

Chapter 18: Climate Resilient Development Pathways

Coordinating Lead Authors: E. Lisa F. Schipper (Sweden/United Kingdom), Aromar Revi (India), Benjamin L. Preston (Australia/USA)

Lead Authors: Edward R. Carr (USA), Siri H. Eriksen (Norway), Luis R. Fernández-Carril (Mexico), Bruce Glavovic (South Africa/New Zealand), Nathalie J.M. Hilmi (France/Monaco), Debora Ley (Mexico/Guatemala), Rupa Mukerji (India/Switzerland), M. Silvia Muylaert de Araujo (Brazil), Rosa Perez (Philippines), Steven K. Rose (USA), Pramod K. Singh (India)

Contributing Authors: Paulina Aldunce (Chile), Aditya Bahadur (India), Natália Barbosa de Carvalho (Brazil), Ritwika Basu (India), Donald A. Brown (USA), Anna Carthy (Ireland), Vanesa Castán Broto (Spain/United Kingdom), Ralph Chami (USA), John Cook (USA), Daniel de Berrêdo Viana (Brazil), Frode Degvold (Norway), Shekoofeh Farahmend (Iran), Roger Few (United Kingdom), Gianfranco Gianfrate (France), H. Carina Keskitalo (Sweden), Florian Krampe (Germany/Sweden), Rinchen Lama (South Africa), Julia Leventon (Czech Republic/United Kingdom), Rebecca McNaught (New Zealand), Yu Mo (China/United Kingdom), Marianne Mosberg (Norway), Michelle Mycoo (Trinidad and Tobago), Johanna Nalau (Australia/Finland), Karen O'Brien (Norway), Meg Parsons (New Zealand), Alain Safa (France), Zoha Shawoo (Pakistan/United Kingdom), Marcus Taylor (Canada/United Kingdom), Mark G.L. Tebboth (United Kingdom), Bejoy K. Thomas (India), Kirsten Ulstrup (Norway), Saskia Werners (The Netherlands/Germany), Keren Zhu (China), Monika Zurek (Germany/United Kingdom)

Review Editors: Diana Liverman (USA), Nobuo Mimura (Japan)

Chapter Scientists: Ritwika Basu (India/United Kingdom), Zoha Shawoo (Pakistan/United Kingdom), Yu Mo (China/United Kingdom)

Date of Draft: 1 October 2021

Notes: TSU Compiled Version

Table of Contents

Cross-Chapter Box GENDER: Gender, Climate Justice and Transformative Pathways Supplementary Material	2
Cross-Chapter Box FEASIB: Feasibility Assessment of Adaptation Options: An Update of the SR1.5 Supplementary Material	13

Cross-Chapter Box GENDER: Gender, Climate Justice and Transformative Pathways Supplementary Material

Authors: Anjal Prakash (India), Cecilia Conde (Mexico), Ayansina Ayanlade (Nigeria), Rachel Bezner Kerr (Canada/USA), Emily Boyd (Sweden), Martina A Caretta (Sweden), Susan Clayton (USA), Marta G. Rivera Ferre (Spain), Laura Ramajo Gallardo (Chile), Sharina Abdul Halim (Malaysia), Nina Lansbury (Australia), Oksana Lipka (Russia), Ruth Morgan (Australia), Joyashree Roy (India), Diana Reckien (Netherlands/Germany), E. Lisa F. Schipper (Sweden/UK), Chandni Singh (India), Maria Cristina Tirado von der Pahlen (Spain/USA), Edmond Totin (Benin), Kripa Vasant (India), Morgan Wairiu (Solomon Islands), Zelina Zaiton Ibrahim (Malaysia).

Contributing Authors: Seema Arora-Jonsson (Sweden/India), Emily Baker (USA), Graeme Dean (Ireland), Emily Hillenbrand (USA), Alison Irvine (Canada), Farjana Islam (Bangladesh/ United Kingdom), Katriona McGlade (United Kingdom/Germany), Hanson Nyantakyi-Frimpong (Ghana), Nitya Rao (United Kingdom/India), Federica Ravera (Italy), Emilia Reyes (Mexico), Diana Hinge Salili (Fiji), Corinne Schuster-Wallace (Canada), Alcade C. Segnon (Benin), Divya Solomon (India), Shreya Some (India), Indrakshi Tandon (India), Sumit Vij (India), Katharine Vincent (United Kingdom/South Africa), Margreet Zwartveen (The Netherlands)

Table SMCCB GENDER.1: Interrelations between SDG5 (gender equality) and adaptation initiatives in 9 major sectors

<i>Sector</i>	<i>SDG 5 (net impact)</i>	<i>Main messages</i>
Terrestrial	+ (enabling)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> +Community-based natural resource management increases the participation of women, most likely if they are organised into women groups. +National forest conservation/reforestation programs (e.g through CDM and REDD+) and forest sequestration programs can improve the family and social status of women and the creation of women's group in forest conservation programs can create more income for women and new connections which increases women's leadership and power in the local context. -However, too restricted rules of REDD+ that do not include traditional uses from local communities can hamper women and girls' traditional activities. - Payment for ecosystem services in the 'forest protection' category based on property size reduce women income as compared to men because women tend to have smaller size of the property ±Engagement in aquaculture has the potential to bring respect and popularity to women, if they succeed which depends on the gendered approach in introducing any technology otherwise the gender gap can increase, despite the many potential benefits of such technology.
Ocean	- (counteracting)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Marine Protected Areas tend to reproduce existing gender disparities in relation to leadership and power. Research on MPAs in Kenya, Tanzania, Madagascar, Indonesia and the Philippines has concluded that women are less likely to participate in MPA governance and activities because MPAs restrict fishing and women have to find other sources of income to support their families. - Decision-making in relation to a mangrove restoration project: women's participation was low as they were not informed of focus group discussion. Also, in some places, men were culturally deterred from participating in mangrove restoration activities due to the low associated pay which was felt to be more suitable for women. +Workshops conducted in the Pacific highlighted how women are taking the lead in a range of local-scale adaptation actions that innovate as well as build on traditional knowledge. ±In sustainable aquaculture practices, gender roles are clearly defined. Women are not directly involved in commercial activities and almost all those involved in subsistence aquaculture are female due to the perceived lack of immediate economic gains. However, aquaculture provides opportunities for income diversification for both women and men
Mountain	+ (enabling)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> +Being pushed into new roles, domains and spaces, women's skills and capacities have increased. Strategies adopted to address domestic water scarcity

		<p>by conserving and recycling water are strengthening women's role in the community.</p> <p>+Women are engaged and recognized for such preparatory measures in advance of floods to stock up on essential items such as grains, oil and kerosene, as well as drying fish and vegetables for future use</p> <p>+Investment in education systems, programmes on women empowerment, pro-poor policies on access to affordable credit facilities, social protection schemes for the vulnerable and access to markets especially for livestock are likely to enhance both men and women adaptation.</p> <p>-Changes in gender roles to respond to climatic and socioeconomic stressors is not supported by institutional practices, mechanisms and policies that remain patriarchal.</p> <p>-Adaptation strategies adopted do not change or exacerbate the incidences of violence against women and children, which remain as the root cause of increased vulnerabilities.</p> <p>-Female-headed households are more vulnerable as they are less likely to adopt technologies because female heads have less access to information and other resources (including financial) due to traditional barriers.</p>
Food	+ (enabling)	<p>+Women's power to participate in intrahousehold adaptation decision-making is significantly correlated with their livelihood diversification through non-farm income earnings.</p> <p>+Women have reported that by implementing Best Management Practices (BMPs), changes were experienced in multiple domains, such as financial and physical capital, better nutrition (more food available to eat) and improved health care, as well as gaining more social and human capital.</p> <p>+Agroecological training in farming communities seems to increase gender-related sensitivity increasing girls schooling opportunities.</p> <p>+Access to ICT facilities enhances the resilience of women households by connecting them to new opportunities by increasing agricultural production incomes.</p> <p>+ With well-organized water collection management, women and marginalized groups have equitable access to water springs, which was timesaving for them.</p> <p>-But the water infrastructure (micro-watershed) did not take the safety aspect for women and children into account to minimize accident risk.</p> <p>-Mobile phones are critical for access to Climate Information System but women perceive limited impact as they face some challenges that prevent them from accessing mobile phones like low-income levels, lack of training, inability to interpret climate information and convert it into actions, limited access to mobile phones (mostly by women), and inability to afford to call credits. Therefore, the design and delivery of climate information services need to be both relevant to the specific context and gender-sensitive in content</p>
Water	+ (enabling)	<p>+ Women experienced enhanced respect and trust through a hygiene and sanitation transformation approach, where the participatory programmes included men, women, and girls.</p> <p>+ Improved water and sanitation facilities have shown to increase enrolment as well as reduce repetition and dropout rates for girls in school and higher education of women is shown to be correlated with reduced incidence of diarrhoea.</p> <p>+ Infrastructure that is developed to respond to natural disasters and take into account gender-specific needs, such as sanitation facilities, can create security and safety for women and girls and provide a place to gather for support and foster empowerment</p> <p>+ In developing countries, women are responding to water scarcity through collection adaptations, such as small rainwater harvesting systems and storage tanks, greywater recycling systems, fog water collection. These adaptation measures reduce physical burden and time commitment spent on collecting water, therefore increasing time to be spent on other activities such as school</p> <p>+ Women play a significant role in response to natural disasters and when they are involved in pre-disaster planning, space is created to address women's-specific needs, such as building sanitation facilities above the flood line</p> <p>+ In adapting to climate variability, women use unique storage practices to manage water resources; this includes storing water in cool, dark areas, using plastic containers or rooftops and underground tanks. Also, fog harvesting is an</p>

		<p>innovative water storage technique that alleviates the physical and social burden of water collection on women and girls</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - When women travel further distances to collect water it puts their safety at risk including exposure to violence and sexual assault. - Lack of access to adequate hygiene and sanitation facilities often restricts women and girls from fully participating in the job place or regularly attending school
Poverty	- (constraining)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> + Women are experimenting and driving innovative adaptation options such as homestay-based ecotourism and fruit farming present promising adaptation strategies that are being taken up by others in the community -But patriarchal institutions and structural discriminations result in less access to services, economic resources as compared to men, including less control over income, fewer productive assets, lack of property rights, less access to credit and less access to irrigation, climate information, seeds, and lead to devaluation of women's farm-related adaptation options. - Continued exclusion of women's ideas and views from policy processes will inhibit adaptation and may lead to discriminatory outcomes - Female care workers from the global south entering global care chains, leave a care gap in the places they are migrating from, adding additional care burdens to those [women and girls] who remain behind - A feminist political economy view of disaster recovery across four empirical case studies (United States, Thailand, Philippines, and New Zealand) shows that processes of enclosure, exclusion, encroachment, and entrenchment can distort disaster-relief supports and safety nets, to preserve or exacerbate gender, class, and ethnic disparities <p>Formalizing women's land registration and adopting equitable business models and policies are needed. Prompt attention is needed to address structural social inequalities and gendered power relations during disaster recovery.</p>
Cities	+ (enabling)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> +Urban agriculture has positively impacted women's participation by improving their wellbeing, food security, and income by increasing their social capital and also allows women to more efficiently and successfully perform their gender roles and responsibilities and gain social and economic empowerment +Well-designed transit-oriented development have positive impacts on gender equality including women's freedom of movement and security +ICT programs that explicitly target women address their specific vulnerabilities have shown to have positive impacts on women's livelihoods and wellbeing, expanding their socio-economic, physical and political space -In houses poorly adapted to heat, women experience higher impacts of extreme heat because culturally, women have limited mobility outside the home and effective techniques to reduce indoor temperatures are not available (except air-conditioning at night and low-cost techniques at daytime for some people) -Current urban policies around climate resilience do not recognise address structural barriers to gender participation such as inadequate recognition of women's unpaid work, inadequate participation, and leadership in decision-making -Relocation can also force women into lowly paid jobs or informal economy, creating a vicious circle where women's time poverty further reduces their social capital and opportunities for self-development in terms of education or formal employment
Industry	- (constraining)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Male workers had a significant increase in overall claims during moderate-severity heatwaves, while no significant change was observed for female workers. -Women find it difficult to carry out the strenuous physical activity during menstruation and unequal wages distribution creates additional threats to cope with their health problems. ±There should be a separate neat and clean toilet for the women workers in workplaces. Wherever possible the eligible women workers should be given maternity benefits in industrial and organised sectors. Exhaustive and comprehensive legislation is urgently needed for regulating working conditions, wage structure, welfare measures of the women workers. Communication through self-help groups of women and NGOs is needed for the receptivity among workers and employers.

		±There are many women groups and NGOs which work with migrant populations in the unorganised sector. Empowering these groups with the relevant latest IEC materials would enable them to fight the heatwave
Health	+ (enabling)	<p>+Village savings and loans and other microcredit programs that focus on women can increase their access to credit, income, social networks, adaptive capacity and improve women's overall well-being.</p> <p>+Some climate change adaptation policies explicitly address violence against women and girls that can increase during disasters or drought</p> <p>+Maternal education can significantly reduce the risk of child malnutrition in a post-flooding event or post-drought.</p> <p>+Climate change policies which address mitigation and adaptation can improve women's well-being and mental health, through mechanisms such as increased access to green spaces, reduced air pollution, increased use of bicycles and walking as transport.</p> <p>+Engaging men and boys in addressing gender inequity through educational methods can be effective and help build household and community cohesion and adaptive capacity.</p> <p>-During recovery efforts from hurricanes, floods and other extreme climate events, expecting women to participate in rebuilding can be hard for them due to competing childcare and other household responsibilities, and communal living during the recovery period can create additional dangers for sexual and physical violence for women and girls' post-recovery.</p> <p>-In low-resource settings women have reduced agency to make healthcare decisions, the impacts of disease and disability are likely to be further magnified.</p> <p>- Some indigenous cultural beliefs affect women and girls, compelling them to eat less food as a form of intra-household adaptation to climate change.</p> <p>-Some disaster risk management programs exclude women from income-generating activities, or leadership roles in the program, and only consider their caregiving role.</p> <p>-Women often have less access to credit for climate change adaptation practices, including post-disaster relief, for example, to deal with salinization of water or flooding impacts</p> <p>-Some ecosystem-based adaptation initiatives do not take gender inequities into account and can reinforce gender and other social inequities in terms of discrimination.</p> <p>Development and climate programmes have to be redesigned to accommodate more context-specific policies instead of one-size-fits-all packages that will effectively address women's (and men's) differential needs and unequal relations and circumstances.</p> <p>Limited research and policy initiatives which consider climate change impacts on women's health and childcare and nutrition, particularly for vulnerable groups.</p>

1
2
3

Table SMCCB GENDER.2: Gender integration in regional climate change policies.

Countries	How is gender considered in climate policy?	Illustrative examples	Barriers to gender integration
Central and South America			
Regional assessment of Central & South America	Gender-sensitive; gender-specific (varies by policy)	NDCs (Argentina, Brazil, Chile, Costa Rica, Paraguay and Uruguay) (Tramutola, 2019); National Plans and Programs of the IUCN (2012) for Costa Rica and Panamá; MIMP (2015) for Perú; and UICN (2014) for Cuba.	Insufficient commitment and capacities of actors involved; few relevant tools in both the design and implementation phases; scarce specialists, resources, disaggregated data, documented cases in the region added to the difficulty in generating

		International and Ibero-American Foundation of Administration and Public Policies (FIIAPP): Regional approach promoting learning and exchange of experiences between local actors and decision-makers in the 3 countries. Intersectoral coordination initiative Gender and Climate Change Action Plans (PAGcc), adopted in some Latin American and Caribbean countries (Casas Varez, 2017).	significant indicators (Tramutola, 2019). The vulnerability and resilience of women are dependent on household income diversification (Andersen et al., 2017) and household type.
Cuba. Guatemala Ecuador Perú, Costa Rica, Panamá Colombia	Gender-specific	(Cuba) Gender-based research in an integrated coastal zone management program (Montero et al., 2015).	(Cuba) Gender inequality in the integrated coastal zone management program.
		(Guatemala) Gender environmental policy is mainly linked to climate change adaptation (Bárcena Ibarra et al., 2020).	
		(Ecuador) <i>Sumak Kawsay</i> or Buen Vivir is a concept included in the Constitution of Ecuador in 2008 (Cáceres-Arteaga et al., 2020). Food Security and Gender Considerations (FORECCSA) policy uses a bottom-up approach, managed through community-based adaptation (Bárcena Ibarra et al., 2020).	(Ecuador) Indigenous women remain invisible in land tenure discussions (Radcliffe, 2014).
		(Perú, Cuba, Costa Rica, Panamá) Intersectoral coordination initiative Gender and Climate Change Action Plans (PAGcc).	
Colombia, Ecuador, Perú Chile	Gender-sensitive	(Colombia, Ecuador, Perú) International and Ibero-American Foundation of Administration and Public Policies (FIIAPP): Regional Approach promoting learning and exchange of experiences between local actors and decision-makers in the 3 countries.	(Columbia and Chile) Discrimination in land tenure for women (Tafur et al., 2015).
North America			
USA	Gender-specific	Declaration for Climate, Justice and Peace proposed by a movement Earth Democracy and a non-profit organisation Women's International League for Peace and Freedom (Price, 2014).	Differentiated economic interest conflict with addressing climate change and the gender gap (Tranter and Booth, 2015). Policies often have a homogenized cultural vision that erases Indigenous people's autonomy (Gay-Antaki, 2020) and fails to address the intersection of race, ethnicity, and gender for vulnerability to climate change (Vinyeta et al., 2016).

Canada, Mexico	Gender-sensitive	<p>(Canada) New Feminist International Assistance Policy aims to incorporate the empowerment of women and girls, including policies addressing climate change (Sellers, 2018) Relevance of gendered climate adaptation emphasizing traditional food systems (Burch et al., 2014; Sellers, 2018; Williams, 2018)</p> <p>(Mexico) Mexican Nationally Determined Contribution (NDC) states gender equality and human rights as cross-cutting principles both for mitigation and adaptation. Legal reforms on water management (Silva Rodríguez de San Miguel, 2018).</p>	<p>(Canada) Research to support gender-sensitive policies (Natcher et al., 2020).</p> <p>Policies often have a homogenized cultural vision that erases Indigenous people's autonomy (Gay-Antaki, 2020).</p> <p>(Mexico) Discrimination in land tenure; gender division of labour; salary gap and barriers to job placement (Griffin Cohen, 2014), and the unequal distribution of benefits (Vázquez García, 2015).</p>
Africa			
<i>Africa regional assessment</i>	Gender-neutral	<p>A gender lens is rarely applied in national policies or programmes (e.g., Ethiopia's Climate Resilience Green Economy policy (Mersha and van Laerhoven, 2019), beyond the initial consultation stage (Holvoet and Inberg, 2014). Some gender and climate change action strategies and/or plans in several countries, including Liberia, Mozambique, Tanzania and Zambia (Ghana, 2012; Mozambique and IUCN, 2014; Zambia and IUCN, 2017)</p>	<p>Practical constraints such as lack of staff capacity on gender, and lack of funding to support gender integration in policy (Kristjanson et al., 2017); other forms of resistance which lead to inaction (Acosta et al., 2019); poor integration of women in decision-making processes.</p> <p>In African contexts, local institutions do not value fair access to production resources, such as land and financial capital, which increase the vulnerability of marginalized groups, including women and youth, under climate change conditions (Van Wijk et al., 2018; Edward, 2020) (see also Box 9.2 in Ch 9)</p>
Europe			
European Union, Russian Federation, Norway, Sweden	Gender-sensitive	<p>Gender equality is integrated into Constitutions, special laws on women's rights and the implementation of the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women.</p> <p>There are no examples of direct gender mention from National adaptation plan).</p> <p>Sweden adopted the SDGs as local goals and the County's Comprehensive Plan is evaluated on these, e.g., considering gender in the use, access and safety of public spaces, and emphasizing development that facilitates climate-resilient lifestyles (Leander et al., 2021).</p>	<p>European climate change adaptation strategies and national policies are generally weak on gender, LGBTQI, and other social equity issues (Boeckmann and Zeeb, 2014; Allwood, 2020)</p>
Russian Federation			
Turkey	Gender weak	<p>Despite progress over the recent decade, gender differences are still a challenge, and are frequently wider among</p>	<p>Turkey Country Gender Assessment 2018 (Bank, 2018)</p>

		vulnerable groups. Girls from lower-income backgrounds have significantly lower school enrollment rates than boys, while among the higher-income bracket gender parity has been achieved (Bank, 2018).	
Asia			
Malaysia	Gender-specific	Engagement of civil society, especially youth, in the development of the 2050 National Transformation Plan, a policy planning document outlining economic, social and environmental targets (Susskind et al., 2020) NGO advocacy for climate change actions studied impacts on sexual and reproductive health and rights among indigenous communities (Penita, 2015). The National Policy on Climate Change includes specific mention of women, children, youth, indigenous peoples, and their communities, as "Major Groups" and "Stakeholders" in addressing climate change, with a focus on effective participation (MNRE, 2010)	Despite national initiatives to increase women's participation in the workforce to provide them with social support (Lim, 2019) and to empower them (Baqtayan, 2020), gender mainstreaming, in general, is hampered by lack of full comprehension of gender issues (Ismail and Zakuan, 2014).
India Nepal	Gender-sensitive	Recognition of gendered vulnerability and need for targeting women in NAPCC (Roy et al., 2018). Gendered vulnerability is recognised across national and state climate action planning (Jafry, 2016; Singh et al., 2021). Skill enhancement programs in agriculture and fisheries to increase and diversify livelihood opportunities (Ministry of Agriculture, 2015; Stacey et al., 2019) The NAPA and the CCADRMA recognise the gender-differentiated impacts of climate change in agriculture (Paudyal et al., 2019; Khatri-Chhetri et al., 2020) Localised action for climate change adaptation and disaster risk reduction (Bhattarai et al., 2015; Ojha et al., 2016; Vij et al., 2019)	Underlying structural inequalities around workforce participation, inadequate diverse gender representation in decision-making bodies and processes. Women are less represented in local decision-making committees in almost all Asian countries. Organised community collectives such as women self-help groups have had a mixed experience in gaining rights and benefits for women with positive impacts such as improved incomes (Salas et al., 2017) and somewhat negative impacts on women's participation in local adaptation decisions (Singh, 2019). The continuing failure of development policy and practices to address structural inequalities such as land ownership and access to gender-sensitive agrobiodiversity management services and technologies and forests (Bhattarai et al., 2015; Khadka et al., 2015).
The small Island States			

Pacific Island Countries (eg: Fiji & Vanuatu)	Gender-specific / Gender-sensitive	<p>Gender considerations are included in National Climate Change and Disaster Risk Reduction policies and frameworks (Community, 2015; Sawer et al., 2020)</p> <p>The inclusion of gender considerations strengthens national planning (Community, 2015; International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent, 2020). Pacific island countries are beginning to integrate gender into their climate change initiatives using a toolkit designed to support climate change practitioners in the region to integrate gender into their programmes and projects (South Pacific Regional Environment, 2014).</p>	Barriers include financing to implement gender considerations in national policies (McLeod et al., 2018; Kleiber et al., 2019).
Australasia			
Australia	Gender-neutral / Gender-specific	<p>Across all levels of government, there is minimal consideration of gender in climate change policy that may increase vulnerability and social exclusion and affect adaptive capacity and resilience (Alston, 2017). Scant attention to gender in policies for emergency management and disaster response. National gender and emergency management guidelines were drafted in 2017 in Australia (Parkinson et al., 2017), but these have not been endorsed or adopted by any Australian government. The only literature located on climate and gender in Australia examined the different effects of drought on rural men and women (Pearce et al., 2018).</p>	Lack of consideration of gender may be partially due to a lack of diverse gender representation in decision-making processes (Parkinson et al., 2017).
Aotearoa-New Zealand	Gender-sensitive	<p>Recent Government-commissioned climate change risk assessments explicitly identify gender as one of the limiting factors for equitable resources in response to climate-related risks, including housing, employment, childcare, and safety (Environment, 2020)</p> <p>New Zealand-based Pacific Islander women have already demonstrated leadership roles in mobilising to respond to climate-related disasters and collaborations on their ancestral islands, demonstrating their abilities and networks of use in climate change adaptation and planning (Masaki, 2015).</p>	No action is yet released by the Government that details how vulnerability will be reduced (Environment, 2020). A lack of gender-responsive design and implementation overlooks the growing evidence of the gendered experience of the impacts and projected risks of climate change and disasters, as well as the gendered nature of emergency management, in Australasia. This research identified gendered impacts on physical and mental health (Zara et al., 2016), as well as gender-based violence (Parkinson et al., 2017; Rees and Wells, 2020) and discrimination (Dominey-Howes et al., 2016; Gorman-Murray et al., 2017).

1 Table Notes:

2 Climate policies span from the gender-blind (implicitly men-biased, excluding women) to gender-transformative/
 3 redistributive (interventions that intend to transform the existing distribution of resources and responsibilities to create
 4 more equitable gender relations). Along this continuum, policies can also take varied approaches such as gender-neutral

(leave existing distribution of resources/ responsibility unchanged or unquestioned), gender-specific (targeting needs of women/men, but within existing distribution of resources/responsibilities), and gender-sensitive (focusing on identifying and reconfiguring processes which shape gender relations).

References

- Acosta, M. et al., 2019: Discursive translations of gender mainstreaming norms: The case of agricultural and climate change policies in Uganda. *Women's Studies International Forum*, **74**, 9-19, doi:10.1016/j.wsif.2019.02.010.
- Allwood, G., 2020: Gender Equality in European Union Development Policy in Times of Crisis. *Political Studies Review*, **18**(3), 329-345, doi:10.1177/1478929919863224.
- Alston, M., 2017: Gendered outcomes in post-disaster sites: Public policy and resource distribution. *Climate Change and Gender in Rich Countries: Work, Public Policy and Action*, 133-149, doi:10.4324/9781315407906.
- Andersen, L. E., D. Verner and M. Wiebelt, 2017: Gender and climate change in Latin America: An analysis of vulnerability, adaptation and resilience based on household surveys. *Journal of International Development*, **29**(7), 857-876.
- Bank, W., 2018: *Turkey Country Gender Assessment 2018*. World Bank Group, Washington, D.C.
- Baqutayan, S. M. S., 2020: Women Empowerment is needed to Boost Gender Equality in Malaysia. *Current Research Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities*, **3**(1), 95-107, doi:10.12944/CRJSSH.3.1.09.
- Bárcena Ibarra, A., J. Samaniego, W. Peres and J. E. Alatorre, 2020: The climate emergency in Latin America and the Caribbean: The path ahead—resignation or action?
- Bhattarai, B., R. Beilin and R. Ford, 2015: Gender, Agrobiodiversity, and Climate Change: A Study of Adaptation Practices in the Nepal Himalayas. *World Development*, **70**, 122-132, doi:10.1016/j.worlddev.2015.01.003.
- Boeckmann, M. and H. Zeeb, 2014: Using a social justice and health framework to assess European climate change adaptation strategies. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, **11**(12), 12389-12411, doi:10.3390/ijerph111212389.
- Burch, S., A. Shaw, A. Dale and J. Robinson, 2014: Triggering transformative change: a development path approach to climate change response in communities. *Climate Policy*, **14**(4), 467-487.
- Cáceres-Arteaga, N., K. Maria and D. Lane, 2020: Agroecological Practices as a Climate Change Adaptation Mechanism in Four Highland Communities in Ecuador. *Journal of Latin American Geography*, **19**(3), 47-73, doi:10.1353/lag.2020.0071.
- Casas Varez, M., 2017: La transversalización del enfoque de género en las políticas públicas frente al cambio climático en América Latina.
- Community, S. o. t. P., 2015: *Vanuatu Climate Change and Disaster Risk Reduction Policy 2016-2030*. Quality Print, Suva, Fiji Islands. ISBN 978-982-00-0938-7.
- Dominey-Howes, D., A. Gorman-Murray and S. McKinnon, 2016: Emergency management response and recovery plans in relation to sexual and gender minorities in NEW South Wales, Australia. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, **16**, 1-11.
- Edward, M. C., 2020: Gender Inequality and Climate Change Adaptation Strategies for Food Security in Tanzania. *African Journal on Land Policy and Geospatial Sciences*, **3**(3), 28-39.
- Environment, M. f. t., 2020: *National Climate Change Risk Assessment for Aotearoa New Zealand: Main report – Arotakenga Tūraru mō te Huringa Āhuarangi o Aotearoa: Pūrongo whakatōpū*. Wellington: Ministry for the Environment.
- Gay-Antaki, M., 2020: Feminist geographies of climate change: Negotiating gender at climate talks. *Geoforum*, **115**, 1-10.
- Ghana, G. o., 2012: *National Climate Change Adaptation Strategy*. Environmental Protection Agency, Accra.
- Gorman-Murray, A. et al., 2017: Problems and possibilities on the margins: LGBT experiences in the 2011 Queensland floods. *Gender, Place & Culture*, **24**(1), 37-51.
- Griffin Cohen, M., 2014: Gender in Government Actions on Climate Change and Work. *Women & Environments International Magazine*, **94/95**, 11-15.
- Holvoet, N. and L. Inberg, 2014: Gender sensitivity of Sub-Saharan Africa National Adaptation Programmes of Action: findings from a desk review of 31 countries. *Climate and Development*, **6**(3), 266-276.
- International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent, S., 2020: *Lae and Policies that Protect the Most Vulnerable Against Climate Related Disaster Risks. Findings and Lessons Learned from Pacific Island Countries*. Geneva, Switzerland.
- Ismail, N. S. S. and U. A. A. Zakuan, 2014: *Gender Mainstreaming Process in the Public Sectors in Malaysia: Is it Possible?*
- IUCN, 2012: *The Art of Implementation Gender Strategies Transforming National and Regional Climate Change Decision Making*.
- Jafry, T., 2016: Making the case for gender sensitive climate policy – lessons from South Asia/IGP. *International Journal of Climate Change Strategies and Management*, **8**(4), 559-577, doi:10.1108/IJCCSM-04-2015-0049.

- 1 Khadka, M. et al., 2015: Gender and Social Equity in Climate Change Adaptation in the Koshi Basin: An Analysis for
2 Action. pp. 1049-1076. ISBN 978-3-642-38669-5.
- 3 Khatri-Chhetri, A., P. P. Regmi, N. Chanana and P. K. Aggarwal, 2020: Potential of climate-smart agriculture in
4 reducing women farmers' drudgery in high climatic risk areas. *Climatic Change*, **158**(1), 29-42,
5 doi:10.1007/s10584-018-2350-8.
- 6 Kleiber, D., P. Cohen, C. Gomese and C. McDougall, 2019: Gender integrated research for development in Pacific
7 coastal fisheries.
- 8 Kristjanson, P. et al., 2017: Addressing gender in agricultural research for development in the face of a changing
9 climate: where are we and where should we be going? *International Journal of Agricultural Sustainability*, **15**(5),
10 482-500.
- 11 Leander, F. G., K. Fehir, J. Kiiskinen and M. Månsson, 2021: *Voluntary local review city of Malmo 2021*. (accessed
12 2021-07-30).
- 13 Lim, B. F. Y., 2019: Women left behind? Closing the gender gap in Malaysia. *Japan Labor Issues*, **3**(17), 22-29.
- 14 Masaki, H., 2015: *Exploring Climate Change, Gender and Transnationalism: Perspectives of Pacific Women in*
15 *Auckland*. Masters Thesis, University of Auckland, Auckland.
- 16 Mcleod, E. et al., 2018: Raising the voices of Pacific Island women to inform climate adaptation policies. *Marine*
17 *Policy*, **93**, 178-185.
- 18 Mersha, A. A. and F. van Laerhoven, 2019: Gender and climate policy: a discursive institutional analysis of Ethiopia's
19 climate resilient strategy. *Regional Environmental Change*, **19**(2), 429-440.
- 20 MIMP, M. y., 2015: *PLan de Acción en Género y Cambio Climático*.
- 21 Ministry of Agriculture, G. o. I., 2015: *Empowered women contribute to community and nation building: NIFPHATT's*
22 *endeavors to fisherwomen empowerment*. National Institute of Fisheries Post Harvest Technology and Training.
- 23 MNRE, 2010: *National Policy on Climate Change*. Malaysian Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment
24 Malaysia.
- 25 Montero, O. P. et al., 2015: Gender Approach in the Integrated Coastal Zone Management Program at Sevilla, Cuba.
26 *Ocean Yearbook Online*, **29**(1), 192-221.
- 27 Mozambique, R. o. and IUCN, 2014: *Climate Change and Gender Action Plan for the Republic of Mozambique*. The
28 Global Gender Office of the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN GGO) (accessed 2019-09-24).
- 29 Natcher, D., A. Maria Bogdan, A. Lieverse and K. Spiers, 2020: Gender and Arctic climate change science in Canada.
30 *Palgrave Communications*, **6**(1), 32.
- 31 Ojha, H. R. et al., 2016: Policy without politics: technocratic control of climate change adaptation policy making in
32 Nepal. *Climate Policy*, **16**(4), 415-433, doi:10.1080/14693062.2014.1003775.
- 33 Parkinson, D., A. Duncan and K. Joyce, 2017: National gender and emergency management guidelines. *Australian*
34 *Journal of Emergency Management*, **32**(1), 21.
- 35 Paudyal, B. R. et al., 2019: Gender Integration in Climate Change and Agricultural Policies: The Case of Nepal.
36 *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems*, **3**, 66, doi:10.3389/fsufs.2019.00066.
- 37 Pearce, T. D., E. H. Rodríguez, D. Fawcett and J. D. Ford, 2018: How Is Australia Adapting to Climate Change Based
38 on a Systematic Review? *Sustainability*, **10**(9), 3280, doi:10.3390/su10093280.
- 39 Penita, 2015: *A Scoping Study: A Focus on Indigenous Communities Malaysia*.
- 40 Price, N., 2014: Declaration for Climate, Justice and Peace. *Peace & Freedom*, **74**(1), 4-5.
- 41 Radcliffe, S. A., 2014: Gendered frontiers of land control: indigenous territory, women and contests over land in
42 Ecuador. *Gender, Place & Culture*, **21**(7), 854-871, doi:10.1080/0966369X.2013.802675.
- 43 Rees, S. and R. Wells, 2020: Bushfires, COVID-19 and the urgent need for an Australian Task Force on gender, mental
44 health and disaster. *Australian & New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry*, **54**(11), 1135-1136,
45 doi:10.1177/0004867420954276.
- 46 Roy, J. et al., 2018: Sustainable Development, Poverty Eradication and Reducing Inequalities. In: *Global Warming of*
47 *1.5°C. An IPCC Special Report on the impacts of global warming of 1.5°C above pre-industrial levels and related*
48 *global greenhouse gas emission pathways, in the context of strengthening the global response to the threat of*
49 *climate change, sustainable development, and efforts to eradicate poverty* [Masson-Delmotte, V., P. Zhai, H.-O.
50 Pörtner, D. Roberts, J. Skea, P. R. Shukla, A. Pirani, W. Moufouma-Okia, C. Péan, R. Pidcock, S. Connors, J. B.
51 R. Matthews, Y. Chen, X. Zhou, M. I. Gomis, E. Lonnoy, T. Maycock, M. Tignor and T. Waterfield (eds.)], pp. In
52 press. ISBN 9789291691517.
- 53 Salas, S. et al., 2017: Entrepreneurship based empowerment among fisherwomen self help groups of Kerala. *Indian*
54 *Journal of Fisheries*, **64**, doi:10.21077/ijf.2017.64.4.63956-15.
- 55 Sawyer, M., F. Jenkins and K. Downing, 2020: *How Gender Can Transform the Social Sciences Innovation and Impact:*
56 *Innovation and Impact*. ISBN 978-3-030-43235-5.
- 57 Sellers, S., 2018: *Climate Change and Gender in Canada: A review*.
- 58 Silva Rodríguez de San Miguel, J. A., 2018: Gender and water management in Mexico. *Management of Environmental*
59 *Quality: An International Journal*, **29**(5), 842-858, doi:10.1108/MEQ-10-2017-0112.
- 60 Singh, C., 2019: Migration as a driver of changing household structures: implications for local livelihoods and
61 adaptation. *Migration and Development*, **8**(3), 301-319, doi:10.1080/21632324.2019.1589073.
- 62 Singh, C., D. Solomon and N. Rao, 2021: How does climate change adaptation policy in India consider gender? An
63 analysis of 28 state action plans. *Climate Policy*, **21**(7), 958-975, doi:10.1080/14693062.2021.1953434.

- 1 South Pacific Regional Environment, P., 2014: *Gender and Climate Change Adaptation; Pacific Adaptation to Climate*
2 *Change Program*.
- 3 Stacey, N. et al., 2019: Enhancing coastal livelihoods in Indonesia: an evaluation of recent initiatives on gender, women
4 and sustainable livelihoods in small-scale fisheries. *Maritime Studies*, **18**(3), 359-371, doi:10.1007/s40152-019-
5 00142-5.
- 6 Susskind, L. et al., 2020: Breaking Out of Carbon Lock-In: Malaysia's Path to Decarbonization. *Frontiers in Built*
7 *Environment*, **6**, 21, doi:10.3389/fbuil.2020.00021.
- 8 Tafur, M. et al., 2015: Avances en la inclusión de intereses y necesidades de mujeres rurales en políticas públicas
9 agropecuarias y de cambio climático: el caso de Colombia.
- 10 Tramutola, M. J., 2019: *Adaptación al Cambio Climático ¿Con Perspectiva de Género?*, LatinoAdapta. Available at:
11 http://www.cambioclimaticoydecisiones.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/08/PolicyBrief-Genero_5_09_2019.pdf.
- 12 Tranter, B. and K. Booth, 2015: Scepticism in a changing climate: A cross-national study. *Global Environmental*
13 *Change*, **33**, 154-164, doi:10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2015.05.003.
- 14 UICN, 2014: *Lineamientos para la transversalización del tema de género en los esfuerzos por mitigar y adaptar al*
15 *cambio climático en Cuba*.
- 16 Van Wijk, M., J. Hammond, R. Frelat and S. Fraval, 2018: Unequal Access to Land: Consequences for the Food
17 Security of Smallholder Farmers in Sub Saharan Africa. ISBN 978-0-08-100596-5.
- 18 Vázquez García, V., 2015: Community forest management, governance and gender in Hidalgo, Mexico. *Revista*
19 *mexicana de sociología*, **77**(4), 611-635.
- 20 Vij, S. et al., 2019: Power interplay between actors: using material and ideational resources to shape local adaptation
21 plans of action (LAPAs) in Nepal. *Climate Policy*, **19**(5), 571-584, doi:10.1080/14693062.2018.1534723.
- 22 Vinyeta, K., K. Whyte and K. Lynn, 2016: *Climate Change Through an Intersectional Lens: Gendered Vulnerability*
23 *and Resilience in Indigenous Communities in the United States*. Social Science Research Network, Rochester,
24 NY. Available at: <https://papers.ssrn.com/abstract=2770089>
25 https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2770089 (accessed 2020/11/07/22:45:13).
- 26 Williams, L., 2018: Climate change, colonialism, and women's well-being in Canada: what is to be done? *Can J Public*
27 *Health*, **109**(2), 268-271, doi:10.17269/s41997-018-0031-z.
- 28 Zambia, R. o. and IUCN, 2017: *Climate Change Gender Action Plan of The Republic of Zambia*. International Union
29 for Conservation of Nature Global Gender Office (IUCN GGO) (accessed 2019-09-24).
- 30 Zara, C., D. Parkinson, A. Duncan and K. Joyce, 2016: Men and disaster: Men's experiences of the black saturday
31 bushfires and the aftermath. **31**, 40-48.
- 32
33
34

Cross-Chapter Box FEASIB: Feasibility Assessment of Adaptation Options: An Update of the SR1.5 Supplementary Material

Authors: Debora Ley (Guatemala/Mexico), Helen Adams (United Kingdom), Malcolm Araos (Canada/USA), Ritwika Basu (India/United Kingdom), Amir Bazaz (India), Luigi Conte (Italy), Katy Davis (United Kingdom), Constantino Dockendorff (Chile/Germany), James Ford (United Kingdom/Canada), Sabine Fuss (Germany), Elisabeth A Gilmore (USA/Canada), Tania Guillén Bolaños (Nicaragua/Germany), Ove Hoegh-Guldberg (Australia), Mark Howden (Australia), Bavisha Kalyan (South Africa/USA), Laura Moro (Italy), Anuszkza Mosurska (United Kingdom/Poland), Reinhard Mechler (Germany), Joana Portugal-Pereira (Brazil), Aromar Revi (India), Swarnika Sharma (India), Anne J. Sietsma (The Netherlands/United Kingdom), Chandni Singh (India), Alessandro Triacca (Italy), Bianca van Bavel (Canada/Ireland/United Kingdom), Ivan Villaverde Canosa (Spain/United Kingdom), Mustafa Babiker (Sudan/Saudi Arabia), Paolo Bertoldi (Italy), Brett Cohen (South Africa), Annette Cowie (Australia), Kiane de Kleijne (The Netherlands), Jeremy Emmet-Booth (Ireland), Amit Garg (India), Gert-Jan Nabuurs (The Netherlands), André Frossard Pereira de Lucena (Brazil), Adrian Leip (Italy/Germany), Lars J. Nilsson (Sweden), Pete Smith (United Kingdom), Linda Steg (The Netherlands), Masahiro Sugiyama (Japan)

SMCCB FEASIB.1 Feasibility Assessment of Adaptation Options as Presented in Section CCB FEASIB.2

SMCCB FEASIB.1.1 Feasibility Assessment of Adaptation Options in Energy System Transitions

Table SMCCB FEASIB.1: Feasibility assessment of energy system transition adaptation option: resilient power infrastructure, increased power reliability, and sustainable water management.

		Resilient Power Systems	Energy reliability	Water use efficiency
Evidence		High	Medium	Medium
Agreement		High	High	High
Economic	Microeconomic viability	(O'Neill-Carrillo and Rivera-Quinones, 2018); (Hallegatte et al., 2019); (Mazur et al., 2019); (Marto et al., 2018); (Meltzer, 2018); (Mishra et al., 2020); (Meltzer, 2018); (Kwasinski et al., 2019); (Helgeson and O'Rear, 2018); (Mola et al., 2018); (Holt et al., 2021); (Stephenson et al., 2021); (Balezenti et al., 2021); (Jasiūnas et al., 2021); (Bennett et al., 2021); (Ratnam et al., 2020)	(Jasiūnas et al., 2021); (Arowolo et al., 2019); (Kim et al., 2019); (Azevedo de Almeida and Mostafavi, 2016); (Verschuuren, 2013); (Dawson et al., 2018); (Nik and Perera, 2020); (Espinoza et al., 2016); (Chester et al., 2020); (Lambert et al., 2011); (Panteli et al., 2017)	(Zhou et al., 2020); (Ceseña et al., 2019); (Zhang et al., 2018); (Wu et al., 2019); (Gjorgiev and Sansavini, 2018); (Padilha Campos Lopes et al., 2020); (Basheer and Ahmed Elagib, 2019); (Lim et al., 2018); (Basheer and Ahmed Elagib, 2019)
	Macroeconomic viability	(Hallegatte et al., 2019); (Marto et al., 2018); (Stephenson et	(Jasiūnas et al., 2021); (Arowolo et al., 2019); (Panteli	(Zhou et al., 2020); (Ceseña et al., 2019)

			al., 2021);(Meltzer, 2018);(Bajwa et al., 2019);(Kwasinski et al., 2019);(Bennett et al., 2021);(Ratnam et al., 2020)		and Mancarella, 2017);(Azevedo de Almeida and Mostafavi, 2016);(Kim et al., 2019);(Verschuuren, 2013) (Dawson et al., 2018);(Espinoza et al., 2016);(Chester et al., 2020);(Lambert et al., 2011);(Panteli et al., 2017)		(Zhang et al., 2018);(Gjorgiev and Sansavini, 2018);(Padilha Campos Lopes et al., 2020);(Basheer and Ahmed Elagib, 2019);(Lim et al., 2018)
	Socio-economic vulnerability reduction potential		(O'Neill-Carrillo and Rivera-Quinones, 2018);(Hallegatte et al., 2019);(Mazur et al., 2019);(Marto et al., 2018);(Meltzer, 2018);(Kwasinski et al., 2019);(Holt et al., 2021);(Jasiūnas et al., 2021);(Balezentis et al., 2021);(Schweikert and Deinert, 2021);(Bennett et al., 2021);(Ratnam et al., 2020)		(Jasiūnas et al., 2021);(Arowolo et al., 2019);(Panteli and Mancarella, 2017);(Kim et al., 2019);(Verschuuren, 2013);(Azevedo de Almeida and Mostafavi, 2016);(Dawson et al., 2018);(Espinoza et al., 2016);(Panteli et al., 2017)		(Zhou et al., 2020);(Zhang et al., 2018);(Wu et al., 2019);(Gjorgiev and Sansavini, 2018);(Padilha Campos Lopes et al., 2020);(Basheer and Ahmed Elagib, 2019);(Lim et al., 2018)
	Employment and productivity enhancement potential		(Hallegatte et al., 2019);(Mazur et al., 2019);(Gebreslasie, 2020);(Balezentis et al., 2021);(Jasiūnas et al., 2021)		(Arowolo et al., 2019);(Kim et al., 2019);(Azevedo de Almeida and Mostafavi, 2016);(Verschuuren, 2013);(Dawson et al., 2018);(Chester et al., 2020);(Lambert et al., 2011);(Panteli et al., 2017)	N E	
Technological	Technical resource availability		(O'Neill-Carrillo and Rivera-Quinones, 2018);(Hallegatte et al., 2019);(Mazur et al., 2019);(Bajwa et al., 2019) (O'Neill-Carrillo		(Bennett et al., 2021);(Jasiūnas et al., 2021);(Helmrich and Chester, 2020);(Bustamante et al., 2019);(Arowolo et al.,		(Zhou et al., 2020);(Ceseña et al., 2019);(Zhang et al., 2018);(Wu et al., 2019);(Gjorgiev and

		et al., 2019); (Holt et al., 2021);(Raoufi et al., 2020);(Schweikert and Deinert, 2021);(Bennett et al., 2021);(Ratnam et al., 2020)	2019);(Panteli and Mancarella, 2017);(Kim et al., 2019);(Azevedo de Almeida and Mostafavi, 2016);(Verschuuren, 2013);(Dawson et al., 2018);(Espinoza et al., 2016);(Chester et al., 2020);(Lambert et al., 2011);(Panteli et al., 2017)	Sansavini, 2018);(Padilha Campos Lopes et al., 2020);(Basheer and Ahmed Elagib, 2019);(Lim et al., 2018)
	Risks mitigation potential	(O'Neill-Carrillo and Rivera-Quinones, 2018);(Hallegatte et al., 2019);(Mazur et al., 2019);(Kwasinski et al., 2019);(O'Neill-Carrillo et al., 2019); (Raoufi et al., 2020);(Bajwa et al., 2019);(Schweikert and Deinert, 2021);(Bennett et al., 2021);(Ratnam et al., 2020)	(Bennett et al., 2021);(Jasiūnas et al., 2021);(Helmrich and Chester, 2020);(Bustamante et al., 2019);(Arowolo et al., 2019);(Panteli and Mancarella, 2017);(Kim et al., 2019);(Azevedo de Almeida and Mostafavi, 2016);(Verschuuren, 2013);(Dawson et al., 2018);(Espinoza et al., 2016);(Chester et al., 2020);(Lambert et al., 2011);(Panteli et al., 2017)	(Zhou et al., 2020);(Ceseña et al., 2019);(Zhang et al., 2018);(Wu et al., 2019);(Gjorgiev and Sansavini, 2018);(Padilha Campos Lopes et al., 2020);(Basheer and Ahmed Elagib, 2019);(Lim et al., 2018)
Institutional	Political acceptability	(Hallegatte et al., 2019);(Martó et al., 2018);(Mola et al., 2018);(Farahmand et al., 2020);(Stephenson et al., 2021); (Osabuohien et al., 2021);(Oviedo-Toral et al., 2021)	(Arowolo et al., 2019);(Azevedo de Almeida and Mostafavi, 2016);(Verschuuren, 2013);(Dawson et al., 2018);(Nik and Perera, 2020);(Lambert et al., 2011);(Panteli et al., 2017)	(Zhang et al., 2018);(Gjorgiev and Sansavini, 2018);(Basheer and Ahmed Elagib, 2019);(Lim et al., 2018)
	Legal and regulatory acceptability	(Martó et al., 2018);(Helgeson and O'Rear, 2018);(Osabuohie	(Arowolo et al., 2019);(Verschuuren, 2013);(Azevedo	L E (Gjorgiev and Sansavini, 2018)

			n et al., 2021);(Farahmand et al., 2020);(Stephenson et al., 2021);(Oviedo-Toral et al., 2021);(Oviedo-Toral et al., 2021)		de Almeida and Mostafavi, 2016);(Verschuuren, 2013);(Dawson et al., 2018);(Lambert et al., 2011)		
	Institutional capacity and administrative feasibility		(Marto et al., 2018);(Farahmand et al., 2020);(Osabuohien et al., 2021);(Oviedo-Toral et al., 2021)		(Arowolo et al., 2019);(Kim et al., 2019);(Azevedo de Almeida and Mostafavi, 2016);(Verschuuren, 2013);(Dawson et al., 2018);		(Gjorgiev and Sansavini, 2018);(Basheer and Ahmed Elagib, 2019)
	Transparency and accountability potential		(Marto et al., 2018);(Farahmand et al., 2020);(Osabuohien et al., 2021);(Holt et al., 2021);(Stephenson et al., 2021)	N E		N E	
Socio-cultural	Social co-benefits (health, education)		(Mazur et al., 2019);(Helgeson and O'Rear, 2018);(Vuichard et al., 2021);(Aklin et al., 2018);(Balezentis et al., 2021);(Johnson et al., 2020);(Oviedo-Toral et al., 2021)		(Jasiūnas et al., 2021);(Arowolo et al., 2019);(Kim et al., 2019);(Azevedo de Almeida and Mostafavi, 2016);(Verschuuren, 2013);(Dawson et al., 2018);(Nik and Perera, 2020);(Espinoza et al., 2016);(Chester et al., 2020);(Lambert et al., 2011)	L E	(Zhang et al., 2018);(Basheer and Ahmed Elagib, 2019)
	Socio-cultural acceptability		(Hallegatte et al., 2019);(Mazur et al., 2019);(Vuichard et al., 2021);(Aklin et al., 2018);(Balezentis et al., 2021);(Jasiūnas et al., 2021);(Johnson et al., 2020);(Oviedo-Toral et al., 2021)		(Jasiūnas et al., 2021);(Arowolo et al., 2019);(Kim et al., 2019);(Verschuuren, 2013);(Dawson et al., 2018);(Nik and Perera, 2020);(Espinoza et al., 2016);(Chester et al., 2020);{Lambert, 2011 #30	L E	{Basheer, 2019 #45

	Social and regional inclusiveness	(Hallegatte et al., 2019);(Mazur et al., 2019);(Vuichard et al., 2021);(Aklin et al., 2018);(Balezentis et al., 2021);(Johnson et al., 2020);(Jasiūnas et al., 2021);(Oviedo-Toral et al., 2021)		(Jasiūnas et al., 2021);(Verschuuren, 2013);(Dawson et al., 2018);(Nik and Perera, 2020)	NE	
	Gender equity	(Hallegatte et al., 2019);(Mazur et al., 2019) (Aklin et al., 2018);(Johnson et al., 2020);(Oviedo-Toral et al., 2021)	LE	(Jasiūnas et al., 2021)	NE	
	Intergenerational equity	(Mazur et al., 2019);(Aklin et al., 2018);(Johnson et al., 2020);(Oviedo-Toral et al., 2021)	LE	(Jasiūnas et al., 2021)	NE	
Environmental/ecological	Ecological capacity	(Mazur et al., 2019);(Osabuohien et al., 2021)	NE			(Zhou et al., 2020);(Ceseña et al., 2019);(Zhang et al., 2018);(Wu et al., 2019);(Gjorgiev and Sansavini, 2018);(Padilha Campos Lopes et al., 2020);(Basheer and Ahmed Elagib, 2019);(Lim et al., 2018)
	Adaptive capacity/resilience	(Hallegatte et al., 2019);(Mazur et al., 2019) (Mishra et al., 2020);(Holt et al., 2021);(Oviedo-Toral et al., 2021);(Schweiker and Deinert, 2021)		(Bennett et al., 2021);(Verschuuren, 2013);(Dawson et al., 2018);(Espinoza et al., 2016);(Lambert et al., 2011)		(Zhou et al., 2020);(Ceseña et al., 2019);(Zhang et al., 2018);(Wu et al., 2019);(Gjorgiev and Sansavini, 2018);(Padilha Campos Lopes et al.,

						2020);(Basheer and Ahmed Elagib, 2019);(Lim et al., 2018; Basheer and Ahmed Elagib, 2019)
Geophysical	Physical feasibility	N A		N A		(Zhou et al., 2020);(Ceseña et al., 2019);(Zhang et al., 2018);(Wu et al., 2019);(Gjorgiev and Sansavini, 2018);(Padilha Campos Lopes et al., 2020);(Basheer and Ahmed Elagib, 2019);(Lim et al., 2018)
	Land use change enhancement potential	N A		N A		Zhou, 2020 #48};(Ceseña et al., 2019);(Zhang et al., 2018);(Wu et al., 2019);(Gjorgiev and Sansavini, 2018);(Padilha Campos Lopes et al., 2020);(Basheer and Ahmed Elagib, 2019);(Lim et al., 2018)
	Hazard risk reduction potential		(Mazur et al., 2019);(Martó et al., 2018);(Mishra et al., 2020);(Bajwa et al., 2019);(Holt et al., 2021)	N A		(Zhou et al., 2020);(Ceseña et al., 2019);(Zhang et al., 2018);(Wu et al., 2019);(Gjorgiev and Sansavini, 2018);(Padilha Campos Lopes et al., 2020);(Basheer and Ahmed Elagib, 2019);(Lim et al., 2018)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8

SMCCB FEASIB.1.2 Feasibility Assessment of Adaptation Options in Land and Ecosystem Transition

Table SMCCB FEASIB.2 Feasibility assessment of land and ecosystem transition adaptation options: integrated coastal zone management (including integrated soil management, conservation agriculture), sustainable aquaculture, and coastal defense and hardening.

		Integrated coastal zone management (including integrated soil management, conservation agriculture)	Sustainable aquaculture	Coastal defense and hardening
Evidence		Robust	Medium	Robust
Agreement		High	Medium	Medium
Economic	Microeconomic viability	(Runting et al., 2017);(Jones et al., 2020);(Romaña ch et al., 2018); (Perera-Valderrama et al., 2020)	(Boonstra and Hanh, 2015);(Joffre et al., 2015);(FAO, 2016);(FAO, 2018);(Pérez-Escamilla, 2017);(Galappathi et al., 2020);(Blasiak and Wabnitz, 2018);(Shaffril et al., 2017);(Chan et al., 2019);(Massa et al., 2020);(Mustafa et al., 2021);(Thomas et al., 2021); (Xuan and Sandorf, 2020);(Xuan and Sandorf, 2020);(Ahmed et al., 2018);(Ahmed and Turchini, 2021);(Oyebola et al., 2021)	(Firth et al., 2014);(Barbier, 2015a);(Elliott and Wolanski, 2015);(Diaz, 2016; Cardona et al., 2020);(Betzold and Mohamed, 2017);(Siders and Keenan, 2020);(Antunes do Carmo, 2018); ;(Waryszak et al., 2021);(Waryszak et al., 2021);(Lima and Coelho, 2021)
	Macroeconomic viability	(Antunes do Carmo, 2018);(Erftemeijer et al., 2020);(Hanley et al., 2020);(Morris et al., 2019);(Propato et al., 2018); (Runting et al., 2017); (Villamizar et al., 2017);(Baills et	(UNEP, 2013);(Edwards, 2015); (Moffat, 2017);(Mustafa et al., 2021); (Osmundsen et al., 2020);(Thomas et al., 2021);(Ahmed et al., 2018);(Boyd et al., 2020)	(Hinkel et al., 2014);(Estrada et al., 2017); (Antunes do Carmo, 2018);(Magnan and Duvat, 2018);(Morris et al., 2019);(Morris et al., 2018);(Baills et al., 2020);(Vousdoukas et al.,

			al., 2020);(Magnan and Duvat, 2018);(Rosendo et al., 2018);(O'Mahony et al., 2020);(Morecroft et al., 2019);(Jones et al., 2020);(Donatti et al., 2020);(Hassanali, 2017);(Lamari et al., 2016);(Barragán Muñoz, 2020);(Caviedes et al., 2020);(Botero and Zielinski, 2020);(Martuti et al., 2020);(Lin et al., 2021);(Mestanza-Ramón et al., 2019)			2016);(Kuhl et al., 2020)
	Socio-economic vulnerability reduction potential		(Morecroft et al., 2019);(Donatti et al., 2020);(Pérez-Cayeyro and Chica-Ruiz, 2015);(Phillips et al., 2018)		(Smith et al., 2015);(Orchard et al., 2015);(Béné et al., 2016);(Jennings et al., 2016);(Mycoo, 2017);(Ahmed et al., 2018) (Stentiford et al., 2020);(Xuan and Sandorf, 2020);(Custódio et al., 2020);(Thomas et al., 2021)	(Rabbani et al., 2010a; Rabbani et al., 2010b);(Gutiérrez et al., 2012);(Arkema et al., 2013);(Arkema et al., 2017);(Neumann et al., 2015);(Sovacool et al., 2015);(Sutton-Grier et al., 2015);(Betzold and Mohamed, 2017);(Antunes do Carmo, 2018);(Siders and Keenan, 2020) (Kind et al., 2017)
	Employment and productivity enhancement potential	LE	(Morris et al., 2019);(Jones et al., 2020)		(Sánchez et al., 2002);(De Silva and Davy, 2010);(Ahmed et al., 2014);(Boonstra and Hanh, 2015);(Lacoue-Labarthe et al., 2016);(Asiedu et al., 2017);(Blasiak and Wabnitz,	NE

				2018);(Mustafa et al., 2021);(Osmunds en et al., 2020);(Stentiford et al., 2020);(Qurani et al., 2021);(Hargan et al., 2020);(Jayanthi et al., 2018);(FAO, 2018)	
Technological	Technical resource availability	LE	(Baills et al., 2020);(Hassanali, 2017)	(UNEP, 2013);(Ahmed et al., 2014);(Brillant, 2014);(Edwards, 2015);(Lucas, 2015);(Fidelman et al., 2017);(Aubin et al., 2019);(Shaffril et al., 2017);(Galappathi et al., 2019);(Shaffril et al., 2017);(Heasman et al., 2020);(Mustafa et al., 2021);(Mustapha et al., 2021);(Osmunds en et al., 2020);(Sampantamit et al., 2020);(Thomas et al., 2021);(Xuan and Sandorf, 2020);(Xuan et al., 2021);(Ahmed et al., 2018);(Boyd et al., 2020);(Bohnes et al., 2019);(Ahmed and Turchini, 2021);(Oyebola et al., 2021)	(Arkema et al., 2013);(Bosello and De Cian, 2014);(Smajgl et al., 2015);(Hauer et al., 2016);(Betzold and Mohamed, 2017);(Williams et al., 2018);(Antunes do Carmo, 2018);(Baills et al., 2020);(Alves et al., 2020)
	Risks mitigation potential		(Baills et al., 2020);(Morecroft et al., 2019);(Gómez Martín et al., 2020); (Hamin et al., 2018)	(Boonstra and Hanh, 2015);(Blanchard et al., 2017) (Blasiak and Wabnitz, 2018);(Boyd et al., 2020);(Xuan	(Firth et al., 2014);(Sovacool et al., 2015); (André et al., 2016);(Cashman and Nagdee, 2017);(Brown et al.,

				and Sandorf, 2020);(Xuan et al., 2021);(Mustafa et al., 2021);(Bricknell et al., 2021) (Bohnes et al., 2019)		2018);(Storlazzi et al., 2018);(Williams et al., 2018);(Antunes do Carmo, 2018) (Baills et al., 2020);(Hamin et al., 2018);(Morris et al., 2019)
Institutional	Political acceptability	(Le Cornu et al., 2018);(Alves et al., 2020);(Antunes do Carmo, 2018);(Villamizar et al., 2017);(Magnan and Duvat, 2018);(Rosendo et al., 2018);(Warnken and Mosadeghi, 2018);(Pérez-Cayeiro and Chica-Ruiz, 2015);(Mestanza-Ramón et al., 2019);(Barragán Muñoz, 2020)		(Brander, 2007);(Bell et al., 2011);(Bell and Taylor, 2015);(FAO, 2016) ;(Weatherdon et al., 2016);(Asiedu et al., 2017) (Ertör and Ortega-Cerdá, 2017);(Shaffril et al., 2017);(Sønvisen and Vik, 2021)		(Duvat, 2013);(Nordstrom, 2014); (Sovacool et al., 2015);(Betzold and Mohamed, 2017); (Antunes do Carmo, 2018);(Ratter et al., 2019) (O'Donnell, 2019);(Kuhl et al., 2020)
	Legal and regulatory acceptability	(Le Cornu et al., 2018);(Antunes do Carmo, 2018);(Morris et al., 2019);(Propato et al., 2018);(Runting et al., 2017);(Villamizar et al., 2017);(Magnan and Duvat, 2018);(Rosendo et al., 2018);(O'Mahony et al., 2020);(Morecroft et al., 2019);(Warnken and Mosadeghi, 2018);(Lamari et al., 2016);(Pérez-Cayeiro and Chica-Ruiz, 2015);(Phillips et al., 2018);(Barragán Muñoz, 2020); (Perera-Valderrama et		(Broitman et al., 2017); (Aubin et al., 2019) (Fidelman et al., 2017);(Cavallo et al., 2021) (Heasman et al., 2020);(Osmundsen et al., 2020);(Xuan et al., 2021);(Aubin et al., 2019)	L E	(Foti et al., 2020);(O'Donnell, 2019)

			al., 2020);(Telave and Chandankar, 2021);(Ellison et al., 2020)			
	Institutional capacity and administrative feasibility		(Le Cornu et al., 2018);(Alves et al., 2020);(Antunes do Carmo, 2018);(Propato et al., 2018);(Magnan and Duvat, 2018);(Rosendo et al., 2018);(O'Mahony et al., 2020);(Morecroft et al., 2019);(Warnken and Mosadeghi, 2018);(Donatti et al., 2020);(Hassanali, 2017);(Lamari et al., 2016);(Walsh, 2019);(Pérez-Cayeyro and Chica-Ruiz, 2015);(Phillips et al., 2018);(Mathew et al., 2020);(Romañach et al., 2018);(Barragán Muñoz, 2020);(Caviedes et al., 2020);(Perera-Valderrama et al., 2020);(Botero and Zielinski, 2020);(Mestanza-Ramón et al., 2019)	(Ahmed et al., 2014); (Broitman et al., 2017); (Fidelman et al., 2017);(Galappaththi et al., 2019);(Shaffril et al., 2017);(Le Cornu et al., 2018) (Blasiak and Wabnitz, 2018);(Massa et al., 2020);(Sampantamit et al., 2020);(Ahmed et al., 2018)		(Hallegatte et al., 2013);(Spalding et al., 2014); (Mills et al., 2016);(Estrada et al., 2017); (Antunes do Carmo, 2018);(Hamin et al., 2018)
	Transparency and accountability potential		(O'Mahony et al., 2020);(Hamin et al., 2018);(Lamari et al., 2016)	(Blasiak and Wabnitz, 2018);(Cavallo et al., 2021);(Osmundsen et al., 2020);(Stentiford et al., 2020);(Xuan et al., 2021)	LE	(Hamin et al., 2018)
Socio-cultural	Social co-benefits		(Hanley et al., 2020);(Morris	(Weatherdon et al.,		(Sovacool et al., 2015);(Sutton-

	(health, education)	et al., 2019); (Propato et al., 2018);(Baills et al., 2020); (O'Mahony et al., 2020);(Morecroft et al., 2019);(Jones et al., 2020);(Donatti et al., 2020);(Gómez Martín et al., 2020);(Hamin et al., 2018);(Erfteimer et al., 2020);(Romaña ch et al., 2018);(Perera-Valderrama et al., 2020);(Martuti et al., 2020);(Telave and Chandankar, 2021);(Ellison et al., 2020);(Mestanza-Ramón et al., 2019)	2016);(Fidelman et al., 2017);(Aubin et al., 2019);(Shaffril et al., 2017) (Blasiak and Wabnitz, 2018); (Custódio et al., 2020);(Mustafa et al., 2021) ;(Osmundsen et al., 2020);(Stentiford et al., 2020); (Thomas et al., 2021);(Xuan and Sandorf, 2020); (Xuan et al., 2021);(Ahmed et al., 2018) (Qurani et al., 2021);(Freduah et al., 2018)	Grier et al., 2015);(Arkema et al., 2017);(Betzold and Mohamed, 2017); (Baills et al., 2020);(Hamin et al., 2018)
	Socio-cultural acceptability	(Antunes do Carmo, 2018);(Magnan and Duvat, 2018);(O'Mahony et al., 2020);(Warnken and Mosadeghi, 2018);(Lamari et al., 2016);(Walsh, 2019);(Morris et al., 2019);(Barragán Muñoz, 2020);(Caviedes et al., 2020)	(Asiedu et al., 2017);(Fidelman et al., 2017); (Galappaththi et al., 2019);(Blasiak and Wabnitz, 2018) (Thomas et al., 2021);(Cavallo et al., 2021) (Heasman et al., 2020);(Kluger and Filgueira, 2021) (Krause et al., 2020);(Mustafa et al., 2021) (Xuan et al., 2021)	(Sovacool et al., 2015);(Gibbs, 2016); (Morris et al., 2016);(Betzold and Mohamed, 2017); (Marengo et al., 2017);(Siriwardane-de Zoysa, 2020)
	Social and regional inclusiveness	(Alves et al., 2020);(Antunes do Carmo, 2018);(Rosendo et al., 2018);(O'Mahony et al., 2020);(Morecroft et al., 2019);(Lamari	(Galappaththi et al., 2020);(Mustafa et al., 2021);(Thomas et al., 2021);(Ahmed and Turchini, 2021);(Cavallo et al., 2021)	(Antunes do Carmo, 2018);(Alves et al., 2020);(Hamin et al., 2018);(Siriwardane-de Zoysa, 2020)

		et al., 2016);(Hamin et al., 2018);(Romaña ch et al., 2018);(Barragán Muñoz, 2020);(Caviedes et al., 2020);(Perera-Valderrama et al., 2020);(Martuti et al., 2020);(Mestanza-Ramón et al., 2019);(Ellison et al., 2020)		(Kluger and Filgueira, 2021);(Massa et al., 2020);(Bricknell et al., 2021);(Galappaththi et al., 2020);(Oyebola et al., 2021)		
	Gender equity	(Hamin et al., 2018); (Barreto et al., 2020);(Nguyen Mai and Dang Hoang, 2018);(de la Torre-Castro, 2019);(Hoegh-Guldberg and al., 2019);(Sen, 2021) (Pearson et al., 2019; Milanés Batista et al., 2020)		(Galappaththi et al., 2019);(Stentiford et al., 2020);(Leakhena et al., 2018);(Alleway et al., 2018);(Valenti et al., 2018);(Gopal et al., 2020)	LE	(Hamin et al., 2018)
	Intergenerational equity	(Baills et al., 2020);(Hamin et al., 2018) (Perera-Valderrama et al., 2020)	NE		LE	(Baills et al., 2020);(Hamin et al., 2018)
Environmental/ecological	Ecological capacity	(Le Cornu et al., 2018);(Antunes do Carmo, 2018);(Erftemeijer et al., 2020);(Krauss and Osland, 2020);(Morris et al., 2019);(Propato et al., 2018);(O'Mahony et al., 2020);(Morecroft et al., 2019);(Warnken and Mosadeghi, 2018);(Jones et al., 2020);(Donatti et al., 2020);(Gómez		(David et al., 2015); (Joffre et al., 2015);(Blanchard et al., 2017);(Broitman et al., 2017);{Ahmed, 2018 #90};(Aubin et al., 2019);(Custódio et al., 2020);(Mustafa et al., 2021);(Osmunds en et al., 2020);(Qurani et al., 2021);(Stentiford et al., 2020);(Thomas		(Bilkovic and Mitchell, 2013);(Spalding et al., 2014); (Joffre et al., 2015);(Sutton-Grier et al., 2015); (Foti et al., 2020);(Morris et al., 2018);(Morris et al., 2019);(Hall et al., 2018); (Hamin et al., 2018);(Hanley et al., 2020);(Winters et al., 2020);(Tanaya et al., 2021);(Scheres

		Martín et al., 2020);(Hamin et al., 2018);(Hanley et al., 2020);(Cantasan o et al., 2021); (Romañach et al., 2018); (Perera-Valderrama et al., 2020)	et al., 2021);(Ahmed et al., 2018);(Boyd et al., 2020);(Sampanta mit et al., 2020);(Bohnes et al., 2019; Ahmed and Turchini, 2021)	and Schüttrumpf, 2019) (Loon-Steensma and Vellinga, 2019);(Schoonees et al., 2019);(Waryszak et al., 2021) (Ghiasian et al., 2021; Joy and Gopinath, 2021);(Stender et al., 2021)
	Adaptive capacity/resilience	(Le Cornu et al., 2018);(Alves et al., 2020) (Erfteimeijer et al., 2020);(Hanley et al., 2020);(Krauss and Osland, 2020);(Propato et al., 2018; Morris et al., 2019);(Villamizar et al., 2017);(Rosendo et al., 2018);(O'Mahony et al., 2020);(Morecroft et al., 2019);(Jones et al., 2020);(Gómez Martín et al., 2020);(Hamin et al., 2018);(Foti et al., 2020)	(Boonstra and Hanh, 2015);(Orchard et al., 2015); (Blanchard et al., 2017);(Cinner et al., 2018 {Shaffril, 2017 #17); (Galappaththi et al., 2020);(Galappaththi et al., 2019);(Bricknell et al., 2021);(Freduah et al., 2018);(Qurani et al., 2021);(Stentiford et al., 2020);(Thomas et al., 2021);(Ahmed et al., 2018)	(Spalding et al., 2014);(Orchard et al., 2015); (Fidelman et al., 2017);(Morris et al., 2019); (Siders and Keenan, 2020);(Antunes do Carmo, 2018);(Hamin et al., 2018);(Hanley et al., 2020) (Magnan and Duvat, 2018);(Mills et al., 2016)
Geophysical	Physical feasibility	(Erfteimeijer et al., 2020); (Morris et al., 2019);(Propato et al., 2018);(Runting et al., 2017);(Lamari et al., 2016);(Magnan and Duvat, 2018);(Cantasan o et al., 2021);(Mathew et al., 2020)	(David et al., 2015);(Adhikari et al., 2018); (Ahmed et al., 2018);(Galappaththi et al., 2019);(Bricknell et al., 2021);(FAO, 2018)	(Duvat, 2013);(Hinkel et al., 2014);(Smith et al., 2015);(André et al., 2016) (Cooper et al., 2016);(Vousdoukas et al., 2016);(Arkema et al., 2017);(Antunes do Carmo, 2018);(Foti et al., 2020);(Morris et al., 2019) (Lima and Coelho, 2021)
	Land use change	(Erfteimeijer et al.,	(Mialhe et al., 2016);(Mustafa	L E (Sutton-Grier et al.,

	enhancement potential	2020);(Hanley et al., 2020);(Krauss and Osland, 2020);(Morris et al., 2019);(Propato et al., 2018);(Baills et al., 2020);(Morecroft et al., 2019);(Jones et al., 2020);(Donatti et al., 2020);(Gómez Martín et al., 2020);(Hamin et al., 2018);(Romaña ch et al., 2018);(Ellison et al., 2020);(Cantasan o et al., 2021)	et al., 2021) (Stentiford et al., 2020);(Turolla et al., 2020) (Ahmed et al., 2018);(Bricknell et al., 2021) (Ahmed and Turchini, 2021)	2015);(Hamin et al., 2018)
	Hazard risk reduction potential	(Hanley et al., 2020);(Krauss and Osland, 2020);(Marijnis sen et al., 2020);(Morris et al., 2019);(Baills et al., 2020);(Morecroft et al., 2019);(Jones et al., 2020);(Donatti et al., 2020);(Gómez Martín et al., 2020);(Phillips et al., 2018);(Romaña ch et al., 2018);(Martuti et al., 2020);(Ellison et al., 2020);(Cantasan o et al., 2021)	(Joffre et al., 2015); (Blanchard et al., 2017); (Daly et al., 2017);(Hung et al., 2018);(Galappath thi et al., 2019);(Galappath thi et al., 2019);(Mustafa et al., 2021);(Stentiford et al., 2020);(Ahmed et al., 2018)	(Luisetti et al., 2013);(Firth et al., 2014); (Spalding et al., 2014); (Barbier, 2015b);(Sutton-Grier et al., 2015); (André et al., 2016);(Narayan et al., 2016);(Arkema et al., 2017);(Fu and Song, 2017);(Alves et al., 2020);(Antunes do Carmo, 2018);(Siders and Keenan, 2020);(Baills et al., 2020) (Magnan and Duvat, 2018; Tiggeloven et al., 2020);(Lincke and Hinkel, 2018);(Lima and Coelho, 2021)

1
2
3
4
5

Table SMCCB FEASIB.3: Feasibility assessment of land and ecosystem transition adaptation options: sustainable forest management and conservation, reforestation and afforestation, biodiversity management and ecosystem connectivity, and agroforestry.

		Sustainable forest management and conservation, reforestation and afforestation	Biodiversity management and ecosystem connectivity	Agroforestry
Evidence		High	Medium	Medium
Agreement		Medium	Medium	High
Economic	Microeconomic viability	(Bustamante et al., 2019); (Chow et al., 2019); (Lochhead et al., 2019); (Seddon et al., 2019) (Seddon et al., 2020b); (Seddon et al., 2020a); (Ambrosino et al., 2020); (Nunes et al., 2020); (Ota et al., 2020)	(Lausche et al., 2013); (Chausson et al., 2020); (Gray et al., 2020); (Jones et al., 2020)	(Valdivia et al., 2012); (Murthy, 2013); (Lasco et al., 2014); (Mbow et al., 2014b); (Mbow et al., 2014a); (Brookington et al., 2016); (Iiyama et al., 2017); (Jacobi et al., 2017); (Hernández-Morcillo et al., 2018); (Quandt, 2020); (Castle et al., 2021) (Miller et al., 2020); (Jordon et al., 2020); (Williams et al., 2021); (Sharma et al., 2020); (Cechin et al., 2021); (Dhyani et al., 2021)
	Macroeconomic viability	(Bustamante et al., 2019); (Lochhead et al., 2019); (Chausson et al., 2020); (Seddon et al., 2020b)	L E (Chausson et al., 2020); (Seddon et al., 2020a)	(Valdivia et al., 2012); (Lasco et al., 2014); (Jacobi et al., 2017); (Hernández-Morcillo et al., 2018; Williams et al., 2021); (Sharma et al., 2020); (Ogada et al., 2020); (Dhyani et al., 2021); (Cechin et al., 2021); (Smith et al., 2021)
	Socio-economic vulnerability reduction potential	(Bustamante et al., 2019); (Ambrosino et al., 2020); (Fleischman et al., 2020); (Nunes et al., 2020); (Ota et al., 2020) (Seddon et al., 2020b); (Seddon et al., 2020a) (Woroniccki et al., 2019)	(Ambrosino et al., 2020); (Chausson et al., 2020); (Seddon et al., 2020a)	(Valdivia et al., 2012); (Brookington et al., 2016); (Coq-Huelva et al., 2017); (Coulibaly et al., 2017); (Iiyama et al., 2017); (Jacobi et al., 2017); (Quandt, 2020); (Castle et al., 2021); (Williams et al., 2021); (Sharma et

						al., 2020);(Ogada et al., 2020); (Cechin et al., 2021);(Elagib and Al-Saidi, 2020)
	Employment and productivity enhancement potential		(Bustamante et al., 2019);(Rahman et al., 2019); (Ambrosino et al., 2020); (Chausson et al., 2020); (Nunes et al., 2020); (Ota et al., 2020);(Seddon et al., 2020b)		(Ambrosino et al., 2020); (Chausson et al., 2020); (Seddon et al., 2020a)	(Verchot et al., 2007);(Buckeridge et al., 2012);(Jain and Mehta, 2020);(Williams et al., 2021);(Sharma et al., 2020);(Ogada et al., 2020);(Elagib and Al-Saidi, 2020)
Technological	Technical resource availability		(Bustamante et al., 2019); (Ambrosino et al., 2020); (Nunes et al., 2020)	N E	No evidence found	(Verchot et al., 2007);(Valdivia et al., 2012);(Mbow et al., 2014b); (Iiyama et al., 2017);(Jacobi et al., 2017);(Hernández-Morcillo et al., 2018);(Elagib and Al-Saidi, 2020)
	Risks mitigation potential		(Bustamante et al., 2019); (Lange et al., 2019); (Moomaw et al., 2019); (Morecroft et al., 2019);(Seddon et al., 2020a; Seddon et al., 2020b);(Sinay and Carter, 2020)		(Lausche et al., 2013); (Lange et al., 2019); (Chausson et al., 2020); (Donatti et al., 2020)	(Verchot et al., 2007);(Jacobi et al., 2017);(Abdulai et al., 2018);(Hernández-Morcillo et al., 2018);(Sida et al., 2018)
Institutional	Political acceptability		(Lange et al., 2019);(Chausson et al., 2020); (Seddon et al., 2020b)		(Lausche et al., 2013); (Lange et al., 2019);(Schmitz et al., 2015);(Chausson et al., 2020); (Seddon et al., 2020a)	(Buckeridge et al., 2012);(Mbow et al., 2014a); (Jacobi et al., 2017);(De Zoysa and Inoue, 2014);(Coe et al., 2014);(Williams et al., 2021);(Cechin et al., 2021);(Dhyani et al., 2021)
	Legal and regulatory acceptability		(Bustamante et al., 2019); (Lange et al., 2019)		(Lausche et al., 2013); (Schmitz et al., 2015);(D'Aloia et al., 2019); (Gray et al., 2020)	(Place et al., 2012);(Mbow et al., 2014b);(Mbow et al., 2014a);(Jacobi et al., 2017);(Hernández-Morcillo et al., 2018) (De Zoysa

						and Inoue, 2014);(Coe et al., 2014);(Martin et al., 2020); (Dhyani et al., 2021)
	Institutional capacity and administrative feasibility		(Bustamante et al., 2019); (Chow et al., 2019); (Nunes et al., 2020); (Seddon et al., 2020a); (Woroniecki et al., 2019)		(Lausche et al., 2013); (Seddon et al., 2020a); (Keeley et al., 2018b)	(Buckeridge et al., 2012);(Place et al., 2012);(Jacobi et al., 2017);(Hernández-Morcillo et al., 2018);(De Zoysa and Inoue, 2014);(Coe et al., 2014);(Martin et al., 2020);(Dhyani et al., 2021)
	Transparency and accountability potential	N E	No evidence found	N E	No evidence found	(Nair, 2012);(Coe et al., 2014)
Socio-cultural	Social co-benefits (health, education)		(Moomaw et al., 2019); (Morecroft et al., 2019); (Muricho et al., 2019);(Rahman et al., 2019);(Bhattarai, 2020); (Chausson et al., 2020);(Ota et al., 2020); (Seddon et al., 2020a); (Sinay and Carter, 2020);(von Holle et al., 2020)		(Lausche et al., 2013); (Worboys et al., 2016); (Donatti et al., 2020); (Chausson et al., 2020); (Lavorel et al., 2020); (Seddon et al., 2020a); (Van Langevelde et al., 2020); (White and Razgour, 2020);(Gibb et al., 2020);(Schmeller et al., 2020)	(Brockington et al., 2016);(Varela-Ortega et al., 2016);(Clark and Tilman, 2017);(Coq-Huelva et al., 2017);(Coulibaly et al., 2017);; (Jacobi et al., 2017);(Quandt et al., 2017);(Thierfelder et al., 2017);(Hernández-Morcillo et al., 2018);(Meijer et al., 2015); (Dhyani et al., 2021);(Castle et al., 2021);(Williams et al., 2021);(Ogada et al., 2020);(Cechin et al., 2021);(Elagib and Al-Saidi, 2020)
	Socio-cultural acceptability		(Lange et al., 2019);(Ambrosino et al., 2020)		(Lausche et al., 2013); (Seddon et al., 2020a); (Jones et al., 2020)	(Jarvis et al., 2008);(Valdivia et al., 2012);(Coq-Huelva et al., 2017);(Iiyama et al., 2017);(Jacobi et al., 2017);(Hernández-Morcillo et al., 2018);(Cedamon et al., 2018);(Quandt et al., 2017);(Ghosh-

						Jerath et al., 2021);(Santoro et al., 2020);(Williams et al., 2021)	
	Social and regional inclusiveness		(Bustamante et al., 2019); (Muricho et al., 2019);(Weng et al., 2019); (Ambrosino et al., 2020); (Ota et al., 2020);(Seddon et al., 2020a)	L E	(Worboys et al., 2016); (Chausson et al., 2020)	(Valdivia et al., 2012);(Iiyama et al., 2017);(Jacobi et al., 2017); (Cedamon et al., 2018);(Castle et al., 2021)	
	Gender equity		(Ambrosino et al., 2020); (Bhattarai, 2020); (Ota et al., 2020); (Seddon et al., 2020a);(Woroniccki et al., 2019)	L E	(Worboys et al., 2016)	N A	
	Intergenerational equity		(Ambrosino et al., 2020); (Ota et al., 2020)	N E	No evidence found	N E	No evidence found
Environmental/ecological	Ecological capacity		(Bustamante et al., 2019); (Chow et al., 2019); (Lochhead et al., 2019); (Morecroft et al., 2019);(Shannon et al., 2019); (North et al., 2019); (Weng et al., 2019); (Chausson et al., 2020); (Nunes et al., 2020);(Ontl et al., 2020);(Ota et al., 2020); (Seddon et al., 2020a);(Seddon et al., 2020b); (Sinay and Carter, 2020);(Takata and Hanasaki, 2020);(von Holle et al., 2020);(Dooley et al., 2021); (Tagliari et al., 2021)		(Lausche et al., 2013);(Crist, 2015);(Krosby et al., 2010); (Schmitz et al., 2015); (Thompson et al., 2017); (Timpane-Padgham et al., 2017); (Keeley et al., 2018a); (Morecroft et al., 2019); (D'Aloia et al., 2019); (Gray et al., 2020); (von Holle et al., 2020); (Lavorel et al., 2020)		(Lusiana et al., 2012; Smith et al., 2013);(Murthy, 2013);(Lasco et al., 2014);(Barral et al., 2015);(Coq-Huelva et al., 2017);(Quandt et al., 2017);(Hernández-Morcillo et al., 2018);(Sida et al., 2018);(Schoeneberger et al., 2012);(Apuri et al., 2018);(Smith et al., 2021);(Minang et al., 2014);(Williams et al., 2021); (Ogada et al., 2020);(Elagib and Al-Saidi, 2020)
	Adaptive capacity/resilience		(Bustamante et al., 2019);(Seddon et al., 2020a);(Seddon et al., 2020b);		(Krosby et al., 2010); (Lausche et al., 2013); (Timpane-Padgham et		(Sendzimir et al., 2011);(Lusiana et al., 2012);(Murthy, 2013);(Lasco et al., 2014);(Mbow

		(Seddon et al., 2019);(Lochhead et al., 2019); (Morecroft et al., 2019);(North et al., 2019); (Rahman et al., 2019); (Chausson et al., 2020); (Van Langevelde et al., 2020);(White and Razgour, 2020);(Donatti et al., 2020); (Nunes et al., 2020);(Ontl et al., 2020);(Ota et al., 2020); (Tagliari et al., 2021)	al., 2017); (Donatti et al., 2020); (Morecroft et al., 2019); (von Holle et al., 2020); (White and Razgour, 2020); (Schmeller et al., 2020);(Gibb et al., 2020)	et al., 2014b); (Varela-Ortega et al., 2016);(Clark and Tilman, 2017);(Coq-Huelva et al., 2017);(Thierfelder et al., 2017);(Coulibaly et al., 2017);(Quandt et al., 2017);(Hernández-Morcillo et al., 2018);(Charles et al., 2013);(Schoeneberger et al., 2012);(Apuri et al., 2018); (Kmoch et al., 2018);(Minang et al., 2014);(Williams et al., 2021);(Elagib and Al-Saidi, 2020; Ogada et al., 2020);(IPCC, 2019)
Geophysical	Physical feasibility	(Bustamante et al., 2019); (Moomaw et al., 2019); (Morecroft et al., 2019); (Shannon et al., 2019);(Nunes et al., 2020)	L E (Lausche et al., 2013); (Worboys et al., 2016); (Jones et al., 2020)	Coulibaly, 2017 #51};(Hernández-Morcillo et al., 2018);(Martin et al., 2020);(Dhyani et al., 2021);(IPCC, 2019)
	Land use change enhancement potential	(Bustamante et al., 2019); (Morecroft et al., 2019); (North et al., 2019);(Seddon et al., 2019); (Shannon et al., 2019); (Chausson et al., 2020); (Favretto et al., 2020); (Fleischman et al., 2020); (Nunes et al., 2020);(Seddon et al., 2020a; Seddon et al., 2020b);(von Holle et al., 2020);(Dooley et al., 2021)	(Lausche et al., 2013); (Morecroft et al., 2019); (Lavorel et al., 2020); (Chausson et al., 2020); (Seddon et al., 2020a)	(Lasco et al., 2014);(Mbow et al., 2014b);(Coulibaly et al., 2017);(Hernández-Morcillo et al., 2018);(Williams et al., 2021);(Martin et al., 2020);(Ogada et al., 2020);(Dhyani et al., 2021);(Elagib and Al-Saidi, 2020);(IPCC, 2019)

	Hazard risk reduction potential	(Bustamante et al., 2019); (Morecroft et al., 2019); (North et al., 2019); (Rahman et al., 2019); (Seddon et al., 2020a); (Seddon et al., 2019); (Shannon et al., 2019); (Nunes et al., 2020); (Takata and Hanasaki, 2020)	(Chausson et al., 2020); (Seddon et al., 2020a); (Morecroft et al., 2019); (Donatti et al., 2020)	(Lasco et al., 2014);(Mbow et al., 2014b);(Coulibaly et al., 2017);(Abdulai et al., 2018);(Hernández-Morcillo et al., 2018);(Charles et al., 2013);(Schoeneberger et al., 2012);(Apuri et al., 2018);(Kmoch et al., 2018);(Minang et al., 2014);(Williams et al., 2021);(Ogada et al., 2020)
--	---------------------------------	--	---	--

1
2
3
4
5

Table SMCCB FEASIB.4: Feasibility assessment of land and ecosystem transition adaptation options: improved cropland management (including...), efficient livestock systems, livelihood diversification, and water use efficiency and water resource management.

		Improved cropland management	Efficient livestock system	Livelihood diversification	Water use efficiency and water resource management
	Evidence	High	Medium	High	High
	Agreement	Medium	High	High	Medium
Economic	Microeconomic viability	(Sharma et al., 2015);(Brandes et al., 2016); (Agegnehu and Amede, 2017);(Lalani et al., 2017);(Thierfelder et al., 2017);(Aryal et al., 2018c);(Aryal et al., 2020a);(Bedeke et al., 2019);(TerAvest et al., 2019a);(Lunduka et al., 2019);(Adams et al., 2020);(Debie, 2020b);	(Rivera-Ferre et al., 2016);(Eriksen and Crane, 2018) (Gaughan et al., 2019);(Schauberger et al., 2020);(Thomasz et al., 2020);(Wreford and Topp, 2020);(Ghahramani et al., 2020)	(Goulden et al., 2013);(Ford et al., 2014);(Oberlack and Eisenack, 2014);(Liu and Lan, 2015);(Martin and Lorenzen, 2016);(Barrett, 2013);(Gautam and Andersen, 2016);(Makate et al., 2016);(Orchard et al., 2016);(Torell et al., 2017a);(Baird and Hartter, 2017);(Nyantakyi-Frimpong, 2017);(Schuhbauer et al., 2017);(Asfaw	(Foster and Cota, 2014);(Mandryk et al., 2017);(Song et al., 2018);(Herwehe and Scott, 2018);(de Frutos Cachorro et al., 2018);(Koech and Langat, 2018);(Narayanamoorthy et al., 2020);(Panigrahi et al., 2021)

					<p>et al., 2018);(Kihila, 2018);(Radel et al., 2018);(Tian and Lemos, 2018);(Asfaw et al., 2019);(Adam and Osbahr, 2019);(Brown et al., 2019);(Nguyen et al., 2019a);(Rosyida et al., 2019);(Sina et al., 2019);(Buechler and Lutz-Ley, 2020);(Ng'anga and Crane, 2020);(Ojea et al., 2020);(Salam and Bauer, 2020);(Kassegn and Endris, 2021);(Bhowmik et al., 2021);(Mohammed et al., 2021);(Nnadi et al., 2021);(Rahut et al., 2021);(Umamaheswari et al., 2021);</p>	
	<p>Macroeconomic viability</p>	<p>(Gill, 2014);(Agegnehu and Amede, 2017);(Mottaleb et al., 2017);(S. et al., 2018b);(McFadden et al., 2019);(Shah and Wu, 2019b);(Aryal et al., 2020a);(Debie, 2020a);(Li et al., 2020)</p>		<p>(Rivera-Ferre et al., 2016);(Thomasz et al., 2020);(Prado and del Prado, 2020);</p>	<p>(Ford et al., 2014);(Wilson, 2014);(Alobo Loison, 2015);(Tanner et al., 2015);(Gautam and Andersen, 2016);(Baird and Hartter, 2017);(Torell et al., 2017b);(Asfaw et al., 2018);(Brown et al., 2019);(Sani Ibrahim et al., 2019);(Adzawla et al.,</p>	<p>(de Frutos Cachorro et al., 2018);(Herwehe and Scott, 2018);(Singh et al., 2020)</p>

					2020);(Ojea et al., 2020);(Salam and Bauer, 2020);(Rahut et al., 2021)	
	Socio-economic vulnerability reduction potential	(Gill, 2014); (Keil et al., 2019);(Kanna and Ramappa, 2017); (Keil et al., 2017); (Hörner and Wollni, 2020a); (Hörner and Wollni, 2020b); (TerAvest et al., 2019b); (Lalani et al., 2017); (Acevedo et al., 2020b)	(Escarcha et al., 2018);(Pardo and del Prado, 2020); (Thomasz et al., 2020);(Schauberger et al., 2020)	(Goulden et al., 2013);(Barrett, 2013);(Cannon, 2014);(Ford et al., 2014);(Oberlack and Eisenack, 2014); (Liu and Lan, 2015);(Gautam and Andersen, 2016); (Makate et al., 2016);(Orchard et al., 2016);(Anderson et al., 2017); (Baird and Hartter, 2017);(Torell et al., 2017a);(Schubauer et al., 2017);(Asfaw et al., 2018);(Asfaw et al., 2019);(Adam and Osbahr, 2019);(Brown et al., 2019);(Rosyida et al., 2019);;(Sani Ibrahim et al., 2019);(Tian and Lemos, 2018);(Buechler and Lutz-Ley, 2020);(Sina et al., 2019);(Liu et al., 2020);(Maharjan et al., 2020);(Ng'anga and Crane, 2020);(Ojea et al., 2020);(Sarker et al., 2020);(Biswas	(de Frutos Cachorro et al., 2018);(Koech and Langat, 2018);(Singh, 2018);(Shyam et al., 2020)(Singh et al., 2020);(Narayanamoorthy et al., 2020);(Panigrahi et al., 2021)	

					and Mallick, 2021);(Rahut et al., 2021);(Umamaheswari et al., 2021);(Voss, 2021)	
	Employment and productivity enhancement potential	(Das et al., 2017);(Jat et al., 2014);(Ernst et al., 2016);(Batabyal et al., 2017);(Thierfelder et al., 2017);(S. et al., 2018a);(Sarkar et al., 2018);(Yan et al., 2020);(Du et al., 2018);(Zhao et al., 2020);(Hörner and Wollni, 2020a);(Agegnehu and Amede, 2017);(Adams et al., 2020);(Adolwa et al., 2019);(Mutuku et al., 2020);	(Rivera-Ferre et al., 2016);(Escarcha et al., 2018);(Ghahramani et al., 2020)	(Barrett, 2013);(Ford et al., 2014);(Oberlack and Eisenack, 2014);(Liu and Lan, 2015);(Gautam and Andersen, 2016);(Herrero et al., 2016);(Makate et al., 2016);(Orchard et al., 2016);(Paudel Khatiwada et al., 2017);(Asfaw et al., 2018);(Asfaw et al., 2019);(Fang et al., 2018);(Radel et al., 2018);(Tian and Lemos, 2018);(Brown et al., 2019);(Adam and Osbahr, 2019);(Sani Ibrahim et al., 2019);(Buechler and Lutz-Ley, 2020);(Sina et al., 2019);(Liu et al., 2020);(Maharjan et al., 2020);(Nguyen et al., 2019a);(Salam and Bauer, 2020);	(Levidow et al., 2014);(Pittock et al., 2017);(Narayanamoorthy et al., 2020);(Panigrahi et al., 2021)	
Technological	Technical resource availability	(Findlater et al., 2019);(Dougill et al., 2017);(Aryal	(Escarcha et al., 2018);(Gaughan et al.,	(Ford et al., 2014);(Alobo Loison,	(Foster and Cota, 2014);(Ratna Reddy et al.,	

			et al., 2018a);(Aryal et al., 2018b);(Bhattacharyya et al., 2016);(Khatri-Chhetri et al., 2016);(Bhattacharya et al., 2017);(Sova et al., 2018b);(Acevedo et al., 2020a)		2019);(Schauberger et al., 2020);(Paul et al., 2020)	2015);(Liu and Lan, 2015);(Shackleton et al., 2015);(Gautam and Andersen, 2016);(Makate et al., 2016);(Baird and Hartter, 2017);(Brown et al., 2017);(Schuhbauer et al., 2017);(Tian and Lemos, 2018);(Umamaheswari et al., 2021)	2017);(Koech and Langat, 2018);(Greenland et al., 2019);(Singh et al., 2020);(Garg et al., 2020);(Misquitta et al., 2021)(Jordán and Speelman, 2020)
	Risks mitigation potential		(Aryal et al., 2015; Khatri-Chhetri et al., 2017);(Bhattacharyya et al., 2016);(Bhattacharyya et al., 2015);(Bhattacharya et al., 2017);(Shah and Wu, 2019a)		(Escarcha et al., 2018);(Pardo and del Prado, 2020);(Schauberger et al., 2020);(Shukla et al., 2019)(Ghahramani et al., 2020)	(Barrett, 2013);(Liu and Lan, 2015);(Makate et al., 2016);(Baird and Hartter, 2017);(Schuhbauer et al., 2017);(Fang et al., 2018);(Tian and Lemos, 2018);(Adam and Osbahr, 2019);(Asfaw et al., 2019);(Salam and Bauer, 2020);(Mohammed et al., 2021)	(Gray and Srinidhi, 2013);(Yazdi et al., 2013);(Chaudhari and Mishra, 2015);(Karlberg et al., 2015);(Grafton et al., 2018);(Singh, 2018);(Garg et al., 2020);(Shyam et al., 2020)
Institutional	Political acceptability		(Amjath-Babu et al., 2019);(Reddy et al., 2020a);(Kannan and Ramappa, 2017);(Bhattacharyya et al., 2016);(Dougill et al., 2017);	NE		(Ford et al., 2014);(Shackleton et al., 2015);(Rigg and Oven, 2015)(Nightingale, 2017);(Ober and Sakdapolrak, 2020)	(Levidow et al., 2014);(Greenland et al., 2019)
	Legal and regulatory acceptability	LE	(Amjath-Babu et al., 2019);(Reddy et al., 2020b)		(Escarcha et al., 2018);(Pardo and del Prado, 2020);	(Brown et al., 2017);(Butler et al., 2020);(Shapiro-Garza et al., 2020)	NE
	Institutional capacity and		(Aryal et al., 2020a);(Aryal et al., 2020b);		(Escarcha et al.,	(Barrett, 2013);(Liu and Lan,	(Gupta, 2014);(Chaudhari and Mishra,

	administrative feasibility		(Chapke and Tonapi, 2018); (Kannan and Ramappa, 2017); (Dougill et al., 2017); (Sova et al., 2018a)		2018);(Paul et al., 2020)	2015);(Shackleton et al., 2015);(Herrero et al., 2016);(Makate et al., 2016);(Nightingale, 2017);(Torell et al., 2017a);(Tian and Lemos, 2018);(Asfaw et al., 2019); (Brown et al., 2019); (Sina et al., 2019);(Adzawla et al., 2020);(Rahut et al., 2021); (Voss, 2021); (Bhowmik et al., 2021)	2015);(Mondal et al., 2016);(Ley, 2017);(Villamayor-Tomas and García-López, 2017);(Kumari Rigaud et al., 2018);(Greenland et al., 2019);(Koff et al., 2020);(Singh et al., 2020);
	Transparency and accountability potential	LE	(Seshia Galvin, 2018)	NE		(Brown et al., 2019); (Salam and Bauer, 2020); (Makate et al., 2016); (Schuhbauer et al., 2017)	(Singh et al., 2020);
Socio-cultural	Social co-benefits (health, education)		(Farnworth et al., 2017); (Hörner and Wollni, 2020b); (Lunduka et al., 2019);		(Escarcha et al., 2018);(Salmón et al., 2018)	(Barrett, 2013); (Gautam and Andersen, 2016); (Ford et al., 2014);(Torell et al., 2017a); (Sani Ibrahim et al., 2019); (Nyantakyi-Frimpong, 2017);(Buechler and Lutz-Ley, 2020); (Orchard et al., 2016); (Brown et al., 2019);(Tian and Lemos, 2018); (Makate et al., 2016);(Fang et al., 2018); (Schuhbauer et al., 2017); (Adam and Osbahr, 2019); Sina et al., 2019); (Kassegn and	(Syme et al., 2015);(Barchiesi and Córdoba);(Hellin et al., 2018);(Singh and Basu, 2020)

					Endris, 2021);(Nguyen et al., 2019b); (Rosyida et al., 2019); (Maharjan et al., 2020); (Adzawla et al., 2020); (Woodhouse and McCabe, 2018); (Sarker et al., 2020);(Nnadi et al., 2021)	
	Socio-cultural acceptability	(Aryal et al., 2020a);(Aryal et al., 2018a); (Tripathi and Mishra, 2017); (Kannan and Ramappa, 2017); (Keil et al., 2017);(Findlater et al., 2019);	(Escarcha et al., 2018);(Salmon et al., 2018);(Riviera-Ferre et al., 2016);(Ericksen and Crane, 2018)	(Barrett, 2013); (Goulden et al., 2013);(Gautam and Andersen, 2016);(Ford et al., 2014); (Asfaw et al., 2018); (Asfaw et al., 2019); (Torell et al., 2017a); (Alobo Loison, 2015); (Radel et al., 2018);(Buechler and Lutz-Ley, 2020); (Brown et al., 2019);(Tian and Lemos, 2018); (Makate et al., 2016);(Adam and Osbahr, 2019);(Kassegn and Endris, 2021); (Umamaheswari et al., 2021); (Rosyida et al., 2019); (Ojea et al., 2020); (Maharjan et al., 2020);(Liu et al., 2020);; (Lenaiyasa et al., 2020);(Woodhouse and McCabe, 2018);(Voss,	(Figueiredo and Perkins, 2013);(Levidow et al., 2014);(Koech and Langat, 2018);(Greenland et al., 2019);(Singh and Basu, 2020);(Jordán and Speelman, 2020)	

					2021); (Ng'ang'a and Crane, 2020)	
	Social and regional inclusiveness	(Bhattacharya et al., 2017); (Aryal et al., 2018a),(Aryal et al., 2018b);(Keil et al., 2019); (Kannan and Ramappa, 2017); (Keil et al., 2017); (Li et al., 2020); Ntshangase et al., 2018; (Somasundaram et al., 2020);(Van Hulst and Posthumus, 2016)	(Escarcha et al., 2018);(Rivera-Ferre et al., 2016)	(Barrett, 2013); (Gautam and Andersen, 2016); (Wilson, 2014); (Asfaw et al., 2018); (Asfaw et al., 2019);(Torell et al., 2017a); (Liu and Lan, 2015);(Radel et al., 2018); (Nyantakyi-Frimpong, 2017);(Buechler and Lutz-Ley, 2020); (Orchard et al., 2016); (Brown et al., 2019); (Tian and Lemos, 2018); (Makate et al., 2016); (Fang et al., 2018); (Asravor, 2018);(Adam and Osbahr, 2019);(Liao et al., 2015);(Shapiro-Garza et al., 2020); (Kassegn and Endris, 2021); (Nguyen et al., 2019b); (Umamaheswari et al., 2021);(Rosyida et al., 2019);(Rahut et al., 2021); (Ojea et al., 2020); Mohammed et al. 2021; (Maharjan et al., 2020); (Liu et al., 2020);(Lenaiyasa et al., 2020);(Williams et al., 2021)	(Jägermeyr et al., 2015);(Karlberg et al., 2015);(MacDonald et al., 2016);(Song et al., 2018);(Figueiredo and Perkins, 2013);(Singh and Basu, 2020);	
	Gender equity	(Gill, 2014); (Wekesah et	(Luqman et al.,	(Erwin et al., 2021);	(Theis et al., 2018);(Najjar et	

			al., 2019); (Bhattacharya et al., 2017);(Aryal et al., 2015);(OECD/FAO, 2017)		2018);(Salmon et al., 2018)	(Barrett, 2013); (Gautam and Andersen, 2016); (Ford et al., 2014); (Asfaw et al., 2018); (Adzawla et al., 2020); (Asfaw et al., 2019); (Torell et al., 2017a);(Nyantakyi-Frimpong, 2017); (Buechler and Lutz-Ley, 2020); (Orchard et al., 2016); (Makate et al., 2016); Asravor, 2018 #487};(Adam and Osbahr, 2019);(Kassegn and Endris, 2021); (Umamaheswari et al., 2021);(Voss, 2021); (Rahut et al., 2021); (Ojea et al., 2020);(Nnadi et al., 2021) ; (Sarker et al., 2020); (Ng'ang'a and Crane, 2020);(Maharjan et al., 2020)	al., 2019);(Imburgia et al., 2020) (Imburgia, 2019); (Caretta and Börjeson, 2015) (Tesfamariam and Hurlbert, 2017)
	Intergenerational equity	NA		NE		(Barrett, 2013);(Gautam and Andersen, 2016); (Ford et al., 2014); (Asfaw et al., 2018); (Asfaw et al., 2019); (Torell et al., 2017a); (Nyantakyi-Frimpong, 2017); (Buechler and Lutz-Ley, 2020); (Orchard et	LE (Syme et al., 2015)

					al., 2016); (Brown et al., 2019); (Tian and Lemos, 2018); 2018; (Makate et al., 2016); (Schuhbauer et al., 2017);; (Voss, 2021); (Rahut et al., 2021); (Woodhouse and McCabe, 2018); (Umamaheswari et al., 2021);(Ng'anga and Crane, 2020); (Biswas and Mallick, 2021); (Ojea et al., 2020); (Liu et al., 2020)	
Environmental/ecological	Ecological capacity		(Schulte et al., 2017); (Agegnehu and Amede, 2017); (Shah and Wu, 2019b); (Gonzalez-Sanchez et al., 2019)	(Accatino et al., 2019);(Salmón et al., 2018);(Riviera-Ferre et al., 2016)	(Goulden et al., 2013);(Wilson, 2014);(Herrero et al., 2016); (Liu and Lan, 2015); (Gautam and Andersen, 2016);(Singh and Basu, 2020);(Mutabazi et al., 2015); (Butler et al., 2020);(Sayatham and Suhardiman, 2015); (Rosyida et al., 2019); (Rahut et al., 2021); (Ojea et al., 2020); (Woodhouse and McCabe, 2018);	(Barchiesi and Córdoba);(Helli n et al., 2018);(Mondal et al., 2016);(Ley, 2017);(Ratna Reddy et al., 2017); (Grafton et al., 2018);(Singh, 2018);(Garg et al., 2020);(Koech and Langat, 2018);(de Frutos Cachorro et al., 2018);
	Adaptive capacity/resilience		(Du et al., 2021); (Aryal et al., 2020a); (Mottaleb et al., 2017); (Patra et al., 2020; (S. et al., 2018a);(Sarkar	(Ericksen and Crane, 2018);(Salmón et al., 2018);(Ghahramani et al., 2020);(Pardo and del	(Goulden et al., 2013);(Wilson, 2014);(Tanner et al., 2015);(Cochrane and Cafer, 2018);(Torell	(Gain et al., 2013);(Gray and Srinidhi, 2013);(Chaudhari and Mishra, 2015);(Barchiesi and Córdoba);(Ley, 2017);(Villama

			et al., 2018); (Zomer et al., 2017);(Mayer et al., 2018);(Adams et al., 2020); (Mutuku et al., 2020); (Shah and Wu, 2019b); (Gonzalez-Sanchez et al., 2019); (Thierfelder et al., 2017); (Somasundaram et al., 2020);(Sova et al., 2018b); (McFadden et al., 2019)	Prado, 2020);(Schuberger et al., 2020);(Shukla et al., 2019)	et al., 2017a); (Barrett, 2013); (Brown et al., 2019); (Gautam and Andersen, 2016); (Radel et al., 2018)	yor-Tomas and García-López, 2017);(Koech and Langat, 2018);(Hellin et al., 2018);(Singh, 2018);(Garg et al., 2020);(Shyam et al., 2020);(Jordán and Speelman, 2020)
Geophysical	Physical feasibility		(Aryal et al., 2018d); (Das et al., 2017); (DeFries et al., 2016);(Kanna and Ramappa, 2017); (Keil et al., 2017); (Prestele et al., 2018);(Prestele et al., 2018)	(Ericksen and Crane, 2018);(Escaracha et al., 2018);(Accatino et al., 2019);(Pardo and del Prado, 2020) (Shukla et al., 2019)	(Sayatham and Suhardiman, 2015);(Wise et al., 2016);(Brown et al., 2017);(Shapir o-Garza et al., 2020)	(Barchiesi and Córdoba);(Mondal et al., 2016);(Ley, 2017);(Ratna Reddy et al., 2017);(Hellin et al., 2018);(Singh, 2018);(Garg et al., 2020);(Singh et al., 2020)
	Land use change enhancement potential		Shang et al., 2021; (Das et al., 2017);(Aryal et al., 2020b);(S. et al., 2018a);(Yadav et al., 2019);(Mayer et al., 2018);(Wang et al., 2020);(Sommer et al., 2018);(Adams et al., 2020); (Shah and Wu, 2019b); (Gonzalez-Sanchez et al., 2019);(Ogle et al., 2019);(VandenBygaart, 2016)	(Shukla et al., 2019) (Rivera-Ferre et al., 2016);(Ericksen and Crane, 2018);(Escaracha et al., 2018);(Accatino et al., 2019);	(Goulden et al., 2013);(Wilson, 2014);(Liu and Lan, 2015); (Herrero et al., 2016);(Nyantakyi-Frimpong, 2017);(Shapir o-Garza et al., 2020)	(Mondal et al., 2016)
	Hazard risk	NA		NA	(Mutabazi et al.,	LE

	reduction potential					2015);(Wan et al., 2016);(Anderson et al., 2017);(Adam and Osbahr, 2019);	i and Córdoba);(Ley, 2017);(Hellin et al., 2018);(Hostettler et al., 2019);(Shyam et al., 2020);(Singh et al., 2020)
--	---------------------	--	--	--	--	---	--

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8

SMCCB FEASIB.1.3 Feasibility Assessment of Adaptation Options in Urban and Infrastructure System Transitions

Table SMCCB FEASIB.5: Feasibility assessment of urban and infrastructure transition adaptation options: sustainable land use and urban planning, green infrastructure and ecosystem service, and sustainable water management.

		Sustainable land- use and urban planning	Green infrastructure and ecosystem service	Sustainable water management
Evidence		Medium	Medium	Robust
Agreement		High	High	Medium
Economic	Microeconomic viability	(Georgeson et al., 2016);(Woodruff et al., 2018);(Shi and Varuzzo, 2020);(Siders and Keenan, 2020);(Carey, 2020);(Jain and Bazaz, 2020)	No new evidence since SR 1.5	No new evidence since SR 1.5
	Macroeconomic viability	(Meerow, 2019);(Zhang et al., 2019)	L E	N E
	Socio-economic vulnerability reduction potential	(Keenan et al., 2018);(Anguelovski et al., 2019a);(Anguelovski et al., 2019b);(Shokry et al., 2020);(Elliott, 2019);(Paganini, 2019);(Jain and Bazaz, 2020)	(Anguelovski et al., 2019c); (Buijs et al., 2019); (Escobedo et al., 2019); (Filazzola et al., 2019); (Hewitt et al., 2020); (Langemeyer and Connolly, 2020); (Nieuwenhuijsen, 2020);(Venter et al., 2020a); (Venter et al., 2020b)	(Liu and Jensen, 2018); (Oral et al., 2020);(Jensen and Nair, 2019)
	Employment and productivity enhancement potential	(Woodruff et al., 2018)	N E	N E
Technological	Technical resource availability	(Serre and Heinzlef, 2018);(Szewrański et al.,	N E	No new evidence since SR 1.5

			2018);(Goh, 2020);(Colven, 2020);(Hasan et al., 2019);(Fitzgibbons and Mitchell, 2019);(Heikkinen et al., 2019)				
	Risks mitigation potential		LE (limited new evidence since SR1.5)		(Anguelovski et al., 2019c)		No new evidence since SR 1.5
Institutional	Political acceptability		(Biesbroek et al., 2015);(Wachsmuth et al., 2016)	L E			No new evidence since SR 1.5
	Legal and regulatory acceptability		(DuPuis and Greenberg, 2019)				No new evidence since SR 1.5
	Institutional capacity and administrative feasibility		(Di Gregorio et al., 2019);(Zen et al., 2019)		(Davies et al., 2019);(Dorst et al., 2019);(Zwierzchowska et al., 2019)		(Chu et al., 2018);(Williams et al., 2018);(Herslund and Mguni, 2019);(Leigh and Lee, 2019);(Pelling et al., 2018);(Sletto et al., 2019);(Esmail and Suleiman, 2020);(Krueger et al., 2019);(Feingold et al., 2018);
	Transparency and accountability potential		LE (limited new evidence since SR1.5)	L E		N E	
Socio-cultural	Social co-benefits (health, education)		(Keeler et al., 2019);(Meerow, 2019);(Raymond et al., 2017);(Spaans and Waterhout, 2017);(Klinenberg, 2019)		(Escobedo et al., 2019); (Filazzola et al., 2019); (Hewitt et al., 2020); (Nieuwenhuijsen, 2020);(Venter et al., 2020a)		(Dong et al., 2020)
	Socio-cultural acceptability		(Siders, 2019);(Siders and Keenan, 2020);(Koslov, 2019);		No new evidence since SR 1.5		No new evidence since SR 1.5

	Social and regional inclusiveness		(Chu, 2018);(Fainstein, 2018);(Rosenzweig et al., 2018);(Chu and Michael, 2019);(Pelling and Garschagen, 2019);(Ranganathan and Bratman, 2021);(Araos, 2020);(Rasmussen et al., 2021)	(Anguelovski et al., 2019c);(Buijs et al., 2019);(Hewitt et al., 2020);(Langemeyer and Connolly, 2020);(Nieuwenhuisen, 2020);(Venter et al., 2020a);(Venter et al., 2020b)	(Chu et al., 2018);(Williams et al., 2018);(Leigh and Lee, 2019);(Pelling et al., 2018);(Sletto et al., 2019);(van den Brandeler et al., 2019)
	Gender equity	NE		(Anguelovski et al., 2019c);(Buijs et al., 2019);(Filazzola et al., 2019);(Hewitt et al., 2020);(Nieuwenhuisen, 2020);(Venter et al., 2020a);(Venter et al., 2020b)	NE
	Intergenerational equity		No new evidence since SR 1.5		No new evidence since SR 1.5
Environmental/ecological	Ecological capacity		Limited evidence since SR1.5)	(Filazzola et al., 2019)	No new evidence since SR 1.5
	Adaptive capacity/resilience		Limited evidence since SR1.5)	No new evidence since SR 1.5	(Chan et al., 2018);(Nguyen et al., 2019);(Dai et al., 2018);
Geophysical	Physical feasibility		Limited evidence since SR1.5)	No new evidence since SR 1.5	(Chan et al., 2018);(Nguyen et al., 2019)
	Land use change enhancement potential		Limited evidence since SR1.5)	No new evidence since SR 1.5	No new evidence since SR 1.5
	Hazard risk reduction potential		Limited evidence since SR1.5)	No new evidence since SR 1.5	No new evidence since SR 1.5

1
2
3
4
5
6
7

SMCCB FEASIB.1.4 Feasibility Assessment of Adaptation Options in Overarching Adaptation Options

Table SMCCB FEASIB.6: Feasibility assessment of overarching adaptation options: disaster risk management, climate service, including EWS, and risk spreading and sharing.

	Disaster risk management	Climate services	Risk spreading and sharing
Evidence	High	High	High
Agreement	High	High	Medium

Economic	Microeconomic viability	(IPCC, 2012); (Mavhura et al., 2013); (Yu and Gillis, 2014); (Johnson and Abe, 2015); (Mawere and Mubaya, 2015); (Archer, 2016); (Kull et al., 2016); (Rose, 2016); (Watanabe et al., 2016); (Marchezini, 2020); (Soetanto et al., 2020); (Gartrell et al., 2020); (Pokhrel et al., 2021); (Sauka, 2020)	(Amegnaglo et al., 2017); (Parton et al., 2019); (Vaughan et al., 2019); (Perrels, 2020); (Köberl et al., 2021); (Brown et al., 2018)	(Marcantonio and Kayitakire, 2017); (Mutaqin and Usami, 2019); (Schäfer et al., 2019); (Jarzabkowski et al., 2019); (Ali et al., 2020); (Tesselaar et al., 2020a; Tesselaar et al., 2020c); (Thinda et al., 2020); (Alam et al., 2020); (Tesselaar et al., 2020)
	Macroeconomic viability	(Alcántara-Ayala et al., 2020); (Islam et al., 2020b); (Islam et al., 2020c); (Nyandiko, 2020); (Williams et al., 2020b); (Geekiyanage et al., 2021); (Williams et al., 2021)	LE (Perrels, 2020)	(Linnerooth-Bayer and Hochrainer-Stigler, 2015); (Porrini et al., 2019); (Schäfer et al., 2019); (Broberg, 2020);
	Socio-economic vulnerability reduction potential	(Forino et al., 2019)	(Parton et al., 2019); (Bruno Soares and Buontempo, 2019); (Vaughan et al., 2019); (Perrels, 2020); (Brown et al., 2018)	(Linnerooth-Bayer and Hochrainer-Stigler, 2015); (Schäfer et al., 2019); (Thinda et al., 2020)
	Employment and productivity enhancement potential	(Khalil et al., 2020); (Williams et al., 2021)	(Brown et al., 2018); (Amegnaglo et al., 2017); (Parton et al., 2019); (Vaughan et al., 2019); (Perrels, 2020);	

Technological	Technical resource availability	(Marchezini, 2020);(Nyandiko, 2020);(Smucker et al., 2020); (Soetanto et al., 2020);(Williams et al., 2020b) ;(Ali et al., 2021) ;(Mena and Hilhorst, 2021);(Geekiyana ge et al., 2021); (Goniewicz and Burkle, 2019);(Obi et al., 2021);(Singh et al., 2021);Daron, 2021 #13865};(Williams et al., 2021)	(Buontempo et al., 2019);(Dorward et al., 2021);(Findlater et al., 2021)	C	(Schäfer et al., 2019)
	Risks mitigation potential	(Alcántara-Ayala et al., 2020);(Ali et al., 2021);(Obi et al., 2021); (Pokhrel et al., 2021)	(Hobday et al., 2018);(Daly and Dessai, 2018); (Larosa and Mysiak, 2020);(Köberl et al., 2021)	C	(Schäfer et al., 2019);(Thinda et al., 2020) ; (Jørgensen et al., 2020);(Tesselaar et al., 2020b);(Lucas and Booth, 2020);(Miao, 2020)
Institutional	Political acceptability	(Smucker et al., 2020);(Ruane et al., 2020)	(Harjanne, 2017);(Bruno Soares and Buontempo, 2019);(Hewitt et al., 2020);(Webber, 2017)		(Linnerooth-Bayer and Hochrainer-Stigler, 2015)
	Legal and regulatory acceptability	(Booth et al., 2020);(Ali et al., 2021);(Smucker et al., 2020);(Islam et al., 2020c)	(Singh et al., 2018);(Bruno Soares et al., 2018);(Bruno Soares and Buontempo, 2019);(Hewitt et al., 2020)		(Loisel et al., 2020) (Su, 2020);(Jegade et al., 2020)
	Institutional capacity and administrative feasibility	(Islam et al., 2020b);(Islam et al., 2020c);(Albris et al., 2020);(Smucker et al., 2020);(Villeneuve, 2021);(Booth et al., 2020); (Islam et al.,	(Bruno Soares et al., 2018);(Vincent et al., 2018);(Daly and Dessai, 2018);(Tall et al., 2018);(Bruno Soares and Buontempo,		(Schäfer et al., 2019); (Budhathoki et al., 2019);(Edwards et al., 2020)

			2020b);(Islam et al., 2020c); (Goryushina, 2021); (Mena and Hilhorst, 2021); (Marchezini, 2020);(Räsänen et al., 2020);(Ferreira Costa, 2020);(Nyandiko, 2020); (Soetanto et al., 2020);(Sauka, 2020);(Islam et al., 2020a); (Ruane et al., 2020);(Wamsler and Johannessen, 2020);(Williams et al., 2020b); (Yumagulova et al., 2021)		2019);(Harvey et al., 2019);(Mahon et al., 2019);(Vaughan et al., 2019);(Perrels, 2020);(Bruno Soares and Buontempo, 2019);(Daniels et al., 2020); (Larosa and Mysiak, 2020);(Tesfaye et al., 2020)	
	Transparency and accountability potential		(Islam et al., 2020c);(Nyandiko, 2020);(Geekiyana et al., 2021); (Williams et al., 2020b);(Peng et al., 2020);(Ruane et al., 2020; Ali et al., 2021);(Glantz and Pierce, 2021);(Sharma and Sharma, 2021)		(Vincent et al., 2018);(Tall et al., 2018);(Bruno Soares and Buontempo, 2019);(Harvey et al., 2019);(Mahon et al., 2019);(Perrels, 2020);	No new evidence since SR1.5
Socio-cultural	Social co-benefits (health, education)		(Cuaton and Su, 2020); (Ali et al., 2021);(Smucker et al., 2020);(Ruszczuk et al., 2020)		(Hunt et al., 2017)	(Fisher et al., 2019); (Alam et al., 2020)
	Socio-cultural acceptability		(Hosen et al., 2020);(Ngin et al., 2020);(Peng et al., 2020);(Webb, 2020); (Ali et al., 2021); (Glantz and Pierce, 2021);(Rasmussen et al., 2021)		(Alexander and Dessai, 2019);(Amegnalo et al., 2017);(Buontempo et al., 2019);(Dorward et al., 2021);(Williams et al., 2020a);(Martín ez-Barón et al., 2021);	(Ali et al., 2020); (Jørgensen et al., 2020)

	Social and regional inclusiveness		(Geekiyange et al., 2021); (Cuaton and Su, 2020);(Gartrell et al., 2020); (Villeneuve, 2021); (Ali et al., 2021); (Ngin et al., 2020);(Islam et al., 2020a); (Bronen et al., 2020); (Hosen et al., 2020);(Singh et al., 2020); (Bordner et al., 2020); (Sharma and Sharma, 2021);(Crawford et al 2021, (Ruszczyc et al., 2020);(Anderson and Renaud, 2021);(Kenney and Phibbs, 2020);(Son et al., 2021);(Yumagulova et al., 2021)		(Daly and Dessai, 2018);(Tall et al., 2018);(Alexander and Dessai, 2019);(Amegnalo et al., 2017);(Vaughan et al., 2019);(Dorward et al., 2021);(Williams et al., 2020a);(Martinez-Barón et al., 2021);		(Tesselaar et al., 2020a; Tesselaar et al., 2020c)
	Gender equity		(Hemachandra et al 2020; (Khalil et al., 2020); (Ali et al., 2021); (Seleka et al., 2017); (Ruszczyc et al., 2020);(Gartrell et al., 2020);(Kanfar et al., 2020)		(Venkataraman et al., 2016);(Gumucio et al., 2020)		(Bageant and Barrett, 2017);(Fisher et al., 2019); (Born et al., 2019);(Hillier, 2018); (Taylor and Kumar, 2016);(Cannon et al., 2020)
	Intergenerational equity		(Cuaton and Su, 2020);(Ali et al., 2021); (Pokhrel et al., 2021);(Mburu)	N E			(Linnerooth-Bayer and Hochrainer-Stigler, 2015)
Environmental/ecological	Ecological capacity		No new evidence since SR1.5	N A		N A	
	Adaptive capacity/resilience		(Kim and Marcouiller, 2020);(Hasan et al., 2019);(Uddin et al., 2020);(Webb, 2020);(Ji and Lee, 2021)		(Brown et al., 2018); (Bruno Soares and Buontempo, 2019);(Hobday et al., 2018);(Köberl et al., 2021);(Martinez-Barón et al., 2021);(Dorward et al., 2021);(Findlater et al., 2021)		(Müller et al., 2017); (Schäfer et al., 2019)
Geophysical	Physical feasibility				(Williams et al., 2020a)	N A	

	Land use change enhancement potential	N A		N A		No new evidence since SR1.5
	Hazard risk reduction potential		(Anderson and Renaud, 2021); (Sauka, 2020); (Williams et al., 2021); (Obi et al., 2021);(Pokhrel et al., 2021)		(Bruno Soares and Buontempo, 2019);(Hobday et al., 2018)	(Schäfer et al., 2019)

1
2
3
4

Table SMCCB FEASIB.7: Feasibility assessment of overarching adaptation options: population health and health system, social safety nets, planned relocation and resettlement, and human migration and displacement.

		Population health and health system	Social safety nets	Planned relocation and resettlement	Human migration and displacement
Evidence		Medium	Medium	Low	Medium
Agreement		High	Medium	Medium	Medium
Economic	Microeconomic viability	(Fox et al., 2019);(Paudel and Pant, 2020);(Hayes et al., 2020);(Khurana et al., 2021);	(Castells-Quintana et al., 2018);(Hansen et al., 2019);(Aleksandrova, 2020);(Centre, 2019);(Mueller et al., 2020)	{Liu, 2020 #17};(Zickgraf, 2019) (Bronen and Chapin, 2013);(Albert et al., 2018)	(Mallick et al., 2017);(Suckall et al., 2017);(Obokata and Veronis, 2018);(McLeman, 2018);(Nawrotzki and DeWaard, 2018);(Thober et al., 2018);(Adams and Kay, 2019b);(Cattaneo et al., 2019);(Jacobson et al., 2019);(Semenza and Ebi, 2019);(Natarajan et al., 2019);(Maharjan et al., 2020a);(Sedova and Kalkuhl, 2020);(Hoffmann et al., 2020) (Bragg et al., 2018);(Porst et al., 2020)

	Macroeconomic viability	(Gilfillan, 2019);(Fox et al., 2019);(Khurana et al., 2021);(Morgan and Fanzo, 2020);(Negev et al., 2021);(Rameshshanker et al., 2021; Watts et al., 2021);(Organization, 2018)	(Castells-Quintana et al., 2018);(Aleksandrova, 2020)	(Bronen and Chapin, 2013);(Mortreux et al., 2018);(Miller, 2020);(Albert et al., 2018);(Boston et al., 2021);(Siders, 2019)	(Adger et al., 2020c)
	Socio-economic vulnerability reduction potential	(Rohat et al., 2021);(Johnson, 2020);(Schwerdtle et al., 2020);(Kingsley et al., 2021);(Morgan and Fanzo, 2020);(Negev et al., 2021)	(Castells-Quintana et al., 2018);(Singh et al., 2018);(Centre, 2019);(Hansen et al., 2019);(Ulrichs et al., 2019);(Aleksandrova, 2020);(Fischer, 2020);(Mueller et al., 2020)	(Wilmsen and Webber, 2015);(Mortreux et al., 2018);(Dannenberg et al., 2019);(Piggett-McKellar et al., 2019a);(Piggett-McKellar et al., 2019b);(Henrique and Tschakert);(Tabe, 2019);(Zickgraf, 2019);(Ajibade et al., 2020);(Liu et al., 2017);(Maharjan et al., 2020a);(See and Wilmsen, 2020);(Thomas and Benjamin, 2020);(Desai et al., 2021);(Johnson et al.);(Maldonado et al., 2021);(Mach et al., 2019b)	(Wrathall, 2012);(Mallik et al., 2017);(Suckall et al., 2017);(Bragg et al., 2018);(Jesse et al., 2018);(Obokata and Veronis, 2018);(Banerjee et al., 2019);(Semenza and Ebi, 2019);(Cattaneo et al., 2019);(Thober et al., 2018);(Hoffmann et al., 2020);(Singh et al., 2020b);(Sedova and Kalkuhl, 2020);(McLeman, 2018);(Nawrotzki and DeWaard, 2018);(Adams and Kay, 2019b);(Jacobson et al., 2019);(Natarajan et al., 2019);(Adger et al., 2020c);(Maharjan et al., 2020a);(Porst et al., 2020);
	Employment and	(Christopher Boyer,	(Castells-Quintana et	N A	(Kaczan and Orgill-

	productivity enhancement potential		2020);(Kaufman et al., 2020);(Lee et al., 2020);(Méndez et al., 2020);(Khurana et al., 2021);		al., 2018);(Hansen et al., 2019);(Fischer, 2020);(Mueller et al., 2020)		Meyer, 2020);(Zickgraf, 2019);(Maharjan et al., 2020a)		er, 2017);(Bragg et al., 2018);(Jesse et al., 2018);(Obokata and Veronis, 2018);(Jacobson et al., 2019);(Semenza and Ebi, 2019);(Maharjan et al., 2020b);(Margaret and Matias, 2020);(Porst et al., 2020);(Sedova and Kalkuhl, 2020);(Singh et al., 2020b);
Technological	Technical resource availability		(Berry et al., 2018);(Ebi et al., 2018);(Hanefeld et al., 2018b);(Runkle et al., 2018);(Austin et al., 2019);(Brooke-Sumner et al., 2019);(Chersich and Wright, 2019);(Fox et al., 2019);(Liao et al., 2019);(Negev et al., 2019);(Albright et al., 2020);(Christopher Boyer, 2020);(Hayes et al., 2020);(Hussey and Arku, 2020);(Johnson, 2020);(Lowe et al., 2020);(Negev et al., 2020);(Reed et al., 2020);(Schramm et al.,		(Hansen et al., 2019)		(Graham, 2020);(McNamara and DesCombes, 2015);(Bronen and Chapin, 2013); See and Wilmsen 2020;(Alverio et al., 2021);(Albert et al., 2018)		(Warner, 2018)

		<p>2020);(Jagals and Ebi, 2021);(Negev et al., 2021);(Negev et al., 2021);(Opoku et al., 2021);(Pascal et al., 2021)</p>				
	<p>Risks mitigation potential</p>	<p>(Lock-Wah-Hoon et al., 2020);(Kouis et al., 2021);(Ligsay et al., 2021)</p>		<p>(Ulrichs et al., 2019);(Centre, 2019);(Mueller et al., 2020);(Fischer, 2020);(Mueller et al., 2020)</p>	<p>(Miller, 2020);(Margaret and Matias, 2020);(See and Wilmsen, 2020) (Johnson et al.);(Maldonado et al., 2021);(Mach et al., 2019a);(Piggott-McKellar et al., 2019b); Thomas and Benjamin, 2020)</p>	<p>(Wrathall, 2012);(Singh, 2019);(Sedova and Kalkuhl, 2020);(Miller, 2020);(Margaret and Matias, 2020);(Adger et al., 2020c);(Hoffmann et al., 2020);(Islam and Shamsuddoha, 2017);(Kaczan and Orgill-Meyer, 2020)</p>
<p>Institutional</p>	<p>Political acceptability</p>	<p>(Fox et al., 2019);(Lemery et al., 2020);(Lock-Wah-Hoon et al., 2020);(Negev et al., 2021);</p>		<p>(Aleksandrova, 2020);(Bowen et al., 2020)</p>	<p>(Zickgraf, 2019); (Kothari, 2014);(Mortreux et al., 2018);(Hughes, 2020); (de Salles Cavendon-Capdeville et al., 2020);(Stanley and Williamson, 2021);(See and Wilmsen, 2020);(Bronen and Chapin, 2013); (Mach and Siders, 2021)</p>	<p>(Hauer et al., 2020);(McNamara, 2015);(Zickgraf, 2019); (Honarmand Ebrahimi and Ossewaarde, 2019);(Hughes, 2020);(de Salles Cavendon-Capdeville et al., 2020)</p> <p>(Telford, 2018);(McLeman, 2019); (Wiegel et al., 2019)</p> <p>(Warner, 2018);(Käli</p>

							n, 2018);(Deshpande et al., 2019)
	Legal and regulatory acceptability	(Austin et al., 2019);(Gilfillan, 2019);(Lee et al., 2020);(Lock-Wah-Hoon et al., 2020);(Kim et al., 2021);(Tonmoy et al., 2020);(Halabi, 2020);(Ristorph, 2021)	(Aleksandrova, 2020);(Fischer, 2020);	(Kothari, 2014);(Tebboth et al., 2019);(Mortreux et al., 2018);(Ajibade et al., 2020);(de Salles Cavendon-Capdeville et al., 2020);(Margaret and Matias, 2020);(Alverio et al., 2021);(Stanley and Williamson, 2021);(See and Wilmsen, 2020);(Alverio et al 2021) (Bronen and Chapin, 2013);(Göransson et al., 2021)	(Wrathall et al., 2019);(Weber, 2017);(Kälin, 2018);(Koubi et al., 2018);(Link e et al., 2018);(Warner, 2018);(Deshpande et al., 2019);(Honnemann Ebrahimi and Ossewaarde, 2019);(Adger et al., 2020b);(de Salles Cavendon-Capdeville et al., 2020);(Margaret and Matias, 2020);(Hauser et al., 2020);(Hughes, 2020);(Sedova and Kalkuhl, 2020);(Adger et al., 2021)		
	Institutional capacity and administrative feasibility	(Gould and Rudolph, 2015);(Fox et al., 2019);(Lee et al., 2020);(Li et al., 2021);(Opoku et al., 2021);(Pascal et al., 2021), Reed, 2020 #13856};(Marcus and Hanna, 2020);(Schramm et al., 2020);(Castleden et	(Singh et al., 2018);(Aleksandrova, 2020);(Bordon Rosa and Lykke Strøbech, 2020);(Bowen et al., 2020)	(Mortreux et al., 2018);(Ajibade et al., 2020);(de Salles Cavendon-Capdeville et al., 2020);(Alverio et al., 2021);(Mach and Siders, 2021);(Siders, 2019);(Watts et al.,	(Kälin, 2018);(Koubi et al., 2018);(Link e et al., 2018);(Warner, 2018);(Sedova and Kalkuhl, 2020);(Deshpande et al., 2019);(Honnemann Ebrahimi and Ossewaarde, 2019);(Kel		

		al., 2020);(Christopher Boyer, 2020); (Kaufman et al., 2020);(Sheehan and Fox, 2020);(Kim et al., 2021);(Whitney et al 2021); (Kingsley et al., 2021);(Maria et al., 2020);(Zhang et al., 2020); (Iqbal, 2021);(Brooke-Sumner et al., 2019);(Berry et al., 2018); (Albright et al., 2020); (Negev et al., 2020);(Austin et al., 2019); (Gilfillan, 2019); (Haines and Ebi, 2019); (Hussey and Arku, 2020); (Lowe et al., 2020);(Negev et al., 2019); (Sheehan and Fox, 2020);(Sheehan et al., 2021);(Pelling et al., 2021)			2021);(Gussmann and Hinkel, 2020);(Albert et al., 2018) (See and Wilmsen, 2020);(Bronen and Chapin, 2013);(Boston et al., 2021);(Lawrence et al., 2020)		man et al., 2019);(Oakes, 2019b);(Piguet, 2019);(Wrat hall et al., 2019);(Adger et al., 2020b);(Aji bade et al., 2020);(de Salles Cavedon-Capdeville et al., 2020);(Hauer et al., 2020);(Mah arjan et al., 2020a);(Hughes, 2020); (Desai et al., 2021);(Bettini and Gioli, 2016)	
	Transparency and accountability potential	(Gostin and Friedman, 2017);(Huynh and Stringer, 2018);(Parry et al., 2019)		(Hansen et al., 2019)	LE	(Doelle and Seck, 2020);(See and Wilmsen, 2020);(Siders and Ajibade, 2021)	LE	(Hauer et al., 2020);(Doelle and Seck, 2020);
Socio-cultural	Social co-benefits (health, education)	(Gould and Rudolph, 2015);(Rudolph et al., 2020);(Kligler et al., 2021);(Keim et al., 2021);(Kim et al., 2021);(Lowe		(Castells-Quintana et al., 2018);(Ulrichs et al., 2019);(Fischer, 2020);(Mueller et al., 2020)		(Hanefeld et al., 2018a);(Aktürk and Lerski, 2021); (Lauer et al., 2021b);(Yates et al., 2021);(Piggett-McKellar		(Islam and Shamsuddoha, 2017);(Hanefeld et al., 2018a);(Schwerdtle et al., 2018);(Jacobson et al., 2019);(Pigu

		<p>et al., 2020);(Pelling et al., 2021)</p>			<p>et al., 2019a);(Henrique and Tschakert);(Ajibade et al., 2020);(Wilmsen and Webber, 2015);(Dannenberget al., 2019);{Ajibade, 2020; {Desai, 2021 #5248}};(Taber, 2019);(Bronen and Chapin, 2013);(See and Wilmsen, 2020) (Dundon and Abkowitz, 2021)</p>	<p>et, 2019);(Kaczan and Orgill-Meyer, 2020);(Hoffmann et al., 2020);(Oakes, 2019a);(Schwerdtle et al., 2020);(Yates et al., 2021);(Desai et al., 2021);</p>
	<p>Socio-cultural acceptability</p>	<p>(Gould and Rudolph, 2015);(Fox et al., 2019);(Gilfillan, 2019);(Hayes et al., 2020);(Keim et al., 2021);(Kingsley et al., 2021);(Méndez et al., 2020);(Sheehan and Fox, 2020);(Wong-Parodi, 2020);(Arnold et al., 2021);(Pascal et al., 2021)</p>	<p>LE</p>		<p>(Zickgraf, 2019);(Farbotko, 2018);(Suliman et al., 2019);(Ayebe-Karlsson et al., 2018);(Piggett-McKellar et al., 2019a);(Dandy et al., 2019);(Geekyanage et al., 2021);(Stanley and Williamson, 2021);(Van Praag, 2021);(See and Wilmsen, 2020)</p>	<p>(Koubi et al., 2018);(Linke et al., 2018);(Telford, 2018);(Hauser et al., 2020);(Zickgraf, 2019);(Farbotko, 2018);(Dandy et al., 2019);(Deshpande et al., 2019);(Suliman et al., 2019);(Ayebe-Karlsson et al., 2018);(Adams and Kay, 2019b);(Thober et al., 2018);(Kelman et al., 2019);(Van Praag, 2021);(McLeman, 2019);(Wiegel et al., 2019) Adger et al 2021);(Mari</p>

							no and Lazrus, 2015)	
	Social and regional inclusiveness		(Chersich and Wright, 2019);(Fox et al., 2019);(Negev et al., 2019);(Lewis et al., 2020); (Asaaga et al., 2021); (Hayes et al., 2020); (Johnson, 2020);Schwerdtle, 2020 #1949};(Rohat et al., 2021); (Keim et al., 2021), (Paudel and Pant, 2020); (Whitney et al., 2020);(Kingsley et al., 2021); (Méndez et al., 2020); (Murray and Poland, 2020)	LE	(Coirolo et al., 2013);(Singh et al., 2018);(Aleksandrova, 2020);(Bordon Rosa and Lykke Strøbech, 2020)	(Zickgraf, 2019);(Doelle and Seck, 2020);(Kupferberg, 2021);(Ajibade, 2019);(Piggett-McKellar et al., 2019a);(Henrique and Tschakert);(Ajibade et al., 2020);(Wilmsen and Webber, 2015; Dannenberg et al., 2019);(Henrique and Tschakert, 2020); (Desai et al., 2021);(Tabe, 2019);(See and Wilmsen, 2020)	(Mallick et al., 2017);(Suckall et al., 2017);(Jesse et al., 2018);(Kälin, 2018);(Koubi et al., 2018);(Link et al., 2018);(Warner, 2018);(Adams and Kay, 2019b);(Cattaneo et al., 2019);(Deshpande et al., 2019);(Jacobson et al., 2019);(Natarajan et al., 2019);(Zickgraf, 2019);(Hauer et al., 2020);(Hoffmann et al., 2020);(Doelle and Seck, 2020);(Adger et al., 2021); (Nawrotzki and DeWaard, 2018)	
	Gender equity	LE	(Lee et al., 2020);(Eriksen et al., 2021)		(Bee et al., 2013);(Coirolo et al., 2013);(Mesquita and Bursztyn, 2017);(Mersha and van Laerhoven, 2018);(Centre, 2019);(Bowen et al.,	LE	(Bronen and Chapin, 2013);(See and Wilmsen, 2020);(Alverio et al., 2021)	(Mitra, 2018);(Thober et al., 2018);(Banerjee et al., 2019);(Gioli and Milan, 2019);(Jacobson et al., 2019);(Oakes, 2019b);(Piguet,

					2020);(Su et al., 2020);			2019);(Singh, 2019);(Lama et al., 2020);(Sedova and Kalkuhl, 2020);(Singh et al., 2020b);(Desai et al., 2021)
	Intergenerational equity	LE	(Ziba, 2018);(Slobodian, 2020);(Fiack et al., 2021);	LE	(Coirolo et al., 2013);(Bowen et al., 2020);(Tenzing, 2020);		(Schwartz et al., 2021);(Piggett-McKellar et al., 2019a);(Boston et al., 2021)	(Wrathall, 2012);(Schwartz et al., 2021);
Environmental/ecological	Ecological capacity	NA		NA			(Yeboah et al., 2020);(See and Wilmsen, 2020)	(Singh et al., 2020c);(Singh et al., 2020a);(Wrathall, 2012)
	Adaptive capacity/resilience		(Christopher Boyer, 2020);(Chersich and Wright, 2019);(Haines and Ebi, 2019);(Nuzzo et al., 2019);(Rudolph et al., 2020);(Hanefeld et al., 2018b);(Linares et al., 2020)		(Castells-Quintana et al., 2018);(Hansen et al., 2019);(Centre, 2019);(Ulrichs et al., 2019);(Fischer, 2020);(Mueller et al., 2020);(Tenzing, 2020);		(Tebboth et al., 2019);(Watts et al., 2021);(Liu et al., 2020);(See and Wilmsen, 2020);	(Wrathall, 2012);(Islam and Shamsuddoha, 2017);(Nawrotzki and Bakhtsiyara va, 2017);(Kälin, 2018);(Warner, 2018);(Adams and Kay, 2019b);(Banerjee et al., 2019);(Sedova and Kalkuhl, 2020);(Singh, 2019);(Hoffmann et al., 2020);(Jacobson et al., 2019);(Kaczan and Orgill-Meyer, 2020);(Maharjan et al., 2020a);(Singh et

								al., 2020b);(McLeman, 2018);(Adams and Kay, 2019a); (Semenza and Ebi, 2019);(Bettini and Gioli, 2016)
Geophysical	Physical feasibility	N A		N A		(Dandy et al., 2019);(McNamara, 2015; Mortreux et al., 2018);(Albert et al., 2018);(Lauer et al., 2021a)		(Adams and Kay, 2019b); (Adger et al., 2020a);(Cattaneo et al., 2019)
	Land use change enhancement potential	N A		N A		N A		N A
	Hazard risk reduction potential	N A			(Ulrichs et al., 2019);(Tenzing, 2020);(Fischer, 2020);(Mueller et al., 2020)		(Greiving et al., 2018);(Hughes, 2020);(Jain and Bazaz, 2020)	(Islam and Shamsuddoha, 2017);(Adams and Kay, 2019b);(Jacobson et al., 2019);(Semenza and Ebi, 2019);(Hoffmann et al., 2020);(Hughes, 2020);(Kaczan and Orgill-Meyer, 2020);(Singh et al., 2020b);(Singh and Basu, 2020);(McLeman, 2018)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8

SMCCB FEASIB.2 *Adaptation and Mitigation Synergies and Trade-offs as Discussed in Section CCB FEASIB.4*

SMCCB FEASIB.2.1 *Adaptation Options with Mitigation Synergies and Trade-offs*

1 **Table SMCCB FEASIB.8:** Synergies and trade-offs of adaptation options with mitigation. The strength (strong,
2 medium, weak) of the synergy or trade-off is indicated in square brackets at the beginning of each statement, together
3 with its confidence level.

Systems transitions	Adaptation option	Implications for mitigation	
		Synergies	Trade-offs
Energy system transitions	Resilient power infrastructures	<p>(strong, high confidence) Strong synergies with mitigation goals as resilient infrastructure allows power generation systems to continue operations without disruptions (or minimal disruptions). This is especially important for renewable energy systems (Kennedy et al., 2013; O'Neill-Carrillo and Rivera-Quiñones, 2018).</p> <p>(strong, high confidence) In rural landscapes, resilient power infrastructure ensures electricity availability during emergencies and protects the communities from any malfunction of the infrastructure itself. (Ley, 2017; Bertheau and Blechinger, 2018; Mazur et al., 2019)</p>	
	Reliable power systems	<p>(strong, high confidence) Strong synergies with mitigation goals as reliable systems decrease the risk of disruptions and avoid the use of fossil fuels, in the cases where the main energy system is renewable energy, either centralised or decentralised (Ley, 2017; Sun et al., 2018; Lai et al., 2019; Mishra et al., 2020)</p>	
	Improve water use efficiency	<p>(medium synergy, high confidence) Improved water use efficiency increases generation efficiency in certain natural gas combined cycle plants (Pan et al., 2018), while at the same time improving freshwater use and ensuring that water sources' ecological flows are not disturbed (Rasul and Sharma, 2016; Sánchez and Izzo, 2017; Stańczuk-Gałwiazek et al., 2018). The improved water use efficiency, for example, in community micro-hydroelectric plants, allows for integrated water management across the watersheds that ensures water for irrigation, human consumption and other productive uses (Ley, 2017).</p>	

Land and Ecosystem transitions	Sustainable aquaculture	<p>(strong, high confidence) Sustainable aquaculture can enhance carbon sequestration (Ahmed et al., 2018); (Otuoze et al., 2018; Turolla et al., 2020; Mustafa et al., 2021), and ecosystem restoration (Stentiford et al., 2020).</p> <p>(strong, high confidence) Reducing impacts of sustainable aquaculture can have important co-benefits such as maintaining large quantities of organic carbon (Ahmed et al., 2018, ‘blue carbon’; see section 3.4.4.12 of IPCC SR1.5) carbon (‘blue carbon’; see section 3.4.4.12 of IPCC SR1.5) from exposure to the atmosphere.</p>	
	Integrated Coastal Zone Management	<p>(strong, high confidence) Implementation of nature-based solutions for coastal management can enhance and stabilize carbon sequestration capacity of the ecosystems (Propato et al., 2018; Morecroft et al., 2019; Morris et al., 2019; Donatti et al., 2020; Erftemeijer et al., 2020; Gómez Martín et al., 2020; Hanley et al., 2020; Jones et al., 2020; Krauss and Osland, 2020).</p>	
	Coastal defence & hardening		<p>(medium, high confidence) Hard-engineering infrastructures can affect ecosystem function and services (Antunes do Carmo, 2018; Hamin et al., 2018)</p> <p>(weak, low confidence) Building and protecting hard-engineering infrastructures may affect the demand for basic materials (e.g., steel and cement), which are carbon-intensive (Hamin et al., 2018). We have not found any estimates of the potential demand (WGIII CH,11.4.4)</p>
	Sustainable forest management and conservation, reforestation and afforestation	<p>(strong, high confidence) Forest-based adaptation strategies have positive impacts on mitigation, carbon sequestration, biodiversity and provision of wood for buildings and bioenergy (Nabuurs et al., 2017; Shrestha and Dhakal, 2019; Ontl et al., 2020).</p> <p>(strong, high confidence) Avoided deforestation, prevented forest</p>	<p>(strong, medium confidence) Over reliance on forest-based adaptation strategies may lead to an increased susceptibility to other climate-related hazards, such as wildfires, which emit large amounts of carbon and other GHGs into the atmosphere (Nunes et al., 2020).</p> <p>(weak, medium confidence) Forest restoration initiatives that promote fast-</p>

	<p>degradation and pro-forestation strategies reduce emissions of carbon into the atmosphere, while forest restoration, afforestation options and locally adapted climate smart forestry (including provision of timber for building), remove carbon from the atmosphere (Nabuurs et al., 2017; Favero et al., 2020; Ontl et al., 2020; Ota et al., 2020)</p> <p>(strong, high confidence) These forest-based adaptation strategies have important climate change mitigation effects in all biomes (Chausson et al., 2020; Seddon et al., 2020a; Seddon et al., 2020b)</p>	<p>growing plantations of pulp and timber species such as <i>Pinus</i> and <i>Eucalyptus</i>, which are extremely flammable, exacerbate wildfire risk and ecosystem carbon loss, leading to increased GHG emissions (Fleischman et al., 2020). A proper management and choice of a variety of tree species can counteract this risk.</p>
Biodiversity management and ecosystem connectivity	<p>(strong, high confidence) Adaptation options incorporating a biodiversity management-based approach, can positively impact forests' resilience and their long-term capacity as carbon sinks (Seddon et al., 2019; Chausson et al., 2020; Seddon et al., 2020a; Seddon et al., 2020b)</p>	<p>(strong, medium confidence) Without adequate and locally adapted measures, including a biodiversity management-based approach, vegetation-based adaptation alternatives might result in mal-mitigation (Yousefpour et al., 2017)</p>
Improved cropland management	<p>(medium, medium confidence) Improved cropland management practices and technologies (e.g. tillage methods, water application, nutrient management) reduce GHG emissions significantly but depend on technology type and the stage of its adoption, e.g., direct rice seeding can reduce methane emissions while laser land levelling can reduce energy used for irrigation (Aryal et al., 2020, in South Asia).</p> <p>(strong, medium confidence) Combinations of improved cropland practices like reduced or no-tillage, nutrient management, and residue recycling have a higher rate of soil organic carbon sequestration of 427.9 kg/ha/yr under rice-rice system (Yadav et al., 2019, in North East India) while optimised nutrient management through organic farmyard manure and other micronutrients increases soil organic carbon in maize-mustard cropping systems by up to 9.7% (Sarkar et al., 2018, in North East India).</p>	<p>(weak, medium confidence) Improved cropland management practices aimed at increasing carbon sequestration in agriculture soils could lead to increased greenhouse gas emissions if the nitrogen inputs are not managed effectively. By 2060, around half of sites in Europe with carbon-mitigating agricultural practices could turn into a net source of greenhouse gases (Lugato et al., 2018).</p> <p>(weak, low confidence) The increase in soil organic carbon through climate-smart agriculture practices could be offset by increased nitrous oxide emissions within corn belt states in the US (McNunn et al., 2020).</p>

		<p>(strong, medium confidence) Improved soil management practices increase soil organic carbon stocks, e.g. in the North China Plain, such practices have increased SOC by 56-73% compared to initial stocks in the 1980s. Implementation of such practices in just 27% of China's cropland increased annual carbon sequestration amount in surface soils to 10.9 Tg C/year, contributing an estimated 43% of total carbon sequestration in China's croplands (Han et al., 2018).</p> <p>(medium, medium confidence) Emerging cropland management practices like minimal tillage, stubble retaining and nutrient management increase soil organic carbon stocks but the extent varies with site-specific conditions (Singh et al., 2018, global review).</p> <p>(strong, medium confidence) Integrated soil-crop system management can reduce GHG emissions by 19% and carbon footprint by 30% compared to traditional practices (Wang et al., 2020, Yangtze river basin, China).</p> <p>(strong, high confidence) Integrated soil-fertility management and conservation agriculture contribute to climate change mitigation by reducing SOC losses (Sommer et al., 2018, in Western Kenya; Shah and Wu, 2019, global review).</p> <p>(strong, medium confidence) Conservation agriculture has an estimated annual carbon sequestration benefit of 143 Tg C per year (Gonzalez-Sanchez et al., 2019, in Africa).</p>	
	Efficient livestock systems	<p>(strong, medium confidence) Improving efficiency of livestock systems through practices such as integrated crop-livestock systems, shifting species and/or breeds, livestock corralling, changed grazeland management practices, can have</p>	<p>(medium, medium confidence) Over intensified grasslands can cause excessive greenhouse gas emissions and ammonia production, negating the positive effect from grassland carbon sequestration (Accatino et al., 2019) but this depends on grassland</p>

		<p>significant mitigation co-benefits (Rivera-Ferre et al., 2016).</p> <p>(strong, medium confidence) Improving animal feeding and genetics can be effective mitigation measures (Rojas-Downing et al., 2017)</p> <p>(strong, medium confidence) Producing and feeding improved forage (most suited to intensive and semi-intensive dairy farms, and mixed systems) can reduce emission intensities by 8-24% in Kenya, and up to 27% on mixed systems in Ethiopia (Ericksen and Crane, 2018).</p>	<p>management practices and local ecosystem characteristics (Rojas-Downing et al., 2017)</p>
	Agroforestry	<p>(strong, high confidence) Agroforestry is generally found to have positive impacts on mitigation by improving carbon sequestration (Tschora and Cherubini, 2020).</p>	<p>(weak, medium confidence) Thinning of natural forest canopy to establish agricultural crops such as cocoa or coffee seedlings retains more trees than in a monoculture plantation, but carbon stocks are diminished (Tschora and Cherubini, 2020). In addition, over reliance on vegetation-based adaptation strategies may lead to an increased susceptibility to wildfires, which release large amounts of carbon into the atmosphere (Nunes et al., 2020).</p>
	Water use efficiency and water resource management	<p>(medium, medium confidence) Water-saving irrigation practices such as alternate wetting and drying and soil water potential (SWP) have mitigation co-benefits through CH₄ and NO₂ emissions reductions. SWP also significantly reduced seasonal methane emissions by ~30% when combined with better fertiliser application (Islam et al., 2020).</p> <p>(medium, medium confidence) Integrated watershed management sequesters carbon by enhancing soil carbon storage through better yields and residue returns (Sikka et al., 2018).</p> <p>(strong, medium confidence) Drip irrigation can reduce cumulative CH₄ flux by 194% in a year when compared to conventional flooding in rice cultivation (Fawibe et al. 2019, in Japan); increase 22% CH₄ uptake and reduce N₂O emissions by 14.6% (Wang et al. 2016, in rice in China); while micro-irrigation save energy use</p>	<p>(weak, medium confidence) Some water use efficiency practices can increase water use and hence energy demand (Song et al., 2018, in China; Berbel et al., 2018)</p>

		by 58% compared to conventional gravity irrigation (Kumar and Perry, 2019).	
	Livelihood diversification	<p>(strong, high confidence) Sustainable livelihood diversification (promoted by local as well as global frameworks such as REDD+) that are equitable and pro-poor yield substantial co-benefits spanning adaptation, mitigation and sustainable development (e.g Coffee-Agroforestry systems in West Africa (Tschora and Cherubini, 2020, in India; Guillemot et al., 2018, mixed outcomes of forest carbon projects in India; Aggarwal and Brockington, 2020).</p> <p>(medium, high confidence) Sustained evaluation and orientation to reform are however needed to ensure equal distribution of carbon revenues in land-based sustainable livelihood diversification but also meet local livelihood needs and ensure pro-poor benefit sharing (Atela et al., 2015; Asfaw et al., 2019; Shrestha and Dhakal, 2019).</p>	<p>(medium, medium confidence) However, not all livelihood diversification options are pro-climate, particularly precarious mass risk hedging strategies across the rural-urban continuum in informal economies of southern geographies (Satterthwaite et al., 2018).</p> <p>(weak, medium confidence) The extent of trade-offs with mitigation targets is understudied, however qualitatively the consensus is building around potential trade-offs between climate transitions, acute poverty and informal economy (Heine et al., 2019; Dorband et al., 2019)</p>
Urban system transitions	Sustainable land-use & urban planning	<p>(strong, high confidence) Land-use and urban planning can be a tool for resilient cities, but also can lead to reduced emissions through incentivizing high density housing or investing in public transportation to replace private automobiles (Hughes, 2020)</p> <p>(strong, medium confidence) Climate-resilient urban buildings can also be built with low-carbon materials (Hughes, 2020)</p>	(weak, medium confidence) High density cities can lead to fewer carbon emissions, but risks concentrating people and infrastructure in exposed locations (Hinkel et al., 2018)
	Green infrastructure and ecosystem services	<p>(medium, high confidence) Urban forestry and agriculture has mitigation benefits through increased carbon uptake. E.g. in Lugo, Spain, urban forestry and farming collectively sequester 0.26 t C ha⁻¹ per year (De la Sota et al., 2019)</p> <p>(strong, medium confidence) Urban agriculture can reduce energy-intensive food transportation, improve soil carbon sequestration capacity (if sustainable agricultural practices are used), and enable transitions towards</p>	<p>(strong, medium confidence) The lack of consideration of the heat-water-vegetation nexus can increase heat and water stress (Afshari, 2017; Upreti et al., 2017; Zardo et al., 2017; Chen et al., 2019; Peng et al., 2020; Rahman et al., 2020)</p> <p>(weak, medium confidence) Mitigation policies towards urban greening can sometimes incentivize urban greening with low biodiversity value (e.g. afforestation with non-native</p>

		<p>low-carbon, plant-based diets (Artmann and Sartison, 2018; Grafakos et al., 2019).</p> <p><i>(weak, medium confidence)</i> Green infrastructure options such as xeriscaping and water-sensitive urban design (permeable surfaces, bioswales, etc.) can sequester carbon and have cooling effects that indirectly lead to reduced energy consumption (Sharifi, 2021)</p>	<p>monocultures) leading to maladaptive outcomes (Seddon et al., 2020a).</p>
	<p>Sustainable water management, urban and infrastructure system transitions</p>	<p><i>(weak, medium confidence)</i> Reduction of energy and environmental implications of water supply methods (Ding and Ghosh, 2017; Liu and Jensen, 2018; Pérez-Uresti et al., 2019)</p> <p><i>(strong, medium confidence)</i> Strong co-benefits of demand-side management measures, for example, reduced leakages and water loss (Arfanuzzaman and Atiq Rahman, 2017; Chen et al., 2017; Stavenhagen et al., 2018)</p>	<p><i>(strong, medium confidence)</i> Desalination has high energy demand with carbon emissions attached (Darre and Toor, 2018)</p>
<p>Overarching adaptation options</p>	<p>Disaster Risk Management</p>	<p><i>(strong, medium confidence)</i> Incorporating environmental considerations into recovery decision-making (Amin Hosseini et al., 2016), implementing disaster risk management plans and increasing ex-ante resilience to disasters are important to reduce the extent of rebuilding following disasters, and the emissions associated with recovery.</p> <p><i>(weak, medium confidence)</i> Post-disaster recovery can help rebuild in a more resilient way with less GHG emissions, or to “build back better”, particularly where immediate impact is substantial but not overwhelming (Guarnacci, 2012; Mochizuki and Chang, 2017).</p> <p><i>(weak, medium confidence)</i> Effective disaster risk management may reduce the need for international transport of materials and other forms of aid, which can be emissions-intensive (Abrahams, 2014).</p>	<p><i>(weak, medium confidence)</i> The urgency of recovery and the surge in demand for construction materials have been observed to promote unsustainable behaviours, including deforestation (Nazara and Resosudarmo, 2007; Ongpeng et al., 2019) or uncontrolled extraction of sand and gravel (Abrahams, 2014).</p> <p><i>(strong, high confidence)</i> ‘Building back better’ requires capacity, time, and mechanisms for balancing competing desires and perspectives that are not necessarily available after severe disasters, and may be challenged by both local and external influences in the rebuilding process (Abrahams, 2014; O’Hare et al., 2016; Paidakaki and Moulaert, 2017).</p>

	Risk spreading and sharing	<p>(weak, medium confidence) Some insurance schemes adopted in the forestry and agricultural sector can lead to greater amount of biomass stored in soils and hence to an increased volume of carbon sequestered (Müller et al., 2017; Jørgensen et al., 2020; Loisel et al., 2020).</p>	<p>(strong, medium confidence) Insurance policies sustain the reconstruction and repair of damaged property and/or infrastructure and the return to the ‘status quo’, which may increase GHG emissions from the production of concrete and other needed materials of industrial origin (Cannon et al., 2020; Collier and Cox, 2021).</p> <p>(strong, high confidence) Access to crop and weather-indexed insurance schemes can drive farmers to adopt more intensive agricultural practices and increase agricultural productivity (Jørgensen et al., 2020), potentially increasing emissions related with the use of nitrogen fertilizers, lack of action to control ammonia, and potential land use changes (e.g. deforestation). Increased food production may also increase food imports and their related transport GHG emissions.</p>
	Population health and health system	<p>(strong, high confidence) Heat management strategies, including tree planting and other green infrastructure, cool roofing and paving, and a reduction in waste heat emissions from buildings and vehicles can lessen the health risk of rising temperatures, as well as lessen greenhouse gas emissions (Stone et al., 2019).</p> <p>(strong, high confidence) Groundwater-source heat pumps (GWSHP) are considered environmentally friendly and economically wise to use for heating and cooling buildings, and consequently have great potential to moderate greenhouse gas emissions (Osman and Sevinc, 2019).</p>	<p>(medium, medium confidence) Use of indoor air conditioning can be an effective strategy to reduce heat exposure, stress, and illness. However, this is associated with large energy consumption and may increase GHG emissions (Davide et al., 2019); Viguie et al 2020), in turn worsening air quality and human health impacts (Abel et al., 2018)</p>
	Social safety nets	No new literature since SR1.5	
	Planned relocation and resettlement	Not applicable	Not applicable
	Human migration	Not applicable	Not applicable

1
2 *SMCCB FEASIB.2.2 Mitigation Options with Adaptation Synergies and Trade-offs*

3
4
5 **Table SMCCB FEASIB.9:** Synergies and trade-offs of mitigation options with adaptation. The strength (strong,
6 medium, weak) of the synergy or trade-off is indicated in square brackets at the beginning of each statement, together
7 with its confidence level.

Systems transitions	Mitigation options	Implications for adaptation	
		Synergies	Trade-offs
Energy system transitions	Solar energy	<p>(strong, high confidence) Generates employment opportunities particularly during construction; reduced local pollution; clean energy access in rural areas (Joshi et al., 2019; Karlsson et al., 2020).</p> <p>(strong, high confidence) When produced on-site, solar power provides electricity supply in the case of grid failure due to natural disasters or very high temperatures shutting off large power stations overheating the transmission/distribution network. Solar technologies such as thermal and solar cooking can also contribute to on-site energy security (Fang and Wei, 2013; Wei et al., 2013).</p> <p>(strong, high confidence) Solar photovoltaic is a renewable energy source whose output is relatively robust to climate change (Yalew et al., 2020; Gernaat et al., 2021), therefore it reduces emissions while increasing energy security (Shen and Lior, 2016).</p> <p>(strong, high confidence) Solar can be complementary to other renewable energy sources, reducing system vulnerabilities (Beluco et al., 2012; Buttler et al., 2016; Kougias et al., 2016; Viviescas et al., 2019; Shen and Lior, 2016).</p>	<p>(strong, medium confidence) Generation of e-waste; land requirement; competition with food production; vulnerability of local communities due to large scale plants, although the impact is highly location and context-specific (Stock et al., 2019).</p>
	Wind energy	<p>(strong, high confidence) Wind can be complementary to other renewable energy sources, windmills over new forests are possible; reducing system vulnerabilities (Beluco et al., 2012; Buttler et al., 2016; Kougias et al., 2016; Viviescas et al., 2019).</p>	
	Hydroelectric power	<p>(weak, medium confidence) Multiple uses for hydropower reservoirs can bring socioeconomic benefits and reduce flood risk (Xu et al., 2015).</p> <p>(strong, low confidence) Hydropower reservoir storage capacity can buffer changes in river flow, helping to manage</p>	<p>(strong, high confidence) May cause loss of biodiversity; and adverse impacts on local communities and the environment.</p> <p>In the case of drought, there is not enough water to produce electricity or need to divert water for irrigation or human use (Oliveira et al., 2016).</p>

		<p>hydrological variability (Schaeffer et al., 2012).</p> <p>(strong, medium confidence) Hydropower can be complementary to other renewable energy sources, reducing system vulnerabilities (Beluco et al., 2012; Kougias et al., 2016; Viviescas et al., 2019)</p>	<p>(strong, high confidence) At large-scale hydropower can result in land-use conflicts, and could lead to mal-adaptation</p> <p>(strong, medium confidence) Hydropower may be vulnerable to climate change impacts through changes in the hydrological cycle, increasing electric system vulnerability (Schaeffer et al., 2012; Lucena et al., 2018; Yalew et al., 2020)</p>
	Nuclear	<p>(medium, high confidence) Increases energy security; provides direct non-CO₂ emitting energy access (Právělie and Bandoc, 2018; Sovacool et al., 2020a)</p>	<p>(strong, high confidence) Represents several risks to local communities, especially health, and the environment in the case of a disaster (Hatch et al., 2005; Grubler, 2010; Sovacool, 2010; Howard, 2014; McCurry, 2015; Ohtsuru et al., 2015; Wheatley et al., 2016b; Wheatley et al., 2016a; Gilbert et al., 2017; Portugal-Pereira et al., 2018; Sase et al., 2021), however, there is low trade-off and medium confidence of operation outside of normal operating conditions (Právělie and Bandoc, 2018)</p> <p>(weak, low confidence) LCOE are higher than VREs. Thermal inertia of NPP makes it challenging to complement VREs (Sovacool et al., 2020b)</p>
	Carbon dioxide capture and storage (CCS)	<p>(weak, low confidence) Diversification of livelihood for people in areas of geological sequestration; potential for just transition away from high polluting industry jobs (Buck et al., 2020)</p> <p>(weak, low confidence) Training for workers currently engaged in fossil fuel extraction to create a community of practice on carbon management (Buck et al., 2020).</p>	<p>(medium, low confidence) Even though large-scale deployment of CCS is not necessarily found to lead to higher long-term water consumption from fossil-fueled power generation compared to systems without CCS (e.g. Kyle et al., 2013), for coal-fired power plants located in water-scarce areas, the additional water consumption required by CCS could create competition with other human activities for local water resources (Merschmann et al., 2013; Rosa et al., 2020) potentially undermining local adaptation efforts.</p>
	Bioenergy and BECCS	<p>(strong, medium confidence) Enhanced productivity when done properly as part of ongoing agriculture and forestry; enhanced waste recycling; enhanced income for farmers and forest owners when bioenergy is derived from residues and low quality wood; favors local employment; local energy that can compensate for fluctuations from wind</p>	<p>(strong, high confidence) There are clear absolute limits to amounts of bioenergy feasible; if derived from very large (mal-designed) bioenergy plantations then many risks and trade offs occur with biodiversity pressure and loss, competition for food, food-water security risks, soil degradation</p>

		<p>and solar. Clear air quality improvement and reduced air pollution (Shyamsundar et al., 2019) and non-CO2 emissions (Garg et al., 2011), if counterfactual is to burn residues in the field.</p> <p><i>(weak, medium confidence)</i> when designed properly, bioenergy plantations can serve as connectivity pathways between nature areas (WGIII CH12.5).</p> <p><i>(strong, medium confidence)</i> Modern bioenergy provides clean energy access (WGIII CH12.5.2).</p> <p><i>(strong, medium confidence)</i> Bioelectricity complements VREs and reservoir hydropower as a balancing power source thus helping to ensure grid stability and quality, and in situations where hydro is limited due to drought (Lehtveer and Fridahl, 2020)</p> <p><i>(strong, high confidence)</i> Clear air quality improvement if counterfactual is to burn residues in the field (SDG 3) (Smith et al., 2019)</p>	<p>due to overuse of fertilizers (WGIII CH7.4.4; WGIII CH12.5).</p> <p><i>(strong, medium confidence)</i> Poorly-sited energy crops can reduce water availability for agriculture and settlements (WGIII CH12.5.2)..</p>
	Fossil fuels phase out	<p><i>(strong, high confidence)</i> Produces benefits to the local environment and health co-benefits (Ambasta and Buonocore, 2018; Lelieveld et al., 2019; Rauner et al., 2020)</p>	
	Energy storage for low-carbon grids	<p><i>(strong, high confidence)</i> Increases energy security (Gür, 2018); produces employment opportunities (Ram et al., 2020)</p>	<p><i>(weak, medium confidence)</i> Generation of e-waste if recycling/circular economy not put into place (Gautam et al., 2021); impacts of mining of metals for battery components (Flexer et al., 2018) and unequal access to precious minerals (Prior et al., 2013; Watari, 2021)</p>
	Demand side mitigation	<p><i>(strong, high confidence)</i> Reduced energy costs; enhanced energy access (Aklin et al., 2018).</p> <p><i>(strong, high confidence)</i> Less demand for energy, which can be supplied by emergency batteries, small generators or solar panel in case of grid failure (Sehar et al., 2016).</p>	
	System integration	<p><i>(strong, high confidence)</i> Clean, reliable, flexible and affordable energy system (Hanna et al., 2018; van der Roest et al., 2021)</p>	<p><i>(limited evidence)</i> Increase energy costs (Brown et al., 2018)</p>

Land and Ecosystem transitions	Healthy balanced diets, rich in plant based food (less animal based); and reduced food waste	<p>(strong, high confidence) Reduces pressure on forests, protecting biodiversity; decreases production intensity and use of inputs; improves population health and enhances health benefits, prevents malnutrition by providing access to food (Bodirsky et al., 2020; WGIII CH12.4; WGIII CH7.4.5.1; WGIII CH7.4.5.2).</p> <p>(weak, low confidence) Reducing food waste may enhance access to food, reduce food prices and - if combined with measures to improve distributional inequity and counter rebound effects - lead to more equal access to food (WGIII CH7.4.5.1; WGIII CH7.4.5.2; WGIII CH12.4.4).</p> <p>(weak, high confidence) Reduction of food waste decreases use of inputs, pressure on (crop)land, and reduces food costs. Solutions such as smart packaging can reduce food waste avoiding potential food safety risks (WGIII CH7.4.5.1; WGIII CH 7.4.5.2; WGIII CH12.4.3.5)</p>	<p>(strong, medium confidence) Mostly a measure for the affluent society; a possible decrease in the price might lead to a rebound effect; shift to unsustainable fisheries may occur; reduced farmers' incomes when transition is not done in the right manner or without support (WGIII CH7.4.5.1; WGIII CH7.4.5.2).</p>
	Reduce overconsumption	<p>(weak, high confidence) Improved dietary health and other health benefits, can enhance food security and environmental protection (Bodirsky et al., 2020; WGIII CH12.4; WGIII CH7.4.5.1; WGIII CH7.4.5.2)</p>	
	Reduce non-CO2 emissions from agriculture	<p>(medium, medium confidence) Can enhance production efficiency, nutrient recovery, reduce localised pollution (e.g. improve air quality, reduce (Smith et al., 2019, water eutrophication), improve animal welfare, enhance soil quality (e.g. increase soil organic matter content), enhance rural livelihoods and food security (e.g. Di and Cameron, 2016; Herrero et al., 2016; Mbow et al., 2019; Beauchemin et al., 2020; Smith et al., 2020; WGIII CH7.4.3)</p>	<p>(medium, medium confidence) Measures may cause toxicity or animal welfare issues, be antagonistic regarding different pollutants (e.g. potentially reducing N₂O but increasing NH₃ emissions) causing localised environmental degradation, or indirectly drive increased reliance on external inputs or land use change (LUC) and associated ecological damage (e.g. from increased production of concentrates for livestock feed), all potentially impacting adaptation capacity (e.g. Beauchemin et al. 2020; Di and Cameron, 2016; Ackrill and Abdo, 2020; Ba et al., 2020; Brandt et al., 2020; Eckard and Clark, 2020; WGIII CH7.4.3).</p>
	Reforestation and restoration of other ecosystems	<p>(strong, high confidence) Increased provision of ecosystem services and goods, such as improved regulation of microclimate, increased groundwater recharge and watershed protection, improved quality of air and water, reduced soil erosion, expansion of biomass coverage, and improved habitat for wildlife and biodiversity (Buotte et al., 2020)</p>	<p>(weak, medium confidence) May increase susceptibility to other climate-related hazards, such as fire (Nunes et al., 2020).</p> <p>(strong, medium confidence) Forest restoration-based mitigation could reduce the availability of productive</p>

		<p>(weak, medium confidence) Poverty reduction, creation of rural jobs, diversification of farming income, increased access to financial resources and ecosystem services markets for farmers, increased supply of wood for buildings and bioenergy, increased supply of drinking water to urban centers, reduction of risks associated with natural disasters and extreme weather events such as floods and landslides (Nabuurs et al., 2017; Bustamante et al., 2019; Soto-Navarro et al., 2020; von Holle et al., 2020).</p>	<p>agricultural land with potentially significant social and environmental consequences, including potential conflicts over land for agriculture, and rights and access of local people to forest resources when restoration initiatives are not duly planned nor funding has been secured, in addition to loss of biodiversity and other ecosystem functions, such as diminished water runoff as a result of upstream reforestation, (Bustamante et al., 2019).</p>
	Enhance carbon in agricultural systems	<p>(strong, high confidence) Can improve soil quality by enhancing soil structure or soil biodiversity, thereby improving nutrient status/cycling, water holding capacity (increasing resilience to drought), or drainage (reducing erosion and run-off risk), increase crop yields, enhance land use efficiency (e.g. from increased yields, multi-cropping, diverse crop rotations or inclusion of trees or woody shrubs) and food security (e.g. Mbow et al., 2014; Smith and Leiserowitz, 2014; Lal, 2015; Powlson et al., 2016; Lal et al., 2018; Mbow et al., 2019)</p>	<p>(medium, high confidence) Increased nitrogen inputs may be required to increase organic matter inputs (potentially offsetting some benefits regarding sequestration or causing localised air and water pollution), the effectiveness of practices is highly context specific, certain practices (e.g., using cover crops) can have variable biophysical impacts, or may change local hydrology (e.g., agroforestry), while there are issues around saturation and permanence, as soil organic carbon gains can be easily reversed. (e.g. Hirsch et al., 2018; Smith et al., 2019; Smith et al., 2020; Sun et al., 2020)</p>
	Protect and avoid conversion of forests and other ecosystems (e.g. peatlands or natural grasslands)	<p>(strong, high confidence) Increased provision of ecosystem services and goods, such as improved regulation of microclimate, increased groundwater recharge and watershed protection, improved quality of air and water, reduced soil erosion, expansion of biomass coverage, and improved habitat for wildlife and biodiversity (Buotte et al., 2020)</p> <p>(weak, medium confidence) when combined with sustainable management it may lead to poverty reduction, creation of rural jobs, diversification of farming income, increased access to financial resources and ecosystem services markets for farmers, increased supply of drinking water to urban centers, reduction of risks associated with natural disasters and extreme weather events such as floods and landslides (Bustamante et al., 2019;</p>	<p>(weak, medium confidence) May increase susceptibility to other climate-related hazards, such as fire (Nunes et al., 2020).</p> <p>(strong, medium confidence) Favoring forests over productive uses of land may affect local and rural communities' livelihoods dependent on agriculture, including conflicts over access and rights to land (Ambrosino et al., 2020).</p>

		Soto-Navarro et al., 2020; von Holle et al., 2020).	
	Sustainable management of forests and other ecosystems	<p>(strong, high confidence) Increased provision of ecosystem services and goods, such as improved regulation of microclimate, increased groundwater recharge and watershed protection, improved quality of air and water, reduced soil erosion, expansion of biomass coverage, and improved habitat for wildlife and biodiversity (Buotte et al., 2020)</p> <p>(weak, medium confidence) sustainable provision of wood resources for building and bioenergy, poverty reduction, creation of rural jobs, increased access to financial resources and ecosystem services, reduction of risks associated with natural disasters and extreme weather events such as floods and landslides (Bustamante et al., 2019; Soto-Navarro et al., 2020; von Holle et al., 2020).</p>	<p>(weak, medium confidence) May increase susceptibility to other climate-related hazards, such as fire (Nunes et al., 2020).</p> <p>(strong, medium confidence) Favoring forests over productive uses of land may affect local and rural communities' livelihoods dependent on agriculture, including conflicts over access and rights to land (Ambrosino et al., 2020).</p>
	Biomass crops for bioenergy, biochar and other bio-based products	<p>(strong, medium confidence) Enhanced income for farmers and forest owners (SDG1, 8) (Smith et al., 2019)</p> <p>(strong, medium confidence) Strategically-integrated energy crops can enhance landscape heterogeneity, produce wood for buildings and other applications, supporting biodiversity conservation, support bioeconomy, (SDG 15), and reduce risk of flooding, soil erosion and impacts of drought (WGIII CH12.5.2; Smith et al., 2019)</p> <p>(strong, high confidence) Strong synergy with SDG7 (produces energy) and also 12 if replacing fossil energy (WGIII CH7.4.4; Smith et al., 2019)</p>	<p>(strong, medium confidence) Large-scale biomass plantations could impact conservation of biodiversity (SDG15), compete for land with food production (SDG2), and compete for water in dry areas or pollute water through heavy fertilizer use (SDG6, 3, 14) (WGIII CH12.5.2; WGIII CH7.4.4)</p>
Urban system transitions	Envelope improvement	<p>(strong, high confidence) Enhanced insulation leading to thermal comfort improvement- enhanced resilience from extreme temperatures (Barbosa et al., 2015; Bikhoo et al., 2017)</p> <p>(strong, high confidence) Observed impacts of greening of façade/envelope/ green roofs on water footprint of building; may also reduce the urban heat island effect (Razzaghmanesh et al., 2016; Castiglia Feitosa and Wilkinson, 2018)</p>	<p>(medium, high confidence) In certain moderate to warm climates attention must be paid to increases in air tightness in buildings due to thermal insulation, which may cause building overheating, in particular in regions without air-conditioning (Dodoo and Gustavsson, 2016; Fosas et al., 2018; WGIII CH9.7).</p> <p>For the time being literature is divided. (Baniassadi and Sailor, 2018; Collins and Dempsey, 2019)</p>

	Heating, ventilation and air conditioning (HVAC)	<p>(strong, high confidence) Passive cooling and energy efficient HVAC systems contribute to increased thermal comfort, reducing the cooling needs associated with higher temperatures (van Hooff et al., 2016; Andrić et al., 2019; Rosse Caldas et al., 2020; WGIII CH9 ;Triana et al., 2018)</p>	<p>(medium, high confidence) Mechanical (compressors based air conditioning) may increase the outdoor air temperature and thus affect the population/household without air conditioning. Therefore passive / natural cooling is the preferable solution (Ohashi et al., 2007; Jin et al., 2020)</p> <p>(strong, high confidence) Space cooling can be an important determinant of peak demand in periods of extreme heat (International Energy Agency 2018). Warmer climates and higher frequency and intensity of heat waves can lead to higher loads (Dirks et al., 2015; Auffhammer et al., 2017), increasing the risk of grid failure and supply interruptions.</p>
	Efficient appliances	<p>(strong, medium confidence) Efficient cooling and heating contribute to increased thermal comfort. Efficient domestic appliances (or off-grid appliances) use less energy and can be run on batteries during climate induced natural disasters such as storms, hurricanes, typhoons (de Almeida et al., 2020)</p> <p>(strong, high confidence) Increasing energy efficiency may reduce the amount of energy needed to fulfil higher space cooling needs under a warming climate (Davide et al., 2019; Bezerra et al., 2021)</p>	N/A
	Change in construction methods and materials	<p>(medium, low confidence) Bio materials (e.g. bio-concrete) reduced emissions as well as cooling needs for ensuring thermal comfort - enhanced resilience from extreme temperatures (Rosse Caldas et al., 2020).</p> <p>(medium, medium confidence) One key feature that may reduce cooling needs is large thermal mass in buildings and increased natural ventilation, allowing for example nighttime cooling (Calcerano and Cecchini, 2015)</p>	N/A
	Active and passive management and operation	<p>(strong, high confidence) Human behaviour and active energy management will reduce the energy demand and offer more flexibility to allow a higher usage of renewable energy sources. A propensity to moderate energy consumption will help in case of reduced energy supply or</p>	N/A

		intermittent energy supply due to climate induced natural disaster (Alexander and Yacoumis, 2018; Pfeiffer et al., 2021)	
	Digitalization	<i>(weak, low confidence)</i> Digitalisation in buildings, water, energy and transport systems will result in more efficiency and less GHG emissions hence less energy use in the case of disruption to the energy supply (Rudram et al., 2016; Balogun et al., 2020)	<i>(weak, low confidence)</i> digitalisation could be vulnerable to climate induced hazard or cyber security attacks; if digital system fails no subsystems would work (Fekete and Rhyner, 2020)
	Flexible comfort requirements	<i>(strong, high confidence)</i> Building occupants allowing for higher indoor temperatures in summer and cooler indoor temperatures in winter will reduce energy consumption and be more adapted to heating and cooling disruptions (Albatayneh et al., 2019; Ming et al., 2020).	<i>(weak, low confidence)</i> Thermal comforts differ across genders, so thermal discomfort could potentially increase for women if their preferences are not incorporated into flexible comfort requirements (Jabeen, 2019; McCall et al., 2019)
	Circular and shared economy	<i>(strong, medium confidence)</i> Shared economy would enable citizens and organizations to reduce building space and share space, for example common spaces in buildings for social activities or specific tasks or shared offices. This flexibility in space requirements could be used in case on natural disaster (Gullström et al., 2017).	N/A
	Renewable energy production	<i>(strong, high confidence)</i> On-site RE production could help building resilience in face of extreme events leading to infrastructure and electricity grid disruption (Pagliaro, 2019; Mahzarnia et al., 2020)	<i>(weak, low confidence)</i> Possible impact on hydro by drought or other climate induced disaster (van Vliet et al., 2016)
	Fuel efficiency in transport	<i>(weak, low confidence)</i> Vehicles requiring less fuel per mileage would allow for transport of people or goods in the case of disruptions to the fuel distribution chain (Liimatainen et al., 2018)	N/A
	Electromobility	<i>(weak, low confidence)</i> Makes vehicles and public transport independent of fuel distribution systems and may allow for vehicles to be charged with solar or renewable energies when available, in addition it reduces the urban heat island effect and air pollution? (Yamaguchi and Ihara, 2020)	<i>(weak, low confidence)</i> In the case of disruption of the electricity network and the lack of on-site renewable energies, it is easier to store energy in liquid fuels than in batteries (Liimatainen et al., 2018)
	Urban land use and spatial planning	<i>(strong, high confidence)</i> Resilience towards extreme events. Avoiding buildings in areas at risk (for example from forest fires or flooding). Building	<i>(strong, high confidence)</i> High density cities can concentrate people and infrastructure in exposed locations

		<p>new developments in areas with water supply and good and redundant communication networks (Hughes, 2020).</p> <p><i>(strong, high confidence)</i> High density cities reduce transportation and emissions from buildings (Hughes, 2020)</p>	<p>for example enhancing the heat islands effect (Hinkel et al., 2018).</p>
	Response option: district heating and cooling network	<p><i>(weak, low confidence)</i> Resilience to extreme temperature (Tremeac et al., 2012)</p>	<p><i>(weak, low confidence)</i> May be affected by natural disaster, on site heat/cold generation could be preferable (Tremeac et al., 2012)</p>
	Urban nature-based solutions	<p><i>(strong, high confidence)</i> Green and blue spaces can both aid decarbonization and alleviate urban heat island effects, as well as potentially reduce floods impacts from storms (Alves et al., 2019)</p>	<p><i>(strong, high confidence)</i> Urban nature can potentially be inequitably distributed across social and economic groups, resulting in increased vulnerability, usually for ethnic minorities and low-income groups (Amorim Maia et al., 2020; Venter et al., 2020)</p>
	Waste prevention, minimization and management	<p><i>(strong, low confidence)</i> Lead to health and environmental benefits. Free up land that can be used for greening the cities (Koop and van Leeuwen, 2017).</p>	N/A
	Integrating sector, strategies and innovations	<p><i>(weak, low confidence)</i> Integration can both result in synergies and tradeoffs for the various sectors, strategies, and innovations (Uittenbroek et al., 2013)</p>	N/A
Industrial system transitions	Industrial energy efficiency	<p><i>(weak, medium confidence)</i> Energy efficiency reduces the pressure on energy supplies and if combined with demand flexibility increases resilience of industrial production and the electricity system (WGIII CH11.3.4 and 11.3.5).</p> <p><i>(strong, high confidence)</i> Reduced energy demand, cost of production; enhances resource conservation (Goldman et al., 2012)</p>	<p><i>(limited evidence)</i> There is no evidence of trade-offs between industrial energy efficiency and adaptation but some evidence of mainly positive co-benefits with SDGs (WGIII CH11.5.3.3)</p>
	Materials efficiency and demand management	<p><i>(weak, low confidence)</i> Reduced demand for basic materials (e.g., cement, steel, wood) means less pressure on primary resources and may in that way have synergies with adaptation but we have no evidence of a clear link. There are mainly co-benefits with other SDGs (WGIII CH11.5.3.1)</p>	NE

	Circular economy	<i>(limited evidence)</i> Improved circularity means less pressure on primary resources and may in that way have synergies with adaptation but we have no evidence of a clear link. There are mainly co-benefits with other SDGs (WGIII CH11.5.3.2)	<i>(limited evidence)</i> There are no obvious trade-offs with adaptation and we have found no evidence of such (WGIII CH11.4.4 and 11.5.3.2)
	Electrification and fuel switching	<i>(weak, high confidence)</i> Electrification is a key option to decarbonise primary materials production and it can be done in ways so that demand is flexible (e.g., with electrolysis and hydrogen storage) and thus support the balancing of electricity grids (WGIII CH11.3.5)	<i>(limited evidence)</i> We have found no clear trade-offs with adaptation but some SDG co-benefits (WGIII CH11.5.3.4)
	Carbon dioxide capture and utilization (CCU)	<p><i>(weak, medium confidence)</i> A key strategy to avoid GHG emissions throughout the lifecycle of chemicals is to use biomass feedstock, including CCU with biogenic carbon dioxide (WGIII CH11.4.1.3). If used to produce synthetic hydrocarbons and alcohols these can be used by existing long lived energy and feedstock infrastructure, transport and storage, which can compensate for seasonal supply fluctuations and contribute to enhancing energy security (WGIII CH11.3.6)</p> <p><i>(weak, low confidence)</i> CCU pathways can offer entry points for local diversification, see also CCS and EW (Buck et al., 2020).</p>	<p><i>(medium, medium confidence)</i> Some CCU pathways are subject to the same tradeoffs as assessed for <i>Biomass crops for bioenergy, biochar and other bio-based products</i> above under Land and ecosystems transitions to the degree they use biomass as feedstock.</p> <p><i>(strong, medium confidence)</i> Many CCU pathways consume considerable amounts of energy (Hepburn et al., 2019), potentially increasing the vulnerability of energy supply (indicating also a potential trade-off with SDG7).</p> <p><i>(medium, low confidence)</i> CCU pathways involving CCS feature the same trade-offs with respect to water impacts of the capture process as outlined above (Merschmann et al., 2013; Rosa et al., 2020) - indicating medium trade-offs also for SDGs 4, 6 and 15.</p>
	Industrial CCS	The same assessment as for <i>Energy CCS</i> and <i>Bioenergy and BECCS</i> under Energy system transitions applies.	The same assessment as for <i>Energy CCS</i> and <i>Bioenergy and BECCS</i> under Energy system transitions applies.
Cross-sectoral	Direct air carbon capture and storage (DACCS)		<p><i>(strong, high confidence)</i> High energy needs can be at odds with energy security and thus also SDG7 (WGIII CH12.3.1.1; de Coninck et al., 2018)</p> <p><i>(medium, medium evidence)</i> Liquid solution technologies require a significant amount of water (WGIII CH12.3.1.1; Fasihi et al., 2019) creating potential trade-offs with</p>

			adaptation in dry areas, though under certain conditions water could also be produced (Fasihi et al., 2019).
	Enhanced weathering (EW)	<p>(strong, low evidence) Enhanced agricultural yields through EW treatment, raising adaptive capacity in food provision (Smith et al., 2019; Beerling et al., 2018).</p> <p>(weak, low evidence) Diversification of livelihood for communities with potential for mining minerals (Buck et al., 2020)</p> <p>(limited evidence) Training to improve soil health with amendments (excluding use of minerals with risk of heavy metal release, e.g. Hartmann et al. 2013), integrated into soil health assistance (Buck et al., 2020)</p> <p>(limited evidence) Tracking application of crushed basalt to agricultural land combined with advice on yield optimisation under climate change (Buck et al., 2020).</p>	<p>(limited evidence) Entrenching social inequalities in mining communities (Buck et al., 2020)</p> <p>(limited evidence) Control by mining corporations with low social license, limiting participation (Buck et al., 2020)</p> <p>(medium, medium confidence) Ecological tradeoffs associated with mining and transport of minerals (Smith et al. 2019) that may negatively impact adaptive capacity, which may be significantly reduced by using excess industrial silicate materials instead of expanding mining (Beerling et al., 2020).</p>

References

- Abdulai, I. et al., 2018: Cocoa agroforestry is less resilient to sub-optimal and extreme climate than cocoa in full sun. Blackwell Publishing Ltd, 273-286 pp.
- Abel, D. W. et al., 2018: Air-quality-related health impacts from climate change and from adaptation of cooling demand for buildings in the eastern United States: An interdisciplinary modeling study. *PLOS Medicine*, **15**(7), e1002599, doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1002599.
- Abrahams, D., 2014: The barriers to environmental sustainability in post-disaster settings: a case study of transitional shelter implementation in Haiti. *Disasters*, **38**, S25-S49, doi:10.1111/disa.12054.
- Accatino, F. et al., 2019: Trade-offs and synergies between livestock production and other ecosystem services. *Agricultural Systems*, **168**, 58-72, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2018.08.002.
- Acevedo, M. et al., 2020: A scoping review of adoption of climate-resilient crops by small-scale producers in low- and middle-income countries, 1231-1241 pp.
- Ackrill, R. and H. Abdo, 2020: On-farm anaerobic digestion uptake barriers and required incentives: A case study of the UK East Midlands region. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, **264**, 121727, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.121727.
- Adam, H. and H. Osbahr, 2019: Factors Influencing Rural Livelihood Diversification Activities among Women in Northern Region of Ghana, 115-123 pp.
- Adams, A. M. et al., 2020: Long-term effects of integrated soil fertility management practices on soil chemical properties in the Sahel. Elsevier B.V., 114207 pp.
- Adams, H. and S. Kay, 2019: Migration as a human affair: Integrating individual stress thresholds into quantitative models of climate migration. Elsevier Ltd, 129-138 pp.
- Adger, W., R. d. Campos, T. S.-J. o. P. ... and u. 2021, 2021: Human security of urban migrant populations affected by length of residence and environmental hazards. *journals.sagepub.com*, **58**, 50-66, doi:10.1177/0022343320973717.
- Adger, W. N. et al., 2020: Urbanization, Migration, and Adaptation to Climate Change. *One Earth*, **3**(4), 396-399, doi:10.1016/j.oneear.2020.09.016.

- 1 Adger, W. N. et al., 2020: Urbanization, Migration, and Adaptation to Climate Change. Cell Press, 396-399 pp.
- 2 Adhikari, S. et al., 2018: Adaptation and Mitigation Strategies of Climate Change Impact in Freshwater Aquaculture in
3 some states of India. www.FisheriesSciences.com, 16-21 pp.
- 4 Adolwa, I. S., S. Schwarze and A. Buerkert, 2019: Impacts of integrated soil fertility management on yield and
5 household income: The case of Tamale (Ghana) and Kakamega (Kenya). Elsevier B.V., 186-192 pp.
- 6 Adzawla, W., H. Baumüller, S. A. Donkoh and R. Serra, 2020: Effects of climate change and livelihood diversification
7 on the gendered productivity gap in Northern Ghana. Taylor and Francis Ltd., 743-755 pp.
- 8 Afshari, A., 2017: A new model of urban cooling demand and heat island—application to vertical greenery systems
9 (VGS). *Energy and Buildings*, **157**, 204-217, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2017.01.008>.
- 10 Agegnehu, G. and T. Amede, 2017: Integrated Soil Fertility and Plant Nutrient Management in Tropical Agro-
11 Ecosystems: A Review. Soil Science Society of China, 662-680 pp.
- 12 Aggarwal, A. and D. Brockington, 2020: Reducing or creating poverty? Analyzing livelihood impacts of forest carbon
13 projects with evidence from India. *Land Use Policy*, **95**, 104608,
14 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2020.104608>.
- 15 Ahmed, N., S. W. Bunting, S. Rahman and C. J. Garforth, 2014: Community-based climate change adaptation strategies
16 for integrated prawn-fish-rice farming in Bangladesh to promote social-ecological resilience, 20-35 pp.
- 17 Ahmed, N. and G. M. Turchini, 2021: Recirculating aquaculture systems (RAS): Environmental solution and climate
18 change adaptation, 126604 pp.
- 19 Ahmed, N. et al., 2018: Blue-Green Water Nexus in Aquaculture for Resilience to Climate Change, 139-154 pp.
- 20 Ajibade, I., 2019: Planned retreat in Global South megacities: disentangling policy, practice, and environmental justice.
21 *Climatic Change*, doi:10.1007/s10584-019-02535-1.
- 22 Ajibade, I., M. Sullivan and M. Haeffner, 2020: Why climate migration is not managed retreat: Six justifications.
23 Pergamon, 102187 pp.
- 24 Aklin, M., C. Y. Cheng and J. Urpelainen, 2018: Social acceptance of new energy technology in developing countries:
25 A framing experiment in rural India. Elsevier Ltd, 466-477 pp.
- 26 Aktürk, G. and M. Lerski, 2021: Intangible cultural heritage: a benefit to climate-displaced and host communities.
27 Springer, 1-11 pp.
- 28 Alam, A. S. A. F. et al., 2020: Agriculture insurance for disaster risk reduction: A case study of Malaysia. Elsevier Ltd.
- 29 Albatayneh, A., D. Alterman, A. Page and B. Moghtaderi, 2019: The Significance of the Adaptive Thermal Comfort
30 Limits on the Air-Conditioning Loads in a Temperate Climate. *Sustainability*, **11**(2), doi:10.3390/su11020328.
- 31 Albert, S. et al., 2018: Heading for the hills: climate-driven community relocations in the Solomon Islands and Alaska
32 provide insight for a 1.5 °C future. *Regional Environmental Change*, **18**, 1-12, doi:10.1007/s10113-017-1256-8.
- 33 Albright, K., P. Shah, M. Santodomingo and J. Scandlyn, 2020: Dissemination of information about climate change by
34 state and local public health departments: United states, 2019-2020. American Public Health Association Inc.,
35 1184-1190 pp.
- 36 Albris, K., K. C. Lauta and E. Raju, 2020: Strengthening Governance for Disaster Prevention: The Enhancing Risk
37 Management Capabilities Guidelines. Elsevier Ltd.
- 38 Alcántara-Ayala, I., D. Rodríguez-Velázquez, R. J. Garnica-Peña and A. Maldonado-Martínez, 2020: Multi-Sectoral
39 Reflections and Efforts in Strengthening Partnerships to Reduce Disaster Risk in Mexico: The First MuSe-IDRiM
40 Conference. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Science*, **11**(5), 686-691, doi:10.1007/s13753-020-00302-w.
- 41 Aleksandrova, M., 2020: Principles and considerations for mainstreaming climate change risk into national social
42 protection frameworks in developing countries. Taylor and Francis Ltd., 511-520 pp.
- 43 Alexander, M. and S. Dessai, 2019: What can climate services learn from the broader services literature? *Climatic
44 Change*, **157**, 133-149, doi:10.1007/s10584-019-02388-8.
- 45 Alexander, S. and P. Yacoumis, 2018: Degrowth, energy descent, and 'low-tech' living: Potential pathways for
46 increased resilience in times of crisis. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, **197**, 1840-1848,
47 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.09.100>.
- 48 Ali, E., A. Y. G. Egbendewe, T. Abdoulaye and D. B. Sarpong, 2020: Willingness to pay for weather index-based
49 insurance in semi-subsistence agriculture: evidence from northern Togo. *Climate Policy*, **20**(5), 534-547,
50 doi:10.1080/14693062.2020.1745742.
- 51 Ali, T. et al., 2021: Integrating Indigenous perspectives and community-based disaster risk reduction: A pathway for
52 sustainable Indigenous development in Northern Pakistan. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, **59**,
53 102263, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdr.2021.102263>.
- 54 Alleway, H. K. et al., 2018: The Ecosystem Services of Marine Aquaculture: Valuing Benefits to People and Nature,
55 59-68 pp.
- 56 Aloba Loison, S., 2015: Rural Livelihood Diversification in Sub-Saharan Africa: A Literature Review. Routledge,
57 1125-1138 pp.
- 58 Alverio, G. N., S. H. Hoagland, E. C. d. Perez and K. J. Mach, 2021: The role of international organizations in equitable
59 and just planned relocation. Springer, 1-12 pp.
- 60 Alves, A. et al., 2019: Assessing the Co-Benefits of green-blue-grey infrastructure for sustainable urban flood risk
61 management. *Journal of Environmental Management*, **239**, 244-254,
62 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2019.03.036>.

- 1 Alves, B., D. B. Angnuureng, P. Morand and R. Almar, 2020: A review on coastal erosion and flooding risks and best
2 management practices in West Africa: what has been done and should be done, 38 pp.
- 3 Ambasta, A. and J. J. Buonocore, 2018: Carbon pricing: a win-win environmental and public health policy. Springer
4 International Publishing, 779-781 pp.
- 5 Ambrosino, C. et al., 2020: Integrating Climate Adaptation, Poverty Reduction, and Environmental Conservation in
6 Kwale County, Kenya. Springer International Publishing, 1-19 pp.
- 7 Amegnaglo, C. J. et al., 2017: Contingent valuation study of the benefits of seasonal climate forecasts for maize farmers
8 in the Republic of Benin, West Africa. *Climate Services*, **6**, 1-11, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cliser.2017.06.007>.
- 9 Amin Hosseini, S. M., A. de la Fuente and O. Pons, 2016: Multi-criteria decision-making method for assessing the
10 sustainability of post-disaster temporary housing units technologies: A case study in Bam, 2003. *Sustainable
11 Cities and Society*, **20**, 38-51, doi:10.1016/j.scs.2015.09.012.
- 12 Amjath-Babu, T. S., P. K. Aggarwal and S. Vermeulen, 2019: Climate action for food security in South Asia?
13 Analyzing the role of agriculture in nationally determined contributions to the Paris agreement. Taylor and Francis
14 Ltd., 283-298 pp.
- 15 Amorim Maia, A. T. et al., 2020: Hidden drivers of social injustice: uncovering unequal cultural ecosystem services
16 behind green gentrification. *Environmental Science & Policy*, **112**, 254-263,
17 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2020.05.021>.
- 18 Anderson, C. C. and F. G. Renaud, 2021: A review of public acceptance of nature-based solutions: The 'why', 'when',
19 and 'how' of success for disaster risk reduction measures. *Ambio*, **50**(8), 1552-1573, doi:10.1007/s13280-021-
20 01502-4.
- 21 Anderson, S. C. et al., 2017: Benefits and risks of diversification for individual fishers. National Academy of Sciences,
22 10797-10802 pp.
- 23 André, C., D. Boulet, H. Rey-Valette and B. Rulleau, 2016: Protection by hard defence structures or relocation of assets
24 exposed to coastal risks: Contributions and drawbacks of cost-benefit analysis for long-term adaptation choices to
25 climate change, 173-182 pp.
- 26 Andrić, I., M. Koc and S. G. Al-Ghamdi, 2019: A review of climate change implications for built environment:
27 Impacts, mitigation measures and associated challenges in developed and developing countries. *Journal of
28 Cleaner Production*, **211**, 83-102, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.11.128>.
- 29 Anguelovski, I. et al., 2019: New scholarly pathways on green gentrification: What does the urban 'green turn' mean
30 and where is it going? SAGE Publications Ltd, 1064-1086 pp.
- 31 Anguelovski, I. et al., 2019: Why green "climate gentrification" threatens poor and vulnerable populations. National
32 Academy of Sciences, 26139-26143 pp.
- 33 Anguelovski, I., C. Irazábal-Zurita and J. J. T. Connolly, 2019: Grabbed Urban Landscapes: Socio-spatial Tensions in
34 Green Infrastructure Planning in Medellín. Blackwell Publishing Ltd, 133-156 pp.
- 35 Antunes do Carmo, J. S., 2018: Climate Change, Adaptation Measures, and Integrated Coastal Zone Management: The
36 New Protection Paradigm for the Portuguese Coastal Zone. Coastal Education Research Foundation Inc., 687-703
37 pp.
- 38 Apuri, I., K. Peprah and G. T. W. Achan, 2018: Climate change adaptation through agroforestry: The case of Kassena
39 Nankana West District, Ghana. Elsevier B.V., 32-41 pp.
- 40 Araos, M., 2020: Democracy Underwater: Public Participation and Technical Expertise in Climate Infrastructure
41 Planning in New York City. Elsevier BV.
- 42 Archer, D., 2016: Building urban climate resilience through community-driven approaches to development:
43 Experiences from Asia. Emerald Group Publishing Ltd., 654-669 pp.
- 44 Arfanuzzaman, M. and A. Atiq Rahman, 2017: Sustainable water demand management in the face of rapid urbanization
45 and ground water depletion for social-ecological resilience building. *Global Ecology and Conservation*, **10**, 9-22,
46 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gecco.2017.01.005>.
- 47 Arkema, K. K. et al., 2017: Linking social, ecological, and physical science to advance natural and nature-based
48 protection for coastal communities, 5-26 pp.
- 49 Arkema, K. K. et al., 2013: Coastal habitats shield people and property from sea-level rise and storms, 913-918 pp.
- 50 Arnold, J. L. et al., 2021: Rural climate resilience through built-environment interventions: modified deliberation with
51 analysis as a tool to address barriers to adaptive capacity. *Regional Studies, Regional Science*, **8**(1), 1-24,
52 doi:10.1080/21681376.2020.1854110.
- 53 Arowolo, W., P. Blechinger, C. Cader and Y. Perez, 2019: Seeking workable solutions to the electrification challenge in
54 Nigeria: Minigrid, reverse auctions and institutional adaptation, 114-141 pp.
- 55 Artmann, M. and K. Sartison, 2018: The Role of Urban Agriculture as a Nature-Based Solution: A Review for
56 Developing a Systemic Assessment Framework. *Sustainability*, **10**(6), doi:10.3390/su10061937.
- 57 Aryal, J. P. et al., 2018: Adoption of multiple climate-smart agricultural practices in the Gangetic plains of Bihar, India.
58 Emerald Group Publishing Ltd., 407-427 pp.
- 59 Aryal, J. P., M. B. Mehrotra, M. L. Jat and H. S. Sidhu, 2015: Impacts of laser land leveling in rice-wheat systems of
60 the north-western indo-gangetic plains of India. *Food Security*, **7**, 725-738, doi:10.1007/s12571-015-0460-y.
- 61 Aryal, J. P. et al., 2018: Factors determining the adoption of laser land leveling in the irrigated rice-wheat system in
62 Haryana, India. Taylor and Francis Inc., 477-492 pp.

- 1 Aryal, J. P., D. B. Rahut, S. Maharjan and O. Erenstein, 2018: Factors affecting the adoption of multiple climate-smart
2 agricultural practices in the Indo-Gangetic Plains of India. Blackwell Publishing Ltd, 141-158 pp.
- 3 Aryal, J. P. et al., 2020: Climate change and agriculture in South Asia: adaptation options in smallholder production
4 systems, 5045-5075 pp.
- 5 Aryal, J. P., T. B. Sapkota, D. B. Rahut and M. L. Jat, 2020: Agricultural sustainability under emerging climatic
6 variability: the role of climate-smart agriculture and relevant policies in India. Inderscience Enterprises Ltd, 219-
7 245 pp.
- 8 Asaaga, F. A. et al., 2021: 'None of my ancestors ever discussed this disease before!' How disease information shapes
9 adaptive capacity of marginalised rural populations in India. *PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases*, **15**(3), e0009265,
10 doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0009265.
- 11 Asfaw, S., G. Pallante and A. Palma, 2018: Diversification Strategies and Adaptation Deficit: Evidence from Rural
12 Communities in Niger. Elsevier Ltd, 219-234 pp.
- 13 Asfaw, S. et al., 2019: Heterogeneous impact of livelihood diversification on household welfare: Cross-country
14 evidence from Sub-Saharan Africa. Elsevier Ltd, 278-295 pp.
- 15 Asiedu, B., J.-O. Adetola and I. Odame Kissi, 2017: Aquaculture in troubled climate: Farmer's perception of climate
16 change and their adaptation. *Cogent*, 1-16 pp.
- 17 Asravor, R. K., 2018: Livelihood Diversification Strategies to Climate Change among Smallholder Farmers in Northern
18 Ghana. John Wiley and Sons Ltd, 1318-1338 pp.
- 19 Atela, J. O., C. H. Quinn, P. A. Minang and L. A. Duguma, 2015: Implementing REDD+ in view of integrated
20 conservation and development projects: Leveraging empirical lessons. *Land Use Policy*, **48**, 329-340,
21 doi:10.1016/j.landusepol.2015.06.011.
- 22 Aubin, J. et al., 2019: Implementing ecological intensification in fish farming: definition and principles from
23 contrasting experiences. Wiley-Blackwell, 149-167 pp.
- 24 Auffhammer, M., P. Baylis and C. H. Hausman, 2017: Climate change is projected to have severe impacts on the
25 frequency and intensity of peak electricity demand across the United States. *Proceedings of the National Academy
26 of Sciences*, **114**(8), 1886, doi:10.1073/pnas.1613193114.
- 27 Austin, S. E. et al., 2019: Enabling local public health adaptation to climate change. Elsevier Ltd, 236-244 pp.
- 28 Ayeb-Karlsson, S., C. D. Smith and D. Kniveton, 2018: A discursive review of the textual use of 'trapped' in
29 environmental migration studies: The conceptual birth and troubled teenage years of trapped populations. Springer
30 Netherlands, 557-573 pp.
- 31 Azevedo de Almeida, B. and A. Mostafavi, 2016: Resilience of Infrastructure Systems to Sea-Level Rise in Coastal
32 Areas: Impacts, Adaptation Measures, and Implementation Challenges.
- 33 Ba, S., Q. Qu, K. Zhang and J. C. J. Groot, 2020: Meta-analysis of greenhouse gas and ammonia emissions from dairy
34 manure composting. *Biosystems Engineering*, **193**, 126-137,
35 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biosystemseng.2020.02.015.
- 36 Bageant, E. R. and C. B. Barrett, 2017: Are There Gender Differences in Demand for Index-Based Livestock
37 Insurance? *Journal of Development Studies*, **53**, 932-952, doi:10.1080/00220388.2016.1214717.
- 38 Baills, A., M. Garcin and T. Bulte, 2020: Assessment of selected climate change adaptation measures for coastal
39 areas. Elsevier Ltd, 105059 pp.
- 40 Baird, T. D. and J. Hartter, 2017: Livelihood diversification, mobile phones and information diversity in Northern
41 Tanzania. Elsevier Ltd, 460-471 pp.
- 42 Bajwa, A. A., H. Mokhlis, S. Mekhilef and M. Mubin, 2019: Enhancing power system resilience leveraging microgrids:
43 A review. AIP Publishing LLC AIP Publishing 035503 pp.
- 44 Balezentis, T., D. Streimikiene, I. Mikalauskas and Z. Shen, 2021: Towards carbon free economy and electricity: The
45 puzzle of energy costs, sustainability and security based on willingness to pay. Elsevier Ltd.
- 46 Balogun, A.-L. et al., 2020: Assessing the Potentials of Digitalization as a Tool for Climate Change Adaptation and
47 Sustainable Development in Urban Centres. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, **53**, 101888,
48 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2019.101888.
- 49 Banerjee, S., A. Hussain, S. Tuladhar and A. Mishra, 2019: Building capacities of women for climate change
50 adaptation: Insights from migrant-sending households in Nepal. *Climatic Change*, **157**, 587-609,
51 doi:10.1007/S10584-019-02572-W.
- 52 Baniassadi, A. and D. J. Sailor, 2018: Synergies and trade-offs between energy efficiency and resiliency to extreme heat
53 – A case study. *Building and Environment*, **132**, 263-272, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2018.01.037.
- 54 Barbier, E. B., 2015: Climate change impacts on rural poverty in low-elevation coastal zones, A1-A13 pp.
- 55 Barbier, E. B., 2015: Valuing the storm protection service of estuarine and coastal ecosystems, 32-38 pp.
- 56 Barbosa, R., R. Vicente and R. Santos, 2015: Climate change and thermal comfort in Southern Europe housing: A case
57 study from Lisbon. *Building and Environment*, **92**, 440-451, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2015.05.019.
- 58 Barchiesi, S. and R. Córdoba (eds.), The Tacaná Watersheds Developing Untapped Potential: Strengthening Resilience
59 through Cross-Sectoral Collaboration.
- 60 Barragán Muñoz, J. M., 2020: Progress of coastal management in Latin America and the Caribbean. Elsevier Ltd,
61 105009 pp.
- 62 Barral, M. P., J. M. Rey Benayas, P. Meli and N. O. Maceira, 2015: Quantifying the impacts of ecological restoration
63 on biodiversity and ecosystem services in agroecosystems: A global meta-analysis. Elsevier, 223-231 pp.

- 1 Barreto, G. C., M. Di Domenico and R. P. Medeiros, 2020: Human dimensions of marine protected areas and small-
2 scale fisheries management: A review of the interpretations, 104040 pp.
- 3 Barrett, S., 2013: Local level climate justice? Adaptation finance and vulnerability reduction. *Global Environmental*
4 *Change*, **23**(6), 1819-1829, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2013.07.015>.
- 5 Basheer, M. and N. Ahmed Elagib, 2019: Temporal analysis of water-energy nexus indicators for hydropower
6 generation and water pumping in the Lower Blue Nile Basin, 124085 pp.
- 7 Batabyal, K., B. Mandal, D. Sarkar and S. Murmu, 2017: ASSESSMENT of NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT
8 TECHNOLOGIES for EGGPLANT PRODUCTION under SUBTROPICAL CONDITIONS: A
9 COMPREHENSIVE APPROACH. Cambridge University Press, 588-608 pp.
- 10 Beauchemin, K. A., E. M. Ungerfeld, R. J. Eckard and M. Wang, 2020: Review: Fifty years of research on rumen
11 methanogenesis: lessons learned and future challenges for mitigation. *animal*, **14**(S1), s2-s16,
12 doi:10.1017/S1751731119003100.
- 13 Bedeke, S. et al., 2019: Adoption of climate change adaptation strategies by maize-dependent smallholders in Ethiopia,
14 96-104 pp.
- 15 Bee, B., M. Biermann and P. Tschakert, 2013: Gender, development, and rights-based approaches: Lessons for climate
16 change adaptation and adaptive social protection. Springer Netherlands, 95-108 pp.
- 17 Beerling, D. J. et al., 2020: Potential for large-scale CO₂ removal via enhanced rock weathering with croplands. *Nature*,
18 **583**(7815), 242-248, doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2448-9.
- 19 Beerling, D. J. et al., 2018: Farming with crops and rocks to address global climate, food and soil security. *Nature*
20 *Plants*, **4**(3), 138-147, doi:10.1038/s41477-018-0108-y.
- 21 Bell, J., J. Johnson and A. Hobday (eds.), Vulnerability of tropical pacific fisheries and aquaculture to climate change.
22 Secretariat of the Pacific Community (SPC), 925 pp. ISBN 9789820004719.
- 23 Bell, J. D. and M. Taylor (eds.), Building Climate-Resilient Food Systems for Pacific Islands. WorldFish, Penang,
24 Malaysia, WorldFish, 72 pp.
- 25 Beluco, A., P. Kroeff de Souza and A. Krenzinger, 2012: A method to evaluate the effect of complementarity in time
26 between hydro and solar energy on the performance of hybrid hydro PV generating plants. *Renewable Energy*, **45**,
27 24-30, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2012.01.096>.
- 28 Béné, C. et al., 2016: Contribution of Fisheries and Aquaculture to Food Security and Poverty Reduction: Assessing the
29 Current Evidence, 177-196 pp.
- 30 Bennett, J. A. et al., 2021: Extending energy system modelling to include extreme weather risks and application to
31 hurricane events in Puerto Rico. *Nature Research*, 240-249 pp.
- 32 Berbel, J., C. Gutiérrez-Martín and A. Expósito, 2018: Impacts of irrigation efficiency improvement on water use, water
33 consumption and response to water price at field level. *Agricultural Water Management*, **203**, 423-429,
34 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2018.02.026>.
- 35 Berry, P. et al., 2018: Assessing health vulnerabilities and adaptation to climate change: A review of international
36 progress. MDPI AG.
- 37 Bertheau, P. and P. Blechinger, 2018: 2 . Overview of Philippine islands ' energy situation.
- 38 Bettini, G. and G. Gioli, 2016: Waltz with development: insights on the developmentalization of climate-induced
39 migration. *Migration and Development*, **5**(2), 171-189, doi:10.1080/21632324.2015.1096143.
- 40 Betzold, C. and I. Mohamed, 2017: Seawalls as a response to coastal erosion and flooding: a case study from Grande
41 Comore, Comoros (West Indian Ocean), 1077-1087 pp.
- 42 Bezerra, P. et al., 2021: Impacts of a warmer world on space cooling demand in Brazilian households. *Energy and*
43 *Buildings*, **234**, 110696, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2020.110696>.
- 44 Bhattacharya, S., K. Giannakas and K. Schoengold, 2017: Market and welfare effects of renewable portfolio standards
45 in United States electricity markets. *Energy Economics*, **64**, 384-401, doi:10.1016/j.eneco.2017.03.011.
- 46 Bhattacharyya, R. et al., 2016: Soil conservation issues in India. MDPI AG, 1-37 pp.
- 47 Bhattacharyya, R. et al., 2015: Soil degradation in india: Challenges and potential solutions. MDPI AG, 3528-3570 pp.
- 48 Bhattarai, B., 2020: How do gender relations shape a community's ability to adapt to climate change? Insights from
49 Nepal's community forestry. Taylor and Francis Ltd., 876-887 pp.
- 50 Bhikhoo, N., A. Hashemi and H. Cruickshank, 2017: Improving Thermal Comfort of Low-Income Housing in Thailand
51 through Passive Design Strategies. *Sustainability*, **9**(8), doi:10.3390/su9081440.
- 52 Bhowmik, J., H. M. Irfanullah and S. A. Selim, 2021: Empirical evidence from Bangladesh of assessing climate hazard-
53 related loss and damage and state of adaptive capacity to address them. Elsevier B.V., 100273 pp.
- 54 Biesbroek, R. et al., 2015: Opening up the black box of adaptation decision-making. Nature Publishing Group, 493-494
55 pp.
- 56 Bilkovic, D. M. and M. M. Mitchell, 2013: Ecological tradeoffs of stabilized salt marshes as a shoreline protection
57 strategy: Effects of artificial structures on macrobenthic assemblages, 469-481 pp.
- 58 Biswas, B. and B. Mallick, 2021: Livelihood diversification as key to long-term non-migration: evidence from coastal
59 Bangladesh. Springer Science and Business Media B.V., 8924-8948 pp.
- 60 Blanchard, J. L. et al., 2017: Linked sustainability challenges and trade-offs among fisheries, aquaculture and
61 agriculture, 1240-1249 pp.
- 62 Blasiak, R. and C. C. C. Wabnitz, 2018: Aligning fisheries aid with international development targets and goals.
63 Elsevier Ltd, 86-92 pp.

- 1 Bodirsky, B. L. et al., 2020: The ongoing nutrition transition thwarts long-term targets for food security, public health
2 and environmental protection. *Scientific Reports*, **10**(1), 19778, doi:10.1038/s41598-020-75213-3.
- 3 Bohnes, F. A., M. Z. Hauschild, J. Schlundt and A. Laurent, 2019: Life cycle assessments of aquaculture systems: a
4 critical review of reported findings with recommendations for policy and system development, 1061-1079 pp.
- 5 Boonstra, W. J. and T. T. H. Hanh, 2015: Adaptation to climate change as social-ecological trap: a case study of fishing
6 and aquaculture in the Tam Giang Lagoon, Vietnam, 1527-1544 pp.
- 7 Booth, L. et al., 2020: Simulating synergies between Climate Change Adaptation and Disaster Risk Reduction
8 stakeholders to improve management of transboundary disasters in Europe. *International Journal of Disaster Risk
9 Reduction*, **49**, 101668, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdr.2020.101668.
- 10 Bordner, A. S., C. E. Ferguson and L. Ortolano, 2020: Colonial dynamics limit climate adaptation in Oceania:
11 Perspectives from the Marshall Islands. *Global Environmental Change*, **61**, 102054,
12 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2020.102054.
- 13 Bordon Rosa, A. and H. Lykke Strøbech (eds.), Addressing the risk of Maladaptation in Social Protection: The case of
14 World Food Programme. Lund. ISBN 4646222736.
- 15 Born, L., C. Spillane and U. Murray, 2019: Integrating gender into index-based agricultural insurance: a focus on South
16 Africa. *Development in Practice*, **29**, 409-423, doi:10.1080/09614524.2018.1556608.
- 17 Bosello, F. and E. De Cian, 2014: Climate change, sea level rise, and coastal disasters. A review of modeling practices,
18 593-605 pp.
- 19 Boston, J., A. Panda and S. Surminski, 2021: Designing a funding framework for the impacts of slow-onset climate
20 change — insights from recent experiences with planned relocation. Elsevier, 159-168 pp.
- 21 Botero, C. M. and S. Zielinski, 2020: The implementation of a world-famous tourism ecolabel triggers political support
22 for beach management. Elsevier BV, 100691 pp.
- 23 Bowen, T. et al., 2020: Adaptive Social Protection: Building Resilience to Shocks. Washington, DC: World Bank.
- 24 Boyd, C. E. et al., 2020: Achieving sustainable aquaculture: Historical and current perspectives and future needs and
25 challenges, 578-633 pp.
- 26 Bragg, C. et al., 2018: Remittances as aid following major sudden-onset natural disasters. *Disasters*, **42**, 3-18,
27 doi:10.1111/DISA.12229.
- 28 Brander, K. M., 2007: Global fish production and climate change. National Academy of Sciences, 19709-19714 pp.
- 29 Brandes, E. et al., 2016: Subfield profitability analysis reveals an economic case for cropland diversification. Institute
30 of Physics Publishing, 14009 pp.
- 31 Brandt, P., G. Yesuf, M. Herold and M. C. Rufino, 2020: Intensification of dairy production can increase the GHG
32 mitigation potential of the land use sector in East Africa. *Global Change Biology*, **26**(2), 568-585,
33 doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14870.
- 34 Bricknell, I. R. et al., 2021: Resilience of cold water aquaculture: a review of likely scenarios as climate changes in the
35 Gulf of Maine. Wiley-Blackwell, 460-503 pp.
- 36 Brilliant, S. (ed.), Aquaculture. Fédération canadienne de la faune, 33 pp. ISBN 9780874216561.
- 37 Broberg, M., 2020: Parametric loss and damage insurance schemes as a means to enhance climate change resilience in
38 developing countries. Taylor and Francis Ltd., 693-703 pp.
- 39 Brockington, J. D., I. M. Harris and R. M. Brook, 2016: Beyond the project cycle: a medium-term evaluation of
40 agroforestry adoption and diffusion in a south Indian village. Springer Netherlands, 489-508 pp.
- 41 Broitman, B. R. et al., 2017: Dynamic Interactions among Boundaries and the Expansion of Sustainable Aquaculture,
42 15 pp.
- 43 Bronen, R. and F. S. Chapin, 2013: Adaptive governance and institutional strategies for climate-induced community
44 relocations in Alaska. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **110**, 9320-9325,
45 doi:10.1073/pnas.1210508110.
- 46 Bronen, R. et al., 2020: Usteg: integrating indigenous knowledge and social and physical sciences to coproduce
47 knowledge and support community-based adaptation. *Polar Geography*, **43**(2-3), 188-205,
48 doi:10.1080/1088937X.2019.1679271.
- 49 Brooke-Sumner, C. et al., 2019: 'Doing more with Less': A qualitative investigation of perceptions of South African
50 health service managers on implementation of health innovations. Oxford University Press, 132-140 pp.
- 51 Brown, B., I. Nuberg and R. Llewellyn, 2017: Negative evaluation of conservation agriculture: perspectives from
52 African smallholder farmers. Taylor and Francis Ltd., 467-481 pp.
- 53 Brown, J. N., Z. Hochman, D. Holzworth and H. Horan, 2018: Seasonal climate forecasts provide more definitive and
54 accurate crop yield predictions. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, **260-261**, 247-254,
55 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2018.06.001.
- 56 Brown, P. R. et al., 2019: Constraints to the capacity of smallholder farming households to adapt to climate change in
57 South and Southeast Asia. Taylor and Francis Ltd., 383-400 pp.
- 58 Brown, S. et al., 2018: Quantifying Land and People Exposed to Sea-Level Rise with No Mitigation and 1.5°C and
59 2.0°C Rise in Global Temperatures to Year 2300, 583-600 pp.
- 60 Brown, T. et al., 2018: Synergies of sector coupling and transmission reinforcement in a cost-optimised, highly
61 renewable European energy system. *Energy*, **160**, 720-739, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2018.06.222.
- 62 Bruno Soares, M., M. Alexander and S. Dessai, 2018: Sectoral use of climate information in Europe: A synoptic
63 overview. *Climate Services*, **9**, 5-20, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cliser.2017.06.001.

- 1 Bruno Soares, M. and C. Buontempo, 2019: Challenges to the sustainability of climate services in Europe. *WIREs*
2 *Climate Change*, **10**(4), e587, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1002/wcc.587>.
- 3 Buck, H. J. et al., 2020: Adaptation and Carbon Removal. *One Earth*, **3**(4), 425-435, doi:10.1016/j.oneear.2020.09.008.
- 4 Buckeridge, M. S. et al., 2012: Ethanol from sugarcane in Brazil: a 'midway' strategy for increasing ethanol production
5 while maximizing environmental benefits. Blackwell Publishing Ltd, 119-126 pp.
- 6 Budhathoki, N. K., J. A. Lassa, S. Pun and K. K. Zander, 2019: Farmers' interest and willingness-to-pay for index-
7 based crop insurance in the lowlands of Nepal. Elsevier Ltd, 1-10 pp.
- 8 Buechler, S. and A. Lutz-Ley, 2020: Livelihoods with multiple stressors: Gendered youth decision-making under global
9 change in rural Northwest Mexico. SAGE Publications, 1096-1119 pp.
- 10 Buijs, A. et al., 2019: Mosaic governance for urban green infrastructure: Upscaling active citizenship from a local
11 government perspective. Elsevier GmbH, 53-62 pp.
- 12 Buontempo, C. et al., 2019: Fostering the development of climate services through Copernicus Climate Change Service
13 (C3S) for agriculture applications. *Weather and Climate Extremes*, **27**, 100226, doi:10.1016/j.wace.2019.100226.
- 14 Buotte, P. C., B. E. Law, W. J. Ripple and L. T. Berner, 2020: Carbon sequestration and biodiversity co-benefits of
15 preserving forests in the western United States. *Ecological Applications*, **30**(2), e02039,
16 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1002/eap.2039>.
- 17 Bustamante, M. M. C. et al., 2019: Ecological restoration as a strategy for mitigating and adapting to climate change:
18 lessons and challenges from Brazil. Springer Netherlands, 1249-1270 pp.
- 19 Butler, J. R. A. et al., 2020: How Feasible Is the Scaling-Out of Livelihood and Food System Adaptation in Asia-Pacific
20 Islands? Frontiers Media S.A., 43 pp.
- 21 Buttler, A., F. Dinkel, S. Franz and H. Spliethoff, 2016: Variability of wind and solar power – An assessment of the
22 current situation in the European Union based on the year 2014. *Energy*, **106**, 147-161,
23 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2016.03.041>.
- 24 Calcerano, F. and C. Cecchini (eds.), Passive cooling strategies in refurbishment of Mediterranean buildings: simulation
25 analysis of thermal mass and natural ventilation combination. Proc. 2nd IBPSA Italy Conf, 2015, 4-6 pp.
- 26 Cannon, C., K. F. Gotham, K. Lauve-Moon and B. Powers, 2020: The climate change double whammy: Flood damage
27 and the determinants of flood insurance coverage, the case of post-Katrina New Orleans. *Climate Risk*
28 *Management*, **27**, 100210, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crm.2019.100210>.
- 29 Cannon, T., 2014: 4. Rural livelihood diversification and adaptation to climate change. Practical Action Publishing,
30 Rugby, Warwickshire, United Kingdom, 55-76 pp.
- 31 Cantasano, N. et al., 2021: Can ICZM Contribute to the Mitigation of Erosion and of Human Activities Threatening the
32 Natural and Cultural Heritage of the Coastal Landscape of Calabria?
- 33 Cardona, F., J. F.-J. o. C. ... and u. 2020, 2020: Cost and Benefit Analysis of Climate Change Adaptation Strategies in
34 Coastal Areas at Risk, 749-0208 pp.
- 35 Caretta, M. A. and L. Börjeson, 2015: Local gender contract and adaptive capacity in smallholder irrigation farming: a
36 case study from the Kenyan drylands. *Gender, Place & Culture*, **22**(5), 644-661,
37 doi:10.1080/0966369X.2014.885888.
- 38 Carey, J., 2020: Core Concept: Managed retreat increasingly seen as necessary in response to climate change's fury.
39 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **117**(24), 13182, doi:10.1073/pnas.2008198117.
- 40 Cashman, A. and M. Nagdee, 2017: Impacts of Climate Change on Settlements and Infrastructure in the Coastal and
41 Marine Environments of Caribbean Small Island Developing States (SIDS). Commonwealth Marine Economies
42 Programme, 153-173 pp.
- 43 Castells-Quintana, D., M. d. P. Lopez-Urbe and T. K. J. McDermott, 2018: Adaptation to climate change: A review
44 through a development economics lens. Elsevier Ltd, 183-196 pp.
- 45 Castiglia Feitosa, R. and S. J. Wilkinson, 2018: Attenuating heat stress through green roof and green wall retrofit.
46 *Building and Environment*, **140**, 11-22, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2018.05.034>.
- 47 Castle, S. E. et al., 2021: The impacts of agroforestry interventions on agricultural productivity, ecosystem services, and
48 human well-being in low- and middle-income countries: A systematic review. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, e1167 pp.
- 49 Castleden, H., J. Lin and M. Darrach, 2020: The public health emergency of climate change: how/are Canadian post-
50 secondary public health sciences programs responding? *Can J Public Health*, **111**(6), 836-844,
51 doi:10.17269/s41997-020-00386-3.
- 52 Cattaneo, C. et al., 2019: Human Migration in the Era of Climate Change. *Review of Environmental Economics and*
53 *Policy*, **13**(2), 189-206, doi:10.1093/reep/rez008.
- 54 Cavallo, M., J. A. Pérez Agúndez, P. Raux and K. Frangoudes, 2021: Is existing legislation supporting socially
55 acceptable aquaculture in the European Union? A transversal analysis of France, Italy and Spain. John Wiley &
56 Sons, Ltd, 1683-1694 pp.
- 57 Caviedes, V., P. Arenas-Granados and J. M. Barragán-Muñoz, 2020: Regional public policy for Integrated Coastal Zone
58 Management in Central America. Elsevier Ltd, 105114 pp.
- 59 Cechin, A., V. da Silva Araújo and L. Amand, 2021: Exploring the synergy between Community Supported Agriculture
60 and agroforestry: Institutional innovation from smallholders in a Brazilian rural settlement. Elsevier Ltd, 246-258
61 pp.
- 62 Cedamon, E., I. Nuberg, B. H. Pandit and K. K. Shrestha, 2018: Adaptation factors and futures of agroforestry systems
63 in Nepal. Springer Netherlands, 1437-1453 pp.

- 1 Centre, F. a. R. C. R. C. C. (ed.), Managing climate risks through social protection Reducing rural poverty and building
2 resilient agricultural livelihoods. Rome. ISBN 9789251318843.
- 3 Ceseña, E. A. M. et al., 2019: Integrated Energy-Water Model for Interdependent Storage, Run-of-River and Pump
4 Hydropower, 1-6 pp.
- 5 Chan, C. Y. et al., 2019: Prospects and challenges of fish for food security in Africa. Elsevier B.V., 17-25 pp.
- 6 Chan, F. K. S. et al., 2018: "Sponge City" in China—A breakthrough of planning and flood risk management in the
7 urban context. *Land Use Policy*, **76**, 772-778, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2018.03.005.
- 8 Chapke, R. R. and V. A. Tonapi, 2018: Socio-economic impact and adoption of improved post-rainy sorghum
9 (Sorghum bicolor) production technologies in Maharashtra.
- 10 Charles, R., P. Munishi and E. Nzunda, 2013: Agroforestry as Adaptation Strategy under Climate Change in Mwanja
11 District, Kilimanjaro, Tanzania, 29-38 pp.
- 12 Chaudhari, V. R. and A. Mishra, 2015: Multilevel policy responses to mainstream climate adaptation through watershed
13 development in rainfed farming systems of India, 1-12 pp.
- 14 Chausson, A. et al., 2020: Mapping the effectiveness of nature-based solutions for climate change adaptation. Blackwell
15 Publishing Ltd, 6134-6155 pp.
- 16 Chen, X. et al., 2017: Water resources management in the urban agglomeration of the Lake Biwa region, Japan: An
17 ecosystem services-based sustainability assessment. *Science of The Total Environment*, **586**, 174-187,
18 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.01.197.
- 19 Chen, X. et al., 2019: Canopy transpiration and its cooling effect of three urban tree species in a subtropical city-
20 Guangzhou, China. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*, **43**, 126368,
21 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ufug.2019.126368.
- 22 Chersich, M. F. and C. Y. Wright, 2019: Climate change adaptation in South Africa: a case study on the role of the
23 health sector. *Globalization and Health*, **15**(1), 22, doi:10.1186/s12992-019-0466-x.
- 24 Chester, M. V., B. S. Underwood and C. Samaras, 2020: Keeping infrastructure reliable under climate uncertainty, 488-
25 490 pp.
- 26 Christopher Boyer, K. B., Virginia Murray, Jimmy Jaghero Hilly, Jeremy J. Hess, Kristie L. Ebi, 2020: Using
27 Implementation Science For Health Adaptation: Opportunities For Pacific Island Countries. *Health Affairs*,
28 **39**(12), 2160-2167, doi:10.1377/hlthaff.2020.01101.
- 29 Chow, J., T. Khanom, R. Hossain and J. Khadim, 2019: Forest Management for Climate Change Adaptation in
30 Bangladesh. Springer, Cham, 39-50 pp.
- 31 Chu, E. and K. Michael, 2019: Recognition in urban climate justice: marginality and exclusion of migrants in Indian
32 cities. SAGE Publications Ltd, 139-156 pp.
- 33 Chu, E., T. Schenk and J. Patterson, 2018: The dilemmas of citizen inclusion in urban planning and governance to
34 enable a 1.5 °C climate change scenario. Cogitatio Press, 128-140 pp.
- 35 Chu, E. K., 2018: Urban climate adaptation and the reshaping of state–society relations: The politics of community
36 knowledge and mobilisation in Indore, India. SAGE Publications Ltd, 1766-1782 pp.
- 37 Cinner, J. E. et al., 2018: Building adaptive capacity to climate change in tropical coastal communities, 117-123 pp.
- 38 Clark, M. and D. Tilman, 2017: Comparative analysis of environmental impacts of agricultural production systems,
39 agricultural input efficiency, and food choice. Institute of Physics Publishing, 064016 pp.
- 40 Cochrane, L. and A. Cafer, 2018: Does diversification enhance community resilience? A critical perspective. Informa
41 UK Limited, 129-143 pp.
- 42 Coe, R., F. Sinclair and E. Barrios, 2014: Scaling up agroforestry requires research 'in' rather than 'for' development.
43 Elsevier, 73-77 pp.
- 44 Coirolo, C., S. Commins, I. Haque and G. Pierce, 2013: Climate change and social protection in bangladesh: Are
45 existing programmes able to address the impacts of climate change? Blackwell Publishing Ltd, 074-090 pp.
- 46 Collier, S. J. and S. Cox, 2021: Governing urban resilience: Insurance and the problematization of climate change.
47 *Economy and Society*, **50**(2), 275-296, doi:10.1080/03085147.2021.1904621.
- 48 Collins, M. and S. Dempsey, 2019: Residential energy efficiency retrofits: potential unintended consequences. *Journal*
49 *of Environmental Planning and Management*, **62**(12), 2010-2025, doi:10.1080/09640568.2018.1509788.
- 50 Colven, E., 2020: Thinking beyond success and failure: Dutch water expertise and friction in postcolonial Jakarta.
51 SAGE Publications Ltd, 961-979 pp.
- 52 Cooper, J. A. G. A. G. et al., 2016: Coastal defences versus coastal ecosystems: A regional appraisal.
- 53 Coq-Huelva, D. et al., 2017: Co-Evolution and Bio-Social Construction: The Kichwa Agroforestry Systems (Chakras)
54 in the Ecuadorian Amazonia. MDPI AG, 1920 pp.
- 55 Coulbaly, J. Y., B. Chiputwa, T. Nakelse and G. Kundhlande, 2017: Adoption of agroforestry and the impact on
56 household food security among farmers in Malawi. Elsevier Ltd, 52-69 pp.
- 57 Crist, P. J., 2015: Conservation Issues: Wildlife Connectivity for Climate Change Adaptation. Elsevier.
- 58 Cuaton, G. P. and Y. Su, 2020: Local-indigenous knowledge on disaster risk reduction: Insights from the Mamanwa
59 indigenous peoples in Basesy, Samar after Typhoon Haiyan in the Philippines. *International Journal of Disaster*
60 *Risk Reduction*, **48**, 101596, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdr.2020.101596.
- 61 Custódio, M., S. Villasante, R. Calado and A. I. Lillebø, 2020: Valuation of Ecosystem Services to promote sustainable
62 aquaculture practices. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, 392-405 pp.

- 1 D'Aloia, C. C. et al., 2019: Coupled Networks of Permanent Protected Areas and Dynamic Conservation Areas for
2 Biodiversity Conservation Under Climate Change. *Frontiers Media S.A.*, 27 pp.
- 3 Dai, L., R. Wörner and H. F. M. W. van Rijswijk, 2018: Rainproof cities in the Netherlands: approaches in Dutch water
4 governance to climate-adaptive urban planning. *Routledge*, 652-674 pp.
- 5 Daly, M. and S. Dessai, 2018: Examining the Goals of the Regional Climate Outlook Forums: What Role for User
6 Engagement? *Weather, Climate, and Society*, **10**(4), 693-708, doi:10.1175/WCAS-D-18-0015.1.
- 7 Daly, P. et al., 2017: Rehabilitating coastal agriculture and aquaculture after inundation events: Spatial analysis of
8 livelihood recovery in post-tsunami Aceh, Indonesia, 218-232 pp.
- 9 Dandy, J. et al., 2019: Leaving home: place attachment and decisions to move in the face of environmental change.
10 Springer Verlag, 615-620 pp.
- 11 Daniels, E. et al., 2020: Refocusing the climate services lens: Introducing a framework for codesigning
12 “transdisciplinary knowledge integration processes” to build climate resilience. *Climate Services*, **19**,
13 doi:10.1016/j.cliser.2020.100181.
- 14 Dannenberg, A. L., H. Frumkin, J. J. Hess and K. L. Ebi, 2019: Managed retreat as a strategy for climate change
15 adaptation in small communities: public health implications. *Climatic Change*, **153**(1), 1-14, doi:10.1007/s10584-
16 019-02382-0.
- 17 Darre, N. C. and G. S. Toor, 2018: Desalination of Water: a Review. *Current Pollution Reports*, **4**(2), 104-111,
18 doi:10.1007/s40726-018-0085-9.
- 19 Das, A. et al., 2017: Impact of seven years of organic farming on soil and produce quality and crop yields in eastern
20 Himalayas, India. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*, **236**, 142-153, doi:10.1016/j.agee.2016.09.007.
- 21 David, G. S. et al., 2015: Ecological carrying capacity for intensive tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) cage aquaculture in
22 a large hydroelectrical reservoir in Southeastern Brazil, 30-40 pp.
- 23 Davide, M., E. De Cian and A. Bernigaud, 2019: Building a Framework to Understand the Energy Needs of Adaptation.
24 *Sustainability*, **11**(15), doi:10.3390/su11154085.
- 25 Davies, C. M. et al., 2019: Potential of precision livestock farming in small ruminant farming systems. Wageningen
26 Academic Publishers, 717 p. pp.
- 27 Dawson, R. J. et al., 2018: A systems framework for national assessment of climate risks to infrastructure. ^[1]The Royal
28 Society Publishing^[2].
- 29 de Almeida, A., P. Moura and N. Quaresma, 2020: Energy-efficient off-grid systems—review. *Energy Efficiency*,
30 **13**(2), 349-376, doi:10.1007/s12053-019-09813-y.
- 31 de Frutos Cachorro, J., A. Gobin and J. Buysse, 2018: Farm-level adaptation to climate change: The case of the Loam
32 region in Belgium. *Agricultural Systems*, **165**, 164-176, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2018.06.007.
- 33 De la Sota, C., V. J. Ruffato-Ferreira, L. Ruiz-García and S. Alvarez, 2019: Urban green infrastructure as a strategy of
34 climate change mitigation. A case study in northern Spain. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*, **40**, 145-151,
35 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ufug.2018.09.004.
- 36 de la Torre-Castro, M., 2019: Inclusive Management Through Gender Consideration in Small-Scale Fisheries: The Why
37 and the How, 156 pp.
- 38 de Salles Cavedon-Capdeville, F. et al., 2020: Climate Change, Extreme Events and Human Mobility in Latin America:
39 Exploring the Links Through National Laws and Policies.
- 40 De Silva, S. S. and F. B. Davy, 2010: Success stories in asian aquaculture. Springer, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1-214
41 pp.
- 42 De Zoysa, M. and M. Inoue, 2014: Climate Change Impacts, Agroforestry Adaptation and Policy Environment in Sri
43 Lanka. Scientific Research Publishing, Inc., 439-456 pp.
- 44 Debie, E., 2020: Multi-criteria evaluation of soil conservation practices to sustainable cropland management in
45 northwest highlands of Ethiopia. Authorea.
- 46 DeFries, R. et al., 2016: Synergies and trade-offs for sustainable agriculture: Nutritional yields and climate-resilience
47 for cereal crops in Central India. Elsevier B.V., 44-53 pp.
- 48 Desai, B. et al., 2021: Addressing the human cost in a changing climate. *Science*, **372**, 1284-1287,
49 doi:10.1126/SCIENCE.ABH4283.
- 50 Deshpande, T., K. Michael and K. Bhaskara, 2019: Barriers and enablers of local adaptive measures: a case study of
51 Bengaluru’s informal settlement dwellers. *Local Environment*, **24**, 167-179,
52 doi:10.1080/13549839.2018.1555578.
- 53 Dhyani, S. et al., 2021: Agroforestry to achieve global climate adaptation and mitigation targets: Are south asian
54 countries sufficiently prepared? MDPI AG, 303 pp.
- 55 Di Gregorio, M. et al., 2019: Multi-level governance and power in climate change policy networks. Elsevier Ltd, 64-77
56 pp.
- 57 Di, H. J. and K. C. Cameron, 2016: Inhibition of nitrification to mitigate nitrate leaching and nitrous oxide emissions in
58 grazed grassland: a review. *Journal of Soils and Sediments*, **16**(5), 1401-1420, doi:10.1007/s11368-016-1403-8.
- 59 Diaz, D. B., 2016: Estimating global damages from sea level rise with the Coastal Impact and Adaptation Model
60 (CIAM), 143-156 pp.
- 61 Ding, G. K. C. and S. Ghosh, 2017: Sustainable Water Management-A Strategy for Maintaining Future Water
62 Resources. pp. 91-103.

- 1 Dirks, J. A. et al., 2015: Impacts of climate change on energy consumption and peak demand in buildings: A detailed
2 regional approach. *Energy*, **79**, 20-32, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2014.08.081>.
- 3 Dodoo, A. and L. Gustavsson, 2016: Energy use and overheating risk of Swedish multi-storey residential buildings
4 under different climate scenarios. *Energy*, **97**, 534-548, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2015.12.086>.
- 5 Doelle, M. and S. Seck, 2020: Loss & damage from climate change: from concept to remedy? Taylor and Francis Ltd.,
6 669-680 pp.
- 7 Donatti, C. I. et al., 2020: Indicators to measure the climate change adaptation outcomes of ecosystem-based adaptation.
8 Springer, 413-433 pp.
- 9 Dong, X. et al., 2020: Vulnerability of urban water infrastructures to climate change at city level. Elsevier B.V.
- 10 Dooley, K., E. Harrould-Kolieb and A. Talberg, 2021: Carbon-dioxide Removal and Biodiversity: A Threat
11 Identification Framework. Blackwell Publishing Ltd, 34-44 pp.
- 12 Dorst, H., A. van der Jagt, R. Raven and H. Runhaar, 2019: Urban greening through nature-based solutions – Key
13 characteristics of an emerging concept. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, **49**, 101620,
14 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2019.101620>.
- 15 Dorward, P., G. Clarkson, S. Poskitt and R. Stern, 2021: Putting the farmer at the center of climate services. *One Earth*,
16 **4**(8), 1059-1061, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oneear.2021.08.004>.
- 17 Dougill, A. J. et al., 2017: Mainstreaming conservation agriculture in Malawi: Knowledge gaps and institutional
18 barriers. Academic Press, 25-34 pp.
- 19 Du, J. b. et al., 2018: Maize-soybean strip intercropping: Achieved a balance between high productivity and
20 sustainability. Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, 747-754 pp.
- 21 Du, X., J. Jian, C. Du and R. D. Stewart, 2021: Conservation management decreases surface runoff and soil erosion.
- 22 Dundon, B. and M. Abkowitz, 2021: Climate-induced managed retreat in the U.S.: A review of current research.
23 *Climate Risk Management*, **33**, 100337, doi:10.1016/j.crm.2021.100337.
- 24 DuPuis, E. M. and M. Greenberg, 2019: The right to the resilient city: progressive politics and the green growth
25 machine in New York City. Springer New York LLC, 352-363 pp.
- 26 Duvat, V., 2013: Coastal protection structures in Tarawa Atoll, Republic of Kiribati, 363-379 pp.
- 27 Ebi, K. L. et al., 2018: Stress testing the capacity of health systems to manage climate change-related shocks and
28 stresses. MDPI AG.
- 29 Eckard, R. J. and H. Clark, 2020: Potential solutions to the major greenhouse-gas issues facing Australasian dairy
30 farming. *Animal Production Science*, **60**(1), 10-16.
- 31 Edwards, I., K. Yapp, S. Mackay and B. Mackey, 2020: Climate-related financial disclosures in the public sector.
32 *Nature Climate Change*, **10**(7), 588-591, doi:10.1038/s41558-020-0785-1.
- 33 Edwards, P., 2015: Aquaculture environment interactions: Past, present and likely future trends, 2-14 pp.
- 34 Elagib, N. A. and M. Al-Saidi, 2020: Balancing the benefits from the water–energy–land–food nexus through
35 agroforestry in the Sahel. Elsevier B.V., 140509 pp.
- 36 Elliott, M. and E. Wolanski, 2015: Editorial - Climate change impacts on rural poverty in low-elevation coastal zones,
37 Edward B. Barbier, ii-iii pp.
- 38 Elliott, R., 2019: ‘Scarier than another storm’: values at risk in the mapping and insuring of US floodplains. Blackwell
39 Publishing Ltd, 1067-1090 pp.
- 40 Ellison, A. M., A. J. Felson and D. A. Friess, 2020: Mangrove Rehabilitation and Restoration as Experimental Adaptive
41 Management. Frontiers Media S.A., 327 pp.
- 42 Erfemeijer, P. L. A. et al., 2020: Mangrove planting on dredged material: Three decades of nature-based coastal
43 defence along a causeway in the Arabian Gulf. CSIRO, 1062-1072 pp.
- 44 Ericksen, P. and T. Crane (eds.), The feasibility of low emissions development interventions for the East African
45 livestock sector: Lessons from Kenya and Ethiopia The feasibility of low emissions development interventions for
46 the East African livestock sector: Lessons from Kenya and Ethiopia. International Livestock Research Institute.
47 ISBN 9291465429.
- 48 Eriksen, S. et al., 2021: Adaptation interventions and their effect on vulnerability in developing countries: Help,
49 hindrance or irrelevance? *World Development*, **141**, 105383, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2020.105383>.
- 50 Ernst, O. R. et al., 2016: Depressed attainable wheat yields under continuous annual no-till agriculture suggest declining
51 soil productivity. Elsevier, 107-116 pp.
- 52 Ertör, I. and M. Ortega-Cerdà, 2017: Unpacking the objectives and assumptions underpinning European aquaculture,
53 893-914 pp.
- 54 Erwin, A. et al., 2021: Intersectionality shapes adaptation to social-ecological change. *World Development*, **138**,
55 105282, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2020.105282>.
- 56 Escarcha, J. F., J. A. Lassa and K. K. Zander, 2018: Livestock under climate change: A systematic review of impacts
57 and adaptation. MDPI AG, 54 pp.
- 58 Escobedo, F. J. et al., 2019: Urban forests, ecosystem services, green infrastructure and nature-based solutions: Nexus
59 or evolving metaphors? Elsevier GmbH, 3-12 pp.
- 60 Esmail, B. A. and L. Suleiman, 2020: Analyzing evidence of sustainable urban water management systems: A review
61 through the lenses of sociotechnical transitions. MDPI AG.
- 62 Espinoza, S., M. Panteli, P. Mancarella and H. Rudnick, 2016: Multi-phase assessment and adaptation of power
63 systems resilience to natural hazards. Elsevier, 352-361 pp.

- 1 Estrada, F., R. Tol and W. Botzen, 2017: A global economic assessment of city policies to reduce climate change
2 impacts, 403-406 pp.
- 3 Fainstein, S. S., 2018: Resilience and justice: planning for New York City. Routledge, 1268-1275 pp.
- 4 Fang, Y. and Y. Wei, 2013: Climate change adaptation on the Qinghai–Tibetan Plateau: The importance of solar energy
5 utilization for rural household. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, **18**, 508-518,
6 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2012.10.037>.
- 7 Fang, Y.-p., F.-b. Zhu, X.-p. Qiu and S. Zhao, 2018: Effects of natural disasters on livelihood resilience of rural
8 residents in Sichuan. Elsevier Ltd, 19-28 pp.
- 9 FAO (ed.), The State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture 2016. Contributing to food security and nutrition for all.
10 Rome, Italy, Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations (FAO), 200 pp. ISBN 978-92-5-109185-2.
- 11 FAO (ed.), The State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture 2018. Rome, Italy, 227 pp.
- 12 Farahmand, H. et al., 2020: Institutional congruence for resilience management in interdependent infrastructure
13 systems, 101515 pp.
- 14 Farbotko, C., 2018: Voluntary immobility: indigenous voices in the Pacific, Oxford, 81-83 pp.
- 15 Farnworth, C. R. et al., 2017: Gender and inorganic nitrogen: what are the implications of moving towards a more
16 balanced use of nitrogen fertilizer in the tropics? Taylor and Francis Ltd., 136-152 pp.
- 17 Fasihi, M., O. Efimova and C. Breyer, 2019: Techno-economic assessment of CO2 direct air capture plants. *Journal of*
18 *Cleaner Production*, **224**, 957-980, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.03.086>.
- 19 Favero, A., A. Daigneault and B. Sohngen, 2020: Forests: Carbon sequestration, biomass energy, or both? *Sci Adv*,
20 **6**(13), eaay6792, doi:10.1126/sciadv.aay6792.
- 21 Favretto, N. et al., 2020: Delivering Climate-Development Co-Benefits through Multi-Stakeholder Forestry Projects in
22 Madagascar: Opportunities and Challenges. MDPI AG, 157 pp.
- 23 Feingold, D., S. Koop and K. van Leeuwen, 2018: The City Blueprint Approach: Urban Water Management and
24 Governance in Cities in the U.S. Springer New York LLC, 9-23 pp.
- 25 Fekete, A. and J. Rhyner, 2020: Sustainable Digital Transformation of Disaster Risk—Integrating New Types of Digital
26 Social Vulnerability and Interdependencies with Critical Infrastructure. *Sustainability*, **12**(22),
27 doi:10.3390/su12229324.
- 28 Ferreira Costa, C. G., 2020: Disaster risk management as a process to forge climate-resilient pathways: Lessons learned
29 from Cabo Verde. *Desenvolvimento e Meio Ambiente*, **53**, 250-275, doi:10.5380/dma.v53i0.67345.
- 30 Fiack, D., J. Cumberbatch, M. Sutherland and N. Zerphey, 2021: Sustainable adaptation: Social equity and local climate
31 adaptation planning in U.S. cities. *Cities*, **115**, 103235, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cities.2021.103235>.
- 32 Fidelman, P., T. Van Tuyen, K. Nong and M. Nursey-Bray, 2017: The institutions-adaptive capacity nexus: Insights
33 from coastal resources co-management in Cambodia and Vietnam, 103-112 pp.
- 34 Figueiredo, P. and P. E. Perkins, 2013: Women and water management in times of climate change: participatory and
35 inclusive processes. Elsevier Ltd, 188-194 pp.
- 36 Filazzola, A., N. Shrestha and J. S. MacIvor, 2019: The contribution of constructed green infrastructure to urban
37 biodiversity: A synthesis and meta-analysis. Blackwell Publishing Ltd, 2131-2143 pp.
- 38 Findlater, K., S. Webber, M. Kandlikar and S. Donner, 2021: Climate services promise better decisions but mainly
39 focus on better data. *Nature Climate Change*, **11**(9), 731-737, doi:10.1038/s41558-021-01125-3.
- 40 Findlater, K. M., M. Kandlikar and T. Satterfield, 2019: Misunderstanding conservation agriculture: Challenges in
41 promoting, monitoring and evaluating sustainable farming. Elsevier Ltd, 47-54 pp.
- 42 Firth, L. B. et al., 2014: Between a rock and a hard place: Environmental and engineering considerations when
43 designing coastal defence structures, 122-135 pp.
- 44 Fischer, H. W., 2020: Policy innovations for pro-poor climate support: social protection, small-scale infrastructure, and
45 active citizenship under India's MGNREGA. Taylor and Francis Ltd., 689-702 pp.
- 46 Fisher, E., J. Hellin, H. Greatrex and N. Jensen, 2019: Index insurance and climate risk management: Addressing social
47 equity. *Development Policy Review*, **37**(5), 581-602, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1111/dpr.12387>.
- 48 Fitzgibbons, J. and C. Mitchell, 2019: Just urban futures? Exploring equity in “100 Resilient Cities”. Elsevier Ltd, 648-
49 659 pp.
- 50 Fleischman, F. et al., 2020: Pitfalls of Tree Planting Show Why We Need People-Centered Natural Climate Solutions.
51 Oxford University Press, 947-950 pp.
- 52 Flexer, V., C. F. Baspineiro and C. I. Galli, 2018: Lithium recovery from brines: A vital raw material for green energies
53 with a potential environmental impact in its mining and processing. *Science of The Total Environment*, **639**, 1188-
54 1204, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.05.223>.
- 55 Ford, J. D., G. McDowell and J. Jones, 2014: The state of climate change adaptation in the Arctic. Institute of Physics
56 Publishing, 104005 pp.
- 57 Forino, G., J. von Meding and G. Brewer, 2019: Community based initiatives to mainstream climate change adaptation
58 into disaster risk reduction: evidence from the Hunter Valley (Australia). *Local Environment*, **24**, 52-67,
59 doi:10.1080/13549839.2018.1548010.
- 60 Forsyth, A. and R. Peiser, 2021: Lessons from planned resettlement and new town experiences for avoiding climate
61 sprawl. Elsevier B.V., 103957 pp.
- 62 Fosas, D. et al., 2018: Mitigation versus adaptation: Does insulating dwellings increase overheating risk? *Building and*
63 *Environment*, **143**, 740-759, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2018.07.033>.

- 1 Foster, R. and A. Cota, 2014: Solar Water Pumping Advances and Comparative Economics, 1431-1436 pp.
- 2 Foti, E., R. E. Musumeci and M. Stagnitti, 2020: Coastal defence techniques and climate change: a review. Springer,
3 123-138 pp.
- 4 Fox, M. et al., 2019: Integrating public health into climate change policy and planning: State of practice update. MDPI
5 AG.
- 6 Freduah, G., P. Fidelman and T. F. Smith, 2018: Mobilising adaptive capacity to multiple stressors: Insights from
7 small-scale coastal fisheries in the Western Region of Ghana. Elsevier Ltd, 61-72 pp.
- 8 Fu, X. and J. Song, 2017: Assessing the economic costs of sea level rise and benefits of coastal protection: A
9 spatiotemporal approach, 1495 pp.
- 10 Gain, A. K., J. J. Rouillard and D. Benson, 2013: Can Integrated Water Resources Management Increase Adaptive
11 Capacity to Climate Change Adaptation? A Critical Review, 11-20 pp.
- 12 Galappaththi, E. K., J. D. Ford and E. M. Bennett, 2019: A framework for assessing community adaptation to climate
13 change in a fisheries context. Elsevier Ltd, 17-26 pp.
- 14 Galappaththi, E. K. et al., 2020: Climate change adaptation in aquaculture. Wiley-Blackwell, 2160-2176 pp.
- 15 Garg, A., B. Kankal and P. R. Shukla, 2011: Methane emissions in India: Sub-regional and sectoral trends. *Atmospheric
16 Environment*, **45**(28), 4922-4929, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.06.004.
- 17 Garg, K. K. et al., 2020: Improved water balance and ecosystem services through integrated watershed development.
18 Springer International Publishing, 65-80 pp.
- 19 Gartrell, A., E. Calgaro, G. Goddard and N. Saorath, 2020: Disaster experiences of women with disabilities: Barriers
20 and opportunities for disability inclusive disaster risk reduction in Cambodia. *Global Environmental Change*, **64**,
21 102134, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2020.102134.
- 22 Gaughan, J. B., V. Sejian, T. L. Mader and F. R. Dunshea, 2019: Adaptation strategies: Ruminants. Oxford University
23 Press, 47-53 pp.
- 24 Gautam, A., R. Shankar and P. Vrat, 2021: End-of-life solar photovoltaic e-waste assessment in India: a step towards a
25 circular economy. *Sustainable Production and Consumption*, **26**, 65-77,
26 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spc.2020.09.011.
- 27 Gautam, Y. and P. Andersen, 2016: Rural livelihood diversification and household well-being: Insights from Humla,
28 Nepal. Elsevier Ltd, 239-249 pp.
- 29 Gebreslassie, M. G., 2020: Solar home systems in Ethiopia: Sustainability challenges and policy directions. Elsevier,
30 100880 pp.
- 31 Geekiyanage, D., K. Keraminiyage, T. Fernando and T. Jayawickrama, 2021: Factors influencing acceptance or
32 rejection regarding being the host community for post-disaster resettlements in developing countries. Elsevier,
33 101973 pp.
- 34 Georgeson, L., M. Maslin, M. Poessinouw and S. Howard, 2016: Adaptation responses to climate change differ
35 between global megacities. Nature Publishing Group, 584-588 pp.
- 36 Gernaat, D. E. H. J. et al., 2021: Climate change impacts on renewable energy supply. *Nature Climate Change*, **11**(2),
37 119-125, doi:10.1038/s41558-020-00949-9.
- 38 Ghahramani, A., R. S. Kingwell and T. N. Maraseni, 2020: Land use change in Australian mixed crop-livestock
39 systems as a transformative climate change adaptation. *Agricultural Systems*, **180**, 102791,
40 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2020.102791.
- 41 Ghiasian, M. et al., 2021: Dissipation of wave energy by a hybrid artificial reef in a wave simulator: implications for
42 coastal resilience and shoreline protection. *Limnology and Oceanography: Methods*, **19**(1), 1-7,
43 doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/lom3.10400.
- 44 Ghosh-Jerath, S. et al., 2021: Pathways of Climate Change Impact on Agroforestry, Food Consumption Pattern, and
45 Dietary Diversity Among Indigenous Subsistence Farmers of Sauria Paharia Tribal Community of India: A Mixed
46 Methods Study. *Frontiers*, 174 pp.
- 47 Gibb, R., L. H. V. Franklins, D. W. Redding and K. E. Jones, 2020: Ecosystem perspectives are needed to manage
48 zoonotic risks in a changing climate. BMJ Publishing Group.
- 49 Gibbs, M. T., 2016: Why is coastal retreat so hard to implement? Understanding the political risk of coastal adaptation
50 pathways, 107-114 pp.
- 51 Gilbert, A., B. K. Sovacool, P. Johnstone and A. Stirling, 2017: Cost overruns and financial risk in the construction of
52 nuclear power reactors: A critical appraisal. *Energy Policy*, **102**, 644-649,
53 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2016.04.001.
- 54 Gilfillan, D., 2019: The health sector's role in governance of climate change adaptation in Myanmar. *Climate and
55 Development*, **11**(7), 574-584, doi:10.1080/17565529.2018.1510364.
- 56 Gill, G. J. (ed.), CIMMYT-CCAFS An Assessment of the Impact of Laser-Assisted Precision Land Levelling
57 Technology as a Component of Climate-Smart Agriculture in the State of Haryana, India.
- 58 Gioli, G. and A. Milan, 2019: Gender, migration and (global) environmental change. Routledge, 135-150 pp.
- 59 Gjorgiev, B. and G. Sansavini, 2018: Electrical power generation under policy constrained water-energy nexus, 568-
60 579 pp.
- 61 Glantz, M. H. and G. E. Pierce, 2021: Forecast Hesitancy: Why are People Reluctant to Believe, Accept, or Respond to
62 Various Weather, Water, and Climate Hazard-Related Forecasts? *International Journal of Disaster Risk Science*,
63 **12**(4), 600-609, doi:10.1007/s13753-021-00353-7.

- 1 Goh, K., 2020: Flows in formation: The global-urban networks of climate change adaptation. SAGE Publications Ltd,
2 2222-2240 pp.
- 3 Goldman, S. et al., 2012: Energy efficiency: a tool for climate change adaptation.
- 4 Gómez Martín, E., M. Máñez Costa and K. Schwerdtner Máñez, 2020: An operationalized classification of Nature
5 Based Solutions for water-related hazards: From theory to practice. Elsevier B.V., 106460 pp.
- 6 Goniewicz, K. and F. M. Burkle, 2019: Challenges in Implementing Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction in
7 Poland. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, **16**(14),
8 doi:10.3390/ijerph16142574.
- 9 Gonzalez-Sanchez, E. J. et al., 2019: Meta-analysis on carbon sequestration through Conservation Agriculture in Africa.
10 Elsevier B.V., 22-30 pp.
- 11 Gopal, N. et al., 2020: Expanding the horizons for women in fisheries and aquaculture. Routledge, 1-9 pp.
- 12 Göransson, G. et al., 2021: Territorial governance of managed retreat in Sweden: addressing challenges. *Journal of*
13 *Environmental Studies and Sciences*, **11**(3), 376-391, doi:10.1007/s13412-021-00696-z.
- 14 Goryushina, E. M., 2021: Challenges of the Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction Adaption in Russia. *IOP*
15 *Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, **720**(1), 012101, doi:10.1088/1755-1315/720/1/012101.
- 16 Gostin, L. O. and E. A. Friedman, 2017: Global Health: A Pivotal Moment Of Opportunity And Peril. *Health Affairs*,
17 **36**(1), 159-165, doi:10.1377/hlthaff.2016.1492.
- 18 Gould, S. and L. Rudolph, 2015: Challenges and Opportunities for Advancing Work on Climate Change and Public
19 Health. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, **12**(12), 15649-15672.
- 20 Goulden, M. C., W. N. Adger, E. H. Allison and D. Conway, 2013: Limits to Resilience from Livelihood
21 Diversification and Social Capital in Lake Social–Ecological Systems. Taylor & Francis Group, 906-924 pp.
- 22 Grafakos, S. et al., 2019: Analytical framework to evaluate the level of integration of climate adaptation and mitigation
23 in cities. *Climatic Change*, **154**(1), 87-106, doi:10.1007/s10584-019-02394-w.
- 24 Grafton, R. Q. et al., 2018: The paradox of irrigation efficiency. American Association for the Advancement of Science,
25 748-750 pp.
- 26 Graham, C., 2020: Climate-induced population displacement in sub-Saharan Africa: A review of resilience-building
27 strategies. Elsevier Ltd, 300-303 pp.
- 28 Gray, E. and A. Srinidhi, 2013: Watershed Development in India: Economic valuation and adaptation considerations.
29 World Resources Institute, Washington DC, USA, 32 pp.
- 30 Gray, M., E. Micheli, T. Comendant and A. Merenlender, 2020: Quantifying Climate-Wise Connectivity across a
31 Topographically Diverse Landscape. MDPI AG, 355 pp.
- 32 Greenland, S., E. Levin, J. F. Dalrymple and B. O'Mahony, 2019: Sustainable innovation adoption barriers: water
33 sustainability, food production and drip irrigation in Australia. 727-741 pp.
- 34 Greiving, S., J. Du and W. Puntub, 2018: Managed Retreat — A Strategy for the Mitigation of Disaster Risks with
35 International and Comparative Perspectives. *Journal of Extreme Events*, **05**(02n03), 1850011,
36 doi:10.1142/s2345737618500112.
- 37 Grubler, A., 2010: The costs of the French nuclear scale-up: A case of negative learning by doing. *Energy Policy*, **38**(9),
38 5174-5188, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2010.05.003.
- 39 Guarnacci, U., 2012: Governance for sustainable reconstruction after disasters: Lessons from Nias, Indonesia.
40 *Environmental Development*, **2**, 73-85, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envdev.2012.03.010.
- 41 Guillemot, J. et al., 2018: Native coffee agroforestry in the Western Ghats of India maintains higher carbon storage and
42 tree diversity compared to exotic agroforestry. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, **265**, 461-469,
43 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2018.06.002.
- 44 Gullström, C., O. Kordas and M. Molinari, 2017: Sharing Spaces in the Sharing Economy - to save energy,
45 increasewell-being or boost inn vation? How do new initiatives align with energy transition? In: *BIWAES 2017*,
46 2017, Graz.
- 47 Gumucio, T., J. Hansen, S. Huyer and T. van Huysen, 2020: Gender-responsive rural climate services: a review of the
48 literature. *Climate and Development*, **12**(3), 241-254, doi:10.1080/17565529.2019.1613216.
- 49 Gupta, S., 2014: From Demanding to Delivering Development: Challenges of NGO-Led Development in Rural
50 Rajasthan, India. Sage Publications India Pvt. Ltd, 121-145 pp.
- 51 Gür, T. M., 2018: Review of electrical energy storage technologies, materials and systems: challenges and prospects for
52 large-scale grid storage. *Energy & Environmental Science*, **11**(10), 2696-2767, doi:10.1039/C8EE01419A.
- 53 Gussmann, G. and J. Hinkel, 2020: What drives relocation policies in the Maldives? Springer, 931-951 pp.
- 54 Gutiérrez, J. L. et al., 2012: Physical Ecosystem Engineers and the Functioning of Estuaries and Coasts. Academic
55 Press, Waltham, MA, USA, 53-81 pp.
- 56 Haines, A. and K. Ebi, 2019: The Imperative for Climate Action to Protect Health. *New England Journal of Medicine*
57 (NEJM/MMS), 263-273 pp.
- 58 Halabi, S. F., 2020: Adaptation of Animal and Human Health Surveillance Systems for Vector-Borne Diseases
59 Accompanying Climate Change. *J Law Med Ethics*, **48**(4), 694-704, doi:10.1177/1073110520979375.
- 60 Hallegatte, S., C. Green, R. J. Nicholls and J. Corfee-Morlot, 2013: Future flood losses in major coastal cities. *Nature*
61 *Research*, 802-806 pp.
- 62 Hallegatte, S., J. Rentschler and J. Rozenberg, 2019: Lifelines: The Resilient Infrastructure Opportunity. Washington,
63 DC: World Bank.

- 1 Hamin, E. et al., 2018: Pathways to Coastal Resiliency: The Adaptive Gradients Framework. MDPI AG, 2629 pp.
- 2 Han, D. et al., 2018: Large soil organic carbon increase due to improved agronomic management in the North China
- 3 Plain from 1980s to 2010s. *Global Change Biology*, **24**(3), 987-1000, doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13898.
- 4 Hanefeld, J. et al., 2018: Towards an understanding of resilience: Responding to health systems shocks. Oxford
- 5 University Press, 355-367 pp.
- 6 Hanley, M. E., T. J. Bouma and H. L. Mossman, 2020: The gathering storm: Optimizing management of coastal
- 7 ecosystems in the face of a climate-driven threat. Oxford University Press, 197-212 pp.
- 8 Hanna, R. et al., 2018: Unlocking the potential of Energy Systems Integration, 40 pp.
- 9 Hansen, J. et al., 2019: Climate risk management and rural poverty reduction. Elsevier Ltd, 28-46 pp.
- 10 Hargan, K. E. et al., 2020: Understanding the fate of shrimp aquaculture effluent in a mangrove ecosystem: Aiding
- 11 management for coastal conservation. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, 754-765 pp.
- 12 Harjanne, A., 2017: Servitizing climate science—Institutional analysis of climate services discourse and its
- 13 implications. *Global Environmental Change*, **46**, 1-16, doi:10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2017.06.008.
- 14 Harvey, B., L. Jones, L. Cochrane and R. Singh, 2019: The evolving landscape of climate services in sub-Saharan
- 15 Africa: What roles have NGOs played? *Climatic Change*, **157**(1), 81-98, doi:10.1007/s10584-019-02410-z.
- 16 Hasan, M. R., M. Nasreen and M. A. Chowdhury, 2019: Gender-inclusive disaster management policy in Bangladesh:
- 17 A content analysis of national and international regulatory frameworks. Elsevier Ltd.
- 18 Hasan, S., J. Evers, A. Zegwaard and M. Zwarteveen, 2019: Making waves in the Mekong Delta: recognizing the work
- 19 and the actors behind the transfer of Dutch delta planning expertise. Routledge, 1583-1602 pp.
- 20 Hassanali, K., 2017: Challenges in mainstreaming climate change into productive coastal sectors in a Small Island State
- 21 – The case of Trinidad and Tobago. Elsevier Ltd, 136-142 pp.
- 22 Hatch, M. et al., 2005: The Chernobyl Disaster: Cancer following the Accident at the Chernobyl Nuclear Power Plant.
- 23 *Epidemiologic Reviews*, **27**(1), 56-66, doi:10.1093/epirev/mxi012.
- 24 Hauer, M. E., J. M. Evans and D. R. Mishra, 2016: Millions projected to be at risk from sea-level rise in the continental
- 25 United States, 691-695 pp.
- 26 Hauer, M. E. et al., 2020: Sea-level rise and human migration. Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 28-39 pp.
- 27 Hayes, K., B. Poland, D. C. Cole and B. Agic, 2020: Psychosocial adaptation to climate change in High River, Alberta:
- 28 implications for policy and practice. *Can J Public Health*, **111**(6), 880-889, doi:10.17269/s41997-020-00380-9.
- 29 Heasman, K. G. et al., 2020: Extending New Zealand's Marine Shellfish Aquaculture Into Exposed Environments –
- 30 Adapting to Modern Anthropogenic Challenges, 751 pp.
- 31 Heikkinen, M., T. Ylä-Anttila and S. Juhola, 2019: Incremental, reformistic or transformational: what kind of change do
- 32 C40 cities advocate to deal with climate change? Routledge, 90-103 pp.
- 33 Helgeson, J. F. and E. G. O'Rear (eds.), Improving the economic viability of investment in building sustainability
- 34 through the valuation of resilience-based co-benefits. Gaithersburg, MD.
- 35 Hellin, J., B. D. Ratner, R. Meinzen-Dick and S. Lopez-Ridaura, 2018: Increasing social-ecological resilience within
- 36 small-scale agriculture in conflict-affected Guatemala. Resilience Alliance.
- 37 Helmrich, A. M. and M. V. Chester, 2020: Reconciling complexity and deep uncertainty in infrastructure design for
- 38 climate adaptation. Taylor & Francis, 1-17 pp.
- 39 Henrique, K. P. and P. Tschakert, 2020: Pathways to urban transformation: From dispossession to climate justice.
- 40 *Progress in Human Geography*, **0**(0), 0309132520962856, doi:10.1177/0309132520962856.
- 41 Hepburn, C. et al., 2019: The technological and economic prospects for CO2 utilization and removal. *Nature*,
- 42 **575**(7781), 87-97, doi:10.1038/s41586-019-1681-6.
- 43 Hernández-Morcillo, M. et al., 2018: Scanning agroforestry-based solutions for climate change mitigation and
- 44 adaptation in Europe. Elsevier Ltd, 44-52 pp.
- 45 Herrero, M. et al., 2016: Climate change and pastoralism: impacts, consequences and adaptation, 417-433 pp.
- 46 Herslund, L. and P. Mguni, 2019: Examining urban water management practices – Challenges and possibilities for
- 47 transitions to sustainable urban water management in Sub-Saharan cities. Elsevier Ltd.
- 48 Herwehe, L. and C. A. Scott, 2018: Drought adaptation and development: small-scale irrigated agriculture in northeast
- 49 Brazil, 337-346 pp.
- 50 Hewitt, C. D. et al., 2020: Making Society Climate Resilient: International Progress under the Global Framework for
- 51 Climate Services. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, **101**(2), E237-E252, doi:10.1175/BAMS-D-
- 52 18-0211.1.
- 53 Hewitt, C. N., K. Ashworth and A. R. MacKenzie, 2020: Using green infrastructure to improve urban air quality
- 54 (GI4AQ). Springer, 62-73 pp.
- 55 Hillier, D., 2018: Facing Risk: Options and challenges in ensuring that climate/disaster risk finance and insurance
- 56 deliver for poor people. Oxfam.
- 57 Hinkel, J. et al., 2018: The ability of societies to adapt to twenty-first-century sea-level rise. *Nature Climate Change*,
- 58 **8**(7), 570-578, doi:10.1038/s41558-018-0176-z.
- 59 Hinkel, J. et al., 2014: Coastal flood damage and adaptation costs under 21st century sea-level rise, 3292-3297 pp.
- 60 Hirsch, A. L. et al., 2018: Modelled biophysical impacts of conservation agriculture on local climates. *Global Change*
- 61 *Biology*, **24**(10), 4758-4774, doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14362.
- 62 Hobday, A. J. et al., 2018: A Framework for Combining Seasonal Forecasts and Climate Projections to Aid Risk
- 63 Management for Fisheries and Aquaculture. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, **5**(137), doi:10.3389/fmars.2018.00137.

- 1 Hoegh-Guldberg, O. and e. al. (eds.), *The Ocean as a Solution to Climate Change: Five Opportunities for Action*.
2 Hoffmann, R. et al., 2020: A meta-analysis of country-level studies on environmental change and migration. *Nature*
3 *Research*, 904-912 pp.
- 4 Holt, V. K., A. R. Hopkins, D. Mozersky and S. Das (eds.), *Shifting Power. Transitioning to Renewable Energy in*
5 *United Nations Peace Operations*.
- 6 Honarmand Ebrahimi, S. and M. Ossewaarde, 2019: Not a security issue: How policy experts de-politicize the climate
7 change-migration nexus. *MDPI AG*, 214 pp.
- 8 Hörner, D. and M. Wollni, 2020: RTG 1666 GlobalFood Transformation of Global Agri-Food Systems: Trends,
9 Driving Forces, and Implications for Developing Countries The effects of Integrated Soil Fertility Management on
10 household welfare in Ethiopia.
- 11 Hörner, D. and M. Wollni, 2020: RTG 1666 GlobalFood Transformation of Global Agri-Food Systems: Trends,
12 Driving Forces, and Implications for Developing Countries Does Integrated Soil Fertility Management increase
13 returns to land and labor? Plot-level evidence from Ethiopia.
- 14 Hosen, N., H. Nakamura and A. Hamzah, 2020: Adaptation to Climate Change: Does Traditional Ecological
15 Knowledge Hold the Key? *Sustainability*, **12**(2), doi:10.3390/su12020676.
- 16 Hostettler, S., A. Jöhr, C. Montes and A. D'Acunzi, 2019: Community-based landslide risk reduction: a review of a Red
17 Cross soil bioengineering for resilience program in Honduras. Springer Verlag, 1779-1791 pp.
- 18 Howard, J., 2014: *Chernobyl Nuclear Disaster*. Wiley.
- 19 Hughes, S., 2020: Principles, drivers, and policy tools for just climate change adaptation in legacy cities. Elsevier Ltd,
20 35-41 pp.
- 21 Hung, H.-C., Y.-T. Lu and C.-H. Hung, 2018: The determinants of integrating policy-based and community-based
22 adaptation into coastal hazard risk management: a resilience approach, 1-19 pp.
- 23 Hunt, A. et al., 2017: Climate and weather service provision: Economic appraisal of adaptation to health impacts.
24 *Climate Services*, **7**, 78-86, doi:10.1016/j.cliser.2016.10.004.
- 25 Hussey, L. K. and G. Arku, 2020: Are we ready for it? Health systems preparedness and capacity towards climate
26 change-induced health risks: perspectives of health professionals in Ghana. Taylor and Francis Ltd., 170-182 pp.
- 27 Huynh, L. T. M. and L. C. Stringer, 2018: Multi-scale assessment of social vulnerability to climate change: An
28 empirical study in coastal Vietnam. *Climate Risk Management*, **20**, 165-180,
29 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crm.2018.02.003.
- 30 Iiyama, M. et al., 2017: Understanding patterns of tree adoption on farms in semi-arid and sub-humid Ethiopia.
31 Springer Netherlands, 271-293 pp.
- 32 Imburgia, L., 2019: Irrigation and equality: An integrative gender-analytical approach to water governance with
33 examples from Ethiopia and Argentina. *Water Alternatives*, **12**(2), 571-587.
- 34 Imburgia, L., H. Osbahr, S. Cardey and J. Momsen, 2020: Inclusive participation, self-governance, and sustainability:
35 Current challenges and opportunities for women in leadership of communal irrigation systems. *Environment and*
36 *Planning E: Nature and Space*, 2514848620934717, doi:10.1177/2514848620934717.
- 37 IPCC (ed.), *Managing the Risks of Extreme Events and Disasters to Advance Climate Change Adaptation. A Special*
38 *Report of Working Groups I and II of IPCC Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. Cambridge, United
39 Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, Cambridge University Press, 594 pp. ISBN 978-1-107-60780-4.
- 40 IPCC, 2019: *Climate Change and Land: an IPCC special report*, 1-864 pp.
- 41 Iqbal, M. P., 2021: Effect of Climate Change on Health in Pakistan: Climate Change and Health in Pakistan.
42 *Proceedings of the Pakistan Academy of Sciences: B. Life and Environmental Sciences*, **57**(3), 1-12.
- 43 Islam, M. R. and M. Shamsuddoha, 2017: Socioeconomic consequences of climate induced human displacement and
44 migration in Bangladesh. *International Sociology*, **32**, 277-298, doi:10.1177/0268580917693173.
- 45 Islam, S., C. Chu and J. Smart, 2020: Challenges in integrating disaster risk reduction and climate change adaptation:
46 Exploring the Bangladesh case. *International journal of disaster risk reduction*, **47**, 101540.
- 47 Islam, S., C. Chu and J. C. R. Smart, 2020: Challenges in integrating disaster risk reduction and climate change
48 adaptation: Exploring the Bangladesh case. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, **47**,
49 doi:10.1016/j.ijdr.2020.101540.
- 50 Islam, S., C. Chu, J. C. R. Smart and L. Liew, 2020: Integrating disaster risk reduction and climate change adaptation: a
51 systematic literature review. Taylor and Francis Ltd., 255-267 pp.
- 52 Islam, S. F.-u. et al., 2020: Mitigation of greenhouse gas emissions and reduced irrigation water use in rice production
53 through water-saving irrigation scheduling, reduced tillage and fertiliser application strategies. *Science of The*
54 *Total Environment*, **739**, 140215, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.140215.
- 55 Jabeen, H., 2019: Gendered space and climate resilience in informal settlements in Khulna City, Bangladesh.
56 *Environment and Urbanization*, **31**(1), 115-138, doi:10.1177/0956247819828274.
- 57 Jacobi, J. et al., 2017: Whose Knowledge, Whose Development? Use and Role of Local and External Knowledge in
58 Agroforestry Projects in Bolivia. *Environmental Management*, **59**(3), 464-476, doi:10.1007/s00267-016-0805-0.
- 59 Jacobson, C., S. Crevello, C. Chea and B. Jarihani, 2019: When is migration a maladaptive response to climate change?,
60 101-112 pp.
- 61 Jagals, P. and K. Ebi, 2021: Core Competencies for Health Workers to Deal with Climate and Environmental Change.
62 *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, **18**(8), 3849.

- 1 Jägermeyr, J. et al., 2015: Water savings potentials of irrigation systems: global simulation of processes and linkages,
2 3073-3091 pp.
- 3 Jain, A. and N. Mehta, 2020: Carbon Sequestration and Crop Productivity in Eucalyptus-Wheat Agroforestry System.
- 4 Jain, G. and A. B. Bazaz, 2020: A Multi-Scalar Approach for Assessing Costs and Benefits of Risk Reduction
5 Alternatives for the People and the City: Cases of Three Resettlements in Visakhapatnam, India. *Sustainability*,
6 **12**(15), doi:10.3390/su12155958.
- 7 Jarvis, D. I. et al., 2008: A global perspective of the richness and evenness of traditional crop-variety diversity
8 maintained by farming communities. *National Academy of Sciences*, 5326-5331 pp.
- 9 Jarzabkowski, P. et al., 2019: Insurance for climate adaptation: Opportunities and limitations.
- 10 Jasiūnas, J., P. D. Lund, J. Mikkola and L. Koskela, 2021: Linking socio-economic aspects to power system disruption
11 models. Elsevier Ltd.
- 12 Jat, R. K. et al., 2014: Seven years of conservation agriculture in a rice-wheat rotation of Eastern Gangetic Plains of
13 South Asia: Yield trends and economic profitability, 199-210 pp.
- 14 Jayanthi, M., S. Thirumurthy, M. Muralidhar and P. Ravichandran, 2018: Impact of shrimp aquaculture development on
15 important ecosystems in India, 10-21 pp.
- 16 Jegede, A. O., M. Addaney and U. C. Mokoena, 2020: Climate Change Risk and Insurance as an Adaptation Strategy:
17 An Enquiry into the Regulatory Framework of South Africa and Ghana. In: *Handbook of Climate Services* [Leal
18 Filho, W. and D. Jacob (eds.)]. Springer International Publishing, Cham, pp. 279-294. ISBN 978-3-030-36875-3.
- 19 Jennings, S. et al., 2016: Aquatic food security: insights into challenges and solutions from an analysis of interactions
20 between fisheries, aquaculture, food safety, human health, fish and human welfare, economy and environment,
21 893-938 pp.
- 22 Jensen, O. and S. Nair, 2019: Integrated urban water management and water security: A comparison of Singapore and
23 Hong Kong. MDPI AG.
- 24 Jessoe, K., D. T. Manning and J. E. Taylor, 2018: Climate Change and Labour Allocation in Rural Mexico: Evidence
25 from Annual Fluctuations in Weather. *The Economic Journal*, **128**, 230-261, doi:10.1111/ECOJ.12448.
- 26 Ji, H. and D. Lee, 2021: Disaster risk reduction, community resilience, and policy effectiveness: the case of the Hazard
27 Mitigation Grant Program in the United States. *Disasters*, **45**, 378-402, doi:10.1111/disa.12424.
- 28 Jin, L., S. Schubert, M. Hefny Salim and C. Schneider, 2020: Impact of Air Conditioning Systems on the Outdoor
29 Thermal Environment during Summer in Berlin, Germany. *International Journal of Environmental Research and
30 Public Health*, **17**(13), doi:10.3390/ijerph17134645.
- 31 Joffre, O. M. et al., 2015: What drives the adoption of integrated shrimp mangrove aquaculture in Vietnam?, 53-63 pp.
- 32 Johnson, D. A. K. and Y. Abe, 2015: Global Overview on the Role of the Private Sector in Disaster Risk Reduction:
33 Scopes, Challenges, and Potentials. Springer Japan, Tokyo, Japan, 11-29 pp.
- 34 Johnson, K., S. Mortensen, C. Gueguen-Teil and A. R. Torre, 2021: Displaced by climate and disaster-induced
35 relocations: Experiences of cascading displacement in Fiji and the Philippines. *Disasters*, **n/a**(n/a),
36 doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/disa.12475.
- 37 Johnson, O. W. et al., 2020: Intersectionality and energy transitions: A review of gender, social equity and low-carbon
38 energy, 101774 pp.
- 39 Johnson, W. G., 2020: Using Precision Public Health to Manage Climate Change: Opportunities, Challenges, and
40 Health Justice. *J Law Med Ethics*, **48**(4), 681-693, doi:10.1177/1073110520979374.
- 41 Jones, H. P. et al., 2020: Global hotspots for coastal ecosystem-based adaptation. Public Library of Science, e0233005
42 pp.
- 43 Jones, K. W., K. Powlen, R. Roberts and X. Shinbrot, 2020: Participation in payments for ecosystem services programs
44 in the Global South: A systematic review. Elsevier B.V., 101159 pp.
- 45 Jordán, C. and S. Speelman, 2020: On-farm adoption of irrigation technologies in two irrigated valleys in Central Chile:
46 The effect of relative abundance of water resources. *Agricultural Water Management*, **236**, 106147,
47 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2020.106147.
- 48 Jordon, M. W. et al., 2020: Implications of Temperate Agroforestry on Sheep and Cattle Productivity, Environmental
49 Impacts and Enterprise Economics. A Systematic Evidence Map. Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute,
50 1321 pp.
- 51 Jørgensen, S. L., M. Termansen and U. Pascual, 2020: Natural insurance as condition for market insurance: Climate
52 change adaptation in agriculture. *Ecological Economics*, **169**, 106489,
53 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2019.106489.
- 54 Joshi, B., M. Kay, J. K. Copper and A. B. Sproul, 2019: Evaluation of solar irradiance forecasting skills of the
55 Australian Bureau of Meteorology's ACCESS models. *Solar Energy*, **188**, 386-402,
56 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.solener.2019.06.007.
- 57 Joy, A. and A. Gopinath, 2021: The Application of Reefs in Shoreline Protection. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, 295-315 pp.
- 58 Kaczan, D. J. and J. Orgill-Meyer, 2020: The impact of climate change on migration: a synthesis of recent empirical
59 insights. Springer, 281-300 pp.
- 60 Kälén, W., 2018: The Global Compact on Migration: A Ray of Hope for Disaster-Displaced Persons. *International
61 Journal of Refugee Law*, **30**(4), 664-667, doi:10.1093/ijrl/ey047.
- 62 Kanfar, M., J. Park, M. Bak and Y. Lee, 2020: Addressing Gender-Inclusive Public Health in Climate Change
63 Response: Women in Water-related Disaster, 427-436 pp.

- 1 Kannan, E. and K. B. Ramappa, 2017: Analysis of farm-level adoption of soil nutrient management technology by
2 paddy farmers in Karnataka, India. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, **19**, 2317-2331,
3 doi:10.1007/s10668-016-9858-8.
- 4 Karlberg, L., K. K. Garg, J. Barron and S. P. Wani, 2015: Impacts of agricultural water interventions on farm income:
5 An example from the Kothapally watershed, India, 30-38 pp.
- 6 Karlsson, M., E. Alfredsson and N. Westling, 2020: Climate policy co-benefits: a review. Taylor and Francis Ltd., 292-
7 316 pp.
- 8 Kassegn, A. and E. Endris, 2021: Review on livelihood diversification and food security situations in Ethiopia. Cogent.
9 Kaufman, J. D. et al., 2020: Guidance to Reduce the Cardiovascular Burden of Ambient Air Pollutants: A Policy
10 Statement From the American Heart Association. *Circulation*, **142**(23), e432-e447,
11 doi:doi:10.1161/CIR.0000000000000930.
- 12 Keeler, B. L. et al., 2019: Social-ecological and technological factors moderate the value of urban nature. Nature
13 Publishing Group, 29-38 pp.
- 14 Keeley, A. T. H. et al., 2018: New concepts, models, and assessments of climate-wise connectivity. Institute of Physics
15 Publishing.
- 16 Keeley, A. T. H. et al., 2018: Making habitat connectivity a reality. Blackwell Publishing Inc., 1221-1232 pp.
- 17 Keenan, J. M., T. Hill and A. Gumber, 2018: Climate gentrification: From theory to empiricism in Miami-Dade County,
18 Florida. Institute of Physics Publishing.
- 19 Keil, A., A. D'souza and A. McDonald, 2017: Zero-tillage is a proven technology for sustainable wheat intensification
20 in the Eastern Indo-Gangetic Plains: what determines farmer awareness and adoption? *Food Security*, **9**, 723-743,
21 doi:10.1007/s12571-017-0707-x.
- 22 Keil, A., A. Mitra, A. K. Srivastava and A. McDonald, 2019: Social inclusion increases with time for zero-tillage wheat
23 in the Eastern Indo-Gangetic Plains. *World Development*, **123**, 104582, doi:10.1016/j.worlddev.2019.06.006.
- 24 Keim, M. E. et al., 2021: Measuring the Efficacy of a Pilot Public Health Intervention for Engaging Communities of
25 Puerto Rico to Rapidly Write Hurricane Protection Plans. *Prehosp Disaster Med*, **36**(1), 32-41,
26 doi:10.1017/s1049023x20001429.
- 27 Kelman, I. et al., 2019: Does climate change influence people's migration decisions in Maldives? Springer Netherlands,
28 285-299 pp.
- 29 Kennedy, C. M. et al., 2013: A global quantitative synthesis of local and landscape effects on wild bee pollinators in
30 agroecosystems. *Ecology Letters*, **16**, 584-599, doi:10.1111/ele.12082.
- 31 Kenney, C. and S. Phibbs, 2020: Indigenous Peoples and Climate Change: Situating Culture, Identity, and Place in
32 Climate Change Risk Mitigation and Resilience. In: *Handbook of Climate Change Management: Research,*
33 *Leadership, Transformation* [Leal Filho, W., J. Luetz and D. Ayal (eds.)]. Springer International Publishing,
34 Cham, pp. 1-27. ISBN 978-3-030-22759-3.
- 35 Khalil, M. B., B. C. Jacobs, K. McKenna and N. Kuruppu, 2020: Female contribution to grassroots innovation for
36 climate change adaptation in Bangladesh. *Climate and Development*, **12**(7), 664-676,
37 doi:10.1080/17565529.2019.1676188.
- 38 Khatri-Chhetri, A., P. K. Aggarwal, P. K. Joshi and S. Vyas, 2017: Farmers' prioritization of climate-smart agriculture
39 (CSA) technologies. Elsevier Ltd, 184-191 pp.
- 40 Khatri-Chhetri, A., J. P. Aryal, T. B. Sapkota and R. Khurana, 2016: Economic benefits of climate-smart agricultural
41 practices to smallholder farmers in the Indo-Gangetic Plains of India. Indian Academy of Sciences, 1251-1256 pp.
- 42 Khurana, S. et al., 2021: Now is the time to press the reset button: Helping India's companies to become more resilient
43 and effective in overcoming the impacts of COVID-19, climate changes and other crises. *J Clean Prod*, **280**,
44 124466, doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.124466.
- 45 Kihila, J. M., 2018: Indigenous coping and adaptation strategies to climate change of local communities in Tanzania: a
46 review. Taylor and Francis Ltd., 406-416 pp.
- 47 Kim, H. and D. W. Marcouiller, 2020: Making sense of resilience planning and policy in the pursuit of sustainable
48 development and disaster risk reduction. *Climate and Development*, **12**, 228-240,
49 doi:10.1080/17565529.2019.1613215.
- 50 Kim, S., F. Sun and C. Irazábal, 2021: Planning for Climate Change. *Journal of the American Planning Association*,
51 **87**(1), 34-44, doi:10.1080/01944363.2020.1788415.
- 52 Kim, Y., M. V. Chester, D. A. Eisenberg and C. L. Redman, 2019: The Infrastructure Trolley Problem: Positioning
53 Safe-to-fail Infrastructure for Climate Change Adaptation. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, 704-717 pp.
- 54 Kind, J., W. J. W. Botzen and J. C. J. H. Aerts, 2017: Accounting for risk aversion, income distribution and social
55 welfare in cost-benefit analysis for flood risk management. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, e446 pp.
- 56 Kingsley, J. et al., 2021: Urban agriculture as a nature-based solution to address socio-ecological challenges in
57 Australian cities. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*, **60**, 127059, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ufug.2021.127059.
- 58 Kligler, B. et al., 2021: The impact of integrating environmental health into medical school curricula: a survey-based
59 study. *BMC Medical Education*, **21**(1), 40, doi:10.1186/s12909-020-02458-x.
- 60 Klinenberg, E., 2019: Palaces for the People: How Social Infrastructure Can Help Fight Inequality, Polarization, and the
61 Decline of Civic Life by Eric Klinenberg. *American Journal of Sociology*, **125**(2), 601-603, doi:10.1086/704770.
- 62 Kluger, L. C. and R. Filgueira, 2021: Thinking outside the box: embracing social complexity in aquaculture carrying
63 capacity estimations, 435-442 pp.

- 1 Kmoch, L., T. Pagella, M. Palm and F. Sinclair, 2018: Using local agroecological knowledge in climate change
2 adaptation: A study of tree-based options in Northern Morocco. *MDPI AG*, 3719 pp.
- 3 Köberl, J. et al., 2021: The demand side of climate services for real-time snow management in Alpine ski resorts: Some
4 empirical insights and implications for climate services development. *Climate Services*, **22**, 100238,
5 doi:10.1016/j.cliser.2021.100238.
- 6 Koech, R. and P. Langat, 2018: Improving Irrigation Water Use Efficiency: A Review of Advances, Challenges and
7 Opportunities in the Australian Context. *Water*, **10**(12), 1771.
- 8 Koff, H., C. Maganda and E. Kauffer, 2020: Transboundary water diplomacy among small states: a giant dilemma for
9 Central American regionalism, 275-291 pp.
- 10 Koop, S. H. A. and C. J. van Leeuwen, 2017: The challenges of water, waste and climate change in cities. *Environment,*
11 *Development and Sustainability*, **19**(2), 385-418, doi:10.1007/s10668-016-9760-4.
- 12 Koslov, L., 2019: *Avoiding Climate Change: “Agnostic Adaptation” and the Politics of Public Silence*. Taylor and
13 Francis Ltd., 568-580 pp.
- 14 Kothari, U., 2014: *Political discourses of climate change and migration: Resettlement policies in the Maldives*.
15 Blackwell Publishing Ltd, 130-140 pp.
- 16 Koubi, V. et al., 2018: The determinants of environmental migrants' conflict perception. *cambridge.org*.
- 17 Kougias, I. et al., 2016: A methodology for optimization of the complementarity between small-hydropower plants and
18 solar PV systems. *Renewable Energy*, **87**, 1023-1030, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2015.09.073.
- 19 Kouis, P. et al., 2021: The MEDEA childhood asthma study design for mitigation of desert dust health effects:
20 implementation of novel methods for assessment of air pollution exposure and lessons learned. *BMC Pediatrics*,
21 **21**(1), 13, doi:10.1186/s12887-020-02472-4.
- 22 Krause, G. et al., 2020: Visualizing the social in aquaculture: How social dimension components illustrate the effects of
23 aquaculture across geographic scales, 103985 pp.
- 24 Krauss, K. W. and M. J. Osland, 2020: *Tropical cyclones and the organization of mangrove forests: A review*. Oxford
25 University Press, 213-234 pp.
- 26 Krosby, M., J. Tewksbury, N. M. Haddad and J. Hoekstra, 2010: *Ecological Connectivity for a Changing Climate*. John
27 Wiley & Sons, Ltd, 1686-1689 pp.
- 28 Krueger, E., P. S. C. Rao and D. Borchardt, 2019: *Quantifying urban water supply security under global change*.
29 Elsevier Ltd, 66-74 pp.
- 30 Kuhl, L., K. Van Maanen and S. Scyphers, 2020: *An analysis of UNFCCC-financed coastal adaptation projects:*
31 *Assessing patterns of project design and contributions to adaptive capacity*. Pergamon, 104748 pp.
- 32 Kull, D. et al., 2016: *Building Resilience: World Bank Group Experience in Climate and Disaster Resilient*
33 *Development*. Springer International Publishing, Cham, Switzerland, 255-270 pp.
- 34 Kumar, M. D. and C. J. Perry, 2019: *What can explain groundwater rejuvenation in Gujarat in recent years?* Routledge,
35 891-906 pp.
- 36 Kumari Rigaud, K. et al. (eds.), *Groundswell: Preparing for Internal Climate Migration*. Washington DC, USA, The
37 World Bank, 222 pp.
- 38 Kupferberg, J. S., 2021: *Migration and dignity – relocation and adaptation in the face of climate change displacement in*
39 *the Pacific – a human rights perspective*. Routledge, 1-26 pp.
- 40 Kwasinski, A., F. Andrade, M. J. Castro-Sitiriche and E. O’Neill-Carrillo, 2019: *Hurricane Maria Effects on Puerto*
41 *Rico Electric Power Infrastructure*, 85-94 pp.
- 42 Kyle, P. et al., 2013: Influence of climate change mitigation technology on global demands of water for electricity
43 generation. *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control*, **13**, 112-123,
44 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijggc.2012.12.006.
- 45 Lacoue-Labarthe, T. et al., 2016: *Impacts of ocean acidification in a warming Mediterranean Sea: An overview*, 1-11
46 pp.
- 47 Lai, X., C. Lu and J. Liu, 2019: A synthesized factor analysis on energy consumption, economy growth, and carbon
48 emission of construction industry in China. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, **26**(14), 13896-13905,
49 doi:10.1007/s11356-019-04335-7.
- 50 Lal, R., 2015: Sequestering carbon and increasing productivity by conservation agriculture. *Journal of Soil and Water*
51 *Conservation*, **70**(3), 55A, doi:10.2489/jswc.70.3.55A.
- 52 Lal, R. et al., 2018: The carbon sequestration potential of terrestrial ecosystems. *Journal of Soil and Water*
53 *Conservation*, **73**(6), 145A, doi:10.2489/jswc.73.6.145A.
- 54 Lalani, B., P. Dorward and G. Holloway, 2017: *Farm-level Economic Analysis - Is Conservation Agriculture Helping*
55 *the Poor?* Elsevier B.V., 144-153 pp.
- 56 Lama, P., M. Hamza and M. Wester, 2020: *Gendered dimensions of migration in relation to climate change*. Taylor and
57 Francis Ltd.
- 58 Lamari, M., J. Bouchard, J. Jacob and L. Poulin-Larivière, 2016: *Monitoring and Evaluation of Climate Change*
59 *Adaptation in Coastal Zones: Overview of the Indicators in Use*. Springer, 3-20 pp.
- 60 Lambert, J. H., C. W. Karvetski and I. Linkov, 2011: *Adaptation to Climate Change and Other Emergent Conditions*
61 *with Inland and Terrestrial Infrastructure Systems with Application Case Studies*. Springer, Dordrecht, 575-596
62 pp.

- 1 Lange, W. et al., 2019: Ecosystem-Based Approaches for Disaster Risk Reduction and Climate Change Adaptation in
2 Rio de Janeiro State. Springer, Cham, 345-359 pp.
- 3 Langemeyer, J. and J. J. T. Connolly, 2020: Weaving notions of justice into urban ecosystem services research and
4 practice. Elsevier Ltd, 1-14 pp.
- 5 Larosa, F. and J. Mysiak, 2020: Business models for climate services: An analysis. *Climate Services*, **17**, 100111,
6 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cliser.2019.100111>.
- 7 Lasco, R. D., R. J. P. Delfino and M. L. O. Espaldon, 2014: Agroforestry systems: helping smallholders adapt to
8 climate risks while mitigating climate change. Wiley-Blackwell, 825-833 pp.
- 9 Lauer, H., M. Delos Reyes and J. Birkmann, 2021: Managed Retreat as Adaptation Option: Investigating Different
10 Resettlement Approaches and Their Impacts—Lessons from Metro Manila. *Sustainability*, **13**(2), 829.
- 11 Lauer, H., M. D. Reyes and J. Birkmann, 2021: Managed retreat as adaptation option: Investigating different
12 resettlement approaches and their impacts- lessons from Metro Manila. MDPI AG, 1-23 pp.
- 13 Lausche, B. et al. (eds.), The Legal Aspects of Connectivity Conservation A Concept Paper.
- 14 Lavorel, S., B. Locatelli, M. J. Colloff and E. Bruley, 2020: Co-producing ecosystem services for adapting to climate
15 change. Royal Society Publishing.
- 16 Lawrence, J. et al., 2020: Implementing Pre-Emptive Managed Retreat: Constraints and Novel Insights. *Current
17 Climate Change Reports*, **6**(3), 66-80, doi:10.1007/s40641-020-00161-z.
- 18 Le Cornu, E. et al., 2018: Spatial management in small-scale fisheries: A potential approach for climate change
19 adaptation in Pacific Islands. Elsevier Ltd, 350-358 pp.
- 20 Leakhena, C. et al., 2018: Aiming for Improved Gender Equity in Cambodian Aquaculture.
- 21 Lee, S. W. et al., 2020: Spatio-temporal patterns of the minimum rest time for outdoor workers exposed to summer heat
22 stress in South Korea. *Int J Biometeorol*, **64**(10), 1755-1765, doi:10.1007/s00484-020-01956-3.
- 23 Lehtveer, M. and M. Fridahl, 2020: Managing variable renewables with biomass in the European electricity system:
24 Emission targets and investment preferences. Elsevier Ltd.
- 25 Leigh, N. G. and H. Lee, 2019: Sustainable and resilient urban water systems: The role of decentralization and
26 planning. MDPI AG.
- 27 Lelieveld, J. et al., 2019: Effects of fossil fuel and total anthropogenic emission removal on public health and climate.
28 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **116**(15), 7192, doi:10.1073/pnas.1819989116.
- 29 Lemery, J. et al., 2020: Training Clinical And Public Health Leaders In Climate And Health. *Health Affairs*, **39**(12),
30 2189-2196, doi:10.1377/hlthaff.2020.01186.
- 31 Lenaiyasa, M. L., B. L. Bruyere, J. Salerno and T. Pickering, 2020: Pastoralists' use of income diversification as a
32 strategy for adapting to social-ecological change in Samburu, Kenya. Springer, 1-11 pp.
- 33 Levidow, L. et al., 2014: Improving water-efficient irrigation: Prospects and difficulties of innovative practices, 84-94
34 pp.
- 35 Lewis, D., L. Williams and R. Jones, 2020: A radical revision of the public health response to environmental crisis in a
36 warming world: contributions of Indigenous knowledges and Indigenous feminist perspectives. *Canadian Journal
37 of Public Health*, **111**(6), 897-900, doi:10.17269/s41997-020-00388-1.
- 38 Ley, D., 2017: Sustainable Development, Climate Change, and Renewable Energy in Rural Central America. Springer
39 International Publishing, Cham, 187-212 pp.
- 40 Li, M. et al., 2020: An ecological footprint approach for cropland use sustainability based on multi-objective
41 optimization modelling. *Journal of Environmental Management*, **273**, 111147,
42 doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.111147.
- 43 Li, W. et al., 2021: Climate change risk perceptions, facilitating conditions and health risk management intentions:
44 Evidence from farmers in rural China. *Climate Risk Management*, **32**, 100283,
45 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crm.2021.100283>.
- 46 Liao, C., C. Barrett and K.-A. Kassam, 2015: Does Diversification Improve Livelihoods? Pastoral Households in
47 Xinjiang, China. Blackwell Publishing Ltd, 1302-1330 pp.
- 48 Liao, W. et al., 2019: Preparing the next generation of health professionals to tackle climate change: Are China's
49 medical students ready? Academic Press Inc., 270-277 pp.
- 50 Ligsay, A., O. Telle and R. Paul, 2021: Challenges to Mitigating the Urban Health Burden of Mosquito-Borne Diseases
51 in the Face of Climate Change. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*, **18**(9), doi:10.3390/ijerph18095035.
- 52 Liimatainen, H., M. Pöllänen and R. Viri, 2018: CO2 reduction costs and benefits in transport: socio-technical
53 scenarios. *European Journal of Futures Research*, **6**(1), 22, doi:10.1186/s40309-018-0151-y.
- 54 Lim, X. Y., D. C. Y. Foo and R. R. Tan, 2018: Pinch analysis for the planning of power generation sector in the United
55 Arab Emirates: A climate-energy-water nexus study, 11-19 pp.
- 56 Lima, M. and C. Coelho, 2021: Integrated Methodology for Physical and Economic Assessment of Coastal
57 Interventions Impacts. Tech Reviews Ltd, 22-43 pp.
- 58 Lin, T. Y., V. M. V. Onselen and L. P. Vo, 2021: Coastal erosion in Vietnam: Case studies and implication for
59 integrated coastal zone management in the Vietnamese south-central coastline. IOP Publishing Ltd, 012009 pp.
- 60 Linares, C. et al., 2020: Impacts of climate change on the public health of the Mediterranean Basin population - Current
61 situation, projections, preparedness and adaptation. Academic Press Inc.
- 62 Lincke, D. and J. Hinkel, 2018: Economically robust protection against 21st century sea-level rise. Pergamon, 67-73 pp.

- 1 Linke, A., F. Witmer, J. O. L.-E. ... and u. 2018, 2018: The consequences of relocating in response to drought: human
2 mobility and conflict in contemporary Kenya. *iopscience.iop.org*, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/aad8cc.
- 3 Linnerooth-Bayer, J. and S. Hochrainer-Stigler, 2015: Financial instruments for disaster risk management and climate
4 change adaptation. *Climatic Change*, **133**(1), 85-100, doi:10.1007/s10584-013-1035-6.
- 5 Liu, L. and M. B. Jensen, 2018: Green infrastructure for sustainable urban water management: Practices of five
6 forerunner cities. Elsevier Ltd, 126-133 pp.
- 7 Liu, Q., W. Zhang, M. Yao and J. Yuan, 2017: Carbon emissions performance regulation for China's top generation
8 groups by 2020: Too challenging to realize? *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, **122**, 326-334,
9 doi:10.1016/j.resconrec.2017.03.008.
- 10 Liu, W. et al., 2020: Exploring Livelihood Resilience and Its Impact on Livelihood Strategy in Rural China. *Social*
11 *Indicators Research*, **150**, doi:10.1007/s11205-020-02347-2.
- 12 Liu, W., J. Li and J. Xu, 2020: Effects of disaster-related resettlement on the livelihood resilience of rural households in
13 China. Elsevier, 101649 pp.
- 14 Liu, Z. and J. Lan, 2015: The Sloping Land Conversion Program in China: Effect on the Livelihood Diversification of
15 Rural Households. Elsevier Ltd, 147-161 pp.
- 16 Lochhead, K., S. Ghafghazi, V. LeMay and G. Q. Bull, 2019: Examining the vulnerability of localized reforestation
17 strategies to climate change at a macroscale. Academic Press, 109625 pp.
- 18 Lock-Wah-Hoon, J. et al., 2020: Exploring Vector-Borne Disease Surveillance and Response Systems in Beijing,
19 China: A Qualitative Study from the Health System Perspective. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*, **17**(22),
20 doi:10.3390/ijerph17228512.
- 21 Loisel, P., M. Brunette and S. Couture, 2020: Insurance and Forest Rotation Decisions Under Storm Risk.
22 *Environmental and Resource Economics*, **76**, 347-367, doi:10.1007/s10640-020-00429-w.
- 23 Loon-Steensma, J. M. V. and P. Vellinga, 2019: How "wide green dikes" were reintroduced in The Netherlands: a case
24 study of the uptake of an innovative measure in long-term strategic delta planning. Routledge, 1525-1544 pp.
- 25 Lowe, R. et al., 2020: Building resilience to mosquito-borne diseases in the Caribbean. *PLOS Biology*, **18**(11),
26 e3000791, doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.3000791.
- 27 Lucas, C. H. and K. I. Booth, 2020: Privatizing climate adaptation: How insurance weakens solidaristic and collective
28 disaster recovery. *WIREs Climate Change*, **11**(6), e676, doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/wcc.676.
- 29 Lucas, J., 2015: Aquaculture, R1064-1065 pp.
- 30 Lucena, A. F. P. et al., 2018: Interactions between climate change mitigation and adaptation: The case of hydropower in
31 Brazil. *Energy*, **164**, 1161-1177, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2018.09.005.
- 32 Lugato, E., A. Leip and A. Jones, 2018: Mitigation potential of soil carbon management overestimated by neglecting
33 N2O emissions. *Nature Climate Change*, **8**(3), 219-223, doi:10.1038/s41558-018-0087-z.
- 34 Luisetti, T., E. L. Jackson and R. K. Turner, 2013: Valuing the European 'coastal blue carbon' storage benefit, 101-106
35 pp.
- 36 Lunduka, R. W., K. I. Mateva, C. Magorokosho and P. Manjeru, 2019: Impact of adoption of drought-tolerant maize
37 varieties on total maize production in south Eastern Zimbabwe. Taylor & Francis, 35-46 pp.
- 38 Luqman, M., R. Saqib, X. Shiwei and Y. Wen, 2018: Barriers to Gender Equality in Agricultural Extension in Pakistan:
39 Evidences from District Sargodha. *Sarhad Journal of Agriculture*, **34**(1), 136-143.
- 40 Lusiana, B., M. van Noordwijk and G. Cadisch, 2012: Land sparing or sharing? Exploring livestock fodder options in
41 combination with land use zoning and consequences for livelihoods and net carbon stocks using the FALLOW
42 model. Elsevier, 145-160 pp.
- 43 MacDonald, G. K., P. D'Odorico and D. A. Seekell, 2016: Pathways to sustainable intensification through crop water
44 management. Institute of Physics Publishing, 091001 pp.
- 45 Mach, K. J. et al., 2019: Managed retreat through voluntary buyouts of flood-prone properties. *Science Advances*, **5**,
46 doi:10.1126/SCIADV.AAX8995.
- 47 Mach, K. J. and A. R. Siders, 2021: Reframing strategic, managed retreat for transformative climate adaptation.
48 *Science*, **372**(6548), 1294-1299.
- 49 Magnan, A. K. and V. K. E. Duvat, 2018: Unavoidable solutions for coastal adaptation in Reunion Island (Indian
50 Ocean). Elsevier Ltd, 393-400 pp.
- 51 Maharjan, A. et al., 2020: Migration and Household Adaptation in Climate-Sensitive Hotspots in South Asia. Springer,
52 1-16 pp.
- 53 Mahon, R. et al., 2019: Fit for purpose? Transforming National Meteorological and Hydrological Services into National
54 Climate Service Centers. *Climate Services*, **13**, 14-23, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cliser.2019.01.002.
- 55 Mahzarnia, M., M. P. Moghaddam, P. T. Baboli and P. Siano, 2020: A Review of the Measures to Enhance Power
56 Systems Resilience. *IEEE Systems Journal*, **14**(3), 4059-4070, doi:10.1109/JSYST.2020.2965993.
- 57 Makate, C., R. Wang, M. Makate and N. Mango, 2016: Crop diversification and livelihoods of smallholder farmers in
58 Zimbabwe: Adaptive management for environmental change. SpringerOpen, 1-18 pp.
- 59 Maldonado, J. et al., 2021: Addressing the challenges of climate-driven community-led resettlement and site expansion:
60 knowledge sharing, storytelling, healing, and collaborative coalition building. *Journal of Environmental Studies*
61 *and Sciences*, **11**(3), 294-304, doi:10.1007/s13412-021-00695-0.
- 62 Mallick, B., B. Ahmed, J. V.-. Environments and u. 2017, 2017: Living with the risks of cyclone disasters in the south-
63 western coastal region of Bangladesh. *mdpi.com*, doi:10.3390/environments4010013.

- 1 Mandryk, M., P. Reidsma and M. K. van Ittersum, 2017: Crop and farm level adaptation under future climate
2 challenges: An exploratory study considering multiple objectives for Flevoland, the Netherlands. *Agricultural*
3 *Systems*, **152**, 154-164, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2016.12.016.
- 4 Marcantonio, F. D. and F. Kayitakire, 2017: Review of Pilot Projects on Index-Based Insurance in Africa: Insights and
5 Lessons Learned. *Green Energy and Technology*, **0**, 323-341, doi:10.1007/978-3-319-59096-7_16.
- 6 Marchezini, V., 2020: "What is a Sociologist Doing Here?" An Unconventional People-Centered Approach to Improve
7 Warning Implementation in the Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction. *International Journal of Disaster*
8 *Risk Science*, **11**(2), 218-229, doi:10.1007/s13753-020-00262-1.
- 9 Marcus, H. and L. Hanna, 2020: Understanding national barriers to climate change adaptation for public health: a
10 mixed-methods survey of national public health representatives. *International Journal of Health Governance*,
11 **ahead-of-print**, doi:10.1108/IJHG-06-2020-0061.
- 12 Marengo, J. A. et al., 2017: A globally deployable strategy for co-development of adaptation preferences to sea-level
13 rise: the public participation case of Santos, Brazil, 39-53 pp.
- 14 Margaret, D. and S. Matias, 2020: Climate humanitarian visa: international migration opportunities as post-disaster
15 humanitarian intervention, 143-156 pp.
- 16 Maria, D. L., G. Maria-Therese and K. Ece, 2020: Global adaptation governance: Explaining the governance responses
17 of international organizations to new issue linkages. *Environmental Science & Policy*, **114**, 204-215,
18 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2020.07.027.
- 19 Marijnissen, R. et al., 2020: How natural processes contribute to flood protection - A sustainable adaptation scheme for
20 a wide green dike. Elsevier B.V., 139698 pp.
- 21 Marino, E. and H. Lazrus, 2015: Migration or Forced Displacement?: The Complex Choices of Climate Change and
22 Disaster Migrants in Shishmaref, Alaska and Nanumea, Tuvalu. *Human Organization*, **74**, 341-350,
23 doi:10.17730/0018-7259-74.4.341.
- 24 Martin, D. A. et al., 2020: Land-use history determines ecosystem services and conservation value in tropical
25 agroforestry. Wiley-Blackwell, e12740 pp.
- 26 Martin, S. M. and K. Lorenzen, 2016: Livelihood Diversification in Rural Laos. Elsevier Ltd, 231-243 pp.
- 27 Martínez-Barón, D. et al., 2021: Strengthening the climate services chain in Central America.
- 28 Marto, R., C. Papageorgiou and V. Klyuev, 2018: Building resilience to natural disasters: An application to small
29 developing states. North-Holland, 574-586 pp.
- 30 Martuti, N. K. T., R. Pribadi, W. A. B. N. Sidiq and D. P. Mutiatari, 2020: Community-Based Integrated Coastal
31 Management Strategy in Tugurejo Subdistrict, Semarang. Atlantis Press, 73-80 pp.
- 32 Massa, F. et al., 2020: Selecting priorities, indicators and criteria to monitor sustainable aquaculture development
33 lessons learned from selected case studies in the Mediterranean. Mersin University, 62-74 pp.
- 34 Mavhura, E., S. B. Manyena, A. E. Collins and D. Manatsa, 2013: Indigenous knowledge, coping strategies and
35 resilience to floods in Muzarabani, Zimbabwe. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, **5**, 38-48,
36 doi:10.1016/j.ijdr.2013.07.001.
- 37 Mawere, M. and T. R. Mubaya, 2015: Indigenous Mechanisms for Disaster Risk Reduction: How the Shona of
38 Zimbabwe Managed Drought and Famine? Langaa Research and Publishing CIG, Mankon, Cameroon, 1-32 pp.
- 39 Mayer, A., Z. Hausfather and W. Silver, 2018: The potential of agricultural land management to contribute to lower
40 global surface temperatures. *Science Advances*, **4**, doi:10.1126/sciadv.aag0932.
- 41 Mazur, C. et al., 2019: A holistic resilience framework development for rural power systems in emerging economies.
42 Elsevier, 219-232 pp.
- 43 Mbow, C. et al., 2014: Achieving mitigation and adaptation to climate change through sustainable agroforestry
44 practices in africa. Elsevier, 8-14 pp.
- 45 Mbow, C. et al., 2014: Agroforestry solutions to address food security and climate change challenges in Africa. Elsevier
46 B.V., 61-67 pp.
- 47 Mbow, H. et al. (eds.), Special Report on climate change, desertification, land degradation, sustainable land
48 management, food security, and greenhouse gas fluxes in terrestrial. ipcc.
- 49 Mburu, M. G., Flood Disaster Risk Reduction Strategies And Participation Rates Of Pupils In Primary Schools In Tana
50 Delta Sub County, Tana River County Kenya University of Nairobi.
- 51 McCall, T. et al., 2019: Climate change adaptation and mitigation – a hitherto neglected gender-sensitive public health
52 perspective. *Climate and Development*, **11**(9), 735-744, doi:10.1080/17565529.2018.1529551.
- 53 McCurry, J., 2015: The Fukushima Daiichi disaster: 4 years on. Lancet Publishing Group, e23-e24 pp.
- 54 McFadden, J., D. Smith, S. Wechsler and S. Wallander (eds.), Development, Adoption, and Management of Drought-
55 Tolerant Corn in the United States.
- 56 McLeman, R., 2018: Thresholds in climate migration. *Population and Environment*, **39**(4), 319-338,
57 doi:10.1007/s11111-017-0290-2.
- 58 McLeman, R., 2019: International migration and climate adaptation in an era of hardening borders. *Nature Climate*
59 *Change*, **9**(12), 911-918, doi:10.1038/s41558-019-0634-2.
- 60 McNamara, K. E., 2015: Cross-border migration with dignity in Kiribati, 62 pp.
- 61 McNamara, K. E. and H. J. Des Combes, 2015: Planning for Community Relocations Due to Climate Change in Fiji.
62 *International Journal of Disaster Risk Science*, **6**(3), 315-319, doi:10.1007/s13753-015-0065-2.

- 1 McNunn, G. et al., 2020: Climate smart agriculture opportunities for mitigating soil greenhouse gas emissions across
2 the U.S. Corn-Belt. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, **268**, 122240,
3 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.122240>.
- 4 Meerow, S., 2019: A green infrastructure spatial planning model for evaluating ecosystem service tradeoffs and
5 synergies across three coastal megacities. Institute of Physics Publishing.
- 6 Meijer, S. S. et al., 2015: The role of knowledge, attitudes and perceptions in the uptake of agricultural and agroforestry
7 innovations among smallholder farmers in sub-Saharan Africa. Taylor and Francis Ltd., 40-54 pp.
- 8 Meltzer, J. P., 2018: Blending Climate Funds to Finance Low-Carbon, Climate-Resilient Infrastructure. Elsevier BV.
- 9 Mena, R. and D. Hilhorst, 2021: The (im)possibilities of disaster risk reduction in the context of high-intensity conflict:
10 the case of Afghanistan. *Environmental Hazards*, **20**(2), 188-208, doi:10.1080/17477891.2020.1771250.
- 11 Méndez, M., G. Flores-Haro and L. Zucker, 2020: The (in)visible victims of disaster: Understanding the vulnerability
12 of undocumented Latino/a and indigenous immigrants. *Geoforum*, **116**, 50-62,
13 doi:10.1016/j.geoforum.2020.07.007.
- 14 Merschmann, P. R. d. C., E. Vasquez, A. S. Szklo and R. Schaeffer, 2013: Modeling water use demands for
15 thermoelectric power plants with CCS in selected Brazilian water basins. *International Journal of Greenhouse
16 Gas Control*, **13**, 87-101, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijggc.2012.12.019>.
- 17 Mersha, A. A. and F. van Laerhoven, 2018: The interplay between planned and autonomous adaptation in response to
18 climate change: Insights from rural Ethiopia. Elsevier Ltd, 87-97 pp.
- 19 Mesquita, P. S. and M. Bursztyń, 2017: Food acquisition programs in the Brazilian semi-arid region: benefits to farmers
20 and impacts of climate change. Springer, 1041-1051 pp.
- 21 Mestanza-Ramón, C., M. S. Capa, H. F. Saavedra and J. R. Paredes, 2019: Integrated coastal zone management in
22 continental Ecuador and Galapagos Islands: Challenges and opportunities in a changing tourism and economic
23 context. MDPI AG, 6386 pp.
- 24 Mialhe, F. et al., 2016: The development of aquaculture on the northern coast of Manila Bay (Philippines): an analysis
25 of long-term land-use changes and their causes, 236-256 pp.
- 26 Miao, R., 2020: Climate, insurance and innovation: the case of drought and innovations in drought-tolerant traits in US
27 agriculture. *European Review of Agricultural Economics*, **47**(5), 1826-1860, doi:10.1093/erae/jbaa010.
- 28 Milanés Batista, C., J. Abelardo Planas, R. Pelot and J. R. Núñez Alvarez, 2020: A new methodology incorporating
29 public participation within Cuba's ICZM program. Universidad de la Costa.
- 30 Miller, D. C. et al., 2020: The impacts of agroforestry on agricultural productivity, ecosystem services, and human well-
31 being in low-and middle-income countries: An evidence and gap map. *Campbell Systematic Reviews*, **16**(1),
32 e1066, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1002/cl2.1066>.
- 33 Miller, F., 2020: Exploring the consequences of climate-related displacement for just resilience in Vietnam. SAGE
34 Publications Ltd, 1570-1587 pp.
- 35 Mills, M. et al., 2016: Reconciling Development and Conservation under Coastal Squeeze from Rising Sea Level, 361-
36 368 pp.
- 37 Minang, P. A. et al., 2014: Prospects for agroforestry in REDD+ landscapes in Africa. Elsevier, 78-82 pp.
- 38 Ming, R. et al., 2020: Assessing energy saving potentials of office buildings based on adaptive thermal comfort using a
39 tracking-based method. *Energy and Buildings*, **208**, 109611, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2019.109611>.
- 40 Mishra, S. et al., 2020: Microgrid resilience: A holistic approach for assessing threats, identifying vulnerabilities, and
41 designing corresponding mitigation strategies. Elsevier, 114726 pp.
- 42 Misquitta, K., T. B.-W. International and u. 2021, 2021: Drip irrigation as a socio-technical configuration: policy design
43 and technological choice in Western India. Routledge, 112-129 pp.
- 44 Mitra, A., 2018: Male Migrants and Women Farmers in Gorakhpur : Climate Adaptation and Changing Gender
45 Relations, 17 pp.
- 46 Mochizuki, J. and S. E. Chang, 2017: Disasters as opportunity for change: Tsunami recovery and energy transition in
47 Japan. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, **21**, 331-339,
48 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdr.2017.01.009>.
- 49 Moffat, C. F., 2017: Aquaculture, 128-175 pp.
- 50 Mohammed, K. et al., 2021: Livelihood diversification strategies and resilience to climate change in semi-arid northern
51 Ghana. Springer, 1-23 pp.
- 52 Mola, M., M. Feofilovs and F. Romagnoli, 2018: Energy resilience: research trends at urban, municipal and country
53 levels, 104-113 pp.
- 54 Mondal, B. et al., 2016: Institutional arrangements for watershed development programmes in Bundelkhand region of
55 Madhya Pradesh, India: an explorative study. Routledge, 219-231 pp.
- 56 Moomaw, W. R., S. A. Masino and E. K. Faison, 2019: Intact Forests in the United States: Proforestation Mitigates
57 Climate Change and Serves the Greatest Good. Frontiers Media SA, 27 pp.
- 58 Morecroft, M. D. et al., 2019: Measuring the success of climate change adaptation and mitigation in terrestrial
59 ecosystems. American Association for the Advancement of Science.
- 60 Morgan, A. and J. Fanzo, 2020: Nutrition Transition and Climate Risks in Nigeria: Moving Towards Food Systems
61 Policy Coherence. *Current Environmental Health Reports*, **7**, 1-12, doi:10.1007/s40572-020-00292-3.
- 62 Morris, R. et al., 2019: Developing a nature-based coastal defence strategy for Australia. Taylor and Francis Ltd., 167-
63 176 pp.

- 1 Morris, R. L., G. Deavin, S. Hemelryk Donald and R. A. Coleman, 2016: Eco-engineering in urbanised coastal systems:
2 Consideration of social values, 33-39 pp.
- 3 Morris, R. L., T. M. Konlechner, M. Ghisalberti and Stephen E. Swearer, 2018: From grey to green: Efficacy of eco-
4 engineering solutions for nature-based coastal defence. Blackwell Publishing Ltd, 1827-1842 pp.
- 5 Mortreux, C. et al., 2018: Political economy of planned relocation: A model of action and inaction in government
6 responses. Elsevier Ltd, 123-132 pp.
- 7 Mottaleb, K. A. et al., 2017: Benefits of the development and dissemination of climate-smart rice: ex ante impact
8 assessment of drought-tolerant rice in South Asia. Springer Netherlands, 879-901 pp.
- 9 Mueller, V., C. Gray, S. Handa and D. Seidenfeld, 2020: Do social protection programs foster short-term and long-term
10 migration adaptation strategies? Cambridge University Press, 135-158 pp.
- 11 Müller, B., L. Johnson and D. Kreuer, 2017: Maladaptive outcomes of climate insurance in agriculture. *Global*
12 *Environmental Change*, **46**, 23-33, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2017.06.010.
- 13 Muricho, D. N., D. J. Otieno, W. Oluoch-Kosura and M. Jirstrom, 2019: Building pastoralists' resilience to shocks for
14 sustainable disaster risk mitigation: Lessons from West Pokot County, Kenya. Elsevier Ltd, 429-435 pp.
- 15 Murray, S. and B. Poland, 2020: Neighbourhood climate resilience: lessons from the Lighthouse Project. *Canadian*
16 *Journal of Public Health*, **111**(6), 890-896, doi:10.17269/s41997-020-00432-0.
- 17 Murthy, k. I., 2013: Carbon Sequestration Potential of Agroforestry Systems in India. OMICS Publishing Group.
- 18 Mustafa, S. et al., 2021: Technological applications and adaptations in aquaculture for progress towards sustainable
19 development and seafood security. (Tall et al.) Publishing, 12041 pp.
- 20 Mustapha, U. F., A.-W. Alhassan, D.-N. Jiang and G.-L. Li, 2021: Sustainable aquaculture development: a review on
21 the roles of cloud computing, internet of things and artificial intelligence (CIA).
- 22 Mutabazi, K. D., T. S. Amjath-Babu and S. Sieber, 2015: Influence of livelihood resources on adaptive strategies to
23 enhance climatic resilience of farm households in Morogoro, Tanzania: an indicator-based analysis. Springer
24 Verlag, 1259-1268 pp.
- 25 Mutaqin, D. J. and K. Usami, 2019: Smallholder Farmers' Willingness to Pay for Agricultural Production Cost
26 Insurance in Rural West Java, Indonesia: A Contingent Valuation Method (CVM) Approach. *Risks*, **7**,
27 doi:10.3390/risks7020069.
- 28 Mutuku, E. A. et al., 2020: Maize production under combined Conservation Agriculture and Integrated Soil Fertility
29 Management in the sub-humid and semi-arid regions of Kenya. Elsevier B.V., 107833 pp.
- 30 Mycoo, M. A., 2017: Beyond 1.5°C: vulnerabilities and adaptation strategies for Caribbean Small Island Developing
31 States.
- 32 Nabuurs, G.-J. et al., 2017: By 2050 the Mitigation Effects of EU Forests Could Nearly Double through Climate Smart
33 Forestry. *Forests*, **8**(12), doi:10.3390/f8120484.
- 34 Nair, P. K. R., 2012: Carbon sequestration studies in agroforestry systems: A reality-check. Springer, 243-253 pp.
- 35 Najjar, D., B. Baruah and A. El Garhi, 2019: Women, irrigation and social norms in Egypt: 'The more things change,
36 the more they stay the same?'. *Water Policy*, **21**(2), 291-309, doi:10.2166/wp.2019.154.
- 37 Narayan, S. et al., 2016: The effectiveness, costs and coastal protection benefits of natural and nature-based defences,
38 e0154735 pp.
- 39 Narayanamoorthy, A., N. Devika, R. Suresh and K. S. Sujitha, 2020: Efficiency and viability of drip method of
40 irrigation in groundnut cultivation: an empirical analysis from South India. IWA Publishing, 1109-1125 pp.
- 41 Natarajan, N., K. B.-E. a. P. ... and u. 2019, 2019: Climate change adaptation and precarity across the rural-urban
42 divide in Cambodia: Towards a 'climate precarity' approach. *journals.sagepub.com*, **2**, 899-921,
43 doi:10.1177/2514848619858155.
- 44 Nawrotzki, R. J. and M. Bakhtsiyarava, 2017: International Climate Migration: Evidence for the Climate Inhibitor
45 Mechanism and the Agricultural Pathway. John Wiley and Sons Ltd, e2033 pp.
- 46 Nawrotzki, R. J. and J. DeWaard, 2018: Putting trapped populations into place: climate change and inter-district
47 migration flows in Zambia. *Regional Environmental Change*, **18**, 533-546, doi:10.1007/S10113-017-1224-3.
- 48 Nazara, S. and B. P. Resosudarmo, 2007: Aceh-Nias Reconstruction and Rehabilitation: Progress and Challenges at the
49 End of 2006, 2007. Asian Development Bank (ADB) Institute, Tokyo, Japan, 56 pp.
- 50 Negev, M. et al., 2021: Regional lessons from the COVID-19 outbreak in the Middle East: From infectious diseases to
51 climate change adaptation. *Sci Total Environ*, **768**, 144434, doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.144434.
- 52 Negev, M. et al., 2020: City design for health and resilience in hot and dry climates. *BMJ*, **371**, m3000,
53 doi:10.1136/bmj.m3000.
- 54 Negev, M. et al., 2019: Adaptation of health systems to climate-related migration in Sub-Saharan Africa: Closing the
55 gap. Elsevier GmbH, 311-314 pp.
- 56 Neumann, B., A. T. Vafeidis, J. Zimmermann and R. J. Nicholls, 2015: Future coastal population growth and exposure
57 to sea-level rise and coastal flooding - A global assessment, e0118571 pp.
- 58 Ng'ang'a, T. W. and T. A. Crane, 2020: Social differentiation in climate change adaptation: One community, multiple
59 pathways in transitioning Kenyan pastoralism. Elsevier, 478-485 pp.
- 60 Ngin, C., J. H. Grayman, A. Neef and N. Sanunsilp, 2020: The role of faith-based institutions in urban disaster risk
61 reduction for immigrant communities. *Natural Hazards*, **103**(1), 299-316, doi:10.1007/s11069-020-03988-9.
- 62 Nguyen, A. T. et al., 2019: Rural livelihood diversification of Dzao farmers in response to unpredictable risks
63 associated with agriculture in Vietnamese Northern Mountains today. Springer, 5387-5407 pp.

- 1 Nguyen Mai, T. H. and T. Dang Hoang, 2018: Gender role in mangrove resource management: case study in Trieu
2 Phong district of Quang Tri province, Vietnam.
- 3 Nguyen, T. T. et al., 2019: Implementation of a specific urban water management - Sponge City. Elsevier B.V., 147-
4 162 pp.
- 5 Nieuwenhuijsen, M. J., 2020: Green Infrastructure and Health. Annual Reviews Inc., pp. 317-328.
- 6 Nightingale, A. J., 2017: Power and politics in climate change adaptation efforts: Struggles over authority and
7 recognition in the context of political instability. Elsevier Ltd, 11-20 pp.
- 8 Nik, V. M. and A. T. D. Perera, 2020: The Importance of Developing Climate-Resilient Pathways for Energy Transition
9 and Climate Change Adaptation. Elsevier, 423-424 pp.
- 10 Nnadi, O. I., J. G. Lyimo, E. T. Liwenga and M. C. Madukwe, 2021: Equity and implications of response strategies on
11 gender relations: Identifying ways of mainstreaming gender into response strategies in Southeast Nigeria, 100618
12 pp.
- 13 Nordstrom, K. F., 2014: Living with shore protection structures: A review, 11-23 pp.
- 14 North, M. P. et al., 2019: Tamm Review: Reforestation for resilience in dry western U.S. forests. Elsevier B.V., 209-
15 224 pp.
- 16 Nunes, S. et al., 2020: Challenges and opportunities for large-scale reforestation in the Eastern Amazon using native
17 species. Elsevier B.V., 118120 pp.
- 18 Nuzzo, J. B. et al., 2019: What makes health systems resilient against infectious disease outbreaks and natural hazards?
19 Results from a scoping review. BioMed Central Ltd.
- 20 Nyandiko, N., 2020: Devolution and Disaster Risk Reduction in Kenya: Progress, challenges and opportunities.
21 *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, **51**, 101832, doi:10.1016/j.ijdr.2020.101832.
- 22 Nyantakyi-Frimpong, H., 2017: Agricultural diversification and dietary diversity: A feminist political ecology of the
23 everyday experiences of landless and smallholder households in northern Ghana. Elsevier Ltd, 63-75 pp.
- 24 O'Donnell, T., 2019: Contrasting land use policies for climate change adaptation: A case study of political and geo-legal
25 realities for Australian coastal locations. Pergamon, 104145 pp.
- 26 O'Mahony, C., S. Gray, J. Gault and V. Cummins, 2020: ICZM as a framework for climate change adaptation action –
27 Experience from Cork Harbour, Ireland. Elsevier Ltd, 102223 pp.
- 28 O'Neill-Carrillo, E., J. D. McCalley, A. Kimber and R. Haug, 2019: Stakeholder perspectives on increasing electric
29 power infrastructure integrity. American Society for Engineering Education.
- 30 O'Neill-Carrillo, E. and M. A. Rivera-Quinones, 2018: Energy Policies in Puerto Rico and their Impact on the
31 Likelihood of a Resilient and Sustainable Electric Power Infrastructure. Hunter College, Center for Puerto Rican
32 Studies, 147-172 pp.
- 33 O'Hare, P., I. White and A. Connelly, 2016: Insurance as maladaptation: Resilience and the 'business as usual' paradox.
34 *Environment and Planning C: Government and Policy*, **34**, 1175-1193, doi:10.1177/0263774X15602022.
- 35 Oakes, R., 2019: Culture, climate change and mobility decisions in Pacific Small Island Developing States. *Population
36 and Environment*, **40**, 480-503, doi:10.1007/s11111-019-00321-w.
- 37 Ober, K. and P. Sakdapolrak, 2020: Whose climate change adaptation 'barriers'? Exploring the coloniality of climate
38 change adaptation policy assemblages in Thailand and beyond. Blackwell Publishing Ltd, 86-104 pp.
- 39 Oberlack, C. and K. Eisenack, 2014: Alleviating barriers to urban climate change adaptation through international
40 cooperation. Pergamon, 349-362 pp.
- 41 Obi, R., M. U. Nwachukwu, D. C. Okeke and U. Jiburum, 2021: Indigenous flood control and management knowledge
42 and flood disaster risk reduction in Nigeria's coastal communities: An empirical analysis. *International Journal of
43 Disaster Risk Reduction*, **55**, 102079, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdr.2021.102079.
- 44 Obokata, R. and L. Veronis, 2018: Transnational approaches to remittances, risk reduction, and disaster relief :
45 Evidence from post-Typhoon Haiyan experiences of Filipino immigrants in Canada. *Routledge Handbook of
46 Environmental Displacement and Migration*, 270-276, doi:10.4324/9781315638843-21.
- 47 OECD/FAO (ed.), OECD-FAO Agricultural Outlook 2017-2026. Paris, France, Organisation for Economic Co-
48 operation and Development (OECD) Publishing, 150 pp. ISBN 9789264275508.
- 49 Ogada, M. J. et al., 2020: Climate-smart agriculture, household income and asset accumulation among smallholder
50 farmers in the Nyando basin of Kenya. Elsevier Ltd, 100203 pp.
- 51 Ogle, S. M. et al., 2019: Climate and Soil Characteristics Determine Where No-Till Management Can Store Carbon in
52 Soils and Mitigate Greenhouse Gas Emissions. *Scientific Reports*, **9**, 1-8, doi:10.1038/s41598-019-47861-7.
- 53 Ohashi, Y. et al., 2007: Influence of Air-Conditioning Waste Heat on Air Temperature in Tokyo during Summer:
54 Numerical Experiments Using an Urban Canopy Model Coupled with a Building Energy Model. *Journal of
55 Applied Meteorology and Climatology*, **46**(1), 66-81, doi:10.1175/JAM2441.1.
- 56 Ohtsuru, A. et al., 2015: Nuclear disasters and health: Lessons learned, challenges, and proposals. Lancet Publishing
57 Group, 489-497 pp.
- 58 Ojea, E., S. E. Lester and D. Salgueiro-Otero, 2020: Adaptation of Fishing Communities to Climate-Driven Shifts in
59 Target Species. Elsevier, 544-556 pp.
- 60 Oliveira, C., C. Zulas and D. Kashiwagi, 2016: A Long-term Solution to Overcome the Problems Caused by
61 Droughts in the Brazilian Power Systems. *Procedia Engineering*, **145**, 948-955,
62 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2016.04.123.

- 1 Ongpeng, J. M. C., J. R. Dungca, K. B. Aviso and R. R. Tan, 2019: Minimizing the carbon footprint of urban
2 reconstruction projects. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, **240**, 118222,
3 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.118222>.
- 4 Ontl, T. A. et al., 2020: Forest Management for Carbon Sequestration and Climate Adaptation. Oxford University Press,
5 86-101 pp.
- 6 Opoku, S. K., W. L. Filho, F. Hubert and O. Adejumo, 2021: Climate Change and Health Preparedness in Africa:
7 Analysing Trends in Six African Countries. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*,
8 **18**(9), doi:10.3390/ijerph18094672.
- 9 Oral, H. V. et al., 2020: A review of nature-based solutions for urban water management in European circular cities: a
10 critical assessment based on case studies and literature. IWA Publishing, 112-136 pp.
- 11 Orchard, S. E., L. C. Stringer and C. H. Quinn, 2015: Impacts of aquaculture on social networks in the mangrove
12 systems of northern Vietnam, 1-10 pp.
- 13 Orchard, S. E., L. C. Stringer and C. H. Quinn, 2016: Mangrove system dynamics in Southeast Asia: linking livelihoods
14 and ecosystem services in Vietnam. *Regional Environmental Change*, **16**(3), 865-879, doi:10.1007/s10113-015-
15 0802-5.
- 16 Organization, W. H., 2018: *WHO health and climate change survey report: Tracking global progress*.
- 17 Osabuohien, E. S. et al., 2021: Post-Pandemic Renewable Energy Development in Sub-Saharan Africa. Mary Ann
18 Liebert, Inc., publishers, 183-192 pp.
- 19 Osman, M. M. and H. Sevinc, 2019: Adaptation of climate-responsive building design strategies and resilience to
20 climate change in the hot/arid region of Khartoum, Sudan. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, **47**, 101429,
21 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2019.101429>.
- 22 Osmundsen, T. C. et al., 2020: The operationalisation of sustainability: Sustainable aquaculture production as defined
23 by certification schemes, 102025 pp.
- 24 Ota, L., J. Herbohn, N. Gregorio and S. Harrison, 2020: Reforestation and smallholder livelihoods in the humid tropics.
25 Elsevier Ltd, 104455 pp.
- 26 Otuoze, A. O., M. W. Mustafa and R. M. Larik, 2018: Smart grids security challenges: Classification by sources of
27 threats. *Journal of Electrical Systems and Information Technology*, doi:10.1016/j.jesit.2018.01.001.
- 28 Oviedo-Toral, L. P., D. E. François and W. R. Pogonietz, 2021: Challenges for energy transition in poverty-ridden
29 regions—the case of rural mixteca, Mexico. MDPI AG.
- 30 Oyebola, O. O., J. Efitre, L. Musinguzi and A. E. Falaye, 2021: Potential adaptation strategies for climate change
31 impact among flood-prone fish farmers in climate hotspot Uganda, 12761-12790 pp.
- 32 Padilha Campos Lopes, M. et al., 2020: Water-energy nexus: Floating photovoltaic systems promoting water security
33 and energy generation in the semiarid region of Brazil, 122010 pp.
- 34 Paganini, Z., 2019: Underwater: Resilience, racialized housing, and the national flood insurance program in Canarsie,
35 Brooklyn. Elsevier Ltd, 25-35 pp.
- 36 Pagliaro, M., 2019: Renewable Energy Systems: Enhanced Resilience, Lower Costs. *Energy Technology*, **7**(11),
37 1900791, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1002/ente.201900791>.
- 38 Paidakaki, A. and F. Moulaert, 2017: Disaster Resilience into Which Direction(s)? Competing Discursive and Material
39 Practices in Post-Katrina New Orleans. *Housing, Theory and Society*, 1-23, doi:10.1080/14036096.2017.1308434.
- 40 Pan, S.-Y. et al., 2018: Cooling water use in thermoelectric power generation and its associated challenges for
41 addressing water-energy nexus. *Water-Energy Nexus*, **1**(1), 26-41, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wen.2018.04.002>.
- 42 Panigrahi, P. et al., 2021: Techno-economic feasibility of year-round use of drip irrigation in a rice- based cropping
43 system*. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.
- 44 Panteli, M. and P. Mancarella, 2017: Modeling and Evaluating the Resilience of Critical Electrical Power Infrastructure
45 to Extreme Weather Events, 1733-1742 pp.
- 46 Panteli, M. et al., 2017: Power System Resilience to Extreme Weather: Fragility Modeling, Probabilistic Impact
47 Assessment, and Adaptation Measures, 3747-3757 pp.
- 48 Pardo, G. and A. del Prado, 2020: Guidelines for small ruminant production systems under climate emergency in
49 Europe. Elsevier B.V., 106261 pp.
- 50 Parry, L. et al., 2019: The (in)visible health risks of climate change. *Social Science & Medicine*, **241**, 112448,
51 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2019.112448>.
- 52 Parton, K. A., J. Crean and P. Hayman, 2019: The value of seasonal climate forecasts for Australian agriculture.
53 *Agricultural Systems*, **174**, 1-10, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2019.04.005>.
- 54 Pascal, M. et al., 2021: Evolving heat waves characteristics challenge heat warning systems and prevention plans.
55 *International Journal of Biometeorology*, doi:10.1007/s00484-021-02123-y.
- 56 Paudel Khatiwada, S. et al., 2017: Household Livelihood Strategies and Implication for Poverty Reduction in Rural
57 Areas of Central Nepal. MDPI AG, 612 pp.
- 58 Paudel, U. and K. P. Pant, 2020: Estimation of household health cost and climate adaptation cost with its health related
59 determinants: empirical evidences from western Nepal. *Heliyon*, **6**(11), e05492,
60 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e05492>.
- 61 Paul, B. K. et al., 2020: Reducing agro-environmental trade-offs through sustainable livestock intensification across
62 smallholder systems in Northern Tanzania. *International Journal of Agricultural Sustainability*, **18**(1), 35-54,
63 doi:10.1080/14735903.2019.1695348.

- 1 Pearson, J., K. E. McNamara and P. D. Nunn, 2019: Gender-specific perspectives of mangrove ecosystem services:
2 Case study from Bua Province, Fiji Islands, 100970 pp.
- 3 Pelling, M. et al., 2021: A climate resilience research renewal agenda: learning lessons from the COVID-19 pandemic
4 for urban climate resilience. Informa UK Limited, 1-8 pp.
- 5 Pelling, M. and M. Garschagen, 2019: Put equity first in climate adaptation. Nature Publishing Group, 327-329 pp.
- 6 Pelling, M. et al., 2018: Africa's urban adaptation transition under a 1.5° climate. Elsevier B.V., 10-15 pp.
- 7 Peng, L., J. Tan, W. Deng and Y. Liu, 2020: Farmers' participation in community-based disaster management: The role
8 of trust, place attachment and self-efficacy. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, **51**, 101895,
9 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2020.101895>.
- 10 Peng, L. L. H. et al., 2020: Cooling effects of block-scale facade greening and their relationship with urban form.
11 *Building and Environment*, **169**, 106552, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2019.106552>.
- 12 Perera-Valderrama, S. et al., 2020: Increasing marine ecosystems conservation linking marine protected areas and
13 integrated coastal management in southern Cuba. Elsevier Ltd, 105300 pp.
- 14 Pérez-Cayeyro, M. L. and J. A. Chica-Ruiz, 2015: Evaluation of a programme of integrated coastal zone management:
15 The Ecoplata Programme (Uruguay). Elsevier Ltd, 527-535 pp.
- 16 Pérez-Escamilla, R., 2017: Food Security and the 2015–2030 Sustainable Development Goals: From Human to
17 Planetary Health. Oxford University Press, e000513 pp.
- 18 Pérez-Uresti, S. I., J. M. Ponce-Ortega and A. Jiménez-Gutiérrez, 2019: A multi-objective optimization approach for
19 sustainable water management for places with over-exploited water resources. *Computers & Chemical
20 Engineering*, **121**, 158-173, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compchemeng.2018.10.003>.
- 21 Perrels, A., 2020: Quantifying the uptake of climate services at micro and macro level. *Climate Services*, **17**, 100152,
22 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cliser.2020.100152>.
- 23 Pfeiffer, C. et al., 2021: A Case Study of Socially-Accepted Potentials for the Use of End User Flexibility by Home
24 Energy Management Systems. *Sustainability*, **13**(1), doi:10.3390/su13010132.
- 25 Phillips, M. R., A. L. Jones and T. Thomas, 2018: Climate Change, Coastal Management and Acceptable Risk:
26 Consequences for Tourism. Coastal Education Research Foundation Inc., 1411-1415 pp.
- 27 Piggott-McKellar, A. E., K. E. McNamara, P. D. Nunn and S. T. Sekinini, 2019: Moving people in a changing climate:
28 Lessons from two case studies in Fiji. MDPI AG, 133 pp.
- 29 Piguet, E., 2019: Climatic Statelessness: Risk Assessment and Policy Options. *Population and Development Review*,
30 **45**(4), 865-883, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1111/padr.12295>.
- 31 Pittock, J., H. Bjornlund, R. Stirzaker and A. van Rooyen, 2017: Communal irrigation systems in South-Eastern Africa:
32 findings on productivity and profitability, 839-847 pp.
- 33 Place, F. et al., 2012: Improved Policies for Facilitating the Adoption of Agroforestry. InTech.
- 34 Pokhrel, K. P. et al., 2021: Innovative Practices for the Promotion of Local/Indigenous Knowledge for Disaster Risk
35 Reduction Management in Sudur Paschim Province, Nepal. *2021*, **4**(3), doi:10.30564/jgr.v4i3.3223.
- 36 Porrini, D., G. Fusco and P. P. Miglietta, 2019: Post-adversities recovery and profitability: The case of Italian farmers.
37 *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, **16**, doi:10.3390/ijerph16173189.
- 38 Porst, L., P. S.-. Population, S. a. Place and u. 2020, 2020: Gendered translocal connectedness: Rural–urban migration,
39 remittances, and social resilience in Thailand. *Wiley Online Library*, **26**, doi:10.1002/psp.2314.
- 40 Portugal-Pereira, J. et al., 2018: Better late than never, but never late is better: Risk assessment of nuclear power
41 construction projects. *Energy Policy*, **120**, 158-166, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2018.05.041>.
- 42 Powlson, D. S. et al., 2016: Does conservation agriculture deliver climate change mitigation through soil carbon
43 sequestration in tropical agro-ecosystems? *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, **220**, 164-174,
44 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2016.01.005>.
- 45 Práválie, R. and G. Bandoc, 2018: Nuclear energy: Between global electricity demand, worldwide decarbonisation
46 imperativeness, and planetary environmental implications. Academic Press, 81-92 pp.
- 47 Prestele, R. et al., 2018: A spatially explicit representation of conservation agriculture for application in global change
48 studies. *Global Change Biology*, **24**, 4038-4053, doi:10.1111/gcb.14307.
- 49 Prior, T. et al., 2013: Sustainable governance of scarce metals: The case of lithium. *Science of The Total Environment*,
50 **461-462**, 785-791, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.05.042>.
- 51 Propato, M., J. S. Clough and A. Polaczyk, 2018: Evaluating the costs and benefits of marsh-management strategies
52 while accounting for uncertain sea-level rise and ecosystem response. Public Library of Science, e0200368 pp.
- 53 Quandt, A., 2020: Contribution of agroforestry trees for climate change adaptation: narratives from smallholder farmers
54 in Isiolo, Kenya. Springer Science and Business Media B.V., 2125-2136 pp.
- 55 Quandt, A., H. Neufeldt and J. T. McCabe, 2017: The role of agroforestry in building livelihood resilience to floods and
56 drought in semi-arid Kenya. Resilience Alliance.
- 57 Qurani, I. Z., N. I. Fawzi, R. Fadilah and W. Kismorodati, 2021: Empowering Fish-Farmer through Coastal Field
58 School: Towards Sustainable Aquaculture Practice. IOP Publishing, 12054 pp.
- 59 Rabbani, G., A. Rahman and N. Islam, 2010: Coastal Zones and Climate Change, 17-29 pp.
- 60 Rabbani, G., A. A. Rahman and N. Islam, 2010: Climate Change and Sea Level Rise: Issues and Challenges for Coastal
61 Communities in the Indian Ocean Region. Stimson Center, Washington DC, USA, 17-30 pp.
- 62 Radel, C., B. Schmook, L. Carte and S. Mardero, 2018: Toward a Political Ecology of Migration: Land, Labor
63 Migration, and Climate Change in Northwestern Nicaragua. Elsevier Ltd, 263-273 pp.

- 1 Rahman, M. A. et al., 2020: Traits of trees for cooling urban heat islands: A meta-analysis. *Building and Environment*,
2 **170**, 106606, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2019.106606.
- 3 Rahman, S., M. S. Islam, M. N. H. Khan and M. Touhiduzzaman, 2019: Climate change adaptation and disaster risk
4 reduction (DRR) through coastal afforestation in South-Central Coast of Bangladesh. Emerald Group Publishing
5 Ltd., 498-517 pp.
- 6 Rahut, D. B., J. P. Aryal and P. Marennya, 2021: Understanding climate-risk coping strategies among farm households:
7 Evidence from five countries in Eastern and Southern Africa, 145236 pp.
- 8 Ram, M., A. Aghahosseini and C. Breyer, 2020: Job creation during the global energy transition towards 100%
9 renewable power system by 2050. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, **151**, 119682,
10 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2019.06.008.
- 11 Rameshshanker, V., S. Wyngaarden, L. L. Lau and W. Dodd, 2021: Health system resilience to extreme weather events
12 in Asia-Pacific: a scoping review. *Climate and Development*, 1-15, doi:10.1080/17565529.2020.1870425.
- 13 Ranganathan, M. and E. Bratman, 2021: From Urban Resilience to Abolitionist Climate Justice in Washington, DC.
14 Blackwell Publishing Inc., 115-137 pp.
- 15 Raoufi, H., V. Vahidinasab and K. Mehran, 2020: Power systems resilience metrics: A comprehensive review of
16 challenges and outlook. MDPI AG, 1-24 pp.
- 17 Räsänen, A., H. Lein, D. Bird and G. Setten, 2020: Conceptualizing community in disaster risk management.
18 *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, **45**, doi:10.1016/j.ijdrr.2020.101485.
- 19 Rasmussen, D. J., R. Kopp, R. Shwom and M. Oppenheimer, 2021: The Political Complexity of Coastal Flood Risk
20 Reduction: Lessons for Climate Adaptation Public Works in the U.S. *Earth's Future*, **9**,
21 doi:10.1029/2020EF001575.
- 22 Rasmussen, D. J., R. E. Kopp, R. Shwom and M. Oppenheimer, 2021: The Political Complexity of Coastal Flood Risk
23 Reduction: Lessons for Climate Adaptation Public Works in the U.S. John Wiley and Sons Inc, e2020EF001575
24 pp.
- 25 Rasul, G. and B. Sharma, 2016: The nexus approach to water–energy–food security: an option for adaptation to climate
26 change. Taylor and Francis Ltd., 682-702 pp.
- 27 Ratna Reddy, V., Y. S. Saharawat and B. George, 2017: Watershed management in South Asia: A synoptic review.
28 Elsevier B.V., 4-13 pp.
- 29 Ratnam, E. L. et al., 2020: Electricity system resilience in a world of increased climate change and cybersecurity risk.
30 Elsevier Inc.
- 31 Ratter, B., A. Hennig and Zahid, 2019: Challenges for shared responsibility – Political and social framing of coastal
32 protection transformation in the Maldives. Gesellschaft für Erdkunde zu Berlin, 169-183 pp.
- 33 Rauner, S. et al., 2020: Coal-exit health and environmental damage reductions outweigh economic impacts. *Nature*
34 *Research*, 308-312 pp.
- 35 Raymond, C. M. et al., 2017: A framework for assessing and implementing the co-benefits of nature-based solutions in
36 urban areas. Elsevier Ltd, 15-24 pp.
- 37 Razzaghamanesh, M., S. Beecham and T. Salemi, 2016: The role of green roofs in mitigating Urban Heat Island effects
38 in the metropolitan area of Adelaide, South Australia. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*, **15**, 89-102,
39 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ufug.2015.11.013.
- 40 Reddy, V. R., T. Chiranjeevi and G. Syme, 2020: Inclusive sustainable intensification of agriculture in West Bengal,
41 India: policy and institutional approaches. Taylor and Francis Ltd., 70-83 pp.
- 42 Reed, T., L. R. Mason and C. C. Ekenga, 2020: Adapting to Climate Change in the Upper Mississippi River Basin:
43 Exploring Stakeholder Perspectives on River System Management and Flood Risk Reduction. *Environ Health*
44 *Insights*, **14**, 1178630220984153, doi:10.1177/1178630220984153.
- 45 Rigg, J. and K. Oven, 2015: Building liberal resilience? A critical review from developing rural Asia. Elsevier Ltd, 175-
46 186 pp.
- 47 Ristroph, E. B., 2021: Navigating climate change adaptation assistance for communities: a case study of Newtok
48 Village, Alaska. *Journal of Environmental Studies and Sciences*, **11**(3), 329-340, doi:10.1007/s13412-021-00711-
49 3.
- 50 Rivera-Ferre, M. G. et al., 2016: Re-framing the climate change debate in the livestock sector: mitigation and adaptation
51 options. *WIREs Climate Change*, **7**(6), 869-892, doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/wcc.421.
- 52 Rohat, G. et al., 2021: Assessing urban heat-related adaptation strategies under multiple futures for a major U.S. city.
53 *Climatic Change*, **164**(3), 61, doi:10.1007/s10584-021-02990-9.
- 54 Rojas-Downing, M. M., A. P. Nejadhashemi, T. Harrigan and S. A. Woznicki, 2017: Climate change and livestock:
55 Impacts, adaptation, and mitigation. *Climate Risk Management*, **16**, 145-163,
56 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crm.2017.02.001.
- 57 Romañach, S. S. et al., 2018: Conservation and restoration of mangroves: Global status, perspectives, and prognosis.
58 Elsevier Ltd, 72-82 pp.
- 59 Rosa, L., J. A. Reimer, M. S. Went and P. D'Odorico, 2020: Hydrological limits to carbon capture and storage. *Nature*
60 *Sustainability*, **3**(8), 658-666, doi:10.1038/s41893-020-0532-7.
- 61 Rose, A., 2016: Capturing the co-benefits of disaster risk management on the private sector side. World Bank,
62 Washington DC, USA, 33 pp.

- 1 Rosendo, S., L. Celliers and M. Mechisso, 2018: Doing more with the same: A reality-check on the ability of local
2 government to implement Integrated Coastal Management for climate change adaptation. Elsevier Ltd, 29-39 pp.
- 3 Rosenzweig, C. et al., 2018: Climate Change and Cities: Second Assessment Report of the Urban Climate Change
4 Research Network. Cambridge University Press, xvii-xlii pp.
- 5 Rosse Caldas, L., A. Bernstad Saraiva, V. M. Andreola and R. Dias Toledo Filho, 2020: Bamboo bio-concrete as an
6 alternative for buildings' climate change mitigation and adaptation. *Construction and Building Materials*, **263**,
7 120652, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2020.120652>.
- 8 Rosyida, I., W. Ullah, A. Helmi and M. Sasaoka, 2019: Adapting livelihoods to the impacts of tin mining in Indonesia:
9 options and constraints, 1302-1313 pp.
- 10 Ruane, S., M. S. H. Swapan and C. Babb, 2020: Disaster Risk Reduction in Bushfire Prone Areas: Challenges for an
11 Integrated Land Use Planning Policy Regime. *Sustainability*, **12**(24), 10496.
- 12 Rudolph, L., N. Maizlish, S. North and K. Dervin, 2020: A Public Health Learning Collaborative on Climate Change
13 for Urban Health Departments, 2016-2018. SAGE Publications Ltd, 189-201 pp.
- 14 Rudram, B., B. Faith, P. Martin and B. Ramalingam, 2016: The Impact of Digital Technology on Environmental
15 Sustainability and Resilience: An Evidence Review.
- 16 Runkle, J. et al., 2018: Population Health Adaptation Approaches to the Increasing Severity and Frequency of Weather-
17 Related Disasters Resulting From our Changing Climate: A Literature Review and Application to Charleston,
18 South Carolina. Springer, 439-452 pp.
- 19 Runting, R. K., C. E. Lovelock, H. L. Beyer and J. R. Rhodes, 2017: Costs and Opportunities for Preserving Coastal
20 Wetlands under Sea Level Rise. Wiley-Blackwell, 49-57 pp.
- 21 Ruszczyk, H. A. et al., 2020: Empowering women through participatory action research in community-based disaster
22 risk reduction efforts. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, **51**, 101763,
23 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdr.2020.101763>.
- 24 S., K. et al., 2018: Integrated fertilization: An approach for higher apple (*Malus domestica*) productivity and ecological
25 health of soil. Indian Council of Agricultural Research.
- 26 Salam, S. and S. Bauer, 2020: Rural non-farm economy and livelihood diversification strategies: evidence from
27 Bangladesh. Springer, 1-13 pp.
- 28 Salmon, G. et al., 2018: Trade-offs in livestock development at farm level: Different actors with different objectives.
29 Elsevier B.V., 103-112 pp.
- 30 Sampantamit, T. et al., 2020: Aquaculture Production and Its Environmental Sustainability in Thailand: Challenges and
31 Potential Solutions.
- 32 Sánchez, A. and M. Izzo, 2017: Micro hydropower: an alternative for climate change mitigation, adaptation, and
33 development of marginalized local communities in Hispaniola Island. *Climatic Change*, **140**, 79-87,
34 doi:[10.1007/s10584-016-1865-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-016-1865-0).
- 35 Sánchez, P., F. James and G. Lindsay, 2002: Coastal Aquaculture Sustainable Livelihoods in Mecoacan, Tabasco,
36 Mexico, 42-52 pp.
- 37 Sani Ibrahim, S., H. Ozdeser and B. Cavuşoglu, 2019: Financial inclusion as a pathway to welfare enhancement and
38 income equality: Micro-level evidence from Nigeria. Routledge, 390-407 pp.
- 39 Santoro, A., M. Venturi, R. Bertani and M. Agnoletti, 2020: A Review of the Role of Forests and Agroforestry Systems
40 in the FAO Globally Important Agricultural Heritage Systems (GIAHS) Programme. Multidisciplinary Digital
41 Publishing Institute, 860 pp.
- 42 Sarkar, D. et al., 2018: Can sustainability of maize-mustard cropping system be achieved through balanced nutrient
43 management? Elsevier B.V., 9-21 pp.
- 44 Sarker, M. N. I., M. Wu, G. M. Alam and R. C. Shouse, 2020: Livelihood diversification in rural Bangladesh: Patterns
45 and determinants in disaster prone riverine islands. Pergamon, 104720 pp.
- 46 Sase, E., C. Eddy and B. J. Polivka, 2021: Lessons from Fukushima: Potassium Iodide After a Nuclear Disaster. NLM
47 (Medline), 63-67 pp.
- 48 Satterthwaite, D., D. Archer, S. C.-f. E. a. ... and u. 2018, 2018: Responding to climate change in cities and in their
49 informal settlements and economies.
- 50 Sauka, S., 2020: *Resilient Ecosystems and Disaster Risk Reduction: Opportunities for Coastal Cities in Africa*.
- 51 Sayatham, M. and D. Suhardiman, 2015: Hydropower resettlement and livelihood adaptation: The Nam Mang 3 project
52 in Laos. Elsevier, 17-30 pp.
- 53 Schaeffer, R. et al., 2012: Energy sector vulnerability to climate change: A review. *Energy*, **38**, 1-12,
54 doi:[10.1016/j.energy.2011.11.056](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2011.11.056).
- 55 Schäfer, L., K. Warner and S. Kreft, 2019: Exploring and Managing Adaptation Frontiers with Climate Risk Insurance.
56 Springer International Publishing, Cham, 317-341 pp.
- 57 Schauburger, G. et al., 2020: Efficacy of adaptation measures to alleviate heat stress in confined livestock buildings in
58 temperate climate zones. Academic Press, 157-175 pp.
- 59 Scheres, B. and H. Schüttrumpf, 2019: Enhancing the Ecological Value of Sea Dikes. Multidisciplinary Digital
60 Publishing Institute, 1617 pp.
- 61 Schmeller, D. S., F. Courchamp and G. Killeen, 2020: Biodiversity loss, emerging pathogens and human health risks.
62 Springer, 3095-3102 pp.

- 1 Schmitz, O. J. et al., 2015: Conserving Biodiversity: Practical Guidance about Climate Change Adaptation Approaches
2 in Support of Land-use Planning. Natural Areas Association, 190-203 pp.
- 3 Schoeneberger, M. et al., 2012: Branching out: Agroforestry as a climate change mitigation and adaptation tool for
4 agriculture. Soil and Water Conservation Society, 128A-136A pp.
- 5 Schoonees, T. et al., 2019: Hard Structures for Coastal Protection, Towards Greener Designs. Springer, 1709-1729 pp.
- 6 Schramm, P. J. et al., 2020: How Indigenous Communities Are Adapting To Climate Change: Insights From The
7 Climate-Ready Tribes Initiative. *Health Affairs*, **39**(12), 2153-2159, doi:10.1377/hlthaff.2020.00997.
- 8 Schuhbauer, A. et al., 2017: How subsidies affect the economic viability of small-scale fisheries. Elsevier Ltd, 114-121
9 pp.
- 10 Schulte, L. A. et al., 2017: Prairie strips improve biodiversity and the delivery of multiple ecosystem services from
11 corn–soybean croplands. National Academy of Sciences, 11247-11252 pp.
- 12 Schwartz, D., Y. Ryjova, A. R. Kelleghan and H. Fritz, 2021: The refugee crisis and peer relationships during
13 childhood and adolescence. *JAI*, 101263 pp.
- 14 Schweikert, A. E. and M. R. Deinert, 2021: Vulnerability and resilience of power systems infrastructure to natural
15 hazards and climate change. John Wiley and Sons Inc.
- 16 Schwerdtle, P., K. Bowen and C. McMichael, 2018: The health impacts of climate-related migration. *BMC Medicine*
17 *2018 16:1*, **16**, 1-7, doi:10.1186/S12916-017-0981-7.
- 18 Schwerdtle, P., C. McMichael, I. M.-E. ... and u. 2020, 2020: Health and migration in the context of a changing
19 climate: a systematic literature assessment. *iopscience.iop.org*, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/ab9ece.
- 20 Seddon, N. et al., 2020: Understanding the value and limits of nature-based solutions to climate change and other global
21 challenges. Royal Society Publishing.
- 22 Seddon, N. et al., 2020: Global recognition of the importance of nature-based solutions to the impacts of climate
23 change. Cambridge University Press.
- 24 Seddon, N. et al., 2019: Grounding nature-based climate solutions in sound biodiversity science. Nature Publishing
25 Group, 84-87 pp.
- 26 Sedova, B. and M. Kalkuhl, 2020: Who are the climate migrants and where do they go? Evidence from rural India.
27 Elsevier Ltd, 104848 pp.
- 28 See, J. and B. Wilmsen, 2020: Just adaptation? Generating new vulnerabilities and shaping adaptive capacities through
29 the politics of climate-related resettlement in a Philippine coastal city. *Global Environmental Change*, **65**, 102188,
30 doi:10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2020.102188.
- 31 Sehar, F., M. Pipattanasomporn and S. Rahman, 2016: An energy management model to study energy and peak power
32 savings from PV and storage in demand responsive buildings. *Applied Energy*, **173**, 406-417,
33 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2016.04.039.
- 34 Seleka, M., B. Lough, E. Combaz and M. L. Seleka, 2017: African Young Graduates and Scholars Conference Paper.
- 35 Semenza, J. C. and K. L. Ebi, 2019: Climate change impact on migration, travel, travel destinations and the tourism
36 industry. *Journal of Travel Medicine*, **26**, doi:10.1093/jtm/taz026.
- 37 Sen, S., 2021: Combating Tropical Cyclones Amphan, Yaas and After: Eco-Restoration of Coastal Zones.
- 38 Sendzimir, J., C. P. Reij and P. Magnuszewski, 2011: Rebuilding Resilience in the Sahel: Regreening in the Maradi and
39 Zinder Regions of Niger. Resilience Alliance, art1 pp.
- 40 Serre, D. and C. Heinzlef, 2018: Assessing and mapping urban resilience to floods with respect to cascading effects
41 through critical infrastructure networks. Elsevier Ltd, 235-243 pp.
- 42 Seshia Galvin, S., 2018: The farming of trust: Organic certification and the limits of transparency in Uttarakhand,
43 India. Wiley-Blackwell, 495-507 pp.
- 44 Shackleton, S. et al., 2015: Why is socially-just climate change adaptation in sub-Saharan Africa so challenging? A
45 review of barriers identified from empirical cases. Wiley-Blackwell, 321-344 pp.
- 46 Shaffril, H. A. M., A. Abu Samah and J. L. D'Silva, 2017: Adapting towards climate change impacts: Strategies for
47 small-scale fishermen in Malaysia. Elsevier Ltd, 196-201 pp.
- 48 Shah, F. and W. Wu, 2019: Soil and Crop Management Strategies to Ensure Higher Crop Productivity within
49 Sustainable Environments. *Sustainability*, **11**(5), doi:10.3390/su11051485.
- 50 Shannon, P. D. et al., 2019: Adaptation strategies and approaches for forested watersheds. Elsevier B.V., 51-64 pp.
- 51 Shapiro-Garza, E. et al., 2020: A participatory framework for feasibility assessments of climate change resilience
52 strategies for smallholders: lessons from coffee cooperatives in Latin America. Taylor and Francis Ltd., 21-34 pp.
- 53 Sharifi, A., 2021: Co-benefits and synergies between urban climate change mitigation and adaptation measures: A
54 literature review. *Science of The Total Environment*, **750**, 141642,
55 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.141642.
- 56 Sharma, H., D. Kumar, L. . and K. S. Pant, 2020: Agroforestry- A sustainable Tool for Climate Change Adaptation and
57 Mitigation. Agricultural Research Communication Center.
- 58 Sharma, R. and R. Sharma, 2021: Community Based Flood Risk Management: Local Knowledge and Actor's
59 Involvement Approach from Lower Karnali River Basin of Nepal. *Journal of Geoscience and Environment*
60 *Protection*, **9**(6), 35-65, doi:10.4236/GEP.2021.96003.
- 61 Sharma, V. K., R. N. Pandey and B. M. Sharma, 2015: Studies on long-term impact of STCR based integrated fertilizer
62 use on pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum*)-wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) cropping system in semi arid condition of
63 India., 241-247 pp.

- 1 Sheehan, M. C. and M. A. Fox, 2020: Early Warnings: The Lessons of COVID-19 for Public Health Climate
2 Preparedness. *Int J Health Serv*, **50**(3), 264-270, doi:10.1177/0020731420928971.
- 3 Sheehan, M. C., M. Freire and G. S. Martinez, 2021: Piloting a city health adaptation typology with data from climate-
4 engaged cities: Toward identification of an urban health adaptation gap. *Environ Res*, **196**, 110435,
5 doi:10.1016/j.envres.2020.110435.
- 6 Shen, P. and N. Lior, 2016: Vulnerability to climate change impacts of present renewable energy systems designed for
7 achieving net-zero energy buildings. *Energy*, **114**, 1288-1305, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2016.07.078.
- 8 Shi, L. and A. M. Varuzzo, 2020: Surging seas, rising fiscal stress: Exploring municipal fiscal vulnerability to climate
9 change. Elsevier Ltd.
- 10 Shokry, G., J. J. Connolly and I. Anguelovski, 2020: Understanding climate gentrification and shifting landscapes of
11 protection and vulnerability in green resilient Philadelphia. Elsevier B.V., 100539 pp.
- 12 Shrestha, S. and S. Dhakal, 2019: An assessment of potential synergies and trade-offs between climate mitigation and
13 adaptation policies of Nepal. *Journal of Environmental Management*, **235**, 535-545,
14 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2019.01.035.
- 15 Shukla, P. R. et al., 2019: *IPCC, 2019: Climate Change and Land: an IPCC special report on climate change,*
16 *desertification, land degradation, sustainable land management, food security, and greenhouse gas fluxes in*
17 *terrestrial ecosystems*.
- 18 Shyam, D. M., K. H. Anantha, S. P. Wani and K. V. Raju, 2020: Impacts of integrated watershed development using
19 economic surplus method. Springer International Publishing, 119-142 pp.
- 20 Shyamsundar, P. et al., 2019: Fields on fire: Alternatives to crop residue burning in India, 536-538 pp.
- 21 Sida, T. S., F. Baudron, H. Kim and K. E. Giller, 2018: Climate-smart agroforestry: *Faidherbia albida* trees buffer
22 wheat against climatic extremes in the Central Rift Valley of Ethiopia. Elsevier B.V., 339-347 pp.
- 23 Siders, A. R., 2019: Social justice implications of US managed retreat buyout programs. Springer Netherlands, 239-257
24 pp.
- 25 Siders, A. R., 2019: Managed Retreat in the United States. *One Earth*, **1**, 216-225, doi:10.1016/j.oneear.2019.09.008.
- 26 Siders, A. R. and I. Ajibade, 2021: Introduction: Managed retreat and environmental justice in a changing climate.
27 Springer, 1-7 pp.
- 28 Siders, A. R. and J. M. Keenan, 2020: Variables shaping coastal adaptation decisions to armor, nourish, and retreat in
29 North Carolina. Elsevier Ltd, 105023 pp.
- 30 Sikka, A. K., A. Islam and K. V. Rao, 2018: Climate-Smart Land and Water Management for Sustainable Agriculture.
31 *Irrigation and Drainage*, **67**, 72-81, doi:10.1002/ird.2162.
- 32 Sina, D., A. Y. Chang-Richards, S. Wilkinson and R. Potangaroa, 2019: What does the future hold for relocated
33 communities post-disaster? Factors affecting livelihood resilience. Elsevier Ltd, 173-183 pp.
- 34 Sinay, L. and R. W. B. Carter, 2020: Climate Change Adaptation Options for Coastal Communities and Local
35 Governments. MDPI AG, 7 pp.
- 36 Singh, B. P., R. Setia, M. Wiesmeier and A. Kunhikrishnan, 2018: Chapter 7 - Agricultural Management Practices and
37 Soil Organic Carbon Storage. In: *Soil Carbon Storage* [Singh, B. K. (ed.)]. Academic Press, pp. 207-244. ISBN
38 978-0-12-812766-7.
- 39 Singh, C., 2018: Is participatory watershed development building local adaptive capacity? Findings from a case study in
40 Rajasthan, India. Elsevier Ltd, 43-58 pp.
- 41 Singh, C., 2019: Migration as a driver of changing household structures: implications for local livelihoods and
42 adaptation. Informa UK Limited, 301-319 pp.
- 43 Singh, C. and R. Basu, 2020: Moving in and out of vulnerability: Interrogating migration as an adaptation strategy
44 along a rural-urban continuum in India. *The Geographical Journal*, **186**, 87-102, doi:10.1111/geoj.12328.
- 45 Singh, C. et al., 2020: Assessing the feasibility of climate change adaptation options in the water sector: Examples from
46 rural and urban landscapes. Elsevier B.V., 100071 pp.
- 47 Singh, C. et al., 2018: The utility of weather and climate information for adaptation decision-making: current uses and
48 future prospects in Africa and India. *Climate and Development*, **10**(5), 389-405,
49 doi:10.1080/17565529.2017.1318744.
- 50 Singh, C. et al., 2020: Assessing the feasibility of adaptation options: methodological advancements and directions for
51 climate adaptation research and practice. *Climatic Change*, doi:10.1007/s10584-020-02762-x.
- 52 Singh, C., G. Jain, V. Sukhwani and R. Shaw, 2021: Losses and damages associated with slow-onset events: urban
53 drought and water insecurity in Asia. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, **50**, 72-86,
54 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cosust.2021.02.006.
- 55 Singh, C., A. Rahman, A. Srinivas and A. Bazaz, 2018: Risks and responses in rural India: Implications for local
56 climate change adaptation action. Elsevier B.V., 52-68 pp.
- 57 Singh, D. et al., 2020: A review on feedstocks, production processes, and yield for different generations of biodiesel.
58 Elsevier Ltd.
- 59 Siriwardane-de Zoysa, R., 2020: Beyond the wall: Dyking as an object of everyday governance in the Bay of Manila,
60 Philippines. Pergamon, 103661 pp.
- 61 Sletto, B., S. Tabory and K. Strickler, 2019: Sustainable urban water management and integrated development in
62 informal settlements: The contested politics of co-production in Santo Domingo, Dominican Republic. Elsevier
63 Ltd, 195-202 pp.

- 1 Slobodian, L. (ed.), *Defending the Future: Intergenerational Equity in Climate Litigation*.
- 2 Smajgl, A. et al., 2015: Responding to rising sea levels in the Mekong Delta. Nature Publishing Group, 167-174 pp.
- 3 Smith, J., B. D. Pearce and M. S. Wolfe, 2013: Reconciling productivity with protection of the environment: Is
4 temperate agroforestry the answer? *Renewable Agriculture and Food Systems*, **28**(1), 80-92,
5 doi:10.1017/S1742170511000585.
- 6 Smith, M. D. et al., 2015: *Geoengineering Coastlines? From Accidental to Intentional*. Elsevier, 99-122 pp.
- 7 Smith, M. M. et al., 2021: Windbreaks in the United States: A systematic review of producer-reported benefits,
8 challenges, management activities and drivers of adoption. Elsevier, 103032 pp.
- 9 Smith, N. and A. Leiserowitz, 2014: The Role of Emotion in Global Warming Policy Support and Opposition. *Risk*
10 *Analysis*, **34**, 937-948, doi:10.1111/risa.12140.
- 11 Smith, P. et al., 2019: Land-Management Options for Greenhouse Gas Removal and Their Impacts on Ecosystem
12 Services and the Sustainable Development Goals. *Annual Review of Environment and Resources*, **44**(1), 255-286,
13 doi:10.1146/annurev-environ-101718-033129.
- 14 Smith, P. et al., 2020: How to measure, report and verify soil carbon change to realize the potential of soil carbon
15 sequestration for atmospheric greenhouse gas removal. *Global Change Biology*, **26**(1), 219-241,
16 doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14815.
- 17 Smucker, T. A., M. Oulu and R. Nijbroek, 2020: Foundations for convergence: Sub-national collaboration at the nexus
18 of climate change adaptation, disaster risk reduction, and land restoration under multi-level governance in Kenya.
19 *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, **51**, 101834, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2020.101834.
- 20 Soetanto, R. et al., 2020: Developing sustainable arrangements for “proactive” disaster risk financing in Java,
21 Indonesia. *International Journal of Disaster Resilience in the Built Environment*, **ahead-of-print**,
22 doi:10.1108/IJDRBE-01-2020-0006.
- 23 Somasundaram, J. et al., 2020: No-Till Farming and Conservation Agriculture in South Asia—Issues, Challenges,
24 Prospects and Benefits. Bellwether Publishing, Ltd., 236-279 pp.
- 25 Sommer, R., B. K. Paul, J. Mukalama and J. Kihara, 2018: Reducing losses but failing to sequester carbon in soils – the
26 case of Conservation Agriculture and Integrated Soil Fertility Management in the humid tropical agro-ecosystem
27 of Western Kenya. Elsevier B.V., 82-91 pp.
- 28 Son, H. N., A. Kingsbury and H. T. Hoa, 2021: Indigenous knowledge and the enhancement of community resilience to
29 climate change in the Northern Mountainous Region of Vietnam. *Agroecology and Sustainable Food Systems*,
30 **45**(4), 499-522, doi:10.1080/21683565.2020.1829777.
- 31 Song, J., Y. Guo, P. Wu and S. Sun, 2018: The Agricultural Water Rebound Effect in China, 497-506 pp.
- 32 Sønvisen, S. A. and C. Vik, 2021: Shaping Aquaculture Management—An Interest Tug O’ War.
- 33 Soto-Navarro, C. et al., 2020: Mapping co-benefits for carbon storage and biodiversity to inform conservation policy
34 and action. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, **375**(1794), 20190128,
35 doi:10.1098/rstb.2019.0128.
- 36 Sova, C. A. et al., 2018: Bringing the Concept of Climate-Smart Agriculture to Life. World Bank, and the International
37 Centre for Tropical Agriculture, Washington, DC.
- 38 Sovacool, B. K., 2010: A Critical Evaluation of Nuclear Power and Renewable Electricity in Asia. *Journal of*
39 *Contemporary Asia*, **40**(3), 369-400, doi:10.1080/00472331003798350.
- 40 Sovacool, B. K. et al., 2015: The political economy of climate adaptation. Nature Publishing Group, 616-618 pp.
- 41 Sovacool, B. K. et al., 2020: Differences in carbon emissions reduction between countries pursuing renewable
42 electricity versus nuclear power. *Nature Research*, 928-935 pp.
- 43 Spaans, M. and B. Waterhout, 2017: Building up resilience in cities worldwide – Rotterdam as participant in the 100
44 Resilient Cities Programme. Elsevier Ltd, 109-116 pp.
- 45 Spalding, M. D. et al., 2014: The role of ecosystems in coastal protection: Adapting to climate change and coastal
46 hazards, 50-57 pp.
- 47 Stańczuk-Gałwiazek, M., K. Sobolewska-Mikulska, H. Ritzema and J. M. van Loon-Steensma, 2018: Integration of
48 water management and land consolidation in rural areas to adapt to climate change: Experiences from Poland and
49 the Netherlands. *Land Use Policy*, **77**, 498-511, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2018.06.005.
- 50 Stanley, S. K. and J. Williamson, 2021: Attitudes towards climate change aid and climate refugees in New Zealand: an
51 exploration of policy support and ideological barriers. Routledge, 1-22 pp.
- 52 Stavenhagen, M., J. Buurman and C. Tortajada, 2018: Saving water in cities: Assessing policies for residential water
53 demand management in four cities in Europe. *Cities*, **79**, 187-195, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cities.2018.03.008.
- 54 Stender, Y. et al., 2021: Evaluating the feasibility and advantage of a multi-purpose submerged breakwater for harbor
55 protection and benthic habitat enhancement at Kahului Commercial Harbor, Hawai‘i: case study. Springer, 1-19
56 pp.
- 57 Stentiford, G. D. et al., 2020: Sustainable aquaculture through the One Health lens, 468-474 pp.
- 58 Stephenson, J. R., B. K. Sovacool and T. H. J. Inderberg, 2021: Energy cultures and national decarbonisation pathways.
59 Elsevier Ltd.
- 60 Stock, R., T. Birkenholtz and A. Garg, 2019: Let the people speak: improving regional adaptation policy by combining
61 adaptive capacity assessments with vulnerability perceptions of farmers in Gujarat, India. *Climate and*
62 *Development*, **11**(2), 138-152, doi:10.1080/17565529.2017.1410089.

- 1 Stone, B. et al., 2019: Urban Heat Management in Louisville, Kentucky: A Framework for Climate Adaptation
2 Planning. *Journal of Planning Education and Research*, 0739456X19879214, doi:10.1177/0739456X19879214.
- 3 Storlazzi, C. D. et al., 2018: Most atolls will be uninhabitable by the mid-21st century because of sea-level rise
4 exacerbating wave-driven flooding, eaap9741 pp.
- 5 Su, Q., 2020: Long-term flood risk assessment of watersheds under climate change based on the game cross-efficiency
6 DEA. *Natural Hazards*, **104**(3), 2213-2237, doi:10.1007/s11069-020-04269-1.
- 7 Su, Y. et al., 2020: Evaluating the effectiveness of labor protection policy on occupational injuries caused by extreme
8 heat in a large subtropical city of China. Academic Press, 109532 pp.
- 9 Suckall, N., E. Fraser and P. Forster, 2017: Reduced migration under climate change: evidence from Malawi using an
10 aspirations and capabilities framework. *Climate and Development*, **9**(4), 298-312,
11 doi:10.1080/17565529.2016.1149441.
- 12 Suliman, S. et al., 2019: Indigenous (im)mobilities in the Anthropocene. Routledge, 298-318 pp.
- 13 Sun, W. et al., 2020: Climate drives global soil carbon sequestration and crop yield changes under conservation
14 agriculture. *Global Change Biology*, **26**(6), 3325-3335, doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15001.
- 15 Sun, Y., G. Huang, X. Xu and A. C.-K. Lai, 2018: Building-group-level performance evaluations of net zero energy
16 buildings with non-collaborative controls. *Applied Energy*, **212**, 565-576,
17 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2017.11.076.
- 18 Sutton-Grier, A. E., K. Wowk and H. Bamford, 2015: Future of our coasts: The potential for natural and hybrid
19 infrastructure to enhance the resilience of our coastal communities, economies and ecosystems, 137-148 pp.
- 20 Syme, G. J., V. R. Reddy and R. Ranjan, 2015: Justice and Equity in Watershed Development in Andhra Pradesh.
21 Elsevier Ltd, 317-352 pp.
- 22 Szewrański, S. et al., 2018: Socio-Environmental Vulnerability Mapping for Environmental and Flood Resilience
23 Assessment: The Case of Ageing and Poverty in the City of Wrocław, Poland. Wiley-Blackwell, 592-597 pp.
- 24 Tabe, T., 2019: Climate Change Migration and Displacement: Learning from Past Relocations in the Pacific. *Social
25 Sciences*, **8**(7), 218.
- 26 Tagliari, M. M. et al., 2021: Collaborative management as a way to enhance Araucaria Forest resilience. *Associacao
27 Brasileira de Ciencia Ecologica e Conservacao*, 131-142 pp.
- 28 Takata, K. and N. Hanasaki, 2020: The effects of afforestation as an adaptation option: A case study in the upper Chao
29 Phraya River basin. Institute of Physics Publishing, 044020 pp.
- 30 Tall, A., J. Y. Coulibaly and M. Diop, 2018: Do climate services make a difference? A review of evaluation
31 methodologies and practices to assess the value of climate information services for farmers: Implications for
32 Africa. *Climate Services*, **11**, 1-12, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cliser.2018.06.001.
- 33 Tanaya, T. et al., 2021: Improvement of the coral growth and cost-effectiveness of hybrid infrastructure by an
34 innovative breakwater design in Naha Port, Okinawa, Japan. Taylor & Francis.
- 35 Tanner, T. et al., 2015: Livelihood resilience in the face of climate change. Nature Publishing Group, 23-26 pp.
- 36 Taylor, S. and L. Kumar, 2016: Global Climate Change Impacts on Pacific Islands Terrestrial Biodiversity: a review.
37 *TROPICAL CONSERVATION SCIENCE*, **9**, 203-223.
- 38 Tebboth, M. G. L., D. Conway and W. N. Adger, 2019: Mobility endowment and entitlements mediate resilience in
39 rural livelihood systems. Elsevier Ltd, 172-183 pp.
- 40 Telave, A. B. and S. R. Chandankar, 2021: Integrated coastal zone management: An Indian perspective-A Review,
41 S162-S167 pp.
- 42 Telford, A., 2018: A threat to climate-secure European futures? Exploring racial logics and climate-induced migration
43 in US and EU climate security discourses. *Geoforum*, **96**, 268-277,
44 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoforum.2018.08.021.
- 45 Tall, A., J. Y. Coulibaly and M. Diop, 2018: Do climate services make a difference? A review of evaluation
46 methodologies and practices to assess the value of climate information services for farmers: Implications for
47 Africa. *Climate Services*, **11**, 1-12, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cliser.2018.06.001.
- 48 Tenzing, J. D., 2020: Integrating social protection and climate change adaptation: A review. Wiley-Blackwell, e626 pp.
- 49 TerAvest, D., P. R. Wandschneider, C. Thierfelder and J. P. Reganold, 2019: Diversifying conservation agriculture and
50 conventional tillage cropping systems to improve the wellbeing of smallholder farmers in Malawi. Elsevier Ltd,
51 23-35 pp.
- 52 Tesfamariam, Y. and M. Hurlbert, 2017: Gendered adaptation of Eritrean dryland farmers. *International Journal of
53 Climate Change Strategies and Management*, **9**(2), 207-224, doi:10.1108/IJCCSM-07-2016-0096.
- 54 Tesfaye, A. et al., 2020: Actor roles and networks in agricultural climate services in Ethiopia: a social network analysis.
55 *Climate and Development*, **12**(8), 769-780, doi:10.1080/17565529.2019.1691485.
- 56 Tesselaar, M., W. J. W. Botzen and J. C. J. H. Aerts, 2020: Impacts of climate change and remote natural catastrophes
57 on EU flood insurance markets: An analysis of soft and hard reinsurance markets for flood coverage. *Atmosphere*,
58 **11**, doi:10.3390/atmos11020146.
- 59 Tesselaar, M. et al., 2020: Regional Inequalities in Flood Insurance Affordability and Uptake under Climate Change.
60 *Sustainability*, **12**(20), doi:10.3390/su12208734.
- 61 Theis, S., N. Lefore, R. Meinzen-Dick and E. Bryan, 2018: What happens after technology adoption? Gendered aspects
62 of small-scale irrigation technologies in Ethiopia, Ghana, and Tanzania. *Agriculture and Human Values*, **35**(3),
63 671-684, doi:10.1007/s10460-018-9862-8.

- 1 Thierfelder, C. et al., 2017: How climate-smart is conservation agriculture (CA)? – its potential to deliver on adaptation,
2 mitigation and productivity on smallholder farms in southern Africa. Springer Netherlands, 537-560 pp.
- 3 Thinda, K. T., A. A. Ogundeji, J. A. Belle and T. O. Ojo, 2020: Understanding the adoption of climate change
4 adaptation strategies among smallholder farmers: Evidence from land reform beneficiaries in South Africa. *Land*
5 *Use Policy*, **99**, doi:10.1016/j.landusepol.2020.104858.
- 6 Thober, J., N. Schwarz and K. Hermans, 2018: Agent-based modeling of environment-migration linkages: A review.
7 Resilience Alliance.
- 8 Thomas, A. and L. Benjamin, 2020: Non-economic loss and damage: lessons from displacement in the Caribbean.
9 *Climate Policy*, **20**(6), 715-728, doi:10.1080/14693062.2019.1640105.
- 10 Thomas, M. et al., 2021: When more is more: taking advantage of species diversity to move towards sustainable
11 aquaculture, 767-784 pp.
- 12 Thomasz, E., I. Pérez-Franco and A. García-García, 2020: The economic impact of climate risk on extensive livestock:
13 The case of lamb production in Extremadura, Spain. MDPI AG, 1-19 pp.
- 14 Thompson, P. L., B. Rayfield and A. Gonzalez, 2017: Loss of habitat and connectivity erodes species diversity,
15 ecosystem functioning, and stability in metacommunity networks. Blackwell Publishing Ltd, 98-108 pp.
- 16 Tian, Q. and M. C. Lemos, 2018: Household Livelihood Differentiation and Vulnerability to Climate Hazards in Rural
17 China. Elsevier Ltd, 321-331 pp.
- 18 Tiggeloven, T. et al., 2020: Global-scale benefit-cost analysis of coastal flood adaptation to different flood risk drivers
19 using structural measures. Copernicus GmbH, 1025-1044 pp.
- 20 Timpane-Padgham, B. L., T. Beechie and T. Klinger, 2017: A systematic review of ecological attributes that confer
21 resilience to climate change in environmental restoration. Public Library of Science, e0173812 pp.
- 22 Tonmoy, F. N., S. M. Cooke, F. Armstrong and D. Rissik, 2020: From science to policy: Development of a climate
23 change adaptation plan for the health and wellbeing sector in Queensland, Australia. Elsevier Ltd, 1-13 pp.
- 24 Torell, E., C. McNally, B. Crawford and G. Majubwa, 2017: Coastal Livelihood Diversification as a Pathway Out of
25 Poverty and Vulnerability: Experiences from Tanzania. Taylor and Francis Ltd., 199-218 pp.
- 26 Tremeac, B. et al., 2012: Influence of air conditioning management on heat island in Paris air street temperatures.
27 *Applied Energy*, **95**, 102-110, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2012.02.015.
- 28 Triana, M. A., R. Lamberts and P. Sassi, 2018: Should we consider climate change for Brazilian social housing?
29 Assessment of energy efficiency adaptation measures. *Energy and Buildings*, **158**, 1379-1392,
30 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2017.11.003.
- 31 Tripathi, A. and A. K. Mishra, 2017: Knowledge and passive adaptation to climate change: An example from Indian
32 farmers. *Climate Risk Management*, **16**, 195-207, doi:10.1016/j.crm.2016.11.002.
- 33 Tschora, H. and F. Cherubini, 2020: Co-benefits and trade-offs of agroforestry for climate change mitigation and other
34 sustainability goals in West Africa. *Global Ecology and Conservation*, **22**, doi:10.1016/J.GECCO.2020.E00919.
- 35 Turolla, E., G. Castaldelli, E. A. Fano and E. Tamburini, 2020: Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) Proves that Manila Clam
36 Farming (*Ruditapes Philippinarum*) is a Fully Sustainable Aquaculture Practice and a Carbon Sink.
- 37 Uddin, M. S., C. E. Haque and M. N. Khan, 2020: Good governance and local level policy implementation for disaster-
38 risk-reduction: actual, perceptual and contested perspectives in coastal communities in Bangladesh. *Disaster*
39 *Prevention and Management: An International Journal*, **30**, 94-111, doi:10.1108/DPM-03-2020-0069.
- 40 Uittenbroek, C. J., L. B. Janssen-Jansen and H. A. C. Runhaar, 2013: Mainstreaming climate adaptation into urban
41 planning: overcoming barriers, seizing opportunities and evaluating the results in two Dutch case studies.
42 *Regional Environmental Change*, **13**(2), 399-411, doi:10.1007/s10113-012-0348-8.
- 43 Ulrichs, M., R. Slater and C. Costella, 2019: Building resilience to climate risks through social protection: from
44 individualised models to systemic transformation. Blackwell Publishing Ltd, S368-S387 pp.
- 45 Umamaheswari, T. et al., 2021: Vulnerability assessment of coastal fishing communities for building resilience and
46 adaptation: Evidences from Tamil Nadu, India. Elsevier Ltd, 114-130 pp.
- 47 UNEP, 2013: Fisheries & Aquaculture. United Nations Environment Programme, Geneva, Switzerland, 89-117 pp.
- 48 Upreti, R., Z.-H. Wang and J. Yang, 2017: Radiative shading effect of urban trees on cooling the regional built
49 environment. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*, **26**, 18-24, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ufug.2017.05.008.
- 50 Valdivia, C., C. Barbieri and M. A. Gold, 2012: Between Forestry and Farming: Policy and Environmental Implications
51 of the Barriers to Agroforestry Adoption. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, 155-175 pp.
- 52 Valenti, W. C., J. M. Kimpara, B. d. L. Preto and P. Moraes-Valenti, 2018: Indicators of sustainability to assess
53 aquaculture systems, 402-413 pp.
- 54 van den Brandeler, F., J. Gupta and M. Hordijk, 2019: Megacities and rivers: Scalar mismatches between urban water
55 management and river basin management. *Journal of Hydrology*, **573**, 1067-1074,
56 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2018.01.001.
- 57 van der Roest, E. et al., 2021: The Impact of System Integration on System Costs of a Neighborhood Energy and Water
58 System. *Energies*, **14**(9), doi:10.3390/en14092616.
- 59 van Hooff, T., B. Blocken, H. J. P. Timmermans and J. L. M. Hensen, 2016: Analysis of the predicted effect of passive
60 climate adaptation measures on energy demand for cooling and heating in a residential building. *Energy*, **94**, 811-
61 820, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2015.11.036.
- 62 Van Hulst, F. J. and H. Posthumus, 2016: Understanding (non-) adoption of Conservation Agriculture in Kenya using
63 the Reasoned Action Approach. Elsevier Ltd, 303-314 pp.

- 1 Van Langevelde, F. et al. (eds.), The link between biodiversity loss and the increasing spread of zoonotic diseases
2 Policy Department for Economic, Scientific and Quality of Life Policies Directorate-General for Internal Policies.
- 3 Van Praag, L., 2021: Can I move or can I stay? Applying a life course perspective on immobility when facing gradual
4 environmental changes in Morocco. Elsevier, 100274 pp.
- 5 van Vliet, M. T. H., J. Sheffield, D. Wiberg and E. F. Wood, 2016: Impacts of recent drought and warm years on water
6 resources and electricity supply worldwide. *Environmental Research Letters*, **11**(12), 124021, doi:10.1088/1748-
7 9326/11/12/124021.
- 8 VandenBygaart, A. J., 2016: The myth that no-till can mitigate global climate change. Elsevier B.V., 98-99 pp.
- 9 Varela-Ortega, C. et al., 2016: How can irrigated agriculture adapt to climate change? Insights from the Guadiana Basin
10 in Spain. Springer Verlag, 59-70 pp.
- 11 Vaughan, C. et al., 2019: Evaluating agricultural weather and climate services in Africa: Evidence, methods, and a
12 learning agenda. *WIREs Climate Change*, **10**(4), e586, doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/wcc.586.
- 13 Venkataraman, C., S. Ghosh and M. Kandlikar, 2016: Breaking out of the Box: India and Climate Action on Short-
14 Lived Climate Pollutants. *Environmental Science & Technology*, **50**, 12527-12529, doi:10.1021/acs.est.6b05246.
- 15 Venter, Z. S., N. H. Krog and D. N. Barton, 2020: Linking green infrastructure to urban heat and human health risk
16 mitigation in Oslo, Norway. Elsevier B.V.
- 17 Venter, Z. S. et al., 2020: Green Apartheid: Urban green infrastructure remains unequally distributed across income and
18 race geographies in South Africa. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, **203**(0349), 103889-103889,
19 doi:10.1016/j.landurbplan.2020.103889.
- 20 Verchot, L. V. et al., 2007: Climate change: Linking adaptation and mitigation through agroforestry. Springer, 901-918
21 pp.
- 22 Verschuuren, J., 2013: Research Handbook on Climate Change Adaptation Law. Edward Elgar Publishing,
23 Cheltenham, UK.
- 24 Villamayor-Tomas, S. and G. García-López, 2017: The influence of community-based resource management
25 institutions on adaptation capacity: A large-n study of farmer responses to climate and global market disturbances,
26 153-166 pp.
- 27 Villamizar, A. et al., 2017: Climate adaptation in South America with emphasis in coastal areas: the state-of-the-art and
28 case studies from Venezuela and Uruguay. Taylor and Francis Ltd., 364-382 pp.
- 29 Villeneuve, M., 2021: Building a roadmap for inclusive disaster risk reduction in Australian communities. *Progress in*
30 *Disaster Science*, **10**, 100166, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pdisas.2021.100166.
- 31 Vincent, K., M. Daly, C. Scannell and B. Leathes, 2018: What can climate services learn from theory and practice of
32 co-production? *Climate Services*, **12**, doi:10.1016/j.cliser.2018.11.001.
- 33 Viviescas, C. et al., 2019: Contribution of Variable Renewable Energy to increase energy security in Latin America:
34 Complementarity and climate change impacts on wind and solar resources. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy*
35 *Reviews*, **113**, 109232, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2019.06.039.
- 36 von Holle, B., S. Yelenik and E. S. Gornish, 2020: Restoration at the landscape scale as a means of mitigation and
37 adaptation to climate change. Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 85-97 pp.
- 38 Voss, R. C., 2021: On- and non-farm adaptation in Senegal: understanding differentiation and drivers of farmer
39 strategies. Taylor & Francis.
- 40 Vousdoukas, M. I. et al., 2016: Projections of extreme storm surge levels along Europe, 3171-3190 pp.
- 41 Vuichard, P., A. Stauch and R. Wüstenhagen, 2021: Keep it local and low-key: Social acceptance of alpine solar power
42 projects, 110516 pp.
- 43 Wachsmuth, D., D. A. Cohen and H. Angelo, 2016: Expand the frontiers of urban sustainability. Nature Publishing
44 Group, 391-393 pp.
- 45 Walsh, C., 2019: Integration of expertise or collaborative practice?: Coastal management and climate adaptation at the
46 Wadden Sea. Elsevier Ltd, 78-86 pp.
- 47 Wamsler, C. and Å. Johannessen, 2020: Meeting at the crossroads? Developing national strategies for disaster risk
48 reduction and resilience: Relevance, scope for, and challenges to, integration. *International Journal of Disaster*
49 *Risk Reduction*, **45**, 101452, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2019.101452.
- 50 Wan, J. et al., 2016: Income Diversification: A Strategy for Rural Region Risk Management. MDPI AG, 1064 pp.
- 51 Wang, X. et al., 2020: Cutting carbon footprints of vegetable production with integrated soil - crop system
52 management: A case study of greenhouse pepper production. Elsevier Ltd, 120158 pp.
- 53 Warner, K., 2018: Coordinated approaches to large-scale movements of people: contributions of the Paris Agreement
54 and the Global Compacts for migration and on refugees. *Population and Environment*, **39**(4), 384-401,
55 doi:10.1007/s11111-018-0299-1.
- 56 Warnken, J. and R. Mosadeghi, 2018: Challenges of implementing integrated coastal zone management into local
57 planning policies, a case study of Queensland, Australia. Elsevier Ltd, 75-84 pp.
- 58 Waryszak, P. et al., 2021: Combining gray and green infrastructure to improve coastal resilience: lessons learnt from
59 hybrid flood defenses. Taylor & Francis.
- 60 Watanabe, T., A. C. Byers, M. A. Somos-Valenzuela and D. C. McKinney, 2016: The Need for Community
61 Involvement in Glacial Lake Field Research: The Case of Imja Glacial Lake, Khumbu, Nepal Himalaya. Springer
62 International Publishing, Cham, Switzerland, 235-250 pp.

- 1 Watari, T., 2021: Sustainable energy transitions require enhanced resource governance. *Journal of cleaner production*,
2 v. **312**, 2021 v.2312, doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.127698.
- 3 Watts, N. et al., 2021: The 2020 report of The Lancet Countdown on health and climate change: responding to
4 converging crises. *Lancet*, **397**(10269), 129-170, doi:10.1016/s0140-6736(20)32290-x.
- 5 Weatherdon, L. V. et al., 2016: Observed and Projected Impacts of Climate Change on Marine Fisheries, Aquaculture,
6 Coastal Tourism, and Human Health: An Update, 48 pp.
- 7 Webb, J., 2020: What difference does disaster risk reduction make? Insights from Vanuatu and tropical cyclone Pam.
8 *Regional Environmental Change*, **20**, doi:10.1007/s10113-020-01584-y.
- 9 Webber, S., 2017: Circulating climate services: Commercializing science for climate change adaptation in Pacific
10 Islands. *Geoforum*, **85**, 82-91, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoforum.2017.07.009.
- 11 Weber, E., 2017: Trade agreements, labour mobility and climate change in the Pacific Islands. *Regional Environmental*
12 *Change*, **17**(4), 1089-1101, doi:10.1007/s10113-016-1047-7.
- 13 Wei, D., L. Fang, M. Tang and C.-G. Zhan, 2013: Fundamental Reaction Pathway for Peptide Metabolism by
14 Proteasome: Insights from First-Principles Quantum Mechanical/Molecular Mechanical Free Energy Calculations.
15 *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B*, **117**(43), 13418-13434, doi:10.1021/jp405337v.
- 16 Wekesah, F. M., E. N. Mutua and C. O. Izugbara, 2019: Gender and conservation agriculture in sub-Saharan Africa: a
17 systematic review. Taylor and Francis Ltd., 78-91 pp.
- 18 Weng, W., L. Costa, M. K. B. Lüdeke and D. C. Zemp, 2019: Aerial river management by smart cross-border
19 reforestation. Elsevier Ltd, 105-113 pp.
- 20 Wheatley, S., B. K. Sovacool and D. Sornette, 2016: Reassessing the safety of nuclear power. Elsevier Ltd, 96-100 pp.
- 21 White, R. J. and O. Razgour, 2020: Emerging zoonotic diseases originating in mammals: a systematic review of effects
22 of anthropogenic land-use change. Blackwell Publishing Ltd, 336-352 pp.
- 23 Whitney, C. K. et al., 2020: Like the plains people losing the buffalo: perceptions of climate change
24 impacts, fisheries management, and adaptation actions by Indigenous peoples in coastal British Columbia,
25 Canada. *Ecology and Society*, **25**(4), doi:10.5751/ES-12027-250433.
- 26 Wiegel, H., I. Boas and J. Warner, 2019: A mobilities perspective on migration in the context of environmental change.
27 *WIREs Climate Change*, **10**(6), e610, doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/wcc.610.
- 28 Williams, A. T. T., N. Rangel-Buitrago, E. Pranzini and G. Anfusio, 2018: The management of coastal erosion, 4-20 pp.
- 29 Williams, D. S., M. M. Costa, L. Celliers and C. Sutherland, 2018: Informal settlements and flooding: Identifying
30 strengths and weaknesses in local governance for water management. MDPI AG.
- 31 Williams, D. S. et al., 2020: A method of assessing user capacities for effective climate services. *Climate Services*, **19**,
32 100180, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cliser.2020.100180.
- 33 Williams, D. S., S. Rosendo, O. Sadasing and L. Celliers, 2020: Identifying local governance capacity needs for
34 implementing climate change adaptation in Mauritius. *Climate Policy*, **20**(5), 548-562,
35 doi:10.1080/14693062.2020.1745743.
- 36 Williams, P. A. et al., 2021: Feasibility assessment of climate change adaptation options across Africa: an evidence-
37 based review. IOP Publishing, 073004 pp.
- 38 Wilmsen, B. and M. Webber, 2015: What can we learn from the practice of development-forced displacement and
39 resettlement for organised resettlements in response to climate change?, 76-85 pp.
- 40 Wilson, N. J., 2014: The Politics of Adaptation: Subsistence Livelihoods and Vulnerability to Climate Change in the
41 Koyukon Athabaskan Village of Ruby, Alaska. Springer New York LLC, 87-101 pp.
- 42 Winters, M. A., B. Leslie, E. B. Sloane and T. W. Gallien, 2020: Observations and Preliminary Vulnerability
43 Assessment of a Hybrid Dune-Based Living Shoreline. Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute, 920 pp.
- 44 Wise, R. M. et al., 2016: How climate compatible are livelihood adaptation strategies and development programs in
45 rural Indonesia? Elsevier, 100-114 pp.
- 46 Wong-Parodi, G., 2020: When climate change adaptation becomes a “looming threat” to society: Exploring views and
47 responses to California wildfires and public safety power shutoffs. *Energy Research & Social Science*, **70**,
48 101757, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2020.101757.
- 49 Woodhouse, E. and J. T. McCabe, 2018: Well-being and conservation: diversity and change in visions of a good life
50 among the Maasai of northern Tanzania. The Resilience Alliance.
- 51 Woodruff, S., T. K. BenDor and A. L. Strong, 2018: Fighting the inevitable: infrastructure investment and coastal
52 community adaptation to sea level rise. John Wiley and Sons Ltd, 48-77 pp.
- 53 Worboys, G. L. et al. (eds.), CONNECTIVITY CONSERVATION AREA GUIDELINES [DEFINITION, TYPES,
54 SELECTION CRITERIA AND GOVERNANCE] ADVANCED DRAFT, MAY 2016.
- 55 Woroniecki, S., C. Wamsler and E. Boyd, 2019: The promises and pitfalls of ecosystem-based adaptation to climate
56 change as a vehicle for social empowerment. *Ecology and Society*, **24**(2), doi:10.5751/ES-10854-240204.
- 57 Wrathall, D. J., 2012: Migration Amidst Social-Ecological Regime Shift: The Search for Stability in Garifuna Villages
58 of Northern Honduras. *Human Ecology* 2012 40:4, **40**, 583-596, doi:10.1007/S10745-012-9501-8.
- 59 Wrathall, D. J. et al., 2019: Meeting the looming policy challenge of sea-level change and human migration. *Nature*
60 *Climate Change* 2019 9:12, **9**, 898-901, doi:10.1038/s41558-019-0640-4.
- 61 Wreford, A. and C. F. E. Topp, 2020: Impacts of climate change on livestock and possible adaptations: A case study of
62 the United Kingdom. *Agricultural Systems*, **178**, 102737, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2019.102737.

- 1 Wu, X. D. et al., 2019: Water footprint of thermal power in China: Implications from the high amount of industrial
2 water use by plant infrastructure of coal-fired generation system, 452-461 pp.
- 3 Xu, W., J. Zhao, T. Zhao and Z. Wang, 2015: Adaptive Reservoir Operation Model Incorporating Nonstationary Inflow
4 Prediction. *Journal of Water Resources Planning and Management*, **141**(8), 04014099,
5 doi:10.1061/(ASCE)WR.1943-5452.0000502.
- 6 Xuan, B. B. and E. D. Sandorf, 2020: Potential for Sustainable Aquaculture: Insights from Discrete Choice
7 Experiments, 401-421 pp.
- 8 Xuan, B. B., E. D. Sandorf and Q. T. K. Ngoc, 2021: Stakeholder perceptions towards sustainable shrimp aquaculture
9 in Vietnam, 112585 pp.
- 10 Yadav, G. S. et al., 2019: Conservation tillage and nutrient management effects on productivity and soil carbon
11 sequestration under double cropping of rice in north eastern region of India. Elsevier B.V., 303-315 pp.
- 12 Yalew, S. G. et al., 2020: Impacts of climate change on energy systems in global and regional scenarios. *Nature Energy*,
13 **5**(10), 794-802, doi:10.1038/s41560-020-0664-z.
- 14 Yamaguchi, K. and T. Ihara, 2020: Countermeasures to Urban Heat Island Considering Urban Energy Usage. In:
15 *Building in Hot and Humid Regions: Historical Perspective and Technological Advances* [Enteria, N., H. Awbi
16 and M. Santamouris (eds.)]. Springer Singapore, Singapore, pp. 15-57. ISBN 978-981-13-7519-4.
- 17 Yan, Y., X. Liu and Y. Wen, 2020: Quantification of the Relationship Among Cropland Area, Cropland Management
18 Measures, and Cropland Productivity Using Panel Data Model. *International Journal of Plant Production*, **14**,
19 689-702, doi:10.1007/s42106-020-00113-5.
- 20 Yates, O. E. T., S. Manuela, A. Neef and S. Groot, 2021: Reshaping ties to land: a systematic review of the
21 psychosocial and cultural impacts of Pacific climate-related mobility. Taylor & Francis.
- 22 Yazdi, J. et al., 2013: Optimal prioritisation of watershed management measures for flood risk mitigation on a
23 watershed scale, 372-384 pp.
- 24 Yeboah, A. S., T. Y. Baah-Ennumh and M. Okumah, 2020: Understanding the economic, socio-cultural, and
25 environmental impacts of resettlement projects. Routledge.
- 26 Yousefpour, R. et al., 2017: A framework for modeling adaptive forest management and decision making under climate
27 change. *Ecology and Society*, **22**(4), doi:10.5751/ES-09614-220440.
- 28 Yu, X. and P. W. Gillis, 2014: Do Hazard Mitigation and Preparedness Reduce Physical Damage to Businesses in
29 Disasters? Critical Role of Business Disaster Planning. *Natural Hazards Review*, **15**, 04014007,
30 doi:10.1061/(ASCE)NH.1527-6996.0000137.
- 31 Yumagulova, L. et al., 2021: The role of disaster volunteering in Indigenous communities. *Environmental Hazards*,
32 **20**(1), 45-62, doi:10.1080/17477891.2019.1657791.
- 33 Zardo, L., D. Geneletti, M. Pérez-Soba and M. Van Eupen, 2017: Estimating the cooling capacity of green
34 infrastructures to support urban planning. *Ecosystem Services*, **26**, 225-235,
35 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoser.2017.06.016.
- 36 Zen, I. S., A. Q. Al-Amin and B. Doberstein, 2019: Mainstreaming climate adaptation and mitigation policy: Towards
37 multi-level climate governance in Melaka, Malaysia. Elsevier B.V.
- 38 Zhang, C., L. Zhong and J. Wang, 2018: Decoupling between water use and thermoelectric power generation growth in
39 China, 792-799 pp.
- 40 Zhang, Y. et al., 2020: The 2020 special report of the MJA–Lancet Countdown on health and climate change: lessons
41 learnt from Australia’s “Black Summer”. *Medical Journal of Australia*, **213**(11), 490-492.e410,
42 doi:https://doi.org/10.5694/mja2.50869.
- 43 Zhang, Z., S. Meerow, J. P. Newell and M. Lindquist, 2019: Enhancing landscape connectivity through multifunctional
44 green infrastructure corridor modeling and design. Elsevier GmbH, 305-317 pp.
- 45 Zhao, Z. et al., 2020: Responses of soil water, nitrate and yield of apple orchard to integrated soil management in Loess
46 Plateau, China. Elsevier B.V., 106325 pp.
- 47 Zhou, Y., M. Panteli, B. Wang and P. Mancarella, 2020: Quantifying the System-Level Resilience of Thermal Power
48 Generation to Extreme Temperatures and Water Scarcity, 749-759 pp.
- 49 Ziba, V., 2018: Climate Change, An Unwelcome Legacy: The Need to Support Children's Rights to Participate in
50 Global Conversations. *Children, Youth and Environments*, **28**(1), 104-114.
- 51 Zickgraf, C., 2019: Keeping people in place: Political factors of (im)mobility and climate change. MDPI AG, 228 pp.
- 52 Zomer, R. J., D. A. Bossio, R. Sommer and L. V. Verchot, 2017: Global Sequestration Potential of Increased Organic
53 Carbon in Cropland Soils. *Scientific Reports*, **7**, 1-8, doi:10.1038/s41598-017-15794-8.
- 54 Zwierzchowska, I. et al., 2019: Introducing nature-based solutions into urban policy – facts and gaps. Case study of
55 Poznań. *Land Use Policy*, **85**, 161-175, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2019.03.025.
- 56