

Interviews with 1,008 adult Americans conducted by telephone by Opinion Research Corporation on December 17-19, 2010. The margin of sampling error for results based on the total sample is plus or minus 3 percentage points.

FOR RELEASE: TUESDAY, DECEMBER 28 AT 10 AM

BASED ON 470 REPUBLICANS -- SAMPLING ERROR: +/- 4.5% PTS.

45. I'm going to read you the names of a few people who might run for the Republican presidential nomination in 2012. For each one, please tell me whether you would be very likely, somewhat likely, not very likely, or not likely at all to support them if they decided to run for the Republican nomination in 2012.

	Very <u>Likely</u>	Somewhat <u>Likely</u>	Not very <u>Likely</u>	Not Likely at all	No <u>Opinion</u>
Alaska Governor Sarah Palin					
December 17-19, 2010	23%	26%	23%	28%	*
December 1-2, 2008	32%	35%	21%	12%	*
Former Massachusetts Govern Mitt Romney	or				
December 17-19, 2010	24%	35%	25%	15%	2%
December 1-2, 2008	28%	33%	23%	13%	3%
Former Arkansas Governor Mike Huckabee					
December 17-19, 2010	27%	40%	20%	11%	2%
December 1-2, 2008	34%	31%	21%	13%	1%
Former House Speaker Newt Gingrich					
December 17-19, 2010	19%	35%	22%	22%	2%
December 1-2, 2008	27%	25%	28%	19%	1%

46. Do you think the Democratic party should renominate Barack Obama as the party's candidate for president in 2012, or do you think the Democratic party should nominate a different candidate for president in 2012?

	Dec. 17-19 2010	Oct. 27-30 2010	Aug. 6-10 2010	Mar 19-21 2010
Renominate Obama	78%	73%	74%	76%
Different candidate	19%	22%	23%	20%
No opinion	3%	5%	2%	4%

FOR COMPARISON **CNN/TIME TREND**

	November 9-10, 1994
BILL CLINTON Renominate Clinton	57%
Different candidate No opinion	32% 11%
QUESTION WORDING: Do	you think the Democratic party should re-nominate Bill Clinton as or do you think the Democratic party should nominate a different 6.

47. If it were up to you, which of these cities would you prefer to see the Democrats hold their presidential convention in 2012 -- Charlotte, North Carolina; Cleveland, Ohio; Minneapolis, Minnesota; or St. Louis, Missouri? (RANDOM ORDER)

	Dec. 17-19
	<u>2010</u>
Cleveland	29%
Charlotte	22%
Minneapolis	21%
St. Louis	17%
Other (vol.)	6%
No opinion	5%

Question 45A

I'm going to read you the names of a few people who might run for the Republican presidential nomination in 2012. For each one, please tell me whether you would be very likely, somewhat likely, not very likely, or not likely at all to support them if they decided to run for the Republican nomination in 2012.

A. Former Alaska Governor Sarah Palin

Base = Republicans

	Total	Men	Women	White 1	Non-White			
Very likely	23%	21%	26%	25%	N/A			
Somewhat likely	26%	29%	22%	26%	N/A			
Not very likely	23%	22%	23%	23%	N/A			
Not likely at all	28%	28%	28%	26%	N/A			
No opinion	*	*	*	*	N/A			
Sampling Error	+/-4.5	+/-6.0 +	-/-6.5 +/	-5.0				
	Total	18- 34	35- 49	50- 64	65+	Under 50	50 and Older	l
Very likely	23%	N/A	N/A	29%	18%	22%	25%	
Somewhat likely	26%	N/A	N/A	24%	27%	26%	25%	
Not very likely	23%	N/A	N/A	23%	26%	22%	24%	
Not likely at all	28%	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	24%	28% 1%	30% *	26%	
No opinion Sampling Error	+/-4.5	N/A	N/A	+/-8.0		-/-8.5	+/-5.5	
		Under	\$50K	No	Attended	ı		
	Total	\$50K	or more	College				
Very likely	23%	 28%	19%	26%	 21%	•		
Somewhat likely	26%	25%	26%	25%	27%			
Not very likely	23%	18%	26%	20%	25%			
Not likely at all	28%	29%	29%	30%	27%			
No opinion Sampling Error	* +/-4.5	* +/-7.5	* +/-6.5	+/-8.0	* +/-5.5			
Samping Error	1/ 4.5	17 7.5	17 0.5	17 0.0	17 3.3			
		Demo-	Indep-	_		Mod-	Cons	ser-
	Total	crat 	endent			erate		
Very likely	23%	N/A	18%	289		12%	28	
Somewhat likely	26%	N/A	20%	329		17%	30	
Not very likely	23%	N/A	24%	219	N/A	22%	24	18
Not likely at all	28%	N/A	38%	199		50%	17	
No opinion Sampling Error	* +/-4.5	N/A	* +/-6.5	* +/-6.0	21/ 22	* +/-8.5	+/-5.	
Sampling Bildi	., 1.0		., 0.0	., 0.		., 0.0	., 0.	
		North	Mid-				Sub-	
	Total	east 	west	South	West	Urban	urban	Rural
Very likely	23%	N/A	N/A	25%	N/A	N/A	19%	29%
Somewhat likely	26%	N/A	N/A	29%	N/A	N/A	26%	31%
Not very likely	23%	N/A	N/A	21%	N/A	N/A	27%	13%
Not likely at all	28%	N/A	N/A	25%	N/A	N/A	28%	27%
No opinion	*	N/A	N/A	*	N/A	N/A	*	*
Sampling Error	+/-4.5			+/-7.0			+/-6.5	+/-8.5
		Tea Pty	Tea Pty	Tea Pty				
	Total	Support	Neutral	Oppose				
Very likely	23%	30%	15%	N/A				
Somewhat likely	26%	32%	24%	N/A				
Not very likely	23%	22%	23%	N/A				
Not likely at all	28%	15% *	39% *	N/A				
No opinion Sampling Error	+/-4.5	+/-6.0	+/-8.0	N/A				

^{*} percentage less than 1%

Question 45B

I'm going to read you the names of a few people who might run for the Republican presidential nomination in 2012. For each one, please tell me whether you would be very likely, somewhat likely, not very likely, or not likely at all to support them if they decided to run for the Republican nomination in 2012.

B. Former Massachusetts Governor Mitt Romney

Base = Republicans

	Total	Men	Women	White N	Non-White			
Very likely	24%	24%	24%	24%	N/A			
Somewhat likely	35%	28%	42%	35%	N/A			
Not very likely	25%	29%	21%	25%	N/A			
Not likely at all	15%	18%	12%	14%	N/A			
No opinion	2%	1%	3%	2%	N/A			
Sampling Error	+/-4.5	+/-6.0 +	-/-6.5 +/	-5.0				
	Total	18- 34	35- 49	50- 64	65+	Under 50	50 and Older	l
Von likely	24%	N/A	N/A	 27%	30%	21%	28%	
Very likely Somewhat likely	35%	N/A	N/A N/A	33%	42%	33%	37%	
Not very likely	25%	N/A	N/A	24%	18%	28%	21%	
Not likely at all	15%	N/A	N/A	14%	8%	17%	12%	
No opinion	2%	N/A	N/A	2%	2%	1%	2%	
Sampling Error	+/-4.5			+/-8.0	+/-7.5 +	/-8.5	+/-5.5	
	Total	Under \$50K	\$50K or more	No College	Attended College	l		
Very likely Somewhat likely	24% 35%	24% 31%	23% 37%	20% 34%	27% 35%			
Not very likely	25%	20%	28%	26%	24%			
Not likely at all	15%	22%	12%	18%	13%			
No opinion	2%	3%	1%	3%	1%			
Sampling Error	+/-4.5	+/-7.5	+/-6.5	+/-8.0	+/-5.5			
		Demo-	Indep-	Repub	o- Lib-	Mod-	Cons	er-
	Total	crat 	endent			erate		
Very likely	24%	N/A	22%	269		19%	28	
Somewhat likely	35%	N/A	32%	379		35%	34	8
Not very likely	25%	N/A	25%	25%	k N∕A	25%	26	i સ
Not likely at all	15%	N/A	19%	109		18%	11	
No opinion	2% +/-4.5	N/A	2% +/-6.5	19		3% +/-8.5		.%
Sampling Error	+/-4.5		+/-0.3	+/-6.0	J	+/-0.3	+/-5.	J
		North	Mid-				Sub-	
	Total	east 	west	South	West	Urban	urban	Rural
Very likely	24%	N/A	N/A	28%	N/A	N/A	22%	23%
Somewhat likely	35%	N/A	N/A	32%	N/A	N/A	34%	33%
Not very likely	25%	N/A	N/A	21%	N/A	N/A	27%	25%
Not likely at all	15%	N/A	N/A	17%	N/A	N/A	14%	17%
No opinion	2%	N/A	N/A	3%	N/A	N/A	2%	2%
Sampling Error	+/-4.5			+/-7.0			+/-6.5	+/-8.5
	Total		Tea Pty Neutral	Tea Pty Oppose				
			Neutlai					
Very likely	24%	29%	18%	N/A				
Somewhat likely	35%	37%	32%	N/A				
Not very likely	25%	21%	34%	N/A				
Not likely at all No opinion	15% 2%	12% *	14% 2%	N/A N/A				
Sampling Error	+/-4.5	+/-6.0	+/-8.0	IV/ A				

^{*} percentage less than 1%



Question 45C

I'm going to read you the names of a few people who might run for the Republican presidential nomination in 2012. For each one, please tell me whether you would be very likely, somewhat likely, not very likely, or not likely at all to support them if they decided to run for the Republican nomination in 2012.

C. Former Arkansas Governor Mike Huckabee

Base = Republicans

	Total	Men	Women		Mon-White			
Very likely	27%	27%	27%	28%	N/A			
Somewhat likely	40%	39%	42%	43%	N/A			
Not very likely	20%	23%	16%	18%	N/A			
Not likely at all No opinion	11% 2%	11% *	12% 3%	10% 1%	N/A N/A			
Sampling Error				-5.0	N/A			
Sampring Error	., 1.5	1, 0.0	, 0.5 1,	J.0				
	Total	18- 34	35- 49	50- 64	65+	Under 50	50 and Older	
Very likely	27%	N/A	N/A	27%	32%	25%	29%	
Somewhat likely	40%	N/A	N/A	35%	38%	44%	36%	
Not very likely	20%	N/A	N/A	21%	19%	19%	21%	
Not likely at all	11%	N/A	N/A	14%	10%	11%	12%	
No opinion Sampling Error	2% +/-4.5	N/A	N/A	2% +/-8.0	1% +/-7.5 +	2% -/-8.5	2% +/-5.5	
Sampling Ellor	+/-4.5			+/-0.0	+/-/.5	7-0.5	+/-5.5	
		Under	\$50K	No	Attended	l		
	Total	\$50K	or more	College	_			
Very likely	27%	28%	24%	25%	28%			
Somewhat likely	40%	33%	46%	43%	38%			
Not very likely	20%	19%	21%	19%	21%			
Not likely at all	11%	17%	6%	12%	11%			
No opinion	2% +/-4.5	2% +/-7.5	2%	2%	2%			
Sampling Error	+/-4.5	+/-/.5	+/-6.5	+/-8.0	+/-5.5			
		Demo-	Indep-	Repub	- Lib-	Mod-	Cons	er-
	Total		endent			erate		
Very likely	 27%	 N/A	24%	30%		 17%	33	
Somewhat likely	40%	N/A	42%	39%		44%	41	
Not very likely	20%	N/A	19%	208	N/A	23%	17	용
Not likely at all	11%	N/A	11%	12%	N/A	12%	8	용
No opinion	2%	N/A	4%	*	11, 11	4%	. 1	
Sampling Error	+/-4.5		+/-6.5	+/-6.0)	+/-8.5	+/-5.	5
		North	Mid-				Sub-	
	Total	east	west	South	West	Urban	urban	Rural
Very likely	 27%	 N/A	 N/A	 37%	N/A	N/A	22%	35%
Somewhat likely	40%	N/A	N/A	38%	N/A	N/A	45%	31%
Not very likely	20%	N/A	N/A	14%	N/A	N/A	22%	17%
Not likely at all	11%	N/A	N/A	10%	N/A	N/A	9%	14%
No opinion	2%	N/A	N/A	1%	N/A	N/A	2%	2%
Sampling Error	+/-4.5			+/-7.0			+/-6.5	+/-8.5
		Tea Pty	Tea Pty	Tea Pty				
	Total	Support	Neutral	Oppose				
Von. 1; kol.	 27%	240	1 5 0					
Very likely Somewhat likely	40%	34% 38%	15% 45%	N/A N/A				
Not very likely	20%	305 178	24%	N/A N/A				
Not likely at all	11%	9%	13%	N/A				
No opinion	2%	1%	3%	N/A				
Sampling Error	+/-4.5	+/-6.0	+/-8.0					

^{*} percentage less than 1%



Question 45D

I'm going to read you the names of a few people who might run for the Republican presidential nomination in 2012. For each one, please tell me whether you would be very likely, somewhat likely, not very likely, or not likely at all to support them if they decided to run for the Republican nomination in 2012.

D. Former House Speaker Newt Gingrich

Base = Republicans

	Total	Men	Women	White N	Non-White			
Very likely	19%	20%	19%	18%	N/A			
Somewhat likely	35%	36%	34%	38%	N/A			
Not very likely	22%	22%	22%	22%	N/A			
Not likely at all	22%	20%	24%	20%	N/A			
No opinion Sampling Error	2% +/-4.5	3% +/-6.0 +	2% -/-6.5 +/	2% -5.0	N/A			
Sampling Ellor	T/ -4.J	T/-0.0 T	7-0.5 +/	-3.0				
	Total	18- 34	35- 49	50- 64	65+	Under 50	50 and Older	
Very likely	19%	N/A	N/A	22%	27%	15%	24%	
Somewhat likely	35%	N/A	N/A	33%	31%	37%	32%	
Not very likely	22%	N/A	N/A	23%	24%	20%	23%	
Not likely at all	22%	N/A	N/A	22%	16%	24%	20%	
No opinion	2%	N/A	N/A	*	2%	3%	1%	
Sampling Error	+/-4.5			+/-8.0	+/-7.5 +	/-8.5	+/-5.5	
	Total	Under \$50K	\$50K or more	No College	Attended College	l		
Very likely Somewhat likely	19% 35%	17% 37%	20% 36%	19% 38%	20% 33%			
Not very likely	22%	17%	24%	20%	23%			
Not likely at all	22%	27%	19%	20%	23%			
No opinion	2%	3%	1%	3%	2%			
Sampling Error	+/-4.5	+/-7.5	+/-6.5	+/-8.0	+/-5.5			
		Demo-	Tndon-	Popul	o- Lib-	Mod-	Cons	or-
	Total	crat	Indep- endent	licar	n eral	erate		ve
Very likely	19%	N/A	21%	179		18%	21	
Somewhat likely	35%	N/A	30%	409	s N/A	27%	41	8
Not very likely	22%	N/A	21%	229	s N/A	24%	22	8
Not likely at all	22%	N/A	25%	189		29%	14	
No opinion	2% +/-4.5	N/A	2% +/-6.5	39 +/-6.0		2% +/-8.5	4/-5.	
Sampling Error	T/-4.J		+/-0.3	+/-0.0)	T/-0.J	T/-J.	J
		North	Mid-				Sub-	
	Total	east 	west	South	West	Urban	urban 	Rural
Very likely	19%	N/A	N/A	20%	N/A	N/A	17%	21%
Somewhat likely	35%	N/A	N/A	39%	N/A	N/A	32%	38%
Not very likely	22%	N/A	N/A	21%	N/A	N/A	21%	23%
Not likely at all	22%	N/A	N/A	17%	N/A	N/A	28%	14%
No opinion	2%	N/A	N/A	3%	N/A	N/A	1%	4%
Sampling Error	+/-4.5			+/-7.0			+/-6.5	+/-8.5
	Total	Tea Pty Support	Tea Pty Neutral	Tea Pty Oppose				
Very likely	19%	25%	12%	N/A				
Somewhat likely	35%	36%	37%	N/A				
Not very likely	22%	20%	26%	N/A				
Not likely at all	22%	17%	21%	N/A				
No opinion	2%	2%	4%	N/A				
Sampling Error	+/-4.5	+/-6.0	+/-8.0					

^{*} percentage less than 1%



Question 46

Do you think the Democratic do you think the Democratic party should nominate a different candidate for president in 2012?party should renominate Barack Obama as the party's candidate for president in 2012, or

Base = Democrats

	Total	Men			on-White			
Renominate Obama Different candidate No opinion Sampling Error	78% 19% 3%	76% 20% 5%	80% 18% 2%	75% 22% 3% -5.0	N/A N/A N/A			
	Total	18- 34	35- 49	50- 64	65+	Under 50	50 and Older	
Renominate Obama Different candidate No opinion Sampling Error	78% 19% 3% +/-4.5	N/A N/A N/A	N/A N/A N/A	73% 19% 8% +/-7.5	80% 17% 3%	79% 20% 1% +/-8.5	76% 18% 6% +/-5.5	
	Total	Under \$50K	\$50K or more	No College	Attende College			
Renominate Obama Different candidate No opinion Sampling Error	78% 19% 3% +/-4.5	73% 24% 3% +/-7.0	83% 13% 3% +/-7.0	72% 24% 5% +/-8.0	83% 15% 2% +/-5.5			
	Total	Demo- crat	Indep- endent	lican	eral	Mod- erate		
Renominate Obama Different candidate No opinion Sampling Error	78% 19% 3% +/-4.5	82% 14% 3% +/-5.5	70% 27% 3% +/-7.5	N/A N/A N/A	85% 13%	78% 20% 2% +/-6.5	N/A N/A N/A	-
	Total	North east	Mid- west	South	West	Urban	Sub- urban	Rural
Renominate Obama Different candidate No opinion Sampling Error	78% 19% 3% +/-4.5	N/A N/A N/A	N/A N/A N/A	77% 18% 5% +/-8.5	N/A N/A N/A	78% 17% 4% +/-8.0	80% 19% 1% +/-6.5	N/A N/A N/A
	Total	Tea Pty Support	Tea Pty Neutral	Tea Pty Oppose				
Renominate Obama Different candidate No opinion Sampling Error	78% 19% 3% +/-4.5	N/A N/A N/A	72% 24% 4% +/-8.0	90% 8% 2% +/-6.0				

^{*} percentage less than 1%

Question 47

If it were up to you, which of these cities would you prefer to see the Democrats hold their presidential convention in 2012 --

Base = Democrats

	Total	Men			n-White			
Charlotte, North Carolina	22%	19%	24%	 22%	N/A			
Cleveland, Ohio	29%	33%	25%	29%	N/A			
Minneapolis, Minnesota	21%	18%	24%	22%	N/A			
St. Louis, Missouri Other	17% 6%	20% 7%	13% 6%	17% 4%	N/A N/A			
No opinion	5%	2%	8%	5%	N/A N/A			
Sampling Error		+/-6.5 +		-5.0	,			
		1.0	2.5	FO		TT 1	F.O1	
	Total	18- 34	35- 49	50- 64	65+	Under 50	50 and Older	
Charlotte, North Carolina	22%	N/A	N/A	21%	24%	22%	22%	
Cleveland, Ohio	29%	N/A	N/A	27%	21%	32%	25%	
Minneapolis, Minnesota St. Louis, Missouri	21% 17%	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	19% 17%	27% 12%	20% 18%	23% 15%	
Other	6%	N/A	N/A	10%	4%	5%	8%	
No opinion	5%	N/A	N/A	5%	12%	3%	8%	
Sampling Error	+/-4.5			+/-7.5	+/-7.5	+/-8.5	+/-5.5	
		Under	\$50K	No	Attende	ed.		
	Total	\$50K	or more	College	College			
Charlotte, North Carolina	22%	24%	19%	29%	16%			
Cleveland, Ohio	29%	28%	28%	19%	37%			
Minneapolis, Minnesota	21%	25%	17%	22%	20%			
St. Louis, Missouri	17%	14%	21%	18%	15%			
Other	6%	7%	7%	7%	6%			
No opinion Sampling Error	5% +/-4.5	3% +/-7.0	7% +/-7.0	5% +/-8.0	5% +/-5.5			
Jamping Diloi	., 1.0	., ,	., , , •	., 0.0	., 0.0			
		Demo-	Indep-	_		Mod-	Conser	
	Total	crat 	endent		eral	erate		
Charlotte, North Carolina	Total 22%	crat 20%	endent 26%					
Charlotte, North Carolina Cleveland, Ohio			 26% 30%				 N/A N/A	
Cleveland, Ohio Minneapolis, Minnesota	 22% 29% 21%	20% 29% 24%	26% 30% 16%	N/A N/A N/A	 14% 34% 26%	25% 30% 19%	N/A N/A N/A	
Cleveland, Ohio Minneapolis, Minnesota St. Louis, Missouri	 22% 29% 21% 17%	20% 29% 24% 16%	26% 30% 16% 18%	N/A N/A N/A N/A	14% 34% 26% 13%	. 25% 30% 19% 17%	N/A N/A N/A N/A	
Cleveland, Ohio Minneapolis, Minnesota St. Louis, Missouri Other	22% 29% 21% 17% 6%	20% 29% 24% 16% 7%	26% 30% 16% 18% 6%	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	14% 34% 26% 13% 5%	25% 30% 19% 17% 6%	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	
Cleveland, Ohio Minneapolis, Minnesota St. Louis, Missouri	 22% 29% 21% 17%	20% 29% 24% 16%	26% 30% 16% 18%	N/A N/A N/A N/A	14% 34% 26% 13%	. 25% 30% 19% 17%	N/A N/A N/A N/A	
Cleveland, Ohio Minneapolis, Minnesota St. Louis, Missouri Other No opinion	 22% 29% 21% 17% 6% 5%	20% 29% 24% 16% 7% 6% +/-5.5	26% 30% 16% 18% 6% 5%	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	14% 34% 26% 13% 5% 7%	25% 30% 19% 17% 6% 3%	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	
Cleveland, Ohio Minneapolis, Minnesota St. Louis, Missouri Other No opinion	 22% 29% 21% 17% 6% 5% +/-4.5	 20% 29% 24% 16% -6% +/-5.5	 26% 30% 16% 18% 6% 5% +/-7.5	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	14% 34% 26% 13% 5% 7% +/-8.0	25% 30% 19% 17% 6% 3% +/-6.5	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	_
Cleveland, Ohio Minneapolis, Minnesota St. Louis, Missouri Other No opinion	 22% 29% 21% 17% 6% 5%	20% 29% 24% 16% 7% 6% +/-5.5	26% 30% 16% 18% 6% 5%	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	14% 34% 26% 13% 5% 7%	25% 30% 19% 17% 6% 3%	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	
Cleveland, Ohio Minneapolis, Minnesota St. Louis, Missouri Other No opinion Sampling Error Charlotte, North Carolina	 22% 29% 21% 17% 6% 5% +/-4.5	20% 29% 24% 16% 7% 6% +/-5.5 North east N/A	26% 30% 16% 18% 6% 5% +/-7.5 Mid- west N/A	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A South	14% 34% 26% 13% 5% 7% +/-8.0	25% 30% 19% 17% 6% 3% +/-6.5	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Sub- urban	Rural N/A
Cleveland, Ohio Minneapolis, Minnesota St. Louis, Missouri Other No opinion Sampling Error Charlotte, North Carolina Cleveland, Ohio	22% 29% 21% 17% 6% 5% +/-4.5	20% 29% 24% 16% 7% 6% +/-5.5 North east N/A N/A	26% 30% 16% 18% 6% 5% +/-7.5 Mid- west N/A N/A	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A South 43% 21%	14% 34% 26% 13% 5% 7% +/-8.0 West N/A N/A	25% 30% 19% 17% 6% 3% +/-6.5	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Sub- urban 18% 33%	Rural N/A N/A
Cleveland, Ohio Minneapolis, Minnesota St. Louis, Missouri Other No opinion Sampling Error Charlotte, North Carolina Cleveland, Ohio Minneapolis, Minnesota	 22% 29% 21% 17% 6% 5% +/-4.5	20% 29% 24% 16% 7% 6% +/-5.5 North east N/A N/A N/A	26% 30% 16% 18% 6% 5% +/-7.5 Mid- west N/A N/A N/A	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A South 43% 21% 20%	14% 34% 26% 13% 5% 7% +/-8.0 West N/A N/A	25% 30% 19% 17% 6% 3% +/-6.5 Urban 14% 33% 27%	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Sub- urban 18% 33% 19%	Rural N/A N/A N/A
Cleveland, Ohio Minneapolis, Minnesota St. Louis, Missouri Other No opinion Sampling Error Charlotte, North Carolina Cleveland, Ohio	22% 29% 21% 17% 6% 5% +/-4.5	20% 29% 24% 16% 7% 6% +/-5.5 North east N/A N/A N/A N/A	26% 30% 16% 18% 6% 5% +/-7.5 Mid- west N/A N/A N/A N/A	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A South 43% 21%	14% 34% 26% 13% 5% 7% +/-8.0 West N/A N/A N/A	25% 30% 19% 17% 6% 3% +/-6.5 Urban 14% 33% 27% 10%	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Sub- urban 18% 33%	Rural N/A N/A N/A N/A
Cleveland, Ohio Minneapolis, Minnesota St. Louis, Missouri Other No opinion Sampling Error Charlotte, North Carolina Cleveland, Ohio Minneapolis, Minnesota St. Louis, Missouri	 22% 29% 21% 17% 6% 5% +/-4.5 Total 22% 29% 21% 17%	20% 29% 24% 16% 7% 6% +/-5.5 North east N/A N/A N/A	26% 30% 16% 18% 6% 5% +/-7.5 Mid- west N/A N/A N/A	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A South 43% 21% 20% 11%	14% 34% 26% 13% 5% 7% +/-8.0 West N/A N/A	25% 30% 19% 17% 6% 3% +/-6.5 Urban 14% 33% 27%	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Sub- urban 18% 33% 19% 21%	Rural N/A N/A N/A
Cleveland, Ohio Minneapolis, Minnesota St. Louis, Missouri Other No opinion Sampling Error Charlotte, North Carolina Cleveland, Ohio Minneapolis, Minnesota St. Louis, Missouri Other	 22% 29% 21% 17% 6% 5% +/-4.5 Total 22% 29% 21% 17% 6%	20% 29% 24% 16% 7% 6% +/-5.5 North east N/A N/A N/A N/A	26% 30% 16% 18% 6% 5% +/-7.5 Mid- west N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A South 43% 21% 20% 11%	14% 34% 26% 13% 5% +/-8.0 West N/A N/A N/A N/A	25% 30% 19% 17% 6% 3% +/-6.5 Urban 14% 33% 27% 10% 13% 4%	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Sub- urban 18% 33% 19% 21% 4%	Rural N/A N/A N/A N/A
Cleveland, Ohio Minneapolis, Minnesota St. Louis, Missouri Other No opinion Sampling Error Charlotte, North Carolina Cleveland, Ohio Minneapolis, Minnesota St. Louis, Missouri Other No opinion	 22% 29% 21% 17% 6% 5% +/-4.5 Total 22% 29% 21% 17% 6% 5%	20% 29% 24% 16% 7% 6% +/-5.5 North east N/A N/A N/A N/A	26% 30% 16% 18% 6% 5% +/-7.5 Mid- west N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A South 43% 21% 20% 11% 1% 4%	14% 34% 26% 13% 5% +/-8.0 West N/A N/A N/A N/A	25% 30% 19% 17% 6% 3% +/-6.5 Urban 14% 33% 27% 10% 13% 4%	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Sub- urban 18% 33% 19% 21% 4% 6%	Rural N/A N/A N/A N/A
Cleveland, Ohio Minneapolis, Minnesota St. Louis, Missouri Other No opinion Sampling Error Charlotte, North Carolina Cleveland, Ohio Minneapolis, Minnesota St. Louis, Missouri Other No opinion	22% 29% 21% 17% 6% 5% +/-4.5 Total 22% 29% 21% 17% 6% 5% +/-4.5	20% 29% 24% 16% 7% 6% +/-5.5 North east N/A N/A N/A N/A	26% 30% 16% 18% 6% 5% +/-7.5 Mid- west N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A South 43% 21% 20% 11% 4% +/-8.5	14% 34% 26% 13% 5% +/-8.0 West N/A N/A N/A N/A	25% 30% 19% 17% 6% 3% +/-6.5 Urban 14% 33% 27% 10% 13% 4%	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Sub- urban 18% 33% 19% 21% 4% 6%	Rural N/A N/A N/A N/A
Cleveland, Ohio Minneapolis, Minnesota St. Louis, Missouri Other No opinion Sampling Error Charlotte, North Carolina Cleveland, Ohio Minneapolis, Minnesota St. Louis, Missouri Other No opinion	 22% 29% 21% 17% 6% 5% +/-4.5 Total 22% 29% 21% 17% 6% 5% +/-4.5	20% 29% 24% 16% 7% 6% +/-5.5 North east N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A SY/A Tea Pty Support	26% 30% 16% 18% 6% 5% +/-7.5 Mid- west N/A	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A South 43% 21% 20% 11% 4% +/-8.5	14% 34% 26% 13% 5% +/-8.0 West N/A N/A N/A N/A	25% 30% 19% 17% 6% 3% +/-6.5 Urban 14% 33% 27% 10% 13% 4%	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Sub- urban 18% 33% 19% 21% 4% 6%	Rural N/A N/A N/A N/A
Cleveland, Ohio Minneapolis, Minnesota St. Louis, Missouri Other No opinion Sampling Error Charlotte, North Carolina Cleveland, Ohio Minneapolis, Minnesota St. Louis, Missouri Other No opinion Sampling Error Charlotte, North Carolina Cleveland, Ohio	22% 29% 21% 17% 6% 5% +/-4.5 Total 22% 29% 17% 6% 5% +/-4.5	20% 29% 24% 16% 7% 6% +/-5.5 North east N/A	26% 30% 16% 18% 6% 5% +/-7.5 Mid- west N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 20% N	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A South 43% 21% 20% 11% 1% 4% +/-8.5 Tea Pty Oppose 14% 36%	14% 34% 26% 13% 5% +/-8.0 West N/A N/A N/A N/A	25% 30% 19% 17% 6% 3% +/-6.5 Urban 14% 33% 27% 10% 13% 4%	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Sub- urban 18% 33% 19% 21% 4% 6%	Rural N/A N/A N/A N/A
Cleveland, Ohio Minneapolis, Minnesota St. Louis, Missouri Other No opinion Sampling Error Charlotte, North Carolina Cleveland, Ohio Minneapolis, Minnesota St. Louis, Missouri Other No opinion Sampling Error Charlotte, North Carolina Cleveland, Ohio Minneapolis, Minnesota	22% 29% 21% 17% 6% 5% +/-4.5 Total 22% 29% 21% 17% 6% 5% +/-4.5	20% 29% 24% 16% 7% 6% +/-5.5 North east N/A	26% 30% 16% 18% 6% 5% +/-7.5 Mid- west N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 10/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A South 43% 21% 20% 11% 1% 4% +/-8.5 Tea Pty Oppose 14% 36% 23%	14% 34% 26% 13% 5% +/-8.0 West N/A N/A N/A N/A	25% 30% 19% 17% 6% 3% +/-6.5 Urban 14% 33% 27% 10% 13% 4%	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Sub- urban 18% 33% 19% 21% 4% 6%	Rural N/A N/A N/A N/A
Cleveland, Ohio Minneapolis, Minnesota St. Louis, Missouri Other No opinion Sampling Error Charlotte, North Carolina Cleveland, Ohio Minneapolis, Minnesota St. Louis, Missouri Other No opinion Sampling Error Charlotte, North Carolina Cleveland, Ohio Minneapolis, Minnesota St. Louis, Missouri	22% 29% 21% 17% 6% 5% +/-4.5 Total 22% 29% 21% 17% 5% +/-4.5	20% 29% 24% 16% 7% 6% +/-5.5 North east N/A	26% 30% 16% 18% 6% 5% +/-7.5 Mid- west N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 10/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A South 43% 21% 20% 11% 1% 4% +/-8.5 Tea Pty Oppose 14% 36% 23% 17%	14% 34% 26% 13% 5% +/-8.0 West N/A N/A N/A N/A	25% 30% 19% 17% 6% 3% +/-6.5 Urban 14% 33% 27% 10% 13% 4%	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Sub- urban 18% 33% 19% 21% 4% 6%	Rural N/A N/A N/A N/A
Cleveland, Ohio Minneapolis, Minnesota St. Louis, Missouri Other No opinion Sampling Error Charlotte, North Carolina Cleveland, Ohio Minneapolis, Minnesota St. Louis, Missouri Other No opinion Sampling Error Charlotte, North Carolina Cleveland, Ohio Minneapolis, Minnesota St. Louis, Missouri Other St. Louis, Missouri Other Other	22% 29% 21% 17% 6% 5% +/-4.5 Total 22% 21% 17% 6% 5% +/-4.5	20% 29% 24% 16% 7% 6% +/-5.5 North east N/A	26% 30% 16% 18% 6% 5% +/-7.5 Mid- west N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 10/A N/A 10/A 10/A 10/A 10/A 10/A 10/A 10/A 10	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A South 43% 21% 20% 11% 4% +/-8.5 Tea Pty Oppose 14% 36% 23% 17% 38%	14% 34% 26% 13% 5% +/-8.0 West N/A N/A N/A N/A	25% 30% 19% 17% 6% 3% +/-6.5 Urban 14% 33% 27% 10% 13% 4%	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Sub- urban 18% 33% 19% 21% 4% 6%	Rural N/A N/A N/A N/A
Cleveland, Ohio Minneapolis, Minnesota St. Louis, Missouri Other No opinion Sampling Error Charlotte, North Carolina Cleveland, Ohio Minneapolis, Minnesota St. Louis, Missouri Other No opinion Sampling Error Charlotte, North Carolina Cleveland, Ohio Minneapolis, Minnesota St. Louis, Missouri	22% 29% 21% 17% 6% 5% +/-4.5 Total 22% 29% 21% 17% 5% +/-4.5	20% 29% 24% 16% 7% 6% +/-5.5 North east N/A	26% 30% 16% 18% 6% 5% +/-7.5 Mid- west N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 10/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A South 43% 21% 20% 11% 1% 4% +/-8.5 Tea Pty Oppose 14% 36% 23% 17%	14% 34% 26% 13% 5% +/-8.0 West N/A N/A N/A N/A	25% 30% 19% 17% 6% 3% +/-6.5 Urban 14% 33% 27% 10% 13% 4%	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Sub- urban 18% 33% 19% 21% 4% 6%	Rural N/A N/A N/A N/A