

COPY

1 ROBERT H. ROTSTEIN (SBN 72452)
rxr@msk.com
2 PATRICIA H. BENSON (SBN 60565)
phb@msk.com
3 JEAN PIERRE NOGUES (SBN 84445)
jpn@msk.com
4 MITCHELL SILBERBERG & KNUPP LLP
11377 West Olympic Boulevard
5 Los Angeles, California 90064-1683
Telephone: (310) 312-2000
6 Facsimile: (310) 312-3100

7 Attorneys for Plaintiffs
CBS Broadcasting, Inc.,
8 CBS Studios Inc., and
Survivor Productions LLC
9

10

11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
12 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

13

14 CBS BROADCASTING INC.,
CBS STUDIOS INC., SURVIVOR
15 PRODUCTIONS LLC,

16

Plaintiffs,

17

18

v.

19

DISH NETWORK CORPORATION,
DISH NETWORK L.L.C.,

20

Defendants.

21

22

23

24

Plaintiffs CBS Broadcasting Inc., CBS Studios Inc., and Survivor

25

Productions LLC, by their counsel, allege against Defendants DISH Network

26

Corporation and DISH Network LLC:

27

28

CASE NO. _____

COMPLAINT FOR:

(1) COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT

(2) INDUCEMENT OF COPYRIGHT
INFRINGEMENT

(3) CONTRIBUTORY COPYRIGHT
INFRINGEMENT

(4) VICARIOUS COPYRIGHT
INFRINGEMENT

Mitchell
Silberberg &
Knupp LLP

NATURE OF ACTION

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1. Plaintiffs bring this action for preliminary and permanent injunctive relief against Defendants' unlawful scheme to profit from a new system for violating Plaintiffs' copyrights in prime-time, network television programming. Defendants market this infringing system in connection with their satellite broadcast services and digital video recorder ("DVR") called "the Hopper." As described more fully below, through the infringing functions of the Hopper, *all of* Plaintiffs' prime-time, network television programs (along with the shows aired on the other national broadcast networks) are copied, on a continuous basis, and stored for eight days, to the Hopper – which provides massive storage capabilities during which the customer can permanently store that programming and view it with all of the individual commercials automatically skipped in their entirety. Significantly, when the viewer is in PrimeTime Anytime mode, the viewer is not in any way selecting the individual programs to be copied or the individual commercials to be skipped.

2. Plaintiffs are among the largest and most successful producers and distributors of television programming in the United States and the world. Plaintiffs are engaged in the business of developing, producing, and/or distributing television programming for exhibition and dissemination, and of licensing those activities to others. In addition to producing (and owning the copyrights in) thousands of television programs, Plaintiff CBS Broadcasting Inc. ("CBS") owns and operates television program services that delivers that programming (or programming created by third parties) to the American public.

3. The Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. § 101, *et seq.*, provides Plaintiffs with the exclusive rights to reproduce, adapt, distribute, and publicly perform and display their copyrighted television programming. Plaintiffs exercise these rights in an ever-expanding variety of ways, including commercially supported broadcast

1 television, syndicated television, Internet-based streaming and download services,
2 video-on-demand access via licensed via multichannel video programming
3 distributors (“MVPDs”), and Digital Versatile Discs (“DVDs”) and Blu-Ray Discs.
4 At this moment, consumers have access to network television programming
5 through more authorized avenues than ever before. Through the unlawful
6 functions of the Hopper, Defendants are infringing, and threaten to infringe,
7 Plaintiffs’ rights to exploit their copyrighted works in these legitimate markets. In
8 doing so, Defendants deprive Plaintiffs of a fair return on their investments in
9 creating and distributing some of the most valuable programming on television.
10 Defendants’ conduct is exactly what the copyright laws are intended to prevent.

11 4. Defendants violate Plaintiffs’ exclusive rights by copying, without
12 authorization, Plaintiffs’ television programs and delivering these copies to
13 computer hard drives resident in the homes of Defendants’ subscribers. This
14 conduct violates Plaintiffs’ exclusive rights to reproduce and distribute copies
15 under sections 106(1) and 106(3) of the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. §§ 106(1) and
16 106(3). Through their conduct, Defendants provide their customers with unlawful
17 copies of works. That is straight-forward infringement.

18 5. To the extent that Defendants claim their customers create the
19 infringing copies, Defendants’ scheme is nevertheless unlawful. Defendants
20 knowingly provide ongoing and material technological support to their customers
21 in order to facilitate the automatic creation of infringing copies of prime-time
22 shows and skipping commercials, which renders Defendants contributory
23 infringers. Defendants induce, through their technology, advertising and other
24 conduct, their customers to create unauthorized copies of Plaintiffs’ programming
25 in prime time and skip commercials, without viewers selecting which programs to
26 record of which commercials to skip. Finally, Defendant are vicariously liable for
27 their subscribers’ conduct, to the extent that is relevant, because Defendants have

Mitchell
Silberberg &
Knupp LLP

1 the legal and practical right and ability to control the creation of infringing copies
2 of Plaintiffs' works and skipping commercials, from which Defendants obtain
3 direct financial benefits. Defendants' contracts with subscribers allow Defendants
4 to control all programming and service options. In addition, subscribers cannot
5 accomplish the systematic comprehensive prime time copying and commercial
6 skipping at issue without the technological system from Defendants.

7 6. The Hopper allows Defendants and their customers to infringe
8 Plaintiffs' copyrights through the following interrelated features:

- 9 • The Hopper provides a "PrimeTime Anytime" feature, which copies
10 to the customers' DVR the prime-time TV programming aired on
11 CBS and on the ABC, Fox, and NBC television networks, every
12 evening, on an eight-day rolling basis. Significantly, this feature
13 copies the entire prime-time schedule of all the major networks,
14 without the viewer selecting the specific programs to be copied.
- 15 • The Hopper provides what Defendants call the "Auto Hop" feature,
16 which enables the customer to watch the copied PrimeTime Anytime
17 programming with *all* commercial advertising *automatically* skipped
18 – and as more fully detailed below, Defendants market and actively
19 encourage the use of Auto Hop for that purpose. Thus, significantly,
20 the viewer is not in any way selecting the individual commercials to
21 be skipped.
- 22 • The Hopper provides a memory capacity of two terabytes (*i.e.*, 2,000
23 gigabytes), which, Defendants boast, is capable of storing 2,000 hours
24 of recorded video, thus allowing the creation of large libraries of
25 prime-time television. As Defendants themselves acknowledge, "no
26 other company offers" such capacity.

1 7. Thus, the Hopper effectively provides Defendants' customers with a
2 premium commercial-free channel consisting, at any given point in time, of the
3 copyrighted programming that aired in prime time on all four national broadcast
4 networks in the past eight days, including without limitation, series currently airing
5 on CBS, such as *60 Minutes*, *Blue Bloods*, *CSI: Crime Scene Investigation*,
6 *Survivor*, *NCIS* and *The Good Wife*, along with the ability to create huge
7 permanent, commercial-free libraries of those works.

8 8. Plaintiffs have invested billions of dollars in their copyrighted content.
9 the Hopper's methods of copying will deprive Plaintiffs of a vital means of
10 payment for their works and erode the value of Plaintiffs' copyrighted
11 programming. "Prime time" is the bloc of the television programming schedule
12 that attracts the most viewers, and advertisers therefore are willing to pay the
13 highest prices to have their commercials shown during this time. Television
14 networks and local broadcast stations generally derive significant percentages of
15 their advertising revenues from selling the right to advertise before, during or
16 immediately after the prime-time television programming airs. Advertisers will
17 not pay, or will pay less, to have their advertisements placed within and around
18 Plaintiffs' television programming if the advertisements will be made invisible to
19 viewers. Further, Plaintiffs recoup part of their substantial investments in creative
20 programming by disseminating their prime-time programming, at a premium, in
21 commercial-free formats, such as the sale of DVDs and Blu-Ray Discs. The
22 Hopper directly undercuts these legitimate markets for paid access to Plaintiffs'
23 programming. Moreover, the Hopper interferes with Plaintiffs' efforts to make
24 their prime-time programming available to consumers for free through advertising-
25 supported services, such as Internet streaming websites. Views of such websites
26 will decline if Defendants' subscribers have access to commercial-free copies of
27 Plaintiffs' prime-time shows. As a result, Defendants' unlawful conduct impairs

Mitchell
Silberberg &
Knupp LLP

1 the value of Plaintiffs' works and reduces the incentive for their creation and
2 dissemination. Indeed, Defendants' unlawful conduct attacks the fundamental
3 economic underpinnings of television programming delivery and therefore the very
4 means by which Plaintiffs' copyrighted works are paid for. In this way,
5 Defendants cause harm not only to Plaintiffs, but also to consumers.

6
7 **THE PARTIES**

8 9. Plaintiff Survivor Productions, LLC is a Delaware limited liability
9 company with its principal place of business at 7800 Beverly Blvd. Los Angeles,
10 California. Plaintiff Survivor Productions LLC is actively engaged in the
11 production of television programming.

12 10. Plaintiff CBS Broadcasting Inc. is a New York corporation with its
13 principal place of business at 51 West 52nd Street, New York, New York. Plaintiff
14 CBS Broadcasting is actively engaged in the production and distribution of
15 television programs and other copyrighted works.

16 11. Plaintiff CBS Studios Inc. is a Delaware corporation with its principal
17 place of business at 51 West 52nd Street, New York, New York. Plaintiff CBS
18 Studios Inc. is actively engaged in the worldwide production and distribution of
19 copyrighted entertainment products.

20 12. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and therefore allege, that
21 Defendant DISH Network Corporation is organized under the laws of the State of
22 Nevada and has its principal place of business in Englewood, Colorado. Plaintiffs
23 are informed and believe, and therefore allege, that Defendant DISH Network LLC
24 is a wholly owned subsidiary of DISH Network Corporation, is organized under
25 the laws of the State of Colorado, and has its principal place of business in
26 Englewood, Colorado. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and therefore allege,
27 that each Defendant was the agent, joint venture and/or employee of the other

1 Defendant, and in doing the things hereinafter alleged, each was acting within the
2 course and scope of said agency, employment and joint venture with the advance
3 knowledge, acquiescence, and subsequent ratification of the other Defendant.

4 13. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and therefore allege, that DISH
5 Network Corporation and DISH Network LLC operate the third largest pay
6 television transmission system in the United States, servicing approximately 14
7 million customers as of September 30, 2011.

8
9 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

10 14. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331
11 and 1338, and under the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. § 101 *et seq.*

12 15. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants, and venue is
13 proper in this Judicial District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b). Defendants
14 conduct extensive commercial activities in this State, including in this Judicial
15 District. Further, a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to this
16 lawsuit, as well as substantial injury to Plaintiffs, have occurred or will occur in
17 this District as a result of Defendants' acts of copyright infringement and
18 impending acts of copyright infringement, as alleged in detail below. Venue is
19 also proper in this Judicial District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1400(a) in that
20 Defendants may be found in this District in light of their extensive commercial
21 activities in this District.

22
23 **FACTUAL BACKGROUND**

24 **Free Over-The Air, Commercially Supported Broadcasting**

25 16. CBS is one of the four major over-the-air television networks that
26 transmit programming to the public via hundreds of free, local, terrestrial broadcast
27 stations that carry the networks' content. The networks' content is also

1 transmitted to the public by subscription-based cable and satellite companies,
2 including Defendant DISH Network, which pay license fees to retransmit the
3 content carried on local broadcast stations. The networks, including Plaintiff CBS,
4 both create and license copyrighted content – largely entertainment, news and
5 sports programming – on which the public has come to rely for information and
6 entertainment. Despite constant advances and developments in technology, the
7 four major networks and their affiliated local stations continue to account for a
8 large percentage of all television viewing in the United States, and each attracts
9 more viewers than any network distributed only by cable or satellite providers.

10 17. A nationwide system of free, over-the-air local television stations,
11 which makes news, information, and entertainment available to virtually all
12 Americans without any need to pay subscription fees, has been a crucial public
13 policy goal in the United States since the advent of television. The creation and
14 acquisition of the copyrighted content that has come to define free, over-the-air
15 television is made possible through commercial advertisements that are shown in
16 each program. Whether viewers watch programming for free over-the-air or
17 through pay services (such as Defendants' service) that retransmit broadcast
18 signals, advertisements provide the primary means of payment for the copyrighted
19 works that the public views. As alleged more fully below, Defendants' infringing
20 system completely blocks the delivery of advertising to viewers and thereby
21 deprives copyright owners of the means by which they are paid for their works.
22 Defendants' conduct diminishes both the value of the works and the incentive to
23 create and distribute original content over the medium. By undermining the
24 economic engine supporting the production of content, Defendants' system
25 threatens to diminish the quantity and the quality of the programming Americans
26 have come to expect and demand.

Mitchell
Silberberg &
Knupp LLP

1 • **Advertiser Supported Broadcasts.** National networks, including the
2 one owned and operated by Plaintiff CBS Broadcasting Inc., and their
3 owned television stations and independently-owned local affiliates,
4 derive substantial value by selling advertising time during the
5 programs they have created or licensed at enormous cost. Additional
6 revenues are earned by broadcast television networks and their parent
7 companies through fees paid by network-affiliated stations and by
8 licensees of their copyrighted programs in the off-network syndication
9 market. More income is generated by the licensing of programs
10 produced and owned by Plaintiff CBS Studios Inc. to other television
11 networks. These sources of revenue as well are ultimately dependent
12 on the ability of the licensee broadcasters to sell commercial
13 advertising in or adjacent to the programs.

14 • **Video On-Demand (“VOD”) Television Access.** Plaintiffs license
15 cable operators to make copyrighted works available for viewing on
16 demand by their customers as an added benefit of their subscriptions.
17 In addition to license fees from these MVPDs, these arrangements
18 allow for the sale of unique advertising in the VOD versions of the
19 programs owned by Plaintiffs.

20 • **On-Demand Online Access.** Plaintiffs earn revenue by providing
21 access to their copyrighted works via their websites, the websites of
22 their affiliates, and the websites and services of licensees. Some of
23 these models involve showing advertisements to consumers before,
24 after, or during viewing. These advertisements cannot be skipped or
25 fast forwarded. Other models involve subscription payments to
26 services (such as Netflix) that license Plaintiffs’ content to offer to
27 their subscribers commercial-free, payments for the purchase of
28

1 downloaded copies (also generally without advertising), or rental
2 payments (for time-limited windows to view content).

3 • **Domestic Syndication.** Plaintiffs generate revenue by licensing their
4 copyrighted works for “syndicated” exhibition on both broadcast and
5 non-broadcast television channels. For Plaintiffs, most syndication
6 activity involves delivery of programming that has already aired on
7 the CBS Television Network at an earlier date. In addition to fees
8 paid to Plaintiffs by broadcast and non-broadcast television channels,
9 an additional significant means by which Plaintiffs generate revenue
10 from syndication is through sharing in the advertising revenue
11 resulting from ads within the syndicated programs.

12 • **Fixed Media.** Plaintiffs generate substantial revenue from the sale or
13 rental for home viewing of authorized copies of their copyrighted
14 works in various formats, including DVD and Blu-Ray Discs.
15 Plaintiffs offer these formats at various price points, with different
16 offerings providing different levels of access to content. These
17 formats typically do not include advertisements, other than occasional
18 “trailers” at the beginning of a disc.

19 20 Defendants’ Infringing Service

21 21. On or about March 15, 2012, Defendants made the Hopper available
22 to its customers. The Hopper’s “PrimeTime Anytime” option automatically
23 records prime-time programming shows on CBS, NBC, ABC, and Fox, every day,
24 to the customers’ DVR, which as alleged above, stores up to 2000 hours of content.
25 (Significantly, the feature copies the prime-time schedule of all the major
26 networks, without the viewer selecting the specific programs to be copied.) The
27 prime-time programming, including that of Plaintiffs, is automatically stored on

1 the DVR for eight days and can be stored permanently. On or about May 10, 2012,
2 Defendants began offering a companion service, called "Auto Hop," which
3 automatically skips commercials during viewing.

4 22. The Hopper is thus specifically designed to function as an on-demand
5 video, and a video library, service. Defendants boast that the Hopper is unlike any
6 other DVR offered by a television service provider. On their website, an image
7 from which is attached hereto as Exhibit B and incorporated by reference,
8 Defendants refer to the Hopper as an "on-demand" service that permits the creation
9 of video "libraries" of copyrighted prime-time content commercial free. For
10 example, Defendants market the Hopper as follows:

11 With the Hopper's exclusive feature, PrimeTime Anytime™, three
12 hours of HD primetime programming are available to you On Demand
13 for up to 8 days from initial air date. Plus you can save your favorite
14 primetime content forever. You can also automatically skip
15 commercials in primetime TV – ABC, CBS, FOX and NBC in HD.

16 23. During an interview while demonstrating the Hopper, a representative
17 of Defendants stated: "I don't think you'd need Hulu or Hulu Plus after this." In
18 other words, Defendants tell their customers that the Hopper can be used as a
19 substitute for Internet-based on-demand services. On legitimate services similar to
20 Hulu, Plaintiffs make their programming available in advertising-supported, rental,
21 purchase, and subscription models.

22 24. Defendants also tout the Hopper's ability to provide commercial-free,
23 on-demand program libraries to their customers:

24 Hate commercials? DISH created commercial-free TV so you can
25 save an hour each night! Now you can automatically skip
26 commercials in primetime TV- on ABC, CBS, FOX and NBC in HD.
27 Only on the Hopper. Only from DISH.

1 25. Vivek Khemka, vice president of DISH Product Management,
2 described the infringing service as follows:

3 With the Auto Hop capability of the Hopper, watching your favorite
4 shows commercial-free is easier than ever before. It's a revolutionary
5 development that no other company offers and it's something that sets
6 Hopper above the competition. ... With Hopper, you have access to
7 all primetime HD programs broadcast by the four major networks.

8 Now you can watch many of those shows commercial-free, with Auto
9 Hop.

10
11 **Irreparable Harm to Plaintiffs As a Result of Defendants' Infringement**

12 26. Defendants' brazen copyright infringement threatens Plaintiffs' ability
13 to earn revenue from their copyrighted works through existing and potential
14 methods of dissemination. Unless enjoined, Defendants' illegal conduct will
15 irreparably injure Plaintiffs in numerous ways that are incapable of calculation or
16 redress through monetary damages. Defendants' unlawful scheme will also
17 ultimately harm the public, because it will divert revenue from the creators and
18 licensors of original programming to Defendants, thereby threatening to decrease
19 the output of copyrighted works and the investment therein.

20
21 **CLAIMS FOR RELIEF**

22 **COUNT I**

23 **(COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT IN VIOLATION OF THE**
24 **COPYRIGHT ACT, 17 U.S.C. §§ 101, ET SEQ.)**

25 27. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference each and every allegation set forth
26 in paragraphs 1 through 4 and paragraphs 6-26, as though fully set forth herein.

27
28
Mitchell
Silberberg &
Knupp LLP

1 28. Plaintiffs are the copyright owners of the works listed in Exhibit A, as
2 well as many other television programs telecast in the United States, each of which
3 contains a large number of creative elements wholly original to Plaintiffs and
4 which are copyrightable subject matter under the laws of the United States.

5 29. Plaintiffs have obtained (or have applied for) copyright registration
6 certificates for each work listed in Exhibit A. In doing so, Plaintiffs have complied
7 in all respects with 17 U.S.C. § 101, *et seq.* and all other laws governing federal
8 copyrights.

9 30. Each of the works listed in Exhibit A, has, with authorization of
10 Plaintiffs, been exploited in strict conformity with the provisions of 17 U.S.C. §§
11 401 and 409, *et seq.*, and all other laws governing federal copyright.

12 31. DISH creates Primetime Anytime's "on demand library of
13 approximately 100 hours primetime of TV shows" by recording, without
14 authorization, all programming aired by the four national broadcast networks
15 during primetime hours every night. On information and belief, the programming
16 recorded by DISH through the Primetime Anytime service consists exclusively of
17 copyrighted network programming, including Plaintiffs' copyrighted content. On
18 further information and belief, Defendants' copying in connection with PrimeTime
19 Anytime occurs on a partitioned section of The Hopper's hard drive that is fully
20 under Defendants' ongoing remote control. By creating and distributing
21 unauthorized copies of Plaintiffs' works (including the works listed on Exhibit A)
22 through PrimeTime Anytime in the manner described above, Defendants are
23 engaging in and imminently will engage in a vast number of direct copyright
24 infringements, in violation of sections 106(1), 106(3) and 501 of the Copyright
25 Act, 17 U.S.C. §§ 106(1), 106(3) and 501.

26 Mitchell
27 Silberberg &
28 Knupp LLP

1 32. The foregoing acts of direct infringement by Defendants are
2 unauthorized and unlicensed by Plaintiffs and are not otherwise permissible under
3 the Copyright Act. Plaintiffs did not consent to Defendants' copying.

4 33. These acts of infringement have been willful, intentional, and
5 purposeful, in disregard of Plaintiff's rights under the Copyright Act. Defendants
6 know that their acts are infringing and intentionally or recklessly disregard the law
7 by their conduct.

8 34. These acts have caused and will continue to cause substantial
9 irreparable harm to Plaintiffs that cannot fully be compensated or measured in
10 money to Plaintiffs unless further infringement is enjoined and restrained by this
11 Court. Plaintiffs have no adequate remedy at law because damages would be
12 difficult to ascertain and Plaintiffs should not be expected to suffer the blatant
13 infringement in which Defendants are engaging or threatening to engage. The
14 balance of equities favors Plaintiffs because Defendants could easily cease their
15 operation of the infringing services whereas Plaintiffs' rights will be permanently
16 devalued if the infringing conduct continues. Finally, the public interest favors
17 injunctive relief because the goals of the Copyright Act, including increased
18 creation and output of creative works, will be undermined by the persisting
19 infringements committed by Defendants. Accordingly, pursuant to 17 U.S.C. §
20 502, Plaintiffs are entitled to preliminary and permanent injunctions prohibiting
21 further infringements of Plaintiffs' copyrights.

22
23 **COUNT II**

24 **(INDUCEMENT OF COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT IN VIOLATION OF**
25 **THE COPYRIGHT ACT, 17 U.S.C. §§ 101, ET SEQ.)**

26 35. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference each and every allegation set forth
27 in paragraphs 1 through 3 and paragraph 5 through 30, as though fully set forth

1 herein.

2 36. Users of the Hopper's PrimeTime AnyTime feature who record
3 Plaintiff's prime-time shows and use the Hopper's Auto Hop feature to
4 automatically skip commercials otherwise contained in those recordings infringe
5 Plaintiffs' exclusive reproduction rights under section 106 of the Copyright Act, 17
6 U.S.C. § 106(1).

7 37. Users of the Hopper's PrimeTime Anytime feature who record
8 Plaintiff's prime-time shows and who store said recordings permanently or for long
9 periods of time for commercial-free viewings at times of their choosing infringe
10 Plaintiffs' exclusive reproduction rights under section 106 of the Copyright Act, 17
11 U.S.C. § 106(1).

12 38. Plaintiffs have not authorized persons to engage in the acts described
13 in paragraphs 36 and 37 or consented to such acts.

14 39. Defendants have induced the infringing acts, and the threatened
15 infringing acts, of their customers described above, in violation of sections 106 and
16 501 of the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. §§ 106 and 501. Defendants provide the
17 Hopper and its PrimeTime Anytime and Auto Hop features with the object of
18 promoting their use for infringement.

19 40. Defendants' conduct demonstrates Defendants' purposeful promotion
20 of infringement. Among other things:

- 21 • Defendants have marketed the Hopper expressly for copying and
22 creating libraries of Plaintiffs' works and then viewing them
23 commercial free.
- 24 • Defendants' marketing efforts have included targeting known markets
25 for infringement, including consumers who wish to obtain access to
26 commercial-free programming without payment and consumers who

Mitchell
Silberberg &
Knupp LLP

1 wish to avoid paying market prices for permanent copies of
2 commercial-free programs.

- 3 • Defendants have expressly marketed their services as substitutes for
4 licensed methods of accessing Plaintiffs' works, including iTunes and
5 other video-on-demand services.
- 6 • Defendants have refused to use readily available technological means
7 to limit or prevent infringement by their customers. In fact,
8 Defendants expressly designed their services to facilitate infringement
9 and make infringing acts virtually effortless.

10 41. Defendants' inducement of their customers' infringement is, and at all
11 times has been, willful, intentional, and purposeful, in knowing disregard of
12 Plaintiffs' rights under the Copyright Act. Defendants know that their acts are
13 inducing infringing conduct. Defendants intentionally or recklessly disregard the
14 law by their conduct. Plaintiffs have not authorized or consented to defendants'
15 conduct.

16 42. Defendants' acts have caused and will continue to cause substantial
17 irreparable harm to Plaintiffs that cannot fully be compensated or measured in
18 money unless further infringement by Defendants is enjoined and restrained by this
19 Court. Plaintiffs have no adequate remedy at law because damages would be
20 difficult to ascertain and Plaintiffs should not be expected to suffer Defendants'
21 blatant infringement. The balance of equities favor Plaintiffs because Defendants
22 could easily cease their operation of the infringing services whereas Plaintiffs'
23 rights will be permanently devalued if the infringing conduct continues. Finally,
24 the public interest favors injunctive relief because the goals of the Copyright Act,
25 including increased creation and output of creative works, will be undermined by
26 the persisting infringements committed by Defendants' customers. Pursuant to 17

Mitchell
Silberberg &
Knupp LLP

1 U.S.C. § 502, Plaintiffs are entitled to preliminary and permanent injunctions
2 prohibiting further infringements of Plaintiffs' copyrights.

3
4 **COUNT III**

5 **(CONTRIBUTORY COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT IN VIOLATION OF**
6 **THE COPYRIGHT ACT, 17 U.S.C. §§ 101, *ET SEQ.*)**

7 43. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference each and every allegation set forth
8 in paragraphs 1 through 3, paragraphs 5 through 30, and paragraphs 36 through 37,
9 as though fully set forth herein.

10 44. By participating in, facilitating, assisting, enabling, materially
11 contributing to, and encouraging the infringing reproductions of Plaintiffs' works
12 described above in paragraphs 36 through 37, with full knowledge of their illegal
13 consequences, and with the ability to take simple measures to prevent or limit
14 infringement, Defendants are contributing to infringements of Plaintiffs'
15 copyrighted works, in violation of sections 106 and 501 of the Copyright Act, 17
16 U.S.C. §§ 106 and 501. Defendants make the infringement described above in
17 paragraphs 36 through 37 possible and provide the site and facilities for the
18 infringements.

19 45. Defendants know or have reason to know of the actual or imminent
20 infringement of Plaintiff's copyrights. Indeed, on information and belief,
21 Defendants monitor their customers' infringing activity and technologically and
22 personally assist their customers throughout their illegal acts. DISH Networks'
23 service agreement with its customers states that it collects information regarding
24 "the programming service options [customers] have chosen." The agreement also
25 states: "When you use our interactive or other transactional television services, the
26 satellite system automatically collects certain information on your use of these
27 services."

1 46. Defendants' contributions to their customers' infringement have been
2 willful, intentional, and purposeful, in knowing disregard of Plaintiff's rights under
3 the Copyright Act. Defendants know that their acts are contributing to infringing
4 conduct and Defendants intentionally or recklessly disregard the law by their
5 conduct. Plaintiffs have not authorized or consented to Defendants' conduct.

6 47. Defendants' acts have caused and will continue to cause substantial
7 irreparable harm that cannot fully be compensated or measured in money to
8 Plaintiffs unless further infringement by Defendants is enjoined and restrained by
9 this Court. Plaintiffs have no adequate remedy at law because damages would be
10 difficult to ascertain and Plaintiffs should not be expected to suffer Defendants'
11 blatant infringement. The balance of equities favors Plaintiffs because Defendants
12 could easily cease their operation of the infringing services whereas Plaintiffs'
13 rights will be permanently devalued if the infringing conduct continues. Finally,
14 the public interest favors injunctive relief because the goals of the Copyright Act,
15 including increased creation and output of creative works, will be undermined by
16 the persisting infringements committed by Defendants' customers. Pursuant to 17
17 U.S.C. § 502, Plaintiffs are entitled to preliminary and permanent injunctions
18 prohibiting further infringements of Plaintiffs' copyrights.

19
20 **COUNT IV**

21 **(VICARIOUS COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT IN VIOLATION OF THE**
22 **COPYRIGHT ACT, 17 U.S.C. §§ 101, *ET SEQ.*)**

23 48. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference each and every allegation set forth
24 in paragraphs 1 through 3, paragraphs 5 through 30, and paragraphs 36 through 37,
25 as though fully set forth herein.

26 49. Defendants have the right and ability to supervise and control the
27 infringing conduct of their customers described above in paragraphs 36 and 37.

1 DISH Networks' contract with their customers states:

2 We may add, delete, rearrange and/or change any and all
3 programming, programming packages and other Services that we
4 offer, as well as the prices and fees related to such programming,
5 programming packages and Services, at any time, including without
6 limitation, during any term commitment period to which you have
7 agreed.

8 50. Defendants' regular involvement in their customers' copying is an
9 indispensable link in such infringing conduct. Defendants control their customers'
10 ability to record prime-time content and go to great lengths and efforts to enable
11 their customers to skip entire commercial segments. In order to achieve this goal,
12 Defendants must study the shows that are transmitted and make certain data
13 available to the devices resident in the homes of customers. Absent Defendants'
14 conduct, customers simply could not automatically skip commercials.

15 51. On information and belief, all of the infringing activity is actively
16 monitored by Defendants. DISH Networks' service agreement with its customers
17 states that it collects information regarding "the programming service options
18 [customers] have chosen." The agreement also states: "When you use our
19 interactive or other transactional television services, the satellite system
20 automatically collects certain information on your use of these services."

21 52. Defendants also receive a direct financial benefit from the
22 infringement described above in paragraphs 36 through 37 above. Plaintiff is
23 informed and believes, and therefore alleges, that Defendants have attracted,
24 obtained and retained customers as a result of their infringing offerings. The
25 PrimeTime Anytime and Auto Hop features constitute draws to Defendants'
26 services. Defendants actively advertise the infringing capabilities of the Hopper.
27 And, Defendants receive subscription payments from customers who possess the

1 Hopper.

2 53. Defendants' refusal to stop or limit its customers' infringements has
3 been willful, intentional, and purposeful, in knowing disregard of Plaintiffs' rights
4 under the Copyright Act. Defendants know that their acts are contributing to
5 infringing conduct and Defendants intentionally or recklessly disregard the law by
6 their conduct. Plaintiffs have not authorized or consented to Defendants' conduct.

7 54. Defendants' acts have caused and will continue to cause substantial
8 irreparable harm to Plaintiffs that cannot fully be compensated or measured in
9 money unless further infringement by Defendants is enjoined and restrained by this
10 Court. Plaintiffs have no adequate remedy at law because damages would be
11 difficult to ascertain and Plaintiffs should not be expected to suffer Defendants'
12 blatant infringement. The balance of equities favor Plaintiffs because Defendants
13 could easily cease their operation of the infringing services whereas Plaintiffs'
14 rights will be permanently devalued if the infringement continues. Finally, the
15 public interest favors injunctive relief because the goals of the Copyright Act,
16 including increased creation and output of creative works, will be undermined by
17 the persisting infringements committed by Defendants' customers. Pursuant to 17
18 U.S.C. § 502, Plaintiffs are entitled to preliminary and permanent injunctions
19 prohibiting further infringements of Plaintiffs' copyrights.

20
21 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

22 WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray that this Court enter judgment in their favor
23 and against Defendants, and each of them, as follows:

24 (a) On Counts I through IV, preliminarily and permanently enjoin,
25 pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 502, Defendants, their respective officers, agents, servants,
26 employees, and those persons in active concert or participation with Defendants, or
27 any of them, from inducing infringement or directly, contributorily, and/or

1 vicariously infringing by any means, including but not limited to specifically in
2 connection with the Hopper's PrimeTime Anytime and Auto Hop features,
3 Plaintiffs' exclusive rights under the Copyright Act, including, but not limited to
4 any of Plaintiffs' rights in any of the works listed on Exhibit A, and from licensing
5 any other person to do the same;


6 (b) award Plaintiffs statutory damages in accordance with 17 U.S.C. §
7 504 and other applicable law;

8 (c) award Plaintiffs costs and reasonable attorneys' fees in accordance
9 with 17 U.S.C. § 505, and other applicable law; and

10 (d) award Plaintiffs such further and additional relief as the Court may
11 deem just and proper.

12
13 DATED: May 24, 2012

14 ROBERT H. ROTSTEIN
15 PATRICIA H. BENSON
16 JEAN PIERRE NOGUES
17 MITCHELL SILBERBERG & KNUPP LLP

18 
19 _____
20 ROBERT H. ROTSTEIN
21 Attorneys for Plaintiffs,
22 Survivor Productions LLC, CBS
23 Broadcasting Inc., and CBS Studios Inc.

24
25
26 Mitchell
27 Silberberg &
28 Knupp LLP