

•

•

.

-



ONE OR MORE PAGES IN THIS DOCUMENT ARE DIFFICULT TO READ DUE TO THE QUALITY OF THE ORIGINAL

a margin dant an opp

SCS ENGINEERS ----

2031783

GAS COLLECTION AND CONTROL SYSTEM PLAN FOR SUNRISE MOUNTAIN LANDFILL CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Prepared for:

REPUBLIC SERVICES OF SOUTHERN NEVADA (RSSN) 770 East Sahara Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89104 (702) 644-4595

Prepared by:

SCS ENGINEERS 2702 North 44th Street Suite 105B Phoenix, Arizona 85008 (602) 840-2596



2

April 6, 2001 File No. 10.99007.01 2702 North 44th Street Suite 105B Phoenix, AZ 85008-1583

SCS ENGINEERS

April 5, 2001

Ms. Patricia Bowlin Environmental Engineer AIR-4 USEPA Region IX 75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, CA 94105

Re: Gas Collection and Control System Design Plan Sunrise Mountain Landfill Clark County, Nevada

Dear Ms. Bowlin:

SCS Engineers, on behalf of Republic Services of Southern Nevada (RSSN), hereby provides the Gas Collection and Control System (GCCS) Design Plan required by the Federal Municipal Solid Waste Landfills Emission Guidelines effective January 7, 2000 (in accordance with the Federal Plan schedule).

The GCCS Design Plan was developed based on known current information about the site. When existing conditions of the site are further defined pursuant to ongoing field investigations/evaluations, the GCCS design may be modified.

If you have any questions or comments regarding this submittal, please contact Mr. Alan Gaddy of RSSN at (702) 734-5400.

Sincerely,

Steven C. Lamb, P. Project Manager

Stephen B. Smith, P.E. Vice President SCS ENGINEERS

Attachments

 cc. Mr. Mason McNinch, Clark County Health District, Air Quality Division Mr. Steve Wall, USEPA Region 9 Mr. Tom Gardner, RSSN Mr. Derek Reeve, SCS Engineers

SCL/scl F:DATA\PROJECTS\9900701.sunrise\TASK 17 GCCS Plan\GCCSPlancoverltr.doc OFFICES NATIONWIDE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Sectio</u>	on		Page		
1.0	INTRODUCTION				
	1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4	Introduction New Source Performance Standards and Emission Guidelines Background Compliance Summary Table	1-1 1-1 1-2 1-3		
2.0	EXIS	TING SITE CONDITIONS	2-1		
	2.1	Landfill Description	2-1		
		 2.1.1 Waste Quantity and Types 2.1.2 Landfill Areas 2.1.3 Final Cover System 	2-1 2-3 2-4		
	2.2 2.3	Landfill Gas Modeling Landfill Gas Surface Emission Monitoring	2-4 2-5		
3.0 ⁻	FUT	URE SITE DEVELOPMENT	3-1		
4.0	LAN	DFILL GAS COLLECTION AND CONTROL SYSTEM	4-1		
	4.1 4.2	System Objectives	4-1 4-1 4-1 4-2		
	4.3 4.4 4.5	Vertical Extraction Well Depth and Spacing Well Field Pipe Sizing Blower/Flare Station	4-3 4-3 4-4		
		 4.5.1 Blower Selection	4-4 4-4 4-5 4-5		

L : ليا -----. . ε. أسبسا ------. . نى___ لنسبة

• 🖓

TABLE O	F CONT	TENTS (continued)
---------	--------	---------	------------

<u>Sectio</u>	<u>Section</u> Pag					
5.0	COMPLIANCE REVIEW AND EVALUATION					
	5.1	Compl	iance with §60.759(a)(1)	5-1		
		5.1.1	Control of Surface Emissions	5-1		
		5.1.2	Depths of Refuse	5-1		
		5.1.3	Refuse Gas Generation Rates and Flow Characteristics	5-1		
		5.1.4	Landfill Final Cover System	5-2		
		5.1.5	Gas System Expandability	5-2		
		5.1.6	Condensate Management	5-2		
		5.1.7	Leachate Management	5-2		
		5.1.8	Accessibility	5-2		
		5.1.9	Integration with Closure End Use	5-2		
		5.1.10	Air Intrusion Control	5-3		
		5.1.11	Corrosion Resistance	5-3		
		5.1.12	Fill Settlement	5-3		
		5.1.13	Resistance to Decomposition Heat	5-3		
	5.2	Compl	iance with §60.759(a)(2)	5-4		
		5.2.1	Density of Gas Collection Devices	5-4		
	5.3	Compl	iance with §60.759(a)(3)	5-4		
		5.3.1	Collection Device Placement	5-4		
	5.4	Compl	iance with §60.759(b)(1), (2), and (3)	. 5-4		
		5.4.1	Construction of System Components	. 5-4		
	5.5	Compl	iance with §60.759(c)(1) and (2)	. 5-4		
		5.5.1	Landfill Gas Conveyance	. 5-4		
	5.6	Plan fc	or Surface Emission Monitoring	. 5-5		
	5.7	Record	I Keeping	. 5-5		

-___ -----Π L ---**1**1 -5..... **.** ____ ------•----نىي. -

G

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

TABLES

- 1.1 Regulatory Compliance Checklist, Sunrise Mountain Landfill, Las Vegas, Nevada
- 2.1a Summary of MSW Tonnages Using Daily Waste Rates Based on Historical Research
- 2.1b Summary of Extrapolated Annual and Cumulative MSW Tonnages
- 2.2 Summary of Distinct Site Regions

APPENDICES

- A Figures and Drawings
- B Calculations

Û

SECTION 1.0 INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

1.1 INTRODUCTION

This report was prepared by SCS Engineers (SCS) to fulfill the requirements of the New Source Performance Standards (NSPS), 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart Cc (Emission Guidelines –EG) "Rule", for a Gas Collection and Control System (GCCS) Design Plan (Plan) for the Sunrise Mountain Landfill (Site), in Las Vegas, Nevada. The Site is owned by the Bureau of Land Management (BLM), leased to Clark County, and was formerly operated by Republic Disposal Urban Maintenance Processing Company, Inc. (DUMPCo). The Site is now operated by Republic Services of Southern Nevada (RSSN). The Site is regulated under the NSPS based upon a design capacity exceeding 2.5 million Megagrams {metric tons (Mg) which is approximately 2,750,000 U.S. tons}, and based upon a non-methane organic compounds (NMOC) emission rate calculation that demonstrated an annual NMOC emission rate exceeding 50 Mg per year.

The following GCCS Plan fulfills the requirements set forth in 40 CFR §60.752 and §60.759, as described herein (referred to hereafter as §60.752 and §60.759). The scope of this GCCS Plan is limited to description, documentation, and certification that the GCCS meets the requirements set forth in §60.752 - *Standards for Air Emissions from Municipal Solid Waste Landfills* and §60.759 - *Specifications for Active Collection Systems*.

This GCCS Plan was developed in general accordance with the NSPS and the guidance set forth in the *Enabling Document for the New Source Performance Standards and Emission Guideline for Municipal Solid Waste Landfills* (Enabling Document). As required by the NSPS, the GCCS Plan addresses areas defined as active areas where the first refuse deposited in the area has reached an age of 5 years or more; or areas closed or at final grade where the first refuse deposited in the areas has reached an age of 2 years or more (§60.752(b)(2)(ii)(A)). The last receipt of waste at the Site was prior to October 9, 1993; therefore all waste is over five years old.

1.2 NEW SOURCE PERFORMANCE STANDARDS AND EMISSION GUIDELINES

On March 12, 1996, EPA promulgated New Source Performance Standards (NSPS) and Emissions Guidelines (EG) for existing and new landfills under Section III (b) of the Clean Air Act (CAA). The NSPS and EG are intended to control NMOC emissions. According to the regulation, landfills larger than 2.5 million Mg of waste are required to estimate NMOC emissions in accordance with a three-tiered process. Landfills with NMOC emissions greater than 50 Mg/year will be further required to install a LFG collection system in accordance with the design and operational criteria set forth in the regulation.

NSPS applies to landfill facilities permitted, modified or reconstructed after May 30, 1991. The EG applies to landfills that accepted waste after November 1987, but had no permit modifications (footprint expansion) after May 30, 1991. The Sunrise Mountain Landfill, therefore, falls under the EG, and will be required to meet the compliance schedule associated ______

ü

with the EG, which differs from the NSPS schedule. The EPA promulgated regulations effective January 7, 2000 for EG sites, which include the following milestones:

- Design capacity report and emissions statement (Tier 1) was due 90 days after the effective date (submitted on April 6, 2000).
- Optional Tier 2 emissions statement would be due 180 days after submittal date of Tier 1.
- Optional Tier 3 submittal would be due 12 months after submittal date of Tier 1.
- The GCCS plan showing the proposed LFG collection system design would also be due 12 months after submittal date of the Tier 1 assuming the landfill exceeds the 50 megagrams per year of NMOC emissions.

System construction must be completed 30 months after submittal date of Tier 1, or October 6, 2002. RSSN reserves the right to construct the LFG collection system is a phased-approach pending the outcome of ongoing field investigations/evaluations associated with the Administrative Orders (see below).

1.3 BACKGROUND

The United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9 (USEPA) issued an Administrative Order on April 26, 1999 (USEPA Docket No. RCRA-7003-09-99-0005) to several respondents regarding the Sunrise Mountain Landfill Site. The Order was issued by the Administrator of the USEPA, Region 9, under the authority of Section 7003 of the Solid Waste Disposal Act of 1976, commonly referred to as the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA).

The Administrative Order (Order) included 24 Findings of Fact, which were ascertained from historical data, site inspection/observation results, and relevant technical information. The Order required the respondents to submit to the USEPA a Landfill Assessment Work Plan. RSSN is the primary respondent and acting as the lead party. The submittal of the Final Landfill Assessment Work Plan (Work Plan) (SCS Engineers, 2001) was the first of six steps required by the USEPA Order. Step 2 of the Order is currently being implemented; Step 2 involves conducting activities cited in the Work Plan and preparing a Landfill Assessment Report. Steps 3 and 4 involve preparing and implementing a Landfill Corrective Measures Plan. Steps 5 and 6 involve closure certification and long-term operation and maintenance of the Site.

Several landfill gas-related issues are addressed in the Work Plan, and will be further defined in Steps 2 through 4. These issues include:

- Landfill gas characterization by surface emissions monitoring and probe installations;
- Evaluation of the landfill's final cover;
- Determination of the actual quantity and location of disposed wastes;

G

- Possible waste relocation; and
- Evaluation of future storm water control structures.

The resolution of these issues may influence the extent of the GCCS design, and impact the schedule for implementation of the GCCS design. These issues were considered during the development of this GCCS Plan.

1.4 COMPLIANCE SUMMARY TABLE

A summary of the compliance requirements and the project specific conditions is presented in Table 1.1.

Regulatory Reference	Regulatory Requirement	Description of Site-Specific Conditions	Regulatory Review
§60.752(b)(2)(i) 3745-76-07(B)(2)(a)	Submit a collection and control system design plan prepared by a professional engineer to the Administrator within 1 year of the calculated NMOC emission rate equaling or exceeding 50 Mg per year.	This Plan fulfills requirement.	
§60.752(b)(2)(i)(A) 3745-76-07(B)(2)(a)(i)	The collection and control system as described in this plan shall meet the design requirements of paragraph (b)(2)(ii) of this section.	As presented in this Plan, the proposed system meets the design requirements.	
§60.752(b)(2)(i)(B) 3745-76-07(B)(2)(a)(ii)	The collection and control system design plan shall include any alternatives to the operational standards, test methods, procedures, compliance measures, monitoring, record keeping, or reporting provisions of §60.753 through §60.758 as proposed by the owner or operator.	No alternatives are specified.	
§60.752(b)(2)(i)(C) 3745-76-07(B)(2)(a)(iii)	The collection and control system design plan shall either conform with specifications for active collection systems in §60.759, or include a demonstration to the Administrator's satisfaction of the sufficiency of alternative provisions under §60.759.	As presented in this Plan, the proposed system meets the design requirements, as will be confirmed during future surface emissions testing.	
§60.752(b)(2)(i)(D) 3745-76-07(B)(2)(a)(iv)	The Administrator shall review the information submitted under this section, and either approve it, disapprove it, or request that additional information be submitted. Because of the many site-specific factors involved with LFG system design, alternative systems may be necessary. A wide variety of system designs are possible such as vertical wells, combination horizontal and vertical collection systems, or horizontal trenches only, leachate collection components, and passive systems.	Information required for review is presented within this Plan.	

Regulatory Reference	Regulatory Requirement	Description of Site-Specific Conditions	Regulatory Review
§60.752(b)(2)(ii) 3745-76-07(B)(2)(b)	Install a collection and control system within 18 months of the submittal of the design plan that effectively captures the gas generated within the landfill.	RSSN will install theGCCS design within 18 months provided future developments associated with the Administrative Order do not hinder an efficient installation	
§60.752(b)(2)(ii)(A)(1) 3745-76-07(B)(2)(b)(i)(a)	An active collection system shall be designed to handle the maximum expected flow rate from the entire area of the landfill that warrants control over the intended use period of the gas control or treatment system equipment.	The proposed system is designed to handle the maximum flow for the GCCS, as estimated from U.S. EPA's landfill gas generation model (LANDGem).	
§60.752(b)(2)(ii)(A)(2) 3745-76-07(B)(2)(b)(i)(b)	The GCCS shall collect gas from each area, cell, or group of cells in the landfill in which the initial solid waste has been placed for a period of 5 years or more if active, or 2 years or more if closed or at final grade.	The proposed system is designed to collect gas from all areas of the landfill that warrant collection. All areas are at final grade pending developments associated with the Administrative Order.	
§60.752(b)(2)(ii)(A)(3) 3745-76-07(B)(2)(b)(i)(c)	The GCCS shall collect gas at a sufficient extraction rate.	Landfill gas will be collected at a sufficient rate, as will be confirmed during future surface emissions monitoring of the landfill. Rates of collection may be modified based upon results of surface emissions monitoring.	
§60.752(b)(2)(ii)(A)(4) 3745-76-07(B)(2)(b)(i)(d)	The GCCS shall be designed to minimize off-site migration of subsurface gas.	The GCCS outlined in this Plan is designed to minimize off-site migration of subsurface gas by reducing gas pressures within the landfill.	
<pre>§60.752(b)(2)(iii)(A) 3745-76- 07(B)(2)(b)(ii)(c)(i)</pre>	All collected gas shall be routed to an open flare designed and operated in accordance with §60.18.	A flare will be designed and operated according to §60.18.	

§60.752(b)(2)(iii)(B) 3745-76- 07(B)(2)(b)(ii)(c)(ii)	All collected gas shall be routed to a control system designed and operated to reduce NMOC by 98 percent weight, or when an enclosed combustion device is used for control, to either reduce NMOC by 98 percent weight or to reduce the outlet NMOC concentration to less than 20 parts per million by volume, dry basis as hexane, at 3 percent oxygen.	The control system will consist of a flare operated to reduce NMOC by 98 percent.	
\$60.752(b)(2)(iii)(B) ^{12/} 3745-76- 07(B)(2)(b)(ii)(c)(ii)	The reduction efficiency or parts per million by volume shall be established by an initial performance test, required under §60.8, using the test methods specified in §60.754(d).	Initial performance testing will occur following completion of the system.	
§60.752(b)(2)(iii)(B)(1) 3745-76- 07(B)(2)(b)(ii)(c)(i)(a)	If a boiler or process heater is used as a control device, the landfill gas stream shall be introduced into the flame zone.	Not applicable.	
§60.752(b)(2)(iii)(B)(2) 3745-76- 07(B)(2)(b)(ii)(c)(i)(b)	The control device shall be operated within the parameter ranges established during the initial or most recent performance test. The operating parameters to be monitored are specified in §60.756.	The flare will be operated within the parameters established during the initial performance testing, as will be presented in the initial Annual Compliance Report.	
3745-76- 07(B)(2)(b)(ii)(c)(i)(b)	Route the gas to a treatment system that processes the collected gas for subsequent sale or use. All emissions from any atmospheric vent from the gas treatment system shall be subject to the requirements of paragraph $3745-76-07$ (B)(2)(c)(i) or (B)(2)(c)(ii) of this rule.	Not applicable.	
§60.759(a)(1) 3745-76-14(A)(1)	A professional engineer shall certify collection devices within the interior and along the perimeter areas to achieve comprehensive control of surface gas emissions.	Collection devices will provide comprehensive control of surface emissions, as will be confirmed by future surface emissions monitoring.	

§60.759(a)(1) 3745-76-14(A)(1)	The following issues shall be addressed in the design: depth of refuse, refuse gas generation rates, flow characteristics, cover properties, gas system expandability, leachate management, condensate management, accessibility, compatibility with filling operations, integration with closure end use, air intrusion control, corrosion resistance, fill settlement, and resistance to the refuse decomposition heat.	All applicable issues are addressed within this Plan.	
§60.759(a)(2) 3745-76-14(A)(2)	Gas collection devices shall be installed in sufficient density to address landfill gas migration issues and augmentation of the collection system through use of active or passive systems at the landfill perimeter or exterior.	Gas collection devices will be installed at a sufficient density to facilitate control, as will be confirmed by future surface emissions monitoring.	
§60.759(a)(3) 3745-76-14(A)(3)	Placement of gas collection devices shall control all gas producing areas, except those from asbestos, non-degradable, and non-productive areas of the landfills as delineated below.	Gas will be controlled in all gas-producing areas of the landfill as described in this Plan.	
§60.759(a)(3)(i) 3745-76-14(A)(3)(a)	Segregated areas of asbestos or non-degradable material may be excluded from collection if sufficiently documented.	Segregated areas containing asbestos and non-degradable materials are excluded from the GCCS as documented in this Plan.	
§60.759(a)(3)(ii) 3745-76-14(A)(3)(b)	Any non-productive areas of the landfill may be excluded from control, provided excluded areas can be shown to contribute less than 1 percent of the total amount of NMOC emissions from the landfill.	The Northeast Canyon area and portions of the Eastern Perimeter area have been excluded from the GCCS for reasons addressed in this Plan.	
3745-76-14(A)(3)(c)	The values for k, L_o , and C_{NMOC} determined in field-testing shall be used, if field-testing has been performed in determining the NMOC emission rate or radii of influence. If field-testing has not been performed, the default values for k, L_o , and C_{NMOC} provided in paragraph (A)(1) of rule 3745-76- 09 of the Administrative Code shall be used.	The C_{NMOC} value from AP-42 (595 ppmv as hexane) will be used in calculating emission from the landfill. The AP-42 default values for k and L_0 will be used: 0.02 yr ⁻¹ and 100 m ³ /Mg, respectively.	

§60.759(b)(1) 3745-76-14(B)(1)	LFG extraction components shall be constructed of PVC, HDPE, fiberglass, stainless steel, or other non-porous corrosion-resistant material.	The GCCS will be constructed of non-porous, corrosive resistant materials, including PVC or HDPE.	
§60.759(b)(1) 3745-76-14(B)(1)	Dimensions of gas extraction components shall be sufficient to convey projected amounts of gas; withstand installation, static, and settlement forces; and withstand planned overburden or traffic loads.	The GCCS design is sized sufficiently to convey the projected amount of gas for the system. Adequacy of the proposed system will be confirmed during future surface emissions monitoring. The system components are consistent with the "state-of- the-practice" for modern GCCS designs and can withstand the installation and operational stresses placed on the components.	
§60.759(b)(1) 3745-76-14(B)(1)	The collection system shall extend as necessary to comply with the emission and migration standards.	The GCCS will be expanded as necessary to conform to emission standards set forth in the NSPS. Future expansion of the GCCS will be performed in accordance with scheduling requirements set forth in the NSPS or an approved alternative schedule. Adequacy of the proposed system will be confirmed during future surface emissions monitoring.	
§60.759(b)(1) 3745-76-14(B)(1)	Collection devices such as wells and horizontal collectors shall be perforated to allow gas entry without head loss sufficient to impair performance across the intended extent of control.	Collection wells are perforated so as not to increase head loss, in accordance with current "state-of-the-practice" methods.	
§60.759(b)(1) 3745-76-14(B)(1)	Perforations shall be situated with regard to the need to prevent excessive air infiltration.	Perforations typically are at least 10 to 15 feet below existing grade, which is sufficient to control excessive air infiltration.	

§60.759(b)(2) 3745-76-14(B)(2)	Vertical wells shall be placed so as not to endanger underlying liners and shall address the occurrence of water within the landfill.	This Site does not have a liner.	
§60.759(b)(2) 3745-76-14(B)(2)	Holes and trenches constructed for piped wells and horizontal collectors shall be of sufficient cross-sectional area so as to allow for their proper construction and completion including the centering of pipes and placement of gravel backfill.	Wells will be designed with boreholes of diameter sufficient for proper installation of well casings and backfill materials.	
§60.759(b)(2) 3745-76-14(B)(2)	Collection devices shall be designed so as not to allow indirect short-circuiting of air into the cover or refuse into the collection system or gas into the air.	Wells are designed to prohibit short-circuiting of air into cover or refuse.	
§60.759(b)(2) 3745-76-14(B)(2)	Any gravel used around pipe perforations shall be of sufficient dimension so as not to penetrate or block perforations.	Gravel used for backfill will be of sufficient size to not penetrate or block perforations.	
§60.759(b)(3) 3745-76-14(B)(3)	Collection devices may be connected to the collection header pipes below or above the landfill surface.	Collection devices will be connected above grade to the header system.	
§60.759(b)(3) 3745-76-14(B)(3)	The connector assembly shall include a positive closing throttle valve, any necessary seals and couplings, access couplings, and at least one sampling port.	Wells will incorporate a control valve, sampling ports, seals and couplings, and access points.	
§60.759(b)(3) 3745-76-14(B)(3)	Collection devices shall be constructed of PVC, HDPE, fiberglass, stainless steel, or other non-porous material of suitable thickness.	The system is constructed of "state-of-the- practice" materials, with proven performance in landfills across the United States. The wells and piping shall be constructed of PVC or HDPE.	
§60.759(c) 3745-76-14(C)	The gas mover equipment shall be sized to handle the maximum gas generation flow rate expected over the intended use period of the gas moving equipment.	The proposed gas moving system was designed to handle the maximum flow rate derived from the LANDGem model for the GCCS service area.	

1

TABLE 1.1 REGULATORY COMPLIANCE CHECKLIST
SUNRISE MOUNTAIN LANDFILL

§60.759(c)(1) 3745-76-14(C)(1)	For existing gas collection systems, existing flow data shall be used to project the maximum flow rate. If no flow data exists, procedures delineated in the item below shall be used.	No existing gas collection system is present.	
§60.759(c)(2) 3745-76-14(C)(2)	For new collection systems, the maximum flow rate shall be in accordance with the methods specified in §60.755(a)(1). This value shall be based on use of the modified Scholl Canyon LFG Emissions Model, and may use AP-42 defaults for k and L_0 .	The maximum flow for evaluating the existing system was derived from the LANDGem model using AP-42 defaults.	

ü

SECTION 2.0 EXISTING SITE CONDITIONS

2.1 LANDFILL DESCRIPTION

The Site includes the Sunrise Mountain Landfill, which lies on a 720-acre parcel of land that is leased to Clark County by the BLM; and three adjacent areas known as the Northeast Canyon Area, the Eastern Perimeter Area, and the Western Burn Pit Area. The Site is located approximately 3 miles east of Las Vegas, in Clark County, Nevada (Figure 1, Site Location Map, Appendix A), and is situated on the eastern edge of Las Vegas Valley, immediately southeast of Frenchman Mountain. The Site location is also depicted on the title sheet (Drawing 1, Appendix A). Drawing 2, Appendix A, depicts existing conditions at the Site.

According to the Historical Landfill Assessment Report (SCS Engineers, 2001), the Site began to receive municipal solid waste (MSW) in 1951 under management of the Southern Nevada Disposal Service Company. Disposal Urban Maintenance Processing Company, Inc. (DUMPCo) began operating the landfill in 1955 and stopped accepting waste on October 8, 1993.

2.1.1 Waste Quantity And Types

Using the data obtained as part of the Historic Landfill Assessment Report (SCS Engineers, 2001), the total volume of MSW at the Site was estimated. At the beginning of this analysis (in 1952), the daily waste rate was assumed to be 400 tons per day. In years that the daily rate or annual waste rate was not known, the rate was assumed. Refer to Tables 2.1a and 2.1b below for a summary of MSW tonnages.

Year	Number	Daily Rate	Annual Tonnage	Period Total	Cumulative
	of Years	(tons) (1)	(tons)	(tons)	Tonnage (tons)
1952-60	9	400	146,000	1,314,000	1,314,000
1961-70	10	730	266,450	2,664,500	3,978,500
1971-80	10	1060	386,900	3,869,000	7,847,500
1981-85	5	1390	507,350	2,536,750	10,384,250
1986	1	1710	624,000	624,000	11,008,250
1887	1	2160	788,400	788,400	11,796,650
1988	1	2610	952,650	952,650	12,749,300
1989	1	3060	1,116,900	1,116,900	13,866,200
1990	1	3500	1,277,500	1,277,500	15,143,700
1991-93	3	3425	1,250,000	3,750,000	18,831,700

Table 2.1aSummary of MSW TonnagesUsing Daily Waste Rates Based on Historical Research

(1) Bold figures were obtained from historical documents. All others were assumed or extrapolated.

G

Table 2.1bSummary of Extrapolated Annual and Cumulative MSW Tonnages

1

2

		11.02
Year	Tons disposed	Cumulative tons
1951	146,000	146,000
1952	146,000	292,000
1953	146,000	438,000
1954	146,000	584,000
1955	146,000	730,000
1956	146,000	876,000
1957	146,000	1,022,000
1958	146,000	1,168,000
1959	146,000	1,314,000
1960	146,000	1,460,000
1961	266,450	1,726,450
1962	266,450	1,992,900
1963	266,450	2,259,350
1964	266,450	2,525,800
1965	266,450	2,792,250
1966	266,450	3,058,700
1967	266,450	3,325,150
1968	266,450	3,591,600
1969	266,450	3,858,050
1970	266,450	4,124,500
1971	386,900	4,511,400
1972	386,900	4,898,300
1973	386,900	5,285,200
1974	386,900	5,672,100
1975	386,900	6,059,000
1976	386,900	6,445,900
1977	386,900	6,832,800
1978	386,900	7,219,700
1979	386,900	7,606,600
1980	386,900	7,993,500
1981	507,350	8,500,850
1982	507,350	9,008,200
1983	507,350	9,515,550
1984	507,350	10,022,900
1985	507,350	10,530,250
1986	624,000	11,154,250
1987	788,400	11,942,650
1988	952,650	12,895,300
1989	1,116,900	14,012,200
1990	1,277,500	15,289,700
1991	1,250,000	16,539,700
1992	1,250,000	17,789,700
1993	1,042,000	18,831,700

Ö

Assuming the MSW was compacted at 1,000 pounds per cubic yard, the cumulative volume of 18,831,700 tons equates to 37,787,400 cubic yards of waste.

Based on the documents reviewed and the interviews conducted as part of the Historic Landfill Assessment Report (SCS Engineers, 2001), the waste-in-place at the Site includes the following types:

- Municipal Solid Waste;
- Petroleum Contaminated Soil;
- Asbestos;
- Construction Debris;
- Sewage Sludge;
- Septage Waste;
- Medical Waste; and
- Dead Animals Waste.

2.1.2 Landfill Areas

The Site consists of the 720-acre leased property, the Northeast Canyon Area, the Eastern Perimeter Area, and the Western Burn Pits Area. The Site was further differentiated into twelve distinct regions as shown in Drawing 2, in Appendix A.

Using the information contained in the Historical Landfill Assessment Report (SCS Engineers, 2001), the approximate size and average waste depth for each region was assumed. This data is summarized in Table 2.2.

Region No.	Region Description	Approximate Area (Acres)	Assumed Average Waste Depth (ft)
1	Western Side of Lower Southern Flats	59.7	35
2	Eastern Side of Lower Southern Flats	89.4	35
3	Dead Animals	2.3	25
4	Side Slopes of Top Deck	117.0	105
5	Upper Deck of the Top Deck	73.2	105
6	Asbestos Waste	8.6	20
7	Construction Debris	3.4	15
8	Eastern Perimeter	27.5	28
9	Northeast Canyon	44.4	17

Table 2.2Summary of Distinct Site Regions

Q

RSSN will evaluate the data obtained during the on-going landfill assessment, and use this data as necessary to develop the final design of the GCCS.

Off-Lease Areas

The Northeast Canyon and Eastern Perimeter Areas are located off the 720-acre lease property. The Northeast Canyon Area consists of approximately 44.4-acres, which contains an estimated waste volume of 1,216,505 cubic yards. Thus, the average depth of waste in the Northeast Canyon Area equals less than 17 feet. The Eastern Perimeter Area consists of approximately 27.5-acres, which contains approximately 1,257,738 cubic yards of waste. Thus, the average depth of waste in the Eastern Perimeter Area equals approximately 28 feet.

2.1.3 Final Cover System

According to the Sunrise Mountain Landfill Closure Plan (Harding Lawson, 1994), an EPAprescriptive final cover system was constructed over portions of the Site regulated by EPA Subtitle D. Approximately 180 acres of EPA-prescriptive final cover was constructed on the following regions:

- Western Side of Southern Flats.
- Upper Deck of the Top Deck.

The EPA-prescriptive final cover consists of the following layers:

- Infiltration layer consisting of a minimum of 18 inches of low permeability soil (k < 1.0 x 10-5 cm/sec.)
- Erosion soil layer consisting of a minimum of 6 inches of native soil materials.

Prior to installing the infiltration layer, a minimum 12-inch thick structural fill layer was placed over the existing intermediate cover to achieve closure grades.

According to the Historical Landfill Assessment Report (SCS Engineers, 2001), portions of the Site that accepted waste prior to October 9, 1991 were closed in accordance with September 1990 Clark County Health District Regulations Governing Solid Waste Sites & Facilities. These regulations required a final cover of at least 24 inches of earthen material (in addition to the daily cover) to be placed over the entire surface of all completed portions of the landfill.

2.2 LANDFILL GAS MODELING

Preliminary LFG modeling using conservative default values specified in the Initial Design Capacity Report and Tier 1 NMOC Emission Rate Report, (SCS Engineers, 2000) indicated that the Sunrise Mountain Landfill currently emits in excess of 50 Mg/year of NMOCs. According to the guidelines, RSSN may opt to perform Tier 2 and Tier 3 analyses using specific data acquired through field-testing. However, RSSN has decided to implement a LFG

Ű

control system based on Tier 1 results. The proposed LFG system design will meet the NMOC emissions control system design and operation criteria.

2.3 LANDFILL GAS SURFACE EMISSION MONITORING

Between December 15, 1999 and January 28, 2000, SCS performed surface emissions monitoring of methane (CH₄) concentrations at the Sunrise Mountain Landfill property (720-acre parcel), the Eastern Perimeter Area, the Northeast Canyon Area, and the Western Burn Pit Area. This surface monitoring including 2,078 points at the Site. The purpose of the monitoring was to provide data for LFG characterization and to estimate the level of coverage needed for LFG collection system design. Methane gas concentrations were measured using a Landtech GEM 500 portable flame ionization detector (FID) equipped with a probe extension to allow the measurement of methane concentrations approximately 3 inches above the ground surface.

Thirteen points out of 2,078 possessed elevated methane concentrations of 500 parts per million (ppm) or above during the December 15, 1999 monitoring event. The elevated methane measurements were found in two general areas within the 720-acre leased property: in the east-central portion and in the west-southwest portion. No elevated methane (i.e., at or above 500 ppm) measurements were located in the Eastern Perimeter Area, the Northeast Canyon Area, or the Western Burn Pit Area. On January 28, 2000, only three points were identified above 500 ppm methane. Those three points were re-tested and found to be below 500 ppm methane.

Ö

SECTION 3.0 FUTURE SITE DEVELOPMENT

Future developments at the Site may have an impact on the development of a GCCS Plan. These future developments include investigations, characterizations, and remedial actions associated with the Administrative Order and the facility's Work Plan. Requirements of the Work Plan could effect this GCCS Plan for the Site include landfill gas surface emissions monitoring, assessment of the landfill's existing final cover, possible amendments to the final cover, installation of storm water control structures, and possible relocation of waste that is currently outside the 720-acre lease area.

Q

SECTION 4.0 LANDFILL GAS COLLECTION AND CONTROL SYSTEM

4.1 SYSTEM OBJECTIVES

Consistent with SCS' scope of services, the LFG collection and control system components will be sized to control LFG generated in the existing methane-generating portions of Site. The objectives of the proposed landfill gas collection and control system are as follows:

- Complying with air emissions regulations;
- Controlling odors;
- Maintaining LFG migration control, and
- Maintaining the integrity of the cap.

All of the above objectives rely on efficient collection of LFG generated in the landfill. The collected LFG is routed to combustion equipment. Uncollected LFG emitted to the atmosphere can cause odors, as well as violate new regulations regarding emissions of non-methane organic compounds (NMOC). The presence of a cap greatly reduces the potential for such emissions, but does not reduce the need for LFG collection to control LFG.

4.2 BASIS OF DESIGN

4.2.1 Landfill Gas Generation Modeling

SCS compiled an approximate fill history of the landfill. This information was assimilated and inserted into the EPA's Landfill Gas Generation Model (LANDGem Model) to provide a preliminary assessment of LFG recovery potential at the landfill and to provide a basis for the conceptual LFG collection system design.

Since the landfill ceased receiving municipal solid waste (MSW) in October 1993, LFG (and, thus methane) generation peaked in 1994. Since 1994, LFG generation has decreased and will continue to do so. The LANDGem Model estimates the maximum LFG generation rate at Site to be 5.21 million cubic feet per day (MMcfd), which equates to approximately 3,619 cfm occurring in 1994. The LANDGem estimates that in year 2002, the Site will generate approximately 4.44 MMcfd, or 3,084 cfm.

The maximum collection rate for a GCCS at this Site, assuming 75% collection efficiency, is estimated to be 2,313 cfm in year 2002. For purposes of sizing collection piping, the blower station, and estimating condensate production, SCS used a design flow rate equal to 2,400 cfm. The tabular outputs from the LANDGem Model are included in Appendix B. If additional information is obtained as a result of field investigations and evaluations, the design flow may be adjusted.

Q

4.2.2 GCCS Coverage

According to the *Enabling Document for the New Source Performance Standards and Emission Guideline for Municipal Solid Waste Landfills* (Enabling Document) (§60.759(a)(3)(i) 3745-76-14(A)(3)(a), segregated areas of asbestos or non-degradable material may be excluded from collection if sufficiently documented. In addition, item §60.759(a)(3)(ii) 3745-76-14(A)(3)(b)) states that any non-productive areas of the landfill may be excluded from control, provided excluded areas can be shown to contribute less than 1 percent of the total amount of NMOC emissions from the landfill. SCS has identified areas both within the 720-acre leased area as well as outside it, which fall into one of the two categories.

Land devoted exclusively to asbestos, construction and demolition debris (CDD), medical waste, septic waste (now empty), and soil biotreatment (the soil was remediated and utilized as daily cover) will be excluded from the GCCS. These areas are located within the 720-acre leased area, and occupy approximately 30 acres.

The Northeast Canyon area and portions of the Eastern Perimeter area are believed to contain some of the oldest waste disposed of at the site. Induced polarization (IP), aerial photography, topographic maps, calculations, and test pits demonstrate that waste in the majority of these areas is shallow (~20-30-feet). These areas, illustrated on Drawing 2, are estimated to contain approximately 2.5 million cubic yards (cy) of waste. Assuming a waste density of 1,000 pounds per cubic yard (lb/cy), approximately 1.24 million tons of waste is located in these areas. The LANDGem Model estimated methane and NMOC generation rates in these areas. Assuming the waste in these areas was deposited from 1951-1960, the resulting NMOC generation in 2002 from waste placed in these areas was approximately 4% of the Site's total NMOC generation in 2002.

The Northeast Canyon area and portions of the Eastern Perimeter area are excluded from the GCCS based on the following factors:

- Surface emissions monitoring in the area revealed no detectable concentrations of methane at or above 500 parts per million (ppm).
- NMOC concentrations are close to the 1 percent NSPS exclusion requirement threshold;
- GCCS design in these areas would be premature based on the on going investigations, characterizations, and remedial actions associated with the Administrative Order, and
- Shallow waste depths prohibit effective landfill gas extraction with vertical wells;

Surface emissions monitoring will continue in these areas in accordance with the Administrative Order. If methane emissions in these areas exceed 500 ppm, the expansion of the GCCS design will be evaluated.

Õ

4.3 VERTICAL EXTRACTION WELL DEPTH AND SPACING

A total of 70 vertical wells are proposed for the Site as shown on Drawing 4, Appendix A. Vertical extraction wells will be installed up to depths equivalent to 3/4 of the depth of refuse. The actual well depth and spacing may be modified based on field conditions when compared to modeling data. SCS assumes that the effective radius of influence of each extraction well is approximately 2.5 times the well depth (i.e., a well depth of 80 feet would correspond to a radius of influence of 200 feet). This assumption is based on SCS full-scale system operations experience at other landfills. A well schedule containing a list of all 70 proposed extraction wells, their locations, depths, slotted pipe lengths, and theoretical radii of influence is also contained in Drawing 4.

4.4 WELL FIELD PIPE SIZING

The LFG collection piping which delivers the LFG to the blower/flare station or utilization facilities is sized to consider the head losses throughout the piping network to minimize the vacuum requirements of the system. The extraction blower and header piping will be designed to deliver a minimum of 10 inches of water column (in.-w.c.) of vacuum to each extraction wellhead in the LFG collection system. The collection system components will be conservatively sized based on the LFG generation rate. Sample headloss/pipe sizing calculations are contained in Appendix B.

Criteria that will control the sizing of header piping are as follows:

- **Gas Velocity** Design gas velocities will not exceed 2,400 feet per minute (fpm) for flows concurrent with the slope, and 1,200 fpm for flows against the slope.
- Unit Head Loss Head losses in any given section of piping will be standardized to a 100-foot section which shall not have head losses in excess of one inch of water column.

The header and lateral piping will be constructed of PVC or HDPE, and will be, installed above grade. A minimum slope of 1 percent is specified for piping when LFG flow is concurrent with condensate flow. When LFG flow opposes condensate flow, a minimum 2 percent slope is specified. A three percent slope is planned for piping on the landfill surface.

Since the landfill area in the 720-acre leased area consists of essentially two distinct areas, the Top Deck and the Southern Flats, the GCCS was designed with two main headers linked by a common blower/flare station.

ű

4.5 BLOWER/FLARE STATION

4.5.1 Blower Selection

Blower selection for the GCCS is based on the landfill gas generation model results presented earlier in Section 4.2.1. As a conservative measure when sizing blowers, SCS typically uses the LFG generation rate in lieu of the collection rate to account for the unpredictable nature of LFG production. Since the Site ceased accepting waste in October 1993, the LFG generation in 2002 represents the maximum generation, which the system will need to be capable of handling. Additionally, for purposes of conservative modeling and due to a lack of exact fill data, SCS did not subtract potentially non-productive or inert tonnage from the total MSW used in the LANDGem. In other words, waste volumes from areas excluded from the GCCS, discussed in Section 4.2.2, were not excluded from the modeling analysis. This tactic ensures that the blower and flare will be sized sufficiently to handle gas generation. Thus, the maximum LFG generation rate that the system must control (occurring in 2002) is 3,084 cfm. Assuming a collection efficiency of 75% (based on AP-42), the collection rate equals 2,313 cfm. For purposes of sizing the blower station on a conceptual level, SCS used a maximum design flow rate equal to 3,000 cfm. Blower and flare design calculations are contained in Appendix B.

Two blowers at 1,500 cfm each are specified to operate in parallel for a combined total flow capacity of 3,000 cfm. The use of two blowers offers increased flexibility in LFG control.

SCS anticipates the following specifications for the blower. Actual specifications will be developed during the development of Construction Drawings and Specifications:

- Blowers are industrial grade, centrifugal type to provide a wide range of flows and long-term service. The blowers shall have non-sparking totally enclosed fan cooled (TEFC) motors appropriate for National Electric Code (NEC) Class 1 Division 2 environments. The blowers will not be housed in an enclosed structure; therefore, they are not required to be explosion proof.
- Blower capacity of 1,500 cfm at approximately 60 inches of water column inlet vacuum and 20 inches outlet pressure (vacuum ratings based on similarly sized extraction systems at other landfills).
- 20 to 30 HP TEFC motors wired for 480V, 3-phase service.
- Piping, fittings, and blind flanges to allow for future system modification.

4.5.2 Flare Selection

The LFG collection system at Site will require a flare to control LFG emissions. The flare does not preclude the implementation of a LFG utilization facility and would be necessary if the utilization system were off line. The flare is intended to be a candle-stick type.



ŵ

4.5.3 Instrumentation/Control System Design

The flare system will be equipped with an automatic control system. When activated, the flare controller automatically will activate the pilot system; upon successful ignition of the pilot, the controller will start the blower and ignite the flare. The pilot will be extinguished following successful ignition of the main flame. If the flame is extinguished, the controller will sense the outage, shut off the LFG supply, and reset/restart the system after a prescribed shutdown time. The system will automatically restart for any number of flameouts unless prevented by equipment malfunctions. Several conditions (primarily equipment malfunctions and temperature extremes) will propagate a system shutdown; these conditions will trip an alarm and will not allow a restart of the system without a manual reset.

- Anticipated flare control equipment will include:
- Flow indicator.
- Thermocouples to indicate stack temperatures for blower shutdown for low or high temperature alarm conditions.
- Chart recorder to record temperature and flow.
- Automatic propane pilot for ignition.
- Interface with blower controls for automatic motor starting following pilot ignition.
- Alarm indicators for high and low temperatures, flame outage, and blower failure.
- A programmable logic controller (PLC) will be specified to receive signals from the devices listed above and communicate with the appropriate equipment (flare, blower, valves, etc.) to perform the necessary function.

4.5.4 Condensate Production and Control

Condensate is formed as the temperature of LFG extracted from the landfill decreases in the collection system piping. Seven condensate traps and two condensate sumps are planned for the GCCS. The actual number of traps and sumps may vary depending on field conditions. Management of LFG condensate at the Sunrise Mountain Landfill will be handled as follows:

- Condensate formed in the lateral piping from the wellhead to the header will drain into the header pipes (except when laterals are at localized low points).
- Condensate formed in the header piping will drain into either a condensate trap (designated CT-# on Drawing 4) or a condensate sump/tank (designated CS-# on Drawing 4). Traps and sump/tanks are designed at low points in the piping system.

Ü

Condensate traps are designed to collect condensate from the header system and dispose it back into the landfill. Condensate sump/tanks were designed to collect condensate in areas where traps were not feasible. The sump/tanks will store condensate temporarily prior to proper disposal.

Condensate in header piping can form a blockage in the gas system if it collects in a low point and is not removed from the header system. To maintain positive drainage, a minimum 3 percent slope is specified for collection piping on the landfill surface. Differential settlement under the collection piping is less of a concern in areas off the refuse mounds, so a minimum slope of 1 percent is anticipated for piping located on natural soil when LFG flow is in the direction of condensate flow. On natural ground, when LFG flow opposes condensate flow in the header, a minimum 2 percent slope is anticipated.

The total quantity of condensate collected by the LFG system is expected to be highest during the winter months when the temperature differential of LFG from the wellhead to the flare station is greatest. Condensate collection estimates for winter were calculated (see Appendix B) to be approximately 1,905 gallons per day for both sections of the landfill. This value represents the anticipated maximum daily amount from 2,400 cfm of LFG, which is the anticipated collection rate if an LFG temperature of 120 degrees F at the wellhead and 40 degrees F at the blower is assumed.

SECTION 5 COMPLIANCE REVIEW AND EVALUATION

The purpose of this Section is to describe and document information required to certify compliance of the GCCS with the applicable sections of 40 CFR 60.759 - Specifications for active collection systems, including:

- §60.759 (a) Compliance with §60.752 (b) (2) (ii).
- §60.759 (b) Construction procedures.
- §60.759 (c) Conveyance of LFG in compliance with §60.752 (b) (2) (iii).

Additionally, portions of 40 CFR 60.755 - Compliance provisions relevant to GCCS specifications are addressed, including:

- §60.755 (a) (1) Calculations for maximum expected gas generation flow rate.
- §60.755 (a) (2) Sufficient density of gas collectors.
- §60.755 (a) (3) Collection system flow rate sufficiency.
- §60.755 (a) (5) Identification of excess air infiltration.

The information presented below is applicable to the existing GCCS.

5.1 COMPLIANCE WITH §60.759 (a) (1)

The following report sections address compliance with the applicable sections of §60.759 (a).

5.1.1 Control of Surface Emissions

Surface emissions will be controlled by the collection of LFG utilizing the GCCS and the final cover system at the landfill. Currently the existing cover is controlling surface emissions without the active GCCS for the majority of the Site, as documented in Section 2.3.

5.1.2 Depths of Refuse

The elevation of the landfill bottom, peak elevations, maximum estimated depth of refuse, and estimated average depth of refuse for the entire landfill is provided herein.

5.1.3 Refuse Gas Generation Rates and Flow Characteristics

LFG generation modeling was used to estimate the LFG generation rate for the landfill. The LFG generation rate provided by this method was then used to evaluate the adequacy of the GCCS design. Waste disposal rates used in the model were obtained from the Historical Landfill Assessment Report (SCS Engineers, 2001).

Modeling was performed using the LANDGem Model, with k and Lo inputs recommended by

G

EPA's AP-42 (November 1998) for dry sites. As shown in Appendix B, the model uses a k of 0.02 and L_0 of 100 cubic meters per megagram (m³/Mg).

As shown in Appendix B, LFG generation peaked in 1994, the year after closure, and has been declining since then. The LFG collection system is designed to handle the maximum LFG flows expected to occur in 2002. Appendix B shows that the landfill is expected to generate 8.10×10^8 cubic feet of methane in 2002, which equates to 3,084 scfm of LFG, assuming a methane content of 50 percent. Assuming a LFG collection efficiency of 75 percent, which, according to AP-42, is typical for most landfills, the estimated average LFG flow from the landfill is about 2,313 scfm. Actual LFG flow will vary based on field conditions encountered.

5.1.4 Landfill Final Cover System

See Section 2.1.3.

5.1.5 Gas System Expandability

As described above, the GCCS has sufficient capability to accommodate collection of up to 2,400 scfm of LFG. The GCCS also has sufficient capacity to accommodate installation of additional wells or other collection methods at the Site, should they be required, based upon surface emissions monitoring.

5.1.6 Condensate Management

For the GCCS, seven (7) condensate traps and two (2) condensate sumps/tanks will collect the condensate, by gravity, from the low points along the GCCS LFG header. The condensate sump/tanks will be emptied manually on a regular basis. The actual number and location of the traps and sumps will determined during the development of Construction Drawings and Specifications based on field conditions at that time.

5.1.7 Leachate Management

There is no leachate collection system constructed at the landfill.

5.1.8 Accessibility

Access roads provide site access.

5.1.9 Integration with Closure End Use

No end uses currently are proposed for the closed landfill.

Čð

5.1.10 Air Intrusion Control

Air intrusion control for the GCCS is provided by installation of the final cover system installed over the entire site. The use of 10 to 15-foot minimum blank sections on the vertical well casings in the interior extraction wells and bentonite plugs at top of casings provide additional control for potential air intrusion. Air intrusion control is also provided by well field tuning to reduce the oxygen and nitrogen content of the collected LFG to a level at or below the regulatory limits of 5 percent oxygen and 20 percent nitrogen. Oxygen and nitrogen levels will be reduced (as necessary) by reducing the vacuum placed on individual wells

5.1.11 Corrosion Resistance

In general, the system components described in Section 4 of this report represent "state-of-thepractice" materials, and have proven to be resistant to corrosion with proper installation, operations, and maintenance in GCCS applications across the United States.

5.1.12 Fill Settlement

Settlement or subsidence of waste fill can affect a GCCS in numerous ways, including:

- Damage or destruction of header and lateral piping systems.
- Blockage of header and lateral piping systems, as a result of condensate collecting in the piping (at locations where the elevation of the top of the pipe drops below the elevation of the bottom of nearby pipe sections due to settlement), thereby blocking the flow of gas.
- Damage, displacement or destruction of well casings, seals, and filter materials, as a result of settlement in the landfill mass adjacent to the well.

Components or features incorporated into the GCCS design to address potential effects of settlement include collection system piping above the landfill surface, and flexible couplings installed in the header pipe.

5.1.13 Resistance to Decomposition Heat

The components incorporated into the GCCS have performed well historically when subjected to the heat of decomposition under normal operating conditions. Typically, the components used in a modern GCCS are resistive to temperatures not exceeding 150°F. The GCCS components which are most susceptible to heat damage include vertical extraction wells. PVC or HDPE well casings will be installed within the refuse mass. PVC and HDPE pipe has proven successful for numerous GCCS applications across the United States. Above grade pipe shall be either PVC or HDPE.

é.s

5.2 COMPLIANCE WITH §60.759 (a) (2)

The following section describes compliance with 60.759 (a) (2).

5.2.1 Density of Gas Collection Devices

Surface emissions are currently controlled over a majority of the Site without a GCCS. Based on surface emissions monitoring, only a few extraction wells would be required to control methane surface emissions at the Site. However, 70 wells are included in the GCCS design to collect landfill gas. Installation of these wells may be in a phased-approach based on actual field conditions encountered at the time of installation.

Based upon the criteria used for the evaluation, the GCCS should provide sufficient collection coverage to meet the NSPS surface emissions monitoring requirements. The adequacy of the well density will be confirmed during future surface emissions monitoring, including identifying any areas that may require additional control measures based upon the monitoring results.

5.3 COMPLIANCE WITH §60.759 (a) (3)

5.3.1 Collection Devices Placement

2.3

Collection devices will be installed throughout the landfill, as shown on Drawing 4 (Appendix A) with the exception of areas of asbestos or other non-degradable and/or non-productive waste fill.

5.4 COMPLIANCE WITH §60.759 (b) (1), (2), AND (3)

5.4.1 Construction of System Components

As described in previous sections of this report, the GCCS components are constructed of materials suitable for LFG applications.

5.5 COMPLIANCE WITH §60.759 (c) (1), (2)

5.5.1 Landfill Gas Conveyance

The GCCS blower/flare station is designed for a flow rate of 3,000 scfm, which is expected to provide sufficient gas management. The two header pipes are each capable of transporting up to 1,500 scfm of LFG. This collection rate exceeds the projected maximum gas flow rate of 1,200 scfm in each area. Therefore, the header piping system is anticipated to have sufficient capacity to provide LFG collection for the site.

Û

5.6 PLAN FOR SURFACE EMISSION MONITORING

Surface emissions monitoring will be performed as specified herein. These monitoring requirements have been developed in general accordance with the requirements set forth in NSPS. The required date to operate the GCCS is 18 months following the submittal of this GCCS Plan, or October 6, 2002. The first quarterly monitoring event (required by NSPS) will occur within three (3) months of the required operation date of the GCCS Plan, or January 6, 2003. Subsequent monitoring events will be scheduled in accordance with the NSPS Enabling Document. The actual route used for monitoring events will be shown on a field sketch attached to each future Surface Emissions Monitoring Report.

5.7 RECORDKEEPING

No variances to the recordkeeping requirements set forth in 40 CFR §60.757 (f) and (g) are proposed in this Plan. Recordkeeping shall be performed as set forth in the regulations.

F:\DATA\PROJECTS\9900701.sunrise\TASK17 GCCS Plan\SunriseGCCS.doc

APPENDIX A

.

FIGURES AND DRAWINGS



GAS COLLECTION AND CONTROL SYSTEM DESIGN DRAWINGS

FOR THE SUNRISE MOUNTAIN LANDFILL APRIL 6, 2001 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

LOCATION MAP



INDEX

- 1 TITLE SHEET
- 2 EXISTING SITE CONDITIONS
- 3 WASTE AREA MAP
- 4 GCCS DESIGN SITE PLAN
- 5 LANDFILL GAS EXTRACTION WELL DETAILS
- 6 CONDENSATE MANAGEMENT DETAILS
- 7 BLOWER FLARE SITE PLAN

A (GCCS)	REV DATE DESCRIPTION SCK
	ET THE THEET OVER THE SHEET OVER THE GAS COLLECTION AND CONTROL PLAN SUNRISE MOUNTAIN LANDFILL
FILE HISTORIA HISTORIA	R SCS ENGINEERS CLIBIT R STEARIS, CONTRUE AND SCHMUT CLIBIT R STEARIS, CONTRUE AND SCHMUT REPUBLIC SERVICES OF R STEARIS, CONTRUE AND SCHMUT SOUTHERN NEVADA R STOR NUT FING ENGINEERS SOUTHERN NEVADA R STOR NUT FING STOR NUT FING ENGINEERS SOUTHERN NEVADA R SOUTHERN NEVADA SOUTHERN NEVADA R SOU
NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION	SCALE AS SHOWN DRAWING NO 1 of 7





. ...



09021/99007.01\Task 17 - 6CCS_Plan\6CCS_DM64.dwg Thu Apr 0



0\\$0\$KPAQL\99007 01\Task 17 6CCS_Plan\GCCS_DMG5 dwg Thu Apr 05 13 11 49 2001

-





aD/SDSKPR0J/99007 01/Task 17 6CCS_Plan/6CCS_DM66 dwg Thu Apr 05 10 35.43 2001

LI

Li

Г '

LI



235KP90V(99007 01/1ask 17 - GCCS_Plan/GCCS_DMG7 dwg Thu Apr 05 10 35 22 2001

1. 1

APPENDIX B

CALCULATIONS

ter and the strandshift of the s

TABLE 1. PROJECTED LFG AND NMOC GENERATION RATES SUNRISE MOUNTAIN LANDFILL, LAS VEGAS, NEVADA

	<u></u>				Methane	LFG	NMOC	NMOC
	Disposal	Refuse	Disposal	Refuse	Generation	Generation	Generation	Generation
	Rate	In-Place	Rate_	In-Place	<u>Rates</u>	<u>Rates</u>	Rates	Rates
Year	(tons/yr)	(tons)	(Mg/yr)	(Mg)	(m ³ /yr)	(cfm)	(tons/yr)	(Mg/yr)
1951	146,000	0	132,449	0	0.000E+00	0	0	0
1952	146,000	146,000	132,449	132,449	2.649E+05	36	1	1
1953	146,000	292,000	132,449	264,898	5.246E+05	70	2	2
1954	146,000	438,000	132,449	397,347	7.791E+05	105	4	3
1955	146,000	584,000	132,449	529,796	1.029E+06	138	5	4
1956	146,000	730,000	132,449	662,245	1.273E+06	171	6	5
1957	146,000	876,000	132,449	794,694	1.513E+06	203	7	6
1958	146,000	1,022,000	132,449	927,143	1.748E+06	235	8	7
1959	146,000	1,168,000	132,449	1,059,592	1.978E+06	266	9	8
1960	146,000	1,314,000	132,449	1,192,041	2.204E+06	296	10	9
1961	266,450	1,460,000	241,719	1,324,490	2.425E+06	326	11	10
1962	266,450	1,726,450	241,719	1,566,209	2.860E+06	384	13	12
1963	266,450	1,992,900	241,719	1,807,928	3.287E+06	442	15	14
1964	266,450	2,259,350	241,719	2,049,648	3.706E+06	498	17	16
1965	266,450	2,525,800	241,719	2,291,367	4.116E+06	553	19	18
1966	266,450	2,792,250	241,719	2,533,087	4.518E+06	607	21	19
1967	266,450	3,058,700	241,719	2,774,806	4.912E+06	660	23	21
1968	266,450	3,325,150	241,719	3,016,525	5.298E+06	712	25	23
1969	266,450	3,591,600	241,/19	3,258,245	5.676E+06	763	27	24
1970	266,450	3,858,050	241,/19	3,499,964	6.04/E+06	813	28	26
19/1	386,900	4,124,500	350,990	3,741,683	6.411E+06	862	30	2/
1972	386,900	4,511,400	350,990	4,092,673	6.986E+06	939	33	30
1973	300,900	F 295 200	350,990	4,443,003	7.550E+06	1,015		32
1974	206,900	5,205,200	350,990	4,794,000	0.102E+00	1,009		33
1975	386,900	6 059 000	350,990	5,145,045	0.0770	1,102	41	30
1970	386 900	6 445 900	350,990	5 847 672	9.175E+00	1 303	45	
1978	386,900	6 832 800	350,990	6 198 612	1.020E+07	1,303	40	44
1970	386,900	7 219 700	350,990	6 549 602	1.020E+07	1 438	50	46
1980	386,900	7,606,600	350,990	6,900,592	1.119F+07	1,504	53	48
1981	507,350	7,993,500	460,260	7,251,581	1.168E+07	1,569	55	50
1982	507,350	8,500,850	460,260	7,711,841	1.236E+07	1.662	58	53
1983	507,350	9.008.200	460,260	8.172.102	1.304E+07	1.752	61	56
1984	507,350	9,515,550	460,260	8.632.362	1.370E+07	1.841	64	58
1985	507,350	10,022,900	460,260	9,092,622	1.435E+07	1,929	67	61
1986	624,000	10,530,250	566,083	9,552,882	1.499E+07	2,014	70	64
1987	788,400	11,154,250	715,224	10,118,965	1.582E+07	2,126	74	68
1988	952,650	11,942,650	864,230	10,834,190	1.694E+07	2,276	80	72
1989	1,116,900	12,895,300	1,013,235	11,698,419	1.833E+07	2,464	86	78
1990	1,277,500	14,012,200	1,158,929	12,711,654	2.000E+07	2,687	94	85
1991	1,250,000	15,289,700	1,133,981	13,870,583	2,192E+07	2,945	103	94
1992	1,250,000	16,539,700	1,133,981	15,004,564	2,375E+07	3,192	112	101
1993	1,042,000	17,789,700	945,287	16,138,545	2.555E+07	3,433	120	109
1994	0	18,831,700	0	17,083,831	2.694E+07	3,619	127	115
1995	0	18,831,700	0	17,083,831	2.640E+07	3,548	124	113
1996	0	18,831,700	0	17,083,831	2.588E+07	3,478	122	110
1997	0	18,831,700	0	17,083,831	2.537E+07	3,409	119	108
1998	0	18,831,700	0	17,083,831	2.486E+07	3,341	117	106
1999	0	18,831,700	0	17,083,831	2.437E+07	3,275	115	104
2000	0	18,831,700	0	17,083,831	2.389E+07	3,210	112	102
2001	0	18,831,700	0	17,083,831	2.342E+07	3,147		100
2002	0	18,831,700	0	17,083,831	2.295E+07	3,084	108	98
2003	0	18,831,/00	<u>0</u>	17,083,831	2.250E+07	3,023	106	96
2004	<u> </u>	10,031,/00	<u>v</u>	17,083,831	2.205E+07	2,963	104	94
2005		10,031,/00		17,003,031	2,1020+07	2,905	102	92
2000		19 971 700		17,003,051	2,1190+07	2,04/	100	90
2007		18 931 700		17,003,031	2.0//E+0/	2,791	38	89
2008		18 831 700		17,003,031	2.030E+07	2,730	30	8/
2009		18 831 700	0	17 083 831	1.955E+07	2,001	94 02	<u>50</u>
2010		18 831 700		17.083.831	1 917F+07	2,020	<u>92</u>	- <u>63</u>
		A 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10						

ESTIMATED NMOC CONCENTRATION IN LFG: ASSUMED METHANE CONTENT OF LFG: SELECTED DECAY RATE CONSTANT: SELECTED ULTIMATE METHANE RECOVERY RATE: METRIC EQUIVALENT:

-

-

Ŀ.,

2

_ _

595 ppmv 50% 0.02 3,203.7 ft3/ton 100 cu m/Mg

Conversions: 35.314667 cu ft per cu m 1.1023113 ton per Mg 32.037 cu ft/ton per cu m/Mg

3/14/01

	S	CS		N	G	IN	E	R	5	į.														•						
	ENV	'IRO	NME	NTA	r co	NSU	LTAN	ITS						j	ОВ															
•	2702		TH 44	th str	RET									5	HEET	NO				DF			s	CALE	_					
	PHO	INIX,	, AZ 85	008-1	583									Ċ	CALCU	LATED	BY						D	ATE .						
	602 (FAX (340-2 602 2	596 24-05	72										C	HECK	ED BY	·	-					D	ATE .						
	Γ	1										T	1	Τ	T	Τ	T	1	Γ		1			Τ	1		T		Τ	Τ
			-				-		Τ														1	1	-	1	1			1
					+					-			1										1	1	-		1			+
	-	+	+	+	-			+	+	1					+					$\left \right $	<u> </u>			- 			+			+
									+			1						-{			<u> </u>									+
																-													+	
																					<u> </u>									
																	┢──								ļ					
												<u> </u>			<u> </u>			 		<u> </u>			ļ			 		-	<u> </u>	
												ļ	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>				ļ					ļ	 		ļ	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
						<u> </u>				<u> </u>	ļ	ļ								ļ									L	
												<u> </u>				<u> </u>	<u> </u>				<u> </u>									
												Pi	NI	FA	ISA	TE		PRO	Dur	No	W									
				1								6																		
	-		1	1									MAN	AL	10	is		1												
								1		1	†Ľ					[<u> </u>					<u>†</u>
				1				 		<u> </u>												·								
																								<u> </u>						
		-																												$\left - \right $
				 																										
					<u> </u>	ļ	<u> </u>	ļ																						
		 ••												 																
				ļ																										
					ļ	ļ																								
ļ																														
																												<u> </u>		
			{			l																								

ENV	IRO	MME	NTA		NSU	LTAN	ITS						ļ	OB		δυμ	Cr}é		MT	LA	NOFI	LL	61	15				
2702	NORI	H 44T	H STR	EET													1			-	3			<u>~</u>				-
SUITE	105B	7 0 50	00.16												NO			`` م	ריי או		<u> </u>	°			M	dia 1	,	
602.8	40-25	12 83U 196	NO-12	100									C	ALCU	LAIL	, BX -		$\overline{\bigcirc}$	11			D		·	11=	e las		
FAX 6	02 22	4-057	2										(HECK	ED BY			<u>`</u> //	M			D	ATE .		<u>T/2</u>	101		
										1							1					Τ		T	Τ	1	Τ	T
					1-	+	1-						-												+	-		-+
						}	+					+-	+		+				+	+	+			+	+		+	╀
		Di	JE	701	TVE]																						
																								_				_
						E	\$TI	ha	TE.	6	ani	JEA	ISA	TE	A	RUL	\$1C	170	N	A	1							
				- <u></u>		6	1	110		417	1	An	br.			1					1	1					1	1
					+	120	-	<u>1 × k</u>	†	10.				<u></u>	·					<u> </u>				+			+	┢
																			+									+
			ļ				ļ,	<u> </u>				_			ļ	ļ				<u> </u>	L	ļ					\square	
		AS	Sui	hβ	Tic	N	$\frac{1}{2}$	61	EA	15																		
	•									<u></u>	-														T			Γ
					1.		Τ,	İ., ,	0	E	1	0,00	/	10	1.0	4.55	1A		<u> </u>				1	1	<u>†</u>	1	+	\uparrow
				-		19	-u	<u>IL (</u>	<u> </u>	16		101			010	<u>, , ,</u>	<u> </u>									+	+	┝
						ļ	<u> </u>					ļ												–		_	<u> </u>	╞
				•	TE	mp	ER	ATU	RE	0	F	LF	6	IN	4	NO	FIL	k ·	- 1	00	F				ļ	ļ		
				4	TE	in	DE	A		¢	OF	1	56	i	14	EAY	FA	, _	+ 4	200	F	1	t	hic	6.4	1		Ţ
				-					100		<u> </u>		1	11	<u> </u>	<u>C 7 11</u>	1.11		17	<u> </u>	1		<u> </u>	177	1	$rac{1}{2}$		┢
						ļ													<u> </u>						<u> </u>	+		┢
				ø	WE	<u> 16</u>	HT	0	FI	IA	TER.		AT	10	D'I	= =	19	- 11	6	gra	เว้น 5	1.	CF.	-(\$ <i>CC</i>	54	et	-
					<u> </u>		ļ				ļ								ļ			ļ		L	<u> </u>	L	<u> </u>	
					Wi	£16	HT	0	F I	WA	TER		AT	4	0° ł	+ =	2	14	9	910	ins	100	ł	/s	ec s	hee	4	3
																				5								
			<u> </u>		1	lh	=	70	000	G	Vai	45												<u> </u>	<u> </u>			┢
		~			-		┠───																					╞
		<u>P</u>	20 (FI	JUR	E	<u> </u>																			ļ		_
				ļ																			ļ	ļ	ļ	ļ		
			W	EI	a	r	OF	ι	ur	FRI		IN	4	46									:					
	}		<u>v</u> v				~ 0_					-																1
									1.1		1	10	21	(-	7	11	9	- 1	70	10		•	1	5	<u> </u>			┢
									N.	2411	n	1-1	16	-	~	. 17	/	- /	1.0	//	91	4125	1				╞───	
													.									11		ļ	<u> </u>	ar	4	
			Ċc	N	EA	SA	TE		PEI	2	M	MC	f	11	17	.01	7	110	ns	X	+	9		-	X	للد	<u> </u>	
																		C	F		/0	00	gra	m s		B.35	\$ 75	Γ
																								 	†			\vdash
														-	20	-, /	19.	a										┢─
							Ļ								27	1.4	19	-1	M	ACT				 	<u> </u>	 	┣—	
																								ļ	ļ		 	
														5A	4	2	90	9	al	M	Mc	+						
																		<u> </u>						1	1	1	1	1

INV	IRO	NME	NTA		NSU	LTAN	ITS						Į.	OB	5	UN	est	21	ΛT	LA	in f	nu		GU	5			
2702		TH 441	'H str	EET										HEET	NO.	2	_	c	DF	3		s	CALE					
	105E	: 67	08-14	583									~						501			~ ~			041	b4	101	
502 8	340-2	596 596	/00-12													, DI .		\overline{a})u				AIE _		041	051		•
AX 6	502 2	24-057	2										(HECK	ED BY			<u>در</u>	<i></i>	, 		D	ATE .					
					Τ																							
	1		1			1	1	1			2	1	1		1		1		1	1			1			1	1	\vdash
				Ta			+			f	F		+		min		2	the	┨───							37		
	ļ		 	44	/	=	12	44	<u> </u>	M	5	Y	6	P_	6.0	<u>1 ×</u>		f-		<u>‡_</u> 3	44	<u>Þ6</u>	00	0	4	1/4	tay	_
					LFG	3									11		0	144										
																			=	3	4	56	1	hm	f	1		
								-		·		<u> </u>	1					-		É							\mathbf{D}	
										+						<u> </u>			-				-				+	
			ļ						 	ļ		ļ	ļ				<u> </u>	 	ļ			ļ			ļ		<u> </u>	
																									L.a	C		
				Con	ME	NS	AT	E	PR.	DD	20	ho	b :		h =	‡ 2	90	9	al	×	13	.4	56	<u>r</u>	4	†`		ı
		<u> </u>	1			1		1	[[<u> </u>		1			M	Mc-	F				e	hac ~	\rangle		
		┼──												1		\vdash	L	-		1	1.		 			<u> </u>		
		┼──・					<u> </u>									<u> </u>	20	2	<u>.g</u>	21	<u>j a</u>	ay				<u> </u>		
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		ļ		<u> </u>	ļ	ļ	ļ	ļ		ļ	ļ		=								<u> </u>		ļ	ļ		
	ĺ					ĺ																						
			0	12 6					L.T	e	c.	ant	c											1				
			2	125	<u> </u>	<u>c or</u>	YUE	NS	<u> </u>	٤	30	14.4	<u> </u>		┢													
			 	ļ																							<u> </u>	
				ļ	ļ	ļ	ļ	ļ				ļ		ļ	ļ									ļ	ļ	L		
				-	A55	UM	E	80	•/.	15	Ċ	LL	F. C	TAC	je je	Y	Co	NDI	N	AT	E.	TRI	PS					
					1	A.11	10	C	G	-0	~		ΩC	-	7	0	1	DR.		2 1-	2	0.0	11		1			
				-	110	AIL	10	Z	10	/ F	0	01.	83			- 1	-			20	<u> </u>	y "	' 	<u>n</u> u	9			
																											 }	
				-	AS	SUN	he	<u> </u>	Jor	TH	2	Y٢	TEI	n	501	мP	6	<u>E 7</u>	S	100	<u>g</u>	<u>a</u>	di	y	٤		 	
								S	500	TH	5	YS	TE A	h	50	mp	6	ET	s	100	\hat{q}	al	1d	Ĩ.				
																					9							
			- <u></u> -																									
						.					<u>c</u> .	5		с. <u>л</u>			A .	-		,				-				
-				IN (ווא	nu	1	_১	VM	r	31	ir		170	MEL	2	(0)	M	1/1	-1	<u>r1</u>	<u> </u>	FAS	ľ				
					WF	EK	5	Vi	<i>iv</i>	ME		02		70	0	90	110	hs						 				
																V												
																								{				
																							<u> </u>				$\left - \right $	
																							 				├	
																		L			l 					L		
					-									_														
					1		1	1					ļ										1			1	1 1	

04/04/2001 15:07	5624269845		SCS EN	GINEE		PAGE	E 01
			· · ·		÷	3/	3
HANDBOOK			CENERAL		·		
SEAMLESS-STEEL STEAM, AIR. GAS,	TABLE	10.36. WEIGHT (10-97	
intion A53-33)		TEMPER	ATURES AND P	ERCENTA OF C	F SATURATION	AIE AT VARIOUS	
ug in, ft per et ft Longth				Par cant and an	rotion ş		
nter. Motal Aal na latur	Temperah deg F	^{rre,} 10 20	30 40	50 6	70 50	90 100	
surface surface				Weight Cali			
0.057 0.072 9.431 4.199 2.833.773 0.104 0.125 7.073 0.433 1.963.783 0.191 8.107 5.688 7.746 784.386	-10	0.028 D.057	0.086 0.114	0.142 7.71	0.200 0.2	B 0.256 0 285	
0.830 0.131 0.117 0.141 473.000 0.830 0.111 0.111 0.111 4.634 270.834	10 20	0.078 0.155	0.144 0.192 0.233 0.210 0.370 0 494	0.240	0.337 0.34 0.543 0.67	5 0.433 0.481 1 0.698 0.776	
1.495 0.699 3.391 2.760 86.215 2.058 0.799 2.400 2.372 78.753 3.355 1.797 2.400 2.372 78.753	30	0.194 0.387	0.580 0.774	0.948	0.864 0.98	a 1.112 1.235 a 1.742 1.935	
1.785 1.781 1.336 1.347 30.077 7.393 2 228 1.001 1.345 10.077	32	0.211 0.422 0.237 0.473	0.434 0.845 0.710 0.947	1.056	1.479 1.69	0 1.902 2.113	
5,896 2,580 0,954 1,075 14,385 2,730 2,174 0,848 0,848 1,375 3,688 4,309 0,886 1,780 2,188	45 50	0.341 0.683	1.024 1.346 1.223 1.630	1.707	1.994 2.27 2.390 2.73	9 2.564 2.749	
3.451 5.50 0.576 0.429 4.884 i1.181 7.655 0.443 9.4(3 2.4)4	55	0.485 0.970	1,455 1.940	2.474	2.853 3.26	3.668 4.076	
0.027 0/300 0.442 0.420 2.670 70.036 (7.000 0.364 0.365 0.345 4.628 7.007 0.576 0.200 0.314 1.628	60 62	0.574 1.149	U 724 2,299 U 843 2,457	2.872	4.022 4.59	7 4.364 4.849 5.170 5.745 5.528 4.140	
		0.701 1.402	2,103 2.804 3	3.282 3.504	4.594 5.250 4.906 5.607	5.907 6.563	
6.470 20.584 0.224 0.235 0.697 1.860 22.688 0.212 0.222 0.607 1.900 25.173 0.191 0.198 0.414	68 70 71	0.748 1.496 2 0.798 1.596 2	2,244 2.992 3 2,394 3.192 3	1.740 2.7° 1.990 5.7°	5.236 5.974	7.732 7.480	
7	74	0.851 1.702 2	2,552 3.403 4 720 3.426 4	,254 5 10 ,553 5 17	5.956 6,806 6.346 7,523	7.637 8.508 8.159 9.064	
	78	7.028 2.055 3	1083 4 111 4		6.758 7.724	8.690 9.635	
	80 82	1.093 2.187 3 1.163 2.325 3	280 4.374 5 488 4.650 5	.467 & 201 813 A 77	7.194 8,222 7.654 8,747 8.138 9 201	9.249 10.277 9.841 10.934	
-	86	1.313 2.625 3	707 4.942 6. 938 5.251 6	.178 7 17 564 7 17	8.649 9.885 9.189 10,502	11.120 12.356	
	88 90	1.394 2.787 4 1.479 2.958 4	181 5.575 6. 437 5.916 7.	948 8 47 395 8 77 1	9.576 11,150	12.543 13.937	
	92 94	1.569 3.138 4 1.663 3.327 4	707 7.276 7. 990 6.654 8.	844 9 15 1 317 9 10 1	0.982 12.551	13.311 14.790 13.120 15.689	
	96	1.847 1 734 5	288 7.050 8.	813 10 23 1	2,338 14,101	15.863 17.626	
_	100	1.977 3.953 5.	930 7.906 9.	863 11 130 1	3.070 14,937 3.836 15,813	16.804 18.671 17.789 19.766	
-	15	ļ			به چ		
				Ans	ς ;		
_		EVE	1		<u>></u>	ı	
							,
			1	put	2	MASA	
		· · · · · ·				U?	
		a sharah a s	a h h			- T L)-	

13

.

11-15

×4

「いくちょうちょう

.

_

Ξ

2 IN V		NME	NTAI	. co	NSU	LTAN	115						j	OB					·				-					
2702 Suite		TH 44" 3	'H STR	EET									S	HEET	NO				DF			s	CALE_					
HOI	ENIX,	AZ 85	008-15	583									C	ALCU	LATED	BY .						D	ATE _					
502 8 FAX 6	602 2	24-057	2										C	HECK	ED BY	<u></u>		. <u> </u>				D	ATE _					
	Τ			T		T		T			T				Ţ													
	1																											
	+					-			1	1		1	-	<u> </u>						1			1		 			1
						1		-				1	1	1	1		1		1				ł		<u> </u>			\vdash
																												-
															<u> </u>						ļ			ļ			<u> </u>	
			ļ			ļ				<u> </u>		ļ				ļ											ļ	
							_				<u> </u>			<u> </u>		<u> </u>	ļ	ļ				<u> </u>						
																<u> </u>						ĺ						
		1	1	1		1								<u> </u>	<u> </u>				4-1						[
					1		1	1	1	11-	10	1.	0,	0	G	5	<										 	1
			<u> </u>					+	1	† †	~r	μ_	106	Jun	12/	F	\mathbf{p}_{I}	f E	┼╢─			<u> </u>					 	
								+		┼╂		0																
												M	NA	KY '	<u>613</u>												_	
			ļ			ļ													<u> </u>									
					ļ	ļ				ļ	ļ																	
										ļ																		
						1		1																	-			
<u>.</u>																												
							ļ																					
					ļ																							
					ļ																							
																		 /										
		<u> </u>																										
	ļ			,																								
		 																										
		1				1																	1	I				

ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANTS	JOB 109900701
2702 North 44th Street, Suite 105B	SHEET NOOF SCALE
Phoenix, AZ 85008-1583 602 840-2596	CALCULATED BY TJS DATE 3/14/2001
800-223-8784	CHECKED BY DATE 4/5/2001
Fax 602-224-0572	
SUNRISE MOUR-AL	IN LANDFILL, LAS VECAS, NEVADA
BLOWER SIZING	
MAXIMUM LFG SENERA	TION RATE (IN 2002) = 3.084 cfm
SIZE RUDUERS TO H	TSTOF LEGGEN
	(275) (270) (-50) (-74) (-74) (-50)
INSTALL Z GLOCERS	
ASSUME HEAJLOSS RE	EQUIREMENTS OF 50 - W.C RATURL HEADLO
REQUIREMENTS WILL	BE CALCULATED DURING FINAL DELIGN AS
PIPE SIZES ARE FINA	42/2ED
BTU LOADING. ON L	FG FLARE
REQ'J' COMPARE EXP	GETED LEG/ PLOUS TO DETERMINE FLARE
CAPAFITY DEGIGN	
GIVEN. ASSUMES 50	1 CH4
PEAK SENEA	RATES LEG FLOW = 4.44 MMcFd
1 Let UFG.@ 902	MB - HINZ = 500 B + G
TYPICAL FLARE	TURNJOWN RATIO OF 5'J
SOLIN: MAX. FLARE	EAR. RED'D
(0.75)×4.44×10 6 FT 3/1)	= 240 0+ c.F.m. howeve, size for full generation to martice,
ZIRA AFM X60	UIN (HR × 50F-3 (H4/100 FT) LFC × 100, R. /FT7, F=
	F = 1
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	

ENV	IRON	ME	NT	AL	coi	NSU	LTAN	I TS			J	OB			10 0	900	701											
2702	North	44	th :	Stre	et, S	uite	105B	i			S	HEET	NC)	2	0	F	2			SCA	LE_						
noe 502 8	enix, A 340-25	28. 96	500	18-1	583						C	ALC	CULA	TED	BY	TJ	5				DAI	Έ_	3/14	+ <u> u1</u>				
300-2 Fax 6	223-87 302-22	84	572	>							C	HEC	KEC) BY		Dr	人				DAT	Έ_	4/!	5/20	∞I	·····		
					1		1		1		1	1	1		1	1	1	1					1				1	- mu
anna dhar				Pl	LAR	E	CA.	ſ	60	NT	Ď_			n, n												ş		
\$	a. .			-		1		• - •						; 	ļ											۴		,
- 4	r:			1F.	T,	PI	EAL	. 7	UL	NSO	NN	R	Λī	10	ÞF	5:	,	TH	$P_{\mathcal{N}}$	-						** * *		
	~ ~	-				1		•	-	. f															-	ŧ		where we want
i a				9	25	E]	18	51	ЧMВ	tu j	HR.		<u> </u>	TR	וזא	FOR	<u>m</u> -	το.	CF	M	61	FG .	SIN	6	20	v	
~	à	*		Ļ	2			• •		-					HEA	+ K	AL	YE.		. .				******	1	و ال الجم		
1								6 m -	****												* -			*		:		
		ł	1	8.5	2>1	24	stu j	HR	2 ×	1 77	3€H.	4/1	600	oßti	, ×	00	F73	لية و	14	5 F.F	3 61	14 ×	I HR	14	MI	N. <u>=</u>		
		****												i						-					58	S Ci	FM	
1		*																						LF	G	(100	Lec	1
2		÷	24000000000						*																•	• •		
3		•	gummu m	FL	AR	e .	Desk	LNC	3	TO	HA	NAC	5	92	5	ми	вен	141	2 A	IND	,	THS	- 7 A	~/	ĭ ∧		~~~~	
*				τυ	RN	hour	V R	AT	10	OF	- 5	.,	M	44	571	12	0	PER	ATO	A	_	LF	14	FL	bh.	(45		
*			ĺ	1F	6	85	LF	M			-	·····	· ·	τ,			·····		*			~	(wr (m			ς		
*	··· · ·								*			-			-		· · ·	-	•				4 am an	\$		4n .	.	
ngan 4 ana	n 14	-				4 				· · ·		*******		- 2							- ·		* *	· · ·				
* *****	- *										~ ~	r.		* **** *		-		-	•							r		•
~~~ ~	~	-										-		~		-			•••	• •		-		-	nije na sere			
\$		-					•			-	~			4					÷ •	•	-			*		х с		
-	~						•							*						~			-			-	Ann 11	
****	-						•	•						1 -					, barren e	•				1			***	
***			~~~~~				*	n -						den E					*	•					-	· ···		
1	**	-					*							• 		•			, , , ,		-		· ·	•		r {	-	
* ***	~~	·							~ ~		~		•	**************************************		1						-		*****	-	r :		
46 (2011000						-	• • '						*	5 5 5					··									***
1	، د	*******		-			- •	-	-				~~~~~	**** ]				1	+		-		-		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	ł.,	~	
numer a		man have	-	-	-		~*	· • ·	-	• ••• •																\$ {		
-	-						- •	-	"		. <b></b>			4											-			
\$ *	~	,		-		n					n			ş		~			- •	,						þ		-
*							+														ļ				ļ	1		
*****	·· ··						• • • •	-	n.	-										,			-			ļ		
way response																ļ					ļ					- (	-	
, 100 m		·····	myum		-		- 1		-				·	\$ *-	*		e .	e .		~						1 41-1- 1		
4 A.	¢	~~~~~	, manufana			-	•••	-							r .			*****										~
, , ,		· ·····	www.			-				-	• • •						**************************************							-	*****	š.	-	
٠	-	www.wow.	ş nömmene			_	• •						-	•					· · ·	;	·····		-		l	£		1 Addressed
		1	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~					-																		ł		_

~	S	CS		N	G	IN	EE	R	5								:							÷						
	EN	/IRO	NME	NTA	L CO	NSU	LTAN	ITS						J	OB										-					
_	2702		TH 44	TH STR	EET									5	HEET	NO			(	DF _			S	CALE_						
	PHO		AZ 85	008-1	583									Ċ	CALCU	LATED	) BY						D	ATE _						
	FAX	602 2	24-05	72										C	HECK	ED BY	·						D	ATE _						
-	Γ	Τ	Τ		Τ	Τ		Τ		T	Ţ		T			T				Τ	T					Ţ		Ţ		
		-			-																					1		1		
-		-																						1						
		1-																											1	
																												]		
							1																							
						1																								
		1	1			1		1																						
			1	1					1	r			-				ļ	1			<u> </u>									
			1		1						P	p	E	5	12	IN	G													
												A	n/	fc;	15	19														
								L									 			 										
					ļ										 															
					 										ļ															
			ļ			ļ																								
				ļ				ļ							 															
			ļ	ļ																										
			ļ																											
		[																											}	
the second se																														
						1							1																	

ENV	IRO	NME	NTAI	CO	งรมเ		ITS							<u></u>	500	101	(F	6	Su	ς	PIA	ta l						
2702		TH 441	'H STR	eet										UEET		001	<u>- «</u> 1		<u> </u>	6	,	<u>. y - 1</u>	CAIE	<u></u>			<u> </u>	
SUITE	1058	17 050	00.14												NO		·	` ~~	л _ ,			°		4	1/2	1-1	<u> </u>	
602 8	ENIX, / 840-2:	az 850 596	JU8-10	183									C	ALCU	LAIED	BY .		DC. DI	L			0	AIE -		4	01		
FAX	602 2:	24-057	2										C	HECK	ED BY			om	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	D	ATE _	_Ľ,	124	01	<u></u>	
	1		T		1	Τ			T			T		1									T				T	Т
	<del> </del>	+	+			+	+	+		1		1-	+		+		+		+	1	-	1	+	-		+	+	+
												+							<u> </u>			<u> </u>	-					+-
	ļ			ļ						1	ļ		-				ļ				ļ	ļ	ļ	<u> </u>			<u> </u>	1
		0	BJ	BC	$h_{1}$	VE																						
		-					•						1														1	Τ
			-			+			+	1					<u>†</u>	<b> </b>					<u> </u>			<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	+
					-	-	1					1						<u> </u>					<u> </u>					
				<u> </u>	P	ETI	ERI	nin	1E	<u> </u>	1198	<b>Ŧ</b>	51	<u>75</u>		VR		TE	101	<u> </u>	ļ	<b> </b>			<b> </b>			
				ļ	A	10	6	41	1-0	AL		FIF	F	\$	L					<u> </u>					L			
	1	00	2	5 N.	0 5	2	1		1		1	1	1		1		1		1			1			<u> </u>			1
		<u>[</u>			27	<b></b>	1		1		1	†			$\vdash$				<u> </u>					<u> </u>				┢
			ļ					·				<u> </u>		-										-		<u> </u>		-
	ļ	<b> </b>	ļ		us	E		hu	ΕL	101	<b>f</b>	Eg	<u>}</u>	(5	EE	A	ΠA	e HE	P_	PA	IN	To.	TS					-
		10.			7	1-	c	D	+		C		1		<u> </u>								1					F
		61	UR	$N \ge$	+	15	201	m			2	<u> </u>					<u> </u>											-
												 											ļ					
				BI	ou	EA	2	VA	cu	N	1		17	1	NL	67	=	5	<i>b</i> ″	ω	.6	$\left  \right  $	111	VIN	m	<u> </u>		
							[				[														1	ſ		
				11	101			N	-	1	4	-	1	IK	,	11	EL	5	At	- 1	FA	T	1	n"	11	./		
				V /	n_u	VIV	f	-14				-4		<u> </u>			C.41	/			<b>e-</b> 4	<u>н</u>			- a			┢─
																												┝
	ļ																											
																												F
																												$\vdash$
																									!			
																			<u> </u>									<u> </u>
																												[
																		_										
																												┝
		<b> </b>																										
I																												· · · · ·

ENV	IRO	NME	NTAL	co	NSUI	LTAN	TS						ł	ОВ														
2702		ዝ 44	th stre	ET									s	HEET	NO		2		DF	E	,	s	CALE_					
SUITE PHOE	1058 NIX, A	Z 85	008-15	83									c	ALCU	LATED	BY		50	2			D	ATE		41:	3 10	1	
602 8 Fax 6	40-25 02 22	96 4-057	72										c	HECK	ED BY	<b>Webberg</b> ,		(A)	4			D	ATE _		<u>4/5</u>	5/01		
			Τ					1				1																
																								1		-	1	
			N	RI	T+	\$Y	TE	M	ļ				<u> </u>								<u> </u>	ļ						
					_			E	ļ	ļ				ļ									ļ					
	 																								<u> </u>			
			He	nd	' <u>~</u>		<b>1</b> p.	Ł_	(a	ssu	me	+	ke	5 /	20	FC	ve	ra/	Y B	low	er	ca f	ac,	+1	)			
					<u> </u>																							
					4	<u>= 4</u>	20	P				~				51				ļ				<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<b> </b>		
					Q	=/	500	<u>ρ</u> ε	cti	<u>m</u>	<b>*</b>	70	7,0	00	50	Th									<u> </u>			
					<		 [		<b>x</b> -			. 4	2	61-		1 =	c	2	13	7						<u> </u>		
					14		195 D =	14	11	04	hd	in		010 ~	se		i i	1	40	1.10	1"	ω,	k			<u> </u>		
			1		/ <u>'</u>				5			Ê	{			~		¥	ŕ									
			La	ter	al		(w	ell.	-7	-11	)																	
																						ļ						
					ļ	1	=	19	00	/				ļ														
						a	=	5	ne l	ls c	•	35	đ	m	107	1	=	17	15	50	tu	<u> </u>	-	10	5	60	54	ŧ+
						~1		•								, 11				<u> </u>								
						)   	ar T	22		rre 11	n	ne I	É	۲	4	<b>/</b>		<u> </u>	i.	-	~	11	1.3	,			10	•)
						1+		- =	4	د		nd	1 10	<b>)</b>	M	ess	m	<u> </u>	M	-			W	• 6	<b>P</b>	<u>~</u>		ω
	<u>_</u>																											
			<b>\$</b>	U	SE		14	η	Fo	L	H	ead	er	/	Pip-	01												
															•								ļ					
				u	ςĘ		4"		For	-	1	En	A	15		(1	M	1	512	E	U	p	To	6	")	 		
						_	_			0.0					2													
			AC	Γ <i>Π</i>		11	ZE	0	F	MP	12	70	<u> </u>	-	ומנ	Th	mi	IE!	<b>}</b>	00	LIN	9						
		-	ru	VĄ		UR	<u> 31 G</u>	$\sim$																				
		. <u></u>																										

•

	S	CS		N	G	IN	2:	R	S	•		•									بۇر									
	ENV	IRO	NME	NTAL	. co	NSU	LTAN	ITS							ЮВ_															
	2702 SLIITE		TH 44	th stri	EET									:	SHEET	NO	3	<u>}</u>		OF _	6		s	CALE						
	PHOE	INIX,	, AZ 85	008-15	83									ı	CALCI	JLATEI	BY .		56	L			D	ATE	٤	121	01			
	602 E FAX 6	502 22	596 24-05	72										I	CHEC	KED BY	·		DL	٨			D	ATE .	4	5	61			····
		$\square$		1					T	Τ					1	Τ-	T				Τ			Τ	T			<b>-</b>		Γ
		1									1						-	1		-				1	+	1			-	
			+	-	$\frac{1}{1}$					-				+	+				1		+						-	-		
				5	pu'			×	1 12	M	<u>+</u>												-							
				-				-				]					-		+-										+	
		<u> </u>							_											-									<u> </u>	
				14	ad	<u>e v</u>	$\rho$	pe		255	4n	pe_	th	\$ 1	rac	zer	1	ke		the	<u>k /</u>	a/+	of	4	bu	er.	¢ qf	ac/	4)	)
<b></b> .			 					1																						
							1:	4	za	, '																				
							2	=	90	2.0	in the second	3	i cf	h																
			1	1	1			1		1				Ì				1			1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
	-			1			<1		i	1	h					<u> </u>	1.		1		1			<u> </u>		1			+	
•				+				av 1	J'ry	11	1VU		ine	1		1-				14	."								+	
							1F	12	1=1	<b>†</b>		140	11		p	13	fin	<b>†</b>	-			$\stackrel{\omega}{=}$								
					,	ļ	0					_												<u> </u>	<u> </u>			ļ		
-			ļ		4	eve	<u> H</u>	ž		ļ		ļ				<u> </u>								ļ						
			ļ			ļ		<u> </u>		ļ	ļ	ļ			ļ															
-						T	VAL	ta	<u>{</u>	la	her	al	1	as	6	-8	W	-[]	<u></u>	U	sc	8	we	ء 11						
							1'																							
							6	=	8	+ 3	50	fr	In	le 1	=	Z	80	se	6.	=	1	6.	800		50.	FL.				
							L	=	20	00	1	+/	1				[				<b>_</b> _	1								
							6	1	L				t.		f.	4	c,"	1.1	1		<u> </u>									
								n	- /	1	Hru I		ne	6	<u> </u>		1.	. A	1 7	e '	1	1			10	1.3	1	[		
					<del>.</del>		17		-6		₽	enc	line		nes	m	E	un	2	2		Ë		/						
				<u> </u>	-					//				-		<u>.</u>											<b> </b>	<u> </u>		
	<b> </b>				é	U	\$E		14		151	Ľ	fte	de	r	Py	e			L					<b> </b>	<u> </u>				
																-					11						ļ			
						u	SE.		6	1	For	(	AT	ER	109	;	-,	0	E	4	"	For	2 9	IN	GL	E	JE	L		
																	<u> </u>	L	470	XA	15	-								
-																														
					A	กเ	81	<	n	: 1	DF	۵	PC	T	R	£	06	F. 6	m ,	NEI		num	ING							
_				<u></u>	ЦЦ Ел	1.1	15	ر ۲۷	0	N	·•	<u> </u>	1 <u>-1</u> 5-		_0	<b>-</b>	<u></u>		• • *	- 61	ř'	Jur		F						
					ţ1	No.M.	<b>-</b>	UK	35 13	<u></u>																				
	┝─┤																													
-																														
				-																										
					_																									

LOW PRESSUR	RE MUELLER			
(708) 350-3700 (630 Area Code After 7/96)	Qh= - Sg ^{0.425} EQUATION 4	h1 - h2 L	D.5 <b>75</b>	9
The information contained herein cannot be guaranteed because the conditions of use are beyond our control. This document should not be substituted for the judgement of a professional engineer in determining the suitability of any pipe for a given project as the methodology herein may not accurately represent the site conditions or be inclusive of all parameters that must be considered. The user of this information assumes all risk associated with its use.	ENTER THE KNOWN VALL BELOW. Qh = Flow Rate = Sg = Specific Gravity = h1 = Inlet Pressure = h2 = Outlet Pressure = L = PLEXCO Pipe Length = d = Inside Diameter =	JES IN THE BO 90000 1 50 44.14 4200 14	xES scfh in H2O in H2O ft in	
NOTE: PRESSURES ARE		<b>6 4</b> 4 73	CM 4	

PLEXCALC - Version 1.11



_

_

-

____

_



- ____
- ----
- ____