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#### Abstract

This study aims at the construction of a semantically structured conceptual model to help the organization and representation of human knowledge in hypertextual systems, based on the Theory of Facet Analysis and Conceptual Map. A second step in this study is the application of the semantic model to create a prototype called Hypertext Map (Mapa Hipertextual - MHTX) which will be used to implement the BTDECI UFMG (Thesis and Dissertation Library of UFMG's School of Information Science). Facet analysis was used to categorize the index terms, and established the relationship among them expressed by the links. It was chosen a single knowledge unit, namely a doctoral thesis on the own area of subject analysis (NAVES, 2000) to construct a conceptual model prior to the technological implementation of its prototype.. Keywords: Faceted analysis, Conceptual modeling, Hypertext, Academic documents


## 1. Introduction

Since the late 1980 s, several researchers have started to study the possibility to use the theories of classification - mainly the theory of facet analysis, created by the Indian Ranganathan - in the conceptual organization for systems of hypertext. Like the faceted classification, the systems of hypertext also aim at the organization of knowledge through the organization of the concepts and the relations among them, what makes possible the mapping of an area of subject and the inclusion of new concepts, without implying a structural change of the system. A lot of authors mention the faceted classification as a tool which can help in the representation of the intellectual content in systems of hypertexts (Duncan (1989); Ingwersen and Wormell (1992); Kwasnik (1992); Ellis (1996); Star (1996); Santos (1996); Pollitt (1997); Glassel (1998); Priss and Jacob (1999); Ellis and Vasconcelos (1999); Koehler (2001); Campos (2001)).

The conceptual modeling is an important phase in information organization for systems of hypertext. The facet analysis recognizes several aspects in just one subject, and tries to synthesize these aspects in a such way that it can describe them in the most appropriate way. This fact is revelant for the non-linear approach to the hypertext because it enables the user to see a certain subject under different perspectives, making possible the reunification of a similar knowledge as part of the whole instead of being subordinate within a hierarchy. The use of facet analysis served as groundwork for the creation of an interactive tool, with an organizational power of semantic content in complete documents in bases of hypertextual data and with a possibility of a retrieval in effective context.
The digital prototype, called Hypertextual Model for Document Organization (MHTX), consists of a semantic map called Conceptual Map (CM), and of the Expanded Summary (ES), an instrument formed by the summary of the thesis, to which were aggregated access points. The hypertext model was installed in digital format in a database which holds the selected thesis to be object of this research, and which after will also hold other theses and dissertations in complete scanned texts, which belong to the Program of Post Graduation in Information Science of UFMG. In the next topic, we describe briefly the theory of the facet analysis and the construction of the conceptual structure, bearing in mind the Hypertextual Map (MHTX) as result of the PHD thesis of this article's author.

## 2. Utilization of the facet analysis in conceptual modeling for hypertext management of documents

Shiyali Ramamrita Ranganathan (1892-1972), an Indian mathematician who became a librarian, was one of the scholars who mostly contributed for the library science theories in XX century, especially in the field of subject classification. His Colon Classification system, published in 1933, emerged from his dissatisfaction with the systems of Dewey's Decimal Classification and of Universal Decimal Classification. After certifying, in five different periodicals, that most of the subjects discussed were compound subjects, Ranganathan formulated his Two Points Classification, also known as Faceted Classification or Analytic-Synthetic Classification. He created a nearly mathematician system, organizing the knowledge in such a way that the compound subjects, synthetically, emerged from "elementary" concepts. Ranganathan published six editions of this system, and died in 1972, when the seventh edition was to be published. Besides this classification system, Ranganathan published Elements of Library Classifications (1945; 3 ed. 1962) e Prolegomena to Library Classification (1967), works which are considered referential by scholars of classification. Among the principles introduced by Ranganathan, the most well-known is the principle of facet analysis (subdivisions of subjects in their component parts), and the synthesis (reconfiguration of these parts to classify a document appropriately). In his Faceted Classification, Ranganathan identified five categories: Personality (P),

Material (M), Energy (E), Space (S), and Time (T), mnemonically known as PMEST. This order of mention is based on the idea of decreasing concretivity. Although the system of Two Points Classification has not been worldly accepted, the theory of facet analysis and synthesis proposed by Ranganathan have become an important theoretical basis for the area of subject analysis in the XX century (Ranganathan, 1985, p. 86).
The faceted classification comprises principles and techniques for organization systems and information retrieval. A faceted system recognizes lots of aspects in just one subject, and tries to synthesize these aspects in order to describe them in a more appropriate way. Ranganathan showed that the relation among these sujects, made through the symbols of two points, can be boundless, proving that knowledge can be multidimensional and that these relations can take different directions, depending on the synthesis among various multiple concepts (Vickery, 1980, p. 209).
In order to elaborate a faceted classification, one examines the literature of the subject to identify its concepts and terms, establishing their features and facets. After collecting and defining the terminology of the subject, the terms are analysed and distributed in facets. As it has already been defined, a facet is the collection of terms that have the same relationship with the global subject, reflecting the application of a basic principle of division. The facets obtained are inherent to the subject, and, within each facet, the terms which are part of them are susceptible to new groupings by the application of other divisional characteristics, generating the subfacets. The terms, in the subfacets, are mutually exclusive, i. e., they must not overlap one another in the formation of compound subjects. When the facets and subfacets are established, it is important to determine the order of mentioning in which they are presented in the classification system. Then, we sort all the elements in order of filing, what enables one to place the general subject before the specific one. After these phases, the system is ready to receive a notation, which must be flexible to make possible the inclusion of new classes. Finally, we compile an index with all the terms and their respective notations (Piedade, 1983, p. 80, Barbosa,1972, p.76). In order to check the applicability of the facet analysis in conceptual modeling for hypertext management of documents, we have chosen a doctoship thesis of the program of Post Graduation in Information Science (Information Science College of UFMG). The choice of the thesis as sampling for the conversion of a linear text into a non linear one occurred according to the following criteria: (1) the fact that theses and dissertations are linearly written; (2) the thematic author's difficulty to work directly and at the same time with the technology author; (3) the impossibility of theses to be written simultaneously in linear and non-linear ways; and, finally, (4) the possibility of application of this model in the BTDECI - UFMG (Biblioteca de Teses e Dissertações do Programa de PósGraduação em Ciência da Informação da UFMG - Thesis and Dissertation Library of the Program of Post Graduation in Information Science of UFMG).

### 2.1. The application of facet analysis in the conceptual structure of the hypertextual prototype map - MHTX

The methodological procedures for the conceptual implementation through the theory of facet analyses included, sequentially, the following phases:

1. identification of the basic work document (the thesis);
2. reading of the thesis;
3. facet analysis of the subject of the thesis: the selection of its relevant terms and categories (facets); the recognition of subfacets; the ordination of facets, subfacets and focuses to be presented in the conceptual map; and, finally, the organization of all the terms and their relations;
4. creation of the Conceptual Map (CM) with its links and relations.

For the faceting process of the thesis chosen for this study, we have used the technique of facet analyses, basing in two processes: (a) analysis, which occur from the identification of the relevant concepts; (b) classification of concepts in categories, in which each category represents a characteristic. It is important to point out that the process which refers to the synthesis, which occurs when each concept that belongs to these categories matches with another one in order to express a compound subject, has not been implemented in the present thesis.
For the formation of categories, we have used the normative principles of the field of ideas which are present in the work Simplified Model for Facet Analysis: Ranghanathan 101, made up by Spiteri (1998), in which the author discusses and synthesizes principles established previously in two research fronts: those initially presented by S. R. Ranganathan, and those presented afterwards by the Classification Research Group - CRG in London (1952).
In the field of ideas, several procedures occur: (1) process of subject definition; (2) selection of characteristics which constitute the subject; (3) selection of a model for the mapping of information about the concepts; (4) grouping and division of these concepts according to their common and different characteristics; (5) organization and arrangement of groups and subgroups. The principles which rule these procedures have been used to ground the faceted process, aiming to guarantee the determination of the content of bordering bases in relation to subjects which interest the users, the appropriate selection of standards for subject categorization, and, finally, the organization of these categories in appropriate sequence.
FAT, Facet Analysis Theory, is a model with deductive methodological nature, in which is considered, firstly, the domain/context in order to, afterwards, select the representative terms of an area. Thus, it has mechanisms of representation to work in conceptual levels in the formation of categories, from which the concepts are sorted out to form classes of concepts.
In the analysis of the faceting process of the thesis subject, we made a detailed mapping of the document for correct identification of its theme, and made the conceptual analysis to determine its basic and isolated subjects. For the indexation, we considered the text as a whole, having all the terms that
constitute the document in the author's language, since they are considered relevant. Because it is a conceptual modeling, we have disregarded all the collective entities and names of authors mentioned in the thesis. In the process of analysis of the thesis terminology, we took into consideration the notion of category for analysis and classification of document subjects, and for the organization of these subjects (isolated) in a conceptual structure. For the formation of subject (analysis), we have used Prolegomena to Library Classification (Ranganathan, 1967, Part P). For the formation of categories (synthesis), we have used the Spiteri's Simplified Model (1998).
For the formation of subjects, Ranganathan (1967, p.351) proposes five methods: dissection, lamination, denudation, reunion/aggregation and superposition, which have been recently discussed by Campos (2000, p. 68). In the construction of the conceptual model of this thesis, only two methods have been used to form the subjects: dissection and denudation. The other three methods have not been used because it deals with the formation of compound subjects (lamination), formation of complex subjects (reunion/aggregation) and the superposition of two isolated ideas (superposition) not related to the object. We have not used these last three types of subject formation inasmuch as we have used the natural language of the document. In other words, the terms selected remained in the original language of the author, and no controlled vocabulary for the standardization of the terminology has been used.
The method of dissection has been used to ascertain that an only domain encompasses the thesis and its parts. From this procedure, we have got to the conclusion that the universe of the basic subject of the thesis is "knowledge organization".
In the method of denudation, occur the progressive decrease of extension, and the increase of depth of the basic subject or of isolated idea. The successive result of denudation enables the formation of chains which start to represent a specific core of a basic subject or of an isolated idea.
In the application of dissection and denudation methods suggested by Ranganathan for the subject formation, some steps were followed and some rules were set in order to help the standardization of the mapping of concepts in the faceted modeling:
a. detailed reading of all parts of the document;
b. identification of concepts which better represent the semantic content of the document;
c. selection of the most relevant terms in the document for the knowledge organization;
d. selection of terms with a meaningful semantic content which has a bigger amount of textual information;
e. selection of terms which, although do not have a big amount of textual information, are relevant in the construction of the conceptual modeling to represent the subject;
f. representation of selected concepts, such as they appear in the natural language of the text, without the necessity of a translation that uses controlled vocabulary.

In a second moment, in the process of category formation, we proceeded to the assessment and the definition of each concept, with analysis and definition of the isolated terms according to their common characteristics. According to Raghavan (1985, p.27), the analysis of concepts and the relation among them found in a specific subject serve as ground for a strucuture which expresses the meaning and the relation among these elements. This author still states that the subject analysis of hundreds of documents has shown that the concepts which were found in the speech can be categorized in an unlimited number of categories.
Based on categorizing relations, the concepts which constitute the subjects of a document can be organized to express the relations among them aiming at the representation and organization of information. The semantic relations analysed have been determined from the hierarchical and associative relations.
In order to have a consistent choice of categories and facets of the object in question, we proceeded to the analysis and approach given by the principles of the field of ideas for the categorization of the simplified model. In his simplified model, Spiteri (1998) grouped all the canons, postulates and principles of the field of ideas in two principles, with subdivisions: (1) Principle for the choice of the facet, and (2) Principle for the order of reference of facets and focuses. It is important to highlight that the facet concept has been used in this work,
Among the Principles to choose the facets and subfacets in the field of ideas of the Simplified Model for Facet Analysis, were considered only the following: Differentiation, Relevance, Verification, Permanence, Homogeneity, Mutual Exclusivity and Fundamental Categories. These are principles which come from Ranganathan's FAT, from $C R G$ 's Fundamentals or from both.

- The Principle of Differentiation, proposed by Ranganathan, is based on characteristics of division in which certain common differences or qualities distinguish elements of the same class.
- The Principle of Relevance, proposed by both Ranganathan and CRG, helps to ensure that the chosen facets reflect the proposal, the subject and the scope of the theme in question.
- The Principle of Verification, proposed by both Ranganathan and $C R G$, states that it is important to choose facets which are definitive and which can be checked. In this case, in which the terminology used comes from the thesis author himself, the principle of verification with a high degree of accuracy in relation to the choice of characteristics has been ensured.
- The Principle of Permanence, proposed by both Ranganathan and $C R G$, suggests that the chosen facets must represent characteristics of division with permanent qualities in relatin to the subject to be divided. The example given previously can also be used as example of this principle, for
it reflects the permanence interpretation: the indexer will always be the librarian, what is a permantent characteristic.
- The Principle of Homogeneity, proposed only by $C R G$, states that the facet must be homogeneous. The contents of two facets must not overlap each other, and each facet must represent only one characteristic of division. For example, when we divide the concept "Text" in the facets "Typology" and "Structure", the terms which appear in one facet cannot appear in the other.
- The Principle of Mutual Exclusivity, proposed by both Ranganathan and $C R G$, asserts that the facets must be mutually exclusive. Similar to the principle of homogeneity, but with a limiting character, this principle aims to ensure the exclusivity of the classes of an array, deriving from its immediate universe one, only one, characteristic.
- The Principle of Fundamental Categories, proposed only by $C R G$, states that there are not categories which are fundamental for the subject as a whole, and that all the categories must be derivative based on the subject to be classified. Thus, this principle can seem opposite to the basic set of Ranganathan's fundamental categories represented by the acronym PMEST (Personality, Material, Energy, Space and Time). CRG disagrees with the fundamental categories inasmuch as it prefers to identify them basing on the reference of the context of the subject itself, suggesting that no list can be imposed mechanically on the subject. Besides, $C R G$ believes that no list should be necessarily exhausting or applied to all the subjects. Louise Spitieri chose the $C R G$ approach to be inserted in the Simplified Model, bearing in mind that this approach enables the classifiers to better shape the categories for specific subjects, making it possible the formation of more distinguished and definite categories. The author also stresses that most systems of faceted/thesaurus classification consulted by her use the $C R G$ approach to choose the fundamental categories

The principles that order the mention of facets and focuses of the field of ideas determine how the focuses will be organized within their respective facets, i. e., how will be the order of these focuses in the array. Among these principles, were used the Relevant Succession and the Consistent Succession.
In the Relevant Succession, Ranganathan as well as $C R G$ agree that the order of mention of facets must take into consideration the nature, the subject and the objective of the classification system. This order, which is presented here, derives from the Principle of Relevant Sequence (Helpful Sequence) by Ranganathan, and the Principle of Array Order (Array) by $C R G$. After the facets were established, we observed the following orders, listed to construct the order with consistency:

- Chronological order: increasing chronological order, including operations, which, necessarily, will be carried out one after the other.
- Geometric/Spacial order: order for the focuses which have this organizational nature, according to spacial and geometric arrangements.
- Order from the simple to the complex: order for elements of growing complexity.
- Order from the complex to the simple: order for elements of decreasing complexity.
- Canonical order: the most current traditional order, when there is no other principle to follow.
- Increase of quantity order: increasing order of quantity, when the subject in an array of subjects, or the islolated one in an array of isolated ones, has this quality.
- Decrease of quantity order: decreasing order of quantity, when the subject in an array of subjects, or the isolated one in an array of isolated ones, has this quality.
- Alphabetical order: alphabetical order by names of a more current international use, when no other sequence of subject in an array of subjects, or no other sequence of isolated ones in an array of isolated ones is more useful.

The Consistent Succession, based on Ranganathan's consistency canon (Consistent Sequence), aims to keep the consistency in the structure of a classification system. Its expansion enables the order of mention both of the facets and the focuses to be kept consistently.
In order to determine what categories would be used in the thesis, we separated the subjects according to their characteristics. Generally microdocuments do not comprise all the terminology of the subject, and have a limited manifestation of facets. In the case of this thesis, whose theme is "Analysis of subject", which is situated within the subject "knowledge organization", the synthesis of its terminology engendered concepts grouped according to only four categories: Personality, Attribute, Energy and Discipline.
The first determined category was Personality, which can also be defined as Entity, and which is considered by scholars, and even by its creator Ranganathan, as one of the most difficult ones to be defined. The analysis of the entities within the knowledge organization served as reference for this choice, what resulted in the sequence "Librarian", "Authors", "Concept", "Mind", "Document" and "Text".
The second category, Attribute, which can also be defined as Matter or Property, was determined basing on the concept analysis which characterized or qualified the concepts of the category Personality, described above. Generally the attributes are represented by concepts which refer to abstract properties such as intention, emotion, abstraction. These concepts represent intrinsic properties: "cohesion", "subjectivity" etc.
The third category, Energy, is manifested through actions and operations. As example of this category in the thesis, we have "general mental processes" (analysis, synthesis, abstraction etc), "indexing processes", "subject analysis", "training" and "interpretation". Raghavan (1985, p.29) notices that we must be
careful with the following statement: only the situations revealed by intransitive verbs can be manifestations of the category Energy.
Although the thesis studied is focussed on the area "knowledge organization", the author Madalena Naves included, in chapter 5, a history about the area of "sciences" and their disciplines and subdiscplines, what justified the determination of the fourth category, Discipline.
Then, we proceeded with the distribution of terms according to the principle of the fundamental categories suggested by CRG. Spiteri (1998, p.19) states that "for fundamental categories all the categories must be derived based on the nature of the subject to be classified". However, FAT proposes a scheme of categories which, in this case, is considered valid for all the subjects inserted in any area of knowledge.

## 5. Conclusions

The power of FAT to make up the logical structure of the hypertext served as starting point for the modeling of the cognitive structure of the thesis and the work of analysis of its subject area. The structured approach of FAT made possible the identification of individual characteristics of several concepts, which could be grouped in an analytical way. This enabled the user to see a subject under different viewpoints. This characteristic satisfied the "non linearity" of the hypertext, facilitating the creation of a fluid and interactive structure as the one of the Hypertextual Map - MHTX. We can notice that not all the methods for the formation of subjects proposed by Ranganathan were used. Only two out of the five principles proposed by him (dissection, lamination, denudation, reunion/aggregation and superposition) have been used: the method of dissection and the method of denudation. This occurred due to the fact that the sample, just one thesis, has specific domain, whose identified concepts were in specific language, what made impossible apply the other methods.
We can conclude that the facet analysis technique was efficient in the conceptual modeling of the thesis, providing a methodic dynamics, from the identification of relevant terms to the formation of categories. The Conceptual Map (CM) provided an alternative for the problem of the user's bewilderment, although it did not include the technique of navigation tracking. On the other hand, was created a navigation guided with a code of colors representing each hierarchical level of the map, showing to the user how all the semantic content is organized, and how we connect internally, facilitating a hyperbolical navigation.
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