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## Foreword

The introduction of European standards to UK construction is a significant event as for the first time all design and construction codes within the EU will be harmonised. The ten desig standards, known as the Eurocodes, will affect all design and construction activities as curren British Standards for structural design are due to be withdrawn in 2010.
The cement and concrete industry recognised the need to enable UK design professionals to use Eurocode 2, Design of concrete structures, quickly, effectively, efficiently and with confidence. Eurocode 2 Group (CIEG) was formed in 1999 and this Group has provided the guidance for a coordinated and collaborative approach to the introduction of Eurocode 2 .

As a result, a range of resources are being delivered by the concrete sector (see www.eurocode2. info) The aim of this publication, Concise Eurocode 2, is to distil from Eurocode 2 and other Eurocodes the material that is commonly used in the design of concrete framed buildings.
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## Symbols and abbreviations used in this publication

| Symbol | Definition |
| :---: | :---: |
| \|x| | Absolute value of $x$ |
| 1/r | Curvature at a particular section |
| A | Cross sectional area; Accidental action |
| A, B, C | Variables used in the determination of $\lambda_{\text {lim }}$ |
| $A_{c}$ | Cross sectional area of concrete |
| $A_{c t}$ | Area of concrete in that part of the section that is calculated to be in tension just before the formation of the first crack |
| $A_{\text {d }}$ | Design value of an accidental action |
| $A_{\mathrm{k}}$ | Area enclosed by the centre lines of connecting walls including the inner hollow area (torsion) |
| $A_{\text {p }}$ | Area of prestressing steel |
| $A_{s}$ | Cross sectional area of reinforcement |
| $A_{s, \text { min }}$ | Minimum cross sectional area of reinforcement |
| $A_{\text {s.prov }}$ | Area of steel provided |
| $A_{\text {s,req }}$ | Area of steel required |
| $A_{s 1}$ | Area of reinforcing steel in layer 1 |
| $A_{52}$ | Area of compression steel (in layer 2) |
| $A_{s l}$ | Area of the tensile reinforcement extending at least $l_{b d}+d$ beyond the section considered |
| $A_{\text {SM }}\left(A_{\text {SN }}\right)$ | Total area of reinforcement required in symmetrical, rectangular columns to resist moment (axial load) using simplified calculation method |
| $A_{\text {st }}$ | Cross sectional area of transverse steel (at laps) |
| $A_{\text {sw }}$ | Cross sectional area of shear reinforcement |
| $A_{\text {sw }}$ | Area of punching shear reinforcement in one perimeter around the column |
| $A_{\text {sw,min }}$ | Minimum cross sectional area of shear reinforcement |
| $A_{\text {sw,min }}$ | Minimum area of punching shear reinforcement in one perimeter around the column |
| a | Distance, allowance at supports |
| a | Axis distance from the concrete surface to the centre of the bar (fire) |
| a | An exponent (in considering biaxial bending of columns) |
| a | Projection of the footing from the face of the column or wall |
| $a_{\mathrm{b}}$ | Half the centre-to-centre spacing of bars (perpendicular to the plane of the bend) |
| $a_{1}$ | Distance by which the location where a bar is no longer required for bending moment is displaced to allow for the forces from the truss model for shear. ('Shift' distance for curtailment) |
| $a_{\text {m }}$ | Average axis distance (fire) |


| Symbol | Definition |
| :---: | :---: |
| $a_{\text {sd }}$ | Axis distance (in fire) from the lateral surface of a member to the centre of the bar |
| $a_{v}$ | Distance between bearings or face of support and face of load |
| $a_{1}, b_{1}$ | Dimensions of the control perimeter around an elongated support (punching shear) |
| $b$ | Overall width of a cross-section, or flange width in a T or L beam |
| $b_{\text {e }}$ | Effective width of a flat slab (adjacent to perimeter column) |
| $b_{\text {eff }}$ | Effective width of a flange |
| $b_{\text {eq }}\left(h_{\text {e }}\right.$ | Equivalent width (height) of column $=b(h)$ for rectangular sections |
| $b_{\text {min }}$ | Minimum width of web on $T$, I or L beams |
| $b_{\text {t }}$ | Mean width of the tension zone. For a $T$ beam with the flange in compression, only the width of the web is taken into account |
| $b_{\text {w }}$ | Width of the web on $T, I$ or $L$ beams. Minimum width between tension and compression chords |
| $b_{y^{\prime}}, b_{z}$ | Dimensions of the control perimeter (punching shear) |
| $c_{\text {min }}$ | Minimum cover, (due to the requirements for bond, $c_{\text {min, }}$ or durability $C_{\text {min,dur }}$ ) |
| $c_{\text {nom }}$ | Nominal cover. Nominal cover should satisfy the minimum requirements of bond, durability and fire |
| $C_{y^{\prime}} C_{x}$ | Column dimensions in plan |
| $c_{1}, c_{2}$ | Dimensions of a rectangular column. For edge columns, $C_{1}$ is measured perpendicular to the free edge (punching shear) |
| D | Diameter of a circular column; Diameter |
| d | Effective depth to tension steel |
| $\mathrm{d}_{2}$ | Effective depth to compression steel |
| $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | Effective depth of concrete in compression |
| ${ }_{\text {deff }}$ | Effective depth of the slab taken as the average of the effective depths in two orthogonal directions (punching shear) |
| d | A short length of a perimeter (punching shear) |
| E | Effect of action; Integrity (in fire); Elastic modulus |
| $E_{c^{\prime}} E_{\text {cl28) }}$ | Tangent modulus of elasticity of normal weight concrete at a stress of $\sigma_{c}=0$ and at 28 days |
| $E_{\text {c,eff }}$ | Effective modulus of elasticity of concrete |
| $E_{\text {cd }}$ | Design value of modulus of elasticity of concrete |
| $E_{\text {cm }}$ | Secant modulus of elasticity of concrete |
| $E_{\text {d }}$ | Design value of the effect of actions |


| Symbol | Definition |
| :---: | :---: |
| EI | Bending stiffness |
| $E_{s}$ | Design value of modulus of elasticity of reinforcing steel |
| Exp. | Expression |
| EQU | Static equilibrium |
| e | Eccentricity |
| $e_{2}$ | Deflection (used in assessing $M_{2}$ in slender columns) |
| $e_{i}$ | Eccentricity due to imperfections |
| $e_{\text {par }}$ | Eccentricity parallel to the slab edge resulting from a moment about an axis perpendicular to the slab edge (punching shear) |
| $e_{y^{\prime}} e_{z}$ | Eccentricity, $M_{\text {Ed }} / V_{\text {Ed }}$ along $y$ and $z$ axes respectively (punching shear) |
| F1 | Factor to account for flanged sections (deflection) |
| F2 | Factor to account for brittle partitions in association with long spans (deflection) |
| F3 | Factor to account for service stress in tensile reinforcement (deflection) |
| F | Action |
| $F_{\text {bt }}$ | Tensile force in the bar at the start of the bend caused by ultimate loads |
| $F_{c}\left(F_{s}\right)$ | Force in concrete (steel) |
| $F_{\text {cd }}$ | Design value of the concrete compression force in the direction of the longitudinal member axis |
| $F_{\text {d }}$ | Design value of an action |
| $F_{\mathrm{E}}$ | Tensile force in reinforcement to be anchored |
| $F_{\text {Ed }}$ | Compressive force, design value of support reaction |
| $F_{\text {k }}$ | Characteristic value of an action |
| $F_{\text {rep }}$ | Representative action $\left(=\psi, F_{\mathrm{k}}\right.$ where $\psi^{\prime}=$ factor to convert characteristic to representative action) |
| $F_{\text {RS }}$ | Resisting tensile force in steel |
| $F_{\text {s }}$ | Tensile force in the bar |
| $F_{\text {td }}$ | Design value of the tensile force in longitudinal reinforcement |
| $F_{\text {tie,col }}$ | Horizontal tie force, column to floor or roof (kN) |
| $F_{\text {tie,fac }}$ | Horizontal tie force, wall to floor or roof (kN/m) |
| $F_{\text {tie, int }}$ | Internal tie tensile force |
| $F_{\text {tie,eper }}$ | Peripheral tie tensile force |
| $F_{\text {wd }}$ | Design shear strength of weld, design value of the force in stirrups in corbels |
| $f_{\text {bd }}$ | Ultimate bond stress |
| $f_{c}$ | Compressive strength of concrete |
| $f_{c d}$ | Design value of concrete compressive strength |


| Symbol | Definition |
| :---: | :---: |
| $f_{\text {cc, }, \mathrm{pl}}$ | Design compressive strength of plain concrete |
| $f_{c k}$ | Characteristic compressive cylinder strength of concrete at 28 days |
| $f_{\text {ck, cube }}$ | Characteristic compressive cube strength of concrete at 28 days |
| $f_{c m}$ | Mean value of concrete cylinder compressive strength |
| $\underline{f_{c t, d}}$ | Design tensile strength of concrete ( $\left.\alpha_{\text {ct }} f_{c t, k} / \gamma_{c}\right)$ |
| $f_{\text {ct,eff }}$ | Mean tensile strength of concrete effective at the time cracks may be first expected to occur. $f_{c t, \text { eff }}=f_{\text {ctm }}$ at the appropriate age |
| $f_{c t, k}$ | Characteristic axial tensile strength of concrete |
| $f_{\text {ctm }}$ | Mean value of axial tensile strength of concrete |
| $f_{\text {ct, } 0.05}$ | $5 \%$ fractile value of axial tensile strength of concrete |
| $f_{\text {ct, } 0.95}$ | $95 \%$ fractile value of axial tensile strength of concrete |
| $f_{\text {cvd }}$ | Concrete design strength in shear and compression (plain concrete) |
| $f_{\text {sc }}$ | Compressive stress in compression reinforcement at ULS |
| $f_{\text {t }}$ | Tensile strength of reinforcement |
| $f_{\text {t,k }}$ | Characteristic tensile strength of reinforcement |
| $f_{y d}$ | Design yield strength of longitudinal reinforcement, $A_{\text {sl }}$ |
| $f_{\text {yk }}$ | Characteristic yield strength of reinforcement |
| $f_{\text {ywd }}$ | Design yield strength of the shear reinforcement |
| $f_{\text {ywd,ef }}$ | Effective design strength of punching shear reinforcement |
| $f_{\text {ywk }}$ | Characteristic yield strength of shear reinforcement |
| $C_{k}$ | Characteristic value of a permanent action |
| $g_{\mathrm{k}}$ | Characteristic value of a permanent action per unit length or area |
| $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | Horizontal action applied at a level |
| h | Overall depth of a cross-section; Height |
| $h_{\text {f }}$ | Depth of footing; Thickness of flange |
| $h_{\text {H }}$ | Vertical height of a drop or column head below soffit of a slab (punching shear) |
| $h_{0}$ | Notional size of cross section |
| $h_{\text {s }}$ | Depth of slab |
| 1 | Second moment of area of concrete section |
| 1 | Insulation (in fire) |
| i | Radius of gyration |
| K | $M_{\text {Ed }} / b d 2 f_{c k}$. A measure of the relative compressive stress in a member in flexure |
| K | Factor to account for structural system (deflection) |


| Symbol | Definition |
| :---: | :---: |
| $K^{\prime}$ | Value of $K$ above which compression reinforcement is required |
| $K_{\text {r }}$ | Correction factor for curvature depending on axial load |
| $K_{\varphi}$ | Factor for taking account of creep |
| k | Coefficient or factor |
| $k_{c}$ | Coefficient allowing for the nature of the stress distribution within the section immediately prior to cracking and for the change of the lever arm as a result of cracking (minimum areas) |
| 1 | Clear height of column between end restraints |
| 1 | Height of the structure in metres |
| 1 (or L) | Length; Span |
| $l_{0}$ | Effective length (of columns) |
| $l_{0}$ | Distance between points of zero moment |
| $l_{0}$ | Design lap length |
| $l_{0, \mathrm{fi}}$ | Effective length under fire conditions |
| $l_{\text {b }}$ | Basic anchorage length |
| $l_{\text {bd }}$ | Design anchorage length |
| $l_{\text {b,eq }}$ | Equivalent anchorage length |
| $l_{\text {b,min }}$ | Minimum anchorage length |
| $l_{\text {b,rad }}$ | Basic anchorage length |
| $l_{\text {eff }}$ | Effective span |
| $l_{\text {H }}$ | Horizontal distance from column face to edge of a drop or column head below soffit of a slab (punching shear) |
| $l_{\text {n }}$ | Clear distance between the faces of supports |
| $l_{s}$ | Floor to ceiling height |
| $l_{x^{\prime}} l_{y}$ | Spans of a two-way slab in the $x$ and $y$ directions |
| M | Bending moment. Moment from first order analysis |
| $M^{\prime}$ | Moment capacity of a singly reinforced section (above which compression reinforcement is required) |
| $M_{0, \text { Eqp }}$ | First order bending moment in quasipermanent load combination (SLS) |
| $M_{01}, M_{02}$ | First order end moments at ULS including allowances for imperfections |
| $M_{\text {OEd }}$ | Equivalent first order moment including the effect of imperfections (at about mid height) |
| $M_{\text {OEd, fi }}$ | First order moment under fire conditions |
| $M_{2}$ | Nominal second order moment in slender columns |
| $M_{\text {Ed }}$ | Design value of the applied internal bending moment |
| $M_{\text {Edy }}, M_{\text {Edz }}$ | Design moment in the respective direction |
| $M_{\text {Rdy }}, M_{\text {Rdz }}$ | Moment resistance in the respective direction |


| Symbol | Definition |
| :---: | :---: |
| m | Number of vertical members contributing to an effect |
| m | Mass |
| N | Axial force |
| N | Basic span-to-effective-depth ratio, //d, for $K=1.0$ (used in Section 15) |
| $N_{\text {OEd, fi }}$ | Axial load under fire conditions |
| NA | National Annex |
| $N_{a^{\prime}} N_{\text {b }}$ | Longitudinal forces contributing to $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}}$ |
| $N_{\text {Ed }}$ | Design value of the applied axial force (tension or compression) at ULS |
| NDP | Nationally Determined Parameter(s) as published in a country's National Annex |
| n | Load level at normal temperatures. Conservatively $n=0.7$ (fire) |
| $n$ | Axial stress at ULS |
| $n$ | Ultimate action (load) per unit length (or area) |
| $n_{0}$ | Number of storeys |
| $n_{\text {b }}$ | Number of bars in the bundle |
| $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{k}}$ | Characteristic value of a variable action |
| $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{k} 1}\left(\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)$ | Characteristic value of a leading variable action (Characteristic value of an accompanying variable action) |
| $q_{\mathrm{k}}$ | Characteristic value of a variable action per unit length or area |
| $R$ | Resistance; Mechanical resistance (in fire) |
| R/A' | Vertical bearing resistance per unit area (foundations) |
| $R_{\text {d }}$ | Design value of the resistance to an action |
| RH | Relative humidity |
| $r$ | Radius |
| $r_{\text {cont }}$ | The distance from the centroid of a column to the control section outside the column head |
| $r_{\text {m }}$ | Ratio of first order end moments in columns at ULS |
| S, N, R | Cement types |
| SLS | Serviceability limit state(s) - corresponding to conditions beyond which specified service requirements are no longer met |
| $s$ | Spacing |
| $s_{\text {r }}$ | Radial spacing of perimeters of shear reinforcement |
| $s_{\text {t }}$ | Tangential spacing shear reinforcement along perimeters of shear reinforcement |
| T | Torsional moment |
| $T_{\text {Ed }}$ | Design value of the applied torsional moment |
| $T_{\text {Rd }}$ | Design torsional resistance moment |
| $T_{\text {Rd,max }}$ | Maximum design torsional resistance moment capacity |


| Symbol | Definition |
| :---: | :---: |
| $t$ | Thickness; Time being considered; Breadth of support |
| $t_{0}$ | The age of concrete at the time of loading |
| $t_{\text {ef, },}$ | Effective wall thickness (torsion) |
| ULS | Ultimate limit state(s) - associated with collapse or other forms of structural failure |
| $u$ | Perimeter of concrete cross-section, having area $A_{c}$ |
| $u$ | Perimeter of that part which is exposed to drying |
| $u$ | Circumference of outer edge of effective cross section (torsion) |
| $u_{0}$ | Perimeter adjacent to columns (punching shear) |
| $u_{1}$ | Basic control perimeter, (at $2 d$ from face of load) (punching shear) |
| $u_{1 *}$ | Reduced control perimeter at perimeter columns (at 2d from face of load) (punching shear) |
| $u_{i}$ | Length of the control perimeter under consideration (punching shear) |
| $u_{k}$ | Perimeter of the area $A_{k}$ (torsion) |
| $u_{\text {out }}$ | Perimeter at which shear reinforcement is no longer required |
| V | Shear force |
| $V_{\text {Ed }}$ | Design value of the applied shear force |
| $V_{\text {Ed,red }}$ | Applied shear force reduced by the force due to soil pressure less self weight of base (punching shear, foundations) |
| $V_{\text {Rd, }}$ | Shear resistance of a member without shear reinforcement |
| $\bar{V}_{\text {Rd,max }}$ | Shear resistance of a member limited by the crushing of compression struts |
| $V_{\text {Rd, }}$ | Shear resistance of a member governed by the yielding of shear reinforcement |
| $V_{\text {Ed }}$ | Punching shear stress |
| $v_{\text {Ed }}$ | Shear stress for sections without shear reinforcement ( $\left.=V_{E d} / b_{w} d\right)$ |
| $v_{\text {Ed, }}$ | Shear stress for sections with shear reinforcement $\left(=V_{E d} / b_{w} z=V_{E d} / b_{w} 0.9 d\right)$ |
| $V_{\text {Rd, },}$ | Design shear resistance of concrete without shear reinforcement expressed as a stress |
| $V_{\text {Rd, cs }}$ | Design punching shear resistance of concrete with shear reinforcement expressed as a stress (punching shear) |
| $V_{\text {Rd,max }}$ | Capacity of concrete struts expressed as a stress |
| $W_{1}$ | Factor corresponding to a distribution of shear (punching shear) |
| $w_{k}$ | Crack width |


| Symbol | Definition |
| :---: | :---: |
| $W_{\text {max }}$ | Limiting calculated crack width |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{XO}, \mathrm{XA}, \mathrm{XC}, \\ & \mathrm{XD}, \mathrm{XF}, \mathrm{XS} \end{aligned}$ | Concrete exposure classes |
| $x$ | Neutral axis depth |
| $x$ | Distance of the section being considered from the centre line of the support |
| $x, y, z$ | Co-ordinates; Planes under consideration |
| $x_{u}$ | Depth of the neutral axis at the ultimate limit state after redistribution |
| z | Lever arm of internal forces |
| $\alpha$ | Angle; Angle of shear links to the longitudinal axis; Ratio |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3} \\ & \alpha_{4}, \alpha_{5}, \alpha_{6} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Factors dealing with anchorage and laps of bars |
| $\alpha_{c c}\left(\alpha_{\text {ct }}\right)$ | A coefficient taking into account long term effects of compressive (tensile) load and the way load is applied |
| $\beta$ | Angle; Ratio; Coefficient |
| $\beta$ | Factor dealing with eccentricity (punching shear) |
| $\gamma$ | Partial factor |
| $\gamma_{\text {A }}$ | Partial factor for accidental actions, A |
| $\gamma_{c}$ | Partial factor for concrete |
| $\gamma_{\text {F }}$ | Partial factor for actions, F |
| $\gamma_{\text {f }}$ | Partial factor for actions without taking account of model uncertainties |
| $\gamma_{g}$ | Partial factor for permanent actions without taking account of model uncertainties |
| $\gamma_{\text {c }}$ | Partial factor for permanent actions, G |
| $\gamma_{\text {M }}$ | Partial factor for a material property, taking account of uncertainties in the material property itself, in geometric deviation and in the design model used |
| $\gamma_{0}$ | Partial factor for variable actions, Q |
| $r_{\text {s }}$ | Partial factor for reinforcing steel |
| $\delta$ | Ratio of the redistributed moment to the elastic bending moment. Redistribution ratio (1-\% redistribution) |
| $\Delta_{c}$ | Allowance for deviation made in design, e.g. to allow for workmanship (BS EN 13760) |
| $\Delta_{\text {c,dev }}$ | Allowance made in design for deviation |
| $\Delta_{\varepsilon \mathrm{p}}$ | Change in strain in prestressing steel |
| $\Delta F_{\text {td }}$ | Additional tensile force in longitudinal reinforcement due to the truss shear model |
| $\varepsilon_{c}$ | Compressive strain in concrete |
| $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{c} 2}$ | Compressive strain limit in concrete for concrete in pure axial compression or strain in concrete at reaching maximum strength assuming use of the bilinear stress-strain relationship |


| Symbol | Definition |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\varepsilon_{\text {c3 }}$ | Compressive strain limit in concrete for concrete in pure axial compression or strain in concrete at reaching maximum strength assuming use of the bilinear stress-strain relationship |
| $\varepsilon_{\text {cu }}$ | Ultimate compressive strain in the concrete |
| $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{cu} 2}$ | Ultimate compressive strain limit in concrete which is not fully in pure axial compression assuming use of the parabolic-rectangular stress-strain relationship (numerically $\left.\varepsilon_{\mathrm{cu} 2}=\varepsilon_{\mathrm{cu} 3}\right)$ |
| $\varepsilon_{\text {cu3 }}$ | Ultimate compressive strain limit in concrete which is not fully in pure axial compression assuming use of the bilinear stress-strain relationship |
| $\varepsilon_{\text {p(0) }}$ | Initial strain in prestressing steel |
| $\varepsilon_{s}$ | Strain in reinforcing steel |
| $\varepsilon_{u}$ | Strain of reinforcement or prestressing steel at maximum load |
| $\varepsilon_{\text {ud }}$ | Design limit for strain for reinforcing steel in tension $=0.9 \varepsilon_{\text {uk }}$ |
| $\varepsilon_{\text {uk }}$ | Characteristic strain of reinforcement (or prestressing steel) at maximum load |
| $\varepsilon_{y}$ | Reinforcement yield strain |
| $\eta$ | Factor defining effective strength (= 1 for $\leq C 50 / 60$ ) |
| $\eta_{1}$ | Coefficient for bond conditions |
| $\eta_{2}$ | Coefficient for bar diameter |
| $\theta$ | Angle; Angle of compression struts (shear) |
| $\theta_{i}$ | Inclination used to represent imperfections |
| $\lambda$ | Slenderness ratio |
| $\lambda$ | Factor defining the height of the compression zone ( $=0.8$ for $\leq$ C50/60) |
| $\lambda_{\text {fi }}$ | Slenderness in fire |
| $\lambda_{\text {lim }}$ | Limiting slenderness ratio (of columns) |
| $\mu_{\text {fi }}$ | Ratio of the design axial load under fire conditions to the design resistance of the column at normal temperature but with an eccentricity applicable to fire conditions |
| $v$ | Strength reduction factor for concrete cracked in shear |
| $\xi$ | Reduction factor/distribution coefficient. Factor applied to $G_{k}$ in BS EN 1990 Exp. (6.10b) |


| Symbol | Definition |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\rho$ | Required tension reinforcement ratio |
| $\rho^{\prime}$ | Reinforcement ratio for required compression reinforcement, $A_{52} / b d$ |
| $\rho_{1}$ | Percentage of reinforcement lapped within $0.651_{0}$ from the centre line of the lap being considered |
| $\rho_{l}$ | Reinforcement ratio for longitudinal reinforcement |
| $\rho_{0}$ | Reference reinforcement ratio $f_{c k} 0.5 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| $\sigma_{\mathrm{gd}}$ | Design value of the ground pressure |
| $\sigma_{s}$ | Stress in reinforcement at SLS |
| $\sigma_{s}$ | Absolute value of the maximum stress permitted in the reinforcement immediately after the formation of the crack |
| $\sigma_{\text {sc }}\left(\sigma_{\text {st }}\right)$ | Stress in compression (and tension) reinforcement |
| $\sigma_{\text {sd }}$ | Design stress in the bar at the ultimate limit state |
| $\sigma_{\text {su }}$ | Estimate of stress in reinforcement at SLS (deflection) |
| $\tau$ | Torsional shear stress |
| $\varphi_{(x, t 0)}$ | Final value of creep coefficient |
| $\varphi_{\text {ef }}$ | Effective creep factor |
| $\varphi_{(t, t)}$ | Creep coefficient, defining creep between times $t$ and $t_{0}$, related to elastic deformation at 28 days |
| $\phi$ | Bar diameter |
| $\phi_{\mathrm{n}}$ | Equivalent diameter of a bundle of reinforcing bars |
| $\phi_{\mathrm{m}}$ | Mandrel diameter |
| $\psi '$ | Factors defining representative values of variable actions |
| $\psi_{0}$ | Combination value of a variable action (e.g. used when considering ULS) |
| $\psi_{1}$ | Frequent value of a variable action (e.g. used when considering whether section will have cracked or not) |
| $\psi_{2}$ | Quasi-permanent value of a variable action (e.g. used when considering deformation) |
| ${ }^{(1)}$ | Mechanical reinforcement ratio $=A_{s} f_{y d} / A_{c} f_{c d} \leq 1$ |



## 1 Introduction

BS EN 1992-1-1 (Eurocode 2: Design of concrete structures Part 1-1[1]) sets out general rules for the design of concrete structures and rules for the design of buildings. It necessarily covers a wide spectrum of structures and, therefore, may be seen as unduly complex for routine design work.

The aim of this Concise Eurocode 2 is to distil from all relevant parts of BS EN 1992 and the UK National Annexes ${ }^{[1-4]}$ material that will be commonly used in the design of normal building structures. Thus this publication limits itself to concrete grades up to and including C50/60 and does not cover prestressed or lightweight concrete. Even with these restrictions, it is believed that the vast majority of day-to-day designs will fall within its scope.

As far as possible, the Eurocode clauses are repeated verbatim - unless clarity demands rewording or, in some cases (as identified by grey shading) additional text, derived formulae, tables, charts and illustrations. This applies particularly to Section 15 and the Appendix. If relevant, other European Codes and/or British Standards are cited. Cross references to clause numbers in Eurocode 2 or other Eurocodes are signposted in arrow boxes for quick identification.

Recognising each member state's responsibility for determining matters such as safety and current practice. The Eurocode system permits individual countries to set their own values for some construction parameters used within their jurisdiction. These values are referred to as Nationally Determined Parameters (NDPs) and are published as part of that country's National Annex. This Concise Eurocode 2 uses the NDPs in the UK National Annex to BS EN 1992-1-1[1a], and these too are highlighted in arrow boxes.

Generally the flow of information is presented in the same order as in Eurocode 2. However, all structural design is required to comply with BS EN 1990 (Eurocode: Basis of structural design[5]) which provides information applicable to construction in any material. The relevant basic information from BS EN 1990 is presented in Section 2. Also, some of the commonly needed design charts and tables derived for UK practice are provided in Section 15.

Based on the latest available information, this publication is all that engineers will need for the majority of concrete structures.

## Guide to presentation

| Grey shaded text, <br> tables and figures | Additional text, derived formulae, tables and illustrations not <br> from Eurocode 2 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 6.4 .4 | Relevant clauses or figure numbers from Eurocode 2-1-1 (if the <br> reference is to other parts, other Eurocodes or other documents <br> this will be indicated) |
| From the relevant UK National Annex (generally to <br> Eurocode 2-1-1) |  |
| NA.4.4 <br> NA |  |
| From both Eurocode 2-1-1 and UK National Annex |  |
| Section 5.2 Relevant parts of this publication |  |

## 2 Basis of design

### 2.1 General

BS EN 1992-1-1[1] should be used in conjunction with BS EN 1990: Basis of structural design[5], which:

- Establishes principles and requirements for the safety, serviceability and durability of structures.
- Describes the basis for their design and verification.

■ Gives guidelines for related aspects of structural reliability.

### 2.2 Basic requirements

### 2.2.1 General

A structure shall be designed and executed (constructed) in such a way that it will, during its intended life, with appropriate degrees of reliability and in an economical way:

- Sustain all actions and influences likely to occur during execution and use. - Remain fit for the use for which it is required.

It shall be designed to have adequate stability, structural resistance, serviceability and durability.
In the case of fire, the structural resistance shall be adequate for the required period of time.
A structure shall be designed and executed in such a way that it will not be damaged by events such as explosion, impact and the consequences of human errors, to an extent disproportionate to the original cause.

### 2.2.2 Avoidance of damage

Potential damage shall be avoided or limited by appropriate choice of one or more of the following:

Avoiding, eliminating or reducing the hazards to which the structure can be subjected.

- Selecting a structural form which has low sensitivity to the hazards considered.

■ Selecting a structural form and design that can survive adequately the accidental removal of an individual structural member or a limited part of the structure or the occurrence of localised damage.

- Avoiding as far as possible structural systems that can collapse without warning.
- Tying the structural members together.


### 2.2.3 Limit states principles

BS EN 1990 implies that the design should be verified using limit states principles.
An indicative value of 50 years is given for the design working life of building structures and other common structures.

### 2.3 Limit state design

Limit states are states beyond which the structure no longer fulfils the relevant design criteria:

- Ultimate limit states (ULS) are associated with collapse or other forms of structural failure. - Serviceability limit states (SLS) correspond to conditions beyond which specified service requirements are no longer met.

Limit states should be verified in all relevant design situations selected, taking into account the circumstances under which the structure is required to fulfil its function.

### 2.3.1 Design situations

Normally, in non-seismic zones, the following design situations should be considered:
$\square$ Persistent situations which refer to the conditions of normal use.

- Transient situations which refer to temporary conditions, such as during execution or repair.
- Accidental situations which refer to exceptional conditions applicable to the structure or to its exposure (e.g. fire, explosion, impact or the consequences of localised failure).


### 2.3.2 Actions

Actions refer to loads applied to the structure directly or to imposed deformations, such as uneven settlements or temperature effects, which induce internal forces in the structure.

- Permanent actions refer to actions for which the variation in magnitude with time is negligible.
- Variable actions are actions for which the variation in magnitude with time is not negligible
- Accidental actions are actions of short duration but of significant magnitude that are unlikely to occur on a given structure during the design working life.

The characteristic value of an action is defined by one of the following three alternatives.
■ Its mean value - generally used for permanent actions.

- An upper value with an intended probability of not being exceeded or lower value with an intended probability of being achieved - normally used for variable action with known statistical distributions, such as wind or snow.
- A nominal value - used for some variable and accidental actions.

The values of action given in the various parts of BS EN 1991: Actions on structures[6] are taken as characteristic values.

### 2.3.3 Verification

Verification, using the partial factor method, is detailed in BS EN 1990[5]. In this method it is verified that, in all relevant design situations, no relevant limit state is exceeded when design values for actions and resistances are used in the design models.

### 2.3.4 Design values of actions

The design value of an action is $\gamma_{\mathrm{F}} \mu / F_{\mathrm{k}}$ where
$\psi^{\prime}=$ a factor that converts the characteristic value of an action into a representative value. It adjusts the value of the action to account for the joint probability of the actions occurring simultaneously and can assume the values equal to:
1.0 for a permanent action
$\psi_{0}^{\prime}$ or $\psi_{1}^{\prime}$ or $\psi_{2}^{\prime}$ for a variable action when it occurs simultaneously with other variable actions. See Tables 2.1 and 2.2 which are derived from BS EN 1990 and its National Annex [5a].
$\gamma_{F}=$ partial factor for the action (see Table 2.2)
$\psi F_{\mathrm{k}}$ may be considered as the representative action, $F_{\text {rep }}$, appropriate to the limit state being considered.

Table 2.2 indicates the partial factors to be used for the combinations of representative actions in building structures. Table 2.1 shows how characteristic values of variable actions are converted into representative values.

For the ULS of strength, the designer may choose between using Exp. (6.10) or the less favourable of Exps. (6.10a) and (6.10b). Exp. (6.10) leads to the use of $\gamma_{F}=\gamma_{C}=1.35$ for permanent actions and $\gamma_{\mathrm{F}}=\gamma_{\mathrm{Q}}=1.50$ for variable actions ( $\gamma_{\mathrm{G}}$ for permanent actions is intended to be constant across all spans). Exp. (6.10) is always equal to or more conservative than the least favourable of Exps. (6.10a) and (6.10b).

Except in the case of concrete structures supporting storage loads where $\psi_{0}^{\prime}=1.0$, or for mixed use, Exp. (6.10b) will usually apply. Thus $\gamma_{F}=\gamma_{G}=1.25$ for permanent actions and $\gamma_{F}=\gamma_{Q}=1.50$ for variable actions will be applicable to most concrete structures. In other words, for members supporting vertical actions $\mathbf{1 . 2 5 G} G_{k}+\mathbf{1 . 5} Q_{k}$ will be appropriate for most situations at ULS.

Table 2.1
Values of $\psi$ factors

| Action | $\psi_{0}$ | $\psi_{1}$ | $\psi_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Imposed loads in buildings |  |  |  |
| Category A: domestic, residential areas | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.3 |
| Category B: office areas | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.3 |
| Category C: congregation areas | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
| Category D: shopping areas | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
| Category E: storage areas | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.8 |
| Category F: traffic area vehicle weight $\leq 30 \mathrm{kN}$ | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
| Category G: traffic area 30 kN < vehicle weight $\leq 160 \mathrm{kN}$ | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.3 |
| Category H: roofsa | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Snow loads where altitude $\leq 1000 \mathrm{~m}$ above sea levela | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| Wind loadsa | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| Temperature effects (non-fire)a | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.0 |
| Note <br> The numerical values given above are in accordance with BS EN 1990 and its UK National Annex |  |  |  |
| Key |  |  |  |

## Basis of design

Table 2.2
Partial factors $\left(\gamma_{\mathrm{F}}\right)$ for use in verification of limit states in persistent and transient design situations

| Limit state | Permanent actions $\left(G_{k}\right)$ | Leading variable action $\left(Q_{k, 1}\right)$ | Accompanying variable actions ( $Q_{k, i}$ ) | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a) Equilibrium (EQU) Set A |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\gamma_{\text {G, sup }}\left(\gamma_{G, \text { inf }}\right)^{\text {a }}$ | ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{Q}, 1$ | $\gamma_{Q, i} \psi^{\prime}{ }_{0, i}$ | BS EN 1990 Table A1.2(A) (Set A) |
| UK values | 1.10 (0.9) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.50 (0.0) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $1.50 \psi^{\prime}{ }_{0, i}(0.0)^{\text {a }}$ | NA to BS EN 1990 |
| b) Strength at ULS (STR/GEO) not involving geotechnical actions Set B |  |  |  |  |
| Either Exp. (6.10) | VG | VQ | 4'ore | BS EN 1990 Exp. (6.10) \& Table A1.2(B) |
| UK values | 1.35 (1.0) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.5 | $1.5 \%$ \% | NA to BS EN 1990 |
| or worst case of Exp. (6.10a) | VG | $\psi^{\prime} 0,1 \%^{\prime} \mathrm{Q}$ | $\psi_{\text {'0, }} \mathrm{V}^{\prime} \mathrm{Q}$ | BS EN 1990 Exp. (6.10a) \& Table A1.2(B) |
| UK values | 1.35 (1.0) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.5 $\psi^{\prime} 0$ | $1.5 \psi^{\prime} 0$ | NA to BS EN 1990 |
| and <br> Exp. (6.10b) | S\%G | $\gamma^{2}$ | 4'ore | BS EN 1990 Exp. (6.10b) \& Table A1.2(B) |
| UK values | $\begin{aligned} & 0.925 \times 1.35=1.25 \\ & (1.0)^{\mathrm{a}} \end{aligned}$ | 1.5 | 1.5 $\psi^{\prime}$ | NA to BS EN 1990 |
| c) Strength at ULS with geotechnical actions (STR/GEO) |  |  |  |  |
| Worst case of Set B | VG1 | V Q1 |  | BS EN 1990 Table A1.2(B) |
| UK values | 1.35 (1.0) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.5 (0.0) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | NA to BS EN 1990 |
| or Set C | $\gamma_{\text {G2 }}$ | $\mathrm{V}_{\text {Q2 }}$ |  | BS EN 1990 Table A1.2(C) |
| UK values | 1.0 | 1.3 |  | NA to BS EN 1990 |
| d) Serviceability |  |  |  |  |
| Characteristic | 1.00 | 1.00 | $1.00 \psi^{\prime} 0, i$ |  |
| Frequent | 1.00 | $1.00 \psi_{1,1}$ | $1.00 \psi^{\prime}{ }_{2,1}$ | BS EN 1990 Table A1.4 |
| Quasi-permanent | 1.00 | $1.00 \psi^{\prime} 2,1$ | $1.00 \psi^{\prime} 2,1$ |  |
| e) Accidental design situations |  |  |  |  |
| Exp. (6.11a) | $\gamma_{G, \text { sup }}$ or ( $\gamma_{G, \text { inf }}$ ) | $\psi_{1,1}{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $y^{\prime} 2,1$ | BS EN 1990 Exp. (6.11a) |
| UK values | 1.00 | $\psi_{1,1}{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\psi^{\prime} 2,1$ | NA to BS EN 1990 |
| Key <br> a Value if favourable <br> b Leading accidental action $\left(A_{d}\right)$ |  |  |  |  |
| Notes |  |  |  |  |
| Geotechnical actions given in the table are based on Design Approach 1 in Clause A1.3.1(5) of BS EN 1990, which is recommended in the National Annex for BS EN 1990. |  |  |  |  |

Variable actions may be subjected to reduction factors: $\alpha_{A^{\prime}}$, according to area supported (m²),
$A$, and/or $\alpha_{\mathrm{n}}$ according to number of storeys supported, $n$.

### 2.3.5 Material properties

Material properties are specified in terms of their characteristic values, which in general correspond to a defined fractile of an assumed statistical distribution of the property considered (usually the lower $5 \%$ fractile).

The values of $\gamma_{c}$ and $\gamma_{s^{\prime}}$, partial factors for materials, are indicated in Table 2.3.

Table 2.3
Partial factors for materials

| Design situation | $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\boldsymbol{c}}$-concrete | $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\boldsymbol{s}}$-reinforcing steel |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ULS - Persistent and transient | 1.50 | 1.15 |
| Accidental - Non-fire | 1.20 | 1.00 |
| Accidental - Fire | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| SLS | 1.00 | 1.00 |

### 2.4 Assumptions

In addition to the assumptions in BS EN 1990, Eurocode 2 assumes that:
Design and construction will be undertaken by appropriately qualified and experienced personnel.
■ Adequate supervision and quality control will be provided.

- Materials and products will be used as specified.
- The structure will be adequately maintained and will be used in accordance with the design brief.
■ The requirements for execution and workmanship given in ENV 13670 are complied with.

> ENV $13670^{[8]}$ is currently available but without its National Application Document. For building structures in the UK, the background document PD $6687^{[7]}$ considers the provisions of the National Structural Concrete Specification (NSCS)[9] to be equivalent to those in ENV 13670 for tolerance class 1 . When published, EN $13670[10]$ and the corresponding National Annex, will take precedence.

### 2.5 Foundation design

The design of concrete foundations is subject to Eurocode ${ }^{[11]}$ for the geotechnical aspects and to Eurocode 2 for the structural concrete design.

Eurocode 7 is wide-ranging and provides all the requirements for geotechnical design. It states that no limit state e.g. equilibrium, stability, strength or serviceability, as defined by BS EN 1990, shall be exceeded. The requirements for ULS and SLS design may be accomplished by using, in an appropriate manner, the following alone or in combination:

- Calculations.
- Prescriptive measures.
- Testing.
- Observational methods.

> It is anticipated that, within prescriptive measures, the current UK practice of checking characteristic loads $(\gamma$ ck $=1.0, \gamma$ Qk $=1.0)$ against presumed allowable bearing pressures will prevail until Eurocode 7 is fully implemented. In this case it will be for the writer of the site/ground investigation report to ensure that the presumed bearing pressures provide designs consistent with both the ULS and SLS requirements of Eurocode 7 .
> Further guidance on the design of simple foundations to Eurocode 7 may be found in the Appendix to this publication.

## 3 Materials

### 3.1 Concrete

Concrete should comply with BS EN 206-1 Concrete: Specification, performance, production and conformity [12]. In the UK, BS 8500 [13] complements BS EN 206-1 and the guidance given in the former should be followed.

Concrete strength classes and properties are shown in Table 3.1. In the notation used for compressive strength class, 'C' refers to normal weight concrete, the first number refers to the cylinder strength $f_{c k}$ and the second to cube strength $f_{c k, c u b e}$. N.B. This notation was adopted in Amendment 3 to BS 8110: 1997[14]).

Table 3.1
Concrete strength classes and properties

| Property | Strength class (MPa) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | C12/15 | C16/20 | C20/25 | C25/30 | C30/37 | C35/45 | C40/50 | C45/55 | C50/60 | $\begin{gathered} C 28 / 35 \\ a \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { C32/40 } \\ a \end{gathered}$ |
| $f_{\text {ck }}$ | 12.0 | 16.0 | 20.0 | 25.0 | 30.0 | 35.0 | 40.0 | 45.0 | 50.0 | 28.0 | 32.0 |
| $f_{\text {ck, cube }}$ | 15.0 | 20.0 | 25.0 | 30.0 | 37.0 | 45.0 | 50.0 | 55.0 | 60.0 | 35.0 | 40.0 |
| $f_{\text {cm }}$ | 20.0 | 24.0 | 28.0 | 33.0 | 38.0 | 43.0 | 48.0 | 53.0 | 58.0 | 36.0 | 40.0 |
| $f_{\text {ctm }}$ | 1.6 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 2.6 | 2.9 | 3.2 | 3.5 | 3.8 | 4.1 | 2.8 | 3.0 |
| $f_{\text {ctk, } 0.05}$ | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 1.9 | 2.1 |
| $f_{\text {ctk, } 0.95}$ | 2.0 | 2.5 | 2.9 | 3.3 | 3.8 | 4.2 | 4.6 | 4.9 | 5.3 | 3.6 | 3.9 |
| $E_{\mathrm{cm}}(\mathrm{GPa})$ | 27.0 | 29.0 | 30.0 | 31.0 | 32.0 | 34.0 | 35.0 | 36.0 | 37.0 | 32.0 | 33.0 |
| Note <br> a Derived data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

All expressions in Eurocode $2^{[1-4]}$ refer back to cylinder strength $f_{c k}$. It should be noted that the scope of this publication is limited to concrete in compression strength classes up to and including C50/60.

The design strength of concrete $f_{c d}$ should be taken as:
$f_{c d}=\alpha_{c c} f_{c k} / \gamma_{c}$
where
$f_{c k}=$ characteristic concrete strength
$\gamma_{c}=$ partial factor for concrete
$\alpha_{\mathrm{cc}}=$ a coefficient. In the UK $\alpha_{\mathrm{cc}}=$ to 0.85 for flexure and axial loading but may be taken as 1.0 for all other phenomena (e.g. shear).

The design value of concrete tensile strength $f_{\text {ctd }}$ should be taken as $f_{c t t, 0.05} / \gamma_{c}$.

### 3.2 Steel reinforcement

The properties of steel reinforcement to BS 4449: $2005{ }^{[15]}$ are shown in Table 3.2. This British Standard complements BS EN $10080^{[16]}$ and Annex C of BS EN 1992-1-1[1].

Annex C allows for a range between 400 and 600 MPa . BS 4449: 2005 adopts 500 MPa .

Table 3.2
Properties of reinforcement

| Property | Class |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | A | B | C |
| Characteristic yield strength $\boldsymbol{f}_{\mathrm{yk}}$ or $\boldsymbol{f}_{0.2 \mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{MPa})$ | 500 | 500 | 500 |
| Minimum value of $k=\left(\boldsymbol{f}_{\mathbf{t}} / f_{\mathrm{y}}\right)_{\mathrm{k}}$ | $\geq 1.05$ | $\geq 1.08$ | $\geq 1.15<1.35$ |
| Characteristic strain at maximum force $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{uk}}(\%)$ | $\geq 2.5$ | $\geq 5.0$ | $\geq 7.5$ |

## Notes

Table derived from BS EN 1992-1-1 Annex C, BS 4449: 2005 and BS EN 10080. The nomenclature used in BS 4449: 2005 differs from that used in Annex C and used here.

## 4 Durability and cover

### 4.1 General

A durable structure shall meet the requirements of serviceability, strength and stability throughout its intended working life, without significant loss of utility or excessive maintenance.

In order to achieve the required working life of the structure, adequate measures shall be taken to protect each structural element against the relevant environmental actions. Exposure conditions include both chemical and physical conditions to which the structure is exposed in addition to mechanical actions.

Requirements of durability should be considered at all stages of design and construction, including the selection of materials, construction details, execution and quality control.

Adequate cover is required to ensure:
a) Safe transmission of bond forces (see Section 4.2);
b) Protection of steel against corrosion (see Sections 4.3 and 4.4); and
c) Adequate fire resistance (note that the requirements for fire resistance are given as axis distances measured from surface of the concrete to centre of the bar). (See Section 4.6.)

The concrete cover to reinforcement is the distance from the outer surface of the reinforcement to the nearest concrete surface. Drawings should specify the nominal cover. As illustrated in Figure 4.1, the nominal cover should satisfy the minimum requirements in respect of a) to c) above and, in the cases of $a$ ) and b), allow for the deviation to be expected in execution (see Section 4.5).


Figure 4.1
Cover

### 4.2 Cover for bond, $c_{\text {min, }}$

In order to transmit bond forces safely and to ensure adequate compaction, the minimum cover should not be less than the diameter of the bar (or the equivalent diameter of bundled bars). This minimum should be increased by 5 mm if the nominal maximum aggregate size exceeds 32 mm .

### 4.3 Cover for durability, $c_{\text {min,dur }}$

Environmental conditions are classified according to Table 4.1, which is based on BS EN 206-1[12]. Concrete composition and minimum covers required for durability in different environmental conditions are set out in Tables 4.2 and 4.3, derived from BS 8500[13]. These tables give recommendations for normal weight concrete using maximum aggregate size of 20 mm for selected exposure classes and cover to reinforcement. For each applicable exposure class, the

Table 4.1 minimum covers and required strength class or equivalent designated concrete should be determined from Tables 4.2 or 4.3 as appropriate and the worst case taken for use.

Table 4.1
Exposure classes related to environmental conditions

| Class | Description of the environment | Informative examples where exposure classes may occur |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 No risk of corrosion or attack |  |  |
| X0 | For concrete without reinforcement: all exposures except where there is freeze/thaw, abrasion or chemical attack. <br> For concrete with reinforcement or embedded metal: very dry | Concrete inside buildings with very low air humidity |
| 2 Corrosion induced by carbonation |  |  |
| XC1 | Dry or permanently wet | Concrete inside buildings with low air humidity Concrete permanently submerged in water |
| XC2 | Wet, rarely dry | Concrete surfaces subject to long-term water contact <br> Many foundations (often combined with appropriate Aggressive Chemical Environment for Concrete (ACEC) class) |
| XC3 | Moderate humidity | Concrete inside buildings with moderate or high air humidity External concrete sheltered from rain |
| XC4 | Cyclic wet and dry | Concrete surfaces subject to water contact, not within exposure class XC2 |
| 3 Corrosion induced by chlorides |  |  |
| XD1 | Moderate humidity | Concrete surfaces exposed to airborne chlorides |
| XD2 | Wet, rarely dry | Concrete, totally immersed in water containing chlorides e.g. swimming pools <br> Concrete exposed to industrial waters containing chlorides |
| XD3 | Cyclic wet and dry | Parts of bridges exposed to spray containing chlorides Pavements, car park slabs |
| 4 Corrosion induced by chlorides from sea water |  |  |
| XS1 | Exposed to airborne salt but not in direct contact with sea water | Structures near to or on the coast |
| XS2 | Permanently submerged | Parts of marine structures |
| XS3 | Tidal, splash and spray zones | Parts of marine structures |
| 5 Freeze/thaw attack |  |  |
| XF1 | Moderate water saturation, without de-icing agent | Vertical concrete surfaces exposed to rain and freezing |
| XF2 | Moderate water saturation, with de-icing agent | Vertical concrete surfaces of road structures exposed to freezing and airborne de-icing agents |
| XF3 | High water saturation, without de-icing agents | Horizontal concrete surfaces exposed to rain and freezing |
| XF4 | High water saturation with de-icing agents or sea water | Road and bridge decks exposed to de-icing agents <br> Concrete surfaces exposed to direct spray containing de-icing agents and freezing <br> Splash zone of marine structures exposed to freezing |
| 6 Chemical attack |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & X A 1^{a} \\ & X A 2^{a} \\ & X A 3^{a} \end{aligned}$ | Slightly aggressive chemical environment <br> Moderately aggressive chemical environment <br> Highly aggressive chemical environment | Natural soils and groundwater Natural soils and groundwater Natural soils and groundwater |
| Key <br> a Whilst exposure conditions XA1, XA2 and XA3 are in accordance with BS EN 206-1, they are not appropriate according to BS 8500. See Section 4.4 |  |  |

## Durability and cover

Table 4.2
Concrete quality and cover to reinforcement for durability for an intended working life of at least 50 years


Table 4.2
Continued

| Class | Exposure conditions | Cement combination typesa | Strength class, maximum w/c ratio, minimum cement or combination content ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ ) or equivalent designated concrete |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Nominal cover to reinforcement (including pre-stressing steel) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $15+\Delta c^{\text {b }}$ | $20+4 c$ | $25+\Delta c$ | $30+4 c$ | $35+\Delta c$ | $40+4 c$ | $45+4 c$ | $50+\Delta c$ |
| 4 Corrosion induced by chlorides from sea water |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| XS1 | Airborne salts but no direct contact | CEM I, IIA, IIB-S, SRPC | -c | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C50/60, } \\ & 0.35, \\ & 380 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C40/50, } \\ & 0.45, \\ & 360 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & C 35 / 45, \\ & 0.50, \\ & 340 \end{aligned}$ | <<<<<d | <<<<< |
|  |  | IIB-V, IIIA | - | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & C 45 / 55, \\ & 0.35, \\ & 380 \end{aligned}$ | C35/45, 0.45 , 360 | C32/40, 0.50, 340 | <<<<< | <<<<< |
|  |  | IIIB, IVB | - | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C35/45, } \\ & 0.40, \\ & 380 \end{aligned}$ | C28/35 0.50 , 340 | $\begin{aligned} & C 25 / 30, \\ & 0.55, \\ & 320 \end{aligned}$ | <<<<< | <<<<< |
| XS2 | Wet, rarely dry | CEM I, IIA, IIB-S, SRPC | - | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & C 40 / 50, \\ & 0.40, \\ & 380 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C32/40, } \\ & 0.50, \\ & 340 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C28/35, } \\ & 0.55, \\ & 320 \end{aligned}$ | <<<<<< | <<<<< |
|  |  | IIB-V, IIIA | - | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C35/45, } \\ & 0.40, \\ & 380 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & C 28 / 35, \\ & 0.50 \\ & 340 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C25/30, } \\ & 0.55, \\ & 320 \end{aligned}$ | <<<<< | <<<<< |
|  |  | IIIB, IVB | - | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C32/40, } \\ & 0.40, \\ & 380 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & C 25 / 30, \\ & 0.50 \\ & 340 \end{aligned}$ |  | <<<<< | <<<<< |
| X53 | Tidal, splash and spray zones | CEM I, IIA, IIB-S, SRPC | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C45/55, } \\ & 0.35, \\ & 380 \end{aligned}$ | C40/50, 040, <br> 380 |
|  |  | IIB-V, IIA | - | - | - | - | - | C35/45, 0.40 , <br> 380 | C32/40, 0.45 , <br> 360 | C28/35, 0.50, <br> 340 |
|  |  | IIB, IVB | - | - | - | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C32/40, } \\ & 0.40, \\ & 380 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & C 28 / 35, \\ & 0.45, \\ & 360 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & C 25 / 30, \\ & 0.50 \\ & 340 \end{aligned}$ |

In accordance with BS 8500 [13], special attention should be given to the concrete composition and aggregates, when considering freeze/thaw attack, chemical attack or abrasion resistance.

Exposure conditions, XF, only affect concrete quality and do not directly affect the corrosion of reinforcement. However:

■ XF1 is likely to coexist with XC3 and XC4; the requirements for XC3 and XC4 satisfy those for XF1 but, in such cases, a minimum of strength class C28/35 should be used.

- XF2 is likely to coexist with XD3; the requirements for XD3 satisfy those for XF2.
- XF3 is likely to coexist with XC3 and XC4; the requirements for XC3 and XC4 satisfy those for XF3 providing air entrainment and freeze/thaw resisting aggregates are used or alternatively a minimum strength class C40/50 with freeze/thaw resisting aggregates is used.
- XF4 is likely to coexist with XD3. Using cement combination types I, IIA, IIB-S and SRPC, the requirements for XD3 satisfy those for XF4 provided freeze/thaw resisting aggregates and a minimum strength class of C40/50 are used. Alternatively, using cement/ combination type IIIB, the requirements for XD3 satisfy those of XF4 provided air entrainment and minimum strength class of C28/35 are used.

Table 4.3
Concrete quality and cover to reinforcement for durability for an intended working life of 100 years

| Class | Exposure conditions | Cement combination typesa | Strength class, maximum w/c ratio, minimum cement or combination content $\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ or equivalent designated concrete |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Nominal cover to reinforcement (including pre-stressing steel) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 N | of corrosion or attack |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| X0 | Completely dry | All |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 Corrosion induced by carbonation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| XC1 | Dry or permanently wet | All | $\begin{aligned} & C 20 / 25, \\ & 0.70, \\ & 240 \text { or } \\ & \text { RC25 } \end{aligned}$ | <<<<<d | <<<<< | <<<<< | <<<<< | <<<<< | <<<<<< | <<<<< |
| XC2 | Wet, rarely dry | All | - c | - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C25/30, } \\ & 0.65, \\ & 260 \text { or } \\ & \text { RC30 } \end{aligned}$ | <<<<< | <<<<< | <<<<< | <<<<< | <<<<< |
| XC3 XC4 | Moderate humidity Cyclic wet and dry | All except IVB | - | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C40/50, } \\ & 0.45, \\ & 340 \text { or } \\ & \text { RC50 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C35/45, } \\ & 0.50, \\ & 320 \text { or } \\ & \text { RC45 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C32/40, } \\ & 0.55, \\ & 300 \text { or } \\ & \text { RC40 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & C 28 / 35, \\ & 0.60, \\ & 280 \text { or } \\ & \text { RC35 } \end{aligned}$ | <<<<< |
| Key <br> a | ent or combination types: <br> I = Portland cement <br> $=$ Portland cement with <br> = Portland cement with <br> = Portland cement with <br> $=$ slag i.e. ground granu <br> = an allowance for devi <br> = not recommended, us <br> $\ll=$ quality of concrete | 6-20\% fly ash, ggbs or <br> $36-65 \%$ ggbs <br> $36-55 \%$ fly ash <br> ted blastfurnace slag (gg <br> tions (see Section 4.5) <br> greater cover <br> ven in the previous colun | 20\% limes <br> bs) <br> nn should | stone <br> not be redu | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { IIB }= \\ \text { IIIB }= \\ \text { SRPC }= \\ -V= \\ \text { duced } \end{array}= \end{aligned}$ | Portland c <br> Portland c <br> Sulphate r <br> fly ash (pfa) | ement with ement with esisting Po a) | $\begin{gathered} \text { h } 21-35 \\ \text { h } 66-80 \end{gathered}$ <br> rtland cem | \% fly ash <br> \% ggbs <br> nent | ggbs |

### 4.4 Chemical attack

For foundations, an aggressive chemical environment for concrete (ACEC) class should be assessed for the site. BS 8500-1 refers to BRE Special Digest ${ }^{[17]}$ which identifies ACEC classes rather than XA classes.

Knowing the ACEC class for sections with a thickness of at least 140 mm and an intended working life of either 50 or 100 years, a design chemical (DC) class can be obtained and an appropriate designated concrete (e.g. FND designation) selected.

For designed concrete, the concrete producer should be advised of the DC class. Alternatively, a designated FND concrete, which has a minimum strength class of C28/35, can be specified. Additional protective measures may be necessary, see BS 8500[13].

## 4.5 $\Delta c_{\text {dev }}$ and other allowances

The minimum covers for bond in Section 4.2 and for durability in Tables 4.2 and 4.3 should be increased by an allowance in design, $\Delta c_{\text {dev }}$ to allow for likely deviations during execution as
4.4.1.3(1)
\& NA follows.:

- 10 mm generally.
- Between 5 and 10 mm , where a QA system operates and concrete cover is measured
- Between 0 and 10 mm , where non-conforming members are rejected on the basis of accurate measurement of cover (e.g. precast elements)
$\Delta c_{\text {dev }}$ is recognised in BS $8500{ }^{[13]}$ as $\Delta c$ and in prEN $13670{ }^{[10]}$ as $\Delta c_{\text {(minus) }}$. In terms of execution tolerances $\Delta c_{(\text {minus })}$ and $\Delta c_{(\text {plus) }}$ are subject to prEN 13670 and/or the project's specification.
4.4.1.3(4)
\& NA
4.4.1.2(11)
4.4.1.2(6)
\& NA
PD 6687

BS EN 1992-1-2: Fig. 5.2

### 4.6 Cover for fire resistance

### 4.6.1 General

Minimum sizes of members and axis distance to reinforcement for achieving fire resistance are defined in Figures 4.2 and 4.3 and given in Tables 4.4 to 4.10. These are based on the tabulated data in BS EN 1992-1-2 [2] and its UK National Annex [2a] and indicate whether the resistance relates to fire resistance for actions, $R$, integrity, $E$, and/or insulation, $I$. Fire engineering methods are available; these are introduced in Section 4.6.10.

Axis distances for prestressing bars are generally 10 mm greater and for prestressing wires and strands 15 mm greater.

Figure 4.2 Section through a structural member showing nominal axis distance $a$, and axis distance to side of section $a_{\text {sd }}$


Figure 4.3
Definition of dimensions for different types of beam section

## Durability and cover

### 4.6.2 Columns

The fire resistance of braced columns may be assessed using Method A or Method B. Essentially:

- When eccentricity e $<0.15 b$, Method A may be used (see Table 4.4A).
- When $0.15 b<e<0.25 b$ or 100 mm , Method B may be used (see Table 4.4B).
- When $0.25 b<e<0.5 b$, the further information on Method B given in BS EN 1992-1-2 Annex C may be used.
When e > $0.5 b$, at low levels of axial load, the member may be considered to be similar to a flexural member (i.e. a beam). As an alternative, moments could be redistributed beyond normal limits such that the eccentricity falls within the limits of the tables, provided the connected beams are designed to increase span moments and have adequate ductility.

Table 4.4A is valid under the following conditions:

- The first order of eccentricity under fire conditions should be $\leq 0.15 b$ (or $h$ ). The eccentricity under fire conditions may be taken as that in normal temperature design.
- The effective length of the column under fire conditions $l_{0, \mathrm{fi}} \leq 3 \mathrm{~m}$. The value of $l_{0, \mathrm{fi}}$ may be taken as $50 \%$ of the actual length for intermediate floors and between $50 \%$ and $70 \%$ of the actual length for the upper floor.
- The reinforcement area does not exceed 4\% of the concrete cross section.


## Table 4.4A

Fire resistance: columns with rectangular or circular section - Method A

| Standard fire resistance | Minimum dimensions (mm) <br> Column width $b_{\text {min }} /$ axis distance $a$ of main bars |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Column exposed on more than one side |  | Column exposed on one side |
|  | $\mu_{\mathrm{fi}} \mathrm{a}^{\text {a }}=0.5$ | $\mu_{\mathrm{fi}}=0.7$ | $\mu_{\mathrm{fi}}=0.7$ |
| R 60 | $\begin{aligned} & 200 / 36 \\ & 300 / 31 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 250 / 46 \\ & 350 / 40 \end{aligned}$ | 155/25 |
| R 90 | $\begin{aligned} & 300 / 45 \\ & 400 / 38 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 350 / 53 \\ & 450 / 40 \text { b } \end{aligned}$ | 155/25 |
| R 120 | $\begin{aligned} & 350 / 45 \mathrm{~b} \\ & 450 / 40 \mathrm{~b} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 350 / 57 \text { b } \\ & 450 / 51 \end{aligned}$ | 175/35 |
| R 240 | 450/75 b | $-^{\text {c }}$ | 295/70 |
| Key <br> a $\mu_{\mathrm{fi}}=$ ratio of the design axial load under fire conditions to the design resistance of the column normal temperature <br> $\mathbf{b}=$ minimum 8 bars <br> c Method B indicates $600 / 70$ for R 240 and $n=0.5$ |  |  |  |

Table 4.4B is valid where:
Eccentricity in fire, $e=M_{\text {OEd,fi }} / N_{\text {OEd,fi }} \leq 0.25 h \leq 100 \mathrm{~mm}$.
where
$M_{\text {OEd,fi }}$ and $N_{\text {OEd,fi }}=$ first order moment and axial load under fire conditions.
$N_{\text {OEd,fi }} \quad=0.7 N_{\text {OEd }}$ Eccentricity under fire conditions may be taken as that for the normal temperature design.
Slenderness in fire, $\lambda_{\mathrm{fi}}=l_{0, \mathrm{fi}} / i \leq 30$
where
$l_{0, \mathrm{fi}}=$ effective length under fire conditions and
$i^{i}=$ radius of gyration (see Section 5.6.1);

- Amount of reinforcement $\omega=A_{s} f_{y d} / A_{c} f_{c d} \leq 1$.
(For $f_{\text {yk }}=500 \mathrm{MPa}, A_{s} / A_{c}=1 \%$ and $f_{c k}=30 \mathrm{MPa}, \omega=0.22$.
For $f_{y k}=500 \mathrm{MPa}, A_{\mathrm{s}} / A_{\mathrm{c}}=1 \%$ and $\left.f_{\mathrm{ck}}=50 \mathrm{MPa}, \omega=0.13\right)$

Table 4.4B
Fire resistance: columns with rectangular or circular section - Method B

| Standard fire resistance | $\omega$ | Minimum dimensions (mm) Column width $\boldsymbol{b}_{\text {min }} /$ axis distance a of main bars |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $n=0.15$ | $n=0.3$ | $n=0.5$ | $n=0.7$ |
| R 60 | 0.1 | 150/30 to 200/25a | 200/40 to 300/25a | 300/40 to 500/25a | 500/25a |
|  | 0.5 | 150/25a | 150/35 to 200/25a | 250/35 to 350/25a | 350/40 to 550/25a |
|  | 1.0 | 150/25a | 150/30 to 200/25a | 250/40 to 400/25a | 300/50 to 600/30 |
| R 90 | 0.1 | 200/40 to 250/25a | 300/40 to 400/25a | 500/50 to 550/25a | 550/40 to 600/25a |
|  | 0.5 | 150/35 to 200/25a | 200/45 to 300/25a | 300/45 to 550/25a | 550/50 to 600/40 |
|  | 1.0 | 200/25a | 200/40 to 300/25a | 250/40 to 550/25a | 500/50 to 600/45 |
| R 120 | 0.1 | 250/50 to 350/25a | 400/50 to 550/25a | 550/25a | 550/60 to 600/45 |
|  | 0.5 | 200/45 to 300/25a | 300/45 to 550/25a | 450/50 to 600/25a | 500/60 to 600/50 |
|  | 1.0 | 200/40 to 250/25a | 250/50 to 400/25a | 450/45 to 600/30 | 600/60 |
| R 240 | 0.1 | 500/60 to 550/25a | 550/40 to 600/25a | 600/75 | b |
|  | 0.5 | 450/45 to 500/25a | 550/55 to 600/25a | 600/70 | b |
|  | 1.0 | 400/45 to 500/25a | 500/40 to 600/30 | 600/60 | b |
| Key <br> a Normally the requirements of BS EN 1992-1-1 will control the cover. <br> b Requires width greater than 600 mm . Particular assessment for buckling is required. <br> ( $)$ = mechanical reinforcement ratio. $\omega=A_{s} f_{y d} / A_{c} f_{c d} \leq 1$. <br> $n=$ load level $=N_{\text {OEd,fi }} / 0.7\left(A_{c} f_{c d}+A_{s} f_{y d}\right)$. Conservatively $n=0.7$. |  |  |  |  |  |

### 4.6.3 Walls

Reference should be made to Table 4.5, where:

- Wall thickness given in the table may be reduced by $10 \%$ if calcareous aggregates are used.
- The ratio of the height of the wall to its thickness should not exceed 40 .
- $\mu_{\mathrm{fi}}$ is the ratio of the design axial load under fire conditions to the design resistance of the column at normal temperature conditions but with an eccentricity applicable to fire conditions. It may be conservatively taken as 0.7.

Table 4.5
Fire resistance: walls

| Standard fire resistance, R, integrity, E, insulation, I | Minimum dimensions (mm) Wall thickness/axis distance for |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mu_{\text {fi }}=0.35$ |  | $\mu_{\mathrm{fi}}=0.7$ |  |
|  | Wall exposed on one side | Wall exposed on two sides | Wall exposed on one side | Wall exposed on two sides |
| RE1 60 | 110/10 | 120/10 | 130/10 | 140/10 |
| REI 90 | 120/20 | 140/10 | 140/25 | 170/25 |
| REI 120 | 150/25 | 160/25 | 160/35 | 220/35 |
| REI 240 | 230/55 | 250/55 | 270/60 | 350/60 |

### 4.6.4 Beams

Reference should be made to Table 4.6, where:

- In the table, $a$ is the axis distance and $b_{\text {min }}$ is the width of the beam.
- The table is valid only if the detailing requirements are observed (see Sections 11 and 12) and, in the normal temperature design of continuous beams, redistribution of bending moments does not exceed 15\%.
- For continuous beams for fire resistance of R90 and above, for a distance of 0.31 eff from the centre line of each intermediate support the area of top reinforcement should not be less than the following:
$A_{\mathrm{s}, \text { req }}(x)=A_{\mathrm{s}, \text { req }}(0)\left(1-2.5 x / l_{\text {eff }}\right)$
where
$x \quad=$ distance of the section being considered from the centre line of the support
$A_{s, r e q}(0)=$ area of reinforcement required for normal temperature design
$A_{s, \text { req }}^{s, r}(x)=$ minimum area of reinforcement required in fire at the section being considered but not less than that required for normal temperature design
$l_{\text {eff }}=$ the greater of the effective lengths of the two adjacent spans
- For fire resistances R120 - R240, the width of the beam at the first intermediate support should be at least 250 mm for R120 or 480 mm for R240 if both the following conditions exist:
a) there is no fixity at the end support; and
b) the acting shear at normal temperature $V_{\text {Ed }}>0.67 V_{\text {Rd,max }}$
where $V_{R d, \max }$ is the shear resistance controlled by the failure of compression struts.
- For beams exposed on all sides, refer to BS EN 1992-1-2 Cl 5.6.4.

Table 4.6
Fire resistance: beams

| Standard fire resistance | Possible combination of minimum dimensions a and $b_{\text {min }}(\mathrm{mm})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dim. | Simply supported beams |  |  |  | Continuous beams |  |  |  |
|  |  | a | a | a |  | a | a |  |  |
| R 60 | $\begin{array}{r} b_{\min }= \\ a= \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 120 \\ 40 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 160 \\ 35 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 200 \\ 30 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 300 \\ 25 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 120 \\ 25 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 200 \\ 12 \end{array}$ |  |  |
| R 90 | $\begin{array}{r} b_{\text {min }}= \\ a= \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 150 \\ 55 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 200 \\ 45 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 300 \\ 40 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 400 \\ 35 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 150 \\ 35 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 250 \\ 25 \end{array}$ |  |  |
| R 120 | $\begin{array}{r} b_{\text {min }}= \\ a= \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 200 \\ 65 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 240 \\ 60 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 300 \\ 55 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 500 \\ 50 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 200 \\ 45 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 300 \\ 35 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 450 \\ 35 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 500 \\ 30 \end{array}$ |
| R 240 | $\begin{array}{r} b_{\text {min }}= \\ a= \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 280 \\ 90 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 350 \\ 80 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 500 \\ 75 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 700 \\ 70 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 280 \\ 75 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 500 \\ 60 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 650 \\ 60 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 700 \\ 50 \end{array}$ |
| Key <br> a Where beam width $=b_{\text {min }}$ and there is only one layer of bottom reinforcement, $a_{s d}=a+10 \mathrm{~mm}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

BS EN 1990-1-2 Tables 5.5 \& 5.6

### 4.6.5 Solid slabs

Reference should be made to Table 4.7, where:

- The slab thickness $h_{s}$ is the sum of the slab thickness and the thickness of any noncombustible flooring.
■ Dimensions given for continuous one-way and two-way slabs apply when redistribution does not exceed $15 \%$. Otherwise each span should be regarded as simply supported.
$\square l_{x}$ and $l_{y}$ are the spans of a two-way slab (two directions at right angles) where $l_{y}$ is the longer span.
■ In two-way slabs axis distance refers to the axis distance of the lower layer of reinforcement.The axis distance a for two-way slabs relate to slabs supported at all four edges. Otherwise, they should be treated as one-way spanning slab.
- The following additional rule applies to continuous solid slabs: a minimum negative reinforcement $A_{s} \geq 0.005 A_{c}$ should be provided over intermediate supports if:
a) cold worked reinforcement is used; or
b) there is no fixity over the end supports in a two span slab; or
c) where transverse redistribution of load effects cannot be achieved.

Table 4.7
Fire resistance: one-way and two-way solid slabs

| Standard fire resistance, R, integrity, E, insulation, I | Minimum dimensions (mm) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Slab thickness $h_{\text {s }}$ | Axis distance, a (simply supported) |  |  | Axis distance, a (continuous) |
|  |  | One-way | Two-way |  |  |
|  |  |  | $l_{\mathrm{y}} / l_{\mathrm{x}} \leq 1.5$ | $1.5<l_{y} / l_{x} \leq 2$ |  |
| REI 60 | 80 | 20 | 10 | 15 | 10 |
| REI 90 | 100 | 30 | 15 | 20 | 15 |
| REI 120 | 120 | 40 | 20 | 25 | 20 |
| REI 240 | 175 | 65 | 40 | 50 | 40 |

### 4.6.6 Solid flat slabs

Reference should be made to Table 4.8, where:
■ Slab thickness $h_{s}$ refers to the thickness of the structural slab excluding any finishes.

- Dimensions given in the table apply when redistribution in the normal temperature design does not exceed $15 \%$. Otherwise the axis distance for one-way slabs in Table 4.7 should be used.
- Axis distance refers to the axis distance of the reinforcement in the bottom layer.
- For fire resistances REI 90 and above, continuous top reinforcement should be provided over the full span in the column strips. The area of such reinforcement should be at least $20 \%$ of the total top reinforcement required for the normal temperature design over intermediate supports.

Table 4.8
Fire resistance: reinforced concrete solid flat slabs

| Standard fire resistance, R , integrity, E, insulation, I | Minimum dimensions (mm) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Slab thickness $h_{\text {s }}$ | Axis distance a |
| REI 60 | 180 | 15 |
| REI 90 | 200 | 25 |
| REI 120 | 200 | 35 |
| REI 240 | 200 | 50 |

### 4.6.7 One-way ribbed slabs

For simply supported one-way ribbed slabs, reference should be made to Table 4.9.

- The table applies to slabs subjected predominantly to uniformly distributed loading.
- The axis distance measured to the lateral surface of the rib, $a_{\text {sd }}$, should be at least $a+10 \mathrm{~mm}$.

For continuous ribbed slabs reference should be made to Table 4.9 for the flanges, but ribs should be treated as beams (see Section 4.6.4).

Table 4.9
Fire resistance: one-way spanning, simply supported ribbed slabs in reinforced concrete

| Standard fire resistance, R , integrity, E , insulation, I | Minimum dimensions (mm) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Possible combinations of width of ribs $b_{\text {min }}$ and axis distance a |  |  |  | Slab thickness $h_{s}$ and axis distance a in flange |
| REI 60 | $\begin{aligned} b_{\text {min }} & = \\ a & = \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100 \\ 35 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 120 \\ 25 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \geq 200 \\ 15 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & h_{s}=80 \\ & a=10 \end{aligned}$ |
| REI 90 | $b_{\text {min }}=$ | $\begin{array}{r} 120 \\ 45 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 160 \\ 40 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \geq 250 \\ 30 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & h_{s}=100 \\ & a=15 \end{aligned}$ |
| REI 120 | $\begin{array}{r} b_{\text {min }}= \\ a= \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 160 \\ 60 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 190 \\ 55 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \geq 300 \\ 40 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & h_{\mathrm{s}}=120 \\ & a=20 \end{aligned}$ |
| REI 240 | $b_{\text {min }}=$ | $\begin{array}{r} 280 \\ 90 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 350 \\ 75 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \geq 500 \\ 70 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & h_{s}=175 \\ & a=40 \end{aligned}$ |

### 4.6.8 Two-way continuous ribbed slabs

Reference should be made to Table 4.10, where:

- The table applies to slabs with at least one restrained edge.
- The table applies to slabs subjected predominantly to uniformly distributed loading.
- The top reinforcement should be placed in the upper half of the flange.
- The axis distance measured to the lateral surface of the rib, $a_{s d}$, should be at least $a+10 \mathrm{~mm}$.
For R90 and above a distance of $0.3 l_{\text {eff }}$ from the centre line of each intermediate support the area of top reinforcement should not be less than the following:
$A_{s, \text { req }}(x)=A_{\text {s,req }}(0)\left(1-2.5 x / l_{\text {eff }}\right)$ as given in Section 4.6.4. If this detailing requirement is not fulfilled the slab should be treated as simply supported.

Table 4.10
Fire resistance: two-way continuous ribbed slabs with at least one restrained edge

|  | Minimum dimensions (mm) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| integrity, E, insulation, I | Possible combinations of width of ribs $b_{\text {min }}$ and axis distance a |  |  |  | Slab thickness $h_{\mathrm{s}}$ and axis distance a in flange |
| REI 60 | $\begin{aligned} b_{\text {min }} & = \\ a & = \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100 \\ 25 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 120 \\ 15 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \geq 200 \\ 10 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & h_{s}=80 \\ & a=10 \end{aligned}$ |
| REI 90 | $\begin{aligned} b_{\text {min }} & = \\ a & = \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 120 \\ 35 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 160 \\ 25 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \geq 250 \\ 15 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & h_{s}=100 \\ & a=15 \end{aligned}$ |
| REI 120 | $\begin{array}{r} b_{\text {min }}= \\ a= \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 160 \\ 45 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 190 \\ 40 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \geq 300 \\ 30 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & h_{\mathrm{s}}=120 \\ & a=20 \end{aligned}$ |
| REI 240 | $\begin{array}{r} b_{\text {min }}= \\ a= \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 450 \\ 70 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 700 \\ 60 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & h_{s}=175 \\ & a=40 \end{aligned}$ |

BS EN 1992-1-2:
Table 5.10

BS EN 1992-1-2:
Table 5.11

### 4.6.9 Covers for fire resistance when using > $15 \%$ redistribution

Tables 4.6, 4.7 and 4.8 are restricted in their use to where, in the normal temperature design, redistribution of bending moments does not exceed $15 \%$.

For beams (and continuous ribbed slabs), where redistribution exceeds 15\%, the beam should be treated as simply supported or the rotational capacity at the supports should be checked, for example, by using BS EN 1992-1-2 Annex E.

For continuous solid slabs and continuous solid flat slabs, provided:
a) the all-spans-loaded case is used (see Section 5.4.2);
b) redistribution is restricted to $20 \%$;
c) $Q_{k}>0.5 G_{k}$; and
d) bar diameter, $\phi \geq 12 \mathrm{~mm}$;
then Tables 4.7 and 4.8 may be used for fire ratings up to R120. However, if nominal cover, $c_{\text {nom }}<25 \mathrm{~mm}$, bar diameter, $\phi$, should be $\geq 16 \mathrm{~mm}$ and for $\phi=16 \mathrm{~mm}$ only, $A_{\mathrm{s}, \text { prov }} / A_{\mathrm{s}, \text { req }}$ should be $\geq 1.11$ [19].

### 4.6.10 Fire engineering

BS EN 1992-1-2 allows for simplified and advanced calculation methods to determine the capacities of sections in fire. Fire design is based on verifying that the effects of actions in fire are not greater than the resistance in fire after time, $t$, i.e. that $E_{\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{fi}} \leq R_{\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{fi}}(t)$. In that assessment:

- Actions (loads) are taken from BS EN 1991-1-2[6]
- Member analysis is based on the equation $E_{\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{fi}}=\eta_{\mathrm{fi}} E_{\mathrm{d}}$

> where $\begin{gathered}E_{\mathrm{d}}=\begin{array}{l}\text { design value of the corresponding force or moment for normal temperature } \\ \\ \text { design }\end{array} \\ \eta_{\mathrm{fi}}=\begin{array}{r}\text { reduction factor for the design load level for the fire incident }\end{array}\end{gathered}$.

Simplified calculation methods include the $500^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ isotherm method, the zone method and checking buckling effects in columns. These methods and advanced calculation methods, used for very complex structures, are beyond the scope of this publication.

## 5 Structural analysis

### 5.1 General

The primary purpose of structural analysis in building structures is to establish the distribution of internal forces and moments over the whole or part of a structure and to identify the critical design conditions at all sections.

The geometry is commonly idealised by considering the structure to be made up of linear elements and plane two-dimensional elements.

### 5.2 Idealisation of the structure

### 5.2.1 Definitions

For building structures the following apply:

- A beam is a member for which the span is not less than three times its depth. If not, it is a deep beam.
A slab is a member for which the minimum panel dimension is not less than five times the overall thickness.
- A one-way spanning slab has either two approximately parallel unsupported edges or, when supported on four edges, the ratio of the longer to shorter span exceeds 2.0.
■ For the purposes of analysis, ribbed and waffled slabs need not be treated as discrete beams when the following are satisfied:
- the rib spacing does not exceed 1500 mm;
- the depth of the rib below the flange is not greater than four times its average width;
- the depth of the flange exceeds the greater of either $10 \%$ of the clear distance between the ribs or 50 mm ( 40 mm where permanent blocks are incorporated); and
- transverse ribs are provided at a clear spacing of $\leq 10$ times the overall depth.
- A column is a member for which the section depth does not exceed four times its width and the height is at least three times the section depth. If not, it is a wall.


### 5.2.2 Effective flange width

The effective width of a flange, $b_{\text {eff }}$, should be based on the distance, $l_{0}$, between points of zero moments as shown in Figure 5.1 and defined in Figure 5.2.
$b_{\text {eff }}=b_{\mathrm{w}}+b_{\text {eff }, 1}+b_{\text {eff }, 2}$
where
$b_{\text {eff, } 1}=\left(0.2 b_{1}+0.1 l_{0}\right)$ but $\leq 0.2 l_{0}$ and $\leq b_{1}$
$b_{\text {eff,2 }}=$ to be calculated in a similar manner to $b_{\text {eff, } 1}$ but $b_{2}$ should be substituted for $b_{1}$ in the above


Figure 5.1
Elevation showing definition of $l_{0}$ for calculation of flange width


Figure 5.2
Section showing effective flange width parameters

### 5.2.3 Effective span

The effective span, $l_{\text {eff }}$, is the sum of the clear distance between the faces of supports, $l_{n}$, and an allowance ' $a$ ' at each support as indicated in Figure 5.3.

### 5.3 Methods of analysis

### 5.3.1 Ultimate limit states (ULS)

The type of analysis should be appropriate to the problem being considered. The following are commonly used: linear elastic analysis, linear elastic analysis with limited redistribution, and plastic analysis.

Linear elastic analysis may be carried out assuming:

- Cross sections are uncracked and remain plane (i.e. may be based on concrete gross sections).
- Linear stress-strain relationships.
- The use of mean values of elastic modulus.

For ULS, the moments derived from elastic analysis may be redistributed provided that the resulting distribution of moments remains in equilibrium with the applied actions. In continuous beams or slabs, when the ratio of the lengths of adjacent spans is in the range of 0.5 to 2.0 and they are subjected predominantly to flexure, the following rules may be used for concrete with $f_{c k} \leq 50 \mathrm{MPa}$.
$\delta \geq 0.4+x_{u} / d \geq 0.7$ where the reinforcement is Class B or Class C
$\delta \geq 0.4+x_{\mathrm{u}} / d \geq 0.8$ where the reinforcement is Class A

[^0]The design of columns should be based on elastic moments without redistribution.


Fig. 5.4

Figure 5.3
Effective span ( $l_{\text {eff }}$ ) for different support conditions

Where used, plastic analysis should be based either on static or kinematic methods. The ductility of the critical sections should be sufficient for the envisaged mechanism to be formed. Plastic analysis of slabs may be carried out without check on rotation capacity provided that:
a) $x_{u} / d \leq 0.25$;
b) reinforcement is either Class B or C; and
c) ratio of the moments at internal supports to those in the span is in the range 0.5 to 2.0

### 5.3.2 Serviceability limit states (SLS)

Linear elastic analysis may be carried out assuming:

- Cross sections are uncracked and remain plane (i.e. may be based on concrete gross sections).
- Linear stress-strain relationships.
- The use of mean values of elastic modulus.

The moments derived from elastic analysis should not be redistributed but a gradual evolution of cracking should be considered.

### 5.3.3 General note

Regardless of the method of analysis used, the following apply.

- Where a beam or slab is monolithic with its supports, the critical design moment at the support may be taken as that at the face of the support, which should not be taken as less than $65 \%$ of the full fixed end moment.
- Where a beam or slab is continuous over a support which is considered not to provide rotational restraint, the moment calculated at the centre line of the support may be reduced by $F_{\mathrm{Ed}, \text { sup }} t / 8$, where $F_{\mathrm{Ed}, \text { sup }}$ is the support reaction and $t$ is the breadth of the support.


### 5.4 Loading

### 5.4.1 Load cases and load combinations

Load cases are compatible variable load arrangements that are considered simultaneously with permanent actions. Load combinations refer to the values of actions that occur in a load case.

### 5.4.2 Load arrangements

In building structures, any of the following sets of simplified load arrangements may be used at ULS and SLS (see Figure 5.4).

- The more critical of:
a) alternate spans carrying $\gamma_{G} G_{k}+\gamma_{Q} Q_{k}$ with other spans loaded with $\gamma_{G} G_{k}$; and
b) any two adjacent spans carrying $\gamma_{G} G_{k}+\gamma_{Q} Q_{k}$ with other spans loaded with $\gamma_{G} G_{k}$;
- Or the more critical of:
a) alternate spans carrying $\gamma_{G} G_{k}+\gamma_{Q} Q_{k}$ with other spans loaded with $\gamma_{G} G_{k}$; and
b) all spans carrying $\gamma_{G} G_{k}+\gamma_{Q} Q_{k}$;

Or for slabs only, all spans carrying $\gamma_{G} G_{k}+\gamma_{Q} Q_{k^{\prime}}$ provided the following conditions are met:

- in a one-way spanning slab the area of each bay exceeds $30 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ (a bay is defined as a strip across the full width of a structure bounded on the other sides by lines of support);
- ratio of the variable action, $Q_{k^{\prime}}$, to the permanent action, $G_{k}$, does not exceed 1.25; and
- magnitude of the variable action excluding partitions does not exceed $5 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$.

Where analysis is carried out for the single load case of all spans loaded, the resulting moments, except those at cantilevers, should be reduced by $20 \%$, with a consequential increase in the span moments.


Figure 5.4
Load arrangements for beams and slabs according to UK National Annex
(The magnitude of loads indicated are those for Exp. (6.10) of BS EN 1990)

### 5.4.3 Load factors

For the numerical values of the factors to be used in a load case see Section 2.3.4.
N.B. $\gamma_{G}$ is constant throughout.

### 5.5 Geometrical imperfections

### 5.5.1 General

For ULS, the unfavourable effects of possible deviations in the geometry of the structure and the position of actions shall be taken into account when verifying stability. These are in addition to other destabilising forces applied to the structure (e.g. wind actions).

### 5.5.2 Imperfections and global analysis of structures

For the global analysis of structures imperfections may be represented by an inclination $\theta_{\mathrm{i}}$ of the whole structure.

```
0
where
    \alpha}\mp@subsup{\alpha}{\textrm{h}}{}=0.67\leq2//0.5\leq1.
    \alpha}\mp@subsup{|}{m}{}=[0.5(1+1/m)]0.
    l = height of the structure in metres
    m = number of vertical members contributing to the effect
```

The effect of the inclination may be represented by transverse forces at each level to be included in the analysis with other actions. The horizontal action at any level is applied in the position that gives maximum moment.
$H_{\mathrm{i}}=\theta_{\mathrm{i}} N k$
where
$H_{\mathrm{i}}=$ action applied at that level
$N=$ axial load
$k=1.0$ for unbraced members
$=2.0$ for braced members
$=\left(N_{\mathrm{b}}-N_{\mathrm{a}}\right) / N$ for bracing systems (see Figure 5.5a)
$=\left(N_{\mathrm{b}}+N_{\mathrm{a}}\right) / 2 N$ for floor diaphragms (see Figure 5.5b)
$=N_{a} / N$ for roof diaphragms
where
$N_{\mathrm{b}}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{a}}$ are longitudinal forces contributing to $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}}$

### 5.5.3 Other allowances in analysis

[^1]Figure 5.5 Examples of the effect of geometric imperfections

a) Bracing system

b) Floor diaphragm

### 5.6 Design moments in columns

### 5.6.1 Definitions

### 5.6.1.1 Bracing members

### 5.8.1

Bracing members are members that contribute to the overall stability of the structure, whereas braced members do not contribute to the overall stability of the structure.

### 5.6.1.2 Effective length $l_{0}$

For braced members:
$l_{0}=0.5 l\left[1+k_{1} /\left(0.45+k_{1}\right)\right] 0.5\left[1+k_{2} /\left(0.45+k_{2}\right)\right] 0.5$
For unbraced members $l_{0}$ is the larger of either:
$I_{0}=l\left[1+10 k_{1} k_{2} /\left(k_{1}+k_{2}\right)\right] 0.5$
or
$l_{0}=l\left[1+k_{1} /\left(1.0+k_{1}\right)\right]\left[1+k_{2} /\left(1.0+k_{2}\right)\right]$
where
$l=$ clear height of the column between the end restraints
$k_{1}, k_{2}=$ relative flexibilities of rotational restraints at ends 1 and 2 respectively
In regular structures, in which the stiffness of adjacent columns does not vary significantly (say, difference not exceeding $15 \%$ of the higher stiffness), it is recommended that the relative flexibility of each end of the column is calculated ignoring the contributions of the adjacent columns. The contribution of each attached beam should be modelled as $2 \mathrm{EI} / \mathrm{l}_{\text {beam }}$ to allow for the effect of cracking.

Examples of different buckling modes and corresponding effective length factors for isolated members are shown in Figure 5.6.

A simplified method for determining effective length factors is given in How to design concrete structures using Eurocode 2: Columns[20]. Conservative effective length factors for braced columns can be obtained from Table 5.1, where $I_{0}=I \times$ factor.


Figure 5.6
Examples of different buckling modes and corresponding effective lengths for isolated members

Table 5.1
Effective length $l_{0}$ : conservative factors for braced columns

| End condition <br> at top |
| :--- |

### 5.6.1.3 Slenderness ratio, $\lambda$

Slenderness ratio $\lambda=l_{0} / i$
where
$i=$ the radius of gyration of the uncracked concrete section
Ignoring reinforcement:
$\begin{aligned} \lambda & =3.46 l_{0} / h \text { for rectangular sections } \\ & =4.0 l_{0} / d \text { for circular sections }\end{aligned}$
where
$h=$ the depth in the direction under consideration
$d=$ the diameter

### 5.6.1.4 Limiting slenderness ratio $\lambda_{\text {lim }}$

The limiting slenderness ratio, $\lambda_{\text {lim }}$, above which second order effects should be considered, is given by
$\lambda_{\text {lim }}=20 A B C / n^{0.5}$
where
$A=1 /\left(1+0.2 \varphi_{\mathrm{ef}}\right) \quad$ (if $\varphi_{\mathrm{ef}}$ is not known $A$ may be taken as 0.7 )
where
$\varphi_{\text {ef }}=$ effective creep factor $=\varphi_{(x, t 0)} M_{\text {OEqP }} / M_{\text {OEd }}$
where
$\varphi_{(x, t 0)}=$ final creep ratio, which in the absence of better data, may be obtained from Figure 5.7 using procedure indicated in Figure 5.8. In Figure 5.7:
$t_{0}=$ age of the concrete at the time of loading
$h_{0}=$ notional size $2 A_{c} / u$, where $A_{c}$ is the cross-sectional area and $u$ is the perimeter of that part which is exposed to drying
S = cement type CEM 32.5N
$\mathrm{N}=$ cement types CEM 32.5R, CEM 42.5 N
$\mathrm{R}=$ cement types CEM 42.5R, CEM 52.5N and CEM 52.5R For structural work in the UK, Class $R$ should be assumed. With reference to Table 4.2, CEM I cements will be 'R'. CEM II and CEM III, or their equivalents, may be ' $S$ ', ' $N$ ', or ' $R$ '.
$M_{\text {OEqp }}=$ the first order bending moment in the quasi-permanent load combination (SLS)
$M_{\text {OEd }}=$ the first order bending moment in design load combination (ULS)
These bending moments may be calculated for the section with maximum moment.

Note: $\varphi_{\text {ef }}$ may be taken as 0 if all the following conditions are met:
a) $\varphi_{(x, t 0)} \leq 2.0$;
b) $\lambda \leq 75$; and
c) $M_{\text {OEd }} / N_{E d} \geq h$, the depth of the cross section in the relevant direction.
$B=(1+2 \omega)^{0.5} \quad$ (if $\omega$ is not known $B$ may be taken as 1.1 )
where
$\omega$ = mechanical reinforcement ratio $=\left(A_{s} / A_{c}\right)\left(f_{y d} / f_{c d}\right)$, where $A_{s}$ is the total area of longitudinal reinforcement
$C=1.7-r_{\mathrm{m}}$ (If $r_{\mathrm{m}}$ is not known, $C$ may be taken as 0.7 . $C$ is the most critical of $A, B$ and C)
where
$r_{\mathrm{m}}=M_{01} / M_{02}$, where $M_{01}$ and $M_{02}$ are the first order end moments at ULS with $M_{02}$ numerically larger than $M_{01}$. If $M_{01}$ and $M_{02}$ give tension on the same side then $r_{m}$ is positive (and $C<1.7$ )
$r_{\mathrm{m}}=1.0$ for unbraced members and braced members in which the first order moments are caused largely by imperfections or transverse loading

If $r_{m}$ is not known, $C$ may be taken as 2.7 for columns in double curvature in braced structures through to 0.7 for constant moment, see Figure 5.9. For unbraced structures $C=0.7$.
$n=N_{\mathrm{Ed}} / A_{\mathrm{c}} f_{\mathrm{cd}}$
where
$N_{\text {Ed }}$ is the design axial action at ULS

b) Outside conditions $-\mathrm{RH}=\mathbf{8 0 \%}$

Figure 5.7
Graphs to determine values of creep coefficient $\varphi\left(\infty, t_{0}\right)$


## Notes

$1,2,3,4 \& 5$ are the steps indicated. Step 2 involves constructing a line starting at the origin and extending through the point where 1 intersects the relevant $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{N}$ or R curve
Intersection point between lines 4 and 5 can also be above point 1
For $t_{0}>100$ it is sufficiently accurate to assume $t_{0}=100$ and use the tangent line

Figure 5.8
How to use Figure 5.7

Figure 5.9 Values of $C$ for different values of $r_{m}$

| a) $\boldsymbol{C}=\mathbf{0 . 7}, \boldsymbol{r}_{\mathbf{m}}=\mathbf{1 . 0}$ | b) $\boldsymbol{C}=\mathbf{1 . 7}, \boldsymbol{r}_{\mathbf{m}}=\mathbf{0}$ | c) $\boldsymbol{C}=\mathbf{2 . 7}, \boldsymbol{r}_{\mathbf{m}}=\mathbf{- 1 . 0}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

### 5.6.2 Design bending moments

### 5.6.2.1 Non-slender columns

5.8.3.1(1)

### 5.8.1

5.8.8.2(2)
5.2.7

### 5.8.3.2

When $\lambda \leq \lambda_{\text {lim }}$ i.e. when non-slender (or stocky), the design bending moment in a column is

$$
M_{E d}=M_{02}
$$

where
$M_{\text {Ed }} \quad=$ design moment
$M_{02}, M_{01}=$ first order end moments at ULS including allowances for imperfections. $M_{02}$ is numerically larger than $M_{01}$. Attention should be paid to the sign of the bending moments. If they give tension on the same side, $M_{01}$ and $M_{02}$ should have the same sign.
where
$M_{02}=M+e_{i} N_{E d}$
where
$M=$ moment from first order analysis (elastic moments without redistribution)
$e_{i}=$ eccentricity due to imperfections $=\theta_{\mathrm{i}} l_{0} / 2$
For columns in braced systems $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}=l_{0} / 400$ (i.e. $\theta_{\mathrm{i}}=/ / 200$ for most braced columns). The design eccentricity should be at least (h/30) but not less than 20 mm .
where
$\theta=$ inclination used to represent imperfections
$I_{0}=$ effective length of column
$h \quad=$ depth of the section in the relevant direction
$N_{\mathrm{Ed}}=$ design axial action at ULS

### 5.6.2.2 Slender columns (nominal curvature method)

When $\lambda>\lambda_{\text {lim, }}$, i.e. when 'slender', the design bending moment in a column in a braced structure is
$M_{\mathrm{Ed}}=$ maximum of $\left\{M_{\mathrm{OEd}}+M_{2} ; M_{02} ; M_{01}+0.5 M_{2}\right\}$ (see Figure 5.10)
where
$M_{\text {OEd }}=$ equivalent first order moment including the effect of imperfections (at about mid height) and may be taken as $=M_{0 \text { e }}$
where
$M_{0 \mathrm{e}}=\left(0.6 M_{02}+0.4 M_{01}\right) \geq 0.4 M_{02}$
where $M_{02}$ and $M_{01}$ are as in 5.6.2.1 above
$M_{2}=$ nominal second order moment in slender columns $=N_{E_{\mathrm{Ed}}} \mathrm{e}_{2}$

```
where
    N Ed = design axial action at ULS
    e}\mp@subsup{e}{2}{}=\mathrm{ deflection = (1/r)lo 2/10
```

    where
        \(1 / r=\) curvature \(=K_{r} K_{\varphi}\left(f_{y d} /\left(E_{s} 0.45 d\right)\right)\)
    where
$K_{r}=\left(n_{u}-n\right) /\left(n_{u}-n_{\text {bal }}\right) \leq 1.0$
where
$n_{u}=1+\omega$
where
$\omega=$ mechanical reinforcement ratio $=\left(A_{s} / A_{c}\right)\left(f_{\text {yd }} / f_{c d}\right)$ as in 5.6.1
above
$n=N_{\mathrm{Ed}} / A_{\mathrm{c}} f_{\mathrm{cd}}$ as defined in 5.6.1 above
$n_{\text {bal }}=$ value of $n$ corresponding to the maximum moment of
resistance and may be taken as 0.4
Note: $K_{r}$ may be derived from column charts.
$K_{\varphi}=1+\beta \varphi_{\text {ef }}$
where
$\beta=0.35+\left(f_{c k} / 200\right)-(\lambda / 150)$
where
$\lambda=$ slenderness ratio $l_{0} / i$
where
$i=$ radius of gyration of the uncracked concrete section $=$ $h / 3.46$ for rectangular sections, where $h$ is the depth in the direction under consideration and $i=d / 4$ for circular sections where $d$ is the diameter
$\varphi_{\text {ef }}=$ effective creep coefficient as defined in 5.6.1
$I_{0}=$ effective length of column


Figure 5.10 Moments in slender columns

In columns in an unbraced structure $M_{\mathrm{Ed}}=M_{02}+M_{2}$

### 5.6.3 Biaxial bending

$$
\left(M_{\mathrm{Edz}} / M_{\mathrm{Rdz}}\right)^{\mathrm{a}}+\left(M_{\mathrm{Edy}} / M_{\mathrm{Rdy}}\right)^{\mathrm{a}} \leq 1.0
$$

where
$M_{\text {Rdy }} M_{\text {Rdz }}=$ moment resistance in the respective direction, corresponding to an axial load of $N_{\mathrm{Ed}}$
a $\quad=$ an exponent:
for circular or elliptical sections, $a=2.0$,
for rectangular sections, interpolate between
$a=1.0$ for $N_{E d} / N_{R d}=0.1$
$a=1.5$ for $N_{E d} / N_{\text {Rd }}=0.7$
$a=2.0$ for $N_{E d} / N_{\text {Rd }}=1.0$
Note: it is assumed that $N_{E d}\left(e_{\mathrm{i}}+e_{2}\right)$ act in one (critical) direction only at any one time.

## Annex I

\& NA

### 5.7 Flat slabs

### 5.7.1 Definition

For the purposes of Section 5.7 flat slabs are slabs of uniform thickness supported on columns without beams. They may incorporate thickenings (drops) over columns.

### 5.7.2 Analysis

Any proven method of analysis may be used. In this publication details of 'the equivalent frame method' are given.

### 5.7.2.1 Equivalent frame method

The structure should be divided longitudinally and transversely into frames consisting of columns and sections of slabs contained between the centre lines of adjacent panels (area bounded by four adjacent supports). The stiffness of members may be calculated using their gross cross section. For vertical loading the stiffness may be based on the full width of the panels. For horizontal loading $40 \%$ of this value should be used. Analysis should be carried out in each direction using the total action on the panel.

The total bending moments obtained from analysis should be distributed across the width of the slab. The panels should be assumed to be divided into column and middle strips (see Figure 5.11) and the bending moments should be apportioned as given in Table 5.2. When the aspect ratio of the panel is greater than 2 the slab will tend to act as a one-way slab. Where the width of the column strip is different from $0.5 l_{x^{\prime}}$, as shown in Figure 5.11, and made equal to the width of a drop, the width of the middle strip should be adjusted accordingly.


Figure 5.11
Division of panels in flat slabs

Table 5.2
Apportionment of bending moments in flat slabs - equivalent frame method

| Location | Negative moments | Positive moments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Column strip | $60 \%-80 \%$ | $50 \%-70 \%$ |
| Middle strip | $40 \%-20 \%$ | $50 \%-30 \%$ |
| Notes <br> The total negative and positive moments to be resisted by the column and middle strips together should <br> always add up to 100\% |  |  |
| The distribution of design moments given in BS 8110 (column strip: hogging 75\%, sagging 55\%; middle <br> strip: hogging 25\%, sagging 45\%) may be used |  |  |

Annex I 1.2
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Unless there are perimeter beams that are adequately designed for torsion, the moments transferred to edge or corner columns should be limited to $0.17 b_{e} d^{2} f_{c k}$, where $b_{e}$ is as shown in Figure 5.12.

Design for punching shear should allow for the effects of moment transfer at the column/slab junction. For structures, the lateral stability of which do not rely on the frame action between the slab and columns and in which adjacent spans do not differ in length by more than $25 \%$, the design punching shear may be obtained by enhancing the column actions. The enhancement may be taken as 1.15 for internal columns, 1.4 for edge columns and 1.5 for corner columns (see Section 8.2).


Figure 5.12
Effective width, $b_{e}$, of a flat slab

### 5.7.2.2 Other methods of analysis

Where other methods of analysis are used, Eurocode 2 should be consulted.

### 5.8 Corbels

### 5.8.1 Definition

Corbels are short cantilevers projecting from columns or walls with the ratio of shear span (i.e. the distance between the face of the applied load and the face of the support) to the depth of the corbel in the range 0.5 to 2.0.

### 5.8.2 Analysis

These members may be modelled either as:
a) short beams designed for bending and shear; or
b) comprising a strut-and-tie as shown in Figure 5.13.

For strut-and-tie, the internal forces should be assessed using statics. Whilst not a requirement for strut-and-tie in general, the angle $\theta$ in the model should satisfy $1.0 \leq \cot \theta \leq 2.5$. The width of the strut should be such that the stress in the strut does not exceed $0.6 v^{\prime} f_{\mathrm{cd}}{ }^{\prime}$
where
$v^{\prime}=1-\left(f_{c k} / 250\right)$
$f_{c d}=\alpha_{c c} f_{c k} / \gamma_{c}$
$\alpha_{\text {cc }}=0.85$


Figure 5.13
Corbel strut-and-tie model

## 6 Bending and axial force

### 6.1 Assumptions

In determining the resistance of sections, the following assumptions are made.

- Plane sections remain plane
- Strain in the bonded reinforcement, whether in tension or compression, is the same as that in the surrounding concrete.
- Tensile strength of the concrete is ignored.
- Stress distribution in the section is as shown in Figure 6.1.
- Stresses in reinforcement are derived from Figure 6.2. The inclined branch of the design line may be used when strain limits are checked.
- For sections not fully in compression, the compressive strain in concrete should be limited to 0.0035 (see Figure 6.3).
■ For sections in pure axial compression, the compressive strain in concrete should be limited to 0.00175 (see Figure 6.3).
- For situations intermediate between these two conditions, the strain profile is defined by assuming that the strain is 0.00175 at half the depth of the section (see Figure 6.3).


Figure 6.1
Rectangular stress distribution


Fig. 3.8

Figure 6.2
Idealised and design stress-strain diagrams for reinforcing steel (for tension and compression)


Figure 6.3
Possible strain distributions in the ultimate limit state

### 6.2 Derived formulae

The following formulae may be derived by using Figures 6.1, 6.2 and 6.3.

### 6.2.1 Bending

```
Assuming \(K\) and \(K^{\prime}\) have been determined:
where
    \(K=M / b d 2 f_{c k}\)
    \(K^{\prime}=0.598 \delta-0.18 \delta^{2}-0.21\) (see Table 6.1)
    where
        \(\delta \leq 1.0=\) redistribution ratio (see Table 6.1)
- If \(K \leq K^{\prime}\)
    then
    \(A_{s 1}=M / f_{y d}{ }^{z}\)
    where
        \(A_{s 1}=\) area of tensile reinforcement (in layer 1)
        \(f_{y d}=f_{y k} / \gamma_{s}=500 / 1.15=434.8 \mathrm{MPa}\)
            \(z=d[0.5+0.5(1-3.53 \mathrm{~K}) 0.5] \leq 0.95 d\)
- If \(K>K^{\prime}\)
    then
    \(A_{\mathrm{s} 2}=\left(M-M^{\prime}\right) / f_{\mathrm{sc}}\left(d-d_{2}\right)\)
    where
        \(A_{s 2}=\) area of compression steel (in layer 2)
        \(M^{\prime}=K^{\prime} b d^{2} f_{c k}\)
        \(f_{\text {sc }}=700\left(x_{u}-d_{2}\right) / x_{u} \leq f_{y d}\)
            where
                \(d_{2}=\) effective depth to compression steel
                \(x_{u}=(\delta-0.4) d\)
    and
    \(A_{s 1}=M^{\prime} / f_{y d} z^{2}+A_{s 2} f_{s c} / f_{y d}\)
```

For $A_{s, \text { min }}$ see Section 12, Table 12.1.

| Table $\mathbf{6 . 1}$ <br> Values for $\boldsymbol{K}^{\prime}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Percent redistribution | Redistribution ratio, $\boldsymbol{\delta}$ | $\boldsymbol{K}^{\prime}$ |
| $0 \%$ | 1.00 | 0.208 |
| $5 \%$ | 0.95 | 0.195 |
| $10 \%$ | 0.90 | 0.182 |
| $15 \%$ | 0.85 | 0.168 |
| $20 \%$ | 0.80 | 0.153 |
| $25 \%$ | 0.75 | 0.137 |
| $30 \%$ | 0.70 | 0.120 |

### 6.2.2 Axial load and bending

Assuming a rectangular section, symmetrical arrangement of reinforcement and ignoring side bars:

- For axial load
$A_{\mathrm{sN}} / 2=\left(N_{\mathrm{Ed}}-\alpha_{\mathrm{cc}} \eta f_{c k} b d_{\mathrm{c}} / \gamma_{\mathrm{c}}\right) /\left[\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{sc}}-\sigma_{\mathrm{st}}\right)\right.$
where
$A_{\mathrm{SN}}=$ total area of reinforcement required to resist axial load using this method
$=A_{s 1}+A_{s 2}$ and $A_{s 1}=A_{s 2}$
where
$A_{\mathrm{s} 1}\left(A_{\mathrm{s} 2}\right)=$ area of reinforcement in layer 1 (layer 2), see Figure 6.3
$N_{\mathrm{Ed}}=$ design applied axial force
$\alpha_{\text {cc }}=0.85$
$\eta=1$ for $\leq$ C50/60
$b=$ breadth of section
$d_{c}=$ effective depth of concrete in compression $=\lambda x \leq h$ (see Figure 6.4)
where
$\lambda=0.8$ for $\leq \mathrm{C} 50 / 60$
$x=$ depth to neutral axis
$h=$ height of section
$\sigma_{\mathrm{sc}}\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{st}}\right)=$ stress in compression (and tension) reinforcement $\leq f_{\mathrm{yk}} / \gamma_{\mathrm{s}}$
For moment
$A_{\mathrm{sM}} / 2=\left[M_{\mathrm{Ed}}-\alpha_{\mathrm{cc}} \eta f_{\mathrm{ck}} b d_{\mathrm{c}}\left(h / 2-d_{\mathrm{c}} / 2\right) / \gamma_{\mathrm{c}}\right] /\left[\left(h / 2-d_{2}\right)\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{sC}}+\sigma_{\mathrm{st}}\right)\right]$
Fig. 6.1
where
$A_{S M}=$ total area of reinforcement required to resist moment using this method.
$=A_{s 1}+A_{s 2}$ and $A_{s 1}=A_{s 2}$
- Solution

Solve by iterating $x$ such that $A_{S N}=A_{S M}$, or refer to charts or spreadsheets etc.

a) Strain diagram

b) Stress diagram

Figure 6.4 Section in axial compression and bending

## 7 Shear

### 7.1 General

### 7.1.1 Definitions

For the purposes of this section three shear resistances are used:
$V_{\text {Rd, }, ~}=$ resistance of a member without shear reinforcement
$V_{R d, s}=$ resistance of a member governed by the yielding of shear reinforcement
$V_{R d, \max }=$ resistance of a member limited by the crushing of compression struts.
These resist the applied shear force, $V_{\mathrm{Ed}}$.

### 7.1.2 Requirements for shear reinforcement

If $V_{E d} \leq V_{R d, c}$, no calculated shear reinforcement is necessary. However, minimum shear reinforcement should still be provided (see Section 12) except in:

- Slabs, where actions can be redistributed transversely.
- Members of minor importance, which do not contribute significantly to the overall resistance and stability of the structure (e.g. lintels with a span of less than 2 m ).

If $V_{\mathrm{Ed}}>V_{\mathrm{Rd}, \mathrm{c}}$ shear reinforcement is required such that $V_{\mathrm{Rd}, \mathrm{s}}>V_{\mathrm{Ed}}$. The capacity of the concrete to act as a strut should also be checked.

### 7.1.3 Uniformly distributed loading

In members subject predominantly to uniformly distributed loading, the following apply:

- Shear at the support should not exceed $V_{\text {Rd,max }}$
- Required shear reinforcement should be calculated at a distance $d$ from the face of the support and continued to the support.


### 7.1.4 Longitudinal tension reinforcement

The longitudinal tension reinforcement should be able to resist the additional tensile force caused by shear (see Section 12.2.2).

### 7.2 Resistance of members without shear reinforcement

Shear resistance of members without shear reinforcement may be calculated from:

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{R d, c} & =\left(0.18 / \gamma_{c}\right) k\left(100 \rho_{\mathrm{l}} f_{\mathrm{ck}}\right) 0.333 b_{\mathrm{w}} d \\
& \geq 0.035 \mathrm{k} 1.5 f_{\mathrm{ck}} 0.5 b_{\mathrm{w}} d
\end{aligned}
$$

where
$k=1+(200 / d) 0.5 \leq 2.0(d$ in mm; see Table 7.1)
$\gamma_{c}=1.5$
$\rho_{l}=A_{s l} / b_{w} d \leq 0.02$
where
$A_{\mathrm{sl}}=$ area of the tensile reinforcement extending at least $l_{b d}+d$ beyond the section considered (see Figure 7.1)
where
$l_{\text {bd }}=$ design anchorage length
$b_{w}=$ smallest width of the cross section in the tensile area

Alternatively
$V_{\mathrm{Rd}, \mathrm{c}}=b_{\mathrm{w}} d v_{\mathrm{Rd}, \mathrm{c}}$ with $v_{\mathrm{Rd}, \mathrm{c}}$ available from Table 7.1
In most practical cases if $v_{E d}<v_{\text {Rd, }, ~}$ shear reinforcement will not be required where
$V_{\mathrm{Ed}}=$ shear stress for sections without shear reinforcement $=V_{\mathrm{Ed}} / b_{\mathrm{w}} d$.
$v_{\text {Rd, }, ~}$ may be interpolated from Table 7.1.
For members with actions applied on the upper side at a distance $a_{v}$, where $0.5 d \leq a_{v} \leq 2 d$ (see Figure 7.2), the contribution of the point load to $V_{E d}$ may be reduced by applying a factor
$\beta=a_{v} / 2 d$.
The longitudinal reinforcement should be completely anchored at the support.

Table 7.1
Shear resistance without shear reinforcement, $v_{\mathrm{Rd}, \mathrm{c}}(\mathrm{MPa})$

| $\rho_{l}$ | Effective depth d (mm) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\leq 200$ | 225 | 250 | 275 | 300 | 350 | 400 | 450 | 500 | 600 | 750 |
| 0.25\% | 0.54 | 0.52 | 0.50 | 0.48 | 0.47 | 0.45 | 0.43 | 0.41 | 0.40 | 0.38 | 0.36 |
| 0.50\% | 0.59 | 0.57 | 0.56 | 0.55 | 0.54 | 0.52 | 0.51 | 0.49 | 0.48 | 0.47 | 0.45 |
| 0.75\% | 0.68 | 0.66 | 0.64 | 0.63 | 0.62 | 0.59 | 0.58 | 0.56 | 0.55 | 0.53 | 0.51 |
| 1.00\% | 0.75 | 0.72 | 0.71 | 0.69 | 0.68 | 0.65 | 0.64 | 0.62 | 0.61 | 0.59 | 0.57 |
| 1.25\% | 0.80 | 0.78 | 0.76 | 0.74 | 0.73 | 0.71 | 0.69 | 0.67 | 0.66 | 0.63 | 0.61 |
| 1.50\% | 0.85 | 0.83 | 0.81 | 0.79 | 0.78 | 0.75 | 0.73 | 0.71 | 0.70 | 0.67 | 0.65 |
| 1.75\% | 0.90 | 0.87 | 0.85 | 0.83 | 0.82 | 0.79 | 0.77 | 0.75 | 0.73 | 0.71 | 0.68 |
| $\geq 2.00 \%$ | 0.94 | 0.91 | 0.89 | 0.87 | 0.85 | 0.82 | 0.80 | 0.78 | 0.77 | 0.74 | 0.71 |
| $k$ | 2.000 | 1.943 | 1.894 | 1.853 | 1.816 | 1.756 | 1.707 | 1.667 | 1.632 | 1.577 | 1.516 |

## Notes

Table derived from BS EN 1992-1-1 and the UK National Annex.
Table created for $f_{c k}=30 \mathrm{MPa}$ assuming vertical links.
For $\rho_{\mathrm{l}} \geq 0.4 \%$ and $f_{\mathrm{ck}}=25 \mathrm{MPa}$, apply factor of 0.94
$f_{c k}=35 \mathrm{MPa}$, apply factor of 1.05
$f_{\text {ck }}=45 \mathrm{MPa}$, apply factor of 1.14
$f_{c k}=40 \mathrm{MPa}$, apply factor of 1.10

$$
f_{\mathrm{ck}}=50 \mathrm{MPa} \text {, apply factor of } 1.19
$$

$$
\text { Not applicable for } f_{c k}>50 \mathrm{MPa}
$$



Figure 7.1
Definition of $A_{\text {sl }}$

a) Beam with direct support

b) Corbel

### 7.3 Resistance of members requiring shear reinforcement

### 7.3.1 Basis

The design is based on the truss model shown in Figure 7.3. A simplified version of this diagram is shown in Figure 7.4.

Fig. 6.5

a) Truss model

b) Web thickness $\boldsymbol{b}_{\mathrm{w}}$

Figure 7.3
Truss model and notation for shear reinforced members


Figure 7.4
Variable strut angle, $\theta$

### 7.3.2 Shear capacity check

The capacity of the concrete section to act as a strut $V_{R d, \text { max }}$ should be checked to ensure that it equals or exceeds the design shear force, $V_{E d}$ i.e. ensure that
$V_{R d, m a x}=b_{\mathrm{w}} z \vee f_{\mathrm{cd}} /(\cot \theta+\tan \theta) \geq V_{\mathrm{Ed}}$ with vertical links
Exp. (6.9)
Exp. (6.14)
\& NA
where
$=b_{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{zv} f_{\mathrm{cd}}(\cot \theta+\cot \alpha) /\left(1+\cot ^{2} \theta\right) \geq V_{\mathrm{Ed}}$ with inclined links
$z \quad=$ lever arm: an approximate value of 0.9 d may normally be used
$v=0.6\left[1-\left(f_{c k} / 250\right)\right]=$ strength reduction factor for concrete cracked in shear
$f_{\mathrm{cd}}=\alpha_{\mathrm{cw}} f_{\mathrm{ck}} / \gamma_{\mathrm{c}}$ with $\alpha_{\mathrm{cw}}=1.0$
$\theta=$ angle of inclination of the strut, such that $\cot \theta$ lies between 1.0 and 2.5. The value of $\cot \theta$ should be obtained by substituting $V_{\mathrm{Ed}}$ for $V_{\mathrm{Rd} \text {,max }}$
$\alpha=$ angle of inclination of the links to the longitudinal axis. For vertical links cot $\alpha=0$.

In most practical cases, where vertical links are used, it will be sufficient to check stresses (rather than capacities) using Table 7.2 such that:
$V_{\mathrm{Ed}, \mathrm{Z}} \leq V_{\mathrm{Rd}, \text { max }}$
where
$V_{\mathrm{Ed}, \mathrm{z}}=V_{\mathrm{Ed}} / b_{\mathrm{w}} Z=V_{\mathrm{Ed}} / b_{\mathrm{w}} 0.9 \mathrm{~d}=$ shear stress in sections with shear reinforcement
$V_{R d, \text { max }}=V_{R d, \text { max }} / b_{w} Z=V_{R d, \text { max }} / b_{w} 0.9 d$
If $v_{\mathrm{Ed}, \mathrm{z}} \leq$ the value of $v_{\mathrm{Rd}, \text { max }}$ for $\cot \theta=2.5$, then $\theta=21.8^{\circ}$ and $\cot \theta=2.5$
If $v_{\mathrm{Ed}, \mathrm{z}}>$ the value of $v_{\mathrm{Rd}, \text { max }}$ for $\cot \theta=1.0$, then the section should be resized
If $v_{\mathrm{Ed}, \mathrm{z}}$ is between the values for $\cot \theta=2.5$ and $\cot \theta=1.0$, then $\theta$ and $\cot \theta$ should be calculated from the equation for $V_{R d, m a x}$, but substituting $V_{E d}$ for $V_{\text {Rd,max }}$
Values of $v_{\text {Rd,max }}$ may be interpolated from Table 7.2.

Table 7.2
Capacity of concrete struts expressed as a stress, $v_{\mathrm{Rd}, \max }$

| $f_{\text {ck }}$ |  | $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{Rd} \text {, max }}(\mathrm{MPa})$ |  |  |  |  |  | $v$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\cot \theta$ | 2.50 | 2.14 | 1.73 | 1.43 | 1.19 | 1.00 |  |
|  | $\theta$ | $21.8{ }^{\circ}$ | $25^{\circ}$ | $30^{\circ}$ | $35^{\circ}$ | $40^{\circ}$ | $45^{\circ}$ |  |
| 20 |  | 2.54 | 2.82 | 3.19 | 3.46 | 3.62 | 3.68 | 0.552 |
| 25 |  | 3.10 | 3.45 | 3.90 | 4.23 | 4.43 | 4.50 | 0.540 |
| 30 |  | 3.64 | 4.04 | 4.57 | 4.96 | 5.20 | 5.28 | 0.528 |
| 35 |  | 4.15 | 4.61 | 5.21 | 5.66 | 5.93 | 6.02 | 0.516 |
| 40 |  | 4.63 | 5.15 | 5.82 | 6.31 | 6.62 | 6.72 | 0.504 |
| 45 |  | 5.09 | 5.65 | 6.39 | 6.93 | 7.27 | 7.38 | 0.492 |
| 50 |  | 5.52 | 6.13 | 6.93 | 7.52 | 7.88 | 8.00 | 0.480 |

Notes
Table derived from BS EN 1992-1-1 and UK National Annex, assuming vertical links, i.e. $\cot \alpha=0$
$\begin{aligned} v_{R d, \max } & =V_{R d, \max } / b_{w} z=V_{R d, \max } / b_{w} 0.9 d \\ & =v f(\cot \theta+\cot \alpha) /(1+\cot 2 \theta)\end{aligned}$

### 7.3.3 Shear reinforcement required, $A_{\text {sw }} / \mathrm{s}$

The cross sectional area of the shear reinforcement required is calculated using the shear resistance:
$V_{\mathrm{Rd}, \mathrm{s}}=\left(A_{\mathrm{sw}} / \mathrm{s}\right) \mathrm{z} f_{\mathrm{ywd}}(\cot \theta+\cot \alpha) \sin \alpha \geq V_{\mathrm{Ed}}$
where
$A_{s w}=$ cross sectional area of the shear reinforcement. (For $A_{s w, m i n}$ see Section 10.4.1)
$\mathrm{s}=$ spacing
$z \quad=$ lever arm (approximate value of 0.9d may normally be used)
$f_{\text {ywd }}=f_{\text {ywk }} / \gamma_{s}=$ design yield strength of the shear reinforcement
$\alpha=$ angle of the links to the longitudinal axis
For vertical links, $\cot \alpha=0$ and $\sin \alpha=1.0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{\mathrm{sw}} / s \geq V_{\mathrm{Ed}} / \mathrm{z} f_{\mathrm{ywd}} \cot \theta \text { or } \\
& A_{\mathrm{sw}} / s \geq V_{\mathrm{Ed}, \mathrm{z}} b_{\mathrm{w}} / f_{\mathrm{ywd}} \cot \theta
\end{aligned}
$$

### 7.3.4 Additional tensile forces

The additional tensile force caused by the shear model in the longitudinal reinforcement
$\Delta F_{\mathrm{td}}=0.5 V_{\mathrm{Ed}}(\cot \theta-\cot \alpha) \leq\left(M_{\mathrm{Ed}, \max } / z\right)$
where
$M_{E d, \max }=$ maximum moment along the beam
This additional tensile force gives rise to the 'shift' rule for the curtailment of reinforcement (see Section 12.2).

### 7.3.5 Members with actions applied at upper side

For members with actions applied at the upper side within a distance $0.5 d<a_{v}<2.0 d$ for the purposes of designing the shear reinforcement, the shear force $V_{E d}$ may be reduced by $a_{v} / 2 d$ provided that the longitudinal reinforcement is fully anchored. In this case:
$A_{\text {sw }} f_{\text {ywd }} \geq V_{E d} / \sin \alpha$
where
$A_{\mathrm{sw}}=$ area of shear reinforcement in the central $75 \%$ of $a_{\mathrm{v}}$ (see Figure 7.5).


Fig. 6.6

Figure 7.5
Shear reinforcement in short shear spans with direct strut action

### 7.3.6 Members with actions applied near bottom of section

Where load is applied near the bottom of a section, sufficient shear reinforcement to carry the load to the top of the section should be provided in addition to any shear reinforcement required to resist shear.

## 8 Punching shear

### 8.1 General

### 8.1.1 Basis of design

Punching shear arises when a concentrated load is applied to a small area of a slab or, most commonly, the reaction of a column against a slab. The resulting stresses are verified along defined control perimeters around the loaded area. The shear force acts over an area $u d_{\text {eff }}$, where $u$ is the length of the perimeter and $d_{\text {eff }}$ is the effective depth of the slab taken as the average of the effective depths in two orthogonal directions.

### 8.1.2 Design procedure

At the column perimeter:
Ensure that maximum punching shear stress is not exceeded, i.e. $v_{\mathrm{Ed}}<v_{\mathrm{Rd}, \text { max }}$ (otherwise resize; see Section 8.6).

At successive column perimeters:
Determine whether punching shear reinforcement is required, i.e. whether $v_{E d}>v_{R d, c}$. - When required provide reinforcement such that $v_{\mathrm{Ed}} \leq v_{\mathrm{Rd}, \mathrm{cs}}$ (see Section 8.5).
where
$v_{\mathrm{Ed}} \quad=$ applied shear stress. The shear force used in the verification should be the effective force taking into account any bending moment transferred into the slab (see Sections 8.2 and 8.3)
6.4.3(1)
6.4.4(1)
6.4.5(1)

### 8.2 Applied shear stress

### 8.2.1 General

The applied shear stress $v_{E d}=\beta V_{E d}\left(u_{i} d\right)$
where
d $=$ mean effective depth
$u_{\mathrm{i}}=$ length of the control perimeter under consideration (see Section 8.3)
$V_{E d}=$ applied shear force
$\beta=$ factor dealing with eccentricity

### 8.2.2 Values of $\beta$ (conservative values from diagram)

For braced structures, where adjacent spans do not differ by more than $25 \%$, the values of $\beta$ shown in Figure 8.1 may be used.

### 8.2.3 Values of $\beta$ (using calculation method)



Figure 8.1
Recommended values for $\beta$

### 8.2.3.1 Internal columns

a) For internal rectangular columns with loading eccentric to one axis:

$$
\beta=1+\left(k M_{\mathrm{Ed}} / V_{\mathrm{Ed}}\right)\left(u_{1} / W_{1}\right)
$$

where
$k \quad=$ coefficient depending on the ratio of the column dimensions $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ as shown in Figure 8.2 (see Table 8.1)
$M_{\mathrm{Ed}}=$ total design moment
$u_{1}=$ basic control perimeter (see Figure 8.3)
$W_{1}=$ a distribution of shear as illustrated in Figure 8.2 and is a function of $u_{1}$
$=\int_{0}^{u}|e| d l$
where
$|\mid=$ the absolute value
$e^{=}$the distance of $d l$ from the axis about which $M_{E d}$ acts
$d l=$ a short length of the perimeter and
For a rectangular column $W_{1}=c_{1}^{2 / 2}+c_{1} c_{2}+4 c_{2} d+16 d^{2}+2 \pi d c_{1}$


Figure 8.2
Shear distribution due to an unbalanced moment at a slab/ internal column connection

## Table 8.1

Values for $\boldsymbol{k}$ for rectangular loaded areas

| $\boldsymbol{c}_{\mathbf{1}} / \boldsymbol{c}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\leq \mathbf{0 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 0}$ | $\geq \mathbf{3 . 0}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $k$ | 0.45 | 0.60 | 0.70 | 0.80 |

b) For internal rectangular columns with loading eccentric to both axes:

$$
\beta=1+1.8\left[\left(e_{y} / b_{z}\right)^{2}+\left(e_{z} / b_{y}\right) 2\right] 0.5
$$

where
$e_{\mathrm{y}}$ and $e_{z}=M_{\mathrm{Ed}} / V_{\mathrm{Ed}}$ along y and z axes respectively
$b_{y}$ and $b_{z}=$ the dimensions of the control perimeter (see Figure 8.3)
c) For internal circular columns:

$$
\beta=1+0.6 \pi e /(D+4 d)
$$

where
$D=$ diameter of the circular column $e=M_{E d} / V_{E d}$

## Fig. 6.13

### 6.4.3(4)

### 8.2.3.2 Edge columns

a) For edge columns, with loading eccentricity perpendicular and interior to the slab edge, $\beta=u_{1} / u_{1 *}$
where
$u_{1}=$ basic control perimeter (see Figure 8.4)
$u_{1^{*}}=$ reduced control perimeter (see Figure 8.5)
b) For edge columns, with eccentricity to both axes and interior to the slab edge
$\beta=u_{1} / u_{1^{*}}+k e_{\text {par }} u_{1} / W_{1}$
where
$k=$ coefficient depending on the ratio of the column dimensions $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ as shown in Figure 8.5 (see Table 8.2)
$e_{\text {par }}=$ eccentricity parallel to the slab edge resulting from a moment about an axis perpendicular to the slab edge
$W_{1}=c_{2}^{2 / 4}+c_{1} c_{2}+4 c_{1} d+8 d^{2}+\pi d c_{2}$
where
$c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ are as Figure 8.5


Figure 8.4
Control perimeters for loaded areas at or close to an edge or corner


Fig. 6.20

Figure 8.5
Equivalent control perimeter $u_{1 *}$

Table 8.2
Values for $k$ for rectangular loaded areas at edge of slabs and subject to eccentric loading in both axes

| $\boldsymbol{c}_{\mathbf{1}} / 2 \boldsymbol{c}_{\mathbf{2}}{ }^{*}$ | $\leq \mathbf{0 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 . 0}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $k$ | 0.45 | 0.60 | 0.70 | 0.80 |
| Note |  |  |  |  |

* differs from Table 8.1


### 8.2.3.3 Corner columns

For corner columns with eccentricity towards interior of the slab
$\beta=u_{1} / u_{1^{*}}$
where
$u_{1}=$ basic control perimeter (see Figure 8.4)
$u_{1^{*}}=$ reduced control perimeter (see Figure 8.5)

### 8.2.3.4 Perimeter columns where eccentricity is exterior to slab

For edge and corner columns, where eccentricity is exterior to the slab the expression
$\beta=1+k M_{E d} / V_{E d} u_{1} / W_{1}$
applies as for internal columns above. However, $M_{\mathrm{Ed}} / V_{\mathrm{Ed}}(=e$, eccentricity) is measured from the centroid of the control perimeter.

### 8.3 Control perimeters

### 8.3.1 Basic control perimeter $u_{1}$ (internal columns)

The basic control perimeter $u_{1}$ may be taken to be at a distance of 2.0 d from the face of the loaded area, constructed so as to minimise its length. Some examples are shown in Figure 8.3.

### 8.3.2 Openings

Where openings in the slab exist within $6 d$ from the face of the loaded area, part of the control perimeter will be ineffective as indicated in Figure 8.6.


Figure 8.6
Control perimeter near an opening

### 8.3.3 Perimeter columns

For edge or corner columns (or loaded areas), the basic control perimeter $u_{1}$ shown in Figure 8.4 may be used for concentric loading. This perimeter must not be greater than the perimeter obtained for internal columns from using Figure 8.3 (see Section 8.3.1).

Where eccentricity of loads is interior to the slab, the reduced control perimeter, $u_{1^{*}}$ shown in Figure 8.5 should be used as indicated in Sections 8.2.3.2 and 8.2.3.3.

### 8.3.4 Elongated supports

For elongated supports and walls the perimeter shown in Figure 8.5a) may be used for each end, or Figure 8.7 may be used.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{1} \leq\left\{\begin{array}{l}
a \\
2 b \\
5.6 d-b_{1}
\end{array}\right. \\
& b_{1} \leq\left\{\begin{array}{l}
b \\
2.8 d
\end{array}\right. \\
& \mathbf{-} \mathbf{-} \mathbf{-} \text { - Control perimeter }
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 8.7
Control perimeter for elongated supports

### 8.3.5 Column heads

Where column heads are provided distinction should be made between cases where $l_{\mathrm{H}}>2 h_{\mathrm{H}}$ and where $I_{\mathrm{H}}<2 h_{\mathrm{H}}$
where
$l_{\mathrm{H}}=$ projection of head from the column
$h_{H}=$ height of head below soffit of slab
Where $l_{H}<2 h_{H}$ punching shear needs to be checked only in the control section outside the column head (see Figure 8.8). Where $l_{H}>2 h_{H}$ the critical sections both within the head and slab should be checked (see Figure 8.9).


Figure 8.8
Slab with enlarged column head where $l_{H}<2.0 h_{H}$


Figure 8.9
Slab with enlarged column head where $\left.l_{\mathrm{H}}>\mathbf{2 ( d +} \boldsymbol{h}_{\mathrm{H}}\right)$

### 8.4 Punching shear resistance without shear reinforcement

The basic control section $u_{1}$ should be checked to determine whether punching shear reinforcement is required, i.e. whether the applied shear stress, $v_{\mathrm{Ed}}$ exceeds the design punching shear resistance $v_{\text {Rd, }, ~}$ (see Section 7, Table 7.1).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{\mathrm{Rd}, \mathrm{c}}=\left(0.18 / \gamma_{\mathrm{l}}\right) k\left(100 \rho_{\mathrm{l}} f_{\mathrm{ck}}\right)^{0.333} \geq v_{\text {min }} \\
& \text { where } \\
& k=1+(200 / d) 0.5 \leq 2.0(\mathrm{din} \mathrm{~mm}) \\
& \rho_{\mathrm{l}}=\left(\rho_{\mathrm{ly}} \rho_{\mathrm{lz}}\right)^{0.5} \leq 0.02
\end{aligned}
$$

where
$\rho_{\mathrm{ly}}$ and $\rho_{\mathrm{lz}}=$ the mean ratios of reinforcement in each direction over a width equal to the column dimension plus 3d on each side.

$$
v_{\min }=0.035 \mathrm{k}^{1.5} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{ck}}^{0.5}
$$

### 8.5 Punching shear resistance with shear reinforcement

At any perimeter, where the applied shear stress $v_{E d}$ exceeds $v_{R d, c}$ shear reinforcement should be provided to achieve the necessary resistance using the following relationship.
$v_{\text {Rd,cs }}=0.75 v_{\text {Rd,c }}+1.5\left(d / s_{r}\right) A_{\text {sw }} f_{y w d, e f}\left(1 / u_{1} d\right) \sin \alpha$
where
$A_{s w}=$ area of shear reinforcement in one perimeter around the column (for $A_{s w, \text { min }}$ see Section 10.4.2)
$s_{r} \quad=$ radial spacing of perimeters of shear reinforcement
$f_{y w d, e f}=$ effective design strength of reinforcement $(250+0.25 d) \leq f_{y w d}$
d $=$ mean effective depth in the two orthogonal directions (in mm)
$u_{1}=$ basic control perimeter at $2 d$ from the loaded area (see Figure 8.3)
$\sin \alpha=1.0$ for vertical shear reinforcement
Assuming vertical reinforcement
$A_{s w}=\left(v_{E d}-0.75 v_{R d, c}\right) s_{r} u_{1} /\left(1.5 f_{y w d, e f}\right)$ per perimeter

### 8.6 Punching shear resistance adjacent to columns

At the column perimeter, $u_{0}$, the punching shear stress should be checked to ensure that
$v_{\mathrm{Ed}}=\beta V_{\mathrm{Ed}} / u_{0} d \leq v_{\mathrm{Rd}, \max }$
where
$\beta \quad=$ factor dealing with eccentricity (see Section 8.2)
$V_{\mathrm{Ed}}=$ applied shear force
d $=$ mean effective depth
$u_{0}=2\left(c_{1}+c_{2}\right)$ for interior columns
$=c_{2}+3 d \leq c_{2}+2 c_{1}$ for edge columns
$=3 d \leq c_{2}+2 c_{1}$ for corner columns
where
$c_{1}=$ column depth (for edge columns, measured perpendicular to the free edge)
$c_{2}=$ column width as illustrated in Figure 8.5
$v_{\text {Rd,max }}=0.5 v f_{c d}$
where
$v=0.6\left[1-\left(f_{c k} / 250\right)\right]$
At the column perimeter, $v_{\mathrm{Rd}, \max }=v_{\mathrm{Rd}, \text { max }}$ for $\cot \theta=1.0$ given in Table 7.2.

### 8.7 Control perimeter where shear reinforcement is no longer required, $u_{\text {out }}$

Shear reinforcement is not required at a perimeter where the shear stress due to the effective shear force does not exceed $v_{\text {Rd, } c^{c}}$. The outermost perimeter of shear reinforcement should be placed at a distance not greater than $1.5 d$ within the perimeter where reinforcement is no longer required. See Figures 8.10, 12.5 and 12.6.

6.4.5(4)
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Figure 8.10
Control perimeters at internal columns

### 8.8 Punching shear resistance of foundation bases

In addition to the verification at the basic control perimeter at $2 d$ from the face of the column, perimeters within the basic perimeter should also be checked for punching resistance. In cases where the depth of the base varies, the effective depth of the base may be assumed to be that at the perimeter of the loaded area. See Figure 8.11.

The calculations may be based on a reduced force

```
\(V_{E d, r e d}=V_{E d}-\Delta V_{E d}\)
where
    \(V_{\mathrm{Ed}} \quad=\) column load
    \(\Delta V_{\mathrm{Ed}}=\) net upward force within the perimeter considered, i.e. the force due to soil
        pressure less self-weight of foundation base.
```

When a column transmits an axial load $V_{E d}$ and a moment $M_{E d}$, the punching shear stress is given by the following expression:
$v_{\mathrm{Ed}}=\left(V_{\mathrm{Ed}, \mathrm{red}} / u d\right)\left(1+k M_{\mathrm{Ed}} u V_{\mathrm{Ed}, \text { red }} W\right)$
where
$u=$ the perimeter being considered
$k=$ a coefficient depending on the ratio of the column dimensions shown in Figure 8.2 and the values for inboard columns given in Table 8.1
$W \equiv W_{1}$ described in Section 8.2.3 above but for perimeter $u$

The punching shear resistance $v_{R d, c}$ and the minimum value of resistance $v_{\text {min }}$ given in Section 8.4 may be enhanced for column bases by multiplying the Expressions by $2 d / a$, where $a$ is the distance of the perimeter considered from the periphery of the column.


Figure 8.11
Depth of control section in a footing with variable depth

## 9 Torsion

### 9.1 General

Torsional resistance should be verified in elements that rely on torsion for static equilibrium. In statically indeterminate building structures in which torsion arises from consideration of compatibility and the structure is not dependent on torsion for stability, it will normally be sufficient to rely on detailing rules for minimum reinforcement to safeguard against excessive cracking, without the explicit consideration of torsion at ULS.

In Eurocode 2, torsional resistance is calculated by modelling all sections as equivalent thinwalled sections. Complex sections, such as T-sections are divided into a series of sub-sections and the total resistance is taken as the sum of the resistances of the individual thin-walled sub-

### 9.2 Torsional resistances

The maximum torsional capacity of a non-prestressed section is
$T_{R d, \text { max }}=2 v f_{c d} A_{k} t_{e f, i} \sin \theta \cos \theta$
where
$v=0.6\left[1-\left(f_{c k} / 250\right)\right]$
$A_{k}=$ area enclosed by the centre lines of connecting walls including the inner hollow area (see Figure 9.1)
$t_{\text {ef, } i}=$ effective wall thickness (see Figure 9.1). It may be taken as $A / u$ but should not be taken as less than twice the distance between edge (the outside face of the member) and centre of the longitudinal reinforcement. For hollow sections the real thickness is an upper limit
$\theta=$ angle of the compression strut


Fig. 6.11

Figure 9.1
Notations used in Section 9

The torsional capacity of a solid rectangular section with shear reinforcement on the outer periphery $T_{\text {Rd,max }}$ may be deduced from the general expression:
$T_{R d, \max }=2 v f_{c d} k_{2} b^{3} \sin \theta \cos \theta$
where
$k_{2}=$ coefficient obtained from Table 9.1
$b=$ breadth of the section ( $<h$, depth of section)

Table 9.1
Values of $\boldsymbol{k}_{2}$

| $h / b$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $k_{2}$ | 0.141 | 0.367 | 0.624 | 0.864 |

Torsional resistance governed by the area of closed links is given by:
$\left.T_{\mathrm{Rd}}=A_{\mathrm{sw}} / s\right)=T_{\mathrm{Ed}} /\left(2 A_{\mathrm{k}} \cot \theta\right) f_{\mathrm{ywd}}$
where

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{s w} & =\text { area of link reinforcement } \\
f_{y w, d} & =\text { design strength of the link reinforcement } \\
s & =\text { spacing of links }
\end{aligned}
$$

Additional longitudinal reinforcement distributed around the periphery of the section should be provided and the area of this reinforcement should be obtained from the following expression:
$\sum A_{\mathrm{sl}}=T_{\mathrm{Ed}} u_{\mathrm{k}} \cot \theta /\left(f_{\mathrm{yd}} 2 A_{\mathrm{k}}\right)=\left(A_{\mathrm{sw}} / \mathrm{s}\right) u_{\mathrm{k}} \cot ^{2} \theta$
where
$T_{\text {Ed }}=$ applied design torsion
$u_{\mathrm{k}}=$ perimeter of the area $A_{\mathrm{k}}$ Assuming $f_{y d}=f_{\text {ywd }}$

### 9.3 Combined torsion and shear

In solid sections the following relationship should be satisfied:
$\left(T_{\mathrm{Ed}} / T_{\mathrm{Rd}, \max }\right)+\left(V_{\mathrm{Ed}} / V_{\mathrm{Rd}, \max }\right) \leq 1.0$
where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{R d, \text { max }}=2 v f_{\mathrm{cd}} A_{\mathrm{k}} t_{\mathrm{ef,i}} \sin \theta \cos \theta \text { as in Section 9.2. } \\
& V_{\mathrm{Rd}, \text { max }}=v_{\mathrm{w}} z v f_{\mathrm{cd}}(\cot \theta+\cot \alpha) /\left(1+\cot ^{2} \theta\right) \text { as in Section 7.3.2 }
\end{aligned}
$$

## 10 Serviceability

### 10.1 Introduction

The common serviceability limit states considered are:

- Stress limitation.
- Crack control.
- Deflection control.

For the UK, explicit checks on concrete stresses at serviceability are not normally required, unless lower values for partial factor $\gamma_{c}$ than those shown in Section 2, Table 2.3 are used. Similarly, the steel stress need not be checked unless the values for $\gamma_{s}$ are smaller than those indicated.

In compression members, the provision of links in accordance with detailing rules ensures that there is no significant longitudinal cracking.

Cracking and deflection may be verified by either following calculation procedures or by observing the rules for bar diameters and bar spacing and span-to-effective-depth ratios. This publication does not consider calculation methods.

### 10.2 Control of cracking

Cracks may be limited to acceptable widths by the following measures:

- Provide a minimum amount of reinforcement, so that the reinforcement does not yield immediately upon formation of the first crack (see Section 10.3).
Where restraint is the main cause of cracking, limit the bar diameter to that shown in Table 10.1. In this case any level of steel stress may be chosen but the chosen value must then be used in the calculation of $A_{s, \text { min }}$ and the size of the bar should be limited as shown.
Where loading is the main cause of cracking, limit the bar diameter to that shown in Table 10.1 or limit the bar spacing to that shown in Table 10.2.

When using either table the steel stress should be calculated on the basis of a cracked section under the relevant combination of actions.

In the absence of specific requirements (e.g. water-tightness), the limiting calculated crack width $w_{\max }$ may be restricted to 0.3 mm in all exposure classes under quasi-permanent load combinations. In the absence of specific requirements for appearance, this limit may be relaxed

In building structures subjected to bending without significant axial tension, specific measures to control cracking are not necessary where the overall depth of the member does not exceed 200 mm .

Table 10.1
Maximum bar diameters for crack control

| Steel stress (MPa) | Maximum bar size (mm) for crack widths of |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.4 mm | 0.3 mm | 0.2 mm |
| 160 | 40 | 32 | 25 |
| 200 | 32 | 25 | 16 |
| 240 | 20 | 16 | 12 |
| 280 | 16 | 12 | 8 |
| 320 | 12 | 10 | 6 |
| 360 | 10 | 8 | 5 |
| 400 | 8 | 6 | 4 |
| 450 | 6 | 5 | - |
| Note <br> Table assumptions include $c_{\text {nom }}=25 \mathrm{~mm}$ and $f_{\text {ct,eff }}\left(=f_{c t m}\right)=2.9 \mathrm{MPa}$ |  |  |  |

Table 10.2
Maximum bar spacing for crack control

| Steel stress (MPa) | Maximum bar spacing (mm) for maximum crack widths of |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.4 mm | 0.3 mm | 0.2 mm |
| 160 | 300 | 300 | 200 |
| 200 | 300 | 250 | 150 |
| 240 | 250 | 200 | 100 |
| 280 | 200 | 150 | 50 |
| 320 | 150 | 100 | - |
| 360 | 100 | 50 | - |
| Note <br> Table assumptions include $c_{\text {nom }}=25 \mathrm{~mm}$ and $f_{\mathrm{ct}, \text { eff }}\left(=f_{\mathrm{ctm}}\right)=2.9 \mathrm{MPa}$ |  |  |  |

### 10.3 Minimum reinforcement areas of main bars

If crack control is required, the minimum area of reinforcement in tensile zones should be calculated for each part (flanges, web, etc.) as follows:
$A_{s, \text { min }}=k_{c} k f_{c t, \text { eff }} A_{c t} / \sigma_{s}$
where
$k_{c}=$ a coefficient to allow for the nature of the stress distribution within the section immediately prior to cracking and for the change of the lever arm as a result of cracking
$=1.0$ for pure tension and 0.4 for pure bending
$k=$ a coefficient to allow for the effect of non-uniform self-equilibrating stresses, which lead to a reduction of restraint forces
$=1.0$ for web heights or flange widths $\leq 300 \mathrm{~mm}$ and $k=0.65$ when these dimensions exceed 800 mm . For intermediate conditions interpolation may be used
$f_{c t, \text { eff }}=$ mean value of the tensile strength of concrete effective at the time cracks may be first expected to occur at the appropriate age. $f_{c t, e f f}=f_{c t, m}$ (see Table 3.1)
$A_{c t}=$ area of concrete in that part of the section which is calculated to be in the tension zone i.e. in tension just before the formation of the first crack
$\sigma_{s}=$ absolute value of the maximum stress permitted in the reinforcement immediately after the formation of the crack. The value should be chosen bearing in mind the limits on bar size and spacing indicated in Tables 10.1 and 10.2
See also Section 12.2.1.

### 10.4 Minimum area of shear reinforcement

### 10.4.1 Beams

The minimum area of shear reinforcement in beams $A_{\text {sw,min }}$ should be calculated from the following expression:
$A_{s w, \min } /\left(s b_{\mathrm{w}} \sin \alpha\right) \geq 0.08 f_{c k} 0.5 / f_{\mathrm{yk}}$
where
$s=$ longitudinal spacing of the shear reinforcement
$b_{w}=$ breadth of the web member
$\alpha=$ angle of the shear reinforcement to the longitudinal axis of the member. For vertical links $\sin \alpha=1.0$.

### 10.4.2 Flat slabs

In slabs where punching shear reinforcement is required, the minimum area of a link leg, $A_{\text {sw,min }}$ should be calculated from the following expression:
$A_{\mathrm{sw}, \min }(1.5 \sin \alpha+\cos \alpha) /\left(s_{\mathrm{r}} s_{\mathrm{t}}\right) \geq 0.08 f_{\mathrm{ck}} 0.5 / f_{\mathrm{yk}}$
where
$s_{r}$ and $s_{t}=$ spacing of shear reinforcement in radial and tangential directions respectively (see Figure 12.5)

### 10.5 Control of deflection

### 10.5.1 General

The deflection of reinforced concrete building structures will normally be satisfactory if the beams and slabs are sized using the span-to-effective-depth ratios. More sophisticated methods (as discussed in TR58 [23]) are perfectly acceptable but are beyond the scope of this publication.

### 10.5.2 Basic span-to-effective-depth ratios

Basic span-to-effective-depth ratios are given in Table 10.3.
This table has been drawn up on the assumption that the structure will be subject to its design loads only when the concrete has attained the strength assumed in design, $f_{\mathrm{ck}}$. If the structure is to be loaded before the concrete attains $f_{c k}$, then a more detailed appraisal should be undertaken to take into account the loading and the strength of the concrete at the time of loading.

Table 10.3 is subject to the following conditions of use:
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### 7.4.2(2)

Exp. (7.16a)

Exp. (7.16b)
where
//d = limit span-to-effective-depth
$K=$ factor to take into account different structural systems
$\rho_{0}=$ reference reinforcement ratio $=f_{c k} 0.5 / 1000$
$\rho=$ required tension reinforcement ratio $=A_{\mathrm{s}, \text { req }} / b_{\mathrm{d}}$ For flanged sections, $\rho$ should be based on an area of concrete above centroid of tension steel
$\rho^{\prime} \quad=$ required compression reinforcement ratio $=A_{\mathrm{s} 2} / b_{\mathrm{d}}$
When the area of steel provided $A_{s, \text { prov }}$ is in excess of the area required by calculation $A_{s, \text { req }}$, the table values should be multiplied by $310 / \sigma_{\mathrm{s}}=\left(500 / f_{\mathrm{yk}}\right)\left(A_{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{prov}} / A_{\mathrm{s}, \text { req }}\right) \leq 1.5$ where $\sigma_{s}=$ tensile stress in reinforcement in midspan (or at support of cantilever) under SLS design loads in MPa.
■ In flanged beams where $b_{\text {eff }} / b_{w}$ is greater than 3 , the table values should be multiplied by 0.80. For values of $b_{\text {eff }} / b_{w}$ between 1.0 and 3.0 linear interpolation should be used.The span-to-effective depth ratio should be based on the shorter span in two-way spanning slabs and the longer span in flat slabs.

- When brittle partitions liable to be damaged by excessive deflections are supported on a slab, the table values should be modified as follows:
a) in flat slabs in which the longer span is greater than 8.5 m , the table values should be multiplied by $8.5 / l_{\text {eff }}$ and
b) in beams and other slabs with spans in excess of 7 m , the table values should be multiplied by $7 / /_{\text {eff }}$.

Table 10.3
Basic ratios of span-to-effective-depth, $/ / d$, for members without axial compression

| Structural system |  | $K$ | Highly stressed concrete $\rho=1.5 \%$ | Lightly stressed concrete $\rho=0.5 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beams | Slabs |  |  |  |
| Simply supported | One- or two-way spanning slabs simply supported | 1.0 | 14 | 20 |
| End span of continuous beams | End span of one-way spanning continuous slabs or two-way spanning slabs continuous over one long edge | 1.3 | 18 | 26 |
| Interior spans of continuous beams | Interior spans of continuous slabs | 1.5 | 20 | 30 |
| N/A | Flat slab (based on longer span) | 1.2 | 17 | 24 |
| Cantilever | Cantilever | 0.4 | 6 | 8 |

## 11 Detailing - general requirements

### 11.1 General

These requirements for detailing apply to ribbed reinforcement and welded mesh used in structures subject predominantly to static loading.

The rules apply to single bars and bundled bars for which an equivalent diameter $\phi_{\mathrm{n}}=\phi\left(n_{\mathrm{b}}\right) 0.5$ should be used in the calculations. In this Expression, $n_{b}$ is the number of bars in the bundle. A value for $n_{\mathrm{b}}$ should be limited to four vertical bars in compression and in lapped joints, and to three in all other cases. The value of $\phi_{\mathrm{n}}$ should be less than or equal to 55 mm .

The clear distance between (and the cover to) bundled bars should be measured from the actual external contour of the bundled bars. Bars are allowed to touch one another at laps and they need not be treated as bundled bars under these conditions.

### 11.2 Spacing of bars

Bar spacing should be such that concrete can be placed and compacted satisfactorily for the development of bond.

The clear distance between individual bars and between horizontal layers of bars should not be less than the bar diameter, the aggregate size +5 mm , or 20 mm , whichever is the greatest.

Where bars are in a number of layers, bars in each layer should be located above each other. The spacing between the resulting columns of bars should be sufficient to allow access for vibrators to give good compaction.

### 11.3 Mandrel sizes for bent bars

The diameter to which a bar is bent should be such as to avoid damage to the reinforcement and crushing of concrete inside the bend of the bar. To avoid damage to reinforcement the mandrel size is as follows:
$4 \phi$ for bar diameter $\phi \leq 16 \mathrm{~mm}$
$7 \phi$ for bar diameter $\phi>16 \mathrm{~mm}$
$20 \phi$ for mesh bent after welding where transverse bar is on or within $4 \phi$ of the bend. Otherwise $4 \phi$ or $7 \phi$ as above. Welding must comply with ISO/FDIS 17660-2[24].

The mandrel diameter $\phi_{\mathrm{m}}$ to avoid crushing of concrete inside the bend need not be checked if:

- Diameters noted above are used; and
- Anchorage of the bar does not require a length more than $5 \phi$ past the end of the bend; and $\square$ The bar is not positioned at an edge and there is a cross bar (of diameter $\geq \phi$ ) inside the bend. Otherwise the following minimum mandrel diameter $\phi_{\mathrm{m}}$ should be used:

$$
\phi_{\mathrm{m}} \geq F_{\mathrm{bt}}\left(\left(1 / a_{\mathrm{b}}\right)+(1 /(2 \phi)) / f_{\mathrm{cd}}\right.
$$

where
$F_{\mathrm{bt}}=$ tensile force in the bar at the start of the bend caused by ultimate loads
$a_{b}=$ half the centre to centre spacing of bars (perpendicular to the plane of the bend). For bars adjacent to the face of the member, $a_{\mathrm{b}}=$ cover $+0.5 \phi$
$f_{c d}=\alpha_{c c} f_{c k} / \gamma_{c}$
where
$\alpha_{c c}=1.0$ (treated as a local bearing stress)
$f_{c k}=$ characteristic cylinder strength. Note $f_{c k}$ is limited to 50 MPa

### 11.4 Anchorage of bars

### 11.4.1 General

All reinforcement should be so anchored that the forces in them are safely transmitted to the surrounding concrete by bond without causing cracks or spalling. The common methods of anchorage of longitudinal bars and links are shown in Figures 11.1 and 11.2.


Figure 11.1
Methods of anchorage other than by a straight bar


Figure 11.2
Anchorage of links

### 11.4.2 Design anchorage length $l_{\text {bd }}$

The design anchorage length $l_{\text {bd }}$ for the shape shown in Figure 11.1a, may be taken as
$l_{\mathrm{bd}}=\alpha l_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{rqd}} \geq l_{\mathrm{b}, \min }$
where
$\alpha=1.0$ generally. Otherwise, and less conservatively $\alpha=\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \alpha_{3} \alpha_{4} \alpha_{5}$
where
$\alpha_{1}=$ factor dealing with the shape of bar
$=0.7$ for bent bars in tension where $c_{d}>3 \phi$, where $c_{d}$ is defined in Figure 11.3
$=1.0$ otherwise for bars in tension
$=1.0$ for bars in compression
$\alpha_{2}=$ factor dealing with concrete cover
$=1-0.15\left(c_{d}-\phi\right) / \phi \geq 0.7$ for straight bars in tension but $\leq 1.0$
$=1-0.15\left(c_{d}-3 \phi\right) / \phi \geq 0.7$ for bent bars in tension but $\leq 1.0$
$=1.0$ otherwise for bars in tension
$=1.0$ for bars in compression
$\alpha_{3}=$ factor dealing with confinement
$=1.0$ generally
$\alpha_{4}=$ factor dealing with influence of welded transverse bars
$=0.7$ for a welded transverse bar conforming with Figure 11.1e
$=1.0$ otherwise
$\alpha_{5}=$ factor dealing with pressure transverse to the plane of splitting
= 1.0 generally
$l_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{rad}}=$ basic anchorage length (see Section 11.4.3)
$l_{b, \min }=$ the minimum anchorage length
$=$ maximum of $\left\{0.3 l_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{rad}} ; 10 \phi ; 100 \mathrm{~mm}\right\}$ in tension bars; and
$=$ maximum of $\left\{0.6 l_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{rqd}} ; 10 \phi ; 100 \mathrm{~mm}\right\}$ in compression bars.

a) Straight bars $c_{d}=$ minimum of $a / 2, c$ or $c_{1}$

## Note

$c$ and $c_{1}$ are taken to be nominal covers

b) Bent or hooked bars $c_{d}=$ minimum of $a / 2$ or $c_{1}$

Figure 11.3
Values of $c_{d}$ for beams and slabs

### 11.4.3 Basic anchorage length $l_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{rqd}}$

$l_{\text {b,rqd }}=$ basic anchorage length required $=(\phi / 4)\left(\sigma_{\text {sd }} / f_{\text {bd }}\right)$
where

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\phi & =\text { diameter of the bar } \\
\sigma_{\text {sd }} & =\text { design stress in the bar at the ultimate limit state } \\
f_{\text {bd }} & =\text { ultimate bond stress (see Section } 11.5 \text { ) }
\end{array}
$$

The anchorage length should be measured along the centre line of the bar in bent bars.

### 11.4.4 Equivalent anchorage length $l_{b, e q}$

As a simplification

- For the shapes shown in Figure 11.1 b ) to d) an equivalent anchorage length $l_{\mathrm{b}, \text { eq }}$ may be used where $l_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{eq}}=\alpha_{1} l_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{rqd}}$.
For the arrangement shown in Figure 11.1e $l_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{eq}}=\alpha_{4} l_{\mathrm{b}, \text { req }}$.


### 11.5 Ultimate bond stress

The ultimate bond stress

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{\mathrm{bd}}=2.25 \eta_{1} \eta_{2} f_{\mathrm{ct}, \mathrm{~d}} \\
& \text { where } \\
& \eta_{1}= 1.0 \text { for 'good' bond conditions (see Figure } 11.4 \text { for definition) and } 0.7 \text { for all } \\
& \text { other conditions (which includes elements built using slipforms) } \\
& \eta_{2}= 1.0 \text { for bar diameter } \leq 32 \text { mm and }(132-\phi) / 100 \text { for bar diameter }>32 \mathrm{~mm} . \\
& f_{\mathrm{ct}, \mathrm{~d}}=\left(\alpha_{\mathrm{ct}} f_{\mathrm{ct}, \mathrm{k}} / \gamma_{\mathrm{c}}\right) \text { is the design value of tensile strength using the value of } f_{\mathrm{ct}, \mathrm{k}} \\
& \quad \text { obtained from Table } 3.1 \text { and } \alpha_{\mathrm{ct}}=1.0
\end{aligned}
$$


a) $45^{\circ} \leq \alpha \leq 90^{\circ}$


## b) $\boldsymbol{h} \leq \mathbf{2 5 0} \mathrm{mm}$


c) $\boldsymbol{h} \boldsymbol{>} \mathbf{2 5 0} \mathrm{mm}$

d) $\boldsymbol{h} \boldsymbol{>} \mathbf{6 0 0} \mathrm{mm}$

Key
Unhatched zones - 'good' bond conditions
Hatched zones - 'poor' bond conditions

Figure 11.4
Description of bond conditions

### 11.6 Laps

### 11.6.1 General

Forces are transmitted from one bar to another by lapping, welding or using mechanical devices.

Laps of bars in a member should be staggered and not located in areas of high stress. Bars in compression and secondary reinforcement may be lapped at one place.

### 11.6.2 Lapping bars

Laps of bars should be arranged as shown in Figure 11.5.

The design lap length $l_{0}$ may be conservatively taken as:
$l_{0}=\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{6} l_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{rqd}} \geq l_{0, \text { min }}$
where
$\alpha_{1}=$ factor dealing with the shape of bar (see Section 11.4.2)
$\alpha_{6}=$ factor dealing with \% of reinforcement lapped
$=\left(\rho_{1} / 25\right)^{0.5} \leq 0.5($ see Table 11.1)
where
$\rho_{1}=$ percentage of reinforcement lapped within $0.65 l_{0}$ from the centre line of the lap being considered

Table 11.1
Values of coefficient $\alpha_{6}$


Fig. 8.7

Figure 11.5
Arranging adjacent lapping bars

### 11.6.3 Lapping fabric

Laps of fabric should be arranged as shown in Figure 11.6.

When fabric reinforcement is lapped by layering, the following should be noted:

- Calculated stress in the lapped reinforcement should not be more than $80 \%$ of the design strength; if not, the moment of resistance should be based on the effective depth to the layer furthest from the tension face and the calculated steel stress should be increased by $25 \%$ for the purposes of crack control.
- Permissible percentage of fabric main reinforcement that may be lapped in any section is $100 \%$ if $\left(A_{s} / s\right) \leq 1200 \mathrm{~mm}^{2} / \mathrm{m}$ (where $s$ is the spacing of bars) and $60 \%$ if $A_{s} / \mathrm{s}>1200 \mathrm{~mm}^{2} / \mathrm{m}$.
- All secondary reinforcement may be lapped at the same location and the minimum lap length $l_{0, \text { min }}$ for layered fabric is as follows:
$\geq 150 \mathrm{~mm}$ for $\phi \leq 6 \mathrm{~mm}$
$\geq 250 \mathrm{~mm}$ for $6 \mathrm{~mm}<\phi<8.5 \mathrm{~mm}$
$\geq 350 \mathrm{~mm}$ for $8.5 \mathrm{~mm}<\phi<12 \mathrm{~mm}$
There should generally be at least two bar pitches within the lap length. This could be reduced to one bar pitch for $\phi \leq 6 \mathrm{~mm}$.

b) Layered fabric (longitudinal section)

Figure 11.6
Lapping of welded fabric

### 11.6.4 Transverse reinforcement

Transverse reinforcement to resist the tension forces generated in the lap zone should be provided.
Where the diameter of the lapped bar is less than 20 mm or if the percentage of reinforcement lapped at a section is less than $25 \%$, links and transverse reinforcement provided for other purposes may be deemed adequate.

When the above conditions do not apply, transverse reinforcement should be provided as shown in Figure 11.7. Where more than $50 \%$ of bars are lapped at one section and the spacing between adjacent laps (dimension a in Figure 11.5) < 10 $\phi$, the transverse reinforcement should be in the form of links or $U$ bars anchored into the body of the section.

In Figure 11.7, the total area of transverse reinforcement at laps $\sum A_{s t}>A_{s}$ of one lapped bar.

a) Bars in tension

b) Bars in compression

Fig. 8.9

Figure 11.7
Transverse
reinforcement for lapped splices

### 11.6.5 Lapping large bars

For bars larger than 40 mm in diameter the following additional requirements apply:

- Bars should generally be anchored using mechanical devices. Where anchored as straight bars, links should be provided as confining reinforcement.
Bars should not be lapped except in section with a minimum dimension of 1 m or where the stress is not greater than $80 \%$ of the ultimate strength.
- In the absence of transverse compression, transverse reinforcement, in addition to that required for other purposes, should be provided in the anchorage zone at spacing not exceeding 5 times the diameter of the longitudinal bar. The arrangement should comply with Figure 11.8.


Figure 11.8
Additional
reinforcement in an anchorage for large diameter bars where there is no transverse compression

## 12 Detailing - particular requirements

### 12.1 General

This section gives particular requirements for detailing of structural elements. These are in addition to those outlined in Sections 10 and 11. The member types covered here are beams, slabs, columns and walls.

### 12.2 Beams

### 12.2.1 Longitudinal bars

The minimum area of longitudinal reinforcement $A_{\mathrm{s}, \text { min }}$ is given in Table 12.1 and by:
$A_{\text {s,min }}=0.26\left(f_{c t m} / f_{y k}\right) b_{\mathrm{t}} d \geq 0.0013 b_{\mathrm{t}} d$
where
$f_{\text {ctm }}=$ mean axial tensile strength (see Table 3.1)
$f_{y k}=$ characteristic yield strength of reinforcement
$b_{t}=$ mean breadth of the tension zone
$d=$ effective depth
Outside lap locations the maximum area of tension or compression reinforcement is $0.04 A_{c}$.
All longitudinal compression reinforcement should be held by transverse reinforcement with spacing not greater than 15 times the diameter of the longitudinal bar.

Table 12.1
Minimum area of longitudinal reinforcement as a proportion of $\boldsymbol{b}_{\boldsymbol{t}} \boldsymbol{d}$

| Strength <br> class | $C 12 / 15$ | C16/20 | C20/25 | C25/30 | C30/37 | C35/45 | C40/50 | C45/55 | C50/60 | C28/35 | C32/40 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $A_{\text {s.min as a }}$ <br> $\%$ of $b_{\text {d }}$ | 0.130 | 0.130 | 0.130 | 0.135 | 0.151 | 0.166 | 0.182 | 0.198 | 0.213 | 0.146 | 0.156 |

### 12.2.2 Curtailment

Sufficient reinforcement should be provided at all sections to resist the envelope of the acting tensile force. The resistance of bars within their anchorage lengths may be included assuming linear variation of force, or ignored.

The longitudinal tensile forces in the bars include those arising from bending moments and those from the truss model for shear. As may be seen from Figure 12.1, those forces from the truss model for shear may be accommodated by displacing the location where a bar is no longer required for bending moment by a distance of $a_{1}$ where

```
al = z (cot 0-\operatorname{cot}\alpha)/2
where
\(\theta \quad=\) strut angle used for shear calculations (see Figure 7.3)
\(\alpha \quad=\) angle of the shear reinforcement to the longitudinal axis (see Figure 7.3)
```

For all but high shear $\cot \theta=2.5$; for vertical links $\cot \alpha=0$; so generally $a_{\mid}=1.25$ z.


Fig. 9.2

Figure 12.1
Illustration of the curtailment of longitudinal reinforcement, taking into account the effect of inclined cracks and the resistance of reinforcement within anchorage lengths

### 12.2.3 Top reinforcement in end supports

In monolithic construction, supports should be designed for bending moment arising from partial fixity, even if simple supports have been assumed in design. The bending moment should be taken as $25 \%$ of the maximum bending design moment in span.
9.2.1.2(1)
\& NA

### 12.2.4 Bottom reinforcement in end supports

Where there is little or no fixity at an end support, bottom reinforcement with an area of at least $25 \%$ of the area of the steel in the span should be provided. The bars should be anchored to resist a force, $F_{\mathrm{E}}$, of
$F_{\mathrm{E}}=\left(\left|V_{\mathrm{Ed}}\right| a_{\mathrm{l}} / z\right)+N_{\mathrm{Ed}}$
where
$\left|V_{E d}\right|=$ absolute value of shear force
$N_{\text {Ed }}=$ axial force if present and $a_{l}$ is as defined in Section 12.2.2

The anchorage should be measured from the line of contact between the beam and the support.

### 12.2.5 Intermediate supports

At intermediate supports, the tension reinforcement may be spread over $b_{\text {eff }}$ (as defined in Figure 5.2).

### 12.2.6 Shear reinforcement

Where a combination of links and bent up bars is used as shear reinforcement, at least $50 \%$ of the reinforcement required should be in the form of links. The longitudinal spacing of shear assemblies should not exceed $0.75 d(1+\cot \alpha)$, where $\alpha$ is the inclination of the shear reinforcement to the longitudinal axis of the beam. The transverse spacing of the legs of shear links should not exceed $0.75 \mathrm{~d} \leq 600 \mathrm{~mm}$.

### 12.2.7 Torsion reinforcement

Where links are required for torsion, they should comply with the anchorage shown in Figure 12.2. The maximum longitudinal spacing of the torsion links $s_{l, \text { max }}$ should be:
$s_{l, \text { max }} \leq$ minimum of $\{u / 8 ; 0.75 d(1+\cot \alpha) ; h ; b\}$
where
$u=$ circumference of outer edge of effective cross section (see Figure 9.1)
$d=$ effective depth of beam
$h=$ height of beam
$b=$ breadth of beam
The longitudinal bars required for torsion should be arranged such that there is at least one bar at each corner with the others being distributed uniformly around the inner periphery of the links at a spacing not exceeding 350 mm .

a1)

a2)
a) Recommended shapes

a3)

b) Shape not recommended

## Note

The second alternative for a2) should have a full lap length along the top

Figure 12.2
Examples of shapes for torsion links

### 12.2.8 Indirect supports

Where a beam is supported by another beam, adequate reinforcement should be provided to transfer the reaction. Where the loads are hung, this reinforcement, which is additional to other reinforcement, should be in the form of links surrounding the principal reinforcement of the supporting member. Some of these links may be placed outside the volume of concrete common to the two beams. See Figure 12.3.


Fig. 9.7

Figure 12.3
Plan section showing supporting reinforcement in the intersection zone of two beams

### 12.3 One-way and two-way spanning slabs

### 12.3.1 Main reinforcement

The minimum area of main reinforcement is given in Table 12.1 and, as before, is derived from the expression:

$$
A_{\mathrm{s}, \min }=0.26\left(f_{\mathrm{ctm}} / f_{\mathrm{yk}}\right) b_{\mathrm{t}} d \geq 0.0013 b_{\mathrm{t}} d
$$

Outside lap locations the maximum area of tension or compression reinforcement is $0.04 A_{c}$
All compression reinforcement should be held by transverse reinforcement with spacing not greater than 15 times the diameter of the main bar.

The spacing of main reinforcement should generally not exceed $3 h$ (but not greater than

```
9.3.1.1(1)
9.2.1(1)
```


### 12.3.2 Secondary (distribution) reinforcement

The area of secondary reinforcement should not be less than $20 \%$ of the main reinforcement.
The spacing of secondary reinforcement should generally not exceed $3.5 h$ (but not greater than 450 mm ). In areas of maximum bending moment or local to concentrated load the spacing should be reduced to 3 h (but not greater than 400 mm ).

### 12.3.3 Reinforcement near supports

In simply supported slabs $50 \%$ of the reinforcement in the span should continue up to the support. The remaining bars should be anchored to resist a force of

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\left|V_{\mathrm{Ed}}\right| a_{l} / z\right)+N_{\mathrm{Ed}} \\
& \text { where } \\
& \left|V_{\mathrm{Ed}}\right|=\text { the absolute value of the shear force } \\
& a_{l}=d \text {, where the slab is not reinforced for shear. (If reinforced for shear use } a_{l} \text { for beams) } \\
& z=\text { lever arm of internal forces } \\
& N_{\mathrm{Ed}}=\text { the axial force if present }
\end{aligned}
$$

The anchorage should be measured from the line of contact between the beam and the support.

When longitudinal bars are curtailed, longitudinal tensile forces arising both from bending moment and from the truss model for shear should be considered. This can be achieved by displacing the location where a bar is no longer required for bending moment by a distance $a_{l}$ as defined above.

Where any partial fixity exists along the edge of a slab but is not taken into account in design, top reinforcement capable of resisting at least $25 \%$ of the maximum moment in the adjacent span should be provided and it should extend at least 0.2 times the length of the adjacent span. At end supports this moment may be reduced to $15 \%$.

### 12.3.4 Shear reinforcement

Shear reinforcement should not be relied upon in slabs with a depth of less than 200 mm . Where shear reinforcement is provided the rules for beams should be followed.

### 12.4 Flat slabs

### 12.4.1 Details at internal columns

Irrespective of the division of flat slabs into column strips and middle strips (see Section 5.7) top reinforcement with an area of $0.5 A_{\text {t }}$ should be placed over the column in a width equal to the sum of 0.125 times the panel width on either side of the column. $A_{t}$ is the area of reinforcement required to resist the sum of the negative moments in the two half panels on either side of the column. At least two bottom bars passing through the column should be provided in each orthogonal direction.

### 12.4.2 Details at edge and corner columns

Reinforcement perpendicular to the free edge required for the transfer of bending moments between the slab and the column should be placed within the effective width $b_{\mathrm{e}}$ shown in Figure 12.4.

As far as possible, at least two bottom bars passing through the column should be provided in each orthogonal direction. See also Section 13.4.

### 12.4.3 Punching shear reinforcement

Where punching shear reinforcement is required, it should generally be placed between the loaded area and 1.5 d inside the outer control perimeter at which reinforcement is no longer required, $u_{\text {out }}$.

The tangential spacing of link legs should not exceed $1.5 d$ along the first control perimeter, $u_{1}$, at $2 d$ from the loaded area (see Figure 8.3). Beyond the first control perimeter the spacing should not exceed $2 d$ (see Figure 12.5). For non-rectangular layouts see Figure 8.10.

The intention is to provide an even distribution/density of punching shear reinforcement within the zone where it is required. One simplification to enable rectangular perimeters of shear reinforcement is to use an intensity of $A_{s w} / u_{1}$ around rectangular perimeters.

If the perimeter at which reinforcement is no longer required is less than $3 d$ from the face of the loaded area, the shear reinforcement should be placed in the zone 0.3 d and 1.5 d from the face of the loaded area. It should be provided in at least two perimeters of links, with the radial spacing of link legs not exceeding 0.75d. See Figure 12.6.


Figure 12.4
Effective width, $b_{e}$, of a flat slab


Figure 12.5
Layout of flat slab shear reinforcement


Figure 12.6
Section A - A from Figure 12.5: spacing of punching shear reinforcing links

### 12.5 Columns

```
9.5.2
\& NA
```


### 12.5.1 Longitudinal reinforcement

The diameter of bars should not be less than 12 mm .
The minimum area of longitudinal reinforcement, $A_{s, \text { min }}$, is given by:
$A_{\mathrm{s}, \text { min }} \geq$ maximum $\left(0.1 N_{\mathrm{Ed}} / f_{\mathrm{yd}} ; 0.002 A_{\mathrm{c}}\right)$
where
$N_{\mathrm{Ed}}=$ axial force
$f_{\text {yd }}=$ design yield strength of reinforcement
$A_{\mathrm{C}}=$ cross sectional area of concrete

The area of reinforcement should not generally exceed $0.04 A_{c}$ outside laps and $0.08 A_{c}$ at laps. The practical upper limit should be set by taking into account the ability to place and compact the concrete.

### 12.5.2 Transverse reinforcement (links)

All longitudinal bars should be held by adequately anchored transverse reinforcement. The number of transverse links in a cross section should be such that there is no longitudinal bar further than 150 mm from a restrained bar.

The diameter of bars should not be less than 6 mm or one quarter of the diameter of the longitudinal bars, whichever is greater.

The spacing of transverse reinforcement should be the least of
a) 20 times the diameter of the longitudinal bar or
b) the lesser dimension of the column or
c) 400 mm .

The spacing should be reduced to $60 \%$ of the above value
a) for a distance equal to the larger column dimension above and below a beam or slab; and
b) over the lap length of bars larger than 14 mm . (Note: a minimum of three transverse bars should be used.)

Where the longitudinal bars are cranked at an inclination greater than 1 in 12 , the spacing of transverse reinforcement should be calculated taking into account the transverse forces induced.

### 12.6 Walls

### 12.6.1 Vertical reinforcement

Vertical reinforcement should be provided with a minimum area of $0.002 A_{c}$ and a maximum of $0.04 A_{c}$ outside laps and $0.08 A_{c}$ at laps.

The spacing between the bars should not exceed 3 times the wall thickness or 400 mm , whichever is less.

### 12.6.2 Horizontal reinforcement

Horizontal reinforcement parallel to the faces of the wall should be provided with a minimum area equal to either $25 \%$ of the vertical reinforcement or $0.001 A_{c^{\prime}}$, whichever is greater. Early age thermal and shrinkage effects should be considered when crack control is important.

The spacing of the bars should not exceed 400 mm .

### 12.6.3 Transverse reinforcement

In any part of a wall where the area of the vertical reinforcement exceeds $0.02 A_{c}$, transverse reinforcement in the form of links should be provided in accordance with the rules for columns.

Transverse reinforcement is also required when the vertical bars form the outer layer of reinforcement. At least four links per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ of wall should be provided. This does not apply to welded mesh or for main bars $\leq 16 \mathrm{~mm}$ with a cover of twice the bar diameter.

### 12.7 Pile caps

The pile caps should be sized taking into account the expected deviation of the pile on site and should be such that the tie forces can be properly anchored.

The pile caps should be designed by modelling them as flexural members or as comprising struts and ties. The main tensile reinforcement should be concentrated in stress zones between the tops of the piles, within $1.5 D$ of the centreline of the piles (where $D$ is pile diameter). The minimum diameter of bars should be 8 mm .

Normally a cage of evenly distributed reinforcement should be provided on all faces of the pile cap.

### 12.8 Bored piles

Bored piles not exceeding 600 mm in diameter should have the minimum reinforcement shown in Table 12.2. A minimum of six longitudinal bars with diameter of at least 16 mm should be provided with a maximum spacing of 200 mm around the periphery of the pile. The detailing should comply with BS EN 1536[25].

Table 12.2
Longitudinal reinforcement in cast-in-place bored piles

| Area of cross section of the pile $\left(\boldsymbol{A}_{\boldsymbol{c}}\right)$ | $\boldsymbol{A}_{\mathbf{c}} \leq \mathbf{0 . 5} \mathbf{m}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 5} \mathbf{m}^{\mathbf{2}} \leq \mathbf{1 . 0} \mathbf{m}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\boldsymbol{A}_{\mathbf{c}}>\mathbf{1 . 0} \mathbf{m}^{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Minimum area of longitudinal <br> reinforcement $\left(\boldsymbol{A}_{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{bpmin}}\right)$ | $\geq 0.005 A_{\mathrm{c}}$ | $\geq 25 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ | $\geq 0.0025 A_{c}$ |

## 13 Tying systems

### 13.1 General

This Section should be considered in conjunction with the UK Building Regulations[26] and the relevant Approved Documents, which classify the buildings based on the use of the building and also specify the types of ties that are required in each class. The forces should be considered as accidental loads.

All structures should have a suitable tying system to prevent disproportionate collapse caused by human error or the accidental removal of a member or limited part of the structure or the occurrence of localised damage. This requirement will be satisfied if the following rules are observed.

The structure should have:

- Peripheral ties.
$\square$ Internal ties.
- Horizontal ties at columns/walls.
- Vertical ties.

In the design of ties, reinforcement should be provided to carry the tie forces noted in this Section, assuming that the reinforcement acts at its characteristic strength. Reinforcement provided for other purposes may be regarded as providing part or the whole of the required reinforcement.

All ties should be effectively continuous and be anchored at their ends.

### 13.2 Peripheral ties

At each floor and roof level, an effectively continuous tie should be provided within 1.2 m from the edge. Structures with internal edges (e.g. atria and courtyards) should also have similar peripheral ties.
\& NA

The peripheral tie should be able to resist a tensile force of:

$$
F_{\text {tie,per }}=\left(20+4 n_{0}\right) \mathrm{kN} \leq 60 \mathrm{kN} \text { where } n_{0}=\text { number of storeys }
$$

### 13.3 Internal ties

At each floor and roof level, internal ties should be provided in two directions approximately at right angles.

The internal ties, in whole or in part, may be spread evenly in slabs or may be grouped at or in beams, walls or other positions. If located in walls, the reinforcement should be within 0.5 m of the top or bottom of the floor slabs.

In each direction the tie needs to be able to resist a force, which should be taken as:
$F_{\text {tie,int }}=(1 / 7.5)\left(g_{\mathrm{k}}+q_{\mathrm{k}}\right)\left(l_{\mathrm{r}} / 5\right) F_{\mathrm{t}} \geq F_{\mathrm{t}}$
where
$\left(g_{\mathrm{k}}+q_{\mathrm{k}}\right)=$ average permanent and variable floor actions ( $\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ )
$l_{\mathrm{r}} \quad=$ greater of the distances (in m) between centres of the columns, frames or walls supporting any two adjacent floor spans in the direction of the tie under consideration
$F_{\mathrm{t}} \quad=\left(20+4 n_{0}\right) \leq 60 \mathrm{kN}\left(n_{0}\right.$ is the number of storeys $)$
The maximum spacing of internal ties should be limited to $1.5 l_{\text {r }}$.

### 13.4 Ties to columns and walls

9.10.2.4 \& NA

Columns and walls at the edge and corner of the structure should be tied to each floor and roof. In corner columns and walls ties should be provided in two directions.

The tie should be able to resist a force of:
$F_{\text {tie, fac }}=F_{\text {tie, col }}=\operatorname{maximum}\left(2 F_{\mathrm{t}^{\prime}} ; l_{\mathrm{s}} F_{\mathrm{t}} / 2.5 ; 0.03 N_{\mathrm{Ed}}\right)$
where
$F_{\text {tie,fac }}=$ in $\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}$ run of wall
$F_{\text {tie,col }}=$ in $\mathrm{kN} /$ column.
$F_{\mathrm{t}}=$ defined in Section 13.3 above
$l_{\mathrm{s}}=$ floor to ceiling height (in metres)
$N_{\text {Ed }}=$ total design ultimate vertical load in wall or column at the level considered
Tying of external walls is required only if the peripheral tie is not located within the wall.

### 13.5 Vertical ties

BS EN1992-1-1 requires vertical ties in panel buildings of five storeys or more.

However, relevant current UK Building Regulations require such ties in all buildings that fall into Class 2B and 3 as defined in Section 5 of Approved Document A ${ }^{[27]}$ (see Table 13.1). In all such buildings vertical ties should be provided in columns and/or walls.

Each column and wall carrying vertical load should be tied continuously from the lowest to the highest level. The tie should be capable of resisting the load received by the column or wall from any one storey under accidental design situation i.e. using Exp. (6.11b) in BS EN 1990. See Table 2.2e.

## Where such ties are not provided either:

- The vertical member should be demonstrated for 'non-removability'. Non-removability may be assumed if the element and its connections are capable of withstanding a design action at limit state of $34 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ in any direction over the projected area of the member together with the reactions from attached components, which themselves are subject to a loading of $34 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$. These reactions may be limited to maximum reaction that can be transmitted; or
- Each element should be considered to be removed one at a time and an alternative path demonstrated.

Where a column or wall is supported at its lowest level by an element other than a foundation, alternative load paths should be provided in the event of the accidental loss of this element.

Table 13.1
Building classes from Approved Document A - structure (2004 edition) ${ }^{[27]}$

| Class | Building type and occupancy |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Houses not exceeding 4 storeys <br> Agricultural buildings <br> Buildings into which people rarely go, provided no part of the building is closer to another building, or area where people do go, than a distance of 1.5 times the building height |
| 2A | 5 storey single occupancy houses <br> Hotels not exceeding 4 storeys <br> Flats, apartments and other residential buildings not exceeding 4 storeys <br> Offices not exceeding 4 storeys <br> Industrial buildings not exceeding 3 storeys <br> Retailing premises not exceeding 3 storeys of less than $2000 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ floor area in each storey <br> Single storey educational buildings <br> All buildings not exceeding 2 storeys to which members of the public are admitted and which contain floor areas not exceeding $2000 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ at each storey |
| 2B | Hotels, flats, apartments and other residential buildings greater than 4 storeys but not exceeding 15 storeys <br> Educational buildings greater than 1 storey but not exceeding 15 storeys <br> Retailing premises greater than 3 storeys but not exceeding 15 storeys <br> Hospitals not exceeding 3 storeys <br> Offices greater than 4 storeys but not exceeding 15 storeys <br> All buildings to which members of the public are admitted which contain floor areas exceeding $2000 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ but less than $5000 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ at each storey <br> Car parking not exceeding 6 storeys |
| 3 | All buildings defined above as Class 2A and 2B that exceed the limits on area and/or number of storeys <br> Grandstands accommodating more than 5000 spectators <br> Buildings containing hazardous substances and/or processes |
| Notes <br> 1 For buildings intended for more than one type of use, the class should be that pertaining to the most onerous type. <br> 2 In determining the number of storeys in a building, basement storeys may be excluded provided such basement storeys fulfil the robustness requirements of Class 2B buildings. |  |
|  |  |

## 14 Plain concrete

### 14.1 General

A plain concrete member is one containing no reinforcement. Members in which the reinforcement provided is less than the minimum amounts given in Section 12 should also be treated as plain concrete for the purposes of structural design.

The design compressive strength $f_{c d, p l}=0.6 f_{c k} / \gamma_{c}$ (as shown in Table 14.1).
Generally the design tensile strength $f_{\mathrm{ctd}, \mathrm{pl}}=0.6 f_{\mathrm{ctk}, 0.05} / \gamma_{\mathrm{c}}$ (as shown in Table 14.1).

Table 14.1
Properties of plain concrete ( MPa )

| Strength <br> class (MPa) | C12/15 | C16/20 | C20/25 | C25/30 | C30/37 | C35/45 | C40/50 | C45/55 | C50/60 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\boldsymbol{f}_{\text {ck }}$ | 12 | 16 | 20 | 25 | 30 | 35 | 40 | 45 | 50 |
| $f_{\text {cd.pl }}$ | 4.8 | 6.4 | 8.0 | 10.0 | 12.0 | 14.0 | 16.0 | 18.0 | 20.0 |
| $f_{\text {ctk } 0.05}$ | 1.10 | 1.33 | 1.55 | 1.80 | 2.03 | 2.25 | 2.46 | 2.66 | 2.85 |
| $\boldsymbol{f}_{\text {ctd.pl }}$ | 0.44 | 0.53 | 0.62 | 0.72 | 0.81 | 0.90 | 0.98 | 1.06 | 1.14 |
| $\sigma_{\text {c,lim }}$ | 1.76 | 2.55 | 3.38 | 4.45 | 5.55 | 6.68 | 7.83 | 9.00 | 10.18 |

Note
Table derived from BS EN 1992-1-1 and UK National Annex

The design tensile strength in flexural members may be taken as:
$f_{\mathrm{ctd}, \mathrm{pl}, \mathrm{fl}}=(1.6-\mathrm{h} / 1000) f_{\mathrm{ctd}, \mathrm{pl}} * f_{\mathrm{ctd}, \mathrm{pl}}$
where
$h \quad=$ depth of the member in mm
$f_{\text {ctm }}=$ value in Table 3.1
$f_{\text {ctd, pl }}=$ value in Table 14.1

### 14.2 Bending and axial force

The axial resistance $N_{R d}$ of a rectangular cross section with an eccentricity of load e as shown in Figure 14.1, may be taken as:
$N_{R d}=f_{c d} b h_{w}\left(1-2 e / h_{w}\right)$
where

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
b & =\text { overall width } \\
h_{\mathrm{w}} & =\text { overall depth } \\
e & =\text { eccentricity of } N_{\mathrm{Ed}} \text { in the direction } h_{\mathrm{w}}
\end{array}
$$

The value of $N_{R d}$ given above assumes there is no buckling involved.


Figure 14.1
Notation for plain walls
14.3 Shear resistance

It should be verified that
$\tau_{\mathrm{cp}}=$ shear stress $=1.5 \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{Ed}} / A_{\mathrm{cc}} \leq f_{\mathrm{cvd}}$
where
$A_{\text {cc }}=$ cross sectional area
$V_{\mathrm{Ed}}=$ shear force
$f_{\text {cvd }}=$ concrete design strength in shear and compression and is dependent on the level of axial stress (see Table 14.2)
$=\left(f_{\mathrm{ctd}, \mathrm{pl}}{ }^{2}+\sigma_{\mathrm{cp}} f_{\mathrm{ctd}, \mathrm{pl}}\right)^{0.5}$ when $\sigma_{\mathrm{cp}} \leq \sigma_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{lim}}$
$=\left[f_{c t d, p l}{ }^{2}+\sigma_{c p} f_{c t d, p l}-0.25\left(\sigma_{c p}-\sigma_{c, \text { lim }}\right)^{2}\right] 0.5$ when $\sigma_{\mathrm{cp}}>\sigma_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{lim}}$
where
$\sigma_{\mathrm{cp}}=N_{\mathrm{Ed}} / A_{\mathrm{cc}}$ when $N_{\mathrm{Ed}}=$ normal force
$\sigma_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{lim}}=f_{\mathrm{cd}, \mathrm{pl}}-2\left[f_{\mathrm{ctd}, \mathrm{pl}}\left(f_{\mathrm{ctd}, \mathrm{pl}}+f_{\mathrm{cd}, \mathrm{pl}}\right)\right] 0.5$ (see Table 14.1)

Table 14.2
Shear resistance $f_{\text {cvd }}$ of plain concrete (MPa)

| $\sigma_{\text {cp }}(\mathrm{MPa})$ | $f_{\text {ck }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 12 | 16 | 20 | 25 | 30 | 35 | 40 | 45 | 50 |
| 0.0 | 0.44 | 0.53 | 0.62 | 0.72 | 0.81 | 0.90 | 0.98 | 1.06 | 1.14 |
| 1.0 | 0.80 | 0.90 | 1.00 | 1.11 | 1.21 | 1.31 | 1.40 | 1.48 | 1.56 |
| 2.0 | 1.03 | 1.16 | 1.27 | 1.40 | 1.51 | 1.61 | 1.71 | 1.80 | 1.89 |
| 3.0 | 1.06 | 1.35 | 1.50 | 1.63 | 1.76 | 1.87 | 1.98 | 2.08 | 2.17 |
| 4.0 | 0.84 | 1.38 | 1.66 | 1.84 | 1.98 | 2.10 | 2.21 | 2.32 | 2.42 |
| 5.0 |  | 1.21 | 1.68 | 2.01 | 2.17 | 2.30 | 2.42 | 2.54 | 2.65 |
| 6.0 |  | 0.72 | 1.54 | 2.06 | 2.34 | 2.49 | 2.62 | 2.74 | 2.85 |
| 7.0 |  |  | 1.20 | 1.98 | 2.41 | 2.66 | 2.80 | 2.93 | 3.05 |
| 8.0 |  |  |  | 1.76 | 2.38 | 2.75 | 2.97 | 3.10 | 3.23 |
| 9.0 |  |  |  | 1.34 | 2.23 | 2.75 | 3.08 | 3.27 | 3.40 |
| 10.0 |  |  |  | 0.00 | 1.96 | 2.65 | 3.10 | 3.39 | 3.56 |
| Note <br> Table derived from BS EN 1992-1-1 and National Annex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 14.4 Buckling resistance of columns and walls

### 12.6.5.2(1)

The axial load that can be resisted by a wall with cross section $b h_{w}$ may be taken as:

```
\(N_{\mathrm{Rd}}=b h_{\mathrm{w}} f_{\mathrm{cd}} \phi\)
where
    \(b=\) overall width of cross section
    \(h_{\mathrm{w}}=\) overall depth of cross section
    \(f_{c d}=\) design value of concrete compressive strength
        \(=\alpha_{\mathrm{cc}, \mathrm{p}} f_{\mathrm{ck}} / \gamma_{\mathrm{c}}\)
    where
        \(\alpha_{\mathrm{cc}, \mathrm{pl}}=0.6\)
        \(f_{c k}=\) characteristic cylinder strength
        \(\gamma_{c}=\) partial factor for concrete
    \(\phi=\) factor accounting for eccentricity including second order effects and creep
        \(=1.14\left(1-2 e_{\text {tot }} / h_{w}\right)-0.02\left(l_{0} / h_{w}\right)\)
    where
        \(e_{\text {tot }}=e_{0}+e_{i}\)
        where
            \(e_{0}=\) first order eccentricity caused by floor loads and any horizontal actions
            \(e_{i} \quad=\) additional eccentricity due to geometrical imperfections as defined in
                Section 5.6.2.1
            \(l_{0}=\) effective length of column/wall
            \(=\beta l_{\mathrm{w}}\)
            where
                    \(\beta=\) coefficient obtained from Table 14.3
                    \(I_{w}=\) clear height of the members
```

Table 14.3
The value of $\beta$ for walls with different boundary conditions

| Boundary condition | Wall length/wall height | Coefficient $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Restrained at top and bottom | All values | 1.00 |
| Restrained at top and bottom and along one <br> vertical edge | 0.2 | 0.26 |
|  | 0.4 | 0.59 |
|  | 0.6 | 0.76 |
|  | 0.8 | 0.85 |
| Restrained on all four edges | 1.0 | 0.90 |
|  | 1.5 | 0.95 |
|  | 2.0 | 0.9 |
|  | 0.2 | 1.00 |
|  | 0.4 | 0.10 |
|  | 0.6 | 0.20 |
| Cantilevers | 0.8 | 0.30 |
|  | 1.0 | 0.40 |
|  | 1.5 | 0.50 |
|  | 2.0 | 0.69 |

Table 14.3 is valid for walls where:

- There are no openings.
- The height of openings does not exceed $33 \%$ of the height of the wall.
- The area of openings does exceed $10 \%$ of the area of the wall.

If the conditions for the openings are not satisfied, the wall should be considered as restrained at top and bottom only.

The slenderness ratio $\lambda=l_{0} / i$ should not exceed 86 , where $i=$ radius of gyration. For rectangular sections $i=h_{w} / 3.46$ (i.e. $I_{0} / h_{w}$ should not exceed 25 ).

Any wall that provides restraint to another wall should satisfy the following requirements:
■ Thickness of the bracing wall should be at least $50 \%$ of the thickness of the wall being braced.

- Heights of the bracing and braced walls are the same.
- Length of the bracing wall should be at least $20 \%$ of the clear height of the braced wall and there are no openings within this length.

Where there is structural continuity between the floors and the wall, the values of $\beta$ given in Table 14.3 may be multiplied by 0.85 .

### 14.5 Serviceability limit states

Normally special checks are not necessary where joints are provided to limit the tensile stresses caused by restraint, and walls are at least 120 mm thick. Where significant chases or recesses are incorporated it may be necessary to carry out checks.

### 14.6 Strip and pad foundations

The ratio of the depth of a strip foundation to its projection from the column/wall face may be designed to satisfy the following relationship:

$$
\left(h_{\mathrm{f}} / 2\right) \geq 1.18\left(9 \sigma_{\mathrm{gd}} / f_{\mathrm{ctd}}\right)^{0.5}
$$

## where

$h_{f} \quad=$ depth of the footing
$a \quad=$ projection of the footing from the face of the column or wall
$\sigma_{\mathrm{gd}}=$ design value of the ground pressure
$f_{\text {ctd }}=$ design value of concrete tensile strength in the same units as $\sigma_{\mathrm{gd}}$

## 15 Design aids

The following text, tables and figures have been derived from Eurocode 2 and are provided as an aid to designers in the UK.

### 15.1 Design values of actions

For the ULS of strength (STR) where there is a single variable action use either:

```
\square1.35\mp@subsup{G}{k}{}+1.5\mp@subsup{Q}{k}{}\quad\mathrm{ Exp. (6.10) from Eurocode [5]}
or the worse case of
\square1.35G}+\mp@subsup{\psi}{0}{\prime}1.5\mp@subsup{Q}{k}{}\quad\mathrm{ Exp. (6.10a)
\square1.25G}+1.5\mp@subsup{Q}{k}{
Exp. (6.10b)
where ' }\mp@subsup{\psi}{0}{\prime}=1.0\mathrm{ for storage, 0.5 for snow but otherwise 0.7, see Table 2.2.
```

In most cases Exp. (6.10b) will be appropriate, except for storage where the use of Exp. (6.10a) is likely to be more onerous.

For the SLS of deformation, quasi-permanent loads should be applied. These are $1.0 G_{k}+\psi_{2} Q_{k}$ where $\psi_{2}$ is dependent on use, e.g. 0.3 for offices and residential and 0.8 for storage. Again, see Table 2.2.

### 15.2 Values of actions

The values of actions (i.e. loads) are defined in Eurocode 1, BS EN 1991 [6]. The parts of Eurocode 1 are given in Table 15.1. These values are taken as characteristic values. At the time of publication, the UK National Annexes to these parts are in various states of readiness.

As PD $6687^{[7]}$ makes clear, until the appropriate European standards become available, designers may consider using current practice or current British Standards in conjunction with BS EN 1992, provided they are compatible with BS EN 1992 and that the resulting reliability is acceptable.

BS EN 1991-1-1 states that the density of concrete is $24 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, reinforced concrete, $25 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ and wet reinforced concrete, $26 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$.

Table 15.1
The parts of Eurocode $1^{[6]}$

| Reference | Title |
| :--- | :--- |
| BS EN 1991-1-1 | Densities, self-weight and imposed loads |
| BS EN 1991-1-2 | Actions on structures exposed to fire |
| BS EN 1991-1-3 | Snow loads |
| BS EN 1991-1-4 | Wind actions |
| BS EN 1991-1-5 | Thermal actions |
| BS EN 1991-1-6 | Actions during execution |
| BS EN 1991-1-7 | Accidental actions due to impact and explosions |
| BS EN 1991-2 | Traffic loads on bridges |
| BS EN 1991-3 | Actions induced by cranes and machinery |
| BS EN 1991-4 | Actions in silos and tanks |

### 15.3 Analysis

Analysis is dealt with in Section 5. Where appropriate the coefficients given in Tables 15.2 and 15.3 can be used to determine design moments and shear for slabs and beams at ULS.

## Sections 5.3

\& 5.4

Table 15.2
Coefficients for use with one-way spanning slabs to Eurocode 2

| Coefficient | Location |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | End support/slab connection |  |  |  | Internal supports and spans |  |  |
|  | Pinned end support |  | Continuous |  |  |  |  |
|  | Outer support | Near middle of end span | Outer support | Near middle of end span | At 1st interior support | At middle of interior spans | At interior supports |
| Moment | 0.0 | 0.086 | - 0.04 | 0.075 | -0.086 | 0.063 | -0.063 |
| Shear | 0.40 | - | 0.46 | - | 0.60:0.60 | - | 0.50:0.50 |

## Conditions

Applicable to one-way spanning slabs where the area of each bay exceeds $30 \mathrm{~m}^{2}, \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{k}} \leq 1.25 \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{k}}$ and $q_{\mathrm{k}} \leq$ $5 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$, substantially uniform loading (at least 3 spans, minimum span $\geq 0.85$ maximum (design) span
Design moment $=$ coeff $\times n \times \operatorname{span}^{2}$ and design shear $=$ coeff $\times n \times$ span where $n$ is a UDL with a single variable action $=\gamma_{c} g_{\mathrm{k}}+\psi \gamma_{0} q_{\mathrm{k}}$ where $g_{\mathrm{k}}$ and $q_{\mathrm{k}}$ are characteristic permanent and variable actions in kN/m.

Basis: Yield Line design (assumed 20\% redistribution ${ }^{[14]}$, see Section 4.6.9.)

Table 15.3
Coefficients for use with beams (and one-way spanning slabs) to Eurocode 2

| Coefficient | Location |  |  |  |  |  | Near middle <br> Outer <br> support <br> of end span | At 1st <br> interior <br> support | At middle <br> of interior <br> spans | At interior <br> supports |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Moment $g_{\mathrm{k}}$ and $q_{\mathrm{k}}$ | $25 \%$ spana | - | 0.094 | - | 0.075 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Moment $g_{\mathrm{k}}$ | - | 0.090 | - | 0.066 | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Moment $q_{\mathrm{k}}$ | - | 0.100 | - | 0.086 | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shear | 0.45 | - | $0.63: 0.55$ | - | $0.50: 0.50 \mathrm{~b}$ |  |  |  |  |  |

## Conditions

For beams and slabs, 3 or more spans. (They may also be used for 2 span beams but support moment coefficient $=0.106$ and internal shear coefficient $=0.63$ both sides).
Generally $Q_{k} \leq G_{k}$, and the loading should be substantially uniformly distributed. Otherwise special curtailment of reinforcement is required.
Minimum span $\geq 0.85 \times$ maximum (and design) span.
Design moment at supports $=$ coeff $\times n \times$ span $^{2}$ or

$$
\text { in spans }=\left(\text { coeff } g_{k} \times \gamma_{c} g_{\mathrm{k}}+\text { coeff } q_{\mathrm{k}} \times \psi \gamma_{\mathrm{Q}} q_{\mathrm{k}}\right) \times \operatorname{span}^{2} .
$$

Design shear at centreline of supports $=$ coeff $\times n \times$ span where $n$ is a UDL with a single variable action
$=\gamma_{C} g_{\mathrm{k}}+\psi \gamma_{\mathrm{Q}} q_{\mathrm{k}}$ where $g_{\mathrm{k}}$ and $q_{\mathrm{k}}$ are characteristic permanent and variable actions in $\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}$.
$\gamma_{G}$ and $\psi \gamma_{\mathrm{Q}}$ are dependent on use of BS EN 1990 Exp. (6.10), Exp. (6.10a) or Exp. (6.10b). See Section 15.1.

Basis: All- and alternate-spans-loaded cases as UK National Annex and 15\% redistribution at supports.

## Key

a At outer support '25\% span' relates to the UK Nationally Determined Parameter for BS EN 1992-1-1 9.2.1.2(1) for minimum percentage of span bending moment to be assumed at supports in beams in monolithic construction. $15 \%$ may be appropriate for slabs (see BS EN 1992-1-1 Cl 9.3.1.2).
b For beams of five spans, 0.55 applies to centre span.

## Section 6.2.1

Section 4

### 15.5 Design for beam shear

### 15.5.1 Requirement for shear reinforcement

[^2]Table 15.4
Values for $K^{\prime}$

| Redistribution <br> ratio, $\delta$ | $\boldsymbol{z} / \boldsymbol{d}$ for $K^{\prime}$ | $K^{\prime}$ | $1-\delta$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.00 | 0.76 a | 0.208 | $0 \%$ |
| 0.95 | 0.78 a | 0.195 | $5 \%$ |
| 0.90 | 0.80 a | 0.182 | $10 \%$ |
| 0.85 | 0.82 | 0.168 | $15 \%$ |
| 0.80 | 0.84 | 0.153 | $20 \%$ |
| 0.75 | 0.86 | 0.137 | $25 \%$ |
| 0.70 | 0.88 | 0.120 | $30 \%$ |

## Condition

Class A reinforcement is restricted to a redistribution ratio, $\delta \leq 0.8$

## Key

a See b in Table 15.5

Table 15.5
Values of $z / d$ and $x / d$ for singly reinforced rectangular sections

| $K$ | $z / d$ | $x / d$ | $(1-\delta)_{\max }{ }^{*}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.04 | 0.950 a | 0.125 | $30 \%$ |
| 0.05 | 0.950 a | 0.125 | $30 \%$ |
| 0.06 | 0.944 | 0.140 | $30 \%$ |
| 0.07 | 0.934 | 0.165 | $30 \%$ |
| 0.08 | 0.924 | 0.191 | $30 \%$ |
| 0.09 | 0.913 | 0.217 | $30 \%$ |
| 0.10 | 0.902 | 0.245 | $30 \%$ |
| 0.11 | 0.891 | 0.272 | $30 \%$ |
| 0.12 | 0.880 | 0.301 | $30 \%$ |
| 0.13 | 0.868 | 0.331 | $27 \%$ |
| 0.14 | 0.856 | 0.361 | $24 \%$ |
| 0.15 | 0.843 | 0.393 | $21 \%$ |
| 0.16 | 0.830 | 0.425 | $18 \%$ |
| 0.17 | 0.816 b | 0.460 b | $14 \%$ |
| 0.18 | $0.802^{\text {b }}$ | 0.495 b | $11 \%$ |
| 0.19 | 0.787 b | 0.533 b | $7 \%$ |
| 0.20 | 0.771 b | 0.572 b | $3 \%$ |
| 0.208 | 0.758 b | 0.606 b | $0 \%$ |

## Conditions

$f_{c k} \leq 50 \mathrm{MPa}$

* maximum allowable redistribution


## Key

a Practical limit
b It is recommended that $x / d$ is limited to 0.450 [21]. As a consequence $z / d$ is limited to a minimum of 0.820

Table 15.6
Shear resistance without shear reinforcement, $v_{\mathrm{Rd}, \mathrm{c}}(\mathrm{MPa})$

| $\rho_{\mathrm{l}}=A_{\text {sl }} / b_{\text {w }}{ }^{\text {d }}$ | Effective depth d (mm) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\leq 200$ | 225 | 250 | 275 | 300 | 350 | 400 | 450 | 500 | 600 | 750 |
| $\geq 0.25 \%$ | 0.54 | 0.52 | 0.50 | 0.48 | 0.47 | 0.45 | 0.43 | 0.41 | 0.40 | 0.38 | 0.36 |
| $\geq 0.50 \%$ | 0.59 | 0.57 | 0.56 | 0.55 | 0.54 | 0.52 | 0.51 | 0.49 | 0.48 | 0.47 | 0.45 |
| $\geq 0.75 \%$ | 0.68 | 0.66 | 0.64 | 0.63 | 0.62 | 0.59 | 0.58 | 0.56 | 0.55 | 0.53 | 0.51 |
| $\geq 1.00 \%$ | 0.75 | 0.72 | 0.71 | 0.69 | 0.68 | 0.65 | 0.64 | 0.62 | 0.61 | 0.59 | 0.57 |
| $\geq 1.25 \%$ | 0.80 | 0.78 | 0.76 | 0.74 | 0.73 | 0.71 | 0.69 | 0.67 | 0.66 | 0.63 | 0.61 |
| $\geq 1.50 \%$ | 0.85 | 0.83 | 0.81 | 0.79 | 0.78 | 0.75 | 0.73 | 0.71 | 0.70 | 0.67 | 0.65 |
| $\geq 1.75 \%$ | 0.90 | 0.87 | 0.85 | 0.83 | 0.82 | 0.79 | 0.77 | 0.75 | 0.73 | 0.71 | 0.68 |
| $\geq 2.00 \%$ | 0.94 | 0.91 | 0.89 | 0.87 | 0.85 | 0.82 | 0.80 | 0.78 | 0.77 | 0.74 | 0.71 |

Notes
Table derived from BS EN 1992-1-1 and UK National Annex.
Table created for $f_{c k}=30 \mathrm{MPa}$ assuming vertical links.
For $\rho_{1} \geq 0.4 \%$ and $f_{c k}=25 \mathrm{MPa}$, apply factor of 0.94
$f_{c k}=40 \mathrm{MPa}$, apply factor of 1.10
$f_{c k}=35 \mathrm{MPa}$, apply factor of 1.05
$f_{c k}=45 \mathrm{MPa}$, apply factor of 1.14
$f_{c k}=50 \mathrm{MPa}$, apply factor of 1.19
Not applicable for $f_{c k}>50 \mathrm{MPa}$

## Section 7.3.2

### 15.5.2 Section capacity check

If $v_{\mathrm{Ed}, \mathrm{z}}>v_{\mathrm{Rd}, \text { max }}$ then section size is inadequate
where
$v_{\mathrm{Ed}, \mathrm{z}}=V_{\mathrm{Ed}} / b_{\mathrm{w}} Z=V_{\mathrm{Ed}} / b_{\mathrm{w}} 0.9 d$, for sections with shear reinforcement
$V_{\text {Rd, max }}=$ capacity of concrete struts expressed as a stress in the vertical plane

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =V_{R d, \max } / b_{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{Z} \\
& =V_{R d, \max } / b_{\mathrm{w}} 0.9 \mathrm{~d}
\end{aligned}
$$

$v_{\text {Rd,max }}$ can be determined from Table 15.7, initially checking at $\cot \theta=2.5$. Should it be required, a greater resistance may be assumed by using a larger strut angle, $\theta$.

Table 15.7
Capacity of concrete struts expressed as a stress, $\boldsymbol{v}_{\text {Rd,max }}$

| $f_{\text {ck }}$ |  | $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{Rd} \text {,max }}(\mathrm{MPa})$ |  |  |  |  |  | $v$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\cot \theta$ | 2.50 | 2.14 | 1.73 | 1.43 | 1.19 | 1.00 |  |
|  | $\theta$ | $21.8{ }^{\circ}$ | $25^{\circ}$ | $30^{\circ}$ | $35^{\circ}$ | $40^{\circ}$ | $45^{\circ}$ |  |
| 20 |  | 2.54 | 2.82 | 3.19 | 3.46 | 3.62 | 3.68 | 0.552 |
| 25 |  | 3.10 | 3.45 | 3.90 | 4.23 | 4.43 | 4.50 | 0.540 |
| 30 |  | 3.64 | 4.04 | 4.57 | 4.96 | 5.20 | 5.28 | 0.528 |
| 35 |  | 4.15 | 4.61 | 5.21 | 5.66 | 5.93 | 6.02 | 0.516 |
| 40 |  | 4.63 | 5.15 | 5.82 | 6.31 | 6.62 | 6.72 | 0.504 |
| 45 |  | 5.09 | 5.65 | 6.39 | 6.93 | 7.27 | 7.38 | 0.492 |
| 50 |  | 5.52 | 6.13 | 6.93 | 7.52 | 7.88 | 8.00 | 0.480 |

## Notes

Table derived from BS EN 1992-1-1 and UK National Annex assuming vertical links, i.e. $\cot \alpha=0$
$v=0.6\left[1-\left(f_{c k} / 250\right)\right]$
$v_{\mathrm{Rd}, \text { max }}=v f_{\mathrm{cd}}(\cot \theta+\cot \alpha) /\left(1+\cot ^{2} \theta\right)$

### 15.5.3 Shear reinforcement design

$$
A_{\mathrm{sw}} / \mathrm{s} \geq v_{\mathrm{Ed}, \mathrm{z}} b_{\mathrm{w}} / f_{\mathrm{ywd}} \cot \theta
$$

where
$A_{\mathrm{sw}}=$ area of shear reinforcement (vertical links assumed)
$s=$ spacing of shear reinforcement
$v_{\mathrm{Ed}, \mathrm{z}}=V_{\mathrm{Ed}} / b_{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{z}$, as before
$b_{w}=$ breadth of the web
$f_{y w d}=f_{y w k} / \gamma_{s}=$ design yield strength of shear reinforcement
Generally $A_{\text {sw }} / s \geq v_{\text {Ed }, z} b_{w} / 1087$
Where $f_{y w k}=500 \mathrm{MPa}, \gamma_{\mathrm{s}}=1.15$ and $\cot \theta=2.5$
Alternatively, $A_{s w} / s$ per metre width of $b_{w}$ may be determined from Figure 15.1(a) or 15.1(b) as indicated by the blue arrows in Figure 15.1(a). These figures may also be used to estimate the value of $\cot \theta$.

Beams are subject to a minimum shear link provision. Assuming vertical links,
Section 10.4.1
$A_{\text {sw, min }} / s b_{w} \geq 0.08 f_{c k} 0.5 / f_{\text {yk }}$ (see Table 15.8).

Table 15.8
Values of $A_{\mathrm{sw}, \min } / s b_{\mathrm{w}}$ for beams for vertical links and $f_{\mathrm{yk}}=500 \mathrm{MPa}$

| Concrete class | C20/25 | C25/30 | C30/37 | C35/45 | C40/50 | C45/55 | C50/60 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $A_{\text {sw,min }} / s b_{w}$ for beams $(\times 103)$ | 0.78 | 0.87 | 0.95 | 1.03 | 1.10 | 1.17 | 1.23 |



Figure 15.1a)
Diagram to determine $\boldsymbol{A}_{\text {sw }} / s$ required (for beams with high shear stress)


Figure 15.1b)
Diagram to determine $\boldsymbol{A}_{\text {sw }} / s$ required (for slabs and beams with low shear stress)

## Section 8.4

Section 8.5

Sections 10.4.2

### 15.6 Design for punching shear

Determine if punching shear reinforcement is required, initially at $u_{1}$, then if necessary at subsequent perimeters, $u_{i}$.

If $v_{\mathrm{Ed}}>v_{\mathrm{Rd}, \mathrm{c}}$ then punching shear reinforcement is required
where

$$
v_{\mathrm{Ed}}=\beta V_{\mathrm{Ed}} / u_{\mathrm{i}} d
$$

where
$\beta=$ factor dealing with eccentricity (see Section 8.2)
$V_{\mathrm{Ed}}=$ applied shear force
$u_{i}=$ length of the perimeter under consideration (see Sections 8.3, 8.7 and 12.4.3)
$d=$ mean effective depth
$v_{\mathrm{Rd}, \mathrm{c}}=$ shear resistance without shear reinforcement (see Table 15.6)
For vertical shear reinforcement

$$
\left(A_{\mathrm{sw}} / s_{\mathrm{r}}\right)=u_{1}\left(v_{\mathrm{Ed}}-0.75 \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{Rd}, \mathrm{c}}\right) /\left(1.5 f_{\mathrm{ywd}, \mathrm{ef}}\right)
$$

where
$A_{\text {sw }}=$ area of shear reinforcement in one perimeter around the column. For $A_{\text {sw,min }}$ see Section 10.4.2. For layout see Section 12.4.3
$s_{r} \quad=$ radial spacing of perimeters of shear reinforcement
$u_{1} \quad=$ basic control perimeter (see Figures 8.3 and 8.4)
$f_{\text {ywd,ef }}=$ effective design strength of reinforcement $=(250+0.25 d) \leq f_{\text {ywd }}$. For Grade 500 shear reinforcement see Table 15.9

Table 15.9
Values of $f_{\text {ywd,ef }}$ for grade 500 reinforcement

| $d$ | 150 | 200 | 250 | 300 | 350 | 400 | 450 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $f_{\text {ywd }, \text { ef }}$ | 287.5 | 300 | 312.5 | 325 | 337.5 | 350 | 362.5 |

### 15.7 Check deflection

In general, the SLS state of deflection may be checked by using the span-to-effective-depth approach. More critical appraisal of deformation is outside the scope of this publication. To use the span-to-effective-depth approach, verify that:

Allowable $/ / d=N \times K \times F 1 \times F 2 \times F 3 \geq$ actual $/ / d$
where
$N=$ basic span-to-effective-depth ratio derived for $K=1.0$ and $\rho^{\prime}=0$ from Section 10.5 .2 or Table 15.10 or Figure 15.2
$K=$ factor to account for structural system. See Table 15.11
F1 $=$ factor to account for flanged sections. When $b_{\text {eff }} / b_{w}=1.0$, factor $\mathrm{F} 1=1.0$. When $b_{\text {eff }} / b_{w}$ is greater than 3.0, factor $\mathrm{F} 1=0.80$. For values of $b_{\text {eff }} / b_{w}$ between 1.0 and 3.0, interpolation may be used (see Table 15.12)
where
$b_{\text {eff }}$ is defined in Section 5.2.2
$b_{w}=$ width of web
In I beams $b_{w}=$ minimum width of web in tensile area.
In tapered webs $b_{w}=$ width of web at centroid of reinforcement in web.

Table 15.10
Basic ratios of span-to-effective-depth, N, for members without axial compression

| Required reinforcement, $\rho$ | $f_{\text {ck }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 20 | 25 | 30 | 35 | 40 | 45 | 50 |
| 0.30\% | 25.9 | 32.2 | 39.2 | 46.6 | 54.6 | 63.0 | 71.8 |
| 0.40\% | 19.1 | 22.4 | 26.2 | 30.4 | 35.0 | 39.8 | 45.0 |
| 0.50\% | 17.0 | 18.5 | 20.5 | 23.0 | 25.8 | 28.8 | 32.0 |
| 0.60\% | 16.0 | 17.3 | 18.5 | 19.8 | 21.3 | 23.1 | 25.2 |
| 0.70\% | 15.3 | 16.4 | 17.4 | 18.5 | 19.6 | 20.6 | 21.7 |
| 0.80\% | 14.8 | 15.7 | 16.6 | 17.6 | 18.5 | 19.4 | 20.4 |
| 0.90\% | 14.3 | 15.2 | 16.0 | 16.8 | 17.7 | 18.5 | 19.3 |
| 1.00\% | 14.0 | 14.8 | 15.5 | 16.3 | 17.0 | 17.8 | 18.5 |
| 1.20\% | 13.5 | 14.1 | 14.8 | 15.4 | 16.0 | 16.6 | 17.3 |
| 1.40\% | 13.1 | 13.7 | 14.2 | 14.8 | 15.3 | 15.8 | 16.4 |
| 1.60\% | 12.9 | 13.3 | 13.8 | 14.3 | 14.8 | 15.2 | 15.7 |
| 1.80\% | 12.7 | 13.1 | 13.5 | 13.9 | 14.3 | 14.8 | 15.2 |
| 2.00\% | 12.5 | 12.9 | 13.3 | 13.6 | 14.0 | 14.4 | 14.8 |
| 2.50\% | 12.2 | 12.5 | 12.8 | 13.1 | 13.4 | 13.7 | 14.0 |
| 3.00\% | 12.0 | 12.3 | 12.5 | 12.8 | 13.0 | 13.3 | 13.5 |
| 3.50\% | 11.9 | 12.1 | 12.3 | 12.5 | 12.7 | 12.9 | 13.1 |
| 4.00\% | 11.8 | 11.9 | 12.1 | 12.3 | 12.5 | 12.7 | 12.9 |
| 4.50\% | 11.7 | 11.8 | 12.0 | 12.2 | 12.3 | 12.5 | 12.7 |
| 5.00\% | 11.6 | 11.8 | 11.9 | 12.1 | 12.2 | 12.4 | 12.5 |
| Reference reinforcement ratio, $\rho_{0}$ | 0.45\% | 0.50\% | 0.55\% | 0.59\% | 0.63\% | 0.67\% | 0.71\% |

## Conditions

The values for span-to-effective-depth have been based on Table 10.3, using $K=1$ (simply supported) and $\rho^{\prime}=0$ (no compression reinforcement required).
The span-to-effective-depth ratio should be based on the shorter span in two-way spanning slabs and the longer span in flat slabs.


Figure 15.2
Basic span-to-effective-depth ratios, $N$, for $K=1, \rho^{\prime}=0$

Table 15.11
$K$ factors to be applied to basic ratios of span-to-effective-depth

| Structural system |  | Slabs |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Beams | $K$ |  |
| Simply supported beams | One- or two-way spanning simply supported slabs | 1.0 |
| End span of continuous <br> beams | End span of one-way spanning continuous slabs, or two-way <br> spanning slabs continuous over one long edge | 1.3 |
| Interior spans of <br> continuous beams | Interior spans of continuous slabs | 1.5 |
| - | Flat slabs (based on longer span) | 1.2 |
| Cantilevers | Cantilever | 0.4 |

Table 15.12
Factor F1, modifier for flanged beams

| $b_{\text {eff }} / b_{\mathrm{w}}$ | 1.0 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 2.5 | $\geq 3.0$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.90 | 0.85 | 0.80 |

F2 = factor to account for brittle partitions in association with long spans. Generally F2 $=1.0$ but if brittle partitions are liable to be damaged by excessive deflection, F2 should be determined as follows:
a) in flat slabs in which the longer span is greater than $8.5 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~F} 2=8.5 / /_{\text {eff }}$ b) in beams and other slabs with spans in excess of $7.0 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~F} 2=7.0 / /_{\text {eff }}$ Values of F2 may be taken from Table 15.13
F3 $=$ factor to account for service stress in tensile reinforcement $=310 / \sigma_{s} \leq 1.5$ Conservatively, if a service stress, $\sigma_{s^{\prime}}$, 310 MPa is assumed for the designed area of reinforcement, $A_{\text {s,req }}$ then $\mathrm{F} 3=A_{\text {s,prov }} / A_{\mathrm{s}, \text { req }} \leq 1.5$.

More accurately, the serviceability stress, $\sigma_{s^{\prime}}$, may be calculated from SLS moments or may be estimated as follows:
$\sigma_{s}=f_{y k} / \gamma_{s}\left[\left(G_{k}+\psi_{2} Q_{k}\right) /\left(1.25 G_{k}+1.5 \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)\right]\left[A_{\mathrm{s}, \text { req }} / A_{\mathrm{s}, \text { prov }}\right](1 / \delta)$
or
$\sigma_{\mathrm{s}}=\sigma_{\text {su }}\left[A_{\mathrm{s}, \text { req }} / A_{\text {s.prov }}\right](1 / \delta)$
where
$\sigma_{\text {su }} \quad=$ the unmodified SLS steel stress, taking account of $\gamma_{\mathrm{M}}$ for reinforcement and of going from ultimate actions to serviceability actions
$=500 / \gamma_{s}\left(C_{k}+\psi_{2} Q_{k}\right) /\left(1.25 G_{k}+1.5 Q_{k}\right)$ $\sigma_{\text {su }}$ may be estimated from Figure 15.3 as indicated by the blue arrow
$A_{\text {s,req }} / A_{\text {s.prov }}$
(1/ס)
= area of steel required divided by area of steel provided.
= factor to 'un-redistribute' ULS moments so they may be used in this SLS verification (see Table 15.14)

Actual $/ / d=$ actual span divided by effective depth, $d$.

Table 15.13
Factor F2, modifier for long spans supporting brittle partitions

| Span, $\boldsymbol{m}$ | $l_{\text {eff }}$ | $\leq 7.0$ | 7.5 | 8.0 | 8.5 | 9.0 | 10.0 | 11.0 | 12.0 | 13.0 | 14.0 | 15.0 | 16.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Flat slabs | $8.5 / l_{\text {eff }}$ | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.94 | 0.85 | 0.77 | 0.71 | 0.65 | 0.61 | 0.57 | 0.53 |
| Beams and other slabs | $7.0 / t_{\text {eff }}$ | 1.00 | 0.93 | 0.88 | 0.82 | 0.78 | 0.70 | 0.64 | 0.58 | 0.54 | 0.50 | 0.47 | 0.44 |

Table 15.14
$(1 / \delta)$ factor to be applied to unmodified $\sigma_{\text {su }}$ to allow for redistribution used

| Average redistribution used | $20 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $-5 \%$ | $-10 \%$ | $-15 \%$ | $-20 \%$ | $-25 \%$ | $-\mathbf{- 3 0 \%}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Redistribution ratio used, $\delta$ | 1.20 | 1.15 | 1.10 | 1.05 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.00 | 0.85 | 0.80 | 0.75 | 0.70 |
| $(1 / \delta)$ | $83 \%$ | $87 \%$ | $91 \%$ | $95 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $105 \%$ | $111 \%$ | $118 \%$ | $125 \%$ | $133 \%$ | $143 \%$ |

## Notes

Where coefficients from Table 15.2 have been used in design and where $Q_{k} \approx 1.25 G_{k}$, the coefficients in Table 15.2 may be considered to represent moment distribution of:
a) $-8 \%$ near middle of end span with pinned end support
b) $-22 \%$ at first interior support, as a worst case
c) $+3 \%$ near middle of internal spans, as a worst case
d) $-28 \%$ at interior supports, as a worst case.

Where coefficients from Table 15.3 have been used in design and where $Q_{k} \approx G_{k}$, the coefficients in Table 15.3 may be considered to represent moment redistribution of:
a) $+3 \%$ near middle of end span with pinned end support, as a worst case
b) $+9 \%$ near middle of internal spans, as a worst case
c) $-15 \%$ at all interior supports.


Figure 15.3
Determination of unmodified SLS, $\sigma_{\text {su }}$ stress in reinforcement

### 15.8 Control of cracking

Section 10.2

Sections 10.3, 10.4

Cracking may be controlled by restricting either maximum bar diameter or maximum bar spacing to the relevant diameters and spacings given in Table 15.15. The appropriate SLS stress in reinforcement, $\sigma_{s}$, may be determined as outlined for F3 in Section 15.7.

Minimum areas and aspects of detailing should be checked.

Table 15.15
Maximum bar diameters $\phi$ or maximum bar spacing for crack control


## Notes

The 'normal' limit of 0.3 mm may be relaxed to 0.4 mm for XO and XC1 exposure classes if there is no specific requirement for appearance
Table assumptions include $c_{\text {nom }}=25 \mathrm{~mm}$ and $f_{\mathrm{ct}, \text { eff }}\left(=f_{\mathrm{ctm}}\right)=2.9 \mathrm{MPa}$

### 15.9 Design for axial load and bending

### 15.9.1 General

In columns, design moments $M_{\mathrm{Ed}}$ and design applied axial force $N_{\mathrm{Ed}}$ should be derived from analysis, consideration of imperfections and, where necessary, 2nd order effects (see Section 5.6).

### 15.9.2 Design by calculation

Assuming two layers of reinforcement, $A_{51}$ and $A_{52}$, the total area of steel required in a column, $A_{s^{\prime}}$ may be calculated as shown below.

- For axial load
$A_{\mathrm{sN}} / 2=\left(N_{\mathrm{Ed}}-\alpha_{\mathrm{cc}} \eta f_{\mathrm{ck}} b d_{\mathrm{c}} / \gamma_{\mathrm{c}}\right) /\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{sc}}-\sigma_{\mathrm{st}}\right)$
where
$A_{\mathrm{sN}}=$ total area of reinforcement required to resist axial load using this method. $A_{s N}=A_{s 1}+A_{s 2}$ and $A_{s 1}=A_{s 2}$
where
$A_{s 1}\left(A_{s 2}\right)=$ area of reinforcement in layer 1 (layer 2) (see Figure 6.3)
$N_{\text {Ed }}=$ design applied axial force
$\alpha_{\text {cc }}=0.85$
$\eta=1$ for $\leq \mathrm{C} 50 / 60$
b $=$ breadth of section
$d_{c}=$ effective depth of concrete in compression $=\lambda x \leq h$ (see Figure 6.4)
where
$\lambda=0.8$ for $\leq$ C50/60
$x=$ depth to neutral axis
$h=$ height of section
$\sigma_{\mathrm{sc}}\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{st}}\right)=$ stress in compression (and tension) reinforcement
- For moment

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{\mathrm{sM}} / 2=\left[M_{\mathrm{Ed}}-\alpha_{\mathrm{cc}} \eta f_{\mathrm{ck}} b d_{\mathrm{c}}\left(h / 2-d_{\mathrm{c}} / 2\right) / \gamma_{\mathrm{c}}\right] /\left[\left(h / 2-d_{2}\right)\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{sc}}+\sigma_{\mathrm{st}}\right)\right. \\
& \text { where } \\
& \qquad A_{\mathrm{sM}}= \\
& \quad \text { total area of reinforcement required to resist moment using this method } \\
& \\
& A_{\mathrm{sM}}=A_{\mathrm{s} 1}+A_{\mathrm{s} 2} \text { and } A_{\mathrm{s} 1}=A_{\mathrm{s} 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Where reinforcement is not concentrated in the corners, a conservative approach is to calculate an effective value of $d_{2}$ as illustrated in Figure 15.4.

- Solution: iterate $\times$ such that $A_{S N}=A_{S M}$


### 15.9.3 Column charts

Alternatively $A_{s}$ may be estimated from column charts.
Figures 15.5 a ) to 15.5 e ) give non-dimensional design charts for symmetrically reinforced rectangular columns where reinforcement is assumed to be concentrated in the corners.

In these charts:
$\alpha_{\text {cc }}=0.85$
$f_{c k} \leq 50 \mathrm{MPa}$
Simplified stress block assumed.
$A_{s}=$ total area of reinforcement required
$=\left(A_{\mathrm{s}} f_{\mathrm{yk}} / b h f_{\mathrm{ck}}\right) b h f_{\mathrm{ck}} / f_{\mathrm{yk}}$
where
$\left(A_{s} f_{y k} / b h f_{c k}\right)$ is derived from the appropriate design chart interpolating as necessary between charts for the value of $d_{2} / h$ for the section.

Where reinforcement is not concentrated in the corners, a conservative approach is to calculate an effective value of $d_{2}$ as illustrated in Figure 15.4.
$d_{2}=$ effective depth to steel in layer 2


Figure 15.4
Method of assessing $d_{2}$ including side bars


Figure 15.5a)
Rectangular columns $d_{2} / h=0.05$


Figure 15.5b)
Rectangular columns $d_{2} / h=0.10$


Figure 15.5c)
Rectangular columns $d_{2} / h=0.15$


Figure 15.5d)
Rectangular columns $d_{2} / \boldsymbol{h}=\mathbf{0 . 2 0}$


Figure 15.5e)
Rectangular columns $d_{2} / h=0.25$

### 15.9.4 Biaxial bending

Section 5.6.3
As a first step, separate design in each principal direction, disregarding biaxial bending, may be undertaken. No further check is necessary if $0.5 \leq \lambda_{y} / \lambda_{z} \leq 2.0$ and, for rectangular sections, $0.2 \geq\left(e_{\mathrm{y}} / h_{\text {eq }}\right) /\left(e_{\mathrm{z}} / b_{\text {eq }}\right)$ or $\left(e_{\mathrm{y}} / h_{\text {eq }}\right) /\left(e_{\mathrm{z}} / b_{\text {eq }}\right) \geq 5.0$. Otherwise see Section 5.6.3.

For square columns $\left(e_{\mathrm{y}} / h_{\mathrm{eq}}\right) /\left(e_{\mathrm{z}} / b_{\mathrm{eq}}\right)=M_{\text {Edy }} / M_{\text {Edz }}$.

### 15.9.5 Links

Links in columns should be at least 8 mm or maximum diameter of longitudinal bars $/ 4$ in diameter and adjacent to beams and slabs spaced at the least of:

- 12 times the minimum diameter of the longitudinal bar,
- $60 \%$ of the lesser dimension of the column, or

■ 240 mm .
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## Appendix: simple foundations

## A1 General

This appendix is intended to give guidance on the application of Eurocode 7 Part $1[1]]$ to the design of simple concrete foundations. It will be subject to the provisions of the as yet unpublished UK National Annex to Eurocode 7. It is recommended that further guidance is sought from other publications. These include Eurocode 7, a Commentary[29] and Designers' guide to EN 1997-7 [30].

BS EN 1997:

Eurocode 7 is wide-ranging and provides in outline all the requirements for the design of geotechnical structures, including:

- Approaches to geotechnical design.
- Ground investigation.
- Design aspects of construction.
- Design of specific elements.

It classifies structures and risks into three categories.

- Geotechnical Category 1 is for small, relatively simple structures with negligible risk.
- Geotechnical Category 2 is for conventional structures with no exceptional risk, e.g. spread, raft and pile foundations, retaining structures, bridge piers and abutments, embankments, and earthworks and tunnels.
- Geotechnical Category 3 is for very large or unusual structures or exceptionally difficult ground conditions and is outside the scope of Eurocode 7.

Eurocode 7 and this appendix concentrate on Geotechnical Category 2.

## A2 Actions

In Eurocode 7, design values of actions, $F_{\mathrm{d}}$, are based on representative actions, $F_{\text {rep }}$.
$F_{\mathrm{d}}=\gamma_{\mathrm{F}} F_{\text {rep }}$
where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \gamma_{\mathrm{F}}=\text { partial factor for action. See Table A1 } \\
& F_{\text {rep }}=\psi \psi_{\mathrm{k}} \\
& \text { where } \\
& \begin{aligned}
\psi^{\prime} & =\text { factor to convert characteristic actions to representative actions as per BS EN } \\
& 1990[5] \text { (see Tables } 2.1 \text { and } 2.2 \text { ) }
\end{aligned} \\
& F_{\mathrm{k}} \quad=\text { characteristic value of an action }
\end{aligned}
$$

It is anticipated that the structural designer will specify representative actions to the geotechnical designer.
(The traditional practice of specifying and designing foundations using characteristic actions may be used by agreement. See A4.2, Prescriptive measures, below.)

## A3 Methods of geotechnical design

Eurocode 7 states that no limit state e.g. stability (EQU, UPL or HYD), strength (STR or GEO) or serviceability, as defined by BS EN 1990[5], shall be exceeded. The requirements for ultimate and serviceability limit state (ULS and SLS) design may be accomplished by using, in an appropriate manner, the following alone or in combination.

## BS EN 1997:

2.1(4) BS EN 1990
3.5

## BS EN 1997:

 2.4BS EN 1997:
2.4.7.3.1
where
$E_{d}=$ design value of the effect of actions
$R_{d}=$ design value of the resistance to an action
There are three design approaches in Eurocode 7; the UK is due to adopt design approach 1 (DA1). DA1 requires the consideration of two combinations of partial factors for actions and for soil parameters to compare ultimate loads with ultimate soil resistance. These combinations are illustrated in Figure A1. The appropriate partial factors are given in Table A1.

Table A1
Partial factors for design approach 1 (for STR/GEO excluding piles and anchorages)

| Combination | Partial factor on actions, $\gamma_{F}$ |  | Partial factors for soil parameters, $\gamma_{M}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\gamma_{G}$ | $\gamma_{G}$ | $\gamma_{\varphi}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $\gamma_{\mathrm{c}}$ | $\gamma_{\mathrm{cu}}$ |
| Combination $1^{\text {a }}$ | 1.35 (1.0*) | 1.5 (0.0*) | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Combination $2^{\text {b }}$ | 1.0 (1.0*) | 1.3 (0.0*) | 1.25 | 1.25 | 1.4 |

## Key

* = value if favourable

G $=$ permanent action
Q = variable action
$\varphi^{\prime} \quad=$ angle of shearing resistance (in terms of effective stress)
$c^{\prime}=$ cohesion intercept (in terms of effective stress)
$c_{u}=$ undrained shear strength
$\mathbf{a}=$ Combination 1 partial factors on actions equate to Set B loads (BS EN 1990[5] Table NA.A1.2(B)) NB: the use of Expressions (6.10a) and (6.10b) was not anticipated within Eurocode 7.
b $\quad$ Combination 2 partial factors on actions equate to Set C loads (BS EN 1990 Table NA.A1.2(C))
c $\quad=$ the factor $\gamma_{\varphi^{\prime}}$, is applied to $\tan \varphi^{\prime}$

In Figure A1:
$V_{d}=$ design vertical load
$=$ vertical component of $E_{\mathrm{d}}$ The design vertical load, $V_{d}$ should include the weight of the foundation and backfill.
$R_{d} \quad=$ design value of resistance
For a pad foundation, other limit states, notably overall stability, structural stability, sliding, heave, settlement and vibration will also need to be verified.

In principle, both combinations of partial factors should be used to check the design of the concrete section. In practice, it will often be found that Combination 1 will govern the design of the concrete section and Combination 2 will determine the size of the foundation.

## A3.1.2 Serviceability limit state (SLS)

Settlement should be checked either by:

- Direct calculation of the ground deformation.
- Verifying that a sufficiently low fraction of the ground strength is mobilised to keep deformations within the required serviceability limits. This is provided that a value of the deformation is not required in order to check the SLS and comparable experience exists with similar ground, structures and application methods.

If, for conventional spread foundations on firm to stiff clays, the ratio of the foundation's bearing capacity to the applied serviceability loads is $\geq 3$, then calculations of settlement are unnecessary. For soft clays, calculations should always be carried out.

## A3.2 Prescriptive measures

Prescriptive measures involve using conventional and generally conservative methods (i.e. comparable experience) to design and execute foundations.

## A3.3 Testing

The results from testing (e.g. from load tests on piles or ground anchors) or modelling (provided the effects of ground variations, time and scale are considered) may be used in place of, or in combination with, calculations.

## A3.4 Observational method

The observational method relates mainly to temporary works where performance is observed and responses can be made to the results of monitoring.

BS EN 1997: 2.7

BS EN 1997: 6.4(5)

- Shape ( $B / L$ ).
- Inclination ( $\alpha$ ) of the foundation.
- Any inclination and/or eccentricity (e) of the load.
(It should be noted that the bearing capacity factors that are used to determine drained bearing resistance are particularly sensitive to the angle of shearing resistance of the soil.)

The vertical bearing resistance per unit area
$R / A^{\prime}=$ function of $\left[\gamma, C^{\prime}, \varphi^{\prime}, \gamma_{w}, q^{\prime}, B / L, \alpha, e, H\right]$ where the symbols are as defined above for drained bearing resistance.

The design undrained bearing resistance must be calculated for both combinations of partial factors given in Table A1.

BS EN 1997:

## A4.1.1.3 Bearing resistances

It is anticipated that values of ULS design vertical bearing resistance, $R / A^{\prime}$, will be made available to structural designers in the 'Geotechnical design report' for a project, which itself will be based on the 'Ground investigation report'. Usually, it will be found that, with respect to sizing the foundation, Combination 2 (see Table A1) will be critical.

For eccentric loading at ULS, it is assumed that the resistance of the ground is uniformly distributed and is centred on the centre of gravity of the applied load. For a base $L \times B$ with load eccentricities $e_{x}$ and $e_{y^{\prime}}$
$A^{\prime}=\left(B-2 e_{x}\right)\left(L-2 e_{y}\right)$ (see Figure $\left.A 2\right)$
Sliding resistance should be checked in a similar manner.


Figure A2
Effective area for eccentric loading at ULS

## A4.1.2 Serviceability limit state (SLS)

## A4.1.2.1 Settlement

For the serviceability limit state, settlement should be checked by calculation or may, in the case of spread foundations on clays, be assumed to be satisfactory if the ratio of the foundation's ULS bearing capacity to the applied serviceability loads (with $\gamma_{\mathrm{G}}=1.0, \gamma_{\mathrm{Q}}=1.0$ and $\psi_{2}^{\prime}$ as appropriate) is $\geq 3$. This approach is not valid for very soft soils so settlement calculations shall always be carried out for soft clays.

## A4.1.2.2 Serviceability

Serviceability checks should be made against the Serviceability Limit State design loads. For most building structures, this would be the quasi-permanent load case (see Table 2.1d).

## A4.1.3 Communications

As described in A2 above, it is anticipated that the 'currency of exchange' will be representative actions. However, in communications, it will be vital to define:

- Loads as being Combination 1, Combination 2, characteristic or more usefully, representative values of the permanent and variable actions; and
- Applicability of values of R/A' (whether to Combination 1 or Combination 2 at ULS, SLS, and with respect to shape of foundation, inclination of loads, etc).


## A4.2 Prescriptive measures

The practice of checking characteristic actions $\left(\gamma_{C}=1.0, \gamma_{0}=1.0\right)$ against allowable bearing pressures may be adopted within the requirements of Eurocode 7 , in one of two ways, viz:

BS EN 1997: 2.5

## A4.2.2 Calculated allowable bearing pressures

Alternatively, the writer of the site/ground investigation report could be required to calculate and/or specify allowable bearing pressures which provide designs consistent with both the ULS and SLS requirements of Eurocode 7. However, this may require knowledge of the size of the loads and, in particular, any eccentricity or inclination of loads.

## A5 Piled foundations

The allowable methods for the geotechnical design of piles include:

- Static load tests (shown to be consistent with calculations or other relevant experience).
- Calculations (validated by static load tests).

Structural design of piles and pile caps should be carried out to Eurocode 2.

## A6 Retaining walls and other forms of foundation

The sizing and design of retaining walls and other forms of foundation are outside the scope of this publication. For these and/or where the foundations are unconventional or the risks abnormal, specialist literature should be consulted and guidance sought.


[^0]:    where
    $\delta=$ the ratio of the redistributed moment to the moment in the linear elastic analysis
    $x_{u}=$ the depth of the neutral axis at the ultimate limit state after redistribution
    $=$ the effective depth of the section

[^1]:    Allowances for imperfections are also made in:

    - Partial factors used in cross section design.
    - Compression members (see Section 5.6.2).

[^2]:    If $v_{\mathrm{Ed}}>v_{\mathrm{Rd}, \mathrm{c}}$ then shear reinforcement is required
    where
    $v_{E d}=V_{E d} / b_{w} d$, for sections without shear reinforcement (i.e. slabs)
    $v_{\mathrm{Rd}, \mathrm{c}}=$ shear resistance without shear reinforcement, from Table 15.6

