Deep RL with Q-Functions

CS 294-112: Deep Reinforcement Learning

Sergey Levine

Class Notes

1. Homework 2 is due Wednesday
2. Project proposal deadline in four weeks…

Today's Lecture

1. How we can make Q-learning work with deep networks
2. A generalized view of Q-learning algorithms
3. Tricks for improving Q-learning in practice
4. Continuous Q-learning methods

- Goals:
    - Understand how to implement Q-learning so that it can be used with complex function approximators
    - Understand how to extend Q-learning to continuous actions

Recap: Q-learning

full fitted Q-iteration algorithm:

1. collect dataset $\{(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{s}'_i, r_i)\}$ using some policy

$K\times$

2. set $\mathbf{y}_i \leftarrow r(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i) + \gamma \max_{\mathbf{a}'_i} Q_\phi(\mathbf{s}'_i, \mathbf{a}'_i)$
3. set $\phi \leftarrow \arg\min_\phi \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \|Q_\phi(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i) - \mathbf{y}_i\|^2$

online Q iteration algorithm:

1. take some action $\mathbf{a}_i$ and observe $(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{s}'_i, r_i)$
2. $\mathbf{y}_i = r(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i) + \gamma \max_{\mathbf{a}'} Q_\phi(\mathbf{s}'_i, \mathbf{a}'_i)$
3. $\phi \leftarrow \phi - \alpha \frac{dQ_\phi}{d\phi}(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i)(Q_\phi(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i) - \mathbf{y}_i)$

$$Q_\phi(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a}) \leftarrow r(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a}) + \gamma \max_{\mathbf{a}'} Q_\phi(\mathbf{s}', \mathbf{a}')$$



$$\mathbf{a} = \arg\max_{\mathbf{a}} Q_\phi(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a})$$

What's wrong?

online Q iteration algorithm:

1. take some action $\mathbf{a}_i$ and observe $(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{s}'_i, r_i)$
2. $\mathbf{y}_i = r(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i) + \gamma \max_{\mathbf{a}'} Q_\phi(\mathbf{s}'_i, \mathbf{a}'_i)$
3. $\phi \leftarrow \phi - \alpha \frac{dQ_\phi}{d\phi}(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i)(Q_\phi(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i) - \mathbf{y}_i)$

these are correlated!

isn't this just gradient descent? that converges, right?

Q-learning is *not* gradient descent!

$$\phi \leftarrow \phi - \alpha \frac{dQ_\phi}{d\phi}(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i)(Q_\phi(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i) - [r(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i) + \gamma \max_{\mathbf{a}'} Q_\phi(\mathbf{s}'_i, \mathbf{a}'_i)])$$

no gradient through target value

Correlated samples in online Q-learning

online Q iteration algorithm:

1. take some action $\mathbf{a}_i$ and observe $(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{s}'_i, r_i)$
2. $\phi \leftarrow \phi - \alpha \frac{dQ_\phi}{d\phi}(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i)(Q_\phi(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i) - [r(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i) + \gamma \max_{\mathbf{a}'} Q_\phi(\mathbf{s}'_i, \mathbf{a}'_i)])$

- sequential states are strongly correlated
- target value is always changing



synchronized parallel Q-learning



asynchronous parallel Q-learning



Another solution: replay buffers

online Q iteration algorithm:

1. take some action $\mathbf{a}_i$ and observe $(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{s}'_i, r_i)$
2. $\phi \leftarrow \phi - \alpha \frac{dQ_\phi}{d\phi}(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i)(Q_\phi(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i) - [r(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i) + \gamma \max_{\mathbf{a}'} Q_\phi(\mathbf{s}'_i, \mathbf{a}'_i)])$

special case with K = 1, and one gradient step

full fitted Q-iteration algorithm:

1. ~~collect dataset $\{(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{s}'_i, r_i)\}$ using some policy~~

$K \times$

2. set $\mathbf{y}_i \leftarrow r(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i) + \gamma \max_{\mathbf{a}'_i} Q_\phi(\mathbf{s}'_i, \mathbf{a}'_i)$
3. set $\phi \leftarrow \arg\min_\phi \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \|Q_\phi(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i) - \mathbf{y}_i\|^2$

any policy will work! (with broad support)

just load data from a buffer here

still use one gradient step



Fitted Q-iteration

Another solution: replay buffers

Q-learning with a replay buffer:

1. sample a batch $(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{s}'_i, r_i)$ from $\mathcal{B}$
2. $\phi \leftarrow \phi - \alpha \underline{\sum_i} \frac{dQ_\phi}{d\phi}(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i)(Q_\phi(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i) - [r(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i) + \gamma \max_{\mathbf{a}'} Q_\phi(\mathbf{s}'_i, \mathbf{a}'_i)])$

+ samples are no longer correlated

+ multiple samples in the batch (low-variance gradient)

but where does the data come from?

need to periodically feed the replay buffer…



Putting it together

full Q-learning with replay buffer:

1. collect dataset $\{(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{s}'_i, r_i)\}$ using some policy, add it to $\mathcal{B}$

$K \times$

2. sample a batch $(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{s}'_i, r_i)$ from $\mathcal{B}$
3. $\phi \leftarrow \phi - \alpha \sum_i \frac{dQ_\phi}{d\phi}(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i)(Q_\phi(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i) - [r(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i) + \gamma \max_{\mathbf{a}'} Q_\phi(\mathbf{s}'_i, \mathbf{a}'_i)])$

K = 1 is common, though larger K more efficient



What's wrong?

online Q iteration algorithm:

1. take some action $\mathbf{a}_i$ and observe $(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{s}'_i, r_i)$
2. $\mathbf{y}_i = r(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i) + \gamma \max_{\mathbf{a}'} Q_\phi(\mathbf{s}'_i, \mathbf{a}'_i)$
3. $\phi \leftarrow \phi - \alpha \frac{dQ_\phi}{d\phi}(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i)(Q_\phi(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i) - \mathbf{y}_i)$

~~these are correlated!~~

use replay buffer

Q-learning is *not* gradient descent!

$$\phi \leftarrow \phi - \alpha \frac{dQ_\phi}{d\phi}(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i)(Q_\phi(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i) - [r(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i) + \gamma \max_{\mathbf{a}'} Q_\phi(\mathbf{s}'_i, \mathbf{a}'_i)])$$

no gradient through target value

This is still a problem!

Q-Learning and Regression

full Q-learning with replay buffer:

1. collect dataset $\{(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{s}'_i, r_i)\}$ using some policy, add it to $\mathcal{B}$

$K\times$

2. sample a batch $(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{s}'_i, r_i)$ from $\mathcal{B}$
3. $\phi \leftarrow \phi - \alpha \sum_i \frac{dQ_\phi}{d\phi}(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i)(Q_\phi(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i) - [r(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i) + \gamma \max_{\mathbf{a}'} Q_\phi(\mathbf{s}'_i, \mathbf{a}'_i)])$

one gradient step, moving target

full fitted Q-iteration algorithm:

1. collect dataset $\{(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{s}'_i, r_i)\}$ using some policy

$K\times$

2. set $\mathbf{y}_i \leftarrow r(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i) + \gamma \max_{\mathbf{a}'_i} Q_\phi(\mathbf{s}'_i, \mathbf{a}'_i)$
3. set $\phi \leftarrow \arg\min_\phi \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \|Q_\phi(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i) - \mathbf{y}_i\|^2$

perfectly well-defined, stable regression

Q-Learning with target networks

Q-learning with replay buffer and target network:

1. save target network parameters: $\phi' \leftarrow \phi$

$N\times$

2. collect dataset $\{(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{s}'_i, r_i)\}$ using some policy, add it to $\mathcal{B}$

$K\times$

3. sample a batch $(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{s}'_i, r_i)$ from $\mathcal{B}$
4. $\phi \leftarrow \phi - \alpha \sum_i \frac{dQ_\phi}{d\phi}(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i)(Q_\phi(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i) - \underline{[r(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i) + \gamma \max_{\mathbf{a}'} Q_{\phi'}(\mathbf{s}'_i, \mathbf{a}'_i)]})$

targets don't change in inner loop!

supervised regression

"Classic" deep Q-learning algorithm (DQN)

Q-learning with replay buffer and target network:

$N\times$ $K\times$

1. save target network parameters: $\phi' \leftarrow \phi$
2. collect dataset $\{(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{s}'_i, r_i)\}$ using some policy, add it to $\mathcal{B}$
3. sample a batch $(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{s}'_i, r_i)$ from $\mathcal{B}$
4. $\phi \leftarrow \phi - \alpha \sum_i \frac{dQ_\phi}{d\phi}(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i)(Q_\phi(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i) - [r(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i) + \gamma \max_{\mathbf{a}'} Q_{\phi'}(\mathbf{s}'_i, \mathbf{a}'_i)])$

"classic" deep Q-learning algorithm:

1. take some action $\mathbf{a}_i$ and observe $(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{s}'_i, r_i)$, add it to $\mathcal{B}$
2. sample mini-batch $\{\mathbf{s}_j, \mathbf{a}_j, \mathbf{s}'_j, r_j\}$ from $\mathcal{B}$ uniformly
3. compute $y_j = r_j + \gamma \max_{\mathbf{a}'_j} Q_{\phi'}(\mathbf{s}'_j, \mathbf{a}'_j)$ using *target* network $Q_{\phi'}$
4. $\phi \leftarrow \phi - \alpha \sum_j \frac{dQ_\phi}{d\phi}(\mathbf{s}_j, \mathbf{a}_j)(Q_\phi(\mathbf{s}_j, \mathbf{a}_j) - y_j)$
5. update ϕ': copy ϕ every N steps

$K = 1$

You'll implement this in HW3!

Mnih et al. '13

Alternative target network

"classic" deep Q-learning algorithm:

1. take some action $\mathbf{a}_i$ and observe $(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{s}'_i, r_i)$, add it to $\mathcal{B}$
2. sample mini-batch $\{\mathbf{s}_j, \mathbf{a}_j, \mathbf{s}'_j, r_j\}$ from $\mathcal{B}$ uniformly
3. compute $y_j = r_j + \gamma \max_{\mathbf{a}'_j} Q_{\phi'}(\mathbf{s}'_j, \mathbf{a}'_j)$ using *target* network $Q_{\phi'}$
4. $\phi \leftarrow \phi - \alpha \sum_j \frac{dQ_\phi}{d\phi}(\mathbf{s}_j, \mathbf{a}_j)(Q_\phi(\mathbf{s}_j, \mathbf{a}_j) - y_j)$
5. $\boxed{\text{update } \phi'}$

Intuition:



Feels weirdly uneven, can we always have the same lag?

Popular alternative (similar to Polyak averaging):

5. update ϕ': $\phi' \leftarrow \tau\phi' + (1 - \tau)\phi$

$\tau = 0.999$ works well

Fitted Q-iteration and Q-learning

Q-learning with replay buffer and target network:

DQN: $N = 1,\ K = 1$

1. save target network parameters: $\phi' \leftarrow \phi$
2. collect M datapoints $\{(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{s}'_i, r_i)\}$ using some policy, add them to $\mathcal{B}$
3. sample a batch $(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{s}'_i, r_i)$ from $\mathcal{B}$
4. $\phi \leftarrow \phi - \alpha \sum_i \frac{dQ_\phi}{d\phi}(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i)(Q_\phi(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i) - [r(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i) + \gamma \max_{\mathbf{a}'} Q_{\phi'}(\mathbf{s}'_i, \mathbf{a}'_i)])$

$N\times$ $K\times$

Fitted Q-learning (written similarly as above):

1. collect M datapoints $\{(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{s}'_i, r_i)\}$ using some policy, add them to $\mathcal{B}$
2. save target network parameters: $\phi' \leftarrow \phi$
3. sample a batch $(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{s}'_i, r_i)$ from $\mathcal{B}$
4. $\phi \leftarrow \phi - \alpha \sum_i \frac{dQ_\phi}{d\phi}(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i)(Q_\phi(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i) - [r(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i) + \gamma \max_{\mathbf{a}'} Q_{\phi'}(\mathbf{s}'_i, \mathbf{a}'_i)])$

$N\times$ $K\times$

just SGD

A more general view

Q-learning with replay buffer and target network:

1. save target network parameters: $\phi' \leftarrow \phi$
2. collect M datapoints $\{(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{s}'_i, r_i)\}$ using some policy, add them to $\mathcal{B}$
3. sample a batch $(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{s}'_i, r_i)$ from $\mathcal{B}$
4. $\phi \leftarrow \phi - \alpha \sum_i \frac{dQ_\phi}{d\phi}(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i)(Q_\phi(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i) - [r(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i) + \gamma \max_{\mathbf{a}'} Q_{\phi'}(\mathbf{s}'_i, \mathbf{a}'_i)])$

$N\times$ $K\times$



A more general view



- Online Q-learning (last lecture): evict immediately, process 1, process 2, and process 3 all run at the same speed
- DQN: process 1 and process 3 run at the same speed, process 2 is slow
- Fitted Q-iteration: process 3 in the inner loop of process 2, which is in the inner loop of process 1

Break

Are the Q-values accurate?



As predicted Q increases, so does the return





Are the Q-values accurate?



Overestimation in Q-learning

target value $y_j = r_j + \gamma \max_{\mathbf{a}'_j} Q_{\phi'}(\mathbf{s}'_j, \mathbf{a}'_j)$

this last term is the problem

imagine we have two random variables: X_1 and X_2

$E[\max(X_1, X_2)] \geq \max(E[X_1], E[X_2])$

$Q_{\phi'}(\mathbf{s}', \mathbf{a}')$ is not perfect – it looks "noisy"

hence $\max_{\mathbf{a}'} Q_{\phi'}(\mathbf{s}', \mathbf{a}')$ *overestimates* the next value!

note that $\max_{\mathbf{a}'} Q_{\phi'}(\mathbf{s}', \mathbf{a}') = \underbrace{Q_{\phi'}}_{\text{value } also \text{ comes from } Q_{\phi'}}(\mathbf{s}', \underbrace{\arg\max_{\mathbf{a}'} Q_{\phi'}(\mathbf{s}', \mathbf{a}')}_{\text{action selected according to } Q_{\phi'}})$

Double Q-learning

$E[\max(X_1, X_2)] \geq \max(E[X_1], E[X_2])$

note that $\max_{\mathbf{a}'} Q_{\phi'}(\mathbf{s}', \mathbf{a}') = \underbrace{Q_{\phi'}}_{\text{value also comes from } Q_{\phi'}}(\mathbf{s}', \underbrace{\arg\max_{\mathbf{a}'} Q_{\phi'}(\mathbf{s}', \mathbf{a}')}_{\text{action selected according to } Q_{\phi'}})$

value *also* comes from $Q_{\phi'}$ action selected according to $Q_{\phi'}$

if the noise in these is decorrelated, the problem goes away!

idea: don't use the same network to choose the action and evaluate value!

"double" Q-learning: use two networks:

$$Q_{\phi_A}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a}) \leftarrow r + \gamma Q_{\phi_B}(\mathbf{s}', \arg\max_{\mathbf{a}'} Q_{\phi_A}(\mathbf{s}'))$$

$$Q_{\phi_B}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a}) \leftarrow r + \gamma Q_{\phi_A}(\mathbf{s}', \arg\max_{\mathbf{a}'} Q_{\phi_B}(\mathbf{s}'))$$

if the two Q's are noisy in *different* ways, there is no problem

Double Q-learning in practice

where to get two Q-functions?

just use the current and target networks!

standard Q-learning: $y = r + \gamma Q_{\phi'}(\mathbf{s}', \arg\max_{\mathbf{a}'} Q_{\phi'}(\mathbf{s}', \mathbf{a}'))$

double Q-learning: $y = r + \gamma Q_{\phi'}(\mathbf{s}', \arg\max_{\mathbf{a}'} Q_{\phi}(\mathbf{s}', \mathbf{a}'))$

just use current network (not target network) to evaluate action

still use target network to evaluate value!

Multi-step returns

Q-learning target: $y_{j,t} = r_{j,t} + \gamma \max_{\mathbf{a}_{j,t+1}} Q_{\phi'}(\mathbf{s}_{j,t+1}, \mathbf{a}_{j,t+1})$

these are the only values that matter if $Q_{\phi'}$ is bad!

these values are important if $Q_{\phi'}$ is good

where does the signal come from?

Q-learning does this: max bias, min variance

remember this?

Actor-critic: $\nabla_\theta J(\theta) \approx \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\sum_{t=1}^{T} \nabla_\theta \log \pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_{i,t}|\mathbf{s}_{i,t}) \left(r(\mathbf{s}_{i,t}, \mathbf{a}_{i,t}) + \gamma \hat{V}_\phi^\pi(\mathbf{s}_{i,t+1}) - \hat{V}_\phi^\pi(\mathbf{s}_{i,t}) \right)$

+ lower variance (due to critic)

- not unbiased (if the critic is not perfect)

Policy gradient: $\nabla_\theta J(\theta) \approx \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\sum_{t=1}^{T} \nabla_\theta \log \pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_{i,t}|\mathbf{s}_{i,t}) \left(\left(\sum_{t'=t}^{T} \gamma^{t'-t} r(\mathbf{s}_{i,t'}, \mathbf{a}_{i,t'}) \right) - b \right)$

+ no bias

- higher variance (because single-sample estimate)

can we construct multi-step targets, like in actor-critic?

$$y_{j,t} = \sum_{t'=t}^{t'+N-1} r_{j,t'} + \gamma^N \max_{\mathbf{a}_{j,t+N}} Q_{\phi'}(\mathbf{s}_{j,t+N}, \mathbf{a}_{j,t+N})$$

N-step return estimator

Q-learning with N-step returns

$$y_{j,t} = \sum_{t'=t}^{t'+N-1} r_{j,t'} + \gamma^N \max_{\mathbf{a}_{j,t+N}} Q_{\phi'}(\mathbf{s}_{j,t+N}, \mathbf{a}_{j,t+N})$$

this is supposed to estimate $Q^\pi(\mathbf{s}_{j,t}, \mathbf{a}_{j,t})$ for π

$$\pi(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t) = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ if } \mathbf{a}_t = \arg\max_{\mathbf{a}_t} Q_\phi(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) \\ 0 \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

+ less biased target values when Q-values are inaccurate

+ typically faster learning, especially early on

- only actually correct when learning on-policy

why?

we need transitions $\mathbf{s}_{j,t'}, \mathbf{a}_{j,t'}, \mathbf{s}_{j,t'+1}$ to come from π for $t' - t < N - 1$

(not an issue when $N = 1$)

how to fix?

- ignore the problem
  - often works very well
- cut the trace – dynamically choose N to get only on-policy data
  - works well when data mostly on-policy, and action space is small
- importance sampling

For more details, see: “Safe and efficient off-policy reinforcement learning.” Munos et al. ‘16

Q-learning with continuous actions

What's the problem with continuous actions?

$$\pi(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t) = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ if } \mathbf{a}_t = \arg\max_{\mathbf{a}_t} Q_\phi(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) \\ 0 \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

this max

$$\text{target value } y_j = r_j + \gamma \max_{\mathbf{a}'_j} Q_{\phi'}(\mathbf{s}'_j, \mathbf{a}'_j)$$

this max

particularly problematic (inner loop of training)

How do we perform the max?

Option 1: optimization

- gradient based optimization (e.g., SGD) a bit slow in the inner loop
- action space typically low-dimensional – what about stochastic optimization?

Q-learning with stochastic optimization

Simple solution:

$$\max_{\mathbf{a}} Q(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a}) \approx \max \{Q(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a}_1), \ldots, Q(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a}_N)\}$$

$(\mathbf{a}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{a}_N)$ sampled from some distribution (e.g., uniform)

+ dead simple

+ efficiently parallelizable

- not very accurate

but… do we care? How good does the target need to be anyway?

More accurate solution:

works OK, for up to about 40 dimensions

- cross-entropy method (CEM)
  - simple iterative stochastic optimization
- CMA-ES
  - substantially less simple iterative stochastic optimization

Easily maximizable Q-functions

Option 2: use function class that is easy to optimize

$$Q_\phi(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{a}) = -\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{a}-\mu_\phi(\mathbf{s}))^T P_\phi(\mathbf{s})(\mathbf{a}-\mu_\phi(\mathbf{s})) + V_\phi(\mathbf{s})$$



NAF Architecture.

NAF: **N**ormalized **A**dvantage **F**unctions

$$\arg\max_{\mathbf{a}} Q_\phi(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{a}) = \mu_\phi(\mathbf{s}) \qquad \max_{\mathbf{a}} Q_\phi(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{a}) = V_\phi(\mathbf{s})$$

+ no change to algorithm

+ just as efficient as Q-learning

- loses representational power

Gu, Lillicrap, Sutskever, L., ICML 2016

Q-learning with continuous actions

Option 3: learn an approximate maximizer

DDPG (Lillicrap et al., ICLR 2016)

"deterministic" actor-critic
(really approximate Q-learning)

$\max_{\mathbf{a}} Q_\phi(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a}) = Q_\phi(\mathbf{s}, \arg\max_{\mathbf{a}} Q_\phi(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a}))$

idea: train another network $\mu_\theta(\mathbf{s})$ such that $\mu_\theta(\mathbf{s}) \approx \arg\max_{\mathbf{a}} Q_\phi(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a})$

how? just solve $\theta \leftarrow \arg\max_\theta Q_\phi(\mathbf{s}, \mu_\theta(\mathbf{s}))$

$$\frac{dQ_\phi}{d\theta} = \frac{d\mathbf{a}}{d\theta}\frac{dQ_\phi}{d\mathbf{a}}$$

new target $y_j = r_j + \gamma \max_{\mathbf{a}'_j} Q_{\phi'}(\mathbf{s}'_j, \mu_\theta(\mathbf{s}'_j))$

Q-learning with continuous actions

Option 3: learn an approximate maximizer

DDPG:

1. take some action $\mathbf{a}_i$ and observe $(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{s}'_i, r_i)$, add it to $\mathcal{B}$
2. sample mini-batch $\{\mathbf{s}_j, \mathbf{a}_j, \mathbf{s}'_j, r_j\}$ from $\mathcal{B}$ uniformly
3. compute $y_j = r_j + \gamma \max_{\mathbf{a}'_j} Q_{\phi'}(\mathbf{s}'_j, \mu_{\theta'}(\mathbf{s}'_j))$ using *target* nets $Q_{\phi'}$ and $\mu_{\theta'}$
4. $\phi \leftarrow \phi - \alpha \sum_j \frac{dQ_\phi}{d\phi}(\mathbf{s}_j, \mathbf{a}_j)(Q_\phi(\mathbf{s}_j, \mathbf{a}_j) - y_j)$
5. $\theta \leftarrow \theta + \beta \sum_j \frac{d\mu}{d\theta}(\mathbf{s}_j) \frac{dQ_\phi}{d\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{s}_j, \mathbf{a})$
6. update ϕ' and θ' (e.g., Polyak averaging)

Simple practical tips for Q-learning

- Q-learning takes some care to stabilize
  - Test on easy, reliable tasks first, make sure your implementation is correct



Figure: From T. Schaul, J. Quan, I. Antonoglou, and D. Silver. "Prioritized experience replay". *arXiv preprint arXiv:1511.05952* (2015), Figure 7

- Large replay buffers help improve stability
  - Looks more like fitted Q-iteration
- It takes time, be patient – might be no better than random for a while
- Start with high exploration (epsilon) and gradually reduce

Slide partly borrowed from J. Schulman

Advanced tips for Q-learning

- Bellman error gradients can be big; clip gradients or user Huber loss

$$L(x) = \begin{cases} x^2/2 & \text{if } |x| \leq \delta \\ \delta|x| - \delta^2/2 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$



- Double Q-learning helps *a lot* in practice, simple and no downsides
- N-step returns also help a lot, but have some downsides
- Schedule exploration (high to low) and learning rates (high to low), Adam optimizer can help too
- Run multiple random seeds, it's very inconsistent between runs

Slide partly borrowed from J. Schulman

Review

- Q-learning in practice
  - Replay buffers
  - Target networks
- Generalized fitted Q-iteration
- Double Q-learning
- Multi-step Q-learning
- Q-learning with continuous actions
  - Random sampling
  - Analytic optimization
  - Second “actor” network

$$Q_\phi(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{a}) \leftarrow r(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{a}) + \gamma \max_{\mathbf{a}'} Q_\phi(\mathbf{s}',\mathbf{a}')$$



$$\mathbf{a} = \arg\max_{\mathbf{a}} Q_\phi(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{a})$$

Fitted Q-iteration in a latent space

- “Autonomous reinforcement learning from raw visual data,” Lange & Riedmiller ‘12
- Q-learning on top of latent space learned with autoencoder
- Uses fitted Q-iteration
- Extra random trees for function approximation (but neural net for embedding)



Q-learning with convolutional networks

- "Human-level control through deep reinforcement learning," Mnih et al. '13
- Q-learning with convolutional networks
- Uses replay buffer and target network
- One-step backup
- One gradient step
- Can be improved a lot with double Q-learning (and other tricks)

Q-learning with continuous actions

- "Continuous control with deep reinforcement learning," Lillicrap et al. '15
- Continuous actions with maximizer network
- Uses replay buffer and target network (with Polyak averaging)
- One-step backup
- One gradient step per simulator step



Q-learning on a real robot

- "Robotic manipulation with deep reinforcement learning and …," Gu*, Holly*, et al. '17
- Continuous actions with NAF (quadratic in actions)
- Uses replay buffer and target network
- One-step backup
- Four gradient steps per simulator step for efficiency
- Parallelized across multiple robots



Q-learning suggested readings

- Classic papers
  - Watkins. (1989). Learning from delayed rewards: introduces Q-learning
  - Riedmiller. (2005). Neural fitted Q-iteration: batch-mode Q-learning with neural networks
- Deep reinforcement learning Q-learning papers
  - Lange, Riedmiller. (2010). Deep auto-encoder neural networks in reinforcement learning: early image-based Q-learning method using autoencoders to construct embeddings
  - Mnih et al. (2013). Human-level control through deep reinforcement learning: Q-learning with convolutional networks for playing Atari.
  - Van Hasselt, Guez, Silver. (2015). Deep reinforcement learning with double Q-learning: a very effective trick to improve performance of deep Q-learning.
  - Lillicrap et al. (2016). Continuous control with deep reinforcement learning: continuous Q-learning with actor network for approximate maximization.
  - Gu, Lillicrap, Stuskever, L. (2016). Continuous deep Q-learning with model-based acceleration: continuous Q-learning with action-quadratic value functions.
  - Wang, Schaul, Hessel, van Hasselt, Lanctot, de Freitas (2016). Dueling network architectures for deep reinforcement learning: separates value and advantage estimation in Q-function.