

SIGNS AND SYMPTOMS OF HEART FAILURE: ARE YOU ASKING THE RIGHT QUESTIONS?

By Nancy Albert, PhD, CCNS, CCRN, NE-BC, Kathleen Trochelman, RN, MSN, Jianbo Li, PhD, and Songhua Lin, MS



Notice to CE enrollees:

A closed-book, multiple-choice examination following this article tests your understanding of the following objectives:

- 1. Recognize signs and atypical symptoms that may be associated with worsening heart failure and functional class.
- 2. Define key elements of a patient teaching plan related to heart failure and response to treatment.
- 3. Define reliable indicators of functional class for heart failure patients.

To read this article and take the CE test online, visit www.ajcconline.org and click "CE Articles in This Issue." No CE test fee for AACN members.

©2009 American Association of Critical-Care Nurses doi: 10.4037/ajcc2009314

Background Patients may not verbalize common and atypical signs and symptoms of heart failure and may not understand their association with worsening disease and treatments. **Objectives** To examine prevalence of signs and symptoms relative to demographics, care setting, and functional class. Methods A convenience sample of 276 patients (164 ambulatory, 112 hospitalized) with systolic heart failure completed a 1-page checklist of signs and symptoms experienced in the preceding 7 days (ambulatory) or in the 7 days before hospitalization. Demographic and medical history data were collected. Results Mean age was 61.6 (SD, 14.8) years, 65% were male, 58% were white, and 45% had ischemic cardiomyopathy. Hospitalized patients reported more sudden weight gain, weight loss, severe cough, low/orthostatic blood pressure, profound fatigue, decreased exercise, restlessness/confusion, irregular pulse, and palpitations (all P<.05). Patients in functional class IV reported more atypical signs and symptoms of heart failure (severe cough, nausea/vomiting, diarrhea or loss of appetite, and restlessness, confusion, or fainting, all $P \le .001$). Sudden weight gain increased from 5% in functional class I to 37.5% in functional class IV (P<.001). Dyspnea occurred in all functional classes (98%-100%) and both settings (92%-100%). Profound fatigue was associated with worsening functional class (P<.001) and hospital setting (P=.001); paroxysmal nocturnal dyspnea was associated with functional class IV (P=.02) and hospital setting (P < .001).

Conclusion Profound fatigue is more reliable than dyspnea as an indicator of functional class. Nurses must recognize atypical signs and symptoms of worsening functional class to determine clinical status and facilitate patient care decisions. (American Journal of Critical Care. 2010;19:443-453)

eart failure is a clinical syndrome characterized by a group of signs and symptoms. The incidence of heart failure continues to increase, with 660 000 new cases diagnosed annually in adults aged 45 and over. For men and women at age 40, the lifetime risk of heart failure developing is currently 1 in 5.1 Thus it is important for health care providers to better understand the signs and symptoms of heart failure so that diagnosis is not missed at first presentation and worsening status is identified early and treated promptly. Additionally, common signs and symptoms of heart failure may be well known to health care providers who treat heart failure routinely, but atypical signs and symptoms associated with worsening status may not be recognized at presentation.

Heart failure represents a substantial burden to the health care system, with estimated direct and indirect costs in 2008 expected to approach \$35 billion, so it is important for health care providers and patients to quantify common and atypical signs and symptoms of heart failure in order to

Recognizing signs and symptoms of worsening heart failure may affect a patient's decision to seek treatment. optimize diagnostic testing and treatment decisions and facilitate appropriate monitoring of overall status. Because signs and symptoms of heart failure are important determinants of worsening status, learning their frequency relative to care setting and clinical status (New York Heart Association [NYHA] functional class) provides insight about determinants of current heart failure–related

health status that may affect decisions about the need for hospitalization, readiness for discharge, and frequency of monitoring.

Further, recognition of signs and symptoms of worsening heart failure may affect a patient's decision to seek treatment, follow self-care recommendations, and adhere to medications and other aspects of the treatment plan. In qualitative research, patients with heart failure did not recognize common but not heart-specific symptoms such as dyspnea and fatigue as important markers of worsening condition.² When

About the Authors

Nancy Albert is director of nursing research and innovation in the Nursing Institute and a clinical nurse specialist in the Kaufman Center for Heart Failure, Kathleen Trochelman is a nurse researcher in nursing research and innovation at the Nursing Institute, and Jianbo Li is a statistician and Songhua Lin is a statistical programmer, both in Quantitative Health Sciences, at Cleveland Clinic in Cleveland, Ohio.

Corresponding author: Nancy Albert, PhD, CCNS, CCRN, NE-BC, FAHA, FCCM, Cleveland Clinic, 9500 Euclid Avenue, Mail code J3-4, Cleveland, OH 44195 (e-mail: albertn@ccf.org).

patients and nurses recognize signs and symptoms of heart failure and understand the management of heart failure, interconnections between bodily changes, sensations, and behaviors may become more relevant. Patients may not verbalize signs or symptoms to health care providers, either because providers do not ask or because patients believe or perceive the signs and symptoms to be unrelated to the heart. Alternatively, patients who have not been educated in symptom monitoring or those who are fearful or uncertain about taking actions when symptomatic may cope by ignoring signs and symptoms, taking action only when signs and symptoms are severe, or behaving in ways that ultimately exacerbate heart failure.

Many behavioral theorists include signs and symptoms as a precursor to coping or self-care behaviors. Behavioral models that directly or indirectly link the signs and symptoms of a disease or condition and behavior are the Common Sense Model of Illness,3-5 the Health Promotion Model,6 the Health Belief Model,7 the Self-Regulation Model,⁸ and the Symptom Management Model.9 For example, in the Common Sense Model of Illness, implicit sensations and symptoms of illness are processed on both cognitive and emotional levels to form a conscious level of danger and threat that leads to goals for coping and coping actions. If acknowledgment of signs and symptoms is a precursor to coping by adhering to self-care behaviors, health care professionals need to better understand the scope of patients' signs and symptoms so they can adequately assess patients' status and provide education and counseling.

The primary aim of this study was to determine patients' perception of signs and symptoms of heart failure before an ambulatory visit or hospitalization. The secondary aims were to use a preprinted checklist of possible signs and symptoms of heart failure to examine if symptoms differed relative to demographics, NYHA functional class, and (for patients in NYHA functional class III or IV) care setting.

Methods_

Setting and Sample

This descriptive, cross-sectional study was conducted at the Cleveland Clinic in Cleveland, Ohio, a large tertiary care medical center with both ambulatory care and hospital services, including cardiac transplantation, for patients with heart failure. The institutional review board approved the study protocol, and work was completed with the ethical standards set forth in the Helsinki Declaration of 1975. Study candidates were 276 adults: 164 scheduled for an ambulatory visit in the heart failure disease management program (a clinic led by an advanced practice nurse) and 112 patients being treated in the hospital for exacerbation of chronic heart failure. Convenience sampling was used to collect data for 11 months. Overall sample size was not predetermined. The enrollment goal was to achieve a minimum sample of 40 patients per NYHA functional class so that adequate assessment by functional class could be completed. Inclusion criteria were treatment for systolic heart failure, defined as an ejection fraction of 35% or less shown by echocardiography, age 18 years or older, alert and willing to participate, lived at home and cared for self, and understands written and spoken English. Patients were ineligible if they had a history of cognitive impairment, severe visual disability, or heart failure due to restrictive or hypertrophic disease in their medical record or were unable or unwilling to give written informed consent.

Data Collection

A trained research nurse approached potential study participants while in the examination room of the ambulatory clinic or during the hospital episode of care. Patients who agreed to participate completed a short demographic questionnaire and a 1-page checklist of possible signs and symptoms of heart failure. A review of published reports from 1985 to 2000 produced no clinical studies of patients' perceptions or reports of signs and symptoms of heart failure and no studies of the spectrum and frequency of signs and symptoms of heart failure. Many references described studies of individual signs and symptoms, most often fatigue, breathlessness, edema, and exercise intolerance. Therefore, the principal investigator developed a checklist tool of 24 signs and symptoms of heart failure, 1 question with 4 options to determine heart failure functional class by using terminology from the NYHA, 10 and space to identify "other" symptoms that was based on available literature and expert clinical opinion and was

used in another study¹¹ before this research. The label NYHA functional class I is commonly thought of as asymptomatic heart failure; however, in this study it

matched the original definition and reflected that symptoms did not prohibit or limit carrying out ordinary physical activities of daily living. Patients could have reported symptoms on the checklist and reported NYHA functional class I status if they believed ordinary physical activity did not cause undue dyspnea, fatigue, palpitations, or chest pain.

The checklist format prompted patients to report all signs and symptoms they were experiencing, rather than reporting only those that they thought were related to heart failure. Wording of items was

simplified to enhance patients' understanding; for example, exercise intolerance was worded "decreased ability to exercise or carry out activities" and paroxysmal nocturnal dyspnea was worded "wake up from a sound sleep and unable to breathe." Additionally, some definitions were provided to enhance meaning; for example, change in urine output compared with normal was defined as "darker color, voiding less often or in small amounts," severe cough was defined as "keeps you awake at night or chest hurts when coughing," and orthostatic blood pressure was defined as "low blood pressure when shifting from a lying to sitting or standing position."

Content validity was demonstrated by a panel of 3 advance practice nurses with expertise in heart fail-

ure and 1 master's prepared cardiac patient educator, using Lynn's method. With 4 content experts, content validity beyond a .05 level of significance required 100% endorsement (content validity index of 100%) of each item by expert reviewers. Hospitalized patients were approached at any time during the hospital episode. Ambulatory patients were asked to check off all symptoms they had experienced in the preceding 7 days, and hospitalized patients were

The top 5 reported symptoms were shortness of breath, decreased ability to exercise, orthopnea, profound fatigue, and dizziness/lightheadedness.

asked to consider signs or symptoms experienced during the 7-day period before hospital admission. Medical history was obtained by chart review.

heart failure.

Inding; for defined as activities"

worded to to the were procedure in the defined as a small the worded the procedure in the defined as a small the worden in the worde

The checklist

all signs and

symptoms they

rather than only

were related to

format prompted

patients to report

were experiencing,

those they thought

Table 1
Patient characteristics

Variable ^a	Total (N = 276)	Ambulatory (n = 164)	Hospital (n = 112)	Between-group <i>P</i> values
Age, mean (SD) y	61.6 (14.8)	62.9 (15.2)	59.7 (13.9)	.09
Male	180 (65.2)	94 (57.3)	86 (76.8)	.002
Ethnicity				
White	161 (58.3)	68 (41.5)	93 (83.0)	<.001
African American	110 (39.9)	93 (56.7)	17 (15.2)	<.001
Cause of heart failure				
Ischemic cardiomyopathy	123 (44.6)	64 (39.0)	59 (52.7)	.03
Dilated cardiomyopathy	75 (27.2)	49 (29.9)	26 (23.2)	.28
Valvular disease	17 (6.2)	7 (4.3)	10 (8.9)	.18
Hypertension	34 (12.3)	34 (20.7)	0 (0.0)	_
Married	156 (56.5)	85 (51.8)	71 (63.4)	.08
Living alone	56 (20.3)	39 (23.8)	17 (15.2)	.11
Diabetes	101 (36,6)	64 (39.0)	37 (33.0)	.38
Asthma	35 (12.7)	26 (15.9)	9 (8.0)	.08
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	40 (14.5)	22 (13.4)	18 (16.1)	.66
Myocardial infarction	92 (33.3)	42 (25.6)	50 (44.6)	.002
Hypertension	93 (33.7)	85 (51.8)	8 (7.1)	<.001
Atrial fibrillation	69 (25)	28 (17.1)	41 (36.6)	<.001

^a Values are expressed as number (%) of patients unless otherwise indicated.

Data Analysis

Baseline characteristics, signs and symptoms of heart failure, and NYHA functional class were

The 5 most frequently reported signs were edema, ankle or leg edema, palpitations, irregular pulse, and abdominal edema.

reported as means (standard deviations) for continuous variables and percentages for categorical variables. Data were analyzed by χ^2 test for categorical variables and Wilcoxon rank sum test for continuous variables. To estimate effect of care settings, age, sex, race and NYHA functional class, linear regression analysis was used for total number of signs and symptoms, and multivariable logistic regression was applied to individual signs and symptoms. All tests were

2-sided; a *P* value of .05 or less was designated as statistically significant. SAS version 9.2 (Cary, North Carolina) and statistical package *R* were used to conduct analyses.

Results

Characteristics of Patients

Two hundred seventy-six patients with systolic heart failure were included in the study. Patients' characteristics are provided in Table 1. Mean age of the

sample was 61.6 (SD, 14.8) years, 65.2% were male, and 58.3% were white. When ambulatory (n = 164) and hospitalized (n = 112) patients were compared, hospitalized patients were more likely to be male (76.8% vs 57.3%, P=.002) and white (83% vs 41.5%, P=.002)P<.001). Ischemic cardiomyopathy was the most frequent cause of heart failure, present in 44.6% (n = 123) of patients, followed by dilated cardiomyopathy (27.2%). Common comorbid diseases were similar in the 2 care settings, except that hospitalized patients were more likely than outpatients to have a history of myocardial infarction (44.6% vs 25.6%, P = .002) and atrial fibrillation (36.6% vs 17.1%, P < .001) and were far less likely to have a history of hypertension documented in the medical record (7.1% vs 51.8%, *P*<.001).

Signs and Symptoms

Range of symptoms reported per patient was 1 to 15, and the mean and median were 5. Not surprisingly, patients reported symptoms of heart failure much more often than they reported signs of heart failure (Table 2). Of 24 items, the top 5 reported symptoms were shortness of breath, decreased ability to exercise, orthopnea, profound fatigue, and dizziness/lightheadedness. The 5 most

frequently reported signs were edema (no site specified), ankle or leg edema, palpitations, irregular pulse, and abdominal edema (Table 2). No patients reported having whole-body edema.

Age, Race, and Sex

Neither the total number of signs and symptoms of heart failure reported per patient nor the prevalence of individual signs and symptoms differed by patient's age; however, fewer signs and symptoms were reported among whites (P = .02)and men (P < .001). When individual signs and symptoms of heart failure are examined, in addition to the care setting, whites were less likely than African Americans to report experiencing severe cough (P < .001), orthopnea (P < .001), and nausea, vomiting, or diarrhea (P = .04). Likewise, men were less likely than women to report experiencing orthopnea (P = .03); wheezing (P = .03); nausea, vomiting, or diarrhea (P = .003); dizziness (P = .02); exercise intolerance (P = .008); and restlessness (P = .008) .005). Older patients were less likely to report feeling fullness in the right side of the abdomen in addition to the influence of the care setting.

Functional Class

Signs and symptoms of heart failure were compared by functional class for the 261 patients who completed data collection on functional status. In all, 17 of 23 signs and symptoms increased significantly as functional class worsened from I to IV (Table 3). Nearly all patients reported dyspnea regardless of functional class. Orthopnea, profound fatigue with exertion or generalized weakness, and decreased ability to exercise increased from less than 25% of patients in functional class I to more than 80% of patients in functional class IV (all $P \le .001$). The differences remained for orthopnea and profound fatigue when care setting was taken into consideration (both $P \le .001$). Similarly, paroxysmal nocturnal dyspnea and restlessness/confusion or fainting were rarely reported by patients in functional class I (<5% of participants), but frequency increased to greater than 45% in patients reporting functional class IV status (both $P \le .001$).

The association between functional status and paroxysmal nocturnal dyspnea remained significant for patients in the hospital setting (P=.02). In addition, each of the following was reported by more than 33% of patients in functional class IV, representing a significant increase in frequency from patients with higher functional class: any edema; sudden weight gain; ankle edema; chest pain; severe cough; nausea/vomiting, diarrhea, or loss of

Table 2
Signs and symptoms of heart failure (N = 276)

Variable	Number of patients (%)
Heart failure signs	
Edema/swelling Ankle/leg edema Palpitations Abdomen edema Irregular pulse Sudden weight gain Change in urine output compared with normal Weight loss Low blood pressure or orthostatic blood pressure Heart rate <60/min or >120/min Cool, pale, or mottled skin	126 (45.7) 90 (32.6) 57 (20.7) 55 (19.9) 55 (19.9) 54 (19.6) 40 (14.5) 32 (11.6) 25 (9.1) 19 (6.9) 13 (4.7)
Whole-body edema Heart failure symptoms	0 (0.0)
Shortness of breath; trouble breathing Exercise intolerance Orthopnea Profound fatigue with exertion or generalized weakness Dizziness/lightheadedness Nausea/vomiting; diarrhea or loss of appetite Paroxysmal nocturnal dyspnea Restlessness, confusion, or fainting Right-sided abdominal fullness, discomfort, or tenderness Severe cough Chest pain Wheezing	276 (100.0) 168 (60.9) 125 (45.3) 119 (43.1) 82 (29.7) 67 (24.3) 65 (23.6) 62 (22.5) 52 (18.8) 52 (18.8) 51 (18.5) 42 (15.2)

appetite; right-sided abdominal pain; irregular pulse; and palpitations (Table 3). Weight loss, low or orthostatic blood pressure, cool/pale or mottled skin, and low or high heart rate were rarely reported by patients, regardless of the functional class.

Care Setting: Ambulatory Care Versus Hospital

The ambulatory care and hospital groups differed significantly in number of symptoms reported per patient (P<.001), with 4.1 (SD, 3.2) for the ambulatory group and 8 (SD 3.5) for the hospital group. In patients with NYHA functional class III-IV

status, signs and symptoms of heart failure were compared between ambulatory (n = 89) and hospitalized (n = 73) patients who had provided information on functional class in the survey. Of the signs and symptoms of heart failure identified by patients in NYHA

Nearly all patients reported dyspnea regardless of functional class.

functional class III-IV, significant differences in frequency by care setting were found for 43% of the signs and symptoms on the checklist (Table 4). For signs and symptoms that differed by care setting, frequencies were consistently higher in hospitalized patients. Finally, differences by care setting were

Table 3
Signs and symptoms by New York Heart Association functional class (N = 261)

		Between-groups			
Variable Variable	I (n = 43)	II (n = 56)	III (n = 114)	IV (n = 48)	P values
Heart failure signs					
Edema/swelling	8 (18.6)	17 (30.4)	63 (55.3)	33 (68.8)	<.001
Ankle/leg edema	7 (16.3)	14 (25.0)	47 (41.2)	19 (39.6)	.009
Palpitations	6 (14 .0)	8 (14.3)	21 (18.4)	19 (39.6)	.004
Abdomen edema	3 (7.0)	8 (14.3)	25 (21.9)	16 (33.3)	.01
Irregular pulse	5 (11.6)	6 (10.7)	23 (20.2)	16 (33.3)	.02
Sudden weight gain	2 (4.7)	6 (10.7)	26 (22.8)	18 (37.5)	<.001
Change in urine output compared with normal	3 (7.0)	5 (8.9)	20 (17.5)	11 (22.9)	.08
Weight loss	5 (11.6)	6 (10.7)	9 (7.9)	8 (16.7)	.43
Low blood pressure or orthostatic blood pressure	1 (2.3)	5 (8.9)	7 (6.1)	7 (14.6)	.14
Heart rate <60/min or >120/min	2 (4.7)	4 (7.1)	9 (7.9)	2 (4.2)	.78
Cool, pale, or mottled skin	1 (2.3)	1 (1.8)	7 (6.1)	3 (6.3)	.49
Heart failure symptoms					
Shortness of breath	43 (100.0)	56 (100.0)	112 (98.2)	48 (100.0)	.46
Exercise intolerance	10 (23.3)	23 (39.3)	88 (77.2)	40 (83.3)	<.001
Orthopnea	5 (11.6)	14 (25.0)	60 (52.6)	39 (81.3)	<.001
Profound fatigue with exertion or generalized weakness	4 (9.3)	8 (14.3)	61 (53.5)	41 (85.4)	<.001
Dizziness/lightheadedness	5 (11.6)	15 (26.8)	36 (31.6)	23 (47.9)	.002
Nausea/vomiting; diarrhea or loss of appetite	6 (14.0)	4 (7.1)	34 (29.8)	19 (39.6)	<.001
Paroxysmal nocturnal dyspnea	2 (4.7)	8 (14.3)	28 (24.6)	24 (50.0)	<.001
Restlessness, confusion, or fainting	2 (4.7)	9 (16.1)	26 (22.8)	22 (45.8)	<.001
Right-sided abdominal fullness, discomfort or tenderness	3 (7.0)	7 (12.5)	23 (20.2)	17 (35.4)	.003
Severe cough	3 (7.0)	5 (8.9)	24 (21.1)	19 (39.6)	.001
Chest pain	3 (7.0)	5 (8.9)	24 (21.1)	17 (35.4)	<.001
Wheezing	2 (4.7)	6 (10.7)	20 (17.5)	12 (25.0)	.04

more common in frequently reported signs and symptoms related to heart failure (eg, orthopnea and profound fatigue) rather than more atypical signs and symptoms (cool, pale, or mottled skin

In the hospital setting, functional status was significantly associated with paroxysmal nocturnal dyspnea.

and wheezing), except that shortness of breath and ankle and abdominal edema did not differ by care setting.

Discussion_

When given a checklist, patients were able to report many different signs and symptoms of heart failure. Although the most prevalent signs and symptoms were consistent with findings reported in the literature, ¹³-

¹⁹ patients in our study reported some rarely discussed signs and symptoms, such as change in urine output; cool, pale, or mottled skin; and irregular pulse. Our findings may be more comprehensive as a result of our use of the checklist format. Patients may have recognized signs and bothersome symptoms on the checklist that they may not have thought to verbalize because they did not believe them to be associated with their heart or with heart failure.

Of 12 signs and 12 symptoms, edema was the sign and shortness of breath was the symptom reported most often. Of patients reporting themselves as being

in NYHA functional class III or IV, more than 50% reported experiencing a collection of at least 5 factors: shortness of breath, orthopnea, edema, profound fatigue, and decreased ability to exercise. Although these results may not seem surprising, some results require further discussion.

First, dyspnea was universally reported, regardless of functional class or care setting. Although dyspnea was common in symptomatic ambulatory patients (functional class II or higher), 16,20 patients before or during hospitalization for exacerbation of heart failure, 21-23 patients being treated in an emergency care setting, 24 and patients with advanced heart failure, 25 this study presents new findings that dyspnea is highly prevalent in patients in NYHA functional class I (patients reporting no limitations in ordinary physical activity).

The presence of dyspnea in ambulatory and presumably asymptomatic patients has implications for nursing care. Ultimately, asking about dyspnea during assessment may yield little value. Dyspnea may be a poor marker of change in heart failure condition unless the assessment includes other subjective or objective factors, such as functional capabilities, results of a 6-minute walk test, or activity level. Some telehealth remote monitoring and internal cardiac monitoring systems ask patients simple

448

Table 4
Sign and symptoms by care setting (ambulatory vs hospital) in patients with heart disease in New York Heart Association functional class III or IV

Variable	Ambulatory (n = 89)	Hospital (n = 73)	Between-groups P values	
Heart failure signs				
Edema/swelling	44 (49.4)	52 (71.2)	.008	
Ankle/leg edema	33 (37.1)	33 (45.2)	.38	
Palpitations	14 (15.7)	26 (35.6)	.006	
Abdomen edema	18 (20.2)	23 (31.5)	.14	
Irregular pulse	10 (11.2)	29 (39.7)	<.001	
Sudden weight gain	13 (14.6)	31 (42.5)	<.001	
Change in urine output compared with normal	11 (12.4)	20 (27.4)	.03	
Weight loss	6 (6.7)	11 (15.1)	.14	
Low blood pressure or orthostatic blood pressure	4 (4.5)	10 (13.7)	.07	
Heart rate <60/min or >120/min	6 (6.7)	5 (6.8)	.77	
Cool, pale, or mottled skin	4 (4.5)	6 (8.2)	.51	
Heart failure symptoms				
Shortness of breath	89 (100.0)	71 (97.3)	.39	
Exercise intolerance	63 (70.8)	65 (89.0)	.008	
Orthopnea	45 (50.6)	54 (74.0)	.004	
Profound fatigue with exertion or generalized weakness	43 (48.3)	59 (80.8)	<.001	
Dizziness/lightheadedness	26 (29.2)	33 (45.2)	.05	
Nausea/vomiting; diarrhea or loss of appetite	23 (25.8)	30 (41.1)	.06	
Paroxysmal nocturnal dyspnea	21 (23.6)	31 (42.5)	.02	
Restlessness, confusion, or fainting	17 (19.1)	31 (42.5)	.002	
Right-sided abdominal fullness, discomfort, or tenderness	17 (19.1)	23 (31.5)	.10	
Severe cough	16 (18.0)	27 (37.0)	.01	
Chest pain	16 (18.0)	25 (34.3)	.03	
Wheezing	14 (15.7)	18 (24.7)	.22	

yes/no questions about dyspnea to ascertain current status. Patients may not recognize dyspnea as something that changed if it occurred regularly or continuously. Additionally, patients often describe dyspnea on the basis of their ability to physically adapt to it.²⁰ If patients eliminate activities that exacerbate dyspnea, they may be unaware of worsening heart failure. To add value to reports of dyspnea, qualifiers should be added when questioning patients; for example, one could ask: Compared with yesterday, do you have more shortness of breath when you perform everyday activities? or Did you decrease regular activities because of increased breathlessness yesterday?

In addition, it may be important to focus on monitoring signs or symptoms that exhibit a large increase in frequency from one functional class to the next. Thus, monitoring questions should be individualized to a patient's current status. For example, in this study, the frequency of orthopnea doubled (100% increase) from functional class I to II and again from class II to III, making it a good question for assessing symptoms in patients with asymptomatic or mild heart failure. However, orthopnea was a less sensitive marker when prevalence was compared in patients

with heart failure of functional class III and IV. Even though the frequency of orthopnea increased significantly as functional class worsened, and the prevalence in patients in functional class IV was 55% higher than the prevalence in patients in functional class III, other symptoms of heart failure increased

more in frequency from functional class III to IV. Assessment questions related to new onset of paroxysmal nocturnal dyspnea and new onset of restlessness, confusion, or fainting might be more likely to provide evidence of worsening heart failure because these symptoms more than doubled in frequency in patients in functional class IV compared with

Patients may describe dyspnea on the basis of their ability to physically adapt to it.

functional class III (paroxysmal nocturnal dyspnea increased by 103%, from 24.6% to 50.0%; and restlessness, confusion, or fainting increased by 101%, from 22.8% to 45.8%).

Second, significantly more hospitalized patients than outpatients with functional class III or IV heart failure reported irregular pulse, low or orthostatic blood pressure, and sudden weight gain as signs they experienced, even though the prevalence of each was fewer than 45%. Researchers²⁵ found that many of the 21 signs or symptoms that indicated that patients had end-stage heart failure were related to tissue or organ hypoperfusion. In our study, signs that were reported significantly more often in hospitalized patients were signs reflecting fluid overload (eg, sudden weight gain) or hypoperfusion (change in urine

Questions related to new onset of paroxysmal nocturnal dyspnea and new onset of restlessness, confusion, or fainting might be more likely to provide evidence of worsening heart failure. output and restlessness, confusion, or fainting). Ultimately, signs that reflect fluid overload and hypoperfusion are important indicators to health care providers when assessing and compiling all evidence and when developing a diagnosis or treatment plan, but such signs should be assessed as a group and used together to provide support for worsening heart failure.

Third, findings of edema were both consistent and inconsistent with published reports of edema. In patients treated in an emergency department for heart failure, 47% had lower extremity edema detected on physical examination, ²⁶ similar to our patients' reported rate of 45.2%;

however, in 2 large registries that used review of medical records to report findings on physical examination of patients with acute heart failure decompensation, 65% to 66% had lower extremity edema.^{22,27} When Jurgens²⁸ assessed patient-reported signs and symptoms before admission for acute heart failure, increased abdominal girth was reported by 33%, compared with a rate of 31.5% in hospitalized patients with NYHA functional class III or IV heart disease in this study; however, in Jurgens's study, fewer patients reported having any edema (50%) compared with patients in our study (71.2%).

Variation in patients' reports of edema and in findings between health care providers and patients' self-reports could be due to many factors. Patient-related factors include heterogeneity in characteristics, medical history, use and dosing of diuretics and

Profound fatigue was a reliable indicator of being hospitalized. other drugs that facilitate removal of excess fluid or are associated with edema (eg, calcium channel blockers or thiazolidinedione drugs), and comorbid conditions; factors related to health care providers include expertise in examination and diligence in documentation. Additionally,

patients' knowledge and self-efficacy about assessing edema and recognizing subtle changes could affect reporting frequency. Of note, prevalence of sudden weight gain was much lower than orthopnea and edema/swelling in both ambulatory and hospital functional class III/IV groups, possibly reflecting that (a) patients failed to weigh themselves, (b) patients had a decreased appetite and food intake due to edema, so volume overload was offset by decreased caloric intake, or (c) volume overload was offset by cachexia and loss of muscle mass.

Fourth, in our patients, profound fatigue was associated with worsening NYHA functional class whereas dyspnea was not, and profound fatigue was also a reliable indicator of being hospitalized. Only a few studies have been done on fatigue in patients with heart failure. Researchers29 found that in ambulatory older women with heart failure, fatigue was the most frequently experienced symptom, and although it was only mildly bothersome, the fatigue had increased in intensity at a second assessment 18 months later. Further, at the second assessment, fatigue was associated with dyspnea. In another study,30 women with heart failure had more problems with fatigue than did men. In patients with stable, chronic systolic dysfunction, fatigue was not associated with self-reported NYHA functional class,31,32 but fatigue was associated with other clinical factors (dyspnea and sleep disturbance) and psychological factors (depressive symptoms and type-D personality).32 In this study, reported frequency of fatigue was not based on patients' sex, race, or age, making it a reliable indicator of status in a broad group of patients. Our results may have differed because we used the adjective profound to describe fatigue instead of "exertional or general fatigue."

Paradoxically, men and whites reported fewer signs and symptoms, yet were hospitalized more often than women and nonwhites. Many factors could potentially explain these findings. Although fewer in number, signs and symptoms among men and whites could have been more intense or irritating, prompting health care. Men may be more sensitive to bodily changes than are women or men may be quicker to communicate changes in signs and symptoms as heart failure worsens.

Alternatively, when signs and symptoms were present, female caregivers of male patients and whites may have been more assertive in seeking treatment. In a substudy of OPTIMIZE-HF (Organized Program to Initiate Lifesaving Treatment in Hospitalized Patients With Heart Failure), a large national registry for patients hospitalized for heart failure,³³ and in a report of consecutive patients treated in a special care unit for acute decompensated heart failure at our site from January 2000 through December 2006,³⁴ patients with left ventricular systolic dysfunction were more often male and more likely to have ischemic

cardiomyopathy. Research is needed to determine if the cause of heart failure plays a role in the number of signs and symptoms that patients report or if another rationale emerges.

Finally, the number of symptoms did not differ relative to the patient's age. Our sample was younger than patients with left ventricular systolic dysfunction in an outpatient cardiology registry³⁵ (mean [SD] age, 68.7 [13.2] years) and in 2 hospital-based registries^{22,27} (mean [SD] age, 72.4 [14.1] and 73.1 [14.2] years). Future research with older patients is needed to learn if signs and symptoms are blunted with older age and if findings from this study are similar in older patients with heart failure who have medical conditions with signs and/or symptoms that often mimic worsening heart failure (eg, atrial fibrillation, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, renal disease, valvular disease, anemia, vascular disease).

Limitations of this study are that data were collected by using a convenience sample at 1 Midwest health care setting. Results may not be applicable to community-dwelling and hospitalized adults in other geographic regions, patients of ethnicity other than white or African American, very elderly patients, or patients with causes of heart failure different than the causes among our patients. Our sample included only patients with systolic heart failure; thus, these results cannot be used to draw conclusions about people with heart failure and preserved systolic function.

Our data collection tool was not exhaustive. Patients could have had other signs or symptoms and failed to report them in the space provided; for example, our tool did not include difficulty sleeping as a symptom of heart failure. In 1 study,29 difficulty sleeping was only mildly bothersome and its intensity remained stable at 18 months when patients were resurveyed. We collected data on paroxysmal nocturnal dyspnea and restlessness, and, although related, those factors are not equivalent surrogates of difficulty sleeping. Besides difficulty sleeping, our tool did not include signs or symptoms associated with aging, such as nocturnal polyuria, even though this also may be associated with heart failure. Moreover, our tool did not include signs or symptoms associated with depression, such as worrying or feeling nervous, sad, or irritable, even though these could be perceived as psychological symptoms of heart failure. Future research should examine signs or symptoms of heart failure that are associated with both heart failure and depression to determine their independent effects on heart failure.

Our tool contained some symptom clusters; for example, restlessness, confusion, or fainting and nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, or loss of appetite, that

prevented determining the frequency of individual symptoms in the cluster. Our findings reflected signs and symptoms that occurred at any time during a

7-day period. Reports of occurrence of dyspnea, fatigue, palpitations, chest pain, and NYHA functional class could have been mismatched if symptoms were infrequent or did not occur at times when patients were physically active.

Finally, our tool did not assess intensity, or, when applicable, location, duration, or precipitating factors of signs and symptoms of heart failure. These variables may be important qualifiers of some signs

Men and whites reported fewer signs and symptoms, yet were hospitalized more often than women and nonwhites.

and symptoms of heart failure, and these details may help interpret overall satisfaction with life, perceived health, adherence to self-care behaviors for heart failure, and health-related quality of life. Future research should examine relationships between signs and symptoms of heart failure and outcomes, including the effects of increasing somatic awareness through education about the signs and symptoms of heart failure and what to do to control worsening signs and symptoms.

Conclusion.

It is up to health care providers to ask the right questions to illuminate the sometimes subtle changes that indicate worsening heart failure. When patients use a checklist to report signs and symptoms of heart failure, both common and more atypical signs and symptoms emerge, some that can be used in isolation and others that can be used as part of a group of signs and symptoms to determine current heart

failure status and readiness for discharge, if hospitalized. Dyspnea was found in most ambulatory and hospitalized patients and in patients classifying themselves as having no limitations in activity (functional class I); therefore, health care providers should not use the symptom dyspnea in isolation to monitor for improvement or worsening of heart failure, as

dyspnea appears to be insensitive to heart failure status. A checklist may overcome patients' reluctance to report signs and symptoms believed to have little meaning in relation to heart failure.

FINANCIAL DISCLOSURES None reported.

This tool did not include psychological signs or symptoms such as those associated with depression.

eLetters

Now that you've read the article, create or contribute to an online discussion on this topic. Visit www.ajcconline.org and click "Respond to This Article" in either the full-text or PDF view of the article.

REFERENCES

- Rosamond W, Flegal K, Furie K, et al. Heart disease and stroke statistics—2008 update. A report from the American Heart Association statistics committee and stroke statistics subcommittee. Circulation. 2008;117:e1-e122.
- Horowitz CR, Rein SB, Leventhal H. A story of maladies, misconceptions and mishaps: effective management of heart failure. Soc Sci Med. 2004;58:631-634.
- Lau RR, Bernard TM, Hartman KA. Further explorations of common-sense representations of common illnesses. Health Psychol. 1989;8:195-219.
- Leventhal H, Meyer D, Nerenz D.The common-sense representation of illness danger. In: Rachman S, ed. Medical Psychology. Vol. 2. New York, NY: Pergamon Press; 1980:7-30.
- Ward SE. The common sense model: an organized framework for knowledge development in nursing. Sch Inq Nurs Pract. 1993;7:79-90.
- Pender NJ, Walker SN, Sechrist KR, Frank-Stromborg M. Predicting health-promoting lifestyles in the workplace. Nurs Res. 1990;39:326-332.
- Mkhail B. The health belief model: a review and critical evaluation of the model, research and practice. Adv Nurs Sci. 1981;4(1):65-82.
- Massey JA, Pesut DJ. Self-regulation strategies in adults. West J Nurs Res. 1991;13(5):627-634.
- Dodd M, Janson S, Facione N, et al. Advancing the science of symptom management. J Adv Nurs. 2001;33:668-676.
- Shah MR, Hasselblad V, Gheorghiade M, et al. Prognostic usefulness of the 6-minute walk in patients with advanced congestive heart failure secondary to ischemic or nonischemic cardiomyopathy. Am J Cardiol. 2001;88:987-993.
- Albert NM, Buchsbaum R, Li J. Randomized study of the effect of video education on heart failure healthcare utilization, symptoms, and self-care behaviors. *Patient Educ Couns*. 2007;69:129-139.
- 12. Lynn MR. Determination and quantification of content validity. *Nurs Res.* 1986;35:382-385.
- Friedman MM, Quinn JR. Heart failure patients' time, symptoms, and actions before a hospital admission. J Cardiovasc Nurs. 2008;23:506-512.
- Patel H, Shafazand M, Schaufelberger M, Ekman I. Reasons for seeking acute care in chronic heart failure. Eur J Heart Fail. 2007;9:702-708.
- Ekman I, Cleland JG, Swedberg K, Charlesworth A, Metra M, Poole-Wilson PA. Symptoms in patients with heart failure are prognostic predictors: insight from COMET. J Card Fail. 2005;11(4):288-292.
- Zambrowski CH, Moser DK, Bhat G, Zeigler C. Impact of symptom prevalence and symptom burden on quality of life in patients with heart failure. Eur J Cardiovasc Nurs. 2005;4:198-206.
- Walke LM, Byers AL, Tinetti ME, Dubin JA, McCorkle R, FriedTR. Range and severity of symptoms over time among older adults with chronic pulmonary disease and heart failure. Arch Intern Med. 2007;167:2503-2508.
- Barnes S, Gott M, Payne S, et al. Prevalence of symptoms in a community-based sample of heart failure patients. J

- Pain Symptom Manage. 2006;32(3):208-216.
- Eastwood CA, Travis L, Morgenstern TT, Donaho EK. Weight and symptom diary for self-monitoring in heart failure clinic patients. J Cardiovasc Nurs. 2007;22:382-389.
- Edmonds PM, Rogers A, Addington-Hall JM, McCoy A, Coats AJ, Gibbs SR. Patient descriptions of breathlessness in heart failure. *Int J Cardiol*. 2005;98:61-66.
- Fonarow GC, Abraham WT, Albert NM, et al. Association between performance measures and clinical outcomes for patients hospitalized with heart failure. JAMA. 2007;297:61-70.
- Fonarow GC, Heywood JT, Heidenreich PA, Lopatin M, Yancy CW; ADHERE Scientific Advisory Committee and Investigators. Temporal trends in clinical characteristics, treatments, and outcomes for heart failure hospitalizations, 2002 to 2004: findings from Acute Decompensated Heart Failure National Registry (ADHERE). Am Heart J. 2007;153:1021-1028.
- Heo S, Doering LV, Widener J, Moser DK. Predictors and effect of physical symptom status on health-related quality of life in patients with heart failure. Am J Crit Care. 2008; 17:124-132.
- Parshall MB. Adult emergency visits for chronic cardiorespiratory disease: does dyspnea matter? Nurs Res. 1997;48: 62-70.
- Nordgren L, Sorensen S. Symptoms experienced in the last six months of life in patients with end-stage heart failure. Eur J Cardiovasc Nurs. 2003;2:213-217.
- Mueller C, Frana B, Rodriquez D, Laule-Kilian K, Perruchoud AP. Emergency diagnosis of congestive heart failure: impact of signs and symptoms. Can J Cardiol. 2005;21:921-924.
- Fonarow GC, Abraham WT, Albert NM, et al; for the OPTI-MIZE-HF Investigators and Hospitals. Influence of a performance-improvement initiative on quality of care for patients hospitalized with heart failure. Results of the Organized Program to Initiate Lifesaving Treatment in Hospitalized Patients with Heart Failure (OPTIMIZE-HF). Arch Intern Med. 2007;167:1493-1502.
- Jurgens CY. Somatic awareness, uncertainty, and delay in care-seeking in acute heart failure. Res Nurs Health. 2006; 29(2):74-86.
- Friedman MM, King KB. Correlates of fatigue in older women with heart failure. Heart Lung. 1995:24:512-518.
- Ekman I, Ehrenberg A. Fatigue in chronic heart failure: does gender make a difference? Eur J Cardiovasc Nurs. 2002;1: 77-82.
- 31. Stephen SA. Fatigue in older adults with stable heart failure. *Heart Lung.* 2008;37:122-131.
- Smith OR, Michielsen HJ, Pelle AJ, Schiffer AA, Winter JB, Denollet J. Symptoms of fatigue in chronic heart failure patients: clinical and psychological predictors. Eur J Heart Fail. 2007;9:922-927.
- Fonarow GC, Stough WG, Abraham WT, et al; OPTIMIZE-HF Investigators and Hospitals. Characteristics, treatments, and outcomes of patients with preserved systolic function hospitalized for heart failure: a report from the OPTIMIZE-HF Registry. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2007;50(8):768-777.
 Mullens W, Abrahams Z, Sokos G, et al. Gender differences
- Mullens W, Abrahams Z, Sokos G, et al. Gender differences in patients admitted with advanced decompensated heart failure. Am J Cardiol. 2008;102:454-458.
- Fonarow GC, Yancy CW, Albert NM, et al. Heart failure care in the outpatient cardiology practice setting: findings from IMPROVE HF. Circ Heart Fail. 2008;1(2):98-106.

To purchase electronic or print reprints, contact The InnoVision Group, 101 Columbia, Aliso Viejo, CA 92656. Phone, (800) 899-1712 or (949) 362-2050 (ext 532); fax, (949) 362-2049; e-mail, reprints@aacn.org.

Learning objecti	<i>ves:</i> 1. Recognize sign	gns and symptoms is and atypical sympt t failure and response	oms that may be a	associate	d with worsening	g heart failure and	l functional clas	s. 2. Define key re patients.	elements of a
heart failure fa. 1 in 10 b. 1 in 5 2. Which of the associated wita. \$35 billion b. \$6 million 3. Which of the with heart fail worsening con a. Edema and wb. Tachycardia a. Vertigo and rd. Dyspnea and 4. What does to coping or sea. Recognizing and threat b. Ignoring sign c. Resisting init d. Engaging in b. 5. What was that a. To test the acand symptom b. To compare to c. To determined. To describe theart failure	c. 1 in 25 d. 1 in 3 e following was the h heart failure in 2 c. \$53 million d. \$5 billion e following sympto ure may not recogn dition? eight gain and nocturia nemory loss fatigue he Common Sense ! lf-care behaviors? signs and symptoms as and symptoms as and symptoms as and symptoms be and symptoms as a large primary aim of the curacy of a preprintents he symptoms of heap aptients' perception he most frequently refollowing was the mple? omyopathy diomyopathy diomyopathy dispression and sympopathy diomyopathy diomyopathy diomyopathy dispression and symptoms of heap aptients' perception he most frequently refollowing was the mple?	e expected direct at 2008? oms below are so glaize them as important them as important to form a conscious an initial coping meefforts due to fear arown to cause exacerba	obal that patient rtant markers of slevel of danger chanism ad uncertainty ation of symptom ibing of signs the functional classociated with	stts k f cc ssor cc sss in s ss in s ture cc t	seported by pata. Shortness of book. Shortness of book. Whole body ede. Dizziness/light. Ankle/leg eder. B. During the stoatients universa. Dyspnea book. Profound fatight. Activity intoles. What symptomatic control of the stoatients universa. Edema book. Edema book. Profound fatight. Dyspnea book. Profound fatight. Dyspnea book. Profound fatight. The tool was abook. The tool includes. The tool contains. The tool contains are likely as a patients may report the patients are likely as a patients are l	dema theadedness ma rudy, which of the cally report regal ue rance m of heart failue and also was a cetite ue e following did e study data coll ot exhaustive in ded signs and syr ined descriptions sed intensity of he e following cone se of a checklist	the study invelection tool? listing heart failure syrclusions did the for assessment and symptoms are followed as a symptom as a symptom are followed as a symptom	eart failure syntional class or of ated with worse ator of being he stigators identified with depression associated with inptoms. The study investigation of heart failure symptoms of heart failure signs and symptoms of heart failure signs of heart failure symptoms of heart failure signs of heart failure signs of heart failure symptoms of heart failure s	ening NYHA ospitalized? ify as a aging. gators reach associated lure. btoms of ure.
	2. □a 3. □ □b □ □c □	orm expires: September la 4. 🗆 a lb lc 🔲 c	er 1, 2012. Test Ans 5. □ a □ b □ c □ d	6. 🗆 a	7. 🗆 a b c	or your answer to e 8. □a □b □c □d	ach question. Yo 9. □a □b □c □d	u may photocopy 10. □a □b □c □d	this form. 11. □a □b □c □d
	embers, \$0; nonmem	bers, \$10 Passing sco	re: 8 Correct (73%)) Synerg	y CERP: Category		eborah Lilly, RN	, MSN, CCRN	
AS OCRITIC NU	SOCIATION CAL-CARE IRSES	Objective 1 was me Objective 2 was me Objective 3 was me Content was releva	Yes et tt nt to my	No	Address	. Phone		_ State ZIP_	
this CE	processing, take test online at	nursing practice My expectations we This method of CE			RN License #1			State	

The American Association of Critical-Care Nurses is accredited as a provider of continuing nursing education by the American Nurses Credentialing Center's Commission on Accreditation. AACN has been approved as a provider of continuing education in nursing by the State Boards of Nursing of Alabama (#ABNP0062), California (#01036), and Louisiana (#ABN12). AACN programming meets the standards for most other states requiring mandatory continuing education credit for relicensure.

Signature ____

Payment by: ☐ Visa ☐ M/C ☐ AMEX ☐ Check

Card # _____ Expiration Date ____

for this content

The level of difficulty of this test was:

To complete this program, it took me _____ hours/minutes.

□ easy □ medium □ difficult

Articles in This Issue") or

mail this entire page to:

AACN, 101 Columbia,

Aliso Viejo, CA 92656.