Crystal growth, structural and optical characterization of a semi-organic single crystal for frequency conversion applications

P. Anandan^{a,b,*}, G. Parthipan^b, T. Saravanan^b, R. Mohan Kumar^c, G. Bhagavannarayana^d, R. Jayavel^a

^a Crystal Growth Centre, Anna University, Chennai 600 025, India

^b Department of Physics, Thiruvalluvar College of Engineering and Technology, Vandhavasi 604 505, India

^d Materials Characterization Division, National Physical Laboratory, Dr. K.S. Krishnan Road, New Delhi 110 012, India

ABSTRACT

Single crystals of semi-organic L-histidine hydrobromide have been grown by slow evaporation technique from a mixture of L-histidine and hydrobromic acid in aqueous solution at ambient temperature. From high-resolution X-ray diffraction analysis, the crystalline perfection of the grown crystal has been studied. Single crystal X-ray diffraction analyses, Nuclear Magnetic Resonance spectral analysis, Thermo-Gravimetry (TG), Differential Thermal Analysis (DTA) and hardness test have been employed to characterize the as-grown crystals. The UV cutoff wavelength of the grown crystal is below 300 nm and has a wide transparency window, which is suitable for second harmonic generation of laser in the blue region. Nonlinear optical characteristics have been studied using Q switched Nd:YAG laser ($\lambda = 1064$ nm). The second harmonic generation conversion efficiency of the grown crystals confirms their suitability for frequency conversion applications.

1. Introduction

In recent decades, growth of nonlinear optical crystals has been given much importance, because of their potential applications like wave guides, frequency conversion devices, parametric oscillators, etc. Discovery of semi-organic nonlinear properties in L-arginine phosphate (LAP) has played a major role in both attracting the attention to crystalline salts of amino acids and working out the concept of semi-organic crystals [1]. Petrosyan et al. [2] derived some semi-organic nonlinear optical crystals of L-histidine salts and reported the interaction with various acids. Recently our group has reported the effect of solvent normality on the growth of L-tyrosine bromide single crystals [3]. Mukerji and Kar [4] have grown and investigated the structural, thermal and spectroscopic properties of L-arginine hydrobromide single crystals. Only a few papers have reported the growth and properties of L-histidine hydrobromide (LHHB) single crystals [5-7]. Ben Ahmed et al. [8] studied the structural and theoretical studies of the same.

In the present work we succeeded in growing L-histidine hydrobromide (LHHB) single crystals of comparatively larger size

than the previous ones by slow evaporation solution growth technique (SEST). Furthermore, the grown LHHB single crystal has been observed to have large second harmonic generation conversion efficiency and it is better than that of KDP. The crystalline perfection of the grown crystal was studied by highresolution X-ray diffraction (HRXRD) analysis.

2. Experimental

2.1. Synthesis and growth of *L*-histidine hydrobromide (LHHB)

The analytical grade L-histidine (99% pure) and Merck product of hydrobromic acid (HBr) were taken as starting materials to synthesize L-histidine hydrobromide (LHHB). The calculated amount of hydrobromic acid was dissolved in deionized water of resistivity 18.2 M Ω cm. The measured amount of L-histidine was added to the solution slowly with stirring. The prepared solution was kept in a constant temperature bath controlled to an accuracy of ± 0.01 °C and allowed to evaporate at room temperature. The seed obtained by slow evaporation method was used for the bulk growth by slow evaporation technique. The microbes were not observed during the growth of LHHB, probably due to the presence of hydrobromic acid (HBr), which acts destructively on the growth of microbes. Bulk crystals of dimension 25 × 20 × 11 mm³ were harvested after a typical

^c Department of Physics, Presidency College, Chennai 600 005, India

growth period of 30 days. The grown crystal was stable at ambient temperature and was non-hygroscopic. The as-grown crystal is shown in Fig. 1.

2.2. Characterization techniques

The crystalline perfection of the grown single crystal was studied by HRXRD by employing a multi-crystal X-ray diffractometer developed at NPL [9]. The well-collimated and monochromated $MoK_{\alpha 1}$ beam obtained from the three monochromator Si crystals set in dispersive (+, -, -) configuration was used as the exploring X-ray beam. The specimen crystal was aligned in the (+, -, -, +)configuration. Due to the dispersive configuration, though lattice constants of the monochromator crystal(s) and the specimen are different, the unwanted dispersion broadening in the diffraction curve (DC) of the specimen crystal is insignificant. The specimen can be rotated about the vertical axis, which is perpendicular to the plane of diffraction, with minimum angular interval of 0.4 arcsec. Rocking or diffraction curves were recorded by changing the glancing angle (angle between the incident X-ray beam and the surface of the specimen) around the Bragg diffraction peak position $\theta_{\rm B}$ (taken as zero for the sake of convenience) starting from a suitable arbitrary glancing angle and ending at a glancing angle after the peak so that all the meaningful scattered intensities on both sides of the peak can be included in the diffraction curve. The DC was recorded by the so-called ω scan, wherein the detector was kept at the same angular position $2\theta_{\rm B}$ with a wide opening for its slit. This arrangement is very appropriate to record the short range order scattering caused by the defects or the scattering from local Bragg diffractions by agglomerated point defects or low and very low angle structural grain boundaries [10]. Before recording the diffraction curve, the specimen was first lapped and chemically etched in a non-preferential etchant of water and acetone mixture in 1:2 volume ratio to remove the non-crystallized solute atoms remaining on the surface of the crystal and the possible layers, which may sometimes form on the surfaces of crystals grown by solution methods [11], and also to ensure the surface planarity.

Single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis was carried out using an ENRAF CAD-4 diffractometer with MoK_{α} (λ =0.7107 Å) radiation to identify the crystal system and to estimate the lattice parameter values. The FTIR spectrum of LHHB crystal was recorded in the range 400–4000 cm⁻¹ using a Perkin-Elmer spectrometer by KBr pellet method in order to study the presence of various functional groups. The FT-Raman spectrum was recorded using a Bruker IFS66V FT-Raman spectrometer. The ¹³C



Fig. 1. Photograph of as-grown crystal of LHHB.

NMR spectrum of LHHB crystal was recorded using a JEOL GSX 400 instrument at 30 °C. Linear optical properties of the crystals were studied using a UV–visible spectrophotometer. To confirm the nonlinear optical property, the grown crystals were subjected to Kurtz powder SHG test [12]. Hardness of the grown crystal was studied by Vickers's micro-hardness test. The refractive index of the grown crystal was measured using a Metricon 2010 prism coupler instrument. Thermo-Gravimetry (TG), Derivative Thermo-Gravimetric (DTG) and Differential Thermal Analysis (DTA) for LHHB crystals were carried out in nitrogen atmosphere by a NETZSCH Thermal Analyzer to study the thermal properties of the as-grown crystal.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. High-resolution X-ray diffraction study

Fig. 2 shows the high-resolution diffraction curve (DC) recorded for a typical SEST grown LHHB single crystal using (023) diffracting planes in symmetrical Bragg geometry by employing the multi-crystal X-ray diffractometer with $MoK_{\alpha 1}$ radiation. As seen in the figure, the DC contains a single peak, which indicates that the specimen is free from structural grain boundaries. The full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the curve is 18 arcsec, which is only slightly more than that expected for an ideally perfect crystal according to the plane wave theory of dynamical X-ray diffraction [13], but close to that expected for a nearly perfect real crystal.

It is important to note the asymmetry of the DC. For a particular angular deviation ($\Delta\theta$) of glancing angle with respect to the peak position, the scattered intensity is much more in the positive direction as compared to that in the negative direction. This feature clearly indicates that the interstitial type of defects are predominant in the crystal than the vacancy defects. This can be well understood by the fact that due to interstitial defects (self-interstitials or impurities at interstitial sites), which may be due to fast growth and/or impurities present in the raw material, the lattice around these defects undergoes compressive stress [14] and the lattice parameter *d* (inter-planar spacing) decreases and leads to more scattered (also known as diffuse X-ray scattering) intensity at slightly higher Bragg angles (θ_B) as *d* and sin θ_B are inversely proportional to each other in the Bragg



Fig. 2. High-resolution X-ray diffraction curve recorded for a typical LHHB single crystal specimen using (0 2 3) diffracting planes.

equation ($2d \sin \theta_{\rm B} = n\lambda$, n and λ being the order of reflection and wavelength, respectively, which are fixed). However, the single diffraction curve with reasonably low FWHM indicates that the

Table 1Crystal data of LHHB.

Identification code	Single crystal data
Empirical formula Temperature Wavelength Crystal system Space group Unit cell dimension	$C_6H_{10}N_3O_2Br$ 293 K 0.7107 Å Orthorhombic P2 ₁ 2 ₁ 2 ₁ a=7.046 Å b=9.061 Å c=15.271 Å
Volume (Å ³) Density, $ ho$ (g/cm ³)	974.959 1.73



Fig. 3. FTIR spectrum of LHHB.

crystalline perfection is excellent. The density of such interstitial defects is however very low and in almost all real crystals, including nature gifted crystals, these defects are commonly observed and are many times unavoidable due to thermodynamic conditions and hardly affect the device performance. More details may be obtained from the study of high-resolution diffuse X-ray scattering measurements [9], which are however not the main focus of the present investigation. It is worth mentioning here that the observed scattering due to interstitial defects is of short order nature as the strain due to such minute defects is limited to the very defective core, long order could not be expected and hence the change in the lattice parameter of the crystal is not possible. It may be mentioned here that the minute information like the asymmetry in the DC is possible in the present sample only because of the high resolution of the multi-crystal X-ray diffractometer used in the present investigation.

3.2. Single crystal X-ray diffraction study

Single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis has been carried out to determine the lattice parameters. From the single crystal XRD analysis, it was observed that the grown crystals possess orthorhombic structure with P2₁2₁2₁ space group and the lattice parameter values are a=7.046 Å, b=9.061 Å and c=15.271 Å. The single crystal data are in good agreement with reported values [5], and are shown in Table 1.

3.3. Fourier transform infrared and FT-Raman studies

In order to analyze the presence of various functional groups in LHHB qualitatively, Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) and FT-Raman spectra have been recorded and are shown in Figs. 3 and 4, respectively. The absorption peaks from 2000 to 3500 cm⁻¹ include overlapping of absorption peaks due to O–H stretch of –COOH and N–H stretch of NH₃⁺. The CH₂ vibrations, which generally lie just below 3000 cm⁻¹, are not clearly resolved in the FTIR spectrum. The broadening of absorption peaks are clearly resolved in the FT-Raman spectrum. The CH₂ bending mode appears at



Fig. 4. FT-Raman spectrum of LHHB.

1335 cm⁻¹ in the FTIR spectrum and it is at 1344 cm⁻¹ in the Raman spectrum. The C=O vibration of -COOH lies at 1636 cm⁻¹ in the FTIR spectrum, whereas in the Raman spectrum it lies at 1610 cm⁻¹. The torsional oscillation of NH₃⁺ occurs nearly at 527 cm⁻¹ in both the spectra. The wave number and the assignments for FTIR and FT-Raman spectra are presented in Table 2.

3.4. ¹³C nuclear magnetic resonance spectral study

NMR spectrum has been used to identify the carbon atom present in various chemical environments and to confirm the functional groups in the grown LHHB crystal. Fig. 5 shows the recorded ¹³C NMR spectrum. The carboxyl carbon gives its signal at 172.5 ppm. The aliphatic carbon carrying NH_3^+ and imidazole ring gives its signal at 53.54 and 25.75 ppm, respectively. The imidazole ring carbon produces their characteristic signal between 110 and 140 ppm. The ring carbon carrying the alkyl position gives its signal at 127.39 ppm. The ortho- and metacarbon of the ring with respective alkyl substituent give their signal at 134 and 117.72 ppm, respectively. As no other peaks are observed, the compound has been successfully synthesized and it is LHHB as a pure material.

3.5. Linear and nonlinear optical property study

Since absorption, if any, in the NLO material near the fundamental or second harmonic leads to loss of conversion efficiency, it is necessary to have the crystal with good optical transparency in the UV region. Optical transmission spectrum has been recorded in the range between 300 and 1200 nm for the LHHB crystals. The crystals possess UV cutoff below 300 nm and there is no absorption in the visible range of the spectrum. Hence, LHHB is useful for optoelectronic applications and a second harmonic generation (frequency conversion) from the Nd:YAG

Table 2

Wave numbers (cm⁻¹) of FTIR absorption peaks and FT- Raman lines in the spectra of LHHB Crystal.

Wave number (cm $^{-1}$)		Assignment
FTIR	FT-Raman	
3443	Ξ	N–H asym. stretching
3102	3150	N-H sym. stretching
3000	3010	C–H stretching
2955	2984	CH ₂ asym. stretching
2601		NH ₂ ⁺ asym. and sym. stretching
1636	1610	C=O stretching
1574	-	NH ₃ ⁺ stretching
1492	1479	NH ₃ ⁺ bending
1431	1420	C–N stretching
1412	-	N–H bending
1335	1344	CH ₂ deformation
1304	1295	NH ⁺ ₃ in plane bending
1160	1186	C–H deformation
—	1149	C–H in plane bending
959	984	N–H deformation
914	915	C-H out of plane bending
865	884	C–N deformation
822	834	Ring deformation
804	814	C–N deformation
696	706	C=O deformation
628	647,614	Ring deformation or C-N deformation
527	528	Torsion oscillation of NH ₃ ⁺
490	498	O–H in plane bending
_	392	C–C twisting
-	271	C–N deformation



Fig. 5. ¹³C NMR spectrum of LHHB.



Fig. 6. Plot of angle of incidence vs. intensity.

Laser. The SHG efficiency of LHHB was studied by Kurtz and Perry's [12] powder technique. The powder sample prepared from the grown crystal has been subjected to the SHG test and the efficiency of the energy (frequency) conversion is confirmed by the emission of green light from the powder sample. A KDP sample was used as the reference material and output power intensity of LHHB has been found to be 1.6 times that of KDP.

3.6. Refractive index study

To measure the refractive index, the mirror polished crystal of size $10 \times 10 \times 2 \text{ mm}^3$ was used. This sample was clamped against the prism and the index was determined by measuring the critical angle θ_c for the sample–prism interface. Nitrogen gas pressure was used to make a contact between the crystal and the prism. The bulk crystals usually show a clear critical angle knee, from which the refractive index have been calculated automatically. The knee observed is shown in Fig. 6 and the calculated refractive index is 1.6393.

3.7. Vickers' micro-hardness study

Hardness of the grown crystal was estimated by Vickers' micro-hardness test. The indentations were made at room temperature on the prominent plane with a constant dwell time of 5 s. The distance between two indentation points was

maintained to more than three times the diagonal length so as to avoid the mutual interference of indentations. The indentation marks were made on the surface by varying the load from 5 to 45 g. The diagonal length of the indentation impression was measured using a Leitz Metallax II microscope. To get accurate measurements for each applied load three indentations were made on the sample and the average diagonal length (d) of the indenter impressions has been measured. Vickers' micro-hardness number H_v of the crystal was calculated using the equation $H_v = 1.8544P/d^2 \text{ kg/mm}^2$, where P is the applied load and d the average diagonal length of the indenter impression. It has been observed that the hardness value increases ($H_v = 132.2 \text{ kg/mm}^2$) with applied load (P=45 g) due to work hardening of the surface layer. The hardness value of LHHB is much higher than those of L-arginine maleate dihydrate (LAMD) and zinc thiourea chloride (ZTC) crystals [15,16]. Above 45 g load cracks were formed due to the release of internal stress generated locally by indentation and hence the hardness value decreased. The variation in hardness number with load is shown in Fig. 7.

3.8. Thermal study

TG and DTA analyses are of immense importance as far as fabrication technology is concerned, as they provide thermal



Fig. 7. Plot of load vs. hardness number.

stability of the material for fabrication, where a considerable amount of heat is generated during the cutting process. Thermal analysis was performed on the grown crystals to study the thermal stability and melting point. The Thermo-Gravimetric Analysis (TGA) of LHHB was done between room temperature (28 °C) and 1400 °C at a heating rate of 10 °C/min. The experiment was performed in nitrogen atmosphere and the TG, DTG and DTA plots are shown in Fig. 8. The TGA trace appears nearly straight up to 162 °C and a careful examination of Differential Thermal Analysis (DTA) and Derivative Thermo-Gram (DTG) reveals an endothermic peak at 162.5 °C, which could be the melting point and first decomposition temperature, since from 162 °C onwards, decrease in weight was observed in various steps up to 950 °C, which signifies the decomposition of the sample. At temperatures above 500 °C, the final stage of decomposition occurred, giving a total loss equal to 39.42%. Hence, from this study, it can be inferred that the crystal can retain texture up to 162 °C. However in the literature [5,6] it is reported that the melting point is nearly 140 °C. This deviation in melting point may due to the increased bond energy due to the perfect arrangement of molecules and also due to the purity of the material synthesized.

4. Conclusions

Optical quality single crystals of LHHB have been grown by slow evaporation solution growth technique. HRXRD study confirms the crystalline perfection with very low order interstitial defect. Optical transmission studies reveal that LHHB is transparent in the entire visible region and there is no absorption of light to any appreciable extent in the visible range of the electromagnetic spectrum. The refractive index value shows that the grown crystal is a suitable NLO candidate. FTIR, FT-Raman and ¹³CNMR spectral studies reveal the structural and optical properties of the LHHB crystals. Hardness value of the crystal was measured to be higher than those of some of the known semiorganic crystal. Thermal analysis reveals that the sample is thermally stable up to 162 °C. The NLO property studies confirmed that the second harmonic conversion efficiency of the crystal is comparable to those of many NLO crystals and better than that of KDP. Thus, the optical, NLO and thermal properties of the LHHB confirm their suitability for frequency conversion photonic device applications.



Fig. 8. TG, DTG and DTA curves of LHHB crystal.

Acknowledgement

One of the authors (P.A.) is grateful to Mrs. Balamani Arunachalam, Chairperson, Thiruvalluvar College of Engineering and Technology, Vandhavasi 604505, India, for her constant encouragement and support. The authors are thankful to Professor D. Rajan Babu, VIT University, Tamil Nadu, for his help in the measurement of refractive index.

References

- [1] D. Xu, M. Jiang, Z. Tan, Acta Chem. Sin. 41 (1983) 570.
- [2] H.A. Petrosyan, H.A. Karapetyan, M. Yu Antipin, A.M. Petrosyan, J. Cryst. Growth 275 (2005) e1919.
- [3] P. Anandan, T. Saravanan, S. Vasudevan, R. Mohan Kumar, R. Jayavel, J. Cryst. Growth 312 (2010) 837.

- [4] S. Mukerji, T. Kar, Mater. Res. Bull. 33 (1998) 619.
- [5] Reena Ittyachan, P. Sagayaraj, J. Cryst. Growth 249 (2003) 557.
- [6] K.V. Rajendran, D. Jayaraman, R. Jayavel, P. Ramasamy, J. Cryst. Growth 255 (2003) 361.
- [7] N. Vijayan, G. Bhagavannarayana, K. Nagarajan, V. Upadhyaya, Mater. Chem. Phys. 115 (2009) 656.
- [8] A. Ben Ahmed, H. Feki, Y. Abid, H. Boughzala, A. Mlayah, J. Mol. Struct. 888 (2008) 180.
- [9] Lal Krishan, G. Bhagavannarayana, J. Appl. Crystallogr 22 (1989) 209.
- [10] G. Bhagavannarayana, S.K. Kushwaha, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 43 (2010) 154.
- [11] G. Bhagavannarayana, S. Parthiban, Subbiah Meenakshisundaram, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 39 (2006) 784.
- [12] S.K. Kurtz, T.T. Perry, J. Appl. Phys. 39 (1968) 3798.
- [13] B.W. Batterman, H. Cole, Rev. Mod. Phys. 36 (1964) 681.
- [14] G. Bhagavannarayana, S. Parthiban, Subbiah Meenakshisundaram, Cryst. Growth Des. 8 (2008) 446.
- [15] D. Kalaiselvi, R. Mohan Kumar, R. Jayavel, Mater. Lett. 62 (2008) 755.
- [16] R. Rajasekaran, P.M. Ushasree, R. Jayavel, P. Ramasamy, J. Cryst. Growth 229 (2001) 563.