

Eric Whaites | Nicholas Drage

ESSENTIALS OF Dental Radiography and Radiology

SIXTH EDITION



Evolve®

Student Resources on Evolve
Access Code Inside

Essentials of Dental Radiography and Radiology

SIXTH EDITION

Eric Whaites, MSc, BDS(Hons),
FDSRCS(Edin), FDSRCS(Eng), FRCR,
DDRRCR

*Senior Lecturer and Honorary Consultant in Dental and Maxillofacial
Radiology, King's College London Faculty of Dentistry, Oral & Craniofacial
Sciences, at Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK*

Nicholas Drage, BDS (Hons), FDSRCS
(Eng), FDSRCPS (Glas), DDRRCR

*Consultant and Honorary Senior Lecturer in Dental and Maxillofacial
Radiology, University Dental Hospital, Cardiff and Vale University Health
Board, Cardiff, UK*

Table of Contents

Cover image

Title page

Dedication

Copyright

Preface

Acknowledgements

Part I. Introduction

1. The Radiographic Image

Introduction

Nature of the Traditional Two-Dimensional Radiographic Image

Quality of the Traditional Two-Dimensional Radiographic Image

Perception of the Radiographic Image

Types of Traditional Dental Radiographs

Part II. Radiation Physics, Equipment and Radiation Protection

2. The Production, Properties and Interactions of X-rays

Introduction

Atomic Structure

X-Ray Production

Interaction of X-Rays with Matter

3. Dental X-ray Generating Equipment

Introduction

Ideal Requirements

Main Components of the Tubehead

Other X-Ray Generating Apparatus

4. Image Receptors

Introduction

Digital Receptors

Radiographic Film

Characteristics of Radiographic Film

Background Fog Density

Intensifying Screens

Cassettes

Important Practical Points to Note

5. Image Processing

Computer Digital Processing

Chemical Processing

6. Radiation Dose, Dosimetry and Dose Limitation

Dose Units

Dose Limits

Dose Rate

Dose Limitation

7. The Biological Effects Associated with X-rays, Risk and Practical Radiation Protection

Radiation-Induced Tissue Damage

Classification of the Biological Effects

Practical Radiation Protection

Footnote

Part III. Radiography

8. Dental Radiography – General Patient Considerations including Control of Infection

General Guidelines on Patient Care

Specific Requirements When X-Raying Children and Patients with Disabilities

Control of Infection

Micro-Organisms That May Be Encountered

Standard and Transmission-Based Precautions

Infection Control Measures

Footnote

9. Periapical Radiography

Main Indications

Ideal Positioning Requirements

Radiographic Techniques

Anatomy

Bisected Angle Technique

Comparison of The Paralleling and Bisected Angle Techniques

Conclusion

Positioning Difficulties Often Encountered in Periapical Radiography

Assessment of Image Quality

10. Bitewing Radiography

Main Indications

Ideal Technique Requirements

Positioning Techniques

Resultant Radiographs

Assessment of Image Quality

11. Occlusal Radiography

Introduction

Terminology and Classification

Upper Standard (or Anterior) Occlusal

Upper Oblique Occlusal

Lower 90° Occlusal

Lower 45° (or Anterior) Occlusal

Lower Oblique Occlusal

12. Oblique Lateral Radiography

Introduction

Terminology

Main Indications

Basic Technique Principles

Positioning Examples For Various Oblique Lateral Radiographs

Bimolar Technique

13. Skull and Maxillofacial Radiography

Equipment, Patient Positioning and Projections

14. Cephalometric Radiography

Introduction

Main Indications

Equipment

Main Radiographic Projections

Cephalometric Posteroanterior of the Jaws (PA Jaws)

15. Tomography and Panoramic Radiography

Introduction

Tomographic Theory

Panoramic Tomography

Selection Criteria

Equipment

Technique and Positioning

Normal Anatomy

Advantages and Disadvantages

Assessment of Image Quality

Assessment of Not Acceptable Images and Determination of Errors

Footnote

16. Cone Beam Computed Tomography

Main Indications

Equipment and Theory

Technique and Positioning

Normal Anatomy

Radiation Dose

Advantages and Disadvantages

Assessment of Image Quality

Quality Assurance

Footnote

17. The Quality of Radiographic Images and Quality Assurance

Introduction

Quality Assurance (QA)

Digital Image Quality

Quality Control Procedures for Digital Receptors, Computer Processing and Monitors

Film-Based Image Quality

Quality Control Procedures for Film, Chemical Processing and Light Boxes

Patient Preparation and Positioning Technique Errors in Both Digital and Film-Based Imaging

Patient Dose and X-Ray Generating Equipment

Working Procedures

Staff Training and Updating

Audits

Footnote

18. Alternative and Specialized Imaging Modalities

Introduction

Contrast Studies

Radioisotope Imaging

Computed Tomography (CT)

Ultrasound Examinations

Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI)

Part IV. Radiology

19. Introduction to Radiological Interpretation

Essential Requirements for Interpretation

Conclusion

20. Dental Caries and the Assessment of Restorations

Introduction

Classification of Caries

Diagnosis and Detection of Caries

Other Important Radiographic Appearances

Limitations Of Radiographic Detection of Caries

Radiographic Assessment of Restorations

Limitations of the Radiographic Image

Suggested Guidelines for Interpreting Bitewing Images

Cone Beam Computed Tomography

21. The Periapical Tissues

Introduction

Normal Radiographic Appearances

The Effects of Normal Superimposed Shadows

Radiographic Appearances of Periapical Inflammatory Changes

Treatment and Imaging in Endodontics

Other Important Causes of Periapical Radiolucency

Suggested Guidelines for Interpreting Periapical Images

22. The Periodontal Tissues and Periodontal Disease

Introduction

Selection Criteria

Radiographic Features of Healthy Periodontium

Classification of Periodontal Diseases

Radiographic Features of Periodontal Disease and the Assessment of Bone Loss and Furcation Involvement

Evaluation of Treatment Measures

Limitations of Radiographic Diagnosis

23. Implant Assessment

Introduction

Main Indications

Main Contraindications

Treatment Planning Considerations

Radiographic Examination

Peri-operative Imaging

Post-operative Evaluation and Follow-Up

Footnote

24. Developmental Abnormalities

Introduction

Classification of Developmental Abnormalities

Typical Radiographic Appearances of The More Common and Important Developmental Abnormalities

Radiographic Assessment of Mandibular Third Molars

Radiographic Assessment of Unerupted Maxillary Canines

25. Radiological Differential Diagnosis – Describing a Lesion

Introduction

Detailed Description of a Lesion

Footnote

26. Differential Diagnosis of Radiolucent Lesions of the Jaws

Introduction

Step-By-Step Guide

Typical Radiographic Features of Radiolucent Cysts and Tumours

Typical Radiographic Features of Tumours

Typical Radiographic Features of Allied Lesions

Footnote

27. Differential Diagnosis of Lesions of Variable Radiopacity in the Jaws

STEP I

STEP II

STEP III

STEP IV

STEP V

Typical Radiographic Features of Abnormalities of the Teeth

Typical Radiographic Features of Conditions of Variable Opacity Affecting Bone

Summary

Typical Radiographic Features of Soft Tissue Calcifications

Typical Radiographic Features of Foreign Bodies

28. Bone Diseases of Radiological Importance

Introduction

Developmental or Genetic Disorders

Infective or Inflammatory Conditions

Hormone-Related Diseases

Blood Dyscrasias

Diseases of Unknown Cause

29. Trauma to the Teeth and Facial Skeleton

Introduction

Injuries to the Teeth and Their Supporting Structures

Skeletal Fractures

Fractures of the Mandible

Fractures of the Middle Third of the Facial Skeleton

Other Fractures and Injuries

30. The Temporomandibular Joint

Introduction

Normal Anatomy

Investigations

Main Pathological Conditions Affecting the TMJ

Footnote

31. The Maxillary Antra

Introduction

Normal Anatomy

Normal Appearance of the Antra on Conventional Radiographs

Antral Disease

Investigation and Appearance of Disease Within the Antra

Infection and Inflammation

Other Paranasal Air Sinuses

32. The Salivary Glands

Salivary Gland Disorders

Investigations

Bibliography and Suggested Reading

Index

Dedication

To our families

For Downloading Dental Books!
Join us on telegram app:

<https://t.me/RoyalDentistryLibrary>

@RoyalDentistryLibrary

Copyright

© 2021, Elsevier Limited. All rights reserved.

First edition 1992

Second edition 1996

Third edition 2002

Fourth edition 2007

Fifth edition 2013

Sixth edition 2021

The right of Eric Whaites and Nicholas Drage to be identified as authors of this work has been asserted by them in accordance with the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988.

No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, or any information storage and retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publisher. Details on how to seek permission, further information about the Publisher's permissions policies and our arrangements with organizations such as the Copyright Clearance Center and the Copyright Licensing Agency, can be found at our website: www.elsevier.com/permissions .

This book and the individual contributions contained in it are protected under copyright by the Publisher (other than as may be noted herein).

Notices

Practitioners and researchers must always rely on their own experience and knowledge in evaluating and using any information,

methods, compounds or experiments described herein. Because of rapid advances in the medical sciences, in particular, independent verification of diagnoses and drug dosages should be made. To the fullest extent of the law, no responsibility is assumed by Elsevier, authors, editors or contributors for any injury and/or damage to persons or property as a matter of products liability, negligence or otherwise, or from any use or operation of any methods, products, instructions, or ideas contained in the material herein.

ISBN: 978-0-7020-7688-6

Content Strategists: Alison Taylor/Alexandra Mortimer

Content Development Specialist: Fiona Conn

Senior Project Manager: Karthikeyan Murthy

Design: Renee Duenow

Art Buyer: Muthukumaran Thangaraj

Marketing Manager: Deborah Watkins

Printed in China

Last digit is the print number: 9 8 7 6 5 4 3

2 1



Preface

It is now nearly 30 years since the first edition of *Essentials* was published and nearly 6 years since the first co-authored fifth edition. This sixth edition is again co-authored with my friend and colleague Nicholas Drage and marks the last edition that I will be directly involved with, given that I am in the twilight of my career. It is reassuring to know that *Essentials* will be in Nicholas' safe hands.

I am delighted that we have been given this final opportunity to update and refresh *Essentials* together and to be able to introduce colour images throughout – we hope this has given the book a completely new and modern feel. In addition to the new photographic material, we have revised and updated nearly every chapter. We have tried to align the content and terminology more closely with the new international classifications in relation to cysts, tumours, bone lesions and diseases, caries and periodontal diseases. Many new radiographs have been added and we have placed greater emphasis on examples showing normal radiographic anatomy, working on the principle that the main reason for radiographic imaging is to be able to distinguish the difference in appearance between normal and abnormal, to determine the presence or absence of (hard tissue) disease. Another major change has been to reorder the contents of several chapters so that digital imaging is described first, recognising the switch from film-based imaging to digital imaging.

The website created with, and linked to, the previous edition proved popular with students, particularly the online self-assessment questions relating to each chapter, so these have also been reviewed and new questions added as appropriate.

Despite the various updates and revisions, the aims and objectives of this book remain the same, namely to provide a basic, but comprehensive, practical account of what we consider to be the essential subject matter of both dental radiography and radiology as required by undergraduate and postgraduate dental students. As in previous editions, some things have had to be omitted, or sometimes, over-simplified. However, the book remains first and foremost a teaching manual (albeit more colourful) rather than a comprehensive reference. We believe that the content remains sufficiently broad, detailed and up-to-date to satisfy the requirements of most undergraduate and postgraduate examinations and the needs of most general dental practitioners. Students are encouraged to build on the information included here by using the excellent and more comprehensive textbooks available.

We hope that once again the result is a clear, logical and easily understandable textbook that continues to make a positive contribution to the challenging tasks of teaching and learning dental radiology.

EW

Acknowledgements

As with previous editions, this edition has only been possible thanks to the enormous amount of help and encouragement that we have received from our various friends and colleagues in both London and Cardiff.

In particular, we would like to thank Nigel Pearson, Head of the Medical Photography Department at Guy's and St Thomas', and Sam Evans from the Dental Photography Unit, Cardiff University, who together are responsible for all the new photographic images. Special thanks to Beatrice Cicchetti for volunteering to be our adult patient model – her patience and ever-present smile made hours of photography bearable for all of us! Our thanks also to Clive and Charlene Bathurst for allowing their daughter Hayley to be our child patient model. Our thanks also to Richard Beal (Clarke Dental Limited), Paul Keeley (Henry Schein Dental) and Jay McKay (Sirona-Dentsply) for supplying some of the equipment for photography purposes.

We are very grateful to several colleagues for their help and advice with specific chapters. They include Melanie Wilson, Senior Lecturer in Oral Microbiology in Cardiff for [Chapter 8](#) ; Dirk Bister, Consultant in Orthodontics at Guy's for [Chapter 14](#) ; Simon Harvey, Consultant in Dental & Maxillofacial Radiology at Guy's for [Chapter 18](#) ; Avi Banerjee, Professor of Cariology at King's College London for [Chapter 21](#) ; Francis Hughes, Professor of Periodontology at King's College London for [Chapter 22](#) , George Paolinelis, Consultant in Oral Surgery at Guy's for [Chapter 23](#) and Eddy Odell, Professor of Oral Pathology, King's College London for [Chapters 26](#) and [27](#) . In addition, Dental Radiology Departmental colleagues at Guy's willingly offered their

help and advice – in particular we would like to thank Jackie Brown, Bethan Thomas, John Rout, Niall O’Neill, Amanda Loughlin, Beatrice Cicchetti and Stephen Goss. We are also very grateful to Andrew Gulson, from Public Health England for his permission to allow us to reproduce parts of the 2020 Guidance Notes. Special thanks to Allisson Summerfield for co-ordinating the IT and imagery, the hours she spent photocopying and electronically correcting the proofs, and for always being on hand to ensure the job got done! Many thanks also to Elsevier’s Alison Taylor, our now retired Content Strategist, Fiona Conn for masterminding the manuscript to get it ready for production, and Karthikeyan Murthy and his team for their huge effort in getting the book to final publication.

Last, but by no means least, we would once again like to thank our wives Catriona and Anji and our children Stuart, Felicity and Claudia, and Karisma and Jaimini for their love, understanding and encouragement throughout the production of this edition and for accepting the sacrifice of family time that it has involved.

EW

ND

PART I

Introduction

OUTLINE

1. The Radiographic Image

1

The Radiographic Image

Introduction

The use of X-rays is an integral part of clinical dentistry, with some form of radiographic examination necessary on the majority of patients. Radiographs are primarily taken to determine the presence or absence of underlying hard tissue disease affecting the teeth and/or bones. As a result, radiographs are often referred to as the clinician's *main diagnostic aid*. Traditional radiography enables the creation of conventional two-dimensional radiographic images, but technological advances in computer generated images – so-called *cone beam computed tomography (CBCT)* - has resulted in imaging in three dimensions and improved diagnostic capability (see Figs. [1.1](#) and [1.2](#)).




FIG. 1.1 A traditional two-dimensional dental radiograph showing the hard tissues of the teeth, containing metallic amalgam restorations, and the supporting alveolar bone.




FIG. 1.2 Modern cone beam computed tomography (CBCT) radiographic images in three dimensions of the lower right molar teeth in the (A) sagittal, (B) coronal and (C) axial planes.

The range of knowledge of dental radiography and radiology thus required can be divided conveniently into four main sections:

- *Basic physics, X-ray equipment and image receptors* – how X-rays

are produced, their properties and how their interactions result in the formation of the various two-dimensional and three-dimensional radiographic images

- *Radiation protection* – the protection of patients and dental staff from the harmful effects of X-rays
- *Radiography* – the techniques involved in producing the various radiographic images
- *Radiology* – the interpretation of these various radiographic images, which requires a knowledge of the normal anatomy of the teeth and the jaws as well as the different appearances of underlying disease.

Understanding the different radiographic images is central to the entire subject. This chapter provides an introduction to the nature of the traditional two-dimensional image and to some of the factors that affect its quality and perception. The more complex modern CBCT images are described later in .

Nature of the Traditional Two-Dimensional Radiographic Image

The basic requirements to create a traditional two-dimensional dental radiographic image include an *X-ray generating machine* , a *patient* and an *image receptor* placed either inside the mouth (*intraoral*) or outside the mouth (*extraoral*), as shown in [Fig. 1.3](#) . Originally the image receptor used was *film* coated with photographic emulsion that blackened when hit by X-rays. Nowadays film is being replaced more and more by a variety of *digital sensors* with the image being created in a computer and displayed on a monitor. Those parts of the digital sensor that have been hit by X-rays appear black in the computer-generated image. The extent to which the emulsion or the computer-generated image is blackened depends on the number of X-rays reaching the film or the sensor, which in turn depends on the density of the object.

However the final image is captured, it can be described as a two-dimensional picture made up of a variety of black, white and grey superimposed shadows and is thus sometimes referred to as a *shadowgraph*.




FIG. 1.3 Diagrams showing the basic requirements for two-dimensional radiography – an X-ray generating machine, a patient and an image receptor for **(A)** intraoral imaging and **(B)** extraoral imaging.

Understanding the nature of the *shadowgraph* and interpreting the information contained within it requires a knowledge of:

- The radiographic shadows
- The three-dimensional anatomical tissues
- The limitations imposed by a two-dimensional picture and superimposition.

The Radiographic Shadows

The amount the X-ray beam is stopped (attenuated) by an object determines the *radiodensity* of the shadows:

- The white or *radiopaque* shadows on an image represent the various dense structures within the object which have totally stopped the X-ray beam.

- The black or *radiolucent* shadows represent areas where the X-ray beam has passed through the object and has not been stopped at all.




FIG. 1.4 (i) Front view and (ii) plan view of various cylinders of similar shape but made of different materials: (A) plaster of Paris, (B) hollow plastic, (C) metal, and (D) wood. (iii) Radiographs of the cylinders show how objects of the same shape, but of different materials, produce different radiographic images.

- The grey shadows represent areas where the X-ray beam has been stopped to a varying degree.

The final *shadow density* of any object is thus affected by:

- The specific type of material of which the object is made
- The thickness or density of the material
- The shape of the object
- The intensity of the X-ray beam used
- The position of the object in relation to the X-ray beam and image receptor
- The sensitivity and type of image receptor.

The effect of different materials, different thicknesses/densities, different shapes and different X-ray beam intensities on the radiographic image shadows are shown in Figs. [1.4](#) - [1.7](#) .






FIG. 1.5 (i) Front view of four apparently similar cylinders made from plaster of Paris. (ii) The plan view shows the cylinders have varying internal designs and thicknesses. (iii) Radiographs of the apparently similar cylinders show how objects of similar shape and material, but of different densities, produce different radiographic images.




FIG. 1.6 (i) Front view of five apparently similar cylinders made from plaster of Paris. (ii) A plan view shows the objects are in fact different shapes. (iii) Radiographs show how objects of different shape, but made of the same material, produce different radiographic images.

The Three-dimensional Anatomical Tissues

Traditional two-dimensional radiographic images depict the variable shape, density, thickness and internal structures of the patient's three-dimensional anatomical hard tissues. A sound anatomical knowledge

of the jaws and the bones of the skull is obviously a prerequisite for radiological interpretation. This knowledge not only includes the names and location of the bones, but also their shape, thickness and internal structure (see Figs. 1.8 and 1.9).

Radiological images are dramatically affected by anatomical foramina and internal cavities. In relation to the teeth and their supporting structures, examples include the mental foramina in the premolar regions of the mandible and the midline nasopalatine foramen and antral cavities in the maxilla. The various radiographic appearances of the important normal anatomical structures are illustrated and identified in subsequent chapters.






FIG. 1.7 (i) Front view and (ii) plan view of four cylinders made from plaster of Paris but of different diameters. (iii) Four radiographs using different intensity X-ray beams show how increasing the intensity of the X-ray beam causes greater penetration of the object with less attenuation, hence the less radiopaque (white) shadows of the object that are produced, particularly of the smallest cylinder.





FIG. 1.8 Photographs showing the three-dimensional anatomy of the premolar/molar region of a dried mandible. **(A)** The external bone, **(B)** the teeth embedded in the bone and **(C)** a sagittal section showing the various internal bone structures. **(D)** Traditional two-dimensional radiographic image.




FIG. 1.9 Photographs showing the three-dimensional anatomy of the premolar/molar region of a dried maxilla. **(A)** The external bone, **(B)** the teeth embedded in the bone and **(C)** a sagittal section through the mid-line. **(D)** Traditional two-dimensional radiographic image.

The Limitations Imposed by a Two-dimensional Image and Superimposition

To visualize all aspects of any three-dimensional object, it must be viewed from several different positions. This point can be illustrated by considering an everyday object such as a *teacup* as shown in [Fig. 1.10](#). A photograph of the front of the cup does not allow the observer to appreciate that the teacup has a handle. A photograph from the side shows that the teacup has a handle, but a third photograph from above is also required to determine where the handle is positioned, although it provides no information as to the height of the teacup or the shape of its base.

In a traditional two-dimensional radiographic image the shadows cast by the different parts of an object are superimposed upon one another, thereby creating a more complex image than a simple two-dimensional photograph. This difference and the limitations caused by superimposition can be illustrated by X-raying the same tea cup, as shown in [Fig. 1.11](#). The front view radiographic image merely depicts the outline of the teacup, but does allow the observer to see the shadow of the handle. However, it gives no indication as to which side of the cup the handle is located or its shape.

All these inherent limitations of the radiographic image need to be remembered when clinically X-raying patients. Unfortunately, it is all too easy for the observer to forget that teeth and patients are three-dimensional. The superimposition of structures means that the traditional two-dimensional radiographic image can provide limited or even misleading information as to where a particular structure lies, or to its shape, as shown in [Fig. 1.12A](#).



FIG. 1.10 Three two-dimensional photographs of a teacup. **(A)** The front view provides no information on the handle. **(B)** The side view shows the shape of the handle while **(C)**, the plan view, shows the handle is positioned in the middle of the teacup, but provides no information on the height of the teacup or the shape of its base.



FIG. 1.11 Three two-dimensional radiographs of the same teacup illustrated in Fig. 1.10. **(A)** The front view shows only the outline of the teacup and the shadow of the handle, but gives no information as to which side of the cup the handle is located or its shape. **(B)** The side view again shows the outline of the cup and also the shape of the handle. **(C)** The plan view confirms the teacup is round and shows the position of the handle, but provides no information on the height of the teacup or the shape of its base.




FIG. 1.12 The limitations of traditional two-dimensional skull radiographs illustrated using **(A)** An *occipitomental* (from the front) and **(B)** a *lateral skull* (from the side). A radiopaque (white) object (arrowed) is evident on both images. On the occipitomental view, it can be seen apparently in the base of the right nasal cavity. On the lateral skull, it appears intracranially just above the skull base. It is in fact a metallic aneurysm clip positioned on an artery in the Circle of Willis at the base of the brain. The long black arrow shows the direction of the X-ray beam required to produce radiograph **(A)** , illustrating how the shadow cast by the intracranial metallic clip appears in the nose on this image.

One clinical approach to try to solve these problems is to take two views, ideally at right angles to one another as shown in [Fig. 1.12B](#) . The limitation of a two-dimensional image not providing all the desired information required and the use of two views to determine the size and/or location of a lesion is illustrated diagrammatically in [Fig. 1.13](#) .

Unfortunately, even two views may still not provide enough information for a diagnosis to be made. As shown diagrammatically in Fig. 1.14, a dense radiopaque shadow on one side of the head may overlies the area of interest (the lesion) on the other, thus obscuring it from view.

These limitations of the conventional radiographic image have very important clinical implications and may be the underlying reason for a *negative radiographic report*. The fact that a particular feature or condition is not visible on one radiograph does not mean that the feature or condition does not exist, merely that it cannot be seen.

As mentioned, the technological advances in computer-generated images such as CBCT have enabled some of these limitations of traditional two-dimensional radiographs to be overcome in dentistry (see Chapter 16). In medical imaging, some of these limitations have been overcome by using medical computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) (see Chapter 18).




FIG. 1.13 Diagrams illustrating the limitations of a two-dimensional image. **(A)** Posteroanterior views of a head containing a variable mass. The mass appears as a similar-sized opaque image on the radiograph, providing no differentiating information on its position or shape. **(B)** The side view provides a possible solution to the problems

illustrated in (A) .




FIG. 1.14 Diagram illustrating the problems of

superimposition. Lateral views of the same masses shown in [Fig. 1.10](#) , but with an additional radiodense object superimposed (arrowed). This view produces a similar image in each case with no evidence of the mass. The information obtained previously is now obscured and the usefulness of using two views at right angles is negated.

Quality of the Traditional Two-Dimensional Radiographic Image

Overall image quality and the amount of detail shown on a traditional two-dimensional radiograph depend on several factors, including:

- Contrast – the visual difference between the various black, white and grey shadows
- Image geometry – the relative positions of the image receptor, object and X-ray tubehead
- Characteristics of the X-ray beam
- Image sharpness and resolution.

These factors in turn depend on several variables, relating to the density of the object, the type of image receptor and the X-ray equipment. They are discussed in greater detail in [Chapter 17](#) . However, to introduce how the geometrical accuracy and detail of the final image can be influenced, two of the main factors are considered.

Positioning of the Image Receptor, Object and X-ray Beam

The position of the X-ray beam, object and image receptor needs to satisfy certain basic geometrical requirements. These include:

- The object and the image receptor should be in contact or as close together as possible

- The object and the image receptor should be parallel to one another
- The X-ray tubehead should be positioned so that the beam meets both the object and the image receptor at right angles.

These ideal requirements are shown diagrammatically in [Fig. 1.15](#) . The effects on the final image of varying the position of the object, image receptor or X-ray beam are shown in [Fig. 1.16](#) .




Image receptor and object parallel and in contact

FIG. 1.15 Diagram illustrating the ideal geometrical relationship between the object, image receptor and X-ray beam.