



GPO PRICE \$ \_\_\_\_\_

CFSTI PRICE(S) \$ \_\_\_\_\_

Hard copy (HC) 3.00

Microfiche (MF) 65

ff 653 July 65

**N67-32630**

FACILITY FORM 602

_____ (ACCESSION NUMBER)	_____ (THRU)
<u>57</u> (PAGES)	<u>1</u> (CODE)
<u>CR-75609</u> (NASA CR OR TMX OR AD NUMBER)	<u>30</u> (CATEGORY)

JET PROPULSION LABORATORY  
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
PASADENA, CALIFORNIA

ENGINEERING PLANNING DOCUMENT

ENGINEERING PLANNING DOCUMENT  
NO. 328

VENUS:  
Preliminary Science Objectives  
and Experiments  
For Use in Advanced Mission Studies

EPD-328

22 December 1965

JET PROPULSION LABORATORY  
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
PASADENA, CALIFORNIA

Copyright © 1965  
Jet Propulsion Laboratory  
California Institute of Technology

Prepared Under Contract No. NAS 7-100  
National Aeronautics & Space Administration

# C O N T E N T S

	<u>Page</u>
I. INTRODUCTION . . . . .	1
II. THE PLANET VENUS	
A. Atmosphere . . . . .	3
B. Planetology . . . . .	10
C. Biology . . . . .	15
D. Fields and Particles . . . . .	17
III. PRELIMINARY SCIENCE OBJECTIVES AND EXPERIMENTS	
A. Objectives . . . . .	22
B. Flyby Science . . . . .	23
1. Microwave Experiment . . . . .	24
2. Infrared Experiment . . . . .	27
3. Magnetometer . . . . .	29
4. Solar Plasma Experiment . . . . .	30
5. Trapped Radiation Experiment . . . . .	30
6. Cosmic Dust . . . . .	32
7. RF Occultation . . . . .	33
8. Ionization Chamber/Particle Flux Detector . . . . .	33
9. Ultraviolet Spectroscopy . . . . .	33
10. Photo-Imaging Experiment . . . . .	35
11. Monostatic Radar Experiment . . . . .	37
C. Capsule Science . . . . .	39
1. Thermodynamic Variables . . . . .	41
2. Atmospheric Composition . . . . .	42
3. Impactometer . . . . .	43
APPENDIXES	
Table A-1. Selected Planetary Data for Venus . . . . .	46
Table A-2. Typical Flyby Experiments . . . . .	47
Table A-3. Typical Capsule Instruments . . . . .	49

## FOREWORD

This document was prepared for the Future Projects Office by Roy G. Brereton, Space Sciences Division representative, with the assistance of the following personnel:

Frank T. Barath  
Walter E. Brown  
Richard W. Davies  
Charles E. Giffin  
George L. Hobby  
Lewis D. Kaplan  
Alan G. Herriman  
William S. McDonald  
Ray L. Newburn, Jr.  
Edward J. Smith  
Conway W. Snyder  
Robert C. Speed

*R. W. Davies*

---

R. W. Davies, Manager  
Mission Definition Staff  
Future Projects Office

## SECTION I

### INTRODUCTION

This document describes several possible scientific objectives with their supporting experiments and also provides the scientific information for a preliminary mission study of a Mariner-type spacecraft to be flown to the planet Venus in the early 1970's. The study was prepared as a joint committee effort by the Future Projects Office and the Space Sciences Division of the Jet Propulsion Laboratory

A launch vehicle with at least the capabilities of an Atlas/Centaur can be assumed for this mission. This means a Mariner-type spacecraft weighing upward from 1,500 pounds. This order of capability suggests the possibility of more than a simple flyby mission; rather, a preliminary analysis indicates that perhaps three types of missions are practical: (1) flyby only, (2) Venus capsule only, and (3) flyby/capsule combination.

The flyby-only mission is attractive in that it is a comparatively easy mission to perform and it offers a high probability of obtaining some of the necessary Venus scientific data. However, note that it is extremely difficult, from a flyby spacecraft, to obtain unambiguous information on, say, the temperature, pressure, density, etc., of the Cytherean atmosphere as a function of altitude, or, say, the hardness

of the planetary surface. At the same time, the capsule-only mission omits the planetary measurements that can be made from the flyby spacecraft concerning cloud structure, surface structure, and composition of the upper atmosphere. It is also difficult to perform a capsule-only mission with the many environmental and mechanization uncertainties reducing the probability of mission success.

Of the three missions, the flyby/capsule combination is the most interesting, since it has the potential for providing the highest return in scientific information. It not only permits direct measurement of the temperature, pressure, and density of the Cytherean atmosphere as a function of altitude, and perhaps an order-of-magnitude measurement of the hardness of the surface, but it also permits radar and microwave examination of the planetary surface as well as ultraviolet and infrared examination of the upper atmosphere. These factors, together with a certain amount of redundancy of measurements between the flyby spacecraft and the capsule, make this mission very attractive scientifically.

## SECTION II

### THE PLANET VENUS

#### A. ATMOSPHERE

Accurate physical knowledge of the planet Venus was limited strictly to the orbital elements until 1932, when Adams and Dunham obtained spectroscopic evidence of  $\text{CO}_2$  in the atmosphere of the planet. The period of rotation and axial inclination have become known only since 1962 from high-powered radar studies. An accurate mass definition resulted from the Mariner II flight of 1962. The radius is still only poorly known due to the planet's thick clouds. In spite of the fact that Venus approaches closest to Earth of all the planets, our knowledge of it is still very limited, and it will take a rather "heroic" approach to significantly improve the situation.

Astrophysical study of Venus began with the previously mentioned discovery of  $\text{CO}_2$  in 1932. Several attempts to determine the abundance of  $\text{CO}_2$  followed, although it seemed likely that, due to the high opacity of the atmosphere, only a lower limit could be obtained; that is, the absolute amount above some "effective reflecting layer". Such estimates of abundance have ranged from 20 m atm to 2000 m atm, although recent work by Chamberlain has indicated that the higher values are probably much too high. The real problems involved in reaching an accurate conclusion have been described in rather pointed detail by Chamberlain. Furthermore, accurate atmospheric structure and abundance



determinations depend upon an accurate theory of spectral line formation. The atmosphere of Venus is optically thick and full of particulate matter (clouds). Neither theory nor observations are yet in a state sufficient to provide a secure picture of the atmosphere near the cloud tops, to say nothing about the deeper atmosphere. What then do we actually know about the atmosphere of Venus?

We do know that it contains the abovementioned  $\text{CO}_2$ . Kuiper and others have identified isotopic bands due to  $^{13}\text{CO}_2$  and to  $^{12}\text{C}^{18}\text{O}^{16}\text{O}$ , as well as the normal  $\text{CO}_2$  with  $^{12}\text{C}$  and  $^{16}\text{O}$ . At least some CO must be present as a dissociation product of  $\text{CO}_2$ , but the spectroscopic identification by Sinton in 1962 at  $2.35\mu$  must be considered tentative since it was "way down in the noise". The 1963 "confirmation" of 1.5 cm atm of CO by Moroz is unconvincing since it consists virtually of a simple statement by Moroz with no presentation of observational evidence.

In 1959 Strong reported the possible existence of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  on the planet Venus, based on balloon flight observations by Moore and Ross. In 1963 Dollfus reported  $100\mu$  of water vapor, based on observations of the  $1.4\mu$  band from Jungfraujoch. In 1964 Bottema, Plummer, and Strong flew an improved (and this time unmanned) balloon with a spectrograph using the so-called Benedictine (multiple) slits; they confirmed the result reported in 1959 for the  $1.13\mu$   $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  band. They found  $110\text{-}470\mu$  of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , the exact amount depending upon the unknown "base level" pressure. Very mysteriously, however, the repeated attempts made by Spinrad to detect indications of water vapor at  $\lambda 8180$ , using both the existing spectra and some new spectra taken with the very fine 120-in. reflector at the Lick Observatory at a dispersion of  $1.8 \text{ \AA}/\text{mm}$ , have utterly failed.

Spinrad's absolute upper limit is  $70\mu$  of  $H_2O$ . This may be a significant clue about the Venus atmospheric structure, although it remains unexplained. Questions raised about the calibration of the balloon experiment are being checked.

In 1963 Prokofyev and Petrova reported the discovery of  $O_2$  on Venus from studies of the  $\alpha$ -band at  $\lambda 6300$ . The observations seemed rather marginal (although the authors claimed firm conviction). Further studies reported by Prokofyev in 1964 were little better than the earlier observations. Other observations by Spinrad and Richardson dispute this discovery. Observations of this type are difficult, and differences here could conceivably be caused by actual changes on Venus, perhaps in cloud height.

Nitrogen is also a major question. In 1954 Kozyrev reported auroral-type emission features, which he attributed to  $N_2$  and  $N_2^+$  in the atmosphere of Venus. In 1959 Newkirk reported a partial confirmation and partial disagreement with Kozyrev's result. In 1961 Weinberg and Newkirk were unable to confirm Newkirk's (or Kozyrev's) earlier work. Once again, observations are difficult to make, requiring detection of a faint emission on the dark side of Venus immediately adjacent to the brilliantly reflecting lighted side at a time when the planet is relatively low on the observer's horizon. Furthermore, it is not expected that auroral-type emission will be constant in time, but rather a close function of solar activity. This is particularly true of a planet which has little or no magnetic field, as is apparently the case with Venus.

It might be expected that some argon should be found in the Venus atmosphere. There is no easy way to verify this from the surface of the Earth since argon has no low-excitation absorption spectrum. Other substances have been suggested from time to time as possible constituents of the Venus atmosphere. None has been identified spectroscopically.

Only  $\text{CO}_2$  and its isotopes are absolutely accepted by all observers as being present in the atmosphere of Venus. Yet, independent studies by Kaplan, Spinrad, and Chamberlain all agree that  $\text{CO}_2$  is a relatively minor constituent of the Venus atmosphere, comprising perhaps a few percent by mass. It is usually assumed that the remainder of the atmosphere is  $\text{N}_2$  for want of any better idea.

The brightness temperature of Venus at microwave wavelengths is quite high. Some values are given in Table 1. A number of workers have tried to explain these brightness temperatures as something other than a true surface temperature. The Mariner II observations of limb darkening made this task much more difficult, and the 1965 paper of Clark and Kuz'min on the polarization across the disk of Venus at 10.6 cm made it virtually impossible, insisting as it does that the radiation comes from a compact surface. The temperatures at 8-14  $\mu$  are much lower, most measurements giving about 234°K, and appear to refer to a level in the vicinity of the cloud tops. Detailed studies on phase effect and limb-darkening results have been made, particularly by Sagan and Pollack, but require considerable space for adequate exposition.

Table 1. Venus Microwave Brightness Temperatures

40 cm	$400^\circ \pm >60^\circ$	Drake (1964)
21.4 cm	$528^\circ \pm 33^\circ$	Drake (1964)
21 cm	$595^\circ \pm 6^\circ$	Davies (1964)
10 cm	$622^\circ \pm 6^\circ$	Drake (1964)*
3.75 cm	$616^\circ \pm 40^\circ$	Haddock and Dickel (1964)
3.15 cm	$612^\circ \pm 70^\circ$	Mayer, McCullough, and Slomaker (1963)*
2.07 cm	$500^\circ \pm 70^\circ$	McCullough and Boland (1964)
1.35 cm	$520^\circ \pm 40^\circ$	Gibson and Corbett (1963)
1.18 cm	$395^\circ + 75^\circ$ $- 55^\circ$	Staelin, Barrett, and Kusse (1964)
8.6 mm	$410^\circ + 30^\circ$	Gibson (1963)
8.6 mm	$375^\circ \pm 52^\circ$	Tolbert and Straiton (1964)
8.6 mm	$353^\circ \pm 10^\circ$	Copeland and Tyler (1964)
8.5 mm	$380^\circ + 72^\circ$ $- 34^\circ$	Lynn, Meeks, and Sohigian (1964)
8.35 mm	$395^\circ \pm 60^\circ$	Thornton and Welch (1964)
8 mm	$427^\circ \pm$	Basharinov, et al (1964)*
8 mm	$374^\circ \pm 75^\circ$	Kuz'min and Salomonovich (1963)
4.3 mm	$390^\circ \pm 120^\circ$	Kislyakov, Kuz'min, and Salomonovich (1962)
4.3 mm	$350^\circ + 50^\circ$ $- 30^\circ$	Grant, Corbett, and Gibson (1963)
4.3 mm	$330^\circ + 56^\circ$ $- 36^\circ$	Tolbert and Straiton (1964)
3.2 mm	$300^\circ + 57^\circ$ $- 27^\circ$	Tolbert and Straiton (1964)
3.2 mm	$290^\circ \pm 30^\circ$	Epstein (1964)

\* These are mean temperatures (at phase angle near  $90^\circ$ ). All others were taken near inferior conjunction by observers who were unable to find any significant phase effect.

The principal fact of concern is a thermal gradient of some  $450^{\circ}\text{K}$  between the clouds and surface of Venus. The temperature lapse rate is at most adiabatic and possibly sub-adiabatic. This implies a very thick atmosphere and a very high surface pressure of from 5 to perhaps 100 or more atmospheres, depending upon the pressure at the cloud deck, exact atmospheric composition, etc. It also raises the question of how the elevated surface temperatures are maintained. What causes the tremendous atmospheric opacity? Pressure broadened  $\text{CO}_2$ ,  $\text{CO}_2$  and  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ,  $\text{CO}_2$  plus an unknown absorber, the clouds (particulate matter), and various combinations of these have been suggested as sources of the opacity. Actually, neither theory nor observations have been adequate to deal with the problem, although at the moment, theory is perhaps in better shape than observation.

Pollack and Sagan have emphasized the urgent need for accurate limb-darkening curves at large angles to the normal, a job best attempted on a spacecraft flyby. Carpenter has suggested the possibility of an accurate cloud-height determination by combining optical (laser) and microwave radar measurements from a flyby. A laser might also allow determination of accurate albedos. Needed ground-based work includes very accurate determinations of equivalent widths, temperatures, and pressures of all accessible  $\text{CO}_2$  vibration-rotation bands as a function of time and phase angle at the highest possible dispersion. Additional polarization observations may also be useful, both of Venus, with telescope and from spacecraft, and in the laboratory. Probe spectroscopy in the infrared and ultraviolet regions will be necessary.

The clouds remain one of the great mysteries of Venus. Accepting Bottema, Plummer, and Strong's water vapor abundance, it seems unlikely that ice clouds could form at the temperatures and pressures existing in the clouds. There are enough possibilities of error here, however, to make it unwise to discard the ice hypothesis altogether, especially since no good substitute material has been suggested in place of ice.

Suggestive observations of cusp extension at inferior conjunction and lack of coincidence of visual and geometric dichotomy on Venus have been made for years. There has been no serious published attempt to work on the theory of scattering in a spherical geometry to attempt to interpret these observations. Norton is presently working on such a theory.

Scale-height information exists for the upper atmosphere of Venus (considerably above the clouds), based upon observations of the 1959 occultation of Regulus by Venus. This information is not particularly useful in relation to the lower atmosphere.

Considerable information about Venus has been gained in the past decade. This information is only suggestive, however, and is not sufficient to allow one to seriously propose a delineation of the atmosphere of Venus. The composition is still unknown, as are atmospheric thickness and surface pressure. Until a probe can actually enter the Venus atmosphere and directly measure these quantities, the problem will be difficult to solve, but perhaps not impossible, given a combined effort of ground-based astronomy and spacecraft flybys.

## B. PLANETOLOGY

Our knowledge of the solid body of the planet Venus is presently limited by the cloud deck, which completely obscures the underlying surface. Telescopic and photographic observations do not reveal any sharp planetary features. Some indistinct features and occasional dark and light spots have been recorded and attributed to surface markings; however, they generally lack reproducibility in position and shape in sequential observations. Infrared photographs show no details; however, ultraviolet photographs show bands and other atmospheric markings that seem to be a normal although changing feature of the visible disk of the planet. These observations and the high visual albedo of the planet certainly suggest a dense cloud cover that permanently obscures the solid surface of Venus.

Between February and August 1964, extensive radar observations were made of Venus, employing one of the 85-foot parabolic antennas at the NASA/JPL Deep Space Instrumentation Facility located at Goldstone, California. Carpenter's analysis of these data indicates that Venus has a sidereal rotation period of  $\sim 250$  days (243 to 254 days) with the spin axis oriented within  $20^\circ$  of the orbit pole. The observations further substantiate the existence of physiographic features on the planetary surface.

The observed radius of Venus is usually given as 6200 km, but the radius to the solid surface is not known because of uncertainty about the height of the layers of the atmosphere that are taken as the outer surface. Allowing 60 km for this height leads to the value 6140 km.

The current value for the mass of Venus was calculated from perturbations on the orbit of Mariner II, and is given as  $4.86954 \times 10^{27}$  grams. These figures give a density of approximately  $5 \text{ g/cm}^3$ , but because of the true radius of the planet not being known, estimates of the density of Venus vary from 4.8 to  $5.4 \text{ g/cm}^3$ .

Measurements of the oblateness are also of dubious value. Models of internal structure are difficult to construct because so little is known about Venus' shape or moment of inertia. The rotational angular momentum is an obvious boundary condition in any origin theory. The rotation rate alone will not give the rotational angular momentum of Venus. For that, the internal distribution of material is needed. Since Venus is similar to the Earth in both mass and radius, it might be expected that the planet consists of three zones like the Earth--a liquid core, a solid mantle, and an outer crust. Thus, assuming Venus is not extremely anomalous in its mass distribution, the rotation rate does give an order-of-magnitude estimate of the angular momentum.

The similarity of mass and density of Venus and the Earth suggests that the two planets have had broadly similar evolutions. By analogy with the probable density distribution of the Earth, Venus contains a core whose radius approximates half the radius of the planet. This inference is supported by Urey's calculation that Venus contains about 45 percent (by weight) iron-nickel phase, based on the assumption that the mean density of the planet ( $\rho = 4.8 \text{ g/cc}$ ) is produced by silicates ( $\rho = 3.3 \text{ g/cc}$ ) and metal phase ( $\rho = 7.2 \text{ g/cc}$ ). Lyttleton, based on a two-zone



model and several boundary conditions which he carefully discusses, gives core-mass for Venus as  $1.0 \times 10^{27}$  g, or just over one-fifth of the mass of the planet, as compared to one-third for the Earth's core.

The internal thermal regime of Venus is obviously unknown, but if a surface temperature of  $600^{\circ}\text{K}$  has existed on the planet for some length of time, the flux of heat from the interior has been affected in an important way. MacDonald (1962) has calculated that temperatures at a depth of 200 km in Venus are about  $200^{\circ}\text{C}$  higher than at the same depth in the Earth, assuming Venus to have a chondritic abundance of the radioactive elements. These temperatures should exceed the melting points of silicates at this depth, and magmas may be formed to a greater degree in Venus than on the Earth.

The probability of melting in Venus suggests that volcanism has occurred and that constructive land forms have been so produced. The complexity of a Venusian crust is probably a function of the kinds and intensities of erosive and depositional mechanisms operative. If liquid water is currently absent from the surface of Venus, the present relief would be a function of the rate of wind erosion versus rates of crustal deformation and volcanism. If the atmospheric circulation is very great, the planetary surface will approach a vast plain overlain by a dust-filled atmosphere.

It should be emphasized, however, that current conditions on the surface of Venus may be vastly different from conditions in the past. Urey (1952) first pointed out that  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  is by far the most cosmically abundant oxidizing agent and that much water necessarily must have existed on Venus

in the past in order to provide sufficient oxygen for CO<sub>2</sub>. Photo-dissociation of H<sub>2</sub>O, oxidation of carbonaceous molecules, and escape of hydrogen were the probable events in the depletion of Venus' water. The more rapid depletion of water from Venus than from the Earth may have been due to its closer proximity to the Sun. Urey (1952) further suggested that increasing CO<sub>2</sub> pressure was buffered in the presence of water by the reaction



At room temperatures, the Gibbs free energy is negative at CO<sub>2</sub> pressures exceeding 10<sup>-5</sup> atm. It is thus postulated that great quantities of carbonate rocks were formed on Venus until insufficient water remained on the planet as a reaction medium. At high temperatures, the reaction is reversed, and Urey supposed that the limestones were decomposed by plutonic activity to restore CO<sub>2</sub> to the atmosphere. Although specific events in this sequence are obviously somewhat obscure, it emphasizes the point that Venus has probably evolved through a wide spectrum of conditions.

If the illuminated side of Venus has a temperature of around 750°K and surface water pressure is negligible, surface conditions are truly in the metamorphic realm. Surface phase assemblages formed in the past may have been transformed to new assemblages which are stable under the existing environment. In general, many hydrous phases would have either partly dehydrated or have converted to new anhydrous phases at 750°K, zero water pressure, and 50 atm of total pressure. For instance, prominent hydrates

on Earth such as brucite, kaolinite, chrysotile, gibbsite, and geothite may not occur on the illuminated surface of Venus.

From the foregoing section, it is fairly obvious that we must acquire some very fundamental facts about Venus before we can proceed with a complicated scientific investigation. The initial step is to develop a model of Venus as it is today. We first need to determine the surface temperature and its variations with time and location, the atmospheric pressure and atmospheric composition at the surface, the rotational period of the planet and its axial orientation, wind conditions on the planet, and, of most importance, the amount of relief on the Venusian surface. These facts would allow us to understand the surface as it is today and the modifications that it undergoes under the existing environment.

Furthermore, gross body parameters of Venus must be measured so that the present configuration and internal structure of the planet can be understood. Measurements of mass, radii, and moments of inertia are needed. Measurements of the surface heat flux are necessary for evaluation of the existing internal thermal regime of Venus. The strength of the magnetic field of Venus, together with knowledge of the size of the planet's core, may further the understanding of the origin of the Earth's magnetic field.

The principal problem of Venus, however, is the change that has been occurring on the surface during the history of the planet. The details of that history are probably well recorded in the stratigraphy of the surface layers of Venus, and examination of stratified rocks on the surface of the planet should take major emphasis in subsequent lander and orbiter missions. From

detailed visual, textural, and mineralogical studies of the Cytherean rocks, combined with relative and absolute age determinations, the geological history of the planet may be reconstructed. It may be possible to ascertain when oceans existed on the planet, the time at which existing conditions started, the degree of internal melting, the erosive mechanisms of the past, and the existence of life in the past. These investigations must consider the probable transformations that the rocks may have undergone in the existing surface temperature-pressure environment and tectonic activity. Visual reconnaissance on a large scale is necessary in order to show the existence of fold belts, which will suggest the planet's past thermal regime. The delineation of the geological history of Venus is especially important when it is considered that the evolutionary course of Venus and that of the Earth might be somewhat parallel because of observed similarities in mass and in bulk composition.

### C. BIOLOGY

The exploration of Venus has significant potential interest to biology. Although the results of microwave observations indicate that the surface temperatures are higher than 600°K, these results are not yet conclusive. Disagreement still exists about the surface temperature. If the temperature is above 600°K, the probable existence of conceivable life on the planet is extremely unlikely. However, the interests of biology are not limited only to the search for life on Venus.

Assuming that life cannot exist on the planet, information about Venus' organic chemistry is important. The problem of the origin of life is closely related, by present theories, to the organic chemical evolution of the planets. Both the present state of organic chemistry on Venus, as well as information about possible past states (as might be determined by sub-surface exploration), may contribute to further development of these theories. Evidence of any thermal and other conditions on Venus favorable to the origin and evolution of life in the past might still be preserved beneath the surface in the form of organic chemical residues.

Finally, the range of conditions under which biogenesis may occur and the ultimate potential of biological evolution, are questions which cannot yet be answered with certainty. Therefore, it is presently premature to presuppose that Venus is not biologically interesting.

Preliminary information which might increase or decrease interest in the planet for biological studies are:

- (1) Further verification of the surface temperature.
- (2) Spatial distribution of the surface temperature.
- (3) Temperature profiles of the atmosphere.
- (4) Detailed composition of the atmosphere, including trace constituents and volatile organic compounds.
- (5) Existence or absence of organic matter, either on or beneath the surface.
- (6) Temperature profiles of the subsurface.
- (7) Characteristics of organic matter, if it exists.

#### D. FIELDS AND PARTICLES

Monitoring of the interplanetary medium with plasma probes, magnetometers, and solar proton detectors during the interplanetary cruise phase of a Venus flyby mission is of interest because of the rarely available opportunity that it affords to discriminate between temporal variations and spatial variations in the medium by means of widely separated simultaneous measurements. The extent and shape of plasma clouds or shocks produced by solar flares, and of long-lived plasma streams such as were observed by Mariner II, can probably be determined in no other way. Venus-bound spacecraft are particularly appropriate for such studies because the spacecraft is close to the same solar magnetic field line as the Earth for a considerable part of the flight.

Because of the inherent nature of the plasma physics, the study of the charged particles that make up the interplanetary plasma proper, and the study of the magnetic fields that are associated with it, are really inseparable parts of the same problem. The two main goals are in the investigation of the physical processes in the solar corona and of the basic plasma physics of the interplanetary medium. For the former, we shall wish to measure the intensity, extent, chemical composition, and temporal variations of solar-plasma streams, and to identify their sources. Measurements over a wide range of heliocentric latitudes and longitudes will eventually be required. For the latter, we shall look for interactions of the plasma with magnetic fields (both planetary and interplanetary), with solid bodies, with comet tails, and with other clouds of plasma. Wave motion and plasma instabilities will also be of interest. The position and nature of the transitions between "supersonic"

and "subsonic" flow in the solar wind, and the phenomena occurring at the boundary between the solar and galactic fields, should be investigated. Other questions associated with the physics of the plasmas are the source of the Van Allen belt particles, the nature of the mechanism of their injection into the magnetosphere, and the detailed nature of geomagnetic disturbances.

The nature of interaction between the planet Venus and the solar plasma was left completely undetermined by the Mariner II flyby, but it could be investigated by a spacecraft that passes considerably closer to the planet or penetrates the conical region where the Sun-planet-probe angle is greater than about  $140^\circ$ . If the magnetic moment of Venus is as high as one percent of the Earth's, or roughly an order of magnitude less than the upper limit inferred from the Mariner II results, we would still expect to see a bow shock and a transition region in the plasma similar to that around the Earth's magnetosphere. If the moment is still an order of magnitude smaller, the incoming solar wind may be simply absorbed by the atmosphere, producing no shock and no transition region, but only a narrow cylindrical cavity in the plasma behind the planet. So many unexplained phenomena have been observed in our own magnetosphere that attempts to predict the nature of the Venus-plasma interaction are probably futile.

If the solar wind is able to make a direct encounter with the upper atmosphere of the planet, then auroral emissions will be produced, either uniformly over most of the sunlit hemisphere if the magnetic field is truly negligible, or in localized regions if it is not. A knowledge of the incident solar-wind flux and energy, obtained from a plasma spectrometer, would assist in the

interpretation of spectral measurements of these emissions, which might be detectable either in the ultraviolet or radio-frequency regions.

It is well known that the Mariner II trapped radiation experiment gave a negative result. For this reason, as brought out in the above discussion of planetary plasmas, any trapped radiation experiment would require either that the spacecraft pass much closer to Venus than did Mariner II (41,000 km from the planet's center) or at least penetrate the region where the Sun-planet-probe angle is greater than 140 deg. Obviously, the correlation of the trapped particle data with the magnetometer and plasma data would be of great interest. The instrumentation for the trapped radiation experiment would also give valuable data during interplanetary cruise.

The scientific objectives involved in repeating the measurements of magnetic fields near Venus would be the same as for Mariner II. Important questions concerning the interior, the upper atmosphere, and the charged particle environment of Venus concern the strength of the magnetic field. Direct measurement of the magnitude, multipole order, and orientation of an intrinsic field could have an important bearing on the validity of the dynamo theory of planetary magnetism and the related question of whether or not Venus has a molten core. The spatial extent and temperature of the upper atmosphere depends, in part, on the ability of a planetary field to divert the solar wind. A planetary magnetic field governs the trapping of high-energy charged particles and the extent to which cosmic and solar radiation can penetrate to the surface.

To do a significantly better job than Mariner II, the spacecraft ought to approach a significantly closer distance to Venus. The shock front associated with a magnetic dipole moment only  $10^{-3}$  times the Earth's would probably be



detectable at an aphrodiocentric distance of  $\sim 15,000$  km. If the dipole moment is really that small, the magnetic measurements would have to be performed at about one-half the above distance (or  $\sim 1,000$  km above the surface) for a good chance of being representative of the intrinsic field. Penetration distances even closer would enhance the chances of detecting the planetary field, or alternatively, would serve to lower the bound on the planetary magnetic moment. However, if the dipole moment is much smaller than  $10^{-3} M_E$ , any field attributable to Venus might be caused by the diffusion of interplanetary magnetic fields into the planet rather than by electric currents in a molten core. This could introduce an element of ambiguity into the interpretation of the results, but it would be of interest to know into which category the magnetic field of Venus belongs.

If as suggested, Venus has a very small intrinsic magnetic moment, or none at all, the solar wind will carry its imbedded magnetic field down to the point in the atmosphere of Venus where either the pressure of the ionized components of the atmosphere or the viscosity through the unionized components provides enough momentum transfer to stop farther penetration of the solar wind into the atmosphere. Outside this region, there will be a typical bow shock, except that its shape will be that surrounding a spherical obstacle rather than a blunt-nosed magnetospheric shape. Presumably, the bow shock would occur somewhere in the general range of 1.15 to 1.3 planetary radii, depending on the Mach number and the nature of the subsonic flow between the shock front and the atmosphere. Thus, a very close passage by Venus would be desirable. It would also be desirable to explore both the tail and the subsolar region.

Although many features of the magnetic environment of Venus could be investigated even if the spacecraft field produced an uncertainty in the measurement of 2 to 5 gamma, this much uncertainty would make it very difficult to determine the true nature of the shock. For such investigations, the spacecraft field should be known to at least 0.5 gamma and it would be highly desirable to aim for 0.2

### SECTION III

#### PRELIMINARY SCIENCE OBJECTIVES AND EXPERIMENTS

##### A. OBJECTIVES

The two principal objectives of planetary science are the understanding of: (1) the origin and evolution of life, and (2) the origin and evolution of the solar system. In spite of the alleged high surface temperatures, Venus is still interesting from the point of view of biology and the origin and evolution of life. This is because of some uncertainty regarding the interpretation of the radio emission from the planet, the possibility of elevated topography, especially at high latitudes, having lower temperatures, and the possibility that aerial life forms have evolved suspended in the cooler portions of the atmosphere. Moreover, Venus is similar to Earth in mass, size, and density; therefore, it possibly has a core and its surface is shaped by the same construction forces that act upon the Earth. Venus has a dense, meteorologically interesting atmosphere, and its study may yield important clues toward understanding the origin and evolution of planetary atmospheres. The study of Venus thus can be very important in a better understanding of terrestrial problems, as well as providing information useful for a solution of the two principal objectives of planetary science.

In this section, several specified scientific objectives and the resulting scientific experiments or instruments to satisfy these objectives, are presented. This section should provide the preliminary information for the numerous trade-off studies involving the scientific instruments and their weight,

power, and data rate, with spacecraft design, DSIF, trajectory, and other considerations. Section B and Table A-2 present typical flyby science; Section C and Table A-3 present typical capsule science.

## B. FLYBY SCIENCE

Scientific investigations to be conducted from the flyby spacecraft involve both planetary and interplanetary experiments. The planetary experiments involve the measurement of select portions of the electromagnetic spectrum, ranging from the vacuum ultraviolet and far infrared to microwave and radar frequencies. The instruments can vary from reasonably heavy, complicated, high-data-rate spectrometers, interferometers, image-forming and scanning devices, to relatively simple, lightweight radiometers and photometers. They all have narrow fields of view and exacting pointing and aiming requirements relative to the planet. It is possible that several instruments could be mounted on a common scan platform to provide the gross aiming capability. A Venus flyby miss distance of 1000 km is desirable for these experiments; however, a miss distance of up to 5000 km would be satisfactory.

The interplanetary experiments involve the measurement of planetary magnetic fields and trapped radiations as well as the interplanetary measurements. The several experiments considered here in this category have either been flown before or their application to this mission involves a relatively straightforward extension of instrument state-of-the-art.

In the following pages, several select Venus flyby scientific objectives and the resulting experiments are presented. The list is not complete; however, it is considered typical and appropriate for providing the detail of required

information for a preliminary mission study. From the point of view of science, it would be desirable to fly all of these experiments and others, especially since the data from one experiment will tend to supplement another, but this involves a formidable payload weighing about 200 pounds, not including the data automation system or scan platform. Obviously, some selection is required to designate a so-called minimum payload for mission analysis. It is not within the mechanism of this document to completely debate the absolute scientific merit of any particular experiment over another; however, in the judgment of the committee preparing this document, a typical minimum payload for mission analysis is comprised of the first eight experiments discussed in the text and listed in Table A-2. This group includes the combination microwave experiment, the infrared experiment, magnetometer, trapped radiation, solar plasma, cosmic dust, RF occultation, and an ionization chamber/particle flux detector.

1. Microwave Experiment.

Venus is of extreme interest in the microwave region since its optically thick cloud seems to be penetrable only by microwaves. Active microwave (radar) observations are suited for surface reflectivity measurements and surface imaging; passive (radiometric) observations are ideal for the study of surface emissivity and surface thermal mapping, as well as atmospheric characteristics.

In the passive microwave area, the following specific scientific objectives for spacecraft experiments can be listed for a lightweight flyby mission:

a. Atmosphere brightness temperature distribution. The limb-to-limb brightness temperature distribution of the Venusian atmosphere could be obtained at several wavelengths in the region of 3 mm to 3 cm. From Earth-based measurements,

it has been well established that the brightness temperature integrated over the disk of the planet varies from about 350°K at 3 mm to about 575°K at 3 cm. The transition curve is irregular, appears to include emission lines, seems to fluctuate as a function of time, and in general, is thought to result entirely from the complex atmosphere of Venus. The shorter wavelengths are thought to originate from the top of the atmosphere, the longer ones from successively deeper layers. By obtaining limb-to-limb brightness temperature scans over a large portion of the planet at the high resolution obtainable from a spacecraft, information such as limb darkening, geographical distribution, phase angle effects, polarization, and Brewster angle phenomena could be measured. Data of this kind are extremely difficult, if not impossible, to gather from Earth because of the limited angular resolution of radio antennas. The information would yield considerable detail about the composition, the three-dimensional physical and thermal structure, the circulation, density, etc., of the atmosphere.

b. Thermal map of the Venusian surface. A thermal map or image, made at a wavelength longer than 3 cm to ensure penetration to the surface, would permit isolation of surface features such as mountains, plains, continents. It would identify thermal abnormalities such as fault lines, volcanoes, and would yield estimates of gross surface composition and structure.

Both of the above scientific objectives are best met if the flyby distance is in the order of 1000 km from the surface of the planet. At this distance, the areal resolution of instruments suitable for a light spacecraft would be in the order of kilometers to tens of kilometers, depending on wavelength. The

closer one passes to the surface, the higher the resolution but the poorer the coverage; farther out, the inverse situation is true, up to a point. Useful information, however, could be obtained as far as 100,000 km from Venus. A final flyby distance specification needs further study.

c. Implementation.

(1) Multifrequency instrument for atmosphere study.

Wavelengths: 2 to 4 wavelengths between 3 cm and 3 mm.

Weight: 35 lbs.

Volume: 3 ft. x 3 ft. x 2 in. square antenna = 1.5 cu. ft.  
2 ft. x 1 ft. x 9 in. electronics = 1.5 cu. ft.  
Total..... 3.0 cu. ft.

Power: cruise: none  
encounter: 30 watts avg.

Data Rate: 30 bps approx.

Other Requirements: planetary sensing/scan platform to scan whole radiometer at 1 deg/sec. from limb to limb and 5 deg. beyond each limb. Pointing accuracy not critical ( $\pm 1$  deg.).

(2) Surface imager.

Wavelength: 3 or 4 cm.

Weight: 25 lbs.

Volume: 3 ft. x 3 ft. x 2 in. square antenna = 1.5 cu. ft.  
1 ft. x 1 ft. x 9 in. electronics = 0.8 cu. ft.  
Total..... 2.3 cu. ft.

Power: cruise: none  
encounter: 10 watts avg.

Other Requirements: planetary sensor to orient antenna along local vertical; required pointing accuracy:  $\pm 1$  deg.; instrument scans electronically.

(3) Combined atmospheric sensor and surface imager.

Wavelength: multiple between 3 mm and 3 cm.  
Weight: 50 lbs.  
Volume: 3 ft. x 3 ft. x 3 in. square antenna = 2.5 cu. ft.  
2 ft. x 2 ft. x 9 in. electronics = 3.0 cu. ft.  
Total..... 5.5 cu. ft.  
Power: cruise: none  
encounter: 40 watts avg.  
Data Rate: 60 bps approx.  
Other Requirements: planet sensor/scan platform to scan whole  
instrument at about 4 deg/sec.

2. Infrared Experiment.

The maximum information about the cythereographical distribution of atmospheric composition, vertical temperature structure, and the nature and height of the clouds can probably be obtained from measurement of the spectrum from 1.2 to 5 microns, with a spectral resolution of  $0.5 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , if we restrict ourselves to techniques within the state-of-the-art. In fact, such a requirement almost specifies a Michelson interferometer such as that now being developed at JPL for a Mars 1973 Voyager mission.

A resolution of  $0.5 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  would be necessary and sufficient to measure absorption of solar radiation at the center and wings of lines in the high-frequency  $\text{CO}_2$  bands, from which  $\text{CO}_2$  amount and cloud height can be determined and in the 4.3 micron  $\text{CO}_2$  band, from which high-resolution vertical temperature structure can be determined. This resolution should be more than sufficient to provide cloud temperatures from measurements near 4 microns. The spectral



resolution would also be necessary to identify and measure some of the gases likely to be present above and in the clouds, and sufficient for most. If the clouds are mostly the condensation products of atmospheric gases, as is likely, these could be detected and measured with sufficient accuracy to identify them and determine whether their composition is consistent with the cloud temperatures. For example, if the clouds are composed of organic compounds, these will show up very clearly in the 3 to 3.5 micron region, and probably from 2 to 2.5 microns. Likewise, it would be possible to determine from measurements in the H<sub>2</sub>O bands whether the cloud tops could be expected to contain ice crystals. More direct evidence for the cloud composition should come from the continuum spectra of the clouds themselves. Breaks in the clouds could also be detected if there are atmospheric windows between 3 and 4 microns.

The information from this experiment should be a great help in planning future capsule missions. Even if a simultaneous capsule mission is planned, there is a possibility that it will fail because of incompatibility with the environment. Thus, at the least, the flyby measurements would probably indicate the cause of the incompatibility.

The data requirement for 0.5 cm<sup>-1</sup> resolution from 1.2 to 5 microns at one percent accuracy will be about 300,000 to 400,000 bits per interferogram, or about 10<sup>3</sup> bits per second if data are sent in real time. The instrument would weigh about 35 pounds.

### 3. Magnetometer.

The scientific objectives involved in repeating the measurements of magnetic fields near Venus would parallel those for Mariner II. However, in order to notably improve upon Mariner II data, the spacecraft ought to approach significantly closer to Venus. The shock front associated with a magnetic dipole moment only  $10^{-3}$  times the Earth's would probably be detectable at an aphrodiocentric distance of  $\sim 15,000$  km. If the dipole moment is really that small, the magnetic measurements would have to be performed at about one-half the above distance to have a good chance of being representative of the intrinsic field. Penetration distances even closer would enhance the chances of detecting the planetary field, or alternatively, would serve to lower the bound on the planetary magnetic moment. If a trajectory is favored that has a closest approach distance much in excess of 15,000 km, the most interesting field measurements would likely result if the spacecraft passed through the magnetic tail region, into or close to the planet's optical shadow.

The selection of a magnetometer to carry out the above objectives should be relatively simple now that so much is known of the interplanetary medium and a bound has been established for the magnetic moment of Venus. Either a fluxgate or vector helium magnetometer would be adequate, especially if one takes into account the developments which are likely within the next five years. Conservative estimates of the maximum weight and power required are 5 pounds and 4 watts, respectively. The major problem area would undoubtedly continue to be contamination of the measurements by spacecraft magnetic fields.

#### 4. Solar Plasma Experiment.

The ideal instrument for making solar plasma measurements in the vicinity of and en route to Venus would be similar to the OGO-E plasma probe, which incorporates both a spectrometer (electrostatic analyzer) for making detailed energy measurements and a Faraday cup probe for making flux and direction measurements. A revised design of this instrument has been proposed for Voyager. It weighs 15 pounds, consumes 10 watts if operated continuously, and occupies about 1½ cubic feet. It is capable of providing much better energy resolution, time resolution, and separation of hydrogen and helium solar-wind components than any plasma probe that has yet been flown. To use such an instrument at its maximum effectiveness requires a data rate of 10 to 50 bits per second, since plasma data are most interesting when the plasma properties are changing rapidly, and such events cannot be predicted.

Plasma measurements at data rates that are lower by an order of magnitude would still be valuable, however. If weight and power are at a premium, a less versatile instrument could be supplied that would require about half the weight, power, and volume of the proposed Voyager instrument.

#### 5. Trapped Radiation Experiment.

It is well known that the Mariner II trapped radiation experiment produced a negative result. For this reason, any trapped radiation experiment would require either that the spacecraft pass much closer to Venus than did Mariner II (41,000 km from the planet's center), or penetrate the region when the Sun-planet-probe angle is greater than 140 deg.

The general scientific purposes of the experiment would be to:

- a. Search for magnetically trapped particles in the vicinity of Venus and, if found, make preliminary determination of their distribution in space, their energy spectra, and their identities.
- b. Monitor the occurrence of solar cosmic rays and energetic electrons in interplanetary space and study their angular distribution, energy spectra, and time histories.

The scientific problems concerning which data of interest could be obtained are:

- a. Magnitude and orientation of the magnetic moment of Venus.
- b. Radial extent of the atmosphere of Venus.
- c. Delineation of the possibilities for aurorae and magnetic storms on Venus.
- d. Interaction of the solar plasma with the magnetosphere, if any, of Venus.
- e. Relationship between solar phenomena and emission of energetic particles.
- f. Propagation of charged particles in interplanetary space.
- g. Relationship of the occurrence of energetic particles in interplanetary space to solar and geophysical effects.

Instrumentation similar to that carried on Mariner IV would be quite satisfactory for these purposes. The characteristics of these detectors are shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Characteristics of Trapped Radiation Detectors

Detector	Charged Particles Detected	Remarks
213 GM Counter No. 1	Protons >500 Kev +Electrons >40 Kev	Identical detectors are oriented in different directions to get directional information
213 GM Counter No. 2	Protons >500 Kev +Electrons >40 Kev	
213 GM Counter No. 3	Protons >900 Kev +Electrons >70 Kev	
pn junction lower discriminator upper discriminator	Protons 500 Kev > E ≤ 8 Mev No electron sensitivity Protons 900 Kev ≤ E ≤ 5.5 Mev No electron sensitivity	
Total weight = 2.6 lbs. Total power = 0.6 watt Total volume = 80 in. <sup>2</sup> Total bit rate = 1 bit/sec.		

6. Cosmic Dust.

This would likely be the same experiment as that flown on Mariner IV to measure the flux of cosmic dust particles as a function of direction, distance from the Sun, and their momentum with respect to the spacecraft.

7. RF Occultation.

This also would be much the same experiment as flown on Mariner IV. It would measure changes in the spacecraft radio signal resulting from the effect of the Cytherean atmosphere during occultation.

8. Ionization Chamber/Particle Flux Detector.

This experiment, the same as flown on Mariner IV, would measure the total ionizing radiation and provide semiquantitative information about the energy and particle types composing the radiation in interplanetary space.

9. Ultraviolet Spectroscopy.

The ultraviolet spectrum of a planetary atmosphere is produced by charged-particle bombardment and solar radiation; this spectrum is characteristic of the atoms and molecules that make up the atmosphere and of the physical processes that excite them. The ultraviolet emission spectra from Venus results from, first, the dayglow--which is caused by solar ultraviolet radiation on the atmosphere, and second, during periods of maximum solar activity or if trapped radiation is present, the aurora--which is caused by charged-particle bombardment of atmospheric constituents. The spectrum of the ultraviolet dayglow is the result of molecular scattering, absorption, resonance re-radiation, and fluorescence of the incident solar radiation. Thus, the composition of the upper portion of the Cytherean atmosphere may be determined at least in part from an analysis of the dayglow spectra, while the ultraviolet aurora spectra, if present, can be used to identify many of the atoms and molecules that are present. Table 3 presents a summary of the spectral emissions from both the dayglow and aurora for Venus.

Table 3. Atoms and Molecules that may be Detected in the Cytherean Atmosphere by Ultraviolet Spectroscopy

		Venus
Dayglow	Resonance Re-radiation	H - 1216 A O - 1300 A
	Fluorescence	CO - 4th Positive 1100 - 2600 A
	Absorption	O <sub>3</sub> - 2000 - 3000 A
Aurora		N <sub>2</sub> - L-B-H N - 1200 A O - 1300 A H - 1216 A

The experiment constraints and instrument characteristics for the ultraviolet spectrometer are as follows:

- (1) It is desired that the measurements begin at approximately 1,000,000 km from the planet, the absolute distance to be established during spacecraft design.
- (2) Scan across planet and up to one-half diameter off planet.
- (3) In order to satisfy the requirement for spectral resolution, it is desired that the spacecraft pass within at least 5000 km of the surface. Any greater distance from the planet would decrease the resolution of this instrument and affect the measurement objectives.
- (4) Cross the terminator.
- (5) View shall not be obstructed by spacecraft within 4 deg. cone about look axis.

- (6) Field of view shall be 2.5 deg.
- (7) Scan no closer than 10 deg. to Sun-probe line.
- (8) Weight and power approximately 25 lbs. and 12 watts, respectively.
- (9) Dimensions: 24 in. long x 8 in. x 9 in.
- (10) Data rate will be as high as  $10^3$  bits per second in real time, or approximately  $2 \times 10^5$  bits per spectrogram.
- (11) Great care must be taken in instrument design to use a detector which will not be swamped by visible light reflected from the planet.

10. Photo-Imaging Experiment.

The photo-imaging experiment on the Venus mission will yield new information about the nature and structure of the Cytherean atmosphere. Meteorological information similar to that obtained by the early U.S. weather satellites, at resolution at least an order of magnitude better than Earth-based observations of Venus, will be obtained. The scientific objectives of the photo-imaging experiment are as follows:

- (1) To obtain photographs of the entire Cytherean disk at several phase angles with about 100 km resolution.
- (2) To map, in pairs, the appearance of the planet-wide clouds in near-UV and yellow light.
- (3) To detect and observe temporal changes in the cloud cover in near-UV and yellow light.



- (4) To construct polarization maps of the Cytherean disk at several phase angles with about 100 km resolution, in two spectral windows.
- (5) To obtain photographs of portions of the Cytherean disk with about 10 km resolution, from terminator to limb in sequential near-UV and yellow light.
- (6) To construct polarization maps of parts of the area covered at 10 km resolution, in two spectral windows.
- (7) To observe surface markings, or, failing this, to place upper limits on the size and brightness differential of any surface markings that would remain undetected.

The instrumentation would consist of two bore-sighted cameras of evolved Mariner design, mounted on a scan platform. Tape recorders, similar in design to the Mariner C type but with higher playback rates, would be utilized. The following are indicative of the type of system planned:

Camera type: Advanced Mariner C

Weight: 30 lbs. (15 on scan platform)

Power consumption: 20 watts

Operation: shuttered exposures at minimum of 48-sec. intervals.

Picture readout 24-sec. minimum.

Volume: 12 x 6 x 10 in. on scan platform;  $10^3$  in.<sup>3</sup> on bus.

Auxiliary equipment required: Data processing equipment.

Video storage system.

Auxiliary equipment (cont.): Mechanical platform having two deg. of freedom, preferably in clock and in cone angle.

Planet sensor (optional if ground commands used for pointing).

Data Rate:  $10^3$  bps to spacecraft transmitter.

Data capacity required:  $10^7$  bits in video storage system (used several times).

#### 11. Monostatic Radar Experiment.

A distinction is made between monostatic and bistatic radar: in monostatic the transmitter and receiver are close together; in bistatic they are widely separated. The power received in any monostatic radar system depends on the inverse fourth power of the range to the target; thus, if both terminals of a radar system can be placed near the planet to be studied, reasonably modest powers and antenna sizes can be used as compared with terrestrial-based radar systems.

A practical minimum monostatic radar experiment for a Venus flyby mission was formulated from the following constraints:

- (1) As a consequence of the limited weight and power capabilities of the launch vehicle and spacecraft, the radar experiment is limited to 50 lbs. and 75 watts of input power, respectively.
- (2) An assumption is made that a miss distance of about 2000 km from the surface is feasible; as the miss distance increases, the peak power, antenna gain product must increase to compensate for the added space loss. A closer approach, of course, is desirable.

- (3) The choice of wavelength falls somewhere between 3 and 10 cm. The shorter wavelengths lead to lighter equipment but may not "see through" the Venusian atmosphere.
- (4) The data obtainable by the radar must contain significant information about the trajectory and the Venusian surface.

In order to minimize the weight, a radar system consisting of a fixed antenna, a single transmitter, and a receiver is considered. A minimum wavelength of 3.3 cm may be used. An increase to about 6 cm may be required, depending upon the outcome of Earth-based measurements of the Venusian radar cross-section during 1966.

The general parameters of the radar system follow:

Wavelength . . . . .	3.3 cm
Antenna . . . . .	parabola 1.2 m diam.
Peak power . . . . .	100 kw
Pulse width . . . . .	5 $\mu$ sec
Rep. rate . . . . .	10-20 pulses/sec
Signal-to-noise . . . . .	10 to 40 db
Input power . . . . .	75 watts
Weight . . . . .	50 lbs. (including 8-lb. antenna)

The types of information which would be obtainable include:

- (1) Gross profile (averaged over a spot radius of 20 km).
- (2) Range to the planetary surface (data rate 200 bps for 30 min.)
- (3) Variations in radar cross section with look-angle.
- (4) Pulse-to-pulse fluctuations (data rate 80 bps for 30 min.)

The surface parameters calculated from the last two measurements are the surface roughness to a scale of 1 to 30 cm, and a better determination of the

radar reflectivity. These calculations can be made without assumptions. Values for permittivity and surface layer density can be made with assumptions as to surface layer mineral content or other measurements of this factor.

### C. CAPSULE SCIENCE

A simple capsule or drop sonde can be instrumented to provide first-hand information on the temperature, pressure, density, and some aspects of the chemical composition of the Cytherean atmosphere, all as a function of altitude. A sonde of this type, although not designed to survive the ballistic impact on the planet's surface, should carry some kind of accelerometer to distinguish between solid and liquid surfaces. Table 4 lists some possible scientific instruments to carry out each of these measurement objectives.

In considering these capsule instruments, note that:

- (1) In no case has the weight of the ducts or tubing been taken into consideration (assumed to be structure weight).
- (2) It is desired that the capsule reach a velocity of Mach I as high above the planet's surface as possible.
- (3) Attitude stabilization of the capsule is required for the thermodynamic and photometer measurements.
- (4) The heat shield will be a source of error during the measurements due to the thermal energy it will have at the time, and due to unpredictable aerodynamic behavior subsequent to the loss of the ablative material. The shield should, therefore, be jettisoned or its effects on the instruments clearly understood.

Table 4. Typical Capsule Instruments

	<u>Weight</u>	<u>Power</u>
1. Thermodynamic Variables	3 lbs	2.5 w
a. Temperature	5 oz	
b. Pressure	6 oz	
c. Density	24 oz	
d. Velocity of Sound	10 oz	
2. Atmospheric Composition	7 lbs	6 w
(select one from the following categories)		
a. Mass Spectrometer	5 lbs	6 w
b. Gas Chromatograph	6.5 lbs	5 w
c. Simple Composition	8 lbs	6 w
H <sub>2</sub> O	1.5 lbs	1 w
O <sub>2</sub>	1.5 lbs	1 w
O <sub>3</sub>	1.5 lbs	1 w
A	1.5 lbs	1 w
N <sub>2</sub>	1.0 lbs	1 w
CO <sub>2</sub>	1.0 lbs	1 w
3. Visual Photometer	2 lbs	1 w
4. Impact Accelerometer	3 lbs	1 w
5. Science Data System	<u>4 lbs</u>	<u>2 w</u>
TOTALS	19 lbs	12.5 w

## 1. Thermodynamic Variables.

Four instruments are suggested for the thermodynamic variables package. These are: pressure, density, temperature, and velocity of sound. Each has an analog output. Sterilization, if required, does not appear to be a problem with these instruments.

a. Density. This instrument uses gamma-ray back scatter with a 20 curie source to obtain a sensitivity of approximately  $2 \times 10^{-5}$  gms/cc, over a pressure range from  $0.1 \times 10^{-3}$  to 10 atmospheres. This instrument must be located adjacent to the capsule wall.

b. Temperature. A vortex tube must be deployed in free stream; thus, the instrument must be calibrated as part of the capsule system. The dynamic range is from  $150^{\circ}\text{K}$  to  $800^{\circ}\text{K}$  and it can measure from 0.7 Mach I down.

c. Pressure. Two sensors and associated electronics are required, each measuring  $1\frac{1}{2}$  in. diameter by 2 in. long. The accuracy of the pressure reading is 0.01 psi of the ambient pressure, and the response time is about 0.4 sec. The instrument can measure from 0.7 Mach I down. The instrument must have a pressure difference between the static pressure point of the capsule and the instrument transducer which is small compared to the magnitude of the measurement.

d. Velocity of Sound. The response time for this instrument is negligible and its accuracy is about 1 percent. It can measure from 0.7 Mach I down.

## 2. Atmospheric Composition.

a. Desired data. Atmospheric composition can be determined by either a mass spectrometer, gas chromatograph, or the series of simple composition instruments. The elements and compounds of major interest are:  $H_2O$ ,  $O_2$ , A,  $N_2$ ,  $CO_2$ , hydrocarbons (formaldehyde, methol complex), and  $O_3$ .

In the case of  $O_2$ , A, the hydrocarbons, and  $O_3$ , it would be desirable to know the percentage or amount present to a few parts per million; however, for  $H_2O$ ,  $CO_2$ , and  $N_2$ , a one percent accuracy is sufficient. It would be desirable to have the capability of detecting gaseous volcanic eminations.

The method of obtaining the atmospheric sample for these instruments is a primary capsule design constraint.

b. Mass Spectrometer. A  $60^\circ$  magnetic sector mass spectrometer is being considered for this experiment. It has a dynamic range of  $10^5$ , which would allow 0.01 percent components to be measured if one assumes a factor of ten padding in the Venus atmospheric pressure estimate. Gaseous constituents within the mass range at 12-50 will be detectable. A few of these are:  $CH_4$ ,  $H_2O$ , Ne,  $N_2$ ,  $O_2$ , Ar,  $CO_2$ .

All of these constituents can be determined in the present system down to 0.1 percent by volume. The input pressure sampling limit would be 0.5 atmosphere or less. The lower the pressure, the less complex the sampling system would be. The mass spectrometer with the present all-solid-state electrometer can maintain its dynamic range with a 60-sec. scan between

masses 12 and 50. If the dynamic range were reduced to  $10^4$ , a single scan could be made in 2 sec.

The number of data bits required by this experiment is difficult to assess without specific telemetry ground rules. Complete analog spectra would require 2500 bits. Utilizing peak detection methods, one could determine the absolute partial pressures of the three major components for less than 100 bits. The data requirements of the mass spectrometer depend entirely upon the sophistication of the scientific data required. Many trade-offs occur between this lower limit of 100 bits and the upper limit of 2500 bits per second.

### 3. Impactometer.

A device to indicate the hardness/density of the Cytherean surface from the ballistic impact of the capsule is very desirable. Instrumentation to perform this measurement is limited by capsule weight, power, data rate, and, finally, by destruction upon impact.

The suggested experiment is an impact accelerometer designed to provide an order-of-magnitude measurement of the hardness/density of the surface into which it impacts by indicating the rate of change of velocity measured between the discharge of one electrode in the outer skin of the capsule and a second electrode in the inner skin of the capsule. The capsule would be acting as a hollow shell-type accelerometer.

At impact, the outer electrode begins to collapse or flatten out. The inner electrode, not physically connected to the outer shell, feels no



forces, hence continues forward with its velocity unchanged. If the target surface is completely unyielding, as is nearly the case with solid rock, the outside capsule surface will flatten on the impact surface, and the original distance between the two electrodes will close at the impact velocity. If, on the other hand, the impact surface is of very low density, or yielding, such as water, the flattening of the outer surface and the time between pulses from the discharge of each electrode will be longer. The entire capsule would be destroyed upon impact, or a few microseconds after the impact accelerometer had passed its two pulses to the capsule transmitter.

APPENDIXES

Table A-1. Selected Planetary Data for Venus

---

Mass:  $4.86954 \times 10^{27}$  grams (Mariner II value, 1962)  
 $4.85 \times 10^{27}$  grams (from Rabe by perturbations of asteroid Eros, 1950)

Diameter: 12,198 km (0.955 spherical Earth diameter) (Menzel and de Vaucouleur, from occultation of Regulus, 1961; depth of atmosphere estimated at 49 km)  
 12,590 km (0.988 Earth) (Rabe, 1929)  
 12,400 km (0.973 Earth) (Russell, Dugan, and Stewart, 1945)

Density:  $4.99 \pm 0.15$  (Rabe, 1950)  
 4.9 (Russell, Dugan, and Stewart, 1945)  
 4.86 (Whipple, 1941)  
 $5.03 \pm 0.12$  (Jeffreys, 1937)  
 5.06 (Urey, 1957)  
 5.21 (Smart, 1951)

Surface Gravity: around 0.86 Earth gravity

Oblateness: unknown

Rotation Period and Axis Orientation:  
 $-250$  days  $+7$  days  
 $-4$  days with spin axis pointing toward

$\alpha = 255^\circ \begin{matrix} +10^\circ \\ -4^\circ \end{matrix}, \quad \delta = +68^\circ \pm 4^\circ$  (Carpenter, 1964)

Orbital Eccentricity: 0.007

Inclination of Orbit:  $3^\circ 24'$

Distance to Earth at IC:  $42 \times 10^6$  km

Distance at SC:  $257 \times 10^6$  km

Sidereal Period of Revolution: 225 days

Mean Distance to Sun:  $108 \times 10^6$  km

Table A-2. Typical Flyby Experiments

Instrument	Weight	Power	Remarks
1a. Microwave Spectrometer (Atmospheric Sensor)	35 lb	30 w	Obtain the limb-to-limb brightness temperature at several wavelengths from 3 mm to 3 cm. From these data, one would hope to get information about the composition, the three-dimensional physical and thermal structure, the circulation, the density, etc., of the atmosphere.
1b. Microwave Imager (Surface Imager)	25 lb	10 w	Obtain a thermal map of a traverse of the Venusian surface at a wavelength longer than 3 cm. From these data, one would hope to get information on planetary geomorphology, thermal abnormalities, and an estimate of surface composition and structure.
1c. Microwave Spectrometer/ (Combined Atmospheric Sensor and Surface Imager)	50 lb	40 w	Same as 1a and 1b.
2. Infrared Experiment	35 lb	20 w	Michelson interferometer technique at 1.2 to 5 microns with a spectral resolution of $0.5 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ for information about atmospheric composition, vertical temperature and nature and height of clouds.
3. Magnetometer	5 lb	5 w	To investigate planetary and interplanetary magnetic fields, their relationship, characteristics, magnitude, direction, and orientation.
4. Solar Plasma Experiment	15 lb	10 w	Similar to OGO-E plasma experiment for making detailed energy measurements, as well as flux and directional measurements.

Table A-2. Typical Flyby Experiments - (cont.)

Instrument	Weight	Power	Remarks
5. Trapped Radiation Detectors	2.6 lb	0.7 w	Planetary: To search for magnetically trapped charged particles in vicinity of Venus. If present to study intensity, directional and spatial distribution; also interplanetary cosmic rays.
6. Cosmic Dust	2.5 lb	0.2 w	Interplanetary: To measure flux of cosmic dust particles as function of direction, distance from Sun, and momentum with respect to spacecraft.
7. R.F. Occultation			Planetary: Measure changes in S/C radio signal resulting from effect of Venus atmosphere during occultation.
8. Particle Flux/ Ion Chamber	2.5 lb	0.35 w	<u>Particle Flux</u> , interplanetary: Used in conjunction with ion chamber to monitor energetic particle and photon radiation in interplanetary space. Provides semiquantitative data about energy and particle types composing the radiation. <u>Ion Chamber</u> , interplanetary: To measure total ionizing radiation (cosmic rays and/or particles) and variation with time and position in the solar system.
9. Photo-Imaging Experiment	15 lb	20 w	Would provide information about nature and structure of Cytherean atmosphere similar to data from early U.S. satellites for Earth.
10. Ultraviolet Spectroscopy	25 lb	12 w	Determination of abundance and height distributions of principal atmospheric constituents obtained from UV spectra of day, night, and twilight portions of Venus, with emphasis on lighted side.
11. Radar Experiment	50 lb	75 w	Would provide information on surface profile or topography, range to planetary surface, small-scale surface roughness (1 to 30 cm), and reflectivity and electrical properties of planetary surface.

Table A-3. Typical Capsule Instruments

Instrument	Measurement Capabilities	Approx. Weight	Approx. Power	Bits/sample & Total Info. (Venus)
Aerometeorometer	Static Temperature: 180-800°K ±1% of ambient (accuracy of measurement)	5 oz	70 mw	9 bits/sample measure every 500 meters
	Static Pressure: $10^2$ to $2.5 \times 10^6$ newtons/meter <sup>2</sup> ±50 for $10^2$ to $1.5 \times 10^4$ ±1% above $1.5 \times 10^4$ (accuracy of measurement)	6 oz	100 mw	9 bits/sample 7 bits/sample measure every 500 meters
	Density: $2 \times 10^{-4}$ to 30 kg/meter <sup>3</sup> ±1% of ambient (accuracy of measurement)			17 bits/sample
	Beta Ray: Gamma Ray:	12 oz 24 oz	250 mw 2.0 w	measure every 500 meters
	Velocity of Sound: 250 to 380 meters/sec; 1% of ambient (accuracy of measurement)	10 oz	300 mw	10 bits/sample measure every 5000 meters
Mass Spectrometer*	Determination of the composition of the atmosphere in the mass range of 12 to 50 amu	5 lb	6 w	1100 bits/sample; 5500 bits total
Gas Chromatograph*	Determination of the composition of the atmosphere	6.5 lb	5 w	200 bits/sample; 800 bits total
Simple Composition*	H <sub>2</sub> O (vapor): 0 to 2% (dynamic range)	1.5 lb	1.0 w	7 bits/sample 105 bits total
	O <sub>2</sub> : 0 to 10% (dynamic range)	1.5 lb	1.0 w	7 bits/sample 35 bits total
	O <sub>3</sub> : 0 to 5 ppm (dynamic range)	1.5 lb	1.0 w	7 bits/sample 105 bits total
	A : 0 to 10% (dynamic range)	1.5 lb	1.0 w	7 bits/sample 35 bits total
	N <sub>2</sub> : 0 to 90% (dynamic range)	1.0 lb	1.0 w	7 bits/sample 35 bits total
	CO <sub>2</sub> : 5 to 100% (dynamic range)	1.0 lb	1.0 w	7 bits/sample 70 bits total

\* Select one instrument from mass spectrometer, gas chromatograph, or simple composition.

Table A-3. Typical Capsule Instruments - (cont.)

Instrument	Measurement Capabilities	Approx. Weight	Approx. Power	Bits/sample & Total Info. (Venus)
Impactometer	Distinguish between a hard and a soft surface; e.g., unconsolidated sand-like material and any consolidated surface	3 lb	1.0 w	2 microsec. pulses on the capsule carrier frequency
Visual or UV photometer	Determine the optical properties of the Cytherean atmosphere	2 lb	1.0 w	7 bits/sample measurement every 5 sec