



Technical Report No. 86

Industry Challenges and Current Technologies for Pharmaceutical Package Integrity Testing

Industry Challenges and Current Technologies for Pharmaceutical Package Integrity Testing Team

Authors and Contributors

Donald Singer, Ecolab Life Sciences, Co-Chair
Marla Stevens-Riley, PhD, Food and Drug Administration, Co-Chair
Roger Asselta, Genesis Packaging Technologies
Marcus Adams, Merck
Kate Davenport, Hollister
Patrick Evrard, PALL Life Sciences
Patrick Gallagher, SCHOTT AG
Dana Guazzo, PhD, Consultant
Olivia Henderson, PhD, Amgen
Marc Hogreve, Sartorius
Chris Knutsen, Bristol-Myers Squibb Company
Carole Langlois, Sartorius
Li Lei, Eli Lilly

James Mellman, PhD, SHL Medical
Sangeetha Ravindran Nair, MS, Baxter Healthcare Corporation
Paul Priebe, Qosina
Heino Prinz, Rommelag
Mike Sadowski, Baxter Healthcare Corporation
Hemi Sagi, Advanced Test Concepts (ATC), Inc.
Andrea Simonetti, Pharma Inspection Equipment
Oliver Stauffer, Packaging Technologies & Inspection (PTI)
James Veale, Lighthouse Instruments
Heinz Wolf, PTI
Seung-Yil Yoon, AbbVie
Justine Young, Crohn's & Colitis Foundation
Brandon Zurawlow, CS Analytical

Contributors

Thomas Brenner
Anil-Kuma Busimi
Jeff Carter
Christoph Herdlitschka
Carl Hitscherich
Laurent Jeanmart
Joshua Lance
Duncan Low
Anthony Marchesano
Chardientie Minns

Ron Mueller
Jayshree Patel
Vishwas Pethe
Mihaela Simianu
Destry Sullivan
Moli Wan
Sonja Wicks
Terry Wilhide
Joerg Zimmermann

Industry Challenges and Current Technologies for Pharmaceutical Package Integrity Testing

Technical Report No. 86

ISBN: 978-1-945584-27-5

© 2021 Parenteral Drug Association, Inc.

All rights reserved.



Table of Contents

1.0 INTRODUCTION	1	5.0 INNOVATIVE METHODS FOR EXISTING TECHNOLOGIES	26
1.1 Purpose and Scope	2	5.1 Helium Testing	26
2.0 GLOSSARY	2	5.1.1 Application	26
2.1 Abbreviations	3	5.1.2 Test Equipment and Parameters	27
3.0 CHALLENGES WITH METHODOLOGIES	3	5.1.3 Leak Detection Limit	27
3.1 Positive Controls	3	5.1.4 Considerations and Challenges	28
3.1.1 Considerations for Selecting Positive Controls	4	5.2 Optical Emission Spectroscopy	28
3.1.2 Considerations When Using Positive Controls	4	5.2.1 Application	28
3.1.3 Types of Simulated Positive Controls	5	5.2.2 Test Equipment and Parameters	28
3.1.4 Calibrated Leaks	7	5.2.3 Leak Detection Limit	29
3.1.5 Additional Considerations for Controls	8	5.2.4 Considerations and Challenges	30
3.2 Blockage of Leak Paths	9	5.3 Airborne Ultrasound	30
4.0 CHALLENGES WITH PACKAGE DESIGN	10	5.3.1 Application	30
4.1 Prefilled Syringes	10	5.3.2 Test Equipment and Parameters	30
4.1.1 Design	10	5.3.3 Leak Detection Limit	33
4.1.2 Challenges	13	5.3.4 Considerations and Challenges	33
4.1.3 Test Method Consideration	16	5.4 X-Ray Detection	33
4.1.4 Additional Considerations for Secondary Packaging	17	5.4.1 Application	33
4.2 Single-Use Systems — Flexible Bulk Containers	17	5.4.2 Test Equipment and Parameters	34
4.2.1 Design	17	5.4.3 Leak Detection	35
4.2.2 Challenges	18	5.4.4 Considerations and Challenges	37
4.2.3 Test Method Considerations	18	6.0 ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS FOR PACKAGE INTEGRITY PROFILING	38
4.2.4 Additional Considerations for Flexible Bulk Packaging Systems	20	6.1 Transportation and Distribution	38
4.3 IV Bags — Flexible Finished Pharmaceutical Containers	20	6.1.1 Physical Stressors	38
4.3.1 Design	20	6.1.2 Pressure	38
4.3.2 Challenges	20	6.1.3 Testing Approaches	39
4.3.3 Test Method Considerations	21	6.2 100% Online Testing	39
4.3.4 Additional Considerations for IV Bags	22	6.3 Building a Quality by Design Approach into the Container Closure Integrity Testing Program	40
4.4 Cryogenic Conditions	22	6.3.1 Risk Assessment	42
4.4.1 Design	22	6.4 Bulk Container Lifecycle Approach	42
4.4.2 Challenges	24	6.5 Educational Simulation about Limits of Detection and Method Selection	44
4.4.3 Test Method Considerations	25	7.0 CONCLUSION	47
4.4.4 Additional Considerations for Cryogenic Conditions	25	8.0 REFERENCES	48
		9.0 ADDITIONAL READING	49

FIGURES AND TABLES INDEX

Figure 3.1.3-1	Laser-Drilled Defect Through a Glass Vial	7	Figure 4.1.1-1	Diagram of Prefilled Syringe	10
Table 3.1.4-1	Summary of Common Positive Controls or Engineered Defects	8	Figure 4.1.1-2	Staked Needle Interfaces	11
			Figure 4.1.1-3	Common Luer Lock Syringes	12

Figure 4.1.1-4	Common Luer Cone (Luer Slip) Syringes	12	Figure 5.3.2-4	Ultrasound Composite Scan/ Optoacoustic Image of Channel Defect within a Pouch Seal	32
Figure 4.2-1	Mobius® 2-D Bag Assemblies from Merck Millipore and Flexsafe® 3-D Bag Assembly from Sartorius	18	Figure 5.4.2-1	Principle of the Line Scan Imaging Technique	34
Table 4.2.3-1	Comparison of Physical SUS Integrity Testing Methods	19	Figure 5.4.2-2	Principle of the Area Scan Imaging Technique	34
Figure 4.4.1-1	Headspace Carbon Dioxide (CO ₂) Pressure in a Set of 20 Vials Stored on Dry Ice at -80 °C; Three Vials Show Elevated Levels of CO ₂	23	Figure 5.4.3-1	X-Ray Picture of a Large Crack in the Syringe Barrel Inside an Auto-Injector Device	35
Figure 4.4.1-2	Headspace Oxygen Concentration in the Same Set of 20 Vials Stored on Dry Ice at -80 °C; Same Three Vials Show Reduced Levels of Oxygen	23	Figure 5.4.3-2	X-Ray Picture of a Vial with a Glass Defect at the Rim under the Crimp and Automated Detection of the Defect	36
Figure 4.4.1-3	Total Headspace Pressure in the Same Set of 20 Vials Stored on Dry Ice at -80 °C; Same Three Vials Show Elevated Total Pressure	23	Figure 5.4.3-3	X-Ray Picture of an Ampoule Neck with a Glass Cut Detection of the Defect	36
Figure 5.1.1-1	Sample Manifold with 10 2-D Bags Attached to a Central Fluid Line with 70+ Joining Points	26	Figure 5.4.3-4	X-ray Picture of Needle Socket with the Glue made Visible	36
Figure 5.1.3-1	Comparison of Helium Leak Rates of a Nondefective Bag versus a Defective Bag	27	Figure 5.4.4-1	Comparative CT Scans of Four Different Elastomers Used to Calculate Stopper Compression and Fit; Stopper D Shows Signs of Dimpling.	37
Figure 5.3.2-1	Airborne Ultrasound Through Transmission	31	Table 6.4-1	Considerations for Single-Use System's Lifecycle at User's Site	43
Figure 5.3.2-2	Propagation of Ultrasound Through Material Being Tested	31	Figure 6.4-1	Single-Use System's Lifecycle at Manufacturing Site by User	43
Figure 5.3.2-3	Ultrasound Single Linear Scan Graph of Channel Defect within a Pouch Seal	32	Figure 6.4-2	Single-Use System's Lifecycle at User's Site	43
			Table 6.5-1	Leak Test Method Detection Limit and Accuracy Determination Example	46



Bethesda Towers
4350 East West Highway
Suite 600
Bethesda, MD 20814 USA
Tel: +1 (301) 656-5900
Fax: +1 (301) 986-0296
E-mail: info@pda.org
Web site: www.pda.org