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## Short-Time Fourier transform of distributions

Classically the short-time Fourier transform is defined as

$$
V_{g} f(x, \xi)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f(y) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \xi y} g(y-x) \mathrm{d} y
$$

for $f, g \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. For distributions $f, g \in S^{\prime}$ the expression
$f(y) g(y-x)$ is defined as the image of

$$
f(\xi) \otimes g(\eta) \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\xi, \eta}^{2 n}\right)
$$

under the linear map


If $f, g \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ then $V_{g} f$ is defined by the partial or vector-valued
Fourier transform:
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Fourier transform:
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## A characterisation of $\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$

## Theorem (Gröchenig-Zimmermann, 2001)

Let $g \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \backslash\{0\}$ fixed. Then for $f \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ the following are equivalent:
(1) $f \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$.
(2) $V_{g} f \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 d}\right)$.
(3) For all $n \geq 0$ exists $C_{n}>0$ such that

$$
\forall(x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2 d}: \quad\left|V_{g} f(x, \xi)\right| \leq C_{n}(1+|x|+|\xi|)^{-n}
$$

## Question

Can we get a similar characterisation of $\mathcal{O}_{C}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and $\mathcal{O}_{M}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ ?
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## The $W_{h}$-transform for distributions

## Definition and Proposition

If $h \in \mathcal{S}$ and $F \in \mathcal{S}_{x, \xi}^{\prime}$ then the $W_{h}$-transform

$$
W_{h}: \mathcal{S}_{x, \xi}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{z}^{\prime}, F \mapsto \mathcal{O}_{C, x}\left\langle 1_{x},\left(\mathcal{F}_{\xi}^{-1} F\right)(x, z) h(z-x)\right\rangle_{\mathcal{O}_{C, x}^{\prime}\left(\mathcal{S}_{z}^{\prime}\right)}
$$

is well-defined, linear and continuous.
The bracket $\mathcal{O}_{C, x}\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\mathcal{O}_{C, x}^{\prime}\left(\mathcal{S}_{z}^{\prime}\right)}$ is the $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}$-valued extension of the evaluation mapping

$$
\mathcal{O}_{C} \times \mathcal{O}_{C}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{C},(\varphi, T) \mapsto T(\varphi)
$$

and hence bilinear and hypocontinuous.

Some technical background:

## Vector-Valued distributions

Let $E, F$ and $G$ be three (separated) locally convex spaces and

$$
b: E \times F \rightarrow G
$$

a bilinear mapping.
The mapping $b$ is hypocontinuous iff for all bounded set $B \subset E$ and all bounded subsets $B^{\prime} \subset F$ the mappings

$$
B \times F \rightarrow G,(e, f) \mapsto b(e, f)
$$

and

$$
E \times B^{\prime} \rightarrow G,(e, f) \mapsto b(e, f)
$$

are continuous

Let $E$ and $F$ be two separated locally convex spaces. We use the following two topologies on the tensor product $E \otimes F$.
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A locally convex space $\mathcal{H}$ is called space of distributions if it is contained in $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}$ with a finer topology.
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Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a space of distributions. We define
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$$
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## Combination with continuous bilinear maps

## Theorem (L. Schwartz 1958)

Let $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{K}$ be normal spaces of distributions and $\mathcal{L}$ be a space of distributions. Moreover let $E$ and $F$ be two separated locally convex spaces. We assume $\mathcal{H}$ to be a nuclear space admitting a nuclear dual space. Let $*: \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ be a hypocontinuous convolution mapping.
There is a (unique, if $\mathcal{K}$ has the approximation property) bilinear map

$$
\stackrel{*}{\otimes}: \mathcal{H}(E) \times \mathcal{K}(F) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}\left(E \widehat{\otimes}_{\pi} F\right),(S, T) \mapsto \stackrel{\otimes}{\otimes}^{*}(S, T)
$$

such that $\stackrel{*}{\otimes}((S \otimes e),(T \otimes f))=S * T \otimes e \otimes f$ for all $S \in \mathcal{H}$, $T \in \mathcal{K}, e \in E$ and $f \in F$. Moreover the convolution mapping ${ }_{\otimes}^{*}$ is hypocontinuous with respect to bounded subsets of $\mathcal{H}(E)$ and $\mathcal{K}(F)$.
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## Proposition (B.-Ortner, 2013)

Let $\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{L}$ be complete spaces of distributions (or more general complete locally convex spaces), where $\mathcal{H}$ is nuclear. Let $E, F$ and $G$ be three locally convex spaces, $G$ complete, and

$$
u: \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \text { and } b: E \times F \rightarrow G
$$

be two hypocontinuous bilinear maps. If one of the assumptions
(1) $\mathcal{H}$ and $E$ are Fréchet spaces
(2) $\mathcal{H}$ and $E$ are (DF)-spaces
is satisfied, there is a hypocontinuous bilinear map

$$
{ }_{b}^{u}: \mathcal{H}(E) \times \mathcal{K}(F) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(G)
$$

satisfying the consistency property

$$
{ }_{b}^{u}(S \otimes e, T \otimes f)=u(S, T) \otimes b(e, f) .
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If $\mathcal{K}$ satisfies the approximation property $\underset{b}{u}$ is the unique partially continuous bilinear map satisfying this consistency property.

## Proof Sketch

(1) Main ingredient: L. Schwartz' "Théorèmes de croisement" yields the existence of a bilinear map

$$
\Gamma_{\beta, \beta}:\left(\mathcal{H} \widehat{\otimes}_{\beta} E\right) \times(\mathcal{K} \varepsilon F) \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{H} \widehat{\otimes}_{\beta} \mathcal{K}\right) \varepsilon\left(E \widehat{\otimes}_{\beta} F\right)
$$

which is the unique partially continuous mapping which conincides with the canonial mapping on the tensor products.
(2) The assumptions on $\mathcal{H}$ and $E$ yield $\mathcal{H} \widehat{\otimes}_{\beta} E=\mathcal{H}(E)$
(3) Show that bounded subsets of $\mathcal{H}(E)$ and $\mathcal{K}(F)$ satisfy the conditions of the "Théorèmes de croisement" such that $\Gamma_{\beta, \beta}$ is hypocontinuous.

- Compose $\Gamma_{\beta, \beta}$ with the $\varepsilon$-product of the continuous linear maps corresponding to $u$ and $b$.
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## Back to our original situation

## Definition and Proposition

If $h \in \mathcal{S}$ and $F \in \mathcal{S}_{x, \xi}^{\prime}$ then the $W_{h}$-transform

$$
W_{h}: \mathcal{S}_{x, \xi}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{z}^{\prime}, F \mapsto \mathcal{O}_{C, x}\left\langle 1_{x},\left(\mathcal{F}_{\xi}^{-1} F\right)(x, z) h(z-x)\right\rangle_{\mathcal{O}_{C, x}^{\prime}\left(\mathcal{S}_{z}^{\prime}\right)}
$$

is well-defined, linear and continuous.
The bracket $\mathcal{O}_{C, x}\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\mathcal{O}_{C, x}^{\prime}}\left(\mathcal{S}_{z}^{\prime}\right)$ is the $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}$-valued extension of the evaluation mapping

$$
\mathcal{O}_{C} \times \mathcal{O}_{C}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{C},(\varphi, T) \mapsto T(\varphi)
$$

and hence bilinear and hypocontinuous.

## Proof.

The inclusion $h \in \mathcal{S}$ implies $h(z-x) \in \mathcal{S}_{x} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{O}_{C, z}$ and $F \in \mathcal{S}_{x, \xi}^{\prime}$ implies $\left(\mathcal{F}_{\xi}^{-1} F\right)(x, z) \in \mathcal{S}_{x}^{\prime} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{S}_{z}^{\prime}$. The previous Proposition yields the unique existence of a hypocontinuous bilinear multiplication

and hence

$$
\left(\mathcal{F}_{\xi}^{-1} F\right)(x, z) h(z-x) \in \mathcal{O}_{C, x}^{\prime} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{S}_{z}^{\prime} .
$$

The previous Proposition can be applied since the mappings

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S \times S^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C}^{\prime},(\varphi, T) \mapsto \varphi \cdot T, \\
& \mathcal{O}_{C} \times S^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{\prime},(\varphi, T) \mapsto \varphi \cdot T
\end{aligned}
$$

$\square$
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are hypocontinuous and since $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}$ is a (DF)-space.

## Proposition

If $g \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}$ and $h \in \mathcal{S}$ then it holds

$$
W_{h} \circ V_{g}=\langle g, h\rangle \mathrm{id}
$$

on $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}$.

## Proposition (B.-Ortner, 2013)

Let $g \in \mathcal{S}, g \neq 0$. Then for $f \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}$ the following assertions are equivalent:
(1) $f \in \mathcal{O}_{C}^{\prime}$,
(2) $V_{g} f \in \mathcal{S}_{x} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{O}_{M, \xi}$ and
(3) $V_{g} f$ is continuous and
$\forall k \in \mathbb{N}_{0} \exists m \in \mathbb{N}_{0} \exists C>0:$

$$
\left|\left(V_{g} f\right)(x, \xi)\right| \leq C\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{-k / 2}\left(1+|\xi|^{2}\right)^{m / 2}
$$

for all $(x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n}$.

## Proposition (B.-Ortner, 2013)

Let $g \in \mathcal{S}, g \neq 0$. Then for $f \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}$ the following assertions are equivalent:
(1) $f \in \mathcal{O}_{M}$,
(2) $V_{g} f \in \mathcal{O}_{C, x} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{S}_{\xi}$ and
(3) $V_{g} f$ is continuous and
$\forall k \in \mathbb{N}_{0} \exists m \in \mathbb{N}_{0} \exists C>0:$

$$
\left|\left(V_{g} f\right)(x, \xi)\right| \leq C\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{m / 2}\left(1+|\xi|^{2}\right)^{-k / 2}
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