











#### CONTINUOUS PULSE OXIMETRY PHOTOPLETYSMOGRAPH (PPG) WAVEFORMS PREDICT TRANSFUSION AFTER TRAUMA

Peter Hu, YuLei Wang, *Colin Mackenzie (presenter)*, ShihYu Chen, Cristina Imle, Amechi Anazodo, Catriona Miller, Chein-I Chang, Joseph DuBose, Raymond Fang & ONPOINT Investigator Group\* Abstract 390: Funded by USAF:FA8650-11-2-6D01(PI Colin Mackenzie MD, USAF PI Joseph Dubose MD) \* Amechi Anazodo, Steven Barker, John Blenko, Patrick Boyle, Chein-I Chang, Hegang Chen, William Chiu, Theresa Dinardo, Joseph duBose, , Raymond Fang, Yvette Fouche, Sam Galvagno, Lisa Gettings, Linda Goetz, Tom Grissom, Victor Guistina, George Hagegeorge, Anthony Herrera, John Hess, Peter Hu, Cris Imle, Matthew Lissauer, Colin Mackenzie, Jay Menaker, Karen Murdock, Mayur Narayan, Tim Oates, Sarah Saccicchio, Thomas Scalea, Stacy Shackelford, Robert Sikorski, Lynn Smith, Lynn Stansbury, Deborah Stein, and Chris Stephens, Catriona Miller, and PhD candidates Shi-Ming Yang, Shih Yu Chen, Xian Shu Zhu, Yulei Wang, Yao Li

#### **Disclosure Information**

84<sup>th</sup> Annual AsMA Scientific Meeting Colin Mackenzie

I have no financial relationships to disclose.

I will not discuss off-label use and/or investigational use in my presentation

### GAP ANALYSIS

- Advanced identification of bleeding casualties would assist triage.
- Notification of likely need for transfusion before aeromedical transport would speed blood bank preparations.
- Actionable Intervention: Casualties who are predicted to need massive transfusion (> 4 units pRBC in 4 h) should have hemorrhage control procedures initiated STAT

### BACKGROUND

- Commonest causes of death in combat casualties are head injury followed by truncal exsanguinations.<sup>1</sup>
- Major hemorrhage is the most frequent avoidable cause of early mortality in combat casualties,<sup>2</sup> and the civilian setting,
- Among those who survive at least 15 minutes into advanced trauma care but go on to die of bleeding, half are dead within 2 hours.<sup>3</sup>
- Recognition of the acute coagulopathy of trauma and delivery of coagulation factors (as plasma) and platelets in near-equivalence with red cells must be done quickly.<sup>4</sup>
- Large numbers of units of Group O red cells (UnXRBC) can be provided immediately upon arrival
- Equivalent proportions of platelets and plasma require a 20 mins processing time. In the military setting, fresh whole blood may be from "walking blood donors," requires 30-60 minutes.
- Refs: 1. J Trauma. 2008; 64:295–9.;2. Mil Med 1984;149:55–62; 3 J Trauma. 2010: 69:620-6.;4. J Trauma. 2007; 63:805-13.78.

## Background



#### Percent survival over time (1.5 to 96 hours and 8-128 days) for the four major causes of death, R Adams Cowley Shock Trauma Center, FY 1997-2008

Dutton RP, et al. Trauma mortality in mature trauma systems: Are we doing better? An analysis of trauma mortality patterns, 1997-2008. J Trauma. 2010: 69:620-6.

#### Historic Data on Shock Trauma Blood Use 2009-2010 (Lynn Stansbury MD MPH)

- Group O Universal Donor blood on admission 2-3%
- Mass. Transf. 1 (> 4 u pRBC

in 4 h)

- Mass. Transf. 2 (>9 u pRBC in 6 h)
- Mass. Transf. 3 (>9 u pRBC in 24 h)

Total Direct STC Trauma Admissions > 8,000 patients ( 3-5% of patients get MT, 8% blood)



#### Hypothesis:

**PPG** features can predict transfusion better than the current routinely used pre hospital vital signs thresholds [SBP < 90 mmHg, HR > 120/min Shock Index (HR/ SBP) >0.9]

#### ONPOINT ENROLLMENT Prospective In-Hospital Data

- Inclusion Criteria
- Direct Trauma Admit
- Shock Index (SI) > 0.62
- Priority 1
- > 17 years
- Lived > 15 min
- VS and PPG waveforms

Timing and quantities of blood transfused were identified by observation, validated with blo bank data.

- Exclusion Criteria
- Non Trauma
- SI <0.62
- <18 years

									vi oluuy	I AUCHLEN	IOIICHICHI	Ollect								
Heart Rate (beats per min)																				
		50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	100	105	110	115	120	125	130	135	140
	60	0.83	0.92	1.00	1.08	1.17	1.25	1.33	1.42	1.50	1.58	1.67	1.75	1.83	1.92	2.00	2.08	2.17	2.25	2.33
	65	0.77	0.85	0.92	1.00	1.08	1.15	1.23	1.31	1.38	1.46	1.54	1.62	1.69	1.77	1.85	1.92	2.00		2.15
	70	0.71	0.79	0.86	0.93	1.00	1.07	1.14	1.21	1.29	1.36	1.43	1.50	1.57	1.64	1.71	1.79	1.86	1.93	2.00
	75	0.67	0.73	0.80	0.87	0.93	1.00	1.07	1.13	120	1.27	1.33	1.40	1.47	1.53	1.60	1.67	1.73	1.80	1.87
	80	0.63	0.69	0.75	0.81	0.88	0.94	1.00	1.06	1.13	1.19	1.25	1.31	1.38	1.44	1.50	1.56	1.63	1.69	1.75
	85	0.59	0.65	0.71	0.76	0.82	0.88	0.94	1.00	1.06	1.12	1.18	1.24	1.29	1.35	1.41	1.47	1.53	1.59	1.65
	90	0.56	0.61	0.67	0.72	0.78	0.83	0.89	0.94	1.00	1.06	1.11	1.17	1.22	1.28	1.33	1.39	1.44	1.50	1.56
	95	0.53	0.58	0.63	0.68	0.74	0.79	0.84	0.89	0.95	1.00	1.05	1.11	1.16	1.21	1.26	1.32	1.37	1.42	1.47
	100	0.50	0.55	0.60	0.65	0.70	0.75	0.80	0.85	0.90	0.95	1.00	1.05	1.10	1.15	1.20	1.25	1.30	1.35	1.40
	105	0.48	0.52	0.57	0.62	0.67	0.71	0.76	0.81	0.86	0.90	0.95	1.00	1.05	1.10	1.14	1.19	1.24	1.29	1.33
	110	0.45	0.50	0.55	0.59	0.64	0.68	0.73	0.77	0.82	0.86	0.91	0.95	1.00	1.05	1.09	1.14	1.18	1.23	1.27
	115	0.43	0.48	0.52	0.57	0.61	0.65	0.70	0.74	0.78	0.83	0.87	0.91	0.96	1.00	1.04	1.09	1.13	1.17	1.22
	120	0.42	0.46	0.50	0.54	0.58	0.63	0.67	0.71	0.75	0.79	0.83	0.88	0.92	0.96	1.00	1.04	1.08	1.13	1.17
	125	0.40	0.44	0.48	0.52	0.56	0.60	0.64	0.68	0.72	0.76	0.80	0.84	0.88	0.92	0.96	1.00	1.04	1.08	1.12
	130	0.38	0.42	0.46	0.50	0.54	0.58	0.62	0.65	0.69	0.73	0.77	0.81	0.85	0.88	0.92	0.96	1.00	1.04	1.08
$\dot{\mathbf{A}}$	৲শ	0.37	0.41	0.44	0.48	0.52	0.56	0.59	0.63	0.67	0.70	0.74	0.78	0.81	0.85	0.89	0.93	0.96	1.00	1.04
Ψ	ገሧ	0.36	0.39	0.43	0.46	0.50	0.54	0.57	0.61	0.64	0.68	0.71	0.75	0.79	0.82	0.86	0.89	0.93	0.96	1.00
	145	0.34	0.38	0.41	0.45	0.48	0.52	0.55	0.59	0.62	0.66	0.69	0.72	0.76	0.79	0.83	0.86	0.90	0.93	0.97
	150	0.33	0.37	0.40	0.43	0.47	0.50	0.53	0.57	0.60	0.63	0.67	0.70	0.73	0.77	0.80	0.83	0.87	0.90	0.93
	155	0.32	0.35	0.39	0.42	0.45	0.48	0.52	0.55	0.58	0.61	0.65	0.68	0.71	0.74	0.77	0.81	0.84	0.87	0.90
	160	0.31	0.34	0.38	0.41	0.44	0.47	0.50	0.53	0.56	0.59	0.63	0.66	0.69	0.72	0.75	0.78	0.81	0.84	0.88
	165	0.30	0.33	0.36	0.39	0.42	0.45	0.48	0.52	0.55	0.58	0.61	0.64	0.67	0.70	0.73	0.76	0.79	0.82	0.85
	170	0.29	0.32	0.35	0.38	0.41	0.44	0.47	0.50	0.53	0.56	0.59	0.62	0.65	0.68	0.71	0.74	0.76	0.79	0.82
	175	0.29	0.31	0.34	0.37	0.40	0.43	0.46	0.49	0.51	0.54	0.57	0.60	0.63	0.66	0.69	0.71	0.74	0.77	0.80
	180	0.28	0.31	0.33	0.36	0.39	0.42	0.44	0.47	0.50	0.53	0.56	0.58	0.61	0.64	0.67	0.69	0.72	0.75	0.78



(A), data table sources: outcomes, specific vital signs (VS) features, age and gender subgroupings;
(B) threshold vs. receiver operator characteristic (ROC) and probability (P); (C): threshold vs. sensitivity and specificity; (D): two-dimensional ROC and the ROC-area-under-curve (AUC) values;
(E): spatial graph of the three-dimensional ROC (3DROC) curve

## RESULTS Continuous PPG amplitude features

- PPG and other VS data were collected in 374 patients with SI>0.62
- 13 patients received blood within 3hours(h), 11 within 6 h, and 23 patients within peak
   24 h.

vallev

• 10 Features extracted from continuous PPG waveforms

P1~P9 represent 9 percentile amplitude (10%~90%) of the continuous PPG waveform

IQ1 & IQ2represent interquartile range of 25% & 75% amplitude



### **RESULTS TABLE**

	pRBC 1-3h			pR	RBC 3-6	6H	pRBC 12-24h			
Vital Sign	AUC	Sn%	Sp%	AUC	Sn%	Sp%	AUC	Sn%	Sp%	
PPG Features*	0.79	69.0	86.0	0.7	55.0	85.0	0.84	89	79.0	
SBP<90	0.6	23.1	91.1	0.52	9.1	95.5	0.52	100	4.7	
HR>120	0.55	23.1	86.4	0.52	90.9	14.0	0.57	100	14.2	
SI>0.9	0.7	61.5	79.4	0.62	45.4	78.6	0.62	44.4	78.5	

N = 374 patients

\* p<0.001 all other predictions for pRBC use

#### Predicting on-going hemorrhage and transfusion requirement after severe trauma: a validation of six scoring systems and algorithms on the TraumaRegister DGU® Brockamp et al. *Critical Care* 2012, 16:R129

#### Table 3 Performance of compared scores.

	TASH	Rainer	Vandromme	Larson	Schreiber	ABC
AUC	0.889	0.860	0.840	0.823	0.800	0.763
95% CI	0.871, 0.907	0.839, 0.881	0.817, 0.863	0.800, 0.847	0.773, 0.828	0.732, 0.794
Cut-off point	≥ 8.5	≥ 2.5	≥ 1.5	≥ 1.5	≥ 0.5	≥ 0.5
Sensitivity, %	84.4	80.6	78.9	70.9	85.8	76.1
Specificity, %	78.4	77.7	76.2	80.4	61.7	70.3
PPV, %	18.9	17.7	16.5	17.4	11.8	13.2
NPV, %	98.8	98.5	98.4	97.9	98.7	98.0

AUC: area under the curve; CI: confidence interval; PPV: positive predictive value; NPV: negative predictive value; TASH: trauma-associated severe hemorrhage score; ABC: assessment of blood consumption.

# Approaches to MT prediction

<u>TASH score</u>: 7 variables:SBP; Sex; Hb;FAST;HR;BE; ext or pelvic Fx. (= probability of MT > 50%). <u>PWH Score</u>: 7 variables:SBP <90; HR >120; GCS<8; displ pelvic Fx CT or FAST +, BD>5,Hb<7, Hb 7-10 g /dl (Predicts >10 units pRBC in 24 hrs)

Larson Score(1,124 Combat victims:420 MT) Independent predictors Hb; HR,SBP, BD.

Vandromme Score: Lactate > 5; HR > 105; INR > 1.5; Hb < 11 g/dl; SBP < 110 <u>ABC score</u>: SBP< 90; HR > 120, FAST +; penetrating mech Predicts >10 units pRBC in 24 hrs <u>Schreiber Score</u>(558 combat victims: 44% MT): Independent predictors Hb; INR; penetrating mechanism We used one vital sign waveform feature analyses & numeric values and obtained AUC 0.84 Sn 89% Sp 79%

## CONCLUSION

- PPG feature analysis is significantly better than routinely used VS thresholds in prediction of blood transfusion need.
- A single VS device providing continuous automatic transfusion decision assistance by PPG waveform analysis is advantageous compared to the need for both HR(Pulse Ox or ECG) and SBP (NIBP) devices and other tests.

## CONCLUSION

- Near real-time PPG Feature Analysis could be added to existing pulse oximeters as a software upgrade, with no "cost" in footprint size or weight.
- Fully automated (no user input) predictions of transfusion need communicated to the blood bank before/during transport allows advanced preparation of the full range of blood products to minimize coagulopathy and potentially decrease hemorrhagic deaths.
- Funded by USAF:FA8650-11-2-6D01(PI Colin Mackenzie MD, USAF PI Joseph Dubose MD)













### Thank You

- E-mail: phu@umm.edu
- cmack003@umaryland.edu



















- <u>TASH score</u>: 7 variables:SBP; Sex; Hb;FAST;HR;BE; ext or pelvic Fx.
- (p = 1/(1 + exp(5.4 − 0.3 \*TASH))) score ≥ 16 points= probability of MT > 50%.
- <u>PWH Score</u>: 7 variables:SBP <90; HR >120; GCS<8; displ pelvic Fx CT or FAST +, BD>5,Hb<7, Hb 7-10 g /dl

Predicts >10 units pRBC in 24 hrs <u>Vandromme Score</u>: Lactate > 5; HR >

105; INR > 1.5; Hb < 11 g/dl; SBP < 110

- <u>ABC score</u>: SBP< 90; HR > 120, FAST +; penetrating mech *Predicts* >10 units pRBC in 24 hrs
- <u>Schreiber Score</u>(558 combat victims: 44% MT): Independent predictors Hb; INR; penetrating mechanism

Larson Score(1,124 Combat victims:420 MT) Independent predictors Hb; HR,SBP, BD.

- <u>ROPE index</u>: PR / pulse pressure method of predicting early hemorrhage
- Shock Index (SI): HR/ SBP

We will use vital signs waveform

feature analyses & numeric values.

- <u>Waveform feature</u> analyses includes "dose", AUC, ML (SVM,Kernel, Entropy)
- <u>Numeric</u> Value: Concentrate on HR, SpO2, Hb trend (from Pulse Ox).
- ADD Clinical data