advance details were added. The "E" in the bracket represents the word "Edition" and the four-digit numbers that follows (representing the month and year) will indicate that there was new information added to help strengthen the text defenses against our modern-day army of ruthless scoffers.
- Finally, if you feel this book has been helpful, then make copies of it and give them to friends. You can also read it online or print a copy from <u>www.Bibleversiontruth.com</u>. The pages found in the section called, "The Book Trailer," can be use and given out instead. This trailer is a short version to the entire book and has proven helpful to light-readers.

All correspondence can be sent to: info@Bibleversiontruth.com Written by: P.K. Hanna (E0319)

## Interesting thought provoking excerpts found throughout this book

**Men** just love correcting God's grammar; even our very own Christian brothers and sisters who follow the natural ways of the world. Read what Paul had to say about God methods of speaking to us in I Corinthians 1:18-31 to 2:1-16. Does God use incorrect or poor grammar to convey a message or thought in His Word? God can and does anything He pleases.

Modern-day scholars and grammarians, using their "learnt-in-theclassroom" skills, change and modify words and verses in the Bible, to convey how they want (or believed) the text should read. **Remember** what Satan did in Genesis 3:4: he changed ("corrected") what God said by adding the word "**not**" to God's instructions in Genesis 2:17. ("thou shall surely die" changed to "ye shall **NOT** surely die.")

In Acts 4:13 the disciples' language grammar and speech were not proper among the scholarly elites <u>even though they spoke under the power</u> <u>and influence of the Holy Spirit</u>. They spoke a common and simple language and were despised by the elders of the people who heard and read nothing except it was polished and eloquent. (They recognized them as "<u>unlearned and ignorant men</u>" because of their "bad" grammar.) Remember what Jesus said in Mark 13:11 and Luke 21:12-15? As men hated the living Word without a cause, today they hate ("despised and rejected of men") the Written Word because of the written language grammar God used (which is really, without a cause).

<u>Always keep in mind</u> the methods God use to get our attention and speak to us: He uses, many times, the "low and smelly stable" approach to communicate with us, a method that can only be discerned and received by humble hearts filled with FAITH. (Matthew 5:3-12) To illustrate this: Sometimes He sends "a despised and smelly beggar;" perhaps an angel in disguised to communicate (speaking broken English) or get our attention; but, many times we do not or can not discern this because of our very own self-learnt pride. Imagine for a moment, a little child condemning and telling the people to get right and repent (Jeremiah 1:6). Do you thing they would listen to him/her?

Men applied age testing methods on the aged old manuscripts and claim that they are the oldest and most ancient copies to-date. The question then is: Are the scientific age testing methods when applied to these ancient manuscripts (the actual writing materials used: papyrus or parchment) a reliable method of testing "TEXT" superiority? The answer is NO! The text is the issue, not the materials used to write it on! The only way to test true Christian TEXT superiority is to follow the blood trail.

"...Like Jesus Christ who was the Word, who was God (John 1:1) embodied with flesh and was the only physical representation of God (that we could have once touch, feel, handle, hold and speak to) is also with us today embodied with words that is placed on the pages of a physical book that we can touch, feel, handle, hold and read from. You may ask: how is this possible? Only God one knows because with Him, ALL THINGS ARE POSSIBLE. There is a Spirit behind or in the surface text; there is a mysterious power source active, behind or in the actual words!"

"...there must be a spirit of theological blindness (actual spiritual devils) sent from the camp of Satan that has kept us locked up in a <u>mental</u> prison and unless we take a serious independent approach to finding God and READING His true Word for ourselves, we will remain Satan's prisoners, "entangled with the yoke of bondage" for life. If Satan can counterfeit most godly things, then he certainly can somehow counterfeit a spirit (an evil spirit) embodying (or <u>channeling through</u>) the actual words of a counterfeit Bible. Thus, spiritual blindness as long as these reading materials are being mentally consumed. We can see this manifested with some of the well-known religious organization groups that are among us today."

There is a big difference between someone who learned a foreign language in the classroom and someone who was gifted by God to speak the language in a <u>vernacular</u> way including all the idiom and tongue twisting pronunciations. Think about it: when the scholar speaks his "learnt-in-theclassroom" language, the natives know immediately he is foreign. When God gifted individuals with the ability to speak other languages, the natives cannot tell if the person is foreign. Several of the KJV translators were gifted by God who was never taught the language in the classroom. Therefore, if any English word choices were off from the original intent of the author (God), they would have immediately picked it up.

.....

Today, in the minds of average Christians, all dictionaries and lexicons are correct and everything else on the contrary are wrong, including the KJV Bible. This is how it's taught in the classroom of colleges and universities.

Do you know if God allowed His words (or any part of it that He truly said and meant) to get lost through hundreds of years of copying and the translation process, He would not be faithful; <u>this act of allowing His words to get lost would be an INTENTIONAL act of unfaithfulness</u> because of who our God is and His great and mighty powers: the power to keep it preserved throughout history just by simply speaking it. **(John 12:48)** Think about it: we cannot be held accountable to it if it (or any part of it) did get lost. We would then be able to present strong arguments in our defense in the courtroom of God's presence for what is and was not found in all Bible versions including those that point to Satan as God.

The conclusion of the matter really is that God has wasted His time if He did not preserve His inspired words for us today as He promised He would. Also, to believe that He did waste His time because we do not have all of His words with us today is FOOLISH!

.....

There are enormous amounts of satanic scholarship attacking and infiltrating everything that is Holy and Godly today.

.....

Do you know that some of our "trusted" Bible scholars attended Luciferian meetings with Madam Blavatsky? When you hang out with someone infested with fleas, what happens? So then, why should we trust their Hebrew and Greek dictionary and lexicon study tools?

In modern versions, Satan's biggest cover-up is targeting the fundamental doctrines of God's Word (the deity of Christ, hell, salvation, spiritual growth, etc.) by corrupting them (all verses that points the lost to the narrow way that leads to finding God and eternal life); he then appears to "enhance" or "improve upon" verses and passages that are inspiring, uplifting, comforting, encouraging, stimulating, arousing, etc. He decorates language in modern versions with just the "right" words that "appear righteous unto men, but within…are full of hypocrisy and iniquity." (Matt. 23:25-28). It would read like the Bible, but very deceptive in contents. How else do you think he will deceive the "WHOLE WORLD?" (Rev. 12:9) BY DECEPTION!

Surprisingly, several hundred years old manuscripts are today being discovered more frequently. Sadly though, because they were written several hundred years ago, today they are widely and generally accepted as authentic among the scholarly elites. To truly get the point, anyone can write a book today with nonsense reading of Christian life and it probably would widely and generally be accepted as authentic, let's say in the year 3712 A.D. by the same pompous group.

Satan intentionally included missing verses in his Bible to create long lasting "no-confident of doubt" in the preservation of God's Word. If doubt is created with any ONE SINGLE verse in the scriptures, then the doctrine of the preservation of God's Word collapses. If Satan can maintain and sustain this no-confident perception in the communication of God's Word, then he has successfully accomplished his no-confidence mission amongst Christians. Therefore, missing verses must **visually** remain in Satan's Bible for future generations to assist in accomplishing his goals of Isaiah 14:13-14.

God used the power of scriptural memorization among Christians to preserve and carry His Word to the people. This was because carrying a Bible manuscript was difficult to hide and very dangerous. No-doubt, Christians smiled to themselves as they watched their enemies pull apart personal belongings, hunting and desperately searching for a physical manuscript that was already consumed to memory. Intense persecution caused significant scriptural memorization discipline among Christians. To understand their mindset during this time is to comprehend the fact that they had mentally prepared (or made up their minds) to exchange their very own lives to preserved God's Word. His words were seriously precious to them; much more precious than life itself. Other methods God used to preserve His Word were the spiritual gift of discernment and speaking in tongues (or other languages). These gifts were prevalent among early Christians. Many of them were super sensitive to spiritual things; and like the Apostle Paul, spoke several different languages fluently. God's wisdom and "Power!" was/is/always so awesome, where in some pockets of history and in some provinces, He disassembled the "nuts," "bolts" and "machine parts" of His eternal Word (like the overhauling of commercial jet engineering), preserving them in fragments, uncials scripts, lectionaries, quotes from born-again Christians (the true church's fathers), other vernacular versions, etc., scattering and sending them where ever His children (Christians) migrated, only to be reassembled when the fullness of time came where and when the apostate church could not get their "blood dripping" claws on them.

Even Moses did not **believe** God's specific instruction: "...speak ye unto the rock..." (Numbers 20:7-12) **For this reason**, he did not sanctify (glorify, <u>proclaim</u> or preached) God's unadulterated and perfect Word among the people. (He no-doubt questioned what God told him to do because of his unbelief by saying, "Can a rock speak?" "Why should I talk to a rock?" "A rock cannot talk Back!") Thus, he lost one of the greatest blessings all Israel looked forward to receiving at the time: this was entering into the Promised Land. This is a very serious warning lesson for us today no matter where you are spiritually; that is, God is no respecter of persons. We all know the conclusion of this story: even though Moses unsuccessfully begged God to reconsider His judgment, he paid dearly for his error; the error and sin of **unbelief**. (Rom. 14:23, Heb. 10:38, 11:6)

"...This is because the messages (God's Word) somewhat partly remain in (or scattered throughout) most of <u>today's</u> modern versions; <u>but</u>, the Spirit is gone in them."

### CONTENTS

CHAPTER ONE	
Follow the arrows to your Bible	25
Introduction	17
Interesting thought-provoking excerpts found throughout this book.	7
Notes to you the reader.	5
Fundamental questions and answers to the reader.	2




History of your English Bible. 29

### **CHAPTER TWO**

Should we accept "FACTS" or FAITH?

75





Can we trust our Greek & Hebrew Bible Interlinear and Lexicons?



Do we have the perfect Word of God in English?

105

**10** Powerful scie

Powerful scientific evidenceof inspiration and preservationof God's Word.112

Dangerous insults to God.

115

12

So where are we all headed with these modern versions? 125

Why is it wrong in modern versions to call Christians, slaves?

14

So, what's wrong with the modern versions?

132

15

How to recognize a genuine KJV Bible amidst the multitude of counterfeits? 137

A note on Gail Riplinger. 138

150

How powerful is God's Word?

138



God's verse numbering system strategy.

129

### **CHAPTER THREE**

19	
Some of the Bible blueprint	
modern versions are building	
themselves upon.	151

### **CHAPTER FOUR**



175

**CHAPTER FIVE** 

Erasmus, viciously attacked by historian pit-bulls.

195

### CHAPTER SIX

22

These are our gods (scholars) O Christian!

213

242



**CHAPTER SEVEN** 

<b>24</b> Who is Gail Riplinger?	249
CHAPTER EIGHT	
<b>25</b> Bible version arguments.	263
<b>26</b> Application theories	268
REFERENCES	
27	
Some books & videos to examine	275
<b>PROMOTION PAGES</b>	

**28** The Book Trailer

## Introduction

An investigator goes to a crime scene to gather clues to a murder. He opens the door at the scene and the first thing that gets his attention is the sight and order of blood. With no pre-knowledge of what had taken place there, he naturally follows the trail of blood to see were it leads. Usually, and in most cases, the blood leads to the evidence of the crime: the body. Then and only then does the investigator begin his great task of looking for clues to find the murderer. Sadly though, some times an investigator encounters tampered evidence (or use faulty methods to solve the crime) and include them in the investigative crime report.

For example, a faulty method used at our modern version "crime scene" was that the aged old papyrus or parchments used to write on were tested to locate the oldest or most ancient Bible manuscripts to-date. Unfortunately, this age testing method was not capable of testing "TEXT" superiority. The materials used to write on and the actual TEXT contents are obviously different. Thus, the only way to test true Christian "TEXT" superiority is to follow the blood trail.

In our investigation covered in this book, as we examine the surrounding areas in history for clues to find

a genuine Bible and follow them, we would discover that they all lead to a holy and precious book dripping with blood. This book that was soaked in blood, was preserved for hundreds of years along with tears and screams of pain from the murderer's "**prison torturehouses of Hell" (see Chapter Four).** The blood trails are the millions of Christian martyrs who participated in preserving God's Word for centuries. Also, the perpetrator, whom Jesus exposed as the murderer in John 8:44, is none other than Lucifer or Satan himself.

However, while this precious blood mess was being cleaned up (when owning a Bible became legal), Satan tampered with our Bible evidence, creating many "lookalikes" and counterfeit copies and placed them in the surrounding areas of our crime scene. He then used the faulty testing method mentioned earlier and claimed that his Bibles were/are the best, oldest or most ancient copies. (We frequently find his claims as we read many Bible version foot notes today.) This is very similar to Jesus' parable of the wheat and the tares in Matthew 13:24-30, where the enemy sowed tares among the wheat while men slept. But instead of gathering and removing them, God (the "house-holder") said, No! "Let both grow together until the harvest." As a result, we Christians must carefully examine the clues to sift out the wheat from among the tares (applying the "seek and ye shall find" law in Matthew 7:7).

So then, as we continue following clues in history, we will find out that there are only two text families or sources where all of our Bible versions are coming from.

They are the Byzantine text family (source) where our King James Version (KJV) came from, and the Alexandrian text family (source) where all of our modern versions are coming from. We will also uncover a serious "TRAIL OF BLOOD" all over the "floor and walls" of history following the King James Version text (or the underground Christian Bible) and its sources; but, there are NO sacrificial clues or evidence, NO token or blood trail (to prove its "purity,") following the modern versions or their sources. (Like all criminals, Satan evidently had mistakenly forgotten to counterfeit this clue. Deuteronomy 22:15-17)

During our search, we will learn of a dark period in history with massive sickening horrors of suffering and death done to Christians who had truly given their lives to the Lord Jesus Christ (by reading and believing His Word and rejecting Satan's text) and paid a painfully awful price for doing so. The monstrous torture and massacred inflicted upon them is what they went through to bring **YOU**, the reader, the King James Version (KJV) English Bible.

There were many brave men, women and children who participated in the preservation of God's Holy Word. Many of them gave their lives protecting our Bible manuscripts from where we get our KJV Bible. There is **nowhere in history where Christians gave their lives protecting Satan's text out of Alexandria, Egypt where all of our modern version are coming from** with all their missing verses and altered readings.

As we continue following the precious blood trail, searching for more clues, we would discover a cover-up perpetrated by most of our Bible commentaries and footnotes today in defending the many missing passages of scripture and altered readings (scriptural readings, footnote authors reject as God's Word). All of these footnotes maintain that the "verse is not found in the most ancient manuscript;" or the "verse is not found in the two best authorities," or (like the Scofield Study Bible, it reads) "it is generally agreed that this verse has no real authority and has been inserted." What these footnote authors really meant is that "the best," "the most ancient," "the earliest" or "the closest" text to the original is the Westcott-Hort Greek text (1881) or the Vaticanus and Sinaiticus text and if the verse is not found in them, it should be rejected.

These footnotes are generally found in most Bibles; however, modern versions simply modify the actual verses in the text or omit them entirely, basing them on the information just mentioned. Modern versions also incorporate the footnote views into the actual text.

Throughout our Christian text books you read comments like, "Scholars now have at their disposal a wealth of materials that the KJV translators knew nothing about," or "The Hebrew and Greek texts now available to Bible translators are far superior to what the KJV translators had."

As you read through the sections in this book, you will discover why it is very important for you the reader

not to believe and accept these footnote views (these tares). This is because they are satanic in nature and are doctrines of devils. (1 Tim. 4:1; Heb. 13:9) They were placed there by "good Christian men" (many of whom copied from corrupt Bible scholars) to destroy, to kill and to create hatred (without a cause) for our Holy Bible and point us to the antichrist and his satanic book.

Jesus said, "...beware of the leaven of the Pharisees, and of the leaven of Herod." (Mark 8:15) He simply meant to be aware of the doctrine of these men because they will lead you astray. You the reader will be very shock to know the doctrine and beliefs of the who penned our Hebrew and Greek men dictionaries, lexicons, commentaries, etc., and how they incorporated their beliefs into their work. They did this by deleting and adding words and verses, changing words, their definitions (adding a false meaning) and sentences to modify and subtly reconstruct the verse to get it in line with what they believe. Also, they create their prejudice and jealous standards of "correcting" the KJV but will not apply the very same rules and standards when the same issues show up in modern versions. Thus, our modern versions are produced from these Greek and Hebrew reference and study tools.

The Word of God is a legal document because of what John 12:48 said. Therefore, it is extremely important to carefully and cautiously examine every word that is written. One small word being added, subtracted, deleted or changed can make a big difference to its meaning. This is the very same thing Satan did in Genesis 3:4: he "corrected" God's instructions by adding the word "**not**" in Genesis 2:17. Humanity paid an awful price for accepting this change.

In the days of Isaiah and Jeremiah, many false prophets (false scholars in our day) made the people to trust in lies and taught rebellion against the word of God. God said, "The prophets prophesy lies in my name: I sent them not, neither have I commanded them, neither spake unto them: they prophesy unto you a false vision and divination, and a thing of nought, and the deceit of their heart." (Jer. 14:14) They "...steal my words every one from his neighbour." They "...have perverted the words of the living God…" (Jer. 23:30-32, 36)


Did you ever question why the Old Testament consistently used the term "the word of God" and not "God's commandments" or "God's instructions?" There is an obvious reason for this. <u>As were the warnings</u> <u>proclaimed in the days of Isaiah and Jeremiah just</u> <u>before the destruction of Israel by the Babylonians and the people refused to listen, so is it today</u>. The prophets in their day (Bible scholars, preachers, etc., in our day) perverted God's word among the people. They rejected God's unadulterated Word (the Bible) and taught, accepted and promoted any watered-down modern versions that rebelled against God's Holy book.

Today, our Bible scholars, college professors, preachers, teachers, etc., are assisting with watering down our modern versions and not warning us of this danger. Thus, God said, "Ye have perverted the words of the living God..." (Jer. 23:36) "Ye have plowed

wickedness, ye have reaped iniquity; ye have eaten the fruit of lies: because thou didst trust in thy way, in the multitude of thy mighty men." (Hosea 10:13) Another way of saying this is: "Men have perverted God's Word. They have plowed (or used) a multiplicity of corrupt sources, reaping (their followers or congregation will use any watered down versions) a Satanic Bible; they have swallowed hock line and sinker, scholarship lies, because they trusted in their own ways (Proverbs 3:5) in that they have accepted all Greek and Hebrew study tools without question from a multitude of ("thy mighty men") renown and corrupt scholars like Strong, Vine, Moulton, Milligan, Thayer, Wuest, Trench, Vincent, Liddell, Scott, Zodhiates, Gesenius, Brown, Driver, Briggs, Scrivener, Berry, Westcott, Hort, Aland, Metzger and Ginsburg, all of whom have changed the truth of God's Word into lies.


There are numerous back and forth debates, arguments, discussions, etc., on Bible version issues. Persons listening and reading the two different views are finding it very difficult to decide which position or side to take. One side has to be wrong, but which side is it? Satan has created so much distorted "evidence" and historical data in books and documentary videos, that it has become now extremely difficult to find the truth in an "ocean of lies." It has now become a "Cain and Abel controversy," where persons follow their own Bible version pathways, many of whom ignoring the watereddown journey, modern versions are contagiously taking, ultimately, leading to a rebelliously dark and gloomy **prison of the mind**. We know from the Cain and Abel's story that <u>both gave their heart's best</u>. Unfortunately, though, God rejected Cain's <u>best</u> and accepted Abel's <u>best</u>. So then how can we recognize or discern which view to take? The answer is: very **humbly** pray to God requesting and begging guidance from Him on this issue with an open heart to accept whatever He reveals to you. So that you would know the answer came from Him, also request a "**discerning certification reply**" that only He can plant in your spirit.

As we move closer and closer to the coming antichrist reign spoken of by Daniel and John the Revelator, we will see more and more deception and lies being fed to us through our modern versions. This would ultimately cause the masses of people to follow and worship Satan and his church. (See, "So where are we all headed with these modern versions?" for an illustration of this later in this book.)



### Scholars deceptively tried adding a third group of manuscripts called The Western family

Greek scholar Johann Jakob Griesbach, (1745-1812) who brought textual criticism into the modern era, tried cataloging manuscripts, erroneously adding a third group of manuscripts called "the Western family" to the ONLY two historically established texts known for almost fifteen hundred years as the Byzantine and Alexandrian text families. Scholars today using Griesbach's work, employed this "Western" text ideology only to remove the connotation perception on the significant "two source order" between good and evil, God and Satan, Byzantine and Alexandrian, light and darkness, truth and error, the right way and the wrong way; adding this third factitious source, cover-up the two source order perception making "the right way" or "the right one" more difficult to track from "the wrong way" or "the wrong one." Any common sense individual can clearly see why a third group was necessary to be created among untruthful modern-day scholars. However, even though they tried inserting this third family (for obvious dishonest reasons), there are no proof a western family exist. Even Kurt and Barbara Aland, respected advocates of textual criticism, pointed out that Griesbach's "influence should not be overemphasized since his critical theories have been proven inadequate." (See, "A Visual History of the English Bible," by Donald L. Brake, page 242, with its reference pointing to: Kurt and Barbara Aland, "The Text of the New Testament," Grand Rapids, Eerdmans, 1987, page 9) Griesbach's plan was ONLY to ultimately bring about the downfall of Stephanus's Textus Receptus. ("A Visual History...," page 243)



Which Bible is God's Word? Is it the AMP, ASV, CEV, GNB, GWT, KJ21, KJV, KJV-ER, LB, Msg, NAB, NASB, NIV, NJB, NKJV, NLT, NRSV, NWT, RSV, TEV, TNIV, etc., or all listed in the background on the front cover?

# The Untold History of Your English Bibles

- "Seek ye out of the book of the LORD, and read" (Is. 34:16) -

### The Untold History Of Your English Bible



Their bodies were crushed with a sledgehammer starting with the feet and moving inch by inch towards the stomach area until the victims die of either intense pain, lost of blood or from crushing bones and tissues of internal organs as the executioner slams the area containing the small and large intestines and bladder. (For more information, read the section called, "Trail of Blood," later in this book.)

Christians were tied and covered inside the skin of wild animals and left to be ripped apart by other fierce wild beast or just cruelly feed to them. Some had their stomach cut open and grains put inside. Pigs were then let loose upon them to feed upon the grains and their intestines.

Some had their feet crushed in presses or their feet placed in red hot metal shoe that were heated in a fire until the feet was severely burnt; afterwards, they were force to walk on thorns, nails, sharp shells: anything sharp. Others were whipped until blood gushed out of the wounds; yet others were beaten with heavy rods on their faces until their skull crack open exposing a large part of the brain before stopping the facial beating.

The executioner would also beat the face until it was unrecognizable from cuts, bruises, blood and swellings eventually ending this facial beating in death. Many Christians were beheaded. Red hot metals were placed on tender parts of the body and left until it burned to the bones.

Some were placed naked on chairs filled with red hot sharp spikes. Many Christians were boiled alive in tar or oil and sometimes it was poured on their naked bodies leaving them to die.

Christians were taken to top of temple steps and their feet tied to bulls; then the animal was driven down the steps dragging their victims behind them. By the time the bulls got to the bottom of the steps, the Christian head was split open and their brains dragging behind still attached to the rest of the body. Entire families were burn to death in fire; some had their legs burned to stumps and the executioners would withdraw most of the fire from the victim with a little fire burning below them to maximize the pain and a slow death.

Many Christians were also saved from death, but were mutilated by cutting the ears off, their noses slit, one or both eyes taken out, bones torn out of their sockets or all of their leg and arm bones crushed and left alive to suffer for the rest of their lives; their teeth beaten out or their hair plucked from their head. Satan was ruthless and wanted Christianity dead. ([8], Chapter II, page 5-33)

Why did all of this torture happen? What caused all of this suffering? Why was it allowed to go on? It all started when Jesus ascending back to heaven. Before He left, He warned us that persecution was coming and the reason why it was coming in Matthew 24:9 and John 15:18-25.

Persecution of the early church began with Jesus Christ (His crucifixion); then with Stephan by the chief priest and elders of the people; then expanded everywhere Christian went.

Shortly after Jesus' ascension into heaven and the church begin growing at an alarming rate, the chief priest and leader of the people ordered "threatening," "slaughter" and imprisonment towards all Christians (Acts 9:1) to stamp out and stop its spread among the people. The spread of Christianity became a serious threat to the religious leaders: their livelihood, their business operations and their powerful influence among the people.

As а result of the ordered persecution, born again Christians left their homeland and took with them their "eye witness" accounts. their dood news message of Jesus Christ, their Bible manuscript and fled to other "safe" nations. When this happened, multitudes believed on the Lord Jesus Christ and the church continued to expand. Salvation to the Gentiles, many of whom spoke different languages, were all made possible by the gift of tongues given by God on the day of Pentecost. God knew that persecution was coming and it would take His church and message to the entire world. Thus, the gift of tongues prepared believers for this moment. Christianity rapidly spread to many nations and churches were established wherever they went.

As a result of the gift of tongues, Christians translated God's word in the vernacular tongue of the country they migrated to. (Vernacular meaning: the everyday common and ordinary "street-talk" spoken in one's own dialect; the idiom and all the tongue twisting pronunciations that goes along with the language.) Speaking in this vernacular way in several different languages to carry His words around the world was an awesome miracle God gave to Christians who originally spoke a single language (their native tongue). With this gift from God, it was not possible to tell if the speaker was foreign by how he or she spoke and pronounce words the in language that was being communicated.

Another purpose for the gift of tongues in Acts chapter two was prevent any language (for to example: Greek) to have а monopoly on the pure gospel or where sneaking heresy by linguist translators could stifle its preservation. Some of the Bibles from the underground Christian church prior to the KJB were not translated from the Textus Receptus. as some persons claimed, but from other vernacular Bibles in other language.

Satan was not very happy with this and so he intensified the persecution to avoid any further spread. Thousands of Christians were murdered. This however did not stop its spread; all it did was drove the church further and deeper into other regional "underground" services and worship meetings. We all know the failed story that happened to Satan's number one champion, the Apostle Paul, who was selected to stamp out and destroy the church, but his plans and schemes failed miserably.

At about 100 AD the Bible was compiled into a single copy which contained the Old Testament Hebrew text (translated into Greek by Christians who had the gift of tongues) and the New Testament Greek text, totaling sixty-six (66) books all produced into one perfect copy and distributed to the churches from Antioch of Syria. This compiled copy, along with others in different languages together was called "the Byzantine text" family of copies.

During this time, God's word was preciously preserved and written on papyrus or parchment roll. This writing material was very expensive and people were too poor to afford their very own copy. However, persecution was intense and the scripture had been preserved by Christians who memorized huge portions of the Bible ([2g], page 43)

The first time a document is written, it is called the original autograph; everything else written from this document is called a copy. In the case of the Ten Commandments, the second, hand-written copy given to Moses by God was called **a perfect copy** of the original (This was God's example of copying His Word).

### Now, keep in mind that Satan always counterfeit everything God does. (Is. 14:14)

These Greek manuscripts were all hand-written copies using painstaking techniques and the power of our Great God to ensure that they were perfect copies. Satan saw this and wanted his very own copy but first, it had to be prepared and modified before it was released to the people.

The text had to incorporate as many pagan traditions, teachings and forms of worship, subtly hidden behind carefully reconstructed verses that would sound and read like the Christian Bible. Therefore, several things had to be change in it. All verses that exalt Jesus Christ as God, had to be remove or changed; the power of prayer and worship to God had to be deleted, changed or "weakened;" (e.g. Matthew 17:21) the virgin birth had to be "fixed;" and somehow prayers for the dead had to be included.<sup>1</sup> It had to somehow give the divine authority to Satan's church to rule on the earth and to forgive all sins. Finally, Satan's most hated verses (Is. 14:12) that revealed one of his names (titles) had to also change.

So, using corrupt and arrogant scholars and philosophers out of Alexandra Egypt to do his dirty work, Satan eventually focused his attention on a scholar and philosopher called Origen Adamantius, (185-254) to complete it all and compile it into a single copy. Origen got a perfect copy of God's word from Antioch and copies from his predecessors then went to work making these satanic changes: changing, deleting and adding his very own pagan belief incorporating them into his new Greek text. He was not a Christian and did not believe many of the Biblical accounts and doctrines of the Christian faith. He was another one of Satan's champions to create his new Greek Bible.

Origen's counterfeit Greek manuscript contained his verv own translated Greek Old Testament text called "the ancient Septuagint, LXX," along with the historical fables called the Apocrypha and his very own perverted copy of the New Testament text that was seriously modified. He then very devilishly inserted New Testament verses into his Greek Old Testament text (an idea copied from New Testament writers) to make it appear as though Jesus and the apostles guoted from it. In Psalm 14:3, he added 49 Greek words from Romans 3:13-18, copying them from the New Testament. His goal was to make it look and sound authentic. When he was

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For example: In the Apocrypha at 2 Maccabees 12:46 (Douay-Rheims Edition) it says: "It is therefore a holy and wholesome thought to pray for the dead, that they may be loosed from sins."

completed, Satan's Greek Alexandrian manuscript was completed. ([12b], page 12-29)

A false and fabricated document called "The Letter of Aristeas" was later written claiming that the Septuagint was translated from Hebrew to Greek in 250 B.C. by 72 Jewish scholars. Unfortunately, the problem with this claim was that there was no evidence since this letter surfaced to this present day, to support it. The only conclusive data was that Origen was indeed the author. The Septuagint unfortunately is very popular on the book shelves of today's ministers as a "great help" ONLY because it has the Old Testament text written in Greek which is easier to translate or transliterate into English. Hebrew is an extremely difficult language to learn. (Read the section called, "Can the Septuagint be trusted," later in this book and [12b].)

Just think about it for a moment: Strict orthodox Jews. (before Christ, B.C. and prior to His Mark command in 16:15) "abandoning" their holy, sacred, "Hebrew," scriptural text tradition, translating it to Greek for "heathen" **Gentiles?** "Never!!" Remember that during this time, Gentiles were perceived as low down in the minds of the average Jew. Jesus said, "...I know the blasphemy of them which say they are Jews, and are not, but are the synagogue of Satan. (Revelation 2:9)

All families of copies from Origen's corrupt Greek text are called the "Alexandra text" family. From Origen's corrupt "ancient" Greek manuscript, came the Sinaitcus text and the Vaticanus text both copied by scholars after the death of Origen in 254 AD.

Now Satan had not anticipated the obsessions of scriptural memorization exercises among Christians who preserved and hid the word of God in their hearts. This was devastating to Satan's plans because it was a tremendous help for them to recognize and identifies Bible corruption and counterfeit copies. His persecution methods left Christians no other choice but to master memorization techniques.

Starvation of not hearing or being able to read God's word was very serious especially when Bible manuscripts were being confiscated and destroyed wherever Christians went. The only option for persecuted believers was to memorize the text word for word and recite it entirely from memory. This was quickly done whenever a Bible manuscript was available. Christians were able to recite many verses, entire books of the Bible, the entire New Testament text (and where the powerful presence and movement of the Holy Spirit resided) they no-doubt recited the entire Bible, all from memory.

God used the power of scriptural memorization among Christians to preserve and carry His Word to the people. This was because carrying a Bible manuscript was difficult to hide and very dangerous. Nodoubt. Christians smiled to themselves as they watched their enemies pull apart their belongings, hunting and desperately searching for a physical manuscript that already mentally consumed was to (See. "God's memory. Scriptural Preservation Strategy" in Chapter Two.)

Now, when Satan introduced his counterfeit "bibles" and subtly presented them to the public, Christians recognized immediately that something was wrong with them. Many verses were either missing, altered or changed; these bibles were a disaster. His Bibles were rejected, dumped and never used except to warn other believers to look out for these counterfeit texts.

One of the gifts of the Holy Spirit was discernment which was prevalent among Christians in the early church. Many of them were super sensitive to spiritual things. Unfortunately, though, for the unsaved public, they could not have comprehended and understood the reasons why Satan's counterfeit Greek text was rejected and not received by Christians (Read I Corinthians 2:14 for reasons why). Because of this, Satan's movement of crushing Christians intensified.

Between Jesus' ascension and 200 A.D., persecution of Christians was ongoing and intense. The pagans used all types of ways and means to stop its spread but were unsuccessful. The more suffering and death were inflicted, the more Christianity spread.

Satan's strategic plans working with Roman emperors, the Caesars and nation leaders were not very successful in stamping out Christianity. Persecution had not destroyed the Christian faith. So, using the old rule, "if you can't beat um join um," he introduced his old tactics of duplicating everything God did.

Somewhere between 306 and 337AD, Satan's church was established. He incorporated liturgy (formalism and ritualistic ceremonies) to appear holy or to give the perception of holiness which is still being artfully performed today in all of his churches.

Putting it all together started with Constantine the Great (272-337 AD). What actually happened was that Constantine false claim of converting to Christianity helped him to subtly bridged Christianity and pagan worship together ushering in a new religious system/organization called throughout this book as "the apostate church," Satan's church or "the Christian killing machine church."

To get his newly established church up and running, he proclaimed himself as head (Bishop of all bishops) and requested fifty (50) copies of Origen's false Bible manuscript to be produced and used throughout his realm with each church congregation getting their very own copy. (Most scholars believe that the Vaticanus and Sinaiticus manuscripts we have today were two of these fifty copies that were produced. These two texts did not even agree with each other.)

These are the two manuscripts scholars' today falsely claim to be

### "the best," "the most ancient" or "the closest to the original text."

To further narrow the gap between Pagan and Christianity, Constantine Christianized everything in his pagan kingdom (dates, holidays, objects, statues, relics, art, etc.) and gave pagan gods and goddess Christian names and titles, calling them saints. This was the turning point in history were all pagan deities were Christianized.

Eventually his church began promoting deceased prelates to "sainthood," building statues, altars, shrines and offering incense, songs, praises and prayers to them; an idolatrous practice that is still been performed today.

These pagan idols (statues) that received Christian names, a circle was placed around their heads by sculptures and artist called "areole" to give the perception of holiness. Pagan obelisk (a symbol of the Egyptian qod) was Christianized and is seen on top of church buildings everywhere today. The pagan cross (a type of pagan crucifixion) was Christianized and now seen in all religious circles. Constantine set the Roman's calendar, their holidays and because he worshipped the sun as god, he called the first day of the week, Sunday, in honor of his pagan deity and performed his pagan worship to the sun on this day.

In 321 A.D., he decreed that Sunday become the official day of worship for his church. However, instead of worshipping the true and living God, Constantine and his church congregation worshipped a false and none existing god.

The information below (Sabbath Day or Sunday? Which day to worship?) was copied from <u>www.apublicviewing.com</u>. The continuation of the above can be found after it.

### Sabbath Day or Sunday worship, which day to worship?

God never commanded us to worship Him on the Sabbath day; there are no verses in scripture to support or prove that He did.

### CONTENT SCOPE

The different meaning of the words "worship" and "rest." [P15-16, 20-21, 26-27]

> What is truly meant by: "And God blessed the seventh day, and sanctified it: because that in it he had rested from all his work which God created and made." and "Remember the

- 2 made." and "Remember the Sabbath day, to keep it holy." (Genesis 2:3, Exodus 20:8) Do these verses mean going to a synagogue or church on the Sabbath day to worship God? [P48-52]
- 3 What does Isaiah 66:23 and Ezekiel 46:3 mean? [P54-55]

Understanding the fulfillment of the word "**remember**" and the words "**keep it holy**" in Exodus 20:8 when compared

4 to what Jesus said in Luke 22:19 and Paul admonishes us to do (keeping it holy) in I Corinthians 11:17-34. [P48-49]

PROOF of what Israel actually did and was

5 <u>commanded</u> to do by God on the Sabbath day? [P22-25, 52]

Going to a Synagogue on the Sabbath day came about from men-made traditions: one of many men-made Jewish traditions according to scriptures. (See Matthew 15:2-6, Mark 7:6-8) [P18, 31-32]

**PROOF** Constantine met Christians coming together on the first day of the week he labeled as Sunday. They were attending "church services" long before he was born. [P3-5]

9

Christians come together on the first day of the week (Sunday) as the disciples did in Acts 20:7 to actually

8 "remember" what Jesus did at Calvary, reflecting on everything that points to Him. [P3-4]

Juggling the "**rest**" and "worship" words in verses to make sense of them. [P27]

**10** Jesus' definition of worship. [P13-14]

### INTRODUCTION

Today, there are those who want to make us believe that as a result of what Constantine decreed, anyone who worship God (or attend church services) on Sunday, worship Him on a pagan day and not the Sabbath day. According to them, anyone or everyone worshipping God on Sunday have "the mark of the beast" or "the mark of the Antichrist." They claimed that Constantine the Great, changed Saturday worship to Sunday and made it official forcing all religious worship services to be held on this day.

<sup>2</sup>They further claimed that very soon, a **national Sunday law** will "once again" be enacted (as it was during Constantine reign according to them) forcing all religious worship services to be held on Sunday; thus, their church would be targeted with persecution because "**Saturday or Sabbath worship**" is their "**seal**" and "**sign**" of truly worshipping God. (Exodus 31:12-17)

### ΟΝΕ

<sup>3</sup>The scripture said that "...upon the first day of the week, when the disciples came together to break bread, Paul preached unto them..." (Acts 20:7) "...when ye come together in the <u>church</u>..." (1 Corinthians 11:18) "Now concerning the <u>collection for the saints</u>...Upon the first day of the week let every one of you lay by him in store, <u>as</u> <u>God hath prospered him..."</u> (1 Corinthians 16:1-2) What do churches today **collect** tithes and offering for?

<sup>4</sup>The disciples and the early Christians were congregating together in the church on the first day of the week to celebrate and administer the Lord Supper (braking bread); bringing their tithes and offerings (collection for the saints) to the churches; and listening to the preaching of God's Word (Paul's "first day of the week" model of preaching during this time); a practice or pattern which was copied by Christians everywhere afterwards. (Paul said in I Cor. 11:18, "...when ye come together in the church....") What did they "come together" to do and "where" did they come together to do it? I Cor. 11:20-34 proves that they came together to "brake bread" meaning to eat (take or remember) the Lord's Supper. (I Cor. 10:16: "...The bread which we break, is it not the communion of the body of Christ?") So then, according to Act 20:7, when and where did Christians come together to do this? (The answer is: **on the first** day of the week and at church.)

<sup>5</sup>The fact that Constantine chose to worship his god on the first day of the week **by copying** Christian's "Lord supper" and "tithes and offering" services, is an indication that Acts 20:7 and I Corinthians 16:1-2 were prevalent among early born-again believers. To truly get the point, if Christians were coming together on let's say the fourth day of the week (Wednesday), Constantine probably would have instead named this day Sunday as his worship day.

<sup>6</sup>Thus, Constantine simply **copied** what Christians were already doing on the day he called Sunday. (Constantine also named the seventh day, Saturday, after the pagan god Saturn: a god of agriculture.)

<sup>7</sup>Technically speaking, most Sabbath day worshipping Christians break a New Testament command every week (1 Cor. 16:1-2) and disregard the early Christian celebration of the Lord Supper (Acts 20:7) **on the first day of the week**, which represented the **remembrance** (1 Cor. 11:24-25) of the Lord's death, burial and **first day of the week**, **resurrection**. (As **Moses** commanded Israel what to do on the seventh day, **Paul** commanded Christians what to do on the first day of the week. Also, see [P48-52] below)

<sup>8</sup>Now, all throughout the book of Acts and the early church, Christians held **DAILY** church service meetings in each others' home (Acts 2:46-47, 5:42, 8:3, 20:20) and anywhere the surroundings were somewhat safe. Their services had to be secretly held on days of the week in discreet locations to avoid being easy persecution targets from the chief priest and elders of the people.

<sup>9</sup>These church services were not "lock down" to any special day of the week because of Romans 14:5-6 ("**One man esteemeth one day above another: another esteemeth every day alike...**"). If Christians worshipped in buildings and on a special day as is done today, they would have been easy targets for persecution by radical Jews like Saul of Tarsus. (It would be similar to what is seen today in all Islamic states and their controlled areas, North Korea, China, etc.)

<sup>10</sup>However, the Jews had their Sabbath services in Synagogues on the seventh day because many of them rejected Jesus Christ as the Messiah and continued following the Laws of Moses and the prophets (even though Moses never commanded them to go to Synagogues and worship God on the Sabbath day).

<sup>11</sup>Now, in the book of Acts and during their services held across Jerusalem, **Sabbath services** were <u>NOT</u> targeted with persecution; it was **daily Christian church services or meetings** held in Christian homes that were. (Acts 8:3; 17:1-2) Also, **Sabbath attendees or their Synagogue services** were <u>NOT</u> targeted by the Jewish radicals or the religious leaders and therefore, their temple and synagogues were not attacked. **Daily Christian church services** were attacked and their homes invaded (Acts 8:3) to stamp out and stop the spread of Christianity.

<sup>12</sup>Therefore, Christians were not targeted because of "Sabbath day services" or because Constantine decreed that Sunday was the official day of worship. They were persecuted for preaching, teaching and worshipping "Jesus Christ." (Acts 4:1-2, 17-18, 5:28-42)

<sup>13</sup>It was Jesus Christ, HIMSELF who warned us about the approaching persecution AND the REASON FOR WHY IT WAS COMING in <u>Matthew 24:9</u> and John 15:18-25. He "NEVER!" said persecution will come as a result of a "worship day" law. This was because worshipping Him could be done anywhere, anyplace and anytime even in a very dark and secluded dungeon. (Acts 16:24-25)

<sup>14</sup>For the born-again believer, everyday of the week including Saturday were conducive for worship because of what Jesus said in John 4:21-23 and 14:6-13 ("...the true worshippers shall worship the Father in spirit and in truth...") The day of worship for Christians was not centered on "a special day or place," but on worshiping Jesus Christ, (who is God) "in spirit and in truth;" this simply meant: a daily lifestyle of righteous and Christ-like living. Even David instructed us to worship God daily in 1Chronicles 16:23-24 and Psalm 96:1-2.

<sup>15</sup>Worship and rest are completely different in meaning and as a result, nowhere in scripture had God commanded us to worship Him on the seventh day. (Glorify, honor, praise, bow down to, etc., versus stop work, lie-down, refresh oneself, relax, sleep, etc. See [P27] below.) Furthermore, Jesus preached on all days and not just only on the seventh day and therefore, God wants us to worship Him every moment of our lives each day. Thus, worship is everything or anything done that praises, pleases, exalts and bring honor to God.

<sup>16</sup>Besides this, worship is not at all entirely just going to a place, (a building, a synagogue, the temple, a church, etc.) on the Sabbath day; neither is it a gathering along with others of like faith. Worship is a lifestyle and there is no special day in scripture set aside for it. It is a lifestyle of doing "good" that glorifies God.

There are <u>NO</u> weekly Sabbath Day worshippers found anywhere in the Old Testament. See, [P54-55] below for an explanation of Isaiah 66:23.

<sup>17</sup>More specifically, there is **NOWHERE** in <u>the Old Testament</u> scripture where God's people went to the Tabernacle, Temple, Synagogue or a building **every Sabbath day** to worship God.

<sup>18</sup>During and after the Babylonian captivity, the Jews built synagogues and began attending them on the Sabbath day to remember (or keep in remembrance) God and what He did for them. They then centered their Sabbath day services around "the day" (creating a new tradition which later spilled over to what Christians began doing on the first day of the week) because it was a "seal" and a "sign" to them of their allegiance towards God. (Exodus 31:12-17) Similarly, truly worshipping "Jesus Christ" was/is the born-again believer's "seal" and "sign" (sealed by the Holy Spirit: II Corinthians 1:21-22; Ephesians 1:13, 4:30) of allegiance to God. Thus, when the Apostle Paul preached to Jews, he went into the synagogue on the Sabbath day; and when he preached to Christians, he went to their homes any and every day of the week.

<sup>19</sup>"First day of the week church meeting services" or "Sabbath day meeting services" was not the "big issue" for the early Christians; it was worshipping Jesus Christ daily that was the main focus. This was because salvation was/is found in Him ALONE. (Acts 4:12)

<sup>20</sup>As was mentioned above, the words "**rest**" and "**worship**" are two different words with completely different meanings. **If we were to**  take the word "rest" to mean going to a church meeting service (a synagogue, temple, etc. See [P27] below.), then we must also include animals in our church meeting services. If we were to take Exodus 20:10 and Deuteronomy 5:14 at face value, then we must include (or bring) all of our animals to our church meeting services. What is said of sons, daughters, strangers, menservants and maidservants is also said of animals: "...nor thine ox, nor thine ass, nor any of thy cattle ... "

<sup>21</sup>If the word "**rest**" is to be interpreted as attending church meeting services on the seventh day, then not to include our animals would be to partially obey the fourth commandment. If we do NOT include them, and if "rest" is to mean "the day of worship," or the day to attend church meeting services, then we are not obedient to God's forth commandment law or Sabbath day law. (We cannot obey part of God's command and ignore the other part as most Sabbath day worshippers did and continue to do today if we were to accept their definition of "rest.")

There are 288 times the King James Bible (the Old and New Testament) uses the word "rest" and neither one time in any of their contexts does the verse refers to worship or attending "worship" services on the Seventh day; not even Isaiah 66:23. (See [P27, 54-55] below.)

<sup>22</sup>The book of Exodus tells us exactly what God meant, commanded, wanted or intended Israel to do on the seventh day. Just days before the Ten Commandments were given, God's instruction for what was to be done on the Sabbath day was very clear: "Abide ye every man in his place, let no man go out of his place on the seventh day." (Exodus 16:29) So what does this verse mean? It simply meant: Don't leave your home on the Sabbath day. This was exactly what the children of Israel did. (This was with exception to special

#### convocations where all Israel were commanded to assemble at the tabernacle or Temple for a special meeting.)

<sup>23</sup>In Chapter 16 of Exodus, God clearly conveyed exactly what He meant and the reason for resting on the Sabbath day. Manna was just introduced (a type of Christ and His body as is written in John 6:32-58) to Israel along with instructions NOT to go outdoor looking for it on the Seventh day (or to go looking for Christ elsewhere). Nevertheless, on this day, some of the people still went outdoor looking for manna (Ex. 16:27). God was displeased with them and stated exactly what the people were to do on the seventh day in Exodus 16:29 which was what they actually did.

<sup>24</sup> God commanded Moses not to kindle any fire on the Sabbath day because He knew in the future man would use fire to create or invent a more advance, high level and efficient form of transportation. This no-doubt was **PROOF** that God originally intended Israel to "rest," (meaning, "don't leave home" or "stay home") on the Sabbath day.

<sup>25</sup>If we were to presently follow the Laws of Moses, then, this is exactly what we all should be doing on the Sabbath day. Leaving home, kindling fire (like driving cars or catching a bus, train, plane, etc.) or allowing strangers within our gates (meaning within our control: hotel and their workers, taxi drivers, airport workers, pilots, restaurant workers, etc.) to "kindle the fire" for us on this day was a direct violation of God's forth commandment.

<sup>26</sup>The preciseness and the exactness of the fourth commandment (the Sabbath day law) interpretation is "**REST**;" compete **REST** (Exodus 23:12, 31:15, 34:21 and many other verses). Absolutely no work was permitted. Defining and clearly understanding what "**rest**," "**work**" and "**worship**" mean would solve the greatest controversial issue among "Sabbath" and "non-Sabbath" day worshippers.

<sup>27</sup>Below are some juggling of the words "**rest**" and "**worship**" to see how they both fit in to understand and explain their meaning in scripture.

1 Matthew 11:28-30

Come unto me, all ye that labour and are heavy laden, and I will give you rest. Take my voke upon you, and learn of me; for I am meek and lowly in heart: and ye shall find rest unto your souls. For my yoke is easy, and my burden is light. Come unto me, all ye that labour and are heavy laden, and I will give you worship. Take my yoke upon you, and learn of me; for I am meek and lowly in heart: and ye shall find worship unto your souls. For my voke is easy, and my burden is light.

2 Genesis 2:2

And on the seventh day God ended his work which he had made; and he **rested** on the seventh day from all his work which he had made.

And on the seventh day God ended his work which he had made; and he **worshipped** on the seventh day from all his work which he had made.

3 Exodus 23:12

Six days thou shalt do thy work, and on the seventh day thou shalt **rest**; that thine ox and thine ass may **rest**, and the son of thy handmaid, and the stranger, may be refreshed.

Six days thou shalt do thy work, and on the seventh day thou shalt **worship**; that thine ox and thine ass may **worship**, and the son of thy handmaid, and the stranger, may be refreshed.

#### 4 Hebrews 4:1-9

Let us therefore fear, lest, a promise being left us of entering into his **rest**, any of you should seem to come short of it...For we which have believed do enter into **rest**, as he said, As I have sworn in my wrath, if they shall enter into my **rest**: although the works were finished from the foundation of the world. For he spake in a certain place of the seventh day on this wise, And God did **rest** the seventh day from all his works...For if Jesus had given them **rest**, then would he not afterward have spoken of another day. There remaineth therefore a **rest** to the people of God.

Let us therefore fear. lest. a promise being left us of entering into his worship, any of you should seem to come short of it...For we which have believed do enter into worship, as he said, As I have sworn in my wrath, if they shall enter into my worship: although the works were finished from the foundation of the world. For he spake in a certain place of the seventh day on this wise, And God did worship the seventh day from all his works...For if Jesus had given them worship, then would he not afterward have spoken of another day. There remaineth therefore a worship to the people of God.

<sup>28</sup>Rest in the verses above and all others found in scripture and it's meaning, does not make any sense when replacing them with the word worship. Thus, rest means to lie-down, refresh oneself, relax, sleep, etc., and worship is an entirely different word with another meaning. [P15, 20-21, 26-27] <sup>29</sup>Did you know that most

<sup>29</sup>Did you know that most form of worship like Jesus Christ methods of doing good (Luke 6:1-5) requires "work?" Think about it for a moment! The Jews did not think so because they placed their traditions over and above God's law.

<sup>30</sup>Moses never commanded the Children of Israel to worship God on the seventh day. This was neither found in any of the other laws, status and Judgments given by him.

<sup>31</sup>So then, the question is: Where and when did attending a Synagogue on the Sabbath day started? This was

#### not seen anywhere in pre-Babylonian captivity period.

<sup>32</sup>As mentioned earlier, during and after the Babylonian captivity, a new form of manmade Sabbath rules emerged where the Jews began going to synagogues (a building or a new type of place not previously mentioned in scripture except for David's prophecy in Psalm 74:8) on the Sabbath day. This became a Jewish tradition on the Sabbath day and a very good one. This was because Jesus Christ in scripture is also seen to have partially endorsed it (perhaps somewhat with the future of Acts 20:7 and I Corinthians 16:1-2 in mind and every week thereafter) by also going to the synagogue on the Sabbath day; thus, signifying that it was good.

<sup>33</sup>However, Jesus took it beyond the Jews traditional boundaries which stirred up hatred, remorse and anger among them; they themselves were doing the very same thing which was changing rules and laws due to the changing times and society like we see prevalent today among Sabbath day worshippers. (Really and truly, in one sense, they worship, "**the day**," another form of idolatry.)

of idolatry.) <sup>34</sup>There is nowhere in scripture where going to a synagogue or a "church" building on the Sabbath day was commanded by God; nevertheless, it was a very good tradition among the Jews because God got the glory from it. This was why Jesus said what He did in John 4:21-24 ("...the hour cometh, when ye shall neither in this mountain, nor yet at Jerusalem, worship the Father...the true worshippers shall worship the Father in spirit and in truth...").

#### ΤWΟ

<sup>35</sup>The greatest law in the entire Bible from the creation of man to this very day isn't the Ten Commandments; it was the blood sacrificial law. This law was so important, it operated without any of the other laws and status and was performed and practiced long before Moses was given the **old** 

#### covenant (the Ten

Commandments) "written on two tables of stones" (Exodus 34:28, Deuteronomy 4:13, 9:9-11, 9:15). This sacrificial law was one of the only established laws given to man from the Garden of Eden to the establishment of the Ten Commandment law that was recorded and seen performed by Abel, Noah, Abraham, Isaac, Jacob, Job, etc.

<sup>36</sup>There were also Old Testament gentile saints who were not following the Ten Commandments, but were sacrificing lambs on alters to God; the law given by God after man was driven out from the Garden of Eden. (Numbers 22:40, 23:1-4, 14-17, 29-30) Even heathen Philistines in I Samuel 6:1-18 knew that sacrificial offerings took away sins. <sup>37</sup>Hebrew 7:19 says: "For

the law made nothing perfect, but the bringing in of a better hope did..." Therefore, we cannot be made perfect with the Ten Commandments laws. This can only happen by being made perfect with the "better hope" without any other law.

This SACRIFICIAL LAW was so important that Christians were commanded to regularly come together to remember and symbolically celebrate it as a memorial in the form of "The Lord's Supper" typifying Jesus' sacrificially bruised body and His shed blood for mankind. Also, commanded and tied along with this important law was Baptism Baptism. for all believers was to be performed ONCE after conversion, symbolizing the physical association with the sacrificial death, burial and resurrection of our LORD and Savior, Jesus Christ.

The SACRIFICIAL LAW was the ONLY law that could single handedly, fix our sin problem and take them all away. The Ten Commandments never could have done this (Rom 5:12 and I John 1:8-10). That's because it was our "schoolmaster" (Galatians 3:24) like an X-Ray machine or a mirror, to teach and show us our problem but could never fix or take them away. The sacrificial law ("repackaged" and "renamed," Grace), fixed our sin problem and took them ALL away.

<sup>38</sup>This law was so important that it is celebrated in two ordinances of the Christian church (the Lord's Supper and Baptism) and was "repackaged" and "renamed" in the New Testament scripture with the title called. "Grace;" this was after Jesus Christ's Resurrection. But more importantly, Jesus' death made it so much easier for men sins to be forgiven (without working): it could now be done just by simply praying (no physical work **involved**). In the Old Testament, it was done by working: purchasing or breeding the animal, killing it, taking and offering it on the altar, burning it, etc.

<sup>39</sup>Moses CLEARLY declared with absolutely no mistaken misinterpretations by using so many "US" word, that the Ten Commandments law was not given to Old Testament saints who had died and where not present at the time; but it was given ONLY to the children of Israel and their descendants who were alive and present on the day the Ten Commandment was made known. And therefore, pre-Ten **Commandments Covenant saints** ONLY had the sacrificial law to work with. Moses said: "The LORD our God made a covenant with US in Horeb. The LORD made not this covenant with our fathers. but with US, even US, who are all of US here alive this day." (Deuteronomy 5:2-3)

<sup>40</sup>Hebrews 9:22 said, "...without shedding of blood is no remission" of sins. There is nowhere in Genesis where pre-Old Covenant saints kept the Ten Commandment laws or <u>RESTED on the seventh day</u>.

They relied ONLY on the sacrificial law to have their sins forgiven. <sup>41</sup>Praise the LORD for

Grace without the law: Jesus Christ blood sacrifice repackaged and renamed, Grace. Without it, we all would have been lost and on a hopeless journey to the lake of fire. Thank God for the new covenant of Grace contracted **ONLY** between God and His ONLY Son, Jesus Christ. Thus, all who are "IN Christ" are made new (I Corinthians 5:17, Ephesians 2:10). For the old covenant law that was contracted **ONLY** between God and the children of Israel, **failed miserably. God's new covenant contract with His Son**, <u>did not fail</u>!

THREE

<sup>42</sup>The Old Testament **Ten** Commandment Law was fulfilled (repackaged and renamed) in the New Testament One Commandment law that says: "ye MUST be BORN AGAIN!" (John 3:7) So then why work is no longer required when the individual is born-again? The answer is: because when true New Birth experience (born-again) occurs, several things happen. One of which is: "God Possession!" The person becomes GOD **POSSESSED!** As a result, the person began radiating the fruits of the Holy Spirit which "... is love, joy, peace, longsuffering, gentleness, goodness, faith, meekness, temperance: against such there is no law." (Galatians 5:22-23) <sup>43</sup>Like "demon

**possession,**" where the evil spirit causes victims to uncontrollably do things against their will, the opposite is true with the Christian where "**God possession**" causes the believer to automatically radiate all the fruits of God's indwelling Holy Spirit and at the same time allow them (**God possessed** believers) their own free will.

<sup>44</sup>A demon whose strong hold is lust, his victim will **radiate** an uncontrollable lustful behavior; if the demon strong hold is lying, his victim will become a compulsive liar; the same is true with theft, adultery, fornication, homosexuality, murder, disobedience, etc. On-the-otherhand, if **the fruit of God's Spirit is love**, **joy**, **peace**, **longsuffering**, **gentleness**, **goodness**, **faith**, **meekness and temperance**,

(Galatians 5:22-23) after God possession (after conversion or the new birth: born-again), the born-again believer will radiate these attributes of God's Holy Spirit which is why II Corinthians 5:17 said what it did. ("Therefore if any man be in Christ, he is a new creature: old things are passed away; behold, all things are become new.") If you (or someone you know) do not display these qualities of God's Spirit, then God is not living inside; there is/was no new birth: born-again. Examine yourself!

<sup>45</sup>Ephesians 5:9 says: "For the fruit of the Spirit is in ALL goodness and righteousness and truth." What does this verse mean? What does "in all goodness," "in all righteousness" and "in all truth," mean? Certainly, it DID NOT say, neither did it mean "in all things that are." It said, in all goodness, righteousness and truth. This simply meant that because the fruit of the Spirit is EVERYTHING or ALL (no more and no less) that is good; ALL that is righteous and ALL that is truth, there is really no need for anything else. Once you internally have the fruit of the Spirit you have ALL the GOODNESS, ALL the RIGHTEOUSNESS AND ALL the TRUTH you will ever need. Everything inclusive! Everything you need! No need for anything else! No further need for any external law.

For proof that born-again longer need an Christians no (like the Ten external law Commandments) because of the indwelling Holy Spirit, lets look at 31:31-34 carefully Jeremiah (fulfilled, as is mentioned in Hebrew 8:7-13). It says: "Behold, the days come, saith the LORD, that I will make a new covenant with the house of Israel, and with the house of Judah: Not according to the covenant that I made with their fathers in the day that I took them by the hand to bring them out of the land of Egypt; which my covenant they brake, although I was an husband unto them, saith the LORD: But this shall be the covenant that I will make with the house of Israel; After those days, saith the LORD, I will put my law in their inward parts, and write it in their hearts; and will be their God, and they shall be my people. And they shall teach no more every man his neighbour, and every man his brother, saying, Know the LORD: for they shall all know me, from the least of them unto the greatest of them, saith the LORD; for I will forgive their iniquity, and I will remember their sin no more."

The "they," "their" and "them" mentioned are born-again Christians in this text. God did this by "God possession" or walking into the body of the Christian believer as was promised by Jesus Christ pointing to the comforter (The Holy Spirit) who will live inside and abide with the born-again Christian forever (John 14:15-26).

<sup>46</sup>For a more comprehensive investigation of this section, log on to the link below; scroll down and click on the documents called, *The Big Fight: Moses' TEN Commandment Old Testament LAWS versus Jesus' One commandment New Testament LAW.* 

(www.apublicviewing.com) <sup>47</sup>Remember, Moses

pointed to Christ (Deut. 18:15, Acts 3:22 and 7:37) and instructed Israel that everything He (Jesus) commanded thereafter should be done or followed; thus, over-riding everything he (Moses) commanded.

### FOUR

<sup>48</sup>What does, "remember the Sabbath day," means? The answer is to "remember and reflex on what God did at creations" (the first creation). Thus, the first man Adam (not literally, but symbolically) stopped-work, rested, lied-down, slept, etc. and reflected on what God did at creation. What does Jesus meant by saying: "this do in remembrance of me?" The answer is: remember Jesus' broken body, shed blood (the Lord Supper) and all of what He did at Calvary (the second creation: the new birth). What does "keep it holy" in the Old

Testament mean? The answer is to do all that was commanded by God to be done. (See [P52] below.) Those who disobeyed, was commanded to be put to death in the Old Testament. Similarly, in the New Testament, what does "keep it holy" means for Christians? The answer is to examine yourselves. ensuring that you are worthy to partake of the Lord's Supper. (1 Corinthians 11:27-30) Those who disobeyed or were unworthy were sickly and many died (God's judgment of sickness and death for not keeping it holv). In both Old and New Testament, this was the penalty for not following God's instructions for "keeping it holv." (Ex. 20:8, 1 Cor. 11:17-34)

<sup>49</sup>"Remember the

Sabbath day to keep it holy." (Ex. 20:8) Remember this day to reflex on God's creation WEEKLY. One day every week to reflex on what God did by creating the earth and everything on it. "This do in remembrance of me." Remember to do this to reflex on God's new creation in Christ; or what He did ONCE. A symbolically everyday REST to reflex on what God did at Calvary. The first man Adam was to remember what God did the day He created the universe. The second man Adam was instructed to remember the new birth in Christ; what He did at Calvary.

<sup>50</sup>What did it cost God to create man? It cost Him dirt from the ground of the earth in which He made. What did it cost God to perform the new birth experience (new Creation in Christ)? The answer is death to His physical body (along with intense suffering and great lost of blood). Thus, the requirement of man from the first creation was to REST one day of each week (repeated sacrifices or repeated REST). The requirement of man from the second creation was so great that man was to remember and reflex every moment on what was done at Calvary (one sacrifice or an eternal. single REST).

<sup>51</sup>Imagine the Temple of God being a place that was "blessed" and "sanctified," (as was "the seventh day" in Gen. 2:3) then

God allowed it to be defiled and destroved later. Like "the Day," does it sound like an ONLY "place" or "time" of worship? "The Day" could not have ONLY been "the day" for worship like the Temple. Thus, there are no differences with what God "blessed" and "sanctified" (Gen. 2:3): the day or the temple. It could not have been the ONLY place for worship. Both could not have been ONLY designated for worship. As mentioned earlier, this was because worship is a lifestyle and those who worship God does so in spirit and in truth; this can be done anywhere and at anytime. If the Temple was destroyed, then worship would have been destroyed; if "the day" was destroyed (taking the seventh day out of our weekly calendar), then worship would have been destroyed. Worship therefore cannot be attached to "a place" or "a day."

52 Is the command to worship found in Genesis 2:3? The answer is NO! Is the command to worship found in Exodus 20:8? The answer again is NO! So then, how was Israel supposed to "keep the Sabbath day holy?" The answer is: they were supposed to follow God's instructions on what to do on "the day." Those instructions were: (1) Do not leave home on the Sabbath day unless there was a command to assemble at the Tabernacle for further instructions. (2) Don't kindle fire on the Sabbath day. [P24] (3) Do not let your animals, servants, visiting strangers work. [P20-21] (4) Rest, do no work, lie down, relax, sleep and reflex on what God did at creation.

### FIVE

<sup>53</sup>Sabbath day worshippers hold the view that 1 Cor. 16:1-2 was directed only to the Corinthian Church. Upon examining verse one ("Now concerning the collection for the **saints**, as I have given order to the **churches** of Galatia, even so do ye.") we see that because of both words' "saints" and "churches," this was not the case. This command from Paul (by inspiration from God) was given to all saints. Furthermore, if Christians were to hold the view that Paul's letters to the churches were only directed to persons who they were addressed to, then it creates a serious doctrinal problem for all believers today including Sabbath day worshippers. For example, if Sabbath worshippers adopt this view (which they did), then was the laws of **Moses** and the sacrificial law for the Children of Israel ONLY and not Gentiles?

<sup>54</sup>Sabbath day worshippers usually point to Isaiah 66:23 ("...from one Sabbath to another, shall all flesh come to worship before me...") and Ezekiel 46:3 as their PROOF text of Sabbath day worship, but when examining Isaiah 66:20-24 and Ez. 46:1-12. we discover that these verses are far from what they claim it mean. Firstly, this was not a command from God, but what nations will be doing. Secondly, this was what nations would have been doing if Jesus Christ did not die but became King of Israel and shortly afterwards, the world. Thirdly, the verse meant day and night they will come: daily (seven days a week: "from one Sabbath to another"); and nightly (thirty days a month: "from one new moon to another") all nations (also see, "year to year" in Zec. 14:16) will come to Jerusalem bringing their (sin) offering in a clean vessel. Fourthly, did this happen because sin offering ended at the cross? Fifthly, night and day will continue but we know that there will be no nights in heaven: just one never ending day we all will enter into.

For PROOF, Zechariah 14:6-7 says: "And it shall come to pass in that day, that the light shall not be clear, nor dark: But it shall be one day which shall be known to the LORD, not day, nor night: but it shall come to pass, that at evening time it shall be light." Also, read Rev. 22:5.

<sup>55</sup>Similarly, they would point to Psalm 42:4; but again this was not a command from God; instead, it was what David did along with other Sabbath keeping Israelites because of his overwhelming emotional stress mentioned in verse 2 and 3. For a thorough or more comprehensive investigation of Is. 66:23 and this entire document, log on to the link below. Scroll down and click on two documents called, *The Big Fight* and *What would have happened if Jesus Christ didn't die?* (www.apublicviewing.com)

#### SIX

<sup>56</sup>Persons mentioned earlier, also want to make us believe that true Christianity disappeared and was consumed by paganism during the reign of Constantine. They say that Christianity was restored in the 18th century when their organization was established.

<sup>57</sup>However, when examining scripture in Matthew 16:18, we discover that this is so untrue. Jesus said, "...upon this rock I will build my church; and the gates of hell **shall not prevail** against it" (Nothing could have destroyed or stop God's church; it was/is indestructible and continued even before, during and after Constantine's reign.)

<sup>58</sup>As God told Elijah (who thought he was the only one that did not forsake God's covenant) in I Kings 19:18, "...I have left me seven thousand in Israel, all the knees which have not bowed unto Baal," Christianity was very much alive all throughout history, but operating in the underground world. (Read Romans 11:2-5 which put an end to this argument.)

As Dale Ratzlaff, author of the book, Sabbath in Crisis, puts it (see page 16 of his book): "A highly developed and wellorganized <u>belief system</u> can often be the most dangerous blinder to truth. We need only to look at history to see this demonstrated time and again." Therefore, be careful what you accept as truth.

<sup>59</sup>Also, to end here, God said in the first creation (the first man Adam) in Exodus 20:8, "**Remember** the Sabbath day to keep it holy." Jesus said in the second creation (the second man Adam; read Rom. 5:12-21, 2 Cor. 5:17, 1 Cor. 15:45) at Luke 22:19, "...This is my body which is given for you: <u>this do</u> in **remembrance** of me." In-other-words, originally, one day of <u>rest</u> to **remember** the first creation and everything God did; and now every day <u>rest</u> (Heb. 4:1-11) for the <u>rest</u> of one's life to **remember** the second creation and what Jesus Christ did.

# CONCLUSION

<sup>60</sup>Finally, this writer is not trying to convince Sabbath worshippers to stop attending church services on Saturday; continue if you wish. It doesn't take away or add to your salvation in Christ. However, worshipping "the day" is idolatry; it was never commanded by God to be done or perform this way. (This is like worshipping the sun, moon, stars, planets, etc. Also, many Sabbath worshippers think that once they worship God on this day, they are OK; their salvation or eternal life according to them is sure.) Jesus was/is LORD of the Sabbath and changed what was commanded to be done on "the day" by all the things He did during His ministry on earth.

<sup>61</sup>As was mentioned earlier, Moses pointed to Christ (Deut. 18:15, Acts 3:22 and 7:37) and instructed Israel that everything He (Jesus Christ) commanded thereafter should be followed; thus, over-riding everything he (Moses) commanded.

# QUESTIONS

(1) Which day of the week did the disciples came together to perform the Lord's Supper? What was also done at the same time? [P3-4] (2) Who was recorded as preaching on this day? [P3] (3) Which day of the week Christians were instructed to pay their tithes and offerings? [P3] (4) Why do you think Constantine selected the first day of the week (and not the fourth day) and called it Sunday? [P3-6] (5) Did God commanded Israel to

(5) Did God commanded Israel to worship Him on the seventh day or was worship to be a life style if one was to follow **ALL** of the laws of Moses? [P13-16] (6) What are the true meanings of the word "<u>rest</u>" in the Old and New Testament? [P15]
(7) What are the proof texts that God wanted Israel to stay at home and not to leave on the Sabbath day? [P22-23]

(8) Is 2 Sam. 12:18-20 proof of Sabbath day worship or was it 7 days after the child got sick?
(9) Is Isaiah 66:23 a command from God to worship Him on the seventh day or its what nations would have been doing if Jesus did not die? IP54-551 (10) Why do you think Christians met in their homes to worship God? They could have built more Synagogues and attend them instead. [P9]

In spite of Constantine's fake Christian conversion proclamation, persecuted Christians took no chances and many of them remained in their underground hiding. Constantine eventually died in 337 A.D. and Siricius (384-399) took over control of the church as its head. (If you have not already done so, please read the inserted notes above called: "Sabbath Day worship or Sunday worship?")

A new world language emerged called Latin and Christians began translating God's words from Greek into Latin. (Even though Latin exist during the life of Christ, it became the common language of choice the same way English is now the universal and common language of choice today.) This translated Latin copy rapidly spread throughout Europe and many other nations and in many other languages. It was so widely used and accepted by born-again Christians that it assumed the term "Vulgate" as a name because it was the text for the common people (Vulgate comes from the word "vulgar" which is the Latin word for "common.") Thus, "the Old Latin Vulgate" was the common Bible used by Christians. This new translated text for the believers became known as the Old Latin Bible or the Old Itala Bible (157 A.D.).

As always the case, copy-cat Satan again wanted his very own Alexandrian Greek text, produce by Origen, translated into Latin. Furthermore, because he failed miserably to get Christians to accept his Greek copy, he came up with two "brilliant" ideas that would achieve this goal. The plan was to translate Greek to Latin and give his Latin copy a name that was close or similar to the name of the Christian Bible -- The Vulgate -- and deprive the people from education particularly from reading, writing and learning Latin. (Thus, the Christian Bible was called "The Old Latin Vulgate" and so Satan names his Bible, "The Vulgate Bible.") If he did this then the people would have to rely on his ministers who would be the only ones trained to interpret the Latin text for them. So according to Satan and his church, this was sure to work but, didn't.

apostate church instructed The Jerome in 380 A.D., (who confessed to this hideous crime of corrupting the Old Itala Bible: [2c], page 963) to translate Origen's Greek Bible, which included the books of the Apocrypha, into Latin. Also, at the same time make additional adjustments bringing some of Origen's previous changes closer to the reading of the Christian Bible. When Jerome completed this task, the apostate church received their very own Latin Bible that was similar to Origen's Greek Bible and misleadingly named it "the Vulgate or common Bible. (Read [1a], page 117)

Warning: The biggest cover-up in Biblical history lies here between the Old Itala Bible and the Latin Vulgate. Jerome's Latin vulgate Bible is not to be confused with the Old (Latin) *Itala* Bible. They are not the same. The Bible Christians used was called "the *itala* Bible" or "The Latin:" Old (157 A.D.) Jerome's Bible was called "The Vulgate." (380 A.D.) Unfortunately, Satan has already "muddied" information available on these two, very different texts when researching them over the internet. If you enter in the search field of your internet browser "The Old Latin" Bible or "The Vulgate Bible," both reference points to Jerome's Latin vulgate Bible as the internet search answer. Most books written today do not mention the Itala Old Bible: emphasis are placed only on the Vulgate which was produce by the apostate church.

When Jerome's Bible was released by the apostate church to the people, again Christians who had the gift of the Latin tongue knew immediately, that something was very wrong with it. Many doctrines of the Christian faith were still missing along with missing verses and altered readings. Failing miserably again, this text was abandoned and rejected and never used by Christians in their underground churches. The disappointed apostate church however, made a few copies of them and spread throughout the region them hoping Christians would not discern what was done, but this did not work.

Nevertheless, this rejected version was sanctioned by the apostate church and anyone who was caught with any other Bible was killed and their Bible destroyed. Unfortunately, this rejected text survived throughout the centuries, due mainly to a lack of usage. They never wore-out or had to be replaced because Christians never used them. [10e] This may very well be the reason why these "ancient" manuscripts have been found intact.

The apostate church guarded their satanic copy and only allowed church leaders to read and interpret it. This was done to keep the people ignorant from the truths found in the word of God. Their Bible messages were: <u>Salvation was only attained through the church and its rules;</u> forgiveness of sins was only received through the church. (The church sold "letters of Indulgence" and therefore, anyone who purchased one, had their sins forgiven no matter what the sin was. Also, people could confess their sins to the church leaders and have them forgiven if strict penitent instructions were followed.) Because the apostate church followers were not educated in Latin (could not read or write it), they relied heavily only on Satan's church leaders for spiritual "truth" and guidance. As a result, false teaching and ignorance of God's word was rampant.

During the period between 157 and 900 A.D. there were many phony texts that surfaced and were authored by deceitful and untruthful scholars from the apostate church; all instigated behind the scene by Satan. For example: The Acts of Paul, The Revelation of Peter, The Epistle of The Institutions Barnabas. of the Apostles, The Gospel of Thomas, The Gospel of Judas. The Gospel of Marv Magdalene, First Epistle of Clement to the Corinthians, Second Epistle of Clement to the Corinthians, The letter of the Smyrnaeans or the Martyrdom of Polycarp, The Shepherd of Hermas, The Book of Enoch, The Psalms of Solomon, The Odes of Solomon, The Testaments of the Twelve Patriarchs, Second Baruch, Third Baruch, The Books of Adam and Eve, The Acts of Phillip and Didache. ([6], page 69 and logon to: https://carm.org/lost-books)

Jesus said: "...a stranger will they not follow, but will flee from him: for they know not the voice of strangers." (John 10:5) "My sheep hear my voice, and I know them, and they follow me..." (John 10:27)

Satan over the centuries tried desperately hard to get these books copied and included into the 66 canon books of the scriptures but failed. Today, these false books together are all given the title, "The Lost Books of the Bible or "The forgotten Books of the Bible."

Surprisingly, several hundred years old manuscripts are today being discovered more frequently. Sadly though, because they were written several hundred years ago, today they are widely and

generally accepted as authentic among the scholarly elites. To truly get the point, anyone can write a book today with nonsense reading of Christian life and it probably would widely and generally be accepted as authentic, let's say in the year 3712 A.D. by the same pompous group.

Nevertheless, the true Word of God was available "underground" and if anyone was caught with a copy or worshipping with other Christians, they risk their own lives by being burnt alive at the stake and their copy destroyed. The apostate church was the only official institution allowed as the place of worship and all other unauthorized gatherings or congregating for religious worship were classified as illegal and punishable by torture and death.

The apostate church tried hard infiltrate desperately to the underground Christian church with its false message and Bible but failed for every time. (Matthew 16:18) As a result, Christian persecution further intensified and because of all these repeated failures, Europe was ushered into what was called "the Dark Ages" or "the Medieval Period."

By this time, Satan's church had become so rich and powerful that kings and nation rulers were afraid of its leaders; also, because it could result in death or war to anyone or any nation that appose Satan's church religious laws, they surrender their allegiance and supreme power to this religious bully.

The Dark Ages or medieval period was a dark period in history of massive and sickening horrors of suffering and death done to Christians who had truly given their lives to the Lord Jesus Christ and paid a painfully awful price for doing so. (The monstrous torture and massacred inflicted upon them is very painful describing. Read [8a], for many testimonies of the atrocities done by the apostate church and read Chapter Four for some illustrations.) Satan's church gangsters used the most ferocious weapon in the history of mankind with a destructive force worse than the atomic bomb dropped on Hiroshima, Japan in 1945; worse than all the brutal killings ordered by Adolph Hitler (who was an undercover agent working for the apostate church and carrying out its wishes) during world war two in the 1930s and 1940s. This catastrophic "weapon" used was called: "The Inquisition." As a result, millions were murdered by the hands of Satan's church.

"Some were racked and drawn asunder; others were burnt to ashes and dust; some were roasted on pillars or torn with red-hot pincers... Others were hanged on trees, beheaded with the sword, or thrown into the water... Some starved or rotted in darksome prisons... Some who were deemed too young for execution were whipped with rods, and many lay for years in dungeons... Numbers had holes burnt into their cheeks... The rest were hunted from one country and place to another. Like owls and ravens, which durst not fly by day, they were often compelled to hide and live in rocks and clefts, in wild forests, or in caves and pits." ([6], page 193)

You, the reader may say that this is all untrue. This author's reply is: this information is all around us today because it was not done in a closet. Just type in "Christian torture during the middle ages" in your internet browser search field and you will see the millions of links (references) available on the subject.

During this time, Christians were called and convicted as heretics, witches, adulterers, blasphemers, homosexuals, thieves, Satan worshippers, murderers, etc. They received all of these labeled "crimes," to justify killing them. <u>Christians were</u> <u>falsely condemned: they never</u> <u>committed any of these crimes.</u> <u>But yet, they paid the awful price</u>

# of suffering and death for these and other crimes they did not

**commit.** This was done repeatedly to try and stamp out and discourage the spread of Christianity; also, their properties were confiscated and sold to help finance Satan's killing machine church. (Christians who were wealthy did not stand a chance; they would lose everything.) Labeling them by these crimes also hid the true motives for killing them. Any religious service that was not approved by the church was considered to be a crime punishable by torture and death.

Born-again believers rightfully viewed the many idolatrous practices in the apostate church as serious violation of scriptures; therefore, the various church ceremonial functions were not attended by them. As a result of this, they were then easily identified and targeted because of their absence.

To understand the mindset of the apostate church leaders, there were only two religious forces in the world: good and evil. Thus, anyone who was not performing the various religious orders outlined by the church were call heretics; they were considered to be evil, witches, satanic worshippers, etc., because the church was the only "good" and "godly" religious organization allowed; anything else was evil or considered works of the devil. The apostate church claimed that it was the only true church outside of which no one can be saved. ([11], page 97) Their church leaders were the law lords and the people greatly feared them.

Millions were burn to the stake for what the church classified as punishable by death. Torture chambers nicknamed. "prison torture-houses of hell." were set up to discourage defectors. (Hollywood's movie productions of the Dark Ages where witches were roasted were not witches. They were Christian martyrs paying the ultimate price of death because they refused to renounce their unwavering faith in the gospel message of our Lord and Savior Jesus Christ.)

The Dark Ages was a period in history Satan's church wanted suppressed or erased. This was because his church did not want the future world to know the massive killings and all the unthinkable tortures it did to humanity (Christians).

Christians were hunted like animals (like a lion hunting for its prey); their heads amputated and placed and left to rot on miles and miles of erected poles as a warning for all to see. If Christians were found, their properties were confiscated and given to the persons making the discovery. The apostate church "combed" buildings, estates, forest, nations, etc. looking and hunting for fresh Christian blood. Satan was vicious and wanted Christianity dead. Hopelessness and despair, spiritual darkness and poverty, starvation and illiteracy, all to crush Christianity into extinction. No one was spared especially none Christians who showed no signs of allegiance to Satan's church.

During the Dark Ages, Christianity had never joined or was in anyway united with this killing machine as was noted earlier. Christianity was always operating underground discretely preaching, teaching and spreading God's message wherever and whenever possible. The apostate agents (spies) church planted church disquising themselves converted as believers to locate underground ministries and eliminate them by killing everyone and destroying their Bibles. Even though Christians developed a secret password code to protect their congregations, it was eventually discovered and the underground church destroyed by these killers.

Christians fled their homeland to wherever there was some type of underground safety to worship God and read His words. There were not many places to migrate to without eventually meeting their tragic fate of death.

Love for the Lord's work was real because to trust Jesus as Lord and Savior,

meant that one must first seriously consider the possibility of prison, locked-up in dungeons, starving to death, suffering and tortured, fleeing (always on the run) and instant death or roasted in fire. To follow Christ, one had to seriously consider these options.

Christian underground meetings placed the entire congregation at risk. Christian families, friends, children's lives were at stake. When anyone attended an underground service, it could very well be their last service. Christianity was opened to all converts making it impossible to identify spies from the apostate church. These agents were infiltrating the work and slaughtering everyone. Christian leaders were sent to the torture houses to get them to reveal all members of their congregation by inflicting excruciating pain upon them. This was done so that all congregation members can be arrested and killed. Also, when someone was caught with a Bible manuscript, they were tortured to get them to reveal other hiding locations where the God's Holy Scripture could be found.

As the years went by, nation's population expanded, and so Satan's killing machine church expanded its operation to control the growth of Christian communities.

As the world slowly drifted off into the darkness of this period, the apostate church caused the people to become very superstitious and religious and Satan's church ruled over the people like gods. These "gods" ruled with a heavy hand. Century after century, thousands upon thousands of Christians were slaughtered. Ignorance of what God said in His words, were rampant; and thus, multitudes that were afraid to leave this religious system for fear of death remain in Satan's religious prison. Many others who care less or wanted nothing to do with Christianity, assisted in rounding up anyone who acted suspiciously and were not complying with the many false teachings. This was because anyone assisting in the rounding up of Christians could become wealthy over night from the proceeds of confiscated property. This was an excellent opportunity

for the apostate church faithful followers to get rich.

Through all of this trauma, and despair, underground Christian churches (that still existed in pocket numbers), were still brave and bold; some not afraid to go on "suicidal" missions, to proclaim God's word to the people wherever window of opportunities existed. However, people knew that if they accepted this message, their lives were in serious danger.

The Christian message was simple: salvation is only through faith in Jesus Christ and He was the only way to truth, to eternal life and the only way to God. This faith comes only by the word of God and not the apostate church's satanic bible neither its teachings. Satan's killing machine church was the way to hell and eternal death.

However, something wonderfully amazing was happening during some of the public executions. As Christians were engulfed in fire, there were mysterious burst of joy that caused them to begin singing; (like, "...singing in the rain" by Gene Kelly) they begin singing in the fire. Can you the reader imagine: singing in the fire? What a romantic way of dying for our great God and wonderful Savior. There is no greater love and joy than this (John 15:13). Now what was happening when spectators saw the powerful faith, the burst of joy, the great peace, the dedication and the wonderful love displayed by Christians, as they were executed (burnt alive at the stake; feed to lions, etc.), they wanted this heart changing experience. Unfortunately for Satan and his church, this caused many persons to trust Jesus Christ as Lord and Savior.

Last confessions of Christian martyrs' words inflamed the hearts of the attending audience. Many martyrs said to the people just before they were burn at the stake,

# not to listen to members of the clergy who preach false doctrine to the people.

There were small pockets (sparkle of light) of the underground church appearing and disappearing into the darkness of the age.

As these sparkles of light flicked out of this thick Dark Age, Satan's church widespread iron claws would crush it and the light would quickly disappear into the darkness. For example: the Bartholomew Massacre at Paris. ([8], page 47-52) Somehow salvation spread throughout the entire community and Satan's church was informed of this. The church sent its army of "ministers" and wiped out the entire Christian community: men, women, children and babies. No one was spared. The potential "contamination" of the gospel message in the eyes of the apostate church, leaking out to other communities was too much of a risk; thus, they killed them all. Satan's heavy widespread claws crushed them and this sparkle of light disappeared into the darkness.

From time to time more sparkle of lights glowed from dark pockets of the underground ministries but Satan's crushing efforts destroyed them. However, what started happening was that when light flickers were rooted out, several new ones burst out from the darkness. Light flickering out of the darkness began multiplying was evidence that once again, the underground church began rapidly growing. It was obvious the people all knew that something was doctrinally wrong with the apostate church's teachings.

WARNING! VIEWERS **BEWARE:** Because the apostate church could not stop the reformation neither could they silence the sickening atrocities they did to Christians that are well documented in history books and all over the internet, today they have invested millions of dollars to

produce professional film а documentary of nonsense viewing "Secret Files of the called: Inquisition." This several hours documentary is very distorted, confusing and very twisted to the truth and half-truth of what really happened. Imagine, Satan creating his very own documentary of what "actually" happened. What do you, the reader think he would present to us: the truth or a documentary filled with lies and half truths? (John 8:44) For the truth, read [8], "Fox's Book of Martyrs" and many others. Be careful of editions that are written in today's modern English. (These modern book names are slightly modified giving authors the freedom of rewriting their own versions of "Fox's Book of Martyrs." For example, what is the difference between "FOXE'S BOOK OF MARTYRS Updated Through the 21<sup>st</sup> Century" and "FOX'S BOOK OF MARTYRS." When you compare both of these books, you discover that they are very different.) For "an ocean" of lies, watch, "Secret Files of the Inquisition." (Free online at: http://www.dailymotion. com/video/xl02g4\_secret-files-ofthe-inquisition-pt1-the-land-ofterror\_shortfilms) After part one, follow the link to watch part two thru to part four. (False guide like Donald L. Brake, author of, "A Visual History of the English Bible," erroneously claimed that "Fox's Book of Martyrs," was exaggerated at times and overdramatized: see pages 107 and 144 in his book) Reader beware: Be careful of books that try to discredit John Fox's work like "A Visual History..." Apostate church's scholars are desperately trying to erase all the atrocities they did to humanity.

As the world slowly moved towards the end of the dark period called, the Dark Ages, people became disappointed losing their faith and trust in Satan's church but afraid openly was to express it. Unfortunately, though for the apostate church, the underground Christian church and Bible copying operation continued and was never totally eradicated. Satan's church begin losing control of surrounding nations and underground churches would quickly spread their copying operations in these areas then smuggle the manuscripts into apostate church-controlled areas.

You the reader may say: why did God allowed His children to go through so much excruciating pain and suffering? (Read the chapter titled, "Trail of Blood" later in this book.) This author can only muster up the words of Paul in Romans 8:18. "For I reckon that the suffering of this present time are not worthy to be compared with the glory which shall be revealed us." In other words, the in suffering cannot compare to the rewards God has in store for His child who went through such hideous torture of death; these rewards are unimaginably great.


Satan no-doubt believed he was very successful with wiping out Christianity even though small pockets appear at random intervals and in odd places which of cause was quickly dismantled. Satan thought he had won, but God had other plans of defeating him.

There were large crowds who enjoyed the public torture and executions of Christians: the screams, the uncontrollable body shaking, profuse bleeding and pools and pools of blood, the begging and pleading for mercy was all entertainment for spectators. Because of all the the excitement of the crowd's adrenalin, the executioners ensured that the victim's life was extended by slowing the tortured methods used. This was done to maximize the entertainment amongst the crowd of spectators. Nevertheless, some of the spectators were not foolish. They knew something was very wrong with all the killings; they figured out there had to be something mysteriously wrong with why the "official" church did not want people to learn the doctrines of the Christian faith and their Bible.

There were public viewings of heretics and "witches" (Christians) losing their lives because they did not comply with the church's teachings; but some of them had a glow of peace and did not show signs of being trouble makers or demonic worshipers. People became very suspicious of this and got wind of what was really happening. As a result, whenever they could, they learnt as much about the faith as possible.

Some of Satan's church members began discovering pockets of Christian ministries behind the system they served and began leaving. Over time small pocket groups of disillusioned members grew to larger groups. Also, as people began searching for God, the "seek and ye shall find" promised in God's word was energized.

Once again, a new world language (English) began to emerge and God began moving behind the scene. When the fullness of time came, He raised up a Bible champion called John Wycliffe (1320-1384) with a special mission of igniting the unstoppable reformation "wild fire" that would crush Satan's killing machine church almost into extinction. However, not entirely because God would not have been finish with Satan's church. He will wound it with a piercing two edge sword, then step back until a specific future date allowing Satan's psychopath church to raise its ugly head for one last time. When this happens, He will pour out His eternal wrath with vengeance that will destroy it forever.



God blessed Wycliffe with the gift of tongues and he translated the Old Latin Bible into English between 1380 and 1382. At the time of Wycliffe translation, it was illegal to translate the Bible (which was punishable by death) and the only "legal"" language allowed was Latin. From Wycliffe English Bible, many hand-written copies were made and spread throughout the region. Wycliffe also hand wrote many tracts exposing the apostate church which widely circulated among the people and the underground churches. However, shortly after Wycliffe's English translation, he was spared the clutches of а pendina martyrdom and died from a stroke. His work nevertheless continued circulating resulting in mass exodus of new converts from Satan's church.

To demonstrate how hateful Wycliffe's enemies were towards him for translating God's Word, one of the apostate church leaders (prelate Walsingham) eulogized him by saying: "...that organ of the devil, that enemy of the Church, that author of confusion to the common people, that idol of heretics, that image of hypocrites, that restorer of schism, that storehouse of lies, that sink of flatterybeing struck by the horrible judgment of God, was struck with palsy, and continued to live in that condition until St. Sylvester's Day, on which he breathed out his malicious spirit into the abodes of darkness." ([6], page 125)

Recognizing the damage Wycliffe Bible and tracts had done, Satan concocted another "brilliant" plan that would promote his Bible. The plan was to plagiarize and subtly modify and produce a new edition of Wycliffe's Bible with his name on it that matched his (Satan) satanic bible. This "new" and modified Wycliffe English Bible was produced and circulated among the people.

Unfortunately for the apostate church, this bate was too rotten to catch Christians and once again failed miserably. Christians did not hand wrote copies of it because of missing passages and altered readings. It was the counterfeit Bible from years past and not God's word used by the underground churches. ([6], page 123)

The Wycliffe text that today's scholars use is this plagiarized copy produced by his enemies. They use it to prove Wycliffe copied from the Latin Vulgate to create his English Bible. There are two editions of the Wycliffe's Bible. "The 1388 Wycliffe's Bible was revised by John Purvey (1354-1414) and the revised text then superseded the original version..." (See, "A Visual History of the English Bible," by Donald L. Brake, page 57; also, [2f], page 727) Many scholars claim that the Wycliffe English Bible has Vulgate reading when in fact it is not Wycliffe's text but that of John Purvey. Logon to the link:

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/JohnP urvey for more information.

For many years the apostate church was violently furious with Wycliffe work

because of the mass exodus it caused. As a result of 21 years of built up rage after his death, the church burst with vicious fury and ordered his rotten corps to be exhumed out of the grave and tried for heresy. After Wycliffe's "fair trial" because he could not "answer" for his crimes or defend himself, they burn his already decade body to ashes and poured it into the Severn River. ([2c], page 794)

Again, Christianity never joined allegiance with the apostate church, and so the Reformation movement meant that numerous persons caught on to all the false teachings (resulted from reading God's word) and began leaving Satan's church in large numbers. It also meant that more people now had access to Bibles and were able to read and/or listen to what was written: "Faith cometh by hearing, and hearing by the word of God" (Romans 10:17). People wanted to be sure they were reading or hearing the correct or the true Word of God.

At the heart of great demands for hand-written documents and manuscripts, the printing press was invented. The invention of the printing press was fueled by the apostate church's sales of "letters of indulgence" that was hand-written. This was a document that when purchased, forgave the buyer from all sins. People purchased "forgiveness" for any sins they committed.

Any sin you wanted to commit and did was permitted and forgiven as long as you purchased one of these "letters of Indulgence" before or after the sin was committed. This was one of the many ways the apostate church made its fortunes.

Can you the reader imagine the merchant writers were the printers (or manufacturers) and the wholesaler (brand owner) was the apostate church who affixed a "forgiven seal" on the letters? With a minimum order requirement, the church supplied the retailers who sold them at a markup percentage depending on the "sinful market demands." Can you just imagine how far our television commercials would have taken this if it was permitted today?

Merchants saw that the more they hand wrote the church's indulgence letters, the more money they made. Johannes Gutenberg (1398-1468), the printing press inventor, came up with a brilliant idea to production "letters increase of of indulgence." In 1450 he invented the first movable-type printing press and starting a secret printing operation and made a lot more money from it. Nevertheless, other merchants eventually caught on to his mass production techniques and it was no longer a secret; all hand-written copies ceased. Bibles and tracts were now produced on the printing presses.

# Watch the video presentation of Johannes Gutenberg (1398-1468) and his printing press invention at: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v =Y1vl2j24Mtk

Bibles were originally scarce (many being destroyed over the year by Satan's church) and many people were very ignorant to what God's word really said. Satan's church on numerous occasions, began struggling with their continued violent attempts to keep the people in darkness. But the printing press brought in a new and quicker wave of supply for the starving population demand among Christian communities. The printing press became very scary for the apostate church; so much so that one of its ministers said in a sermon in 1535: "We must root out printing or printing will root us out." He was so providentially correct. ([6], page 129)

The spirit of the reformation, continue to drive zealously forward by another Bible champion God raised up to carry His word around the world. This mighty champion was Desiderius Erasmus (1466-1536). His parents had both died and he was ripped off by the apostate church, taking his inherited wealth and deceitfully pressuring him as a young boy to join the church and attend its schools. He was made a monk and continued in his father's footstep of reading and copying vast amounts of manuscripts from numerous libraries in Europe.



Erasmus appeared faithful to his swindling superiors but in his private life, dedicated to locating and preserving copies of God's true word. He was somewhat God's undercover agent working behind the scenes examining each manuscript, sorting out ones with evidence of contamination from those that were genuine. These manuscripts were a combination of some that were produced by the apostate church and a large amount confiscated from the underground Christian church. There were vast amount of manuscripts Erasmus freely had access to. To do this outside the church was dangerous and punishable by death. Nevertheless, because he was considered by the apostate church as a very brilliant scholar and a dedicated monk, he was allowed the freedom to access vast libraries and read available manuscript the church had stock piled from Christians throughout Europe before destroying them.

Erasmus was so brilliant kings and Government heads all across Europe wanted to hire him. To understand how pricelessly valuable Erasmus was to leaders in Europe, the following letter of invitation from Henry VIII, King of England was among many that were delivered to him: "I propose therefore that you abandon all thought of settling elsewhere. Come to England, and assure yourself of a hearty welcome. You shall name your own terms; they shall be as liberal and honorable as you please... We shall regard your presence among us as the most precious possession that we have... We shall ask nothing of you save to make our realm your home... Come to me, therefore, my dear Erasmus, and let your presence be your answer to my invitation." ([6], page 130)

Satan's religious machine control all of Europe primarily England, Spain, Germany, Italy, France, Holland, Belgium, etc.

As time moved forward, Satan's church began losing control of Germany and England and other European countries. People were finding out about all the false teachings of Satan's church. Bibles were secretly appearing at random locations and to persons who could afford them and were desperate for copies.

Curiosity went wild; (even among kings and leaders) people wanted to know why this book was so dangerous that caused such a big stir and hatred among the apostate church leaders. Also, people witnessed the change of lives and the great peace and joy it brought to a once hopeless mind.

Adding to their own jittering problems, when the time was right and their "trusted" monk, Erasmus, was somewhat outside the reach of the church, in 1516, he began printing his very own Greek Bible later known by Christian believers as "the Received Text" or the "**Textus Receptus**." ([2c], page 936)

Erasmus had examined hundreds and hundreds of manuscripts stock piled throughout Europe by the apostate church. It was believed that he examined over five thousand of them because he had dedicated his entire life to his work. However, because of the hostile complexities that surrounded him, it took about forty years to put it all together; then finally, the Textus Receptus was completed.

Did you know there are two

conflicting historical accounts on Erasmus? One by his enemies (textual critics) and the other one by conservative editors? For more information, read the chapter titled, "Erasmus, viciously attacked by historian pit-bulls," later in this book.

Satan's church intensified its rampage of locating anyone in procession of copies of Erasmus text and others and burnt them alive at the stake. Libraries across Europe were destroyed and burnt to the ground anywhere it was rumored and believed to have copies of God's true Word or the underground Christian Bible. Also, the church sent out spies throughout Europe to infiltrate were necessary and to report back to the church when "illegal" activities were sited.



Martin Luther (1483-1546) who himself was angered and outraged at the numerous hypocrisy he saw done in the name of the church. Blatant sin was everywhere. Any and all sins were permitted as long as the church's "letter of indulgence" was purchased. Corruption and godlessness worsen especially among the apostate church's faithful.

Graves were digged up and the bones of the dead were taken. The church also venerated dead bodies of whom it sanctioned as "saints" claiming that there were many benefits that could come through owning the bones of these dead people; thus, the sale of bones became big business that brought in big money for the church adding to the church's growing fortunes. ([11], page 54)

Wagons filled with relics (skulls and skeleton bones) were sorted, labeled and sold by the apostate church leaders promising good fortune to the buyers bringing in large amount of money filling the church's coffer. (the garment of Jesus, the crown thorns that were placed on Jesus' head, Jesus' baby clothes, bones of the donkey Jesus rode on, the nails from Jesus' cross, etc.)


On October 31, 1517, Martin Luther, nailed his "95 theses" to the Wittenberg church door that triggered a huge spiritual revolution that caused many of the faithful apostate church followers to begin leaving. Luther had been reading Erasmus Greek New Testament text and in 1534 he translated God's word into German. Germany became the heart beat of the reformation, and a revival exploded. The growth of Christianity was now (like the underground Christian Bible) unstoppable and spreading mightily throughout Europe.

Other translations using the underground Christian Bible quickly followed: the French versions of Lefevre and Olivetan, 1534 and 1535; Biestkens' Dutch work in 1558; the Swedish Uppsala Bible by Laurentius in 1541; a Spanish translation by Cassiodoro de Reyna in 1569; The Danish Christian III Bible in 1550; the Czech version of 1602 and the Italian translation by Diodati in 1607, to name a few. ([6], page 131)

Martin Luther's teachings and controversies with the apostate church stirred up many people sending them desperately searching for the truth of God's words.

The reformation had spread mightily in Germany so much so that Luther's political and clerical enemies, were afraid to harm him or any other Christians for fear (in the words of a letter to the apostate church leader from the prince of the empire) it would lead to a "great upheaval, possibly even war, within the empire." ([8b], page 139) On February 18, 1546 just before 9 am, Martin Luther died of natural causes. However, before his death at age 63 years old, his reform work included small and large Catechism and sermon books, hymns, hundreds of tracts, treatises, commentaries and thousands of letters.

Desiderius Erasmus taught William Tyndale (1492-1536) who also wanted to translate God's preserved Greek text into English. ([2c], page 922) Tyndale defied the apostate church law and with a strong and very passionate vow, he said to an antagonized clergyman, "...if God spare my life, ere many years, I will cause a boy that driveth the plough to know more of the Scripture than you do!" ([8a], page 178; [6], page 132) After fully recognizing that he had just seal his own fate of death by Satan's killing machine church, (these were very dangerous words) Tyndale, like his martyred Christian brothers and sisters who had predeceased him, "disappeared" into the darkness of the underground world.



Tyndale's translation from Erasmus Textus Receptus Greek Bible into English brought light into England which eventually caused England to rebel against Satan's church.

Originally Tyndale wanted to work on his translation in England but because the apostate church infiltration had spread among key positions, there were strong opposition causing Tyndale to move his planned operation to Germany. There in Germany, he translated and printed Erasmus Textus Receptus to English and began mass printing production.

Tyndale was not only an expert in English but a gifted master in seven languages (Hebrew, Greek, Latin, Italian, Spanish, English and French). Tyndale's gift of these languages from God was spoken in a vernacular way where either one sounded as though it was his native tongue. In 1523, after his English Bibles were translated and printed, 3,000 copies of Tyndale's New Testament were smuggled into England in barrels, bales of cloth and sacks of flour; this caused another revival began breaking out.

It was still somewhat illegal to print Bibles in England but Bible printing was now in great demand; lots of money could be made owning a printing press. Even though the intention (from person who were risking their lives) was to get as many copies into the hands of the people, lots of money was made from what was classified by the apostate church as an "illegal trade." This greatly fueled the underground Bible printing press trade and Bibles were practically showing up in areas where they once were forbidden.

Because Tyndale's Bible began spreading throughout England, he became the number one enemy on the apostate church hit list who placed a large bounty on his head to anyone who can locate and destroy him and his work. The large bounty paid of for the church because Tyndale was eventually found, arrested, thrown in prison for eighteen months then strangled and burned to death at the stake for his crimes which was translating and printing God's Word into English.

Just before he died, he prayed to God, requesting that the King of England spiritual eyes be opened to the false teaching of the apostate church. But God answer to his request was already in motion. The very day Tyndale died, the King of England ordered copies of his Bible to be printed. The next year the Cloverdale Bible (a revision of Tyndale's Bible) was also printed and the word of God spread mightily.

Do you know that God can very clearly hear the prayers of His children thousands of years into the future? Your agonizing prayer already heard. today, was perhaps answered and was already built into His creation. Because God knew from eternity what we individually were going to ask for. He therefore built the answers to them when He created the world and everything in it. This is probably why many of our answers appear to prayer coincidental and others, a miracle.

We all know that Governments always found it difficult eradicating well organized underground black-market business operations. For example: the very lucrative liquor and gambling business operations in the 1920's and 30's with Al Capone and other gangsters; the worldwide drug trade in the 1980s and 90s. Well, as it was in the 1980s, 90s, the 20s and 30s, so it was during the early days of the printing presses. The well-organized underground "black-market" Bible printing operations were unstoppable.

The apostate church not recognizing the full extent of the large amount of Bibles available for sale, thinking that there was a limited number of supplies, began purchasing all the Bibles they can get their hands on hoping that by doing so, it would end all Bible sales. Unfortunately for Satan's church, it further fueled the spread of the underground printing business.

The apostate church was like a very expensive yacht taking on water fast. Bailing out water became overwhelmingly difficult when new holes and cracks burst open bringing in more water. Satan's ship was going under.

England royalty rebelled against the authority of Satan's church as the only lawful church in the world and ended all ties including the very large ecclesiastical tax that was paid to it. (Even though the king's motive of ending all political and ecclesiastical ties was primarily related to a divorce issue, God used it for His honor and glory.) As a result, in 1534, England established its own church and authorized copies of the underground Christian Bible to be printed. This action sent shock waves among the apostate church gangsters. But luckily for the apostate church, when this happened, the indulgence scam and sales of relics made up for the lost in revenue. ([6], page 167)

The same year, to strike back at England's "crimes," the apostate church established an elite branch (a new order) of its organization of well-trained ministers, whose allegiance, under oak, was to infiltrate (act as spies and kill if necessary) and destroy England from within. The church believed that if you properly train children from infant in the teachings of the church, they will become loyal subjects for life.

Therefore, the church set out to build its army of men and women in this new order. They were trained to be patient and to plan goals and objectives years in advance. They were also trained not to give up or fail even if it meant losing their lives: it would be, according to them, "for the greater glory of God." When their extensive training was completed, their mission (with a license to kill, promising forgiveness for any sin committed in the name of the church) was to act as spies and infiltrate all key positions in England and destroy her at any cost.

Bibles were now spreading everywhere and Latin was no longer the only legal language. The apostate church was furious. Their powerful religious system was falling apart. They no longer could order any further killings of Christians in parts of Europe where their influence was disappearing; neither could they order the destruction of Bibles found in England. The apostate church quickly went into action to preserve the life of their institution. Satan could no longer destroy Christianity from without; he now had to try and destroy them from within.

The reformation was gaining momentum throughout Europe and nations began following England by breaking away from the political influence Satan's church had. One of those nations was Germany. Christians began flocking to Germany because it was there where Christian revivals broke out. It was there where Martin Luther translated Erasmus Greek Textus Receiptus Bible into German. It was there were Tyndale translated and printed his English Bible and smuggled them into England.

When the reformation fully burst out in Scotland in 1560, Christianity was established as the national faith and all of the apostate church images, relics, etc. were burned to ashes on a site well known for previous burning of Christians. ([11], page 108)

Because the reformation was now unstoppable, shortly after Tyndale's Bible was printed and distributed, the Coverdale Bible (1535) showed up. After this Bible, there was the Matthew's Bible (1537), the Great Bible (1539), the Geneva Bible (1560) and the Bishop Bible (1568) all coming from the underground Christian church.

Do you know that the Geneva Bible was the first Bible to feature numbered verses and italics? After this, all Bibles began numbering verses.

Shutting down printing presses in parts of Europe became almost impossible with the mass exodus and influence dwindling. Satan along with his church had no other choice but to translate Jerome's Latin Bible into English. In 1582, the apostate church translated its very own satanic Bible into English and called it the "Douay-Rheims Bible." They then placed it among accepted English Bibles trying to get Christians to accept and use it. Again, Satan's Bible plans failed; Christians knew it was not God's Word because of missing and altered passages of scripture.

King Henry VIII of England died in 1547 and was succeeded by his nine years old son, Edward VI who loved God's Word and authorized thirty-five editions of the New Testament and fourteen copies of the entire Bible (all from the underground Christian Bible) to be printed. Unfortunately, he later died in 1553 at the age of sixteen. It was believed and reported that he was poisoned. ([2g], page 26)

The apostate church was thrilled because the next person in line to England's throne was Queen Mary who hated Christian reformers and reintroduced England to the authority of Satan's church. She roasted to death many of the faithful Bible believing Christians who remained in England after she took the throne resulting in her earning the "honorable" title of "Bloody Mary."

Her reign of terror towards Christians plagued England from 1553 to 1558. She banished every preacher, printer and bookseller in 1554 who promoted the underground Christian Bible and beliefs.

In 1558, five years later, Queen Bloody Mary died and was succeeded by Queen Elizabeth who once again severed all ties from the apostate church. During her reign, 130 different editions of the Bible and


PROVERBS Chapter 25:5 Take away the wicked from before the king, and his throne shall be established in righteousness. New Testament were published using the text from the underground Christian Bible. With Proverb 25:5 in mind, she outlawed all apostate church services and

literature; she destroyed all the apostate church's religious images and statues (idols) and required Oaths of Allegiance for all clergymen, educators, lawyers, magistrates and university graduates. The first offense of any of the above persons under oath to support or advocate the apostate church doctrine or its Bible was punishable by life in prison. The second offence was punishable by death.

However, as many years went by, in 1590, because the apostate church "restructuring" infiltration was strong, she allowed some elements of its ceremonial services to return within the Church of England. ([6], page 143-144)

Satan's church tried on numerous occasions to destroy her but God protected her for 45 years before dying in 1603. In this same year, King James I, came to the throne ruling both England and Scotland. The fullness of time had finally arrived and God was now ready to hurt Satan by stabbing him with a sharp "...twoedged sword, piercing even to the dividing asunder of soul and spirit and of the joints and marrow..." (Hebrew 4:12) Defeating Satan with a book (God's Word) and not an army? Interesting!

When the English language was fully developed to its purest form, "The Holy Bible" (1611) was hot of the press that was later renamed (by jealous and prejudice modern day scholars) the "King James Bible" (KJB) or the "King James Version" (KJV) Bible. ([2c], pages 650–754) The KJB or KJV Bible overtook and replaced every English translation that preceded it due to the demands for copies. It also became known as the Authorized Version (AV) due to its universal acceptance among English speaking Christians worldwide.



In 1597, prior to 1603, the year James became king of England, he published a book called, "Daemonologie, In Forme of a Dealogie, Divided into three **Books**"; a book that endorses witch hunting, rounding up everyone that was believe to be practicing witchcraft or devil worship and administering a form of punishment that would discourage its spread among the people. (Read it online at: http://watch.pair.com/daemon.html)

Today what is interesting about this book is that many persons who have never read or seen it are claiming the book is about Satan worship or a Satanic Bible that teaches witchcraft; they claim it promotes or advance the practice of demon worship. Readers need only to examine the first few lines in the Preface to get a general overview on what the book is all about. These persons are actually ignorant to the fact that the book is completely opposite to their false information. Since the king exposed the craft and legalized public reading of God's Word, Satan fired back with everything possible and in his power to destroy the king.

"Daemonologie..." is a book (like many Christian books today) that was written about witchcraft or Satanic practices, exposing its secret agenda during the king's day. This book was very destructive to Satan's operation, so much so that he launched an all-out attack on the king's health and instigated assignation attempts from his enemies. This may have been one of the reasons which lead the king to decide on allowing all to have access to God's Word, "lest Satan should get an advantage of us: for we are not ignorant of his devices." (II Corinthians 2:11)

before 1611 KJB However. publication, when news spread in 1604 that King James in England authorized the translation of a New English Bible for all to have access to it, using Desiderius Erasmus (1466-1536) Textus Receptus text accompanied by other English, Greek, Latin, etc., vernacular family of text from the underground Christian church, Satan's church was devastated. "Fireworks" lit up the already agitated and electrified passions of Satan's church leaders. The unstoppable Christian reformation "fire lit fuse" was a couple of years away from the Bible dynamite that would blow Satan's church off the planet.

However, God was not yet ready to completely destroy Satan's church, "MYSTERY, BABYLON THE GREAT" and so its powerful world influence was greatly diminished. (Rev. 17:5)



King James became enemy number one on Satan's hit list. He was up against an unprecedented, intense and a massive army of demonic attack on his character, his health and his life. Satan's powerful demons were attacking him from all angles. "The extent of Satan's wrath against James ranged from the spells cast by primitive Druids to the assassination attempts... The King frequently wore protective padding beneath his robes to deflect the blades of world-be assailants." ([6], page 147) Read about the many health problems he was plagued with and the attempts made to kill him in [5], page 243-266; [6], page 147-148; [1a], page 360-402. Satan's relentless aggression against the king was intense.

Nevertheless, with an unseen supernatural driving and protective force behind him, he pressed forward with this extremely important task to complete it and provide copies **for all** who wanted a copy.

Many lies were written about him by historian enemies; many of whom were highly trained agents working for the apostate church attacking King James' character to discredit and destroy his reputation with the ultimate objective of casting doubt on the Bible he authorized. One of the lies that were spread twenty-five years after his death was that he was homosexual.

Anthony Welden, who was dismissed from his duties by the king, swore vengeance towards King James and wrote a document in 1650, calling the King a homosexual. This document was never received by the public at the time because too many persons who were still alive and knew the King personally, knew that it was in fact a big lie.

Karen Ann Wojahn, who (with a subtle and calculated deceptive came agenda) across this information, published an article called "The real King James," in July/August 1985 of Moody Monthly magazine claiming that the Kina lived in open homosexuality. ([6], page 147-148.) Unfortunately, Christians who have never investigated to see whether this lie was true began and continue to assist our enemies of the faith in propagating this lie when in fact it was untrue. Read about the lifestyle of King James ([5], three hundred and seventy-four pages of evidence "King called. James Unjustly Accused") and you will see how devoted he was to God and His Word and how he stood against sexual sins. ([1a], page 360 to 402; [5], page 243 to 266; [6], page 147 to 148)



The apostate church spies were all over England at this time planning and plotting on how to destroy her from within. Their mission was to this KJB stop "madness" before their 1300 years old

business and religious operation "disintegrate." Several plans were placed in motion one of which was at the top of the list known as the "Gunpowder Plot."

The goal was to blow up the King, (on the day parliament met) all his parliamentarians, all the state church leaders and all other leaders that would be present. Also, place undercover agents in position to fill the leadership void that would be created as a result and **reintroduce** Satan's church Bible and teachings among the people. Their contact agent call Guy Fawkes, was promised forgiveness of all sins by the apostate church after this terrorist attack was committed.

Log on to the link below and watch the video documentary; or go to www.voutube.com and type in "The Gunpowder Plot: The 5 Conspirators". Scroll down and select "Gunpowder plot by Vegemiteopal for part 1, automatically playing part 2 to part

# 6. (Also, read, [6], page 188-189) http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=lBV rPurrqCw&feature=&p=6B0309E79C 5FA1D6&index=0&playnext=1

God stepped in, intervened and destroyed the plot just hours before it was executed. His precious Word was at stake and will never be destroyed. The apostate church failed once again. Their ultimate, number one plan collapsed. What happened was that a letter was sent to a "dear friend" warning him not to attend the opening of parliament. This dear friend also had another dear friend who was also warned that was close to the king. This letter eventually led to uncovering this deadly plot that was just hours away from detonation.

Logon to the link below to find how Guy Fawkes met his fate and who his coconspirator were:

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/GuyFawkes.

If Guy Fawkes' terrorist attack was not as widely publicized as it had gotten, this author believes that the apostate church would have "also" Christianized him and named churches, schools and famous religious buildings after him in his honor. The same thing would have happened with Judas Iscariot. Don't believe this? Then how aggressive scholars see including The National Geographic Society, are pushing "The Gospel of Judas." Can you the reader imagine: "Saint Judas Iscariot School of Arts," or "Saint Guy Fawkes ? Church."

(Do you the reader really know the person behind names of some of our religious schools and their buildings? Do you know there are two histories that exist: one fabricated by the apostate church covering up the dirt of the person behind the name and the other one exposing all the blood shed done in the name of the church to many Christian believers by this same person?)

After the KJB was published in 1611, copies were available to anyone who wanted one. Everyone who could afford it was getting their very own copy and the wonderful thing about it all was that it was legal to own a copy. Also, if you wanted to read a copy and cannot afford one, you just visit the Church of England or anyone of its affiliating churches and freely read its copy that was chained to the church's reading desk.

Chained along with the King James Bible was "Fox's Book of Martyrs," that list many horrific testimonies of suffering and death to Christians who gave their lives to preserve and protect the book that was chained next to it. The testimonies in Fox's Book of Martyrs were so painfully revealing that all person who purchased their very own copy of the King James Bible also purchased a copy of Fox's Book of Martyrs. The sad thing about this book is that most Christians today never even heard of it. (Read Chapter Four)

As mentioned earlier (see inserted section called, Warning! Viewers Beware), be careful of editions that are written in today's modern English. These modern book names are slightly modified giving authors the freedom of rewriting their own versions of "Fox's Book of Martyrs." For example, what is the difference between "FOXE'S BOOK OF MARTYRS Updated Through the 21<sup>st</sup> Century" and "FOX'S BOOK OF MARTYRS?" When you compare both books, you will discover that they are very different. Did you know that modern day scholars have now launched an attack on "Fox's Book of Martyrs?" Sadly, they attacked anyone, any books and all historians who exposed their pagan church "machinery" for what it truly was and are working hard trying to cover-up its dark sided history at all cost "for the greater glory of God."

Satan's church was now powerless to the informed public and began "disintegrating" in the background. The KJB brought Satan's church to its knees so to speak. It was in big trouble and on its way to extinction. However, something interesting happened. It was as though God walked in for the final "kill", the final "death blow" that would have wiped out this lying and murdering church forever; but in stead of His final triumphant blow of execution, He just simply, walked away. He just simply left this "wonderful opportunity" to destroy the apostate church for good.

As a result of this, Satan's church was able to regroup, rebuild and reorganize the institution for a much greater and intellectual battle plan approach to attack Christians and their Bible.

We all know that God goes after total impact and not just a method that would wipe out a religious system and create many pocket spin-off groups. God has something more effective with maximum impact that would completely destroy and end this counterfeit church system from within (Rev. 17:16-18) with absolutely no surviving spin-off pocket groups to continue its pagan traditions.

Satan's church was badly injured by God's word, (a "twoedged sword," that went out of His mouth: Rev. 1:16). Satan was wounded by this sword but did live and according to scripture his deadly wound will later be healed. (Hebrews 4:12; Rev. 13:3, 13:12, 13:14).

There is one English Bible the apostate church hates with a passion because of its popularity (even to this very day) and that book is the Holy Bible, the King James Version text (KJV). Satan's agenda can not succeed or progress forward unless it is removed out of the way.

The English KJV is one of the greatest threats to Satan's operations. God's Word is more dangerous to the apostate church than the Christian underground church cell groups in years past or all of the modern versions combined to date. Like Jesus Christ, who was the Word, who

was God (John 1:1) embodied with flesh and was the only physical representation of God (that we could have once touch, feel, handle, hold and speak to) is also with us today embodied with words that is placed on the pages of a physical book that we can touch, feel, handle, hold and read from. You may ask: how is this possible? Only God one knows because with Him, ALL THINGS ARE POSSIBLE. If you the reader can fully grasp and understand what this means, then Matthew 8:10 and verse 13 are for you; also, Matthew 9:22, 28-29, 15:28, 17:20 and 21:21. There is a Spirit behind or in the surface text; there is a mysterious power source active behind or in the actual words! (Read the section called, "How Powerful is God's Word," in the next chapter.)

People working in spiritual warfare ministries know what this author is talking about. ("...the words that I speak unto you they are spirit, and they are life." [John 6:63]; "...he who now letteth will let, until he be taken out of the way. And then shall that Wicked be revealed whom the Lord shall consume with the spirit of his mouth..." [2 Thessalonians 2:7];" "...Man shall not live by bread alone, but by every word that proceedeth out of the mouth of God." [Matthew 4:4]) "In the beginning was the Word, and the Word was with God, and the Word was God...And the Word was made flesh, and dwelt among us, (and we beheld his glory, the glory as of the only begotten of the Father,) full of grace and truth." (John 1:1, 14)

The apostate church hated the King of England and his authorized Bible because when reading it, one discovers the simple Christian message that salvation is by grace only, through Jesus Christ and not by works or through the church; only God forgave sins and not church officials neither their "forgiving sins" rules; <u>praying or</u> <u>singing to dead saints is witchcraft (this</u> <u>practice is still done today)</u>; the just lives by faith in Jesus Christ and not church rules; the only way to God is through Jesus Christ and not the church (the apostate church hates this).

When people learn about these Bible truths, many of them lose confidence in the apostate church's teachings and quit. They were no longer afraid to quit because the apostate church rules were the way of eternal death without God.

God raised up some of the worlds greatest missionaries that brought a powerful revival movement using the King James Bible. He rose up John Eliot (1604-1698); John Wesley (1703-1791); George Whitefield (1714-1770); Jonathan Edwards (1703-1753); Frances Asbury (1745-1816); William Cary (1761-1834); Charles Finney (1792-1875); Adonirans Judson (1804-1890); etc. These men were powerful servants of God. As a result of their message, God's Word and the Holy Spirit, millions got save, believing on the Lord Jesus Christ.

The reformation revival movement was a very powerful "tool" God used between 1611 and the 1900s to spread His word around the world. Multitudes believed and were save during this period of religious freedom from Satan's killing machine church.

Shortly after 1611, Satan and his demonic army, licking their wounds in defeat, concocted several plans of attack to recapture their powerful and venerable public influence and to clean up its deteriorating image.

Lucifer started his very own evil revival movement and his church began plotting their next targets of attack to convince persons to abundant the KJV Bible and regain the religious control it enjoyed for centuries. His evil "revival" movement was "shifted into high gear" and when everything was in place, his church planned a full-scale attack.

Satan's revival strategies would take a couple hundred years before seeing a large-scale impact, but the main goal was to recapture the world for himself with his message.

# Satan's Evil Revival **Strategy Movement** Illustrated

The following strategies were compiled from several pockets of information collected in books that were written on the subject. These books were written by persons who have a wide perspective and knowledge of issues relating to the turn of events that occurred since the KJV was published in 1611.

£ Evil Revival Strategy One: Create many elite clubs and secret societies that would attract a large audience from all demographic category of the general population. (For example: the lodge, all branches of the illuminati, the mafia, the New Age Movement: clubs that attract the wealthy rich and leaders of powerful corporations; clubs that attract all political leaders and activists who are influential and powerful, etc.) Ð

Evil Revival Strategy Two: Build schools around the world. Watch for the brightest minds or smart students and recruit them at a very young age; training them to become ministers of the gospel, brilliant professors, scholars, engineers, higher learning instructors, etc. (As mentioned in [6], page 197, "Apostasy is always conceived in the classroom." The bright and impressionably minds are

targeted with unsuspecting indoctrination by the apostate church's teachings.) Build an association or an elite branch of ministers of the "gospel" starting them out from preschoolers up to college graduates who will carry out secret missions all in the name of the church. for the "greater glory of God." (Proverb 14:12 says: "there is a way which seemeth right unto a man, but the end thereof are the ways of death.") As these students mature, they would be train to lead church congregations, write college text books, on all facet of life, with an aim of incorporating where necessary, Satan's Alexandrian Bible viewpoints: attacking the KJB (and all other vernacular Bibles from the underground Christian copies) in books, documents, publications and every learning literature possible. For the average college students, by graduation, their mindset and way of thinking would be ripe and ready for Satan's word for word translation of his satanic Bible; hoping that one day their Bible may outsell all other Bibles. ÷

Evil Revival Strategy Three: Create powerful Bible tools (Bible lexicons, dictionaries, word helps, etc.) and subtly include the "corruption" from Origen's Greek Bible or the Vaticanus and Sinaiticus

manuscripts. Who can resist the powerful Strong's Exhausted Concordance tool? (His corrupt Hebrew and Greek dictionary are at the back of this book and is used heavily when trying to find a word meaning.) Who can abandon Bible dictionaries and commentaries with all the "great" remarks, footnote helps and false "KJV has lots of errors" messages, that lure people away from God's Holy book? Who is willing to put down and not use Greek and Hebrew to English lexicons and word studies that incorporate Satan's Alexandrian viewpoints? These Bible tools were very painstaking to produce and are excellent reference materials; but they were and are excellent tools Satan used to accelerate his infiltrating methods of toppling the KJB. God said: "...Know ye not that a little leaven leaveneth the whole lump?" (I Corinthians 5:6) The goal was to include in these Bible tools, false Bible rules and standards, lots of notes (truth mixed with errors and lies) and false definitions that would subtly attack the KJB by casting doubts on its authenticity. (Do you know for this same reason, God built His very own dictionary into the text so that we would not have to rely or consult Satan's shady reference tools for help. Prior to these

reference tools, Christians relied heavily only on God's precious words for spiritual understanding.)

Evil Revival Strategy Four: Infiltrate all none apostate church's universities, colleges, all higher learning institutions and churches by members of its elite branch seeking employment at as many of these institutions as possible. When employed, strive for leadership roles and move to influence employment of other colleagues and change school laws and policies. Satan's mission was to start with the secular institutions and move onto fundamental Christian institutions. Ł

Evil Revival Strategy Five:

Create new thesis of thought to distort, confuse and infiltrate Christian teachings. For example: evolution, agnosticism, Christian Science, some school of psychological thought, etc.

Evil Revival Strategy Six:

Manipulate Bible doctrine that would keep the people in a prison of theological blindness. For those persons who were previously following false teaching and decided to leave, their theological mindset would be so programmed, that they will be drawn to religious groups that basically believes the same concepts and views as their previous religious affiliations. For example, basic concepts and views of salvation and church rules: salvation is attained by

works and church rules where church's leadership structure are exalted. Proof of this, are the many religious organization systems today, all claiming to have truth and this truth is the only way to God or eternal life; also, they believe that God channels His "unquestionable" message through their leader(s). There is a form of godliness with them but they deny Jesus Christ as God our only Lord and saviour. (There is only one way to God. Jesus Christ said in John 14:6: "I am the way, the truth and the life: no man cometh unto the Father. but by me.") Satan found that there was a way to use a form of words and still maintain his false teachings. (For example, the "believe in the Lord Jesus Christ," meaning in Christian circles are very different in meaning in non-Christian circles. "Salvation by grace" is proclaimed outside the "membership walls" of a religious congregation but after joining and becoming a part of the fellowship, the perception and teachings are very different: salvation is then attained by obeying all rules, regulations and ceremonial traditions. The Christian belief in God actually indwelling in the believer's body is very different in meaning in non-Christian circles: eating the elements of the Lord Supper does this for some religious groups.) Satan messed up peoples understanding of the "Touch not the Lord's anointed" verse. As a result. many religious congregations

are in a state of theological blindness. They see leaders in direct violation of God's Word, but yet allow them to continue leading the local church ministries. They claim that God Himself will one day remove them but until that happens, these false guides can remain and continue their "powerful" spiritual ministering to church members.

# Evil Revival Strategy

**Seven**: Infiltrate all forms of Government and their political views around the world starting with Germany then moving onto England; then other European nations, America and finally the rest of the world.

# Ľ

Evil Revival Strategy Eight: Build more wealth on top of the already acquired stock pile of fortune in property, large corporations, large financial institutions, etc., that is owned by the church (most of which are secretly owned today).

# **Evil Revival Strategy Nine:**

Purchase or somehow acquirer major controlling interests in as many publishing or printing press companies, mass news media network groups (newspaper, magazine, radio and television network, etc.) with a mission to control what is said, printed or shown to the reading, listening and viewing public. Satan's mission for owning printing presses was to aggressively market as many modern versions' literature and view

points as possible. Remember, the printing press was the main element that almost destroyed his plans, crippling his church shortly after it was invented. (This author believes that the men or women who appear to be the controlling owners of large media group of companies with acquired wealth of their own are simply fronting for and along with, their wealthy "camouflaged" partner.)

Ľ

**Evil Revival Strategy Ten**: Establish and improve upon religious organizations that will distract, distort, confuse

or brain wash the people. (Satan had hundreds of years to put these institutions together and by the 18th century, many of them were established joining the fleet of others that were already in place.) Satan uses some of these groups to continue his killing Christian campaign. Christian martyrdom is still very prevalent in many eastern and some western countries. (These religious groups are just a cover-up that is actually being instigated behind the scene by the apostate church towards Christians. In this information age where an

event is known by the majority of the earth's population in minutes after it occurs, to protect his church's integrity and reputation, he moves with great caution by using his other religious creations to do his dirty work of killing Christians. However, when the time is right, they will combine their efforts to carry out a final attack on God's people as spoken of in the book of Revelation which is when God will step in and destroy Satan's church forever.)

Satan's evil and destructive revival movement was ready for action. It went to Paris then to Germany where both of them was successfully infiltrated and fell back into the arms of the apostate church. England was next where Government, schools, churches, secret society clubs, etc., was besieged with his message.

This author believes that there must be a spirit of theological blindness (actual spiritual devils) sent from the camp of Satan that has kept us locked up in a mental prison and unless we take a serious independent approach to finding God and READING His true Word for ourselves, we will remain Satan's prisoners, "entangled with the yoke of bondage" for life. If Satan can counterfeit everything else. then he certainly can somehow counterfeit a spirit (an evil spirit) embodying (or channeling through) the actual words of a counterfeit Bible. Thus, spiritual blindness as long as these reading materials are being mentally consumed. We can see this manifested with some of the well-known religious organization groups that are among us.

By the 1800s, the infiltration was very strong. Satan's church undercover spies were everywhere. He had almost 200 years to plan his next large scale of attack after regrouping from the devastating blow in the 1600s. Undercover spies were stationed in most key position in England. They were in key positions in Government; they held key positions in England's state church; they held reputable scholastic positions at top universities throughout the country; and finally, they had powerful influential links with the country's top political leaders. These spies were all in position, ready and waiting for instructions from the apostate church on their next move. The conspiracy was strong and ready for action.

Meanwhile, in other quarters, Richard C. Trench (1807-1886) was producing the draft that was used to ignite the fuse that would begin the process of removing the King James Bible as the choice Bible among the people. (Read his profile in the chapter called, "These are our gods O Christian!" later in this book.)

In May of 1844, a German scholar named Constantin von Tischendorf (1815-1875) was "snooping" around in St. Catherine's Monastery located at the base Sinai and "discovered" Mt. the of abandoned Sinaiticus manuscript found very dusty and in the trash bin ready to be burnt. ([6], page 99) This manuscript along with the Vaticanus manuscript was never used by Christians and they match Jerome's corrupt Latin Vulgate Bible. Tischendorf quickly took it and made a big announcement about his discovery.

Two of the apostate church most influential spies, Mr. Brooke Foss Westcott and Mr. Fenton John Anthony Hort, were "fascinated" over this new discovery. They obtained copies of both the Vaticanus and Sinaiticus manuscripts and went to work on creating the "Westcott and Hort Greek New Testament," the "English Revised Version" (ERV) and essays on Textual Criticism of the Traditional Greek Text. Their work was done in secret, unknown to the Church of England hierarchy and started around 1853.

# **Brooke Foss Westcott & Fenton John Anthony Hort**

Study the lifestyle and doctrinal beliefs of Mr. Brooke Foss Westcott (1825-1901) and Mr. Fenton John Anthony Hort (1828-1892) who together authored the New Westcott and Hort Greek text and you will discover that these men, their lifestyle and beliefs were not Christian based. (Type in "Westcott and Hort" in your Internet browser search field and press the search button. Now examine the information given about these two men.) They rejected many of the fundamental doctrines of the faith. (Read [3b] for the many heresies of Westcott and Hort or read it online at <a href="http://www.picknowl.com.au/homepages/rlister/wh/wh.htm">http://www.picknowl.com.au/homepages/rlister/wh/wh.htm</a>.) Furthermore, modern day Bible scholars do agree that the two manuscripts used by Westcott and Hort to create their new Greek text disagreed with each other some 3,000 times within just the first four gospels of the New Testament. There were many alterations; they used portions of the Sinaiticus manuscript and when they disagreed with a verse, they rejected it and inserted the verse from the Vaticanus manuscripts THEY accepted as correct and many verses THEY rejected and left out as error. [10e] As a result of this, today we have this problem of corrupt modern version all coming from this same Greek (Alexandrian) source.

Today, we can see the <u>spirit</u> of Westcott and Hort's (and their predecessors, Origen and Jerome) doctrinal beliefs coming from the pages of all modern versions. For example, Westcott and Hort did not believe in the Deity of Christ and therefore the Jehovah Witnesses do not believe in the Deity of Christ. Their Bible, the New World Translation (NWT, the Watchtower Bible) at John 1:1 says: "In the beginning was the Word and the Word was with God and the Word was a god." Thus, Jesus Christ was created by Jehovah, the Father according to them and this verse is used as a proof text. The Kingdom Interlinear Translation of the Greek Scriptures 1969, printed by the Watchtower, on page three says: Presenting a literal word-for-word translation into English under the Greek text as set out in "The New Testament in the Original Greek-The Text Revised by Brooke Foss Westcott D.D. and Fenton John Anthony Hort D.D." (1948 Reprint) together with the New World Translation of the Christian Greek Scriptures, Revised Edition, a modern-language translation of the Westcott and Hort Greek Text, first published by them in the year 1881 C.E....

Thus, we see a literal word-for-word translation of Westcott and Hort English "Revised

Version" Bible matching the word-for-word translation of the "New World Translation" (the spirit behind the Westcott and Hort English text is one and the same spirit behind the NWT). Other modern versions have not yet used this same reading at John 1:1 even though they all are using the same Greek source. However, in the coming years as new modern versions continue to be published and printed, and unrecognized changes to verses and words continue to occur, we will soon see this reading "a god" replacing "God" at the end of this verse. It is no longer "if it will be done," but "when will it be done." An example of this can be seen in 1961 where the NWT was the first Bible to change "servant" to "slave;" afterwards, other modern versions like the NKJV began changing servant to slave. (See Chapter Three for more on this under the section, "Servant is gone and replaced with slave." Also, see the section called, "Why is it wrong in modern versions to call Christians slaves," in Chapter Two. [2c], pages 263-265; [2a], pages 221-225; [2e], page 106)

The following photograph are the scholars who sat on the Revised Version Committee and brought us the Westcort and Hort Greek Text (1881) along with a new English version. They are our modernday chief priests, (chief scholars) scribes and elders of the people.

These were carefully hand picked (by the apostate church) men who sat in judgment, presiding over the Written Word and illegally (not followed the committee's mandate) replaced "Him" (John 1:1) with "Barabbas" (a murderer: Westcott and Hort new Greek text), then (without a cause or a proper trial) passed the guilty verdict of death to The Written Word, sending "Him" on "His" way to Golgotha to be (completely "taken out of the way" II Thes. 2:7-12) crucify and "die." Remember though, "He" WILL rise again "the third day!"

Their names are: Joseph Angus (1816-1902), Edward Bickersteth (1814-1892), Joseph Williams Blakesley (1808-1885), David Brown (1803-1897), John Eadie (1814-1876), Charles John Ellicott (1819-1905), Fenton John Anthony Hort (1828-1892), William Gilson Humphry (1815-1886), Benjamin Hall Kennedy (1804-1889), William Lee (1815-1883), Joseph Barber Lightfoot (1828-1889), William Milligan (1821-1893), George Moberly (1803-1885), William Fiddian Moulton (1835-1898), Samuel Newth (1821-1898), Edwin Palmer (1824-1895), Alexander Roberts (1826-1901), Robert Scott (1811-1887), Frederick Henry Ambrose Scrivener (1813-1891), George Vance Smith (1816-1902), Arthur Penrhyn Stanley (1815-1881), Richard Chenevix Trench (1807-1886), Charles John Vaughan (1816-1897), Brooke Foss Westcott (1825-1901) and Charles Wordsworth (1806-1892). They were the New Testament company. The Old Testament company are: Edward Harold Brown, Athur Charles Hervey, Alfred Ollivant, Robert Payne Smith, Benjamin Harrison, William Lindsay Alexander, Robert L. Bensly, John Birrell, Frank Chance, Thomas Chenery, T. K. Cheyne, A. B. Davidson, George Douglas, S. R. Driver, C. J. Elliott, Frederick Field, John Dury Geden, Christian D. Ginsburg, Frederick William Gotch, William Kay, Stanley Leathes, J. R. Lumby, John James Stewart Perowne, A. H. Sayce, William Robertson Smith and William Wright, William Aldis Wright. (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Revised\_Version) All names highlighted are profiled later in this book.

Like an annoying telephone, ringing in middle of the night or a loud and frantic midnight knocking on the front door, RC Trench's book invoked and summoned an illegal and vicious group of men to gather in judgment to "take counsel together, against the LORD, and against his anointed" (The Written Word) saying, "let us break their bands asunder, and cast away their cords from us." (Psalm 2:3) The men met "in the middle of the night," in their "closed door secret chambers" (swearing to secrecy and not divulging anything to the nonmembership public), until the judgment was unanimously announced by all participating members (including Frederick Scrivener): Guilty! Guilty! Immediately, before any outside KJB "defense debate" interference, the sentencing was quickly read and carried out: bruise and break the Written Word's bands; get rid of its cords from among us and crucify it; then let us replace it with liars (false witnesses) and a murderer (Barabbas).

# THE REVISERS OF THE NEW TESTAMENT 1881





------



-----

REV. PROF. MULLICAN

-----

















HEN CARON N









COPIRIOHT.

PAUL PROP. DURLEY S.

SAMUEL A.WALKER, 230, REGENT STREET, W.



REV. PROP. MOULTON.

-----



WEN DE BORNENER.

REE.Y

66

The English grammar was basically standardized by 1611 but the spelling was not until the mid 18 century. After about 20 revisions to spelling, grammar, printing press corrections, etc., there still remained some that needed to be fixed. The corrections needed to be fix were the spellings, (this is because words were spelt differently during the 1611 era) corrections "typos," caused by printing press to mistakes ("typo" detection techniques were almost non-existent as they are today with computers) and grammar problems (this is because the English grammar was just recently standardized when the KJV was completed).

The political and church leaders in England, heavily influenced by Satan's undercover spies, commissioned a revision committee in 1871 of what was thought to be the best scholars of the day with a mandate to address these issues in the KJV and make the minor corrections necessary to printing press and spelling mistakes. ([6], page 249)

Westcott and Hort who were considered to be reputable Bible scholars of the day, (highly recommended by other undercover colleagues) were among the carefully selected scholars to sit on the committee. However, the problem with this committee was that there were unskilled men (like Westcott and Hort) in the ancient Greek and Hebrew languages who were selected and who did not believe many of the canon doctrines of the Christian faith. For example: the deity of Christ, His second coming and His Pre-Existence. These men hated the Authorized Version with a strong desire to see it replaced. (Read, "Can We Trust Our Greek And Hebrew Dictionaries & Lexicons?" and "These are our gods O Christian!" later in this book.)

There is a big difference between someone who learnt a foreign language in the classroom (like the scholars on this bogus committee) and someone who was gifted by God to speak the language in a <u>vernacular</u> way including all the idioms and tongue twisting pronunciations. Think about it: when the scholar speaks his "learnt-in-the-classroom"

language. the natives know immediately he is foreign. When God gifted individuals with the ability to speak other languages. the natives cannot tell if the person is foreign. Several of the KJV translators were gifted by God who never taught the was the classroom. language in Therefore, if any English word choices were off from the original intent of the author (God), they would have immediately picked it up.

These men met and strategize how they were going to pull off one of the biggest "catastrophic" betrayal events in history second to "Judas Iscariot, kiss of death," that would send a serious spirit of confusion among Christians, so much so that its "effective-trimmers" are still being felt, even greater today. The committee members sworn to secrecy and did all of its deliberations in secret not divulging anything to the non-membership public. (Their closed-door secrecy committee meetings had come to light and made public. See Chapter 15 called, "Behind Closed Doors" in [6], page 243-271.)

Now, instead of following the mandate of the committee, which was to correct these minor issues, Westcott and Hort with approval from other committee colleagues, replaced the KJB with their own Greek New Testament and English Revised Version, as the revision and correction to the KJB. At the start of their task, each member of the committee was given a private copy of Westcott and Hort Greek text.

What really happened behind this closed door of secrecy was that the

committee members approved and brought Satan's counterfeit Bible into their meetings and subtly modified and practically replaced the KJB with it. The end results were a completely "new" Greek and English text that was used as a "correction" to the King James Bible. It was not really new, but the old abandon text written by Origen and copied by apostate church scholars after his death.

What had started out to be a mandate to correct minor printing press and spelling errors, ended up as a complete Bible text change to two old spider webs, abandon, Christ rejecting books, written around 300 and 337 AD.

Along with this new text, they included textual criticism that started Satan's evil revival movement of doubts about which Bible is the Word of God.

This gave birth to the greater textual criticism phenomenon and the modern Bible versions "virus." Because the revision committee completely deviated from its mandate, the political and church leaders of England sanctioned no other committee afterwards.

Just before this, there were only two texts that were challenged: The Bible Christians used in the underground church throughout history and Satan's Bible that was always rejected during this same period in history. This crippled and crooked committee. authorized and viciously attacked the Deity of Christ in I Timothy 3:16 changing "God was manifest in the flesh" to "he who was manifest in the flesh;" removing "God" as the one who was manifested in flesh with the new change, "he," which can now be anyone: someone who is not God. They also made similar changes like this in many other verses.

they had destroyed all Christian Bibles that were ancient copies and begin attacking the later ones. (For example, all underground Christian Bibles used before John Wycliffe's Bible in 1382.)

The deceitful and faulty marketing oldest campaigns began: "the manuscripts are better than the later ones;" (more on this later in this book) "the old ancient manuscripts are closer to the original, than the later ones;" "there were many false readings copied into the manuscripts used by the KJB translators," etc., and the list goes on.

Westcott and Hort, began promoting the apostate church text out of Alexandria, Egypt, claiming that it was much more superior and more ancient than the text used by Christians. Other colleagues joined the crusade to spread their message, which eventually became known around the world as Textual Criticism (one of its theories being, "the earliest manuscripts are better than the later ones").

Please note here that the aged old papyrus or parchment used to write on was tested to identify the oldest manuscripts to-date. But this faulty method used was not capable of testing actual "TEXT" superiority. The materials used to write on and the actual TEXT contents are obviously different. The only way to test true "TEXT" superiority is to follow the blood trail of how the text survived for hundreds of years.

So, the Bible version confusion began ("Which text is nearest to the originals?" "Which manuscripts are the most reliable?" "Do we have a perfect Bible in English today?" "We have the Bible but it isn't in any one English version." "Any version of the Bible is only the word of God where it has been correctly translated." "Which English Bible has been correctly translated; does one exist?" "The Greek Textus Receptus is God's perfect word and not the KJB." "The KJB is Old and outdated." "The KJB is the best or closest English translation to the original but it too has some errors.")

The apostate church job of attacking the KJB text became very successful because it caught on to unsuspecting Christians who themselves began spreading the "germs." The church's scholars and professors were tearing the KJB apart. To promote their own new versions, instead of calling God's Word, "The Holy Bible," (as it was called in 1611) they changed the name to "The King James Version," (KJV). Nevertheless, it was still very popular and used among Christian church congregations.

To add to their strategic crusade, many of the "best," Bible scholars (who were trained by Satan's church) were recruited to produce Greek dictionaries, lexicons, commentaries, Bible reference materials and books all using the new and modern Greek (Alexandrian) source. They were also instructed to continue pursuing professors positions as at Christian universities, colleges, seminaries and Christian schools of higher learning and to write college text books with negative comments and views against the KJV text, that would ultimately "punch holes" into the faith of naïve students who used them; all to achieve their goal of destroying the credibility of the Christian Bible.

As the years progressed into the 1900s the satanic revival movement was gaining tremendous momentum among Christian's schools. When persons are called by God to preach and are sent off to most Christian colleges and universities, the simple gospel message was crushed and they are taught to abandon the KJV because it has lots of errors. As their proof of these errors, corrupt Greek and Hebrew dictionary and lexicon tools are introduced, examined and compared. A false and distorted history of our Bible is also taught in the classroom pointing to (Satan's Bible) the Vaticanus and Sinaitcus as the two best and most ancient copies of God's word. (Most major Christian colleges and Christian churches today use these bogus reference tools as their authority.)

As time progressed to the 1960s, 70s and 80s, the Bible version poison was contagiously strong. getting Christian started abandoning the KJV in mass numbers and began purchasing new versions. Adding to their promotional campaigns, book publishers invested millions and millions of dollars into marketing elements to aggressively persuade Christians to abandon the KJV text and purchase only modern Bible versions, all of which have their unholy satanic reading.

From Westcort and Hort Greek text came the English Revised Version, the American Standard Version, The Revised Standard Version, The New Revised Standard, The English Standard Version, The Living Bible, The New International Version, The Amplified Bible, Contemporary English Version, English Standard Version, Good News Bible, Jerusalem Bible, Living Bible, The Message, New Jerusalem Bible, New Living Translation, The New World Translation, Today's New International Version and the list goes on and on. All modern versions today are coming from the Westcort and Hort Greek text (1881) and its sources.

But what actually happened was "when the errors of the corrupt Revised Version (RV) were exposed, it wiggled away and became the RSV. When that was exposed, it became the NRSV. When its errors were revealed, it became the ESV. Likewise, unsteady squirming transformed the ASV into the NASB. The Living Bible became the New Living Translation. The NIV morphed into the TNIV." ([2f], page 17) Exposed corrupt versions continue to run and hid into new versions publications.

Scholars, professors, preachers and teachers call God's word **the** "**OLD**" King James Version and it is full of errors along with **old** English that are no longer used today. People and churches today that use the KJV are looked down at as old fashion, archaic, out dated, intolerant, narrow minded and arrogant. Also, persons with views like this author are classified as a "KJV Only" advocate making a big issue out of nothing (they also despised and rejected the Written Word because of the language grammar God used to communicate to us).

The apostate church has mastered the art of religious camouflage to the point where today they are dangerously silent and hidden deep in many areas of Christian services. Perhaps Christianity is very badly infiltrated so much so that now Christians are fighting and destroying themselves from within.

We can see that God is now ready to end this world's evil system. Last day events are very quickly falling in place. Things are rapidly being set in motion. We are now seeing a "falling away" spoken of in II Thessalonians 2:3. Satan has now boldly entered churches twisting and destroying Christians from within.

The power once felt when reading or speaking the words in the KJV (the underground Christian Bible) is gone in modern versions; the spirit behind or in the surface text has departed; the Living Word "power" when spoken has been dismantled in today's English Bibles.

As it was in the day of Ezekiel, so it is today. (See Ezekiel 8:1-18.) Satan is ready to sit and exalt himself as God. (Read the second part of the section called, "So Where Are We All Headed With These Modern Version?" later in this book for this author's view and outlook on how it will all unfold.)

Just as the crowd, influenced by the religious leaders, lobbied for Barabbas (a murderer) as the "The replacement for Living Word," crooked scholars representing of leaders our modern Bible version crowd. lobbied for Westcott and Hort Greek text as the replacement for

"The Written Word;" thus, sending "The Written Word" on the way to "Golgotha" to be crucified. What we have seen thus far is the procession to "Golgotha," and the nailing to the cross. We will soon see the "execution" (the vast religious population will abandon God's Word) the final or crucifixion "hours" of The Written Word. This historical crucifixion event is subtly (intellectually) being repeated and we are not cognizant of it. (Luke 24:13-31, Amos 8:11, II Thessalonians 2:7-12)

Satan tried to destroy the Christian Bible with a Greek counterfeit, but failed; he attacked it again by using a copy-cat Latin version and failed a second time; he tried destroying England for crippling his church with the Holy Bible the KJV and received a deadly penetrating stab wound for it. God's plans were unstoppable.

However though, God has appeared to have somehow stepped aside and allowed Satan to succeed with his last evil revival strategies. This is because Satan has become very successful with creating **a higher level of authority** (higher than our Bible) found in our Bible dictionaries, lexicons, commentaries, most Bible reference tools today and other false "testaments" written by crocked scholars.

Today, in the minds of average Christians, all dictionaries and lexicons are correct and everything else on the contrary are wrong, including the KJV Bible. This is how it's taught in the classroom colleges of and universities.

These 21<sup>st</sup> century dictionaries and lexicons were written by corrupt and unsaved Hebrew and Greek scholars, fabricating scholarship lies, false standards, infiltrating "Christian" colleges, universities and higher "Christian" learning institutions, infiltrating churches, political offices, controlling world commerce and banking, instigating wars and unrest, and the list goes on.

The modern version infiltration is very much alive and strong today and has entered our churches and way of life. Satan's deadly wound is believed to now be healed.

Now, the question is: Where are we all headed? Where is all this corruption taking us? The answer is simple: We are headed on a collision course with deception that would ultimately end up causing the whole world to worship the beast spoken of in the book of Revelation. The beast is none other than Lucifer the devil, our greatest enemy.

"Wherefore come out from among them, and be ye separate, saith the Lord, and touch not the unclean thing; and I will receive you." "Come out of her my people, that ye be not partakers of her sins, and that ye receive not of her plagues." (II Corinthians 6:17, Revelation 18:4)

William P. Grady sums it all up in his book call, "Final Authority" on page 70: Like it or not, to endorse the new "Bibles" is to believe that the Holy Spirit failed in preserving the true Word of God for nearly fifteen centuries. And as if this were not bad enough, one must also ascribe to the lunacy that two Bible-denying liberals (Westcott and Hort) saved the day! (Emphasis inserted)

# THE END



Which Bible is God's Word? Is it the AMP, ASV, CEV, GNB, GWT, KJ21, KJV, KJV-ER, LB, Msg, NAB, NASB, NIV, NJB, NKJV, NLT, NRSV, NWT, RSV, TEV, TNIV, etc., or all listed in the background on the front cover?

# **Should We Accept Facts or Faith?**

What we can see or what cannot be seen? A seen promise or an unseen promise? Is seeing, believing, according to man or believing is seeing, according to God?

- Should we believe God or should we believe man? -

# Should We Accept "FACTS" Or FAITH: the impossible versus the possible?

"...the word of God, which liveth and abideth for ever...But the word of the Lord endureth for ever. And this is the word which by the gospel is preached unto you." (1 Peter 1:23-25)

I John 5:7 says: "For there are three that bear record in heaven, the Father, the Word, and the Holy Ghost: and these three are one." If this verse is not in your Bible, then you have the wrong Bible.

There are many references in books claiming that this verse was not found in the "EARLIEST" or the most ancient "MANUSCRIPTS" (for example, the <u>Vaticanus</u> and <u>Sinaiticus</u> which are the basis for our modern translations). There are also many references in other books claiming that this verse was in the "ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPTS" that were used by born-again Christians in their underground churches.

#### So, which of these claims should we believe? Which of these "facts" should we accept as the truth?

Most of our Bible footnotes and commentaries today cover-up many missing passages of scripture and altered verses by maintaining that either the "verse not found in the most ancient is manuscript;" the "verse is not found in the two best authorities," or (like the Scofield Study Bible, it reads) "it is generally agreed that this verse has no real authority and has been inserted." What these footnote authors really meant is that "the best," "the most ancient" or "the nearest" manuscript to the original text is the Westcott-Hort Greek text (1881) or the Vaticanus and Sinaiticus text. This is because all of our modern versions are being produced from them.

There are only two text families or sources from which came all of our Bible versions. They are the Byzantine text family (source) where our King James Version (KJV) came from, and the Alexandrian text family (source) where our modern versions are coming from. [10e]

This author will use the term "Byzantine text type" or family throughout this book to mean "the underground Christian text." Textual critic's categorized or grouped the underground Christian text as the Byzantine text type because, according to them, the Byzantine period started around 330 AD and these texts were compiled and put together during and after this period. Why did they use the term Byzantine? The term was used because of a concocted theory or story made up by Westcott and Hort, with absolutely no evidence to prove it occurred (or a meeting took place). The story claimed that "Emperor Constantine set a standard text for the Bible at a huge meeting in 330AD." about According to Westcott and Hort, Constantine determined what was and wasn't scripture and what should be included in the Bible as scripture. Now, because Westcott and Hort said so (with no evidence a meeting or the event took place), scholars, professors, preachers and teachers today, accept this lie as the truth. The sad thing about all of this is that many of them are very well aware that it's a big lie but are very silent on discovered facts which destroy their money making, fairytale story. There were manuscripts classified as Byzantine that were discovered to be written before the Byzantine period of 330AD. ([12c], page 102-110) More on this later.

The Byzantine text family is one family of related text type reading copied in many languages, originating out of Antioch in Syria. The Alexandrian text family is a text originating from Alexandria of Egypt. (See "History of your English Bible" in the previous chapter of this book.)

The Byzantine text family (or reading) was found in manuscripts at Greece, Constantinople, Asia Minor, Syria, Alexandra, Africa, Gaul, South Italy, Sicily, England and Ireland. All of them agree with the majority text or the KJV reading. (Read [2a], page 477) On the other hand, the Alexandria text family (or reading) was found in manuscripts at Alexandria, Egypt.

The existing evidence of the Alexandrian family of text is represented by only 1% or less of approximately 5,255 or more Greek manuscripts **available today** in museums around the world. What is interesting about these existing manuscripts is that 99% or more of them agree with the KJV reading. Thus, all of the modern versions today are taken from this 1% of evidence including our Bible commentaries, dictionaries and Greek lexicons. ([3a], page 52-56)

# Scholars deceptively tried adding a third group of manuscripts called The Western family

Greek scholar Johann Jakob Griesbach, (1745-1812) who brought textual criticism into the modern era, tried cataloging manuscripts, erroneously adding a third group of manuscripts called "the Western family" to the ONLY two historically established texts known for almost fifteen hundred years as the Byzantine and Alexandrian text families. Scholars today using Griesbach's work, employed this "Western" text ideology only to remove the connotation perception on the significant "two source order" between good and evil, God and Satan, Byzantine and Alexandrian, light and darkness, truth and error, the right way and the wrong way; adding this third factitious source, cover-up the two source order perception making "the right way" or "the right one" more difficult to track from "the wrong way" or "the wrong one." Any common sense individual can clearly see why a third group was necessary to be created among untruthful modern-day scholars. However, even though they tried inserting this third family (for obvious dishonest reasons), there are no proof a western family exist. Even Kurt and Barbara **Aland**, respected advocates of textual criticism, pointed out that Griesbach's "influence should not be overemphasized since his critical theories have been proven inadequate." (See, "A Visual History of the English Bible," by Donald L. Brake, page 242, with its reference pointing to: Kurt and Barbara Aland, "The Text of the New Testament," Grand Rapids, Eerdmans, 1987, page 9) Griesbach's plan was **ONLY** to ultimately bring about the downfall of Stephanus's Textus Receptus. ("A Visual History...," page 243)

From the time the Old and New Testament Greek text was completed (and combined together containing sixty six books), until the beginning of the 19th century, Christians accepted the Byzantine text family from which came the Majority text (also called the Imperial Text, the Reformation Text, the Antiochian, Syrian and Chrysostomian, Lucianic Text, a-text, the Received Text, the Traditional Greek Text and the Textus Receptus; see [2c], page 624). From this text family came the Greek, Hebrew, Latin, Syriac, French, Italian, Spanish, English, German, Danish, Bohemian, Polish Coptic. Armenian, Ethiopian and the Georgian Bibles that were used by born-again Christians. (The gift of speaking in other languages given by God on the day of Pentecost was put to serious use.)

The **twelve-language** polyglot Bible printed at Nuremberg, Germany in A.D. 1599 demonstrated a perfect agreement between the English King James Version and the first twelve of these Bibles in other languages just mentioned. ([2c], page 1048-1113 and [1a], page 106) There were also many Bible manuscripts prior to KJV 1611 that used this same Byzantine text family of sources (or manuscripts) but have either disappeared or have long been out of print.

Some of them are the Bible manuscripts used by the Gothics (apostles – A.D. 500); Bible manuscripts used by the Anglo-Saxon (A.D. 500 – 1000); Bible manuscripts used just before The Wycliffe Bible (A.D. 1000 – 1400); and just before English was fully developed, there were the Wycliffe Bible (A.D. 1384), the Tyndale Bible (1526), the Coverdale Bible (1535), the Great Bible (1540), the Matthew's Bible (1549), the Geneva Bible (1560) and the Bishop Bible (1568). Some of these Bibles were in English but many others were in different language all coming from the underground Christian copy.

Now when the English language was fully developed to its purest form, there was the Holy Bible (1611) that was later renamed the "King James Version" Bible ([2c], pages 650 - 754). The KJV Bible overtook and replaced every English translation that preceded it due to the demands for copies and its faithfulness to the Byzantine text reading. It is believed that this was mainly as a result of the approval of the Holy Spirit. It became known as the Authorized Version (AV) due to its universal acceptance among English speaking Christians worldwide. It could not have been authorized to be so by anyone other than God and not because the king authorized it to be so. The KJV is translated from the Traditional Masoretic Hebrew Old Testament text and the Textus Receptus Greek New Testament text and other supporting vernacular Bible, all of which are Byzantine family of text type reading ([3a], page 20).

The "King James Version" Bible was called "The Holy Bible," for about 300

years. This was the original name from the day it was first published in 1611. It was changed to "King James Version" because jealous new version publishers wanted to make it appear as though the king penned it himself. Also, they wanted to create a mental perception that would change the view and outlook of this Bible from "The Holy Bible" to just another English translation like all others; **thus, the desired perception**: any modern version is just as good as the KJV Bible.

The Holy Bible, the King James Version (KJV) is the text that originated out of Antioch. Born-again believers throughout the centuries had always rejected and trusted the Alexandrian text never (manuscript) which came out of Alexandria of Egypt; from which came Origen's (Origen Adamantius) Greek Bible and the ancient Septuagint: from which came Jerome's Latin Vulgate Bible; from which came the Sinaiticus and the Vaticanus manuscript; from which came the Westcott and Hort Greek text (1881); and from these manuscripts came all of our modern versions that are available today.

Now, God was the first person to make a **perfect** copy of His own Word (Ex. 31:18; 32:15,19; 34:1-4) after Moses broke the originals. (Notice that God gave Moses

the first two tables of stone but Moses had to make them himself the second time.) Sometime after this, He commanded copies to always be made from this copy (Deut. 17:18-19).

Throughout history, the Old and New Testament text were always copied from other copies as God commanded, which was to preserve His Word. Thus, during the time when the "accepted manuscripts" were "underground," hidina or manv in handwritten copies were produced and intensively used until these copies wore out and had to be replaced. (Examine the condition of your Bible that you have been using and reading for over ten years; you probably need to have it replaced due to its condition.)

Mistakes no-doubt were uncommon among <u>SERIOUS</u> born-again Christians during the handwritten copying process. This was due to the intense interest of Christians who were desperately and practically starving for God's TRUE Word. They were also <u>deadly serious</u> about not losing any words or even any character (a jot or tittle; see Matt.5:18) of God's inspired and infallible text. This process was like the Jews painstaking method of preserving the Old Testament Hebrew text.

#### God's Scriptural Preservation Strategy Illustrated

The power of scriptural memorization (**the human Bible**) was one of the methods God used among Christians to preserve and carry His words to the people. As mentioned earlier, carrying a Bible manuscript (between 100 and 1604 AD) was not safe. It was illegal to read or own a copy. It was also difficult to hide and very dangerous if found (the punishment if found was death or prison). No-doubt, Christians smiled to themselves as they watched their enemies pull apart personal belongings, hunting and desperately searching for a physical manuscript that was already consumed to memory.

Intense persecution caused significant scriptural memorization discipline among Christians. To understand their mindset during this time is to comprehend the fact that they had mentally prepared (or made up their minds) to exchange their very own lives to preserved God's Word. His words were seriously precious to them; much more precious than life itself. As a result, many of them could read many passages of scripture including the entire New Testament from memory. It is also believed that some of them, with the aid of the Holy Spirit, could have possibly read the entire Bible, the Old and New Testament text from memory.

Other methods God used to preserve His Word were the spiritual gift of discernment and speaking in tongues (or other languages). These gifts were prevalent among early Christians. Many of them were super sensitive to spiritual matters; and like the Apostle Paul, spoke several different languages <u>fluently</u>. This resulted in an excellent and perfect translation of the original text.

God gifted these individuals with the ability to speak multiple languages so that they can read or listen to the text in other languages and very quickly spot words and sentences that may have been tampered, altered or modified by dishonest scholars in the hand written manuscripts. This was no-doubt how Erasmus was able to identify many devious and intentional changes to the text by the apostate church. Unfortunately, this dishonest practice of changing the text is not old. Today, it is still subtly being blended into many modern versions that are frequently being revised and published.

Thus, God blessed men with these gifts and was His way of preserving and translating the Bible perfectly into many languages including ours.

God's wisdom and power was/is/always so awesome, where in some pockets of history and in some provinces, He disassembled the "nuts," "bolts" and "machine parts" of His eternal Word (like the overhauling of commercial jet engineering), preserving them in fragments, uncials scripts, lectionaries, quotes from born-again Christians (the true church's fathers), other vernacular versions, etc., scattering and sending them where ever His children (Christians) migrated, only to be reassembled when the fullness of time came where and when the apostate church could not get their "blood dripping" claws on them.

For centuries, the demands for more and more of these copies were enormous. Thus, the earlier copies fell apart, rendering them useless, due to intense usage. Also, Christians who were caught with these copies and had truly given their hearts to God and were prepared to give their lives for their belief in His true Word, suffered greatly. They were either killed on the spot, burned alive at the stake, fed to the lions, hanged, strangled, drowned, skinned alive and left for dead, beheaded, poisoned, thrown in "prison torture houses of hell" to suffer, etc., and their copies destroyed or burnt to ashes. (Read about the many horrors of death to Christians in many graphic and inhumane ways in [8].) As a result, millions lost their lives during this time.

Among them were brave men, women and children who participated in the preservation of God's Holy Word. Many of them gave their lives protecting the Byzantine text source or Bible manuscript from where we get our King James Version Bible. There is nowhere in history where Christians gave their lives protecting (or even placed their faith and trust in) the Alexandrian text manuscripts where all of our modern versions are coming from; along with all their missing verses and altered readings. If you were to research Bible history, you will discover that there is a serious "<u>TRAIL OF BLOOD</u>," all over the "floor and walls" of history following the KJV text and its sources (or the underground Christian Bible). There is no sacrificial evidence, no token or blood trail to prove its purity that follows the modern versions or their sources. (Like all criminals, Satan evidently had mistakenly forgotten to counterfeit this clue. Deuteronomy 22:15-17) As mentioned in another place, to find God's genuine English Bible, we must follow the blood trail. This will lead you the reader to God's Word. (the body: The Bible).

THEREFORE, "CHOOSE YOU THIS DAY" WHICH OF THESE TEXT SOURCES YOU WILL USE AND ACCEPT AS GOD'S WORD! (Jos. 24:15)

Will it be the Byzantine text source where the King James Version came from <u>**OR**</u> the Alexandrian text source where all of our modern versions are coming from? (Ask God to help rid you of pride if it does exist in your heart so that you can make this choice intelligently.)

According to apostate historians and most modern-day Bible scholars, the <u>Vaticanus</u> and <u>Sinaiticus</u> are the oldest manuscripts which survived throughout the centuries that can be found. (Read, "Are The Earliest Manuscripts Better Than The Later Ones According To Bible Scholars?" later in this chapter.)

This claim was made simply because of their inability to see an overall picture of events (or an intentional conspiracy) that took place between God's ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPTS which survived the times "UNDERGROUND" and the "earliest manuscripts" that unfortunately survived, as was the case, due in part to a lack of usage. Furthermore, this claim was made as a result of their faulty aged old manuscripts testing methods; also, scholars just stubbornly refuse any history that links the KJV as a superior text due to a hatred for it without a cause (like they did to Jesus, the Word, without a cause).

These "earliest manuscripts" were always rejected by born-again believers until Westcott and Hort decided to "resurrect" them in their new Greek text of 1881 <u>which is also now the basis for</u> <u>most of our Bible dictionaries, lexicons,</u> <u>commentaries, Bible notes and most</u> <u>Bible reference works available today</u>.

Be careful what Bible reference materials you read and be especially careful not to place them above the Word of God. Unfortunately, many persons have done just that because many modern-day Bible reference materials are claiming that the KJV translated many words incorrectly when in fact apostate scholars and authors of many modern-day Bible dictionaries and lexicons translated and defined words improperly in their reference work.

Most Christians today are unaware of what they are actually doing when they place these Bible dictionaries and lexicons above God's Word. This is done when searching these reference materials for a Greek or Hebrew word and shockingly discovering that the meaning is entirely different to the word choice used in the KJV. ([1a], page 166-170) As mentioned earlier, today in the minds of average Christians, all dictionaries and lexicons are correct and everything else on the contrary are wrong, including the KJV Bible. This is how it's taught in the classroom of colleges and universities.

Did you ever ask yourself this question: Who are providing us with these dictionaries and lexicons and which sources (Byzantine or Alexandrian) are they using? Are they men of the faith or are they corrupt Bible scholars? These questions and more are answered in the section called: "Can we trust our Greek and Hebrew Dictionaries and Lexicons?" later in this chapter and in the section called, "These are our gods O Christian" in Chapter Six.

Most modern scholars say that the KJV translated words improperly, when in fact it is the other way around. Throughout our Christian text books you read comments like, "Scholars now have at their disposal a wealth of materials that the KJV translators knew nothing about," or "The Hebrew and Greek texts now available to Bible translators are far superior to what the KJV translators had." ([6], page159)

The fifty-four men who worked on translating the KJV were far superior in their knowledge of the ancient Hebrew and languages Greek than modern-day scholars. Read about the scholarship of the skilled men behind the KJV and you will discover that the modern version translators are "peanuts" or inferior to them. ([3], page 76-82 and [2c], page 588-618. You the reader can find this information by loggingthe following link: on to http://www.scionofzion.com/kjvtransqual.ht m)

Several of these men on the KJV committee were gifted by God at birth and spoke multiple languages fluently. As mentioned earlier, there is a big difference between someone who learnt a foreign language in the classroom and someone who was gifted by God to speak the language in a vernacular way including all the idioms tongue and twisting

pronunciations. Think about it for moment: when the scholar а "learnt-in-thespeaks his classroom" language, the natives know immediately he is foreign. When God gifted individuals with the ability to speak other languages, the natives cannot tell if the person is foreign. The KJV translators who were gifted by God were never taught the language in the classroom. Therefore, if any English word choices were off from the original intent of the author (God), they would have immediately picked them up.

The NIV is one of the most popular modern versions today. Can you the reader pick any one of its translating committee members and find out if they were gifted by God from birth to speak Hebrew or Greek fluently; or English, if Hebrew or Greek was their native tongue? Compare them with the giants of the KJV committee members from the internet link given above and find out who were more superior? If you can't, then name your favorite modern version and read any one of its translator's profile; find out how they were taught the Greek, Hebrew or English language. Was it in the classroom of men using corrupt Hebrew and Greek reference materials or a gift from God? You the reader be the judge.

B | Biographies of the King James Version Translators, taken from "The Translators Revived" by Alexander McClure, 1858

1 The First Westminster Companytranslated the historical books, beginning with Genesis and ending with the Second Book of Kings: Dr. Lancelot Andrews, Dr. John Overall, Dr. Hadrian Saravia, Dr. Richard Clarke, Dr. John Laifield, Dr. Robert Tighe, Francis Burleigh, Geoffry King, Richard Thompson and Dr.

Williams Bedwell.

- 2 The Cambridge Companytranslated Chronicles to the end of the Song of Solomon: Edward Lively, Dr. John Richardson, Dr. Lawrence Chaderton, Francis Dillingham, Dr. Roger Andrews, Thomas Harrison, Dr. Robert Spaulding and Dr. Andrew Bing.
- 3 The Oxford Company-translated beginning of Isaiah to the end of the Old Testament:

Dr. John Harding, Dr. John Revnolds, Dr. Thomas Holland, Dr. Richard Kilby, Dr. Miles Smith, Dr. Richard Brett and Daniel Fairclough.

- 4 The Second Oxford Companytranslated the four Gospels, the Acts of the Apostles, and the Revelation of St. John the Divine: Dr. Thomas Ravis, Dr. George Abbot, Dr. Richard Eedes, Dr. Giles Tomson, Sir Henry Savile, Dr. John Peryn, Dr. Ralph Ravens and Dr. John Harmar.
- 5 The Fifth Company of Translators at Westminster-translated all of the Epistles of the New Testament: Dr. William Barlow, Dr. John Spencere, Dr. Roger Fenton, Dr. Ralph Hutchinson, William Dakins, Michael Rabber and [Thomas(?)] Sanderson.
- The Sixth Company of Translators 6 Cambridge translated at the apocryphal books. The King James translators did not consider the Apocrypha to be scripture and neither did Kina James (see Alexander **McClure** on the Apocryphal committee and why the Apocrypha is not in the Bible): Dr. John Duport, Dr. William Brainthwaite, Dr.

Dr. John Duport, Dr. William Brainthwaite, Dr. Jeremiah Radcliffe, Dr. Samuel Ward, Dr. Andrew Downes, John Bois, Dr. John Ward, Dr. John Aglionby, Dr. Leonard Hutten, Dr. Thomas Bilson and Dr. Richard Bancroft.

For information on the above translators, their scholarship, skills and gifts, logon to: <u>www.jesus-is-lord.com/transtoc.htm</u>

Many of today's Hebrew and Greek reference materials were (and are) subtly provided by highly educated "apostate scholars" whose intentions were and have always been to eliminate (by casting doubt) and destroy the trustworthiness of the Byzantine text family (ultimately, the KJV) and replace it with their own. ([1a], pages 166 to 170.) How else do you think Satan will try to get the whole world following him if he cannot eliminate God's Word? (God will not allow him to) He will deceive the world by using deception: introducing his very own version of the Bible by changing the text and changing the meaning of the Hebrew and Greek words. What do you think we are now doing? We are accepting without question these changes for the reasons being that many of us do not know Hebrew and Greek and therefore must rely on dishonest Bible scholars.

Because the KJV is a word for word translation from the Hebrew and Greek text, (and indeed a very good and pure translation) word choices in some places may not be what preachers, scholars and teachers look for, depending on their topic of discussion, if we are comparing the spoken language of today with the spoken language of 1611. Scattered throughout modern versions in some cases, word choices may be somewhat clearer or more to the point, depending ONLY on the subject of discussion, than what is used or found in the KJV. But this is no evidence that the modern versions are more superior; there are many serious changes in modern versions as you will see later in this book.

Remember, Satan's strategy was and is always to mix truth with error, as much as possible (healthy spiritual food with spiritual junk food) so that false ingredients can be hidden from the critical eyes of seasoned Christians. Unfortunately, most Christians today do not have the skilled eyes to see and recognize the subtle changes made to the modern version text.

In modern versions, Satan's biggest cover-up is targeting the fundamental doctrines of God's

Word (the deity of Christ, hell, salvation, spiritual growth, etc.) by corrupting them (all verses that point the lost to the narrow way that leads to finding God and eternal life); he then appears to "improve "enhance" or upon" and passages that are verses uplifting, inspiring, comforting. encouraging, stimulating, arousing, He decorates etc. language in modern versions with just the "right" words that would "appear righteous unto men, but within...are full of hypocrisy and iniquity" (Matt. 23:28; also, 23:25-27). It would read like the Bible, but very deceptive in contents. How else do you think he will deceive the WHOLE WORLD? (Rev. 12:9) BY DECEPTION!

It would sound and read like God's Word, but counterfeit in content. Do you know that the modern versions subliminally and subconsciously twist and change doctrinal concepts and beliefs by using words whose roots most of the time convey negative meanings? (Examine [2c], pages 97 – 187 for an understanding of how Satan is using and incorporating this mind twisting concept technique in our modern versions.)

There are enormous amounts of attack from so many books today, the internet, so many Bible scholars, professors, preachers, teachers from every possible angle towards the King James Version Bible. To address all of these arguments one would need pages and pages of notes; this book would never end. Critics attack the word choices used by the translators; the word substitutions and meaning; they attack King James' character; they attack the text by using corrupt Hebrew and Greek dictionaries, lexicons and other Bible tools as reference; they attack the reading style and form used; they attack the KJV reading grade level.

Every attack imaginable has been directed towards the King James Version Bible. No Bible or book to date has had so many critics and attacks against it as seen directed towards the KJ V Bible.

Some of these attacks appear to be "heavy-weight" (particularly among SO persons with very limited Bible knowledge of on history Hebrew and Greek manuscripts), that only prayers and blind faith in the promises of God's Word can keep one focused. With some of the attacks, the authors lack discernment and faith in God's ability; with others, they just hate everything that is Christian or relating to God. Just type in and enter "errors in the King James Bible," in your internet browser search field and you will discover the intensity of these attacks.

Critics arque grammar over problems found in the text and say that because of bad grammar, the author could not have been God or the translators did not translate correctly. An example of this can be found in Acts 5:30 in the KJV where it appears that Jesus was dead before He was nailed to the cross. (Who killed Jesus: the Jews, the Roman soldiers or the chief priests in Acts 5:30?). Bible scholars create their own reading standards to pick at the KJV, dishonestly ignoring the exact same reading patterns in modern versions (For example, II Sam. 20:10: Amasa "died" and later "wallowed in blood." I Sam. 17:49-51: David killed Goliath with a sling but did he kill him a second time with a sword?)

Who created "CORRECT" grammar? Men or God? Who changed 1611 grammar into today's "correct" grammar? Is there an "correct UNCHANGEABLE master grammar" document that we all MUST follow (including God) when communicating in written form? When men change the English grammar, should God follow or comply with those changes? For this kind of reasoning, the critics lack wisdom. We all get most of our information from books and we rely heavily on this information to steer our thoughts and opinions. Let us be careful what information we allow to dominate these thoughts and opinions of ours.

**Men** just love correcting God's grammar; even our very own Christian brothers and sisters who follow the natural ways of the world. Read what Paul had to say about God methods of speaking to us in I Corinthians 1:18-31 to 2:1-16.

Question: Did God use incorrect or poor grammar to convey a message or thought in His Word? Answer: God can and does anything He pleases.

Modern-day scholars and grammarians, using their "learnt-in-theclassroom" skills, change and modify words and verses in the Bible, to convey how they want (or believe) the text should read. **Remember** what Satan did in the Garden of Eden at Genesis 3:4: he changed ("corrected") what God said by adding the word "**not**" to God's instructions in Genesis 2:17; now remember what Eve did: she accepted it. Then, what happened? Sin came! God said, "thou shalt surely die;" Satan said, "ye shall **not** surely die."

In Acts 4:13 the disciples language grammar and speech was not proper among the scholarly elites even though they spoke under the power and influence of the Holy Spirit. They spoke a common and simple language and were despised by the elders of the people who heard and read nothing except it was polished and eloquent. They recognized them as "unlearned" men because of their "bad" grammar. Remember what Jesus said in Mark 13:11; Luke 21:12-15? As men hated the living Word without a cause, today they hate the written Word because of the written language grammar God used. The Written Word today is despised and rejected of men. (Is. 53:3)

<u>Always keep in mind</u> the methods God use to get our attention and speak to us: He uses, many times, the "low and smelly stable" approach to communicate with us, a method that can only be discerned and received by humble hearts filled with faith. (Matthew 5:3-12) To illustrate this: Sometimes He sends us a despised and smelly beggar (perhaps an angel in disguised) to communicate (speaking broken English) or get our attention; but, many times we do not or can not discern this because of our very own self-learnt pride.

# C | Was King James a homosexual?

Some of today's religious groups are attacking King James' character to discredit and cast doubt on the Bible he authorized. Please note that the king did not translate the text; he authorized it which simply meant that he made it legal to translate, buy and to sell the underground Christian Bible. Thus, the Byzantine text family was copied into the 1611, Holy Bible that was later renamed: the King James Version (KJV) or King James Bible (KJB). Many people today mistakenly believe that the king translated the Bible himself and/or had great influence on the word choices and its contents.

When King James authorized the translation of the Bible into English to place copies into the hands of the common people, he created many enemies who intensified their efforts to discredit him and destroy his reputation with the ultimate objective of destroying the work he authorized. He became the number one enemy of Satan in addition to the enemies he already had when he, a Scottish king, became king of England.

King James was up against an unprecedented, intense satanic attack on his character, his health and his life. (Read about health problems he was plagued with and the attempts made to kill him in [5], pages 243-266; [6], pages 147-148; [1a], pages 360-402.)

Many lies were written about him by historian enemies; many of whom were highly trained agents working for the apostate church. One of the lies that were spread twenty-five years after his death was that he was homosexual. It was not the king they really wanted destroyed, it was the Bible he authorized. (More on this in "History of your English Bible" earlier in this book.) Also read about the lifestyle of King James ([5], three hundred and seventy-four pages of evidence called, "King James Unjustly Accused" and you will see how devoted he was to God, His Word and how he stood against sexual sins.

# D | Were changes make to the KJB?

Religious groups are also arguing that the original 1611 KJV is not the same as the KJV we have today. They say it is due to the many thousands of changes that took place between then and now. But however, when this view is examined and investigated, with the 791,328 words and the millions of characters in the original 1611 KJV, the only changes that took place were: the spellings (this was because words were spelt differently during the 1611 era that were standardized in the 17<sup>th</sup> and 18<sup>th</sup> century); corrections to "typos," caused by printing press mistakes ("typo" detection techniques were almost non-existent as they are today due to the early invention of the painstaking manual printing press procedure); grammar problems (this was because the English grammar was just recently standardized when the KJV was completed and needed further adjustments afterwards); and small proofreading tweaking done to polish the language that was written in 1611. An excellent illustration source of verses that were tweaked can be found in Jack McElroy's book called, "Which Bible Would Jesus Use? The Bible Version Controversy Explained and Resolved" (pages 161-203).

What is the difference between revision and proofreading? ls the underlining message fixed or polished (to make it sound better) in either definition or is the message change entirely? Is it a rewording (looking for a better word), avoiding repetition, correction to spelling, comma, punctuation, grammar, etc.? If you were to write a book and present it to a proofreader for correction checks before publishing it, what is that called? Does this process completely change the original message or the original message is polished to make it sound better (correcting word choices, repetition, spelling, grammar, punctuations, etc)?

If you were to compare an original 1611 KJB with one today (from either the Oxford or Cambridge edition), neither of them is different; the message remains the same and it is intact. If you compare a modern version Bible with the KJB, you will discover that they do not say the same things. The message is very different in many places and has greatly changed. Why is this so? It is because the modern versions are built on (or using) a completely different text or manuscript source; the KJB is coming from another text or source as we have seen in Chapter One of this book.

Scholars claim that there are about 75,000 changes in the KJB today compared to the KJB 1611 edition. When this claim is examined, we discover that it's a BIG lie coming from men who say they love God and His Word (they actually hate His Word). In their 75,000 changes, they included words that were spelt different in 1611; punctuation and grammar corrections that were standardized after 1611 (when checking this out. verses where standardization and grammar corrections took place, say the same thing in both today and the 1611 text) and small proofreading Below tweaks. are 14 examples of this taken from Jack McElroy's book called "Which Bible Would Jesus Use The Bible Version Controversy Explained and Resolved." See pages 179-203 for illustrations on this along with excellent proofreading notes.

#### 1 1 Corinthians 12:28

And God hath set some in the church, first apostles, secondarily prophets, thirdly teachers, after that miracles, then gifts of healings, helps, governments, diversities of tongues. **(KJB today)** 

And God hath set some in the Church, dirst Apostles, secondarily Prophets, thirdly Teachers, after the miracles, then gifts of healings, helpes in gouernmets, diuersities of tongues. (KJB 1611)

### 2 Joshua 3:11

Behold, the ark of the covenant of the Lord of all the earth passeth over before you into Jordan. **(KJB today)** 

Behold, the Arke of the Couenant, euen the Lord of all the earth, passeth ouer before you, into lordan. **(KJB 1611)** 

### 3 2 Kings 11:10

And to the captains over hundreds did the priest give king David's spears and shields, that were in the temple of the LORD. **(KJB today)** 

And to the captaines ouer hundreds, did the Priest glue king Dauids speares and shields, that were in the Temple. **(KJB 1611)** 

#### 4 Isaiah 49:13

Sing, O heavens; and be joyful, O earth; and break forth into singing, O mountains: for the LORD hath comforted his people, and will have mercy upon his afflicted. **(KJB today)** Sing, O heauen, and be joyfull, O earth, and breake forth into singing, O mountains: for God hath comforted his people, and will haue mercy vpon his afflicted. **(KJB 1611)** 

#### 5 Jeremiah 31:14

And I will satiate the soul of the priests with fatness, and my people shall be satisfied with my goodness, saith the LORD. **(KJB today)** And I will satiate the soule of the priests with fatnesse, and my people shall be satisfied with goodnesse, saith the Lord. **(KJB 1611)** 

#### 6 Jeremiah 51:30

The mighty men of Babylon have forborn to fight, they have remained in their holds: their might hath failed; they became as women: they have burned her dwellingplaces; her bars are broken. **(KJB today)** 

The mightie men of Babylon haue forborne to fight: they haue remained in their holdes: their might hath failed, they became as women: they haue burnt their dwelling places: her barres are broken. **(KJB 1611)** 

### 7 Ezekiel 6:8

Yet will I leave a remnant, that ye may have some that shall escape the sword among the

nations, when ye shall be scattered through the countries. **(KJB today)** 

Yet will I leaue a remnant, that he may haue some, that shall escape the sword among the nations, when ye shalbe scattered through the countreys. **(KJB 1611)** 

#### 8 Ezekiel 24:5

Take the choice of the flock, and burn also the bones under it, and make it boil well, and let them seethe the bones of it therein. **(KJB today)** 

Take the choice of the flocke, and burne also the bones vnder it, and make it boyle well, and let him seethe the bones of it therein. (KJB 1611)

#### 9 Ezekiel 24:7

For her blood is in the midst of her; she set it upon the top of a rock; she poured it not upon the ground, to cover it with dust; **(KJB today)** For her blood is in the middest of her: she set it vpon the toppe of a rocke, she powred it vpon the ground to couer it with dust: **(KJB 1611)** 

# 10 Ezekiel 48:8

And by the border of Judah, from the east side unto the west side, shall be the offering which ye shall offer of five and twenty thousand reeds in breadth, and in length as one of the other parts, from the east side unto the west side: and the sanctuary shall be in the midst of it. **(KJB today)** 

And by the border of ludah, from the East side vnto the West side, shall be the offring which they shall offer of fiue and twentie thousand reedes in bredth, and in length as one of the other parts, from the East side vnto the West side, and the Sanctuarie shall be in the midst of it. **(KJB 1611)** 

### 11 Daniel 3:15

Now if ye be ready that at wat time ye hear the sound of the cornet, flute, harp, sackbut, psaltery, and dulcimer, and all kinds of musick, ye fall down and worship the image which I have made, well: but if ye worship not, ye shall be cast the same hour into the midst of a burning fiery furnace; and who is that God that shall deliver you out of my hands? **(KJB today)** 

Now if ye be ready that at what time yee heare the sound of the cornet, flute, harpe,

sackbut, psalterie, and dulcimer, and all kindes of musicke, ye fall downe, and worship the image which I haue made, well: but if yee whorship not, ye shall be cast the same houre into the midst of a fierie furnace, and who is that God that shall deliuver you out of my handes? **(KJB 1611)** 

# 12 Matthew 14:9

And the king was sorry: nevertheless for the oath's sake, and them which sat with him at meat, he commanded it to be given her. **(KJB today)** 

And the king was sorie: neuerthelesse for the othes sake, and them which sate with him at meate, he commanded it to be giuen her: **(KJB 1611)** 

### 13 1 Corinthians 15:6

After that, he was seen of above five hundred brethren at once; of whom the greater part remain unto this present, but some are fallen asleep. **(KJB today)** 

Ad that hee was seene of aboue fiue hundred brethren at once: of whom the greater part remaine vnto this present, but some are fallen asleepe. **(KJB 1611)** 

### 14 1 John 5:12

He that hath the Son hath life; and he that hath not the Son of God hath not life. **(KJB** today)

Hee that hath the Sonne, hath life; and hee that hath not the Sonne, hath not life. **(KJB 1611)** 

Moreover, the King James Version went through several printing press correction episodes to try and rectify human made printing press' blunders in the text. Early printing presses' misprints and mistakes lead to the following nick names given to King James Bibles depending on the type of printing misprints and omissions that were discovered.

#### 1 The Unrighteous King James Bible (1653)

The printer accidentally dropped the "NOT" in 1 Corinthians 6:9 from "know ye not that the unrighteous shall not inherit the kingdom of God" to "Know ye not that the unrighteous shall inherit the kingdom of God,"

# 2 The Wicked King James Bible (1631)

The printer accidentally dropped the "NOT" in Exodus 20:14 from "Thou shalt not commit adultery" to "Thou shalt commit adultery."

#### 3 The Forgotten Sins King James Bible (1638)

The printer accidentally changed forgiven in Luke 7:47 from "...Her sins, which are many, are forgiven..." to "...Her sins, which are many, are forgotten."

#### 4 The Wife-Hater's King James Bible (1810)

The printer accidentally changed life in Luke 14:26 from "If any man hate not his father...and his own life also..." to "If any man hate not his father... and his own wife also..."

#### 5 The Murderers King James Bible (1801)

The printer accidentally changed murmurers in Jude 16 from **"These are murmurers,** complainers..." to **"These are murderers,** complainers..."

# 6 The Judas King James Bible (1611)

The printer accidentally changed Jesus in Matthew 26:36 from "Then cometh Jesus with them..." to "Then cometh Judas with them..."

Dr. D.A. Waite (as mentioned in his book, [3a], page 3-4), took up the challenge and from Genesis to Revelation listened to the today's KJV on cassette tape and followed the reading in the original 1611 edition KJV. [7] What is so amazing about his findings were that out of the 791,328 words in the original KJV, ONLY 421-word changes affecting the sounds were found. Of these 421 changes to sounds all but about 136 were almost the same words like "towards" and "toward;" "burnt" and "burned;" "amongst" and "among;" "lift up"

and "lifted up;" "you" and "ye." Because he heard the difference in sound, he added them in his count of 421 words. The remaining 136 words discovered were minor such as added words like "of" and "and." ([3], page 4-5; [2c], page 600-603; [1], page 14-29)

#### E | Is the Apocrypha Holy writ?

Some persons again argue that the original KJV came with the Apocryphal books, but they are missing in the KJV today. Even though this statement is correct, the KJV translators did not believe that the Apocryphal books were inspired. They, however, translated and placed them between the Old and New Testament only as history, very similar to the way our Bibles today would have historical notes, the author's notes. index. concordance. dictionary, maps, etc. Therefore, shortly after the 1611 publication, the Apocrypha was taken out. Actually, the "Thirty-Nine Articles," of the Church of England (in King did not recognize James era). the Apocrypha as canonical Scripture nor inspired by God. The Apocrypha has no Scriptural standing and it is not the Word of God. ([3], page 66 and 71; [2c], page 603-606)

Furthermore. the Westminster Confession of Faith written in 1646 (www.freepresbyterian.org/wcf-1/) was the standard statement of doctrine for the Church of England and became the basis for many others during the same period. providential claimed Notice that it preservation (in other words. God supernaturally preserved His words) of God's Word that includes ONLY 66 books of our present Bible as scripture (see Chapter 1 of the Holy Scripture, paragraph II). It then mentioned the books commonly called the Apocrypha by stating the following in Chapter One and paragraph III: "The books commonly called Apocrypha, being of divine not inspiration, are no part of the canon of the Scripture, and therefore are of no

authority in the Church of God, nor to be any otherwise approved, or made use of, than other human writings."

Unfortunately, in April of 1881 just four months before Westcott and Hort released their corrupt text, two Princeton professors (they were Principal of Princeton Seminary) who "worked" themselves to prominence in the church (Archibald Alexander Hodge and professor of Theology, Benjamin Breckinridge Warfield) changed the Westminster Confession of Faith statement particularly the doctrine of preservation before Westcott and Hort new English Revised Version and their Greek text was published and was available for "fix" purchase. They had to the Westminster Confession of Faith statement before their colleagues' work hit the bookstores. ([12c], page 150-155)

The names of the books of the Apocrypha are I Esdras, II Esdras, Tobit, Judith, The rest of Esther, The Wisdom of Solomon, Ecclesiasticus, Baruch, with the Epistle of Jeremiah, The Song of the Three Holy Children, The History of Susanna, Bel and the Dragon, The Prayer of Manasses, I Maccabees and II Maccabees. These books are sometimes referred to as "the lost books of the Bible."

Do you the reader know that the books of the Apocrypha contradict the Bible in many places? For example: In the Apocrypha at 2 Maccabees 12:46 (Douay-Rheims Edition) it says: "It is therefore a holy and wholesome thought to pray for the dead, that they may be loosed from sins." Now, think deep: What do you think this verse promotes and how do you think it will affect us, the living and our lifestyles?

The Apocrypha teaches readers ([12], page 173-176): how to use sorcery and magic potions to drive away devils; pay your way out of death and purge your sins; pray for the dead so that their sin can be

forgiven; suicide is noble and manly; Antiochus Epiphanes died twice; etc.

#### F | Is KJB the easiest to read?

Many persons are also now saying that the KJV is very difficult to read and comprehend when compared to the modern versions. However, when this claim is examined using Grade Level Indicator testing, we discover that this is an untrue statement. The Flesch-Kincaid Research Company Grade Level Indicator, ranks the grade level of Genesis 1 in the KJV as 4.4, the NIV as 5.1, the NASB as 4.7 and the NKJV as 5.2; in James. 1:1-21, the KJV as 5.7, the NIV as 6.5, the NASB as 7.0 and the NKJV as 6.4; and so on. "New Age Bible Versions," by G.A. Riplinger, pages 195-217, examines this issue and demonstrates proof of this. concrete comparing chapters, words, verses and syllables.

#### G | Is the KJB an Anglican text?

Critics argue that the KJV is an Anglican text. This is really far from correct because of several reasons. Firstly, in actuality, the reverse is true. Modern Bible version English can all be classified as "Anglican English" in words and style because they are all using English definitions. words and a standardized English grammar from 1800 **British** scholar's lexicons, dictionaries. etc. Secondly, if these scholars manipulated the text to suite their language styles and religious beliefs, then Acts 8:37 (along with others) would have disappeared in the KJB. Churches (or Bibles like the NIV) that deny one must "believe on the Lord Jesus Christ" before baptism, attack Acts 8:37 and remove it as inspired (some Bibles omit the entire verse). They attack it because this verse is found in the KJV and it kills the held view of scholars, pastors and preacher that the KJV is an Anglican text. If it was, this verse would have been eliminated

or modified because of their "infant baptism" belief. This simply demonstrates how honest the KJV translators were (or had to have been among other critical colleagues) even when a verse hits at the very heart of what many of their parishioners, religiously believed. See [2f], page 613) for manuscripts that have this verse. Thirdly, the sentence style and structure of the KJB contents is different to that of the introduction written by the socalled Anglican scholars of the 1611 King James Bible. Many critics use this "Anglican text" argument to try and prove the King James text was written by men who inserted words to suite their very own religious views but a careful examination of this would prove they are wrong. The words in the KJB are Bible words and not Anglican words.

#### H | Scholars preservation doubts

Today. there are many Bible scholars, teachers, professors, preachers, etc., who believe that only the original autographs (the actual written documents by the authors) were inspired. These autographs no longer exist and as a result, according to them, manuscripts written afterwards lost their authenticity during the translation and the copying process. There are also scholars who believe that the messages of the Bible are inspired but not the actual words. But thank God, there are some Bible scholars today who believe that the actual words written by the author are inspired and nothing has been lost as was promised by God in His Word.

Modern-day scholars abandon the doctrine of preservation and instead say that the original autographs were inspired but they were not preserved. They say that during the translation from Hebrew and Greek to English and the copying process, the text became corrupt, resulting in over five thousand pieces of manuscripts that are available today containing portions from the original autographs and portions that were corrupted.

As of 1996, there were approximately five thousand, two hundred and fifty-five (5,255) Greek manuscripts made up of papyrus fragments, uncials, cursives and lectionaries that survived and exist in museums around the world. What is EXTREMELY important and surprising to know is that 99% (or 5,210 copies) of these manuscripts agree with the Byzantine text manuscript or the KJV reading and only 1% (or 45 copies) of them agree with the Alexandrian text manuscript or the modern version reading. (See [3a], pages 52-56)

As of 2018 (and probably more by the time you read this) there are now 5746 surviving manuscripts of parts of the New Testament in Greek. (Read [12c], page 103) and only 44 out of this amount favor the Alexandrian text manuscripts and 5702 agree with the Byzantine or the KJV text. (The **Rylands Greek papyrus p52** was checked and nothing in the text was found to favor Alexandrian; thus, 45 was reduced to 44.)

What is so deceptive and devilish about the whole matter is that professors and Bible Scholars are very well aware of these statistics, but yet they with their affiliated universities, seminaries and most of the higher learning Christian schools, eagerly promote the erroneous Alexandrian text. Perhaps, for a "small donation" their names appear on these modern versions' contributors' lists.

scholars Manv and professors accepted the theory that was manufactured by Brooke Foss Westcott and Fenton John Anthony Hort called. "The Lucian Recension Theory" (or another name called, "The Conflate Theory"). This theory, (claimed by Westcott and Hort) assert that the Byzantine text manuscript used by the KJV translators was a "Conflated" text that was produced by a group of editors from the Syrian church at Antioch in the 4<sup>th</sup> century. According to them, it produced what we know as the Byzantine text manuscript (from which came our KJV Bible) and then this text was forced upon Christians at that time by the church council. Furthermore, this theory claimed

that the church fathers edited and difficult passages modified to reconstruct the text and combine it with their very own false religious views and readings: they replaced the original reading of the authors who penned them, with their own text reading.

Westcott and Hort, dishonestly concocted this story to help cast doubt on the King James Bible to promote their very own Greek text copied from the Vaticanus and the Sinaiticus manuscripts.

In their fantasy story, a place was selected (Antioch); a time was selected (A.D. 250-350) and a coordinator was selected (Lucian); thus, the technical term called, "The Lucian Recension;" promoting their manuscript without absolutely no proof that the event had ever taken place. Their theory has never been proven and has lost support over the years. It was refuted by historical evidence and evidence from lectionaries and testimonies preserved for centuries that are with us today in museums around the world. (Read [6], pages 32–40 and [1a], page 36)

Moreover, and as mentioned earlier section. Westcott and Hort in this categorized and grouped the underground Christian text as the Byzantine text type because, according to them, the Byzantine period started somewhere around 330 AD and these texts were compiled and put together during and after this period. The term Byzantine was used because of the concocted theory or story made up as mentioned above with absolutely no evidence to prove it occurred (or a meeting took place). It claimed that (along with the story above) "Emperor Constantine set a standard text for the Bible at a huge in about 330AD." Then, meetina he determined what was and wasn't scripture and what should be included in the Bible as scripture. Now, because Westcott and Hort said so (with no evidence a meeting or the event took place), scholars, professors, preachers and teachers today, accept this lie as the truth. The sad thing about all of this is that many of them are very well aware that it's a big lie but are very silent on discovered facts which destroy their money

making, fairytale story. There were manuscripts classified as Byzantine that were discovered to be written before their concocted Byzantine period invention. ([12c], page 102-110) More on this later.

Another reason why this theory was invented was because they (humanist) believe that it was impossible for the contents of any text or manuscript to survive through numerous hand-written copying, to preserve it and still find its way intact and without error, thousands of years later.

These corrupt Bible scholars (because of their false connection with another spirit) seem to forget. I Corinthians 2:14 and the mighty and powerful unseen preserving hand of our great God and His promises (Psalm never-failing 12:6-7). These men failed to recognize that they can only see and rely on their own human abilities. Thus, as a result, they intentionally refuse and reject the awesome supernatural power of what our great God and Savior can do. Is anything to hard for Him? Question: Can God bless men with a powerful gift of scriptural memorization (a human Bible) along with the ability to speak several languages fluently to carry His Word around the world? Can God speak and the words spoken preserved forever? He spoke the heavens, the earth, the water, etc., into existence and they are all still here today.

Unfortunately, though, because of the mass merchandizing and widespread sales of modern versions, multi-milliondollar publishing companies are unconcerned whether the Lucian Recension Theory has lost support. This is because of the millions of dollars in profits that are being made from the sales of these bogus versions.

Many modern-day scholars claim that the original autograph can be reconstructed from the 5,255 manuscripts that survived to date. This reconstruction, they say, will be performed by Bible scholars (textual critics) using a scientific method called textual criticism. This simply meant that as the textual critics examine the available manuscripts, they will apply their theory that the shorter reading is better than the longer reading; the difficult reading is better than the easy reading; and the earliest manuscripts are better than the later ones. Thus, they say, by applying these methods to the available texts, one day soon we will once again enjoy God's complete inspired, inerrant, infallible text. [10d]

# I | Why God gave the gift of tongues?

There are persons who believe that the Hebrew and Greek text from Antioch was handed down to us through the ages by God; but they believe all translations have errors. They claim that the King Version is the best English James translation but it too has mistakes and errors that can be improved upon. Therefore, according to them, to find out what God really said, we have to go to the actual Hebrew and Greek text used by the KJV Translators and compare words and their meaning found in our Greek and dictionaries Hebrew and lexicons. Unfortunately, as mentioned earlier, our dictionaries Hebrew and Greek and lexicons have been infiltrated by unholy "Christian" Bible scholars. Their goal was and has always been to destroy the King James Bible at any cost.

Also, as mentioned earlier, the gift of tongues from God in Acts chapter two was to prevent any language (for example: Greek) to have a monopoly on the pure gospel or where sneaking heresy by linguist translators could stifle its preservation. If this was so, we then would have had to rely heavily on many of our dishonest scholars to translate and interpret the scriptures for us. The majority of us can only speak a single language. Therefore, anyone can easily see how this would have all played out. Now even though to some degree, this was so to bring us our Bible in English, God's hand was heavy with preserving His words.

Some of the Bibles from the underground Christian church prior to the KJB were not translated from the Textus Receptus, as some persons claimed, but from other vernacular Bibles in other language and yet they match the King James Bible reading.

#### J | The conclusion of the matter

There is absolutely no doubt that Satan has successfully infiltrated our modern versions. His agenda of what he (Satan) wants modern versions to say is almost complete and may very well be completed by the time you read this. He cannot destroy or eliminate God's Word and he knows this; thus, conspiracy, deception, confusion and distortion are his primary tools (weapons). Eventually, as more and more Christians accept these modern versions as God's Word, many changes to verses will take place (as is now happening with every new edition). This will result in the creation of many new and altered Biblical doctrines (as is also now happening in many books and from many pulpits); thus, resulting in a deviation from Bible truths.

Can you imagine standing before God and showing Him an "error" or a grammar problem you have found in the text He promised to preserved; then hear Him say: "There are no errors in my Word; I purposely placed that word and structured that sentence like that so that I can test your faith in my Word and me; you have FAILED that test!" Let us be careful when attacking God's Word because we may discover later that we were really attacking God. Remember, the Word is who in John 1:1?

So then, what and who will you believe? If God said what He did in Ps.

12:6-7, 33:11, 100:5, 119:89, 119:160, 138:2, Prov. 30:5, Is. 40:8, Matt. 4:4, 24:35, Mark 13:31, Luke 21:33, John 17:17, I Thes. 2:13, Heb. 10:7 and I Pet.1:23-25; then praise God, we can believe Num. 23:19, Is. 14:24-27 and Jer. 32:17, 27. This Bible version issue is very serious today because of all the text "watered-down" corruption in every new and "improve" versions that are surfacing. We are faced important questions with very that **DEMAND ANSWERS!** 

Should we accept (the convincing subtly persuading) and very "FACTS" (of men) OR (exercise blind) FAITH (in the promises of God regarding the preservation of His Holy Word)? What we can see or what cannot be seen? A seen promise or an unseen promise? The impossible versus the possible? Is seeing believina. according to man or believing is seeing, according to God?

As mentioned in another section, your answer to these questions can be found in 1 Corinthians chapter 1 verse 18-31 through to chapter 2 verses 16. Thus, "Let God be true and every man a liar" (Rom. 3:4). If our salvation is solely based on faith alone in God's Word, shouldn't we have the same faith in God to believe that He will do what He savs He will do with His Word? After all, the Scripture says that: "Whatsoever is not of faith is sin" (Rom. 14:23) and "...without faith it is impossible to please him" (Heb. 11:6). Furthermore, "The just shall live by faith" (Heb. 10:38), NOT "FACTS!" (Spiritually speaking) Do you or will you believe God's promises? The battle to change God's word has intensified today. Be careful what version you are using.

Nevertheless, we can say: PRAISE GOD, He came through on His promises! The "accepted manuscript" was phenomenally, miraculously and supernaturally preserved and survived in spite of the intense Satanic attack and is available for us and is with us today. This was because many years later, when the persecution of the underground Christian church diminished and eventually stopped, the accepted "underground" manuscript "<u>resurfaced</u>" and was placed or copied into the King James Version Bible and other Bibles in different language. Praise God! He kept His promise.

# What If This Author Is Wrong?

What if this author is WRONG with believing God when He promised to preserve His Word for generations? Also, what if this author is wrong with accepting the Byzantine text manuscript, from which came the KJV Bible, as God's word and rejecting modern versions (that is, reading them but rejecting them as the "final authority"). How do you think God would judge this author after reading what He said in His Word at Psalm 12:6-7, 33:11, 100:5, 119:89, 160, 138:2, ls. 40:8, Matt. 24:35, Mark 13:31, Luke 21:33, Heb. 10:7 and 1 Peter 1:23-25? Also, how do you think God would judge millions of Christians who were massacred because they took a stand for Byzantine text manuscript the and participated in protecting and preserving it?

**NOW**! What if this author is RIGHT? How do you think God would judge those persons whose **personal choices** were to reject the doctrine of preservation, reject the Byzantine text manuscript and reject all of the translations that came from it? (They criticize the text and are never really interested in investigating the issues.) Remember, if this author is RIGHT, persons who reject the Byzantine text manuscript and all translations from it, will be rejecting God's Word.

This author believes that the risk of rejecting and be wrong is <u>MUCH</u> <u>GREATER</u> than the risk of accepting and be wrong. To reject and be wrong: meaning to reject the KJV as God's unadulterated Word in English and later find out that you were very wrong. Also, to accept and be wrong: meaning to accept the belief that the KJV is God's unadulterated Word again in English and find out later that you were wrong. If the Byzantine text manuscript (along with all of the pure translations that came from it) is God's Word, then it is the LIVING WORD; it will be our judge (John 12:48); it is Spirit (John 6:63); it LIVES. When you feed on it, you are consuming and digesting the right Spirit (John 6:53-58).

If we all have to stand before God for ourselves, then please, let us investigate this issue for ourselves and not follow persons who criticize the KJV and never did any thorough investigation. Remember what Hosea 4:6 says: "My people are destroyed for lack of knowledge." Matthew 15:14 says: "Let them alone: they be blind leaders of the blind. And if the blind lead the blind, both shall fall into the ditch."

There are numerous back and forth debates, arguments, discussions, etc., on Bible version issues. Persons listening and reading the two different views are finding it very difficult to decide which position or side to take. One side has to be wrong, but what side is it? Satan has created so much distorted "evidence" and historical data in books and documentary videos, that it is becoming now extremely difficult to find the truth in an "ocean of lies."

It has now become a "Cain and Abel controversy," where persons follow their own Bible version pathways; many of whom ignore the watered-down journey, modern version are contagiously taking, ultimately, leading to a very dark and gloomy **prison of the mind**. We know from the Cain and Abel's story that both gave their hearts best.

Unfortunately, though, God rejected Cain's best and accepted Abel's best. So then how can we recognize or discern which view to take? The answer is: very **humbly** pray to God requesting and begging guidance from Him on this issue with an open heart to accept whatever He reveals to you. Also, so that you would know the answer came from Him, request a "discerning certification reply" that only He can plant in your spirit.

Even though some of our well intended scholars will give you their best work efforts, it will really, inadvertently and satanically target the next generation who will have no Bible standard of reference to rely on. According to them, all will be God's Word and will be used.

Not every Christians spend their time studying Bible version issues and therefore they rely heavily on well known and recognized Bible teachers who do.

We are all being set up for Satan's deception to deceive the whole world. When that time comes, if it has not already come, people will accept any "Bible" that is available (that is the most popular at the time) and every word written in them as truth. Thus, serious apostasy would be the order of the day. Our "sincere" modern version scholars today, are not concern that their, "any version will do," views will open doors for serious Bible corruption years from now. Their main focus is how much money they will make selling "Bibles." When serious apostasy sets in and our modernday scholars are all pass of the scene, they will not be around to close the opened "can of worm" they created by using a multiplicity of modern version text.

# Translation Techniques And The \$25,000,000.00 Question

There are three translation techniques used to translate all of our Bible versions. They are verbal equivalent, formal equivalent and dynamic equivalent. "Verbal equivalent" is when words in the Greek are translated to the same word in English. (e.g. "heal" in Greek comes over to the English as "heal"; "dog" in Greek comes over to the English as "dog.") "Formal equivalent" is when the actual word form (verb, noun, pronoun, adjective, etc.) comes over to the English with the same word form (e.g. a verb in the Greek comes over into the English as a verb; a pronoun in the Greek comes over into the English as a pronoun, etc.). "Dynamic equivalent" is when a word was either **added** (which was not previously in the Hebrew and Greek Text), **deleted** (which was previously in the Hebrew and Greek but taken out), or **changed** to another word (if the word was not suitable coming from the Hebrew and the Greek, it was changed as it was being brought over into the English to what was believed to be suitable by the translators).

The KJV translators used the verbal and formal equivalent techniques to translate the KJV Bible. Furthermore, they were very honest to <u>italicize</u> any word that was added which was not originally in the Hebrew and Greek texts. Thus, we can easily identify these words and not use them if we choose to read EXACTLY what the Hebrew and Greek text said.

The KJV translators were also very honest in using "ye" and "thee" instead of just using "you" even though "you" is used about two thousand times in the KJV. (Read [3a], page 249) They used "ye" and "thee" when they needed to distinguish between the Greek singular and plural pronouns. Ye, you, yourselves, etc. (all "Y" pronouns) are all plural; thee, thou, thyself, etc. (all "T" pronouns) are all singular. (<u>Thou, thee, thy</u> and <u>thine</u> refer to one person; <u>ye</u>, <u>you</u> and <u>yourselves</u> refer to more than one person).

The translators recognized that "vou," "yourself" "yours" and were available and could have been chosen in place of "ye," "thee," "thy," "thou," "thyself" and "thine;" but, to remain faithful to the text and the formal equivalent method, they brought them over into the English as either а singular or plural pronoun instead of always using "you," "yourself" or "yours." Literally, and as is written in [2g], page 59: The KJB is Biblical English, not 17<sup>th</sup> century style. Shakespeare's plays, written during the same period, did not use the '-eth' and 'est' endings. The 'Preface to the KJB,' written before 1611 by the translators, does not sound like the Kina James Bible. It says "your very name," not 'thy very name.' The KJB translators used 'thee,' 've,' 'thine.' 'eth.' 'thv.' and 'est' endings (on verbs) in the Bible because these are the only way to show important grammatical and theological distinctions, clearly seen in Greek, Hebrew, and most of the world's Bibles. KJB English is Biblical English, not archaic English. Read [2g], page 59.

#### The Formal Equivalent Method Illustrated

A second person, nominative, plural personal pronoun, Greek word is to be translated into English using the Formal Equivalent method. There is only one English word form qualified to be used that has the same word form in the Greek and that word is "ye;" this word was from the thirteenth century (over three hundred years before the 1611 KJV was written). However, the recently standardized English grammar in 1611, no longer used the word "ye" and it was no longer used in the spoken language of the day; instead, the word "you" was used.

Unfortunately, to remain faithful to translating the text, "you" could not be used because it was an obiective and possessive plural of the second person pronoun and only began to place of take the "ve" between the seventeenth and eighteenth century (however, it still did not match the Greek word form). The KJV scholars

then had to "resurrect" (so to speak), the "dead," spoken English word "ye," bringing it back to "life" and placing it among the English words of the KJV text. The Living Word (who is also the Written Word) said: "I am the resurrection and the life...though **he** were dead, yet shall he live" John 11:25). This word was classified as old English at the time and was no longer used in spoken language during King James era. Nevertheless, to be faithful to the Formal Equivalent method, (like Lazarus) "ye" was "raised again" out of the "Written English word Graveyard" and placed among the "living" words of our KJV Bible. The same scenario was with the words: "thee," "thou," "thy" and "thine;" also, the "eth" and "est" word endings.

The modern Bible translators used the dynamic equivalent technique and added, deleted and changed the text as it was being brought over into the English. This was done so that the reading would be what <u>they</u>, the translators, believed was suitable and what they believed the verse truly meant. There are no italicized words in these versions and therefore the reader does not know what was added, deleted or changed in the text. [3a]

Let's examine an illustration of translation techniques and then ask a very important question. Your father and mother died when you were one year old leaving you and an outside sibling who was prior to your parent's born marriage, with a will having assets in excess of twenty-five million (\$25,000,000.00). dollars Your parents are Greeks and the will is written in Greek, but you grew up with relatives who speak English only. You cannot speak Greek and neither can your foster parents, but your outside sibling can. Thus, to understand clearly your parents' Will, you need a Greek translator, and there is one whom your Greek

sibling is highly and aggressively recommending. Now the question is: would you use the translator vour Greek sibling is recommending and. if all translation techniques are legible and comprehensible. which technique would you use? Would it be the verbal, formal or dynamic equivalent?

Most of us (if not, all of us) would agree not to select the translator that the **outside** sibling is recommending; but instead, choose our own translator. Also, we would use the verbal and formal equivalent techniques **only**; however, the dynamic equivalent technique would only be used when it is absolutely necessary, **but**, those words and places where it is use **MUST** be identified.

Isn't this what the KJV translators did? Would you prefer to read a Will loaded with defined words or one that is a word for word translation? The modern versions are a massive body of definitions, but the King James Version is a translation.

So then, <u>shouldn't the proper, exact</u> and very precise translation of God's word be just as precious to you as this <u>\$25,000,000.00 Will in this story</u>? Thus, this author encourages you to use the KJV Bible for <u>READING</u> and <u>STUDYING</u> God's Word. It will bring you precious rewards that will eternally exceed this Will's facevalue amount. Only when it's the Word of God, modern day scholars (like the Scribes and the Pharisees in Jesus' day) carelessly and clumsily handle it and are not truly interested in what is actually said; they prefer functional and not literal translation of the text. (Read what false guide, Donald L. Brake, had to say about this in his book called, "A Visual History of the English Bible," page 283-295)

# Are The "Earliest Manuscripts" Better Than The Later Ones According To Bible Scholars?

Here is part illustration and part of what actually took place to answer this question. God chose prophets and apostles to write the original manuscripts we will call "C0." (C meaning copy, 0 meaning the original and 1 to 15998 meaning handwritten copies of earlier copies or the original. Also, "Byzantine text" meaning one family of copies in many languages that originated from Antioch in Syria.)

Shortly after the Bible was completed and compiled in Antioch, the churches wanted copies of the original and so hand-written copies were produced and distributed we will call C1, C2, C3 and so on, all of which came from C0. As more and churches were established more in Christian homes, demands for more copies intense. This was became due to thousands of persons who converted to Christianity and wanting copies for their church congregations. Thus, many more hand-written copies were produced from C0 in many other languages. Finally, after ceaseless and intense usage, C0 fell apart and was no longer usable. C0 had to be discarded.

Afterward, copies were produced from other copies of C0. Again, more and more demands were placed on producing copies from the available copies until C1 went up to C500. Now C550, C551, C552, C553 and so on were copied from C500; and then there were C954, C955, C956 all of which were copied from C785 and so on until there were C2652, C2653, C2654 copied from C1590 and so on. Moreover, there were C2890, C2891, C2892 and so on copied from C2461 and so on. Demands for more copies became enormously intense until all earlier copies fell apart and were discarded because they were no longer usable. (Examine your Bible that you the reader was using constantly for over ten years; you probably would need to have it replaced.)

Suddenly, between 200 and 400 (AD), **C2993**, **C2994** and **C2995** that were a mixture of pagan beliefs and earlier copies of God's Word showed up and were placed among the accepted copies. (We will call C2993: Origen Adamantius [185-254] bible; C2994 and C2995, we will call: the <u>Vaticanus</u> and <u>Sinaiticus</u> bibles.)

The authors of these copies took the copy and subtly changed. authentic deleted, omitted and added words and sentences so that the alterations would not be clearly or easily recognized. The goal was to make the reading sound like the original but different and pagan in content and meaning. (Remember Mat. 13:24-30?) These copies were completed and compiled in Alexandria of Egypt. (We will call them, Alexandrian text;" "the meaning one counterfeit family of copies originating from Alexandria, Egypt. Remember that Egypt was a type of the world in our Bible. Thus, now we have two text families similar to, two natural forces: good and evil or God and Satan.)

When **C2993**, **C2994** and **C2995** began showing up in Christian's circles, they knew immediately that something was seriously wrong with them. Many doctrines of the Christian faith disappeared including missing text and altered verses; thus, these texts were immediately rejected.

The Holy Spirit "turned on," so to speak, powerful discerning spiritual gifts; Christians were supernaturally enlightened (their awareness were enhanced). Also, during this time, it was very common for most Christians to have many Bible verses, chapters and the whole New Testament (even the whole Bible with the aid of the Holy Spirit) memorized and could be recited entirely from memory. ("My sheep hear my voice, and I know them, and they follow me.." "...a stranger will they not follow, but will flee from him: for they know not the voice of strangers." [John 10:5, 27]) Persecution and Bible confiscation at the time was prevalent, leaving Christians no other choice but to seriously master scriptural memorization techniques.

Born again Christians abandoned and rejected these texts and never made copies from them. The enemy and his church therefore made a few copies of them and spread them throughout the region hoping Christians would not discern their inaccuracy. This did not work. Thus, C2993, C2994, C2995 and the few copies made from them were never used and remain untouched to collect dust until the 18th century. Meanwhile, more and more copies continued to be produced from previous authentic copies until the numbers were at C10580, C10581, C10582 and so on. All of the earlier copies fell apart because of the intense copying and usage of the earlier copies (manuscripts).

The enemy along with his church (which we will call "the apostate church") was furious; his corrupt copies (C2993, C2994 and C2995) were rejected and were publicly exposed among Christians. Thus, the heavy hand of the persecution of Christians intensified. Millions of Christians who were caught with true copies (all copies except C2993, C2994, C2995 and the few copies made from them) and had truly given their hearts to God suffered greatly at the hands of their enemy. They were killed on the spot, burned alive at the stake, fed to the lions, hanged, strangled, drowned, skinned alive and left for dead, beheaded, poisoned, thrown in "prison torture houses of hell" to suffer, etc., and their Bible manuscript destroyed or burnt. (Read about the many horrors and torture to death of Christians in many graphic and inhumane ways in [8] and in Chapter Four, later in this book.) They were murdered by the apostate church. Persecution drove Christians and their Bible copying movement to underground operations.

Unfortunately for the enemy, due to the grave persecution, many more copies were produced "underground;" also, the more copies destroyed by the apostate church, the more the copies were produced. C15845, C15846, C15847 and so on were copied from C14525 and so on. As more and more copies were produced, more and more earlier copies fell apart and were discarded. Also, as Christians were caught, the apostate church destroyed all of their Bible manuscripts by burning them to ashes.

In 1450, the printing press was invented and things began changing. Christian underground Bibles copying were now being produced off the press by the thousands. The hand-written copying process stopped and many thousands of these underground Bibles were placed into the hands of the common people; many more thousands were printed and distributed in different languages and in different countries. The already intensified persecution got worst. However, it became overwhelmingly difficult for the apostate church to continue controlling the people with their false teachings after many of them began reading. accepting. understanding and obeying God's Word. The political climate and laws in Europe began changing because people were now becoming Christians "by leaps and bounds."

In 1611, the Holy Bible (the KJV) was released to the common people and everyone had access to a copy of it. This Bible was copied and translated from the underground Christian text along with consulted sources from C15996, C15997 and C15998. Religious freedoms begin spreading throughout Europe and Christians began coming out of their

underground hiding operations. Bibles were now everywhere in the hands of whoever wanted a copy.

Recognizing that they were now powerless and defeated by the free religious climate, the leaders of the apostate church began planning to attack the text (the Byzantine text family) in anyway possible "to heal their deadly wounds" (Rev. 13:3, 12, 14). However, their plans and schemes never came together until the 18<sup>th</sup> century.

In May of 1844, a German scholar name Constantin von Tischendorf was snooping around in St. Catherine's Monastery located at the base of Mt. Sinai and discovered the enemy's copy: C2994 and C2995. They were very dusty and there was no sign of them ever being used. Tischendorf quickly took them and made a big announcement about his discovery. Now, by this time, all of the earlier handwritten manuscripts (C0 to C2992) had either fallen apart, had been destroyed and burnt to ashes during the persecution or their hiding places have not been discovered yet.

Two scholars, Westcott and Hort, took the discovered manuscripts and went to work to create a new Greek New Testament, an English Revised Version Bible and essays on Textual Criticism of the Traditional Greek Text (the Byzantine text or the Textus Receptus: the Christian underground Bible); persuading and claiming that the Alexandrian text reading (C2993, C2994 and C2995) were much more superior because they were the oldest and "earliest manuscript" that could be found "intact" and in excellent condition in their day (between 1825-1901).

Scholars claim that when applying scientific age testing methods to <u>C2993</u>, <u>C2994</u> and <u>C2995</u>, they were discovered to be much older than C15845, C15846 and C15847, or C15996, C15997 and C15998. Keep in mind that these age testing methods, tested the

# materials used to write on ONLY and not the actual text content.

Other colleagues joined the crusade to spread their message, which eventually became known around the world as Textual Criticism (one of its theories being, "the earliest manuscripts are better than the later ones"). So, the Bible version confusion began. "Which text is closest to the originals?" "Which manuscripts are the most reliable?" "Do we have a perfect Bible in English today?" "We have the Bible but it isn't in any one English version." "Any version of the Bible is only the word of God where it has been correctly translated." "Which English Bible has been correctly translated; does one exist?" Finally, they also said that, "The KJV is the nearest Bible to the original text but it too has errors."

The apostate church job of attacking the Byzantine text became very successful because it caught on with unsuspecting Christians who themselves began spreading the "germs." To add to their strategic crusade, many of the world's "best," "Christian" Bible scholars were recruited and they produced Greek dictionaries, lexicons, commentaries, Bible reference materials and books all using the Alexandrian source. They were also instructed to pursue positions as professors at Christian universities. colleges, seminaries and Christian schools of higher learning and to write college text books with negative comments and views against the Byzantine text family, that would ultimately "punch holes" into the faith of naïve students; all to achieve their crucial goal of destroying the credibility of the Byzantine text. (Read, "Can we trust our Greek and Hebrew dictionaries & Lexicons?" later in this book.)

As though, this was not sufficient "beating," "spitting in the face" and painfully "pulling out the hair on the face" of the Written Word (the Byzantine text source) the apostate church leaders with all their powerful influences and financial resources pushed their efforts to purchase major share holdings in Bible publishing companies and very subtly pressured the production of many new modern versions using Alexandrian sources. Again, adding to their campaign, millions and millions of dollars were invested into marketing aggressively elements to persuade Christians to abandon the Byzantine text reading reading (the KJV or the underground Christian church Bible) and purchase only modern versions, all of which have their unholy Alexandrian reading. So far, as we all know, they were very successful in achieving their mission.

The KJV Bible liberated Christians from the most vicious iron claws of the apostate church and their false teachings. As a result, millions were born again, trusting Christ as Lord and Savior. This did not sit well with Satan and his church. As long as the KJV Bible remains the Bible of choice, Satan deceptive agenda remains dormant and cannot move forward. Thus, money or fortune, a promise of wealth or power, destruction or death to anyone necessary, Satan's ultimate attack, (from the day the KJV was authorized to be translated for the common people) was to destroy and stop this great work at any cost. Satan almost pulled it off but through providential will, his plot God was uncovered, destroyed exposed, and "publicly broadcasted" (The Gunpowder Plot) for many years.

Because Satan was unable to stop the work, he decided to attack the text and exchange it with his very own.

Now the question from this part illustration and part of what actually took place is: Were/Are <u>C2993</u>, <u>C2994</u> and <u>C2995</u> (Origen's Bible text, the <u>Vaticanus</u> and the <u>Sinaiticus</u> text) better and more accurate than C15845, C15846 and C15847? If your answer is NO! You are correct! <u>C2993</u>, <u>C2994</u> and <u>C2995</u> were rejected and were never used or copied by bornagain Christians. Most of the

earlier text used in the underground Christian churches at time this had either been discarded by Christians when they longer were no usable or destroyed and burn to ashes by the apostate church. However, even though all copies (C0 to C2992) may have been discarded or destroyed, all later copies of the underground Christian Bible were still better than C2993, C2994 and C2995.

If we were to closely examine the copying of the scriptures, we would discover that even the New Testament Christian never saw the Old Testament originals; they relied on copies of copies. Did the Ethiopian Eunuch in Acts 8:29-30, had the very same identical copy Jesus read from in Luke 4:16-17, 21? Was Jesus Christ Himself reading from the original manuscript or the same verse from a copy of it? Were all of the early churches in the book of Acts using the originals or copies of it all at the same time?

Remember that God was the first person to make a perfect copy of His own Words (Ex. 31:18; 32:15,19; 34:1-4) after Moses broke the originals. Then sometime after this, He commanded copies to always be made from this copy (Deut. 17:18-19) to preserve it.

modern-day Today's scholars when applying their age testing the methods on aged old manuscripts, claim that they were and are still the oldest and most ancient copies to date. The test was applied to the actual writing materials used: the papyrus or parchment. The guestion then is: Were/Are the scientific age testing methods when applied to these ancient manuscripts, a reliable method of testing **"TEXT"**  superiority? The answer is NO! The text is the issue, not the materials used to write it on! The only way to test true Christian TEXT superiority is to follow the blood trail.

Most of our ministers of the gospel are very well aware of the painfully, terrifying and horrifying struggles in history of the Christian church to preserve our Bibles. However, even though our modern versions today are continuously being watered down with each new edition, these ministers of the gospel either lacking spiritual discernment, unrecognizing or deliberately ignoring the possibility that there must be a guiding presence, an unclean spiritual force working behind the scene altering our "Bible" to deceptively say what it wants us to read and believe.

# Can The Septuagint Be Trusted?

A false and fabricated document called "The Letter of Aristeas" (**the only sole evidence claiming the existence of the Septuagint**) disclosed a plan to make an official translation by 72 Jewish scholars from the Hebrew Bible (the Old Testament) to Greek and to be done in Alexandria, Egypt. The date of the translation was supposedly around 250 BC and it is known as the Septuagint, LXX (L=50, X=10; thus LXX=70).

What is interesting about the Septuagint is that according to Jewish history, there is no evidence or record of a Greek Old Testament manuscript with a date of 250 BC or anywhere near it of such a work being performed. ([1b], page 45) Scholars don't even have any evidence of the existence of such a document; instead, they usually point to Origen's Hexapla, written around 200 A.D. Origen's Hexapla contained <u>his own</u> Greek translation of the Old and New Testament text which included the corrupt books of the Apocrypha. The

Septuagint is a corrupt Greek edition of the Old Testament written by Origen a few hundred years after Christ and **not before**.

Just think about it for a moment: Strict orthodox Jews, (before Christ, B.C. and prior to His command Mark 16:15) in "abandoning" their holv and sacred, "Hebrew," scriptural text tradition, translating it to Greek for "heathen" Gentiles? Never!! The Hebrews would not even allow a Greek (or anything "polluted" like Gentiles) into the temple (Acts 21:28, 10:1-48); a "polluted" Greek version of their holy Hebrew scriptures (even marriage among them) in their view, was sinful. Remember that during this time, Gentiles were perceived as low down in the minds of the average Jew. Their gods were "the work of men's hands, wood and stone." (II Kings 19:18) They were very jealous when their religious beliefs and practices were past on to heathen Gentiles. (Acts 22:21-23) In Revelation 2:9, Jesus said, "...I know the blasphemy of them which say they are Jews, and are not, but are the synagogue of Satan.

With absolutely no evidence and a concocted story, scholars claim that the Septuagint was copied by Origen into the second column of his Hexapla when in fact, Origen was its author. Preachers, pastors, professors, scholars and teachers also claim that Jesus and the apostles, including Paul quoted from the Septuagint. However, when this claim is examined, we discovered that quotations by Jesus and Paul in **modern versions** match readings in the so-called Septuagint because **modern** 

**versions** are from the exact same Alexandrian text source. Also, Origen simply copied the Old Testament verses from the text out of Antioch and placed them into his new book called the Septuagint.

Read how Clementine, Origen and Jerome together played a vital roll in constructing, compiling and refining the Alexandrian text manuscript including the Apocrypha, in [6], Chapter VII, pages 73-96. This document sold today and called the Septuagint is the Alexandrian text and not the Word of God. ([2e], page 76)

Scholars today are now admitting that the Septuagint (the corrupt Greek edition) was the Old Testament copied from the Vaticanus (Alexandrian) manuscript; however, because this text was considered "the most ancient" or "the best authority," they accept the Septuagint as holy writ.

Unfortunately, preachers, pastors, scholars and teachers today love Septuagint ONLY to use the it has the "Old because Testament" written in Greek which is easier to translate or transliterate into English. Hebrew is an extremely difficult language to learn.

Thus, the Septuagint cannot be trusted because it originated from the same corrupt Alexandrian text source as modern versions: Origen's Hexapla, Jerome's Latin Vulgate, the Vaticanus and the Sinaiticus manuscripts. For more information, read [2f], page 475, 998-1003 and logon to: www.chick.com/information/Bibleversions/ar ticles/septuagint.asp. Read why the Septuagint is so aggressively promoted among scholars in "The Indestructible Book," by Dr. David L. Brown, page 19-56. Also, read David W. Daniels' book called, "Did Jesus Use The Septuagint?" that expose false claims by dishonest scholars who hate the KJB without a cause and would like to see its demise.

# What About All The Archaic Words Found In The King James Bible? Are They Outdated or Dead?

There are persons who argue and say that the KJV is filled with archaic words that are no longer used today. It is correct to say that these words are not used in the spoken language of today; but it is not correct to say that they are out-dated, old or dead. This is because of when examining "archaic" words found in the KJV with today's written language, there is an interesting discovery.

First of all, many of the words that are classified as archaic are still in use today and can be found in written form: in modern-day newspaper articles, international magazines, periodicals, many books, contemporary publications and even many of our modern versions that claim to use today's English.

Mr. Laurence M. Vance in his book [9], page viii wrote, "Just as a certain vocabulary is necessary to science. medicine. understand engineering, or computers, so to learn and understand the Bible one familiar with must be its vocabulary instead of dragging it down to one's own level. And just as no one revises Shakespeare or Milton, but instead learn the vocabulary necessary to understand those particular works, so every man who desires to read and understand the Bible must first become acquainted with the vocabulary of the Authorized Version rather than revise it."

Mr. Vance listed the so called "archaic" words found in the KJV and individually explained important information about each one. There is a brief etymology

of the word, a brief definition, published newspaper articles, magazines, periodicals, modern versions (RSV, NASB, NIV, NKJV) and other contemporary publication where the word is used: The Economist, U.S. News & World Report, National Review, Forbes, US Today, to name a few. The Question from his book on page 389 is: "Does the AV contain archaic words?" His answer is, "certainly. But perhaps a better question would be: Do contemporary publication like Time, U.S. News & World Report, the Chicago Tribune, Forbes, and the New Republic contain archaic words?" Again, his answer is "they unquestionably do." (AV meaning: Authorized Version of the KJV Bible.)

Do the modern versions that claim to be UP-TO-DATE, have archaic words? They most certainly do. So then, why are Scholars, preachers, pastors and teachers attacking the KJV on this issue? Why don't they criticize and attack the many modern and recent publications that are loaded with archaic words? One of the things we don't see the enemy do is attack their very own English archaic words found in many occult books, books on witchcraft, books on new age, books on Lodges, etc. Many of these books are recent publications and are loaded with archaic words. Instead of criticizing and attacking them, the people who read them, study them carefully so that they can achieve greater power with their master, the prince of darkness.

If anyone of us was given a 1909 Rolls Royce, what do you think we would do with it? What about a 1940 Cadillac or a 1910 Oldsmobile? What about a 1712 bottle of wine or an old book dated back to the 7<sup>th</sup> century? Do we throw them away because they are old or do we call them outdated or dead? Ancient coins, antique furniture, pictures, statues, buildings, etc; do we get rid of them because they are old. What about castles and palaces, forts and architectural church buildings from the 14, 15 and 16 centuries? What about the "gorgeously frightening," "mouth dropping," Saint Peter's Basilica in Rome, constructed between 1506 and 1626 AD or the masterpiece paintings by Michelangelo? Are they really dead or out-of-date? No! "A Trillion dollar" no! They are precious irreplaceable antiques; priceless treasures some of which are enormously high-priced and undisturbed. The archaic antiques of the King James Bible are no different from these masterpieces. Instead of criticizing them, we should study, examine and cherish them as much as possible because God gave them to us.

The words in the KJV are not archaic words, they are BIBLE WORDS; they are Spirit Words (they are life – John 6:63); they are "WORDS OF ART;" they belong to God's 1611 masterpiece; intact and without error and should be classified that way.

# Can we trust our Greek & Hebrew Bible Interlinear and Lexicons?

"STOP!" "PAUSE!" Place a bookmark here! If you have never heard or read about Gail Riplinger outside of this book, continue reading. However, if you have heard or read critical reviews about her books ("New Age Bible Versions," "In Awe of Thy Word" or "Hazardous Materials: Greek & Hebrew Study **Dangers**") from persons like James White, T.A. McMahon, Bob Morey, your professor, pastor, teacher, etc., (all persons who criticized her books) please pause here and read the chapter titled, "Who is Gail Riplinger," later in this book. When you are finish, return here and continue reading. For an indebt study on some of the most popular scholars and their lexicons, see the chapter titled, "These are our gods (scholars) O Christian!" later in this book.

Before the book, "Hazardous Materials: Greek and Hebrew Study Dangers," by Gail Riplinger [2f], no one had ever critically examined the <u>authors</u> of Greek and Hebrew study tools. Instead, these tools were accepted blindly. Yet we are taught from scripture to "Prove all things; hold fast that which is good" (1 Thessalonians 5:21). Do you use lexicons, Bible dictionaries, Bible word study books or commentaries? Have you <u>proven</u> or investigated the authors (the men) who provided us with these Greek and Hebrew study tools? Have you read their doctrinal status?

The Bible warns us to "Lay hands" suddenly on no man, neither be partaker of other men's sins: keep thyself pure." (I Timothy 5:22). We Christians have laid our hands on Greek and Hebrew study tools without a thorough examination on the beliefs of the men who penned them. The Bible also said in the last days men would be "Ever learning, and never able to come to the knowledge of the truth." (II Timothy 3:7) "For the time will come when they will not endure sound doctrine; but after their own lusts shall heap to themselves teachers, thev having itching ears; And they shall turn away their ears from the truth, and shall be turned unto fables." (II Timothy 4:3-4), "...giving heed to seducing spirits, and doctrines of devils." (I Timothy 4:1)

The Bible also warns us to, "Be ye not unequally voked together with unbelievers: for what fellowship hath righteousness with unrighteousness? and what communion hath light with darkness?" Corinthians 6:14) "And have no fellowship with the unfruitful works of darkness. but rather reprove them." (Ephesians 5:11) It is so interesting: as it was in the days of Isaiah, Jeremiah, Ezekiel and others, so it is today.

All Greek and Hebrew study tools today are copied from lexicons and critical editions written by **Strong** (who gave us Strong's Exhaustive Concordance; he believed Lucifer was Jesus Christ), Vine, Moulton, Milligan, **Thayer** (he did not believe the Bible was the word of God),

Wuest, Trench, Vincent, Liddell, Scott, Zodhiates, Gesenius, Brown, Driver (he charged Jesus with ignorance), Briggs, Scrivener, Berry, Westcott, Hort, Aland, Metzger (he believed that even the original manuscripts contain errors) and Ginsburg; all of them are **proven** unreliable in various the book, "Hazardous degrees in Materials..." Most lexicons were written in the 1800s and any copyright protection they may have had, expired. Thus, all modern lexicographers simply copy the old lexicons which are no longer protected by copyright; especially those written by Liddell, Scott, Trench, Vincent, Moulton, Milligan, Thayer and Strong.

Who are these men? What did they Do we know believe? these men's background and what they believe? Were they Christians? Many of them did not even believe the Trinity, the Deity of Christ, the Virgin birth, heaven, hell, everlasting or eternal punishment, the bodily resurrection of Christ (most of them did not believe this) or salvation by Grace through faith. Also, many of their beliefs have been subtly incorporated into their work by changing Bible verses. words and their definitions.

Would you invite any of these men to preach at your church if you knew these were some of the things they believed? You may not, yet their theology and liberal beliefs are coming from their Greek and Hebrew dictionaries and lexicons in our studies and through sermons with the following comments: "the Greek means this," "the Hebrew means that," or "the word in the Greek really means."

Isn't it interesting that these men are all dead and gone, but they're still speaking and lying to us through their corrupt Greek and Hebrew study tools?

Did you know that all of our modern versions have been produced from these Greek and Hebrew references? Word choices, critical

# comments and views are taken from all of the authors mentioned above.

Did you know that most of the men mentioned above are guilty of one or more of the following: molested young boys; believed that the Holy Spirit is an active force; attended Luciferian meetings; denied "the blood of Christ saves" and Christ's blood atonement; opposed prayer to Christ; believed that Lucifer is Jesus Christ; used the RV (1881) and the ASV (1901) to defined words in the modern Greek and Hebrew dictionaries; were Unitarians; believed in Hinduism; did not believe in pastors and deacons; denied the Trinity; believed in annihilation of the unsaved: believed Christians were heretics and pagan Gnostics were superior; believed in reincarnation (Thus, changing the word "world" and "eternal" to "age(s)," promoting their reincarnation belief. "Ages" has a cyclic connotation.); were charged with heresy by their liberal denomination and were discharged from Christian colleges; denied that Moses, David, Ezra, Jeremiah, Solomon, and Isaiah wrote their respective books; believed that a woman wrote the book of Hebrews, and the list goes on and on.

Why are we trusting their Greek and Hebrew study tools (Bible dictionaries, lexicons, Bible interlinear, Bible word synonyms and Bible software) that are using their work?

Did you know that the mindset of these men in the 18<sup>th</sup> and the early 19<sup>th</sup> century were set out to destroy our Holy Bibles? For example, choosing the "right" words to satisfy their belief for the word "Godhead" (in the KJV), they turned to Thayer's Greek-English Lexicon as their defense and authority. Thayer changed this word in Rom. 1:20, Acts 17:29 and Co. 2:9, to "divine nature," "the divine being" and "deity." See the NIV and many other modern

Bibles. Scholars intentionally used Thayer's lexicon knowina CLEARLY (or were very much aware of) the publisher's warning in the introduction of Thaver's Unitarian beliefs and how they usually spill over into his work. The introduction said: "A word of caution is necessary. Thayer was a Unitarian, and the errors of this sect occasionally come through in the explanatory notes. The reader should be alert for both subtle and blatant denials of such doctrine as the Trinity (Thayer regarded Christ as a mere man and the Holy Spirit as an impersonal force emanating from God), the inherent and total depravity of fallen human nature, the eternal punishment of the wicked, and Biblical inerrancv." Thayer's **Greek-English** (See Lexicon of the New Testament, Grand Rapids, MI: Baker Book House, 1977, page vii. This warning removed in his was lexicon by other publishers for obvious reasons.)

For proof of this, read the many other books they wrote on their understanding of scriptures in the Bible. For many of them, Christianity was far from their minds; it was all about money and fame. Bible work was big business in their day.

The question is asked in "Hazardous Materials...", "Why are good Christians putting aside their inspired Holy Bibles to look for light in these corrupt, conflicting and uninspired Greek and Hebrew tools, made by men who all denied the very truths of the Bible?"

Where do you think lexical writers get their English definitions and translation

equivalencies from? Did you know that the lexicon authors consulted the godless ancient authors like Plato, Thucydides, Herodotus, Aeschylus, Sophocles, and Euripides to determine the meaning of Bible words; and many of today's lexicon and Bible-dictionary authors plagiarized and copied from lexicographers (all names mentioned above) who did. Thus, instead of English consulting the and other vernacular that were available Bibles hundreds of vears before their work was produced, they went to the unholy ancient philosophers for meaning of Bible words.

God knew Satan was going to attack His Word by changing words and their meanings, and so He built into the text, a dictionary. (God provided His very own built-in dictionary into the text). Thus, His Word with this built-in dictionary can be found in the English and other vernacular Bibles that were passed down through the centuries to us. (The language masters or professionals are fascinated with the English word style, sound and rhythm used in the King James Version text. Its words and written style define itself thus, needing no dictionary. These language masters can recognize a masterpiece of language when it is spoken or written.)

As you read God's Word, you will usually find the word meaning within the same verse or within one or more verses ahead or before the verse where the word is used. Read [2c], pages 46 to 96 to learn of a systematic way on how you can find and use this built-in dictionary to find the meaning of words and verses instead of searching corrupt dictionaries and lexicons for them. Therefore, if the word meaning is derived from its context, why would we have to look outside of the Bible for its meaning?

Let us cast our burden and cares of understanding Bible words on Him because He careth for us: let us all depend entirely on God's Word for meaning because ultimately, this job belongs to the Holy Spirit.

Satan's strategically diabolical plans to strike back at the English translation of

God's Word have been masterfully **EXPOSED** in "Hazardous Materials: Greek & Hebrew Study Dangers." Therefore, be careful which English words and definitions you choose: either the English words or definitions in Lexicons written by unsaved liberals in the 1800s or the English words or definitions in our Holy Bible: the King James Version.

For an indebt study on some of the most popular scholars and their lexicons, see the chapter titled, "These are our gods (scholars) O Christian!" later in this book.

# **Do We Have The Perfect** Word of God in English?

Men say that no translation can be a perfect reproduction of the original. However, God said that He will preserve His words from generation to generation and forever with nothing missing; and it will go forth into all countries of the world. Thus, His words must be with us today, intact and without error.

#### So then, whom shall we believe? Men or what God said in Psalm 12:6-7 and Matthew 24:35?

Do we have with us today an English translation that is a perfect reproduction of the Hebrews and Greek text God gave that was passed down from generations to us? Do you know of any English Bible that is in full use today and can be found in just about every English-speaking Christian home?

Which Bible version was used during the great modern missionary movement that carried the Gospel all the way around the world by English speaking missionaries? Which Bible Christians gave their lives protecting many years ago? Which Bible was used to help establish laws, status, standards, etc., in England, America and the rest of the world? Which Bible has sold more copies than any other book to date for hundreds of years? Which version was used very late into the Nineteenth century that was believed and accepted as the common Bible at home, public schools, Government functions, Christian colleges and seminaries? The only Bible that qualifies in all of the above is the Authorized King James Version.

The King James Version is the only English translation after 1611 that has greatly affected the lives and direction of millions of people since the English grammar was standardized for over four hundred years and there is no other English translation that did that. It is the only English translation that took out the apostate church causing a serious "deadly wound," weakening its power grip from their "slaughtering Christians" campaign. (Read, "History of your English Bible," earlier in this book and please note that the Beast in Revelation 13:14, received its "wound by a sword, and did live." The sword is "the Word of God." Ephesians 6:17).

For PROOF of the statement examine all versions' above. "freedom!" (Freedom of our "shelf-life." choices) (Many were/are made slaves to some versions that exist today.)

What do you think made the Authorized King James Version, so popular? God had to have given His stamp of approval with a powerful supernatural force behind the actual words of the text. (John 6:63) This book therefore became the greatest book that was ever printed. Can you image the intense grief placed on the devil because of this Holy book? Therefore, because he cannot destroy it (according to God's promise) we are sure that Satan's number one priority was to crush our faith in it.

In today's war, the most important thing (no-doubt the first thing) an army does to its enemy is to destroy the abilities of the enemy to communicate effectively with each other. It does not matter how large and powerful an army is, because if it never gets the order to attack, it is useless. The army would become blind, deaf and dumb because of no communication or no confidence in the communications. Can you imagine then how important it is for Satan to try and destroy God's Word and man's confidence in it?

Satan is a master counterfeiter. Do you think he is going to design a Bible text battle plan that can easily be traced back to him? Do you think he is silly enough to use something that may not work? Satan uses the best resources available. Before you figure out what hit you, it will all be over and too late. The damage would have already plans been done. His will be SO sophisticated until the only thing that will prevent us from being deceived is complete "BLIND FAITH" in the promises of God's Words.

### Satan's Missing Verses Strategy

Satan intentionally included missing verses in his Bible to create long lasting "noconfident of doubt" in the preservation of God's Word. If doubt is created with any ONE SINGLE verse in the scriptures, then the doctrine of the preservation of God's Word will collapse in the mind of the individual. If Satan can maintain and sustain this no-confident perception in the communication of God's Word, then he has successfully accomplished his blind, deaf and dumb mission amongst Christian soldiers. Therefore, missing verses must **visually** remain in Satan's Bible for future generations to assist in accomplishing his goals of Isaiah 14:13-14.

Remember what Matthew 24:24 and Mark 13:22 said? Look at what Satan will be capable of doing in Revelation chapter 13: he will deceive the whole world. Today, look at what he has achieved so far and many of us have accepted it: most scholars, preachers, teachers, etc., believe that no Bible versions are the <u>perfect</u> word of God. In our spiritual war, look at how Satan has most of us Christians thinking today: "Which text is closest to the originals?" "Which manuscripts are the most reliable?" "Do we have a perfect Bible in English today?" "We have the Bible but it isn't in any one English version." "Any version of the Bible is only the word of God where it has been correctly translated." "Which English Bible has been correctly translated? Does one exist?" People also say that "the KJB is the best or nearest English translation to the original but it too has some errors."

Did you know these confusing questions did not exist until after the Revision Committee's 1871 "Big Betrayal" in England? (Read, "History of your English Bible," in Chapter One of this book.)

Unfortunately, and very sad, many pastors and ministers of the gospel today **publicly** claim that the Bible is the perfect word of God but in their private studies, among their pairs and in their hearts, they deny it. Satan therefore has successfully destroyed many Christians' confidence in God's Word; thus, hindering God from effectively communicating with us through using it.

As was mentioned earlier, there are only two text families or sources where all of our Bible versions are coming from. The KJV came from one of these sources and all modern versions are coming from the other source. Have we ever taken notice? Isn't it interesting and very strange that we do not have another English version with us today that is as **popular** and that is coming from the same Hebrew and Greek text and consulted sources used by the King James Version translators? If we do, then where is it? Look into the average home and see if it is there.

Certainly, we have with us today, the Tyndale Bible, the Coverdale Bible, the Great Bible, the Matthew's Bible, the Bishop Bible, etc., all coming from the underground Christian text; but, where are they? If we look into the average Englishspeaking Christian home, (no-doubt, most English-speaking homes today) which Bible most likely would be found on the shelf? The answer is: a King James Version Bible. There are many other modern English versions that may be found all coming from another Hebrew and Greek source; however, they are filled with many missing verses, altered readings and erroneous footnotes claiming that not all verses are found in the earliest or oldest manuscripts. God gave us ONE Bible in different languages around the world, but Satan gave us many Bibles. The Almighty gave us Himself as ONE God to worship, but Satan gave us many gods to worship. God usually

sends an individual messenger to deliver

His words, but Satan sends many

messengers. (I King 18:19-22; II Chronicles

18:4-7: etc.)

In a court of Law, verbal statements can scarcely be proven but, written words can. One of the most powerful evidence in a courtroom is physical or real evidence. Physical or real evidence is much more eyewitness powerful than or spoken evidence. Thus, when God decided to put His words in writing, He made an irreversible decision. We can hold God to His Word in the courtroom of His presence. Once those words have been written, they are irrevocable. God must love us and truly desire for us to have His words and to be sure of them by putting them in written form. Psalms 138:2 says: "for thou hast magnified thy Word above thy name."

Now! Do you think God would give His original words only to those early writers then lost them many years later? Do you think He would allow His words to be corrupt with heretical teachings and then write them in a language that only few can speak and translate into other languages? (Remember the gift of tongues in the book of Acts? Many Christians spoke several different languages FLUENTLY.)

What if those original words written by the authors were the only perfect words God gave to us but are now passed off the scene? What if it was impossible for us today to ever obtain those original words? Why then would God inspire His original words (or write a perfect book) and then

allow it to be lost or allow it to later be filled with mistakes, false teachings, counterfeit passages and doubtful readings? He is "too!" powerful to allow this to happen. God can just speak and His words survive from beginning until the end of time, intact and without error. Therefore, this is not consistent with God's nature. What good is there with inspiring a book that is not preserved free from error through history? In fact, to inspire His Word perfect in the originals and then lose it somewhere in history would have been a waste of God's time. How can we then hold God to His words if He allowed them to get lost hundreds of years ago?

This would be like an untrustworthy or an **unfaithful** business partner allowing forgery, changing a legal contract or intentionally allowing the contract to get lost or be destroyed because of greed, deceit, selfishness or unfaithfulness. The partners longer have any would no written contractual evidence with binding agreements. Thus, neither partner can be held liable for breach of contractual agreements in a count of law. (Do you think this same type of breach will surface in God's courtroom?) This is not God's character (or attribute). He is not afraid of us holding Him to His written words; therefore, why should He allow them to get lost?

Do you know if God allowed His Word (or any part of it that He truly said and meant) to get lost through hundreds of years of copying and the translation process. He would be faithful? This act of not allowing His Word to get lost would be an INTENTIONAL act of unfaithfulness because of who He is and His great and mighty powers: the power to keep it preserved throughout history just by simply speaking it. Think about it: we cannot be held accountable to it, if it (or any part of it) got lost. We would then be able to present strong arguments in our defense in the courtroom of God's presence for what is and was not Bible found in all versions including those that points to Satan as God.

If you do not **believe** God preserved His words for us today, what this really and truly implies is that He cannot and did not do what He said He will do with them. This mindset is very dangerous because scripture verses prove that He said He **will preserve all of them**. Look at the simple mistake Moses made that caused him a very painful lost in Numbers 20:12. Notice carefully what the LORD said unto Moses and Aaron: "...Because ye **believed** me not, to sanctify me in the eyes of the children of Israel, therefore ye shall not bring this congregation into the land which I have given them."

In-other-words, because Moses did not believe God's specific instruction (Numbers 20:7-8), and for this reason, he did not sanctify (glorify, proclaim or preached) God's unadulterated and perfect Word among the people (because of his unbelief), he lost one of the greatest blessings all Israel looked forward to receiving at the time: this was entering into the Promised Land. This is a very serious warning lesson for us today no matter where you are spiritually; that is, God is no respecter of persons. We all know the conclusion of this story: even though Moses unsuccessfully begged God to reconsider His judgment, he paid dearly for his error; the error and sin of **unbelief**. (Rom. 14:23, Heb. 10:38, 11:6)

Psalm 12:6-7 says: "The words of the LORD are pure words...Thou shalt keep them, O LORD, thou shalt preserve them from this generation for ever." Psalm 100:5 says: "...his truth endureth to all generations." Jesus Christ said in Matthew 24:35, "Heaven and earth shall pass away, but my words shall not pass away." Jeremiah 32:17 and 27 says: "Ah Lord GOD! Behold, thou hast made the heaven and the earth by thy great power and stretched out arm, and there is nothing too hard for thee." Should we then believe God or men? If Jesus Christ said what He did in Matthew 24:35, strengthening Psalm 12:7, then God's Word must be here with us today within our reach.

The conclusion of the matter really is that God has wasted His time if He did not preserve ALL of His inspired words for us today as He promised He would. Also, to believe that He did waste His time because we do not have ALL of His words with us today is FOOLISH!

This writer is truly convinced from investigations of historical evidence, scientific evidence and logical conclusions mentioned in this book that the Hebrew and Greek text used by the King James Version translators from the underground Christian Bible was passed down to us over hundreds of years by God. Therefore, the question really is: do we have a perfect reproduction of this Hebrew and Greek text into the English?

The English of the King James Bible was in its purest form in 1611. Psalm 12:6 says: "The words of the Lord are pure words;" not the degenerated vocabulary of today. God is a God of purity and everything about Him is pure. Therefore, if He wanted to use a tool that would be the vehicle to carry His words to the world; it too must be pure. God also knew that in a few hundred years after 1611, that English would be the universal language of the world. Today, we see that in every discipline around the world, if you don't speak English you will not get very far with becoming an international success. This is seen prevalent even in the business world: in China and all Asia, in Russia, in India, in Europe, in Middle Eastern countries, in Africa, etc., English is dominating all the languages of the world. Thus, the English of the King James Bible is known to be the finest form of the English language ever used.

As mentioned earlier, there is a big difference between someone who learnt a foreign language in the classroom and someone who was gifted by God to speak the language in a vernacular way including all the idioms and tongue twisting pronunciations. Think about it: when scholars "learnt-in-thetheir speak classroom" language, the natives know immediately they are foreign. When God gifted individuals with ability speak the to other languages, the natives cannot tell if the person is foreign. Several of the KJV translators were gifted by God who was never taught the in the classroom. language Therefore, if any English word choices were off from the original intent of the author (God), they would have immediately picked it up.

There are persons who question why the translators not used the word "temple" (which is "rightly" translated from the Greek) but instead used the English word "church" (Acts 19:37); why not use the word "Passover" (which also "rightly" is translated from the Greek) but instead used the English word "Easter" (Acts 12:4). In addition, why did the translators used "Baptism" for "immersion," "schoolmaster" for "attendant," sweet savour" for "soothing aroma," "God save the king" for "May the king live," and "God forbid" for "may it not be?"

Dishonest Bible scholars claim that the Greek word cannot be translated two different ways as seen in the KJV. They make this claim ONLY when it occurs in the KJV. However, these same critics are very silent when modern versions do the very same thing. They create their prejudice and jealous standards of "correcting" the KJV but will not apply the very same rules and standards when it shows up in modern versions. For example, using the same Greek word in the NASV, "fall away" in Matt 26:31 is changed to "stumble" in I Cor. 8:13; "Sky" in Act 1:10 changed to "heaven" in II Cor. 12:2 and so on.

Can anyone explain God's Sovereignty? Can anyone explain how "an evil spirit from the LORD" came and afflicted King Saul? (I Samuel 16:14) Can anyone explain how God authorized a spirit to go and lie "in the mouth of" all of Ahab's prophets. ("...the LORD hath put a lying spirit in the mouth of these thy prophets, and the LORD hath spoken evil against thee." II Chronicles 18:22) Can anyone explain how it is with God that because He was angry at Israel, "he moved David against them to say. Go. number Israel and Judah." (II Samuel 24:1) But, "...Satan stood up against Israel, and provoked David to number Israel." (I Chronicles 21:1) Israel was severely punished. Seventy thousand men died. Satan no-doubt did not know that he was being used to carry out God's wishes. Can anyone explain God's all-knowingness? When King Solomon's heart turned away from Him and he began worshiping idols, God had already stirred up adversaries towards King Solomon long before he became the King. (I King 11:14-40). For King Solomon's punishment, the nation of Israel was divided in two. (Our God is so powerful, He can clearly hear and see "infinitely well" into the future.)

Supposing when you stand before God, He says to you: "I selected those words in the English, not the KJV translators because they truly say what I mean and mean what I say!" Do you think that would be the time to use words like "but," "how," "why," "when," etc? God said in Proverbs 3:5, "Trust in the LORD with all thine heart and lean not unto thine own understanding." Another way of saying this is: lean not unto thine own knowledge, skills, thoughts, learning, teachings, discoveries, findings, research or analytical views; just trust God!

No one has all the answers for Bible questions. To have all the correct answers for Bible questions we would then be God. Secondly, if we got all of our questions answered, we would then walk by sight and not by faith. (Hebrews 11:6, II Corinthians 5:7) We will not have any need to take a leap of faith including the belief in God who we have never seen. Our Christian faith is not built on things that are carnal or that can be seen and explained. It is built on blind faith. As Dr. Samuel C. Gipp, author of "The Answer Book," page 157, puts it: God would rather we have faith in Him in the face of the unexplainable, as so many of the Old and New Testament saints have exhibited, than to have faith in our own ability "find human to an answer" concerning every difficult passages.

Somehow, it appears that we humans corrupt ourselves quicker when we live and walk by sight instead of blind faith. For proof of this, look at what the children of Israel did. After coming out of Egypt walking through the wilderness and actually **seeing** God's mighty power at work, they greatly corrupted themselves **many times** by sinning against God and having a serious lack of faith in Him.

Just like how we have never seen God, but yet we believe in Him because of all the visible evidence in His creation and we accept books that were written of Him:

So then, why can't we believe and accept as facts that the King James Version is an accurate English translation of the inspired, infallible text God wanted us to have?

<u>This belief must be accepted by</u> <u>faith</u>. Remember what doubting Thomas said, "Except I shall see in his hands the print of the nails, and put my finger into the print of the nails, and thrust my hand into his side, I will not believe." (John 20:25) KJV critics in essence say the same thing: that if we cannot see, explain, handle or touch the originals (the word of God), then we will not believe that His words are alive and very much preserved today. (Keep in mind that Jesus Christ is the Word - John 1:1, 10, 14). Jesus Christ is still saying today, "be not faithless, but believing...blessed are they that have not seen, and yet have believed."

Do not be like doubting Thomas! If the KJV is not God's preserved text (intact and without error) then it is up to you the reader to find the book that God was talking about in Psalm 12:6,7 and what Jesus Christ was talking about in Matthew 24:35. This is because it must be within our reach or with us today according to God's Word.

Imagine standing before God and the King James Version Bible **appears** before you (Jesus Christ is the Word) and say, "Reach hither thy finger, and behold my hands; and reach hither thy hand, and thrust it into my side...because thou hast seen me, thou hast believed..." (John 20:27, 29)

Finally, men just love correcting God's grammar; even our very own Christian brothers and sisters who follow the natural ways of the world. Read what Paul had to say about God methods of speaking to us in I Corinthians 1:18-31 to 2:1-16. Does God use incorrect or poor grammar to convey a message or thought in His Word? God can and does anything He pleases. Modern-day scholars and grammarians, using their "learnt-in-theclassroom" skills, change and modify words and verses in the Bible, to convey how they want or believe the text should read. (They will all one day answer for this in the courtroom of God's Holy presence.)

**Remember** what Satan did in Genesis 3:4: he changed ("corrected") what God said by adding the word "**not**" to God's instructions in Genesis 2:17 ("thou shall surely die" changed to "ye shall **NOT** surely die").

In Acts 4:13 the disciples language grammar and speech were not proper among the scholarly elites even though they spoke under the power and influence of the Holy Spirit. They spoke a common and simple language and were despised by the elders of the people who heard and read nothing except it was polished and eloquent. They recognized them as unlearned men because of their "bad" grammar. Remember what Jesus said in Mark 13:11: Luke 21:12-15? As men hated the living Word without a cause, today they hate the written Word ("despised and rejected of men": Is. 53:3) because of the written language grammar God used (which is also without a cause).

THE FOLLOWING IS WORTH REPEATING HERE: Do you know if God allowed His Word (or any part of it that He truly said and meant) to get lost through hundreds of years of copying and the translation process, He would not be faithful. <u>This act of allowing His Word to get lost</u> would be an INTENTIONAL act of unfaithfulness because of who He is and His great and mighty "Power!": the power to keep it preserved throughout history just by simply speaking it. Think about it: we cannot be held accountable to it, if it (or any part of it) got lost. We would then be able to present strong arguments in our defense in the courtroom of God's presence for what is and was not found in <u>all</u> Bible versions including those that point to Satan as God. Thus, God has wasted His time if He did not preserve His inspired Word for us today as He promised He would. Also, to believe that He did waste His time because we do not have all of His words with us today is FOOLISH.

Always keep in mind the methods God use to get our attention and speak to us: He uses many times the "low stable" smellv approach and to communicate with us, a method that can only be discerned and received by humble hearts filled with faith. (Matthew 5:3-12) To illustrate this: Sometimes He sends us a despised and smelly beggar (perhaps an angel in disguised) to communicate or get our attention; but, we many times do not or can not discern this because of our very own self-learnt pride.

In conclusion, knowing that [a] The King James Version is a very accurate, word for word, translation from the Hebrew and Greek source passed down to us from God. (Read "Translation Techniques And The \$25,000,000.00 Question" above.) [b] There is no other English translation from the same Hebrew and Greek sources used by the KJV translators with us today, that can be found in just about every Englishspeaking Christian home (no-doubt, every English-speaking home). [c] The English of the King James Bible is in its purest form and Psalm 12:6 says: "The word of the LORD pure words." [d] God are **promised** that He WOULD preserve His words throughout all generations and forever. All of the modern versions including the NKJV. denv the preservation of God's Word. [e] God is "All-Powerful!" and nothing is too hard for Him. His power can preserve anything. He spoke things into existence (like the heavens, the earth, water, humans, etc.) and we are still here, today. Let us then

"walk by faith, not by sight." (II Corinthians 5:7)

As mentioned earlier in this book, if our salvation is solely based on faith alone in God's Word, shouldn't we have the same faith in God that He will do what He said He will do with His Word? After all, the Scripture says: "Whatsoever is not of faith is sin;" (Rom. 14:23) "...without faith it is impossible to please him" (Heb. 11:6) and, "The just shall live by faith" (Heb. 10:38).

Please note that this author is not suggesting that the King James Version is the ONLY text God preserved. What is meant here is that even though God used other languages to preserve and carry His Word to the people, the KJV is the "vehicle" God used to carry His Word to English speaking nations. Thus, His Word can be found intact and without error in many other languages of the world (French, Italian, Spanish, German, etc.).

Some of the views in this section came from "Gipp's Understandable History of the Bible," [1a].

Powerful Scientific Evidence of Inspiration and Preservation of God's Word There is hard and very powerful scientific evidence that God inspired and preserved His words down through the generations and found in the traditional Masoretic Hebrew text (the Byzantine text source) from where we get our King James Version Bible. This supernatural, God given, mind blowing evidence can be found in the phenomenon that had taken the religious world (and the international media in the late 1990s) by storm: The Bible Codes.

Scientific statisticians and mathematicians have discovered that if you take the text and count letters at equal distance (a method called "Equidistant Letter Sequence" or ELS) forward or backwards, eliminating all space between words, sentences and letters, you will discover hidden codes or messages of events (e.g. names of persons, tragedies, places, dates, births, deaths, etc.) that had occurred (and are still occurring today) thousands of years after the Bible was written. These scientists have concluded without any doubt that this phenomenon is not by chance but had to be placed, hidden in the text by an intelligent power that is beyond our human comprehension. No humans could have possibly written surface with such incredible texts complex embedded codes of the future without this intelligent power dictating the exact letters, words and sentences to be use and written.

What is extremely important about the Bible code discovery is that the technique used to-date to find embedded messages ONLY works with the Masoretic Hebrew text from where we get our KJV Bible. Other ancient texts (or manuscripts) were tested with the software but **DID NOT WORK**. What is startling to the scientist is that a text or manuscript for thousands of years, with an enormous amount of copying to preserve it, has not lost a single letter, word or sentence to date in spite of all of the complexities involved in preserving it. They claim that if the text was missing a single letter, word or sentence or if the spelling was off or a word inserted that was not previously in the original text, the entire

discovery would have been flawed. It would not have worked at all and the hidden codes would have been lost. Any subtraction or addition to the text would have thrown off the original letter count in the passage and would eliminate the whole Bible code phenomenon.

Some of the embedded messages found are: Date of birth and death of great men and women in history including their birth place; Hitler and the Holocaust and related information (e.g. name of coconspirators, places, method used, etc.); Princess Diana: the date she died, the country where she died, the location where she died and the person she was with when she died; Israeli Prime Minister, Yitzchak Rabin's assassination: "Assassin will assassinate" and "will be murdered" was found, (the first statement was found one year before his death) the date the tragedy took place and the assassin's name; President Kennedy's (and his bother) assassination: the place he was assassinated and the name of the assassin: The Gulf War: the names Saddam (Hussein), George Bush and Schwarzkopf all found together alone with Russian Scud-B missile, the date the war started, CNN and Peter Arnet were also found: The City Bombing: Oklahoma Timothy McVeigh's name was found, the date of the bombing was found, the name of the Government building that was bombed was "In the morning" was found; found. September Eleven (9/11): The World Trade Center tower was found and Ben Laden: Jesus Christ, the Messiah found hidden in the book of Isaiah: His betraval by Judas Iscariot, the names of all His disciples except Judas Iscariot were found close to each other. His trial and the names of the two high priests, King Herod, the Pharisees, Rome, Caesar and many other related names of persons, places, and so on.

There are many more discoveries: President Clinton, Watergate, the 1929 depression, man on the moon, Shakespeare, the airplane inventors, the Wright brothers, electricity, Einstein, the computer age, etc., that were found in the code. New codes are being discovered repeatedly especially when a major or catastrophic event occurs.

Three mathematics and computer experts at Hebrew University and the Jerusalem College of Technology (Dr. Doron Witztum, Dr. Yoav Rosenberg and Dr. Eliyahu Rips) published their unique Bible code experiment and results in August 1994, page 429 - 438 in a U.S. scholarly journal, Statistical Science, a review journal of the Institute of Mathematical Statistics (vol. 9, no. 3). To read their entire experiment, see the Appendix in "The Bible Code," by Michael Drosnin. (You can also read it online at: http://projecteuclid.org/DPubS/Repository/1. 0/Disseminate?handle=euclid.ss/11770103 93&view=body&content-type=pdf 1)

In their experiment, these scientists chose word pairs that were logically related in meaning. They designed a computer software to locate the word pairs in the Hebrew text of Genesis. Once the software found the first Hebrew letter (starting from the first letter in the book of Genesis) it searched for the second letter at various intervals between the letters. If the software could not locate the second letter of the target word following the first letter at a 2<sup>nd</sup> space interval, it would then search at a 3<sup>rd</sup> space interval, then a 4<sup>th</sup> space interval. until it locate the second letter (for example, a 38<sup>th</sup> space interval). It would then look for the third and remaining letters at the same 38<sup>th</sup> space interval throughout the entire book of Genesis.

Since computers can calculate millions of instructions per second, the scientists could examine 50<sup>th</sup>, 78<sup>th</sup>, 88<sup>th</sup>, 1000<sup>th</sup> or several thousand letter space interval combinations at such high speed and with precise accuracy no human could have ever perform manually.

When the computer software was finished examining the text for each of the word-pairs, the scientists were fascinated to discover that every single word-pair had been located in Genesis. They were amazed because it was humanly impossible to construct such an intricate and complicated pattern in a text such as Genesis.

Mr. Grant Jeffrey (an expert on The Bible Code phenomenon) in his book, "Mysterious Bible Code," affirms that the codes do not reveal hidden or secret theological teachings or doctrines (e.g. theological teachings or doctrines of salvation, instructions for holy living, etc.) because they can be found in the surface text of the Scriptures.

Unfortunately, with new discoveries, there are new criticisms. Most of the time, criticism comes from persons whose previous **PUBLIC** views and opinions are shattered because of the new discovery. This creates a feeling of shame and embarrassment. Most of the criticisms today on the Bible Code phenomenon are from persons who reject the inspiration and the preservation of the Bible and those who reject Jesus Christ as the Messiah. This is because to accept the overwhelming evidence of the Bible codes, one must then accept the inspiration and the preservation of the Word of God and accept that Jesus Christ is indeed the Messiah because He can be found embedded in the Old Testament as the Messiah.

hidden Critics also argue that words can be found in just about any books. However, when this argument is examined, one may find a few hidden names or places scattered throughout many books but what cannot be found from these books are actual code or messages of events that have occurred, dates and places where these events occurred, names of persons who participated in the occurred events. all within proximity of each other; also, and most importantly, all of these codes and messages coming from

#### the <u>same</u> book. No other book could produce these multiplicities of hidden data.

Therefore, as you investigate this Bible Code phenomenon, you too would conclude that the vast amount of Bible Code evidence found is overwhelming to any criticisms.

It is believed that one of the primary values of this remarkable discovery is to prove to this generation of skeptics that only God could have inspired human authors to record the words found in the Bible. The Bible Code proves without any doubt that the Bible is inspired by God (both the Bible surface text and the embedded codes) and preserved for us today.

The Bible Code is therefore powerful evidence of the inspiration and preservation of the God's Holy Word and the **ONLY** text available to-date that produces these magnificent codes is the same text where we also get our King James Bible: The Masoretic Hebrew text (Read The Mysterious Bible Codes, by Grant R. Jeffrey, page 22 and 169).

For a personal investigation of this issue, read, "The Bible Code," by Michael Drosnin and "The Mysterious Bible Codes." Grant R. Jeffrey. Also, visit bv www.Biblecodedigest.com for the latest discovery of Bible Code messages found and their online bookstore for your own of the software used in copy the experiment. In your internet browser search field, type in "Bible Code" and press the enter key. There are enormous amounts of information about the Bible Code phenomenon that can be found on the internet.

#### **READERS BEWARE:**

In the 1990s, when the above information had gotten huge publicity, it became very damaging to Satan's plans and his scholarship crew. Unfortunately, due to this international attention,

by the time you read this section, there is no-doubt the Hebrew text used (includina the computer software) may probably be so altered or modified to the extent of applying the software when program search for word pairs, it result with code messages that read entirely different to what they would have during the earlier years of Bible Code discovery. Satan is very deceptively clever and attacks everything holy. For example, discovery "TODAY!" and in the future from generated word pairs may create code messages like the following: "all religion leads to the same God who alone is energy;" "Judas Iscariot was used by Jesus to initiate His Crucifixion;" "the '?' (meaning the apostate church) church is the way to God." Finally, tampered code messages could possibly at some future date, point to the anti-Christ as the Messiah and "the one who suppose to come." Readers, be careful how you process Bible **Code discoveries TODAY!** 

#### DANGEROUS INSULTS TO GOD! "WERE YE NOT AFRAID" TO INSULT HIM?

"Fear ye not me? Saith the LORD: will ye not tremble at my presence, which have placed the sand for the bound of the sea by a perpetual decree, that it cannot pass it: and though the waves thereof toss themselves, yet can they not prevail; though they roar, yet can they not pass over it? But this people hath a revolting and a rebellious heart; they are revolted and gone. Neither say they **in their heart**, Let us now fear the LORD our God, that giveth rain, both the former and the latter, in his season..." (Jeremiah 5:22-24)

Suppose your parents named you Lucifer at birth and you had to live with this name until you were old enough to legally change it; how would you feel? How would you feel if your name was Judas, Jezebel or Delilah? Suppose your name was Adolph Hitler, Jim Jones or one of the most wicked persons known to man? How would you feel? If someone called you stupid, idiot, a dog, a pig or low-down dirt, how do you think these names would affect you if they continue **publicly** calling you by them? How insulting do you think this would be?

"How art thou fallen from heaven, O <u>Lucifer</u>, son of the morning...Yet thou shalt be brought down to hell" Isaiah 14:12 (KJV)

"How you have fallen from heaven O morning star, son of the dawn...but you are brought down to the grave." Isaiah 14:12 (NIV)

"How you have fallen from heaven O <u>star</u> of the morning, son of the dawn...you will be thrust down to Sheol." Isaiah 14:12 (NASB)

Well, think about changing "Morning Star" (a name given to Jesus Christ in Rev. 22:16) to Lucifer or changing Lucifer to Jesus Christ. We all know that people name their pets Lucifer.

The name "Lucifer" is gone in modern versions (like the NIV and many others at Is. 14:12) and replaced with the "Morning Star."

Let's for now just forget the law of good grammar and proper English and use the law of common sense: by ignoring the "scholarship lies" given by liberal Bible scholars in their Greek and Hebrew lexicons and dictionaries, changing Lucifer to "the morning Star" is the same as calling God (or Jesus Christ) Lucifer. Can you imagine how dangerously insulting this is to God? Even if we accept the lies given for this change, it is still insulting to God because of our perceived perception of the evil person Isaiah 14:12 is talking about. Can you imagine changing God's name to the most wicked person in the universe? How do you think this affects our precious Lord by **publicly** assigning this title or name to Him?

Publicly change the king or queen names to an evil and insulting name and see what eventually happens to you no matter where you are on this planet. Can you imagine then our King of all kings and Lord of all lords; our great God, the Most High? Can you imagine insulting the "Greatest POWER!" in the universe?

"A son honoureth his father and a servant his master: if then I be a father, where is mine honour? And if I be a master, where is my fear? said the LORD of hosts unto you, O Priests, that despise my name..." "The LORD will cut off the man that doeth this, the master and the scholar..." (Malachi 1:6, 2:12)

This author will definitely take no chances at promoting this name change; neither books or "Bibles" that condone it. The children of Israel thought they were correct with supporting the 10 wicked spies in Numbers Chapter 13 and 14 and paid dearly for it. Korah and the 250 princes who came up against Moses (Numbers 16:1-35) thought they were right and paid a horrible price for it. Look at how God responded to Miriam and Aaron when both of them spoke against Moses. (Numbers 12:1-16) Even when Moses cried out and begged God to heal Miriam, "now!" God refused and allowed her to be ashamed seven days. Look what happened to Uzzah, a man who loved the Lord and who thought he was right with what he did. Nevertheless, God's anger was kindled and He killed him because of his error (II Samuel 6:6-7). The sad thing about this incident is that we all would have done the same thing if we were Uzzah and not escaped God's

### wrath or His judgment. <u>Not</u> knowing His word or instructions were no excuse!

Remember, God is also a God of WRATH. He is God of yesterday, today and forever; He NEVER changes. "Were ve not afraid" to promote the NIV and other modern versions that made this change (Jer. 5:22-24)? What do you think the problem was in Numbers Chapter 13:30-33 and 14:1-45? It was a serious lack of faith, unbelief and a breach of promise (Num. 14:34). After an abundance of miracles in Egypt and in the wilderness of how God provided, scholars, leaders, preachers in Moses' day still did not believe God had the power to preserve and protect them. (Sound very much like scholars today questioning God's ability to preserve His Word throughout all generations intact and without errors for ever as He promised.) For this lack of faith or breach of God's promise, they did not enter into the Promised Land neither did they inherit anything.

Even Moses did not believe God's specific instruction, "...speak ye unto the rock..." (Numbers 20:7-12), and for this reason, he did not sanctify (glorify, proclaim or preached) God's unadulterated and perfect Word among the people. (He no-doubt questioned what God told him to do because of his unbelief by saying: Can a rock speak? Why should I talk to a rock? A rock cannot talk back?) Thus, he lost one of the greatest blessings all Israel looked forward to receiving at the time: this was entering into the Promised Land. (Imagine him saying: Rocks don't talk!) This is a very serious warning lesson for us today no matter where you are spiritually; that is, God is no respecter of persons. We all know the conclusion of this story: even though Moses unsuccessfully begged God to reconsider His judgment, he paid dearly for his error; the error and sin of unbelief. (Rom. 14:23, Heb. 10:38, 11:6)

Can you the reader imagine <u>not</u> inheriting anything in the kingdom of heaven because of a lack of faith or a breach of God's promises. For Israel (many of them), it was not inheriting neither entering into the Promised Land) Can you imagine contributing to causing many others to follow you by promoting these bogus Bibles and their **antichrist** views? It is amazing how some of the "**best**" intellectual minds (scholars, professors, preachers, and teachers, etc.) try and explain away this issue when they themselves would find it very insulting to be called Lucifer or one of the most wicked persons known to man.

Mr. James White author of the book "The King James Only Controversy" (one of the wellknown defenders of modern versions) 138, verv on page dogmatically explain away this issue by stating that to understand the change you have to "read things in context." After several questions to justify his reasoning, he said, "there is no logical reason to take offense at the proper translation of Isaiah 14:12 in the NIV or NASB." Mr. White said, "Both...are perfectly acceptable translation of the Hebrew word." He then selects "the standard lexicon in the field" from three liberal KJV Bible haters, Brown, Driver and Briggs his as authorities or reference to prove the meaning of the Hebrew word in Is. 14:12 (see page 147 in his book). But these "thieves and robbers," these false witnessing scholars (Francis Brown 1849-1916, Samuel R. Driver 1846-1914 and Charles A. Briggs 1841-1913) in the 1800 were all part of the conspirators who hated the KJV Bible (without a cause) and desperately wanted to change it

and destroy our confidence in it. (Read, "Can We Trust Our Greek And Hebrew Dictionaries and Lexicons," earlier in this chapter; also read their unchristian profiles in the chapter called, "These are our gods O Christians," later in this book.) Also, these men were all members of the corrupt Westcott-Hort Revised Version Committee of 1881. They dishonestly changed words and meanings in their Greek and Hebrew work and replaced them with their own secular and pagan beliefs. Furthermore, the Hebrew word for "star" is nowhere in the original Hebrew text in Is. 14:12. So they dishonestly added "star" in this verse, thus duplicating the name "the morning star," and subtly linking it to Blavatsky's teaching on Lucifer (more on this later). The Hebrew word here means "light bearer" or "shining thing." Therefore, if we were to use either of these two meanings, the text would read like the following: How art thou fallen from heaven, O light bearer (or shining thing or one), son of the morning. Thus, how do you think scholars started from "Light bearer" (or "shining thing/one") and ended with "morning Star?" Deception and lies! So why should we accept what they concocted in this verse? should Why we place our confidence in Greek and Hebrew study tools they have written? This author smell an infiltrating rat." What is the difference in

meaning of "son of the morning" and "the morning star?" (A star is any galactic body that gives light: the sun. A moon is any galactic body that reflex light.) One is light and the other a reflection of that light; one is the Creator and the other a reflector or reflection, an "early bird," "a morning person," of the Creator, etc. Finally, what does Job 38:7 mean? Does it mean: When God (The Trinity: Morning Stars) sings, all His sons (sons of God/men: a similar pre-Mosaic period like in Ex. 23:17, 34:23, Due. 16:16 which obviously points to Job 1:6 and 2:1) shout for joy?

The problem with this name change is how do you convince the next generation of this explanation of two persons with the same name where one person is under the judgment of God and the other person is God. Even Strong who gave us "Strong's Exhaustive Concordance of the Bible," in his encyclopedia, charges that Lucifer is not Satan, but Lucifer is Jesus Christ. He was a member of the American Standard Version (ASV) 1901 translation committee that called Jesus Christ a "creature" and not the Creator. (See his encyclopedia, Vol. 5, page 542-543) This view goes very deep as we shall see. ([2f], page 189; his encyclopedia, Vol. 5, page 542-543)

Mr. White, gave his "best" possible explanation for this change and as a result, many modern version advocates accept his views to explain this cheap change of name, for the God of the universe, the ALMIGHTY! The explanation given for the name change is very flimsy; the repercussion of dangerously insulting God is too great and too much is at stake; even the possibility of losing rewards (everything) for born again Christians.

Woe unto them that are wise in their own eyes, and prudent in

their own sight! Woe unto them that are mighty to drink wine, and men of strenath to minale strona drink: Which justify the wicked for and take away reward. the righteousness of the righteous from him! Therefore as the fire devoureth the stubble, and the flame consumeth the chaff, so their root shall be as rottenness, and their blossom shall go up as dust: because they have cast away the law of the LORD of hosts, and despised the **WOrd** of the Holy One of Israel. (Isaiah 5:21-24)

It is so depressing to see what Bible scholars and university professors are doing to God's Word and many of our very beloved, pastors, ministers of the gospel and teachers of God's Word swallowing these "scholarship lies" hook, line and sinker; and worst of all they are very upset with anyone (like this author) who try to present these Bible version facts.

Remember what Jesus said to the "RELIGIOUS LEADERS" in His day: Woe unto you Scribes and Pharisees, hypocrites..." "Woe unto you, ye blind guides..." "Ye fools and blind..." "Thou blind Pharisee..." "Ye serpents, ye generation of vipers..." As was in the days of our Lord and Savior (the Spoken Word), so it is with us today (the Written Word). "...for ye have perverted the words of the living God..." (Jeremiah 23:36)

As mentioned earlier, our ministers of the gospel are very well aware of the painfully, terrifying and horrifying struggles in history of the Christian church to preserve our Bibles. Unfortunately, even though our modern versions today are seriously being watered down with each new edition, our ministers of the gospel either lacking spiritual discernment, unrecognizing or deliberately ignoring the possibility that there must be a guiding presence, an unclean spiritual force working behind the scene altering our Bibles to

deceptively say what it wants us to read and believe.

If we all have to stand before God for ourselves, then please, let us investigate Bible versions issues for ourselves and not follow persons who criticize and ridicule the KJV Bible and never did any thorough investigation. "Seek ye out of the book of the LORD, and read..." (Is. 34:16) Beware of many university professors and their recommended reading endorsements: they hate literature that is pro-KJV and promote "anything" that is anti-KJV. (They hate the Written Word without a cause.) Remember, like Uzzah, we all would not have escaped God's wrath or His judgment for not knowing what His Word said (no excuse allowed). God said, "My people are destroyed for lack of knowledge." (Hosea 4:6) Jesus said in Matthew 15:14: "Let them alone: they be blind leaders of the blind. And if the blind lead the blind, both shall fall into the ditch." Most people follow leaders they believe are truthful, honest and excellent speakers. Nevertheless, we've got to take an independent approach on this issue. Eternity and rewards are at stake here!

No matter how scholars, professors, preachers and Bible teachers explain away changing Lucifer to "the Morning Star," it is still dangerously insulting to 100% plus pure Holiness and 100% plus pure Almighty POWER.

There are enormous amounts of satanic scholarship attacking and

#### infiltrating everything that is Holy and Godly today.

As stated earlier, the Hebrew word for "star" is nowhere in the original Hebrew text in this verse. Therefore, it is very deceitful to add the word "star," erroneously to duplicate the name "morning star." (In other versions "day star" or "star of the morning" is used at Isaiah 14:12.)

In Greek, the word is "heosphoros" which means light-bearer: in Latin it is "Lucifer" also meaning light-bearer; finally, in Hebrew the word is "heyleel" meaning light-bearer. The meanings are all the same in these three languages. If you asked who Lucifer is. they anyone know immediately who you are talking about. Luciferians and Satanist know their master's name: Lucifer: so how is it that "Blavatskyian," "Bible" scholars do not

have the correct meaning in their dictionaries, lexicons, commentaries, etc?

Some scholars argue that it was Jerome who introduced the name "Lucifer" into the text. But Jerome simply translated his Greek "Bible" to Latin and the Latin word is Lucifer meaning light-bearer. Scholars use Ezekiel chapter 28 and Isaiah 14:4-8 to prove that the text is not talking about the most wicked person in the universe, but refers to a Babylonian king. This author recommends logging on to (http://www.chick.com/ask/articles/lucifer.as p) for a complete answer to this false view.

Who is the "morning star" at Rev. 22:16? Sadly, the name Lucifer has been removed from most Bible dictionaries and other reference work or falsely declared where it is listed.

#### Before we continue, let us examine this from scripture.

Scholars claim that Isaiah 14:12 points to the king of Babylon (see ls. 14:1-15) but when these verses are examined carefully, we discover that the LORD is directing His judgment to the person behind the earthly-human king as He did to Peter in Matthew 16:22-23. Notice what Jesus said, looking at Peter: "get thee behind me Satan." Also, notice that Jesus used the exact same statement when Satan took Him up to a high mountain and showed Him all the kingdoms of the world (Luke 4:5-8). Jesus said, "Get thee behind me, Satan." What is obviously clear in both verses is that Jesus was not talking to Peter, but to the spirit person behind Peter. Also, the person in Luke 4:5-8 is obviously the same person in Matthew 16:22-23.

The question is who was Jesus Christ talking to in this verse? Was it Peter or was it Satan? Can he see Satan who he was talking too?

Remember, casting devils out of persons was a very new phenomenon and not seen in the Old Testament as was seen during Jesus ministry. Therefore, these devils were talking to Jesus and He was talking back at them. However, as Jesus talked to them. He was looking at their victims but talking to the spirit behind, inside, or outside of them who was influencing their every action. (Mark 1:25-26, 9:25-26) Peter was allowing Satan to do the same thing which was why it prompted Jesus to look at Peter but spoke to the spirit behind or influencina Peter to say what he did.

We now have basically the same scenario with the King of Tyrus in Jeremiah and the king of Babylon in Isaiah where God was not talking to either king but the spirit behind them.

Ezekiel 28:1-2, 11-17 The word of the LORD came again unto me, saying, Son of man, say unto the prince of Tyrus, Thus saith the Lord God; Because thine heart is lifted up, and thou hast said, I am a God, I sit in the seat of God, in the midst of the seas; yet thou art a man, and not God, though thou set

thine heart as the heart of God...Moreover the word of the LORD came unto me, saying, Son of man, take up a lamentation upon the king of Tyrus, and say unto him, Thus saith the Lord GOD; Thou sealest up the sum, full of wisdom, and perfect in beauty. Thou hast been in Eden the garden of God; every precious stone was thy covering, the sardius, topaz, and the diamond, the beryl, the onyx, and the jasper, the sapphire, the emerald, and the carbuncle. and gold: the workmanship of thy tabrets and of thy pipes was prepared in thee in the day that thou wast created. Thou art the anointed cherub that covereth; and I have set thee so: thou wast upon the holy mountain of God: thou hast walked up and down in the midst of the stones of fire. Thou wast perfect in thy ways from the day that thou wast created, till iniquity was found in thee. By the multitude of thy merchandise they have filled the midst of thee with violence, and thou hast sinned: therefore I will cast thee as profane out of the mountain of God: and I will destroy thee, O covering cherub, from the midst of the stones of fire. Thine heart was lifted up because of thy beauty, thou hast corrupted thy wisdom by reason of thy brightness: I will cast thee to the ground, I will lay thee before kings, that they may behold thee."

In Ezekiel 28:1-17, the LORD said in verse 1-2: "say unto the king of Tyrus." But what did He told Ezekiel to say? He told Ezekiel to say: [a] you was full of wisdom but corrupted it (naturally, in an absolute sense, human beings are never full or complete with wisdom); **[b**] you was **perfect** in beauty (honestly speaking, no human beings are); [C] you have been in the Garden of Eden (there were only four persons recorded in Genesis who were there) [**d**] every precious stones were your covering (this puts him outside of the natural human appearance); [e] tabrets and pipes were built into you (he is not human); [**f**] you were created (the king of Tyrus was born and not created; angels were created); [g] you were the anointed cherub that covereth (a Cherub is not human but a heavenly person); [**h**] you were in the holy mountain of God and walked up and down in the midst of the stone of fire; [i] you were perfect in your ways (no humans except Adam, Eve and Jesus were perfect). The question then is: Does a human king fit these descriptions? If not, then who? It does not take a scholar to figure out who the LORD was talking too. From all descriptions given, anyone can see and understand that the LORD was directing this judgment message to Satan.

#### Isaiah 14:4-8, 12-15

"...thou shalt take up this proverb against the king of Babylon, and say, How hath the oppressor ceased! the golden city ceased! The LORD hath broken the staff of the wicked, and the scepter of the rulers. He who smote the people in wrath with a continual stroke, he that ruled the nations in anger, is persecuted, and none hindereth. The whole earth is at rest, and is quiet: they break forth into singing. Yea, the fir trees rejoice at thee, and the cedars of Lebanon, saying, Since thou art laid down, no feller is come up against us...How art thou fallen from heaven, O Lucifer, son of the morning! How art thou cut down to the ground, which didst weaken the nations! For thou hast said in thine heart, I will ascend into heaven, I will exalt my throne above the stars of God: I will sit also upon the mount of the congregation, in the sides of the north: I will ascend above the heights of the clouds; I will be like the most High. Yet thou shalt be brought down to hell, to the sides of the pit.

Now, just at face value, if we were to look at Isaiah 14:4-8, 12-15, who was **fallen** from **heaven**? Luke 10:18-20 and Revelation 12:7-12 described this person as Satan. Also, did the king of Babylon fall from heaven or was he born to earthly parents? He is nowhere recorded in scripture as being in heaven.

Furthermore, do you think a human being would use the kind of language that was used by the person the LORD was talking too? The person said: I will ascend into **heaven**; exalt my throne above the **stars**. Noticed that the person the LORD directed His judgment message to was cut/cast down to the ground in both Isaiah and Ezekiel.

#### Luke 10:18-20

And he said unto them, I beheld Satan as lightning fall from heaven. Behold, I give unto you power to tread on serpents and scorpions, and over all the power of the enemy: and nothing shall by any means hurt you. Notwithstanding in this rejoice not, that the spirits are subject unto you; but rather rejoice, because your names are written in heaven.

#### Revelation 12:7-12

And there was war in heaven: Michael and his angels fought against the dragon; and the dragon fought and his angels, And prevailed not; neither was their place found any more in heaven. And the great dragon was cast out, that old serpent, called the Devil. Satan. and which deceiveth the whole world: he was cast out into the earth, and his angels were cat out with him. And I heard a loud voice saying in heaven, Now is come salvation, and strength, and the kingdom of our God, and the power of his Christ: for the accuser of our brethren is cast down, which accused them before our God day and night. And they overcame him by the blood of the Lamb, and by the word of their testimony; and they loved not their lives unto the death. Therefore rejoice, ye heavens, and ye that dwell in them. Woe to the inhabiters of the earth and of the sea! For the devil is come down unto you, having great wrath, because he knoweth that he hath but a short time.

#### **QUESTIONS:**

**[1]** Peter and Satan: Were they the same person or were they separate individuals?

**[2]** Can both kings (Babylon & Tyrus) be possessed with an unclean spirit?

**[3]** What does Job 38:7 mean? Does it mean: When God (The Trinity: Morning Star**s**) sings, all His sons (sons of God/men: a similar pre-Mosaic period like in Ex. 23:17, 34:23, Deu. 16:16 which obviously points to Job 1:6, 2:1) shout for joy? With a lustful and greedy desire to "be like the most high," Satan's public image campaign to pursue worship like God is crucially important. Thus, we can almost predict his target of attack to achieve this goal. He has to make several changes to humanity's perception and knowledge of him; and to achieve this, he must first and foremost attack God's Word and make changes to it.

As was discussed earlier, one of the changes was to replace his name, Lucifer (the only reference to his name in the entire Bible) to one that is close to the title, "son of the morning" (another one of his titles) and one that is worshipped. The "Morning Star" (Rev. 22:16) is an excellent replacement in the minds of deceived scholars, because it refers to Him who every knee WILL bow and every tongue WILL confess and that it is very close or similar to "son of the morning." This was one of the most difficult changes to make because it required silencing the voice of matured critical Christian thinkers who were in large numbers.



However, after many decades of manipulation and possession of foolish

scholars, today he has successful done just that because our modern version like the NIV and many others have made this change and their explanations for doing so ultimately links to Arch-Luciferian Madame Blavatsky's views in her book on Lucifer called: "The Secret Doctrine." Who is Madam Helena P. Blavatsky (1831-1891)? She was a high-priestess of sorcery, magic, Satan worship and occultism. She founded the organization called, "The Theosophy Society" and her magazine called, "Lucifer," evolved into the two-volume book called "The Secret Doctrine." This book is one of the most wicked books ever written and it teaches that Lucifer should be worshipped.

Usually at her speaking engagements, she would occasional display her occult powers, dazzling the audience.

Did you know that some of our "trusted" Bible scholars attended Luciferian meetings with Madam Blavatsky? When you hang out with someone infested with fleas, what happens?

Let's examined what Luciferian, Madame Blavatsky wrote and compare them with our "trusted" fleabag scholars with what they included in their modern "Bibles," Bible dictionaries, lexicons, commentaries, etc. (All references below of Secret Doctrine are from the online edition found at:

#### http://www.theosociety.org/pasadena/ sd/sd-hp.htm) She writes:

• The devil is now called Darkness by the Church, whereas, in the Bible he is called the "Son of God" (see Job), the bright star of the early morning, Lucifer (see Isaiah). There is a whole philosophy of dogmatic craft reason why in the the first Archangel, who sprang from the depths of Chaos, was called Lux (Lucifer), the "Luminous Son of the Morning," or man-vantaric Dawn. He was transformed by the Church into Lucifer or Satan, because he is higher and older than Jehovah,

and had to be sacrificed to the <u>new dogma</u>. (Vol. 1, Page 70, Line 14) [emphasis added]

- Lucifer, the genius of the "morning star" (see Isaiah xiv., 12) -- (Vol. 2, Page 501, Line 4)
- The latter has collapsed under the too close analysis, and is **Symbologists** drowned. have discovered with dismay that their adopted deity was only a mask for many other gods, an Euhemerized extinct planet, at best, the genius of the Moon and Saturn with the Jews, of the Sun and Jupiter, with early Christians; that the Trinity was, in truth, only an astronomical triad unless they accepted the more metaphysical abstract and meanings given to it by the Gentiles - composed of the Sun (the and the two planets Father), Mercury (the Son) and Venus (the Holy Ghost, Sophia, the Spirit of Wisdom, Love and Truth, and Lucifer, as Christ, the bright and morning Star; (Vol. 2, Page 540, Line 5) [emphasis added]
- Lucifer, or *Luciferus*, is the name of the angelic Entity presiding over the *light of truth* as over the light of the day. (Vol. 2, Page 512, Line 10)
- Since the Church, in her struggle with Manichaeism, invented the devil, and by placing a theological extinguisher on the radiant star-god, Lucifer, the "Son of the Morning," thus created the most gigantic of all her paradoxes — a black and tenebrous light — the myth has struck its roots too deep in the soil of blind faith to permit, in our age, even those, who do not acquiesce in her dogmas and laugh at her horned and cloven-footed Satan, to come out bravely and confess the antiquity of the oldest of all traditions. (Vol. 2, Page 238-239, Line 6) [emphasis added]

 ...the 'Light-bringer,' <u>the Lucifer,</u> the 'Morning Star,' the 'Son of the morning' — the very highest title 'out of heaven,' for in heaven it cannot be, but out of heaven it is everything. (Vol. 2, Page 238, Footnote(s), Line 13) [emphasis added]

So where do you think these "fleas" in our modern Bible versions came from?

Another change Madam Blavatsky (along with other Luciferian colleagues) was successful in getting our Bible scholars to include in their Bible works was to change "He," "Him" and "His" when referring to God to "the one." In the New Age Movement, Lucifer is called "the one." "The One" is so central to Luciferianism that the entire two volumes book "The Secret Doctrine," is a discussion of "the One."

Madame Blavatsky wrote

 The ONE ALL, and the One "All in all" is "the basic conceptions on which the Secret Doctrine rests." (Vol.1, Page 20, Line 5):

In *The Secret Doctrine*'s heavy complex satanic rhetoric, "The One is **mistakenly** applied to Jehovah. This is because the One is higher and older than Jehovah." (See John P. Van Mater, who prepared the index for "The Secret Doctrine," interpretation of "The One" in Vol. 1, Page 129-130 as seen in the index under the heading "One, the." Also see Vol. 1, Page 71, Line 1.)

Secret declares: • Doctrine The "Dragon of Wisdom" is the One, the "Eka" (Sanskrit) or Saka. It is curious that Jehovah's name in Hebrew should also be One, Echod. "His name is Echod": say the Rabbins. The philologists ought to decide which of the two is derived from the other - linguistically and symbolically: surely. not the Sanskrit? The "One" and the Dragon

are expressions used by the ancients in connection with their respective Logoi. Jehovah esoterically (as Elohim) — is also the Serpent or Dragon that tempted Eve, and the "Dragon" is an old glyph for "Astral Light" (Primordial Principle), "which is the Wisdom of Chaos." (Vol. 1, Page 73, Line 1)

Secret Doctrine says: "Everything originated in the ONE, and, proceeding from the one, must finally return to the One." (Vol. 1, Page 568, Line 6)

So, what do you think our "**trusted**" scholars are subtly trying to teach us?

Now, just think about it: changing "He," "Him" and "His" to "The One" eventually leads to Blavatsky's teaching of worshipping Lucifer. Blavatsky and our corrupt Bible scholars want us to believe God is "The One" (who is higher than Jehovah).

For some scriptural reference on this change, read the following verses in the NASB (NIV) and compare them with the KJV: Luke 12:5; Matt. 13:37, 24:13; John 6:46, 7:18, (9:37), 15:21, (12:45); I Cor. 15:28; Acts 7:38, (10:21, 10:42), 22:9, Col 3:10; Heb. 5:7, 7:21; Rev. 2:1. There are many more verses where these changes were made.

Another change he successfully made was to separate his names Lucifer and the devil to two distinct entities and to deny the existence of "Satan." (Satan is the author of confusion.) Now to achieve this we see where he has successfully removed Lucifer most his name from Bible dictionaries and other Christian reference work. For example, Lucifer was remove from the following Christian reference book: International Bible Commentary, Harper's Bible Dictionary, Eerdman's The Bible Dictionary, Smith Bible Dictionary, The New Standard Bible Dictionary, Dictionary of the Bible, Who's Who in the Old Testament, Interpreter's One volume Commentary on

the Bible, Dictionary of Proper Names and Places in the Bible, Hurlbert's Handy Bible Encyclopedia, Interpreter's Bible Dictionary and Twentieth Century Bible Commentary. ([2a], page 46-51)

However, if the name does appear in some, the information declares that Lucifer is not Satan. (Even this author's dictionary, "The Revell Bible Dictionary," 1990 edition, listed "Lucifer" but declared at the end that "Lucifer" was a "misapplied name for Satan.") The NIV, NASB and many other versions have gone even further and very blatantly removed the name "Satan," that Jesus called Lucifer by at Luke 4:8 (KJV). If Lucifer is not Satan and his name changed to the "Morning Star" and the name "Satan" is removed from our Bibles, then what are we left with to believe (especially the next generation)? Who will every knee bow to in Rev. 13:8 if Lucifer is the "Morning Star" and not Satan?

Satan continues his nasty and hoggish campaign by manipulating his followers to focus on declaring that "Lucifer is a good angel of Christ himself." His human followers like Blavatsky called him: "Life," "Thought," "Progress," "Civilization," "Liberty," "Independence," "Lucifer is the Logos," "the Serpent," "the Savior." Other possess followers declared that "Lucifer is an angle of God's love" and "the angel of man's inner evolution," "Lucifer prepares man in all ways for the experience of Christhood," "Christ is the same force as Lucifer," "the sacrificial Lamb," "in Lucifer lies divinity" and "he is the ruler of humanity." "But Satan will now be shown, in the teaching of the Secret Doctrine. allegorized as Good, and Sacrifice, a God of Wisdom, under different names." (Vol.2, P. 237, Line 5)

Many of these views are now showing up in literary books and as a result, they are showing up in our modern versions.

Continuing his filthy dirty campaign pursuit, he incorporates an old <u>occult</u> <u>practice</u> of scrambling letters in his name to hide his true identity. For example, Sanatan, Sanatsiyata (gods of New Age: Hindu, Buddhist, etc.), San-tisita (unscrambled as: it is Satan) Sanat Kumara, Santa, etc. New Ages say that "concealed name is each anagrammatically;" "the isn't name important, it is the letters." "Ole Nick" (also called "Ole Saint Nick") is listed among the fallen angels or devils in the "Dictionary of Fallen Angels" and therefore "Santa" also is an anagram of "Satan." Now, name the only persons "who knows when you are wake," "who knows when you are sleeping" and "who knows if you've been bad or good?" (This may come across as being very funny but very dangerously serious in the spiritual world.)

Finally, Satan's agenda is no longer hidden in music (like back-masking in the 60s and 70s), movies (like subliminal in movies and pictures in the 60s), art, symbols, etc. His followers are now very bold and aggressive with promoting and campaigning for him (the devil) through forward idolized music (reggae, rap, hip etc.) movies and hop, heavy metal, (filled children's cartoons with occult symbols, occult scenes and dangerous replications of real occult ceremonies and events), television shows, successful (many of the big business ventures corporations are turning to witchcraft for bigger profits and market share) and sports (to achieve better skills that are supernaturally enhanced). Satan is now very much alive and active in our churches and sadly, we are not aware of this.

What seem to be the problem? Why is he so successful among Christians and in our churches today? Is it because we are not reading God's Word; or what we are reading and calling God's Word, is not, because it has an unholy "blind" spirit attached to it (or channeling from it)? "The prophets (Bible scholars) prophesy lies in my name: I sent them neither not. have L commanded them, neither spake unto them: they prophesy unto you a false vision and divination, and a thing of nought, and the deceit of their heart." (emphasis, mine; Jer. 14:14)

"Ye have perverted the words of the living God..." "Ye have plowed wickedness, ye have reaped iniquity; ye have eaten the fruit of lies: because thou didst trust in thy way, in the multitude of thy mighty men." "Who changed the truth of God into a lie..." (Hosea 10:13; Romans 1:25) Another way of saying this is: Men have perverted God's words. They have plowed (or used) a multiplicity of corrupt sources, reaping (their followers or congregation will use any watered down versions) a satanic bible; they have swallowed hock line and sinker, scholarship lies, because they trusted their own ways (Proverbs 3:5) in that they have accepted all Greek and Hebrew study tools without question from a multitude of renown and corrupt scholars like Strong, Vine, Moulton, Milligan, Thayer, Wuest, Trench, Vincent. Liddell. Scott, Zodhiates. Gesenius, Brown, Driver, Briggs, Scrivener, Berry, Westcott, Hort, Aland, Metzger and Ginsburg, all of whom have changed the truth of God's Word into lies. As were the warnings proclaimed in the days of Isaiah and Jeremiah and the people refuse to listen, so is it today. God help up!

#### 2So Where Are We All Headed With These Modern Version?

Where are modern versions headed? Why all the noise from this author? The answer is simple: The next generation. The next generation will not have any Bible standard by which to measure truth from error. Our religious leaders today grew up with the KJV as a measuring Bible standard (in the early nineteenth century); the final deciding component as to which versions are off and the degree of their errors. They have all grown up in a KJV "instrument rating" era. (Instrument rating meaning: without any visual aid, a pilot depends <u>solely</u> on instruments to take off, fly and land an airplane.) As a result, they can see when there are serious deviations in modern versions.

Therefore, the KJV was our "temperature and fuel gauge," our "attitude indicator," altimeter the and "turn coordinator and directional gyro instrument," the "radio and radar," (the basic components a pilot need to fly an airplane) all to detect when and how much we strayed off course from the truth of God's Word; and to get us back on course. "Instrument rating KJV pilots" could have flown into thick clouds of modern versions, discern and spot "calm and white cloudy" verses of truth from dark storm cloudy verses of error.

Yesterday's generation was able to recognize the watered-down versions; pick and choose truth and refuse error based on their KJV "instrument rating skills." However, today's generation do not have this solid "instrument rating" foundation to steer their course into our modern version airspace of confusion. The next generation will accept hook line and sinker any watered-down version because they grew up seeing trusted ministers of the gospel with a multiplicity of modern versions.

Unfortunately, the generation today and definitely the generation of tomorrow would not have this skill and therefore deception would be the order of the day. Everyone will do that which is right in his or her own eyes and use "Bibles" that fits their very own life styles. Modern version will be so watered down and will point to the antichrist as the one to receive worship and thus, the whole world will worship the beast and receive his mark in their foreheads or right hands.

How do you think Satan will deceive the whole world? He will do this by lying. How do you think he will be able to spread his lies? He will do this by changing fundamental doctrinal passages of scripture making them point to him. He will be the person our modern versions will point to as the one to worship. He will disguise himself into an image that would appear to be like God but behind the camouflage vial, our arch-enemy: Lucifer, the devil. Sadly, our modern versions will make this all happen.

As modern versions plunge deeper and deeper into the airspace of apostasy, Biblically promiscuous our scholars. professors, preachers, teachers, etc., (who will ultimately answer for this in the courtroom of God's presence) may not be around (by reasons of retirement, old age or death) to take control of this fatally doom flight. Their KJV instrument rating skills will not be in the cockpit to prevent this deadly decent, they aggressively fueled (among present and future their church congregations), from crashing into Satan's diabolical plans of global deception.

As mentioned in another section, we carefully and cautiously because examine every small detail when studying God's Word to find out exactly what He said and meant, Satan, on-the-other-hand, very subtly change words and verses to distort and modify Bible truths. Satan's biggest cover-up in doing this is to target the fundamental doctrines of God's Word (the deity of Christ, hell, salvation, spiritual growth, etc.) by corrupting them (all verses that point the lost to the narrow way that leads to finding God and eternal life); he then appears to "enhance" or "improve upon" verses and passages that are inspiring, uplifting, comforting, encouraging, stimulating, arousing, etc. He decorates language in modern versions with just the "right" words that "appear righteous unto men, but within...are full of hypocrisy and iniquity." (Matt. 23:25-28) It would read like the Bible, but very deceptive in contents. How else do you think he will deceive the "WHOLE WORLD?" (Rev. 12:9) BY **DECEPTION**!

An example of this can be found at Micah 5:2, a critical verse in the scripture where the KJV says: "But thou, Bethlehem Ephratah, though thou be little among the thousands of Judah, yet out of thee shall he come forth unto me that is to be ruler in Israel: whose goings forth have been from of old from everlasting." In the NIV, it says: "But vou Bethlehem Ephrathah, though you are small among the clans of Judah, out of you will come for me one who will be ruler over Israel, whose origins are from of old, from ancient times." This verse in the NIV destroyed the doctrine of the Deity of Christ by placing a beginning of Christ existence: "everlasting" is "ancient changed to time." Everlasting is completely outside of time!

## Lucifer the "Christ," coming to our city?

Can you imagine Lucifer taking on the name "Lucifer Christ" as in Jesus Christ? (Christ meaning, "The Messiah" or "The Anointed One," thus, Lucifer, the "messiah.") He may use another name but this name was chosen so that you the reader will quickly get the picture.

#### Remember Jesus Christ warnings in Matthew 24:4-5, 11, 21, 23-27 and 37-44.

Can you imagine him impersonating the images and characteristics of Jesus Christ portrayed by Hollywood's Biblical movie productions? Can you imagine "Lucifer Christ" manifesting himself in a physical (or possessed) human body and coming **"TODAY!"** in person, walking about healing all manner of sickness and disease among the people?

Can you see crowds of former sick patients following him out of our hospital buildings still clothed in their sick bed

garments, completely healed from all ailments? Can you imagine him casting out devils (This will be a setup scheme between him and his demonic army to distract us from what will happen when his mark is planted into our bodies; it will appear as though they were cast out, but not really.), raising the dead (Raising the dead is one of many miracles Satan cannot perform; however, he can counterfeit this miracle using "demonic special effects;" like what he will do in Rev. 13:14-15), opening the eyes of the blind, feeding multitudes with very little food, healing the dumb, walking on water, appearing and disappearing from one city to the next including ours, etc., etc. How do you, the reader, think you would process this if you were one of those persons healed, or maybe your love one or someone very close?

Usually, we make crucial religious decisions based on what we see, touch, feel, hear or experience and not so much on what we read. Therefore, even though scripture clearly warns us of the coming antichrist (II Thessalonians 2:3-12) and the "strong delusion," "with all power and signs and lying wonders," displayed, with exception to those of whom Matthew 24:24 refers to, we all would still sadly abandon all doubts of whether or not he is the Christ, the true Messiah and savior of the world. The deception will be so incredibly real, even after "available" vigorously searching scripture, we all would believe and accept Satan's lies.

Our world is now deliciously set up and ripe for a super human to appear. For example: Dark Vader, Superman or Lucifer in disguise, "<u>showing great signs and</u> <u>wonders.</u>" Everything Satan needs to pursue his agenda in Isaiah 14:13 are all practically now in place. Now, just imagine him walking about teaching and preaching his gospel: the kingdom gospel of Lucifer and doctrines of devils. Can you see him trying to prove to the world that he is a man of peace by walking into and between frontline combat, stopping thousands of bullets and explosives, making them float in mid-air, inches away from his body? Bullets and explosives fired from guns and tanks will not affect him.

Can you imagine this "Man of Peace" army of unseen demons, perpetrating criminal elements around the world then exposing the perpetrators and their cover? Imagine leaders or heads of police departments around the world calling Satan's hot line, getting all names of persons involved, details of how the criminal incidents occurred and current locations of hiding the criminals' whereabouts? Can you see his demons plotting and causing criminals to commit these crimes only to expose them and mislead people in believing that he is truly a man of peace, law and order? Can you see how he would cause criminals around the world to be afraid to commit crimes, thus, solving the world's global crime problems? This will happen because they will be exposed; their whereabouts and how to easily get to them revealed. When they are caught, can you see Satan altering their behavior or way of thinking that would appear to the world as a positive transformation of the mind, but very subtly disguised as demon possession? All retributions towards Satan's dirty scheme will be hopeless because any "evil" intentions would be quickly recognized by "evil" spirits and crushed.

Can you see Satan establishing a very unusual global financial plan that would pay off your mortgage debts or cause you to be debt free in a few short months? You, the reader may say that this is not possible; but it certainly is. (Satan's followers currently own the wealth of the world; in the "zillions" of dollars. Therefore, giving most of it away would be no big deal if he becomes ruler of the planet.) Imagine, shockingly watching your mortgage balance declining substantially.

What do you think Satan will then do that Jesus Christ refused (because it was not yet His Father's will at John 6:15) when the opportunity was offered to Him? Satan will triumphantly accept the nation leaders and the people's desire to make him king and ruler of the planet. This will occur because they will be amazed at the phenomenal miracles and power displayed. When this happens, he would want "proof of allegiance" by introducing an implanted mark/chip IN the forehead or IN the right hand. (Is the mark of the beast, "in" or "on" the forehead or the right hand?) Those who appose would not have the proper credential to buy and sell anything; it will be against the law to do so without it. His demonic army, (unclean spirits of mind control trying to duplicate the job of the Holy Spirit as the comforter who would lead us into all truth) then would walk into or unit with our bodies (demon possession) and the rest is history: that which was foretold in the book of Revelation. He will cause the whole world to worship him and receive his mark. (Rev. 13:1-18 and 14:9-11)

Satan will duplicate (at least try to) everything Jesus did two thousand years ago, even "**Pentecost**." When each mark/implant is administered, his new converts will display amazing powers like the Apostles did in Acts 2:4 and 2:43; thus, deceiving other prospect converts to join. When his lies are accepted and his unclean spirits allowed into our bodies, sometime afterwards, we will **uncontrollably**, curse God and blaspheme His Holy name as is mentioned in Revelation 16:9-11, 16:21.

"...And for this cause God shall send them strong delusion, that they should believe a lie: That they **<u>all</u>** might be damned <u>WhO</u> believed not the truth, <u>but had</u> <u>pleasure in unrighteousness</u>." II Thessalonians 2:3-12

Satan will pretend to have a spirit of humility and our modern versions will point to him as "the one who is to come" and "the

one who is to be worship." Our scholars Greek and Hebrew who penned dictionaries, lexicons, modern versions, Bible commentaries, etc., have set us all up by removing one of the two references in scripture that prove Jesus left the earth, was caught up in the clouds and sat on the right hand of the Father; (Mark 16:9-20, Luke 24:51-52) thus, preparing the way for Satan (who will claim to have "come again" as in John 14:3) to appear and counterfeit Jesus Christ's three year ministry by performing the "mighty works" mentioned above. Satan has to come as an adult because he cannot perform a "virgin birth" miracle; (Is. 7:14) also (and a much bigger problem), trust his senior "evil" warlords to steer and direct his youth.

Scholars know Deuteronomy 19:15 and Matthew 18:16, very well where two or more witnesses are needed for a word or a belief to be established. Therefore, modern versions targeted Mark 16:9-20 and remove it as inspired, thus, destroying one of these two witnesses (Mark and Luke) needed to seal the doctrinal "proof text" of the Ascension of Christ. Corrupt Bible scholars cast doubt on Mark 16:9-20 with scholarship lies by claiming that "the most reliable" or "the earliest manuscript" or "other ancient witnesses," do not have Mark 16:9-20 called, "the longer ending of Mark;" therefore, according to them, we should only trust the "shorter ending of Mark" by stopping at Mark 16:8 and continue on to the gospel of Luke. (Read, "Final Authority," by William P. Grady, page 41-59 exposing scholars' intentional attack on Mark 16:9-20 who knew they were wrong.)

Scholars want us to believe Jesus Christ never left and will soon reappear or "come again" as He did in His disciple's presence as recorded in scripture at John 20:19. This is the very same strategy the Pharisee "**scholars**" used to accuse Jesus of in John 8:13-14, when Satan destroyed one of the two witnesses (John 5:31-33 and 5:36-37) which sealed the belief that Jesus was The Messiah, "the Lamb of God which taketh away the sins of the world." (John 1:29) Please note <u>carefully</u> how the Bible said Jesus would "come again" or returned in Matt. 24:27, Acts 1:11, Rev. 1:7, 6:12-17 and 16:18-20.

The Bible said that Satan will cause the whole world to worship him (Rev. 13:8, 13:12-14). Does "the whole world" include "**YOU!**" the reader? How else do you think he will get an intelligent person like "**YOU**" to worship him? He has to subtly lie to you first as he did to Eve.

In preparation for the coming of "Lucifer, the Christ," our modern versions driven by many scholars, college professors, preachers, teachers, etc., are in most cases, unintentionally, but contagiously assisting in taking us all on this dangerous journey of deception. Lord, help us!

The Bible says, "Behold, the days come, saith the Lord God, that I will send a famine in the land, not a famine of bread, nor a thirst for water, but of hearing the words of the LORD." (Amos 8:11) **These days are NOW!** 

**End Notes**: Readers beware! It is believed that there is a mysterious mind control phenomenon in today's Hollywood movie productions that is complicated to explain at this time. More will be mentioned on this later.

#### Why Is It Wrong In Modern Versions To Call Christians Slaves?

"But now being made free from sin, and become <u>servants</u> to God, ye have your fruit unto holiness, and the end everlasting life." Romans 6:22 (KJV)

"But now having been set free from sin, and having become <u>slaves</u> of God, you have your fruit to holiness, and the end, everlasting life." Romans 6:22 (NKJV)

**Servant** is gone in modern versions and replaced with **slave**. A slave is a person held in bondage; lost control of himself or herself; lost his or her freedom; is captured, sold and force to work most of the time under cruel conditions without a salary or reward.

A servant is a person who puts forth himself or herself for the benefit of another master as a public servant or an official of a government and is paid or rewarded for services rendered.

A hired servant is an employee who gets a salary or a reward for service rendered; a bondservant is one who doesn't get a salary or reward, but is treated like an employee; a bondman, bondmaid or bondwoman is a slave (Deuteronomy 6:21, 15:15, 28:68). **The children of God were commanded never to be sold or treated as slaves.** (Lev. 25:39-46, 1 Kings 9:22)

The New World Translation, the Watchtower Bible, was the first in 1961 to change servant to slave; afterwards, other modern versions followed. (See [2a] pages 221-225, [2c] pages 263-265 and [2e], page 106, to find out why it was changed.)

Can a person who is no longer a servant but a friend (John 15:15) or an adopted son (Galatians 4:1-7) be called a slave and be treated like one by God? Can Christians who receive rewards from God for VOLUNTARY work rendered be call slaves? (Rev. 22:12) Christians are no longer called servants, but sons or children of God in scripture.

The Bible gives us an allegorical picture of this in Galatians 4:1-7; 22-31: It says that Hagar (Genesis 21:9-12) was a bondwoman (a slave), but what happened to her? In Galatians 4:22-31, we are told that Christians are the children of promise (adopted children of God, Galatians 4:5, 7).

We are no slave but sons or adopted children of God.

"cast Therefore, out the bondwoman" (the slave) "and her son:" (whose inheritance is slavery), "for the son of the bondwoman shall not be heirs with the son of the freewoman. So then, brethren, we are not children of the bondwoman" (we are not slaves or do we inherit slavery) "but of the free" (Galatians 4:30-31; emphasis added).

Unbelievers are slaves because they are slaves to sin; but Christians are freed from the slavery of sin (Romans 6:1-23, 8:2). Slaves are not AUTOMATIC heirs, biological adopted or children are. An inheritance automatically passes down to the biological and adopted children. Thus, God's adopted children will also receive inheritance along with His Son.

Romans 8:15 clearly explains this; it says: "For ye have not received the spirit of bondage **again** to fear; but ye have received the Spirit of adoption, whereby we cry, Abba, Father." "Having predestinated us unto the adoption of children by Jesus Christ to himself, according to the good pleasure of his will. (Ephesians 1:5) Also in verse 16 and 17, it says: "The Spirit itself beareth witness with our spirit, that we are the children of God: And if children, then heirs; heirs of God, and joint-heirs with Christ; if so be that we suffer with him, that we may be also alorified together."

John 8:34-36 (emphasis added) says: "...whosoever committed sin is the servant of sin. And <u>the servant abideth **not**</u> in the house for ever: but the Son abideth <u>ever</u>..." <u>along with His adopted siblings</u>. "If the Son therefore shall make you free..." freed by the Father/Son from being servants of sin through the Redemption (payment for sin) and adoption process; thus becoming sons or children of God, "...ye shall be free indeed."

"...the heir, <u>as long as he is a</u> <u>child, differeth...from a servant</u>...But when the fullness of the time...come, God sent forth his Son...To redeem them that were under the law that we might receive the adoption of sons...Wherefore <u>thou art no more a</u> <u>servant, but a son</u>; and if a son, then an heir of God through Christ." (Galatians 4:1-7)

"But as many as received him, to them gave he power to become the sons of God, even to them that believe on his name." (John 1:12) Some verses that were changed in modern versions (and there are many) are: John 13:16, 15:15; Acts 2:18; I Cor. 7:21; Eph. 6:5; Col 4:1 (NASB), Rom. 6:22 (NKJV)

God established the family model, then sends Jesus to shed His blood (Heb 9:22) to take away sins along with establishing the adoption process where men and women will be adopted as sons or children of God and not slaves of God. Adopted children has just as much equal rights to an estate as the biological child/children.

To develop this point to show the importance of why it is not Biblically correct to call Christians slave, this author has to explain the model/method God chose to redeem mankind back to Himself. Afterward, the Father, Son and adoptedchildren model will be tied in for the conclusion.

God used the family model as part of His plans to redeem man back to Himself. The family model consists of a father, mother and child/children.

Satan has destroyed most of the family unit/model today; so much so, that the spiritual mindset of our modern-day scholars has move away from "adopted children of God" **to** "slaves of God" in <u>their</u> very own Bibles.

If we were merely born-again believers (our sin debt paid in full), we

probably could have then been classified as servants of God (or just **kingdom citizens**). But what is exceedingly exciting, our loving God placed an additional clause in His Redeemtion agreement: If born-again, then adopted sons or children of God; and if adopted children, then heirs and joint heirs with Christ. (Romans 8:16-17) God's family model method brought about far and high reaching previleges of reigning with Christ in the Kingdom of heaven. (Is it possible that God also attached another clause in His agreement for disobedient, born-again, backslidden believers? What are your thoughts?)

Thus, the "adopted children" message is very significant and must remain in scripture to point out and show what God is doing and intend to do when He returns. The term "slaves of God," used by modern versions, does not communicate the right message; it distroys scriptural truth that relates to Christian's kingdom status.

In conclusion, a Father who has a Son who also in another pattern, type or setting, adopts children is perhaps the framework mystery of what God did and is doing (still adopting as of this day) to redeem humanity back to Himself. Another way of explaining this is that God created mankind to rule and reign on the earth. Man lost rulership status to Lucifer who then became ruler. God then established and fulfilled the family model to take back the illegal rulership status from Satan and will give it back to mankind. Thus, the family model (which God designed) comprising of Father, mother, Son and **adopted children** was the pattern established and is the order God chose to perform this enormous and painstaking task of suffering and death to redeem mankind back to Himself.

Finally, the question is: Why change servant to slave? The obvious answer to this question is:

If <u>YOU</u> get persons to <u>believe</u> they are slaves, then <u>YOU</u> control their slavery. This is clearly seen with well known and very aggressive religious organizations that are among us today.

Praise God for His awesome love and humility! He could have ended us and started something new because He was sorry, He created man. (Gen. 6:5-7) But instead, He decided to leave His glorious home, entered this sinful sewer, extend His open loving arms to reach out to those of us who want deliverance out of this filthy doom place. Which one of us would have entered our sewer tank, searching for a penny that fell to the bottom of this awfully stink and filthy pit, filled, over our heads, with feces, scavenger insects (like cockroaches) and eating bacteria? Think of what GOD had to do when comparing man with this vast universe He created.

#### So What's Wrong With The Modern Versions?

So then, you may ask, what's wrong with the modern version? Most persons would say that they have read several versions and did not find any problems or serious difference. There are many very serious changes that can be documented and discussed, but are too numerous to elaborate on and would create pages and pages of notes. However, a brief summary of some of the major changes will be mentioned here and repeated and illustrated in Chapter Three of this book. Readers are encouraged to read books on the subject and then compare verses with verses and versions with versions to see the "new course of righteousness" our modern versions are taking us on.

"Look What's Missing" by David W. Daniels and "New Age Bible Versions," by G.A. Riplinger, [2a], are two of many excellent books on this subject. Most of the illustrations you will read in Chapter Three were taken from New Age Bible Version. Read it to find answers to the following changes.

You the reader may skip this section and read it (the same contents along with Bible verse illustrations) in the next chapter.

#### 1 "Lucifer" is gone in modern versions at Is. 14:12 and replaced with the "Morning Star."

This name has been removed from most Bible dictionaries and other reference work. If the name does appear in some, the information declares that Lucifer is not Satan. (Read, "Dangerous Insults To God," earlier in this book for deliberations on why it was removed.) The Hebrew word for "star" is nowhere in the original Hebrew text in this verse. Therefore, it is very deceitful to be translated as "morning star." Who is the "morning star" in the KJV at Rev. 22:16? From a Bible perspective, read Chapter Eight under the heading, "Lucifer," to see why this change is seriously not correct. Do you know that the book "The Secret Doctrine" calls Satan, "Christ, the bright and Morning Star? This book, "The Secret Doctrine," was written by Arch-Luciferian Madame Helena P. Blavatsky (1831-1891). Blavatsky was a highpriestess of sorcery, magic, Satan worship and occultism. She founded the organization called, "The Theosophy Society" and her magazine called, "Lucifer," evolved into the two-volume book called "The Secret Doctrine." This book is one of the most wicked books that have ever been written and it teaches that Lucifer should be worshipped. Do you know that some of our "trusted" Bible scholars who penned and provided us with our Hebrew and Greek dictionaries and lexicons. attended Luciferian meetings with Madame Blavatsky? When you hang out with someone infested with fleas, what happens? Read her profile in Chapter Six for what she wrote that influenced these "trusted" fleabag scholars on what they include in their dictionaries. lexicons Bible and commentaries. So where do you think these scholars got their theological "Bible"

instructions from? Also, read, "Dangerous Insults..." earlier in this section. The NKJV is an exception among the modern versions when quoting this verse (except for its erroneous footnote on Lucifer); it kept the KJV reading. However, the NKJV too has the Alexandrian reading (the corrupt Vaticanus and Sinaiticus text) subtly scattered and placed throughout the text which came directly (word for word) from the RSV and the NASV. Read [6], page 305 to 310 for proof of this. The NKJV is not God's Word; it has altered thousands of verses by adding and omitting thousands of words not found in the Byzantine text manuscript: the preserved underground Christian Bible. (Read also, [2e], page 40 to 48) It is NOT an update (as the publisher claims) of the KJV. Is. 12:14 (NIV, NASB)

2 "He," "Him" and "His" when referring to God were replaced with "the one."

Do you know that Lucifer is the god of the New Age Movement who is referred to as "the One?" "The One" is so central to Luciferian doctrine that the entire two volumes book "The Secret Doctrine," is a discussion of "the One." Madame Blavatsky writes (the online edition: Vol.1, P.20, Line 5): the ONE ALL, and the One "All in all" is "the basic conceptions on which the Secret Doctrine rests." Read what she wrote on who "the One" is in her profile in Chapter Six of this book. Secret Doctrine declares that: The "Dragon of Wisdom" is the One, the "Eka" (Sanskrit) or Saka. It is curious that Jehovah's name in Hebrew should also be One, Echod. "His name is Echod": say the Rabbins. The philologists ought to decide which of the two is derived from the other linguistically and symbolically: surely, not the Sanskrit? The "One" and the Dragon are expressions used by the ancients in connection with their respective Logoi. Jehovah — esoterically (as Elohim) — is also the Serpent or Dragon that tempted Eve, and the "Dragon" is an old glyph for "Astral Light" (Primordial Principle), "which is the Wisdom of Chaos." (Vol.1, page 73, line 1) Everything originated in the ONE, and, proceeding from the one, must finally return to the One. (Vol. 1, page 568, line 6) The Secret Doctrine declears that: ...the devil was transformed by the church into Lucifer or Satan, because he is higher and older than Jehovah and had to be sacrificed to the new dogma. (Vol.1, page 70-71, line 14) Did you know that one of the changes Blavatsky

was successful in getting our Bible scholars to include in their Bible work was to change "He," "Him" and "His" when referring to God to "the One?" Now, just think about it: changing "He," "Him" and "His" to "the One" eventually uncovers or unwraps to Blavatsky's teaching of worshipping Lucifer. Modern versions like the NIV have already successfully set us up (particularly the next generation) to accept this in Is. 14:12 by changing Lucifer to "The Morning Star." This was done because we know that every knee shall bow and every tongue shall confess to "Him" who is "The Morning Star." Blavatsky and our corrupt Bible scholars wants us to believe this person is "the One" or Lucifer; but we all know that this person is none other than our precious Lord and Savior, Jesus Christ. (Phil. 2:10-11, Rev. 22:16) So then, why should we trust scholars Hebrew and Greek dictionary and lexicon study tools? (Read the sections "Can We Trust Our Greek And Hebrew Dictionaries And Lexicons?" and "Dangerous Insults to God," earlier in this section. Is "the One" Holy or not? Do we have two separate persons: one Holy and one unholy; one called, "The Holy One," and the other one called. "the one" or "the wicked one?"

Luke 12:5; Matt. 13:37, 24:13; John 6:46, 7:18,9:37, 15:21, 12:45; I Cor. 15:28; Acts 7:38, 10:21, 10:42, 22:9, Col 3:10; Heb. 5:7, 7:21; Rev. 2:1 (NASB, NIV)

#### **3** Fervent and fasting are gone!

"Fervent" and "fasting" are gone from alongside of prayer (two of the most powerful prayer partners that go along with prayer). James 5:16; Matt. 17:21; II Cor. 6:5, 11:27; Mark 9:29; I Cor. 7:5; Acts 10:30 (NIV)

### 4 Fornication & whoremonger are gone!

"Fornication" and "whoremonger" are gone and replaced with "immoral" and "immorality." Moral means "according to customs" and immoral means "not according to customs." Can society over time change its customs or its lifestyle? (Read Lev. 18:1-30 especially verse 30.) If it could, then what is wrong today is not necessarily wrong tomorrow. For example: Homosexuality is now becoming "morally" accepted in many countries around the world; thus, it will no longer be immoral. Rom. 1:29: L Cor. 5:1, 6:13, 6:18: IL Cor.

Rom. 1:29; I Cor. 5:1, 6:13, 6:18; II Cor. 12:21; Eph 5:3; Col. 3:5; Rev. 21:8, 22:15 (NASB, NKJV)

#### 5 Sodomites are gone!

"**Sodomites**" is gone and replaced with "shrine prostitute(s)." What is shrine prostitute? Does it exist today? If it does, then where? If you replace a **known** sin that is practiced worldwide with an **unknown** sin, then how do you think the next generation will treat these verses? There are only five places in the entire KJV Bible where this word is used and all of them were replaced.

I Kings 14:24, 15:12, 22:46; II Kings 23:7 (NIV)

### 6 Jesus is no longer "the Christ!"

Jesus is no longer "the Christ." "Christ" alongside the name "Jesus Christ" is gone. What's the meaning of "Christ" and with so many "false Christ" today, why do you think it was taken out of modern versions and left with "Jesus" only? Christ means: The Messiah (or Anointed One) whose appearance was prophesied in the Old Testament. It was intentionally taken out to prepare for the coming of the unholy one who is non-other than Lucifer himself. Read "Lucifer, the Christ," illustrated in the section call "So where are we all headed..." earlier in this book.

Acts 19:4, 5:42, 9:20, 15:11, 16:31; II Cor. 5:18, 11:31; I Cor. 9:1; I John 1:7, 4:3; Rev. 1:9, 12:17; Heb. 3:1; I Thes. 3:11; Phil. 4:13, Mark 13:5,6 (NIV)

#### 7 Redemption is gone!

Luke 9:56; Matt. 9:13, 18:11; Acts 8:37; Col. 1:14; Gal. 4:7, 6:15 (NIV)

#### 8 Christ's deity is gone!

I John 3:16, 5:7,8; Tim. 3:16; Rev. 1:8; Jude 25; Rom. 14:10-12; Acts 20:28; Micah 5:2 (NIV, NAS, RSV)

#### **9** Worship of Christ is gone!

Matt. 8:2, 9:18, 15:25, 18:26, 20:20; Mark 5:6 (NIV, NASB)

#### **10** Salvation by faith is gone!

**Salvation by faith** is gone and what is left is works. Is salvation by faith or works?

I Peter 2:2; John 3:36; Matt. 7:14; Rom 11:6, Col. 3:16; Gen. 6:8; Mark 6:11 (RSV, NAS, NIV)

#### 11 Jesus Christ is caught lying!

Jesus Christ is caught lying in modern versions? The question really should be: "Did Jesus lied or was it our very deceiving modern version scholars who lied?" It was required by the Law of Moses that each year, Jewish males attend the Jews' feast of tabernacles in Jerusalem: not attending meant breaking this Law. In the "English Standard Version" (ESV) and many others, Jesus told His brethren that he was NOT going to Jerusalem to the feast; shortly after his brethren went to Jerusalem, they met Jesus there for the feast. God is no liar! (Numbers 23:19) This lie can be found in many modern versions especially the ASV, CEV, DBY, DRA, ESV, GNB, JB, MOF, MRC, NAB, NAS, NAU, NEB, NET, NJB, NLT, NRS, REB, RSV and the TNIV. Recent discovered ancient papyrus dated A.D. 175 (P66) and A.D. 200 (P75) included the word "YET" which totally destroyed modern scholarship lies. ([2f], page 611) Read, "Look What's Missing," by David W. Daniels for a large number of missing words, verses and serious changes like this one in modern versions. You can purchase this book online at www.chick.com.

John 7:8-9 (ESV) Read the free online illustration at: http://www.chick.com /reading/tracts/0998/0998\_01.asp.

### **12** Was man made a little lower than angles or God?

Was man made a little lower than angles or God? The NASB says that man was made a little lower than God. What do you think modern version scholars are trying to accomplish? If we are just a little lower than God, then we can become God; eventually. Psalm 8:5; Heb. 2:7 (NASB)

## **13** Is the mark of the beast "IN" or "ON" the forehead or the right hand?

Is the mark of the beast "IN" or "ON" the forehead or the right hand? Why do you think modern versions changed the mark from "in" to "on" the forehead or right hand? Don't be fooled with the proliferation display of tattoos seen today. This author believes that the spread of the tattoo crave is just a cover-up to what Satan will do. This mark could actually be an implanted chip just below the skin surface. Examine our world today-people will do anything for money even if they have to kill for it; credit card fraud and identification thief is prevalent. What better time other than now, to have all of our personal identification information hidden "in" our bodies where they are safe and cannot be stolen, duplicated or counterfeited? Modern Day science has developed a data chip that can be place just below the surface of the skin via a quick, painless outpatient procedure (like getting a flue shot) and can easily be read by today's computer scanners. It cannot be lost, stolen, misplaced or counterfeit when it is placed under the human skin. (Visit: www.4verichip.com/verichip.htm) With this chip installed, no cash, check or credit card are needed to buy and sell goods. Just allow the scanner to scan you for POSITIVE IDENTIFICATION; thus, greatly protecting all consumers. What do you think may also be a part of this implanted chip? Modern-Day science has also developed a remote-control device that can control humans to some degree on our forward. backward, left or right movements; even our up and down movements. If this device is perfected, miniaturized and integrated with the ID chip, imagine what civilization would be like if it is forced upon us and placed inside our bodies. Everyone will bow when they are either demonically controlled to do so or force by a remote-control device. (Visit: http://www.foxnews.com/story/0,2933, 173500,00.html or type in "Remote Control

173500,00.html or type in "Remote Control Humans" in your internet browser search field, press the search button and examine all of the information given about this device.) This may very well be an extreme kind of guessing on how the mark of Satan (the beast) will unfold because it can just simply be demon possession. We cannot 100% predict this, nevertheless God's word said: "be careful for nothing." (Phil. 4:6) When it appears as nothing to be concerned about, still be careful. Read the illustration given in "So where are we all headed..." earlier in this book for more on this.

Rev. 7:3, 9:4, 13:16, 14:1 (NIV)

## 14 Hell is gone and replaced with death, hades, sheol and grave.

**Hell** is gone and replaced with "**death**," "**hades**," "**sheol**" and "**grave**." Is hell an eternal death called the grave? (Find out why

it was replaced by reading [2a], page 290 to 298.) Modern-day scholars don't like the word "hell" because many of them do not believe it exist; also, because it implies "doom," "everlasting punishment," "everlasting fire," "everlasting suffering," etc. Thus, modern left "Hades" "Sheol" versions and untranslated in many verses so that persons of different religious views can therefore decide which view to take. "Sheol" is the untranslated Hebrew word and "Hades" is the untranslated Greek word. The English word for both is "Hell."

Acts 2:27, ls. 14:15, 28:18, 57:9; Job 11:8, Prov. 7:27, 23:14; Matt. 11:23; Luke 10:15; Rev. 1:18 (NIV)

### 15 Servant is gone and replaced with slave.

Servant is gone and replaced with slave. As mentioned in the previous section, a slave is a person held in bondage; lost control of himself or herself; lost his or her freedom; is captured, sold and force to work most of the time under cruel conditions without a salary or reward. A servant is a person who puts forth himself or herself for the benefit of another master as a public servant or an official of a government and is paid or rewarded for services rendered. A hired servant is an employee who gets a salary or a reward for service rendered: a bondservant is one who doesn't get a salary or reward, but is treated like an employee; a bondman or bondmaid is a slave. A hired/bond servant is illustrated Lev. 25:39-46. The New World Translation, the Watchtower Bible, was the first in 1961 to change servant to slave; afterwards, other modern versions followed. (See [2a] pages 221-225, [2c] pages 263-265 and [2e], page 106 to find out why it was changed.) Can a person who is no longer a servant but a friend (John 15:15) or an adopted son (Galatians 4:1-7) be called a slave and be treated like one by God? Can Christians who receive rewards from God for VOLUNTARY work rendered be call slaves? (Rev. 22:12) Christians are no longer called servants, but sons or children of God in scripture. The Bible gives us an allegorical picture of this in Galatians 4:4-7; 22-31: It says that Hagar (Genesis 21:9-12) was a bondwoman (a slave), but what happened to her? In Galatians 4:22-31, we are told that Christians are the children of promise (adopted children of God, Galatians 4:5, 7). We are no slave but sons or adopted children of God. Therefore, "cast out the bondwoman" (the slave) "and her son:"

(whose inheritance is slavery), "for the son of the bondwoman shall not be heirs with the son of the freewoman. So then, brethren, we are not children of the bondwoman" (we are not slaves or do we inherit slavery) "but of the free" (Gal. 4:30-31; emphasis added). Unbelievers are slaves because they are slaves to sin; but Christians are freed from the slavery of sin (Romans 6:1-23, 8:2). Slaves are not AUTOMATIC heirs; biological or adopted children are. An inheritance automatically passes down to the biological and adopted children. Thus, God's adopted children will also receive inheritance along with His Son. Romans 8:15 clearly explains this; it says: "For ye have not received the spirit of bondage again to fear; but ye have received the Spirit of adoption, whereby we cry, Abba, Father." Also, in verse 16 and 17, it says: "The Spirit itself beareth witness with our spirit, that we are the children of God: And if children, then heirs; heirs of God, and joint-heirs with Christ; if so be that we suffer with him, that we may be glorified together." John 8:34-36 also added) says "...whosoever (emphasis committed sin is the servant of sin. And the servant abideth not in the house for ever: but the Son adideth ever ... " along with His adopted siblings. "If the Son therefore shall make you free..." freed by the Father/Son from being servants of sin through the Redemption (payment for sin) and adoption process; thus becoming sons or children of God, "...ye shall be free indeed." "...the heir, as long as he is a child, differeth...from a servant...But when the fullness of the time...come, God sent forth his Son...To redeem them that were under the law that we miaht receive the adoption of sons...Wherefore thou art no more a servant, but a son; and if a son, then an heir of God through Christ." (Galatians 4:1-7) "But as many as received him, to them gave he power to become the sons of God, even to them that believe on his name." (John 1:12) God established the family model, then sends Jesus to shed His blood (Heb. 9:22) to take away sins along with establishing the adoption process where men will be adopted as sons or children of God and not slaves of God. To further develop this point to show the importance of why it is not Biblically correct to call Christians slave, this author has detoured slightly to explain the model/method God chose to redeem mankind back to Himself. Afterward, the Father, Son and adoptedchildren model will be tied in for the conclusion. God used the family model as part of His plans to redeem man back to Himself. The family model consists of a father, mother and child/children. For a continuation, please read the section earlier in this book called, "Why is it wrong in modern versions to call Christians, slaves?" John 13:16, 15:15; Acts 2:18; I Cor. 7:21; Eph. 6:5; Col 4:1 (NASB), Rom. 6:22 (NKJV)

Before ending here, this author would like to close by letting you, the reader, examine two modern versions; one called, "Good As New: A Radical Retelling of the Scriptures," and the other one called, "The Message."

#### I Corinthians 7:1-2, 8-9 King James Version Bible

"Now concerning the things whereof ye wrote unto me: It is good for a man not to touch a woman. Nevertheless, to avoid fornication, let every man have his own wife, and let every woman have her own husband...I say therefore to the unmarried and widows, It is good for them if they abide even as I. But if they cannot contain, let them marry: for it is better to marry than to burn."

#### Good As New: A Radical Retelling of the Scriptures Bible

"Some of you think the best way to cope with sex is for men and women to keep right away from each other. That is more likely to lead to sexual offences. My advice is for everyone to have a regular partner...lf you know you have strong needs, get yourself a partner. Better than being frustrated."

#### Romans 1:27

#### King James Version Bible

"And likewise also the men, leaving the natural use of the woman, burned in their lust one toward another; men with men working that which is unseemly, and receiving in themselves that recompence of their error which was meet."

#### The Message Bible

"Sexually confused, they defiled abused and one another, women with women, men with men-all lust, no love. And then they paid for it, oh, how they paid for itemptied of God and love, godless and loveless wretches."

Gay and lesbian couples would say that they are not "abusing" or "defiling" each other, because they "love" each other; therefore, this verse does not apply to them.

#### How To Recognize a Genuine KJV Bible Amidst The Multitude of Counterfeits?

If you were to walk into a book store and ask for a King James Version Bible, be careful which Bible is handed to you by the salesclerk. Bible publishers are now deceptively disguising their "Bible" version names. If you are not careful, you might end up leaving the store with the wrong Bible. Can you spot the counterfeits from the following?

King James II Version of the Bible, King James Version—Twentieth

Century Edition, New King James Version, 21st Century King James Version. Modern Kina James Version, American King James Version, King James 2000 Version, Updated King James Version, King James Version Easy Reading, Comfort-able King James Version, AV7 (New Authorized Version), Authorized Version Update, Queen James Version, King James Version, Sacred Name King James Bible, etc., etc.

All of them except one are counterfeits! To recognize God's Word, it will either have "KJV" or "King James Version" on the outside cover (nothing more and nothing less in the name). Also, on the first, second or third page, it is customary to find the title, "The Authorized Version." If you are still not sure this would help, then turn to John 3:16 (everyone knows this verse) and look for the word "BELIEVETH" in this verse. If "believeth," is there, it's a King James Version Bible. If "believe," "believes" or "believed" is there, it is NOT a King James Version Bible; instead, it's a counterfeit! To know you have the right Bible, believe in this verse MUST END WITH "TH" as in "BELIEVETH." The verse should read:

"For God so loved the world, that he gave his only begotten Son, that whosoever believeth in him should not perish, but have everlasting life."

If you arrived home and discovered that the "Bible" you purchased does not have the identification marks mentioned above, simply return it to the book store for a genuine King James Version Bible.

Another way of identifying a King James Bible is to look for the words "ye," "thee," "thou," "thy," "thyself," "thine;" and also words ending with "eth" and "est." The translators of the KJV Bible used these words because of the Formal equivalent method: faithfully translating the Greek and Hebrew word form to the same word form in English. For example: the Greek word form (verb, noun, pronoun, adjective, etc.) came over into the English with the same word form; if it was a verb in the Greek, the English word MUST then be a verb. (The modern Bible version translators did not do this. They replaced the word with what **they** considered to be suitable.)

So then, look in the first book of the Old Testament at Genesis <u>3</u>:1. It said: "...Yea, hath God said, **Ye** shall not eat of every tree of the garden?" This is a KJV Bible; keep it! If "Ye" is not there, take it back; it is **NOT** a KJV Bible. In the first book of the New Testament at Matthew <u>3</u>:2, it said: "...Repent **ye**: for the Kingdom of heaven is at hand." Again if "ye" is there, it is a KJV Bible. If "ye" is not there, replace it for one that has it. (Read the section above called, "*Translation Techniques And The* **\$25,000,000.00 Question,"** for more on this.)

### A note on one of the authors used as reference in this book: Gail Riplinger

Read the section titled, "Who is Gail Riplinger?" later in this book for more information.

Anytime, anyone exposes Satan's agenda, he viciously strikes back with as much "explosive" and "ammunition" force he can get his hands on.

Bible scholars ridiculed and hate Gail Riplinger, author of the books "Hazardous Material: Greek and Hebrew studv dangers," and "New Age Bible Versions," because of the severe wounds these books inflicted and because they greatly exposed secret agenda Satan's that was successfully executed a few hundred years ago. As a result of Riplinger's books, a document called, "Who is Gail Riplinger? A

warning for God's sheep," was written on Riplinger's past claims and past personal life.

This author's answer this to document would be to take those "he that is without sin" (John 8:7) critics (the person who wrote this document and all those who are aggressively promoting it) back to John 4:5-42 to the Samaritan woman who had five (5) husbands and one common law companion; who also lied and was exposed. She met Jesus, believed His words, went back home, influenced the whole city and brought all of them to Him. Many believed on Him including the Samaritan woman, got save and followed His teachings.

Thank God He does not "condemn us" (John 8:10-11) because of His wonderful unfailing mercy and compassion. Praise the LORD, as a result of these attributes of God, He usually admonishes and instructs His children when they are forgiven (for <u>past</u> sins) to "**go, and sin no more**."

Riplinger, exposed Satan "**big times**" and as a result, brought multitudes of Christians back to God's true Word. For this, Satan is "rotten" angry: Riplinger can poke her tongue out at him and break-off running; sprinting for cover behind the protective "**Power!**" of our **great God and wonderful savior, Jesus Christ.** 

For more information, read the continuation of this section later in this book titled, "Who is Gail Riplinger?"

#### How Powerful Is God's Word?

Information below was copied from www.apublicviewing.com.

Because we vigorously examine to try and find out **exactly** what "thus said the Lord," it is vitally important today to be certain that what we hold so dear to our hearts is in fact what God said. Remember, in these last and evil days, Satan's deception will be so incredibly intense as a result, he WILL cause the whole world to follow him (Rev. 12:9).

Satan has successfully infiltrated our modern versions today and therefore, we need to become aware of his strategies to identify truth from errors and lies. As mentioned earlier, Satan's biggest cover-up in doing this is to target the fundamental doctrines of God's Word (the deity of Christ, hell, salvation, spiritual growth, etc.) by corrupting them (all verses that point the lost to the narrow way that leads to finding God and eternal life); he then appears to "enhance" or "improve upon" verses and passages that are inspiring, uplifting, comforting, encouraging, stimulating, arousing, etc. He decorates language in modern versions with just the "right" words that "appear righteous unto men, but within...are full of hypocrisy and iniquity." (Matt. 23:25-28) It would read like the Bible, but very deceptive in contents. How else do you think he will deceive the "WHOLE WORLD?" (Rev. 12:9) BY DECEPTION! Deception is one of the ways he would get the whole world to follow him.

As is with physical food, so it is with spiritual food: there are wholesome foods and there are enormous amounts of "fast" unhealthy junk food. As we feed ourselves on spiritual food, it is very important for us to consume the raw nutrients of the words of God.

Unfortunately, Satan has taken the true nutrients of God's Word and mixed them with excessive amounts of spiritual sugar, fat and salt; thus, creating for us an unhealthy spiritual diet. As a result, we are all not getting a wholesome amount of daily spiritual vitamins, minerals, proteins, carbohydrates, etc., so to speak, from God's Holy Word.

Jesus said in Matthew 4:4: "Man shall not live by <u>bread alone</u>, but by <u>every</u> <u>word</u> that proceedeth out of the mouth of God." There is a deeper meaning here that most of us did not catch. (Deuteronomy 8, Psalms 105:37-41, John 6:28-64) There is a hidden truth here that can only be spiritually discerned if reading (or listening) through the Bible was done frequently. (Modern versions did not want us to know this secret and so they omitted, **"but by every word of God"** in Luke 4:4: the second of two or more witnesses needed to validate this very important point.) Therefore, we all must feed on **EVERY WORD.** 

We get vitamin A from Genesis, vitamin B from Exodus, vitamin C from Leviticus, vitamin D from Numbers, proteins from John, carbohydrates from Matthew, Mark and Luke, etc. etc. etc., and so on, so to speak: sixty-six **complete** spiritual nutrients from the text.

Imagine then if you did not read, let's say the book of Nahum, you would lack an essential piece of spiritual nutrient that helps to sustain a totally healthy spiritual body. If this spiritual nutrient continues to be lacking in your spiritual diet, over time your spiritual body would eventually suffer and break down. You may ask, 'how is this?' Jesus said in John 6:63, "the words that I speak unto you, they are <u>spirit</u>, and they are <u>life</u>." John 1:1 says, "the Word was God."

Therefore, **EVERY WORD** of God is important to maintain and sustain a healthy spiritual body with all the benefits that goes along with it. For example, a spiritually healthy body transforms the mind; it has the gift of discernment; it has power to love, unconditionally; **it is mighty in faith (In Romans 10:17 says, "Faith cometh by hearing and hearing by the word of God;"** <u>Faith can ONLY come this way</u>); has the power to heal and receive healing; it gets prayers answered; it is strong in wisdom, knowledge and understanding; etc. etc.

You may say that many of the books of the Bible (particularly some books of the Old Testament) are very boring and cannot be understood; you therefore skip and avoid them. The solution to this problem is "just read it!" Do not be concerned with how boring it is or not understanding what you read, "JUST READ IT!" Even though you do not understand what it says or its boring, when you, "just read it!" you still get all the spiritual nutrients the book has to offer; thus, consuming every word of God as instructed and commanded by Him. This is very important!

If you bit into and chew on most vitamin tablets, how does it taste? Boringly bitter! For this reason, when we take vitamins, we just simply swallow them. We do not carefully study them by biting to taste their contents. We know they will do our bodies well, so along with water, we just quickly swallow. (This author is not suggesting that you the reader avoid studying the Bible to understand what vou read. What is being suggested here is as you read, if you come across passages that are not understood, bookmark them and just keep reading. If you stop to study every difficult passage, you will never complete your reading goals; thus, not getting all spiritual nutrients the entire Bible has to offer.) When you have completely the "sixty-six all of consumed Biblical/spiritual nutrients," return to your bookmarks and then carefully study them.

As you take vitamins daily to stay physically healthy, you need to also take Biblical vitamins daily, to stay spiritually healthy. As vitamins and minerals work in the physical realm, the same is true and works in the spiritual realm. This is why Jesus said what He did in Matthew 4:4.

A good rule is to read through the Bible in the mornings and return to bookmarks in the evenings; or when the entire Bible has been read, return and carefully study all of your bookmarks.

Create this habit to be continued every year for the rest of your life. For those readers who just starting out reading through the Bible, this may be difficult to do. However, as you go through the Bible each year as this author does, you will find it gets easier and easier to a point where reading the entire Bible can be done in sixty-six days; then plenty time for carefully studying bookmarks.

Remember God's word is a spiritual book (a living book, it is alive); you may not understand something now but in the process of time, the connections and comprehension will come.

#### AGAIN! Remember and don't forget! FAITH ("mountain moving faith") CAN <u>ONLY</u> COME THIS WAY (by hearing or reading <u>ALL</u> of God's word)! Romans 10:17

Spiritual gifts "fully" come to a healthy spiritual body. Maybe, perhaps, this is probably why many times our prayers are not answered; probably because we are spiritually weak: we are spiritually malnourished. Our faith is much smaller than a mustard seed.

We must be careful with the words of God as appose to reading or listening to the words of men. Men try to increase the hearers' faith with the words of men decreasing their faith in the words of God.

The Bible says, "Behold, the days come, saith the Lord God, that I will send a famine in the land, not a famine of bread, nor a thirst for water, but of hearing the words of the LORD" (Amos 8:11). These days are <u>NOW</u>! The Bible also says, "Take heed that no man deceive you" (Matthew 24:4); "He that rejecteth me, and receiveth not my words, hath one that judgeth him: the word that I have spoken, the same shall judge him in the last day" (John 12:48); "the words that I speak unto you, <u>they are</u> <u>spirit</u>, and they are life" (John 6:63).

For your spiritual body to always remain healthy building powerful faith, make up your mind to read the Bible entirely, Genesis to Revelation, at least ONCE every year. Also, this author "strongly!" recommends using the King James Version Bible <u>ONLY</u> when doing this (not the NKJV). This is because the messages (God's Word) somewhat partly remain in (or scattered throughout) most of <u>today's</u> modern versions; <u>but</u>, the Spirit is gone in them.

Do you know that the modern versions subliminally and subconsciously twist and change doctrinal concepts and beliefs by using words whose roots most of the time convey negative meanings? (Examine [2c], pages 97 – 187 for an understanding of this.)

Professional wordsmiths, writers and speakers are very sensitive to them and can identify them most of the times. For example: this author knows a man who practically worships the <u>New</u> King James Version (NKJV) but for some reason, over the years, his doctrinal concepts of the Trinity and the Deity of Christ altered. This author was not able to rationalize how this might have happened until recently. Be careful; guard your mind. The Bible says: "the words...are spirit." If the modern versions are not God's Word, then they all have another spirit and it is not a Holy one.

Like Jesus Christ, who was the Word, who was God (John 1:1) embodied with flesh and was the only physical representation of God (that we could have once touch, feel, handle, hold and speak to) is also with us today embodied with words that is placed on the pages of a physical book that we can touch, feel, handle, hold and read from. You may ask: how is this possible? Only God one knows because with Him, ALL THINGS ARE POSSIBLE. If you the reader can fully grasp and understand what this means, then Matthew 8:10 and verse 13 are for you; also, Matthew 9:22, 28-29, 15:28, 17:20 and 21:21-22. There is a Spirit behind or in the surface text: there is a mysterious power source active behind or in the actual words! When you hear or read the Word, internalize and speak it, because the Word was God, you speak GOD!

Warning! Keep in mind that if Satan can counterfeit most godly things, then he certainly can somehow counterfeit a spirit (an evil spirit) "embodying" or <u>channeling</u> <u>through</u> the actual words of a counterfeit Bible. Thus, **spiritual blindness as** 

### long as these reading materials are being mentally consumed.

We can see this manifested with some of the well-known religious organization groups that are among us. As mentioned earlier which is extremely important (if you did not get it earlier, it will be repeated here): The message may be there in today's modern versions, scattered throughout entire books, but the Spirit is gone from them.

For beginners, read or <u>carefully</u> <u>listen</u> to the book of Matthew through to Revelation; then Genesis through to Revelation.

# How this writer knows the above?

The information was born from reading the King James Version Bible (KJV) through every year with a passion to consume its contents as consuming vitamins; eventually reading it through (Genesis to Revelation) in less then **66 days each year**; consuming the **66 Biblical spiritual nutrients**. (Not to sound as being boastful; just only excited; the day of this writing, this scribbler has read the entire Bible through about 37 to almost 40 times.)

In addition to reading, it was also born from a **spiritual experiment** done by simply putting the King James Version on an **iPod**, attaching it to an amplifier, then broadcasting it throughout the home using ceiling speakers in each room. It was played very softly in the background that did not interfere with active conversations.

When Genesis to Revelation was completed (3-1/2 days), the iPod automatically started all over from Genesis to Revelation again. This went on for years. The only time anyone can barely hear it was when all noises were shut down; then and only then was it barely heard, very soft in the background.

Our brain picks up all noise made even when they are faint. Demon, unclean or evil spirits cannot stomach this procedure; they pick up all noises; loud and clear! This technique destroys their power of intent. (It is better than any alarm systems.) The Word is Spirit and the Word is Life. (John 6:52) There is a Spirit behind the text; beyond the surface text of the Word. (Like it was when the Ark of God was taken by the Philistines who placed it inside the house of Dagon their god and set it up next to it. What then happened? Read 1 Samuel 4:11-22 and 5:1-12 for the answer.) That Spirit is alive and powerful; That Spirit is GOD! (John 1:1) To fight an evil spirit, you must fight with God's Spirit: The Word. You cannot fight a spirit with the physical; you must fight a spirit with a spirit: The Spirit!

The Bible has many secrets; secrets hidden on and beneath the surface text that will only release its knowledge if God allows it when reading; thus, unlocking bits and pieces of "puzzles" that builds an entire picture of everything past, everything present and things future. To release these puzzle piece knowledge, one would have to continue reading or listening to God's Word in its entirety: Genesis to Revelation; over and repeatedly until puzzle pieces began fitting together creating a single picture. This is like taking daily spiritual vitamins.

All scriptures above are taken from the **King James Version Bible** (KJV). If you wish to try the experiment above, use the King James Bible ONLY to do so. If you have never read the Bible from cover to cover (Genesis to Revelation) and wish to do so, use the chart below as you begin your reading and **faith filled** journey. If you wish to start NOW (time being already far into the year), read the notes at the end of the chart.

In conclusion, for all new births (John 3:3-8, II Corinthians 5:17), God's Holy Spirit takes up permanent residence in the born-

again believer's body (John 14:16). Now, even though the uncontrolled and unwelcome "guest of doubt" shows up to destroy and confuse the believer's faith (Mark 9:24), when the Spirit of God's Word (the Bible: Jesus Christ), along with the permanently residing Holy Spirit, come together with the believer's spirit, then asking or welcoming the Holy Father's presence in the mix, (John 15:16, 16:23-24) supernatural things happen!

More will be mentioned on this in future editions of this book.

#### GOD'S VERSE NUMBERING SYSTEM STRATEGY

The Geneva Bible verse numbering system brought about an excellent technique of locating and memorizing scripture. Even though it was an excellent plan, God used it as a security measure which set Bible standard of numbering verses. Today, if verses go missing, they are easily recognized and identified. This strategic system helped the reader to easily identify unrecognizable dropped verses; verses that are intentionally dropped are immediately detected.

It is believed that God inspired the verse numbering system to exposed scrupulous scholars and Bible publishers, who deliberately and intentionally eliminate, omit or drop verses in the text from the underground Christian Bible. If this method was not introduced, the confusion today would have been massive. For example, from both texts below, examine the difference between them in 1 John 5:1-13 and Matthew 17:14-23; then try and spot the dropped verse? (See the answers at the end of this section.)

#### The King James Version (KJV)

The New International Version (NIV)

<sup>1</sup>Whosoever believeth that Jesus is the Christ is born of God: and every one that loveth him that begat loveth him also that is begotten of him. <sup>2</sup>By this we know that we love the children of God, when we love God, and keep his commandments. <sup>3</sup>For this is the love of God, that we keep his commandments: and his commandments are not grievous. <sup>4</sup>For whatsoever is born of God overcometh the world: and this is the victory that overcometh the world, even our faith. <sup>5</sup>Who is he that overcometh the world, but he that believeth that Jesus is the Son of God? <sup>6</sup>This is he that came by water and blood, even Jesus Christ; not by water only, but by water and blood. And it is the Spirit that

beareth witness, because the Spirit is truth. <sup>7</sup>For there are three

that bear record in heaven, the Father, the Word, and the Holy Ghost: and these three are one. <sup>8</sup>And there are three that bear witness in earth, the Spirit, and the water, and the blood: and these three agree in one.

<sup>9</sup>If we receive the

Everyone who believes that Jesus is the Christ is born of God. and everyone who loves the father loves his child as well. This is how we know that we love the children of God: by loving God and carrying out his commands. This is love for God: to obey his commands. And his commands are not burdensome, for everyone born of God overcomes the world. This is the victory that has overcome the world, even our faith. Who is it that overcomes the world? Only he who believes that Jesus is the Son of God. This is the one who came by water and blood--Jesus Christ. He did not come by water only, but by water and blood. And it is the Spirit who testifies, because the Spirit is the truth. For there are three that testify: the Spirit, the water and the blood; and the three are in agreement. We accept man's testimony, but God's testimony is greater because it is the testimony of God, which he has given about his Son. Anyone who believes in the Son of God has this testimony in his heart. Anyone who does not

believe God has made

witness of men, the witness of God is greater: for this is the witness of God which he hath testified of his Son.

<sup>10</sup>He that believeth on the Son of God hath the witness in himself: he that believeth not God hath made him a liar; because he believeth not the record that God gave of his Son.

<sup>11</sup>And this is the record, that God hath given to us eternal life, and this life is in his Son.

<sup>12</sup>He that hath the Son hath life; *and* he that hath not the Son of God hath not life. <sup>13</sup>These things have I written unto you that believe on the name of the Son of God; that ye may know that ye have eternal life, and that ye may believe on the name of the Son of God. (1 John 5:1-13) him out to be a liar. because he has not believed the testimony God has given about his Son. And this is the testimony: God has given us eternal life, and this life is in his Son. He who has the Son has life: he who does not have the Son of God does not have life. I write these things to you who believe in the name of the Son of God so that you may know that you have eternal life. This is the confidence we have in approaching God: that if we ask anything according to his will, he hears us. (1 John 5:1-13)

The King	The New
James Version	International
(KJV)	Version (NIV)
<ul> <li><sup>14</sup>And when they were come to the multitude, there came to him a certain man, kneeling down to him, and saying,</li> <li><sup>15</sup>Lord, have mercy on my son: for he is lunatick, and sore vexed: for ofttimes he falleth into the fire, and oft into the water.</li> <li><sup>16</sup>And I brought him to thy disciples, and they could not cure</li> </ul>	<ul> <li><sup>14</sup>When they came to the crowd, a man approached Jesus and knelt before him.</li> <li><sup>15</sup>"Lord, have mercy on my son," he said. "He has seizures and is suffering greatly. He often falls into the fire or into the water.</li> <li><sup>16</sup>I brought him to your disciples, but they could not heal him."</li> <li><sup>17</sup>"O unbelieving and perverse generation,"</li> </ul>

#### him.

<sup>17</sup>Then Jesus answered and said, O faithless and perverse generation, how long shall I be with you? how long shall I suffer you? bring him hither to me.

<sup>18</sup>And Jesus rebuked the devil; and he departed out of him: and the child was cured from that very hour.

<sup>19</sup>Then came the disciples to Jesus apart, and said, Why could not we cast him out?

<sup>20</sup>And Jesus said unto them. Because of your unbelief: for verily I say unto you, If ye have faith as a grain of mustard seed, ye shall say unto this mountain, Remove hence to vonder place; and it shall remove; and nothing shall be impossible unto you. <sup>21</sup>Howbeit this kind ageth not out but by prayer and fasting. <sup>22</sup>And while they abode in Galilee,

Jesus replied, "how long shall I stay with you? How long shall I put up with you? Bring the boy here to me." <sup>18</sup>Jesus rebuked the demon, and it came out of the boy, and he was healed from that moment

<sup>19</sup>Then the disciples came to Jesus in private and asked, "Why couldn't we drive it out?"

<sup>20</sup>He replied, "Because vou have so little faith. I tell you the truth, if you have faith as small as a mustard seed, you can say to this mountain, 'Move from here to there' and it will move. Nothing will be impossible for you." <sup>22</sup>When they came together in Galilee, he said to them, "The Son of Man is going to be betrayed into the hands of men.

<sup>23</sup>They will kill him, and on the third day he will be raised to life. And the disciples were filled with grief. (Matthew 17:14-23) Jesus said unto them, The Son of man shall be betrayed into the hands of men: <sup>23</sup>And they shall kill him, and the third day he shall be raised again. And they were exceeding sorry. (Matthew 17:14-23)

#### **The Answers**

(1) The answers to the above questions are as follows. The King James Version has in 1 John 5:7-8: "For there are three that bear record in heaven, the Father, the Word, and the Holy Ghost: and these three are one. And there are three that bear witness in earth, the Spirit, and the water, and the blood: and these three agree in one." The New International Versions in verse 7 and 8 has: "For there are three that testify: the Spirit, the water and the blood; and the three are in agreement."

(2) The King James Version in Matthew 17:21 has: "Howbeit this kind goeth not out but by prayer and fasting." The New International Version omits the entire verse. ANNUAL BIBLE READING, SPIRITUAL FOOD EATING System

Place a check mark or an X in the box to the right when the daily reading assignment is completed.

#### JANUARY

1	Genesis 1, 2, 3, 4	
2	Genesis 5, 6, 7, 8	
3	Genesis 9, 10, 11	
4	Genesis 12, 13, 14, 15, 16.	
5	Genesis 17, 18, 19	
6	Genesis 20, 21, 22, 23	
7	Genesis 24, 25	
8	Genesis 26, 27, 28	
9	Genesis 29, 30, 31	
10	Genesis 32, 33, 34, 35	
11	Genesis 36, 37, 38	
12	Genesis 39, 40, 41	
13	Genesis 42, 43, 44	
14	Genesis 45, 46, 47	
15	Genesis 48, 49, 50	
16	Exodus 1, 2, 3, 4	
17	Exodus 5, 6, 7	
18	Exodus 8, 9, 10	
19	Exodus 11, 12, 13	
20	Exodus 14, 15, 16	
21	Exodus 17, 18, 19, 20	
22	Exodus 21, 22, 23, 24	
23	Exodus 25, 26, 27	
24	Exodus 28, 29	
25	Exodus 30, 31, 32, 33	
26	Exodus 34, 35, 36	
27	Exodus 37, 38, 39, 40	

	FEBRUARY	
31	Leviticus 11, 12, 13	
30	Leviticus 8, 9, 10	
29	Leviticus 5, 6, 7	
28	Leviticus 1, 2, 3, 4	

1	Leviticus 14, 15, 16	
2	Leviticus 17, 18, 19, 20	
3	Leviticus 21, 22, 23	
4	Leviticus 24, 25, 26, 27	
5	Numbers 1, 2	
6	Numbers 3, 4	
7	Numbers 5, 6, 7	
8	Numbers 8, 9, 10, 11	
9	Numbers 12, 13, 14, 15	
10	Numbers 16, 17, 18	
11	Numbers 19, 20, 21	
12	Numbers 22, 23, 24	
13	Numbers 25, 26, 27	
14	Numbers 28, 29, 30	
15	Numbers 31, 32, 33	
16	Numbers 34, 35, 36	
17	Deuteronomy 1, 2, 3	
18	Deuteronomy 4, 5, 6	
19	Deuteronomy 7, 8, 9, 10	
20	Deuteronomy 11, 12, 13	
21	Deuteronomy 14, 15-17	
22	Deuteronomy 18, 19-21	
23	Deuteronomy 22, 23-25	
24	Deuteronomy 26, 27, 28	
25	Deuteronomy 29, 30, 31	
26	Deuteronomy 32, 33, 34	
27	Joshua 1, 2, 3, 4	
28	Joshua 5, 6, 7, 8 (9-10)	
29	Joshua 9, 10	

#### MARCH

1	Joshua 11, 12, 13, 14	
2	Joshua 15, 16, 17, 18	Γ

3	Joshua 19, 20, 21	
4	Joshua 22, 23, 24	
5	Judges 1, 2, 3	
6	Judges 4, 5, 6	
7	Judges 7, 8	
8	Judges 9, 10	
9	Judges 11, 12, 13	
10	Judges 14, 15, 16	$\square$
11	Judges 17, 18, 19	
12	Judges 20, 21	
13	Ruth 1, 2, 3, 4	
14	1 Samuel 1, 2, 3, 4	
15	1 Samuel 5, 6, 7, 8, 9	
16	1 Samuel 10, 11, 12	
17	1 Samuel 13, 14	
18	1 Samuel 15, 16, 17	
19	1 Samuel 18, 19, 20	
	1 Samuel 21, 22, 23, 24	
20		
21	1 Samuel 25, 26, 27	
22	1 Samuel 28, 29, 30, 31	
23	2 Samuel 1, 2, 3	
24	2 Samuel 4, 5, 6, 7	
25	2 Samuel 8, 9, 10, 11	
26	2 Samuel 12, 13, 14	
27	2 Samuel 15, 16, 17	
28	2 Samuel 18, 19	
29	2 Samuel 20, 21, 22	
30	2 Samuel 23, 24	
31	1 Kings 1, 2	
	APRII	
1	1 Kings 3, 4, 5, 6	

1 Kings 3, 4, 5, 6	
1 Kings 7, 8	
1 Kings 9, 10, 11	
1 Kings 12, 13, 14	
1 Kings 15, 16, 17	
1 Kings 18, 19, 20	
1 Kings 21, 22	

2 3 4

5

6 7

8 2 Kings 1, 2, 3	14	Esther 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10	19	Psalm 119:1-67
9 2 Kings 4, 5, 6	15	Job 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6	20	Psalm 119:68-176
10 2 Kings 7, 8, 9		Job 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13	21	Psalm 120, 121, 122, 123
11 2 Kings 10, 11,	12 17	Job 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19	22	Psalm 124, 125, 126-134
12 2 Kings 13, 14,	15 18	Job 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25	23	Psalm 135, 136, 137-139
13 2 Kings 16, 17,	18 19	Job 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31	24	Psalm 140, 141, 142-145
14 2 Kings 19, 20,	21 20	Job 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37	25	Psalm 146, 147, 148-150
15 2 Kings 22, 23	21	Job 38, 39, 40, 41, 42	26	Proverbs 1, 2, 3, 4
16 2 Kings 24, 25	22	Psalm 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6-8	27	Proverbs 5, 6, 7
17 1 Chronicles 1,	2, 3 23	Psalm 9, 10, 11, 12-17	28	Proverbs 8, 9, 10
18 1 Chronicles 4,	5, 6, 7 24	Psalm 18, 19, 20	29	Proverbs 11, 12, 13
19 1 Chronicles 8,	9, 10, 11 25	Psalm 21, 22, 23, 24	30	Proverbs 14, 15, 16
20 1 Chronicles 12	2, 13, 14 26	Psalm 25, 26, 27, 28-30		JULY
21 1 Chronicles 15	5, 16, 17 27	Psalm 31, 32, 33, 34	1	Proverbs 17, 18, 19
22 1 Chronicles 18	3, 19, 20 28	Psalm 35, 36, 37	2	Proverbs 20, 21, 22
23 1 Chronicles 21	1, 22, 23 29	Psalm 38, 39, 40		Proverbs 23, 24, 25
24 1 Chronicles 24	4, 25. 26 30	Psalm 41, 42, 43, 44	4	Proverbs 26, 27, 28
25 1 Chronicles 27	7, 28, 29 31	Psalm 45, 46, 47, 48, 49	5	Proverbs 29, 30, 31
26 2 Chronicles 1,	2, 3, 4	JUNE	6	Ecclesiastes 1, 2, 3
27 2 Chronicles 5,	6. 7	CONE	0	
		Psalm 50 51 52	7	Ecclesiastes 4 5 6
28 2 Chronicles 8,	9, 10, 11	Psalm 50, 51, 52	7	Ecclesiastes 4, 5, 6
	9, 10, 11 2, 13-16	Psalm 53, 54, 55, 56-58 [	8	Ecclesiastes 7, 8, 9
28 2 Chronicles 8,	9, 10, 11 2, 13-16 7, 18, 19-20	Psalm 53, 54, 55, 56-58 [ Psalm 59, 60, 61, 62	8	Ecclesiastes 7, 8, 9           Ecclesiastes 10, 11, 12
<ul> <li>28 2 Chronicles 8,</li> <li>29 2 Chronicles 12</li> <li>30 2 Chronicles 17</li> </ul>	9, 10, 11 2, 13-16 7, 18, 19-20 4	Psalm 53, 54, 55, 56-58 Psalm 59, 60, 61, 62 Psalm 63, 64, 65, 66, 67 [	8 9 10	Ecclesiastes 7, 8, 9      Ecclesiastes 10, 11, 12      Song of Solomon 1, 2, 3-4
<ul> <li>28 2 Chronicles 8,</li> <li>29 2 Chronicles 12</li> <li>30 2 Chronicles 17</li> </ul>	9, 10, 11 1 2, 13-16 2 7, 18, 19-20 4 4 5	Psalm 53, 54, 55, 56-58       [         Psalm 59, 60, 61, 62       [         Psalm 63, 64, 65, 66, 67       [         Psalm 68, 69       [	8 9 10 11	Ecclesiastes 7, 8, 9         Ecclesiastes 10, 11, 12         Song of Solomon 1, 2, 3-4         Song of Solomon 5, 6, 7-8
<ul> <li>28 2 Chronicles 8,</li> <li>29 2 Chronicles 12</li> <li>30 2 Chronicles 17</li> <li>MAY</li> <li>1 2 Chronicles 21</li> </ul>	9, 10, 11       1         2, 13-16       2         7, 18, 19-20       3         4       5         1, 22, 23-24       6	Psalm 53, 54, 55, 56-58       [         Psalm 59, 60, 61, 62       [         Psalm 63, 64, 65, 66, 67       [         Psalm 68, 69       [         Psalm 70, 71, 72, 73       [	8 9 10 11 12	Ecclesiastes 7, 8, 9         Ecclesiastes 10, 11, 12         Song of Solomon 1, 2, 3-4         Song of Solomon 5, 6, 7-8         Isaiah 1, 2, 3
<ul> <li>28 2 Chronicles 8,</li> <li>29 2 Chronicles 12</li> <li>30 2 Chronicles 17</li> <li>MAY</li> <li>1 2 Chronicles 21</li> <li>2 2 Chronicles 25</li> </ul>	9, 10, 11       1         2, 13-16       2         7, 18, 19-20       3         4       4         5       5         1, 22, 23-24       6         5, 26, 27-28       7	Psalm 53, 54, 55, 56-58       [         Psalm 59, 60, 61, 62       [         Psalm 63, 64, 65, 66, 67       [         Psalm 68, 69       [         Psalm 70, 71, 72, 73       [         Psalm 74, 75, 76, 77       [	8 9 10 11 11 12 13	Ecclesiastes 7, 8, 9         Ecclesiastes 10, 11, 12         Song of Solomon 1, 2, 3-4         Song of Solomon 5, 6, 7-8         Isaiah 1, 2, 3         Isaiah 4, 5, 6
<ul> <li>28 2 Chronicles 8,</li> <li>29 2 Chronicles 12</li> <li>30 2 Chronicles 17</li> <li>MAY</li> <li>1 2 Chronicles 21</li> <li>2 2 Chronicles 25</li> <li>3 2 Chronicles 29</li> </ul>	9, 10, 11       1         2, 13-16       2         7, 18, 19-20       4         4       5         1, 22, 23-24       6         5, 26, 27-28       7         9, 30, 31       8	Psalm 53, 54, 55, 56-58       [         Psalm 59, 60, 61, 62       [         Psalm 63, 64, 65, 66, 67       [         Psalm 68, 69       [         Psalm 70, 71, 72, 73       [         Psalm 74, 75, 76, 77       [         Psalm 78, 79       [	8       9       10       11       12       13       14	Ecclesiastes 7, 8, 9         Ecclesiastes 10, 11, 12         Song of Solomon 1, 2, 3-4         Song of Solomon 5, 6, 7-8         Isaiah 1, 2, 3         Isaiah 4, 5, 6         Isaiah 7, 8, 9
28       2 Chronicles 8,         29       2 Chronicles 12         30       2 Chronicles 17         MAY         1       2 Chronicles 21         2       2 Chronicles 25         3       2 Chronicles 25         3       2 Chronicles 25         4       2 Chronicles 32	9, 10, 11       1         2, 13-16       2         3       3         7, 18, 19-20       4         4       5         1, 22, 23-24       6         5, 26, 27-28       7         9, 30, 31       8         2, 33, 34       9	Psalm 53, 54, 55, 56-58       [         Psalm 59, 60, 61, 62       [         Psalm 63, 64, 65, 66, 67       [         Psalm 68, 69       [         Psalm 70, 71, 72, 73       [         Psalm 74, 75, 76, 77       [         Psalm 78, 79       [         Psalm 80, 81, 82, 83, 84       [	8         9         10         11         12         13         14         15	Ecclesiastes 7, 8, 9         Ecclesiastes 10, 11, 12         Song of Solomon 1, 2, 3-4         Song of Solomon 5, 6, 7-8         Isaiah 1, 2, 3         Isaiah 4, 5, 6         Isaiah 7, 8, 9         Isaiah 10, 11, 12
28       2 Chronicles 8,         29       2 Chronicles 12         30       2 Chronicles 17         MAY         1       2 Chronicles 21         2       2 Chronicles 21         3       2 Chronicles 25         3       2 Chronicles 25         4       2 Chronicles 32         5       2 Chronicles 35	9, 10, 11       1         2, 13-16       3         7, 18, 19-20       4         4       5         1, 22, 23-24       6         5, 26, 27-28       7         9, 30, 31       8         2, 33, 34       9         5, 36       10	Psalm 53, 54, 55, 56-58       [         Psalm 59, 60, 61, 62       [         Psalm 63, 64, 65, 66, 67       [         Psalm 68, 69       [         Psalm 70, 71, 72, 73       [         Psalm 74, 75, 76, 77       [         Psalm 78, 79       [         Psalm 80, 81, 82, 83, 84       [         Psalm 85, 86, 87, 88       [	8         9         10         11         12         13         14         15         16	Ecclesiastes 7, 8, 9         Ecclesiastes 10, 11, 12         Song of Solomon 1, 2, 3-4         Song of Solomon 5, 6, 7-8         Isaiah 1, 2, 3         Isaiah 4, 5, 6         Isaiah 7, 8, 9         Isaiah 10, 11, 12         Isaiah 13, 14, 15
<ul> <li>28 2 Chronicles 8,</li> <li>29 2 Chronicles 12</li> <li>30 2 Chronicles 17</li> <li>MAY</li> <li>1 2 Chronicles 21</li> <li>2 2 Chronicles 25</li> <li>3 2 Chronicles 29</li> <li>4 2 Chronicles 32</li> <li>5 2 Chronicles 35</li> <li>6 Ezra 1, 2, 3, 4</li> </ul>	9, 10, 11       1         2, 13-16       3         7, 18, 19-20       4         4       5         1, 22, 23-24       6         5, 26, 27-28       7         9, 30, 31       8         2, 33, 34       9         5, 36       10          11	Psalm 53, 54, 55, 56-58       [         Psalm 59, 60, 61, 62       [         Psalm 63, 64, 65, 66, 67       [         Psalm 68, 69       [         Psalm 70, 71, 72, 73       [         Psalm 74, 75, 76, 77       [         Psalm 78, 79       [         Psalm 80, 81, 82, 83, 84       [         Psalm 85, 86, 87, 88       [         Psalm 89, 90, 91       [	8         9         10         11         12         13         14         15         16         17	Ecclesiastes 7, 8, 9         Ecclesiastes 10, 11, 12         Song of Solomon 1, 2, 3-4         Song of Solomon 5, 6, 7-8         Isaiah 1, 2, 3         Isaiah 4, 5, 6         Isaiah 7, 8, 9         Isaiah 10, 11, 12         Isaiah 13, 14, 15         Isaiah 16, 17, 18
28       2 Chronicles 8,         29       2 Chronicles 12         30       2 Chronicles 17         MAY         1       2 Chronicles 21         2       2 Chronicles 21         2       2 Chronicles 25         3       2 Chronicles 25         3       2 Chronicles 32         4       2 Chronicles 32         5       2 Chronicles 35         6       Ezra 1, 2, 3, 4         7       Ezra 5, 6, 7	9, 10, 11       1         2, 13-16       3         7, 18, 19-20       4         4       5         1, 22, 23-24       6         5, 26, 27-28       7         9, 30, 31       8         2, 33, 34       9         5, 36       10          11          12	Psalm 53, 54, 55, 56-58         Psalm 59, 60, 61, 62         Psalm 63, 64, 65, 66, 67         Psalm 68, 69         Psalm 70, 71, 72, 73         Psalm 74, 75, 76, 77         Psalm 80, 81, 82, 83, 84         Psalm 85, 86, 87, 88         Psalm 89, 90, 91         Psalm 92, 93, 94, 95	8         9         10         11         12         13         14         15         16         17         18	Ecclesiastes 7, 8, 9         Ecclesiastes 10, 11, 12         Song of Solomon 1, 2, 3-4         Song of Solomon 5, 6, 7-8         Isaiah 1, 2, 3         Isaiah 4, 5, 6         Isaiah 7, 8, 9         Isaiah 10, 11, 12         Isaiah 13, 14, 15         Isaiah 16, 17, 18
28       2 Chronicles 8,         29       2 Chronicles 12         30       2 Chronicles 17         MAY         1       2 Chronicles 21         2       2 Chronicles 21         2       2 Chronicles 25         3       2 Chronicles 25         3       2 Chronicles 25         3       2 Chronicles 32         4       2 Chronicles 35         6       Ezra 1, 2, 3, 4         7       Ezra 5, 6, 7         8       Ezra 8, 9, 10	9, 10, 11       1         2, 13-16       3         7, 18, 19-20       4         5       4         6       5         1, 22, 23-24       6         5, 26, 27-28       7         9, 30, 31       8         2, 33, 34       9         5, 36       10          11          12          13	Psalm 53, 54, 55, 56-58       [         Psalm 59, 60, 61, 62       [         Psalm 63, 64, 65, 66, 67       [         Psalm 68, 69       [         Psalm 70, 71, 72, 73       [         Psalm 74, 75, 76, 77       [         Psalm 78, 79       [         Psalm 80, 81, 82, 83, 84       [         Psalm 85, 86, 87, 88       [         Psalm 89, 90, 91       [         Psalm 92, 93, 94, 95       [         Psalm 96, 97, 98, 99-102       [	8         9         10         11         12         13         14         15         16         17         18         19	Ecclesiastes 7, 8, 9         Ecclesiastes 10, 11, 12         Song of Solomon 1, 2, 3-4         Song of Solomon 5, 6, 7-8         Isaiah 1, 2, 3         Isaiah 1, 2, 3         Isaiah 4, 5, 6         Isaiah 7, 8, 9         Isaiah 10, 11, 12         Isaiah 13, 14, 15         Isaiah 16, 17, 18         Isaiah 19, 20, 21
28       2 Chronicles 8,         29       2 Chronicles 12         30       2 Chronicles 17         MAY         1       2 Chronicles 21         2       2 Chronicles 25         3       2 Chronicles 25         3       2 Chronicles 25         4       2 Chronicles 32         5       2 Chronicles 35         6       Ezra 1, 2, 3, 4         7       Ezra 5, 6, 7         8       Ezra 8, 9, 10         9       Nehemiah 1, 2,	9, 10, 11       1         2, 13-16       3         7, 18, 19-20       4         5       4         5       6         5, 26, 27-28       7         9, 30, 31       8         2, 33, 34       9         5, 36       10          11          12          13         3, 4       14	Psalm 53, 54, 55, 56-58       [         Psalm 59, 60, 61, 62       [         Psalm 63, 64, 65, 66, 67       [         Psalm 68, 69       [         Psalm 70, 71, 72, 73       [         Psalm 74, 75, 76, 77       [         Psalm 80, 81, 82, 83, 84       [         Psalm 85, 86, 87, 88       [         Psalm 89, 90, 91       [         Psalm 92, 93, 94, 95       [         Psalm 96, 97, 98, 99-102       [         Psalm 103, 104, 105       [	8         9         10         11         12         13         14         15         16         17         18         19         20	Ecclesiastes 7, 8, 9         Ecclesiastes 10, 11, 12         Song of Solomon 1, 2, 3-4         Song of Solomon 5, 6, 7-8         Isaiah 1, 2, 3         Isaiah 1, 2, 3         Isaiah 4, 5, 6         Isaiah 7, 8, 9         Isaiah 10, 11, 12         Isaiah 13, 14, 15         Isaiah 16, 17, 18         Isaiah 22, 23, 24         Isaiah 25, 26, 27
<ul> <li>28 2 Chronicles 8,</li> <li>29 2 Chronicles 12</li> <li>30 2 Chronicles 17</li> <li>MAY</li> <li>1 2 Chronicles 21</li> <li>2 2 Chronicles 25</li> <li>3 2 Chronicles 25</li> <li>3 2 Chronicles 35</li> <li>4 2 Chronicles 35</li> <li>5 2 Chronicles 35</li> <li>6 Ezra 1, 2, 3, 4</li> <li>7 Ezra 5, 6, 7</li> <li>8 Ezra 8, 9, 10</li> <li>9 Nehemiah 1, 2,</li> <li>10 Nehemiah 5, 6,</li> </ul>	9, 10, 11       1         2, 13-16       3         7, 18, 19-20       4         5       4         5       6         5, 26, 27-28       7         9, 30, 31       8         2, 33, 34       9         5, 36       10          11          12          13         3, 4       14	Psalm 53, 54, 55, 56-58       [         Psalm 59, 60, 61, 62       [         Psalm 63, 64, 65, 66, 67       [         Psalm 68, 69       [         Psalm 70, 71, 72, 73       [         Psalm 70, 71, 72, 73       [         Psalm 74, 75, 76, 77       [         Psalm 78, 79       [         Psalm 80, 81, 82, 83, 84       [         Psalm 85, 86, 87, 88       [         Psalm 89, 90, 91       [         Psalm 92, 93, 94, 95       [         Psalm 96, 97, 98, 99-102       [         Psalm 103, 104, 105       [         Psalm 106, 107       [	8         9         10         11         12         13         14         15         16         17         18         19         20         21	Ecclesiastes 7, 8, 9         Ecclesiastes 10, 11, 12         Song of Solomon 1, 2, 3-4         Song of Solomon 5, 6, 7-8         Isaiah 1, 2, 3         Isaiah 1, 2, 3         Isaiah 4, 5, 6         Isaiah 7, 8, 9         Isaiah 10, 11, 12         Isaiah 13, 14, 15         Isaiah 16, 17, 18         Isaiah 22, 23, 24         Isaiah 25, 26, 27         Isaiah 28, 29, 30
<ul> <li>28 2 Chronicles 8,</li> <li>29 2 Chronicles 12</li> <li>30 2 Chronicles 17</li> <li>MAY</li> <li>1 2 Chronicles 21</li> <li>2 2 Chronicles 25</li> <li>3 2 Chronicles 29</li> <li>4 2 Chronicles 32</li> <li>5 2 Chronicles 35</li> <li>6 Ezra 1, 2, 3, 4</li> <li>7 Ezra 5, 6, 7</li> <li>8 Ezra 8, 9, 10</li> <li>9 Nehemiah 1, 2,</li> <li>10 Nehemiah 5, 6,</li> <li>11 Nehemiah 8, 9,</li> </ul>	9, 10, 11       1         2, 13-16       3         7, 18, 19-20       4         5       4         5       5         1, 22, 23-24       6         5, 26, 27-28       7         9, 30, 31       8         2, 33, 34       9         5, 36       10          11          12          13         3, 4       15         10       16	Psalm 53, 54, 55, 56-58       [         Psalm 59, 60, 61, 62       [         Psalm 63, 64, 65, 66, 67       [         Psalm 68, 69       [         Psalm 70, 71, 72, 73       [         Psalm 74, 75, 76, 77       [         Psalm 78, 79       [         Psalm 80, 81, 82, 83, 84       [         Psalm 89, 90, 91       [         Psalm 89, 90, 91       [         Psalm 96, 97, 98, 99-102       [         Psalm 103, 104, 105       [         Psalm 106, 107       [         Psalm 108, 109, 110       [	8         9         10         11         12         13         14         15         16         17         18         19         20         21         22	Ecclesiastes 7, 8, 9         Ecclesiastes 10, 11, 12         Song of Solomon 1, 2, 3-4         Song of Solomon 5, 6, 7-8         Isaiah 1, 2, 3         Isaiah 1, 2, 3         Isaiah 4, 5, 6         Isaiah 7, 8, 9         Isaiah 10, 11, 12         Isaiah 13, 14, 15         Isaiah 16, 17, 18         Isaiah 22, 23, 24         Isaiah 25, 26, 27         Isaiah 31, 32, 33
<ul> <li>28 2 Chronicles 8,</li> <li>29 2 Chronicles 12</li> <li>30 2 Chronicles 17</li> <li>MAY</li> <li>1 2 Chronicles 21</li> <li>2 2 Chronicles 25</li> <li>3 2 Chronicles 25</li> <li>3 2 Chronicles 35</li> <li>4 2 Chronicles 35</li> <li>5 2 Chronicles 35</li> <li>6 Ezra 1, 2, 3, 4</li> <li>7 Ezra 5, 6, 7</li> <li>8 Ezra 8, 9, 10</li> <li>9 Nehemiah 1, 2,</li> <li>10 Nehemiah 5, 6,</li> </ul>	9, 10, 11       1         2, 13-16       3         7, 18, 19-20       4         5       4         5       5         1, 22, 23-24       6         5, 26, 27-28       7         9, 30, 31       8         2, 33, 34       9         5, 36       10          11          11          13         3, 4       14         7       15         10       16         2, 13       17	Psalm 53, 54, 55, 56-58         Psalm 59, 60, 61, 62         Psalm 63, 64, 65, 66, 67         Psalm 68, 69         Psalm 70, 71, 72, 73         Psalm 74, 75, 76, 77         Psalm 78, 79         Psalm 80, 81, 82, 83, 84         Psalm 89, 90, 91         Psalm 96, 97, 98, 99-102         Psalm 103, 104, 105         Psalm 108, 109, 110	8         9         10         11         12         13         14         15         16         17         18         19         20         21	Ecclesiastes 7, 8, 9         Ecclesiastes 10, 11, 12         Song of Solomon 1, 2, 3-4         Song of Solomon 5, 6, 7-8         Isaiah 1, 2, 3         Isaiah 1, 2, 3         Isaiah 4, 5, 6         Isaiah 7, 8, 9         Isaiah 10, 11, 12         Isaiah 13, 14, 15         Isaiah 16, 17, 18         Isaiah 22, 23, 24         Isaiah 25, 26, 27         Isaiah 28, 29, 30

25	Isaiah 40, 41, 42	
26	Isaiah 43, 44, 45	
27	Isaiah 46, 47, 48	
28	Isaiah 49, 50, 51	
29	Isaiah 52, 53, 54	
30	Isaiah 55, 56, 57	
31	Isaiah 58, 59, 60	
	AUGUST	
1	Isaiah 61, 62, 63	
2	Isaiah 64, 65, 66	
3	Jeremiah 1, 2, 3	
4	Jeremiah 4, 5, 6	
5	Jeremiah 7, 8, 9	
6	Jeremiah 10, 11, 12,	
7	Jeremiah 13, 14, 15	
8	Jeremiah 16, 17, 18,	
9	Jeremiah 19, 20, 21	
J 10	Jeremiah 22, 23, 24	
11	Jeremiah 25, 26, 27	
12	Jeremiah 28, 29, 30	
13	Jeremiah 31, 32, 33	
14	Jeremiah 34, 35, 36	
15	Jeremiah 37, 38, 39	
16	Jeremiah 40, 41, 42	
17	Jeremiah 43, 44, 45	
18	Jeremiah 46, 47, 48	
19	Jeremiah 49, 50	
20	Jeremiah 51, 52	
21	Lamentations 1, 2, 3	
22	Lamentations 4, 5	
23	Ezekiel 1, 2, 3, 4	
24	Ezekiel 5, 6, 7	
25	Ezekiel 8, 9, 10, 11	
26	Ezekiel 12, 13, 14	
27	Ezekiel 15, 16	
28	Ezekiel 17, 18	
29	Ezekiel 19, 20, 21	


30	Ezekiel 22, 23, 24	
31	Ezekiel 25, 26, 27	
	SEPTEMBER	
1	Ezekiel 28, 29, 30	
2	Ezekiel 31, 32, 33	
3	Ezekiel 34, 35, 36	
4	Ezekiel 37, 38, 39	$\square$
5	Ezekiel 40, 41, 42	$\square$
6	Ezekiel 43, 44, 45	$\square$
7	Ezekiel 46, 47, 48	$\square$
8	Daniel 1, 2	$\square$
9	Daniel 3, 4	$\square$
10	Daniel 5, 6	$\square$
11	Daniel 7, 8	$\square$
12	Daniel 9, 10	
13	Daniel 11, 12	
14	Hosea 1, 2, 3, 4, 5	
15	Hosea 6, 7, 8, 9, 10	
16	Hosea 11, 12, 13, 14	
17	Joel 1, 2, 3	
18	Amos 1, 2, 3, 4, 5	
19	Amos 6, 7, 8, 9	
20	Obadiah 1	
21	Jonah 1, 2, 3, 4	
22	Micah 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7	
23	Nahum 1, 2, 3	
24	Habakkuk 1, 2, 3	
25	Zephaniah 1, 2, 3	
26	Haggai 1, 2	
27	Zechariah 1, 2, 3, 4, 5	
28	Zechariah 6, 7, 8, 9	
29	Zechariah 10, 11, 12-14	
30	Malachi 1, 2, 3, 4	
	OCTOBER	


1	Matthew 1, 2, 3	
2	Matthew 4, 5	
3	Matthew 6, 7, 8	
	-	

4	Matthew 9, 10	
5	Matthew 11, 12,	
6	Matthew 13, 14	
7	Matthew 15, 16, 17	
8	Matthew 18, 19, 20	
9	Matthew 21, 22	
10	Matthew 23, 24	
11	Matthew 25, 26	
12	Matthew 27, 28	
13	Mark 1, 2	
14	Mark 3, 4	
15	Mark 5, 6	
16	Mark 7, 8	
17	Mark 9, 10	
18	Mark 11, 12	
19	Mark 13, 14	
20	Mark 15, 16	
21	Luke 1, 2	
22	Luke 3, 4	
23	Luke 5, 6	
24	Luke 7, 8	
25	Luke 9, 10	
26	Luke 11, 12	
27	Luke 13, 14	
28	Luke 15, 16	
29	Luke 17, 18, 19	
30	Luke 20, 21, 22	$\square$
31	Luke 23, 24	

If ye abide in me, and my <u>words</u> abide in you, ye shall ask what ye will, and it shall be done unto you. (John 15:7)

	NOVEMBER	
1	John 1, 2, 3	
2	John 4, 5	
3	John 6, 7	
4	John 8, 9, 10	
5	John 11, 12	
6	John 13, 14, 15, 16	





Which Bible is God's Word? Is it the AMP, ASV, CEV, GNB, GWT, KJ21, KJV, KJV-ER, LB, Msg, NAB, NASB, NIV, NJB, NKJV, NLT, NRSV, NWT, RSV, TEV, TNIV, etc., or all listed in the background on the front cover?

# Some of the Bible Blue Prints Modern Versions Are Building Themselves Upon

There are many very serious changes that can be documented and discussed, but are too numerous to elaborate on and would create pages and pages of notes. However, a brief summary of some of the major changes will be mentioned and illustrated below. Satan's biggest cover-up using modern versions was/is targeting the fundamental doctrines of God's Word (the deity of Christ, hell, salvation, spiritual growth, etc.) by corrupting them (all verses that points the lost to the narrow way that leads to finding God and eternal life); he then appears to "enhance" or "improve upon" verses and passages that are inspiring, uplifting, comforting, encouraging, stimulating, arousing, etc. He decorates language in modern versions with just the "right" words that "appear righteous unto men, but within...are full of hypocrisy and iniquity." (Matt. 23:25-28) It would read like the Bible, but very deceptive in contents. How else do you think he will deceive the "WHOLE WORLD?" (Rev. 12:9) BY DECEPTION!

#### - The King James Version compared with modern Bible versions -

Below are some of the most popular modern version titles:

21<sup>st</sup> Century King James Version (KJ21) American Standard Version (ASV) Amplified Version (AMP) Contemporary English Version (CEV) God's Word Translation (GWT) Good News for Modern Man (GNB) King James Version Easy Reading (KJV-ER) Living Bible (LB) New American Bible (NAB) New American Standard Bible (NASB) New Century Version (NCV) New International Version (NIV)

New International Version Inclusive (NIVI) New Jerusalem Bible (NJB) New King James Version (NKJV) New Living Translation (NLT) New Revised Standard Version (NRSV) New Testament in Modern English (Ph) **New World Translation (NWT) Revised Standard Version (RSV) Revised Version (RV)** The Message (Msg) Today's English Version (TEV) Today's New International Version (TNIV)

#### Bible Versions as of June 31, 2011; there are many others added to this list since then.

Children's King James Version, King James II Version of the Bible, King James Version-Twentieth Century Edition, New King James Version, 21st Century King James Version, Modern King James Version, American King James Version, King James 2000 Version, Updated King James Version, King James Version Easy Reading, Holy Scriptures in English, Comfort-able King James Version, New Cambridge Paragraph Bible, AV7 (New Authorized Version), Authorized Version Update, (British) Revised Version, American Standard Version, Revised Standard Version, New American Standard Bible, New Revised Standard Version, English Standard Version, World English Bible In progress, New International Version, New International Version Inclusive Language Edition, Today's New International Version, The Living Bible, Good News Bible, Contemporary English Version, God's Word, New Living Translation, The Message, Restored New Challoner's revision of the Douav-Rheims Bible. Quaker Bible, Thomson's Testament. Translation, Joseph Smith Translation of the Bible, Webster's Revision, Young's Literal Translation, Julia E. Smith Parker Translation, Darby Bible, New English Translation, The Free Bible, The Work of God's Children Illustrated Bible, Conservative Bible Project, Jewish Publication Society of America Version, Judaica Press, Koren Jerusalem Bible, The Living Torah, The Living Nach, New Jewish Publication Society of America Version, Complete Jewish Bible, God's New Covenant: A New Testament Translation, Orthodox Jewish Bible, New English Bible, Revised English Bible, Modern American Standard Version, Catholic Public Domain Version, David Robert Palmer Translation, Douay-Rheims Bible Challoner Revision, Westminster Version of the Sacred Scriptures, Spencer New Testament, Confraternity Bible, Knox's Translation of the Vulgate, Kleist-Lilly New Testament, Jerusalem Bible, Revised Standard Version Catholic Edition, New American Bible, The Living Bible - Catholic Edition, New Jerusalem Bible, Christian Community Bible, New Revised Standard Version Catholic Edition, Rotherham's Emphasized Bible, Restoration of Original Sacred Name Bible, Holy Name Bible, King James Version, Sacred Scriptures Bethel Edition, Sacred Name King James Bible, Sacred Scriptures, Family of Yah Edition, The Word of Yahweh, The Scriptures '98 Version, Hebraic-Roots Version, The Besorah (a plagiarized copy of The Scriptures 1998). Charles Thomson's The Holy Bible, Containing The Old And New Covenant, Commonly Called The Old And New Testament: Translated From The Greek, Brenton's English Translation of the Septuagint, Apostolic Bible Polyglot, Orthodox Study Bible, New English Translation of the Septuagint, Eastern / Greek Orthodox Bible, Bible in Basic English, Bible in Worldwide English [New Testament only], New Life Version, Simple English Bible, Easy-to-Read Version, New Century Version, New International Reader's Version, EasyEnglish Bible, New World Translation, The Bible in Living English, Black Bible Chronicles, The Aussie Bible, The Holy Bible In Modern English, A New Translation, Lamsa Bible, An American Translation, Berkeley Version, Amplified Bible, Concordant Literal Version, The Modern Language Bible, The Story Bible, Green's Literal Translation, The Clear Word, Anointed Standard Version, Third Millennium Bible, Recovery Version, The Apostles' Bible, Holman Christian Standard Bible, The Complete Apostles' Bible, A Conservative Version, The New Jubilees Version (The Beloved and I: The Sacred Scriptures in English Verse), Ancient Roots Translinear Bible, The Literary Bible, Common English Bible, The Manga Bible, Transparent English Bible, International Standard Version, English Jubilee 2000 Bible, The Twentieth Century New Testament, Weymouth New Testament (New Testament in Modern Speech), Centenary New Testament, The Authentic New Testament, Phillips New Testament in Modern English and Four Prophets, The Simplified New Testament, Wuest Expanded Translation, The New Testament: a New Translation, TransLine, The Original New Testament, McCord's New Testament Translation of the Everlasting Gospel, A Fresh Parenthetical Version of the New Testament, The Unvarnished New Testament, The New Testament, The Common Edition New Testament, The Comprehensive New Testament, Analytical-Literal Translation, A New Accurate Translation of the Greek New Testament, The Voice, Jewish New Testament, The Source New Testament With Extensive Notes on Greek Word Meaning, The Last Days New Testament, The Holy Scriptures, The Evidence Bible, The Hebrew Bible in English, Original Bible Project, The Cotton Patch Version, The Dead Sea Scrolls Bible, etc. etc. etc.

# Satan added the word "not" into God's instructions.

### God Said in Genesis 2:17

Satan said in Genesis 3:4

"...thou shalt surely die." (KJV)

"...ye shall <u>not</u> surely die:" (KJV)

# God's Word the Bible is also our LIVING Spirit guide

## 1 John 6:63

It is the spirit that quickeneth; the flesh profiteth nothing: the words that I speak unto you, <u>they are spirit</u>, and they are life" (KJV)

## 2 II Corinthians 11:3-4

"But I fear, lest by any means, as the serpent beguiled Eve through his subtlety, so your minds should be corrupted from the simplicity that is in Christ. For if he that cometh preacheth another Jesus, whom we have not preached, or <u>if ye receive</u> <u>another spirit, which ye have not</u> <u>received</u>, or another gospel, which ye have not accepted, ye might well bear with him." (KJV)

## 3 1 Timothy 4:1-2

"Now the Spirit speaketh expressly, that in the latter times some shall depart from the faith, <u>giving heed to</u> <u>seducing spirits, and doctrines of</u> <u>devils;</u> Speaking lies in hypocrisy; having their conscience seared with a hot iron;" (KJV)

## 4 1 John 4:1-3

"Beloved, believe not every spirit, but <u>try the spirits</u> whether they are of God: because many false prophets are gone out into the world. Hereby know ye the Spirit of God: Every spirit that confesseth that <u>Jesus Christ is</u> <u>come in the flesh</u> is of God: And every spirit that confesseth not that <u>Jesus Christ is come in the flesh</u> is not of God: and this is that spirit of antichrist, whereof ye have heard that it should come; and even now already is it in the world." (KJV)

# Try the spirits

1 John 4:1 says, "try the spirits," and John 6:63 says, "the Words...are spirit." Is it possible for man to experiment with (or try) witchcraft and get

by? ABSOLUTELY NOT! "Try the spirits" meaning to try the Word or the doctrine to see if it lines up. The modern versions removed the "TESTING"

key that fits the lock to the door that opens up to the true Spirit of God. This "TESTING" key is the expression: "Christ is come in the flesh."

#### The King James Version

Modern Bible Versions

"And every spirit that <u>confesseth</u> not that Jesus <u>Christ is come in the flesh</u> is not of God: and this is that spirit of antichrist," – 1 John 4:3 (KJV) "but every spirit that does not acknowledge Jesus is not from God. This is the spirit of the antichrist," – 1 John 4:3 (NIV)

All sections below are also listed in the previous Chapter called: So, What's Wrong With The Modern Versions?

# "LUCIFER" IS GONE AT ISAIAH 14:12 AND REPLACED WITH "MORNING STAR."

This name has been removed from most Bible dictionaries and other reference work. However, if the name does appear in some, the information declares that Lucifer is not Satan. (Read, "Dangerous Insults To God," earlier in this book for deliberations on why it was removed.) The Hebrew word for "star" is nowhere in the original Hebrew text in this verse. Therefore, it is very deceitful to be translated as "morning star." Who is the "morning star" in the KJV at Rev. 22:16?

"How art thou fallen from heaven, O <u>Lucifer</u>, son of the morning...Yet thou shalt be brought down to hell" Isaiah 14:12 (KJV) "How you have fallen from heaven O <u>morning star</u>, son of the dawn...but you are brought down to the grave." Isaiah 14:12 (NIV)

Scholars claim that Isaiah 14:12 points to the king of Babylon (see Is. 14:1-15) but when these verses are examined carefully, we discover that the LORD is directing His judgment to the person behind the earthlyhuman king as He did to Peter in Matthew 16:22-23. Notice what Jesus said. looking at Peter: "get thee behind me Satan." Also. notice that Jesus used the exact same statement when Satan took Him up to a high mountain and showed Him all the kingdoms of the world (Luke 4:5-8). Jesus said,

#### "Get thee behind me,

**Satan**." What is obviously clear in both verses is that Jesus was not talking to Peter, but to the spirit person behind Peter. Also, the person in Luke 4:5-8 is obviously the same person in Matthew 16:22-23.

Matthew 16:22-23 said: "Then Peter took him, and began to rebuke him, saying, Be it far from thee, Lord: this shall not be unto thee. But he turned, and said unto Peter, Get thee behind me, Satan: thou art an offence unto me: for thou savourest not the things that be of God, but those that be of men." (Also see Mark 8:33)

We will now examine another example.

(Ezekiel 28:1-2) The word of the LORD came again unto me, saying, Son of man, say unto the prince of Tyrus, Thus saith the Lord God; Because thine heart is lifted up, and thou hast said, I am a God, I sit in the seat of God, in the midst of the seas; yet thou art a man, and not God, though thou set thine heart as the heart of God.

(Ezekiel 28:11-17) Moreover the word of the LORD came unto me, saying, Son of man, take up a lamentation upon the king of Tyrus, and say unto him, Thus saith the Lord GOD; Thou sealest up the sum, full of wisdom, and perfect in beauty. Thou hast been in Eden the garden of God; every precious stone was thv covering, the sardius, topaz, and the diamond, the beryl, the onyx, and the jasper, the sapphire, the emerald, and the carbuncle, and gold: the workmanship of thy tabrets and of thy pipes was prepared in thee in the day that thou wast created. the Thou art anointed cherub that covereth; and I have set thee so: thou wast upon the holy mountain of God: thou hast walked up and down in the midst of the stones of fire. Thou wast perfect in thy ways from the day that thou wast created, till iniquity was found in thee. By the multitude of thy merchandise they have filled the midst of thee with violence, and thou hast sinned: therefore I will cast thee as profane out of the mountain of God: and I destrov will thee. 0 covering cherub, from the midst of the stones of fire. Thine heart was lifted up because of thy beauty, thou hast corrupted thy wisdom by reason of thy brightness: I will cast thee to the around. I will lav thee before kings, that they may behold thee."

In Ezekiel 28:1-17, the LORD said in verse 1-2: "say unto the king of Tyrus." But what did He told Ezekiel to say? He told Ezekiel to say: [a] you was full of wisdom but corrupted it (naturally, in an absolute sense, human beings are never full or complete with wisdom); [b] you was perfect in beauty (honestly speaking, no human being are); [**c**] you have been in the Garden of Eden (there were only four persons excluding the Cherubims, recorded in Genesis who were present); [**d**] <u>every</u> precious stones were your covering (this puts him outside of the natural human appearance); [e] tabrets and pipes were built into you (he is not human); [f] you were created (the king of Tyrus was born and not created; angels were created); [**g**] you were the anointed Cherub that covereth (a Cherub is not human but a heavenly person); [I] you were in the holy mountain of God and

walked up and down in the midst of the stone of fire; **[j]** you were perfect in your ways (no humans except Adam, Eve and Jesus were perfect). The question then is: Does a **human** king fit these descriptions? If not, then who? It does not take a scholar to figure out who the LORD was talking too. From all descriptions given, anyone can see and understand that the LORD was directing this judgment message to Satan.

Isaiah 14:4-8 ...thou shalt take up this proverb against the king of Babylon, and say, How hath the oppressor ceased! the golden citv ceased! The LORD hath broken the staff of the wicked, and the scepter of the rulers. He who smote the people in wrath with a continual stroke, he that ruled the nations in anger, is persecuted. and none hindereth. The whole earth is at rest, and is quiet: they break forth into singing. Yea, the fir trees rejoice at thee, and the cedars of Lebanon, saving, Since thou art laid down, no feller is come up against us...

Isaiah 14:12-15 How art thou fallen from heaven, O Lucifer, son of the morning! How art thou cut down to the ground, which didst weaken the nations! For thou hast said in thine heart, I will ascend into heaven, I will exalt my throne above the stars of God: I will sit also upon the mount of the congregation, in the sides of the north: I will ascend above the heights of the clouds; I will be like the most High. Yet thou shalt be brought down to hell, to the sides of the pit.

Now, just at face value, if we were to look at Isaiah 14:4-8, 12-15, who was **fallen** from **heaven**? Luke 10:18-20 and Revelation 12:7-12 described this person as Satan. Also, did the king of Babylon fall from heaven or was he born to earthly parents? He is nowhere recorded in scripture as being in heaven.

Furthermore, do you think a human being would use the kind of language that was used by the person the LORD was talking too? The person said: I will ascend into **heaven**; exalt my throne above the **stars**. Noticed that the person the LORD directed His judgment message to was cut/cast down to the ground in both Isaiah and Ezekiel.

Luke 10:18-20 And he said unto them, I beheld Satan as lightning fall from heaven. Behold, I give unto you power to tread on serpents and scorpions, and over all the power of the enemy: and nothing shall by any means hurt you. Notwithstanding in this rejoice not, that the spirits are subject unto you; but rather rejoice, because your names are written in heaven.

Revelation 12:7-12 And there was war in heaven: Michael and his angels fought against the dragon; and the dragon fought and his angels, And prevailed not; neither was their place found any more in heaven. And the great dragon was cast out, that old serpent, called the Devil, and Satan, which deceiveth the whole world: he was cast out into the earth, and his angels were cat out with him. And I heard a loud voice saying in heaven. Now is come salvation, and strength, and the kingdom of our God, and the power of his Christ: for the accuser of our brethren is cast down, which accused them before our God day and night. And they overcame him by the blood of the Lamb, and by the word of their testimony; and they loved not their lives unto the death. Therefore rejoice, ye heavens, and ye that dwell in them. Woe to the inhabiters of the earth and of the sea! For the devil is come down unto you, having great wrath. because he knoweth that he hath but a short time.

Mr. James White. author of the book "The King James Only Controversy," the best-known author in the field of defending modern versions on page 138 of his book very dogmatically explain away this issue by stating that to understand the change you have to "read things in context." After several questions to justify his reasoning, he said, "there is no logical reason to take offense at the proper translation of Isaiah 14:12 in the NIV or NASB." Mr. White said, "Both...are perfectly acceptable translation of the Hebrew word," He then

selects "the standard lexicon in the field" from three liberal KJV Bible haters, Brown, Driver and Briggs as his authorities or reference to prove the meaning of the Hebrew word in Is. 14:12 (see page 147 in his book).

But these "thieves and robbers," these false witnessing scholars (Francis Brown 1849-1916, Samuel R. Driver 1846-1914 and Charles A. Briggs 1841-1913) in the 1800 were all part of the conspirators who hated the KJV Bible (without a cause) and desperately wanted to change it and destroy our confidence in it. (Read the chapter called, "These are our gods (scholars) O Christian!" later in this book for a profile of who these scholars were and their anti-Christian profiles.) Also, these men were all members of the corrupt Westcott-Hort Revised Version Committee of 1881. They dishonestly changed words and meanings in their Greek and Hebrew work and replaced them with their own secular and pagan beliefs. Furthermore, the Hebrew word for "star" is nowhere in the original Hebrew text in Is. 14:12. So they dishonestly added "star" in this verse, thus duplicating the name "the morning star," and subtly linking it to Blavatsky's teaching on Lucifer (more on this later). The Hebrew word here means "light bearer" or "shining thing." Therefore if we were to use either of these two meanings, the text would read like the following: How art thou fallen from

heaven, O light bearer (or shining thing), son of the morning. Thus, how do you think scholars started from "Light bearer" (or "shining thing") and ended with "morning Star?" Deception and lies! So why should we accept what they concocted in this verse? Why should we place our confidence in Greek and Hebrew study tools they have written?

So then, what is the difference in meaning of "son of the morning" and "the morning star?" A star is any galactic body that gives light: the sun. A moon is any galactic body that reflexes light. One is light and the other a reflection of that light; or, one is the Creator and the other a reflector or reflection, an "early bird," "a morning person," of the Creator, etc.

The problem with this name change is how do you convince the next generation of this explanation of two persons with the same name where one person is under the judgment of God and the other person is God. Even Strong who gave us "Strong's Exhaustive Concordance of the Bible," in his encyclopedia, charges that Lucifer is not Satan. but Lucifer is Jesus Christ. He was a member of the American Standard Version (ASV) 1901 translation committee that called Jesus Christ a "creature" and not the Creator. (See his encyclopedia, Vol. 5, page 542-543) This view goes very deep as we shall see. ([2f], page 189; his encyclopedia, Vol. 5, page 542-543)

Mr. White, gave his "best" possible explanation for this change and as a result, many modern version advocates accept his views to explain this cheap change of name, for the God of the universe, the ALMIGHTY! The explanation given for the name change is very flimsy; the repercussion of dangerously insulting God is too great and too much is at stake; even the possibility of losing rewards (everything) for born again Christians.

It is so depressing to see what Bible scholars and university professors are doing to God's Word and many of our very beloved, pastors, ministers of the gospel and teachers of God's Word swallowing these "scholarship lies" hook, line and sinker; and worst of all they are very upset with anyone (like this author) who try to present these Bible version facts.

As mentioned earlier, our ministers of the gospel are very well aware of the painfully, terrifying and horrifying struggles in history of the Christian church to preserve our Bibles. Unfortunately, even though our modern versions today are seriously being watered down with each new edition. our ministers of the gospel either lacking spiritual discernment, unrecognizing or deliberately ignoring the possibility that there must be a quiding presence, an unclean spiritual force working behind the scene altering our Bibles to

deceptively say what it wants us to read and believe.

If we all have to stand before God for ourselves, then please, let us investigate Bible versions issues for ourselves and not follow persons who criticize and ridicule the KJV Bible and never did any thorough investigation. "Seek ye out of the book of the LORD, and read..." (Is. 34:16) Beware of many university professors and their recommended reading endorsements: they hate literature that is pro-KJV and promote "anything" that is anti-KJV. (They hate the Written Word without a cause.) Remember, like Uzzah, we all would not have escaped God's wrath or His judgment for not knowing what His Word said (no excuse allowed). God said, "My people are destroyed for lack of knowledge." (Hosea 4:6) Jesus said in Matthew 15:14: "Let them alone: they be blind leaders of the blind. And if the blind lead the blind, both shall fall into the ditch." Most people follow leaders they believe are truthful, honest and excellent speakers. Nevertheless, we've got to take an independent approach on this issue. Eternity and rewards are at stake here!

No matter how scholars, professors, preachers and Bible teachers explain away changing Lucifer to "the Morning Star," it is still dangerously insulting to 100% plus pure Holiness and 100% plus pure Almighty POWER. There are enormous amounts of satanic scholarship attacking and infiltrating everything that is Holy and Godly today.

As stated earlier, the Hebrew word for "star" is nowhere in the original Hebrew text in this verse. Therefore, it is very deceitful to add the word "star," erroneously to duplicate the name "morning star." (In other versions "day star" or "star of the morning" is used at Isaiah 14:12.)

In Greek, the word is "heosphoros" which means light-bearer; in Latin it is "Lucifer" also meaning lightbearer; finally, in Hebrew the word is "heyleel" meaning light-bearer. The meanings are all the same in these three languages. If you asked anyone who Lucifer is, they know immediately who you are talking about. Luciferians and Satanist know their master's name: Lucifer; so how is it that Bible scholars do not have the correct meaning in their dictionaries. lexicons, commentaries, etc?

Some scholars argue that it was Jerome who introduced the name "Lucifer" into the text. But Jerome simply translated his Greek "Bible" to Latin and the Latin word is Lucifer meaning lightbearer. Scholars use Ezekiel chapter 28 and Isaiah 14:4-8 to prove that the text is not talking about the most wicked person in the universe, but refers to a Babylonian king. Sadly, the name Lucifer has been removed from most Bible dictionaries and other

reference work or falsely declared where it is listed.

Do you know that the book "The Secret Doctrine" calls Satan, "Christ, the bright and Morning Star? This book, "The Secret Doctrine," was written by Arch-Luciferian Madame Helena P. Blavatsky (1831-1891). Blavatsky was a high-priestess of sorcery, magic, Satan worship and occultism. She founded the organization called, "The Theosophy Society" and her magazine called, "Lucifer," evolved into the two-volume book called "The Secret Doctrine." This book is one of the most wicked books that have ever been written and it teaches that Lucifer should be worshipped.

Do you know that some of our "trusted" Bible scholars who penned and provided us with our Hebrew and Greek dictionaries and lexicons, attended Luciferian meetings with Madame Blavatsky? <u>When you</u> hang out with someone infested with fleas, what happens?

Let's examined what Luciferian, Madame Blavatsky who influenced these "trusted" fleabag scholars on what to include in their Bible dictionaries, lexicons and commentaries. (All references below of Secret Doctrine are from the online edition found at http://www.theosociety.org/

#### **pasadena/ sd/sd-hp.htm**) She said:

The devil is now called Darkness by the Church, whereas, in the Bible he is called the "Son of God" (see Job), the bright star of the early morning, Lucifer (see Isaiah). There is a whole philosophy of dogmatic craft in the reason why the first Archangel, who sprang from the depths of Chaos, was called Lux (Lucifer), the "Luminous Son of the Morning," or man-vantaric Dawn. He was transformed by the Church into Lucifer or Satan, because he is higher and older than Jehovah, and had to be sacrificed to the new dogma. (Vol. 1, P. 70, Line 14) [emphasis added]

Lucifer, the genius of the "morning star" (see Isaiah xiv., 12) -- (Vol. 2, P. 501, Line 4)

The latter has collapsed under the too close analysis, and is drowned. **Symbologists** have discovered with dismay that their adopted deity was only a mask for many other gods, an Euhemerized extinct planet, at best, the genius of the Moon and Saturn with the Jews, of the Sun and Jupiter, with early Christians; that the Trinity was, in truth, only an astronomical triad — unless accepted they the more abstract and metaphysical meanings given to it by the Gentiles - composed of the Sun (the Father), and the two planets Mercury (the Son) and Venus (the Holy Ghost, *Sophia*, the Spirit of Wisdom, Love and Truth, and <u>Lucifer,</u> <u>as Christ, the bright and</u> <u>morning Star</u>; (Vol. 2, P. 540, Line 5) [emphasis added]

Lucifer, or *Luciferus*, is the name of the angelic Entity presiding over the *light of truth* as over the light of the day. (Vol. 2, P. 512, Line 10)

Since the Church, in her struggle with Manichaeism, invented the devil, and by placing a theological extinguisher on the radiant star-god, <u>Lucifer, the "Son</u> <u>of the Morning</u>," thus created the most gigantic of all her paradoxes — a black and tenebrous light — the myth has struck its roots too deep in the soil of blind faith to permit, in our age, even those, who do not acquiesce in her dogmas and laugh at her horned and cloven-footed Satan, to come out bravely and confess the antiquity of the oldest of all traditions. (Vol. 2, P. 238-239, Line 6) [emphasis added]

...the 'Light-bringer,' <u>the</u> <u>Lucifer, the 'Morning Star,'</u> <u>the 'Son of the morning</u>' the very highest title 'out of heaven,' for in heaven it cannot be, but out of heaven it is everything. (Vol. 2, P. 238, Footnote(s), Line 13) [emphasis added]

So where do you think these "Bible" scholars got their theological instructions from? More on this in "Dangerous Insults..." earlier in this book.

The NKJV is an exception among the modern versions when quoting this

verse (except for its erroneous footnote on Lucifer); it kept the KJV reading. However, the NKJV too has the Alexandrian reading (the corrupt Vaticanus and Sinaiticus text) subtly scattered and placed throughout the text which came directly (word for word) from the RSV and the NASV. Read [6], page 305 to 310 for proof of this. The NKJV is not God's Word: it has altered thousands of verses by adding and omitting thousands of words not found in the Byzantine text manuscript: the preserved underground Christian Bible. (Read also, The Bible For Today, by D.A. Waite, B.F.T. # 1442-Revised; [2e], page 40 to 48) It is **NOT** an update (as the publisher claims) of the KJV.

#### The King James Version

"How art thou fallen from heaven, O <u>Lucifer</u>, son of the morning...Yet thou shalt be brought down to hell" Isaiah 14:12 (KJV)

"How art thou fallen from heaven, O Lucifer, son of the morning...Yet thou shalt be brought down to hell" Isaiah 14:12 (KJV)

#### **Modern Bible Versions**

"How you have fallen from heaven O morning star, son of the dawn...but you are brought down to the grave." Isaiah 14:12 (NIV)

"How you have fallen from heaven O <u>star</u> <u>of the morning, son of the dawn</u>...you will be thrust down to Sheol." Isaiah 14:12 (NASB)

------ | "Lucifer" is gone at Isaiah 14:12 and replaced with "morning Star." | ------

# "He," "Him" and "His" when referring to God were replaced with "the one."

Do you know that Lucifer is the god of the New Age Movement who is referred to as "the One?" "The One" is so central to Luciferian doctrine that the entire two volumes book "The Secret Doctrine," is a discussion of "the One." Madame Blavatsky writes (Vol.1, P.20, Line 5): the ONE ALL, and the One "All in all" is "the basic conceptions on which the Secret Doctrine rests."

As was mentioned in the previous section and will be pointed out again here, this book, "The Secret Doctrine," was written by Arch-Luciferian Madame Helena P. Blavatsky (1831-1891). Blavatsky was a highpriestess of sorcery, magic, Satan worship and occultism. She founded the organization called, "The Theosophy Society" and her magazine called, "Lucifer," evolved into the two-volume book called "The Secret Doctrine." This book is one of the most wicked books that have ever been written and it teaches that Lucifer should be worshipped. Also, some of our "trusted" Bible scholars who penned and provided us with our Hebrew and Greek dictionaries and lexicons. attended Luciferian meetings with Madame Blavatsky. When you hang out with someone infested with fleas. you become engulfed with them.

In "The Secret Doctrine's" highly complex Satanic rhetoric, "The One" is mistakenly applied to

Jehovah. This is because the One is higher and older than Jehovah. (See John P. Van Mater, who prepared the index for "The Secret Doctrine," interpretation of "The One" in Vol. 1. P. 129-130 as seen in the index under the heading "One, the." Also see Vol. 1, P. 71, Line 1 and Vol. 1, P. 129-130, Line 12) Secret Doctrine declares: The "Dragon of Wisdom" is the One, the "Eka" (Sanskrit) or Saka. It is curious that Jehovah's name in Hebrew should also be One. Echod. "His name is Echod": say the Rabbins. The philologists ought to decide which of the two is derived from the other — linguistically and symbolically: surely, not the Sanskrit? The "One" and the Dragon are expressions used by the ancients in connection with their respective Logoi. Jehovah — esoterically (as Elohim) — is also the Serpent or Dragon that tempted Eve, and the "Dragon" is an old glyph for "Astral Light" (Primordial Principle), "which is the Wisdom of Chaos." (Vol. 1, P. 73. Line 1) Secret Doctrine says: "Everything originated in the **ONE**, and, proceeding from the one, must finally return to the One." (Vol. 1, P. 568, Line 6)

The Secret Doctrine says: The devil is now called Darkness by the Church, whereas, in the Bible he is called the "Son of God" (see Job), the bright star of the early morning, Lucifer (see Isaiah). There is a whole philosophy of dogmatic craft in the reason why the first Archangel, who sprang from the depths of Chaos, was called Lux (Lucifer), the "Luminous Son of the Morning," or man-vantaric Dawn. He was transformed by the Church into Lucifer or Satan, because he is higher and older than Jehovah, and had to be sacrificed to the new dogma. (Vol. 1, P. 70-71, Line 14) Thus, the one is higher and older than Jehovah. What do you think our scholars are subtly trying to tell us?

Did you know that one of the changes Blavatsky was successful in getting our Bible scholars to include in their Bible work was to change "He," "Him" and "His" when referring to God to "the One?" Now, just think about it: changing "He," "Him" and "His" to "the One" eventually uncovers or unwraps to Blavatsky's teaching of worshipping Lucifer.

Modern versions like the NIV have already successfully set us up (particularly the next generation) to accept this in Is. 14:12 by changing Lucifer to "The Morning Star." This was done because we know that every knee shall bow and every tongue shall confess to "Him" who is "The Morning Star." Blavatsky and our corrupt Bible scholars wants us to believe this person is "the One" or Lucifer; but we all know that this person is none other than our precious Lord and Savior,

Jesus Christ. (Phil. 2:10-11, Rev. 22:16) See additional quotes in the previous section.

So then, why should we trust their Hebrew and Greek dictionary and lexicon study tools? So where do you think these "fleabag" scholars got their theological instructions from? (Read the sections "Can We Trust Our Greek And Hebrew Dictionaries And Lexicons?" and "Dangerous Insults to God," earlier in this book for more information.) Is "the One" Holy or not? Do we have two separate persons: one Holy and one unholy; one called, "The Holy One," and the other one called, "the one" or "the wicked one?"

The King James Version	Modern Bible Versions
Despiseth him - Luke 10:16 (KJV)	Rejects the One - Luke 10:16 (NASB)
Him Luke 12:5 (KJV)	The One – Luke 12:5 (NASB)
He – Matthew 13:37 (KJV)	The One – Matthew 13:37 (NASB)
He – Matthew 24:13 (KJV)	The one who endures – Matthew 24:13 (NASB)
He – John 6:46 (KJV)	The One – John 6:46 (NASB)
His – John 7:18 (KJV)	The one – John 7:18 (NASB)
It is he – John 9:37 (KJV)	He is the one – John 9:37 (NIV)
Him – John 15:21 (KJV)	The one – John 15:21 (NASB)
Unto him – I Corinthians 15:28 (KJV)	The one – I Corinthians 15:28 (NASB)
He – Acts 7:38 (KJV)	The one – Acts 7:38 (NASB)
He – Acts 10:21 (KJV)	The one – Acts 10:21 (NIV)
He that seeth me seeth him – John 12:45 (KJV)	He who beholds me beholds the One – John 12:45 (NIV)
It is he – Acts 10:42 (KJV)	This is the One – Acts 10:42 (NIV)
Him – Acts 22:9 (KJV)	The one – Acts 22:9 (NASB)
Him – Colossians 3:10 (KJV)	The image of the One – Colossians 3:10 (NASB)
Unto him – Hebrews 5:7 (KJV)	Tears to the One – Hebrews 5:7 (NASB)
Him – Hebrews 7:21 (KJV)	The one – Hebrews 7:21 (NASB)
He – Revelation 2:1 (KJV)	The one – Revelation 2:1 (NASB)

------- | "He," "Him" and "His" when referring to God were replaced with "the one." | --------------

# **FERVENT & FASTING** ARE GONE FROM ALONGSIDE OF PRAYER (two of the most powerful prayer partners that go along with prayer)

The King James Version	Modern Bible Versions
Confess your <b>faults</b> one to another, and pray one for another, that ye may be healed. The effectual <u>fervent</u> prayer of a righteous man availeth much. James 5:16 (KJV)	Therefore confess your <b>sins</b> to each other and pray for each other so that you may be healed. The prayer of a righteous man is powerful and effective. James 5:16 (NIV)
"this kind goeth not out but by <b>prayer and</b> <b>fasting</b> ." Matthew 17:21 (KJV)	Omitted the whole verse Matthew 17:21 (NIV)
"in fastings" II Corinthians 6:5 (KJV)	Omitted in II Corinthian 6:5 (NIV)
"in fastings" II Corinthians 11:27 (KJV)	Omitted in II Corinthian 11:27 (NIV)
"This kind can come forth by nothing, but by prayer and <b>fasting</b> ." Mark 9:29 (KJV)	"this kind can come out only by prayer." Mark 9:29 (NIV)
"give yourselves to <u>fasting</u> and prayer." I Corinthians 7:5 (KJV)	"devote yourselves to prayer." I Corinthians 7:5 (NIV)
" <u>fasting</u> until this hour; and at the ninth hour I prayed" Acts 10:30 (KJV)	"I was in my house praying" Acts 10:30 (NIV)

------ | Fervent and fasting are gone from alongside of prayer | ----------------

# "FORNICATION" AND "WHOREMONGER" ARE GONE AND REPLACED WITH "IMMORAL" AND "IMMORALITY"

Moral means "according to customs" and immoral means "not according to customs." Can society over time change its customs or its lifestyle? (Read Lev. 18:1-30 especially verse 30) If it could, then what is wrong today is not necessarily wrong tomorrow. For example: Homosexuality is

now becoming "<u>morally</u>" accepted in many countries around the world; thus, it will no longer be <u>immoral</u>.

#### The King James Version

Fornication – Romans 1:29 (KJV)
Fornication – I Corinthians 5:1 (KJV)
Fornication – I Corinthian 6:13 (KJV)
Fornication – I Corinthians 6:18 (KJV)
Fornication – II Corinthians 12:21 (KJV)
Fornication – Ephesians 5:3 (KJV)
Fornication – Colossians 3:5 (KJV)
Fornication – Revelation 14:8 (KJV)
Fornication – Revelation 17:2 (KJV)

Immorality – Romans 1:29 (NASB)
Immorality – I Corinthians 5:1 (NASB)
Immorality – I Corinthian 6:13 (NASB)
Immorality – I Corinthians 6:18 (NASB)
Immorality – II Corinthians 12:21 (NASB)
Immorality – Ephesians 5:3 (NASB)
Immorality – Colossians 3:5 (NASB)
Immorality – Revelation 14:8 (NASB)
Immorality – Revelation 17:2 (NASB)

**Modern Bible Versions** 

Commit fornication – I Corinthians 10:8 (KJV)	Act immorally – I Corinthians 10:8 (NASB)
Fornicators – I Corinthians 5:9 (KJV)	Immoral people – I Corinthians 5:9 (NASB)
Adultery, fornication – Galatians 5:19 (KJV)	Immorality – Galatians 5:19 (NASB)
Fornication – Romans 1:29 (KJV)	Sexual immorality – Romans 1:29 (NKJV)
Whoremonger – Ephesians 5:5 (KJV)	Immorality – Ephesians 5:5 (NASB)
Whoremonger – I Timothy 1:10 (KJV)	Immoral – I Timothy 1:10 (NASB)
Whoremonger – Revelation 21:8 (KJV)	Immoral – Revelation 21:8 (NASB)
Whoremonger – Revelation 22:15 (KJV)	Immoral – Revelation 22:15 (NASB)

------ | Fornication and whoremonger are gone and replaced with immoral and immorality | -----------

# "SODOMITES" IS GONE AND REPLACE WITH "SHRINE PROSTITUE(S)"

What is shrine prostitute? Does it exist today? If it does, then where? If you replace a **known** sin that is practiced worldwide with an **unknown** sin, then how do you think the next generation will treat these verses? There are only five places in the entire KJV Bible where this word is used and all of them were replaced.

The King James Version	Modern Bible Versions
Sodomite - Deuteronomy 23:17 (KJV)	Shrine prostitute - Deuteronomy 23:17 (NIV)
Sodomite – I Kings 14:24 (KJV)	Shrine prostitute - I Kings 14:24 (NIV)
Sodomite – I Kings 15:12 (KJV)	Shrine prostitute - I Kings 15:12 (NIV)
Sodomite - I Kings 22:46 (KJV)	Shrine prostitute - I Kings 22:46 (NIV)
Sodomite - II Kings 23:7 (KJV)	Shrine prostitute - II Kings 23:7 (NIV)

------ | Sodomites is gone and replace with shrine prostitutes | ------

# JESUS IS NO LONGER "THE CHRIST."

"Christ" alongside the name "Jesus Christ," is gone. What's the meaning of "Christ" and with so many "false Christ" today, why do you think it was taken out of modern versions and left with "Jesus" only? Christ means: The Messiah (or Anointed One) whose appearance was prophesied in the Old Testament. It was intentionally taken out to prepare for the coming of the unholy one who is non-other than Lucifer himself. (Read "Lucifer, The Christ," illustrated in the section call around the world are not offended by the new versions. They acknowledge Jesus as another prophet but not as the Messiah.

The King James Version	Modern Bible Versions
Christ Jesus – Acts 19:4 (KJV)	Jesus – Acts 19:4 (NIV)
Jesus Christ - II Corinthian 5:18 (KJV)	Christ – II Corinthian 5:18 (NIV)
Jesus Christ – Acts 5:42 (KJV)	The Christ – Acts 5:42 (NIV)
Lord Jesus Christ – II Corinthian 11:31 (KJV)	Lord Jesus – II Corinthians 11:31 (NIV)
Jesus Christ – I Corinthian 9:1 (KJV)	Jesus – I Corinthian 9:1 (NIV)
Jesus Christ – I John 1:7 (KJV)	Jesus – I John 1:7 (NIV)
Jesus Christ – Revelation 1:9 (KJV)	Jesus – Revelation 1:9 (NIV)
Jesus Christ – Revelation 12:17 (KJV)	Jesus – Revelation 12:17 (NIV)
Jesus Christ – Hebrews 3:1 (KJV)	Jesus – Hebrews 3:1 (NIV)
Christ – Acts 9:20 (KJV)	Jesus – Acts 9:20 (NIV)
Lord Jesus Christ – Acts 15:11 (KJV)	Lord Jesus – Acts 15:11 (NIV)
Lord Jesus Christ – Acts 16:31 (KJV)	Lord Jesus – Acts 16:31 (NIV)
Jesus Christ our Lord – I Thessalonians 3:11 (KJV)	Jesus our Lord – I Thessalonians 3:11 (NIV)
"I can do all things through <u>Christ</u> which strengtheneth me." – Philippians 4:13 (KJV)	"I can do everything through <u>him</u> who gives me strength" – Philippians 4:13 (NIV)
"Take heed lest any man deceive you:	"Watch out that no one deceives you.
For many shall come in my name saying,	Many will come in my name, claiming, 'I
I am Christ and shall deceive many."	am he' and will deceive many." Mark 13:5,
Mark 13:5, 6 (KJV)	6 (NIV)
"And every spirit that confesseth not that	"but every spirit that does not acknowledge
Jesus Christ is come in the flesh is not	<u>Jesus</u> is not from God." I John 4:3 (NIV)
of God:" I John 4:3 (KJV)	

------ | Jesus is no longer the Christ | ------

# **REDEMPTION IS GONE**

The King James Version	Modern Bible Versions
"For the Son of man is not come to destroy men's lives, but to save them. And they went to another village." Luke 9:56 (KJV)	"and they went to another village." Luke 9:56 (NIV)
"For the Son of man is come to save	Omitted-whole verse – Matthew 18:11

that which was lost." - Matthew 18:11 (KJV)	(NIV)
"I am not come to call the righteous, but sinners <u>to repentance</u> ." – Matthew 9:13 (KJV)	"I have not come to call the righteous, but sinners." – Matthew 9:13 (NIV)
"And Philip said, if thou believest with all thine heart, thou mayest. And he answered and said, I believe that Jesus Christ is the Son of God." – Acts 8:37 (KJV)	Omitted-whole verse – Acts 8:37 (NIV)
"In whom we have redemption <u>through</u> <u>his blood</u> , even the forgiveness of sins:" – Colossians 1:14 (KJV)	"in whom we have redemption, the forgiveness of sins." – Colossians 1:14 (NIV)
"For in Christ Jesus neither circumcision availeth any thing, nor uncircumcision, but a new creature." – Galatians 6:15 (KJV)	"Nether circumcision not uncircumcision means anything; what counts is a new creation." – Galatians 6:15 (NIV)
"thou art no more a servant, but a son; and if a son, then an heir of God <u>through</u> <u>Christ</u> ." – Galatians 4:7 (KJV)	"you are no longer a slave, but a son; and since you are a son, God has made you also an heir." – Galatians 4:7 (NIV)

------ | Redemption is gone | ------

# CHRIST'S DEITY IS GONE

The King James Version	Modern Bible Versions
"Hereby perceive we the love of <u><b>God</b></u> because he laid down his life for us." – I John 3:16 (KJV)	"We know love by this, that he laid down his life for us." – I John 3:16 (NIV)
"For there are three that bear record <u>in</u> <u>heaven, the Father, the Word, and the</u> <u>Holy Ghost: and these three are one.</u> <u>And there are three that hear witness</u> <u>in earth</u> , the Spirit, and the water, and the blood: and these three agree in one." I John 5:7,8 (KJV)	"For there are three that testify: the Spirit, the water and the blood; and the three are in agreement." – I John 5:7,8 (NIV)
"And without controversy great is the mystery of godliness: <b>God</b> was manifest in the flesh, justified in the Spirit" - I Timothy 3:16 (KJV)	"Beyond all question, the mystery of godliness is great: He appeared in a body, was vindicated by the Spirit" – I Timothy 3:16 (NIV)
"I am Alpha and Omega, <u>the beginning</u> <u>and the ending</u> , saith the Lord, which is, and which was, and which is to come, the	"I am the Alpha and the Omega, says the Lord God, who is, and who was, and who is to come, the Almighty." – Revelation 1:8

Almighty." – Revelation 1:8 (KJV) "To the only wise God our Saviour, be glory and majesty, dominion and power, both now and ever. Amen." – Jude 25 (KJV)	(NIV) "to the only God our Savior be glory, majesty, power and authority, <u>through</u> <u>Jesus Christ our Lord, before all ages</u> , now and forevermore! Amen." – Jude 25 (NIV)
"For we shall all stand before the judgment seat of <u>Christ</u> So then every one of us shall give account of himself to God." – Romans 14:10-12 (KJV)	"For we shall all stand before the judgment seat of <u><b>God</b></u> So then each one of us shall give account of himself to God." – Romans 14:10-12 (NAS)
"Take heed, therefore, unto yourselves, and to all the flock, over which the Holy Ghost hath made you overseers, to feed the church of <u>God</u> , which he hath purchased with <u>his own blood</u> ." – Acts 20:28 (KJV)	"Take heed to yourselves and to all the flock, in which the Holy Spirit has made you overseers, to care for the church of <u>God</u> which he obtained <u>with the blood of</u> <u>his own Son</u> ." – Acts 20:28 (RSV)
"But thou, Bethlehem Ephratah, though thou be little among the thousands of Judah, yet out of thee shall he come forth unto me that is to be ruler in Israel; whose <b>goings forth</b> have been from of old, <b>from</b> <b>everlasting</b> ." – Micah 5:2 (KJV)	"But you, Bethlehem, Ephrathah, who are little to be among the clans of Judah, from you shall come forth for me one who is to be ruler in Israel, whose <u>origin</u> is from of old, from <u>ancient days</u> ." – Micah 5:2 (RSV)

------ | Christ's Deity is gone | ------

# WORSHIP OF CHRIST IS GONE

The King James Version	Modern Bible Versions
"behold, there came a certain ruler, and worshipped him" – Matthew 9:18 (KJV)	"a ruler came and <u>knelt</u> before him" – Matthew 9:18 (NIV)
"And, behold, there came a leper and <b>worshipped</b> him" – Matthew 8:2 (KJV)	"A man with leprosy came and <u>knelt</u> before him" – Matthew 8:2 (NIV)
Worshipped him – Matthew 15:25 (KJV)	Bow down – Matthew 15:25 (NASB)
Worshipped him – Matthew 20:20 (KJV)	Bowing down – Matthew 20:20 (NASB)
Worshipped him – Matthew 18:26 (KJV)	Falling down prostrate – Matthew 18:26 (NASB)
Worshipped him – Mark 5:6 (KJV)	Bowed down – Mark 5:6 (NASB)

------ | Worship of Christ is gone | -----

# **SALVATION BY FAITH IS GONE and what is left is works**

Is salvation by faith or works?

The King James Version	Modern Bible Versions
"As newborn babes, desire the sincere milk of the word, that <u>ye may grow</u> <u>thereby</u> ." - I Peter 2:2 (KJV) "He that believeth on the Son hath everlasting life: and he that <u>believeth not</u> the Son shall not see life; but the wrath of God abideth on him." – John 3:36 (KJV) " <u>narrow</u> is the way, which leadeth unto life," – Matthew 7:14 (KJV) But if it be of works then it is no more grace: otherwise work is no more work. –	"Like newborn babes, long for the pure spiritual milk, that by it <u>you may grow up</u> <u>to salvation</u> ." – I Peter 2:2 (RSV) "He who believes in the Son has eternal life; but he who <u>does not obey</u> the Son shall not see life, but the wrath of God abides on him." – John 3:36 (NAS) " <u>difficult</u> is the way which leads to life," – Matthew 7:14 (NKJV) Omitted all – Romans 11:6 (NIV)
Romans 11:6 (KJV) Sodomite - II Kings 23:7 (KJV)	Shrine prostitute - II Kings 23:7 (NIV)
Grace – Colossians 3:16 (KJV)	Omit – Colossians 3:16 (NIV)
Grace – Genesis 6:8 (KJV)	Omit – Genesis 6:8 (NIV)
Verily I say unto you, it shall be more tolerable for Sodom and Gomorrah in the day of judgment than for that city. – Mark 6:11 (KJV)	Omit all – Mark 6:11 (NIV)

------ | Salvation by faith is gone and what is left is works | ------

# JESUS CHRIST IS CAUGHT LYING IN MODERN VERSIONS?

The question really should be: "Did Jesus lied or was it our very deceiving modern version scholars who lied?" It was required by the Law of Moses that each year, Jewish males attend the Jews' feast of tabernacles in Jerusalem; not attending meant breaking this Law. In the "English Standard Version" (ESV) and many others, Jesus told His brethren that he was <u>NOT</u>

going to Jerusalem to the feast; shortly after his brethren went to Jerusalem, they met Jesus there for the feast. God is no liar! (Numbers 23:19) This lie can be found in many modern versions especially the ASV, CEV, DBY, DRA, ESV, GNB, JB, MOF, MRC, NAB, NAS, NAU, NEB, NET, NJB, NLT, NRS, REB, RSV and the TNIV. <u>Recent discovered</u> <u>ancient papyrus dated A.D.</u> <u>175 (P66) and A.D. 200</u> (P75) included the word "YET" which totally destroyed modern scholarship lies. ([2f], page 611) Read, "Look What's Missing" by David W. Daniels for a large number of missing words, verses and serious changes like this one in modern versions. You can purchase this book online at www.chick.com. Read the free online illustration at the following link:

http://www.chick.com/reading/tracts/0998/0998\_01.asp

#### The King James Version

Go ye up unto this feast: I go not up **yet** unto this feast; for my time is not yet full come. When he had said these words unto them, he abode still in Galilee. John 7:8-9 (KJV) You go up to the feast. I am **not** going up to this feast, for my time has not yet fully come. After saying this, he remained in Galilee John 7:8-9 ESV

Modern Bible Versions

------ | Jesus is caught lying in modern versions | ------

# WAS MAN MADE A LITTLE LOWER THAN ANGLES OR **GOD**?

The "New American Standard Bible," says that man was made a little lower than God. What do you think modern version scholars trying to accomplish? If we are just a little lower than God, then we can become God; eventually! (The 2011 edition of the NIV has "God" in the footnote as the "appropriate" word for this verse.)

The King James Version	Modern Bible Versions
For thou hast made him a little lower than the <b>angels</b> . – Psalm 8:5 (KJV)	Yet Thou hast made him a little lower than <b>God</b> . – Psalm 8:5 (NASB)
Thou madest him a little lower than the angels Hebrews 2:7 (KJV)	Thou hast made him <b>for a little while</b> lower than the angels. – Hebrews 2:7 (NASB)
For thou hast made him a little lower than the <b>angels</b> . – Psalm 8:5 (KJV)	You have made them a little lower than the angels [Footnote: Or than God] and crowned them with glory and honor. – Psalm 8:5 (NIV 2011 Edition)

------ | Was man made a little lower than angels or God? | ------

# IS THE MARK OF THE BEAST "**IN**" OR "**ON**" THE FOREHEAD OR THE RIGHT HAND?

Why do you think modern versions changed the mark from "in" to "on" the forehead or right hand? Don't be fooled with the proliferation display of tattoos seen today. This author believes that the spread of the tattoo crave is just a cover-up to what Satan will do. This mark could actually be an implanted chip just below the skin surface. Examine our world today people will do anything for money even if they have to kill for it; credit card fraud and identification thief is prevalent. What better time other than now, to have all of our personal identification information hidden in our bodies where they are safe and cannot be stolen. duplicated or counterfeited?

Modern-Day science has developed a data chip that can be place just below the surface of the skin via a quick, painless outpatient procedure (like getting a flue shot) and can easily be read by today's computer scanners. It cannot be lost, stolen, misplaced or counterfeited when it is placed under the human skin. (Visit:

www.4verichip.com/verichip.h tm) With this chip installed, no cash, cheque or credit card are needed to buy and sell goods. Just allow the scanner to scan you for POSITIVE IDENTIFICATION; thus, greatly protecting all consumers. What do you think may also be a part of this implanted chip?

Modern-Day science has also developed a remotecontrol device that can control humans to some degree on our forward, backward, left or right movements; even our up and down movements. If this device is perfected, miniaturized and integrated with the ID chip, imagine what civilization would be like if it is placed inside our bodies. Everyone will bow when they are either demonically controlled to do so or forced by a remotecontrol device. (Visit: "http://www.youtube.com/wat ch?v=Kf0E9IlkZIU," and "http://www.foxnews.com/stor y/0,2933,173500,00.html" or type in "Remote Control Humans" in your Internet browser search field, press the search button and examine all of the information given about this new device.)

This may very well be an extreme kind of guessing on how the mark of Satan (the beast) will unfold because it can just simply be demon possession. We cannot 100% predict this, nevertheless God's word said: "be careful for nothing." (Phil. 4:6) When it appears as nothing to be concerned about, still be careful. Read the illustration given in "So where are we all headed ... " in the previous Chapter for more on this.

The King James Version	Modern Bible Versions
And he causeth all, both small and great, rich and poor, free and bond, to receive a mark <b>in</b> their right hand, or <b>in</b> their foreheads. – Revelation 13:16 (KJV)	He also forced everyone, small and great, rich and poor, free and slave, to receive a mark <b>on</b> his right hand or <b>on</b> his forehead. – Revelation 13:16 (NIV)
"in their foreheads" – Revelation 7:3 (KJV)	"on the foreheads" – Revelation 7:3 (NIV)
Same as above – Revelation 9:4 (KJV)	Same as above – Revelation 9:4 (NIV)
Same as above – Revelation 14:1 (KJV)	Same as above – Revelation 14:1 (NIV)

# HELL IS GONE AND REPLACED WITH "DEATH," "HADES," "SHEOL" AND "GRAVE."

Is hell an eternal death called the grave? (Find out why it was replaced by reading [2a], page 290 to 298.) Modern-day scholars don't like the word "hell" because many of them do not believe it exist; and because it implies "doom," "everlasting punishment," "everlasting fire," "everlasting suffering," etc. Thus, modern versions left "Hades" and "Sheol" untranslated in many verses so that persons of different religious views can therefore decide which view to take. "Sheol" is the untranslated Hebrew word and "Hades" is the untranslated Greek word. The English word for both is "Hell."

#### The King James Version

Hell – Acts 2:27 (KJV)
Hell – Isaiah 14:15 (KJV)
Hell – Job 11:8 (KJV)
Hell – Proverbs 7:27 (KJV)
Hell – Deuteronomy 32:22 (KJV)
Hell – Proverbs 23:14 (KJV)
Hell – Isaiah 28:18 (KJV)
Hell – Isaiah 57:9 (KJV)
Hell – Matthew 11:23 (KJV)
Hell – Luke 10:15 (KJV)
Hell – Acts 2:27 (KJV)
Hell – Revelation 1:18 (KJV)

# Modern Bible VersionsGrave – Acts 2:27 (NIV)Grave – Isaiah 14:15 (NIV)Grave – Job 11:8 (NIV)Grave – Proverbs 7:27 (NIV)Death – Deuteronomy 32:22 (NIV)Death – Proverbs 23:14 (NIV)Death – Isaiah 28:18 (NIV)Death – Isaiah 57:9 (NIV)Hades – Matthew 11:23 (NIV)Hades – Luke 10:15 (NIV)Hades – Revelation 1:18 (NIV)

----- | Hell is gone and replaced with "death," "hades," "sheol" and "grave." | -------

# SERVANT IS GONE AND REPLACED WITH SLAVE

A slave is a person held in bondage; lost control of himself or herself; lost his or her freedom; is captured, sold and force to work most of the time under cruel conditions without a salary or reward. A servant is a person who puts forth himself or herself for the benefit of another master as a public servant or an official of a government and is paid or rewarded for services rendered. A hired servant is an employee who gets a salary or a reward for service rendered: a bondservant is one who doesn't get a salary or reward, but is treated like an employee; a bondman, bondmaid or bondwoman is a slave. (A hired/bond servant is illustrated in Lev. 25:39-46.)

The New World Translation, the Watchtower Bible, was the first in 1961 to change servant to slave; afterward, other modern versions followed. (See [2c], pages 263-265; [2a], pages 221-225 and [2e], page 106 to find out why it was changed.) Can a person who is no longer a servant but a friend (John 15:15) or an adopted son (Galatians 4:1-7) be called a slave and be treated like one by God? Can Christians who receive rewards from God for VOLUNTARY work rendered, be call slaves? (Rev. 22:12) Christians

are no longer called servants, but sons or children of God in scripture.

The Bible gives us an allegorical picture of this in Galatians 4:1-7: 22-31: It says that Hagar (Genesis 21:9-12) was a bondwoman (a slave), but what happened to her? In Galatians 4:22-31. we are told that Christians are the children of promise (adopted children of God, Galatians 4:5, 7). We are no slave but sons or adopted children of God. Therefore, "cast out the bondwoman" (the slave) "and her son:" (whose inheritance is slavery), "for the son of the bondwoman shall not be heirs with the son of the freewoman. So then, brethren, we are not children of the bondwoman" (we are not slaves or do we inherit slavery) "but of the free" (Galatians 4:30-31; emphasis added). Unbelievers are slaves because they are slaves to sin; but Christians are freed from the slavery of sin (Romans 6:1-23, 8:2). Slaves are not **AUTOMATIC** heirs, biological or adopted children are. An inheritance automatically

passes down to the biological and adopted children. Thus, God's adopted children will also receive inheritance along with His Son.

Romans 8:15 clearly explains this; it says: "For ye have not received the spirit of bondage <u>again</u> to fear; but ye have received the <u>Spirit of adoption</u>, whereby we cry, Abba, Father." Also, in verse 16 and 17, it says: "The

Spirit itself beareth witness with our spirit, that we are the children of God: And if children, then heirs; heirs of God, and joint-heirs with Christ; if so be that we suffer with him, that we may be also glorified together." John 8:34-36 (emphasis added) says: ...whosoever committed sin is the servant of sin. And the servant abideth **not** in the house for ever: but the Son abideth ever..." along with His adopted siblings. "If the Son therefore shall make you free..." freed by the Father/Son from being servants of sin through the Redemption (payment for sin) and adoption process; thus, becoming sons or children of God, "...ye shall be free indeed."

"...the heir, as long as he is a child, differeth...from a servant...But when the fullness of the time...come, God sent forth his Son...To redeem them that were under the law that we might receive the adoption of sons...Wherefore thou art no more a servant, but a son; and if a son, then an heir of God through Christ."

(Galatians 4:1-7) "But as many as received him, to them gave he power to become the sons of God, even to them that believe on his name." (John 1:12)

God established the family model, then sends Jesus to shed His blood (Heb 9:22) to take away sins along with establishing the adoption process where men will be adopted as sons or children of God and not slaves of God. God used the family model as part of His plans to redeem man back to Himself. The family model consists of a father, mother and child/children.

To further develop this point to show the importance of why it is not Biblically correct to call Christians slave, read the section in the previous chapter called, "Why is it wrong in modern versions to call Christians, slaves?"

**Modern Bible Versions** 

#### The King James Version

Servants – John 13:16 (KJV)	Slaves – John 13:17 (NASB)
Servants – John 15:15 (KJV)	Slaves – John 15:15 (NASB)
Servant – Acts 2:18 (KJV)	Slave – Acts 2:18 (NASB)
Servant – I Corinthians 7:21 (KJV)	Slave – I Corinthians 7:21 (NASB)
Servant – Ephesians 6:5 (KJV)	Slaves – Ephesians 6:5 (NASB)
Servants – Colossians 4:1 (KJV)	Slaves – Colossians 4:1 (NASB)
Servants – Romans 6:22 (KJV)	Slaves – Romans 6:22 (NKJV)

------ | Servant is gone and replaced with slave | ------



Which Bible is God's Word? Is it the AMP, ASV, CEV, GNB, GWT, KJ21, KJV, KJV-ER, LB, Msg, NAB, NASB, NIV, NJB, NKJV, NLT, NRSV, NWT, RSV, TEV, TNIV, etc., or all listed in the background on the front cover?

# **TRALOF BLOOP!** How to recognize God's genuine Bible amidst the multitude of counterfeits?

- You simply follow the blood trail! -

# Trail of Blood

An investigator goes to a crime scene to gather clues to a murder. He opens the door at the scene and the first thing that gets his attention is the sight and order of blood. With no pre-knowledge of what had taken place there, he naturally follows the trail of blood to see were it leads. Usually and in most cases the blood leads to the evidence of the crime: the body. Then and only then does the investigator begin his great task of looking for clues to find the murderer. Sadly though, sometimes an investigator encounters tampered evidence (or use faulty methods to solve the crime) and include them in the investigative crime report.

For example, a faulty method used at our modern version "crime scene" was that the aged old papyrus or parchments used to write on were tested to locate the oldest or most ancient Bible manuscripts todate. Unfortunately, this age testing method was not capable of testing "TEXT" superiority. The materials used to write on and the actual TEXT contents are obviously very different. Thus, the only way to test true Christian "TEXT" superiority is to follow the blood trail. (For an illustration of this, read "Are the Earliest Manuscripts better than the later ones according to Bible scholars?" earlier in this book.)

Unfortunately, in our investigation (covered in this book), as we examine the surrounding areas in history for clues and follow them, we would discover that they all lead to a holy and precious book dripping with blood. This book that was soaked in blood, was preserved for hundreds of years along with tears and screams of pain from the murderer's "prison torture-houses of hell" (a torture chamber or building with all the tools design to inflect intense pain and suffering). The blood trails are the millions of Christian martyrs who participated in preserving God's word for centuries; and the perpetrator, whom Jesus exposed as the murderer in John 8:44, is none other than Lucifer himself and his demonic army.

However, while this precious blood mess was being partially cleaned up (when owning a Bible became legal), Satan tampered with our Bible evidence, creating many "look-alikes" and counterfeit copies then placed them in the surrounding areas of our crime scene. He then used the faulty testing method mentioned above and claimed that his Bibles were/are the best, oldest or most ancient copies. (We frequently find his claims as we read many Bible version foot notes.) This is very similar to Jesus' parable of the wheat and tares in Matthew 13:24-30, where the enemy sowed tares among the wheat while men slept. But instead of gathering and removing them, God (the "house-holder") said, No! "Let both grow together until the harvest." As a result, we Christians must carefully examine the clues to sift out the wheat from among the tares (applying God's "seek and ye shall find" law).

So then, as we follow these clues in history, we will find out that there are only two text families or sources where all of our Bible versions are coming from. They are the Byzantine text family (source) where our King James Version (KJV) came from, and the Alexandrian text family (source) where all of our modern versions are coming from. We will also uncover a serious "TRAIL OF BLOOD" all over the "floor and walls" in history following the King James Version text (or the underground Christian Bible) and its sources; but, there are NO sacrificial clues or evidence, NO token or blood trail (to prove its "purity,") following the modern versions or their sources. (Like all criminals, Satan evidently had mistakenly forgotten to counterfeit this clue: Deu. 22:15-17. No one is going to give their life for something they are not sure of. Later, when Lucifer recognized his mistakes regarding this, he then tried to fix it by what we are seeing today in the Muslim world.) Remember, we need to follow the blood trail to find true evidence (the body).

Also, as we continue our search for more clues and as is illustrated in this section, we will learn of a dark period in history with massive sickening horrors of suffering and death done to Christians who had truly given their lives to the Lord Jesus Christ (by reading and believing His Word and rejecting Satan's text) and paid a painfully awful price for doing so. The monstrous torture and massacred inflicted upon them is what they went through to bring **YOU**, the reader, the King James Version English Bible. (Also, as we look closely, we will discover the apostate church's bloody hand fingerprints all over the history of our Bible versions.)


There were many brave men, women and children who participated in the preservation of God's Holy Word. Many of them gave their lives protecting our Bible manuscript from where we get our KJV Bible. There is nowhere in history where Christians gave their lives protecting Satan's text out of Alexandria, Egypt where all of our modern versions are coming from with all their missing verses and altered readings.

Beloved. millions of our brothers and sisters in the Lord laid down their lives for the reading contained in the King James Bible! How can we forget them? Are we to abandon their Bible thev protected with their very own blood, tears and screams of pain, to embrace the "Bible" reading of their murderers?

Look at yourself in a mirror and stick your tongue out of your mouth as far as you can get it. Now imagine as you look at yourself: a hole bored through your tongue and a metal or wooden rod longer than the opening of your mouth pushed through this hole and remain on the surface of the face to keep your tongue extended as far out of your mouth as possible. All of this to prevent you from witnessing to other prison inmates. How do you think you would handle this situation if this torture was extended for a long period of time? This type of suffering was exactly what happened to your Christian brothers and sisters.

# Photography

Camera photography was not invented until the 1800s; therefore, picture illustrations had to be drawn by gifted artist on what they actually saw.



Ripping and tearing into the flesh of the victim and pulling at internal organs.



Christians were strip naked, hung by their necks and burn with fire; their little ones repeatedly slam to hard surfaces until they die.



Christians were sawed in half.



A woman is having her back broken

If anyone was caught with the Christian Bible, they were immediately sent to the apostate church's prison torturehouse of hell. It was so named because of the fiercely brutal suffering and pain that await victims; people greatly feared these places.

## **Torture Houses of Hell**

Most torture (slow death behind closed doors) was done in buildings specially equipped with torture device most of which was not known unless you were the victim. There were public displays of torturing executions which guickly killed Christians in the form of hanging, beheading, burning to a stake, feeding to lions, drowning, etc. For Christians, slow death suffering inflected with intense pain was done behind close doors. No-doubt, this was because it may have caused spectators to have great compassion on the suffering victims resulting in a change of heart towards them.

Today, these devices are publicly displayed in museums around the world but their true purpose were/are not completely revealed. They were designed by the apostate church to create fear to discourage the and stop spread of Christianity. However, because these devices were somewhat very effective, they were later used to punish and discourage capital crimes.

We should all asked ourselves a soul-searching question: if we were all indoctrinated with the teachings of the apostate church's message, would we have been participating in the torturing atrocities that went on behind closed doors claiming millions of lives?

Now, even though, Christian torturing (The Inquisition) was eventually outlawed across Europe, the apostate church continued its torturing appetite into the 18<sup>th</sup> century but was being carried out in certain parts of Europe and in the church's prison houses.

One clear example of this can be found in the book called, "History of the Ancient Christians," by Jean Paul Perrin, page 314. where Napoleon solders surround one of the apostate church's buildings and a search was conduced. Everything seemed to be in order and place water properly in until was commanded to be thrown on the floor in certain parts of the building. When this happened, an underground tunnel was discovered. After venturing underground in the tunnel in spite of strong opposition from the Inquisition General, the solders saw sites that were too painful to completely describe: the blood, the stench of dead corpse, the many dead bodies and skeleton remains, the machines of torture, the Christians who miraculously were still alive but chained to walls and to torture device to extend their pain and suffering.

The solders then released all of the prisoners of this dark and demonic prison and ordered the Inquisition General and all his staff on some of the machines of torture that brought instant death. This did not go well without them begging and pleading for lives. mercy to spear their (How insensitive!) However, their disgustingly requests were nowhere near to appeasing the soldiers who seem more than willing to permanently end their heartless actions by sending them to their very own "blood hoggish" graves: they were executed.

Because the apostate church could not disassociate themselves from the torture and death practices during this dark period in history, most of the information available in books, video documentation, online, etc., gave a false view of the primary purpose of these torture devices.

The information given, claim that these devices were used during the "Dark Ages" or the "Medieval Period" on criminals, adulterers, slaves, thieves, witches, spies, blasphemers, homosexuals, fornicators, murderers, etc., but very rarely "used on Christians" are mentioned.

This false labeling covered up the real purpose of their invention and the greatest atrocities in humanity's history. These painfully frightening torture devices were invented by demons, working behind the scene and they were invented for Christians. Christians were unlawfully and wrongfully convicted of the crimes mentioned above to justify killing them; crimes they never even committed.

Many of torturing devices were so successful in achieving their desired goals, it is believed that some of them are still being used today, but in hostile countries around the world (for example: most Islamic countries or all countries that are known to persecute Christians).

This is one of the reasons why in today's covert operations, militarv personnel are given a cyanide pill that is securely attached to their back-wisdom tooth. If they are caught, to avoid the intense torture and suffering ultimately leading in death, they are told to bite on the secured attachment, then bite into the pill to release the cyanide content which brings about an instant death to the soldier; this, to avoid the excruciating pain and suffering that would be inflicted eventually killing the soldier.

In the apostate church's prison "torture-houses of hell," the executioner sometimes slowed the tortured procedure down to achieve the maximum pain effect (screaming, begging, pleading, and bargaining). Sometimes victims are left for several days of excruciating pain eventually ending the pain (from a lack of cooperation) by placing them on one of their machines of instant death; for example: The Iron Maiden.

## **The Iron Maiden**





The Iron Maiden was a final form of torture devise found in the apostate church many prison torture-houses that brought instant death to its victims, if they were not cooperating.

It was a hollow metal chamber with a metal swinging entrance door. There were many long sharp spikes extended on the inside walls of this metal chamber and on the inside part of the door that would severely pierce anyone who steps inside. There was no chance of survival for the victims who entered this device. It was instant death with lots of bleeding.

As the metal door is slammed shut, twenty or more long sharp spikes pierce through the body instantly killing its victim. Christians who were not cooperating were assigned their final fate to this killing machine.

## **The Crank Wheel**



The first sight of this device appears not to be so much of a problem for Christians. It looks simple and harmless but unfortunately, very deadly. The frightening thing with this device is the adjustable bed of spikes or pan of fire, depending on the executioner's choice, that awaits its victim at the bottom. No one survives this: they would usually bleed to death. When the bed of spikes raises a few inches from the ground, it rips through the entire body of its victim, tearing into the flesh from the face to the toes. For an even greater pleasure, the executioner would replace the bed of spikes with a pan of fire; then slowly turn the wheel. The victim passes this pan of blazing

hot fire, feeling an unimaginable amount of excruciating pain.

# **The Fingernail Pull**





The executioner before spinning the wheel would seek a confession or answers from the Christian victim. If the executioner was not satisfied with the response, the wheel was turned and speed up with the spikes barely touching the flesh of the victim's body.

The victim was given a second chance; however, if the reply was still not acceptable, the bed of spikes was raised an inch and the wheel rotates several times before stopping. The victim was given one last chance, but, by this time, he or she has deep life-time scars from head (the face) to toes. The bed of spikes was raised for the final time and the rotation starts again. The victim does not survive the final rotation. This was because they would bleed to death from deep wounds inflected.



The Christian fingernails were ripped out or pulled away from the finger using a pliers or other equipment.


# **Toe Wedging**



A metal wedge was placed just underneath the toe nails. If the executioner was not satisfied with the victim's answer, a hammer like object was slammed on the wedge ripping the nails from the toe. This torture brought intense pain.


# Skinning





The Christian skin was torn, peeled or just completely cut off from the body, leaving the victim as a skinless naked gory mess. The executioner would sometimes tie the victim standing up and tear away facial skin and flesh first before moving to the neck and then on to the rest of the body. The victim was then placed in an area to suffer and die by natural elements.

## The Judas's Cradle







Christians were tied up and hung by their hands and legs. A large metal spike called, "the Cradle," was placed at a calculated distance and position beneath them. The executioner would then release the victim who was elevated in the air allowing them to drop on the spike causing it to precisely penetrate their private regions. It was usually repeated again and again and continued for days before the victim dies of either an infection or loss of blood.

#### The Choking Pear






A small pear-shaped object the size of a male's penis (or just a little bigger) was

inserted in the Christian's mouth, rectum or a woman's vagina. The screw at the top was turned causing the pear to get larger, choking the victim or causing much pain. As the screw continues to turn, it eventually tears through the fleshy tissue of the throat or private area where it was inserted.

No-doubt, this device was never clean from piercing the private area of previous victims, but was used over and repeatedly on Christians.

### **The Head Crusher**





The chin was placed on a base bar and a helmet-like object was placed on the top of the head to lock it in position. (Sometime the head was turned sideways and locked in place with the top helmet-like part and the device base gripping both sides of the victim's jaws.) As the executioner slowly turns the screw, the top along with the bottom parts of the device compresses together crushing the skull. The Christian was kept conscious the entire time, even when their eyeballs are slowly squeezed out of the sockets.

This device included a small container to catch the eyes because they

were usually the first to be squeezed outside the socket.

## The Spanish Tickler





Christians were tied by their two hands hanging naked to a flat surface. A sharp spike fork instrument was attached to the end of a long rod handle. The executioner raked the back of the victim using the sharp fork, ripping and tearing away the flesh and pulling on bones that are caught by the fork. This was seriously painful leaving the victim in a state of painful shock.

The Christian eventually dies of either bleeding to death or ripping away,

pulling and destroying vital internal organs.

#### **The Breast Ripper**






This device was used on condemned Christian women falsely convicted of heresy, blasphemy, adultery, self-induced abortion, erotic white magic and any other crime that the inquisitors selected to justify administering this torture. The claws were used, either cold or heated to red-hot temperatures and placed on a female's exposed breasts. This consisted of claw bars which protruded from the wall. It was attached firmly to a wall and placed in a very secured way, firmly gripping the female's breast. The woman was pulled alongside the bars until her breasts were torn away.

A variation of this device was called the Spanish Spider. It also ripped the breast, tearing it away from the female's body. The Spanish spider was believed to be more painful than the breast ripper.

It was usually hanged from the ceiling, heated to red hot temperatures and fixed to the breast firmly gripping the soft fleshy part. When this device was pulled up, the sharp points closes tearing out a chuck of flesh. The female was sometimes just pulled away from the device and the breast was removed, ripping away from the body.

### The Heretic's Fork









A neck belt was attached to a twosided fork that was placed around the neck of the victim with both fork ends piercing into the base of the neck and chin causing serious discomfort. This device was usually left in place until the victim complies with the request of the executioner. If after several days of none compliant, the Christian was usually transferred to a more intense torture device.

# The Finger, Toe & Thumbscrew Clamp





There were many variations of this torture device. Some were designed to slowly crush fingers, while others were built to do the same to toes, knees and elbows. This was just a simple devise, but extremely painful.





Countless Christians convicted as heretics and blasphemers had their tongues cut out with this simple device.

This device was design to completely cut out as much of the tongue and other soft tissue on the victim's body. The tongue was removed to take away the victim's ability to communicate with other Christians. When the executioner gained a suitable piece of it (perhaps pulling it out with a pliers), the tongue was gripped by the device and the screw was turned. The tongue was then cut and removed from the mouth of the victim.

No matter how severe the torture was, there were Christians who

were courageously relentless about their faith and was not deterred from any device that was used on them.

#### THE STRAPPADO








Christians hand was tied behind their backs. A rope was tied to their hands which ran along a pulley overhead and to a device called the Strappado that was firmly attached to the ground. The Strappado had a large wheel that was turned to lift up any human body weight connected to the other end of the rope. The executioner turned the wheel which pulled on the rope raising the victim anywhere from ten to twenty feet in the air. At some point the wheel was released, suddenly dropping the victim, and abruptly stopping the fall just inches from the ground.

Depending on the victim's body weight, this episode would usually break or dislocate all of their shoulder joints, tearing or popping all attaching ligaments causing intense pain.

The executioner would repeat this torture to intensify pain of the already severely injured Christians until he was either satisfied with the degree of damage and pain inflected, the Christian complies with his request or the Christian arms rips, separating from the rest of the body. By this time there was severe internal or external bleeding.

#### The Rack





The rack was a device designed to dislocate every single joint in victim's body. Christians were tied across its bed at both the ankles and wrists. The victim's body was then pulled in opposite directions by turning rollers located at both ends of the rack. The pain caused by the multiple dislocations was unbearable. Only a miracle could have sustained Christians from the conquering power of the rack.

There was some variation of the rack where long sharp spikes were at the center of the bed that penetrated the body as it was stretched, adding to the pain.

#### **The Pillory**




The Christian head and arms were locked in a wood like device as seen above

and left for days in a bent body position. This device was used to publicly humiliate Christians or privately bring severe discomfort by keeping them in this position for days: weeks or months.

Also, sometime it was use with just the two feet locked in place and raised in the air with the victim's naked body uncomfortably lying on the sharp edge of a counter top. As Christians endured this agonizing position, other torturing device were use on the victim's chest. All of this, along with the discomfort back rest and the pain felt as the victim's body weight pulls on the locked ankles, were very uncomfortable and painful. Sometimes, fire was placed at the bottom of the victim's feet that were locked in place to speed up demanding confessions.


The Pillory was also use to rape the women by locking both legs into the two groves.

There were many variations to the pillory that maximized the pain and discomfort ultimately trying to get victims to cooperate with the executioner's demands.



Christians had their legs place between these sharp teeth like torturing device. As the handle turn the screws, the claws came together crushing and mutilating the joints of the victim. This device was used on any part of the body that could be gripped by it

#### The Brazen Bull






A trap door opened on top of a large brazen bull's back where there was a hollow chamber. Christians were placed and locked inside this metal beast. Fire was then placed under the belly of the metal device which caused it to act as an oven to bake and cook humans; thus, creating intense pain from the heat and pressure that builds up inside from the fire.


The mouth of the brazen bull was opened that made a unique loud sound from its victims who would scream to death. This loud noise was heard miles away.

# The Scavenger's Daughter



Christians were placed into a circular metal confinement where there was a screwing end that was tightened. As the screw tightens, the body was severely compressed in a very uncomfortable position and was left for days until the victim dies of starvation. This was because the victim was so compressed, nothing can be digested. This device was also used to humiliate Christians.

## **The Interrogation Chair**





Just by looking at this device, Christians were horrified with what was about to happen. They were strip naked and strapped onto a chair filled with hundreds of sharp spikes. The legs, hands and chest were strapped tight, fastening the body to the sharp spikes with very little movement possible. Even though at this stage, the spikes already began puncturing the body, the executioner would place fire under the chair that heated up the spikes to red hot temperatures.


This heat caused victims to shake and make small movement causing the spikes to penetrate deeper and deeper into the victim's wounds. Christians would usually bleed to death during this very painful ordeal.

The Skull Splitter



A circle was placed around the head with several sharp spikes on the inside of the circle and two tightening screws on opposite sides. The Christian was left conscious throughout the whole episode. As the screws tightened on both sides of the circle, the sharp spikes puncture and crack the skull. The crack expands, breaking into the skull exposing the brain. This caused instant death to victims; however, not before feeling excruciating head pain.

## The Gibbet



There were many variations of this device in many shapes and forms.

This was like human metal clothing cage design to fit over the body locking all body movements in place. It was then hanged in the air exposing victims to the natural elements. Animals (birds), insets, etc., would feed on them. The cage was sometimes hanged in public places so that it became humiliating for Christians where people would gather around to mock and throw rocks and other objects at them.

#### **The Wheel**



Christians were tied to a wheel. The wheel would slowly turn while the executioner slams all moving joints (hands, legs, etc.,) with a sledgehammer permanently disabling them. The victim's motionless body was then placed in the air on top of the wheel where birds and other animals can feed on them. Victims were usually alive for about 3 to 5 days before dying.

## Sawing



The victim was stripped naked, tied upside down and left in this position for public humiliation. Afterwards, they were sawed in half. The executioner would sometimes slowly saw to the abdomen where the victim's mince flesh and blood drains from the sawed area covering the victim's face just to extend the pain and suffering before they die.

#### **Other Torturing Devices**

**Ducking Stool** – The victim was chained to a chair that was lowered into water covering their entire body. Just before death by drowning, the chair was lifted only to be immersed in water again and repeatedly. This was done as many times as the executioner so chose.

**Foot Roasting** – Both legs were placed into a stationary pillory and fire is placed under the feet to be roasted.

**Spanish Boot** – a clamp for the leg that when tightened, severely compresses them until they were crushed.

**The water torture** – There were variations of this torture procedure. There was boiling the victim in water; forcing the victim to drink gallons and gallons of water; freezing the victim with water in winter by throwing it on their naked bodies; etc.

**Exposure** – The victim was tied and buried upright in dirt up to their necks and left to die by exposing them to the natural elements: animals, insects, birds, etc., feeding on them.

**The Rat Torture** – Hungry rats were placed into a topless cage and attached to the victim's stomach area. The only way out for the rats was to feed on the victim's flesh and internal organs, eating their way to freedom.

Mutilation Shears or Limb/Finger Removal Tool – Used to server a crushed finger, toe, etc.

**Guillotine** – This device was used to cut the Christian head off.

**Ripping Out Teeth** – This was done similar to what dentist does today but without any anesthetics. The pain was unbearable.

**Blinding** – An object was used to pierce both eyes or the executioners just simply push (with force) both of his thumbs into the eyes, bursting the balls, permanently damaging them.

**Amputation** – Cutting off Christians head or body parts was a common method used and is still performed today on Christians especially in <u>Islamic countries</u>. For example, to show you how modern this execution method is, just type in "Christian beheading" on your internet browser and you will get lots of links with actual footings of Christian's head being sawed off. Usually, these links are removed by the authorities but reappear using other links. Please be aware that these videos are extremely graphic. If you cannot stomach these types of butchery, do not watch them.

### The Conclusion

Before ending, Richard Roth, a condemned prisoner just before being burnt to death on September 17, 1557, because he was courageous and refused to cooperate with the executioner's wishes, wrote to his fellow victims a 481 words encouraging letter. There was no ink in his cell, just paper to write on. Guess what he used instead? Yes! You guessed it: his very own blood that was dripping from a previous torturing episode.

"O dear Brethren and Sisters, How much reason have you to rejoice in God, that He hath given you such faith to overcome this bloodthirstv tvrant (Bishop Bonner) thus far! And no doubt He that hath begun that good work in vou, will fulfill it unto the end. O dear hearts in Christ, what a crown of glory shall ye receive with Christ in the kingdom of God!...O fare you well, and pray. The grace of our Lord Jesus Christ be with you all. Amen, Amen. Pray, pray, pray! Written by me, with my own blood, Richard Roth."

Read the entire letter in [6], page 141-142 or online at: <u>http://www.martyrs.ca/Martyr/Executions-</u> <u>At-Islington.html</u>, <u>http://www.Biblestudytools.com/history/foxs</u>

-book-of-martyrs/executions-at-


islington.html

http://www.exclassics.com/foxe/foxe369.ht m.

There are so many other torture devices and techniques that this author

would need lots of pages to list them.

However, for an excellent illustration of tortured device used during the Inquisition or medieval period, watch Discovery Channel's, "Machines Of Malice." You can purchase a DVD copy from Amazon.com or watch individual episodes Youtube.com. Type for free on in www.youtube.com and enter "Machines of Malice" in the search field; then watch each of the separate torturing devices and how they work.



You can also log onto the following: http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fBN\_3YJ KZrY&list=PLEF1E9995BADCBBFF to watch the episodes.

These videos were produced by The Discovery Channel called: Machines of Malice

There are thousands of links on the internet that list and describe many other torture devices. Some of them give real video footage of the torture procedure used. Below list some of those links. Please be aware that some of the video links are very graphic and you should view with caution; viewing with smaller children is seriously not recommended; they will not clearly understand what is going on.

May God stir your hearts as you watch and read this information. Allow the

or

mental energy of possible anger (be ye angry, and sin not: Ephesians 4:26) that would dominate your emotions as you watch and read this information to be channeled in giving to organizations that were established to minister to Christians who are today undergoing some of these methods of torture. One such organization is called **Voice of the Martyrs**. (You can reach them at <u>www.persecution.com</u> or 1-800-747-0085 and 877-337-0302. Their address is: P.O. Box 443, Bartlesville, OK 74005-0443)

## Christian Persecution Links

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1SNhPq AIM24

http://www.medievality.com/torture.html

http://www.youtube.com/watch?NR=1&v=7 GPFjW60ip4&feature=endscreen

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bcicAGMwts&feature=relmfu

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=JSR0Qi9 Fclo&feature=related

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=OWhXoT 8qUhw&feature=related

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uccc8uc\_ aDk&feature=endscreen&NR=1

http://www.listaholic.com/12-of-the-mosthorrifying-torture-devices-in-history.html

# Others Torturing Information

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v= 1SNhPqAIM24

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v= duLFq6QKyPE

The History Channel <u>http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=</u> <u>qQTT12nN4hU</u>

These were torture machines that could have only been invented by demons.



Which Bible is God's Word? Is it the AMP, ASV, CEV, GNB, GWT, KJ21, KJV, KJV-ER, LB, Msg, NAB, NASB, NIV, NJB, NKJV, NLT, NRSV, NWT, RSV, TEV, TNIV, etc., or all listed in the background on the front cover?

# Erasmus, viciously attacked by historian pit-bulls!

# His enemies knew, dead-men don't speak!

#### - Did you know there are two conflicting accounts on Erasmus? -

#### **Outline:**

- 1. The objective of Erasmus enemies exposed.
- 2. Conflicting editions of Erasmus accompanying work and interpretation of textual critics' subtle rhetoric.
- 3. The time Erasmus took to secretly build his Greek New Testament text and which Bible manuscript he used?
- 4. How Erasmus was allowed to examine manuscripts across Europe and why they did not kill him?
- 5. Erasmus painful task of examining confiscated Bible manuscripts illustrated.



6. Erasmus enemies (historian scholars) pit-bull attack explained.

Four hundred of Ahab's prophets gave their "divine revelation approval" to go and defeat the enemies. However, ONE man of whom Ahab <u>hated</u> warned of what awaits him if he goes. Thanks to Ahab's stubborn, rebellious and arrogant attitude, he accepted the lethal counsel from the 400 false guides and lost his own life as a result. God's Word many times come from single messengers, amides a multitude of professional and very "knowledgeable" worldly counselors. (1 Kings 22:2-38) Today there are literally hundreds of modern versions all from the Latin Vulgate text compared to or competing with the King James Bible that came from the Old *Itala* Bible Manuscript. Textual critics <u>hate</u> the KJV and will do anything to see its demise.

Before briefly discussing Erasmus, this writer would like to expose his enemies who have unleashed their vicious attack against him.

Did you know that there are two conflicting accounts of Erasmus' biography and bibliography: his life and work?" Did you know that there are two schools of editors that produced scholarship work on Erasmus? One of the editions was written by enemies (liberals) and the other by conservative editors. The goal by his enemies was to bulldoze his Greek text and anyone who came to his defense. His Greek text later became known as the Textus Receptus a Latin term meaning, "Received text."

Did you know if Erasmus enemies were successful with attacking and overthrowing his New Testament Greek Text, (which would have ultimately crush the King James Bible) their Latin Vulgate would have prevailed?

Erasmus from the day his Greek text surfaced to this present day, scholars have tried unsuccessfully to destroy it. This was were only two because there text challenged manuscript that were in Erasmus dav. The Old Itala Bible Manuscript (from the Byzantine Text Family) and the Latin Vulgate (from the Alexandrian Text Family).

When Erasmus Greek Text surfaced (from the printing press), massive amount of people began leaving the apostate church. This was because for decades, the church deprived them from accessing and reading God's Word. If reading God's Word was allowed, readers would have been able to recognize all the false pagan exercises done by the church's clergy.

Erasmus refused to use the Latin Vulgate to translate his Greek New Testament text and as a result, the apostate church unleashed a pack of historian pit-bull (scholars) after him. These scholars criticized his work with fallacious allegations to deliberately try and destroy his Greek text from where we get the King James Bible. The translators on the KJV committee recognized how corrupt the Latin Vulgate was and how the apostate church included their false pagan world view into the text; for these reasons, they decided not to use it in their work. Thus, the Latin Vulgate was rejected and not used by them neither was it used throughout history by the underground Christian church. This was a very obvious fact which caused ongoing persecution even during the KJV translation project.

This abandonment angered the apostate church's hierarchy who desperately tried to tear at and pull-down Erasmus Greek text stronghold (all books produced from his work).

Along with Erasmus Greek text, he provided accompanying notes in separate books that was also later published. These notes were all written in Latin and available today as expensive collector's items which can be found in museums around the world and in the possession of compulsive ancient book collectors.

Unfortunately, for English speaking readers, a Latin translator was necessary to translate exactly what Erasmus thoughts, views and opinions were on passages of scripture in his Greek text. Also included in his work were the difficult circumstances that surrounded him during his day.

Translating editors took these Latin notes and translated them to English. What is interesting as was mentioned earlier, there are two conflicting historical accounts found in these English editions. They are conservative editions (word for word, Latin to English) of Erasmus notes and there are liberal (or critical) editions written by his enemies who modified and manipulated his notes as they were translating them from Latin to English.

This writer placed these editions into two categories: (1) "Conservative Editions," (CE) and (2) "Liberal Editions," (LE).

CE representing editors who just word for word translated Erasmus notes into English so that readers can understand his views, opinions and methods behind the Greek text he produced. LE representing editions produced by his enemies who hated him because of the damage his Greek text caused and for this reason, translated his notes into English but modified and manipulated them to destroy his reputation as they were translating them to English.

As readers walk through textual critics, rhetorical jungle of words, they would notice how these detractors attack the underground Christian Bible, Erasmus, the production of all text that were translated from Erasmus Greek text and the KJV Bible. Also, readers would observe how they very subtly promote Jerome's corrupt Vulgate Bible and all translations that came from it. (For an example of this, read, "A Visual History of the English Bible," by Donald Brake. In his book, like all of his scholarship colleagues, he very subtly attacks Erasmus work to tear it down, then carefully and cautiously with the power of his pen, promoted **his church's** Latin Vulgate text. Arguments from textual critics are all generally the same and can be found in most modern scholar's work on Erasmus today.

Below are examples of Erasmus' editors who wrote on his life and work.

Liberal (or critical) Editor in the person of: Erika Rummel, "Erasmus Annotations on the New Testament: from Philologist to Theologian," University of Toronto Press 1986

Conservative Editions	Liberal (textual critics) Editions
(CE)	(LE)
Wrote on how brilliant and famous Erasmus was resulting in all of the most prominent figures in Europe begging for his presence. (This would not have been possible if his brilliance was not far above his scholarship pairs.) Kings, queens, emperors, prominent conservative scholars, universities, etc., all desperately wanted his presence and practically beg for a long stopover when visiting. He was the world most brilliant scholar at the time but his enemies hated him.	Surprisingly, they would never mention how well respected and brilliant Erasmus was during his day and are very silent on these facts. Instead, they called Jerome (who helped to create the corrupt Latin Vulgate text) a master at biblical Hebrew and Greek "far ahead of his time." No mentioned of Erasmus, being the greatest literary scholar of his day. (If they did, smart thinking readers would have then questioned, how did he become so great? Many intelligent questions would have eventually exposed textual critic's work and their dishonest historical intentions.)
Described how Erasmus despised the apostate church activities and system and disassociated himself from it. He detested the atrocities done by the church towards Christians.	Claimed Erasmus had light criticism but yet remain in the church's system as a devoted scholar. Some authors claimed in their books that Erasmus was devoted to the apostate church.
Described that Erasmus used the Old Itala Bible and avoided the official apostate church's Latin Vulgate text. Erasmus wrote in	Claimed that Erasmus used but modified the Latin Vulgate to produce his New Greek text.

**CE** Conservative Editor in the person of J.A. Froude, "Life & Letters of Erasmus," NY Charles Scribner's Sons 1894. Read it free online at: https://www.guestia.com/library/1868140/life-and-letters-of-erasmus.

his preface that he did not consult the Latin Vulgate but the ancient <i>Italic</i> Bibles. ([2c], page 962)	
Erasmus rejected the Latin Vulgate because of its massive body of errors. He saw where copyist intentionally changed words and verses in hand written manuscripts from what they were originally to adding, dropping or omitting them in the Latin Vulgate. This resulted in "war" against all others (which simply meant persecution to anyone found with another text in their possession).	Jerome corrupt Latin Vulgate became the official authorized text of the apostate church. They claimed Erasmus used an inferior text while at the same time in other places they said he used the Latin Vulgate. Critics were very silent on the intensity the Inquisition had gotten as a result of Erasmus Greek text.
Described how Erasmus combed the prominent libraries of Europe hunting and devouring manuscripts. This was an almost 40 years passion (the length of time it took to complete the work which resulted from the dangerous circumstances that existed) to build his Greek text. It was believed that he had examined thousands of copies during his life time and just before he published his Greek New Testament text.	Claimed that he used fewer than ten manuscripts to produce his Greek text. Some scholars work mentioned approximately five. They claimed he began his search for manuscripts to build his Greek New Testament text in 1515 then published his work the following year (1516). Scholars use this argument over and repeatedly to destroy the confidence in Erasmus Greek text.
Described how Erasmus carefully and cautiously examined thousands of ancient hand written manuscripts during his traveling throughout Europe.	Claimed that he rushed his work so that it would be the first of its kind off the printing press. His enemies alleged that he only had one year to pull it off. They also claimed he was very disappointed with it and made many careless and clumsy mistakes with his rushed translation to beat the market trend of his day. Critics argued that he did not take the time to find a complete manuscript of the Greek New Testament. His rival was "Ximenes" Complutensian (1520) of whom he was racing to beat. (The length of time it took building a <b>hand written</b> Greek New Testament <b>manuscript</b> from start to finish, coupled with the entire printing press' process in the 15 <sup>th</sup> century, the false " <b>ONE YEAR</b> " claim was really not possible; thus, awakening serious questions among <b>SMART</b> thinking readers, that crushes and expose scholar's dirty tactics.)
Wrote on how Erasmus exposed the apostate church in his day especially his early schooling years.	Very silent on how Erasmus viewed the pagan church. They promoted it as the established church in his day.

Building his text during his day was very dangerous which could have resulted in martyrdom. He carefully and secretly put all the pieces together and when the time was right, the text went to the printers.	Very silent on this fact. However, they claimed that prior to 1657 people perceived Greek New Testament as " <u>THE ONLY</u> ," " <b>DIVINE REVELATION</b> " because THE SCIENCE OF TEXTUAL CRITICISM was not yet developed and therefore this was the reason Erasmus Greek text was widely accepted.
All of Erasmus' work (every book he wrote) was placed on "The Index of Forbidden Books" in 1559 by the apostate church. ([2c], page 1003) The apostate church scholar, Sebastian Frank, included Erasmus name in his list of heretics in world history. ([2c], page 1003)	Claimed that Erasmus was a very stately apostate church scholar and the church embraced his work promoting this claim only to make readers believe the world's most brilliant scholar was one of their own or a friend to the church. ([2c], page 1003) Along with this false deception, some scholars made it out as though Erasmus used their Latin Vulgate text.
People were not allowed access to God's Word and therefore ignorance (or not knowing what "thus said the Word") was rampant. It was illegal to read the underground Christian Bible which was punishable by death. Most persons did not take any chances.	Only the church's clergy was allowed access to the Latin text mainly because they were the only ones trained to do so. This was the church's law to avoid private interpretation which lead many times to heresy among church members. (This lie really sounds thoughtful, but deadly if accepted as truth in "the face" of the preceding and conflicting view. Unfortunately, this lie is still preached among many religious organizations today to keep people blind to truth.)
The direct cause of the reformation was that masses of people were discovering truths in God's Word from reading. This resulted from the massive availability of Bibles that were being printed.	Claimed that the direct cause of the reformation was the reaction to the sales of indulgences.
Indulgence was a very sinful, evil and a wicked money-making scheme developed by the apostate church to raised money to fill the church's coffer.	Indulgence was designed to demonstrate the penitent acknowledge of his offense before God. It was part of the medieval culture and practice.

# Textual Critic arguments explained and interpreted. What they wrote, "BUT" will not truly reveal: what they really meant.

Textual Critics Arguments	Arguments explained and translated	
Textual Offics Arguments	Arguments explained and translated	
When textual critics say: "several of his renderings did not appear in any known Greek manuscript and yet are still used in the King James Version."	What they mean is: Several of his renderings did not appear in the Latin Vulgate or any translation that came from it. The King James Version used the <i>Itala</i> Bible manuscript and other Greek and English texts translated from it.	
When textual critics say: "all modern scholars	What they mean is: all liberal modern-day	
abandon Erasmus Greek text" or "all religious authorities universally condemned William Tyndale's version."	scholars abandon Erasmus and William Tyndale's text for the apostate church's Latin Vulgate. They also deserted all translations coming from them.	
When textual critics say: "Erasmus text	What they mean is: Erasmus did not use the	
appeared to be inferior in quality to the one produced by Ximenes," and "it gained early dominance because it was published in 1516, four years before Ximenes's (1520) <i>Complutensian</i> ."	Latin Vulgate text; he used the Old <i>Itala</i> text which critics claimed to be an inferior text.	
When textual critics say: "A word, phase, verse, etc., in Erasmus Greek text cannot be found in most manuscripts."	What they mean is: The word, phase, verse, etc., cannot be found in the Latin Vulgate, Vaticanus, Saniticus, the Westcott & Hort Greek text or any others translated from them. (Today there are hundreds of modern versions compared to and competing solely with the hated KJV text.	
When textual critics say: "the Textus Receptus is not identical to the King James Version."	What they mean is: the King James Version is not identical to the "Textus Receptus" that was <b>rewritten</b> by imposters. (For example, persons like Scrivener's backward Greek translation from the English King James Version published by The Trinity Bible Society. Scrivener's Greek text was and is erroneously called the Textus Receptus by today's scholars.)	
Desiderius Erasmus. (1466-1536)	"arson-rampage" (burning down libraries) to	

Desiderius Erasmus, (1466-1536) one of the world's greatest scholars spent almost forty years (40) of his life secretly translating the Old *Itala* manuscript (Latin) to Greek; thus, building his Greek New Testament text. This was all made possible from an addiction of hunting and devouring ancient manuscripts from many of the most prominent libraries across Europe. It was all done before the apostate church went on a "arson-rampage" (burning down libraries) to destroy all Byzantine family of text or the Old *Itala* Bible manuscript (the underground Christian Bible) to stamp out and stop the "dangerous" spread of the reformation.

Unfortunately, for the apostate church, Erasmus was able to examined thousands of ancient manuscripts of those existed from the very early centuries before they were burnt to ashes. One of the main circumstances that helped fueled the reformation was when Erasmus Greek New Testament text surfaced or was made public. Scholars erroneously claimed that the reformation was fueled by the church's indulgence law that caused Martin Luther to post his 95 theses to the Wittenberg church door. However, this was far from the truth. It was God's Word that led the people away from the church's pagan brainwashing.

Erasmus took approximately 40 long years to put it all together because of two main reasons: (1) what he was doing in secret was very dangerous for him and all persons who worked along with the project. Any leak would have brought about a painful death to the entire team. During his early years, he was not very well known as was the case in his later years and for this reason killing him because of what he was secretly doing would have been an easy assignment. (It was a criminal offense to any unauthorized text translate not sanctioned by the official church at the time.) However, during Erasmus later years, silencing (locking him away in prison) or executing him became very complicated because every prominent leader across Europe knew him as the greatest and well-loved scholar alive. And (2): Traveling from country to country was not easy as it is today where thousands of miles of traveling can be accomplished in just a few hours.

Erasmus took every opportunity to accomplish examining ancient manuscripts (Old *Itala* Bible text) so that he was certain every word from the underground Christian text was included into his translated Greek text. This was an enormous undertaking to try and bring God's Word to the people.

The printing press conditions Erasmus worked under were very poor compared with today's vast amount of computer aided printing press' error prevention methods. Printing a Greek New Testament (an approximate 500 pages document) on very new and innovative equipment with no misprint detection tools were no easy task. The painstaking manual process of setting up the characters for just a single page printing, human error was always a predictable episode. Imagine printing 500 pages Greek New Testaments. Also, imagine reading a hand written manuscript, to carefully and cautiously arranging the corresponding characters to avoid misspelt or misprint words; all of this was no easy exercise.

Many authors had to go through several editions to include all intended textual contents and even this had its drawbacks: new editions always created new misprints. Missing letters, words or even sentences were all made possible due to a lack of printing presses misprint detection techniques. This was why Erasmus went through five editions before his death in 1533. Errors critics ascribe to Erasmus' work were mainly printing press misprints and mistakes. ([2c], page 941)

(If you the reader were to examine exactly how printing was done in the fifteenth century, it will amaze you on how thousands of copies with few errors were even possible.)

For a clear example of this, Erasmus was very much aware of and had access to manuscripts that included 1 John 5:7 called the **"famous"** Johann Comma, ([2c], page 975-978) but the verse never reached the actual printed text until his third edition. Scholars (**ignoring** other misprints in his two earlier editions), **hammered** on 1 John 5:7 that was missing in Erasmus' first and second editions because of its prominent Trinity "proof text" status. To them, this was an **"unforgiving"** blunder even though Erasmus had <u>no control</u> over the printing press working team's oversight.

It was a painstaking task ensuring that every word was included in the finish product. Hence, his intense book proof reading focus was not just on 1 John 5:7, but on **every word** (Matthew 4:4). Every letter, word, sentence, punctuation, etc., of God's Word was just as important. Also, there were no **"book recalls"** in Erasmus' day and therefore any book blunders were sold as is.

(Imagine proof reading the entire New Testament that had no verse numbering system and the epistles in one large paragraph. This was a massive and complex undertaking where one small error on a single page was fatal among the print shop team.)

With the kind of unseen enemies Erasmus had (swarms of demons) who hated and despised what he was doing, almost any major printing blunders were possible among the project team members. Satan no-doubt attached any weak link them. However. with his among surrounding circumstances, this writer was certain God intervened and ensured Erasmus Greek text (all editions) was ready for all future Christian readers before his death.

Read Chapter Two (under the argument heading, "Religious groups are also arguing that the original 1611 KJV is not the same as the KJV we have today.") for information on early printing press' challenges.

Watch the video presentation of Johannes Gutenberg (1398-1468) and his printing press invention at: <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v</u> <u>=Y1vl2j24Mtk</u>. Examine how comprehensively difficult it was producing books in the fifteenth century.

God blessed Erasmus with all the tools needed (money, travel, companionship, a unique talent, fame, intelligence, wisdom, lodging, love from enemies and leaders across Europe, any manuscript he wanted, etc.) so that he was able to complete the task at hand.

Erasmus combed Europe's prominent libraries (Paris, Italy, Germany, Spain, France, Greece, England, etc.) searching for the most ancient manuscripts before the apostate church set them ablaze. He had lived in just about every country in Europe, combing every major library each country had. ([2c], page 957)

When word got out that Erasmus Greek text went to the printers and thousands of books produced was secretly distributed and sold to the public, the apostate church quickly went into action and began destroying libraries across Europe. What Erasmus secretly did for many years had now came to light and was made possible by his access to these libraries. He rejected the Latin Vulgate and embraced the Christian text, the Old *Itala* Bible manuscripts and translated it into his Greek New Testament text.

Thinking that their arson rampage was a successful solution, to their shocking surprise, with the recent invention of the printing press (an invention this author believed God used as a revenge for trying to destroy His Word) Erasmus New Testament Greek text was "energy fuel" for the **unstoppable** reformation "freight train" that began blasting forward. (It was "fuel" thrown on the "reformation small fire" that caused a massive explosion of exodus among apostate church followers.) His Greek text was produced in very large numbers and sold in the thousands.

The apostate church was then powerless to cap this massive flow, even after the Inquisition was placed in high gear as a result. It can now be seen why apostate church scholars were so vicious on trying to tear down, attack and destroy Erasmus work. If it was possible, then the King James Bible and all other translations that were produced as a result would have fallen apart. Also, if they could have broken down the confidence in his Greek text, then their Latin Vulgate text may have gained more popularity.

#### Erasmus Early Years (1466-1486)

Erasmus was born in Holland (his exact birth date was debated) and was force to attend the apostate church's convent (a prison boarding school for young boys as it was described by him) by his greedy guardians who were only interest in the moderate fortune his dead parents (died from the plague) had left behind. As an orphan in the convent school, (as documented by Erasmus) a young boy's resistance was easily overcome. They were "...bullied into yielding..." ([2c], page 923) The apostate church technique of taming (as the process was called) superior lads were to threaten, frighten, beat them, crush their spirits, breaking them in for the secluded and confined prison school (convent) converting them into Pharisees: a type of Pharisaical brainwashing. ([2c], page 924)

Erasmus said a boy who was "bent" into Pharisaical way of life, may later repent, "...but the superiors will not let them go, lest they should betray the orgies which they have witnessed. They crush them down with scourge and penance, the secular arm, chanceries and dungeons..." Erasmus said, the superiors talked of young men buried alive, frightening them into submission, "...yet these wretches call themselves the representatives of Benedict and Basil and Jerome. A monk may be drunk every day. He may go with loose women secretly or openly. He may waste the churches money on vicious pleasures." He continued, "Monks whose lives are openly infamous draw boys after them into destruction. The convent at best is but a miserable bondage, and if there be some outward decency (as among so many there must be some undepraved), a knot which cannot be loosed may still prove so fatal to soul and body." Erasmus stated that they "made him wear the dress, but they never had his consent. His oath was but an oath sworn to so many pirates." ([2c], page 924-925)

When he became a young man, like his biological father, he accepted the vocational task of examining and copying manuscript from the finest libraries across Europe that contained the world's most ancient copies.

He resisted taking their vows, but was forced to do it. He was only persuaded however, to join the monastery "**Solely** for its library, which was the finest of the century" with a promise of access to many ancient manuscripts. ([2c], page 926)

This was Erasmus passion (weakness) and therefore took he advantage of it and their free education; then consumed the libraries, carefullv examining the treasured ancient manuscript many of which were confiscated from Christians who had the underground Christian Bible and were martyred for their faith.

Erasmus received a doctorate in theology from the University of Paris and entered his passion world of devouring ancient manuscript from the finest libraries across Europe. After he had exhausted the libraries and bookshelves of a city, he would move to the next. Erasmus said, "I shall sit down to Holy Scripture with my whole heart, and devote the rest of my life to it." ([2c], page 934)

European libraries amassing of ancient manuscripts were permitted as long as these manuscript treasures remain in the hands of prominent clergy or trusted affiliates. Collecting and examining them was a private additive hobby among the apostate church clergy. This was publicly an illegal trade and was only allowed by (or was licensed to) the church's authorized clerics. However, when the content of manuscripts began leaking over to the general public (this was when Erasmus text "surfaced") at large, burning libraries to ashes became a common scene. (For a serious study on Erasmus see [2c], page 921-1045)

Erasmus and other reformers discovered that God's Word in library's stock pile outnumbered the few Latin Vulgate manuscripts that exist. This was critical information that began spilling over to the general public. It was made possible from the large amount of copying done by Christians who only copied God's Word from the Old Itala Bible manuscript and were killed for doing so and their copy taken away from them. As a result, European libraries were rich with these ancient treasures that attracted traders and collectors everywhere. Unfortunately, the common people could not read or write and

were not allowed to pursue education in the apostate church's-controlled schools.

The church ensured this remained a part of their life style to continue promoting their religious agenda, ultimately controlling the people, sucking them into poverty.

Did you know that the Spirit behind Erasmus text taught people how to read? This no doubt was an unexplained miraculous phenomenon that is still occurring today using the King James Bible. Just ask some of the elderly (others as well) who were never taught to read and write in the classroom.

Even though the church's hierarchy thought Erasmus was one of their own in his youthful years, (which was why he was allowed to freely comb the libraries in Europe) his heart and mind was far from what they truly believed. Erasmus simply wanted the truth and searched for it with all his might. He was aware of how and where these libraries got most of their ancient treasures (the Old Itala Bible). He read them thoroughly, over and repeatedly; he saw and was exposed to all the ungodly acts by the church's clerics which reminded "painful" early schooling him of his experiences: he no doubt witnessed Christians burnt to the stake and saw their charred bodies left tied there for days and later their Bible manuscripts given to him for examination; he saw the apostate church's prison torture houses of hell and all the heart wrenching and disgusting tools design to crush Christianity. Erasmus was on an undercover mission for the truth and vowed to reveal and expose what he had truly found.

In his mid twenties, Erasmus moved to Paris where he founded a "...life of an independent scholar, independent of country, of academic ties, of religious allegiance, of everything that could interfere with the free development of his intellect and the freedom of his literary expression...holding himself aloof from all entangling obligations..." ([2c], page 928)

Erasmus was so well respected and very well known in Europe until kings, rulers, emperors, etc., desperately sorted his presence. By the age of 40, he became the world's leading authority on the Greek language and the Greek New Testament.

Erasmus was so brilliant he was offered many positions of honor and profit in the academic world from kings and Emperors but decline them all. He was known to have searched libraries and visited many learned men. "He was no stationary scholar confined to desk or closet." Erasmus was the world's leading literary figure during his life time; he had examined scores of manuscripts throughout Europe or anywhere there was libraries filled with ancient manuscripts. "He was out in the world, traveling from city to city, gathering material among all places and all persons...In all literary history there is no more extraordinary figure." ([2c], page 989)

Frances I of Paris, Charles of the Netherlands and Spain, Margared of the Low Countries, the King of Hungary, the Archbishop of Canterbury all wanted him but to no avail. They all wanted him to be apart of their entourage. The Emperor wanted him is Spain, Ferdinand wanted him at Vienna, the Regent Margaret wanted him at Brabant, the King of England wanted him in London; even learned men of whom he had never heard of, write him daily all wanted his presence. All lucrative and prominent positions: but to be able to himself with the consume pure, unadulterated Word of God and to be able to follow his passion, he declined all of them. ([2c], page 958)

# It is amazing how Satan uses very tempting and destructive tactics.

As was mentioned earlier in Chapter One, to understand how pricelessly valuable Erasmus was to leaders in Europe, the following letter of invitation from Henry VIII, King of England was among many that were delivered to him: **"I propose therefore that you abandon all thought**  of settling elsewhere. Come to England, and assure yourself of a hearty welcome. You shall name your own terms; they shall be as liberal and honorable as you please... We shall regard your presence among us as the most precious possession that we have... We shall ask nothing of you save to make our realm your home... Come to me, therefore, my dear Erasmus, and let your presence be your answer to my invitation." ([6], page 130)

Erasmus Later Years (1487-1516)

During his time devouring great libraries throughout Europe, he was building his very own Greek text translated from the many ancient *Itala* manuscripts discovered. It was believed that Erasmus had a very powerful memory and very large potions of scripture memorized that could have been recited entirely from memory.

Approximately, a little more than ninety nine percent (99%) of all manuscript found in museums today agree with or matched Erasmus Greek text. What is very important to note is that only less than 1% agreed with the Latin Vulgate or the apostate church's Bible. This was made possible by the mass copying from the Old *Itala* manuscript known as the underground Christian Bible. Christian did not copy from the Latin Vulgate. ([2c], page 939; [3a], page 52-56)

Scholars erroneously claimed that the reason for this was because Erasmus text was the first to be printed in book format and therefore it set the standard for all Greek text that followed. Also, they claimed it was viewed by the people in Erasmus day as "divine revelation" and no one took the time to question, investigate or critique it, comparing it with the Latin Vulgate (the official text of the apostate church) to see how it lined up. This according to them was the reason there were so many more of Erasmus text available compared to the availability of the Latin Vulgate text. (Read, "Are the earliest manuscripts better than the later ones according to Bible scholars," in Chapter two for more information here.)

The Inquisition was ruthless and widespread. Everyone including Erasmus was very much aware of what was happening. The names of victims quickly spread throughout every village; news quickly reached the masses even during a time when there was no radio broadcasting. The church ensured of this to discourage and stop the spread of Christianity.

Erasmus no doubt was given the history from librarians on some of the prized ancient Old *Itala* Bible manuscripts in their possession or on their book shelves. There is no recorded documentation of the conversations that took place, but they no-doubt went somewhat like the following:

"These manuscripts here were taken from a group of underground heretics who were conducting unauthorized meetings in secret."

"This Old *Itala* manuscript was confiscated from a Joseph Hall who was put on trial for his unauthorized proselytizing activities; he was later boiled in oil until he died."

"This manuscript came from a pregnant heretic by the name of Isabella Comfort, who was strangled; her wretched stomach ripped open, her heretic child taken from her worm, cut up and then thrown to the pigs."

"That one you have in your hands was taken from a heretic homosexual who was burn to the stake for his crime."

No-doubt the librarian descriptive language was careless (not sensitive to how Erasmus was processing what was said); he **probably mentally** called the librarian, a disgusting fool, with no heart or feelings for Christians who were put through **"hell!"** for a stupid apostate church agenda.

Some of the names that may have been doubtlessly mentioned as he began examining the pieces, were either known to him personally or he read or heard of their "crimes," from the apostate church's records or from other sources.

Imagine Inquisition the was widespread and progressing; (Christians rounded were up and their heads amputated; many were burnt alive daily; those who were found in possession of the illegal Bible manuscript copies were sent to the church's prison torture houses of hell and finally to their deaths.) then to be told by the librarian of the history of a manuscript taken from a man on trial you knew quite well; who was accused of being a heretic witchdoctor. You knew his pleasant demeanor, his radiating love, his honesty and integrity; you once had the kind pleasure of dining with him and his wonderful family, with back and forth conversations of salvation, eternal life, forgiveness of sins, God's love, etc. His manuscript was taken from him and now you have the unpleasant job of reading it in its entirety. Now when you began reading, you understood and comprehend what great faith, peace, hope, courage and boldness it brought to a once confused mind. No doubt Erasmus experienced this tragedy over and repeatedly during his traveling as he examined the prominent libraries in his day. He met many individuals during his travels and they got to know him very well too.

Erasmus had the perfect opportunity to examine what they were reading and to uncover the power source from the book that caused such great boldness, courage and faith (Romans 10:17) to sacrifice one's life for the "Word" (John 1:1) that was written therein.

Knowing from reading what was written in the Latin Vulgate and the Old *Itala* Bible manuscript, Erasmus certainly was horrified and outraged, discovering why the "official" church wanted to silent Christianity and destroy the Old *Itala* Bible manuscript.

The *Itala* text (the Spirit of the book: John 6:63) lead readers to the truth, love, faith, joy, peace, gentleness and freedom; but using the Latin Vulgate (a false spirit guide) readers were lead to a life of mental prison, slavery, false worship, doubt, pagan ceremonies, hatred for person of a different religious persuasion (the same spirit we see today in Muslim communities) a life of living a false penitential scheme to black-mail and manipulate people, keeping them in a state of fear, blindness and hopelessness.

# Erasmus viciously attacked by a pack of pit-bull historians

By the time the apostate church caught on and understood what Erasmus did in secret, it was too late. His Greek text came off the printing press by the thousands and the church's clergy began seeing many empty pews. The reformation "bomb" exploded sending shock waves across Europe.

Erasmus Greek New Testament text was the first handwritten work to be produced in printed book format off the printing press.

#### Remember, The Word was and is always first, before all things. (Colossians 1:15-18)

Two of Erasmus' most widely read books, "The Praise of Folly" and the "Enchiridion," exposed the apostate church activities. The church greatly despised and hated Erasmus work so much so that in 1559, they placed everything he had written on the "**Index of forbidden books;**" even one of the church's scholars, Sebastian Frank, included Erasmus name in his list of heretics in world history. ([2c], page 1003) This was because Erasmus exposed the church for what it really was and because his Greek text did not match or follow the church's corrupt official Latin Vulgate text.

The Apostate church scholars could not tolerate the fact that Erasmus, one of the greatest intellects of all times, was a Bible believing born-again Christian who used the underground Christian Bible text as God's Word. (The *Itala* Bible text that was always greatly despised by enemies in the "official" church) Thus today Erasmus work is besieged and surrounded by a myriad of vicious enemies all trying desperately hard to bring down the **indestructible** walls of Erasmus fortress (God's Word).

When ask why they did not try to have him killed as they did with many other reformers, the apostate church leader (who was Erasmus former schoolmate) said, **"tolerance was only strategic."** ([2c], page 1041) Erasmus was such a powerful, well-known and very well-loved scholars even his enemies (who would have not think twice on killing him) respected his very presence among the living. They tried to "buy" him instead, offering a hefty price and position but Erasmus refused all offers.

They really could not silence Erasmus or kill him because there would be lack of cooperation from а serious European leaders who consider Erasmus a "prized treasure" and his presence were elation and excitement. These leaders would not entertain even the thought of killing the world's most treasured scholar. This was probable why the apostate church hierarchy figured it would not be in their best interest to send out a bounty on Erasmus with an attractive reward to anyone who killed him.

#### A Closed chapter of Erasmus life and a hatched account from miserable old liars (his enemies).

Misrepresentation was (and still is today) big among apostate church's clergy to bring down any Christian scholar or translator who seek to honestly produce any work done by Erasmus. Erasmus was and is still being misrepresented today by translators, biographers, authors, by enemies of the KJV Bible and forgers.

Sadly, Desiderius Erasmus (1466-1536) died in Basel at the age of 70 years but left a legacy that spread throughout Europe and the Christian world for decades; even to this very day. Erasmus, like the Apostle Paul in the New Testament, was and is remembered as one of the founding fathers of the great reformation that crippled the apostate church and brought a wind of freedom to underground Christian reformers. Notice some of strategic plans (scholarship subtleties) of today's scholars that are used as ammunition to riddle through all books written by Erasmus and anyone who try defending him.

Scholars claimed (using these critical editions) that Erasmus (a) Was displeased with his very own translation. (b) Other translators made him include things that he did not intend to include. (c) That he omitted a lot of what he did not understand. (d) He badly rendered many passages because of the marketing pressures to produce the first Greek printed Bible. (see, "A Visual History...," Chapter 13)

As you read critics of Erasmus, pay close attention to their claims of "methods used by Erasmus," in choosing what was in the text and his own opinion or preference. Statements that are "sprinkled" throughout their work are: "Erasmus **guessed**," "he **liked the reading better than others,"** "he transferred a word or verse from one passage to the next," "he dropped a phrase when he did not like it in one place and added one in another place."

Below are some of the false information generally found in books written by textual critics. They appear to use gentle criticisms in some places but underneath the surface, very lethal, subtly promoting the Latin Vulgate. This trend is clearly seen in most modern-day textual critics' work that contains a history of Erasmus. The following are examples of some of the negative comments you the reader will find in their books:

1 They claimed the direct cause of the reformation was the reaction to the sales of indulgence? Indulgence were designed to demonstrate the penitent acknowledge his offense before God. It was apart of medieval culture and practice. (See, "A Visual History...," page 88)

They refuse to admit the reformation was caused by the Christian underground Bible

that was now accessible to the general public. Christians who were courageous with their faith suffered martyrdom; others who were fearful remain in the pagan church.

2 Critics argue that Erasmus used a few manuscripts, less than ten to produce his Greek New Testament. Some said with approximately five manuscripts in hand, he began the task of editing. When parts of the text were indecipherable, Erasmus used the Latin text and translated it back into Greek.

This is so untrue because based on historical records, it was believed that he carefully examined thousands of manuscripts before publishing his first edition in 1516.

- **3** They claimed Erasmus was not pleased with his Greek New Testament text that he had produced.
- 4 They said he was under pressure to produce the first printed Greek text and therefore half heartedly threw it together. Critics said that pressure of a short deadline force Erasmus to produce a very imperfect and perhaps inferior edition.
- Critics claimed Erasmus inserted 1 5 John 5:7-8 only when he had lost a challenged. For example: Donald L. Brake author of "A Visual History of the English Bible," page 225, concocted a conversational story between Erasmus and an apostate church scholar arguing over 1 John 5:7-8. Erasmus in the story, promised to include it into his own work if the learned scholar can prove it exists from his own (corrupt) "sacred" Latin Vulgate text. The scholar won the challenge resulting in Erasmus including it into his 3<sup>rd</sup> edition Greek Text. Then several pages later (page 235), Brake coverts the factitious story to facts claiming that "the third edition included the famous Johann Comma in 1 John 5:7 that Erasmus promised to include if it could be found in any Greek manuscript." Brake added a footnote reference for his factitious story on page 225. Most readers do not read footnote references. Nevertheless, the

reference for the "converted fact" (found in Chapter 13, #1 on page 329) reads: "While the legendary account has been told many times, Daniel B. Wallace points out that no statement has been found to support the story." Brake claimed the book reference of this factitious story was found in: "Private correspondence from Daniel B. Wallace, 2008." Mr. Brake knowing readers do not always read footnotes included this note just in case nosy readers like this writer decides to read the reference for the story. He wrote this factitious story as fact with absolutely no evidence it had occurred. Imagine how far scholars or authors will go when they want to tear down and destroy someone's life's work to push their very own closet agenda.

- 6 They claimed he used an inferior manuscript to produce his text.
- 7 Critics said, "In 1515, Erasmus began searching for manuscripts of sufficient quality to be typeset." They claimed he completed and published it the following year. (For example: "A Visual History...," page 93) "Since the project required the utmost haste, Erasmus did not take the time to find a complete manuscript of the Greek New Testament. After all, these manuscripts were hand copied centuries before and were quite rare even in the sixteenth century."

Erasmus first edition was published in 1516 approximately one-year, devilish historians claimed, he took to compile and complete his Greek New Testament text. This kind of "scholarship subtlety" was and still is prevalent among Erasmus' arch-enemies. Read of how very clever, one of them, in his book ("A Visual History...," Chapter 5, page 93) made this claim, only to destroy readers confidence in Erasmus Greek text by claiming he started the project in 1515 and it was completed for the publication date (1516), the following year. As was mentioned earlier, the length of time it took building a hand written Greek New Testament manuscript from start to finish, coupled with the entire printing press' process in the 15<sup>th</sup> century, the false "ONE YEAR" claim was really not possible; thus, awakening serious questions among SMART thinking readers, that crushes and expose scholar's dirty

tactics.

- 8 They claimed that several of his renderings do not appear in any known Greek manuscript, and yet are still used in the King James Version text.
- 9 Textual critics claimed, demands for the reformation Bible was so intense, very little effort was placed on critiquing and comparing Jerome's Latin text with Erasmus Greek text. Printers cared less on the superiority of Erasmus text but on the profits made from book sales at the time. At the same time, they also claimed that Christianity was more concerned with theology than the analysis of textual criticism.
- Critics called Jerome "a master at 10 biblical Hebrew and Greek far ahead of his time." They also claimed that Jerome standardized the Hebrew and Greek manuscript which became the official Latin version of the church. mentioned Thev Jerome's abandonment of earlier Latin versions and claimed that Jerome's text begin to challenge all other versions for superiority. Also, it emerged as the dominant translation throughout history. (See, "A Visual History of the English Bible," by Donald L. Brake, page 31) What they failed to mention is that the statement, "begin to challenge," meant, "killing all Christians who did not accept it as God's Word and destroyed all Bibles written and translated from the underground Christian text.
- 11 Critics encourage persons to use modern versions for clarity and not to worship, glorify, mystify and sanctify the King James Version. They also claim that ONLY because of an over anxious business opportunity,

Erasmus text based on a FEW hand written manuscripts and an INCOMPLETE text translation from Latin into Greek (once the text was printed WITH ALL ITS IMPERFECTIONS), remained the standard text throughout history. In-other-words, who got the first Greek text to

In-other-words, who got the first Greek text to market, won the standardize prize throughout all of history. Critics claimed that because Erasmus did, his text got the "standardized gold metal."

.....

In conclusion, Jesus said unto the high powered doctors of the law (judges and politicians), lawyers, the scribes and Pharisees, the chief priests and elders of the people (the worldly scholars and counselors in His day), the very same caliber of men who definitely emulated identical characteristic of scholars today: "...woe unto you scribes and hypocrites...ye Pharisees blind guides...ye fools and blind...Ye serpents, ye generation of vipers, how can ye escape the damnation of hell?" (Matthew 23:13-33) These men were smart; they were brilliant; they drew the crowds by their eloquent speaking; they were powerful motivational orators; masters of the art of persuasion, very similar to many of our well-known high-profile speakers, authors and television evangelist today.



Which Bible is God's Word? Is it the AMP, ASV, CEV, GNB, GWT, KJ21, KJV, KJV-ER, LB, Msg, NAB, NASB, NIV, NJB, NKJV, NLT, NRSV, NWT, RSV, TEV, TNIV, etc., or all listed in the background on the front cover?

# These are our gods (scholars) O Christian!

# (Exodus 32:4) Can we trust our Greek and Hebrew Bible Interlinear and Lexicons?

- Serious prescription errors from the Doctors -



Jesus said: "...woe unto you scribes and Pharisees, hypocrites...ye blind guides...ye fools and blind...Ye serpents, ye generation of vipers, how can ye escape the damnation of hell?" (Matthew 23:13-33)

# These are our gods (scholars) O Christian!

#### Acknowledgement

Most of the information provided in this section came from Gail Riplinger's book, *Hazardous Materials: Greek and Hebrew Study Dangers*. This author is grateful for her very comprehensive encyclopedic work on some of the most influential scholars in the 1800s whose works have infiltrated all modern Bible versions available today. Read the next chapter to learn of who Gail Riplinger is and why scholars hate the work she did.

An abundance of additional information can be found on the internet; just type in the scholar's name along with their birth and death year for links.

Throughout our Christian text books you read comments like, "Scholars now have at their disposal a wealth of materials that the KJV translators knew nothing about," or "The Hebrew and Greek texts now available to Bible translators are far superior to what the KJV translators had." What do these statements really mean?

These statements simply mean that lexicons, dictionaries, Hebrew and Greek study tools were not available until the 18<sup>th</sup> century and therefore, were not used by the KJV translators. (Remember, these tools were produced more than two hundred years after the 1611 KJV Bible was published.)

Actually, the KJV is a **translation** from the underground Christian Bible and its sources, but modern versions are **interpretations,** using all of these modern Bible tools (to find and use "suitable" words) written by questionable apostate scholars.

Additionally, instead of these KJV haters, consult the 1611 KJV and it's sources for meaning of Bible words (The

**1611 KJV practically helped to create and develop our English language, grammar and word definitions.)** they turned to pagans, Greek philosophers, German-Latin sources and other works that were anti-KJV, anti-God and used them to produce lexicons, dictionaries and other "Bible" tools made available during the 18<sup>th</sup> century.

Erasmus said, "Heresy does not arise among the laity who have the scriptures in the vernacular, but among the doctors." ([2c], page 996)

Traveling "high speed" throughout Bible history from pagan Greek, Egyptian Papvri. apostate church's fathers to German-Latin word references, these satanic definitions found their way into Liddell-Scott's Greek-English lexicon then "smashed" through Westcott-Hort Greek text (1881) and from there slammed into all of our modern Bible versions available today.

All of our Bible study tools today plagiarized and copied from Liddell-Scott's work, hatching what we all see now as an abundance of corrupt, watered down, English modern version texts. Liddell-Scott Greek-English Lexicon is where all of our corrupt lexicon, Bible dictionaries, word study, word synonyms, "key word," "word picture," word analytics," "nuggets," etc., began; all copied (directly or indirectly) from it. For a clearer understanding of this, read [2f], page 72-96.

Disgracefully though, Liddell and Scott copied pagan definitions, then incorporated them into their Greek-English lexicon which was very similar to what Constantine (272-337 AD) did by Christianizing everything pagan: statue, dates, holidays, objects, relics, art, etc. (Read Chapter One for more information.)

Large amount of word definitions from Pagan sources were compiled by them and included into their work. This was done by "stitching" together Bible words with pagan definitions and listing them in their work as the English equivalent and meaning to the Greek word. Unfortunately, lexicographers afterwards plagiarized Liddell and Scott's work by copying all of these pagan definitions into their work which resulted in an enormous supply of error riddled Bible reference tools today.

#### A Shocking Revelation

To produce modern day Bibles, scholars use the lexicons, Bible dictionaries and word helps from all of the persons mentioned in this section. For example: Choosing the "right" words to satisfy their belief for the word "Godhead" (in the KJV), they turned to Thayer's Greek-English Lexicon as their defense and authority. (Thayer changed this word in Rom. 1:20, Acts 17:29 and Co. 2:9, to "divine nature," "the divine being" and "deity." See the NIV and many other modern Bibles.) They intentionally used Thayer's lexicon knowing CLEARLY (or were very much aware of) the publisher's warning in the introduction of Thayer's Unitarian beliefs and how they usually **spill** over into his work. The introduction said: "A word of caution is necessary. Thayer was a Unitarian, and the errors of this sect occasionally come through in the explanatory notes. The reader should be alert for both subtle and blatant denials of such doctrine as the Trinity (Thayer regarded Christ as a mere man and the Holy Spirit as an impersonal force emanating from God), the inherent and total depravity of fallen human nature, the eternal punishment of the wicked, and Biblical inerrancy." (See Thayer's Greek-English Lexicon of the New Testament, Grand Rapids, MI: Baker Book House, **1977**, page vii. This warning was removed in his lexicon by other publishers for obvious reasons.)


Can you the reader explain how is it that "Christian" scholars, seeing **CLEARLY** all the visible **WARNING** signs ("Danger," "Keep Out," "No **Trespassing," "Poison," "Toxic," etc.)** but still foolishly cross the "barbed wired fence," dangerously trespassing on the enemy's territory, going to an unsafe and very destructive adversary for instructions on how to defeat their "own people?" Is this the right or the Christian thing to do? Would Jesus Christ have done this or instruct His children to do the same? What's wrong with this? Isn't this crime called Treason? Aren't scholars who do the above called traitors? Where do you think their hearts lie (Matt. 6:24): with the enemy or with their "own people;" with God or with Satan; <u>with</u> pagans (<u>the apostate church</u>) or with Christians? Never mind their disguised "attack" (previous books written and views) on the enemy; they are double-crossing informers working for the enemy.

Modern day scholars labeled Stephanus, Beza, Elzevir and Scrivener's work as the Textus Receptus, copying the term from Erasmus Greek New Testament text. Beware of promotional Bible marketing subtleties exploited by modern version lexicographers and publishers using terms like "Textus Receptus," "literal," "identical," "based on the Majority text," etc. These terms that are falsely used in advertising do not mean the text came from the original Greek and Hebrew as was the case with the KJV, even though they want readers to believe the text did. What these subtle choices of marketing words mean is that they came, word for word, from corrupt Greek text and lexicons, (dictionaries and Bible word synonyms), modern version lexicographers and publishers claimed to be "the original." Modern scholars classify these corrupt Greek texts as "the best," "the most ancient," "the earliest" or "the nearest" to the originals and therefore used the term "literal" when describing them.

We will now examine the profile of some of the leading scholars whose "Bible" tools are used extensively to create today's modern Bible versions and other Bible reference work. Henry Liddell (1811-1898) & Robert Scott (1811-1887)



**Henry Liddell** 



**Robert Scott** 

Henry Liddell (1811-1898) and Robert Scott (1811-1887) together co-authored "*Liddell-Scott Greek-English Lexicon.*" Their lexicon was one of the first of its kind to be produced and as a result provided definition materials for all Greek-English New Testament lexicons thereafter. The birth of Greek-English Bible lexicons actually started in 1843 when Liddell and Scott's work was published. ([2f], page 205)

It became so popular when its "...words published. have worked their way into Marvin Vincent's Word Studies in the New Testament, J.H. Thayer's Greek-English Lexicon, and from there into W.E. Vine's Expository Dictionary and George Ricker Berry's Greek-English Interlinear New Testament." Even James Strong copied definition materials from Liddell-Scott in his Greek definitions at the back of his Concordance. ([2f], pages 272, 277)

Practically all Greek-English New Testament lexicons afterwards directly or indirectly copied definition materials from Liddell-Scott lexicon (or copied from other sources that copied from it) including the huge number of mistakes and errors made.

What made Liddell-Scott lexicon so unique was that it contained massive body of errors that unsuccessfully went through nine editions to try correcting them. In Liddell's later years, he admitted his lexicon had therefore numerous errors spending most of his life after 1843 trying to correct the many mistakes that surfaced. His biographer wrote Liddell's of "unending task of correcting the many errors" in his lexicon. ([2f],


page 214) Unfortunately, he died leaving the correction attempts to "other competent scholars" as was mentioned by him.

Errors in Liddell and Scott's lexicon continued to surface long after its first publication in 1843 to the eighth edition printed in 1897 one year before Liddell's death.

In 1940 Stuart Jones and **Roderick McKenzie tried to correct** the many errors that continue to surface by printing a 9<sup>th</sup> edition of Liddell-Scott's lexicon (Liddell-Scott-Jones) but failed to contain the flow of errors that continue to complexities arise. The of combining pagan definition with Bible words continue to cause errors to surface which resulted in Oxford University adding а supplement edition 1996 in containing 320 pages of corrections to the main text. ([2f], pages 214-215) Chadwick (author of "Lexicographica Graeca;" a 343 pages exposé in 1996 of all Greek-English lexicons) believes that the main lexicon (Liddell-Scott) is so faulty that a mere Supplement cannot repair the problems. He found "underlying defects" with many "faults to be corrected." He claimed: "There is no way a good dictionary can be created out of a bad one." ([2f], page 86-87)

Unfortunately, all these errors over 153 years of correction attempts that produced nine editions, have crept their way into all other lexicographer's work who copied from Liddell-Scott's work. As a result, today's scholars, professor, preacher, teachers are quoting (directly or indirectly) from an "error stench corpse" of "rotten," misleading lexicons.

Sadly, scholars, professors, preachers, teachers, etc., today are all using these pagan definitions to correct words in our Holy Bible.



All of these errors unfortunately have filled our Bible reference sources today with pagan definitions that would obviously be around for decades; perhaps until Christ returns. When men create meaning (including faulty ones) of words over time, these meaning whether they be true or false stay with us for quite a very long time.

"Over the years after 1843, so many persons sent Liddell correction..." notes; it was "an unending task of correcting" attempts; "a lifetime would not permit them to be fixed" by Liddell. ([2f], page 214)

Liddell and Scott at the "tender" ages of 23, in 1834, fresh out of college, began their journey of working together on the first Greek-English lexicon that was published in 1843 nine years later. Their goal was that they (in their very own opinions) "wanted to bring the reader closer to the originals;" "closer to the mind of God," to know exactly what He said in His original autograph.

Therefore, to do this, they went to their "youthful," "inexperience," "learnt-inthe-classroom" ancient pagan hero sources to acquire these word definitions; but, disregarding all Greek texts that were available at the time. Instead of examining words usage in the 1600 era when the English language was fully developed, they sort after pagan definitions, "cementing" them to our English Bible words and included them into their work.

Pagan Greek philosophers rejected many fundamental doctrines of God's Word and as a result, their word meanings centered on what they truly believed. For example, pagan scholars rejected the "hell," Christian doctrine of "eternal punishment," "everlasting punishment" and many others which were redefined and spilled over into their work. For this reason, many of today's scholars believe that hell does not exist because of a "mistranslation" (according to them) of the text. They embraced Greek authors pagan for meaning of words when the Greek Bible from the Byzantine text family was a better source. ([2f], page 320)

The Bible clearly defines hell using words such as fire, flame, burn, pains, and tormented. Its location is described as beneath, deeper, lowest, going down, depth and dig. Why is the Bible not permitted by dishonest scholars to define its own words. when even their lexicons define words secular based on pagan contexts? ([2f], page 322) Many lexicographers hate the word "hell" which is the English translation for both the Greek and Hebrew and therefore transliterated them to "Hades" and

# "Sheol;" but not translating them to the proper English word, "hell."

As mentioned earlier, this Satanic was very similar to what strategy (272-337 Constantine A.D.) did bv Christianizing everything pagan; Liddell and Scott practically did the very same thing by merging Bible words with pagan definitions. Both of them wanted their learnt-in-theclassroom pagan champions (like Plato, Aristotle. Aeschvlus. Sophocles. Thucydides, Herodotus, Euripides, etc.) ideas, views and beliefs mixed with or Holy Bible definitions and its message. ([2f], page 209, 216, 337-342)

Greek-English Lexicon false claims to have came from the "original" Greek was far from the truth. Pagan sources were translated to German and Latin; then to Greek which was later translated to English by apostate scholars.

After Liddell-Scott lexicon was published, other colleagues who were spoon-fed their pagan bones (not meat), caught a contagious lexicon disease that was then passed on to many other "greedy" and very liberal scholars afterward.

Liddell and Scott rotten bait concealing their "faith" dismantling hock, caught and destroyed many unsuspecting naive students who were carelessly looking around with "itching ears" for something to chew on and was lexicon-fed pagan definitions in the classroom. Many of these students were those who attended Oxford University where Liddell was an **ordained minister** and Dean of Christ Church College there. ([2f], page 217-221)

How do you think these errors aught to be explained to Bible scholars who have past off the scene but were brainwashed by extensively using Liddell-Scott error riddled lexicon? They copied Liddell-Scott pagan definitions to produce their very own copy of lexicon, English dictionaries and modern Bible version text. How do you think these errors aught to be explained to past ministers of the gospel who were brainwashed by using Liddell-Scott's lexicon false definitions in their sermons and published books with comments like, "the Greek word means this" and "the word in the Hebrew means that?" Imagine all of the Bible scholars who have since 1843 used Liddell-Scott's lexicon editions filled with endless errors, finding fault with our unchanging English Holy Bible, the KJV and other vernacular text.

An entire book has been written called. "Lexicographica Graeca," by Cambridge University professor John Chadwick exposing the huge volume of errors found in lexicons particularly those in Liddell-Scott Greek-English text "which is at the foundation of all Bible lexicography." ([2f], page 215) This was caused by the enormous amount of copying done by our "supreme," 1800-1900 intellectually educated Bible scholars.

"When you read today's Bible software programs, with supposedly literal English Greek translations of New Testament words, you are often just reading the Revised Version of Westcott and Hort 1881 text, complete with English words from Liddell-Scott Greek-English the Lexicon." ([2f], page 274)

During Liddell years in the office as Dean of Oxford University, he embraced himself with a circle of very questionable atheist, friends who were pantheist. universalists, socialist, evolutionists, racists, Luciferians and alleged pedophile. These friends later cause suspicion of Liddell's integrity to the extent where attacks were made against him in the local newspaper on December 1859 "for preferential treatment of those who were likeminded;" he was rubbing shoulders with these serious questionable individuals. ([2f], pages 221, 274)

A list of some of his friends and their

ideology were [1] George Eliot, aka Mary Ann Evans (a pantheist and libertine) [2] Arthur Stanley (a very liberal and influential friend to Liddell) [3] John Ruskin (a socialist, racist, New World Order, Utopian, fascist, alleged pedophile, and member of the Metaphysical Society and Sidewick's Society for Psychical Research: a society of contacting the dead through séances) [4] Kingsley (universalist, Charles whose endorsement appeared in Darwin's Origin of the Species) [5] Benjamin Jowett (a pantheist and heretic; He published a book filled of heresy called "Essays and Reviews" that was well received by Unitarians in his day.) [6] Max Muller (a professed atheist, lecturer on Hinduism and an author of "Theosophy" in 1893) [7] Charles L. Dodgson; an allege pedophile and author of "Alice In Wonderland") [8] Robert Scott (coauthored with him to produce the Liddell-Scott Greek-English lexicon) Robert Scott was also a member of the corrupt Revised Version committee in 1881. ([2f], pages 221-275)

What was so odd with his strange and very suspicious associations with these friends was that he promoted them at his place of employment (Oxford University). He allowed them to proclaim and preach sermons on what they believe as truth that was far from fundamental doctrines of Scripture. Liddell encircled himself with these men who were "...gaining publicity from the daily newspaper of the day and allowed them to voice their views, opinion and beliefs in speeches and lectures at the Oxford University lectern." Many of their religious views and beliefs conflicted with cardinal doctrines of the church.

Arthur Stanley, one of Liddell's circles of friends, told luciferian, Annie Besant, (editor of Lucifer magazine) when asked, why remain in the Church of England with such un-Christian views? He said, "I think that I am of more service to true religion by remaining in the Church and striving to widen its boundaries from within, than if I left it and work from without." ([2f], page 228) What he meant by his apostate church's secret mission agenda was that, spreading his poisonous religious beliefs from within the church was a more affective method of destroying its theology and purity, than leaving the Church and working of widening it from without or on the outside. This was the mindset of most liberal (infiltrating imposters) church clergy and Bible scholars in the 1800s. This indeed was a strategy the apostate church scholars used to carry out their mission of destroying Protestantism and the Holy Bible.

Charles Dodgson (1832-1898) alias Lewis Carroll, also one of Liddell's circle of friends (see [2f], pages 277-327) wrote Alice In Wonderland with an underlining perception agenda to desensitize young impressionable and easy victims (children) that there was no place of burning Hell or everlasting or eternal punishment in the center of the earth. Liddell was the father of Alice Liddell, whom Alice in Wonderland and Alice through the Looking-Glass were written which were actually stories about the Liddell's, his lexicon, and their little daughter, Alice. ([2f], page 317) "Alice in Wonderland," became children's classic books and movies, seen and read by just about every English-speaking person on the planet today.

#### Richard Chenevix Trench (1807-1886)



Like an annoying telephone, ringing in

middle of the night or a loud and frantic midnight knocking on the front door, RC Trench's book invoked and summoned an illegal and vicious group of men to gather in judgment to "take counsel together, against the LORD, and against his anointed" (The Written Word) saying, "let us break their bands asunder, and cast away their cords from us." (Psalm 2:3) The men met "in the middle of the night," in their "closed door secret chambers" (swearing to secrecy and divulging anything not to the nonmembership public), until the judgment was unanimously announced by all participating members (including Frederick Scrivener): Guilty! Guilty! Immediately, before any outside KJB "defense debate" interference, the sentencing was guickly read and carried out: bruise and break the Written Word's bands; get rid of its cords from among us and crucify it; then let us replace it with liars witnesses) and murderer (false а (Barabbas).

Richard C. Trench was the author of "Synonyms of the New Testament" in 1855 and a 215 pages book entitled. "On the Authorized Version of the New Testament in connection with some recent proposals for its Revision" in 1858. He was "...persuaded that a revision ought to come..." to the King James Bible and was "...convinced that it <u>WILL</u> come..." ([2f], page 362, emphasis added) He strongly believed that it was inevitable and therefore started all course of action necessary to carry out his satanic objective.

One of the first steps in the process was to produce a book attacking the Authorized Version (KJV) making it out to what he considered to be an enormous number of mistakes that seriously need to be corrected in the text. These "mistakes" as he called them, resulted from (his own doing) mixing pagan definitions with the Holy words of God.


His book's proposal ("On the Authorized Version..."), outlined arguments for a revision to the King James Bible and the way forward to successfully execute the plot. When the book was completed, a copy was delivered to a "friend" who was then the Prime minister (PM), William Ewart Gladstone (1809-1898) who read its recommendations as noted in the PM's diary on September 14, 1862. ([2f], page 362)

The conspiracy that was long in planning was now all coming together by leaders in British Parliament and the Church of England.

Like a secret fraternal sign, channeling action "now," from the book, (see the occult symbol on cover) book's front the PM Gladstone started the process of pulling off all that was necessary and within his power to move the project forward. This move was to become one of the biggest upsets, betrayal events in Bible history second to "Judas Iscariot's kiss of death," sending a serious spirit of confusion among Christians that is very seriously being felt today.

Obviously, to mobilize all parties that were secretly attached to the conspiracy, Trench placed this satanic symbol on the front cover of his book. This symbol was a serpent forming a circle with its tail in its mouth and a lamp in the center of the circle.

Below is the book along with its occult symbol that is believed to have triggered the conspiracy into action which began the process of removing the KJV Bible as the official Bible of choice among the people.




Trench met with the PM to personally discuss his revision proposal who was consequently instrumental in moving forward with establishing a committee to look into the matter. Trench also later met with master mind Philip Schaff in 1869 to discuss further details on the project's development. ([2f], page 362)

Who is Philip Schaff? Read [2a], page 457-464, for a brief eye-opening history of his very liberal

accomplishments.

He was the master mind behind the American Standard Bible translation and hand picked all committee members who held liberal views as he did. For example, he denied the inspiration of the Bible and chose committeemen who agreed with his views; he called inspiration, the moonshine theory of the inerrant apostolic autographs. ([2f], page 163 and [2a], page 458) Schaff took special interest in a committee member who was a Unitarian layman claiming that this member "took a deeper interest in the revision" project. Schaff's committee adjusted and twisted verses that expressed Christ incarnation, His Deity, sinlessness, resurrection and ascension. As result of Schaff's very liberal views, even though he was eventually acquitted, (his heresy views were very carefully worded) he was tried for heresy before the



Pennsylvania Synod in 1845. The Living Bible by Kenneth Taylor Schaff's paraphrased work and what is now fed to Children today was considered poison by Christians during Schaff's day. He was also a follower of Luciferian H.P. Blavatsky and was the brains behind The Parliament of World Religion setting in motion the New Age

Movement prospective. ([2a], page 459 and [2f], page 161)

Trench had established himself as a very early critic of the KJB and influenced leaders in England to start the process of commissioning a revision committee that would be established in 1871 to make adjustments, additions, deletions, etc., where necessary to the text. Trench's book obviously got him a sure seat and membership position on the Westcott and Hort Revised Version committee. ([2f], page 361)

Read about the committee's mandate and discover how all members strayed far away from the instructions given to a complete Bible text change. Logon: http://www.bible-researcher.com/ervhistory.html.

Along with Liddell and Scott, Trench was also one of the first to secularize the

meaning of Bible words. He was one of the first persons to write a book suggesting an update and revision to the KJB which certainly started all mental wheels turning in the minds of persons who got involved with the project. ([2f], page 361)

Like Trench's contemporary colleagues, many of the words seen in modern version today came from his work. For example, Kenneth Wuest's *"Word Studies from Greek New Testament"* and Marvin Vincent's *"Word Studies in the New Testament"* constantly pointed to Trench's *"Synonyms of the New Testament"* as their main reference source for words in their respective work. ([2f], page 361)

George Ricker Berry, author of "*The Interlinear Greek-English New Testament*," also admitted that "much materials has been drawn from R.C. Trench, Synonyms of the New Testament." W.E. Vine claimed he used Trench work to create his own. Vine's Expository Dictionary and some Bible software programs also used Trench's definitions. ([2f], page 360)

Evidently, many of our modern Bible Greek reference books got their definition materials from Wuest and Vincent; but these men copied most of their definition materials from Trench's synonym and Liddell-Scott lexicon. ([2f], page 361)

"Few are aware that the English words used to define Bible words came first from an English book, like *Vine's Expository Dictionary of New Testament Words*, whose author said he got his definitions from Trench or Thayer, who translated another man's work from German, who himself got it from a Latin-Greek lexicon, which in turn got it from Plato, who said he got it from his 'Daimon' (demon)." ([2f], page 378)

Trench created his very own rules for words translated from Greek to English, but when he encountered words that challenged his own rules (or when the same issue showed up in other scholars work he embraced) he ignored them. In other words, he created Greek grammar rules to "expose" the KJB (as he was dishonestly doing), but intentionally silent when the same issue showed up in his colleague's work. ([2f], page 390, 393)

As Jesus said, "it is hard for a rich man to enter the Kingdom of heaven," it is also hard for Bible scholars, rich in the art of language and grammar, to enter in simply because many of them reject the simple gospel message found in the KJB and accept hock, line and sinker, any anti-KJB, definitions watered down and materials (including grammar mistakes made) from lexicons or analytical authors. (Mr. word Donald Brake, author of "A Visual History...," is wrong in many places in his book, but right with the following statement: "The more educated one becomes the more literal a translation he/she demands.") Once their student converts achieve higher learning scholarship status, they then practically "worship," so to speak, these scholarship gods (lexicons and interlinear authors) in the classroom.

Instead of consulting Bible words for meaning, Trench like Liddell and Scott pursued pagan sources to prove that the words used in our Holy Bible are incorrect; and therefore, got many of his word synonyms for definitions from pagan sources.

For word meaning, Trench looked to the God-heaters of ancient Greece: Plato, Socrates, Euripides, Pindar, Philo, Plutarch, Homer, Hesiod, Aeschylus, Xenophone, Euripides, Demosthenes, Seneca, Thueydides, Sophoeles, Dionysius the Areopagite, Thucydides and Aristophanes. These pagan sources along with Liddell-Scott's work were the primary materials Trench used to produce his book of synonym words. ([2f], page 337-342, 365-366) Many pages in Trench's Synonyms, reference Plato as his source for defining Bible words. ([2f], page 377)

Synonyms are words that are alike in meaning or words that have similar meanings. These words can be substituted to strengthen or weaken a sentence. A book of Synonym (a Thesaurus) is an effective tool for writers. What Trench actually did was produced the very first "Bible words Thesaurus," building it using pagan sources. After his book was completed, he then used it to show how "badly off," in his own view, (along with those of his scholarship contemporaries), the KJV translators word choices were. Trench used his synonym to criticize the KJV declaring that the AV word choices were not adequate in conveying what the passage clearly meant. Scholars and afterwards usina students Trench's "Synonym..." (his Bible word Thesaurus) also criticized the KJV for not using the proper or correct words in many passages.

# Did anyone question where Trench got his word definitions from?

Like a careless fish, swimming around looking for something to eat, students wonder around with "itching ears" looking for something to chew on. The first piece of food that appear before them, instead of examining it, they quickly open their months and swallow "knowing **not** that it is for his (or her) life." (Proverb 7:21-23; bracketed word and emphasis added)

Trench along with Westcott and Hort and other liberal theologians, taught in their day that paganism was God's prophetic stepping stone to Christianity; also, Christianity was the apex of the evolution of religion. He wrote a book called "The Unconscious Prophecies of Heathendom" promoting this theory. ([2f], page 364) Pagan scholars like him who use the word Trinity do not necessarily mean the same Trinity communicated by born again Christians. ([3f], page 373)

Plato wrote constantly of the One. ([2f], page 380 and see Satanist H.P. Blavatsky's interpretation on "the one" later in this section). Trench and Blavatsky believed that all including man, matter and God are all part of one entity or energy. (They believed we came **from the One** and at death will return **to the One**.) Plato constantly wrote of this along with Blavatsky and Trench by using the term the "Divine Mind". The universal mind as Plato called it, replaces Jehovah and Jesus, who according to the Bible, are NOT one with, but separate from their creation. ([2f], page 375)

**Readers Beware: Trench wanted** more than just redefining Bible words; he therefore set in motion (in 1857) that which was required create the Oxford English to Dictionary. All of his pagan definitions on Bible words would then find their way into it. ([2f], page 397-41)

When Trench failed with a pagan definition, he turned to German for it and yet he claimed to have received definition from the original Greek.

Like a vulture, Trench was lexiconfed from Liddell-Scott appetizing pot and therefore being "greedy of gain," (Proverb 1:19) hovered over pagan sources for more "delicious food to eat." He trailed anti-God pagan philosophers, collecting pagan words for his Bible words synonym.

Books written by Richard Trench (1807-1886) can be accessed and read online at: https://openlibrary.org/authors/OL11318 8A/Richard\_Chenevix\_Trench

# The Satanic Symbol on Trench's book front cover



"Symbols are used by those involved in the occult to secretly communicate with one another." The symbol displayed on the front cover of Trench's book no-doubt communicated his fraternal colleagues (channeled demonic spirits operating among prominent members of Trench's occult affiliations who were deeply entrenched in Government and the Church) to feed on his ideological beliefs and read, purchase, promote or perform anything necessary to push the book's agenda for "the greater glory of God." (See, "History of your English Bible ... " earlier in this book and [2f], pages 371-373)

Like a secret hand shake identifying fraternal brotherhood in Lodges, Trench nodoubt used this symbol on the cover of his book signaling his fraternal brothers everywhere to promote the book's message and crush the KJB where and when ever possible.

Trench channeled occult symbol is a snake forming a circle with its tail in its mouth. Some sources say the snake is biting its tail; others say it is eating or swallowing its tail. It is a symbol of eternal recurrence, (reincarnation) a magic of changing matter, а symbol of the destruction; the third person of the Trinity; also, it is associated with homosexuality. The snake represents "the endless succession of reincarnation which forms the wheel of life. ([2f], page 373-374)

This satanic symbol is called Ouroboros. Ouroboros is also spelt uroboros or oroborus. ([2f], page 368) It was one of Luciferian Madam H.P. Blavatsky's favorite symbols. (Read her profile later in this section)

Occultist claim this symbol is an emblem of eternity: like atom to globe, from man to angel, the never-ending cycles of eternity, whose **realization gives power**. The symbol is also a cycle of eternal return like reincarnation or the endless succession of incarnation which form the wheel of life. "He who comprehends the sign knows the law of evolution." The symbol on Trench's book included a lamp which represented illumination or enlightenment, was a favorite term used in the occults. ([2f], pages 369, 371-374)

Satanist H.P. Blavatsky, editor of Lucifer magazine in one of her books called "Isis Unveiled," said, "we believe that the interpretation of the primitive serpentworship as given by the initiates is the correct one...a serpent with its tail in its mouth — emblem of eternity..." ([2f], pages 368-369)

"Practical Instructions for Students of Occultism" by Blavatsky, said, "...to him who is versed in occult science, a simple geometrical figure, even a line or a point, conveys a vast meaning..." "...Let us for instance examine...One of the most important signs, whose realization gives power, is ...a snake who bites his tail...." ([2f], pages 369-371)

In conclusion, Trench searched pagan sources for meaning of Bible words; but pagans were anti-Christian and like Hitler tried exterminating all Jews, pagan tried to exterminate Christianity. Why then are scholars looking to pagan authors for meaning of Bible words?

#### What Richard Trench believed?

- He believed that infant baptism brings forgiveness of sins and no further faith is required.
- He denied the inspiration of the Scripture.
- Trench held many other anti-Christian views


#### **Joseph Thayer (1828-1901)**



#### Joseph Thayer authored, "Thayer's Greek-English Lexicon."

Most lexicons today, if they are not copying directly from Thayer's Greek-English lexicon, are certain to have copied extensively from other sources that are using Thayer's work. When you read today's lexicons, dictionaries, modern Bible versions, Bible interlinear, Bible software, etc., they are **all** most certainly using Thayer's work.

If you see the word "Godhead" changed to "divine nature," the divine being" and "deity" at Rom. 1:20, Acts 17:29 and Col. 2:9, in any versions, these changes came Thayer's interpretation from (actually, his Unitarian's beliefs) documented in his Greek-English Lexicon. Most (practically all of **lexicographers** them) modern Thayer's copied from work (directly indirectly) or and therefore. his views ended up dangerously sprinkled throughout the text in our modern Bibles. (See "A Shocking Revelation" on a previous page in this chapter.)



## What Joseph Thayer believed?

Thayer was a **Unitarian**. Now what does a person of the Unitarian faith believes? They believe the following: Buddha, Mohammed, Confucius, Lao, the Vedas and Upanishads are all sources of revelation for mankind: "man" can have "a consciousness like that of Christ;" they do not believe in the Trinity; they refuse to acknowledge Jesus as Lord, God or the Messiah; they do not accept the doctrine of the virgin birth; they opposed prayer to Christ; they are against dependence on miracles; they do not believe the Bible to be divinely inspired and infallible or that it is the Word of God; etc., etc. (See

"Kingdom of the Cults," 1985 edition, page 501)

- Thaver's Greek-English Lexicon • (published by Grand Rapids, MI: Baker Book House, 1977, page vii) begins in the introduction with a warning of his heresy by the publisher. (Please read the publisher's warning notes when using Thayer's lexicon in the "А Shocking insert called. Revelation," above.)
- He **did not** believe that Jesus Christ or the Holy Ghost is God.
- He believed man is inherently good not needing Christ as Savior but only as an example. "Good deeds" are a part of "repentance" according to him. ([2f], page 336)

#### James Strong (1822-1894)



James Strong authored, "Strong's Exhaustive Concordance of the Bible," which includes his Hebrew & Greek definitions at the back. with John Also, teaming up (1814-1870), **McClintock** they produced, "The McClintock-Strong Cyclopedia of **Biblical**. Theological, and **Ecclesiastical** 

Literature. Strong began this tenvolume encyclopedia with **McClintock** who died after completing only three of them. then completed Strong the remaining volumes seven bv himself.

Strong's **valuable concordance** is one of **the most treasured tools** for Christians (when searching and comparing scripture with scripture). This is with exception to his corrupt Hebrew and Greek definitions at the back. Strong copied definition materials in the back of his Concordance from Gesenius' Hebrew Lexicon, Liddell-Scott, Thayer, Brown, Driver and Briggs. ([2f], pages 175 and 906)

#### What James Strong believed?

- He denied the inspiration of the Bible. ([2f], page 198)
- The ASV translation committee Strong worked on, called Jesus Christ a "creature" and not the Creator; and therefore, "worship" should be "reverence" when applied to Him. (See ASV notes for John 9:38.)
- Strong, in a very subtle, rhetorical way, charged that Lucifer is not Satan, but he is Jesus Christ. ([2f], page 189-190; also read "The McClintock-Strong Cyclopedia of Biblical Theological and Ecclesiastical Literature," online at: <u>http://www.biblicalcyclopedia.com/L/I</u> <u>ucifer.html</u>).
- Strong did not believe in a literal hell; he describes hell in the Bible as "figurative" and not a place of torment. ([2f], pages 190-191)
- Strong believed in the ESSENES and claimed that John the Baptist was parallel to the holy order. (see: http://essenes.org/Who\_are\_the\_Ess

enes.html for who are Essenes and what they practiced.)


- Strong believed that heathens will be saved, regardless of his religion and lack of personal faith in Jesus Christ. He said: "As to the heathen and others who, entirely without their own fault, have missed the way of life, Holy Scripture nowhere compels us to believe that these should summarily, and on that account alone, be the victims of an eternal damnation." ([2f], page 193)
- Strong did not believe in the Trinity.
- Strong believed that Mark 16:9-20 should be removed from the Bible ([2f], page 194) because, according to his encyclopedia, there are no evidence to prove it belong there. (See the section called, "So where are we all headed..." earlier in this book for more information on this.)

#### Christian D. Ginsburg (1831-1914)



The Trinitarian Bible Society (TBS) printed Ginsburg's Hebrew translation and called it: <u>Holy Bible: The Holy Scriptures in</u> <u>the Original Languages</u>, Bomberg/Ginsburg Hebrew Old Testament 1894, 1998. It is used among "Christian" scholars as an authoritative Masoretic Hebrew Old Testament text. **This Old Testament**  text is definitely not the Masoretic text the KJV translators used, but a text written solely by a Satanist in the person of Mr. Ginsburg.

Many of our modern Bible word selections or choices, definitions, Bible notes and commentaries came from Ginsburg's work. For example, words and notes found in the NIV, TNIV, NASB, NKJV, HCSB and ESV.





Ginsburg was а follower of Luciferian Madame Ρ. Helena Blavaksky. He attended Luciferian with and meetings her was accompanied by other occultist ([2f], pages 1035-1036)

Who is Arch-Luciferian Madame Helena P. Blavatsky (1831-1891)? To find out, read her profile at the end of this section and in a previous section called "Dangerous Insults to God."

- Ginsburg believed Solomon was **not** the author of Ecclesiastes.
- Ginsburg was an occult Kabbalist and wrote the occult book called, "The Kabbalah" in 1863. He wrote it "intending it to be a guide for those who wish to be initiated into the mysteries of this theosophy..." ([2f], page 1038) This occult is vastly growing among big names in Hollywood.
- Luciferian Blavatsky in both of her wicked books ("The Secret Doctrine" and "Isis Unveiled") authoritatively quoted from Ginsburg's book, "The Kabbalah." Other occultist quoted Ginsburg as an authoritative Kabbalah source. ([2f], pages 1038-1040)
- Ginsburg taught reincarnation in his book. ([2f], page 1045)
- He did not believe Jesus Christ was the Messiah; but claimed in his book that <u>the messiah will be the last</u> <u>person born</u>. ([2f], page 1045)
- Ginsburg promoted the occult group called The Essenes and believed that Jesus belong to this "holy" brotherhood. He also believed that Moses instituted this order. ([2f], page 1050)

Do you the reader know who Kabbalist and Essenes are and what they believe? (Google it to find out!)

Can you the reader explain how our "**trusted!**" modern day scholars can use a Satanist like Ginsburg to provide us in modern Bible versions with Bible words,

definitions, Bible notes, commentaries, etc? Could Ginsburg have possible been a true born-again Christian?

Now, knowing all of this, would you the reader, consult Ginsburg for definitions and commentaries on Bible words and verses? Our modern version scholars and lexicographers seem not to be concern, as long as it is different to that of the KJV and **big profits from book sale continue to come in**.

#### Wilhelm Gesenius (1786-1842)



## Wilhelm Gesenius authored, a Hebrew lexicon in German but translated to English by Brown, Driver and Briggs.

Gesenius is THE foundation of ALL Hebrew study. The standard Hebrew-English Lexicon of the Old Testament is based on Gesenius. It was translated and edited by Francis Brown, Samuel Rolles Driver and Charles A. Briggs. These four men are among the Founders of Old Testament Criticism and paramount among the Old Testament critics. All modern versions copied from their work. ([2f], page 906) When someone says, "the Hebrew word means..." they are quoting reference from the work of Gesenius, Brown, Driver and Briggs (GBDB); and if they are not directly using GBDB lexicon, the word reference or source from other work was copied from it.

This text began as Gesenius's Hebrew German Lexicon then translated to a Hebrew-Latin Lexicon. "The Latin edition was translated into English by Edward Robinson (A Hebrew and English lexicon of the Old Testament...from the Latin of Wilhelm Gesenius, 1886) and finished and thoroughly edited anew in English by Brown, Driver and Briggs (1907)." ([2f], pages 909-910)

Gesenius had a liberal theological view and therefore a very liberal approach to defining words as he brought them over to German from Hebrew. "He pursued the study and illustration of the Old Testament not as an inspired book, but as an ancient book of graphic history and sublime poetry." ([2f], page 912) Hence, his work was called, "dangerous" by Christians in his day. ([2f], page 912)

The following three scholars, Brown, Driver and Briggs (along with Wilhelm Gesenius's Hebrew lexicon noted above), were the conspirators who changed "<u>Lucifer</u>" in Isaiah 14:12 to "<u>the</u> <u>morning star</u>;" modern versions then followed suit. (See the NIV and many others.)

#### Charles A. Briggs (1831-1891)




Briggs along with his colleagues, Brown and Driver, produced the "A Hebrew and English Lexicon of the Old Testament" (also, called, "The Brown-Driver-Briggs Hebrew and English Lexicon"). This lexicon was and continues to be used extensively to produce today's modern version Old Testament text. Brown, Driver and Briggs (BDB) lexicon can be found on just about every pastor's office book shelf.

Most pastors and preachers use BDB Hebrew lexicon and if they do not directly, the Hebrew lexicon word choices and commentaries used, authoritatively cites or reference BDB lexicon.

A noted scholar exposed BDB lexicon who said that "many roots were given in the lexicon which cannot actually be proven to exist in the Hebrew." "Not only were true meanings clouded...wrong meanings were often added to correct ones." "The entire root, as well as a hundred others, were dealt with in a wrong manner...Quite naturally a wrong primary meaning upset all the developed meanings." ([2f], page 921)

Study the link below for more information on Charles Briggs. (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Charle s\_Augustus\_Briggs)



## What Charles Briggs believed?

 Even though Scripture clearly proves that David penned the book of Psalms receiving confirmation from Jesus in (Mark 12:36), Briggs claimed that both (The Scripture and Jesus Christ) were wrong. He said that with the rise of Higher Criticism, Davidic authorship of the book of Psalms was questioned and soon abandoned by all critics. He criticized Jesus for being not informed with matters of "Higher Criticism" which did not confront Him in His day. ([2f], page 922)

- Briggs claimed that there are scientific errors in the Bible; historical mistakes. Higher Criticism, (according to him) recognized faults of grammar, of rhetoric, and logic in the Hebrew and Greek scriptures;" also, "most of the books were composed by unknown authors." ([2f], pages 929-931)
- Briggs said that anyone claiming inspiration of scripture must not disturb the critics but is charged to keep such ignorant and dangerous ideas private; such belief is positively dangerous. Briggs said: "The Bible...has no magical value in it, and there is no halo enclosing it...It will not guard a home from fire half as well as holy water. The Bible, as a book, is paper, print, and binding nothing more... There is nothing divine in the text..." ([2f], page 931) Briggs also said that "the Bible should not become an "idol."
- He **denied** that Moses, Ezra, Jeremiah, Solomon and Isaiah wrote their respective books. ([2f], page 932)
- Briggs argued that there was not sufficient historical evidence to prove definitively that Jesus had taught His own divinity and that <u>He had risen</u> physical from the dead. ([2f], page 943)
- He declared "war" on Traditionalism (anyone who trust the KJV to be the inspired, infallible Word of God) with fire" "knife and cutting down everything to prepare for the "spring time of a new age to come upon us." Unfortunately, his words have somewhat came to past because today, his (along with Brown and Driver) Hebrew-English lexicon can be found on just about every pastor's office book shelf. ([2f], page 933)


Briggs was tried and convicted of his heresv bv own liberal denomination. This trail came about because he taught several heretical views, three of which are: (1) that the "Church," and "not Scripture," was a fountain of divine authority; (2) the original text of the Holy Scripture contained error as it came from its authors: (3) Sanctification is not complete at death but is progressive after death and that this was both biblical and church doctrine. ([2f], pages 933-938)

#### **Francis Brown (1849-1916)**



Even though there are not too many information in the public arena about him, he had vowed and said: "Now we will become more militant in our efforts to promote Higher Criticism and stand by Biggs" during his heresy trial. ([2f], page 954) He was co-author to "The Brown-Driver-Briggs Hebrew and English Lexicon."

#### Samuel R. Driver (1846-1914)



Samuel Driver was co-author of "A Hebrew and English Lexicon of the Old Testament," by Brown, Driver and Briggs. As mentioned earlier, this lexicon is a well sort after text among today's scholars, pastors, preachers and teachers.


What Samuel R. Driver believed?

- He was a higher textual critic of the Bible.
- He **denied** the inspiration of the Scripture.
- Even though Jesus spoke of Jonah in Luke 11:32, he denied that the book of Jonah was written by the prophet Jonah. Also, Driver charged Jesus with not being scientific. He said, "In no single instance, so far as we are aware, did He anticipate the results of scientific inquiry or historical research." Thus, the story of Jonah, "...is not strictly historical." ([2f], page 959)
- He claimed that the majority of "Davidic" Psalms or the book of

Psalm was not written by David the king.

- He **did not believe** Moses received the book of Genesis from the mouth of God." It was put together, according to him, by other writers.
- He **denied** that the prophet Isaiah authored the book of Isaiah. He also said that Lamentations, "the poems" are "not the work of Jeremiah..." ([2f], pages 958-962)

#### Frederick Scrivener (1813-1891)



Scrivener joined the Revised Version (RV) Committee in 1872 along with very questionable and liberal scholars who all came together with one goal in mind: "exterminate!" the 1611 KJB and replace it with a new Greek and English text. (The Westcott and Hort Greek Text and the English Revised Version Text)

Scrivener was charged with the task of backward-translating the <u>English</u> 1611 King James Bible <u>into Greek</u> and provide copies for comparison purpose during the project. Also, he was given the assignment of providing all the marginal notes (footnotes) for this new Revised Version Bible that would be created.


However, he as was backward-translating the English 1611 KJB into Greek, he did not follow it in all places. There were places where he intentionally deviated and inserted his own views (words that were dropped or added), those from other scholarship colleagues or those from earlier printed Greek and Latin editions. When he was completed, his new Greek text matched no other Greek text in existence.

Scrivener clearly admitted that his backward-translation did not follow the 1611 English King James Bible in all places. ([2f], pages 638-644)

Scholars today use Scrivener's backward-translation as a RELIABLE source when producing new modern Bible versions or when arguing about "what is" or "what is not" found in this Greek text.

His Greek edition that is **"Textus** named the falselv Receptus," is sold today and assumed by many to be "The Beza Text" or falsely claimed bv publishers to be "...The Exact Greek Textus Receptus That underlies The King James Bible." Thus, his "Textus Receptus," used among Christians today as an extremely reliable source. in

reality, the opposite is true. ([2f], pages 629-694)



Please note that Scrivener did not back-translated the KJB because of a love for it. He was instructed to provide it for his committee colleagues to ensure the best and most constructive way of chopping up the text. Whatever the benefits were working with committee members (money, fame, reputation, etc.) it motivated him to take on this great task.

Scrivener also authored "A Plain Introduction to the Criticism of the New Testament for the Use of Biblical Students" and "Six Lectures on the Text of the New Testament and the Ancient Manuscripts Which Contain It, Chiefly Addressed to Those Who Do Not Read Greek." He used these two books to try and destroy the credibility of the King James Bible which were specifically designed for new and naive students who do not read Greek. By the time students who studied these two books graduate from Bible College, their belief in the preservation and inspiration of the God's Word is crushed. In these books, you the reader will discover his scholarship **darkside**.

#### What Frederick Scrivener believed?

- He denied the inspiration and the preservation of God's Word. ([2f], page 589)
- He abandoned the doctrine of the Trinity and the Incarnation of God. ([2f], page 594)
- He believed that portions of Acts 9:5-6 should be eliminated.
- He held many liberal views of (RV) committee colleagues which resulted in being selected to supply the marginal notes for their 1881 Revised Version New Testament text. His marginal notes throughout the text where verses were missing reads "...are omitted by the best ancient authorities." ([2f], pages 627-628)


Each time readers come across footnotes that says, "<u>this verse is</u> <u>not found in the most ancient</u> <u>manuscript</u>" or "<u>the verse is not</u> <u>found in the two best authorities</u>," in any "Bible," they were generally copied from Scrivener's marginal notes provided in the 1881 Revised Version New Testament.

- His dark side on textual criticism can be found in two of his books mentioned above. It is important to note that his book "Six Lectures..." was written BEFORE his backwardtranslation of the 1611 KJB.
- He attacked and chopped up: Matt. 17:21, Luke 2:22, John 7:8, Acts 8:37, Col. 2:2, 1 Tim. 3:13, 1 Pet.

3:15, 1 John 5:7-8 and many others. He had suggested that these verses be removed from the Bible or adjusted to what he critically thinks to be more appropriate. ([2f], pages 605-623)

- Two of his suggested changes in scripture would make Jesus Christ out to be a sinner (Luke 2:22 and John 7:8).
- He falsely charged the KJV translators of following Latin editions in 59 places which have all been proven to be lies. ([2f], page 644-668)
- His very devious Greek text did not (and certainly does not today) deserve the title "final authority" or "the Greek text underling the King James Bible." Just looking at his association and membership in the Revised Version Committee with some of the vilest and liberal scholars in history should alarm anyone of where his true allegiance lied.
- Scrivener was proven to be a false guide and therefore his work was not reliable and should be abandoned as the final authority in Greek.
- He did not completely follow the Beze text (Theodore Beza [1519-1605]) as is falsely stated in the preface of publishers. This was because he listed almost 200 places where he deviated from Beza (5<sup>th</sup> edition in 1598) some of which can be examined reading [2f], pages 680-682.

#### George Ricker Berry (1865-1945)



In 1897, George Ricker Berry published his book called: "The Greek-English Interlinear New Testament With Lexicon and Synonyms" at the back. The Greek text in his book was copied from Stephanus (1503-1559) Robert Greek New Testament Text, third edition (1550) and the English version was copied from Thomas Newberry (1811-1901), 1877 edition which included Newberry's critical foot notes.

In the early days of the printing press, accidental omissions and errors were common. Hence, like Erasmus first and second editions missing 1 John 5:7, but restored in his remaining third, forth and fifth editions, Stephanus' third edition along with its printing press accidental omission (Luke 17:36) in 1550 was also copied into Berry's Interlinear Greek-English New Testament.

Please note that Stephanus' Greek text came from the Byzantine text family that was translated from a completely different source than that of the Newberry's English Stephanus version. As was compiling his Greek text, he made small changes which found their way into his work resulting from his Calvinist beliefs that were not found in the underground Christian Bible.

Berrv then misleading by claiming that readers his interlinear was the "literal" or the "original" Greek text passed down to us from the underground Christian Bible. In fact, this false claim of the word "literal" was far from the truth. It was far from "literal" when comparing his English translation with the Greek words used. ([2f], page 709)

What Berry also did (as is mentioned in his "Introduction To New Testament Lexicon" on page V, at the back of his book) was that "chiefly" used the Greekhe English lexicon of Unitarian J. H. copied definition Thayer and materials from Thayer's Greek Grammars. He also admitted to R.C. materials from drawing Trench, "Synonyms of the New Testament."

All of this copying proved that the Greek and English words used as they were being brought into his lexicon were modified and not fully the original Stephanus or Newberry's text.

English George Berry which translation came from Newberry's work, actually came from the corrupt Westcott and Hort, Revised Version (RV) text inserted underneath Stephanus' Greek words (see diagram following). This English RV text was published in 1881. Berry then called the English version in his "literal" lexicon, the English equivalent of the Greek. ([2f], page 709)

Anyone who understands and can read Greek along with English (or anyone who has little knowledge of Greek but knows the English KJV and the RV) could clearly see that both translations (Greek and English) do not lineup with each other.

Berry's working strategy was to suck readers into believing that the English words used are in fact the correct translated words of the Greek. This was possible because most English readers cannot understand neither read Greek especially the impressionably naive university Bible students who have to rely heavily on lexicons in the classroom.

Newberry claimed that the RV was much more accurate than the KJV and therefore his behind-thescene deception was to try and bring together both the Stephanus' Greek text alongside the English RV text. ([2f], page 720) The intention was to bring them together hoping unsuspecting Bible students would not recognize his trap or bait.

He knew that most of his reading audience speak English and therefore they would accept the English words underlying the Greek words as the literal or actual rendition of the underground Christian text reading. This subtle deception was actually far from the truth but was very successful for a time.

Berry's Greek text has serious errors (missing word and verses, adjusted sentences, etc.) and because of false advertising, many readers became addicts to his "drugs-of-doubt," which eventually, mentally dismantled their confidence in the preservation of God's Holy Word. ([2f], page 700)

Many persons look to Berry's Interlinear for literal English words from the corresponding Greek and end up biting and swallowing (not chewing) a rotten bait that concealed a sharp hock which pulls its victim into a textual criticism "death-trap," mind-set.

Berry's Interlinear constantly criticizes the KJV and the Textus Receptus continuously suggesting to readers that these texts are full of errors and many words in them should be omitted. Line after line, word after word, Newberry, subtly promoted doubts about the quality of the KJB and described the Revised Version as the "beauties, accuracies, and perfections of the Inspired Original." ([2f], page 726)

Below are a few of the subtle changes Berry made in his interlinear text (and there are many others).

- 1. Berry changed "the Lord" in Romans 12:11 to "in season."
- 2. He changed "her purification" (referring to Mary) in Luke 2:22 to "their purification" making the baby Jesus out to be a sinner or both (Mary and Jesus) needing

purification from sins. Remember, Jesus said, "which of you convinceth me of sin?" (John 8:46)

- Newberry (in his book called *"Alpha, The Unofficial Guide,"* page 699; see [2f], pages 725-726) capitalized the first letter of *"virgin"* in Matthew 1:23 to *"Behold a Virgin."* What does this change obviously suggest?
- 4. Newberry (in "Alpha...," same page) attacks the "Word" in John 1:1 by not capitalizing it (e.g. "...and the Word was God" to "...and the word was God."). Like most of our modern day "learnt-in-the-classroom" grammarians who think they can correct God's grammar, hammer away on a long justification of why they think Newberry was correct with this change.
- 5. Berry drops the whole verse of Luke 17:36 in his lexicon. This was a printing press error in Stephanus' 1550 Greek text; but Stephanus corrected it in his later editions. Even though this correction was available to Berry as he was building his lexicon, to this present day, this omission is still seen in his lexicon and was never corrected.

There are modern Bible versions using Berry's lexicon words and verses which included the above changes in their English Bible work. ([2f], page 701)

## What George Ricker Berry believed?

- He was a textual critic of the Bible.
- He **denied** the inspiration of the Scripture.
- He did not believe in "everlasting punishment."

• He refers to the Westcott and Hort Greek text as the so-called "Textus Receptus" and believed that it was certainly much more accurate than the KJV. ([2f], pages 720-721)

The following is a page taken from Berry's Interlinear Greek-English New Testament at Luke 2:13-24. Stephanus' Greek text was written above Newberry's English version and the King James Version was to the vertical left of the page. Notice that the Newberry's English version is not the same as the KJV (look carefully at Luke 2:22). This is a common occurrence throughout Newberry's English version in Berry's lexicon. lying in a manger, If And when they had seen st, they made known abread the say-Eng which was tool. them concerning this child. Is And all they that heard if would end at those things which were told them by the shepherds 19 Rut Mary kept all these things, and pendered them in her beart, 29 And the shepherds returned, glorifying and prais-ing God for all the things that they had liesed and sum, as it. was told unto them.

21 And when eight. days were accomplishof for the dreamabiling of the child, his name was called JESUS, which was so monoil of the angel before he was conceived in the wound.

22 And when the days of her parification according to the law of Mases were accomplished, they brought him to Juru-salam, to proceed Aim to the Lord ; 21 (ad it is written in the law of the Lord, Every

13 And unddraig there μενον' έν "τῦ" φάτνη. 13 Kai ἐξαίφνης ἰγίνατο σὸν τῶ has with the second in the mangar. And unddraig there was with the heaven's host praim άγγέλην πλῆθος στρατιῶς "oùpaviou," αἰνούντων τὸν θεόν, and sublicite angel a multitude of (ibc) "best "heaven's, problem God, and so of a second secon highert, and on earth perce, good will the ward men. 35 And it canna to prov. 35 And it espiner, in die geographic fabilitation.<sup>1</sup> 15 Kdl kylvero, we draft prove the second to be a second to be a second to be a second from them pass here here. real their lite hear day day any adrady ele the obsarder of dyyshot, "kal of andoward" and te another, Letus el "from 'them "into the "heaven "the "eaged, that the men her this thing which is the shepheria and to an another, Let us go through indeed as far so rome to past, which ByGhein, cai ίδωμεν τό, ήμα, τούτο το γιγονός δ ό known untous, 14 And Bethishem, and let us so this thing that has come to pass which the they come with hasts, signing invitesory mult. 16 Keil bhallout oncourse and found Mary, and signing invite known to us. And they easily having heated and "άντῦρον' τήν.τε.Μαριάμ και τὸν Ιωσήφ, και τὸ βρίφος κείtousd both Mary and Joseph, and the baller ly-peror by ty parry. 17 icorrec.88 desyrapsonet ing in the manger. And having seen, they made known abroad maidiev. robrov. 18 sal márrez ol deoboarrez loabpaoar this little child. And all who heard mondered λαληθέντων ύπό των ποιμένων πρός Tin Tin concerning the things which had been spoken by the shopherds to αύτούς. 19 ή δι. Μαριάμ<sup>η</sup> πάντα συνετίρει τα φήματα ταυτα. them. But Mary "all "kept these sayings, 'συμβάλλουσα' iv τῷ καρδία αὐτῆς. 20 καὶ «ἰπίστρεψάν" pondering [them] in her heart, And "retarned οί ποιμίνες, δοξάζοντες και αίνουντες του θεόν έπι πάσιν

"the "shepherds, gloridying and praising God for all thing? οίς ήκουσαν και "είζαν," καθώς ελαλήθη πρός αυτούς.

παιδίον," και ἐκλήθη τὸ.δνομα.σύτοῦ 'Ιησοῦς, τὸ κλη-tintle hild, "was "called "his "name Jasse, which [ho] was θίν ύπο του άγγελου πρό του συλληφθήναι αίτον ίν τή called by the angel before "was conceived "he in the entlia.

wombil

22 Kai 3re inλήσθησαν ai ήμίραι τον καθαρισμού. αυτών And when were fulfilled the days for their purilentian

card tor round "Mussieg," drayor adror eig 'Ieposo-according to the law at Mosa, they brought him to Jerasa salem, to present him to the law him to the law him to be and to be a service to the law him to be and to be also him to present to the lark, as it has been written in (the) with the term of the lark, as it has been written in (the) have to present to the lark, as it has been written in (the) have to present to the lark, as it has been written in (the) have to present to the lark to be also to the lark to the lark to be also to the lark tot the lark to

r evideoriae of good \* econosi of heaven Th " - Th (read a manger) GLTTIAW. plasture ETTA. <sup>1</sup> — sai si nidpange [L]r[TYA]. <sup>2</sup> Ataksev T. <sup>3</sup> Ataksev T. <sup>4</sup> Ataksev T. <sup>4</sup>


#### Arch-Luciferian Helena P. Blavatsky (1831-1891)



After many decades of manipulation and possession of foolish scholars, Satan has successful got scholars to change "Lucifer" to "the morning star." Our modern version like the NIV and many others have made this change and their explanations for doing so ultimately links to Arch-Luciferian Madame Blavatsky's views in her book on Lucifer called: "The Secret Doctrine." Who is Madam Helena P. Blavatsky (1831-1891)? She was a high-priestess of magic. Satan worship and sorcery. occultism. She founded the organization called, "The Theosophy Society" and her magazine called, "Lucifer," evolved into the two-volume book called "The Secret Doctrine." This book is one of the most wicked books ever written and it teaches that Lucifer should be worshipped.

Usually at her speaking engagements, she would occasional display her occult powers, dazzling the audience.

Did you know that some of our "trusted" Bible scholars attended Luciferian meetings with Madam Blavatsky? When you hang out with someone infested with fleas, what happens?



Let's examined what Luciferian, Madame Blavatsky wrote and compare them with our "trusted" fleabag scholars with what they included in their modern "Bibles," Bible dictionaries, lexicons, commentaries, etc. (All references below of Secret Doctrine are from the online edition found at

#### http://www.theosociety.org/pasadena/ sd/sd-hp.htm) She writes:

• The devil is now called Darkness by the Church, whereas, in the Bible he is called the "Son of God" (see Job), the bright star of the early morning, Lucifer (see Isaiah). There is a whole philosophy of dogmatic craft in the reason why the first Archangel, who sprang from the depths of Chaos, was called Lux (Lucifer), the "Luminous Son of the Morning," or man-vantaric Dawn. <u>He</u> was transformed by the Church into Lucifer or Satan, because he is higher and older than Jehovah, and had to be sacrificed to the new dogma. (Vol. 1, Page 70, Line 14) [emphasis added]

- Lucifer, the genius of the "morning star" (see Isaiah xiv., 12) -- (Vol. 2, Page 501, Line 4)
- The latter has collapsed under the too close analysis, and is -**Symbologists** drowned. have discovered with dismay that their adopted deity was only a mask for many other gods, an Euhemerized extinct planet, at best, the genius of the Moon and Saturn with the Jews, of the Sun and Jupiter, with early Christians; that the Trinity was, in truth, only an astronomical triad unless they accepted the more abstract and metaphysical meanings given to it by the Gentiles - composed of the Sun (the Father), the two planets and Mercury (the Son) and Venus (the Holy Ghost, Sophia, the Spirit of Wisdom, Love and Truth, and Lucifer, as Christ, the bright and morning Star; (Vol. 2, Page 540, Line 5) [emphasis added]
- Lucifer, or *Luciferus*, is the name of the angelic Entity presiding over the *light of truth* as over the light of the day. (Vol. 2, Page 512, Line 10)
- Since the Church, in her struggle with Manichaeism, invented the devil, and by placing a theological extinguisher on the radiant star-god, *Lucifer*, the "Son of the Morning," thus created the most gigantic of all her paradoxes a black and tenebrous light the myth has struck its roots too deep in the soil of blind faith to permit, in our age, even those, who do not acquiesce in her dogmas and laugh at her horned and cloven-footed Satan, to come

out bravely and confess the antiquity of the oldest of all traditions. (Vol. 2, Page 238-239, Line 6) [emphasis added]

 ...the 'Light-bringer,' the Lucifer, the 'Morning Star,' the 'Son of the morning' — the very highest title 'out of heaven,' for in heaven it cannot be, but out of heaven it is everything. (Vol. 2, Page 238, Footnote(s), Line 13) [emphasis added]

So where do you think these "fleas" in our modern Bible versions came from?

Another change Madam Blavatsky (along with other Luciferian colleagues) was successful in getting our Bible scholars to include in their Bible works was to change "He," "Him" and "His" when referring to God to "the one." In the New Age Movement, Lucifer is referred to as "the one." "The One" is so central to Luciferianism that the entire two volumes book "The Secret Doctrine," is a discussion of "the One." Madame Blavatsky wrote:

 The ONE ALL, and the One "All in all" is "the basic conceptions on which the Secret Doctrine rests." (Vol.1, Page 20, Line 5)

In "The Secret Doctrine's" heavy complex Satanic rhetoric, "The One" is mistakenly applied to Jehovah. This is because the One is higher and older than Jehovah. (See John P. Van Mater, who prepared the index for "The Secret Doctrine," interpretation of "The One" in Vol. 1, Page 129-130 as seen in the index under the heading "One, the." Also see Vol. 1, Page 71, Line 1.)

• The "Dragon of Wisdom" is the One, the "Eka" (Sanskrit) or Saka. It is curious that Jehovah's name in Hebrew should also be One, Echod. "His name is Echod": say the Rabbins. The philologists ought to decide which of the two is derived

from the other — linguistically and symbolically: surely. not the Sanskrit? The "One" and the Dragon expressions used bv the are ancients in connection with their respective Logoi. Jehovah esoterically (as Elohim) - is also the Serpent or Dragon that tempted Eve, and the "Dragon" is an old glyph for "Astral Light" (Primordial Principle), "which is the Wisdom of Chaos." (Vol. 1, Page 73, Line 1)

Secret Doctrine says: "Everything originated in the ONE, and, proceeding from the one, must finally return to the One." (Vol. 1, Page 568, Line 6)

So, what do you think our "**trusted**" scholars are subtly trying to teach us?

Now, just think about it: changing "He," "Him" and "His" to "The One" eventually leads to Blavatsky's teaching of worshipping Lucifer. Blavatsky and our corrupt Bible scholars want us to believe God is "The One" (who is higher than Jehovah).

For some scriptural reference on this change, read the following verses in the NASB (NIV) and compare them with the KJV: Luke 12:5; Matt. 13:37, 24:13; John 6:46, 7:18, (9:37), 15:21, (12:45); I Cor. 15:28; Acts 7:38, (10:21, 10:42), 22:9, Col 3:10; Heb. 5:7, 7:21; Rev. 2:1. There are many more verses where these changes were made.

Jesus said unto the high powered doctors of the law (judges and politicians), **lawyers**, the scribes and **Pharisees**, the chief priests and elders of the people (the worldly scholars and counselors in His day), the very same caliber of men who definitely emulated identical characteristic of scholars today: "...woe unto you scribes and Pharisees hypocrites...ye blind guides...ye fools and blind...Ye serpents, ye generation of vipers, how can ye escape the damnation of hell?" (Matthew 23:13-33) These men were smart; they were brilliant; they drew the crowds by their eloquent speaking; they were powerful motivational orators; masters of the art of persuasion, very similar to many of our well-known high-profile speakers, authors and some television evangelist today.

# Faulty Prescription Theories From The Doctors

Literary or textual criticism was a term used frequently in the 1800s by Bible scholars; however, today a modern term for this concept is called stylometry.

Stylometry is the scientific technique scholars used to identify the author or writer of a document. It is the study of the chronology and development of an author's work based mainly on the recurrence of particular forms of expressions or trends of thought to determine authorship. The identification of whether a given individual originally said or wrote a document relies on the analytics of their idiolect, or unique patterns of language used (vocabulary, sentence structure, contents, pronunciation, spelling, grammar, etc.).

But how does stylometry analytics work? It is a method that relies heavily on the assumption that each speaker or writer has a unique set of written or spoken habits, thus rendering no significant difference between their speech or written document.

In order to carry out the test on these habits utilizing the writer's expressions or trend of thoughts, the occurrences of each type of word in the text must be identified and the distribution plotted in each sentence. The distribution for these unique word habits must then be compared with the average sentences in the text. The sets of unique values should track each other. Any altered section of the text would show a distinct discrepancy between the values or the set of habits and the altered section. In-other-words, any tampered section or "foreign" entry in the document will exhibit a different pattern from the rest of the text.

Another concept used to identify authorship is called, "Linguistic Fingerprint." It is a concept put forward by some scholars that each human being uses language differently, and that this difference between people involves a collection of markers which stamps a speaker/writer as unique, similar to a fingerprint. Under this view, it is assumed that every individual uses language differently and this difference can be observed as a fingerprint.

A person's linguistic fingerprint can be reconstructed from the individual's daily interactions and a variety of self-reported personality characteristics, situational variables and physiological markers.

Forensic linguist, John Olsson, an expert in the field of linguistic theories, argued that "although the concept of linguistic fingerprinting is attractive to law enforcement agencies, there is so far little hard evidence to support the notion." (see the link below)

Language is not an inherited property, but one that is socially acquired and developed overtime. Thus, using these literary methods to identify an author's speech or written work is not entirely practical.

For more information, read: [2f], page 446-448; also, logon to the following:

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Forensic\_lingui stics and

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Stylometry

Bible scholars in the 1800s used this literary forensic techniques or higher criticism to determine who wrote each individual book of the Bible. Unfortunately, these liberal scholars using their secular methods **left the Holy Spirit out of the mix**.

God is not the author of confusion and therefore His writing style was with variety to properly and effectively communicate what He wanted His people to hear or read. In the case of the Bible, God's Word, it was a text that was guided and directed by the Holy Spirit. In other words, the intended thoughts of the Holy Spirit along with the intended thoughts of man (using his own writing style) combined together to be placed into a physical book, its contents of which was already written before the foundation of the world (John 1:1). When the thoughts of man (his writing style) strayed somewhat, the thoughts of the Holy Spirit superseded, thus aiding and steering the writer back to the correct spiritual composition.

"The words of the LORD are pure words: as silver tried in a furnace of earth, purified seven times. Thou shalt keep them, O LORD, thou shalt preserve them from this generation for ever." (Psalm 12:6-7) "...when ye received the word of God which ye heard of us, ye received it not as the word of men, but as it is in truth, the word of God, which effectually worketh also in you that believe." (1 Thessalonians 2:13) "It is the spirit that quickeneth; the flesh profiteth nothing: the words that I speak unto you, they are spirit, and they are life." (John 6:63) "For ever, O LORD, thy word is settled in heaven." (Psalm 119:89) "But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned." (1 Corinthians 2:14) "...the word of God, which liveth and abideth for ever...But the word of the Lord endureth for ever. And this is the word which by the gospel is preached unto you." (1 Peter 1:23-25)

What is the different between God's words and man's words?

Spiritual discernment is God's hidden message given (spoken or written) to Christians, which are "past finding out" among "thieves and robbers." (Romans 11:33, John 10:1, 27) When liberal scholars examined God's Word, they become confused because they cannot hear His voice neither receive the light of His Word through advanced education.

Can we apply man-made modern scientific stylometry analytics (a secular literary tool) to each books of the Bible to identify authorship? Can this scientific method work when two persons (a speech writer preparing a document for the speaker) together compile a document at the same time? Furthermore, can it work especially when God and man together compile the document? Can it determine who both authors are?

Even though forensic linguist is today successful somewhat with identifying the author of a written document, the question remains: Can it successfully identify a written document that was aided and directed by the Holy Spirit but was physically written by man?

In modern times, the development of computers and their capacities for analyzing large quantities of data enhanced this type of effort. The Great capacity of computers for data analysis, however, did not guarantee quality output. For example, in the early 1960s, Rev. A. Q. Morton produced a computer analysis of the fourteen Epistles of the New Testament attributed to St. Paul, which (inaccurately) showed that six different authors had written that body of work.

This leads us to our main point: Stylometry does not always accurately work and should definitely not have been used on books of the Bible, God's Word in the 1800s.

Unfortunately, our liberal, spiritually malnourished, Bible scholar's literary criticism forensic tools (whose theories were adopted by today's lexicographers), led many of them to **foolishly conclude the following:** 

Solomon was not the author of the book of Ecclesiastes. Moses, Ezra, Solomon (his book: Proverbs), Isaiah and Jeremiah did not write their respective books. Moses did not receive the book of Genesis from the mouth of God neither did he wrote all of the first five books of the Bible. The prophet Jonah did not write the book of Jonah. The majority of the book of Psalm was not written by David the king. Lamentations was not the work of Jeremiah. A woman wrote the book of Hebrews; and the godless intellectual ignorance goes on and on.

More scholars (see below) and their profiles to come later.

William Fiddian Moulton (1835-1898) William Milligan (1821-1892)





#### Kenneth Samuel Wuest (1893-1962)



# William Edwy Vine (1873-1949)



## Marvin Richardson Vincent (1834-1922)



## Walter Bauer (1877-1960)



# Frederick William Danker (1920-2012)



# Spiros Zodhiates (1922-2009)



# Charles John Vaughan (1816-1897)





Which Bible is God's Word? Is it the AMP, ASV, CEV, GNB, GWT, KJ21, KJV, KJV-ER, LB, Msg, NAB, NASB, NIV, NJB, NKJV, NLT, NRSV, NWT, RSV, TEV, TNIV, etc., or all listed in the background on the front cover?

# Who is Gail Riplinger?

# She authored the books, "New Age Bible Versions," "In Awe of Thy Word," and the very seriously revealing book, "Hazardous Materials..."

- As a result, Wor[I]d War Three began among the Bible scholarship elites -

The meaning of abbreviations as you read this section are as follows: (1) **GR** will be the abbreviation for "Gail Riplinger," author of "New Age Bible Version," (abbreviated as **NABV** or listed as **2a, page xxx**) "King James Versions Ditches Blind Guides," abbreviated as **blindG** or listed as **2b, page xxx**. (2) **JW** will be the abbreviation for "James White," author of New Age Bible Version Refuted (abbreviated as **nabvR**) and "The King James Only Controversy;" abbreviated as **kjvonlyC**.



Gail Riplinger (GR), author of "New Age Bible Versions," "In Awe of Thy Word" and the very seriously revealing book, "Hazardous Materials: Greek & Hebrew Study Dangers," began

exposing the men behind all the corruption in our modern versions, many of whom have long ago died in years past but their work is very much alive and popular today. As a result, "Wor[I]d War Three" began in the Bible scholarship world among the "renown" Bible scholar elites of today. We all know that anytime, anyone exposes Satan's agenda, he viciously strikes back with as much "explosive" and "ammunition" force he can get his hands on.

Bible scholars ridiculed and hate Gail Riplinger, because of the severe wounds her books inflicted and they greatly exposed Satan's secret agenda that was successfully executed a few hundred years ago.

Bible scholarship vocation in the past was a man's world. GR (a woman) walked into this forbidden world of Biblical scholarship dominated by men and "detonated" the place. Now, like a tornado that leaves a destructive pathway, in this raging Bible version war, her books send the "Scholarship Only" exclusive men's club scrambling and racing for cover.

Returning fire, modern day scholars high-powered machine guns (their critical reviews of NABV) riddled bullets into the air toward their intended target with nothing else on their minds but to kill, destroy and totally wipe out the enemy (GR) who (as JW declared) "...has disturbed the peace of Christ's Church, and that for no reason. Her errors must be exposed, and she must be called to cease her troubling of the saints." (nabvR, page 25, line 27) The church was at peace (sleeping), and she woke it up. (Matt. 10:34) How ironic!

As loud noises of heavy artillery guns echoed in the air causing unprepared shivering knees to buckle among the "KJV Only crowd," the metal executioners' race towards their target. (The "KJV Only Crowd" is a nickname given by JW to all persons who believe that God's Word can be found intact and without error in the English KJV Bible and Bibles in other languages of the world that was translated from the same family of text.) Moving faster than the speed of sound, modern day scholars were sure she would not survive the impact. But then little did they know that GR fire-power was much greater than all theirs combined; so much so that when she fired back with so much force, (if adding a little humor here is appropriate to convey the message) even the bullets abort their mission and break off running in other directions; it sent these scholars running for cover again.

The "KJV Only crowd" was saved from the vicious attack of modern-day Pharisees and Sadducees, chief scholars and elders (preachers) of the people.

God used a woman (1 Cor. 1:27-29) who exposed the cunning and very deceitful corruption being perpetrated behind the scene. Now, just like when Jesus Christ went into the temple and "cast out all them that sold...and overthrew the tables of the money changers, and the seats of them that sold," exposing these money making merchants (Matt. 21:12), GR exposed what these modern day money making scholars were doing to and in the Word of God.

Remember when God's ordained male leadership structure corrupt themselves or deviates from the true path, He always raise up an alternative (in this case, a women) to complete His work; He uses weak things to destroy the things that are mighty even His ordained structure that He set up. We see this clearly in scripture when Israel (primarily males) was seriously backslidden, God raise up women prophetess among the people. (Judges 4:4, 2 Kings 22:14, 2 Chro. 34:22, Neh. 6:14, Is 8:3 and Luke 2:36).

Later, (after their major defeat) these revengeful scholars **"regrouping"** efforts became very noticeable like a church choir all singing the same chorus. Their song called: "Hundreds of gross misrepresentation and errors by GA Riplinger," began ringing in the ear.

Unfortunately for them, they were only able to produce a few places in her pages book with over 1000 690 references (NABV). They claimed that NABV is filled with gross misrepresentation and errors. These angry and "wounded in action" men began trying to crush her message with swarms of contagious claims all over the internet. But even though just a trivial few of the over 1000 references may not have correctly lined up with the actual source in her book, NABV "massive explosion" blew Satan's undercover plot wide open.

Now you the reader and this writer knows that in today's revengeful society, GR was going to have a big fight on her hands. These men have made huge profits from books sales, which greatly established their names in the public arena. So then, there was no way they will walk away without a serious fight.

All through scripture, we see where God used single individuals to carry His message to the people. For example: Moses, Elijah, Isaiah, Jeremiah, Ezekiel, etc. All of them were outnumbered and up against a multitude of false prophets who were very successful with misleading the people. But unfortunately, the message that went out could not be destroyed. Recognizing a major defeat trying to shut down NABV, scholars search carefully for weakness or loopholes. They search intensely for anything that will destroy her. Unfortunately for GR, their intense search paid off when they stumbled across very serious information on her past divorce and remarried episodes.

After a more thorough research was perform and documentation was provided and examined, scholars were thrilled and rejoice over new found information that was certain to destroy her reputation and ultimately shut down NABV book sales.

То tear away at her. very embarrassingly, GR's past was ambushed (came to light) and attacked with riddled bullets; her claims of "authoring college text book" was "bombed" and left wide opened for scrutiny. A grenade went off shining a light on а few "alleged" trivial misrepresentation claims found in her book. Satan was thrilled and moved in for the kill. He summons his demonic army to focus attack "artillery" on GR's "communication system" to destroy confidence in her communicated message (the books she mission: wrote). Their to establish thousands upon thousands of internet links practically all repeating the same information to make it look like gross misrepresentation and errors. The ultimate objective was to try and shut down her publishing company hoping to destroy her reputation for good.

Scholars focused their attention on two areas: her controversial divorce dispute and areas in her book (NABV) where her views collided with theirs. JW wrote a document called, New Age Bible Version Refuted (Read this document online at: http://vintage.aomin.org/NABVR.html)

where there are basically four areas he targets. They are: (1) the Bible conspiracy theory they claimed she concocted; (2) misrepresentation of authors in the book called, "The NIV: The Making of a Contemporary Translation;" (3) Defending modern version verses that are from a manuscript text family they claimed to be more superior than the KJV sources; and finally, (4) GR's Biblical scholarship qualifications. This document is the foundation of all critical reviews written on (GR's book) NABV. It appears as though scholars who criticize NABV, are all feeding from the same source.

Also, as a result of scholar's findings, a document called, "Who is Gail Riplinger? A warning for God's sheep," (read it online at:

http://www.Biblefortoday.org/PDF/WholsGai IRiplinger.pdf) was written on Riplinger's past claims and past personal life.

Some of GR friends and fellow Christian (book resellers) embarrassingly backed off, fearing the worse ("bloodshed" and destruction). Satan became very successful in trying to destrov the "confidence" in communicated the message.

# What is the difference between the message and the messenger?

Have you ever lied before? Were you ever caught lying? Have you lied within the past week? Remember, a lie can be any information that is untrue; even if it was repeated after hearing it from someone else; also, even if persons were unaware the information they communicated was a lie. Adding "flavor" to a joke can also be a lie. Sadly, we are all **GULTY!** 

Examined the great men in scripture and explain the difference between them and their message. For example: David (Remember his incident with Bathsheba and how he murdered her husband? Yet practically the whole world today knows about the message he declared. There was a serious price he paid though; read the entire book of Palms.), Moses (he disobeyed God), Solomon (he turned to idolatry), Peter (he cursed, lied and denied Christ), Paul (he murdered and send multitudes of Christians to jail), etc. Yet their message came from God and spread to the entire world. We are all sinners (no difference: we have all sin and fall short in

God's sight) delivering the gospel message of Jesus Christ and His Word. So, what's with Riplinger's past matrimony mistakes?

If you were one of GR critics and Jesus said to you and your scholarship buddies, "he that is without sin let him first cast a stone at her." What will you do? Will you pick up a stone and throw because at her her it of "misrepresentation" (knowingly or unknowingly) or would you just walk away? Now, place a large stone in JW hand and say to him: "He that has never verbally or in misrepresented written form. (knowingly anyone or unknowingly), let him first cast this stone at her." Let's now really see what JW does.

Look at all the failures of great men in the Bible mentioned earlier. If we had focused our attention on them and their past, we too would have been distracted. Nevertheless, our focus should always be on their message. This usually results in spiritual growth and maturity along with strong faith. So just read her books and see what's written. GR books are serious eyeopening revelations on where we are all headed; thus, exposing Satan's plans of global deception. Remember, you don't have to accept what is written. Focus on the wealth of information available as a result of reading them.

Like Christians today and past Biblical men and women (whom were "subject to like passions as we are" James 5:17), born under the curse of the law of sin and death, but used mightily by God to carry His Word and message around the world. Gail Riplinger is no exceptions. She too was born in sin and "shapen in iniquity" (Psalm 51:5) just like all of us reading this. As a result, we will all die one day (Heb 9:27) if our Lord "tarry His coming." Therefore, let us redirect our focus from the messenger toward the message. Satan certainly does not want us to do this.

Can we comprehend God's strategic maneuverings in the affairs of mankind (using sinful men and women to carry out His work)? Let us very briefly try and follow them to see how He works behind the scenes by asking questions.

Why God picked Saul to be king of Israel knowing that his heart would have turned away from Him, eventually turning to witchcraft for instructions? He could have picked someone else, but chose not to.

Why God chose the son of Bathsheba to be king of Israel? (She was the wife of Uriah and involved in an adulterous affair with King David; her marriage should have never taken place.) He (knowing Solomon would have turned to idolatry) could have chosen another son of David but decided not to.

Why God allowed the men of the day, to doubt the validity of Mary's virgin birth child prompting the guilty verdict of death among the people (Lev. 20:10, Deu. 22:22-24) where she was actually "showing" **before** Joseph married her. He could have given the instruction of marriage to Joseph before Mary became pregnant to avoid this problem but chose not to.

Why God allowed room of doubt that was clearly seen when the chief priests and elders of the people said, "search, and look: for out of Galilee ariseth no prophet." (John 7:52) We who are two thousand years plus in the future can see they were arrogantly and selfishly wrong. Jesus could have chosen to live in Bethlehem, Judah (Micah 5:2), thus, eliminating and avoiding all pockets of doubt among the people; but He chose not to.

Why God used a murderer (Saul) who murdered and sent multitudes of Christians to jail? He could have used someone else and trained them to do the same job Paul did after he was converted; but God chose to use Saul and renamed him Paul. Why God used GR who was trivially accused of misrepresentation, accused of lying and covering up her past of being married three times (before dedicating her life to Him and His Word)? He could have used "the road to Damascus experience" on JW and choose him to defend His Word, but decided not to.

Why God chose these individuals who gave room to doubting the complete authority of His Word? We don't have all the answers to these questions. But this writer believes it to be a "faith-love" act among His children. Remember, "without faith, it is **impossible** to please Him." (Heb. 11:6) A "meek," "merciful" and "pure in heart" faith strong sheep hears and knows the voice of the master; but does not know the voice of an arrogant and selfish hireling: a stranger who is a thief and a robber (Matt. 5:3-8, John 10:4-5).

When all that surrounds us are doubt, there is still (God's) truth in the midst.

Now, with all of the above deliberations in mind, let us look at GR divorce and remarried relationship information.

This author's answer to this document ("Who is Gail Riplinger? A warning for God's sheep") would be to take those "he that is without sin" critics (John 8:7: the person who wrote this document and all those who are aggressively promoting it) back to John 4:5-42 to the Samaritan woman who had five (5) husbands and one common law companion; who lied and was exposed. She met Jesus, believed His words, went back home, influenced the whole city and brought all of them to Him; The Living Word. Many believed on Him including the Samaritan woman, got save and followed His teachings.

Thank God He does not "condemn us" (John 8:10-11) because of His wonderful unfailing mercy and compassion. Praise the LORD, as a result of these attributes of God, He usually admonishes and instructs His children when they are forgiven (for <u>past</u> sins) to "go, and sin no more."

Riplinger, exposed Satan **"big times"** and as a result, brought multitudes of Christians back to God's true Word. (The Written Word who is also the Living Word.) For this, Satan is "rotten" angry: Riplinger can poke her tongue out at him and breakoff running; sprinting for cover behind the protective **"Power!"** of our **great God and wonderful savior, Jesus Christ.** 

After all the noise from the "heavy gun battles," suddenly, in the year 2008, the unthinkable happened (the unheard-of; something that was never done before). GR dropped an "atomic" bomb (her book: Materials ... ") on the "Hazardous ("Hiroshima's") Scholarship Only camp. Everyone since then (all of her critics) went silent. This silence in the "KJV Only camp" became "frighteningly" evident. Where is everyone? Is there any "critical life" after the "explosion?" There appear to be no negative reviews or critiques after her book's "massive blast." Did this catastrophic episode end "Wor[I]d War Three" among the scholarship elites? Has any of her critic out there survived? There seem to be NO life (critics) in the "affected zone area."

When Jesus lifted up himself, and saw "<u>NONE!</u>" but the woman, he said unto her, Woman, where are those thine accusers? hath no man condemned thee? She said, No man, Lord. And Jesus said unto her, Neither do I condemn thee: go and sin no more. (John 8:10-11; emphasis added; and the case closed) like to address JW's four points argument. As mentioned earlier, they are: (1) the Bible conspiracy theory they claimed she concocted; (2) misrepresentation of authors in the book called, "The NIV: The Making of a Contemporary Translation;" (3) Defending modern version verses that are from a manuscript text family they claimed to be more superior than the KJV sources; and (4) GR's Biblical finally, scholarship qualifications.

#### **Argument One**

#### Gail Riplinger's Conspiracy Theory

Throughout nabvR JW stresses, "there are no conspiracy in modern version;" "there is no such conspiracy in any modern version;" "There is simply no conspiracy on the part of such translations as the NIV or NASB to hide the deity of Christ or any other important doctrine of the faith." (nabvR, page 12, line 7) "Mrs. Riplinger's conspiracy theories fall apart under the most basic examination." (nabvR, page 15, line 27)

## Are these statements really correct?

JW courageously defends the NIV and know publishers from time to time update their text to include deteriorating and watered-down views and opinions of modern society. For example, in their new and "improved" Bible called "Today's New International Version," (TNIV and many others) Jesus Christ is caught lying at John 7:8-9. Does this sound and appear as a conspiracy or not? The NIV publishers loved JW for how he defended their text; this was because his modern textual defense brought them lots of business. So, then the question is: why is he so adamant toward the KJV text?

All throughout the Bible, particularly the New Testament scripture, we are constantly warned as Christians about the coming deception that will deceive the whole world. We are cautioned to "be not deceive;" "let no man deceive you;" "scoffers will come;" "false Christ will come and deceive many;" "grievous wolves enter in among you, not sparing the flock;" etc. etc.

Before deception can come, Satan has to plan how he will deceive many. This plan is called conspiracy.

Yet, when asked how is it possible to deceive a world of very intelligent individuals, there is only one word that comes to mind which describes how this will unfold; that word is "conspiracy." Like "pride goeth before destruction," (Proverb 16:18) conspiracy (the plan) goeth before deception. In order to deceive, one must first create a conspiratorial plan of action. Conspiracy means: a planning and acting together secretly especially for an unlawful or harmful purpose. Now with this definition in mind, how do you think Satan will be able to deceive the whole world as mentioned in Rev. 13:1-18; 2 Thes. 2:3-12; Matt. 24:4-5, 11, 23-27. How is deception conceived? No other way but by conspiracy.

This author is really amazed at these comments made by JW. Just by examining the versions that are produce today, any student with simple and basic language skills can tell something is seriously wrong with many of them.

Even a small child who can read could pick up something going on behind the scene: "Man is made a little lower than God;" Jesus Christ lied in modern versions; fornication, whoremonger and sodomites were replaced; fasting and fervent prayers were taken out.

Doesn't JW know the reading at Rom. 1:28 (KJV versus Msg) where "The Message" went dangerously wrong? (Also, the 1984 NIV edition at 1 Cor. 6:9.) Haven't he seen the "Bible" called "Good As New: A Radical Retelling of the Scriptures," at I Corinthians 7:12, 8-9 where "partners" (two women or two men) are the replacement for "marriage." There are so many verse comparisons that can be examined to prove a conspiracy theory; but what is interesting is that JW (a modern-day scholar) denies there aren't any. This writer smells a rat.

Gail Riplinger books, especially "Hazardous Materials: Greek and Hebrew Study Dangers," woke up a sleeping church to an enormous "monster of deception" approaching in the distance. Onthe-other-hand, James White is working very hard and overtime to get the church back to sleep again; possibly a deep sleep: a coma.

GR message of conspiracy **does not** fall apart as is falsely claimed by JW. It wakes up and cautions God's people on the coming doom before a time when correction attempts will be too late: the deception will have already poisoned the whole world to a point of no return. There is a commonsense error in JW's false "no conspiracy" message. Reader beware!

Mr. White is actually doing the complete opposite to GR: trying to lead readers away from GR's message (books). Therefore, we have two conclusions: (1) JW argues that there is absolutely no doctrinal conspiracy or problems in the NIV or **any modern version**. (2) GR argues that there is a very subtly disguised conspiracy being perpetrated behind the scene by Satan and his camouflage scholars.

Let us examined both arguments and you the reader be the judge.

#### **Argument Two**

#### Misrepresentation of authors in "The NIV: The Making of A Contemporary Translation"

This section will be completed and documented soon. Readers are encouraged to be patient and revisit <u>www.bibleversiontruth.com</u> from time to time for the update of this argument in this book. There are lots of information added throughout the entire book and therefore this author looks forward to your return.

#### Argument Three JW claimed verses in modern versions are more superior than those found in the KJV

To properly address all relevant arguments mentioned by JW in nabvR, a new chapter was created just to tackle the points outlined by him. Therefore, a rebuttal of arguments in nabvR can be found in the next chapter titled, "Bible Version Arguments." Readers are encouraged to read this chapter to find arguments that will be added from time to time.

#### Argument Four Gail Riplinger's Biblical Academics (or scholarship) Qualifications

The document **nabvR** was printed from <u>http://vintage.aomin.org/NABVR.html</u> (JW home page). Therefore, your printed page, paragraph and line numbers from this webpage may be different to what is listed below. This may result from different printer's protocol.

claimed Mr. White that "Mrs. Riplinger is not able to read either Greek or Hebrew, and hence could not verify the accuracy of the NASB translation." (nabvR, page 11, para. 1, line 7). He continues: ...the claim that she has "collated" the "Greek editions" is almost laughable. (nabvR, page 22, paragraph 8, line 6) "Mrs. Riplinger is not a Biblical scholar. Her degrees, her teaching and her writing, are all in one area: interior design." "Mrs. Riplinger did indeed teach at Kent State, but she did so in the Home Economics department, teaching classes in interior design." (nabvR, page 11, paragraph 6, line

3). She "doesn't even have the first bit of undergraduate training in any of the fields relating to Bible translation..." "She is obviously deceived..." (nabvR, page 41, paragraph 3, line 6-10) "Mrs. Riplinger's statements are to be dismissed simply because she is untrained in the field...she...make the elementary errors that she does throughout her book." (nabvR, page 11, paragraph 6, line 10)

Please notice that this was exactly the same reason why the chief priest and elders of the people hated Jesus Christ. He was not trained in their scholarship schools and was merely a carpenter working as a **"carpentry interior decorator."** He did this for a living perhaps with His stepfather until His three-year ministry started.

What is the qualification or requirements to be a Bible scholar? Modern "scholars" like JW, believe you must first attend university or seminary. Jesus did not think so. This was very clear during His three years ministry where some of His chosen disciples were "unlearned" (Acts 4:13) fishermen who proclaimed His message to the whole world. These men perhaps did not have a college or university degree in Bible scholarship academics, but yet they were powerful and bold speakers resulting in winning thousands (or perhaps millions) of people to the Lord in their day. Jesus could have selected any member of the chief priests, Pharisees, scribes, elders of the people (who had university or college degree training of the day) as one of His twelve disciples, but He didn't.

For Jesus to use Saul of Tarsus, a college or university graduate, He had to first strip him of all the classroom training he received, showed him how "**blind**" he really was (resulted from his college brainwashing academics), strip him of his independence, before he was of any use.

Think of it: Jesus may have met him during His childhood days, but noticed he was surrounded with Pharisee predators who were closing in on him (their potential childish victim), to pull him into their brainwashing sect, for life. Jesus may have seen him again during His three-year ministry, looked at him and saw great potentials but he was far from ready to be trained to carry the gospel around the world. He needed a complete overhaul from "God's University" (undoing the training damage done by his schooling) to be retrained in the true doctrine of Christ.

JW claim that GR is not academically qualify to write on Bible version topics. According to his rhetorical definitions, she must first show her college degree achievements, then the subjects taken, before he was convinced of her scholarship abilities.

James White forgot "the beam" that was in his own eyes (Matthew 7:1-5), where he (using his very own academic rules and standards) was not qualify to write his book, "The James Only Controversy" King (1995). His academic disability to write this book was exposed by Dr. Letis Theodore in the video presentation at Pensacola Christian College (PCC) shortly after the book was published. (See [10b], Α Response to James White's The King James Only Controversy) JW does not want readers to know that he was not qualified to write, "The King Only Controversy" James and therefore arguments in his book are not trustworthy neither are they reliable according to his very own standards.

(Also read, "Why Not the King James Bible! An Answer to The King James Only Controversy by James R. White," delivered by Dr. Kirk D. DiVietro at the 17<sup>th</sup> Annual Meeting of the Dean Burgon Society, July 12-13, 1995 at the Calvary Baptist Church, Brampton, Outario, Canada. This book is available at "The Bible For Today" ministry [www.BibleForToday.org]) So then, how does one become qualify to learn from "God's University?" Anytime God wants to use an individual to deliver His message to the people, He selects (by appointment only) several ways to do it. He then provides all necessary tools to achieve the task at hand.

Read of Moses (from the burning bush experience to leading the people in the wilderness), Saul (the first king of Israel; particularly Samuel 10:6), David (the years between his anointing and when he became king of Israel), and Solomon (the years between his prayers in 1 Kings 3:5-9, to the day of his death). Notice carefully how God blessed Solomon with all the wisdom he needed to be the wisest man on the planet at the time so that he was able to write the book of Proverbs, Ecclesiastes, Song of Solomon and perfectly build His temple. He could not have done these things if God had not blessed him with great wisdom and understanding to do so.

JW relied heavily on earthly academics (like Saul of Tarsus) to elaborate on this subject that was bigger and goes deeper than his scholarship training took him (Read all of Chapter One in this book). GR relied on knowledge provided from "God's University" (like the Apostle Paul who was taught a completely different view point).

JW fail to recognize that God doesn't select Bible "scholars" like him to preserve, defend and carry His Word to the people; He uses unlearned "fishermen" or anyone with a humble-spirit to do the job.

Gail Riplinger was not pursuing Bible scholarship in her early college life. It was God who changed her course of vocation from what she wanted to pursue, to obtaining a degree with honors from "God's University;" the same "school" that taught and train the apostle Paul everything he knew and wrote in Scripture.

It appears (and everyone who follows her work can see) that GR knows far more Greek and Hebrew and a whole lot more information on Bible scholarship than JW. (Read the notes at [2f], page 42, line 12)

You don't need to return to school for this; once God makes the selection, he provides everything necessary to take down any thing or anyone that is mighty and powerful; including JW and his affiliate, the "Scholarship Only, Men Club."

Remember 1 Cor. 1:27-29. God use the weak things to destroy the things that are mighty. How He does it: bless His children with the knowledge, wisdom, understanding and expose them to the right source, person, place, etc., then instruct them when to unleash everything that was learnt. This usually does not come by choice but by appointment only, arranged or decided upon by God.

Let's look at Samson for a moment. (Judges Chapters 13-16) From all indications, he had to have been a very skinny or a slim built person. This was why his enemies could not figure out where his strength came from. If he had very large body mass like bulky body builders today, there would be no questions as to where his massive strength came from. But notice carefully, when God wanted him to perform mighty task that would require an а enormous amount of strength and energy, the Spirit came upon him; now when the task was completed, the Spirit left him.

Who taught Paul to speak several different languages fluently? Who taught how clearly articulate him to an understanding of the transition from Judaism to Christianity? Was it a university or college professor or was the Teacher, The Holy Spirit who took up residence in his body?

God used an arrogant murderer to write most of the New Testament books of the Bible. So than, why can't He use someone who taught interior design?

"Interior Design" was the vocation label JW used to describe Gail Riplinger's academic qualifications in nabvR. Read her excellent response to this in [2b] or [blindG], page 41. Also read reference notes on her vast knowledge of Greek in [2f], page 42; reference note at line 12.

In conclusion and to remind our "university learned scholar," Mr. James White, when God uses someone, university academics is not a prerequisite; just being available is all that is required. Most often, God does not choose "learned scholars" him. Не usually pick simple like individuals with perhaps no degrees in the subject area and provide them with all the tools necessary (Galatians 1:11-19) so that "at the end of the day," they will not boast about themselves like how JW did when he said (speaking to GR) "...I can assure you I have shared with far more JW's (Jehovah Witnesses) than you have..." (nabvR, page 31, paragraph 6, line 4; emphasis added) How does James White so arrogantly know this?

Now this author will address critical reviews of NABV. To do this, many of the internet sites listed after typing in "GAIL RIPLINGER EXPOSED" will be examined.

A very large portion of the information listed, attacked her divorce and remarrying relationship repeatedly over thousands of sites that make up thousands that are listed. A small portion attacked the three scholarship college text books she wrote.

attacks Further target alleged misrepresentation of authors in the book called: "The NIV: The Making of a Contemporary Translation." Lots of war with words; "rhetorical small fights;" back and forth threatening letters written after NABV was published; some of which have nothing to do with her book. (Riplinger lied to Dr. and Mrs. Waite; the Waites lied on Riplinger; Dr. Waite threatens to suite Riplinger; Riplinger threatens to suite the Waites; Carson threatens to suite Riplinger; "he said," "she said;" "he took it out of context;" "she took him out of context;" "he lied in the previous letter;" "she lied

because that was not said;" etc.) The list goes on and on. Yes! Satan attacked the messenger and declared his "enemy" (GR) his prisoner of war (POW).

However, what this author have <u>NOT</u> seen over the internet, trying to process and move through the "carnage," is hard <u>evidence to</u> <u>DISCREDIT</u> the mountain of evidence presented and documented in her books on the dangerous conspiracy perpetrated behind the scene by Satan and all of his cohorts.

This author decided to examine and list the first fifty sites that appear when typing into MSN search engine field: "Gail Riplinger Exposed." Briefly scanning and summarizing through website home pages. Let us see what is being said. (You the reader may skip this section if you do not wish to proceed with this exercise.)

There were 8,240 results found when "Gail Riplinger Exposed," was typed into the internet search field. This amount may be much more by the time this section is read by you the reader.

Keep in mind, listed here that make up so many links are advertisers who are only interested in directing internet traffic to their website mainly for promoting and marketing their wares online. Lots of website creators look for hot issue web pages and create internet links to them primarily to generate profits. These sites usually have nothing relating to Gail Riplinger. If a link does not lead directly to the argument issue page, the comment provided will be: "this website creator is only interested in marketing the contents of the site for financial gain."

The first fifty links are as follows:

1 http://avpublications.org/

This site focused on Gail Riplinger's divorce and remarried issue using it to try and destroy her reputation; hence, trying to destroy reader's confidence in her book. Note how **devious** it appears to be: he/she uses GR's website name, then add ".org" at the end to mislead and fool internet travelers who may accidentally make a "wrong turn" by entering ".org" instead of ".com."

#### 2 http://www.evangelicaloutreach.org/rip lingeraward.htm

Evangelical Outreach (EO) is about a skull and crossbone preacher giving GR a self created skull and crossbone award. It criticized GR's book (NABV): all doctrinal views listed and GR's divorce issue. Also, the site attacked many well-known preachers who do not hold EO's view. EO is strong on point with ecumenism but dangerously off with Bible doctrine. To refute EO, one would need to have read the entire KJV Bible for an understanding of basic doctrinal concepts and not the modern versions where many of EO's doctrinal views are coming from. EO gave a sentences few short on areas of disagreements on Bible versions, but with nothing concrete. This however, is with exception to a few scripture verses that were used. Readers beware! The website owner is a false guide who compiled a list of false prophets and teachers; but the only name missing from that list is the site owner's name. In fact, many of the preachers listed belong on the list; but others do not belong there. EO in this author's view was a waste of time reading.

#### 3 https://translationtruth.wordpress.com /2008/04/08/in-defense-of-gailriplinger-exposing-the-marriage-smearcampaign/

This site has back and forth discussions on positive and negative reviews of GR's personal life and work. It appeared to be a group forum where anyone can give his/her own opinion. **The website however, appeared to defend GR's work.** 

#### 4 http://jesus-is-savior.com/False%20 Religions/New%20Age/new\_ageriplinger.htm

This website owner (David J. Stewart) complemented GR for the excellent scholarship work done on Bible versions. There are very good views on issues that are relevant for all Christians today.

#### uku.com/reply/53817/Another-Booklet-Exposing-Gail-Riplinger

This website owner is a fan of Star Wars-Dark Vader. It focused on GR divorce and remarry issue. Like the paparazzi or most tabloid, it focused lights, camera and action on the messenger and not the message and is only interested in promoting gossip: a person's mistakes in life.

#### 6 <u>http://www.watch.pair.com/TR-0-</u> intro.html

The owner of this website Watch Pair (WP) claimed that GR is a KJV only advocate opposing to its "Textus Receptus Only" held view. WP claimed that GR believed the Textus Receptus must agree with the English KJV or line up with it to be the perfect Word of God. Also, WP claimed that GR believed the English 1611 KJB is the ultimate measuring component to test the authenticity of all modern Bible versions.

In other words, if the Textus Receptus has any missing or added words, sentences or punctuations that does not correspond with the **English** KJV, it is not God's Word. Clearly stated, WP inaccurately claimed GR placed the 1611 KJB edition over and above all Greek and English text produced before and after it including **all** Bible text in other languages. WP then called all persons who follow this view: "Riplingerites," "Ruckmanrites" or KJV Only advocates.

Anyone who do not follow GR's book closely would definitely be guided into believing the above. However, this is simply not what is being presented. The point made in GR's books is that Satan has muddied Bible history so much that anyone who tries "going back" to find the "originals" will discover that it is almost impossible to do so in the face of massive amount of corrupt historical data written that is very hostile toward the underground Christian text; this also include all the tampering and destruction (burning) done for centuries.

Now, in spite of all of this Satanic attack on the Word for centuries, its preservation survived for each generation and will continue forever (Ps 12:6-7). Therefore, faith is the substance here that over rides all doubts regarding the preservation of God's Word. To recognize God's genuine Bible (because it was preserved for today's generation and forever) one must examine the simple and basic components that helped kept its tracking trail alive: the blood trail following the text which started at the cross; the ONLY two manuscript families (the Byzantine and Alexandrian discrepancies); and God's evidently clear method of preservation (see "God's scriptural preservation strategy illustrated" in chapter two of this book).

The majority of English readers do not speak, read or write Greek neither Latin and therefore to "go back" looking for the original Bible text is like looking for a very small needle in a gigantic hay stack.

WP did not properly read GR's books to clearly understand her views on the issue. A simple sentence from [2f], page 454 would have explained this: "Remember, we do not have the originals; although it seems that words can be translated numerous ways, we know God is not the author of confusion. Therefore, he must be the author of today's one perfectly translated **English** Holy Bible, the King James Bible. **Other languages have their own perfect Bibles**."

There are many comments found in other books by GR that would have addressed WP's faulty accusations. What GR meant above is that God had also preserved His words throughout all previous generations in other language as well. A suggestion to the website owner is to read GR's books and not those of her critics.

(This section is to be continued: number's 7 to 50. You the reader can revisit <u>www.bibleversiontruth.com</u> in a few months for the conclusion. This author looks

forward to your return.)

# CHAPTER EIGHT

Which Bible is God's Word? Is it the AMP, ASV, CEV, GNB, GWT, KJ21, KJV, KJV-ER, LB, Msg, NAB, NASB, NIV, NJB, NKJV, NLT, NRSV, NWT, RSV, TEV, TNIV, etc., or all listed in the background on the front cover?

# Bible Version Arguments

#### - Along with supporting theory illustrations -

This author will examine Bible version arguments that are relevant only to important Biblical doctrine. (For example: The Trinity, the Deity of Christ, Hell, Heaven, eternal life, etc.) All others will not be discussed here at this time. Also, in some cases where the argument was already addressed in this book, a reference link may be provided in some instances avoiding repeated notes on the issue.

The format for each argument is as follows: The subject topic and supporting verse(s) will be highlighted. The argument charge will follow; then the modern version argument. Lastly, following will be this author's views and/or those from other sources exposing the modern version arguments on the subject.

As a result of this section, theories were written by this author to support and strengthen the points made. They are identified as: (1) The Professional Thief Theory; (2) The Manuscript Conspiracy Theory; (3) The Cain-Abel Conspiracy Theory; (4) The You Believe–I believe Theory; and (5) The Great Conspiracy Theory. References will be made to them from time to time as you the reader examine the arguments listed.

Finally, this section will regularly be updated with new modern version topic issues along with their rebuttals. You the reader are encouraged to revisit <u>www.bibleversiontruth.com</u> and check out this section for new and improved information on answers to modern version arguments every so often.

The meaning of abbreviations as you read this section are as follows: (1) **GR** will be the abbreviation for Gail Riplinger, author of "New Age Bible Version," (abbreviated as **NABV** or listed as **[2a], page xxx**) and "King James Versions Ditches Blind Guides," abbreviated as **blindG** or listed as **[2b], page xxx**. (2) **JW** will be the abbreviation for James White, author of New Age Bible Version Refuted (abbreviated as **nabvR**) and "The King James Only Controversy;" abbreviated as **kjvonlyC**.

Most of the arguments listed here will be taken from James White's book "The King James Only Controversy," and his "New Age Bible Version Refuted," (**nabvR**) document found at: <u>http://vintage.aomin.org/NABVR.html</u>. Please note that your printed page, paragraph and line numbers from this webpage may be different to the reference listed below. This may happen because of different printer protocols.



#### THE GODHEAD

(Rom. 1:20, Acts 17:29, Col. 2:9) The charge: In modern versions, "Godhead" was replaced with "divine nature," "the divine being" and "deity" in Rom. 1:20, Acts 17:29 and Col. 2:9. (See nabvR, page 28-29)

#### Modern versions argument

To fully discuss this dispute, we will use James White arguments aimed at Gail Riplinger in his online document called New Age Bible Version Refuted. JW is a wellknown defender of modern Bible versions.

James White writes in nabvR that:

- The problem here is that not only is 1 Mrs. Riplinger making connections where none logically exist (the single most common error she makes in her book and in her speaking), but she is attacking the modern translations for being more accurate than the King James! The KJV uses the phrase "Godhead" three times, once at Acts 17:29, once at Romans 1:20, and once at Colossians 2:9. In each case the KJV is translating a different Greek word by the same English word! This leads to а real problem when comparing Romans 1:20 and Colossians 2:9. (nabvR, page 26)
- 2 It is my sincere belief that the preceding information is sufficient to

establish, beyond doubt, the unreliability of Gail Riplinger as a researcher, writer, theologian, and textual critic. While one could literally fill another hundred pages with the errors she makes, there simply is no reason to do so. If the preceding does not establish the point, no amount of information will suffice. (nabvR, page 26)

- 3 The term at Romans 1:20, geiothj, means "divinity" or "divine nature" just as the NASB renders it. However, the term at Colossians 2:9 is qeothj, which means "deity," i.e., the state of being God. Colossians 2:9 is one of the plainest affirmations of the deity of Christ, yet the KJV obscures this by rendering different Greek terms with one English term that itself communicates poorly. Rather than applauding the modern translations for their accurate renderina. Mrs. Riplinger, because of her tunnel vision, has to attack them for being "different" than the KJV! (nabvR, page 26)
- 4 On page 184 you (GR) address the quite accurate modern translation of a group of terms translated by "the Godhead" in the KJV. I provide you with the following chart of my own making: (nabvR, page 28; emphasis added)

Modern versions	Verse (Greek)	KJV
The divine being	Acts 14:29 (geioj)	The Godhead
Divine	Rom 1:20	The
nature	(qeiothj)	Godhead

Finally, JW concludes with a question:

5 Would you care to explain, Mrs. Riplinger, why the KJV translates three different terms by one English term, when those three terms do not, in fact, mean the same thing? (nabvR, page 29)

#### **King James Version argument**

James White addresses the above question (No. 5) to Gail Riplinger and therefore GR will answer his "tunnel vision view." She in blindR, page 48 ([2b], page 48) exposes JW argument. (Read it for her complete rebuttal to JW argument.)

GR responds (this writer's notes following):

1 When a close investigation is done on JW's argument, we would surprisingly discover that he is using *Thayer's Greek-English Lexicon.* 

See **blindR**, page 49 and read the section in this book titled, "These are our gods (Scholars) O Christian!" for a summarized profile on Joseph Thayer (1828-1901) and his religious beliefs before proceeding here.

There are four very strong verses on 2 the Trinity in the KJV. Thaver manages to dissolve all of them. White follows this blind leader of the blind and says, "Thayer's lexicon says 'deity...theotes, theiotes: theot, deity differs from 'theiot', divinity, as essence differs from quality or attribute. This bit of information is vital" (*Pro Apoligian*, Vol. 2. Issue2). To defend the new version's dismissal of "the Godhead," White parrots Thayer saying, "theiotes means divinity or divine nature just as the NASB renders it. [T]heotes...means deity." (blindG, page 49)

Thus, to defend modern versions, JW turned to heathen scholars who do not believe God exist and who actually gave JW the meaning for Greek words. Furthermore, other scholars copied Thayer's definitions in their work for the same Greek word. They all practically use the same illustrations and defense as JW did here.

3 Sorry Mr. Thayer and Mr. White, the root *theos* means G-O-D, no matter how deftly a non-Trinitarian like Thayer tries to divest the powerful term "Godhead" of its Trinitarian meaning. (blindG, page 49)

The words divine and divinity for replacement for Godhead denotes a quality

or characteristic and not the Godhead title. ([2f], page 336) Thayer claims that the Greek word here "...is not always deity but simply a quality or an attribute." ([2f], page 336) If three of these Greek words all begin with the Greek word for "God," (**the prefix**) how then did they went from "Godhead" to "divine nature," "the divine being" and "deity?" "The three words are synonyms." ([2f], page 337)

4 He must be totally unaware that the lexicon he uses, *Thayer's Greek-English Lexicon*, was written by a <u>UNITARIAN</u>. You the reader need to know that Dr. Joseph Henry Thayer (1828-1901) who gave us Thayer's Greek-English Lexicon was a

UNITARIAN.

5 Thayer spent his entire life trying to prove that the Trinity does not exist and that Jesus Christ and the Holy Ghost are not God. So, were does Mr. White go to prove that my defense of the KJV's "Godhead" (Rom 1:20, Col. 2:9, Acts 17:29) is wrong? You guessed it: *Thayer's Greek-English Lexicon*!! (blindG, page 48)

Now what does a person of the Unitarian faith believes? Two of their notable beliefs are (1) they reject the Trinity; and (2) they do not believe Jesus Christ is Lord, God or the Messiah.

The publisher's introduction in Thayer's Greek-English Lexicon of the New Testament (1977) warns the reader of Thayer's subtle views spilling over into his work. The introduction said on page vii: "A word of caution is necessary. Thayer was a Unitarian and the errors of this sect occasionally come through in the explanatory notes. The reader should be alert for both subtle and blatant denials of such doctrine as the Trinity (Thayer regarded Christ as a mere man and the Holy Spirit as an impersonal force emanating from God), the inherent and total depravity of fallen human nature, the eternal punishment of the wicked, and Biblical inerrancy."

Is there any "Christian" scholar today who will PUBLICALLY have the guts to stand up and say that they see nothing wrong here if this information was made public and everyone knew this? That they see nothing wrong with using Thayer's Lexicon to explain Rom 1:20, Acts 17:29 and Col 2:9? They see no wrong KNOWING CLEARLY that: (1) he did not believe in God or His existence and spent his entire scholarship life trying to pull "God" out of the Bible. And (2) the publisher warns the reader that Thayer's views are antichristian especially those on the Trinity, God and verses dealing with the deity of Christ? Yet, in spite of these shocking revelations, scholars (like JW) still use Thayer's work to lookup their defense to attack the word, "Godhead."

How is it that JW in his right Christian mind, turned to a heathen scholar for definitions to a passage of scripture? (This question is also directed to all scholars who did the very same thing only to defend their modern Bible versions.) Why do you the reader think scholars who knowing all of the above, continue to recklessly push these anti-Christian views?

Modern scholars cover up these shocking facts because they do not want the reading public to know, that the books used as reference to argue their points were written by heathens or persons who spent most of their lives attacking the Christian faith. JW and his scholarship buddies hate the KJV so much until they will bend and accept ANYTHING from anyone including Satanist, as long as the reference given attack the KJV. Also, and most importantly they are delighted when the reading public is unaware of the background on who the information came from. This is called dishonest and blatant cheating (misrepresenting Biblical truth to "score points.").

Every word written in Thayer's work was greatly influenced by his religious world view and subtly incorporated into his work. ([2f], pages 334) Thayer rejected the belief in God and focused his attention on destroying all Christian's Trinity proof text in the scripture.

Like a Thesaurus, Greek words have more than one meaning. Scholars in their lexicons (and other work) capitalize on creating a liberal "**one word**" definition from a Greek word that has several other meanings. A clear example of this can be found in Strong's Exhaustive Concordance, "**one word**" Greek and Hebrew definitions found at the back of his book.

- 6 His single word definition does not represent the varieties of Greek word meanings given in a real research unabridged lexicon (blindG, page 49). As a result, modern scholars, professors, preachers, teachers, etc. capitalize on this when the "One Word" definition matches their sermon objective points.
- In the opening pages of Thayer's 7 Lexicon, he lists the names of well over 300 pagans and philosophers whose writings he consulted to give hints as to 'meanings' and usages of Greek words. The Greeks' writings, of course, do not give meanings in Greek, let alone English. They can only exhibit the word *in use* and therefore only *hint* at its meaning in that context. The hint is still in Greek. Bringing it into English takes it miles from its origin. Pairing those Greek hints with words in or 500,000 word English vocabulary is a guessing game at best. ([2f], page 337) Thayer consulted these 300 Greek pagans and philosophers for "hints" as to their meaning in English. These sources were not English source. Even though Greek can be taught in the classroom (which is where most of our noted scholars learnt it), translating a Greek word to English is not an easy task unless God blesses one with the gift of tongues. It then becomes more of a guessing game as mentioned above. ([2f], page 337)
- 8 If Thayer did not believe the Bible to be the Word of God, then why are we Christian using his work to find out what a Bible word means?

Keep in mind that other work (lexicon, dictionaries, etc.) copied definition materials

from Thayer's work. If the reading public had known this information on Thayer, JW's argument would have long ago fallen apart.

Always, keep in mind that the KJV is a **translation** from the underground Christian Bible and its sources, but modern Bible versions are massive body of **interpretations and definitions,** using "Bible" tools (lexicons, dictionaries, etc.) to find and use "suitable" words written by questionable apostate scholars.

What this simply mean is: instead of these KJV haters, consult the 1611 KJV and its sources for meaning of Bible words, they turned to pagans, Greek philosophers, German-Latin sources and other works that were anti-KJV. Scholars used them to produce lexicons, dictionaries and other "Bible" tools that were made available during the 18<sup>th</sup> century. <u>The KJV</u> <u>practically helped to create and</u> <u>developed our English language,</u> <u>grammar and word definitions</u>,

Lastly, the word "Godhead" is the correct rendition of the Greek word and is seen even in Alexandrian Bibles written long before Thayer's Greek New Testament surfaced.

#### A DICTIONARY MEANING

What is the difference between the words Godhead, godhead, divine nature, the divine being and deity? Do they have the same meaning?

**Godhead**: The Trinity, a title of God; Lord, Creator, Maker, God Almighty, Jehovah, etc.

**godhead**: godhood; divinity; the state or quality of being a god, divine or deity.

**Divine nature**: Any characteristic, supernatural, spiritual or angelic occurrences that resembles or an act of

God, Christ, a god or a supernatural entity; they can be either clean or unclean spirits.

**Divine being**: Can mean anyone that resembles God, a god, a supernatural entity whether it's clean or an unclean entity.

**Deity**: The state of being a god; divine nature; godhood, a god or goddess. Similarly, **deify** means: to make a god of; rank among the gods. To look upon or worship as a god; to glorify, exalt, or adore in an extreme way; to idolize.

NOTES: There are no other words or language that uses the word "Godhead" to mean anything other than the triune God. Divine nature, divine being or deity by itself can mean or refer to God, Satan, demons, a god or false god, etc. These words denote a quality or characteristic, not a **title**.

Coming soon:

#### The Johann Comma (1 John 5:7)

Read, "Erasmus viciously attacked by historian pit-bulls!" in the previous chapter for more information.

#### Lucifer (Isaiah 14:12)

Read, Lucifer is Gone at Isaiah 14:12 and replaced with Morning Star, in Chapter Three for deliberation on this subject.

## <sup>26</sup> Conspiracy Theory Illustrations

#### 1 The Professional Theft Conspiracy Theory

Little by little, small parts were moved; piece by piece the components were taken. This went on for days undetected until the culprit had enough to sell and sufficient to last for years. The manufacturer, Systech, was purchasing these items by the thousands with no knowledge of stealing by the warehouse manager. The amounts removed were small compared to the large stocked pile; no one suspected any went missing.

The manager very subtly removed the items from a <u>recognized</u> location to an <u>unrecognized</u> location. After a long period of time when the new location pile got notably large and they were not included in previous stock taking projects, the manager slipped all in his bag and stole them. The scheme was that if the items missing were detected from its original location and an investigation was ordered, the manager then would have announced that none were missing; they were just moved and kept in a more "suitable" location. This trick would then defuse any pilferage suspicions.

Unfortunately, for the manager, life is surrounded by many natural laws that eventually manifest all acts; whether they be kindness or selfishness, honest or dishonest, wickedness or righteousness, etc. "That which was done secretly in a closet will come to light." (Mark 4:22, Luke 8:17)

Eventually, after many years had passed, the manager became very careless but confident this dirty secret act would never be known. One holiday when Systech was closed, an unknown corporate executive needed to purchase the item the manager was stealing for an emergency that had occurred. Upon visiting the unknown manager's store to purchase the item, the executive quickly recognized the special Systech price labels on the item. The executive did not know who owned the store but knew Systech manager very well as one of his corporate staff.

When an enquiry was made on how many more were in stock. A staff at the store replied, "There were thousands in stock and if more was needed, they can easily be ordered and would arrive the same day." This was indeed enough information for an investigation which turned out uncovering a chain of events that proved the manager stole thousands over a fifteen years period.

The manager was fired, convicted in court and was sent to prison for several years. All of the items on hand at his store were returned; also, there was a pending law suit to acquire the entire operation to compensate for the thousands that were already sold.

In this true story, the thief (the manager) represent Satan; the small components represents important doctrinal proof text of God's Word that was relocated. Finally, over time when everyone least expected it, the components taken represents verses that were completely removed from the text.

If an argument surfaced about missing verses, the new location scheme was used as proof of no missing verse; just a relocation shift. However, we all know that after long periods of time, if the new location issue does not surface, the genuine (components) verse will eventually be completely remove or taken from the text (store). This was/is exactly what Satan is doing; hence, the Professional Theft Conspiracy Theory.

Remember, man was given three score and ten (seventy years to live); Satan, was around for thousands of years. After, let's say, three hundred years of the relocation, he moves into action by completely moving the information.

.....

There are many other ways to steal goods from a place of employment which is also related to ways scholars steal words and verse from the Greek text. Two of the most common methods are as follows: (1) Steal very small pieces or parts at a time ensuring that the remaining stock pile does not look too much different from the original. (2) Replace small pieces or parts with the exact size and weight imitations (or counterfeits) and steal the genuine pieces or parts.

In the case where guards are involved, and when they are regularly replaced or changed, over time (which can be weeks, months or years), the replaced guards will not spot the pile that had gotten smaller. Neither would they make out the genuine pieces that had decreased or the imitation pieces that had increase. The small amounts removed over long periods of time will not be recognized because the amount removed was not easily identified by sharp eyes of regularly changed guards. The culprit(s) will not be caught unless the original custodian(s) return to examine the item's guality and quantity.

In the above scenario, the thief is Satan, the original guards are Christian scholars and "changing of the guards" are the deterioration of the quantity of well seasoned Christian scholars over time (also, their deaths). The genuine pieces are the actual words of God and the imitation pieces are the modern versions replacements.

#### 2 The Cain-Abel Conspiracy Theory (Genesis 4:1-8)

Honestly, at times, depending only on the subject or issue at hand, because we are all sinners, or because we were not on the scene when an incident or an event (including biblical history) took place, we rely heavily on second, third, forth, etc. hand information to weigh the validity of both sides of an argument. We examined them to see possible correctness or strong conclusive points. However, there is one problem: one side is usually wrong even though both sides sound or appear to be right. Sometimes the correct side is not easily recognizable. Only fasting and fervent prayers can lead persons to the narrow pathway leading to truth in these complicated circumstances. Hence, our Cain-Abel Controversy Theory: both sides sound Biblically correct but one side is wrong.

In Genesis 4:2 Abel was a keeper of sheep, but Cain was a tiller of the ground. Cain argument was to bring of the fruit of the ground for an offering unto the LORD as a thanks giving gesture; an episode not like Abel his brother who was taking an innocent life and shedding the blood on an alter. With fruits, there were no blood shed, only picking as designed by God for consumption. In his mind, even after God instructed it to be so, to him this was cruel, not acceptable and inhumane. This was probably why Cain decided to take Abel's life and shed his blood as revenge for killing animals. In Cain's mind. SO many something had to be wrong with his brother's offering: Abel's argument to bring of the firstlings of the flock and shared their innocent blood was wrong. Nevertheless, both wanted to give their hearts best and both had very good intention; but the LORD was very pleased with Abel views (offering) and rejected Cain views (offering). Most

spectators would tend to take the side and view of Cain.

Both strong views with good intention but sadly only one of these views were approved of God and the other rejected. Only one was correct and the other completely wrong; but both were from the heart.

The Bible revealed that the reason why Cain could not discern Abel's correct (argument) love offering was because "sin lieth at the door." This sin no-doubt was pride and disobedience: pride goes before destruction. Pride prevents the best of us from making the best and right choices.

**Remember Saul of Tarsus? Because** of his arrogant "Pharisee scholarship Only" training, he did not recognized truth, neither did he understood or discern Christianity's birth experience. The "road to new experience" humbled him Damascus removing his pride and arrogance, exposing his spiritual blindness so that he was able to see. Now if this did not occur, he truly would have been sincere with what he did but hopelessly wrong. Even though his heart-filled mission with an aggressive desire in his opinion, to "do God's will" (which was to eliminate all Christians), he was totally wrong. This scenario is labeled "The Cain-Abel Controversy."

#### 3 The Manuscript Conspiracy Theory

Reading Chapter One and the section in this book titled: "Are the earliest manuscripts better than the later ones...," would clear all confusion of understanding this section and any links or references made, pointing to it.

Scholars argue that the Latin Vulgate manuscript (the Alexandrian text Bible) used to produce modern versions is more superior to the Old *Itala* Bible manuscript (the underground Christian Bible from where we get our King James Version). As mentioned, several times in this book, there are only two text families where all of our Bible versions are coming from: The Byzantine text family where our KJV came from and the Alexandrian text family where all of our modern versions are coming from. Hence, we have the "Manuscript Conspiracy Theory."

#### 4 You Believe-I Believe Conspiracy Theory

In times past, we all held religious views where things we believed and hold dear to, were abandon because of changes made to false information that were evidently covered with "sugar coated truth." For some of us and our strong headed views, embraced the change but it brought embarrassment; shame and others. furiously abundant the held views and instead of embracing the new changes, "cursed" all religious denominations, so to speak, wanting nothing to do with any of them. On-the-other-hand, others continue to propagate the false information, knowing very well that it was all a hoax. We can see a clear example of this from members of the OLD Worldwide Church of God headed by its founder, Herbert W. Armstrong.

Therefore, where scripture is not very clear on a held belief, readers should not be caught up with seriously defending this "no-foundation" view; instead, be willing to easily replace it after hearing, reading and **examining** from scripture a new and better view presentation.

Opinions may be given on what is believed to be the meaning in the text, but to suggest that this meaning is the actual interpretation of the passage is not all the time correct. There are many passages of scripture that fall into this category; one of which is mentioned below.

As we deliberate our biblical views and opinions, based primarily on what we read or our understanding of a single unclear verse, we must always keep in mind that we can be absolutely wrong; this author included. (Proverbs 14:12) Hence our "You Believe-I Believe Theory.

You believe that "the <u>sons</u> of God," (spiritual or ghost bodies) saw the daughters of men (physical bodies) and got **married** (took them as wives) to them and had children. (Genesis 6:1-2)

**I believe** that spiritual beings (or ghost) cannot make sexual contacts (intercourse) with a physical body neither can they be "sexually" attracted to them. (Demons are all attracted to our physical bodies but not for the purpose of reproduction; their only goal is to destroy it.)

The single most important word in the above verse that destroys what "You Believe," is the Bible word "**wife**." How does a woman of the Bible become a wife? She has to meet God's requirements first for a marriage to be binding in His sight; after which, the Bible then rightfully uses the title "wife." In the Bible for a "maid" (a woman or a daughter of man) to be upgraded to "wife," God's criteria must first be met. If our courts perform marriages, it does not mean that in God sight these marriages are legally binding.

Marriage was designed by God between a physical man and woman (male and female) for the primary purpose of reproduction. Any other unions are illegal in His sight. This is why He commanded this marriage union to "**Be fruitful, and multiply, and replenish the earth**," (Genesis 1:28). No other human union of God's creation can fulfill this command.

If reproduction was not design to work between any two humans (or persons whether they be physical or spiritual), a marriage between them is null and void in God's sight.

Our natural bodies can only be attracted to natural things (what it was designed to be attracted to). Anything outside of the natural, is spiritual. Thus, based on Biblical records, an illegally foreign, indwelling (or inhabited) unclean spirit can modify these natural tendencies, transforming them to things that are unnatural; or unclean abnormalities coming from the indwelling spirit.

How is a marriage validated in God's sight? Is it valid even when it is illegal? How does a title change from unmarried (or maid) to a wife? What is it that makes it legal to bring about the title "wife" in the Bible? A Biblical, legal way as instructed by God is when the marriage union criteria between the persons involved as mentioned earlier, are met. Hence, if the union in Gen. 6:1-2 was illegal, the Bible would not have used the word "wife." Is a marriage between two men (or two women) legal in God's sight? Can one of the partners rightfully claim the title "wife" (or "husband")? If not, then the union is not a marriage and is therefore illegal (an abomination).

We know it was ordained by God for a physical male and female to get married; but how does the marriage work with a spirit (a ghost) and a physical person? If it cannot, then who got married in Genesis 6:1-2 and became the husband? Does the married rule established by God also apply to spirit with spirit or spirit with human combinations? Is a marriage legal in God sight between a man and woman regardless of race, color, creed, ethnic background, culture, citizenship, etc? Is a marriage legal between a human and an animal (or what we see happening today with a human and a tree, with one self, etc.)?

Jesus clarified marriage and angels in Matthew 22:29-30 when asked a very important question. He answered "...ye do err, not knowing the scriptures, nor the power of God. For in the resurrection they neither marry, nor are given in marriage, **but are as the angels of God in heaven**." What do you think Jesus meant when He said, "...are as the angels of God?" Keep in mind that during the time of Genesis Chapter Six, it was still a virgin way of life with humans and God.

"Sons of God" title was given to men during this dispensation in Biblical history just before the flood. Not that they saw God in His glory, but as Jewish boys presented themselves before God, three times per year (Exodus 23:17, 34:23; Deuteronomy 16:16), faithful and obedient Old Testament saints (men) who were given the title "sons of God" at the time, presented themselves before the Lord.

Men who were called "sons of God," presented themselves at a certain time in their lives before God. We see this in the book of Job (the oldest book in the Bible), for an event that regularly occurred among men.

Does God have more than one Son? God has one Son! Notwithstanding, during this dispensation of Grace, at new birth (or when the unsaved are born again), they automatically become **adopted** "sons (or children) of God."

Some scholars speculate that "sons of God" were angels of God with absolutely no other clear verses in the Bible to prove it. In Job, it reads, "...and Satan came also among them." (Job 1:6) Satan was not one of them; but only "came also among them" or with them. Why Jesus said what He did to Peter in Mark 8:33? Was it said because Satan came along with him/them? (Satan came **among** them but was not one of them; the scripture said he came **among them**; it did not say he was **one of them**.

We all get most of our information from books and we rely heavily on this information to steer our thoughts and opinions. Let us be careful what information we allow to dominate these thoughts and opinions of ours.

If angels have an attraction for female humans there must be male and female angels. If this is true, then there must be "daughters of God" who are also angels, attracted to sons of God (male bodies). God placed a strong sexual desire in male and female for reproduction. He then created the rule of marriage for this sexual union to be legally joined as one in His sight. Any unions outside of His set of guidelines are illegal and not a marriage. So then, did He place this same desire in angels? Can they reproduce?


Spiritual persons (or a ghost) would have to create for themselves a physical body or enter one to make sexual contacts with a human. If they entered a body, they would then be using that body's part and not their own. Only God alone can create a human body.

Now which one of these beliefs above is correct? What "You believe" or what "I believe?"

This writer is willing to abundant the above "I Believe" argument if any scholars can present a more logical Biblical explanation of this issue.

#### 5 The Great Conspiracy Theories

The Great Conspiracy Theory covers all theories mentioned above. They are (a) The Professional Theft Theory; (b) The Cain-Abel Controversy Theory; (c) The Manuscript Conspiracy Theory; and (d) You Believe–I Believe Theory.



Which Bible is God's Word? Is it the AMP, ASV, CEV, GNB, GWT, KJ21, KJV, KJV-ER, LB, Msg, NAB, NASB, NIV, NJB, NKJV, NLT, NRSV, NWT, RSV, TEV, TNIV, etc., or all listed in the background on the front cover?

# 27**Some Books & Videos To** Examine

Below are some reading materials (and there are many, too numerous for listing here) to further study this subject. They are:

[1] [a] "Gipp's Understandable History of the Bible," by Samuel C. Gipp, (©, 2000); [b] "The Answer Book," by Samuel C. Gipp; this book online in its (read entirety at: www.chick.com/reading/books/158/158cont.asp#author's%20n ote or type in <u>www.chick.com</u>, then click on "Online Reading," finally "The Answer Book."); on [C] and www.Biblebelievers.com.

IMPORTANT NOTE: If you have never head or read about Gail Riplinger outside of this book, continue reading. However, if you have heard or read critical reviews about her books ("New Age Bible Versions," "In Awe of Thy Word" or "Hazardous Materials: Greek & Hebrew Study Dangers") from persons like James White, T.A. McMahon, Bob Morey, your professor, pastor, teacher, etc., (all persons who criticized her books) please pause here and read, "Who is Gail Riplinger," earlier in this book.

[a] "New Age Bible Versions," by G.A. Riplinger; [b] <u>"King James Version Ditches Blind Guides,</u>" by G.A. Riplinger (a rebuttal of G.A. Riplinger's "New Age Bible Versions" critics);
[c] "In Awe of thy Word," by G.A. Riplinger; [d] "The Language of the King James," by G.A. Riplinger; [e] "Which Bible Is God's Word?" by G.A. Riplinger; [f] Hazardous Materials Greek & Hebrew Study Dangers" [g] "The Hidden History of The English Scriptures" by G.A. Riplinger; [h] <u>www.avpublications.com</u>.
[a] "Defending The King James Bible," by D.A. Waite (©, 1996); [b] "Heresies of Westcott and Hort," by D.A. Waite;

(read this book online at http://www.picknowl.com.au/homepages/rlister/wh/wh.htm.) [C] www.Biblefortoday.org.

4 "Let's Weigh The Evidence," by Barry Burton.

5 6 "King James Unjustly Accused," by Stephen A. Coston Sr.

"Final Authority," by William P. Grady.

7 The Holy Bible 1611 Edition, published by Thomas Nelson Publishers.

8 "Fox's Book of Martyrs," by John C. Winston, edited by William Byron Forbush; [b] "Foxe's Book of Martyrs Updated Through the 21<sup>st</sup> Century."

[a] "Archaic Words and the Authorized Version," by Laurence M. Vance; [b] www.vancepublications.com.


10 Video Presentations by Pensacola Christian College (PCC): [a] "Fundamentalism, Liberalism, and New Evangelicalism," by Dr. Greg Mutsch; [b] "The Bible...The Text is the Issue," presented by Dr. Dell Johnson, Dr. J. Michael Bates, and Dr. Theodore Letis; [c] "The Leaven in Fundamentalism," presented by Dr. Dell Johnson and Dr. Theodore Letis; [d] "PCC Response to Coalition Critics," by Dr. Dell Johnson and Dr. Greg Mutsch; [e] "The Bible Preserved from Satan's Attack," by Dr. Dell Johnson.

**11** Babylon Mystery Religion by Ralph Edward Woodrow, 1990 Edition.

12 [a] "Why They Changed The Bible" by David W. Daniels; [b] "Did Jesus use the Septuagint?" by David W. Daniels; [c] "51 Reasons Why The King James, A Path from Doubt to Faith" by David W. Daniels.

Please note that the books listed here contain many additional references and information so that you can do your own personal research on this Bible version issue.

#### Written by P.K. Hanna. If you have any comments or questions, email them to: Info@Bibleversiontruth.com



Century Edition, New King James Version, 21st Century King James Version, Modern King James New International Version, New International Version Inclusive Language Edition, Today's New Bible, God's New Covenant: A New Testament Translation, Orthodox Jewish Bible, New English of Original Sacred Name Bible. King James Version, Holv Name Bible, Sacred Scriptures Bethel Version, New Century Version, New International Reader's Version, EasyEnglish Bible, New World Speech), Centenary New Testament, The Authentic New Testament, Phillips New Testament in The New Testament: a New Translation, TransLine, The Original New Testament, McCord's New Testament, The Comprehensive New Testament, Analytical-Literal Translation, A New Accurate