Data Driven Methods for Airspace Performance Analysis

Lance Sherry John Shortle Students



Research and Business Opportunities

Complexity of Inter n Network of Distr Agents	ractions ributed				Stochastic, Capacity-limited Networked Operations
		1970-2000		Optimized Networked Operations	Air Transportation • Flexible Airline Business Models • Low Cost Carriers/Regional Jet Airlines
		Point-to-Point Scheduled Operations	Networked Scheduled Operation <u>Air Transportation</u> • National/International	Air Transportation • Deregulation • Hub monopolies • Schedule/Network optimization • Overscheduling • Yield Management • Evel Management	 Network configurations (Hub, point-to-point) <u>Air Traffic Control</u> Collaborative Decision Making Revenue/Cost Synchronization
Aircraft •Basic Aero	Barnstorming Operations Air Transportation • Air Transportation - Mail Air Traffic Control • Basic Airport Traffic	Air Transportation • National Air Carriers • Point-to-Point Service • Inter-modal <u>Air Traffic Control</u> • En-route Air Traffic Control • Terminal Area Traffic	Network airlines •Civil Aviation Board <u>Air Traffic Control</u> •_Radar • Precision Approach •	 Fuel Management airlines <u>Air Traffic Control</u> <u>-</u>Radar Precision Approach 	 Aircraft Self-separation Facility Resizing Safety/Capacity Tradeoff
•Propulsion	Control	; Control 		980	2000 Years

Center for Air Transportation Systems Research (CATSR) at George Mason University

2

Big Data Analytics in Air Transportation



Emission Inventory



Context

- Airport Management is required to report Emissions Inventory for aircraft Landing and Takeoff Operations (LTO):
 - 1. Sustainability planning
 - 2. Climate Change Registries
 - 3. Environmental Impact Studies



Fuel Air $CnHm+S+N+O \rightarrow$

CO + HO + N + O + NOx + CO + SOx + Soot + UHC

Products of Ideal Combustion on Systems Researce Products of Non-Ideal Combustion

Context

- Monitoring by sensors inaccurate due to *dispersion* effects/prohibitively expensive
- Inventory Models
 - Mass of pollutants generated
 - ICAO Reference Model

Pollutant mass per flight = Number of Engines x Time in Phase of Flight (T) x Fuel Flow Rate (FFR) x Emissions Index (EI)

• T, FFR, El averages from ICAO data-base



Problem

- "Static" Inventory Models <u>over-estimate</u> Emissions Inventory
- Two assumptions:
 - 1. Average Time-in-Phase (assumed 2.9 mins takeoff)
 - 2. Thrust Setting for Takeoff (assumed 100%)

Pollutant mass per flight =

Number of Engines * *Time in Phase of Flight* * Fuel Flow Rate * Emissions Index



Solution

- Improve accuracy in Emissions Inventory Estimate using
 - 1. High-fidelity track surveillance data
 - 2. Procedure data (i.e. navigation data-base)
 - 3. Aircraft performance model
 - Validated by Flight Data
 - 4. Weather data





Surveillance Track Data



Aircraft Performance Equations

<u>Total-Energy model</u>: rate of work done by forces acting on the aircraft = rate of change of potential and kinetic energy $(T_i - D_i) \times TAS_i = m_i \times g \times \frac{dh}{dt} + m_i \times TAS_i \times \frac{dTAS}{dt}$

Rearranging for Thrust



Results

Thrust Settings for 1200 departures at ORD

 μ = 86% Max Takeoff Thrust, σ = 11%



An average thrust reduction of 13%, standard deviation of 8%.

Validation:

Sensitivity Analysis – TOW and Headwind



<u>Modernization Cost/Benefits</u> <u>Analysis: Metroplex Flow De-</u> <u>confliction Using</u> <u>RNP Procedures at Midway Airport</u>

Akshay Belle



Metroplex Examples

Top 10 Metroplexes in the US

Sl.no	Metroplex	Ops per day (Year 2012)	# Airports within 30NM
1	New york	3257	4
2	Chicago	3055	2
5	Los Angeles	2797	4
3	Atlanta	2542	1
	District of	2424	2
4	Columbia	2454	5
6	Dallas	2236	2
7	San Francisco	1903	3
8	Miami	1734	2
9	Denver	1697	1
10	Charlotte	1498	1

Total of 21 Metroplexes in the U.S serving metropolitan area that account for:

- 35% of the nation's population (314 M) (United States Census Bureau, 2012)
- 44% of the gross domestic product (\$15.68 trillion) (U.S. Department of Commerce, 2012)





Metroplex De-confliction – Terminal Airspace Redesign (Spatial Strategy)



Chicago Metroplex De-confliction

MDW - RNP0.3 w/RF Leg approach on to 13C



- Chicago Metroplex Deconfliction
 - RNP0.3 w/RF Leg approach on to 13C at MDW
 - Safe Vertical Separation

Metroplex De-confliction – Vertical Profile





MDW Flows from East and West

Flows From East



Flows From West



Flows and their respective Track count

l.no	Direction	Runway	Approach	Count
1			ILS	798
2		130	RNP	87
3			Visual	1026
4		13L	Visual	8
5		22L	Visual	840
6		22R	Visual	70
7	E	31C	ILS	1467
8			Visual	345
9		31R	Visual	5
10		4L Visual		48
11			ILS	390
12		4R	Visual	1181
13			ILS	568
14		13C	RNP	151
15			Visual	857
16		13L	Visual	9
17		22L	Visual	650
18	14/	22R	Visual	56
19	vv	210	ILS	387
20		510	Visual	987
21		31R	Visual	2
22		4L	Visual	50
23		4 D	ILS	729
24		41	Visual	564



TRACON Flow Track Distance & Time Performance

Flows From East



Track distance and time, lower the better

Ranking of flow from the East Track Time # of Turns in Distance Dir/Runway/Ap (NM) the Terminal (min) Mean Count Mean SD proach SD Airspace 31C 5.90 0.69 16.56 1.44 Straight-In Е ILS 1467 Ε 31C Visual 345 6.12 0.80 16.77 2.48 Straight-In 840 20.39 Е 22L Visual 6.71 0.83 1.25 One turn Е Visual 8.84 1.77 28.74 4.17 4R 1181 One turn 13C Visual 1026 9.62 1.59 32.58 4.08 Е Two turns ILS 390 10.92 2.58 33.59 Е 4R5.52 One turn 13C RNP 13.40 1.88 45.31 4.81 Е 87 Two turns 798 2.21 F 13C ILS 14.37 48.20 5.37 Two Turns

Ranking of flow from the West

Dir/Runway/Ap		ay/Ap		Track Time (min)		Distance (NM)		# of Turns in the Terminal
	proad	ch	Count	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Airspace
W	4R	ILS	729	8.58	0.89	28.94	0.64	Straight-In
W	4R	Visual	564	9.07	1.27	29.34	2.05	Straight-In
W	13C	RNP	151	9.47	0.67	32.67	0.64	One turn
W	13C	Visual	857	9.65	1.11	33.59	1.98	One turn
W	13C	ILS	568	10.63	1.01	36.22	1.78	One turn
W	31C	Visual	987	11.91	1.94	42.90	4.58	One turn
W	22L	Visual	650	12.39	2.07	46.16	5.20	Two turns
W	31C	ILS	387	13.77	2.39	47.44	5.60	One turn

Benefits RNP Approaches for MDW (all Runways)

RNP 31 C from West



RNP 4R from the East



ILS Approach Arrival Flows

Track		Fuel Burn (kg)		Track time (min)		Level Tin		
Runway	Count	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	% Level
31C	1757	189.3	117.994	9.05613	3.67215	1.80877	2.19502	19.97
4R	1972	189.5	91.9926	9.169	1.7488	1.94704	1.8282	21.24
13C	937	332.6	124.49	12.6552	2.5809	4.62652	2.90397	36.56

RNP Approach Arrival Flows

Track		Fuel Bu	n (kg)	(kg) Track time (min)		Level Tir		
Runway	Count	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	% Level
31C	109	3 118.4	50.883	6.52555	1.59695	0.442422	0.854984	6.78
4R	111	148.5	50.801	8.48937	1.14568	1.05441	1.20379	12.42
13C	23	251.9	108.773	10.962	2.39199	2.4628	2.27441	22.47
22L	23	7 258.7	135.346	10.6915	4.97851	2.52982	3.01863	23.66



Source of variance in RNP flows

MDW- Flows to runway 13C from the east

ILS Approach



RNP Approach



"Vectors" up to the Start of the RNP approach (base leg) introduce as much variation in track distance/time as the ILS approaches.



<u>Airport Surface Operations</u> <u>Analysis</u>

Anvardh Nanduri, Kevin Lai



ATL 2012 Jan -



Annual Surface Ops





Daily Cumulative Surface Count





Causal Analysis- Reduced Departure Rate - Mar 13, 2012 (ATL)





Cumulative Surface Counts - Reduced Departure Rate - Mar 13, 2012 (ATL)



Causal Analysis- "Blue Sky" - May 18, 2012 (ATL)





Cumulative Surface Counts – Blue Sky - May 18, 2012 (ATL)



Airspace Risk Management - Go Around Stabilized Approaches Zhenming Wang, Houda Kerkoub





Go Arounds

- Go Arounds are not measured/reported.
- Track data used to • count and analyze





42.25	local time	18:00-18:59	18:00-18:59	19:00-19:59
	quarter hour	3	4	1
	arrival runway configuration	9R, 22L, 28	9R, 22L, 28	9R, 22L, 28
12	airport acceptance rate	15	15	15
42	arrival demand	72	72	73
	ceiling (ft)	1200	1200	1000
	wind direction	180° - S	180° - S	190° - S
41.75	wind speed (kts)	14	14	10
	visability (miles)	3	3	3
	temperature (°F)	32	32	32
	on-time arrival %	16.67%	26.32%	16.67%
-87.5	avg. arrival delay (min)	134	77	122
An manapor tation Sy	Stem s Research (CAPSR) at George	wason oniversity		52

Aborted Approach – 80%



Go-arounds

- 19 out of 3548
- About 5.4 per 1000 flights



Go Arounds - ASRS Taxonomy

Factor	% ASRS Reports
Airplane Issues	54%
Separation Violation	21%
Weather	17%
Flight/TAC Interaction	8%
Runway Issues	5%
No Reason provided	6%



Go Arounds - ASRS Taxonomy

1. Airplane Iss	1. Airplane Issue					
	1.1 Unstable Approach					
		6.12%				
	1.1.2 Other Approach					
		Issue	3.40%			
	1.2 Alerts		4.08%			
	1.3 Onboard	failures	40.14%			



Go Arounds – ATSAP Taxonomy





Stabilized Approach

- 1000' AGL
 - On Runway Center-line
 - On Glidepath
 - At Landing Speed (V_{Ref})
 - At Rate of Descent for Glide-path (< 1000fpm)



Stabilized Approaches

Frequency of risk events from 1000 ft. AGL to runway threshold

		RISK EVEN	TS		S	TATISTICS	
Groundspeed	Rate of Descent	Position Relative to Glidepath	Position relative to Runway Centerline	Number of Flights	Percentage	Number of Go-arounds	Rate of Go- arounds
		On Glidenath	On Runway Centerline	5435	66.13%	18	0.33%
	Within	On Ondepadi	Not On Runway Centerline	221	2.69%	0	0.00%
	limits	Above	On Runway Centerline	196	2.38%	1	0.51%
No change		Glidepath	Not On Runway Centerline	52	0.63%	1	1.92%
	Excessive	On Clidenath	On Runway Centerline	49	0.60%	0	0.00%
		ve	Not On Runway Centerline	2	0.02%	0	0.00%
		Above Glidepath	On Runway Centerline	23	0.28%	0	0.00%
			Not On Runway Centerline	10	0.12%	0	0.00%
		On Glidepath Within	On Runway Centerline	1970	23.97%	5	0.25%
	Within		Not On Runway Centerline	67	0.82%	0	0.00%
	limits	Above	On Runway Centerline	123	1.50%	1	Fast
Greater than		Glidepath	Not On Runway Centerline	31	0.38%	0	0.00%
10 knots		On Glidenath	On Runway Centerline	40	0.49%	0	0.00%
	Evenning	On Ondepadi	Not On Runway Centerline	8	0.10%	0	0.00%
	Excessive	Above	On Runway Centerline	38	0.46%	0	0.00%
		Glidepath	Not On Runway Centerline	11	0.13%	0	0.00%



Stabilized Approaches

Frequency of risk events from 750 ft. AGL to runway threshold

		RISK EVEN	TS		S	TATISTICS	
Groundspeed	Groundspeed Rate of Position Descent Relative to Glidepath		Position relative to Runway Centerline	Number of Flights	Percentage	Number of Go-arounds	Rate of Go- arounds
		On Glidenath	On Runway Centerline	6464	78.65%	18	0.28%
	Within	On Ondepadi	Not On Runway Centerline	260	3.16%	0	0.00%
	limits	Above	On Runway Centerline	274	3.33%	2	0.73%
No change		Glidepath	Not On Runway Centerline	81	0.99%	1	1.23%
No change		On Clidenath	On Runway Centerline	16	0.19%	0	0.00%
	Excessive	On Ghuepaul	Not On Runway Centerline	2	0.02%	0	0.00%
		Above Glidepath	On Runway Centerline	14	0.17%	0	0.00%
			Not On Runway Centerline	6	0.07%	0	0.00%
		On Clidenath	On Runway Centerline	1006	12.24%	5	0.50%
	Within	On Gildepath	Not On Runway Centerline	34	0.41%	0	0.00%
	limits	Above	On Runway Centerline	83	1.01%	0	0.00%
Greater than		Glidepath	Not On Runway Centerline	16	0.19%	0	0.00%
10 knots		On Clidenath	On Runway Centerline	8	0.10%	0	0.00%
	Engeniere	On Gildepath	Not On Runway Centerline	2	0.02%	0	0.00%
	Excessive	Above	On Runway Centerline	9	0.11%	0	0.00%
		Glidepath	Not On Runway Centerline	1	0.01%	0	0.00%



Stabilized Approaches

Frequency of risk events from 500 ft. AGL to runway threshold

		RISK EVEN	TS	STATISTICS			
Groundspeed	Rate of Descent	Position Relative to Glidepath	Position relative to Runway Centerline	Number of Flights	Percentage	Number of Go-arounds	Rate of Go- arounds
		On Glidenath	On Runway Centerline	7167	87.20%	22	0.31%
	Within	On Ondepadi	Not On Runway Centerline	288	3.50%	0	0.00%
	limits	Above	On Runway Centerline	346	4.21%	2	0.58%
No change		Glidepath	Not On Runway Centerline	96	1.17%	1	1.04%
No change		On Glidepath	On Runway Centerline	4	0.05%	0	0.00%
	Excessive		Not On Runway Centerline	2	0.02%	0	0.00%
		Above Glidepath	On Runway Centerline	5	0.06%	0	0.00%
			Not On Runway Centerline	3	0.04%	0	0.00%
		On Glidenath	On Runway Centerline	322	3.92%	1	0.31%
	Within	On Ghuepaur	Not On Runway Centerline	8	0.10%	0	0.00%
	limits	Above	On Runway Centerline	29	0.35%	0	0.00%
Greater than		Glidepath	Not On Runway Centerline	5	0.06%	0	0.00%
10 knots		On Glidenath	On Runway Centerline	1	0.01%	0	0.00%
	Excessive	On Ondepadi	Not On Runway Centerline	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	LACCSSIVE	Above Glidepath	On Runway Centerline	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
			Not On Runway Centerline	0	0.00%	0	0.00%



1000' AGL



20%

26%

Groundspeed	Rate of	Position with	Position with Runway 04R		04R		04R		13C		31C	
Change	Descent	Glidepath	Centerline	#	%	#	%	#	%			
No change	Within limits	On Glidepath	On Runway Centerline	721	74.56%	411	29.19%	987	84.22%			
			Not On Runway Centerline	35	3.62%	492	<mark>34.94%</mark>	52	4.44%			
		Above Glidepath	On Runway Centerline	3	0.31%	0	0.00%	38	3.24%			
			Not On Runway Centerline	2	0.21%	96	<mark>6.82%</mark>	0	0.00%			
	Excessive	On Glidepath	On Runway Centerline	2	0.21%	1	0.07%	1	0.09%			
			Not On Runway Centerline	0	0.00%	2	0.14%	0	0.00%			
		Above Glidepath	On Runway Centerline	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1	0.09%			
			Not On Runway Centerline	0	0.00%	24	1.70 %	0	0.00%			
	Within limits	On Glidepath	On Runway Centerline	177	<mark>18.30%</mark>	14	0.99%	82	7.00%			
			Not On Runway Centerline	18	1.86%	233	<mark>16.55%</mark>	3	0.26%			
Greater than 10 knots		Above Glidepath	On Runway Centerline	1	0.10%	0	0.00%	5	0.43%			
			Not On Runway Centerline	0	0.00%	102	7.24%	0	0.00%			
	Excessive	On Glidepath	On Runway Centerline	4	0.41%	0	0.00%	3	0.26%			
			Not On Runway Centerline	3	0.31%	2	0.14%	0	0.00%			
		Above Glidepath	On Runway Centerline	2	0.21%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%			
			Not On Runway Centerline	0	0.00%	31	2.20%	0	0.00%			



41

8%

Stabilized Approaches - Factors

Weight	% Flights	Average Groundspeed at the				
Class	750 ft.	Runway Threshold	190			
	AGL –					
	Change in					
	Speed		150 g — Heavy			
Heavy	20.9%	134.5 knots				
B757	15.1%	129 knots				
Large	12.0%	132 knots				
Small	47.0%	122.5 knots	9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1 0			
		Distance to Runway Threshold (nm)				

Every approach/runway is different

Procedure	% dv>10kts from 1000' to THR	% dv>10kts from 750' to THR	% dv>10kts from 500' to THR
ilS	5.97%	1.19%	0.17%
RNP	4.23%	0.00%	0.00%
VFR	50.15%	12.35%	0.59%
GEORG			

Big Data Analytics in Air Transportation

