

ASAP-X, Automated Safety Assessment Protocol - Explosives



Mark Peterson Department of Defense Explosives Safety Board

14 July 2010



	Report Docume	Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188					
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.							
1. REPORT DATE JUL 2010		2. REPORT TYPE N/A	3. DATES COVE	RED			
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE				5a. CONTRACT	NUMBER		
ASAP-X, Automat	ed Safety Assessmer	nt Protocol -Explosi	ves	5b. GRANT NUM	ÍBER		
				5c. PROGRAM E	LEMENT NUMBER		
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NU	JMBER		
				5e. TASK NUMB	ER		
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER			
7. PERFORMING ORGANI Department of Def	ZATION NAME(S) AND AE Cense Explosives Saf e	ia, Virginia	8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER				
9. SPONSORING/MONITO	RING AGENCY NAME(S) A	ND ADDRESS(ES)		10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)			
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)			
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release, distribution unlimited							
^{13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES} See also ADM002313. Department of Defense Explosives Safety Board Seminar (34th) held in Portland, Oregon on 13-15 July 2010, The original document contains color images.							
14. ABSTRACT							
15. SUBJECT TERMS							
16. SECURITY CLASSIFIC	ATION OF:		17. LIMITATION OF	18. NUMBER	19a. NAME OF		
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified	ABSTRACT SAR	OF PAGES 19	RESPONSIBLE PERSON		

Standard	Form	298	(Rev.	8-98)
Pres	cribed b	y AN	SI Std	Z39-18







- Consequence Tool Introduced in Technical Paper 23
- Written in Microsoft Excel 2003 is Based on DoD 6055.09-STD
- Assists in Determining Explosive Operations Hazards
- Two Different Worksheets ECMs and All Other PESs
- Calculates 6 Establish Zones (IBD is the Outermost Zone)
- Provides User with Potential Personnel and Building Loss Information with Minimal Input
- Allows User to Quickly Compare the Consequences from Explosives Hazards for Different Quantities of NEW at a PES



Background



- Presents a tool to standardize the deviation process and provide consequence information for explosives risk decisions
- Provides decision-makers an understandable and consolidated information package for reducing and managing residual risk









- Provide the maximum possible protection to people and property
- Make informed risk decisions at the appropriate level of leadership
- Provide standardized information for determining and assessing explosives safety risk





ASAP-X Tool Example Map



- A PES
- B 760 FT
- C 300 FT
- D 280 FT
- E 600 FT
- F 940 FT
- G 1190 FT
- H 1050 FT
- I 1320 FT
- J 615 FT
- K 1350 FT
- L 1700 FT





ASAP-X Tool PES Input #1



ALL O	THER PES INPU	T						
HAZARD DIVISION	NEW	In the DEC on						
1.1	40000	is the FES an	No					
1.2.1	20000	open pau:						
1.2.1 MCE	451	If the PES is a						
1.2.2	100000	structure, is it						
1.2.3	100000	capable of	No					
1.2.3 MCE	450	stopping primary						
1.2.3 HFD (xx)	12	fragments?	NEW in Pounds					
1.3	350000		Dist in Feet					
1.4	400000		Bldg Cost in \$					
	ES INPUT DATA							
ES Name	Dist from PES	Personnel at ES	Bldg Cost					
ES Name B	Dist from PES 760	Personnel at ES 30	Bldg Cost \$2,500,000					
ES Name B C	Dist from PES 760 300	Personnel at ES 30 3	Bldg Cost \$2,500,000 \$500,000					
ES Name B C D	Dist from PES 760 300 280	Personnel at ES 30 3 3	Bldg Cost \$2,500,000 \$500,000 \$750,000					
ES Name B C D E	Dist from PES 760 300 280 600	Personnel at ES 30 3 3 3 18	Bldg Cost \$2,500,000 \$500,000 \$750,000 \$2,000,000					
ES Name B C D E F	Dist from PES 760 300 280 600 940	Personnel at ES 30 3 3 3 18 3	Bldg Cost \$2,500,000 \$500,000 \$750,000 \$2,000,000 \$250,000					
ES Name B C D E F G	Dist from PES 760 300 280 600 940 1190	Personnel at ES 30 3 3 3 18 3 20	Bldg Cost \$2,500,000 \$500,000 \$750,000 \$2,000,000 \$250,000 \$3,000,000					
ES Name B C D E F G H	Dist from PES 760 300 280 600 940 1190 1050	Personnel at ES 30 3 3 3 18 3 20 6	Bldg Cost \$2,500,000 \$500,000 \$750,000 \$2,000,000 \$250,000 \$3,000,000 \$450,000					
ES Name B C D E F G H	Dist from PES 760 300 280 600 940 1190 1050 1320	Personnel at ES 30 3 3 3 18 3 20 6 25	Bldg Cost \$2,500,000 \$500,000 \$750,000 \$2,000,000 \$250,000 \$3,000,000 \$450,000 \$4,500,000					
ES Name B C D E F G G H J	Dist from PES 760 300 280 600 940 1190 1050 1320 615	Personnel at ES 30 3 3 3 18 3 20 6 25 2 2	Bldg Cost \$2,500,000 \$500,000 \$750,000 \$2,000,000 \$250,000 \$3,000,000 \$450,000 \$4,500,000 \$250,000					
ES Name B C D E F G G H I J K	Dist from PES 760 300 280 600 940 1190 1050 1320 615 1350	Personnel at ES 30 3 3 3 18 3 20 6 25 2 2 6 6 3 25 2 6 25 2 6 3 20 6 25 2 6 6 25 6 6 25 6 6 6 6 6 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 8 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	Bldg Cost \$2,500,000 \$500,000 \$750,000 \$2,000,000 \$250,000 \$3,000,000 \$450,000 \$4,500,000 \$250,000 \$250,000 \$750,000					
ES Name B C D E F G G H I J K K	Dist from PES 760 300 280 600 940 1190 1050 1320 615 1350 1700	Personnel at ES 30 3 3 3 3 18 3 20 6 25 2 2 6 12	Bldg Cost \$2,500,000 \$500,000 \$750,000 \$2,000,000 \$250,000 \$3,000,000 \$450,000 \$4,500,000 \$250,000 \$750,000 \$900,000					
ES Name B C D E F G G H I J K L	Dist from PES 760 300 280 600 940 1190 1050 1320 615 1350 1700	Personnel at ES 30 3 3 3 18 3 20 6 25 2 6 12 12	Bldg Cost \$2,500,000 \$500,000 \$750,000 \$2,000,000 \$250,000 \$3,000,000 \$450,000 \$4,500,000 \$250,000 \$250,000 \$750,000 \$900,000					







ASAP-X Tool PES Output #1



ES OUTPUT DATA								
ES Name	Distance	Zone	Personnel	Fatalities	Building	Building		
	From PES		at ES		Cost	Damage Loss		
В	760	5(PTRD)	30	2.2	\$2,500,000.00	\$723,143.94		
С	300	2(K9)	3	2.7	\$500,000.00	\$500,000.00		
D	280	2(K9)	3	2.8	\$750,000.00	\$750,000.00		
E	600	4(K18)	18	4.3	\$2,000,000.00	\$1,066,662.75		
F	940	6(IBD)	3	0.1	\$250,000.00	\$41,839.84		
G	1190	6(IBD)	20	0.3	\$3,000,000.00	\$296,376.92		
Н	1050	6(IBD)	6	0.1	\$450,000.00	\$61,735.43		
	1320	6(IBD)	25	0.3	\$4,500,000.00	\$284,118.50		
J	615	4(K18)	2	0.4	\$250,000.00	\$125,520.31		
K	1350	6(IBD)	6	0.1	\$750,000.00	\$41,182.05		
L	1700	>IBD	12		\$900,000.00			





ASAP-X Tool PES Output #1



ALL OTHER PES OUTPUT								
ZONE	DISTANCE	FATAL	BUILDING DAMAGE LOSS	% BLDG DAMAGE	% FATAL			
1	205							
2	308	6	\$1,250,000	100%	100%			
3	376							
4	616	5	\$1,192,183	53%	25%			
5	821	3	\$723,144	29%	10%			
6	1,368	1	\$725,253	8%	2%			

TOTAL PEOPLE AFFECTED	116
TOTAL FATALITIES	15
% FATALITIES	12.93%
TOTAL BUILDING COSTS	\$14,950,000
TOTAL BLDG DAMAGE LOSS	\$3,890,580
% BUILDING DAMAGE LOSS	26.02%
TOTAL # OF ESs	10



ASAP-X Tool PES Input #2



ALL O			
HAZARD DIVISION	NEW	Is the DES an	
1.1	30000	Is the PES an	No
1.2.1	20000	open pau -	
1.2.1 MCE	451	If the PES is a	
1.2.2	100000	structure, is it	
1.2.3	100000	capable of	No
1.2.3 MCE	450	stopping primary	
1.2.3 HFD (xx)	12	fragments?	NEW in Pounds
1.3	350000		Dist in Feet
1.4	400000		Bldg Cost in \$
	ES INPUT	DATA	
ES Name	Dist from PES	Personnel at ES	Bldg Cost
ES Name B	Dist from PES 760	Personnel at ES 30	Bldg Cost \$2,500,000
ES Name B C	Dist from PES 760 300	Personnel at ES 30 3	Bldg Cost \$2,500,000 \$500,000
ES Name B C D	Dist from PES 760 300 280	Personnel at ES 30 3 3	Bldg Cost \$2,500,000 \$500,000 \$750,000
ES Name B C D E	Dist from PES 760 300 280 600	Personnel at ES 30 3 3 18	Bldg Cost \$2,500,000 \$500,000 \$750,000 \$2,000,000
ES Name B C D E F	Dist from PES 760 300 280 600 940	Personnel at ES 30 3 3 18 3	Bldg Cost \$2,500,000 \$500,000 \$750,000 \$2,000,000 \$250,000
ES Name B C D E F G	Dist from PES 760 300 280 600 940 1190	Personnel at ES 30 3 3 3 18 3 20	Bldg Cost \$2,500,000 \$500,000 \$750,000 \$2,000,000 \$250,000 \$3,000,000
ES Name B C D E F G H	Dist from PES 760 300 280 600 940 1190 1050	Personnel at ES 30 3 3 3 18 3 20 6	Bldg Cost \$2,500,000 \$500,000 \$750,000 \$2,000,000 \$250,000 \$3,000,000 \$450,000
ES Name B C D E F G H	Dist from PES 760 300 280 600 940 1190 1050 1320	Personnel at ES 30 3 3 3 18 3 20 6 25	Bldg Cost \$2,500,000 \$500,000 \$750,000 \$2,000,000 \$250,000 \$3,000,000 \$450,000 \$4,500,000
ES Name B C D E F G H I J	Dist from PES 760 300 280 600 940 1190 1050 1320 615	Personnel at ES 30 3 3 3 18 3 20 6 25 2 2	Bldg Cost \$2,500,000 \$500,000 \$750,000 \$2,000,000 \$250,000 \$3,000,000 \$450,000 \$4,500,000 \$250,000
ES Name B C D E F G H I J K	Dist from PES 760 300 280 600 940 1190 1050 1320 615 1350	Personnel at ES 30 3 3 18 3 20 6 25 2 6 25 6	Bldg Cost \$2,500,000 \$500,000 \$750,000 \$2,000,000 \$250,000 \$3,000,000 \$450,000 \$4,500,000 \$250,000 \$250,000
ES Name B C D E F G G H I J K L	Dist from PES 760 300 280 600 940 1190 1050 1320 615 1350 1700	Personnel at ES 30 3 3 3 18 3 20 6 25 2 6 12	Bldg Cost \$2,500,000 \$500,000 \$750,000 \$2,000,000 \$250,000 \$3,000,000 \$450,000 \$4,500,000 \$250,000 \$750,000 \$900,000
ES Name B C D E F G G H I J K L	Dist from PES 760 300 280 600 940 1190 1050 1320 615 1350 1700	Personnel at ES 30 3 3 18 3 20 6 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25	Bldg Cost \$2,500,000 \$500,000 \$750,000 \$2,000,000 \$250,000 \$3,000,000 \$450,000 \$4,500,000 \$250,000 \$750,000 \$900,000





ASAP-X Tool Output #2





ES OUTPUT DATA								
ES Name	Distance	Zone	Personnel	Fatalities	Building	Building		
	From PES		at ES		Cost	Damage Loss		
В	760	6(IBD)	30	0.6	\$2,500,000.00	\$492,491.15		
С	300	3(K11)	3	2.6	\$500,000.00	\$500,000.00		
D	280	3(K11)	3	2.7	\$750,000.00	\$750,000.00		
E	600	5(PTRD)	18	2.9	\$2,000,000.00	\$871,194.98		
F	940	6(IBD)	3	0.0	\$250,000.00	\$35,746.68		
G	1190	6(IBD)	20	0.2	\$3,000,000.00	\$203,919.71		
Н	1050	6(IBD)	6	0.1	\$450,000.00	\$49,491.36		
	1320	>IBD	25		\$4,500,000.00			
J	615	5(PTRD)	2	0.3	\$250,000.00	\$103,009.04		
K	1350	>IBD	6		\$750,000.00			
L	1700	>IBD	12		\$900,000.00			





ASAP-X Tool Output #2



ALL OTHER PES OUTPUT								
ZONE	DISTANCE	FATAL BUILDING DAMAGE LOSS		% BLDG DAMAGE	% FATAL			
1	186							
2	280							
3	342	6	\$1,250,000	100%	100%			
4	559							
5	750	4	\$974,204	43%	20%			
6	1,250	1	\$781,649	13%	2%			

TOTAL PEOPLE AFFECTED	85
TOTAL FATALITIES	11
% FATALITIES	12.94%
TOTAL BUILDING COSTS	\$9,700,000
TOTAL BLDG DAMAGE LOSS	\$3,005,853
% BUILDING DAMAGE LOSS	30.99%
TOTAL # OF ESs	8





ASAP-X Output Comparison



40,000 LBS NEWQD

30,000 LBS NEWQD

ALL OTHER PES OUTPUT							ALL OTH	IER PES OUTPU	Т		
ZONE	DISTANCE	FATAL	BUILDING DAMAGE LOSS	% BLDG DAMAGE	% FATAL	ZONE	DISTANCE	FATAL	BUILDING DAMAGE LOSS	% BLDG DAMAGE	% FATAL
1	205					1	186				
2	308	6	\$1,250,000	100%	100%	2	280				
3	376					3	342	6	\$1,250,000	100%	100%
4	616	5	\$1,192,183	53%	25%	4	559				
5	821	3	\$723,144	29%	10%	5	750	4	\$974,204	43%	20%
6	1,368	1	\$725,253	8%	2%	6	1,250	1	\$781,649	13%	2%

TOTAL PEOPLE AFFECTED	85
TOTAL FATALITIES	11
% FATALITIES	12.94%
TOTAL BUILDING COSTS	\$9,700,000
TOTAL BLDG DAMAGE LOSS	\$3,005,853
% BUILDING DAMAGE LOSS	30.99%
TOTAL # OF ESs	8

TOTAL PEOPLE AFFECTED	116
TOTAL FATALITIES	15
% FATALITIES	12.93%
TOTAL BUILDING COSTS	\$14,950,000
TOTAL BLDG DAMAGE LOSS	\$3,890,580
% BUILDING DAMAGE LOSS	26.02%
TOTAL # OF ESs	10





ASAP-X Output Comparison



40,000 LBS NEWQD

20,000 LBS NEWQD

	ALL OTHER PES OUTPUT				ALL OTHER PES OUTPUT							
ZONE	DISTANCE	FATAL	BUILDING DAMAGE LOSS	% BLDG DAMAGE	% FATAL	Z	ZONE	DISTANCE	FATAL	BUILDING DAMAGE LOSS	% BLDG DAMAGE	% FATAL
1	205						1	163				
2	308	6	\$1,250,000	100%	100%		2	244				
3	376						3	299	3	\$750,000	100%	100%
4	616	5	\$1,192,183	53%	25%		4	489	3	\$498,684	100%	100%
5	821	3	\$723,144	29%	10%		5	750	3	\$833,608	37%	15%
6	1,368	1	\$725,253	8%	2%		6	1,250	1	\$781,649	13%	2%

TOTAL PEOPLE AFFECTED	85
TOTAL FATALITIES	10
% FATALITIES	11.76%
TOTAL BUILDING COSTS	\$9,700,000
TOTAL BLDG DAMAGE LOSS	\$2,863,941
% BUILDING DAMAGE LOSS	29.53%
TOTAL # OF ESs	8

TOTAL PEOPLE AFFECTED	116
TOTAL FATALITIES	15
% FATALITIES	12.93%
TOTAL BUILDING COSTS	\$14,950,000
TOTAL BLDG DAMAGE LOSS	\$3,890,580
% BUILDING DAMAGE LOSS	26.02%
TOTAL # OF ESs	10





ASAP-X Tool ECM Input

EARTH C	OVERED MAGA	ZINE INPUT		
HAZARD DIVISION	NEW			
1.1		Is the ECM		
1.2.1		undenned:		
1.2.1 MCE		ls the FCM 26 ft		
1.2.2		x 60 ft or larger?		
1.2.3		x oo it of larger.		
1.2.3 MCE				
1.2.3 HFD (xx)				
1.3				
1.4				
		EC INDUT DATA		
		ES INPUT DATA		
ES Name	Dist from PES	ES INPUT DATA Personnel at ES	Bldg Cost	Orientation
ES Name	Dist from PES	ES INPUT DATA Personnel at ES	Bldg Cost	Orientation
ES Name	Dist from PES	ES INPUT DATA Personnel at ES	Bldg Cost	Orientation
ES Name	Dist from PES	ES INPUT DATA Personnel at ES	Bldg Cost	Orientation
ES Name	Dist from PES	ES INPUT DATA Personnel at ES	Bldg Cost	Orientation
ES Name	Dist from PES	ES INPUT DATA Personnel at ES	Bldg Cost	Orientation
ES Name	Dist from PES	ES INPUT DATA Personnel at ES	Bldg Cost	Orientation
ES Name	Dist from PES	ES INPUT DATA Personnel at ES	Bldg Cost	Orientation
ES Name	Dist from PES	ES INPUT DATA Personnel at ES	Bldg Cost	Orientation
ES Name	Dist from PES	ES INPUT DATA Personnel at ES	Bldg Cost	Orientation

A CONSAND ENVIRO

15





Past and Planned Usage



- Used by Army in Iraq and Afghanistan
- Provided to SDDC for Port Assessments
- Used to evaluate locations in Latvia, Korea, Lithuania, and Alaska during recent DDESB trips
- Will be used during DDESB Strategic Assessment visits outlined by TP 28
- Version 2 upgrade allows for the input of GPS coordinates in determining distances between PES and ESs; Will be released this summer
- NATO Version 1 based on AASTP-1 criteria going through Beta Testing; Should be released this summer



Questions





