

#### **Focused Professional Practice Evaluation**

Medical Staff Policies & Procedures				
<b>Document Owner:</b> Medical and Dental Staff of Jersey Shore University Medical Center	Date Approved by MEC: 11/8/2011			
Author: Medical and Dental Staff	Date Last Updated: MEC 10/13/2020			

<u>**DEFINITION:**</u> Focused Professional Practice Evaluation (FPPE) is a process whereby the Medical and Dental Staff evaluates the competency and professional performance of its staff members. FPPE is not considered an investigation and is not subject to regulations afforded in the investigation process. If FPPE results in an action plan to perform an investigation, the process identified in the Hackensack Meridian Health Hospital Medical and Dental Staff Bylaws would be followed.

<u>PURPOSE</u>: When a staff member has the credentials to suggest competence, but additional information or a period of evaluation is needed to confirm the competence or if a question arises regarding an individual's professional practice during the course of the Ongoing Professional Practice Evaluation (OPPE).

**SCOPE:** Medical and Dental Staff and Allied Health Professionals of Hackensack Meridian Health Hospitals.

<u>POLICY</u>: Upon appointment to the Medical and Dental Staff or Allied Health Profession Staff of Hackensack Meridian Health each staff member shall have his/her performance monitored and evaluated. FPPE shall be performed and documented for each staff member who is granted new clinical privileges by the Board of Trustees or for anyone referred from the OPPE process.

#### **THE EVALUATION:**

#### Factors to be considered

Criteria used for evaluation include, but are not limited to:

- a) concurrent review of the staff member's assessment and treatment of patients;
- b) review of invasive and non-invasive clinical procedures performed and their outcomes;
- c) blood utilization, medication management, and morbidity and mortality data;
- d) requests for test procedures, use of consultants, and medical record compliance.

NOTE: because JSUMC is part of a multi-hospital network, procedures performed under FPPE at another hospital within the HMH network may be used to supplement the data collected at JSUMC.

For low volume practitioners, supplemental data may be used from another CMS certified organization where the practitioner holds the same privileges. (i.e. if activity is limited to periodic on-call coverage for other physician groups or occasional consultation for a clinical specialty). The use of supplemental data may NOT be used in lieu of capturing local data.

#### The Evaluation process

Information used for evaluation may be obtained through any of the following:

- a) concurrent and/or targeted medical record review;
- b) direct observation;
- c) monitoring/proctoring;
- d) discussion with other staff members involved in the care of specific patients;
- e) data collected QI&O;
- f) sentinel event data;
- g) applicable peer review data.

#### **THE PROCESS**

#### **Initial and New Privileges:**

The Section Chief or Department Chair shall decide what type and what duration of proctoring is most appropriate for each staff member taking into consideration the clinical experience and training and the clinical privileges requested. During the new applicant interview process, the Department Chair/or designee shall discuss with the applicant the FPPE process and outline the criteria and evaluation process that will be used during his/her FPPE period using the attached grid. The evaluation may be performed by the Department Chair, the Section Chief, or a member of the Medical/Dental Staff. If a monitor/proctor cannot be chosen from the Medical Staff due to an obvious or perceived potential conflict of interest, the Department Chair in conjunction with the Chair of the Credentials Committee shall decide if an outside monitor/proctor is required. If a current member of the medical staff is granted a new privilege by the Board of Trustees, the same process shall take place during the review of the applicant's credentials. Evaluation forms shall be submitted to the Department Chair upon completion but no later than the time frames established by the Department Chair or designee. Concerns regarding an individual's clinical competence and/or practice shall be acted upon immediately. At the conclusion of the assigned FPPE period, the Department Chair shall recommend to either conclude FPPE or extend FPPE based on evaluation of the staff member's current clinical competence, practice behavior and ability to perform the requested privileges. If the recommendation is to extend FPPE, for reasons other than lack of sufficient activity, a report shall be sent to the Credentials Committee.

If a current OPPE performed at one Hackensack Meridian Health Division determines that the physician demonstrates satisfactory performance within the six domains of competency, it may be used to infer a satisfactory and sufficient level of clinical practice at another Division. OPPE determinations made at one Hackensack Meridian Health Division, may, on request, be shared with the other Hackensack Meridian Health Divisions and be utilized in the appointment, credentialing, and if needed, the FPPE process by that Division.

#### **Referral from OPPE:**

Staff members may be referred for FPPE as a result of the Ongoing Professional Practice Evaluation (OPPE) process by the Section Chief, Department Chair, QI&O Committee, Credentials Committee or the Medical Executive Committee.

#### **Quality of Care Issues:**

Quality of care issues should be addressed as they arise in order to provide continuous quality patient care and safety, and to assure favorable clinical outcomes. A quality concern may be raised by the Medical and Dental Staff, Allied Health Professional Staff, Nursing Staff, or through the QI&O process. If a collegial approach to the concern is not effective, the concerned party will file a written report with the Chief Medical Officer, the President of the Medical Staff, the Department Chair or the Section Chief. A monitoring plan shall be developed

whenever there is question of demonstrated clinical competence and shall be provided to the Medical Executive Committee and the Chief Medical Officer.

When issues are identified that affect the provision of safe high quality care, a monitoring plan is warranted whenever there is cause to:

- a) question the demonstrated clinical competence of any staff member; or
- b) question the care or treatment of a patient or management of a case by any staff member; or
- c) have reason to suspect violation by any staff member of applicable ethical standards of the Medical and Dental Staff Bylaws, Rules and Regulations, Policies, Hackensack Meridian Health Corporate Bylaws, or Professional Code of Conduct.

Attachments: Department Chair- FPPE Interview Checklist

### HACKENSACK MERIDIAN HEALTH FOCUSED PROFESSIONAL PRACTICE EVALUATION PLAN for non Proceduralists

Pra	ctitioner Name:	Specialty:						
FPP	E Trigger: 🗆 New Member 🚨 New Privilege 🚨 F	Finding from OPPE						
Is ti	his practitioner currently practicing "unsupervise	d" at another Hackensack Meridian Health or local						
	a facility? If yes, which facility							
	h Risk Specialty YesNo High Volume	e Specialty Yes No						
	Is this practitioner coming from an outside Resid							
-	) Is this practitioner coming directly from a Meridian residency Program?							
-	Is this practitioner coming with a documented re							
-	associated outcomes?	p						
	Is this practitioner coming with no record of perf	formance of the privilege and its associated						
	outcomes?	community or the promote and no accounted						
		of the clinical privileges recommended for approval,						
	FPPE plan for this practitioner is outlined below:							
uie	refer plan for this practitioner is outlined below.	•						
	EVALUATION PROCESS	TERMS						
	EVALUATION PROCESS	TERIVIS						
	Concurrent Medical Chart Review	Minimum # of records						
	Concurrent Medical Chart Review	William # Of records						
	Targeted Medical Chart Review	Minimum # of records						
	rangeted inicalcal chart neview	William # Of records						
	Retrospective Chart Review	Minimum # of records						
	netrospective chare neview	William II of records						
	Direct Observation of Procedures	Minimum # of procedures						
		Types of Cases:						
	Discussion with other practitioners	Minimum #						
	F							
	External Peer Review							
	Simulation							
٧	Data Collected through Q I& O	As applicable						
٧	Sentinel Event Data	As applicable						
-,	De su Devieus Dete	As annihable						
٧	Peer Review Data	As applicable						
	<u> </u>							
Dat	e FPPE Initiated Due Date							
Δςς	igned Supervising Physician(s)	or 🗆 N/A						

#### CREDENTIALING / PRECEPTORSHIP: CATEGORY 1- OBSTETRIC PROCEDURES

General instructions: All new staff members and applicants for an increase in privileges must document competence in that procedure. The Department Chair or his/her Designee (typically a Division Director or senior faculty member) will serve as preceptor and must witness the entire procedure in order to verify competence. The number of procedures necessary for credentialing may vary and is indicated below. For recent graduates of the institution's Residency Program, competence may be verified and necessity for supervision waived at the discretion of the Chair or the Vice Chair. In certain situations, the number of procedures required for demonstration of competence similarly may be modified or even waived by the Chair or his/her designee.

This form must be completed and returned to the Chair within twelve (12) months of application for privileges. Under special circumstances, this interval may be extended.

PROCEDURE	# Required	Date Observed	Preceptors Name	Waived or Verified by
Normal Spontaneous Vaginal Delivery #1				
Normal Spontaneous Vaginal Delivery #2				
Operative Vaginal Delivery (state forceps or				
Operative Vaginal Delivery (state forceps or				
Laceration repair > 2 <sup>nd</sup> degree perineal (state				
Laceration repair > 2 <sup>nd</sup> degree perineal (state				
Cesarean Section #1				
Cesarean Section #2				
Circumcision #1				
Circumcision #2				

#### CREDENTIALING / PRECEPTORSHIP: CATEGORY 2- GYNECOLOGY PROCEDURES

General instructions: All new staff members and applicants for an increase in privileges must document competence in that procedure. The Department Chair or his/her Designee (typically a Division Director or senior faculty member) will serve as preceptor and must witness the entire procedure in order to verify competence. The number of procedures necessary for credentialing may vary and is indicated below. For recent graduates of the institution's Residency Program, competence may be verified and necessity for supervision waived at the discretion of the Chair (or his/her designee). In certain situations, the number of procedures required for demonstration of competence similarly may be modified or even waived by the Chair or Vice Chair.

This form must be completed and returned to the Chair within twelve (12) months of application for privileges. Under special circumstances, this interval may be extended.

PROCEDURE	# Required	Date Observed	Preceptors Name	Waived or Verified by
Abdominal Hysterectomy #1				
Abdominal Hysterectomy #2				
Vaginal Hysterectomy #1				
Vaginal Hysterectomy #2				
Operative Laparoscopy (state procedure)				
Operative Laparoscopy (state procedure)				
Operative Hysteroscopy #1				
Operative Hysteroscopy #2				
Minor Procedures (D&C/ HSC, LEEP, SUCTION D&C) #1				
Minor Procedures (D&C/ HSC, LEEP, SUCTION D&C) #2				

### Jersey Shore University Medical Center FOCUSED PROFESSIONAL PRACTICE EVALUATION PLAN for PROCEDURALISTS

Practitioner:	Department Chair/Section Ch	nief	_	
Department:	Section/Specia	Section/Specialty		
This Section To be completed b	y the Department Chair or Designee			
1. Is this practitioner coming	with a documented record of performance of the	e privilege and its assoc	ciated outcomes?	
YesNo Base	d on this information the following FPPE Plan is b	eing recommended:		
Procedure	SOURCES OF DATA	HOW WILL THIS	S BE EVALUATED	
Please list ALL Procedures that will require FPPE	Please check ALL that will Apply	Time Period	# to be evaluated	
Procedure to be evaluated:  1	□ personal interaction with practitioner □ Documentation discussion(s) with other individuals interacting with practitioner □ Retrospective Chart Review □ Monitoring clinical practice patterns □ direct observation*	☐ 1 -3 months ☐ 3-6 months ☐ 6-9 months ☐ 9-12 months ☐ Other	☐ 3- 5 Procedures ☐ 5-10 Procedures ☐ Other	
Procedure to be evaluated:  2	□ personal interaction with practitioner □ Documentation discussion(s) with other individuals interacting with practitioner □ Retrospective Chart Review □ Monitoring clinical practice patterns □ direct observation*	☐ 1 -3 months ☐ 3-6 months ☐ 6-9 months ☐ 9-12 months ☐ Other	☐ 3- 5 Procedures ☐ 5-10 Procedures ☐ Other	

Procedure to be evaluated:	personal interaction with practitioner	☐ 1 -3 months	☐ 3- 5 Procedures
3	□ Documentation discussion(s) with other individuals	☐ 3-6 months	☐ 5-10 Procedures
	interacting with practitioner	☐ 6-9 months	☐ Other
	☐ Retrospective Chart Review	☐ 9-12 months	
	☐ Monitoring clinical practice patterns	☐ Other	
	□direct observation*		
Procedure to be evaluated:	personal interaction with practitioner	☐ 1 -3 months	☐ 3- 5 Procedures
4	Documentation discussion(s) with other individuals	☐ 3-6 months	☐ 5-10 Procedures
	interacting with practitioner	☐ 6-9 months	☐ Other
	☐ Retrospective Chart Review	☐ 9-12 months	
	☐ Monitoring clinical practice patterns	☐ Other	
	□direct observation*		
Procedure to be evaluated:	personal interaction with practitioner	☐ 1 -3 months	☐ 3- 5 Procedures
5	Documentation discussion(s) with other individuals	☐ 3-6 months	☐ 5-10 Procedures
3	interacting with practitioner	☐ 6-9 months	Other
	☐ Retrospective Chart Review	9-12 months	<u> </u>
	☐ Monitoring clinical practice patterns	Other	
	direct observation*	<b>—</b> other <u>———</u>	
*Outside Proctoring is rea	uired when there are no practitioners currently a	 t ISUMC performing thi	s procedure that can
directly observe.	and when there are no practitioners carrently a	t 350 trie per forming trii	5 procedure that can
unectly observe.			
		·	
Signature – Section Chief	Date		
Signature – Department Chair			
Signature – Department Chair	Date		

#### **Hackensack Meridian Health OVERAL EVALUATION**

#### FOCUSED PROFESSIONAL PRACTICE EVALUATION REVIEW

(to be completed by the Department Chair or Section Chief)

FIG	actitioner:	Specialty:		_or Privilege under FPPE	
Da	te Appointed to the Staff:	or new Procedure	Granted		
	DEI	PARTMENT CHAIR REVIEW			
1.	Has this practitioner completed all aspe	ects of his/her FPPE?	□Yes	□NO	
2.	If not please explain below  Does this practitioner demonstrate cur  If NO please explain below	rent clinical competence?	□Yes	□ NO	
3.	Was this practitioner cooperative with If NO, please explain below	colleagues, nurses and othe		ff? □ NO	
4.	Has this practitioner demonstrated any If YES, please explain below	<i>ı</i> signs of unacceptable beha		□ NO	
	Has this practitioner abided by the R&F Staff and of the hospital? If NO, ple Have there been any problems with aveing YES, please explain below	ase explain below	□Yes	□ NO □ NO	
7.	Has this practitioner demonstrated any health limitations that may prevent hin If Yes, please explain below		ivileges grant	ed? □ NO	
CO	DMMENTS:				
COI lua COI ivit	,	valuation (FPPE) due to lack			
ΓΟ ΓΟ	ANT NEW PRIVILEGE IN PRES. OF MED STAFF – IMMEDIATE THE PHYSICIAN HEALTH COMMITTEE-IMPAI PROVEMENT PLAN RECOMMENDED	REAT TO PATIENT SAFETY	_		

Date

# Jersey Shore University Medical Center FOCUSED PROFESSIONAL PRACTICE EVALUATION PLAN Allied Health Professional

Practitioner:	Primary Supervising/Collaborating Physician				
Department	artment Section/Specialty				
This Section To be completed b	y the Primary Supervising/Collaborating Physicia	n			
-	g with a documented record of performance of thes, a Log of the practitioner's procedures must be	•			
information the following	FPPE Plan is being recommended:				
Procedure	SOURCES OF DATA	How will this	s be Evaluated		
Please list ALL Invasive	Please check ALL that will Apply to this	Time Period	# Procedures under		
<b>Procedures Requested</b>	practitioner's proctoring		supervision		
Procedure to be evaluated: 6	□ Documented personal interaction with practitioner □ Documentation discussion(s) with other individuals interacting with practitioner □ Chart review by non-meridian staff □ Chart review by physician □ Monitoring clinical practice patterns □ direct observation by a Physician □ Simulation □ External Review	☐ 1 -3 months ☐ 3-6 months ☐ 6-9 months ☐ 9-12 months ☐ Other If "other" is selected, please provide explanation	□3-5 Procedures □5-10 Procedures □ Other If "other" is selected, please provide explanation		
Procedure to be evaluated: 7	□ Documented personal interaction with practitioner □ Documentation discussion(s) with other individuals interacting with practitioner □ Chart review by non-meridian staff □ Chart review by physician □ Monitoring clinical practice patterns □ direct observation by a Physician □ Simulation □ External Review	☐ 1 -3 months ☐ 3-6 months ☐ 6-9 months ☐ 9-12 months ☐ Other ☐ "other" is selected, please provide explanation	□3- 5 Procedures □5-10 Procedures □ Other If "other" is selected, please provide explanation		

Please list ALL Invasive	Please check ALL that will Apply to this	Time Period	# Procedures under	
Procedures Requested	practitioner's proctoring		supervision	
Procedure to be evaluated:  8	□ Documented personal interaction with practitioner □ Documentation discussion(s) with other individuals interacting with practitioner □ Chart review by non-meridian staff □ Chart review by physician □ Monitoring clinical practice patterns □ direct observation by a Physician □ Simulation □ External Review	☐ 1 -3 months ☐ 3-6 months ☐ 6-9 months ☐ 9-12 months ☐ Other If "other" is selected, please provide explanation	□ 3- 5 Procedures □ 5-10 Procedures □ Other If "other" is selected, please provide explanation	
Procedure to be evaluated:  9	□ Documented personal interaction with practitioner □ Documentation discussion(s) with other individuals interacting with practitioner □ Chart review by non-meridian staff □ Chart review by physician □ Monitoring clinical practice patterns □ direct observation by a Physician □ Simulation □ External Review	☐ 1 -3 months ☐ 3-6 months ☐ 6-9 months ☐ 9-12 months ☐ Other If "other" is selected, please provide explanation	□ 3- 5 Procedures □ 5-10 Procedures □ Other If "other" is selected, please provide explanation	
Procedure to be evaluated:  10	□ Documented personal interaction with practitioner □ Documentation discussion(s) with other individuals interacting with practitioner □ Chart review by non-meridian staff □ Chart review by physician □ Monitoring clinical practice patterns □ direct observation by a Physician □ Simulation □ External Review	☐ 1 -3 months ☐ 3-6 months ☐ 6-9 months ☐ 9-12 months ☐ Other If "other" is selected, please provide explanation	□ 3- 5 Procedures □ 5-10 Procedures □ Other □ f "other" is selected, please provide explanation	

## Hackensack Meridian Health Allied Health Professional Procedure Supervision Log

Name of Allied Health Professional <u>:</u>		Name of Collai	borating Ph	ysician			
Procedure: _							
Number Req	Number Required Under SupervisionDate Competency Requirement Met:						
<u>Patient</u> <u>Chart</u> <u>Number</u>	<u>Date</u> <u>Procedure</u> <u>Performed</u>	Supervising Physician (print)	Signature of Individual Providing Supervision	<u>Competer</u> <u>Met</u>	ncy Not Met	Comments (Required if competency not met)	

# Jersey Shore University Medical Center FOCUSED PROFESSIONAL PRACTICE EVALUATION PLAN Allied Health Professional

ractitioner Name:				
The above FPPE Plan was developed between the primary supervising/	collaborating physician and the applicant			
Signature – Primary Supervising Collaborating Physician	 Date			
Signature- Health Professional Affiliate	 Date			
DEPARTMENT CHAIR REVIEW:				
The Department Chair Accepts the FPPE recommendation	of the ATPC as presented without change			
The Department Chair Accepts the FPPE recommendation	of the ATPC with the following modifications:			
Signature – Department Chair	Date			

## JERSEY SHORE UNIVERSITY MEDICAL CENTER FOCUSED PROFESSIONAL PRACTICE EVALUATION ALLIED HEALTH PROFESSIONAL - PROCEDURE REVIEW FORM

#### (TO BE COMPLETED BY THE PRIMARY SUPERVISING or COLLABORATING PHYSICIAN) Practitioner: Specialty: \_\_\_\_\_ Privileges that are being reported on □ALL Privileges □Specific Privilege(s). Please indicate 1. Has this practitioner completed All aspects of his/her FPPE? ☐ YES □NO If No, please explain 2. Based on this information, does this practitioner demonstrate current ☐ YES **□**NO Clinical competence? If no please explain 3. Were there any reports of this practitioner: a. Being uncooperative with colleagues, nurses or other hospital staff? ☐ YES **□**NO b. Showing signs of unacceptable behavior? **□**YES **□**NO c. Not abiding by any of the Departmental R&Rs **□**YES □NO d. Being Unavailable or non-responsive to calls **□**YES **□**NO e. Showing signs of physical or mental health Limitations **□**YES If YES to any of the above, please explain COMMENTS: RECOMMENDATION of Supervising/Collaborating Physician. Based on the above I recommend to: ☐ CONLCUDE FPPE and Begin OPPE on ☐ALL privileges □ Specific privilege(s) Please indicate ☐ CONTINUE FPPE - IMPROVEMENT PLAN RECOMMENDED ON: □ALL □Specific Privilege(s) \_\_\_\_\_\_

Date

Signature-Supervising/Collaborating Physician