

## Exterior algebra representations of commutative Moufang loops

By

J. D. H. SMITH

**1. Introduction. Exterior algebra or associator calculus?** The main theorem on commutative Moufang loops is that of Bruck-Slaby [2, Theorem VIII.10.1] stating the free commutative Moufang loop on a finite number  $n$  of generators is nilpotent of class  $k(n)$ , with the positive integer  $k(n)$  strictly less than  $n$ . Bruck gave the following example [2, VIII.1], [4, I.10.5], [5, 10.3] to show that  $k(n) \geq 1 + [n/2]$ : letting  $V$  be an infinite-dimensional vector space over the 3-element Galois field  $F$ ,  $\Lambda V$  its exterior algebra and  $E_B = V \times \Lambda V$ , define the composition  $\circ$  on  $E_B$  by

$$(1.1) \quad (a, x) \circ (b, y) = (a + b, x + y + (x - y)ab).$$

(Throughout this paper, the wedge-product  $a \wedge b$  in  $\Lambda V$  is simply denoted  $ab$ .)  $(E_B, \circ)$  becomes a commutative Moufang loop, and for a linearly independent subset  $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$  of  $V$  ( $n > 2$ ) the  $n$ -element subset  $\{(a_1, 1), \dots, (a_n, 1)\}$  of  $E_B$  generates a nilpotent subloop of  $(E_B, \circ)$  of class  $1 + [n/2]$ .

Bruck's proof of the Bruck-Slaby Theorem involved a complex triple induction process working in what has since become known as the *Bruck associator calculus*, which defines repeated associators and deals with relationships between them. In the example  $(E_B, \circ)$  the associator calculus becomes much simpler, because the associator  $f_2$  there [2, VIII.7] reduces to zero, i.e.  $f_2(x, y, z; a, b) = 1$  is identically satisfied. In [1] Bruck showed that if this identity were satisfied by all commutative Moufang loops then  $k(n) = 1 + [n/2]$  for  $n \geq 3$  and the full intricacies of the associator calculus in the theory of commutative Moufang loops would be superfluous, in particular for the proof of the Bruck-Slaby Theorem, the theory essentially reducing to the exterior algebra of  $(E_B, \circ)$ . It thus became interesting to decide the exact value of  $k(n)$ , at the very least for  $n = 5$ . This was the "open question" raised in [1].

Two methods of answering this open question were published in 1978. The author [6], [7] applied the Macdonald-Wamsley technique of nilpotent group theory to the multiplication group of a commutative Moufang loop by making long calculations in the Bruck associator calculus. Quite independently Malbos [3] produced a wonderful exterior algebra construction: with  $V$  as above, take  $\Lambda^* V$  to be the odd part

$\bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} \Lambda^{2k+1} V$  of the exterior algebra  $\Lambda V$ , and set  $E_M = \Lambda^* V \times \Lambda^* V$ . Define a pro-

duct  $\circ$  on  $E_M$  by

$$(1.2) \quad (a, b) \circ (c, d) = (a + c - ac(b - d), b + d - (ad + bc)(b - d)).$$

$(E_M, \circ)$  becomes a commutative Moufang loop, and for a linearly independent subset  $\{a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n\}$  of  $V$  ( $n > 1$ ) the  $n$ -element subset  $\{(a_1, b_1), \dots, (a_n, b_n)\}$  of  $E_M$  generates a nilpotent subloop of  $(E_M, \circ)$  of class  $n - 1$ .

Malbos's example raises afresh the question as to whether the Bruck associator calculus is superfluous: does the theory of commutative Moufang loops reduce to exterior algebra, now that of  $(E_M, \circ)$ ? The third section of the current note aims to indicate how one can deduce from the work of [7] that this is not the case. To this end the next section streamlines Malbos's construction into the notation adumbrated under [6, (6.12)].

**2. The wedge-dash notation.** For an element  $x = (a, b)$  of Malbos's  $F$ -algebra  $E_M$ , define

$$(2.1) \quad x' = (-b, a).$$

One readily checks

$$(2.2) \quad ' : E_M \rightarrow E_M \text{ is linear,}$$

$$(2.3) \quad x'' = -x,$$

and for  $x, y, z$  in  $E_M$

$$(2.4) \quad (xyz)' = x'y'z',$$

indeed for  $x_1, \dots, x_{2r+1}$  in  $E_M$

$$(2.5) \quad (x_1 \dots x_{2r+1})' = x'_1 \dots x'_{2r+1}.$$

The surprising feature of this *dash-mapping* is that it suffices for the definition of Malbos's commutative Moufang loop product on  $E_M$ : (1.2) becomes

$$(2.6) \quad x \circ y = x + y + xy y' + y x x'.$$

The commutativity of  $\circ$  is of course immediate from this, and the commutative Moufang loop law follows by checking that both  $(x \circ x) \circ (y \circ z)$  and  $(x \circ y) \circ (x \circ z)$  reduce to

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} & -x + y + z + yxx' + zxx' + yzz' + zyy' \\ & -xyy' - xzz' - xyz' - xzy' - xy y' z z' + y z z' x x' + z y y' x x'. \end{aligned}$$

The dash mapping is an automorphism of  $(E_M, \circ)$ . Now (2.3) and the fact that  $-1$  is not a square in  $F$  imply that the dash-mapping has no eigenvectors, so that

$$(2.8) \quad x x' = 0 \text{ implies } x = 0.$$

It is this property that gives the wedge-dash notation its power for checking commutative Moufang loop identities.

By (2.6),  $x \circ x = -x$  and  $x \circ (-x) = 0$ , so inverses in  $(E_M, +)$  and  $(E_M, \circ)$  coincide, and  $(E_M, \circ)$  has exponent 3. Replacing  $x$  in (2.7) with  $-x$  yields

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} x \circ (y \circ z) &= x + y + z \\ &\quad + xy y' + yzz' + zxx' \\ &\quad + xzz' + yxx' + zyy' \\ &\quad + xyy'zz' + yzz'xx' + zyy'xx' \\ &\quad + xyz' + xzy'. \end{aligned}$$

The only part of this expression not cyclically symmetric in  $x, y, z$  is  $xyz' + xzy'$ . Now the associator  $(x, y, z)$  is defined [2, I.(2.1)] by

$$(2.10) \quad (x, y, z) = (z \circ (x \circ y)) \circ (x \circ (y \circ z))^{-1}$$

and thus here

$$(2.11) \quad (x, y, z) = -x'yz - xy'z - xyz'.$$

This formula for  $(x, y, z)$  may be extended by induction to an explicit formula for the general associator  $f_P$  (notation as in [6]) in the wedge-dash notation. Some preparation is necessary. Let  $2^k$  denote the  $k$ -th direct power of the partially ordered group  $2 = (\{0 < 1\}, +)$ , elements of  $2^k$  being denoted as concatenations of components such as  $0^{k-2}10 = 0 \dots 010$  or  $\bar{b} = \bar{b}_1 \dots \bar{b}_k$ . Let  $|b|$  denote the sum  $b_1 + \dots + b_k$  as a natural number, and  $\bar{b}$  the complement  $(1 - b_1) \dots (1 - b_k)$  of  $b$ , so in particular  $|\bar{b}| = k - |b|$ . For  $z, x, y$  in  $E_M$ , define

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{cases} (zxy)^0 = z'xy + zx'y + zxy', \\ (zxy)^1 = z'x'y + z'xy' + zx'y', \\ (xy)^{00} = (xy)^{11} = x'y + xy', \\ (xy)^{10} = xy, \\ (xy)^{01} = x'y'. \end{cases}$$

For  $b$  in  $2^k$ ,  $z, x_i, y_i$  in  $E_M$ , define

$$(2.13) \quad f^b(z, x_1, y_1! x_2, y_2! \dots! x_k, y_k) = (-1)^{|b|} (zx_1y_1)^{b_1} \prod_{1 < i \leq k} (x_i y_i)^{b_{i-1}b_i}.$$

The right hand side of (2.13) expands under the distributivity of the wedge product over addition in  $E_M$  to a sum of products of  $z, x_1, y_1, \dots, x_k, y_k, z', x'_1, \dots, y'_k$ . A straightforward induction over  $k$  shows that the number of dashed factors in such a product is  $k + b_k$ . In particular, using (2.12):

$$(2.14) \quad \text{for } b_k = 0, \quad f^b(z, x_1, y_1!) = f^{\bar{b}}(z, x_1, y_1!)$$

since  $(-1)^{|\bar{b}|} = (-1)^{k-|b|} = (-1)^k (-1)^{|b|}$  and the effect of the  $k$  dashed factors in each term of the expression of the left hand side is to contribute a sign change of  $(-1)^k$  on application of the dash mapping (by virtue of (2.3)).

The general associator  $f_P$  in the wedge-dash notation may now be presented as

$$(2.15) \quad \begin{aligned} f_P(z, x_1, y_1; a_{11}, \dots, a_{1p_1}! \dots! x_k, y_k; a_{k1}, \dots, a_{kp_k}) \\ = (-1)^k a_{11} a'_{11} \dots a_{kp_k} a'_{kp_k} \sum_{0^k \leq b \leq 1^{k-1} 0} f^b(z, x_1, y_1! \dots! x_k, y_k). \end{aligned}$$

The verification of this formula takes two stages. Assume firstly that it holds for an associator  $f_P$  without symmetric arguments, i.e.

$$f_P(z, x_1, y_1!! x_k, y_k) = (-1)^k \sum_{0^k \leq b \leq 1^{k-1} 0} f^b(z, x_1, y_1!! x_k, y_k).$$

Then by (2.11), (2.12), (2.14), and (2.13),

$$\begin{aligned} f_{P,0}(z, x_1, y_1!! x_k, y_k! x_{k+1}, y_{k+1}) \\ = -f_P(z, x_1, y_1!! x_k, y_k)'(x_{k+1} y_{k+1})^{10} \\ \quad - f_P(z, x_1, y_1!! x_k, y_k)(x_{k+1} y_{k+1})^{00} \\ = (-1)^{k+1} \sum_{0^k \leq b \leq 1^{k-1} 0} f^b(z, x_1, y_1!! x_k, y_k)'(x_{k+1} y_{k+1})^{10} \\ \quad + (-1)^{k+1} \sum_{0^k \leq b \leq 1^{k-1} 0} f^b(z, x_1, y_1!! x_k, y_k)(x_{k+1} y_{k+1})^{00} \\ = (-1)^{k+1} \sum_{0^{k-1} 1 \leq \bar{b} \leq 1^k} \bar{f}^{\bar{b}}(z, x_1, y_1!! x_k, y_k)(x_{k+1} y_{k+1})^{10} \\ \quad + (-1)^{k+1} \sum_{0^k \leq b \leq 1^{k-1} 0} f^b(z, x_1, y_1!! x_k, y_k)(x_{k+1} y_{k+1})^{00} \\ = (-1)^{k+1} \sum_{0^{k+1} \leq b \leq 1^{k0}} f^b(z, x_1, y_1!! x_k, y_k! x_{k+1}, y_{k+1}), \end{aligned}$$

so that the formula also holds for  $f_{P,0}$ . It holds in the somewhat degenerate form  $f_0(z, x, y) = -f^0(z, x, y)$  for  $k = 1$ , and thus by induction for all associators without symmetric arguments. The second stage of the verification of (2.15), for general associators, follows immediately by an induction with the remark that

$$(2.16) \quad f_1(z, x, y; a) = -aa'f^0(z, x, y),$$

a direct consequence of (2.15) for  $P = (0, 0)$ .

**3. Limitations of the wedge-dash notation.** In this section the notation of [6, §§ 4, 5] will be used: let  $X = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$ , let  $L$  be the free commutative Moufang loop (under the Triple Argument Hypothesis) on  $X \cup \{f\}$ , and  $A, B$  the abelian sub-loops of  $L$  generated respectively by  $(a, b, c! d, e)$ ,  $(a, b, c; f! d, e)$  as  $FX!$ -modules.  $A$  was shown in [6, § 4] to be a four-dimensional  $F$ -space. It follows from [6] and [7] that  $B$  is a five-dimensional  $F$ -space, for by applying the multiplication formulae of [6, § 10] to the identity  $1 = (d, eb, ac; f! eb, ac)$  one deduces

$$(3.1) \quad (a, b, d; f! c, e) = (b, c, d; f! e, a)^{-1}(c, d, e; f! a, b)(d, e, a; f! b, c)^{-1},$$

so that  $B$  is spanned by the five images of  $(a, b, c; f! d, e)$  under the cyclic subgroup  $\langle (a, b, c, d, e) \rangle$  of  $X!$ . On the other hand all the relevant requirements of the Bruck associator calculus, in particular those of [6, § 5] (such as  $abc|de$ ) and [6, § 10], are satisfied if one takes these five images of  $(a, b, c; f! d, e)$  as a basis for  $B$  in the sense of [7, § 2], and the argument of [7] then shows that  $B$  is indeed of dimension 5.

In the wedge-dash notation (i.e. in the loop  $(E_M, \circ)$ ), however, one has

$$(3.2) \quad (a, b, c; f! d, e) = ff'(a, b, c! d, e)$$

as a consequence of the formula (2.15). Let  $\{a, b, c, d, e, f\}$  here be a linearly independent subset of  $E_M$ , and let  $\bar{A}, \bar{B}$  be the subloops of  $(E_M, \circ)$  corresponding to  $A$  and  $B$  in  $L$ . Then the mapping  $\bar{A} \rightarrow \bar{B}; x \mapsto ff'x$  is an isomorphism, so that  $\bar{B}$  is only of dimension 4. In particular, the image  $\pi(a, b, c, d, e; f)$  of  $(a, b, c; f! d, e)$  under  $\sum \langle (a, b, c, d, e) \rangle$  vanishes in  $\bar{B}$ , but not in  $B$ . This is the source of the limitations of the wedge-dash notation. One may deduce from [6, (5.6)] that

$$(3.3) \quad (a, b, c! d, e; f) = (a, b, c; f! d, e) \pi(a, b, c, d, e; f)$$

in  $L$ , and the vanishing of  $\pi(a, b, c, e; f)$  in the wedge-dash notation is equivalent to the latter's inability (apparent from (2.15)) to distinguish between the associators  $f_{(1,0)}$  and  $f_{(0,1)}$ , or more generally between all the various associators  $f_p$  for fixed parameters  $p$  and  $k$ .

In conclusion, the current state of affairs suggests two problems. One should in passing remark that the image of identity (3.1) under  $\sum \langle (a, b, c, d, e) \rangle$  yields that  $\pi(a, b, c, d, e; f)$  is skew-symmetric in  $\{a, b, c, d, e\}$ .

**Problem 1.** Give a simplified proof for the Bruck-Slaby Theorem under the assumption that  $\pi(a, b, c, d, e; f) = 1$  holds identically.

This problem is related to the example  $(E_M, \circ)$  in the way that [1, Theorem 1] is related to  $(E_B, \circ)$ .

**Problem 2.** Develop a faithful exterior algebra representation for the free commutative Moufang loop of exponent 3.

Towards Problem 2 one may remark that in  $(E_B, \circ)$

$$(3.4) \quad ((a, x), (b, y), (c, z); (f, v)! (d, t), (e, u)) = (0, v f a b c d e)$$

while

$$(3.5) \quad ((a, x), (b, y), (c, z)! (d, t), (e, u); (f, v)) = (0, 0),$$

so the direct product  $(E_B, \circ) \times (E_M, \circ)$  of Bruck's and Malbos's examples is capable both of distinguishing  $f_{(1,0)}$  from  $f_{(0,1)}$  and of demonstrating that  $k(n) = n - 1$ . Having constructed a putative faithful representation, one may check its effectiveness with [7] in the way that this section checked Malbos's example.

### References

[1] R. H. BRUCK, An open question concerning Moufang loops. Arch. Math. **10**, 419–421 (1959).  
 [2] R. H. BRUCK, A Survey of Binary Systems. Berlin 1971.  
 [3] J.-P. MALBOS, Sur la classe de nilpotence des boucles commutatives de Moufang et des espaces médiaux. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. A **287**, 691–693 (1978).  
 [4] JU. I. MANIN, Kubičeskie Formy. Moskva 1972.  
 [5] JU. I. MANIN (trans. M. HAZEWINKEL), Cubic Forms. Amsterdam 1974.

- [6] J. D. H. SMITH, A second grammar of associators. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc.* **84**, 405—415 (1978).
- [7] J. D. H. SMITH, On the nilpotence class of commutative Moufang loops. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc.* **84**, 387—404 (1978).

Eingegangen am 23. 1. 1979

Anschrift des Autors:

J. D. H. Smith  
Fachbereich Mathematik  
Technische Hochschule Darmstadt  
Schloßgartenstr. 7  
D-6100 Darmstadt