

Chapter 14 Decision Analysis

- Problem Formulation
- Decision Making without Probabilities
- Decision Making with Probabilities
- Risk Analysis and Sensitivity Analysis
- Decision Analysis with Sample Information
- Computing Branch Probabilities

Slide 1

Problem Formulation

- A decision problem is characterized by decision alternatives, states of nature, and resulting payoffs.
- The decision alternatives are the different possible strategies the decision maker can employ.
- The states of nature refer to future events, not under the control of the decision maker, which may occur. States of nature should be defined so that they are mutually exclusive and collectively exhaustive.

Slide 2

Influence Diagrams

- An influence diagram is a graphical device showing the relationships among the decisions, the chance events, and the consequences.
- Squares or rectangles depict decision nodes.
- Circles or ovals depict chance nodes.
- Diamonds depict consequence nodes.
- Lines or arcs connecting the nodes show the direction of influence.

Slide 3

Payoff Tables

- The consequence resulting from a specific combination of a decision alternative and a state of nature is a payoff.
- A table showing payoffs for all combinations of decision alternatives and states of nature is a payoff table.
- Payoffs can be expressed in terms of profit, cost, time, distance or any other appropriate measure.

Slide 4

Decision Trees

- A decision tree is a chronological representation of the decision problem.
- Each decision tree has two types of nodes; round nodes correspond to the states of nature while square nodes correspond to the decision alternatives.
- The branches leaving each round node represent the different states of nature while the branches leaving each square node represent the different decision alternatives.
- At the end of each limb of a tree are the payoffs attained from the series of branches making up that limb.

Slide 5

Decision Making without Probabilities

- Three commonly used criteria for decision making when probability information regarding the likelihood of the states of nature is unavailable are:
 - the optimistic approach
 - the conservative approach
 - the minimax regret approach.

Slide 6

Optimistic Approach

- The optimistic approach would be used by an optimistic decision maker.
- The decision with the largest possible payoff is chosen.
- If the payoff table was in terms of costs, the decision with the lowest cost would be chosen.

Slide 7

Conservative Approach

- The conservative approach would be used by a conservative decision maker.
- For each decision the minimum payoff is listed and then the decision corresponding to the maximum of these minimum payoffs is selected. (Hence, the minimum possible payoff is maximized.)
- If the payoff was in terms of costs, the maximum costs would be determined for each decision and then the decision corresponding to the minimum of these maximum costs is selected. (Hence, the maximum possible cost is minimized.)

Slide 8

Minimax Regret Approach

- The minimax regret approach requires the construction of a regret table or an opportunity loss table.
- This is done by calculating for each state of nature the difference between each payoff and the largest payoff for that state of nature.
- Then, using this regret table, the maximum regret for each possible decision is listed.
- The decision chosen is the one corresponding to the minimum of the maximum regrets.

Slide 9

Example

Consider the following problem with three decision alternatives and three states of nature with the following payoff table representing profits:

		States of Nature		
		s ₁	s ₂	s ₃
Decisions	d ₁	4	4	-2
	d ₂	0	3	-1
	d ₃	1	5	-3

Slide 10

Example: Optimistic Approach

An optimistic decision maker would use the optimistic (maximax) approach. We choose the decision that has the largest single value in the payoff table.

Decision	Maximum Payoff
d ₁	4
d ₂	3
d ₃	5

Maximax decision points to d₃ with a payoff of 5.

Slide 11

Example: Optimistic Approach

■ Formula Spreadsheet

	A	B	C	D	E	F
1	PAYOFF TABLE					
2						
3	Decision	State of Nature			Maximum	Recommended
4	Alternative	s1	s2	s3	Payoff	Decision
5	d1	4	4	-2	=MAX(B5:D5)	=IF(E5=\$E\$9,A5,"")
6	d2	0	3	-1	=MAX(B6:D6)	=IF(E6=\$E\$9,A6,"")
7	d3	1	5	-3	=MAX(B7:D7)	=IF(E7=\$E\$9,A7,"")
8						
9		Best Payoff			=MAX(E5:E7)	

Slide 12

Example: Optimistic Approach

■ Solution Spreadsheet

	A	B	C	D	E	F
1	PAYOFF TABLE					
2						
3	Decision	State of Nature			Maximum	Recommended
4	Alternative	s1	s2	s3	Payoff	Decision
5	d1	4	4	-2	4	
6	d2	0	3	-1	3	
7	d3	1	5	-3	5	d3
8						
9		Best Payoff			5	

Slide 13

Example: Conservative Approach

A conservative decision maker would use the conservative (maximin) approach. List the minimum payoff for each decision. Choose the decision with the maximum of these minimum payoffs.

	Decision	Minimum Payoff
	d ₁	-2
	d ₂	-1
	d ₃	-3

Slide 14

Example: Conservative Approach

■ Formula Spreadsheet

	A	B	C	D	E	F
1	PAYOFF TABLE					
2						
3	Decision	State of Nature			Minimum	Recommended
4	Alternative	s1	s2	s3	Payoff	Decision
5	d1	4	4	-2	=MIN(B5:D5)	=IF(E5=\$E\$9,A5,"")
6	d2	0	3	-1	=MIN(B6:D6)	=IF(E6=\$E\$9,A6,"")
7	d3	1	5	-3	=MIN(B7:D7)	=IF(E7=\$E\$9,A7,"")
8						
9		Best Payoff			=MAX(E5:E7)	

Slide 15

Example: Conservative Approach

■ Solution Spreadsheet

	A	B	C	D	E	F
1	PAYOFF TABLE					
2						
3	Decision	State of Nature			Minimum	Recommended
4	Alternative	s1	s2	s3	Payoff	Decision
5	d1	4	4	-2	-2	
6	d2	0	3	-1	-1	d2
7	d3	1	5	-3	-3	
8						
9		Best Payoff			-1	

Slide 16

Example: Minimax Regret Approach

For the minimax regret approach, first compute a regret table by subtracting each payoff in a column from the largest payoff in that column. In this example, in the first column subtract 4, 0, and 1 from 4; etc. The resulting regret table is:

	s ₁	s ₂	s ₃
d ₁	0	1	1
d ₂	4	2	0
d ₃	3	0	2

Slide 17

Example: Minimax Regret Approach

For each decision list the maximum regret. Choose the decision with the minimum of these values.

	Decision	Maximum Regret
	d ₁	1
	d ₂	4
	d ₃	3

Slide 18

Example: Minimax Regret Approach

■ Formula Spreadsheet

1	A	B	C	D	E	F
2	PAYOFF TABLE					
3	Decision	State of Nature				
4	Altern.	s1	s2	s3		
5	d1	4	4	-2		
6	d2	0	3	-1		
7	d3	1	5	-3		
8	OPPORTUNITY LOSS TABLE					
9	Decision	State of Nature				
10	Altern.	s1	s2	s3	Maximum Regret	Recommended Decision
11	d1	=MAX(\$B\$4:\$B\$6)-B4	=MAX(\$C\$4:\$C\$6)-C4	=MAX(\$D\$4:\$D\$6)-D4	=MAX(B11:D11)	=IF(E11=\$E\$14,A11,"")
12	d2	=MAX(\$B\$4:\$B\$6)-B5	=MAX(\$C\$4:\$C\$6)-C5	=MAX(\$D\$4:\$D\$6)-D5	=MAX(B12:D12)	=IF(E12=\$E\$14,A12,"")
13	d3	=MAX(\$B\$4:\$B\$6)-B6	=MAX(\$C\$4:\$C\$6)-C6	=MAX(\$D\$4:\$D\$6)-D6	=MAX(B13:D13)	=IF(E13=\$E\$14,A13,"")
14	Minimax Regret Value				=MIN(E11:E13)	

Slide 19

Example: Minimax Regret Approach

■ Solution Spreadsheet

1	A	B	C	D	E	F
2	PAYOFF TABLE					
3	Decision	State of Nature				
4	Alternative	s1	s2	s3		
5	d1	4	4	-2		
6	d2	0	3	-1		
7	d3	1	5	-3		
8	OPPORTUNITY LOSS TABLE					
9	Decision	State of Nature				
10	Alternative	s1	s2	s3	Regret	Decision
11	d1	0	1	1	1	d1
12	d2	4	2	0	4	
13	d3	3	0	2	3	
14	Minimax Regret Value				1	

Slide 20

Decision Making with Probabilities

■ Expected Value Approach

- If probabilistic information regarding the states of nature is available, one may use the expected value (EV) approach.
- Here the expected return for each decision is calculated by summing the products of the payoff under each state of nature and the probability of the respective state of nature occurring.
- The decision yielding the best expected return is chosen.

Slide 21

Expected Value of a Decision Alternative

- The expected value of a decision alternative is the sum of weighted payoffs for the decision alternative.
- The expected value (EV) of decision alternative d_i is defined as:


$$EV(d_i) = \sum_{j=1}^N P(s_j) V_{ij}$$

where: N = the number of states of nature
 $P(s_j)$ = the probability of state of nature s_j
 V_{ij} = the payoff corresponding to decision alternative d_i and state of nature s_j

Slide 22

Example: Burger Prince

Burger Prince Restaurant is considering opening a new restaurant on Main Street. It has three different models, each with a different seating capacity. Burger Prince estimates that the average number of customers per hour will be 80, 100, or 120. The payoff table for the three models is on the next slide.



Slide 23

Payoff Table



Average Number of Customers Per Hour			
	$s_1 = 80$	$s_2 = 100$	$s_3 = 120$
Model A	\$10,000	\$15,000	\$14,000
Model B	\$ 8,000	\$18,000	\$12,000
Model C	\$ 6,000	\$16,000	\$21,000

Slide 24


Expected Value Approach



Calculate the expected value for each decision.
The decision tree on the next slide can assist in this calculation. Here d_1, d_2, d_3 represent the decision alternatives of models A, B, C, and s_1, s_2, s_3 represent the states of nature of 80, 100, and 120.


Slide 25

Decision Tree



Slide 26

Expected Value for Each Decision



Choose the model with largest EV, Model C.

Slide 27

Expected Value Approach



Formula Spreadsheet

	A	B	C	D	E	F
1	PAYOFF TABLE					
2						
3	Decision	State of Nature			Expected	Recommended
4	Alternative	$s_1 = 80$	$s_2 = 100$	$s_3 = 120$	Value	Decision
5	$d_1 = \text{Model A}$	10,000	15,000	14,000	$=\$B\$8*B5+\$C\$8*C5+\$D\$8*D5$	$=\text{IF}(E5=\$E\$9,A5,"")$
6	$d_2 = \text{Model B}$	8,000	18,000	12,000	$=\$B\$8*B6+\$C\$8*C6+\$D\$8*D6$	$=\text{IF}(E6=\$E\$9,A6,"")$
7	$d_3 = \text{Model C}$	6,000	16,000	21,000	$=\$B\$8*B7+\$C\$8*C7+\$D\$8*D7$	$=\text{IF}(E7=\$E\$9,A7,"")$
8	Probability	0.4	0.2	0.4		
9		Maximum Expected Value			$=\text{MAX}(E5:E7)$	

Slide 28

Expected Value Approach



Solution Spreadsheet

	A	B	C	D	E	F
1	PAYOFF TABLE					
2						
3	Decision	State of Nature			Expected	Recommended
4	Alternative	$s_1 = 80$	$s_2 = 100$	$s_3 = 120$	Value	Decision
5	$d_1 = \text{Model A}$	10,000	15,000	14,000	12600	
6	$d_2 = \text{Model B}$	8,000	18,000	12,000	11600	
7	$d_3 = \text{Model C}$	6,000	16,000	21,000	14000	$d_3 = \text{Model C}$
8	Probability	0.4	0.2	0.4		
9		Maximum Expected Value			14000	

Slide 29

Expected Value of Perfect Information

- Frequently information is available which can improve the probability estimates for the states of nature.
- The expected value of perfect information (EVPI) is the increase in the expected profit that would result if one knew with certainty which state of nature would occur.
- The EVPI provides an upper bound on the expected value of any sample or survey information.

Slide 30

Expected Value of Perfect Information

■ EVPI Calculation

- Step 1:
Determine the optimal return corresponding to each state of nature.
- Step 2:
Compute the expected value of these optimal returns.
- Step 3:
Subtract the EV of the optimal decision from the amount determined in step (2).

Slide 31

Expected Value of Perfect Information



Calculate the expected value for the optimum payoff for each state of nature and subtract the EV of the optimal decision.

$$EVPI = .4(10,000) + .2(18,000) + .4(21,000) - 14,000 = \$2,000$$

Slide 32

Expected Value of Perfect Information



■ Spreadsheet

	A	B	C	D	E	F
1	PAYOFF TABLE					
2						
3	Decision	State of Nature			Expected	Recommended
4	Alternative	s1 = 80	s2 = 100	s3 = 120	Value	Decision
5	d1 = Model A	10,000	15,000	14,000	12600	
6	d2 = Model B	8,000	18,000	12,000	11600	
7	d3 = Model C	6,000	16,000	21,000	14000	d3 = Model C
8	Probability	0.4	0.2	0.4		
9		Maximum Expected Value			14000	
10						
11		Maximum Payoff			EVwPI	EVPI
12		10,000	18,000	21,000	16000	2000

Slide 33

Risk Analysis


- Risk analysis helps the decision maker recognize the difference between:
 - the expected value of a decision alternative, and
 - the payoff that might actually occur
- The **risk profile** for a decision alternative shows the possible payoffs for the decision alternative along with their associated probabilities.

Slide 34

Risk Profile



■ Model C Decision Alternative



Slide 35

Sensitivity Analysis

- **Sensitivity analysis** can be used to determine how changes to the following inputs affect the recommended decision alternative:
 - probabilities for the states of nature
 - values of the payoffs
- If a small change in the value of one of the inputs causes a change in the recommended decision alternative, extra effort and care should be taken in estimating the input value.

Slide 36