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# EXISTENCE AND BLOW UP OF SOLUTIONS FOR A STRONGLY DAMPED PETROVSKY EQUATION WITH VARIABLE-EXPONENT NONLINEARITIES 

STANISLAV ANTONTSEV, JORGE FERREIRA, ERHAN PIŞKIN


#### Abstract

In this article, we consider a nonlinear plate (or beam) Petrovsky equation with strong damping and source terms with variable exponents. By using the Banach contraction mapping principle we obtain local weak solutions, under suitable assumptions on the variable exponents $p(\cdot)$ and $q(\cdot)$. Then we show that the solution is global if $p(\cdot) \geq q(\cdot)$. Also, we prove that a solution with negative initial energy and $p(\cdot)<q(\cdot)$ blows up in finite time.


## 1. Introduction

Let be $\Omega$ a bounded domain in $R^{n}(n \geq 1)$ with a smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$. We consider the initial boundary value problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{t t}+\Delta^{2} u-\Delta u_{t}+\left|u_{t}\right|^{p(x)-2} u_{t}=|u|^{q(x)-2} u, \quad(x, t) \in \Omega \times(0, T) \\
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), u_{t}(x, 0)=u_{1}(x), \quad x \in \Omega  \tag{1.1}\\
u(x, t)=\partial_{v} u(x, t)=0, \quad x \in \partial \Omega
\end{gather*}
$$

where $v$ is the unit outer normal to $\partial \Omega$, and the exponents $p(\cdot)$ and $q(\cdot)$ are measurable functions on $\Omega$ satisfying

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 \leq p^{-} \leq p(x) \leq p^{+} \leq p^{*} \\
& 2 \leq q^{-} \leq q(x) \leq q^{+} \leq q^{*} \tag{1.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
p^{-}={\operatorname{ess} \inf _{x \in \Omega} p(x),} \quad p^{+}=\operatorname{ess}_{\sup }^{x \in \Omega} \\
q^{-}=\operatorname{ess}_{\inf }^{x \in \Omega} \\
q(x), & q^{+}=\operatorname{ess}_{\sup }^{x \in \Omega} \\
q(x)
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
2<p^{*}, q^{*}<\infty \quad \text { if } n \leq 4 \\
2<p^{*}, q^{*}<\frac{2 n}{n-4} \quad \text { if } n>4
\end{gathered}
$$

[^0]When $p(x)$ and $q(x)$ are constant and without strong damping $\left(-\Delta u_{t}\right)$, problem 1.1) becomes to the Petrovsky equation

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{t t}+\Delta^{2} u+\left|u_{t}\right|^{m-2} u_{t}=|u|^{p-2} u, \quad \text { in } Q_{T}=\Omega \times(0, T) \\
u=\partial u / \partial v=0, \quad \text { on } \Gamma_{T}=\partial \Omega \times[0, T)  \tag{1.3}\\
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), \quad u_{t}(x, 0)=u_{1}(x) \quad \text { in } \Omega
\end{gather*}
$$

Messaoudi 24] studied this problem and established an existence result and showed that the solution continues to exist globally if $m \geq p$, and that it blows up in finite time if $m<p$ and the initial energy is negative. This result was later improved by Chen and Zhou [9]. For more results related to the plate equations, we refer the reader to Lagnese [19, Horn and Lasiecka [16, 20.

Problem (1.1) with strong damping and $p$ and $q$ constants becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t t}+\triangle^{2} u-\Delta u_{t}+\left|u_{t}\right|^{p-2} u_{t}=|u|^{q-2} u \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Liu et al 21] showed the existence, decay and blow up of the solutions of (1.4) and proved global existence and blow up. In 2013 Pişin and Polat 34 showed the global existence and the decay of the solutions for (1.4).

A considerable effort has been devoted to the study of (1.1) in case of constant and variable-exponent nonlinearities. In recent years, plate equations with lower order perturbation of $p$-Laplacian type in the form

$$
u_{t t}+\Delta_{x}^{2} u-\operatorname{div}\left(\phi\left(\nabla_{x} u\right)\right)=F\left(u, u_{t}\right)
$$

where $\phi(z) \approx|s|^{(p-2)} s, p \geq 2$, and $F\left(u, u_{t}\right)$ represents additional damping and forcing terms. This attracted attention of several authors. It is a prototype for some important models in real-world applications.

In the absence of the viscoelastic term $(g=0)$ and replacing the $\vec{p}(x, t)$-Laplacian by $\Delta_{p} u=\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)(p$ is constant and $p \geq 2)$, the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t t}+\Delta^{2} u-\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)-\Delta u_{t}=h\left(x, u, u_{t}\right) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

has been extensively studied and results concerning existence, nonexistence and long-time behaviour have been established; see [39, 40].

In one-dimension, (1.4) without damping or forcing terms is related to the model

$$
\rho u_{t t}+\zeta u_{x x x x}+a\left(u_{x}^{2}\right)_{x}=0, \quad a>0 \zeta=\text { const }>0
$$

which describes elastoplastic-microstructure flows as discussed in [2, 3].
In two dimensions, with $p=4$ and weak damping, 1.4 corresponds to the so called model for nonlinear plates

$$
u_{t t}+\Delta^{2} u-\operatorname{div}\left[|\nabla u|^{2} \nabla u\right]+k u_{t}=\sigma \Delta\left(u^{2}\right)-f(u)
$$

This is indeed a limit of the Mindlin-Timoshenko plates as the shear modulus tends to infinity, as shows in 10. Remarkable results were obtained in [10, 11, where the existence of finite- dimensional global attractors under a weak damping $k u_{t}$, instead of $-\Delta u_{t}$, was proved. Recently, the authors in 31 proved the blow up of solutions for a nonlinear viscoelastic wave equations with variable exponents,

$$
u_{t t}-\Delta u+\int_{0}^{t} g(t-\tau) \Delta u(\tau) d \tau+\left|u_{t}\right|^{p(x)-2} u_{t}=|u|^{q(x)-2} u \quad(x, t) \in \Omega \times(0, T)
$$

In the presence of the viscoelastic term $(g \neq 0)$, equation with memory was first studied in [4].

The general decay of weak solutions $u=u(x, t)$ for plate equations with memory term and lower order perturbation of $\vec{p}(x, t)$-Laplacian type has been studied (see [14]). More precisely, we considered the problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t t}=\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p} \nabla u\right) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with constant exponent of nonlinearity $p \in(1, \infty)$. Equation (1.6 was intensively studied During the previous decades, and was casted for the role of a touchstone in the nonlinear PDEs. The existence of global a solution without an additional dissipation term is an still open problem.

We also mention the very important contribution in [5], where the author proved the existence and blow up for the weak solutions of a wave equation with $p(x, t)$ Laplacian and damping terms.

$$
\begin{gathered}
u_{t t}=\operatorname{div}\left(a(x, t)|\nabla u|^{p(x, t)-2} \nabla u+\varepsilon \nabla u_{t}\right)+b(x, t)|u|^{\sigma(x, t)-2} u+f(x, t), \\
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), \quad u_{t}(x, 0)=u_{1}(x), \quad x \in \Omega \\
\left.u\right|_{\Gamma_{T}}=0 \quad \Gamma_{T}=\partial \Omega \times(0, T)
\end{gathered}
$$

where the coefficients $a, b, f$ and the exponents $p, \sigma$ are given measurable functions and $\varepsilon=$ const $>0$. Such equations (with variable exponents of nonlinearities) are usually referred as equations with nonstandard growth conditions.

Equations with nonstandard growth conditions occur in the mathematical modeling of various physical phenomena, e.g., the flows of electro-rheological fluids or fluids with temperature-dependent viscosity, nonlinear viscoelasticity, processes of filtration through a porous media and the image processing see [6 and references therein.

Note that in all papers (referring to the case $p \neq 0$ ) the viscous term $\varepsilon \Delta u_{t}$ plays a key role in the proof of the existence of local and global solutions (even if $p=$ const $\neq 2$ ). The principal difficulty remains in proving an existence theorem by considering the term $-\Delta_{\vec{p}(x, t)} u$. The viscous term $\varepsilon \Delta u_{t}$ (with $\varepsilon>0$ ) facilitates the proof of existence theorems.

The authors in [7] improved the results from [4] by establishing local and global existence, as well as the uniqueness of the weak solution $u(x, t)$ to (1.1). Recently in [26], the author established the decay of solutions of a damped quasilinear wave equation with variable-exponent nonlinearities. Rivera et al. [28] considered the equation

$$
u_{t t}-\gamma \Delta u_{t t}+\Delta^{2} u-\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s) \Delta^{2} u(s) d s=0 \quad \text { in } Q_{T}=\Omega \times(0, T)
$$

with initial and dynamical boundary conditions and proved that the sum of the first and second energies decays exponentially (respectively polynomially) if the kernel $g$ decays exponentially (respectively polynomially). Alabau-Boussouira et al. [1] worked on the problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
u_{t t}+\Delta^{2} u-\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s) \Delta^{2} u(s) d s=f(u) \quad \text { in } Q_{T}=\Omega \times(0, T) \\
u=\partial u / \partial v=0 \quad \text { on } \Gamma_{T}=\partial \Omega \times[0, T) \\
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), \quad u_{t}(x, 0)=u_{1}(x) \quad \text { in } \Omega
\end{gathered}
$$

and established exponential and polynomial decay results for sufficiently small initial data. Lin and Li in [22] studied

$$
u_{t t}-\gamma \Delta u_{t t}+\Delta^{2} u-\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s) \Delta^{2} u(s) d s=\operatorname{div}(C(f(\nabla u) \nabla u))
$$

in $Q_{T}=\Omega \times(0, T)$, with initial and dynamical boundary conditions similar to those imposed by Rivera et al. [28, and established similar decay results. Yang in 39, considered the problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
u_{t t}+\Delta^{2} u+\lambda u_{t}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} \sigma_{i}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right) \quad \text { in } Q_{\tau}=\Omega \times(0, T) \\
u=\partial u / \partial v=0 \quad \text { on } \Gamma_{T}=\partial \Omega \times[0, T) \\
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), \quad u_{t}(x, 0)=u_{1}(x) \quad \text { in } \Omega
\end{gathered}
$$

for $\lambda \geq 0$ and $\sigma_{i}$ nonlinear functions. He proved, under some conditions on nonlinear terms and initial data, that the problem admits a global weak solution and the solution decays exponentially to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Motivated by [8, 11, 16], we considered the existence of local and global solutions, and their blow up for nonlinear Petrovsky equation with variable exponents and strong damping. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first work dealing with equation 1.1) subject to the variable exponents and strong damping. Our aim in this work is to prove the existence of local and global solutions, and to find sufficient conditions on $p, q$ for which the blow up takes place.

This article consists of five sections in addition to the introduction. In Section 2, we recall the definitions of the $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$, the Sobolev spaces $W^{1, p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$, as some of their properties. In Section 3, we prove the local existence of weak solutions for Problem (1). In Section 4, we establish a global existence. In Section 5, we state and prove our blow up result for solutions with negative initial energy are given.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we state some results about the variable exponent Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$ (see [12, 13, 18, 30, ).

Let $p: \Omega \rightarrow[1, \infty]$ be a measurable function, where $\Omega$ is a domain of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. We define the variable exponent Lebesgue space by
$L^{p(x)}(\Omega)=\left\{u: \Omega \rightarrow R: u\right.$ is measurable in $\Omega$ and $\rho_{p(\cdot)}(\lambda u)<\infty$ for some $\left.\lambda>0\right\}$, where

$$
\rho_{p(\cdot)}(u)=\int_{\Omega}|u(x)|^{p(x)} d x
$$

The space $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ equipped with the Luxemburg-type norm

$$
\|u\|_{p(\cdot)}=\inf \left\{\lambda>0: \int_{\Omega}\left|\frac{u(x)}{\lambda}\right|^{p(x)} d x \leq 1\right\}
$$

becomes a Banach space [12]. The relation between the modular $\int_{\Omega}|f|^{p(x)} d x$ and the norm follows from

$$
\min \left(\|f\|_{p(\cdot)}^{p^{-}},\|f\|_{p(\cdot)}^{p^{+}}\right) \leq \int_{\Omega}|f|^{p(x)} d x \leq \max \left(\|f\|_{p(\cdot)}^{p^{-}},\|f\|_{p(\cdot)}^{p^{+}}\right)
$$

In the case $p(\cdot)=$ const $>1$, these inequalities transform into equalities. For all $f \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega), g \in L^{p^{\prime}(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ with

$$
p(x) \in(1, \infty), \quad p^{\prime}(x)=\frac{p(x)}{p(x)-1}
$$

the generalized Hölder inequality holds,

$$
\int_{\Omega}|f g| d x \leq\left(\frac{1}{p^{-}}+\frac{1}{\left(p^{\prime}\right)^{-}}\right)\|f\|_{p(\cdot)}\|g\|_{p^{\prime}(\cdot)} \leq 2\|f\|_{p(\cdot)}\|g\|_{p^{\prime}(\cdot)}
$$

The variable exponent Sobolev space is defined by

$$
W^{1, p(\cdot)}(\Omega)=\left\{u \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega): \nabla u \text { exists and }|\nabla u| \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)\right\}
$$

with respect to the norm

$$
\|u\|_{1, p(\cdot)}=\|u\|_{p(\cdot)}+\|\nabla u\|_{p(\cdot)} .
$$

The space $W_{0}^{1, p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ is defined as the closure of $C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ in $W^{1, p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ with respect to the norm $\|u\|_{1, p(\cdot)}$. For $u \in W_{0}^{1, p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$, we can define an equivalent norm

$$
\|u\|_{1, p(\cdot)}=\|\nabla u\|_{p(\cdot)} .
$$

Let the variable exponent $p(\cdot)$ satisfy the log-Hölder continuity condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
|p(x)-p(y)| \leq \frac{A}{\log \frac{1}{|x-y|}}, \quad \text { for all } x, y \in \Omega \text { with }|x-y|<\delta \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A>0$ and $0<\delta<1$.
Lemma 2.1 (Poincare inequality [12]). Let $\Omega$ be a bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $p(\cdot)$ satisfies log-Hölder condition, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{p(x)} \leq c\|\nabla u\|_{p(x)}, \quad \text { for all } u \in W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C=C\left(p^{-}, p^{+},|\Omega|\right)>0$.
Lemma $2.2(\boxed{12})$. Let $p(\cdot) \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ and $q: \Omega \rightarrow[1, \infty)$ be a measurable function that satisfy

$$
\operatorname{essinf}_{x \in \bar{\Omega}}\left(p^{*}(x)-q(x)\right)>0
$$

Then the Sobolev embedding $W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$ is continuous and compact. Where

$$
p^{*}(x)= \begin{cases}\frac{n p^{-}}{n-p^{-}}, & \text {if } p^{-}<n \\ \text { any number in }[1, \infty), & \text { if } p^{-} \geq n\end{cases}
$$

If in addition $p(\cdot)$ satisfies log-Hölder condition, then

$$
p^{*}(x)= \begin{cases}\frac{n p(x)}{n-p(x)}, & \text { if } p(x)<n \\ \text { any number in }[1, \infty), & \text { if } p(x) \geq n\end{cases}
$$

Remark 2.3. We denote by $c$ various positive constants which may be different at different occurrences. Also, throughout this paper, we use the embedding

$$
H_{0}^{2}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{p}(\Omega)
$$

which implies

$$
\|u\|_{p} \leq C\|\nabla u\| \leq C\|\Delta u\|
$$

where $2 \leq p<\infty(n=1,2), 2 \leq p \leq \frac{2 n}{n-2}(n \geq 3)$. Moreover,

$$
\|u\|_{p} \leq C\|\Delta u\|
$$

$$
p= \begin{cases}\infty & \text { if } n<4 \\ \text { any number in }[1, \infty), & \text { if } n=4 \\ \frac{2 n}{n-4} & \text { if } n>4\end{cases}
$$

We will use also the Young inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
a b \leq \frac{1}{p}(\epsilon a)^{p}+\frac{p-1}{p}\left(\frac{b}{\epsilon} a\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}}, \quad a, b \geq 0, \epsilon \in(0,1), 1<p<\infty . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Existence of weak solutions

In this part, we prove a local existence result for 1.1. Firstly, we state the following lemma which can be obtained by exploiting the Feado-Galerkin method and using the similar arguments as in [27, 29].

Lemma 3.1. Suppose that $p(\cdot)$ satisfies (1.2) and 2.1), and that initial data satisfies $u_{0} \in H_{0}^{2}(\Omega), u_{1} \in L^{2}(\Omega)$. Then there exists a unique local solution $u$ of

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{t t}+\Delta^{2} u-\Delta u_{t}+\left|u_{t}\right|^{p(x)-2} u_{t}=f(t, x), \quad(x, t) \in \Omega \times(0, T) \\
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), \quad u_{t}(x, 0)=u_{1}(x), \quad x \in \Omega  \tag{3.1}\\
u(x, t)=\partial_{v} u(x, t)=0, \quad x \in \partial \Omega
\end{gather*}
$$

satisfying

$$
u \in L^{\infty}\left((0, T), H_{0}^{2}(\Omega)\right), \quad u_{t} \in L^{\infty}\left((0, T), L^{2}(\Omega)\right) \cap L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega \times(0, T))
$$

where $f \in L^{2}(\Omega \times(0, T))$.
Theorem 3.2. Suppose that $p(\cdot)$ satisfies (1.2) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \leq p^{-} \leq p(x) \leq p^{+} \leq 2+\frac{4}{n-4} \quad(n>4) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore assume that $q(\cdot)$ satisfies 1.2 and

$$
\begin{gather*}
2 \leq q^{-} \leq q^{+}<\infty \quad \text { if } n \leq 4, \text { and } \\
2 \leq q^{-} \leq q^{+} \leq 2+\frac{4}{n-4}, \quad \text { if } n>4 \tag{3.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

$u_{0} \in H_{0}^{2}(\Omega), u_{1} \in L^{2}(\Omega)$. Then (1.1) has a unique local solution

$$
u \in L^{\infty}\left((0, T), H_{0}^{2}(\Omega)\right), \quad u_{t} \in L^{\infty}\left((0, T), L^{2}(\Omega)\right) \cap L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega \times(0, T))
$$

Proof. (Existence) Let $v \in L^{\infty}\left((0, T), H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)$ and $f(v)=|v|^{q(x)-2} v$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|f(v)\|^{2} & =\int_{\Omega \cap(|v| \leq 1)}|v|^{2(q(x)-1)} d x+\int_{\Omega \cap(1<|v|)}|v|^{2(q(x)-1)} d x \\
& \leq|\Omega|+\int_{\Omega}|v|^{2\left(q^{+}-1\right)} d x<\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

since

$$
2\left(q^{-}-1\right) \leq 2\left(q^{+}-1\right) \leq \frac{2 n}{n-2}
$$

Thus, for each $v \in L^{\infty}\left((0, T), H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)$, there exists a unique

$$
u \in L^{\infty}\left((0, T), H_{0}^{2}(\Omega)\right), \quad u_{t} \in L^{\infty}\left((0, T), L^{2}(\Omega)\right) \cap L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega \times(0, T))
$$

solving the problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{t t}+\Delta^{2} u-\Delta u_{t}+\left|u_{t}\right|^{p(x)-2} u_{t}=f(v), \quad(x, t) \in \Omega \times(0, T), \\
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), \quad u_{t}(x, 0)=u_{1}(x), \quad x \in \Omega  \tag{3.4}\\
u(x, t)=\partial_{v} u(x, t)=0, \quad x \in \partial \Omega
\end{gather*}
$$

We define the space

$$
X_{T}:=\left\{w \in L^{\infty}\left((0, T) ; H_{0}^{2}(\Omega)\right): w \in L^{\infty}\left((0, T) ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)\right\}
$$

which is a Banach space with respect to the norm

$$
\|w\|_{X_{T}}=\|w\|_{L^{\infty}\left((0, T) ; H_{0}^{2}(\Omega)\right)}+\|w\|_{L^{\infty}\left((0, T) ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)} .
$$

We define the nonlinear map $S$ as follows. For $v \in X_{T}, S v=u$ is the unique solution (3.4).

We shall show that there exist $T>0$, such that
(i) $S: X_{T} \rightarrow X_{T}$
(ii) $S$ is a contraction mapping in $X_{T}$.

To show (i), multiplying (3.4) by $u_{t}$ and integrating over $\Omega \times(0, t)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|\Delta u\|^{2}+\int_{0}^{t}\left\|\nabla u_{\tau}\right\|^{2} d \tau+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{\tau}\right|^{p(x)} d x d \tau  \tag{3.5}\\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{1}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|\Delta u_{0}\right\|^{2}+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega}|v|^{q(x)-2} v u_{\tau} d x d \tau .
\end{align*}
$$

By the Young's, Sobolev-Poincare's inequalities and (3.3), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega}|v|^{q(x)-2} v u_{\tau} d x & \leq \frac{\delta}{4} \int_{\Omega} u_{t}^{2} d x+\frac{1}{\delta} \int_{\Omega}|v|^{2 q(x)-2} d x \\
& \leq \frac{\delta}{4}\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{\delta}\left[\int_{\Omega}|v|^{2\left(q^{-}-1\right)} d x+\int_{\Omega}|v|^{2\left(q^{+}-1\right)} d x\right]  \tag{3.6}\\
& \leq \frac{\delta}{4}\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}+\frac{C}{\delta}\left(\|\Delta v\|^{2\left(q^{-}-1\right)}+\|\Delta v\|^{2\left(q^{+}-1\right)}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, by (3.5) and (3.6), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|\Delta u\|^{2}+\int_{0}^{t}\left\|\nabla u_{\tau}\right\|^{2} d \tau+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{\tau}\right|^{p(x)} d x d \tau \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{1}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|\Delta u_{0}\right\|^{2}+\frac{\delta}{4} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2} d \tau \\
& \quad+\frac{C}{\delta} \int_{0}^{t}\left(\|\Delta v\|^{2\left(q^{-}-1\right)}+\|\Delta v\|^{2\left(q^{+}-1\right)}\right) d \tau
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{t \in(0, T)}\left[\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}+\|\Delta u\|^{2}\right] \\
& \leq\left\|u_{1}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\Delta u_{0}\right\|^{2}+\frac{\delta T}{2} \sup _{t \in(0, T)}\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}+\frac{C T}{\delta}\left[\|v\|_{X_{T}}^{2\left(q^{-}-1\right)}+\|v\|_{X_{T}}^{2\left(q^{+}-1\right)}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

By taking $\delta T / 2 \leq 1$, we have

$$
\|u\|_{X_{T}}^{2} \leq \lambda+\frac{C T}{\delta}\left[\|v\|_{X_{T}}^{2\left(q^{-}-1\right)}+\|v\|_{X_{T}}^{2\left(q^{+}-1\right)}\right]
$$

where $\lambda=\left\|u_{1}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\Delta u_{0}\right\|^{2}$. At this point we choose $M$ large enough, such that $\|v\|_{X_{T}} \leq M$. Then

$$
\|u\|_{X_{T}}^{2} \leq \lambda+\frac{C T}{\delta} M^{2\left(q^{+}-1\right)} \leq M^{2}
$$

if $\lambda<M^{2}$ and $T \leq T_{0}<\frac{\delta\left(M^{2}-\lambda\right)}{C M^{2\left(q^{+}-1\right)}}$. Thus we have $S: X_{T} \rightarrow X_{T}$.
Next, we show $S$ is a contraction mapping in $X_{T}$. For this purpose, we let $u_{1}=S v_{1}$ and $u_{2}=S v_{2}$, then $u=u_{1}-u_{2}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{t t}+\Delta^{2} u-\Delta u_{t}+\left[\left|u_{1 t}\right|^{p(x)-2} u_{1 t}-\left|u_{2 t}\right|^{p(x)-2} u_{2 t}\right] \\
=\left|v_{1}\right|^{q(x)-2} v_{1}-\left|v_{2}\right|^{q(x)-2} v_{2}  \tag{3.7}\\
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), \quad u_{t}(x, 0)=u_{1}(x), \quad x \in \Omega \\
\quad u(x, t)=\partial_{v} u(x, t)=0, \quad x \in \partial \Omega
\end{gather*}
$$

Multiplying by $u_{t}=u_{1 t}-u_{2 t}$ and integrating over $\Omega \times(0, t)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|\Delta u\|^{2}+\int_{0}^{t}\left\|\nabla u_{\tau}\right\|^{2} d \tau \\
& +\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega}\left[\left|u_{1 t}\right|^{p(x)-2} u_{1 t}-\left|u_{2 t}\right|^{p(x)-2} u_{2 t}\right]\left(u_{1 t}-u_{2 t}\right) d x d \tau  \tag{3.8}\\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{1}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|\Delta u_{0}\right\|^{2}+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega}\left(f\left(v_{1}\right)-f\left(v_{2}\right)\right) u_{t} d x d \tau
\end{align*}
$$

Since

$$
\left[\left|u_{1 t}\right|^{p(x)-2} u_{1 t}-\left|u_{2 t}\right|^{p(x)-2} u_{2 t}\right]\left(u_{1 t}-u_{2 t}\right) \geq 0
$$

inequality (3.8) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|\Delta u\|^{2}+\int_{0}^{t}\left\|\nabla u_{\tau}\right\|^{2} d \tau  \tag{3.9}\\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{1}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|\Delta u_{0}\right\|^{2}+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega}\left(f\left(v_{1}\right)-f\left(v_{2}\right)\right) u_{t} d x d \tau
\end{align*}
$$

We estimate the right-most term of as follows:

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left|f\left(v_{1}\right)-f\left(v_{2}\right)\right|\left|u_{t}\right| d x=\int_{\Omega}\left|f^{\prime}(\xi)\right||v|\left|u_{t}\right| d x
$$

where $v=v_{1}-v_{2}$ and $\xi=\alpha v_{1}+(1-\alpha) v_{2}, 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$. Thanks to Young's inequality, (2.3), and since $f(v)=|v|^{q(x)-2} v$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega}\left|f\left(v_{1}\right)-f\left(v_{2}\right)\right|\left|u_{t}\right| d x \\
& \leq \frac{\delta}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}\right|^{2} d x+\frac{1}{2 \delta} \int_{\Omega}\left|f^{\prime}(\xi)\right|^{2}|v|^{2} d x \\
& \leq \frac{\delta}{2}\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}+\frac{\left(q^{+}-1\right)^{2}}{2 \delta} \int_{\Omega}\left|\alpha v_{1}+(1-\alpha) v_{2}\right|^{2(q(x)-2)}|v|^{2} d x \\
& \leq \frac{\delta}{2}\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}+c\left(\int_{\Omega}|v|^{\frac{2 n}{n-2}} d x\right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|\alpha v_{1}+(1-\alpha) v_{2}\right|^{n(q(x)-2)} d x\right)^{2 / n} \\
& \leq \frac{\delta}{2}\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}+c\left(\int_{\Omega}|v|^{\frac{2 n}{n-2}} d x\right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\left[\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|\alpha v_{1}+(1-\alpha) v_{2}\right|^{n\left(q^{+}-2\right)} d x\right)^{2 / n}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.+\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|\alpha v_{1}+(1-\alpha) v_{2}\right|^{n\left(q^{-}-2\right)} d x\right)^{2 / n}\right] \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus by (3.2) and (3.3), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega}\left|f\left(v_{1}\right)-f\left(v_{2}\right)\right|,\left|u_{t}\right| d x \leq & \frac{\delta}{2}\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}+C\|\Delta v\|^{2}\left[\left\|\Delta v_{1}\right\|^{2\left(q^{+}-2\right)}+\left\|\Delta v_{1}\right\|^{2\left(q^{-}-2\right)}\right. \\
& \left.+\left\|\Delta v_{2}\right\|^{2\left(q^{+}-2\right)}+\left\|\Delta v_{2}\right\|^{2\left(q^{-}-2\right)}\right] \\
\leq & \frac{\delta}{2}\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}+4 C M^{2\left(q^{+}-2\right)}\|\Delta v\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

By the combining this inequality with (3.9), we obtain

$$
\frac{1}{2}\|u\|_{X_{T}}^{2} \leq \frac{\delta}{2} T_{0}\|u\|_{X_{T}}^{2}+4 C M^{2\left(q^{+}-2\right)} T_{0}\|v\|_{X_{T}}^{2}
$$

By choosing $\delta$ small enough, we have

$$
\|u\|_{X_{T}}^{2} \leq 8 C M^{2\left(q^{+}-2\right)} T_{0}\|v\|_{X_{T}}^{2} .
$$

Now, we choose $T_{0}$ sufficiently enough so that

$$
0<8 C M^{2\left(q^{+}-2\right)} T_{0}<1
$$

Thus, the map $S$ is contraction. The Banach fixed point theorem implies the existence of a unique $u \in X_{T}$ satisfying $S(u)=u$. Obviously, it is a solution of (1.1).
(Uniqueness) Suppose that (1.1) have two solutions $u$ and $v$. Then $w=u-v$ satisfies

$$
\begin{gathered}
w_{t t}+\Delta^{2} w-\Delta w_{t}+\left|u_{t}\right|^{p(x)-2} u_{t}-\left|v_{t}\right|^{p(x)-2} v_{t} \\
=|u|^{q(x)-2} u-|v|^{q(x)-2} v, \quad(x, t) \in \Omega \times(0, T) \\
w(x, 0)=0, \quad w_{t}(x, 0)=0, \quad x \in \Omega \\
w(x, t)=\partial_{v} w(x, t)=0, \quad x \in \partial \Omega
\end{gathered}
$$

Multiplying by $w_{t}$ and integrate over $\Omega \times(0, t)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2}\left\|w_{t}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|\Delta w\|^{2}+\int_{0}^{t}\left\|\nabla w_{\tau}\right\|^{2} d \tau \\
& +\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|u_{t}\right|^{p(x)-2} u_{t}-\left|v_{t}\right|^{p(x)-2} v_{t}\right) w_{t} d x d \tau \\
& =\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega}\left(|u|^{q(x)-2} u-|v|^{q(x)-2} v\right) w_{t} d x d \tau
\end{aligned}
$$

By using the inequality

$$
\left(|a|^{p-2}-|b|^{p-2} b\right)(a-b) \geq 0
$$

for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, 1<p<\infty$ and similarly 3.10 , we have

$$
\left\|w_{t}\right\|^{2}+\|\Delta w\|^{2} \leq C \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|w_{t}(\tau)\right|^{2}+|\Delta w(\tau)|^{2}\right) d x d \tau
$$

By Gronwall's inequality, we obtain

$$
\left\|w_{t}\right\|^{2}+\|\Delta w\|^{2}=0
$$

Thus $w=0$. The proof is complete.

## 4. Existence of global solutions

In this section, we obtain a global solution for 1.1 under suitable conditions on $p(\cdot)$ and $q(\cdot)$. In the presence of additional estimates, the proven local solution can be continued to an finite time interval.

Theorem 4.1. Let the assumptions of Theorem 3.2 hold. If $u_{0} \in H_{0}^{2}(\Omega), u \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ and the exponents $p(\cdot)$ and $q(\cdot)$ satisfy one of the following two conditions

$$
\begin{gathered}
1<q(x) \leq 2, \quad 1<p(x)<\infty \quad \text { or } \\
2 \leq q(x) \leq p(x)<\infty .
\end{gathered}
$$

Then problem (1.1) has a global solution, with

$$
\left.u \in L^{\infty}\left((0, T), H_{0}^{2}(\Omega)\right)\right), \quad u_{t} \in L^{\infty}\left((0, T) L^{2}(\Omega)\right) \cap L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega \times(0, T))
$$

Proof. To achieve the global existence of a solution, it suffices to show that

$$
\sup _{t \in[0, T]}\left(\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}+\|\Delta u\|^{2}\right)+\int_{0}^{T}\left\|\nabla u_{\tau}\right\|^{2} d \tau+\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{\tau}\right|^{p(x)} d x d \tau \leq C
$$

for any finite $T<\infty$. Multiplying (1.1) by $u_{t}$ and integrating over $\Omega \times(0, t)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|\Delta u\|^{2}+\int_{0}^{t}\left\|\nabla u_{\tau}\right\|^{2} d \tau+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{\tau}\right|^{p(x)} d x d \tau  \tag{4.1}\\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{1}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|\Delta u_{0}\right\|^{2}+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{q(x)-2} u u_{\tau} d x d \tau
\end{align*}
$$

First let us consider the case

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(x) \leq 2 \Leftrightarrow 2(q(x)-1) \leq 2, \quad 1<p(x)<\infty . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We evaluate the term

$$
\begin{align*}
|I| & =\left.\left|\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega}\right| u\right|^{q(x)-2} u u_{\tau} d x d \tau \mid \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t}\left(\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}+\int_{\Omega}|u|^{2(q(x)-1)} d x d \tau\right)  \tag{4.3}\\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t}\left(\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}+\|u\|^{2}\right) d \tau+\frac{1}{2} T|\Omega| \\
& \leq \frac{c}{2} \int_{0}^{t}\left(\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}+\|\Delta u\|^{2}\right) d \tau+\frac{1}{2} T|\Omega|
\end{align*}
$$

$2(q(x)-1) \leq 2 \Leftrightarrow q(x) \leq 2$. Introducing the function

$$
Y(t)=\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}+\|\Delta u\|^{2}
$$

we arrive at integral inequality

$$
Y(t) \leq C \int_{0}^{t} Y(\tau) d \tau+B, B=\left\|u_{1}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\Delta u_{0}\right\|^{2}+T|\Omega|
$$

Applying the Granwall inequality we derive the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{t \in[0, T]}\left(\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}+\|\Delta u\|^{2}\right)+\int_{0}^{T}\left\|\nabla u_{\tau}\right\|^{2} d \tau+\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{\tau}\right|^{p(x)} d x d \tau \leq C \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which holds for any finite $T<\infty$.

Now we consider the case

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \leq q(x) \leq p(x)<\infty \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying the Young inequality 2.3 and 3.5 we derive

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|\Delta u\|^{2}+\int_{0}^{t}\left\|\nabla u_{\tau}\right\|^{2} d \tau+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{\tau}\right|^{p(x)} d x d \tau \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{1}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|\Delta u_{0}\right\|^{2}+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\varepsilon^{p^{-}}}{p^{-}}\left|u_{\tau}\right|^{p(x)} d x d \tau+I
\end{aligned}
$$

We evaluate the term $I$ as follows,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I & =\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} \frac{p-1}{p} \varepsilon^{\frac{p}{p-1}}|u|^{\frac{(q-1) p}{p-1}} d x d \tau \\
& \leq C\left(\varepsilon, p^{ \pm}\right) \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{\frac{(q-1) p}{p-1}} d x d \tau \leq \\
& \leq C\left(\varepsilon, p^{ \pm}, T,|\Omega|\right)\left(\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p(x)} d x d \tau+1\right), \frac{(q-1) p}{p-1} \\
& \leq p \\
& \Leftrightarrow q \leq p
\end{aligned}
$$

Choosing $\varepsilon^{p} / p \leq \varepsilon^{p^{-}} / p^{-} \leq 1 / 2$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|\Delta u\|^{2}+\int_{0}^{t}\left\|\nabla u_{\tau}\right\|^{2} d \tau+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{\tau}\right|^{p(x)} d x d \tau \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{1}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|\Delta u_{0}\right\|^{2}+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} \frac{p-1}{p} \varepsilon^{\frac{p}{p-1}}|u|^{\frac{(q-1) p}{p-1}} d x d \tau \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{1}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|\Delta u_{0}\right\|^{2}+C\left(\varepsilon, p^{ \pm}\right)\left(\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p(x)} d x d \tau+1\right) \\
& \frac{(q-1) p}{p-1} \leq p \Leftrightarrow q \leq p
\end{aligned}
$$

Next we use the inequality

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p(x)} d x & =\int_{\Omega}\left|\int_{0}^{t} u_{t} d s+u_{0}\right|^{p(x)} d x \\
& \leq C\left(p^{ \pm}\right) \int_{\Omega}\left(t^{p-1} \int_{0}^{t}\left|u_{t}\right|^{p(x)} d s+\left|u_{0}\right|^{p(x) p(x)}\right) \\
& \leq C\left(p^{ \pm}\right)\left(\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} t^{p(x)-1}\left|u_{t}\right|^{p(x)} d x d s+\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{0}\right|^{p(x)} d x\right)  \tag{4.6}\\
& \leq C\left(p^{ \pm}, T\right)\left(\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}\right|^{p(x)} d x d s+\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{0}\right|^{p(x)} d x\right) \\
& \leq C\left(p^{ \pm}, T\right)\left(\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}\right|^{p(x)} d x d s+\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{0}\right|^{p(x)} d x\right)
\end{align*}
$$

We introduce the function

$$
Y(t)=\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}(x, s)\right|^{p(x)} d x d s
$$

Then (4.6), (4.6) lead us to the integral inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y(t) \leq C\left(\int_{0}^{t} Y(s) d s+\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{0}\right|^{p(x)} d x+\left\|u_{1}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\Delta u_{0}\right\|^{2}+1\right) \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying the Granwall inequality we arrive at estimate 4.4. This estimate permits us to continue local solution for any finite interval of time. This completes the proof.

## 5. Blow up of solutions

In this part, we consider the blow up of the solution for problem 1.1. Firstly, we give following lemma.

Lemma 5.1 ([27]). If $q: \Omega \rightarrow[1, \infty)$ is a measurable function and

$$
\begin{gather*}
2 \leq q^{-} \leq q(x) \leq q^{+}<\infty \quad \text { for } n \leq 4 \\
2 \leq q^{-} \leq q(x) \leq q^{+}<\frac{2 n}{n-2} \quad \text { for } n>4 \tag{5.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

holds. Then, we have following inequalities:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\rho_{q(\cdot)}^{\frac{s}{q^{-}}}(u) \leq C\left(\|\Delta u\|^{2}+\rho_{q(\cdot)}(u)\right),  \tag{5.2}\\
\|u\|_{q^{-}}^{s} \leq C\left(\|\Delta u\|^{2}+\|u\|_{q^{-}}^{q^{-}}\right),  \tag{5.3}\\
\rho_{q(\cdot)}^{\frac{s}{q-}}(u) \leq C\left(|H(t)|+\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}+\rho_{q(\cdot)}(u)\right),  \tag{5.4}\\
\|u\|_{q^{-}}^{s} \leq C\left(|H(t)|+\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}+\|u\|_{q^{-}}^{q^{-}}\right),  \tag{5.5}\\
C\|u\|_{q^{-}}^{q^{-}} \leq \rho_{q(\cdot)}(u)=\int_{\Omega}|u|^{q(\cdot)} d x \tag{5.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

for any $u \in H_{0}^{2}(\Omega)$ and $2 \leq s \leq q^{-}$. Where $C>1$ a positive constant and $H(t)=-E(t)$.

The functions $H(t), E(t)$ will be defined later. Now, we state and prove our blow up result.

Theorem 5.2. Let the assumptions of Theorem 3.2, and Lemma 5.1 hold. Also let initial energy satisfy $E(0)<0$, and the exponents $p(\cdot)$ and $q(\cdot)$ satisfy

$$
2 \leq p^{-} \leq p(x) \leq p^{+}<q^{-} \leq q(x) \leq q^{+} \leq 2+\frac{4}{n-4}, \text { if } n>4
$$

Then the solution of (1.1) blows up in a finite time $T^{*}$, in the following sense

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi(t) \rightarrow \infty \quad \text { as } t \rightarrow T^{*} \leq \frac{1-\sigma}{\xi \sigma^{\frac{\sigma}{1-\sigma}}(0)} \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\xi \in(0,1)$, and $\Psi(t)$ and $\sigma$ are given in (5.11) and 5.12 respectively.
Proof. Multiplying both sides of the equation in 1.1) by $u_{t}$, and integrating by parts, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|\Delta u\|^{2}-\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{q(x)}|u|^{q(x)} d x\right]=-\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}\right|^{p(x)} d x-\left\|\nabla u_{t}\right\|^{2}  \tag{5.8}\\
E^{\prime}(t)=-\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}\right|^{p(x)} d x-\left\|\nabla u_{t}\right\|^{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(t)=\frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|\Delta u\|^{2}-\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{q(x)}|u|^{q(x)} d x . \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set

$$
H(t)=-E(t)
$$

then $E(0)<0$ and 5.8 gives $H(t) \geq H(0)>0$. Also, by the definition $H(t)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
H(t) & =-\frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\|\Delta u\|^{2}+\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{q(x)}|u|^{q(x)} d x \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{q(x)}|u|^{q(x)} d x  \tag{5.10}\\
& \leq \frac{1}{q^{-}} \rho_{q(\cdot)}(u)
\end{align*}
$$

We define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi(t)=H^{1-\sigma}(t)+\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} u u_{t} d x+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\|\nabla u\|^{2} \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varepsilon$ small to be chosen later and

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\sigma \leq \min \left\{\frac{q^{-}-p^{+}}{\left(p^{+}-1\right) q^{-}}, \frac{q^{-}-2}{2 q^{-}}\right\} \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating $\Psi(t)$ with respect to $t$, and using (1.1), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\Psi^{\prime}(t)= & (1-\sigma) H^{-\sigma}(t) H^{\prime}(t)+\varepsilon \int_{\Omega}\left(u_{t}^{2}+u u_{t t}\right) d x+\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla u_{t} d x \\
= & (1-\sigma) H^{-\sigma}(t) H^{\prime}(t)+\varepsilon\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}-\varepsilon\|\Delta u\|^{2}  \tag{5.13}\\
& +\varepsilon \int_{\Omega}|u|^{q(\cdot)} d x-\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} u u_{t}\left|u_{t}\right|^{p(\cdot)-2} d x
\end{align*}
$$

By using the definition of the $H(t)$, it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
-\varepsilon q^{-}(1-\xi) H(t)= & \frac{\varepsilon q^{-}(1-\xi)}{2}\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}+\frac{\varepsilon q^{-}(1-\xi)}{2}\|\Delta u\|^{2}  \tag{5.14}\\
& -\varepsilon q^{-}(1-\xi) \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{q(x)}|u|^{q(\cdot)} d x
\end{align*}
$$

where $0<\xi<1$. Adding and subtracting (5.14) into 5.13), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\Psi^{\prime}(t) \geq & (1-\sigma) H^{-\sigma}(t) H^{\prime}(t)+\varepsilon q^{-}(1-\xi) H(t) \\
& +\varepsilon\left(\frac{q^{-}(1-\xi)}{2}+1\right)\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}+\varepsilon\left(\frac{q^{-}(1-\xi)}{2}-1\right)\|\Delta u\|^{2}  \tag{5.15}\\
& +\varepsilon \xi \int_{\Omega}|u|^{q(\cdot)} d x-\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} u u_{t}\left|u_{t}\right|^{p(\cdot)-2} d x
\end{align*}
$$

Then, for $\xi$ small enough, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\Psi^{\prime}(t) \geq & \varepsilon \beta\left[H(t)+\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}+\|\Delta u\|^{2}+\rho_{q(\cdot)}(u)\right] \\
& +(1-\sigma) H^{-\sigma}(t) H^{\prime}(t)-\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} u u_{t}\left|u_{t}\right|^{p(\cdot)-2} d x \tag{5.16}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\beta=\min \left\{q^{-}(1-\xi), \xi, \frac{q^{-}(1-\xi)}{2}-1, \frac{q^{-}(1-\xi)}{2}+1\right\}>0
$$

and

$$
\rho_{q(\cdot)}(u)=\int_{\Omega}|u|^{q(\cdot)} d x .
$$

To estimate the last term in (5.16), we use the Young inequality (2.3). Consequently, applying the previous we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega} u\left|u_{t}\right|^{p(\cdot)-1} d x & \leq \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)} \delta^{p(x)}|u|^{p(x)} d x+\int_{\Omega} \frac{p(x)-1}{p(x)} \delta^{-\frac{p(x)}{p(x)-1}}\left|u_{t}\right|^{p(x)} d x  \tag{5.17}\\
& \leq \frac{1}{p^{-}} \int_{\Omega} \delta^{p(x)}|u|^{p(x)} d x+\frac{p^{+}-1}{p^{+}} \int_{\Omega} \delta^{-\frac{p(x)}{p(x)-1}}\left|u_{t}\right|^{p(x)} d x
\end{align*}
$$

where $\delta$ is constant depending on the time $t$ and specified later. Inserting estimate (5.17) into (5.16), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\Psi^{\prime}(t) \geq & \varepsilon \beta\left[H(t)+\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}+\|\Delta u\|^{2}+\rho_{q(\cdot)}(u)\right] \\
& +(1-\sigma) H^{-\sigma}(t) H^{\prime}(t)-\varepsilon \frac{1}{p^{-}} \int_{\Omega} \delta^{p(x)}|u|^{p(x)} d x  \tag{5.18}\\
& -\varepsilon \frac{p^{+}-1}{p^{+}} \int_{\Omega} \delta^{-\frac{p(x)}{p(x)-1}}\left|u_{t}\right|^{p(x)} d x .
\end{align*}
$$

Let us choose $\delta$ so that

$$
\delta^{-\frac{p(x)}{p(x)-1}}=k_{1} H^{-\sigma}(t),
$$

where $k_{1}, k_{2}>0$ are specified later, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Psi^{\prime}(t) \\
& \geq \varepsilon \beta\left[H(t)+\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}+\|\Delta u\|^{2}+\rho_{q(\cdot)}(u)\right] \\
& \quad+(1-\sigma) H^{-\sigma}(t) H^{\prime}(t)-\varepsilon k_{2} H^{-\sigma}(t) H^{\prime}(t) \\
& \quad-\varepsilon \frac{1}{p^{-}} \int_{\Omega} k_{1}^{1-p(x)} H^{\sigma(p(x)-1)}(t)|u|^{p(x)} d x-\varepsilon \frac{p^{+}-1}{p^{+}} \int_{\Omega} k_{1} H^{-\sigma}(t)\left|u_{t}\right|^{p(x)} d x \\
& \geq \varepsilon \beta\left[H(t)+\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}+\|\Delta u\|^{2}+\rho_{q(\cdot)}(u)\right] \\
& \quad+\left(1-\sigma-\varepsilon k_{2}\right) H^{-\sigma}(t) H^{\prime}(t) \\
& \quad-\varepsilon \frac{k_{1}^{1-p^{-}}}{p^{-}} H^{\sigma\left(p^{+}-1\right)}(t) \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p(x)} d x-\varepsilon\left(\frac{p^{+}-1}{p^{+}}\right) k_{1} H^{-\sigma}(t) \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}\right|^{p(x)} d x \\
& \geq \varepsilon \beta\left[H(t)+\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}+\|\Delta u\|^{2}+\rho_{q(\cdot)}(u)\right] \\
& \quad+\left[\left(1-\sigma-\varepsilon k_{2}\right)-\varepsilon\left(\frac{p^{+}-1}{p^{+}}\right) k_{1}\right] H^{-\sigma}(t) H^{\prime}(t) \\
& \quad-\varepsilon \frac{k_{1}^{1-p^{-}}}{p^{-}} H^{\sigma\left(p^{+}-1\right)}(t) \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p(x)} d x . \tag{5.19}
\end{align*}
$$

By using (5.6) and 5.10, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& H^{\sigma\left(p^{+}-1\right)}(t) \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p(x)} d x \\
& \leq H^{\sigma\left(p^{+}-1\right)}(t)\left[\int_{\Omega_{-}}|u|^{p^{-}} d x+\int_{\Omega_{+}}|u|^{p^{+}} d x\right] \\
& \leq H^{\sigma\left(p^{+}-1\right)}(t) C\left[\left(\int_{\Omega_{-}}|u|^{q^{-}} d x\right)^{\frac{p^{-}}{q^{-}}}+\left(\int_{\Omega_{+}}|u|^{q^{-}} d x\right)^{\frac{p^{+}}{q^{-}}}\right]  \tag{5.20}\\
& =H^{\sigma\left(p^{+}-1\right)}(t) C\left[\|u\|_{q^{-}}^{p^{-}}+\|u\|_{q^{-}}^{p^{+}}\right] \\
& \leq C\left(\frac{1}{q^{-}} \rho_{q(\cdot)}(u)\right)^{\sigma\left(p^{+}-1\right)}\left[\left(\rho_{q(\cdot)}(u)\right)^{\frac{p^{-}}{q^{-}}}+\left(\rho_{q(\cdot)}(u)\right)^{\frac{p^{+}}{q^{-}}}\right] \\
& =C_{1}\left[\left(\rho_{q(\cdot)}(u)\right)^{\frac{p^{-}}{q^{-}}+\sigma\left(p^{+}-1\right)}+\left(\rho_{q(\cdot)}(u)\right)^{\frac{p^{+}}{q^{-}}+\sigma\left(p^{+}-1\right)}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Omega_{-}=\{x \in \Omega:|u|<1\}$ and $\Omega_{+}=\{x \in \Omega:|u| \geq 1\}$.
We then use Lemma 5.1 and (5.12), for

$$
s=p^{-}+\sigma q^{-}\left(p^{+}-1\right) \leq q^{-}
$$

and for

$$
s=p^{+}+\sigma q^{-}\left(p^{+}-1\right) \leq q^{-},
$$

to deduce, from 5.20, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{\sigma\left(p^{+}-1\right)}(t) \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p(x)} d x \leq C_{1}\left[\|\Delta u\|^{2}+\rho_{q(\cdot)}(u)\right] . \tag{5.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, inserting estimate (5.21) into 5.19, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\Psi^{\prime}(t) \geq & \varepsilon\left(\beta-\frac{k_{1}^{1-p^{-}}}{p^{-}} C_{1}\right)\left[H(t)+\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}+\|\Delta u\|^{2}+\rho_{q(\cdot)}(u)\right]  \tag{5.22}\\
& +\left[\left(1-\sigma-\varepsilon k_{2}\right)-\varepsilon\left(\frac{p^{+}-1}{p^{+}}\right) k_{1}\right] H^{-\sigma}(t) H^{\prime}(t)
\end{align*}
$$

Let us choose $k_{1}$ large enough so that

$$
\gamma=\beta-\frac{k_{1}^{1-p^{-}}}{p^{-}} C_{1}>0
$$

and picking $\varepsilon$ small enough such that

$$
\left(1-\sigma-\varepsilon k_{2}\right)-\varepsilon\left(\frac{p^{+}-1}{p^{+}}\right) k_{1}>0
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi(t) \geq \Psi(0)=H^{1-\sigma}(0)+\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} u_{0} u_{1} d x+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|^{2}>0, \quad \forall t \geq 0 \tag{5.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, 5.22 yields

$$
\begin{align*}
\Psi^{\prime}(t) & \geq \varepsilon \gamma\left[H(t)+\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}+\|\Delta u\|^{2}+\rho_{q(\cdot)}(u)\right] \\
& \geq \varepsilon \gamma\left[H(t)+\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}+\|\Delta u\|^{2}+\|u\|_{q^{-}}^{q^{-}}\right] \tag{5.24}
\end{align*}
$$

because of (5.6). Therefore

$$
\Psi(t) \geq \Psi(0)>0, \text { for all } t \geq 0
$$

On the other hand, applying Hölder inequality, we obtain

$$
\left|\int_{\Omega} u u_{t} d x\right|^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}} \leq\|u\|^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}}\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}} \leq C\left(\|u\|_{q^{-}}^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}}\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}}\right) .
$$

Young inequality gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{\Omega} u u_{t} d x\right|^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}} \leq C\left(\|u\|_{q^{-}}^{\frac{\mu}{1-\sigma}}+\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{\frac{\theta}{1-\sigma}}\right) \tag{5.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\frac{1}{\mu}+\frac{1}{\theta}=1$. We take $\theta=2(1-\sigma)$, to obtain $\frac{\mu}{1-\sigma}=\frac{2}{1-2 \sigma} \leq q^{-}$by 5.12. Therefore, 5.25 becomes

$$
\left|\int_{\Omega} u u_{t} d x\right|^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}} \leq C\left(\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}+\|u\|_{q^{-}}^{s}\right)
$$

where $\frac{2}{1-2 \sigma} \leq q^{-}$. By using 5.5 , we obtain

$$
\left|\int_{\Omega} u u_{t} d x\right|^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}} \leq C\left(\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}+\|u\|_{q^{-}}^{q^{-}}+H(t)\right)
$$

Thus, using the inequality

$$
\left(a_{1}+a_{2}+\ldots+a_{m}\right)^{\lambda} \leq 2^{(m-1) /(\lambda-1)}\left(a_{1}^{\lambda}+a_{2}^{\lambda}+\ldots+a_{m}^{\lambda}\right),
$$

(for $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{m} \geq 0, \lambda \geq 1$ ), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\Psi^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}}(t) & =\left[H^{1-\sigma}(t)+\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} u u_{t} d x+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\|\nabla u\|^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}} \\
& \leq 2^{\frac{\sigma}{1-\sigma}}\left(H(t)+\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}}\left|\int_{\Omega} u u_{t} d x\right|^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}}\right)  \tag{5.26}\\
& \leq C\left(\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}+\|u\|_{q^{-}}^{q^{-}}+H(t)\right) \\
& \leq C\left(H(t)+\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}+\|\Delta u\|^{2}+\|u\|_{q^{-}}^{q^{-}}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

By combining of 5.24 and 5.26 , we arrive at

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi^{\prime}(t) \geq \xi \Psi^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}}(t) \tag{5.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\xi$ is a positive constant.
A simple integration of 5.27 over $(0, t)$ yields

$$
\Psi^{\frac{\sigma}{1-\sigma}}(t) \geq \frac{1}{\Psi^{-\frac{\sigma}{1-\sigma}}(0)-\frac{\xi \sigma t}{1-\sigma}}
$$

which implies that the solution blows up in a finite time $T^{*}$, with

$$
T^{*} \leq \frac{1-\sigma}{\xi \sigma \Psi^{\frac{\sigma}{1-\sigma}}(0)}
$$

This completes the proof.
Remark 5.3. Estimate 5.7 shows that the larger $\psi(0)$ is, the quicker the blow up takes place.
Conclusion. In this work, we obtained the local and global solutions and blow up in finite time for a nonlinear plate(or beam) Petrovsky equations with strong damping and source terms with variable exponents in a bounded domain. This improves and extends many results in the literature.
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