















<ul> <li>Conclusions</li> <li>Incern methods have deep roots, and lessons for today:</li> <li>All modern methods have deep roots, and lessons for today:</li> <li>Statistical graphics can have both <i>beauty</i> and <i>truth</i></li> <li>Graphics always had a purpose— tell a story, inform a decision,</li> <li>We can often better understand these intellectual accomplishments by re-tracing their steps</li> <li>The present history of statistical graphics teaches us that:</li> <li>We need graphical methods for categorical data on a par with those for quantitative data.</li> <li>Users— Different strokes for different folks:</li> <li>Some want/need complete control of graphic styles, rendering details</li> <li>Graphic developers want it all: freedom to invent!</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Bickel, P. J., Hammel, J. W., and O'Connell, J. W. Sex bias in graduate admissions: Data Berkeley. <i>Science</i>, 187:398–403, 1975.</li> <li>Carr, D., Olsen, A. R., Pierson, S. M., and Courbois, JY. Boxplot variations in a spatial of An Omernik ecoregion and weather example. <i>Statistical Computing &amp; Statistical Grap Newsletter</i>, 9(2):4–13, 1998.</li> <li>Cleveland, W. S. <i>Visualizing Data</i>. Hobart Press, Summit, NJ, 1993.</li> <li>Fox, J. Effect displays for generalized linear models. In Clogg, C. C., editor, <i>Sociological Methodology</i>, 1987, pp. 347–361. Jossey-Bass, San Francisco, 1987.</li> <li>Friendly, M. <i>SAS System for Statistical Graphics</i>. SAS Institute, Cary, NC, 1st edition, 1995.</li> <li>Friendly, M. Conceptual and visual models for categorical data. <i>The American Statistical 153–</i>160, 1995.</li> <li>Friendly, M. Extending mosaic displays: Marginal, conditional, and partial views of categoriata. <i>Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics</i>, 8(3):373–395, 1999.</li> <li>Friendly, M. Corrgrams: Exploratory displays for correlation matrices. <i>The American Statistic</i>, 36(4):316–324, 2002.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>The past history of statistical graphics teaches us that:</li> <li>All modern methods have deep roots, and lessons for today:</li> <li>Statistical graphics can have both <i>beauty</i> and <i>truth</i></li> <li>Graphics always had a purpose— tell a story, inform a decision,</li> <li>We can often better understand these intellectual accomplishments by re-tracing their steps</li> <li>The present history of statistical graphics teaches us that:</li> <li>We need graphical methods for categorical data on a par with those for quantitative data.</li> <li>Users— Different strokes for different folks: <ul> <li>Most want <i>graphical toasters</i>: data in, picture out (but, what picture?)</li> <li>Some want/need complete control of graphic styles, rendering details</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>An Omernik ecoregion and weather example. Statistical Computing &amp; Statistical Grap Newsletter, 9(2):4–13, 1998.</li> <li>Cleveland, W. S. Visualizing Data. Hobart Press, Summit, NJ, 1993.</li> <li>Fox, J. Effect displays for generalized linear models. In Clogg, C. C., editor, Sociological Methodology, 1987, pp. 347–361. Jossey-Bass, San Francisco, 1987.</li> <li>Friendly, M. SAS System for Statistical Graphics. SAS Institute, Cary, NC, 1st edition, 19</li> <li>Friendly, M. Mosaic displays for multi-way contingency tables. Journal of the American Statistical Association, 89:190–200, 1994.</li> <li>Friendly, M. Conceptual and visual models for categorical data. The American Statistical 153–160, 1995.</li> <li>Friendly, M. Extending mosaic displays: Marginal, conditional, and partial views of categoriata. Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics, 8(3):373–395, 1999.</li> <li>Friendly, M. Corrgrams: Exploratory displays for correlation matrices. The American Statistical Statistics.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>All modern methods have deep roots, and lessons for today:</li> <li>Statistical graphics can have both <i>beauty</i> and <i>truth</i></li> <li>Graphics always had a purpose— tell a story, inform a decision,</li> <li>We can often better understand these intellectual accomplishments by re-tracing their steps</li> <li>The present history of statistical graphics teaches us that:</li> <li>We need graphical methods for categorical data on a par with those for quantitative data.</li> <li>Users— Different strokes for different folks: <ul> <li>Most want <i>graphical toasters</i>: data in, picture out (but, what picture?)</li> <li>Some want/need complete control of graphic styles, rendering details</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Fox, J. Effect displays for generalized linear models. In Clogg, C. C., editor, Sociological Methodology, 1987, pp. 347–361. Jossey-Bass, San Francisco, 1987.</li> <li>Friendly, M. SAS System for Statistical Graphics. SAS Institute, Cary, NC, 1st edition, 19</li> <li>Friendly, M. Mosaic displays for multi-way contingency tables. Journal of the American Statistical Association, 89:190–200, 1994.</li> <li>Friendly, M. Conceptual and visual models for categorical data. The American Statistical 153–160, 1995.</li> <li>Friendly, M. Extending mosaic displays: Marginal, conditional, and partial views of categoriata. Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics, 8(3):373–395, 1999.</li> <li>Friendly, M. Corgrams: Exploratory displays for correlation matrices. The American Statistical Statistics.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Graphics always had a purpose— tell a story, inform a decision,</li> <li>We can often better understand these intellectual accomplishments by re-tracing their steps</li> <li>The present history of statistical graphics teaches us that:</li> <li>We need graphical methods for categorical data on a par with those for quantitative data.</li> <li>Users— Different strokes for different folks: <ul> <li>Most want graphical toasters: data in, picture out (but, what picture?)</li> <li>Some want/need complete control of graphic styles, rendering details</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Methodology, 1987, pp. 347–361. Jossey-Bass, San Francisco, 1987.</li> <li>Friendly, M. SAS System for Statistical Graphics. SAS Institute, Cary, NC, 1st edition, 19</li> <li>Friendly, M. Mosaic displays for multi-way contingency tables. Journal of the American Statistical Association, 89:190–200, 1994.</li> <li>Friendly, M. Conceptual and visual models for categorical data. The American Statistical 153–160, 1995.</li> <li>Friendly, M. Extending mosaic displays: Marginal, conditional, and partial views of categoriata. Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics, 8(3):373–395, 1999.</li> <li>Friendly, M. Corrgrams: Exploratory displays for correlation matrices. The American Statistical Statistics.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>re-tracing their steps</li> <li>The present history of statistical graphics teaches us that:</li> <li>We need graphical methods for categorical data on a par with those for quantitative data.</li> <li>Users— Different strokes for different folks: <ul> <li>Most want graphical toasters: data in, picture out (but, what picture?)</li> <li>Some want/need complete control of graphic styles, rendering details</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Friendly, M. Mosaic displays for multi-way contingency tables. Journal of the American Statistical Association, 89:190–200, 1994.</li> <li>Friendly, M. Conceptual and visual models for categorical data. The American Statisticia 153–160, 1995.</li> <li>Friendly, M. Extending mosaic displays: Marginal, conditional, and partial views of catego data. Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics, 8(3):373–395, 1999.</li> <li>Friendly, M. Corrgrams: Exploratory displays for correlation matrices. The American Statistical Statistics.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>The present history of statistical graphics teaches us that:</li> <li>We need graphical methods for categorical data on a par with those for quantitative data.</li> <li>Users— Different strokes for different folks: <ul> <li>Most want graphical toasters: data in, picture out (but, what picture?)</li> <li>Some want/need complete control of graphic styles, rendering details</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Statistical Association, 89:190–200, 1994.</li> <li>Friendly, M. Conceptual and visual models for categorical data. The American Statisticia 153–160, 1995.</li> <li>Friendly, M. Extending mosaic displays: Marginal, conditional, and partial views of categ data. Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics, 8(3):373–395, 1999.</li> <li>Friendly, M. Corrgrams: Exploratory displays for correlation matrices. The American Statistical Statistics.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>quantitative data.</li> <li>Users— Different strokes for different folks:</li> <li>Most want <i>graphical toasters</i>: data in, picture out (but, what picture?)</li> <li>Some want/need complete control of graphic styles, rendering details</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>153–160, 1995.</li> <li>Friendly, M. Extending mosaic displays: Marginal, conditional, and partial views of categ data. <i>Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics</i>, 8(3):373–395, 1999.</li> <li>Friendly, M. Corrgrams: Exploratory displays for correlation matrices. <i>The American Statistics</i>, 8(3):373–395.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Most want graphical toasters: data in, picture out (but, what picture?)</li> <li>Some want/need complete control of graphic styles, rendering details</li> </ul>	<ul><li>data. Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics, 8(3):373–395, 1999.</li><li>Friendly, M. Corrgrams: Exploratory displays for correlation matrices. The American Statistics</li></ul>
	Friendly, M. Milestones in the history of data visualization: A case study in statistical historiography. In Gaul, W. and Weihs, C., editors, Studies in Classification, Data Anal and Knowledge Organization. Springer, New York, 2004. (In press).
NS, London, 2004 181 © Michael Friendly	VIEWS, London, 2004 183 © Mii
Past, Present and Future of Statistical Graphics vfoils/conclusions	The Past, Present and Future of Statistical Graphics
<ul> <li> Conclusions</li> <li>The future of statistical graphics?</li> <li>Statistical graphics is on the right track when</li> <li>it allows one to construct a pretty picture of data,</li> <li>the picture is faithful to some (possibly complex) model,</li> <li>the picture leverages the perceptual and cognitve capabilities of the viewer.</li> <li>Statistical graphics is on the right track when</li> <li>it moves the 80–20 rule in favor of the user/developer,</li> <li>it nurtures future growth of tools, techniques → insight,</li> <li>it allows for <i>beauty</i> as well as <i>truth</i>.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Friendly, M. and Denis, D. The early origins and development of the scatterplot. <i>Journal History of the Behavioral Sciences</i>, 2004. (In press, accepted 7/09/04).</li> <li>Friendly, M. and Kwan, E. Effect ordering for data displays. <i>Computational Statistics and Analysis</i>, 43(4):509–539, 2003.</li> <li>Hartigan, J. A. and Kleiner, B. Mosaics for contingency tables. In Eddy, W. F., editor, <i>Con Science and Statistics: Proceedings of the 13th Symposium on the Interface</i>, pp. 268-Springer-Verlag, New York, NY, 1981.</li> <li>Tufte, E. R. <i>Visual Explanations: Images and Quantities, Evidence and Narrative</i>. Graph Press, Cheshire, CT, 1997.</li> <li>Tukey, J. W. <i>Exploratory Data Analysis</i>. Addison Wesley, Reading, MA, 1977.</li> <li>Valero, P., Young, F., and Friendly, M. Visual categorical analysis in ViSta. <i>Computational Statistics and Data Analysis</i>, 43(4):495–508, 2003.</li> </ul>