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#### Abstract

This study foruses on the educational opportunity (GROUPS) program at Indiane University's Bloomington (I.U.B.) campus and on the persistence of students who enrolled in I.U.B. via this program. From 1969 to 1975, seven cohorts of GROUP students have entered the university to pursue a baccalaureate degree. Each cohort was studied to determine how long students were affiliated with I.U., what class rank they achieved, at what point(s) they dropped out, how many of those who dropped out were in academic difficulty, what academic fields they majored in, how many achieved minimum requirements for graduation, ecc. The second part of this study focuses on identifying biographic and/or academic variables which are related to a GROUP student persisting in the University for a minimum of two years.
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## Introduction

Since 1969 Indiana University at Bloomington (I.U.B.) has admitted each year through the GROUPs program two hundred to two hundred-fifty beginning freshmen. The GROUPs program is a special service arrangement that focuses on providing a university level educational opportunity for students who because of socio-cultural and/or economic obstacles would not normally seek admission to I.U.B. Since the majority of the students evidence academic deficiencies which would result in their being denied admission to I.U.B. through the traditional channels, special procedures have been set up which include a statewide system of recommenders from the local communities.

The purpose of the GROUPs program is to maximize the probability of success in higher education for these special students by providing a system of financial and academic support services. Academic services of the GROUPs program include a mandatory summer program, special academic advising and personal counseling, tutorial services, reading and study skills training, and other special course opportunities. The explicit goal for a GROUP student when he/she enters I.U.B. is the attainment of a baccalaureate degree.

The purpose of the present research is two-fold. There have been seven entering cohorts of GROUPs students between 1969 and 1975. Thus, the first problem is concerned with

2
the persistence in the University of the students who entered the GROUPs program.

The second problem in the present study involves an intensive examination of biographical and academic variables that may be predictors of a student's chance of continued persistence in the University. To examine this problem the study focused on the entering cohort of GROUP ' 74.

Thus, the present study examines two primary questions:
(1) What is the rate of persistence of students who entered I.U.B. as GROUPs students?
(2) What biographical variables and academic variables are related to th: persistence of a GROUPs student?
$\therefore$
Method
The present study was confined to the data that were available in the University's records to answer the questions of interest. A subsequent study of the GROUPs program which involves a follow-up survey of GRJUPs students is being conducted by the Bureau of Educational Studies and Testing (BEST) for sussequent publication.

Subjects
In the seven years, 1969 through 1975, fourteen hundredeighty (1480) students have been admitted to I.U.B. via the GROUPs program. Forty-six percent of those who entered were males and fifty-four percent were females (males $=680$, females $=800$ ). The number of students in each cohort is given in Table 1 (Page 8 ).

## Variables

For the 1480 GROUP students, information was obtained on the following variables from their university records: (1) entering year in the GROUPs program, (2) sex, (3) the last semester they attended any of the I.U. campuses (LSA), (4) this I.U. campus they last attended, (5) the class rank the last semester they attended, (6) their last declared academic major, (7) the cumulative hours (CUM-HRS) of credit obtained, and (8) the cumulative grade point average (CUM-GPA) the last semester they attended. These data were specifically employed in the part of this study which focused on the persistence of each GROUPs cohort.

The intensive part of this study focused on the relationship of biographic and academic variables to persistence. For this phase, only the students in GROUP '74 were used. The additional data available on these students through the GROUPs prognam office and the university admissiors files were: (1) high school size, (2) high school rank, (3) Scholastic Aptitude Test Verbal (SAT-V) and Mathematics (SAT-M) scores, (4) father's educational level, (5) mother's educational level, (6) marital status of parents, (7) number of siblings, (8) whether or not they were the oldest child, (9) number of high school English courses with a minimum grade of $C$, and (10) number of high school mathematics courses with a minimum grade of $C$.

## Definitions

The measure of persistence used in this study was the number of semesters between the time a student began in the GROUPs program at I.U.B. and the last semester he/she attended any Indiana University (I.U.) campus. The use of this measure of persistence assumes that a student would be continuously enrolled and would not "stop out" for several semesters then return to I.U. to continue his/her program of study.

As part of the study of persistence the question arises as to the number of students who had obtained their goai of a baccalaureate degree at I.U. For this question, minimum graduation requirements wer obtained if a student had (l) a class rank of senior or graduate atudent their last semester in attendance (LSA), (2) 120 or more CUM-:!RS of semester course wo.k anc (3) a minimum CUM-GPA of 2.00 .

For botn parts of the present study students were divided into three groups according to their LSA, class rank, and CUM-GPA. First, those students who were freshmen and had a CUM-GPA of 1.80 or less and those who were upperclassmen with a CUM-GPA of 1.90 or less were classified as academic dropouts (ADs). Whether these students were technically suspended or not they were in academic difficulty the last semester they attended I.U. The second group of students no longer enrolled at I.U. were those who were not in academic difficulty (NADs) the last semester they enrolled at I.U. Specifically, NADs consisted of freshmen with a CUM-GPA of 1.81 or higher or an upperclassman with a CUM-GPA of 1.91 or higher. This category
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would include those who had graduated from I.U. These first two groups were comprised of students who are no longer enrolled in I.U. The last group of students consisted of those who are enrolled at I.U. in the Fall semester 1976, i.e., persisters (PERs). This group would include persisters who were in academic difficulty (PER-ADs) and persisters who were not in academic difficulty (PER-NADs). Analyses

The problem concerning the persistence of the seven GROUP cohorts was examined with the use of percentage data. Three graphic representations of persistence data provide a medium for examining persistence rates of the seven GROUP cohorts, three GROUP cohorts and the corresponding entering freshmen cohorts, and two GROUP cohorts and the corresponding minority entering freshmen.

To examine the relationship of biographic and academic variables to persistence of the GROUP '74, the students were divided into three groups: (1) ADs, (2) NADs: (i) PERs. To test for significant differences in the three groups chi square tests and analyses of variance (ANOVA) were performed on the biographic and academic variables.


## Results

The results of this study are presented in two parts. First. the results of the analysis of the data which deal with the question of the persistence of the seven entering

GROUP cohorts is presented. The results of the examination of the relationship of biographic variables and academic variables to persistence are presented in the latter portion on this section.

Persistence of Seven GROUP Cohorts
Table 1 presents the number and percentage of each GROUP cohort which were continuing their affiliation with I.U. for each academic session. The following abbreviations were employed in Table 1 , Table 2 and Figures 1,2 , and $3:$

Sm = Summer orientation
Fl $=$ Fall semester of the first year
Sl = Spring semester of the first year
Smla $=$ First summer session of the first year
Smlb $=$ Second summer session or the first year
F2 = Fall semester of the second year
etc.
A graphic representation providers a clearer picture of the persistence of each GROUP . n.rt. Figure 1 is a graph of the data prosented in Table 1.

A basic question arises as to how the persistence of GROU? students compares to their peers who enrolled at I.U.B. thro'igh the traditional admissions channel. From the BEST monograf: $\because$ O Persist or Not to Persist: A Continuing Question
(1976), the persistence rates for entering fall freshmen cohorts for 1971, 1973, and 1974 were available. fiso racial composition data were available for the 1973 and 1974 fall freshmen cohorts. By omitting foreign students and Caucasian native born students, persistence rates of entering minority students were obtained for the 1973 and 1974 fall freshmen cohorts. Table 2 presents the numbers and percentages of persistence of three GROUP cohorts, the corresponding entering fall freshmen cohorts and the minority students included in the 1973 and 1974 fall freshmen cohorts. Again, graphic presentations of the persistence data are found in $\bar{r} i g u r e s ~ 2 ~ a n d ~ 3 . ~$

Next, each GROUP cohort was divided according to the student's enrollment and academic status. The subgroups consisted of the following categories: (1) dropouts in academic difficulty, ADs, (2) dropouts not in academic difficulty, NAD, (3) perisisters as of Fall semester 1976, PERs. Table 3 presents the numbers and percentages of GROUP students in each of the threc categories by entering cohort. An elaboration of these data identifying the ADs, NADs and PERE according to their' class rank the last semester they ware enrolled at I.U. is inoluded in the Appendix Table 1.

A student who continues his/her enrollment at I.U. muot declare an acadomic major at some point in hin/har caracr. The present stidy classified the ilve hundrod plus majors offered at I.U. Lnto five acodemic areas: (J) humanition,

| Group |  | Sm | $\begin{aligned} & r \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\mathrm{la}}{\substack{\mathrm{Lm} \\ \hline}}$ | $\underset{i \mathrm{~b}}{\substack{\mathrm{Sm} \\ \hline}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & S \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Sm} \\ & \mathbf{2 a} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Sm}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{b}} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{2}{F}$ | $\begin{aligned} & S \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Sm} \\ & 3 \mathrm{a} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{3 \mathrm{Sm}}{\mathrm{Sm}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ' 59 | 1 $n$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & 193 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 95.3 \\ 184 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 31.7 \\ 177 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 76.7 \\ 148 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 76.7 \\ 148 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 74.6 \\ 144 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 62.8 \\ 120 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 58.0 \\ 112 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 58.0 \\ 112 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 57.0 \\ 110 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 56.5 \\ 109 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 52.8 \\ 102 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 52.3 \\ 101 \end{array}$ |
| 190 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & 200 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 97 . \\ 194 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.1 \\ 183 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 81.0 \\ 162 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 81.0 \\ 162 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 80.5 \\ 162 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 72.0 \\ 144 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 66.0 \\ 132 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 65.0 \\ 130 \end{array}$ | 63.5 127 | $\begin{array}{r} 59.0 \\ 1 i 8 \end{array}$ | 54.0 108 | 54.0 108 |
| '7! | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & n \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & 193 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 96.4 \\ 186 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 91.7 \\ 177 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 80.8 \\ 156 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 79.8 \\ 154 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 78.2 \\ 15! \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 73.6 \\ 142 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 64.2 \\ 124 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 63.2 \\ 122 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 61.7 \\ 119 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 55.4 \\ 107 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 49.7 \\ 96 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 48.2 \\ 93 \end{array}$ |
| 172 | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & \mathrm{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 104 \\ & 196 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 94.9 \\ 186 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 88.8 \\ 174 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 73.4 \\ 144 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 73.6 \\ 1416 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 73.0 \\ 163 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 66.8 \\ 131 \end{array}$ | 54.1 | 53.6 105 | 53.1 104 | $\begin{array}{r} 49.0 \\ 96 \end{array}$ | 41.8 82 | 40.8 80 |
| 173 | $\begin{aligned} & \% \\ & n \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & 211 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 99.1 \\ 209 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 32.4 \\ 19! \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 72.5 \\ 153 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 72.5 \\ 133 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7!.6 \\ 151 \end{array}$ | 67.3 142 | $59 . ?$ 125 | 58.3 123 | $\begin{array}{r} 57.8 \\ 122 \end{array}$ | 59 117 | 42.2 89 | 40.0 88 |
| 174 | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & n \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & 273 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 97.9 \\ 228 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 33.1 \\ 217 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 69.5 \\ 162 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 67.14 \\ 11,7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 66,5 \\ 1,5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 54.9 \\ 128 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 38.7 \\ 87 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 37.8 \\ \text { B8 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 36.9 \\ 8 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |
| 175 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & n \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & 255 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 97.3 \\ 148 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8 H .6 \\ 226 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 68,6 \\ 17 \\ 17 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,6: 7 \\ 1 \% 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.6 .3 \\ 164 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Toral ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & i \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} i 01 \mid \\ 11441 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35.9 \\ & 116: 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11,1 \\ & 11119 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 74.3 \\ & 11110 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 71.5 \\ & 10 \mathrm{HH} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 72.5 \\ & 1024 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6,1,8 \\ 80 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,1,1 \\ 6,48 \end{gathered}$ | 5j, | 54,5 668 | $\begin{array}{r} 15.1 \\ 1,4 \end{array}$ | 48.0 477 | 47.1 |

the Seven gRoup Cohorts
-ity by Academ: © Ension

| $\begin{aligned} & F \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & S \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Sm} \\ & 4 a \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Sm} \\ & 4 b \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & r \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { s } \\ \hline}}{\text { n }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 m \\ & 5 a \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 m \\ & 5 b \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 m \\ & 6 a \\ & \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{Sm}_{6 \mathrm{~b}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{r} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{S} \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{r} 51.8 \\ 100 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 49.7 \\ 96 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 39.4 \\ 76 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 38.3 \\ 74 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 36.8 \\ 71 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 23.5 \\ 55 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24.4 \\ 47 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24.4 \\ 47 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 21.2 \\ 41 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17.1 \\ 33 \end{array}$ | 14.5 28 | $\begin{array}{r} 14.5 \\ 28 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13.5 \\ 26 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11.4 \\ 22 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{r} 52.0 \\ 104 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 49.5 \\ 99 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 39.0 \\ 78 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 37.0 \\ 74 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 32.0 \\ 64 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 29.5 \\ 57 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 23.0 \\ 46 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 21.5 \\ 43 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.5 \\ 4 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16.0 \\ 32 \end{array}$ | 9.0 | $\begin{array}{r} 9.0 \\ 18 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6 \\ i 3 \end{array}$ |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} 46.6 \\ 90 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 43.5 \\ 84 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 37.3 \\ 72 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 34.2 \\ 66 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 31.1 \\ 6,0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \varepsilon \cdot 4 \\ 51 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18.7 \\ 36 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15.0 \\ 29 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13.5 \\ 26 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} 40.3 \\ 79 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 35.7 \\ 70 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22.4 \\ 4.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19.4 \\ 38 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16.8 \\ 33 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} 38.9 \\ 82 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} 45.8 \\ 45 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 44.1 \\ 369 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 34.1 \\ 3 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12.2 \\ 2.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24.8 \\ 2 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17.8 \\ 18.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 72.11 \\ 129 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20.3 \\ 11.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18.3 \\ 10 \% \end{array}$ | 10. | 11.9 | $\begin{array}{r} 11.7 \\ 166 \end{array}$ | 9.93 |  |

[^0]

Pigure 1. Percontagas of parsistance of each antaring cohort of GROUP students.

## Table 2

Numbers and Percentages of Persistence for Three GROUP Cohorts, and the
Corresponding Fall Semesters Entering Minority Freshmen and Total Entering Freshmen Cohorts ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Entering Cohort | GROUP |  | Sm | ¢! | Sl | F2 | S2 | F3 | 53 | 54 | 54 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1971 | GROUP 171 | 8 | 100.0 | 96.4 | 91.7 | 78.2 | 73.6 | 61.1 | 55.4 | 46,6 | 43.5 |
|  |  | $n$ | 193 | 186 | 177 | 151 | 142 | 119 | 107 | 90 | 84 |
|  | All Freshmen | 8 |  | 100.0 | 95.1 | 85.3 | 81.6 | 74.5 | 72.2 | 66:4 | 62.2 |
|  |  | n |  | 4629 | 4402 | 3947 | 3777 | 3450 | 3343 | 3073 | 2878 |

1973

| GRoup 173 | 8 | 100.0 | 99.1 | 92.4 | 71.6 | 61.3 | 57.8 | 55.1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $n$ | 211 | 209 | 195 | 151 | 142 | 122 | 1.7 |

$\begin{array}{cccrrrr}\text { GROUP '74 } & 8 & 100.0 & 97.9 & 93.1 & 66.5 & 54.9 \\ & 0 & 233 & 228 & 217 & 155 & 128\end{array}$
$1974 \begin{array}{cccccc}\text { Minority } & 8 & 100.0 & 95.1 & 84.8 & 75.5 \\ & \text { Frechmen } & \text { n } & 204 & 194 & 173 \\ & & & 154\end{array}$
All Freshmen $\begin{array}{lllll}8 & 100.0 & 94.5 & 84.0 & 77.2 \\ n & 4660 & 4404 & 39 \prime 3 & 3599\end{array}$
aminority student and total group data ootained from Bureau of Educational Studies and Testing Monograph No. 32.
Racial data not available on entering freshmen in Fall 1971.
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Figure 2. Parcentages of persistence of Group '71, GRoup '73 and Group '74 and the 1971, 1913 and 1974 entering fall freshmen cohorts.


Figure 3. Percentages of persistence of GROUP 173, GROUP 174 and the minority freshmen who anrolled in the fall samesters 1973 and 1974.

Table 3
Numbers and Percentages of GROUP Cohorts $\because$. According to Their Enrollment Status as of Fall 1976a

| GROUP | Not Enrolled |  |  | Enrolled |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $A D$ | NAD Freshmen Sophomores Juniors | ```N/4 Seniors Graduates``` | $\begin{array}{r} \text { PER } \\ \text { AD } \end{array}$ | PER NAD |  |
| '69 | $\begin{gathered} 98 \\ (51.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27 \\ (14.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 58 \\ (30.2) \end{gathered}$ | $(0.5)$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ (4.2) \end{gathered}$ | 192 |
| 170 | $\begin{gathered} 89 \\ (4,4.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 36 \\ (18.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 62 \\ (31.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ (0.5) \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \\ & (6.0) \end{aligned}$ | 200 |
| 171 | $\begin{gathered} 71 \\ (36.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 49 \\ (25.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 47 \\ (24.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (2.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21 \\ (10.9) \end{gathered}$ | 193 |
| 172 | $\begin{gathered} 79 \\ (40.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55 \\ (28.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29 \\ (14.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ (3.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26 \\ (13.3) \end{gathered}$ | 196 |
| 173 | $\begin{gathered} 81 \\ (38.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 44 \\ (20.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\left(\begin{array}{c} 4 \\ (1.9) \end{array}\right.$ | $\left(\begin{array}{l} 11 \\ (5.2) \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{gathered} 71 \\ (33.6) \end{gathered}$ | 211 |
| 174 | $\begin{aligned} & 108 \\ & (46.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 39 \\ (16.7) \end{gathered}$ | -- | $\begin{aligned} & 13 \\ & (5,6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 73 \\ (31.3) \end{gathered}$ | 233 |
| 175 | $\begin{gathered} 72 \\ (28.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14 \\ & (5.5) \end{aligned}$ | -- | $\begin{gathered} 38 \\ (14.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 131 \\ (51.4) \end{gathered}$ | 255 |
| Sub- <br> Total $169-172$ | $\begin{gathered} 337 \\ (43.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 167 \\ (21.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 196 \\ & (25.1) \end{aligned}$ | $(14.8)$ | $\begin{gathered} 67 \\ (8.6) \end{gathered}$ | 781 |

${ }^{a}$ Numbers in parentheses are the percentages of the entering cohort in each category.
(2) biological sciences, (3) physical sciences, (4) social sciences and (5) applied sciences. For the 1969-1974 GROUP cohorts, the numbers and percentages of each cohort who had declared majors in the five academic areas are presented in Table 4.

For a basis of comparison, the numbers and percentages of the Fall 1973 entering freshmen cohort who had declared majors in each of the five academic areas were obtained. Of the 4513 freshmen who entered I.U.B. in Fall 1973, fifty-five percent (2484) had declared a major by spring semester of their third year (Spring 1976). Twenty-four percent (23.7\%) had declared majors in the humanities, 8.6 percent had declared in the biological sciences, 6.6 percent in the physical sciences, 8.4 percent in the so ?ial sciences, and 42.2 percent in :he applied sciences.

Using the criteria defined as minimum requirements for graduation, the GROUP 169 through GROUP ' 73 were analyzed to determine the numbers and percentages $o^{\circ}$ each entering GROUP cohort which hac met minimum requirements for graduation by Fall semester 1976. These data are presented in Table 5. Collating the information in Trables 4 and 5 , we were able to examine the ratio of the students who had a major declared in an academic area to those who had achieved minimum graduation requirements. Table 6 contains this information.

Numbers and Percentages of Each GROUP Cohort who had Declared Majors in Five Academic Areas as of Spring 1976

| GROUP | Academic Areas |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Humanities | Biological Sciences | Physical <br> Sciences | Social <br> Sciences | Applied Sciences |  |
| '69 | $(13.6)^{15}$ | $(2.7)$ | $(2.7)$ | $\begin{gathered} 17 \\ (15.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 72 \\ (65.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 110 \\ & \{57.3\}^{b} \end{aligned}$ |
| 170 | $\left(7^{8} .8\right)$ | $(2.9)$ | $(1.0)$ | $\begin{gathered} 23 \\ (22.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 67 \\ (65.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} 102 \\ \{51.0\} \end{array}\right.$ |
| ' 71 | $(8.4)$ | $(3.2)$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22 \\ (23.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 62 \\ (65.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 95 \\ \{49.2\} \end{gathered}$ |
| ' 72 | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ (6.6) \end{gathered}$ | $(6.6)$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17 \\ (18.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 62 \\ (68.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 91 \\ \{46.4\} \end{gathered}$ |
| 173 | $\begin{gathered} 1.8 \\ (16.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\left(2^{3} .8\right)$ | $(3.7)$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ (11.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 70 \\ (65.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 107 \\ \{50.7\} \end{gathered}$ |
| 174 | $\begin{gathered} i^{n} \\ (13.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ (9.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (5.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ (10.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 48 \\ (62.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 77 \\ \{33.0\} \end{gathered}$ |
| Total | $\begin{gathered} 65 \\ (11.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25 \\ (4.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\left(\begin{array}{l} 12 \\ (2.1) \end{array}\right.$ | $(17.0)$ | $\begin{gathered} 381 \\ (65.5) \end{gathered}$ | 582 |

$a_{\text {Numbers }}$ in parentheses indicate percentage of those who had declared a major.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Numbers in bracket: indicate percentage of entering group who had declared a major.

Table 5
Numbers and Percentages of GROUP Students who had Minimum Requirements for Graduation as of Fall Semester 1976a

| GROUP | Number <br> Entered | Number <br> Graduated | Percent <br> Graduated |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 169 | 192 | 48 | 25.0 |
| 170 | 200 | 41 | 20.7 |
| 171 | 193 | 45 | 23.4 |
| 172 | 196 | 29 | 14.9 |
| 773 | 211 | 5 | 2.4 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Minimum requirements for graduation were:
(1) Class rank of senior or graduate student the last semester attended,
(2) 120 or more cumulative hours, and
(3) Cumulative GPA of 2.0 or higher.
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## Table 6

Numbers of Group Students who had Declared Majors as of Spring 1976,
Numbers of Students with Declared Majors who had Minimum Requirements for Graduation as of Fall 1976, and Percentages of Students who meet the Requirements in each Academic Area

## Academic Areas

| GROUP | Humanities | Biological Sciences | Physical Sciences |  |  |  | Applien |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | D G $\mathrm{g}^{2}$ | 6 | D G \% |  | 8 |  | 6 | 4 | D | 6 | 8 |

$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}169 & 15 & 9 & 60.0 & 3 & 1 & 33.3 & 3 & 0 & 0.0 & 17 & 4 & 23.5 & 72 & 31 & 43.1 & 110 & 45 & 40.0\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}170 & 8 & 2 & 25.0 & 3 & 1 & 33.3 & 1 & 1 & 100.0 & 23 & 8 & 34.8 & 67 & 24 & 35.8 & 102 & 36 & 35.3\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}171 & 8 & 4 & 50.0 & 3 & 2 & 66.7 & \cdots & - & \cdots & 22 & 7 & 31.8 & 62 & 28 & 45.2 & 95 & 41 & 43.2 \\ 172 & 6 & 4 & 65.7 & 6 & 1 & 16.7 & \cdots & - & \cdots & 17 & 3 & 17.6 & 62 & 18 & 29.0 & 91 & 26 & 28.6\end{array}$

${ }^{a} D=$ Number who declared a major in the academic area,
$G$ : Number who had mi , mum reçuirements for graduation.
\& : Percentage of stuc its who had minimum graduation requirements of those who had declared majors.
$b_{\text {GROUP }} 173$ have been exrolled for only three years, therefore 8 was not computed.
91

## Relationship of Academic \& Biographical Variables to Persistence

This part of the present study focused on GROUP 174 and a number of additional measures which were available on this group. At the time of the present study GROUP 174 had had the opportunity to be enrolled at I.U. for two years. GROUP 174 students were divided into three categories according to their enrollment status and acaderic standing as of Fall 1976: ADs, NADs, and PERs. Of the students who comprised this cohort, $138(46.4 \%)$ were $A D s, 39(16.7 \%)$ were $N A D s$ and $86(36.9 \%)$ were PERs at the beginning of their third academic year at $\mathbb{I} . U$.

To determine if the three groups, $A D s, N A D s$, and PERs were significantly different on three admissions variables, analysis of variances (ANOVAs) were performed on SAT-V, SAT-M and relative high school rank (RELRANK - high school rank divided by high school size and multiplied by 100). Analysis of varimnce (ANOVA) is a statistical test which determines if the average score of any of the three groups, ADs, NADs, or PERs, is significantly different. The ANOVAs on SAT-V, SAT-M and RELRANK were all significant at the .05 level. This indicates that at least one of the group averages for each measure was significantly different from the averages of the other groups. The obvious question is which of the three group averages is significantiy different. Table 7 provides summary data which allows one to determine which group mean or means are significant.

Table 7

Summary of ANOVAs on SAT-Verbal Scores,
SAT-Mathematass Scores and Relative High School Ranks for the Academic Dropouts, Non-Academic Dropouts, and Persisters

| Group | Number | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

SAT-Verbal

| ADs | 71 | 301 | 288 | to | 314 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| NADs | 36 | 371 | 341 | to | 401 |
| PERs | 62 | 346 | 329 | to | 363 |

ST-Mathematics

| ADs | 71 | 335 | 319 | to | 351 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MI: ii, | 36 | 397 | 366 | to | 428 |
| IERs | 62 | 365 | 346 | to | 384 |


| Relative High School Rank |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ADs | 87 | 54 | 49 | to | 58 |
| NADs | 34 | 39 | 31 | to | 48 |
| PERs | 66 | 36 | 30 | to | 42 |

[^1]Several biographic measures were available on the majority of GROUP ' 74 students. The next phase of the study was to focus on whether or not the three groups, ADs, NADs, and PERs differed significantly on any of the biographic measures. Chi square tests were performed on the following: (1) the number of high school English courses completed with a mininum grade of $C$, (2) the number of high school mathematics courses completed with a minimum grade of $C$, (3) mother's educational level, (4) father's educational level, (5) whether the GROUP student was the oldest child, (6) number of siblings, (7) marital status of parents, (8) sex, and (g) size of high school. There was no significant differer.ce in ADs, NADs and PERs on any biographic measure with the exception of the number of high school English courses completed with a minimum grade of $C$. Table 8 presents the results of this chi square test.

From Table 8 we find that 49.3 percent of the ADs had two of less courses in English with a grade of $C$, while only 31.3 percent of the NADs and 31.2 percent of the PERs had two or less courses in Erglish with a minimum grade of $C$. None of the other biographical measures were significantly related to a student's status at the beginning of the third year and therefore none of the other chi square :ables are presented in this monograph.

Table 8
Number of High School English Courses of GROUP 174 According to Their Academic Status in Fall 1976

| GROUP | Number of H.S. English Courses |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |
| ADs | $\begin{gathered} 13 \\ (18.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22 \\ (31.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26 \\ (36.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ (14.1) \end{gathered}$ | 71 |
| NADs | ${ }_{(6.3)}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ (25.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20 \\ (62.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{2}{2}_{(6.3)}$ | 32 |
| Persisters | $\left(3^{2} .1\right)$ | $\begin{gathered} 18 \\ (28.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 37 \\ (57.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ (10.9) \end{gathered}$ | 64 |
|  | Chi Squa | 14.07 | $\mathrm{df}=6$ | $p=$ |  |

## Discussion and Summary

The present study focused on the persistence of seven GROUP cohorts and the relationship of several biographic and academic variables to Dersistence of GROUP students. The data presented in the previous section provide a basis for several observations regarding the GROUPs students. Persistence of the Seven GROUP Cohorts

Examining the data represented in Figure l, several observations can be made. There is a high degree of persistence during the first three semesters at I.U. across all GROUP cohorts; an average of 91 percent of the students are continuing their affiliation with I.U. for the first three semesters, Sm, Fl, and SI. There is a major decline in persistence near the end of the first year; an average of 18.6 percent of an entering cohort drop out between the end of the Spring semester of the first year (SI) and the beginning of Fall semester of the second year (F2). The largest drop in persistence during a regular academic year, i.e., between Fall and Spring semesters, is observed during the second year (an average of 6.7 percent dropout). Finally, there is a downward trend in the rates of persistence across the years. For example, the persistence rates for the fall semester of the second year (F2) have consistently declined since GROUP '70. This same pattern is observed for the fall of the of the third year (F3) with the exception of GROUP ' 73 and for the fall of the fourth year (F4). The specific per-
centages can be found in Table l. Overall, we can observe that of those cohorts who have had the opportunity to be enrolled, 91 percent have continued their affiliation with I.U. for a minimum of one year, 66 percent have continued their affiliation with I.U. for a minimum of two years, 55 percent have continued their affiliation with I.U. for a minimum of three years, and 45 percent have continued affiliation for a minimum of four years.

Figure 2 provides a comparison of the persistence of three GROUP cohorts with the corresponding fall semester entering freshmen cohorts. It is notable that all groups in this figure exhibit a high degree of persistence through spring semester of the first year. Also, the entering freshmen cohorts as well as the GROUP cohorts show a decline in the rate of persistence from 1971, to 1973 and 1974. The GROUP cohorts have a much greater loss of students than the corresponding freshmen cohorts at the critical time between the end of their first spring semester and the beginning of the second fall semester.

Prcbably the most significant observation reflected in the data from Figure 2 is that from the fall of the second year (F2) on through the spring of the fourth year (S4), the semester by semester decline in the persistence of the GROUP cohorts is only slightly less than the semester by semester decline in persistence of the corresponding freshmen cohort. The largest difference in the semester by semestrr rates of
persistence is less than five percentage points between F2 and S 2 in 1974. Actually GROUP 173 had a slightly higher rate of persistence than their freshmen cohort between F3 and S3. Specific rates of persistence (or attrition rates) may be obtained by subtraction of data provided in Table 2.

Several studies have been criticized for comparing persistence of educational opportunity students to the predominantly white freshmen. Thus, Figure 3 provides a comparison of the GROUP cohorts to minority students included in the fall freshmen cohort. The minority freshmen students for Fall 1973 and Fall 1974 was composed of 74 percent Afro-American, 14 percent Oriental-American, 8 percent Mexican-Spanish surnamed American and 4 percent American Indian. The minority freshmen comprised aporoximately 4 percent of the total entering fall freshmen cohort.

Comparing Figure 2 and Figure 3, it is obvious that the patterns of persistence are very similar. This is more obvious when we compare the persistence rates of minority students to their corresponding freshmen cohort data in Table 2. "In some cases minority students had a slightly higher persistence than the total group. One point should be made in regard to the data in Figure 3. The minority students are probably different from the GROUP students in two ways; the minority students are probably not economically disadvantaged and had sufficient test scores and high school achievement to be admitted to I.U.B. through the traditional
admissions channels. It would possibly be more appropriate to compare GROUP students with regular students from the same socio-economic backgrouit. However, socio-economic measures on the freshmen cohort were not available.

Examining the data presented in Table 3 we have a more detailed picture of what has happened to GROUP students. Historically we obsarve that for the GROUP '69, GROLiP '70, GROUP 171 and GROUP 172 an average of 43 percent of the entering cohort were in academic difficulty the last semester they attended I.U. Twenty-one percent of those no longer enrolled at I.U. were freshmen, sophomores or juniors when they left and were not in academic difficulty. These NAD students would comprise the GROUP students who would have potentially transferred to another institution. Twenty-five percent of the entering cohort were seniors or graduate students when they last attended the university and were not considered to be in academic difficulty; these students would represent those who probably achieved their goal of a baccalaureate degree at I.U. For GROUP '73, GROUP '74 and GROUP '75 we find that an average of 48 percent enrolled at I.U. for Fall 1976. Of these entering cohorts approximately 9 percent are persisting but in academic difficulty.

To examine more thoroughly the students who are no longer persisting and were in academic difficulty their last semester at I.U., the reader is referred to Appendix A. All 'special' students were or are presently in academic difficulty. Most academic dropouts (ADs) and most nonacademic dropouts (N.ADs)
wer: freshmen or sophomores at I.U. when they last attended the University. Unlike the NADs, there has been an increasing number of freshmen ADs since GROUP '71. Relating this to the persistence rates in Figure l, we find that the decline in the rate of persistence over the years may be for a large part attributed to freshmen who leave the University in academic difficulty. It is also interesting to note that 54 GROUP students from the first four GROUP cohorts are presently or have in the past attended graduate school at I.U. (in the Appendix A).

Table 4 provides information on the proportion of GROUP students who major in five academic areas. It is obvious that most GROUP students major in the applied sciences (65\%) while very few GROUP students have majored in the biological and physical sciences. In comparing GROUP students to the entering freshmen in Fall 1974, we find that more GROUP students major in the applied and social sciences than the regular students while fewer GROUP students major in the humanities, biological sciences and physical sciences than their corresponding freshmen cohort. In addition the proportion declaring in each of the five academic areas has remained relatively stable over six GROUP cohorts.

The next two tables of results, Tables 5 and 6, deal with the chances of a GROUP student achieving the goal of a baccalareate degree at I.U. In Table 5 we find that of those GROUP cohorts who have had five or more years since they enrolled at I.U.B., approximately 23 percent have met
the minimum requirements for graduation at I.U. by Fall 1976. This figure does not give any indication as to whether students who left I.U. obtained a degree from another institution. In comparison, Tinto (1974) found that approximately $50 \%$ of students who enroll in four year colleges graduate with a baccalaureate degree.

In further examination of the data in Table 6 , we find that of the first four entering GROUP cohorts, an average of 51 percent of those majoring in the humanities had by Fall 1976 met the minimum requirements for graduation, 38 percent in the applied sciences, 33 percent in the biological sciences, 28 percent $1 n$ the social sciences and 25 percent in the physical sciences.

Certainly, the present study does not answer completely all questions regarding persistence of GROUP students at I.U. or what has happened to thesestudents while they were affiliated with I.U. Further information is needed from the students themselves, and this is being obtained via a subsequent study that is in process by the Bureau of Educational Studies and Testing.

Relationship of Academic and Biographic Measures to Persistence of GROUP Students

The results of this dart of the study provide information that may be useful in identifying potential GROUP students who would persist at I.U. for a minimum of two years or those who would not be forced to leave the University because of inability to maintain the required academic standards.

In examining the admission criteria, we found that $A D s$ were significantly lower than NADs or PERs on SAT-V and relative high school rank. An entering GROUP student with a SAT-V score less than 315 or a relative high school rank in the bottom 50 percent of his/her graduating class would be very likely to have left I.U. in academic difficulty before the begirning of the third year.

The results of the ANOVA for SAT-M were not as decisive. NADs had the highest average SAT-M and were significantly different from ADs on this measure. However ADs and PERs were not significantly different on SAT-M.

For the tests of the relationship of biographic measures to academic status at the end of two years, only the number of high school English courses with a minimum grade of $C$ was significantly related to a GROUP student's academic status at the end of two years. From these data, it is indicated that NADs and PERs had more English courses with a minimum grade of $C$ than the ADs.

It is important to note that none of the other biographic measures were significantly related to persistence. This indicates that these measures should not be considered as relevant to a GROUP student's chance of success when identifying potential students for the program.

## Conclusions


#### Abstract

In this present study we have been focusing on the persistence patterns of GROUP students cohorts. Certainly the implied area of concern is with the two interactive sides of this phenomenon, i.e., the two-sided coin of persistence/ attrition. The critical problem facing many universities is that after extensive and competitive programs to recruit new students, the attrition rates are subverting initial recruiting efforts.

The primary purpose of economic opportunity programs, i.e., GROUPs program at I.U.B., is to make higher education accessible to students who in the past have for one reason or another been denied access to these programs. Though high attrition rates are a problem in the general student population, attrition rates among these specially recruited minority populations have become of intense concern.

The present study has found that for the seven GROUP cohorts, persistence during the first year is comparable to that of other minority students and the fall entering freshmen. Critical points where attrition occurs are at the end of the first year and between Fall and Spring semesters of the second year. Over the seven GROUP cohorts we have observed a pattern of higher attrition rates for each entering cohort since GROUP '70. This becomes even more noticeable in the entering GROUP cohorts since 1973. A number of reasons may be identified as possible correlates for these increases in attrition rates. These reasons may be classified into two categories: (].) reasons


relating to higher attrition that are external to the University and (2) reasons for higher attrition that are internal in nature.

First, external causes for higher attrition since 1970 may be related to the increase in unemployment especiaily among inner-city and minority families making it more difficult for the student's family to make the necessary financial contribution to the student's education. In the same context, the increased inflation in the seventies has placed heavier financial burdenc on the families. The effect of the draft on encouraging students to persist in college is no longer of concern to the male student. Therefore the fear of being drafted does not come into play when one is considering dropping out of college. These external reasons for the increase in attrition rates since 1970 are only hypothesized since we have no validating information in the present study.

The internal correlates for increasing rates of attrition are partially validated in this study and will be more thoroughly examined in a future study by the Bureau of Educational Studies and Testing. However, in the present study, we found that the number of students who are dropping out in their first two years at l.U. are primarily students who have not met the academic standards required for continued enrollment. From data in Appendix A we find that the number of freshmen and sophomore students in each GROUP cohort who were in academic difficulty (ADs)
the last semester they attended I.U. has increased since 1971, while the number of freshmen and sophomore students who were not in academic difficulty (NADs) the last semester they attended I.U. have noi shown a comparable increase over the years. Two possible reasons for the higher numbers of ADs may be (1) a tightening of grading procedures as a result of the concern over grade inflation and (2) the admission of more high risk students through the GROUPs program with its expansion of the program from 200 to 250 students per year The second part of the present study has focused on variables which relate to persistence of the GROUP students. The primary difference between $A D s$ and their peers is in tipe language skills area. Academic dropouts (ADs) are significantly lower than non-academic dropouts (NADs) and persisters on the Scholastic Aptitude Test-Verbal (SAT-V) and on relative high school rank. Again we find that ADs have significantly fewer high school English courses with a minimum grade of $C$ than the NADs or persisters.

Combining the fact that the increasing rate of attrition can be primarily attributed to an increase in the number of academic dropouts and that academic dropouts differ from their peers in the GROUPs program in the development of their language skills, the University is faced with a true dilemma. One alternative is to exclude the high risk GROUPs students on the basis of their SAT-V and relative high school rank. However, this approach to reduci trition rates is an
initial phase in defeating the purpose of this type of program to provide these nontraditional students access to higher education.

Two alternatives to excluding these high risk students are possible avenues of action on the part of the University. At present students for the GROUP program are identified in the fall of their senior year in high school. If the students were identified at the end of the junior year in high school, the possibility of counseling the future GROUP student into a stronger language skills curriculum during his/her senior year is a low cost alternative to increasing the chance of the student persisting once enrolled at I.U.

Another avenue to promote the persistence of these high risk $A D s$ is to provide additional supportive curriculum to these students once they are at I.U.B. It is obvious that this high risk student is deficient in his language skills. A two semester course that is required for the high risk student and elective for other students might consist of the following:

1. Reading speed and comprehension skills: to increase the student's opportunity for exposure to written material,
2. Writing communication skills: to increase ability in expressing oneself in written communications, and
3. Oral communications skills: to increase the ability to clearly express oneself in oral communications.

Without a doubt, the University has a vested commitment and interest in retaining the GROUP students in the

```
University until they complete their goal of a baccalaureate
degree. In this study we have provided data that indicate
potential directions that may be selected to increase the
persistence of the GROUP students at I.U. The trend is
definitely toward higher attrition rates with each subsequent
GROUP cohort. Thus some intervention on the part of the
University will be necessary to curb this trend and to continue
to provide high risk GROUP students a situation that does not
result in arother academic failure experience.
```


## Appendix A

Numbers of Each GROUP Cohort Who Were ADs, WADs and PRs by Their Class Rank the Last Semester They Attended ${ }^{d}$

## Class Rank the Last Semester Attended


$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}169 & 2 & - & - & 58 & 16 & - & 21 & 7 & - & 8 & 4 & 1 & 9 & 39 & 3 & & 19 & 5\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}170 & 5 & - & - & 47 & 10 & - & 20 & 15 & \underset{(1)}{1} & 10 & 11 & 5 & 7 & 51 & 5 & -\end{array} 11 \quad 2$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}171 & 2 & - & - & 31 & 21 & 1 & 22 & 17 & 1 & 6 & 11 & 11 & 10 & 41 & 6 & - & 6 \\ (4 A D)\end{array}$
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[^0]:    

[^1]:    ${ }^{\text {I }}$ If the 'lowest' and 'highest' values do not overlap for two groubs, the groups can be considered significantly different on that measure.

