FramGroup **APQP** Kick-off Checklist

The purpose of this meeting is to develop a common understanding concerning the total requirements of the part/material by assuring that proper communication and buy-in occurs between our companies. Its intent is to ensure advanced product quality planning activities occur at the appropriate time and establish customer requirements for part qualification, part availability, quality, packaging, scheduling, terms & conditions, unit cost information, and tooling information.

This document should be completed and provided to the Customer Supplier Quality prior to the meeting date.

		PROJECT/PROGRAM: SUPPLIER:	
PROD. BUYER SQE REL. ENGR. Others:		SUPPLIER LOCATION:	
Customer RECVG PLANT(S)		SUPPLIER CONTACTS: - ACCT MGR - QUALITY REP SCHEDULING	
PART NO: CHANGE LEVEL CHANGE DATE		PART DESCRIPTION:	
SECTION 1. CUSTOMER REQ 1. Does the supplier understand all the ap Yes No Explain: 2. Does the supplier have the latest inform Review Program Milestones with supplier	oplications and int	ended end uses of the parts/materia	
☐ Yes ☐ No Explain:			
			Dates
☐ Yes ☐ No Explain: Key Project Milestones SOURCING PLAN MILESTONES – PER CUSTOMER TIMING CHARTS	Dates	Key Project Milestones	Dates
Key Project Milestones SOURCING PLAN MILESTONES – PER			Dates
Key Project Milestones SOURCING PLAN MILESTONES – PER			Dates
Key Project Milestones SOURCING PLAN MILESTONES – PER			Dates
Key Project Milestones SOURCING PLAN MILESTONES – PER			Dates

PACKAGING/SCHEDULING
1. Are returnable containers required?
☐ Yes ☐ No Explain:
2. Are there any packaging issues to be resolved?
☐ Yes ☐ No Explain:
3. Does supplier agree to provide product in clean dunnage/containers?
☐ Yes ☐ No Explain:
4. Does supplier have electronic communications capability and required systems testing complete for scheduling and shipping?
☐ Yes ☐ No Explain:
5. Part Weight Net: Gross:
SECTION 2. Product Design / Development
1. Does the supplier have and understand ALL of the latest drawings and specifications?
☐ Yes ☐ No Explain plans to obtain:
2. If communication link for math data exchange is needed, have contacts been established?
☐ Yes ☐ No Explain plans to obtain:
3. If customer is design responsible, has a Design-FMEA review been done between supplier and the customer?
☐ Yes ☐ No Specify planned date:
4. If Supplier is design responsible, has a Design-FMEA been done? Are actions in place to reduce high RPNs? Has a review with the customer engineer been completed?
☐ Yes ☐ No Specify planned dates:
Note: Design responsible supplier to complete AIAG A-1 Design FMEA Checklist; specify completion date:
5. If supplier is responsible for system, has a system FMEA been completed and been reviewed?
☐ Yes ☐ No Specify planned dates:
6. Has a design review been done by the supplier and reviewed with the customer product engineer?
☐ Yes ☐ No If No, explain:
If yes, has design review been approved by engineering and drawings/specifications revised as appropriate?
☐ Yes ☐ No If No, specify plans
If No, specify plans to include revisions in drawings/specifications

7. Have Special Characteristics been identified and included in drawings/specifications? Is the supplier aware of the Special Characteristics? Is the supplier's intended process able to meet the capability requirements of the Special Characteristics?

☐ Yes	☐ No Ex	xplain:		
8. Does		understand the critical natu	ure of dimensions that interface wi	ith the customer's application of their mating
☐ Yes	_	ist all known nterfaces:		
10. 9.	Are there	any Mule/Alpha/Beta/Gam	nma/Prototype requirements?	
☐ Yes	☐ No Li	ist them in the space belov	v:	
MRD Type 8 Beta, etc.)	Date (Alpha,	, Quantity	Supplier Promised Date	Comments – Is anything required?
11.10. ☐ Yes		velain.	veloped for use during each phase	of the product development life cycle?
_	_			
12. 11.	Are contro	ols for Special Characteristi	ics clearly identified?	
☐ Yes	□ No E>	xplain:		
If no expla	ain the process	s to control Critical Feature	s, e.g. Special Characteristics	
13. 12.	Is supplier	r's version of math data so	oftware compatible with the custor	mer's version?
☐ Yes	□ No E>	xplain:		
14. 13. utiliz		olier understand and accep	ot responsibility for translation erro	ors if an incompatible software package is
☐ Yes	□ No E>	xplain:		
15. 14.	What amo	ount of design and or testin	ng is required by supplier?	
16. 15.	When and	d how are Design deliverab	oles required?	

SECTION 3. PROCESS DESIGN/DEVELOPMENT

1.	Does	the suppli	er understand ALL items listed on the APQP Project Plan?
	Yes	☐ No	Explain:
2.	Has t	he supplier	filled in the APQP Timing Chart for these parts?
	Yes	☐ No	Explain:
3.		APQP Proje ency:	ct Plan requires 4 Supplier Program Reviews. In addition to the 4 reviews, specify your planned reporting
4.	Have	the followi	ng <u>preliminary</u> documents been completed?
	Proce	ss Flow Ch	art
	Yes	☐ No	Specify completion date:
	Proce	ss FMEA	
	Yes	☐ No	Specify completion date:
	Contr	ol Plan	
	Yes	□No	Specify completion date:
		_	
5.	Has e	error proofi	ng been considered during PFMEA creation?
	Yes	☐ No	If No, explain plans
6.	Wher	will the Pi	roduction Control Plan be finished? Planned date:
	Note:	Specify th	e date each of the following AIAG checklists will be completed:
	A-6 P	rocess Flov	v Chart Checklist:
	A-7 P	rocess FME	FA Checklist:
	A-8 C	ontrol Plan	Checklist:
7.	Are a	ny new eq	uipment, tooling, gages, special fixtures or test equipment needed to produce this part?
	Yes	☐ No	Comment
	Revie	w the tooli	ng and gage breakdown as submitted in the RFQ.
8.	Are a	ny print, m	aterial specifications or process control plan changes needed to meet these requirements?
	Yes	☐ No	Explain:
9.	Has t	he supplier	confirmed that their suppliers will do the following:
AP	QP		
	Yes	☐ No	Explain:
PP.	AP		
	Yes	☐ No	Explain:

Run @ Rate
SECTION 4.0 MANUFACTURING VALIDATION
1. Lead-time for tooling
After tool completion, lead-time for PPAP submission
Production Part Approval Process-PPAP
3. Does the supplier understand the requirements for Full PPAP?
Yes No Explain:
4. Does the supplier have all forms required for PPAP?
☐ Yes ☐ No Explain:
5. Have preliminary characteristics (KPCs/PQCs/KCCs) for capability studies for PPAP been defined?:
6. Level of PPAP Submission required
7. Define the number of samples to be submitted along with PPAP documentation.
Total # of Samples:
Samples per Cavity:
Total # of Cavities:
8. Name the GM person that you will send PPAP documentation and samples to:
9. Lead-time for production quantities after PPAP approval
10. Is a production trial run required?
☐ Yes ☐ No Explain:
11. Will pilot (and pre-pilot, if applicable) parts be produced from 100% production tools?
☐ Yes ☐ No Explain:
12. Fill in the following capacity information: A. Daily Lean Capacity Rate (LCR) B. Daily Max Capacity Rate (MCR) C. Number of tool sets required for LCR D. Number of machines/lines/cells required for LCR E. Capacity per tool set F. Net capacity per day G. Number of work hours per day H. Number of shifts per day I. Number of days per week J. Maximum sustainable tooling capacity 1) Hours per day 2) Days per week
Run @ Rate - Capacity Verification
1. Does the supplier understand the requirements for Run @ Rate?
☐ Yes ☐ No Explain:

2.	2. Does the supplier have all of the documentation needed to perform the Run @ Rate process?			
□ Y	′es 🗌 No Explain:			
3.	3. Run-at-Rate Decision			
	(Exempt, Customer/GM Monitored	l, Supplier Monitored		
4.	4. Run-at-Rate Scheduled Date			
5.	5. State length of time the Run @ Rate must be performed:			
6.	Fill in the following material status	information for part	s from serial/producti	on tooling, if applicable:
	al Status	Required Date	Promised Date	Comments
Material tooling/s dimensi	r Matching (scribed) from serial/production serial material with on/function OK Rate approved parts			
- 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	tate app. o. oa pa. te			
<u>SECTI</u>	ON 5.0 – SUPPLIER QU	JALITY PERFO	<u>DRMANCE</u>	
1.	What are the supplier's internal ar	nd external PPM ratir	ngs?	
2.	Does supplier have any parts curr	ently in controlled sh	ipping environment?	
□ Y	′es 🗌 No Explain:			
3.	Does supplier have any customer	complaints that are i	not resolved?	
□ Y	☐ Yes ☐ No Explain:			
4.	Review of Sourcing Risk Assessme	ent (H,M,L)		
5.	Is supplier's manufacturing locati	on QMS certified to t	the required standard,	e.g. ISO TS16949, ISO 9001?
Y	Yes Expiration			
SECTI	ON 6.0 COMMERCIAL	INFORMATIO	N	
	Is piece price finalized?		_	
_	☐ Yes ☐ No			
_	Yes No			
	Has the cost for prototype parts b	een established?		
_	'es □ No			
	Piece Price \$			
	Tooling \$			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

4. Is the supply location non-North American? APQP Kick-Off Checklist $\,$

☐ Yes ☐ No				
5. Is tooling cost finalized				
☐ Yes ☐ No Explain:				
6. Does the customer own the toolir	ng?			
☐ Yes ☐ No				
7. Is Tooling maintenance, refurbish	nment and replacement included in supplier's p	rice?		
☐ Yes ☐ No Explain:				
TIER II SUPPLIERS – Note the following in	formation:			
Supplier Name		Location		
	ustomer Standard Terms and Conditions			
Customer Attendees:	Supplier Attendees:	Supplier Attendees:		
Advanced Supplier Quality Engineer (ASQ	QE) Quality Manager			
Design Release Engineer (DRE)	Program Manager			
PPM/Asst.	Manufacturing Engineer			
Production Buyer	Quality Engineer			
Others:				

7

APQP Kick-Off Checklist