

Future Issues in Unsecured Consumer Debt

José Liñares-Zegarra

Essex Business School, University of Essex, Wivenhoe Park, Colchester, CO4 3SQ, UK.

Email: jmlina@essex.ac.uk.

John O. S. Wilson

Centre for Responsible Banking & Finance, School of Management, University of St Andrews, The Gateway,

North Haugh, St Andrews, Fife, KY16 9RJ, UK.

Email: jsw7@st-andrews.ac.uk

Abstract

The purpose of this article is to provide an overview of the current and future trends in unsecured consumer debt in the UK. The basic claim of this paper is that consumer debt issues are increasingly important as a source of funding for UK households. Substantial changes in the behaviour of consumers and in the traditional functions of financial intermediaries as credit providers have led consumers to face completely new challenges. Understanding these changes in a rapidly changing world could be beneficial to undertake preventive and corrective actions to guarantee a fair access to unsecured debt products for the UK population.

1. Introduction

According to the European Credit Research Institute (ECRI), the UK and Germany were the biggest credit markets in the EU-27, representing together around 40% of the total outstanding amount of consumer loans in 2013. In the UK, consumer borrowing on credit cards, personal loans and overdrafts are growing at its fastest rate since the onset of the financial crisis in 2007. Total unsecured borrowing now stands at £239bn, equivalent to £8,936 per household (PWC 2015).

In common with higher income counterparts, low-income households use credit to manage fluctuations in income and significant financial commitments through time. However, there is also evidence that some of low income households use credit to pay for everyday essentials, such as food, rent and bills (FCA 2014). However, as loans fall due for repayment, it can lead to liquidity and solvency problems for highly leveraged households whose ability to service debt and economic well-being is vulnerable to unexpected income or macroeconomic shocks (Brown & Taylor 2008; Jappelli *et al.* 2013). In this article, we explore some of the current issues and challenges in consumer debt markets, and suggest possible scenarios for addressing these.

Section 2 provides background information of the current developments in the unsecured consumer debt market in UK. In Section 3, we explore the potential issues and problems of the unsecured debt market. Section 4 focuses on the factors that could influence the market in the future and how this may reshape the future trends. The aim here is to: highlight the dynamic character of the market and consumer behavior; and assess how the issues discussed could play out and influence the financial sector and wider economy. In Section 5, we discuss future challenges in the unsecured consumer debt market

and debate the potential structural changes that may generate market disruptions such as technology change, security issues and the increasing role of non-bank lenders in the marketplace. Section 6 provides a brief summary.

2. Current trends

Changes in the consumption patterns and the availability of new sources of finance have accelerated during the last decades. Recent projections suggest that unsecured borrowing will increase between 4% - 6% annually between 2015 and 2017. This projected rise would increase in £10,000 the average unsecured borrowings per household by the end of 2017 (PWC 2015). Consumers are now exposed to a wide-range of choice when making decisions regarding the myriad of financial services and products. Simultaneously, more banks and non-bank credit providers (which offer alternative source of funding, such as peer-to-peer (P2P) lending platforms) have entered into the market as providers of new and more sophisticated and complex financial products which in some cases go way beyond the knowledge of ordinary consumers. The results from a number of research studies suggests that limited levels of consumers' financial literacy are associated with poor financial decision making (Agarwal et al. 2009; Dell'Ariccia & Pence 2009; Hastings et al. 2013).¹ For example, Disney and Gathergood (2013) use Survey data and find that UK households with poor levels of financial literacy are less confident when interpreting basic financial information credit terms.

The widespread access to finance for many households and consumers has created an opportunity to take on debt and increase consumption. The increased reliance on

¹ Lusardi and Mitchell (2014) offer detailed review of the recent literature on financial literacy .

unsecured debt by consumers makes them more vulnerable to changes in macroeconomic conditions and the business cycle given that the nominal and real value of their accumulated debt is sensitive to the prevailing interest rates (Brown & Taylor 2008). In countries such as US, the expansion in credit card borrowing is thought to be a key force driving the surge in unsecured consumer borrowing and bankruptcy filings over the past thirty years (Livshits 2015; Livshits *et al.* 2016). However, the propensity for existing borrowers to file for bankruptcy can be far more complex. Recent research suggests that bankruptcy can be used strategically by borrowers as "fresh start" by discharging outstanding unsecured debts such as credit card debt (White 2011). Figure 1 below summarizes a set of drivers which can explain the recent trends in unsecured consumer lending observed in the UK market.




Figure 1. Potential drivers and barriers in the unsecured consumer debt market

Individuals with higher levels of consumer debt are less financial literate than lower debt counterparts (Disney & Gathergood 2013). Consumers with limited knowledge about

specific markets frequently fail to choose the best deals, as they become confused comparing prices (Grubb 2015b). Recent evidence produced by the UK Money Advice Service estimates that more than 8 million UK adults suffer from stress related to financial issues, while the population at higher risk concentrates on single parents and younger adults (Money Advice Service 2016). In addition, recent research for European countries identifies a link between consumer over indebtedness and physical and mental health (Sweet *et al.* 2013; Hodson *et al.* 2014; Keese & Schmitz 2014; Clayton *et al.* 2015). Unsecured credit card debt appears to cause more stress for debtors than outstanding obligations that are secured by collateral (Drentea & Lavrakas 2000).

3. Stresses and strains: what are the pressures on the current reality, and from where?

While banks and credit card companies remain the primary credit providers, there have been significant shifts in the personal loan sector in recent years. Easy and quick access to funding may be revealed in excessive levels of household debt. Evidence suggests that prior to a bankruptcy, individuals appear to systematically increase their utilization of unsecured credit (Cohen-Cole & Morse 2009). Low levels of savings and a potential rise in interest rates (cost of debt) exert financial pressure on indebted households. This may lead to such households being trapped in a debt spiral. Penalty charges for missed loan payments, overthe-limit fees on overdrafts can be substantial and contribute to increasing the probability of loan default.

Angeletos *et al.* (2001) suggest that easy access to credit in recent years contributes to explain why consumers prefer to spend today rather than save and pay tomorrow (Lander 2008). In addition, consumer overconfidence about long-term prospects tend to exert a

negative bias on short-term decisions (Lander 2008), which in turn leads to relatively more indebtedness in households least able to service debt. Table 1 below describes how certain types of stresses and strains can occur naturally in the market across different areas which in turn can have a potential impact on consumers. These stresses include issues in the contracting stage, debt management, lending standards, the availability of bespoke credit scoring techniques, limited knowledge of the credit market by consumers and the relatively quick access to certain type of lending providers.

Table 1. Potential risks and impact on unsecured consumer lending

Stresses and strains	Impact
Complexity of financial terms and conditions (FCA 2015).	Unfair and opaque pricing and excessive/hidden fees → unaffordable debt.
Poor debt management skills of consumers / strict consumer debt recovery process by financial firms (Kus 2015).	Consumer indebtedness when consumers refinance and roll over a loan (particularly in low-income families).
Tightened lending standards.	Certain demographic groups (e.g. young population) can find hard to borrow money from traditional lenders and therefore migrate to alternative providers.
Poor use of risk-based principles by credit providers and standard credit scoring techniques not useful to provide funding to consumers who cannot prove employment or a long credit history.	Consumer credit rationing.
Lack of specific regulatory responses related to predatory lending (Ali <i>et al.</i> 2015).	Consumer protection and responsible lending (e.g. cap on the Annual Percentage Rate (APR) for consumer credit loans). But tighter regulation may therefore have negative consequences for some (Rowlingson <i>et al.</i> 2016).
Lack of consumer knowledge about lending markets (Gathergood & Weber 2014).	Underbanked consumers are more in risk to be involved in predatory lending techniques.
High cost 'quick' loans with fast-track risk assessment and lack of detailed information regarding credit extensions (Burton 2010).	Expansion of payday lending and unregulated loan sharks.

The financial soundness of certain groups (students, low-income financially excluded, sick, elderly) within the general population tends to be more vulnerable to changes in macroeconomic conditions. Some have poor credit histories and therefore can be penalized with excessively high rates of interest. New forms of short-term high-yield credit (such as, home credit from doorstep lending, payday lending among others) are more likely to be used by consumers at the lower end of the income distribution. With traditional financial providers tightening credit criteria, many consumers have search for new credit providers which include new banks (such as Metro bank and Virgin) and specialized alternative lenders with new credit models, such as P2P lending (Zoopa, Rate Setter), guarantor loans (UKCredit, Duo), log book loans (Varooma, MobileMoney) and short-term lending (QuickQuid). These new alternative sources of credit represent a small part of the market, but are growing in popularity. However, P2P lending platforms are expected to continue to encroach on the market share of incumbent banks providing unsecured personal loans (Atz & Bholat 2016).

The role of the digital technology is increasing in all areas of financial services and can be easily accessed using apps and mobile phones. At the same time there are also a range of online financial management tools available in the market to help consumers to assess and aggregate information from all their different savings, investments and borrowings. Approximately 23 million mobile banking apps were downloaded in the UK by mid-2015 (8.2 million more with respect to 2014), which were in turn used to make money transfers for £2.9 billion per week (BBA 2015; FCA 2016). Commercial influence via marketing and reward programs have been also found to be an important driver of consumer choice. For example, Carbó-Valverde and Liñares-Zegarra (2011) show that credit card reward programs can significantly affect the preferences for using credit cards relative to cash to carry out transactions.

4. Drivers of change

The changes described above force consumers to assess the prices and advantages of the financial products offered in the marketplace. Understanding the new market conditions and products and services available require skills and rely on the ability of consumers to manage and evaluate risk. A general lack of financial literacy across consumers and it correlates with poor financial decisions (Van Rooij *et al.* 2011). Debates around whether and how financial education can improve debt management are likely to continue (Hanna *et al.* 2015). Well designed, resourced and functioning affordable advice is crucial to help consumers make informed financial decisions. Nevertheless, private financial advice needs to be implemented in a cautious way as recent research suggests that advisors can act to maximize their own personal benefit, regardless of the actual needs of clients (Mullainathan *et al.* 2012). In this regard, the role of the government as a provider of unbiased information and advice is crucial for market transparency (Hastings *et al.* 2013).

Regulation will continue to be critical in increasing transparency in the advertisement of financial products, disclosure of relevant information for consumers, and to enhance consumer education (Durkin & Elliehausen 2015). The educational system is challenged to teach financial literacy more extensively and effectively to consumers. Consumers are likely to continue to make some financial decisions in settings where automatic features may be infeasible (Tufano 2009). Lander (2008) points out some methods for altering the conduct of lenders to benefit consumers. For example, eliminating teaser rates on credit cards (Bar-Gill

2003). Others have suggested the possibility of imposing a "distress" tax on the lender profits derived from sub-prime borrowers (Mann 2008).

Credit card loans represented in 2013 around 36% of the total outstanding consumer loans in the UK and its market share has gradually increased since then (Bouyon 2015). The consumption decision of younger segments of the population is increasingly influenced by peer-to-peer platforms and groups, mass media and extensive branding campaigns. These developments pose new challenges for consumers in building the capability to understand the risks associated with financial products, and the access to multiple lenders simultaneously (Livshits 2015). This in turn requires more flexible and adaptable regulation and education so as to ensure consumers are well-informed in their decision-making processes. Although these measures could help borrowers in a strong financial position to take better financial choices, the potential impact on consumers who are borrowing to "survive" are less evident (Lander 2008).

Big data has the potential to further understand consumer behaviour, and identify potential risks. Data related to social media (social connections), geographical location of consumers, mode of access (smartphone, software, etc.) and shopping behaviour (Cullerton 2012; FCA 2016) will enhance understanding of why consumers use certain types of unsecured debt and why particular products are chosen. This is particularly relevant considering that wealthy households (holding larger amounts financial assets and debt) are more likely to be involved in social interactions (Georgarakos *et al.* 2014; Brown *et al.* 2015).

The ethical dimension is also an important factor to be considered along with psychological, socio-cultural and even political factors when studying consumer finances. The complex social contracts in societies suggest that these relationships are not straightforward given that consumers have more complicated motives for consumption rather than simple maintenance to survive. For instance, some credit card contracts are profitable because more optimistic consumers tend to underweight some costs when choosing a card such as over-limit and add-on fees (Grubb 2015a, c).

5. Future Prospects

Thus far we have examined the important factors in the current and future evolution of the market for unsecured consumer debt. In this section, our discussion is motivated by potential structural changes that may generate market disruptions and therefore changes of our expectations about the future.

Fintech will become more relevant as banks and other financial and technology companies invest in 'big data', in order to know their customers better, price risk more accurately, and as an information service regarding various credit options which can be offered to customers. Big data generates substantial benefits, but these must be offset against potential security and privacy concerns, including hacking risks and data breaches. Recent research suggests that certain security incidents, such as identity theft, tend to increase the adoption of credit cards (Kahn & Liñares-Zegarra 2016). The rapid change of new technologies and the increased reliance of consumers in using price comparison websites for shopping around for relatively complex financial products (such as credit cards) could lead to inappropriate decision making of consumers and further financial problems in the long term. In this regard, consumers may not understand or be fully aware of the fine print of the financial contracts they sign and the information disclosed could be too technical to allow for informed and sound decision-making.

Regulation has lowered barriers for non-bank lenders and payday loan providers. This could have an important impact on the access to funding for sub-prime borrowers. P2P lending is found to represent one of the latest forms of consumer finance in the UK that works towards so-called financial inclusion; however, it could have ambiguous social outcomes that necessitate further critical investigation (Rogers & Clarke 2016).

An increased focus on high-risk consumers is needed as this market segment can become increasingly important in future. These consumers are likely to shop around more intensively than lower-risk counterparts and as a consequence identify and access the best deals in products like credit cards (e.g. annual percentage rates). This creates inefficiencies (via possible mis-pricing) in the consumer credit market, whereby higher risk consumers are paying lower rates of interest than lower risk counterparts (Liñares-Zegarra & Wilson 2014).

Consumers are often reluctant to seek financial help and advice as it is perceived as costly. Informational frictions can also play a role in the supply side of the market, as borrowers tend to be more informed about their risk profile, which in turn leads to adverse selection problems for lenders (Ausubel 1997; Agarwal *et al.* 2010). More engagement by regulators and financial services providers is required to find suitable ways to encourage consumers to seek help and support at the appropriate time, but also to reduce informational asymmetries. Certain biases are particularly likely to affect the decision making process of consumers when contracting retail financial products (Erta *et al.* 2013). For example, most consumers find financial products to be complex and therefore affect how consumers assess the products in terms of risk and uncertainty. Financial decisions may require making trade-offs between the present and the future, many financial decisions are emotional, and it can be difficult to learn about financial products. However, it is also important to consider other cases where consumers may be more debt adverse and fearful of accessing credit, which in turn can generate an unintended reduction in the demand for credit. Finding ways to enhance and promote trust in financial institutions is crucial in overcoming this. Finally, it is also important to monitor closely certain segments of the population: students represent a consumer segment targeted by financial intermediaries, but may display limited financial knowledge and responsibility and therefore are more likely to take the wrong financial or risk decisions (Szmigin & O'Loughlin 2010).

6. Summary

This paper attempts to bring together recent issues related to unsecured consumer lending (credit cards, overdrafts). This includes an overview of the recent trends observed in the market related to non-bank credit providers, financial literacy issues for consumers in vulnerable circumstances and the role for improving debt management. This paper has been written to reach a wider-audience interested in salient issues related to consumer vulnerability rather than the supply-side of the market (e.g. profitability of banks, strategic behaviour of banks, market competition, cross-selling of existing products, etc.). The different topics covered in this paper highlight evidence of fast-growing alternatives and approaches to lending with respect to traditional channels such as payday loan providers and peer-to-peer lending. These recent trends suggest the relevance of increasing the provision of debt advice services and increased transparency to cope with the increasingly complex credit environment and over-indebtedness. We acknowledge that the fast changing environment makes it difficult to predict the future trends as these depend on the dominant macroeconomic conditions (changes in monetary policy and tightening in terms and conditions for new unsecured consumer credit) but it would be expected that better debt advice for consumers (e.g. advertising campaigns to increase awareness of credit products) and crafting effective policy and legislation coverage for non-bank providers are needed to improve the quality and availability of credit.

It is still too soon to predict the effects of Brexit in the unsecured consumer lending market, but academic literature can shed light of possible scenarios in terms of saving and supply of credit based on evidence in other countries. For example, Aaberge *et al. (2017)* show that a surge in political uncertainty leads to increased household savings in China. Caglayan and Xu (2016) show that change in consumer sentiment and its volatility (in terms of consumer confidence, expected economic situation and price expectations), negatively affects bank lending in the US. Finally, recent research suggests that banks' perception of regulatory uncertainty affect negatively bank lending to the economy (Gissler *et al.* 2016).

References

- Aaberge, R., Liu, K., Zhu, Y., 2017. Political uncertainty and household savings. Journal of Comparative Economics 45, 154-170
- Agarwal, S., Chomsisengphet, S., Liu, C., 2010. The Importance of Adverse Selection in the Credit Card Market: Evidence from Randomized Trials of Credit Card Solicitations. Journal of Money, Credit and Banking 42, 743-754
- Agarwal, S., Driscoll, J.C., Gabaix, X., Laibson, D., 2009. The Age of Reason: Financial Decisions over the Life Cycle and Implications for Regulation. Brookings Papers on Economic Activity 2009, 51-117
- Ali, P., McRae, C.H., Ramsay, I., 2015. Payday lending regulation and borrower vulnerability in the United Kingdom and Australia. Journal of Business Law, 223-255
- Angeletos, G.-M., Laibson, D., Repetto, A., Tobacman, J., Weinberg, S., 2001. The hyperbolic consumption model: Calibration, simulation, and empirical evaluation. The Journal of Economic Perspectives 15, 47-68
- Atz, U., Bholat, D., 2016. Peer-to-peer lending and financial innovation in the United Kingdom-Ulrich Atz and David Bholat. Bank of England. Staff Working Paper No. 598
- Ausubel, L.M., 1997. Credit Card Defaults, Credit Card Profits, and Bankruptcy. American Bankruptcy Law Journal 71, 249-70

Bar-Gill, O., 2003. Seduction by plastic. Northwestern University Law Review 98, 1373

BBA, 2015. The way we bank now: World of change. British Bankers Association.

Bouyon, S., 2015. Towards a Balanced Contribution of Household Credit to the Economy. CEPS Task Force Report, May

- Brown, S., Ghosh, P., Taylor, K., 2015. Household Finances and Social Interaction: Bayesian Analysis of Household Panel Data. Review of Income and Wealth 62, 467-488
- Brown, S., Taylor, K., 2008. Household debt and financial assets: evidence from Germany, Great Britain and the USA. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series A (Statistics in Society) 171, 615-643
- Burton, M., 2010. Keeping the plates spinning: Perceptions of payday loans in Great Britain. Consumer Focus 26
- Caglayan, M., Xu, B., 2016. Sentiment volatility and bank lending behavior. International Review of Financial Analysis 45, 107-120
- Carbó-Valverde, S., Liñares-Zegarra, J.M., 2011. How effective are rewards programs in promoting payment card usage? Empirical evidence. Journal of Banking & Finance 35, 3275-3291
- Clayton, M., Liñares-Zegarra, J., Wilson, J.O.S., 2015. Does debt affect health? Cross country evidence on the debt-health nexus. Social Science & Medicine 130, 51-58
- Cohen-Cole, E., Morse, J., 2009. Your house or your credit card, which would you choose?: personal delinquency tradeoffs and precautionary liquidity motives. Federal Reserve Bank of Boston Working Paper Series. Working Paper No. QAU09-5

Cullerton, N., 2012. Behavioral Credit Scoring. Georgetown Law Journal 101, 807-837

- Dell'Ariccia, G., Pence, K.M., 2009. The Age of Reason: Financial Decisions over the Life Cycle and Implications for Regulation. Comments and Discussion. Brookings Papers on Economic Activity 2009, 102-117
- Disney, R., Gathergood, J., 2013. Financial literacy and consumer credit portfolios. Journal of Banking & Finance 37, 2246-2254

- Drentea, P., Lavrakas, P.J., 2000. Over the limit: the association among health, race and debt. Social Science and Medicine 50, 517-529
- Durkin, T.A., Elliehausen, G., 2015. Consumer Lending. In: Berger A, Molyneux P & Wilson JOS (eds.) Oxford Handbook of Banking, 2nd Edition. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Erta, K., Iscenko, Z., Hunt, S., Brambley, W., 2013. Applying behavioural economics at the Financial Conduct Authority. FCA Occasional Paper No. 1
- FCA, 2014. Consumer credit and consumers in vulnerable circumstances. Financial Conduct Authority
- FCA, 2015. Consumer Vulnerability. FCA Occasional Papers in financial regulation. Occasional Paper No. 8
- FCA, 2016. Access to Financial Services in the UK. FCA Occasional Papers in financial regulation. Occasional Paper No. 17
- Gathergood, J., Weber, J., 2014. Self-control, financial literacy & amp; the co-holding puzzle. Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization 107, Part B, 455-469
- Georgarakos, D., Haliassos, M., Pasini, G., 2014. Household debt and social interactions. Review of Financial Studies 27, 1404-1433
- Gissler, S., Oldfather, J., Ruffino, D., 2016. Lending on hold: Regulatory uncertainty and bank lending standards. Journal of Monetary Economics 81, 89-101
- Grubb, M.D., 2015a. Behavioral consumers in industrial organization: An overview. Review of Industrial Organization 47, 247-258
- Grubb, M.D., 2015b. Failing to Choose the Best Price: Theory, Evidence, and Policy. Review of Industrial Organization 47, 303-340
- Grubb, M.D., 2015c. Overconfident consumers in the marketplace. The Journal of Economic Perspectives 29, 9-35

- Hanna, P., Cunningham, L., Walker, C., 2015. The Indebted Individual: Dominant Discourses and Alternative Understandings of Personal Debt in the UK. In: Social and Psychological Dimensions of Personal Debt and the Debt Industry. Springer, pp. 203-221.
- Hastings, J., Madrian, B., Skimmyhorn, W.L., 2013. Financial Literacy, Financial Education, and Economic Outcomes. Annual Review of Economics 5, 347-373
- Hodson, R., Dwyer, R.E., Neilson, L.A., 2014. Credit card blues: The middle class and the hidden costs of easy credit. The Sociological Quarterly 55, 315-340
- Jappelli, T., Pagano, M., Di Maggio, M., 2013. Households' indebtedness and financial fragility. Journal of Financial Management, Markets and Institutions 1, 26-35
- Kahn, C., Liñares-Zegarra, J., 2016. Identity Theft and Consumer Payment Choice: Does Security Really Matter? Journal of Financial Services Research, 121-159
- Keese, M., Schmitz, H., 2014. Broke, ill, and obese: Is there an effect of household debt on health? Review of Income and Wealth 60, 525-541
- Kus, B., 2015. Sociology of Debt: States, Credit Markets, and Indebted Citizens. Sociology Compass 9, 212-223
- Lander, D.A., 2008. Regulating consumer lending. In: Handbook of consumer finance research. Springer, pp. 387-410.
- Liñares-Zegarra, J., Wilson, J.O.S., 2014. Credit card interest rates and risk: new evidence from US survey data. The European Journal of Finance 20, 892-914
- Livshits, I., 2015. Recent developments in consumer credit and default literature. Journal of Economic Surveys 29, 594-613
- Livshits, I., MacGee, J., Tertilt, M., 2016. The Democratization of Credit and the Rise in Consumer Bankruptcies. Review of Economic Studies 83, 1673-1710

- Lusardi, A., Mitchell, O.S., 2014. The economic importance of financial literacy: Theory and evidence. Journal of Economic Literature 52, 5-44
- Mann, R.J., 2008. Charging Ahead: The Growth and Regulation of Payment Card Markets, 2006. New York: Cambridge
- Money Advice Service, 2016. One in six adults struggling with debt worries. Press realease: London, Thursday 10 March 2016.
- Mullainathan, S., Noeth, M., Schoar, A., 2012. The market for financial advice: An audit study. National Bureau of Economic Research
- PWC, 2015. Precious Plastic 2015: How Britons fell back in love with borrowing. PricewaterhouseCoopers, London.
- Rogers, C., Clarke, C., 2016. Mainstreaming social finance: The regulation of the peer-topeer lending marketplace in the United Kingdom. The British Journal of Politics and International Relations 18, 930-945
- Rowlingson, K., Appleyard, L., Gardner, J., 2016. Payday lending in the UK: the regul(aris)ation of a necessary evil? Journal of Social Policy 45, 527-543
- Sweet, E., Nandi, A., Adam, E., McDade, T., 2013. The high price of debt: Household financial debt and its impact on mental and physical health. Social Science and Medicine 91, 94-100
- Szmigin, I., O'Loughlin, D., 2010. Students and the consumer credit market: towards a social policy agenda. Social Policy & Administration 44, 598-619

Tufano, P., 2009. Consumer Finance. Annual Review of Financial Economics 1, 227-247

Van Rooij, M., Lusardi, A., Alessie, R., 2011. Financial literacy and stock market participation. Journal of Financial Economics 101, 449-472 White, M.J., 2011. Corporate and Personal Bankruptcy Law. Annual Review of Law and Social Science 7, 139-164

Author Biographies

José Liñares-Zegarra

José is a Lecturer of Finance at Essex Business School. José holds an Honorary Lectureship in the School of Management at University of St Andrews. He is also a Fellow at the Centre for Responsible Banking & Finance at the University of St Andrews. His academic career combines both teaching and research in economics, banking and finance. He has been a Visiting Scholar at the Consumer Payments Research Center at the Federal Reserve Bank of Boston, researcher for the Spanish Savings Banks Foundation and has participated in projects related to the banking industry for the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation, the Andalusian Autonomous Government and several financial institutions. In recent years, José Manuel has carried out various empirical studies in retail payments and consumer finances. He has published articles in journals such as the *European Journal of Finance, Journal of Banking & Finance, Journal of Financial Services Research, Review of Network Economics* and *Social Science & Medicine*.

John O.S Wilson

John is Professor of Banking & Finance and Director of Research at the School of Management at the University of St Andrews. He is also Director for the Centre for Responsible Banking & Finance at the University of St Andrews. John's research focuses on banking and credit unions. He has published over 50 refereed journal articles in outlets including *Journal of Money Credit & Banking; European Journal of Operational Research; Journal of the Royal Statistical Society; Economic Inquiry; Journal of Banking & Finance; Journal of Financial Services Research; Financial Markets, Institutions and Instruments; Cambridge Journal of Economics; International Journal of Industrial Organization;* and *Social Science & Medicine.*

John is the author/co-author of numerous books. These include: *European Banking: Efficiency, Technology and Growth; Industrial Organisation: An Analysis of Competitive Markets; The Economics of Business Strategy; Industrial Organization: Competition Strategy and Policy* (5th edition); and *Banking: A Very Short Introduction* (2016). John edited a five volume *Routledge Major Works in Banking*. He co-edited the first and second editions of the *Oxford Handbook of Banking* (with Allen Berger and Phil Molyneux) and the *Handbook of Post Crisis Financial Modelling* (with Emmanuel Haven, Phil Molyneux, Sergei Fedotov and Meryem Duygun).