

BAY DELTA CONSERVATION PLAN/CALIFORNIA WATER FIX
 PARTIALLY RECIRCULATED DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/
 SUPPLEMENTAL DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT


COMMENT CARD

THE PUBLIC REVIEW AND COMMENT PERIOD IS JULY 10, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 30, 2015.

PLEASE PRINT

NAME: BILL REYNOLDS DATE: 7/28/2015
 ORGANIZATION: _____ E-MAIL: REYNOLDS 6568@GMAIL.COM
 ADDRESS: 4444 W. LINCOLN
 CITY: STOCKTON STATE: CA ZIP: 95206

1. FINANCING - No clear explanation of how the "FIX" is to be financed had been provided. No reasonable bond lender should provide long term financing based on a 10-yr (short term) project permit. Water contractors cannot pay for a (canal) tunnel with short-term money. There is NO cost ^{study} ~~study~~ study.
2. Water Quality - How does taking good CFS ~~from~~ from of the best water from the top of the Delta benefit anyone south of the diversion point? Fish farming and recreation also suffer when water quality degrades.
 - B. What is true potential for diversion of the "best" water ~~and~~ quality available and starting it thru tunnels to contractors with junior water rights south of the Delta?
 - C. No serious evaluation of a "West Delta" diversion plan is included in your EIR/EIS.
 - D. How do you plan to comply with the "Clean Water Act" by diverting water from north end of the Delta??
3. TRUST - Delta residents are very skeptical that water quality standards will be maintained. Previous promises have been broken numerous times.



BAY DELTA CONSERVATION PLAN/CALIFORNIA WATER FIX
PARTIALLY RECIRCULATED DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/
SUPPLEMENTAL DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

COMMENT CARD

THE PUBLIC REVIEW AND COMMENT PERIOD IS JULY 10, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 30, 2015.

PLEASE PRINT

NAME: Rhonda Hanson DATE: 7-28-15
ORGANIZATION: California taxpayer E-MAIL: rhondareporter@gmail.com
ADDRESS: 4800 Sandmound Bl.
CITY: Oakley STATE: CA ZIP: 94561

I have lived on the delta near Bethel Island for 42 years. I have seen the continuing problems resulting from sending so much water south. This plan to take it before it even reaches us is ludicrous. Now the Brown administration & big money almond growers have taken the 50-year guarantee to restore the delta's environment off the table. To call the original project the "Bay Delta Conservation Plan" was a lie in itself, but now calling it "California Water Fix" is total fabrication in an effort to again mislead the people.

Thousands of people need the delta to make a living, to enjoy, to drink, to water our crops, and to enjoy a waterfront view. Without safeguards, I feel the delta as we know it will not survive. Gov. Brown has lied long enough. California voted down these tunnels years ago, and if the truth were let out, they would impeach the politicians that sold us a multi-billion dollar lie. It will not fix California's water problems!

BAY DELTA CONSERVATION PLAN/CALIFORNIA WATER FIX
PARTIALLY RECIRCULATED DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/
SUPPLEMENTAL DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

COMMENT CARD

THE PUBLIC REVIEW AND COMMENT PERIOD IS JULY 10, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 30, 2015.

PLEASE PRINT

NAME: Mary Ann Robinson DATE: July 28 2015

ORGANIZATION: _____ E-MAIL: ib4e2003@yahoo.com

ADDRESS: P.O. Box 188522

CITY: Sacramento STATE: CA ZIP: 95818

Why, in a period of increasing drought, are we seriously considering sending scarce water out of this parched region? I understand that water exports to So. CA are planned not to exceed $\pm 10\%$ of (current?) exports, but with reduced overall available resources, it seems to me that the current canal system would be adequate to handle $\pm 10\%$ of the generally reduced total.

Two DWR representatives, ^{this afternoon} have explained that the new northern diversion won't be used until flow capacity is higher than it has been, this year or last. Both added that climate projections are uncertain and that high and low years can be modelled, making the northern diversion useful. So in the low years it will be idle. And as low years increase in number, as can also be modelled, the northern diversion will be less + less used. It sure seems as though the current canal system could handle a future of more or less water diversions.

Problems with the current pumps + screens? Spend a billion fixing them, then. Not the "do nothing" alternative, but one that improves the current system at far less expense and environmental disruption/construction, and one that fits with a drier future in which there will surely be less water to divert.

BAY DELTA CONSERVATION PLAN / CALIFORNIA WATER FIX

BAY DELTA CONSERVATION PLAN/CALIFORNIA WATER FIX
PARTIALLY RECIRCULATED DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/
SUPPLEMENTAL DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

RECIRC 153

COMMENT CARD

THE PUBLIC REVIEW AND COMMENT PERIOD IS JULY 10, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 30, 2015.

PLEASE PRINT

NAME: Mary Ann Robinson DATE: July 28 2015
ORGANIZATION: E-MAIL: ib4e2003@yahoo.com
ADDRESS: P.O. Box 188522
CITY: Sacramento STATE: CA ZIP: 95818

There won't be enough water in ~~the~~ the future to meet existing desires and needs. Diverting the water we have won't be enough of a solution to the water demands. Many solutions are needed, including conservation, drought tolerant planting, rainwater ~~catch~~ retention, building code revisions, etc. There are currently many efforts to these ends, but they are really few + far between - too few to make the difference needed.

It doesn't make sense to me to put the time, effort, and money into development of the tunnels plan and construction before enforced development of all the other measures that will help meet water needs.

What plan ties all of these measures together in a ~~meaning~~ meaningful way? The governor has a water plan, but it isn't the document we need. L.A. could trap far more rainwater than they do, for e.g. Why isn't there a plan that requires this first, before tunnel expenditures? Or a plan that requires entirely drought tolerant planting at all public buildings? Because enforcement of such a plan would be difficult is no reason to throw it out in favor of tearing up the Delta & sending water south. That will be difficult!

BAY DELTA CONSERVATION PLAN / CALIFORNIA WATER FIX

BAY DELTA CONSERVATION PLAN/CALIFORNIA WATER FIX
PARTIALLY RECIRCULATED DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/
SUPPLEMENTAL DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

RECIRC153

COMMENT CARD

THE PUBLIC REVIEW AND COMMENT PERIOD IS JULY 10, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 30, 2015.

PLEASE PRINT

NAME: Mary Ann Robinson DATE: 7-28-15

ORGANIZATION: _____ E-MAIL: _____

ADDRESS: PO Box 188522

CITY: Sacramento STATE: CA ZIP: 95818

I've learned from some of the experts in this format (Open House), but their answers didn't go out to others, except for other individuals who asked similar questions.

So many more people could learn the answers to the same questions if this meeting were held as a hearing. What purpose does an open house format serve the people?

BAY DELTA CONSERVATION PLAN/CALIFORNIA WATER FIX
PARTIALLY RECIRCULATED DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/
SUPPLEMENTAL DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

COMMENT CARD

THE PUBLIC REVIEW AND COMMENT PERIOD IS JULY 10, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 30, 2015.

PLEASE PRINT

NAME: Ella Teevan DATE: 7/28/15
ORGANIZATION: Food & Water Watch E-MAIL: ella.teevan@gmail.com
ADDRESS: 562 53rd St. (1814 Franklin St. Ste. 1100, 94612)
CITY: Oakland STATE: CA ZIP: 94609

I submit this comment with deep concern over the ~~the~~ ^{project's} potential to exacerbate the negative consequences of California's drought upon farmers and ecosystems. The current historic drought is the most severe in 1200 years; the tunnel construction over a 14-year period, regardless of its eventual capacity to mitigate drought consequences, does ~~nothing~~ ~~not~~ address communities' urgent need for water this year.

Without regulatory assurance for water deliveries, the project's estimated benefits drop by \$10 billion*. This figure poses a significant challenge to farmers, already handling water scarcity, who must pay the price for such costly water.

I encourage lead agencies to account for the economic & ecosystem impacts of the project in the Final EIR, and these impacts should make clear that the project devastates both. I firmly oppose the tunnels. Thank you.

*Dr. J. Michael
Univ. of
the Pacific

BAY DELTA CONSERVATION PLAN/CALIFORNIA WATER FIX
PARTIALLY RECIRCULATED DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/
SUPPLEMENTAL DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

COMMENT CARD

THE PUBLIC REVIEW AND COMMENT PERIOD IS JULY 10, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 30, 2015.

PLEASE PRINT

NAME: Dale Adams DATE: July 28 2015
ORGANIZATION: Move-to-Amend E-MAIL: daleadams81@hotmail.com
ADDRESS: 565 Fountain Way
CITY: Dixon STATE: CA ZIP: 95620

Governor Brown 50 years ago had a visionary plan to dam all along the Col River, pump the water upstream, and send it to southern California. It never happened, just too wild and crazy an idea. Great concept create water from wasted floods and use it for California people. It failed, but we still remember THAT Governor (Edmund G "Pat") Brown.

Now a new Governor Brown proposes the Delta Tunnel that creates no water and just wastes it to try to grow NUTS where no nuts should grow and SHIP THEM TO CHINA. Completely crazy idea.

Governor Brown, we remember your father even without his water plan. We'll remember you, too, even without this COMPLETELY CRAZY Twin tunnels.

BAY DELTA CONSERVATION PLAN/CALIFORNIA WATER FIX
PARTIALLY RECIRCULATED DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/
SUPPLEMENTAL DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

COMMENT CARD

THE PUBLIC REVIEW AND COMMENT PERIOD IS JULY 10, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 30, 2015.

PLEASE PRINT

NAME: Mary Rodgers DATE: 7/28/15
ORGANIZATION: FAMILIES E-MAIL: marymaking2@gmail.com
ADDRESS: 2136 Northridge Dr
CITY: Modesto CA STATE: _____ ZIP: 95350

I direct my comments to the political leaders of my residence state, California.

I ask, on behalf of families everywhere, and in particular, my 2 granddaughters, members of the coming generation -- this question(s)

1) When will you listen to and hear the voice of the people? When will you return to integrity in your representation of every concerned citizen of CA? Your allegiance is to us, not to the leaders of profit-driven businesses.

2) How can I defend you to my grandchildren who ask me why our leaders are not more careful with our planet and our environment.

Please walk back onto the path of integrity and goodwill towards people and the land we live upon.

BAY DELTA CONSERVATION PLAN/CALIFORNIA WATER FIX
PARTIALLY RECIRCULATED DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/
SUPPLEMENTAL DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

COMMENT CARD

THE PUBLIC REVIEW AND COMMENT PERIOD IS JULY 10, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 30, 2015.

PLEASE PRINT

NAME: Carol Thornton DATE: 7/28/15

ORGANIZATION: Restore the Delta E-MAIL: carolt@LMI.net

ADDRESS: 1427 Derby St

CITY: Berkeley STATE: CA ZIP: 94702

I have worked on CA water issues for many years. I've ~~been~~ been through the Bay Delta Plan, Cal Fed, BDFP and now the aptly named CA Water Fix. Millions, if not billions, have been wasted on bureaucratic job fulfillment. If all that wasted money ~~to be~~ were spent on true "fixes" we would not be in this predicament. To wit:

Comprehensive, state-wide conservation programs: low-flow toilets in every household; storm water capture infrastructure upgrades to contain pipe leakage

Upgrade water recycling processes
Follow unproductive, toxic farm land etc, etc.

Put these many talented Cal Fix planners, scientists, to work on real, long-term solutions that will provide jobs for many more years than

BAY DELTA CONSERVATION PLAN/CALIFORNIA WATER FIX
PARTIALLY RECIRCULATED DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/
SUPPLEMENTAL DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

COMMENT CARD

THE PUBLIC REVIEW AND COMMENT PERIOD IS JULY 10, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 30, 2015.

PLEASE PRINT

NAME: William Tyson DATE: 7/28/15
ORGANIZATION: Private Citizen E-MAIL: billtyson.lv@yahoo.com
ADDRESS: 8709 Tiogawoods Drive
CITY: Sacramento STATE: CA ZIP: 95828

Considering the ambiguity in the actual impact on the delta environment, based on the lack of exact scientific data as noted in the SDEIS, the use of desalinization should be thoroughly studied for its viability, with the study released for review and comment by the public as part of CA WATER FIX, prior to permit application. Blatantly, it appears that desalinization was not given consideration, ~~inexplicably~~, by DWR. Salt water is at least as close to Clinton Court Forebay as is the tunnel intake near Clarksburg.

The desalinization process would not involve the risk to the delta environment, and technology backed by scientific data exists to calculate and successfully mitigate the environmental impact caused by a desalinization facility.

The cost of desalinization can be apportioned among those public and private entities that would benefit from the water. The private share of the cost could be temporarily subsidized by bonds, with the retirement cost paid by private interests as their revenue was generated by the/related to the increased water.

BAY DELTA CONSERVATION PLAN/CALIFORNIA WATER FIX
PARTIALLY RECIRCULATED DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/
SUPPLEMENTAL DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

COMMENT CARD

THE PUBLIC REVIEW AND COMMENT PERIOD IS JULY 10, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 30, 2015.

PLEASE PRINT

NAME: Jim Hall DATE: 9/29/15

ORGANIZATION: SELF E-MAIL: on file

ADDRESS: 4657 DISCOVERY PT

CITY: DISCOVERY BAY STATE: CA ZIP: 94505

CONSIDER:

1. TAKE YOUR \$50 BILLION & CREATE WATER STORAGE/WATER CREATION RATHER THAN DISRUPTIVE TUNNELS. WHY NO REAL CONSIDERATION IN YOUR PLAN?

BAY DELTA CONSERVATION PLAN/CALIFORNIA WATER FIX
PARTIALLY RECIRCULATED DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/
SUPPLEMENTAL DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

COMMENT CARD

THE PUBLIC REVIEW AND COMMENT PERIOD IS JULY 10, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 30, 2015.

PLEASE PRINT

NAME: SCOTT D. JETT DATE: 7/28/15
ORGANIZATION: PUBLIC E-MAIL: sdjett@msn.com
ADDRESS: 4921 DAWSON DR.
CITY: OAKLEY STATE: CA ZIP: 94561

WELL THE BDCP IS IN NO WAY A DELTA CONSERVATION PLAN. IT IS A DESTRUCTION PLAN.

I DON'T UNDERSTAND HOW ANYONE COULD THINK THAT PUMPING MORE FRESH WATER AWAY FROM THE DELTA, WOULD OR COULD CONSERVE OR IMPROVE THE DELTA'S ECOSYSTEM. ANYONE THAT BELIEVES THAT TO BE TRUE, IS TOO STUPID TO ARGUE WITH.

THIS PLAN IS JUST A REVISION OF JERRY BROWN'S PERIPHERAL CANAL PLAN THAT WAS SOUNDLY DEFEATED BY THE VOTERS DURING HIS LAST TERM AS GOVERNOR. THE ONLY DIFFERENCE IS THE EXPONENTIAL COST INCREASE, AND THE FINANCIERS SUCH AS THE METROPOLITAN WATER DISTRICT, KERN WATER DISTRICT AND THE WESTLANDS WATER DISTRICT, ALONG WITH MR STEWART RESNICK. ALL OF WHOM HAVE NO QUALMS ABOUT DESTROYING THE DELTA AS LONG AS THEY GET IT'S WATER.

REMEMBER THE OWENS VALLEY? THAT IS WHAT THIS PLAN WILL DO TO OUR PREVIOUS DELTA. I'VE LIVED HERE FOR FIFTY YEARS AND HAVE SEEN THE IMPACT OF OUR WATER GOING SOUTH!

BAY DELTA CONSERVATION PLAN/CALIFORNIA WATER FIX
PARTIALLY RECIRCULATED DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/
SUPPLEMENTAL DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

COMMENT CARD

THE PUBLIC REVIEW AND COMMENT PERIOD IS JULY 10, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 30, 2015.

PLEASE PRINT

NAME: FRANCES BURKE DATE: JULY 28, 2015
ORGANIZATION: Retired Vololo farmer E-MAIL: frances_burke@yahoo.com
ADDRESS: PO Box 72955
CITY: Davis STATE: Ca ZIP: 95617

Much of the farm Land in the Lower San Joaquin Valley is of low quality and some is Selenium ladened why is this poor quality farm land being given water that is presently being used for fisheries farming and to prevent saltwater intrusion? is there more water going to be produced by this project? if not why will lose water?

what
Presently is there more water allocated from the California water projects than exists?

How can this be explained

BAY DELTA CONSERVATION PLAN/CALIFORNIA WATER FIX
PARTIALLY RECIRCULATED DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/
SUPPLEMENTAL DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

COMMENT CARD

THE PUBLIC REVIEW AND COMMENT PERIOD IS JULY 10, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 30, 2015.

PLEASE PRINT

NAME: Alice Hendrix DATE: 7/28/15

ORGANIZATION: _____ E-MAIL: jimkeeprotting@gmail.com

ADDRESS: P.O. Box 142

CITY: Orangevale STATE: CA ZIP: 95662

No one has successfully convinced me that preventing water from flowing through the Delta will save it. Any excess water not needed to preserve a working Delta & its wildlife has to be taken AFTER it has gone through the Delta. Use state of the art fish screens before using fish kills today as an excuse for the tunnels.

You cannot preserve the Delta by taking a decade & a half to trash it digging giant holes into & under it. You know, the cost will triple. The likelihood is that there won't be extra water to move to the South by the time its completed, anyway. If the politically more powerful So. CA ends up getting to take the water then just build an above ground pipe to move it - do not dig up the Delta!

BAY DELTA CONSERVATION PLAN/CALIFORNIA WATER FIX
PARTIALLY RECIRCULATED DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/
SUPPLEMENTAL DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

COMMENT CARD

THE PUBLIC REVIEW AND COMMENT PERIOD IS JULY 10, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 30, 2015.

PLEASE PRINT

NAME: Tara West DATE: July 28, 2015
ORGANIZATION: _____ E-MAIL: taraquest@gmail.com
ADDRESS: 4551 Eiffel Dr
CITY: Stockton STATE: CA ZIP: 95206

First of all, this project is so expensive to go through. Who is going to pay it? and who is going to benefit it from? I don't want our scarce water to be used to irrigate desert lands to produce almonds and pistachios. We don't need almonds to live but water. EIR is not user friendly at all. I have been trying to read for 3 weeks, but I guess it was prepared not for public, but for some kind of rare computers. It's 44,000 pages in total and you want us to read it in 3 months as well as to write comments in the same period.

I am very concerned about methyl mercury. We all know the health impacts of this toxic chemical, yet there is no mitigation and environmental measure to avoid and decrease the amount in the Delta. Environmental committee is not sufficient to decrease the amount of methyl mercury. We are faced with serious health impacts. Public health is more important than pistachios!

BAY DELTA CONSERVATION PLAN/CALIFORNIA WATER FIX
PARTIALLY RECIRCULATED DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/
SUPPLEMENTAL DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

COMMENT CARD

THE PUBLIC REVIEW AND COMMENT PERIOD IS JULY 10, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 30, 2015.

PLEASE PRINT

NAME: Kate Baker DATE: 7/28/15

ORGANIZATION: _____ E-MAIL: _____

ADDRESS: _____

CITY: _____ STATE: _____ ZIP: _____

The DWR and joint agencies hosted an ~~workshop~~ open house on the BDCP in Sacramento on July 28. I have two questions:

Why were Department of Homeland Security officers present at this event?

When will the DWR and US Bureau of Reclamation management be at an event where they can ~~receive public input~~ the public can provide comment?

BAY DELTA CONSERVATION PLAN/CALIFORNIA WATER FIX
PARTIALLY RECIRCULATED DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/
SUPPLEMENTAL DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

COMMENT CARD

THE PUBLIC REVIEW AND COMMENT PERIOD IS JULY 10, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 30, 2015.

PLEASE PRINT

NAME: Chris Lewis DATE: 7/28/15

ORGANIZATION: _____ E-MAIL: CNPSCCHRIS@gmail.com

ADDRESS: 4900 Kenneth Ave

CITY: Carmichael STATE: CA ZIP: 95608

- 1) I want a forum to hear all of the thoughts people have about this FIX.
- 2) fix the levees; fix the pump; do not break ground on ~~an~~ this expensive, unnecessary project.
- 3) I'm ashamed to have voted for Jerry Brown.
- 4) The \$\$\$\$ being spent on this project should be used to give homeowners information on how to conserve water. There should be rebate programs for everything! Lawns should be discouraged.

BAY DELTA CONSERVATION PLAN/CALIFORNIA WATER FIX
PARTIALLY RECIRCULATED DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/
SUPPLEMENTAL DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

COMMENT CARD

THE PUBLIC REVIEW AND COMMENT PERIOD IS JULY 10, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 30, 2015.

PLEASE PRINT

NAME: Pat Cole DATE: 7/28/15

ORGANIZATION: _____ E-MAIL: _____

ADDRESS: 2596 Roslyn Wy

CITY: Sacramento STATE: CA ZIP: 95821

① This entire RDEIR/SDEIS does not address economics. I am concerned that water users (residential/commercial/agricultural) will not be able to bear the increased costs ~~costs~~ of \$10 billion without regulatory assurance for water deliveries ~~at~~ according to Dr. Jeff Michael of the University of the Pacific.

② ~~Example~~ Chapter 11 and the mitigation measures for fish and aquatic species for all alternatives listed in the RDEIR/SDEIS do not appear to lower "take" or "kill" numbers for endangered fish. Please explain/clarify. This is like closing the barn door after the horse got out. ^{According to under potential impact NAA-NAA-48 facts of water operations on spawning and egg incubation habitat for covered species} ESHS states there is NO feasible mitigation to address "this impact on chinook salmon".

BAY DELTA CONSERVATION PLAN/CALIFORNIA WATER FIX
PARTIALLY RECIRCULATED DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/
SUPPLEMENTAL DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

COMMENT CARD

THE PUBLIC REVIEW AND COMMENT PERIOD IS JULY 10, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 30, 2015.

PLEASE PRINT

NAME: Pat Cole DATE: 7-28-15

ORGANIZATION: _____ E-MAIL: patmcole@aol.com

ADDRESS: 2596 Roslyn Cley

CITY: Sacramento STATE: CA ZIP: 95821

① Will the state conduct a full cost-benefit analysis of the project that includes the value of freshwater to the San Francisco Bay-Delta estuary?

In the context of water quality, Chapter 5 "Water Quality of the Delta EIR/EIS has updated per July 2015 revision, is unclear as to the value of freshwater to the San Francisco Bay-Delta estuary environment.

② How much water is available for export through the tunnels in a drought after prior water rights and public trust needs are met? And if there isn't any, how often will the tunnels be dry?

It is not clear from the Revised Sec 4 of the PD/R/SD/EIS documents how much water will be available for export during a drought after prior water rights and public trust needs are met. If there isn't any water, how often will the tunnels be dry. Drought is not temporary, it is cyclical and worsened by climate change.

BAY DELTA CONSERVATION PLAN/CALIFORNIA WATER FIX
PARTIALLY RECIRCULATED DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/
SUPPLEMENTAL DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

COMMENT CARD

THE PUBLIC REVIEW AND COMMENT PERIOD IS JULY 10, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 30, 2015.

PLEASE PRINT

NAME: Pat Cole DATE: 7/28/15

ORGANIZATION: _____ E-MAIL: _____

ADDRESS: 2596 Roslyn Wy

CITY: Sacramento STATE: CA ZIP: 95821

Pg. 45-48 - Potential Impact:

AQUA-NAA4: Effects of water operations on spawning and egg incubation ~~to~~ habitat for covered fish species:

Comment: This is unacceptable. You state there is no mitigation to address the impact of water operations on spawning and egg incubation habitat for covered species. Please explain how this is environmentally sound.

BAY DELTA CONSERVATION PLAN/CALIFORNIA WATER FIX
PARTIALLY RECIRCULATED DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/
SUPPLEMENTAL DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

COMMENT CARD

THE PUBLIC REVIEW AND COMMENT PERIOD IS JULY 10, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 30, 2015.

PLEASE PRINT

NAME: Pat Cole DATE: 7/28/15

ORGANIZATION: _____ E-MAIL: _____

ADDRESS: 2596 Roslyn Way

CITY: Sacramento STATE: CA ZIP: 95821

① ^{and e.s. 3.5}
^{Revised Proj descr}
It is not clear from ^{and enhanced level of detail} 2.4 how the new and improved revisions will reduce reliance on delta imports as mandated by the 2009 Delta Reform Act. Please clarify.

Chapter 11, Chapter 12 + Chapter 15 - Recreation

②
With respect to the current "water fix" document, please show me where I can trust that water quality standards and species protections during drought will be operated ^{equivalent to} better than the current watering and meshing of delta water standards for user and species protection.

BAY DELTA CONSERVATION PLAN/CALIFORNIA WATER FIX
PARTIALLY RECIRCULATED DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/
SUPPLEMENTAL DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

COMMENT CARD

THE PUBLIC REVIEW AND COMMENT PERIOD IS JULY 10, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 30, 2015.

PLEASE PRINT

NAME: MICHAEL SEAMAN DATE: 7-28-2015
ORGANIZATION: _____ E-MAIL: michaeljseaman@gmail.com
ADDRESS: 2837 Merrywood Dr
CITY: Arden Arcade STATE: CA ZIP: 95825

It is not clear from ES.1.2.2.1 "Project Objectives" and the additional project objectives of Section 1.1.4.1 of the RDEIS/SDEIS, i.e. a Delta tunnels-only project and less than 2000 acres of mitigation habitat, that the proposed project will comply with the 2009 Delta Reform Act's "co-equal goals" of water supply reliability and ecosystem restoration while protecting the Delta as a place. Please clarify

BAY DELTA CONSERVATION PLAN/CALIFORNIA WATER FIX
PARTIALLY RECIRCULATED DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/
SUPPLEMENTAL DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

COMMENT CARD

THE PUBLIC REVIEW AND COMMENT PERIOD IS JULY 10, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 30, 2015.

PLEASE PRINT

NAME: MICHAEL SEAMAN DATE: 7-28-2015
ORGANIZATION: _____ E-MAIL: michael.seaman@gmail.com
ADDRESS: 2837 Merrywood Dr
CITY: Arden Arcade STATE: CA ZIP: 95825

Why is there no discussion of the alternative of retirement of agricultural land in the southern San Joaquin Valley? The tunnels will deliver water to those unsustainable lands with the short-term effect of enriching a few producers of agricultural products for export but with the long-term cumulative effect of soil toxicity that will render those lands non productive for agriculture and likely hazardous to wildlife.

BAY DELTA CONSERVATION PLAN/CALIFORNIA WATER FIX
PARTIALLY RECIRCULATED DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/
SUPPLEMENTAL DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

COMMENT CARD

THE PUBLIC REVIEW AND COMMENT PERIOD IS JULY 10, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 30, 2015.

PLEASE PRINT

NAME: MICHAEL SEAMAN DATE: 7-28-2015
ORGANIZATION: E-MAIL: michaeljseaman@gmail.com
ADDRESS: 2837 Merrimood Dr
CITY: Arden Arcade STATE: CA ZIP: 95825

It is not clear from the project description and the discussion of water supply impacts of the RDEIR/SDEIS alternatives where the project will derive water to put into the tunnels, what the impacts will be to the source area, what the economic and environmental impacts will be in those regions and how long the source water supply will be sustainable. Please clarify.

BAY DELTA CONSERVATION PLAN/CALIFORNIA WATER FIX
PARTIALLY RECIRCULATED DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/
SUPPLEMENTAL DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

COMMENT CARD

THE PUBLIC REVIEW AND COMMENT PERIOD IS JULY 10, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 30, 2015.

PLEASE PRINT

NAME: Silvia Cantu DATE: 7-28-15

ORGANIZATION: _____ E-MAIL: _____

ADDRESS: _____

CITY: _____ STATE: CA ZIP: 95240

STOP THE TUNNELS!

SAVE THE DELTA!!

STOP THE
TUNNELS!!!

BAY DELTA CONSERVATION PLAN/CALIFORNIA WATER FIX
PARTIALLY RECIRCULATED DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/
SUPPLEMENTAL DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

COMMENT CARD

THE PUBLIC REVIEW AND COMMENT PERIOD IS JULY 10, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 30, 2015.

PLEASE PRINT

NAME: Robert Coplin DATE: 7-28-2015

ORGANIZATION: Ecol E-MAIL: R-COPLIN@aol.com

ADDRESS: 1725 K Street #203

CITY: Sacramento STATE: CA ZIP: 95811

I think the tunnels should not be built because the money would be better used fixing our levees that need to be fixed

The best way to not need the tunnels is by water conservation in dry time especially in drought years like this year.

BAY DELTA CONSERVATION PLAN/CALIFORNIA WATER FIX
PARTIALLY RECIRCULATED DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/
SUPPLEMENTAL DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

COMMENT CARD

THE PUBLIC REVIEW AND COMMENT PERIOD IS JULY 10, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 30, 2015.

PLEASE PRINT

NAME: Blair Anderson DATE: 7-28-2015

ORGANIZATION: Citizen E-MAIL: banders357@gmail.com

ADDRESS: 6415 Landis Ave

CITY: CARMICHAEL STATE: CA ZIP: 95608

I am very concerned about the control / diversion of water through this very fragile ecco system. ~~The~~ The investment in greater storage is ~~a~~ of a greater need in my opinion. In wet years we move water through the system, with no increase in up stream storage. In dry years water is released based on storm patterns and predictions that don't happen.

Also the impacts from construction and loss of farm land is another concern tunneling, depositing the spoils, for a project of this size, There ~~are~~ will be negative impact to the environment.

Cost is the third issue. This is a water grab by the south state. The north state has suffered in drought. ~~and do~~ and water for farming in the south is mainly what this project is about. Why not increase dams in the south

BAY DELTA CONSERVATION PLAN/CALIFORNIA WATER FIX
 PARTIALLY RECIRCULATED DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/
 SUPPLEMENTAL DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

COMMENT CARD

THE PUBLIC REVIEW AND COMMENT PERIOD IS JULY 10, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 30, 2015.

PLEASE PRINT

NAME: Don Coelho DATE: 7/28/15
 ORGANIZATION: Cat Sportsman E-MAIL: doncoelho@gmail.com
 ADDRESS: 1211 W. Walnut St,
 CITY: Stockton STATE: Ca ZIP: 95203

It is vital that twin tunnels not be built that would prevent that fresh water from flowing through the Delta. There is already increased over pumping taking place that has a negative impact on the ecosystem. I am 67 years old and fished + hunted all my life and I have seen the decline in salmon, striped bass, and waterfowl due to brackish water replacing fresh water. The Delta is a "nursery" and microorganisms and some fish (Delta smelt) cannot tolerate increased salinity. Deep into a drought it would be crazy to go forward with this plan. ~~It kills the bottom of the Delta~~ this project will only benefit a handful of wealthy corporations (Paramount Farms) who only want more water to grow more almonds in Southern California desert areas to make more profit exporting almonds to China. Politics and Corporate \$\$\$ cannot be trusted to limit water taken. This is already proven with the increased pumping already taking place in the Delta sending water south. NO TO TTY!

water kills the bottom of the Delta.

BAY DELTA CONSERVATION PLAN/CALIFORNIA WATER FIX
PARTIALLY RECIRCULATED DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/
SUPPLEMENTAL DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

COMMENT CARD

THE PUBLIC REVIEW AND COMMENT PERIOD IS JULY 10, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 30, 2015.

PLEASE PRINT

NAME: Nancy Schrott DATE: 7/29/15

ORGANIZATION: Mweon.org E-MAIL: nschrott@gmail.com

ADDRESS: PO Box 325

CITY: Dixon STATE: CA ZIP: 95620

If this is all about the plan that drains the Delta, there seems to be a huge group of living things missing from the presentations - the animals! Is there no concern for the animals? Do you really believe the animals will not be negatively impacted?

BAY DELTA CONSERVATION PLAN/CALIFORNIA WATER FIX
PARTIALLY RECIRCULATED DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/
SUPPLEMENTAL DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

COMMENT CARD

THE PUBLIC REVIEW AND COMMENT PERIOD IS JULY 10, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 30, 2015.

PLEASE PRINT

NAME: Mardanne Tate DATE: 7/28/2015
ORGANIZATION: Home owner/voter E-MAIL: mtate810@gmail.com
ADDRESS: 14949 Tyler Street Walnut Grove Ca
CITY: also 810 Autumn Lane Antioch Ca STATE: Ca ZIP: 94509

As a home owner and voter in the area directly effected by the tunnels I want to record that I am opposed to the plan "California Water Fix. The permanent and devastating effects of the tunnels will destroy our Delta Estuary.

The habitat and ecosystems will change forever the wild life that live here. The water flow south west of the tunnels will dry up my hometown of Antioch and Walnut Grove. Our river will be transformed from a GRAND FRESH WATER DELTA TO A SALTY SHALLOW BAY.

This "Water Fix" is wrong it is the single most devastating ecological disaster in the history of California.

BAY DELTA CONSERVATION PLAN/CALIFORNIA WATER FIX
PARTIALLY RECIRCULATED DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/
SUPPLEMENTAL DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

COMMENT CARD

THE PUBLIC REVIEW AND COMMENT PERIOD IS JULY 10, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 30, 2015.

PLEASE PRINT

NAME:  Jane Williamson DATE: 7/28/15

ORGANIZATION: - E-MAIL: jane.williamsonhere@gmail.com

ADDRESS: _____

CITY: _____ STATE: CA ZIP: 95811

How will the BDCP affect the production of rice, fruits of each kind, sunflower seed, and other major delta crops, and the revenues which farmers get from producing them? In the cost-benefit analysis, what value is placed on each of the fish and wildlife species ~~involved~~ affected? How will these impacts be distributed geographically?

Given that the state has produced tens of thousands of pages of analysis, I anticipate that the state will be able to provide the public with answers to these questions.

I oppose construction of the tunnels or analogous projects, under any name.

BAY DELTA CONSERVATION PLAN/CALIFORNIA WATER FIX
PARTIALLY RECIRCULATED DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/
SUPPLEMENTAL DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

COMMENT CARD

THE PUBLIC REVIEW AND COMMENT PERIOD IS JULY 10, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 30, 2015.

PLEASE PRINT

NAME: RALPH PATTON DATE: 7/28/2015

ORGANIZATION: ~~NONE~~ SELF E-MAIL: _____

ADDRESS: 1138 ELMWOOD

CITY: STOCKTON STATE: CA ZIP: 95207

TOTALLY MISGUIDED, MISUSE OF POLITICAL
POWER. THIS ISSUE NEEDS TO BE
PRESENTED TO VOTERS FOR THEIR APPROVAL
NOT SHAVED DOWN OUR THROATS. I FEEL
YOU ARE GOING TO RUIN THE DELTA.

BAY DELTA CONSERVATION PLAN/CALIFORNIA WATER FIX
PARTIALLY RECIRCULATED DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/
SUPPLEMENTAL DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

COMMENT CARD

THE PUBLIC REVIEW AND COMMENT PERIOD IS JULY 10, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 30, 2015.

PLEASE PRINT

NAME: JANIS SHEEN DATE :

ORGANIZATION: E-MAIL:

ADDRESS: 10066 RIVER OAKS DRIVE

CITY: STOCKTON STATE: CA ZIP: 95209

THE TUNNELS WILL RUIN THE
DELTA - THIS IS A POWER
AND LEGACY MOVE FOR JERRY
BROWN. IF HE WAS SO WORRIED
ABOUT OUR ENVIRONMENT HE WOULD
STOP THIS PROJECT.

TIRED OF GOVERNMENT PUTTING
CITIZENS IN DEBT

BAY DELTA CONSERVATION PLAN/CALIFORNIA WATER FIX
PARTIALLY RECIRCULATED DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/
SUPPLEMENTAL DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

COMMENT CARD

THE PUBLIC REVIEW AND COMMENT PERIOD IS JULY 10, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 30, 2015.

PLEASE PRINT

NAME: Roxanne deKoning DATE: 7/28/15

ORGANIZATION: CITIZEN E-MAIL:

ADDRESS: 1510 Maryland Ave

CITY: West Sacramento STATE: CA ZIP: 95691

1. The ecosystem is a totally integrated entity. Damage to one part ripples out to all.
2. There is an implicit disconnect between theory and execution. The path to completion is an obdurate course of greed, incompetence, poor oversight, shoddy workmanship, bad materials and much more. One only has to look at the Bay Bridge for a recent example.
3. Mr. Brown should stop taking on the cloak of a pope he clearly does not follow. He serves corporate interest over environmental reality and is a prime hypocrite.
4. Moving water around serves no long term solution.

BAY DELTA CONSERVATION PLAN/CALIFORNIA WATER FIX
PARTIALLY RECIRCULATED DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/
SUPPLEMENTAL DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

COMMENT CARD

THE PUBLIC REVIEW AND COMMENT PERIOD IS JULY 10, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 30, 2015.

PLEASE PRINT

NAME: Karen Cunningham DATE: 7/28/15
ORGANIZATION: E-MAIL:
ADDRESS: PO Box 513
CITY: Clayton STATE: CA ZIP: 94517

California water fix will not create any more water. Taking more fresh water from the estuary will result in ecological disaster. Many other alternatives have been brought forward at a fraction of the cost. These alternatives allow the water to flow naturally through the Delta, where it then can be piped to share with Central & So Cal, creating a win-win for all. Why are these not even being considered

BAY DELTA CONSERVATION PLAN/CALIFORNIA WATER FIX
PARTIALLY RECIRCULATED DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/
SUPPLEMENTAL DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

COMMENT CARD

THE PUBLIC REVIEW AND COMMENT PERIOD IS JULY 10, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 30, 2015.

PLEASE PRINT

NAME: Daniel Witte DATE: 7/28/2015

ORGANIZATION: E-MAIL: boatboy@pacbell.net

ADDRESS:

CITY: Castro Valley STATE: CA ZIP: 94552

The tunnels as I understand, will take 14 years to build which will not help in our current drought. By building the tunnels, we are going to ruin a lot of recreation such as boating, fishing and water skiing. More importantly, building the tunnels will ruin the salmon fishing industry completely. By destroying the salmon population, we are taking away the jobs of commercial fishermen & fish markets. If the tunnels are built, the salt water intrusion will prevent the farmers in the delta from farming & they cannot water their crops with salt water. The delta is one of the most popular boating places in California. I have been around the delta since childhood and would really hate to see it ruined by the almond farmers who want water. Plus, the salmon industry has been around much longer than the almond industry. The only means of producing more fresh water is desalination. Simply building tunnels & moving water around does not produce more water in a drought.

BAY DELTA CONSERVATION PLAN/CALIFORNIA WATER FIX
PARTIALLY RECIRCULATED DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/
SUPPLEMENTAL DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

COMMENT CARD

THE PUBLIC REVIEW AND COMMENT PERIOD IS JULY 10, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 30, 2015.

PLEASE PRINT

NAME: Tom Schwertschaf DATE: 7-28-2015
ORGANIZATION: Sierra Club E-MAIL: tschwertschaf@yahoo.com
ADDRESS: Water Committee
2530 San Pablo
CITY: Berkeley STATE: CA ZIP: _____

My name is Tom Schwertschaf
I have had past certifications from
the State of California as a Registered
Environmental Health Specialist and
a Water Treatment Operator Grade 3.
I have also been certified by the
American Water Works Association
as a Water Quality Analyst Grade 3
My educational background is in Aquatic Chemistry
and Biology
I am very concerned
that the State is ignoring input from
qualified scientists and other agencies
The EPA blasted the project and good
papers have been prepared by the Environmental
Water Caucus and the California Sport
Fishing Alliance. These detailed reports
challenge the tunnels project at every turn
As it said in one report there has never
been any freshwater-saltwater estuary
that has been proven ~~was~~ to be restored
by removing more freshwater. I feel there is
a real lack of integrity by State Employees in
supporting the project. No honest scientist would support

BAY DELTA CONSERVATION PLAN/CALIFORNIA WATER FIX
PARTIALLY RECIRCULATED DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/
SUPPLEMENTAL DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

COMMENT CARD

THE PUBLIC REVIEW AND COMMENT PERIOD IS JULY 10, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 30, 2015.

PLEASE PRINT

NAME: SUSAN CASTON DATE: 7/28/2015
ORGANIZATION: _____ E-MAIL: PUDKINAPOLLO@GMAIL.COM
ADDRESS: 845 14TH ST.
CITY: SAN FRANCISCO STATE: CA ZIP: 94114.

I AM 60 YEARS OLD, A SAN FRANCISCO RESIDENT, CPA-TAX, PROPERTY OWNER, TAXPAYER, DELTA RECREATIONAL USER.

1. BIG AGRICULTURE IS SUCKING US DRY. WE DON'T NEED MORE FUCKING ALMONDS & PISTACHOS. GIVE THEM A TAX CREDIT FOR THEIR LOSSES & TAKE THE TREES DOWN!
2. ALL THE MONEY (INCLUDING OVERCOSTS) GOING TO BUILD THE TUNNELS SHOULD BE USED TO BUILD ~~DESALINATION~~ DESALINATION PLANTS. GIVEN CLIMATE CHANGE, THE OCEAN WILL BE THE BEST & MOST PLENTIFUL SOURCE OF H₂O.
3. THE BUSINESSES, FARMS & TOURIST INDUSTRIES WILL SUFFER IF THE TUNNELS ARE BUILT - RESULTING IN LESS TAX REVENUES, MORE FAMILIES STRUGGLING, MORE STRESS ON PUBLIC RESOURCES (FOOD BANKS, HOMELESS ETC)
4. PROPERTY VALUES WILL DECLINE! I AM READY TO PURCHASE A HOUSE ON THE DELTA BUT I AM AFRAID THAT THE VALUE OF THE HOME WILL PLUMMET IF THE DELTA IS DESTROYED. - IMPEDING CURRENT BUSINESS

BAY DELTA CONSERVATION PLAN/CALIFORNIA WATER FIX
PARTIALLY RECIRCULATED DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/
SUPPLEMENTAL DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

COMMENT CARD

THE PUBLIC REVIEW AND COMMENT PERIOD IS JULY 10, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 30, 2015.

PLEASE PRINT

NAME: Jim Blickenstaff DATE: 7/28/15
ORGANIZATION: Mt. Diablo Sierra Club E-MAIL: jpblick@comcast.net
ADDRESS: 2410 Talarum Dr.
CITY: San Ramon, STATE: CA ZIP: 94583

30 years ago the peripheral channels were a bad idea for Northern California - rejected in a referendum vote by 90% of voters from Northern California.

It's even a worse idea now! 2, 40' diameter tunnels to, again, take huge quantities of Delta water south would be even more disastrous today.

The severe drought has demonstrated just how much worse the consequences for the Bay/Delta would be. There is NO "surplus" water; There is NO "extra" water. There is only remaining barely the minimum fresh water flows to prevent the Delta from a complete collapse into an eventual brackish/dead "salty sea" backwash.

Huge fears to remove even more water from a desimated eco-system - while purporting to "restore" and "save" the eco-system is an offensive P.R. Spin - Nothing More.

A lie was given to the "co-equal"
(over)

BAY DELTA CONSERVATION PLAN / CALIFORNIA WATER FIX

BAY DELTA CONSERVATION PLAN/CALIFORNIA WATER FIX
PARTIALLY RECIRCULATED DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/
SUPPLEMENTAL DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

RECIRC187

COMMENT CARD - Continuation

THE PUBLIC REVIEW AND COMMENT PERIOD IS JULY 10, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 30, 2015.

PLEASE PRINT

NAME: Jim Blicherstaff DATE: 7/28/15

ORGANIZATION: Mt. Diablo Sierra Club

ADDRESS: _____

CITY: _____ STATE: _____ ZIP: _____

goals of preserving the Delta while taking even more water from it; by the recent admission by the Brown Administration that restored wetlands would be reduced by 70,000 acres! So much for "Co-Equal."

Further verification of this was the admission in 2013 by Natural Resources Deputy Director Jerry Meyer; that the Delta "cannot be save." It's hard for even Brown Administration Offices to hide from the truth. He went on to say the B.D.C. Plan is not about saving the Delta. An untimely admission, that state officials would not want to admit until AFTER the tunnels are constructed.

"Solving" Southern California's water needs by taking more and more of a limited Northern resource is an out of date, no longer viable answer. It's time to let go of the tired and failing

BAY DELTA CONSERVATION PLAN / CALIFORNIA WATER FIX

BAY DELTA CONSERVATION PLAN/CALIFORNIA WATER FIX
PARTIALLY RECIRCULATED DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/
SUPPLEMENTAL DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

RECIRC187

COMMENT CARD - Continuation

THE PUBLIC REVIEW AND COMMENT PERIOD IS JULY 10, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 30, 2015.

PLEASE PRINT

NAME: Jim Blickenstaff DATE: 7/28/15

ORGANIZATION: San Pablo Sierra Club

ADDRESS: _____

CITY: _____ STATE: _____ ZIP: _____

'solution' of the past.

The State will be faced with the same water demands issues 20 years from ~~now~~ now, it faces today. After this short term fix runs its course, and agribusiness, the M.W.D., developers and land speculators, again demand even more of a finite resource. Except then we will have a dead 'gotten sea' where the Delta use to be.

South Dakota would never try to take the vital water resources of North Dakota. North Dakota's rights would have to be acknowledged and respected. Not so for California - where powerful Southern special interests feel entitled to raid the North for water - with little if any consequence. Be careful! 'what you ask for' - before we have to learn the lesson of N. & S. Dakota? Water "transfer" is just another term for Water Grab.

(Page 3)

BAY DELTA CONSERVATION PLAN/CALIFORNIA WATER FIX
PARTIALLY RECIRCULATED DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/
SUPPLEMENTAL DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

COMMENT CARD

THE PUBLIC REVIEW AND COMMENT PERIOD IS JULY 10, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 30, 2015.

PLEASE PRINT

NAME: PATRICIA ATKINS DATE: 7/28/15
ORGANIZATION: E-MAIL: VICTORIANLIDY@GMAIL.COM
ADDRESS: 2325 Taylor Rd.
CITY: Bethel Is, CA STATE: CA ZIP: 94511

PLEASE

We must protect our water systems including our CA Central Valley Aquifer System as well as the Bay Delta Region.

2 Tunnels from our Delta System will only lead to a permanent DROUGHT & water changes with our water ways & CAUSE UNFORSEEABLE DAMAGE. It will jeopardize our fish and water fowl & our Beautiful Delta Region & OUR ABILITY TO PROVIDE FOR CALIF. FARMS WHICH ~~FEED~~ PROVIDE FOOD FOR MUCH OF THE WORLD!!!

NO TUNNELS!!!

Quit trying to MISTEAD CA'S
IT'S ~~NO~~ NO FIX

BAY DELTA CONSERVATION PLAN/CALIFORNIA WATER FIX
PARTIALLY RECIRCULATED DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/
SUPPLEMENTAL DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

COMMENT CARD

THE PUBLIC REVIEW AND COMMENT PERIOD IS JULY 10, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 30, 2015.

PLEASE PRINT

NAME: TERRY SILVA DATE: 7/28/2015

ORGANIZATION: SAVE THE DELTA E-MAIL:


ADDRESS: 2236 COVE CT.

CITY: DISCOVERY BAY STATE: CA. ZIP: 94505

THESE TUNNELS NEED TO BE STOPPED, THE PLAN IS VERY FLAWED. THE COST IS OUT OF CONTROL AND WILL MOST LIKELY HAVE HUGE \$\$\$ OVERUNS.

GOV BROWN IS TOTALLY WRONG, HE IS DOING THIS AS A LEGACY TO HIS FATHER AND HIMSELF. I USED TO ADMIRE BROWN FOR HIS HARD WORK BUT HE HAS LOST IT.

STOP THE TUNNELS NOW! WE CANNOT AFFORD THIS IDIOTIC PLAN



BAY DELTA CONSERVATION PLAN/CALIFORNIA WATER FIX
PARTIALLY RECIRCULATED DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/
SUPPLEMENTAL DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

COMMENT CARD

THE PUBLIC REVIEW AND COMMENT PERIOD IS JULY 10, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 30, 2015.

PLEASE PRINT

NAME: Katherine Jones DATE: 7/28/2015
ORGANIZATION: ~~0~~ E-MAIL: Katjones@gmail.com
ADDRESS: 2856 Taylor Kel B
CITY: Bethel Island STATE: CA ZIP: 94511

Given what we know about groundwater in CA and all over the world from the pictures from the ISS, why are we not building Desalination plants?

With so much salt water on this planet why would we risk our Delta and fresh water habitats any more than we already have?

Even with the regulations and restrictions I worry that at any time they could change them because of "Emergency measures"

lets spend our money in a smart way for our future water needs

BAY DELTA CONSERVATION PLAN/CALIFORNIA WATER FIX
PARTIALLY RECIRCULATED DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/
SUPPLEMENTAL DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

COMMENT CARD

THE PUBLIC REVIEW AND COMMENT PERIOD IS JULY 10, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 30, 2015.

PLEASE PRINT

NAME: Margaret E. Spatz DATE: July 28, 2015
ORGANIZATION: N/A E-MAIL: Peggy.Spatz@gmail.com
ADDRESS: 5221 Elbert Way
CITY: Sacramento STATE: CA ZIP: 95842

The Environmental Impact of the proposed tunnels will ruin the Delta for ever by bringing salt water up the system. The salt has already intruded on the Delta by the Tracy Aqueduct - government studies prove it.

The proposed tunnels are a ridiculous waste of money to ruin the environment. Use more De Salination plants on the coast rather than killing the environment. Will the people ever have a chance to speak?

~~(Big Agri business)~~

Big agri-business can give you money to trample the will of the people

BAY DELTA CONSERVATION PLAN/CALIFORNIA WATER FIX
PARTIALLY RECIRCULATED DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/
SUPPLEMENTAL DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

COMMENT CARD

THE PUBLIC REVIEW AND COMMENT PERIOD IS JULY 10, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 30, 2015.

PLEASE PRINT

NAME: George Spatz DATE: 7/28/15
ORGANIZATION: Citizen Against Tunnels E-MAIL: CUATSE@GMAIL.COM
ADDRESS: 5221 ELBERT WAY
CITY: Sacramento STATE: CA ZIP: 95842

This project will destroy the Delta. it will turn it into a Salt Bog just like Mono lake and the Owens valley.

The only reason Westlands and LA Dept of water wants this is to improve the water quality they are presently getting. They have caused this problem themselves because they have been overpumping the Delta since the Calif. Aqueduct was built. The natural tidal flows in the Delta have been reversed for years. The original system should have never been built.

This project is too expensive and it will NOT solve SOCAL'S and the Desert Corporate Farmer interests.

There are other ways to increase the water supply for LA and Westlands water. Build Desalination plants instead. Like San Diego County.

BAY DELTA CONSERVATION PLAN/CALIFORNIA WATER FIX
PARTIALLY RECIRCULATED DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/
SUPPLEMENTAL DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

COMMENT CARD

①

THE PUBLIC REVIEW AND COMMENT PERIOD IS JULY 10, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 30, 2015.

PLEASE PRINT

NAME: Barbara Daly DATE: 7-28-15
ORGANIZATION: North Delta CARES E-MAIL: bdalymsn@citlink.net
ADDRESS: P.O. Box 255
CITY: Clarksburg STATE: CA ZIP: 95612

The twin tunnels are Not A good choice.
The California Water Plan/Fix is bad for
Californians.

It's an expensive plan* Without a Vote of
the people! And it doesn't make ANY
more water for anyone!

To work to stop this and change it into a
plan that will work has been a full time
effort for me for the past six years.
This Plan needs to be Stopped Now, and
real alternatives need to be vetted and
seriously studied.

they're out there! Solutions Are out There!

Agriculture uses 80% of the water in
California. Peter Gleick, a professor
at Pacific Institute, did a study on irrigation
in CA about 5 years ago. He concluded and
published that if farmers in CA would use

BAY DELTA CONSERVATION PLAN / CALIFORNIA WATER FIX

BAY DELTA CONSERVATION PLAN/CALIFORNIA WATER FIX
PARTIALLY RECIRCULATED DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/
SUPPLEMENTAL DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

RECIRC193

COMMENT CARD

(2)

THE PUBLIC REVIEW AND COMMENT PERIOD IS JULY 10, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 30, 2015.

PLEASE PRINT

NAME: Barbara Daly DATE: 7-28-15
ORGANIZATION: North Delta CARES E-MAIL: bdalymsn@citlink.net
ADDRESS: P.O. Box 255
CITY: Clarksburg STATE: CA ZIP: 95612

drip irrigation where appropriate on their crops, we
would save enough water to fill Hetch Hetchy Reservoir
16 times every year!

There is a concept of off-shore desalinization using
wave action that has great potential for New Water.

Air to water generators are being manufactured
as we speak and could be made on a large scale
and ^{made} affordable. Every home could have their own
potable drinking water made from the air.

New storage?! Storage needs to be South
of the Delta. RESTORE Tulare LAKE Basin
Hydrologic Water Region in California!

There are many such ideas being generated - these are true
avenues for New Water Sources! - A scientist has discovered
a source of primary water deep in the earth. It could be tapped!
These are the answers! Not 2 Tunnels that will devastate
farms in No. Calif. and cause Salmon & Steelhead populations to go
extinct. JERRY BROWN, Stop this Madness and do
the right thing. Make Good choices! No Water Tunnels.

BAY DELTA CONSERVATION PLAN/CALIFORNIA WATER FIX
PARTIALLY RECIRCULATED DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/
SUPPLEMENTAL DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

COMMENT CARD

THE PUBLIC REVIEW AND COMMENT PERIOD IS JULY 10, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 30, 2015.

PLEASE PRINT

NAME: Bruce Smith DATE: 7/28/2015

ORGANIZATION: E-MAIL: BSMITH LG @ Gmail . Com

ADDRESS: 2886 Taylor Rd

CITY: Bethel Island STATE: CA ZIP: 94511

Separating the "Water Fix" from environmental mitigation was a mistake. People are concerned first about water quality and the existing fish, recreation and cultural habitat unique to the Delta. You can't miss who is most aggressively promoting this tunnel plan, big agriculture. Ask local citizens if this is something they want. This is a project that should be voted on, it affects too large a segment of population to be driven by agencies alone.

BAY DELTA CONSERVATION PLAN/CALIFORNIA WATER FIX
PARTIALLY RECIRCULATED DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/
SUPPLEMENTAL DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

COMMENT CARD

THE PUBLIC REVIEW AND COMMENT PERIOD IS JULY 10, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 30, 2015.

PLEASE PRINT

NAME: Daniel Hadsall DATE: 7/28/15
ORGANIZATION: Citizen Concerned E-MAIL: dhadsall@hotmail.com
ADDRESS: 2221 N. San Jose St.
CITY: Stockton STATE: CA ZIP: 95204

The twin tunnels project was originally pitched as a way to help balance the problem of environmental impacts in the Delta with the need for consistent ^{water} supplies. Currently the project, another version of the Peripheral Canal voted down by voters, is long on taking clean Sacramento water and delivering it to ~~populated~~, ^{populated}, managed ~~and so~~ Cal forests. The project also asks the citizens and ecology of the Delta to bear the brunt of the environmental impacts during its construction. Areas of the Delta will have changed topography due to the depositing of tunnel borings in the Delta landscape. Furthermore, it is not clear that the project itself will help with the main problem of increased salinity and its impact on Delta ecosystems, water conservation by farmers, and southern California as well as reinforced levees seems more certain to alleviate the problem of insufficient flow through the Delta.

BAY DELTA CONSERVATION PLAN/CALIFORNIA WATER FIX
PARTIALLY RECIRCULATED DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/
SUPPLEMENTAL DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

COMMENT CARD

THE PUBLIC REVIEW AND COMMENT PERIOD IS JULY 10, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 30, 2015.

PLEASE PRINT

NAME: Janice Anderson DATE: 7.29.15

ORGANIZATION: _____ E-MAIL: Janshouse57@gmail.com

ADDRESS: 6415 LAODIS AVE

CITY: CARMICHAEL STATE: CA ZIP: 95608

I am opposed to the Delta Tunnels. This is a huge impact - 5,000 acres - to the Delta and its inhabitants both human and wild life. Southern California needs to work on conserving water instead of taking Northern Californias. Lets invest \$ in finding more efficient use of water for the farmers.

BAY DELTA CONSERVATION PLAN/CALIFORNIA WATER FIX
PARTIALLY RECIRCULATED DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/
SUPPLEMENTAL DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

COMMENT CARD

THE PUBLIC REVIEW AND COMMENT PERIOD IS JULY 10, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 30, 2015.

PLEASE PRINT

NAME: Beverly Harman DATE: 7/28/15

ORGANIZATION: _____ E-MAIL: _____

ADDRESS: 1108 W. Perkins St.

CITY: Ukiah STATE: CA ZIP: 95482

I am from Mendocino County. I traveled here today to let the people who are proposing the tunnels ^{now} that the California Delta is the heart of our state. The Delta has already taken too many hits. We need to protect it rather than take measures that continue to drain it. Thank you.

BAY DELTA CONSERVATION PLAN/CALIFORNIA WATER FIX
PARTIALLY RECIRCULATED DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/
SUPPLEMENTAL DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

COMMENT CARD

CONTINUED, PART II after Court Reporter

THE PUBLIC REVIEW AND COMMENT PERIOD IS JULY 10, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 30, 2015.

PLEASE PRINT

NAME: JACKLYN (E.L.) SHAW, Grower DATE: 7-28-15
ORGANIZATION: Zingrower, Zfb.org E-MAIL: jllishaw@verizon.net
ADDRESS: 15766 N. De Vries Rd. (private)
CITY: LODI STATE: CALIFORNIA ZIP: 95242

This is continued from other statement - for OTHER REQUESTS.
PUBLIC AND PRIVATE BUSINESS JOBS CAN GROW
BY ^(A) DESALINATION (15 test points) and Sacramento Delta
River ^(B) DREDGING. (for flow and less leakage, not sand bags).
SOIL IS PURIFIES WATER (BY AERATION AND ABSORPTION).
SILT CAN BE REARRANGED (STATED BY LEVEE ENGINEER).
Californians are PRODUCTIVE (NOT BY DESTRUCTIVE
TWIN TUNNELS 40ft wide for 35 miles along Sacramento Delta
that is shortsighted FUND FOR REFORESTATION
(for snowfall against of flooding). SUPPORT RESERVOIRS FOR
AQUIFERS AND GROUND WATER, ON PROPERTY RIGHTS
ALL GROWERS IN CENTRAL VALLEY ARE NOT THE SAME.
USGS maps show North San Joaquin County (~~not~~
Central Valley South of 28 counties) soil: NSJC is Mediterranean
Sub-tropical, while southward is semi-arid. GROWERS
cannot overwater for crops, nor disregard care for fertile soil.
CALIFORNIANS PRODUCTIVELY RESTORE the Sacramento
Delta River counties with various livelihoods: recreational,
historic TOURISM; FISHING and boating; small family
FARMING (value crops, #1 in USA; and other) NOTE:
TIMELY DELTA DREDGING is needed before El Niño,
heavy rains. LEVEE MAINTENANCE IS 80% NON-COMPLIANCE
NORMAL HAS DROUGHT. INVEST IN DESALINATION (UCB, 1970's).

BAY DELTA CONSERVATION PLAN / CALIFORNIA WATER FIX

BAY DELTA CONSERVATION PLAN/CALIFORNIA WATER FIX
PARTIALLY RECIRCULATED DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/
SUPPLEMENTAL DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

RECIRC198

COMMENT CARD CONT. — PART III

THE PUBLIC REVIEW AND COMMENT PERIOD IS JULY 10, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 30, 2015.

PLEASE PRINT

NAME: JACKLYN (E.L.) SHAW DATE: 7-28-
ORGANIZATION: ~~THE~~ ZIN GROWER E-MAIL: jijjshaw@verizon.net
ADDRESS: 15766 N. De Vries Rd (PRIVATE)
CITY: LODI STATE: CA ZIP: 95242

- These are QUESTIONS ON WORDS, listed
for further email comment to BDCP,
Bureau of Reclamation and US Army Corps of Engineers
and elected leaders, US Senate Leader McConnell; speaker
of BUSINESS JOB Development?
② Most fertile soil use?
③ USDA poster on Natural Water Recycle?
④ AQUEDUCTS USE BE LIMITED with Desalination
⑤ BUDGET DEFICIT? (sanctuary state cost, terrorist, etc)
⑥ PUMPING STANDARDS (for state limit not just private)
⑦ 1st Amendment Rights?
⑧ Due Process — with Board Appointments
⑨ Public Service of Water Control — devalues property
⑩ Common Sense Scientific Data?
⑪ SOIL SALINITY? — on most fertile soil in world

Governor Brown's Legacy is salt marsh
or Desalination

BAY DELTA CONSERVATION PLAN/CALIFORNIA WATER FIX
PARTIALLY RECIRCULATED DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/
SUPPLEMENTAL DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

COMMENT CARD

THE PUBLIC REVIEW AND COMMENT PERIOD IS JULY 10, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 30, 2015.

PLEASE PRINT

NAME: Lynn K. Yanko DATE: 7/28/15
 ORGANIZATION: concerned citizen E-MAIL: lyanko@lvsd.net
 ADDRESS: 1618 West Longview Ave. Stockton CA 95207
 CITY: Stockton STATE: CA ZIP: 95207

I am completely against the Twin Tunnel plan. This plan provides NO new water for our state. The salt water intrusion will ruin our economy, agriculture, and our habitat. The twin tunnels are NOT a good fix for California's water dilemma.

Questions: ① Has the state investigated or considered use of grey water reclamation systems as a way to increase efficient use of available water?

② Since scientists predict that we are currently entering into an El Niño weather pattern, has the state considered rain reclamation devices for businesses and homes that could be used throughout CA as a means of mitigating drought conditions for farmers, homeowners, and recreational users?

Please consider implementing these suggestions. Conco the Twin Tunnel Plan

BAY DELTA CONSERVATION PLAN/CALIFORNIA WATER FIX
PARTIALLY RECIRCULATED DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/
SUPPLEMENTAL DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

COMMENT CARD

THE PUBLIC REVIEW AND COMMENT PERIOD IS JULY 10, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 30, 2015.

PLEASE PRINT

NAME: FRANCES BURKE DATE: JULY 28, 2015

ORGANIZATION: YOLO CO. FARMER E-MAIL: frances_burke@yahoo.com

ADDRESS: POB 72955 #

CITY: DAVIS STATE: CA ZIP: 95617

#1 This is my understanding that more water is allocated from California water projects than exist by a factor of 3(?) or more. How will the water fix increase water deliveries to Sutter San Joaquin farmer with out taking it away from Delta farmers (salmon habitat and to prevent saltwater intrusion) And degrading the Delta Environments.?