

*FDA/FTC WORKSHOP ON A COMPETITIVE
MARKETPLACE FOR BIOSIMILARS*

March 9, 2020

*A Matter of Record
(301) 890-4188*

Page 1

1	FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION	
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	FDA/FTC WORKSHOP ON A COMPETITIVE	
8	MARKETPLACE FOR BIOSIMILARS	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13	Monday, March 9, 2020	
14	9:05 a.m. to 4:18 p.m.	
15		
16		
17		
18	FDA White Oak Campus	
19	White Oak Conference Center	
20	10903 New Hampshire Avenue	
21	silver Spring, Maryland	
22		

Page 3

1	C O N T E N T S (continued)	
2	AGENDA ITEM	PAGE
3	FDA Draft Guidance for Industry:	
4	Promotional Labeling and Advertising	
5	Considerations for Prescription	
6	Biological Reference and Biosimilar	
7	Products Questions and Answers	
8	Elizabeth Pepinsky	101
9	Dominic Cirincione	114
10	Moderated Panel Discussion	120
11	Catherine Gray	
12	What's at Stake? The Benefits of Competition	
13	Murray Aitken	125
14	Inma Hernandez	141
15	Alex Brill	156
16	Andreas Schick	
17	David Schmidt	
18	Moderated Panel Discussion	167
19	Alison Falb	
20		
21		
22		

Page 2

1	C O N T E N T S	
2	AGENDA ITEM	PAGE
3	Welcome	
4	Eva Temkin	6
5	Opening Remarks	
6	Stephen Hahn	11
7	Tara Koslov	23
8	FDA Licensure Process and U.S.	
9	Biosimilar Markets	
10	Eva Temkin	33
11	Christine Simmon	39
12	Molly Burich	
13	Surya Singh	
14	Moderated Panel Discussion	44
15	Meredyth Andrus	
16	FDA and FTC Approaches to Help Ensure	
17	Truthful and Non-Misleading Advertising and	
18	Promotional Communications	
19	Dominic Cirincione	80
20	Richard Cleland	91
21	Moderated Panel Discussion	98
22	Lowell Schiller	

Page 4

1	C O N T E N T S (continued)	
2	AGENDA ITEM	PAGE
3	Improving Stakeholder Engagement:	
4	Education and Understanding	
5	Cheryl Koehn	177
6	Sameer Awsare	185
7	Michele Andwele	191
8	Hillel Cohen	197
9	Moderated Panel Discussion	206
10	Sarah Ikenberry	
11	Elizabeth Jex	
12	Biosimilar Disparagement as an Antivirus or	
13	Consumer Protection Cause of Action	
14	Michael Carrier	214
15	Rebecca Tushnet	218
16	Moderated Panel Discussion	225
17	Randall Weinstein	
18	Richard Cleland	
19		
20		
21		
22		

<p>1 C O N T E N T S (continued)</p> <p>2 AGENDA ITEM PAGE</p> <p>3 Open Public Comment 255</p> <p>4 Sarah Ikenberry</p> <p>5 Eva Temkin</p> <p>6 Catherine Gray</p> <p>7 Antara Dutta</p> <p>8 Armine Black</p> <p>9 Closing Remarks</p> <p>10 Catherine Gray 328</p> <p>11</p> <p>12</p> <p>13</p> <p>14</p> <p>15</p> <p>16</p> <p>17</p> <p>18</p> <p>19</p> <p>20</p> <p>21</p> <p>22</p>	<p>Page 5</p> <p>Page 7</p> <p>1 during our time today.</p> <p>2 First, there has to be availability of</p> <p>3 biosimilars, that is developers make choices to</p> <p>4 develop and seek approval of biosimilars; second,</p> <p>5 there has to be awareness of biosimilar products</p> <p>6 and their promise for patients and from healthcare</p> <p>7 provider communities; and third, we need adoption.</p> <p>8 License holders need to bring biosimilars to market</p> <p>9 and people need to use them.</p> <p>10 Navigating these three steps successfully</p> <p>11 requires a competitive marketplace and fair</p> <p>12 business practices. Done right, it can ultimately</p> <p>13 result in access for more patients to important</p> <p>14 biologic therapies.</p> <p>15 Before we begin, I also want to make a few</p> <p>16 administrative announcements. First, please</p> <p>17 silence any cell phones or other mobile devices, as</p> <p>18 they may interfere with the audio in the room</p> <p>19 today.</p> <p>20 Second, we ask that all attendees sign in at</p> <p>21 the registration tables outside the meeting room.</p> <p>22 We are a sold-out event, so if you did not</p>
<p>Page 6</p> <p>1 P R O C E E D I N G S</p> <p>2 (9:02 a.m.)</p> <p>3 Welcome - Eva Temkin</p> <p>4 MS. TEMKIN: Good morning. I'm told that</p> <p>5 we're already two minutes behind, so I'm going to</p> <p>6 jump in and get started.</p> <p>7 Welcome to the FDA/FTC Workshop on</p> <p>8 Competitive Marketplace for Biosimilars. I'm Eva</p> <p>9 Temkin. I'm the acting director for policy in</p> <p>10 CDER's Office of Therapeutic Biologics and</p> <p>11 Biosimilars, and I am thrilled to be here to kick</p> <p>12 off what I'm sure will be an exciting and</p> <p>13 informative day.</p> <p>14 The purpose of our workshop today is to</p> <p>15 discuss FDA and FTC's collaborative efforts to</p> <p>16 support appropriate adoption of biosimilars,</p> <p>17 discouraging false and misleading communications</p> <p>18 about biosimilars, and deterring anticompetitive</p> <p>19 behaviors in the biologic product marketplace.</p> <p>20 From my perspective, to improve patient</p> <p>21 access to life-saving therapies, we need to look at</p> <p>22 some key factors that we're going to touch on</p>	<p>Page 8</p> <p>1 preregister to attend but are in this room, you</p> <p>2 might want to head to Room 1504. That's our</p> <p>3 overflow room today. We will be streaming live</p> <p>4 audio and video to this room.</p> <p>5 Third, this Workshop is bringing together</p> <p>6 several speakers from FDA, FTC, and stakeholders</p> <p>7 who may use different terminology and bring</p> <p>8 different perspectives. Please note that views,</p> <p>9 thoughts, and opinions expressed throughout the day</p> <p>10 by any individual are not attributable to any other</p> <p>11 participant.</p> <p>12 This is the most glamorous part of my day.</p> <p>13 The restrooms are located in the lobby past the</p> <p>14 coffee area to the right and down the hallway. And</p> <p>15 finally, copies of today's presentations are</p> <p>16 available upon request.</p> <p>17 Contact information is also available at the</p> <p>18 registration table out in the hall. For media</p> <p>19 inquiries, our press officer today is Jim McKinney.</p> <p>20 If any members of the media are here today, please</p> <p>21 sign in, and if you have questions or are</p> <p>22 interested in speaking about this workshop, please</p>

Page 9

1 contact Jim.

2 There are no rules of evidence for this

3 workshop today, but there are some general

4 procedural rules that I will read very quickly in

5 the hopes of moving things along. Attendees should

6 not interrupt the presentations at any of the

7 planned panels, which will not be taking questions

8 from the audience. There will be an open public

9 comment period at the end of the day once the panel

10 presentations have concluded.

11 This workshop is subject to FDA policy and

12 procedures for electronic media coverage.

13 Representatives of the electronic media are

14 permitted, subject to certain limitations, to

15 videotape, film, or otherwise record today's

16 proceedings.

17 This workshop will also be transcribed, and

18 copies of the transcript can be ordered through the

19 docket or accessed on FDA's website approximately

20 30 days after the workshop. And on that note, I

21 would ask that all of the speakers and panel

22 participants make sure to speak into a microphone

Page 10

1 because the transcriptionist needs us to do that so

2 that the transcription can be accurate.

3 For the open public comment period of our

4 day today, we have approximately 17 speakers

5 registered to speak, and each one of them will be

6 allotted 4 minutes to present.

7 At this point in time, I believe all of the

8 oral presentation time has been allotted to

9 preregistered speakers. If that changes, though, a

10 preregistered speaker doesn't attend or something

11 opens up, there may be an opportunity for

12 additional oral presentations at the end of the

13 workshop. Please sign up at the registration table

14 outside the meeting room if you're interested in

15 doing that by 10 o'clock.

16 We also encourage you to submit to the

17 docket. You can see the Federal Register notice

18 for details on how to submit comments to the

19 docket. And I would say from my perspective, we

20 always review written comments. They're very very

21 helpful, so I really encourage folks to do that.

22 Please submit written comments by April 9, 2020.

Page 11

1 This workshop is being webcast live, however, the

2 webcast is not interactive, so viewers cannot

3 comment or ask questions.

4 With that, it is my great pleasure to

5 introduce FDA Commissioner Hahn. Dr. Hahn came to

6 FDA in December of last year after serving as the

7 chief medical executive at the University of Texas

8 MD Anderson Cancer Center.

9 In just a few short months after coming to

10 FDA, Dr. Hahn has helped bring the FDA and FTC

11 joint statement to life, reinforcing the agency's

12 commitments to taking key steps to reduce gaming of

13 current FDA requirements and coordinating with the

14 Federal Trade Commission to address anticompetitive

15 behavior. Dr. Hahn and Tara Koslov, FTC's chief of

16 staff, will be providing opening remarks for

17 today's workshop. Thank you.

18 (Applause.)

19 Opening Remarks - Stephen Hahn

20 DR. HAHN: Good morning, and thank you, Eva,

21 for that kind introduction. I'm really pleased to

22 see so many of you all joining us today, both

Page 12

1 virtually and in person. This is a really

2 important topic, and I'm especially delighted to

3 welcome to White Oak -- it's far away from downtown

4 D.C., so I appreciate your being here -- Tara

5 Koslov, who's the chief of staff of our partner

6 agency, the Federal Trade Commission.

7 I just want to stop and take a moment here.

8 This is an incredibly important topic. We'll spend

9 a lot of time today talking about it. But I do

10 want to spend a moment to acknowledge those who've

11 lost their lives to the coronavirus outbreak. We

12 very much care about what happens around the world

13 to folks who have been exposed to this and just

14 want to take a moment to acknowledge that.

15 The other thing I'd like to do is to

16 acknowledge the many people at FDA, CDC, HHS, and

17 around the U.S. government who have worked

18 tirelessly, and I can assure you of that, 24/7, to

19 address this outbreak. They are true American

20 heroes in trying to help us address this across the

21 country and the world.

22 The focus of today's meeting is an important

1 one, to discuss the FDA's and FTC's collaborative
2 efforts concerning the biologics marketplace in
3 biosimilars. For those of us who believe in the
4 marketplace, it's really important that the free
5 market work well, and that includes making sure, as
6 my predecessor Dr. Gottlieb had said before, that
7 there are no shenanigans. It's a really important
8 concept, and work together trying to address that
9 issue.

10 We believe that getting more biosimilars,
11 and hopefully interchangeable, on the market will
12 offer great potential and have a positive effect on
13 the American public, both from an availability
14 point of view but also from a cost point of view.

15 Last month, as you know, we signed a joint
16 statement on our collaboration, which outlined our
17 shared goals and objectives and discussed how our
18 agencies will work together to support competitive
19 markets for biological products. This truly is an
20 example of the U.S. government in a transagency
21 fashion working together. It also described key
22 steps we intend to take to address false or

1 adoption of both biosimilar and interchangeable
2 products.
3 On the scientific end, and we are very much
4 a scientific organization at FDA, we are working to
5 support innovation and advance the scientific
6 development of these groundbreaking products.
7 We're also engaged in very close participation, our
8 partnership with FTC, in activities designed to
9 help ensure that healthcare professionals and
10 patients receive truthful and non-misleading
11 information about biological products and to deter
12 anticompetitive behaviors in the marketplace
13 related to them.

14 I came to this job as a provider of cancer
15 care. I can't tell you how important it is that we
16 communicate with patients and providers about this
17 and give them the most accurate information. That
18 will go a long way to ensuring that these products
19 are available to the American public and providers.
20 What these activities have in common is the goal of
21 helping to reduce costs and enhance patient access
22 to these important and potentially life-saving

1 misleading communication by biological product
2 manufacturers. This is not meant to be an us
3 versus them situation, but just so that everyone is
4 on the same level working field and moving forward
5 to provide as much transparent information as
6 possible to developers and the American public.

7 We've also released a draft guidance for
8 industry called Promotional Labeling and
9 Advertising Considerations for Prescription
10 Biological Reference and Biosimilar Products:
11 Questions and Answers. That's a mouthful but
12 really important information contained in that
13 draft guidance. We're expecting to get comments on
14 that, and we'll work with our partners around that,
15 and today we're holding this workshop, the next
16 important step in our collaboration.

17 What the partnership of our two agencies
18 means is that our combined extensive resources and
19 efforts in this area can have a dual focus on both
20 the scientific and the legal fronts. This means we
21 will do everything possible to support a robust
22 market place for biological products, including the

1 products.
2 The development of biologics offers us one
3 of the best examples we have today of the potential
4 offered by unprecedented advances in medical
5 science. What we're seeing across the world, and
6 particularly in the United States, is
7 unprecedented, and we are very much interested in
8 bringing science innovation to the patient bedside
9 for providers and patients alike.

10 These products, which may be produced
11 through biotechnology in a living system, are used
12 to diagnose, prevent, treat, and cure diseases and
13 medical conditions. We've seen enormous progress
14 in this field in a relatively short period of time.
15 We're all working to catch up with that amazing
16 acceleration of innovation, and these products are
17 increasingly playing a central role in the
18 treatment of the many serious and life-threatening
19 diseases. In fact, in some situations, these are
20 the only products available to treat patients with
21 life-threatening situations.

22 So there's an urgent unmet medical need for

Page 17

1 us to do as much as we can in this sphere, and that
 2 will likely continue to grow, and we certainly hope
 3 it does grow. Last year, we approved
 4 10 biosimilars. That makes a total since 2015 of
 5 26 for 9 different related reference products; and
 6 in the early months of 2020, we have continued to
 7 see strong momentum. Congress recognized this
 8 promise 10 years ago, and to support it, passed the
 9 Biologics Competition and Innovation Act.

10 Just as a brief moment here, we know from
 11 the generic space, the prescription side, the drug
 12 side, that the more generics we have
 13 available -- and I'm making a relationship between
 14 generics and biosimilars, and I realize the
 15 translation isn't a hundred percent correct. But
 16 we know when we introduce generics on the drug side
 17 that we significantly reduce costs, so let me give
 18 you a few facts about this.

19 If one generic is introduced to a reference
 20 product, on average, that reduces the price of that
 21 product by about 35-36 percent. If we introduce up
 22 to 6 generics in a product space, that can reduce

Page 18

1 the price of those products by as much as
 2 95 percent. We're hoping to get the same sort of
 3 scale and approach in the biosimilar and certainly
 4 in the interchangeable space. The more we can do
 5 in this area, the better it's going to be for
 6 competition and choice for the American people.

7 We think, and our estimates are, that over
 8 the last decade, competition in the generic space
 9 has saved Americans in the healthcare system more
 10 than a trillion dollars, and we need to get working
 11 to have this occur in the biosimilar space and
 12 interchangeable space as well.

13 Biologics account for a disproportionate
 14 amount of the overall spending of prescription
 15 drugs. They're 2 percent of the total of
 16 prescription drugs but account for, by our
 17 estimates, 40 percent of the cost of prescription
 18 drugs.

19 Where we are right now is where we were
 20 before in the prescription drug side of the house
 21 and, again, the more we do work on the biosimilar
 22 interchangeable side, the better it's going to be

Page 19

1 for the American public, again, with choice and
 2 competition. We've taken Congress' goal to heart
 3 and are doing everything, particularly with our
 4 great partners at FTC, to increase accessibility
 5 and help Americans realize the promise of
 6 biosimilars.

7 We're already making some significant
 8 strides, but we have more work to do, and we
 9 realize that, and we're always looking for ways to
 10 improve. We've improved the efficiency of the
 11 biosimilar and interchangeable product development
 12 approval process.

13 Across the agency we're looking at this.
 14 How do we make it more efficient? How do we make
 15 it easier for developers to provide the information
 16 to us? How do we on our end make it easier for our
 17 reviewers so that the number of review cycles goes
 18 down and the process and the timeline for approval
 19 goes down as well?

20 We are maintaining our gold standard of
 21 safety and efficacy, but we definitely want to
 22 maximize efficiency and want to provide as much

Page 20

1 regulatory clarity for developers as possible.
 2 We're also doing our best to try to strengthen
 3 effective communications with the American public,
 4 providers, and with innovators.

5 The last point has special relevance for our
 6 partnership with FTC, and I want to focus on that
 7 for a moment. We know that a free market, as I
 8 mentioned before, and enhanced competition supports
 9 increased innovation, so it has the virtuous effect
 10 of not only helping in terms of decreasing prices
 11 as we've seen on the generic side, but also
 12 stimulating further innovation. But for it to be a
 13 free market and a fair market, it has to absolutely
 14 be free.

15 Unfortunately, since the earliest stages of
 16 the development of the biologics market, there have
 17 been obstacles to increase competition. That's not
 18 okay. We've seen efforts by manufacturers to delay
 19 competition for biosimilar products and we've seen
 20 the publication of materials that seem designed to
 21 create uncertainty about biosimilars and discourage
 22 patients and healthcare providers from using them.

Page 21

1 These behaviors have the potential to put
 2 innovation at risk, erode public confidence in the
 3 product, weaken efforts to lower healthcare costs
 4 through competition, and ultimately undermine
 5 advances in healthcare, as potential treatments and
 6 cures are unavailable or go unrealized. At FDA, as
 7 at FTC, we are very committed to empowering the
 8 American consumer and the American provider, and we
 9 must do more in that area.

10 To counter these activities, we've taken a
 11 number of actions from the creation of the
 12 biosimilar product development program to a public
 13 education campaign that you all know about.

14 Our collaboration with the FTC is the next
 15 step in our efforts to end these types of
 16 counter-productive activities; and, Tara, I want to
 17 thank you and Commissioner Simons for the terrific
 18 work that you've done in partnership with us. It
 19 will help and support and ensure an environment in
 20 which biosimilars can fulfill their promise and
 21 reach the patients who need them because the market
 22 is a competitive and fair one.

Page 22

1 The FDA, as I mentioned, is a science-based
 2 organization and data-driven, and our work is
 3 premised on the understanding that decisions must
 4 be based on good data and sound science. In this
 5 way, we can promote innovation and support the
 6 development of new treatments and cures. But this
 7 activity must be conducted on a fair playing field
 8 that the patients and our public and our providers
 9 depend upon.

10 Our collaboration with FTC, as I've
 11 mentioned, is designed to help ensure this, and I
 12 very much want to congratulate FTC in all they've
 13 done. Today's meeting, as I mentioned, is that
 14 next step and, again, really appreciate the
 15 partnership with FTC.

16 So on that note, it's my great pleasure to
 17 introduce the chief of staff of FTC, Tara Koslov.
 18 Ms. Koslov has served as Chairman Simon's chief of
 19 staff since he was sworn in as chairman of FTC on
 20 May 1, 2018. She has worked on healthcare
 21 competition matters throughout her 23-year career
 22 at FTC. That's really impressive staying power.

Page 23

1 Thank you for your service to the American
 2 people, including on biologics and biosimilars.
 3 Just in our brief conversation, I know you feel so
 4 passionate about this subject. Prior to her
 5 position as chief of staff, Ms. Koslov was acting
 6 director of the Office of Policy Planning. She is
 7 a graduate of Harvard Law and Brown University.
 8 Ladies and gentlemen, Tara Koslov. Thank
 9 you.

10 (Applause.)

11 Opening Remarks - Tara Koslov

12 MS. KOSLOV: Good morning, everyone. I'm
 13 delighted to join Commissioner Hahn in welcoming
 14 you all here today, and on behalf of FTC, Chairman
 15 Simon, he truly regrets not being able to be here
 16 with us today, which is why you get me instead.
 17 But as Commissioner Hahn mentioned, I have long
 18 worked on these issues, and I am indeed passionate
 19 about them. So I'm pleased to be here representing
 20 my agency.

21 Let me begin with a few thank yous. This
 22 workshop is part of the decades-long collaboration

Page 24

1 between the Federal Trade Commission and the FDA to
 2 promote competitive markets for pharmaceuticals.
 3 Today, our focus is on biologics markets and what
 4 can be done to spark competition for these
 5 innovative new treatments.

6 I would like to thank former FDA
 7 Commissioner Scott Gottlieb for initiating this
 8 joint agency effort and Commissioner Hahn for
 9 continuing it. I would also like to thank the FDA
 10 for hosting this workshop and the many FDA and FTC
 11 staff who made this workshop happen. An incredible
 12 amount of work went into planning and executing
 13 this event. As someone who has done plenty of
 14 events at the FTC, I know exactly what goes into
 15 putting together something like this, and I'm very
 16 grateful for everyone's efforts.

17 Biologics, as we all know, our innovative
 18 treatments for serious and life-threatening
 19 diseases like cancer, diabetes, and Crohn's
 20 disease. Often biologics are the only effective
 21 treatments for these diseases, but biologics can be
 22 very expensive, some costing tens of thousands and

Page 25

1 others costing millions of dollars. Total U.S.
 2 spending on biologics is growing rapidly and
 3 reached \$125.5 billion in 2018.
 4 I'm going to provide the FTC's perspective
 5 as a competition and consumer protection
 6 enforcement agency. As many in this room already
 7 know, the FTC has a broad mission to protect
 8 consumers and promote competition by preventing
 9 anticompetitive, deceptive, and unfair business
 10 practices.
 11 Because of the critical role competition
 12 plays in reducing prices and fostering innovation,
 13 the FTC has long been interested in promoting
 14 competition in pharmaceutical markets.
 15 One way the FTC does this is by conducting
 16 industry studies. More than 40 years ago, for
 17 example, the FTC published a report on state laws
 18 that prevented pharmacists from substituting
 19 generics for branded drugs.
 20 The FTC concluded that these laws imposed
 21 substantial unwarranted costs on consumers by
 22 unduly restricting price competition between

Page 27

1 against brand and generic drug manufacturers
 2 seeking to gain the Hatch-Waxman process by
 3 entering into anticompetitive reverse payment
 4 agreements.
 5 The agency's victories include a landmark
 6 decision by the Supreme Court in *FTC v. Actavis*,
 7 holding that such agreements can create antitrust
 8 liability. We've also seen favorable
 9 interpretations of activists in other federal
 10 courts and sweeping settlements that prevent major
 11 manufacturers from entering into anticompetitive
 12 reverse payment agreements.
 13 Perhaps as a result of these successes, the
 14 number of potentially anticompetitive reverse
 15 payment agreements has dropped precipitously.
 16 The FTC's experience with pharmaceuticals
 17 also extends to the biologics industry. In fact,
 18 the FTC brought its first enforcement action
 19 involving a biologic almost 30 years ago. More
 20 recently, the FTC provided technical assistance as
 21 Congress developed the abbreviated pathway for
 22 approval of biosimilars.

Page 26

1 generic and branded drugs. These findings helped
 2 pave the way for now familiar state laws that allow
 3 automatic substitution of a generic for the brand.
 4 Similarly, a 2002 commission study on
 5 generic drug entry recommended the brand name
 6 companies and generic applicants, settling patent
 7 litigation under the provisions of the Hatch-Waxman
 8 Act, should be required to submit those settlements
 9 to the FTC.
 10 This recommendation was incorporated into
 11 the Medicare Modernization Act of 2003 and is now
 12 the primary means by which the FTC learns about
 13 potentially anticompetitive patent settlements
 14 between brand and generic drug manufacturers.
 15 Following the 2018 amendments to the Medicare
 16 Modernization Act, the FTC now also obtains and
 17 reviews patent settlement agreements involving
 18 biologics and biosimilars.
 19 Another way the FTC promotes competition in
 20 pharmaceutical markets is by vigorously combating
 21 anticompetitive conduct. Notably, the commission
 22 has a long record of successful enforcement actions

Page 28

1 In 2008 when Congress was weighing options
 2 for an abbreviated pathway, the House Committee on
 3 Energy and Commerce requested, and the FTC
 4 provided, lessons learned from Hatch-Waxman to help
 5 structure the new pathway, and in 2009, the FTC
 6 testified before Congress about a follow-on
 7 biologic drug competition to inform the debate on
 8 the legislation that became the abbreviated
 9 pathway.
 10 As an aside, the commissioner who provided
 11 that testimony at the time was actually the
 12 commissioner I was working for at the time as her
 13 attorney advisor, which shows you how far back my
 14 involvement goes in these issues. So it's kind of
 15 nice to come full circle.
 16 Competition between reference biologics and
 17 biosimilars is just as important as competition
 18 between brand and generic small molecule drugs.
 19 Biosimilars, which are as safe and effective as
 20 their reference biologics, hold the promise of
 21 reducing price, and therefore increasing access to
 22 these treatments. This is because when given a

Page 29

1 choice between two highly similar products,
 2 well-informed consumers typically choose the less
 3 expensive option.
 4 This competition in turn drives prices down,
 5 but competition only works when consumers have
 6 reliable and truthful information. In some
 7 instances, statements from reference biologic
 8 manufacturers and the groups they fund may mislead
 9 patients and physicians into believing the
 10 biosimilar is not as safe or as effective as the
 11 reference biologic. Such deception might violate
 12 both consumer protection laws and antitrust laws.
 13 On the consumer protection front, while the
 14 FTC generally supports comparative advertising,
 15 that advertising must be truthful and not
 16 misleading. Advertising that creates an impression
 17 of clinically meaningful differences between a
 18 reference biologic and its biosimilar is likely
 19 false or misleading, and therefore would constitute
 20 an unfair or deceptive practice.
 21 Similarly from an antitrust perspective,
 22 maintaining or growing share by deceiving patients

Page 30

1 and physicians about competitors offerings is not
 2 competition on the merits. It also erects
 3 artificial barriers to entry and creates costs for
 4 biosimilar manufacturers who have to counter the
 5 deception. Such deception, therefore, likely would
 6 constitute an unfair method of competition.
 7 The FTC is committed to taking appropriate
 8 enforcement action against false or misleading
 9 communications involving biologics and biosimilars,
 10 but the FTC's enforcement priorities in this
 11 industry extend beyond deceptive conduct. The FTC
 12 will also seek to deter behavior that impedes
 13 access to samples needed to develop generics and
 14 biosimilars.
 15 For example, just this past January, the FTC
 16 brought its first case alleging a restrictive
 17 distribution scheme that anticompetitively blocked
 18 competition for a small molecule drug. The FTC
 19 will also continue to review patent settlement
 20 agreements involving biologics and biosimilars for,
 21 among other things, anticompetitive reverse payment
 22 agreements.

Page 31

1 In closing, I want to reiterate the
 2 importance of the more than 65-year history of
 3 collaboration between the FTC and the FDA. I
 4 believe this collaboration has benefited American
 5 consumers in untold ways, but most concretely by
 6 making safe and effective treatments more widely
 7 available and at a lower price.
 8 On behalf of Chairman Simon and the FTC, I
 9 thank the FDA for its critical support of the FTC's
 10 investigations and industry studies, and we look
 11 forward to continuing this legacy of collaboration.
 12 Thank you all for your time this morning. I'm sure
 13 you will all have a very productive and engaging
 14 day. Thanks.
 15 (Applause.)
 16 MS. ANDRUS: Good morning. My name is
 17 Meredyth Andrus. I'm an attorney in the healthcare
 18 division of the Bureau of Competition at the
 19 Federal Trade Commission. This first panel we put
 20 together are some experts in the field to discuss
 21 the development and licensure of biologics and
 22 biosimilars and the post-approval uptake process.

Page 32

1 I'm going to let all of the panelists
 2 introduce themselves. The way we will conduct this
 3 panel is there will be two brief presentations by
 4 Christine and by Eva, and then we will go through a
 5 series of questions and answers that we have
 6 prepared. So without further ado, let's jump in
 7 and let's do some introductions first.
 8 Surya?
 9 DR. SINGH: Hi. Thank you for having me.
 10 I'm Surya Singh. I'm an independent consultant, an
 11 internist by training, and former chief medical
 12 officer of the Specialty Pharmacy at CVS/Aetna.
 13 I've been interested in these issues for a long
 14 time, so thanks again.
 15 MS. BURICH: Hi. Molly Burich, director of
 16 public policy at Boehringer Ingelheim.
 17 MS. SIMMON: Hi. Christine Simmon,
 18 executive director of the Biosimilars Council,
 19 which is a division of the Association of
 20 Accessible Medicines, which represents generics and
 21 biosimilar manufacturers.
 22 MS. TEMKIN: Hi. Again, Eva Temkin. I am

Page 33

1 the policy director for the Office of Therapeutic
 2 Biologics and Biosimilars.
 3 MS. ANDRUS: Why don't we start with Eva,
 4 who will kick it off for us with a short
 5 presentation.
 6 Presentation - Eva Temkin
 7 MS. TEMKIN: Sure, with the goal of making
 8 all of you sick of me before 10 a.m.
 9 I have a short presentation that I'm going
 10 to walk through. I started with this slide because
 11 I thought it was an interesting perspective. We
 12 often hear, as we just did, parallels drawn between
 13 the promise of biosimilars and that of generic
 14 drugs, and many of the challenges, I think, may be
 15 parallel to including allegations of
 16 anticompetitive behavior and what to do about them,
 17 which is why we're all here today.
 18 To kick it off, though, I want to talk a
 19 little bit about terminology and regulatory
 20 framework just so that we can all be in the same
 21 place. What this slide lays out is essentially we
 22 have two pathways for bringing biological products

Page 34

1 to market,, 351(a) of the Public Health Service
 2 Act, which is for stand-alone or reference
 3 biologics, which are approved based on a
 4 demonstration that the proposed product is safe,
 5 pure, and potent, also known as safe and effective
 6 in some camps. Then we have the 351(k) pathway,
 7 which is the abbreviated pathway to licensure for
 8 biosimilar and interchangeable products.
 9 Now, these pathways, again, parallel what
 10 happens in the small molecule world, but they're
 11 different by design. Heterogeneity across all
 12 biological products is expected. That's why we
 13 have the standard that we have for biosimilarity.
 14 What is that standard? Well, I've put up
 15 the definition, and I know there are a lot of words
 16 on this slide, but I really want to focus on and
 17 highlight actually what's at the bottom.
 18 When we're talking about biosimilars, we're
 19 talking about products that have been demonstrated
 20 to be highly similar to the reference products and
 21 to have no clinically meaningful differences from
 22 those reference products. This is not a

Page 35

1 re-establishment of safety and effectiveness; it's
 2 a demonstration of the relationship between the
 3 proposed product and the reference product.
 4 Once approved, we have a biosimilar product,
 5 and the labeling will include relevant data and
 6 information from the reference product labeling;
 7 although notably, biosimilar product labeling may
 8 differ from reference product labeling for a
 9 variety of reasons, and we can talk about that a
 10 little bit more if it is useful for the discussion.
 11 As an example, I think it's helpful to note
 12 that a biosimilar applicant can seek licensure for
 13 fewer than all of the indications for which a
 14 reference product is approved, so that's an example
 15 of where the labeling may differ.
 16 The approved biosimilar is expected to be
 17 safe and effective just like the reference product
 18 in patients who are treatment experienced, that is
 19 in treatment with a reference product, or treatment
 20 naive, that is they haven't yet been treated with
 21 any product or with the reference product at all.
 22 What does this demonstration mean? I wanted

Page 36

1 to touch briefly on the data requirements. For
 2 demonstrating biosimilarity, we have a fair bit of
 3 guidance out in the world on this, and I'm happy to
 4 talk about it at great length, but I will endeavor
 5 to do so in one slide and one minute, essentially.
 6 Essentially, we have a stepwise approach to
 7 generating data to support a demonstration of both
 8 similarity, and what the picture does is attempt to
 9 demonstrate that the analytical similarity data,
 10 the comparative analytical data that we're looking
 11 at in a biosimilar application, is really the
 12 foundation of the analysis and the demonstration of
 13 biosimilarity.
 14 At each step, we take stock and we evaluate
 15 what residual uncertainty might be remaining, and
 16 we move on to the next step of data generation. So
 17 ultimately, the nature and scope of clinical
 18 studies will depend on the extent of residual
 19 uncertainty that remains after analytical
 20 assessment and to the extent, relevant animal
 21 studies.
 22 Generally, we consider all of these pieces

Page 37

1 of data together in the totality of evidence
 2 approach to evaluating the biosimilarity, but we
 3 generate the data typically stepwise in this way.
 4 Then we have interchangeability. 351(k) has
 5 both biosimilarity and interchangeability in it.
 6 An interchangeable product is defined actually in
 7 Section 351(i) of the Public Health Service Act as
 8 a product that can be substituted for the reference
 9 product without the intervention of the healthcare
 10 provider, and I think we'll talk a lot, both in
 11 this panel and over the course of the day, about
 12 what that means and the importance of
 13 interchangeability.
 14 I wanted to make sure that we included a
 15 little bit about the additional data requirements
 16 that we typically look for -- well, that we hope to
 17 typically look for. We don't have licensed
 18 interchangeables at this point.
 19 We do have final guidance on demonstrating
 20 interchangeability, which is where this can all be
 21 found, but essentially it's still a totality of the
 22 evidence approach.

Page 38

1 We're still talking about stepwise data
 2 generation, but we do require for an
 3 interchangeable, the statute requires that the
 4 proposed product be demonstrated, that it will be
 5 expected to have the same clinical result as the
 6 reference product in any given patient, and that
 7 there won't be an increased risk either in safety
 8 or in reduced effectiveness from switching back and
 9 forth between the reference product and the
 10 proposed interchangeable.
 11 So that's a lot of words about regulatory
 12 standards. I wanted to close by circling back to
 13 how enthusiastic we are about biosimilars and
 14 interchangeables and how excited we are about the
 15 potential for these products to really enhance
 16 patient access.
 17 We at the FDA have and continue to play a
 18 critical role in facilitating access to biosimilars
 19 and hopefully interchangeables some time in the
 20 soon future. We have 76 development programs
 21 referencing 38 reference products, and we're
 22 feeling pretty good about the promise of

Page 39

1 biosimilars as we go into this exciting day.
 2 Presentation - Christine Simmon
 3 MS. SIMMON: Great. Thank you, Eva, and
 4 good morning to everyone. I actually want to start
 5 with my second slide because it's a Monday, so that
 6 fits.
 7 I think, as was mentioned this morning,
 8 there are now 26 biosimilars approved by the FDA,
 9 which is very exciting. I think we should all take
 10 a moment to bask in that. Twenty-six. Many of us
 11 have sat in this room, many, many, many, many
 12 times, at ADCOM meetings and public workshops
 13 around biosimilars, and here we are with 26
 14 approved.
 15 This slide indicates the 15 that are on the
 16 market. Think about the 26 that are approved.
 17 There's the five-year anniversary of the FDA's
 18 approval of Sandoz's Zarxio just this week or last
 19 week maybe. The most recent biosimilar to reach
 20 the market a couple of weeks ago is the third,
 21 Herceptin, which I think, as Commissioner Hahn
 22 mentioned this morning, with greater competition

Page 40

1 and multiple products in the marketplace, you start
 2 to see increased access and savings for patients,
 3 which is of course all of our mission here.
 4 So that's very exciting. Yet, if 15 of the
 5 26 approved are marketed, that obviously means that
 6 there are 11 --
 7 (Brief pause.)
 8 MS. SIMMON: Well, what it will show, when
 9 we see it, are the 11 that are not yet
 10 approved -- excuse me, not yet marketed.
 11 I think as we talk about biosimilars here in
 12 2020, we have every reason to be optimistic. But
 13 there's a difference between being an optimist and
 14 being a cockeyed optimist. I think that we do have
 15 to be mindful of the challenges that we still
 16 face -- where we can have a slide, and I promise
 17 you a different slide --
 18 (Laughter.)
 19 MS. SIMMON: -- that has 11 that are not on
 20 the market. So let's try to go backwards.
 21 (Technical difficulty.)
 22 MS. TEMKIN: This is not FDA trying to avoid

Page 41

1 talking about the biosimilars that have not been
2 marketed; I promise.
3 MS. SIMMON: I'm going to put the really
4 optimistic slide up, and that's the one that made
5 it. This is the middle slide of three, and the
6 prior slide talks about the challenges that we're
7 going to talk about somewhat today.
8 There are some challenges, obviously, around
9 biosimilars, and we want to focus on those so that
10 we can reach the point of cockeyed optimism. You
11 think about these in a couple of different
12 categories, the challenges around development and
13 then the challenges to a viable and competitive
14 biosimilars market.
15 Ha! There they are, the ones not yet
16 marketed, but we've so moved on from that, but
17 thank you.
18 (Laughter.).
19 MS. SIMMON: The challenges around
20 biosimilar development, you can think of those
21 around the clinical studies, particularly the
22 bridging and the confirmatory studies in phase 3.

Page 42

1 All these are very costly studies and very
2 necessary to achieve the FDA designation of "no
3 clinically meaningful differences."
4 But as you look at the cost to develop
5 biosimilars, and ways to work on the bridging, and
6 think about what's really necessary in terms of
7 clinical studies -- which I do think the FDA is
8 looking at hard and has been helpful and somewhat
9 flexible in their guidance, particularly in the
10 insulin guidance which came out, which was very
11 helpful in its flexibility -- these are things we
12 want to continue to examine.
13 Obviously patent abuses and patent thickets,
14 these are critically important to combat in order
15 to get biosimilars to the market and through the
16 development process. When you look at the market
17 itself, exclusionary contracting practices has been
18 a lot in the news of late. This is, again,
19 something that's stymieing the ability of a
20 biosimilar to get on the formulary and get to the
21 market.
22 Similarly, the rebate trap, I think we're

Page 43

1 all familiar with that. We're familiar with the
2 lawsuits that have been filed around that. I know
3 today we'll be talking about misinformation. I
4 think misinformation is a really broad category.
5 You can have explicit misinformation, which
6 the agency, FDA, is addressing, and FTC, in their
7 guidance document around communication, but also
8 implicit misinformation, which we at the
9 Biosimilars Council would argue includes current
10 policies around naming and even the very existence
11 of the interchangeability designation, which of
12 course is part of the statute but is also unique to
13 the United States.
14 Finally, reimbursement and formulary
15 replacement issues we may not get to today but,
16 again, are very important. Really, more under the
17 purview of the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid
18 Services, they did, in their most recent draft call
19 letter, seek to potentially address this through
20 the potential for a preferred and non-preferred
21 tiering system in the specialty category, which
22 would be useful for biosimilars.

Page 44

1 So again, optimism, somewhere between
2 cautious and cockeyed. I think that we have a lot
3 of good things to discuss, so I look forward to the
4 questions. Thank you.
5 Panel Discussion - Meredyth Andrus
6 MS. ANDRUS: Thank you, Eva and Christine.
7 The first question we have involves the
8 development of biosimilars. Every single year, for
9 the past four or five years, there have been
10 increasing numbers of approved biosimilars, but
11 there still are questions and uncertainty.
12 Are there any areas where the FDA could
13 provide additional guidance that would be helpful
14 to manufacturers, to consumers, and to healthcare
15 providers?
16 MS. TEMKIN: I can jump in for a second.
17 Some of you have probably heard me talk about a
18 white board that I have in my office, which has a
19 list of policy development projects and guidance
20 development projects that we hope to undertake, and
21 that that list is constantly shifting in priority.
22 But what we do know -- and I point to the

Page 45

1 biosimilars action plan that the agency put out in
2 the summer of 2018, I guess.
3 The whole point here is to provide
4 additional clarity and certainty and to help with
5 efficiency in biosimilar development to support
6 biosimilar development. That biosimilar action
7 plan includes FTC collaboration and a lot of this
8 work that we're doing, but it also includes areas
9 of additional guidance, and reviewing our
10 regulations, and modernizing those, and a lot of
11 big ticket projects that we have been undertaking
12 and continue to undertake.
13 All of that by way of background, it's super
14 useful from my perspective to hear what folks need,
15 what industry needs, and what people in the world
16 outside of the agency are thinking as priorities
17 for additional clarity, so we certainly would
18 appreciate hearing those thoughts. I'm sure we'll
19 hear some of them during the open comment period as
20 well.
21 MS. ANDRUS: The United States is the only
22 major jurisdiction worldwide with an

Page 46

1 interchangeable designation for biosimilars. As
2 demonstrated with the recent draft insulin
3 immunogenicity guidance, FDA has significant
4 flexibility in interpreting the statute as well as
5 the authority to issue product class-specific
6 guidance.
7 Two questions: Does the designation still
8 hold value here in the United States, and does FDA
9 have a role to play in determining that?
10 MS. BURICH: I'll start on that. I think
11 the answer to does the designation of
12 interchangeability hold value in the U.S., the
13 answer is yes, although it depends on the product
14 and it depends on whether the product is, in fact,
15 physician administered, a medical benefit product,
16 or a pharmacy benefit product.
17 The reason why that's meaningful is, as Eva
18 noted earlier, the primary draw of
19 interchangeability is that automatic substitution
20 that can occur at the pharmacy level. In other
21 words, if you don't have that pharmacy interaction,
22 whether retail or specialty, interchangeability

Page 47

1 doesn't have a whole lot of significance if there
2 isn't that pharmacist interaction.
3 As Christine's slides noted, the number of
4 approved products, all 15 of those products, are
5 medical-benefit, physician-administered products.
6 I think that's an important piece of context around
7 why interchangeability continues to be talked
8 about, but we haven't seen it yet. It's really, in
9 part, because of the type of products that
10 interchangeability is relevant to. And while we
11 have several approved self-administered
12 biosimilars, we have none that are launched and
13 won't be launched for the next couple of years.
14 So we still have some time until we see an
15 interchangeable potentially come to market and
16 until we see the products where interchangeability
17 has value in terms of that pharmacist interaction.
18 We still have a little ways to go until we get
19 there.
20 MS. SIMMON: I would just add, again, that
21 it is important and helpful to have
22 product-specific guidance on interchangeability, as

Page 48

1 we did see in the case of insulin. Also, we look
2 forward to FDA making more of a determination
3 around what the name of an interchangeable product
4 will be. It's really not clear. We know that
5 transition insulins won't have a suffix, so it
6 could be that there might be special considerations
7 for interchangeables, and we'll want to hear more
8 about that.
9 On the point Molly made, interchangeability
10 is more important, in some ways, at the retail
11 pharmacy setting and when it becomes part of the
12 Part D benefit and you see it more frequently. We
13 have heard from pharmacists that they might not be
14 comfortable doing the switching if the name is
15 going to be something that's going to be different
16 and confusing for them and their patients.
17 Of course there are state laws. We've made
18 a lot of progress in amending the state laws to
19 account for interchangeable biosimilars, but there
20 may be some state laws that still have to be
21 addressed.
22 DR. SINGH: One quick comment about the

Page 49

1 products that have launched already in terms of the
 2 complexity of the competition, I think in the case
 3 of infliximab, where you have actually both medical
 4 and pharmacy adjudicated and the presence of both
 5 benefits being used, it introduces a whole other
 6 area, again, of a marketplace complexity.
 7 The deals that get arranged and the
 8 influence of rebate bundling, competition, and the
 9 application of formulary that can be run
 10 cross-benefit is very splintered to the marketplace
 11 right now and different by health plan and PBM.
 12 So you have that presence as well as the
 13 medical benefit side, and that makes it even more
 14 complicated, which I'll return to when we come to
 15 the latter questions.
 16 MS. ANDRUS: There are, as we saw, 26
 17 approved biosimilars in the United States, but only
 18 15 are actively marketed. What might explain why
 19 the other 11 are not actively marketed?
 20 DR. SINGH: I can start, and I'm sure others
 21 have comments about this, too, because it's sort of
 22 a central theme.

Page 50

1 Thinking back to the slides that Christine
 2 showed, and she articulated this well, I think I'll
 3 just elaborate a little bit. The first one, before
 4 I get to some of the purely marketplace commercial
 5 issues, is the idea of the patent thickets. I
 6 think there's a paper in JAMA last year -- and this
 7 is publicly available information, so I'll quote a
 8 couple of statistics.
 9 Adalimumab as an example has over a hundred
 10 patents. Eighty-nine percent of them were filed
 11 after the original launch of that medication. So
 12 you just think about that and think about the
 13 barrier to having a biosimilar for that particular
 14 medication, or any others into the marketplace,
 15 it's certainly a lot more complicated to wade
 16 through that patent thicket, if you will, to use
 17 that terminology that's taken over the market.
 18 The second and third are really interrelated
 19 about the other 11 and why they haven't launched.
 20 They're both commercial and contracting issues.
 21 One is that the same manufacturers, or sometimes
 22 marketplace arrangements between manufacturers, are

Page 51

1 involved in both the innovator, if you will, the
 2 originator biologic, and the biosimilar.
 3 So the contracting, especially the big
 4 consolidated procurers of drugs on the specialty
 5 pharmacy side of the market and the way that the
 6 contracting happens, there's a relationship between
 7 the contracting for those new biosimilars and the
 8 originator biologic that are very hard to
 9 disentangle.
 10 That bleeds into the third issue of what was
 11 called before -- I think part of what was
 12 underneath the rebate trap, and you may want to
 13 elaborate on that, is that the rebates in that
 14 particular category may be driven by a bunch of
 15 different factors.
 16 It's different on the medical benefit side,
 17 again, and the pharmacy benefit side. You'll hear
 18 all of us, I think, agree that the issues in
 19 contracting and procurement are pretty unique on
 20 the medical benefit side where the market is much
 21 more splintered.
 22 If you have a few major entities doing

Page 52

1 contracting on behalf of a lot of covered lives on
 2 the pharmacy benefit side, the bundling of
 3 rebates, if you will, across categories, where
 4 there are interdependencies, is much more common
 5 than when you have individual practices or
 6 hospitals and health systems doing the contracting
 7 on the medical benefit side. So that's the other
 8 major issue.
 9 But getting back to the question of why have
 10 some of these other 11 not launched, I think what
 11 I've observed and then heard in a variety of
 12 different forms in the market is that some of the
 13 manufacturers are taking a bit of a wait-and-see
 14 approach, especially in what's going to happen with
 15 the rebate trap or changes structurally, and the
 16 way that rebates are both contracted and then
 17 ultimately invoiced and administered in the market.
 18 It's a dynamic issue.
 19 There was obviously a lot of talk about
 20 removing the anti-kickback protection for rebates
 21 last year and Medicare, and I think a lot of the
 22 manufacturers are just watching the changes that

Page 53

1 may happen structurally very closely before they
 2 make a decision.
 3 MS. SIMMON: Surya, you made a lot of great
 4 points, and I think that's exactly right. A lot of
 5 these issues are clearly around the rebate traps,
 6 the exclusionary contracting, and the cost of
 7 litigation. I would add on to that a couple of
 8 things.
 9 With respect to litigation, we want to
 10 commend the FDA for its recent changes to the
 11 Purple Book, making it more easily searchable
 12 electronically. That's a huge benefit for
 13 biosimilar manufacturers and others.
 14 Of course what would help even more, and we
 15 know this is outside the agency's purview, is to
 16 require patents to be listed for biologics and
 17 reference products in the Purple Book. We support
 18 legislation. There's a bill that's been introduced
 19 by Senator Susan Collins around this called the
 20 Biologic Patent Transparency Act -- it rolls right
 21 off the tongue -- and to ensure that this happens
 22 to foster the potential for development.

Page 54

1 Let's say you're a biosimilar manufacturer
 2 and you get to see patents listed in the Purple
 3 Book. Once you've cleaned up your coffee from
 4 spitting it out when you saw the number of patents
 5 listed, you start to contemplate litigating them.
 6 It's about \$3 million to get through this
 7 litigation, which is a large expense for biosimilar
 8 manufacturers.
 9 Think about that Humira, for example, was
 10 first approved in 2002, and there are 5 biosimilars
 11 approved for it, but none are on the market. We
 12 know that there will be a bunch coming onto the
 13 market but only due to the ability to enter into
 14 patent settlement agreements with AbbVie.
 15 Because of patent settlement agreements,
 16 which in this case are very pro-competitive, these
 17 biosimilars will get to the market 11 years earlier
 18 than might otherwise be possible. This is alluded
 19 to in the introductory remarks. The FTC of course
 20 has been very active and has done a lot to ensure
 21 that patent settlement agreements are
 22 pro-competitive.

Page 55

1 So hopefully we can put to rest the misnomer
 2 of pay-for-delay and think of them as they really
 3 exist, and how much it will help the patients who
 4 might not otherwise receive Humira until 2034
 5 without these settlement agreements.
 6 We continue to work to make sure that
 7 biosimilar manufacturers have the ability to enter
 8 into these settlements and also to use inter partes
 9 review, which is an administrative process to
 10 challenge patents that are constantly under threat.
 11 There are those who seek to exclude pharmaceutical
 12 products from the ability to pursue inter partes
 13 review and settle these patent issues or address
 14 them administratively, which can be more efficient
 15 and less expensive than going through litigation.
 16 These are some of the issues I just wanted
 17 to bring to light as to answer the broad-based
 18 question of why they're not all on the market, in
 19 addition of course to the rebate issues as Surya
 20 pointed out.
 21 MS. ANDRUS: Thanks.
 22 Are there any significant differences in

Page 56

1 uptake rates between biosimilars that are approved
 2 to treat ongoing or chronic conditions and
 3 biosimilars approved to treat acute conditions, and
 4 what might account for that if there are?
 5 DR. SINGH: Yes, I can start here also. I
 6 think the distinction between the acuity or
 7 chronicity of the underlying condition is helpful,
 8 but it draws back into focus the very specific
 9 conditions and the benefit under which they're
 10 adjudicated commonly that were on the list that
 11 Christine presented. I think that distinction has
 12 more impact on what adoption has looked like so far
 13 than the acuity or the chronicity of the underlying
 14 condition.
 15 Just very specifically, now that we have
 16 bevacizumab, trastuzumab, and rituximab biosimilars
 17 on the market, as soon as we have enough data to be
 18 able to really examine what the uptake curves and
 19 the adoption curves have looked like for those
 20 medications, we'll be able to validate what I'm
 21 saying.
 22 I think in the example of the white and red

Page 57

1 cell growth factor biosimilars, the adoption curves
 2 versus infliximab, where I was saying it's been
 3 more complicated because of this dual benefit
 4 approach, that there's a lot of that particular
 5 category for -- just to broaden it a little
 6 bit -- both GI and RA, issues or conditions,
 7 rheumatologic and gastroenterologic conditions,
 8 that the drug treats.

9 The management of it, from both the
 10 utilization management or formulary management
 11 standpoint, has been more complicated because of
 12 that dual benefit approach; whereas on acute or for
 13 a finite period of time, administered medications
 14 purely under medical, again back to the health
 15 system and provider procurement of the medication,
 16 we see a better degree of steeper uptake curve.

17 So I think that's what we're going to see
 18 with bevacizumab, trastuzumab, and rituximab once
 19 the data is available, but that's kind of how I
 20 frame it.

21 MS. SIMMON: I think that's right. You can
 22 sort of put some names to it. You can see that

Page 58

1 systems like Kaiser and smaller health systems that
 2 have integrated delivery networks are going to have
 3 greater uptick for all the products. Large
 4 academic medical centers like Mayo and Johns
 5 Hopkins are not having such rapid uptick of
 6 biosimilars.

7 So it does speak to the financial component
 8 that's at play in these systems, which speaks to
 9 the perniciousness of getting to all the factors
 10 that are influencing biosimilar utilization and
 11 uptake.

12 DR. SINGH: I just wanted to make one other
 13 following comment because you've raised a point,
 14 and I'm not sure if there's another place to say
 15 this. So I just want to make sure that I put it
 16 out there.

17 I think the idea of misinformation or
 18 misleading information influencing prescribers
 19 decisions about biosimilars, I think we've sailed
 20 past that. There's a lot of survey data to support
 21 this but anecdotally also. A lot of leaders of
 22 large multidisciplinary practices, Kaiser included

Page 59

1 but large oncology practices, rheumatology
 2 practices, et cetera, there's no reluctance anymore
 3 to speak of to use biosimilars. Really, the market
 4 is being driven by economics, and I think that's
 5 what's going to dictate the adoption curves that we
 6 see.

7 MS. ANDRUS: So if there's no lingering
 8 reluctance on the part of the physicians and
 9 there's no real difference between acuity and
 10 chronicity, are there any unique characteristics in
 11 any of the therapeutic categories where biosimilars
 12 have been approved and launched that have slowed
 13 uptake?

14 MS. BURICH: I think the points that have
 15 been raised are really important. I think that in
 16 the same way is it acute versus chronic, is it
 17 immunology versus oncology, I think what we see is
 18 the mix of products, the benefits they're covered
 19 under, and it's sort of all of these factors that
 20 are coming together that are making -- while we're
 21 seeing significant strides in the number of
 22 approved products and the number of launched

Page 60

1 products, we still know that uptake in a lot of
 2 areas is lower than we want it to be, both from a
 3 overall outlook of the sustainable nature of the
 4 biosimilar market, but also just to generate
 5 savings at a time when drug pricing is in such
 6 focus within the U.S.

7 So I'm sort of in a similar boat as the
 8 other panelists, which is that I think it's maybe
 9 less about the specifics of the products and more
 10 about where the existing launched products sit
 11 within our system and what some of those dynamics
 12 are. I think that is what is proving to be an
 13 important piece that we haven't quite connected all
 14 the dots to, to get the market moving consistently
 15 across all the products.

16 MS. ANDRUS: Do providers have varying
 17 incentives to use biosimilars or to use the
 18 reference product? Generally speaking, what role
 19 do insurers play in moving share to a biosimilar,
 20 and what is the impact of most biosimilars and
 21 biologics that are dispensed not in a pharmacy
 22 setting but rather in hospitals, and clinics, and

Page 61

1 doctors offices, through a medical benefit plan?
2 DR. SINGH: I can start on this also. The
3 first question in there, I think that provider
4 incentives do vary a lot, so let me just take a
5 step back and give a little bit of a macro picture
6 using some of the specific classes and agents where
7 we have biosimilars in the market already,
8 particularly infliximab.
9 Again, I'll start with the point that when
10 it's purely, or at least let's say 90 percent,
11 adjudicated under the medical benefits, it's a very
12 different picture than when there's a lot of
13 pharmacy benefit involvement.
14 At the inception of white-cell growth
15 factor, the introduction of biosimilars for both
16 filgrastim and pegfilgrastim now, much more of it
17 on a percent basis, if you look at the most recent
18 publicly available reports from IQVIA and others, I
19 think they illustrate the point that there's been
20 more shift towards some white-cell growth factor
21 going through the pharmacy benefit and being
22 adjudicated as a pharmacy drug, then medical over

Page 62

1 time over the last five years.
2 As that's happened, and then we watch what's
3 happened with infliximab, you start to get to the
4 point that the provider incentives -- there's a lot
5 in the word "incentives" there. There's the
6 economic incentive. There's also the ease of
7 administering the same agent and inventorying the
8 same agent in your practice for all patients that
9 you see.
10 The complication has been the action of the
11 other stakeholder group that you mentioned in the
12 second question, is insurers. Insurers have
13 increasingly used their leverage, basically, to be
14 able to say we're only going to allow pharmacy
15 adjudication of some drugs, and we're either going
16 to, quote/unquote, "white bag" or we are going to
17 supply through our affiliated specialty pharmacy or
18 our network specialty pharmacy's drug to a
19 practice.
20 That further complicates this issue of the
21 provider incentive because now the provider, if you
22 put yourself in their shoes, is stuck saying I have

Page 63

1 this inventory of drug that I contracted the best
2 rate possible. I'd like to give it to all
3 patients, but I can't use it for everyone. I'm
4 required to accept drug from a specialty pharmacy
5 and administer to patients and bill just for my
6 services rather than billing for the drug.
7 So the provider incentives vary a lot, and
8 the complications on their business and how they do
9 their drug inventory and all that can't be
10 basically overstated. I mean, it's a huge issue
11 for many of these practices.
12 The last thing I'll say before I give others
13 a chance to comment about the insurer role, there's
14 both the supply chain aspect and then this idea
15 that they're not going to allow providers to
16 contract and bill them for whatever version of
17 drug, the incumbent or the biosimilar, the original
18 biologic or the biosimilar.
19 There's that issue, and they will,
20 quote/unquote, again, "deliver or white bag" drug
21 to the practice, and that's more a common practice
22 now than it was five years ago for sure. I can't

Page 64

1 give you a percentage because it's definitely
2 different region by region.
3 The other issue for insurers is this whole
4 idea of fail first and being able to use
5 utilization management and prior authorization,
6 which is much more streamlined than it was, but
7 much more omnipresent I guess on the medical
8 benefit than it used to be.
9 PA is used on the medical benefit a multiple
10 of where it was five years ago. It's always been
11 used extensively, as I think everyone is probably
12 aware, on specialty pharmacy drugs on the pharmacy
13 benefit, but it's much more common on the medical
14 benefit now as well. So insurers are really using
15 that as a way to drive their preferred product
16 strategy.
17 MS. TEMKIN: Can I ask a little bit of a
18 follow-up question? I'm going off script, so
19 forgive me.
20 Just to tie it back to some of the
21 discussion about interchangeability and where that
22 fits in, how does the avenue of interchangeability

Page 65

1 versus biosimilarity impact some of the incentive
 2 structures, if it does?
 3 MS. BURICH: I think it's a really important
 4 question. I think this is why I think your
 5 question is quite apropos on why this medical
 6 benefit versus pharmacy benefit is such a
 7 significant difference, and therefore impacts the
 8 overall flow of incentives and everything else.
 9 I think when you think about an
 10 interchangeability designation, your physician does
 11 not have the same financial skin in the game as
 12 they do on the medical benefit side because, again,
 13 interchangeability is very likely tied to products
 14 that have that pharmacy interaction, so that
 15 inherently changes the incentive structure because
 16 physicians aren't inventorying and managing the
 17 cost of those drugs.
 18 DR. SINGH: I'm going to paraphrase what you
 19 said. I think that was really good. I think
 20 substitutability, substitution, as a result of
 21 having interchangeable designation for a practice,
 22 when they have all the issues that I was just

Page 66

1 talking about with inventory and so on, they've
 2 already chosen what they're going to procure and
 3 gotten best price on what they're going to procure
 4 and stick in their inventory.
 5 Forget the white bagging that gets sent to
 6 them on a patient-specific basis to get
 7 administered. They've already chosen, and they're
 8 going to prescribe that specific agent. So
 9 interchangeability basically does nothing in that
 10 case.
 11 Under the medical benefit, when you've
 12 chosen what you're going to inventory, and you're
 13 the big practice, and you have 40 sites to manage,
 14 and everybody got shipped out the same version of
 15 pegfilgrastim now, and it's a biosimilar, that's
 16 what they're going to prescribe. It's in their
 17 EMR, it's in the protocols, et cetera.
 18 Flip it over to the pharmacy benefit side,
 19 and now interchangeable really matters because it's
 20 specialty pharmacy. If I'm the specialty pharmacy,
 21 it's only going to ship out what is my preferred
 22 product, and I can only do that if the pharmacist

Page 67

1 in my specialty pharmacy has the right to
 2 substitute. They get the right to substitute,
 3 without having to go back to the provider to, to
 4 the prescriber, if they have the interchangeable
 5 designation.
 6 So on the pharmacy benefit with drugs, it
 7 really matters. You'll see, I think, a completely
 8 different uptake curve, adoption curve, on the
 9 pharmacy benefit because of interchangeable
 10 designation. It'll make virtually no difference on
 11 the medical benefit side.
 12 MS. SIMMON: Just before I move on, I think
 13 we'd be remiss if we didn't talk about some of the
 14 legislative proposals out there around provider
 15 incentives. There is a bill to increase the
 16 reimbursement for providers in Part B from ASP plus
 17 6, the average sales price plus 6 percent of the
 18 reference biologic ASP; to increase that by
 19 2 percent to ASP plus 8. We know the ASP plus 6,
 20 some of the folks are I think wonky in the audience
 21 and know that sequestration impacts that, so it's
 22 not a true plus 6.

Page 68

1 This is interesting and useful, but I think
 2 ultimately a limited opportunity to try to increase
 3 provider incentives. What we support -- many of us
 4 here at the table support, that might have a longer
 5 term benefit -- is the opportunity to do a shared
 6 savings program.
 7 This practice, which is known as gainsharing
 8 in Europe and has had success there, would allow
 9 the provider, and in some cases could be extended
 10 to the patient, to share in the savings that a
 11 biosimilar provides to the Medicare program. So
 12 taxpayers, providers, and patients could benefit
 13 from the shared savings, which would also increase
 14 utilization and uptake of biosimilars.
 15 These are some opportunities, and shared
 16 savings is something that can be done
 17 administratively right now by the administration
 18 via CMS and could also be a legislative proposal
 19 and has been introduced as an amendment to current
 20 legislation and introduced today. I think we're
 21 expecting a bill to be dropped today on that.
 22 So these are some, again, opportunities

Page 69

1 outside the FDA-FTC purview or our ability, but
 2 that could have a significant impact.
 3 MS. ANDRUS: What educational efforts to
 4 support biosimilars have worked well? What
 5 educational efforts are needed to counteract
 6 misinformation being published about biosimilars?
 7 MS. BURICH: I'll start. The materials that
 8 the commissioner referenced earlier have been
 9 tremendously helpful and important for all
 10 stakeholders, physicians, and patients.
 11 I think the materials that have been
 12 developed by the agency are very palatable. They
 13 take complex concepts from A to Z, from biologics
 14 all the way to biosimilars and interchangeables,
 15 and really try to break it down in a way, depending
 16 on where you sit in the chain of using a product,
 17 that you can consume that information in a way
 18 that's reasonable.
 19 While I hate to add to the list of the
 20 FDA -- and I'm looking at Sarah and Eva -- I think
 21 that we do need more education from the FDA. I
 22 think the education that's been developed thus far

Page 70

1 was tremendously helpful.
 2 It also brings a validity and an
 3 impartiality coming from the FDA, and I think we
 4 need to see that specifically around some of the
 5 topics we've already talked about, the
 6 interchangeables, what they are, what they aren't,
 7 where they fit in terms of the product specifics as
 8 we've talked about on this panel, and also where
 9 physician-led switching can and should play an
 10 important role for products that don't and will not
 11 have an interchangeable designation for all the
 12 reasons that we've talked about today.
 13 I think that we've seen a tremendous amount
 14 of resources that the FDA has put out, and we would
 15 love to see a few more that are focused on a few
 16 emerging areas because they are so important to
 17 have that voice and those tools from a trusted and
 18 reliable source like the FDA.
 19 MS. ANDRUS: So we're down to our last
 20 couple of minutes, but I wanted to throw out one
 21 question about what we can learn, lessons learned
 22 both from the generic industry, our experience

Page 71

1 there, and what, if anything, we can learn about
 2 the European experience with biosimilars.
 3 MS. SIMMON: Real quickly, I'll touch on the
 4 parallels to the generic experience. I think we do
 5 see some parallels, but there are significant
 6 differences. When generics were introduced in
 7 1984, there was slow uptake.
 8 I just saw something with one of my
 9 documents from 2006, back before I needed to wear
 10 glasses to read them. I was talking at a
 11 conference saying generics were 56 percent of the
 12 drugs dispensed, so now generics are 90 percent of
 13 the drugs dispensed.
 14 Will we see that with biosimilars? That's
 15 not completely likely, but the uptake did take some
 16 time. There was misinformation. There were the
 17 same efforts to mire generics in patent litigation,
 18 and that goes on today.
 19 So it's sort of the same playbook. Change
 20 can be hard. And while we all applaud and
 21 appreciate innovation, what comes with that is that
 22 some companies go to great lengths to protect their

Page 72

1 ability to charge monopoly prices and prevent
 2 competition. So we will continue to, I think, make
 3 progress in the biosimilars area, and I think we
 4 have already made progress so far, but we'll
 5 continue that.
 6 I think the substitution interchangeability
 7 issue remains one of the thorniest because generics
 8 were always designed to be substitutable and
 9 interchangeable. So that's a difference that we'll
 10 have to continue to work to overcome.
 11 MS. BURICH: I would just say from a
 12 European experience, I think what's probably most
 13 important is that while the European pathway across
 14 the countries of Europe has existed longer than the
 15 United States pathway, the countries across the EU
 16 really took a very active role, in a lot of
 17 different ways, to drive a robust and sustainable
 18 biosimilar market.
 19 You have countries who are implementing
 20 shared savings or gainsharing programs, doing
 21 robust educational dialogue between physicians and
 22 patients, and setting up incentives across the

Page 73

1 supply chain. And again, while the systems that
 2 exist over in Europe look very different than the
 3 systems we have here, there are some important
 4 lessons around market preparedness that we can and
 5 should be implementing now to get this market
 6 moving in a very positive direction to really
 7 generate those savings and improve access.
 8 MS. TEMKIN: I would just add from my
 9 slightly different lens on all of this -- and I was
 10 not here during the early days of the generics.
 11 Don't worry; I was doing something else.
 12 I think the people that are working in the
 13 agency on biosimilars and on these issues have had
 14 a takeaway of the importance of educational
 15 outreach and the importance of engaging market
 16 questions and incentives so that we can understand
 17 and do the best that we can to try to build a
 18 similarly robust structure for our different
 19 products.
 20 DR. SINGH: I guess my one quick
 21 comment -- taking a step back to the macro issue of
 22 trying to use biosimilar introduction and all this

Page 74

1 competition to be able to create some headroom,
 2 basically, to pay for all of the new innovative
 3 treatments within your premium dollar with your
 4 insurance plan -- I have a lot of hope.
 5 I guess parallel to the generics industry,
 6 even though we've harped on and had this refrain
 7 about the difference between the benefits, and even
 8 on the medical side, more competition is better,
 9 it's going to help us drive prices down. It gives
 10 you a better ability. Even though it's splintered
 11 in different health systems, you can procure
 12 differently to drive prices down. So I have a lot
 13 of enthusiasm that we're going to achieve the
 14 long-term goals and create that headroom that I was
 15 just talking about.
 16 MS. ANDRUS: Thank you very much. We thank
 17 our panel, and our next panel will begin at 10:30.
 18 (Applause.)
 19 (Whereupon, at 10:16 a.m., a recess was
 20 taken.)
 21 MR. SCHILLER: Well, good morning. We're
 22 going to begin the panel now on FDA and FTC

Page 75

1 Approaches to Help Ensure Truthful and
 2 Non-Misleading Advertising and Promotional
 3 Communications. I'm Lowell Schiller, and I'm the
 4 principal associate commissioner for policy here at
 5 FDA.
 6 As we've been discussing this morning,
 7 biosimilars can offer significant benefits in terms
 8 of competition and patient access. But for those
 9 benefits to be fully realized, it's critical that
 10 patients, healthcare providers, and others in the
 11 healthcare system have an accurate understanding of
 12 what biosimilars are and aren't and how they fit
 13 into the overall armamentarium of therapeutic
 14 options.
 15 That's why as FDA has been implementing our
 16 biosimilars program, we've made education and
 17 engagement a critical part of our efforts. We also
 18 recognize that sometimes incorrect or misleading
 19 information may be disseminated about drug
 20 products, including biosimilars, and that
 21 misinformation can have negative consequences for
 22 the public adoption of biosimilars, for the public

Page 76

1 health, or both.
 2 For example, if a biosimilar manufacturer
 3 falsely states that its product is identical to the
 4 reference product when in fact it's not, that can
 5 mislead patients, providers, and others. On the
 6 flip side, we've seen troubling examples of
 7 biological reference product manufacturers
 8 disseminating information that could frighten
 9 patients and healthcare providers away from using
 10 biosimilars.
 11 For example, we've seen communications that
 12 could sow seeds of doubt by suggesting to patients
 13 and healthcare providers that biosimilars are less
 14 safe or less effective than their reference
 15 products, or that there may be clinically
 16 meaningful differences between a biosimilar and its
 17 reference product when in fact a biosimilar cannot
 18 be licensed or marketed unless it's first been
 19 established that there are no clinically meaningful
 20 differences from the reference product.
 21 Some of these communications may avoid
 22 making overtly false statements, but even material

Page 77

1 without an overtly false statement can be
 2 misleading. For example, if it selectively deploys
 3 a series of statements, which may be true in
 4 isolation and perhaps omits other important
 5 information, it's possible for the overall message
 6 to be misleading and potentially harmful to the
 7 public health.

8 We've seen this trick before. After the
 9 Hatch-Waxman amendments passed in 1984 and American
 10 patients were starting to learn about and accept
 11 generic drugs, some manufacturers of branded drugs
 12 disseminated materials to scare patients from using
 13 generics, for example, by creating the false
 14 impression that these drugs were less safe, or
 15 weren't therapeutically equivalent, or were
 16 inadequate in other ways. Some of the
 17 communications we're seeing today about biosimilars
 18 use the same old play from the same old playbook.

19 In looking at what's happened on the generic
 20 side, the good news is that patients and healthcare
 21 providers have come to learn the value of generic
 22 drugs, and the adoption rate has been overwhelming,

Page 78

1 as we've heard. I believe we're also on a path to
 2 a more vibrant biosimilars market, and part of how
 3 we get there is by encouraging truthful and
 4 non-misleading communications and by addressing
 5 misinformation in the marketplace.

6 We can do that in several ways. One is
 7 through our own education efforts. Another is by
 8 making our expectation clear that manufacturers cut
 9 the shenanigans. We have a system of balancing
 10 innovation and competition that has worked very
 11 well for many years. The system incentivizes
 12 innovation through patents and market exclusivity,
 13 but with the expectation that after a limited
 14 period of time, there will be a real opportunity
 15 for follow-on competition to take hold.

16 Brand manufacturers obviously have financial
 17 incentives to try to stave off follow-on entry, but
 18 when they do so through deception or regulatory
 19 gainsmanship, it undermines our system for
 20 balancing innovation and competition, and
 21 ultimately we risk undermining the promise of
 22 biosimilars.

Page 79

1 In some cases, other forms of government
 2 intervention may be appropriate. If a
 3 communication about a biosimilar crosses the line
 4 and presents information that's false or
 5 misleading, it may be appropriate for the
 6 government to act. Both FDA and FTC have certain
 7 tools and authorities to encourage truthful and
 8 non-misleading communications about drug products,
 9 including prescription biological reference
 10 products and biosimilar products.

11 Our panelists today will be providing an
 12 overview. Now, speaking today we have Dominic
 13 Cirincione, who's a regulatory counsel in FDA's
 14 Office of Prescription Drug Promotion or OPDP. He
 15 regularly provides advice and regulatory counseling
 16 on policy and compliance matters to both OPDP
 17 reviewers and OPDP management.

18 Our other speaker is Richard Cleland, who's
 19 assistant director of the Division of Advertising
 20 Practices within FTC's Bureau of Consumer
 21 Protection. He joined the Division of Advertising
 22 Practices in 1991. His primary area of expertise

Page 80

1 is in the advertising and marketing of
 2 health-related products and services, obviously
 3 relevant today.

4 So without further ado, Dom, do you want to
 5 take it?

6 Presentation - Dominic Cirincione
 7 MR. CIRINCIONE: Yes, thank you.

8 Well, good morning, everyone. As Lowell
 9 said, my name is Dominic Cirincione. I've been
 10 since 2017 a regulatory counsel with the Office of
 11 Prescription Drug Promotion, and today I'm here to
 12 present how FDA, and more specifically OPDP, helps
 13 ensure truthful and non-misleading advertising and
 14 promotional communications about prescription drug
 15 products.

16 OPDP'S overarching mission is to protect the
 17 public health by helping to ensure prescription
 18 drug product information is truthful and
 19 non-misleading and includes a fair balance of both
 20 benefit information and risk information.
 21 Prescription drug information includes promotional
 22 communications as in prescription drug advertising

Page 81

1 or promotional labeling made by or on behalf of a
 2 drug manufacturer, packer, or distributor.
 3 Generally, FDA and OPDP accomplishes this
 4 through a comprehensive program, which includes
 5 surveillance, compliance, education, and
 6 communication with the public. Our compliance
 7 tools include issuing warning or untitled letters
 8 to manufacturers in regard to their disseminated
 9 promotional materials that violate the Food, Drug,
 10 and Cosmetic Act, and implementing regulations
 11 concerning the promotion of prescription drug
 12 products, particularly where the violation poses a
 13 risk to public health.
 14 FDA's authority over promotional
 15 communications about a prescription drug made on
 16 behalf of a drug's manufacturer, packer, or
 17 distributor comes from the Federal Food, Drug, and
 18 Cosmetic Act, or FD&C or FDCA.
 19 More specifically, two primary or key
 20 provisions on which FDA frequently relies are
 21 Section 502(a) of the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act,
 22 which relates to false or misleading labeling,

Page 82

1 including promotional labeling, and Section 502(n)
 2 of the FDCA, which relates to prescription drug
 3 advertising.
 4 FDA has also promulgated a number of
 5 regulations related to both drug labeling and
 6 prescription drug advertising in Parts 201 and 202
 7 of Title 21 of the Code of Federal Regulations or
 8 CFR. FDA and OPDP rely upon these statutes and
 9 regulations throughout the course of our work.
 10 OPDP helps to ensure truthful and
 11 non-misleading promotional communications about
 12 prescription drug products through a variety of
 13 tools. As noted on our previous slide, that
 14 includes a robust surveillance and communication
 15 program with the public, and part of that
 16 communication plan with the public includes OPDP's
 17 response to industry's voluntary request for
 18 comment on specific draft promotional materials.
 19 This process allows OPDP to provide feedback
 20 on draft promotional communications if the
 21 manufacturer chooses to request it. This process
 22 also allows for OPDP to engage with the

Page 83

1 manufacturer on specific Communications prior to
 2 their use in the public domain.
 3 FDA and OPDP also provide guidance for
 4 industry in areas related to promotional
 5 communications. The guidance such as the most
 6 recent guidance, the Q&A on biosimilar reference
 7 product communications, provides the public with
 8 FDA's current thinking on particular subject
 9 matters, and many of these guidance documents are
 10 informed, in part, by OPDP's social science
 11 research program.
 12 OPDP's research program is designed to
 13 investigate applied and theoretical issues of
 14 relevance to direct to consumer, or DTC, and
 15 professional promotional prescription drug
 16 materials.
 17 OPDP's research supports the FDA's goal of
 18 science-based policy while maintaining our
 19 commitment to protect public health. And as
 20 always, we invite the public to visit OPDP's
 21 website to learn more about our social science
 22 research program to determine more about the

Page 84

1 studies that are being conducted and to review the
 2 new research and progress.
 3 OPDP also employs a robust surveillance and
 4 compliance program to monitor compliance with
 5 applicable FDA-administered laws and regulations.
 6 For example, OPDP regularly attends conferences and
 7 other events to observe industry promotion, as well
 8 as reviewing the many promotional materials
 9 submitted to the FDA by firms in accordance with
 10 the postmarketing reporting requirements.
 11 OPDP also reviews and investigates
 12 complaints from healthcare professionals,
 13 consumers, and competitors regarding violative
 14 promotional materials in the public domain. And
 15 while I'm on the topic of surveillance, I did want
 16 to remind the audience and the public that OPDP's
 17 BadAd program may also be used to report
 18 potentially false or misleading prescription drug
 19 promotion to FDA and to OPDP.
 20 You may send an email to badad@fda.gov or by
 21 calling the toll-free number, 1-855-RXB-ADAD.
 22 Reports can be kept anonymous, however, we would

Page 85

1 encourage reporters to leave their contact
 2 information in case we need to follow up and
 3 receive more information.
 4 If as a result of our surveillance
 5 activities we see an apparent violation of the
 6 Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act or implementing
 7 regulations regarding promotional labeling or
 8 advertising for a prescription drug, particularly
 9 ones that pose a risk to public health, most
 10 commonly we will send a warning or an untitled
 11 letter to provide notice of the observation of the
 12 apparent violation and then seek compliance.
 13 The vast majority of our concerns are
 14 typically addressed in this way, but if these
 15 efforts to obtain compliance are not successful,
 16 FDA can work with the Department of Justice to
 17 pursue enforcement actions to address violations of
 18 the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic act. These can
 19 include, for example, seizures and injunctions.
 20 To help you better understand FDA's role in
 21 helping to ensure compliance with the Food, Drug,
 22 and Cosmetic Act and implementing regs concerning

Page 87

1 leveling is a mission of risk information.
 2 Promotional materials that include claims regarding
 3 a drug's efficacy must also include information
 4 regarding the important risks associated with the
 5 drug.
 6 For example, imagine a sales aid for a drug
 7 that has a black box warning. The sales aid has
 8 multiple pages of information regarding the
 9 efficacy of the drug, but the black box warning
 10 isn't presented anywhere in the sales aid.
 11 The lack of this important risk information
 12 about the sales aid that has numerous claims
 13 regarding the efficacy of the drug would be
 14 misleading. It's an omission of risk. It's
 15 important to also note that the regulation
 16 regarding omission of risk applies to all
 17 prescription drugs, not just those of black box
 18 warnings.
 19 The second common issue related to the first
 20 is the minimization of risk information in
 21 prescription drug promotional materials. Risk
 22 information must be presented with a prominence and

Page 86

1 the promotion of prescription drug products, we
 2 thought it would be helpful to provide you with
 3 some of the more common issues FDA, and more
 4 specifically OPDP, observes across all prescription
 5 drug advertising and promotional labeling that
 6 could render a presentation false or misleading.
 7 While the issues I'm about to discuss do not
 8 constitute an exhaustive list, FDA will most
 9 commonly send a warning or an untitled letter to
 10 provide notice Of our observation of these kinds of
 11 apparent issues and seek compliance.
 12 Before I continue, I do want to remind the
 13 audience that the agency's warning letters and
 14 untitled letters are publicly available on the FDA
 15 website, and each letter is also typically
 16 accompanied by the violative piece, and the
 17 application of FDA's authorities in this space is
 18 necessarily fact specific. So the details of a
 19 particular piece, including both its content and
 20 the matter of the presentation, are important.
 21 The first common issue OPDP often sees in
 22 prescription drug advertising and promotional

Page 88

1 readability reasonably comparable to the
 2 presentation of the efficacy information. Many
 3 factors can impact prominence and readability; for
 4 example, the size, the style, and color of the font
 5 and layout of the piece and use of white space.
 6 Imagine, for example, that your own ad
 7 presents efficacy claims in large bold font with
 8 colorful graphics, but the risk information,
 9 however, is buried at the bottom of the page in
 10 very tiny font with no headings or no signals in
 11 any way to alert the reader to the presence of that
 12 important information. This format in which the
 13 risk information is not presented with comparable
 14 prominence to the efficacy claims minimizes the
 15 risks of the drug.
 16 The third issue we often see in promotional
 17 materials is an overstatement of the effectiveness
 18 of the drug. Promotional materials would be
 19 considered false or misleading if, for example,
 20 they; one, overstate or exaggerate the
 21 effectiveness of the drug; two, make claims
 22 regarding the efficacy of the drug that aren't

Page 89

1 appropriately supported or; three misrepresent data
2 from clinical studies.
3 For instance, if during a sales call, a
4 sales representative is promoting a prescription
5 drug product and the sales representative presents
6 a flyer which contains the claim "it works in as
7 little as 3 days," however, according to the
8 package insert, the primary endpoint in the
9 clinical trials used to support the approval of the
10 drug was "relief after 10 days," and there is no
11 available data or evidence to support a shorter
12 duration of treatment. Therefore, the claim
13 misleadingly suggests the drug works faster than
14 what has been demonstrated.
15 A fourth common issue often seen in
16 prescription drug promotional materials is
17 misleading drug comparisons. Claims or
18 presentations in prescription drug promotional
19 materials that suggest that a drug is safer or more
20 effective than another drug would be considered
21 false or misleading if they are not appropriately
22 supported.

Page 90

1 For example, imagine at a conference there
2 was a promotional booth for a prescription drug
3 product. A bar chart on a convention panel at the
4 booth compares study results from the prescription
5 drug's package insert and study results from its
6 main competitor's package insert and includes a
7 claim stating that it showed improvement in
8 significantly more patients than its competitor.
9 This comparison would be misleading because
10 comparing the response rates for two different
11 drugs in two different studies does not support a
12 conclusion that one drug is safer or more effective
13 than another because, for example, these studies
14 may have been conducted in different patient
15 populations or using different clinical study
16 designs and methodologies.
17 Just to round out my presentation here, we
18 provided a graphical representation of observed
19 violations noted in OPDP's warning and untitled
20 letters for the last five years, from 2015 to
21 present, and although false or misleading claims
22 about the risks of drug products, or complete

Page 91

1 omissions or minimization of risk information, are
2 the largest share of our observed violations and
3 letters since 2015, FDA does still take very
4 seriously false or misleading benefit claims about
5 drug products, including comparative claims that
6 lack adequate substantiation.
7 In conclusion, I hope this presentation
8 highlights some of FDA and OPDP's work to help
9 ensure truthful and non-misleading advertising and
10 promotional communications from manufacturers,
11 packers, and distributors of prescription drug
12 products. On this slide, please do find our
13 contact information, and thank you very very much
14 for your time.
15 I'm going to pass it over to Mr. Rich
16 Cleland, assistant director for advertising
17 practices in FTC's Bureau of Consumer Protection.
18 Presentation - Richard Cleland
19 MR. CLELAND: Good morning. I hope you hate
20 the morning after daylight savings time as much as
21 I do.
22 (Laughter.)

Page 92

1 MR. CLELAND: If I fall asleep, give me an
2 elbow or something. I saw a lot of people doing
3 this, this morning.
4 This is not my usual audience. I more talk
5 to dietary supplement companies and OTC drug
6 companies, but I don't deal a lot in the
7 prescription space.
8 So this morning, I thought I would provide
9 you with a quick tutorial on what enforcement might
10 look like with regard to promotional material that
11 is communication that falls outside of the FDA's
12 jurisdiction. This includes promotional
13 communications that don't refer to a manufacturer's
14 or distributor's drug by name, as well as
15 promotional communications made through what
16 amounts to surrogates for the drug company.
17 As a threshold matter, the FTC's
18 jurisdiction only extends to commercial speech, and
19 I know I've seen some stuff out there that I really
20 question whether it would meet that threshold. We
21 look at a number of factors to determine whether or
22 not something is commercial speech, the content of

Page 93

1 the speech and whether it contained a message
 2 promoting the demand for a product or service.
 3 It could also be denigrating a competitor's
 4 product as well, whether the speech refers to
 5 specific products or services, whether the speech
 6 included information about the attributes of a
 7 product or service such as type, price, or quality,
 8 including information about the health benefits
 9 associated with the product; the means used to
 10 publish the speech; traditionally is it paid
 11 advertising, is it recognized, and would it be
 12 recognized by consumers as advertising?
 13 Then finally, the speaker's economic
 14 interest in motivation in disseminating the speech.
 15 In this regard, context matters. For example, a
 16 peer-reviewed scientific article or a press release
 17 may or may not be considered commercial speech
 18 depending upon how its disseminated and how it's
 19 used.
 20 Now, looking specifically at advertising,
 21 assuming we get over the commercial speech barrier,
 22 the FTC enforces two sections of the FTC Act that

Page 94

1 are relevant here, Section 5 and Section 12.
 2 Section 5 prohibits unfair methods of competition
 3 and unfair deceptive acts or practices in commerce.
 4 Section 12 prohibits the false advertisement of a
 5 food, drug, and service.
 6 False advertisement is defined under
 7 Section 12 as an advertisement that is misleading
 8 in any material respect, including the failure to
 9 display material information. The FTC has provided
 10 some gloss over these general principles. We don't
 11 have all the statutes as the FDA has, but we think
 12 these two statutes give us some pretty good tools.
 13 A company is responsible for both express
 14 and implied claims. In express claims, as you
 15 heard some reference to this morning, the statement
 16 says what the message is. They run the gambit
 17 between express claims, virtual express claims, to
 18 statements that few consumers would even consider
 19 to convey a particular message.
 20 With regard to determining add meaning, the
 21 FTC's position is that extrinsic evidence such as
 22 copy testing an expert testimony is not necessary

Page 95

1 to establish implied message where the implied
 2 claim is reasonably apparent on the face of the
 3 advertisement.
 4 Advertisements are interpreted based on the
 5 net impression of the advertisement from the
 6 viewpoint of a reasonable person in the target
 7 audience. For example, the net impression of an
 8 advertisement may be different depending on whether
 9 the advertisement is targeted at a person suffering
 10 from diabetes or a physician treating diabetic
 11 patients.
 12 Reasonable consumers you have to understand
 13 don't read everything in an advertisement. They
 14 read the headlines. They may read some of the
 15 text. It is rare that a footnote in an
 16 advertisement will ever alter the net impression of
 17 an advertisement.
 18 A reasonable interpretation does not have to
 19 be an interpretation that's accepted by a majority
 20 of the viewers of that ad. If a significant number
 21 of consumers would take a message away from an ad,
 22 the advertiser is liable for any misrepresentations

Page 96

1 or deceptive content in that ad.
 2 I think this is an important point,
 3 particularly in this area of biosimilars. When an
 4 ad conveys more than one meaning and only one only
 5 one of which is misleading, the advertiser is
 6 liable for the misleading interpretation, even
 7 though a non-misleading interpretation of that
 8 advertisement is possible.
 9 In this regard, consider the general
 10 statement that a biosimilar product is not
 11 interchangeable with this reference product. A
 12 very knowledgeable consumer might understand that
 13 to mean that to receive the biosimilar instead of
 14 the reference product, the consumer may need a
 15 prescription from the healthcare prescriber written
 16 specifically for that biosimilar product. That
 17 would be a correct interpretation of that phrase.
 18 However, a consumer, like I think most
 19 consumers out there, relying on the common meaning
 20 of the word "interchangeable" might interpret that
 21 to mean that an approved biosimilar could not be
 22 prescribed in lieu of the reference product, and

Page 97

1 that would be misleading.

2 Claims can essentially run afoul of the FTC

3 Act in three ways. It can be a false claim, it can

4 be an unsubstantiated claim, and it also can be

5 deceptive because it fails to disclose a material

6 fact.

7 Looking at some specific claims now that

8 I've observed, for example, there are clinically

9 meaningful differences between a reference product

10 and a biosimilar or that the products are not

11 similar. Biosimilars may be highly similar to

12 their reference products, but there's still a

13 chance that a patient may react differently; the

14 biosimilar product is less safe or effective than

15 the reference product or that the reference product

16 is safer or more effective than the biosimilar.

17 These statements could all be potentially

18 challenged as false, as unsubstantiated, and for

19 the failure to disclose material information. The

20 particular remedies that are available to the FTC,

21 we also have on occasion used warning letters where

22 we thought education was an appropriate first step,

Page 98

1 but we also have enforcement tools that don't

2 require us to go through the Department of Justice,

3 which gives us a great deal of flexibility. We can

4 bring our actions. These are either

5 administratively or we can use our Section 13(b)

6 authority and file them directly in district court.

7 Thank you.

8 Panel Discussion - Lowell Schiller

9 MR. SCHILLER: Well, thank you both. I

10 think we have time maybe for one question, so let

11 me start with this. We've just heard about two

12 different frameworks, I think, hopefully

13 complementary frameworks, for helping to ensure

14 truthful and non-misleading communications. I'll

15 ask both of you.

16 How do you see the recently announced

17 collaboration between FDA and FTC helping to ensure

18 the protection of public health and fair

19 competition in the marketplace with respect to

20 prescription biosimilar products?

21 Dom, do you want to start?

22 MR. CIRINCIONE: Sure. I think the

Page 99

1 collaboration will showcase how FDA and FTC both

2 support and protect public health and competition

3 in the marketplace for prescription biologic

4 products. Our organizations I think have serious

5 concerns about false or misleading statements about

6 prescription drug products and biologic products

7 and the negative impacts on public health and

8 competition.

9 I think with these shared goals in mind and

10 using our respective authorities, FDA and FTC will

11 help to ensure that healthcare professionals and

12 patients receive truthful and non-misleading

13 information about biosimilar products. It leveled

14 the playing field to support biosimilar uptake and

15 I think facilitated more competitive marketplace

16 for everyone involved.

17 MR. CLELAND: Let me take a 42-second shot

18 at this. We're going to talk more about

19 competition later on in the program today, but just

20 focusing for a second on the consumer protection

21 side, together I think we can cover the whole

22 waterfront. I think the FTC is here to try to deal

Page 100

1 with manufacturers or others that are trying to

2 avoid the FDA jurisdiction by using promotions that

3 aren't subject to your authority, so I think

4 together we can cover the full waterfront.

5 MR. SCHILLER: On that note, let me thank

6 you both for very helpful presentations, and I'll

7 try to keep us as on time as we can be. Thank you.

8 (Applause.)

9 MS. GRAY: Good morning. My name is

10 Caty [ph] Gray, and I'm the supervisor for the

11 advertising and promotion policy staff in the

12 Office of Prescription Drug Promotion or OPDP, as

13 you heard from both Lowell and Dom. I share Rich's

14 dislike of the Monday after daylight savings time,

15 so thank you to you all for being here and joining

16 in this important conversation.

17 I'm joined by Betsy Pepinsky and Dom

18 Cirincione to discuss FDA's draft guidance for

19 industry titled Promotional Labeling and

20 Advertising Considerations for Prescription

21 Biologic Reference and Biosimilar Products

22 Questions and Answers.

Page 101

1 As Lowell mentioned, Dom is a regulatory
2 counsel in OPDP. Betsy is also an attorney, and
3 she works as a health science policy analyst in our
4 group, primarily focused on guidance and policy
5 development regarding prescription drug promotion.
6 I'm delighted that both of these experts are here
7 to speak on this important topic, and I'm going to
8 turn it over to Betsy to get us started.

9 Presentation - Elizabeth Pepinsky

10 MS. PEPINSKY: Thanks for that introduction,
11 and good morning. As Caty said, Dominic and I are
12 here to discuss the draft guidance that published
13 just in February of this year on Promotional
14 Labeling and Advertising Considerations for
15 Prescription Biological Reference and Biosimilar
16 Products Questions and Answers.

17 FDA issued the draft guidance to answer
18 questions that firms may have when developing
19 FDA-regulated promotional materials for their
20 reference products and biosimilar products and to
21 help ensure that these materials are truthful and
22 non-misleading. This draft guidance represents one

Page 102

1 piece of the broader effort to address false or
2 misleading communications about biological
3 reference and biosimilar products and the negative
4 impacts of such communications on public health and
5 competition.

6 The draft guidance was issued by CDER's
7 Office of Prescription Drug Promotion in
8 consultation with CDER's Office of Therapeutic
9 Biologics and Biosimilars and in cooperation with
10 the Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research.

11 Again, OPDP's overarching mission is to
12 protect the public health by helping to ensure that
13 prescription drug information is truthful and
14 non-misleading and includes a fair balance of
15 benefit and risk information. Generally, FDA and
16 OPDP accomplish this comprehensive program, which
17 includes surveillance, compliance, education, and
18 communication to the public.

19 Starting with a bit of background on why FDA
20 issued this draft guidance, as the number of
21 biosimilars increases, we have started to see
22 promotional materials for some of these products

Page 103

1 and get questions from firms on promotional issues
2 related to biosimilars and reference products. We
3 are especially concerned about promotional claims
4 and presentations that make false or misleading
5 comparisons between a reference product and a
6 biosimilar in a way that misrepresents the safety
7 or effectiveness of either of these products.

8 The goal for this draft guidance is to
9 discuss considerations to help ensure that
10 FDA-regulated advertising and promotional labeling
11 for reference products and biosimilars are truthful
12 and non-misleading.

13 The guidance covers promotional issues
14 involving both reference products and biosimilars,
15 but some questions are focused only on biosimilar
16 product promotion, and the guidance does not
17 discuss considerations unique to promotional
18 materials for interchangeable biosimilars.

19 In terms of the general requirements for the
20 content of FDA-regulated promotional materials for
21 reference products and biosimilar products, FDA
22 regulates promotional labeling and advertisements

Page 104

1 by or on behalf of manufacturers, packers, and
2 distributors for prescription drugs, including
3 those that are biological reference and biosimilar
4 products.

5 Under the FD&C Act in implementing
6 regulations, these promotional materials must be
7 truthful and non-misleading, convey information
8 about a drug's efficacy and its risks in a balanced
9 manner, and reveal material facts about the drug.

10 All these requirements apply to promotional
11 materials for reference products and biosimilar
12 products licensed under Section 351 of the Public
13 Health Service Act, the same as they would apply to
14 any other FDA-regulated promotional materials for
15 prescription drugs.

16 When concerning promotional presentations,
17 whether a promotional presentation is truthful and
18 non-misleading involves a fact-specific
19 determination that takes into account such factors
20 as how the information is presented, the type and
21 the quality of the data relied on to support the
22 presentation, and the contextual and disclosure

Page 105

1 considerations.

2 The draft guidance is intended to help firms

3 understand how to support and present information

4 in promotional materials for their biosimilars and

5 their reference products in a truthful and

6 non-misleading way.

7 How should firms identify reference products

8 and biosimilar products in promotional materials?

9 A biological product may be identified by its

10 proprietary name, proper name, or core name in

11 promotional materials, depending on the context in

12 which the product is being described.

13 When developing promotional materials for

14 their products, firms should carefully evaluate the

15 information presented in their materials to ensure

16 that in each instance a product is addressed, the

17 materials correctly and specifically identify the

18 product to which the information applies.

19 Clearly and correctly identifying the

20 relevant biological product or products in

21 promotional materials can help prevent

22 presentations that are inaccurate because they

Page 106

1 attribute data or information to the wrong product.

2 It can also help the audience identify which

3 product or products are the subject of a particular

4 promotional presentation.

5 For instance, if a biosimilar's FDA approved

6 labeling uses the core name of the reference

7 product followed by the word "products" to convey

8 that a risk applies to both the biosimilar and the

9 reference product, it would be appropriate for

10 similar presentations about this risk and

11 promotional materials for the biosimilar to use

12 this nomenclature.

13 As another example, if promotional materials

14 include information from a study that used a

15 non-U.S. licensed comparator biologic or otherwise

16 mentioned such products, the non-U.S. licensed

17 comparator should be accurately identified as such

18 in the materials.

19 Questions 3 and 4 of the draft guidance are

20 focused on promotional considerations for

21 biosimilars and they address questions regarding

22 the inclusion of study data and information in

Page 107

1 promotional materials for these products.

2 In its guidance entitled Labeling for

3 Biosimilar Products, FDA recommends that a

4 biosimilar's FDA-approved labeling incorporate

5 relevant data and information from the reference

6 product's FDA-approved labeling, and this includes

7 incorporating clinical data that supported FDA's

8 finding of safety and effectiveness for the

9 reference product in the biosimilars labeling.

10 If a firm wants to provide information from

11 studies that supported the licensure of the

12 reference product in promotional materials for its

13 biosimilar when this information is included in

14 both the reference product labeling and the

15 biosimilar labeling, the firm should refer to the

16 biosimilars labeling for this information.

17 For example, in the case where a biosimilar

18 is licensed for fewer than all conditions of use

19 for which the reference product is licensed, the

20 biosimilar's labeling generally will include

21 information from studies on the reference product

22 that is relevant to those conditions of use for

Page 108

1 which the biosimilar is licensed. The firm

2 developing promotional materials for its

3 biosimilars should look to the biosimilar's

4 labeling for this information.

5 FDA has also recommended that the

6 FDA-approved labeling for a biosimilar generally

7 not include data and information from the studies

8 conducted to support a demonstration of

9 biosimilarity between the reference product and the

10 biosimilar.

11 We have heard that firms are interested in

12 communicating data and information from these

13 studies to healthcare providers and other

14 interested parties, however, and have questions on

15 whether and how this kind of information can be

16 presented in promotional materials for their

17 biosimilar.

18 If a biosimilar's FDA-approved labeling does

19 not include information from studies conducted to

20 support a demonstration of biosimilarity between

21 the reference product and the biosimilar,

22 promotional presentations of such information

Page 109

1 should be consistent with the biosimilar's
 2 FDA-approved labeling and be truthful and
 3 non-misleading as described in FDA's guidance on
 4 medical product communications that are consistent
 5 with the FDA required labeling, which is referred
 6 to as the CFL guidance in the draft guidance.
 7 This guidance describes FDA's thinking when
 8 examining a the consistency of a product
 9 communication with the product's FDA-approved
 10 labeling. It discusses how FDA determines whether
 11 a communication is consistent with the product's
 12 FDA-approved labeling and provides general
 13 recommendations for conveying this type of
 14 information in promotional materials in a truthful
 15 and non-misleading way.
 16 When information from the studies that
 17 supported a demonstration of biosimilarity is not
 18 included in the biosimilar's FDA-approved labeling,
 19 firms should apply the principles outlined in the
 20 CFL guidance if they include information from these
 21 studies in promotional materials for their
 22 biosimilars.

Page 110

1 As generally discussed in the FDA-FTC joint
 2 statement, ensuring that advertising and
 3 promotional communications subject to FDA
 4 regulation are truthful and non-misleading can help
 5 to protect and promote public health by enabling
 6 patients and healthcare providers to make decisions
 7 based on the accurate information.
 8 FDA is concerned that false or misleading
 9 comparisons between reference products and
 10 biosimilars in FDA-regulated promotional materials
 11 can undermine public confidence in these products
 12 and negatively affect public health.
 13 What should firms consider when comparing
 14 reference and biosimilar products in their
 15 promotional materials? FDA's licensure of a
 16 biosimilar means that the agency has determined
 17 that a biosimilar is highly similar to the
 18 reference product notwithstanding minor differences
 19 in clinically and active components and that there
 20 are no clinically meaningful differences in terms
 21 of safety, purity, or potency.
 22 FDA recommends that firms carefully evaluate

Page 111

1 presentations that compare reference products and
 2 biosimilars and avoid presentations that represent
 3 or suggest that a biosimilar is not highly similar
 4 to the reference product or that a clinically
 5 meaningful difference in terms of safety, purity,
 6 or potency exists between the products.
 7 Although assessment of each promotional
 8 presentation involves a fact-specific
 9 determination, such presentations, including those
 10 suggesting that the reference product is safer or
 11 more effective than the biosimilar or that a
 12 biosimilar is safer or more effective than its
 13 reference product, are likely to be false or
 14 misleading.
 15 For example, a presentation suggesting that
 16 a biosimilar is superior to its reference product,
 17 based on a difference that is not clinically
 18 meaningful between the rates of occurrence of a
 19 particular adverse reaction observed in a study
 20 that supported the demonstration of biosimilarity
 21 between the two products, would be misleading.
 22 It's also possible that individual

Page 112

1 statements of accurate information could contribute
 2 to a misleading presentation when provided in the
 3 comparative context.
 4 For example, in the case of a biosimilar
 5 that is licensed for fewer indications than the
 6 reference product, presentations that create the
 7 net impression that the biosimilar is, in general,
 8 less safe or less effective than the reference
 9 product, simply because the biosimilar is licensed
 10 for fewer indications than the reference product,
 11 would be misleading.
 12 Also, presentations suggesting that a
 13 biosimilar is less safe or less effective than the
 14 reference product in a particular indication,
 15 because the biosimilar's licensure for that
 16 indication was based, in part, on extrapolation,
 17 would be misleading.
 18 Promotional presentations about a
 19 biosimilar's licensure, a biosimilar to a reference
 20 product should accurately describe the biosimilar
 21 product. For example, promotional materials for a
 22 biosimilar that FDA has not licensed as

Page 113

1 interchangeable should avoid creating the
 2 impression that the biosimilar has been licensed as
 3 interchangeable with the reference product because
 4 this would not be accurate. Promotional materials
 5 for a reference product should avoid creating the
 6 impression that a biosimilar is less safe or less
 7 effective than the reference product because the
 8 biosimilar has not been licensed as interchangeable
 9 with the reference product.

10 A biosimilar is not required to be identical
 11 to the reference product in order to be licensed,
 12 rather licensure as a biosimilar means that the
 13 biosimilar has been found to be highly similar to
 14 the reference product notwithstanding minor
 15 differences in clinically and active components and
 16 that there are no clinically meaningful differences
 17 between the biosimilar and the reference product in
 18 terms of safety, purity, or potency.

19 Therefore, representations or suggestions
 20 that a finding of biosimilarity means that FDA
 21 determined that the reference product and the
 22 biosimilar are identical to one another generally

Page 114

1 would not be accurate, but promotional materials
 2 for a reference product should avoid presentation
 3 suggesting that the biosimilar is not as safe or
 4 effective as the reference product because it is
 5 not or may not be identical to the reference
 6 product.

7 I'll now turn it over to Dom to discuss the
 8 examples talked about in the draft guidance.

9 Presentation - Dominic Cirincione

10 MR. CIRINCIONE: Great. Thank you, Betsy.
 11 Thank you very much.

12 (Pause.)

13 MR. CIRINCIONE: Well, I'll just keep going.

14 Question 7 in the draft guidance provides
 15 three longer examples to help illustrate some of
 16 the general considerations discussed within it.
 17 For the purposes of these examples, we used a
 18 fictional biosimilar called NEXSYMEO and a
 19 fictional reference product called JUNEXANT.
 20 NEXSYMEO and JUNEXANT are both replicamab products.

21 The first example describes a scenario in
 22 which a firm provides the route of administration

Page 115

1 dosage form and strength of the biosimilar NEXSYMEO
 2 in promotional materials for NEXSYMEO, and it
 3 includes a claim that NEXSYMEO has the same route
 4 of administration, dosage form, and strength of the
 5 reference product.

6 FDA would not expect to object to this kind
 7 of a presentation because it is supported by
 8 NEXSYMEO's licensure as a biosimilar to JUNEXANT,
 9 which is based, in part, on information showing
 10 that NEXSYMEO has the same route of administration,
 11 dosage form, and strength as JUNEXANT.

12 In the same materials, the firm includes a
 13 claim that NEXSYMEO can be considered for patients
 14 who are new to replicamab product therapy for the
 15 treatment of a licensed indication and for patients
 16 currently being treated with JUNEXANT for the same
 17 indication.

18 The claim is supported by information
 19 submitted as part of NEXSYMEO's application for
 20 licensure as a biosimilar to JUNEXANT, including
 21 data from a comparative clinical study that
 22 included patients who underwent a single transition

Page 116

1 from JUNEXANT to NEXSYMEO and patients who were new
 2 to replicamab product therapy, which supported a
 3 demonstration of no clinically meaningful
 4 differences between NEXSYMEO and JUNEXANT. FDA,
 5 again, would also not expect to object to this kind
 6 of presentation.

7 The second example describes another
 8 scenario in which FDA would not expect to object to
 9 the presentation described. In this example, as
 10 part of NEXSYMEO's application for licensure as a
 11 biosimilar to JUNEXANT, FDA evaluated a comparative
 12 clinical study that included patients treated with
 13 a non-U.S. licensed comparator product to support a
 14 demonstration of no clinically meaningful
 15 differences between NEXSYMEO and JUNEXANT.

16 NEXSYMEO's firm wants to present data that
 17 is not included in NEXSYMEO's FDA-approved labeling
 18 about outcomes observed in that study. So the firm
 19 develops a presentation that is consistent with the
 20 recommendations in the CFL guidance, which Betsy
 21 mentioned earlier, including recommendations on
 22 appropriate scientific and statistical support.

Page 117

1 The firm clearly and prominently provides
 2 contextual information about the study design, the
 3 methodology, the role the study played in the
 4 biosimilarity evaluation, relevant data on
 5 NEXSYMEO's FDA-approved labeling, and any material
 6 limitations in that data. The firm also accurately
 7 describes the comparator used in the study as a
 8 non-U.S. licensed product. FDA, again, would not
 9 expect to object to this kind of presentation.

10 Example 3 illustrates a presentation that
 11 FDA would consider misleading, however, in this
 12 scenario, promotional materials for JUNEXANT state
 13 that in a clinical study, patients on JUNEXANT
 14 experience a numerically higher overall response
 15 rate than patients on NEXSYMEO JUNEXANT.

16 The basis for the statement is a comparative
 17 clinical study that supported a demonstration of no
 18 clinically meaningful differences in terms of
 19 safety, purity, and potency between JUNEXANT and
 20 NEXSYMEO.

21 Although this statement accurately conveys
 22 the reference product's higher numeric overall

Page 118

1 response rates observed in the study, the materials
 2 do not disclose that this difference in response
 3 rates was not statistically significant, and they
 4 do not describe the study design or include any
 5 other appropriate context.

6 By focusing on the numerical differences in
 7 response rates, which was not statistically
 8 significant, the presentation misleadingly implies
 9 JUNEXANT is superior to NEXSYMEO. It also
 10 misleadingly implies that there is a clinically
 11 meaningful difference between the products when the
 12 data presented in the promotional materials do not
 13 support that conclusion.

14 How can firms request FDA review of draft
 15 promotional materials? Well, FDA encourages firms
 16 voluntarily to seek feedback on promotional
 17 materials for reference products or biosimilar
 18 products before their dissemination to follow the
 19 current process for submitting draft promotional
 20 materials to FDA for comment.

21 We remind firms that they are also subject
 22 to the postmarketing requirements for submitting

Page 119

1 promotional materials to FDA like all other
 2 prescription drugs under Form 2253. Firms can
 3 visit OPDP's website for more information on the
 4 submission of promotional materials to FDA and for
 5 general information on our regulation of
 6 prescription drug and biological product,
 7 advertising, and promotional labeling.

8 We remind firms that in addition to the
 9 considerations specifically outlined in this
 10 guidance, they should ensure that their
 11 FDA-regulated promotional materials otherwise
 12 satisfy all the applicable requirements from the
 13 Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act and FDA's implementing
 14 regulations related to promotion for prescription
 15 drug products.

16 Firms should also ensure that they comply
 17 with the provisions obligating them to update the
 18 FDA-approved labeling for their products to ensure
 19 that the labeling is not false or misleading or for
 20 any other reason.

21 This is a draft guidance, as you all are
 22 aware, and as such, we are looking forward to

Page 120

1 receiving and then reviewing the comments submitted
 2 to the docket. As noted in the Federal Register
 3 notice that announced the availability of the
 4 guidance, in addition to the draft guidance itself
 5 for comment, we also are seeking input on specific
 6 promotional considerations for interchangeable
 7 products as well.

8 Thank you very very much. I'll turn it back
 9 over to Caty.

10 Panel Discussion - Catherine Gray
 11 MS. GRAY: Thank you, Dom and Betsy. I
 12 wanted to follow up with just a few questions for
 13 you.

14 Dom, the draft guidance states that it does
 15 not cover considerations you need for promotional
 16 materials for interchangeable products. Does that
 17 mean that the Q&A's in this guidance don't apply to
 18 interchangeable products at all?

19 MR. CIRINCIONE: The guidance does not
 20 address considerations unique to promotional
 21 materials for interchangeables because FDA is still
 22 contemplating what, if any, considerations are

Page 121

1 unique to such promotional materials.
 2 We are looking forward to the stakeholder
 3 input regarding what, if any, interchangeable
 4 specific promotional considerations exist and what
 5 other considerations can help FDA-regulated
 6 promotional materials convey truthful and
 7 non-misleading information about interchangeables
 8 for a variety or various audiences.
 9 MS. GRAY: Thank you. I can echo Dom's
 10 comments that we're looking forward to feedback
 11 from our stakeholders on this topic as well.
 12 MS. GRAY: Betsy, the examples throughout
 13 the draft guidance suggest that an evaluation of
 14 whether comparisons between reference products and
 15 biosimilars are truthful and non-misleading can be
 16 quite nuanced. Do you have any more advice on how
 17 firms should approach these presentations and
 18 promotional materials for the reference and
 19 biosimilar products?
 20 MS. PEPINSKY: Yes. FDA appreciates the
 21 complexities around these types of presentations,
 22 and as noted in the draft guidance, they do require

Page 123

1 attention.
 2 (Applause.)
 3 (Whereupon, at 11:21 a.m., a lunch recess
 4 was taken.)
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
 10
 11
 12
 13
 14
 15
 16
 17
 18
 19
 20
 21
 22

Page 122

1 consideration of the specific facts. In general,
 2 however, firms should keep in mind that whether
 3 presentation is truthful and non-misleading
 4 depends, among other things, not only on the
 5 specific claims in isolation, but also the net
 6 impression to which those claims contribute.
 7 So we encourage firms to carefully consider
 8 individual claims in a promotional piece, as well
 9 as the presentation as a whole, considering the
 10 overall impression it makes about the safety and
 11 effectiveness of the product.
 12 I would just note that we make the same
 13 recommendation not only for firms evaluating
 14 proposed comparisons between reference products and
 15 biosimilars, but also for firms developing any
 16 presentation in FDA-regulated promotional materials
 17 for prescription drugs and biologics.
 18 MS. GRAY: Thank you very much for your
 19 attention to our panel. At this point, we're going
 20 to wrap up for the morning session. I encourage
 21 you to enjoy your lunch, and we will see you back
 22 here at 12:15. Thank you very much for your

Page 124

1 AFTERNOON SESSION
 2 (12:15 p.m.)
 3 MS. FALB: Thank you for your attention.
 4 This panel is What's at Stake? The Benefits of
 5 Competition. I want to let you know for this
 6 structure, we've organized this panel into three
 7 sections. There will be a presentation by one of
 8 our panelists at the beginning of each section and
 9 then some prepared questions and answers. The
 10 sections we will cover our biosimilar markets
 11 overview; the impact of biosimilar entry; and
 12 barriers to biosimilar entry.
 13 Before we get started, I would like to ask
 14 the panelists to introduce themselves. I will go
 15 first, and then we can continue to my left. My
 16 name is Alison Falb, and I am a regulatory counsel
 17 in CDER's Office of Therapeutic Biologics and
 18 Biosimilars.
 19 DR. HERNANDEZ: My name is Inma Hernandez,
 20 and I am faculty at the University of Pittsburgh.
 21 MR. BRILL: Hi, everybody. I'm Alex Brill,
 22 and I'm a resident fellow at the American

Page 125

1 Enterprise Institute.
2 MR. SCHMIDT: Good afternoon. I'm David
3 Schmidt. I'm an assistant director in the Bureau
4 of Economics at the Federal Trade Commission.
5 MR. SCHICK: Hello. I'm Andreas Schick.
6 I'm the director of economics at the FDA's Office
7 of Program and Strategic Analysis.
8 MR. AITKEN: Good afternoon. I'm Murray
9 Aitken. I'm executive director at the IQVIA
10 Institute.
11 MS. FALB: We're going to be starting with a
12 presentation of slides by Murray Aitken, so I think
13 we can pass you the clicker and hope for the best.
14 (Laughter.)
15 Presentation - Murray Aitken
16 MR. AITKEN: I'm going to spend a few
17 minutes just to frame out the overall biologics
18 market so that we can also understand biosimilars
19 in the context of the overall market and talk a
20 little bit about the market dynamics that we see
21 playing out based on IQVIA data and measurement of
22 the market, both on a dollar and a volume basis.

Page 126

1 Just a comment about the data that I'll be
2 drawing from; this is data that we gather at IQVIA
3 from a variety of sources, including wholesalers
4 who track the flow of medicines, all types of
5 medicines, through the distribution system. We
6 also gather data from manufacturers who are direct
7 shipping their products.
8 We also gather data from retail pharmacies
9 for that part of the market. We also have access
10 to insurance claims data. So we tend to take a
11 360 degree-ish view of what's happening and
12 consolidate all of that to develop an overall
13 perspective of the market.
14 When we measure the size of a market in
15 dollar terms, we generally use what we call invoice
16 price, which is what we capture from wholesalers.
17 So you can think of it as list price, but it's
18 before the application of rebates and discounts,
19 which we know can be significant. However, we do
20 estimate the magnitude of the rebates and discounts
21 and other forms of price concessions that go on in
22 the marketplace.

Page 127

1 So you'll see some of the charts where we
2 are using net prices, and when we say net, we're
3 looking at that from the perspective of
4 manufacturers, so the net amount that is received
5 by a manufacturer.
6 Let me summarize the points, and I've got
7 slides to support these. As we've already heard
8 today, biologics are a growing share of the overall
9 market, and certainly relative to small molecules,
10 we've got different dynamics playing out both on
11 what happens when a drug loses exclusivity front,
12 as well as the mix of new drugs coming out of the
13 pipeline through FDA approval and into the
14 marketplace.
15 When we look at the pipeline, particularly
16 the late-stage clinical development pipeline
17 products that are in phase 2 clinical testing or
18 later, it suggests that we're going to continue to
19 see the growth dynamic of biologics not only in
20 traditional biologic oriented therapy areas but in
21 other disease areas as well.
22 Biologics reach the market through multiple

Page 128

1 channels and pay types, and this is where things
2 get complicated quickly as, again, you've heard
3 reference to this morning. I don't think we're
4 going to have enough time to go through all the
5 pieces of the market and all of the characteristics
6 and payment dynamics, but understanding at least a
7 relative size and importance of different parts of
8 the market we think is important in order to
9 understand not only what's happening in biologics,
10 but specifically in biosimilars as well.
11 We'll take a look at the dynamics that we
12 see play out in the small molecule part of the
13 market and then the large molecule or biologics
14 part of the market, and we look at this in a couple
15 of ways.
16 One is what share of the dollar value of the
17 market is subject to generic competition or
18 biosimilar competition for large molecules, and
19 then for that part of the market, what's the
20 relative volume dispensed or used as the generic or
21 biosimilar relative to the total use of those
22 molecules. Those are the two metrics that we use

Page 129

1 to try to assess how the market is evolving.
2 Starting with the big picture, and this is
3 on an estimated net price basis, we've got a total
4 medicine market in nominal dollars of \$344 billion
5 in 2018. We're still polishing the 2019 numbers.
6 That total is up 21 percent since 2014. But you
7 can see in dark blue, the small molecule share has
8 fallen from 70 percent to 58 percent over this
9 5-year period, so all the growth is essentially
10 coming from the biologics part of the market at the
11 top, which has gone from about \$85 billion dollars
12 in 2014 to \$144 billion in 2018.
13 Again, that's a reflection of the shift in
14 science, the movement, the gradual movement towards
15 biologics in R&D, as well as the impact of the
16 entry of new competition when patents expire or
17 other forms of exclusivity expire.
18 If we just convert things to a real net per
19 capita basis -- we've made those adjustments to the
20 earlier numbers, adjusting for inflation and
21 adjusting for population growth -- the pattern is
22 similar, but I think it's also useful just to look

Page 130

1 at the number at the top, \$1044 per person -- this
2 is in 2019 dollars, I believe -- that was spent on
3 all medicines of which \$435 was for biologics, up
4 from \$291 in 2014, and then the spending on small
5 molecules has fallen by about 12 percent.
6 So you've got a 50 percent growth in the top
7 of the chart and a 12 percent growth in the bottom
8 of the chart. That's why we're all here.
9 Just looking into the pipeline, the trend of
10 new drugs towards biologics will continue to grow.
11 Sometimes, frankly, it gets overstated. Right now,
12 about 40 percent of new drugs are biologic;
13 60 percent are small molecules. Not all innovative
14 targeted cancer treatments are biologics. There's
15 a good number of small molecules in there as well.
16 I do notice sometimes there's a little bit
17 of confusion as to what's biologic and what's small
18 molecule when it comes to innovative medicines, but
19 we show here a number of the therapy areas, again,
20 including ones that in the past have not been
21 particularly biologic oriented, and just show the
22 share of the late-stage pipeline in these disease

Page 131

1 areas that is represented by
2 biologics or biotech products.
3 In terms of how these biologics reach the
4 market, and now I'm switching to an invoice price
5 level because, frankly, we don't have net prices at
6 the payer type or channel level, so we can only
7 estimate net prices at the overall market level.
8 On an invoice price basis, retail and mail
9 represents about 60 percent of the total biotech
10 market and 40 percent in non-retail.
11 On the right-hand side, we've got some of
12 the smaller segments of the market. So starting at
13 12 o'clock and moving clockwise, we've got the
14 retail and mail commercial payer market, which is
15 26 percent of the total; then we've got retail and
16 mail Medicare Part D market, an additional 17
17 percent; retail and mail Medicare Advantage, 5
18 percent; and then retail and mail managed Medicaid
19 at 8 percent; followed by retail and mail
20 fee-for-service Medicaid at 2 percent; and then
21 we've got 1 percent retail and mail cash.
22 Then continuing on, we've got the non-retail

Page 132

1 part of the market, non-retail commercial hospitals
2 about 3 percent; commercial office site about
3 13 percent; and then non-retail Medicare
4 fee-for-service, 2 percent; followed by non-retail
5 Medicare Advantage, 11 percent; and non-retail
6 Medicaid and other, 12 percent.
7 As you see on the chart, these are
8 directional estimates. Frankly, I don't think
9 anyone has a good way of teasing out these
10 different parts of the markets, but I think it is
11 important and relevant to understand the different
12 segments of the market to the extent that there are
13 different incentives. There are different
14 reimbursement levels that play out in each of these
15 segments. I'm sure we'll talk about this as we get
16 to the discussion.
17 Just looking at the top 10 biologics that
18 are on the market as of September 2019, this is
19 invoice price sales. I just thought it was useful
20 to look at the cumulative invoice sales of these
21 drugs since they were launched and through
22 September of 2019. This is for the branded version

Page 133

1 of the drugs if there's a biosimilar in the market.
2 As you can see, all the top 10 have
3 cumulative sales of more than \$40 billion. I also
4 just indicated the number of years since their
5 launch, which also speaks to these are pretty old
6 drugs by now.
7 I think we don't have quite enough
8 discussion about the extent to which there is a
9 next-generation treatment available in the case of
10 these molecules in particular and the extent to
11 which the dynamic of investing in and promoting a
12 next-generation of biologic, to the extent we're
13 going to see likely see more of that going forward
14 than we have in the in the past, where
15 manufacturers have not necessarily been
16 particularly motivated while they don't face
17 competition from biosimilars.
18 Just to wrap up in terms of what we see
19 happening, again, at the overall market level in
20 terms of the dynamics of generics and biosimilars,
21 this is our view of the small molecule market. The
22 bars are measuring the percentage of the small

Page 134

1 molecule market that's accessible to one or more
2 generics by molecules, so we build this up molecule
3 by molecule. This is in quarterly view, and from
4 2014 to the fourth quarter of 2019 we're at 40
5 percent.
6 Basically, 40 percent of the value of the
7 small molecule market is subject to a generic, and
8 then the green bar at the top, when a generic is
9 available, it's dispensed in volume terms
10 96.5 percent of the other time. This is what we're
11 used to in terms of the small molecule market.
12 Here's the same view but now for biologics,
13 starting at q1 of 2013. So again, the bars show
14 what percentage of the value of the market is
15 subject to a biosimilar. You can see the
16 additional biosimilars entering the market. These
17 are not approvals; this is entering the market.
18 You can see that now 17.5 percent of the value of
19 the biologics market is now from molecules that
20 have one or more competitors as biosimilars.
21 The green line is tracking, again, the
22 volume share that the biosimilar has of the

Page 135

1 molecule for which there is a biosimilar available,
2 and that of course goes up and down with the entry
3 of new biosimilars, so it resets the denominator.
4 But we're now at 20.2 percent of the volume of
5 biologics dispensed. When there's a biosimilar
6 available, it goes out as a biosimilar.
7 This is what we watch the most, I would say,
8 in terms of the impact and the uptake, at least
9 from a market dynamic perspective, a separate
10 discussion on pricing a course. But if we just
11 look forward a little bit, the dark blue bar here
12 and the green line are the same as on this chart,
13 just rescaled because we've introduced now the
14 biologic molecules for which a biosimilar has been
15 approved but not yet marketed, so that's the light
16 blue.
17 That includes adalimumab, etanercept, and
18 teriparatide, where there are biosimilars approved.
19 If you include those in the calculation, then we're
20 at 50 percent of the value of the biologics market
21 subject to biosimilars. Now, that won't actually
22 happen until 2023 or some time later, but it's a

Page 136

1 sign of where we're going in terms of the overall
2 market place for biosimilars.
3 So with that, I will pause. Thank you.
4 MS. FALB: Thank you.
5 As we continue our conversation about the
6 biosimilars market, what aspects of that market are
7 most important to keep in mind, or would anyone on
8 the panel like to highlight?
9 MR. SCHMIDT: Sure. I'll take a first crack
10 at that. I'm going to adopt an unfamiliar position
11 for me, which is to caution against too much
12 pessimism. I think we see statistics like what
13 Murray put up, which are incredibly informative and
14 useful, but we shouldn't interpret it as evidence
15 that the biosimilars are a failure or are in some
16 way lagging way behind what these small molecule
17 generics have accomplished.
18 It's very early days for the biosimilars
19 right now, and it's also very early days for
20 economists and others to be analyzing these
21 markets. So we're still working on gathering good
22 data, and I know many of the people on this panel

Page 137

1 are contributing to that and it's great to see.
 2 But to get to the heart of the question, I
 3 think what would be really useful would be able to
 4 look at good comparison groups like how are
 5 biosimilars doing relative to other drugs that are
 6 paid for by the same types of payers in the same
 7 dispensing settings and do we see a huge difference
 8 there.
 9 Is it something inherent about the payer
 10 type or the dispensing setting that's causing the
 11 innovator products to hold on to market share more
 12 than they do for the stereotypic small molecule
 13 drug dispensed at your local pharmacy?
 14 I think, as of yet, we don't know the answer
 15 to that, and I think some of these researchers are
 16 pushing in that direction, and it's great to see.
 17 But I think, obviously, it has been highlighted,
 18 payer type is important, dispensing location is
 19 important, and keeping that in perspective when
 20 we're looking at some of this information I think
 21 is incredibly important.
 22 MR. BRILL: Just a quick addition or comment

Page 138

1 on Dave's comment. First, I fully agree that we
 2 can say we're in transition. We're not in
 3 equilibrium at the moment. We are in the beginning
 4 of a process and the market is continuing to
 5 evolve.
 6 The question that we're all wondering, I
 7 think, is what will that equilibrium look like and
 8 what can we be doing to make sure that it is as
 9 robust a marketplace as possible? But these
 10 snapshots are just that, snapshots in a moment as
 11 we move towards a more robust market that's
 12 evolving.
 13 But I would also say that with regard to
 14 trying to find comparators, there's, in my mind,
 15 two ways to think about that. One, if I understand
 16 correctly, Dave's comment is to try to find similar
 17 scenarios in the small molecule world and look for
 18 differences. I think that there are lessons to be
 19 learned from those types of comparisons, but also
 20 within the biologic/biosimilar marketplace by payer
 21 type.
 22 One of the things we know in the small

Page 139

1 molecule generic space is that we have really high
 2 utilization rates of generics pretty much all the
 3 time. Shortly thereafter of a launch, we don't see
 4 wide disparities by payer type and generics.
 5 Another way to look at this in this market is to
 6 say are there differences by payer type, or
 7 location, or dispensing mechanism. I think it's
 8 reasonable to think that there shouldn't be.
 9 So not necessarily are we're going to
 10 achieve the realization rates that we see in the
 11 small molecule space; I think the competition
 12 dynamics are different there. But there's no
 13 reason in my mind -- and I'd be concerned if we saw
 14 very different behaviors in the Medicaid market
 15 than we see in the Medicare commercial market.
 16 MS. FALB: Following up on that point, are
 17 there important differences? It sounds like you
 18 don't think that there should be, but perhaps there
 19 are between biologics and expensive small molecule
 20 drugs that might impact their respective markets.
 21 You can take it or someone else can take it.
 22 DR. HERNANDEZ: Well, I think -- and I'm

Page 140

1 going to try to tie it with what I'm going to say
 2 in the next few slides -- it comes back to
 3 financial incentives. We know that we usually
 4 reimburse for generics based on a maximum allowable
 5 cost, so then pharmacies can dispense whichever
 6 generic they want because everything is going to be
 7 at the same level.
 8 For that reason also, discounts don't play
 9 an important role in the small molecule generics.
 10 We have basically brand names with high-list prices
 11 and rebates higher or lower, and then we have
 12 generics where there's transparency, and the list
 13 price is more representative of what we're paying.
 14 I'm going to talk a little bit about how
 15 that's very different for biosimilars, and I think,
 16 especially for the drugs that go through the
 17 pharmaceutical benefit, that's an important
 18 differentiation with small molecules, how we're
 19 paying for them and how we're going to continue to
 20 pay for them. If biosimilars are not
 21 interchangeable, we cannot pay all of them in a
 22 similar way as we're paying for generics, and

Page 141

1 that's a differentiation that is important to make,
2 I think.
3 MS. FALB: I think we can use that as a
4 segue to your presentation.
5 Presentation - Inma Hernandez
6 DR. HERNANDEZ: I'll get started in the
7 meanwhile and say that I'm going to present a
8 couple of studies that we've done in my research
9 group around biosimilars. The first one of them,
10 we're going to describe what happened to prices of
11 originator biologics when they're faced by similar
12 competition.
13 On the second of them, we're going to talk
14 about financial incentives in Medicaid in the
15 uptake of the biosimilar for Lantus, that I know is
16 not a true biosimilar because it was not approved
17 through a biosimilar pathway, but for financial
18 incentives, works in a similar way.
19 So let's start with the first one. I'm so
20 happy the slides are working. Here we looked at
21 all originator biologics that faced biosimilar
22 competition by December 2018. Again, when I say

Page 142

1 biosimilar competition, I also include within
2 molecules substitutes that were not approved
3 through the biosimilar pathway. We had the four
4 that are listed on the slides.
5 Here, we wanted to look at what happened to
6 net prices, list prices, and discounts before and
7 after the launch of the biosimilars. I know that
8 Murray already introduced the contents of list
9 price, but I'm just going to go through them again
10 because it's important to know what's in the net
11 price.
12 List prices represent what wholesalers are
13 paying to pharmaceutical manufacturers, but we know
14 this doesn't represent the whole picture. If we
15 have a drug covered through the pharmaceutical
16 benefit, the wholesaler will sell the drug to the
17 pharmacy that will dispense the drug to a patient.
18 We often have patient insurance plans, and the
19 health insurer will reimburse the pharmacy through
20 a pharmaceutical benefits manager.
21 Often pharmaceutical benefits managers and
22 health insurers negotiate formulary placement with

Page 143

1 the pharmaceutical manufacturers for discounts or
2 rebates. These discounts are proprietary
3 information because they are confidential, so they
4 are not available to us for research or for any
5 other purpose.
6 However, we found out a few months ago that
7 there is an investment firm called SSR Health that
8 tries to calculate discounts using company reported
9 sales to stakeholders. Since these data come from
10 company reported sales, they are only available for
11 drugs manufactured by publicly traded companies, so
12 we will not have BI or Purdue Pharma for instance.
13 The denominator to estimate net comes from
14 Symphony Health, and it tries to estimate all the
15 units sold in the U.S. in a given quarter. Because
16 of this calculation, net represents the average
17 amount that pharma gets per unit of product, and
18 this is net of all discounts, not only rebates to
19 payers but also coupon cards, 340(b), discounts to
20 federal service, anything that you can make. Using
21 this net price, the discount is estimated as the
22 difference, and they are able to separately

Page 144

1 estimate discounts in Medicaid and in other payers.
2 I'm not going to present another paper where
3 we've validated the data, but we got last week in
4 JAMA a big paper using all of these, and we showed
5 in very comprehensive sensitivity analyses how this
6 data is pretty robust to the research. So if you
7 are wondering about the validity, I'll refer you to
8 that.
9 Now I'll show you the results of this one.
10 This is for filgrastim. You can see that list and
11 net prices increase in parallel until 2013 or so.
12 Net prices for the originator biologic started to
13 decrease in 2015 around the time that Zarxio
14 reached the market, and this was driven by
15 discounts in payers other than Medicaid.
16 Obviously, the Medicaid discount was not
17 going to increase if the list price is not
18 increasing any further. You can also see how the
19 net price continues to decrease over time with the
20 entry of more competition.
21 For pegfilgrastim, we only have one data
22 point after biosimilar entry, so the data is not

Page 145

1 very robust. But you can see a very similar story
 2 where list and net prices increased in parallel,
 3 and then once we have competition, list prices
 4 stagnate and net prices seem to decrease.
 5 This is infliximab, very similar. We see
 6 list and net prices increasing in parallel until
 7 2013. You can see that net prices have started to
 8 decrease around 2013, which is a few years before
 9 biosimilar entry. I would like to acknowledge that
 10 there are many other factors in the market other
 11 than biosimilars.
 12 In this case Simponi Aria, which is a direct
 13 competitor, was approved in 2013, so it's hard for
 14 me also sometimes to say that all of these
 15 decreases that we are seeing are just a product of
 16 biosimilar competition. Anyway, you can see that a
 17 few years later when biosimilars did come to the
 18 market, prices continued to decrease.
 19 Finally, these are the results for Lantus.
 20 You can also see the net prices have started to
 21 decrease before Basaglar was approved, but there
 22 were other molecules in the long-acting insulin

Page 146

1 market. I'm not sure of the direct competitors of
 2 Lantus, really, but there's also been a lot of
 3 social pressure against prices of insulin, so I
 4 think there's also a lot of factors that play in
 5 account here. All of these results were published
 6 last year if someone wants to look at them in more
 7 detail.
 8 I think this shows, in general, as a
 9 summary, the list price of originator biologics is
 10 stagnated but did not decrease after biosimilar
 11 entry, however, net prices did decrease. This was
 12 driven by increasing discounts in payers other than
 13 Medicaid.
 14 I was asked to talk where the net prices
 15 start to decrease before or after biosimilar entry.
 16 In the case of infliximab and Lantus, we see that
 17 they start to decrease before but, again, there are
 18 factors at play in the market, so I don't want to
 19 fully attribute these two biosimilars.
 20 I was also asked to talk about the more
 21 biosimilars that come into the market, the higher
 22 the discounts we see. I think we don't have enough

Page 147

1 data to answer that question yet. For instance,
 2 for filgrastim, we see three competitors and net
 3 prices have decreased substantially, but the
 4 others, I have data to compare only half, one data
 5 point after the entry.
 6 So I think once we have more data, we'll be
 7 able, really, to compare what's the difference in
 8 net price between biologics that have seen three
 9 biosimilars versus those that have seen one. But
 10 again, I don't think this is a fair comparison
 11 right now because I don't have enough to say that.
 12 With that, we'll change pace to the second
 13 paper, which is very similar. It looks at the
 14 uptake of Basaglar in Medicaid. Since the passage
 15 of the ACA, states collect rebates for drugs that
 16 are reimbursed under Medicaid managed-care
 17 organizations.
 18 What does this mean? We have the same scale
 19 that we had before, and this patient is covered
 20 under Medicaid. In this case, it's under a
 21 Medicaid managed-care organization that has a
 22 contract with a state Medicaid agency. Before the

Page 148

1 ACA, the rebates for the drugs used by this patient
 2 could go to the MCO, which are the ones paying for
 3 drugs.
 4 After the ACA, the rebates for these drugs
 5 go directly to the state. So basically the MCO is
 6 paying the list price, but the rebates are going to
 7 the state. This creates differential incentives in
 8 the sense that states are incentivized to use drugs
 9 that maybe have higher list price, but after
 10 discounts have a lower net. However, MCOs are
 11 incentivized to use drugs with a lower list price
 12 because they don't see the rebate money, because it
 13 comes back to the state.
 14 In some cases, to promote the use of branded
 15 products among MCOs, Medicaid and state agencies
 16 are implementing preferred drug lists, which are a
 17 compilation of drugs that they have to favor over
 18 others.
 19 Here, we look at the utilization of Basaglar
 20 in 2018 before four types of states: states that
 21 have fee-for-service Medicaid only; states that had
 22 managed-care organizations but with carved-out drug

Page 149

1 benefits, meaning the drug benefits were still paid
2 on a fee-for-service basis; states that had MCOs,
3 and the MCOs did not have to follow preferred drug
4 lists for insulin glargine; and finally, states
5 with MCOs where there were preferred drug lists for
6 insulin.

7 We looked at all the states with preferred
8 drug lists, and we saw that all of them that
9 included insulin glargine in the preferred drug
10 lists, they all preferred Lantus over Basaglar,
11 100 percent. The data to use these comparisons was
12 Medicaid drug list utilization data, which as you
13 may know is publicly available. The outcome was
14 the proportion of insulin units paid for insulin
15 glargine that was accounted by Basaglar.

16 Here you can see the results. You can
17 basically see that the market share of Basaglar is
18 close to zero in all the states, except for the
19 ones that have Medicaid managed-care organizations
20 that are not subject to preferred drug lists. In
21 the paper, we go a little bit further and we show
22 the correlation between the penetration of

Page 150

1 managed-care organizations and the uptake of
2 Basaglar, and you can see that it's pretty
3 significant.

4 In summary, we only see a substantial uptake
5 of Basaglar in the states that have Medicaid
6 managed-care organizations that are not subject to
7 preferred drug lists. I think this is timely
8 because more states are implementing preferred drug
9 lists these months and these years, and they are
10 also including more drugs in the preferred lists.
11 Originally, many PDLs started just with the drugs
12 for hep C, but increasingly, they are implementing
13 more drugs that are subject to the preferred drug
14 lists.

15 As a summary of my presentation, I don't
16 think the biosimilars are showing they're exerting
17 some competition in the market. It does seem like
18 all the competition happens in the discount space,
19 so it's very important to not only look at what
20 happens in the list price but trying to use these
21 estimates, the same as Murray talked. The best
22 system we have is net prices because it's really

Page 151

1 where we see the competition. That's all I have.

2 MS. FALB: Thank you.

3 When thinking about the impact of biosimilar
4 entry on the market, what impacts do we see or do
5 we anticipate that are positive, how do we further
6 those, which do we see or anticipate that are
7 negative, and what could be done to either minimize
8 or prevent them?

9 DR. HERNANDEZ: I always make this comment
10 when talking about list and net prices, and I'm
11 also going to make it here. I think it's good that
12 net prices are decreasing. I think that's always a
13 good sign now. It means that premiums are not
14 going to increase at least.

15 I'd still like to point out that there are a
16 lot of patients exposed to list prices. We know
17 that co-payments are usually based on list price,
18 and we know that patients on high-deductible plans
19 or without insurance, they're also exposed to list
20 price.

21 So as much as we like to look at the net
22 price data because it's probably a good sign now of

Page 152

1 what payers are supposed to, I think we need to
2 remember that the patients that probably have the
3 most access barriers are the ones that are exposed
4 to list. So I think we still need to keep that in
5 mind that there's value in list price.

6 MR. SCHMIDT: I agree with that, but I would
7 also add that I think it would be useful to look
8 directly at what the patients are paying to the
9 extent that we have claims data sets that might
10 identify exactly what the incidence is on patients
11 and not just realize list price as a proxy for
12 that.

13 DR. HERNANDEZ: I forgot to say that. Yes.
14 We haven't looked at out-of-pocket payments yet,
15 but I would like very much to do so. But I'm
16 looking for a grant to do that, so if anybody's
17 interested in funding this type of work,
18 [indiscernible].

19 MR. BRILL: I'll just add that when we think
20 about the winners, we want to think, just as Inma's
21 presentation shows, that the effects across the
22 whole market on all the reference product prices

Page 153

1 are relevant when we think about the savings. I
2 think this illustrates one of the real differences
3 in the market for biologics and biosimilars
4 relative to the market in the small molecule space
5 for brand and generic products.
6 We're seeing, at least initially, a very
7 different dynamic, where as we know in the small
8 molecule space, the reference products, the brand
9 products, are generally holding their price
10 constant when generics enter and giving up large
11 market shares, and we're seeing a very different
12 behavior among reference products in the biologic
13 space.
14 I think that that's interesting. I think
15 that that was unanticipated by many of the folks
16 who were trying to think about what the cost
17 savings in this market might be. But at the same
18 time, it may present challenges ultimately for the
19 desired maturity of this market because is it the
20 ability of the biosimilars to compete or is their
21 ability relative to the reference product, their
22 pricing relative to the reference product?

Page 154

1 So there needs to be some opportunity for
2 them to earn back their large fixed-cost
3 investments, so there's a little bit of a tradeoff
4 in that dynamic.
5 MR. SCHMIDT: The thing I was really struck
6 by is it suggests to me that the competition here
7 is more similar to the classic brand-on-brand
8 competition that we see in small molecules, where
9 they don't generally compete on list price and do
10 compete on rebates and other sorts of discounts,
11 co-payment programs and such. I think that's an
12 interesting dynamic in this market.
13 MR. AITKEN: I think what we see is not so
14 much the distinction between the small molecule and
15 the large molecule, but it's more the distinction
16 of the payer type and the channel. This notion
17 that we've had for a while that biologics are
18 different, I think we need to get beyond that and
19 say more different payment types.
20 Fee-for-service Medicaid is different than
21 MCO managed-care Medicaid; that Part B and Part D
22 is different; that commercial is different than

Page 155

1 Medicare and so on, and to look through that lens
2 at what's going on as opposed to is it a large
3 molecule or a small molecule, now that we have the
4 cohort of large molecules approved and able to
5 access the market that we didn't have three years
6 ago or five years ago.
7 MS. FALB: What impact do you anticipate
8 that the entry of interchangeables will have on the
9 market?
10 DR. HERNANDEZ: I think we discussed it this
11 morning. I think it will be important for the ones
12 covered under mostly the pharmaceutical side
13 because payers will be less concerned about rebate
14 traps. If they are interchangeable, you're going
15 to be able to virtually shift all of the patients.
16 So I think that will be a big improvement in that
17 sense.
18 Still, getting back to the point that we're
19 making, it's very important to think about how we
20 are paying for drugs and how we're going to pay for
21 interchangeable biologics and interchangeable
22 biosimilars.

Page 156

1 MR. BRILL: I think that's right. I think
2 it's to be determined how the pricing works for an
3 interchangeable obviously because we don't have
4 them. As was discussed in the morning session and
5 as Inma just noted, it's only relevant, I think, in
6 the pharmacy space. But I do think there is the
7 potential for simplicity and reduced friction in
8 the market for an interchangeable biosimilar that
9 could facilitate higher uptake rates.
10 MS. FALB: Thank you. Alex, if you could
11 present?
12 Presentation - Alex Brill
13 MR. BRILL: Thank you very much, and thank
14 you, everyone, for being here this afternoon. I
15 was asked to speak about some of the barriers that
16 we see in the marketplace today for biosimilars,
17 and this is based on some work that I've done in
18 the last few years trying to identify, categorize,
19 and put in buckets these types of barriers. One of
20 the big themes there is there's not one, there's
21 many, and it's the cumulative effect of these
22 barriers that I think may be impeding the market to

Page 157

1 some extent.

2 I want to talk about this from a broad

3 perspective when we think about barriers. I think

4 the first thought when we say "barriers" is we

5 think barriers are bad; they're things that are

6 blocking.

7 I want to step back a bit and say we need to

8 think about the barriers in the broadest terms

9 possible. There are some good barriers, as I'll

10 get into, and there are certainly many bad

11 barriers. And I think we're here to talk about the

12 bad barriers not the good barriers. But I think

13 it's important to recognize that barriers can be a

14 useful tool, can provide a service, and can provide

15 value, and then I'll talk about some of the

16 consequences and policy implications.

17 As I mentioned, when we say "barriers" I

18 think we think of that as being a negative. I want

19 to speak of two types of barriers. Besides just

20 being good or bad, we can think of barriers as

21 being barriers to entry and we can think of some

22 barriers as barriers to utilization. The

Page 158

1 utilization barriers I think our uniformly going to

2 be bad. Once we have entry, we shouldn't be trying

3 to inhibit the utilization of a product that is

4 biosimilar and is less costly.

5 When we think about entry, just to be

6 honest, I think it's a little bit more complicated

7 and there are some appropriate barriers to protect

8 both the innovator, to some extent, and to protect

9 the consumer. That's not to say that all barriers

10 to entry are good but it's mixed.

11 So what kind of barriers might be

12 reasonable? Well, it's actually not controversial.

13 I think that patents are barriers and patents are a

14 valid and important part of this ecosystem here.

15 BPCIA created an additional barrier, an exclusivity

16 period.

17 I cut my teeth in this industry arguing over

18 this exclusivity period with a Duke University

19 professor named Henry Grabowski and the economics

20 of exclusivity, and I lost that battle. I would

21 have thought that seven years would have been a

22 sufficient period of time, but I also think that

Page 159

1 it's true that zero isn't the optimal period and

2 that there's a balance to be struck.

3 I think this is important, in part, in the

4 policy context because oftentimes the disagreement

5 in policy circles between those who are interested

6 in creating barriers and those who are interested

7 in reducing barriers sometimes gets murky and there

8 can be some crosstalk. I think if we split this

9 debate, recognizing that there can be valid types

10 of barriers, we can disarm some of the debate, and

11 we can focus on those barriers that are negative

12 and adverse to competition.

13 Finally, I think there's another set of

14 non-controversial barriers, which is, in essence,

15 the approval process is a barrier. Of course it

16 is. It's costly, it's time-consuming, it's

17 uncertain, and it's for the safety and efficacy of

18 the product. It's in the interest of the patient.

19 We all recognize the importance of having high

20 standards. Even though those standards pose a

21 barrier, they are barriers that are yielding good,

22 good for both the patient of course, but good for

Page 160

1 the market ultimately.

2 Then of course there are the bad barriers,

3 the barriers that we need to identify and root out.

4 Many of them were discussed this morning.

5 Christine Simmon described them in the first

6 session. They really fall across a whole host of

7 categories. Some of them are policy related and

8 some of them are more market-based. Some of them

9 are things that regulators could do better or

10 differently. They could try to reduce their

11 burdens that they're imposing on the markets.

12 Some may be things that agencies could

13 recognize as bad behavior in the market and they

14 can work to mitigate. A few of them are up here,

15 things like the contracting practices engaged in by

16 the payers that may favor in a near-term

17 arrangement a brand product, and thereby inhibiting

18 or discouraging the development or the maturing of

19 their biosimilar marketplace, and the rebate trap

20 that we've discussed earlier.

21 While I think that patents are an obvious

22 and good barrier in many senses, we should also

Page 161

1 recognize that that's a tool that can and I think
 2 has been abused, whether that's the thickest
 3 or other strategies around patenting that are
 4 merely about extending monopoly beyond a reasonably
 5 fair period.
 6 Then there are what I'd call knowledge- or
 7 information-related barriers, and this may be
 8 getting better. I think we're making progress on
 9 this front, but I think we still have a lot of
 10 education that needs to be done. I think the FDA
 11 is doing a great job of late in trying to fill
 12 those gaps, but we should recognize that those gaps
 13 still exist and they are not comparable. We
 14 haven't closed that gap the way we have I think in
 15 the small molecule space with generic drugs.
 16 When we think about what the consequences of
 17 these barriers might be, the bad barriers, undue
 18 barriers to biosimilar entry will have many
 19 consequences, and I should say entry and
 20 utilization have many consequences. We're
 21 extending the monopoly rent period. That's what
 22 happens when we don't have competition.

Page 162

1 As was just discussed a moment ago in the
 2 last presentation here, this can have implications
 3 for the patient costs. It depends of course on the
 4 benefit design, but the limits on competition are
 5 going to mean both higher premiums and presumably
 6 higher out-of-patient costs as well.
 7 Also it's important not to think about this
 8 in a binary sense of is there a competitor or not,
 9 but the number of competitors is important, and we
 10 saw that in Inma's presentation. The more
 11 competition we can have for a given reference
 12 product, the more discounting, both with respect to
 13 the price of the biosimilar we should anticipate,
 14 as well as the price of the reference product.
 15 Together, those two prices are affecting the
 16 average price for a given product and the cost to
 17 the healthcare system in total.
 18 Finally, there's I think a different type of
 19 barrier that's also worth recognizing. What we can
 20 do about it I think is tricky, and that's the
 21 reality of uncertainty in the marketplace. I think
 22 we've faced over the last decade a lot of

Page 163

1 uncertainty, and we continue to face uncertainty in
 2 this biosimilar market. It's getting better. I
 3 think the work in the last year or so from the FDA
 4 has helped provide more information. I think that
 5 the number of products that have successfully gone
 6 through the approval process creates some degree of
 7 increased certainty and there's learning on both
 8 sides in that regard.
 9 There are uncertainties that remain, and
 10 many of these are natural. They're natural in a
 11 free and open market, but it is uncertain to the
 12 biosimilar how the reference product is going to
 13 behave. As I was mentioning a few minutes ago, I
 14 don't think it was well anticipated that the
 15 reference product prices were going to evolve in
 16 the way that we've seen, and that has implications
 17 for pricing strategies for biosimilars. That's an
 18 uncertainty that over time will resolve itself as
 19 we have more experience.
 20 There are a set of uncertainties, again,
 21 that can't necessarily be eliminated, but I think
 22 we should strive to mitigate, which include the

Page 164

1 legislative and regulatory uncertainties, the
 2 degree to which, on either end, either at the
 3 capitol or in the agencies, new policies are being
 4 proposed, getting done, and not getting done.
 5 These uncertainties impose costs and in fact can
 6 encourage biosimilar manufacturers to wait. I
 7 think that there's important economic literature
 8 around uncertainty and the dynamic by which it
 9 causes market participants to wait.
 10 So if we ask ourselves why isn't more things
 11 happening quicker, it's often because it may often
 12 be the case that participants in the market are
 13 saying if we wait, we'll know more about this
 14 market in the future. So we can combat that by
 15 trying to educate participants in the marketplace
 16 and have quick and clear and certain regulatory
 17 guidance.
 18 All of this is to say -- in broad terms
 19 again; these aren't action items -- that it's
 20 important that we strive for an environment where
 21 the biosimilar manufacturers can anticipate the
 22 barriers that they're going to face. Barriers can

Page 165

1 be okay, as I think I made clear, but they should
2 be predictable. So something like an exclusivity
3 period is a very definitive and clear barrier with
4 a specific duration. Things like patent thickets
5 are very unclear. So there's an incredible lack of
6 predictability if there's a sort of self-help
7 strategy that a reference product manufacturer can
8 pursue.

9 To the extent possible, policymakers should
10 try to minimize the costs related to approvals.
11 Again, there's a push and pull here. Of course
12 these barriers can be very valuable because they
13 ensure, I should say, that the products are safe
14 and are in fact similar, but that process we should
15 strive -- and I think we will achieve over time
16 streamlining in that process that will reduce those
17 costs; then finally, the education piece, I think,
18 the information gaps that exist in the marketplace.

19 It's not on this slide, but I think it's
20 also important for policymakers to recognize that
21 in an environment where there are impediments,
22 barriers, that there can be a justified case, at

Page 166

1 least on a temporary basis, for incentivizing the
2 market to get over a hurdle. Because there are
3 these natural incentives for biosimilars perhaps to
4 wait, and for other market participants to wait,
5 there may be natural logic for prescribers to wait
6 before they start to prescribe biosimilars, to wait
7 for more information.

8 To help resolve some of these frictions in
9 the marketplace, I think it's worth
10 considering -- and this was also discussed this
11 morning -- incentive structures to try to help
12 boost the system to get over an initial hurdle, to
13 help address the information gaps, and to help
14 demonstrate the opportunities and efficiency gains
15 from the utilization of biosimilars.

16 These types of structures, whether it be the
17 Shared Savings Program or the ASP plus 8 program
18 that's been mentioned earlier, can help draw in
19 participants to the market, both on the
20 manufacturer side, as well as the payer and
21 prescriber side.

22 So I'll just wrap up. I'll say that many of

Page 167

1 these barriers have dissipated over time,
2 particularly in the last couple of years as we've
3 seen more guidance. We're doing better, but I
4 think at the same time, there's still opportunities
5 for policymakers to be engaged. They shouldn't be
6 satisfied with the degree of competition we see in
7 this market place today and should be pursuing
8 policies to help further extend competition in the
9 biosimilar marketplace.

10 Panel Discussion - Alison Falb
11 MS. FALB: Thank you.

12 For the panel, which barriers do you think
13 have the greatest impact on the go or no-go
14 decision for a biosimilar manufacturer?

15 MR. SCHICK: I always think that there are
16 two really important barriers that are particularly
17 problematic in this space. The first one is
18 manufacturing these products consistently with good
19 quality and then to scale up that production. It's
20 just not as trivial in this market as compared to
21 the small molecule market. Of course our small
22 molecules are difficult to manufacture. The

Page 168

1 biosimilars are just very difficult. It's hard to
2 get the Coca-Cola recipe, as some people refer to
3 it, right each and every time.

4 Another thing I think that is always
5 important to emphasize -- and Murray kind of
6 alluded to this in his talk -- is that this is a
7 very lucrative market. This is the up-and-coming
8 market for getting a high amount of sales.

9 There's a very extensive playbook that's
10 well established for incumbents for how you deal
11 with people not coming into your space and taking
12 away your sales. Unfortunately, the playbook
13 really benefits the incumbents very well. A lot of
14 manufacturers, they're on both sides of this aisle.
15 It's great when they're the incumbent and it's not
16 so great when they're not the incumbent, and how to
17 deal with that is very difficult.

18 One reason -- in addition to everything Alex
19 mentioned -- why we might be seeing so many
20 barriers is that people are fighting very hard to
21 keep their very lucrative cash cows to themselves
22 as long as possible.

Page 169

1 MR. SCHMIDT: One thing I would add to
 2 amplify Alex's point about education is that I
 3 think one development that was very important in
 4 getting small molecule generics such great
 5 acceptance, obviously, was all the state
 6 substitution laws. I think FDA can play an
 7 important role in educating people and state
 8 capitals about what the appropriate role is for
 9 interchangeable and biosimilar products.
 10 This is complicated stuff. Speaking as an
 11 economist, it's very complicated stuff. To the
 12 extent that we have scientists here that can help
 13 state legislators understand appropriate rules for
 14 substitution, I think that could be incredibly
 15 helpful.
 16 MR. AITKEN: I would add one comment. We
 17 haven't really talked about markets outside of the
 18 U.S., but as we recognize, we live in a global
 19 world, and there is a relevance to the European
 20 markets as it relates to decisions made by
 21 manufacturers as to whether they will invest in the
 22 production capacity and regulatory submissions for

Page 170

1 additional biosimilars to come to market.
 2 I think when we observe what's going on in
 3 Europe, there's been a significant decline in the
 4 prices there for biosimilars: heated competition,
 5 use of winner takes all
 6 price-based tenders and so on, all of which reduces
 7 the attractiveness of that part of the market; and
 8 it's not an insignificant share of the global
 9 market for biologics and the potential for
 10 biosimilars.
 11 So there is an interconnectedness I think as
 12 we think about what's it going to take for us to
 13 have sustainable levels of competition in this
 14 market. We need more than just one or two players
 15 in biosimilars. We want to see 3 or 6 or 9
 16 different types of competitors to make this market
 17 really effective. To that extent, I think just
 18 watching what's going on in other parts of the
 19 world, in particular Europe, is also very relevant.
 20 MR. BRILL: Just to add on to Murray's
 21 point, what we've seen so far is competition in the
 22 blockbuster market of biologics, and when we think

Page 171

1 about what other barriers exist, this is a
 2 high-fixed cost business. It's hundreds of
 3 millions of dollars to get in, not millions of
 4 dollars. And over time, I think there's a
 5 technology piece that we need to see evolved so
 6 that we can see competition in the smaller and the
 7 lower size market space as well.
 8 MS. FALB: Thank you all very much.
 9 (Applause.)
 10 MS. IKENBERRY: Hi. My name is Sarah
 11 Ikenberry, and I'm the senior communications
 12 advisor in CDER's Office of Therapeutic Biologics
 13 and Biosimilars. I'm pleased to be able to discuss
 14 a very important topic related to biosimilar uptake
 15 and acceptance, and unfortunately it's not medical
 16 extended reality.
 17 (Laughter.)
 18 MS. IKENBERRY: It is improving stakeholder
 19 engagement, education, and understanding.
 20 While we're working on the slides, I'll go
 21 ahead and let you know that the objective of the
 22 session will be to discuss some real-world

Page 172

1 considerations surrounding biosimilars and how
 2 healthcare providers' and patients' knowledge,
 3 awareness, and perceptions regarding biosimilar and
 4 interchangeable products can impact uptake and
 5 acceptance.
 6 I'm co-moderating this panel with Elizabeth
 7 Jex, an attorney advisor specializing in
 8 biopharmaceutical health policy in the Federal
 9 Trade Commission's Office of Policy Planning. Just
 10 to kind of give a brief sketch of how we'll work
 11 this panel, I'm going to briefly introduce
 12 everyone, and then I think give a quick
 13 presentation about some of FDA's education and
 14 outreach initiatives, and then I'm going to turn it
 15 over to the panelists.
 16 What's unique about this panel is that we
 17 have one of our panelists beamed in from Canada,
 18 and she will be presenting remotely, so I believe
 19 that she will be on the screen. Her name is Cheryl
 20 Koehn. She's from the Arthritis Community Experts
 21 in Canada.
 22 Do you know if Cheryl can hear us on the

Page 173

1 line? Is her mic unmuted?
 2 MS. KOEHN: I can hear.
 3 MS. IKENBERRY: Oh, great. Wonderful.
 4 MS. KOEHN: I can see myself. I'm not sure
 5 you can see me there in the room, but I don't think
 6 that matters, as long as you can hear me.
 7 MS. IKENBERRY: Okay. Well, I think we'll
 8 work to get your face on the screen as soon as we
 9 can.
 10 Cheryl is from Arthritis Community Experts
 11 in Canada, and she is a patient that lives with
 12 rheumatoid arthritis, and in over the last 30 years
 13 has become a national patient community leader, a
 14 patient research partner, and published author.
 15 Let's see here. At the end of the table, we
 16 have Michele Andwele. She's the editorial director
 17 for health content at the Arthritis Foundation,
 18 where she oversees the content strategy and
 19 development of patient education materials.
 20 We have Sameer Awsare, associate director
 21 for the Permanente Medical Group in charge of
 22 pharmacy, adult and family medicine, mental health,

Page 174

1 and many other areas; I did not write them all
 2 down; and Hillel Cohen, the executive director of
 3 scientific affairs at Sandoz, where he helps
 4 explain the principles of biosimilars and related
 5 policies to the healthcare community, patient
 6 advocacy groups, and other stakeholders. He is
 7 also the co-chair of the Education Committee for
 8 the Biosimilars Forum.
 9 Just briefly, I'm going to give an overview
 10 of our education and outreach efforts here at the
 11 FDA that we've done. As noted by many on these
 12 panels throughout the morning and the day,
 13 education has been mentioned quite a lot.
 14 Here at the FDA, we take this very
 15 seriously, and we've been working for a long time
 16 to help improve understanding of biosimilars among
 17 patients, healthcare providers, and payers. We've
 18 been doing this in a couple different ways, by
 19 engaging with various stakeholders and developing
 20 materials for the stakeholders to use.
 21 The thing is that this requires
 22 multistakeholder engagement. What that means is

Page 175

1 that FDA can't do it alone. We can develop the
 2 materials, but what we need is for these healthcare
 3 provider organizations and patient stakeholders to
 4 take them and disseminate them to the people.
 5 People can take our materials and use them, however
 6 they would like, to get the information to their
 7 constituents.
 8 This is just a snapshot of some of our
 9 healthcare provider materials. We have an
 10 infographic, various fact sheets, some ads, and
 11 other web content. I'm not going to go into
 12 details.
 13 Most recently, we released some educational
 14 materials for patients. It's a website and an
 15 infographic that uses patient-friendly language, so
 16 we really try to boil it down to the most important
 17 concepts that are the most important to patients.
 18 We tested this, reworked it, and tested it again
 19 and reworked it. We're happy with this basic
 20 foundational piece, but we are also working on a
 21 lot more things.
 22 This is just to build a foundation of basic

Page 176

1 understanding that highlights the similarities of
 2 biosimilars and reference biologics, and it
 3 highlights the benefits of increased access, so the
 4 goodness of biosimilars for patients, and access,
 5 and hopefully lowering costs. It demonstrates our
 6 efforts to always ensure the safety and efficacy of
 7 biosimilars or just patients to talk to their
 8 doctor and visit our site for more information.
 9 As I alluded to, we are developing
 10 additional materials for patients and healthcare
 11 providers, and we're going to begin testing for
 12 additional patient materials soon. Hopefully,
 13 we'll be able to provide some real quality pieces
 14 of video and some other information for patients
 15 soon, in addition to developing additional
 16 materials for healthcare providers.
 17 As always, you can go to our website's
 18 biosimilars page, our Purple Book, and drugs@FDA
 19 for information.
 20 I'm going to end that, and turn it over now
 21 to Cheryl Koehn, from Arthritis Consumer Experts,
 22 to provide her presentation. Thank you very much

Page 177

1 for joining us, Cheryl.
 2 Presentation - Cheryl Koehn
 3 MS. KOEHN: Thank you very much, and I
 4 apologize I'm not there in person. Given the
 5 events of the day, it's probably a good thing that
 6 I'm not. But I want to thank the FDA and the FTC
 7 for organizing this important meeting, and I look
 8 forward to hearing and learning from my fellow
 9 panelists.
 10 Can you hear me ok, Sarah?
 11 MS. IKENBERRY: Yep, we can hear you great.
 12 MS. KOEHN: Okay, great.
 13 Following on Sarah's comment, I was
 14 parachuted in from Canada to give you that
 15 perspective. We're this little country just north
 16 of your border --
 17 (Laughter.)
 18 MS. KOEHN: -- and most of our population is
 19 sprinkled along the US-Canada border, so we're very
 20 aware of the events that have been going on in the
 21 United States with respect to biosimilars and have
 22 been engaged in the conversation, as have you, for

Page 178

1 as long.
 2 This first slide really speaks to where we
 3 come from as a patient organization. I've been a
 4 person living with rheumatoid arthritis for the
 5 past 31 years and have spent that past 31 years
 6 volunteering and working in my community as a
 7 health educator and deliverer of evidence-based
 8 information.
 9 We were the first to be invited into the
 10 conversation around biosimilars, or on biosimilars,
 11 nine years ago by Health Canada, by BIOTECCanada,
 12 and then subsequently by our provincial government.
 13 The reason being, we are the largest arthritis
 14 patient organization in the country with 50,000
 15 members coast to coast, and Arthritis Research
 16 Canada is our scientific partner. So everything we
 17 do is based on the evidence.
 18 You've seen my disclosures. I believe
 19 they're on the website in my speakers bio. I am
 20 employed by ACE full-time, but I'm here today as a
 21 volunteer.
 22 Truth to power is really an important piece.

Page 179

1 Really, I think what's most important for this
 2 audience to hear from us is, from the beginning, we
 3 really clearly articulated what our patient
 4 organization rules and responsibilities are. I
 5 think that's a really important part of this
 6 conversation when we speak about information and
 7 education.
 8 Knowing the truth and speaking the truth is
 9 what we are all about. Operating independently and
 10 disclosing all sources of funding in this
 11 conversation, and in every conversation, about
 12 therapies in particular given the dollars at stake,
 13 is an absolute must. To consult incredible
 14 independent clinicians and researchers, and most
 15 importantly, our membership, is what is the bedrock
 16 of the development of our materials.
 17 So it doesn't come from the outside. It
 18 doesn't come from being bombarded by advertising on
 19 television. We feel that to be an honest knowledge
 20 broker for your community, policymakers, and
 21 payers, you have to actually be so morally solid
 22 and have that north star firmly positioned in the

Page 180

1 sky that you're willing to give up your own
 2 financial health, if that's what's at stake, to be
 3 credible.
 4 To be reasonable and look beyond the needs
 5 of your own organization, if it's the right thing
 6 to do, is paramount, especially in this
 7 conversation that is so shrouded by myth and by
 8 many other things like litigation and so on and so
 9 forth. I'm sure you've talked about those things
 10 already this morning.
 11 First, I think the most important thing that
 12 we do as an organization is to follow the evidence
 13 and then deliver the evidence. Our job as
 14 knowledge translators is really to take the
 15 evidence in a truthful way and reflect on its
 16 impact, and then put that into language that is
 17 accessible to our community. ACE does that by
 18 developing free research-based information and
 19 education programs that are relevant to not just us
 20 but the patient at large.
 21 Sarah touched on, very briefly, the
 22 materials that the FDA-FTC have developed, and

Page 181

1 they're fabulous. I think for the first time ever,
 2 Canada was ahead of the United States. We launched
 3 our information hub about biosimilars back in 2016,
 4 and it remains one of those beacons for information
 5 sources here in Canada and beyond.

6 I think one of the most important things
 7 that you may talk about on this panel is the nocebo
 8 effect. You have seen in this conversation,
 9 patients have seen, and the public has seen on the
 10 ground a whole lot of white noise. That white
 11 noise is like concerns about safety and efficacy,
 12 them not being identical, them not being
 13 interchangeable. Those things are actually very
 14 strategic when it comes to consumer-level
 15 information delivered by, in many instances,
 16 originators, originator manufacturers.

17 I think it's really important for everyone
 18 to understand that the nocebo effect is real, and
 19 the number one way of creating the nocebo effect is
 20 actually to speak negatively; to have negative body
 21 language in clinic about them; to see ads that use
 22 subtle words, or I should say not so subtle words,

Page 182

1 such as, "I love my product. I love my brand X."
 2 I think these are really important and strategic
 3 words that are being chosen to create nocebo
 4 effect.

5 The way in which you manage a nocebo effect
 6 is really important, and it takes this solid
 7 information, this evidence-based lay language type
 8 information, to manage the nocebo effect as you
 9 begin to transition patients from their reference
 10 product, or their originator brand, to their
 11 biosimilar brand.

12 You heard the previous speaker talk about
 13 how this is not an inexpensive proposition making
 14 biosimilars or originators. Biosimilars in our
 15 view are still brands. They deliver the same
 16 thing, but when you use words, like "copy" and
 17 "cheaper" and "generic," those things are, in many
 18 cases and many instances, intended to create
 19 nocebo, and this is just morally wrong when the
 20 evidence shows that they're every bit as effective
 21 at sustaining efficacy and safety.

22 So it's super important, when it comes

Page 183

1 downstream from our regulators, for educators,
 2 patient organizations, and larger health charities
 3 such as the Arthritis Foundation, to use really
 4 solid, unbiased, and positive if it applies,
 5 information about biosimilars, which mitigates
 6 anxiety regarding a switch or a transition from
 7 patient to patient or in whole-disease communities.

8 I think lastly, I'll just add this. In
 9 Canada, we are, again, finding ourselves in a
 10 unique position. We're ahead of the United States
 11 in terms of what we call up here transitioning or
 12 switching policies.

13 To date, we have three Canadian provinces
 14 that have implemented transition policy, the most
 15 recent being the province of Alberta. We have 11
 16 provinces and territories, and the province of
 17 Ontario, which is our largest province here in the
 18 country, is now contemplating implementing
 19 transition policy. So everyone that is stable and
 20 doing well on their originator or their reference
 21 product will be moved to the biosimilar that has
 22 been authorized for use here in the country.

Page 184

1 I can say this in closing, that the
 2 transition has gone very well. British Columbia's
 3 entering almost its first year, and probably 1 to
 4 2 percent of all those transitions make special
 5 access or exemption requests, and about 1 percent
 6 of those were approved. So it's not as though
 7 people who have very specific needs are not being
 8 considered, they certainly are, and they're being
 9 considered by specialists.

10 So all in all, here in the country, we're
 11 doing exceedingly well at maintaining gold-standard
 12 quality of care, as you see there on my last bullet
 13 point. I see my slides were jumping around a bit.
 14 I hope that wasn't too confusing for folks.

15 But the bottom line is that we can buy an
 16 awful lot of health care for close to \$2 billion
 17 Canadian in our publicly-funded healthcare system
 18 without compromising quality of care. For me as an
 19 individual patient, it's not enough that I can find
 20 my way or fight my way, because of my literacy
 21 level, to the best treatments available. It's up
 22 to me and all of our community to make sure that

Page 185

1 everyone living with a form of autoimmune arthritis
2 can find their way to effective therapy. So I'll
3 just end there, and thank you for listening.
4 MS. IKENBERRY: Thank you, Cheryl.
5 Now, let's see who slides come up next.
6 (Laughter.)
7 MS. KOEHN: It's like a caffeine finger.
8 I'm sorry. The slides just kept bouncing around.
9 MS. IKENBERRY: No, it was fine. They were
10 stuck on the first one for a little while, but we
11 figured out how to move them. But for everyone
12 watching here in the room and at home, you can
13 access all of the slides on the meeting website, so
14 Cheryl's slides will be there as well.
15 It looks like Sameer is next.
16 Presentation - Sameer Awsare
17 DR. AWSARE: Alright. I'm Sameer Awsare.
18 I'm an internal medicine physician, and I still see
19 patients. For those of you who are not familiar
20 with Kaiser Permanente, a quick slide, that we take
21 care of 12 million patients and spend about
22 \$12 billion dollars on pharmacy expenses. You can

Page 186

1 see we're in eight states and the District of
2 Columbia with a whole lot of clinicians taking care
3 of these folks.
4 What I wanted to show you is the methodology
5 that we use not only for biologics but also for all
6 of our generics. Unlike the external world, where
7 the health plan actually figures out what the
8 formulary is, and then the physician has to do,
9 "Mother, may I?" we actually do it just the
10 opposite way, where we have the pharmacists and the
11 physicians looking at the research and getting the
12 right specialist involved.
13 So if it's an oncology drug and it's a
14 lymphoma, then the lymphoma specialists all look at
15 it and weigh in on it before it comes to the
16 pharmacy and therapeutics committee, and then we
17 make a decision. And then we go to contracting and
18 say go find a good deal.
19 So rather than doing it the other way as the
20 rest of the competition does, this is what we can
21 do; and when we can do that, we can actually
22 promise to move 90 percent of the market share to

Page 187

1 whichever product that we're going to then end up
2 choosing. So that really is a big differentiator
3 for us, but it also gets us that engagement.
4 So we don't really have any
5 preauthorization, we don't have step therapy, and
6 our compliance from our physicians is usually in
7 the 99 percent rate without anybody slapping them
8 or telling them to call someone for permission.
9 I'm just using this slide for Inflectra, and
10 as you see, look at the evidence; yes, the European
11 evidence, too. Our doctors are like, "What? Are
12 the studies from Europe?" Do we have studies from
13 America? Okay, we found an American study. "How
14 about some studies from Kaiser Permanente?" I'm
15 like, "Oh well, alright, we can do that, too."
16 So for Inflectra, we initially had to start
17 new patients on the biosimilar. Once we had the
18 experience with about 700 patients, we looked at
19 people who had been on the originator product, and
20 we found no meaningful difference, and people are
21 sold. So it took a little bit of a while.
22 It also helps when we have specialists in

Page 188

1 that particular area who can then endorse it. We
2 have some world specialists in inflammatory bowel
3 disease who have written articles, et cetera. And
4 if we have other GI doctors who are saying, "Well,
5 I'm not sure about this biosimilar," actually
6 talking to a colleague who has expertise really
7 helps that.
8 We have the right tools in the electronic
9 medical records, and when you're ordering things,
10 the right kind of thing pops up. We actually
11 follow all of these patients to see how they're
12 doing, and we have clinical pharmacists helping our
13 physicians and helping our patients do that, and
14 then we also see what happens post-starting these
15 medications.
16 For this particular one, we actually did do
17 switching, and unlike the provinces in Canada where
18 it was a statewide decision, we actually have
19 conversations with our patients, and we were
20 definitely able to do a lot of switching. The
21 placebo effect was mentioned, and actually any of
22 these biologics, whether it's the originator

Page 189

1 product or the reference product, don't always work
2 for this particular disease, so our physicians were
3 a little bit concerned that perhaps even the
4 originator product didn't work and we saw that
5 perhaps the switch rate was about 9 percent. So
6 it's a little higher than Canada, but in line with
7 what you see in Europe.
8 We also found -- and we haven't published
9 this as yet -- when we had clinical pharmacists
10 helping, the switch rate was perhaps 5 percent. So
11 again, patients were quite good at staying on the
12 biosimilar once they had had the right education
13 and the physicians had had the right education.
14 We just published the data. I think we were
15 on a panel two years ago, and you said, "When will
16 Kaiser Permanente actually publish any of this?"
17 So about two weeks ago, we published in BioDrugs,
18 and it's the largest U.S. study on the
19 Inflectra-Remicade switch.
20 We actually found no meaningful difference
21 and no inferiority at all, so patients did just as
22 well on both. It's only available electronically

Page 190

1 right now. It will be published in the journal
2 very shortly. I think you have to pay \$3,000 or
3 something crazy to get this right now, but
4 electronically you can see it.
5 We have similar experiences with the other
6 two biosimilars that have come out for Avastin and
7 Herceptin. What I would want to point out is when
8 the first biosimilar came, it took a little bit of
9 effort. We had to actually educate our physicians,
10 educate our patients, get the specialists to talk
11 to the right specialists, and it took 3 or 4 months
12 to get that market share.
13 With the last two biosimilars, this uptake
14 to almost 100 percent happened in a 2-week period.
15 So once physicians felt very comfortable with the
16 first one, the next ones have been a lot easier.
17 So I'll stop there and wait until the Q&A to
18 give you other details. Let's see whose name shows
19 up next.
20 MS. IKENBERRY: Thanks, Sameer.
21 (Laughter.)
22 MS. IKENBERRY: I think Michele might be

Page 191

1 next.
2 Presentation - Michele Andwele
3 MS. ANDWELE: That's why I wore green, but I
4 am next.
5 Hi, everyone. For those who are not
6 familiar with the foundation, we're the largest
7 nonprofit patient advocacy organization for both
8 adults and children with musculoskeletal and
9 rheumatic diseases.
10 We started collecting patient insights
11 around biosimilars when the first biosimilar was
12 approved, the biosimilar for Remicade in 2016. As
13 you can see from the slide, we found naturally a
14 lot of other misleading or confusing information
15 that was available for patients. We did another
16 round when the fifth biosimilar was available, but
17 we recognized that the key concerns remained, and
18 as you can see from this slide, they fall into
19 three categories.
20 Efficacy, obviously, "Will I flare if I
21 switch? Will it work as well for me; because I am
22 stable? Are they safe?" which is a normal

Page 192

1 medication concern, biosimilar or not. Then the
2 cost coverage matrix gets a little bit more
3 complicated because there are so many variables
4 that determine will I pay less, everything from
5 insurance coverage to are they underinsured, and
6 are they part of a patient assistance program. So
7 navigating that matrix requires a lot more
8 conversation and a lot more variables.
9 We also found what we call a push-pull
10 dynamic for a lot of our patients with regard to
11 healthcare decisions in general, but medication
12 specifically. The push part of that dynamic is the
13 extent to which the patient is kind of personally
14 motivated to make decisions, but the pull dynamic
15 is a lot stronger because they are trusting
16 primarily their ATP to guide them in the right
17 direction. That's the individual they see that has
18 the most information.
19 So to the extent to which their physician is
20 not even bringing it up helps them to determine is
21 it a conversation that they should have, and even
22 if they are personally motivated or interested,

Page 193

1 that barometer from their physician plays a
 2 critical role. To a lesser but also important
 3 effect are larger influencers. Are there patient
 4 organizations and patient advocates who are looking
 5 out for their best interest? That's where
 6 foundations like the Arthritis Foundation play an
 7 important role.

8 The three key takeaways for what patients
 9 are thinking, first, I like to call it interest
 10 without urgency. It's kind of floating out there,
 11 but they don't really have this tipping point for
 12 them to feel that this is something that they need
 13 to really focus on; then, as I mentioned before,
 14 the provider influence is key.

15 From the HCP patient advocacy perspective,
 16 we have been doing -- and I'll mention it in the
 17 slide in a minute. As a patient advocate, we have
 18 been trying to identify ways to strengthen
 19 collaboration with other provider and HCP patient
 20 advocate organizations so that we're speaking the
 21 same language. What we have identified from some
 22 of these earlier conversations is a challenge

Page 194

1 around language, and that's where education plays a
 2 critical role.

3 Within both provider organizations and
 4 patient advocates, there is inconsistency with how
 5 we're all talking about biologics and biosimilars
 6 and the terms that we're using. We recognize the
 7 importance of a consensus among all the patient
 8 advocates and provider groups of where language
 9 should be.

10 Some of the provider concerns are
 11 independent of biosimilars. There are time
 12 constraints in every conversation. Where does a
 13 detailed conversation about biosimilars fit into
 14 15 minutes, 20 minutes, 27 minutes, with patients
 15 who are dealing with a lot of issues in addition to
 16 their medication?

17 I mentioned insurance coverage earlier.
 18 Naturally, if it's not going to be covered or there
 19 isn't a patient assistance program, why would a
 20 provider even bring it up when they understand
 21 their patients unique needs? The last is the issue
 22 around liability exposure potential with the

Page 195

1 interchangeability -- I'll try to say that
 2 fast -- designation and what that means.

3 There's a shared belief of a promise of
 4 biosimilars; we're all clear. We look to the FDA
 5 as our continued expert partner, and we recognize
 6 their varying levels of knowledge that we really
 7 need to address and the role of peer-to-peer
 8 information, both from the patient perspective and
 9 provider perspective, as Sameer mentioned earlier.

10 We're going to try to learn from our
 11 partners in Europe and Canada, from some of their
 12 lessons learned. And this is just a takeaway from
 13 a physician who transferred all his patients to
 14 biosimilars and the extent to which the trust
 15 factor played a critical role in him being able to
 16 make that move.

17 How are we responding? The foundation,
 18 independently we have been focused on a strategy of
 19 what we call communicating parity, so we have
 20 started to create communication materials to
 21 reinforce a singularity in the conversation on
 22 biosimilars and biologics. We're going to be doing

Page 196

1 some additional work with the consortium to see how
 2 this needs to evolve and change. We've had
 3 discussions about do we keep them separate or do we
 4 do them together? We made the decision to test
 5 some of our patient education materials around this
 6 parity conversation, online and in print, and we
 7 also leverage various media as you see here.

8 I mentioned earlier some of the work we're
 9 doing around stakeholder and HCP engagement. We
 10 have been leading an initiative that we're calling
 11 the Biosimilars Consortium. It has currently
 12 21 provider and patient organizations that we have
 13 put together. We've had a series of meetings, the
 14 most recent in October of 2019, I believe, and FDA
 15 was there.

16 We are working through our 2020 priorities
 17 as a collaborative consortium. Here are the three
 18 main areas that we are going to be focused on in
 19 2020. Rather than just researching independently,
 20 we want to identify ways in which all our
 21 organizations can both share data within our own
 22 realm and others. We want to really look

Page 197

1 aggressively at language. We recognize the role
2 that bias plays in the biosimilars conversation, so
3 we want to address that.

4 Once we are able to look at some of those
5 triggers, then we are hoping to collaborate very
6 closely on best practices across all our
7 communication so that we are speaking with one
8 voice, and we think that plays a very important
9 role with regard to consistency in communication
10 and education both for providers and for patients.

11 MS. IKENBERRY: Thank you, Michele.

12 Now, we have Hillel Cohen, who is going to
13 speak a little bit. I believe there's a slide.

14 Presentation - Hillel Cohen

15 DR. COHEN: Hillel Cohen from Sandoz, but
16 I'm speaking today as the co-chair of the Education
17 Committee of the Biosimilars Forum, a trade
18 association group developing and promoting
19 biosimilar use in the U.S. I see my goal here
20 primarily to identify the problems companies have
21 seen over the past several years and to make
22 recommendations to address them.

Page 198

1 We've seen several different types of
2 disparagement and misinformation over the years,
3 since 2015 when Zarxio was first approved in the
4 U.S. as the first biosimilar. These include -- and
5 people have spoken about them, and my apologies
6 that there will obviously be duplication of what
7 I'm saying with what others have said -- misleading
8 information. We've also seen incomplete
9 information that's factually correct as presented
10 but that omits important facts. FTC has talked
11 about that earlier today.

12 We've also seen negative framing of factual
13 statements to create a negative perception. You
14 can say a patient will have the same clinical
15 outcome, the same safety and effectiveness, or can
16 say there's no clinical meaningful differences.
17 It's the way in which we express it, and I think,
18 Michele, you expressed that a lot just a few
19 moments ago. On occasion, but not often, there
20 actually have been statements that have been
21 factually incorrect.

22 General targets, we've seen. We've seen

Page 199

1 people talking about efficacy. We've seen some
2 comment and messages on safety, on quality, and on
3 regulatory. We haven't spoken about that yet. Let
4 me give you a couple of specific examples of the
5 messages that we've encountered. This is four
6 member companies, not necessarily one company in
7 particular.

8 On efficacy, we've seen messages that the
9 efficacy of a biosimilar is not yet fully proven.

10 We've talked about the purpose of those trials are
11 not efficacy trials, but still people say it hasn't
12 been proven yet, or we've seen that the efficacy of
13 a biosimilar may not be as good as that of the
14 reference product. We've seen comments about
15 extrapolation. Some type of physicians, or
16 patients, will say extrapolation is not
17 appropriate. It wasn't studied in my indication.

18 Safety. We've seen statements that the
19 safety of a biosimilar's not yet fully proven.

20 Again, it wasn't the purpose of these studies, but
21 those are comments that have been made to us. Some
22 people have said it's a potential that a biosimilar

Page 200

1 may be more immunogenic than that of the reference
2 product.

3 Switching. We've heard the experience that
4 we talked about in Canada. There still are
5 comments out there that we don't have enough data
6 to let us conclude that switching from a reference
7 product to a biosimilar is safe, the implication
8 being that switching may be unsafe. I realize
9 physicians always have the ability -- we haven't
10 talked about this yet -- to prescribe whatever
11 product they feel is most appropriate. You don't
12 need interchangeability for that.

13 Interchangeability is a pharmacy-level decision.
14 Physicians now have that ability to make the
15 substitution if they make that choice.

16 We've also seen comments about the quality
17 of a biosimilar. Well, the quality of a biosimilar
18 may not be as good as that of the reference
19 product. Probably more often we've seen people say
20 it's only similar or only highly similar, not
21 identical; never mind the fact that its many
22 differences are not clinically relevant. That's a

Page 201

1 mouthful that's difficult to understand.
 2 We've talked about interchangeability.
 3 There have been statements out there that
 4 interchangeability is a higher standard. Again, I
 5 don't want to say everyone is saying that. It's a
 6 couple of messages and a couple of statements that
 7 have been out there, the implication being that
 8 biosimilars are of lower quality than an
 9 interchangeable biologic. In fact, it's not the
 10 situation. It's just a different standard
 11 requiring different additional clinical data. In
 12 fact, they're absolutely identical;
 13 [indiscernible], so they have to be identical.
 14 The regulatory pathway has also created a
 15 little bit of a problem in the sense that the BPCIA
 16 talks about an abbreviated pathway. The
 17 abbreviated pathway talks about the clinical
 18 development. Some people would say the regulatory
 19 pathway, it's only abbreviated if it's not as
 20 rigorous as a pathway for reference products.
 21 Actually, it's very rigorous.
 22 What recommendations can we make? These are

Page 202

1 just general messages that we've encountered.
 2 Clearly, all parties should be required to share
 3 truthful and complete information. There's an
 4 information flow that we've talked about, a little
 5 more exact: FDA to healthcare professional
 6 societies; these societies to their
 7 physicians and also to the patient advocacy groups
 8 with which they work; and then for the physicians
 9 and the patient advocacy groups to patients.
 10 The forum believes that patient discussions
 11 with the healthcare providers are really extremely
 12 important and will go a very long way towards
 13 gaining acceptance.
 14 Positive framing. Cheryl Koehn talked about
 15 that to a degree, and we can talk about it later in
 16 detail. You want to highlight the quality and the
 17 benefits of a biosimilar, to talk about it in a
 18 positive sense.
 19 It's also important to have easy to
 20 understand messages. The FDA has been developing
 21 these messages and making sure that you've been
 22 testing them to make sure they're easily

Page 203

1 understandable by the patients. That's really
 2 critical. It's also important to make sure they
 3 can be readily accessible. Most patients, and
 4 maybe many doctors, go to Dr. Google as an
 5 important source of information.
 6 (Laughter.)
 7 DR. COHEN: Messages should be based on the
 8 FDA documents. Not all of the FDA documents are
 9 purposely designed to be easy to understand. Some
 10 of them are directed to the industry, some to
 11 healthcare professionals also, and only some
 12 towards patients. But anyway, all the messages
 13 designed by the myriad of organizations developing
 14 these should be based on the FDA documents and
 15 tailored to their audience.
 16 It's also important to realize that there
 17 actually is a lot of information out there already
 18 available in print on the Web, and the material out
 19 there, people should review them, those who put
 20 them out to review them, and if necessary, revise
 21 them. Of course the forum is willing to work with
 22 FDA and other stakeholders to create this easily

Page 204

1 understandable information with biosimilars and
 2 interchangeable biologics.
 3 Just a few more things. More education is
 4 needed for the average patient and the doctor
 5 engaged in everyday patient care. I appreciate
 6 that for the large purchasing organizations, they
 7 may be fully on board with biosimilars. They've
 8 read the details, they have now knowledgeable
 9 people, and they're on board.
 10 Kaiser Permanente, you've done the analysis;
 11 you're on board. In fact, the patient advocacy
 12 groups, many of them have delved into them in great
 13 detail, especially those which have skin in the
 14 game. The Arthritis Foundation and the National
 15 Psoriasis Foundation have studied these things in
 16 detail, but the average patient is not
 17 knowledgeable.
 18 From bottom up, we need education. Patients
 19 need to be educated. The physicians need to be
 20 educated. Rheumatologists we found are more
 21 knowledgeable; gastroenterologists, maybe less so,
 22 so specialties may have to be focused on. Also,

Page 205

1 obviously, we urge the FDA and the FTC to exercise
 2 their authorities when possible and under the
 3 jurisdictions to prevent disparagement and
 4 misinformation.
 5 Now, there's an initiative that I believe is
 6 in the early planning stages that the forum
 7 strongly endorses, which is incorporating
 8 biosimilar education to the curricula of medical
 9 schools, nursing schools, and pharmacy schools.
 10 There are a small smattering of schools that are
 11 already doing that, but it really needs to be
 12 incorporated broadly in the U.S.
 13 Finally, we would recommend that advocacy
 14 groups and lobby organizations -- sometimes they're
 15 closely linked -- should disclose their corporate
 16 alignments, their funding, and the conflicts of
 17 interest. Now, let me be clear. There's nothing
 18 wrong with someone speaking their positions.
 19 That's absolutely fine. Everyone is entitled to
 20 their positions on all sides. It's just that we
 21 think it's important to have full disclosure in
 22 place. With that, thank you very much for your

Page 206

1 time.
 2 Panel Discussion
 3 Sarah Ikenberry and Elizabeth Jex
 4 MS. IKENBERRY: Thank you, everyone, for
 5 presenting. Now, I'm going to turn it over to Liz,
 6 who's going to do some Q&A here with the panelists.
 7 MS. JEX: Thank you, again, FDA for hosting
 8 this event and for conducting the joint statement
 9 with the FTC on this important topic. We've
 10 touched on a lot of the questions that I circulated
 11 to you all.
 12 I think the key question I have is the FDA
 13 has recently updated its web pages, for both
 14 healthcare providers and patients, to explain that
 15 FDA-approved biosimilars are just as safe and
 16 effective as the original biologic reference
 17 product, and provide the same treatment benefits,
 18 and could have the same potential side effects as
 19 the reference biologic.
 20 How can we best communicate this information
 21 to healthcare providers, to the medical
 22 professional societies, to patient advocacy groups,

Page 207

1 and to patients? What recommendations, in addition
 2 to those that you've mentioned today, can we make
 3 to either the webpage or to future joint efforts,
 4 or research as you've discussed today on these
 5 topics? So I just lay that out for any and all.
 6 MS. KOEHN: It's Cheryl here, Liz. Perhaps
 7 what I'll do is just let you know that what we did
 8 here in Canada was that it's easy to say everybody
 9 needs to be educated, but we live in a time,
 10 obviously, when people get education on a
 11 catch-if-can basis. So we created a series of
 12 videos that live on our website. Our provincial
 13 governments are referring people to those. We have
 14 online materials that can be printed.
 15 I think our little 5-minute video series are
 16 super, super helpful, and I would encourage the FTC
 17 and the FDA to produce some really bite-size little
 18 videos that people can access when it's topical for
 19 them. We have to remember, this is not for the
 20 general population; this is for the population of
 21 people who will be switched or transitioned if in
 22 fact that's what happens there. That's what we

Page 208

1 did, and they have proved to be one of the most
 2 accessed areas on our website.
 3 I'll just say that even in the course of
 4 this panel, if we're all saying language is
 5 important, I would really encourage you to change
 6 the materials and change our language. I've heard
 7 now multiple times, just in the span of 45 minutes,
 8 the word "biosimilars" and then "biologic."
 9 Biosimilars are biologic. So it's really important
 10 to let the public and the patient public understand
 11 that we are talking about biosimilar/biologic,
 12 otherwise, people think they're two different
 13 things, and clearly they're not.
 14 MS. ANDWELE: Another thing that I think is
 15 important to do is develop an influencer strategy.
 16 So much of our decision-making is influenced by
 17 peers, whether it is patient peers or provider
 18 peers. One of the things at the foundation we have
 19 invested a lot of time in is building an online
 20 community and establishing a strong support group
 21 network across the country because we understand
 22 that patients like to see themselves and talk to

Page 209

1 people who get it and who understand.
 2 A lot of people have a positive opportunity
 3 to impact and influence other people, so we think
 4 that identifying where those influences are and
 5 being able to leverage that I think will have a
 6 great impact in terms of acceptance.
 7 DR. AWSARE: I think the panelists have
 8 highlighted the same sort of strategy we used. We
 9 also created educational materials for our
 10 physicians and for our patients, and then getting
 11 the right specialist. But for the FDA, I think
 12 working with some of the national societies, the
 13 American College of Gastroenterology or
 14 Rheumatology, like you are. When Inflectra first
 15 came out, some of the GI societies were not in
 16 favor of the biosimilar.
 17 So having the right education to the right
 18 people, people are looking to these folks to give
 19 them direction, and if they don't see that coming,
 20 they're not interested in switching. I mean, the
 21 patient's stable. Why am I going to do that?
 22 You're going to call me, you're going to make more

Page 210

1 visits to my office, and you're doing well on your
 2 current biologic. Why am I going to even switch if
 3 my professional society is not endorsing that?
 4 DR. COHEN: We actually asked that of the
 5 four member companies, what key messages we would
 6 wish the FDA to have. Obviously, it's different
 7 than disparagement, so I'm talking in the positive
 8 sense.
 9 The positive messages we want, same safety
 10 profile and effectiveness if possible. I think
 11 that would go a very long way. That's probably
 12 number one. Evidence requirements of biosimilars
 13 are very high. We would like people to be aware
 14 that there's lots of experience with biosimilars.
 15 It's at least 700 million patient-days, and I think
 16 it's actually quite a bit more right now.
 17 There's a lot of experience. The EMA is on
 18 the record with their document that came out in
 19 November of 2019, saying they don't see any
 20 difference in safety with the originators. Another
 21 key message is that the scientific methods used to
 22 characterize the manufacturer and evaluate them are

Page 211

1 rigorous, and they show that the biosimilars work
 2 just as well as the reference products. So to get
 3 back to will it work for me, the answer would be
 4 yes.
 5 Finally, the fifth point that we had as a
 6 group is that the regulatory pathway is based on
 7 sound scientific policy. Doctors and patients are
 8 used to looking at clinical trials. You don't have
 9 it with biosimilars. It's a different paradigm.
 10 But these methods are very sound, and they use
 11 methods that really ensure the safety, efficacy,
 12 and the quality of a biosimilar.
 13 I think a coordinated effort from the FDA to
 14 the professional societies, working with the
 15 patient groups -- and I know that this is an effort
 16 that you've been initiating; the forum has been
 17 part of that as well. As I said before, it's a
 18 cascade that has to come down.
 19 MS. ANDWELE: One thing I'd like to add is
 20 some work around message segmentation because
 21 you're going to have patients who are treatment-
 22 naive, who a biosimilar may be their first

Page 212

1 medication versus someone who is stable on a
 2 biologic. To the same extent, you'll have
 3 physicians who have been working with biologics for
 4 a very long time and those who are newer with
 5 biologics. I think looking at segmentation, both
 6 on the patient and provider perspective, may have
 7 an impact on the communication strategy.
 8 MS. JEX: I see we're out of time. I want
 9 to thank my panelists for your excellent insights
 10 into the patient and doctor experience with
 11 biosimilars and ask everyone to give them a hand.
 12 Thank you very much.
 13 (Applause.)
 14 MS. IKENBERRY: Thank you, all. I think we
 15 could have sat up here for at least another half an
 16 hour and discuss this. But as always, we're
 17 interested in everything and what everyone has to
 18 say about this, and take that into consideration as
 19 we develop additional materials and information.
 20 MS. TEMKIN: We're going to have a break
 21 now, and we'll be back at 2:15.
 22 (Whereupon, at 2:04 p.m., a recess was

Page 213

1 taken.)

2 MR. WEINSTEIN: Everyone, welcome back. My

3 name is Randy Weinstein. I'm an attorney at the

4 Federal Trade Commission. Earlier today, we've

5 talked about disparagement in the context of FDA

6 and FTC enforcement, but what about private rights

7 of action? Does disparagement resonate in the

8 context of antitrust enforcement, either by the

9 government or private litigants? These are the

10 questions we're going to talk about right now.

11 Joining me today are Michael Carrier.

12 Michael carrier is a distinguished professor at

13 Rutgers Law School. He is an expert in

14 intellectual property and antitrust law. Rebecca

15 Tushnet is the inaugural Frank Stanton professor of

16 First Amendment law at Harvard Law School. Her

17 work focuses on copyright, trademark, and

18 advertising law. I also learned, in fact, that

19 she's an expert on the law of engagement rings.

20 Professor Carrier, by chance, are you an

21 expert in any matrimonial hardware?

22 (Laughter.)

Page 214

1 DR. CARRIER: No, I'm not.

2 MR. WEINSTEIN: Okay.

3 Of course you all know Rich Cleland, my

4 colleague, from the Federal Trade Commission who

5 spoke earlier today.

6 Both Professors Carrier and Tushnet are

7 experts in their respective fields, which happened

8 to be the topics of this panel. More information

9 about their prestigious backgrounds can be found on

10 our web page.

11 Let's begin. We talked a little bit earlier

12 today with some examples of disparagement that

13 we're seeing in this industry, but is there a way

14 to kind of organize these thoughts into some

15 buckets, for example, or a way to kind of think

16 more broadly about them?

17 Presentation – Michael Carrier

18 DR. CARRIER: Yes. We certainly have heard

19 a whole bunch of examples. Let me categorize them

20 into four categories. The first category is the

21 most extreme. We haven't heard it, but it was

22 explained in a Washington Post article in January

Page 215

1 2019. It will be discussed by a couple people who

2 will show up in the public comment period, things

3 like -- and this is from the Alliance for Safe

4 Biologic Medicines -- "We need to proceed

5 cautiously with moving to biosimilars," quote, "so

6 we don't end up with another thalidomide.' That's

7 when we had children with birth defects," or,

8 quote, "all the other things that happen when

9 safety is not considered."

10 Then we had another quote from someone

11 affiliated with the organization who said that,

12 "Switching," quote, 'disrupts the continuity of

13 care. You could end up in an emergency room or

14 being hospitalized. You can exacerbate or flare

15 your disease or even bring it out of remission."

16 So this is not appropriate given that, by

17 definition, biosimilars are highly similar to and

18 have no clinically meaningful differences from.

19 That's the first category that really makes a joke

20 of what the standard is, and then we get a little

21 more subtle.

22 The second category is where we hear that

Page 216

1 the biosimilar is not identical to or acts

2 differently from the original reference product. A

3 lot of this stuff shows up in the Pfizer citizen

4 petition, so if you look at that filed with the

5 FDA, we see that Amgen says that no two biologic

6 medicines are identical; they behave differently in

7 the body. You look at an Amgen tweet, "Biologics

8 or biosimilars. It's not just apples to apples.

9 It may be highly similar, but the patient may react

10 differently." The Genentech website says that the

11 FDA requires highly similar but not identical.

12 So the benefit to the FDA's proposed

13 guidance is that it takes on these

14 misrepresentations precisely. If you look at

15 question 6, the biosimilar is not required to be

16 identical, that's really important, and I'm glad to

17 see that.

18 The third category deals with

19 interchangeability, and as we've heard this

20 morning, there are some intimations that just

21 because a biosimilar's not interchangeable, maybe

22 it doesn't meet that highest standard of safety and

Page 217

1 efficacy. For example, Janssen said, "Even though
 2 the biosimilar is very similar to Remicade, it
 3 doesn't mean it's interchangeable," and really
 4 emphasized that throughout its materials. And
 5 there in question 6 in the FDA's guidance, we see
 6 that just because it's not interchangeable doesn't
 7 mean it's not safe and effective.
 8 Then finally, and perhaps most subtly, is
 9 where the company says that the drug acts
 10 similarly. Janssen for example says you may be
 11 asked to switch to a biosimilar that works in a
 12 similar way to Remicade. This is a little more
 13 subtle than the others, but still the assumption is
 14 that it doesn't act the same way. And we see the
 15 FDA in question 5 on its guidance, the FDA also
 16 saying you don't look at the number of indications
 17 for which the product is licensed; that doesn't
 18 tell you how safe it is.
 19 So with all of this, we see different levels
 20 of categorization, but in all of them there is some
 21 sense in which there is not equivalency with the
 22 biosimilar and that it presents real issues. As we

Page 218

1 go through this panel, it's worth thinking about
 2 what the net impression is. If there is one
 3 interpretation that really shows that it's not as
 4 safe or effective, what can we do with it? So that
 5 would be how I would categorize these statements.
 6 MR. WEINSTEIN: Thank you, Professor
 7 Carrier.
 8 Professor Tushnet, we talked in an earlier
 9 panel today about the FDA and FTC enforcement
 10 paradigm. What about private rights of action in
 11 the context of disparagement?
 12 Presentation – Rebecca Tushnet
 13 DR. TUSHNET: Great. I'm just going to give
 14 a quick overview of the Lanham Act false
 15 advertising cause of action. Private competitor
 16 plaintiffs can often also bring state law claims,
 17 but they probably shouldn't detain us for very
 18 long.
 19 The key element of the Lanham Act claim are
 20 the falsity or misleadingness of a statement, the
 21 materiality of the statement to a reasonable
 22 consumer's purchase decision, and the likelihood of

Page 219

1 harm to the plaintiff. In the kind of case that
 2 we're talking about here, the likelihood of harm to
 3 the plaintiff is probably fairly clear.
 4 A couple things that are mostly unique to
 5 the Lanham Act cause of action compared to an FTC
 6 or state consumer protection claim, the key thing
 7 is the sharp doctrinal difference between false and
 8 misleading claims. False and misleading claims are
 9 actionable, but in a Lanham Act case, the burden on
 10 the challenger is much greater if a claim is
 11 misleading than if it is literally false.
 12 That puts a premium on distinguishing
 13 falsity from misleadingness. How does a plaintiff
 14 establish that a claim is false? Courts ask what
 15 is the explicit meaning of the claim? Once you
 16 know the explicit meaning, you can then determine
 17 whether that factual claim is false. However, of
 18 relevance here is that courts are sometimes willing
 19 to make general inferences from disparagement about
 20 what the claim is. It is also important to
 21 distinguish lay audiences and expert audiences.
 22 Different people may differ or different groups may

Page 220

1 differ in their understanding of the term.
 2 My favorite example of this is a case where
 3 the defendant said that the competitor's product
 4 was subject to catastrophic failure. It was a
 5 medical device, and the engineering dictionary says
 6 catastrophic failure is failure that happens
 7 without any warning; the device is performing,
 8 performing, performing, and then it stops. But the
 9 plaintiff established that, to doctors,
 10 catastrophic failure meant a failure that harms a
 11 patient, which is a very different thing, and the
 12 court found literal falsity because of the meaning
 13 of the term to doctors. So dictionary meanings may
 14 not be as important as what people are likely to
 15 understand.
 16 Suppose a claim is not false? How do you
 17 establish whether it's misleading? This is
 18 relevant if a claim is ambiguous and it has
 19 potentially true and potentially false meanings,
 20 much of the stuff that we've been talking about
 21 here.
 22 The question is what message does a

Page 221

1 reasonable consumer receive? This is usually done
 2 through surveys of the relevant consumers, and the
 3 rule of thumb is that if 15 percent or more of
 4 consumers, the net of some control, receive a
 5 message, then the plaintiff is relatively likely to
 6 prevail.

7 When is this empirical evidence of consumer
 8 reaction necessary? It's not in literal falsity
 9 cases. Literal falsity is presumed to reach a
 10 substantial number of reasonable consumers, but
 11 surveys are basically always required in
 12 misleadingness cases.

13 There are a couple of exceptions. If
 14 there's an intent to deceive consumers, then that
 15 can substitute for evidence of consumer reaction.
 16 Sometimes direct testimony from deceived consumers
 17 can substitute but probably this is not a great
 18 scenario for that just because you can always find
 19 someone who's confused about something. So if you
 20 have a really broad range of consumers, the survey
 21 is going to give you a better idea of what's going
 22 on.

Page 222

1 I did want to mention, and I do have a slide
 2 from this because I think it's hilarious, there's a
 3 Seventh Circuit case, Eli Lilly versus Arla Foods.
 4 If we could get the image up. Eli Lilly sued over
 5 images from an organic producer portraying RBST,
 6 which is a hormone given to cows to increase milk
 7 production. So it's being portrayed as a
 8 scary-toothed monster with electric fur that will
 9 shock you if you touch it.

10 The Seventh Circuit finds that there's
 11 nothing in this ad that is literally false, but
 12 that it is still misleading and enjoins it without
 13 any evidence of consumer perception, basically
 14 because of the disparagement. When you look at
 15 this, it is obvious that they are telling you,
 16 well, it's complicated but RBST is scary, which is
 17 a very relevant case for this scenario that we find
 18 ourselves here.

19 The court says the use of monster imagery,
 20 weird stuff language, and child actors combined to
 21 colorfully communicate the message that responsible
 22 consumers should be concerned about RBST-derived

Page 223

1 dairy products, so I think this case is quite on
 2 point to some of the claims that we've seen.

3 The other thing that is clearly of relevance
 4 that we haven't really talked about is the
 5 relevance of the First Amendment for the regulation
 6 of these claims. In Lanham Act cases, courts
 7 generally say that the Lanham Act false advertising
 8 cause of action raises no constitutional issues at
 9 all. By definition, it targets only false or
 10 misleading commercial speech that can
 11 constitutionally be banned.

12 According to Supreme Court doctrine, when it
 13 comes to direct government regulation of speech,
 14 there is a distinction between inherently or
 15 actually misleading versus potentially misleading.
 16 So whether the speech can just be banned or whether
 17 instead a disclosure must be added to try and draw
 18 the sting of the misleadingness, this distinction
 19 is not well worked out. Maybe we can address it in
 20 the questions.

21 It's largely been done by courts guessing,
 22 or worse, about what's inherently or actually

Page 224

1 misleading versus what is only potentially
 2 misleading. There's a lot of room here for
 3 presenting courts with facts about misleadingness.
 4 It is not the same distinction that's made in
 5 Lanham Act cases, where misleadingness is actually
 6 just one category distinct from falsity.

7 This leads to a related issue, which I hope
 8 we'll discuss, which is the relationship between
 9 private and public enforcement. Courts in private
 10 litigation regularly do defer to the FDA's factual
 11 findings about what is true, but without a lot of
 12 explanation about why they're deferring or with
 13 general references to the FDA's expertise.

14 The First Amendment may start to bear on the
 15 question of the review of these agency
 16 determinations, so what are the medical facts and
 17 what our consumers' perceptions of the messages
 18 that they receive?

19 Those are both actually facts about the
 20 world, but the level of deference that they receive
 21 may differ because courts may have their own sense
 22 of how good they are at figuring out whether

Page 225

1 deception is going on. So as a practical matter, I
 2 would expect more deference to agency findings
 3 about safety and efficacy itself versus findings
 4 about deceptiveness, even though both really are
 5 subject to the ordinary mechanisms of proof.
 6 So that is my lightning tour of the relevant
 7 concepts from my perspective, and hopefully we can
 8 now add some richness to that.

9 Panel Discussion

10 Randall Weinstein and Richard Cleland

11 MR. WEINSTEIN: Professor Tushnet, in the
 12 Lanham Act, those are actions brought by
 13 competitors; is that right?

14 DR. TUSHNET: Yes.

15 MR. WEINSTEIN: What about like a consumer?
 16 Where's the ability of the consumer to bring an
 17 action for disparagement?

18 DR. TUSHNET: Really, it would be relatively
 19 difficult, although one can imagine a consumer
 20 class action saying that the disparagement deterred
 21 a whole bunch of people from trying this drug. It
 22 could be done. I think as Professor Carrier will

Page 226

1 talk about, the real possibilities for consumers
 2 here probably do lie in the realm of antitrust.

3 MR. WEINSTEIN: And is that because there's
 4 just no good law under which consumers have
 5 standing to bring a claim?

6 DR. TUSHNET: Consumers have standing under
 7 their state consumer protection acts, but there are
 8 just a lot of barriers to a successful class action
 9 at this point, not the least of which are the
 10 contracts that you might likely sign when you buy
 11 something. So depending on how the medication is
 12 transmitted to the consumer, they might actually
 13 have waived their rights.

14 Courts are also very tough on claims that
 15 not all consumers may have seen. So if the
 16 advertising is not actually on the package, then
 17 it's going to be hard to sustain a class action.
 18 So in this space, I think the false advertising
 19 issues are really Lanham Act issues.

20 MR. WEINSTEIN: Thank you.

21 MR. CLELAND: Let me follow up on the First
 22 Amendment issue with just one question here. You

Page 227

1 mentioned the difference between inherently
 2 deceptive and potentially deceptive. One of the
 3 things is, for example, in the Palm case, where the
 4 D.C. Circuit said we've now determined that these
 5 claims are deceptive; there go [ph], no First
 6 Amendment issue.

7 If the fact-finder first finds deception or
 8 a misleading, the potentially deceptive part of
 9 that equation should go into what kind of relief is
 10 ordered, not whether the court can ban the claim
 11 that is found deceptive; right?

12 DR. TUSHNET: I think that's a completely
 13 logical way of looking at it. My only caution is
 14 that courts have been very far from logical in the
 15 order in which they approach these issues.
 16 I think that's completely right, but sometimes
 17 courts get a bee in their bonnet about the order of
 18 operations here.

19 MR. CLELAND: And in terms of the
 20 materiality prong on the Lanham Act cases, that's
 21 materiality for the competitor.

22 DR. TUSHNET: It's actually materiality for

Page 228

1 the consumer; that is it has to be likely to affect
 2 a reasonable consumer's decision, and then the
 3 materiality to the consumer then produces the
 4 negative effect on the competitor. And again,
 5 you're not looking for it to affect everybody's
 6 decision. As long as a substantial number of
 7 reasonable consumers are likely to be affected,
 8 then we can see an effect on the market.

9 MR. CLELAND: But given, in this particular
 10 market, usually it's the physicians that are making
 11 or at least having a great impact on the decision,
 12 how does that affect materiality?

13 DR. TUSHNET: I think the best answer is
 14 that it's actually open to the plaintiff to show
 15 either the patient or the doctor. As I'm sure
 16 you're all aware, there's plenty of evidence about
 17 the impact that patients have on doctors when
 18 they're asking for a specific medicine. I think
 19 you could readily show actually either group being
 20 a relevant market actor, especially given that the
 21 standard is substantial number rather than uniform
 22 effect.

Page 229

1 MR. WEINSTEIN: Turning then to the
 2 antitrust framework, Professor Carrier, can you
 3 walk us through the current framework for
 4 evaluating disparagement as an antitrust violation?
 5 DR. CARRIER: Sure. So the big picture here
 6 is we're talking about monopolization, which is
 7 Section 2 of the Sherman Act. We're not talking
 8 about mergers. We're not talking about agreements
 9 among rivals. For monopolization, you have to show
 10 monopoly power and exclusionary conduct.
 11 The first piece is monopoly power. You can
 12 either show it indirectly or directly. Indirectly
 13 tends to be through a share of the market. We
 14 usually see at least 90 percent of the market,
 15 although you could see perhaps lower, maybe
 16 70 percent, together with barriers to entry. For
 17 direct monopoly power, we tend to see price
 18 increases or the price maintained at a high level
 19 or output reductions.
 20 Do we have that sort of power here? I think
 21 that we do. It's clear that biologic products are
 22 a generally very expensive product. So even if the

Page 230

1 biologics are not as much in terms of the
 2 biosimilars, in terms of the number we see, the
 3 amount of money is a ton.
 4 You look, for example, at one case, Pfizer
 5 sued J&J, Pfizer claimed J&J increased the price
 6 10 percent and still has 96 percent market share
 7 and 90 percent of producers refused to stock their
 8 product at all. In these cases, there tends to be
 9 such power, there are very few substitutes. So I'd
 10 say monopoly power is not something that we spend a
 11 lot of time on.
 12 Then the question is what about exclusionary
 13 conduct, and courts here have fallen into one of
 14 three buckets. The first bucket is that there is
 15 no liability at all for something like
 16 disparagement; the second bucket is assuming that
 17 the harm is de minimis; and the third bucket is a
 18 case-by-case approach.
 19 So the first bucket as shown by the Fifth
 20 and Seventh Circuits is that there's no liability
 21 at all. These courts say that false statements
 22 enhance competition in advertising markets; that

Page 231

1 business torts are different than anticompetitive
 2 conduct; and that false statements set the stage
 3 for competition in the advertising market.
 4 In short, they basically say there is
 5 nothing to do about false statements. I think that
 6 that is wrong. I have an article forthcoming.
 7 Professor Tushnet and I also have an article
 8 forthcoming in which we both think it's wrong. You
 9 can't say that there's no liability at all when you
 10 engage in this conduct. It's certainly possible to
 11 get or maintain monopoly power by engaging in this
 12 behavior of disparaging your rivals. It's
 13 certainly not something that the rival can fix. It
 14 certainly can have a significant effect on the
 15 overall market.
 16 So we would say that this approach is wrong.
 17 Nonetheless, if a court were to adopt it, then
 18 there's no liability because that's just what
 19 courts say following this approach.
 20 The second approach is a de minimis
 21 approach. It's followed in the Second, Sixth,
 22 Ninth, Tenth and Eleventh circuits. Basically,

Page 232

1 it's presumption that the exclusionary effects of
 2 disparagement are de minimis. That presumption can
 3 be rebutted if the plaintiff could show six things.
 4 The case law is not clear as to whether or not you
 5 have to show all six, but those six are that it is
 6 a clearly false statement; it is clearly material;
 7 clearly likely to induce reasonable reliance; made
 8 to buyers without knowledge of the subject matter;
 9 continued for prolonged periods; and not
 10 susceptible of neutralization.
 11 So again here, the bar is too high. This
 12 case arose in the leading treatise, or the
 13 framework is taken from the leading treatise, the
 14 Hovenkamp treatise in antitrust law. It was
 15 adopted at a time that the standards of false
 16 advertising really aren't clear, and there is
 17 something to say; that not every instance of false
 18 advertising is monopolization. Certainly, there
 19 are lots of instances that are not monopolization,
 20 but the cases that we're worried about, the cases
 21 in which biologics are disparaging biosimilars, are
 22 ones where there is monopoly power.

Page 233

1 How would this test be applied? Well, we
 2 start off saying that it is de minimis, then you
 3 look at the factors. So the first is clearly
 4 false. And if we've learned anything from the day
 5 so far, it's that you can have deceptive and
 6 misleading statements even if they're not clearly
 7 false. So I would take issue with this factor.
 8 And if we expand it a little bit to what's
 9 deceptive and misleading, then, again, that is what
 10 we've talked about for hours, saying, oh, they're
 11 not identical; they're not interchangeable; they
 12 don't work the same way, these are deceptive and
 13 misleading.
 14 The second factor, is it clearly material?
 15 Of course it is. This deals with safety and
 16 health. What's more material than that?
 17 Third. Does it induce reasonable reliance?
 18 Yes, relatedly. Doctors and patients and payers
 19 are going to care a lot about the assertions that
 20 are made.
 21 Fourth, buyers without knowledge of subject
 22 matter. Here, there's a lot of emphasis on the

Page 234

1 drug companies and what the drug companies are
 2 saying, so this factor shouldn't apply as much.
 3 Fifth, lasting for prolonged periods. Yes,
 4 these are monopolies. The monopolies, as we saw in
 5 one slide, go on for years, so certainly that is
 6 satisfied.
 7 Finally, the plaintiff can't neutralize it.
 8 It's hard to neutralize. Once the biologic company
 9 says we have some real safety problems here or
 10 maybe you'll go to the ER, it's tough for you to
 11 say, "Well, we're not going to go to the ER." It's
 12 really tough to rebut.
 13 So applying the test, the first factor of
 14 clear falsity I'd say is too high a standard, but
 15 that one you could argue if you were to have
 16 deception or misleading, and I'd say all the other
 17 factors are satisfied. So even if it starts off
 18 with a presumption that it's de minimis, I'd say
 19 that the factors can be rebutted.
 20 Then finally, the third bucket is the
 21 case-by-case approach. This is followed in the
 22 Third, the Eighth, and the D.C. circuits. Here,

Page 235

1 for example, in D.C., the court said there are too
 2 many forums. It's too dependent on context to
 3 enumerate all of the varieties. The multiple
 4 courts say the false statements could be so unfair
 5 that they constitute an unreasonable restraint.
 6 Courts have looked at things like whether false
 7 statements lead to inflated financing costs and
 8 whether they lock in decision-making.
 9 So how would all of that apply here?
 10 Because it's case by case, we have a lot more
 11 flexibility. Just on those two last factors that I
 12 mentioned, the first is financing high expenses.
 13 It's really hard for a biosimilar to get the
 14 financing it needs if it's subject to all of these
 15 inappropriate claims. In terms of decision-making,
 16 that's locked in as well.
 17 Then we step back and see the regulatory
 18 situation. It was so rewarding to hear FDA
 19 Commissioner Hahn, just like FDA Commissioner
 20 Gottlieb before, talk about things like
 21 shenanigans. These are not appropriate types of
 22 behavior.

Page 236

1 It certainly is wonderful to see the FDA and
 2 the FTC working together and this is incredibly
 3 important, but it's possible that the agencies
 4 might not be able to solve this problem completely
 5 on their own. As we've seen for the past several
 6 decades, drug companies think it's in their
 7 bottom-line interest to play these games, to get
 8 away with a slap on the wrist, and to keep their
 9 monopoly power for years.
 10 So there could be a role for courts to play
 11 a role here in terms of the different barriers to
 12 entry. I'll just mention, as we saw before, the
 13 cost of development is extremely high. We haven't
 14 talked about trade secrecy and the manufacturing
 15 processes that the biologic companies do not want
 16 to share with their rivals. We've seen that there
 17 are patent thickets that make it extremely
 18 difficult.
 19 Settlements could be a good thing, but
 20 pay-for-delay is not. The Supreme Court and
 21 activists said you cannot pay your rival to stay
 22 off the market. So while we want settlements in

Page 237

1 this case, we don't want one company paying another
 2 to stay off; that's not right.
 3 Third, the bundling, exclusive dealing, and
 4 rebates we've heard about, and finally established
 5 patients are unlikely to switch. So in some of
 6 these cases there's bundling with the established
 7 patients and the new patients, which makes it even
 8 harder for the new patients to consider the
 9 biosimilars.
 10 So you put all of these barriers to entry
 11 together, and you see it's really hard for the
 12 biosimilar to enter the marketplace. There are so
 13 many barriers already there. Then on top of that,
 14 for the few new patients who could consider a
 15 biosimilar, you threaten all of these safety
 16 concerns, it's going to be extremely hard.
 17 So I'd say following the case-by-case
 18 approach, which I think is the most justifiable of
 19 the three approaches, I think there's a strong
 20 antitrust case that could be made.
 21 MR. WEINSTEIN: Thank you, Professor
 22 Carrier.

Page 238

1 Let's back up and maybe just ask at a high
 2 level, do we need a disparagement cause of action
 3 arising in antitrust? I guess on the one hand, is
 4 there a regulatory or a policy fix available that
 5 might accomplish the same thing or is the
 6 enforcement of private or public framework we have
 7 in the consumer protection context sufficient
 8 standing alone?
 9 DR. CARRIER: I'd say yes to all three; yes
 10 to antitrust; yes to consumer protection; and yes
 11 to regulatory things that we're talking about
 12 today. It certainly is wonderful to see the FDA
 13 and FTC getting together using their complementary
 14 expertise to go after this conduct, which is subtle
 15 in nature.
 16 Is there a role for antitrust? There is a
 17 role for antitrust because no matter what the
 18 agencies can do, there's always the possibility
 19 that some bad actors will cross the line and commit
 20 an antitrust violation.
 21 The benefit of antitrust law is that it
 22 focuses on market-wide effects. There could be

Page 239

1 increased price and reduced output that antitrust
 2 is uniquely able to deal with. Antitrust offers
 3 treble damages; it offers attorneys fees; it offers
 4 injunctions; and it offers the chance to consider
 5 all of this conduct in combination.
 6 For example, you have a case involving
 7 Suboxone where you have a grab bag of
 8 anticompetitive conduct. You have citizen
 9 petitions, product hopping, and sample denials.
 10 The court on the sample denial piece said, "Well,
 11 this is pretty nuance stuff."
 12 So standing by itself, it's not a violation,
 13 but as part of the overall course of conduct, it
 14 could be, and that should be on the table here.
 15 These biologic companies are not just doing one
 16 thing; they're doing a whole a bunch of things. So
 17 putting antitrust on the table is one way of
 18 dealing with all of that together.
 19 MR. WEINSTEIN: Now, earlier, Professor
 20 Tushnet mentioned that perhaps a private class
 21 action claim in the consumer protection context
 22 would be hard. What about in the antitrust

Page 240

1 context? How would you characterize the
 2 distinction between
 3 public and private enforcement from a normative
 4 perspective?
 5 DR. CARRIER: Well, I think it also would be
 6 hard here as well. Courts are not always receptive
 7 to class actions, and then the question is who's
 8 going to organize a class when the conduct is
 9 really nuanced? Saying, well, it's not identical,
 10 that's a bit nuanced.
 11 So I think there's always a role for the
 12 government to play. The FTC uniquely has power
 13 under Section 5 to go after unfair methods of
 14 competition and unfair deceptive acts or practices.
 15 That gives us a little more leeway than antitrust
 16 law. So I think there's a crucial role for the FTC
 17 to play.
 18 MR. WEINSTEIN: With the disclosure that I
 19 may have had some insight into your forthcoming
 20 article, have courts correctly evaluated
 21 disparagement in either of these three
 22 circuit-split options? If not, is there a better

Page 241

1 approach?

2 DR. TUSHNET: The fundamental problem with

3 the majority approach is we have one branch of

4 competition law that presumes correctly that false

5 advertising harms competition; it poisons the

6 communicative environment; it makes it harder to

7 understand and compare products and services; and

8 it is anticompetitive in the most basic way.

9 In the majority approach, we have

10 competition law that presumes that false

11 advertising is fine and maybe even good. Those

12 things both can't be true, and false advertising

13 law is right about the harms of false advertising

14 to competition.

15 We think that false advertising law has had

16 the chance to develop a lot of thinking about how

17 you prove falsity and how you prove that it affects

18 consumers. These are tools that are available and

19 should be used both in Lanham Act cases and where

20 relevant in antitrust cases to show that, in fact,

21 the market did move.

22 Right now, there's a situation where you can

Page 242

1 be put into a heads-I-win/tails-you-lose position.

2 There's a case in the Fifth Circuit that is really

3 reflective of what happens, involving Becton

4 Dickinson. Basically, there was a bunch of false

5 advertising, but it seems to have harmed all the

6 competitors in the market.

7 The Court of Appeals first said, "Well, you

8 can't win a false advertising claim because you

9 can't show which of the sales were lost to you

10 because it harmed everybody else in the market,"

11 and then the court says, "And there's no antitrust

12 claim because it's false advertising, which can't

13 harm the market," and does not seem to appreciate

14 the -- that just can't be right.

15 So I think we do need a rethinking, and

16 hopefully at least the circuits that do a balancing

17 or a case-by-case approach at least have the better

18 idea of it.

19 MR. WEINSTEIN: So if you were the king of

20 the world, if you were, or perhaps just the one

21 crafting all of the laws of the United States, what

22 would be the cause of action?

Page 243

1 DR. CARRIER: Again, Professor Tushnet and I

2 have an article where we lay out what

3 monopolization should look like. So we presume

4 that there is an anticompetitive effect if you have

5 a monopolist engaging in false advertising. The

6 presumption is appropriate because we're only

7 talking about monopolists.

8 If you go back and look at the treatise,

9 it's worried and it doesn't want to have every

10 instance of false advertising become a case of

11 monopolization. And that's fair, but that is

12 implicit in what we're doing because our test only

13 applies to monopolists. So if you have 1 percent

14 of the market, go do whatever you want. If you

15 have a monopoly, however, there are certain things

16 that you can't do.

17 What we do, as Professor Tushnet pointed

18 out, is we take the learning from false advertising

19 law. We don't think it's appropriate for antitrust

20 courts to say there's no role at all for antitrust.

21 We don't think it's appropriate to say let's just

22 assume the harm is de minimis.

Page 244

1 False advertising has built up a

2 well-developed body of law. So if we can show, or

3 if the plaintiff can show, that the conduct is

4 literally false or misleading; if it is material;

5 if it deceives or is likely to deceive consumers;

6 and if it causes or is likely to cause harm, then

7 the elements of false advertising are met and the

8 presumption is that there is monopolization. And

9 the defendant could always come back and show that

10 the false or deceptive conduct is ineffective; that

11 somehow it lost market share or wasn't able to put

12 away its rivals.

13 So we think that is appropriate with

14 thinking about false advertising. It ensures that

15 false advertising is limited to the place where it

16 can do the most damage, and we think it makes a lot

17 more sense than what some of the courts are doing

18 today.

19 MR. CLELAND: Can I follow up with one

20 question? If I'm understanding this correctly, the

21 more penetration that the biosimilar makes in the

22 market, the less compelling the antitrust argument

Page 245

1 becomes.

2 DR. CARRIER: Certainly. If the biosimilar

3 is able to enter the market to the extent that a

4 generic has entered the market, where you see the

5 price fall dramatically and the penetration

6 increased significantly, then that would be a less

7 strong case; correct.

8 MR. CLELAND: So it's 20 percent or

9 25 percent?

10 DR. CARRIER: Well, in generic space, you

11 see the generic taking 90 percent of the market and

12 having the price fall dramatically. I'm not sure

13 if we'll ever get that sort of penetration and

14 discounting given how expensive biosimilar

15 development is. So we'd have to think of something

16 in between, to have more competition than we've had

17 now, but maybe a little bit less might be okay as

18 compared to generics.

19 MR. WEINSTEIN: How do we establish

20 competitive harm here, harm to competition? Is it

21 enough to show that we can prove deception? Is

22 that sufficient, or that folks were misled?

Page 246

1 DR. CARRIER: Yes. For a biologic company

2 that has monopoly power, I think that's the case.

3 You see this sort of behavior. You see that

4 biosimilars are injured. You also see the

5 regulatory scheme in which this is not like any

6 other industry. We have biosimilars that are

7 supposed to play a crucial role in lowering price.

8 They haven't done it like they should. So the fact

9 that competitors are harmed means that consumers

10 are harmed, and then you supplement that with high

11 price and lack of market share, and I think that

12 you still have an antitrust case.

13 MR. WEINSTEIN: An earlier panelist today

14 mentioned his belief that it would be hard going

15 forward to deceive at least the prescribing

16 physicians or the folks working in hospitals that

17 biosimilars were not as safe or effective as the

18 reference product. If that's true, is there still

19 a role here for harm to competition, at least for

20 the patient and the consumer?

21 DR. CARRIER: Absolutely. The markets that

22 we're talking about here are unique because we have

Page 247

1 what's been famously called by the Federal Trade

2 Commission, decades ago, the price disconnect

3 because it's not like any other market where the

4 price quality determination is made by one party.

5 So you have the doctors that are making the

6 decision as to what to prescribe. You have the

7 payors or the insurance companies that pay for it.

8 So there is a lot of room for anticompetitive

9 conduct going here, not just the doctors -- and I'm

10 not sure that that problem has been completely

11 solved -- but the patients as well, and the

12 insurance companies, and the PBMs with the big

13 rebates. I think there's a lot of room for

14 anticompetitive conduct here, so that's why I

15 wouldn't rest on our laurels yet.

16 MR. WEINSTEIN: Professor Tushnet, you

17 mentioned that there was a body of research -- I

18 don't want to mischaracterize you -- describing the

19 role that patients have in their own prescribing

20 decisions. I'm curious what your thoughts are in

21 this context, where perhaps the physician is not

22 persuaded by some disparaging comment but the

Page 248

1 patient is.

2 DR. TUSHNET: From my perspective, as

3 somebody who mostly thinks of this from the Lanham

4 Act perspective, I think it's just a matter for

5 proof what is actually going on in the market, and

6 when we figure that out, we will know. I do think

7 that you can infer something from the fact that

8 companies are trying to reach patients directly.

9 They wouldn't be trying to reach patients directly

10 if they didn't think that it had some chance of

11 moving the market or keeping the market where it is

12 in this case.

13 MR. WEINSTEIN: Thank you.

14 Is there any case law currently that exists

15 that supports this -- I don't want to

16 mischaracterize it -- what I'll call a

17 reinterpretation of how we should think about these

18 kinds of cases?

19 DR. TUSHNET: Certainly from the Lanham Act

20 perspective, this is actually a pretty

21 straightforward Lanham Act cause of action. It's

22 just a question of what are the elements and can

1 you prove them. Certainly, I wouldn't expect the
2 originators to roll over and agree that all the
3 elements have been met, of course not, but at least
4 it's straightforward about what needs to be done to
5 prove the case.

6 Then from the antitrust side, there is this
7 case-by-case approach, which at least is open to
8 hearing about the anticompetitive effects, the harm
9 to the market. Especially in a very small market,
10 by the way, of course harm to one entrant may well
11 be harm to the market if that's all you have, which
12 in some of these cases is what you have.

13 MR. CLELAND: Are you aware of any pending
14 cases raising the antitrust for disparagement of
15 biosimilars, other than I think Johnson &
16 Johnson-Pfizer?

17 DR. CARRIER: I'm not aware. But I would
18 say, going back to the last question, that
19 antitrust, as Professor Tushnet points out,
20 certainly does take the common-law approach. The
21 big picture here is we're talking about the
22 pharmaceutical industry. Pharma is basically

1 Commissioner Chopra, FTC Commissioner Chopra, has
2 stated that he wants to see the FTC make broader
3 use of its rulemaking authority. Is this an area
4 where FTC rulemaking might be useful?

5 DR. CARRIER: Sure. As I said to a previous
6 question, yes and yes; yes for rulemaking and yes
7 for enforcement in the courts. Rulemaking could
8 shed light on the problem here, and I think the
9 guidance that FDA has offered is really helpful.

10 Why not have the FTC offer similar guidance; just
11 to make clear that you can't hide behind this fig
12 leaf of clear falsity and that there's a lot of
13 deception and misleading conduct that is going on?

14 So I'd say sure. Rules could make a lot of
15 sense, but certainly not at the effect of enforcing
16 the antitrust laws because we need to do that, too.

17 MR. WEINSTEIN: What about the distinction
18 between this claim as a private versus a public
19 cause of action? What are some of the incentives
20 that should motivate the government versus the
21 private sector, either the consumers or
22 competitors?

1 giving us whack-a-mole all the time. Every time
2 you think you've figured out what's going on,
3 there's another mole to whack.

4 Just a couple days ago, we saw the judge
5 denied most of the motion to dismiss in the Gilead
6 case, in which there's a new combination of
7 settlements and product hopping that we haven't
8 seen before. Go back a little while, once you
9 thought you figured out everything that pharma was
10 doing, they transferred patents to a Native
11 American tribe to avoid review at the patent
12 office. We couldn't see that coming, but again --

13 (Laughter.)

14 DR. CARRIER: -- it comes with the
15 territory.

16 So this is just the next stage, and there
17 are so many different hurdles here, that it's
18 really clear that this is part of the game, and
19 antitrust is certainly well equipped to deal with
20 these, as we've heard about, shenanigans.

21 MR. WEINSTEIN: One of the other possible
22 options would be some sort of a rulemaking.

1 DR. CARRIER: I think an argument for the
2 government to act is that sometimes this conduct is
3 pretty nuanced. And again, imagine that it's not
4 clearly false but we're raising some sort of safety
5 intimations that maybe it's only similar to.

6 That's pretty nuanced and, to me, that sounds like
7 an ideal recipe for effective FTC enforcement.

8 DR. TUSHNET: The other thing that I would
9 say, too, is it's always an enforcement decision.
10 Government agencies have limited resources. There
11 is definitely a role for private companies. If
12 they think that they're losing millions of dollars,
13 they really at some point should put their money
14 where their mouth is and go to court and fight
15 about the money that they are losing.

16 So there's a reason that the FTC's
17 discretion is often limited, where markets are
18 deconcentrated and where we don't think that
19 there's some private interest that will actually
20 fulfill consumer interest by going after its own
21 interests. But at a certain point, when the
22 consumer harm is great enough, if for various

Page 253

1 reasons private companies aren't acting, then, yes,
 2 there's definitely a role for the government, too.
 3 MR. CLELAND: Forgive me. I'm not as
 4 familiar with all of the players in this area as
 5 others. Obviously, the cost is a big barrier for
 6 private rights of action. Are the companies that
 7 are suffering the most really in a position to
 8 litigate those rights and assume those costs?
 9 DR. CARRIER: It certainly is possible.
 10 We've seen with biosimilars these are really big
 11 companies. Pfizer suing J&J, we don't usually
 12 think of Pfizer as the little guy plaintiff. To
 13 just enter the market, or try to enter the market,
 14 as a biosimilar, you need to have a lot of
 15 resources. So, yes, I think they could litigate.
 16 MR. WEINSTEIN: So if there are no other
 17 questions, let me just offer Professors Tushnet and
 18 Carrier an opportunity to make any final remarks.
 19 DR. TUSHNET: I just think it's great that
 20 we're having this conversation. The law of false
 21 advertising is actually pretty good at grasping the
 22 realities of the market. I hope that also when we

Page 254

1 think about antitrust, we can think about being
 2 better at empirics, which antitrust prides itself
 3 on in many other categories, and then for false
 4 advertising has just decided to pretend that there
 5 are no empirical effects of false advertising.
 6 That's weird. Hopefully, we can create some change
 7 on that, and then be realistic about market harms.
 8 DR. CARRIER: I just want to say how
 9 promising it is that the FDA and FTC are working
 10 together on these issues. This is such important
 11 stuff. It's so nuanced, and the FDA and FTC have
 12 such unique skills and experiences that they can
 13 bring to bear, that I really think it's helpful
 14 because the pharmaceutical industry knows how to
 15 play these games, and sometimes we need the
 16 government agencies working together to counteract
 17 these games.
 18 So I think it's a wonderful development to
 19 see the agencies working together on such important
 20 issues.
 21 MR. WEINSTEIN: Thank you. I hope you all
 22 will join me in thanking our panel.

Page 255

1 (Applause.)
 2 Open Public Comment
 3 MS. IKENBERRY: We have some folks eager to
 4 get started on the public comment portion of this
 5 workshop. Again, my name is Sarah Ikenberry. I'm
 6 a senior communications advisor in the Office of
 7 Therapeutic Biologics and Biosimilars.
 8 For the open public comment session, we have
 9 I think 17 speakers registered. I'm not sure if
 10 they're all here. But each of them will have
 11 4 minutes to present. If a speaker finishes early,
 12 I will ask if the members of the panel have any
 13 questions for the speaker. If the speaker and/or
 14 if the questions from the panel do not take the
 15 full allotted period, we intend to move on to the
 16 next speaker.
 17 For the speakers. You can see where she is
 18 putting up the microphone, so that is your place.
 19 We have timer lights to guide you. You can see
 20 them right here on the top of the podium. The
 21 timer will give you a 2-minute warning before the
 22 red light goes on. If you have not concluded your

Page 256

1 remarks by the end of your allotted time, I will
 2 ask you to do. Please don't make me do that.
 3 (Laughter.)
 4 MS. IKENBERRY: We have a lot of people
 5 registered to speak, so please be mindful of your
 6 time and courteous to your fellow speakers. Also,
 7 please remember that the hearing is being
 8 transcribed, so please be sure to use the
 9 microphone with speaking and introduce yourself so
 10 that your name will be included in the transcribed
 11 remarks.
 12 I will now ask the panelists to introduce
 13 themselves, starting with Eva.
 14 MS. TEMKIN: Hi. I'm Eva Temkin. I am the
 15 acting director for policy in CDER's Office of
 16 Therapeutic Biologics and Biosimilars.
 17 MS. GRAY: I'm Caty Gray. I'm the
 18 supervisor for the advertising and promotion policy
 19 staff in OPDP.
 20 MS. DUTTA: I'm Antara Dutta. I'm an
 21 economist at the Bureau of Economics at the FTC.
 22 MS. BLACK: Hi, everyone. I'm Armine Black.

Page 257

1 I'M an attorney in the healthcare division of the
2 Federal Trade Commission.
3 MS. IKENBERRY: Alright. Thank you,
4 everyone.
5 With that, our first speaker is Juliana Reed
6 from the Biosimilars Forum?
7 MS. REED: Good afternoon. I'm Julie Reed,
8 the vice president of global corporate affairs at
9 Pfizer, but also the president of the Biosimilars
10 Forum. The Forum really appreciates the
11 opportunity to provide our perspective on the need
12 to discourage false and misleading communications
13 about biosimilars and to deter anticompetitive
14 behaviors that interfere with efforts to establish
15 a competitive marketplace for all biologic drugs.
16 The members of the Forum represent the
17 majority of the biosimilars approved and marketed
18 in the U.S. to date as well as those under
19 development. The Forum is committed to ensuring
20 that patients and prescribers have complete
21 truthful and non-misleading information about
22 biosimilars.

Page 258

1 As my colleague, Hillel Cohen, mentioned in
2 his remarks, we are very concerned that there has
3 been and continues to be a pattern of negative
4 information about biosimilars to patients,
5 healthcare professionals, and others who have a
6 role in adoption of biosimilars in the U.S.
7 Continued misleading information about biosimilars
8 will have a negative impact on the U.S. healthcare
9 system, physicians, and patients, ultimately
10 leading to ongoing lost of cost savings and uptake
11 of biosimilars in the U.S.
12 But we know misleading information is not
13 the only barrier. As all of the speakers have said
14 today, there are multiple barriers that are
15 preventing the success of this marketplace. The
16 members of the Forum have spent hundreds of
17 millions of dollars to bring each biosimilar to the
18 market. Pfizer alone has 8 approved biosimilars in
19 the U.S., but we all know the market is not
20 working, and it is not working for the patients we
21 are here to serve.
22 We are grateful to the FDA for your

Page 259

1 incredible work to date over the years. We're also
2 grateful for the FTC and your incredible work over
3 the years to help support biosimilars. What we
4 need now, though, is every other stakeholder to
5 join us in this fight and to get engaged to
6 proactively support policies that will remove these
7 barriers.
8 We need not only the FDA and the FTC to be
9 engaged and be proactive when you walk out of the
10 door here today to get this done, but we also need
11 Congress, CMS, payers, patients, and others to
12 start to proactively support the uptake of
13 biosimilars in this country.
14 This is about cost savings and it's about
15 cost savings to patients and the healthcare system.
16 This is about innovation in the future so that we
17 can all afford the innovation that is coming, but
18 ultimately this is about the patients we're here to
19 serve and that the members of the Biosimilars Forum
20 are here to serve. Thank you.
21 MS. IKENBERRY: Thank you.
22 Our next speaker is Philip Schneider from

Page 260

1 the Ohio State University College of Pharmacy.
2 DR. SCHNEIDER: Thank you, and thank you for
3 the opportunity. My name is Philip Schneider. I
4 am a professor of pharmacy at the Ohio State
5 University where I've been on faculty for almost 40
6 years, as well as the chair of the Advisory
7 Committee for the Alliance for Safe Biologic
8 Medicines, which I've done for 11 years.
9 I'd like to make a statement, first of all,
10 correcting misperception, a true and misleading
11 communication related to my quote in the Washington
12 Post. That relates to a quote I made about
13 supporting the FDA's role in assuring the safety of
14 the medication supply in our country.
15 ASBM has been involved in working with
16 regulators around the world, including FDA, on
17 policies that focus on safety, including
18 distinguishable non-proprietary names and an
19 interchangeability classification for biosimilars.
20 In no way do we feel that is anticompetitive, and I
21 want to correct the perception that ASBM is
22 spreading misperceptions and that I did that

Page 261

1 personally myself.
 2 Today I'd like to address my comments on
 3 what we think to be an incorrect assumption
 4 underlying the proceedings today, namely that
 5 biosimilar uptake in the U.S. is strongly linked to
 6 low physician confidence levels in biosimilars and
 7 physician confidence has been depressed because of
 8 anticompetitive practices.
 9 Last year, ASBM conducted a survey of 579
 10 physicians in six Western European countries:
 11 France, Germany, Italy, Spain, Switzerland, and the
 12 UK. We surveyed physicians in 10 different areas
 13 of practice, including rheumatology,
 14 gastroenterology, oncology, dermatology, and
 15 neurology. All of these physicians prescribe
 16 biologic in their practice.
 17 What we found is these physicians were very
 18 familiar with and confident in biosimilars. This
 19 is not perhaps surprising because European
 20 physicians have had 13 years of experience with
 21 biosimilars.
 22 Depending on the country, between 82 and

Page 262

1 93 percent of prescribers consider themselves
 2 familiar or very familiar with biosimilars.
 3 Between 80 and 99 percent would feel comfortable
 4 prescribing a biosimilar to a new treatment-naive
 5 patient. Between 46 and 76 would be comfortable
 6 switching a patient from a reference product to a
 7 biosimilar even if they were stable on the current
 8 medicine.
 9 In spite of that, if we look at the
 10 biosimilar market share in the six countries that
 11 we surveyed, there's very wide variation among
 12 biosimilar adoption in each of these countries.
 13 For example, market share for the epoetin
 14 biosimilar ranges from 6 to 84 percent. There are
 15 similar ranges for other biosimilars.
 16 Clearly, there are other factors besides
 17 physician confidence, which is uniformly high
 18 across the countries. These factors are likely to
 19 include differences between each country's payer
 20 policies; differences in the length of time a
 21 biosimilar has been on the market; the number of
 22 biosimilars in a given product class; the discount

Page 263

1 each product receives relative to the originator
 2 product; and other factors.
 3 Healthcare professionals here in the U.S.,
 4 as in Europe, are not antisimilar. It is
 5 inaccurate to suggest that negative perceptions are
 6 holding up biosimilar development and
 7 commercialization. We are enthusiastic about
 8 biosimilars and want to see them as much as anyone
 9 else, and we are pleased to see how far the U.S.
 10 has come in a few short years. We urge the FDA and
 11 FTC to continue their work to build a strong and
 12 sustainable biosimilars market. Thank you for the
 13 opportunity to comment.
 14 MS. IKENBERRY: Thank you very much.
 15 Madelaine Feldman, Alliance for Safe
 16 Biologic Medicines.
 17 DR. FELDMAN: Thank you. As you said, my
 18 name is Madelaine Feldman. I'm a rheumatologist in
 19 private practice in New Orleans. I'm also
 20 president of the Coalition of State Rheumatology
 21 Organizations and the founder of the Rheumatology
 22 Alliance of Louisiana.

Page 264

1 ASBM is an organization of more than 140
 2 patient advocacy groups and physician societies.
 3 The work includes sharing the perspectives of
 4 pharmacists, patients, and physicians with
 5 regulators and other policymakers at the state,
 6 national, and international level. I'd like to
 7 speak on a couple of issues regarding biosimilars.
 8 The first is that misinformation continues
 9 to affect the objectivity of physicians and make us
 10 essentially antibiosimilar. Perhaps
 11 rheumatologists are a different lot. I just
 12 presided over a national rheumatology meeting this
 13 past weekend and polled the entire group coming
 14 from around the country if anyone felt that
 15 biosimilars were inferior to originators. No one
 16 said yes; everyone said no and that they all
 17 thought they were not inferior; and then would
 18 anyone have any hesitancy in prescribing a
 19 biosimilar, and no one had any hesitancy.
 20 So at least for that group of
 21 rheumatologists, which was quite representative,
 22 there appeared to be at least no negative feelings

Page 265

1 in that regard. But I have to admit, clinicians
 2 are generally more cautious and conservative
 3 regarding new treatments and are hesitant to
 4 change, particularly when it comes to changing a
 5 stable patient.
 6 Because it can take years to months and
 7 months to years to stabilize the rheumatoid
 8 arthritis patient, rheumatologists have been
 9 sensitized to non-medical switching by payers,
 10 wherein that they are told the medicine that
 11 finally stabilized our patient will no longer be
 12 paid for.
 13 By changing formularies often to a higher
 14 priced drug that cost the patients more to solidify
 15 the formulary profit margin, middlemen can legally
 16 switch patients in the United States and switch
 17 their medicines every six months. This could
 18 involve switching back and forth between
 19 originators and biosimilars, which wouldn't be
 20 horrible, but they even switch patients, and this
 21 has happened, to completely different biologics.
 22 So yes, physicians are leery of a great American

Page 267

1 terms of therapeutic offerings and true cost
 2 savings, and through price reduction to our
 3 patients in the larger health system and not merely
 4 increasing middlemen-pocketed-fees and price
 5 concessions.
 6 The most important strategies to continue
 7 the process in the U.S. are strong FDA educational
 8 programs for healthcare professionals and patients,
 9 along with pharmacovigilant programs, particularly
 10 in light of the payer's ability to frequently
 11 switch patients every 6 months. This will allow
 12 clinicians the opportunity to learn from real-world
 13 experience with biosimilars and to gain confidence
 14 in using them. Thank you for allowing me to -- and
 15 I have no time for questions.
 16 MS. IKENBERRY: Thank you.
 17 Our next speaker is Sundar Ramanan, Biocon.
 18 DR. RAMANAN: Hi. My name is Sundar
 19 Ramanan, vice president and head of global
 20 regulatory affairs for Biocon Biologics, a fully
 21 integrated biosimilars company. Our goal is to
 22 transform health care and transform lives by

Page 266

1 switching experiment.
 2 Speaking of incentives in our supply chain
 3 on the pharmacy side, formulary placement, hire
 4 list prices, and higher market share are the ones
 5 that are preferred. That puts biosimilars behind
 6 the eight ball from the get-go once they've been
 7 launched. If incentives are implemented for
 8 biosimilars, any cost consideration should be
 9 directed to the patient because
 10 incentives that monetarily benefit the physician
 11 could actually undermine the patient's trust in
 12 their doctors.
 13 Finally, repeating what everyone has said,
 14 considering the perception that U.S. lags behind
 15 Europe, thinking that at 5 years out from
 16 biosimilar approval in Europe, there were
 17 11 products approved, in the United States we have
 18 26. The FDA deserves credit for their support in
 19 building a biosimilar market so quickly without
 20 compromising on safety or efficacy standards.
 21 Physicians are enthusiastic about
 22 biosimilars and the benefits they can bring in

Page 268

1 bringing affordable high-quality biosimilars to the
 2 U.S. patients. We're also an innovative company,
 3 and we intend to transfer the value of innovation
 4 to the health systems and patients. We thank the
 5 agencies for setting up this public workshop and
 6 working towards a fair and balanced marketplace for
 7 biosimilars.
 8 The things that I'm going to cover fall
 9 under five buckets. Number one, insulin guidance.
 10 We applaud the agency for issuing a draft guidance
 11 for insulin. The draft guidance is science-based
 12 and patient-focused. Despite the expected
 13 opposition that has come from few companies, we
 14 urge the agency to finalize the guidance.
 15 In addition, for molecules like insulin with
 16 high financial unmet need, we request the agency to
 17 consider a shorter time frame for the review
 18 process once the filing is made. The agency
 19 already has precedence in the generic space. This
 20 is another critical component to bringing these
 21 much needed products to insulin patients faster and
 22 fostering competition.

Page 269

1 Number two, interchangeability. With the
 2 abundance of real-world evidence and frequent
 3 marketplace driven switching demonstrating the
 4 safety of biosimilars globally, we request the
 5 agency to reconsider ON/R [indiscernible], and
 6 evaluate the need for multiple switch studies for
 7 interchangeability.

8 Furthermore, we ask the agency to reconsider
 9 the need for any distinction between the evidence
 10 requirements for biosimilarity and interchangeable
 11 biologics. Any regulatory requirement must be
 12 based on science and evidence and not based on
 13 fear. Needless to say, we collectively must put
 14 the patient's safety first.

15 The immunogenicity data requirement for
 16 biosimilarity already satisfies the data
 17 requirement for interchangeability. No new or
 18 additional information will be gained from multiple
 19 switch studies, however, it only results in time
 20 delay and wasted resources in bringing
 21 interchangeable products to patients.

22 From a practical point of view, either due

Page 270

1 to the use of exclusive formulary replacement in
 2 retail pharmacy or through institutional buying
 3 practices, interchangeability is the de facto
 4 practice in a large number of cases. Practically
 5 speaking, though, the regulatory distinction ends
 6 up giving an opportunity for originators to create
 7 an incorrect perception that biosimilarity standard
 8 is not necessarily adequate for safe and effective
 9 use while not having a meaningful impact on actual
 10 usage.

11 Number three. Disincentivize
 12 anticompetitive behavior on the part of reference
 13 product manufacturers and provide positive
 14 incentive for biosimilars. The biosimilar market
 15 is at the critical juncture, and the steps taken to
 16 encourage it now will be critical to ensure its
 17 viability.

18 There have been multiple instances where
 19 biosimilar products have not been encouraged, but
 20 have been actively excluded from insurance
 21 coverage. It is critical that positive incentives
 22 such as ASP plus 8 percent reimbursement and steps

Page 271

1 like additional biosimilar or specialty tiers in
 2 Medicare Part D be provided in order to avoid
 3 originator behavior intended to discourage entry of
 4 biosimilars and reduce long-term competition.

5 Delays and cost to frivolous patent
 6 litigation and patent thickets should also be
 7 disincentivized. We also request the agency to
 8 take strong action against misinformation
 9 campaigned by the reference product manufacturers.

10 Allowing innovation in the biosimilar development
 11 with regards to evidence required, related to
 12 immunogenicity, there is little clinical relevance
 13 of immunogenicity in oncology settings and general
 14 immunosuppressant status.

15 For drugs with less frequent dosing, say,
 16 for example, every 6 months, the need for switch
 17 studies is not value-added. Scientific rationale
 18 should be encouraged based on the risk of
 19 immunogenicity.

20 With regard to sample size determination,
 21 the methodologies need to evolve further to keep in
 22 time with the times. Specifically, we request the

Page 272

1 agency to utilize Bayesian statistics for residual
 2 uncertainty. We also request the agency to allow
 3 for mathematical models in PK/PD for extrapolation
 4 of indications.

5 Lastly on naming, we request the agency to
 6 consider, with significant experience in the
 7 marketplace, the need for suffix for biosimilars.

8 We have additional comments, and we'll be
 9 submitting to the docket. We thank you for the
 10 opportunity to present.

11 MS. IKENBERRY: Thank you.

12 Next, Andrew Spiegel, Global Colon Cancer
 13 Association.

14 MR. SPIEGEL: Good afternoon. My name is
 15 Andrew Spiegel, the executive director of the GCCA,
 16 and today I am proud to not only represent that
 17 organization but also the Alliance for Safe
 18 Biologic Medicines, an organization which I am a
 19 founding member for more than 10 years ago. We
 20 have advocated for patient-centered policies
 21 regarding biosimilars since then.

22 To that end, I have testified numerous times

1 before the FDA in support of approving biosimilars
2 and before state legislatures all across the nation
3 in support for updating pharmacy practices to
4 facilitate biosimilar substitution. We have also
5 worked and held three joint meetings with the FDA,
6 Health Canada, and the World Health Organization,
7 all with the goal of advancing a harmonized
8 international standard for biologic naming to
9 improve global pharmacovigilance for all biologics
10 and biosimilars.

11 I can assure you as a founding member of
12 ASBM that no ASBM member has ever suggested that a
13 patient went to the emergency room as a result of
14 switching to a biosimilar. Those patients are here
15 and can tell you their own story later, but I can
16 assure you that not only did that not happen, but
17 ASBM has never advocated or suggested that a
18 biosimilar is inferior to a biologic originator
19 product, and to the contrary, we've been fierce
20 advocates for biosimilar uptake all around the
21 world.

22 It's also been my privilege to serve in a

1 To the contrary, I am encouraged by the
2 extremely positive reaction biosimilars have had in
3 the United States thus far, and patients,
4 physicians, and healthcare providers have all
5 seemed to accept biosimilars as a part of standard
6 medical care, and they recognize what an important
7 tool it can be in containing healthcare costs.

8 Just as a few days ago, I chaired a panel at
9 a biologics conference in San Diego, where a number
10 of people who are here today were at, and that
11 included chairing a panel where we had one of the
12 largest reference companies, as well as a
13 representative of one of the largest biosimilar
14 companies on that panel. I was very encouraged
15 that both agreed that the U.S. biosimilar market
16 thus far is very much a success story, and both
17 agreed that the future looks very positive.

18 This great enthusiasm and confidence
19 surrounding biosimilars is in no small part due to
20 the phenomenal work that the FDA has done in
21 approving so many biosimilars in a relatively short
22 period of time, almost half of those approvals

1 number of leadership roles in the international
2 patient community such as the International
3 Alliance of Patient Organizations and now chairing
4 the World Patients Alliance. We know that biologic
5 medicines have helped more than 800 million people
6 worldwide, and in the case of colorectal cancer, in
7 my organization, which has 49 members around the
8 world, we've seen these medicines help triple the
9 life expectancy of the most advanced colorectal
10 cancer patient. We're talking about a life
11 expectancy from 10 months to now 3 years thanks to
12 not only these new treatment options but also
13 getting these treatment options at a reduced cost,
14 and we're hoping that biosimilars will help expand
15 access to these therapies.

16 With respect to the U.S. marketplace, first
17 and foremost, speaking as the head of an
18 international patient organization, let me be clear
19 that I'm unaware of any attempt to undermine
20 confidence in biosimilars, either in the minds of
21 the public, or in the patient community, or among
22 physicians.

1 happening within the last year, and the FDA doing
2 so without compromising on its standards for safety
3 and efficacy.

4 The heart of the U.S. health system, like
5 any other country, has its own unique challenges
6 different from those in the EU, Canada, Australia,
7 or places where we work, but nevertheless, there
8 are things that we can learn from other countries'
9 successes, particularly those of the EU countries
10 who enjoy a robust biosimilars market.

11 The one thing that we've seen across Europe
12 is that more and more biosimilars are launched in a
13 given product, that more competition drives prices
14 down where discounts increase substantially and
15 biosimilar market share goes up, and we know what
16 to expect and what things to look for, and
17 thankfully we're seeing that happen here in the
18 United States.

19 Here, we had a biosimilar that launched with
20 a relatively low 15 percent discount over its
21 reference product, and today with increased
22 competition, that product has gained a majority

Page 277

1 share in the U.S. market with 55 percent. We have
 2 every reason to believe this pattern will continue
 3 as we see it becoming routine for 3, 4,
 4 5 biosimilar approvals for a reference product.
 5 And as these come to market, manufacturers will
 6 continue to compete on price, going from relatively
 7 low discounts to higher discounts.
 8 Speaking as a representative of the broader
 9 patient community, we of course want more
 10 biosimilars approved and available, but our
 11 enthusiasm is tempered by the understanding that
 12 with anything of this scale and where people's
 13 lives and health are at stake, it's not an
 14 instantaneous process.
 15 Simply put, the system is working, a little
 16 slower than some would have hoped. But just as we
 17 don't want biosimilars or any other medicines rust
 18 through the approval process, we urge our
 19 regulators to be mindful not to unnecessarily and
 20 possibly counterproductively interfere with a young
 21 but steadily growing biosimilars market.
 22 MS. IKENBERRY: Thank you.

Page 279

1 insurance marketplaces.
 2 PCMA commends the FDA and the FTC for their
 3 collaboration to enhance competition in the
 4 biologic products marketplace. We also commend and
 5 strongly support the many important steps the FDA
 6 has taken to facilitate greater availability of
 7 biosimilar and interchangeable products, including
 8 its final guidance on interchangeable biosimilars,
 9 the 2018 Biosimilars Action Plan, in its
 10 comprehensive campaign to educate clinicians about
 11 the benefits and savings possible through these
 12 innovative therapies.
 13 We are encouraged by the FDA's more recent
 14 efforts to reduce barriers to achieving
 15 interchangeability, including final guidance
 16 limiting the cases in which switching studies were
 17 required. The agency also has designed an approval
 18 pathway allowing manufacturers to use comparative
 19 products not approved in the U.S. for biosimilar
 20 development.
 21 These are encouraging steps. Now, we urge
 22 the agency to sustain this forward progress by not

Page 278

1 MR. SPIEGEL: Thank you very much.
 2 MS. IKENBERRY: Thank you.
 3 Our next speaker is Kim Caldwell,
 4 Pharmaceutical Care Management Association.
 5 MS. CALDWELL: Good afternoon. I am Kim
 6 Caldwell, a registered pharmacist with more than
 7 four decades of experience throughout the practice
 8 of pharmacy. Included in this time is more than 12
 9 years as a member of the Texas State Board of
 10 Pharmacy and a year with CMS as a leader engaged in
 11 the creation of the program rules for Medicare Part
 12 D. I appreciate the opportunity to be here today
 13 on behalf of Pharmaceutical Care Management
 14 Association, PCMA.
 15 PCMA is a national association representing
 16 America's pharmacy benefit managers, which
 17 administer prescription drug plans and operate
 18 specialty pharmacies for more than 270 million
 19 Americans with health coverage through Fortune 500
 20 companies, health insurers, labor unions, the
 21 Medicare and Medicaid programs, the Federal
 22 Employees Health Benefits Program, and health

Page 280

1 adopting unnecessary barriers as it finalizes
 2 industry guidance relating to licensure and
 3 labeling
 4 Another encouraging development is FTC's
 5 commitment to address manufacturer tactics used to
 6 block biosimilar entry with anticompetitive patent
 7 settlement agreements. Increasing competition
 8 through the approval of biosimilar and
 9 interchangeable products is key to lowering the
 10 prescription drug costs for consumers, employers,
 11 and public programs.
 12 We appreciate the collaboration between the
 13 FDA and the FTC, which has argued that tactics
 14 aimed at gaming FDA rules may be anticompetitive
 15 and unlawful, and we urge consideration for further
 16 action when manufacturers employ tactics using
 17 anticompetitive patent settlements and patent
 18 thickets to delay widespread use of lower costs and
 19 biosimilars.
 20 An important and necessary next step to
 21 further facilitate a competitive biosimilar
 22 marketplace is for FDA to promote the therapeutic

Page 281

1 substitution of lower cost, interchangeable
 2 biosimilars for the reference products.
 3 Additionally, we recommend the FDA provide clear
 4 direction to states in favor of product
 5 substitution without burdening barriers such as
 6 notification provisions.
 7 Patients and clinicians need expressed
 8 clarity that these therapeutic substitutions are
 9 really and truly interchangeable. For many
 10 patients and clinicians alike, these therapies are
 11 new, and there may be a degree of uncertainty
 12 around switching and substitution.
 13 As the FDA's voice is the gold standard for
 14 safety and efficacy, when the FDA has approved a
 15 product for interchangeability, it should be
 16 labeled and marketed as such without conflict or
 17 confusion. Anything short of that clarity would
 18 reinforce caution with patients and clinicians, and
 19 thus impede the ability to achieve a truly
 20 competitive biosimilar market. Thank you for the
 21 opportunity to provide input. I'll welcome your
 22 questions. We have 25 seconds.

Page 282

1 (Laughter.)
 2 MS. IKENBERRY: I'm not sure I could get a
 3 whole question in 25 seconds.
 4 MR. SPIEGEL: Oh, go ahead. We have time.
 5 MS. IKENBERRY: But you mentioned in your
 6 statement some guidance considerations around
 7 licensure and labeling, and I would encourage you,
 8 to the extent that you intend to submit written
 9 comments to the docket, to spell those out a little
 10 bit because I didn't quite follow what you were
 11 saying.
 12 MR. SPIEGEL: We do and we will. Thank you
 13 very much.
 14 MS. IKENBERRY: Thank you
 15 MR. SPIEGEL: And just so you know, that was
 16 a lot of words for a guy from Texas to say in that
 17 time period --
 18 (Laughter.)
 19 MR. SPIEGEL: -- and I really wanted to say
 20 whack-a-mole, but I didn't know if I could get that
 21 in. Thank you.
 22 (Laughter.)

Page 283

1 MS. IKENBERRY: Yeah, shenanigans and
 2 whack-a-mole are two of the nice words of the day.
 3 Next is Andrew Greenspan, Janssen
 4 Immunology, vice president of medical affairs.
 5 DR. GREENSPAN: Good afternoon. My name is
 6 Dr. Andrew Greenspan, and I'm the vice president of
 7 medical affairs for immunology at Janssen, the
 8 pharmaceutical company of Johnson & Johnson. At
 9 Janssen, we have more than three decades of
 10 experience with biologic development,
 11 manufacturing, postmarketing safety, and promotion.
 12 We pioneered biologic therapy with the first ever
 13 approved monoclonal antibody, Remicade, or
 14 infliximab, a TNF blocker for which there are
 15 currently four approved biosimilars.
 16 From the beginning, we have led in
 17 advocating for a biosimilar pathway. We have seen
 18 patients struggle for years with chronic
 19 progressive disease before getting diagnosed and
 20 finding a biologic therapy that finally brings them
 21 relief.
 22 We are deeply committed to helping patients

Page 284

1 remain healthy and safe throughout their treatment
 2 journey and have affordable access to the therapies
 3 that they and their doctors decide on. We are also
 4 committed to reducing overall healthcare costs and
 5 believe that these goals can and must be
 6 accomplished together.
 7 With this perspective in mind, we'd like to
 8 ask FDA and FTC to consider four points. First, as
 9 you collaborate to spur biosimilar adoption,
 10 continue to uphold the critical role of the
 11 patient-doctor relationship and individual
 12 treatment decisions. Many patients endure long and
 13 painful journeys before achieving clinical control
 14 of their disease. They need valuable information
 15 about their options to make informed treatment
 16 decisions with their doctor.
 17 Second, we heard many perspectives today on
 18 interchangeability. We believe patients and their
 19 doctors deserve clear and complete communication on
 20 the interchangeability status of a biosimilar. For
 21 treatments that require multiple administrations,
 22 such as infliximab, patients and doctors should

Page 285

1 have relevant data on alternating back and forth
 2 between products before deciding to do so.
 3 Implying that a biosimilar is
 4 interchangeable when it has not been approved as
 5 such is misleading. To ensure that patients and
 6 their doctors have clear and complete information
 7 on the interchangeability status of a biosimilar,
 8 communications on a biosimilar should disclose its
 9 interchangeability status.

10 Third, we urge the FDA to clarify that
 11 communications on biosimilars to payers and
 12 formulary committees continue to be governed by the
 13 FDA guidance on manufacturer communications with
 14 payers, formulary committees, and similar entities.

15 Fourth, we would like to underscore that
 16 biosimilar policies are delivering on the promise
 17 of the BPCIA with lowered costs for the system and
 18 will continue to as long as there is a level
 19 playing field for biosimilar and reference
 20 products.

21 The Remicade and infliximab biosimilars
 22 experience shows that competition is bringing down

Page 286

1 prices for the reference biologic and its
 2 biosimilars alike. Since the introduction of
 3 infliximab biosimilars, Remicade's average sales
 4 price, or ASP, has fallen by 31 percent. Remicade
 5 is now the market's lowest priced innovator
 6 anti-TNF therapy with annual costs less than half
 7 of other innovator TNFs.

8 Because of Remicade's price competitiveness
 9 and uptake of biosimilars, the system has seen over
 10 \$4.8 billion in savings in the past three years.
 11 Additionally, it is important to note that
 12 biosimilar development continues to expand with 19
 13 biosimilars in FDA's biosimilar product development
 14 program in January 2013 to 63 as of last year.

15 In closing, as the FDA and FTC look to spur
 16 biosimilar adoption, we call on you in parallel to
 17 1) take a patient-centric approach in your policy
 18 decisions; 2) ensure interchangeability status is
 19 disclosed to patients and providers; and
 20 3) safeguard the competitive market dynamics that
 21 are dramatically bringing costs down. Thank you
 22 for the opportunity to speak.

Page 287

1 MS. TEMKIN: Can I squeeze in one question?
 2 The red light just went on.
 3 You said that communications on a biosimilar
 4 should disclose interchangeability status. Do you
 5 have something specific in mind or can you expand
 6 on that a little bit?
 7 DR. GREENSPAN: Sure. As explained earlier,
 8 as the market dynamics may lead to switching as
 9 frequently as every 6 months with a chronic therapy
 10 like infliximab, we think the patients and
 11 providers will have questions about the possibility
 12 that they may be switched as frequently as twice a
 13 year from the products.

14 My area is immunology where we market
 15 infliximab, which is a highly immunogenic molecule,
 16 and we think the interchangeability standard was
 17 created by the FDA for a very valid reason. I
 18 think the point made by a speaker this morning is
 19 very valid, that the interchangeability standard
 20 should consider specific characteristics of
 21 molecules. Some are more immunogenic than others,
 22 and that's why it's more important for particular

Page 288

1 molecules like Remicade, for example.

2 MS. TEMKIN: Thank you.

3 MS. IKENBERRY: Our next speaker is Kathleen
 4 Arntsen, president and CEO of Lupus and Allied
 5 Diseases Association.

6 MR. GREENBLATT: Hi. I am not Kathleen
 7 Arntsen. My name is Corey Greenblatt.

8 FEMALE VOICE: I think Steve Lucio is next
 9 on the --

10 MS. IKENBERRY: Oh, I'm sorry.

11 MR. GREENBLATT: Okay, back up.

12 MS. IKENBERRY: Steven Lucio, Vizient.

13 DR. LUCIO: Thank you. To the members of
 14 the workshop and to all esteemed employees of the
 15 FDA and FTC, my name is Steven Lucio, vice
 16 president of the Center for Pharmacy Practice
 17 Excellence at Vizient, the largest member-driven
 18 healthcare performance improvement company in the
 19 U.S., on behalf of Vizient, I'd like to express our
 20 deepest appreciation not only for this forum, but
 21 also for all the enduring efforts that have been
 22 made to enhance competition, thereby improving

Page 289

1 patient access to safe and effective biologic
 2 molecules.
 3 Vizient provides solutions for more than
 4 50 percent of the nation's acute care providers,
 5 including 95 percent of the nation's academic
 6 medical centers, a wide array of leading integrated
 7 health systems and pediatric hospitals, and more
 8 than 20 percent of ambulatory providers in the U.S.
 9 Vizient has focused its array of expertise
 10 of supporting health systems evaluation and
 11 adoption of biosimilars to lower pharmaceutical
 12 expenditures and to maintain or improve patient
 13 care. Still more work is required to alter the
 14 trajectory of pricing growth for many biologic
 15 drugs. Therefore, Vizient would like to offer
 16 three key insights and recommendations to advance
 17 the desired competitive landscape related to the
 18 approval process, education, and payer decisions.
 19 First, Vizient would like to thank the FDA
 20 for its efforts at improving the understanding of
 21 the approval process through the publication of
 22 regulations and guidance documents. The additional

Page 290

1 clarity is essential for perspective manufacturers,
 2 as well as the population of providers that will
 3 ultimately be prescribing these medications.
 4 Vizient would like to note the FDA's
 5 decision regarding the clinical immunogenicity
 6 considerations for biosimilar and interchangeable
 7 insulin products, specifically the decision not to
 8 require comparative clinical immunogenicity studies
 9 in the approval of these agents, and scientifically
 10 substantiated efficiencies and approval will
 11 decrease the investment expense required to develop
 12 competing molecules.
 13 We encourage FDA to continue evaluating the
 14 opportunity for similar decisions to be applied to
 15 other biologics. For example, the European
 16 community has identified certain molecules and/or
 17 product classes where comparative effective studies
 18 have been or could be waived, and we would ask that
 19 FDA continue to evaluate additional opportunities
 20 to streamline approval requirements up to, and
 21 including, and eliminating the need for comparative
 22 effectiveness studies where scientifically

Page 291

1 justified.
 2 We would also like to commend the FDA for
 3 increasing the level of education provided to
 4 improve the understanding of foundational concepts
 5 of biosimilar licensing. We especially thank FDA
 6 for increasing the timeliness of access to approval
 7 documents for approved biosimilars, even those not
 8 subject to an advisory committee hearing.
 9 This information, even the more detailed
 10 aspects of analytical characterization, has been
 11 invaluable as we have worked to educate pharmacists
 12 and physicians on the fundamental differences
 13 between the approval methodology of biosimilars as
 14 compared to new molecular entities.
 15 Vizient would ask FDA for additional
 16 information concerning biologic production. Right
 17 now, given the tremendous desire for increased
 18 transparency in pharmaceutical manufacturing,
 19 including the origination source of API due to our
 20 lingering history of drug shortages, as well as the
 21 concerns about coronavirus outbreak, while these
 22 issues are not primarily impacting biologics, there

Page 292

1 is additional transparency that would be helpful
 2 addressing any lingering concerns about biosimilar
 3 quality and safety.
 4 One of the biggest gaps we found regarding
 5 biologic manufacturing understanding is the fact
 6 that all biologics, originator or biosimilar,
 7 demonstrate variability. In Europe, where this
 8 information is disclosed, the content referring to
 9 the clinical literature has been very informative
 10 in educating pharmacists and physicians.
 11 Therefore, we would ask if the FDA could provide
 12 information on manufacturing changes related to
 13 U.S. biologics. It would further the understanding
 14 that the monitoring of biologic variation through
 15 analytical means is not novel to the biosimilar
 16 experience.
 17 As has been discussed today, there are
 18 challenges associated with payer reimbursement
 19 decisions, which impact providers and most
 20 importantly patients. Beyond educational issues
 21 and messages questioning the validity of the
 22 approval mechanism, biosimilar adoption continues

1 to be delayed due to variable coverage and payment
2 policies. It is one of the most substantial
3 hurdles facing the market and will continue to
4 require appropriate attention and focus, and we
5 would appreciate any guidance from either agency on
6 ways to further conversation regarding this hurdle
7 with the appropriate audiences.

8 We appreciate FDA's and FTC's leadership and
9 working collaboratively to support a more
10 competitive approval landscape for biosimilars.

11 Thank you.

12 MS. IKENBERRY: Thanks, and sorry for the
13 mix-up.

14 Next is Kathleen Arntsen.

15 MR. GREENBLATT: Hello. My name is Corey
16 Greenblatt, and I'm representing Kathleen Arntsen
17 from LADA and ASBM. Before I begin, I just want to
18 say I have no disclosures to make today regarding
19 my comments on behalf of Lupus and Allied Diseases
20 Association.

21 Good afternoon and thank you for the
22 opportunity to provide our unique patient

1 We are pleased that the agency has finalized
2 guidance for interchangeable biologics by
3 clarifying safety, efficacy, and immunogenicity
4 methodologies by requiring manufacturers to conduct
5 vigorous multiple switching studies that alternate
6 between a biosimilar and its reference product. We
7 are also thrilled that the FDA supports robust
8 pharmacovigilant mechanisms for postmarketing
9 safety monitoring of an interchangeable in order to
10 not diminish efficacy and patient safety.

11 Developing an aggressive postmarketing tracking
12 system will also help to guarantee stakeholder
13 confidence and facilitate market uptake while
14 establishing a longitudinal electronic medical
15 record.

16 We suggest that you consider adopting
17 methods such as apps on electronic devices and
18 patient-reported outcomes to monitor real-world
19 events. Engaging patients and teaching them to be
20 more proactive in their care will be empowering and
21 can help diminish any lack of trust.

22 Biosimilars have the potential to promote

1 viewpoint. Biosimilars hold tremendous promise and
2 therapeutic advantages for people like us, just as
3 biologics have revolutionized treatment for
4 millions of individuals living with life-altering
5 diseases.

6 Lupus is an extremely complex, chronic
7 inflammatory autoimmune disease affecting virtually
8 any organ system of the body with few approved
9 drugs, no known cause or cure, and a challenge to
10 live with and treat. There is no cookie-cutter
11 approach to treat intricate patients like us, and
12 it requires access to the entire arsenal of
13 treatments and open and transparent communication
14 between us and our providers.

15 In order for biosimilars to reach their
16 potential and improve stakeholder engagement,
17 education, and access, we need to ensure confidence
18 that biosimilars are as safe and as effective as
19 the reference biologic products among patients,
20 healthcare providers, pharmacists, payers, and
21 other stakeholders while prioritizing patient
22 safety and affordability.

1 greater price competition among biologics, and we
2 hope that they are more affordable, but the
3 variance in terminology when referring to
4 biosimilars is both confusing and a hindrance.
5 Stakeholder adoption of more uniform language such
6 as the FDA's would foster more confidence.

7 One of the biggest impediments to the
8 advancement of innovative therapies is the
9 overabundance of egregious payer utilization
10 management policies such as step therapy and
11 non-medical switching protocols. These
12 cost-containment measures impact provider ethical
13 obligations by requiring them to follow a set
14 course of care regardless of their best personal
15 judgment.

16 As an individual who is harmed by step
17 therapy, I am concerned that patients who are
18 stable on any drug will be switched for non-medical
19 reasons, and in particular those doing well in a
20 biologic will be switched to a biosimilar that has
21 not been determined to be interchangeable.

22 We urge you to establish robust patient

Page 297

1 safeguards by applying strong scientific safety
 2 standards, stating that switching of stable
 3 patients should only be determined by the treating
 4 provider and the patient, and facilitating dialogue
 5 among multistakeholders, including payers. We ask
 6 you to reach out to other federal agencies and work
 7 with them to develop sound policies that address
 8 such issues.

9 In closing, I want to reiterate that we are
 10 unwavering in our belief in the sanctity of the
 11 doctor-patient relationship and that only providers
 12 who are familiar with an individual's personal
 13 medical history should be making treatment
 14 decisions. Patient safety must be first and
 15 foremost in choosing the most appropriate therapies
 16 for any person with complex medical conditions.

17 We have faith that we can advance
 18 biosimilars while still allowing physicians to make
 19 decisions in the best interest of their patients.
 20 Sometimes that decision is to keep a patient on a
 21 successful biologic throughout their therapy;
 22 sometimes it is switching to a biosimilar or

Page 298

1 starting a naive patient on a biosimilar.

2 There are millions of people who could
 3 benefit from access to innovative therapies now and
 4 many more in the future who are yet to be
 5 diagnosed. We need to work together to make that
 6 happen. We thank you for the opportunity to share
 7 our perspective and applaud the FDA for continually
 8 recognizing the importance of the patient voice
 9 during the regulatory process. Thank you.

10 MS. IKENBERRY: Thank you.

11 Our next speaker is Ian Orekondy,
 12 AdComplyRx.

13 MR. OREKONDY: Hello. Thank you for the
 14 opportunity to comment today. My name is Ian
 15 Orekondy. I'm the founder of AdComplyRx. We work
 16 with industry to monitor prescription drug
 17 advertising and identify ads that appear to
 18 inadvertently infringe on FDA guidance so that
 19 firms can fix them. Currently, we have a focus on
 20 digital ads and search engine marketing.

21 My input is specific to the draft guidance
 22 for promotional labeling and advertising

Page 299

1 considerations for prescription biological
 2 reference products and biosimilar products.
 3 Earlier we heard about Dr. Google and the
 4 importance of patient education and healthcare
 5 professional education around biosimilars.
 6 Dr. Google is often the number one driver of
 7 traffic to a prescription medication's website
 8 where patients can learn about a specific
 9 biosimilar or biosimilars in general.

10 We also note that there was an FDA warning
 11 letter issued last month that was specific to
 12 search ads on Google, so our request is that the
 13 final guidance documents specifically get into how
 14 this biosimilar guidance would apply to internet
 15 marketing platforms with character space
 16 limitations; for example, Google and Twitter.
 17 Additionally, how would this guidance apply to,
 18 quote/unquote, "brand-connected ads," that is ads
 19 that do not mention any brand within the ad itself
 20 but then link directly to a brand.com website.

21 These are important questions that impact
 22 virtually all prescription biologic and biosimilar

Page 300

1 brands. We can elaborate on the specific scenarios
 2 where we believe more specific guidance would be
 3 useful when we submit our comments via the public
 4 docket, and we thank you again for the opportunity
 5 to comment in person today. Thank you.

6 MS. IKENBERRY: Thank you.

7 Our next speaker is Gregory Schimizzi,
 8 Coalition of State Rheumatology Organizations.

9 DR. SCHIMIZZI: Yes, hello. My name is
 10 Gregory Schimizzi, and I'm a board-certified
 11 rheumatologist with 39 years of experience in
 12 private practice. I'm speaking on behalf of the
 13 CSRO, which is a national organization composed of
 14 state and regional rheumatology societies in the
 15 U.S. and Puerto Rico.

16 To date, some rheumatologists have
 17 experienced occurrences of adverse effects or
 18 decreased efficacy of biosimilars, but the vast
 19 majority of rheumatologists do not believe that
 20 biosimilars are inferior. We also have not
 21 observed noticeable deceptive marketing practices
 22 or received disparaging information on biosimilars

Page 301

1 in our offices, at educational meetings, or seen
 2 them in journal advertisements. Therefore, we do
 3 not believe that these are responsible, to a
 4 meaningful degree, for the impaired patient access
 5 to these products. We do see significant access
 6 issues developing as a result of other marketplace
 7 player activities.

8 Increasing patient access to these
 9 medications can only be achieved if cognitive
 10 distortions in the marketplace are addressed.
 11 Developing remedies that disregard and ignore
 12 manipulations designed to maximize profits from
 13 fees, rebates, and other schemes that greatly
 14 impede access may not be successful. We believe
 15 these activities, which are at the core cause of
 16 formulary design, far outweigh the impact of
 17 deceptive marketing on patient access.

18 Formulary changes are rarely, if ever, based
 19 on comparative clinical outcomes, or studies, or
 20 safety, or tolerability, or even wholesale
 21 acquisition costs, but rather on profitability to
 22 the insurer, the large pharmacy, and PBM entities.

Page 302

1 The preeminent access barrier to address is the
 2 control of an overly consolidated industry of
 3 unregulated middlemen with unfettered power,
 4 demanding ever-increasing tolls from patients,
 5 community pharmacists, and manufacturers, almost
 6 always to the detriment of patients' and
 7 physicians' therapeutic options and the quality of
 8 health care. Described earlier as one of the bad
 9 barriers, these are also a major driver of rising
 10 medication costs.

11 This should not be allowed to continue. It
 12 is gratifying to hear that the FDA and FTC are
 13 aligned with our own goal here. We urge addressing
 14 these reprehensible and egregious insurance and PBM
 15 behaviors, much of which exists due to
 16 overconsolidation. These abuses need to be
 17 addressed either through existing authority or by
 18 requesting additional authority where needed and/or
 19 petitioning statutory solutions.

20 We must end the profiteering inherent in the
 21 current formulary design process by insurers, large
 22 pharmacy, and PBM conglomerates. These are the

Page 303

1 main barriers to a fair, robust market of biologic
 2 medication access and indeed to all medications.
 3 Thank you very much.

4 MS. IKENBERRY: Thank you.

5 Next, Laura Brand, Biosimilars Global
 6 Commercial Lead, Amgen.

7 MS. BRAND: Good afternoon. My name is
 8 Laura Brand, and I'm the biosimilars global
 9 commercial lead at Amgen. Thank you for allowing
 10 me to share Amgen's perspective on a topic of
 11 critical importance to the future of our nation's
 12 healthcare system.

13 As a manufacturer of both innovator and
 14 biosimilar products, Amgen shares a deep commitment
 15 to the FDA's and FTC's goal of promoting a
 16 robust-to-competitive marketplace for biological
 17 products, including the adoption of biosimilars.
 18 Although the U.S. market for biosimilars is still
 19 maturing, it is competitive.

20 The FDA has approved significantly more
 21 biosimilar products in the first nine years since
 22 the U.S. pathway was established compared to other

Page 304

1 regions such as Europe, and there are currently
 2 over 80 biosimilar programs enrolled in the FDA's
 3 biosimilar product development program. Amgen
 4 believes this reflects robust manufacturer interest
 5 in the current market opportunity under current
 6 payment and coverage systems.

7 Patients in the U.S. healthcare system have
 8 benefited from considerable cost savings as a
 9 result of biosimilar products already in the
 10 market. Competition in the marketplace is likely
 11 to yield additional savings as more biosimilars are
 12 launched throughout 2020 and the coming years.

13 Cost savings are just one benefit of
 14 biosimilars. Biosimilar manufacturers can also
 15 benefit the market by offering improved patient
 16 choice by competing on delivery devices and improve
 17 reliability of supply. With this portfolio of
 18 10 biosimilar products and development, including
 19 four approved by the FDA, Amgen is committed to
 20 delivering potential savings and expanded treatment
 21 options to patients.

22 In Amgen's experience, a level playing field

Page 305

1 that encourages competition, not only with
 2 innovator products but also among biosimilars,
 3 creates a robust and sustainable marketplace. This
 4 head-to-head competition drives meaningful cost
 5 savings and also supports continued innovation to
 6 expand biologic treatment options for providers and
 7 patients. Our experience demonstrates that the
 8 current regulatory and reimbursement policies for
 9 biosimilars are working to promote competition.

10 Amgen has faced competition from biosimilars
 11 for innovator products since 2015. Currently,
 12 three of our innovator products, Neupogen,
 13 Neulasta, and Epogen, compete against multiple
 14 biosimilars. Biosimilars of Amgen's Neupogen
 15 product together sell more units than Amgen, and a
 16 Neupogen biosimilar competitor has obtained
 17 preferred status over Neupogen with several
 18 formularies, even though this competing biosimilar
 19 does not have an interchangeability designation.

20 In 2019, Amgen launched the first
 21 therapeutic oncology biosimilars in the U.S. The
 22 list prices for both products are markedly lower

Page 306

1 than the average sales price of the respective
 2 reference products, generating significant cost
 3 savings for patients and payers. Amgen's two
 4 biosimilars are gaining adoption quickly, having
 5 each secured approximately 20 percent share of the
 6 market in just over six months as recently reported
 7 by the Bernstein report.

8 These examples demonstrate the current
 9 policies, for example separate coding, are
 10 supporting biosimilar uptake and encouraging price
 11 competition. At Amgen, we believe the long-term
 12 viability of industry depends on a competitive
 13 marketplace in which patients, providers, and
 14 payers have a real understanding of and confidence
 15 in biological products, including biosimilars.

16 We share the FDA's and the FTC's goal of
 17 promoting stakeholder confidence in biosimilars
 18 through scientifically accurate educational
 19 outreach. Such educational initiatives are crucial
 20 to preserving patient choice, driving uptake of
 21 biosimilars, and supporting a sustainable
 22 marketplace.

Page 307

1 In summary, competition is robust,
 2 biosimilar market share is increasing, and prices
 3 are coming down. Amgen remains fully committed to
 4 the success of biosimilars within the U.S.
 5 healthcare marketplace. Thank you.

6 MS. IKENBERRY: Thank you.

7 Our next speaker is David Balto, Coalition
 8 to Protect Patient Choice.

9 MR. BARLOW: Hi. Good afternoon. This is
 10 Andre Barlow on behalf of David Balto, a public
 11 interest attorney and the founder of the Coalition
 12 to Protect Patient Choice, an entity that
 13 advocates on behalf of consumer and patient
 14 advocacy groups. We're also speaking on behalf of
 15 consumer action.

16 We are appreciative of the opportunity to
 17 provide comments today and we commend the FTC and
 18 FDA's efforts to work together to promote
 19 biosimilar competition, which will hopefully result
 20 in patients having increased access to more
 21 affordable drugs. Biologics are essential for the
 22 treatment of serious debilitating and

Page 308

1 life-threatening diseases.

2 While fewer than 2 percent of all
 3 prescriptions are for biologic drugs, they account
 4 for almost 40 percent of all drug spending. In
 5 other words, biologics are extremely expensive, and
 6 they are the fastest growing segment of drug
 7 spending in the United States. The expectation 10
 8 years ago was that a robust biosimilar market would
 9 substantially lower the price of biologic drugs.

10 It has been estimated that biosimilars can save
 11 U.S. consumers \$54 billion by 2026.

12 In Europe, where biosimilars have entered
 13 the market, biologics such as AbbVie's branded
 14 blockbuster Humira has been discounted by
 15 80 percent. Unfortunately, biosimilars have faced
 16 numerous obstacles in obtaining commercial success
 17 in the United States. There are a number of
 18 anticompetitive behaviors, or shenanigans,
 19 including sample blockage, patent thickets,
 20 pay-for-delay agreements, and rebate walls. We
 21 would like to highlight rebate walls because we do
 22 not believe that they're getting enough attention.

Page 309

1 We believe the agencies need to use their
2 enforcement muscle to prohibit rebate contracting
3 practices that block biosimilars from competing on
4 drug formularies. There is increasing evidence
5 that rebates actually raise the cost of
6 prescription drugs.

7 What is important to understand about these
8 rebates is that they are not discounts for
9 patients. Because the rebates go to PBMs and plans
10 rather than to consumers, payers have perverse
11 incentives to negotiate higher list prices so they
12 can secure higher rebates without regard to patient
13 well-being or patient cost. These rebates actually
14 increase patients' cost because the patient's
15 coinsurance is based on the inflated list price of
16 the branded drug. If the patients had access to
17 lower cost biosimilars, their co-insurance costs
18 would go down.

19 How does a rebate wall work? A rebate wall
20 or trap is erected when an incumbent manufacturer
21 uses existing market power to secure preferred
22 formulary access for its drug by offering

Page 310

1 volume-based rebates to PBMs and plans on the
2 condition that they deny or limit the formulary
3 access of rival drugs.

4 The rebate is bundled across multiple
5 products, indications, and/or therapeutic
6 specialties, the breadth of which cannot be matched
7 by a new rival. The rebate wall, a manufacturer
8 with a dominant incumbent drug, can prevent entry
9 of a newly approved biosimilar even if the
10 biosimilar is offered at a greater rebate or for
11 free. That's because the new biosimilar has few
12 prescriptions, if any, so even a larger rebate will
13 not overcome the potential loss of the rebate
14 dollars from the market-leading product.

15 Biosimilars lose because they can't get on a
16 formulary. Patients lose because they do not have
17 access to lower cost drugs.

18 A related practice that keeps patients from
19 detaining access to biosimilars is step therapy,
20 also known as fail-first policies, whereby patients
21 are forced to try a drug preferred by the payer
22 before being approved to use a drug originally

Page 311

1 prescribed by their doctors.

2 Remarkably, most health plans have
3 instituted fail-first policies for new biosimilars,
4 meaning that a patient must fail on a more
5 expensive branded product before the plan will
6 cover a biosimilar of that same branded product.

7 This is noteworthy because, historically, generics
8 which are less expensive than branded drugs have
9 been the first option on the fail-first policy.

10 One explanation for discrimination against
11 biosimilars is that PBMs and health plans secure
12 significant rebates from branded drugs.

13 In short, the FTC needs to prioritize
14 investigations of rebate walls and step therapy
15 rules, which can be used to foreclose biosimilar
16 competition, which limits patients choices and
17 raises patients costs. Thank you.

18 MS. IKENBERRY: Thank you.

19 Our next speaker is Jocelyn Ulrich, deputy
20 vice president at PhRMA.

21 MS. ULRICH: Hello. Thank you. My name is
22 Jocelyn Ulrich, deputy vice president of medical

Page 312

1 innovation policy at PhRMA. I appreciate the
2 opportunity to represent PhRMA and our member
3 companies at today's Workshop on a Competitive
4 Marketplace for Biosimilars.

5 PhRMA represents the country's leading
6 innovative biopharmaceutical research companies,
7 which are devoted to discovering and developing
8 medicines that enable patients to live longer,
9 healthier, and more productive lives. Consistent
10 with that mission, PhRMA is dedicated to advancing
11 policies that promote innovation and competition in
12 the biologics and biosimilars marketplace.

13 While the BPCIA is less than a decade old
14 and biosimilar development is significantly more
15 complex and expensive than generic drug
16 development, the benefits of the BPCIA on
17 innovation and competition are already being seen.

18 As of today, there are 15 biosimilars on the market
19 competing against 7 innovator biologics, with an
20 additional 10 approved by the FDA coming to the
21 market over the next several years.

22 In addition, the upcoming transition of some

Page 313

1 products to licensure as biologics and the recently
 2 enacted change to the definition of biologic will
 3 provide additional opportunities for more
 4 biosimilar applications and patient choice.
 5 Publicly available data on the current U.S.
 6 market has shown that in every case where a
 7 biosimilar has entered the marketplace, both the
 8 average sales price of the biosimilar and the
 9 innovator biologic have decreased, and as noted by
 10 the FTC, basic economic principles support that
 11 this indicates the competition is indeed leading to
 12 lower prices, increased consumer access and choice,
 13 and innovation.
 14 PhRMA supports FDA's efforts to implement a
 15 science-based approach to regulating biosimilars
 16 that both ensures patient safety and facilitates a
 17 robust biosimilars market, and we believe it is
 18 critically important to ensure the long-term
 19 stability of the BsUFA through financial
 20 transparency, efficiency, and accountability.
 21 We also support many aspects of the FDA's
 22 biosimilars action plan. In particular, we concur

Page 315

1 address barriers to appropriately structured
 2 alternative payment models, particularly in
 3 Medicare, that have the ability to increase
 4 competition among innovator and biosimilar
 5 products. And finally, policymakers must advance
 6 meaningful rebate reform that would remove barriers
 7 to biosimilar uptake and promote access and
 8 competition.
 9 In enacting the BPCIA a decade ago, U.S.
 10 policymakers rightly sought to balance increased
 11 competition with policies that support the United
 12 States' leading role in finding new treatments for
 13 patients. By allowing the market to continue to
 14 evolve and enacting policies that support this
 15 evolution, we'll continue to see biosimilars'
 16 benefits for patients and society. Thank you.
 17 MS. IKENBERRY: Thank you.
 18 Next we have Corey Greenblatt, manager of
 19 policy and advocacy, Global Healthy Living
 20 Foundation. Hi, again.
 21 MR. GREENBLATT: Hello, again. Before I
 22 begin, I just want to disclose I have no

Page 314

1 with FDA that physician education and experience
 2 with biosimilars will be critical for fostering
 3 biosimilar uptake, and we applaud continued efforts
 4 to develop effective communications to improve
 5 understanding of biosimilars among patients,
 6 clinicians, and payers.
 7 PhRMA believes that FDA and FTC have a
 8 robust set of authorities available to them to
 9 continue to foster competition and encourage the
 10 maturing biosimilars market. To further support
 11 the market, we believe policymakers and
 12 stakeholders should take the following additional
 13 steps. First, we should increase transparency for
 14 certain patents on biologic products consistent
 15 with what is currently available in the FDA Orange
 16 Book for drug products.
 17 Second, to the extent there were issues with
 18 access to samples, the recent enactment of what had
 19 been previously referred to as the CREATES Act may
 20 facilitate access to samples, which in turn will
 21 facilitate biosimilars entering the market.
 22 Third, we believe that policymakers should

Page 316

1 disclosures to make regarding my travel here today.
 2 The Global Healthy Living Foundation accepts grants
 3 and charitable contributions from pharmaceutical
 4 companies, the federal government, private
 5 foundations, and individuals. The organization has
 6 received scientific briefings from pharmaceutical
 7 companies as well as our independent medical
 8 advisory board.
 9 Good afternoon. My name is Corey
 10 Greenblatt, and I'm the manager of policy and
 11 advocacy for the Global Healthy Living Foundation.
 12 On behalf of GHLF, I want to thank this committee
 13 for allowing me to speak. GHLF is a 20-year-old
 14 501(c)(3) organization representing chronically ill
 15 patients and their caregivers across the country.
 16 GHLF works to improve the quality of life for
 17 patients living with chronic disease by ensuring
 18 their voices are heard and advocating for improved
 19 access to care.
 20 The barrier for entry in the U.S. biosimilar
 21 market has been too high for too long. Despite the
 22 Biologics Price Competition and Innovation Act, the

Page 317

1 U.S. is about seven years behind Europe. Not
 2 having a robust biosimilar market is a failure in
 3 U.S. health care. Patient and provider education
 4 is one reason for this failure; economics is
 5 another.
 6 Patients understand generic versus branded
 7 drugs, but they do not understand biosimilars,
 8 especially in the aggressive context in which they
 9 are presented by insurers. Even many healthcare
 10 professionals don't understand when to use
 11 biosimilars and what the positives and negatives of
 12 biosimilar use are. They are instead instructed
 13 when to use them by insurers.
 14 Switch biosimilar patients are required to
 15 abandon a medication that works with little or no
 16 explanation, education, or counseling. The
 17 healthcare provider gets a letter in the mail
 18 telling them to switch the patient or the patient
 19 will pay the full retail price of their current
 20 drug. This is obviously not the way to sell the
 21 benefits of biosimilars to patients or physicians.
 22 Government is being asked to favor one group

Page 319

1 adjusters, and step therapy.
 2 Non-medical switching needs to be defined.
 3 Patients and physicians should be able to
 4 voluntarily non-medically switch drugs when it
 5 benefits the patient, not only the insurer and the
 6 PBM. If a patient can save money and their
 7 healthcare professional does not object, they
 8 should be allowed to switch brands, whether it's a
 9 biologic or a biosimilar.
 10 Forced non-medical switching, which occurs
 11 now, offers no quantifiable financial benefit to
 12 patients, only profits to insurers. The patient is
 13 the only one who shows up to the table with a
 14 checkbook but no power. Everyone else shows up
 15 with varying degrees of power that are used to
 16 protect profits. It is nearly impossible to
 17 identify any other market where the person paying
 18 the bills has so little influence on the price of
 19 the product or the product itself.
 20 You can change this by recognizing the need
 21 for strict regulation of insurance practices and
 22 market-based price lowering incentives to

Page 318

1 of manufacturers making biosimilars over another
 2 which doesn't, with complete opacity to the
 3 patient. Our hope is that the agencies will work
 4 to create a transparent environment for the
 5 patient, one that does not show a bias for
 6 biosimilars or biologics, allows the patient to
 7 directly benefit from generic-like lower price, and
 8 feel positive about switching to or starting on a
 9 biosimilar. We believe that only then will a
 10 robust biosimilar market emerge for chronically ill
 11 patients.
 12 GHLF, the FDA, and other patient groups can
 13 handle the education issues around biosimilars if
 14 you can clear up the economic inequalities. To the
 15 patient, biosimilars are generic biologics. Your
 16 job is to create the market that allows them to be
 17 priced this way. We need a system that allows
 18 therapies to compete based on clinical outcomes and
 19 costs to the patient, not a system that allows
 20 anticompetitive practices such as rebates, rebate
 21 walls, favorable pricing to physicians,
 22 access-restricting formularies, co-pay accumulator

Page 320

1 biopharmaceutical companies. If there's no
 2 financial relief for patients from what to them is
 3 a generic drug, then biosimilar growth will
 4 continue at its slow pace compared to other
 5 generics and biosimilars in other countries.
 6 We thank the FDA and the FTC for emphasizing
 7 the value of the patient perspective through public
 8 meetings, and we will continue to mobilize our
 9 patient communities and create a better life for
 10 those who will benefit from biosimilar therapies.
 11 Thank you for your time and attention. It is
 12 greatly appreciated.
 13 MS. IKENBERRY: Next is Fouad Atouf.
 14 DR. ATOUF: Good afternoon. My name is
 15 Fouad Atouf. I'm vice president of global
 16 biologics at the United States Pharmacopeia, USP.
 17 I appreciate the opportunity to present on behalf
 18 of USP our comment on the competitive marketplace
 19 for biosimilars. USP is an independent scientific
 20 non-profit organization dedicated to improving
 21 health through the development and dissemination of
 22 public standards for medicines, foods, and dietary

Page 321

1 supplement.

2 Through a long-standing collaboration with
3 the FDA, we have worked continuously to benefit
4 public health by facilitating broader access to
5 quality medicines. USP supports FDA's and FTC's
6 effort to foster access to biosimilars and to
7 pursue initiatives that facilitate increased
8 competition to biological products. Furthermore,
9 we believe that our public standards serve an
10 important role in fostering a competitive
11 marketplace.

12 First and foremost, USP public standards
13 help ensure quality medicines. For example, USP's
14 quality standards for insulins have been used by
15 manufacturers for a decade to meet quality
16 expectations. Additionally, USP standards provide
17 valuable information to biological manufacturers to
18 support early development of new or biosimilar
19 products and address common quality issues. These
20 standards can add flexibility by offering choices
21 of analytical approaches.

22 Furthermore, studies indicate that public

Page 322

1 standards help foster a more competitive
2 marketplace for medicines because the standards
3 provide transparency on the quality expectation for
4 medicine, which helps new manufacturers bring new
5 products to the marketplace.

6 USP standards are developed in an open
7 transparent process. They're established by
8 independent experts and scientific experts, and
9 development of the standards takes into account
10 public input. The expert who works with USP will
11 collaborate closely with stakeholders and
12 government agencies such as the FDA.

13 USP is committed to ensuring that our
14 approach evolves with the science of biologics and
15 the needs of stakeholders by developing solutions
16 that support the adoption of emerging analytical
17 tools for biological product innovation and
18 competition. We are currently developing standards
19 that are broadly applicable to classes and families
20 of biological products and also working on tools to
21 address quality of raw materials with an overall
22 goal to support analytical testing throughout the

Page 323

1 product life cycle.

2 USP is a convener and will continue to
3 convene stakeholders to identify areas of needs and
4 improvement for development of biological products.
5 In recent years, we hosted a series of roundtables
6 to address and discuss with the stakeholders the
7 common quality challenges and to develop together a
8 set of solutions that address biological products
9 throughout the product life cycle.

10 We will continue that convening role and we
11 plan to hold in the next coming month a series of
12 roundtables to address topics like ensuring quality
13 of biologics globally, but also ensuring quality of
14 insulins and other topics such as the role of
15 genomics analysis and personalized medicines.

16 We are very much interested in hearing from
17 the FDA and FTC any additional topics you would
18 like to discuss with stakeholders and would be
19 happy to facilitate those discussions. Thank you
20 again for the opportunity to present, on behalf of
21 USP, our perspective. Thank you.

22 MS. IKENBERRY: Thank you.

Page 324

1 Laura McKinley, director of regulatory
2 policy, Pfizer.

3 DR. MCKINLEY: Hello. Thank you. I am
4 Laura McKinley as she said, director of regulatory
5 policy at Pfizer, and we appreciate the opportunity
6 to present here today and applaud the FDA-FTC
7 collaboration to support appropriate adoption of
8 biosimilars.

9 The introduction of biosimilars in the U.S.
10 was intended to increase competition by providing
11 additional safe and effective biologic treatment
12 options, thereby reducing healthcare costs. This
13 goal will not be realized if patients and
14 healthcare professionals receive incomplete or
15 misleading information.

16 In August 2018, Pfizer filed a citizen
17 petition requesting that FDA issue guidance to help
18 ensure communications by sponsors concerning the
19 safety and effectiveness of biosimilars are
20 truthful and non-misleading.

21 In this regard, Pfizer appreciates the
22 important steps FDA has taken to address

Page 325

1 misinformation, including the publication of draft
 2 guidance that specifically notes that reference
 3 product promotional materials should avoid
 4 representing or suggesting that a biosimilar
 5 product is less safe or effective than its
 6 reference product because it has not been studied
 7 in all clinical indications and/or is not licensed
 8 as interchangeable.

9 The Federal Register notice seeks input on
 10 promotional materials for interchangeables. Pfizer
 11 believes it is essential to avoid inaccurate
 12 perceptions of the safety and effectiveness of
 13 biological products based on their licensure
 14 pathway. Therefore, we encourage FDA to also
 15 address interchangeable biosimilar labeling and
 16 promotional materials to help ensure these to avoid
 17 representing or suggesting that a biosimilar
 18 product is less safe or effective because it has
 19 not been licensed as interchangeable.

20 Pfizer fully supports the rigorous
 21 evaluation standards that FDA applies to all
 22 products, including biosimilars, but believes

Page 327

1 consistent with the risk information in the
 2 reference product labeling as a CBE-0 submission.
 3 Treating such updates as CBE-0's will help ensure
 4 important risk information is being disseminated to
 5 healthcare providers and patients in a timely
 6 manner.

7 Finally, Pfizer is concerned about
 8 anticompetitive contracting practices by which a
 9 biologic manufacturer undertakes systemic efforts
 10 to maintain unlawfully a monopoly in connection
 11 with its reference products. The practice of
 12 withholding significant rebates for both current
 13 and future patients, unless insurers agree to
 14 biosimilar exclusion contracts, effectively block
 15 coverage of biosimilars. Without such coverage,
 16 providers are reluctant to stock biosimilars.

17 Further, anticompetitive contracts
 18 effectively conditioned on the providers not
 19 purchasing biosimilars in exchange for discounts on
 20 the reference or other products prevent physicians
 21 from trying and patients from accessing
 22 biosimilars. Pfizer again thanks FDA and FTC for

Page 326

1 further opportunities exist to optimize the
 2 approval process for biosimilars without
 3 compromising scientific standards.

4 For example, FDA has indicated they intend
 5 to review and act upon supplement-seeking licensure
 6 for an additional condition of use in a 6-month
 7 review time as opposed to the 10-month review time
 8 frame outlined in the BsUFA II goals letter.

9 However, the BsUFA II goals letter is limited to
 10 supplements with clinical data.

11 We think consideration should be given to
 12 reduce even further the review time for supplements
 13 seeking licensure for additional indications
 14 supported by scientific justification of
 15 extrapolation in the absence of additional clinical
 16 data. This would avoid unnecessary delays in
 17 patient access to biosimilars.

18 It would also be beneficial to have further
 19 guidance regarding the post-approval process for
 20 adding safety information to biosimilar labels. In
 21 particular, Pfizer urges the agency to consider
 22 biosimilar safety labeling updates that are

Page 328

1 convening this workshop and for the opportunity to
 2 speak.

3 MS. IKENBERRY: Thank you. That was our
 4 last registered commenter. So with that, I will
 5 turn it over to Caty for final remarks.

6 Closing Remarks - Catherine Gray

7 MS. GRAY: I have the best job of the day.

8 On behalf of FDA and FTC, I'd like to thank
 9 all the speakers and panelists and everyone in the
 10 audience for participating in today's workshop.
 11 Whether you attended in person or via webcast, we
 12 greatly appreciate your attention and your interest
 13 in today's sessions and presentations. I'd like to
 14 also send out one last acknowledgment to the many
 15 folks at FTC and FDA who worked tirelessly in
 16 preparing for this meeting. Thank you for your
 17 persistence.

18 As a reminder, we strongly encourage you to
 19 submit your comments to the docket, which will be
 20 open until April 9th. If you would like any
 21 details on how to submit your comments to the
 22 docket, we have placed copies of the Federal

1 Register notice announcing this meeting at the
2 registration table just outside the meeting room.

3 A transcript from the workshop should be
4 posted to the workshop website within 30 days. We
5 will provide copies of today's presentations upon
6 request and contact information about getting those
7 copies is also available at the registration table.

8 On that note, I'm closing the workshop.

9 Thank you again for participating and have a safe
10 trip home.

11 (Applause.)

12 (Whereupon, at 4:18 p.m., the workshop was
13 concluded.)

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

	195:15;197:4;209:5; 236:4;239:2;244:11; 245:3;319:3	accomplishes (1) 81:3	27:18;30:8;45:1,6; 62:10;164:19;213:7; 218:10,15;219:5; 223:8;225:17,20; 226:8,17;238:2; 239:21;242:22; 248:21;251:19;253:6; 271:8;279:9;280:16; 307:15;313:22	add (14) 47:20;53:7;69:19; 73:8;94:20;152:7,19; 169:1,16;170:20; 183:8;211:19;225:8; 321:20
\$		absent (1) 326:15	actionable (1) 219:9	added (1) 223:17
\$1044 (1) 130:1	absence (1) 326:15	absolutely (4) 20:13;201:12; 205:19;246:21	actions (6) 21:11;26:22;85:17; 98:4;225:12;240:7	adding (1) 326:20
\$12 (1) 185:22	absolute (1) 179:13	abundance (1) 269:2	act (7) 88:6;95:20,21;96:1, 4;222:11;299:19	addition (10) 55:19;119:8;120:4; 137:22;168:18; 176:15;194:15;207:1; 268:15;312:22
\$125.5 (1) 25:3	absolutely (4) 20:13;201:12; 205:19;246:21	abused (1) 161:2	actor (1) 228:20	additionally (4) 281:3;286:11; 299:17;321:16
\$144 (1) 129:12	abundance (1) 269:2	abuses (2) 42:13;302:16	actors (2) 222:20;238:19	address (28) 11:14;12:19,20; 13:8,22;43:19;55:13; 85:17;102:1;106:21; 120:20;166:13;195:7; 197:3,22;223:19; 261:2;280:5;297:7; 302:1;315:1;321:19; 322:21;323:6,8,12; 324:22;325:15
\$2 (1) 184:16	abused (1) 161:2	ACA (3) 147:15;148:1,4	acts (5) 94:3;216:1;217:9; 226:7;240:14	addressed (5) 48:21;85:14; 105:16;301:10;302:17
\$291 (1) 130:4	abuses (2) 42:13;302:16	academic (2) 58:4;289:5	actual (1) 270:9	addressing (4) 43:6;78:4;292:2; 302:13
\$3 (1) 54:6	access (46) 6:21;7:13;15:21; 28:21;30:13;38:16,18; 40:2;73:7;75:8;126:9; 152:3;155:5;176:3,4; 184:5;185:13;207:18; 274:15;284:2;289:1; 291:6;294:12,17; 298:3;301:4,5,8,14, 17;302:1;303:2; 307:20;309:16,22; 310:3,17,19;313:12; 314:18,20;315:7; 316:19;321:4,6; 326:17	acceleration (1) 16:16	actually (42) 28:11;34:17;37:6; 39:4;49:3;135:21; 158:12;179:21; 181:13,20;186:7,9,21; 188:5,10,16,18,21; 189:16,20;190:9; 198:20;201:21; 203:17;210:4,16; 223:15,22;224:5,19; 226:12,16;227:22; 228:14,19;248:5,20; 252:19;253:21; 266:11;309:5,13	adequate (2) 91:6;270:8
\$3,000 (1) 190:2	accepted (1) 95:19	accept (3) 63:4;77:10;275:5	ad (7) 88:6;95:20,21;96:1, 4;222:11;299:19	adjudicated (4) 49:4;56:10;61:11, 22
\$344 (1) 129:4	accepts (1) 316:2	ACE (2) 178:20;180:17	ad (7) 88:6;95:20,21;96:1, 4;222:11;299:19	adjudication (1) 62:15
\$4.8 (1) 286:10	achievement (1) 301:9	achieve (5) 42:2;74:13;139:10; 165:15;281:19	ad (7) 88:6;95:20,21;96:1, 4;222:11;299:19	adjusters (1) 319:1
\$40 (1) 133:3	access (46) 6:21;7:13;15:21; 28:21;30:13;38:16,18; 40:2;73:7;75:8;126:9; 152:3;155:5;176:3,4; 184:5;185:13;207:18; 274:15;284:2;289:1; 291:6;294:12,17; 298:3;301:4,5,8,14, 17;302:1;303:2; 307:20;309:16,22; 310:3,17,19;313:12; 314:18,20;315:7; 316:19;321:4,6; 326:17	achieved (1) 301:9	ad (7) 88:6;95:20,21;96:1, 4;222:11;299:19	adjusting (2) 129:20,21
\$435 (1) 130:3	acceptance (5) 169:5;171:15; 172:5;202:13;209:6	achieving (2) 279:14;284:13	Adalimumab (2) 50:9;135:17	adjustments (1) 129:19
\$54 (1) 308:11	accepted (1) 95:19	acknowledge (4) 12:10,14,16;145:9	ADCOM (1) 39:12	administer (2) 63:5;278:17
\$85 (1) 129:11	accepts (1) 316:2	acknowledgment (1) 328:14	AdComplyRx (2) 298:12,15	administered (4) 46:15;52:17;57:13;
[acquisition (1) 301:21		
[indiscernible] (3) 152:18;201:13; 269:5		across (19) 12:20;16:5;19:13; 34:11;52:3;60:15; 72:13,15,22;86:4; 152:21;160:6;197:6; 208:21;262:18;273:2; 276:11;310:4;316:15		
[ph] (2) 100:10;227:5		Act (39) 17:9;26:8,11,16; 34:2;37:7;53:20;79:6; 81:10,18,21;85:6,18, 22;93:22;97:3;104:5, 13;119:13;217:14; 218:14,19;219:5,9; 223:6,7;224:5;225:12; 226:19;227:20;229:7; 241:19;248:4,19,21; 252:2;314:19;316:22; 326:5		
A		acted (1) 27:6		
abandon (1) 317:15	accessed (2) 9:19;208:2	acting (4) 6:9;23:5;253:1; 256:15		
abbreviated (7) 27:21;28:2,8;34:7; 201:16,17,19	accessibility (1) 19:4	action (26)		
AbbVie (1) 54:14	Accessible (4) 32:20;134:1; 180:17;203:3			
AbbVie's (1) 308:13	accessing (1) 327:21			
ability (15) 42:19;54:13;55:7, 12;69:1;72:1;74:10; 153:20,21;200:9,14; 225:16;267:10; 281:19;315:3	access-restricting (1) 318:22			
able (22) 23:15;56:18,20; 62:14;64:4;74:1; 137:3;143:22;147:7; 155:4,15;171:13; 176:13;188:20;	accompanied (1) 86:16			
	accomplish (2) 102:16;238:5			
	accomplished (2) 136:17;284:6			

66:7 administering (1) 62:7 administration (4) 68:17;114:22; 115:4,10 administrations (1) 284:21 administrative (2) 7:16;55:9 administratively (3) 55:14;68:17;98:5 admit (1) 265:1 ado (2) 32:6;80:4 adopt (2) 136:10;231:17 adopted (1) 232:15 adopting (2) 280:1;295:16 adoption (21) 6:16;7:7;15:1; 56:12,19;57:1;59:5; 67:8;75:22;77:22; 258:6;262:12;284:9; 286:16;289:11; 292:22;296:5;303:17; 306:4;322:16;324:7 ads (7) 175:10;181:21; 298:17,20;299:12,18, 18 adult (1) 173:22 adults (1) 191:8 advance (4) 15:5;289:16; 297:17;315:5 advanced (1) 274:9 advancement (1) 296:8 advances (2) 16:4;21:5 advancing (2) 273:7;312:10 Advantage (2) 131:17;132:5 advantages (1) 294:2 adverse (3) 111:19;159:12; 300:17 advertisement (11) 94:4,6,7;95:3,5,8,9, 13,16,17;96:8 Advertisements (3) 95:4;103:22;301:2 advertiser (2) 95:22;96:5	Advertising (57) 14:9;29:14,15,16; 75:2;79:19,21;80:1, 13,22;82:3,6;85:8; 86:5,22;91:9,16; 93:11,12,20;100:11, 20;101:14;103:10; 110:2;119:7;179:18; 213:18;218:15;223:7; 226:16,18;230:22; 231:3;232:16,18; 241:5,11,12,13,15; 242:5,8,12;243:5,10, 18;244:1,7,14,15; 253:21;254:4,5; 256:18;298:17,22 advice (2) 79:15;121:16 advisor (4) 28:13;171:12; 172:7;255:6 Advisory (3) 260:6;291:8;316:8 advocacy (12) 174:6;191:7; 193:15;202:7,9; 204:11;205:13; 206:22;264:2;307:14; 315:19;316:11 advocate (2) 193:17,20 advocated (2) 272:20;273:17 advocates (5) 193:4;194:4,8; 273:20;307:13 advocating (2) 283:17;316:18 affairs (5) 174:3;257:8; 267:20;283:4,7 affect (5) 110:12;228:1,5,12; 264:9 affected (1) 228:7 affecting (2) 162:15;294:7 affects (1) 241:17 affiliated (2) 62:17;215:11 afford (1) 259:17 affordability (1) 294:22 affordable (4) 268:1;284:2;296:2; 307:21 afoul (1) 97:2 afternoon (12) 125:2,8;156:14;	257:7;272:14;278:5; 283:5;293:21;303:7; 307:9;316:9;320:14 again (53) 18:21;19:1;22:14; 32:14,22;34:9;42:18; 43:16;44:1;47:20; 49:6;51:17;57:14; 61:9;63:20;65:12; 68:22;73:1;102:11; 116:5;117:8;128:2; 129:13;130:19; 133:19;134:13,21; 141:22;142:9;146:17; 147:10;163:20; 164:19;165:11; 175:18;183:9;189:11; 199:20;201:4;206:7; 228:4;232:11;233:9; 243:1;250:12;252:3; 255:5;300:4;315:20, 21;323:20;327:22; 329:9 against (8) 27:1;30:8;136:11; 146:3;271:8;305:13; 311:10;312:19 agencies (15) 13:18;14:17; 148:15;160:12;164:3; 236:3;238:18;252:10; 254:16,19;268:5; 297:6;309:1;318:3; 322:12 agency (29) 12:6;19:13;23:20; 24:8;25:6;43:6;45:1, 16;69:12;73:13; 110:16;147:22; 224:15;225:2;268:10, 14,16,18;269:5,8; 271:7;272:1,2,5; 279:17,22;293:5; 295:1;326:21 agency's (4) 11:11;27:5;53:15; 86:13 agent (3) 62:7,8;66:8 agents (2) 61:6;290:9 aggressive (2) 295:11;317:8 aggressively (1) 197:1 ago (21) 17:8;25:16;27:19; 39:20;63:22;64:10; 143:6;155:6,6;162:1; 163:13;178:11; 189:15,17;198:19; 247:2;250:4;272:19; 275:8;308:8;315:9	agree (5) 51:18;138:1;152:6; 249:2;327:13 agreed (2) 275:15,17 agreements (14) 26:17;27:4,7,12,15; 30:20,22;54:14,15,21; 55:5;229:8;280:7; 308:20 ahead (4) 171:21;181:2; 183:10;282:4 aid (4) 87:6,7,10,12 aimed (1) 280:14 aisle (1) 168:14 AITKEN (7) 125:8,9,12,15,16; 154:13;169:16 Alberta (1) 183:15 alert (1) 88:11 Alex (4) 124:21;156:10,12; 168:18 Alex's (1) 169:2 aligned (1) 302:13 alignments (1) 205:16 alike (3) 16:9;281:10;286:2 Alison (2) 124:16;167:10 ' ' 'all (1) 215:8 A allegations (1) 33:15 alleging (1) 30:16 Alliance (7) 215:3;260:7; 263:15,22;272:17; 274:3,4 Allied (2) 288:4;293:19 allotted (4) 10:6,8;255:15; 256:1 allow (6) 26:2;62:14;63:15; 68:8;267:11;272:2	allowable (1) 140:4 allowed (2) 302:11;319:8 allowing (7) 267:14;271:10; 279:18;297:18;303:9; 315:13;316:13 allows (6) 82:19,22;318:6,16, 17,19 alluded (3) 54:18;168:6;176:9 almost (7) 27:19;184:3; 190:14;260:5;275:22; 302:5;308:4 alone (3) 175:1;238:8;258:18 along (3) 9:5;177:19;267:9 Alright (3) 185:17;187:15; 257:3 alter (2) 95:16;289:13 alternate (1) 295:5 alternating (1) 285:1 alternative (1) 315:2 although (8) 35:7;46:13;90:21; 111:7;117:21;225:19; 229:15;303:18 always (22) 10:20;19:9;64:10; 72:8;83:20;151:9,12; 167:15;168:4;176:6, 17;189:1;200:9; 212:16;221:11,18; 238:18;240:6,11; 244:9;252:9;302:6 amazing (1) 16:15 ambiguous (1) 220:18 ambulatory (1) 289:8 amending (1) 48:18 amendment (6) 68:19;213:16; 223:5;224:14;226:22; 227:6 amendments (2) 26:15;77:9 America (1) 187:13 American (17) 12:19;13:13;14:6; 15:19;18:6;19:1;20:3;
--	---	---	--	--

<p>21:8,8;23:1;31:4; 77:9;124:22;187:13; 209:13;250:11;265:22</p> <p>Americans (3) 18:9;19:5;278:19</p> <p>America's (1) 278:16</p> <p>Amgen (12) 216:5,7;303:6,9,14; 304:3,19;305:10,15, 20;306:11;307:3</p> <p>Amgen's (4) 303:10;304:22; 305:14;306:3</p> <p>among (15) 30:21;122:4; 148:15;153:12; 174:16;194:7;229:9; 262:11;274:21; 294:19;296:1;297:5; 305:2;314:5;315:4</p> <p>amount (7) 18:14;24:12;70:13; 127:4;143:17;168:8; 230:3</p> <p>amounts (1) 92:16</p> <p>amplify (1) 169:2</p> <p>analyses (1) 144:5</p> <p>analysis (4) 36:12;125:7; 204:10;323:15</p> <p>analyst (1) 101:3</p> <p>analytical (8) 36:9,10,19;291:10; 292:15;321:21; 322:16,22</p> <p>analyzing (1) 136:20</p> <p>and/or (5) 255:13;290:16; 302:18;310:5;325:7</p> <p>Anderson (1) 11:8</p> <p>Andre (1) 307:10</p> <p>Andreas (1) 125:5</p> <p>Andrew (4) 272:12,15;283:3,6</p> <p>ANDRUS (13) 31:16,17;33:3;44:5, 6;45:21;49:16;55:21; 59:7;60:16;69:3; 70:19;74:16</p> <p>Andwele (5) 173:16;191:2,3; 208:14;211:19</p> <p>anecdotally (1) 58:21</p>	<p>animal (1) 36:20</p> <p>anniversary (1) 39:17</p> <p>announced (2) 98:16;120:3</p> <p>announcements (1) 7:16</p> <p>announcing (1) 329:1</p> <p>annual (1) 286:6</p> <p>anonymous (1) 84:22</p> <p>Antara (1) 256:20</p> <p>antibiosimilar (1) 264:10</p> <p>antibody (1) 283:13</p> <p>anticipate (5) 151:5,6;155:7; 162:13;164:21</p> <p>anticipated (1) 163:14</p> <p>anticompetitive (29) 6:18;11:14;15:12; 25:9;26:13,21;27:3, 11,14;30:21;33:16; 231:1;239:8;241:8; 243:4;247:8,14;249:8; 257:13;260:20;261:8; 270:12;280:6,14,17; 308:18;318:20;327:8, 17</p> <p>anticompetitively (1) 30:17</p> <p>anti-kickback (1) 52:20</p> <p>antisimilar (1) 263:4</p> <p>anti-TNF (1) 286:6</p> <p>antitrust (34) 27:7;29:12,21; 213:8,14;226:2;229:2, 4;232:14;237:20; 238:3,10,16,17,20,21; 239:1,2,17,22;240:15; 241:20;242:11; 243:19,20;244:22; 246:12;249:6,14,19; 250:19;251:16;254:1, 2</p> <p>anxiety (1) 183:6</p> <p>anymore (1) 59:2</p> <p>API (1) 291:19</p> <p>apologies (1) 198:5</p> <p>apologize (1)</p>	<p>177:4</p> <p>apparent (4) 85:5,12;86:11;95:2</p> <p>Appeals (1) 242:7</p> <p>appear (1) 298:17</p> <p>appeared (1) 264:22</p> <p>applaud (5) 71:20;268:10; 298:7;314:3;324:6</p> <p>Applause (10) 11:18;23:10;31:15; 74:18;100:8;123:2; 171:9;212:13;255:1; 329:11</p> <p>apples (2) 216:8,8</p> <p>applicable (3) 84:5;119:12;322:19</p> <p>applicant (1) 35:12</p> <p>applicants (1) 26:6</p> <p>application (6) 36:11;49:9;86:17; 115:19;116:10;126:18</p> <p>applications (1) 313:4</p> <p>applied (3) 83:13;233:1;290:14</p> <p>applies (6) 87:16;105:18; 106:8;183:4;243:13; 325:21</p> <p>apply (8) 104:10,13;109:19; 120:17;234:2;235:9; 299:14,17</p> <p>applying (2) 234:13;297:1</p> <p>appreciate (14) 12:4;22:14;45:18; 71:21;204:5;242:13; 278:12;280:12;293:5, 8;312:1;320:17; 324:5;328:12</p> <p>appreciated (1) 320:12</p> <p>appreciates (3) 121:20;257:10; 324:21</p> <p>appreciation (1) 288:20</p> <p>appreciative (1) 307:16</p> <p>approach (26) 18:3;36:6;37:2,22; 52:14;57:4,12;121:17; 227:15;230:18; 231:16,19,20,21; 234:21;237:18;241:1,</p>	<p>3,9;242:17;249:7,20; 286:17;294:11; 313:15;322:14</p> <p>Approaches (3) 75:1;237:19;321:21</p> <p>appropriate (23) 6:16;30:7;79:2,5; 97:22;106:9;116:22; 118:5;158:7;169:8,13; 199:17;200:11; 215:16;235:21;243:6, 19,21;244:13;293:4,7; 297:15;324:7</p> <p>appropriately (3) 89:1,21;315:1</p> <p>approval (23) 7:4;19:12,18;27:22; 39:18;89:9;127:13; 159:15;163:6;266:16; 277:18;279:17;280:8; 289:18,21;290:9,10, 20;291:6,13;292:22; 293:10;326:2</p> <p>approvals (4) 134:17;165:10; 275:22;277:4</p> <p>approved (48) 17:3;34:3;35:4,14, 16;39:8,14,16;40:5, 10;44:10;47:4,11; 49:17;54:10,11;56:1, 3;59:12,22;96:21; 106:5;135:15,18; 141:16;142:2;145:13, 21;155:4;184:6; 191:12;198:3;257:17; 258:18;266:17; 277:10;279:19; 281:14;283:13,15; 285:4;291:7;294:8; 303:20;304:19;310:9, 22;312:20</p> <p>approving (2) 273:1;275:21</p> <p>approximately (3) 9:19;10:4;306:5</p> <p>apps (1) 295:17</p> <p>April (2) 10:22;328:20</p> <p>apropos (1) 65:5</p> <p>area (12) 8:14;14:19;18:5; 21:9;49:6;72:3;79:22; 96:3;188:1;251:3; 253:4;287:14</p> <p>areas (14) 44:12;45:8;60:2; 70:16;83:4;127:20,21; 130:19;131:1;174:1; 196:18;208:2;261:12; 323:3</p>	<p>argue (2) 43:9;234:15</p> <p>argued (1) 280:13</p> <p>arguing (1) 158:17</p> <p>argument (2) 244:22;252:1</p> <p>Aria (1) 145:12</p> <p>arising (1) 238:3</p> <p>Arla (1) 222:3</p> <p>armamentarium (1) 75:13</p> <p>Armine (1) 256:22</p> <p>Arntsen (4) 288:4,7;293:14,16</p> <p>arose (1) 232:12</p> <p>around (41) 12:12,17;14:14; 39:13;41:8,12,19,21; 43:2,7,10;47:6;48:3; 53:5,19;67:14;70:4; 73:4;121:21;141:9; 144:13;145:8;161:3; 164:8;178:10;184:13; 185:8;191:11;194:1, 22;196:5,9;211:20; 260:16;264:14; 273:20;274:7;281:12; 282:6;299:5;318:13</p> <p>arranged (1) 49:7</p> <p>arrangement (1) 160:17</p> <p>arrangements (1) 50:22</p> <p>array (2) 289:6,9</p> <p>arsenal (1) 294:12</p> <p>Arthritis (13) 172:20;173:10,12, 17;176:21;178:4,13, 15;183:3;185:1; 193:6;204:14;265:8</p> <p>article (6) 93:16;214:22; 231:6,7;240:20;243:2</p> <p>articles (1) 188:3</p> <p>articulated (2) 50:2;179:3</p> <p>artificial (1) 30:3</p> <p>ASBM (8) 260:15,21;261:9; 264:1;273:12,12,17; 293:17</p>
--	--	---	---	---

aside (1) 28:10	239:3	40:22;76:21;100:2; 111:2;113:1,5;114:2; 250:11;271:2;325:3, 11,16;326:16	banned (2) 223:11,16	Bayesian (1) 272:1
asleep (1) 92:1	attractiveness (1) 170:7	aware (7) 64:12;119:22; 177:20;210:13; 228:16;249:13,17	bar (4) 90:3;134:8;135:11; 232:11	beacons (1) 181:4
ASP (7) 67:16,18,19,19; 166:17;270:22;286:4	attributable (1) 8:10	awareness (2) 7:5;172:3	BARLOW (2) 307:9,10	beamed (1) 172:17
aspect (1) 63:14	attribute (2) 106:1;146:19	away (6) 12:3;76:9;95:21; 168:12;236:8;244:12	barometer (1) 193:1	bear (2) 224:14;254:13
aspects (3) 136:6;291:10; 313:21	attributes (1) 93:6	awful (1) 184:16	barrier (12) 50:13;93:21; 158:15;159:15,21; 160:22;162:19;165:3; 253:5;258:13;302:1; 316:20	became (1) 28:8
assertions (1) 233:19	audience (10) 9:8;67:20;84:16; 86:13;92:4;95:7; 106:2;179:2;203:15; 328:10	Awsare (5) 173:20;185:16,17, 17;209:7	barriers (61) 30:3;124:12;152:3; 156:15,19,22;157:3,4, 5,8,9,11,12,12,13,17, 19,20,21,22,22;158:1, 7,9,11,13;159:6,7,10, 11,14,21;160:2,3; 161:7,17,17,18; 164:22,22;165:12,22; 167:1,12,16;168:20; 171:1;226:8;229:16; 236:11;237:10,13; 258:14;259:7;279:14; 280:1;281:5;302:9; 303:1;315:1,6	become (2) 173:13;243:10
assess (1) 129:1	audiences (4) 121:8;219:21,21; 293:7		beds (1) 179:15	becomes (2) 48:11;245:1
assessment (2) 36:20;111:7	audio (2) 7:18;8:4	B	bedside (1) 16:8	becoming (1) 277:3
assistance (3) 27:20;192:6;194:19	August (1) 324:16	back (32) 28:13;38:8,12;50:1; 52:9;56:8;57:14;61:5; 64:20;67:3;71:9; 73:21;120:8;122:21; 140:2;148:13;154:2; 155:18;157:7;181:3; 211:3;212:21;213:2; 235:17;238:1;243:8; 244:9;249:18;250:8; 265:18;285:1;288:11	Becton (1) 242:3	bedrock (1) 179:15
assistant (3) 79:19;91:16;125:3	Australia (1) 276:6	background (2) 45:13;102:19	bee (1) 227:17	bedsides (1) 16:8
associate (2) 75:4;173:20	author (1) 173:14	backgrounds (1) 214:9	begin (9) 7:15;23:21;74:17, 22;176:11;182:9; 214:11;293:17;315:22	beginning (4) 124:8;138:3;179:2; 283:16
associated (3) 87:4;93:9;292:18	authorities (5) 79:7;86:17;99:10; 205:2;314:8	backwards (1) 40:20	beginning (9) 124:8;138:3;179:2; 283:16	behalf (17) 23:14;31:8;52:1; 81:1,16;104:1;278:13; 288:19;293:19; 300:12;307:10,13,14; 316:12;320:17; 323:20;328:8
Association (8) 32:19;197:18; 272:13;278:4,14,15; 288:5;293:20	authority (7) 46:5;81:14;98:6; 100:3;251:3;302:17, 18	bad (10) 157:5,10,12,20; 158:2;160:2,13; 161:17;238:19;302:8	Basaglar (8) 145:21;147:14; 148:19;149:10,15,17; 150:2,5	behavior (10) 11:15;30:12;33:16; 153:12;160:13; 231:12;235:22;246:3; 270:12;271:3
assume (2) 243:22;253:8	authorization (1) 64:5	BadAd (1) 84:17	based (22) 22:4;34:3;95:4; 110:7;111:17;112:16; 115:9;125:21;140:4; 151:17;156:17; 178:17;203:7,14; 211:6;269:12,12; 271:18;301:18; 309:15;318:18;325:13	behavior (7) 6:19;15:12;21:1; 139:14;257:14; 302:15;308:18
assuming (2) 93:21;230:16	authorized (1) 183:22	badad@fdagov (1) 84:20	basic (4) 175:19,22;241:8; 313:10	behaviors (7) 6:19;15:12;21:1; 139:14;257:14; 302:15;308:18
assumption (2) 217:13;261:3	autoimmune (2) 185:1;294:7	bag (3) 62:16;63:20;239:7	basically (14) 62:13;63:10;66:9; 74:2;134:6;140:10; 148:5;149:17;221:11; 222:13;231:4,22; 242:4;249:22	behind (6) 6:5;136:16;251:11; 266:5,14;317:1
assure (3) 12:18;273:11,16	automatic (2) 26:3;46:19	bagging (1) 66:5	basis (10) 61:17;66:6;117:16; 125:22;129:3,19; 131:8;149:2;166:1; 207:11	belief (3) 195:3;246:14; 297:10
assuring (1) 260:13	availability (4) 7:2;13:13;120:3; 279:6	balance (4) 80:19;102:14; 159:2;315:10	bask (1) 39:10	believes (5) 202:10;304:4; 314:7;325:11,22
Atouf (3) 320:13,14,15	available (31) 8:16,17;15:19; 16:20;17:13;31:7; 50:7;57:19;61:18; 86:14;89:11;97:20; 133:9;134:9;135:1,6; 143:4,10;149:13; 184:21;189:22; 191:15,16;203:18; 238:4;241:18;277:10; 313:5;314:8,15;329:7	balanced (2) 104:8;268:6	battle (1) 158:20	believing (1) 29:9
ATP (1) 192:16	Avastin (1) 190:6	balancing (3) 78:9,20;242:16		beneficial (1) 326:18
attempt (2) 36:8;274:19	avenue (1) 64:22	ball (1) 266:6		benefit (47)
attend (2) 8:1;10:10	average (9) 17:20;67:17; 143:16;162:16;204:4, 16;286:3;306:1;313:8	Balto (2) 307:7,10		
attended (1) 328:11	avoid (13)	ban (1) 227:10		
attendees (2) 7:20;9:5				
attends (1) 84:6				
attention (7) 122:19;123:1; 124:3;293:4;308:22; 320:11;328:12				
attorney (7) 28:13;31:17;101:2; 172:7;213:3;257:1; 307:11				
attorneys (1)				

<p>46:15,16;48:12; 49:13;51:16,17,20; 52:2,7;53:12;56:9; 57:3,12;61:1,13,21; 64:8,9,13,14;65:6,6, 12;66:11,18;67:6,9, 11;68:5,12;80:20; 91:4;102:15;140:17; 142:16;162:4;216:12; 238:21;266:10; 278:16;298:3;304:13, 15;318:7;319:11; 320:10;321:3</p> <p>benefited (2) 31:4;304:8</p> <p>benefits (23) 49:5;59:18;61:11; 74:7;75:7,9;93:8; 124:4;142:20,21; 149:1,1;168:13;176:3; 202:17;206:17; 266:22;278:22; 279:11;312:16; 315:16;317:21;319:5</p> <p>Bernstein (1) 306:7</p> <p>Besides (2) 157:19;262:16</p> <p>best (15) 16:3;20:2;63:1; 66:3;73:17;125:13; 150:21;184:21;193:5; 197:6;206:20;228:13; 296:14;297:19;328:7</p> <p>Betsy (7) 100:17;101:2,8; 114:10;116:20; 120:11;121:12</p> <p>better (15) 18:5,22;57:16;74:8, 10;85:20;160:9; 161:8;163:2;167:3; 221:21;240:22; 242:17;254:2;320:9</p> <p>bevacizumab (2) 56:16;57:18</p> <p>beyond (6) 30:11;154:18; 161:4;180:4;181:5; 292:20</p> <p>BI (1) 143:12</p> <p>bias (3) 197:2;318:5</p> <p>big (13) 45:11;51:3;66:13; 129:2;144:4;155:16; 156:20;187:2;229:5; 247:12;249:21;253:5, 10</p> <p>biggest (2) 292:4;296:7</p> <p>bill (5)</p>	<p>53:18;63:5,16; 67:15;68:21</p> <p>billing (1) 63:6</p> <p>billion (9) 25:3;129:4,11,12; 133:3;184:16;185:22; 286:10;308:11</p> <p>bills (1) 319:18</p> <p>binary (1) 162:8</p> <p>bio (1) 178:19</p> <p>Biocon (2) 267:17,20</p> <p>BioDrugs (1) 189:17</p> <p>biologic (70) 6:19;7:14;27:19; 28:7;29:7,11,18;51:2, 8;53:20;63:18;67:18; 99:3,6;100:21;106:15; 127:20;130:12,17,21; 133:12;135:14; 144:12;153:12;201:9; 206:16,19;208:8,9; 210:2;212:2;215:4; 216:5;229:21;234:8; 236:15;239:15;246:1; 257:15;260:7;261:16; 263:16;272:18;273:8, 18;274:4;279:4; 283:10,12,20;286:1; 289:1,14;291:16; 292:5,14;294:19; 296:20;297:21; 299:22;303:1;305:6; 308:3,9;313:2,9; 314:14;319:9;324:11; 327:9</p> <p>biologic/biosimilar (1) 138:20</p> <p>biological (25) 13:19;14:1,10,22; 15:11;33:22;34:12; 76:7;79:9;101:15; 102:2;104:3;105:9,20; 119:6;299:1;303:16; 306:15;321:8,17; 322:17,20;323:4,8; 325:13</p> <p>Biologics (94) 6:10;13:2;16:2; 17:9;18:13;20:16; 23:2;24:3,17,20,21; 25:2;26:18;27:17; 28:16,20;30:9,20; 31:21;33:2;34:3; 53:16;60:21;69:13; 102:9,10;122:17; 124:17;125:17;127:8, 19,22;128:9,13;</p>	<p>129:10,15;130:3,10, 14;131:2,3;132:17; 134:12,19;135:5,20; 139:19;141:11,21; 146:9;147:8;153:3; 154:17;155:21;170:9, 22;171:12;176:2; 186:5;188:22;194:5; 195:22;204:2;212:3,5; 216:7;230:1;232:21; 255:7;256:16;265:21; 267:20;269:11;273:9; 275:9;290:15;291:22; 292:6,13;294:3;295:2; 296:1;307:21;308:5, 13;312:12,19;313:1; 316:22;318:6,15; 320:16;322:14;323:13</p> <p>biopharmaceutical (3) 172:8;312:6;320:1</p> <p>biosimilar (278) 7:5,14;10:15;1; 18:3,11,21;19:11; 20:19;21:12;29:10,18; 30:4;32:21;34:8;35:4, 7,12,16;36:11;39:19; 41:20;42:20;45:5,6,6; 50:13;51:2;53:13; 54:1,7;55:7;58:10; 60:4,19;63:17,18; 66:15;68:11;72:18; 73:22;76:2,16,17; 79:3,10;83:6;96:10, 13,16,21;97:10,14,16; 98:20;99:13,14; 100:21;101:15,20; 102:3;103:6,15,21; 104:3,11;105:8;106:8, 11;107:3,13,15,17; 108:1,6,10,17,21; 110:14,16,17;111:3, 11,12,16;112:4,7,9,13, 19,20,22;113:2,6,8,10, 12,13,17,22;114:3,18; 115:1,8,20;116:11; 118:17;121:19; 124:10,11,12;128:18, 21;133:1;134:15,22; 135:1,5,6,14;141:15, 16,17,21;142:1,3; 144:22;145:9,16; 146:10,15;151:3; 156:8;158:4;160:19; 161:18;162:13;163:2, 12;164:6,21;167:9,14; 169:9;171:14;172:3; 182:11;183:21; 187:17;188:5;189:12; 190:8;191:11,12,16; 192:1;197:19;198:4; 199:9,13,22;200:7,17, 17;202:17;205:8; 209:16;211:12,22;</p>	<p>216:1,15;217:2,11,22; 235:13;237:12,15; 244:21;245:2,14; 253:14;258:17;261:5; 262:4,7,10,12,14,21; 263:6;264:19;266:16, 19;270:14,19;271:1, 10;273:4,14,18,20; 275:13,15;276:15,19; 277:4;279:7,19;280:6, 8,21;281:20;283:17; 284:9,20;285:3,7,8,16, 19;286:12,13,16; 287:3;290:6;291:5; 292:2,6,15,22;295:6; 296:20;297:22;298:1; 299:2,9,14,22;303:14, 21;304:2,3,9,14,18; 305:16,18;306:10; 307:2,19;308:8;310:9, 10,11;311:6,15; 312:14;313:4,7,8; 314:3;315:4,7;316:20; 317:2,12,14;318:9,10; 319:9;320:3,10; 321:18;325:4,15,17; 326:20,22;327:14</p> <p>biosimilar/biologic (1) 208:11</p> <p>biosimilarity (15) 34:13;36:2,13;37:2, 5;65:1;108:9,20; 109:17;111:20; 113:20;117:4;269:10, 16;270:7</p> <p>Biosimilars (320) 6:8,11,16,18;7:3,4, 8;13:3,10;17:4,14; 19:6;20:21;21:20; 23:2;26:18;27:22; 28:17,19;30:9,14,20; 31:22;32:18;33:2,13; 34:18;38:13,18;39:1, 8,13;40:11;41:1,9,14; 42:5,15;43:9,22;44:8, 10;45:1;46:1,47:12; 48:19;49:17;51:7; 54:10,17;56:1,3,16; 57:1;58:6,19;59:3,11; 60:17,20;61:7,15; 68:14;69:4,6,14;71:2, 14;72:3;73:13;75:7, 12,16,20,22;76:10,13; 77:17;78:2,22;96:3; 97:11;102:9,21;103:2, 11,14,18;105:4; 106:21;107:9,16; 108:3;109:22;110:10; 111:2;121:15;122:15; 124:18;125:18; 128:10;133:17,20; 134:16,20;135:3,18, 21;136:2,6,15,18;</p>	<p>137:5;140:15,20; 141:9;142:7;145:11, 17;146:19,21;147:9; 150:16;153:3,20; 155:22;156:16; 163:17;166:3,6,15; 168:1;170:1,4,10,15; 171:13;172:1;174:4,8, 16;176:2,4,7,18; 177:21;178:10,10; 181:3;182:14,14; 183:5;190:6,13; 191:11;194:5,11,13; 195:4,14,22;196:11; 197:2,17;201:8;204:1, 7;206:15;208:8,9; 210:12,14;211:1,9; 212:11;215:5,17; 216:8;230:2;232:21; 237:9;246:4,6,17; 249:15;253:10;255:7; 256:16;257:6,9,13,17, 22;258:4,6,7,11,18; 259:3,13,19;260:19; 261:6,18,21;262:2,15, 22;263:8,12;264:7,15; 265:19;266:5,8,22; 267:13,21;268:1,7; 269:4;270:14;271:4; 272:7,21;273:1,10; 274:14,20;275:2,5,19, 21;276:10,12;277:10, 17,21;279:8,9;280:19; 281:2;283:15;285:11, 21;286:2,3,9,13; 289:11;291:7,13; 293:10;294:1,15,18; 295:22;296:4;297:18; 299:5,9;300:18,20,22; 303:5,8,17,18;304:11, 14;305:2,9,10,14,14, 21;306:4,15,17,21; 307:4;308:10,12,15; 309:3,17;310:15,19; 311:3,11;312:4,12,18; 313:15,17,22;314:2,5, 10,21;317:7,11,21; 318:1,6,13,15;320:5, 19;321:6;324:8,9,19; 325:22;326:2,17; 327:15,16,19,22</p> <p>biosimilar's (11) 106:5;107:4,20; 108:3,18;109:1,18; 112:15,19;199:19; 216:21</p> <p>biosimilars' (1) 315:15</p> <p>BIOTECCanada (1) 178:11</p> <p>biotech (2) 131:2,9</p> <p>biotechnology (1)</p>
--	--	---	--	--

16:11 birth (1) 215:7 bit (33) 33:19;35:10;36:2; 37:15;50:3;52:13; 57:6;61:5;64:17; 102:19;125:20; 130:16;135:11; 140:14;149:21;154:3; 157:7;158:6;182:20; 184:13;187:21;189:3; 190:8;192:2;197:13; 201:15;210:16; 214:11;233:8;240:10; 245:17;282:10;287:6 bite-size (1) 207:17 black (5) 87:7,9,17;256:22,22 bleeds (1) 51:10 block (3) 280:6;309:3;327:14 blockage (1) 308:19 blockbuster (2) 170:22;308:14 blocked (1) 30:17 blocker (1) 283:14 blocking (1) 157:6 blue (3) 129:7;135:11,16 board (6) 44:18;204:7,9,11; 278:9;316:8 board-certified (1) 300:10 boat (1) 60:7 body (5) 181:20;216:7; 244:2;247:17;294:8 Boehringer (1) 32:16 boil (1) 175:16 bold (1) 88:7 bombarded (1) 179:18 bonnet (1) 227:17 Book (5) 53:11,17;54:3; 176:18;314:16 boost (1) 166:12 booth (2) 90:2,4	border (2) 177:16,19 both (67) 11:22;13:13;14:19; 15:1;29:12;36:7;37:5, 10;49:3,4;50:20;51:1; 52:16;57:6,9;60:2; 61:15;63:14;70:22; 76:1;79:6,16;80:19; 82:5;86:19;94:13; 98:9,15;99:1;100:6, 13;101:6;103:14; 106:8;107:14;114:20; 125:22;127:10;158:8; 159:22;162:5,12; 163:7;166:19;168:14; 189:22;191:7;194:3; 195:8;196:21;197:10; 206:13;212:5;214:6; 224:19;225:4;231:8; 241:12,19;275:15,16; 296:4;303:13;305:22; 313:7,16;327:12 bottom (5) 34:17;88:9;130:7; 184:15;204:18 bottom-line (1) 236:7 bouncing (1) 185:8 bowel (1) 188:2 box (3) 87:7,9,17 BPCIA (6) 158:15;201:15; 285:17;312:13,16; 315:9 branch (1) 241:3 brand (17) 26:3,5,14;27:1; 28:18;78:16;140:10; 153:5,8;160:17;182:1, 10,11;299:19;303:5,7, 8 brandcom (1) 299:20 brand-connected (1) 299:18 branded (12) 25:19;26:1;77:11; 132:22;148:14; 308:13;309:16;311:5, 6,8,12;317:6 brand-on-brand (1) 154:7 brands (3) 182:15;300:1;319:8 breadth (1) 310:6 break (2) 69:15;212:20	bridging (2) 41:22;42:5 brief (5) 17:10;23:3;32:3; 40:7;172:10 briefings (1) 316:6 briefly (4) 36:1;172:11;174:9; 180:21 BRILL (8) 124:21,21;137:22; 152:19;156:1,12,13; 170:20 bring (14) 7:8;8:7;11:10; 55:17;98:4;194:20; 215:15;218:16; 225:16;226:5;254:13; 258:17;266:22;322:4 bringing (9) 8:5;16:8;33:22; 192:20;268:1,20; 269:20;285:22;286:21 brings (2) 70:2;283:20 British (1) 184:2 broad (5) 25:7;43:4;157:2; 164:18;221:20 broad-based (1) 55:17 broaden (1) 57:5 broader (4) 102:1;251:2;277:8; 321:4 broadest (1) 157:8 broadly (3) 205:12;214:16; 322:19 broker (1) 179:20 brought (3) 27:18;30:16;225:12 Brown (1) 23:7 BsUFA (3) 313:19;326:8,9 bucket (5) 230:14,16,17,19; 234:20 buckets (4) 156:19;214:15; 230:14;268:9 build (4) 73:17;134:2; 175:22;263:11 building (2) 208:19;266:19 built (1)	244:1 bullet (1) 184:12 bunch (6) 51:14;54:12; 214:19;225:21; 239:16;242:4 bundled (1) 310:4 bundling (4) 49:8;52:2;237:3,6 burden (1) 219:9 burdening (1) 281:5 burdens (1) 160:11 Bureau (5) 31:18;79:20;91:17; 125:3;256:21 Burich (7) 32:15,15;46:10; 59:14;65:3;69:7; 72:11 buried (1) 88:9 business (5) 7:12;25:9;63:8; 171:2;231:1 buy (2) 184:15;226:10 buyers (2) 232:8;233:21 buying (1) 270:2	271:9 camp (1) 34:6 can (225) 7:12;9:18;10:2,17; 12:18;14:19;17:1,22; 18:4;21:20;22:5;24:4, 21;27:7;33:20;35:9, 12;37:8,20;40:16; 41:10,20;43:5;44:16; 46:20;49:9,20;55:1, 14;56:5;57:21,22; 61:2;64:17;66:22; 68:16;69:17;70:9,21; 71:1,20;73:4,16,17; 74:11;75:7,21;76:4; 77:1;78:6;84:22; 85:16,18;88:3;97:2,3, 3,4;98:3,5;99:21; 100:4,7;105:21;106:2; 108:15;110:4,11; 115:13;118:14;119:2; 121:5,9,15;124:15; 125:13,18;126:17,19; 129:7;131:6;133:2; 134:15,18;138:2,8; 139:21,21;140:5; 141:3;143:20;144:10, 18;145:1,7,16,20; 149:16,16;150:2; 157:13,14,14,20,21; 159:8,9,10,11;160:14; 161:1;162:2,11,19; 164:5,14,21,22;165:7, 12,22;166:18;169:6, 12;171:6;172:4,22; 173:2,4,5,6,9;175:1,5; 176:17;177:10,11; 184:1,15,19;185:2,12, 22;186:20,21,21; 187:15;188:1;190:4; 191:13,18;196:21; 198:14,15;201:22; 202:15;203:3;206:20; 207:2,14,18;214:9; 215:14;218:4,16; 219:16;221:15,17,18; 223:10,16,19;225:7, 19;227:10;228:8; 229:2,11;231:13,14; 232:2;233:5;234:19; 238:18;241:22;244:2, 3,16,19;245:21;248:7, 22;254:1,6,12;255:17, 19;259:17;265:6,15; 266:22;273:11,15,15; 275:7;276:8;284:5; 287:1,5;295:21; 297:17;298:19;299:8; 300:1;301:9;304:14; 308:10;309:12;310:8; 311:15;318:12,14; 319:6,20;321:20
		C		
		caffeine (1) 185:7 calculate (1) 143:8 calculation (2) 135:19;143:16 Caldwell (3) 278:3,5,6 call (12) 43:18;89:3;126:15; 161:6;183:11;187:8; 192:9;193:9;195:19; 209:22;248:16;286:16 called (7) 14:8;51:11;53:19; 114:18,19;143:7; 247:1 calling (2) 84:21;196:10 came (6) 11:5;15:14;42:10; 190:8;209:15;210:18 campaign (2) 21:13;279:10 campaign (1)		

<p>Canada (16) 172:17,21;173:11; 177:14;178:11,16; 181:2,5;183:9;188:17; 189:6;195:11;200:4; 207:8;273:6;276:6</p> <p>Canadian (2) 183:13;184:17</p> <p>Cancer (7) 11:8;15:14;24:19; 130:14;272:12;274:6, 10</p> <p>capacity (1) 169:22</p> <p>capita (1) 129:19</p> <p>capitals (1) 169:8</p> <p>capitol (1) 164:3</p> <p>capture (1) 126:16</p> <p>cards (1) 143:19</p> <p>care (21) 12:12;15:15; 184:12,16,18;185:21; 186:2;204:5;215:13; 233:19;267:22;275:6; 278:4,13;289:4,13; 295:20;296:14;302:8; 316:19;317:3</p> <p>career (1) 22:21</p> <p>carefully (3) 105:14;110:22; 122:7</p> <p>caregivers (1) 316:15</p> <p>Carrier (26) 213:11,12,20;214:1, 6,17,18;218:7;225:22; 229:2,5;237:22;238:9; 240:5;243:1;245:2,10; 246:1,21;249:17; 250:14;251:5;252:1; 253:9,18;254:8</p> <p>carved-out (1) 148:22</p> <p>cascade (1) 211:18</p> <p>case (40) 30:16;48:1;49:2; 54:16;66:10;85:2; 107:17;112:4;133:9; 145:12;146:16; 147:20;164:12; 165:22;219:1,9;220:2; 222:3,17;223:1;227:3; 230:4;232:4,12; 235:10,10;237:1,20; 239:6;242:2;243:10; 245:7;246:2,12;</p>	<p>248:12,14;249:5; 250:6;274:6;313:6</p> <p>case-by-case (5) 230:18;234:21; 237:17;242:17;249:7</p> <p>cases (20) 68:9;79:1;148:14; 182:18;221:9,12; 223:6;224:5;227:20; 230:8;232:20,20; 237:6;241:19,20; 248:18;249:12,14; 270:4;279:16</p> <p>cash (2) 131:21;168:21</p> <p>catastrophic (3) 220:4,6,10</p> <p>catch (1) 16:15</p> <p>catch-if-can (1) 207:11</p> <p>categories (7) 41:12;52:3;59:11; 160:7;191:19;214:20; 254:3</p> <p>categorization (1) 217:20</p> <p>categorize (3) 156:18;214:19; 218:5</p> <p>category (9) 43:4,21;51:14;57:5; 214:20;215:19,22; 216:18;224:6</p> <p>Catherine (2) 120:10;328:6</p> <p>Caty (5) 100:10;101:11; 120:9;256:17;328:5</p> <p>cause (10) 218:15;219:5; 223:8;238:2;242:22; 244:6;248:21;251:19; 294:9;301:15</p> <p>causes (2) 164:9;244:6</p> <p>causing (1) 137:10</p> <p>caution (3) 136:11;227:13; 281:18</p> <p>cautious (2) 44:2;265:2</p> <p>cautiously (1) 215:5</p> <p>CBE-0 (1) 327:2</p> <p>CBE-0's (1) 327:3</p> <p>CDC (1) 12:16</p> <p>CDER's (6) 6:10;102:6,8;</p>	<p>124:17;171:12;256:15</p> <p>cell (2) 7:17;57:1</p> <p>Center (3) 11:8;102:10;288:16</p> <p>Centers (3) 43:17;58:4;289:6</p> <p>central (2) 16:17;49:22</p> <p>CEO (1) 288:4</p> <p>certain (7) 9:14;79:6;164:16; 243:15;252:21; 290:16;314:14</p> <p>certainly (22) 17:2;18:3;45:17; 50:15;127:9;157:10; 184:8;214:18;231:10, 13,14;232:18;234:5; 236:1;238:12;245:2; 248:19;249:1,20; 250:19;251:15;253:9</p> <p>certainty (2) 45:4;163:7</p> <p>cetera (3) 59:2;66:17;188:3</p> <p>CFL (3) 109:6,20;116:20</p> <p>CFR (1) 82:8</p> <p>chain (4) 63:14;69:16;73:1; 266:2</p> <p>chair (1) 260:6</p> <p>chaired (1) 275:8</p> <p>chairing (2) 274:3;275:11</p> <p>Chairman (4) 22:18,19;23:14; 31:8</p> <p>challenge (3) 55:10;193:22;294:9</p> <p>challenged (1) 97:18</p> <p>challenger (1) 219:10</p> <p>challenges (11) 33:14;40:15;41:6,8, 12,13,19;153:18; 276:5;292:18;323:7</p> <p>chance (6) 63:13;97:13; 213:20;239:4;241:16; 248:10</p> <p>Change (9) 71:19;147:12; 196:2;208:5,6;254:6; 265:4;313:2;319:20</p> <p>changes (7) 10:9;52:15,22;</p>	<p>53:10;65:15;292:12; 301:18</p> <p>changing (2) 265:4,13</p> <p>channel (2) 131:6;154:16</p> <p>channels (1) 128:1</p> <p>character (1) 299:15</p> <p>characteristics (3) 59:10;128:5;287:20</p> <p>characterization (1) 291:10</p> <p>characterize (2) 210:22;240:1</p> <p>charge (2) 72:1;173:21</p> <p>charitable (1) 316:3</p> <p>charities (1) 183:2</p> <p>chart (5) 90:3;130:7,8;132:7; 135:12</p> <p>charts (1) 127:1</p> <p>cheaper (1) 182:17</p> <p>checkbook (1) 319:14</p> <p>Cheryl (9) 172:19,22;173:10; 176:21;177:1,2;185:4; 202:14;207:6</p> <p>Cheryl's (1) 185:14</p> <p>chief (7) 11:7,15;12:5;22:17, 18;23:5;32:11</p> <p>child (1) 222:20</p> <p>children (2) 191:8;215:7</p> <p>choice (10) 18:6;19:1;29:1; 200:15;304:16; 306:20;307:8,12; 313:4,12</p> <p>choices (3) 7:3;311:16;321:20</p> <p>choose (1) 29:2</p> <p>chooses (1) 82:21</p> <p>choosing (2) 187:2;297:15</p> <p>Chopra (2) 251:1,1</p> <p>chosen (4) 66:2,7,12;182:3</p> <p>Christine (7) 32:4,17;39:2;44:6;</p>	<p>50:1;56:11;160:5</p> <p>Christine's (1) 47:3</p> <p>chronic (6) 56:2;59:16;283:18; 287:9;294:6;316:17</p> <p>chronically (2) 316:14;318:10</p> <p>chronicity (3) 56:7,13;59:10</p> <p>circle (1) 28:15</p> <p>circles (1) 159:5</p> <p>circling (1) 38:12</p> <p>Circuit (4) 222:3,10;227:4; 242:2</p> <p>Circuits (4) 230:20;231:22; 234:22;242:16</p> <p>circuit-split (1) 240:22</p> <p>circulated (1) 206:10</p> <p>Cirincione (10) 79:13;80:6,7,9; 98:22;100:18;114:9, 10,13;120:19</p> <p>citizen (3) 216:3;239:8;324:16</p> <p>claim (24) 89:6,12;90:7;95:2; 97:3,4;115:3,13,18; 218:19;219:6,10,14, 15,17,20;220:16,18; 226:5;227:10;239:21; 242:8,12;251:18</p> <p>claimed (1) 230:5</p> <p>claims (29) 87:2,12;88:7,14,21; 89:17;90:21;91:4,5; 94:14,14,17,17;97:2, 7;103:3;122:5,6,8; 126:10;152:9;218:16; 219:8,8;223:2,6; 226:14;227:5;235:15</p> <p>clarify (1) 285:10</p> <p>clarifying (1) 295:3</p> <p>clarity (6) 20:1;45:4,17;281:8, 17;290:1</p> <p>class (7) 225:20;226:8,17; 239:20;240:7,8; 262:22</p> <p>classes (3) 61:6;290:17;322:19</p> <p>classic (1)</p>
---	--	--	---	---

<p>154:7 classification (1) 260:19 class-specific (1) 46:5 cleaned (1) 54:3 clear (20) 48:4;78:8;164:16; 165:1,3;195:4;205:17; 219:3;229:21;232:4, 16;234:14;250:18; 251:11,12;274:18; 281:3;284:19;285:6; 318:14 clearly (15) 53:5;105:19;117:1; 179:3;202:2;208:13; 223:3;232:6,6,7; 233:3,6,14;252:4; 262:16 Cleland (15) 79:18;91:16,18,19; 92:1;99:17;214:3; 225:10;226:21; 227:19;228:9;244:19; 245:8;249:13;253:3 clicker (1) 125:13 clinic (1) 181:21 clinical (31) 36:17;38:5;41:21; 42:7;89:2,9;90:15; 107:7;115:21;116:12; 117:13,17;127:16,17; 188:12;189:9;198:14, 16;201:11,17;211:8; 271:12;284:13;290:5, 8;292:9;301:19; 318:18;325:7;326:10, 15 clinically (18) 29:17;34:21;42:3; 76:15,19;97:8;110:19, 20;111:4,17;113:15, 16;116:3,14;117:18; 118:10;200:22;215:18 clinicians (9) 179:14;186:2; 265:1;267:12;279:10; 281:7,10,18;314:6 clinics (1) 60:22 clockwise (1) 131:13 close (4) 15:7;38:12;149:18; 184:16 closed (1) 161:14 closely (4) 53:1;197:6;205:15;</p>	<p>322:11 closing (6) 31:1;184:1;286:15; 297:9;328:6;329:8 CMS (3) 68:18;259:11; 278:10 Coalition (4) 263:20;300:8; 307:7,11 coast (2) 178:15,15 Coca-Cola (1) 168:2 co-chair (2) 174:7;197:16 cockeyed (3) 40:14;41:10;44:2 Code (1) 82:7 coding (1) 306:9 coffee (2) 8:14;54:3 cognitive (1) 301:9 Cohen (8) 174:2;197:12,14,15, 15;203:7;210:4;258:1 cohort (1) 155:4 coinsurance (1) 309:15 co-insurance (1) 309:17 collaborate (3) 197:5;284:9;322:11 collaboration (16) 13:16;14:16;21:14; 22:10;23:22;31:3,4, 11;45:7;98:17;99:1; 193:19;279:3;280:12; 321:2;324:7 collaborative (3) 6:15;13:1;196:17 collaboratively (1) 293:9 colleague (3) 188:6;214:4;258:1 collect (1) 147:15 collecting (1) 191:10 collectively (1) 269:13 College (2) 209:13;260:1 Collins (1) 53:19 Colon (1) 272:12 color (1) 88:4</p>	<p>colorectal (2) 274:6,9 colorful (1) 88:8 colorfully (1) 222:21 Columbia (1) 186:2 Columbia's (1) 184:2 combat (2) 42:14;164:14 combating (1) 26:20 combination (2) 239:5;250:6 combined (2) 14:18;222:20 comfortable (4) 48:14;190:15; 262:3,5 coming (15) 11:9;54:12;59:20; 70:3;127:12;129:10; 168:11;209:19; 250:12;259:17; 264:13;304:12;307:3; 312:20;323:11 commend (4) 53:10;279:4;291:2; 307:17 commends (1) 279:2 comment (28) 9:9;10:3;11:3; 45:19;48:22;58:13; 63:13;73:21;82:18; 118:20;120:5;126:1; 137:22;138:1,16; 151:9;169:16;177:13; 199:2;215:2;247:22; 255:2,4,8;263:13; 298:14;300:5;320:18 commenter (1) 328:4 comments (19) 10:18,20,22;14:13; 49:21;120:1;121:10; 199:14,21;200:5,16; 261:2;272:8;282:9; 293:19;300:3;307:17; 328:19,21 Commerce (2) 28:3;94:3 commercial (15) 50:4,20;92:18,22; 93:17,21;131:14; 132:1,2;139:15; 154:22;223:10;303:6, 9;308:16 commercialization (1) 263:7 Commission (11)</p>	<p>11:14;12:6;24:1; 26:4,21;31:19;125:4; 213:4;214:4;247:2; 257:2 Commissioner (15) 11:5;21:17;23:13, 17;24:7,8;28:10,12; 39:21;69:8;75:4; 235:19,19;251:1,1 Commission's (1) 172:9 commit (1) 238:19 commitment (3) 83:19;280:5;303:14 commitments (1) 11:12 committed (8) 21:7;30:7;257:19; 283:22;284:4;304:19; 307:3;322:13 Committee (7) 28:2;174:7;186:16; 197:17;260:7;291:8; 316:12 committees (2) 285:12,14 common (11) 15:20;52:4;63:21; 64:13;86:3,21;87:19; 89:15;96:19;321:19; 323:7 common-law (1) 249:20 commonly (3) 56:10;85:10;86:9 communicate (3) 15:16;206:20; 222:21 communicating (2) 108:12;195:19 communication (17) 14:1;43:7;79:3; 81:6;82:14,16;92:11; 102:18;109:9,11; 195:20;197:7,9;212:7; 260:11;284:19;294:13 communications (34) 6:17;20:3;30:9; 75:3;76:11,21;77:17; 78:4;79:8;80:14,22; 81:15;82:11,20;83:1, 5,7;91:10;92:13,15; 98:14;102:2,4;109:4; 110:3;171:11;255:6; 257:12;285:8,11,13; 287:3;314:4;324:18 communicative (1) 241:6 communities (3) 7:7;183:7;320:9 Community (14) 172:20;173:10,13;</p>	<p>174:5;178:6;179:20; 180:17;184:22; 208:20;274:2,21; 277:9;290:16;302:5 co-moderating (1) 172:6 companies (29) 26:6;71:22;92:5,6; 143:11;197:20;199:6; 210:5;234:1,1;236:6, 15;239:15;247:7,12; 248:8;252:11;253:1,6, 11;268:13;275:12,14; 278:20;312:3,6;316:4, 7;320:1 company (13) 92:16;94:13;143:8, 10;199:6;217:9; 234:8;237:1;246:1; 267:21;268:2;283:8; 288:18 comparable (3) 88:1,13;161:13 comparative (12) 29:14;36:10;91:5; 112:3;115:21;116:11; 117:16;279:18;290:8, 17,21;301:19 comparator (4) 106:15,17;116:13; 117:7 comparators (1) 138:14 compare (4) 111:1;147:4,7; 241:7 compared (6) 167:20;219:5; 245:18;291:14; 303:22;320:4 compares (1) 90:4 comparing (2) 90:10;110:13 comparison (3) 90:9;137:4;147:10 comparisons (7) 89:17;103:5;110:9; 121:14;122:14; 138:19;149:11 compelling (1) 244:22 compete (6) 153:20;154:9,10; 277:6;305:13;318:18 competing (5) 290:12;304:16; 305:18;309:3;312:19 Competition (108) 17:9;18:6,8;19:2; 20:8,17,19;21:4; 22:21;24:4;25:5,8,11, 14,22;26:19;28:7,16,</p>
---	---	--	---	---

<p>17;29:4,5;30:2,6,18; 31:18;39:22;49:2,8; 72:2;74:1,8;75:8; 78:10,15,20;94:2; 98:19;99:2,8,19; 102:5;124:5;128:17, 18;129:16;133:17; 139:11;141:12,22; 142:1;144:20;145:3, 16;150:17,18;151:1; 154:6,8;159:12; 161:22;162:4,11; 167:6,8;170:4,13,21; 171:6;186:20;230:22; 231:3;240:14;241:4,5, 10,14;245:16,20; 246:19;268:22;271:4; 276:13,22;279:3; 280:7;285:22;288:22; 296:1;304:10;305:1,4, 9,10;306:11;307:1,19; 311:16;312:11,17; 313:11;314:9;315:4,8, 11;316:22;321:8; 322:18;324:10 Competitive (20) 6:8;7:11;13:18; 21:22;24:2;41:13; 99:15;245:20;257:15; 280:21;281:20; 286:20;289:17; 293:10;303:19; 306:12;312:3;320:18; 321:10;322:1 competitiveness (1) 286:8 competitor (7) 90:8;145:13;162:8; 218:15;227:21;228:4; 305:16 competitors (11) 30:1;84:13;134:20; 146:1;147:2;162:9; 170:16;225:13;242:6; 246:9;251:22 competitor's (3) 90:6;93:3;220:3 compilation (1) 148:17 complaints (1) 84:12 complementary (2) 98:13;238:13 complete (6) 90:22;202:3; 257:20;284:19;285:6; 318:2 completely (7) 67:7;71:15;227:12, 16;236:4;247:10; 265:21 complex (4) 69:13;294:6;</p>	<p>297:16;312:15 complexities (1) 121:21 complexity (2) 49:2,6 compliance (11) 79:16;81:5,6;84:4, 4;85:12,15,21;86:11; 102:17;187:6 complicated (10) 49:14;50:15;57:3, 11;128:2;158:6; 169:10,11;192:3; 222:16 complicates (1) 62:20 complication (1) 62:10 complications (1) 63:8 comply (1) 119:16 component (2) 58:7;268:20 components (2) 110:19;113:15 composed (1) 300:13 comprehensive (4) 81:4;102:16;144:5; 279:10 compromising (4) 184:18;266:20; 276:2;326:3 concept (1) 13:8 concepts (4) 69:13;175:17; 225:7;291:4 concern (1) 192:1 concerned (9) 103:3;110:8; 139:13;155:13;189:3; 222:22;258:2;296:17; 327:7 concerning (6) 13:2;81:11;85:22; 104:16;291:16;324:18 concerns (8) 85:13;99:5;181:11; 191:17;194:10; 237:16;291:21;292:2 concessions (2) 126:21;267:5 conclude (1) 200:6 concluded (4) 9:10;25:20;255:22; 329:13 conclusion (3) 90:12;91:7;118:13 concretely (1)</p>	<p>31:5 concur (1) 313:22 condition (4) 56:7,14;310:2; 326:6 conditioned (1) 327:18 conditions (9) 16:13;56:2,3,9;57:6, 7;107:18,22;297:16 conduct (19) 26:21;30:11;32:2; 229:10;230:13;231:2, 10;238:14;239:5,8,13; 240:8;244:3,10;247:9, 14;251:13;252:2; 295:4 conducted (6) 22:7;84:1;90:14; 108:8,19;261:9 conducting (2) 25:15;206:8 conference (3) 71:11;90:1;275:9 conferences (1) 84:6 confidence (13) 21:2;110:11;261:6, 7;262:17;267:13; 274:20;275:18; 294:17;295:13;296:6; 306:14,17 confident (1) 261:18 confidential (1) 143:3 confirmatory (1) 41:22 conflict (1) 281:16 conflicts (1) 205:16 confused (1) 221:19 confusing (4) 48:16;184:14; 191:14;296:4 confusion (2) 130:17;281:17 conglomerates (1) 302:22 congratulate (1) 22:12 Congress (5) 17:7;27:21;28:1,6; 259:11 Congress' (1) 19:2 connected (1) 60:13 connection (1) 327:10</p>	<p>consensus (1) 194:7 consequences (5) 75:21;157:16; 161:16,19,20 conservative (1) 265:2 consider (16) 36:22;94:18;96:9; 110:13;117:11;122:7; 237:8,14;239:4;262:1; 268:17;272:6;284:8; 287:20;295:16;326:21 considerable (1) 304:8 consideration (5) 122:1;212:18; 266:8;280:15;326:11 Considerations (20) 14:9;48:6;100:20; 101:14;103:9,17; 105:1;106:20;114:16; 119:9;120:6,15,20,22; 121:4,5;172:1;282:6; 290:6;299:1 considered (6) 88:19;89:20;93:17; 115:13;184:8,9 considered' (1) 215:9 considering (3) 122:9;166:10; 266:14 consistency (2) 109:8;197:9 consistent (7) 109:1,4,11;116:19; 312:9;314:14;327:1 consistently (2) 60:14;167:18 consolidate (1) 126:12 consolidated (2) 51:4;302:2 consortium (3) 196:1,11,17 constant (1) 153:10 constantly (2) 44:21;55:10 constituents (1) 175:7 constitute (4) 29:19;30:6;86:8; 235:5 constitutional (1) 223:8 constitutionally (1) 223:11 constraints (1) 194:12 consult (1) 179:13</p>	<p>consultant (1) 32:10 consultation (1) 102:8 consume (1) 69:17 consumer (34) 21:8;25:5;29:12,13; 79:20;83:14;91:17; 96:12,14,18;99:20; 158:9;176:21;219:6; 221:1,7,15;222:13; 225:15,16,19;226:7, 12;228:1,3;238:7,10; 239:21;246:20; 252:20,22;307:13,15; 313:12 consumer-level (1) 181:14 consumers (31) 25:8,21;29:2,5; 31:5;44:14;84:13; 93:12;94:18;95:12,21; 96:19;221:2,4,10,14, 16,20;222:22;226:1,4, 6,15;228:7;241:18; 244:5;246:9;251:21; 280:10;308:11;309:10 consumer's (2) 218:22;228:2 consumers' (1) 224:17 Contact (5) 8:17;9:1;85:1; 91:13;329:6 contained (2) 14:12;93:1 containing (1) 275:7 contains (1) 89:6 contemplate (1) 54:5 contemplating (2) 120:22;183:18 content (8) 86:19;92:22;96:1; 103:20;173:17,18; 175:11;292:8 contents (1) 142:8 context (16) 47:6;93:15;105:11; 112:3;118:5;125:19; 159:4;213:5,8;218:11; 235:2;238:7;239:21; 240:1;247:21;317:8 contextual (2) 104:22;117:2 continually (1) 298:7 continue (34) 17:2;30:19;38:17;</p>
---	---	--	---	--

42:12;45:12;55:6; 72:2,5,10;86:12; 124:15;127:18; 130:10;136:5;140:19; 163:1;263:11;267:6; 277:2,6;284:10; 285:12,18;290:13,19; 293:3;302:11;314:9; 315:13,15;320:4,8; 323:2,10 continued (7) 17:6;145:18;195:5; 232:9;258:7;305:5; 314:3 continues (6) 47:7;144:19;258:3; 264:8;286:12;292:22 continuing (4) 24:9;31:11;131:22; 138:4 continuity (1) 215:12 continuously (1) 321:3 contract (2) 63:16;147:22 contracted (2) 52:16;63:1 contracting (13) 42:17;50:20;51:3,6, 7,19;52:1,6;53:6; 160:15;186:17;309:2; 327:8 contracts (3) 226:10;327:14,17 contrary (2) 273:19;275:1 contribute (2) 112:1;122:6 contributing (1) 137:1 contributions (1) 316:3 control (3) 221:4;284:13;302:2 controversial (1) 158:12 convene (1) 323:3 convener (1) 323:2 convening (2) 323:10;328:1 convention (1) 90:3 conversation (19) 23:3;100:16;136:5; 177:22;178:10;179:6, 11,11;180:7;181:8; 192:8,21;194:12,13; 195:21;196:6;197:2; 253:20;293:6 conversations (2)	188:19;193:22 convert (1) 129:18 convey (4) 94:19;104:7;106:7; 121:6 conveying (1) 109:13 conveys (2) 96:4;117:21 cookie-cutter (1) 294:10 cooperation (1) 102:9 coordinated (1) 211:13 coordinating (1) 11:13 co-pay (1) 318:22 co-payment (1) 154:11 co-payments (1) 151:17 copies (5) 8:15;9:18;328:22; 329:5,7 copy (2) 94:22;182:16 copyright (1) 213:17 core (3) 105:10;106:6; 301:15 Corey (4) 288:7;293:15; 315:18;316:9 coronavirus (2) 12:11;291:21 corporate (2) 205:15;257:8 correcting (1) 260:10 correctly (6) 105:17,19;138:16; 240:20;241:4;244:20 correlation (1) 149:22 Cosmetic (7) 81:10,18,21;85:6, 18,22;119:13 cost (30) 13:14;18:17;42:4; 53:6;65:17;140:5; 153:16;162:16;171:2; 192:2;236:13;253:5; 258:10;259:14,15; 265:14;266:8;267:1; 271:5;274:13;281:1; 304:8,13;305:4;306:2; 309:5,13,14,17; 310:17 cost-containment (1)	296:12 costing (2) 24:22;25:1 costly (3) 42:1;158:4;159:16 costs (26) 15:21;17:17;21:3; 25:21;30:3;162:3,6; 164:5;165:10,17; 176:5;235:7;253:8; 275:7;280:10,18; 284:4;285:17;286:6, 21;301:21;302:10; 309:17;311:17; 318:19;324:12 Council (2) 32:18;43:9 counsel (4) 79:13;80:10;101:2; 124:16 counseling (2) 79:15;317:16 counter (2) 21:10;30:4 counteract (2) 69:5;254:16 counter-productive (1) 21:16 counterproductively (1) 277:20 countries (9) 72:14,15,19;261:10; 262:10,12,18;276:9; 320:5 countries' (1) 276:8 country (13) 12:21;177:15; 178:14;183:18,22; 184:10;208:21; 259:13;260:14; 261:22;264:14;276:5; 316:15 country's (2) 262:19;312:5 couple (18) 39:20;41:11;47:13; 50:8;53:7;70:20; 128:14;141:8;167:2; 174:18;199:4;201:6,6; 215:1;219:4;221:13; 250:4;264:7 coupon (1) 143:19 course (25) 37:11;40:3;43:12; 48:17;53:14;54:19; 55:19;82:9;135:2,10; 159:15,22;160:2; 162:3;165:11;167:21; 203:21;208:3;214:3; 233:15;239:13;249:3, 10;277:9;296:14	Court (13) 27:6;98:6;220:12; 222:19;223:12; 227:10;231:17;235:1; 236:20;239:10;242:7, 11;252:14 courteous (1) 256:6 courts (22) 27:10;219:14,18; 223:6,21;224:3,9,21; 226:14;227:14,17; 230:13,21;231:19; 235:4,6;236:10;240:6, 20;243:20;244:17; 251:7 cover (6) 99:21;100:4; 120:15;124:10;268:8; 311:6 coverage (10) 9:12;192:2,5; 194:17;270:21; 278:19;293:1;304:6; 327:15,15 covered (6) 52:1;59:18;142:15; 147:19;155:12;194:18 covers (1) 103:13 cows (2) 168:21;222:6 crack (1) 136:9 crafting (1) 242:21 crazy (1) 190:3 create (15) 20:21;27:7;74:1,14; 112:6;182:3,18; 195:20;198:13; 203:22;254:6;270:6; 318:4,16;320:9 created (5) 158:15;201:14; 207:11;209:9;287:17 creates (6) 29:16;30:3;148:7; 163:6;305:3;314:19 creating (5) 77:13;113:1,5; 159:6;181:19 creation (2) 21:11;278:11 credible (1) 180:3 credit (1) 266:18 critical (16) 25:11;31:9;38:18; 75:9,17;193:2;194:2; 195:15;203:2;268:20;	270:15,16,21;284:10; 303:11;314:2 critically (2) 42:14;313:18 Crohn's (1) 24:19 cross (1) 238:19 cross-benefit (1) 49:10 crosses (1) 79:3 crosstalk (1) 159:8 crucial (3) 240:16;246:7; 306:19 CSRO (1) 300:13 cumulative (3) 132:20;133:3; 156:21 cure (2) 16:12;294:9 cures (2) 21:6;22:6 curious (1) 247:20 current (16) 11:13;43:9;68:19; 83:8;118:19;210:2; 229:3;262:7;302:21; 304:5,5;305:8;306:8; 313:5;317:19;327:12 currently (9) 115:16;196:11; 248:14;283:15; 298:19;304:1;305:11; 314:15;322:18 curricula (1) 205:8 curve (3) 57:16;67:8,8 curves (4) 56:18,19;57:1;59:5 cut (2) 78:8;158:17 CVS/Aetna (1) 32:12 cycle (2) 323:1,9 cycles (1) 19:17
D				
<p>dairy (1) 223:1 damage (1) 244:16 damages (1) 239:3 dark (2)</p>				

129:7;135:11 data (58) 22:4;35:5;36:1,7,9, 10,16;37:1,3,15;38:1; 56:17;57:19;58:20; 89:1,11;104:21;106:1, 22;107:5,7;108:7,12; 115:21;116:16;117:4, 6;118:12;125:21; 126:1,2,6,8,10; 136:22;143:9;144:3,6, 21,22;147:1,4,4,6; 149:11,12;151:22; 152:9;189:14;196:21; 200:5;201:11;269:15, 16;285:1;313:5; 326:10,16 data-driven (1) 22:2 date (4) 183:13;257:18; 259:1;300:16 Dave's (2) 138:1,16 David (3) 125:2;307:7,10 day (13) 6:13;8:9,12;9:9; 10:4;31:14;37:11; 39:1;174:12;177:5; 233:4;283:2;328:7 daylight (2) 91:20;100:14 days (9) 9:20;73:10;89:7,10; 136:18,19;250:4; 275:8;329:4 DC (4) 12:4;227:4;234:22; 235:1 de (7) 230:17;231:20; 232:2;233:2;234:18; 243:22;270:3 deal (8) 92:6;98:3;99:22; 168:10,17;186:18; 239:2;250:19 dealing (3) 194:15;237:3; 239:18 deals (3) 49:7;216:18;233:15 debate (3) 28:7;159:9,10 debilitating (1) 307:22 decade (5) 18:8;162:22; 312:13;315:9;321:15 decades (4) 236:6;247:2;278:7; 283:9	decades-long (1) 23:22 deceive (3) 221:14;244:5; 246:15 deceived (1) 221:16 deceives (1) 244:5 deceiving (1) 29:22 December (2) 11:6;141:22 deception (9) 29:11;30:5,5;78:18; 225:1;227:7;234:16; 245:21;251:13 deceptive (18) 25:9;29:20;30:11; 94:3;96:1;97:5;227:2, 2,5,8,11;233:5,9,12; 240:14;244:10; 300:21;301:17 deceptiveness (1) 225:4 decide (1) 284:3 decided (1) 254:4 deciding (1) 285:2 decision (16) 27:6;53:2;167:14; 186:17;188:18;196:4; 200:13;218:22;228:2, 6,11;247:6;252:9; 290:5,7;297:20 decision-making (3) 208:16;235:8,15 decisions (15) 22:3;58:19;110:6; 169:20;192:11,14; 247:20;284:12,16; 286:18;289:18; 290:14;292:19; 297:14,19 decline (1) 170:3 deconcentrated (1) 252:18 decrease (11) 144:13,19;145:4,8, 18,21;146:10,11,15, 17;290:11 decreased (3) 147:3;300:18;313:9 decreases (1) 145:15 decreasing (2) 20:10;151:12 dedicated (2) 312:10;320:20 deep (1)	303:14 deepest (1) 288:20 deeply (1) 283:22 defects (1) 215:7 defendant (2) 220:3;244:9 defer (1) 224:10 deference (2) 224:20;225:2 deferring (1) 224:12 defined (3) 37:6;94:6;319:2 definitely (5) 19:21;64:1;188:20; 252:11;253:2 definition (4) 34:15;215:17; 223:9;313:2 definitive (1) 165:3 degree (7) 57:16;163:6;164:2; 167:6;202:15;281:11; 301:4 degree-ish (1) 126:11 degrees (1) 319:15 delay (3) 20:18;269:20; 280:18 delayed (1) 293:1 Delays (2) 271:5;326:16 delighted (3) 12:2;23:13;101:6 deliver (3) 63:20;180:13; 182:15 delivered (1) 181:15 deliverer (1) 178:7 delivering (2) 285:16;304:20 delivery (2) 58:2;304:16 delved (1) 204:12 demand (1) 93:2 demanding (1) 302:4 demonstrate (4) 36:9;166:14;292:7; 306:8 demonstrated (4)	34:19;38:4;46:2; 89:14 demonstrates (2) 176:5;305:7 demonstrating (3) 36:2;37:19;269:3 demonstration (12) 34:4;35:2,22;36:7, 12;108:8,20;109:17; 111:20;116:3,14; 117:17 denial (1) 239:10 denials (1) 239:9 denied (1) 250:5 denigrating (1) 93:3 denominator (2) 135:3;143:13 deny (1) 310:2 Department (2) 85:16;98:2 depend (2) 22:9;36:18 dependent (1) 235:2 depending (6) 69:15;93:18;95:8; 105:11;226:11;261:22 depends (5) 46:13,14;122:4; 162:3;306:12 deploys (1) 77:2 depressed (1) 261:7 deputy (2) 311:19,22 dermatology (1) 261:14 describe (3) 112:20;118:4; 141:10 described (6) 13:21;105:12; 109:3;116:9;160:5; 302:8 describes (4) 109:7;114:21; 116:7;117:7 describing (1) 247:18 deserve (1) 284:19 deserves (1) 266:18 design (6) 34:11;117:2;118:4; 162:4;301:16;302:21 designation (12)	42:2;43:11;46:1,7, 11;65:10,21;67:5,10; 70:11;195:2;305:19 designed (9) 15:8;20:20;22:11; 72:8;83:12;203:9,13; 279:17;301:12 designs (1) 90:16 desire (1) 291:17 desired (2) 153:19;289:17 Despite (2) 268:12;316:21 detail (4) 146:7;202:16; 204:13,16 detailed (2) 194:13;291:9 details (6) 10:18;86:18; 175:12;190:18;204:8; 328:21 detain (1) 218:17 detaining (1) 310:19 deter (3) 15:11;30:12;257:13 determination (5) 48:2;104:19;111:9; 247:4;271:20 determinations (1) 224:16 determine (5) 83:22;92:21;192:4, 20;219:16 determined (6) 110:16;113:21; 156:2;227:4;296:21; 297:3 determines (1) 109:10 determining (2) 46:9;94:20 deterred (1) 225:20 detering (1) 6:18 detriment (1) 302:6 develop (12) 7:4;30:13;42:4; 126:12;175:1;208:15; 212:19;241:16; 290:11;297:7;314:4; 323:7 developed (5) 27:21;69:12,22; 180:22;322:6 developers (4) 7:3;14:6;19:15;20:1
--	---	---	--	--

<p>developing (17) 101:18;105:13; 108:2;122:15;174:19; 176:9,15;180:18; 197:18;202:20; 203:13;295:11;301:6, 11;312:7;322:15,18</p> <p>development (43) 15:6;16:2;19:11; 20:16;21:12;22:6; 31:21;38:20;41:12,20; 42:16;44:8,19,20; 45:5,6;53:22;101:5; 127:16;160:18;169:3; 173:19;179:16; 201:18;236:13; 245:15;254:18; 257:19;263:6;271:10; 279:20;280:4;283:10; 286:12,13;304:3,18; 312:14,16;320:21; 321:18;322:9;323:4</p> <p>develops (1) 116:19</p> <p>device (2) 220:5,7</p> <p>devices (3) 7:17;295:17;304:16</p> <p>devoted (1) 312:7</p> <p>diabetes (2) 24:19;95:10</p> <p>diabetic (1) 95:10</p> <p>diagnose (1) 16:12</p> <p>diagnosed (2) 283:19;298:5</p> <p>dialogue (2) 72:21;297:4</p> <p>Dickinson (1) 242:4</p> <p>dictate (1) 59:5</p> <p>dictionary (2) 220:5,13</p> <p>Diego (1) 275:9</p> <p>dietary (2) 92:5;320:22</p> <p>differ (5) 35:8,15;219:22; 220:1;224:21</p> <p>difference (18) 40:13;59:9;65:7; 67:10;72:9;74:7; 111:5,17;118:2,11; 137:7;143:22;147:7; 187:20;189:20; 210:20;219:7;227:1</p> <p>differences (26) 29:17;34:21;42:3; 55:22;71:6;76:16,20;</p>	<p>97:9;110:18,20; 113:15,16;116:4,15; 117:18;118:6;138:18; 139:6,17;153:2; 198:16;200:22; 215:18;262:19,20; 291:12</p> <p>different (61) 8:7,8;17:5;34:11; 40:17;41:11;48:15; 49:11;51:15,16;52:12; 61:12;64:2;67:8; 72:17;73:2,9,18; 74:11;90:10,11,14,15; 95:8;98:12;127:10; 128:7;132:10,11,13, 13;139:12,14;140:15; 153:7,11;154:18,19, 20,22,22;162:18; 170:16;174:18;198:1; 201:10,11;208:12; 210:6;211:9;217:19; 219:22,22;220:11; 231:1;236:11;250:17; 261:12;264:11; 265:21;276:6</p> <p>differential (1) 148:7</p> <p>differentiation (2) 140:18;141:1</p> <p>diabetologist (1) 187:2</p> <p>differently (6) 74:12;97:13; 160:10;216:2,6,10</p> <p>difficult (6) 167:22;168:1,17; 201:1;225:19;236:18</p> <p>difficulty (1) 40:21</p> <p>digital (1) 298:20</p> <p>diminish (2) 295:10,21</p> <p>direct (7) 83:14;126:6; 145:12;146:1;221:16; 223:13;229:17</p> <p>directed (2) 203:10;266:9</p> <p>direction (5) 73:6;137:16; 192:17;209:19;281:4</p> <p>directional (1) 132:8</p> <p>directly (8) 98:6;148:5;152:8; 229:12;248:8,9; 299:20;318:7</p> <p>director (17) 6:9;23:6;32:15,18; 33:1;79:19;91:16; 125:3,6,9;173:16,20;</p>	<p>174:2;256:15;272:15; 324:1,4</p> <p>disagreement (1) 159:4</p> <p>disarm (1) 159:10</p> <p>disclose (7) 97:5,19;118:2; 205:15;285:8;287:4; 315:22</p> <p>disclosed (2) 286:19;292:8</p> <p>disclosing (1) 179:10</p> <p>disclosure (4) 104:22;205:21; 223:17;240:18</p> <p>disclosures (3) 178:18;293:18; 316:1</p> <p>disconnect (1) 247:2</p> <p>discount (5) 143:21;144:16; 150:18;262:22;276:20</p> <p>discounted (1) 308:14</p> <p>discounting (2) 162:12;245:14</p> <p>discounts (20) 126:18,20;140:8; 142:6;143:1,2,8,18, 19;144:1,15;146:12, 22;148:10;154:10; 276:14;277:7,7;309:8; 327:19</p> <p>discourage (3) 20:21;257:12;271:3</p> <p>discouraging (2) 6:17;160:18</p> <p>discovering (1) 312:7</p> <p>discretion (1) 252:17</p> <p>discrimination (1) 311:10</p> <p>discuss (16) 6:15;13:1;31:20; 44:3;86:7;100:18; 101:12;103:9,17; 114:7;171:13,22; 212:16;224:8;323:6, 18</p> <p>discussed (12) 13:17;110:1; 114:16;155:10;156:4; 160:4,20;162:1; 166:10;207:4;215:1; 292:17</p> <p>discusses (1) 109:10</p> <p>discussing (1) 75:6</p>	<p>discussion (11) 35:10;44:5;64:21; 98:8;120:10;132:16; 133:8;135:10;167:10; 206:2;225:9</p> <p>discussions (3) 196:3;202:10; 323:19</p> <p>disease (10) 24:20;127:21; 130:22;188:3;189:2; 215:15;283:19; 284:14;294:7;316:17</p> <p>diseases (9) 16:12,19;24:19,21; 191:9;288:5;293:19; 294:5;308:1</p> <p>disentangle (1) 51:9</p> <p>Disincentivize (1) 270:11</p> <p>disincentivized (1) 271:7</p> <p>dislike (1) 100:14</p> <p>dismiss (1) 250:5</p> <p>disparagement (17) 198:2;205:3;210:7; 213:5,7;214:12; 218:11;219:19; 222:14;225:17,20; 229:4;230:16;232:2; 238:2;240:21;249:14</p> <p>disparaging (4) 231:12;232:21; 247:22;300:22</p> <p>disparities (1) 139:4</p> <p>dispense (2) 140:5;142:17</p> <p>dispensed (7) 60:21;71:12,13; 128:20;134:9;135:5; 137:13</p> <p>dispensing (4) 137:7,10,18;139:7</p> <p>display (1) 94:9</p> <p>disproportionate (1) 18:13</p> <p>disregard (1) 301:11</p> <p>disrupts (1) 215:12</p> <p>disseminate (1) 175:4</p> <p>disseminated (5) 75:19;77:12;81:8; 93:18;327:4</p> <p>disseminating (2) 76:8;93:14</p> <p>dissemination (2)</p>	<p>118:18;320:21</p> <p>dissipated (1) 167:1</p> <p>distinct (1) 224:6</p> <p>distinction (11) 56:6,11;154:14,15; 223:14,18;224:4; 240:2;251:17;269:9; 270:5</p> <p>distinguish (1) 219:21</p> <p>distinguishable (1) 260:18</p> <p>distinguished (1) 213:12</p> <p>distinguishing (1) 219:12</p> <p>distortions (1) 301:10</p> <p>distribution (2) 30:17;126:5</p> <p>distributor (2) 81:2,17</p> <p>distributors (2) 91:11;104:2</p> <p>distributor's (1) 92:14</p> <p>district (2) 98:6;186:1</p> <p>division (5) 31:18;32:19;79:19, 21;257:1</p> <p>docket (9) 9:19;10:17,19; 120:2;272:9;282:9; 300:4;328:19,22</p> <p>doctor (5) 176:8;204:4; 212:10;228:15;284:16</p> <p>doctor-patient (1) 297:11</p> <p>doctors (17) 61:1;187:11;188:4; 203:4;211:7;220:9,13; 228:17;233:18;247:5, 9;266:12;284:3,19,22; 285:6;311:1</p> <p>doctrinal (1) 219:7</p> <p>doctrine (1) 223:12</p> <p>document (2) 43:7;210:18</p> <p>documents (8) 71:9;83:9;203:8,8, 14;289:22;291:7; 299:13</p> <p>dollar (4) 74:3;125:22; 126:15;128:16</p> <p>dollars (12) 18:10;25:1;129:4,</p>
---	---	---	--	--

<p>11;130:2;171:3,4; 179:12;185:22; 252:12;258:17;310:14</p> <p>Dom (8) 80:4;98:21;100:13, 17;101:1;114:7; 120:11,14</p> <p>domain (2) 83:2;84:14</p> <p>dominant (1) 310:8</p> <p>Dominic (5) 79:12;80:6,9; 101:11;114:9</p> <p>Dom's (1) 121:9</p> <p>Done (23) 7:12;21:18;22:13; 24:4,13;54:20;68:16; 141:8;151:7;156:17; 161:10;164:4,4; 174:11;204:10;221:1; 223:21;225:22;246:8; 249:4;259:10;260:8; 275:20</p> <p>door (1) 259:10</p> <p>dosage (3) 115:1,4,11</p> <p>dosing (1) 271:15</p> <p>dots (1) 60:14</p> <p>doubt (1) 76:12</p> <p>down (17) 8:14;19:18,19;29:4; 69:15;70:19;74:9,12; 135:2;174:2;175:16; 211:18;276:14; 285:22;286:21;307:3; 309:18</p> <p>downstream (1) 183:1</p> <p>downtown (1) 12:3</p> <p>Dr (65) 11:5,10,15,20;13:6; 32:9;48:22;49:20; 56:5;58:12;61:2; 65:18;73:20;124:19; 139:22;141:6;151:9; 152:13;155:10; 185:17;197:15;203:4, 7;209:7;210:4;214:1, 18;218:13;225:14,18; 226:6;227:12,22; 228:13;229:5;238:9; 240:5;241:2;243:1; 245:2,10;246:1,21; 248:2,19;249:17; 250:14;251:5;252:1,8; 253:9,19;254:8;260:2;</p>	<p>263:17;267:18;283:5; 6;287:7;288:13;299:3, 6;300:9;320:14;324:3</p> <p>draft (29) 14:7,13;43:18;46:2; 82:18,20;100:18; 101:12,17,22;102:6, 20;103:8;105:2; 106:19;109:6;114:8, 14;118:14,19;119:21; 120:4,14;121:13,22; 268:10,11;298:21; 325:1</p> <p>dramatically (3) 245:5,12;286:21</p> <p>draw (3) 46:18;166:18; 223:17</p> <p>drawing (1) 126:2</p> <p>drawn (1) 33:12</p> <p>draws (1) 56:8</p> <p>drive (4) 64:15;72:17;74:9, 12</p> <p>driven (5) 51:14;59:4;144:14; 146:12;269:3</p> <p>driver (2) 299:6;302:9</p> <p>drives (3) 29:4;276:13;305:4</p> <p>driving (1) 306:20</p> <p>dropped (2) 27:15;68:21</p> <p>drug (121) 17:11,16;18:20; 26:5,14;27:1;28:7; 30:18;57:8;60:5; 61:22;62:18;63:1,4,6, 9,17,20;75:19;79:8, 14;80:11,14,18,21,22; 81:2,9,11,15,17,21; 82:2,5,6,12;83:15; 84:18;85:6,8,18,21; 86:1,5,22;87:5,6,9,13, 21;88:15,18,21,22; 89:5,10,13,16,17,18, 19,20;90:2,12,22; 91:5,11;92:5,14,16; 94:5;99:6;100:12; 101:5;102:7,13;104:9; 119:6,13,15;127:11; 137:13;142:15,16,17; 148:16,22;149:1,3,5,8, 9,12,20;150:7,8,13; 186:13;217:9;225:21; 234:1,1;236:6;265:14; 278:17;280:10; 291:20;296:18;</p>	<p>298:16;308:4,6;309:4, 16,22;310:8,21,22; 312:15;314:16; 317:20;320:3</p> <p>drugs (60) 18:15,16,18;25:19; 26:1;28:18;33:14; 51:4;62:15;64:12; 65:17;67:6;71:12,13; 77:11,11,14,22;87:17; 90:11;104:2,15;119:2; 122:17;127:12; 130:10,12;132:21; 133:1,6;137:5;139:20; 140:16;143:11; 147:15;148:1,3,4,8,11, 17;150:10,11,13; 155:20;161:15; 257:15;271:15; 289:15;294:9;307:21; 308:3,9;309:6;310:3, 17;311:8,12;317:7; 319:4</p> <p>drug's (4) 81:16;87:3;90:5; 104:8</p> <p>drugs@FDA (1) 176:18</p> <p>DTC (1) 83:14</p> <p>dual (3) 14:19;57:3,12</p> <p>due (6) 54:13;269:22; 275:19;291:19;293:1; 302:15</p> <p>Duke (1) 158:18</p> <p>duplication (1) 198:6</p> <p>duration (2) 89:12;165:4</p> <p>during (5) 7:1;45:19;73:10; 89:3;298:9</p> <p>DUTTA (2) 256:20,20</p> <p>dynamic (11) 52:18;127:19; 133:11;135:9;153:7; 154:4,12;164:8; 192:10,12,14</p> <p>dynamics (9) 60:11;125:20; 127:10;128:6,11; 133:20;139:12; 286:20;287:8</p>	<p>46:18;54:17;69:8; 116:21;129:20; 160:20;166:18; 193:22;194:17;195:9; 196:8;198:11;213:4; 214:5,11;218:8; 239:19;246:13;287:7; 299:3;302:8</p> <p>earliest (1) 20:15</p> <p>early (7) 17:6;73:10;136:18, 19;205:6;255:11; 321:18</p> <p>earn (1) 154:2</p> <p>ease (1) 62:6</p> <p>easier (3) 19:15,16;190:16</p> <p>easily (3) 53:11;202:22; 203:22</p> <p>easy (3) 202:19;203:9;207:8</p> <p>echo (1) 121:9</p> <p>economic (5) 62:6;93:13;164:7; 313:10;318:14</p> <p>economics (6) 59:4;125:4,6; 158:19;256:21;317:4</p> <p>economist (2) 169:11;256:21</p> <p>economists (1) 136:20</p> <p>ecosystem (1) 158:14</p> <p>editorial (1) 173:16</p> <p>educate (5) 164:15;190:9,10; 279:10;291:11</p> <p>educated (3) 204:19,20;207:9</p> <p>educating (2) 169:7;292:10</p> <p>education (39) 21:13;69:21,22; 75:16;78:7;81:5; 97:22;102:17;161:10; 165:17;169:2;171:19; 172:13;173:19;174:7, 10,13;179:7;180:19; 189:12,13;194:1; 196:5;197:10,16; 204:3,18;205:8; 207:10;209:17; 289:18;291:3;294:17; 299:4,5;314:1;317:3, 16;318:13</p> <p>educational (11)</p>	<p>69:3,5;72:21;73:14; 175:13;209:9;267:7; 292:20;301:1;306:18, 19</p> <p>educator (1) 178:7</p> <p>educators (1) 183:1</p> <p>effect (17) 13:12;20:9;156:21; 181:8,18,19;182:4,5, 8;188:21;193:3;228:4, 8,22;231:14;243:4; 251:15</p> <p>effective (34) 20:3;24:20;28:19; 29:10;31:6;34:5; 35:17;76:14;89:20; 90:12;97:14,16; 111:11,12;112:8,13; 113:7;114:4;170:17; 182:20;185:2;206:16; 217:7;218:4;246:17; 252:7;270:8;289:1; 290:17;294:18;314:4; 324:11;325:5,18</p> <p>effectively (2) 327:14,18</p> <p>effectiveness (12) 35:1;38:8;88:17,21; 103:7;107:8;122:11; 198:15;210:10; 290:22;324:19;325:12</p> <p>effects (7) 152:21;206:18; 232:1;238:22;249:8; 254:5;300:17</p> <p>efficacy (28) 19:21;87:3,9,13; 88:2,7,14,22;104:8; 159:17;176:6;181:11; 182:21;191:20;199:1, 8,9,11,12;211:11; 217:1;225:3;266:20; 276:3;281:14;295:3, 10;300:18</p> <p>efficiencies (1) 290:10</p> <p>efficiency (5) 19:10,22;45:5; 166:14;313:20</p> <p>efficient (2) 19:14;55:14</p> <p>effort (6) 24:8;102:1;190:9; 211:13,15;321:6</p> <p>efforts (24) 6:15;13:2;14:19; 20:18;21:3,15;24:16; 69:3,5;71:17;75:17; 78:7;85:15;174:10; 176:6;207:3;257:14; 279:14;288:21;</p>
		E		
		<p>eager (1) 255:3</p> <p>earlier (21)</p>		

289:20;307:18; 313:14;314:3;327:9 egregious (2) 296:9;302:14 eight (2) 186:1;266:6 Eighth (1) 234:22 Eighty-nine (1) 50:10 either (18) 38:7;62:15;98:4; 103:7;151:7;164:2,2; 207:3;213:8;228:15, 19;229:12;240:21; 251:21;269:22; 274:20;293:5;302:17 elaborate (3) 50:3;51:13;300:1 elbow (1) 92:2 electric (1) 222:8 electronic (5) 9:12,13;188:8; 295:14,17 electronically (3) 53:12;189:22;190:4 element (1) 218:19 elements (3) 244:7;248:22;249:3 Eleventh (1) 231:22 Eli (2) 222:3,4 eliminated (1) 163:21 eliminating (1) 290:21 Elizabeth (3) 101:9;172:6;206:3 else (6) 65:8;73:11;139:21; 242:10;263:9;319:14 EMA (1) 210:17 email (1) 84:20 emerge (1) 318:10 emergency (2) 215:13;273:13 emerging (2) 70:16;322:16 emphasis (1) 233:22 emphasize (1) 168:5 emphasized (1) 217:4 emphasizing (1) 320:6	empirical (2) 221:7;254:5 empirics (1) 254:2 employ (1) 280:16 employed (1) 178:20 Employees (2) 278:22;288:14 employers (1) 280:10 employs (1) 84:3 empowering (2) 21:7;295:20 EMR (1) 66:17 enable (1) 312:8 enabling (1) 110:5 enacted (1) 313:2 enacting (2) 315:9,14 enactment (1) 314:18 encountered (2) 199:5;202:1 encourage (15) 10:16,21;79:7;85:1; 122:7,20;164:6; 207:16;208:5;270:16; 282:7;290:13;314:9; 325:14;328:18 encouraged (5) 270:19;271:18; 275:1,14;279:13 encourages (2) 118:15;305:1 encouraging (4) 78:3;279:21;280:4; 306:10 end (15) 9:9;10:12;15:3; 19:16;21:15;164:2; 173:15;176:20;185:3; 187:1;215:6,13;256:1; 272:22;302:20 endeavor (1) 36:4 endorse (1) 188:1 endorses (1) 205:7 endorsing (1) 210:3 endpoint (1) 89:8 ends (1) 270:5 endure (1)	284:12 enduring (1) 288:21 Energy (1) 28:3 enforcement (18) 25:6;26:22;27:18; 30:8,10;85:17;92:9; 98:1;213:6,8;218:9; 224:9;238:6;240:3; 251:7;252:7,9;309:2 enforces (1) 93:22 enforcing (1) 251:15 engage (2) 82:22;231:10 engaged (8) 15:7;160:15;167:5; 177:22;204:5;259:5,9; 278:10 engagement (7) 75:17;171:19; 174:22;187:3;196:9; 213:19;294:16 engaging (6) 31:13;73:15; 174:19;231:11;243:5; 295:19 engine (1) 298:20 engineering (1) 220:5 enhance (5) 15:21;38:15; 230:22;279:3;288:22 enhanced (1) 20:8 enjoins (1) 222:12 enjoy (2) 122:21;276:10 enormous (1) 16:13 enough (10) 56:17;128:4;133:7; 146:22;147:11; 184:19;200:5;245:21; 252:22;308:22 enrolled (1) 304:2 ensure (33) 15:9;21:19;22:11; 53:21;54:20;75:1; 80:13,17;82:10;85:21; 91:9;98:13,17;99:11; 101:21;102:12;103:9; 105:15;119:10,16,18; 165:13;176:6;211:11; 270:16;285:5;286:18; 294:17;313:18; 321:13;324:18; 325:16;327:3	ensures (2) 244:14;313:16 ensuring (7) 15:18;110:2; 257:19;316:17; 322:13;323:12,13 enter (7) 54:13;55:7;153:10; 237:12;245:3;253:13, 13 entered (3) 245:4;308:12;313:7 entering (6) 27:3,11;134:16,17; 184:3;314:21 Enterprise (1) 125:1 enthusiasm (3) 74:13;275:18; 277:11 enthusiastic (3) 38:13;263:7;266:21 entire (2) 264:13;294:12 entities (4) 51:22;285:14; 291:14;301:22 entitled (2) 107:2;205:19 entity (1) 307:12 entrant (1) 249:10 entry (28) 26:5;30:3;78:17; 124:11,12;129:16; 135:2;144:20,22; 145:9;146:11,15; 147:5;151:4;155:8; 157:21;158:2,5,10; 161:18,19;229:16; 236:12;237:10;271:3; 280:6;310:8;316:20 enumerate (1) 235:3 environment (5) 21:19;164:20; 165:21;241:6;318:4 epoetin (1) 262:13 Epogen (1) 305:13 equation (1) 227:9 equilibrium (2) 138:3,7 equipped (1) 250:19 equivalency (1) 217:21 equivalent (1) 77:15 ER (2)	234:10,11 erected (1) 309:20 erects (1) 30:2 erode (1) 21:2 especially (11) 12:2;51:3;52:14; 103:3;140:16;180:6; 204:13;228:20;249:9; 291:5;317:8 essence (1) 159:14 essential (3) 290:1;307:21; 325:11 essentially (7) 33:21;36:5,6;37:21; 97:2;129:9;264:10 establish (6) 95:1;219:14; 220:17;245:19; 257:14;296:22 established (7) 76:19;168:10; 220:9;237:4,6;303:22; 322:7 establishing (2) 208:20;295:14 esteemed (1) 288:14 estimate (5) 126:20;131:7; 143:13,14;144:1 estimated (3) 129:3;143:21; 308:10 estimates (4) 18:7,17;132:8; 150:21 et (3) 59:2;66:17;188:3 etanercept (1) 135:17 ethical (1) 296:12 EU (3) 72:15;276:6,9 Europe (16) 68:8;72:14;73:2; 170:3,19;187:12; 189:7;195:11;263:4; 266:15,16;276:11; 292:7;304:1;308:12; 317:1 European (8) 71:2;72:12,13; 169:19;187:10; 261:10,19;290:15 Eva (13) 6:3,8;11:20;32:4, 22;33:3,6;39:3;44:6;
---	---	---	--	---

46:17;69:20;256:13, 14 evaluate (6) 36:14;105:14; 110:22;210:22;269:6; 290:19 evaluated (2) 116:11;240:20 evaluating (4) 37:2;122:13;229:4; 290:13 Evaluation (5) 102:10;117:4; 121:13;289:10;325:21 even (34) 43:10;49:13;53:14; 74:6,7,10;76:22; 94:18;96:6;159:20; 189:3;192:20,21; 194:20;208:3;210:2; 215:15;217:1;225:4; 229:22;233:6;234:17; 237:7;241:11;262:7; 265:20;291:7,9; 301:20;305:18;310:9, 12;317:9;326:12 event (3) 7:22;24:13;206:8 events (5) 24:14;84:7;177:5, 20;295:19 ever-increasing (1) 302:4 everybody (4) 66:14;124:21; 207:8;242:10 everybody's (1) 228:5 everyday (1) 204:5 everyone (26) 14:3;23:12;39:4; 63:3;64:11;80:8; 99:16;156:14;172:12; 181:17;183:19;185:1, 11;191:5;201:5; 205:19;206:4;212:11, 17;213:2;256:22; 257:4;264:16;266:13; 319:14;328:9 everyone's (1) 24:16 evidence (23) 9:2;37:1,22;89:11; 94:21;136:14;178:17; 180:12,13,15;182:20; 187:10,11;210:12; 221:7,15;222:13; 228:16;269:2,9,12; 271:11;309:4 evidence-based (2) 178:7;182:7 evolution (1)	315:15 evolve (5) 138:5;163:15; 196:2;271:21;315:14 evolved (1) 171:5 evolves (1) 322:14 evolving (2) 129:1;138:12 exacerbate (1) 215:14 exact (1) 202:5 exactly (3) 24:14;53:4;152:10 exaggerate (1) 88:20 examine (2) 42:12;56:18 examining (1) 109:8 example (48) 13:20;25:17;30:15; 35:11,14;50:9;54:9; 56:22;76:2,11;77:2, 13;84:6;85:19;87:6; 88:4,6,19;90:1,13; 93:15;95:7;97:8; 106:13;107:17; 111:15;112:4,21; 114:21;116:7,9; 117:10;214:15;217:1, 10;220:2;227:3; 230:4;235:1;239:6; 262:13;271:16;288:1; 290:15;299:16;306:9; 321:13;326:4 examples (10) 16:3;76:6;114:8,15, 17;121:12;199:4; 214:12,19;306:8 exceedingly (1) 184:11 Excellence (1) 288:17 excellent (1) 212:9 except (1) 149:18 exceptions (1) 221:13 exchange (1) 327:19 excited (1) 38:14 exciting (4) 6:12;39:1,9;40:4 exclude (1) 55:11 excluded (1) 270:20 exclusion (1)	327:14 exclusionary (5) 42:17;53:6;229:10; 230:12;232:1 exclusive (2) 237:3;270:1 exclusivity (7) 78:12;127:11; 129:17;158:15,18,20; 165:2 excuse (1) 40:10 executing (1) 24:12 executive (5) 11:7;32:18;125:9; 174:2;272:15 exemption (1) 184:5 exercise (1) 205:1 exerting (1) 150:16 exhaustive (1) 86:8 exist (7) 55:3;73:2;121:4; 161:13;165:18;171:1; 326:1 existed (1) 72:14 existence (1) 43:10 existing (3) 60:10;302:17; 309:21 exists (3) 111:6;248:14; 302:15 expand (5) 233:8;274:14; 286:12;287:5;305:6 expanded (1) 304:20 expect (7) 115:6;116:5,8; 117:9;225:2;249:1; 276:16 expectancy (2) 274:9,11 expectation (4) 78:8,13;308:7; 322:3 expectations (1) 321:16 expected (4) 34:12;35:16;38:5; 268:12 expecting (2) 14:13;68:21 expenditures (1) 289:12 expense (2)	54:7;290:11 expenses (2) 185:22;235:12 expensive (10) 24:22;29:3;55:15; 139:19;229:22; 245:14;308:5;311:5,8; 312:15 experience (23) 27:16;70:22;71:2,4; 72:12;117:14;163:19; 187:18;200:3;210:14, 17;212:10;261:20; 267:13;272:6;278:7; 283:10;285:22; 292:16;300:11; 304:22;305:7;314:1 experienced (2) 35:18;300:17 experiences (2) 190:5;254:12 experiment (1) 266:1 expert (7) 94:22;195:5; 213:13,19,21;219:21; 322:10 expertise (5) 79:22;188:6; 224:13;238:14;289:9 experts (8) 31:20;101:6; 172:20;173:10; 176:21;214:7;322:8,8 expire (2) 129:16,17 explain (3) 49:18;174:4;206:14 explained (2) 214:22;287:7 explanation (3) 224:12;311:10; 317:16 explicit (3) 43:5;219:15,16 exposed (4) 12:13;151:16,19; 152:3 exposure (1) 194:22 express (6) 94:13,14,17,17; 198:17;288:19 expressed (3) 8:9;198:18;281:7 extend (2) 30:11;167:8 extended (2) 68:9;171:16 extending (2) 161:4,21 extends (2) 27:17;92:18	extensive (2) 14:18;168:9 extensively (1) 64:11 extent (19) 36:18,20;132:12; 133:8,10,12;152:9; 157:1;158:8;165:9; 169:12;170:17; 192:13,19;195:14; 212:2;245:3;282:8; 314:17 external (1) 186:6 extrapolation (5) 112:16;199:15,16; 272:3;326:15 extreme (1) 214:21 extremely (7) 202:11;236:13,17; 237:16;275:2;294:6; 308:5 extrinsic (1) 94:21
F				
				fabulous (1) 181:1 face (6) 40:16;95:2;133:16; 163:1;164:22;173:8 faced (5) 141:11,21;162:22; 305:10;308:15 facilitate (9) 156:9;273:4;279:6; 280:21;295:13; 314:20,21;321:7; 323:19 facilitated (1) 99:15 facilitates (1) 313:16 facilitating (3) 38:18;297:4;321:4 facing (1) 293:3 fact (20) 16:19;27:17;46:14; 76:4,17;86:18;97:6; 164:5;165:14;175:10; 200:21;201:9,12; 204:11;207:22; 213:18;241:20;246:8; 248:7;292:5 fact-finder (1) 227:7 facto (1) 270:3 factor (8) 57:1;61:15,20;

<p>195:15;233:7,14; 234:2,13</p> <p>factors (17) 6:22;51:15;58:9; 59:19;88:3;92:21; 104:19;145:10;146:4, 18;233:3;234:17,19; 235:11;262:16,18; 263:2</p> <p>facts (7) 17:18;104:9;122:1; 198:10;224:3,16,19</p> <p>fact-specific (2) 104:18;111:8</p> <p>factual (3) 198:12;219:17; 224:10</p> <p>factually (2) 198:9,21</p> <p>faculty (2) 124:20;260:5</p> <p>fail (2) 64:4;311:4</p> <p>fail-first (3) 310:20;311:3,9</p> <p>fails (1) 97:5</p> <p>failure (10) 94:8;97:19;136:15; 220:4,6,6,10,10;317:2, 4</p> <p>fair (13) 7:11;20:13;21:22; 22:7;36:2;80:19; 98:18;102:14;147:10; 161:5;243:11;268:6; 303:1</p> <p>fairly (1) 219:3</p> <p>faith (1) 297:17</p> <p>FALB (12) 124:3,16;125:11; 136:4;139:16;141:3; 151:2;155:7;156:10; 167:10,11;171:8</p> <p>fall (6) 92:1;160:6;191:18; 245:5,12;268:8</p> <p>fallen (4) 129:8;130:5; 230:13;286:4</p> <p>falls (1) 92:11</p> <p>false (69) 6:17;13:22;29:19; 30:8;76:22;77:1,13; 79:4;81:22;84:18; 86:6;88:19;89:21; 90:21;91:4;94:4,6; 97:3,18;99:5;102:1; 103:4;110:8;111:13; 119:19;218:14;219:7,</p>	<p>8,11,14,17;220:16,19; 222:11;223:7,9; 226:18;230:21;231:2, 5;232:6,15,17;233:4, 7;235:4,6;241:4,10, 12,13,15;242:4,8,12; 243:5,10,18;244:1,4,7, 10,14,15;252:4; 253:20;254:3,5; 257:12</p> <p>false (1) 76:3</p> <p>falsity (9) 218:20;219:13; 220:12;221:8,9;224:6; 234:14;241:17;251:12</p> <p>familiar (10) 26:2;43:1,1;185:19; 191:6;253:4;261:18; 262:2,2;297:12</p> <p>families (1) 322:19</p> <p>family (1) 173:22</p> <p>famously (1) 247:1</p> <p>far (12) 12:3;28:13;56:12; 69:22;72:4;170:21; 227:14;233:5;263:9; 275:3,16;301:16</p> <p>fashion (1) 13:21</p> <p>fast (1) 195:2</p> <p>faster (2) 89:13;268:21</p> <p>fastest (1) 308:6</p> <p>favor (5) 148:17;160:16; 209:16;281:4;317:22</p> <p>favorable (2) 27:8;318:21</p> <p>favorite (1) 220:2</p> <p>FD&C (2) 81:18;104:5</p> <p>FDA (174) 6:15;8:6;9:11;11:5, 6,10,10,13;12:16; 15:4;21:6;22:1;24:1,6, 9,10;31:3,9;38:17; 39:8;40:22;42:2,7; 43:6;44:12;46:3,8; 48:2;53:10;69:20,21; 70:3,14,18;74:22; 75:5,15;79:6;80:12; 81:3,20;82:4,8;83:3; 84:9,19;85:16;86:3,8, 14;91:3,8;94:11; 98:17;99:1,10;100:2; 101:17;102:15,19;</p>	<p>103:21;106:5;107:3; 108:5;109:5,10;110:3, 8,22;112:22;113:20; 115:6;116:4,8,11; 117:8,11;118:14,15, 20;119:1,4;120:21; 121:20;127:13; 161:10;163:3;169:6; 174:11,14;175:1; 177:6;195:4;196:14; 202:5,20;203:8,8,14, 22;205:1;206:7,12; 207:17;209:11;210:6; 211:13;213:5;216:5, 11;217:15,15;218:9; 235:18,19;236:1; 238:12;251:9;254:9, 11;258:22;259:8; 260:16;263:10; 266:18;267:7;273:1,5; 275:20;276:1;279:2,5; 280:13,14,22;281:3, 14;284:8;285:10,13; 286:15;287:17; 288:15;289:19; 290:13,19;291:2,5,15; 292:11;295:7;298:7, 18;299:10;302:12; 303:20;304:19; 312:20;314:1,7,15; 318:12;320:6;321:3; 322:12;323:17; 324:17,22;325:14,21; 326:4;327:22;328:8, 15</p> <p>FDA/FTC (1) 6:7</p> <p>FDA-administered (1) 84:5</p> <p>FDA-approved (12) 107:4,6;108:6,18; 109:2,9,12,18,116:17; 117:5;119:18;206:15</p> <p>FDA-FTC (4) 69:1;110:1;180:22; 324:6</p> <p>FDA-regulated (8) 101:19;103:10,20; 104:14;110:10; 119:11;121:5;122:16</p> <p>FDA's (36) 9:19;13:1;39:17; 79:13;81:14;83:8,17; 85:20;86:17;92:11; 100:18;107:7;109:3,7; 110:15;119:13;125:6; 172:13;216:12;217:5; 224:10,13;260:13; 279:13;281:13; 286:13;290:4;293:8; 296:6;303:15;304:2; 306:16;307:18; 313:14,21;321:5</p>	<p>FDCA (2) 81:18;82:2</p> <p>fear (1) 269:13</p> <p>February (1) 101:13</p> <p>Federal (21) 10:17;11:14;12:6; 24:1;27:9;31:19; 81:17;82:7;120:2; 125:4;143:20;172:8; 213:4;214:4;247:1; 257:2;278:21;297:6; 316:4;325:9;328:22</p> <p>feedback (3) 82:19;118:16; 121:10</p> <p>fee-for-service (5) 131:20;132:4; 148:21;149:2;154:20</p> <p>feel (7) 23:3;179:19; 193:12;200:11; 260:20;262:3;318:8</p> <p>feeling (1) 38:22</p> <p>feelings (1) 264:22</p> <p>fees (2) 239:3;301:13</p> <p>Feldman (3) 263:15,17,18</p> <p>fellow (3) 124:22;177:8;256:6</p> <p>felt (2) 190:15;264:14</p> <p>FEMALE (1) 288:8</p> <p>few (26) 7:15;11:9;17:18; 23:21;51:22;70:15,15; 94:18;120:12;125:16; 140:2;143:6;145:8,17; 156:18;160:14; 163:13;198:18;204:3; 230:9;237:14;263:10; 268:13;275:8;294:8; 310:11</p> <p>fewer (5) 35:13;107:18; 112:5,10;308:2</p> <p>fictional (2) 114:18,19</p> <p>field (7) 14:4;16:14;22:7; 31:20;99:14;285:19; 304:22</p> <p>fields (1) 214:7</p> <p>fierce (1) 273:19</p> <p>fifth (5) 191:16;211:5;</p>	<p>230:19;234:3;242:2</p> <p>fig (1) 251:11</p> <p>fight (3) 184:20;252:14; 259:5</p> <p>fighting (1) 168:20</p> <p>figure (1) 248:6</p> <p>figured (3) 185:11;250:2,9</p> <p>figures (1) 186:7</p> <p>figuring (1) 224:22</p> <p>file (1) 98:6</p> <p>filed (4) 43:2;50:10;216:4; 324:16</p> <p>filgrastim (3) 61:16;144:10;147:2</p> <p>filing (1) 268:18</p> <p>fill (1) 161:11</p> <p>film (1) 9:15</p> <p>final (6) 37:19;253:18; 279:8,15;299:13; 328:5</p> <p>finalize (1) 268:14</p> <p>finalized (1) 295:1</p> <p>finalizes (1) 280:1</p> <p>finally (19) 8:15;43:14;93:13; 145:19;149:4;159:13; 162:18;165:17; 205:13;211:5;217:8; 234:7,20;237:4; 265:11;266:13; 283:20;315:5;327:7</p> <p>financial (11) 58:7;65:11;78:16; 140:3;141:14,17; 180:2;268:16;313:19; 319:11;320:2</p> <p>financing (3) 235:7,12,14</p> <p>find (8) 91:12;138:14,16; 184:19;185:2;186:18; 221:18;222:17</p> <p>finding (5) 107:8;113:20; 183:9;283:20;315:12</p> <p>findings (4) 26:1;224:11;225:2,</p>
--	--	--	---	---

<p>3 finds (2) 222:10;227:7 fine (3) 185:9;205:19; 241:11 finger (1) 185:7 finishes (1) 255:11 finite (1) 57:13 firm (10) 107:10,15;108:1; 114:22;115:12; 116:16,18;117:1,6; 143:7 firmly (1) 179:22 firms (22) 84:9;101:18;103:1; 105:2,7,14;108:11; 109:19;110:13,22; 118:14,15,21;119:2,8, 16;121:17;122:2,7,13, 15;298:19 First (67) 7:2,16;27:18;30:16; 31:19;32:7;44:7;50:3; 54:10;61:3;64:4; 76:18;86:21;87:19; 97:22;114:21;124:15; 136:9;138:1;141:9,19; 157:4;160:5;167:17; 178:2,9;180:11;181:1; 184:3;185:10;190:8, 16;191:11;193:9; 198:3,4;209:14; 211:22;213:16; 214:20;215:19;223:5; 224:14;226:21;227:5, 7;229:11;230:14,19; 233:3;234:13;235:12; 242:7;257:5;260:9; 264:8;269:14;274:16; 283:12;284:8;289:19; 297:14;303:21; 305:20;311:9;314:13; 321:12 fit (3) 70:7;75:12;194:13 fits (2) 39:6;64:22 five (7) 44:9;62:1;63:22; 64:10;90:20;155:6; 268:9 five-year (1) 39:17 fix (3) 231:13;238:4; 298:19 fixed-cost (1)</p>	<p>154:2 flare (2) 191:20;215:14 flexibility (5) 42:11;46:4;98:3; 235:11;321:20 flexible (1) 42:9 Flip (2) 66:18;76:6 floating (1) 193:10 flow (3) 65:8;126:4;202:4 flyer (1) 89:6 focus (13) 12:22;14:19;20:6; 24:3;34:16;41:9;56:8; 60:6;159:11;193:13; 260:17;293:4;298:19 focused (8) 70:15;101:4; 103:15;106:20; 195:18;196:18; 204:22;289:9 focuses (2) 213:17;238:22 focusing (2) 99:20;118:6 folks (12) 10:21;12:13;45:14; 67:20;153:15;184:14; 186:3;209:18;245:22; 246:16;255:3;328:15 follow (10) 85:2;118:18; 120:12;149:3;180:12; 188:11;226:21; 244:19;282:10;296:13 followed (5) 106:7;131:19; 132:4;231:21;234:21 Following (7) 26:15;58:13; 139:16;177:13; 231:19;237:17;314:12 follow-on (3) 28:6;78:15,17 follow-up (1) 64:18 font (3) 88:4,7,10 Food (8) 81:9,17,21;85:6,18, 21;94:5;119:13 Foods (2) 222:3;320:22 footnote (1) 95:15 forced (2) 310:21;319:10 foreclose (1)</p>	<p>311:15 foremost (3) 274:17;297:15; 321:12 Forget (1) 66:5 forgive (2) 64:19;253:3 forgot (1) 152:13 form (5) 115:1,4,11;119:2; 185:1 format (1) 88:12 former (2) 24:6;32:11 forms (4) 52:12;79:1;126:21; 129:17 formularies (4) 265:13;305:18; 309:4;318:22 formulary (17) 42:20;43:14;49:9; 57:10;142:22;186:8; 265:15;266:3;270:1; 285:12,14;301:16,18; 302:21;309:22;310:2, 16 forth (4) 38:9;180:9;265:18; 285:1 forthcoming (3) 231:6,8;240:19 Fortune (1) 278:19 Forum (14) 174:8;197:17; 202:10;203:21;205:6; 211:16;257:6,10,10, 16,19;258:16;259:19; 288:20 forums (1) 235:2 forward (12) 14:4;31:11;44:3; 48:2;119:22;121:2,10; 133:13;135:11;177:8; 246:15;279:22 foster (5) 53:22;296:6;314:9; 321:6;322:1 fostering (4) 25:12;268:22; 314:2;321:10 Fouad (2) 320:13,15 found (15) 37:21;113:13; 143:6;187:13,20; 189:8,20;191:13; 192:9;204:20;214:9;</p>	<p>220:12;227:11; 261:17;292:4 foundation (13) 36:12;173:17; 175:22;183:3;191:6; 193:6;195:17;204:14, 15;208:18;315:20; 316:2,11 foundational (2) 175:20;291:4 foundations (2) 193:6;316:5 founder (3) 263:21;298:15; 307:11 founding (2) 272:19;273:11 four (10) 44:9;142:3;148:20; 199:5;210:5;214:20; 278:7;283:15;284:8; 304:19 fourth (4) 89:15;134:4; 233:21;285:15 frame (4) 57:20;125:17; 268:17;326:8 framework (5) 33:20;229:2,3; 232:13;238:6 frameworks (2) 98:12,13 framing (2) 198:12;202:14 France (1) 261:11 Frank (1) 213:15 frankly (3) 130:11;131:5;132:8 free (7) 13:4;20:7,13,14; 163:11;180:18;310:11 frequent (2) 269:2;271:15 frequently (5) 48:12;81:20; 267:10;287:9,12 friction (1) 156:7 frictions (1) 166:8 frighten (1) 76:8 frivolous (1) 271:5 front (3) 29:13;127:11;161:9 fronts (1) 14:20 FTC (89) 8:6;11:10;15:8;</p>	<p>19:4;20:6;21:7,14; 22:10,12,15,17,19,22; 23:14;24:10,14;25:7, 13,15,17,20;26:9,12, 16,19;27:6,18,20; 28:3,5;29:14;30:7,11, 15,18;31:3,8;43:6; 45:7;54:19;74:22; 79:6;93:22,22;94:9; 97:2,20;98:17;99:1, 10,22;177:6;198:10; 205:1;206:9;207:16; 213:6;218:9;219:5; 236:2;238:13;240:12, 16;251:1,2,4,10; 252:7;254:9,11; 256:21;259:2,8; 263:11;279:2;280:13; 284:8;286:15;288:15; 302:12;307:17; 311:13;313:10;314:7; 320:6;323:17;327:22; 328:8,15 FTC's (17) 6:15;11:15;13:1; 25:4;27:16;30:10; 31:9;79:20;91:17; 92:17;94:21;252:16; 280:4;293:8;303:15; 306:16;321:5 fulfill (2) 21:20;252:20 full (5) 28:15;100:4; 205:21;255:15;317:19 full-time (1) 178:20 fully (9) 75:9;138:1;146:19; 199:9,19;204:7; 267:20;307:3;325:20 fund (1) 29:8 fundamental (2) 241:2;291:12 funding (3) 152:17;179:10; 205:16 fur (1) 222:8 further (18) 20:12;32:6;62:20; 80:4;144:18;149:21; 151:5;167:8;271:21; 280:15,21;292:13; 293:6;314:10;326:1, 12,18;327:17 Furthermore (3) 269:8;321:8,22 future (8) 38:20;164:14; 207:3;259:16;275:17; 298:4;303:11;327:13</p>
--	---	---	---	--

G						
	17:11,19;18:8; 20:11;26:1,3,5,6,14; 27:1;28:18;33:13; 70:22;71:4;77:11,19, 21;128:17,20;134:7,8; 139:1;140:6;153:5; 161:15;182:17;245:4, 10,11;268:19;312:15; 317:6;318:15;320:3	316:2,11;320:15 globally (2) 269:4;323:13 gloss (1) 94:10 goal (13) 15:20;19:2;33:7; 83:17;103:8;197:19; 267:21;273:7;302:13; 303:15;306:16; 322:2;324:13 goals (6) 13:17;74:14;99:9; 284:5;326:8,9 goes (9) 19:17,19;24:14; 28:14;71:18;135:2,6; 255:22;276:15 gold (2) 19:20;281:13 gold-standard (1) 184:11 Good (53) 6:4;11:20;22:4; 23:12;31:16;38:22; 39:4;44:3;65:19; 74:21;77:20;80:8; 91:19;94:12;100:9; 101:11;125:2,8; 130:15;132:9;136:21; 137:4;151:11,13,22; 157:9,12,20;158:10; 159:21,22,22;160:22; 167:18;177:5;186:18; 189:11;199:13; 200:18;224:22;226:4; 236:19;241:11; 253:21;257:7;272:14; 278:5;283:5;293:21; 303:7;307:9;316:9; 320:14 goodness (1) 176:4 Google (5) 203:4;299:3,6,12,16 Gottlieb (3) 13:6;24:7;235:20 governed (1) 285:12 government (16) 12:17;13:20;79:1,6; 178:12;213:9;223:13; 240:12;251:20;252:2, 10;253:2;254:16; 316:4;317:22;322:12 governments (1) 207:13 grab (1) 239:7 Grabowski (1) 158:19 gradual (1) 129:14	graduate (1) 23:7 grant (1) 152:16 grants (1) 316:2 graphical (1) 90:18 graphics (1) 88:8 grasping (1) 253:21 grateful (3) 24:16;258:22;259:2 gratifying (1) 302:12 GRAY (11) 100:9,10;120:10,11; 121:9,12;122:18; 256:17,17;328:6,7 great (28) 11:4;13:12;19:4; 22:16;36:4;39:3;53:3; 71:22;98:3;114:10; 137:1,16;161:11; 168:15,16;169:4; 173:3;177:11,12; 204:12;209:6;218:13; 221:17;228:11; 252:22;253:19; 265:22;275:18 greater (6) 39:22;58:3;219:10; 279:6;296:1;310:10 greatest (1) 167:13 greatly (3) 301:13;320:12; 328:12 green (4) 134:8,21;135:12; 191:3 GREENBLATT (8) 288:6,7,11;293:15, 16;315:18,21;316:10 Greenspan (4) 283:3,5,6;287:7 Gregory (2) 300:7,10 ground (1) 181:10 groundbreaking (1) 15:6 group (11) 62:11;101:4;141:9; 173:21;197:18; 208:20;211:6;228:19; 264:13,20;317:22 groups (14) 29:8;137:4;174:6; 194:8;202:7,9;204:12; 205:14;206:22; 211:15;219:22;264:2;	307:14;318:12 grow (3) 17:2,3;130:10 growing (5) 25:2;29:22;127:8; 277:21;308:6 growth (10) 57:1;61:14,20; 127:19;129:9,21; 130:6,7;289:14;320:3 guarantee (1) 295:12 guess (5) 45:2;64:7;73:20; 74:5;238:3 guessing (1) 223:21 guidance (75) 14:7,13;36:3;37:19; 42:9,10;43:7;44:13, 19;45:9;46:3,6;47:22; 83:3,5,6,9;100:18; 101:4,12,17,22;102:6, 20;103:8,13,16;105:2; 106:19;107:2;109:3,6, 6,7,20;114:8,14; 116:20;119:10,21; 120:4,4,14,17,19; 121:13,22;164:17; 167:3;216:13;217:5, 15;251:9,10;268:9,10, 11,14;279:8,15;280:2; 282:6;285:13;289:22; 293:5;295:2;298:18, 21;299:13,14,17; 300:2;324:17;325:2; 326:19 guide (2) 192:16;255:19 guy (2) 253:12;282:16	H	
gain (2) 27:2;267:13 gained (2) 269:18;276:22 gaining (2) 202:13;306:4 gains (1) 166:14 gainsharing (2) 68:7;72:20 gainsmanship (1) 78:19 gambit (1) 94:16 game (3) 65:11;204:14; 250:18 games (3) 236:7;254:15,17 gaming (2) 11:12;280:14 gap (1) 161:14 gaps (5) 161:12,12;165:18; 166:13;292:4 gastroenterologic (1) 57:7 gastroenterologists (1) 204:21 Gastroenterology (2) 209:13;261:14 gather (3) 126:2,6,8 gathering (1) 136:21 GCCA (1) 272:15 Genentech (1) 216:10 general (18) 9:3;94:10;96:9; 103:19;109:12;112:7; 114:16;119:5;122:1; 146:8;192:11;198:22; 202:1;207:20;219:19; 224:13;271:13;299:9 generally (15) 29:14;36:22;60:18; 81:3;102:15;107:20; 108:6;110:1;113:22; 126:15;153:9;154:9; 223:7;229:22;265:2 generate (3) 37:3;60:4;73:7 generating (2) 36:7;306:2 generation (2) 36:16;38:2 generic (34)	generic-like (1) 318:7 generics (30) 17:12,14,16,22; 25:19;30:13;32:20; 71:6,11,12,17;72:7; 73:10;74:5;77:13; 133:20;134:2;136:17; 139:2,4;140:4,9,12, 22;153:10;169:4; 186:6;245:18;311:7; 320:5 genomics (1) 323:15 gentlemen (1) 23:8 Germany (1) 261:11 get-go (1) 266:6 gets (7) 66:5;130:11; 143:17;159:7;187:3; 192:2;317:17 GHLF (4) 316:12,13,16; 318:12 GI (3) 57:6;188:4;209:15 Gilead (1) 250:5 given (16) 28:22;38:6;143:15; 162:11,16;177:4; 179:12;215:16;222:6; 228:9,20;245:14; 262:22;276:13; 291:17;326:11 gives (3) 74:9;98:3;240:15 giving (3) 153:10;250:1;270:6 glad (1) 216:16 glamorous (1) 8:12 glargine (3) 149:4,9,15 glasses (1) 71:10 global (12) 169:18;170:8; 257:8;267:19;272:12; 273:9;303:5,8;315:19;					
				Ha! (1) 41:15 Hahn (11) 11:5,5,10,15,19,20; 23:13,17;24:8;39:21; 235:19 half (4) 147:4;212:15; 275:22;286:6 hall (1) 8:18 hallway (1) 8:14 hand (2) 212:11;238:3 handle (1) 318:13 happen (8) 24:11;52:14;53:1;		

135:22;215:8;273:16; 276:17;298:6 happened (8) 62:2,3;77:19; 141:10;142:5;190:14; 214:7;265:21 happening (5) 126:11;128:9; 133:19;164:11;276:1 happens (12) 12:12;34:10;51:6; 53:21;127:11;150:18, 20;161:22;188:14; 207:22;220:6;242:3 happy (4) 36:3;141:20; 175:19;323:19 hard (14) 42:8;51:8;71:20; 145:13;168:1,20; 226:17;234:8;235:13; 237:11,16;239:22; 240:6;246:14 harder (2) 237:8;241:6 hardware (1) 213:21 harm (13) 219:1,2;230:17; 242:13;243:22;244:6; 245:20,20;246:19; 249:8,10,11;252:22 harmed (5) 242:5,10;246:9,10; 296:16 harmful (1) 77:6 harmonized (1) 273:7 harms (4) 220:10;241:5,13; 254:7 harped (1) 74:6 Harvard (2) 23:7;213:16 Hatch-Waxman (4) 26:7;27:2;28:4;77:9 hate (2) 69:19;91:19 HCP (3) 193:15,19;196:9 head (3) 8:2;267:19;274:17 headings (1) 88:10 headlines (1) 95:14 headroom (2) 74:1,14 heads-I-win/tails-you-lose (1) 242:1 head-to-head (1)	305:4 Health (56) 34:1;37:7;49:11; 52:6;57:14;58:1; 74:11;76:1;77:7; 80:17;81:13;83:19; 85:9;93:8;98:18;99:2, 7;101:3;102:4,12; 104:13;110:5,12; 142:19,22;143:7,14; 172:8;173:17,22; 178:7,11;180:2;183:2; 184:16;186:7;233:16; 267:3,22;268:4;273:6, 6;276:4;277:13; 278:19,20,22,22; 289:7,10;302:8;311:2, 11;317:3;320:21; 321:4 healthcare (56) 7:6;15:9;18:9; 20:22;21:3,5;22:20; 31:17;37:9;44:14; 75:10,11;76:9,13; 77:20;84:12;96:15; 99:11;108:13;110:6; 162:17;172:2;174:5, 17;175:2,9;176:10,16; 184:17;192:11;202:5, 11;203:11;206:14,21; 257:1;258:5,8;259:15; 263:3;267:8;275:4,7; 284:4;288:18;294:20; 299:4;303:12;304:7; 307:5;317:9,17;319:7; 324:12,14;327:5 healthier (1) 312:9 health-related (1) 80:2 healthy (4) 284:1;315:19; 316:2,11 hear (14) 33:12;45:14,19; 48:7;51:17;172:22; 173:2,6;177:10,11; 179:2;215:22;235:18; 302:12 heard (21) 44:17;48:13;52:11; 78:1;94:15;98:11; 100:13;108:11;127:7; 128:2;182:12;200:3; 208:6;214:18,21; 216:19;237:4;250:20; 284:17;299:3;316:18 hearing (6) 45:18;177:8;249:8; 256:7;291:8;323:16 heart (3) 19:2;137:2;276:4 heated (1)	170:4 held (1) 273:5 Hello (7) 125:5;293:15; 298:13;300:9;311:21; 315:21;324:3 help (40) 12:20;15:9;19:5; 21:19;22:11;28:4; 45:4;53:14;55:3;74:9; 75:1;85:20;91:8; 99:11;101:21;103:9; 105:2,21;106:2;110:4; 114:15;121:5;166:8, 11,13,13,18;167:8; 169:12;174:16;259:3; 274:8,14;295:12,21; 321:13;322:1;324:17; 325:16;327:3 helped (4) 11:10;26:1;163:4; 274:5 helpful (16) 10:21;35:11;42:8, 11;44:13;47:21;56:7; 69:9;70:1;86:2;100:6; 169:15;207:16;251:9; 254:13;292:1 helping (11) 15:21;20:10;80:17; 85:21;98:13,17; 102:12;188:12,13; 189:10;283:22 helps (7) 80:12;82:10;174:3; 187:22;188:7;192:20; 322:4 Henry (1) 158:19 hep (1) 150:12 Herceptin (2) 39:21;190:7 Here's (1) 134:12 HERNANDEZ (8) 124:19,19;139:22; 141:5,6;151:9;152:13; 155:10 heroes (1) 12:20 hesitancy (2) 264:18,19 hesitant (1) 265:3 Heterogeneity (1) 34:11 HHS (1) 12:16 Hi (13) 32:9,15,17,22; 124:21;171:10;191:5;	256:14,22;267:18; 288:6;307:9;315:20 hide (1) 251:11 high (14) 139:1;159:19; 168:8;210:13;229:18; 232:11;234:14; 235:12;236:13;238:1; 246:10;262:17; 268:16;316:21 high-deductible (1) 151:18 higher (15) 117:14,22;140:11; 146:21;148:9;156:9; 162:5,6;189:6;201:4; 265:13;266:4;277:7; 309:11,12 highest (1) 216:22 high-fixed (1) 171:2 highlight (4) 34:17;136:8; 202:16;308:21 highlighted (2) 137:17;209:8 highlights (3) 91:8;176:1,3 high-list (1) 140:10 highly (11) 29:1;34:20;97:11; 110:17;111:3;113:13; 200:20;215:17;216:9, 11;287:15 high-quality (1) 268:1 hilarious (1) 222:2 Hillel (5) 174:2;197:12,14,15; 258:1 hindrance (1) 296:4 hire (1) 266:3 historically (1) 311:7 history (3) 31:2;291:20;297:13 hold (7) 28:20;46:8,12; 78:15;137:11;294:1; 323:11 holders (1) 7:8 holding (4) 14:15;27:7;153:9; 263:6 home (2) 185:12;329:10	honest (2) 158:6;179:19 hope (13) 17:2;37:16;44:20; 74:4;91:7,19;125:13; 184:14;224:7;253:22; 254:21;296:2;318:3 hoped (1) 277:16 hopefully (10) 13:11;38:19;55:1; 98:12;176:5,12;225:7; 242:16;254:6;307:19 hopes (1) 9:5 hoping (3) 18:2;197:5;274:14 Hopkins (1) 58:5 hopping (2) 239:9;250:7 hormone (1) 222:6 horrible (1) 265:20 hospitalized (1) 215:14 hospitals (5) 52:6;60:22;132:1; 246:16;289:7 host (1) 160:6 hosted (1) 323:5 hosting (2) 24:10;206:7 hour (1) 212:16 hours (1) 233:10 house (2) 18:20;28:2 Hovenkamp (1) 232:14 hub (1) 181:3 huge (3) 53:12;63:10;137:7 Humira (3) 54:9;55:4;308:14 hundred (2) 17:15;50:9 hundreds (2) 171:2;258:16 hurdle (3) 166:2,12;293:6 hurdles (2) 250:17;293:3
I				
Ian (2) 298:11,14				

<p>idea (6) 50:5;58:17;63:14; 64:4;221:21;242:18</p> <p>ideal (1) 252:7</p> <p>identical (14) 76:3;113:10,22; 114:5;181:12;200:21; 201:12,13;216:1,6,11, 16;233:11;240:9</p> <p>identified (4) 105:9;106:17; 193:21;290:16</p> <p>identify (12) 105:7,17;106:2; 152:10;156:18;160:3; 193:18;196:20; 197:20;298:17; 319:17;323:3</p> <p>identifying (2) 105:19;209:4</p> <p>ignore (1) 301:11</p> <p>II (2) 326:8,9</p> <p>IKENBERRY (41) 171:10,11,18;173:3, 7;177:11;185:4,9; 190:20,22;197:11; 206:3,4;212:14;255:3, 5;256:4;257:3; 259:21;263:14; 267:16;272:11; 277:22;278:2;282:2,5, 14;283:1;288:3,10,12; 293:12;298:10;300:6; 303:4;307:6;311:18; 315:17;320:13; 323:22;328:3</p> <p>ill (2) 316:14;318:10</p> <p>illustrate (2) 61:19;114:15</p> <p>illustrates (2) 117:10;153:2</p> <p>image (1) 222:4</p> <p>imagery (1) 222:19</p> <p>images (1) 222:5</p> <p>imagine (5) 87:6;88:6;90:1; 225:19;252:3</p> <p>immunogenic (3) 200:1;287:15,21</p> <p>immunogenicity (8) 46:3;269:15; 271:12,13,19;290:5,8; 295:3</p> <p>immunology (4) 59:17;283:4,7; 287:14</p>	<p>immunosuppressant (1) 271:14</p> <p>impact (25) 56:12;60:20;65:1; 69:2;88:3;124:11; 129:15;135:8;139:20; 151:3;155:7;167:13; 172:4;180:16;209:3,6; 212:7;228:11,17; 258:8;270:9;292:19; 296:12;299:21;301:16</p> <p>impacting (1) 291:22</p> <p>impacts (5) 65:7;67:21;99:7; 102:4;151:4</p> <p>impaired (1) 301:4</p> <p>impartiality (1) 70:3</p> <p>impede (2) 281:19;301:14</p> <p>impedes (1) 30:12</p> <p>impediments (2) 165:21;296:7</p> <p>impeding (1) 156:22</p> <p>implement (1) 313:14</p> <p>implemented (2) 183:14;266:7</p> <p>implementing (12) 72:19;73:5;75:15; 81:10;85:6,22;104:5; 119:13;148:16;150:8, 12;183:18</p> <p>implication (2) 200:7;201:7</p> <p>implications (3) 157:16;162:2; 163:16</p> <p>implicit (2) 43:8;243:12</p> <p>implied (3) 94:14;95:1,1</p> <p>implies (2) 118:8,10</p> <p>Implying (1) 285:3</p> <p>importance (10) 31:2;37:12;73:14, 15;128:7;159:19; 194:7;298:8;299:4; 303:11</p> <p>important (104) 7:13;12:2,8,22;13:4, 7;14:12,16;15:15,22; 28:17;42:14;43:16; 47:6,21;48:10;59:15; 60:13;65:3;69:9; 70:10,16;72:13;73:3; 77:4;86:20;87:4,11,</p>	<p>15;88:12;96:2; 100:16;101:7;128:8; 132:11;136:7;137:18, 19,21;139:17;140:9, 17;141:1;142:10; 150:19;155:11,19; 157:13;158:14;159:3; 162:7,9;164:7,20; 165:20;167:16;168:5; 169:3,7;171:14; 175:16,17;177:7; 178:22;179:1,5; 180:11;181:6,17; 182:2,6,22;193:2,7; 197:8;198:10;202:12, 19;203:2,5,16;205:21; 206:9;208:5,9,15; 216:16;219:20; 220:14;236:3;254:10, 19;267:6;275:6; 279:5;280:20;286:11; 287:22;299:21;309:7; 313:18;321:10; 324:22;327:4</p> <p>importantly (2) 179:15;292:20</p> <p>impose (1) 164:5</p> <p>imposed (1) 25:20</p> <p>imposing (1) 160:11</p> <p>impossible (1) 319:16</p> <p>impression (11) 29:16;77:14;95:5,7, 16;112:7;113:2,6; 122:6,10;218:2</p> <p>impressive (1) 22:22</p> <p>improve (11) 6:20;19:10;73:7; 174:16;273:9;289:12; 291:4;294:16;304:16; 314:4;316:16</p> <p>improved (3) 19:10;304:15; 316:18</p> <p>improvement (4) 90:7;155:16; 288:18;323:4</p> <p>improving (4) 171:18;288:22; 289:20;320:20</p> <p>inaccurate (3) 105:22;263:5; 325:11</p> <p>inadequate (1) 77:16</p> <p>inadvertently (1) 298:18</p> <p>inappropriate (1) 235:15</p>	<p>inaugural (1) 213:15</p> <p>incentive (6) 62:6,21;65:1,15; 166:11;270:14</p> <p>incentives (24) 60:17;61:4;62:4,5; 63:7;65:8;67:15;68:3; 72:22;73:16;78:17; 132:13;140:3;141:14, 18;148:7;166:3; 251:19;266:2,7,10; 270:21;309:11;319:22</p> <p>incentivized (2) 148:8,11</p> <p>incentivizes (1) 78:11</p> <p>incentivizing (1) 166:1</p> <p>inception (1) 61:14</p> <p>incidence (1) 152:10</p> <p>include (17) 27:5;35:5;81:7; 85:19;87:2,3;106:14; 107:20;108:7,19; 109:20;118:4;135:19; 142:1;163:22;198:4; 262:19</p> <p>included (12) 37:14;58:22;93:6; 107:13;109:18; 115:22;116:12,17; 149:9;256:10;275:11; 278:8</p> <p>includes (18) 13:5;43:9;45:7,8; 80:19,21;81:4;82:14, 16;90:6;92:12;102:14, 17;107:6;115:3,12; 135:17;264:3</p> <p>including (32) 14:22;23:2;33:15; 75:20;79:9;82:1; 86:19;91:5;93:8;94:8; 104:2;111:9;115:20; 116:21;126:3;130:20; 150:10;260:16,17; 261:13;279:7,15; 289:5;290:21;291:19; 297:5;303:17;304:18; 306:15;308:19;325:1, 22</p> <p>inclusion (1) 106:22</p> <p>incomplete (2) 198:8;324:14</p> <p>inconsistency (1) 194:4</p> <p>incorporate (1) 107:4</p> <p>incorporated (2) 26:10;205:12</p>	<p>incorporating (2) 107:7;205:7</p> <p>incorrect (4) 75:18;198:21; 261:3;270:7</p> <p>increase (15) 19:4;20:17;67:15, 18;68:2,13;144:11,17; 151:14;222:6;276:14; 309:14;314:13;315:3; 324:10</p> <p>increased (15) 20:9;38:7;40:2; 145:2;163:7;176:3; 230:5;239:1;245:6; 276:21;291:17; 307:20;313:12; 315:10;321:7</p> <p>increases (2) 102:21;229:18</p> <p>increasing (12) 28:21;44:10; 144:18;145:6;146:12; 267:4;280:7;291:3,6; 301:8;307:2;309:4</p> <p>increasingly (3) 16:17;62:13;150:12</p> <p>incredible (5) 24:11;165:5; 179:13;259:1,2</p> <p>incredibly (5) 12:8;136:13; 137:21;169:14;236:2</p> <p>incumbent (5) 63:17;168:15,16; 309:20;310:8</p> <p>incumbents (2) 168:10,13</p> <p>indeed (3) 23:18;303:2;313:11</p> <p>independent (6) 32:10;179:14; 194:11;316:7;320:19; 322:8</p> <p>independently (3) 179:9;195:18; 196:19</p> <p>indicate (1) 321:22</p> <p>indicated (2) 133:4;326:4</p> <p>indicates (2) 39:15;313:11</p> <p>indication (5) 112:14,16;115:15, 17;199:17</p> <p>indications (8) 35:13;112:5,10; 217:16;272:4;310:5; 325:7;326:13</p> <p>Indirectly (2) 229:12,12</p>
--	---	--	---	---

<p>individual (8) 8:10;52:5;111:22; 122:8;184:19;192:17; 284:11;296:16</p> <p>individuals (2) 294:4;316:5</p> <p>individual's (1) 297:12</p> <p>induce (2) 232:7;233:17</p> <p>industry (21) 14:8;25:16;27:17; 30:11;31:10;45:15; 70:22;74:5;83:4;84:7; 100:19;158:17; 203:10;214:13;246:6; 249:22;254:14;280:2; 298:16;302:2;306:12</p> <p>industry's (1) 82:17</p> <p>ineffective (1) 244:10</p> <p>inequalities (1) 318:14</p> <p>inexpensive (1) 182:13</p> <p>infer (1) 248:7</p> <p>inferences (1) 219:19</p> <p>inferior (4) 264:15,17;273:18; 300:20</p> <p>inferiority (1) 189:21</p> <p>inflammatory (2) 188:2;294:7</p> <p>inflated (2) 235:7;309:15</p> <p>inflation (1) 129:20</p> <p>Inflectra (3) 187:9,16;209:14</p> <p>Inflectra-Remicade (1) 189:19</p> <p>infliximab (12) 49:3;57:2;61:8; 62:3;145:5;146:16; 283:14;284:22; 285:21;286:3;287:10, 15</p> <p>influence (4) 49:8;193:14;209:3; 319:18</p> <p>influenced (1) 208:16</p> <p>influencer (1) 208:15</p> <p>influencers (1) 193:3</p> <p>influences (1) 209:4</p> <p>influencing (2)</p>	<p>58:10,18</p> <p>infographic (2) 175:10,15</p> <p>inform (1) 28:7</p> <p>information (120) 8:17;14:5,12;15:11, 17;19:15;29:6;35:6; 50:7;58:18;69:17; 75:19;76:8;77:5;79:4; 80:18,20,20,21;85:2, 3;87:1,3,8,11,20,22; 88:2,8,12,13;91:1,13; 93:6,8;94:9;97:19; 99:13;102:13,15; 104:7,20;105:3,15,18; 106:1,14,22;107:5,10, 13,16,21;108:4,7,12, 15,19,22;109:14,16, 20;110:7;112:1;115:9, 18;117:2;119:3,5; 121:7;137:20;143:3; 163:4;165:18;166:7, 13;175:6;176:8,14,19; 178:8;179:6;180:18; 181:3,4,15;182:7,8; 183:5;191:14;192:18; 195:8;198:8,9;202:3, 4;203:5,17;204:1; 206:20;212:19;214:8; 257:21;258:4,7,12; 269:18;284:14;285:6; 291:9,16;292:8,12; 300:22;321:17; 324:15;326:20;327:1, 4;329:6</p> <p>information-related (1) 161:7</p> <p>informative (3) 6:13;136:13;292:9</p> <p>informed (2) 83:10;284:15</p> <p>infringe (1) 298:18</p> <p>Ingelheim (1) 32:16</p> <p>inherent (2) 137:9;302:20</p> <p>inherently (4) 65:15;223:14,22; 227:1</p> <p>inhibit (1) 158:3</p> <p>inhibiting (1) 160:17</p> <p>initial (1) 166:12</p> <p>initially (2) 153:6;187:16</p> <p>initiating (2) 24:7;211:16</p> <p>initiative (2) 196:10;205:5</p>	<p>initiatives (3) 172:14;306:19; 321:7</p> <p>injunctions (2) 85:19;239:4</p> <p>injured (1) 246:4</p> <p>Inma (3) 124:19;141:5;156:5</p> <p>Inma's (2) 152:20;162:10</p> <p>innovation (24) 15:5;16:8,16;17:9; 20:9,12;21:2;22:5; 25:12;71:21;78:10,12, 20;259:16,17;268:3; 271:10;305:5;312:1, 11,17;313:13;316:22; 322:17</p> <p>innovative (10) 24:5,17;74:2; 130:13,18;268:2; 279:12;296:8;298:3; 312:6</p> <p>innovator (12) 51:1;137:11;158:8; 286:5,7;303:13;305:2, 11,12;312:19;313:9; 315:4</p> <p>innovators (1) 20:4</p> <p>input (6) 120:5;121:3; 281:21;298:21; 322:10;325:9</p> <p>inquiries (1) 8:19</p> <p>insert (3) 89:8;90:5,6</p> <p>insight (1) 240:19</p> <p>insights (3) 191:10;212:9; 289:16</p> <p>insignificant (1) 170:8</p> <p>instance (7) 89:3;105:16;106:5; 143:12;147:1;232:17; 243:10</p> <p>instances (5) 29:7;181:15; 182:18;232:19;270:18</p> <p>instantaneous (1) 277:14</p> <p>instead (4) 23:16;96:13; 223:17;317:12</p> <p>Institute (2) 125:1,10</p> <p>instituted (1) 311:3</p> <p>institutional (1)</p>	<p>270:2</p> <p>instructed (1) 317:12</p> <p>insulin (15) 42:10;46:2;48:1; 145:22;146:3;149:4,6, 9,14,14;268:9,11,15, 21;290:7</p> <p>insulins (3) 48:5;321:14;323:14</p> <p>insurance (12) 74:4;126:10; 142:18;151:19;192:5; 194:17;247:7,12; 270:20;279:1;302:14; 319:21</p> <p>insurer (4) 63:13;142:19; 301:22;319:5</p> <p>insurers (12) 60:19;62:12,12; 64:3,14;142:22; 278:20;302:21;317:9, 13;319:12;327:13</p> <p>integrated (3) 58:2;267:21;289:6</p> <p>intellectual (1) 213:14</p> <p>intend (5) 13:22;255:15; 268:3;282:8;326:4</p> <p>intended (4) 105:2;182:18; 271:3;324:10</p> <p>intent (1) 221:14</p> <p>inter (2) 55:8,12</p> <p>interaction (4) 46:21;47:2,17; 65:14</p> <p>interactive (1) 11:2</p> <p>interchangeability (41) 37:4,5,13,20;43:11; 46:12,19,22;47:7,10, 16,22;48:9;64:21,22; 65:10,13;66:9;72:6; 195:1;200:12,13; 201:2,4;216:19; 260:19;269:1,7,17; 270:3;279:15;281:15; 284:18,20;285:7,9; 286:18;287:4,16,19; 305:19</p> <p>interchangeable (59) 15:1;18:4,12,22; 19:11;34:8;37:6;38:3, 10;46:1;47:15;48:3, 19;65:21;66:19;67:4, 9;70:11;72:9;96:11, 20;103:18;113:1,3,8; 120:6,16,18;121:3;</p>	<p>140:21;155:14,21,21; 156:3,8;169:9;172:4; 181:13;201:9;204:2; 216:21;217:3,6; 233:11;269:10,21; 279:7,8;280:9;281:1, 9;285:4;290:6;295:2, 9;296:21;325:8,15,19</p> <p>interchangeables (11) 13:11;37:18;38:14, 19;48:7;69:14;70:6; 120:21;121:7;155:8; 325:10</p> <p>interconnectedness (1) 170:11</p> <p>interdependencies (1) 52:4</p> <p>interest (12) 93:14;159:18; 193:5,9;205:17;236:7; 252:19,20;297:19; 304:4;307:11;328:12</p> <p>interested (14) 8:22;10:14;16:7; 25:13;32:13;108:11, 14;152:17;159:5,6; 192:22;209:20; 212:17;323:16</p> <p>interesting (4) 33:11;68:1;153:14; 154:12</p> <p>interests (1) 252:21</p> <p>interfere (3) 7:18;257:14;277:20</p> <p>internal (1) 185:18</p> <p>international (5) 264:6;273:8;274:1, 2,18</p> <p>internet (1) 299:14</p> <p>internist (1) 32:11</p> <p>interpret (2) 96:20;136:14</p> <p>interpretation (6) 95:18,19;96:6,7,17; 218:3</p> <p>interpretations (1) 27:9</p> <p>interpreted (1) 95:4</p> <p>interpreting (1) 46:4</p> <p>interrelated (1) 50:18</p> <p>interrupt (1) 9:6</p> <p>intervention (2) 37:9;79:2</p> <p>intimations (2) 216:20;252:5</p>
--	---	--	---	--

<p>into (37) 9:22;24:12,14; 26:10;27:3,11;29:9; 39:1;50:14;51:10; 54:13;55:8;56:8; 75:13;104:19;124:6; 127:13;130:9;146:21; 157:10;168:11; 175:11;178:9;180:16; 191:18;194:13; 204:12;212:10,18; 214:14,20;227:9; 230:13;240:19;242:1; 299:13;322:9</p> <p>intricate (1) 294:11</p> <p>introduce (9) 11:5;17:16,21; 22:17;32:2;124:14; 172:11;256:9,12</p> <p>introduced (7) 17:19;53:18;68:19, 20;71:6;135:13;142:8</p> <p>introduces (1) 49:5</p> <p>introduction (6) 11:21;61:15;73:22; 101:10;286:2;324:9</p> <p>introductions (1) 32:7</p> <p>introductory (1) 54:19</p> <p>invaluable (1) 291:11</p> <p>inventory (5) 63:1,9;66:1,4,12</p> <p>inventorying (2) 62:7;65:16</p> <p>invest (1) 169:21</p> <p>invested (1) 208:19</p> <p>investigate (1) 83:13</p> <p>investigates (1) 84:11</p> <p>investigations (2) 31:10;311:14</p> <p>investing (1) 133:11</p> <p>investment (2) 143:7;290:11</p> <p>investments (1) 154:3</p> <p>invite (1) 83:20</p> <p>invited (1) 178:9</p> <p>invoice (5) 126:15;131:4,8; 132:19,20</p> <p>invoiced (1) 52:17</p>	<p>involve (1) 265:18</p> <p>involved (4) 51:1;99:16;186:12; 260:15</p> <p>involvement (2) 28:14;61:13</p> <p>involves (3) 44:7;104:18;111:8</p> <p>involving (7) 26:17;27:19;30:9, 20;103:14;239:6; 242:3</p> <p>IQVIA (4) 61:18;125:9,21; 126:2</p> <p>isolation (2) 77:4;122:5</p> <p>issue (21) 13:9;46:5;51:10; 52:8,18;62:20;63:10, 19;64:3;72:7;73:21; 86:21;87:19;88:16; 89:15;194:21;224:7; 226:22;227:6;233:7; 324:17</p> <p>issued (4) 101:17;102:6,20; 299:11</p> <p>issues (36) 23:18;28:14;32:13; 43:15;50:5,20;51:18; 53:5;55:13,16,19; 57:6;65:22;73:13; 83:13;86:3,7,11; 103:1,13;194:15; 217:22;223:8;226:19, 19;227:15;254:10,20; 264:7;291:22;292:20; 297:8;301:6;314:17; 318:13;321:19</p> <p>issuing (2) 81:7;268:10</p> <p>Italy (1) 261:11</p> <p>items (1) 164:19</p>	<p>8:19;9:1</p> <p>job (5) 15:14;161:11; 180:13;318:16;328:7</p> <p>Jocelyn (2) 311:19,22</p> <p>Johns (1) 58:4</p> <p>Johnson (3) 249:15;283:8,8</p> <p>Johnson-Pfizer (1) 249:16</p> <p>join (3) 23:13;254:22;259:5</p> <p>joined (2) 79:21;100:17</p> <p>joining (4) 11:22;100:15; 177:1;213:11</p> <p>joint (7) 11:11;13:15;24:8; 110:1;206:8;207:3; 273:5</p> <p>joke (1) 215:19</p> <p>journal (2) 190:1;301:2</p> <p>journey (1) 284:2</p> <p>journeys (1) 284:13</p> <p>judge (1) 250:4</p> <p>judgment (1) 296:15</p> <p>Juliana (1) 257:5</p> <p>Julie (1) 257:7</p> <p>jump (3) 6:6;32:6;44:16</p> <p>jumping (1) 184:13</p> <p>junction (1) 270:15</p> <p>JUNEXANT (15) 114:19,20;115:8,11, 16,20;116:1,4,11,15; 117:12,13,15,19; 118:9</p> <p>jurisdiction (4) 45:22;92:12,18; 100:2</p> <p>jurisdictions (1) 205:3</p> <p>Justice (2) 85:16;98:2</p> <p>justifiable (1) 237:18</p> <p>justification (1) 326:14</p> <p>justified (2) 165:22;291:1</p>	<p>K</p> <p>Kaiser (6) 58:1,22;185:20; 187:14;189:16;204:10</p> <p>Kathleen (4) 288:3,6;293:14,16</p> <p>keep (10) 100:7;114:13; 122:2;136:7;152:4; 168:21;196:3;236:8; 271:21;297:20</p> <p>keeping (2) 137:19;248:11</p> <p>keeps (1) 310:18</p> <p>kept (2) 84:22;185:8</p> <p>key (14) 6:22;11:12;13:21; 81:19;191:17;193:8, 14;206:12;210:5,21; 218:19;219:6;280:9; 289:16</p> <p>kick (3) 6:11;33:4,18</p> <p>Kim (2) 278:3,5</p> <p>kind (17) 11:21;28:14;57:19; 108:15;115:6;116:5; 117:9;158:11;168:5; 172:10;188:10; 192:13;193:10; 214:14,15;219:1; 227:9</p> <p>kinds (2) 86:10;248:18</p> <p>king (1) 242:19</p> <p>Knowing (1) 179:8</p> <p>knowledge (6) 172:2;179:19; 180:14;195:6;232:8; 233:21</p> <p>knowledge- (1) 161:6</p> <p>knowledgeable (4) 96:12;204:8,17,21</p> <p>known (4) 34:5;68:7;294:9; 310:20</p> <p>knows (1) 254:14</p> <p>Koehn (11) 172:20;173:2,4; 176:21;177:2,3,12,18; 185:7;202:14;207:6</p> <p>Koslov (8) 11:15;12:5;22:17, 18;23:5,8,11,12</p>	<p>L</p> <p>labeled (1) 281:16</p> <p>Labeling (44) 14:8;35:5,6,7,8,15; 81:1,22;82:1,5;85:7; 86:5;100:19;101:14; 103:10,22;106:6; 107:2,4,6,9,14,15,16, 20;108:4,6,18;109:2, 5,10,12,18;116:17; 117:5;119:7,18,19; 280:3;282:7;298:22; 325:15;326:22;327:2</p> <p>labels (1) 326:20</p> <p>labor (1) 278:20</p> <p>lack (5) 87:11;91:6;165:5; 246:11;295:21</p> <p>LADA (1) 293:17</p> <p>Ladies (1) 23:8</p> <p>lagging (1) 136:16</p> <p>lags (1) 266:14</p> <p>landmark (1) 27:5</p> <p>landscape (2) 289:17;293:10</p> <p>language (12) 175:15;180:16; 181:21;182:7;193:21; 194:1,8;197:1;208:4, 6;222:20;296:5</p> <p>Lanham (14) 218:14,19;219:5,9; 223:6,7;224:5;225:12; 226:19;227:20; 241:19;248:3,19,21</p> <p>Lantus (5) 141:15;145:19; 146:2,16;149:10</p> <p>large (17) 54:7;58:3,22;59:1; 88:7;128:13,18; 153:10;154:2,15; 155:2,4;180:20;204:6; 270:4;301:22;302:21</p> <p>largely (1) 223:21</p> <p>larger (4) 183:2;193:3;267:3; 310:12</p> <p>largest (8) 91:2;178:13; 183:17;189:18;191:6; 275:12,13;288:17</p>
J		<p>J&J (3) 230:5,5;253:11</p> <p>JAMA (2) 50:6;144:4</p> <p>Janssen (5) 217:1,10;283:3,7,9</p> <p>January (3) 30:15;214:22; 286:14</p> <p>Jex (4) 172:7;206:3,7; 212:8</p> <p>Jim (2)</p>	<p>jurisdiction (4) 45:22;92:12,18; 100:2</p> <p>jurisdictions (1) 205:3</p> <p>Justice (2) 85:16;98:2</p> <p>justifiable (1) 237:18</p> <p>justification (1) 326:14</p> <p>justified (2) 165:22;291:1</p>	<p>large (17) 54:7;58:3,22;59:1; 88:7;128:13,18; 153:10;154:2,15; 155:2,4;180:20;204:6; 270:4;301:22;302:21</p> <p>largely (1) 223:21</p> <p>larger (4) 183:2;193:3;267:3; 310:12</p> <p>largest (8) 91:2;178:13; 183:17;189:18;191:6; 275:12,13;288:17</p>

<p>last (31) 11:6;13:15;17:3; 18:8;20:5;39:18;50:6; 52:21;62:1;63:12; 70:19;90:20;144:3; 146:6;156:18;162:2, 22;163:3;167:2; 173:12;184:12; 190:13;194:21; 235:11;249:18;261:9; 276:1;286:14;299:11; 328:4,14</p> <p>lasting (1) 234:3</p> <p>lastly (2) 183:8;272:5</p> <p>late (2) 42:18;161:11</p> <p>later (6) 99:19;127:18; 135:22;145:17; 202:15;273:15</p> <p>late-stage (2) 127:16;130:22</p> <p>latter (1) 49:15</p> <p>Laughter (15) 40:18;41:18;91:22; 125:14;171:17; 177:17;185:6;190:21; 203:6;213:22;250:13; 256:3;282:1,18,22</p> <p>launch (4) 50:11;133:5;139:3; 142:7</p> <p>launched (15) 47:12,13;49:1; 50:19;52:10;59:12,22; 60:10;132:21;181:2; 266:7;276:12,19; 304:12;305:20</p> <p>Laura (4) 303:5,8;324:1,4</p> <p>laurels (1) 247:15</p> <p>Law (21) 23:7;213:13,14,16, 16,18,19;218:16; 226:4;232:4,14; 238:21;240:16;241:4, 10,13,15;243:19; 244:2;248:14;253:20</p> <p>laws (12) 25:17,20;26:2; 29:12,12;48:17,18,20; 84:5;169:6;242:21; 251:16</p> <p>lawsuits (1) 43:2</p> <p>lay (4) 182:7;207:5; 219:21;243:2</p> <p>layout (1)</p>	<p>88:5</p> <p>lays (1) 33:21</p> <p>lead (4) 235:7;287:8;303:6, 9</p> <p>leader (2) 173:13;278:10</p> <p>leaders (1) 58:21</p> <p>leadership (2) 274:1;293:8</p> <p>leading (8) 196:10;232:12,13; 258:10;289:6;312:5; 313:11;315:12</p> <p>leads (1) 224:7</p> <p>leaf (1) 251:12</p> <p>learn (9) 70:21;71:1;77:10, 21;83:21;195:10; 267:12;276:8;299:8</p> <p>learned (6) 28:4;70:21;138:19; 195:12;213:18;233:4</p> <p>learning (3) 163:7;177:8;243:18</p> <p>learns (1) 26:12</p> <p>least (19) 61:10;128:6;135:8; 151:14;153:6;166:1; 210:15;212:15;226:9; 228:11;229:14; 242:16,17;246:15,19; 249:3,7;264:20,22</p> <p>leave (1) 85:1</p> <p>led (1) 283:16</p> <p>leery (1) 265:22</p> <p>leeway (1) 240:15</p> <p>left (1) 124:15</p> <p>legacy (1) 31:11</p> <p>legal (1) 14:20</p> <p>legally (1) 265:15</p> <p>legislation (3) 28:8;53:18;68:20</p> <p>legislative (3) 67:14;68:18;164:1</p> <p>legislators (1) 169:13</p> <p>legislatures (1) 273:2</p> <p>length (2)</p>	<p>36:4;262:20</p> <p>lengths (1) 71:22</p> <p>lens (2) 73:9;155:1</p> <p>less (26) 29:2;55:15;60:9; 76:13,14;77:14;97:14; 112:8,8,13,13;113:6, 6;155:13;158:4; 192:4;204:21;244:22; 245:6,17;271:15; 286:6;311:8;312:13; 325:5,18</p> <p>lesser (1) 193:2</p> <p>lessons (5) 28:4;70:21;73:4; 138:18;195:12</p> <p>letter (8) 43:19;85:11;86:9, 15;299:11;317:17; 326:8,9</p> <p>letters (6) 81:7;86:13,14; 90:20;91:3;97:21</p> <p>level (15) 14:4;46:20;131:5,6, 7;133:19;140:7; 184:21;224:20; 229:18;238:2;264:6; 285:18;291:3;304:22</p> <p>leveled (1) 99:13</p> <p>leveling (1) 87:1</p> <p>levels (5) 132:14;170:13; 195:6;217:19;261:6</p> <p>leverage (3) 62:13;196:7;209:5</p> <p>liability (6) 27:8;194:22; 230:15,20;231:9,18</p> <p>liable (2) 95:22;96:6</p> <p>License (1) 7:8</p> <p>licensed (20) 37:17;76:18; 104:12;106:15,16; 107:18,19;108:1; 112:5,9,22;113:2,8, 11;115:15;116:13; 117:8;217:17;325:7, 19</p> <p>licensing (1) 291:5</p> <p>licensure (17) 31:21;34:7;35:12; 107:11;110:15; 112:15,19;113:12; 115:8,20;116:10;</p>	<p>280:2;282:7;313:1; 325:13;326:5,13</p> <p>lie (1) 226:2</p> <p>lieu (1) 96:22</p> <p>life (7) 11:11;274:9,10; 316:16;320:9;323:1,9</p> <p>life-altering (1) 294:4</p> <p>life-saving (2) 6:21;15:22</p> <p>life-threatening (4) 16:18,21;24:18; 308:1</p> <p>light (6) 55:17;135:15; 251:8;255:22;267:10; 287:2</p> <p>lightning (1) 225:6</p> <p>lights (1) 255:19</p> <p>likelihood (2) 218:22;219:2</p> <p>likely (17) 17:2;29:18;30:5; 65:13;71:15;111:13; 133:13;220:14;221:5; 226:10;228:1,7;232:7; 244:5,6;262:18; 304:10</p> <p>Lilly (2) 222:3,4</p> <p>limit (1) 310:2</p> <p>limitations (3) 9:14;117:6;299:16</p> <p>limited (6) 68:2;78:13;244:15; 252:10,17;326:9</p> <p>limiting (1) 279:16</p> <p>limits (2) 162:4;311:16</p> <p>line (7) 79:3;134:21; 135:12;173:1;184:15; 189:6;238:19</p> <p>lingering (3) 59:7;291:20;292:2</p> <p>link (1) 299:20</p> <p>linked (2) 205:15;261:5</p> <p>list (33) 44:19,21;56:10; 69:19;86:8;126:17; 140:12;142:6,8,12; 144:10,17;145:2,3,6; 146:9;148:6,9,11; 149:12;150:20;</p>	<p>151:10,16,17,19; 152:4,5,11;154:9; 266:4;305:22;309:11, 15</p> <p>listed (4) 53:16;54:2,5;142:4</p> <p>listening (1) 185:3</p> <p>lists (10) 148:16;149:4,5,8, 10,20;150:7,9,10,14</p> <p>literacy (1) 184:20</p> <p>literal (3) 220:12;221:8,9</p> <p>literally (3) 219:11;222:11; 244:4</p> <p>literature (2) 164:7;292:9</p> <p>litigants (1) 213:9</p> <p>litigate (2) 253:8,15</p> <p>litigating (1) 54:5</p> <p>litigation (9) 26:7;53:7,9;54:7; 55:15;71:17;180:8; 224:10;271:6</p> <p>little (42) 33:19;35:10;37:15; 47:18;50:3;57:5;61:5; 64:17;89:7;125:20; 130:16;135:11; 140:14;149:21;154:3; 158:6;177:15;185:10; 187:21;189:3,6;190:8; 192:2;197:13;201:15; 202:4;207:15,17; 214:11;215:20; 217:12;233:8;240:15; 245:17;250:8;253:12; 271:12;277:15;282:9; 287:6;317:15;319:18</p> <p>live (7) 8:3;11:1;169:18; 207:9,12;294:10; 312:8</p> <p>lives (6) 12:11;52:1;173:11; 267:22;277:13;312:9</p> <p>living (8) 16:11;178:4;185:1; 294:4;315:19;316:2, 11,17</p> <p>Liz (2) 206:5;207:6</p> <p>lobby (2) 8:13;205:14</p> <p>local (1) 137:13</p> <p>located (1)</p>
--	--	--	---	--

8:13 location (2) 137:18;139:7 lock (1) 235:8 locked (1) 235:16 logic (1) 166:5 logical (2) 227:13,14 long (17) 15:18;23:17;25:13; 26:22;32:13;168:22; 173:6;174:15;178:1; 202:12;210:11;212:4; 218:18;228:6;284:12; 285:18;316:21 long-acting (1) 145:22 longer (5) 68:4;72:14;114:15; 265:11;312:8 longitudinal (1) 295:14 long-standing (1) 321:2 long-term (4) 74:14;271:4; 306:11;313:18 look (49) 6:21;31:10;37:16, 17;42:4,16;44:3;48:1; 61:17;73:2;92:10,21; 108:3;127:15;128:11, 14;129:22;132:20; 135:11;137:4;138:7, 17;139:5;142:5; 146:6;148:19;150:19; 151:21;152:7;155:1; 177:7;180:4;186:14; 187:10;195:4;196:22; 197:4;216:4,7,14; 217:16;222:14;230:4; 233:3;243:3,8;262:9; 276:16;286:15 looked (7) 56:12,19;141:20; 149:7;152:14;187:18; 235:6 looking (23) 19:9,13;36:10;42:8; 69:20;77:19;93:20; 97:7;119:22;121:2,10; 127:3;130:9;132:17; 137:20;152:16; 186:11;193:4;209:18; 211:8;212:5;227:13; 228:5 looks (3) 147:13;185:15; 275:17 lose (2)	310:15,16 loses (1) 127:11 losing (2) 252:12,15 loss (1) 310:13 lost (5) 12:11;158:20; 242:9;244:11;258:10 lot (73) 12:9;34:15;37:10; 38:11;42:18;44:2; 45:7,10;47:1;48:18; 50:15;52:1,19,21; 53:3,4;54:20;57:4; 58:20,21;60:1;61:4, 12;62:4;63:7;72:16; 74:4,12;92:2,6;146:2, 4;151:16;161:9; 162:22;168:13; 174:13;175:21; 181:10;184:16;186:2; 188:20;190:16; 191:14;192:7,8,10,15; 194:15;198:18; 203:17;206:10; 208:19;209:2;210:17; 216:3;224:2,11;226:8; 230:11;233:19,22; 235:10;241:16; 244:16;247:8,13; 251:12,14;253:14; 256:4;264:11;282:16 lots (2) 210:14;232:19 Louisiana (1) 263:22 love (3) 70:15;182:1,1 low (3) 261:6;276:20;277:7 Lowell (5) 75:3;80:8;98:8; 100:13;101:1 lower (18) 21:3;31:7;60:2; 140:11;148:10,11; 171:7;201:8;229:15; 280:18;281:1;289:11; 305:22;308:9;309:17; 310:17;313:12;318:7 lowered (1) 285:17 lowering (4) 176:5;246:7;280:9; 319:22 lowest (1) 286:5 Lucio (4) 288:8,12,13,15 lucrative (2) 168:7,21	lunch (2) 122:21;123:3 Lupus (3) 288:4;293:19;294:6 lymphoma (2) 186:14,14 M macro (2) 61:5;73:21 Madelaine (2) 263:15,18 magnitude (1) 126:20 mail (8) 131:8,14,16,17,18, 19,21;317:17 main (3) 90:6;196:18;303:1 maintain (3) 231:11;289:12; 327:10 maintained (1) 229:18 maintaining (4) 19:20;29:22;83:18; 184:11 major (5) 27:10;45:22;51:22; 52:8;302:9 majority (7) 85:13;95:19;241:3, 9;257:17;276:22; 300:19 makes (8) 17:4;49:13;122:10; 215:19;237:7;241:6; 244:16,21 making (18) 13:5;17:13;19:7; 31:6;33:7;48:2;53:11; 59:20;76:22;78:8; 155:19;161:8;182:13; 202:21;228:10;247:5; 297:13;318:1 manage (3) 66:13;182:5,8 managed (1) 131:18 managed-care (7) 147:16,21;148:22; 149:19;150:1,6; 154:21 management (8) 57:9,10,10;64:5; 79:17;278:4,13; 296:10 manager (3) 142:20;315:18; 316:10 managers (2) 142:21;278:16	managing (1) 65:16 manipulations (1) 301:12 manner (2) 104:9;327:6 manufacture (1) 167:22 manufactured (1) 143:11 manufacturer (18) 54:1;76:2;81:2,16; 82:21;83:1;127:5; 165:7;166:20;167:14; 210:22;280:5;285:13; 303:13;304:4;309:20; 310:7;327:9 manufacturers (47) 14:2;20:18;26:14; 27:1,11;29:8;30:4; 32:21;44:14;50:21,22; 52:13,22;53:13;54:8; 55:7;76:7;77:11;78:8, 16;81:8;91:10;100:1; 104:1;126:6;127:4; 133:15;142:13;143:1; 164:6,21;168:14; 169:21;181:16; 270:13;271:9;277:5; 279:18;280:16;290:1; 295:4;302:5;304:14; 318:1;321:15,17; 322:4 manufacturer's (1) 92:13 manufacturing (6) 167:18;236:14; 283:11;291:18;292:5, 12 many (54) 11:22;12:16;16:18; 24:10;25:6;33:14; 39:10,11,11,11,11; 63:11;68:3;78:11; 83:9;84:8;88:2; 136:22;145:10; 150:11;153:15; 156:21;157:10;160:4, 22;161:18,20;163:10; 166:22;168:19;174:1, 11;180:8;181:15; 182:17,18;192:3; 200:21;203:4;204:12; 235:2;237:13;250:17; 254:3;275:21;279:5; 281:9;284:12,17; 289:14;298:4;313:21; 317:9;328:14 margin (1) 265:15 markedly (1) 305:22 market (205)	7:8;13:5,11;14:22; 20:7,13,13,16;21:21; 34:1;39:16,20;40:20; 41:14;42:15,16,21; 47:15;50:17;51:5,20; 52:12,17;54:11,13,17; 55:18;56:17;59:3; 60:4,14;61:7;72:18; 73:4,5,15;78:2,12; 125:18,19,20,22; 126:9,13,14;127:9,22; 128:5,8,13,14,17,19; 129:1,4,10;131:4,7,10, 12,14,16;132:1,12,18; 133:1,19,21;134:1,7, 11,14,16,17,19;135:9, 20;136:2,6,6;137:11; 138:4,11;139:5,14,15; 144:14;145:10,18; 146:1,18,21;149:17; 150:17;151:4;152:22; 153:3,4,11,17,19; 154:12;155:5,9;156:8, 22;160:1,13;163:2,11; 164:9,12,14;166:2,4, 19;167:7,20,21;168:7, 8;170:1,7,9,14,16,22; 171:7;186:22;190:12; 228:8,10,20;229:13, 14;230:6;231:3,15; 236:22;241:21;242:6, 10,13;243:14;244:11, 22;245:3,4,11;246:11; 247:3;248:5,11,11; 249:9,9,11;253:13,13, 22;254:7;258:18,19; 262:10,13,21;263:12; 266:4,19;270:14; 275:15;276:10,15; 277:1,5,21;281:20; 286:20;287:8,14; 293:3;295:13;303:1, 18;304:5,10,15;306:6; 307:2;308:8,13; 309:21;312:18,21; 313:6,17;314:10,11, 21;315:13;316:21; 317:2;318:10,16, 319:17 market-based (2) 160:8;319:22 marketed (10) 40:5,10;41:2,16; 49:18,19;76:18; 135:15;257:17;281:16 marketing (5) 80:1;298:20; 299:15;300:21;301:17 market-leading (1) 310:14 Marketplace (51) 6:8,19;7:11;13:2,4; 15:12;40:1;49:6,10;
---	---	--	---	---

50:4,14,22;78:5; 98:19;99:3,15;126:22; 127:14;138:9,20; 156:16;160:19; 162:21;164:15; 165:18;166:9;167:9; 237:12;257:15; 258:15;268:6;269:3; 272:7;274:16;279:4; 280:22;301:6,10; 303:16;304:10;305:3; 306:13,22;307:5; 312:4,12;313:7; 320:18;321:11;322:2, 5	213:21 matrix (2) 192:2,7 matter (7) 86:20;92:17;225:1; 232:8;233:22;238:17; 248:4 matters (7) 22:21;66:19;67:7; 79:16;83:9;93:15; 173:6 maturing (3) 160:18;303:19; 314:10 maturity (1) 153:19 maximize (2) 19:22;301:12 maximum (1) 140:4 may (69) 7:18;8:7;10:11; 16:10;22:20;29:8; 33:14;35:7,15;43:15; 48:20;51:12,14;53:1; 75:19;76:15,21;77:3; 79:2,5;84:17,20; 90:14;93:17,17;95:8; 14:96:14;97:11,13; 101:18;105:9;114:5; 149:13;153:18; 156:22;160:12,16; 161:7;164:11;166:5; 181:7;186:9;199:13; 200:1,8,18;204:7,22; 211:22;212:6;216:9,9; 217:10;219:22,22; 220:13;224:14,21,21; 226:15;240:19; 249:10;280:14; 281:11;287:8,12; 301:14;314:19 maybe (14) 39:19;60:8;98:10; 148:9;203:4;204:21; 216:21;223:19; 229:15;234:10;238:1; 241:11;245:17;252:5 Mayo (1) 58:4 McKinley (3) 324:1,3,4 McKinney (1) 8:19 MCO (3) 148:2,5;154:21 MCOs (5) 148:10,15;149:2,3,5 MD (1) 11:8 mean (10) 35:22;63:10;96:13, 21;120:17;147:18;	162:5;209:20;217:3,7 meaning (8) 94:20;96:4,19; 149:1;219:15,16; 220:12;311:4 meaningful (23) 29:17;34:21;42:3; 46:17;76:16,19;97:9; 110:20;111:5,18; 113:16;116:3,14; 117:18;118:11; 187:20;189:20; 198:16;215:18;270:9; 301:4;305:4;315:6 meanings (1) 220:19 means (14) 14:18,20;26:12; 37:12;40:5;93:9; 110:16;113:12,20; 151:13;174:22;195:2; 246:9;292:15 meant (2) 14:2;220:10 meanwhile (1) 141:7 measure (1) 126:14 measurement (1) 125:21 measures (1) 296:12 measuring (1) 133:22 mechanism (2) 139:7;292:22 mechanisms (2) 225:5;295:8 media (5) 8:18,20;9:12,13; 196:7 Medicaid (23) 43:17;131:18,20; 132:6;139:14;141:14; 144:1,15,16;146:13; 147:14,16,20,21,22; 148:15,21;149:12,19; 150:5;154:20,21; 278:21 medical (41) 11:7;16:4,13,22; 32:11;46:15;49:3,13; 51:16,20;52:7;57:14; 58:4;61:1,11,22;64:7, 9,13;65:5,12;66:11; 67:11;74:8;109:4; 171:15;173:21;188:9; 205:8;206:21;220:5; 224:16;275:6;283:4,7; 289:6;295:14;297:13, 16;311:22;316:7 medical-benefit (1) 47:5	Medicare (15) 26:11,15;43:17; 52:21;68:11;131:16, 17;132:3,5;139:15; 155:1;271:2;278:11, 21;315:3 medication (12) 50:11,14;57:15; 192:1,11;194:16; 212:1;226:11;260:14; 302:10;303:2;317:15 medications (6) 56:20;57:13; 188:15;290:3;301:9; 303:2 medication's (1) 299:7 medicine (7) 129:4;173:22; 185:18;228:18;262:8; 265:10;322:4 Medicines (20) 32:20;126:4,5; 130:3,18;215:4;216:6; 260:8;263:16;265:17; 272:18;274:5,8; 277:17;312:8;320:22; 321:5,13;322:2; 323:15 meet (3) 92:20;216:22; 321:15 meeting (10) 7:21;10:14;12:22; 22:13;177:7;185:13; 264:12;328:16;329:1, 2 meetings (6) 39:12;196:13; 220:13;273:5;301:1; 320:8 member (7) 199:6;210:5; 272:19;273:11,12; 278:9;312:2 member-driven (1) 288:17 members (8) 8:20;178:15; 255:12;257:16; 258:16;259:19;274:7; 288:13 membership (1) 179:15 mental (1) 173:22 mention (4) 193:16;222:1; 236:12;299:19 mentioned (28) 20:8;22:1,11,13; 23:17;39:7,22;62:11; 101:1;106:16;116:21;	157:17;166:18; 168:19;174:13; 188:21;193:13; 194:17;195:9;196:8; 207:2;227:1;235:12; 239:20;246:14; 247:17;258:1;282:5 mentioning (1) 163:13 Meredyth (2) 31:17;44:5 merely (2) 161:4;267:3 mergers (1) 229:8 merits (1) 30:2 message (11) 77:5;93:1;94:16,19; 95:1,21;210:21; 211:20;220:22;221:5; 222:21 messages (13) 199:2,5,8;201:6; 202:1,20,21;203:7,12; 210:5,9;224:17; 292:21 met (2) 244:7;249:3 method (1) 30:6 methodologies (3) 90:16;271:21;295:4 methodology (3) 117:3;186:4;291:13 methods (6) 94:2;210:21; 211:10,11;240:13; 295:17 metrics (1) 128:22 mic (1) 173:1 Michael (3) 213:11,12;214:17 Michele (5) 173:16;190:22; 191:2;197:11;198:18 microphone (3) 9:22;255:18;256:9 middle (1) 41:5 middlemen (2) 265:15;302:3 middlemen-pocketed-fees (1) 267:4 might (26) 8:2;29:11;36:15; 48:6,13;49:18;54:18; 55:4;56:4;68:4;92:9; 96:12,20;139:20; 152:9;153:17;158:11; 161:17;168:19;
--	---	---	---	---

<p>190:22;226:10,12; 236:4;238:5;245:17; 251:4 milk (1) 222:6 million (5) 54:6;185:21; 210:15;274:5;278:18 millions (7) 25:1;171:3,3; 252:12;258:17;294:4; 298:2 mind (9) 99:9;122:2;136:7; 138:14;139:13;152:5; 200:21;284:7;287:5 mindful (3) 40:15;256:5;277:19 minds (1) 274:20 minimis (6) 230:17;231:20; 232:2;233:2;234:18; 243:22 minimization (2) 87:20;91:1 minimize (2) 151:7;165:10 minimizes (1) 88:14 minor (2) 110:18;113:14 minute (2) 36:5;193:17 minutes (10) 6:5;10:6;70:20; 125:17;163:13; 194:14,14,14;208:7; 255:11 mire (1) 71:17 mischaracterize (2) 247:18;248:16 misinformation (14) 43:3,4,5,8;58:17; 69:6;71:16;75:21; 78:5;198:2;205:4; 264:8;271:8;325:1 mislead (2) 29:8;76:5 misleading (60) 6:17;14:1;29:16,19; 30:8;58:18;75:18; 77:2,6;79:5;81:22; 84:18;86:6;87:14; 88:19;89:17,21;90:9, 21;91:4;94:7;96:5,6; 97:1;99:5;102:2; 103:4;110:8;111:14, 21;112:2,11,17; 117:11;119:19; 191:14;198:7;219:8,8, 11;220:17;222:12;</p>	<p>223:10,15,15;224:1,2; 227:8;233:6,9,13; 234:16;244:4;251:13; 257:12;258:7,12; 260:10;285:5;324:15 misleadingly (3) 89:13;118:8,10 misleadingness (6) 218:20;219:13; 221:12;223:18;224:3, 5 misled (1) 245:22 misnomer (1) 55:1 misperception (1) 260:10 misperceptions (1) 260:22 misrepresent (1) 89:1 misrepresentations (2) 95:22;216:14 misrepresents (1) 103:6 mission (6) 25:7;40:3;80:16; 87:1;102:11;312:10 mitigate (2) 160:14;163:22 mitigates (1) 183:5 mix (2) 59:18;127:12 mixed (1) 158:10 mix-up (1) 293:13 mobile (1) 7:17 mobilize (1) 320:8 models (2) 272:3;315:2 Modernization (2) 26:11,16 modernizing (1) 45:10 mole (1) 250:3 molecular (1) 291:14 molecule (31) 28:18;30:18;34:10; 128:12,13;129:7; 130:18;133:21;134:1, 2,3,7,11;135:1; 136:16;137:12; 138:17;139:1,11,19; 140:9;153:4,8;154:14, 15;155:3,3;161:15; 167:21;169:4;287:15 molecules (22)</p>	<p>127:9;128:18,22; 130:5,13,15;133:10; 134:2,19;135:14; 140:18;142:2;145:22; 154:8;155:4;167:22; 268:15;287:21;288:1; 289:2;290:12,16 Molly (2) 32:15;48:9 moment (9) 12:7,10,14;17:10; 20:7;39:10;138:3,10; 162:1 moments (1) 198:19 momentum (1) 17:7 Monday (2) 39:5;100:14 monetarily (1) 266:10 money (5) 148:12;230:3; 252:13,15;319:6 monitor (3) 84:4;295:18;298:16 monitoring (2) 292:14;295:9 monoclonal (1) 283:13 monopolies (2) 234:4,4 monopolist (1) 243:5 monopolists (2) 243:7,13 monopolization (7) 229:6,9;232:18,19; 243:3,11;244:8 monopoly (13) 72:1;161:4,21; 229:10,11,17;230:10; 231:11;232:22;236:9; 243:15;246:2;327:10 monster (2) 222:8,19 month (3) 13:15;299:11; 323:11 months (13) 11:9;17:6;143:6; 150:9;190:11;265:6,7, 17;267:11;271:16; 274:11;287:9;306:6 morally (2) 179:21;182:19 more (148) 7:13;13:10;17:12; 18:4,9,21;19:8,14; 21:9;25:16;27:19; 31:2,6;35:10;43:16; 48:2,7,10,12;49:13; 50:15;51:21,52:4;</p>	<p>53:11,14;55:14;56:12; 57:3,11;60:9;61:16, 20;63:21;64:6,7,13; 69:21;70:15;74:8; 78:2;80:12;81:19; 83:21,22;85:3;86:3,3; 89:19;90:8,12;92:4; 96:4;97:16;99:15,18; 111:11,12;119:3; 121:16;133:3,13; 134:1,20;137:11; 138:11;140:13; 144:20;146:6,20; 147:6;150:8,10,13; 154:7,15,19;158:6; 160:8;162:10,12; 163:4,19;164:10,13; 166:7;167:3;170:14; 175:21;176:8;192:2,7, 8;200:1,19;202:5; 204:3,3,20;209:22; 210:16;214:8,16; 215:21;217:12;221:3; 225:2;233:16;235:10; 240:15;244:17,21; 245:16;264:1;265:2, 14;272:19;274:5; 276:12,12,13;277:9; 278:6,8,18;279:13; 283:9;287:21,22; 289:3,7,13;291:9; 293:9;295:20;296:2,5, 6;298:4;300:2; 303:20;304:11; 305:15;307:20;311:4; 312:9,14;313:3;322:1 morning (28) 6:4;11:20;23:12; 31:12,16;39:4,7,22; 74:21;75:6;80:8; 91:19,20;92:3,8; 94:15;100:9;101:11; 122:20;128:3;155:11; 156:4;160:4;166:11; 174:12;180:10; 216:20;287:18 most (42) 8:12;15:17;31:5; 39:19;43:18;60:20; 61:17;72:12;83:5; 85:9;86:8;96:18; 135:7;136:7;152:3; 175:13,16,17;177:18; 179:1,14;180:11; 181:6;183:14;192:18; 196:14;200:11;203:3; 208:1;214:21;217:8; 237:18;241:8;244:16; 250:5;253:7;267:6; 274:9;292:19;293:2; 297:15;311:2 mostly (3) 155:12;219:4;248:3</p>	<p>Mother (1) 186:9 motion (1) 250:5 motivate (1) 251:20 motivated (3) 133:16;192:14,22 motivation (1) 93:14 mouth (1) 252:14 mouthful (2) 14:11;201:1 move (8) 36:16;67:12; 138:11;185:11; 186:22;195:16; 241:21;255:15 moved (2) 41:16;183:21 movement (2) 129:14,14 moving (8) 9:5;14:4;60:14,19; 73:6;131:13;215:5; 248:11 much (47) 12:12;14:5;15:3; 16:7;17:1;18:1;19:22; 22:12;51:20;52:4; 55:3;61:16;64:6,7,13; 74:16;91:13,20; 114:11;120:8;122:18, 22;136:11;139:2; 151:21;152:15; 154:14;156:13;171:8; 176:22;177:3;205:22; 208:16;212:12; 219:10;220:20;230:1; 234:2;263:8,14; 268:21;275:16;278:1; 282:13;302:15;303:3; 323:16 multidisciplinary (1) 58:22 multiple (14) 40:1;64:9;87:8; 127:22;208:7;235:3; 258:14;269:6,18; 270:18;284:21;295:5; 305:13;310:4 multistakeholder (1) 174:22 multistakeholders (1) 297:5 murky (1) 159:7 Murray (7) 125:8,12,15;136:13; 142:8;150:21;168:5 Murray's (1) 170:20</p>
---	--	---	--	---

<p>muscle (1) 309:2</p> <p>musculoskeletal (1) 191:8</p> <p>must (16) 21:9;22:3,7;29:15; 87:3,22;104:6;179:13; 223:17;269:11,13; 284:5;297:14;302:20; 311:4;315:5</p> <p>myriad (1) 203:13</p> <p>myself (2) 173:4;261:1</p> <p>myth (1) 180:7</p>	<p>160:16</p> <p>necessarily (6) 86:18;133:15; 139:9;163:21;199:6; 270:8</p> <p>necessary (6) 42:2,6;94:22; 203:20;221:8;280:20</p> <p>need (52) 6:21;7:7,8,9;16:22; 18:10;21:21;45:14; 69:21;70:4;85:2; 96:14;120:15;152:1,4; 154:18;157:7;160:3; 170:14;171:5;175:2; 193:12;195:7;200:12; 204:18,19,19;215:4; 238:2;242:15;251:16; 253:14;254:15; 257:11;259:4,8,10; 268:16;269:6,9; 271:16,21;272:7; 281:7;284:14;290:21; 294:17;298:5;302:16; 309:1;318:17;319:20</p> <p>needed (6) 30:13;69:5;71:9; 204:4;268:21;302:18</p> <p>Needless (1) 269:13</p> <p>needs (16) 10:1;45:15;154:1; 161:10;180:4;184:7; 194:21;196:2;205:11; 207:9;235:14;249:4; 311:13;319:2;322:15; 323:3</p> <p>negative (14) 75:21;99:7;102:3; 151:7;157:18;159:11; 181:20;198:12,13; 228:4;258:3,8;263:5; 264:22</p> <p>negatively (2) 110:12;181:20</p> <p>negatives (1) 317:11</p> <p>negotiate (2) 142:22;309:11</p> <p>net (37) 95:5,7,16;112:7; 122:5;127:2,2,4; 129:3,18;131:5,7; 142:6,10;143:13,16, 18,21;144:11,12,19; 145:2,4,6,7,20;146:11, 14;147:2,8;148:10; 150:22;151:10,12,21; 218:2;221:4</p> <p>network (2) 62:18;208:21</p> <p>networks (1) 58:2</p>	<p>Neulasta (1) 305:13</p> <p>Neupogen (4) 305:12,14,16,17</p> <p>neurology (1) 261:15</p> <p>neutralization (1) 232:10</p> <p>neutralize (2) 234:7,8</p> <p>nevertheless (1) 276:7</p> <p>new (33) 22:6;24:5;28:5; 51:7;74:2;84:2; 115:14;116:1;127:12; 129:16;130:10,12; 135:3;164:3;187:17; 237:7,8,14;250:6; 262:4;263:19;265:3; 269:17;274:12; 281:11;291:14;310:7, 11;311:3;315:12; 321:18;322:4,4</p> <p>newer (1) 212:4</p> <p>newly (1) 310:9</p> <p>news (2) 42:18;77:20</p> <p>NEXSYMEO (13) 114:18,20;115:1,2, 3,10,13;116:1,4,15; 117:15,20;118:9</p> <p>NEXSYMEO's (6) 115:8,19;116:10,16, 17;117:5</p> <p>next (33) 14:15;21:14;22:14; 36:16;47:13;74:17; 140:2;185:5,15; 190:16,19;191:1,4; 250:16;255:16; 259:22;267:17; 272:12;278:3;280:20; 283:3;288:3,8;293:14; 298:11;300:7;303:5; 307:7;311:19;312:21; 315:18;320:13;323:11</p> <p>next-generation (2) 133:9,12</p> <p>niche (2) 28:15;283:2</p> <p>nine (2) 178:11;303:21</p> <p>Ninth (1) 231:22</p> <p>nocebo (8) 181:7,18,19;182:3, 5,8,19;188:21</p> <p>no-go (1) 167:13</p> <p>noise (2) 181:10,11</p>	<p>nomenclature (1) 106:12</p> <p>nominal (1) 129:4</p> <p>non-controversial (1) 159:14</p> <p>none (2) 47:12;54:11</p> <p>Nonetheless (1) 231:17</p> <p>non-medical (5) 265:9;296:11,18; 319:2,10</p> <p>non-medically (1) 319:4</p> <p>non-misleading (25) 15:10;75:2;78:4; 79:8;80:13,19;82:11; 91:9;96:7;98:14; 99:12;101:22;102:14; 103:12;104:7,18; 105:6;109:3,15;110:4; 121:7,15;122:3; 257:21;324:20</p> <p>non-preferred (1) 43:20</p> <p>nonprofit (1) 191:7</p> <p>non-profit (1) 320:20</p> <p>non-proprietary (1) 260:18</p> <p>non-retail (6) 131:10,22;132:1,3, 4,5</p> <p>non-US (4) 106:15,16;116:13; 117:8</p> <p>normal (1) 191:22</p> <p>normative (1) 240:3</p> <p>north (2) 177:15;179:22</p> <p>Notably (2) 26:21;35:7</p> <p>note (11) 8:8;9:20;22:16; 35:11;87:15;100:5; 122:12;286:11;290:4; 299:10;329:8</p> <p>noted (9) 46:18;47:3;82:13; 90:19;120:2;121:22; 156:5;174:11;313:9</p> <p>notes (1) 325:2</p> <p>noteworthy (1) 311:7</p> <p>notice (7) 10:17;85:11;86:10; 120:3;130:16;325:9;</p>	<p>329:1</p> <p>noticeable (1) 300:21</p> <p>notification (1) 281:6</p> <p>notion (1) 154:16</p> <p>notwithstanding (2) 110:18;113:14</p> <p>novel (1) 292:15</p> <p>November (1) 210:19</p> <p>nuance (1) 239:11</p> <p>nuanced (6) 121:16;240:9,10; 252:3,6;254:11</p> <p>number (34) 19:17;21:11;27:14; 47:3;54:4;59:21,22; 82:4;84:21;92:21; 95:20;102:20;130:1, 15,19;133:4;162:9; 163:5;181:19;210:12; 217:16;221:10;228:6, 21;230:2;262:21; 268:9;269:1;270:4,11; 274:1;275:9;299:6; 308:17</p> <p>numbers (3) 44:10;129:5,20</p> <p>numeric (1) 117:22</p> <p>numerical (1) 118:6</p> <p>numerically (1) 117:14</p> <p>numerous (3) 87:12;272:22; 308:16</p> <p>nursing (1) 205:9</p>
N				
<p>naive (3) 35:20;211:22;298:1</p> <p>name (33) 26:5;31:16;48:3,14; 80:9;92:14;100:9; 105:10,10,10;106:6; 124:16,19;171:10; 172:19;190:18;213:3; 255:5;256:10;260:3; 263:18;267:18; 272:14;283:5;288:7, 15;293:15;298:14; 300:9;303:7;311:21; 316:9;320:14</p> <p>named (1) 158:19</p> <p>namely (1) 261:4</p> <p>names (3) 57:22;140:10; 260:18</p> <p>naming (3) 43:10;272:5;273:8</p> <p>nation (1) 273:2</p> <p>national (7) 173:13;204:14; 209:12;264:6,12; 278:15;300:13</p> <p>nation's (3) 289:4,5;303:11</p> <p>Native (1) 250:10</p> <p>natural (4) 163:10,10;166:3,5</p> <p>naturally (2) 191:13;194:18</p> <p>nature (3) 36:17;60:3;238:15</p> <p>Navigating (2) 7:10;192:7</p> <p>nearly (1) 319:16</p> <p>near-term (1)</p>	<p>needed (6) 30:13;69:5;71:9; 204:4;268:21;302:18</p> <p>Needless (1) 269:13</p> <p>needs (16) 10:1;45:15;154:1; 161:10;180:4;184:7; 194:21;196:2;205:11; 207:9;235:14;249:4; 311:13;319:2;322:15; 323:3</p> <p>negative (14) 75:21;99:7;102:3; 151:7;157:18;159:11; 181:20;198:12,13; 228:4;258:3,8;263:5; 264:22</p> <p>negatively (2) 110:12;181:20</p> <p>negatives (1) 317:11</p> <p>negotiate (2) 142:22;309:11</p> <p>net (37) 95:5,7,16;112:7; 122:5;127:2,2,4; 129:3,18;131:5,7; 142:6,10;143:13,16, 18,21;144:11,12,19; 145:2,4,6,7,20;146:11, 14;147:2,8;148:10; 150:22;151:10,12,21; 218:2;221:4</p> <p>network (2) 62:18;208:21</p> <p>networks (1) 58:2</p>	<p>Neulasta (1) 305:13</p> <p>Neupogen (4) 305:12,14,16,17</p> <p>neurology (1) 261:15</p> <p>neutralization (1) 232:10</p> <p>neutralize (2) 234:7,8</p> <p>nevertheless (1) 276:7</p> <p>new (33) 22:6;24:5;28:5; 51:7;74:2;84:2; 115:14;116:1;127:12; 129:16;130:10,12; 135:3;164:3;187:17; 237:7,8,14;250:6; 262:4;263:19;265:3; 269:17;274:12; 281:11;291:14;310:7, 11;311:3;315:12; 321:18;322:4,4</p> <p>newer (1) 212:4</p> <p>newly (1) 310:9</p> <p>news (2) 42:18;77:20</p> <p>NEXSYMEO (13) 114:18,20;115:1,2, 3,10,13;116:1,4,15; 117:15,20;118:9</p> <p>NEXSYMEO's (6) 115:8,19;116:10,16, 17;117:5</p> <p>next (33) 14:15;21:14;22:14; 36:16;47:13;74:17; 140:2;185:5,15; 190:16,19;191:1,4; 250:16;255:16; 259:22;267:17; 272:12;278:3;280:20; 283:3;288:3,8;293:14; 298:11;300:7;303:5; 307:7;311:19;312:21; 315:18;320:13;323:11</p> <p>next-generation (2) 133:9,12</p> <p>niche (2) 28:15;283:2</p> <p>nine (2) 178:11;303:21</p> <p>Ninth (1) 231:22</p> <p>nocebo (8) 181:7,18,19;182:3, 5,8,19;188:21</p> <p>no-go (1) 167:13</p> <p>noise (2) 181:10,11</p>	<p>nomenclature (1) 106:12</p> <p>nominal (1) 129:4</p> <p>non-controversial (1) 159:14</p> <p>none (2) 47:12;54:11</p> <p>Nonetheless (1) 231:17</p> <p>non-medical (5) 265:9;296:11,18; 319:2,10</p> <p>non-medically (1) 319:4</p> <p>non-misleading (25) 15:10;75:2;78:4; 79:8;80:13,19;82:11; 91:9;96:7;98:14; 99:12;101:22;102:14; 103:12;104:7,18; 105:6;109:3,15;110:4; 121:7,15;122:3; 257:21;324:20</p> <p>non-preferred (1) 43:20</p> <p>nonprofit (1) 191:7</p> <p>non-profit (1) 320:20</p> <p>non-proprietary (1) 260:18</p> <p>non-retail (6) 131:10,22;132:1,3, 4,5</p> <p>non-US (4) 106:15,16;116:13; 117:8</p> <p>normal (1) 191:22</p> <p>normative (1) 240:3</p> <p>north (2) 177:15;179:22</p> <p>Notably (2) 26:21;35:7</p> <p>note (11) 8:8;9:20;22:16; 35:11;87:15;100:5; 122:12;286:11;290:4; 299:10;329:8</p> <p>noted (9) 46:18;47:3;82:13; 90:19;120:2;121:22; 156:5;174:11;313:9</p> <p>notes (1) 325:2</p> <p>noteworthy (1) 311:7</p> <p>notice (7) 10:17;85:11;86:10; 120:3;130:16;325:9;</p>	<p>329:1</p> <p>noticeable (1) 300:21</p> <p>notification (1) 281:6</p> <p>notion (1) 154:16</p> <p>notwithstanding (2) 110:18;113:14</p> <p>novel (1) 292:15</p> <p>November (1) 210:19</p> <p>nuance (1) 239:11</p> <p>nuanced (6) 121:16;240:9,10; 252:3,6;254:11</p> <p>number (34) 19:17;21:11;27:14; 47:3;54:4;59:21,22; 82:4;84:21;92:21; 95:20;102:20;130:1, 15,19;133:4;162:9; 163:5;181:19;210:12; 217:16;221:10;228:6, 21;230:2;262:21; 268:9;269:1;270:4,11; 274:1;275:9;299:6; 308:17</p> <p>numbers (3) 44:10;129:5,20</p> <p>numeric (1) 117:22</p> <p>numerical (1) 118:6</p> <p>numerically (1) 117:14</p> <p>numerous (3) 87:12;272:22; 308:16</p> <p>nursing (1) 205:9</p>
O				
<p>Oak (1) 12:3</p> <p>object (5) 115:6;116:5,8; 117:9;319:7</p> <p>objective (1) 171:21</p> <p>objectives (1) 13:17</p> <p>objectivity (1) 264:9</p> <p>obligating (1) 119:17</p> <p>obligations (1) 296:13</p> <p>observation (2) 85:11;86:10</p>				

<p>observe (2) 84:7;170:2</p> <p>observed (8) 52:11;90:18;91:2; 97:8;111:19;116:18; 118:1;300:21</p> <p>observes (1) 86:4</p> <p>obstacles (2) 20:17;308:16</p> <p>obtain (1) 85:15</p> <p>obtained (1) 305:16</p> <p>obtaining (1) 308:16</p> <p>obtains (1) 26:16</p> <p>obvious (2) 160:21;222:15</p> <p>obviously (17) 40:5;41:8;42:13; 52:19;78:16;80:2; 137:17;144:16;156:3; 169:5;191:20;198:6; 205:1;207:10;210:6; 253:5;317:20</p> <p>occasion (2) 97:21;198:19</p> <p>occur (2) 18:11;46:20</p> <p>occurrence (1) 111:18</p> <p>occurrences (1) 300:17</p> <p>occurs (1) 319:10</p> <p>o'clock (2) 10:15;131:13</p> <p>October (1) 196:14</p> <p>off (10) 6:12;33:4,18;53:21; 64:18;78:17;233:2; 234:17;236:22;237:2</p> <p>offer (5) 13:12;75:7;251:10; 253:17;289:15</p> <p>offered (3) 16:4;251:9;310:10</p> <p>offering (3) 304:15;309:22; 321:20</p> <p>offerings (2) 30:1;267:1</p> <p>offers (6) 16:2;239:2,3,3,4; 319:11</p> <p>Office (18) 6:10;23:6;33:1; 44:18;79:14;80:10; 100:12;102:7,8; 124:17;125:6;132:2;</p>	<p>171:12;172:9;210:1; 250:12;255:6;256:15</p> <p>officer (2) 8:19;32:12</p> <p>offices (2) 61:1;301:1</p> <p>Often (15) 24:20;33:12;86:21; 88:16;89:15;142:18, 21;164:11,11;198:19; 200:19;218:16; 252:17;265:13;299:6</p> <p>oftentimes (1) 159:4</p> <p>Ohio (2) 260:1,4</p> <p>ok (1) 177:10</p> <p>old (4) 77:18,18;133:5; 312:13</p> <p>omission (2) 87:14,16</p> <p>omissions (1) 91:1</p> <p>omits (2) 77:4;198:10</p> <p>omnipresent (1) 64:7</p> <p>ON/R (1) 269:5</p> <p>once (16) 9:9;35:4;54:3; 57:18;145:3;147:6; 158:2;187:17;189:12; 190:15;197:4;219:15; 234:8;250:8;266:6; 268:18</p> <p>oncology (6) 59:1,17;186:13; 261:14;271:13;305:21</p> <p>one (98) 10:5;13:1;16:2; 17:19;21:22;25:15; 36:5,5;41:4;48:22; 50:3,21;58:12;70:20; 71:8;72:7;73:20;78:6; 88:20;90:12;96:4,4,5; 98:10;101:22;113:22; 124:7;128:16;134:1, 20;138:15,22;141:9, 19;144:9,21;147:4,9; 153:2;156:19,20; 167:17;168:18;169:1, 3,16;170:14;172:17; 181:4,6,19;185:10; 188:16;190:16;197:7; 199:6;208:1,18; 210:12;211:19;218:2; 224:6;225:19;226:22; 227:2;230:4,13;234:5, 15;237:1;238:3; 239:15,17;241:3;</p>	<p>242:20;244:19;247:4; 249:10;250:21; 264:15,19;268:9; 275:11,13;276:11; 287:1;292:4;293:2; 296:7;299:6;302:8; 304:13;311:10;317:4, 22;318:5;319:13; 328:14</p> <p>ones (10) 41:15;85:9;130:20; 148:2;149:19;152:3; 155:11;190:16; 232:22;266:4</p> <p>ongoing (2) 56:2;258:10</p> <p>online (3) 196:6;207:14; 208:19</p> <p>only (54) 16:20;20:10;24:20; 29:5;45:21;49:17; 54:13;62:14;66:21,22; 92:18;96:4,4;103:15; 122:4,13;127:19; 128:9;131:6;143:10, 18;144:21;147:4; 148:21;150:4,19; 156:5;186:5;189:22; 200:20,20;201:19; 203:11;223:9;224:1; 227:13;243:6,12; 252:5;258:13;259:8; 269:19;272:16; 273:16;274:12; 288:20;297:3,11; 301:9;305:1,3;18:9; 319:5,12,13</p> <p>Ontario (1) 183:17</p> <p>onto (1) 54:12</p> <p>opacity (1) 318:2</p> <p>OPDP (20) 79:14,16,17;80:12; 81:3;82:8,10,19,22; 83:3;84:3,6,11,19; 86:4,21;100:12;101:2; 102:16;256:19</p> <p>OPDP'S (11) 80:16;82:16;83:10, 12,17,20;84:16;90:19; 91:8;102:11;119:3</p> <p>open (11) 9:8;10:3;45:19; 163:11;228:14;249:7; 255:2,8;294:13;322:6; 328:20</p> <p>opening (3) 11:16,19;23:11</p> <p>opens (1) 10:11</p>	<p>operate (1) 278:17</p> <p>Operating (1) 179:9</p> <p>operations (1) 227:18</p> <p>opinions (1) 8:9</p> <p>opportunities (7) 68:15,22;166:14; 167:4;290:19;313:3; 326:1</p> <p>opportunity (28) 10:11;68:2,5;78:14; 154:1;209:2;253:18; 257:11;260:3;263:13; 267:12;270:6;272:10; 278:12;281:21; 286:22;290:14; 293:22;298:6,14; 300:4;304:5;307:16; 312:2;320:17;323:20; 324:5;328:1</p> <p>opposed (2) 155:2;326:7</p> <p>opposite (1) 186:10</p> <p>opposition (1) 268:13</p> <p>optimal (1) 159:1</p> <p>optimism (2) 41:10;44:1</p> <p>optimist (2) 40:13,14</p> <p>optimistic (2) 40:12;41:4</p> <p>optimize (1) 326:1</p> <p>option (2) 29:3;311:9</p> <p>options (11) 28:1;75:14;240:22; 250:22;274:12,13; 284:15;302:7;304:21; 305:6;324:12</p> <p>oral (2) 10:8,12</p> <p>Orange (1) 314:15</p> <p>order (8) 42:14;113:11; 128:8;227:15,17; 271:2;294:15;295:9</p> <p>ordered (2) 9:18;227:10</p> <p>ordering (1) 188:9</p> <p>ordinary (1) 225:5</p> <p>Orekonty (3) 298:11,13,15</p> <p>organ (1) 294:8</p>	<p>organic (1) 222:5</p> <p>organization (20) 15:4;22:2;147:21; 178:3,14;179:4;180:5, 12;191:7;215:11; 264:1;272:17,18; 273:6;274:7,18; 300:13;316:5,14; 320:20</p> <p>organizations (19) 99:4;147:17; 148:22;149:19;150:1, 6;175:3;183:2;193:4, 20;194:3;196:12,21; 203:13;204:6;205:14; 263:21;274:3;300:8</p> <p>organize (2) 214:14;240:8</p> <p>organized (1) 124:6</p> <p>organizing (1) 177:7</p> <p>oriented (2) 127:20;130:21</p> <p>original (4) 50:11;63:17; 206:16;216:2</p> <p>Originally (2) 150:11;310:22</p> <p>origination (1) 291:19</p> <p>originator (16) 51:2,8;141:11,21; 144:12;146:9;181:16; 182:10;183:20; 187:19;188:22;189:4; 263:1;271:3;273:18; 292:6</p> <p>originators (7) 181:16;182:14; 210:20;249:2;264:15; 265:19;270:6</p> <p>Orleans (1) 263:19</p> <p>OTC (1) 92:5</p> <p>others (19) 25:1;49:20;50:14; 53:13;61:18;63:12; 75:10;76:5;100:1; 136:20;147:4;148:18; 196:22;198:7;217:13; 253:5;258:5;259:11; 287:21</p> <p>otherwise (6) 9:15;54:18;55:4; 106:15;119:11;208:12</p> <p>ourselves (3) 164:10;183:9; 222:18</p> <p>out (57)</p>
--	---	--	---	--

<p>8:18;33:21;36:3; 42:10;45:1;54:4; 55:20;58:16;66:14,21; 67:14;70:14,20;90:17; 92:19;96:19;125:17, 21;127:10,12;128:12; 132:9,14;135:6;143:6; 151:15;160:3;185:11; 186:7;190:6,7;193:5, 10;200:5;201:3,7; 203:17,18,20;207:5; 209:15;210:18;212:8; 215:15;223:19; 224:22;243:2,18; 248:6;249:19;250:2,9; 259:9;266:15;282:9; 297:6;328:14</p> <p>outbreak (3) 12:11,19;291:21</p> <p>outcome (2) 149:13;198:15</p> <p>outcomes (4) 116:18;295:18; 301:19;318:18</p> <p>outlined (4) 13:16;109:19; 119:9;326:8</p> <p>outlook (1) 60:3</p> <p>out-of-patient (1) 162:6</p> <p>out-of-pocket (1) 152:14</p> <p>output (2) 229:19;239:1</p> <p>outreach (4) 73:15;172:14; 174:10;306:19</p> <p>outside (9) 7:21;10:14;45:16; 53:15;69:1;92:11; 169:17;179:17;329:2</p> <p>outweigh (1) 301:16</p> <p>over (46) 18:7;37:11;50:9,17; 61:22;62:1;66:18; 73:2;81:14;91:15; 93:21;94:10;101:8; 114:7;120:9;129:8; 144:19;148:17; 149:10;158:17; 162:22;163:18; 165:15;166:2,12; 167:1;171:4;172:15; 173:12;176:20; 197:21;198:2;206:5; 222:4;249:2;259:1,2; 264:12;276:20;286:9; 304:2;305:17;306:6; 312:21;318:1;328:5</p> <p>overabundance (1) 296:9</p>	<p>overall (19) 18:14;60:3;65:8; 75:13;77:5;117:14,22; 122:10;125:17,19; 126:12;127:8;131:7; 133:19;136:1;231:15; 239:13;284:4;322:21</p> <p>overarching (2) 80:16;102:11</p> <p>overcome (2) 72:10;310:13</p> <p>overconsolidation (1) 302:16</p> <p>overflow (1) 8:3</p> <p>overly (1) 302:2</p> <p>oversees (1) 173:18</p> <p>overstate (1) 88:20</p> <p>overstated (2) 63:10;130:11</p> <p>overstatement (1) 88:17</p> <p>overtly (2) 76:22;77:1</p> <p>overview (4) 79:12;124:11; 174:9;218:14</p> <p>overwhelming (1) 77:22</p> <p>own (12) 78:7;88:6;180:1,5; 196:21;224:21;236:5; 247:19;252:20; 273:15;276:5;302:13</p>	<p>panel (37) 9:9,21;31:19;32:3; 37:11;44:5;70:8; 74:17,17,22;90:3; 98:8;120:10;122:19; 124:4,6;136:8,22; 167:10,12;172:6,11, 16;181:7;189:15; 206:2;208:4;214:8; 218:1,9;225:9;254:22; 255:12,14;275:8,11, 14</p> <p>panelist (1) 246:13</p> <p>panelists (13) 32:1;60:8;79:11; 124:8,14;172:15,17; 177:9;206:6;209:7; 212:9;256:12;328:9</p> <p>panels (2) 9:7;174:12</p> <p>paper (5) 50:6;144:2,4; 147:13;149:21</p> <p>parachuted (1) 177:14</p> <p>paradigm (2) 211:9;218:10</p> <p>parallel (7) 33:15;34:9;74:5; 144:11;145:2,6; 286:16</p> <p>parallels (3) 33:12;71:4,5</p> <p>paramount (1) 180:6</p> <p>paraphrase (1) 65:18</p> <p>parity (2) 195:19;196:6</p> <p>part (41) 8:12;23:22;43:12; 47:9;48:11,12;51:11; 59:8;67:16;75:17; 78:2;82:15;83:10; 112:16;115:9,19; 116:10;126:9;128:12, 14,19;129:10;131:16; 132:1;154:21,21; 158:14;159:3;170:7; 179:5;192:6,12; 211:17;227:8;239:13; 250:18;270:12;271:2; 275:5,19;278:11</p> <p>partes (2) 55:8,12</p> <p>participant (1) 8:11</p> <p>participants (6) 9:22;164:9,12,15; 166:4,19</p> <p>participating (2) 328:10;329:9</p>	<p>participation (1) 15:7</p> <p>particular (22) 50:13;51:14;57:4; 83:8;86:19;94:19; 97:20;106:3;111:19; 112:14;133:10; 170:19;179:12;188:1, 16;189:2;199:7; 228:9;287:22;296:19; 313:22;326:21</p> <p>particularly (17) 16:6;19:3;41:21; 42:9;61:8;81:12;85:8; 96:3;127:15;130:21; 133:16;167:2,16; 265:4;267:9;276:9; 315:2</p> <p>parties (2) 108:14;202:2</p> <p>partner (4) 12:5;173:14; 178:16;195:5</p> <p>partners (3) 14:14;19:4;195:11</p> <p>partnership (5) 14:17;15:8;20:6; 21:18;22:15</p> <p>Parts (4) 82:6;128:7;132:10; 170:18</p> <p>party (1) 247:4</p> <p>pass (2) 91:15;125:13</p> <p>passage (1) 147:14</p> <p>passed (2) 17:8;77:9</p> <p>passionate (2) 23:4,18</p> <p>past (12) 8:13;30:15;44:9; 58:20;130:20;133:14; 178:5,5;197:21;236:5; 264:13;286:10</p> <p>patent (23) 26:6,13,17;30:19; 42:13,13;50:5,16; 53:20;54:14,15,21; 55:13;71:17;165:4; 236:17;250:11;271:5, 6;280:6,17,17;308:19</p> <p>patenting (1) 161:3</p> <p>patents (12) 50:10;53:16;54:2,4; 55:10;78:12;129:16; 158:13,13;160:21; 250:10;314:14</p> <p>path (1) 78:1</p> <p>pathway (20)</p>	<p>27:21;28:2,5,9;34:6, 7;72:13,15;141:17; 142:3;201:14,16,17, 19,20;211:6;279:18; 283:17;303:22;325:14</p> <p>pathways (2) 33:22;34:9</p> <p>patient (119) 6:20;15:21;16:8; 38:6,16;68:10;75:8; 90:14;97:13;142:17, 18;147:19;148:1; 159:18,22;162:3; 173:11,13,14,19; 174:5;175:3;176:12; 178:3,14;179:3; 180:20;183:2,7,7; 184:19;191:7,10; 192:6,13;193:3,4,15, 17,19;194:4,7,19; 195:8;196:5,12; 198:14;202:7,9,10; 204:4,5,11,16;206:22; 208:10,17;211:15; 212:6,10;216:9; 220:11;228:15; 246:20;248:1;262:5,6; 264:2;265:5,8,11; 266:9;273:13;274:2,3, 10,18,21;277:9;289:1, 12;293:22;294:21; 295:10;296:22;297:4, 14,20;298:1,8;299:4; 301:4,8,17;304:15; 306:20;307:8,12,13; 309:12,13;311:4; 313:4,16;317:3,18,18; 318:3,5,6,12,15,19; 319:5,6,12;320:7,9; 326:17</p> <p>patient-centered (1) 272:20</p> <p>patient-centric (1) 286:17</p> <p>patient-days (1) 210:15</p> <p>patient-doctor (1) 284:11</p> <p>patient-focused (1) 268:12</p> <p>patient-friendly (1) 175:15</p> <p>patient-reported (1) 295:18</p> <p>patients (165) 7:6,13;15:10,16; 16:9,20;20:22;21:21; 22:8;29:9,22;35:18; 40:2;48:16;55:3;62:8; 63:3,5;68:12;69:10; 72:22;75:10;76:5,9, 12;77:10,12,20;90:8; 95:11;99:12;110:6;</p>
---	--	--	--	---

<p>115:13,15,22;116:1, 12;117:13,15;151:16, 18;152:2,8,10;155:15; 174:17;175:14,17; 176:4,7,10,14;181:9; 182:9;185:19,21; 187:17,18;188:11,13, 19;189:11,21;190:10; 191:15;192:10;193:8; 194:14,21;195:13; 197:10;199:16;202:9; 203:1,3,12;204:18; 206:14;207:1;208:22; 209:10;211:7,21; 228:17;233:18;237:5, 7,7,8,14;247:11,19; 248:8,9;257:20;258:4, 9,20;259:11,15,18; 264:4;265:14,16,20; 267:3,8,11;268:2,4, 21;269:21;273:14; 274:4;275:3;281:7,10, 18;283:18,22;284:12, 18,22;285:5;286:19; 287:10;292:20; 294:11,19;295:19; 296:17;297:3,19; 299:8;302:4;304:7,21; 305:7;306:3,13; 307:20;309:9,16; 310:16,18,20;311:16, 17;312:8;314:5; 315:13,16;316:15,17; 317:6,14,21;318:11; 319:3,12;320:2; 324:13;327:5,13,21</p> <p>patient's (4) 209:21;266:11; 269:14;309:14</p> <p>patients' (3) 172:2;302:6;309:14</p> <p>patient-specific (1) 66:6</p> <p>pattern (3) 129:21;258:3;277:2</p> <p>pause (3) 40:7;114:12;136:3</p> <p>pave (1) 26:2</p> <p>pay (10) 74:2;128:1;140:20, 21;155:20;190:2; 192:4;236:21;247:7; 317:19</p> <p>payer (14) 131:6,14;137:9,18; 138:20;139:4,6; 154:16;166:20; 262:19;289:18; 292:18;296:9;310:21</p> <p>payers (21) 137:6;143:19; 144:1,15;146:12;</p>	<p>152:1;155:13;160:16; 174:17;179:21; 233:18;259:11;265:9; 285:11,14;294:20; 297:5;306:3,14; 309:10;314:6</p> <p>payer's (1) 267:10</p> <p>pay-for-delay (3) 55:2;236:20;308:20</p> <p>paying (10) 140:13,19,22; 142:13;148:2,6;152:8; 155:20;237:1;319:17</p> <p>payment (9) 27:3,12,15;30:21; 128:6;154:19;293:1; 304:6;315:2</p> <p>payments (1) 152:14</p> <p>payors (1) 247:7</p> <p>PBM (5) 49:11;301:22; 302:14,22;319:6</p> <p>PBMs (4) 247:12;309:9; 310:1;311:11</p> <p>PCMA (3) 278:14,15;279:2</p> <p>PDLs (1) 150:11</p> <p>pediatric (1) 289:7</p> <p>peer-reviewed (1) 93:16</p> <p>peers (3) 208:17,17,18</p> <p>peer-to-peer (1) 195:7</p> <p>pegfilgrastim (3) 61:16;66:15;144:21</p> <p>pending (1) 249:13</p> <p>penetration (4) 149:22;244:21; 245:5,13</p> <p>people (45) 7:9;12:16;18:6; 23:2;45:15;73:12; 92:2;136:22;168:2,11, 20;169:7;175:4,5; 184:7;187:19,20; 198:5;199:1,11,22; 200:19;201:18; 203:19;204:9;207:10, 13,18,21;208:12; 209:1,2,3,18,18; 210:13;215:1;219:22; 220:14;225:21;256:4; 274:5;275:10;294:2; 298:2</p> <p>people's (1)</p>	<p>277:12</p> <p>Pepinsky (4) 100:17;101:9,10; 121:20</p> <p>per (3) 129:18;130:1; 143:17</p> <p>percent (71) 17:15,21;18:2,15, 17;50:10;61:10,17; 67:17,19;71:11,12; 129:6,8,8;130:5,6,7, 12,13;131:9,10,15,17, 18,19,20,21;132:2,3,4, 5,6;134:5,6,10,18; 135:4,20;149:11; 184:4,5;186:22;187:7; 189:5,10;190:14; 221:3;229:14,16; 230:6,6,7;243:13; 245:8,9,11;262:1,3, 14;270:22;276:20; 277:1;286:4;289:4,5, 8;306:5;308:2,4,15</p> <p>percentage (3) 64:1;133:22;134:14</p> <p>perception (5) 198:13;222:13; 260:21;266:14;270:7</p> <p>perceptions (4) 172:3;224:17; 263:5;325:12</p> <p>performance (1) 288:18</p> <p>performing (3) 220:7,8,8</p> <p>Perhaps (15) 27:13;77:4;139:18; 166:3;189:3,5,10; 207:6;217:8;229:15; 239:20;242:20; 247:21;261:19;264:10</p> <p>period (19) 9:9;10:3;16:14; 45:19;57:13;78:14; 129:9;158:16,18,22; 159:1;161:5,21;165:3; 190:14;215:2;255:15; 275:22;282:17</p> <p>periods (2) 232:9;234:3</p> <p>Permanente (5) 173:21;185:20; 187:14;189:16;204:10</p> <p>permission (1) 187:8</p> <p>permitted (1) 9:14</p> <p>perniciousness (1) 58:9</p> <p>persistence (1) 328:17</p> <p>person (10)</p>	<p>12:1;95:6,9;130:1; 177:4;178:4;297:16; 300:5;319:17;328:11</p> <p>personal (2) 296:14;297:12</p> <p>personalized (1) 323:15</p> <p>personally (3) 192:13,22;261:1</p> <p>perspective (28) 6:20;10:19;25:4; 29:21;33:11;45:14; 126:13;127:3;135:9; 137:19;157:3;177:15; 193:15;195:8,9;212:6; 225:7;240:4;248:2,4, 20;257:11;284:7; 290:1;298:7;303:10; 320:7;323:21</p> <p>perspectives (3) 8:8;264:3;284:17</p> <p>persuaded (1) 247:22</p> <p>perverse (1) 309:10</p> <p>pessimism (1) 136:12</p> <p>petition (2) 216:4;324:17</p> <p>petitioning (1) 302:19</p> <p>petitions (1) 239:9</p> <p>Pfizer (16) 216:3;230:4,5; 253:11,12;257:9; 258:18;324:2,5,16,21; 325:10,20;326:21; 327:7,22</p> <p>Pharma (4) 143:12,17;249:22; 250:9</p> <p>pharmaceutical (19) 25:14;26:20;55:11; 140:17;142:13,15,20, 21;143:1;155:12; 249:22;254:14;278:4, 13;283:8;289:11; 291:18;316:3,6</p> <p>pharmaceuticals (2) 24:2;27:16</p> <p>pharmacies (3) 126:8;140:5;278:18</p> <p>pharmacist (4) 47:2,17;66:22; 278:6</p> <p>pharmacists (10) 25:18;48:13; 186:10;188:12;189:9; 264:4;291:11;292:10; 294:20;302:5</p> <p>Pharmacopeia (1) 320:16</p>	<p>pharmacovigilance (1) 273:9</p> <p>pharmacovigilant (2) 267:9;295:8</p> <p>Pharmacy (45) 32:12;46:16,20,21; 48:11;49:4;51:5,17; 52:2;60:21;61:13,21, 22;62:14,17;63:4; 64:12,12;65:6,14; 66:18,20,20;67:1,6,9; 137:13;142:17,19; 156:6;173:22;185:22; 186:16;205:9;260:1,4; 266:3;270:2;273:3; 278:8,10,16;288:16; 301:22;302:22</p> <p>pharmacy-level (1) 200:13</p> <p>pharmacy's (1) 62:18</p> <p>phase (2) 41:22;127:17</p> <p>phenomenal (1) 275:20</p> <p>Philip (2) 259:22;260:3</p> <p>phones (1) 7:17</p> <p>phrase (1) 96:17</p> <p>PhRMA (7) 311:20;312:1,2,5, 10;313:14;314:7</p> <p>physician (15) 46:15;65:10;95:10; 185:18;186:8;192:19; 193:1;195:13;247:21; 261:6,7;262:17;264:2; 266:10;314:1</p> <p>physician-administered (1) 47:5</p> <p>physician-led (1) 70:9</p> <p>physicians (42) 29:9;30:1;59:8; 65:16;69:10;72:21; 186:11;187:6;188:13; 189:2,13;190:9,15; 199:15;200:9,14; 202:7,8;204:19; 209:10;212:3;228:10; 246:16;258:9;261:10, 12,15,17,20;264:4,9; 265:22;266:21; 274:22;275:4;291:12; 292:10;297:18; 317:21;318:21;319:3; 327:20</p> <p>physicians' (1) 302:7</p> <p>picture (7) 36:8;61:5,12;129:2;</p>
---	---	---	---	---

<p>142:14;229:5;249:21 piece (13) 47:6;60:13;86:16, 19;88:5;102:1;122:8; 165:17;171:5;175:20; 178:22;229:11;239:10 pieces (3) 36:22;128:5;176:13 pioneered (1) 283:12 pipeline (5) 127:13,15,16;130:9, 22 Pittsburgh (1) 124:20 PK/PD (1) 272:3 place (8) 14:22;33:21;58:14; 136:2;167:7;205:22; 244:15;255:18 placed (1) 328:22 placement (2) 142:22;266:3 places (1) 276:7 plaintiff (10) 219:1,3,13;220:9; 221:5;228:14;232:3; 234:7;244:3;253:12 plaintiffs (1) 218:16 plan (11) 45:1,7;49:11;61:1; 74:4;82:16;186:7; 279:9;311:5,313:22; 323:11 planned (1) 9:7 Planning (4) 23:6;24:12;172:9; 205:6 plans (7) 142:18;151:18; 278:17;309:9;310:1; 311:2,11 platforms (1) 299:15 play (19) 38:17;46:9;58:8; 60:19;70:9;77:18; 128:12;132:14;140:8; 146:4,18;169:6;193:6; 236:7,10;240:12,17; 246:7;254:15 playbook (4) 71:19;77:18;168:9, 12 played (2) 117:3;195:15 player (1) 301:7</p>	<p>players (2) 170:14;253:4 playing (7) 16:17;22:7;99:14; 125:21;127:10; 285:19;304:22 plays (5) 25:12;193:1;194:1; 197:2,8 please (11) 7:16;8:8,20,22; 10:13,22;91:12;256:2, 5,7,8 pleased (5) 11:21;23:19; 171:13;263:9;295:1 pleasure (2) 11:4;22:16 plenty (2) 24:13;228:16 plus (7) 67:16,17,19,19,22; 166:17;270:22 pm (3) 124:2;212:22; 329:12 podium (1) 255:20 point (32) 10:7;13:14,14;20:5; 37:18;41:10;44:22; 45:3;48:9;58:13;61:9, 19;62:4;96:2;122:19; 139:16;144:22;147:5; 151:15;155:18;169:2; 170:21;184:13;190:7; 193:11;211:5;223:2; 226:9;252:13,21; 269:22;287:18 pointed (2) 55:20;243:17 points (5) 53:4;59:14;127:6; 249:19;284:8 poisons (1) 241:5 policies (20) 43:10;164:3;167:8; 174:5;183:12;259:6; 260:17;262:20; 272:20;285:16;293:2; 296:10;297:7;305:8; 306:9;310:20;311:3; 312:11;315:11,14 policy (31) 6:9;9:11;23:6; 32:16;33:1;44:19; 75:4;79:16;83:18; 100:11;101:3,4; 157:16;159:4,5;160:7; 172:8,9;183:14,19; 211:7;238:4;256:15, 18;286:17;311:9;</p>	<p>312:1;315:19;316:10; 324:2,5 policymakers (9) 165:9,20;167:5; 179:20;264:5;314:11, 22;315:5,10 polishing (1) 129:5 polled (1) 264:13 pops (1) 188:10 population (5) 129:21;177:18; 207:20,20;290:2 populations (1) 90:15 portfolio (1) 304:17 portion (1) 255:4 portrayed (1) 222:7 portraying (1) 222:5 pose (2) 85:9;159:20 poses (1) 81:12 position (6) 23:5;94:21;136:10; 183:10;242:1;253:7 positioned (1) 179:22 positions (2) 205:18,20 positive (14) 13:12;73:6;151:5; 183:4;202:14,18; 209:2;210:7,9;270:13, 21;275:2,17;318:8 positives (1) 317:11 possibilities (1) 226:1 possibility (2) 238:18;287:11 possible (19) 14:6,21;20:1;54:18; 63:2;77:5;96:8; 111:22;138:9;157:9; 165:9;168:22;205:2; 210:10;231:10;236:3; 250:21;253:9;279:11 possibly (1) 277:20 Post (2) 214:22;260:12 post-approval (2) 31:22;326:19 posted (1) 329:4 postmarketing (5)</p>	<p>84:10;118:22; 283:11;295:8,11 post-starting (1) 188:14 potency (4) 110:21;111:6; 113:18;117:19 potent (1) 34:5 potential (16) 13:12;16:3;21:1,5; 38:15;43:20;53:22; 156:7;170:9;194:22; 199:22;206:18; 294:16;295:22; 304:20;310:13 potentially (14) 15:22;26:13;27:14; 43:19;47:15;77:6; 84:18;97:17;220:19, 19;223:15;224:1; 227:2,8 power (17) 22:22;178:22; 229:10,11,17,20; 230:9,10;231:11; 232:22;236:9;240:12; 246:2;302:3;309:21; 319:14,15 practical (2) 225:1;269:22 Practically (1) 270:4 practice (17) 29:20;62:8,19; 63:21,21;65:21;66:13; 68:7;261:13,16; 263:19;270:4;278:7; 288:16;300:12; 310:18;327:11 practices (23) 7:12;25:10;42:17; 52:5;58:22;59:1,2; 63:11;79:20,22;91:17; 94:3;160:15;197:6; 240:14;261:8;270:3; 273:3;300:21;309:3; 318:20;319:21;327:8 preauthorization (1) 187:5 precedence (1) 268:19 precipitously (1) 27:15 precisely (1) 216:14 predecessor (1) 13:6 predictability (1) 165:6 predictable (1) 165:2 preeminent (1)</p>	<p>302:1 preferred (18) 43:20;64:15;66:21; 148:16;149:3,5,7,9,10, 20;150:7,8,10,13; 266:5;305:17;309:21; 310:21 premised (1) 22:3 premium (2) 74:3;219:12 premiums (2) 151:13;162:5 prepared (2) 32:6;124:9 preparedness (1) 73:4 preparing (1) 328:16 preregister (1) 8:1 preregistered (2) 10:9,10 prescribe (6) 66:8,16;166:6; 200:10;247:6;261:15 prescribed (2) 96:22;311:1 prescriber (3) 67:4;96:15;166:21 prescribers (4) 58:18;166:5; 257:20;262:1 prescribing (5) 246:15;247:19; 262:4;264:18;290:3 Prescription (56) 14:9;17:11;18:14, 16,17,20;79:9,14; 80:11,14,17,21,22; 81:11,15;82:2,6,12; 83:15;84:18;85:8; 86:1,4,22;87:17,21; 89:4,16,18;90:2,4; 91:11;92:7;96:15; 98:20;99:3,6;100:12, 20;101:5,15;102:7,13; 104:2,15;119:2,6,14; 122:17;278:17; 280:10;298:16;299:1, 7,22;309:6 prescriptions (2) 308:3;310:12 presence (3) 49:4,12;88:11 present (14) 10:6;80:12;90:21; 105:3;116:16;141:7; 144:2;153:18;156:11; 255:11;272:10; 320:17;323:20;324:6 presentation (49) 10:8;33:5,6,9;39:2;</p>
--	--	--	--	--

<p>80:6;86:6,20;88:2; 90:17;91:7,18;101:9; 104:17,22;106:4; 111:8,15;112:2;114:2, 9;115:7;116:6,9,19; 117:9,10;118:8;122:3, 9,16;124:7;125:12,15; 141:4,5;150:15; 152:21;156:12;162:2, 10;172:13;176:22; 177:2;185:16;191:2; 197:14;214:17;218:12</p> <p>presentations (22) 8:15;9:6,10;10:12; 32:3;89:18;100:6; 103:4;104:16;105:22; 106:10;108:22;111:1, 2,9;112:6,12,18; 121:17,21;328:13; 329:5</p> <p>presented (10) 56:11;87:10,22; 88:13;104:20;105:15; 108:16;118:12;198:9; 317:9</p> <p>presenting (3) 172:18;206:5;224:3</p> <p>presents (4) 79:4;88:7;89:5; 217:22</p> <p>preserving (1) 306:20</p> <p>presided (1) 264:12</p> <p>president (11) 257:8,9;263:20; 267:19;283:4,6;288:4, 16;311:20,22;320:15</p> <p>press (2) 8:19;93:16</p> <p>pressure (1) 146:3</p> <p>prestigious (1) 214:9</p> <p>presumably (1) 162:5</p> <p>presume (1) 243:3</p> <p>presumed (1) 221:9</p> <p>presumes (2) 241:4,10</p> <p>presumption (5) 232:1,2;234:18; 243:6;244:8</p> <p>pretend (1) 254:4</p> <p>pretty (12) 38:22;51:19;94:12; 133:5;139:2;144:6; 150:2;239:11;248:20; 252:3,6;253:21</p> <p>prevail (1)</p>	<p>221:6</p> <p>prevent (8) 16:12;27:10;72:1; 105:21;151:8;205:3; 310:8;327:20</p> <p>prevented (1) 25:18</p> <p>preventing (2) 25:8;258:15</p> <p>previous (3) 82:13;182:12;251:5</p> <p>previously (1) 314:19</p> <p>price (63) 17:20;18:1;25:22; 28:21;31:7;66:3; 67:17;93:7;126:16,17, 21;129:3;131:4,8; 132:19;140:13;142:9, 11;143:21;144:17,19; 146:9;147:8;148:6,9, 11;150:20;151:17,20, 22;152:5,11;153:9; 154:9;162:13,14,16; 229:17,18;230:5; 239:1;245:5,12;246:7, 11;247:2,4;267:2,4; 277:6;286:4,8;296:1; 306:1,10;308:9; 309:15;313:8;316:22; 317:19;318:7;319:18, 22</p> <p>price-based (1) 170:6</p> <p>priced (3) 265:14;286:5; 318:17</p> <p>prices (42) 20:10;25:12;29:4; 72:1;74:9,12;127:2; 131:5,7;140:10; 141:10;142:6,6,12; 144:11,12;145:2,3,4,6, 7,18,20;146:3,11,14; 147:3;150:22;151:10, 12,16;152:22;162:15; 163:15;170:4;266:4; 276:13;286:1;305:22; 307:2;309:11;313:12</p> <p>pricing (7) 60:5;135:10; 153:22;156:2;163:17; 289:14;318:21</p> <p>prides (1) 254:2</p> <p>primarily (4) 101:4;192:16; 197:20;291:22</p> <p>primary (5) 26:12;46:18;79:22; 81:19;89:8</p> <p>principal (1) 75:4</p>	<p>principles (4) 94:10;109:19; 174:4;313:10</p> <p>print (2) 196:6;203:18</p> <p>printed (1) 207:14</p> <p>Prior (4) 23:4;41:6;64:5;83:1</p> <p>priorities (3) 30:10;45:16;196:16</p> <p>prioritize (1) 311:13</p> <p>prioritizing (1) 294:21</p> <p>priority (1) 44:21</p> <p>private (18) 213:6,9;218:10,15; 224:9,9;238:6;239:20; 240:3;251:18,21; 252:11,19;253:1,6; 263:19;300:12;316:4</p> <p>privilege (1) 273:22</p> <p>proactive (2) 259:9;295:20</p> <p>proactively (2) 259:6,12</p> <p>probably (13) 44:17;64:11;72:12; 151:22;152:2;177:5; 184:3;200:19;210:11; 218:17;219:3;221:17; 226:2</p> <p>problem (5) 201:15;236:4; 241:2;247:10;251:8</p> <p>problematic (1) 167:17</p> <p>problems (2) 197:20;234:9</p> <p>procedural (1) 9:4</p> <p>procedures (1) 9:12</p> <p>proceed (1) 215:4</p> <p>proceedings (2) 9:16;261:4</p> <p>process (25) 19:12,18;27:2; 31:22;42:16;55:9; 82:19,21;118:19; 138:4;159:15;163:6; 165:14,16;267:7; 268:18;277:14,18; 289:18,21;298:9; 302:21;322:7;326:2, 19</p> <p>processes (1) 236:15</p> <p>pro-competitive (2)</p>	<p>54:16,22</p> <p>procure (3) 66:2,3;74:11</p> <p>procurement (2) 51:19;57:15</p> <p>procurers (1) 51:4</p> <p>produce (1) 207:17</p> <p>produced (1) 16:10</p> <p>producer (1) 222:5</p> <p>producers (1) 230:7</p> <p>produces (1) 228:3</p> <p>product (176) 6:19;14:1;17:20,21, 22;19:11;21:3,12; 34:4;35:3,3,4,6,7,8,14, 17,19,21,21;37:6,8,9; 38:4,6,9;46:5,13,14, 15,16;48:3;60:18; 64:15;66:22;69:16; 70:7;76:3,4,7,17,20; 80:18;83:7;89:5;90:3; 93:2,4,7,9;96:10,11, 14,16,22;97:9,14,15, 15;103:5,16;105:9,12, 16,18,20;106:1,3,7,9; 107:9,12,14,19,21; 108:9,21;109:4,8; 110:18;111:4,10,13, 16;112:6,9,10,14,20, 21;113:3,5,7,9,11,14, 17,21;114:2,4,6,19; 115:5,14;116:2,13; 117:8;119:6;122:11; 143:17;145:15; 152:22;153:21,22; 158:3;159:18;160:17; 162:12,14,16;163:12, 15;165:7;182:1,10; 183:21;187:1,19; 189:1,1,4;199:14; 200:2,7,11,19;206:17; 216:2;217:17;220:3; 229:22;230:8;239:9; 246:18;250:7;262:6, 22;263:1,2;270:13; 271:9;273:19;276:13, 21,22;277:4;281:4,15; 286:13;290:17;295:6; 304:3;305:15;310:14; 311:5,6;319:19,19; 322:17;323:1,9;325:3, 5,6,18;327:2</p> <p>production (4) 167:19;169:22; 222:7;291:16</p> <p>productive (2) 31:13;312:9</p>	<p>products (169) 7:5;13:19;14:10,22; 15:2,6,11,18;16:1,10, 16,20;17:5;18:1; 20:19;29:1;33:22; 34:8,12,19,20,22; 38:15,21;40:1;47:4,4, 5,9,16;49:1;53:17; 55:12;58:3;59:18,22; 60:1,9,10,15;65:13; 70:10;73:19;75:20; 76:15;79:8,10,10; 80:2,15;81:12;82:12; 86:1;90:22;91:5,12; 93:5;97:10,12;98:20; 99:4,6,6,13;100:21; 101:16,20,20;102:3, 22;103:2,7,11,14,21, 21;104:4,11,12;105:5, 7,8,14,20;106:3,7,16; 107:1,3;110:9,11,14; 111:1,6,21;114:20; 118:11,17,18;119:15, 18;120:7,16,18; 121:14,19;122:14; 126:7;127:17;131:2; 137:11;148:15;153:5, 8,9,12;163:5;165:13; 167:18;169:9;172:4; 201:20;211:2;223:1; 229:21;241:7;266:17; 268:21;269:21; 270:19;279:4,7,19; 280:9;281:2;285:2,20; 287:13;290:7;294:19; 299:2,2;301:5;303:14, 17,21;304:9,18;305:2, 11,12,22;306:2,15; 310:5;313:1;314:14, 16;315:5;321:8,19; 322:5,20;323:4,8; 325:13,22;327:11,20</p> <p>product's (4) 107:6;109:9,11; 117:22</p> <p>product-specific (1) 47:22</p> <p>professional (7) 83:15;202:5; 206:22;210:3;211:14; 299:5;319:7</p> <p>professionals (9) 15:9;84:12;99:11; 203:11;258:5;263:3; 267:8;317:10;324:14</p> <p>professor (17) 158:19;213:12,15, 20;218:6,8;225:11,22; 229:2;231:7;237:21; 239:19;243:1,17; 247:16;249:19;260:4</p> <p>Professors (2) 214:6;253:17</p>
--	--	---	--	---

<p>profile (1) 210:10</p> <p>profit (1) 265:15</p> <p>profitability (1) 301:21</p> <p>profiteering (1) 302:20</p> <p>profits (3) 301:12;319:12,16</p> <p>program (22) 21:12;68:6,11; 75:16;81:4;82:15; 83:11,12,22;84:4,17; 99:19;102:16;125:7; 166:17,17;192:6; 194:19;278:11,22; 286:14;304:3</p> <p>programs (9) 38:20;72:20; 154:11;180:19;267:8, 9;278:21;280:11; 304:2</p> <p>progress (7) 16:13;48:18;72:3,4; 84:2;161:8;279:22</p> <p>progressive (1) 283:19</p> <p>prohibit (1) 309:2</p> <p>prohibits (2) 94:2,4</p> <p>projects (3) 44:19,20;45:11</p> <p>prolonged (2) 232:9;234:3</p> <p>prominence (3) 87:22;88:3,14</p> <p>prominently (1) 117:1</p> <p>promise (14) 7:6;17:8;19:5; 21:20;28:20;33:13; 38:22;40:16;41:2; 78:21;186:22;195:3; 285:16;294:1</p> <p>promising (1) 254:9</p> <p>promote (11) 22:5;24:2;25:8; 110:5;148:14;280:22; 295:22;305:9;307:18; 312:11;315:7</p> <p>promotes (1) 26:19</p> <p>promoting (7) 25:13;89:4;93:2; 133:11;197:18; 303:15;306:17</p> <p>Promotion (14) 79:14;80:11;81:11; 84:7,19;86:1;100:11, 12;101:5;102:7;</p>	<p>103:16;119:14; 256:18;283:11</p> <p>Promotional (92) 14:8;75:2;80:14,21; 81:1,9,14;82:1,11,18, 20;83:4,15;84:8,14; 85:7;86:5,22;87:2,21; 88:16,18;89:16,18; 90:2;91:10;92:10,12, 15;100:19;101:13,19; 102:22;103:1,3,10,13, 17,20,22;104:6,10,14, 16,17;105:4,8,11,13, 21;106:4,11,13,20; 107:1,12;108:2,16,22; 109:14,21;110:3,10, 15;111:7;112:18,21; 113:4;114:1;115:2; 117:12;118:12,15,16, 19;119:1,4,7,11; 120:6,15,20;121:1,4,6, 18;122:8,16;298:22; 325:3,10,16</p> <p>promotions (1) 100:2</p> <p>promulgated (1) 82:4</p> <p>prong (1) 227:20</p> <p>proof (2) 225:5;248:5</p> <p>proper (1) 105:10</p> <p>property (1) 213:14</p> <p>proportion (1) 149:14</p> <p>proposal (1) 68:18</p> <p>proposals (1) 67:14</p> <p>proposed (7) 34:4;35:3;38:4,10; 122:14;164:4;216:12</p> <p>proposition (1) 182:13</p> <p>proprietary (2) 105:10;143:2</p> <p>protect (12) 25:7;71:22;80:16; 83:19;99:2;102:12; 110:5;158:7,8;307:8, 12;319:16</p> <p>protection (13) 25:5;29:12,13; 52:20;79:21;91:17; 98:18;99:20;219:6; 226:7;238:7,10; 239:21</p> <p>protocols (2) 66:17;296:11</p> <p>proud (1) 272:16</p>	<p>prove (5) 241:17,17;245:21; 249:1,5</p> <p>proved (1) 208:1</p> <p>proven (3) 199:9,12,19</p> <p>provide (30) 14:5;19:15,22;25:4; 44:13;45:3;82:19; 83:3;85:11;86:2,10; 92:8;107:10;157:14, 14;163:4;176:13,22; 206:17;257:11; 270:13;281:3,21; 292:11;293:22; 307:17;313:3;321:16; 322:3;329:5</p> <p>provided (8) 27:20;28:4,10; 90:18;94:9;112:2; 271:2;291:3</p> <p>provider (30) 7:7;15:14;21:8; 37:10;57:15;61:3; 62:4,21,21;63:7;67:3, 14;68:3,9;175:3,9; 193:14,19;194:3,8,10, 20;195:9;196:12; 208:17;212:6;296:12; 297:4;317:3,17</p> <p>providers (40) 15:16,19;16:9;20:4, 22;22:8;44:15;60:16; 63:15;67:16;68:12; 75:10;76:5,9,13; 77:21;108:13;110:6; 174:17;176:11,16; 197:10;202:11; 206:14,21;275:4; 286:19;287:11;289:4, 8;290:2;292:19; 294:14,20;297:11; 305:6;306:13;327:5, 16,18</p> <p>providers' (1) 172:2</p> <p>provides (8) 68:11;79:15;83:7; 109:12;114:14,22; 117:1;289:3</p> <p>providing (3) 11:16;79:11;324:10</p> <p>province (3) 183:15,16,17</p> <p>provinces (3) 183:13,16;188:17</p> <p>provincial (2) 178:12;207:12</p> <p>proving (1) 60:12</p> <p>provisions (4) 26:7;81:20;119:17;</p>	<p>281:6</p> <p>proxy (1) 152:11</p> <p>Psoriasis (1) 204:15</p> <p>public (62) 9:8;10:3;13:13; 14:6;15:19;19:1;20:3; 21:2,12;22:8;32:16; 34:1;37:7;39:12; 75:22,22;77:7;80:17; 81:6,13;82:15,16; 83:2,7,19,20;84:14, 16;85:9;98:18;99:2,7; 102:4,12,18;104:12; 110:5,11,12;181:9; 208:10,10;215:2; 224:9;238:6;240:3; 251:18;255:2,4,8; 268:5;274:21;280:11; 300:3;307:10;320:7, 22;321:4,9,12,22; 322:10</p> <p>publication (3) 20:20;289:21;325:1</p> <p>publicly (6) 50:7;61:18;86:14; 143:11;149:13;313:5</p> <p>publicly-funded (1) 184:17</p> <p>publish (2) 93:10;189:16</p> <p>published (9) 25:17;69:6;101:12; 146:5;173:14;189:8, 14,17;190:1</p> <p>Puerto (1) 300:15</p> <p>pull (2) 165:11;192:14</p> <p>purchase (1) 218:22</p> <p>purchasing (2) 204:6;327:19</p> <p>Purdue (1) 143:12</p> <p>pure (1) 34:5</p> <p>purely (3) 50:4;57:14;61:10</p> <p>purity (4) 110:21;111:5; 113:18;117:19</p> <p>Purple (4) 53:11,17;54:2; 176:18</p> <p>purpose (4) 6:14;143:5;199:10, 20</p> <p>purposely (1) 203:9</p> <p>purposes (1) 114:17</p>	<p>pursue (4) 55:12;85:17;165:8; 321:7</p> <p>pursuing (1) 167:7</p> <p>purview (3) 43:17;53:15;69:1</p> <p>push (2) 165:11;192:12</p> <p>pushing (1) 137:16</p> <p>push-pull (1) 192:9</p> <p>put (21) 21:1;31:19;34:14; 41:3;45:1;55:1;57:22; 58:15;62:22;70:14; 136:13;156:19; 180:16;196:13; 203:19;237:10;242:1; 244:11;252:13; 269:13;277:15</p> <p>puts (2) 219:12;266:5</p> <p>putting (3) 24:15;239:17; 255:18</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">Q</p> <hr/> <p>Q&A (3) 83:6;190:17;206:6</p> <p>Q&A's (1) 120:17</p> <p>q1 (1) 134:13</p> <p>quality (26) 93:7;104:21; 167:19;176:13; 184:12,18;199:2; 200:16,17;201:8; 202:16;211:12;247:4; 292:3;302:7;316:16; 321:5,13,14,15,19; 322:3,21;323:7,12,13</p> <p>quantifiable (1) 319:11</p> <p>quarter (2) 134:4;143:15</p> <p>quarterly (1) 134:3</p> <p>quick (8) 48:22;73:20;92:9; 137:22;164:16; 172:12;185:20;218:14</p> <p>quicker (1) 164:11</p> <p>quickly (5) 9:4;71:3;128:2; 266:19;306:4</p> <p>quite (10) 60:13;65:5;121:16; 133:7;174:13;189:11;</p>
--	--	--	---	---

210:16;223:1;264:21; 282:10 quote (7) 50:7;215:5,8,10,12; 260:11,12 quote/unquote (3) 62:16;63:20;299:18	reached (2) 25:3;144:14 react (2) 97:13;216:9 reaction (4) 111:19;221:8,15; 275:2 read (6) 9:4;71:10;95:13,14, 14;204:8 readability (2) 88:1,3 reader (1) 88:11 readily (2) 203:3;228:19 real (11) 59:9;71:3;78:14; 129:18;153:2;176:13; 181:18;217:22;226:1; 234:9;306:14 realistic (1) 254:7 realities (1) 253:22 reality (2) 162:21;171:16 realization (1) 139:10 realize (6) 17:14;19:5,9; 152:11;200:8;203:16 realized (2) 75:9;324:13 really (91) 10:21;11:21;12:1; 13:4,7;14:12;22:14, 22;34:16;36:11; 38:15;41:3;42:6;43:4, 16;47:8;48:4;50:18; 55:2;56:18;59:3,15; 64:14;65:3,19;66:19; 67:7;69:15;72:16; 73:6;92:19;137:3; 139:1;146:2;147:7; 150:22;154:5;160:6; 167:16;168:13; 169:17;170:17; 175:16;178:2,22; 179:1,3,5;180:14; 181:17;182:2,6;183:3; 187:2,4;188:6;193:11, 13;195:6;196:22; 202:11;203:1;205:11; 207:17;208:5,9; 211:11;215:19; 216:16;217:3;218:3; 221:20;223:4;225:4, 18;226:19;232:16; 234:12;235:13; 237:11;240:9;242:2; 250:18;251:9;252:13; 253:7,10;254:13;	257:10;281:9;282:19 realm (2) 196:22;226:2 real-world (4) 171:22;267:12; 269:2;295:18 reason (11) 40:12;46:17; 119:20;139:13;140:8; 168:18;178:13; 252:16;277:2;287:17; 317:4 reasonable (14) 69:18;95:6,12,18; 139:8;158:12;180:4; 218:21;221:1,10; 228:2,7;232:7;233:17 reasonably (3) 88:1;95:2;161:4 reasons (4) 35:9;70:12;253:1; 296:19 rebate (22) 42:22;49:8;51:12; 52:15;53:5;55:19; 148:12;155:13; 160:19;308:20,21; 309:2,19,19;310:4,7, 10,12,13;311:14; 315:6;318:20 rebates (26) 51:13;52:3,16,20; 126:18,20;140:11; 143:2,18;147:15; 148:1,4,6;154:10; 237:4;247:13;301:13; 309:5,8,9,12,13; 310:1;311:12;318:20; 327:12 Rebecca (2) 213:14;218:12 rebut (1) 234:12 rebutted (2) 232:3;234:19 receive (10) 15:10;55:4;85:3; 96:13;99:12;221:1,4; 224:18,20;324:14 received (3) 127:4;300:22;316:6 receives (1) 263:1 receiving (1) 120:1 recent (11) 39:19;43:18;46:2; 53:10;61:17;83:6; 183:15;196:14; 279:13;314:18;323:5 recently (6) 27:20;98:16; 175:13;206:13;306:6;	313:1 receptive (1) 240:6 recess (3) 74:19;123:3;212:22 recipe (2) 168:2;252:7 recognize (12) 75:18;157:13; 159:19;160:13;161:1, 12;165:20;169:18; 194:6;195:5;197:1; 275:6 recognized (4) 17:7;93:11,12; 191:17 recognizing (4) 159:9;162:19; 298:8;319:20 recommend (2) 205:13;281:3 recommendation (2) 26:10;122:13 recommendations (7) 109:13;116:20,21; 197:22;201:22;207:1; 289:16 recommended (2) 26:5;108:5 recommends (2) 107:3;110:22 reconsider (2) 269:5,8 record (4) 9:15;26:22;210:18; 295:15 records (1) 188:9 red (3) 56:22;255:22;287:2 reduce (9) 11:12;15:21;17:17, 22;160:10;165:16; 271:4;279:14;326:12 reduced (4) 38:8;156:7;239:1; 274:13 reduces (2) 17:20;170:6 reducing (5) 25:12;28:21;159:7; 284:4;324:12 reduction (1) 267:2 reductions (1) 229:19 Reed (3) 257:5,7,7 re-establishment (1) 35:1 refer (4) 92:13;107:15; 144:7;168:2	Reference (137) 14:10;17:5,19; 28:16,20;29:7,11,18; 34:2,20,22;35:3,6,8, 14,17,19,21;37:8; 38:6,9,21;53:17; 60:18;67:18;76:4,7, 14,17,20;79:9;83:6; 94:15;96:11,14,22; 97:9,12,15,15;100:21; 101:15,20;102:3; 103:2,5,11,14,21; 104:3,11;105:5,7; 106:6,9;107:5,9,12,14, 19,21;108:9,21;110:9, 14,18;111:1,4,10,13, 16;112:6,8,10,14,19; 113:3,5,7,9,11,14,17, 21;114:2,4,5,19; 115:5;117:22;118:17; 121:14,18;122:14; 128:3;152:22;153:8, 12,21,22;162:11,14; 163:12,15;165:7; 176:2;182:9;183:20; 189:1;199:14;200:1,6, 18;201:20;206:16,19; 211:2;216:2;246:18; 262:6;270:12;271:9; 275:12;276:21;277:4; 281:2;285:19;286:1; 294:19;295:6;299:2; 306:2;325:2,6;327:2, 11,20 referenced (1) 69:8 references (1) 224:13 referencing (1) 38:21 referred (2) 109:5;314:19 referring (3) 207:13;292:8;296:3 refers (1) 93:4 reflect (1) 180:15 reflection (1) 129:13 reflective (1) 242:3 reflects (1) 304:4 reform (1) 315:6 refrain (1) 74:6 refused (1) 230:7 regard (13) 81:8;92:10;93:15; 94:20;96:9;138:13;
--	---	--	---	--

<p>163:8;192:10;197:9; 265:1;271:20;309:12; 324:21 regarding (22) 84:13;85:7;87:2,4,8, 13,16;88:22;101:5; 106:21;121:3;172:3; 183:6;264:7;265:3; 272:21;290:5;292:4; 293:6,18;316:1; 326:19 regardless (1) 296:14 regards (1) 271:11 region (2) 64:2,2 regional (1) 300:14 regions (1) 304:1 Register (4) 10:17;120:2;325:9; 329:1 registered (5) 10:5;255:9;256:5; 278:6;328:4 registration (5) 7:21;8:18;10:13; 329:2,7 regrets (1) 23:15 regs (1) 85:22 regularly (3) 79:15;84:6;224:10 regulates (1) 103:22 regulating (1) 313:15 regulation (6) 87:15;110:4;119:5; 223:5,13;319:21 regulations (10) 45:10;81:10;82:5,7, 9;84:5;85:7;104:6; 119:14;289:22 regulators (5) 160:9;183:1; 260:16;264:5;277:19 regulatory (27) 20:1;33:19;38:11; 78:18;79:13,15;80:10; 101:1;124:16;164:1, 16;169:22;199:3; 201:14,18;211:6; 235:17;238:4,11; 246:5;267:20;269:11; 270:5;298:9;305:8; 324:1,4 reimburse (2) 140:4;142:19 reimbursed (1)</p>	<p>147:16 reimbursement (6) 43:14;67:16; 132:14;270:22; 292:18;305:8 reinforce (2) 195:21;281:18 reinforcing (1) 11:11 reinterpretation (1) 248:17 reiterate (2) 31:1;297:9 related (17) 15:13;17:5;82:5; 83:4;87:19;103:2; 119:14;160:7;165:10; 171:14;174:4;224:7; 260:11;271:11; 289:17;292:12;310:18 relatedly (1) 233:18 relates (4) 81:22;82:2;169:20; 260:12 relating (1) 280:2 relationship (6) 17:13;35:2;51:6; 224:8;284:11;297:11 relative (9) 127:9;128:7,20,21; 137:5;153:4,21,22; 263:1 relatively (6) 16:14;221:5; 225:18;275:21; 276:20;277:6 release (1) 93:16 released (2) 14:7;175:13 relevance (7) 20:5;83:14;169:19; 219:18;223:3,5; 271:12 relevant (22) 35:5;36:20;47:10; 80:3;94:1;105:20; 107:5,22;117:4; 132:11;153:1;156:5; 170:19;180:19; 200:22;220:18;221:2; 222:17;225:6;228:20; 241:20;285:1 reliability (1) 304:17 reliable (2) 29:6;70:18 reliance (2) 232:7;233:17 relied (1) 104:21</p>	<p>relief (4) 89:10;227:9; 283:21;320:2 relies (1) 81:20 reluctance (2) 59:2,8 reluctant (1) 327:16 rely (1) 82:8 relying (1) 96:19 remain (2) 163:9;284:1 remained (1) 191:17 remaining (1) 36:15 remains (4) 36:19;72:7;181:4; 307:3 Remarkably (1) 311:2 remarks (10) 11:16,19;23:11; 54:19;253:18;256:1, 11;258:2;328:5,6 remedies (2) 97:20;301:11 remember (3) 152:2;207:19;256:7 Remicade (7) 191:12;217:2,12; 283:13;285:21;286:4; 288:1 Remicade's (2) 286:3,8 remind (4) 84:16;86:12; 118:21;119:8 reminder (1) 328:18 remiss (1) 67:13 remission' (1) 215:15 remotely (1) 172:18 remove (2) 259:6;315:6 removing (1) 52:20 render (1) 86:6 rent (1) 161:21 repeating (1) 266:13 replacement (2) 43:15;270:1 replicamab (3) 114:20;115:14;</p>	<p>116:2 report (3) 25:17;84:17;306:7 reported (3) 143:8,10;306:6 reporters (1) 85:1 reporting (1) 84:10 reports (2) 61:18;84:22 reprehensible (1) 302:14 represent (6) 111:2;142:12,14; 257:16;272:16;312:2 representation (1) 90:18 representations (1) 113:19 representative (6) 89:4,5;140:13; 264:21;275:13;277:8 Representatives (1) 9:13 represented (1) 131:1 representing (6) 23:19;278:15; 293:16;316:14;325:4, 17 represents (5) 32:20;101:22; 131:9;143:16;312:5 request (12) 8:16;82:17,21; 118:14;268:16;269:4; 271:7,22;272:2,5; 299:12;329:6 requested (1) 28:3 requesting (2) 302:18;324:17 requests (1) 184:5 require (7) 38:2;53:16;98:2; 121:22;284:21;290:8; 293:4 required (12) 26:8;63:4;109:5; 113:10;202:2;216:15; 221:11;271:11; 279:17;289:13; 290:11;317:14 requirement (3) 269:11,15,17 requirements (11) 11:13;36:1;37:15; 84:10;103:19;104:10; 118:22;119:12; 210:12;269:10;290:20 requires (6)</p>	<p>7:11;38:3;174:21; 192:7;216:11;294:12 requiring (3) 201:11;295:4; 296:13 rescaled (1) 135:13 research (15) 83:11,12,17,22; 84:2;102:10;141:8; 143:4;144:6;173:14; 178:15;186:11;207:4; 247:17;312:6 research-based (1) 180:18 researchers (2) 137:15;179:14 researching (1) 196:19 resets (1) 135:3 resident (1) 124:22 residual (3) 36:15,18;272:1 resolve (2) 163:18;166:8 resonate (1) 213:7 resources (5) 14:18;70:14; 252:10;253:15;269:20 respect (6) 53:9;94:8;98:19; 162:12;177:21;274:16 respective (4) 99:10;139:20; 214:7;306:1 responding (1) 195:17 response (6) 82:17;90:10; 117:14;118:1,2,7 responsibilities (1) 179:4 responsible (3) 94:13;222:21;301:3 rest (3) 55:1;186:20;247:15 restraint (1) 235:5 restricting (1) 25:22 restrictive (1) 30:16 restrooms (1) 8:13 result (9) 7:13;27:13;38:5; 65:20;85:4;273:13; 301:6;304:9;307:19 results (7) 90:4,5;144:9;</p>
--	---	--	---	--

145:19;146:5;149:16; 269:19 retail (12) 46:22;48:10;126:8; 131:8,14,15,17,18,19, 21;270:2;317:19 rethinking (1) 242:15 return (1) 49:14 reveal (1) 104:9 reverse (4) 27:3,12,14;30:21 review (16) 10:20;19:17;30:19; 55:9,13;84:1;118:14; 203:19,20;224:15; 250:11;268:17;326:5, 7,7,12 reviewers (2) 19:17;79:17 reviewing (3) 45:9;84:8;120:1 reviews (2) 26:17;84:11 revise (1) 203:20 revolutionized (1) 294:3 rewarding (1) 235:18 reworked (2) 175:18,19 rheumatic (1) 191:9 rheumatoid (3) 173:12;178:4;265:7 rheumatologic (1) 57:7 rheumatologist (2) 263:18;300:11 Rheumatologists (6) 204:20;264:11,21; 265:8;300:16,19 rheumatology (8) 59:1;209:14; 261:13;263:20,21; 264:12;300:8,14 Rich (2) 91:15;214:3 Richard (3) 79:18;91:18;225:10 richness (1) 225:8 Rich's (1) 100:13 Rico (1) 300:15 right (39) 7:12;8:14;18:19; 49:11;53:4,20;57:21; 67:1,2;68:17;130:11;	136:19;147:11;156:1; 168:3;180:5;186:12; 188:8,10;189:12,13; 190:1,3,11;192:16; 209:11,17,17;210:16; 213:10;225:13; 227:11,16;237:2; 241:13,22;242:14; 255:20;291:16 right-hand (1) 131:11 rightly (1) 315:10 rights (5) 213:6;218:10; 226:13;253:6,8 rigorous (4) 201:20,21;211:1; 325:20 rings (1) 213:19 rising (1) 302:9 risk (21) 21:2;38:7;78:21; 80:20;81:13;85:9; 87:1,11,14,16,20,21; 88:8,13;91:1;102:15; 106:8,10;271:18; 327:1,4 risks (4) 87:4;88:15;90:22; 104:8 rituximab (2) 56:16;57:18 rival (4) 231:13;236:21; 310:3,7 rivals (4) 229:9;231:12; 236:16;244:12 robust (22) 14:21;72:17,21; 73:18;82:14;84:3; 138:9,11;144:6;145:1; 276:10;295:7;296:22; 303:1;304:4;305:3; 307:1;308:8;313:17; 314:8;317:2;318:10 robust-to-competitive (1) 303:16 role (39) 16:17;25:11;38:18; 46:9;60:18;63:13; 70:10;72:16;85:20; 117:3;140:9;169:7,8; 193:2,7;194:2;195:7, 15;197:1,9;236:10,11; 238:16,17;240:11,16; 243:20;246:7,19; 247:19;252:11;253:2; 258:6;260:13;284:10; 315:12;321:10;	323:10,14 roles (1) 274:1 roll (1) 249:2 rolls (1) 53:20 room (17) 7:18,21;8:1,2,3,4; 10:14;25:6;39:11; 173:5;185:12;215:13; 224:2;247:8,13; 273:13;329:2 root (1) 160:3 round (2) 90:17;191:16 roundtables (2) 323:5,12 route (3) 114:22;115:3,10 routine (1) 277:3 rule (1) 221:3 rulemaking (5) 250:22;251:3,4,6,7 rules (8) 9:2,4;169:13;179:4; 251:14;278:11; 280:14;311:15 run (3) 49:9;94:16;97:2 rust (1) 277:17 Rutgers (1) 213:13	181:11;182:21; 198:15;199:2,18,19; 210:9,20;211:11; 215:9;216:22;225:3; 233:15;234:9;237:15; 252:4;260:13,17; 266:20;269:4,14; 276:2;281:14;283:11; 292:3;294:22;295:3,9, 10;297:1,14;301:20; 313:16;324:19; 325:12;326:20,22 sailed (1) 58:19 sales (19) 67:17;87:6,7,10,12; 89:3,4,5;132:19,20; 133:3;143:9,10;168:8, 12;242:9;286:3; 306:1;313:8 same (43) 14:4;18:2;33:20; 38:5;50:21;59:16; 62:7,8;65:11;66:14; 71:17,19;77:18,18; 104:13;115:3,10,12, 16;122:12;134:12; 135:12;137:6,6;140:7; 147:18;150:21; 153:17;167:4;182:15; 193:21;198:14,15; 206:17,18;209:8; 210:9;212:2;217:14; 224:4;233:12;238:5; 311:6 Sameer (6) 173:20;185:15,16, 17;190:20;195:9 sample (4) 239:9,10;271:20; 308:19 samples (3) 30:13;314:18,20 San (1) 275:9 sanctity (1) 297:10 Sandoz (2) 174:3;197:15 Sandoz's (1) 39:18 Sarah (6) 69:20;171:10; 177:10;180:21;206:3; 255:5 Sarah's (1) 177:13 sat (2) 39:11;212:15 satisfied (3) 167:6;234:6,17 satisfies (1) 269:16	satisfy (1) 119:12 save (2) 308:10;319:6 saved (1) 18:9 savings (25) 40:2;60:5;68:6,10, 13,16;72:20;73:7; 91:20;100:14;153:1, 17;166:17;258:10; 259:14,15;267:2; 279:11;286:10;304:8, 11,13,20;305:5;306:3 saw (11) 49:16;54:4;71:8; 92:2;139:13;149:8; 162:10;189:4;234:4; 236:12;250:4 saying (17) 56:21;57:2;62:22; 71:11;164:13;188:4; 198:7;201:5;208:4; 210:19;217:16; 225:20;233:2,10; 234:2;240:9;282:11 scale (4) 18:3;147:18; 167:19;277:12 scare (1) 77:12 scary (1) 222:16 scary-toothed (1) 222:8 scenario (5) 114:21;116:8; 117:12;221:18;222:17 scenarios (2) 138:17;300:1 scheme (2) 30:17;246:5 schemes (1) 301:13 Schick (3) 125:5,5;167:15 SCHILLER (5) 74:21;75:3;98:8,9; 100:5 Schimizzi (3) 300:7,9,10 SCHMIDT (6) 125:2,3;136:9; 152:6;154:5;169:1 Schneider (3) 259:22;260:2,3 School (2) 213:13,16 schools (4) 205:9,9,9,10 science (9) 16:5,8;22:4;83:10, 21;101:3;129:14;
		S		
		safe (33) 28:19;29:10;31:6; 34:4,5;35:17;76:14; 77:14;97:14;112:8,13; 113:6;114:3;165:13; 191:22;200:7;206:15; 215:3;217:7,18;218:4; 246:17;260:7;263:15; 270:8;272:17;284:1; 289:1;294:18;324:11; 325:5,18;329:9 safeguard (1) 286:20 safeguards (1) 297:1 safer (5) 89:19;90:12;97:16; 111:10,12 safety (49) 19:21;35:1;38:7; 103:6;107:8;110:21; 111:5;113:18;117:19; 122:10;159:17;176:6;		

269:12;322:14 science-based (4) 22:1;83:18;268:11; 313:15 scientific (17) 14:20;15:3,4,5; 93:16;116:22;174:3; 178:16;210:21;211:7; 271:17;297:1;316:6; 320:19;322:8;326:3, 14 scientifically (3) 290:9,22;306:18 scientists (1) 169:12 scope (1) 36:17 Scott (1) 24:7 screen (2) 172:19;173:8 script (1) 64:18 search (2) 298:20;299:12 searchable (1) 53:11 second (18) 7:4,20;39:5;44:16; 50:18;62:12;87:19; 99:20;116:7;141:13; 147:12;215:22; 230:16;231:20,21; 233:14;284:17;314:17 seconds (2) 281:22;282:3 secrecy (1) 236:14 Section (13) 37:7;81:21;82:1; 94:1,1,2,4,7;98:5; 104:12;124:8;229:7; 240:13 sections (3) 93:22;124:7,10 sector (1) 251:21 secure (3) 309:12,21;311:11 secured (1) 306:5 seeds (1) 76:12 seeing (9) 16:5;59:21;77:17; 145:15;153:6,11; 168:19;214:13;276:17 seek (8) 7:4;30:12;35:12; 43:19;55:11;85:12; 86:11;118:16 seeking (3) 27:2;120:5;326:13	seeks (1) 325:9 seem (4) 20:20;145:4; 150:17;242:13 seemed (1) 275:5 seems (1) 242:5 sees (1) 86:21 segment (1) 308:6 segmentation (2) 211:20;212:5 segments (3) 131:12;132:12,15 segue (1) 141:4 seizures (1) 85:19 selectively (1) 77:2 self-administered (1) 47:11 self-help (1) 165:6 sell (3) 142:16;305:15; 317:20 Senator (1) 53:19 send (4) 84:20;85:10;86:9; 328:14 senior (2) 171:11;255:6 sense (10) 148:8;155:17; 162:8;201:15;202:18; 210:8;217:21;224:21; 244:17;251:15 senses (1) 160:22 sensitivity (1) 144:5 sensitized (1) 265:9 sent (1) 66:5 separate (3) 135:9;196:3;306:9 separately (1) 143:22 September (2) 132:18,22 sequestration (1) 67:21 series (7) 32:5;77:3;196:13; 207:11,15;323:5,11 serious (4) 16:18;24:18;99:4;	307:22 seriously (2) 91:4;174:15 serve (5) 258:21;259:19,20; 273:22;321:9 served (1) 22:18 service (9) 23:1;34:1;37:7; 93:2,7;94:5;104:13; 143:20;157:14 Services (5) 43:18;63:6;80:2; 93:5;241:7 serving (1) 11:6 session (5) 122:20;156:4; 160:6;171:22;255:8 sessions (1) 328:13 set (6) 159:13;163:20; 231:2;296:13;314:8; 323:8 sets (1) 152:9 setting (5) 48:11;60:22;72:22; 137:10;268:5 settings (2) 137:7;271:13 settle (1) 55:13 settlement (7) 26:17;30:19;54:14, 15,21;55:5;280:7 settlements (8) 26:8,13;27:10;55:8; 236:19,22;250:7; 280:17 settling (1) 26:6 seven (2) 158:21;317:1 Seventh (3) 222:3,10;230:20 several (8) 8:6;47:11;78:6; 197:21;198:1;236:5; 305:17;312:21 share (32) 29:22;60:19;68:10; 91:2;100:13;127:8; 128:16;129:7;130:22; 134:22;137:11; 149:17;170:8;186:22; 190:12;196:21;202:2; 229:13;230:6;236:16; 244:11;246:11; 262:10,13;266:4; 276:15;277:1;298:6;	303:10;306:5,16; 307:2 shared (8) 13:17;68:5,13,15; 72:20;99:9;166:17; 195:3 shares (2) 153:11;303:14 sharing (1) 264:3 sharp (1) 219:7 shed (1) 251:8 sheets (1) 175:10 shenanigans (6) 13:7;78:9;235:21; 250:20;283:1;308:18 Sherman (1) 229:7 shift (3) 61:20;129:13; 155:15 shifting (1) 44:21 ship (1) 66:21 shipped (1) 66:14 shipping (1) 126:7 shock (1) 222:9 shoes (1) 62:22 short (9) 11:9;16:14;33:4,9; 231:4;263:10;275:21; 281:17;311:13 shortages (1) 291:20 shorter (2) 89:11;268:17 Shortly (2) 139:3;190:2 shot (1) 99:17 show (22) 40:8;130:19,21; 134:13;144:9;149:21; 186:4;211:1;215:2; 228:14,19;229:9,12; 232:3,5;241:20;242:9; 244:2,3,9;245:21; 318:5 showcase (1) 99:1 showed (3) 50:2;90:7;144:4 showing (2) 115:9;150:16 shown (2)	230:19;313:6 shows (10) 28:13;146:8; 152:21;182:20; 190:18;216:3;218:3; 285:22;319:13,14 shrouded (1) 180:7 sick (1) 33:8 side (27) 17:11,12,16;18:20, 22;20:11;49:13;51:5, 16,17,20;52:2,7; 65:12;66:18;67:11; 74:8;76:6;77:20; 99:21;131:11;155:12; 166:20,21;206:18; 249:6;266:3 sides (3) 163:8;168:14; 205:20 sign (7) 7:20;8:21;10:13; 136:1;151:13,22; 226:10 signals (1) 88:10 signed (1) 13:15 significance (1) 47:1 significant (20) 19:7;46:3;55:22; 59:21;65:7;69:2;71:5; 75:7;95:20;118:3,8; 126:19;150:3;170:3; 231:14;272:6;301:5; 306:2;311:12;327:12 significantly (5) 17:17;90:8;245:6; 303:20;312:14 silence (1) 7:17 similar (32) 29:1;34:20;60:7; 97:11,11;106:10; 110:17;111:3;113:13; 129:22;138:16; 140:22;141:11,18; 145:1,5;147:13;154:7; 165:14;190:5;200:20, 20;215:17;216:9,11; 217:2,12;251:10; 252:5;262:15;285:14; 290:14 similarities (1) 176:1 similarity (2) 36:8,9 Similarly (5) 26:4;29:21;42:22; 73:18;217:10
---	---	--	--	---

Simmon (14) 32:17,17;39:2,3; 40:8,19;41:3,19; 47:20;53:3;57:21; 67:12;71:3;160:5	222:1;234:5	solved (1) 247:11	59:3;101:7;156:15; 157:19;179:6;181:20; 197:13;256:5;264:7; 286:22;316:13;328:2	13,16
Simon (2) 23:15;31:8	slides (13) 47:3;50:1;125:12; 127:7;140:2;141:20; 142:4;171:20;184:13; 185:5,8,13,14	somebody (1) 248:3	speaker (17) 10:10;79:18; 182:12;255:11,13,13, 16;257:5;259:22; 267:17;278:3;287:18; 288:3;298:11;300:7; 307:7;311:19	spell (1) 282:9
Simons (1) 21:17	slightly (1) 73:9	someone (8) 24:13;139:21; 146:6;187:8;205:18; 212:1;215:10;221:19	speakers (10) 8:6;9:21;10:4,9; 178:19;255:9,17; 256:6;258:13;328:9	spend (5) 12:8,10;125:16; 185:21;230:10
Simon's (1) 22:18	slow (2) 71:7;320:4	sometimes (14) 50:21;75:18; 130:11,16;145:14; 159:7;205:14;219:18; 221:16;227:16;252:2; 254:15;297:20,22	speaker's (1) 93:13	spending (5) 18:14;25:2;130:4; 308:4,7
simplicity (1) 156:7	slowed (1) 59:12	somewhat (2) 41:7;42:8	speaking (16) 8:22;60:18;79:12; 169:10;179:8;193:20; 197:7,16;205:18; 256:9;266:2;270:5; 274:17;277:8;300:12; 307:14	spent (3) 130:2;178:5;258:16
simply (2) 112:9;277:15	slower (1) 277:16	somewhere (1) 44:1	speaks (3) 58:8;133:5;178:2	sphere (1) 17:1
Simponi (1) 145:12	small (34) 28:18;30:18;34:10; 127:9;128:12;129:7; 130:4,13,15,17; 133:21,22;134:7,11; 136:16;137:12; 138:17,22;139:11,19; 140:9,18;153:4,7; 154:8,14;155:3; 161:15;167:21,21; 169:4;205:10;249:9; 275:19	soon (5) 38:20;56:17;173:8; 176:12,15	special (3) 20:5;48:6;184:4	Spiegel (8) 272:12,14,15;278:1; 282:4,12,15,19
SINGH (9) 32:9,10;48:22; 49:20;56:5;58:12; 61:2;65:18;73:20	smaller (3) 58:1;131:12;171:6	sorry (3) 185:8;288:10; 293:12	specialist (2) 186:12;209:11	spite (1) 262:9
single (2) 44:8;115:22	smattering (1) 205:10	sort (13) 18:2;49:21;57:22; 59:19;60:7;71:19; 165:6;209:8;229:20; 245:13;246:3;250:22; 252:4	specialists (6) 184:9;186:14; 187:22;188:2;190:10, 11	spitting (1) 54:4
singularity (1) 195:21	snapshot (1) 175:8	sorts (1) 154:10	specializing (1) 172:7	splintered (3) 49:10;51:21;74:10
sit (2) 60:10;69:16	snapshots (2) 138:10,10	sought (1) 315:10	specialties (2) 204:22;310:6	split (1) 159:8
site (2) 132:2;176:8		sound (4) 22:4;211:7,10; 297:7	Specialty (13) 32:12;43:21;46:22; 51:4;62:17,18;63:4; 64:12;66:20,20;67:1; 271:1;278:18	spoke (1) 214:5
sites (1) 66:13	'so (1) 215:5	sounds (2) 139:17;252:6	specific (23) 56:8;61:6;66:8; 82:18;83:1;86:18; 93:5;97:7;120:5; 121:4;122:1,5;165:4; 184:7;199:4;228:18; 287:5,20;298:21; 299:8,11;300:1,2	spoken (2) 198:5;199:3
situation (4) 14:3;201:10; 235:18;241:22	S	source (3) 70:18;203:5;291:19	specifically (15) 56:15;70:4;80:12; 81:19;86:4;93:20; 96:16;105:17;119:9; 128:10;192:12; 271:22;290:7;299:13; 325:2	sponsors (1) 324:18
situations (2) 16:19,21		sources (3) 126:3;179:10;181:5	speech (12) 92:18,22;93:1,4,5, 10,14,17,21;223:10,	spreading (1) 260:22
six (7) 232:3,5,5;261:10; 262:10;265:17;306:6		sow (1) 76:12		sprinkled (1) 177:19
Sixth (1) 231:21		space (24) 17:11,22;18:4,8,11, 12;86:17;88:5;92:7; 139:1,11;150:18; 153:4,8,13;156:6; 161:15;167:17; 168:11;171:7;226:18; 245:10;268:19;299:15		spur (2) 284:9;286:15
size (5) 88:4;126:14;128:7; 171:7;271:20	social (3) 83:10,21;146:3	Spain (1) 261:11		squeeze (1) 287:1
sketch (1) 172:10	societies (8) 202:6,6;206:22; 209:12,15;211:14; 264:2;300:14	span (1) 208:7		SSR (1) 143:7
skills (1) 254:12	society (2) 210:3;315:16	spark (1) 24:4		stability (1) 313:19
skin (2) 65:11;204:13	sold (2) 143:15;187:21	speak (15) 9:22;10:5;58:7;		stabilize (1) 265:7
sky (1) 180:1	solid (3) 179:21;182:6;183:4			stabilized (1) 265:11
slap (1) 236:8	solidify (1) 265:14			stable (8) 183:19;191:22; 209:21;212:1;262:7; 265:5;296:18;297:2
slapping (1) 187:7	solutions (4) 289:3;302:19; 322:15;323:8			staff (8) 11:16;12:5;22:17, 19;23:5;24:11; 100:11;256:19
slide (23) 33:10,21;34:16; 36:5;39:5,15;40:16, 17;41:4,5,6;82:13; 91:12;165:19;178:2; 185:20;187:9;191:13, 18;193:17;197:13;	solve (1) 236:4			stage (2) 231:2;250:16

<p>124:4;179:12; 180:2;277:13 stakeholder (9) 62:11;121:2; 171:18;196:9;259:4; 294:16;295:12;296:5; 306:17 stakeholders (16) 8:6;69:10;121:11; 143:9;174:6,19,20; 175:3;203:22;294:21; 314:12;322:11,15; 323:3,6,18 stand-alone (1) 34:2 standard (15) 19:20;34:13,14; 201:4,10;215:20; 216:22;228:21; 234:14;270:7;273:8; 275:5;281:13;287:16, 19 standards (20) 38:12;159:20,20; 232:15;266:20;276:2; 297:2;320:22;321:9, 12,14,16,20;322:1,2,6, 9,18;325:21;326:3 standing (4) 226:5,6;238:8; 239:12 standpoint (1) 57:11 Stanton (1) 213:15 star (1) 179:22 start (21) 33:3;39:4;40:1; 46:10;49:20;54:5; 56:5;61:2,9;62:3; 69:7;98:11,21;141:19; 146:15,17;166:6; 187:16;224:14;233:2; 259:12 started (13) 6:6;33:10;101:8; 102:21;124:13;141:6; 144:12;145:7,20; 150:11;191:10; 195:20;255:4 starting (9) 77:10;102:19; 125:11;129:2;131:12; 134:13;256:13;298:1; 318:8 starts (1) 234:17 state (25) 25:17;26:2;48:17, 18,20;117:12;147:22; 148:5,7,13,15;169:5,7, 13;218:16;219:6;</p>	<p>226:7;260:1,4;263:20; 264:5;273:2;278:9; 300:8,14 stated (1) 251:2 statement (14) 11:11;13:16;77:1; 94:15;96:10;110:2; 117:16,21;206:8; 218:20,21;232:6; 260:9;282:6 statements (19) 29:7;76:22;77:3; 94:18;97:17;99:5; 112:1;198:13,20; 199:18;201:3,6;218:5; 230:21;231:2,5;233:6; 235:4,7 States (32) 16:6;43:13;45:21; 46:8;49:17;72:15; 76:3;120:14;147:15; 148:8,20,20,21;149:2, 4,7,18;150:5,8; 177:21;181:2;183:10; 186:1;242:21;265:16; 266:17;275:3;276:18; 281:4;308:7,17; 320:16 States' (1) 315:12 statewide (1) 188:18 stating (2) 90:7;297:2 statistical (1) 116:22 statistically (2) 118:3,7 statistics (3) 50:8;136:12;272:1 status (7) 271:14;284:20; 285:7,9;286:18;287:4; 305:17 statute (3) 38:3;43:12;46:4 statutes (3) 82:8;94:11,12 statutory (1) 302:19 stave (1) 78:17 stay (2) 236:21;237:2 staying (2) 22:22;189:11 steadily (1) 277:21 steeper (1) 57:16 step (17) 14:16;21:15;22:14;</p>	<p>36:14,16;61:5;73:21; 97:22;157:7;187:5; 235:17;280:20; 296:10,16;310:19; 311:14;319:1 Stephen (1) 11:19 steps (9) 7:10;11:12;13:22; 270:15,22;279:5,21; 314:13;324:22 stepwise (3) 36:6;37:3;38:1 stereotypic (1) 137:12 Steve (1) 288:8 Steven (2) 288:12,15 stick (1) 66:4 still (33) 37:21;38:1;40:15; 44:11;46:7;47:14,18; 48:20;60:1;91:3; 97:12;120:21;129:5; 136:21;149:1;151:15; 152:4;155:18;161:9, 13;167:4;182:15; 185:18;199:11;200:4; 217:13;222:12;230:6; 246:12,18;289:13; 297:18;303:18 stimulating (1) 20:12 sting (1) 223:18 stock (3) 36:14;230:7;327:16 stop (2) 12:7;190:17 stops (1) 220:8 story (3) 145:1;273:15; 275:16 straightforward (2) 248:21;249:4 Strategic (3) 125:7;181:14;182:2 strategies (3) 161:3;163:17;267:6 strategy (7) 64:16;165:7; 173:18;195:18; 208:15;209:8;212:7 streaming (1) 8:3 streamline (1) 290:20 streamlined (1) 64:6 streamlining (1)</p>	<p>165:16 strength (3) 115:1,4,11 strengthen (2) 20:2;193:18 strict (1) 319:21 strides (2) 19:8;59:21 strive (3) 163:22;164:20; 165:15 strong (8) 17:7;208:20; 237:19;245:7;263:11; 267:7;271:8;297:1 stronger (1) 192:15 strongly (4) 205:7;261:5;279:5; 328:18 struck (2) 154:5;159:2 structurally (2) 52:15;53:1 structure (4) 28:5;65:15;73:18; 124:6 structured (1) 315:1 structures (3) 65:2;166:11,16 struggle (1) 283:18 stuck (2) 62:22;185:10 studied (3) 199:17;204:15; 325:6 studies (34) 25:16;31:10;36:18, 21;41:21,22;42:1,7; 84:1;89:2;90:11,13; 107:11,21;108:7,13, 19;109:16,21;141:8; 187:12,12,14;199:20; 269:6,19;271:17; 279:16;290:8,17,22; 295:5;301:19;321:22 study (19) 26:4;90:4,5,15; 106:14,22;111:19; 115:21;116:12,18; 117:2,3,7,13,17;118:1, 4;187:13;189:18 stuff (8) 92:19;169:10,11; 216:3;220:20;222:20; 239:11;254:11 style (1) 88:4 stymieing (1) 42:19</p>	<p>subject (21) 9:11,14;23:4;83:8; 100:3;106:3;110:3; 118:21;128:17;134:7, 15;135:21;149:20; 150:6,13;220:4;225:5; 232:8;233:21;235:14; 291:8 submission (2) 119:4;327:2 submissions (1) 169:22 submit (8) 10:16,18,22;26:8; 282:8;300:3;328:19, 21 submitted (3) 84:9;115:19;120:1 submitting (3) 118:19,22;272:9 Suboxone (1) 239:7 subsequently (1) 178:12 substantial (6) 25:21;150:4; 221:10;228:6,21; 293:2 substantially (3) 147:3;276:14;308:9 substantiated (1) 290:10 substantiation (1) 91:6 substitutability (1) 65:20 substitutable (1) 72:8 substitute (4) 67:2,2;221:15,17 substituted (1) 37:8 substitutes (2) 142:2;230:9 substituting (1) 25:18 substitution (11) 26:3;46:19;65:20; 72:6;169:6,14;200:15; 273:4;281:1,5,12 substitutions (1) 281:8 subtle (5) 181:22,22;215:21; 217:13;238:14 subtly (1) 217:8 success (5) 68:8;258:15; 275:16;307:4;308:16 successes (2) 27:13;276:9 successful (5)</p>
--	---	--	--	---

<p>26:22;85:15;226:8; 297:21;301:14 successfully (2) 7:10;163:5 sued (2) 222:4;230:5 suffering (2) 95:9;253:7 sufficient (3) 158:22;238:7; 245:22 suffix (2) 48:5;272:7 suggest (5) 89:19;111:3; 121:13;263:5;295:16 suggested (2) 273:12,17 suggesting (7) 76:12;111:10,15; 112:12;114:3;325:4, 17 suggestions (1) 113:19 suggests (3) 89:13;127:18;154:6 suing (1) 253:11 summarize (1) 127:6 summary (4) 146:9;150:4,15; 307:1 summer (1) 45:2 Sundar (2) 267:17,18 super (4) 45:13;182:22; 207:16,16 superior (2) 111:16;118:9 supervisor (2) 100:10;256:18 supplement (3) 92:5;246:10;321:1 supplements (2) 326:10,12 supplement-seeking (1) 326:5 supply (6) 62:17;63:14;73:1; 260:14;266:2;304:17 support (46) 6:16;13:18;14:21; 15:5;17:8;21:19;22:5; 31:9;36:7;45:5;53:17; 58:20;68:3,4;69:4; 89:9,11;90:11;99:2, 14;104:21;105:3; 108:8,20;116:13,22; 118:13;127:7;208:20; 259:3,6,12;266:18;</p>	<p>273:1,3;279:5;293:9; 313:10,21;314:10; 315:11,14;321:18; 322:16,22;324:7 supported (11) 89:1,22;107:7,11; 109:17;111:20;115:7, 18;116:2;117:17; 326:14 supporting (4) 260:13;289:10; 306:10,21 supports (9) 20:8;29:14;83:17; 248:15;295:7;305:5; 313:14;321:5;325:20 Suppose (1) 220:16 supposed (2) 152:1;246:7 Supreme (3) 27:6;223:12;236:20 sure (34) 6:12;9:22;13:5; 31:12;33:7;37:14; 45:18;49:20;55:6; 58:14,15;63:22;98:22; 132:15;136:9;138:8; 146:1;173:4;180:9; 184:22;188:5;202:21, 22;203:2;228:15; 229:5;245:12;247:10; 251:5,14;255:9;256:8; 282:2;287:7 surprising (1) 261:19 surrogates (1) 92:16 surrounding (2) 172:1;275:19 surveillance (6) 81:5;82:14;84:3,15; 85:4;102:17 survey (3) 58:20;221:20;261:9 surveyed (2) 261:12;262:11 surveys (2) 221:2,11 Surya (4) 32:8,10;53:3;55:19 Susan (1) 53:19 susceptible (1) 232:10 sustain (2) 226:17;279:22 sustainable (6) 60:3;72:17;170:13; 263:12;305:3;306:21 sustaining (1) 182:21 sweeping (1)</p>	<p>27:10 switch (19) 183:6;189:5,10,19; 191:21;210:2;217:11; 237:5;265:16,16,20; 267:11;269:6,19; 271:16;317:14,18; 319:4,8 switched (4) 207:21;287:12; 296:18,20 switching (28) 38:8;48:14;70:9; 131:4;183:12;188:17, 20;200:3,6,8;209:20; 215:12;262:6;265:9, 18;266:1;269:3; 273:14;279:16; 281:12;287:8;295:5; 296:11;297:2,22; 318:8;319:2,10 Switzerland (1) 261:11 sworn (1) 22:19 Symphony (1) 143:14 system (27) 16:11;18:9;43:21; 57:15;60:11;75:11; 78:9,11,19;126:5; 150:22;162:17; 166:12;184:17;258:9; 259:15;267:3;276:4; 277:15;285:17;286:9; 294:8;295:12;303:12; 304:7;318:17,19 systemic (1) 327:9 systems (11) 52:6;58:1,1,8;73:1, 3;74:11;268:4;289:7, 10;304:6</p>	<p>44:17;52:19;67:13; 92:4;99:18;125:19; 132:15;140:14; 141:13;146:14,20; 157:2,11,15;168:6; 176:7;181:7;182:12; 190:10;202:15,17; 208:22;213:10;226:1; 235:20 talked (21) 47:7;70:5,8,12; 114:8;150:21;169:17; 180:9;198:10;199:10; 200:4,10;201:2;202:4, 14;213:5;214:11; 218:8;223:4;233:10; 236:14 talking (25) 12:9;34:18,19;38:1; 41:1;43:3;66:1;71:10; 74:15;151:10;188:6; 194:5;199:1;208:11; 210:7;219:2;220:20; 229:6,7,8;238:11; 243:7;246:22;249:21; 274:10 talks (3) 41:6;201:16,17 Tara (6) 11:15;12:4;21:16; 22:17;23:8,11 target (1) 95:6 targeted (2) 95:9;130:14 targets (2) 198:22;223:9 taxpayers (1) 68:12 teaching (1) 295:19 teasing (1) 132:9 technical (2) 27:20;40:21 technology (1) 171:5 teeth (1) 158:17 television (1) 179:19 telling (3) 187:8;222:15; 317:18 Temkin (16) 6:3,4,9;32:22,22; 33:6,7;40:22;44:16; 64:17;73:8;212:20; 256:14,14;287:1; 288:2 tempered (1) 277:11 temporary (1)</p>	<p>166:1 tend (2) 126:10;229:17 tenders (1) 170:6 tends (2) 229:13;230:8 tens (1) 24:22 Tenth (1) 231:22 teriparatide (1) 135:18 term (3) 68:5;220:1,13 terminology (4) 8:7;33:19;50:17; 296:3 terms (30) 20:10;42:6;47:17; 49:1;70:7;75:7; 103:19;110:20;111:5; 113:18;117:18; 126:15;131:3;133:18, 20;134:9,11;135:8; 136:1;157:8;164:18; 183:11;194:6;209:6; 227:19;230:1,2; 235:15;236:11;267:1 terrific (1) 21:17 territories (1) 183:16 territory (1) 250:15 test (4) 196:4;233:1; 234:13;243:12 tested (2) 175:18,18 testified (2) 28:6;272:22 testimony (3) 28:11;94:22;221:16 testing (5) 94:22;127:17; 176:11;202:22;322:22 Texas (3) 11:7;278:9;282:16 thalidomide' (1) 215:6 thankfully (1) 276:17 thanking (1) 254:22 Thanks (8) 31:14;32:14;55:21; 101:10;190:20; 274:11;293:12;327:22 theme (1) 49:22 themes (1) 156:20</p>
		T		
		<p>table (9) 8:18;10:13;68:4; 173:15;239:14,17; 319:13;329:2,7 tables (1) 7:21 tactics (3) 280:5,13,16 tailored (1) 203:15 takeaway (2) 73:14;195:12 takeaways (1) 193:8 talk (31) 33:18;35:9;36:4; 37:10;40:11;41:7;</p>		

<p>theoretical (1) 83:13</p> <p>Therapeutic (16) 6:10;33:1;59:11; 75:13;102:8;124:17; 171:12;255:7;256:16; 267:1;280:22;281:8; 294:2;302:7;305:21; 310:5</p> <p>therapeutically (1) 77:15</p> <p>therapeutics (1) 186:16</p> <p>therapies (12) 6:21;7:14;179:12; 274:15;279:12; 281:10;284:2;296:8; 297:15;298:3;318:18; 320:10</p> <p>therapy (16) 115:14;116:2; 127:20;130:19;185:2; 187:5;283:12,20; 286:6;287:9;296:10, 17;297:21;310:19; 311:14;319:1</p> <p>thereafter (1) 139:3</p> <p>thereby (3) 160:17;288:22; 324:12</p> <p>therefore (10) 28:21;29:19;30:5; 65:7;89:12;113:19; 289:15;292:11;301:2; 325:14</p> <p>thicket (2) 50:16;161:2</p> <p>thickets (7) 42:13;50:5;165:4; 236:17;271:6;280:18; 308:19</p> <p>thinking (10) 45:16;50:1;83:8; 109:7;151:3;193:9; 218:1;241:16;244:14; 266:15</p> <p>third (14) 7:7;8:5;39:20; 50:18;51:10;88:16; 216:18;230:17; 233:17;234:20,22; 237:3;285:10;314:22</p> <p>thorniest (1) 72:7</p> <p>though (12) 10:9;33:18;74:6,10; 96:7;159:20;184:6; 217:1;225:4;259:4; 270:5;305:18</p> <p>thought (9) 33:11;86:2;92:8; 97:22;132:19;157:4;</p>	<p>158:21;250:9;264:17</p> <p>thoughts (4) 8:9;45:18;214:14; 247:20</p> <p>thousands (1) 24:22</p> <p>threat (1) 55:10</p> <p>threaten (1) 237:15</p> <p>three (23) 7:10;41:5;89:1; 97:3;114:15;124:6; 147:2,8;155:5;183:13; 191:19;193:8;196:17; 230:14;237:19;238:9; 240:21;270:11;273:5; 283:9;286:10;289:16; 305:12</p> <p>threshold (2) 92:17,20</p> <p>thrilled (2) 6:11;295:7</p> <p>throughout (12) 8:9;22:21;82:9; 121:12;174:12;217:4; 278:7;284:1;297:21; 304:12;322:22;323:9</p> <p>throw (1) 70:20</p> <p>thumb (1) 221:3</p> <p>thus (4) 69:22;275:3,16; 281:19</p> <p>ticket (1) 45:11</p> <p>tie (2) 64:20;140:1</p> <p>tied (1) 65:13</p> <p>tiering (1) 43:21</p> <p>tiers (1) 271:1</p> <p>time-consuming (1) 159:16</p> <p>timeline (1) 19:18</p> <p>timeliness (1) 291:6</p> <p>timely (2) 150:7;327:5</p> <p>timer (2) 255:19,21</p> <p>times (4) 39:12;208:7; 271:22;272:22</p> <p>tiny (1) 88:10</p> <p>tippling (1) 193:11</p> <p>tirelessly (2)</p>	<p>12:18;328:15</p> <p>Title (1) 82:7</p> <p>titled (1) 100:19</p> <p>TNF (1) 283:14</p> <p>TNFs (1) 286:7</p> <p>today (62) 6:14;7:1,19;8:3,19, 20;9:3;10:4;11:22; 12:9;14:15;16:3; 23:14,16;24:3;33:17; 41:7;43:3,15;68:20, 21;70:12;71:18; 77:17;79:11,12;80:3, 11;99:19;127:8; 156:16;167:7;178:20; 197:16;198:11;207:2, 4;213:4,11;214:5,12; 218:9;238:12;244:18; 246:13;258:14; 259:10;261:2,4; 272:16;275:10; 276:21;278:12; 284:17;292:17; 293:18;298:14;300:5; 307:17;312:18;316:1; 324:6</p> <p>today's (9) 8:15;9:15;11:17; 12:22;22:13;312:3; 328:10,13;329:5</p> <p>together (26) 8:5;13:8,18,21; 24:15;31:20;37:1; 59:20;99:21;100:4; 162:15;196:4,13; 229:16;236:2;237:11; 238:13;239:18; 254:10,16,19;284:6; 298:5;305:15;307:18; 323:7</p> <p>told (2) 6:4;265:10</p> <p>tolerability (1) 301:20</p> <p>toll-free (1) 84:21</p> <p>tolls (1) 302:4</p> <p>ton (1) 230:3</p> <p>tongue (1) 53:21</p> <p>took (4) 72:16;187:21; 190:8,11</p> <p>tool (3) 157:14;161:1;275:7</p> <p>tools (10) 70:17;79:7;81:7;</p>	<p>82:13;94:12;98:1; 188:8;241:18;322:17, 20</p> <p>top (8) 129:11;130:1,6; 132:17;133:2;134:8; 237:13;255:20</p> <p>topic (8) 12:2,8;84:15;101:7; 121:11;171:14;206:9; 303:10</p> <p>topical (1) 207:18</p> <p>topics (6) 70:5;207:5;214:8; 323:12,14,17</p> <p>torts (1) 231:1</p> <p>total (9) 17:4;18:15;25:1; 128:21;129:3,6;131:9, 15;162:17</p> <p>totality (2) 37:1,21</p> <p>touch (4) 6:22;36:1;71:3; 222:9</p> <p>touched (2) 180:21;206:10</p> <p>tough (3) 226:14;234:10,12</p> <p>tour (1) 225:6</p> <p>towards (7) 61:20;129:14; 130:10;138:11; 202:12;203:12;268:6</p> <p>track (1) 126:4</p> <p>tracking (2) 134:21;295:11</p> <p>Trade (12) 11:14;12:6;24:1; 31:19;125:4;172:9; 197:17;213:4;214:4; 236:14;247:1;257:2</p> <p>traded (1) 143:11</p> <p>trademark (1) 213:17</p> <p>tradeoff (1) 154:3</p> <p>traditional (1) 127:20</p> <p>traditionally (1) 93:10</p> <p>traffic (1) 299:7</p> <p>training (1) 32:11</p> <p>trajectory (1) 289:14</p> <p>transagency (1)</p>	<p>13:20</p> <p>transcribed (3) 9:17;256:8,10</p> <p>transcript (2) 9:18;329:3</p> <p>transcription (1) 10:2</p> <p>transcriptionist (1) 10:1</p> <p>transfer (1) 268:3</p> <p>transferred (2) 195:13;250:10</p> <p>transform (2) 267:22,22</p> <p>transition (9) 48:5;115:22;138:2; 182:9;183:6,14,19; 184:2;312:22</p> <p>transitioned (1) 207:21</p> <p>transitioning (1) 183:11</p> <p>transitions (1) 184:4</p> <p>translation (1) 17:15</p> <p>translators (1) 180:14</p> <p>transmitted (1) 226:12</p> <p>Transparency (7) 53:20;140:12; 291:18;292:1;313:20; 314:13;322:3</p> <p>transparent (4) 14:5;294:13;318:4; 322:7</p> <p>trap (5) 42:22;51:12;52:15; 160:19;309:20</p> <p>traps (2) 53:5;155:14</p> <p>trastuzumab (2) 56:16;57:18</p> <p>travel (1) 316:1</p> <p>treat (6) 16:12,20;56:2,3; 294:10,11</p> <p>treated (3) 35:20;115:16; 116:12</p> <p>treating (3) 95:10;297:3;327:3</p> <p>treatise (4) 232:12,13,14;243:8</p> <p>treatment (19) 16:18;35:18,19,19; 89:12;115:15;133:9; 206:17;274:12,13; 284:1,12,15;294:3; 297:13;304:20;305:6;</p>
---	--	---	---	---

307:22;324:11 treatment- (1) 211:21 treatment-naive (1) 262:4 treatments (14) 21:5;22:6;24:5,18, 21;28:22;31:6;74:3; 130:14;184:21;265:3; 284:21;294:13;315:12 treats (1) 57:8 treble (1) 239:3 tremendous (3) 70:13;291:17;294:1 tremendously (2) 69:9;70:1 trend (1) 130:9 trials (4) 89:9;199:10,11; 211:8 tribe (1) 250:11 trick (1) 77:8 tricky (1) 162:20 tries (2) 143:8,14 triggers (1) 197:5 trillion (1) 18:10 trip (1) 329:10 triple (1) 274:8 trivial (1) 167:20 troubling (1) 76:6 true (11) 12:19;67:22;77:3; 141:16;159:1;220:19; 224:11;241:12; 246:18;260:10;267:1 truly (4) 13:19;23:15;281:9, 19 trust (3) 195:14;266:11; 295:21 trusted (1) 70:17 trusting (1) 192:15 Truth (3) 178:22;179:8,8 truthful (28) 15:10;29:6,15;75:1; 78:3;79:7;80:13,18;	82:10;91:9;98:14; 99:12;101:21;102:13; 103:11;104:7,17; 105:5;109:2,14;110:4; 121:6,15;122:3; 180:15;202:3;257:21; 324:20 try (20) 20:2;40:20;68:2; 69:15;73:17;78:17; 99:22;100:7;129:1; 138:16;140:1;160:10; 165:10;166:11; 175:16;195:1,10; 223:17;253:13;310:21 trying (17) 12:20;13:8;40:22; 73:22;100:1;138:14; 150:20;153:16; 156:18;158:2;161:11; 164:15;193:18; 225:21;248:8,9; 327:21 turn (9) 29:4;101:8;114:7; 120:8;172:14;176:20; 206:5;314:20;328:5 Turning (1) 229:1 Tushnet (24) 213:15;214:6; 218:8,12,13;225:11, 14,18;226:6;227:12, 22;228:13;231:7; 239:20;241:2;243:1, 17;247:16;248:2,19; 249:19;252:8;253:17, 19 tutorial (1) 92:9 tweet (1) 216:7 Twenty-six (1) 39:10 twice (1) 287:12 Twitter (1) 299:16 two (31) 6:5;14:17;29:1; 32:3;33:22;46:7; 81:19;88:21;90:10,11; 93:22;94:12;98:11; 111:21;128:22; 138:15;146:19; 157:19;162:15; 167:16;170:14; 189:15,17;190:6,13; 208:12;216:5;235:11; 269:1;283:2;306:3 type (15) 47:9;93:7;104:20; 109:13;131:6;137:10,	18;138:21;139:4,6; 152:17;154:16; 162:18;182:7;199:15 types (15) 21:15;121:21; 126:4;128:1;137:6; 138:19;148:20; 154:19;156:19; 157:19;159:9;166:16; 170:16;198:1;235:21 typically (6) 29:2;37:3,16,17; 85:14;86:15 U UK (1) 261:12 Ulrich (3) 311:19,21,22 ultimately (11) 7:12;21:4;36:17; 52:17;68:2;78:21; 153:18;160:1;258:9; 259:18;290:3 unanticipated (1) 153:15 unavailable (1) 21:6 unaware (1) 274:19 unbiased (1) 183:4 uncertain (2) 159:17;163:11 uncertainties (4) 163:9,20;164:1,5 uncertainty (11) 20:21;36:15,19; 44:11;162:21;163:1,1, 18;164:8;272:2; 281:11 unclear (1) 165:5 under (23) 26:7;43:16;55:10; 56:9;57:14;59:19; 61:11;66:11;94:6; 104:5,12;119:2; 147:16,20,20;155:12; 205:2;226:4,6;240:13; 257:18;268:9;304:5 underinsured (1) 192:5 underlying (3) 56:7,13;261:4 undermine (4) 21:4;110:11; 266:11;274:19 undermines (1) 78:19 undermining (1) 78:21	underneath (1) 51:12 underscore (1) 285:15 understandable (2) 203:1;204:1 undertake (2) 44:20;45:12 undertakes (1) 327:9 undertaking (1) 45:11 underwent (1) 115:22 undue (1) 161:17 unduly (1) 25:22 unfair (8) 25:9;29:20;30:6; 94:2,3;235:4;240:13, 14 unfamiliar (1) 136:10 unfettered (1) 302:3 Unfortunately (4) 20:15;168:12; 171:15;308:15 uniform (2) 228:21;296:5 uniformly (2) 158:1;262:17 unions (1) 278:20 unique (14) 43:12;51:19;59:10; 103:17;120:20;121:1; 172:16;183:10; 194:21;219:4;246:22; 254:12;276:5;293:22 uniquely (2) 239:2;240:12 unit (1) 143:17 United (18) 16:6;43:13;45:21; 46:8;49:17;72:15; 177:21;181:2;183:10; 242:21;265:16; 266:17;275:3;276:18; 308:7,17;315:11; 320:16 units (3) 143:15;149:14; 305:15 University (6) 11:7;23:7;124:20; 158:18;260:1,5 unlawful (1) 280:15 unlawfully (1) 327:10	unless (2) 76:18;327:13 Unlike (2) 186:6;188:17 unlikely (1) 237:5 unmet (2) 16:22;268:16 unmuted (1) 173:1 unnecessarily (1) 277:19 unnecessary (2) 280:1;326:16 unprecedented (2) 16:4,7 unrealized (1) 21:6 unreasonable (1) 235:5 unregulated (1) 302:3 unsafe (1) 200:8 unsubstantiated (2) 97:4,18 untitled (5) 81:7;85:10;86:9,14; 90:19 untold (1) 31:5 unwarranted (1) 25:21 unwavering (1) 297:10 up (52) 10:11,13;16:15; 17:21;34:14;41:4; 54:3;72:22;85:2; 120:12;122:20;129:6; 130:3;133:18;134:2; 135:2;136:13;139:16; 153:10;160:14; 166:22;167:19;180:1; 183:11;184:21;185:5; 187:1;188:10;190:19; 192:20;194:20; 204:18;212:15;215:2, 6,13;216:3;222:4; 226:21;238:1;244:1, 19;255:18;263:6; 268:5;270:6;276:15; 288:11;290:20; 318:14;319:13,14 up-and-coming (1) 168:7 upcoming (1) 312:22 update (1) 119:17 updated (1) 206:13 updates (2)
--	--	---	---	---

<p>326:22;327:3 updating (1) 273:3 uphold (1) 284:10 upon (6) 8:16;22:9;82:8; 93:18;326:5;329:5 uptake (31) 31:22;56:1,18; 57:16;58:11;59:13; 60:1;67:8;68:14;71:7, 15;99:14;135:8; 141:15;147:14;150:1, 4;156:9;171:14; 172:4;190:13;258:10; 259:12;261:5;273:20; 286:9;295:13;306:10, 20;314:3;315:7 uptick (2) 58:3,5 urge (9) 205:1;263:10; 268:14;277:18; 279:21;280:15; 285:10;296:22;302:13 urgency (1) 193:10 urgent (1) 16:22 urges (1) 326:21 usage (1) 270:10 US-Canada (1) 177:19 use (49) 7:9;8:7;50:16;55:8; 59:3;60:17,17;63:3; 64:4;73:22;77:18; 83:2;88:5;98:5; 106:11;107:18,22; 126:15;128:21,22; 141:3;148:8,11,14; 149:11;150:20;170:5; 174:20;175:5;181:21; 182:16;183:3,22; 186:5;197:19;211:10; 222:19;251:3;256:8; 270:1,9;279:18; 280:18;309:1;310:22; 317:10,12,13;326:6 used (25) 16:11;49:5;62:13; 64:8,9,11;84:17;89:9; 93:9,19;97:21;106:14; 114:17;117:7;128:20; 134:11;148:1;209:8; 210:21;211:8;241:19; 280:5;311:15;319:15; 321:14 useful (12) 35:10;43:22;45:14;</p>	<p>68:1;129:22;132:19; 136:14;137:3;152:7; 157:14;251:4;300:3 uses (3) 106:6;175:15; 309:21 using (18) 20:22;61:6;64:14; 69:16;76:9;77:12; 90:15;99:10;100:2; 127:2;143:8,20;144:4; 187:9;194:6;238:13; 267:14;280:16 USP (11) 320:16,18,19;321:5, 12,16;322:6,10,13; 323:2,21 USP's (1) 321:13 usual (1) 92:4 usually (7) 140:3;151:17; 187:6;221:1;228:10; 229:14;253:11 utilization (13) 57:10;58:10;64:5; 68:14;139:2;148:19; 149:12;157:22;158:1, 3;161:20;166:15; 296:9 utilize (1) 272:1</p>	<p>variation (2) 262:11;292:14 varieties (1) 235:3 variety (5) 35:9;52:11;82:12; 121:8;126:3 various (5) 121:8;174:19; 175:10;196:7;252:22 vary (2) 61:4;63:7 varying (3) 60:16;195:6;319:15 vast (2) 85:13;300:18 version (3) 63:16;66:14;132:22 versus (15) 14:3;57:2;59:16,17; 65:1,6;147:9;212:1; 222:3;223:15;224:1; 225:3;251:18,20; 317:6 via (3) 68:18;300:3;328:11 viability (2) 270:17;306:12 viable (1) 41:13 vibrant (1) 78:2 vice (8) 257:8;267:19; 283:4,6;288:15; 311:20,22;320:15 victories (1) 27:5 video (3) 8:4;176:14;207:15 videos (2) 207:12,18 videotape (1) 9:15 view (8) 13:14,14;126:11; 133:21;134:3,12; 182:15;269:22 viewers (2) 11:2;95:20 viewpoint (2) 95:6;294:1 views (1) 8:8 vigorous (1) 295:5 vigorously (1) 26:20 violate (2) 29:11;81:9 violation (6) 81:12;85:5,12; 229:4;238:20;239:12</p>	<p>violations (3) 85:17;90:19;91:2 violative (2) 84:13;86:16 virtual (1) 94:17 virtually (5) 12:1;67:10;155:15; 294:7;299:22 virtuous (1) 20:9 visit (3) 83:20;119:3;176:8 visits (1) 210:1 Vizient (9) 288:12,17,19;289:3, 9,15,19;290:4;291:15 voice (5) 70:17;197:8; 281:13;288:8;298:8 voices (1) 316:18 volume (5) 125:22;128:20; 134:9,22;135:4 volume-based (1) 310:1 voluntarily (2) 118:16;319:4 volumary (1) 82:17 volunteer (1) 178:21 volunteering (1) 178:6</p>	<p>87:18 Washington (2) 214:22;260:11 wasted (1) 269:20 watch (2) 62:2;135:7 watching (3) 52:22;170:18; 185:12 waterfront (2) 99:22;100:4 way (51) 15:18;22:5;25:15; 26:2,19;32:2;37:3; 45:13;51:5;52:16; 59:16;64:15;69:14,15, 17;85:14;88:11; 103:6;105:6;109:15; 132:9;136:16,16; 139:5;140:22;141:18; 161:14;163:16; 180:15;181:19;182:5; 184:20,20;185:2; 186:10,19;198:17; 202:12;210:11; 214:13,15;217:12,14; 227:13;233:12; 239:17;241:8;249:10; 260:20;317:20;318:17 ways (15) 19:9;31:5;42:5; 47:18;48:10;72:17; 77:16;78:6;97:3; 128:15;138:15; 174:18;193:18; 196:20;293:6 weaken (1) 21:3 wear (1) 71:9 web (4) 175:11;203:18; 206:13;214:10 webcast (3) 11:1,2;328:11 webpage (1) 207:3 website (13) 9:19;83:21;86:15; 119:3;175:14;178:19; 185:13;207:12;208:2; 216:10;299:7,20; 329:4 website's (1) 176:17 week (3) 39:18,19;144:3 weekend (1) 264:13 weeks (2) 39:20;189:17 weigh (1)</p>
V		W		
<p>valid (4) 158:14;159:9; 287:17,19 validate (1) 56:20 validated (1) 144:3 validity (3) 70:2;144:7;292:21 valuable (3) 165:12;284:14; 321:17 value (13) 46:8,12;47:17; 77:21;128:16;134:6, 14,18;135:20;152:5; 157:15;268:3;320:7 value-added (1) 271:17 variability (1) 292:7 variable (1) 293:1 variables (2) 192:3,8 variance (1) 296:3</p>		<p>wade (1) 50:15 wait (8) 164:6,9,13;166:4,4, 5,6;190:17 wait-and-see (1) 52:13 waived (2) 226:13;290:18 walk (3) 33:10;229:3;259:9 wall (3) 309:19,19;310:7 walls (4) 308:20,21;311:14; 318:21 wants (4) 107:10;116:16; 146:6;251:2 warning (11) 81:7;85:10;86:9,13; 87:7,9;90:19;97:21; 220:7;255:21;299:10 warnings (1)</p>		

186:15 weighing (1) 28:1 WEINSTEIN (22) 213:2,3;214:2; 218:6;225:10,11,15; 226:3,20;229:1; 237:21;239:19; 240:18;242:19; 245:19;246:13; 247:16;248:13; 250:21;251:17; 253:16;254:21 weird (2) 222:20;254:6 Welcome (5) 6:3,7;12:3;213:2; 281:21 welcoming (1) 23:13 well-being (1) 309:13 well-developed (1) 244:2 well-informed (1) 29:2 weren't (1) 77:15 Western (1) 261:10 whack (1) 250:3 whack-a-mole (3) 250:1;282:20;283:2 what's (28) 34:17;42:6;52:14; 59:5;62:2;72:12; 77:19;124:4;126:11; 128:9,19;130:17,17; 142:10;147:7;155:2; 170:2,12,18;172:16; 179:1;180:2;221:21; 223:22;233:8,16; 247:1;250:2 whereas (1) 57:12 whereby (1) 310:20 wherein (1) 265:10 Where's (1) 225:16 Whereupon (4) 74:19;123:3; 212:22;329:12 whichever (2) 140:5;187:1 White (9) 12:3;44:18;56:22; 62:16;63:20;66:5; 88:5;181:10,10 white-cell (2) 61:14,20	whole (15) 45:3;47:1;49:5; 64:3;99:21;122:9; 142:14;152:22;160:6; 181:10;186:2;214:19; 225:21;239:16;282:3 whole-disease (1) 183:7 wholesale (1) 301:20 wholesaler (1) 142:16 wholesalers (3) 126:3,16;142:12 who's (6) 12:5;79:13,18; 206:6;221:19;240:7 whose (1) 190:18 who've (1) 12:10 wide (3) 139:4;262:11;289:6 widely (1) 31:6 widespread (1) 280:18 willing (3) 180:1;203:21; 219:18 win (1) 242:8 winner (1) 170:5 winners (1) 152:20 wish (1) 210:6 withholding (1) 327:12 within (13) 60:6,11;74:3;79:20; 114:16;138:20;142:1; 194:3;196:21;276:1; 299:19;307:4;329:4 without (22) 32:6;37:9;55:5; 67:3;77:1;80:4; 151:19;184:18;187:7; 193:10;220:7;222:12; 224:11;232:8;233:21; 266:19;276:2;281:5, 16;309:12;326:2; 327:15 Wonderful (4) 173:3;236:1; 238:12;254:18 wondering (2) 138:6;144:7 wonky (1) 67:20 word (4) 62:5;96:20;106:7;	208:8 words (10) 34:15;38:11;46:21; 181:22,22;182:3,16; 282:16;283:2;308:5 wore (1) 191:3 work (47) 13:5,8,18;14:14; 18:21;19:8;21:18; 22:2;24:12;42:5;45:8; 55:6;72:10;82:9; 85:16;91:8;152:17; 156:17;160:14;163:3; 172:10;173:8;189:1,4; 191:21;196:1,8;202:8; 203:21;211:1,3,20; 213:17;233:12;259:1, 2;263:11;264:3; 275:20;276:7;289:13; 297:6;298:5,15; 307:18;309:19;318:3 worked (10) 12:17;22:20;23:18; 69:4;78:10;223:19; 273:5;291:11;321:3; 328:15 working (30) 13:21;14:4;15:4; 16:15;18:10;28:12; 73:12;136:21;141:20; 171:20;174:15; 175:20;178:6;196:16; 209:12;211:14;212:3; 236:2;246:16;254:9, 16,19;258:20,20; 260:15;268:6;277:15; 293:9;305:9;322:20 works (10) 29:5;89:6,13;101:3; 141:18;156:2;217:11; 316:16;317:15;322:10 Workshop (25) 6:7,14;8:5,22;9:3, 11,17,20;10:13;11:1, 17;14:15;23:22;24:10, 11;255:5;268:5; 288:14;312:3;328:1, 10;329:3,4,8,12 workshops (1) 39:12 world (18) 12:12,21;16:5; 34:10;36:3;45:15; 138:17;169:19; 170:19;186:6;188:2; 224:20;242:20; 260:16;273:6,21; 274:4,8 worldwide (2) 45:22;274:6 worried (2) 232:20;243:9	worry (1) 73:11 worse (1) 223:22 worth (3) 162:19;166:9;218:1 wrap (3) 122:20;133:18; 166:22 wrist (1) 236:8 write (1) 174:1 written (5) 10:20,22;96:15; 188:3;282:8 wrong (6) 106:1;182:19; 205:18;231:6,8,16 Y year (14) 11:6;17:3;44:8; 50:6;52:21;101:13; 146:6;163:3;184:3; 261:9;276:1;278:10; 286:14;287:13 years (50) 17:8;25:16;27:19; 44:9;47:13;54:17; 62:1;63:22;64:10; 78:11;90:20;133:4; 145:8,17;150:9;155:5, 6;156:18;158:21; 167:2;173:12;178:5,5, 11;189:15;197:21; 198:2;234:5;236:9; 259:1,3;260:6,8; 261:20;263:10;265:6, 7;266:15;272:19; 274:11;278:9;283:18; 286:10;300:11; 303:21;304:12;308:8; 312:21;317:1;323:5 Yep (1) 177:11 yield (1) 304:11 yielding (1) 159:21 young (1) 277:20 yous (1) 23:21 Z Zarxio (3) 39:18;144:13;198:3 zero (2) 149:18;159:1	1 1 (6) 22:20;131:21; 184:3,5;243:13; 286:17 10 (14) 10:15;17:4,8;33:8; 89:10;132:17;133:2; 230:6;261:12;272:19; 274:11;304:18;308:7; 312:20 10:16 (1) 74:19 10:30 (1) 74:17 100 (2) 149:11;190:14 10-month (1) 326:7 11 (11) 40:6,9,19;49:19; 50:19;52:10;54:17; 132:5;183:15;260:8; 266:17 11:21 (1) 123:3 12 (9) 94:1,4,7;130:5,7; 131:13;132:6;185:21; 278:8 12:15 (2) 122:22;124:2 13 (2) 132:3;261:20 13b (1) 98:5 140 (1) 264:1 15 (8) 39:15;40:4;47:4; 49:18;194:14;221:3; 276:20;312:18 1504 (1) 8:2 17 (3) 10:4;131:16;255:9 17.5 (1) 134:18 1-855-RXB-ADAD (1) 84:21 19 (1) 286:12 1984 (2) 71:7;77:9 1991 (1) 79:22 2 2 (9) 18:15;67:19;
--	---	---	---	--

127:17;131:20;132:4; 184:4;229:7;286:18; 308:2	23-year (1) 22:21	45 (1) 208:7	76 (2) 38:20;262:5
2:04 (1) 212:22	24/7 (1) 12:18	46 (1) 262:5	8
2:15 (1) 212:21	25 (3) 245:9;281:22;282:3	49 (1) 274:7	8 (5) 67:19;131:19; 166:17;258:18;270:22
20 (4) 194:14;245:8; 289:8;306:5	26 (8) 17:5;39:8,13,16; 40:5;49:16;131:15; 266:18	5	80 (3) 262:3;304:2;308:15
20.2 (1) 135:4	27 (1) 194:14	5 (9) 54:10;94:1,2; 131:17;189:10; 217:15;240:13; 266:15;277:4	800 (1) 274:5
2002 (2) 26:4;54:10	270 (1) 278:18	50 (3) 130:6;135:20;289:4	82 (1) 261:22
2003 (1) 26:11	2-minute (1) 255:21	50,000 (1) 178:14	84 (1) 262:14
2006 (1) 71:9	2-week (1) 190:14	500 (1) 278:19	9
2008 (1) 28:1	3	501c3 (1) 316:14	9 (4) 10:22;17:5;170:15; 189:5
2009 (1) 28:5	3 (10) 41:22;89:7;106:19; 117:10;132:2;170:15; 190:11;274:11;277:3; 286:20	502a (1) 81:21	9:02 (1) 6:2
201 (1) 82:6	30 (4) 9:20;27:19;173:12; 329:4	502n (1) 82:1	90 (6) 61:10;71:12; 186:22;229:14;230:7; 245:11
2013 (6) 134:13;144:11; 145:7,8,13;286:14	31 (3) 178:5,5;286:4	55 (1) 277:1	93 (1) 262:1
2014 (4) 129:6,12;130:4; 134:4	340b (1) 143:19	56 (1) 71:11	95 (2) 18:2;289:5
2015 (6) 17:4;90:20;91:3; 144:13;198:3;305:11	351 (1) 104:12	579 (1) 261:9	96 (1) 230:6
2016 (2) 181:3;191:12	351a (1) 34:1	58 (1) 129:8	96.5 (1) 134:10
2017 (1) 80:10	351i (1) 37:7	5-minute (1) 207:15	99 (2) 187:7;262:3
2018 (10) 22:20;25:3;26:15; 45:2;129:5,12;141:22; 148:20;279:9;324:16	351k (2) 34:6;37:4	5-year (1) 129:9	9th (1) 328:20
2019 (9) 129:5;130:2; 132:18,22;134:4; 196:14;210:19;215:1; 305:20	35-36 (1) 17:21	6	
202 (1) 82:6	360 (1) 126:11	6 (12) 17:22;67:17,17,19, 22;170:15;216:15; 217:5;262:14;267:11; 271:16;287:9	
2020 (6) 10:22;17:6;40:12; 196:16,19;304:12	38 (1) 38:21	60 (2) 130:13;131:9	
2023 (1) 135:22	39 (1) 300:11	63 (1) 286:14	
2026 (1) 308:11	4	65-year (1) 31:2	
2034 (1) 55:4	4 (5) 10:6;106:19; 190:11;255:11;277:3	6-month (1) 326:6	
20-year-old (1) 316:13	4:18 (1) 329:12	7	
21 (3) 82:7;129:6;196:12	40 (9) 18:17;25:16;66:13; 130:12;131:10;134:4; 6;260:5;308:4	7 (2) 114:14;312:19	
2253 (1) 119:2	42-second (1) 99:17	70 (2) 129:8;229:16	
		700 (2) 187:18;210:15	