

<http://hdl.handle.net/1765/129367>

A

- Aberbach, J. D., & Christensen, T. (2007). The challenges of modernizing tax administration: Putting customers first in coercive public organizations. *Public Policy and Administration*, 22(2), 155–182.
- AD (2019, November 14). Honderden schadevergoedingen van Belastingdienst na fout met kinderopvangtoeslag. [Hundreds of compensations from the tax administration after mistake with childcare benefit]. Retrieved from <https://www.ad.nl/binnenland/honderden-schadevergoedingen-van-belastingdienst-na-fout-met-kinderopvangtoeslag~a6b1ce6b/>
- Adviescommissie uitvoering toeslagen. (2019). Omzien in verwondering: Interim-advies Adviescommissie uitvoering toeslagen. [Look in amazement: Interim-advice Advisory committee execution benefits]. Retrieved from <https://www.rijksoverheid.nl/documenten/kamerstukken/2019/11/14/interim-advies-adviescommissie-uitvoering-toeslagen>
- Ajzen, I. (2001). Nature and operation of attitudes. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 52(32), 27–58.
- Albarracín, D., Johnson, B. T., & Zanna, M. P. (Eds.). (2005). *The handbook of attitudes*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Publishers.
- Allport, G. W. (1935). Attitudes. In C. Murchison (Ed.), *Handbook of social psychology* (pp. 798–844). Worcester, MA: Clark University Press.
- Almond, G., & Verba, S. (1973). Expectations of treatment by government and the police. In E. Katz & B. Danet (Eds.), *Bureaucracy and the public: A reader in official-client relations* (pp. 43–49). New York, NY: Basic Books.
- Andersen, S. C., & Guul, T. S. (2019). Reducing minority discrimination at the front line—Combined survey and field experimental evidence. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 29(3), 429–444.
- Anshel, M. H. (2000). A conceptual model and implications for coping with stressful events in police work. *Criminal Justice and Behavior*, 27(3), 375–400.
- Argyle, M. (1989). *The social psychology of work*. London, UK: Penguin books.
- Ashforth, B. E., & Mael, F. (1989). Social identity theory and the organization. *Academy of Management Review*, 14(1), 20–39.
- Ashforth, B. E., Sluss, D. M., & Harrison, S. H. (2007). Socialization in organizational contexts. In G. P. Hodgkinson & J. K. Ford (Eds.), *International review of industrial and organizational psychology* (Vol. 22, pp. 1–70). Chichester, England; Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley.

B

- Bagozzi, R. P., & Philips, L. W. (1982). Representing and testing organizational theories: A holistic construct. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 27(3), 459–489.
- Baker, S. H., Etzioni, A., Hanson, R. A., & Sontag, M. (1973). Tolerance for bureaucratic structure: Theory and measurement. *Human Relations*, 26(6), 775–786.
- Banai, M., & Reisel, W. D. (2007). The influence of supportive leadership and job characteristics on work alienation: A six-country investigation. *Journal of World Business*, 42(4), 463–476.
- Bandura, A. (1977). Self-efficacy: Toward a unifying theory of behavioral change. *Psychological Review*, 84(2), 191–215.
- Bandura, A. (1982). Self-efficacy mechanism in human agency. *American Psychologist*, 37(2), 122–147.
- Bandura, A. (1994). Self-efficacy. In V. S. Ramachandran (Ed.), *Encyclopedia of human*

- behavior* (Vol. 4, pp. 71–81). New York, NY: Academic Press. Retrieved from <https://www.uky.edu/~eushe2/Bandura/BanEncy.html>
- Bandura, A. (1997). *Self-efficacy: The exercise of control*. New York, NY: Freeman.
- Bandura, A., Adams, N. E., Hardy, A. B., & Howells, G. N. (1980). Tests of the generality of self-efficacy theory. *Cognitive Therapy and Research*, 4(1), 39–66.
- Barker, J. R. (1993). Tightening the iron cage: Concertive control in self-managing teams. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 38(3), 408–437.
- Barnes, C. Y., & Henly, J. R. (2018). “They are underpaid and understaffed”: How clients interpret encounters with street-level bureaucrats. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 28(2), 165–181.
- Baron, R. A., & Byrne, D. (1997). *Social psychology* (8th ed.). Boston, MA: Allyn and Bacon.
- Baron, R. A., Byrne, D., & Griffit, W. (1974). *Social psychology: Understanding human interaction*. Boston, MA: Allyn and Bacon.
- Bartels, K. R. (2013). Public encounters: The history and future of face-to-face contact between public professionals and citizens. *Public Administration*, 91(2), 469–483.
- Bass, B. M. (1985). *Leadership and performance beyond expectations*. New York, NY: Free Press.
- Bass, B. M. (1990). From transactional to transformational leadership: Learning to share the vision. *Organizational Dynamics*, 18(3), 19–31.
- Baviskar, S. (2018). Who creams? Explaining the classroom cream-skimming behavior of school teachers from a street-level bureaucracy perspective. *International Public Management Journal*, 22(3), 524–559.
- Baviskar, S., & Winter, S. C. (2017). Street-level bureaucrats as individual policymakers: The relationship between attitudes and coping behavior toward vulnerable children and youth. *International Public Management Journal*, 20(2), 1–38.
- Belastingdienst. (2012). Beheerverslag Belastingdienst 2011. [Management report tax administration 2011]. Retrieved from <https://www.rijksoverheid.nl/documenten/jaarverslagen/2012/05/16/bijlage-kamerbrief-over-beheerverslag-belastingdienst-2011>
- Belastingdienst. (2016). *Controleaanpak Belastingdienst (CAB): De CAB en zijn modellen toegepast in toezicht*. [Control approach tax administration (CAB): The CAB and its models applied in monitoring]. Retrieved from https://www.belastingdienst.nl/wps/wcm/connect/bldcontentnl/temaoverstijgend/brochures_en_publicaties/controleaanpak_belastingdienst
- Bem, D. J. (1972). Self-perception theory. In L. Berkowitz (Ed.), *Advances in experimental social psychology* (Vol. 6, pp. 1–62). New York, NY: Academic Press.
- Bettenhausen, K. L. (1991). Five years of groups research: What we have learned and what needs to be addressed. *Journal of Management*, 17(2), 345–381.
- Berman, E. M. (1997). Dealing with cynical citizens. *Public Administration Review*, 57(2), 105–112.
- Billingsley, A. (1964). Bureaucratic and professional patterns in social casework. *Social Service Review*, 3(4), 400–407.
- Blair-Loy, M., & Wharton, A. S. (2002). Employees’ use of work-family policies and the workplace social context. *Social Forces*, 80(3), 813–845.
- Blau, P. M. (1956). *Bureaucracy in modern society*. New York, NY: Random House.
- Blau, P. M. (1960). Orientation toward clients in a public welfare agency. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 5(3), 341–361.

- Blau, P. M. (1969). *The dynamics of bureaucracy: A study of interpersonal relations in two government agencies* (5th ed.). Chicago, IL: University of Chicago press.
- Blau, P. M., & Scott, W. R. (1963). *Formal organizations: A comparative approach*. San Francisco, CA: Chandler.
- Boll, K. (2015). Deciding on tax evasion – front line discretion and constraints. *Journal of Organizational Ethnography*, 4(2), 193–207.
- Borgatta, E.F., Fanshel, D., & Meyer, H. J. (1960). *Social workers' perceptions of clients: A study of the caseload of a social agency*. New York, NY: Russel Sage Foundation.
- Borrey, E. L., DeHart-Davis, L., Kaufmann, W., Merrit, C. C., Mohr, Z., & Tummers, L. G. (2018). Formalization and consistency heighten organizational rule following: Experimental and survey evidence. *Public Administration*, 96(2), 1–18.
- Bovens, M., & Zouridis, S. (2002). From street-level to system-level bureaucracies: How information and communication technology is transforming administrative discretion and constitutional control. *Public Administration Review*, 62(2), 174–184.
- Bozeman, B., & Rainey, H. G. (1998). Organizational rules and the 'bureaucratic personality'. *American Journal of Political Science*, 42(1), 163–189.
- Breckler, S. J. (1984). Empirical validation of affect, behavior, and cognition as distinct components of attitude. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 47(6), 1191–1205.
- Brehm, J., & Gates, S. (1999). *Working, shirking, and sabotage: Bureaucratic response to a democratic public*. Ann Arbor, MI: The University of Michigan Press.
- Brenninkmeijer, A. (2013). *Mijn onbegrijpelijke overheid: Verslag van de Nationale Ombudsman over 2012*. [My incomprehensible government: Report by the National Ombudsman on 2012]. Retrieved from https://www.nationaleombudsman.nl/system/files/jaarverslag-download/Verslag%2B2012_0.pdf
- Brewer, G. A. (2005). In the eye of the storm: Frontline supervisors and federal agency performance. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 15(4), 505–527.
- Briñol, P., & Petty, R. E. (2005). Individual differences in attitude change. In D. Albarracín, B. T. Johnson, & M. P. Zanna (Eds.), *The handbook of attitudes* (pp. 575–616). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Publishers.
- Bro, L. L., Andersen, L. B., & Bøllingtoft, A. (2017). Low-hanging fruit: Leadership, perceived prosocial impact, and employee motivation. *International Journal of Public Administration*, 40(9), 717–729.
- Brodtkin, E. Z. (2011). Policy work: Street-level organizations under new managerialism. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 21(Issue suppl_2), i253–i277.
- Bruhn, A. (2009). Occupational unity or diversity in a changing work context? The case of Swedish labour inspectors. *Policy and Practice in Health and Safety*, 7(2), 05–23.
- Bruhn, A. (2015). Changing occupational roles in audit society—The case of Swedish student aid officials. *Nordic Journal of Working Life Studies*, 5(1), 31–50.
- Bruhn, A., & Ekström, M. (2017). Towards a multi-level approach on frontline interactions in the public sector: Institutional transformations and the dynamics of real-time interactions. *Social Policy and Administration*, 51(1), 195–215.

- Buffat, A. (2015). Street-level bureaucracy and E-government. *Public Management Review*, 17(1), 149–161.
- Burns, J. M. (1978). *Leadership*. New York, NY: Harper & Row.
- Bush, P. A., & Henriksen, H. Z. (2018). Digital discretion: A systematic literature review of ICT and street-level discretion. *Information Polity*, 23(1), 3–28.
- Butterfield, R., Edwards, C., & Woodall, J. (2005). The new public management and managerial roles: the case of the police sergeant. *British Journal of Management*, 16(4), 329–341.
- Byrne, B. (2010). *Structural equation modeling with AMOS: Basic concepts, applications and programming* (2nd ed.). New York, NY: Taylor and Francis Group, LLC.
- ### C
- Campbell, J. L. (2005). Fiscal sociology in an age of globalization: Comparing tax regimes in advanced capitalist countries. In V. Nee & R. Swedberg (Eds.), *The economic sociology of capitalism* (pp. 391–418). Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Campbell, J. W. (2016). A collaboration-based model of work motivation and role-ambiguity in public organizations. *Public Performance & Management Review*, 39(3), 655–675.
- Carless, S. A., & De Paola, C. (2000). The measurement of cohesion in work teams. *Small Group Research*, 31(1), 71–88.
- Carron, A. V., Brawley, L. R., & Widmeyer, W. N. (1998). The measurement of cohesiveness in sport groups. In J. L. Duda (Ed.), *Advances in sport and exercise psychology measurement* (pp. 213–226). Morgantown, WV: Fitness Information Technology.
- Chen, G., Gully, S. M., & Eden, D. (2001). Validation of a new general self-efficacy scale. *Organizational Research Methods*, 4(1), 62–83.
- Chen, G., Gully, S. M., & Eden, D. (2004). General self-efficacy and self-esteem: Toward theoretical and empirical distinction between correlated self-evaluations. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 25(3), 375–395.
- Cohen, N. (2018). How culture affects street-level bureaucrats' bending the rules in the context of informal payments for health care: The Israeli case. *American Review of Public Administration*, 48(2), 175–187.
- Cohen, N., & Gershgoren, S. (2016). The incentives of street-level bureaucrats and inequality in tax assessments. *Administration & Society*, 48(3), 267–289.
- Cooper-Thomas, H. D., & Anderson, N. (2006). Organizational socialization: A new theoretical model and recommendations for future research and HRM practices in organizations. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 21(5), 492–516.
- Crawford, J. H., & Henry, J. D. (2004). The positive and negative affect schedule (PANAS): Construct validity, measurement properties and normative data in a large non-clinical sample. *British Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 43(3), 245–265.
- Crites, S. L., Fabrigar, L. R., & Petty, R. E. (1994). Measuring the affective and cognitive properties of attitudes: Conceptual and methodological issues. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 20(6), 619–634.
- ### D
- Dahl, R. (1947). The science of public administration: Three problems. *Public Administration Review*, 7(1), 1–11.
- Davis, J. L., & Rusbult, C. E. (2001). Attitude alignment in close relationships. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 81(1), 65–84.

- DeHart-Davis, L. (2007). The unbureaucratic personality. *Public Administration Review*, 67(5), 892–903.
- DeHart-Davis, L. (2009). Green tape: A theory of effective organizational rules. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 19(2), 361–384.
- DeHart-Davis, L., Davis, R. S., & Mohr, Z. (2014). Green tape and job satisfaction: Can organizational rules make employees happy? *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 25(3), 849–876.
- DeVellis, R. F. (2003). *Scale development: Theory and applications* (Vol. 26., 2nd ed.). London: Sage.
- DeVellis, R. F. (2017). *Scale development: Theory and applications* (Vol. 26., 4th ed.). London: Sage.
- Downs, A. (1967). *Inside Bureaucracy*. Boston, MA: Little, Brown.
- Dubois, V. (2010). *The bureaucrat and the poor: Encounters in French welfare offices*. Farnham, UK: Ashgate Publishing Limited.
- E**
- Eagly, A. H., & Chaiken, S. (1993). *The psychology of attitudes*. Forth Worth, TX: Harcourt Brace and Company.
- Eagly, A. H., & Karau, S. J. (2002). Role congruity theory of prejudice toward female leaders. *Psychological Review*, 109(3), 573–598.
- Ebstrup, J. F., Falgaard, L., Pisinger, C., & Jørgensen, T. (2011). Association between the Five Factor personality traits and perceived stress: Is the effect mediated by general self-efficacy? *Anxiety, Stress & Coping*, 24(4), 407–419.
- Engelen, U., De Peuter, S., Victoir, A., Van Diest, I., & Van den Bergh, O. (2006). Verdere validering van de Positive and Negative Affect Schedule (PANAS) en vergelijking van twee Nederlandstalige versies. [Further validation of the Positive and Negative Affect Schedule (PANAS) and comparison of two Dutch versions]. *Gedrag en Gezondheid*, 34(2), 61–70.
- Evans, T. (2011). Professionals, managers and discretion: Critiquing street-level bureaucracy. *British Journal of Social Work*, 41(2), 368–386.
- Evans, T. (2013). Organizational rules and discretion in adult social work. *British Journal of Social Work*, 43(4), 739–758.
- Evans, T. (2016). Professionals and discretion in street-level bureaucracy. In P. L. Hupe, M. Hill, & A. Buffat (Eds.), *Understanding street-level bureaucracy* (pp. 279–294). Bristol, UK: Policy Press.
- Evans, T., & Harris, J. (2004). Street-level bureaucracy, social work, and the (exaggerated) death of discretion. *British Journal of Social Work*, 34(6), 871–895.
- F**
- Fabrigar, L. R., & Petty, R. E. (1999). The role of affective and cognitive bases of attitudes in susceptibility to affectively and cognitively based persuasion. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 25(3), 363–381.
- Fabrigar, L. R., MacDonald, T. K., & Wegener, D. T. (2005). The structure of attitudes. In D. Albarracín, B. T. Johnson, & M. Zanna (Eds.), *The handbook of attitudes* (pp. 79–124). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Publishers.
- Farrell, A. M., & Rudd, J. M. (2009). Factor analysis and discriminant validity: A brief review of some practical issues. Retrieved from https://publications.aston.ac.uk/id/eprint/7644/1/factor_analysis_AN-ZMAC_2009.pdf
- Fazio, R. H., & Olson, M. A. (2003). Attitudes: Foundations, functions, and consequences. In M. A. Hogg & J. Cooper (Eds.), *The Sage handbook of social*

- psychology (pp. 139–160). London, UK: Sage.
- Feldman, D. C. (1981). The multiple socialization of organization members. *Academy of Management Review*, 6(2), 309–318.
- Fernandez, S. (2005). Developing and testing an integrative framework of public sector leadership: Evidence from the public education arena. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 15(2), 197–217.
- Field, A. (2013). *Discovering statistics using SPSS: And sex and drugs and rock 'n roll* (4th ed.). Los Angeles, CA: Sage.
- Finer, H. (1931). Officials and the public. *Public Administration*, 9(1), 23–36.
- FOD Financiën. (2016). Bestuursplan FOD Financiën 2016. [Director plan 2016 Federal Public Service Finance]. Retrieved from <https://financien.belgium.be/sites/default/files/Bestuursplan%202016%20-%20NL%20-%20tekst%20-%20definitief.pdf>
- FOD Financiën. (2017). Bestuursplan FOD Financiën 2017. [Director plan 2017 Federal Public Service Finance]. Retrieved from <https://financien.belgium.be/sites/default/files/Bestuursplan-2017-nl.pdf>
- FOD Financiën. (2018). Bestuursplan 2018. [Director plan 2018]. Retrieved from https://financien.belgium.be/sites/default/files/Bestuursplan_NL_def_internet_20180328.pdf
- Foldy, E. G., & Buckley, T. R. (2010). Recreating street-level practice: The role of routines, work groups, and team learning. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 20(1), 23–52.
- Fornell, C., & Larcker, D. F. (1981). Evaluating structural equation models with unobservable variables and measurement error. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 18(1), 39–50.
- Foster, J. L. (1990). Bureaucratic rigidity revisited. *Social Science Quarterly*, 71(2), 223–238.
- Foster, J. L., & Jones, J. H. (1978). Rule-orientation and bureaucratic reform. *American Journal of Political Science*, 22(2), 348–363.
- French, J. R. P., Jr., & Raven, B. (1959). The bases of social power. In D. Cartwright (Ed.), *Studies in social power* (pp. 150–167). Ann Arbor, MI: University of Michigan.

G

- Gabrielian, V., Yang, K., & Spice, S. (2008). Qualitative research methods. In K. Yang & G. Miller (Eds.), *Handbook of research methods in public administration* (2nd ed., pp. 141–168). Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press.
- Gassner, D., & Gofen, A. (2018). Street-level management: A clientele-agent perspective on implementation. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 28(4), 23–52.
- Gastelaars, M. (2006). Het echte werk van andere contactambtenaren. [The real job of other street-level bureaucrats]. *Bestuurskunde*, 3, 48–56.
- George, B., & Pandey, S. K. (2017). We know the Yin—But where is the Yang? Towards a balanced approach on common source bias in public administration scholarship. *Review of Public Personnel Administration*, 37(2), 245–270.
- Gofen, A. (2014). Mind the gap: Dimensions and influence of street-level divergence. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 24(2), 473–493.
- Gofen, A., Sella, S., & Gassner, D. (2019). Levels of analysis in street-level bureaucracy research. In P. L. Hupe (Ed.), *Research handbook on street-level bureaucracy: The ground floor of government in con-*

- text (pp. 336–350). Cheltenham, UK: Edward Elgar Publishing limited.
- Goodsell, C. T. (1976). Cross-cultural comparison of behavior of postal clerks towards clients. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 21(1), 140–150.
- Goodsell, C. T. (Ed.). (1981a). *The public encounter: Where state and citizen meet*. Bloomington, IN: Indiana University Press.
- Goodsell, C. T. (1981b). Looking once again at human service bureaucracy. *The Journal of Politics*, 43(3), 763–778.
- Gordon, L. K. (1975). Bureaucratic competence and success in dealing with public bureaucracies. *Social Problems*, 23(2), 197–208.
- Gordon, L. V. (1970). Measurement of bureaucratic orientation. *Personnel Psychology*, 23(1), 1–11.
- Goslinga, S., Van der Hel-van Dijk, L., Mascini, P., & Van Steenbergen, A. (Eds.). (2018). *Tax and trust: Institutions, interactions, and instruments*. The Hague, the Netherlands: Eleven International Publishing.
- Grant, A. M., Parker, S., & Collins, C. (2009). Getting credit for proactive behavior: Supervisor reactions depend on what you value and how you feel. *Personnel Psychology*, 62(1), 31–55.
- Gribnau, H. (2007). Soft law and taxation: The case of the Netherlands. *Legisprudence*, 1(3), 291–326.
- Griffin, A. E. C., Colella, A., & Goparaju, S. (2000). Newcomer and organizational socialization tactics: An interactionist perspective. *Human Resource Management Review*, 10(4), 453–474.
- Grimmelikhuijsen, S., Jilke, S., Olsen, A. L., & Tummers, L. G. (2017). Behavioral public administration: Combining insights from public administration and psychology. *Public Administration Review*, 77(1), 45–56.
- Guy, M. E., Newman, M. A., & Mastracci, S. H. (2008). *Emotional labor: Putting the service in public service*. Armonk, NY: Sharpe, cop.
- ## H
- Haddock, G., & Huskinson, T. L. H. (2004). Individual differences in attitude structure. In G. Haddock & G. R. Maio (Eds.), *Contemporary perspectives of the psychology of attitudes* (pp. 35–56). New York, NY: Psychology Press.
- Hansen, H-T., Lundberg, K., & Sylvetik, L. J. (2018). Digitalization, street-level bureaucracy and welfare users' experiences. *Social Policy & Administration*, 52(1), 67–90.
- Harrits, G. S. (2018). Stereotypes in context: How and when do street-level bureaucrats use class stereotypes? *Public Administration Review*, 79(1), 93–103.
- Hasenfeld, Y., & Steinmetz, D. (1981). Client-official encounters in social service agencies. In C. T. Goodsell (Ed.), *The public encounter: Where state and citizen meet* (pp. 83–101). Bloomington, IN: Indiana University Press.
- Hatmaker, D. (2015). Bringing networks in: A model of organizational socialization in the public sector. *Public Management Review*, 17(8), 146–1164.
- Henderson, A., Țiclău, T., & Balica, D. (2018). Perceptions of discretion in street-level public service: Examining administrative governance in Romania. *Public Performance & Management Review*, 41(3), 620–647.
- Hillebrand, B., Kok, R. A. W., & Biemans, W. G. (2001). Theory-testing using case studies. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 30(8), 651–657.
- Himmelfarb, S. (1993). The measurement of attitudes. In A. H. Eagly & Chaiken, S. (Eds.), *The psychology of attitudes* (pp. 23–88). Forth Worth, TX: Harcourt Brace & Company.

- Hogg, M. A. (2001). A social identity theory of leadership. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, 5(3), 184–200.
- Höijer, B. (2011). Social representation theory. *Nordicom Review*, 32(2), 3–16.
- House, R. J. (1996). Path-goal theory of leadership: Lessons, legacy, and a reformulated theory. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 7(3), 323–352.
- Hummel, R. P. (2015). *The bureaucratic experience: A critique of life in the modern organization* (5th ed.). New York, NY: St. Martin's Press.
- Hupe, P. L., & Hill, M. (2007). Street-level bureaucracy and public accountability. *Public Administration*, 85(2), 279–299.
- Hupe, P. L., & Hill, M. (2016). And the rest is implementation: Comparing approaches to what happens in policy processes beyond great expectations. *Public Policy and Administration*, 31(2), 103–121.
- Hupe, P. L., & Hill, M., & Buffat, A. (2016a). Introduction: Defining and understanding street-level bureaucracy. In P. L. Hupe, M. Hill, & A. Buffat (Eds.), *Understanding street-level bureaucracy* (pp. 3–24). Bristol, UK: Policy Press.
- Hupe, P. L., & Hill, M., & Buffat, A. (Eds.). (2016b). *Understanding street-level bureaucracy*. Bristol, UK: Policy Press.
- Hupe, P. L., & Hill, M., & Buffat, A. (2016c). Conclusion: The present and future study of street-level bureaucracy. In P. L. Hupe, M. Hill, & A. Buffat (Eds.), *Understanding street-level bureaucracy* (pp. 315–338). Bristol, UK: Policy Press.
- Hurst, C., Kammeyer-Mueller, J., & Livingston, B. (2012). The odd one out: How newcomers who are different become adjusted. In C. R. Wanberg (Ed.), *The Oxford handbook of organizational socialization* (pp. 115–138). New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Huskinson, T. L. H., & Haddock, G. (2006). Individual differences in attitude structure and the accessibility of the affective and cognitive components of attitude. *Social Cognition*, 24(4), 453–468.
- J**
- James, O., Jilke, S., & Van Ryzin, G. G. (Eds.). (2017). *Experiments in public management research: Challenges and contributions*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Jensen, D. C. (2018). Does core task matter for decision-making? A comparative study on whether differences in job characteristics affect discretionary street-level decision-making. *Administration & Society*, 50(8), 1–23.
- Jensen, U. T., Andersen, L. B., Bro, L. L., Bøllingtoft, A., Tine L. M. Eriksen, T. L. M., Ann-louise Holten, A-L., ... Würtz, A. (2019). Conceptualizing and measuring transformational and transactional leadership. *Administration & Society*, 51(1), 3–33.
- Jex, S. M., & Bliese, P. D. (1999). Efficacy beliefs as a moderator of the impact of work-related stressors: A multilevel study. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 84(3), 349–361.
- Jilke, S., & Tummers, L. G. (2018). Which clients are deserving of help? A theoretical model and experimental test. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 28(2), 226–238.
- Jilke, S., Van Dooren, W., & Rys, S. (2018). Discrimination and administrative burden in public service markets: Does a public-private difference exist? *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 28(3), 423–439.
- Johnson, R. B., Onwuegbuzie, A. J., & Turner, L. A. (2007). Toward a definition of mixed methods research. *Journal of Mixed Methods Research*, 1(2), 112–133.
- K**

- Kalberg, S. (1980). Max Weber's types of rationality: Cornerstones for the analysis of rationalization processes in history. *American Journal of Sociology*, 85(5), 1145–1179.
- Kallio, J., Blomberg, H., & Kroll, C. (2013). Social workers' attitudes towards the unemployed in the Nordic countries. *International Journal of Social Welfare*, 22(2), 219–229.
- Kark, R., Shamir, B., & Chen, G. (2003). The two faces of transformational leadership: Empowerment and dependency. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 88(2), 246–255.
- Katz, E. (1960). The functional approach to the study of attitudes. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 24(2), 163–204.
- Katz, E., & Danet, B. (1973a). Introduction: Bureaucracy as a problem for sociology and society. In E. Katz & B. Danet (Eds.), *Bureaucracy and the public: A reader in official-client relations* (pp. 3–27). New York, NY: Basic Books.
- Katz, E., & Danet, B. (1973b). Petitions and persuasive appeals: A study of official-client relations. In E. Katz & B. Danet (Eds.), *Bureaucracy and the public: A reader in official-client relations* (pp. 174–190). New York, NY: Basic Books.
- Katz, E., & Danet, B. (Eds.). (1973c). *Bureaucracy and the public: A reader in official-client relations*. New York, NY: Basic Books.
- Keiser, L. R. (2010). Understanding street-level bureaucrats' decision making: determining eligibility in the social security disability program. *Public Administration Review*, 70(2), 247–257.
- Keulemans, S., & Groeneveld, S. (2019). Supervisory leadership at the frontlines: Street-level discretion, supervisor influence, and street-level bureaucrats' attitude towards clients. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*. Advance online publication. doi.org/10.1093/jopart/muz019.
- Keulemans, S., & Van de Walle, S. (2018). Understanding street-level bureaucrats' attitude towards clients: Towards a measurement instrument. *Public Policy and Administration*. Advance online publication. doi.org/10.1177/0952076718789749
- Keulemans, S., & Van de Walle, S. (2019). Street-level bureaucrats' attitude towards clients: A study of work group influence in the Dutch and Belgian tax administration. *Public Performance and Management Review*. Advance online publication. doi.org/10.1080/15309576.2019.1697303
- King, C. S., & Stivers, C. (1998). *Government is us: Public administration in an anti-government era*. Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE Publications.
- Kitchener, M., Kirkpatrick, I., & Whipp, R. (2000). Supervising professional work under new public management: Evidence from an 'invisible trade'. *British Journal of Management*, 11(3), 213–226.
- Klimoski, R., & Mohammed, S. (1994). Team mental model: Construct or metaphor? *Journal of Management*, 20(2), 403–437.
- Krishnan, V. R. (2005). Transformational leadership and outcomes: Role of relationship duration. *Leadership & Organizational Development Journal*, 26(6), 442–457.
- Kroeger, N. (1975). Bureaucracy, social exchange, and benefits received in a public assistance agency. *Social Problems*, 23(2), 182–196.
- Krull, J. L., & MacKinnon, D. P. (2001). Multi-level modeling of individual and group level mediated effects. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, 36(2), 249–277.

L

- Lahlou, S. (1996). The propagation of social representations. *Journal for the Theory of Social Behavior*, 26(2), 157–175.
- Ledgerwood, A., & Wang, Y. A. (2018). Achieving local and global shared realities: Distance guides alignment to specific or general social influences. *Current Opinion in Psychology*, 23, 62–65.
- Lee, G., Benoit-Bryan, J., & Johnson, T. P. (2012). Survey research in public administration: Assessing mainstream journals with a total survey error framework. *Public Administration Review*, 72(1), 87–97.
- Levi, D. (2011). *Group dynamics for teams* (3rd ed.). Thousand Oaks, California: SAGE Publications, Inc.
- Leviner, S. (2009). A new era of tax enforcement: From the ‘big stick’ to responsive regulation. *University of Michigan Journal of Law Reform*, 42(2), 381–429.
- Lineberry, R. L. (1977). *Equality and urban policy: The distribution of municipal public services*. Beverly Hills, CA: Sage Publications.
- Liou, K. T., & Cruise, P. L. (1994). Assessing employee attitudes in a community-based aids service organization. *Evaluation and the Health Professions*, 17(3), 273–289.
- Lipsky, M. (1980). *Street-level bureaucracy: Dilemmas of the individual in public service*. New York, NY: Russell Sage Foundation.
- Lipsky, M. (2010). *Street-level bureaucracy: Dilemmas of the individual in public service* (30th anniversary expanded ed.). New York, NY: Russell Sage Foundation.
- Loyens, K., Schott, C., & Steen, T. (2019). Strict enforcement or responsive regulation? How inspector-inspectee interaction and inspectors’ role identity shape decision making. In S. Van de Walle & N. J. Raaphorst (Eds.), *Inspectors and enforcement at the front line of government* (pp. 79–94). Cham, Switzerland: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Luszczynska, A., & Gutiérrez-Doña, B. (2005). General self-efficacy in various domains of human functioning: Evidence from five countries. *International Journal of Psychology*, 40(2), 80–89.
- ## M
- Magee, J. C., & Smith, P. K. (2013). The social distance theory of power. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, 17(2), 158–186.
- Maio, G. R., & Haddock, G. (2009). *The psychology of attitudes and attitude change*. London, UK: Sage Publications.
- Maio, G. R., & Haddock, G. (2015). *The psychology of attitudes and attitude change* (2nd ed.). London, UK: Sage Publications.
- Majumdar, S. R. (2008). Using the survey as an instrument of inquiry in research. In K. Yang & G. Miller (Eds.), *Handbook of research methods in public administration* (2nd ed., pp. 241–254). Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press.
- Maroulis, S. (2017). The role of social network structure in street-level innovation. *American Review of Public Administration*, 47(4), 419–430.
- Marsh, H. W., & Hocevar, D. (1985). Application of confirmatory factor analysis to the study of self-concept: First- and higher order factor models and their invariance across groups. *Psychological Bulletin*, 97(3), 562–582.
- Mastracci, S. H., Newman, M. A., & Guy, M. E. (2006). Appraising emotion work: Determining whether emotional labor is valued in government jobs. *American Review of Public Administration*, 36(2), 123–138.
- May, P. J., & Winter, S. C. (2009). Politicians, managers, and street-level bureaucrats: Influences on policy implementation.

- Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 19(3), 453–476.
- Maynard-Moody, S. W., & Musheno, M. C. (2003). *Cops, teachers, counselors: Stories from the front lines of public service*. Ann Arbor, MI: University of Michigan Press.
- Merton, R. K. (1940). Bureaucratic structure and personality. *Social Forces*, 18(4), 560–568.
- Miller, E. J., & Rice, A. K. (1967). *Systems of organizations: The control of task and sentient boundaries*. London, UK: Tavistock.
- Moreland, R. L., & Levine, J. M. (2006). Socialization in organizations and work groups. In R. L. Moreland & J. M. Levine (Eds.), *Small groups. Key readings* (pp. 469–498). New York, NY: Psychology Press.
- Morrison, E. W. (1993). Longitudinal study of the effects of information seeking on newcomer socialization. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 78(2), 173–183.
- Moscovici, S. (1988). Notes toward a description of social representations. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 18(3), 211–250.
- Moyson, S., Raaphorst, N., Groeneveld, S., & Van de Walle, S. (2018). Organizational socialization in public administration research: A systematic review and directions for future research. *American Review of Public Administration*, 48(6), 610–627.
- Musheno, M. C., & Maynard-Moody, S. W. (2016). ‘Playing the rules’: Discretion in social and policy context. In P. L. Hupe, M. Hill, & A. Buffat (Eds.), *Understanding street-level bureaucracy* (pp. 169–186). Bristol, UK: Policy Press.
- N**
- Nelson, B. J. (1981). Client evaluations of social programs. In C. T. Goodsell (Ed.), *The public encounter: Where state and citizen meet* (pp. 23–42). Bloomington, IN: Indiana University Press.
- Nielsen, V. L. (2011). Are street-level bureaucrats compelled or enticed to cope? *Public Administration*, 84(4), 861–889.
- Nielsen, V. L. (2016). Law enforcement behavior of regulatory inspectors. In P. L. Hupe, M. Hill, & A. Buffat (Eds.), *Understanding street-level bureaucracy* (pp. 115–132). Bristol, UK: Policy Press.
- Nisar, M. A., & Maroulis, S. (2017). Foundations of relating: Theory and evidence on the formation of street-level bureaucrats’ workplace networks. *Public Administration Review*, 77(6), 829–839.
- Northouse, P. G. (2018). *Leadership: Theory and practice*, (8th ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE Publications, Inc.
- NOS (2019, December 11). SP en CDA kwaad over zwartgelakte dossiers kinderopvangtoeslag. [SP and CDA angry about blacked out files childcare benefit]. Retrieved from <https://nos.nl/artikel/2314231-spen-cda-kwaad-over-zwartgelakte-dossiers-kinderopvangtoeslag.html>
- NRC (2019, December 13). Belastingdienst gaat gedupeerden zwartgelakte dossiers bellen. [Tax administration will call aggrieved parties blacked out files]. Retrieved from <https://www.nrc.nl/nieuws/2019/12/13/belastingdienst-gaat-gedupeerden-zwartgelakte-dossiers-bellen-a3983775>
- O**
- Oberfield, Z. W. (2010). Rule following and discretion at government’s frontlines: Continuity and change during organization socialization. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 20(4), 735–755.
- Oberfield, Z. W. (2012). Socialization and self-selection: How police officers develop

- their views about using force. *Administration & Society*, 44(6), 702–730.
- Oberfield, Z. W. (2014a). *Becoming bureaucrats. Socialization at the front Lines of government service*. Philadelphia, PA: University of Pennsylvania Press.
- Oberfield, Z. W. (2014b). Public management in time: A longitudinal examination of the full range of leadership theory. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 24(2), 407–429.
- Oberfield, Z. (2019). Change and stability in public workforce development: A 10-year study of new officers in an urban police department. *Public Management Review*, 21(12), 1753–1774.
- OECD. (2013). *Co-operative compliance: A framework. From enhanced relationship to co-operative compliance*. Paris, France: OECD Publishing.
- Olsen, J. P. (2005). Maybe it is time to rediscover bureaucracy. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 16(1), 1–24.
- Olson, M. A., & Kendrick, R. V. (2008). Origins of attitudes. In W. D. Crano & R. Prislin (Eds.), *Attitudes and attitude change* (pp. 111–130). London, UK/New York, NY: Psychology Press.
- Ottati, V., Edwards, J., & Krumdick, N. D. (2005). Attitude theory and research: Intradisciplinary and interdisciplinary connections. In D. Albarracín, B. T. Johnson, & M. P. Zanna (Eds.), *The handbook of attitudes* (pp. 707–742). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Publishers.
- P**
- Pandey, S. K., & Scott, P. G. (2002). Red Tape: A review and assessment of concepts and measures. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 12(4), 553–580.
- Pedersen, M. J., Stritch, J. M., & Thuesen, F. (2018). Punishment on the frontlines of public service delivery: Client ethnicity and caseworker sanctioning decisions in a Scandinavian welfare state. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 28(3), 339–354.
- Peeters, F. P. M. L., Ponds, R. W. H. M., & Vermeeren, M. T. G. (1996). Affectiviteit en zelfbeoordeling van depressie en angst. [Affectivity and self-assessment of depression and fear]. *Tijdschrift Voor Psychiatrie*, 38(3), 240–250.
- Perry, J. L. (1996). Measuring public service motivation: An assessment of construct reliability and validity. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 6(1), 5–22.
- Perry, J. L. (2012). How can we improve our science to generate more usable knowledge for public professionals? *Public Administration Review*, 72(4), 479–482.
- Petty, R. E., Wegener, D. T., & Fabrigar, L. R. (1997). Attitudes and attitude change. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 48, 609–647.
- Piore, M. J. (2011). Beyond markets: Sociology, street-level bureaucracy, and the management of the public sector. *Regulation & Governance*, 5(1), 145–164.
- Podsakoff, P. M., MacKenzie, S. B., Moorman, R. H., & Fetter, R. (1990). Transformational leader behaviors and their effects on followers' trust in leader, satisfaction, and organizational citizenship behaviors. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 1(2), 107–142.
- Podsakoff, P. M., MacKenzie, S. B., & Podsakoff, N. P. (2012). Sources of method bias in social science research and recommendations on how to control it. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 63, 539–569.
- Podsakoff, P. M., & Organ, D. W. (1986). Self-reports in organizational research: Problems and prospects. *Journal of Management*, 12(4), 531–544.

- Portillo, S. (2012). The paradox of rules: Rules as resources and constraints. *Administration & Society*, 44(1), 87–108.
- Portillo, S., & DeHart-Davis, L. (2009). Gender and organizational rule abidance. *Public Administration Review*, 69(2), 339–347.
- Prislin, R., & Wood, W. (2005). Social influence in attitudes and attitude change. In D. Albarracín, B. T. Johnson, & M. P. Zanna (Eds.), *The handbook of attitudes* (pp. 671–706). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Publishers.
- Prottas, J. M. (1978). The power of the street-level bureaucrat in public service bureaucracies. *Urban Affairs Quarterly*, 13(3), 285–312.
- Prottas, J. M. (1979). *People-processing: The street-level bureaucrat in public service bureaucracies*. Lexington, MA: Lexington Books.
- R**
- Raaphorst, N. J. (2017). *Uncertainty in bureaucracy: Toward a sociological understanding of frontline decision making* (Doctoral dissertation). Retrieved from <https://repub.eur.nl/pub/102300/>
- Raaphorst, N. J., & Van de Walle, S. (2017). A signaling perspective on bureaucratic encounters: How public officials interpret signals and cues. *Social Policy and Administration*. Advance online publication. doi.org/10.1111/spol.12369
- Raaphorst, N. J., & Loyens, K. (2020). From poker games to kitchen tables: How social dynamics affect frontline decision making. *Administration & Society*, 52(1), 31–56.
- Rafferty, A. E., & Griffin, M. A. (2004). Dimensions of transformational leadership: Conceptual and empirical extensions. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 15(3), 329–354.
- Rafferty, A. E., & Griffin, M. A. (2006). Refining individualized consideration: Distinguishing developmental leadership and supportive leadership. *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology*, 79(1), 37–61.
- Riccucci, N. M. (2005). *How management matters: Street-level bureaucrats and welfare reform*. Washington, DC: Georgetown University Press.
- Ridgeway, C. L. (2001). Gender, status, and leadership. *Journal of Social Issues*, 57(4), 637–655.
- Rockwood, N. J., & Hayes, A. F. (2017, May). MLmed: An SPSS macro for multi-level mediation and conditional process analysis. Poster presented at the annual meeting of the Association of Psychological Science (APS), Boston, MA.
- Rollag, K. (2004). The impact of relative tenure on newcomer socialization dynamics. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 25(7), 853–872.
- Rosenberg, M. J., & Hovland, C. I. (1960). Cognitive, affective, and behavioral components of attitudes. In M. J. Rosenberg & C. I. Hovland (Eds.), *Attitude organization and change* (3rd ed., pp. 1–14). New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.
- Rossignac-Milon, M., & Higgins, E. T. (2018). Epistemic companions: Shared reality development in close relationships. *Current Opinion in Psychology*, 23, 66–71.
- RTL Nieuws (2019a, December 13). Toeslagenaffaire: Belastingdienst zat ouders veel langer dwars. [Benefit affair: Tax administration thwarted parents much longer]. Retrieved from <https://www.rtlnieuws.nl/nieuws/nederland/artikel/4955021/toeslagenaffaire-belastingdienst-mennosnel-kinderopvang-nationale>
- RTL Nieuws (2019b, November 26). Ambtenaren Belastingdienst eisen straf voor leidinggevenden toeslagenaffaire. [Civil servants tax administration

- demand punishment for managers benefit affair]. Retrieved from <https://www.rtlnieuws.nl/nieuws/artikel/4935236/belastingdienst-toeslagenaffaire-menno-snel-kinderopvang>
- Rutz, S., & De Bont, A. (2019). Collective discretionary room: How inspectors decide with providers and citizens. In S. Van de Walle & N. J. Raaphorst (Eds.), *Inspectors and enforcement at the front line of government* (pp. 187–204). Cham, Switzerland: Palgrave Macmillan.
- S**
- Sandfort, J. R. (2000). Moving beyond discretion and outcomes: Examining public management from the front lines of the welfare system. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 10(4), 729–756.
- Sandfort, J. R., Ong, S. J., & McKay, C. (2019). Performance management regimes in practice: Examining the local agencies implementing temporary assistance for needy families. *American Review of Public Administration*, 49(2), 145–158.
- Savaya, R., Gardner, F., & Stange, D. (2011). Stressful encounters with social work clients: A descriptive account based on critical incidents. *Social Work*, 56(1), 63–71.
- Schaufeli, W. B. (2013). What is engagement? In C. Truss, R. Delbridge, K. Alfes, A. Shantz, & E. Soane (Eds.), *Employee engagement in theory and practice* (pp. 15–35). London, UK: Routledge.
- Schaufeli, W. B., Bakker, A. B., & Salanova, M. (2006). The measurement of work engagement with a brief questionnaire: A cross-national study. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 66(4), 701–716.
- Scott, W. R., & Davis, G. F. (2016). *Organizations and organizing: Rational, natural, and open system perspectives* (International student ed.). London, UK/New York, NY: Routledge.
- Scherer, U., & Scherer, K. R. (1980). Psychological factors in bureaucratic encounters: Determinants and effects of interactions between officials and clients. In S. W. Singleton, P. Spurgeon, & R. B. Stammers (Eds.), *The analysis of social skill* (pp. 315–328). New York, NY: Plenum Press.
- Scholz, U., Gutiérrez-Doña, B., Sud, S., & Schwarzer, R. (2002). Is general self-efficacy a universal construct? *European Journal of Psychological Assessment*, 18(3), 242–251.
- Schram, S.F., Soss, J., Fording, R.C., & Houser, L. (2009). Deciding to discipline: Race, choice, and punishment at the frontlines of welfare reform. *American Sociological Review*, 74(3), 398–422.
- Schuppan, T. (2016). Service workers on the electronic leash? Street-level bureaucrats in emerging information and communication technology work contexts. In P. L. Hupe, M. Hill, & A. Buffat (Eds.), *Understanding street-level bureaucracy* (pp. 243–260). Bristol, UK: Policy Press.
- Schwarz, N. (2008). Attitude measurement. In W. D. Crano & R. Prislin (Eds.), *Attitudes and attitude change* (pp. 41–60). London, UK/New York, NY: Psychology Press.
- Scott, W. R. (2014). *Institutions and organizations: Ideas, interests, and identities* (4th ed.). Los Angeles, CA: Sage.
- Sherer, M., Maddux, J. E., Mercandante, B., Prentice-Dunn, S., Jacobs, B., & Rogers, R. W. (1982). The self-efficacy scale: Construction and validation. *Psychological Reports*, 51(2), 663–671.
- Shamir, B., House, R. J., & Arthur, M. B. (1993). The motivational effects of charismatic leadership: A self-concept based theory. *Organization Science*, 4(4), 577–594.

- Siciliano, M. D. (2015). Advice networks in public organizations: The role of structure, internal competition, and individual attributes. *Public Administration Review*, 75(4), 548–559.
- Siciliano, M. D. (2017). Professional networks and street-level performance: How public school teachers' advice networks influence student performance. *American Review of Public Administration*, 47(1), 79–101.
- Simon, H. A. (1947). A comment on 'the science of public administration'. *Public Administration Review*, 7(3), 200–203.
- Simon, H. A. (1997). *Administrative behavior: A study of decision-making processes in administrative organizations* (4th ed.). New York, NY: The Free Press.
- Sinclair, S., Huntsinger, J., Skorinko, J., & Hardin, C. D. (2005). Social tuning of the self: Consequences for the self-evaluations of stereotype targets. *Interpersonal Relations and Group Processes*, 89(2), 160–175.
- Smith, M. B. (1947). The personal setting of public opinions: A study of attitudes towards Russia. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 11(4), 507–523.
- Soss, J., Fording, R. C., & Schram, S. F. (2011). The organization of discipline: From performance management to perversity and punishment. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 21(Issue suppl_2), i203–i232.
- Soydan, H. (1995). A cross-cultural comparison of how social workers in Sweden and England assess a migrant family. *Scandinavian Journal of Social Welfare*, 4(2), 85–93.
- Stets, J. E., & Burke, P. J. (2000). Identity theory and social identity theory. *Social Psychology Quarterly*, 63(3), 224–237.
- Stevens, L. G. M., Pheijffer, M., Van den Broek, J. G. A., Keizer, Th. J., & Van der Hel-van Dijk, L. (2012). Tax supervision - Made to measure: Flexible when possible, strict where necessary. Retrieved from https://www.belastingdienst.nl/wps/wcm/connect/bldcontenten/themaoverstijgend/brochures_and_publications/tax_supervision_made_to_measure
- Stone, C. N. (1981). Attitudinal tendencies among officials. In C. T. Goodsell (Ed.), *The public encounter: Where state and citizen meet* (pp. 43–68). Bloomington, IN: Indiana University Press.
- Stone, C. N., & Feldbaum, E. G. (1976). Blame, complacency, and pessimism: Attitudes and problem perceptions among selected street level administrators in two suburban counties. *Administration & Society*, 8(1), 79–106.

T

- Tajfel, H., & Turner, J. C. (1986). Social identity theory of intergroup behavior. In S. Worchel & W. G. Austin (Eds.), *Psychology of intergroup relations* (pp. 7–24). Chicago, IL: Nelson-Hall.
- Taylor, S. E. (2006). Tend and befriend: Biobehavioral bases of affiliation under stress. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 15(6), 273–277.
- Thomann, E., Hupe, P. L., & Sager, F. (2018). Serving many masters: Public accountability in private policy implementation. *Governance*, 31(2), 299–319.
- Thompson, E. R. (2007). Development and validation of an internationally reliable short-form of the Positive and Negative Affect Schedule (PANAS). *Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology*, 38(2), 227–242.
- Thompson, V. A. (2013). *Modern organization: A general theory* (original work published in 1961). Mansfield Centre, CT: Martino Publishing.
- Toshkov, D. (2016). *Research design in political science*. New York, NY: Palgrave.
- Tummers, L. G. (2012). Policy alienation of public professionals: The construct and

- its measurement. *Public Administration Review*, 72(4), 516–525.
- Tummers, L. G., Bekkers, V., Vink, E., & Musheno, M. C. (2015). Coping during public service delivery: A conceptualization and systematic review of the literature. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 25(4), 1099–1126.
- Tummers, L. G., & Musheno, M. C. (2015, September). *Coping during public service delivery: Developing a measurement instrument for survey research*. Paper presented at the 2015 EGPA annual conference, Speyer, Germany. Retrieved from <http://larstummers.com/wp-content/uploads/2012/01/Paper-EGPA-Tummers-Musheno-FINAL.pdf>
- Turner, J. C., & Haslam, S. A. (2001). Social identity, organizations, and leadership. In M. E. Turner (Ed.), *Groups at work: Advances in theory and research* (pp. 25–66). New York, NY: Erlbaum.
- ## V
- Van de Walle, S. (2004). Context-specific images of the archetypical bureaucrat: Persistence and diffusion of the bureaucracy stereotype. *Public Voices*, 7(1), 3–12.
- Van de Walle, S., & Groeneveld, S. (2011). New steering instruments: Trends in public sector practice and scholarship. In S. Groeneveld & S. Van de Walle (Eds.), *Research in public policy analysis and management*, (Vol. 21, pp. 205–214). Bingley, UK: Emerald.
- Van de Walle, S., & L. Lahat (2016). Do public officials trust citizens? A welfare state perspective. *Social Policy and Administration*, 51(7), 1450–1469.
- Van de Walle, S., & Raaphorst, N. J. (Eds.). (2019). *Inspectors and enforcement at the front line of government*. Cham, Switzerland: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Van den Berg, H., Manstead, A. S. R., Van der Pligt, J., & Wigboldus, D. H. J. (2005). The impact of affective and cognitive focus on attitude formation. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 42(3), 373–379.
- Van Engen, N. A. M. (2019). Quantitative street-level bureaucracy research. In P. L. Hupe (Ed.), *Research handbook on street-level bureaucracy: The ground floor of government in context* (pp. 304–316). Cheltenham, UK: Edward Elgar Publishing limited.
- Van Kleef, D., Schott, C., & Steen, T. (2015). Inspections services and inter-rater reliability: Differentiating professional role identities of Dutch veterinary inspectors. *International Journal of Public Administration*, 38(2), 132–142.
- Van Knippenberg, D., & Van Kleef, G. A. (2016). Leadership and affect: Moving the hearts and minds of followers. *The Academy of Management Annals*, 10(1), 799–840.
- Van Knippenberg, D., & Sitkin, S. B. (2013). A critical assessment of charismatic—transformational leadership research: Back to the drawing board? *The Academy of Management Annals*, 7(1), 1–60.
- Van Maanen, J. E., & Schein, E. H. (1979). Toward a theory of organizational socialization. In B. M. Staw (Ed.), *Research in organizational behavior* (Vol. 1, pp. 209–264). Greenwich, CT: JAI Press.
- Van Ryzin, G. G., Riccucci, N. M., & Li, H. (2017). Representative bureaucracy and its symbolic effect on citizens: A conceptual replication. *Public Management Review*, 19(9), 1365–1379.
- Vermeeren, B., Kuipers, B., & Steijn, B. (2011). Two faces of the satisfaction mirror. A study of work environment, job satisfaction and customer satisfaction in Dutch municipalities. *Review of Public Personnel Administration*, 31(2), 171–189.

- Vermeeren, B., Kuipers, B., & Steijn, B. (2014). Does leadership style make a difference? Linking HRM, job satisfaction, and organizational performance. *Review of Public Personnel Administration*, 34(2), 174–195.
- Vigoda, E. (2000). Are you being served? The responsiveness of public administration to citizens' demands: An empirical examination in Israel. *Public Administration*, 78(1), 165–191.
- Vigoda, E. (2002). From responsiveness to collaboration: Governance, citizens, and the next generation of public administration. *Public Administration Review*, 62(5), 527–540.
- Vinzant, J. C., & Crothers, L. (1998). *Street-level leadership: Discretion and legitimacy in front-line public service*. Washington, DC: Georgetown University Press.
- W**
- Walsh, W. B. (2004). Personality psychology for organizational researcher. In B. Schneider & D. B. Smith (Eds.), *Personality and organizations* (pp. 141–162). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Wanberg, C. R. (2012). Facilitating organizational socialization: An introduction. In C. R. Wanberg (Ed.), *The Oxford handbook of organizational socialization* (pp. 3–7). New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Watson, D., Clark, L. A., & Tellegen, A. (1988). Development and validation of brief measures of positive and negative affect: The PANAS scales. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 54(6), 1063–1070.
- Weber, M. (1946). *Essays in sociology*, translated by H. H. Gerth & C. Wright Mills. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Wilkins, V. M. (2007). Exploring the causal story: Gender, active representation, and bureaucratic priorities. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 17(1), 77–94.
- Wilson, J. Q. (1989). *Bureaucracy: What government agencies do and why they do it*. New York, NY: Basic Books.
- Winter, S. C. (2002, September). *Explaining street-level bureaucratic behavior in social and regulatory policies*. Paper presented at the American Political Science Association Annual Meeting, Boston, MA.
- Worden, R. E. (1989). Situational and attitudinal explanations of police behavior: A theoretical reappraisal and empirical assessment. *Law & Society Review*, 23(4), 667–711.
- Wright, B. E., & Pandey, S. K. (2010). Transformational leadership in the public sector: Does structure matter? *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 20(1), 75–89.
- Wright, B. M., & Barker, J. R. (2000). Assessing concertive control in the term environment. *Journal of occupational and organizational psychology*, 73(3), 345–361.
- Y**
- Yang, K., Zhang, Y., & Holzer, M. (2008). Dealing with multiple paradigms in public administration research. In K. Yang & G. Miller (Eds.), *Handbook of research methods in public administration* (2nd ed., pp. 25–44). Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press.
- Yukl, G. (1999). An evaluation of conceptual weaknesses in transformational and charismatic leadership theories. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 10(2), 285–305.
- Yukl, G. (2010). *Leadership in organizations* (7th ed.). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Z**
- Zacka, B. (2017). *When the state meets the street: Public service and moral agency*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard university press.