


Insight



## Securities Litigation

### The SCAS 50 for 2009

By [Adam Savett](#) on April 15, 2010 12:27 AM

Today we released our seventh annual "SCAS 50" report.

Based on data from the SCAS database, the SCAS 50 lists the top 50 plaintiffs' law firms ranked by total dollar amount of final securities class action settlements occurring in 2009 in which the law firm served as lead or co-lead counsel.

As always, we look at the data in three main ways for each firm - total settlement dollars, total number settlements, and average value per settlement. I have listed the top five firms in each category below

The full report is available [here](#).

#### **2009's Top 5 - Total settlement value:**

1. Coughlin Stoia Geller Rudman & Robbins (n/k/a IRobbins Geller Rudman & Dowd LLP)
2. Milberg
3. Bernstein Liebhard
4. Barroway Topaz Kessler Meltzer & Check
5. Barrack, Rodos & Bacine

#### **2009's Top 5 - Average settlement value:**

1. Bernstein Liebhard
2. Wolf Haldenstein Adler Freeman & Herz
3. Berman DeValerio
4. Berger & Montague
5. Stull Stull & Brody

#### **2009's Top 5 - Number of settlements:**

1. Coughlin Stoia Geller Rudman & Robbins
2. Barroway Topaz Kessler Meltzer & Check
3. Bernstein Litowitz Berger & Grossmann
4. Milberg
5. Kaplan Fox & Kilsheimer

A few observations.

1. In contrast to last year, we have three different firms that garnered more than \$1 billion in total settlements.
2. The settlements are a little more spread out this year than last year, with 4 firms missing the cut for Top 5 in total settlements by just one settlement.
3. We continue to see more and more "non-traditional" securities litigation firms making the list, with 1 firms that bring some serious mass-tort or personal injury street cred in that group, including both Moore Rice and the other half of the former Ness Motley firm, Richardson Patrick Westbrook & Brickman making the list.
4. Each year we seem to have at least one firm that has changed names from the prior year, just in the Top 5 tables. This year we again have two, Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd and Berman DeValerio.

---

Categories: [Lead Plaintiff / Lead Counsel](#), [Settlements](#)



Previous:  
[More Options Backdating Settlements](#)



Next:  
[Options Backdating Update](#)

# Security Class Action Services

## The Top SCAS 50 for 2010

Securities Class Action Services is pleased to present the "SCAS 50" for the year 2010. The SCAS 50 lists the top 50 plaintiffs' law firms ranked by the total dollar amount of final securities class action settlements occurring in 2010 in which the law firm served as lead or co-lead counsel.

Rank	Law Firm	Settlement Total	# of Settlements	Average
1	Bernstein Litowitz Berger & Grossmann	\$ 999,975,838	16	\$ 62,498,490
2	Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd	\$ 740,796,000	31	\$ 23,896,645
3	Labaton Sucharow	\$ 576,359,800	13	\$ 44,335,369
4	Hargrove Pierson & Brown	\$ 549,250,000	1	\$ 549,250,000
5	Marcus & Auerbach	\$ 549,250,000	1	\$ 549,250,000
6	Grant & Eisenhofer	\$ 315,525,000	9	\$ 35,058,333
7	Chimicles & Tikellis	\$ 290,000,000	2	\$ 145,000,000
8	Chitwood Harley Harnes	\$ 252,000,000	2	\$ 126,000,000
9	Lowey Dannenberg Cohen & Hart	\$ 238,500,000	2	\$ 119,250,000
10	Pomerantz Haudek Grossman & Gross	\$ 225,000,000	1	\$ 225,000,000
11	The Nygaard Law Firm	\$ 200,000,000	1	\$ 200,000,000
12	Berman DeValerio	\$ 138,250,000	3	\$ 46,083,333
13	Milberg	\$ 137,506,000	9	\$ 15,278,444
14	Cunningham Bounds	\$ 133,500,000	2	\$ 66,750,000
15	Wolf Popper	\$ 119,012,500	3	\$ 39,670,833
16	Barroway Topaz Kessler Meltzer & Check	\$ 113,005,550	6	\$ 18,834,258
17	Wolf Haldenstein Adler Freeman & Herz	\$ 105,862,500	3	\$ 35,287,500
18	Hahn Loeser & Parks	\$ 97,500,000	1	\$ 97,500,000
19	Maurice Blackburn	\$ 97,031,000	1	\$ 97,031,000
20	Nix, Patterson & Roach	\$ 80,000,000	1	\$ 80,000,000
21	Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro	\$ 69,868,000	3	\$ 23,289,333
22	Stull Stull & Brody	\$ 65,730,000	3	\$ 21,910,000
23	Cohen Milstein Sellers & Toll	\$ 64,000,000	5	\$ 12,800,000
24	Blitz Bardgett & Deutsch	\$ 60,000,000	1	\$ 60,000,000
25	Kirby McInerney	\$ 50,125,000	5	\$ 10,025,000
26	Lovell Stewart Halebian	\$ 50,000,000	1	\$ 50,000,000
27	Stamell & Schager	\$ 50,000,000	1	\$ 50,000,000
28	Ernst & Mattison	\$ 45,000,000	1	\$ 45,000,000

29	Gianelli & Morris	\$ 45,000,000	1	\$ 45,000,000
30	Law Offices of Ronald A. Marron	\$ 45,000,000	1	\$ 45,000,000
31	Kaplan Fox & Kilsheimer	\$ 43,000,000	1	\$ 43,000,000
32	Siskinds	\$ 42,944,934	6	\$ 7,157,489
33	Motley Rice	\$ 40,000,000	1	\$ 40,000,000
34	Datsopoulos MacDonald & Lind	\$ 39,280,000	1	\$ 39,280,000
35	Knight, Dahood, Everett & Sievers	\$ 39,280,000	1	\$ 39,280,000
36	McGarvey, Heberling, Sullivan & McGarvey	\$ 39,280,000	1	\$ 39,280,000
37	Morrison & Frampton	\$ 39,280,000	1	\$ 39,280,000
38	Glancy Binkow & Goldberg	\$ 36,990,000	4	\$ 9,247,500
39	Maurice Blackburn Cashman	\$ 36,176,017	1	\$ 36,176,017
40	Gordon Thomas Honeywell Malanca Peterson & Daheim	\$ 33,368,000	1	\$ 33,368,000
41	Sutts Strosberg	\$ 33,301,760	2	\$ 16,650,880
42	Berger & Montague	\$ 32,466,000	3	\$ 10,822,000
43	Camp Fiorante Matthews	\$ 26,644,800	1	\$ 26,644,800
44	Kahn Swick & Foti	\$ 24,850,000	3	\$ 8,283,333
45	Entwistle & Cappucci	\$ 22,500,000	1	\$ 22,500,000
46	Carella, Byrne, Cecchi, Olstein, Brody & Agnello	\$ 19,500,000	1	\$ 19,500,000
47	Law Offices of Bernard M. Gross	\$ 18,000,000	1	\$ 18,000,000
48	Susman Godfrey	\$ 16,500,000	1	\$ 16,500,000
49	Robbins Umeda	\$ 16,000,000	1	\$ 16,000,000
50	Izard Nobel	\$ 15,500,000	1	\$ 15,500,000

## Firms by Settlements

Rank	SCAS 50 Rank	Law Firm	Settlement Total	# of Settlements	Average
1	2	Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd	\$ 874,296,000	33	\$ 26,493,818
2	1	Bernstein Litowitz Berger & Grossmann	\$ 999,975,838	16	\$ 62,498,490
3	3	Labaton Sucharow	\$ 576,359,800	13	\$ 44,335,369
4	6	Grant & Eisenhofer	\$ 315,525,000	9	\$ 35,058,333
5	13	Milberg	\$ 137,506,000	9	\$ 15,278,444

## Firms by Settlement Average

Rank	SCAS 50 Rank	Law Firm	Settlement Total	# of Settlements	Average
1	9	Cunningham Bounds	\$ 250,500,000	3	\$ 83,500,000
2	1	Bernstein Litowitz Berger & Grossmann	\$ 999,975,838	16	\$ 62,498,490
3	14	Berman DeValerio	\$ 138,250,000	3	\$ 46,083,333
4	3	Labaton Sucharow	\$ 576,359,800	13	\$ 44,335,369
5	15	Wolf Popper	\$ 119,012,500	3	\$ 39,670,833

\*Firms had to have a minimum of 3 settlements.

## Methodology

We created the SCAS 50 using data from the SCAS database, which tracks, among many other things, federal and state shareholder class actions. We also contacted each law firm to seek confirmation of the settlement data pertaining to that firm. The SCAS 50 does not include data on ERISA or derivative lawsuits.

The SCAS 50 reflects only those final settlements that resulted in the creation of a settlement fund on behalf of shareholders. Cases which resulted in no settlement fund being created, but instead had only non-monetary settlement terms (such as corporate governance changes, changes in the terms of a merger, etc.) are not included. Further information on such settlements can be found in the SCAS database.

The SCAS 50 credits law firms that served as lead or co-lead counsel in a case with the entire settlement fund, regardless of how many other firms served as lead or co-lead counsel in the case. Thus, for a settlement of \$1,000,000 dollars where there were two lead counsel, the SCAS 50 credits both law firms with a \$1,000,000 settlement rather than dividing the settlement fund in half. For purposes of this report, law firms are considered to be lead or co-lead counsel if they are identified as such in the notice of settlement distributed to shareholders.

## Terminology

SETTLEMENT TOTAL is the total dollar value of all final settlements occurring in 2010 in which the law firm served as lead or co-lead counsel, and where a settlement fund resulted.

# of SETTLEMENTS is the total number of final settlements occurring in 2010 in which the law firm served as lead or co-lead counsel, and where a settlement fund resulted.

AVERAGE is the SETTLEMENT TOTAL divided by the # of SETTLEMENTS.

*For further information, please contact Luke Green Vice President of Securities Class Action Services via email [lucas.green@issgovernance.com](mailto:lucas.green@issgovernance.com)*

This document and all of the information contained in it, including without limitation all text, data, graphs, charts (collectively, the "Information") is the property of ISS, or in some cases third-party suppliers. The Information may not be reproduced or disseminated in whole or in part without prior written permission of ISS.

The Information has not been submitted to, nor received approval from, the United States Securities and Exchange Commission or any other regulatory body. None of the Information constitutes an offer to sell (or a solicitation of an offer to buy), or a promotion or recommendation of, any security, financial product or other investment vehicle or any trading strategy, and ISS does not endorse, approve or otherwise express any opinion regarding any issuer, securities, financial products or instruments or trading strategies.

Any issuer that is mentioned in this document may be a client of ISS, MSCI Inc., or a subsidiary of MSCI Inc., or may be the parent of, or affiliated with, a client of ISS, MSCI Inc., or another MSCI Inc. subsidiary.

The user of the Information assumes the entire risk of any use it may make or permit to be made of the Information.

ISS MAKES NO EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES OR REPRESENTATIONS WITH RESPECT TO THE INFORMATION AND EXPRESSLY DISCLAIMS ALL IMPLIED WARRANTIES (INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF ORIGINALITY, ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, NON-INFRINGEMENT, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY AND FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE) WITH RESPECT TO ANY OF THE INFORMATION.

Without limiting any of the foregoing and to the maximum extent permitted by law, in no event shall ISS have any liability regarding any of the Information for any direct, indirect, special, punitive, consequential (including lost profits) or any other damages even if notified of the possibility of such damages. The foregoing shall not exclude or limit any liability that may not by applicable law be excluded or limited.

# Securities Class Action Services

## The SCAS 50 for 2011

Securities Class Action Services is pleased to present the "SCAS 50" for the year 2011. The SCAS 50 lists the top 50 plaintiffs' law firms ranked by the total cash amount of final securities class action settlements occurring in 2011 in which the law firm served as lead or co-lead counsel.

Rank	Law Firm	Settlement Total	# of Settlements	Average
1	Bernstein Litowitz Berger & Grossmann	\$ 1,377,250,000.00	13	\$ 105,942,307.69
2	Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd	\$ 1,144,250,000.00	28	\$ 40,866,071.43
3	Labaton Sucharow	\$ 1,035,900,000.00	16	\$ 64,743,750.00
4	Kessler Topaz Meltzer & Check	\$ 851,700,000.00	9	\$ 94,633,333.33
5	Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro	\$ 435,000,000.00	5	\$ 87,000,000.00
6	Grant & Eisenhofer	\$ 304,650,000.00	7	\$ 43,521,428.57
7	Cohen Milstein Sellers & Toll	\$ 190,550,000.00	8	\$ 23,818,750.00
8	Lovell Stewart Halebian Jacobson	\$ 118,750,000.00	1	\$ 118,750,000.00
9	Milberg	\$ 113,375,000.00	3	\$ 37,791,666.67
10	Wolf Haldenstein Adler Freeman & Herz	\$ 103,400,000.00	2	\$ 51,700,000.00
11	Entwistle & Cappucci	\$ 101,900,000.00	2	\$ 50,950,000.00
12	Bernstein Liebhard	\$ 100,000,000.00	1	\$ 100,000,000.00
13	Barrack, Rodos & Bacine	\$ 95,500,000.00	3	\$ 31,833,333.33
14	Scott & Scott	\$ 81,920,000.00	4	\$ 20,480,000.00
15	Girard Gibbs	\$ 81,520,000.00	2	\$ 40,760,000.00
15	Zwerling Schachter & Zwerling	\$ 81,520,000.00	2	\$ 40,760,000.00
17	Cotchett Pitre & McCarthy	\$ 80,000,000.00	1	\$ 80,000,000.00
18	Allen Matkins	\$ 52,500,000.00	1	\$ 52,500,000.00
18	Esler, Stephens & Buckley	\$ 52,500,000.00	1	\$ 52,500,000.00
20	Berger & Montague	\$ 50,191,666.70	5	\$ 10,038,333.34
21	Glancy Binkow & Goldberg	\$ 47,565,000.00	4	\$ 11,891,250.00
22	Slater & Gordon	\$ 46,538,020.18	2	\$ 23,269,010.09
23	Maurice Blackburn Pty Limited	\$ 41,999,100.00	1	\$ 41,999,100.00
24	Abbey Spanier Rodd & Abrams	\$ 41,725,000.00	2	\$ 20,862,500.00
25	Saxena White	\$ 36,000,000.00	2	\$ 18,000,000.00
26	Bull & Lifshitz	\$ 35,000,000.00	1	\$ 35,000,000.00
27	Kaplan Fox & Kilsheimer	\$ 33,000,000.00	1	\$ 33,000,000.00
28	Stull Stull & Brody	\$ 31,500,000.00	2	\$ 15,750,000.00
29	Siskinds	\$ 31,168,208.09	3	\$ 10,389,402.70
30	Blitz Bardgett & Deutsch	\$ 26,000,000.00	1	\$ 26,000,000.00
31	Izard Nobel	\$ 25,416,666.70	2	\$ 12,708,333.35
32	Berman DeValerio	\$ 24,000,000.00	1	\$ 24,000,000.00
32	Pomerantz Haudek Grossman & Gross	\$ 24,000,000.00	1	\$ 24,000,000.00



An MSCI Brand

34	Diaz Reus & Targ	\$ 22,500,000.00	1	\$ 22,500,000.00
35	Baron & Budd	\$ 20,000,000.00	1	\$ 20,000,000.00
35	Carney Williams Bates Bozeman & Pulliam	\$ 20,000,000.00	1	\$ 20,000,000.00
37	Brower Piven	\$ 18,262,500.00	5	\$ 3,652,500.00
38	Kirby McInerney	\$ 17,575,000.00	3	\$ 5,858,333.33
39	Ice Miller	\$ 17,500,000.00	1	\$ 17,500,000.00
40	Wolf Popper	\$ 17,437,368.00	2	\$ 8,718,684.00
41	Chimicles & Tikellis	\$ 16,000,000.00	1	\$ 16,000,000.00
42	Abraham, Fruchter & Twersky	\$ 13,000,000.00	1	\$ 13,000,000.00
43	Gardy & Notis	\$ 10,000,000.00	1	\$ 10,000,000.00
44	Law Offices of Curtis V. Trinko, LLP	\$ 6,750,000.00	1	\$ 6,750,000.00
45	Motley Rice	\$ 6,500,000.00	2	\$ 3,250,000.00
46	Kahn Swick & Foti	\$ 4,712,500.00	4	\$ 1,178,125.00
47	Murray Frank	\$ 6,200,000.00	1	\$ 6,200,000.00
48	Weiss & Lurie	\$ 5,500,000.00	1	\$ 5,500,000.00
49	Holzer Holzer Fistel	\$ 5,200,000.00	1	\$ 5,200,000.00
49	Jensen Shawa Solomon Duguid Hawkes	\$ 5,200,000.00	1	\$ 5,200,000.00
49	Sutts Strosberg	\$ 5,200,000.00	1	\$ 5,200,000.00

## Firms by Number of Settlements

Rank	SCAS 50 Rank	Law Firm	Settlement Total	# of Settlements	Average
1	2	Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd	\$ 1,144,250,000	28	\$ 40,866,071.43
2	3	Labaton Sucharow	\$ 1,035,900,000	16	\$ 64,743,750.00
3	1	Bernstein Litowitz Berger & Grossmann	\$ 1,377,250,000	13	\$ 105,942,307.69
4	4	Kessler Topaz Meltzer & Check	\$ 851,700,000	9	\$ 94,633,333.33
5	7	Cohen Milstein Sellers & Toll	\$ 190,550,000	8	\$ 23,818,750.00



## Firms by Settlement Average

Rank	SCAS 50 Rank	Law Firm	Settlement Total	# of Settlements	Average
1	1	Bernstein Litowitz Berger & Grossmann	\$ 1,377,250,000	13	\$ 105,942,307.69
2	4	Kessler Topaz Meltzer & Check	\$ 851,700,000	9	\$ 94,633,333.33
3	5	Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro	\$ 435,000,000	5	\$ 87,000,000
4	3	Labaton Sucharow	\$ 1,035,900,000.00	16	\$ 64,743,750.00
5	6	Grant & Eisenhofer	\$ 304,650,000.00	7	\$ 43,521,428.57

\*Firms had to have a minimum of 3 settlements.

## Methodology

We created the SCAS 50 using data from the SCAS database, which tracks, among many other things, federal and state shareholder class actions. We also contacted each law firm to seek confirmation of the settlement data pertaining to that firm. The SCAS 50 does not include data on ERISA or derivative lawsuits.

The SCAS 50 reflects only those final settlements that resulted in the creation of a cash settlement fund on behalf of shareholders. Cases which resulted in no settlement fund being created, but instead had only non-monetary settlement terms (such as corporate governance changes, changes in the terms of a merger, etc.) are not included. Further information on such settlements can be found in the SCAS database.

The SCAS 50 credits law firms that served as lead or co-lead counsel in a case with the entire settlement fund, regardless of how many other firms served as lead or co-lead counsel in the case. Thus, for a settlement of \$1,000,000 dollars where there were two lead counsel, the SCAS 50 credits both law firms with a \$1,000,000 settlement rather than dividing the settlement fund in half. For purposes of this report, law firms are considered to be lead or co-lead counsel if they are identified as such in the notice of settlement distributed to shareholders.

## Terminology

SETTLEMENT TOTAL is the total cash amount made available to investors for recovery for all final settlements occurring in 2011 in which the law firm served as lead or co-lead counsel, and where a settlement fund resulted.

# of SETTLEMENTS is the total number of final settlements occurring in 2011 in which the law firm served as lead or co-lead counsel, and where a settlement fund resulted.

AVERAGE is the SETTLEMENT TOTAL divided by the # of SETTLEMENTS.

*For further information, please contact Luke Green Vice President of Securities Class Action Services via email [lucas.green@issgovernance.com](mailto:lucas.green@issgovernance.com)*

This document and all of the information contained in it, including without limitation all text, data, graphs, charts (collectively, the "Information") is the property of ISS, or in some cases third-party suppliers. The Information may not be reproduced or disseminated in whole or in part without prior written permission of ISS.

The Information has not been submitted to, nor received approval from, the United States Securities and Exchange Commission or any other regulatory body. None of the Information constitutes an offer to sell (or a solicitation of an offer to buy), or a promotion or recommendation of, any security, financial product or other investment vehicle or any trading strategy, and ISS does not endorse, approve or otherwise express any opinion regarding any issuer, securities, financial products or instruments or trading strategies.

Any issuer that is mentioned in this document may be a client of ISS, MSCI Inc., or a subsidiary of MSCI Inc., or may be the parent of, or affiliated with, a client of ISS, MSCI Inc., or another MSCI Inc. subsidiary.

The user of the Information assumes the entire risk of any use it may make or permit to be made of the Information. ISS MAKES NO EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES OR REPRESENTATIONS WITH RESPECT TO THE INFORMATION AND EXPRESSLY DISCLAIMS ALL IMPLIED WARRANTIES (INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF ORIGINALITY, ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, NON-INFRINGEMENT, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY AND FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE) WITH RESPECT TO ANY OF THE INFORMATION.

Without limiting any of the foregoing and to the maximum extent permitted by law, in no event shall ISS have any liability regarding any of the Information for any direct, indirect, special, punitive, consequential (including lost profits) or any other damages even if notified of the possibility of such damages. The foregoing shall not exclude or limit any liability that may not by applicable law be excluded or limited.



# Top 50 for 2014

Securities Class Action Services, LLC

**Published:** 13 May 2015

## Executive Summary

This year's ISS Securities Class Action Services 50 report contains a total of 105 court approved settlements valued at over \$2.4 billion in total settlement amount, nine of which were valued at more than \$100 million. The 3rd quarter of 2014 saw the year's highest count of settlements with 49 cases settled for awards totaling more than \$1.4 billion.

Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd lead the report with over \$900 million in settlements as Lead Plaintiff last year, \$265 million of which is from Massey Energy Company (2010). Bernstein Litowitz Berger & Grossmann follow with over \$600 million in total settlement amount, \$280 million of which is from J.P. Morgan Acceptance Corp. I (Mortgage Pass-Through Certificates) (2008). Notably, three Canadian law firms, Siskinds, Sutts Strosberg and Morganti Legal have made it to the list, while Maurice Blackburn, an Australian law firm, ranked 12th with a little over \$65 million.

The report which lists the top 50 plaintiffs' law firms ranked by the dollar value of final class action settlements occurring in 2014 in which the law firm served as lead or co-lead counsel, also cites the top five law firms based on the number of settlements represented for 2014. Leading this report is Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd with 35 cases, followed by The Rosen Law Firm with 10.

## Table of Contents

<b>METHODOLOGY</b> .....	<b>3</b>
<b>TERMINOLOGY</b> .....	<b>3</b>
<b>THE TOP 50 FOR 2014</b> .....	<b>4</b>
<b>FIRMS BY NUMBER OF SETTLEMENTS</b> .....	<b>6</b>

ISS Securities Class Action Services is pleased to present the "Securities Class Action Services 50" for the year 2014. The Securities Class Action Services 50 lists the top 50 plaintiffs' law firms ranked by the total cash amount of final securities class action settlements occurring in 2014 in which the law firm served as lead or co-lead counsel.

## METHODOLOGY

The Securities Class Action Services 50 is based upon historical settlement data from ISS' proprietary database, which tracks, among other information, federal, state, and international shareholder class and group actions. Each law firm was contacted by ISS to verify settlement data pertaining to that firm. The Securities Class Action Services 50 does not include data on ERISA or derivative lawsuits.

The Securities Class Action Services 50 reflects only those final settlements that resulted in the creation of a cash settlement fund on behalf of shareholders. Cases which resulted in no settlement fund being created, but instead had only non-monetary settlement terms (such as corporate governance changes, changes in the terms of a merger, etc.) are not included. Further information on these types of settlements can be found in the Securities Class Action Services database.

The Securities Class Action Services 50 credits law firms that served as lead or co-lead counsel in a case with the entire settlement fund, regardless of how many other firms served as lead or co-lead counsel in the case. Thus, for a settlement of \$1,000,000 where there were two lead counsels, the Securities Class Action Services 50 credits both law firms with \$1,000,000 rather than dividing the settlement fund between them. For purposes of this report, law firms are considered to be lead or co-lead counsel if they are identified as such in the notice of settlement distributed to shareholders.

## TERMINOLOGY

**Settlement Total** is the total cash amount made available to investors for recovery for all final settlements occurring in 2014 in which the law firm served as lead or co-lead counsel, and where a settlement fund resulted.

**Number of Settlements** listed the top 5 total number of final settlements occurring in 2014 in which the law firm served as lead or co-lead counsel, and where a settlement fund resulted.

*For further information:*

visit our website at: <http://www.issgovernance.com/governance-solutions/securities-class-action-services>

or email: [sales@issgovernance.com](mailto:sales@issgovernance.com)

## THE TOP 50 FOR 2014

RANK	CASE NAME	SETTLEMENT AMOUNT (in USD)
1	Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd	\$929,648,550
2	Bernstein Litowitz Berger & Grossmann	\$619,000,000
3	Labaton Sucharow	\$413,900,000
4	Cohen Milstein Sellers & Toll	\$326,844,000
5	Wolf Popper	\$290,900,000
6	Motley Rice	\$225,250,000
7	Kessler Topaz Meltzer & Check	\$150,500,000
8	Lieff Cabraser Heimann & Bernstein	\$134,686,000
9	Chitwood Harley Harnes	\$119,936,000
10	Bouchard Margules & Friedlander	\$ 88,198,550
11	Glancy Binkow & Goldberg	\$67,650,000
12	Maurice Blackburn	\$65,030,900
13	Vanoverbeke Michaud & Timmony	\$62,500,000
13	Zimmerman Reed	\$62,500,000
13	The Miller Law Firm	\$62,500,000
16	Milberg	\$47,465,000
17	Levi & Korsinsky	\$42,202,144
18	Wohl & Fruchter	\$40,494,144
19	The Rosen Law Firm	\$40,233,000
20	Rosenthal, Monhait & Goddess	\$39,400,000
21	Grant & Eisenhofer	\$32,000,000
22	Brower Piven	\$26,250,000
23	Zamansky & Associates	\$23,250,000
24	Pomerantz	\$21,947,000
25	Sutts Strosberg	\$20,280,634
26	Faruqi & Faruqi	\$17,475,000

RANK	CASE NAME	SETTLEMENT AMOUNT (in USD)
27	Prickett, Jones & Elliott	\$17,000,000
28	Siskinds	\$16,316,850
29	Dimond Kaplan & Rothstein	\$13,053,473
29	Sallah Astarita & Cox	\$13,053,473
29	Silver law Group	\$13,053,473
32	Abrams & Bayliss	\$13,000,000
32	Seitz Ross Aronstam & Moritz	\$13,000,000
34	Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro	\$12,900,000
35	Brager Eigel & Squire	\$12,400,000
36	Gardy & Notis	\$10,725,000
36	Sadis & Goldberg	\$10,725,000
38	Green & Noblin	\$10,000,000
38	The Herlong Law Firm	\$10,000,000
38	Nexsen Pruet	\$10,000,000
38	Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati	\$10,000,000
42	Wolf Haldenstein Adler Freeman & Herz	\$8,500,000
42	Lovell Stewart Halebian	\$8,500,000
42	Dostart Clapp Gordon & Coveney	\$8,500,000
45	Morganti Legal	\$7,750,000
46	Block & Leviton	\$7,330,000
47	Saltz, Mongeluzzi, Barrett & Bendesky	\$6,050,000
48	Oppenheimer Wolff & Donnelly	\$5,750,000
48	Schiff Hardin	\$5,750,000
50	Cuneo, Gilbert & La Duca	\$5,500,000

## FIRMS BY NUMBER OF SETTLEMENTS

RANK BY NUMBER OF SETTLEMENTS	RANK BY SETTLEMENT AMOUNT	LAW FIRM	SETTLEMENT TOTAL (in USD)	NUMBER OF SETTLEMENTS
1	1	Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd	\$929,648,550	35
2	19	The Rosen Law Firm	\$40,233,000	10
3	3	Labaton Sucharow	\$413,900,000	9
4	2	Bernstein Litowitz Berger & Grossmann	\$619,000,000	7
5	6	Motley Rice	\$225,250,000	6
5	7	Kessler Topaz Meltzer & Check	\$150,500,000	6
5	24	Pomerantz	\$21,947,000	6



This document and all of the information contained in it, including without limitation all text, data, graphs, charts (collectively, the Information”) are the property of Institutional Shareholder Services Inc. (“ISS”), its subsidiaries, or in some cases third-party suppliers. The Information may not be reproduced or disseminated in whole or in part without prior written permission of ISS.

Issuers mentioned in this document may have purchased self-assessment tools and publications from ISS Corporate Solutions, Inc. (“ICS”), a wholly owned subsidiary of ISS, or ICS may have provided advisory or analytical services to the issuer. No employee of ICS played a role in the preparation of this document. Any issuer that is mentioned in this document may be a client of ISS or ICS, or may be the parent of, or affiliated with, a client of ISS or ICS.

The Information has not been submitted to, nor received approval from, the United States Securities and Exchange Commission or any other regulatory body. None of the Information constitutes an offer to sell (or a solicitation of an offer to buy), or a promotion or recommendation of, any security, financial product, or other investment vehicle or any trading strategy, nor a solicitation of a vote or a proxy, and ISS does not endorse, approve, or otherwise express any opinion regarding any issuer, securities, financial products, or instruments or trading strategies.

The user of the Information assumes the entire risk of any use it may make or permit to be made of the Information.

ISS MAKES NO EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES OR REPRESENTATIONS WITH RESPECT TO THE INFORMATION AND EXPRESSLY DISCLAIMS ALL IMPLIED WARRANTIES (INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF ORIGINALITY, ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, NON-INFRINGEMENT, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY AND FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE) WITH RESPECT TO ANY OF THE INFORMATION.

Without limiting any of the foregoing and to the maximum extent permitted by law, in no event shall ISS have any liability regarding any of the Information for any direct, indirect, special, punitive, consequential (including lost profits), or any other damages even if notified of the possibility of such damages. The foregoing shall not exclude or limit any liability that may not by applicable law be excluded or limited.



---

## The Global Leader In Securities Class Action Services

[www.issgovernance.com](http://www.issgovernance.com)



# Top 50 for 2015

Securities Class Action Services, LLC

**Published:** May 2, 2016

## **Executive Summary**

ISS Securities Class Action Services' Top 50 Report for 2015 contains a total of 133 court approved settlements valued in sum at \$5.8 billion. Among the 50 law firms, four obtained more than \$500 million of settlement funds, while another two overreach recouped funds worth more than \$1 billion. Specifically, Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd ranked first, followed by Bernstein Litowitz Berger & Grossmann, with \$1.5 billion and \$1 billion, respectively, of approved settlement funds. Over 2015, the third quarter proved the busiest with 36 out of 133 settlements settling during that period, while the first quarter saw the highest dollar value for settlement funds approved, at \$1.8 billion.

The report lists the top 50 plaintiffs' law firms ranked by the total dollar value of final class action settlements occurring in 2015 in which the law firm served as lead or co-lead counsel. The report ranks the top five law firms based on the number of settlements represented in 2015. Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd tops the list with 27 approved settlements, followed by The Rosen Law Firm with 13 approved settlements.

## Table of Contents

<b>METHODOLOGY .....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>TERMINOLOGY.....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>THE TOP 50 FOR 2015.....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>FIRMS BY NUMBER OF SETTLEMENTS .....</b>	<b>6</b>

ISS Securities Class Action Services is pleased to present the "Securities Class Action Services Top 50" for the year 2015. The Securities Class Action Services Top 50 lists the top 50 plaintiffs' law firms, ranked by the total cash amount of final securities class action settlements occurring in 2015, in which the law firm served as lead or co-lead counsel.

## METHODOLOGY

The Securities Class Action Services Top 50 is based upon historical settlement data from ISS' proprietary database, which tracks, among other information, federal, state, and international shareholder class and group actions. Each law firm was contacted by ISS to verify settlement data pertaining to that firm. The Securities Class Action Services 50 does not include data on ERISA or derivative lawsuits.

The Securities Class Action Services Top 50 reflects only those final settlements that resulted in the creation of a cash settlement fund on behalf of shareholders. Cases which resulted in no settlement fund being created, but instead had only non-monetary settlement terms (such as corporate governance changes, changes in the terms of a merger, etc.) are not included. Further information on these types of settlements can be found in the Securities Class Action Services database.

The Securities Class Action Services Top 50 credits law firms that served as lead or co-lead counsel in a case with the entire settlement fund, regardless of how many other firms served as lead or co-lead counsel in the case. Thus, for a settlement of \$1,000,000 where there were two lead counsels, the Securities Class Action Services 50 credits both law firms with \$1,000,000 rather than dividing the settlement fund between them. For purposes of this report, law firms are considered to be lead or co-lead counsel if they are identified as such in the notice of settlement distributed to shareholders.

## TERMINOLOGY

**Settlement Total** is the total cash amount made available to investors for recovery for all final settlements occurring in 2015, in which the law firm served as lead or co-lead counsel, and where a settlement fund resulted.

**Number of Settlements** listed the Top 5 total number of final settlements occurring in 2015, in which the law firm served as lead or co-lead counsel, and where a settlement fund resulted.

*For further information:*

*please visit our website at: <http://www.issgovernance.com/governance-solutions/securities-class-action-services>  
or email: [sales@issgovernance.com](mailto:sales@issgovernance.com)*

## THE TOP 50 FOR 2015

Rank	Law Firm	Settlement Amount (in USD)
1	Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd	\$1,577,538,880
2	Bernstein Litowitz Berger & Grossmann	\$1,028,982,828
3	Barrack, Rodos & Bacine	\$987,500,000
4	The Miller Law Firm	\$970,500,000
5	Cohen Milstein Sellers & Toll	\$838,000,000
6	Berman DeValerio	\$510,000,000
7	Kessler Topaz Meltzer & Check	\$468,450,000
8	Labaton Sucharow	\$409,957,828
9	Friedlander & Gorris	\$372,793,880
10	Bleichmar Fonti & Auld	\$324,432,828
11	Bragar Eigel & Squire	\$275,000,000
12	Entwistle & Cappucci	\$237,432,828
13	Lovell Stewart Halebian Jacobson	\$217,275,000
14	Berger & Montague	\$213,359,648
15	Motley Rice	\$198,275,000
16	Kaplan Fox & Kilsheimer	\$183,045,000
17	Wolf Popper	\$161,950,000
18	Boies, Schiller & Flexner	\$125,000,000
19	Saxena White	\$121,105,000
20	Grant & Eisenhofer	\$102,750,000
21	DRRT	\$92,400,000
22	Faruqi & Faruqi	\$84,500,000
23	Scott + Scott	\$83,450,000

<b>24</b>	Chimicles & Tikellis	\$68,000,000
<b>25</b>	Bernstein Liebhard	\$55,250,000
<b>26</b>	Siskinds	\$45,772,779
<b>27</b>	Pomerantz	\$41,238,750
<b>28</b>	Abbey Spanier Rodd & Abrams	\$39,000,000
<b>28</b>	Gardy & Notis	\$39,000,000
<b>30</b>	Foley Bezek Behle & Curtis	\$37,500,000
<b>30</b>	Good Wildman Hegness & Walley	\$37,500,000
<b>30</b>	Susman Godfrey	\$37,500,000
<b>33</b>	Kirby McInerney	\$36,254,989
<b>34</b>	Koskie Minsky	\$36,064,030
<b>35</b>	Glancy Prongay & Murray	\$30,425,000
<b>36</b>	The Rosen Law Firm	\$28,315,350
<b>37</b>	Finkelstein Thompson	\$26,500,000
<b>37</b>	Hach Rose Schirripa	\$26,500,000
<b>39</b>	Paliare Roland Rosenberg Rothstein	\$26,108,550
<b>40</b>	Levi & Korsinsky	\$25,200,000
<b>41</b>	Brower Piven	\$14,176,820
<b>42</b>	Carella, Byrne, Cecchi, Olstein, Brody & Agnello	\$13,000,000
<b>43</b>	Branstetter Stranch & Jennings	\$12,500,000
<b>44</b>	Hoffner	\$12,454,989
<b>45</b>	Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro	\$12,000,000
<b>46</b>	Abraham, Fruchter & Twersky	\$11,650,000
<b>47</b>	Climaco, Wilcox, Peca, Tarantino & Garofoli Co	\$11,000,000
<b>48</b>	Krislov & Associates	\$10,450,000
<b>49</b>	The Weiser Law Firm	\$9,500,000
<b>50</b>	Law Office of Gerald W. Berger	\$8,250,000

## LAW FIRMS BY NUMBER OF SETTLEMENTS

RANK BY NUMBER OF SETTLEMENTS	RANK BY SETTLEMENT AMOUNT	LAW FIRM	SETTLEMENT TOTAL (in USD)	NUMBER OF SETTLEMENTS
1	1	Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd	\$1,577,538,880.53	27
2	36	The Rosen Law Firm	\$28,315,350.00	13
3	2	Bernstein Litowitz Berger & Grossmann	\$1,028,982,828.00	11
4	19	Saxena White	\$121,105,000.00	10
5	7	Kessler Topaz Meltzer & Check	\$468,450,000.00	8
5	8	Labaton Sucharow	\$409,957,828.00	8

This document and all of the information contained in it, including without limitation all text, data, graphs, charts (collectively, the Information”) are the property of Institutional Shareholder Services Inc. (“ISS”), its subsidiaries, or in some cases third-party suppliers. The Information may not be reproduced or disseminated in whole or in part without prior written permission of ISS.

Issuers mentioned in this document may have purchased self-assessment tools and publications from ISS Corporate Solutions, Inc. (“ICS”), a wholly owned subsidiary of ISS, or ICS may have provided advisory or analytical services to the issuer. No employee of ICS played a role in the preparation of this document. Any issuer that is mentioned in this document may be a client of ISS or ICS, or may be the parent of, or affiliated with, a client of ISS or ICS.

The Information has not been submitted to, nor received approval from, the United States Securities and Exchange Commission or any other regulatory body. None of the Information constitutes an offer to sell (or a solicitation of an offer to buy), or a promotion or recommendation of, any security, financial product, or other investment vehicle or any trading strategy, nor a solicitation of a vote or a proxy, and ISS does not endorse, approve, or otherwise express any opinion regarding any issuer, securities, financial products, or instruments or trading strategies.

The user of the Information assumes the entire risk of any use it may make or permit to be made of the Information.

ISS MAKES NO EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES OR REPRESENTATIONS WITH RESPECT TO THE INFORMATION AND EXPRESSLY DISCLAIMS ALL IMPLIED WARRANTIES (INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF ORIGINALITY, ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, NON-INFRINGEMENT, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY AND FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE) WITH RESPECT TO ANY OF THE INFORMATION.

Without limiting any of the foregoing and to the maximum extent permitted by law, in no event shall ISS have any liability regarding any of the Information for any direct, indirect, special, punitive, consequential (including lost profits), or any other damages even if notified of the possibility of such damages. The foregoing shall not exclude or limit any liability that may not by applicable law be excluded or limited.



---

## The Global Leader In Securities Class Action Services

[www.issgovernance.com](http://www.issgovernance.com)



# TOP 50 OF 2016

## Brief

The *Securities Class Action Services: Top 50 of 2016* report ranks the top 50 plaintiffs' law firms by the dollar value of final class action settlements while also ranking the top five firms by settlement volume. Plaintiffs' firms qualifying for inclusion in the analysis are those serving in the role of lead or co-lead counsel.

The report covers 138 court-approved settlements, of which 129 made it to list with a total value of \$7.23 billion in total settlement amount. Of the 129 settlements, 85 alleged violations of Rule 10b-5 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 (Employment of Manipulative and Deceptive Practices) while 33 settlements alleged violations of the Securities Act of 1933 (Civil Liabilities on Account of False Registration Statement). Thirty-six of the 138 settlements were finalized during the second quarter of 2016. In addition, \$3.1 billion of the total settlement amount for 2016 was decided on during the fourth quarter. 2016 delivered \$7.26 billion of settlement funds for distribution, an increase of 25.2% from the \$5.8 billion settled in 2015.

Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd topped the lead plaintiff rankings netting more than \$2.7 billion in settlements, of which \$1.5 billion was stemmed from Household International, Inc. Bernstein Litowitz Berger & Grossmann came in second with more than \$2 billion, roughly one-half of which was from Merck & Co., Inc. (2003). With regard to rankings for the top five law firms based on the portion of the 129 settlements:

- 29 were led by Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd;
- 15 were led by Pomerantz and The Rosen Law Firm;
- 14 were led by Bernstein Litowitz Berger & Grossmann; and
- 10 were from Kessler Topaz Meltzer & Check and Labaton Sucharow.

[DOWNLOAD THE REPORT](#)

[EXPLORE SOLUTIONS](#)

# Securities Class Action Services



A list of the top 50 plaintiffs' law firms ranked by the dollar value of final class action settlements occurring in 2016 in which the law firm served as lead or co-lead counsel, also ranked the top five law firms based on the number of settlements represented for 2016.

## Summary

The *Securities Class Action Services: Top 50 of 2016* report ranks the top 50 plaintiffs' law firms by the dollar value of final class action settlements while also ranking the top five firms by settlement volume. Plaintiffs' firms qualifying for inclusion in the analysis are those serving in the role of lead or co-lead counsel.

The report covers 138 court-approved settlements, of which 129 made it to list with a total value of \$7.23 billion in total settlement amount. Of the 129 settlements, 85 alleged violations of Rule 10b-5 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 (Employment of Manipulative and Deceptive Practices) while 33 settlements alleged violations of the Securities Act of 1933 (Civil Liabilities on Account of False Registration Statement). Thirty-six of the 138 settlements were finalized during the second quarter of 2016. In addition, \$3.1 billion of the total settlement amount for 2016 was decided on during the fourth quarter. 2016 delivered \$7.26 billion of settlement funds for distribution.

Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd topped the lead plaintiff rankings netting more than \$2.7 b in settlements, of which \$1.5 billion was stemmed from Household International, Inc. Bernstein Litowitz Berger & Grossmann came in second with more than \$2 billion, roughly one-half of which was from Merck & Co., Inc. (2003). With regard to rankings for the top five law firms based on the portion of the 129 settlements:

- › 29 were led by Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd;
- › 15 were led by Pomerantz and The Rosen Law Firm;
- › 14 were led by Bernstein Litowitz Berger & Grossmann; and
- › 10 were from Kessler Topaz Meltzer & Check and Labaton Sucharow.

## Methodology

The *Securities Class Action Services: Top 50* report is based upon historical settlement data from ISS' proprietary database, which tracks, among many other things, federal and state shareholder class actions. Each law firm was contacted by Securities Class Action Services to verify settlement data pertaining to that firm. This report does not include data on ERISA or derivative lawsuits.

This report reflects only those final settlements that resulted in the creation of a cash settlement fund on behalf of shareholders. Cases which resulted in no settlement fund being created, but instead had only non-monetary settlement terms (such as corporate governance changes, changes in the terms of a merger, etc.) are not included. Further information on these types of settlements can be found in the Securities Class Action Services database.

This report credits law firms that served as lead or co-lead counsel in a case with the entire settlement fund, regardless of how many other firms served as lead or co-lead counsel in the case. Thus, for a

settlement of \$1,000,000 where there were two lead counsels, the Securities Class Action Services 50 credits both law firms with \$1,000,000 rather than dividing the settlement fund between them. For purposes of this report, law firms are considered to be lead or co-lead counsel if they are identified as such in the notice of settlement distributed to shareholders.

## Terminology

**Settlement Total** is the total cash amount made available to investors for recovery for all final settlements occurring in 2016 in which the law firm served as lead or co-lead counsel, and where a settlement fund resulted.

**Number of Settlements** listed the Top 5 total number of final settlements occurring in 2016 in which the law firm served as lead or co-lead counsel, and where a settlement fund resulted.

## The Top 50 of 2016

Rank	Law Firm	Settlement Amount (in USD)
1	Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd	\$2,751,468,060.00
2	Bernstein Litowitz Berger & Grossmann	\$2,016,943,533.00
3	Brower Piven	\$1,121,000,000.00
4	Milberg	\$1,076,125,000.00
5	Stull Stull & Brody	\$1,066,950,000.00
6	Grant & Eisenhofer	\$796,482,311.00
7	Kessler Topaz Meltzer & Check	\$567,293,060.00
8	Barrack, Rodos & Bacine	\$335,000,000.00
9	Francis Law	\$310,000,000.00
9	Somerville	\$310,000,000.00
9	Hare, Wynn, Newell & Newton	\$310,000,000.00
12	Labaton Sucharow	\$279,575,000.00
13	Motley Rice	\$251,250,000.00
14	Bleichmar Fonti Tountas & Auld	\$248,825,000.00

Rank	Law Firm	Settlement Amount (in USD)
15	Pomerantz (f.k.a Pomerantz Grossman Hufford Dahlstrom & Gross)	\$201,797,000.00
16	Cohen Milstein Sellers & Toll	\$152,062,500.00
17	Zimmerman Reed	\$125,000,000.00
17	Lockridge Grindal Nauen	\$125,000,000.00
19	The Rosen Law Firm	\$97,539,000.00
20	Lowenstein Sandler	\$96,000,000.00
21	Wolf Popper	\$86,500,000.00
22	Nix, Patterson & Roach	\$75,000,000.00
23	Glancy Prongay & Murray (f.k.a. Glancy Binkow & Goldberg)	\$61,446,782.00
24	Berger & Montague	\$57,325,000.00
25	Boies, Schiller & Flexner	\$55,000,000.00
25	Lovell Stewart Halebian	\$55,000,000.00
27	Levi & Korsinsky	\$53,697,000.00
28	Prickett, Jones & Elliott	\$52,700,000.00
29	Smith, Katzenstein & Jenkins	\$35,000,000.00
30	Scott + Scott	\$33,800,000.00
31	Block & Leviton	\$30,000,000.00
32	Siskinds	\$28,197,226.00
33	Abraham, Fruchter & Twersky	\$28,100,000.00
34	Koskie Minsky	\$26,248,796.00
35	Newman Ferrara	\$23,000,000.00
35	Berman DeValerio	\$23,000,000.00
37	Kahn Swick & Foti	\$20,500,000.00
38	Sutts Strosberg	\$19,163,210.00
38	D'Arcy & Deacon	\$19,163,210.00
38	Merchant Law Group	\$19,163,210.00

Rank	Law Firm	Settlement Amount (in USD)
38	Rochon Genova	\$19,163,210.00
42	Robbins Arroyo (f.k.a. Robbins Umeda)	\$19,000,000.00
43	Federman & Sherwood	\$17,950,000.00
44	Spector Roseman Kodroff & Willis	\$17,500,000.00
44	Friedlander & Gorris	\$17,500,000.00
46	Faruqi & Faruqi	\$13,800,000.00
47	Siskinds, Desmuelles	\$13,025,816.00
48	The Weiser Law Firm	\$10,000,000.00
48	Bernstein Liebhard	\$10,000,000.00
50	Johnson & Weaver	\$9,882,200.00

### Top Firms by Number of Settlements

Rank (by number of settlements)	Rank (by settlement amount)	Law Firm	Total Settlement Amount (in USD)	Number of Settlements
1	1	Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd	\$2,751,468,060	29
2	15	Pomerantz (f.k.a Pomerantz Grossman Hufford Dahlstrom & Gross)	\$201,797,000	15
2	19	The Rosen Law Firm	\$97,539,000	15
4	2	Bernstein Litowitz Berger & Grossmann	\$2,016,943,533	14
5	7	Kessler Topaz Meltzer & Check	\$567,293,060	10
5	12	Labaton Sucharow	\$279,575,000	10

## Never miss an opportunity for recovery.



Get Started with Securities Class Action Services.

[www.issgovernance.com/class-actions](http://www.issgovernance.com/class-actions)

[sales@issgovernance.com](mailto:sales@issgovernance.com)

### ABOUT ISS

Founded in 1985 as Institutional Shareholder Services Inc., ISS is the world's leading provider of corporate governance and responsible investment (RI) solutions for asset owners, asset managers, hedge funds, and asset service providers. ISS' solutions include: objective governance research and recommendations; RI data, analytics, and research; end-to-end proxy voting and distribution solutions; turnkey securities class-action claims management (provided by Securities Class Action Services, LLC); and reliable global governance data and modeling tools. Clients rely on ISS' expertise to help them make informed corporate governance decisions. For more information, please visit [www.issgovernance.com](http://www.issgovernance.com).

This document and all of the information contained in it is the property of Institutional Shareholder Services Inc. ("ISS") or its subsidiaries. The Information may not be reproduced or disseminated in whole or in part without prior written permission of ISS. ISS MAKES NO EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES OR REPRESENTATIONS WITH RESPECT TO THE INFORMATION.