

INLS 755-01: Archival Appraisal Spring 2017

Dr. Helen R. Tibbo
Office: 211 Manning Hall
Phone: Work: (919) 962-8063

✉: Tibbo@ils.unc.edu
Class ☎: Th. 2:00-4:45
Home: (919) 929-6248 (Please call before 9 PM)

Office Hours 4:45-5:30 Thursdays & by Appointment

Course Timeline

First Class: Thursday, January 12, 2017, Manning 307
Spring Break, March 13-17, 2017
Last Class: Thursday, April 27, 2017

Brief Course Description

This course will explore what has been termed the archivist's "first" and arguably most important responsibility, appraisal. Students will investigate the theories, techniques, and methods that archivists use to identify documents and other materials of enduring value for long-term preservation. Students will study the history of appraisal and compare contemporary approaches from around the globe and study the work of various repositories. Appraisal is a graduate level course required for the Archives and Records Management Concentration at SILS and has no pre-requisites.

Goals and Objectives

By the end of the course, the student should/will:

1. Be able to explain many of the concepts, methodologies, and tools related to and associated with activities of appraisal and selection in archival institutions;
2. Have read and analyzed a broad cross section of literature concerning archival appraisal in different organizational,
3. Critique an appraisal policy from a repository;
4. Be able to discuss the range of appraisal approaches practiced worldwide and point out the merits and shortcomings of each;
5. Be able to discuss how a variety of appraisal approaches and methods relate to the disposition of electronic records;

6. Be able to discuss the potential role of archival appraisal techniques for other types of repositories such as museums and libraries and how selection traditionally is conducted in these institutions.

Target Audience

The target audience for this course is any student in the SILS Archives and Records Management track and more broadly any student who plans on working as an archivist. This course is analogous to INLS 513: Resource Selection and Evaluation for libraries and librarians so those who are interested in collection building in general may also be interested in this course. Appraisal lies at the heart of archival practice.

Format

Most learning occurs when you integrate a new concept into your personal experiences, beliefs, and understandings. As such, much of the course will focus on applying readings through case studies and class discussion. I, or a guest, will present material at the beginning of many classes, but lectures are generally an ineffective method of learning and these will be kept to a minimum. Our goal will be to apply what we read to actual or created scenarios.

Assignments & Participation

Due to the nature of this course, positive and active participation is required. Positive and active participation is characterized by having a clear command of the readings for the day, sharing analyses and options based on the readings, project guidelines, and case studies; allowing other students the opportunity to participate; and freely agreeing and disagreeing with others when warranted. Please note that an intellectual exchange of ideas is the cornerstone of education, but any criticism should be limited to an idea and not the person specifically.

At minimum, I expect regular attendance, discerning reading of the assigned materials, and engagement with your classmates. The issues found in the readings will persist throughout your careers as archivists. Although we cannot replicate the workplace in the classroom, truly grappling with them now will give you a head start on your professional life.

<i>Assignment</i>	% of Grade	Due Date
Analysis of Appraisal Policy	20	February 16
Appraisal of Personal Records	20	March 30
Essay on Appraisal Thinkers	20	April 20
Final Exam Due	25	Monday, May 8, 12:00 PM
Class Participation (including case study presentations)	15	Throughout term

Please turn in all assignment through Sakai and provide a printed version in class. For all assignments please save your file as “lastname_assignment_755.docx” (where “assignment” is the assignment name). All assignments should be turned in as word document if possible. **Please put**

your name on the top of each page of your assignments as well. If you cannot pass an assignment in on time in class, please let me know. Otherwise I may search long and hard thinking I have lost it...

Laptops and Mobile Devices

Laptops and tablets are strictly for taking notes, following along in the readings, and other class-related activities. Please stay in the moment and refrain from other distracting activities such as email, Facebook, and tweeting during class. You paid for the class – get the most out of it and give your insights to your classmates.

Grading

Graduate Grading Scale

- H (95-100): "Clear excellence", above and beyond what is required
- P (80-94): Entirely satisfactory; SILS recognizes subtle levels of "satisfactory" since most grades tend to cluster here
- L (70-79): Low passing
- F (< 70): Failed
- IN: Work incomplete (only given under extreme circumstances, such as serious illness)

Penalty for Late Assignments.

I expect assignments to be passed in on time. This is important for at least two reasons: 1) the need to meet deadlines is a reality of professional life, and 2) giving some people more time for an assignment than others in the class is not equitable. However, life happens to all of us at one time or another. If you cannot meet an assignment deadline, please tell me why PRIOR to class. I will negotiate a new deadline with anyone who has a valid reason for needing this (i.e., NOT "I just didn't get it done."). Otherwise, late assignments will drop 3 points for each day late.

Office Hours

I will be in my physical office after INLS 755, Thursdays 4:45 – 5:30. Please drop by at this time, or send me an e-mail message for other scheduling opportunities. If I am not available when you try to see me, please send an email, which is my primary means of communication. Also, feel free to call me at home in the evening before 9:00 PM. You are strongly encouraged to take advantage of office hours and email/Skype meetings during the semester. I am available and willing to advise on assignment, master's paper topics, or anything else archival/career related. I am also always up to talking about dogs!

Email

Please check the course listserv on a regular basis if not daily. This will be our primary means of communication. The address is: INLS755.Sp2017@sakai.unc.edu. If you have a question of general interest to the class such as "What do you mean by X in assignment Y?" please send this to the class list and I will answer it so that everyone can benefit.

Textbook and Readings

Readings are essential to class discussion, which is the major element in your class participation grade and, more importantly, understanding of the materials covered in class. You should read items by the date listed on the schedule, e.g., you should read items listed under January 19th before class on the 19th. Readings are of 3 types: Most serial readings are available online; any serial readings that are not available online through the UNC Library system will be available on the course's Sakai site and a few monographs will be on reserve in the SILS Library. You may purchase Boles, Frank. *Selecting & Appraising Archives & Manuscripts*. Chicago: SAA, 2005, from SAA along with *Appraisal and Acquisition Strategies*, edited by Michael Shallcross and Christopher J. Prom, SAA, 2016. If you become a student member the book prices are quite low. If I ordered these titles through the UNC Bookstore they would be the full non-member price plus a 20% mark-up. The Bole's book and Richard Cox's *No Innocent Deposits* will be on reserve in the SILS Library. The SAA modules 14 and 15 from Shallcross and Prom are in Sakai.

Honor Code

This class follows the UNC Honor Code. Information on the Honor Code can be found at: <http://honor.unc.edu/>. Students are encouraged to become familiar with the UNC Honor Code to understand the rights and responsibilities defined there. The UNC Honor Code prohibits giving or receiving unauthorized aid on examinations or in the completion of assignments. *Whenever you use the words or ideas of others, this should be properly quoted and cited.* You should adopt a style guide – e.g., American Psychological Association, Chicago Manual of Style, MLA, or Turabian – and use it consistently. Students who are discovered attempting to take credit for work performed by others will be referred to the Honor Court for resolution.

Class Policies:

- Be considerate of others in using reserve and other materials, returning them promptly and in good condition.
- Be considerate of your classmates by arriving to class on time, with cell phones turned off for the duration of the class period. Unexcused/unexplained tardiness may impact your class participation grade.
- Additionally, be considerate of your classmates by informing the instructor of any planned absences. Unexcused/unexplained absences may impact your class participation grade.
- Be prepared for each class by completing the assigned reading, enabling you to ask questions and participate in class discussion.
- Be an active and positive participant in class, characterized as:
 - Having a clear command of the readings for the day;
 - Sharing analyses and opinions based on the readings;
 - Allowing other students the opportunity to participate; and
 - Freely agreeing and disagreeing with others when warranted.
- Please note: An intellectual exchange of ideas is the cornerstone of education, but any criticism should be limited to an idea and not the person specifically.
- Turn in assignments by or at the beginning of the class session on which the assignment is due.

Class Listserv

The Email Address for this list is: INLS755-Spring2017@sakai.unc.edu. You can send to and receive from this list.

Sakai

You can access the class website at: <http://sakai.unc.edu>. Log in with your Onyen and password, and you should see listing of all your classes. Click on: INLS755.001.SP17.

Syllabus Changes

The professor reserves to right to make changes to the syllabus, including but not limited to project due dates, readings, and in class exercises. These changes will be announced as early as possible.



Weekly Course Calendar

1) Thursday, January 12: Introduction to Class; Appraisal & Selection - Definitions, Foundations, Perspectives.

1. What is appraisal?
2. Why is appraisal important?
3. What does it mean to be an archivist?
4. Why do we have this class?

Fleckner, John. "Dear Mary Jane?: Some Reflections on Being an Archivist." *The American Archivist* 54 (Winter 1991): 8-13. (UNC Libraries)

Punzalan, Richardo L. and Michelle Caswell. "Critical Directions for Archival Approaches to Social Justice." *The Library Quarterly* 86/1 (January 2016): 25-42. (UNC Libraries)

SAA Glossary (www.archivists.org/glossary). Definitions for appraisal, selection, and accession.

2) Thursday, January 19: Orientation: Synthesizing Appraisal Thought.

Questions to direct your reading:

1. What is appraisal?
2. Why is appraisal important?
3. How does appraisal relate to other archival functions and activities?
4. What power do archivists hold?

REQUIRED:

Cline, Scott. "Archival Ideals and the Pursuit of a Moderate Disposition." *The American Archivist* 77/2 (Fall/Winter 2014): 444-458. (UNC Libraries)

Cook, Terry. "We Are What We Keep; We Keep What We Are": Archival Appraisal Past, Present and Future." *Journal of the Society of Archivists* 32:2 (2011): 173-189. (Sakai)

Eastwood, Terry. "Toward a Social Theory of Appraisal." in Barbara L. Craig, ed., *The Archival Imagination: Essays in Honour of Hugh A. Taylor* (Ottawa: Association of Canadian Archivists, 1992), pp. 71-89. (Sakai)

Jimerson, Randall C. "Embracing the Power of Archives," *The American Archivist* 69 (Spring/Summer 2006), p. 19-32. (UNC Libraries)

Peace, Nancy E. "Deciding What to Save: Fifty Years of Theory and Practice." in *Archival Choices: Managing the Historical Record in an Age of Abundance*, ed. Nancy E. Peace (Lexington: D.C. Heath, 1984), pp. 1-18. (Sakai)

Ramirez, Mario H. "Being Assumed Not to Be: A Critique of Whiteness as an Archival Imperative." *The American Archivist* 78/2 (Fall/Winter 2015): 339-356.

Thursday, January 26: History of Appraisal.

Questions to direct your reading:

1. What is the gist of Jenkinson's perspective on appraisal?
2. How does Schellenberg differ from Jenkinson?
3. What are the primary tenets of the Dutch perspective?
4. Why did the Society of American Archivists' 1986 report, *Planning for the Archival Profession*, call appraisal the archivist's "first responsibility"?

REQUIRED:

Jenkinson, Hilary. *A Manual of Archival Administration*. London: Percy Lund, Humphries & Co., 1966, pp. 1-16, 136-155. (Sakai)

Hohmann, Paige. "On Impartiality and Interrelatedness: Reactions to Jenkinsonian Appraisal in the Twentieth Century." *The American Archivist* 79/1 (Spring/Summer 2016): 14-25. (UNC Libraries)

Muller, Samuel, J.A. Feith, and R. Fruin. *Manual for the Arrangement and Description of Archives*. Chicago: SAA, 2003. Read Chapter 1 and the new introductions by Peter Horsman, Eric Ketelaar, Theo Thomassen and Marjorie Barritt. Originally published, 1898. (Sakai)

Schellenberg, Theodore R. "The Appraisal of Modern Public Records." *A Modern Archives Reader: Basic Readings on Archival Theory and Practice*, Maygene F. Daniels and Timothy Walch, eds. Washington, DC.: National Archives and Records Service, 1984: 57-70. Originally published as Bulletins of the National Archives, No. 8, 1956; 237-278.
<http://www.archives.gov/research/alic/reference/archives-resources/appraisal-of-records.html>

Tschan, R. "A Comparison of Jenkinson and Schellenberg on Appraisal." *The American Archivist* 65 (Fall/Winter 2002): 176-95. (UNC Libraries)

RECOMMENDED:

Boles, Frank. *Selecting & Appraising Archives & Manuscripts*. Chicago: SAA, 2005, chapters 1 - 3. (Book on reserve).

Cook, Terry. "What is Past Is Prologue: A History of Archival Ideas since 1898 and the Future Paradigm Shift." *Archivaria* 43 (Spring 1997): 17-63. Esp. section on appraisal and summary of Jenkinson's ideas. (Sakai)

Ham, F. Gerald. *Selecting and Appraising Archives and Manuscripts*. Chicago: Society of American Archivists, 1993). Chapters 1-2. (Sakai)

3) Thursday, February 2: A Practitioner's Perspective – Laura Micham, Director, Sally Bingham Center for Women's History and Culture.

Laura Micham, SILS alum, will provide you with a practitioner's perspective on appraisal. On April 21st we will visit the SBC and grapple with collection development case studies.

Gerrard, Morna. "No Fame Required' Collaboration, Community, and the Georgia LGBTQ Archives Project." In Theimer, Kate, ed. *Appraisal and Acquisition: Innovative Practices for Archives and Special Collections*. (Lanham, NJ: Rowman & Littlefield, 2015): 1-15. (Sakai)

4) Thursday, February 9: Collection Development and Collecting Policies.

Questions to direct your reading:

1. How can collection development policies serve archival collections? What are the benefits of such policies?
2. What have been obstacles to repositories having written collection development policies?
3. Why do so few repositories have collection development policies?
4. Discuss the potential gaps between collecting and appraisal policies and practices?
5. Critique Ericson – is he too harsh on archivists?
6. If more materials were available online, would focused collection development, policies, and practices matter?

REQUIRED:

Barnard, Megan and Gabriela Redwine. *Module 15: Collecting Digital Manuscripts and Archives*. (Chicago: SAA, 2016): 69-116. (Sakai).

Cox, Richard J. "Archivists and Collecting." *Encyclopedia of Library and Information Sciences*, 3rd ed. (Taylor and Francis: New York, 2009): 208-220. (Sakai).

Ericson, Timothy L. "At the 'Rim of Creative Dissatisfaction': Archivists and Acquisition Development." *Archivaria* 33(Winter 1991-1992): 66-77; also in *American Archival Studies*, pp. 177-192. (Read last) (Sakai)

Reed, Barbara. "Archival Appraisal and Acquisition." *Encyclopedia of Library and Information Sciences*, 3rd ed. (Taylor and Francis: New York, 2009): 120-129. (Sakai).

Sauer, Cynthia K. "Doing the Best We Can? The Use of Collection Development Policies and Cooperative Collecting Activities at Manuscript Repositories." *The American Archivist* 64 (2001):308-349. (Read first) (UNC Libraries)

RECOMMENDED:

Bearman, David. *Archival Methods* (Pittsburgh: Archives and Museum Informatics, Technical Report #9, 1989). Preface, Introduction, and Chapter One – Selection and Appraisal, pp. 1-9.
www.archimuse.com/publishing/archival_methods/

Boles, Frank. *Selecting and Appraising Archives and Manuscripts*, Chapter 5, pp. 97-120. (Book on reserve).

Endelman, Judith E. “Looking Backward to Plan for the Future: Collection Analysis for Manuscript Repositories.” *The American Archivist* 50 (Summer 1987): 340-355.

McCree, Mary Lynn. “Good Sense and Good Judgment: Defining Collections and Collecting.” *Drexel Library Quarterly* 11 (1975): 21-33. Reprinted in Maygene F. Daniels and Timothy Walch, eds., *A Modern Archives Reader: Basic Readings on Archival Theory and Practice*. Washington, D.C.: National Archives, 1984): 103-113. (Sakai).

Phillips, Faye. “Developing Collecting Policies for Manuscript Collections.” *The American Archivist* 47(Winter 1984): 30-42.

5) Thursday, February 16: Is Selection Archival? Or Debating Schellenberg & Jenkinson and Reappraisal and Deaccessioning

Policy Analysis DUE

Questions to direct your reading:

1. Should archivists appraise? Why or why not?”
2. Should collections be reappraised?
3. Contrast Duranti and Schellenberg’s positions regarding appraisal.

REQUIRED:

Benedict, Karen. “Invitation to a Bonfire: Reappraisal and Deaccessioning of Records as Collection Management Tools in an Archives – A Reply to Leonard Rapport.” *The American Archivist* 47 (1984): 43-50.

Boles, Frank and Mark A. Greene. “Et Tu Schellenberg? Thoughts on the Dagger of American Appraisal Theory.” *The American Archivist* 59 (Summer 1996): 298-310.

Cox, Richard. *No Innocent Deposits: Forming Archives by Rethinking Appraisal*. (Lanham, Scarecrow Press, 2004): Chapter 7, “Evidence and Archives. (Book on reserve).

Duranti, Luciana. “The Concept of Appraisal and Archival Theory.” *The American Archivist* 57 (Spring 1994): 328-344.

Gerencser, James. "Reappraisal and Deaccessioning: Building for the Future by Removing Some of the Past." In Theimer, Kate, ed. *Appraisal and Acquisition: Innovative Practices for Archives and Special Collections*. (Lanham, NJ: Rowman & Littlefield, 2015): 151-166. (Sakai).

Jackson, Laura O. and D. Claudia Thompson. "But You Promised: A Case Study of Deaccessioning at the American Heritage Center, University of Wyoming." *The American Archivist* 73/2 (Fall/Winter 2010): 669-685.

Lloyd, Tina. "From Projects to Policy: The Evolution of a Systematic Reappraisal Program." In Theimer, Kate, ed. *Appraisal and Acquisition: Innovative Practices for Archives and Special Collections*. (Lanham, NJ: Rowman & Littlefield, 2015):63-76. (Sakai).

Rapport, Leonard. "No Grandfather Clause: Reappraising Accessioned Records." *The American Archivist* 44 (Spring 1981): 143-150.

Society of American Archivists. Deaccessioning and Reappraisal Development and Review Team, *Guidelines for Reappraisal and Deaccessioning* (May 2012)
<http://www2.archivists.org/sites/all/files/GuidelinesForReappraisalAndDeaccessioning-May2012.pdf>

Wink, Tara. *Archival Collection Development Policies: A Study of their Content and Collaborative Aims*. A Master's paper for the M.S. in L.S. degree. UNC-Chapel Hill (April, 2010.) (UNC Libraries)

RECOMMENDED:

Cox, Richard. "Don't Fold Up: Responding to Nicholson Baker's *Double Fold*." SAA website: <http://www.archivists.org/news/doublefold.asp>. and "SAA Council's Response to Nicholson Baker's *Double Fold*." <http://www.archivists.org/statements/council-doublefold.asp>.

Ole Kolsrud. "The Evolution of Basic Appraisal Principles – Some Comparative Observations." *The American Archivist* 55 (1992): 27-39.

Pollard, Riva A. "The Appraisal of Personal Papers: A Critical Literature Review." *Archivaria* 52 (Fall 2001): 136-50. <http://journals.sfu.ca/archivar/index.php/archivaria/article/view/12818/14029>

6) Thursday, February 23: No Class

Houston, Brad. "Making the Bulb Want to Change: Implementing an Active Electronic Records Appraisal and Acquisition Program." In Theimer, Kate, ed. *Appraisal and Acquisition: Innovative Practices for Archives and Special Collections*. (Lanham, NJ: Rowman & Littlefield, 2015): 119-134.

Huth, Geof. "Module 14: Collecting Digital Archives: Building Blocks for Success." In Michael Shallcross & Christopher J. Prom, eds. *Appraisal and Acquisition Strategies*. (Chicago: SAA, 2016): 7-68.

We will discuss these readings next week.

7) Thursday, March 2: Macro Appraisal and Institutional Functional Analysis

Questions to direct your reading:

1. What is macro-appraisal?
2. How is macro-appraisal different from a Schellenbergian approach?
3. What is functional analysis and how do archivists use it?

REQUIRED:

Cook, Terry. "Appraisal Methodology: Macro-Appraisal and Functional Analysis; Part A: Concepts and Theory." National Archives of Canada, October 2001, available at <http://www.collectionscanada.gc.ca/government/disposition/007007-1035-e.html> (Read 2nd)

Cook, Terry. "Appraisal Methodology: Macro-Appraisal and Functional Analysis; Part B: Guidelines for Performing an Archival Appraisal on Government Records." National Archives of Canada, October 2001, available at <http://www.bac-lac.gc.ca/eng/services/government-information-resources/disposition/records-appraisal-disposition-program/Pages/appraisal-methodology-part-b-guidelines.aspx> (Read 3rd)

Cook, Terry. "Macroappraisal in Theory and Practice: Origins, Characteristics, and Implementation in Canada, 1950-2000." *Archival Science* 5 (Nos. 2-4, 2005): 101-61. (UNC Libraries)

RECOMMENDED:

Cook, Terry. "Mind Over Matter: Towards a New Theory of Archival Appraisal." in Barbara L. Craig, ed., *The Archival Imagination: Essays in Honour of Hugh A. Taylor* Ottawa: Association of Canadian Archivists, 1992: 38-70. (Read 1st) (Sakai).

Frost, Eldon. "A Weak Link in the Chain: Records Scheduling as a Source of Archival Acquisition." *Archivaria* 33(Winter 1991): 78-86. (Sakai).

Roberts, John. "One Size Fits All? The Portability of Macro-Appraisal by a Comparative Analysis of Canada, South Africa, and New Zealand." *Archivaria* 52(Fall 2001): 47-68. (Sakai).

Robinson, Catherine. "Records Control and Disposal Using Functional Analysis." *Archives and Manuscripts* 25 (November 1997): 288-303, also: www.records.nsw.gov.au/publicsector/rk/classification/record~1.htm. (Sakai).

Robyns, Marcus C. and Jason Woolman. "Institutional Functional Analysis at Northern Michigan University: A New Process of Appraisal and Arrangement of Archival Records." *The American Archivist* Volume 74, Number 1 (Spring/Summer 2011): 241-256.

Suderman, Jim. "Appraising Records of the Expenditure Management Function: An Exercise in Functional Analysis." *Archivaria* 43 (Spring 1997): 129-142. (Sakai).

8) Thursday, March 9: Appraisal Authority (Law, Policy, Society) (From David Wallace at the U. of Michigan)

The “right” to appraise records is enshrined in laws, policies, and in the legitimacy that societies lend to professionals who make appraisal decisions. At the same time, authority for appraisal sets parameters around the types of records or collections that fall within the domain of archival appraisal. This session will cover the overlapping web of appraisal authorities and discuss their implications for appraisal

North Carolina Public Records Law.
North Carolina State Archives Best Practices (Social Media, etc.)
Federal Records Act (in case studies)
Chapel Hill
County Records

Boles, Frank and Young, Julia Marks. “Exploring the Black Box: The Appraisal of University Administrative Records.” *The American Archivist* 48 (Spring 1985): 121-140; also in *American Archival Studies: Readings in Theory and Practice*, edited by Randall C. Jimerson, SAA, 200, pp. 279-300.

Julien Masanes, “Web Archiving Methods and Approaches: A Comparative Study,” *Library Trends* 54 (No. 1, Summer 2005), pp. 72-90. (Sakai).

Millar, Laura. “Discharging Our Debt: The Evolution of the Total Archives Concept in English Canada,” *Archivaria* 46 (Fall 1998), pp. 101-146. (UNC Libraries)

Sink, Robert. “Appraisal: The Process of Choice” [field testing the "black box" appraisal model at NYPL]. *The American Archivist* 53 (Summer 1990): 452-8.

Society of American Archivists, A Guide to Donating Your Personal or Family Papers to a Repository (Brochure, 1995). www.archivists.org/publications/donating-familyrecs.asp

Society of American Archivists, A Guide to Donating Your Organizational Records to a Repository (Brochure, 1995). www.archivists.org/publications/donating-orgrecs.asp.



**** Thursday, March 16: No Class – Spring Break**

9) Thursday, March 23: Trip to Sally Bingham Center.

Foote, Kenneth E. "To Remember and Forget: Archives, Memory, and Culture." *The American Archivist* 53 (Summer 1990): 378-92.

Hansen, Will and Matthew Farrell. “Hardware for SoftPoens: Appraisal and Acquisition of Vintage Computer Equipment.” In Theimer, Kate, ed. *Appraisal and Acquisition: Innovative Practices for Archives and Special Collections*. (Lanham, NJ: Rowman & Littlefield, 2015):45-62. (Sakai).

RECOMMENDED:

Cox, Richard J. "Archival Anchorites: Building Public Memory in the Era of the Culture Wars." *Multicultural Review* 7 (June 1998): 52-60. (Sakai).

Craig, Barbara L. "The Archivist as Planner and Poet: Thoughts on the Larger Issues of Appraisal for Acquisition." *Archivaria* 52 (Fall 2001): 175-83.

<http://journals.sfu.ca/archivar/index.php/archivaria/article/view/12820/14033>

Ham, F. Gerald. *Selecting and Appraising Archives and Manuscripts* (Chicago: Society of American Archivists, 1992), chapter 10. Read also F. Gerald Ham, "The Archival Edge." *The American Archivist* 38 (January 1975): 5-13. (Sakai).

Taylor, Gary. *Cultural Selection: Why Some Achievements Survive the Test of Time – And Others Don't*. New York: Basic Books, 1997: 3-20.

10) Thursday, March 30: Documentation Strategies

Appraisal of Personal Records DUE

Questions to direct your reading:

1. What is a documentation strategy?
2. Are documentations strategies practical?
3. Why haven't documentation strategies been more successful?

REQUIRED:

Booms, Hans. "Society and the Formation of a Documentary Heritage." *Archivaria* 24 (Summer 1987): 69-107. (Sakai).

Cook, Terry. "Documentation Strategy," *Archivaria* 34 (Summer 92): 181-191.

<http://journals.sfu.ca/archivar/index.php/archivaria/article/viewArticle/11849> (click on back issues)

Cox, Richard J. "The Documentation Strategy and Archival Appraisal Principles: A Different Perspective." *Archivaria* 38 (Fall 1994): 11-36.

<http://journals.sfu.ca/archivar/index.php/archivaria/article/view/12021/12985> (Click on back issues; also Sakai)

Daniels, Caroline; Heather Fox; Sarah-Jane Poindexter; and Elizabeth Reilly. "Saving All the Freaks on the Life Raft: Blending Documentation Strategy with Community Engagement to Build a Local Music Archives." *The American Archivist* 78/1 (Spring/Summer 2015): 238-261.

Samuels, Helen. "Improving Our Disposition: Documentation Strategies." *Archivaria* 33 (Winter 1991-92): 125-140.

Shaw, Jennifer. "Documenting Genomics: Applying Archival Theory to Preserving the Records of the Human Genome Project." *Studies in History and Philosophy of Science Part C: Studies in History and Philosophy of Biological and Biomedical Sciences* 55 (February 2016):61–69. (UNC Libraries).

11) Thursday, April 6: Democratizing Appraisal: Engaging Creators and Users in Appraisal Decisions (from Dr. David Wallace, Univ. of Michigan)

"There is considerable interest and debate over the question of whether users or potential users should have an opportunity to review and comment on appraisal recommendations. At the same time archiving is occurring throughout society without formal archival input. We will explore these issues and examine some of the mechanisms that have been put into place for creator and user input."

Cifor, Marika. "Affecting Relations: Introducing Affect Theory to Archival Discourse." *Archival Science* 16/1 (March 2016): 7-31. (UNC Libraries)

Mark Greene, "The Surest Proof: A Utilitarian Approach to Appraisal," *Archivaria* 45 (Spring 1998): 127-169.

Hughes, Kit. "Appraisal as Cartography: Cultural Studies in the Archives." *The American Archivist* 77/1 (Spring/Summer 2014): 270-296.

Elisabeth Kaplan, "We Are What We Collect, We Collect What We Are: Archives and the Construction of Identity," *The American Archivist* 67 (Spring/Summer 2000): 126-151.

Rhee, HL. "Utilizing User Studies in Archival Appraisal Practice: Feasibility, Value, and Benefits." *Archival Science* (October 2016): 1-23. (UNC Libraries).

Katie Shilton and Ramesh Srinivasan, "Participatory Appraisal and Arrangement for Multicultural Archival Collections," *Archivaria* 63 (Spring 2007): 87-101.

Andrew Flinn, Mary Stevens, and Elizabeth Shepherd, "Whose Memories, Whose Archives? Independent Community Archives, Autonomy and the Mainstream." *Archival Science* 9 (Nos. 1-2, 2009): 71-86. (UNC Libraries)

12) Thursday, April 13: The Records Continuum and Post Custodialism.

Questions to direct your reading:

1. What is "Post Custodialism"?
2. Why has post custodialism been attractive to many government and institutional archives?
3. How has post custodialism worked? Has it been successful? Why or why not.
4. What has happened in Australia?
5. Can archivists be archivists if they don't keep collections?
6. What new tasks does post custodialism require archivists to undertake?

7. Compare the records continuum to the records life cycle model.

REQUIRED:

Bantin, Philip C. "Strategies for Managing Electronic Records: A New Archival Paradigm? An Affirmation of Our Archival Traditions?" *Archival Issues* 23 (1998): 17-34. (Sakai).

Bearman, David. "An Indefensible Bastion: Archives as a Repository in an Electronic Age." *Archival Management of Electronic Records*. Pittsburgh, PA: Archives & Museum Informatics, 1991: 4-24. (Sakai)

McKemmish, Sue, et. al., "Describing Records in Context in the Continuum: The Australian Recordkeeping Metadata Schema." *Archivaria* 48 (Fall 1999): 3-43.

Yeo, Geoffrey. "Custodial History, Provenance, and the Description of Personal Records." *Libraries & the Cultural Record* Vol. 44 Issue 1, (2009): 50-64. (Sakai).

RECOMMENDED:

Acland, G. "Archivist - Keeper, Undertaker or Auditor." *Archives and Manuscripts* 19/1 (1991): 9-14. (Sakai)

McKemmish, Sue. "Are Records Ever Actual?" in S McKemmish and M Piggott (eds) *The Records Continuum*. Melbourne, Australia: Clayton Ancora Press, 1994: 187-203. (Sakai)

Eastwood, Terry. Review of McKemmish and Piggott in *Archives and Manuscripts* 23/1 (1995): 109. (Sakai).

13) Thursday, April 20: Sampling, Black Box, Case Files, and MPLP

Archival Thinker Essays DUE

Questions to direct your reading:

1. What is the "Black Box"?
2. What can sampling tell us? What are the caveats?
3. How do we best select case files to retain when we can't keep them all?

REQUIRED:

Cook, Terry. "Many are Called, but Few Are Chosen?: Appraisal Guidelines for Sampling and Selecting Case Files." *Archivaria* 32 (Summer 1991): 25-50.

Faulder, Erin. *Module 16: Accessioning Digital Archives*. (Chicago: SAA, 2016): pp. 117-185. (Sakai)

Greene, Mark A. "MPLP: It's Not Just for Processing Anymore." *The American Archivist* 73/1 (Apr 2010): 175-203.

If you have not already read this in another class:

Greene, Mark and Dennis Meissner. "More Product, Less Process: Revamping Traditional Archival Processing." *The American Archivist* 68/2 (Fall/Winter 2005): 208-263.

Klaassen, David. "The Provenance of Social Work Case Records: Implications for Archival Appraisal and Access." *Provenance* 1 (Spring 1983): 5-30. (Sakai).

Harvey, Ross and Dave Thompson. "Automating the Appraisal of Digital Materials." *Library Hi Tech* 28. 2 (2010): 313-322.

<http://www.dcc.ac.uk/resource/curation-manual/chapters/appraisal-and-selection/appraisal-and-selection.pdf>

Henry, Linda. "Schellenberg in Cyberspace." *The American Archivist* 61, no. 2 (January 1, 1998): 309-327.

Yeo, Geoffrey. "'Nothing is the Same as Something Else': Significant Properties and Notions of Identity and Originality." *Archival Science* 10/2 (June 2010): 85-116. (UNC Libraries).

14) Thursday, April 27: Archives and Power

Questions to direct your reading:

1. What is "enduring value"? How can this be determined?
2. How does/should archival theory drive/influence archival practice?
3. What is the role of archives in the day of Facebook and YouTube?
4. What are the four values Scott Cline addresses and do you think these are the essential values of the archival profession?

REQUIRED:

Brown, John Seely and Paul Duguid. "The Social Life of Documents." *First Monday*, available at <http://www.firstmonday.dk/issues/issue1/documents/index.html>

Cline, Scott. "To the Limit of Our Integrity: Reflections on Archival Being." *The American Archivist* 72 (Fall/Winter 2009): 331-343.

Cook, Michael. "Appraisal and Access: We Should Expect Changes Driven by the Media and by Public Awareness." *Records Management Journal* 20/1, (2010): 72 – 77. (Sakai).

Eastwood, Terry. "Toward a Social Theory of Appraisal." in Barbara L. Craig, ed., *The Archival Imagination: Essays in Honour of Hugh A. Taylor* (Ottawa: Association of Canadian Archivists, 1992), pp. 71-89. (Sakai).

Greene, Mark. "The Power of Meaning: The Archival Mission in the Postmodern Age." *The American Archivist* 65 (Spring/Summer 2002): 42-55,

Jimerson, Randall C. "Archives for All: Professional Responsibility and Social Justice." *The American Archivist* 70 (Fall/Winter 2007): 252-281.

15) Monday, May 8th, 12 Noon: Final Exam Due

Your final essay exam will be due by 12:00 PM on Monday, May 8. After our last class you will find "final exam" in the assignment section of our Sakai site. Please deposit your exam in Sakai as well. Note: you can deposit your final exam any time BEFORE May 8th!

Questions to direct your reading:

1. What is the archivist's responsibility for preserving the cultural record?
2. Do archivists construct public memory? Is this good, bad, or neither if they do it?
3. What is the role of archives in the creation of cultural identity?