



## Review

## Indian perspective in food traceability: A review



K. Dandage, R. Badia-Melis\*, L. Ruiz-García

*Departamento de Ingeniería Agroforestal, E.T.S.I. Agronómica, Alimentaria y Biosistemas, Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, Spain*

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Received 6 May 2016

Received in revised form

23 June 2016

Accepted 4 July 2016

Available online 6 July 2016

## Keywords:

Food traceability

Food waste

Traceability systems

India

Food distribution

## ABSTRACT

India is the second largest producer of fruit and vegetables in the world. Fruit production in India has increased 89% in the last decade. In the present paper It is exposed the necessity for a proper traceability in the Indian food industry, because the sector is demanding an adequate system due to the precarious nature of existing supply chain, and to reduce the numerous cases of food safety incidents and fraudulence. This work also presents the existing traceability techniques in India which include RFID, Holograms, Barcode, Nuclear techniques and other tracking media to monitor production process. Furthermore it is revealed the initiatives implementation from APEDA and its association with GS1 India in the form of Anarnet, Peanut.net, Meat.net, and Grapenet for the Indian farming products, as well as several ICTs initiatives that are actively working in many states of India.

However the development of an effective food traceability system is affected by a numbers of factors like restrictive government marketing standardization, insecure policies and unstable actions for food safety, underdeveloped and unorganized infrastructure in market area and the supply chains, from the farmers to non-existent cold chain facilities and small local stores, and inadequate agricultural practices with large number of small and medium industries and famers. Therefore an effective food traceability system is not only an important tool to manage food quality and safety risks, but also to promote the development of effective supply chain management in India.

© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

## Contents

1. Introduction .....	218
1.1. Food retail sector in India .....	219
1.2. Food wastage in India .....	219
2. Necessity of traceability in the Indian food industry .....	219
2.1. Functional role of the traceability system within Indian food supply chain .....	219
2.2. Food safety incidents and hazard identification in India .....	220
2.3. Food fraudulence in India .....	220
3. Effective traceability techniques in India .....	220
3.1. Alphanumerical codes in India .....	220
3.2. Hologram in India .....	221
3.3. Barcode in India .....	221
3.4. Radio frequency identification (RFID) in India .....	221
3.5. Document-based (paper/electronic documents) traceability system in India .....	221
3.6. Nano technology in India .....	221
3.7. Nuclear technique in India .....	221
3.8. Information and communication technology (ICT) .....	221
4. Initiatives of traceability systems in India .....	222
4.1. Introduction to the GS1 India and data interpretation for product identification .....	222
4.2. Traceability systems for Indian farming produce through GS1 India standards and APEDA .....	222

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [ricardobadia@live.com](mailto:ricardobadia@live.com) (R. Badia-Melis).

4.2.1.	Grapenet traceability system for grape in India .....	222
4.2.2.	Tracenet traceability system for organic products in India .....	222
4.2.3.	Initiatives of the meat products traceability under APEDA .....	223
4.3.	Initiatives of traceability for livestock in India .....	223
4.4.	Initiatives for seafood traceability in India .....	223
5.	Estimated cost for food traceability in India .....	223
6.	Impediment for adoption and challenges of food traceability in India .....	224
7.	Conclusion .....	225
	Acknowledgment .....	225
	References .....	225

## 1. Introduction

A complete definition of traceability is given by [Olsen and Borit \(2013\)](#), they define traceability as “The ability to access any or all information relating to that which is under consideration, throughout its entire life cycle, by means of recorded identifications”.

The terms “tracing” and “tracking” are generally discussed in the traceability. According to [Petersen and Green \(2005\)](#), tracing is backward process where origin is identified by history or records in supply chain and tracking is the forward process where end users and trading partners are identified by location in supply chain, while both term provides the visibility to the supply chain ([Van Dorp, 2002](#)). [Stefansson and Tilanus \(2001\)](#) point out that tracking and tracing system must be connected with physical transportation system and information system.

Current traceability systems are characterized by the inability to link food chains records, inaccuracy and errors in records, and delays in obtaining essential data, which are fundamental in case of food outbreak disease ([Badia-Melis, Mishra, & Ruiz-García, 2015](#)). These systems should address the recall and withdraw of non-consumable products, however up to date there are still recent reports covering the implementation of food assurance systems that do not mention the traceability question although they are highly related to food traceability, e.g. implementation of Good Manufacturing Practices in a mozzarella cheese processing plant ([Dias et al., 2012](#)), the assessment of costs for implementation of food safety systems in a small dairy plant ([Cusato et al., 2014](#)) or implementation of a food safety system in a dairy processing plant ([Cusato et al., 2013](#)).

[Foras, Thakur, Solem, and Svarva \(2015\)](#) present a positive evolution in the traceability system in a developed country (Norway) between 2008 and 2013. They successfully simulate recall methods to determine the pathway through the supply chain from retailer back to the origin. The conclusion is that wholesalers are well prepared to conduct trace backs and withdrawals.

Also traceability has driven many issues related to food crisis management, traceability of bulk products, quality and identity preservation concerns, fraud prevention, anti-counterfeiting ([Dabbene, Gay, & Tortia, 2014](#)), and minimize food adulteration ([Spink, Moyer, & Speier-Perro, 2016](#)).

Over the past few years several countries have gone through mandatory regulation for food traceability systems ([Riviere and Buckley, 2012](#)), as well as set up the specific regulations or policies on the national level for domestic products, excluding the India ([Schroeder & Tonsor, 2012](#)). To export to those countries, where traceability system is mandatory, India must walk along with them, as well as need to follow their defined criteria. Although several conceptual frameworks have been proposed in an effort to explain the dynamics of traceability system, however few of them have focused on the existing food traceability system across the

globe, which include the European Union (EU) countries, such as Austria, Belgium, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Ireland, Italy, Netherlands, Sweden, and the United Kingdom, where all are under the mandatory regulation of EU Legislation 178/2002, whereas other European countries, such as Norway and Switzerland, both have developed the “identitas” for cattle ([Charlebois, Sterling, Haratifar, & Naing, 2014](#)).

Moreover, New Zealand also has mandatory traceability for cattle ([NZMPI, 2013](#)). Brazil implemented a mandatory traceability and identification system for livestock under the Brazilian System of Identification and Certification of Origin for Bovine and Buffalo (SISBOV) ([Dalvit, De Marchi, & Cassandro, 2007](#)). In addition, Australia has mandatory requirements for tagging and identification of cattle, sheep, and goats, whereas focus over IRA (Import Risk Analysis) in order to identify and classify potential quarantine risks while importing ([IRA Handbook, 2011](#)). Canada also strictly follows mandatory traceability for all animals with tagging for livestock identification ([CFIA, 2012](#)). Nevertheless United States (US) does not have mandatory food traceability system, but also require an entire report of traceability of products and enforcement for registration ([Charlebois et al., 2014](#)). Russia also requires complete information about the pesticide usage and prohibited to genetically modified organisms (GMO) ([New eastern outlook, 2015](#)). Japan implemented a rice traceability regulation in July 2011 ([USDA/ERS, 2014](#)). Still China is at basic level of the food traceability, however it requires pig, cattle, and sheep to be identified with an ear tag system with a 2-dimensional (2D) barcode ([Luo, Xiong, Geng, Yang, & Pan, 2010](#)).

Indian market is occupied by number of small and medium industries, and up to now, there are certain question marks about the implementation of the traceability system in small enterprises, taking into account the intrinsic difficult of these factors. When the implementation of quality assurance systems in small food enterprises is evaluated, one of the main external factors that influence the adoption of the food traceability. Also this adoption depends on the type of enterprise, the products it produces (e.g., perishable, bulk, seasonal), and the market where its products are sold. The most important factors for enterprises that deal with agricultural products are needed better customer satisfaction, product traceability, and information about quality and sales ([Karipidis, Athanassiadis, Aggelopoulos, & Giompliakis, 2009](#)).

In UK, small and medium-sized enterprises present a positive attitude towards traceability in term of recognition of its importance but an unwillingness to invest in traceability systems' enhancement due to the uncertainty of whether traceability systems can reduce the probability of recalls or not ([Mattevi & Jones, 2016](#)).

According to the [Saxena and Gandhi \(2015\)](#) in the Indian Horticulture Database from 2014, India is the second largest producer of fruit and vegetables in the world after China. Agriculture remains a very imperative sector of the Indian economy both in terms of

contribution to source of employment to the millions across the country and gross domestic product (GDP), which was 13.9% during 2013–14 (Saxena, Bhattacharya, & Malhotra, 2015).

Food production in India has increased in last decade 2001–02 to 2012–1. In particular, fruit production has raised 89%, from 43,000 Million Tonnes (MT) to 81,285 MT, and vegetable augmented 83%, from 88,622 MT to 162,187 MT. These increases are a result of an increase in the number of farms and diversification in the cultivation methods (Saxena & Gandhi, 2015).

### 1.1. Food retail sector in India

In recent years Indian retail sectors are growing up very rapidly and leading to the revolution of retail sectors in domestic market. Despite progressing in food sector, however some of them such as small food sectors and grocery sections, both are highly unorganized, and segmented. As of 2012, India's retail sector was dominated by more than 12–15 million Kirana stores, which are typically family-owned outlets found on almost every street corner (USDA, 2014). At current situation there are maximum numbers of stores of several famous national and international retailers like 550 stores from Reliance Fresh (Reliance Industries), and followed by the Future group with 530 stores (GSCG, 2015).

Nevertheless, thousands of shopping malls are jumping into market at every day, but still Indian public prefers to go at a nearby weekly bazaar or market or Kirana stores, which is the easiest gateway and affordable to every middleman who resides in India.

Foreign entities are attracting and investing towards Indian retail sector including Germany's Metro (Kulkarni, 2015). Foreign entities are attracting and investing towards Indian retail sector including Germany's Metro (Kulkarni, 2015), Wal-Mart, is joint venture with Bharti (Patnaik, 2015), and UK's Tesco (Malviya, 2015).

Indian corporate houses such as Reliance Fresh, Imperial Tobacco Company (ITC) - Choupal Fresh, Aditya Birla Group, Godrej-Nature's Basket, Namdhari-Namdhari's fresh, National Dairy Development Board through SAFAL (which is the largest organised retail network of fruits and vegetables in India), and business groups such as Adani-Fresh, also entered into retailing and putting up more focus on fresh fruits and vegetables (GSCG, 2015).

### 1.2. Food wastage in India

There are several reasons in the present whole food supply chain system, from farmers to consumers that account for an enormous amount of food wastage in India, such as lack of storage space, improper care, wastage by consumers, improper post-harvest management, lack of infrastructural facilities, poor transportation facilities, inadequate packaging, lack of refrigerated transport, lack of awareness, stock management inefficiencies, inefficient distribution, corruption, and natural calamities, etc. (Artiuch & Kornstein, 2012).

According to UN's Food and Agriculture Organization (UNFAO, 2013), every year nearly 40% of the total food produced in India is being wasted by grower or consumer or its spoiled before reaching to consumers, where wheat is also enlisted in this wasting list which is about 21 million tons of wheat per annum, due to inadequate storage and poor management by government run Food Corporation of India (FCI) and unfortunately the wheat is eaten or rotten by insects or rat, instead of reaching to the needy person. In 2012, Gulati et al. (2012), estimated that the food grain leakage for the year 2011–12 increased up to 46.72%. As stated by Mr. Sharad Pawar, the former agriculture minister, the country needs to reduce as well as control over the food wastage which worth \$8.3 billion annually (Chauhan, 2013).

A recent study conducted by Emerson (2013), annually India is

wasting out a large amount of fruits and vegetables that worth USD 2.45 billion, and in order to improve this situation in future, India must solve the recent problem of the country's lack of adequate cold storage facilities and refrigerated transport system (Bhosale, 2013). Whereas, the cold storage capacity must be increased up to 61 million metric tons for covering an entire commodity. Currently cold storage capacity, which is only 30.11 million metric tons that manages by 6300 cold storage houses across the country, but it also requires more than USD 10.15 billion to build up the smart cold storage capacity for all food products (NCCD, 2012).

Major food wastage is occurred in weddings ceremony, can- teens, hotels, social and family functions, as well as households etc., moreover the amount of food wastage and losses are increasing day by day (Phukan, 2014). According to the Global Hunger Index (IFPRI, 2013), India ranks 63rd, out of the 78 hungriest countries, where traceability and associated quality control could help to improve food distribution processes and reduce food wastage (IFPRI, 2013).

As per recent survey by Indian Institute of Management (IIM) Calcutta, only 10% perishable foods are able to preserve under the cold storage facility in India and remained 370 million tons of foods at risk (Kazmin, 2014). Basavaraja, Mahajanashetti, and Udagatti (2007) also conducted study on post-harvest food losses (PHL) of cereals in the state of Karnataka (India), in which found that most of the PHLs occur at farm level than market level.

Indian agriculture sector ought to establish and integrate food traceability systems with risk management systems in order to improve food safety in the entire food chain (Sugahara, 2008; Aung & Chang, 2014).

According to Golan et al. (2004), for fortifying firms the government policies must be focused, and should be invested in the traceability system and need to develop a controlling or monitoring system that can be watched over the unsafe and falsely advertised foods which comes in market, it must be taken some appropriate action that may help to remove that food as soon as possible from the system.

## 2. Necessity of traceability in the Indian food industry

### 2.1. Functional role of the traceability system within Indian food supply chain

In the last half decade 2009–2013, the exports of India has risen at annual rate of 6.6% (OECD, 2015), so far India has been exported many farming products such as mango, banana, onion, ladyfinger, pomegranate, and more to many parts of world under the guideline of Agricultural & Processed Food Products Export Development Authority (APEDA), and Agriculture Marketing (AGMARK) (APEDA, 2013a).

Food Safety and Standards Authority of India (FSSAI, 2011a), aims to give a comprehensive views to food business operators in terms of behavior of food recall portal, as well as how they should be carried out a food recall portal in order to develop an efficient rapid identification system, removal of unsafe food, and preventing customers from potentially hazardous food in market. This is to take traceability as an integral part of food logistics (Bosona & Gebresenbet, 2013).

Although India does not have any obligatory traceability system (Schroeder & Tonsor, 2012), but nevertheless in recent years Indian government has been started to work with private entities, state and central governments, which include FSSAI, APEDA, GS1 India, NABARD (National Bank for Agriculture and Rural Development), FPO (Fruit Products Order), ITC's eChoupal, and Reliance industry etc. for developing the traceability system within the Indian food industry and food supply chain, moreover paying attention towards trade and distribution of the agriculture products in cost effective

ways to compete with global market (Jacques & Zuurbier, 2008).

Traceability reduces public costs like medical, and private costs like product recalling (Abbott, 1991; Hobbs, Bailey, Dickinson, & Haghiri, 2005), helps to obtain the rich profit by reducing the labor cost of reading code, reduce goods in stock, and reduce the occurrence of larceny (Biederman, 2006), reduce distribution costs (Michael & McCathie, 2005), reduce operating and storage costs (Yong-Dong, Yuan-Yuan, Wei-Min, 2009), ensure the quality of production and products (Wall, 1994), increasing food safety and security (Anica-Popa, 2011), ensure consistent quality of food products and prevent food safety problems (Li, Kramer, Beulens, & van der Vorst, 2006), gives accurate, timely, complete, and consistent information about products (Regattieri, Gamberi, & Manzini, 2007), reduces labor productivity losses (Veronneau & Roy, 2009), save time and money (Moe, 1998), reduce human error (Frederiksen, Osterberg, Silberg, Larsen, & Bremner, 2002).

Fundamentally, India requires more development in current national food laws, as well as need to adopt an effective traceability system in order to improve and change within current food industry and food supply chain. As suggested by researchers the following factors are essential to control the food outbreaks and fraud, in order to establish the new food traceability legislation in Indian food industries.

## 2.2. Food safety incidents and hazard identification in India

In India, the main principle cause behind increasing food safety concern is the inconsistency and arbitrariness in food safety monitoring system, for example the problem of antibiotic in honey (Narain, 2010), growing the use of milk adulterants and tainted meat (Biswas & Hartley, 2015).

Small dairies and household dairy stores, utilizes nearly 22% of total 35% processed milk for preparing traditional Indian dairy products (IAI, 2011; IBEF, 2012), and these products are highly perishable and being packed without using any aseptic packing conditions (Dabbene et al., 2014), whereas, difficult to trace the source of milk from which the products have been made and fail to meet international safety, packaging and transparency standards due to lack of investments, equipment and technology (Gupta, 2007; IAI, 2011).

European Union temporarily banned on export of Indian food items, which include alphonso mangoes, eggplant, the taro plant, bitter gourd and snake gourd due to fruit flies, antibiotic residues, cadmium and vibrio (Sonwalkar, 2014).

As reported by Food Standards Australia New Zealand (FSANZ), Australian based Indya Foods Pty Ltd has recalled Indian based company Haldiram's food product such as Tasty nuts from Indian and South Australia supermarkets, because of contamination with aflatoxin, a highly toxic compound (Chandra, 2014; FSANZ, 2013). Food and Drug Administration (FDA) also renounced the food products from Haldiram because of high levels of pesticides, mold and bacteria (Newsdesk, 2015).

In 2012 FDA recalled the frozen tuna fish of Moon Fishery from India, due to the presence of Salmonella in sampling strips (Rothschild, 2012). Whereas, in 2010, Russia banned on export of Indian bovine meat as well as enforced many limitations on exporting products from Indian origin (Radyuhin, 2010).

Major problems are associated with street vendor food; firstly Mahale, Khade, and Vaidya (2008) studied over sugarcane juice, lime juice and carrot juice found with high load of coliforms, fecal coliforms, vibrio, and staphylococcal counts. Whereas secondly, Das, Nagananda, Bhattacharya, and Bhardwaj (2010) studied over Indian chat-food, which is very famous street food and found loads of bacterial pathogens such as *S. faecalis*, *E. coli*, *S. aureus*, *Bacillus* spp., *Klebsiella* spp., and *Pseudomonas* spp.

## 2.3. Food fraudulence in India

In India, recently the milk scam was disclosed in which powder and saturated fatty oil were mixed with milk for increasing the sale of milk (Paul, 2016), whereas Indian authorities discovered in their study that most milk manufacturers were diluted or contained by unappetizing agents such as hydrogen peroxide, detergent and urea (Banerji, 2012). As per the prevention of food adulteration Act, 1954, which comes under FSSAI (2011b), usage of toxic chemical in food is prohibited, however some retailer mix the yellow colored rice bran or lead chromate with turmeric powder to increase its quantity, as well as another oil is argemone which is mixed with mustard oil. Pulses also adulterate with Keshari dal (Mishra, 2010), however most common food frauds involve is to change the essential ingredients with something of lesser value, wherein effective traceability, regular audit and reconciliation measures can assist in preventing fraud and theft of food items.

## 3. Effective traceability techniques in India

In India, existing product identification technologies are alphanumeric codes, Hologram, Barcode, Radio Frequency Identification (RFID) tags, and the geographical indication (GI) tag. In the near future, recent food traceability techniques, such as Bio tracing, Nano sensor, global positioning system (GPS) and geographic information system (GIS) would be utilized by India. Nevertheless, in order to understand the principle of operation of traceability system, a deep knowledge of the interaction of harmonized traceability techniques with transparency is required.

Definitely the new and efficient traceability systems can control the human error as well as creating more awareness of food quality standards, and result in savings at some level of the supply chain (Furness, Osman, & Lees, 2003; Larsen & Lees, 2003). In India, The food traceability market is being increased with the growing understanding for food safety among the consumers and government authorities.

In the last year, Cargill announced that its going to build a 100% traceable and sustainable supply chain of the palm oil in India by 2020 (Cargill, 2014), whereas recently started the food safety awareness program across the country under the Surakshit Khadya Abhiyan (Cargill, 2015).

In recent year Tea Board of India introduced, Trustea and Rain Forest Alliance (RFA) certifications, which are mandatory for all tea manufacturers in order to set up the transparency, reliable supplier of tea and traceability in both domestic as well as overseas market, but nevertheless only one tea factory has obtained all certifications, which is Harrisons Malayalam Ltd (HML) (Kumar, 2015).

McDonald restaurants recently introduced the food traceability for potatoes to keep a track of the product sources from 40 different suppliers across the country in order to provide food safety and quality (McDonald, 2015).

Numerous farm products like grape, mango, banana, onion, potato, soybean and poultry are able to increase the economy of small holder farmers as well as those could change the face of Indian farming sector, whereas few of them are certified by APEDA, so which can be easily traceable and identified in Indian market (APEDA, 2013a).

Following modern traceability systems are currently being used across Indian food industry and other sectors:

### 3.1. Alphanumeric codes in India

Traditional food deliverymen has paced into forward and using a system of alphanumeric codes printed on reusable containers for easily identifying and supplying fast service to their customer



(Narayan, 2016). According to Regattieri et al. (2007) alphanumeric codes are a combination of the alphabetic and numeric characters of different sizes, which is generally found on products label, whereas it is very lucid, and non-mechanized process (Abad et al., 2009).

### 3.2. Hologram in India

As reported by Agrawal Arun (ASPA, 2015), general secretary, Authentication Solution Providers' Association (ASPA), in Rajasthan State (India) where few departments and brand owners are being employed the authentication solutions, wherein Rajasthan State Food & Civil Supplies Corporation are also focusing on food safety and notified to use a security hologram on daily household food items like tea, salt, pulses, Spices, and atta (wheat flour) etc. (ASPA, 2015). According to Barger and White (2000) a precise definition of hologram is a physical structure that diffracts light into an image, while it refers for both the encoded material and the resulting image. In addition, it is an effective product authentic solution which empowers to consumer, brand owners and government authorities to easily identify genuine products against to fake.

### 3.3. Barcode in India

Major food processing companies including the Dabur food, Godrej beverages & foods, Amul, Hindustan Unilever, ITC, Kohinoor food, Mother dairies and Venkys India (Shah, 2011) are using the barcode and 2-D quick response (QR) code techniques in order to develop an effective authentic product solutions, while assisting to build up a confidence in customers. In addition, the growing retail sector is also responsible for emerging this segment, whereas continuously asking for distributors, manufacturers to adopt the barcode system for their products.

Recently APEDA adopted the GS1 standards, while most of the more visible and useful applications have been achieved through the usage of GS1's product identifiers in barcoding for Grapenet, Anarnet, and Tracenet. Additionally APEDA, it is an agro trade promotional body of the government of India, and has already been providing traceability services to improve the confidence of importing countries in Indian agricultural products (GS1 India, 2012). According to Zare Mehrjerdi (2010), barcode is an openly machine-readable data which is printed over the objects, whereas by means of electronics barcode readers can be easily encode, store and recall information.

### 3.4. Radio frequency identification (RFID) in India

Currently in India, RFID technique is being utilized by several dairy industries, including Amul dairy, which uses RFID tagging for milk yielding animal on their Anand farm in Gujarat state, Chitale dairy, which uses RFID tagging for tracking and storing information relating to health issue, and Govardhan dairy, which uses RFID tagging for identifying their cattle by numbers, both from Pune, Maharashtra state (Rohatgi, 2014; Swedberg, 2010).

In their study, Agarwal, Sharma, and Singh (2014) suggested that a new developed smart ration card using Radio Frequency Identification (RFID) would help to prevent from fabrication in the distribution of ration, which is fixed allowance of provisions or foods like sugar, oil etc. from the ration shops with ration card. At present days, in India both domestic and foreign retailing players like Wal-Mart, Metro, Reliance, Food bazaar, Tata sons, Future groups, and Bharti, have already taken steps towards RFID technology with suppliers to go in for RFID (Kelepouris, Pramataris, & Doukidis, 2007; Srivastava, 2004).

### 3.5. Document-based (paper/electronic documents) traceability system in India

Majorly smaller industries and producers are focused over simple pen and paper for reporting, stock information and communicate data with partners in supply chains. Besides manual process which is time consuming, as well as provide inappropriate information or error with respect to the accurate source, location, or doubtful product, and it is unable to transfer information among partners in the food supply chain because of unavailability of electronic recording and reporting system, hence in such case the product information like product lot number, harvest date, product receipt/shipping date, quantity, or ingredients, which is written by manually in the handbook (Karippacheril, Rios, & Srivastava, 2012).

Nowadays, Indian software companies like Infosys, Logissoft, Tata consultancy services (TCS), and Tech Mahindra, which are being assisted toward using the traceability in the form of Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) systems, that can be used for storing data and inventory control, warehouse management, accounting, and asset management. ERP systems can read standardized data from barcodes and RFIDs, including global trade item numbers (GTIN) and global location numbers (GLN) (Karippacheril et al., 2012).

### 3.6. Nano technology in India

According to Pradhan et al. (2015), India is being progressed in the field of nanotechnology, but nevertheless very difficult to estimate the actual situation because of unavailability of data and reports from leading Indian food companies and laboratories, which include the Adnano Technologies, NanoBio Chemicals, NanoShel, NanoXpert Technologies, Sisco Research Laboratories, Quantum Corporations, DaburPharma, Meda Biotech, and Velbionanotech.

### 3.7. Nuclear technique in India

The basic features of the nuclear technique is to determine of food provenance (IAEA, 2011; Simon, 2015), the nuclear techniques like genomic technique and isotopic, both are at ground level in India but consistently going ahead. As reported by Rohit (2016), in short time Indian basmati rice is to be acquired GI tag, which is used to identify the origin, quality and other characteristics of the products, basmati rice cultivated in the region of northern India.

### 3.8. Information and communication technology (ICT)

Several publications have appeared in recent years documenting the emerging information and communication technology (ICT) in India, where ICTs become very popular and providing easy solution to the farmer, trader, suppliers and even manufacturers too, along with ICTs give fast, reliable, efficient service and real time information in term of the quality and quantity of the agricultural products marketing (Lashgarara et al., 2011). Parwez (2014) also described the benefits of ICTs and informed that farmer can easily forward and sharing the information with other person or system and able to solve information based problem in short period, whereas Indian agriculture sector is being progressed rapidly and many private as well as public sector with ICTs enabled initiatives.

In a recent study by Pant, Prakash, and Farooque (2015) discussed how to use the effective GPS/GIS traceability in order to enhance the efficiency of high quality milk, as well as proposed the lead role of traceability system in monitoring movement of milk distribution vehicle and handling of raw milk as well.

Following ICTs initiatives have been started their outstanding work in many states of India (Table 1).

**Table 1**  
Emerging solutions by private and government sector in India.

Category	ICTs in India	Information	Source
Supply Chain Management	Logistimo	It uniquely suitable for rural markets, offers customers the ability to capture and share data in a simple, low-cost way.	<a href="http://www.logistimo.com">www.logistimo.com</a>
APEDA's Initiatives in Traceability	Hortinet	Recently APEDA has initiated the web-based traceability system for selected horticulture produces such as grape, pomegranate, banana, mango, ladyfingers along with their respective traceability system, wherein Grapenet for grapes, Anarnet for pomegranate, Peanut.Net for Peanut etc.	<a href="http://apeda.gov.in">apeda.gov.in</a>
	Meat.net	System integrates stakeholders like State Animal Husbandry Departments, Meat Plants/Exporters and Labs to have real time information/data on meat exports.	
Dairy Traceability	BG Chitale Dairy	Cattle Tagging in dairy farming. Number of Cow and Buffalo tagged 7000.	<a href="http://www.chitaledairy.com">www.chitaledairy.com</a>
Global Supply Chain	GS1 India	It registers to company and provides GCP and GTIN number for systematic and transparent and easy food supply chain across country	<a href="http://www.gs1india.org">www.gs1india.org</a>

#### 4. Initiatives of traceability systems in India

##### 4.1. Introduction to the GS1 India and data interpretation for product identification

The simplest way of representing the traceability system is GS1 India, which is an autonomous body under Ministry of Commerce & Industry, Government of India and founder members including Ministry of commerce, Confederation of Indian Industry (CII), Federation of Indian chambers of commerce and Industry (FICCI), Associated chambers of commerce and Industry of India (ASSO-CHAM), federation of Indian export organization (FIEO), Spices Board, bureau of Indian Standards (BIS), Indian Merchants Chambers (IMC), Indian Institute of packaging (IIP), APEDA and its affiliated to GS1, Brussels, Belgium along with 114 GS1 Organization worldwide (GS1 India, 2012; MSME, 2007).

The generic packaged items having fixed weights are identified by produce electronic identification board universal product codes (PEIB UPC) but at this stage the buyer cannot track and trace the product, mostly the trader or grower uses prefix 033383. While the generic loose items or non-packaged items, which are sold in large quantity and identified by means of Produce Electronic Identification Board price look up codes (PEIB PLU), but it does not include any reference number as well as don't know who supplied the product (GS1 US, 2007).

At trade level (trader), where the company uses the GTIN as company prefix and product features. The trader or grower uses generic prefix "033383" with a generic 5-digit item reference number assigned by produce marketing association (PMA), for example "033383000016" (GS1 US, 2007).

GS1 India also guides to company to register their saleable/stock keeping (SKU), in order to get unique identification number, global company prefix (GCP), as well as item reference number or global trade identification number (GTIN-standardized in 14 digits) (GS1 India, 2012).

As shown in above Fig. 1, the underlined digits 890 represents the India as country of origin in which including of GS1 Company prefix (9 digit), Item reference number (3 digit) and Check digit (1 digit) (GS1 India, 2012).

##### 4.2. Traceability systems for Indian farming produce through GS1 India standards and APEDA

In recent years APEDA has been initiated the new electronics traceability system for agro-food safety, and emphasized on the application of the information technology in the traceability system for various farming produces, which include grape, banana, pomegranate, ladyfinger and peanut, as well as all farming produces have their independent traceable methods. But a key limitation is that currently it is not mandatory for all farmers or

produces except the export point of view as required by particular counties, then certain produce takes to electronic and IT enable traceability system with the regulation, compliance and monitoring though various processes like sanitary and phytosanitary (SPS) measures and AGMARK certifications. For example, Grapenet traceability system for grape, Okra farms for ladyfinger, Anarnet for pomegranate, [Peanut.net](http://Peanut.net) for peanut or groundnut and Tracenet for organic products, whereas APEDA provides laboratory testing and certification for export and help to tracking and tracing information through its internet based traceability software system (APEDA, 2013a).

##### 4.2.1. Grapenet traceability system for grape in India

Currently, Grapenet is extensively monitored to fresh grapes, which are exported to the European Union, as well as which uses GS1 standards for farm identification and traceability of physical goods in the supply chain originating from the grower to the processor/packer and onwards (GS1 India, 2012) and it facilitates the tracing of the products from retail shelves to the farm of the Indian grower, through the various stages of sampling, testing, certification and packaging in compliance with the standards identified by national research centre (NRC), Pune (APEDA, 2013a). So far 40,000 farmers and 115 exporters from different region has benefitted of this system and increased their income along with product cost hiked by 40%. On this great innovation the APEDA received the National Award for Grapenet implementation (APEDA, 2013a).

##### 4.2.2. Tracenet traceability system for organic products in India

Tracenet which works under the APEDA, that collects, stores and reports of forward and backward traces, as well as maintaining authentic information and related data by the operators/producer groups and certification bodies within the organic supply chain in India. Whereas, tracenet system covers certification for all horticulture and agriculture crops including cotton/cotton products, processed foods and wild harvest. Recently on this great work, APEDA has won the e-ASIA award in the year 2011 (APEDA, 2013b).

As shown in Fig. 2, APEDA and GS1 India have together initiated



Fig. 1. 13-digit GS1 India code.

a traceability system for horticulture produces, which include grapes, pomegranate, mango, ladyfinger etc. (APEDA, 2013a). Initially, under APEDA, registration of farms with any district agriculture or horticulture officer of state horticulture department, then farm is inspected by horticulture officers. Besides GS1 India also helps to get registration of farms for specific GLN which identifies a farm or Small Medium-sized Enterprises (SMEs) in any part of a food and agriculture value chain (GS1 India, 2012).

#### 4.2.3. Initiatives of the meat products traceability under APEDA

Recently APEDA published to their webpage, as of 1 April 2015 the health certificate must require to every company for exporting of the meat through Meat.net and inform to entire exporter that the export consignment must be undergone through microbiological as well as other tests as required (APEDA, 2015).

#### 4.3. Initiatives of traceability for livestock in India

Recently India has introduced cattle tagging using RFID for dairy farming, which include the organizations Amul dairy, Gowardhan dairy and Chitale dairy (Rohatgi, 2014).

In last few years ago, Chitale dairy tagged around 7000 cows and buffaloes in Maharashtra and Tamil Nadu states respectively (Mathis, 2010; Swedberg, 2010) and currently, as the number of tagging in this run increased up to 50,000 cows and buffaloes, as well as company has targets to capture all animals across the country because of increasing responding from farmers as well as dairy companies from last couple of years (Rohatgi, 2014; D'Monte, 2015). In addition, noticeable thing is that Chitale dairy uses combine passive RFID tag to track cows and buffaloes, which is fitted on each ear of cattle and buffaloes (Rohatgi, 2014; D'Monte, 2015).

As stated by CSS Rao, managing director, Real ID Ltd, Mumbai (India), in future company is going to launch new way of tracking for livestock system in India as well as other countries. This unique system would provide the national livestock registry to government authorities and customers (Rao, 2012).

#### 4.4. Initiatives for seafood traceability in India

Recently Indian Society of Agribusiness Professionals (ISAP, 2015) published that, soon the Indian aqua farms for shrimps, and other species are going to be traceable. In addition, as reported by Rajkumar Gollapalli, National Fish & Seafood Aquaculture and Sustainability specialist, this aquaculture traceability brings a tremendous change, and solve the critical work in moment, as well as it is faster, easier, reliable, and efficient (Shawna, 2015).

### 5. Estimated cost for food traceability in India

In the last few years APEDA has been shown growing interest in electronic traceability system for farming products such as grape, banana, mango, pomegranate, and ladyfinger, simultaneously provide the guideline to the farmers about good agricultural practices as well as focusing over the food safety standards for improving better production and distribution (APEDA, 2013a,b). Nowadays, building up traceability system in Indian firm has become easier with help of APEDA, AGMARK, and GS1 India. Traceability system can provide the maximum yield to the firm and beneficial investment.

According to Golan et al. (2004), eventually cost is the main matter in order to adopt advance and safety traceability system for the small, cottage industries and many producers, distributors and processors. Moreover the firm's traceability costs consist of equipment and technical costs, labor costs for food safety assurance, testing and traceability management like breadth, depth, and precision of the traceability system which is broadly connected with food and feed products from source to sale in food supply chain.

The following data collected through the discussions with technology providers and available traceability related products in market, because each firm faces a different set of costs depending on its circumstances and nature of products. While another factor appears that estimated costs have been categorized into fixed cost, where expenses that are not dependent on the activities of a firm as well as it is one time initial costs, and secondly, variable costs where expenses that change in proportion to the activities of a firm like product volume and size of firm, also which is used to achieve other purposes, such as labor, management, paper or faster delivery times and computer system not to be included.

Nevertheless, RFID tags are more costly than barcode, but completely depending upon choice of firm whether passive or active tags (Ruiz-Garcia & Lunadei, 2011). Also require the RFID-enabled label printers, readers, antennas, software, middleware, and computers. Using barcode, it is simple as well as can be easily printed on regular printer and save huge money. Furthermore annual and renewal fees both are cost per year of owning and operating the system and it's required to pay for commencing year after year service of the systems.

Table 2 indicates that calculated costs can be assisted to establish the partial traceability system for Indian small and cottage food industries. In order to better development and adopting barcode system for products, already the Indian government has announced the financial assistance scheme for registered micro and small manufacturing enterprises, where the eligible units to claim reimbursements of 75% of the one-time registration fee and 75% of




Fig. 2. Traceability implementation in APEDA by using GS1 Standards.

the annual fee paid to GS1 India for the first three years, against proof of payment (MSME, 2007; GS1 India, 2012).

## 6. Impediment for adoption and challenges of food traceability in India

Recently, considerable attention has been given to Indian farming sectors, which are being progressed gradually, and many organised sectors are being grounded day by day, but nevertheless the food safety and product recalling problems remain steady because of some parameters such as structural, institutional, technical, cultural issues, which affect to settle the food traceability in India, whether for the domestic market or for export trade (Umali-Deininger & Sur, 2007). The main responsible factors that affect food traceability in India are shown in the present section.

There are plenty of smallholder farmers and marginal farmers in India, near about 100 millions of small holder farmers have engaged in farm cultivating area with average farm around 1 or 2 hectors either fertile or unproductive, while rate of literacy among small holder farmers are low (FAO, 2005).

Mostly Indian agricultural markets are governed under the state Agricultural Produce Market Committee (APMC) acts, which handles more than 10,000 regulated markets or Mandi, which is the main source of dealing commodities (Ramakrishna & Ajappa, 2013), whereas nowadays regulated market is unable to protect and provide as much as facilities which requires to maintain the quality and traceability.

There is a deficiency of grades and standards for domestic market and loose enforcement. However, due to lack of financial budget, many small and cottage industries are unable to provide good quality products, and hence such companies must go through AGMARK certification for establishing food standards and grades for their products in domestic market, and proper development of food traceability in India (APEDA, 2013a).

There are inadequate good agricultural, manufacturing, and

hygiene practices in India, which makes more difficult for the proper traceability; APEDA is being continuously worked on improving the food safety for domestic and export market, and emphasis on adoption of hazard analysis critical control point (HACCP) and international organization for standardization (ISO) certification among food manufacturers, as well as many agriculture universities are researching on good agriculture practices, fertilizers and post-harvest techniques (APEDA, 2013a).

The leading international players are entering and trying to set up their presence, and it shows the clear sign of growth of organised retailing sector. Nevertheless, increasing organised sectors are scaring of an unorganized sector like Kirana store, which offers products in lesser price and available on walking distance, hence food traceability is finding an obstacle (USDA, 2014). Still, India is at early stage and needs more struggle and investment to build up cold storage in order to provide facilities for all farm produces. Besides food wastage is also increased due to less available of cold storage, as well as most of the cold storages are manual or multi-level with having less storage capacity (Emerson, 2013).

There is a lack of SPS certification department, it is very important for exporting the farming produces to the foreign countries, which is issued under Ministry of Agriculture, Government of India or district authorized plant protection officers (APEDA, 2013a,b).

About 350 million people reside in rural India and most of them earn through the farming business, majority of farmers are sold out their high-value produce through wholesale markets, both regulated and unregulated. It is always complained by farmers about inadequate market facilities, high marketing fees, long distances to the market, and the dishonesty of traders (World Bank, 2008). Still the many manufacturers could not able to reach or catch the remote market or customer, it is just because of the country's poor infrastructure such as poor road system, unconvincing transport systems, power problems, water and major problems in connecting them with stations (World Bank, 2008). As per Agricultural

**Table 2**  
Estimated cost for Indian traceability system.

Type of cost	Estimated cost (in dollar)	Comment
<b>Fixed cost</b>		
Farm registration	\$1 per farm/plot	Under district agro/horticulture Officer
APEDA Registration	80	For Export under Hortinet, Anarnet, Grapenet etc.
CAG certificate	3	Under AGMARK
Certificate of Authorization (C.A.) for grading of fruits and vegetables	15	Under AGMARK
Warehouse Registration	250	Under APEDA, for all food produces except grapes.
GS1 India Registration for Company prefix (GCP) and GTIN number		
*Small (turnover upto \$160000)	662	GS1 India
*Medium (turnover upto \$1600000)	871	Registration costs depending upon the firm size and its annual turnover and it has 1 year validity or onwards
*Large (turnover upto \$16000000)	1093	And it includes registration fees, subscription fees, security deposit and service tax etc.
GLN no. Registration (under GS1 India)	8	Only 9 digits UPC prefix for 100 barcodes Under GS1 India
<b>Variable cost</b>		
Residue analysis	50–150	Under NHRDF, depending upon type of fruits and vegetables. <a href="http://nhrdf.org/en-us/Services">http://nhrdf.org/en-us/Services</a>
2.GS1 India (Renewal fees)		
*Small (turnover upto \$160000)	135	Renewal fee for 1 year and Only 9 digits UPC prefix for 100 barcodes
*Medium (turnover upto \$1600000)	154	
*Large (turnover upto \$16000000)	185	
RFID tag		
Active: \$5.0 per tag		Depend upon the choice of the firm to be required either active or passive tag
Passive: \$0.16 per tag		
barcode label pre-printed or own printed on site	\$0.005 per label	General data
Barcode scanner	40	Amazon online retailer
RFID tag Reader	50	Amazon online retailer
printer	50	Amazon online retailer



Marketing surveyed by World Bank (2008), observed that Indian market is facing a lot of problems like small roads with less free space within market, limited warehouses and cold storages for farming produces, poor in waste management and pest controls in market, and rat problems as well. For reducing food safety risks can be only improved by investing more to upgrade the market infrastructure and services (World Bank, 2008).

Although India has progressed in information technology (IT) industries, rural areas lagged behind in world of ICT. However, the rate of literacy among rural people is very low with less understanding for the internet based information, which is available only in English language (Rao, 2009). In India, where 22 languages are officially recognized (Saxena, 2016), and more than 60% of Indians speak Hindi language and nearly less than 12% of population in India understand the English (Aula, 2014).

## 7. Conclusion

In this work, the existing food traceability systems in India as well as strength and weakness, challenges and practical problem of using such systems were studied. Still India is at early stage because of low consistency in the Indian market, which is occupied by number of small and medium industries, hence it is difficult to adopt this technology, and it might be impossible due to high installation costs of RFID tags, barcodes and readers, with low awareness. Nevertheless APEDA and GS1 India have initiated a breakthrough food traceability system such as Anarnet, Tracenet, Peanut.net, Meat.net and Grapenet for the Indian farming products, as well as several ICTs initiatives that are being actively worked in many states of India, which include e-Chaupal, ColdStar Logistics, eFarm, TCS mKRISHI, Logistimo, Sohan Lal Commodity Management and more.

Second most important thing, is that food safety issue has become challenged to domestic and export markets, although India has improved the quality and safety of food products, it needs a proper implementation of the food standards by FSSAI (2011b), and it should be taken a strict enforcement of new standards by law enforcing agencies, whereas Indian government or renown private entities must be invested for building up new and advance international laboratories, infrastructure and facilities to regulated markets, which are currently managed by APMC.

Finally, currently major Indian retailers are facing the problem of lack of amenities, which include storage facilities, cold storage capacity, loading and weighing facilities, better constructed shop, superb road and linkage, and supply chain for food and grocery retailing. Those services play an essential role in developing the better marketing efficiency, whereas must establish traceability in unorganized sector like smaller and cottage industries under the action of the food safety standard laws.

## Acknowledgment

Commissionaire of social Welfare (Edu), Pune, under government of Maharashtra, India. Granted scholarship resolution No. EBC 2014/C. No.115 (1).

## References

- Abad, E., Palacio, F., Nuin, M., De Zarate, A. G., Juarros, A., Gómez, J. M., et al. (2009). RFID smart tag for traceability and cold chain monitoring of foods: Demonstration in an intercontinental fresh fish logistic chain. *Journal of food engineering*, 93(4), 394–399.
- Abbott, H. (1991). *Managing product recall: A comprehensive guide to establishing a product recall plan*. Pitman Pub.
- Agarwal, M., Sharma, M., & Singh, B. (2014, September). Smart ration card using RFID and GSM technique. In *Confluence the next generation information technology summit (confluence)*, 2014 5th international conference (pp. 485–489). IEEE.
- Anica-Popa, I. (2011). Measuring food safety on the extended food supply chain. *Management & Marketing*, 6, 139.
- APEDA. (2013a). *Traceability*. Retrieved from: <http://apeda.gov.in/apedawebsite/index.html>.
- APEDA. (2013b). *Traceability: Tracenet*. Retrieved from: <http://apeda.gov.in/apedawebsite/TracenetOrganic/TraceNet.htm>.
- APEDA. (2015). *Certification system for export of meat products*. Retrieved from: <http://traceability.apeda.gov.in/Meatnet/UserLogin/Login.aspx?RequestID=12801293>.
- Artiuch, P., & Kornstein, S. (2012, February 28). *Sustainable approaches to reducing food waste in India*. Retrieved from: <http://news.mit.edu/2012/sustainable-approaches-to-reducing-food-waste-in-india>.
- ASPA. (2015, December 22). *ASPA announces expansion of "make sure India"*. Retrieved from: <http://aspaglobal.com/wp-content/uploads/2015/12/ASPA-Press-Release-Jaipur.doc>.
- Aula, S. (2014, November 6). *The problem with the English language in India*. Retrieved from: <http://www.forbes.com/sites/realspin/2014/11/06/the-problem-with-the-english-language-in-india/>.
- Aung, M. M., & Chang, Y. S. (2014). Traceability in a food supply chain: Safety and quality perspectives. *Food control*, 39, 172–184.
- Badia-Melis, R., Mishra, P., & Ruiz-García, L. (2015). Food traceability: New trends and recent advances. A review. *Food Control*, 57, 393–401.
- Banerji, A. (2012, January 10). *Most milk in India contaminated or diluted*. Retrieved from: <http://www.reuters.com/article/us-india-milk-idUSTRE80919020120110>.
- Barger, M. S., & White, W. B. (2000). *The daguerreotype: Nineteenth-century technology and modern science*. JHU Press.
- Basavaraja, H., Mahajanashetti, S. B., & Udagatti, N. C. (2007). Economic analysis of post-harvest losses in food grains in India: A case study of Karnataka. *Agricultural Economics Research Review*, 20(1), 117–126.
- Bhosale, J. (2013, November 28). *India wastes fruits and vegetables worth Rs 13,300 crore every year: Emerson study*. Retrieved from: [http://articles.economictimes.indiatimes.com/2013-11-28/news/44547246\\_1\\_cold-storage-facilities-wastage-cold-chain-infrastructure](http://articles.economictimes.indiatimes.com/2013-11-28/news/44547246_1_cold-storage-facilities-wastage-cold-chain-infrastructure).
- Biederman, D. (2006). RFID gains ground in logistics. *Journal of Commerce*, 1.
- Biswas, A. K., & Hartley, K. (2015, September 8). *India's food safety crisis is indicative of bureaucratic failure*. Retrieved from: <http://thediplomat.com/2015/09/india-and-food-safety/>.
- Bosona, T., & Gebresenbet, G. (2013). Food traceability as an integral part of logistics management in food and agricultural supply chain. *Food Control*, 33(1), 32–48.
- Cargill. (2014). *Tracking progress toward sustainable palm oil*. Retrieved from: <http://www.cargill.com/corporate-responsibility/sustainable-palm-oil/traceability/index.jsp>.
- Cargill, India. (2015, July 21). *Cargill launches Surakshit Khadya Abhiyan a nationwide initiative on food safety awareness in India, New Delhi*. Retrieved from: <https://www.cargill.co.in/en/news/NA31873256.jsp>.
- CFIA. (2012, March 5). *A legislative framework for Traceability: Proposed elements*. Retrieved from: <http://www.inspection.gc.ca/animals/terrestrial-animals/traceability/proposed-elements/eng/1325170775384/1325170880037>.
- Chandra, N. (2014, February 5). *Government takes on food contamination with plans for 'product recall' safeguard*. Retrieved from: <http://www.dailymail.co.uk/indiahome/indianews/article-2552556/Government-takes-food-contamination-plans-product-recall-safeguard.html>.
- Charlebois, S., Sterling, B., Haratifar, S., & Naing, S. K. (2014). Comparison of global food traceability regulations and requirements. *Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety*, 13(5), 1104–1123.
- Chauhan, C. (2013, September 11). *India wastes more farm food than China: UN*. Retrieved from: <http://www.hindustantimes.com/delhi/india-wastes-more-farm-food-than-china-un/story-m4QIwKxAXtIzIwMkHT4CN.html>.
- Cusato, S., Gameiro, A. H., Corassin, C. H., Sant'Ana, A. S., Cruz, A. G., Faria, J. D. A. F., et al. (2013). Food safety systems in a small dairy factory: Implementation, major challenges, and assessment of systems' performances. *Foodborne pathogens and disease*, 10(1), 6–12.
- Cusato, S., Gameiro, A. H., Sant'Ana, A. S., Corassin, C. H., Cruz, A. G., & de Oliveira, C. A. F. (2014). Assessing the costs involved in the implementation of GMP and HACCP in a small dairy factory. *Quality Assurance and Safety of Crops & Foods*, 6(2), 135–139.
- Dabbene, F., Gay, P., & Tortia, C. (2014). Traceability issues in food supply chain management: A review. *Biosystems Engineering*, 120, 65–80.
- Dalvit, C., De Marchi, M., & Cassandro, M. (2007). Genetic traceability of livestock products: A review. *Meat Science*, 77(4), 437–449.
- Das, A., Nagananda, G. S., Bhattacharya, S., & Bhardwaj, S. (2010). Microbiological quality of street-vended indian chaats sold in Bangalore. *Journal of Biological Sciences*, 10(3), 255–260.
- Dias, M. A. C., Sant'Ana, A. S., Cruz, A. G., José de Assis, F. F., de Oliveira, C. A. F., & Bona, E. (2012). On the implementation of good manufacturing practices in a small processing unit of mozzarella cheese in Brazil. *Food control*, 24(1), 199–205.
- D'Monte, L. (2015, July 30). *Chitale Dairy takes cows to cloud, IoT*. Retrieved from: <http://www.livemint.com/Industry/hjolvUthjynOj8jCvMWL/Chitale-Dairy-Taking-cows-to-the-cloud-IoT.html>.
- Emerson. (2013). *The food wastage & cold storage infrastructure relationship in India*. Retrieved from: <http://www.emerson.com/SiteCollectionDocuments/India%20Food%20Waste%20and%20Cold%20Storage%20Infrastructure%20Relationship%20in%20India.pdf>.

- 20Cold%20Storage%20Report%202013/Report\_layout\_Reduced.pdf.
- FAO. (2005). *Codex procedural manual*. Retrieved from: (15th ed.). In: [ftp://ftp.fao.org/codex/Publications/ProcManuals/Manual\\_15e.pdf](ftp://ftp.fao.org/codex/Publications/ProcManuals/Manual_15e.pdf).
- Foras, E., Thakur, M., Solem, K., & Svarva, R. (2015). State of traceability in the Norwegian food sectors. *Food Control*, 57, 65–69.
- Frederiksen, M., Osterberg, C., Silberg, S., Larsen, E., & Bremner, A. (2002). Info-Fisk. Development and validation of an internet based traceability system in a Danish domestic fresh fish chain. *Journal of Aquatic Food Product Technology*, 11(2), 13–34.
- FSANZ. (2013, November 4). *Haldiram's Nagpur Indian snacks 'tasty nuts' spiced coated fried peanuts*. Retrieved from: <http://www.foodstandards.gov.au/industry/foodrecalls/recalls/Pages/Haldiram's-Nagpur-Indian-Snacks-'Tasty-Nuts'-spiced-coated-fried-peanuts.aspx>.
- FSSAI. (2011a). *Product recall pilot by FSSAI*, 24 November 2011. Retrieved from: [http://www.fssai.gov.in/Product\\_Recall.aspx](http://www.fssai.gov.in/Product_Recall.aspx).
- FSSAI. (2011b). *Food safety and standards authority of India- about FSSAI*. Retrieved from: <http://www.fssai.gov.in/AboutFSSAI/introduction.aspx>.
- Furness, A., Osman, K. A., & Lees, M. (2003). Developing traceability systems across the supply chain. *Food authenticity and traceability*, 473–495.
- Golan, E., Krissoff, B., Kuchler, F., Calvin, L., Nelson, K., & Price, G. (2004). Traceability in the US food supply: Economic theory and industry studies. *Agricultural economic report*, 830(3), 183–185.
- GS1 India. (2012). *The global language of business: India*. Retrieved from: <http://gs1india.org/>.
- GS1 US. (2007). Retrieved from: *Industry Roadmap: Building the fresh foods supply chain of the future* (Vol. 6, pp. 12–20) [https://www.gs1us.org/DesktopModules/Bring2mind/DMX/Download.aspx?command=core\\_download&entryid=734&language=en-US&PortalId=0&TabId=785](https://www.gs1us.org/DesktopModules/Bring2mind/DMX/Download.aspx?command=core_download&entryid=734&language=en-US&PortalId=0&TabId=785).
- GSCG. (2015). *Battleground India: Profiles of food retailers*. Retrieved from: <http://www.gscg.org/index.php/india-profiles-retailers>.
- Gulati, A., Gujral, J., Nandakumar, T., Jain, S., Anand, S., Rath, S., et al. (2012). *National food security bill: Challenges and options*. Discussion Paper, (2). Commission for Agriculture Costs and Prices.
- Gupta, P. R. (2007). *Dairy India 2007* (by PR Gupta, published by Dairy India Yearbook, New Delhi).
- Hobbs, J. E., Bailey, D., Dickinson, D. L., & Haghiri, M. (2005). Traceability in the Canadian red meat sector: Do consumers care? *Canadian Journal of Agricultural Economics/Revue canadienne d'agroeconomie*, 53(1), 47–65.
- IAEA. (2011). *Enhancing food safety and quality through isotopic techniques for food traceability - NTR 2011 supplement*. Retrieved from: [https://www.iaea.org/About/Policy/GC/GC55/GC55InfDocuments/English/gc55inf-5-att2\\_en.pdf](https://www.iaea.org/About/Policy/GC/GC55/GC55InfDocuments/English/gc55inf-5-att2_en.pdf).
- IAL. (2011). *Vision 2020 1st white paper document for indian dairy industry*, 1st international symposium on future of Indian dairy industry, NDRI, Karnal, Haryana.
- IBEF. (2012). *Food processing*. Aranca. Retrieved from: <http://www.ibef.org>.
- IFPRI. (2013). *Global hunger Index 2013*. Retrieved from: <http://www.ifpri.org/sites/default/files/publications/ghi13.pdf>.
- IRA Handbook. (2011). *Biosecurity framework*. Retrieved from: <http://www.agriculture.gov.au/SiteCollectionDocuments/ba/publications/qmacconference2003/ira-handbook-2011.doc>. ISBN: 978-1-921575-12-9.
- ISAP. (2015). Retrieved from: *ISAP monthly e-newsletter* (vol. 8) <http://www.isapindia.org/isap/Newsletter/Oct15.html>. Issue:13 October 2015.
- Jacques, T., & Zuurbier, P. (2008). Quality and safety standards in the food industry, developments and challenges. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 113(1), 107–122.
- Karipidis, P., Athanassiadis, K., Aggelopoulos, S., & Giomplakis, E. (2009). Factors affecting the adoption of quality assurance systems in small food enterprises. *Food Control*, 20(2), 93–98.
- Karippacheril, T., Rios, L., & Srivastava, L. (2012). *Module 12: Improving food safety and traceability*. Retrieved from: <http://www.ictinagriculture.org/sourcebook/module-12-improving-food-safety-and-traceability>.
- Kazmin, A. (2014, April 11). *India tackles supply chain to cut food waste*. Retrieved from: *Financial Times* <http://www.ft.com/cms/s/2/c1f2856e-a518-11e3-8988-00144feab7de.html>.
- Kelepouris, T., Pramataris, K., & Doukidis, G. (2007). RFID-enabled traceability in the food supply chain. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, 107(2), 183–200.
- Kulkarni, M. (2015, July 1). *Metro Cash & Carry set for rapid expansion in India*. Retrieved from: *Business Standard* [http://www.business-standard.com/article/companies/metro-cash-carry-set-for-rapid-expansion-in-india-115070100605\\_1.html](http://www.business-standard.com/article/companies/metro-cash-carry-set-for-rapid-expansion-in-india-115070100605_1.html).
- Kumar, V. S. (2015, August 17). *Increasing exports critical to boost S Indian tea prices*. Retrieved from: *The Hindu* : Business Line <http://www.thehindubusinessline.com/economy/agri-business/increasing-exports-critical-to-boost-s-indian-tea-prices/article7550818.ece>.
- Larsen, E., & Lees, M. (2003). Traceability in fish processing. *Food authenticity and traceability*, 507–517.
- Lashgarara, F., Mohammadi, R., & Najafabadi, M. O. (2011). Identifying appropriate information and communication technology (ICT) in improving marketing of agricultural products in Garmsar City, Iran. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 10(55), 11537–11540.
- Li, Y., Kramer, M. R., Beulens, A. J. M., & van der Vorst, J. G. A. J. (2006). Using data mining to improve operations management in food supply networks. *International Agri-food Chains and Networks: Management and Organization*, Wageningen Academic Publishers, 163–177.
- Luo, Q., Xiong, B., Geng, Z., Yang, L., & Pan, J. (2010, October). A study on pig slaughter traceability solution based on RFID. In *International conference on computer and computing technologies in agriculture* (pp. 710–720). Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- Mahale, D. P., Khade, R. G., & Vaidya, V. K. (2008). Microbiological analysis of street vended fruit juices from Mumbai city, India. *Internet Journal of Food Safety*, 10(9).
- Malviya, S. (2015, January 23). *FDI in multi-brand retail: Tata-Tesco JV plans Rs 250 crore investment to open more stores*. Retrieved from: *The Economic Times* [http://articles.economictimes.indiatimes.com/2015-01-23/news/58382517\\_1\\_trent-hypermarket-fdi-tesco-investment](http://articles.economictimes.indiatimes.com/2015-01-23/news/58382517_1_trent-hypermarket-fdi-tesco-investment).
- Mathis, R. (2010, April 1). *Milk tastes better with RFID*. Retrieved from: *SecureID News* <http://www.secureidnews.com/news-item/milk-tastes-better-with-rfid/>.
- Mattevi, M., & Jones, J. A. (2016). Traceability in the food supply chain: Awareness and attitudes of UK small and medium-sized enterprises. *Food Control*, 64, 120–127.
- McDonald. (2015). *Farm to fork: Potato*. Retrieved from: <http://www.mcdonaldsindia.com/farmtofork.html>.
- Michael, K., & McCarthie, L. (2005, July). The pros and cons of RFID in supply chain management. In *International conference on mobile business (ICMB'05)* (pp. 623–629). IEEE.
- Mishra, A. (2010, May 10). *This food could be injurious to health*. Retrieved from: *The Times of India* <http://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/city/kanpur/This-food-could-be-injurious-to-health/articleshow/5914081.cms>.
- Moe, T. (1998). Perspectives on traceability in food manufacture. *Trends in Food Science & Technology*, 9(5), 211–214.
- MSME. (2007). *Financial assistance on barcode*. Retrieved from: [http://www.dcmsme.gov.in/schemes/assist\\_bar\\_code.htm](http://www.dcmsme.gov.in/schemes/assist_bar_code.htm).
- Narain, S. (2010, October 1). *Control your food, it's your business*. Centre for Science and Environment, New Delhi. Retrieved from <http://www.cseindia.org/content/control-your-food-it-your-business>.
- Narayan, A. (2016, February 4). *Start-ups Haven't replaced India's 19th century food delivery service*. Retrieved from: *Bloomberg* <http://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2016-02-03/india-food-apps-haven-t-replaced-traditional-dabbawalas-on-bikes>.
- NCCD. (2012). *Comprehensive note on creation and management of cold chain infrastructure for agriculture & allied sectors*. Retrieved from: <http://nccd.gov.in/PDF/ComprehensiveNote.pdf>.
- New Eastern Outlook. (2015, October 5). *World's largest country, Russia, Bans GMO food crops*. Retrieved from: *MintPress News* <http://www.mintpressnews.com/worlds-largest-country-russia-bans-gmo-food-crops/210085/>.
- NewsDesk. (2015, June 16). *FDA rejects several snack products from India for contaminants*. Retrieved from: *Food Safety News* <http://www.foodsafetynews.com/2015/06/fda-rejects-several-snack-products-from-india-for-contaminants/>.
- NZMPI. (2013). *National animal identification and tracing project*. Retrieved from: *New Zealand Ministry for Primary Industries* <http://www.biosecurity.govt.nz/biosec/camp-acts/nait>.
- OECD. (2015). *The observatory of economic complexity-India-Export*. Retrieved from: <http://atlas.media.mit.edu/en/profile/country/ind>.
- Olsen, P., & Borit, M. (2013). How to define traceability. *Trends in food science & technology*, 29(2), 142–150.
- Pant, R. R., Prakash, G., & Farooque, J. A. (2015). A framework for traceability and transparency in the dairy supply chain networks. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 189, 385–394.
- Parwez, S. (2014). Food supply chain management in Indian Agriculture: Issues, opportunities and further research. *African Journal of Business Management*, 8(14), 572.
- Patnaik, S. (2015, July 20). *Walmart India reaches milestone: All 20 best price cash & carry stores go online to give omni-channel service to members*. Retrieved from: <http://www.wal-martindia.in/2015/07/20/walmart-india-reaches-milestone-all-20-best-price-cash-carry-stores-go-online-to-give-omni-channel-service-to-members>.
- Paul, J. (2016, January 15). *Three more rounded up in spurious milk scam*. Retrieved from: *The Times of India* <http://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/city/ahmedabad/Three-more-rounded-up-in-spurious-milk-scam/articleshow/50596878.cms>.
- Petersen, A., & Green, D. (2005). *Seafood traceability: A practical guide for the US industry*.
- Phukan, R. S. (2014, November 19). *Lost in transit? where has all our food grains gone?*. Retrieved from: <http://www.mapsofindia.com/my-india/society/lost-in-transit-where-has-all-our-foodgrains-gone>.
- Pradhan, N., Singh, S., Ojha, N., Shrivastava, A., Barla, A., Rai, V., et al. (2015). *Facets of nanotechnology as seen in food processing, packaging, and preservation industry*. BioMed research international.
- Radyuhin, V. (2010, March 28). *Russia to review ban on export of Indian bovine meat*. Retrieved from: *The Hindu* <http://www.thehindu.com/business/Industry/russia-to-review-ban-on-export-of-indian-bovine-meat/article306743.ece>.
- Ramakrishna, H., & Ajappa, V. (2013). Problems and prospects of agricultural produce marketing committees (APMC) in India: A case study of APMC bellary, Karnataka. *South Asian Journal of Marketing & Management Research*, 3(1), 17–42.
- Rao, S. S. (2009). Role of ICTS in Indian rural communities. *The journal of community informatics*, 5(1).
- Rao, C. S. S. (2012). *Electronic Identification and Management System for Livestock with Ownership Interface, e-Governance and Global Supply Chain Traceability for Products of Animal Origin*. Cork, Ireland: ICAR. Retrieved from: [http://www.icar.org/Cork\\_2012/Manuscripts/Published/Rao.pdf](http://www.icar.org/Cork_2012/Manuscripts/Published/Rao.pdf).
- Regattieri, A., Gamberi, M., & Manzini, R. (2007). Traceability of food products:

- General framework and experimental evidence. *Journal of food engineering*, 81(2), 347–356.
- Riviere, J. E., & Buckley, G. J. (Eds.). (2012). *Ensuring safe foods and medical products through stronger regulatory systems abroad*. National Academies Press.
- Rohatgi, M. (2014, May 24). *Dairy farms take to tech boost*. Retrieved from: The Times of India <http://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/city/pune/Dairy-farms-take-to-tech-boost/articleshow/35528563.cms>.
- Rohit, T. K. (2016, February 5). *Indian basmati rice all set to get GI tag*. Retrieved from: The Hindu <http://www.thehindu.com/news/national/indian-basmati-rice-gets-gi-tag/article8198492.ece>.
- Rothschild, M. (2012, May 10). *More frozen tuna from India recalled due to Salmonella risk*. Retrieved from: <http://www.foodsafetynews.com/2012/05/tuna-strips-from-india-recalled-due-to-salmonella-risk/>.
- Ruiz-Garcia, L., & Lunadei, L. (2011). The role of RFID in agriculture: Applications, limitations and challenges. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, 79(1), 42–50.
- Saxena, S. (2016, February 22). *Postcards demands for official languages*. Retrieved from: The Times of India <http://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/city/dehradun/Postcards-demand-official-language-status-for-Kumaoni-Garhwali/articleshow/51096272.cms>.
- Saxena, M., Bhattacharya, S., & Malhotra, S. K. (2015). *Horticultural statistics at a glance*. Retrieved from: [http://agricoop.nic.in/imagedefault/hortstat\\_glance.pdf](http://agricoop.nic.in/imagedefault/hortstat_glance.pdf).
- Saxena, M., & Gandhi, C. (2015, February). *Indian horticulture Database 2014*. Retrieved from: [http://nhb.gov.in/area-pro/NHB\\_Database\\_2015.pdf](http://nhb.gov.in/area-pro/NHB_Database_2015.pdf).
- Schroeder, T. C., & Tonsor, G. T. (2012). International cattle ID and traceability: Competitive implications for the US. *Food Policy*, 37(1), 31–40.
- Shah, A. (2011, July 6). *List of top food processing companies in India-Equipment and industry growing at a rapid clip*. Retrieved from: <http://www.greenworldinvestor.com/2011/07/06/list-of-top-food-processing-companies-in-india-equipment-and-industry-growing-at-a-rapid-clip/>.
- Shawna. (2015, October 19). *National fish & Seafood launches traceability*. Retrieved from: <http://www.nationalfish.com/content/national-fish-seafood-launches-sourcetrace%E2%84%A2-cloud-based-mobile-app-aquaculture-traceability>.
- Simon, K. (2015). *Implementation of nuclear techniques to improve food traceability*. Retrieved from: <http://www-naweb.iaea.org/nafa/fep/crp/fep-improve-traceability.html>.
- Sonwalkar, P. (2014, March 27). *European Union bans Indian mangoes, vegetables due to concerns over pests*. Retrieved from: Hindustan Times <http://www.hindustantimes.com/world/european-union-bans-indian-mangoes-vegetables-due-to-concerns-over-pests/story-2edh0nXSLhUPSEqYk5TjN.html>.
- Spink, J., Moyer, D. C., & Speier-Pero, C. (2016). Introducing the food fraud initial screening model (FFIS). *Food Control*, 69, 306–314.
- Srivastava, B. (2004). Radio frequency ID technology: The next revolution in SCM. *Business Horizons*, 47(6), 60–68.
- Stefansson, G., & Tilanus, B. (2001). Tracking and tracing: Principles and practice. *International Journal of Services Technology and Management*, 2(3–4), 187–206.
- Sugahara, K. (2008, October). Traceability system for agricultural products based on RFID and mobile technology. In *International conference on computer and computing technologies in agriculture* (pp. 2293–2301). Springer US.
- Swedberg, C. (2010, May 21). Chitale dairy uses RFID to improve milk yields. *RFID Journal*. Retrieved from: <http://www.rfidjournal.com/articles/view?7621/2>.
- Umali-Deininger, D., & Sur, M. (2007). Food safety in a globalizing world: Opportunities and challenges for India. *Agricultural Economics*, 37(s1), 135–147.
- UNFAO. (2013). *Global food report*. Retrieved from: [http://www.imeche.org/docs/default-source/reports/Global\\_Food\\_Report.pdf](http://www.imeche.org/docs/default-source/reports/Global_Food_Report.pdf).
- USDA. (2014). *India retail foods 2014*. Retrieved from: [http://gain.fas.usda.gov/Recent%20GAIN%20Publications/Retail%20Foods\\_New%20Delhi\\_India\\_12-29-2014.pdf](http://gain.fas.usda.gov/Recent%20GAIN%20Publications/Retail%20Foods_New%20Delhi_India_12-29-2014.pdf).
- USDA/ERS. (2014). *Issues and analysis, Japan*. Retrieved from: United States of Agricultural and Dept. Economic Research Service <http://www.ers.usda.gov/topics/international-markets-trade/countries-regions/japan/issues-analysis.aspx>.
- Van Dorp, K. J. (2002). Tracking and tracing: A structure for development and contemporary practices. *Logistics Information Management*, 15(1), 24–33.
- Veronneau, S., & Roy, J. (2009). RFID benefits, costs, and possibilities: The economical analysis of RFID deployment in a cruise corporation global service supply chain. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 122(2), 692–702.
- Wall, B. (1994). Quality management at golden wonder. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, 94(7), 24–28.
- World Bank. (2008). *India-Taking agriculture to the market*. Retrieved from: <http://documents.worldbank.org/curated/en/2008/10/9999533/india-taking-agriculture-market>.
- Yong-Dong, S., Yuan-Yuan, P., & Wei-Min, L. (2009). The RFID application in logistics and supply chain management. *Research Journal of Applied Sciences*, 4(1), 57–61.
- Zare Mehrjerdi, Y. (2010). Coupling RFID with supply chain to enhance productivity. *Business strategy series*, 11(2), 107–123.