



**Community Health  
Needs Assessment**

**Children's Hospital of Orange County**  
Orange, CA

---

**December 12, 2019**

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

## I. OVERVIEW

|    |  |    |
|----|--|----|
| A. | Executive Summary                              | 4  |
| B. | Prioritized Health Needs                       | 5  |
| C. | Goals of the Community Health Needs Assessment | 6  |
| D. | About CHOC Children's                          | 6  |
| E. | Mission of CHOC Children's                     | 7  |
| F. | Vision of CHOC Children's                      | 7  |
| G. | Core Values of CHOC Children's                 | 8  |
| H. | Programs & Services                            | 8  |
| I. | Community Benefit Program                      | 11 |

## 2. CHNA METHODOLOGY

|    |   |    |
|----|---|----|
| A. | Definition and Characterization of Primary Service Area | 12 |
| B. | Engagement of Community Stakeholders                    | 13 |
| C. | Identification and Prioritization of Needs/Health Gaps  | 13 |
| D. | Informational Gaps                                      | 13 |

## 3. DEMOGRAPHICS

|    |                   |    |
|----|-------------------|----|
| A. | Population Change | 15 |
| B. | Ethnicity & Race  | 17 |
| C. | Household         | 17 |
| D. | Household Income  | 18 |

## 4. SOCIOECONOMIC FACTORS

|    |                     |    |
|----|---------------------|----|
| A. | Education Levels    | 20 |
| B. | Chronic Absenteeism | 22 |
| C. | English Proficiency | 24 |
| D. | Child Poverty       | 25 |
| E. | Healthcare Access   | 26 |
| F. | Food Insecurity     | 28 |
| G. | Unemployment        | 30 |
| H. | Crime               | 31 |

## 5. HEALTH INDICATORS

|    |                          |    |
|----|--------------------------|----|
| A. | Mortality                | 32 |
| B. | Infant Mortality         | 33 |
| C. | Nativity                 | 34 |
| D. | Morbidity                | 35 |
| E. | Immunizations (Vaccines) | 35 |
| F. | Oral Health              | 36 |
| G. | Suicide                  | 38 |
| H. | Mental Health and Autism | 39 |

|           |  |    |
|-----------|--|----|
| I.        | Substance Abuse  | 41 |
| J.        | Asthma   | 43 |
| K.        | Obesity and Diabetes   | 44 |
| L.        | Physical Fitness   | 47 |
| M.        | Nutrition  | 48 |
| N.        | Breastfeeding  | 49 |
| <b>6.</b> | <b>COMMUNITY ASSETS</b>  |    |
| A.        | Access to Primary Care   | 50 |
| B.        | Facilities   | 51 |
| <b>7.</b> | <b>COMMUNITY INPUT</b>   |    |
| A.        | Survey Process and Results   | 55 |
| B.        | Demographics of Survey Respondents   | 55 |
| C.        | Access to Healthcare   | 56 |
| D.        | Critical Health Concerns   | 56 |
| E.        | Quality of Care  | 58 |
| F.        | Health Behaviors   | 58 |
| G.        | Health Problems  | 58 |
| <b>8.</b> | <b>KEY INFORMANT SURVEY</b>  |    |
| A.        | Demographics of Survey Respondents and Survey Process  | 60 |
| B.        | Survey Findings  | 60 |
| <b>9.</b> | <b>APPENDIX</b>  |    |
| A.        | Appendix A: Data Sources   | 68 |
| B.        | Appendix B: Community Input – List of Key Informants Representing and/or Serving Low-Income, Medically Underserved, Minority Populations | 70 |
| C.        | Appendix C: Community Input – Key Informant Survey   | 72 |
| D.        | Appendix D: Community Input – Parent Population Survey   | 75 |
| E.        | Appendix E: Resources to Address Needs   | 83 |
| F.        | Appendix F: IRS Checklist  | 85 |

# OVERVIEW

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

CHOC Children's has two hospital campuses – CHOC Children's Hospital ("CHOC at Orange") and CHOC Children's at Mission Hospital ("CHOC at Mission"), collectively referred to as "CHOC Children's". While the law requires that each licensed hospital conduct a Community Health Needs Assessment ("CHNA"), it may be conducted with another hospital or group of hospitals, so long as the characteristics and specific needs of each hospital's population are distinctly identified where different. Because both CHOC Children's facilities are located within the same county (Orange), serve many of the same populations and provide many of the same types and levels of services, CHOC Children's conducted the CHNA for its two facilities simultaneously. Another consideration for issuing a joint CHNA is that many health indicators (morbidity, mortality, etc.,) are only available at the county level. This 2019 CHNA report will assist in prioritizing the unmet health needs adversely affecting the community.

The purpose of the CHNA is to identify community health assets and issues, determine and monitor the overall health of the population, and set health objectives. The final deliverable will then be used to assist the CHOC Board and Senior Management in setting priorities and allocating resources over the next several years.

CHOC Children's CHNA Steering Committee comprised of the following individuals who helped conduct the 2019 CHNA:

- Shahab Dadjou, Chief Strategy Officer
- Jena Jensen, Chief Governmental Relations Officer
- Michael Weiss, DO, Vice President of Population Health
- Charles V. Golden, DO, Vice President and Executive Medical Director of CHOC Children's Primary Care Network
- Anita Sankaran, Director of CHOC Children's Primary Care Network
- Billy Lambon, Senior Strategy Consultant
- Michael Jones, Strategy Consultant
- Roseanne De Lemos, Operations Administrative Resident

Note: CHOC Children's did not contract with any third parties to assist in conducting its 2019 CHNA.

## PRIORITIZED HEALTH NEEDS

To prioritize the identified community needs, each member of CHOC Children's CHNA Steering Committee utilized a matrix to measure the burden, severity, impact, and urgency of each CHNA need on a numerical scale of one to five.

1. **Burden:** Population size within the community;
2. **Severity:** Acuity, risk of mortality, or major loss of function on an individual;
3. **Impact:** If this health or socioeconomic issue were not addressed, how severely would this impact the overall well-being of children in Orange County; and
4. **Urgency:** Effect on children of waiting to address need (focused on time and resource availability).

For community needs that were previously identified as a top priority need in CHOC Children's 2016 CHNA, the need was allocated an addition of one point to the total. The total weight of each need from all the committee responses was averaged and then ranked from greatest to least in weight. Based on this methodology, the following health needs were ranked from most to least critical:

1. Mental Health and Autism
2. Access to Pediatric Specialists
3. Immunizations (Vaccines) and Infectious Diseases
4. Substance Abuse
5. Pediatric Obesity
6. Respiratory Illness
7. Oral Health
8. Collaboration and Partnerships with School Programs
9. Bullying and Other Stressors in School
10. Pediatric Diabetes
11. Cost of Child Care
12. Housing Affordability

The strategies for addressing these gaps can be found in the Implementation Plan on the CHOC Children's website.

## GOALS OF THE COMMUNITY HEALTH NEEDS ASSESSMENT

The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (ACA) (HR3590), Internal Revenue Service section 501(r)(3) and California Senate Bill 697 direct tax-exempt hospitals to conduct a CHNA and develop an implementation strategy to address these needs every three years.

The primary goals of the CHNA include the following:

- Defining the patient population served by CHOC Children's and the communities from which this population originates;
- Determining community health needs and resources, including those specifically related to pediatric inpatient and outpatient care;
- Identifying significant gaps hindering the provision of pediatric primary and specialty inpatient/outpatient services; and
- Mitigating the barriers to meeting health and social needs through the development of an Implementation Plan.

To meet these primary goals, CHOC Children's 2019 CHNA sought input from experts in public health, local health departments and community members who were representative of and providing service to minority groups, low-income individuals and medically underserved populations. Engaging the community in this way helps CHOC Children's develop an informed understanding of the most pressing needs or health gaps existing within communities served. To provide comments about CHOC Children's 2019 CHNA, please contact us at:

[CommunityComments@CHOC.org](mailto:CommunityComments@CHOC.org)


CHOC Children's 2019 CHNA fulfills the requirements of the ACA, IRS Section 501(r) and California law. See Appendix F for more details.

## ABOUT CHOC CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL

CHOC at Orange is a licensed 334-bed tertiary/quaternary children's hospital dedicated to the provision of care ranging from prenatal and neonatal (newborns) through 17 years of age, to patients up to 25 years of age diagnosed with certain rare conditions. CHOC at Orange is located at 1201 W La Veta Avenue, Orange, CA 92868.

CHOC at Mission is a licensed 54-bed "hospital within a hospital" that treats patients ranging in age from newborn through 17 years of age. It is located at 27700 Medical Center Road, Mission Viejo, CA 92691 on the 5th floor of Mission Hospital.

Although CHOC Children's provides healthcare services to pediatric and young adult patients from across the nation, CHOC Children's primary service area (PSA) is Orange County, California. CHOC at Orange and CHOC at Mission are the only hospitals in Orange County that exclusively treat inpatient pediatrics. The map below shows that CHOC Children's is uniquely positioned to provide pediatric health care services to all Orange County pediatric residents.



## MISSION OF CHOC CHILDREN'S

To nurture, advance and protect the health and well-being of children

## VISION OF CHOC CHILDREN'S

To be the leading destination for children's health by providing exceptional and innovative care

## CORE VALUES OF CHOC CHILDREN'S

|                       |  |
|-----------------------|--|
| <b>Excellence</b>     | Setting and achieving the highest standards in all we do                 |
| <b>Innovation</b>     | Advancing children's healthcare by leading with new ideas and technology |
| <b>Service</b>        | Delivering unmatched personal experience                                 |
| <b>Collaboration</b>  | Working together with our colleagues and partners to achieve our mission |
| <b>Compassion</b>     | Caring with sensitivity and respect                                      |
| <b>Accountability</b> | Serving as dedicated stewards of the lives and resources entrusted to us |

## PROGRAMS & SERVICES

CHOC Children's offers an array of primary, secondary, tertiary, and quaternary services across its network of inpatient, outpatient and ancillary centers including, but not limited to, the following:

- A 30-bed PICU that offers the highest levels of care to critically ill or injured children. CHOC Children's pediatric intensive care specialists are available in the hospital 24-hours-a-day, seven-days-a-week, along with highly skilled nursing and support staff;
- CHOC Children's has a total of 101 licensed inpatient neonatal intensive care (NICU) beds. This includes a 37-bed, Level 4 NICU at CHOC at Orange,<sup>1</sup> offering critical tertiary and quaternary care for newborn babies suffering from respiratory, circulatory, neurological, surgical and cardiac problems. This unit provides all private rooms;
- CHOC at Orange also offers a 12-bed Small Baby Unit, a special unit designed for babies born at less than 28 weeks gestation or who weigh less than 1,000 grams; and
- Also sitting on our hospital license, CHOC at Orange operates a 13-bed, Level 2 NICU at St. Joseph Hospital - Orange, with all private rooms. St. Joseph Hospital - Orange is located next door to CHOC at Orange and is joined by an underground tunnel for fast and efficient patient transfers.

---

<sup>1</sup> For states and hospitals who use this classification, a level 4 NICU is an intensive care unit that can care for babies as young as 22 to 24 weeks gestational age. The term "micro-preemies" is used to describe babies born between 22 and 26 weeks of gestation or smaller than 1 pound 13 ounces. Level 4 NICUs can provide very sophisticated types of respiratory support for very sick babies, including extracorporeal mechanical oxygenation or ECMO. They also offer a wide variety of neonatal surgeries including heart surgeries for babies born with congenital heart disease.



The following is a list of CHOC Children’s inpatient and outpatient services:

**Adolescent Medicine**

- Eating Disorders
- Reproductive Health
- Mental Health
- (LGBTQIA) Adolescent Health Care

**Allergy/Immunology**

- Asthma Education Programs
- Breathmobile
- Eosinophilic Esophagitis Clinic

**Cardiology (Heart Institute)**

- Cardiac Catheterization Laboratory
- Cardiodiagnostics
- Cardiovascular Intensive Care Unit (CVICU)
- Electrophysiology (EP) Program
- Healthy Lifestyle Classes
- Heart Surgery
- Interventional Cardiology Program
- Lipid Clinic
- Pacemaker & Implantable Cardioverter Defibrillator Program
- Pulmonary Hypertension Clinic
- Sports Cardiology Program

**Critical Care**

- Cardiovascular Intensive Care Unit (CVICU)
- Extracorporeal Life Support Program (ECMO)
- Neonatal Intensive Care Unit (NICU)
- Pediatric Intensive Care Unit (PICU)
- Transport Services

**Dentistry**

**Dermatology**

**Developmental and Behavioral Pediatrics**

- Developmental Services

**Emergency Medicine**

- Emergency Department
- Transport Services
- Trauma Center

**Endocrinology and Diabetes**

- Healthy Lifestyle Classes

**Gastroenterology**

- Colorectal/Bowel Management Program
- Eosinophilic Esophagitis Clinic

**Oncology (Hyundai Cancer Institute)**

- Adolescent and Young Adult Cancer Program
- After Cancer Treatment Survivorship Program
- Blood and Marrow Transplant Program
- Bone and Soft Tissue Sarcoma Program
- Histiocytosis Program
- Leukemia Program
- Lymphoma Program
- Neuro-oncology Program
- Recurrent and Refractory Cancer Program
- Solid Tumor Program

**Ophthalmology**

**Orthopaedics (Orthopaedic Institute)**

- Clubfoot and Foot Disorder Program
- Fracture Clinic
- Hand Program
- Infant and Adolescent Hip Disorder Program
- Limb Program
- Muscular Dystrophy Association Clinic
- Musculoskeletal Tumor Program
- Neuromuscular Disease Program
- Orthopaedic Surgery
- Spasticity Clinic
- Spina Bifida Clinic
- Spine Center
- Sports Medicine Program

**Otolaryngology (Ear, Nose and Throat)**

- Cleft and Craniofacial Program
- Cochlear Implant Program
- Vascular Anomalies Center

**Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation**

- Rehabilitation Services
- Physical Therapy
- Occupational Therapy
- Speech and Language Pathology
- Developmental Therapy

**Plastic Surgery**

- Brachial Plexus Program
- Cleft and Craniofacial Program
- Vascular Anomalies Center

Feeding Program  
Functional Abdominal Pain Program  
Gastrointestinal Motility Program  
Inflammatory Bowel Disease (IBD) Program  
Intestinal Rehabilitation Program

### **General and Thoracic Surgery**

### **Genetics**

### **Hematology**

Vascular Anomalies Center

### **Infectious Disease**

### **Metabolic Disorders**

Metabolic Lab

### **Neonatology/Perinatology**

Neonatal Intensive Care Unit (NICU)  
Neurocritical NICU  
Small Baby Unit  
Surgical NICU  
High-Risk Infant Follow-Up Clinic

### **Nephrology**

### **Neurology/Neurosurgery (Neuroscience Institute)**

Brachial Plexus Program  
Concussion Program  
Craniosynostosis Program  
Developmental Services  
Down Syndrome Program  
Epilepsy Program  
Hydrocephalus Program  
Muscular Dystrophy Association Clinic  
Neurodiagnostics  
Neurofibromatosis Program  
Neurometabolic Program  
Neuromuscular Disease Program  
Neuro-oncology Program  
Plagiocephaly Clinic  
Sleep Center  
Sleep Disorder Center  
Spasticity Clinic  
Spina Bifida Clinic  
Tuberous Sclerosis Program

### **Psychology/Psychiatry**

Autism Assessments  
Mental Health Services  
Neuropsychology Program

### **Pulmonology**

Sleep Disorder Center

### **Radiology/Imaging**

### **Rheumatology**

### **Surgical Services**

### **Thoracic Surgery**

### **Urology**

Bedwetting and Daytime Incontinence Program  
Disorders of Sexual Differentiation Program  
Hypospadias Program  
Spina Bifida Clinic

### **Wellness and Primary Care**

Adolescent and Teen Medicine  
Behavioral Services  
Eating Disorders Program  
Human Papillomavirus (HPV) Vaccination  
Immunizations  
Management of Chronic Conditions  
Screenings  
Sports Physicals  
Urgent Care (Orange Clinic)  
Well-child, Routine and Sick Visits

## COMMUNITY BENEFITS PROGRAM

CHOC Children's is committed to the children and families in Orange County who depend on CHOC for care. With the provision of \$84.5 million in community benefits in the fiscal year 2018, CHOC Children's continues to provide 89 benefit services to address the following:

- **Healthcare Access:** increase access to quality pediatric healthcare resources and information to families, especially low-income and medically underserved, throughout Orange County;
- **Behavioral Health Access:** enhance the community's access to behavioral health information and social and emotional services, targeting the underserved;
- **Disease Prevention:** increase awareness of disease prevention and promote early intervention of major diseases that affect the community;
- **Information Resource:** provide the community with resources for information and education on health risk behaviors;
- **Injury Prevention:** actively contribute to reducing the number of unintentional injuries to young children, especially targeting low-income, ethnically diverse and medically underserved populations; and
- **Community Action:** actively recruit, recognize and advocate for the importance of volunteer leadership and community assistance in providing care for children.

To see CHOC Children's Community Benefit Report, please visit CHOC Children's website for the most up-to-date annual public report.

# CHNA METHODOLOGY

## DEFINITION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF PRIMARY SERVICE AREA

CHOC Children’s primary service area (PSA) was determined by utilizing statewide inpatient data and calculating the patient origin from which the majority of CHOC Children’s inpatient population resides. In calendar year (CY) 2017, the most recent year inpatient data was made available, CHOC Children’s inpatient market share was 70.5%. The PSA has a total pediatric population of nearly 720,000. Cities and ZIP Codes making up the PSA include (for the sake of this report, the term PSA and Orange County are one and the same):

| ZIP Code | City             | ZIP Code | City             | ZIP Code | City          | ZIP Code | City                   |
|----------|------------------|----------|------------------|----------|---------------|----------|------------------------|
| 92656    | Aliso Viejo      | 92833    | Fullerton        | 90623    | La Palma      | 92688    | Rancho Santa Margarita |
| 92801    | Anaheim          | 92835    | Fullerton        | 92694    | Ladera Ranch  | 92672    | San Clemente           |
| 92802    | Anaheim          | 92840    | Garden Grove     | 92651    | Laguna Beach  | 92673    | San Clemente           |
| 92804    | Anaheim          | 92841    | Garden Grove     | 92653    | Laguna Hills  | 92675    | San Juan Capistrano    |
| 92805    | Anaheim          | 92843    | Garden Grove     | 92677    | Laguna Niguel | 92701    | Santa Ana              |
| 92806    | Anaheim          | 92844    | Garden Grove     | 92637    | Laguna Woods  | 92703    | Santa Ana              |
| 92807    | Anaheim          | 92845    | Garden Grove     | 92630    | Lake Forest   | 92704    | Santa Ana              |
| 92808    | Anaheim          | 92646    | Huntington Beach | 90720    | Los Alamitos  | 92705    | Santa Ana              |
| 92821    | Brea             | 92647    | Huntington Beach | 92655    | Midway City   | 92706    | Santa Ana              |
| 92823    | Brea             | 92648    | Huntington Beach | 92691    | Mission Viejo | 92707    | Santa Ana              |
| 90620    | Buena Park       | 92649    | Huntington Beach | 92692    | Mission Viejo | 90740    | Seal Beach             |
| 90621    | Buena Park       | 92602    | Irvine           | 92660    | Newport Beach | 92676    | Silverado              |
| 92624    | Capistrano Beach | 92603    | Irvine           | 92661    | Newport Beach | 90680    | Stanton                |
| 92625    | Corona del Mar   | 92604    | Irvine           | 92662    | Newport Beach | 90742    | Sunset Beach           |
| 92626    | Costa Mesa       | 92606    | Irvine           | 92663    | Newport Beach | 92679    | Trabuco Canyon         |
| 92627    | Costa Mesa       | 92612    | Irvine           | 92657    | Newport Coast | 92780    | Tustin                 |
| 90630    | Cypress          | 92614    | Irvine           | 92865    | Orange        | 92782    | Tustin                 |
| 92629    | Dana Point       | 92617    | Irvine           | 92866    | Orange        | 92861    | Villa Park             |
| 92610    | Foothill Ranch   | 92618    | Irvine           | 92867    | Orange        | 92683    | Westminster            |
| 92708    | Fountain Valley  | 92620    | Irvine           | 92868    | Orange        | 92886    | Yorba Linda            |
| 92831    | Fullerton        | 92697    | Irvine           | 92869    | Orange        | 92887    | Yorba Linda            |
| 92832    | Fullerton        | 90631    | La Habra         | 92870    | Placentia     |          |                        |

To further characterize the PSA population, secondary data was collected from reputable resources and then analyzed to understand known disparities, community needs and community assets. See Appendix B for list of reputable data sources used within the 2019 CHNA report.

## ENGAGEMENT OF COMMUNITY STAKEHOLDERS

Additionally, community members who represent broad interests of the community were solicited for input to further identify community needs and community assets, such as programs, services and resources that improve the health and well-being of community members. Community stakeholders include regional governmental public health departments and members of medically underserved, low-income and minority populations in the community. In September and October 2019, input was solicited through a combination of interviews and survey questionnaires. CHOC Children's received 232 responses from community members and key informants who are knowledgeable pediatric experts in Orange County. See Appendix C and D for copies of the questionnaire and survey used to engage the community.

Additionally, no written comments from CHOC Children's 2016 CHNA or Implementation Strategy Plan were received from the community. CHOC Children's will continue to track any submissions made to ensure that all relevant comments are reviewed and addressed by appropriate hospital staff.

## IDENTIFICATION AND PRIORITIZATION OF NEEDS/HEALTH GAPS

Through primary and secondary data collection and analysis, CHOC Children's PSA health needs were identified. To prioritize the health needs, the CHNA Steering Committee members were asked to each complete a decision matrix. In this decision matrix, each identified community health need was assessed on burden, scope, impact and severity using a numerical scale of one to five:

1. **Burden:** Population size within the community;
2. **Severity:** Acuity, risk of mortality, or major loss of function on an individual;
3. **Impact:** If this health or socioeconomic issue were not addressed, how severely would this impact the overall well-being of children in Orange County; and
4. **Urgency:** Effect on children of waiting to address need (focused on time and resource availability).

For community needs that were previously identified as a top priority need in CHOC Children's 2016 CHNA, the need was allocated an addition of one point to the total. The total weight of each need from all the committee responses was averaged and then ranked from greatest to least in weight.

A gap analysis was conducted by comparing prioritized health needs with CHOC Children's services and PSA community assets. Additionally, the gap analysis process included an evaluation of the 2016 CHNA and implementation initiatives.

From the gaps identified and needs prioritized, an implementation strategy will be developed to address needs and bridge gaps which is further supported and approved by CHOC Children's leadership and overall organization.

## INFORMATION GAPS

Many social, economic, morbidity, mortality, and health outcomes data points (secondary data) are tracked and recorded at the county level across all population cohorts, including the age cohort 18+, making it challenging to

determine the effect these indicators have on just the population CHOC Children's serves. Other qualitative and quantitative information limitations include:

- **Secondary data:** Much of the secondary data analyzed is only available at the county level. This limited CHOC Children's ability to decipher and assess social determinants within individual communities within Orange County. CHOC Children's mitigated this issue by conducting interviews with community stakeholders familiar with the individual communities within Orange County to determine access and availability of healthcare services;
- **Interviews and surveys:** Opinions gathered from community representatives could differ from those of the broader Orange County population. While every effort was made to recruit a sample size representative of CHOC Children's entire pediatric population, the diverse group of participants representing each of the unique cities within Orange County could not be guaranteed. This challenge is expected in metropolitan areas such as Orange County;
- **Inpatient data:** There is an approximate 12-18-month lag in the availability of inpatient data provided by the Office of Statewide Healthcare Planning and Development (OSHPD). CY 2017 is the most recent year OSHPD inpatient data was made available. This lag in data access means CHOC Children's, at times, is assessing the impact of inpatient healthcare initiatives on data that may or may not be representative of the times. This could result in misinterpretations to the actual state of affairs of a given health or social determinant;
- **Outpatient data:** Although CHOC Children's has invested heavily in outpatient data, by purchasing claims data from a third-party vendor, this information is neither current (through CY 2018) nor complete (limitations by payer, health system, self-insured entities, employers, IPA, and Medical Foundations) and therefore limits CHOC Children's ability to conduct a conclusive and holistic assessment of Orange County's current pediatric health needs. In these types of instances, CHOC Children's relied on a combination of historical data as well as interviews (both community and individual input) to provide a more conclusive viewpoint of any possible health or social issues; and
- **Data lag:** The list of data sources included in this report provides the dates for each of the major data sets referenced when conducting the CHNA. This data was valuable and allowed the identification of health needs relative to CHOC Children's PSA. However, older datasets may not reflect recent trends in health statistics and outcomes. Again, this challenge was mitigated by referencing feedback received from community input to compare and contrast possible discrepancies.

# DEMOGRAPHICS

Studying demographics helps uncover possible health disparities often attributed to social, economic, and/or environmental disadvantage. According to Healthy People 2020, “health disparities adversely affect groups of people who have systematically experienced greater obstacles to health based on their racial or ethnic group; religion; socioeconomic status; gender; age; mental health; cognitive, sensory, or physical disability; sexual orientation or gender identity; geographic location; or other characteristics historically linked to discrimination or exclusion.”

To reiterate, Orange County is CHOC Children’s PSA. California’s total population is 39,813,541 people of which 22.9%, or 9,125,894, are children aged 0-18 years old.<sup>2</sup> Orange County has 8% of California’s total population but 0.5% of its land area. Orange County is the third largest county in California by population size with 3,252,459 residents in 2019. The median age is 37.9 years old.<sup>3</sup> Children (0-18 years old) make up 22.1%, or 719,221 children,<sup>4</sup> of the Orange County population. Orange County’s age cohort 0-18 is projected to decrease nearly 1% by 2024.

## POPULATION CHANGE

The shifting population trend is important to assess for potential impacts on healthcare providers, healthcare access and utilization of community resources. While the natural population increase (births minus deaths) has outpaced migration as the county’s principle source of growth, international immigration—largely from Asia and Latin America—has contributed significantly to Orange County’s growth over the past 30 years. Between 2019 and 2024, it is estimated that Orange County will have a 3.6% total population increase.<sup>5</sup>

| Orange County Growth by Age Cohort, 2019-2024 |                  |                  |              |                   |                   |             |
|---|------------------|------------------|--------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------|
| Population Cohort                             | Orange County    |                  |              | California        |                   |             |
|   | 2019             | 2024             | Change       | 2019              | 2024              | Change      |
| 0-4   | 189,786          | 197,313          | 4.0%         | 2,514,863         | 2,613,297         | 3.9%        |
| 5-9   | 198,109          | 194,343          | -1.9%        | 2,531,536         | 2,529,445         | -0.1%       |
| 10-14   | 208,745          | 202,612          | -2.9%        | 2,578,717         | 2,582,014         | 0.1%        |
| 15-17   | 122,581          | 118,529          | -3.3%        | 1,500,778         | 1,490,027         | -0.7%       |
| <b>All Pediatrics (0-18)</b>                  | <b>719,221</b>   | <b>712,797</b>   | <b>-0.9%</b> | <b>9,125,894</b>  | <b>9,214,783</b>  | <b>1.0%</b> |
| Adult (18+)                                   | 2,533,238        | 2,656,064        | 4.8%         | 30,687,647        | 31,951,603        | 4.1%        |
| Senior (65+)                                  | 482,516          | 564,170          | 16.9%        | 5,735,473         | 6,642,285         | 15.8%       |
| <b>Total Population</b>                       | <b>3,252,459</b> | <b>3,368,861</b> | <b>3.6%</b>  | <b>39,813,541</b> | <b>41,166,386</b> | <b>3.4%</b> |
| Females 15-44                                 | 663,768          | 687,063          | 3.5%         | 8,181,735         | 8,434,932         | 3.1%        |

Source: Esri, 2019

<sup>2</sup> Esri Demographics – 2019 Population Estimates based in US Census and American Communities Survey 2013-2017

<sup>3</sup> Census.Gov – American Communities Survey 2013-2017

<sup>4</sup> Esri Demographics – 2019 Population Estimates based in US Census and American Communities Survey 2013-2017

<sup>5</sup> Esri Demographics – 2019 Population Estimates based in US Census and American Communities Survey 2013-2017

**Age Cohort 0-18:** The Orange County age cohort 0-18 is projected to decrease by approximately 1% from 719,221 children in 2019 to 712,797 in 2024. This is lower than the California growth rate that is projected to increase by 1% over the same period.

**Age Cohort 0-4:** The age cohort 0-4 (+4.0%) is the only Orange County age cohort, within the age range 0-18, that is projected to increase between 2019 and 2024. This increase is consistent with California. Orange County's age cohort 0-4 makes up 26% of all children in the county. This percentage is projected to grow to 28% of all Orange County children by 2024.

**Age Cohort 5-9:** The Orange County age cohort 5-9 is projected to contract nearly 2% between 2019 and 2024 to nearly 195,000 children. Over the same period, California's population is projected to remain stagnant on just over 2.5 million children. The age cohort 5-9 makes up 27% of the population 0-18 which is consistent with California overall.

**Age Cohort 10-14:** The Orange County age cohort 10-14 is projected to contract by 3% to 212,612 children by 2024. By contrast, California's population is projected to grow slightly to nearly 2.6 million over the same period.

**Age Cohort 15-17:** The Orange County age cohort 15-17 is projected to decrease by 3.3% from 122,581 children in 2019 to 118,529 in 2024. This is the steepest decrease across all age cohorts, including adult, within Orange County. The California growth rate for age cohort 15-17 is projected to decrease by 0.7% over the same period.

**Age Cohort 18+:** Despite the Orange County population 0-18 projected to shrink nearly 1% between 2019 and 2024, the adult population 18+ is forecast to increase 4.8% to nearly 2.7 million over the same period. This is higher than the California growth rate of 4.1%. Much of this growth can be attributed to net in-migration among adults embarking on employment opportunities and Orange County aging overall. For this reason, the age cohort 18+ is projected to make up a larger percentage of the overall population (79%) by 2024, an increase of 1% from 2019. California is also projected to age slightly more by 2024 with the age cohort 18+ projected to increase to 78% by 2024.

**Age Cohort 65+:** The Orange County age cohort 65+ is projected to increase nearly 17% from 482,516 in 2019 to 564,170 in 2024. This is the steepest growth increase across all age cohorts in Orange County. California's growth rate in the age cohort 65+ is also projected to increase considerably by 2024 but at a slightly slower rate than Orange County overall (16%).

**Females 15-44:** This population group is important as it measures the reproductive age span of women assumed for statistical purposes. The number of Orange County females 15-44 is predicted to increase 3.5% from 663,768 in 2019 to 687,063 in 2024. This increase is higher than the California increase of 3.1% over the same period.



## ETHNICITY & RACE

Understanding race and ethnicity composition can better assist in determining health disparities including higher rates of chronic disease, healthcare access, premature death, and other health determinants affecting a community's population.

Orange County continues to experience increased racial and ethnic diversification. Within the age cohort 0-18, the racial distribution is as follows: White (49.4%), Some Other Race (21.3%) and Asian (18.2%). Nearly 49% of Orange County is of Hispanic or Latino origin. This is lower than the California Hispanic or Latino population percentage of 54.3%.

Among the different Orange County races and ethnicities 0-18, White (-5.8%) and American Indian/Alaska Native (-4.3%) populations are expected to see the largest decrease in population change between 2019 and 2024.<sup>6</sup> Over this same period, Asian and Multiple Races are expected to see the largest gain in 0-18 population increasing by 7.7% and 6.4%, respectively.

| Age Cohort 0-18: Population Change by Ethnicity and Race, 2019-2024 |                |             |                |             |                     |                  |             |                  |             |                     |
|---|----------------|-------------|----------------|-------------|---------------------|------------------|-------------|------------------|-------------|---------------------|
| Population Cohort   | Orange County  |             |                |             |                     | California       |             |                  |             |                     |
|   | 2019           |             | 2024           |             | Change<br>2019-2024 | 2019             |             | 2024             |             | Change<br>2019-2024 |
|   | Pop.           | %           | Pop.           | %           |                     | Pop.             | %           | Pop.             | %           |                     |
| White   | 355,593        | 49.4%       | 334,828        | 47.0%       | -5.8%               | 4,257,623        | 46.7%       | 4,147,595        | 45.0%       | -2.6%               |
| Black / African American  | 12,975         | 1.8%        | 13,267         | 1.9%        | 2.3%                | 546,370          | 6.0%        | 536,114          | 5.8%        | -1.9%               |
| American Indian / Alaska Native                                     | 4,404          | 0.6%        | 4,214          | 0.6%        | -4.3%               | 95,910           | 1.1%        | 96,086           | 1.0%        | 0.2%                |
| Asian   | 131,099        | 18.2%       | 141,228        | 19.8%       | 7.7%                | 1,123,987        | 12.3%       | 1,198,989        | 13.0%       | 6.7%                |
| Pacific Islander  | 2,335          | 0.3%        | 2,271          | 0.3%        | -2.8%               | 38,588           | 0.4%        | 39,390           | 0.4%        | 2.1%                |
| Some Other Race   | 153,283        | 21.3%       | 153,486        | 21.5%       | 0.1%                | 2,241,548        | 24.6%       | 2,314,862        | 25.1%       | 3.3%                |
| Multiple Races  | 63,376         | 8.8%        | 67,409         | 9.5%        | 6.4%                | 875,776          | 9.6%        | 932,499          | 10.1%       | 6.5%                |
| <b>Total Population (0-18)</b>                                      | <b>719,221</b> | <b>100%</b> | <b>712,797</b> | <b>100%</b> | <b>-0.9%</b>        | <b>9,125,894</b> | <b>100%</b> | <b>9,214,783</b> | <b>100%</b> | <b>1.0%</b>         |
| Hispanic Population   | 351,812        | 48.9%       | 353,824        | 49.6%       | 0.6%                | 4,957,082        | 54.3%       | 5,160,420        | 56.0%       | 4.1%                |

Source: Esri, 2019

## HOUSEHOLDS

According to How Housing Matters, growing up in an affordable home can have a significant effect on a child's ability to thrive. Other findings on the importance of a suitable home environment for children include:

- Poor housing quality is associated with higher baseline symptoms of depression, anxiety, and aggression from elementary school through young adulthood;
- The strain of household affordability and a history of moving often are associated with adverse health outcomes for effected children;
- Compared to home owners with children, renter households with children are more likely to have asthma triggers in their homes and are more likely to have at least one child diagnosed with asthma;
- Moving schools is associated with lower reading scores;

<sup>6</sup> Esri Demographics – 2019 Population Estimates based in US Census and American Communities Survey 2013-2017

- Children living in a housing cost burdened environment, including living in homes that are in foreclosure or in tax delinquency, are more likely to receive inferior kindergarten readiness scores than children living in a stable housing environment; and
- Children living in a crowded household are less likely to graduate from high school and tend to have lower educational attainment by age 25.

Orange County’s household population is projected to grow 3.6% from 3,207,763 in 2019 to 3,324,165 in 2024. This is similar to the projected growth rate in California (3.5%) overall. The current household growth rate of 0.72 is expected to decrease to 0.64 by 2024.

| Household Growth by Year, 2019-2024 |               |           |        |            |            |        |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|-----------|--------|------------|------------|--------|
|                                     | Orange County |           |        | California |            |        |
|                                     | 2019          | 2024      | Change | 2019       | 2024       | Change |
| Household Population                | 3,207,763     | 3,324,165 | 3.6%   | 38,995,367 | 40,348,212 | 3.5%   |
| Households: Annual Growth Rate      | 0.72          | 0.64      | ↘      | 0.64       | 0.62       | ↘      |
| Total Households                    | 1,060,886     | 1,095,455 | 3.3%   | 13,339,357 | 13,759,222 | 3.1%   |
| Average Household Size              | 3.02          | 3.03      | 0.3%   | 3.03       | 2.93       | -3.3%  |
| Total Family Households             | 756,645       | 781,140   | 3.2%   | 9,162,700  | 9,449,863  | 3.1%   |

Source: Esri, 2019

In Orange County, there are a total of 1,060,886 households,<sup>7</sup> with an average household size of 3.02. Over 71% of Orange County’s total households are considered Family Households – slightly higher than the California percentage of 69%. Although an estimated 35,000 additional homes will be constructed in Orange County between 2019 and 2024, the change in population growth (3.6%) is still predicted to outpace the increased number of houses (3.3%) over the same period. This trend is consistent with California (3.5% increase in the size of the population versus 3.1% increase in the number of total households).

The number of households with one or more persons with a disability is 203,829 or 19.2% of total households. Having a disabled child may increase stress and affect physical health and make it difficult to find appropriate and affordable child care.

## HOUSEHOLD INCOME

Orange County continues to be among the most inaccessible places to live for low and moderate-income earners. This is a major concern for families as cost burden affects quality of life. Additionally, the number of households receiving food stamps or participating in the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) within Orange County is 66,353 or 8.8% of Orange County’s total family households (this is lower than the California rate of 10.0%). The number of married couple families living below poverty level is 30,957 or 4.1% of total family households.

<sup>7</sup> Total households refer to people who are living in a housing structure, and can be made up of family households or non-family householders. Family households is a household maintained by a householder who is in a family and includes unrelated people who may be residing there. The number of family households is equal to the number of families. A nonfamily household consists of a householder living alone or shared home exclusively with people to whom he/she isn’t related.

| Orange County Household Income Distribution |               |             |             |                 |             |             |
|---|---------------|-------------|-------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------|
| Variable                                    | 2019 Estimate |             |             | 2024 Projection |             |             |
|   | OC            | CA          | USA         | OC              | CA          | USA         |
| Median Household                            | \$88,453      | \$74,520    | \$60,548    | \$102,755       | \$86,333    | \$69,180    |
| Average Household                           | \$121,359     | \$106,321   | \$87,398    | \$139,918       | \$123,187   | \$99,638    |
| \$0 - \$15,000                              | 6.8%          | 9.0%        | 10.7%       | 5.3%            | 7.3%        | 9.0%        |
| \$15 - \$24,999                             | 6.1%          | 7.6%        | 9.0%        | 4.7%            | 6.0%        | 7.7%        |
| \$25 - \$34,999                             | 5.8%          | 7.3%        | 8.9%        | 4.7%            | 6.2%        | 7.8%        |
| \$35 - \$49,999                             | 8.6%          | 10.6%       | 12.4%       | 7.2%            | 9.2%        | 11.5%       |
| \$50 - \$74,999                             | 14.8%         | 15.8%       | 17.5%       | 13.4%           | 14.8%       | 17.0%       |
| \$75 - \$99,999                             | 13.1%         | 12.4%       | 12.6%       | 12.9%           | 12.6%       | 13.1%       |
| \$100 - \$149,999                           | 19.4%         | 16.9%       | 15.1%       | 20.9%           | 18.7%       | 17.0%       |
| \$150,000 - \$199,999                       | 10.7%         | 8.8%        | 6.5%        | 13.1%           | 11.0%       | 8.3%        |
| \$200,000+                                  | 14.7%         | 11.8%       | 7.3%        | 17.7%           | 14.3%       | 8.6%        |
| <b>Total</b>                                | <b>100%</b>   | <b>100%</b> | <b>100%</b> | <b>100%</b>     | <b>100%</b> | <b>100%</b> |

Source: Esri and US. Census, 2019

For 2019, it is estimated that Orange County has an average median household income of \$88,453.<sup>8</sup> This is nearly 20% higher than the California average of \$74,520 and 46% higher than the U.S. average of \$69,180. The percentage of higher-income households (\$100,000+) in Orange County is projected to grow from 44.8% of total households in 2019 to 51.7% of households in 2024. This is significantly higher than the 2024 California (44.0%) and U.S. (33.9%) projections.

Orange County's median home value is \$682,052 and average rental rate is \$2,004.<sup>9</sup> By 2024, Orange County's home value is estimated to increase to \$715,049 and median household income to \$102,755.<sup>10</sup> This is a concern as a larger proportion of wages spent on housing expenses such as rent and mortgage takes away from spending towards preventative care, medical care, cost of raising a child, or vehicle maintenance. Households with low incomes spend a greater percentage of their income on housing. According to the Legislative Analyst's Office, high home prices force workers in California's coastal communities, like Orange County, to commute 10% further each day than commuters elsewhere in the nation, largely because limited affordable housing options exist near major job centers.

In general, Orange County has higher home values compared to that of the state. California's median home value is \$556,621 with median household income of \$74,520. By 2024, these values are expected to increase to \$617,383 and \$86,333, respectively.

<sup>8</sup> Esri Demographics – 2019 Population Estimates based in US Census and American Communities Survey 2013-2017

<sup>9</sup> CoStar.com – As Cited by Collins, Jeff, Orange County Register May 31, 2019

<sup>10</sup> Esri Demographics – 2019 Population Estimates based in US Census and American Communities Survey 2013-2017

# SOCIOECONOMIC FACTORS

## EDUCATION LEVELS

According to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), people with at least some college education have mortality rates (deaths per 1,000 individuals per year) less than half of those without any college education. In addition, people who are more educated exhibit less anxiety and depression, have fewer functional limitations, and are less likely to have a serious health condition like diabetes, cardiovascular disease or asthma.

Nearly 72% of Orange County’s population over the age of three are not enrolled in school while 28.3% are enrolled.

| 2013-2017 Population 3+ by School Enrollment (ACS) |                  |              |                   |              |
|--|------------------|--------------|-------------------|--------------|
|  | Orange County    |              | California        |              |
|  | Number           | % of Total   | Number            | % of Total   |
| <b>Not Enrolled in School</b>                      | <b>2,183,080</b> | <b>71.7%</b> | <b>27,006,356</b> | <b>72.0%</b> |
| <b>Enrolled in School:</b>                         | <b>862,152</b>   | <b>28.3%</b> | <b>10,518,223</b> | <b>28.0%</b> |
| - Enrolled in Nursery/Preschool                    | 49,835           | 1.6%         | 597,861           | 1.6%         |
| - Enrolled in Kindergarten                         | 38,493           | 1.3%         | 523,699           | 1.4%         |
| - Enrolled in Grade 1-4                            | 152,101          | 5.0%         | 1,967,827         | 5.2%         |
| - Enrolled in Grade 5-8                            | 164,590          | 5.4%         | 2,035,389         | 5.4%         |
| - Enrolled in Grade 9-12                           | 175,723          | 5.8%         | 2,174,435         | 5.8%         |
| - Enrolled in College                              | 233,022          | 7.7%         | 2,703,745         | 7.2%         |
| - Enrolled in Grad/Professional School             | 48,388           | 1.6%         | 515,267           | 1.4%         |
| <b>Total School Enrollment Base</b>                | <b>3,045,232</b> | <b>100%</b>  | <b>37,524,579</b> | <b>100%</b>  |

Source: Esri and US. Census, 2019

The Orange County Department of Education is a public education organization offering support to 27 school districts. It is estimated that there are 493,030 students enrolled in public schools in Orange County. Enrollment is comprised of the following: 49.1% Hispanic or Latino, 27.5% White, 15.6% Asian/Asian American, 2.1% Filipino, 1.4% Black, 0.3% American Indian or Alaska Native, and 4.0% Other.

In Orange County, about 5.7% of high school students drop out of school.<sup>11</sup> This rate is less than that of California’s high school dropout rate of 10.7%.<sup>12</sup> High school dropout rates are important to track when determining educational attainment. Educational attainment is a social determinant of health and is one of 26 leading health indicators (LHI) of Healthy People 2020. Its objective is to increase the proportion of students graduating from high school within 4 years of starting 9<sup>th</sup> grade.

<sup>11</sup> Esri Demographics – 2019 Population Estimates based in US Census and American Communities Survey 2013-2017

<sup>12</sup> California Dept. of Education, California Longitudinal Pupil Achievement Data System. As cited by [www.kidsdata.org](http://www.kidsdata.org). Retrieved 9/2019.

Additionally, Orange County’s educational attainment is higher than California with nearly half of the population having earned a college degree or higher. Specifically, 26.4% of the population obtained a bachelor’s degree, 14.7% obtained a graduate/professional degree, and 7.7% achieved an associate degree.

| 2019 Educational Attainment             |                  |             |                   |             |
|---|------------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------|
|   | Orange County    |             | California        |             |
|   | Number           | Percentage  | Number            | Percentage  |
| Less than 9th Grade                     | 174,353          | 7.8%        | 2,398,105         | 9.0%        |
| 9-12th Grade/No Diploma                 | 137,966          | 6.2%        | 1,945,226         | 7.3%        |
| High School Diploma                     | 349,136          | 15.7%       | 4,978,329         | 18.6%       |
| GED/Alternative Credential              | 37,113           | 1.7%        | 602,829           | 2.2%        |
| Some College/No Degree                  | 441,880          | 19.8%       | 5,626,754         | 21.0%       |
| College Degree                          | 1,086,646        | 48.8%       | 11,243,010        | 42.0%       |
| - Associate Degree                      | 172,073          | 7.7%        | 2,081,852         | 7.8%        |
| - Bachelor Degree                       | 588,219          | 26.4%       | 5,720,791         | 21.4%       |
| - Graduate/Professional Degree          | 326,354          | 14.7%       | 3,440,367         | 12.8%       |
| <b>2019 Educational Attainment Base</b> | <b>2,227,094</b> | <b>100%</b> | <b>26,794,253</b> | <b>100%</b> |

Source: Esri, 2019

Increased education attainment leads to higher incomes that helps in the purchasing of healthy foods, affords more time to exercise regularly, and pays for health services and transportation. Conversely, job insecurity, low wages, and lack of assets associated with less education can make individuals and families more vulnerable during hard times—which can lead to poor nutrition, unstable housing, and unmet medical needs.

## CHRONIC ABSENTEEISM

Chronic absenteeism is a measurement based on the number of students absent at least 10% of the instructional days that they were enrolled in school. According to Attendance Works, kindergarten students who are chronically absent are likely to be less proficient readers and be held back in later grades. The study went on to show that by sixth grade, chronic absenteeism is one of the early warning indicators influencing eventual high school graduation.

The report below shows the annual Orange County K–12 public school chronic absenteeism rate by ethnicity.

| Orange County K-12 Chronic Absenteeism by Ethnicity, 2017/2018 |                 |                  |                           |                |                          |              |
|--|-----------------|------------------|---------------------------|----------------|--------------------------|--------------|
| Ethnicity  | K-12 Enrollment |                  | Chronic Absenteeism Count |                | Chronic Absenteeism Rate |              |
|  | Orange County   | California       | Orange County             | California     | Orange County            | California   |
| African American   | 6,855           | 351,274          | 925                       | 70,622         | 13.5%                    | 20.1%        |
| American Indian or Alaska Native                               | 1,101           | 33,157           | 179                       | 6,958          | 16.3%                    | 21.0%        |
| Asian  | 81,251          | 578,878          | 2,446                     | 22,270         | 3.0%                     | 3.8%         |
| Filipino   | 10,218          | 153,577          | 436                       | 8,017          | 4.3%                     | 5.2%         |
| Hispanic or Latino   | 243,526         | 3,426,105        | 23,923                    | 415,666        | 9.8%                     | 12.1%        |
| Pacific Islander   | 1,660           | 29,539           | 240                       | 5,141          | 14.5%                    | 17.4%        |
| White  | 128,234         | 1,462,370        | 10,794                    | 141,803        | 8.4%                     | 9.7%         |
| Two or More Races  | 18,532          | 224,439          | 1,431                     | 23,764         | 7.7%                     | 10.6%        |
| Not Reported   | 4,412           | 55,792           | 564                       | 8,290          | 12.8%                    | 14.9%        |
| <b>Total</b>   | <b>495,789</b>  | <b>6,315,131</b> | <b>40,938</b>             | <b>702,531</b> | <b>8.3%</b>              | <b>11.1%</b> |

Source: California Department of Education

Note: Lower rate is better

 = Above California Average (11.1%)

Overall, the Orange County chronic absenteeism rate (8.3%) is lower than that of the California statewide rate (11.1%). Despite Orange County's chronic absenteeism rate being lower than California, many ethnic groups within Orange County recorded rates far higher than the average statewide rate. This included:

- African American: 13.5% chronic absenteeism rate;
- American Indian or Alaska Native: 16.5% chronic absenteeism rate; and
- Pacific Islander: 14.5% chronic absenteeism rate.

Also assessed was the chronic absenteeism rates of school districts within Orange County. Only three school districts reported higher chronic absenteeism rates when compared to the statewide rate (11.1%). These include the Orange County Department of Education (36.3%), Fullerton Joint Union High (11.7%), and Anaheim Union High School District (11.4%). The school district with the lowest chronic absenteeism rate in Orange County was Fountain Valley Elementary School District. Only 3.7% of the district's 6,519 enrolled students were reported as being chronically absent over the course of the school year.

| Orange County K-12 Chronic Absenteeism by School District, 2017/2018 |                  |                           |                          |                        |                        |
|--|------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| District Name  | K-12 Enrollment  | Chronic Absenteeism Count | Chronic Absenteeism Rate | Above/Below OC Average | Above/Below CA Average |
| Orange County Department of Education <sup>1</sup>                   | 9,073            | 3,290                     | 36.3%                    | ●                      | ●                      |
| Fullerton Joint Union High   | 14,143           | 1,652                     | 11.7%                    | ●                      | ●                      |
| Anaheim Union High   | 31,455           | 3,580                     | 11.4%                    | ●                      | ●                      |
| Laguna Beach Unified   | 2,975            | 309                       | 10.4%                    | ●                      | ●                      |
| Capistrano Unified   | 55,649           | 5,707                     | 10.3%                    | ●                      | ●                      |
| Newport-Mesa Unified   | 21,720           | 2,248                     | 10.3%                    | ●                      | ●                      |
| Orange Unified   | 28,559           | 2,862                     | 10.0%                    | ●                      | ●                      |
| Huntington Beach Union High  | 16,409           | 1,627                     | 9.9%                     | ●                      | ●                      |
| Magnolia Elementary  | 6,288            | 534                       | 8.5%                     | ●                      | ●                      |
| Saddleback Valley Unified  | 27,899           | 2,280                     | 8.2%                     | ●                      | ●                      |
| Placentia-Yorba Linda Unified  | 26,329           | 2,114                     | 8.0%                     | ●                      | ●                      |
| SBE - Magnolia Science Academy Santa Ana                             | 761              | 59                        | 7.8%                     | ●                      | ●                      |
| Anaheim Elementary   | 18,658           | 1,405                     | 7.5%                     | ●                      | ●                      |
| La Habra City Elementary   | 4,871            | 363                       | 7.5%                     | ●                      | ●                      |
| Garden Grove Unified   | 44,288           | 3,259                     | 7.4%                     | ●                      | ●                      |
| Santa Ana Unified  | 54,254           | 3,848                     | 7.1%                     | ●                      | ●                      |
| Tustin Unified   | 24,582           | 1,706                     | 6.9%                     | ●                      | ●                      |
| Buena Park Elementary  | 4,896            | 330                       | 6.7%                     | ●                      | ●                      |
| Brea-Olinda Unified  | 6,053            | 380                       | 6.3%                     | ●                      | ●                      |
| Westminster  | 9,489            | 580                       | 6.1%                     | ●                      | ●                      |
| Centralia Elementary   | 4,473            | 264                       | 5.9%                     | ●                      | ●                      |
| Ocean View   | 8,490            | 474                       | 5.6%                     | ●                      | ●                      |
| Huntington Beach City Elementary                                     | 7,283            | 402                       | 5.5%                     | ●                      | ●                      |
| Irvine Unified   | 35,891           | 1,855                     | 5.2%                     | ●                      | ●                      |
| Fullerton Elementary   | 13,667           | 664                       | 4.9%                     | ●                      | ●                      |
| Savanna Elementary   | 2,360            | 106                       | 4.5%                     | ●                      | ●                      |
| Cypress Elementary   | 4,074            | 161                       | 4.0%                     | ●                      | ●                      |
| Los Alamitos Unified   | 9,965            | 391                       | 3.9%                     | ●                      | ●                      |
| Fountain Valley Elementary   | 6,519            | 239                       | 3.7%                     | ●                      | ●                      |
| <b>Orange County Total</b>   | <b>495,789</b>   | <b>40,938</b>             | <b>8.3%</b>              |                        |                        |
| <b>California</b>  | <b>6,315,131</b> | <b>702,531</b>            | <b>11.1%</b>             |                        |                        |

Source: California Department of Education

Note: Lower rate is better

<sup>1</sup> Includes Juvenile Hall and other Alternative, Community, and Correctional Education Schools

The cause of chronic absenteeism can have many underlying factors, such as domestic violence, child neglect, bullying, and transportation issues.

## ENGLISH PROFICIENCY

Limited English proficiency (LEP) is a term used to describe individuals who do not speak English as their primary language and who have a limited ability to read, speak, write, or understand English. Currently, 44.5 million people in the United States (or nearly 14% of the population) are foreign born—up from 7.9% in 1990. As this number grows, so does the amount of health utilization by LEP patients increase. An inability to speak English well can create barriers to healthcare access, provider communication, and health literacy and education. Barriers to communication can also result in fewer provider and wellness visits leading to delays in receiving preventative services, which can lead to chronic conditions and increased risk to hospitalization.

| English Proficiency by Ethnicity and Age Cohort |                 |                     |                  |                     |
|---|-----------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|
|   | Age Cohort 5-17 |                     | Age Cohort 18-64 |                     |
|   | Population      | Percentage of Total | Population       | Percentage of Total |
| <b>Only English</b>                             | <b>283,943</b>  | <b>53.8%</b>        | <b>1,056,521</b> | <b>52.5%</b>        |
| <b>Spanish</b>                                  | <b>172,766</b>  | <b>32.7%</b>        | <b>541,964</b>   | <b>26.9%</b>        |
| Spanish & English Very Well/Well                | 166,428         | 31.5%               | 383,928          | 19.1%               |
| Spanish & English Not Well                      | 5,665           | 1.1%                | 111,996          | 5.6%                |
| Spanish & No English                            | 673             | 0.1%                | 46,040           | 2.3%                |
| <b>Asian-Pacific Islander Language</b>          | <b>52,665</b>   | <b>10.0%</b>        | <b>307,123</b>   | <b>15.3%</b>        |
| Asian-Pacific Islander & English Very Well/Well | 49,252          | 9.3%                | 238,045          | 11.8%               |
| Asian-Pacific Islander & English Not Well       | 3,191           | 0.6%                | 59,068           | 2.9%                |
| Asian-Pacific Islander & No English             | 222             | 0.0%                | 10,010           | 0.5%                |
| <b>Other Indo-European Language</b>             | <b>12,986</b>   | <b>2.5%</b>         | <b>83,952</b>    | <b>4.2%</b>         |
| Indo-European & English Very Well/Well          | 12,327          | 2.3%                | 78,674           | 3.9%                |
| Indo-European & English Not Well                | 632             | 0.1%                | 4,617            | 0.2%                |
| Indo-European & No English                      | 27              | 0.0%                | 661              | 0.0%                |
| <b>Other Language</b>                           | <b>5,455</b>    | <b>1.0%</b>         | <b>23,044</b>    | <b>1.1%</b>         |
| Other Language & English Very Well/Well         | 5,097           | 1.0%                | 20,408           | 1.0%                |
| Other Language & English Not Well               | 278             | 0.1%                | 2,286            | 0.1%                |
| Other Language & No English                     | 80              | 0.0%                | 350              | 0.0%                |
| <b>Language Spoken at Home</b>                  | <b>527,815</b>  | <b>100%</b>         | <b>2,012,604</b> | <b>100%</b>         |

Source: Esri, 2019

According to recent census data, 29.2% of Spanish speaking Orange County residents aged 18 to 64 years, reported that they spoke English either “not well” or “not at all.” The same study also reported that 22.5% of Asian-Pacific Islanders in Orange County aged 18 to 64, reported that they spoke English either “not well” or “not at all.” Within the age cohort 5-17, under 4% of Orange County residents who speak Spanish, reported that they spoke English either “not well” or “not at all.” Nearly 7% of Orange County residents 5-17 who speak an Asian-Pacific Islander Language, reported that they spoke English either “not well” or “not at all.” Some of these children may be born in the U.S. but live in relatively isolated ethnic enclaves.


CHOC Children’s offers translational services across dozens of languages through a combination of on-site services and third-party vendors.



## CHILD POVERTY

Child poverty has various negative effects on the physical and mental health of children. According to Orange County Children’s Partnerships (OCCP), poverty is linked to substandard housing, homelessness, inadequate nutrition, food insecurity, inadequate child care, lack of access to health care, and generally unsafe neighborhoods. The implications for children living in poverty include greater risk for poor academic achievement, school dropout, abuse and neglect, behavioral and socioemotional problems, physical health problems and developmental delays.

Evidently, poverty is a relevant indicator as it creates barriers to health promotion and management. The overall percentage of residents living in poverty in Orange County is 12.1%. Approximately 16.4% of children (ages 0-17) live in poverty, 5.3% of children live in deep poverty (<50% Federal Poverty Threshold) and 5.8% of Orange County children are considered homeless.<sup>13</sup>



Source: United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey, 2009-2017


<sup>13</sup> U.S. Census Bureau, 2016 American Community Survey. As cited on [www.kidsdata.org](http://www.kidsdata.org), a program of the Lucile Packard Foundation for Children's Health. Retrieved 7/2019.

## HEALTHCARE ACCESS

Access to comprehensive quality health care services is important for promoting and maintaining health, preventing and managing disease, reducing unnecessary disability and premature death, and achieving health equity for all Americans. Health insurance coverage helps patients enter the health care system whereas a lack of adequate health insurance coverage makes it difficult for people to get the health care they need. When families with inadequate health insurance do get care they need, they can be burdened with large medical bills. According to Healthy People 2020, uninsured people are more likely to have a poor health status, are less likely to receive medical care, and are more likely to die prematurely.


Through California’s adoption of the ACA, the percentage of statewide population without health insurance has been greatly reduced to approximately 5%. Orange County generally mirrors enrollment levels observed throughout the state but is slightly more affluent and has 5% more employer-based coverage, 2% more self-pay (direct-purchase coverage), and 4% less Medicaid/Medi-Cal when compared to the rest of the state.

**Health Insurance Coverage 2013-2017 ACS Population <19**



Source: Esri, 2019

Annual health care spending per household in Orange County is approximately 10% higher than the state average at \$7,474 per household compared to \$6,705 at the state level. Health Insurance Premium spending is also 10% higher, with an average household health insurance premium of \$4,450 or \$370 per month.



Source: Esri, 2019

The table below shows the Orange County pediatric population, by insurance coverage type, compared to the state of California for 2019. Overall, the Orange County pediatric population has higher rates of employer-based health insurance and lower rates of Medi-Cal coverage when compared to California. In Orange County, 48.75% of the pediatric population obtain coverage through employer-based insurance and 33.62% through Medi-Cal.

| Orange County Pediatric Population by Insurance Type, 2019 |                      |             |                      |             |
|--|----------------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------|
| Insurance Type   | Orange County        |             | California           |             |
|  | Pediatric Population | Percentage  | Pediatric Population | Percentage  |
| One Type of Health Insurance                               | 692,548              | 91.20%      | 8,691,191            | 90.18%      |
| – Employer-Based Health Ins Only                           | 370,189              | 48.75%      | 4,248,385            | 44.08%      |
| – Direct-Purchase Health Ins Only                          | 63,147               | 8.32%       | 588,224              | 6.10%       |
| – Medicare Coverage Only                                   | 1,847                | 0.24%       | 47,144               | 0.49%       |
| – Medi-Cal Coverage Only                                   | 255,261              | 33.62%      | 3,700,128            | 38.39%      |
| – TRICARE/Military Health Only                             | 2,035                | 0.27%       | 105,534              | 1.10%       |
| – VA Health Care Only                                      | 69                   | 0.01%       | 1,776                | 0.02%       |
| 2+ Types of Health Insurance                               | 31,313               | 4.12%       | 489,325              | 5.08%       |
| No Health Insurance Coverage                               | 35,483               | 4.67%       | 457,257              | 4.74%       |
| <b>Pediatric Population</b>                                | <b>759,344</b>       | <b>100%</b> | <b>9,637,773</b>     | <b>100%</b> |

Source: Esri, 2019

In Orange County, 4.67% of the pediatric population are uninsured which is slightly lower than the state average (4.74%).<sup>14</sup> More Hispanic children continue to have higher uninsured rates than other racial/ethnic groups.<sup>15</sup>

<sup>14</sup> Esri Demographics – 2019 Population Estimates based in US Census and American Communities Survey 2013-2017


<sup>15</sup> Orange County Children’s Partnership (2019). *The 24<sup>th</sup> Annual Report on the Conditions of Children in Orange County* [Report].

## FOOD INSECURITY

According to Healthy People 2020, food insecurity is defined as the disruption of food intake or eating patterns because of a lack of money and other resources—which can be temporary or long-term. Food insecurity is an important social determinant within the economic stability domain. Food insecurity does not necessarily cause hunger; however, hunger is an outcome of food insecurity. Food insecurity may reflect a household’s need to make trade-offs between important basic needs, such as housing or medical bills, and purchasing nutritionally adequate foods.

Having limited food access due to cost can be associated with chronic morbidities, such as obesity and diabetes. Seth A. Berkowitz, Andrew J. Karter and their colleagues conducted a study to analyze the association between food insecurity, low physical food access (food deserts), and glycemic control (diabetes). In March 2018, their study was published in the American Diabetes Association with the conclusion that food insecurity is associated with higher HbA1c (diabetes). However, living in an area with low physical food access (i.e., food deserts) is not associated with diabetes. Therefore, this study supports governmental efforts such as National School Lunch Program (NSLP), the Women, Infants, and Children (WIC) program and the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) to reduce food insecurity.

Orange County Child Food Insecurity Rate



Source: Feeding America, 2012-2017

Feeding America, a not-for-profit organization focusing on food access and nutrition, noted that the food insecurity rate in Orange County, across all age cohorts, was 9.2%. When looking at food insecurity rate for children, Feeding America reported that 15.7% of Orange County children experience food insecurity. This figure has dropped continually since 2012 (21.2%) and is below the California and National child food insecurity rates. Food insecure children are those children living in households experiencing food insecurity.

The table below ranks the Orange County school districts based on number of children eligible to receive either free or reduced priced meals.

| Orange County School Districts by Number of Children Enrolled Eligible for Free or Reduced Priced Meals |                          |                    |               |                        |                                     |               |                        |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------|---------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------|------------------------|
| School District   | Children Enrolled (K-12) | Free Meal Eligible |               |                        | Free or Reduced Price Meal Eligible |               |                        |
|   |                          | Number             | % of District | Above/Below CA Average | Number                              | % of District | Above/Below CA Average |
| Anaheim Elementary  | 17,342                   | 12,931             | 74.6%         | ●                      | 14,656                              | 84.5%         | ●                      |
| Magnolia Elementary   | 5,851                    | 4,487              | 76.7%         | ●                      | 4,886                               | 83.5%         | ●                      |
| Santa Ana Unified   | 51,482                   | 37,867             | 73.6%         | ●                      | 41,542                              | 80.7%         | ●                      |
| SBE - Magnolia Science Academy Santa Ana  | 674                      | 504                | 74.8%         | ●                      | 535                                 | 79.4%         | ●                      |
| La Habra City Elementary  | 4,656                    | 2,980              | 64.0%         | ●                      | 3,463                               | 74.4%         | ●                      |
| Westminster   | 9,120                    | 5,789              | 63.5%         | ●                      | 6,595                               | 72.3%         | ●                      |
| Anaheim Union High  | 30,292                   | 18,888             | 62.4%         | ●                      | 21,604                              | 71.3%         | ●                      |
| Savanna Elementary  | 2,199                    | 1,383              | 62.9%         | ●                      | 1,552                               | 70.6%         | ●                      |
| Garden Grove Unified  | 42,301                   | 25,590             | 60.5%         | ●                      | 29,015                              | 68.6%         | ●                      |
| Buena Park Elementary   | 4,552                    | 2,773              | 60.9%         | ●                      | 3,113                               | 68.4%         | ●                      |
| Orange County Department of Education   | 6,955                    | 4,002              | 57.5%         | ●                      | 4,340                               | 62.4%         | ●                      |
| Centralia Elementary  | 4,221                    | 2,269              | 53.8%         | ●                      | 2,505                               | 59.3%         | ●                      |
| Fullerton Joint Union High  | 13,695                   | 5,711              | 41.7%         | ●                      | 6,719                               | 49.1%         | ●                      |
| Fullerton Elementary  | 13,067                   | 5,650              | 43.2%         | ●                      | 6,386                               | 48.9%         | ●                      |
| Orange Unified  | 27,478                   | 11,797             | 42.9%         | ●                      | 12,942                              | 47.1%         | ●                      |
| Ocean View  | 7,986                    | 3,392              | 42.5%         | ●                      | 3,721                               | 46.6%         | ●                      |
| Newport-Mesa Unified  | 20,641                   | 8,169              | 39.6%         | ●                      | 9,038                               | 43.8%         | ●                      |
| Tustin Unified  | 23,768                   | 7,906              | 33.3%         | ●                      | 9,242                               | 38.9%         | ●                      |
| Huntington Beach Union High   | 15,967                   | 5,359              | 33.6%         | ●                      | 5,974                               | 37.4%         | ●                      |
| Placentia-Yorba Linda Unified   | 25,477                   | 8,538              | 33.5%         | ●                      | 9,496                               | 37.3%         | ●                      |
| Cypress Elementary  | 3,923                    | 1,158              | 29.5%         | ●                      | 1,342                               | 34.2%         | ●                      |
| Brea-Olinda Unified   | 6,008                    | 1,563              | 26.0%         | ●                      | 1,826                               | 30.4%         | ●                      |
| Saddleback Valley Unified   | 26,747                   | 7,236              | 27.1%         | ●                      | 7,972                               | 29.8%         | ●                      |
| Capistrano Unified  | 53,269                   | 12,567             | 23.6%         | ●                      | 13,840                              | 26.0%         | ●                      |
| Fountain Valley Elementary  | 6,328                    | 1,345              | 21.3%         | ●                      | 1,508                               | 23.8%         | ●                      |
| Huntington Beach City Elementary  | 6,949                    | 1,250              | 18.0%         | ●                      | 1,353                               | 19.5%         | ●                      |
| Irvine Unified  | 35,291                   | 5,837              | 16.5%         | ●                      | 6,610                               | 18.7%         | ●                      |
| Los Alamitos Unified  | 9,730                    | 1,328              | 13.6%         | ●                      | 1,634                               | 16.8%         | ●                      |
| Laguna Beach Unified  | 2,861                    | 300                | 10.5%         | ●                      | 330                                 | 11.5%         | ●                      |
| <b>Orange County Total</b>  | <b>478,830</b>           | <b>208,569</b>     | <b>43.6%</b>  | <b>●</b>               | <b>233,739</b>                      | <b>48.8%</b>  | <b>●</b>               |
| <b>California Total</b>   | <b>6,186,628</b>         | <b>3,236,350</b>   | <b>52.3%</b>  |                        | <b>3,675,129</b>                    | <b>59.4%</b>  |                        |

Source: California Department of Education, January 31, 2019


Of the 479,000 students enrolled in public schools in Orange County, approximately 49% are eligible to receive free or reduced-price meals. School districts are often the primary source of nutrition for feeding children growing up with food insecurity. This is especially challenging for school districts with over 75% of students enrolled in the free and reduced-price meal programs.

Food insecurity negatively impacts health outcomes—a statement supported by multiple studies. The Center on the Developing Child at Harvard University stated that “inadequate nutrition can permanently alter a child’s brain architecture and stunt their intellectual capacity, affecting the child’s learning, social interaction, and productivity. Children who do not receive what they need for strong, healthy brain development during early childhood may never recover their lost potential for cognitive growth and eventual contributions to society.”

## UNEMPLOYMENT

Unemployment is a relevant indicator as unemployment creates financial instability and barriers to access including insurance coverage, health services, healthy food, and other necessities that contribute to poor health status. Unemployment status can be a stressor for individuals and their families. According to California Employment Development Department Bureau of Labor Statistics, Orange County’s unemployment rate was 3.0% in August 2019. This is less than the California (4.2%) and national (3.8%) rate.

Unemployment Rate, 2014-2019 YTD




Source: State of California, Employment Development Department, 2014-2019 YTD

## CRIME

Crime can deter individuals from pursuing healthy behaviors. Not only can it increase stress, it can compromise physical safety and psychological well-being. Orange County enjoys one of the nation's lower crime rates. The crime index in Orange County is 77, which is significantly less than California (103 crime index). The city of Irvine is considered to be one of the safest cities in America.

### Orange County Crime Indexes, 2019



Source: Esri, 2019

# HEALTH INDICATORS

## MORTALITY

The overall age-adjusted mortality rate for Orange County is significantly lower than that of California. Orange County reported age-adjusted mortality rates in three causes that did not meet the national objective: cerebrovascular disease, chronic liver disease and cirrhosis, and drug-induced deaths.

| 2019 Mortality Statistics: Rate Per 100,000 Population, All Ages |               |              |                    |            |                    |
|--|---------------|--------------|--------------------|------------|--------------------|
| Selected Cause   | Age Adjusted  |              |                    |            |                    |
|  | Orange County | Above/Below: |                    | California | National Objective |
|  |               | California   | National Objective |            |                    |
| All Causes   | 545.9         | ●            | -                  | 608.5      | a                  |
| All Cancers  | 129.1         | ●            | ●                  | 140.2      | 161.4              |
| Colorectal Cancer  | 1.8           | ●            | ●                  | 12.8       | 14.5               |
| Lung Cancer  | 25.8          | ●            | ●                  | 28.9       | 45.5               |
| Female Breast Cancer   | 18.2          | ●            | ●                  | 19.1       | 20.7               |
| Prostate Cancer  | 17.7          | ●            | ●                  | 19.6       | 21.8               |
| Diabetes   | 13.9          | ●            | -                  | 20.7       | b                  |
| Alzheimer's Disease  | 38.6          | ●            | -                  | 34.2       | a                  |
| Coronary Heart Disease   | 77.2          | ●            | ●                  | 89.1       | 103.4              |
| Cerebrovascular Disease (Stroke)                                 | 35.9          | ●            | ●                  | 35.3       | 34.8               |
| Influenza/Pneumonia  | 15.1          | ●            | -                  | 14.3       | a                  |
| Chronic Lower Respiratory Disease                                | 26.8          | ●            | -                  | 32.1       | a                  |
| Chronic Liver Disease and Cirrhosis                              | 10.7          | ●            | ●                  | 12.2       | 8.2                |
| Accidents (Unintentional Injuries)                               | 26.5          | ●            | ●                  | 30.3       | 36.4               |
| Motor Vehicle Traffic Crashes                                    | 7             | ●            | ●                  | 8.8        | 12.4               |
| Suicide  | 9.3           | ●            | ●                  | 10.4       | 10.2               |
| Homicide   | 2.3           | ●            | ●                  | 5          | 5.5                |
| Firearm-Related Deaths   | 4.6           | ●            | ●                  | 7.6        | 9.3                |
| Drug-Induced Deaths  | 12.1          | ●            | ●                  | 12.2       | 11.3               |

Source: California Department of Public Health

a: Healthy People 2020 (HP 2020) National Objective has not been established.

b: National Objective is based on both underlying and contributing cause of death which requires use of multiple cause of death files. California's data exclude multiple/contributing causes of death.



## INFANT MORTALITY

Infant mortality rate is the death of an infant before his or her first birthday, which is an important indicator of the overall health of a community. According to the American Academy of Pediatrics, the infant mortality rate is a crude indicator of not only community health status, but also poverty and socioeconomic status levels that provide context on the overall availability and quality of local health services.

Between 2007 and 2016, the infant mortality rate in Orange County decreased by 64.3%.<sup>16</sup> The Orange County infant mortality rate (3.1 deaths per 1,000) is lower than that of California (4.4 deaths per 1,000) and the national objective (6.0 deaths per 1,000).

Even with declines in infant mortality, Orange County sees significant disparities ethnically and racially. The Hispanic population's infant mortality rate is 3.8 deaths per 1,000 which is higher than the Orange County rate of 3.1 deaths per 1,000. The White population has the second highest (2.4 deaths per 1,000) and the Asian/Pacific Islander population ranks lowest with 2.1 deaths per 1,000. Despite this fluctuation, all races and ethnicities in Orange County ranked better than the California average (4.4 deaths per 1,000) and Healthy People 2020 national objective (6.0 deaths per 1,000).

| 2019 Infant Mortality Rate Per 1,000 Population |               |              |                    |            |                    |
|---|---------------|--------------|--------------------|------------|--------------------|
| Infant Mortality                                | Age Adjusted  |              |                    |            |                    |
|   | Orange County | Above/Below: |                    | California | National Objective |
|   |               | California   | National Objective |            |                    |
| All Races                                       | 3.1           | ●            | ●                  | 4.4        | 6.0                |
| Asian/ Pacific Islander                         | 2.1           | ●            | ●                  | 3.2        | 6.0                |
| African American                                | n/a*          | -            | -                  | 9.8        | 6.0                |
| Hispanic  | 3.8           | ●            | ●                  | 4.4        | 6.0                |
| White   | 2.4           | ●            | ●                  | 3.6        | 6.0                |

Source: California Department of Public Health

\* Rates are deemed unreliable when based on fewer than 20 data elements

In the 24<sup>th</sup> Annual Report on Conditions of Children in Orange County (2018), the leading causes of infant mortality in Orange County were:

1. Congenital anomalies (birth defects): 33.7%;
2. Maternal causes: 25.6%;
3. Other conditions of perinatal period: 18.7%;
4. All other causes: 9.4%;
5. Short gestation/low birth weight: 9.3%; and
6. Respiratory Distress Syndrome (RDS): 1.2%.

<sup>16</sup> Orange County Children's Partnership (2019). *The 24<sup>th</sup> Annual report on the Conditions of Children in Orange County* [Report].

## NATALITY

Natality, or birth rate, is a population health measure that helps determine the rate of population growth and therefore provide substance to the bigger picture when trying to understand impact of community and health care resource utilization. Natality depends on both level of fertility and the age structure of populations. In Orange County, the natality rate is 11.9 live births per 1,000.

Preterm birth is defined as delivery of an infant at less than 37 weeks of gestation. It is an important public health issue as preterm infants are more likely to suffer lifelong neurologic, cognitive and behavioral problems, which is why preterm birth requires sustained focus on its causes, consequences, and prevention strategies. Reducing preterm births is a Healthy People 2020 LHI with a goal to decrease preterm live births by 10 percent to reach a target of 9.4% by 2020. Currently, the national percentage of preterm births is 9.9%. Both California (8.7%) and Orange County (8.0%) meet the Healthy People 2020 target.

| Orange County Natality Statistics, 2019        |               |              |                    |            |                    |
|--|---------------|--------------|--------------------|------------|--------------------|
| Infant Natality                                | Age Adjusted  |              |                    |            |                    |
|  | Orange County | Above/Below: |                    | California | National Objective |
|  |               | California   | National Objective |            |                    |
| Low Birthweight Infants                        | 6.1%          | ●            | ●                  | 6.9%       | 7.8%               |
| First Trimester Prenatal Care                  | 86.8%         | ●            | ●                  | 83.5%      | 77.9%              |
| Adequate/ Adequate Plus Prenatal Care          | 83.7%         | ●            | ●                  | 77.9%      | 77.6%              |
| Births to Mothers Aged 15-19 (rates per 1,000) | 10.8          | ●            | -                  | 15.7       | a                  |
| Breastfeeding Initiation                       | 95.0%         | ●            | ●                  | 94.0%      | 81.9%              |

Source: California Department of Public Health

a Healthy People 2020 National Objective has not been established.

Teen births (births to mothers aged 15-19 years old) is another public health issue that not only affects children but families and society. Teen mothers are less likely to complete high school or college, more likely to require public assistance, and more likely to live in poverty when compared to peers who are not mothers.<sup>17</sup> Additionally, infants born to teen mothers have lower probability of obtaining emotional and financial resources and are at greater risk for low birth weight, preterm birth, and death in infancy.<sup>18</sup> In Orange County, the teen birth rate is 10.8 births per 1,000, which is considerably less than the California (15.7 births per 1,000) and national (18.8 births per 1,000) teen birth rate.

<sup>17</sup> Orange County Children's Partnership (2019). *The 24<sup>th</sup> Annual report on the Conditions of Children in Orange County* [Report].

<sup>18</sup> Orange County Children's Partnership (2019). *The 24<sup>th</sup> Annual report on the Conditions of Children in Orange County* [Report].

## MORBIDITY

Morbidity refers to communicable diseases within a population—a relevant indicator to understanding community health needs. Morbidity is relevant because current behaviors are determinants of future health. It may illustrate which diseases and conditions are prevalent and who is more likely to become afflicted. In Orange County, the California Department of Public Health reported on the following morbidities affecting the overall population of Orange County.

| Orange County Morbidity Statistics, 2019 |               |              |                    |            |                    |
|--|---------------|--------------|--------------------|------------|--------------------|
| Health Status Indicator                  | Orange County | Above/Below: |                    | California | National Objective |
|  |               | California   | National Objective |            |                    |
| HIV/AIDS Incidence (Age 13 and over)     | 271.5         | ●            |                    | 397.7      | a                  |
| Chlamydia Incidence                      | 401.3         | ●            |                    | 514.6      | c                  |
| Gonorrhea Incidence (Female Age 15-44)   | 143.0         | ●            | ●                  | 252.4      | 251.9              |
| Gonorrhea Incidence (Male Age 15-44)     | 272.4         | ●            | ●                  | 444.8      | 194.8              |
| Tuberculosis Incidence                   | 5.4           | ●            | ●                  | 5.3        | 1.0                |
| Primary Secondary Syphilis Female        | 1.0           | ●            | ●                  | 3.5        | 1.3                |
| Primary Secondary Syphilis Male          | 18.7          | ●            | ●                  | 26.2       | 6.7                |

Source: California Department of Public Health

a: Healthy People (HP) 2020 National Objective has not been established.

c: Prevalence data are not available in all California counties to evaluate HP 2020 National Objective.

Overall, Orange County meets the national objective in two of five available morbidity indicators.

## IMMUNIZATIONS (VACCINES)

Understanding immunization rates is an important public health indicator for overall health of a community. Having kids properly immunized can help prevent many serious and once-common childhood infections. The immunization rate focuses on up-to-date vaccine records of children beginning kindergarten. According to the Orange County Children’s Partnership (OCCP) report, the immunization rates for kindergartners are at their highest level in 10 years. In Orange County, 92.5% of children are properly immunized by the time they reach kindergarten. This rate is similar to that of California.

California already has some of the strictest vaccination laws in the country, preventing children from skipping immunizations unless exemption is provided by a doctor for some type of medical reason. Health advocates are concerned that parents are obtaining exemptions for their children without valid medical reasons after it was reported that since stricter laws took effect in 2017, there has been a 70% increase in medical exceptions for vaccines. In the 2018/2019 school year, there were approximately 1,500 schools in California that had kindergarten vaccination rates below 95%. Orange County reported 125 schools with vaccination rates below 95%. School districts with the lowest vaccination rates in California were Los Angeles Unified School District (Los Angeles County), Capistrano Unified School District (Orange County), and San Diego Unified School District (San Diego County).

Below is a summary report measuring compliance with the school immunization law, conducted in Orange County schools with kindergartens, for the last three school years. The table shows the schools with immunization rates below 85% of total enrollees. The worst offending schools with the lowest immunization rates include: EPIC Charter (28%), Journey School (53%), Capistrano Connections Academy (53%), Capo Beach Christian School (57%), Waldorf School of Orange County (58%), Prospect Elementary (64%), and Anneliese Schools (64%).

| Orange County Immunization Status of Kindergarten Students by School Year |           |                                  |                     |            |             |           |           |
|---|-----------|----------------------------------|---------------------|------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|
| SCHOOL NAME   | PUB/ PRIV | PUBLIC SCHOOL DISTRICT           | CITY                | ENROLLMENT | School Year |           |           |
|   |           |                                  |                     |            | 2016/2017   | 2017/2018 | 2018/2019 |
| EPIC Charter  | Public    | OC Department of Education       | Anaheim             | 68         | -           | -         | 28%       |
| Journey School  | Public    | Capistrano Unified               | Aliso Viejo         | 129        | 42%         | 49%       | 53%       |
| Capistrano Connections Academy  | Public    | Capistrano Unified               | San Juan Capistrano | 121        | 61%         | 49%       | 53%       |
| Capo Beach Christian School   | Private   | -                                | Capistrano Beach    | 28         | -           | ≥95%      | 57%       |
| Waldorf School of Orange County   | Private   | -                                | Costa Mesa          | 33         | 44%         | 57%       | 58%       |
| Prospect Elementary   | Public    | Orange Unified                   | Orange              | 76         | ≥98%        | ≥98%      | 64%       |
| Anneliese Schools   | Private   | -                                | Laguna Beach        | 28         | -           | 60%       | 64%       |
| Mariners Christian  | Private   | -                                | Costa Mesa          | 95         | 88%         | 84%       | 66%       |
| Bethany Christian Academy   | Private   | -                                | Westminster         | 21         | -           | -         | 71%       |
| Our Lady of Guadalupe Elementary  | Private   | -                                | La Habra            | 22         | 91%         | -         | 73%       |
| Blessed Sacrament School  | Private   | -                                | Westminster         | 26         | -           | ≥95%      | 73%       |
| California Elementary   | Public    | Orange Unified                   | Orange              | 96         | 94%         | 88%       | 75%       |
| Vineyard Christian School   | Private   | -                                | Anaheim             | 22         | ≥95%        | -         | 77%       |
| Anneliese Schools   | Private   | -                                | Laguna Beach        | 39         | -           | 60%       | 77%       |
| Our Lady Queen of Angels School   | Private   | -                                | Newport Beach       | 39         | ≥95%        | 87%       | 77%       |
| Our Lady of Fatima Academy  | Private   | -                                | San Clemente        | 41         | -           | -         | 78%       |
| Capistrano Valley Christian Schools                                       | Private   | -                                | San Juan Capistrano | 32         | 73%         | 72%       | 78%       |
| Kinetic Academy   | Public    | Huntington Beach City Elementary | Huntington Beach    | 50         | 82%         | 77%       | 82%       |
| Harbor View Elementary  | Public    | Newport-Mesa Unified             | Corona Del Mar      | 63         | 91%         | 87%       | 83%       |
| Hephatha Lutheran School  | Private   | -                                | Anaheim             | 24         | -           | 92%       | 83%       |
| Trinity Lutheran Christian  | Private   | -                                | Anaheim             | 30         | 81%         | 88%       | 83%       |
| Palm Lane Elementary Charter  | Public    | Anaheim Elementary               | Anaheim             | 83         | -           | -         | 84%       |
| Circle View Elementary  | Public    | Ocean View                       | Huntington Beach    | 108        | 94%         | 96%       | 84%       |

Source: www.shotsforschool.org

## ORAL HEALTH

Oral health is an integral part of overall health and well-being. Untreated tooth decay and gum disease are signs of poor oral health, which can cause unnecessary pain, infection and tooth loss. Largely preventable and treatable, tooth decay remains to be one of the most common chronic disease nationally. It is 5 times more common than asthma.<sup>19</sup> Oral Health is one of 26 Healthy People 2020 LHI with an objective that increases the proportion of children, adolescents, and adults who used the oral health care system in the past year.

The Orange County Local Oral Health Program (OC-LOHP) conducted a six-month assessment to create an Oral Health Strategic Plan for 2018-2022. Within Orange County, they found that the utilization of dental services by the Medi-Cal child population is low and varies significantly by age, with Orange County’s youngest and oldest children utilizing services at a rate lower than their counterparts. Utilization of services by Medi-Cal eligible children is higher than the California average but falls short of statewide targets.

OC-LOHP found that the primary reason for non-utilization of dental services by children 0-5 years of age, as reported by parent/guardian, was “cost” (although Medi-Cal covers all dental services) followed by “not having a

<sup>19</sup> OC Healthier Together (2018). *OC Oral Health Strategic Plan 2018-2022* [Report].

dentist/difficulty finding one” among 6-18-year-olds. From the assessment, they found that only 3% of all active dentists in Orange County are pediatric dentists.

According to County Health Rankings for Orange County, there are 110 dentists per 100,000 population which places Orange County in top 50<sup>th</sup> percentile when compared to state and national levels.<sup>20</sup> Per American Academy of Pediatric Dentists, parents and other care providers help every child establish a dental home by 12 months of age.<sup>21</sup>

Additionally, the CDC noted that pregnant women are more prone to gum disease and cavities which can affect the baby’s health. Within Orange County, OC-LOHP found that only half the pregnant women (50%) reported receiving any dental care during pregnancy. Low-income pregnant women in Orange County constitute an underserved population that faces barriers in utilizing dental services during pregnancy and has limited access to information about oral health practices and resources.

In addition to low-income status, disparities by race and ethnicity exist among pregnant woman. OC-LOHP found that Black and Latina women had the lowest utilization rates (39.8% and 42.4% respectively) followed by Asian women (51.6%). All non-White groups of women utilized dental services at a lower rate during pregnancy than utilization rates for White women in Orange County and utilization rates for Black and Latina women were also lower than the Orange County average (50%).


---

<sup>20</sup> Conduent Healthy Communities Institute, 2017 County Health Rankings. As cited in [OHealthierTogether.org](http://OHealthierTogether.org), an initiative led by HIP. Retrieved 8/2019.

<sup>21</sup> OC Healthier Together (2018). *2018-2022 OC Oral Health Strategic Plan* [Report].

## SUICIDE

The child suicide rate is an important indicator for public health advocates and policymakers. Suicide rates for children have increased 150% from 1.0 per 100,000 children in 2013 to 2.5 per 100,000 children in 2016 (the latest year available). Suicide is listed as the third leading cause of death in Orange County behind unintentional injuries and cancer.



Source: OC Children's Partnership (2019). The 24th Annual report on the Conditions of Children in OC


## MENTAL HEALTH AND AUTISM

The presence of behavioral health disorders can impact not only individuals and their families but also systems within the community, such as schools or the juvenile justice system.<sup>22</sup> Behavioral health is an LHI of Healthy People 2020 with primary objectives focusing on reducing the proportion of adolescents aged 12-17 years old who experience major depressive episodes (MDEs). The CDC lists the following set of facts on the widespread abundance of mental health disorders affecting children across the nation:

- 9.4% of children aged 2-17 years have received an ADHD diagnosis;<sup>23</sup>
- 7.4% of children aged 3-17 years have a diagnosed behavior problem;
- 7.1% of children aged 3-17 years have diagnosed anxiety;
- 3.2% of children aged 3-17 years have diagnosed depression; and
- 1 in 6 U.S. children aged 2–8 years (17.4%) had a diagnosed mental, behavioral, or developmental disorder.

One measure to determine demand for mental health services is to study the age-adjusted Emergency Room (ER) rate, of patients under 18, with a primary diagnosis related to mental health. Orange County has 29.8 ER visits per 10,000 populations under 18 years old.<sup>24</sup> As seen in the graph below, the age cohort with the highest ER utilization was age cohort 15-17 (93.7 per 10,000), followed by age cohort 10-14 (59.7 per 10,000), and age cohort 5-9 (7.0 per 10,000).

Orange County Emergency Department Rate due to Mental Health by Age Cohort



Source: [ohealthiertogether.org](http://ohealthiertogether.org) and the Office of Statewide Health Planning and Development, 2017

Compared to other California counties, Orange County falls within the top 50% of counties who do well in addressing ER utilization for mental health. According to the Orange County's Healthier Together (an initiative led by the Health Improvement Partnership), treatment for mental disorders is a major cause of hospitalization for children and adolescents between the ages of 10 and 21 years. As for hospitalization rate due to pediatric mental health, Orange County's age-adjusted rate is 18.5 hospitalizations per 10,000 populations under 18-year-

<sup>22</sup> Orange County Children's Partnership (2019). *The 24<sup>th</sup> Annual report on the Conditions of Children in Orange County* [Report].


<sup>23</sup> Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) is a disorder that makes it difficult for a person to pay attention and control impulsive behaviors. He or she may also be restless and almost constantly active.

<sup>24</sup> Conduent Healthy Communities Institute, 2015-2017 CA Office of Statewide Health Planning and Development. As cited on [OHealthierTogether.org](http://OHealthierTogether.org), an initiative led by HIP. Retrieved 8/2019.

olds.<sup>25</sup> The age group who have significantly higher hospitalization rates due to pediatric mental health is the 15 to 17-year-old with 68.6 hospitalizations/10,000 populations under 18-year-olds.

According to KidsData.org, a Stanford Lucile Packard program, approximately 19% of all Orange County children, ages three to 22 years old, in special education, have been diagnosed with autism, a dramatic increase from 2000 when less than 3% were diagnosed with autism. The autism rate in Orange County is the highest in the state, followed by Los Angeles County (17.0%), Tuolumne County (16.9%), and Butte County (16.8%).

### Percentage of Special Education Students Diagnosed with Autism by County, 2018



Source: KidsData.org and the California Department of Education, 2018

<sup>25</sup> Conduent Healthy Communities Institute, 2015-2017 CA Office of Statewide Health Planning and Development. As cited on [OHealthierTogether.org](http://OHealthierTogether.org), an initiative led by HIP. Retrieved 8/2019.



## SUBSTANCE ABUSE

Drug addiction, also called substance use disorder, is a disease that affects a person's brain and behavior and leads to an inability to control the use of a legal or illegal drug or medication. Substances such as alcohol, marijuana, and nicotine are considered drugs. Sometimes it is difficult to distinguish signs of drug use, which the Mayo Clinic identified as:

- Problems at school or work;
- Physical health issues, such as lack of energy and motivation, weight loss or gain, or red eyes;
- Neglected appearance;
- Changes in behavior; and
- Indebtedness.

Healthy People 2020 focuses on reducing substance abuse to protect the health, safety, and quality of life for all people, especially children. The effects of substance abuse affect individuals, families and communities. The Healthy People 2020 substance abuse LHI objective is to reduce the number of adolescents using alcohol or illicit drugs in the past 30 days by 10%.

Overall, Orange County youth reported less alcohol and drug use (AOD) when compared to California when assessing alcohol consumption and drug use in the past 30 days:

| 2013-2015 Alcohol/Drug Use on School Property in Past Month, by Grade Level |               |                 |            |                 |              |            |
|---|---------------|-----------------|------------|-----------------|--------------|------------|
| Grade Level   | Orange County |                 |            |                 | California   |            |
|   | Some AOD Use  | Above/ Below CA | No AOD Use | Above/ Below CA | Some AOD Use | No AOD Use |
| 7th Grade   | 3.9%          | ●               | 96.1%      | ●               | 4.1%         | 95.9%      |
| 9th Grade   | 7.5%          | ●               | 92.5%      | ●               | 7.5%         | 92.5%      |
| 11th Grade  | 6.8%          | ●               | 93.2%      | ●               | 7.5%         | 92.5%      |
| Non-Traditional   | 21.1%         | ●               | 78.9%      | ●               | 25.6%        | 74.4%      |

Source: WestEd, California Healthy Kids Survey. California Department of Education (Jul. 2017).

According to OC Health Care Agency (OCHCA), although Orange County youth are reporting less alcohol and drug use compared to statewide averages, Orange County is seeing an increase in drug-related overdose deaths (of ~80% between 2000-2017) across all age groups.

### Opioid

According to OCHCA, drug overdose (poisoning) is now the leading cause of unintentional injury death in the United States, causing more deaths than motor vehicle crashes. Opioids – both prescription painkillers and heroin – are responsible for most of those deaths. Nationally, there is sizeable attention to the growing opioid epidemic. The number of Californians affected by prescription and non-prescription opioid misuse and overdose is substantial, with rates varying significantly across counties, and even within counties. The OCHCA noted that coastal cities of Orange County are reporting higher opioid use compared to other cities within the county.

## Alcohol

According to research by the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism, adolescents who begin drinking at a young age are more likely to develop alcohol dependence than those who begin drinking at age 21. Patterns formed during adolescence play a critical role in health throughout adulthood. Alcohol use also impairs judgment and can lead to other high-risk behaviors such as driving while intoxicated.

As part of the California Healthy Kids Survey, youth were asked if they had ridden in a car driven by someone who had been drinking alcohol or if they had ever driven a car when they had been drinking alcohol in their lifetime. Compared to California, Orange County youth were less likely to drink and drive or ride with someone who had been drinking alcohol. However, of concern is the high percentage of 9<sup>th</sup> grade (12.2%) and 11<sup>th</sup> grade (16.9%) students who reported drinking and driving or riding with someone who had been drinking.

| 2013-2015 Drinking and Driving or Riding with a Driver Who Has Been Drinking, by Grade Level |               |             |                         |             |             |                         |
|--|---------------|-------------|-------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------------------|
| Number   | Orange County |             |                         | California  |             |                         |
|  | 9th Grade     | 11th Grade  | Non-Traditional program | 9th Grade   | 11th Grade  | Non-Traditional program |
| 0 times  | 87.9%         | 83.0%       | 62.7%                   | 86.2%       | 81.9%       | 67.0%                   |
| 1 + times  | 12.2%         | 16.9%       | 37.2%                   | 13.8%       | 18.0%       | 33.1%                   |
| 1 time   | 4.5%          | 5.3%        | 7.2%                    | 4.4%        | 6.5%        | 6.5%                    |
| 2 times  | 3.0%          | 4.3%        | 8.3%                    | 3.4%        | 4.4%        | 4.8%                    |
| 3-6 times  | 2.4%          | 3.8%        | 9.9%                    | 3.2%        | 3.7%        | 10.6%                   |
| 7 or more times  | 2.3%          | 3.5%        | 11.8%                   | 2.8%        | 3.4%        | 11.2%                   |
| <b>Total</b>   | <b>100%</b>   | <b>100%</b> | <b>100%</b>             | <b>100%</b> | <b>100%</b> | <b>100%</b>             |

Source: WestEd, California Healthy Kids Survey. California Department of Education (Jul. 2017).

In Orange County, 9<sup>th</sup> grade (14-15 years old) and 11<sup>th</sup> grade (16-17 years old) students are less likely to drink and drive or ride with someone who has been drinking when compared to students in non-traditional programs.

## Vaping

Vaping is a more recent phenomenon that is quickly building traction with teens and young adults. Vaping is the inhaling of aerosol from a vaping device that heats a liquid that can contain various flavored substances including nicotine, marijuana (THC), and cannabidiol (CBD). These devices are frequently referred to as e-cigarettes, e-cigs, vapes, vape pens, electronic vaporizers, pod mods, or pod systems. According to the CDC, more than 3.5 million teens reported using e-cigarettes in 2018. This is a national increase of more than 1.5 million teens from 2017. From 2017 to 2018, use of e-cigarettes across the United States increased from 11.7% to 20.8% among high school students and from 3.3% to 4.9% among middle school students.

On September 24, 2019, the California Department of Public Health (CDPH) released a health advisory related to the public health risks posed by vaping any product. The advisory stated that as of June 2019 in California, CDPH has received reports that two people have died and 90 people with a history of vaping were hospitalized with severe breathing problems and lung damage. The CDPH's studies show lung damage from vaping to be sudden, effecting both young people who have not been vaping for a long time, and healthy people who do not have a history of lung disease. According to the CDPH, teenagers and young adults make up nearly 50% of all breathing related hospitalizations from vaping in California.

Aside from the physical health risks associated with vaping and the use of e-cigarettes, the California Department of Education reports that extended use of these products can also lead to mental and behavioral health risks including depression, anxiety, and other mood disorders.

The CDPH has the following recommendations for the public:

- Quit vaping altogether, no matter the substance or source. Those that continue to vape are urged to avoid buying any vaping products on the street and never modify a store-bought vape product;
- Anyone who has in the past few months vaped and is having problems with breathing, or other related symptoms, should seek medical care immediately; and
- Do not replace vaping with smoking combustible cigarettes but rather ask your doctor for FDA-approved quitting treatments.

In 2018, there were 86 vaping retail outlets in Orange County, down 75% from the number reported in 2014/2015. The decrease in the number of stores is related to a 2017 enacted California law that increased the minimum age of purchase to 21 years of age, as well as requiring such stores to apply and retain a California retailer tobacco license.

According to a California Healthy Kids survey, 27.5% of high school students, and 13.4% of middle-school students, reported having tried vaping. These statistics are higher than the national average reported by the CDC.

## **ASTHMA**


Asthma is a long-term condition in which a person's air passage becomes inflamed. It is a serious public health problem, especially in Orange County, as it can cause missed school days, daily activity limitations, ER visits, and even hospitalization. Additionally, studies have shown that asthma disproportionately affects low-income and minority children.

14.1% of Orange County's pediatric population have been diagnosed with asthma, which is a growing trend.<sup>26</sup>

---

<sup>26</sup> Conduent Healthy Communities Institute, 2014-2015 California Health Interview Survey. As cited on [OHealthierTogether.org](http://OHealthierTogether.org), an initiative led by HIP. Retrieved 8/2019.

### Orange County (Age Cohort 0-17) Bronchitis & Asthma Emergency Department Admits by Year, 2012-2017



Source: OSHPD Discharge Database, 2012-2017

According to OSHPD, bronchitis and asthma related diagnoses consistently rank as the number one reason patients 0-17 are admitted through the emergency department in Orange County. The admits per 1,000 patients remained steady between 2012 and 2014 before spiking to 1.23 admits per 1,000 in 2016 and 1.17 admits per 1,000 in 2017.

## OBESITY AND DIABETES

According to Orange County’s Healthier Together, obesity and diabetes are major contributors to the leading causes of death including heart disease, stroke, and certain cancers. Diabetes is itself a major cause of death and the rate of those living with diabetes has been increasing in the last 30 years. The increasing trend of obesity and diabetes is heavily influenced by a person’s community and health habits.

The CDC defines childhood obesity as a child who is well above the normal or healthy weight for his/her age and height. The CDC noted that more than one-third (35%) of U.S. Children ages 2-19 are overweight or obese. Childhood obesity can cause chronic diseases, such as Type 2 diabetes which is a condition of having high blood sugar levels. Although Type 2 diabetes most often develops in people over the age of 45, more children, teens, and young adults are developing it.

To tackle this national issue, Healthy People 2020 LHI is focused on reducing the proportion of children and adolescents aged 2-19 years old who are considered obese.

The obesity population among youth in Orange County is less than that of California and is on target for Healthy People 2020 LHI. The table to the right shows Orange County 5th grade students who are obese, by school district.

Although the overall percentage of Orange County 5<sup>th</sup> graders (18.4%) who are obese is lower than the California average (21.3%), many school districts meet neither the state average or national objective (14.5%).

Of the 26 public school districts serving 5<sup>th</sup> graders in Orange County, only eight meet the national objective; Laguna Beach Unified School District (3.5%) recorded the lowest percentage followed by Irvine Unified School District (7.1%), Huntington Beach Unified School District (8.9%), Capistrano Unified School District (9.8%), and Fountain Valley Elementary School District (9.9%).


However, 16 of 26 Orange County school districts fall short of the national objective, nine of which do not meet the California average either. The school districts with the highest percentage of obese 5<sup>th</sup> graders in Orange County include; La Habra City Elementary School District (33.3%), Buena Park Elementary School District (29.6%), Anaheim City Elementary School District (29.5%), Santa Ana Unified School District (28.1%), and Magnolia Elementary School District (26.1%).

| 5th Grade Students who are Obese by School District, 2017/2018 |                  |              |            |                    |
|--|------------------|--------------|------------|--------------------|
| Location   | Percentage Obese | Above/Below: |            |                    |
|  |                  | OC Average   | California | National Objective |
| La Habra City Elementary                                       | 33.0%            | ●            | ●          | ●                  |
| Buena Park Elementary  | 29.6%            | ●            | ●          | ●                  |
| Anaheim City Elementary  | 29.5%            | ●            | ●          | ●                  |
| Santa Ana Unified  | 28.1%            | ●            | ●          | ●                  |
| Magnolia Elementary  | 26.1%            | ●            | ●          | ●                  |
| Savanna Elementary   | 25.9%            | ●            | ●          | ●                  |
| Orange Unified   | 23.5%            | ●            | ●          | ●                  |
| Garden Grove Unified   | 21.9%            | ●            | ●          | ●                  |
| Westminster Elementary   | 21.9%            | ●            | ●          | ●                  |
| Centralia Elementary   | 20.6%            | ●            | ●          | ●                  |
| Fullerton Elementary   | 18.4%            | ●            | ●          | ●                  |
| Brea-Olinda Unified  | 18.2%            | ●            | ●          | ●                  |
| Newport-Mesa Unified   | 16.6%            | ●            | ●          | ●                  |
| Ocean View Elementary  | 16.4%            | ●            | ●          | ●                  |
| Tustin Unified   | 16.3%            | ●            | ●          | ●                  |
| Placentia-Yorba Linda Unified                                  | 15.4%            | ●            | ●          | ●                  |
| Saddleback Valley Unified                                      | 13.1%            | ●            | ●          | ●                  |
| Cypress Elementary   | 12.4%            | ●            | ●          | ●                  |
| Los Alamitos Unified   | 10.4%            | ●            | ●          | ●                  |
| Fountain Valley Elementary                                     | 9.9%             | ●            | ●          | ●                  |
| Capistrano Unified   | 9.8%             | ●            | ●          | ●                  |
| Huntington Beach City Elementary                               | 8.9%             | ●            | ●          | ●                  |
| Irvine Unified   | 7.1%             | ●            | ●          | ●                  |
| Laguna Beach Unified   | 3.5%             | ●            | ●          | ●                  |
| Orange County  | 18.4%            | -            | ●          | ●                  |
| California   | 21.3%            | ●            | -          | ●                  |

Note: Healthy People 2020 Objective for Obesity in Children and Adolescents = 14.5%

Source: California Department of Education

Much of the disparity in 5<sup>th</sup> grade obesity rates can be traced to ethnicity and race. The table below shows 5<sup>th</sup> grade obesity rates by ethnicity/race in Orange County.



Source: California Department of Education, 2017/2018

According to the California Department of Education, over 38% of Orange County’s Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander 5<sup>th</sup> graders are obese. This is nearly three times the national objective and twice the average of Orange County overall. Also concerning is the high Latino obesity rate among 5<sup>th</sup> graders (26.8%), nearly twice that of the national objective. The Asian (8%) and White (9.9%) 5<sup>th</sup> grade obesity rates were considerably lower than the Orange County average (18.4%), California average (21.3%), and national objective (14.5%).

Obesity statistics by ethnicity and race are an important measure as cultural perspectives on obesity can pose challenges for understanding the extent of the problem leading to a lack of precautionary measures. Proper physical activity and nutrition are necessary actions for preventing obesity and diabetes.

## PHYSICAL FITNESS

Physical fitness helps maintain proper weight and prevent obesity, diabetes, and its associated risks. The table below shows that Orange County 5<sup>th</sup>, 7<sup>th</sup>, and 9<sup>th</sup> grade students perform better or on par with statewide averages when comparing aerobic capacity, body composition, abdominal strength, trunk extension strength, upper body strength, and flexibility.


| 2017-18 California Physical Fitness Report:<br>Percentage of Students, by Grade, in Need of Physical Improvement by Fitness Area |   |                |                 |   |                |                 |   |                |                 |
|--|---|----------------|-----------------|---|----------------|-----------------|---|----------------|-----------------|
| Physical Fitness Area  | % Grade 5 Students in Need of Improvement |                |                 | % Grade 7 Students in Need of Improvement |                |                 | % Grade 9 Students in Need of Improvement |                |                 |
|  | OC  | CA             | Above/<br>Below | OC  | CA             | Above/<br>Below | OC  | CA             | Above/<br>Below |
| Aerobic Capacity   | 25.6%                                     | 31.4%          | ●               | 19.9%                                     | 26.4%          | ●               | 18.6%                                     | 24.6%          | ●               |
| Body Composition   | 17.8%                                     | 19.2%          | ●               | 17.5%                                     | 19.2%          | ●               | 16.4%                                     | 18.9%          | ●               |
| Abdominal Strength   | 27.0%                                     | 29.9%          | ●               | 18.1%                                     | 21.6%          | ●               | 12.5%                                     | 17.6%          | ●               |
| Trunk Extension Strength   | 13.9%                                     | 16.1%          | ●               | 12.7%                                     | 13.4%          | ●               | 10.5%                                     | 10.4%          | ●               |
| Upper Body Strength  | 34.4%                                     | 38.0%          | ●               | 31.3%                                     | 35.3%          | ●               | 22.2%                                     | 30.3%          | ●               |
| Flexibility  | 26.6%                                     | 28.5%          | ●               | 17.8%                                     | 20.6%          | ●               | 14.0%                                     | 15.7%          | ●               |
| <b>Total Students</b>  | <b>35,146</b>                             | <b>458,099</b> |                 | <b>36,172</b>                             | <b>455,075</b> |                 | <b>37,218</b>                             | <b>446,738</b> |                 |

Source: California Department of Education

According to the U.S. Department of Health and Human Resources, regular physical activity can produce long-term health benefits including prevention of chronic diseases such as heart disease, cancer, and stroke (the three leading health-related causes of death), control weight, reduce fat, promote strong bone, muscle, and joint development, condition heart and lungs, build overall strength and endurance, improve sleep, decrease potential for becoming depressed, increased energy and self-esteem, relieve stress, and ultimately increase your chances of living longer.

## NUTRITION

In addition to physical fitness, children need good nutrition to foster healthy growth and development and to maintain proper weight. A proper diet is important to prevent risks of chronic diseases. One of 26 Healthy People 2020 LHI is to increase the contribution of total vegetables to the diets of the population aged 2 years and older.



Source: [ohealthiertogether.org](http://ohealthiertogether.org), 2012-2016

Of Orange County’s children and teens aged 2-17 years old, 75.1% eat at least two servings of fruits per day—which is high compared to other California counties.<sup>27</sup>

<sup>27</sup> Conduent Healthy Communities Institute, 2015-2016 CA Health Interview Survey. As cited on [OHealthierTogether.org](http://OHealthierTogether.org), an initiative led by HIP. Retrieved 8/2019.



## BREASTFEEDING

Breastfeeding is an important health indicator, especially for the CHOC Children’s population, because breastfeeding provides many benefits for healthy infant growth and development. Breastfeeding provides benefits to the mother as it can mitigate maternal risks of postpartum bleeding, post-menopausal osteoporosis, and cancer of the breast and ovaries.<sup>28</sup> Additionally, breastfeeding helps the entire family with food security and income because the family income does not have to be spent on buying formula.

| In-Hospital Breastfeeding of Newborns |               |            |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|------------|
|                                       | Orange County | California |
| Exclusive Breastfeeding               | 65.80%        | 69.80%     |
| Any Breastfeeding                     | 94.80%        | 94.00%     |

Source: Kidsdata.org, (2019)

In Orange County, the percentage of newborns who were exclusively fed breast milk during their hospitalization is 65.8%, less than that of California. The percentage of newborns who were breastfed exclusively *and* those who received both breast milk and formula was 94.8% in Orange County.

---

<sup>28</sup> Orange County Children’s Partnership (2019). *The 24<sup>th</sup> Annual report on the Conditions of Children in Orange County* [Report].

# COMMUNITY ASSETS

To fully understand the strengths or positive attributes of Orange County, the following section analyzes Orange County’s community resources which can be utilized to improve the quality of community life. Also, see Appendix E for a list of community assets identified by community members.

## ACCESS TO PRIMARY CARE

A lack of access to primary care presents barriers to preventative care and good health. The supply and accessibility of primary care physicians, the type and lack of insurance coverage, poverty level, transportation obstacles, and cultural and language competency affect access. Individuals in communities affected by barriers to primary care have shown increased rates of morbidity, mortality, and emergency department hospitalizations. These can all be reduced if residents have access to primary care services, including health screenings, routine tests, and vaccinations.

If an average pediatrician performs 5,000 primary care encounters per year, we can estimate the number of full-time-equivalent (FTE) physicians practicing in the market.

| Orange County Primary Care Visits, 0-18: CY 2018 |                |                                  |
|--|----------------|----------------------------------|
| Metric   | Encounters     | Estimated FTE @<br>5,000 Enc/FTE |
| Visits Rendered by Primary Care Physicians in OC | 2,210,990      | 442                              |
| Visits Consumed by OC Residents                  | 1,843,550      | 369                              |
| <b>Difference</b>                                | <b>367,440</b> | <b>73</b>                        |

Source: BluePrint Claims Data, CY 2018

In 2018, primary care physicians with an office location based inside of Orange County rendered over 2.2 million patient visits. At the same time, Orange County residents aged 0-18 consumed approximately 1.8 million patient visits in Orange County. This means that Orange County is net-provider of pediatric primary care visits to the point that over 360,000 pediatric visits were rendered to patients living outside of Orange County by physicians practicing inside Orange County. Assuming the average pediatrician performs 5,000 primary care encounters per year, we can estimate the number of full-time-equivalent (FTE) physicians practicing in the market. Using this methodology, Orange County is a net supplier of pediatric primary care services with the equivalent of 442 providers operating in the county and local patient demand for only 369 providers. In other words, there is an excess of 73 primary care providers in Orange County that are serving patients originating from Los Angeles, San Bernardino, and Riverside - counties that have known primary care physician shortages.

## FACILITIES

### Pediatric Acute Capacity Analysis

CHOC at Orange (158 licensed beds) and CHOC at Mission (24 licensed beds) have a combined 182 licensed inpatient pediatric beds. CHOC at Orange reported 10,509 inpatient discharges, 36,650 patient days resulting in an occupancy rate of 63.6% and an average daily census of 100.4 patients. CHOC at Mission reported 1,557 inpatient discharges, 3,167 patient days resulting in an occupancy rate of 36.2% and an average daily census of 8.7 patients. Service area hospitals run at a combined 60.2% occupancy rate based upon 207 licensed inpatient pediatric beds. Therefore, on average, there are approximately 80 licensed pediatric beds available in the service area.

Also assessed was bed utilization at hospitals located outside of the service area but within 25-mile radius of CHOC at Orange. In total, there are an additional 250 licensed inpatient pediatric beds, within 25-mile radius of CHOC at Orange, across seven hospital providers resulting in an occupancy rate of 35.1%.

On average, there is an excess of 244 licensed inpatient pediatric beds when aggregating all hospitals within the service area and within a 25-mile radius of CHOC at Orange. This indicates that the service area, and surrounding area, have a sufficient number of inpatient pediatric beds serving the community.

| LICENSED INPATIENT PEDIATRIC BEDS WITHIN 25-MILE RADIUS OF CHOC AT ORANGE, 2018 |  |   |                        |                  |                       |                 |              |                   |                   |
|---|--|---|------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|--------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Hospital  | Driving Distance<br>from CHOC at<br>Orange (miles) | Driving Distance<br>from CHOC at<br>Mission (miles) | Within<br>Service Area | Licensed<br>Beds | Patient<br>Discharges | Patient<br>Days | ADC          | Occupancy<br>Rate | Available<br>Beds |
| CHOC at Orange  | -  | 21.3  | X                      | 158              | 10,509                | 36,650          | 100.4        | 63.6%             | 58                |
| CHOC at Mission   | 21.3   | -   | X                      | 24               | 1,557                 | 3,167           | 8.7          | 36.2%             | 15                |
| Kaiser Foundation Hospital - Anaheim  | 7.7  | 26.2  | X                      | 12               | 921                   | 2,511           | 6.9          | 57.3%             | 5                 |
| Fountain Valley Regional Hospital   | 8.7  | 21.8  | X                      | 13               | 1,211                 | 3,185           | 8.7          | 67.1%             | 4                 |
| <b>Service Area Sub-Total</b>   |  |   |                        | <b>207</b>       | <b>14,198</b>         | <b>45,513</b>   | <b>124.7</b> | <b>60.2%</b>      | <b>82</b>         |
| St. Mary Medical Center - Long Beach  | 19.6   | 37.1  |                        | 16               | 328                   | 861             | 2.4          | 14.7%             | 14                |
| Kaiser Foundation Hospital - Downey   | 20.6   | 40.6  |                        | 17               | 549                   | 2,091           | 5.7          | 33.7%             | 11                |
| Miller Children's Hospital  | 21.3   | 39.2  |                        | 138              | 7,573                 | 21,469          | 58.8         | 42.6%             | 79                |
| Beverly Hospital  | 24.9   | 45.4  |                        | 15               | 486                   | 1,171           | 3.2          | 21.4%             | 12                |
| Corona Regional Medical Center  | 25.1   | 35.5  |                        | 5                | 150                   | 298             | 0.8          | 16.3%             | 4                 |
| Pomona Valley Hospital Medical Center   | 26.2   | 46.3  |                        | 34               | 970                   | 2,273           | 6.2          | 18.3%             | 28                |
| LAC/Harbor-UCLA Medical Center  | 29.7   | 47.2  |                        | 25               | 1,550                 | 3,908           | 10.7         | 42.8%             | 14                |
| <b>25-Mile Radius Sub-Total</b>   |  |   |                        | <b>250</b>       | <b>11,606</b>         | <b>32,071</b>   | <b>87.9</b>  | <b>35.1%</b>      | <b>162</b>        |
| <b>Total</b>  |  |   |                        | <b>457</b>       | <b>25,804</b>         | <b>77,584</b>   | <b>212.6</b> | <b>46.5%</b>      | <b>244</b>        |

Source: OSHPD Disclosure Reports, FY 2018

### Neonatal Intensive Care (NICU) Capacity Analysis

CHOC at Orange (104 licensed beds) and CHOC at Mission (22 licensed beds) have a combined 126 licensed inpatient NICU beds. CHOC at Orange reported 786 inpatient discharges, 17,719 patient days resulting in an occupancy rate of 46.7% and an average daily census of 48.5 patients. CHOC at Mission reported 204 inpatient discharges, 2,983 patient days resulting in an occupancy rate of 37.1% and an average daily census of 8.2 patients. Service area hospitals run at a combined 47.3% occupancy rate based upon 4,227 licensed inpatient NICU beds. Therefore, on average, there are approximately 179 licensed NICU beds available in the service area.

Also assessed was bed utilization at hospitals located outside of the service area but within 25-mile radius of CHOC at Orange. In total, there are an additional 300 licensed inpatient NICU beds, within 25-mile radius of CHOC at Orange, across eight hospital providers resulting in an occupancy rate of 50.1%.

On average, there is an excess of 328 licensed inpatient NICU beds when aggregating all hospitals within the service area and within a 25-mile radius of CHOC at Orange. This indicates that the service area, and surrounding area, have a sufficient number of inpatient NICU beds serving the community.

| LICENSED INPATIENT NEONATAL BEDS WITHIN 25-MILE RADIUS OF CHOC AT ORANGE |                |                 |          |            |              |                |              |              |            |
|--|----------------|-----------------|----------|------------|--------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|------------|
| Hospital   | Driving        | Driving         | Within   | Licensed   | Discharges   | Patient        | ADC          | Occupancy    | Available  |
|  | Distance from  | Distance from   |          |            |              |                |              |              |            |
|  | CHOC at        | CHOC at         |          |            |              |                |              |              |            |
|  | Orange (miles) | Mission (miles) |          |            |              |                |              |              |            |
| <b>CHOC at Orange</b>  | -              | <b>21.3</b>     | <b>X</b> | <b>104</b> | <b>786</b>   | <b>17,719</b>  | <b>48.5</b>  | <b>46.7%</b> | <b>55</b>  |
| <b>CHOC at Mission</b>   | <b>21.3</b>    | -               | <b>X</b> | <b>22</b>  | <b>204</b>   | <b>2,983</b>   | <b>8.2</b>   | <b>37.1%</b> | <b>14</b>  |
| UC Irvine Medical Center   | 2.1            | 22.6            | X        | 45         | 461          | 10,416         | 28.5         | 63.4%        | 16         |
| Garden Grove Hospital and Medical Center                                 | 3.1            | 23.4            | X        | 12         | 99           | 855            | 2.3          | 19.5%        | 10         |
| Orange County Global Medical Center                                      | 3.5            | 18.3            | X        | 24         | 121          | 1,000          | 2.7          | 11.4%        | 21         |
| AHMC Anaheim Regional Medical Center                                     | 7.7            | 27.7            | X        | 11         | 580          | 2,422          | 6.6          | 60.3%        | 4          |
| Kaiser Foundation Hospital - Anaheim                                     | 8.5            | 26.2            | X        | 26         | 481          | 8,438          | 23.1         | 88.9%        | 3          |
| Fountain Valley Regional Hospital  | 8.7            | 21.0            | X        | 23         | 364          | 2,962          | 8.1          | 35.3%        | 15         |
| MemorialCare Orange Coast Medical Center                                 | 10.4           | 21.8            | X        | 12         | 135          | 894            | 2.4          | 20.4%        | 10         |
| St. Jude Medical Center  | 11.7           | 30.9            | X        | 14         | 208          | 2,073          | 5.7          | 40.6%        | 8          |
| Kaiser Foundation Hospital - Irvine                                      | 12.6           | 11.3            | X        | 6          | 185          | 2,355          | 6.5          | 107.5%       | 0          |
| Hoag Memorial Hospital Presbyterian                                      | 13.9           | 19.4            | X        | 21         | 431          | 4,520          | 12.4         | 59.0%        | 9          |
| MemorialCare Saddleback Medical Center                                   | 16.4           | 6.7             | X        | 19         | 172          | 1,907          | 5.2          | 27.5%        | 14         |
| <b>Service Area Sub-Total</b>  |                |                 |          | <b>339</b> | <b>4,227</b> | <b>58,544</b>  | <b>160.4</b> | <b>47.3%</b> | <b>179</b> |
| PIH Health Hospital - Whittier   | 19.4           | 39.4            |          | 34         | 383          | 4,376          | 12.0         | 35.3%        | 22         |
| St. Mary Medical Center - Long Beach                                     | 19.6           | 37.1            |          | 25         | 177          | 2,916          | 8.0          | 32.0%        | 17         |
| Kaiser Foundation Hospital - Downey                                      | 20.6           | 40.6            |          | 49         | 528          | 11,189         | 30.7         | 62.6%        | 18         |
| Miller Children's Hospital   | 21.3           | 38.8            |          | 95         | 1,125        | 20,826         | 57.1         | 60.1%        | 38         |
| PIH Health Hospital - Downey   | 22.3           | 42.0            |          | 7          | 85           | 345            | 0.9          | 13.5%        | 6          |
| Beverly Hospital   | 24.9           | 45.4            |          | 10         | 40           | 196            | 0.5          | 5.4%         | 9          |
| Pomona Valley Hospital Medical Center                                    | 26.2           | 46.3            |          | 53         | 710          | 12,191         | 33.4         | 63.0%        | 20         |
| LAC/Harbor-UCLA Medical Center   | 29.7           | 47.2            |          | 27         | 37           | 2,776          | 7.6          | 28.2%        | 19         |
| <b>25-Mile Radius Sub-Total</b>  |                |                 |          | <b>300</b> | <b>3,085</b> | <b>54,815</b>  | <b>150.2</b> | <b>50.1%</b> | <b>150</b> |
| <b>Total</b>   |                |                 |          | <b>639</b> | <b>7,312</b> | <b>113,359</b> | <b>310.6</b> | <b>48.6%</b> | <b>328</b> |

Source: OSHPD Disclosure Reports, FY 2018

### Pediatric Intensive Care (PICU) Capacity Analysis

CHOC at Orange (54 licensed beds) and CHOC at Mission (8 licensed beds) have a combined 62 licensed inpatient PICU beds. CHOC at Orange reported 970 inpatient discharges, 10,601 patient days resulting in an occupancy rate of 53.8% and an average daily census of 29.0 patients. CHOC at Mission reported 272 inpatient discharges, 948 patient days resulting in an occupancy rate of 32.5% and an average daily census of 2.6 patients. Service area hospitals run at a combined 50.6% occupancy rate based upon 73 licensed inpatient PICU beds. Therefore, on average, there are approximately 36 licensed PICU beds available in the service area.

Also assessed was bed utilization at hospitals located outside of the service area but within 25-mile radius of CHOC at Orange. In total, there are an additional 46 licensed PICU beds, within 25-mile radius of CHOC at Orange, across three hospital providers resulting in an occupancy rate of 39.1%.

On average, there is an excess of 64 licensed inpatient neonatal beds when aggregating all hospitals within the service area and within a 25-mile radius of CHOC at Orange. This indicates that the service area, and surrounding area, have a sufficient number of PICU beds serving the community.

| LICENSED INPATIENT PICU BEDS WITHIN 25-MILE RADIUS OF CHOC AT ORANGE |  |   |                     |               |              |               |             |                |                |
|--|--|---|---------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|
| Hospital   | Driving Distance from CHOC at Orange (miles) | Driving Distance from CHOC at Mission (miles) | Within Service Area | Licensed Beds | Discharges   | Patient Days  | ADC         | Occupancy Rate | Available Beds |
| CHOC at Orange   | -  | 21.3  | X                   | 54            | 970          | 10,601        | 29.0        | 53.8%          | 25             |
| CHOC at Mission  | 21.3   | -   | X                   | 8             | 272          | 948           | 2.6         | 32.5%          | 5              |
| Fountain Valley Regional Hospital                                    | 8.7  | 21.0  | X                   | 11            | 257          | 1,946         | 5.3         | 48.5%          | 6              |
| <b>Service Area Sub-Total</b>  |  |   |                     | <b>73</b>     | <b>1,499</b> | <b>13,495</b> | <b>37.0</b> | <b>50.6%</b>   | <b>36</b>      |
| Miller Children's Hospital   | 21.3   | 38.8  |                     | 30            | 464          | 4,666         | 12.8        | 42.6%          | 17             |
| Kaiser Foundation Hospital - Downey                                  | 22.3   | 42  |                     | 8             | 135          | 811           | 2.2         | 27.8%          | 6              |
| LAC/Harbor-UCLA Medical Center                                       | 29.7   | 47.2  |                     | 8             | 145          | 1,095         | 3.0         | 37.5%          | 5              |
| <b>25-Mile Radius Sub-Total</b>                                      |  |   |                     | <b>46</b>     | <b>744</b>   | <b>6,572</b>  | <b>18.0</b> | <b>39.1%</b>   | <b>28</b>      |
| <b>Total</b>   |  |   |                     | <b>119</b>    | <b>2,243</b> | <b>20,067</b> | <b>55.0</b> | <b>46.2%</b>   | <b>64</b>      |

Source: OSHPD Disclosure Reports, FY 2018

### Adolescent & Child Psychiatric Capacity Analysis

CHOC opened its inpatient Mental Health unit in mid-2018 resulting in data that reflects a partial year. CHOC at Orange operates 18 inpatient psychiatric beds reporting 72 inpatient discharges, 607 patient days resulting in an occupancy rate of 9.2% and an average daily census of 1.7 patients. Service area hospitals run at a combined 54.8% occupancy rate based upon 450 licensed inpatient psychiatric beds. Therefore, on average, there are approximately 23 licensed psychiatric beds available in the service area.

Also assessed was bed utilization at hospitals located outside of the service area but within 25-mile radius of CHOC at Orange. In total, there are an additional 115 licensed psychiatric beds, within 25-mile radius of CHOC at Orange, across four hospital providers resulting in an occupancy rate of 70.2%.

On average, there is an excess of 57 licensed inpatient Adolescent & Child Psychiatric beds when aggregating all hospitals within the service area and within a 25-mile radius of CHOC at Orange. This indicates that the service area, and surrounding area, have a sufficient number of inpatient psychiatric beds serving the community.

| LICENSED INPATIENT ADOLESCENT & CHILD PSYCHIATRIC BEDS WITHIN 25-MILE RADIUS OF CHOC AT ORANGE |  |                           |                  |              |                 |              |                   |                   |  |
|--|--|---------------------------|------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-------------------|-------------------|--|
| Hospital   | Driving Distance<br>from CHOC at<br>Orange (miles) | Within<br>Service<br>Area | Licensed<br>Beds | Discharges   | Patient<br>Days | ADC          | Occupancy<br>Rate | Available<br>Beds |  |
| <b>CHOC at Orange*</b>   | -  | X                         | 18               | 72           | 607             | 1.7          | 9.2%              | 16                |  |
| UC Irvine Medical Center   | 2.1  | X                         | 15               | 411          | 3,646           | 10.0         | 66.6%             | 5                 |  |
| College Hospital Costa Mesa  | 11.6   | X                         | 17               | 971          | 5,739           | 15.7         | 92.5%             | 1                 |  |
| <b>Service Area Sub-Total</b>  |  |                           | <b>50</b>        | <b>1,454</b> | <b>9,992</b>    | <b>27.4</b>  | <b>54.8%</b>      | <b>23</b>         |  |
| College Hospital   | 17.3   |                           | 41               | 1,098        | 8,612           | 23.6         | 57.5%             | 17                |  |
| Canyon Ridge Hospital  | 26.7   |                           | 35               | 3,797        | 8,311           | 22.8         | 65.1%             | 12                |  |
| LAC + USC Medical Center   | 29.7   |                           | 10               | 204          | 2,817           | 7.7          | 77.2%             | 2                 |  |
| Aurora Charter Oak - Los Angeles   | 28.0   |                           | 29               | 1,560        | 9,742           | 26.7         | 92.0%             | 2                 |  |
| <b>25-Mile Radius Sub-Total</b>  |  |                           | <b>115</b>       | <b>6,659</b> | <b>29,482</b>   | <b>80.8</b>  | <b>70.2%</b>      | <b>34</b>         |  |
| <b>Total</b>   |  |                           | <b>165</b>       | <b>8,113</b> | <b>39,474</b>   | <b>108.1</b> | <b>65.5%</b>      | <b>57</b>         |  |
| Del Amo Hospital   | 31.2   |                           | 45               | 3,098        | 15,406          | 42.2         | 93.8%             | 3                 |  |
| Kedren Community Health Center   | 32.1   |                           | 17               | 695          | 5,588           | 15.3         | 90.1%             | 2                 |  |
| Gateways Hospital  | 33.2   |                           | 27               | 548          | 3,296           | 9.0          | 33.4%             | 18                |  |
| Star View Adolescent Center  | 34.9   |                           | 16               | 68           | 5,467           | 15.0         | 93.6%             | 1                 |  |
| BHC Alhambra Hospital  | 35.1   |                           | 32               | 1,888        | 11,942          | 32.7         | 102.2%            | -1                |  |
| Loma Linda University Behavioral Medicine Center   | 53.2   |                           | 41               | 1,935        | 11,503          | 31.5         | 76.9%             | 9                 |  |
| Resnick Neuropsychiatric Hospital at UCLA  | 44.0   |                           | 25               | 506          | 7,966           | 21.8         | 87.3%             | 3                 |  |
| Riverside University Health System   | 52.2   |                           | 12               | 744          | 2,284           | 6.3          | 52.1%             | 6                 |  |
| <b>Other Regional Adolescent and Child Providers</b>   |  |                           | <b>215</b>       | <b>9,482</b> | <b>63,452</b>   | <b>173.8</b> | <b>80.9%</b>      | <b>41</b>         |  |

Source: OSHPD Disclosure Reports, FY 2018

\*Partial year

# COMMUNITY INPUT

## SURVEY PROCESS & RESULTS

Orange County community members were sent an online link to a survey via the survey conveyer Survey Monkey. It was made available in September and October 2019, which allowed CHOC Children's to receive 207 responses. The survey was not intended to be a scientific or statistically valid sampling of the population. Instead, the survey was designed to collect both qualitative and quantitative data from residents—including those in medically underserved, low-income, and minority populations—in order to identify perceived community health needs affecting children within Orange County. In order to qualify to participate in the survey, respondents had to meet two criteria: 1) that they lived within the boundaries of Orange County, and 2) that they be a parent or guardian of a child under the age of 18.

## DEMOGRAPHICS OF SURVEY RESPONDENTS

Most survey respondents reside in the cities of Anaheim (13%), Huntington Beach (7%), Santa Ana (7%), Irvine (6%), Westminster 5%, with the remaining 60% of respondents coming from other cities across Orange County.

When respondents were asked how long they had lived in Orange County, 62% responded 11+ years, 13% responded 6-10 years, 13% responded with 3-5 years, 9% responded with 1-2 years, with the remaining 3% reporting living in Orange County for less than 1 year.

Based on the survey results for the age of the survey respondents, 8% of the survey respondents are 18-25 years of age, 28% are 26-34 years of age, 30% are 35-44 years of age, and 22% are 45-54 years of age. Based on the survey results for race/ethnicity, approximately 54% of survey respondents identified as Caucasian/White, 24% identified as Hispanic/Latino, 19% identified as Asian, 5% identified as African American/Black, 1% identified as American Indian/Alaska Native, 1% identified as Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander, and 1% of survey respondents identified as Middle Eastern. Of the survey respondents, 75% identified as female and 25% identified as male.

When asked the composition of households based on number of family members, nearly 79% of respondents reported having a household size of 3-5 people, 12% reported a household size of 1-2 people, 8% reported a household size of 6-8 people, and 2% reported more than 9 people living in a single household. Survey respondents were also asked the number of household members by each age cohort. Within the age cohort 0-18, 49% of respondents reported one child, 33% reported having 2 children, and 18% reported having three children.

In total, nearly 70% of the survey respondents reported being married, 19% as never married/single, 8% as divorced, 2% as widowed, and 1% as separated. Pertaining to household income, 22% of the survey respondents reported earning \$100,000-150,000 per year, 21% reported earning \$50,000-74,999 per year, 18% reported earning \$150,000 or more per year, 15% reported earning \$75,000-99,000 per year, 13% reported earning \$30,000-49,000 per year, and 10% reported earning less than \$29,000 per year.

Many respondents reported their own health as being “good” (54%) or “very good” (24%). Nearly 18% reported their health as “fair” with the remaining 4% reporting their health as “poor” (3%) or “very poor” (1%).

## **ACCESS TO HEALTHCARE**

Approximately 56% of survey respondents have employer sponsored health insurance, 30% have Medi-Cal, 13% are Medicare beneficiaries, and 10% reported having private health coverage. The remaining survey respondents (7%) selected either “I have no insurance” (5%) or “my child(ren) have no health insurance” (1%). Most survey respondents (80%) reported their child/children receiving routine healthcare services at a doctor’s office during the past 12 months. Almost 14% reported their child/children receiving routine medical care in urgent care clinics (5%), 4% in an emergency room, 2% in a school-based health center, and nearly 2% in a retail clinic. Nearly 7% of the survey respondents reported their child/children not needing routine medical care in the last 12 months.

## **CRITICAL HEALTH CONCERNS**

Survey respondents were also asked to report on the critical needs or concerns affecting children’s health in Orange County on a scale of “not at all critical” to “very critical”.

### **Access to Healthcare (55%)**

When asked about the need for “access to healthcare” for children living in your community, 55% of respondents replied with “very critical” (35%) or “somewhat critical” (20%). However, nearly 25% reported that access to healthcare was “not at all critical” (9%) or “not really critical” (15%). Approximately 21% reported “neutral” on the importance of access to healthcare in their community.

### **Access to Pediatric Specialists (55%)**

When asked about the need for “access to pediatric specialists” for children living in your community, 55% of respondents replied with “very critical” (27%) or “somewhat critical” (27%). In addition, 22% of respondents believe access to pediatric subspecialists either to be “not at all critical” (7%) or “not really critical” (15%).

### **Autism Spectrum Disorder (49%)**

When asked about the need for access to “autism spectrum disorder” services for children living in your community, 49% of respondents replied with “very critical” (18%) or “somewhat critical” (31%). Only 4% of respondents believe the community in which they reside does not have a critical need at all for autism spectrum disorder services.

### **Community-Based Education or Community Learning (49%)**

When asked about the need for “Community-based Education or community learning” to assist children living in your community, 49% of respondents replied with “very critical” (21%) or “somewhat critical” (28%). Over 30% responded with “neutral” and the remaining 20% responded with “not really critical” (14%) or “not critical at all” (6%).

### **Cost burden of Raising a Child (75%)**

When asked about the cost burden of raising a child in Orange County, over 75% of respondents replied with “very critical” (43%) or “somewhat critical” (32%). Over 18% responded with “neutral”. Only 5% believe the



cost burden of raising a child in the community in which they reside to be “not really critical” (3%) or “not critical at all” (2%).

### **Crime and Community Violence (60%)**

When asked about how concerned respondents were about “crime and community violence” affecting children living in your community, 60% of respondents replied with “very critical” (31%) or “somewhat critical” (29%). Only 16% of respondents believe crime and community violence to be “not at all critical” (4%) or “not really critical” (12%).

### **Environmental Quality (60%)**

When asked about the importance for improved “environmental quality (i.e. air pollution, mold, lead, water)” your community, 60% of respondents replied with “very critical” (28%) or “somewhat critical” (32%). Nearly 14% believed the environmental quality to be “not at all critical” (3%), or “not really critical” in the community in which they reside.

### **Cost Burden of Housing (70%)**

When asked about the cost burden of housing and the importance of adequate housing for children living in your community, over 70% of respondents replied with “very critical” (39%) or “somewhat critical” (31%). Over 22% responded with “neutral”. Only 1% of respondents believe that adequate housing to be “not at all critical” to children living in the community.

### **Hunger or Access to Healthy Foods (60%)**

When asked about the issues surrounding “hunger or access to healthy foods”, nearly 60% of respondents replied with “very critical” (31%) or “somewhat critical” (28%). Just 21% were “neutral” with their response with the remaining 20% as either “not at all critical” (6%) or “somewhat critical” (14%).

### **Language Barriers (45%)**

When asked about the obstacles surrounding “language barriers” when accessing healthcare services for children in Orange County, 45% of respondents replied with “very critical” (14%) or “somewhat critical” (30%). Only 4% of survey respondents believe language not to be a barrier for accessing healthcare services with 37% of respondents being indifferent or “neutral” on the subject.

### **Legal Barriers (60%)**

When asked about the obstacles surrounding “legal” barriers when accessing healthcare services for children in Orange County, 60% of respondents replied with “neutral” (37%), “somewhat critical” (17%), or “not critical at all” (5%). However, 14% responded that legal barriers were “very critical” when it came to affecting children’s health in Orange County.

### **Pediatric Dental Services (43%)**

When asked about the need for “pediatric dental services” for children living in your community, 43% of respondents replied with “very critical” (21%) or “somewhat critical” (22%). However, 21% reported that pediatric dental care services were “not at all critical” (7%) or “not really critical” (14%). The largest cohort, “neutral” (35%), were indifferent on the need for improved pediatric dental services in the community.

### **Pediatric Obesity (58%)**

When asked about the critical needs or concerns related to “pediatric obesity” affecting children in Orange

County, over 58% of respondents replied with “very critical” (25%) or “somewhat critical” (33%). Approximately 29% responded with “neutral”. Only 4% believe that childhood obesity to be “not at all critical”.

### **School Programs (56%)**

When asked about the importance of “school programs” and the importance of such programs when it comes to how they affect children’s health, nearly 56% of respondents replied with “very critical” (26%) or “somewhat critical” (30%). Just 27% were “neutral” with their response with the remaining 15% as either “not at all critical” (5%) or “somewhat critical” (12%).

### **Social Media or Screen Time (65%)**

When asked about the health issues surrounding children’s use of “social media or screen time”, nearly 65% of respondents replied with “very critical” (32%) or “somewhat critical” (33%). The remaining 25% responded with “not at all critical” (4%) or “somewhat critical” (8%), or “neutral” (22%).

### **Special Education Needs (53%)**

When asked about the importance of “special education needs” for children in Orange County, 53% of respondents replied with “neutral (39%), “somewhat critical” (25%), or “not critical at all” (5%). However, 14% responded that legal barriers were “very critical” when it came to affecting children’s health in Orange County.

## **QUALITY OF CARE**

Approximately 18% of respondents rated the overall health of Orange County community, in which they reside, as “very good”, 45% as “good”, 33% as “fair” and 4% as poor. Nearly 95% of survey respondents reported their own children’s health as “very good” (47%) or “good”. Less than 5% reported their children’s health as fair with only one respondent reporting their child/children being in “poor” health.

## **HEALTH BEHAVIORS**

The survey respondents were also asked to list the three most common and serious “risky behaviors” affecting children living in their community. In total, 621 votes were cast (3 per respondent). The survey included health and safety concerns often associated with a thriving and healthy community. Overwhelmingly, drug abuse (20% of the vote) was listed as the most serious “risky behavior” followed by alcohol abuse (16% of the vote), and lack of exercise/physical activity (12% of the vote). The remaining 50% of the vote included poor eating habits (10%), being overweight (9%), unsafe sex (6%), and lack of immunizations (5%).

In addition, survey respondents were asked what other health issues affected children living in their communities. Seven respondents replied in total. Of the seven, two believe vaping to be of concern, and one on the risks associated with texting and driving.

## **HEALTH PROBLEMS**

The survey respondents were asked what they believed to be the three most important health problems that affect children within their communities (i.e., the three problems that have the greatest impact on overall health of children in Orange County). In total, 621 votes were cast (3 per respondent). Over 19% of the votes cast

referenced “bullying and other stressors at school” as the most important health problem affecting children in Orange County. “Mental or behavioral health” ranked as the second most important health concern, with 12% of the vote, and “obesity” ranked third, with 9% of the vote. Other health concerns referenced by survey respondents include “child abuse” (8% of the vote), “environmental quality” (6% of the vote), “domestic violence” (5% of the vote), lack of “physical activity” (5% of the vote), “suicide” (5% of the vote), and “cancers” (5% of the vote). Only 2% of survey respondents believe dental problems to be a top health problem for children in the county.

# KEY INFORMANT SURVEY

## DEMOGRAPHICS OF SURVEY RESPONDENTS AND SURVEY PROCESS

CHOC Children's solicited input from knowledgeable community members who are considered experts in the fields of health policy and/or population health. They serve children and families in Orange County, including members of the underserved, low-income, and minority populations. In total, CHOC Children's had 25 participating key informants. See Appendix B to see the full list of community groups and their representation of, or service to, low-income, medically underserved, and minority populations. Their opinion was acquired through a combination of one-on-one interviews and surveys distributed through email in September and October of 2019.

## SURVEY FINDINGS

Throughout this process, several themes emerged regarding patient access, preventative care, gaps in services, and opportunities to enhance and improve upon the services currently provided in Orange County.

### **Mental Health Services**

Almost all the interviewees listed the importance of improving pediatric access to mental health services, including enhanced coordination of care in order to successfully treat behavioral health conditions and improve patient outcomes, as one of the most critical health needs facing Orange County. The interviewees explained that more mental health resources would help families and children who have difficulty finding and accessing mental health services in the county. The interviewees believe pediatric mental health conditions, if left untreated, could have dire consequences well into their adult years.

Many interviewees expressed concern in the high prevalence of anxiety and depression among the school-age population in Orange County. Interviewees attribute these often-untreated conditions with bullying and other stressors in school, social media and screen time, which can lead to low school attendance rates, lack of physical activity, and, in extreme cases, teen suicides. Suggestions offered by interviewees include courses offered by mental health professions for school nurses to assist in identifying early symptoms, additional mental health resources to families through improved access to community-based education and learning, and access to pediatric mental health services. Interviewees believe children today are under far more pressure in the era of social media. Several interviewees attributed depression and anxiety to social media and screen time. This belief is supported by a longitudinal study published in the journal *The Lancet Child & Adolescent Health* that interviewed almost 10,000 children between the ages of 13 and 16 years of age. They found that social media may harm girls' mental health by increasing their exposure to bullying and reducing their sleep and physical exercise. Although conclusions of this study focus on one gender, this study is among many that support the association between mental health of children, social media and other health outcomes. Several respondents supported the notion that bullying among students was of critical health concern in Orange County.

Some interviewees believe greater coordination and access to pediatric mental health specialists and services would eliminate barriers to adequately treating children needing mental health services in Orange County.

Specific mental health services believed too inadequate or in short supply include universal screening for mental health and suicide risk.

Some suggested to address deficiencies in mental health services. Interviewees commented that improved communication between CHOC Children's and schools/school districts, through electronic health system access and shared standards of care, would assist in providing enhanced and expanded coordinated care.

### **Obesity**

Since CHOC Children's 2016 CHNA, obesity continues to rank as a top concern in Orange County. Obesity is associated with chronic diseases—such as asthma, sleep apnea, ADHD, and metabolic disorders—and other critical concerns like bullying, stress in school, low self-esteem, mental health, and suicidal ideations. Pediatric public health key informants have attributed a high incidence and prevalence of obesity to risky behaviors and to systems that use food as a positive reinforcer. Risky behaviors include children's dietary choices (being picky eaters) and their sedentary lifestyle caused mostly by increased screen time. Another risky behavior that our key informants from school districts noted is the increasing number of students trying to get a doctor's note to avoid Physical Education (P.E.). This, along with lack of obesity education, exacerbates the problem.

Obesity education is accessible through community evidence-based programs and interventions, such as teaching parents food parentings practices (FPPs) that are useful strategies to influence the amount and types of food a child eats. Accessible community evidence-based programs and interventions are concerns for providers and families alike. Especially concerning are families and children in the low-income and medically underserved populations where disparities exist. Some key informants noted that not only does reimbursement affect their ability to provide these resources to the populations in need, but also families' ability to pay are hindering their pediatric obesity treatment and management. In addition to costs related to reimbursement, another concern is transportation to these resources. Consistent attendance and participation in these evidence-based programs and interventions are necessary to ensure change of behavior for both children and their families.

Because Orange County is a diverse metropolitan area with different racial and ethnic groups, another challenge is the cultural perspective on obesity. Educating families and children on obesity is difficult, and key informants commented during the surveys and one-on-one interviews that it is the responsibility of physicians to educate families on Body Mass Index (BMI) and explain the diagnosis of obesity. The language barrier adds another layer of difficulty for educating certain ethnic groups on proper nutrition and healthier options.

Even with free community-educational classes and provider efforts in education, another challenge to consider is the cost of these healthier food choices to ensure proper nutrition. The cost of healthier foods is more expensive than the cheap, quick, and convenient fast foods that are available in Orange County, which boasts a diversity of trendy food chains. In addition to cost of healthier food options, there are food deserts to consider.<sup>29</sup>

Key informants also identified a lack of green space (park land) for recreational activities. These are important resources for communities to live a healthier, active lifestyle. Although Orange County is ranked one of the safest counties (with low crime rates) in the nation, some cities within the county still have crime and

---

<sup>29</sup> A food desert is an area that has limited access to affordable and nutritious food.

community violence that deter outdoor activities. Housing, environmental quality, and crime and community violence all play a part in the healthier lifestyle that reduces incidence and prevalence of obesity.

Overall, the problem with obesity is multilayered and complex as described by key informants and secondary research, but there are many ways in which to address this critical community concern. Key informants suggested to strengthen and continue partnership and collaboration between CHOC Children's, agencies, other organizations, and most especially school systems. Data sharing can help with communication and connecting families to pediatric specialists and other community resources. The key informants have so far identified Dr. Riba's Health Club, CalOptima's weight loss program, Team KiPOW, and many others. To find the list of the mentioned community assets, see Appendix E. The key is collaboration.

### **Diabetes**

Another major concern for the pediatric population, especially those in the Irvine, Fullerton, La Habra, and Buena Park as identified by key informants, is Type 2 Diabetes (also known as adult-onset diabetes). According to Mayo Clinic, there is no cure for Type 2 Diabetes, but losing weight, increased physical activity and proper nutrition are ways of managing this chronic disease.

Key informants identified these challenges when managing Type 2 Diabetes: lack of access to healthy foods; need for more partnerships and collaborations with other agencies; increased social media exposure and screen time; and decreased physical activity.

As noted by key informants, the ways to overcome these obstacles include CHOC Children's NEW You (Nutrition, Exercise, Wellness), Kids Fit Club, and PODER (Prevention of Obesity and Diabetes through Education and Resources). These classes are free and available to both the children and their families. Having on-site diabetes prevention classes for families is another important resource.

### **Food Insecurity**

Key informants identified obesity and diabetes as concerning morbidities, which is why some key informants are attributing food insecurity as a root cause and major issue that needs to be prioritized and addressed. They identified food insecurity as a highly critical need because it is often overlooked and not discussed. The lack of discussion and community concern impacts the most vulnerable populations. When families are faced with food insecurity, this becomes their top priority. This means that making well child visits, making sick child visits and managing complex conditions become secondary priorities to food security.

To keep the discussion alive, key informants emphasized existing community resources already addressing the issue, such as the "No Kid Hungry" program and "Waste Not OC" Coalition. They suggest having more awareness and collaboration. An additional list of community assets can be found in Appendix E.

### **Respiratory Illness**

Respiratory illness is another critical health concern that almost all key informant interviewees mentioned as important to address. The concern for respiratory illnesses is attributed to the increasing prevalence of asthma and allergies, which is further exacerbated by environmental quality (air pollution) in Orange County.

Asthma is one of the most critical respiratory illnesses needing to be addressed. In Orange County, it has been increasing over the past three decades and is a major cause of ED visits, hospitalizations, and school absenteeism. Most especially worrisome is the disparity seen among the underserved population. This is in part due to language barriers; lack of resources and access to pediatric specialists; immediate identification and

connectivity to resources; and need for education to understand the respiratory disease treatment and management. Another barrier is social media or screen time for respiratory illnesses – being cooped up inside rather than outside is also a concern, especially if living conditions frequently trigger asthma attacks.

To address respiratory illness, CHOC Children's Breathmobile program, which has been serving the community for 17 years, has been commended and highly valued by the community, including Hoag Hospital's Community Benefit Program. It has been suggested that more mobile clinics, like the Breathmobile, be distributed through Orange County as it provides additional support and resources while improving access for underserved isolated children and families. CHOC Children's Breathmobile program further increases access to high risk asthmatics as home visits are made to assess and remediate key allergic and nonallergic triggers by providing house dust mite covers to those sensitive to this allergen, for instance.

Additional suggestions focus on improving communication and collaboration between CHOC Children's, agencies, the community, and the children and families. The use of electronic health systems was suggested as one platform for achieving collaboration.

### **Substance Abuse**

In CHOC Children's 2016 CHNA, substance abuse was named a critical concern for Orange County. For the 2019 CHNA, substance abuse is once again referenced as a major health concern. More specifically, Orange County key informants have emphasized concern for vaping (which includes electronic cigarettes termed "e-cigarettes")—a risky behavior causing respiratory illnesses. Vaping impacts the health and well-being of children and families. Key Informants collectively mentioned that prevention is a challenge because flavored vape juices are trendy and marketable to consumer palates. In addition, lack of access to pediatric specialist; lack of community-based education; the need for more school programs; and additional partnership and collaboration between community assets are all barriers to addressing this risky behavior.

This is a public health crisis, not only in Orange County but nationally, as more cases of vaping induced lung-injuries are becoming prominent. At the release of this report, the CDC is currently investigating 1,000 lung injury cases believed to be induced by vaping. As investigations are underway, key informants believe that more public awareness and prevention campaigns need to happen at the county level. The recommended solution to reducing and limiting vaping throughout Orange County is through improved collaboration between CHOC Children's, public agencies, and other community organizations.

### **Bullying and Other Stressors in School**

Bullying and other stressors in school were identified as another major concern associated with mental health, obesity, and hunger. Key informants have observed an increased number of students with physical symptoms of stress and anxiety. This is due to stressors in school such as schools and families having higher and higher expectations of students. More specifically, bullying—an aggressive behavior—is an added stressor to the school environment. The CDC defines bullying as a form of youth violence that may "inflict harm or distress on the targeted youth including physical, psychological, social or education harm." This is a concern for all of Orange County, especially for Orange, Anaheim, Garden Grove, Santa Ana, Tustin, Buena Park, Irvine, and Villa Park school districts.

Some of the interviewed attributed bullying to these challenges: lack of access to specialists (especially mental health services); lack of community-based education; increased screen time and social media exposure; alcohol

and substance abuse; poor housing; and the need for partnership and collaboration with other organizations and community efforts.

To reduce bullying and other stressors in school, experts have suggested counseling, community support programs, and education around available resources to students and their families. A key resource often utilized to address bullying are the Family Resource Centers located all over Orange County. These suggestions are in line with CDC Violence Prevention strategies and approaches to bullying incidences:

- Promoting family environments that support health development;
- Provide quality education early in life;
- Strengthen youth's skills;
- Connect youth to caring adults and activities;
- Create protective community environments; and
- Intervene to lessen harms and prevent future risk.

### **Child Safety**

The overall concern of child safety is a critical need identified by several of the key informants. One of the key informants noted that child safety related to motor vehicle accidents is a major concern that CHOC Children's should address because of the significant injuries that can happen to children. Suggestions to address child safety from traumatic injuries caused by motor vehicle crashes include the continuation and implementation of community-based prevention programs, such as car safety seat training and the no texting-driving program. These programs, in addition to supporting trauma programs and improving acute trauma care systems, are significant measures to address child safety.

In addition to education of community members and the existence of pediatric trauma specialists, challenges and opportunities to address child safety from motor vehicle accidents include treatment of alcohol and abuse, mental health, and continued organization of trauma system of care among hospitals, providers, fire departments and ambulance companies.

Furthermore, child safety encompasses the need to protect children from child abuse and neglect. The CDC defines Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACE) as a term used to describe all types of abuse, neglect, and other potentially traumatic experiences that occur to people under the age of 18. Studies have shown that as the number of ACEs increases, so does the risk for the following outcomes:

- Injury (i.e. traumatic brain injury);
- Mental health issues (i.e. depression, suicide);
- Maternal health issues (i.e. pregnancy complications, fetal death);
- Infectious disease (i.e. HIV, STDs);
- Chronic diseases (i.e. diabetes);
- Risky behaviors (i.e. substance abuse); and
- Opportunities (i.e. education).

The outcomes of ACE have so far been identified as critical concerns during CHOC Children's 2019 CHNA; however, few key informants have identified ACE as a root-cause of these concerns. By protecting children from



ACE and focusing on providing them positive experiences, then the ACE outcomes (i.e. mental health, chronic diseases, and substance abuse) can also be addressed.

ACE is a critical concern that is just as complex and multidimensional. Barriers to preventing ACE include the lack of family education, which can mean parents' low educational attainment and/or lack of knowledge on the importance of infant mental and brain development for instance. Additional barriers to addressing ACE in Orange County include the lack of access to health care services (especially physician specialists); the stigma of obtaining help; the lack of standard screenings especially for mental health; poverty; and the prevalence of domestic violence.

Key informants noted that ACE is preventable so long as CHOC Children's, agencies, and other community efforts collaboratively work together to identify individuals needs and barriers. Working together is a partnership on intergovernmental transfers to address service gaps and most especially, promote systems improvement. This requires the use of electronic health records; the education of providers, families and children; and increased resources (inclusive of reimbursement and people to run evidence-based programs, and interventions). Key informants also identified current community resources that are currently used to address child safety, such as MOMS of Orange County, school programs, Family Resource Centers, Western Youth, and Be Well. To see full list of resources, see Appendix E.

### **Oral Health**

Oral care was a top concern for Orange County community members in the 2016 CHNA. For key informants in the 2019 CHNA process, a few noted that concern for oral care still exists. Dental disease is the number one most common pathology in pediatrics, and Orange County has a significant need for these services. Particularly, pediatric physicians and their representatives have noted a high need of routine dental care under general anesthesia. Due to high costs and lack of access to pediatric dentists, especially those providing general anesthesia or sedation, families are avoiding dental care altogether. The reasons for avoiding dental care is due to more pressing living needs that families need to address. Should these families need dental care, then the dental work is because of a major dental concern. In other words, families wait until the dental problem becomes a major problem before addressing the issue.

Language barriers and parent carelessness and/or neglect are other reasons why preventive dental care are at low utilization rates. Additionally, some key informants discussed the lack of community-based education (or community learning). For instance, many parents do not understand the importance of proper oral healthcare and often assume baby teeth do not need the same level of care as adult teeth. Another key informant highlighted the lack of pediatric dentists that accept Medi-Cal in Orange County. This observation is supported by The Orange County Local Oral Health Program (OC-LOHP) assessment of primary reasons for non-utilization of dental services, which are primarily cost and access, respectively.

Overall, key informants have agreed that expanding access to pediatric dentists, especially for kids who need general anesthesia or sedation, and increasing oral care education opportunities can help address dental care concerns. Some resources used to address oral include: school-based education, Boys & Girls Club, Healthy Smiles, FQHCs inclusion of dental services, parent oral health advocates, teledentistry, and fluoride applications during wellness visits in CHOC Children's primary care offices. Another suggestion includes grouping procedures together (i.e. dental exam, blood draws) to minimize exposure to anesthesia.

### **Immunizations (Vaccines) and Infectious Diseases**

Up-to-date immunizations protect the health and wellbeing of individuals and communities. Key informants highlighted the importance of pediatric physicians and their representatives in educating and protecting the public from infectious diseases.

Low-rates of immunizations—a sign of poor community health—threaten immunocompromised individuals which is of great concern to key informants working in the Orange County education system. Some challenges that cause low-rates of immunizations include lack of partnership and collaboration with agencies and other community resources. The barriers pertain to lack of access to medical care, high costs, and vaccine misinformation.

Suggestions by key informants include collaboration between CHOC Children's and other community organizations to work together in providing public service announcements or campaigns focused on educating on the importance of having up-to-date immunizations.

### **Collaboration and Partnership with School Programs**

Many of the critical health concerns, identified by key informants, noted the need for school-based involvement in the overall health and well-being of children in Orange County. School-based support programs can help address several identified community concerns, including:

- Chronic absenteeism;
- Mental health (anxiety and depression);
- Obesity;
- Respiratory illnesses (asthma and allergies);
- Substance abuse (vaping); and
- Bullying and stressors.

School-based programs are a means of identifying, educating, and connecting students and their families with community resources to help build a thriving community.

### **Access to Pediatric Specialists**

Another critical health need of the community is the lack of access to pediatric specialists, specifically pediatric dentists and pediatric mental health providers. Key informants define access through reimbursement coverage; the number of providers supplying services; physical location of providers; hours of operating and scheduling; and language barriers. Access to pediatric specialists is a national challenge.

### **Child Poverty**

Child poverty and its consequences was another community health need identified by key informants. Poverty creates barriers to resources that affects health improvement and promotion. Poverty is often associated with problems such as lack of child care and neglect, poor education, and hunger. Key informants believe more should be done to assist families living in poverty within Orange County—a metropolitan area described as affluent.

Some key informants also mentioned that community-based education, poor environmental quality, unaffordable and unstable housing, and lack of partnership and collaboration of community assets are all barriers to addressing poverty within Orange County.

### **Child Care Affordability**

Affordable child care was identified by many key informants as a critical health concern, especially for the low-income and underserved population. The cost of raising a child (inclusive of day care and education) is increasing and is impacting families across all populations in Orange County. Families are prioritizing child care spending over other necessities such as housing, medical insurance, and car maintenance. The cost of child care in California, and particularly Orange County, is more expensive than that in other states. Child care affordability is a concern that exacerbates other community health issues, including mental health services, oral health services, and obesity. To overcome this barrier, key informants suggest identifying additional resources that can alleviate the burden of rising child care costs. Another suggestion is to place more effort in collaborating and partnering with school programs and other community organizations, especially those that help with food access and job employment.

### **Housing Affordability**

As identified by secondary resources and informant key interviews and surveys, it has been noted that housing affordability in Orange County has become a burden to low-income and medically underserved populations. Key informants believe housing prices have led to increased commute times and lower quality of life. Key informants identified housing affordability as a barrier when addressing critical health needs, such as mental health services, obesity, and respiratory illnesses. Additionally, key informants suggest improved collaboration and partnership with local agencies and community organizations could help address this community need.

# APPENDIX

## APPENDIX A: DATA SOURCES

- American Academy of Pediatrics (2019). Retrieved from <https://www.aap.org/en-us/Pages/Default.aspx>
- Attendance Works (2019). Retrieved from <https://www.attendanceworks.org/chronic-absence/the-problem/>
- Austin, G., Polik, J., Hanson, T., & Zheng, C. (2018). *School climate, substance use, and student well-being in California, 2015-17*. Results of the Sixteenth Biennial Statewide Student Survey, Grades 7, 9, and 11. San Francisco: WestEd.
- Berkowitz, S., Karter, A., Corbie-Smith, G., Seligman, H., Ackroyd, S., Barnard, L., ... Wexler, D. (2018). Food Insecurity, Food "Deserts," and Glycemic Control in Patients With Diabetes: A Longitudinal Analysis. *Diabetes Care*, 41(6), 1188–1195. <https://doi.org/10.2337/dc17-1981>
- California Department of Education (2019). Retrieved from <https://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/>
- California Department of Public Health (2019). Retrieved from <https://www.cdph.ca.gov/>
- California Employment Development Department Bureau of Labor Statistics (2019). Retrieved from <https://www.bls.gov/data/>
- Centers of Disease Control and Prevention (2019a). *Overweight and Obesity*. Retrieved from <https://www.cdc.gov/obesity/index.html>
- Centers of Disease Control and Prevention (2019b). *Oral Health: Children's Oral Health*. Retrieved from <https://www.cdc.gov/oralhealth/basics/childrens-oral-health/index.html>
- Centers of Disease Control and Prevention (2019c). *Violence Prevention: Adverse Childhood Experiences*. Retrieved from <https://www.cdc.gov/violenceprevention/childabuseandneglect/acestudy/index.html>
- Centers of Disease Control and Prevention (2019d). *Violence Prevention: Bullying*. Retrieved from <https://www.cdc.gov/violenceprevention/youthviolence/bullyingresearch/fastfact.html>
- Center on the Developing Child at Harvard University (2010). *The Foundations of Lifelong Health Are Built in Early Childhood* [Report]. Retrieved from <https://developingchild.harvard.edu/wp-content/uploads/2010/05/Foundations-of-Lifelong-Health.pdf>
- Esri (2019). CHOC Children's Esri Demographics of Orange County and California: 2019 Estimates based on US Census and American Communities Survey 2013-2017 [Computer Software].
- Feeding America (2019). *Feeding America Research* [Dataset]. Retrieved on <https://public.tableau.com/profile/feeding.america.research#!/>
- Health Resources and Services Administration (2019). Retrieved from <https://www.hrsa.gov/>
- Healthy People 2020 (2019). Retrieved from <https://www.healthypeople.gov/2020/Leading-Health-Indicators>
- How Housing Matters (2019). Retrieved from <https://howhousingmatters.org/>
- KidsData.Org (2019). Retrieved from <https://www.kidsdata.org/region/365/orange-county/summary#6/demographics>


- Laura Otterbach, Nooreem Z. Mena, Geoffrey Greene, Colleen A. Redding, Annie De Groot, & Alison Tovar. (2018). Community-based childhood obesity prevention intervention for parents improves health behaviors and food parenting practices among Hispanic, low-income parents. *BMC Obesity*, 5(1), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40608-018-0188-2>
- Legislative Analyst's Office (2015). California's High Housing Costs: Causes and Consequences. Retrieved from <https://lao.ca.gov/reports/2015/finance/housing-costs/housing-costs.pdf>
- Mayo Clinic (2019). *Diabetes*. Retrieved from <https://www.mayoclinic.org/diseases-conditions/diabetes/symptoms-causes/syc-20371444>
- Mayo Clinic (2019). *Drug addiction (substance use disorder)*. Retrieved from <https://www.mayoclinic.org/diseases-conditions/drug-addiction/symptoms-causes/syc-20365112>
- National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism (2019). Retrieved from <https://www.niaaa.nih.gov/research>
- Office of Statewide Healthcare Planning and Development (OSHPD). Retrieved from <https://oshpd.ca.gov/opendata/>
- Orange County (OC) Healthier Together (2018). OC Oral Health Strategic Plan 2018-2022 [Report]. Retrieved from [http://www.ochealthiertogether.org/content/sites/ochca/Local\\_Reports/OC\\_Oral\\_Health\\_Strategic\\_Plan\\_2018-2022\\_FINAL.pdf](http://www.ochealthiertogether.org/content/sites/ochca/Local_Reports/OC_Oral_Health_Strategic_Plan_2018-2022_FINAL.pdf)
- Orange County Children's Partnership (2019). *The 24<sup>th</sup> Annual Report on the Conditions of Children in Orange County* [Report].
- Orange County Department of Education School District. *California Healthy Kids Survey, 2017-18: Main Report*. San Francisco: WestEd Health & Human Development Program for the California Department of Education.
- Orange County Health Care Agency (2017). *Drug and Alcohol Morbidity and Mortality in Orange County* [Report]. Retrieved from <https://docs.house.gov/meetings/IF/IF02/20171212/106716/HHRG-115-IF02-20171212-SD005.pdf>
- Orange County Health Care Agency (2018). *Orange County Local Oral Health Program: Oral Health Strategic Plan 2018-2022* [Report]. Retrieved from <http://cms.ocgov.com/civicax/filebank/blobdload.aspx?BlobID=81730>
- Orange County Healthier Together (2019). 2019-20 Orange County Community Indicators Report. Retrieved from [https://www.ocbc.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/09/CommIndicators\\_Report\\_091219-WEB.pdf](https://www.ocbc.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/09/CommIndicators_Report_091219-WEB.pdf)
- Shots for School (2019). Retrieved from <https://www.shotsforschool.org/>
- The Center on the Developing Child at Harvard University (2017). *3 Principles to Improve Outcomes for Children and Families*. Retrieved from <http://www.developingchild.harvard.edu>
- United States Census Bureau (2019). *American Community Survey* [Datasets]. Retrieved from <https://www.census.gov/acs/www/data/data-tables-and-tools/data-profiles/2016/>
- United States Department of Health and Human Resources (2019). *Be Active*. Retrieved from <https://www.hhs.gov/fitness/be-active/index.html>
- Viner, R., Aswathikutty-Gireesh, A., Stiglic, N., Hudson, L., Goddings, A., Ward, J. (2019). Roles of cyberbullying, sleep, and physical activity in mediating the effects of social media use on mental health and wellbeing among young people in England: a secondary analysis of longitudinal data. *The Lancet Child and Adolescent Health*, 3(10), 865-696. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2352-4642\(19\)30186-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2352-4642(19)30186-5)

## APPENDIX B: COMMUNITY INPUT – LIST OF KEY INFORMANTS REPRESENTING AND/OR SERVING LOW-INCOME, MEDICALLY UNDERSERVED, MINORITY POPULATIONS

| Name and Title  | Organization   | Representing/Serving Population: Low-Income, Medically Underserved, Minority |
|---|--|--|
| Jennifer Peach-Guzman, Director of Operations   | AltaMed Health Services (FQHC)                                   | Serving low-income, medically underserved, and minority populations          |
| School Nurses   | Anaheim Elementary School District                               | Serving low-income, medically underserved, and minority populations          |
| Stanley Galant, MD, Medical Director of CHOC Breathmobile                                 | CHOC Breathmobile  | Serving low-income, medically underserved, and minority populations          |
| Tina Shaps-Olson, LCSW, Social Worker<br>Amber Morlan, Program Coordinator                | CHOC Children's Health Alliance                                  | Serving low-income, medically underserved, and minority populations          |
| Charles V. Golden, DO, President of CMG and Medical Director of CHOC Primary Care Network | CHOC Children's Medical Group and Primary Care Network           | Serving low-income, medically underserved, and minority populations          |
| Family Advisory Committee (FAC)   | CHOC Children's  | Representing low-income, medically underserved, and minority populations     |
| Daniel Mackey, MD, Pediatrician   | CHOC Children's Primary Care                                     | Serving low-income, medically underserved, and minority populations          |
| James Cappon, MD, Vice President and Chief Quality and Patient Safety Officer             | CHOC Children's Quality and Risk Management                      | Serving low-income, medically underserved, and minority populations          |
| Mike De Laby, RN, EMS Administrator   | County of Orange - Health Care Agency Emergency Medical Services | Serving low-income, medically underserved, and minority populations          |
| Joshua Yang, Ph.D., Associate Professor   | CSU Fullerton, Department of Public Health                       | Representing low-income, medically underserved, and minority populations     |
| Ilia Rolon, MPH, Vice President of Programs   | First 5 Orange County  | Serving low-income, medically underserved, and minority populations          |
| Kelly Schultz, RN, District RN  | Fountain Valley School District                                  | Serving low-income, medically underserved, and minority populations          |
| Ynette Johnson, RN, School Nurse  | Fullerton Joint Union High School District                       | Serving low-income, medically underserved, and minority populations          |
| Associate Director of Clinical Operations   | Health Smiles Smile Clinic                                       | Serving low-income, medically underserved, and minority populations          |
| Health Services Coordinator and School Nurses   | Irvine Unified School District                                   | Serving low-income and minority populations                                  |

|  |  |   |
|--|--|---|
| Pamela Pimentel, RN, Chief Executive Officer     | MOMS Orange County   | Serving low-income, medically underserved, and minority populations |
| School Nurses                                    | Newport-Mesa Unified School District                             | Serving low-income, medically underserved, and minority populations |
| Katherine Williamson, MD, President              | Orange County Chapter - American Academy of Pediatrics           | Serving low-income, medically underserved, and minority populations |
| School Nurses                                    | Orange County Department of Education, Special Education Classes | Serving low-income, medically underserved, and minority populations |
| Public Health Projects Manager                   | Orange County Health Care Agency, Public Health Services         | Serving low-income, medically underserved, and minority populations |
| Michelle Murphy, MSW, Director of Public Affairs | Orange County United Way   | Serving low-income, medically underserved, and minority populations |
| School Nurses                                    | Orange Unified School District                                   | Serving low-income, medically underserved, and minority populations |

## APPENDIX C: COMMUNITY INPUT – KEY INFORMANT SURVEY

**2019 Community Health Needs Assessment** 

**Key Informant Questionnaire**

CHOC Children's is conducting a Community Health Needs Assessment to help us understand our community's most pressing health issues. We thank you for taking the time to complete this survey.

**About Your Organization**

Your name/title: \_\_\_\_\_ Okay to cite your name?  
 Yes  No

Organization/department: \_\_\_\_\_

**Describe the target population(s) your organization predominantly serves:**

Age range: \_\_\_\_\_ Predominant condition(s) addressed: \_\_\_\_\_

**Insurance** (Check all that apply): **Group(s)** (Check all that apply):

|                                    |                                  |  |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| <input type="radio"/> Governmental | <input type="radio"/> Low-income | <input type="radio"/> Medically underserved  |
| <input type="radio"/> Commercial   | <input type="radio"/> Minority   | <input type="radio"/> Other (specify): _____ |
| <input type="radio"/> Uninsured    |                                  |  |

**Predominant county area(s):**

|                                   |  |                                     |  |                                   |
|-----------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|
| <input type="radio"/> All of OC   | <input type="radio"/> Dana Point       | <input type="radio"/> La Palma      | <input type="radio"/> Mission Viejo          | <input type="radio"/> Santa Ana   |
| <input type="radio"/> Aliso Viejo | <input type="radio"/> Fountain Valley  | <input type="radio"/> Laguna Beach  | <input type="radio"/> Newport Beach          | <input type="radio"/> Seal Beach  |
| <input type="radio"/> Anaheim     | <input type="radio"/> Fullerton        | <input type="radio"/> Laguna Hills  | <input type="radio"/> Orange                 | <input type="radio"/> Stanton     |
| <input type="radio"/> Brea        | <input type="radio"/> Garden Grove     | <input type="radio"/> Laguna Niguel | <input type="radio"/> Placentia              | <input type="radio"/> Tustin      |
| <input type="radio"/> Buena Park  | <input type="radio"/> Huntington Beach | <input type="radio"/> Laguna Woods  | <input type="radio"/> Rancho Santa Margarita | <input type="radio"/> Villa Park  |
| <input type="radio"/> Costa Mesa  | <input type="radio"/> Irvine           | <input type="radio"/> Lake Forest   | <input type="radio"/> San Clemente           | <input type="radio"/> Westminster |
| <input type="radio"/> Cypress     | <input type="radio"/> La Habra         | <input type="radio"/> Los Alamitos  | <input type="radio"/> San Juan Capistrano    | <input type="radio"/> Yorba Linda |

**Your Orange County Community Health Needs**

**I. Consider the children (0-17 years old) in the Orange County community you serve. In your opinion, what are the top three (3) critical health needs/concerns for them?**

Please check three (3) from the list below:

|   |   |  |
|---|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Bullying and other stressors in school                 | <input type="checkbox"/> Firearm-related injuries                         | <input type="checkbox"/> Obesity   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Cancers  | <input type="checkbox"/> Food insecurities                                | <input type="checkbox"/> Physical activity                                       |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Child abuse/neglect                                    | <input type="checkbox"/> Heart disease and stroke                         | <input type="checkbox"/> Rape/sexual assault                                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Dental problems  | <input type="checkbox"/> Homicide   | <input type="checkbox"/> Respiratory/lung disease (e.g. asthma, cystic fibrosis) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Diabetes   | <input type="checkbox"/> Immunizations (vaccines) and infectious diseases | <input type="checkbox"/> Sexual health (e.g. STDs)                               |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Domestic violence                                      | <input type="checkbox"/> Infant death                                     | <input type="checkbox"/> Suicide prevention                                      |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Eating disorders                                       | <input type="checkbox"/> Mental or behavioral health                      | <input type="checkbox"/> Teenage pregnancy                                       |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Environmental quality (e.g. air pollution, mold, lead) | <input type="checkbox"/> Motor vehicle crash injuries                     | <input type="checkbox"/> Other (specify): _____                                  |

Page 1 of 3



## 2019 Community Health Needs Assessment Key Informant Questionnaire



**2. Based on your selections to question 1, please briefly explain why you consider these three to be high-priority needs/concerns?**

Issue #1:

Issue #2:

Issue #3:

**3. Based on your experience, what are the barriers to addressing these three critical health issues?**

Please check the top three (3) factors creating barriers for each issue:

| Issue #1              | Issue #2              | Issue #3              | Factors creating barriers  |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--|
| <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | Access to and/or cost of child care                                |
| <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | Access to pediatric specialist (e.g. Specialist types, scheduling) |
| <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | Autism Spectrum Disorders (ASD)                                    |
| <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | Common language  |
| <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | Community-based education (or community learning)                  |
| <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | Crime and community violence                                       |
| <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | Domestic abuse   |
| <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | Environmental quality (e.g. air pollution, mold, lead, water)      |
| <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | Housing  |
| <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | Hunger or access to healthy food                                   |
| <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | Legal problems   |
| <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | Partnering/collaborating with other agencies                       |
| <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | Pediatric dental services  |
| <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | Pediatric mental health services                                   |
| <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | Pediatric obesity  |
| <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | School programs  |
| <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | Social media or screen time  |
| <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | Special Educational Needs (SEN)                                    |
| <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | Traffic safety and transportation services                         |
| <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | Treatment of alcohol and drug abuse                                |
| <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | Other (specify) _____  |

**2019 Community Health Needs Assessment**  
Key Informant Questionnaire



4. Based on your experience, please briefly describe the programs/services/support your organization and other community partners offer to address these needs/issues. Any other efforts in the community would also be of interest.

| Programs, Services, or Support provided by | Issue #1 | Issue #2 | Issue #3 |
|--|----------|----------|----------|
| Your organization / department             |          |          |          |
| Other organizations in Orange County       |          |          |          |
| Other efforts in the community             |          |          |          |

5. Over the next three years (2019-2022), what do you think are ways that CHOC Children's and your organization could partner to address these issues?

## CHOC Children's 2019 Community Health Needs Assessment

CHOC Children's is conducting a Community Health Needs Assessment to help us understand our community's most pressing issues. We thank you for taking the time to complete this survey.

\* 1. What is your gender?

- Male
- Female
- Other
- Prefer not to specify

\* 2. What is your racial or ethnic identity? Check all that apply

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> African American/Black        | <input type="checkbox"/> Middle Eastern                   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> American Indian/Alaska Native | <input type="checkbox"/> Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Asian                         | <input type="checkbox"/> White/Caucasian                  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Hispanic/Latino               | <input type="checkbox"/> Other                            |

\* 3. What is your marital status?

- Married/Co-habiting
- Never married/Single
- Widowed
- Divorced
- Separated

\* 4. What is your age group?

- Under 18
- 18-25
- 26-34
- 35-44
- 45-54
- 55-64
- 65+

\* 5. In what ZIP code is your home located? (enter 5-digit ZIP code)

\* 6. How long have you lived in Orange County?

- Less than 1 year
- 1-2 years
- 3-5 years
- 6-10 years
- 11+ years

\* 7. How many people (including yourself) currently live in your household?

- 1-2 people
- 3-5 people
- 6-8 people
- 9+ people

\* 8. Including yourself, how many people in your household are

|                    | 1 person              | 2 people              | 3 or more people      |
|--------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| 0-17 years old     | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| 18-25 years old    | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| 26-34 years old    | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| 35-65 years old    | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| 66 years and older | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

\* 9. What is your total household income?

- Less than \$29,000
- \$30,000 to \$49,999
- \$50,000 to \$74,999
- \$75,000 to \$99,999
- \$100,000 to \$149,999
- \$150,000 or More

\* 10. What kind of health insurance do you and/or your children have? Check all that apply

- Medi-Cal (Medicaid)
- Medicare
- Through an employer's health plan
- Purchased privately
- My child(ren) have no insurance
- I have no insurance

\* 11. During the past 12 months, where did you usually take your child/children for routine medical care such as check-ups?

- Doctor's office
- An emergency room in a hospital
- An emergency room not in a hospital
- Urgent care clinic
- Retail clinic (i.e. Walgreens, CVS)
- School-based health center
- Virtual doctor visit (telemedicine, telehealth, other online communication)
- My children do not have usual place for medical care
- My children did not need medical care in the last 12 months

\* 12. Imagine a vibrant, strong and healthy community. What do you think are the three (3) most important factors for a "Healthy Community"?

(The 3 factors that most improves the quality of life in a community.)

- |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Access to health care (e.g. family doctor, dentist) | <input type="checkbox"/> Good jobs and healthy economy     | <input type="checkbox"/> Low level of child abuse          |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Affordable housing                                  | <input type="checkbox"/> Good schools                      | <input type="checkbox"/> Parks and recreational activities |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Arts and cultural events                            | <input type="checkbox"/> Healthy behaviors and lifestyles  | <input type="checkbox"/> Religious or spiritual values     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Clean environment (e.g. water, air)                 | <input type="checkbox"/> Low adult death and disease rates | <input type="checkbox"/> Strong family life                |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Emergency preparedness                              | <input type="checkbox"/> Low crime / safe neighborhoods    | <input type="checkbox"/> Transportation                    |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Excellent race/ethnic relations                     | <input type="checkbox"/> Low infant deaths                 |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other (please specify)                              |  |  |

\* 13. In your community in Orange County, what do you think are the three (3) most important “health problems” that affect children and young adults?

(The 3 health problems with the greatest impact on overall health of children and young adults.)

- |   |   |  |
|---|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Bullying and other stressors in school | <input type="checkbox"/> Environmental quality (e.g. mold, lead, air pollution) | <input type="checkbox"/> Obesity   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Cancers                                | <input type="checkbox"/> Firearm-related injuries                               | <input type="checkbox"/> Physical activity   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Child abuse / neglect                  | <input type="checkbox"/> Heart disease and stroke                               | <input type="checkbox"/> Rape / sexual assault                                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Dental Problems                        | <input type="checkbox"/> Homicide   | <input type="checkbox"/> Respiratory / lung disease (e.g. asthma, cystic fibrosis) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Diabetes                               | <input type="checkbox"/> Immunizations (vaccines) and infectious diseases       | <input type="checkbox"/> Sexual health (e.g. STDs)                                 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Domestic Violence                      | <input type="checkbox"/> Infant Death   | <input type="checkbox"/> Suicide prevention  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Drowning                               | <input type="checkbox"/> Mental or behavioral health                            | <input type="checkbox"/> Teenage pregnancy   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Eating disorders                       | <input type="checkbox"/> Motor vehicle crash injuries                           |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other (please specify)                 |   |  |

\* 14. In your community in Orange County, what do you think are the three (3) most common and serious “risky behaviors” of children and young adults?

(The 3 risky-behaviors with the greatest impact on overall health of children and young adults.)

- |   |  |   |
|---|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Alcohol abuse                      | <input type="checkbox"/> Lack of maternity care                    | <input type="checkbox"/> Poor eating habits   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Being overweight                   | <input type="checkbox"/> Not getting “shots” to prevent disease    | <input type="checkbox"/> Racism               |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Dropping out of school             | <input type="checkbox"/> Not going to the doctors and/or dentist   | <input type="checkbox"/> Terrorist activities |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Drug abuse                         | <input type="checkbox"/> Not using birth control                   | <input type="checkbox"/> Unsafe sex           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Lack of exercise/physical activity | <input type="checkbox"/> Not using seat belts / child safety seats | <input type="checkbox"/> Unsecured firearms   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other (please specify)             |  |   |

\* 15. How would you rate the overall health of your Orange County community?

- Very Good
- Good
- Fair
- Poor
- Very Poor

\* 16. In general, how would you rate your own personal health?

- Very Good
- Good
- Fair
- Poor
- Very Poor

\* 17. In general, how would you rate your children's health?

- Very Good
- Good
- Fair
- Poor
- Very Poor



\* 18. Think about other critical needs or concerns affecting children's health in your community in Orange County.

Please rank each concern on a scale of "Not at all critical" to "Very critical."

|  | Not at all critical   | Not really critical   | Neutral               | Somewhat Critical     | Very Critical         |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Access to healthcare   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Access to Pediatric Specialist (i.e. Specialist types, scheduling) | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Autism Spectrum Disorders  | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Community-based Education (or community learning)                  | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Cost of raising a child  | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Crime and community violence                                       | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Domestic abuse   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Environmental quality (i.e. air pollution, mold, lead, water)      | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Housing  | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Hunger or access to healthy food                                   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Language barriers  | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Legal problems   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Pediatric Dental Services  | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Pediatric Mental Health services                                   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Pediatric Obesity  | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| School programs  | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Social Media or screen time  | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Special Educational Needs (SEN)                                    | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Traffic safety and transportation services                         | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Treatment of alcohol and drug abuse                                | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

19. If you have any other feedback or comments about your Orange County community health needs or concerns, please leave a comment below:

Done

## APPENDIX E: RESOURCES TO ADDRESS NEEDS

The list of community assets aims to capture community efforts, programs, organizations and other facilities in the community that was mentioned by key informants and community members. The list is not comprehensive as it does not outline all of Orange County's community assets. It does, however, provide opportunities to enhance collaboration as well as further identification of how communities can optimize use of community assets to improve quality of community life.

### Mental health

|  |  |
|--|--|
| "Speak Up We Care" in Irvine Unified School District | National Alliance on Mental Illness (NAMI) |
| Be Well OC   | National Suicide Prevention Lifeline       |
| CalOptima Behavioral Health                          | Orange County Crisis Assessment Team       |
| Care Solace  | Orange County Health Care Agency (OCHCA)   |
| Child Guidance Center                                | Orange County Mental Health Plan Access    |
| CHOC Children's Thompson Autism Center               | Outreach Concern                           |
| Crisis Assessment Team (CAT)                         | Regional Center of Orange County           |
| Crisis Text Line ("Home" to 741741)                  | Saddleback Church                          |
| Didi Hirsch Suicide Crisis Hotline                   | Saddleback College Student Health Center   |
| Family Resource Centers                              | System of Care Taskforce for Mental Health |
| MOMS of Orange County                                | Western Youth                              |

### Obesity

|                                  |  |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Boys & Girls Club                | Regional Center of Orange County             |
| CalFresh Program                 | School Districts                             |
| Center for Healthy Living        | Team KiPOW (CHOC/UCI school-based education) |
| El Camino Health Center          | Weight Watchers                              |
| Orange County Health Care Agency |  |

### Diabetes

|  |                                  |
|--|----------------------------------|
| Diabetes Collaborative – OC Healthier Together | Orange County Health Care Agency |
| Family Resource Centers                        | PADRE Foundation                 |

### Food Insecurity and Nutrition

|                         |                        |
|-------------------------|------------------------|
| "No Kid Hungry" Program | Meals on Wheels        |
| 2-1-1 OC                | Waste Not OC Coalition |
| CalFresh Program        | Weight Watchers        |

### Respiratory Illness

|                                      |                                  |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| American Lung Association            | Orange County Health Care Agency |
| CHOC Children's Breathmobile Program |                                  |

### Substance Abuse

|  |                            |
|--|----------------------------|
| ACT Home Visiting Substance Use (OCHA) | Phoenix House Santa Ana    |
| Chapman House                          | Teen Challenge             |
| Mariposa                               | Twin Town Treatment Center |
| Matrix Institute on Addictions         | SaferxOC                   |
| MOMS of Orange County                  |                            |

### Immunization

|  |  |
|--|--|
| Central City Community Health Center (FQHCs) | Immunization Assistance Program: OC Health Care Agency |
|--|--|

### **Bullying and other stressors in school**

"Speak Up We Care" in Irvine Unified School District  
BRIDGES Program  
Family Resource Centers

Orange County Sheriff's Department of California  
StopBullying.Gov

### **Child Safety**

2-1-1 OC  
ACES/Resilience  
Be Well OC  
Children's Bureau  
City Police Departments  
Family Resource Centers

MOMS of Orange County  
Orange County Child Passenger Safety Task Force  
Orange County Child Protective Services  
Safe Kids Orange County (CA)  
The Child Abuse Prevention Center  
The Raise Foundation

### **Oral Health**

Boys & Girls Club  
Friends of Family Health Center  
Healthy Kids of Orange County  
Healthy Smiles for Kids (HSK)  
Orange County Health Care Agency

Orange County Oral Health Collaborative  
Regional Center of Orange County  
Share Our Selves Community Health Center  
UCI Dental Truck

### **Access to health care**

2-1-1 OC  
AltaMed  
Black Infant Health Program  
Boys & Girls Club  
CalOptima  
Central City Community Health Center (FQHCs)  
CHOC Health Alliance

CHOC Patient Care Coordinators and Social Workers

Family Assistance Ministries  
Family Resource Center  
Orange County Health Care Agency  
Orange County Health Improvement Partnership  
Orange County Links  
Planned Parenthood

### **Poverty**

Assistance League of Anaheim

Central City Community Health Center (FQHCs)

### **Crime**

2-1-1 OC  
City Police Departments

Orange County Re-Entry Partnership

### **Housing**

2-1-1 OC  
Assistance League of Anaheim  
Families Forward

Illumination Foundation  
Jamboree Housing  
Returning Home Foundation

## APPENDIX F: IRS CHECKLIST

Section §1.501(r)(3) of the Internal Revenue Service code describes the requirements of the CHNA.

### FEDERAL REQUIREMENTS §1.501(r)-3 CHECKLIST

| FEDERAL REQUIREMENTS §1.501(r)-3  | REGULATION SECTION                         | REPORT REFERENCE              |
|---|--|-------------------------------|
| <b>A Activities Since Previous CHNA(s)</b>  |  |                               |
| Describes the written comments received on hospital's most recently conducted CHNA and most recently adopted implementation strategy  | (b)(5)(C)                                  | Section 2                     |
| Describes an evaluation of the impact of any actions that were taken, since the hospital facility finished conducting its immediately preceding CHNA, to address the significant health needs identified in the hospital facility's prior CHNA(s).                    | (b)(6)(F)                                  | Section 1 & 2                 |
| <b>B Process &amp; Methods</b>  |  |                               |
| <b>Background Information</b>   |  |                               |
| Identifies any parties with whom the facility collaborated in preparing the CHNA(s).  | (b)(6)(F)(ii)                              | Section 1                     |
| Identifies any third parties contracted to assist in conducting a CHNA.   | (b)(6)(F)(ii)                              | Section 1                     |
| Defines the community it serves, which:   |  | Section 2                     |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Must consider all patients without regard to whether (or how much) they or their insurers pay for care or whether they are eligible for assistance.</li> </ul>   | (b)(i)                                     | Section 1, 2, 6               |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>May take into account all relevant circumstances including the geographic area served by the hospital, target population(s), and principal functions.</li> </ul>   | (b)(3)                                     | Section 1 & 2                 |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>May not exclude medically underserved, low-income, or minority populations who live in the geographic areas from which the hospital draws its patients.</li> </ul>   | (b)(6)(i)(A)                               | Section 1 & 2                 |
| Describes how the community was determined  | (b)(6)(i)(A)                               | Section 2                     |
| Describes demographics, how community was determined, and other descriptors of the hospital service area.   | (b)(6)(i)(A)                               | Section 2-6                   |
| <b>Health Needs Data Collection</b>   |  |                               |
| Describes data and other information used in the assessment:  | (b)(6)(ii)                                 | Section 2-6<br>Appendix A     |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Cites external source material (rather than describe the method of collecting the data)</li> </ul>   | (b)(6)(F)(ii)                              | Appendix A                    |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Describes methods of collecting and analyzing the data and information</li> </ul>  | (b)(6)(ii)                                 | Section 2, 7, 8               |
| CHNA describes how it took into account input from persons who represent the broad interests of the community it serves in order to identify and prioritize health needs and identify resources potentially available to address those health needs.                  | (b)(1)(iii)<br>(b)(5)(i)<br>(b)(6)(F)(iii) | Section 2, 7, 8<br>Appendix E |
| Describes the medically underserved, low-income, or minority populations being represented by organizations or individuals that provide input.  | (b)(6)(F)(iii)                             | Section 2, 7, 8<br>Appendix B |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>At least one state, local, tribal, or regional governmental public health department (or equivalent department or agency) or a State Office of Rural Health</li> </ul>   | (b)(5)(i)(A)                               | Section 8<br>Appendix B       |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Members of the following populations, or individuals serving or representing the interests of populations listed below. (Report includes the names of any organizations - names or other identifiers not required.)</li> </ul> | (b)(5)(i)(B)                               | Section 2, 7, 8<br>Appendix B |

|  |                |                                     |
|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|
| ○ Medically underserved populations  | (b)(5)(i)(B)   | Section 2, 7, 8<br>Appendix B       |
| ○ Low-income populations   | (b)(5)(i)(B)   | Section 2, 7, 8<br>Appendix B       |
| ○ Minority populations   | (b)(5)(i)(B)   | Section 2, 7, 8<br>Appendix B       |
| Additional sources (optional) – (e.g. healthcare consumers, advocates, nonprofit and community-based organizations, elected officials, school districts, healthcare providers and community health centers). | (b)(5)(ii)     | Section 8<br>Appendix B             |
| Describes how such input was provided (e.g., through focus groups, interviews or surveys).   | (b)(6)(F)(iii) | Section 1, 2,<br>7, 8<br>Appendix B |
| Describes over what time period such input was provided and between what approximate dates.  | (b)(6)(F)(iii) | Section 1, 2,<br>7, 8               |
| Summarizes the nature and extent of the organizations' input.  | (b)(6)(F)(iii) | Section 1, 2,<br>7, 8               |

### C CHNA Needs Description & Prioritization

|   |                     |                               |
|---|---------------------|-------------------------------|
| Health needs of a community include requisites for the improvement or maintenance of health status both in the community at large and in particular parts of the community (such as particular neighborhoods or populations experiencing health disparities). | (b)(4)              | Section 3, 4, 5               |
| Prioritized description of significant health needs identified.   | (b)(6)(i)(D)        | Section 1, 7, 8               |
| Description of process and criteria used to identify certain health needs as significant and prioritizing those significant health needs.   | (b)(6)(i)(D)        | Section 1 & 2                 |
| Description of the resources potentially available to address the significant health needs (such as organizations, facilities, and programs in the community, including those of the hospital facility).  | (b)(4)<br>(b)(6)(E) | Section 1, 6, 8<br>Appendix E |

### D Finalizing the CHNA

|  |              |                 |
|--|--------------|-----------------|
| CHNA is conducted in such taxable year or in either of the two taxable years immediately preceding such taxable year   | (a)(1)       | Section 1       |
| CHNA is a written report that is adopted for the hospital facility by an authorized body of the hospital facility (authorized body defined in §1.501(r)-1(b)(4)).  | (b)(iv)      | Section 1       |
| Final, complete, and current CHNA report has been made widely available to the public until the subsequent two CHNAs are made widely available to the public. "Widely available on a web site" is defined in §1.501(r)-1(b)(29). | (b)(7)(i)(A) | Date of posting |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• May Not be a copy marked "draft"</li> </ul>   | (b)(7)(ii)   |                 |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Posted conspicuously on website (either the hospital facility's website or a conspicuously located link to a web site established by another entity).</li> </ul>                        | (b)(7)(i)(A) |                 |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Instructions for accessing CHNA report are clear.</li> </ul>  | (b)(7)(i)(A) |                 |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Individuals with Internet access can access and print reports without special software, without payment of a fee, and without creating an account.</li> </ul>                           | (b)(7)(i)(A) |                 |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Individuals requesting a copy of the report(s) are provided the URL.</li> </ul>   | (b)(7)(i)(A) |                 |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Makes a paper copy available for public inspection upon request and without charge at the hospital facility.</li> </ul>   | (b)(7)(i)(B) |                 |