

# Flow-Based Concept and Methodology

## Dr. ir. Pieter Schavemaker E-Bridge Consulting B.V.

Joint NordREG / Nordic TSO workshop on Flow-Based Capacity Calculation Clarion Hotel, Arlanda, Stockholm, Sweden

December 11, 2014



#### Table of Contents

- Congestion management
- Flow Based in Europe
- What is Flow Based and what is the difference with ATCs?
  - ATC
  - FB
  - ATC vs FB
- Advantages of the FB approach
- FB allocation and price formation



### Questions?

Always welcome, do not hesitate to ask!









L

#### What is congestion?





Source: Schavemaker, Tessensohn, Beune, "Optimal European Electricity Market Design Under Future Grid Developments", European cross border power trading forum, Berlin, May 2011.

#### What is congestion?

- commercial: more capacity requested by the market than is available
- physical: overloaded transmission lines leading to outages





Source: Schavemaker, Tessensohn, Beune, "Optimal European Electricity Market Design Under Future Grid Developments", European cross border power trading forum, Berlin, May 2011. Congestion management in the broadest sense



#### Timeline



Source: Schavemaker, Tessensohn, Beune, "Optimal European Electricity Market Design Under Future Grid Developments", European cross border power trading forum, Berlin, May 2011.

7

Congestion management in the broadest sense



#### Timeline



Source: Schavemaker, Tessensohn, Beune, "Optimal European Electricity Market Design Under Future Grid Developments", European cross border power trading forum, Berlin, May 2011.

7

#### Network Code on Capacity Allocation & Congestion Management



> News (0)

#### FAQ for this code

) Introducing the network code

) The current status of EU markets

- Market coupling/ day ahead markets
- ) Intraday markets
- ) Coordinated capacity calculation
- ) Bidding zones
- ) Benefits of the code
- Stokeholder involvement
- ) Interactions with other network :

#### Download in ndf

The NC CACM will help achieve a fully integrated electricity market for Europe by setting out the rules that will introduce a single approach to cross-bonder electricity trading in Europe. The code sets out rules for capacity allocation – allocating the available crossbonder capacity on the electricity transmission infrathucture in day-attead and introday timescales; and outries the way in which capacity will be calculated across the different zones. The CACM cade also sets out the rules for cangestion management, the management of scarce transmission capacity among the parter requesting use of such capacity.



Copyright BNISD-8

#### The NC CACM's objectives



#### ENTSO-E CACM network code (final draft, 27 September 2012)

'There are two permissible approaches when calculating cross zonal capacity: Flow based or coordinated net transmission capacity based. The flow based approach is preferred over the coordinated net transmission capacity approach for day ahead and intraday capacity calculation where interdependencies of cross zonal capacity between bidding zones is high.'

'The coordinated net transmission capacity approach may be applied in regions where interdependencies between cross zonal capacity are low and the added value of the flow based method cannot be proven.'



#### Market Coupling: a constrained optimization problem

- All the bids of the bidding areas are brought together in order to be matched by a centralized algorithm
- Objective function:
- Control variables:
- Subject to:



Net positions

Maximize social welfare













## Flow-Based (FB): the 'next-step' coordinated capacity calculation method









What is Flow Based and what is the difference with ATCs?



What is Flow Based and what is the difference with ATCs?

- ATC



#### An example three-node network

- Let's consider a three-node network
  - Equal impedances
  - Max flow on the branches: 1000 MW





#### An example three-node network

- Let's consider a three-node network
  - Equal impedances
  - Max flow on the branches: 1000 MW
- The maximum export from A to another bidding area amounts 1500 MW:







An example three-node network: ATCs

- ATCs are determined by the TSOs to facilitate the market while safeguarding the grid
  - An ATC limits a commercial exchange between two bidding areas
  - ATCs are simultaneously feasible



#### An example three-node network: ATCs

- ATCs are determined by the TSOs to facilitate the market while safeguarding the grid
  - An ATC limits a commercial exchange between two bidding areas
  - ATCs are simultaneously feasible
- Given the maximum export of bidding area A, the TSO needs to split the 1500 MW export capability into two bilateral exchanges, for example:
  - ATC(A>B) = 750 MW
  - ATC(A>C) = 750 MW





An example three-node network: ATCs and physical flows

- The following commercial exchanges are feasible given the ATCs:
  - A>C = 750 MW
  - A>B = 750 MW
  - B>C = 750 MW













What is Flow Based and what is the difference with ATCs?

- FB





FB constraints ('grid model'):







FB constraints ('grid model'):







FB constraints ('grid model'):





An example three-node network: FB and the reference node

- An exchange of 100 MW from bidding area A to bidding area B is equivalent to: an exchange of 100 MW from area A to the reference node C – an exchange of 100 MW from area B to the reference node C
- This property holds due to the linearity of the PTDF computation (DC load flow)







FB constraints ('grid model'):

	Margins		PTDF factors	
Line	Maximum flow	Influence from area A	Influence from area B	Influence from area C
A>B	1000 MW	33 %	- 33 %	0
B>C	1000 MW	33 %	67 %	0
A>C	1000 MW	67 %	33 %	0
B>A	1000 MW	-33 %	33 %	0
C>B	1000 MW	- 33 %	- 67 %	0
C>A	1000 MW	- 67 %	- 33 %	0























What is Flow Based and what is the difference with ATCs?

- ATC vs FB



- In FB capacity split is not a choice of the TSO, but is market driven (at the time of allocation)
- FB offers more trading opportunities with the same level of security of supply





- In FB capacity split is not a choice of the TSO, but is market driven (at the time of allocation)
- FB offers more trading opportunities with the same level of security of supply
- Example:



FB: North-South exchange possible of 2000 MW



Net balance A

1000 1500

1500

1000

-1000

-1500

-1500 -1000

**FB domain** 

**ATC domain** 

→ Net balance B

Advantages of the FB approach



Advantages of the FB approach

- In FB capacity split is not a choice of the TSO, but is market driven (at the time of allocation)
  - More efficient and flexible use of the grid
- FB offers more trading opportunities with the same level of security of supply
  - More price convergence / smaller price differences
  - Higher social welfare
  - Income redistribution: Less congestion income and more producer and consumer surplus
- FB offers the possibility to have the DC cables efficiently embedded in the allocation mechanism, by providing a fair competition for the use of the scarce AC capacity

 Flow-based market coupling provides an efficient allocation mechanism in which all exchanges that are subject to the allocation mechanism compete with one another for the use of the scarce capacity



FB allocation and price formation



#### Prices under a FBMC

- FBMC optimization
  - Objective function: Maximize social welfare
  - Control variables: Net positions
  - Subject to: ∑ net positions = 0
    Grid constraints
- In case of congestion, the grid constraint receives a shadow price (μ): the increase of the objective function (being the social welfare) when the constraint is relieved with 1 MW
- Price relation under FB:

$$MCP_{i} - MCP_{j} = \sum_{cb} \left( PTDF_{j}^{cb} - PTDF_{i}^{cb} \right) \cdot \mu_{cb}$$



### Prices under a FBMC: an example



Line	Maximum flow	Influence from area A	Influence from area B	Influence from area C
A>B	1000 MW	33 %	- 33 %	0
B>C	1000 MW	33 %	67 %	0
A>C	1000 MW	67 %	33 %	0
B>A	1000 MW	-33 %	33 %	0
C>B	1000 MW	- 33 %	- 67 %	0
C>A	1000 MW	- 67 %	- 33 %	0

Price relation under FB:

$$MCP_{C} - MCP_{A} = (PTDF_{A} - PTDF_{C}) \cdot \mu$$

Shadow price equals µ = 15 €/MW
 40-30 = (0.67-0)·15

• Price in area B:  $MCP_B - MCP_A = (PTDF_A - PTDF_B) \cdot \mu$   $MCP_B - 30 = (0.67 - 0.33) \cdot 15$  $MCP_B = 35$ 



Prices under a FBMC: consequences

- In case there is no congestion, all bidding zones have the same price
- In case of congestion, the prices of the bidding zones are set in accordance to their electrical impact on the binding constraint (i.e. the PTDF factors)



## Questions?





#### E-Bridge Consulting B.V.

Utrechtseweg 159a 6862 AH Oosterbeek, the Netherlands

Phone	+31 (0)26 700 9797
Fax	+31 (0)26 700 9799
E-mail	info@e-bridge.nl

For more information about our projects, customers and consultants please visit our web site at www.e-bridge.com



The Copyright for the self created and presented contents as well as objects are always reserved for the author. Duplication, usage or any change of the contents in these slides is prohibited without any explicit noted consent of the author. In case of conflicts between the electronic version and the original paper version provided by E-Bridge Consulting, the latter will prevail.

E-Bridge Consulting B.V. disclaims liability for any direct, indirect, consequential or incidental damages that may result from the use of the information or data, or from the inability to use the information or data contained in this document.

The contents of this presentation may only be transmitted to third parties in entirely and provided with copyright notice, prohibition to change, electronic versions' validity notice and disclaimer.

E-Bridge Consulting B.V., Oosterbeek, the Netherlands. All rights reserved.

